

Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp.

Form 10-K

March 30, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

Commission file number 0-50765

VILLAGE BANK AND TRUST FINANCIAL CORP.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia
(State or other jurisdiction of

16-1694602

(I.R.S. Employer
organization)

incorporation or
Identification No.)

15521 Midlothian Turnpike, Suite 200, Midlothian, Virginia 23113
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Issuer's telephone number 804-897-3900

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

| registered | Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Market | Common Stock, \$4.00 par value | The Nasdaq Stock |

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act

during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been

subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer
Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)
Company

Accelerated Filer
Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2009 was approximately \$19,884,000

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of March 5, 2010 was 4,230,628.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive Proxy Statement to be used in conjunction with the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
Form 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------|----|
| Item 1. | Business | 3 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 16 |
| Item 1B. | Unresolved Staff Comments | 24 |
| Item 2. | Properties | 24 |
| Item 3. | Legal Proceedings | 24 |
| Item 4. | Reserved | 24 |

Part II

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 5. | Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | 25 |
| Item 6. | Selected Financial Data | 27 |
| Item 7. | Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations | 28 |
| Item 8. | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 52 |
| Item 9. | Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 88 |
| Item 9A. | Controls and Procedures | 88 |
| Item 9B. | Other Information | 89 |

Part III

| | | |
|----------|--|----|
| Item 10. | Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance | 90 |
| Item 11. | Executive Compensation | 90 |
| Item 12. | Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 90 |
| Item 13. | Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 90 |
| Item 14. | Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 90 |

Part IV

| | | |
|----------|---|----|
| Item 15. | Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules | 91 |
|----------|---|----|

| | | |
|------------|--|----|
| Signatures | | 94 |
|------------|--|----|

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

The disclosures set forth in this item are qualified by ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS on pages 17 to 24 and the section captioned “Caution About Forward-Looking Statements” on page 29 and other cautionary statements set forth elsewhere in this report.

General

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. (the “Company”) was incorporated in January 2003 and was organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia as a bank holding company whose activities consist of investment in its wholly-owned subsidiary, Village Bank (the “Bank”). The Bank opened to the public on December 13, 1999 as a traditional community bank offering deposit and loan services to individuals and businesses in the Richmond, Virginia metropolitan area. During 2003, the Company acquired or formed three wholly owned subsidiaries of the Bank, Village Bank Mortgage Corporation (“Village Bank Mortgage”), a full service mortgage banking company, Village Insurance Agency, Inc. (“Village Insurance”), a full service property and casualty insurance agency, and Village Financial Services Corporation (“Village Financial Services”), a financial services company. Currently, Village Insurance and Village Financial Services have no ongoing operations.

The Company is the holding company of and successor to the Bank. Effective April 30, 2004, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of the Bank in a statutory share exchange transaction. In the transaction, the shares of the Bank’s common stock were exchanged for shares of the Company’s common stock, par value \$4.00 per share (“Common Stock”), on a one-for-one basis. As a result, the Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, the Company became the holding company for the Bank and the shareholders of the Bank became shareholders of the Company. All references to the Company in this annual report for dates or periods prior to April 30, 2004 are references to the Bank.

We offer a wide range of banking and related financial services, including checking, savings, certificates of deposit and other depository services, and commercial, real estate and consumer loans. We are a community-oriented and locally managed financial institution focusing on providing a high level of responsive and personalized services to our customers, delivered in the context of a strong direct relationship with our customers. We conduct our operations from our main office/corporate headquarters location in Chesterfield County, and we have fifteen branch offices.

On October 14, 2008, Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Village Bank completed its merger with River City Bank pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) dated as of March 9, 2008 by and among the Company, the Bank and River City Bank. The merger had previously been approved by both companies’ shareholders at their respective annual meetings on September 30, 2008 as well as the banking regulators. The Merger Agreement sets forth the terms and conditions of the Company’s merger with River City Bank through the merger of River City Bank with and into Village Bank. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, Village Bank acquired all of the outstanding shares of River City Bank. The shareholders of River City Bank received, for each share of River City Bank common stock that they owned immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, either \$11 per share in cash or one share of common stock of the Company. Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, shareholders of River City Bank elected to receive cash, shares of common stock of the Company, or a combination of both, subject to allocation and proration procedures which ensured that 20% of the total merger consideration was in cash and 80% was in common stock of the Company. In addition, at the effective time of the merger, each outstanding option to purchase shares of River City Bank common stock under any stock plans vested pursuant to its terms and was converted into an option to acquire the number of shares of the Company’s common

stock equal to the number of shares of River City Bank common stock underlying the option. The Company issued approximately 1,440,000 shares in the Merger.

Business Strategy

Our current business strategies include the following:

To be a full service financial services provider enabling us to establish and maintain relationships with our customers.

To attract customers by providing the breadth of products offered by larger banks while maintaining the quick response and personal service of a community bank. We will continue to look for opportunities to expand our products and services. In our first nine years of operation, we have established a diverse product line, including commercial, mortgage and consumer loans as well as a full array of deposit products and services.

To increase net income and return to shareholders through moderate loan growth, while controlling the cost of our deposits and noninterest expenses.

To reduce the level of our nonperforming assets. Nonperforming assets, consisting of nonaccrual loans and real estate acquired through foreclosure, reached record highs in 2009 and are having a negative affect on profitability. We have committed significant resources to reduce the level of nonperforming assets.

To expand our capacity to generate noninterest income through the sale of mortgage loans. In 2009 our mortgage company hired additional mortgage loan officers which should expand our ability to originate mortgage loans.

To continue to emphasize commercial banking products and services. Small-business commercial customers are a source of prime-based loans, fee income from cash management services, and low cost deposits, which we need to fund our growth. We have been able to build a commercial business base because our staff of commercial bankers seeks opportunities to network within the local business community. Significant additional growth in this banking area will depend on expanding our lending staff.

Our officers, employees and the directors live and work in our market area. We believe that the existing and future banking market in our community represents an opportunity for locally owned and locally managed community banks. In view of the continuing trend in the financial services industry toward consolidation into larger, sometimes impersonal, statewide, regional and national institutions, the market exists for the personal and customized financial services that an independent, locally owned bank with local decision making can offer. With the flexibility of our smaller size and through an emphasis on relationship banking, including personal attention and service, we can be more responsive to the individual needs of our customers than our larger competitors. As a community oriented and locally managed institution, we make most of our loans in our community and can tailor our services to meet the banking and financial needs of our customers who live and do business in our market.

We provide customers with high quality, responsive and technologically advanced banking services. These services include loans that are priced on a deposit-based relationship, easy access to our decision makers, and quick and innovative action necessary to meet a customer's banking needs.

Location and Market Area

Our overall strategy is to become the premier financial institution serving the Richmond metropolitan area. We recognized early on that to be successful with this strategy, we needed to grow aggressively, expanding our branch network to reach the most people possible. Initially, we focused our operations in Chesterfield County, Virginia, which, despite its potential for business development and population growth, has been underserved by community banks. Chesterfield's resources are very favorable for businesses seeking a profitable and stable environment. The

county offers superb commercial and industrial sites, an educated work force, well-designed and developed infrastructure and a competitive tax structure. Chesterfield has been awarded the U.S. Senate Gold Medallion for

Productivity and Quality. The county has the highest bond rating from three rating agencies - Standard and Poors, Moody's and Fitch.

Once we established a strong banking presence in the lucrative Chesterfield County market with eight branches, we continued the implementation of our strategy by expanding our franchise into other counties in the Richmond Metropolitan area. In addition to Chesterfield County, we have now opened three branches in both Hanover and Henrico Counties and one in Powhatan County, all three along with Chesterfield have seen strong population growth in recent years.

At December 31, 2009, we had fifteen full service banking offices, which were staffed by 54 full-time employees. Our senior staff averages more than 25 years of professional or banking experience. Our principal office, which houses our executive officers and loan department, was opened in August 2008 and is located at 15521 Midlothian Turnpike, Midlothian, Virginia 23113. Our main telephone number is (804) 897-3900. Our main office which includes a branch facility and seven of our branch offices are located in Chesterfield County, with three branch offices in Hanover County, three in Henrico County and one in Powhatan County. Each branch office has been strategically located to be convenient to business and retail customers in the growth sectors of each County.

Historically the Richmond Metropolitan area has been a favorable market for us to provide banking services. However with the depressed economy that started in late 2008 and was prevalent throughout 2009, this market area was negatively impacted by the decline in the housing market, especially in Chesterfield County where residential housing has been an economic driver in the past. Because a substantial part of our loan portfolio is collateralized by residential real estate primarily in Chesterfield County, this decline in the housing market has had a negative impact on our asset quality. The result has been a substantial increase in nonperforming assets, and in turn, a negative impact on profitability. See further discussion of nonperforming assets under Asset Quality in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations following.

Banking Services

We receive deposits, make consumer and commercial loans, and provide other services customarily offered by a commercial banking institution, such as business and personal checking and savings accounts, drive-up windows, and 24-hour automated teller machines. We have not applied for permission to establish a trust department and offer trust services. We are not a member of the Federal Reserve System. Our deposits are insured under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act to the limits provided thereunder.

We offer a full range of short-to-medium term commercial and personal loans. Commercial loans include both secured and unsecured loans for working capital (including inventory and receivables), business expansion (including acquisition of real estate and improvements) and purchase of equipment and machinery. Consumer loans include secured and unsecured loans for financing automobiles, home improvements, education and personal investments. We also originate fixed and variable rate mortgage loans and real estate construction and acquisition loans. Residential loans originated by our mortgage company are usually sold in the secondary mortgage market.

Our lending activities are subject to a variety of lending limits imposed by federal and state law. While differing limits apply in certain circumstances based on the type of loan or the nature of the borrower (including the borrower's relationship to the bank), in general, for loans that are not secured by readily marketable or other permissible collateral, we are subject to a loans-to-one borrower limit of an amount equal to 15% of our capital and surplus. We may voluntarily choose to impose a policy limit on loans to a single borrower that is less than the legal lending limit. We are a member of the Community Bankers' Bank and may participate out portions of loans when loan amounts exceed our legal lending limits or internal lending policies.

Lending Activities

Our primary focus is on making loans to small businesses and consumers in our local market area. In addition, we also provide a select range of real estate finance services. Our primary lending

5

activities are principally directed to our market area.

Loan Portfolio. The net loan portfolio was \$457,047,000 at December 31, 2009, which compares to \$464,663,000 at December 31, 2008. The Company saw a decline in loan growth for the first time in several years. Loans declined by 1.6% in 2009 while loans grew by 44% in 2008 and 36% in 2007. The decline in loan growth in 2009 is a direct result of the prolonged economic downturn while the majority of the loan growth in 2008 came as a result of our merger with River City Bank. Our loan customers are generally located in the Richmond metropolitan area. We do not have any subprime loans in our loan portfolio.

Commercial Real Estate Lending. We finance commercial real estate for our clients and commercial real estate loans represent the largest segment of our loan portfolio. This segment of our loan portfolio has been the largest segment since 2004 due to the significant real estate opportunities in our market area. We generally will finance owner-occupied commercial real estate at an 80% loan-to-value ratio or less. In many cases our loan-to-value ratio is less than 80%, which provides us with a higher level of collateral security. Our underwriting policies and procedures focus on the borrower's ability to repay the loan as well as assessment of the underlying real estate. Risks inherent in managing a commercial real estate loan portfolio relate to sudden or gradual drops in property values as well as changes in the economic climate. We attempt to mitigate those risks by carefully underwriting loans of this type as well as following appropriate loan-to-value standards. Commercial real estate loans (generally owner occupied) at December 31, 2009 were \$240,829,000, or 51.5% of the total loan portfolio.

Residential Mortgage Lending. We make permanent residential mortgage loans for inclusion in the loan portfolio. We seek to retain in our portfolio variable rate loans secured by one-to-four-family residences. However, the majority of permanent residential loans are made by the Bank's subsidiary, Village Bank Mortgage, which sells them to investors in the secondary mortgage market on a pre-sold basis. Given the low fixed rate residential loan market in recent years, this allows us to offer this service to our customers without retaining a significant low rate residential loan portfolio which would be detrimental to earnings as interest rates increase. We originate both conforming and non-conforming single-family loans.

Before we make a loan we evaluate both the borrower's ability to make principal and interest payments and the value of the property that will secure the loan. We make first mortgage loans in amounts up to 90% of the appraised value of the underlying real estate. We retain some second mortgage loans secured by property in our market area, as long as the loan-to-value ratio combined with the first mortgage does not exceed 90%. For conventional loans in excess of 80% loan-to-value, private mortgage insurance is required.

Our current one-to-four-family residential adjustable rate mortgage loans have interest rates that adjust annually after a fixed period of 1, 3 and 5 years, generally in accordance with the rates on comparable U.S. Treasury bills plus a margin. Our adjustable rate mortgage loans generally limit interest rate increases to 2% each rate adjustment period and have an established ceiling rate at the time the loans are made of up to 6% over the original interest rate. There are risks resulting from increased costs to a borrower as a result of the periodic repricing mechanisms of these loans. Despite the benefits of adjustable rate mortgage loans to our asset/liability management, they pose additional risks, primarily because as interest rates rise; the underlying payments by the borrowers rise, increasing the potential for default. At the same time, the marketability of the underlying property may be adversely affected by higher interest rates. At December 31, 2009, \$93,657,000, or 20.0% of our loan portfolio, consisted of residential mortgage loans.

Real Estate Construction Lending. This segment of our loan portfolio is predominately residential in nature and comprised of loans with short duration, meaning maturities of twelve months or less. Residential houses under construction and the underlying land for which the loan was obtained secure the construction loans. Construction lending entails significant risks compared with residential mortgage lending. These risks involve larger loan balances

concentrated with single borrowers with funds advanced upon the security of the land and home under construction, which is estimated prior to the completion of the home. Thus it is more difficult to evaluate accurately the

total loan funds required to complete a project and related loan-to-value ratios. To mitigate these risks we generally limit loan amounts to 80% of appraised values on pre-sold homes and 75% on speculative homes, and obtain first lien positions on the property taken as security. Additionally, we offer real estate construction financing to individuals who have demonstrated the ability to obtain a permanent loan. At December 31, 2009, construction loans totaled \$81,688,000, or 17.5% of the total loan portfolio.

Commercial Business Lending. Our commercial business lending consists of lines of credit, revolving credit facilities, term loans, equipment loans, stand-by letters of credit and unsecured loans. Commercial loans are written for any business purpose including the financing of plant and equipment, carrying accounts receivable, general working capital, contract administration and acquisition activities. Our client base is diverse, and we do not have a concentration of loans in any specific industry segment. Commercial business loans are generally secured by accounts receivable, equipment, inventory and other collateral such as marketable securities, cash value of life insurance, and time deposits. Commercial business loans have a higher degree of risk than residential mortgage loans, but have higher yields. To manage these risks, we generally obtain appropriate collateral and personal guarantees from the borrower's principal owners and monitor the financial condition of business borrowers. The availability of funds for the repayment of commercial business loans may substantially depend on the success of the business itself. Further, the collateral for commercial business loans may depreciate over time and cannot be appraised with as much precision as residential real estate. All commercial loans we make have recourse under the terms of a promissory note. At December 31, 2009, commercial loans totaled \$39,576,000, or 8.5% of the total loan portfolio.

Consumer Installment Lending. We offer various types of secured and unsecured consumer loans. We make consumer loans primarily for personal, family or household purposes as a convenience to our customer base since these loans are not the primary focus of our lending activities. Our general guideline is that a consumer's total debt service should not exceed 40% of the consumer's gross income. Our underwriting standards for consumer loans include making a determination of the applicant's payment history on other debts and an assessment of his or her ability to meet existing obligations and payments on the proposed loan. The stability of an applicant's monthly income may be determined by verification of gross monthly income from primary employment and additionally from any verifiable secondary income. Consumer loans totaled \$11,609,000 at December 31, 2009, which was 2.5% of the total loan portfolio.

Loan Commitments and Contingent Liabilities. In the normal course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities which are disclosed in the footnotes of our annual financial statements, including commitments to extend credit. At December 31, 2009, undisbursed credit lines, standby letters of credit and commitments to extend credit totaled \$72,876,000.

Credit Policies and Administration. We have adopted a comprehensive lending policy, which includes stringent underwriting standards for all types of loans. Our lending staff follows pricing guidelines established periodically by our management team. In an effort to manage risk, all credit decisions in excess of the officers' lending authority must be approved prior to funding by a management loan committee and/or a board of directors-level loan committee. Any loans above \$5,000,000 require full board of directors' approval. Management believes that it employs experienced lending officers, secures appropriate collateral and carefully monitors the financial conditions of our borrowers and the concentration of such loans in the portfolio.

In addition to the normal repayment risks, all loans in our portfolio are subject to the state of the economy and the related effects on the borrower and/or the real estate market. Generally, longer-term loans have periodic interest rate adjustments and/or call provisions. Our senior management monitors the loan portfolio closely to ensure that past due loans are minimized and that potential problem loans are swiftly dealt with. In addition to the internal business processes employed in the credit administration area, the Company utilizes an outside consulting firm to review the loan portfolio. A detailed annual review is performed, with an interim update occurring at least once a year. Results

of the report are used to validate our internal loan ratings and to provide independent

7

commentary on specific loans and loan administration activities.

Lending Limit. As of December 31, 2009, our legal lending limit for loans to one borrower was approximately \$8,059,000. However, we generally will not extend credit to any one individual or entity in excess of \$5,000,000, and, as noted above, any amount over that must be approved by the full Board of Directors.

Investments and Funding

We balance our liquidity needs based on loan and deposit growth via the investment portfolio, purchased federal funds, and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. It is our goal to provide adequate liquidity to support our loan growth. Should we have excess liquidity, investments are used to generate positive earnings. In the event deposit growth does not fully support our loan growth, a combination of investment sales, federal funds and Federal Home Loan Bank advances will be used to augment our funding position. However, we believe that due to a continued depressed economy as well as capital limitations, we will not see any significant growth in our loan portfolio in 2010. Accordingly, any growth in our deposits will be used to increase our investment portfolio or reduce higher cost borrowings.

Our investment portfolio is actively monitored and is classified as “available for sale.” Under such a classification, investment instruments may be sold as deemed appropriate by management. On a monthly basis, the investment portfolio is marked to market via equity as required by generally accepted accounting principles. Additionally, we use the investment portfolio to balance our asset and liability position. We will invest in fixed rate or floating rate instruments as necessary to reduce our interest rate risk exposure.

For securities classified as available-for-sale securities, we will evaluate whether a decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis is other than temporary. If the decline in fair value is judged to be other than temporary, the cost basis of the individual security is written down to fair value as a new cost basis and the amount of the write-down is included in earnings. There were no securities at December 31, 2009 where a decline in market value was considered other than temporary.

Competition

We encounter strong competition from other local commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, mortgage banking firms, consumer finance companies, securities brokerage firms, insurance companies, money market mutual funds and other financial institutions. A number of these competitors are well-established. Competition for loans is keen, and pricing is important. Most of our competitors have substantially greater resources and higher lending limits than ours and offer certain services, such as extensive and established branch networks and trust services, which we do not provide at the present time. Deposit competition also is strong, and we may have to pay higher interest rates to attract deposits. Nationwide banking institutions and their branches have increased competition in our markets, and federal legislation adopted in 1999 allows non-banking companies, such as insurance and investment firms, to establish or acquire banks.

The greater Richmond metropolitan market has experienced several significant mergers or acquisitions involving all four regional banks formerly headquartered in central Virginia over the past fifteen years. Additionally, other larger banks from outside Virginia have acquired local banks. We believe that the Company can capitalize on the recent merger activity and attract customers from those who are dissatisfied with the recently acquired banks.

At June 30, 2009, the latest date such information is available from the FDIC, the Bank’s deposit market share in Chesterfield County was 7.36% and 0.91% in the Richmond MSA.

Regulation

We are subject to regulations of certain federal and state agencies and receive periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities. As a consequence of the extensive regulation of

8

commercial banking activities, our business is susceptible to being affected by state and federal legislation and regulations.

General. The discussion below is only a summary of the principal laws and regulations that comprise the regulatory framework applicable to us. The descriptions of these laws and regulations, as well as descriptions of laws and regulations contained elsewhere herein, do not purport to be complete and are qualified in their entirety by reference to applicable laws and regulations. In recent years, regulatory compliance by financial institutions such as ours has placed a significant burden on us both in costs and employee time commitment.

Bank Holding Company. The Company is a bank holding company under the Federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and is subject to supervision and regulation by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve Board”) and Virginia State Corporation Commission (“SCC”). As a bank holding company, the Company is required to furnish to the Federal Reserve Board an annual report of its operations at the end of each fiscal year and to furnish such additional information as the Federal Reserve Board may require pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act. The Federal Reserve Board, FDIC and SCC also may conduct examinations of the Company and/or its subsidiary bank.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. On November 12, 1999, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act was signed into law. Gramm-Leach-Bliley permits commercial banks to affiliate with investment banks. It also permits bank holding companies which elect financial holding company status to engage in any type of financial activity, including securities, insurance, merchant banking/equity investment and other activities that are financial in nature. The merchant banking provisions allow a bank holding company to make a controlling investment in any kind of company, financial or commercial. These new powers allow a bank to engage in virtually every type of activity currently recognized as financial or incidental or complementary to a financial activity. A commercial bank that wishes to engage in these activities is required to be well capitalized, well managed and have a satisfactory or better Community Reinvestment Act rating. Gramm-Leach-Bliley also allows subsidiaries of banks to engage in a broad range of financial activities that are not permitted for banks themselves.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 implemented a broad range of corporate governance, accounting and reporting measures for companies, like the Company, that have securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Specifically, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the various regulations promulgated under the Act, established, among other things: (i) new requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise, and responsibilities; (ii) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; (iii) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits, including independence provisions that restrict non-audit services that accountants may provide to their audit clients; (iv) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and their directors and executive officers, including accelerated reporting of stock transactions and a prohibition on trading during pension blackout periods; and (v) a range of new and increased civil and criminal penalties for fraud and other violations of the securities laws. In addition, Sarbanes-Oxley required stock exchanges, such as NASDAQ, to institute additional requirements relating to corporate governance in their listing rules.

Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires the Company to include in its Annual Report on Form 10-K a report by management. Management’s internal control report must, among other things, set forth management’s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. In response to unprecedented market turmoil during the third quarter of 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act (“EESA”) of 2008 was enacted on October 3, 2008. EESA authorizes the U.S. Treasury to provide up to \$700 billion to support the financial services industry. Pursuant to the EESA, the U.S. Treasury was initially authorized to use \$350 billion for the Troubled Asset Relief Program

("TARP"). Of this amount, the U.S. Treasury allocated \$250 billion to the TARP Capital Purchase Program. On January 15, 2009, the second \$350 billion of TARP monies was released to the U.S. Treasury. The Secretary's

authority under TARP was to expire on December 31, 2009, unless the Secretary certifies to Congress that extension is necessary provided that his authority may not extend beyond October 3, 2010. On December 9, 2009, the Secretary sent such a letter to the Congress, extending his authority under the TARP through October 3, 2010.

On May 1, 2009, the Company issued preferred shares and a warrant to purchase its common shares to the U.S. Treasury as a participant in the TARP Capital Purchase Program. The amount of capital raised in that transaction was \$14.7 million, approximately three percent of the Company's risk-weighted assets. Prior to May 1, 2012, unless the parent company has redeemed all such preferred shares or the U.S. Treasury has transferred all such preferred shares to a third party, the consent of the U.S. Treasury will be required for us to, among other things, pay a dividend on the Company's common shares or repurchase our common shares or outstanding preferred shares except in limited circumstances. No dividends may be paid on common stock unless dividends have been paid on the senior preferred stock. The senior preferred will not have voting rights other than the right to vote as a class on the issuance of any preferred stock ranking senior, any change in its terms or any merger, exchange or similar transaction that would adversely affect its rights. The senior preferred will also have the right to elect two directors if dividends have not been paid for six periods. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 covering the warrant as required under the terms of the TARP investment, on May 29, 2009. The registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on June 16, 2009.

In addition, until the U.S. Treasury ceases to own any of the Company's securities sold under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, the compensation arrangements for our senior executive officers must comply in all respects with EESA and the rules and regulations there under. In compliance with such requirements, each of our senior executive officers agreed in writing to accept the compensation standards in existence at that time under the TARP Capital Purchase Program and thereby cap or eliminate some of their contractual or legal rights.

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. On February 17, 2009, President Obama signed the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA") into law. ARRA modified the compensation-related limitations contained in the TARP Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP"), created additional compensation-related limitations and directed the Secretary of the Treasury to establish standards for executive compensation applicable to participants in TARP. Thus, the newly enacted compensation-related limitations are applicable to the Company which have been added or modified by ARRA are as follows, which provisions must be included in standards established by the U.S. Treasury:

No Severance Payments. Under ARRA "golden parachutes" were redefined as any severance payment resulting from involuntary termination of employment, or from bankruptcy of the employer, except for payments for services performed or benefits accrued. Consequently under ARRA the Company is prohibited from making any severance payment to our "senior executive officers" (defined in ARRA as the five highest paid executive officers) and our next five most highly compensated employees during the CPP Covered Period.

Recovery of Incentive Compensation if Based on Certain Material Inaccuracies. ARRA also contains the "clawback provision" discussed above but extends its application to any bonus or retention awards and other incentive compensation paid to any of our senior executive officers or next 20 most highly compensated employees during the CPP Covered Period that is later found to have been based on materially inaccurate financial statements or other materially inaccurate measurements of performance

No Compensation Arrangements That Encourage Earnings Manipulation. Under ARRA, during the CPP Covered Period, the Company is not allowed to enter into compensation arrangements that encourage manipulation of the reported earnings of the Company to enhance the compensation of any of our employees.

Limits on Incentive Compensation. ARRA contains a provision that prohibits the payment or accrual of any bonus, retention award or incentive compensation to any of our 5 most highly compensated employees during the CPP Covered Period other than awards of long-term

restricted stock that (i) do not fully vest during the CPP Coverage Period, (ii) have a value not greater than one-third of the total annual compensation of the awardee and (iii) are subject to such other restrictions as determined by the Secretary of the Treasury. The prohibition on bonus, incentive compensation and retention awards does not preclude payments required under written employment contracts entered into on or prior to February 11, 2009.

Compensation Committee Functions. ARRA requires that our Compensation Committee be comprised solely of independent directors and that it meet at least semiannually to discuss and evaluate our employee compensation plans in light of an assessment of any risk posed to us from such compensation plans.

Compliance Certifications. ARRA also requires a written certification by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of our compliance with the provisions of ARRA. These certifications must be contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 and any subsequent year during the Capital Purchase Plan Covered Period the relevant U.S. Treasury regulations are issued.

Treasury Review of Excessive Bonuses Previously Paid. ARRA directs the Secretary of the Treasury to review all compensation paid to our senior executive officers and our next 20 most highly compensated employees to determine whether any such payments were inconsistent with the purposes of ARRA or were otherwise contrary to the public interest. If the Secretary of the Treasury makes such a finding, the Secretary of the Treasury is directed to negotiate with the TARP Capital Purchase Program recipient and the subject employee for appropriate reimbursements to the federal government with respect to the compensation and bonuses.

Say on Pay. Under ARRA the SEC promulgated rules requiring a non-binding say on pay vote by the shareholders on executive compensation at the annual meeting during the CPP Covered Period.

ARRA also provides that the U.S. Treasury, after consultation with the Company's federal regulator, permit the Company at any time to redeem our Series A Preferred Shares at liquidation value. Upon such redemption, the warrant to purchase the parent company's common stock that was issued to the U.S. Treasury would also be repurchased at its then current fair value.

On June 10, 2009, the U.S. Treasury issued guidance on the compensation and corporate governance standards that apply to TARP recipients, as summarized below:

Bonuses accrued or paid before the effective date of the rule adopted by the U.S. Treasury are not subject to the rule's bonus payment limitation. In addition, separation pay for departures that occurred before receipt of TARP assistance also is not subject to the limits of the rule (even if payments continue to be made after effectiveness).

The term "most highly compensated employees" covers all employees, not only executive officers or other policy makers. The determination of the most highly compensated employees is based on annual compensation for the prior year calculated in accordance with SEC disclosure rules.

The rule permits salary paid in property, including stock, so long as it is based on a dollar amount (not a number of shares), is fully vested and accrues as cash salary would. The rule also permits salary paid in stock units in respect of shares of the TARP recipient, or subsidiaries or divisions of the TARP recipient (though not below the subsidiary or

division for which the employee directly provides services). Holding periods also are permitted.

Commission payments for sales, brokerage and asset management services for unrelated customers will not be subject to the bonus restrictions, but only if they are consistent with an existing plan of the TARP recipient in effect before February 17, 2009.

The rule imposes a restrictive set of “best practices” on TARP recipients: (i) the five senior executive officers and the next 20 most highly compensated employees may not receive any tax “gross-up” payment of any kind, including payments to cover taxes due on company-provided benefits or separation payments; (ii) the prohibition on separation payments to the five senior executive officers and the next five most highly compensated employees is extended to payments in connection with a change in control; (iii) the compensation committee must review all employee compensation plans every six months for unnecessary risk and provide an expanded certification including narrative disclosure of its analysis and conclusions; (iv) TARP recipients must exercise their clawback rights unless doing so would be unreasonable; and (v) TARP recipients must adopt a policy reasonably designed to eliminate excessive or luxury expenditures.

An institution will not become subject to the compensation standards merely as a result of acquiring a TARP recipient. In addition, if an acquiror is not subject to the standards immediately after the transaction, any employees of the acquiror (including former employees of the TARP recipient who become acquiror employees as a result of the transaction) will not be subject to the standards.

The “TARP period” during which the compensation standards apply ceases when the obligations arising from financial assistance cease and specifically excludes any period when the only outstanding obligation of a TARP recipient consists of U.S. Treasury warrants to purchase common stock.

Comprehensive Financial Stability Plan of 2009. On February 10, 2009, the Treasury Secretary announced a new comprehensive financial stability plan (the “Financial Stability Plan”), which earmarked the second \$350 billion of unused funds originally authorized under the EESA. The major elements of the Financial Stability Plan included: (i) a capital assistance program that has invested in convertible preferred stock of certain qualifying institutions, (ii) a consumer and business lending initiative to fund new consumer loans, small business loans and commercial mortgage asset-backed securities issuances, (iii) a public/private investment fund intended to leverage public and private capital with public financing to purchase up to \$500 billion to \$1 trillion of legacy “toxic assets” from financial institutions, and (iv) assistance for homeowners by providing up to \$75 billion to reduce mortgage payments and interest rates and establishing loan modification guidelines for government and private programs.

Regulatory Reform. In June 2009, the Obama administration proposed a wide range of regulatory reforms that, if enacted, may have significant effects on the financial services industry in the United States. Significant aspects of the Obama administration’s proposals included, among other things, proposals (i) that any financial firm whose combination of size, leverage and interconnectedness could pose a threat to financial stability be subject to certain enhanced regulatory requirements, (ii) that federal bank regulators require loan originators or sponsors to retain part of the credit risk of securitized exposures, (iii) that there be increased regulation of broker-dealers and investment advisers, (iv) for the creation of a federal consumer financial protection agency that would, among other things, be charged with applying consistent regulations to similar products (such as imposing certain notice and consent requirements on consumer overdraft lines of credit), (v) that there be comprehensive regulation of OTC derivatives, (vi) that the controls on the ability of banking institutions to engage in transactions with affiliates be tightened, and (vii) that financial holding companies be required to be “well-capitalized” and “well-managed” on a consolidated basis.

The Congress, state lawmaking bodies and federal and state regulatory agencies continue to consider a number of wide-ranging and comprehensive proposals for altering the structure, regulation and competitive relationships of the nation’s financial institutions, including rules and regulations related to the broad range of reform proposals set forth by the Obama administration described above. Separate comprehensive financial reform bills intended to address the proposals set forth by the Obama administration were introduced in both houses of Congress in the second half of 2009 and remain under review by both the U.S. House of Representatives and the U.S. Senate. In addition, both the

Supervision (the “Basel Committee”) have issued policy statements regarding proposed significant changes to the regulatory capital framework applicable to banking organizations.

We cannot predict whether or in what form further legislation and/or regulations may be adopted or the extent to which the Company’s business may be affected thereby.

Incentive Compensation. On October 22, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board issued a comprehensive proposal on incentive compensation policies (the “Incentive Compensation Proposal”) intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The Incentive Compensation Proposal, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization’s incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization’s ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization’s board of directors. The Incentive Compensation Proposal also contemplates a detailed review by the Federal Reserve Board of the incentive compensation policies and practices of a number of “large, complex banking organizations”. Any deficiencies in compensation practices that are identified may be incorporated into the organization’s supervisory ratings, which can affect its ability to make acquisitions or perform other actions. The Incentive Compensation Proposal provides that enforcement actions may be taken against a banking organization if its incentive compensation arrangements or related risk-management control or governance processes pose a risk to the organization’s safety and soundness and the organization is not taking prompt and effective measures to correct the deficiencies. In addition, on January 12, 2010, the FDIC announced that it would seek public comment on whether banks with compensation plans that encourage risky behavior should be charged at higher deposit assessment rates than such banks would otherwise be charged.

The scope and content of the U.S. banking regulators’ policies on executive compensation are continuing to develop and are likely to continue evolving in the near future. It cannot be determined at this time whether compliance with such policies will adversely affect the ability of the Company to hire, retain and motivate its and their key employees

Bank Regulation. As a Virginia state-chartered FDIC bank that is not a member of the Federal Reserve System, the Bank is subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the SCC’s Bureau of Financial Institutions (“BFI”). The Bank is also subject to regulation, supervision and examination by the FDIC. Federal law also governs the activities in which we may engage, the investments we may make and the aggregate amount of loans that may be granted to one borrower. Various consumer and compliance laws and regulations also affect our operations. Earnings are affected by general economic conditions, management policies and the legislative and governmental actions of various regulatory authorities, including those referred to above. The following description summarizes some of the laws to which we are subject. The BFI and the FDIC will conduct regular examinations, reviewing such matters as the overall safety and soundness of the institution, the adequacy of loan loss reserves, quality of loans and investments, management practices, compliance with laws, and other aspects of their operations. In addition to these regular examinations, we must furnish the FDIC with periodic reports containing a full and accurate statement of our affairs. Supervision, regulation and examination of banks by these agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors rather than shareholders.

Insurance of Accounts, Assessments and Regulation by the FDIC. Our deposits are insured by the FDIC up to the limits set forth under applicable law, currently \$250,000. Deposits are subject to the deposit insurance assessments of the Bank Insurance Fund (“BIF”) of the FDIC. The FDIC is authorized to prohibit any BIF-insured institution from engaging in any activity that the FDIC determines by regulation or order to pose a serious threat to the respective insurance fund. Also, the FDIC may initiate enforcement actions against banks, after first giving the institution’s primary regulatory authority an opportunity to take such action. The FDIC may terminate the deposit insurance of any

depository institution if it determines, after a hearing, that the institution has

engaged or is engaging in unsafe or unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, order or any condition imposed in writing by the FDIC. It also may suspend deposit insurance temporarily during the hearing process for the permanent termination of insurance if the institution has no tangible capital. If deposit insurance is terminated, the deposits at the institution at the time of termination, less subsequent withdrawals, shall continue to be insured for a period from six months to two years, as determined by the FDIC. We are aware of no existing circumstances that could result in termination of our deposit insurance.

Additionally, on October 14, 2008, after receiving a recommendation from the boards of the FDIC and the Federal Reserve, and consulting with the President, the Secretary of the Treasury signed the systemic risk exception to the FDIC Act, enabling the FDIC to establish its Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (“TLGP”). Under one component of this program, the Transaction Account Guarantee Program (“TAGP”), the FDIC temporarily provided a full guarantee on all non-interest bearing transaction accounts held by any depositor, regardless of dollar amount, through December 31, 2009. The \$250,000 deposit insurance coverage limit was scheduled to return to \$100,000 on January 1, 2010, but was extended by congressional action until December 31, 2013. The TLGP has been extended to cover debt of FDIC-insured institutions issued through April 30, 2010, and the TAGP has been extended through June 30, 2010. The TLGP also guarantees all senior unsecured debt of insured depository institutions or their qualified holding companies issued between October 14, 2008 and June 30, 2009 with a stated maturity greater than 30 days. All eligible institutions were permitted to participate in both of the components of the TLGP without cost for the first 30 days of the program. Following the initial 30 day grace period, institutions were assessed at the rate of ten basis points for transaction account balances in excess of \$250,000 for the transaction account guarantee program and at the rate of either 50, 75, or 100 basis points of the amount of debt issued, depending on the maturity date of the guaranteed debt, for the debt guarantee program. Institutions were required to opt-out of the TLGP if they did not wish to participate. The Company and its applicable subsidiaries elected to participate in both of these programs.

Capital. The FDIC has issued risk-based and leverage capital guidelines applicable to banking organizations they supervise. Under the risk-based capital requirements, we are generally required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance sheet activities, such as standby letters of credit), of 8%. At least half of the total capital is to be composed of common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock, less certain intangibles (“Tier 1 capital”). The remainder may consist of certain subordinated debt, certain hybrid capital instruments and other qualifying preferred stock and a limited amount of the loan loss allowance (“Tier 2 capital” and, together with Tier 1 capital, “total capital”). In addition, each of the Federal bank regulatory agencies has established minimum leverage capital ratio requirements for banking organizations. These requirements provide for a minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted average quarterly assets equal to 4% for banks and bank holding companies that meet certain specified criteria. All other banks and bank holding companies will generally be required to maintain a leverage ratio of at least 100 to 200 basis points above the stated minimum. The risk-based capital standards of the FDIC explicitly identify concentrations of credit risk and the risk arising from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution’s ability to manage these risks, as important factors to be taken into account by the agency in assessing an institution’s overall capital adequacy. The capital guidelines also provide that an institution’s exposure to a decline in the economic value of its capital due to changes in interest rates be considered by the agency as a factor in evaluating a bank’s capital adequacy.

USA Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act became effective on October 26, 2001 and provides for the facilitation of information sharing among governmental entities and financial institutions for the purpose of combating terrorism and money laundering. Among other provisions, the USA Patriot Act permits financial institutions, upon providing notice to the United States Treasury, to share information with one another in order to better identify and report to the federal government concerning activities that may involve money laundering or terrorists’ activities. The USA Patriot Act is considered a significant banking law in terms of information disclosure regarding certain customer transactions. Certain provisions of the USA Patriot Act impose the obligation to establish anti-money

laundering programs, including the development of a customer identification program, and the screening of all customers against any government lists of known or suspected terrorists. Although it does create a reporting obligation and compliance costs, the USA Patriot Act has not materially affected the Bank's products, services or other business activities.

Reporting Terrorist Activities. The Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC), which is a division of the Department of the Treasury, is responsible for helping to insure that United States entities do not engage in transactions with "enemies" of the United States, as defined by various Executive Orders and Acts of Congress. OFAC has sent, and will send, our banking regulatory agencies lists of names of persons and organizations suspected of aiding, harboring or engaging in terrorist acts. If the Bank finds a name on any transaction, account or wire transfer that is on an OFAC list, it must freeze such account, file a suspicious activity report and notify the FBI. The Bank has appointed an OFAC compliance officer to oversee the inspection of its accounts and the filing of any notifications. The Bank actively checks high-risk OFAC areas such as new accounts, wire transfers and customer files. The Bank performs these checks utilizing software, which is updated each time a modification is made to the lists provided by OFAC and other agencies of Specially Designated Nationals and Blocked Persons.

Other Safety and Soundness Regulations. There are a number of obligations and restrictions imposed on depository institutions by federal law and regulatory policy that are designed to reduce potential loss exposure to the depositors of such depository institutions and to the FDIC insurance funds in the event the depository institution becomes in danger of default or is in default. The Federal banking agencies also have broad powers under current Federal law to take prompt corrective action to resolve problems of insured depository institutions. The extent of these powers depends upon whether the institution in question is well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized or critically undercapitalized, as defined by the law. Federal regulatory authorities also have broad enforcement powers over us, including the power to impose fines and other civil and criminal penalties, and to appoint a receiver in order to conserve the assets of any such institution for the benefit of depositors and other creditors. Village Bank is currently classified as well capitalized financial institution.

Loans-to-One Borrower. Under applicable laws and regulations the amount of loans and extensions of credit which may be extended by a bank to any one borrower, including related entities, generally may not exceed 15% of the unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus of the institution. Loans in an amount equal to an additional 10% of unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus also may be made to a borrower if the loans are fully secured by readily marketable securities. An institution's "unimpaired capital and unimpaired surplus" includes, among other things, the amount of its core capital and supplementary capital included in its total capital under Federal regulations.

Community Reinvestment. The requirements of the Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA") are applicable to the Company. The CRA imposes on financial institutions an affirmative and ongoing obligation to meet the credit needs of their local communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those institutions. A financial institution's efforts in meeting community credit needs currently are evaluated as part of the examination process pursuant to 12 assessment factors. These factors also are considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility.

Economic and Monetary Policies. Our operations are affected not only by general economic conditions, but also by the economic and monetary policies of various regulatory authorities. In particular, the Federal Reserve regulates money, credit and interest rates in order to influence general economic conditions. These policies have a significant influence on overall growth and distribution of loans, investments and deposits and affect interest rates charged on loans or paid for time and savings deposits. Federal Reserve monetary policies have had a significant effect on the operating results of commercial banks in the past and are expected to continue to do so in the future.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, the Company and its subsidiaries had a total of 194 full-time employees and 13 part-time employees. None of the Company's employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. The Company considers its relations with its employees to be good.

Control by Certain Shareholders

The Company has one shareholder who owns 8.38% of its outstanding Common Stock. As a group, the Board of Directors and the Company's Executive Officers control 16.42% of the outstanding Common Stock of the Company as of March 1, 2009. Accordingly, such persons, if they were to act in concert, would not have majority control of the Bank and would not have the ability to approve certain fundamental corporate transactions or the election of the Board of Directors.

Additional Information

The Company files annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission. You may read and copy any reports, statements and other information we file at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operations of the Public Reference Room. Our SEC filings are also available on the SEC's Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>).

The Company's common stock trades under the symbol "VBFC" on the Nasdaq Capital Market. You may also read reports, proxy statements and other information we file at the offices of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., 1735 K Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20006.

The Company's Internet address is www.villagebank.com. At that address, we make available, free of charge, the Company's annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act (see "Investor Relations" section of website), as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

In addition, we will provide, at no cost, paper or electronic copies of our reports and other filings made with the SEC (except for exhibits). Requests should be directed to C. Harril Whitehurst, Jr., Chief Financial Officer, Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp., PO Box 330, Midlothian, VA 23113.

The information on the websites listed above is not and should not be considered to be part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in the parent company's common stock is subject to risks inherent to the Company's business, including the material risks and uncertainties that are described below. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below together with all of the other information included or incorporated by reference in this report. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on, or that management currently deems immaterial, may also impair the Company's business operations. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors. If any of the following risks adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition or

results of operations, the value of the parent company's common stock could decline significantly and you could lose all or part of your investment.

The Company's business may be adversely affected by conditions in the financial markets and economic conditions generally.

Since December 2007, the United States has experienced a recession and a slowing of economic activity. Business activity across a wide range of industries and regions is greatly reduced, and local governments and many businesses are in serious difficulty, due to the lack of consumer spending and the lack of liquidity in the credit markets. Unemployment has increased significantly.

The financial services industry and the securities markets generally were materially and adversely affected by significant declines in the values of nearly all asset classes and by a serious lack of liquidity. This was initially triggered by declines in home prices and the values of subprime mortgages, but spread to all mortgage and real estate asset classes, to leveraged bank loans and to nearly all asset classes, including equities. The global markets have been characterized by substantially increased volatility and short selling and an overall loss of investor confidence, initially in financial institutions, but more recently in companies in a number of other industries and in the broader markets.

Market conditions have also led to the failure or merger of a number of prominent financial institutions. Financial institution failures or near-failures have resulted in further losses as a consequence of defaults on securities issued by them and defaults under contracts entered into with such entities as counterparties. Furthermore, declining asset values, defaults on mortgages and consumer loans, and the lack of market and investor confidence, as well as other factors, have all combined to increase credit default swap spreads, to cause rating agencies to lower credit ratings, and to otherwise increase the cost of and decrease the availability of liquidity, despite very significant declines in Federal Reserve borrowing rates and other government actions. Some banks and other lenders have suffered significant losses and have become reluctant to lend, even on a secured basis, due to the increased risk of default and the impact of declining asset values on the value of collateral. The foregoing has significantly weakened the strength and liquidity of some financial institutions worldwide. In 2008 and 2009, the U.S. Government, the Federal Reserve and other regulators took numerous steps to increase liquidity and to restore investor confidence, including investing approximately \$200 billion in the equity of other banking organizations, but asset values have continued to decline and access to liquidity continues to be very limited.

Although the rate of increase in unemployment and the rate of decline in housing prices have slowed and the consumer spending and liquidity in the credit markets have been somewhat improved towards the end of 2009, the economic slowdown generally continues and there can be no assurance such indicia of recovery would herald any prolonged period of economic recovery and growth in 2010.

The Company's financial performance generally, and in particular the ability of borrowers to pay interest on and repay the principal of outstanding loans and the value of collateral securing those loans, is highly dependent upon the business environment in the market where the Company operates, the Richmond Metropolitan area. A favorable business environment is generally characterized by, among other factors, economic growth, efficient capital markets, low inflation, high business and investor confidence, and strong business earnings. Unfavorable or uncertain economic and market conditions can be caused by: declines in economic growth, business activity, or investor or business confidence; limitations on the availability or increases in the cost of credit and capital; increases in inflation or interest rates; natural disasters; or a combination of these or other factors. Overall, during 2009, the business environment was adverse for many households and businesses in the United States and worldwide. The business environment in the Richmond Metropolitan area, the United States and worldwide may continue to deteriorate for the foreseeable future. There can be no assurance that these conditions will improve in the near term. Such conditions could adversely affect the credit quality of the Company's loans, results of operations and financial condition.

Improvements in economic indicators disproportionately affecting the financial services industry may lag improvements in the general economy.

Should the stabilization of the U.S. economy lead to a general economic recovery, the improvement of certain economic indicators, such as unemployment and real estate asset values and rents, may nevertheless continue to lag behind the overall economy. These economic indicators typically affect certain industries, such as real estate and financial services, more significantly. For example, improvements in commercial real estate fundamentals typically lag broad economic recovery by 12 to 18 months. The Company's clients include entities active in these industries. Furthermore, financial services companies with a substantial lending business are dependent upon the ability of their borrowers to make debt service payments on loans. Should unemployment or real estate asset values fail to recover for an extended period of time, the Company could be adversely affected.

Our results of operations are significantly affected by the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans.

A significant source of risk is the possibility that losses will be sustained because borrowers, guarantors and related parties may fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loan agreements. Most of the Company's loans are secured but some loans are unsecured. With respect to the secured loans, the collateral securing the repayment of these loans may be insufficient to cover the obligations owed under such loans. Collateral values may be adversely affected by changes in economic, environmental and other conditions, including declines in the value of real estate, changes in interest rates, changes in monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government, widespread disease, terrorist activity, environmental contamination and other external events. In addition, collateral appraisals that are out of date or that do not meet industry recognized standards may create the impression that a loan is adequately collateralized when it is not. The Company has adopted underwriting and credit monitoring procedures and policies, including regular reviews of appraisals and borrower financial statements, that management believes are appropriate to mitigate the risk of loss.

As of December 31, 2009, approximately 77.5% of the Company's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because the Company's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial, construction and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in nonperforming loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Further, if repurchase and indemnity demands with respect to the Company's loan portfolio increase, its liquidity, results of operations and financial condition will be adversely affected.

The Company's allowance for loan losses may be insufficient.

The Company maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio.

The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions and unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires the Company to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Continuing

deterioration of economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional

problem loans and other factors, both within and outside the Company's control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Further, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, the Company will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in interest rates may have an adverse effect on the Company's profitability.

The operations of financial institutions such as the Company are dependent to a large degree on net interest income, which is the difference between interest income from loans and investments and interest expense on deposits and borrowings. An institution's net interest income is significantly affected by market rates of interest that in turn are affected by prevailing economic conditions, by the fiscal and monetary policies of the federal government and by the policies of various regulatory agencies. The Federal Reserve Board (FRB) regulates the national money supply in order to manage recessionary and inflationary pressures. In doing so, the FRB may use techniques such as engaging in open market transactions of U.S. Government securities, changing the discount rate and changing reserve requirements against bank deposits. The use of these techniques may also affect interest rates charged on loans and paid on deposits. The interest rate environment, which includes both the level of interest rates and the shape of the U.S. Treasury yield curve, has a significant impact on net interest income. Like all financial institutions, the Company's balance sheet is affected by fluctuations in interest rates. Volatility in interest rates can also result in disintermediation, which is the flow of deposits away from financial institutions into direct investments, such as US Government and corporate securities and other investment vehicles, including mutual funds, which, because of the absence of federal insurance premiums and reserve requirements, generally pay higher rates of return than bank deposit products. See "Item 7: Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and "Item 7A: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk".

Declines in value may adversely impact the investment portfolio.

We have not realized any non-cash, other-than-temporary impairment charges during 2009 as a result of reductions in fair value below original cost of any investments in our investment portfolio. However, we could be required to record future impairment charges on our investment securities if they suffer any declines in value that are considered other-than-temporary. Considerations used to determine other-than-temporary impairment status to individual holdings include the length of time the stock has remained in an unrealized loss position, and the percentage of unrealized loss compared to the carrying cost of the stock, dividend reduction or suspension, market analyst reviews and expectations, and other pertinent news that would affect expectations for recovery or further decline.

The Company may not be able to meet the cash flow requirements of its depositors and borrowers or meet its operating cash needs.

Liquidity is the ability to meet cash flow needs on a timely basis at a reasonable cost. The liquidity of the Company is used to service its debt. The liquidity of the Bank is used to make loans and leases and to repay deposit liabilities as they become due or are demanded by customers. Liquidity policies and limits are established by the board of directors. The overall liquidity position of the Company and the Bank are regularly monitored to ensure that various alternative strategies exist to cover unanticipated events that could affect liquidity. Funding sources include Federal funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and non-core deposits. The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, which provides funding through advances to members that are collateralized with mortgage-related assets.

If the Company is unable to access any of these funding sources when needed, we might be unable to meet customers' needs, which could adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, and level of regulatory-qualifying capital

Negative perceptions associated with the Company's continued participation in the U.S. Treasury's Capital Purchase Program may adversely affect its ability to retain customers, attract investors and compete for new business opportunities.

Several financial institutions which participated in the TARP Capital Purchase Program received approval from the U.S. Treasury to exit the program during the second half of 2009. These institutions have, or are in the process of, repurchasing the preferred stock and repurchasing or auctioning the warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury as part of the program. The Company has not yet requested the U.S. Treasury's approval to repurchase the preferred stock and warrant from the U.S. Treasury. In order to repurchase one or both securities, in whole or in part, the Company must establish that it has satisfied all of the conditions to repurchase and must obtain the approval of the U.S. Treasury. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to repurchase these securities from the U.S. Treasury. The Company's customers, employees and counterparties in its current and future business relationships may draw negative implications regarding the strength of the Company as a financial institution based on its continued participation in the program following the exit of one or more of its competitors or other financial institutions. Any such negative perceptions may impair the Company's ability to effectively compete with other financial institutions for business or to retain high performing employees. If this were to occur, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations may be adversely affected, perhaps materially.

The soundness of other financial institutions could adversely affect us.

Our ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty or other relationships. We have exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and we routinely execute transactions with counterparties in the financial industry. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial services institutions, or the financial services industry generally, have led to market-wide liquidity problems and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions expose us to credit risk in the event of default of our counterparty or client. In addition, our credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by us cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the financial instrument exposure due us. There is no assurance that any such losses would not materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

Changes in economic conditions and related uncertainties may have an adverse affect on the Company's profitability.

Commercial banking is affected, directly and indirectly, by local, domestic, and international economic and political conditions, and by governmental monetary and fiscal policies. Conditions such as inflation, recession, unemployment, volatile interest rates, tight money supply, real estate values, international conflicts and other factors beyond the Company's control may adversely affect the potential profitability of the Company. Any future rises in interest rates, while increasing the income yield on the Company's earnings assets, may adversely affect loan demand and the cost of funds and, consequently, the profitability of the Company. Any future decreases in interest rates may adversely affect the Company's profitability because such decreases may reduce the amounts that the Company may earn on its assets. A continued recessionary climate could result in the delinquency of outstanding loans. Management does not expect any one particular factor to have a material effect on the Company's results of operations. However, downtrends in several areas, including real estate, construction and consumer spending, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's profitability.

The supervision and regulation to which the Company is subject can be a competitive disadvantage.

The operations of the Company and the Bank are heavily regulated and will be affected by present and future legislation and by the policies established from time to time by various federal and state regulatory authorities. In particular, the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have had a significant effect on the operating results of banks in the past, and are expected to continue to do so in the future. Among the instruments of monetary policy used by the Federal Reserve to implement its objectives are changes in the discount rate charged on bank borrowings and changes in the reserve requirements on bank deposits. It is not possible to predict what changes, if any, will be made to the monetary policies of the Federal Reserve or to existing federal and state legislation or the effect that such changes may have on the future business and earnings prospects of the Company.

The Company is subject to changes in federal and state tax laws as well as changes in banking and credit regulations, accounting principles and governmental economic and monetary policies.

During the past several years, significant legislative attention has been focused on the regulation and deregulation of the financial services industry. Non-bank financial institutions, such as securities brokerage firms, insurance companies and money market funds, have been permitted to engage in activities that compete directly with traditional bank business.

Regulation of the financial services industry is undergoing major changes, and future legislation could increase our cost of doing business or harm our competitive position.

In 2009, many emergency government programs enacted in 2008 in response to the financial crisis and the recession slowed or wound down, and global regulatory and legislative focus has generally moved to a second phase of broader reform and a restructuring of financial institution regulation. Legislators and regulators in the United States are currently considering a wide range of proposals that, if enacted, could result in major changes to the way banking operations are regulated. Some of these major changes may take effect as early as 2010, and could materially impact the profitability of our business, the value of assets we hold or the collateral available for our loans, require changes to business practices or force us to discontinue businesses and expose us to additional costs, taxes, liabilities, enforcement actions and reputational risk.

Certain reform proposals under consideration could result in our becoming subject to stricter capital requirements and leverage limits, and could also affect the scope, coverage, or calculation of capital, all of which could require us to reduce business levels or to raise capital, including in ways that may adversely impact our shareholders or creditors. In addition, we anticipate the enactment of certain reform proposals under consideration that would introduce stricter substantive standards, oversight and enforcement of rules governing consumer financial products and services, with particular emphasis on retail extensions of credit and other consumer-directed financial products or services. We cannot predict whether new legislation will be enacted and, if enacted, the effect that it, or any regulations, would have on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

The competition the Company faces is increasing and may reduce our customer base and negatively impact the Company's results of operations.

There is significant competition among banks in the market areas served by the Company. In addition, as a result of deregulation of the financial industry, the Bank also competes with other providers of financial services such as savings and loan associations, credit unions, consumer finance companies, securities firms, insurance companies, the mutual funds industry, full service brokerage firms and discount brokerage firms, some of which are subject to less extensive regulations than the Company with respect to the products and services they provide. Some of the Company's competitors have greater resources than the Corporation and, as a result, may have higher lending limits

and may offer other services not offered by our Company. See “Item 1: Business — Competition.”

Our deposit insurance premium could be substantially higher in the future which would have an adverse effect on our future earnings.

The FDIC insures deposits at FDIC-insured financial institutions, including Village Bank. The FDIC charges the insured financial institutions premiums to maintain the Deposit Insurance Fund at a certain level. Current economic conditions have increased bank failures and expectations for further failures, which may result in the FDIC making more payments from the Deposit Insurance Fund and, in connection therewith, raising deposit premiums. In addition, the FDIC instituted two temporary programs to further insure customer deposits at FDIC insured banks: deposit accounts are currently insured up to \$250,000 per customer (up from \$100,000) and non-interest bearing transactional accounts at institutions participating in the Transaction Account Guarantee Program are currently fully insured (unlimited coverage). These programs have placed additional stress on the Deposit Insurance Fund.

In February 2009, the FDIC finalized a rule that increases premiums paid by insured institutions and makes other changes to the assessment system. Due to mounting losses from failed banking institutions in 2009, the FDIC adopted an interim rule that imposed an emergency special assessment in the second quarter of 2009 and further gave the FDIC authority to impose additional emergency special assessments of up to 10 basis points in subsequent quarters. In addition, on November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a rule requiring banks to prepay three years' worth of premiums to replenish the depleted fund. The Company is generally unable to control the amount of premiums that it is required to pay for FDIC insurance. If there are additional bank or financial institution failures the Company may be required to pay even higher FDIC premiums than the recently increased levels. Further, on January 12, 2010, the FDIC requested comments on a proposed rule tying assessment rates of FDIC-insured institutions to the institution's employee compensation programs. The exact requirements of such a rule are not yet known, but such a rule could increase the amount of premiums the Company must pay for FDIC insurance. These announced increases and any future increases or required prepayments of FDIC insurance premiums may adversely impact its earnings.

Concern of customers over deposit insurance may cause a decrease in deposits.

With the continuing news about bank failures, customers are increasingly concerned about the extent to which their deposits are insured by the FDIC. Customers may withdraw deposits in an effort to ensure that the amount they have on deposit with us is fully insured. Decreases in deposits may adversely affect our funding costs and net income.

Fluctuations in the stock market could negatively affect the value of the Company's common stock.

The Company's common stock trades under the symbol "VBFC" on the Nasdaq Capital Market. There can be no assurance that a regular and active market for the Common Stock will develop in the foreseeable future. See "Item 5: Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities." Investors in the shares of common stock may, therefore, be required to assume the risk of their investment for an indefinite period of time. Current lack of investor confidence in large banks may keep investors away from the banking sector as a whole, causing unjustified deterioration in the trading prices of well-capitalized community banks such as the Company.

If the Company fails to maintain an effective system of internal controls, it may not be able to accurately report its financial results or prevent fraud. As a result, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in the Company's financial reporting, which could harm its business and the trading price of its common stock.

The Company has established a process to document and evaluate its internal controls over financial reporting in order to satisfy the requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related regulations, which require annual management assessments of the effectiveness of the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. In this regard,

management has dedicated internal resources, engaged outside consultants and adopted a detailed work plan to (i) assess and document the adequacy of internal controls over financial reporting, (ii) take steps to improve control processes, where appropriate, (iii) validate through testing that controls are functioning as documented and (iv) implement a continuous reporting and improvement process for internal control over financial reporting. The Company's efforts to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the related regulations regarding the Company's assessment of its internal controls over financial reporting. The Company's management and audit committee have given the Company's compliance with Section 404 a high priority. The Company cannot be certain that these measures will ensure that the Company implements and maintains adequate controls over its financial processes and reporting in the future. Any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation, could harm the Company's operating results or cause the Company to fail to meet its reporting obligations. If the Company fails to correct any issues in the design or operating effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting or fails to prevent fraud, current and potential shareholders could lose confidence in the Company's financial reporting, which could harm its business and the trading price of its common stock.

The Company is subject to a variety of operational risks, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, and the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders.

The Company is exposed to many types of operational risks, including reputational risk, legal and compliance risk, the risk of fraud or theft by employees or outsiders, and unauthorized transactions by employees or operational errors, including clerical or record-keeping errors or those resulting from faulty or disabled computer or telecommunications systems. Negative public opinion can result from its actual or alleged conduct in any number of activities, including lending practices, corporate governance and acquisitions and from actions taken by government regulators and community organizations in response to those activities. Negative public opinion can adversely affect its ability to attract and keep customers and can expose the Company to litigation and regulatory action.

Because the nature of the financial services business involves a high volume of transactions, certain errors may be repeated or compounded before they are discovered and successfully rectified. The Company's necessary dependence upon automated systems to record and process its transaction volume may further increase the risk that technical flaws or employee tampering or manipulation of those systems will result in losses that are difficult to detect. The Company also may be subject to disruptions of its operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond its control (for example, computer viruses or electrical or telecommunications outages), which may give rise to disruption of service to customers and to financial loss or liability. The Company is further exposed to the risk that its external vendors may be unable to fulfill their contractual obligations (or will be subject to the same risk of fraud or operational errors by their respective employees as the Company is) and to the risk that its (or its vendors') business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate. The occurrence of any of these risks could result in a diminished ability of the Company to operate its business, potential liability to clients, reputational damage and regulatory intervention, which could adversely affect its business, financial condition and results of operations, perhaps materially.

The Company relies on other companies to provide key components of its business infrastructure.

Third parties provide key components of the Company's business infrastructure, for example, system support, and Internet connections and network access. While the Company has selected these third party vendors carefully, it does not control their actions. Any problems caused by these third parties, including those resulting from their failure to provide services for any reason or their poor performance of services, could adversely affect its ability to deliver products and services to its customers and otherwise conduct its business. Replacing these third party vendors could also entail significant delay and expense.

The Company may have to rely on dividends from the Bank.

The Company is a separate and distinct legal entity from its subsidiary bank. Although the Company has never received any dividends from the Bank, it is entitled to receive dividends in accordance with federal and state regulations. These federal and state regulations limit the amount of dividends that the Bank may pay to the Company. In the event the Bank is unable to pay dividends to the Company, the Company may not be able to service debt, pay obligations or pay dividends on the Company's common stock. The inability of the Company to receive dividends from the Bank could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Bank may not be able to remain well capitalized

Federal regulatory agencies are required by law to adopt regulations defining five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, under capitalized, significantly under capitalized, and critically under capitalized. The Bank meets the criteria to be categorized as a "well capitalized" institution as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. However, the Bank may not be able to remain well capitalized for various reasons including a change in the mix of assets or a lack of profitability. When capital falls below the "well capitalized" requirement, consequences can include: new branch approval could be withheld; more frequent examinations by the FDIC; brokered deposits cannot be renewed without a waiver from the FDIC; and other potential limitations as described in FDIC Rules and Regulations sections 337.6 and 303, and FDIC Act section 29. In addition, the FDIC insurance assessment increases when an institution falls below the "well capitalized" classification.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our executive and administrative offices are owned by the Company and are located at 15521 Midlothian Turnpike, Midlothian, Virginia 23113 in Chesterfield County where an 80,000 square foot corporate headquarters and operations center was opened in August 2008. The Company and the Bank currently occupy approximately forty percent of the space, which includes a full service branch location leased by the Bank. The Company leases the other portions to unrelated parties. In addition to leasing the branch to the Bank, the Bank's wholly-owned subsidiary, Village Bank Mortgage Corporation, also leases space in the building from the Company.

In addition to the branch in the corporate headquarters and operations center, the Bank owns 9 full service branch buildings including the land on those buildings and leases an additional five full service branch buildings. Eight of our branch offices are located in Chesterfield County, with three branch offices in Hanover County, three in Henrico County and one in Powhatan County.

Our properties are maintained in good operating condition and are suitable and adequate for our operational needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the course of its operations, the Company may become a party to legal proceedings. There are no material pending legal proceedings to which the Company is a party or of which the property of the Company is subject.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

24

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Shares of the Company's Common Stock trade on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol "VBFC". The high and low prices of shares of the Company's Common Stock for the periods indicated were as follows:

| | High | Low |
|---------|----------|---------|
| 2008 | | |
| 1st | | |
| quarter | \$ 11.47 | \$ 9.25 |
| 2nd | | |
| quarter | 10.99 | 8.08 |
| 3rd | | |
| quarter | 9.58 | 6.11 |
| 4th | | |
| quarter | 8.43 | 3.38 |
| 2009 | | |
| 1st | | |
| quarter | \$ 5.00 | \$ 3.77 |
| 2nd | | |
| quarter | 4.95 | 4.12 |
| 3rd | | |
| quarter | 5.98 | 3.85 |
| 4th | | |
| quarter | 4.43 | 2.01 |

Dividends

The Company has not paid any dividends on its Common Stock. We intend to retain all of our earnings to finance the Company's operations and we do not anticipate paying cash dividends for the foreseeable future. Any decision made by the Board of Directors to declare dividends in the future will depend on the Company's future earnings, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors deemed relevant by the Board. Banking regulations limit the amount of cash dividends that may be paid without prior approval of the Bank's regulatory agencies. Such dividends are limited to the lesser of the Bank's retained earnings or the net income of the previous two years combined with the current year net income. In addition, for as long as the U.S. Treasury holds shares of our preferred stock, the consent of the U.S. Treasury will be required prior to the payment of any dividends on our common stock.

Holders

At March 3, 2010, there were approximately 1,634 holders of record of Common Stock.

For information concerning the Company's Equity Compensation Plans, see "Item 12: Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters".

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

None

Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company did not repurchase any of its Common Stock during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Performance Graph

The following graph shows the yearly percentage change in the Company's cumulative total shareholder return on its common stock from December 31, 2004 to December 31, 2009 compared with the NASDAQ Composite Index and peer group indexes based on asset size.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 12/31/04 | 12/31/05 | 12/31/06 | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 |
| Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. | 100.00 | 110.78 | 122.41 | 92.24 | 38.79 | 20.11 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 101.37 | 111.03 | 121.92 | 72.49 | 104.31 |
| SNL Bank \$250M-\$500M | 100.00 | 106.17 | 110.93 | 90.16 | 51.49 | 47.66 |
| SNL Bank \$500M-\$1B | 100.00 | 104.29 | 118.61 | 95.04 | 60.90 | 58.00 |

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | |
| At year-end | | | | | |
| | \$ | | \$ | | |
| Assets | 602,962,943 | \$ 572,407,993 | 393,263,999 | \$ 291,217,760 | \$214,974,952 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 467,568,547 | 470,722,286 | 327,343,013 | 241,051,025 | 172,378,272 |
| Investment securities | 54,857,211 | 24,300,962 | 13,711,399 | 12,787,644 | 2,981,903 |
| Goodwill | - | 7,422,141 | 689,108 | 689,108 | 689,108 |
| Deposits | 498,285,124 | 466,232,043 | 339,297,258 | 253,309,881 | 186,752,807 |
| Borrowings | 52,593,521 | 57,726,898 | 24,736,569 | 9,859,265 | 9,641,810 |
| Stockholders' equity | 48,941,989 | 46,162,574 | 26,893,299 | 25,644,115 | 17,151,893 |
| Number of shares outstanding | 4,230,628 | 4,229,372 | 2,575,985 | 2,562,088 | 1,854,618 |
| Average for the year | | | | | |
| Assets | 600,034,107 | 442,604,327 | 337,750,179 | 246,562,178 | 184,498,899 |
| Stockholders' equity | 56,089,455 | 31,067,165 | 27,798,307 | 22,278,897 | 16,410,583 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 4,230,462 | 3,013,175 | 2,569,529 | 2,269,092 | 1,800,061 |
| Income Statement Data | | | | | |
| | | | \$ | | |
| Interest income | \$ 33,195,973 | \$ 29,072,146 | 25,665,235 | \$ 19,019,111 | \$ 11,925,133 |
| Interest expense | 16,407,679 | 15,969,783 | 13,806,715 | 8,786,600 | 4,877,376 |
| Net interest income | 16,788,294 | 13,102,363 | 11,858,520 | 10,232,511 | 7,047,757 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,220,000 | 2,005,633 | 1,187,482 | 796,006 | 460,861 |
| Noninterest income | 8,285,100 | 4,184,727 | 2,666,956 | 2,482,793 | 2,890,316 |
| Goodwill impairment | 7,422,141 | - | - | - | - |
| Noninterest expense | 20,915,737 | 14,572,271 | 11,821,232 | 9,817,089 | 7,778,004 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (4,973,116) | 241,097 | 515,699 | 702,990 | 468,025 |
| | | | \$ | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (11,511,368) | \$ 468,089 | 1,001,063 | \$ 1,399,219 | \$ 1,231,183 |
| Per Share Data | | | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share - basic | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.68 |
| Earnings (loss) per share - diluted | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.59 | \$ 0.61 |
| | \$ 8.07 | \$ 10.91 | | \$ 10.01 | \$ 9.25 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Book value at year-end | | | \$ | | |
| | | | 10.44 | | |
| Performance Ratios | | | | | |
| Return on average assets | (1.92)% | 0.11% | 0.30% | 0.57% | 0.67% |
| Return on average equity | (20.52)% | 1.51% | 3.60% | 6.28% | 7.50% |
| Net interest margin | 3.13% | 3.25% | 3.80% | 4.48% | 4.15% |
| Efficiency (1) | 83.42% | 84.30% | 81.38% | 77.21% | 78.26% |
| Loans to deposits | 93.84% | 100.96% | 96.48% | 95.16% | 92.30% |
| Equity to assets | 8.12% | 8.06% | 6.84% | 8.81% | 7.98% |
| Asset Quality Ratios | | | | | |
| ALLL to loans at year-end | 2.25% | 1.29% | 1.06% | 1.06% | 1.12% |
| ALLL to nonaccrual loans | 49.37% | 71.05% | 134.20% | 91.12% | 105.28% |
| Nonperforming assets to year-end loans | 7.95% | 2.43% | 0.87% | 1.16% | 1.06% |
| Net charge-offs to average loans | 1.84% | 0.60% | 0.10% | 0.12% | 0.03% |

(1) Efficiency ratio is computed by dividing noninterest expense by the sum of net interest income and noninterest income.

The goodwill impairment write-off is excluded in 2009 from noninterest expense.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion is intended to assist readers in understanding and evaluating the financial condition, changes in financial condition and the results of operations of the Company, consisting of the parent company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, the Bank. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and other financial information contained elsewhere in this report.

Caution About Forward-Looking Statements

In addition to historical information, this report may contain forward-looking statements. For this purpose, any statement, that is not a statement of historical fact may be deemed to be a forward-looking statement. These forward-looking statements may include statements regarding profitability, liquidity, allowance for loan losses, interest rate sensitivity, market risk, growth strategy and financial and other goals. Forward-looking statements often use words such as "believes," "expects," "plans," "may," "will," "should," "projects," "contemplates," "anticipates," "forecasts" or other words of similar meaning. You can also identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks and uncertainties, and actual results could differ materially from historical results or those anticipated by such statements.

There are many factors that could have a material adverse effect on the operations and future prospects of the Company including, but not limited to, changes in interest rates, general economic conditions, the quality or composition of the loan or investment portfolios, the level of nonperforming assets and charge-offs, the local real estate market, volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets, government intervention in the U.S. financial system, demand for loan products, deposit flows, competition, and accounting principles, policies and guidelines. Monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government could also adversely effect the Company; such policies include the impact of any regulations or programs implemented pursuant to the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA), the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) and other policies of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, U.S. Treasury and the Federal Reserve Board.

The Company experienced significant losses during the year related to the current economic climate. A continuation of the turbulence in significant portions of the global financial markets, particularly if it worsens, could further impact the Company's performance, both directly by affecting revenues and the value of the Company's assets and liabilities, and indirectly by affecting the Company's counterparties and the economy generally. Dramatic declines in the housing market in the past year have resulted in significant write-downs of asset values by financial institutions in the United States. Concerns about the stability of the U.S. financial markets generally have reduced the availability of funding to certain financial institutions, leading to a tightening of credit, reduction of business activity, and increased market volatility. It is not clear at this time what impact liquidity and funding initiatives of the Treasury and other bank regulatory agencies that have been announced or any additional programs that may be initiated in the future will have on the financial markets and the financial services industry. The extreme levels of volatility and limited credit availability currently being experienced could continue to affect the U.S. banking industry and the broader U.S. and global economies, which would have an effect on all financial institutions, including the Company.

These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating the forward-looking statements contained herein, and readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made. In addition, past results of operations are not necessarily indicative of future results.

Recent Market Developments

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, on October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the “EESA”) was signed into law. Pursuant to EESA, the United States Department of the Treasury (the “U.S. Treasury”) was given the authority to, among other things, purchase up to \$700 billion of mortgages, mortgage-backed securities and certain other financial instruments from financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets.

On October 14, 2008, the Secretary of the Department of the Treasury announced that the U.S. Treasury will purchase equity stakes in a wide variety of banks and thrifts. Under the program, known as the Troubled Asset Relief Program (“TARP”) Capital Purchase Program, from the \$700 billion authorized by EESA, the U.S. Treasury made \$250 billion of capital available to U.S. financial institutions in the form of preferred stock. In conjunction with the purchase of preferred stock, the U.S. Treasury received, from participating financial institutions, warrants to purchase common stock with an aggregate market price equal to 15% of the preferred investment. Participating financial institutions were required to adopt the U.S. Treasury’s standards for executive compensation and corporate governance for the period during which the U.S. Treasury holds equity issued under the TARP Capital Purchase Program. On May 1, 2009, the Company elected to participate in the TARP Capital Purchase Program, under which the Company issued preferred shares and a warrant to purchase common shares to the U.S. Treasury. As of the date of this report, the Company has not yet repurchased the preferred stock or the warrant to purchase common stock.

On November 21, 2008, the Board of Directors of the FDIC adopted a final rule relating to the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program (“TLG Program”). The TLG Program was announced by the FDIC on October 14, 2008, preceded by the determination of systemic risk by the Secretary of the Department of Treasury (after consultation with the President), as an initiative to counter the system-wide crisis in the nation’s financial sector. Under the TLG Program (as amended from time to time thereafter) the FDIC would (i) guarantee, through the earlier of maturity or June 30, 2012, certain newly issued senior unsecured debt issued by participating institutions and (ii) provide full FDIC deposit insurance coverage for noninterest bearing transaction deposit accounts, Negotiable Order of Withdrawal (“NOW”) accounts paying less than 0.5% interest per annum and Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts (“IOLA”) accounts held at participating FDIC-insured institutions. The transaction account guarantee program described in clause (ii) will expire on June 30, 2010. Coverage under the TLG Program was available for the first 30 days without charge. The fee assessment for coverage of senior unsecured debt ranges from 50 basis points to 100 basis points per annum, depending on the initial maturity of the debt. The fee assessment for deposit insurance coverage is 10 basis points per quarter on amounts in covered accounts exceeding \$250,000.

On February 10, 2009, the Treasury Secretary announced a new comprehensive financial stability plan which included: (i) a capital assistance program that has invested in convertible preferred stock of certain qualifying institutions, (ii) a consumer and business lending initiative to fund new consumer loans, small business loans and commercial mortgage asset-backed securities issuances, (iii) a public-private investment fund intended to leverage public and private capital with public financing to purchase legacy “toxic assets” from financial institutions, and (iv) assistance for homeowners to reduce mortgage payments and interest rates and establishing loan modification guidelines for government and private programs.

In response to concerns relating to capital adequacy of large financial institutions, the Federal Reserve Board implemented Supervisory Capital Assessment Program (“SCAP”) under which all banking institutions with assets over \$100 billion were required to undergo a comprehensive “stress test” to determine if they had sufficient capital to continue lending and to absorb losses that could result from a more severe decline in the economy than projected. The results of the stress test were announced on May 7, 2009. In addition, on September 3, 2009, the U.S. Treasury issued a policy statement relating to bank capital requirements, which calls for higher and stronger capital requirements for

bank and non-bank financial firms that are deemed to pose a risk to financial stability due to their combination of size, leverage, interconnectedness and liquidity risk. Also, on

December 17, 2009, the Basel Committee issued a set of proposals relating to the capital adequacy and liquidity risk exposures of financial institutions.

In order to restore the depleted Deposit Insurance Fund (“DIF”) and maintain a sound reserve ratio, the FDIC imposed higher base assessment rates and special one-time assessments and required prepayment of deposit insurance premium. The FDIC stated that, after its semi-annual reviews, it may further increase assessment rates or take other actions to bring the DIF’s reserve ratio back to a desirable level.

In June of 2009, the Obama administration proposed a wide range of regulatory reforms that included, among other things, proposals (i) that any financial firm whose combination of size, leverage and interconnectedness could pose a threat to financial stability be subject to certain enhanced regulatory requirements, (ii) that federal bank regulators require loan originators or sponsors to retain part of the credit risk of securitized exposures, (iii) that there be increased regulation of broker-dealers and investment advisers, (iv) for the creation of a federal consumer financial protection agency that would, among other things, be charged with applying consistent regulations to similar products (such as imposing certain notice and consent requirements on consumer overdraft lines of credit), (v) that there be comprehensive regulation of OTC derivatives, (vi) that the controls on the ability of banking institutions to engage in transactions with affiliates be tightened, and (vii) that financial holding companies be required to be “well-capitalized” and “well-managed” on a consolidated basis.

On October 22, 2009, the Federal Reserve Board issued a comprehensive proposal on incentive compensation policies intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The proposal covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group.

General

The Company was organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia to engage in commercial and retail banking. The Bank opened to the public on December 13, 1999 as a traditional community bank offering deposit and loan services to individuals and businesses in the Richmond, Virginia metropolitan area. During 2003, the Company acquired or formed three wholly owned subsidiaries of the Bank, Village Bank Mortgage Corporation (“Village Bank Mortgage”), a full service mortgage banking company, Village Insurance Agency, Inc. (“Village Insurance”), a full service property and casualty insurance agency, and Village Financial Services Corporation (“Village Financial Services”), a financial services company. On October 14, 2008, the Company completed its merger with River City Bank pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger, dated as of March 9, 2008, by and among the Company, the Bank and River City Bank. The merger had previously been approved by both companies’ shareholders at their respective annual meetings on September 30, 2008 as well as the banking regulators.

We offer a wide range of banking and related financial services, including checking, savings, certificates of deposit and other depository services, and commercial, real estate and consumer loans. We are a community-oriented and locally managed financial institution focusing on providing a high level of responsive and personalized services to our customers, delivered in the context of a strong direct relationship with our customers. We conduct our operations from our main office/corporate headquarters location and fourteen branch offices.

The Company’s primary source of earnings is net interest income, and its principal market risk exposure is interest rate risk. The Company is not able to predict market interest rate fluctuations and its asset/liability management strategy may not prevent interest rate changes from having a material adverse effect on the Company’s results of operations and financial condition.

Although management endeavors to minimize the credit risk inherent in the Company's loan portfolio, it must necessarily make various assumptions and judgments about the collectibility of the loan portfolio based on its experience and evaluation of economic conditions. If such assumptions

or judgments prove to be incorrect, the current allowance for loan losses may not be sufficient to cover loan losses and additions to the allowance may be necessary, which would have a negative impact on net income.

There is intense competition in all areas in which the Company conducts its business. The Company competes with banks and other financial institutions, including savings and loan associations, savings banks, finance companies, and credit unions. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources and lending limits and provide a wider array of banking services. To a limited extent, the Company also competes with other providers of financial services, such as money market mutual funds, brokerage firms, consumer finance companies and insurance companies. Competition is based on a number of factors, including prices, interest rates, services, availability of products and geographic location.

The Company had a net loss of \$11,511,000 in 2009 as compared to net income of \$468,000 in 2008 and of \$1,001,000 in 2007. The single most significant factor in our declining earnings the last two years has been the recessionary economy.

Total assets increased to \$602,963,000 at December 31, 2009 from \$572,408,000 at December 31, 2008 and \$393,264,000 at December 31, 2007, representing increases of 5% in 2009 and 46% in 2008. The growth in total assets in 2008 is attributable to our merger with River City Bank, which added approximately \$157.7 million in assets at the time of merger. The growth in 2009 was primarily a result of an increase in investment securities of \$30,556,000, funded by an increase in deposits of \$32,053,000.

Much of our internal growth has been driven by lending on real estate. As a result, the material decline in real estate values experienced in 2009 had a significant adverse effect on the growth and profitability of the Company. At December 31, 2009, 89.0% of our loan portfolio was collateralized by real estate. Declines in real estate values can reduce projected cash flows from commercial properties and the ability of borrowers to use home equity to support borrowings and increase the loan-to-value ratios of loans previously made by us, thereby weakening collateral coverage and increasing the possibility of a loss in the event of default. In addition, delinquencies, foreclosures and losses generally increase during economic slowdowns or recessions.

The following presents management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition of the Company at December 31, 2009 and 2008, and results of operations for the Company for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited Financial Statements and the notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Income Statement Analysis

Net interest income, which represents the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest incurred on interest-bearing liabilities, is the Company's primary source of earnings. Net interest income can be affected by changes in market interest rates as well as the level and composition of assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity. Net interest spread is the difference between the average rate earned on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The net yield on interest-earning assets ("net interest margin") is calculated by dividing tax equivalent net interest income by average interest-earning assets. Generally, the net interest margin will exceed the net interest spread because a portion of interest-earning assets are funded by various noninterest-bearing sources, principally noninterest-bearing deposits and shareholders' equity.

We recorded a net loss of \$11,511,000, or \$2.84 per fully diluted share, in 2009, compared to net income of \$468,000, or \$0.16 per fully diluted share, in 2008, and \$1,001,000, or \$0.37 per fully diluted share, in 2007. The decline in our profitability in 2009 was attributable to four significant increases in expenses from 2008 to 2009 as follows:

| | 2009 | 2008 | Increase |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Provision for loan losses | \$13,220,000 | \$2,005,633 | \$11,214,367 |
| Goodwill impairment | 7,422,141 | - | 7,422,141 |
| Expenses related to foreclosed real estate | 1,475,338 | 165,455 | 1,309,883 |
| FDIC insurance premium | 1,366,612 | 400,394 | 966,218 |
| | | | \$20,912,609 |

All of these increases in expenses are attributable primarily to the recessionary economy that dominated 2009. The increases in the provision for loan losses and in expenses related to foreclosed real estate reflect the difficulties that many of our borrowers experienced with their ability to repay our loans to them. The write-off of goodwill was based on our annual evaluation of the value of goodwill which was performed by an independent third party. Goodwill was considered fully impaired at December 31, 2009 primarily because the value of the Company's stock, and thus its overall value, declined significantly in 2009 as did many other banks' stock. The increase in the FDIC insurance premium was related to the losses the FDIC incurred in 2009 in closing 140 banks as it sought to restore the DIF to a desirable level. Total assets of failed banks in 2009 totaled \$170.9 billion with the loss to the DIF of \$4.6 billion.

The decline in earnings from \$1,001,000 in 2007 to \$468,000 in 2008 was attributable to a decline in our net interest margin from 3.80% for 2007 to 3.27% for 2008, as well as an increase in the provision for loan losses of \$818,000, from \$1,187,000 in 2007 to \$2,005,000 in 2008. The decline in our net interest margin is attributable to declining interest rates and our acquisition of River City Bank which had a lower net interest margin. The increase in the provision for loan losses was a result of deteriorating asset quality.

Net interest income

Net interest income is our primary source of earnings and represents the difference between interest and fees earned on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The level of net interest income is affected primarily by variations in the volume and mix of those assets and liabilities, as well as changes in interest rates when compared to previous periods of operation.

Growth in loans and deposits has resulted in net interest income increasing from \$11,859,000 in 2007, to \$13,102,000 in 2008 and to \$16,788,000 in 2009. However, net interest income as a percentage of average assets has steadily declined the last two years, from 3.5% in 2007 to 3.0% in 2008 and to 2.8% in 2009. The growth in net interest income has not kept pace with the growth of the Company. This is attributable to a declining net interest margin, from 3.80% in 2007 to 3.27% in 2008 and to 3.13% in 2009. This declining interest margin resulted from declines in short-term interest rates that started in 2007 and continued into 2008. A significant portion of our loan portfolio, the primary source of revenue to Village Bank, has interest rates that adjust according to the direction of short-term interest rates. Accordingly, as short-term rates were reduced by the Federal Reserve, the income from our loan portfolio was reduced. While the reduction of short-term interest rates also reduced the rates we pay on deposits, our largest expense, the reduction in interest rates paid on deposits was slower than the reduction of interest rates on our loan portfolio as our deposits generally do not reprice as quickly as our loans. Consequently, our net interest income, the primary source of our earnings, was negatively impacted as short-term interest rates were reduced by the Federal Reserve.

Although the year to year comparison reflects a declining net interest margin, we are starting to experience a turnaround in this decline. During 2009, the average interest rate we paid on deposits declined by 1.42%. This decline outpaced the decline in the average interest rate earned on loans of .67%, which had a positive impact on our net interest margin. While the net interest margin of 3.13% for the full year of 2009 was lower than the net interest margin of 3.27% for 2008, it increased from 3.29% for the month of December 2008 to 3.38% for the month of December 2009. If short-term interest rates remain stable in 2010, we expect further declines in the average rate paid on

deposits and an improving net interest margin.

Average interest-earning assets increased by \$135,350,000, or 34%, in 2009 and by \$88,383,000, or 28%, in 2008. These increases in interest-earning assets were due primarily to the growth of our loan portfolio. However, the average yield on interest-earning assets decreased to 6.19% in 2009 from 7.26% in 2008 and 8.22% in 2007. Many of our loans are indexed to short-term rates affected by the Federal Reserve's decisions about short-term interest rates, and, accordingly, as the Federal Reserve increases or decreases short-term rates, the yield on interest-earning assets is affected. As the Federal Reserve decreased interest rates starting in 2007 and continuing through 2008, decreasing short-term interest rates by 5% over twelve months, the average yield on our interest-earning assets decreased.

Our average interest-bearing liabilities increased by \$120,065,000, or 31%, in 2009 and by \$96,873,000, or 34%, in 2008. These increases in average interest-bearing liabilities were due to strong growth in average deposits of \$111,034,000 in 2009 and \$70,321,000 in 2008 as well as borrowings of \$19,990,000 in 2008. The average cost of interest-bearing liabilities decreased to 3.27% in 2009, from 4.18% in 2008 and 4.84% in 2007. The significant decrease in our cost of funds in 2009 and 2008 was a result of decreases in short-term interest rates by the Federal Reserve in 2007 and 2008. As with our interest-earning assets, the declines in the short-term interest rates by the Federal Reserve also reduced the interest rates we pay on interest-bearing liabilities in 2008, however, the reduction in interest rates on our interest-bearing liabilities has been slower than the reduction of interest rates on our interest-earning assets as the liabilities generally do not reprice as quickly as the assets. Consequently, our net interest income, the primary source of our earnings, is negatively impacted as long as short-term interest rates continue to be reduced by the Federal Reserve. See "Interest rate sensitivity" on page 48 for further discussion of the repricing of assets and liabilities.

The following table illustrates average balances of total interest-earning assets and total interest-bearing liabilities for the periods indicated, showing the average distribution of assets, liabilities, shareholders' equity and related income, expense and corresponding weighted-average yields and rates. The average balances used in these tables and other statistical data were calculated using daily average balances. We have no tax exempt assets for the periods presented.

Average Balance Sheets
(In thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, 2009 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Annualized Yield Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Annualized Yield Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/Expense | Annualized Yield Rate |
| Loans | | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | \$47,607 | \$2,959 | 6.22% | \$39,275 | \$2,034 | 5.18% | \$21,791 | \$1,795 | 8.24% |
| Real estate - residential | 89,386 | 5,802 | 6.49% | 61,416 | 5,291 | 8.62% | 42,461 | 3,418 | 8.05% |
| Real estate - commercial | 230,621 | 15,591 | 6.76% | 160,019 | 10,968 | 6.85% | 120,797 | 9,722 | 8.05% |
| Real estate - construction | 99,103 | 6,038 | 6.09% | 105,732 | 8,965 | 8.48% | 92,886 | 8,707 | 9.37% |
| Consumer | 10,642 | 788 | 7.40% | 7,779 | 657 | 8.45% | 6,488 | 582 | 8.97% |
| Gross loans | 477,359 | 31,178 | 6.53% | 374,221 | 27,915 | 7.46% | 284,423 | 24,224 | 8.52% |
| Investment securities | 33,174 | 1,458 | 4.40% | 12,125 | 699 | 5.76% | 16,471 | 847 | 5.14% |
| Loans held for sale | 10,305 | 533 | 5.17% | 3,721 | 225 | 6.05% | 2,368 | 155 | 6.55% |
| Federal funds and other | 15,034 | 27 | 0.18% | 10,455 | 233 | 2.23% | 8,877 | 439 | 4.95% |
| Total interest earning assets | 535,872 | 33,196 | 6.19% | 400,522 | 29,072 | 7.26% | 312,139 | 25,665 | 8.22% |
| Allowance for loan losses | (8,367) | | | (4,309) | | | (2,956) | | |
| Cash and due from banks | 15,998 | | | 8,179 | | | 5,169 | | |
| Premises and equipment, net | 27,880 | | | 23,951 | | | 13,901 | | |
| Other assets | 28,651 | | | 14,261 | | | 9,497 | | |
| Total assets | \$600,034 | | | \$442,604 | | | \$337,750 | | |
| Interest bearing deposits | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | 26,530 | 443 | 1.67% | \$12,735 | \$159 | 1.25% | \$10,454 | \$104 | 0.99% |
| Money market | 69,267 | 1,242 | 1.79% | 28,215 | 561 | 1.99% | 21,618 | 726 | 3.36% |
| Savings | 7,009 | 85 | 1.21% | 6,891 | 193 | 2.80% | 3,669 | 42 | 1.14% |
| Certificates | 347,698 | 12,664 | 3.64% | 291,629 | 13,435 | 4.61% | 233,408 | 12,078 | 5.17% |
| Total deposits | 450,504 | 14,434 | 3.20% | 339,470 | 14,348 | 4.23% | 269,149 | 12,950 | 4.81% |
| Borrowings | | | | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-------|
| Long-term debt - trust preferred securities | 8,764 | 392 | 4.47% | 8,764 | 508 | 5.80% | 6,173 | 447 | 7.24% |
| FHLB advances | 26,348 | 970 | 4.22% | 20,620 | 834 | 4.22% | 7,945 | 340 | 4.22% |
| Other borrowings | 16,337 | 612 | 1.77% | 13,034 | 280 | 1.77% | 1,748 | 70 | 1.77% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 501,953 | 16,408 | 3.27% | 381,888 | 15,970 | 4.18% | 285,015 | 13,807 | 4.84% |
| Noninterest bearing deposits | 39,626 | | | 27,657 | | | 22,686 | | |
| Other liabilities | 2,366 | | | 1,992 | | | 2,251 | | |
| Total liabilities | 543,945 | | | 411,537 | | | 309,952 | | |
| Equity capital | 56,089 | | | 31,067 | | | 27,798 | | |
| Total liabilities and capital | \$600,034 | | | \$442,604 | | | \$337,750 | | |
| Net interest income before provision for loan losses | | \$16,788 | | | \$13,102 | | | \$11,858 | |
| Interest spread - average yield on interest earning assets, less average rate on interest bearing liabilities | | | 2.93% | | | 3.08% | | | 3.38% |
| Net interest margin (net interest income expressed as a percentage of average earning assets) | | | 3.13% | | | 3.27% | | | 3.80% |

Interest income and interest expense are affected by changes in both average interest rates and average volumes of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table analyzes changes in net interest income

attributable to changes in the volume of interest-sensitive assets and liabilities compared to changes in interest rates. Nonaccrual loans are included in average loans outstanding. The changes in interest due to both rate and volume have been allocated to changes due to volume and changes due to rate in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the changes in each.

Rate/Volume Analysis
(In thousands)

| | 2009 vs. 2008 Increase (Decrease) Due to Changes in | | | 2008 vs. 2007 Increase (Decrease) Due to Changes in | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----------|---------|---|-----------|---------|
| | Volume | Rate | Total | Volume | Rate | Total |
| Interest income | | | | | | |
| Loans | \$6,333 | \$(2,762) | \$3,571 | \$5,297 | \$(1,606) | \$3,691 |
| Investment securities | 879 | (120) | 759 | (273) | 125 | (148) |
| Fed funds sold and other | 187 | (393) | (206) | 169 | (305) | (136) |
| Total interest income | 7,399 | (3,275) | 4,124 | 5,193 | (1,786) | 3,407 |
| Interest expense | | | | | | |
| Deposits | | | | | | |
| Interest checking | 217 | 66 | 283 | 24 | 31 | 55 |
| Money market accounts | 731 | (48) | 683 | 489 | (654) | (165) |
| Savings accounts | 3 | (110) | (107) | 57 | 94 | 151 |
| Certificates of deposit | 8,613 | (9,386) | (773) | 2,423 | (1,066) | 1,357 |
| Total deposits | 9,564 | (9,478) | 86 | 2,993 | (1,595) | 1,398 |
| Borrowings | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | - | (116) | (116) | 18 | 43 | 61 |
| FHLB Advances | 201 | (65) | 136 | 512 | (18) | 494 |
| Other borrowings | 332 | - | 332 | 210 | - | 210 |
| Total interest expense | 10,097 | (9,659) | 438 | 3,733 | (1,570) | 2,163 |
| Net interest income | \$(2,698) | \$6,384 | \$3,686 | \$1,460 | \$(216) | \$1,244 |

Note: the combined effect on interest due to changes in both volume and rate, which cannot be separately identified, has been allocated proportionately to the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

Provision for loan losses

The amount of the loan loss provision is determined by an evaluation of the level of loans outstanding, the level of non-performing loans, historical loan loss experience, delinquency trends, underlying collateral values, the amount of actual losses charged to the reserve in a given period and assessment of present and anticipated economic conditions.

The level of the allowance reflects changes in the size of the portfolio or in any of its components as well as management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations, specific credit risks, loan loss experience, current loan portfolio quality, present economic, and political and regulatory conditions. Portions of the allowance may be allocated for specific credits; however, the entire allowance is available for any credit that, in management's judgment, should be charged off. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company's control, including the performance of the Company's loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

Profitability has been negatively impacted the last two years by increasing provisions for loan losses. The provision for loan losses increased from \$1,187,000 in 2007 to \$2,006,000 in 2008 and to \$13,220,000 in 2009. These increases in the provision for loan losses are attributable to the growth in our loan portfolio and a deterioration in asset quality as the depressed economy has negatively impacted the ability of our borrowers to repay us. The deterioration in asset quality has occurred primarily in loans secured by real estate. Loans secured by real estate represent 89% of our total loan portfolio at December 31, 2009.

We believe that the level of the provision for loan losses experienced in 2009 will not be repeated in 2010, as the economy is showing some signs of recovery which should help the ability of borrowers to repay loans. However, no assurances can be given that the provision for loan losses in 2010 will not equal or exceed that in 2009.

Noninterest income

Noninterest income includes service charges and fees on deposit accounts, fee income related to loan origination, and gains and losses on sale of mortgage loans and securities held for sale. Over the last three years the most significant noninterest income item has been gain on loan sales generated by Village Bank Mortgage, representing 57% in both 2007 and 2008 and 70% in 2009 of total noninterest income. Noninterest income amounted to \$2,667,000 in 2007, \$4,185,000 in 2008 and \$8,285,000 in 2009.

The increase in noninterest income in 2009 of \$4,100,000 is primarily attributable to an increase in gain on sale of loans of \$3,447,000 and increased service charges and fees on transactional deposit accounts of \$452,000. The gain on sale of loans resulted from an increase in loan production by our mortgage company, from \$100 million in loan closings in 2008 to \$252 million in 2009. Despite the depressed economic conditions in 2009, the mortgage company was able to increase loan production due to the addition of new loan officers. Management expects the mortgage company to further increase loan production in 2010 due to declining mortgage loan interest rates that will allow more borrowers to qualify for loans and provide refinance opportunities for existing home owners. Service charges and fees increased because transactional deposits grew by \$108,215,000, or 132%, in 2009 as a result of maturing time deposits moving to money market accounts.

The increase in noninterest income in 2008 of \$1,518,000 is primarily attributable to increased service charges and fees on transactional deposit accounts of \$412,000 and an increase in gain on sale of loans of \$868,000. Transactional deposits grew by \$26,112,000, or 47%, in 2008 as a result of the maturing of our branch network coupled with the addition of the deposits of River City Bank, resulting in the increase in service charges and fees. The gain on sale of loans resulted from an increase in loan production by our mortgage company, from \$67 million in loan closings in 2007 to \$100 million in 2008.

Noninterest expense

Noninterest expense includes all expenses of the Company with the exception of interest expense on deposits and borrowings, provision for loan losses and income taxes. Some of the primary components of noninterest expense are salaries and benefits, and occupancy and equipment costs. Over the last three years, the most significant noninterest expense item has been salaries and benefits, representing 58%, 55% and 50% of noninterest expense (excluding the write-off of goodwill in 2009) in 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively. Noninterest expense increased from \$11,821,000 in 2007, to \$14,572,000 in 2008 and to \$28,338,000 in 2009. In 2009 the write-off of all goodwill of \$7,422,141 was included in noninterest expense. This was a one time expense as we no longer have any goodwill.

The increase in noninterest expense of \$13,766,000 in 2009 resulted from the goodwill write-off of \$7,422,000 as well as increases in expenses related to foreclosed assets of \$1,310,000 and the FDIC insurance premium of \$966,000. Other growth related increases in noninterest expense in 2009 were increases in salaries and benefits of \$2,500,000, occupancy of \$493,000, loan underwriting expense of \$430,000, data processing of \$159,000 and equipment of \$126,000.

The increases in noninterest expense of \$2,751,000 in 2008 resulted from the addition of new branches and the growth in the Company overall as well as the merger with River City Bank. Growth related increases in noninterest expense

in 2008 were increases in salaries and benefits of \$1,133,000, professional and outside services of \$372,000, occupancy of \$364,000, loan underwriting expense of \$271,000 and the FDIC insurance premium of \$225,000.

Income taxes

Tax expense (benefit) amounted to \$(4,973,000), \$241,000 and \$516,000 in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The \$5,241,000 decline in income tax expense in 2009 is related to the loss of \$(16,484,000) and \$275,000 decline in 2008 were attributable to the lower taxable income.

Commercial banking organizations conducting business in Virginia are not subject to Virginia income taxes. Instead, they are subject to a franchise tax based on bank capital. The Bank recorded a franchise tax expense of \$355,000, \$180,000 and \$210,000 for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Balance Sheet Analysis

Investment securities

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, all of our investment securities were classified as available-for-sale. Investment securities classified as available for sale may be sold in the future, prior to maturity. These securities are carried at fair value. Net aggregate unrealized gains or losses on these securities are included, net of taxes, as a component of shareholders' equity. Given the generally high credit quality of the portfolio, management expects to realize all of its investment upon market recovery or, the maturity of such instruments and thus believes that any impairment in value is interest rate related and therefore temporary. Available for sale securities included net unrealized gains of \$97,000 at December 31, 2009 and net unrealized losses of \$26,000 at December 31, 2008. As of December 31, 2009, management does not have the intent to sell any of the securities classified as available for sale and management believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will not have to sell any such securities before a recovery of cost.

The following table presents the composition of our investment portfolio at the dates indicated.

Investment Securities Available-for-Sale
(Dollars in thousands)

| | Par Value | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gain (Loss) | Estimated Fair Value | Average Yield |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | | | | | |
| One to five years | \$ 9,000 | \$ 9,315 | \$ (66) | \$ 9,249 | 2.32% |
| Five to ten years | 3,000 | 3,029 | 32 | 3,061 | 4.50% |
| More than ten years | 34,250 | 35,284 | 75 | 35,359 | 5.22% |
| Total | 46,250 | 47,628 | 41 | 47,669 | 4.61% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | | | | |
| One to five years | 389 | 435 | (37) | 398 | 4.40% |
| Five to ten years | 471 | 471 | 29 | 500 | 5.24% |
| More than ten years | 3,141 | 3,227 | 53 | 3,280 | 5.53% |
| Total | 4,001 | 4,133 | 45 | 4,178 | 5.39% |
| Municipals | | | | | |
| More than ten years | 1,000 | 1,026 | 1 | 1,027 | 5.28% |
| Other investments | | | | | |
| More than five years | 2,000 | 1,973 | 10 | 1,983 | 5.65% |
| Total investment securities | \$53,251 | \$ 54,760 | \$ 97 | \$ 54,857 | 4.72% |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | | | | | |
| Within one year | \$ 360 | \$ 360 | \$ (4) | \$ 356 | 4.50% |
| More than five years | 16,546 | 16,095 | 564 | 16,659 | 5.73% |
| Total | 16,906 | 16,455 | 560 | 17,015 | 5.70% |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | | | | |
| One to five years | 874 | 905 | (23) | \$882 | 4.47% |
| More than five years | 4,603 | 4,694 | (76) | 4,618 | 5.42% |
| | 5,477 | 5,599 | (99) | 5,500 | 5.27% |
| Other investments | | | | | |
| More than five years | 2,000 | 1,970 | (184) | 1,786 | 5.65% |
| Total investment securities | \$ 24,383 | \$ 24,024 | \$ 277 | \$ 24,301 | 5.60% |

Loans

A management objective is to maintain the quality of the loan portfolio. The Company seeks to achieve this objective by maintaining rigorous underwriting standards coupled with regular evaluation of the creditworthiness of and the designation of lending limits for each borrower. The portfolio strategies include seeking industry and loan size diversification in order to minimize credit exposure and originating loans in markets with which the Company is familiar.

The Company's real estate loan portfolios, which represent approximately 89% of all loans, are secured by mortgages on real property located principally in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Sources of repayment are from the borrower's operating profits, cash flows and liquidation of pledged collateral. The Company's commercial loan portfolio represents approximately 8.5% of all loans. Loans in this category are typically made to individuals, small and medium-sized businesses and range between \$250,000 and \$2.5 million. Based on underwriting standards, commercial and

industrial loans may be secured in whole or in part by collateral such as liquid assets, accounts receivable, equipment, inventory, and real property. The collateral securing any loan may depend on the type of loan and may vary in value based on market conditions. The remainder of our loan portfolio is in consumer loans which represent 2.5% of the total.

The following tables present the composition of our loan portfolio at the dates indicated and maturities of selected loans at December 31, 2009.

| | December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Commercial | 39,576 | 52,438 | 23,152 | 17,889 | 14,121 |
| Real estate - residential | 93,657 | 84,612 | 51,281 | 36,408 | 30,043 |
| Real estate - commercial | 240,830 | 220,400 | 140,176 | 100,039 | 66,274 |
| Real estate - construction | 81,688 | 103,161 | 106,556 | 80,324 | 56,146 |
| Consumer | 11,609 | 10,307 | 6,611 | 6,730 | 6,161 |
| Total loans | 467,360 | 470,918 | 327,776 | 241,390 | 172,745 |
| Less: unearned income, net | 209 | (196) | (433) | (339) | (367) |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (10,522) | (6,059) | (3,469) | (2,553) | (1,931) |
| Total loans, net | \$ 457,047 | \$ 464,663 | \$ 323,874 | \$ 238,498 | \$ 170,447 |

| | Fixed Rate | | | | Variable Rate | | | Total Maturities |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|----------|---------------|---------------|--------|------------------|
| | Within 1 Year | 1 to 5 Years | After 5 Years | Total | 1 to 5 Years | After 5 Years | Total | |
| Commercial | \$19,657 | \$13,451 | \$6,309 | \$19,760 | \$ 159 | \$ - | \$ 159 | \$39,576 |
| Real estate | | | | | | | | |
| Commercial | 37,346 | 80,191 | 93,119 | 173,310 | 28,155 | 2,019 | 30,174 | 240,830 |
| Construction | 67,283 | 10,211 | 3,911 | 14,122 | 283 | - | 283 | 81,688 |
| Residential | 53,433 | 6,466 | 33,328 | 39,794 | 430 | - | 430 | 93,657 |

Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses is an estimate of the losses that may be sustained in our loan portfolio. An allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based upon industry standards, known risk characteristics, management's evaluation of the risk inherent in the loan portfolio and changes in the nature and volume of loan activity. Such evaluation considers among other factors, the estimated market value of the underlying collateral, and current economic conditions.

The level of the allowance for loan losses is determined by an ongoing detailed analysis of risk and loss potential within the portfolio as a whole. Outside of our own analysis, our reserve adequacy and methodology are reviewed on a regular basis by an independent firm and bank regulators.

The overall allowance for loan losses is equivalent to approximately 2.25% of total loans net of deferred fees. The schedule below, Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses, reflects the pro rata allocation by the different loan types. The methodology as to how the allowance was derived is

a combination of specific allocations and percentage allocations of the unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses, as discussed below. The Company has developed a comprehensive risk weighting system based on individual loan characteristics that enables the Company to allocate the composition of the allowance for loan losses by types of loans.

The methodology as to how the allowance was derived is detailed below. Unallocated amounts included in the allowance for loan losses have been applied to the loan classifications on a percentage basis.

Adequacy of the reserve is assessed, and appropriate expense and charge-offs are taken, no less frequently than at the close of each fiscal quarter end. The methodology by which we systematically determine the amount of our reserve is set forth by the board of directors in our Loan Policy. Under this Policy, management is charged with ensuring that each loan is individually evaluated and the portfolio characteristics are evaluated to arrive at an appropriate aggregate reserve. The results of the analysis are documented, reviewed and approved by the board of directors no less than quarterly. The following elements are considered in this analysis: individual loan risk ratings, lending staff changes, loan review and board oversight, loan policies and procedures, portfolio trends with respect to volume, delinquency, composition/concentrations of credit, risk rating migration, levels of classified credit, off-balance sheet credit exposure, any other factors considered relevant from time to time (the “general reserve”); loss estimates on specific problem credits (the “specific reserve”), and, finally, an “unallocated reserve” to cover any unforeseen factors as a result of current economic conditions. Each of the reserve components, general, specific and unallocated are discussed in further detail below.

With respect to the general reserve, all loans are graded or “Risk Rated” individually for loss potential at the time of origination and as warranted thereafter, but no less frequently than quarterly. Loss potential factors are applied based upon a blend of the following criteria: our own direct experience; our collective management experience in administering similar loan portfolios in the market; and peer data contained in statistical releases issued by the FDIC. Management’s collective experience at this company and other banks is the most heavily weighted criterion, and the weighting is subjective and varies by loan type, amount, collateral, structure, and repayment terms. Prevailing economic conditions generally and within each individual borrower’s business sector are considered, as well as any changes in the borrower’s own financial position and, in the case of commercial loans, management structure and business operations.

When deterioration develops in an individual credit, the loan is placed on a “Watch List” and the loan is monitored more closely. All loans on the watch list are evaluated for specific loss potential based upon either an evaluation of the liquidated value of the collateral or cash flow deficiencies. If management believes that, with respect to a specific loan, an impaired source of repayment, collateral impairment or a change in a debtor’s financial condition presents a heightened risk of non-performance of a particular loan, a portion of the reserve may be specifically allocated to that individual loan. The aggregation of this loan by loan loss analysis comprises the specific reserve.

The unallocated reserve is maintained to absorb risk factors outside of the general and specific reserves. To arrive at the unallocated reserve, the loan portfolio is “shocked” or downgraded by a certain percentage based on management’s subjective assessment of the state of the economy. The depressed economy in 2008 and 2009 has resulted in an increase in the percentage downgrade of the loan portfolio.

The allowance for loan losses was \$10,522,000, \$6,059,000 and \$3,469,000 at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to gross loans was 2.25% at December 31, 2009, 1.29% at December 31, 2008, and 1.06% December 31, 2007. The increase in the allowance for loan losses in 2009 reflects a higher level of problem loans, management’s concern about the uncertainty in the economy and the current nationwide credit crisis. The increase in 2008 is attributable to the increase in loans outstanding, primarily as a result of the merger with River City Bank, and a deterioration of asset quality. We believe the amount of the allowance for loan

losses at December 31, 2009 is adequate to absorb the losses that can reasonably be anticipated from the loan portfolio at that date.

The following table presents an analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

| | Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses (In thousands) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2009 | Year Ended December 31, | | | 2005 |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Beginning balance | 6,059 | 3,469 | 2,553 | 1,931 | 1,514 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,220 | 2,006 | 1,187 | 796 | 461 |
| Charge-offs | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ (1,273) | \$ (468) | (31) | (183) | - |
| Real estate - residential | - | (202) | (120) | - | - |
| Real estate - commercial | (783) | (96) | - | - | - |
| Real estate - construction | (5,779) | (1,475) | (66) | - | - |
| Consumer | (932) | (2) | (54) | (72) | (46) |
| | (8,767) | (2,243) | (271) | (255) | (46) |
| Recoveries | | | | | |
| Commercial and industrial | - | 7 | - | - | - |
| Real estate - residential | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Real estate - commercial | - | - | - | 74 | - |
| Real estate - construction | 3 | 395 | - | - | - |
| Consumer | 7 | 19 | - | 7 | 2 |
| | 10 | 423 | - | 81 | 2 |
| Net charge-offs | (8,757) | (1,820) | (271) | (174) | (44) |
| Acquisition of River City Bank | - | 2,404 | - | - | - |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Ending balance | 10,522 | 6,059 | 3,469 | 2,553 | 1,931 |
| Loans outstanding at end of year (1) | \$ 467,569 | \$ 470,722 | \$ 327,343 | \$ 241,051 | \$ 172,378 |
| Ratio of allowance for loan losses as a percent of loans outstanding at | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| end of year | 2.25% | 1.29% | 1.06% | 1.06% | 1.12% |
| Average loans outstanding for the year (1) | \$ 477,359 | \$ 374,221 | \$ 284,423 | \$ 205,978 | \$ 150,432 |
| Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding for the year | 1.84% | 0.60% | 0.10% | 0.12% | 0.03% |

(1) Loans are net of unearned income.

Charge-offs increased significantly from \$2,243,000 in 2008 to \$8,767,000 in 2009. This increase in charge-offs was primarily attributable to loans for real estate acquisition, development and construction in Chesterfield County, our primary lending market. The elevated charge-off levels experienced in the current year warrant the heightened level of provisioning in 2009 and justify management's use of a higher historical charge-off factor when considering the losses currently inherent in the loan portfolio during the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. Due to the state of the economy, the duration of the loss history used in calculating the allowance was shortened during 2009 to better reflect current market conditions.

We have allocated the allowance for loan losses according to the amount deemed to be reasonably necessary to provide for the possibility of losses being incurred within each of the categories of loans. The allocation of the allowance as shown in the table below should not be interpreted as an indication that losses in future years will occur in the same proportions or that the allocation indicates future loss trends. Furthermore, the portion allocated to each loan category is not the total amount available for future losses that might occur within such categories since the total allowance is a

general allowance applicable to the entire portfolio.

Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses
(In thousands)

| | December 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|
| | Total | % | Total | % | Total | % | Total | % | Total | % |
| Commercial Real estate | \$ 710 | 6.7% | \$ 1,664 | 27.5% | \$ 479 | 13.8% | \$ 377 | 14.8% | \$ 568 | 29.5% |
| Residential Commercial | 1,515 | 14.4% | 1,142 | 18.8% | 712 | 20.5% | 512 | 20.1% | 358 | 18.5% |
| Commercial Construction | 3,500 | 33.3% | 2,166 | 35.7% | 1,204 | 34.7% | 884 | 34.5% | 444 | 23.0% |
| Consumer | 4,442 | 42.2% | 965 | 15.9% | 989 | 28.5% | 694 | 27.2% | 485 | 25.1% |
| | 355 | 3.4% | 122 | 2.0% | 85 | 2.5% | 86 | 3.4% | 76 | 3.9% |
| Total | \$ 10,522 | 100.0% | \$ 6,059 | 100.0% | \$ 3,469 | 100.0% | \$ 2,553 | 100.0% | \$ 1,931 | 100.0% |

Historically, commercial real estate loans have had the largest allocation of the allowance for loan losses as this type of loan has represented the largest category in our loan portfolio (52% in 2009 and 47% in 2008). However, in 2009, the largest allocation of the allowance for loan losses changed to real estate construction loans. This is a result of our experience with loan charge-offs in 2009 as charge-offs on real estate construction loans were \$5,779,000, or 66%, of the total charge-offs of \$8,767,000. The allocation of the allowance for loan losses to commercial real estate loans continues to be a significant percentage due to the high concentration of this loan type in our loan portfolio. In addition to our charge-off experience in 2009, the recessionary conditions and the deterioration of national and local housing trends noted during 2009 and 2008 also support this shift in the allocation.

Asset quality

The following table summarizes asset quality information at the dates indicated:

| Asset Quality (In thousands) | December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Nonaccrual loans | \$ 25,913 | \$ 8,528 | \$ 2,585 | \$ 2,801 | \$ 1,834 |
| Restructured loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Foreclosed properties | 11,279 | 2,932 | 270 | - | - |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 37,192 | \$ 11,460 | \$ 2,855 | \$ 2,801 | \$ 1,834 |
| Loans past due 90 days and still accruing (not included in nonaccrual loans above) | \$ 4,787 | \$ 6,197 | \$ 1,219 | \$ 6,520 | \$ 4,932 |
| Nonperforming assets to loans at end of year (1) | 7.95% | 2.43% | 0.87% | 1.16% | 1.06% |
| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 6.17% | 2.00% | 0.73% | 0.96% | 0.85% |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonaccrual loans | 40.6% | 71.0% | 134.2% | 91.1% | 105.3% |

(1) Loans are net of unearned income.

Interest is accrued on outstanding loan principal balances, unless the Company considers collection to be doubtful. Commercial and unsecured consumer loans are designated as non-accrual when the

Company considers collection of expected principal and interest doubtful. Mortgage loans and most other types of consumer loans past due 90 days or more may remain on accrual status if management determines that concern over our ability to collect principal and interest is not significant. When loans are placed in non-accrual status, previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed against interest income in the current period and interest is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash is received. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

Of the total nonaccrual loans of \$25,913,000 at December 31, 2009 that were considered impaired, seventeen loans totaling \$17,525,000 had specific allowances for loan losses totaling \$5,522,000. This compares to \$8,528,000 in nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2008 of which three loans totaling \$1,369,000 had specific allowances for loan losses of \$235,000 at December 31, 2008. The increase in nonaccrual loans is due to the recessionary economy and is the primary factor in the higher overall allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2009. The increased level of classified loans impacted the level of allocations required based upon historical loss experience resulting in increased provisioning and allowance levels.

If the loans classified as nonaccrual had been current in accordance with the original terms the gross amount of interest income that would have been earned in 2009 and 2008 was \$569,000 and \$95,000 respectively. Twelve loans totaling \$4,787,000 at December 31, 2009 were past due 90 days or more and interest was still being accrued as such amounts were considered collectible.

Deposits

The following table gives the composition of our deposits at the dates indicated.

| | Deposits (In thousands) | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | December 31, 2009 | | December 31, 2008 | | December 31, 2007 | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| Demand accounts | \$ 38,521 | 7.7% | \$ 34,483 | 7.4% | \$ 22,223 | 6.6% |
| Interest checking accounts | 36,441 | 7.3% | 17,427 | 3.7% | 10,518 | 3.1% |
| Money market accounts | 115,167 | 23.1% | 30,003 | 6.4% | 22,060 | 6.5% |
| Savings accounts | 8,901 | 1.8% | 5,388 | 1.2% | 3,373 | 1.0% |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 and over | 119,352 | 24.0% | 148,173 | 31.8% | 101,987 | 30.1% |
| Other time deposits | 179,903 | 36.1% | 230,758 | 49.5% | 178,136 | 52.7% |
| Total | \$498,285 | 100.0% | \$466,232 | 100.0% | \$338,297 | 100.0% |

Total deposits increased by 7%, 37% and 34% in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Although total deposits did not increase significantly in 2009, the composition did change. Transactional deposit accounts (demand, interest checking, money market and savings accounts) increased to 39.9% of total deposits compared to 18.7% and 17.2% at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. This increase in transactional deposit accounts was the result of the Bank offering attractive interest rates on money market accounts to encourage customers with maturing certificates of deposit to transfer those funds to money market accounts as well as improved deposit gathering efforts by our branch personnel.

The variety of deposit accounts offered by the Company has allowed us to be competitive in obtaining funds and has allowed us to respond with flexibility to, although not to eliminate, the threat of disintermediation (the flow of funds away from depository institutions such as banking institutions into direct investment vehicles such as government and corporate securities). Our ability to attract and retain deposits, and our cost of funds, has been, and will continue to be, significantly affected by money market conditions.

The following table is a schedule of average balances and average rates paid for each deposit category for the periods presented:

| Account Type | Average Deposits and Rates Paid (In thousands) | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
| | 2009 | | Year Ended December 31, 2008 | | 2007 | |
| | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate | Amount | Rate |
| Noninterest-bearing demand accounts | \$39,626 | - | \$27,657 | - | \$22,686 | - |
| Interest-bearing deposits | | | | | | |
| Interest checking accounts | 26,530 | 1.67% | 12,735 | 1.25% | 10,454 | 0.99% |
| Money market accounts | 69,267 | 1.79% | 28,215 | 1.99% | 21,618 | 3.36% |
| Savings accounts | 7,009 | 1.21% | 6,891 | 2.81% | 3,669 | 1.16% |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 and over | 121,440 | 3.72% | 100,840 | 4.90% | 81,828 | 5.23% |
| Other time deposits | 226,258 | 3.60% | 190,789 | 4.44% | 151,580 | 5.14% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 450,504 | 3.20% | 339,470 | 4.23% | 269,149 | 4.81% |
| Total average deposits | \$490,130 | | \$367,127 | | \$291,835 | |

The following table is a schedule of maturities for time deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2009.

| Maturities of Time Deposits of \$100,000 or More (In thousands) | |
|--|-----------|
| Due within three months | \$33,478 |
| Due after three months through six months | 10,509 |
| Due after six months through twelve months | 29,689 |
| Over twelve months | 45,676 |
| | \$119,352 |

Borrowings

We utilize borrowings to supplement deposits when they are available at a lower overall cost to us or they can be invested at a positive rate of return.

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta (“FHLB”), the Bank is required to own capital stock in the FHLB and is authorized to apply for borrowings from the FHLB. Each FHLB credit program has its own interest rate, which may be fixed or variable, and range of maturities. The FHLB may prescribe the acceptable uses to which the advances may be put, as well as on the size of the advances and repayment provisions. Borrowings from the FHLB were \$29,000,000 and \$25,000,000 at December 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively. The FHLB advances are secured by the pledge of residential mortgage loans and our FHLB stock. Available borrowings at December 31, 2009 were approximately \$9.5 million.

Federal funds purchased represent unsecured borrowings from other banks and generally mature daily. We did not have any purchased federal funds at December 31, 2009 or 2008.

On September 12, 2007, the Company entered into a promissory note payable to Community Bankers’ Bank for \$11,000,000 bearing interest at thirty day LIBOR plus 2.375% and maturing September 12, 2009. The modification of the note was effective July 1, 2009 converting to 6.60% with principal and interest payments of \$68,906 fixed for 60 months, then converting to the five year T-Bill rate plus 2.40% adjusted every sixty months thereafter. Proceeds advanced under the

promissory note were used to finance the construction of the Company's new principal administrative offices in Chesterfield County which was completed in July 2008. The balances outstanding were \$9,943,873 and \$10,021,871 at December 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively, and included in other borrowings.

Contractual obligations and other commitments

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers and to reduce its own exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve elements of credit risk and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contractual amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of the Company's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit and letters of credit written is represented by the contractual amount of these instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. Unless noted otherwise, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being completely drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Capital resources

Stockholders' equity at December 31, 2009 was \$48,942,000, compared to \$46,163,000 at December 31, 2008 and \$26,893,000 at December 31, 2007. On May 1, 2009, the Company received a \$14,738,000 investment by the United States Department of the Treasury under its Capital Purchase Program (the TARP Program). The TARP Program is a voluntary program designed to provide capital for healthy banks to improve the flow of funds from banks to their customers. Under the TARP Program, the Company issued to the Treasury \$14,738,000 of preferred stock and warrants to purchase 499,030 shares of the Company's common stock at a purchase price of \$4.43 per share. The preferred stock issued by the Company under the TARP Capital Purchase Program carries a 5% dividend for each of the first 5 years of the investment, and 9% thereafter, unless the shares are redeemed by the Company. The increase in equity in 2009 of \$2,779,000 is primarily due to the receipt of the capital investment under the TARP Program of \$14,738,000, offset by the net loss of \$11,511,000 for the year, and the dividends paid to the U.S. Treasury on the TARP investment of \$494,600.

During the third quarter of 2008, the Company took steps to increase the capital position of both the Company and the Bank in connection with the planned merger with River City Bank. Such actions were taken, in part, to allow the FDIC to consider the merger application on an expedited/delegated basis. In that regard, the Company issued 59,885 shares of common stock and received proceeds of \$500,000 as a result of the exercise of previously issued options to its directors, all of which was contributed to the Bank as capital. In addition, the Company obtained a loan for \$2,250,000 from Virginia Community Bank of which it contributed \$2,000,000 to the Bank as capital. And lastly, the Company issued 106,250 shares of common stock to the Company's largest shareholder for proceeds of \$850,000, all of which was contributed to the Bank as capital. The merger with River City Bank resulted in an additional \$5,764,000 in common stock and \$10,505,000 of surplus. All of the above transactions contributed to the \$19,270,000 increase in equity during 2008. The balance outstanding on the loan at December 31, 2009 was \$2,000,000 and included in other borrowings.

During the first quarter of 2005, the Company issued \$5.2 million in Trust Preferred Capital Notes to increase its regulatory capital and to help fund its expected growth in 2005. During the third quarter of 2007, the Company issued \$3.6 million in Trust Preferred Capital Notes to partially fund the construction of an 80,000 square foot headquarters building completed in July 2008. The Trust Preferred Capital Notes may be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital adequacy determination purposes up to 25% of Tier 1 capital after its inclusion. See Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a more detailed discussion of the Trust Preferred Capital Notes.

The following table presents the composition of regulatory capital and the capital ratios at the dates indicated for the Company.

| Analysis of Capital (In thousands) | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | As of December 31, | |
| | | 2008 | 2007 |
| Tier 1 capital | | | |
| Preferred stock | \$ 59 | | |
| Common stock | 16,922 | \$ 16,917 | \$ 10,304 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,569 | 25,737 | 13,726 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (8,648) | 3,454 | 2,986 |
| Warrant Surplus | 732 | | |
| Discount on preferred stock | (636) | | |
| Qualifying trust preferred securities | 8,764 | 8,764 | 8,764 |
| Total equity | 57,762 | 54,872 | 35,780 |
| Less: goodwill | - | (7,422) | (689) |
| Total Tier 1 capital | 57,762 | 47,450 | 35,091 |
| Tier 2 capital | | | |
| Allowance for loan losses | 6,310 | 6,059 | 3,469 |
| Total Tier 2 capital | 6,310 | 6,059 | 3,469 |
| Total risk-based capital | 64,072 | 53,509 | 38,560 |
| Risk-weighted assets | \$500,602 | \$500,689 | \$378,020 |
| Capital ratios | | | |
| Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets | 11.5% | 9.4% | 9.3% |
| Total capital to risk-weighted assets | 12.8% | 10.6% | 10.2% |
| Leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average assets) | 9.4% | 8.4% | 16.4% |
| Equity to total assets | 8.1% | 8.1% | 6.8% |

Federal regulatory agencies are required by law to adopt regulations defining five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, under capitalized, significantly under capitalized, and critically under capitalized. The Bank meets the criteria to be categorized as a “well capitalized” institution as of December 31, 2009 and 2008. When capital falls below the “well capitalized” requirement, consequences can include: new branch approval could be withheld; more frequent examinations by the FDIC; brokered deposits cannot be renewed without a waiver from the FDIC; and other potential limitations as described in FDIC Rules and Regulations sections 337.6 and 303, and FDIC Act section 29. In addition, the FDIC insurance assessment increases when an institution falls below the “well capitalized” classification.

Liquidity

Liquidity provides us with the ability to meet normal deposit withdrawals, while also providing for the credit needs of customers. We are committed to maintaining liquidity at a level sufficient to protect depositors, provide for reasonable growth, and fully comply with all regulatory requirements.

At December 31, 2009, cash, cash equivalents and investment securities available-for-sale totaled \$75,519,000, or 12.5 % of total assets.

At December 31, 2009, we had commitments to originate \$72,876,000 of loans. Fixed commitments to incur capital expenditures were less than \$25,000 at December 31, 2009. Certificates of deposit scheduled to mature in the 12-month period ending December 31, 2009 total \$184,051,000. We believe that a significant portion of such deposits will remain with us. We further believe that deposit growth, loan repayments and other sources of funds will be adequate to meet our foreseeable short-term and long-term liquidity needs.

Interest Rate Sensitivity

An important element of asset/liability management is the monitoring of our sensitivity to interest rate movements. In order to measure the effects of interest rates on our net interest income, management takes into consideration the expected cash flows from the securities and loan portfolios and the expected magnitude of the repricing of specific asset and liability categories. We evaluate interest sensitivity risk and then formulate guidelines to manage this risk based on management's outlook regarding the economy, forecasted interest rate movements and other business factors. Our goal is to maximize and stabilize the net interest margin by limiting exposure to interest rate changes.

Contractual principal repayments of loans do not necessarily reflect the actual term of our loan portfolio. The average lives of mortgage loans are substantially less than their contractual terms because of loan prepayments and because of enforcement of due-on-sale clauses, which gives us the right to declare a loan immediately due and payable in the event, among other things, the borrower sells the real property subject to the mortgage and the loan is not repaid. In addition, certain borrowers increase their equity in the security property by making payments in excess of those required under the terms of the mortgage.

The sale of fixed rate loans is intended to protect us from precipitous changes in the general level of interest rates. The valuation of adjustable rate mortgage loans is not as directly dependent on the level of interest rates as is the value of fixed rate loans. As with other investments, we regularly monitor the appropriateness of the level of adjustable rate mortgage loans in our portfolio and may decide from time to time to sell such loans and reinvest the proceeds in other adjustable rate investments.

The data in the following table reflects repricing or expected maturities of various assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009. The gap analysis represents the difference between interest-sensitive assets and liabilities in a specific time interval. Interest sensitivity gap analysis presents a position that existed at one particular point in time, and assumes that assets and liabilities with similar repricing characteristics will reprice at the same time and to the same degree.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
Interest Rate Sensitivity GAP Analysis
December 31, 2009
(In thousands)

| | Within 3 Months | 3 to 6 Months | 6 to 12 Months | 13 to 36 Months | More than 36 Months | Total |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Interest Rate Sensitive Assets | | | | | | |
| Loans (1) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | \$ | \$ |
| Fixed rate | \$ 41,620 | \$ 13,432 | \$ 22,143 | \$ 26,716 | 154,846 | 258,757 |
| Variable rate | 135,345 | 3,332 | 8,093 | 14,808 | 47,025 | 208,603 |
| Investment securities | - | - | 162 | 78 | 54,617 | 54,857 |
| Loans held for sale | 7,506 | - | - | - | - | 7,506 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,777 | - | - | - | - | 6,777 |
| Total rate sensitive assets | 191,248 | 16,764 | 30,398 | 41,602 | 256,488 | 536,500 |
| Cumulative rate sensitive assets | 191,248 | 208,012 | 238,410 | 280,012 | 536,500 | |
| Interest Rate Sensitive Liabilities | | | | | | |
| Interest checking (2) | - | - | - | 36,441 | - | 36,441 |
| Money market accounts | 115,166 | - | - | - | - | 115,166 |
| Savings (2) | - | - | - | 8,901 | - | 8,901 |
| Certificates of deposit | 88,654 | 29,044 | 69,612 | 100,666 | 11,279 | 299,255 |
| FHLB advances | - | 15,000 | - | 14,000 | - | 29,000 |
| Trust Preferred Securities | - | - | - | - | 8,764 | 8,764 |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other borrowings | 2,928 | 2,041 | 84 | 266 | 9,511 | 14,830 |
| Total rate sensitive liabilities | 206,748 | 46,085 | 69,696 | 160,274 | 29,554 | 512,357 |
| Cumulative rate sensitive liabilities | 206,748 | 252,833 | 322,529 | 482,803 | 512,357 | |
| Rate sensitivity gap for period | \$(15,500) | \$(29,321) | \$(39,298) | \$(118,672) | \$ 226,934 | \$ 24,143 |
| Cumulative rate sensitivity gap | \$(15,500) | \$(44,821) | \$(84,119) | \$(202,791) | \$ 24,143 | |
| | (2.6)% | (7.4)% | (14.0)% | (33.6)% | 4.0% | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Ratio of cumulative gap to total assets | | | | | |
| Ratio of cumulative rate sensitive assets to cumulative rate sensitive liabilities | 92.5% | 82.3% | 73.9% | 58.0% | 104.7% |
| Ratio of cumulative gap to cumulative rate sensitive assets | (8.1)% | (21.5)% | (35.3)% | (72.4)% | 4.5% |

(1) Includes nonaccrual loans of approximately \$21,313,000, which are spread throughout the categories.

(2) Management believes that interest checking and savings accounts are generally not sensitive to changes in interest rates and therefore has placed such deposits in the "13 to 36 months" category.

At December 31, 2009, our liabilities that reprice within one year exceeded assets that reprice within one year by \$84,119,000 and therefore we were in a liability-sensitive position. A negative gap can adversely affect earnings in periods of increasing interest rates. This negative position is due primarily to the short maturity of certificates of deposit as well as an increase in money market accounts.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies followed by the Company conform, in all material respects, to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") which, effective for all interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009, principally consist of the Financial Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification ("FASB Codification"). FASB Codification Topic 105: Generally Accepted Accounting Principles establishes the FASB codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative guidance for SEC registrants. All guidance contained in the FASB Codification carries an equal level of authority. All non-grandfathered, non SEC accounting literature not included in the FASB Codification is superseded and deemed non-authoritative. In preparing the consolidated financial statements,

management has made estimates, assumptions and judgments based on information available as of the date of the financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the financial statements may reflect different estimates, assumptions and judgments. Certain policies inherently have greater reliance on the use of estimates, assumptions and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation allowance to be established, or when an asset or liability must be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. The fair values and the information used to record valuation adjustments for certain assets and liabilities are based either on quoted market prices or are provided by other third-party sources, when readily available. Management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis using historical experience and other factors, including the current economic environment, which management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. The Company adjusts such estimates and assumptions when the Company believes facts and circumstances dictate. Illiquid credit markets, volatile equity, foreign currency and energy markets and declines in consumer spending have combined to increase the uncertainty inherent in such estimates and assumptions. As future events and their effects cannot be determined with precision, actual results could differ significantly from these estimates. Changes in those estimates resulting from continuing changes in the economic environment will be reflected in the financial statements in the future periods.

The financial condition and results of operations presented in the financial statements, accompanying notes to the financial statements and management's discussion and analysis are, to a large degree, dependent upon the Company's accounting policies. The selection and application of these accounting policies involve judgments, estimates, and uncertainties that are susceptible to change. Presented below is discussion of those accounting policies that management believes are the most important accounting policies to the portrayal and understanding of our financial condition and results of operations. These critical accounting policies require management's most difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. In the event that different assumptions or conditions were to prevail, and depending upon the severity of such changes, the possibility of materially different financial condition or results of operations is a reasonable likelihood. See also Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Allowance for loan losses

We monitor and maintain an allowance for loan losses to absorb an estimate of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. We maintain policies and procedures that address the systems of controls over the following areas of maintenance of the allowance: the systematic methodology used to determine the appropriate level of the allowance to provide assurance they are maintained in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; the accounting policies for loan charge-offs and recoveries; the assessment and measurement of impairment in the loan portfolio; and the loan grading system.

The allowance reflects management's best estimate of probable losses within the existing loan portfolio and of the risk inherent in various components of the loan portfolio, including loans identified as impaired as required by FASB Codification Topic 310: Receivables. Loans evaluated individually for impairment include non-performing loans, such as loans on non-accrual, loans past due by 90 days or more, restructured loans and other loans selected by management. The evaluations are based upon discounted expected cash flows or collateral valuations. If the evaluation shows that a loan is individually impaired, then a specific reserve is established for the amount of impairment.

Loans are grouped by similar characteristics, including the type of loan, the assigned loan classification and the general collateral type. A loss rate reflecting the expected loss inherent in a group of loans is derived based upon

estimates of default rates for a given loan grade, the predominant collateral type for the group and the terms of the loan. The resulting estimate of losses for groups of loans is adjusted for relevant environmental factors and other conditions of the portfolio of loans and leases, including: borrower and industry concentrations; levels and trends in

delinquencies, charge-offs and recoveries; changes in underwriting standards and risk selection; level of experience, ability and depth of lending management; and national and local economic conditions.

The amounts of estimated impairment for individually evaluated loans and groups of loans are added together for a total estimate of loan losses. This estimate of losses is compared to our allowance for loan losses as of the evaluation date and, if the estimate of losses is greater than the allowance, an additional provision to the allowance would be made. If the estimate of losses is less than the allowance, the degree to which the allowance exceeds the estimate is evaluated to determine whether the allowance falls outside a range of estimates. If the estimate of losses is below the range of reasonable estimates, the allowance would be reduced by way of a credit to the provision for loan losses. We recognize the inherent imprecision in estimates of losses due to various uncertainties and variability related to the factors used, and therefore a reasonable range around the estimate of losses is derived and used to ascertain whether the allowance is too high. If different assumptions or conditions were to prevail and it is determined that the allowance is not adequate to absorb the new estimate of probable losses, an additional provision for loan losses would be made, which amount may be material to the financial statements.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the cost in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired (including identifiable intangibles) in transactions accounted for as business combinations. Goodwill has an indefinite useful life and is evaluated for impairment annually, or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset's fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment. If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit for which the first step indicated impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. The Company's annual goodwill impairment evaluation in 2009 resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$7,422,000 which was recorded to noninterest expense for the year ended December 31, 2009. Of the total \$7,422,000 in goodwill, \$6,733,000 related to the acquisition of River City Bank and \$689,000 related to the acquisition of the mortgage company. This impairment charge, representing the full amount of goodwill on the consolidated balance sheet, was primarily due to a significant decline in the market value of the Company's common stock during 2009 to below tangible book value for an extended period of time. Other intangible assets include premiums paid for acquisitions of core deposits and other identifiable intangible assets. Intangible assets other than goodwill, which are determined to have finite lives, are amortized based upon the estimated economic benefits received.

Income taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is determined based on the tax effects of the temporary differences between the book and tax bases of the various balance sheet assets and liabilities and gives current recognition to changes in tax rates and laws. Deferred taxes are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be

realized. Management is also required to identify, estimate and disclose positions they have taken where the income tax treatment of the

50

position taken is not 100% certain. Our evaluation of the deductibility or taxability of items included in the Company's tax returns has not resulted in the identification of any material, uncertain tax positions.

New accounting standards

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance on subsequent events that standardizes accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. As a public entity, the Company is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date its financial statements are issued. Accordingly, the Company has completed an evaluation of subsequent events through November 13, 2009. These rules became effective for the Company during its interim period ending after June 15, 2009, and did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued standards on accounting for transfers of financial assets, removing the concept of qualifying special-purpose entities as an accounting criteria that had provided an exception to consolidation, and provided additional guidance on requirements for consolidation. This guidance is effective for annual periods ending after November 15, 2009, and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, "Compensation—Retirement Benefits," provides guidance related to an employer's disclosures about plan assets of defined benefit pension or other post-retirement benefit plans. Under ASC Topic 715, disclosures should provide users of financial statements with an understanding of how investment allocation decisions are made, the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies, the major categories of plan assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets, the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs on changes in plan assets for the period and significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715 became effective for the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year-ended December 31, 2009 and the required disclosures are reported in Note 17 - Retirement Plans.

Additional new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, "Compensation—Retirement Benefits," requires the recognition of a liability and related compensation expense for endorsement split-dollar life insurance policies that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to post-retirement periods. Under ASC Topic 715, life insurance policies purchased for the purpose of providing such benefits do not effectively settle an entity's obligation to the employee. Accordingly, the entity must recognize a liability and related compensation expense during the employee's active service period based on the future cost of insurance to be incurred during the employee's retirement. The Company does not have any split-dollar life insurance policies.

To conform with Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") requirements, the FASB repealed the requirement that SEC registrants disclose the date through which an evaluation of subsequent events has been conducted as required under FASB ASC Update 2010-09 "Subsequent Events".

New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing," amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity" and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance also requires additional disclosures about all continuing involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860 will be effective January 1, 2010 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Impact of inflation and changing prices

The Company's financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, which require the Company to measure financial position and operating results primarily in terms of historical dollars. Changes in the relative value of money due to inflation or recession are generally not considered. The primary effect of inflation on the operations of the Company is reflected in increased operating costs. In management's opinion, changes in interest rates affect the financial condition of a financial institution to a far greater degree than changes in the inflation rate. While interest rates are greatly influenced by changes in the inflation rate, they do not necessarily change at the same rate or in the same magnitude as the inflation rate. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of the Company, including changes in the expected rate of inflation, the influence of general and local economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government, its agencies and various other governmental regulatory authorities.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and related footnotes of the Company are presented below.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors
Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
Midlothian, Virginia

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/BDO Seidman, LLP

Richmond, Virginia
March 30, 2010

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Balance Sheets
December 31, 2009 and 2008

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 13,884,581 | \$ 13,107,245 |
| Federal funds sold | 6,777,239 | 13,493,584 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 54,857,211 | 24,300,962 |
| Loans held for sale | 7,506,252 | 4,325,746 |
| Loans | | |
| Outstandings | 467,359,664 | 470,918,182 |
| Allowance for loan losses | (10,521,931) | (6,059,272) |
| Deferred fees and costs | 208,883 | (195,896) |
| | 457,046,616 | 464,663,014 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 27,799,084 | 28,173,518 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,366,718 | 3,499,793 |
| Goodwill | - | 7,422,141 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 5,431,002 | 5,099,022 |
| Other real estate owned | 11,278,532 | 2,932,101 |
| Other assets | 15,015,708 | 5,390,867 |
| | \$602,962,943 | \$572,407,993 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Deposits | \$498,285,124 | \$466,232,043 |
| Federal home loan bank advances | 29,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| Long-term debt- trust preferred securities | 8,764,000 | 8,764,000 |
| Other borrowings | 14,829,521 | 23,962,898 |
| Accrued interest payable | 501,069 | 1,014,534 |
| Other liabilities | 2,641,410 | 1,271,944 |
| Total liabilities | 554,021,124 | 526,245,419 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, \$4 par value, \$1,000 liquidation preference, 1,000,000 shares authorized, 14,738 shares issued and outstanding | 58,952 | - |
| Common stock, \$4 par value - 10,000,000 shares authorized; 4,230,628 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 4,229,372 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2008 | 16,922,512 | 16,917,488 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,568,771 | 25,737,048 |
| Retained earnings | (8,647,731) | 3,453,788 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|---------------|---|---------------|
| Warrant | 732,479 | - | |
| Discount on preferred stock | (636,959) | - | |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (56,205) | | 54,250 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 48,941,819 | | 46,162,574 |
| | \$602,962,943 | | \$572,407,993 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Income
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Interest income | | | |
| Loans | \$ 31,711,644 | \$ 28,140,129 | \$ 24,379,103 |
| Investment securities | 1,457,694 | 698,790 | 847,364 |
| Federal funds sold | 26,635 | 233,227 | 438,768 |
| Total interest income | 33,195,973 | 29,072,146 | 25,665,235 |
| Interest expense | | | |
| Deposits | 14,433,943 | 14,348,287 | 12,949,807 |
| Borrowed funds | 1,973,736 | 1,621,496 | 856,908 |
| Total interest expense | 16,407,679 | 15,969,783 | 13,806,715 |
| Net interest income | 16,788,294 | 13,102,363 | 11,858,520 |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,220,000 | 2,005,633 | 1,187,482 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 3,568,294 | 11,096,730 | 10,671,038 |
| Noninterest income | | | |
| Service charges and fees | 1,612,769 | 1,160,500 | 748,695 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 5,828,006 | 2,381,023 | 1,513,318 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of equipment | (43,637) | 57,827 | - |
| Rental income | 187,786 | 4,183 | - |
| Other | 700,176 | 581,194 | 404,943 |
| Total noninterest income | 8,285,100 | 4,184,727 | 2,666,956 |
| Noninterest expense | | | |
| Salaries and benefits | 10,476,065 | 7,976,472 | 6,842,990 |
| Occupancy | 1,757,939 | 1,264,757 | 900,913 |
| Equipment | 877,205 | 751,698 | 659,014 |
| Supplies | 495,562 | 464,900 | 353,573 |
| Professional and outside services | 1,726,130 | 1,544,895 | 1,173,135 |
| Advertising and marketing | 308,598 | 315,985 | 439,749 |
| OREO expense | 1,475,338 | 165,455 | - |
| FDIC assessment | 1,366,612 | 464,395 | 175,763 |
| Other operating expense | 2,432,286 | 1,623,714 | 1,276,095 |
| Goodwill impairment | 7,422,141 | - | - |
| Total noninterest expense | 28,337,876 | 14,572,271 | 11,821,232 |
| Net income (loss) before income taxes | (16,484,482) | 709,186 | 1,516,762 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | (4,973,114) | 241,097 | 515,699 |
| Net income (loss) | (11,511,368) | 468,089 | 1,001,063 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Preferred stock dividends | 494,631 | - | - |
| Net Income (loss) available to Common shareholders | \$(12,005,999) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |
| Earnings (loss) per share, basic | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.39 |
| Earnings (loss) per share, diluted | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.37 |

See accompanying notes to
consolidated financial statements.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
and Comprehensive Income
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

| | Preferred Stock | Common Stock | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings (Deficit) | Warrant | Discount on Preferred Stock | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss) | Total |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--|------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2006 | \$ - | \$ 10,248,352 | \$ 13,588,888 | \$ 1,984,634 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ (177,759) | 25,644,117 |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 55,588 | 77,646 | - | - | - | - | 133,234 |
| Stock based compensation | - | - | 59,735 | - | - | - | - | 59,735 |
| Minimum pension adjustment (net of income taxes of \$4,419) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8,579 | 8,579 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 1,001,063 | - | - | - | 1,001,063 |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale (net of income taxes of \$23,992) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 46,573 | 46,573 |
| Total comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,047,642 |
| Balance, December 31, 2007 | - | 10,303,940 | 13,726,269 | 2,985,697 | - | - | (122,607) | 26,893,299 |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 849,652 | 950,712 | - | - | - | - | 1,800,364 |
| Stock issued in acquisition of River City Bank | - | 5,763,896 | 10,504,700 | - | - | - | - | 16,268,596 |
| Stock based compensation | - | - | 555,367 | - | - | - | - | 555,367 |
| Minimum pension | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| adjustment (net of income taxes of \$2,917) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8,580 | 8,580 |
| Net income | - | - | - | 468,091 | - | - | - | 468,091 |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities (net of incom taxes of \$57,214) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 168,277 | 168,277 |
| Total comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 636,368 |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | - | 16,917,488 | 25,737,048 | 3,453,788 | - | - | 54,250 | 46,162,568 |
| Issuance of preferred stock | 58,952 | - | 14,679,048 | - | 732,479 | (732,479) | - | 14,738,027 |
| Amortization of preferred stock discount | - | - | - | (95,520) | - | 95,520 | - | - |
| Preferred stock dividend | - | - | - | (494,631) | - | - | - | (494,631) |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 5,024 | (5,024) | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stock based compensation | - | - | 157,699 | - | - | - | - | 157,699 |
| Minimum pension adjustment (net of income taxes of \$2,917) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8,580 | 8,580 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | (11,511,368) | - | - | - | (11,511,368) |
| Change in unrealized gain (loss) on securities (net of incom taxes of \$61,321) | - | - | - | - | - | - | (119,035) | (119,035) |
| Total comprehensive income | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | (11,621,800) |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | \$ 58,952 | \$ 16,922,512 | \$ 40,568,771 | \$ (8,647,731) | \$ 732,479 | \$ (636,959) | \$ (56,205) | \$ 48,941,800 |

See accompanying notes to
consolidated financial statements.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--------------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (11,511,368) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,250,315 | 798,965 | 673,110 |
| Deferred income taxes | (3,031,268) | (291,679) | (236,072) |
| Provision for loan losses | 13,220,000 | 2,005,633 | 1,187,482 |
| Write-down of other real estate owned | 1,329,991 | - | - |
| Write-off of goodwill | 7,422,141 | - | - |
| Gain on securities | (329,183) | (23,194) | - |
| Gain on loans sold | (5,828,006) | (2,381,023) | (1,513,318) |
| (Gain) loss on sale of premises and equipment | 43,353 | (57,827) | - |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned | (46,173) | - | - |
| Stock compensation expense | 157,699 | 555,367 | 59,735 |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 2,875,478 | - | - |
| Proceeds from sale of mortgage loans | 255,007,702 | 101,624,820 | 68,667,081 |
| Origination of mortgage loans for sale | (252,360,202) | (100,079,657) | (67,494,471) |
| Amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on securities, net | 337,251 | (31,098) | 37,759 |
| (Increase) decrease in interest receivable | 133,075 | (43,355) | (451,491) |
| Increase in bank owned life insurance | (331,980) | (1,108,511) | (1,382,788) |
| Increase in other assets | (6,523,672) | (1,945,210) | (751,544) |
| Increase (decrease) in interest payable | (513,465) | (178,382) | 157,994 |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities | 1,369,466 | 262,945 | (501,892) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | 2,671,154 | (424,117) | (547,352) |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| | (46,117,779) | - | (23,532,491) |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Purchases of available for sale securities | | | |
| Maturities and calls of available for sale securities | 15,373,106 | 16,619,003 | 22,641,205 |
| Net increase in loans | (18,109,329) | (32,209,599) | (86,562,804) |
| Purchases of premises and equipment | (1,023,928) | (8,954,314) | (8,080,207) |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment | 104,693 | 1,144,595 | - |
| Acquisition net of cash required | - | (57,175) | - |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (49,773,237) | (23,457,490) | (95,534,297) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Issuance of preferred stock | 14,738,000 | - | - |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 1,800,364 | 133,234 |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits | 32,053,081 | (3,277,260) | 85,987,377 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 4,000,000 | 13,000,000 | 8,000,000 |
| Proceeds from issuance of trust preferred securities | - | - | 3,609,000 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other borrowings | (9,133,377) | 16,844,328 | 3,268,539 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (494,631) | - | - |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 41,163,073 | 28,367,432 | 100,998,150 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (5,939,009) | 4,485,825 | 4,916,501 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 26,600,829 | 22,115,004 | 17,198,503 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 20,661,820 | \$ 26,600,829 | \$ 22,115,004 |
| Supplemental Schedule of Non Cash Activities | | | |
| Real estate owned assets acquired in settlement of loans | \$ 12,505,727 | \$ 1,337,306 | \$ - |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and Subsidiary
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accounting and reporting policies of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and subsidiary (the "Company") conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and to general practice within the banking industry. The following is a description of the more significant of those policies:

Business

The Company is the holding company of and successor to the Village Bank (the "Bank"). Effective April 30, 2004, the Company acquired all of the outstanding stock of the Bank in a statutory share exchange transaction. In the transaction, the shares of the Bank's common stock were exchanged for shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$4.00 per share ("Common Stock"), on a one-for-one basis. As a result, the Bank became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, the Company became the holding company for the Bank and the shareholders of the Bank became shareholders of the Company.

The Bank opened to the public on December 13, 1999 as a traditional community bank offering deposit and loan services to individuals and businesses in the Richmond, Virginia metropolitan area. During 2003, the Bank acquired or formed three wholly owned subsidiaries, Village Bank Mortgage Corporation ("Village Mortgage"), a full service mortgage banking company, Village Insurance Agency, Inc. ("Village Insurance"), a full service property and casualty insurance agency, and Village Financial Services Corporation ("Village Financial Services"), a financial services company. Through these subsidiaries, the Bank provides a broad array of financial services to its customers.

On October 14, 2008, the Company completed its merger with River City Bank pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger, dated as of March 9, 2008, by and among the Company, the Bank and River City Bank. The merger had previously been approved by both companies' shareholders at their respective annual meetings on September 30, 2008 as well as the banking regulators.

The Company is subject to intense competition from existing bank holding companies, commercial banks and savings banks which have been in business for many years and have established customer bases. Competition also comes from a variety of other non-bank businesses that offer financial services. Many of these competitors operate in the same geographic market where the Company operates, are well-known with long-standing relationships with businesses and individuals in the communities, and are substantially larger with greater resources than the Company.

The Bank is also subject to regulations of certain federal and state agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities. As a consequence of the extensive regulation of commercial banking activities, the Bank's business is susceptible to being affected by state and federal legislation and regulations.

The majority of the Company's real estate loans are collateralized by properties in markets in the Richmond, Virginia metropolitan area. Accordingly, the ultimate collectibility of those loans collateralized by real estate is particularly susceptible to changes in market conditions in the Richmond area.

Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Bank and the Bank's subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates of the statements of financial condition and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates. A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses.

Investment securities

At the time of purchase, debt securities are classified into the following categories: held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading. Debt securities that the Company has both the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are stated at amortized cost adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts on purchase using a method that approximates the effective interest method. Investments classified as trading or available-for-sale are stated at fair market value. Changes in fair value of trading investments are included in current earnings while changes in fair value of available-for-sale investments are excluded from current earnings and reported, net of taxes, as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Presently, the Company does not maintain a portfolio of trading securities.

A decline in the market value of any available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security below cost that is deemed other than temporary results in a charge to earnings and the corresponding establishment of a new cost basis for the security. No such declines have occurred.

Interest income is recognized when earned. Realized gains and losses for securities classified as available-for-sale and held-to-maturity are included in earnings and are derived using the specific identification method for determining the cost of securities sold.

Loans held for sale

The Company, through the Bank's mortgage banking subsidiary, Village Bank Mortgage, originates residential mortgage loans for sale in the secondary market. Mortgage loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value on an individual loan basis as determined by outstanding commitments from investors. The Company requires a firm purchase commitment from a permanent investor before a loan can be closed, thus limiting interest rate risk. Net unrealized losses, if any, are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income.

Residential mortgage loans held for sale are sold to the permanent investor with the mortgage servicing rights released. Gains or losses on sales of mortgage loans are recognized based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related mortgage loans sold. This difference arises primarily as a result of the value of the mortgage servicing rights.

Once a residential mortgage loan is sold to a permanent investor, the Company has no further involvement or retained interest in the loan. There are limited circumstances in which the permanent investor can contractually require the Company to repurchase the loan. The Company makes no provision for any such recourse related to loans sold as history has shown repurchase of loans under these circumstances has been remote.

Loans

Loans are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of unearned income. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized to interest income over the life of the loan as an adjustment to the loan's yield over the term of the loan.

Interest is accrued on outstanding principal balances, unless the Company considers collection to be doubtful. Commercial and unsecured consumer loans are designated as non-accrual when payment is delinquent 90 days or at the point which the Company considers collection doubtful, if earlier. Mortgage loans and most other types of consumer loans past due 90 days or more may remain on accrual status if management determines that such amounts are collectible. When loans are placed in non-accrual status, previously accrued and unpaid interest is reversed against interest income in the current period and interest is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash is received. Interest accruals are resumed on such loans only when in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

The Company, through the Bank's mortgage banking subsidiary, Village Bank Mortgage, enters into commitments to originate residential mortgage loans in which the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding, termed rate lock commitments. Such rate lock commitments on mortgage loans to be sold in the secondary market are considered to be derivatives. The period of time between issuance of a loan commitment and closing and sale of the loan generally ranges from 30 to 45 days. The Company protects itself from changes in interest rates during this period by requiring a firm purchase agreement from a permanent investor before a loan can be closed. As a result, the Company is not exposed to losses nor will it realize gains or losses related to its rate lock commitments due to changes in interest rates.

The market value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts is not readily ascertainable with precision because rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts are not actively traded in stand-alone markets. The Company determines the fair value of rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts by measuring the change in the value of the underlying asset while taking into consideration the probability that the rate lock commitments will close. Due to high correlation between rate lock commitments and best efforts contracts, no significant gains or losses have occurred on the rate lock commitments.

Allowance for loan losses

The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is probable. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance represents an amount that, in management's judgment, will be adequate to absorb any losses on existing loans that may become uncollectible. Management's judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans while taking into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions which may affect a borrower's ability to repay, overall portfolio quality, and review of specific potential losses. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of general, specific and unallocated components. The general component covers non-classified loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors. The specific component relates to loans that we have concluded, based on the value of collateral, guarantees and any other pertinent factors, have known losses. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of

that loan. An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

Premises and equipment

Land is carried at cost. Premises and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation of buildings and improvements is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets of 39 years. Depreciation of equipment is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from 3 to 7 years. Amortization of premises (leasehold improvements) is computed using the straight-line method over the term of the lease or estimated lives of the improvements, whichever is shorter.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the cost in excess of the fair value of net assets acquired (including identifiable intangibles) in transactions accounted for as business combinations. Goodwill has an indefinite useful life and is evaluated for impairment annually, or more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset's fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment. If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for each reporting unit for which the first step indicated impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess.

The Company's annual goodwill impairment evaluation in 2009 resulted in a goodwill impairment charge of \$7,422,000 which was recorded to noninterest expense for the year ended December 31,

2009. Of the total \$7,422,000 in goodwill, \$6,733,000 related to the acquisition of River City Bank and \$689,000 related to the acquisition of the mortgage company. This impairment charge, representing the full amount of goodwill on the consolidated balance sheet, was primarily due to a significant decline in the market value of the Company's common stock during 2009 to below tangible book value for an extended period of time. Other intangible assets include premiums paid for acquisitions of core deposits and other identifiable intangible assets. Intangible assets other than goodwill, which are determined to have finite lives, are amortized based upon the estimated economic benefits received.

Income taxes

Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of "temporary differences" by applying enacted tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. The effect on recorded deferred income taxes of a change in tax laws or rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. To the extent that available evidence about the future raises doubt about the realization of a deferred income tax asset, a valuation allowance is established. A tax position is recognized as a benefit only if it is "more likely than not" that the tax position would be sustained in a tax examination, with a tax examination being presumed to occur. The amount recognized is the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized on examination. For tax positions not meeting the "more likely than not" test, no tax benefit is recorded. The primary temporary differences are the allowance for loan losses and depreciation and amortization. The Company has not identified any material uncertain tax positions. As such, the disclosures required by GAAP pertaining to uncertain tax positions have been omitted.

Consolidated statements of cash flows

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, due from banks (including cash items in process of collection), interest-bearing deposits with banks and federal funds sold. Generally, federal funds are purchased and sold for one-day periods. Cash flows from loans originated by the Bank and deposits are reported net. The Company paid interest of \$16,921,000, \$15,543,000 and \$13,649,000 in 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively. The Company paid income taxes of \$290,000, \$260,400 and \$800,400 in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Non-cash investing activities included loans converted to real estate owned of \$8,942,000, \$1,337,000 and \$0 in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Comprehensive income

Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Total comprehensive income (loss) consists of net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss). The Company's other comprehensive income (loss) and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are comprised of unrealized gains and losses on certain investments in debt securities and amortization of the unfunded pension liability. At December 31, 2009 the accumulated other comprehensive income was comprised of unrealized gains on securities available for sale of \$63,862 and unfunded pension liability of \$120,067.

Earnings per common share

Basic earnings (loss) per common share represent net income available to common stockholders, which represents net income (loss) less dividends paid or payable to preferred stock shareholders, divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. For diluted earnings per common share, net income available to common shareholders is divided by the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding for each period plus amounts representing the dilutive effect of stock options and warrants, as well as any adjustment to income that would result from the assumed issuance. The effects of stock options and warrants are excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per common share in periods in which the effect

would be antidilutive. Stock options and warrants are antidilutive if the underlying average market price of the stock that can be purchased for the period is less than the exercise price of the option or warrant. Potential common shares that may be issued by the Company relate solely to outstanding stock options and warrants and are determined using the treasury stock method.

Stock incentive plan

The Company's shareholders approved the Company's 2000 stock incentive plan which authorizes the issuance of up to 455,000 shares of common stock (increased from 255,000 shares by amendment to the Incentive Plan approved by the Company's shareholders at its 2006 annual meeting on May 23, 2006) to assist the Company in recruiting and retaining key personnel. The incentive plan includes issuances of stock options and awards of 444,590 common shares. The expiration date on options granted is ten years with a three year vesting schedule. See Note 15 for more information on the stock incentive plan.

Fair values of financial instruments

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are independent, knowledgeable, able to transact and willing to transact. The Bank uses the following methods and assumptions in estimating fair values of financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents – The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Investment securities – The fair value of investment securities held-to-maturity and available-for-sale is estimated based on bid quotations received from independent pricing services. The carrying amount of other investments approximates fair value.

Loans – For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. For all other loans, fair values are calculated by discounting the contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loans, or by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Deposits – The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as demand, interest checking and money market, and savings accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand at year-end. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings – The fair value of FHLB borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using the rates currently offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased approximate their fair values. Other borrowings are short-term in nature and the carrying amounts approximate fair value.

Accrued interest – The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate fair value.

Off-balance-sheet instruments – The fair value of off-balance-sheet lending commitments is equal to the amount of commitments outstanding at December 31, 2009 of \$72,876,000. This is based on the fact that the Bank generally does not offer lending commitments or standby letters of credit to its customers for long periods, and therefore, the underlying rates of the commitments approximate market rates.

New accounting pronouncements

In May 2009, the FASB issued guidance on subsequent events that standardizes accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. As a public entity, the Company is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date its financial statements are issued. Accordingly, the Company has completed an evaluation of subsequent events through November 13, 2009. These rules became effective for the Company during its interim period ending after June 15, 2009, and did not have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued standards on accounting for transfers of financial assets, removing the concept of qualifying special-purpose entities as an accounting criteria that had provided an exception to consolidation, and provided additional guidance on requirements for consolidation. This guidance is effective for annual periods ending after November 15, 2009, and did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, "Compensation—Retirement Benefits," provides guidance related to an employer's disclosures about plan assets of defined benefit pension or other post-retirement benefit plans. Under ASC Topic 715, disclosures should provide users of financial statements with an understanding of how investment allocation decisions are made, the factors that are pertinent to an understanding of investment policies and strategies, the major categories of plan assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of plan assets, the effect of fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs on changes in plan assets for the period and significant concentrations of risk within plan assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715 became effective for the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year-ended December 31, 2009 and the required disclosures are reported in Note 17 - Retirement Plans.

Additional new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 715, "Compensation—Retirement Benefits," requires the recognition of a liability and related compensation expense for endorsement split-dollar life insurance policies that provide a benefit to an employee that extends to post-retirement periods. Under ASC Topic 715, life insurance policies purchased for the purpose of providing such benefits do not effectively settle an entity's obligation to the employee. Accordingly, the entity must recognize a liability and related compensation expense during the employee's active service period based on the future cost of insurance to be incurred during the employee's retirement. The Company does not have any split-dollar life insurance policies.

To conform with Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") requirements, the FASB repealed the requirement that SEC registrants disclose the date through which an evaluation of subsequent events has been conducted as required under FASB ASC Update 2010-09 "Subsequent Events".

New authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing," amends prior accounting guidance to enhance reporting about transfers of financial assets, including securitizations, and where companies have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity" and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. The new authoritative accounting guidance also requires additional disclosures about all continuing

involvements with transferred financial assets including information about gains and losses resulting from transfers during the period. The new authoritative accounting guidance under ASC Topic 860 will be effective January 1, 2010 and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Business Combination

On September 30, 2008 the Company acquired River City Bank for approximately \$20,720,000. The total consideration included approximately \$16,269,000 of common stock, representing approximately 1,441,000 shares, and cash of \$3,962,244 paid to stockholders of River City Bank. The transaction requires no future contingent consideration payments. The merger of the Company and River City Bank resulted in a combined company with approximately \$572 million in assets and increases the Company's market presence in Henrico County and establishes a presence in Hanover County continuing our goal of expanding our franchise into other counties in the Richmond metropolitan area.

Goodwill of \$6.7 million recorded in this transaction was subsequently determined to be impaired at December 31, 2009 and an impairment of goodwill was recorded as of that date. The Company also recorded \$809,318 in core deposits intangibles which is being amortized over eight years using the straight line method. The balance of the intangible was \$687,000 and \$785,000 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Amortization expense of \$98,000 and \$24,000 was included in other operating expense at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Amortization expense of \$98,000 per year will be recognized through 2016.

Note 3. Investment securities available-for-sale

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available-for-sale as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| December 31, 2009 | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 47,627,779 | \$ 301,365 | \$ (259,967) | \$47,669,177 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 4,133,353 | 91,937 | (46,983) | 4,178,307 |
| Municipals | 1,026,422 | 233 | - | 1,026,655 |
| Other investments | 1,972,896 | 10,175 | - | 1,983,071 |
| | \$ | | | |
| Total | 54,760,450 | \$ 403,710 | \$ (306,950) | \$54,857,210 |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | |
| U.S. Government agencies | \$ 16,454,727 | \$ 565,175 | \$ (4,873) | \$17,015,029 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 5,599,176 | 7,607 | (106,818) | 5,499,965 |
| Other investments | 1,969,943 | - | (183,975) | 1,785,968 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

\$

| | | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total | 24,023,846 | \$ 572,782 | \$ (295,666) | \$24,300,962 |
|-------|------------|------------|--------------|--------------|

Investment securities with book values of approximately \$6,000,000 and \$12,000,000 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, were pledged to secure municipal deposits.

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

Investment securities available for sale that have an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are detailed below:

| December 31, 2009 | Securities in a loss Position for less than 12 Months | | Securities in a loss Position for more than 12 Months | | Total | |
|--|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value (Loss) | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Investment Securities available for sale | | | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | \$ 19,542 | \$ (264) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19,542 | \$ (264) |
| Total | \$ 19,542 | \$ (264) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 19,542 | \$ (264) |

| December 31, 2008 | Securities in a loss Position for less than 12 Months | | Securities in a loss Position for more than 12 Months | | Total | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value (Loss) | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| Investment Securities available for sale | | | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | \$ 1,350 | \$ (9) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,350 | \$ (9) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 3,044 | (40) | | | 3,044 | (40) |
| Other investments | 1,786 | (184) | - | - | 1,786 | (184) |
| Total | \$ 6,180 | \$ (233) | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6,180 | \$ (233) |

Management does not believe that any individual unrealized loss as of December 31, 2009 is other than a temporary impairment. These unrealized losses are primarily attributable to changes in interest rates. The Company has the ability to hold these securities for a time necessary to recover the amortized cost or until maturity when full repayment would be received.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available-for-sale as of December 31, 2009, by contractual maturity, are as follows:

| | Amortized Cost | Estimated Fair Value |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| One to five years | \$ 9,749,636 | \$ 9,647,303 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Five to ten years | 5,473,345 | 5,544,527 |
| More than ten years | 39,537,469 | 39,665,380 |
| Total | \$ 54,760,450 | \$ 54,857,210 |

During 2009 and 2008, investment securities available-for-sale totaling \$15,373,000 and \$16,336,000 respectively, were called or matured with no net losses.

Note 4. Loans

Loans classified by type as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| Commercial | \$ 39,576,219 | \$ 52,438,487 |
| Real estate - residential | 93,656,979 | 84,611,678 |
| Real estate - commercial | 240,829,484 | 220,399,707 |
| Real estate - construction | 81,688,330 | 103,161,425 |
| Consumer | 11,608,652 | 10,306,885 |
| Total loans | 467,359,664 | 470,918,182 |
| Deferred loan cost (unearned income), net | 208,883 | (195,896) |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (10,521,931) | (6,059,272) |
| | | \$ |
| | \$ 457,046,616 | 464,663,014 |

Gains on the sale of loans totaling approximately \$5,828,000, \$2,381,000 and \$1,513,000 were realized during the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Twelve loans totaling \$4,787,000 at December 31, 2009 were past due 90 days or more yet interest was still being accrued.

The following is a summary of loans directly or indirectly with executive officers or directors of the Company for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 9,985,486 | \$ 5,434,997 |
| Additions | 8,131,630 | 10,178,165 |
| Reductions | (8,392,325) | (5,627,676) |
| Ending balance | \$ 9,724,791 | \$ 9,985,486 |

Executive officers and directors also had unused credit lines totaling \$3,864,000 and \$4,411,000 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. All loans and credit lines to executive officers and directors were made in the ordinary course of business at the Company's normal credit terms, including interest rate and collateralization prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with other persons.

Note 5. Allowance for loan losses

Activity in the allowance for loan losses in 2009, 2008 and 2007 was as follows:

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 6,059,272 | \$ 3,469,274 | \$ 2,552,608 |
| | 13,220,000 | 2,005,633 | 1,187,482 |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| Provision for loan losses | | | |
| River City Bank, acquisition | - | 2,403,551 | |
| Charge-offs | (8,767,522) | (2,242,761) | (271,016) |
| Recoveries | 10,181 | 423,575 | 200 |
| Ending balance | \$ 10,521,931 | \$ 6,059,272 | \$ 3,469,274 |

As of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, the Company had impaired loans of \$25,913,000, \$1,369,000 and \$959,000, respectively, which were on nonaccrual status. These loans had valuation allowances of \$5,522,000, \$235,000 and \$200,000 as of December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company does not record interest income on impaired loans. Interest income that would have been recorded had impaired loans been performing would have been \$569,000, \$95,000 and \$93,000 for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Note 6. Premises and equipment

The following is a summary of premises and equipment as of December 31, 2009 and 2008:

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Land | \$ 6,318,761 | \$ 6,318,761 |
| Buildings and improvements | 21,556,836 | 20,747,905 |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 4,404,084 | 4,858,610 |
| Total premises and equipment | 32,279,681 | 31,925,276 |
| Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (4,480,597) | (3,751,758) |
| Premises and equipment, net | \$ 27,799,084 | \$ 28,173,518 |

Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment for 2009, 2008 and 2007 amounted to \$1,250,000, \$799,000 and \$673,000 respectively.

Note 7. Investment in bank owned life insurance

The Bank is owner and designated beneficiary on life insurance policies in the face amount of \$15,391,000 covering certain of its directors and executive officers. The earnings from these policies are used to offset expenses related to retirement plans. The cash surrender value of these policies at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$5,431,000 and \$5,099,000, respectively.

Note 8. Deposits

Deposits as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 were as follows:

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Demand accounts | \$ 38,520,878 | \$ 34,483,360 |
| Interest checking accounts | 36,441,259 | 17,427,061 |
| Money market accounts | 115,166,477 | 30,002,756 |
| Savings accounts | 8,901,299 | 5,387,828 |
| Time deposits of \$100,000 and over | 119,352,471 | 148,172,837 |
| Other time deposits | 179,902,740 | 230,758,201 |

| | | |
|-------|----------------|----------------|
| Total | \$ 498,285,124 | \$ 466,232,043 |
|-------|----------------|----------------|

The following are the scheduled maturities of time deposits as of December 31, 2009:

68

| Year Ending December 31, | Less Than | Greater than or Equal to | Total |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| | \$100,000 | \$100,000 | |
| 2010 | \$ 110,375,362 | \$ 73,676,055 | \$ 184,051,417 |
| 2011 | 45,409,688 | 30,042,971 | 75,452,659 |
| 2012 | 13,827,040 | 8,601,274 | 22,428,314 |
| 2013 | 4,185,381 | 2,705,386 | 6,890,767 |
| 2014 | 6,105,269 | 4,326,785 | 10,432,054 |
| | \$ 179,902,740 | \$ 119,352,471 | \$ 299,255,211 |

Deposits held at the Company by related parties, which include officers, directors, greater than 5% shareholders and companies in which directors of the Board have a significant ownership interest, approximated \$6,660,000 and \$3,915,000 at December 31, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Note 9. Borrowings

The Company uses both short-term and long-term borrowings to supplement deposits when they are available at a lower overall cost to the Company or they can be invested at a positive rate of return.

On September 12, 2007, the Company entered into a promissory note payable to Community Bankers' Bank for \$11,000,000. The note was modified on July 1, 2009 and bears interest at a fixed interest rate of 6.60% with principal and interest payments of \$68,906 for 60 months, then converts to the five year T-Bill rate plus 2.40%, adjusted every sixty months thereafter. The note matures on July 1, 2029 and the balance at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was 9,943,873 and \$10,021,871, respectively.. Proceeds advanced under the promissory note were used to finance the construction of the Company's new principal administrative offices in Chesterfield County which was completed in July 2008.

On September 24, 2008 the Company obtained a note payable from Virginia Community Bank for \$2,250,000 bearing interest at 5% payable quarterly and matured September 24, 2009. At maturity, the note was reduced to \$2,000,000 and extended to April 23, 2010. The balance at December 31, 2009 and 2008 was 2,000,000 and \$2,250,000, respectively..

As a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, the Bank is required to own capital stock in the FHLB and is authorized to apply for advances from the FHLB. The Company held \$2,308,000 in FHLB stock at December 31, 2009 which is held at cost and included in other assets. Each FHLB credit program has its own interest rate, which may be fixed or variable, and range of maturities. The FHLB may prescribe the acceptable uses to which the advances may be put, as well as on the size of the advances and repayment provisions. The FHLB borrowings are secured by the pledge of U.S. Government agency securities, FHLB stock and qualified single family first mortgage loans. The FHLB advances held at December 31, 2009 mature \$5,000,000 on April 09, 2010, \$10,000,000 on June 28, 2010, \$5,000,000 on April 11, 2011, \$5,000,000 April 9, 2012 and \$4,000,000 on August 27, 2013.

The Company uses federal funds purchased and repurchase agreements for short-term borrowing needs. Federal funds purchased represent unsecured borrowings from other banks and generally mature daily. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are classified as borrowings and generally mature within one to four days from the

transaction date. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are reflected at the amount of cash received in connection with the transaction. The Company may be required to provide additional collateral based on the fair value of the underlying securities. There were no securities sold under agreements to repurchase at December 31, 2009 and \$9,425,000 at

December 31, 2008.

The Company also has securities sold under agreements to repurchase, which are secured transactions with customers and generally mature the day following the date sold. The carrying value of these repurchase agreements was \$2,885,648 and \$2,266,027 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Information related to borrowings is as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Maximum outstanding during the year | | |
| FHLB advances | \$ 29,000,000 | \$ 25,000,000 |
| Federal funds purchased | 373,000 | 29,405,248 |
| Community Bankers' Bank | 10,003,958 | 6,962,518 |
| Balance outstanding at end of year | | |
| FHLB advances | 29,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| Virginia Community Bank | 2,000,000 | 2,250,000 |
| Community Bankers' Bank | 9,943,873 | 10,021,871 |
| Average amount outstanding during the year | | |
| FHLB advances | 26,347,945 | 20,620,438 |
| Federal funds purchased | 3,726 | 2,329,358 |
| Community Bankers' Bank | 10,003,958 | 6,962,518 |
| Average interest rate during the year | | |
| FHLB advances | 3.68% | 4.04% |
| Federal funds purchased | 0.61% | 1.78% |
| Community Bankers' Bank | 4.77% | 2.94% |
| Average interest rate at end of year | | |
| FHLB advances | 3.57% | 3.41% |
| Federal funds purchased | - | - |
| Community Bankers' Bank | 2.82% | 2.82% |
| Virginia Community Bank | 5.00% | 5.05% |

Note 10. Income taxes

The following summarizes the tax effects of temporary differences which compose net deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|---------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Deferred tax assets | | | |
| Net operating loss carryforward | \$ 1,235,858 | \$ - | \$ - |
| Allowance for loan losses | 3,577,456 | 1,771,460 | 1,099,684 |
| Pension expense | 61,864 | 66,279 | 70,695 |
| Goodwill | - | - | 6,781 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 4,875,178 | 1,837,739 | 1,177,160 |
| Deferred tax liabilities | | | |
| Depreciation | 384,183 | 467,219 | 235,447 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 98,066 | 19,613 | - |
| Goodwill | 28,741 | 33,857 | |
| Other, net | 24,780 | 110,428 | 26,771 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 535,770 | 631,117 | 262,217 |
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 4,339,408 | \$ 1,206,622 | \$ 914,943 |

The net deferred tax asset is included in other assets on the balance sheet.

The income tax expense (benefit) charged to operations for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 consists of the following:

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Current tax expense (benefit) | \$(1,941,846) | \$ 532,776 | \$ 778,775 |
| Deferred tax expense (benefit) | (3,031,268) | (291,679) | (263,076) |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes | \$(4,973,114) | \$ 241,097 | \$ 515,699 |

A reconciliation of income taxes computed at the federal statutory income tax rate to total income taxes is as follows for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007:

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Net income (loss) before income taxes | \$(16,484,489) | \$ 709,186 | \$ 1,516,762 |
| Computed "expected" tax expense | \$ (5,604,727) | \$ 241,123 | \$ 515,699 |
| Goodwill impairment | 2,523,528 | - | - |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | (55,684) | (36,894) | (28,148) |
| Nondeductible expenses | 15,495 | 19,504 | 17,580 |
| Net operating loss carryforward | (1,851,726) | - | - |
| Other | - | 17,363 | 10,568 |
| Provision for income taxes | \$ (4,973,114) | \$ 241,097 | \$ 515,699 |

Commercial banking organizations conducting business in Virginia are not subject to Virginia income taxes. Instead, they are subject to a franchise tax based on bank capital. The Company recorded franchise tax expense of \$355,000, \$180,000 and \$210,000 for 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, which is included in other operating expenses.

Note 11. Earnings (loss) per share

The following table presents the basic and diluted earnings per share computations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Numerator | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (11,511,368) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |
| Preferred stock dividend | (494,631) | - | |
| Net income (loss) available to common stockholders | \$ (12,005,999) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |
| Denominator | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 4,230,462 | 3,013,175 | 2,569,529 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock options | - | 19,895 | 125,480 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 4,230,462 | 3,033,070 | 2,695,009 |
| Earnings (loss) per share - basic and diluted | | | |
| Earnings (loss) per share - basic | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.39 |
| Effect of dilutive common stock options | - | - | (0.02) |
| Earnings (loss) per share - diluted | \$ (2.84) | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.37 |

Outstanding options and warrants to purchase common stock (see Notes 13 and 14) were considered in the computation of diluted earnings per share for the years presented. Stock options for 336,005, 333,955 and 4,000 shares of common stock were not included in computing diluted earnings per share in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively, because their effects were anti-dilutive. Warrants for 4,196,202 and 1,500,000 shares of common stock were not included in computing earnings per share in 2009 and 2008, because their effects were also anti-dilutive.

Note 12. Lease commitments

Certain premises and equipment are leased under various operating leases. Total rent expense charged to operations was \$435,000, \$455,000 and \$406,000 in 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively. At December 31, 2009, the minimum total rental commitment under such non-cancelable operating leases was as follows:

| | \$ | \$ |
|------------|---------|---------|
| 2010 | 441,000 | 440,836 |
| 2011 | 419,000 | 418,780 |
| 2012 | 431,000 | 430,813 |
| 2013 | 446,000 | 445,704 |
| 2014 | 437,000 | 436,890 |
| Thereafter | 396,000 | 395,775 |

\$ \$
2,570,000 2,568,797

Note 13. Commitments and contingencies

The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the

72

financial statements. The contract amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement that the Company has in particular classes of instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit, and to potential credit loss associated with letters of credit issued, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for loans and other such on-balance sheet instruments.

At December 31, 2009, the Company had outstanding the following approximate off-balance-sheet financial instruments whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

| | Contract Amount |
|---|--------------------|
| Undisbursed credit lines | \$ 49,621,000 |
| Commitments to extend or originate credit | 19,078,000 |
| Standby letter of credit | 4,177,000 |
| Total commitments to extend credit | \$ 72,876,000 |

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require the payment of a fee. Historically, many commitments expire without being drawn upon; therefore, the total commitment amounts shown in the above table are not necessarily indicative of future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, as deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include personal or income-producing commercial real estate, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Concentrations of credit risk – All of the Company's loans, commitments to extend credit, and standby letters of credit have been granted to customers in the Company's market area. Although the Company is building a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its clients' ability to honor contracts is reliant upon the economic stability of the Richmond, Virginia area, including the real estate markets in the area. The concentrations of credit by type of loan are set forth in Note 4. The distribution of commitments to extend credit approximates the distribution of loans outstanding.

Note 14. Stockholders' equity and regulatory matters

The acquisition of River City Bank was consummated as of October 1, 2008 and resulted in an addition of \$5,464,000 of common stock. The company also issued 106,250 shares of common stock to the Company's largest shareholder for proceeds of \$850,000 during the fourth quarter of 2008.

The Organizational Investors Warrant Plan made available 140,000 warrants for grant to the Company's initial (organizational) investors for certain risks associated with the establishment of the Bank. The warrants have an exercise price of \$10 per share (which approximates the fair value per share of common stock at issuance date) and expired on April 30, 2008. Prior to expiration, warrants to purchase 47,000 shares were exercised resulting in \$475,000 in additional capital.

On May 1, 2009, as part of the Capital Purchase Program established by the U.S. Department of the Treasury (the “Treasury”) under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (“EESA”), the Company entered into a Letter Agreement and Securities Purchase Agreement—Standard Terms (collectively, the “Purchase Agreement”) with the Treasury, pursuant to which the Company sold (i) 14,738 shares of the Company’s Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, par value \$4.00 per share, having a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share (the “Preferred Stock”) and (ii) a warrant (the “Warrant”) to purchase 499,029 shares of the Company’s common stock at an initial exercise price of \$4.43 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution and other adjustments, for an aggregate purchase price of \$14,738,000 in cash. The fair value of the preferred stock was estimated using discounted cash flow methodology at an assumed market equivalent rate of 13%, with 20 quarterly payments over a five year period, and was determined to be \$10,208,000. The fair value of the warrant was estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, with assumptions of 25% volatility, a risk-free rate of 2.03%, a yield of 6.162% and an estimated life of 5 years, and was determined to be \$534,000. The aggregate fair value for both the preferred stock and common stock warrants was determined to be \$10,742,000 with 95% of the aggregate attributable to the preferred stock and 5% attributable to the common stock warrant. Therefore, the \$14,738,000 issuance was allocated with \$14,006,000 being assigned to the preferred stock and \$732,000 being allocated to the common stock warrant. The difference between the \$14,738,000 face value of the preferred stock and the amount allocated of \$14,006,000 to the preferred stock is being accreted as a discount on the preferred stock using the effective interest rate method over five years.

The Preferred Stock will qualify as Tier 1 capital and will pay cumulative dividends at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and thereafter at a rate of 9% per annum. The Preferred Stock is generally non-voting, other than on certain matters that could adversely affect the Preferred Stock.

The Warrant is immediately exercisable. The Warrant provides for the adjustment of the exercise price and the number of shares of common stock issuable upon exercise pursuant to customary anti-dilution provisions, such as upon stock splits or distributions of securities or other assets to holders of common stock, and upon certain issuances of common stock at or below a specified price relative to the then-current market price of common stock. The Warrant expires ten years from the issuance date. If, on or prior to December 31, 2009, the Company receives aggregate gross cash proceeds of not less than the purchase price of the Preferred Stock from one or more “Qualified Equity Offerings” announced after October 13, 2008, the number of shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the Treasury’s exercise of the Warrant will be reduced by one-half of the original number of shares, taking into account all adjustments, underlying the Warrant. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Treasury has agreed not to exercise voting power with respect to any shares of common stock issued upon exercise of the Warrant.

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal and state banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory, and possible additional discretionary, actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Bank’s financial statements. Under the capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Bank’s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Bank’s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures are established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 Capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 Capital to average assets (the Leverage ratio). Management believes that as of December 31, 2009, the Bank meets all capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject.

Federal regulatory agencies are required by law to adopt regulations defining five capital tiers: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, under capitalized, significantly under capitalized, and critically under capitalized. The Bank meets the criteria to be categorized as an “well capitalized” institution as of December 31, 2009.

The capital amounts and ratios at December 31, 2009 and 2008 for the Company and the Bank are presented in the table below:

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To be Well Capitalized | |
|---|--------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|------------------------|--------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| December 31, 2009 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$64,072,000 | 12.80% | \$40,048,000 | 8.00% | \$50,060,000 | 10.00% |
| Village Bank | 54,796,000 | 11.33% | 38,705,000 | 8.00% | 48,382,000 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 57,762,000 | 11.54% | 20,024,000 | 4.00% | 30,036,000 | 6.00% |
| Village Bank | 48,693,000 | 10.06% | 19,353,000 | 4.00% | 29,029,000 | 6.00% |
| Leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 57,762,000 | 9.39% | 24,607,000 | 4.00% | 30,759,000 | 5.00% |
| Village Bank | 48,693,000 | 8.24% | 23,643,000 | 4.00% | 29,554,000 | 5.00% |
| December 31, 2008 | | | | | | |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | \$53,245,000 | 10.63% | \$40,055,000 | 8.00% | \$50,069,000 | 10.00% |
| Village Bank | 49,834,000 | 10.27% | 38,835,000 | 8.00% | 48,544,000 | 10.00% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | | | | | | |
| Consolidated | 47,186,000 | 9.42% | 20,028,000 | 4.00% | 30,041,000 | 6.00% |
| Village Bank | 43,775,000 | 9.02% | 19,418,000 | 4.00% | 29,126,000 | 6.00% |
| Leverage ratio (Tier 1 capital to average | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

assets)

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Consolidated | 47,186,000 | 8.40% | 21,959,000 | 4.00% | 27,449,000 | 5.00% |
| Village Bank | 43,775,000 | 8.20% | 21,344,000 | 4.00% | 26,681,000 | 5.00% |

In addition, banking regulations limit the amount of cash dividends that may be paid without prior approval of the Bank's regulatory agencies. Such dividends are limited to the lesser of the Bank's retained earnings or the net income of the previous two years combined with the current year net income.

75

Note 15. Stock incentive plan

In accordance with accounting standards the Company measures the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). That cost is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award rather than disclosed in the financial statements. During the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 the Company granted 3,000, 150,680 and 1,000 stock options, respectively, and the total expense of these grants to be recognized over the three year vesting period was \$8,151, and \$7,638 in 2009 and 2007, respectively. The stock options to acquire 150,680 shares granted during 2008 are related to the purchase of River City Bank and the value of these options of \$489,481 was included as part of the purchase price.

The following table summarizes options outstanding under the stock incentive plan at the indicated dates:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|--|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2009 | | | | 2008 | | | |
| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Fair Value Per Share | Intrinsic Value | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Fair Value Per Share | Intrinsic Value |
| Options outstanding, beginning of period | 333,955 | \$ 9.63 | \$ 4.77 | | 247,410 | \$ 10.06 | \$ 4.69 | |
| Granted | 3,000 | 4.45 | 2.86 | | 150,680 | 8.49 | 4.86 | |
| Forfeited | (950) | 10.78 | 5.90 | | (4,250) | 12.23 | 5.14 | |
| Exercised | - | - | - | | (59,885) | 8.36 | 4.64 | \$ 20,923 |
| Options outstanding, end of period | 336,005 | \$ 9.58 | \$ 4.75 | \$ - | 333,955 | \$ 9.63 | \$ 4.77 | \$ - |
| Options exercisable, end of period | 300,900 | | | | 252,100 | | | |
| | Year Ended December 31, 2007 | | | | | | | |
| | Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Fair Value Per Share | Intrinsic Value | | | | |
| Options outstanding, | 251,910 | \$ 10.22 | \$ 4.67 | | | | | |

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------|---------|-------------|
| beginning of period | | | | |
| Granted | 1,000 | 13.96 | 8.04 | |
| Forfeited | - | - | - | |
| Exercised | (5,500) | 8.74 | 4.07 | \$ 96,246 |
| Options outstanding, end of period | 247,410 | \$ 10.26 | \$ 4.70 | \$1,295,438 |
| Options exercisable, end of period | 229,910 | | | |

The fair value of each option granted is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Sholes option

pricing model with the following assumptions used for grants for the years indicated:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 3.46% | 2.88% | 4.81% |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Expected weighted average term | 7 years | 7 years | 7 years |
| Volatility | 50% | 50% | 50% |

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2009:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Number of Options | Outstanding | Exercisable | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Years of Contractual Life | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number of Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$7.68 - \$9.24 | 144,030 | 4.7 | \$ 7.19 | 126,175 | \$ 7.28 |
| \$11.20 - \$13.96 | 191,975 | 6.4 | 11.57 | 174,475 | 10.40 |
| | 336,005 | 5.7 | 9.69 | 300,650 | 9.09 |

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company granted to certain officers 5,725 restricted shares of common stock and 5,725 performance shares of common stock with a weighted average fair market value of \$15.95 at the date of grant. During the second quarter an additional 175 restricted shares of common stock and 175 performance shares of common stock were granted with a weighted average fair market value of \$16.75 at the date of grant. These restricted stock awards have three-year graded vesting and the performance shares cliff vest at the end of three years. The number of performance shares that ultimately vest is dependent upon achieving specific performance targets. Prior to vesting, these shares are subject to forfeiture to us without consideration upon termination of employment under certain circumstances. During the first quarter of 2009, we granted to certain officers 26,592 restricted shares of common stock with a weighted average fair market value of \$4.60 at the date of grant. These restricted stock awards have three-year graded vesting. Prior to vesting, these shares are subject to forfeiture to us without consideration upon termination of employment under certain circumstances. The total number of shares underlying non-vested restricted stock and performance share awards was 27,219 and 8,709 at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The fair value of the stock is calculated under the same methodology as stock options and the expense is recognized over the vesting period. Unamortized stock-based compensation related to nonvested share based compensation arrangements granted under the Incentive Plan as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 was \$324,051 and \$173,031, respectively. Of the \$324,051 of unamortized compensation at December 31, 2009, \$91,055 relates to performance based restricted stock awards. The time based unamortized compensation of \$232,966 is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the years ended December

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$157,851 \$65,886 and \$59,735, respectively. There were 1,092 and 350 forfeitures of restricted stock awards in 2009 and 2008, respectively, and none in 2007.

Stock-based compensation expense was \$157,699 and \$555,367 for the years ended December 31,

77

2009 and 2008, respectively.

Stock-based compensation expense was \$157,699, \$65,887 and \$59,734 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Note 16. Trust preferred securities

During the first quarter of 2005, Southern Community Financial Capital Trust I, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was formed for the purpose of issuing redeemable securities. On February 24, 2005, \$5.2 million of Trust Preferred Capital Notes were issued through a pooled underwriting. The securities have a LIBOR-indexed floating rate of interest (three-month LIBOR plus 2.15%) which adjusts, and is payable, quarterly. The interest rate was 2.40% and 4.97% at December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The securities may be redeemed at par beginning on March 15, 2010 and each quarter after such date until the securities mature on March 15, 2035. The principal asset of the Trust is \$5.2 million of the Company's junior subordinated debt securities with like maturities and like interest rates to the Trust Preferred Capital Notes.

During the third quarter of 2007, Village Financial Statutory Trust II, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, was formed for the purpose of issuing redeemable securities. On September 20, 2007, \$3.6 million of Trust Preferred Capital Notes were issued through a pooled underwriting. The securities have a five year fixed interest rate of 6.29% payable quarterly, converting after five years to a LIBOR-indexed floating rate of interest (three-month LIBOR plus 1.4%) which adjusts and is also payable quarterly. The securities may be redeemed at par at any time commencing in December 2012 until the securities mature in 2037. The principal asset of the Trust is \$3.6 million of the Company's junior subordinated securities with like maturities and like interest rates to the Trust Preferred Capital Notes.

The Trust Preferred Capital Notes may be included in Tier 1 capital for regulatory capital adequacy determination purposes up to 25% of Tier 1 capital after its inclusion. The portion of the Trust Preferred Capital Notes not considered as Tier 1 capital may be included in Tier 2 capital.

The obligations of the Company with respect to the issuance of the Trust Preferred Capital Notes constitute a full and unconditional guarantee by the Company of the Trust's obligations with respect to the Trust Preferred Capital Notes. Subject to certain exceptions and limitations, the Company may elect from time to time to defer interest payments on the junior subordinated debt securities, which would result in a deferral of distribution payments on the related Trust Preferred Capital Notes and require a deferral of common dividends.

Note 17. Retirement plans

401K Plan: The Bank provides a qualified 401K plan to all eligible employees which is administered through the Virginia Bankers Association Benefits Corporation. Employees are eligible to participate in the plan after three months of employment. Eligible employees may, subject to statutory limitations, contribute a portion of their salary to the plan through payroll deduction. Due to the recent economic conditions the Bank ceased its matching program in 2009 and does not anticipate making any contributions in 2010. Prior to 2009 the Bank provided a matching contribution of \$.50 for every \$1.00 the participant contributes up to the first 4% of their salary. Participants were fully vested in their own contributions and vested equally over three years of service in the Bank's matching contributions. Total contributions to the plan for the years ended December 31, 2008, and 2007 were \$107,918 and \$98,705 respectively.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan: The Bank established the Village Bank Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the "SERP") on January 1, 2005 to provide supplemental retirement income to certain executive officers as designated by the Personnel Committee and approved by the Board of Directors. The SERP is an unfunded

employee pension plan under the provisions of ERISA. An eligible employee, once designated by the Committee and approved by the Board of

Directors in writing to participate in the SERP, becomes a participant in the SERP 60 days following such approval (unless an earlier participation date is approved). There are currently five executive officers who participate in the SERP. The retirement benefit to be received by a participant is determined by the Committee and approved by the Board of Directors and is payable in equal monthly installments over a 15 year period, commencing on the first day of the month following a participant's retirement or termination of employment, provided the participant has been employed by the Bank for a minimum of 10 years (6 years in the case of one participant). The Personnel Committee, in its sole discretion, may choose to treat a participant who has experienced a termination of employment on or after attaining age 65 but prior to completing his service requirement as having completed his service requirement. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Bank's liability under the SERP was \$963,122 and \$328,880, respectively, and expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was to \$266,829, \$112,459 and \$166,495, respectively. The increase in cash surrender value of the BOLI related to the participants was \$331,980, \$81,101 and \$62,410 for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Directors' Deferral Plan: The Bank established the Village Bank Outside Directors Deferral Plan (the "Directors Deferral Plan") on January 1, 2005 under which non-employee Directors of Village Bank have the opportunity to defer receipt of all or a portion of certain compensation until retirement or departure from the Board of Directors. Deferral of compensation under the Directors Deferral Plan is voluntary by non-employee Directors and to participate in the plan a director must file a deferral election as provided in the plan. A Director shall become an active participant with respect to a plan year (as defined in the plan) only if he is expected to have compensation during the plan year and he timely files a deferral election. A separate account is established for each participant in the plan and each account shall, in addition to compensation deferred at the election of the participant, be credited with interest on the balance of the account, the rate of such interest to be established by the Board of Directors in its sole discretion at the beginning of each plan year. At December 31, 2009 and 2008, the Bank's liability under the Directors Deferral Plan was \$367,413 and \$263,472, respectively, and expense for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 was \$103,941, \$82,599 and \$74,607, respectively.

Note 18. Fair Value

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of FASB Codification Topic 820: Fair Value Measurements which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under U.S GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. A fair value measurement assumes that the transaction to sell the asset or transfer the liability occurs in the principal market for the asset or liability or, in the absence of a principal market, the most advantageous market for the asset or liability. The price in the principal (or most advantageous) market used to measure the fair value of the asset or liability shall not be adjusted for transaction costs. An orderly transaction is a transaction that assumes exposure to the market for a period prior to the measurement date to allow for marketing activities that are usual and customary for transactions involving such assets and liabilities; it is not a forced transaction. Market participants are buyers and sellers in the principal market that are independent, knowledgeable, able to transact and willing to transact.

FASB Codification Topic 820: Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures establishes a hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair values hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs— Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 Inputs — Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs - Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The Company used the following methods to determine the fair value of each type of financial instrument:

Investment securities: The fair values for investment securities are determined by quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities (Level 2).

Residential loans held for sale: The fair value of loans held for sale is determined using quoted prices for a similar asset, adjusted for specific attributes of that loan (Level 2).

Impaired loans: The fair values of impaired loans are measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans on a nonrecurring basis. Collateral may be in the form of real estate or business assets including equipment, inventory and accounts receivable. The use of discounted cash flow models and management's best judgment are significant inputs in arriving at the fair value measure of the underlying collateral and are therefore classified within (Level 3).

Real estate owned: Real estate owned assets are adjusted to fair value upon transfer of the loans to foreclosed assets. Subsequently, real estate owned assets are carried at net realizable value. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the foreclosed asset as nonrecurring Level 2. When an appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the Company records the foreclosed asset as nonrecurring Level 3.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value under Topic 820 on a recurring and non-recurring basis, including financial assets and liabilities for which the Company has elected the fair value option, are summarized below:

Fair Value Measurement
at December 31, 2009 Using
(In Thousands)

| | Carrying Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Financial Assets-Recurring | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | \$ 47,669 | | \$ 47,669 | |
| MBS | 4,178 | | 4,178 | |
| Municipals | 1,027 | | 1,027 | |
| Other available for sale (1) | 1,983 | | 1,983 | |
| Financial Assets-Non-Recurring | | | | |
| Impaired loans | 25,913 | | | \$ 25,913 |
| Real estate owned | 11,279 | | | 11,279 |
| Residential loans held for sale | 7,506 | | 7,506 | |

(1) Excludes restricted stock.

Fair Value Measurement
at December 31, 2008 Using
(In Thousands)

| | Carrying Value | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Financial Assets-Recurring | | | | |
| US Government Agencies | \$ 17,045 | | \$ 17,045 | |
| MBS | 5,470 | | 5,470 | |
| Other available for sale (1) | 1,786 | | 1,786 | |
| Financial Assets-Non-Recurring | | | | |
| Impaired loans | 8,528 | | | \$ 8,528 |
| Real estate owned | 2,932 | | | 2,932 |
| Residential loans held for sale | 4,326 | | 4,326 | |

(1) Excludes restricted stock.

The following tables present the changes in the Level 3 fair value category for the year ended December 31, 2009.

81

| | Impaired Loans (in thousands) | Real Estate Owned | Total Assets |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2007 | \$ 2,585 | \$ 270 | \$ 2,855 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) | | | |
| Included in earnings | - | - | - |
| Included in other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | 5,943 | 2,662 | 8,605 |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 8,528 | 2,932 | 11,460 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) | | | |
| Included in earnings | - | 46 | 46 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | - | - | - |
| Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | 17,385 | 8,301 | 25,686 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 25,913 | \$ 11,279 | \$ 25,732 |

In general, fair value of securities is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon market prices determined by an outside, independent entity that primarily uses as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Fair value of loans held for sale is based upon internally developed models that primarily use as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, the Company's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Company valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date.

Cash and cash equivalents – The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Investment securities – The fair value of investment securities held-to-maturity and available-for-sale is estimated based on bid quotations received from independent pricing services. The carrying amount of other investments approximates fair value.

Loans – For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. For all other loans, fair values are calculated by discounting the contractual cash flows using estimated market discount rates which reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loans, or by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities.

Deposits – The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as demand, interest checking and money market, and savings accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand at year-end. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

Borrowings – The fair value of FHLB borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows using the rates currently offered for borrowings of similar remaining maturities. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased approximate their fair values. Other borrowings are

short-term in nature and the carrying amounts approximate fair value.

Accrued interest – The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate fair value.

Off-balance-sheet instruments – The fair value of off-balance-sheet lending commitments is equal to the amount of commitments outstanding at December 31, 2009 of \$72,876,000. This is based on the fact that the Bank generally does not offer lending commitments or standby letters of credit to its customers for long periods, and therefore, the underlying rates of the commitments approximate market rates.

| | 2009 | | 2008 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 20,661,820 | \$ 20,661,820 | \$ 26,612,829 | \$ 26,612,829 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 54,857,211 | 54,857,211 | 24,300,962 | 24,300,962 |
| Loans held for sale | 7,506,252 | 7,506,252 | 4,325,746 | 4,325,746 |
| Loans | 457,046,616 | 466,271,730 | 464,663,014 | 506,263,603 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 3,366,718 | 3,366,718 | 3,499,793 | 3,499,793 |
| Financial liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | 498,285,124 | 500,979,984 | 466,232,043 | 442,567,544 |
| FHLB borrowings | 29,000,000 | 29,011,904 | 25,000,000 | 24,977,639 |
| Trust preferred securities | 8,764,000 | 8,764,000 | 8,764,000 | 8,764,000 |
| Other borrowings | 14,829,521 | 14,829,521 | 23,962,898 | 23,962,898 |
| Accrued interest payable | 501,069 | 501,069 | 1,014,534 | 1,014,534 |
| Off-balance-sheet instruments | | | | |
| Undisbursed credit lines | | 49,621,000 | | 70,659,000 |
| Commitments to extend or originate credit | | 19,078,000 | | 14,109,000 |
| Standby letters of credit | | 4,177,000 | | 4,124,000 |

Note 19. Parent corporation only financial statements

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
(Parent Corporation Only)
Balance Sheets
December 31, 2009 and 2008

| | 2009 | 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Assets | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 2,835,334 | \$ 721,617 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 48,669,651 | 51,404,282 |
| Investment in special purpose subsidiary | 264,000 | 264,000 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 14,564,323 | 14,588,892 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 7,184,697 | 1,263,948 |
| | \$ 73,518,005 | \$ 68,242,739 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Long-term debt - trust preferred securities | \$ 8,764,000 | \$ 8,764,000 |
| Payable to subsidiary | 3,203,546 | 700,737 |
| Other Borrowings | 11,943,873 | 12,271,871 |
| Other liabilities | 664,767 | 343,557 |
| Total liabilities | 24,576,186 | 22,080,165 |
| Stockholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock | 58,952 | - |
| Common stock | 16,922,512 | 16,917,488 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 40,568,771 | 25,737,048 |
| Retained earnings (deficit) | (8,647,731) | 3,453,788 |
| Warrant surplus | 732,479 | - |
| Discount on preferred stock | (636,959) | - |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (56,205) | 54,250 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 48,941,819 | 46,162,574 |
| | \$ 73,518,005 | \$ 68,242,739 |

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
(Parent Corporation Only)
Statement of Operations
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Noninterest income | | | |
| Rental Income | \$ 881,496 | \$ 265,515 | \$ - |
| Total noninterest income | 881,496 | 265,515 | - |
| Expenses | | | |
| Interest | 978,634 | \$ 708,020 | \$ 447,381 |
| Occupancy | 636,053 | 232,612 | 11,700 |
| Equipment | 19,767 | 7,140 | - |
| Advertising and marketing | 717 | 4,468 | - |
| Supplies | 51,426 | 52,951 | 33,850 |
| Legal | 22,126 | 897 | 15,029 |
| Audit and accounting | 6,719 | - | - |
| Other outside services | 39,676 | 17,050 | 6,389 |
| Insurance | 15,195 | 6,065 | - |
| Telephone | - | 44,942 | - |
| Other | 52,452 | 21,788 | - |
| Total expenses | 1,822,765 | 1,095,933 | 514,349 |
| Net loss before undistributed equity in subsidiary | (941,269) | (830,418) | (514,349) |
| Undistributed equity in subsidiary | (12,782,126) | 1,016,165 | 1,340,533 |
| Net income before income taxes | (13,723,395) | 185,747 | 826,184 |
| Income taxes (benefit) | (2,212,027) | (282,342) | (174,879) |
| Net income (loss) | \$(11,511,368) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |

Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
(Parent Corporation Only)
Statement of Cash Flows
Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

| | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities | | | |
| Net income | \$(11,511,368) | \$ 468,089 | \$ 1,001,063 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | | |
| Stock compensation expense | - | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 392,150 | 9,012 | - |
| Undistributed earnings of subsidiary | 12,782,126 | (1,016,165) | (1,340,533) |
| Increase/Decrease in other assets | (5,920,749) | 293,101 | 1,004,831 |
| Increase in other liabilities | 2,823,769 | 1,335,642 | (473,426) |
| Net cash provided by operations | (1,434,072) | 1,089,679 | 191,935 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities | | | |
| Payments for investments in and advances to subsidiaries | (10,000,000) | (20,108,076) | - |
| Purchase of premises and equipment | (367,581) | (7,913,499) | (6,684,405) |
| Net cash used in operations | (10,367,581) | (28,021,575) | (6,684,405) |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 14,738,000 | - | - |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | - | - | 3,609,000 |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | - | 18,068,960 | 133,234 |
| Net increase (decrease) in other borrowings | (327,998) | 9,435,781 | 2,836,090 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (494,632) | | |
| Net cash provided by operations | 13,915,370 | 27,504,741 | 6,578,324 |
| Net increase in cash | 2,113,717 | 572,845 | 85,854 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 721,617 | 148,772 | 62,918 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 2,835,334 | \$ 721,617 | \$ 148,772 |

Note 20. Selected quarterly financial data (unaudited)

Condensed quarterly financial data is shown as follows:

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2009 | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 8,353,428 | \$ 8,427,816 | \$ 8,334,206 | \$ 8,080,523 |
| Interest expense | 4,446,762 | 4,259,921 | 4,009,344 | 3,691,652 |
| Net interest income before | | | | |
| provision for loan losses | 3,906,666 | 4,167,895 | 4,324,862 | 4,388,871 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,100,000 | 3,100,000 | 6,000,000 | 3,020,000 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 943,116 | 1,509,971 | 1,842,129 | 1,532,790 |
| Fees and other noninterest income | 513,270 | 525,773 | 552,101 | 874,951 |
| Goodwill impairment | - | - | - | 7,422,141 |
| Noninterest expenses | 4,376,899 | 5,803,529 | 4,916,631 | 5,818,683 |
| Income tax (benefit) | (38,708) | (917,962) | (1,427,260) | (2,589,186) |
| Net loss | (75,139) | (1,781,928) | (2,770,279) | (6,884,027) |
| Loss per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.45) | \$ (0.70) | \$ (1.80) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.02) | \$ (0.45) | \$ (0.70) | \$ (1.80) |
| 2008 | | | | |
| Interest income | \$ 6,758,711 | \$ 6,869,527 | \$ 6,725,218 | \$ 8,718,690 |
| Interest expense | 3,973,172 | 3,681,656 | 3,628,988 | 4,685,967 |
| Net interest income before | | | | |
| provision for loan losses | 2,785,539 | 3,187,871 | 3,096,230 | 4,032,723 |
| Provision for loan losses | 249,354 | 498,024 | 514,827 | 743,428 |
| Gain on sale of loans | 426,517 | 608,344 | 717,830 | 628,332 |
| Fees and other noninterest income | 331,874 | 373,782 | 579,737 | 611,661 |
| Noninterest expenses | 3,153,167 | 3,400,998 | 3,547,443 | 4,564,010 |
| Income tax expense | 48,078 | 92,131 | 112,719 | (11,831) |
| Net income (loss) | 93,331 | 178,844 | 218,808 | (22,891) |
| Earnings (loss) per share | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.01) |
| Diluted | \$ 0.04 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.01) |

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Attached as exhibits to this Form 10-K are certifications of the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), which are required in accordance with Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). This "Controls and Procedures" section includes information concerning the controls and controls evaluation referred to in the certifications.

Conclusion Regarding the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and timely reporting to management information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company's reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

The Company's management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of it that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

Report on Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Management regularly monitors its internal control over financial reporting and takes appropriate action to correct any deficiencies that may be identified.

Management assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009. This assessment was based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on this assessment, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

/s/ Thomas W. Winfree
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ C. Harril Whitehurst, Jr.
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

March 26, 2010
Date

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required to be disclosed in this Item 10 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required to be disclosed in this Item 11 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required to be disclosed in this Item 12 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required to be disclosed in this Item 13 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required to be disclosed in this Item 14 is contained in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a)(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements and reports are included in Part II, Item 8, of this report on Form 10K.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (BDO Seidman)

Consolidated Balance Sheets – December 31, 2009 and 2008

Consolidated Statements of Income – Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity and

Comprehensive Income – Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

All schedules are omitted since they are not required, are not applicable, or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

(a)(3) Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Form 10-K and this list includes the Exhibit Index.

Exhibit

Number

Description

2.1 Agreement and Plan of Reorganization and Merger by and among Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp., Village Bank and River City Bank dated as of March 9, 2008 incorporated by reference from Annex A to the joint proxy statement/prospectus included in the Registration Statement on Form S-4/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 5, 2008.

Articles of Incorporation of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. restated in electronic format only as of May 3.1 18, 2005.

3.2 Articles of Amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation, designating the terms of the Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009

3.3 Bylaws of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 10, 2007.

4.1 Form of Certificate for Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.

- 4.2 Warrant to Purchase Shares of Common Stock, dated May 1, 2009, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.
- 10.1 Incentive Plan, as amended and restated May 23, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the period ended June 30, 2006.*
- 10.2 Organizational Investors Warrant Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.3 Shareholder Loan Referral Warrant Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004.
- 10.4 Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of April 1, 2001, between Thomas W. Winfree and Southern Community Bank & Trust, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
- 10.5 Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004.*
- 10.6 Form of Non-Employee Director Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004. *
- 10.7 Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2009, by and between Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and the United States Department of the Treasury, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.
- 10.8 Side Letter Agreement, dated as of May 1, 2009, by and between Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp. and the United States Department of the Treasury, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Current Report of Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.
- 10.9 Form of Senior Executive Officer Waiver, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.*
- 10.10 Form of Senior Executive Officer Consent Letter, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 6, 2009.*
- 21 Subsidiaries of Village Bank and Trust Financial Corp.
- 31.1 Section 302 Certification by Chief Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Section 302 Certification by Chief Financial Officer.

32 Section 906 Certification.

99.1 TARP Certification by Chief Executive Officer.

99.2 TARP Certification by Chief Financial Officer.

* Management contracts and compensatory plans and arrangements.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

VILLAGE BANK AND TRUST FINANCIAL CORP.

Date: March 26, 2010
 Thomas W. Winfree
 President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Thomas W. Winfree

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|----------------|
| /s/ Thomas W. Winfree Thomas W. Winfree | President and Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ C. Harril Whitehurst, Jr. C. Harril Whitehurst, Jr. | Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ R. T. Avery, III R.T. Avery, III | Director | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ Donald J. Balzer, Jr. Donald J. Balzer, Jr. | Director and Vice Chairman of the Board | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ Craig D. Bell Craig D. Bell | Director and Chairman of the Board | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ William B. Chandler William B. Chandler | Director | March 26, 2010 |
| /s/ R. Calvert Esleeck, Jr. R. Calvert Esleeck, Jr. | Director | March 26, 2010 |

/s/ George R. Whittemore
George R. Whittemore

Director

March 26, 2010

94

Edgar Filing: Village Bank & Trust Financial Corp. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| /s/ Michael L. Toalson Michael L. Toalson | Director | March 26, 2010 |
|--|----------|----------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| /s/ O. Woodland Hogg, Jr. O. Woodland Hogg, Jr. | Director | March 26, 2010 |
|--|----------|----------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| /s/ Michael A. Katzen Michael A. Katzen | Director | March 26, 2010 |
|--|----------|----------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| /s/ Charles E. Walton Charles E. Walton | Director | March 26, 2010 |
|--|----------|----------------|

| | | |
|--|----------|----------------|
| /s/ John T. Wash, Sr. John T. Wash, Sr. | Director | March 26, 2010 |
|--|----------|----------------|

