

EMAGIN CORP
Form 10-K
March 13, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

R ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014
or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number 001-15751

eMAGIN CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware **56-1764501**
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

2070 Route 52, Hopewell Junction, NY 12533

(Address of principal executive offices)

(845) 838-7900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Common Stock, \$.001 Par Value Per Share

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No R

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No R

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: EMAGIN CORP - Form 10-K

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller
Smaller Reporting Company R reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes No R

As of June 30, 2014, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, the aggregate market value of the issued and outstanding common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based upon the closing price of the common stock as traded on the NYSE MKT of \$2.23 was approximately \$36.9 million. For purposes of the above statement only, all directors, executive officers and 10% shareholders are assumed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for any other purpose.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of January 31, 2015 was 25,195,107.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE – Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement relating to its 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K where indicated.

eMAGIN CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

INDEX

	Page
PART I	
Item 1 <u>Business</u>	4
Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u>	11
Item 1B <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	18
Item 2 <u>Properties</u>	18
Item 3 <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	18
Item 4 <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	18
PART II	
Item 5 <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	19
Item 6 <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	20
Item 7 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	21
Item 7A <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	28
Item 8 <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	29
Item 9 <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	49
Item 9A <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	49
Item 9B <u>Other Information</u>	49
PART III	
Item 10 <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	50

Edgar Filing: EMAGIN CORP - Form 10-K

Item 11	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	50
Item 12	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	50
Item 13	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	50
Item 14	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	50
	PART IV	
Item 15	<u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	50
	<u>Signatures</u>	51

Table of Contents

STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

In this annual report, references to "eMagin Corporation," "eMagin," "Virtual Vision," "the Company," "we," "us," and "our" refer to eMagin Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Virtual Vision, Inc.

Except for the historical information contained herein, some of the statements in this Report contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements are found in the sections entitled "Business," "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation," and "Risk Factors." They include statements concerning: our business strategy; expectations of market and customer response; liquidity and capital expenditures; future sources of revenues; expansion of our proposed product line; and trends in industry activity generally. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by words such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "could," "anticipate," "intend," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "goal," or "continue" or similar terminology. These statements are only predictions and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including, but not limited to, the risks outlined under "Risk Factors," that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. For example, assumptions that could cause actual results to vary materially from future results include, but are not limited to: our ability to successfully develop and market our products to customers; our ability to generate customer demand for our products in our target markets; the development of our target markets and market opportunities; our ability to manufacture suitable products at competitive cost; market pricing for our products and for competing products; the extent of increasing competition; technological developments in our target markets and the development of alternate, competing technologies in them; and sales of shares by existing shareholders. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Unless we are required to do so under federal securities laws or other applicable laws, we do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Introduction

eMagin Corporation (“eMagin, “we,” “our,” or “us,”) is a leader in the manufacture of microdisplays using OLED (organic light emitting diode) technology. We design, develop, manufacture, and market OLED on silicon microdisplays, virtual imaging products that utilize OLED microdisplays, and related products. We also perform research in the OLED field. Our virtual imaging products integrate OLED technology with silicon chips to produce high-resolution microdisplays smaller than one-inch diagonally which, when viewed through a magnifier, create virtual images that appear comparable in size to that of a computer monitor or a large-screen television. Our products enable our original equipment manufacturer (“OEM”) customers to develop and market improved or new electronic products, especially products that are mobile and highly portable so that people have immediate access to information and may experience immersive forms of communications and entertainment.

We believe our OLED microdisplays offer a number of significant advantages over comparable liquid crystal microdisplays (LCDs and LCOS) including greatly increased power efficiency, less weight, more compact size, negligible image smearing and dramatically higher contrast. Using our active matrix OLED technology, many computer and electronic system functions can be built directly into the OLED microdisplay silicon backplane, resulting in compact, high resolution, power efficient systems. Already proven in military and commercial systems, our portfolio of OLED microdisplays deliver high-resolution, flicker-free virtual images, working effectively even in extreme temperatures and high-vibration conditions We have developed our own intellectual property and accumulated over 10 years of manufacturing know-how to create high performance OLED microdisplays.

eMagin Corporation was created through the merger of Fashion Dynamics Corporation (“FDC”), which was organized on January 23, 1996 under the laws of the State of Nevada and FED Corporation (“FED”), a developer and manufacturer of optical systems and microdisplays for use in the electronics industry. Simultaneous with this merger, we changed our name to eMagin Corporation. eMagin is incorporated in the state of Delaware.

We derive the majority of our revenue from sales of our OLED microdisplay products. We also generate revenue from sales of optics, microdisplays combined with optics (“microviewers”), and virtual imaging systems. In addition we earn revenue from both government and commercial development contracts that in some cases complement and support our internal research and development programs.

Our Technology Platforms

Small Molecule, Top-Emitting Active Matrix OLED Technology

There are two basic classes of OLED technology, dubbed single molecule or small molecule (monomer) and polymer. Our microdisplays are currently based upon active matrix small molecule OLED technology, which we refer to as active matrix OLED (“AMOLED”) because we build the displays directly onto silicon chips. Our AMOLED technology uniquely permits millions of individual low-voltage light sources to be built on low-cost, silicon computer chips to produce single color, white or full-color display arrays. Using our OLED technology, many computer and video electronic system functions can be built directly into the silicon chip, under the OLED film, resulting in very compact, integrated systems with lower overall system costs relative to alternative technologies.

OLEDs are thin films of stable organic materials that emit light of various colors when a voltage is impressed across them. OLEDs are emissive devices, which mean they create their own light, as opposed to liquid crystal displays, which require a separate light source. As a result, our OLED microdisplays use less power and can be capable of higher brightness and fuller color than liquid crystal microdisplays. Because the light they emit is Lambertian, which means that it appears equally bright from most forward directions, a moderate movement in the eye does not change the image brightness or color as it does in other technologies.

We have developed numerous and significant enhancements to OLED microdisplay technology as well as key silicon circuit designs to effectively incorporate the OLED film on a silicon integrated circuit. For example, we have developed a unique, top-emitting structure for our OLED devices that enables OLED displays to be built on opaque silicon integrated circuits rather than only on glass. Our OLED microdisplays emit full visible spectrum light that is isolated with color filters to create full color images. Our microdisplays have a brightness that can be greater than that of a typical notebook computer and can have a potential useful life of over 50,000 operating hours, in certain applications. New materials and device improvements, such as our recently developed OLED-XL™ technology, offer the potential for even better performance for brightness, efficiency, and lifespan. In addition to our active matrix OLED technology, we have developed compact optic and lens enhancements which, when coupled with the microdisplay, provide the high quality large screen appearance that we believe a large proportion of the marketplace demands.

Table of Contents

We believe that our AMOLED technology provides significant advantages over other microdisplay technologies in our targeted microdisplay markets. We believe these key advantages include:

- Low power consumption for improved battery life and longer system life;
- High-speed performance resulting in clear video images;
- Wide angle light emission resulting in large apparent screen size;
- Wide operating temperature range;
- Good environmental stability (vibration and humidity);
- Low manufacturing cost; and
- Low cost system solutions.

Prism Optics

High quality, large view lenses with a wide range for eye positioning are essential for using our displays in near-eye systems. We have developed advanced molded plastic prism lenses that permit our AMOLED microdisplays to provide large field of view images that can be viewed for extended periods with reduced eye-fatigue. We have engaged a firm to manufacture our lenses in order to provide them in larger quantities to our customers and are using them in certain of our own systems.

Our Market Opportunities

The markets we target broadly fall into the categories of military, industrial/medical, and consumer though many products serve multiple markets ("dual use"). Within each of these market sectors, we believe that our OLED microdisplays, when combined with compact optic lenses, will become a key component for a number of mobile electronic products. Many of these products employ head-wearable displays that incorporate microdisplays mounted in or on eyeglasses, goggles, simple headbands, helmets, or hardhats, and are often referred to as head-mounted displays (HMDs) or headsets. Head-wearable displays may block out surroundings for a fully immersive experience, or be designed as "see-through" or "see-around" to the user's surroundings. They may contain one (monocular) or two (binocular) displays. Some of the increased current interest is due to accelerating the timetable to adapt such systems for military and commercial pilot's aviation helmets and consumer virtual and augmented reality applications. Microdisplays are also widely used in camera viewfinders and some OLED microdisplays are being introduced in this market.

Military/Aviation

Properly implemented, we believe that head-mounted systems incorporating our microdisplays increases the user's effectiveness by allowing hands-free operation and increasing situational awareness with enough brightness for use in daylight, yet controllable for nighttime light security. As a COTS (commercial off the shelf) component, OLED microdisplays intrinsically demonstrate performance characteristics important to military and other demanding commercial and industrial applications, including high contrast, wide dimming range, shock and vibration resistance and insensitivity to high G-forces. The image does not suffer from flicker or color breakup in vibrating environments, and the microdisplay's wide viewing angle allows ease of viewing for long periods of time. Most importantly, our OLED's very low power consumption reduces battery weight and increases allowed mission length. The OLED's inherent wide temperature tolerance range is of special interest for military applications because the display can turn on instantly at temperatures far below freezing and can operate at very high temperatures in desert conditions. Our microdisplay products provide power advantages over other microdisplay technologies, particularly liquid crystal displays which require backlights and heaters and cannot provide instant-on capabilities at low temperatures.

Our products' military applications primarily fall into three broad areas: (1) helmet-mounted displays for situational awareness and data, (2) night vision/thermal imaging goggles and viewers, and (3) training and simulation devices. Similar systems are of interest for other military applications as well as for demanding operations such as urban security, homeland defense, fire and rescue.

Situational Awareness. Situational awareness products include head-mounted displays that are used to display such things as digital map, sensor imagery and pilot aviation information. Handheld imagers also provide improved situational awareness for surveillance and training. In certain situations these products are combined with a weapon system in order to give the user the capability of selecting targets without direct exposure. Our OLED microdisplays have been incorporated into both U.S. and foreign military situational awareness programs. The use of OLED microdisplays for aviation helmets is possible because of newly developed high brightness OLED technology at eMagin.

Night Vision/Thermal Imaging. Night vision goggles allow the user to see in low light conditions. Most versions include two different technologies: infrared/thermal and image intensification. Third and fourth generation military devices usually use some combination of the two modes. Thermal imagers detect infrared energy (heat) and convert it into an electronic signal. The resulting signal needs to be presented on a display. Heat sensed by an infrared camera can be very precisely quantified, or measured, allowing the user to not only monitor thermal performance, but also identify and evaluate the relative severity of heat-related problems.

Table of Contents

Thermal imaging systems can be stand-alone handheld systems or integrated as part of the aiming mechanism for a larger system. Advances in sensor technology, both in sensitivity and resolution as well as economic efficiency, have been the driving factors in the adoption of thermal technologies for military applications. The power efficiency and environmental ruggedness of our products are strong competitive advantages, particularly for small handheld non-cooled systems. Fielded products incorporating eMagin OLED microdisplays include Northrop Grumman's Lightweight Laser Designator Rangefinders (LLDR), Thales SOPHIE™ handheld thermal imagers, and Thales MINIE™, LUCIE™, and MONIE™ night vision goggles.

Training and Simulation. Our OLED microdisplays are used by OEMs for use with their simulation and training products. The companies that incorporate our OLEDs in their training and simulation products include: Quantum 3D, Rockwell Collins, Intevac Vision Systems, and Sensics.

Our displays have been commercialized or prototyped for situational awareness and night vision/thermal imaging applications by military systems integrators including Elbit, L-3 Communications, Intevac Vision Systems, Nivisys, BAE Systems Technology, Qioptiq, Rockwell Collins, Saab, Sagem DS, and Thales, among many others, as well as for related operations such as urban security, fire and rescue.

Commercial, Industrial, and Medical

We believe that a wide variety of commercial and industrial markets offer significant opportunities for our products due to increasing demand for instant data accessibility in mobile workplaces. Some examples of potential microdisplay applications include: immediate access to inventory such as parts, tools and equipment availability; instant accessibility to maintenance or construction manuals; routine quality assurance inspection; endoscopic surgery; and real-time viewing of images and data for a variety of applications. As one potential example, a user wearing a HMD while using test equipment, such as oscilloscopes, can view technical data while simultaneously probing printed circuit boards. Current commercial products equipped with our OLED microdisplays in these sectors include those produced by Liteye, FLIR Systems, Nordic NeuroLab, VRmagic GmbH, Sensics and Total Fire Group, among others.

Consumer

We believe that the most significant driver of the longer term near-eye virtual imaging microdisplay market is growing consumer demand for mobile access to larger volumes of information and entertainment in smaller packages. This desire for mobility has resulted in the development of mobile video personal viewer products in two general categories: (i) an emerging market for augmented reality electronic viewers incorporated in products such as data glasses and personal viewers for cell phones and (ii) an emerging market for immersive virtual reality

headset-application platforms such as accessories for gaming computers, portable DVD systems and wearable telepresence systems.

As our OLED displays are manufactured in increasingly higher volumes at reduced costs, we believe that our OLED microdisplay products will be increasingly well positioned to compete with and displace liquid crystal displays in the rapidly growing consumer market as demand for higher-resolution and better image quality evolves to meet the wish for more sophisticated Personal Viewers. Examples of potential applications for mobile Personal Viewers include handheld personal computers and mobile devices, like smartphones, whose small, direct view screens limit the amount of information that can be displayed, but which are now capable of running software applications that would benefit from a larger display accessory, applications where hands-free viewing is desired, such as during maintenance activities and entertainment and gaming video headset systems, which permit individuals to privately view television, including HDTV, video CDs, DVDs and video games on virtual large screens or stereovision.

Our Products

Our first commercial microdisplay, SVGA+ OLED, was introduced in 2001. In 2008, we introduced engineering samples of our SXGA OLED microdisplays and began selling significant quantities of the SXGA product in 2010. In late 2011, we began selling pre-production samples of the WUXGA OLED microdisplays. In early 2015, we released our Digital SVGA for production. eMagin OLED display products are being applied or considered for near-eye and headset applications in products to be manufactured by OEM customers for a wide variety of military, medical, industrial, and consumer applications. We offer our products to OEMs and other buyers as both separate components, integrated bundles coupled with our own optics, or full systems. We also offer engineering support to enable customers to quickly integrate our products into their own product development programs and offer design of customized displays with resolutions or features to meet special customer requirements.

SVGA+ OLED Microdisplay Series (Super Video Graphics Array of 852x600). This 0.62 inch diagonal microdisplay has a resolution of 852x600 triad pixels (1.53 million picture elements). The display also has an internal NTSC monochrome video decoder for low power night vision systems. SVGA+ Rev3 OLED-XL microdisplay is a power efficient OLED display solution for near-eye personal viewer applications which uses less than 115 mW power in monochrome for thermal imaging applications, and lower than 175 mW at 400 cd/m² (60Hz video at 70 cd/m²) for full color video. This microdisplay has simpler calibration over temperature and is ideal for demanding binocular luminance and color matching.

Table of Contents

SXGA OLED-XL (Super eXtended Graphics Array, 1280 x 1024). Our SXGA OLED microdisplay with a 0.77 inch diagonal active area provides 3,932,160 sub-pixels in an active area. The display's triad pixel array comprises triads of vertical sub-pixels stacked side by side to make up each 12 x 12mm color pixel. The SXGA OLED-XL microdisplay offers digital signal processing, requiring less than 200mW under typical operation. The supported video formats are SXGA, 720p, DVGA (through 1280 x 960 pixel doubling), and both frame sequential and field sequential stereovision.

WUXGA OLED-XL (Widescreen Ultra eXtended Graphics Array, 1920 x 1200). Our WUXGA OLED-XL microdisplay provides higher resolution than most HD (High Definition) flat screen televisions. With a triad sub-pixel structure this display is built of 7,138,360 active dots at 3.2 microns each. The WUXGA OLED-XL is built upon the voltage pixel drive approach first developed for the SXGA OLED-XL which provides improved uniformity, ultra-high contrast (measured at greater than 100,000:1) and lower power. The advanced WUXGA design features eMagin's proprietary "Deep Black" architecture that ensures that off-pixels are truly black, automatically optimizes contrast under all conditions, and delivers better pixel to pixel uniformity. The WUXGA OLED-XL includes a very low-power, low-voltage-differential-signaling (LVDS) serial interface and the overall display power requirement is typically less than 350 mW running standard video. Also included is eMagin's proprietary motion enhancement technology which smoothes video display and virtually eliminates unwanted artifacts. Like the SXGA, the WUXGA provides a FPGA driver design available on a separate, lower power driver board, or as source code for integration into end product electronics giving OEM developers maximum versatility and flexibility. On-board circuitry ensures consistent color and brightness over a wide range of operating temperatures.

VGA OLED-XL (Video Graphics Array, 640 x 480). The VGA OLED-XL microdisplay was added to eMagin's product line in April 2011 and is our smallest (0.5 inches) and lowest powered (<60 mW monochrome/<100 mW color). The VGA OLED-XL utilizes the same voltage pixel drive architecture and "Deep Black" technology as the SXGA and WUXGA designs and includes motion artifact reduction technology like the WUXGA. Also like the SXGA and WUXGA, the VGA provides a FPGA driver design for maximum flexibility and versatility. The VGA interface is 30-bit digital RGB.

Digital SVGA OLED-XL. The Digital SVGA or DSVGA OLED-XL was just released for production in early 2015. This is an 800 x 600 display with 15 micron pixels and a 0.6 inch diagonal. It has all the benefits of eMagin's other digital displays including lower power (100 mW monochrome and 135 mW color), high 10,000 to 1 contrast, and provides the highest operating luminance of any SVGA OLED microdisplay to the best of our knowledge.

Lens and Design Reference Kits. We offer a WF05 prism optic with mounting brackets or combined with OLED microdisplays to form an optic-display module. We provide Design Reference Kits, which include a microdisplay and associated electronics to help OEMs evaluate our microdisplay products and to assist their efforts to build and test new products incorporating our microdisplays.

Integrated Modules. We provide near-eye virtual imaging modules that incorporate our OLED-on-silicon microdisplays with our lenses and electronic interfaces for integration into OEM products. We have shipped customized modules to several customers, some of which have incorporated our products into their own commercial products.

Headsets (“HMDs”) Our Z800 3DVisors™ Headset was discontinued in 2014 and will be replaced with our Immersive Head Mounted Display (IHMD), which we anticipate will be available in small quantities in 2015.

We must comply with all applicable export control laws including the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR”) and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (“ITAR”). Certain of our products may be deemed to be controlled for export by the U.S. Commerce Department’s Bureau of Industry and Security under the EAR or by the U.S. State Department’s Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (“DDTC”) under the ITAR. Failure to comply with these export control laws can lead to severe penalties, both civil and criminal, and can include debarment from contracting with the U.S. Government.

Government Contract Funding

We derive a portion of our revenue from funding that we receive pursuant to research contracts or subcontracts funded by various agencies of the United States Government. The revenue that we recognize from these contracts represents reimbursement by various government entities. In 2007, we were awarded a contract for the development of power efficient microdisplays for the United States Army Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (“NVESD”). In 2008, this agreement was renewed through 2010; it was renewed again through 2011. In 2010, we were awarded a Cooperative Research and Development Agreement by NVESD for the Development, Evaluation and Characterization of Active Matrix Organic Light Emitting Diode (AMOLED) for use in HMDs.

In 2007, we were awarded a contract for the development of an ultra-high resolution display for United States Army Telemedicine and Advanced Technology Research Center (“TATRC”). In 2008 and 2009, this agreement was renewed through the first quarter of 2012. In February 2012, we were awarded a Small Business Innovation Research contract by the United States Special Operations Command to optimize our WUXGA (1920x1200) microdisplay for mass production for dual use applications.

Table of Contents

The U.S. Navy awarded eMagin a contract in 2011 for research and development of microdisplays using Silicon on Insulator technology. In 2012, we were awarded a follow-on contract for development of a high-brightness, high resolution microdisplay to be used for head-mounted avionics applications. Work on this contract continued throughout 2013 and was completed in 2014.

In 2014, eMagin was awarded several new R&D contracts totaling over \$7 million. The largest of these was a contract to develop and produce an ultra-high resolution, high brightness, high contrast, full color OLED microdisplay at a low unit cost. This Defense-wide Manufacturing Science & Technology (DMS&T) award (also known as Mantech) is funded by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD AT&L) and will be administered by the US Army RDECOM CERDEC Night Vision and Electronic Sensors Directorate (NVESD) Science and Technology (S&T) Division. The \$6.3 million dollar contract will be executed over the next two years.

Our government contracts require us to conduct the research effort described in the statement of work section of the contract. These contracts may be modified or terminated at the discretion of the government and are subject to authorization, appropriation and allocation of the required funding on an annual basis. On contracts for which we are the prime contractor, we subcontract portions of the work to various entities and institutions. Approximately 4% of 2014 revenue was related to research contracts funded by the U.S. Government as compared to 3% in 2013.

Our Strategy

Our strategy is to strengthen our leadership position as a worldwide supplier of microdisplays and virtual imaging technology solutions for applications in high growth segments of the electronics industry by capitalizing on our experience and expertise in active matrix OLED technology. We aim to provide microdisplays and complementary accessories to enable OEM customers to develop and manufacture new and enhanced electronic products. Some key elements of our strategy to achieve these objectives include the following:

- Strengthen our technology leadership.* As the first to exploit AMOLED microdisplays and the only benefactor of U.S. Government Contract Research and Development programs for OLED microdisplays, we believe that we enjoy a significant advantage in bringing this technology to market. By continuing to invest in research and development, and protecting our intellectual property, we expect to further develop performance improvements and provide a competitive edge for our customers who integrate our displays into their end products.
- Optimize microdisplay manufacturing efficiencies while protecting proprietary processes.* We intend to reduce our production costs primarily through increasing manufacturing yield and lowering fixed costs through reduced cycle time and increased automation, as well as equipment upgrades. We outsource certain portions of microdisplay production, such as chip fabrication, to minimize both our costs and time to market. We intend to retain the OLED-related processes in-house, where we have a core competency and manufacturing expertise. We also believe

that by keeping these processes under tight control we can better protect our proprietary technology and process know-how. We believe that this strategy will also enhance our ability to continue to optimize and customize processes and devices to meet customer needs.

Build and maintain strong design capabilities. We employ in-house design capabilities supplemented by outsourced design services. Building and maintaining this capability allows us to reduce engineering costs, accelerate the design process and enhance design accuracy to respond to our customers' needs as new markets develop. Contracting third party design support to meet demand and for specialized design skills may also remain a part of our overall long term strategy. Given these capabilities we continue to look for opportunities to add value to our displays to increase revenue.

Leverage strategic relationships. External relationships play an important role in our research and development efforts. Suppliers, equipment vendors, government organizations, contract research groups, external design companies, customer and corporate partners, consortia, and university relationships all enhance the overall research and development effort and bring us new ideas and solutions. In addition, we participate in industry associations such as Society Information Display (“SID”), FlexTech Alliance (formerly known as United States Display Consortium), OLED Association, Consumer Electronics Association, and the Association of the United States Army, among others. Furthermore, we have established a CRADA (Cooperative Research and Development Agreement) with the US Army/RDECOM/NVESD as of August 2010 for the purpose of evaluating and characterizing new and existing AMOLED microdisplay configurations. This agreement expires in 2015. We believe that strategic relationships allow us to better determine the demands of the marketplace and, as a result, allow us to focus our future research and development activities to satisfy our customers' evolving requirements.

Table of Contents**Sales and Marketing**

We primarily provide our OLED display and optics components for OEMs to incorporate into their branded products and sell through their own well-established distribution channels. We have traditionally marketed and sold our products to customers through targeted selling, promotions, select advertising and attendance at trade shows. We identify companies with end products and applications for which we believe our products will provide a key differentiator. Marketing efforts focus on identifying prospects and communicating the product performance attributes foremost in the minds of purchasing decision-makers. We believe that this approach positions us to achieve the highest possible return on investment for our marketing expense.

We market our products in North America, Asia, and Europe directly from our sales office located in our Bellevue, WA and Hopewell Junction, NY facilities. We also have distributors in China and Korea.

An OEM design cycle typically requires between 6 and 36 months, depending on the uniqueness of the market, the complexity of the end product, or in the case of military OEM customers, government procurement schedules. Because our microdisplays are the main functional component that defines many of our customers' end products, we work closely with customers to provide technical assistance throughout the product evaluation and integration process.

Customers

Customers for our products include both large multinational and smaller OEMs. We maintain relationships with OEMs in a diverse range of industries encompassing the military, industrial, medical, and consumer market sectors. The following table estimates net product revenues in the market sectors.

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
Market	2014	2013
Commercial	23%	14%
Military	59%	68%
Commercial and Military	19%	18%

The following table represents the domestic and international revenues as a percentage of total net revenues:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
Geographic Location	2014	2013
United States	51 %	62 %
International	49 %	38 %

In 2014, we had 10 customers that accounted for approximately 50% of our total revenue as compared to 10 customers that accounted for approximately 59% of our total revenue in 2013. In 2014, we had no customer that accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue and in 2013, we had 2 customers that accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue.

Backlog

As of January 31, 2015, we had a backlog of approximately \$8.5 million for purchases through December 2015. This backlog primarily consists of non-binding purchase orders and purchase agreements but does not include expected revenue from R&D contracts or expected NRE (non-recurring engineering) programs under development. The majority of our backlog consists of non-binding purchase orders or purchase agreements for delivery over the next six months. Most purchase orders are subject to rescheduling or cancellation by the customer with no or limited penalties. We believe that the backlog metric is of limited utility in predicting future sales because many of our OEM customers operate on a ship-to-order basis. Variations in the magnitude and duration of purchase orders and customer delivery requirements may result in substantial fluctuations in backlog from period to period.

Manufacturing Facilities

Our manufacturing facilities are located at IBM's Microelectronics Division facility, known as the Hudson Valley Research Park, located about 70 miles north of New York City in Hopewell Junction, NY. We lease approximately 37,000 square feet of space which houses our own equipment for OLED microdisplay fabrication and research and development, includes a 16,300 square foot class 10 clean room space, additional lower level clean room space, assembly space and administrative offices.

Facilities services provided by IBM include our clean room, pure gases, high purity de-ionized water, compressed air, chilled water systems, and waste disposal support. This infrastructure provided by our lease with IBM provides us with many of the resources of a larger corporation without the added overhead costs. It further allows us to focus our

resources more efficiently on our product development and manufacturing goals.

Table of Contents

We believe manufacturing efficiency is an important factor for success, especially in the consumer markets. Although we currently have the equipment needed for profitable production in place, we purchased \$1.5 million and \$1.9 million in 2014 and 2013, respectively, of additional equipment mainly related to manufacturing and we plan to add \$2.3 million of equipment in 2015 to increase capacity and yield and to meet expected demand for our microdisplays.

Competition

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive. We face competition from legacy technologies such as transmissive liquid crystal microdisplays (LCDs) and Liquid Crystal on Silicon (LCOS) displays as well as from alternative flat panel display technologies such as virtual scanning retinal displays. There are many large and small companies that manufacture or have in development, products based on these technologies. Kopin Corporation manufactures both transmissive and reflective LCDs and is currently our principal competitor.

There are a few manufacturers of high resolution OLED microdisplays that produce microdisplays that compete with our microdisplay products. They are Yunnan OLIGHTECK Opto-Electronic Technology Co., Ltd. (Olightek) in China and MicroOLED in France. Both are shipping OLED microdisplays into the market. Sony Mobile Display Corp., in Japan, produces OLED microdisplays for integration into Sony's own higher-level systems such as digital cameras and HMDs. In the near-term we do not expect these companies to significantly affect our U.S. military business, however we anticipate some effect from this competition on our international and commercial business.

We may also compete with potential licensees of Universal Display Corporation or Global OLED Technology LLC, among others, each of which potentially can license OLED technology portfolios. If other new OLED-based companies enter our markets with directly relevant display designs and without manufacturing and reliability issues, we will face additional competition, though we believe that our progress to date in this area gives us a significant head start.

In the future, we believe that competition will come from LCOS ("liquid crystal on silicon"), small transmissive LCDs, and OLED microdisplays manufactured by competitors. While we believe that OLED technology is technically superior providing higher quality images, greater environmental ruggedness, reduced electronics cost and complexity, and improved power efficiency microdisplays, there is no assurance that we will continue to be the dominant OLED microdisplay supplier.

Intellectual Property

We believe we have developed a substantial intellectual property portfolio of patents, trade secrets and manufacturing know-how. It is important to protect our investment in technology by obtaining and enforcing intellectual property rights, including rights under patent, trademark, trade secret and copyright laws. We seek to protect inventions we consider significant by applying for patents in the United States and other countries when appropriate. The U.S. Government holds licenses to much of our technology as a result of its funding a significant portion of our research and development.

Our intellectual property covers a wide range of materials, device structures, processes, and fabrication techniques, primarily concentrated in the following areas:

- OLED Devices, Architecture, Structures, and Processes;
- Display Color Processing and Sealing;
- Active Matrix Circuit Methodologies and Designs;
- Lenses and Tracking (Eye and Head);
- Ergonomics and Industrial Design;
- Wearable Computer Interface Methodology; and
- Legacy Field Emission and General Display Technologies.

We believe that, in addition to patent protection, our success is dependent upon non-patentable trade secrets and technical expertise. To protect this information and know-how from unauthorized use or disclosure, we use nondisclosure agreements and other measures to protect our proprietary rights, and we require all employees, and where appropriate, contractors, consultants, advisors and collaborators to enter into confidentiality and non-competition agreements. We believe that our intellectual property portfolio, coupled with our strategic relationships and accumulated manufacturing know-how in OLED, gives us a significant advantage over potential competitors.

Table of Contents

Employees

As of January 31, 2015, we had a total of 84 employees, of which 77 were full time. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We have not experienced any work stoppages and consider our relations with our employees to be good.

Available Information

Our website address is www.emagin.com. We make available free of charge through our website our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, our Proxy Statements and all amendments to such reports filed under the Securities and Exchange Act after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). These reports may be accessed from our website by following the links under “Investors,” then “SEC Filings.” The information found on our website is not part of this or any other report we file with or furnish to the SEC. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements in this Annual Report or in other reports filed with the SEC, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, unless we are required to do so by law. A copy of this Annual Report and our other reports is available without charge upon written request to Investor Relations, eMagin Corporation, 2070 Route 52, Hopewell Junction, NY 12533.

We also post on our website the charters of our Audit, Compensation, Governance and Nominating committees, our Code of Ethics and any amendments of or waiver to such code of ethics, and other corporate governance materials recommended by the SEC as they occur, as well as earnings press releases and other business-related press releases.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors and the other information included herein as well as the information included in other reports and filings made with the SEC before investing in our common stock. The following factors, as well as other factors affecting our operating results and financial condition, could cause our actual future results and financial condition to differ materially from those projected. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, should they materialize, and you may lose part or all of your investment.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR FINANCIAL RESULTS

We have had losses in the past and may incur losses in the future.

Our accumulated deficit is approximately \$207 million as of December 31, 2014. We can give no assurances that we will be profitable in the future. We cannot assure investors that we will sustain profitability or that we will not incur operating losses in the future.

We may not be able to execute our business plan due to a lack of cash from operations.

We anticipate that our cash from operations will be sufficient to meet our requirements over the next twelve months. In the event that cash flow from operations is less than anticipated and we are unable to secure additional funding to cover our expenses, in order to preserve cash, we may have to reduce expenditures and effect reductions in our corporate infrastructure, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue our current level of operations. No assurance can be given that if additional financing is necessary, that it will be available, or if available, that it will be on acceptable terms.

Our operating results have significant fluctuations.

In addition to the variability resulting from the short-term nature of commitments from our customers, other factors contribute to significant periodic quarterly fluctuations in results of operations. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

- the receipt and timing of orders and the timing of delivery of orders;
- the inability to adjust expense levels or delays in adjusting expense levels, in either case in response to lower than expected revenues or gross margins;
- the volume of orders relative to our manufacturing capacity;
- product introductions and market acceptance of new products or new generations of products;
- changes in cost and availability of labor and components;
- product mix;
- variation in operating expenses; regulatory requirements and changes in duties and tariffs;
- pricing and availability of competitive products and services; and
- changes, whether or not anticipated, in economic conditions.

Accordingly, the results of any past periods should not be relied upon as an indication of our future performance.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATED TO MANUFACTURING

The manufacture of active matrix OLED microdisplays continues to evolve as better methods are discovered and employed and therefore we may encounter manufacturing issues or delays.

Ours is an evolving technology and we are pioneers in this active matrix OLED microdisplay manufacturing technique. As such, we cannot assure you that we will be able to produce our products in sufficient quantity and quality to maintain existing customers and attract new customers. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will not experience manufacturing problems which could result in delays in delivery of orders or product introductions.

We are dependent on a mostly non-redundant single manufacturing facility.

We currently have little equipment redundancy in our manufacturing facility. If we experience any significant disruption in the operation of our manufacturing facility or a serious failure of a critical piece of equipment, we may be unable to supply microdisplays to our customers in a timely manner. For this reason, some OEMs may also be reluctant to commit a broad line of products to our microdisplays without a second production facility in place. However, we try to maintain product inventory to fill the requirements under such circumstances. Interruptions in our manufacturing could be caused by manufacturing equipment problems, the introduction of new equipment into the manufacturing process or delays in the delivery of new manufacturing equipment. Lead-time for delivery, installation, testing, repair and maintenance of manufacturing equipment can be extensive. No assurance can be given that we will not lose potential sales or be unable to meet production orders due to production interruptions in our manufacturing line.

We rely on key sole source and limited source suppliers.

We depend on a number of sole source or limited source suppliers for certain raw materials, components, and services. These include circuit boards, graphic integrated circuits, passive components, materials and chemicals, and equipment support. We maintain several single-source supplier relationships, either because alternative sources are not available or because the relationship is advantageous to eMagin due to performance, quality, support, delivery, capacity, or price considerations (or a combination thereof). Even where alternative sources of supply are available, qualification of the alternative suppliers and establishment of reliable supplies could result in delays and a possible loss of sales, which could materially and adversely affect our operating results. We do not manufacture the silicon integrated circuits on which we incorporate our OLED technology. Instead, we provide the design layouts to a sole semiconductor contract manufacturer who manufactures the integrated circuits on silicon wafers. Our inability to obtain sufficient quantities of components and other materials or services on a timely basis could result in

manufacturing delays, increased costs and ultimately in reduced or delayed sales or lost orders which could materially and adversely affect our operating results. Generally, we do not have long term contracts or written agreements with our source suppliers, but instead operate on the basis of short term purchase orders.

Our results of operations, financial condition and business would be harmed if we were unable to balance customer demand and capacity.

As customer demand for our products changes, and as we enter new markets which may require higher volume mass production, we must be able to ramp up or adjust our production capacity to meet demand. We are continually taking steps to address our manufacturing capacity needs for our products. If we are not able to expand or if we increase our capacity too quickly, our prospects may be limited and our business and results of operations could be adversely impacted. If we experience delays or unforeseen costs associated with adjusting our capacity levels, we may not be able to achieve our financial targets. For some of our products, vendor lead times exceed our customers' required delivery time causing us to order to forecast rather than order based on actual demand. Ordering raw material and building finished goods based on forecasts exposes us to numerous risks including potential inability to service customer demand in an acceptable timeframe, holding excess inventory or having unabsorbed manufacturing overhead.

Variations in our production yields impact our ability to reduce costs and could cause our margins to decline and our operating results to suffer.

All of our products are manufactured using technologies that are highly complex. The number of usable items, or yield, from our production processes may fluctuate as a result of many factors, including but not limited to the following:

- variability in our process repeatability and control;
- contamination of the manufacturing environment or equipment;
- equipment failure, power outages, or variations in the manufacturing process;
- lack of consistency and adequate quality and quantity of piece parts and other raw materials;
- defects in packaging either within or without our control;
- any transitions or changes in our production process, planned or unplanned; and
- certain customer requirements outside of our normal specifications.

Table of Contents

We could experience manufacturing interruptions, delays, or inefficiencies if we are unable to timely and reliably procure components from single-sourced suppliers.

We maintain several single-source supplier relationships, either because alternative sources are not available or because the relationship is advantageous due to performance, quality, support, delivery, capacity, or price considerations. If the supply of a critical single-source material or component is delayed or curtailed, we may not be able to ship the related product in desired quantities and in a timely manner. Even where alternative sources of supply are available, qualification of the alternative suppliers and establishment of reliable supplies could result in delays and a possible loss of sales, which could harm operating results.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We may not be successful in protecting our intellectual property and proprietary rights.

We rely on a combination of patents, trade secret protection, licensing agreements and other arrangements to establish and protect our proprietary technologies. If we fail to successfully enforce our intellectual property rights, our competitive position could suffer, which could harm our operating results. Patents may not be issued for our current patent applications, third parties may challenge, invalidate or circumvent any patent issued to us, unauthorized parties could obtain and use information that we regard as proprietary despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, rights granted under patents issued to us may not afford us any competitive advantage, others may independently develop similar technology or design around our patents, and protection of our intellectual property rights may be limited in certain foreign countries. On April 30, 2007, the U.S. Supreme Court, in *KSR International Co. vs. Teleflex, Inc.*, mandated a more expansive and flexible approach towards a determination as to whether a patent is obvious and invalid, which may make it more difficult for patent holders to secure or maintain existing patents. Any future infringement or other claims or prosecutions related to our intellectual property could have a material adverse effect on our business. Any such claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming to defend, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention and resources, or require us to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Such royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Protection of intellectual property has historically been a large yearly expense for eMagin. For a period prior to 2008, we were not in a financial position to properly protect all of our intellectual property, and may not be in a position to properly protect our position or stay ahead of competition in new research and the protecting of the resulting intellectual property.

In addition to patent protection, we also rely on trade secrets and other non-patented proprietary information relating to our product development and manufacturing activities. We try to protect this information through appropriate efforts to maintain its secrecy, including requiring employees and third parties to sign confidentiality agreements. We cannot be sure that these efforts will be successful or that the confidentiality agreements will not be breached. We also cannot be sure that we would have adequate remedies for any breach of such agreements or other misappropriation of our trade secrets or that our trade secrets and proprietary know-how will not otherwise become known or be

independently discovered by others.

RISKS RELATED TO THE MICRODISPLAY INDUSTRY

The commercial success of the microdisplay industry depends on the widespread market acceptance of microdisplay systems products.

The commercial market for microdisplays is still emerging. Our long-term success may depend on consumer acceptance of microdisplays as well as the success of the commercialization of the microdisplay market. As an OEM supplier, our customer's products must also be well accepted. At present, it is difficult to assess or predict with any assurance the potential size, timing and viability of market opportunities for our technology in this market.

The microdisplay systems business is intensely competitive.

We do business in intensely competitive markets that are characterized by rapid technological change, changes in market requirements and competition from both other suppliers and our potential OEM customers. Such markets are typically characterized by price erosion. This intense competition could result in pricing pressures, lower sales, reduced margins, and lower market share. Our ability to compete successfully will depend on a number of factors, both within and outside our control. We expect these factors to include the following:

Table of Contents

our success in designing, manufacturing and delivering expected new products, including those implementing new technologies on a timely basis;

our ability to address the needs of our customers and the quality of our customer services;

the quality, performance, reliability, features, ease of use and pricing of our products;

successful expansion of our manufacturing capabilities;

our efficiency of production, and ability to manufacture and ship products on time;

the rate at which original equipment manufacturing customers incorporate our product solutions into their own products;

the market acceptance of our customers' products; and

product or technology introductions by our competitors.

Our competitive position could be damaged if one or more potential OEM customers decide to manufacture their own microdisplays, using OLED or alternate technologies. In addition, our customers may be reluctant to rely on a relatively small company such as eMagin for a critical component. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully against current and future competition, and the failure to do so would have a materially adverse effect upon our business, operating results and financial condition.

The display industry may be cyclical.

Our business strategy is dependent on OEM manufacturers building and selling products that incorporate our OLED displays as components into those products. Industry-wide fluctuations could cause significant harm to our business. The OLED microdisplay sector may experience overcapacity, if and when all of the facilities presently in the planning stage come on line, leading to a difficult market in which to sell our products.

Our competitors have many advantages over us.

As the microdisplay market develops, we expect to experience intense competition from numerous domestic and foreign companies including well-established corporations possessing worldwide manufacturing and production facilities, greater name recognition, larger retail bases and significantly greater financial, technical, and marketing resources than us, as well as from emerging companies who may be subsidized by their governments. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete successfully against current and future competition, and the failure to do so would have a materially adverse effect upon our business, operating results and financial condition.

Our products are subject to lengthy OEM development periods.

We sell most of our microdisplays to OEMs who will incorporate them into products they sell. OEMs determine during their product development phase whether they will incorporate our products. The time elapsed between initial sampling of our products by OEMs, the custom design of our products to meet specific OEM product requirements, and the ultimate incorporation of our products into OEM consumer products is significant, often with a duration of between one and three years. If our products fail to meet our OEM customers' cost, performance or technical requirements or if unexpected technical challenges arise in the integration of our products into OEM consumer products, our operating results could be significantly and adversely affected. Long delays in achieving customer qualification and incorporation of our products could adversely affect our business.

In order to increase or maintain our profit margins we may have to continuously develop new products, product enhancements and new technologies.

In some markets, prices of established products tend to decline over time. In order to increase or maintain our profit margins over the long term, we believe that we will need to continuously develop new products, product enhancements and new technologies that will either slow price declines of our products or reduce the cost of producing and delivering our products. While we anticipate many opportunities to reduce production costs over time, there can be no assurance that these cost reduction plans will be successful, that we will have the resources to fund the expenditures necessary to implement certain cost-saving measures, or that our costs can be reduced as quickly as any reduction in unit prices. We may also attempt to offset the anticipated decrease in our average selling price by introducing new products with higher selling prices that may or may not offset price declines in more mature products. If we fail to do so, our results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR BUSINESS

Our success depends on attracting and retaining highly skilled and qualified technical and consulting personnel.

We must hire highly skilled technical personnel as employees and as independent contractors in order to develop our products. The competition for skilled technical employees is intense and we may not be able to retain or recruit such personnel. We must compete with companies that possess greater financial and other resources than we do, and that may be more attractive to potential employees and contractors. To be competitive, we may have to increase the compensation, bonuses, stock options and other fringe benefits offered to employees in order to attract and retain such personnel. The costs of attracting and retaining new personnel may have a materially adverse effect on our business and our operating results.

Table of Contents

Our success depends in a large part on the continuing service of key personnel.

Changes in management could have an adverse effect on our business. We are dependent upon the active participation of several key management personnel and will also need to recruit additional management in order to expand according to our business plan. The failure to attract and retain additional management or personnel could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial performance.

Our operating results are substantially dependent on the development and acceptance of new products and technology innovations.

Our future success may depend on our ability to develop new and lower cost solutions for existing and new markets and for customers to accept those solutions. We must introduce new products in a timely and cost-efficient manner, and we must secure production orders for those products from our customers. The development of new products is a highly complex process, and we historically have experienced delays in completing the development and introduction of new products. Some or all of those technologies or products may not successfully make the transition from the research and development lab. Even when we successfully complete a research and development effort with respect to a particular product or technology, it may fail to gain market acceptance. The successful development and introduction of these products depends on a number of factors, including the following:

- achievement of technology breakthroughs required to make commercially viable devices;
- the accuracy of our predictions of market requirements;
- acceptance of our new product designs;
- acceptance of new technology in certain markets;
- the availability of qualified research and development and product development personnel;
- our timely completion of product designs and development;
- our ability and available resources to expand sales;
- our ability to develop repeatable processes to manufacture new products in sufficient quantities and at low enough costs for commercial sales;
- our customers' ability to develop competitive products incorporating our products; and
- acceptance of our customers' products by the market.

If any of these or other factors become problematic, we may not be able to develop and introduce these new products in a timely or cost-effective manner.

If government agencies or companies discontinue or curtail their funding for our research and development programs our business may suffer.

Changes in federal budget priorities could adversely affect our contract and display product revenue. Historically, government agencies have funded a significant part of our research and development activities. Our funding has the risk of being redirected to other programs when the government changes budget priorities, such as in time of war or for other reasons. Government contracts are also subject to the risk that the government agency may not appropriate and allocate all funding contemplated by the contract. In addition our government contracts generally permit the contracting authority to terminate the contract for the convenience of the government. The full value of the contracts would not be realized if they were prematurely terminated. We may be unable to incur sufficient allowable costs to generate the full estimated contract values. Furthermore, the research and development and product procurement contracts of the customers we supply may be similarly impacted. If the government funding is discontinued or reduced, our ability to develop or enhance products could be limited and our business results or operations and financial conditions could be adversely affected.

Our business depends on new products and technologies.

The market for our products is characterized by rapid changes in product, design and manufacturing process technologies. Our success depends to a large extent on our ability to develop and manufacture new products and technologies to match the varying requirements of different customers in order to establish a competitive position and become profitable. Furthermore, we must adopt our products and processes to technological changes and emerging industry standards and practices on a cost-effective and timely basis. Our failure to accomplish any of the above could harm our business and operating results.

We generally do not have long-term contracts with our customers.

Our business has primarily operated on the basis of short-term purchase orders. We receive some longer term purchase agreements, and procurement contracts, but we cannot guarantee that we will continue to do so. Our current purchase agreements can be cancelled or revised without penalty, depending on the circumstances. We plan production primarily on the basis of internally generated forecasts of demand based on communications with customers, and available industry data which makes it difficult to accurately forecast revenues. If we fail to accurately forecast operating results, our business may suffer and the value of your investment in eMagin may decline.

Table of Contents

Our business strategy may fail if we cannot continue to form strategic relationships with companies that manufacture and use products that could incorporate our active matrix OLED technology.

Our prospects could be significantly affected by our ability to develop strategic alliances with OEMs for incorporation of our active matrix OLED microdisplay technology into their products. While we intend to continue to establish strategic relationships with manufacturers of electronic consumer products, personal computers, chipmakers, lens makers, equipment makers, material suppliers and/or systems assemblers, there is no assurance that we will be able to continue to establish and maintain strategic relationships on commercially acceptable terms, or that the alliances we do enter in to will realize their objectives. Failure to do so could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Our business currently depends largely on our ability to manufacture and sell displays.

Any interruption in our manufacturing processes or demand for our displays will negatively impact our business, including operations and financial condition.

Our business depends to some extent on international transactions.

We purchase needed materials from companies located abroad and may be adversely affected by political and currency risk, as well as the additional costs of doing business with foreign entities. Some customers in other countries have longer receivable periods or warranty periods. In addition, many of the foreign OEMs that are the most likely long-term purchasers of our microdisplays expose us to additional political and currency risk. We may find it necessary to locate manufacturing facilities abroad to be closer to our customers which could expose us to various risks, including management of a multi-national organization, the complexities of complying with foreign laws and customs, political instability and the complexities of taxation in multiple jurisdictions.

Our business may expose us to product liability claims.

Our business may expose us to potential product liability claims. Although no such claims have been brought against us to date, and to our knowledge no such claim is threatened or likely, we may face liability to product users for damages resulting from the faulty design or manufacture of our products. While we plan to maintain product liability insurance coverage, there can be no assurance that product liability claims will not exceed coverage limits, fall outside the scope of such coverage, or that such insurance will continue to be available at commercially reasonable rates, if at all.

Our business is subject to environmental regulations and possible liability arising from potential employee claims of exposure to harmful substances used in the development and manufacture of our products.

We are subject to various governmental regulations related to toxic, volatile, experimental and other hazardous chemicals used in our design and manufacturing process. Our failure to comply with these regulations could result in the imposition of fines or in the suspension or cessation of our operations. Compliance with these regulations could require us to acquire costly equipment or to incur other significant expenses. We develop, evaluate and utilize new chemical compounds in the manufacture of our products. While we attempt to ensure that our employees are protected from exposure to hazardous materials, we cannot assure you that potentially harmful exposure will not occur or that we will not be liable to employees as a result.

Some of our business is subject to U.S. government procurement laws and regulations.

We must comply with certain laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of federal government contracts. These laws and regulations affect how we conduct business with our federal government contracts, including the business that we do as a subcontractor. In complying with these laws and regulations, we may incur additional costs, and non-compliance may lead to the assessment of fines and penalties, including contractual damages, or the loss of business.

Our international sales and operations are subject to export laws and regulations.

We must comply with all applicable export control laws including the Export Administration Regulations (“EAR”) and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (“ITAR”). Certain of our products may be deemed to be controlled for export by the U.S. Commerce Department’s Bureau of Industry and Security under the EAR or by the U.S. State Department’s Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (“DDTC”) under the ITAR. We believe certain of our new products with both high brightness and high resolution will be classified as a defense articles and licenses from the DDTC will be required for exports. Failure to comply with these export control laws can lead to severe penalties, both civil and criminal, and can include debarment from contracting with the U.S. Government.

Table of Contents

Current adverse economic conditions may adversely impact our business, operating results and financial condition.

The current economic conditions and market instability may affect our customers and suppliers. Any adverse financial or economic impact to our customers may impact their ability to pay timely, or result in their inability to pay. It may also impact their ability to fund future purchases, or increase the sales cycles which could lead to a reduction in revenue and accounts receivable. Our suppliers may increase their prices or may be unable to supply needed raw materials on a timely basis which could result in our inability to meet customers' demand or affect our gross margins. Our suppliers may, also, impose more stringent payment terms on us. The timing and nature of any recovery in the credit and financial markets remains uncertain, and there can be no assurance that market conditions will improve in the near future or that our results will not be materially and adversely affected.

RISKS RELATED TO OUR STOCK

The substantial number of shares that are or will be eligible for sale could cause our common stock price to decline even if we are successful.

Sales of significant amounts of common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales may occur, could materially affect the market price of our common stock. These sales might also make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate. As of January 31, 2015, we have outstanding common shares of 25,195,107 plus (i) options to purchase 4,517,855 shares, and (ii) convertible preferred stock to acquire 7,545,333 shares of common stock. If a significant number of our outstanding options are exercised, you may experience a substantial dilution in your percentage ownership of our company.

We are subject to significant corporate regulation as a public company and failure to comply with all applicable regulations could subject us to liability or negatively affect our stock price.

As a publicly traded company, we are subject to a significant body of regulation, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. While we have developed and instituted a corporate compliance program based on what we believe are the current best practices in corporate governance and continue to update this program in response to newly implemented or changing regulatory requirements, we cannot provide assurance that we are or will be in compliance with all potentially applicable corporate regulations. For example, we cannot provide assurance that, in the future, our management will not find a material weakness in connection with its annual review of our internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. We also cannot provide assurance that we could correct any such weakness to allow our management to assess the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of the end of our fiscal year. If we fail to comply with any of these regulations, we could be subject to a range of regulatory actions, fines or other sanctions or litigation. If we must disclose any material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, our stock price could decline.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile.

The market price of our common stock has been subject to wide fluctuations. During our four most recently completed fiscal quarters, the closing price of our stock ranged from a low of \$1.86 on October 8, 2014 to a high of \$3.38 on January 10, 2014. The market price of our common stock in the future is likely to continue to be subject to wide fluctuations in response to various factors, including, but not limited to, the following:

- variations in our operating results and financial conditions;
- actual or anticipated announcements of technical innovations, new product developments, or design wins by us or our competitors;
- general conditions in the semiconductor and flat panel display industries; and
- worldwide economic and financial conditions.

In addition, the public stock markets have experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have particularly affected the market price for many technology companies and that have often been unrelated to the operating performance of these companies. The broad market fluctuations and other factors may continue to adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Concentration of ownership of our stock may enable one shareholder or a small number of shareholders to significantly influence matters requiring shareholder approval.

As of January 31, 2015, Stillwater Holdings LLC (f/k/a Stillwater LLC) owned approximately 20% of our outstanding voting stock, Flat Creek Fiduciary Management, as trustee of a trust which the sole member of Stillwater Holdings LLC has investment control, owned approximately 13% of our outstanding voting stock and the sole member of Stillwater Holdings LLC is the investment manager of Rainbow Gate Corporation, which owned approximately 5% of our outstanding voting stock. Together such shareholders owned approximately 38% of our outstanding voting stock. As a result, these shareholders, if they act together, may be able to exert a significant degree of influence over matters requiring shareholder approval, including the election of directors and approval of significant corporate transactions. Further, if these shareholders act together with another shareholder, Ginola Limited, which has common directors with Mount Union Corp., Chelsea Trust Company and Crestflower Corporation, as of January 31, 2015, they would collectively have represented approximately 47% of our outstanding voting stock. This concentration of ownership may facilitate or hinder a change of control and might affect the market price of our common stock. Furthermore, the interests of this concentration of ownership may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of other shareholders. Nevertheless, the ability to influence the election of the Board of Directors or otherwise have influence does not modify the fiduciary duties of the Board of Directors to represent the interests of all shareholders.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Our manufacturing facility and corporate headquarters are located in Hopewell Junction, NY, where we lease approximately 37,000 square feet from IBM. The NY facility houses our equipment for OLED microdisplay fabrication, assembly operations, research and development, and product development functions. The lease expires in 2019. We lease approximately 1,800 square feet of office space for design and product development in Santa Clara, CA and the lease expires in 2015. We have an administrative office in Bellevue, WA with a month-to-month leased space of approximately 1,500 square feet.

We believe our facilities are adequate for our current and near-term needs. We believe we will be able to renew these leases or obtain alternative spaces or additional spaces as necessary under acceptable terms. See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about lease commitments.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On January 13, 2014, Global OLED Technology, LLC (“GOT”) filed a complaint against eMagin in the New York State Supreme Court sitting in Dutchess County, NY seeking damages for unpaid annual minimum royalties, unpaid royalties on net sales of certain licensed products, unpaid interest, and damages for breach of confidentiality provisions, in each case allegedly arising under a certain license agreement. GOT also sought a declaratory judgment mandating specific performance of the parties obligations under the license agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions thereof, a full accounting of net sales thereunder and recoupment of reasonable costs and attorneys’ fees. Following the filing of the complaint, GOT and eMagin engaged in discussions in attempts to amicably resolve the dispute between the parties without the costs associated with a lengthy litigation. We recorded a \$0.5 million liability on our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and a selling, general and administrative expense on our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 as a reasonable estimate of the loss contingency related to this matter.

On April 29, 2014, eMagin and GOT entered into a settlement agreement resolving the matter in full. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the parties agreed to terminate the patent license agreements entered into in 1998 and 1999, respectively, between FED Corporation (predecessor to eMagin) and Eastman Kodak Company (predecessor to GOT), in exchange for mutual releases and the payment by eMagin of a one-time settlement amount, substantially all of which was recorded as an expense by eMagin as described above. In addition, the parties agreed to dismiss with prejudice the lawsuit commenced by GOT in January 2014.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our common stock trades on the NYSE MKT under the symbol "EMAN". The following table shows the quarterly high and low sale prices per share of our common stock for each period indicated and the cash dividend declared per share of our common stock.

	High	Low
2014:		
First quarter	\$3.55	\$2.20
Second quarter	\$2.92	\$2.17
Third quarter	\$2.81	\$2.01
Fourth quarter	\$2.78	\$1.86
2013:		
First quarter	\$4.10	\$3.10
Second quarter	\$4.00	\$3.00
Third quarter	\$3.77	\$2.76
Fourth quarter	\$3.07	\$2.50

As of January 31, 2015, there were 294 holders of record of our common stock. This does not include persons whose stock is in nominee or "street name" accounts through brokers.

Dividends

There were no declared dividends in 2014 and 2013. Future decisions to pay cash dividends are at the discretion of our Board of Directors. It is our intention to retain future profits for use in the development and expansion of our business and for general corporate purposes.

Recent Issuances of Unregistered Stock

None.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer

There were no repurchases of our common stock during the three month period ended December 31, 2014.

19

Table of Contents***Equity Compensation Plan Information***

The following table sets forth the aggregate information of our equity compensation plans in effect as of December 31, 2014:

Plan	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders - 2013 Incentive Stock Plan	438,330	\$ 2.67	1,061,670
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders - 2011 Incentive Stock Plan	1,100,842	\$ 3.76	284,416
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders - 2008 Incentive Stock Plan	572,502	\$ 3.43	23,378
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders - Amended and Restated 2003 Employee Stock Option Plan	2,398,433	\$ 4.17	—
	4,510,107		1,369,464

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Introduction

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Our fiscal year ends December 31. This document contains certain forward-looking statements including, among others, anticipated trends in our financial condition and results of operations and our business strategy. These forward-looking statements are based largely on our current expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. (See Part I, Item 1A, "Risk Factors "). Actual results could differ materially from these forward-looking statements. Important factors to consider in evaluating such forward-looking statements include (i) changes in external factors or in our internal budgeting process which might impact trends in our results of operations; (ii) unanticipated working capital or other cash requirements; (iii) changes in our business strategy or an inability to execute our strategy due to unanticipated changes in the industries in which we operate; and (iv) various competitive market factors that may prevent us from competing successfully in the marketplace.

Overview

We design, manufacture and supply miniature displays, which we refer to as OLED-on-silicon-microdisplays, and microdisplay modules for virtual imaging, primarily for incorporation into the products of other manufacturers. Microdisplays are typically smaller than many postage stamps, but when viewed through a magnifier they can contain all of the information appearing on a high-resolution personal computer screen. Our microdisplays use organic light emitting diodes, or OLEDs, which emit light themselves when a current is passed through the device. Our technology permits OLEDs to be coated onto silicon chips to produce high resolution OLED-on-silicon microdisplays.

We believe that our OLED-on-silicon microdisplays offer a number of advantages in near to the eye applications over other current microdisplay technologies, including lower power requirements, less weight, fast video speed without flicker, and wider viewing angles. In addition, many computer and video electronic system functions can be built directly into the OLED-on-silicon microdisplay, resulting in compact systems with lower expected overall system costs relative to alternate microdisplay technologies.

We have devoted significant resources to the development and commercial launch of our OLED microdisplay products into military, industrial and medical applications world-wide. First sales of our SVGA+ microdisplay began in May 2001 and we launched the SVGA-3D microdisplay in February 2002. In 2008 the SXGA microdisplay became our first digital display, and in 2011 we introduced the VGA OLED-XL, our lowest powered microdisplay, and the WUXGA OLED-XL which exceeds 1080p HD resolution. As of January 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a backlog of

approximately \$8.5 and \$13.4 million, respectively, in products ordered for delivery through December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. This backlog consists of non-binding purchase orders and purchase agreements. These products are being applied or considered for near-eye and headset applications in products such as thermal imagers, night vision goggles, entertainment headsets, handheld Internet and telecommunication appliances, viewfinders, and wearable computers to be manufactured by original equipment manufacturer (OEM) customers.

In addition to marketing OLED-on-silicon microdisplays as components, we also offer microdisplays as an integrated package, which we call microviewer that includes a compact lens for viewing the microdisplay and electronic interfaces to convert the signal from our customer's product into a viewable image on the microdisplay. We have also expanded our design and production activities to include display/optical subsystem assemblies for both military and commercial end-use products. We have developed a strong intellectual property portfolio that includes patents, manufacturing know-how and unique proprietary technologies to create high performance OLED-on-silicon microdisplays and related optical systems. We believe our technology, intellectual property portfolio and position in the marketplace, gives us a leadership position in OLED and OLED-on-silicon microdisplay technology. We are one of only a few companies in the world to market and produce significant quantities of high resolution full-color small molecule OLED-on-silicon microdisplays.

In first quarter 2014, eMagin received a notification to stop shipments to three of its customers regarding a possible wire bonding problem in some of our microdisplays shipped to these customers. This issue resulted in a delay of shipments to these customers which impacted revenue for 2014. Shipments to two of the three customers resumed in 2014. We are working with the third customer currently and expect to resume shipments to this customer in 2015.

Also in 2014, eMagin was awarded and began work on several new R&D contracts that will result in significant additional revenue beginning in 2014 and continuing in 2015 and 2016. The awards total over \$7 million. There are three government programs: 1) to improve the backplane; 2) to increase the brightness of the OLED display and 3) the Mantech program, which was awarded to increase the efficiency of the OLED microdisplay manufacturing process. These R&D contracts are on schedule. Initial design work was completed for the improved backplane project. Preliminary tests to fabricate very low voltage, high brightness, OLED devices show encouraging results. Regarding the Mantech program an upgrade to the existing direct patterning tool was ordered in fourth quarter of 2014. This upgrade is for improving the performance of the devices fabricated using the existing direct patterning tool which will also improve the yield. The expected delivery time of the tool upgrade is first quarter 2015.

Table of Contents

In early 2015, we released our Digital SVGA for production. This new product is aimed at replacing the analog SVGA+ and incorporates many improvements related to image quality, contrast and power consumption that have already been demonstrated in our latest products. In addition, the SXGA-096 microdisplay was redesigned in 2014 to improve system integration and extend its operating capabilities to take advantage of the new OLED technology improvements being developed by us. The first samples were successfully validated in the second half of 2014 and product qualification is expected to complete during the first half of 2015. Also, we have successfully completed development of a custom, high brightness display for use in high performance aviation applications. Development efforts will continue over the next year as platform tests are completed.

In 2014, we also initiated the development of a new, highly advanced headset (also known as a “head-mounted display” or “HMD”). The headset is for virtual reality (“VR”) type uses and can be used for gaming, simulation and other immersive VR applications. We believe our headset will be superior to competitive products in the marketplace. Prototypes are expected to be completed in first quarter 2015.

Company History

As of January 1, 2003, we were no longer classified as a development stage company. We transitioned to manufacturing our product and have significantly increased our marketing, sales, and research and development efforts, and expanded our operating infrastructure. Currently, most of our operating expenses are labor related and semi-fixed. If we are unable to generate significant revenues, our net income in any given period could be less than expected.

Critical Accounting Policies

The SEC defines "critical accounting policies" as those that require application of management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Not all of the accounting policies require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments or estimates. However, the following policies could be deemed to be critical within the SEC definition.

Revenue and Cost Recognition

Revenue on product sales is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, such as when a purchase order or contract is received from the customer, the price is fixed, title and risk of loss to the goods has changed and there is a reasonable assurance of collection of the sales proceeds. We obtain written purchase authorizations from our customers for a specified amount of product at a specified price and consider delivery to have occurred at the time of shipment.

Revenues from research and development activities relating to firm fixed-price contracts and cost-type contracts are generally recognized on the percentage-of-completion method of accounting as costs are incurred (cost-to-cost basis). Progress is generally based on a cost-to-cost approach however an alternative method may be used such as physical progress, labor hours or others depending on the type of contract. Physical progress is determined as a combination of input and output measures as deemed appropriate by the circumstances. Contract costs include all direct material, labor and subcontractor costs and an allocation of allowable indirect costs as defined by each contract, as periodically adjusted to reflect revised agreed upon rates. These rates are subject to audit by the other party.

Product Warranty

We offer a one-year product replacement warranty. In general, our standard policy is to repair or replace the defective products. We accrue for estimated returns of defective products at the time revenue is recognized based on historical activity as well as for specific known product issues. The determination of these accruals requires us to make estimates of the frequency and extent of warranty activity and estimate future costs to replace the products under warranty. If the actual warranty activity and/or repair and replacement costs differ significantly from these estimates, adjustments to cost of revenue may be required in future periods.

Use of Estimates

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management utilizes certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments related to, among others, allowance for doubtful accounts, warranty reserves, inventory reserves, stock-based compensation expense, deferred tax asset valuation allowances, fair value of financial instruments, litigation and other loss contingencies. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

eMagin's cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable, short-term investments, and accounts payable are stated at cost which approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these instruments. Long-term investments are stated at cost which approximates fair value.

Stock-based Compensation

eMagin maintains several stock equity incentive plans. The 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the "ESPP") provides our employees with the opportunity to purchase common stock through payroll deductions. Employees may purchase stock semi-annually at a price that is 85% of the fair market value at certain plan-defined dates. As of December 31, 2014, the number of shares of common stock available for issuance was 300,000. As of December 31, 2014, the plan had not been implemented.

The 2008 Incentive Stock Plan ("the 2008 Plan") adopted and approved by the Board of Directors on November 5, 2008 provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The 2008 Plan has an aggregate of 2 million shares. In 2014, there were no options granted from this plan.

The 2011 Incentive Stock Plan adopted and approved by the shareholders on November 3, 2011 provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. On June 7, 2012, at our Annual Meeting, the shareholders approved an Amended and Restated 2011 Incentive Stock Plan ("the 2011 Plan"). The 2011 Plan has an aggregate of 1.4 million shares. In 2014, there were no options granted from this plan.

The 2013 Incentive Stock Plan ("the 2013 Plan") adopted and approved by the shareholders on May 17, 2013 provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The 2013 Plan has an aggregate of 1.5 million shares. In 2014, there were 404,113 options granted from this plan.

We account for the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors by estimating the fair value of stock awards at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. Stock-based compensation expense is reduced for estimated forfeitures and is amortized over

the vesting period using the straight-line method. See Note 10 of the Consolidated Financial Statements – Stock Compensation for a further discussion on stock-based compensation.

Income Taxes

We are required to estimate income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. The process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from the differing treatment of items for accounting and tax purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Operating losses and tax credits, to the extent not already utilized to offset taxable income also represent deferred tax assets. We must assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income, and to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets.

In determining future taxable income, assumptions are made to forecast operating income, the reversal of temporary timing differences and the implementation of tax planning strategies. Management uses significant judgment in the assumptions it uses to forecast future taxable income which are consistent with the forecasts used to manage the business. Realization of the deferred tax asset is dependent upon future earnings, with respect to which there is uncertainty as to the timing.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, we evaluate both positive and negative evidence that may exist and consider whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will be realized. From inception through 2009, we maintained a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets as we were unable to determine that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize them. In 2010, we determined that based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, and based on the weight of the available evidence, including our cumulative taxable income over the past three years and expected profitability in 2011 through 2013 that certain of our deferred tax assets were more likely than not realizable through future earnings. Accordingly, we reduced our valuation allowance by \$9.1 million and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of \$9.1 million. At December 31, 2011, we determined based on the weight of the available evidence, both positive and negative, it was more likely than not that \$8.2 million of our deferred tax asset will be realized and no additional valuation allowance was released.

Table of Contents

In 2012, we determined that based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, and based on the weight of the available evidence, including our continued profitability and our forecasted earnings in 2013 through 2017 that certain of our deferred tax assets were more likely than not realizable through future earnings. Accordingly, we increased our deferred tax asset to \$8.8 million by recording a \$0.7 million reduction of our deferred tax asset valuation allowance and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of \$0.7 million. In 2013, we had an operating loss and a projected cumulative loss through 2014 thus it was more likely than not that any of our deferred tax assets would be realized therefore, we recorded a full valuation allowance and income tax expense of \$8.9 million. In 2014, we incurred an operating loss and have a cumulative loss therefore it is more likely than not that none of our deferred tax assets will be realized and therefore, we maintained a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets.

Our effective income tax rate was an expense of 0% and 171%, respectively, in 2014 and 2013. The year over year change in our effective tax rate was primarily due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset in 2013.

Results of Operations

The following table presents certain financial data as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated. Our historical operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results for any future period.

Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:

	As a Percentage of Total Revenue Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue	100%	100%
Cost of goods sold	71	70
Gross profit	29	30
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	18	18
Selling, general and administrative	31	31
Total operating expenses	49	49
Loss from operations	(20)	(19)
Other income (expense), net	—	—
Loss before income taxes	(20)	(19)
Income tax expense (benefit)	—	32
Net loss	(20)%	(51)%

Year Ended December 31, 2014 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2013**Revenues**

	For the Years Ended		
	December 31,		
	2014	2013	Change
	(in thousands)		
Product	\$24,061	\$26,315	\$(2,254)
Contract	\$1,654	\$1,675	\$(21)
Total revenue, net	\$25,715	\$27,990	\$(2,275)

Revenues decreased approximately \$2.3 million to a total of approximately \$26.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 from approximately \$28.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, an 8% decrease. In 2014, product revenue decreased as there was an 18% decrease in the number of displays sold offset by an 11% increase in the average selling price as compared to 2013. Contract revenue was relatively unchanged year over year.

Table of Contents**Cost of Goods Sold**

	For the Years Ended		
	December 31,		
	2014	2013	Change
	(in thousands)		
Product	\$17,384	\$18,573	\$(1,189)
Contract	\$946	\$991	\$(45)
Total cost of goods sold	\$18,330	\$19,564	\$(1,234)

Cost of goods sold is comprised of costs of product revenue and contract revenue. Cost of product revenue includes materials, labor and manufacturing overhead related to our products. Cost of contract revenue includes direct and allocated indirect costs associated with performance on contracts.

Cost of goods sold for the year ended December 31, 2014 was approximately \$18.3 million as compared to approximately \$19.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$1.2 million. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of revenues was 71% for the year ended December 31, 2014 up from 70% for the year ended December 31, 2013 which is a result of a higher cost per display in 2014, partially offset by a higher average selling price. Depreciation increased \$0.3 million due to the installation of new equipment.

The following table outlines product, contract and total gross profit and related gross margins for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

	For the Years			
	Ended			
	December 31,			
	2014		2013	
Product revenue gross profit	\$6,677		\$7,742	
Product revenue gross margin	28	%	29	%
Contract revenue gross profit	\$708		\$684	
Contract revenue gross margin	43	%	41	%
Total gross profit	\$7,385		\$8,426	
Total gross margin	29	%	30	%

In 2014, total gross profit decreased approximately \$1.0 million or 12%. Product revenue gross profit decreased approximately \$1.1 million. Gross margin was 29% for the year ended December 31, 2014 down slightly from 30% for the year ended December 31, 2013. Product gross margin decreased from 29% in 2013 to 28% in 2014 due to a higher cost per display, partially offset by a higher average selling price in 2014. Contract gross margin increased from 41% in 2013 to 43% in 2014. Contract gross margin is dependent upon the mix of internal versus external third party costs, with the external third party costs causing a lower gross margin and reducing the contract gross profit.

Table of Contents*Operating Expenses*

	For the Years Ended			Change
	2014	2013		
	December 31,			
	(\$ in thousands)			
Research and development expense	\$4,511	\$5,023		\$ (512)
Percentage of net revenue	17 %	18 %		
Selling, general and administrative expense	\$8,125	\$8,605		\$ (480)
Percentage of net revenue	32 %	31 %		
Total operating expenses	\$12,636	\$13,628		\$ (992)
Percentage of net revenue	49 %	49 %		

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses include salaries, development materials and other costs specifically allocated to the development of new microdisplay products, OLED materials and subsystems. Research and development expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 were approximately \$4.5 million as compared to approximately \$5.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$0.5 million. The decrease in company-funded R&D expenses is due to expense being allocated to external funded contracts and lower non-cash compensation expense.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist principally of personnel costs, professional services fees, as well as other marketing, general corporate and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2014 were approximately \$8.1 million as compared to approximately \$8.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$0.5 million. For the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to 2013, there was a decrease in non-cash compensation and personnel costs offset by an increase in bad debt expense.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense), net consists primarily of interest income earned on investments, interest expense, and gain or loss on sale of assets. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, interest expense net of capitalization was \$28 thousand and \$31 thousand, respectively. We have no debt upon which we are incurring interest expense; however, we pay fees to keep our line of credit available. Other income for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 was \$22 thousand and \$50 thousand, respectively, with the majority of change related to a decrease in interest income earned on investments.

Income Tax Expense (Benefit)

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, income tax expense was approximately \$0 and \$8.9 million, respectively. In 2013, as a result of our recent history of operating losses, we determined that it was not more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize the deferred tax assets and as a result, we recorded a full valuation allowance.

Net Loss

Net loss was approximately \$5.3 million and \$14.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues, results of operations, liquidity or capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$6.0 million of cash, cash equivalents, and investments as compared to \$11.0 million at December 31, 2013. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$5.3 million of cash and cash equivalents as compared to \$4.0 million as of December 31, 2013, an increase of \$1.3 million. The increase in cash was primarily due to cash provided by investing and financing activities of \$6.0 million offset by cash used in operating activities of approximately \$4.7 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, operating activities used \$4.7 million in cash, which was attributable to our net loss of approximately \$5.3 million and the change in operating assets and liabilities of \$2.4 million offset by approximately \$3.0 million from the net non-cash expenses. For the year ended December 31, 2013, operating activities used \$0.8 million in cash, which was attributable to our net loss of approximately \$14.1 million offset by approximately \$11.8 million from the net non-cash expenses and the change in operating assets and liabilities of \$1.5 million.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, investing activities provided approximately \$4.6 million in cash of which approximately \$6.3 million was from net investments maturing offset by approximately \$1.5 million of equipment purchases primarily for upgrading our production line and \$0.2 million to purchase intangible assets. For the year ended December 31, 2013, investing activities provided approximately \$0.1 million in cash of which approximately \$2.0 million was from net investments maturing and approximately \$1.9 million purchased equipment primarily for upgrading our production line.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, financing activities provided approximately \$1.3 million in cash from the exercise of warrants and stock options. For the year ended December 31, 2013, financing activities provided approximately \$0.4 million in cash from the exercise of stock options.

Credit Facility

At December 31, 2014, we had a credit facility with Access Business Finance, LLC (“Access”) that provides for up to a maximum amount of \$3 million based on a borrowing base equivalent of 75% of eligible accounts receivable. The interest on the credit facility is equal to the Prime Rate plus 4% but may not be less than 7.25% with a minimum monthly interest payment of \$1 thousand. The credit facility will automatically renew on September 1, 2015 for a one year term unless written notice to terminate the credit facility is provided by either party. We did not draw on our credit facility in 2014 or at any time since its inception in September 2010.

The credit facility contains the customary representations and warranties as well as affirmative and negative covenants. We were in compliance with all debt covenants as of December 31, 2014.

We expect our business to experience growth which may result in higher accounts receivable levels and may require increased production and/or higher inventory levels. We anticipate that our cash needs to fund these requirements as well as other operating or investing cash requirements over the next twelve months will be less than our current cash on hand and the cash we anticipate generating from operations. We anticipate that we will not require additional funds over the next twelve months other than perhaps for discretionary capital spending. If unanticipated events arise during the next twelve months, we believe we can raise sufficient funds. However, if we are unable to obtain sufficient funds, we may have to reduce the size of our organization and/or be forced to reduce and/or curtail our production and operations, all of which could have a material adverse impact on our business prospects.

Dividends and Stock Repurchase Plan

In the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, no dividends were declared or paid. It is our intention to retain future profits for use in the development and expansion of our business and for general corporate purposes. Future decision to pay cash dividends are at the discretion of our Board of Directors.

In August 2011, eMagin's Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan authorizing us to repurchase common stock not to exceed \$2.5 million in total value. No shares were repurchased in 2014. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$2.0 million remained under the stock repurchase plan.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following chart describes the outstanding contractual obligations of eMagin as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period			
	Total	1 Year	2-3 Years	4-5 Years
Operating lease obligations	\$3,968	\$911	\$1,769	\$1,288
Line of credit	9	9	—	—
Equipment purchase obligations	402	402	—	—
Purchase obligations (a)	2,936	2,491	445	—
Total	\$7,315	\$3,813	\$2,214	\$1,288

(a) The majority of purchase orders outstanding contain no cancellation fees except for minor re-stocking fees.

Effect of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and estimated effects on results of operations and financial condition.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**Market rate risk**

We are exposed to market risk related to changes in interest rates.

Interest rate risk

We hold our cash in cash and cash equivalents and certificates of deposits. We do not hold derivative financial instruments or equity securities. At December 31, 2014, we have not withdrawn any funds under our revolving line of credit and therefore do not have any related interest rate risk. A change in interest rates would not have had a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows in the year ended December 31, 2014.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk

We do not have any material foreign currency exchange rate risk.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial Statement Index

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	30
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	31
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	32
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Capital Deficit) for the years ended</u>	33
<u>December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>	34
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	35

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

eMagin Corporation and Subsidiary

Bellevue, Washington

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of eMagin Corporation and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of eMagin Corporation and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

/s/ McGladrey LLP

Seattle, Washington

March 12, 2015

30

Table of Contents**eMAGIN CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share and per share data)**

	December 31, 2014	December 31, 2013
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$5,290	\$4,032
Investments	750	6,250
Accounts receivable, net	4,044	4,319
Inventories, net	4,586	3,434
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	656	745
Total current assets	15,326	18,780
Long-term investments	—	750
Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net	9,417	9,119
Intangibles and other assets	382	27
Total assets	\$25,125	\$28,676
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$1,027	\$1,470
Accrued compensation	1,145	1,155
Other accrued expenses	812	1,436
Advance payment	74	155
Deferred revenue	331	66
Other current liabilities	664	395
Total current liabilities	4,053	4,677
Commitments and contingencies (Note 11)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value: authorized 10,000,000 shares:		
Series B Convertible Preferred stock, (liquidation preference of \$5,659,000) stated value \$1,000 per share, \$.001 par value: 10,000 shares designated and 5,659 issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2014 and 2013	—	—
Common stock, \$.001 par value: authorized 200,000,000 shares, issued and outstanding, 25,195,107 shares as of December 31, 2014 and 23,928,619 as of December 31, 2013	25	24
Additional paid-in capital	228,380	226,051
Accumulated deficit	(206,833)	(201,576)
Treasury stock, 162,066 shares as of December 31, 2014 and 2013	(500)	(500)
Total shareholders' equity	21,072	23,999

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$25,125	\$28,676
--	----------	----------

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**eMAGIN CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except share and per share data)**

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Revenue:		
Product	\$24,061	\$26,315
Contract	1,654	1,675
Total revenue, net	25,715	27,990
Cost of goods sold:		
Product	17,384	18,573
Contract	946	991
Total cost of goods sold	18,330	19,564
Gross profit	7,385	8,426
Operating expenses:		
Research and development	4,511	5,023
Selling, general and administrative	8,125	8,605
Total operating expenses	12,636	13,628
Loss from operations	(5,251)	(5,202)
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense, net	(28)	(31)
Other income, net	22	50
Total other income (expense), net	(6)	19
Loss before provision for income taxes	(5,257)	(5,183)
Provision for income taxes	—	8,884
Net loss	\$(5,257)	\$(14,067)
Loss per share, basic	\$(0.22)	\$(0.60)
Loss per share, diluted	\$(0.22)	\$(0.60)

Weighted average number of shares outstanding:

Basic	24,376,259	23,639,554
Diluted	24,376,259	23,639,554

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

eMAGIN CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share and per share data)

	Preferred Shares	Preferred Stock	Common Shares	Common Stock	Treasury Shares	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Shareholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2012	5,659	\$ —	23,674,541	\$ 24	(150,000)	\$ (463)	\$ 223,575	\$ (187,509)	\$ 35,627
Exercise of common stock options	—	—	254,078	—	—	—	394	—	394
Stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,082	—	2,082
Purchase of treasury stock	—	—	—	—	(12,066)	(37)	—	—	(37)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14,067)	(14,067)
Balance, December 31, 2013	5,659	\$ —	23,928,619	\$ 24	(162,066)	\$ (500)	\$ 226,051	\$ (201,576)	\$ 23,999
Exercise of common stock options	—	—	266,488	—	—	—	275	—	275
Exercise of common stock warrants	—	—	1,000,000	1	—	—	1,029	—	1,030
Stock based compensation	—	—	—	—	—	—	1,025	—	1,025
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(5,257)	(5,257)
Balance, December 31, 2014	5,659	\$ —	25,195,107	\$ 25	(162,066)	\$ (500)	\$ 228,380	\$ (206,833)	\$ 21,072

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**eMAGIN CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(5,257)	\$(14,067)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	1,181	905
Increase (reduction) in provision for doubtful accounts	582	(86)
Amortization of bond premium	—	20
Inventory reserve	202	24
Stock-based compensation	1,025	2,082
Loss (gain) on sale of asset	8	(9)
Deferred income taxes	—	8,881
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(307)	922
Inventories, net	(1,355)	(235)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	8	—
Advance payments	(81)	83
Deferred revenue	265	6
Accounts payable, accrued compensation, accrued expenses, and other current liabilities	(957)	676
Net cash used in operating activities	(4,686)	(798)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchase of equipment	(1,479)	(1,927)
Proceeds from sale of asset	8	15
Purchase of intangibles	(140)	—
Maturities of investments	8,250	14,000
Purchase of investments	(2,000)	(12,000)
Net cash provided by investing activities	4,639	88
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants	1,305	394
Purchase of treasury stock	—	(37)
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,305	357
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	1,258	(353)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	4,032	4,385
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$5,290	\$4,032
Cash paid for interest, net of amount capitalized of \$13 and \$11 thousand in 2014 and 2013, respectively	\$—	\$1
Cash paid for taxes	\$—	\$101

Non-cash investing activities:

Intangible assets - patents	\$150	\$—
-----------------------------	-------	-----

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

eMAGIN CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 - Nature Of Business

eMagin Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary (the “Company”) designs, manufactures and supplies OLED-on-silicon microdisplays and virtual imaging products which utilize OLED microdisplays. The Company’s products are sold mainly in North America, Asia, and Europe.

Note 2: Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of eMagin Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of estimates

In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, management utilizes certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, management evaluates its estimates and judgments related to, among others, allowance for doubtful accounts, warranty reserves, inventory reserves, stock-based compensation expense, deferred tax asset valuation allowances, fair value of financial instruments, litigation and other loss contingencies. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Revenue and cost recognition

Revenue is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, selling price is fixed or determinable and collection is reasonably assured. Product revenue is generally recognized when products are shipped to customers.

The Company also earns revenues from certain research and development (“R&D”) activities (contract revenues) under both firm fixed-price contracts and cost-type contracts. Revenues relating to firm fixed-price contracts and cost-type contracts are generally recognized on the percentage-of-completion method of accounting as costs are incurred (cost-to-cost basis). Progress is generally based on a cost-to-cost approach however an alternative method may be used such as physical progress, labor hours or others depending on the type of contract. Physical progress is determined as a combination of input and output measures as deemed appropriate by the circumstances. Contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and an allocation of allowable indirect costs as defined by each contract, as periodically adjusted to reflect revised agreed upon rates. These rates are subject to audit by the other party.

Product warranty

The Company offers a one-year product replacement warranty. In general, the standard policy is to repair or replace the defective products. The Company accrues for estimated returns of defective products at the time revenue is recognized based on historical activity as well as for specific known product issues. The determination of these accruals requires the Company to make estimates of the frequency and extent of warranty activity and estimate future costs to replace the products under warranty. If the actual warranty activity and/or repair and replacement costs differ significantly from these estimates, adjustments to cost of revenue may be required in future periods.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the activity related to the Company's warranty liability, included in other current liabilities, during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Beginning balance	\$394	\$276
Warranty accruals	760	425
Warranty usage	(491)	(307)
Ending balance	\$663	\$394

Research and development expenses

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Cash and cash equivalents

All highly liquid instruments with an original maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase are considered to be cash equivalents.

Investments

Investments consist of FDIC-insured certificates of deposit which the Company classifies as held-to-maturity since it has the positive intent and ability to hold them until maturity and the investments are carried at amortized cost. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the held-to-maturity investments were \$0.75 million and \$7 million, respectively, maturing within 6 months and 18 months, respectively.

Accounts receivable

The majority of the Company's commercial accounts receivable are due from Original Equipment Manufacturers ("OEM's"). Credit is extended based on an evaluation of a customer's financial condition and, generally, collateral is not required. Accounts receivable are payable in U.S. dollars, are due within 30-90 days and are stated at amounts due from customers net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Any account outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms is considered past due.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

The allowance for doubtful accounts reflects an estimate of probable losses inherent in the accounts receivable balance. The allowance is determined based on a variety of factors, including the length of time receivables are past due, historical experience, the customer's current ability to pay its obligation, and the condition of the general economy and the industry as a whole. The Company will record a specific reserve for individual accounts when the Company becomes aware of a customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, deterioration in the customer's operating results or financial position, or deterioration in the customer's credit history. If circumstances related to customers change, the Company would further adjust estimates of the recoverability of receivables. Account balances, when determined to be uncollectible, are charged against the allowance.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in first-out method. Cost includes materials, labor, and manufacturing overhead related to the purchase and production of inventories. The Company regularly reviews inventory quantities on hand, future purchase commitments with the Company's suppliers, and the estimated utility of the inventory. If the Company review indicates a reduction in utility below carrying value, the inventory is reduced to a new cost basis.

Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements

Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation on equipment is calculated using the straight-line method of depreciation over its estimated useful life. Amortization of leasehold improvements is calculated by using the straight-line method over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or lease terms. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred.

Table of Contents

The Company performs impairment tests on its long-lived assets when circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If required, recoverability is tested by comparing the estimated future undiscounted cash flows of the asset or asset group to its carrying value. Impairment losses, if any, are recognized based on the excess of the assets' carrying amounts over their estimated fair values.

Intangible assets

The Company's intangible assets are patents that are recorded at purchase price as of the date acquired and amortized over the expected useful life which is generally the remaining life of the patent. During 2014, the Company purchased several patents for \$290 thousand which will be amortized over their remaining useful life. The net book value of all intangible assets was \$301 thousand at December 31, 2014. The accumulated amortization as of December 31, 2014 was \$54 thousand. As of December 31, 2014, the weighted average remaining useful life of the patents was approximately 6.9 years.

Total intangible amortization expense was approximately \$16 thousand and \$4 thousand for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Estimated amortization expense for the next five years, beginning with 2015, is as follows: \$58 thousand, \$54 thousand, \$54 thousand, \$54 thousand, \$32 thousand and thereafter, \$49 thousand.

Advertising

Costs related to advertising and promotion of products are charged to sales and marketing expense as incurred. There was no advertising expense for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Shipping and handling fees

The Company includes costs related to shipping and handling in cost of goods sold.

Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of changes in tax rates will be recognized as income or expense in the period that the change occurs. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the benefit from the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Changes in circumstances, assumptions and clarification of uncertain tax regimes may require changes to any valuation allowances associated with the Company's deferred tax assets.

Due to the Company's operating loss carryforwards, all tax years remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject. In the event that the Company is assessed interest or penalties at some point in the future, it will be classified in the financial statements as tax expense.

Income (loss) per common share

Basic income (loss) per share ("Basic EPS") is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted income (loss) per share ("Diluted EPS") is computed by dividing the net income (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the reporting period while also giving effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the reporting period.

In accordance with ASC 260, entities that have issued securities other than common stock that participate in dividends with the common stock ("participating securities") are required to apply the two-class method to compute basic EPS. The two-class method is an earnings allocation method under which EPS is calculated for each class of common stock and participating security as if all such earnings had been distributed during the period. On December 22, 2008, the Company issued Convertible Preferred Stock – Series B which participates in dividends with the Company's common stock and is therefore considered to be a participating security. The participating convertible preferred stock is not required to absorb any net loss. The Company uses the more dilutive method of calculating the diluted earnings per share, either the two class method or "if-converted" method. Under the "if-converted" method, the convertible preferred stock is assumed to have been converted into common shares at the beginning of the period.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company reported a net loss and as a result, basic and diluted loss per common share are the same. Therefore, in calculating net loss per share amounts, shares underlying the potentially dilutive common stock equivalents were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per common share because their effect was anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents

The following is a table of the potentially dilutive common stock equivalents for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 that were not included in diluted EPS as their effect would be anti-dilutive:

	For the Years Ended	
	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Options	4,510,107	4,597,186
Warrants	—	1,000,000
Convertible preferred stock	7,545,333	7,545,333
Total potentially dilutive common stock equivalents	12,055,440	13,142,519

Comprehensive income (loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) refers to net income (loss) and other revenue, expenses, gains and losses that, under generally accepted accounting principles, are recorded as an element of shareholders' equity but are excluded from the calculation of net income (loss). The Company's operations did not give rise to any material items includable in comprehensive income (loss), which were not already in net income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. Accordingly, the Company's comprehensive income (loss) is the same as its net income (loss) for the periods presented.

Stock-based compensation

The Company uses the fair value method of accounting for share-based compensation arrangements. The fair values of stock options are estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. Stock-based compensation expense is reduced for estimated forfeitures and is amortized over the vesting period using the straight-line method.

Concentration of credit risk

The majority of eMagin's products are sold throughout North America, Asia, and Europe. Sales to the Company's recurring customers are generally made on open account while sales to occasional customers are typically made on a prepaid basis. eMagin performs periodic credit evaluations on its recurring customers and generally does not require collateral. An allowance for doubtful accounts is maintained for credit losses.

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist of cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are deposited with financial institutions which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has funds invested in a money market account which are not insured. The Company has Certificates of Deposits ("CDs") classified as short and long-term investments, which are federally insured. To date, the Company has not experienced any loss associated with this risk.

Recently issued accounting standards

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which amends the existing accounting standards for revenue recognition. ASU 2014-09 is based on principles that govern the recognition of revenue at an amount an entity expects to be entitled when products are transferred to customers. ASU 2014-09 will be effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2017. Early adoption is not permitted. The new revenue standard may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the new revenue standard on its consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**Note 3: Accounts Receivable, net**

Accounts receivable consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Accounts receivable	\$4,717	\$4,464
Less allowance for doubtful accounts	(673)	(145)
Accounts receivable, net	\$4,044	\$4,319

Note 4: Inventories, net

The components of inventories were as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Raw materials	\$2,506	\$1,905
Work in process	1,086	987
Finished goods	1,291	637
Total inventories	4,883	3,529
Less inventory reserve	(297)	(95)
Total inventories, net	\$4,586	\$3,434

Note 5 – Prepaid Expenses and Other Current Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Vendor prepayments	\$152	\$52
Other prepaid expenses*	504	693

Total prepaid expenses and other current assets \$656 \$745

*No individual amounts greater than 5% of current assets.

Note 6 – Equipment, Furniture and Leasehold Improvements

Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2014	2013
Computer hardware and software	\$1,410	\$1,391
Lab and factory equipment	13,084	10,760
Furniture, fixtures and office equipment	344	329
Assets under capital leases	66	66
Construction in progress	1,905	2,801
Leasehold improvements	473	473
Total equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements	17,282	15,820
Less: accumulated depreciation	(7,865)	(6,701)
Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net	\$9,417	\$9,119

Depreciation expense was \$1.2 million and \$900 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Assets under capital leases are fully amortized.

Table of Contents**Note 7– Debt**

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, interest expense includes interest paid, capitalized or accrued of approximately \$42 thousand on outstanding debt.

Line of Credit

At December 31, 2014, the Company had available a credit facility with Access Business Finance, LLC (“Access”) under which the Company may borrow up to a maximum of \$3 million based on a borrowing base equivalent of 75% of eligible accounts receivable.

The terms of the line of credit are: the minimum monthly interest payment is \$1 thousand; the interest rate is Prime plus 4% but not less than 7.25%; and the early termination fee is \$6 thousand. The renewal date of the line of credit is September 1, 2015.

In 2014 and 2013, the Company paid \$30 thousand in loan fees to Access which were charged to prepaid expense and amortized over the life of the agreement. The Company’s obligations under the agreement are secured by its assets. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had not borrowed on its line of credit.

Note 8 - INCOME TAXES

Net loss before income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Domestic	\$(5,257)	\$(5,183)
Total	\$(5,257)	\$(5,183)

The federal and state income tax provision is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Current:	\$	\$
Federal	-	1
State	-	2
Total current tax expense	-	3
Deferred:		
Federal	-	8,803
State	-	78
Total deferred tax expense	-	8,881
Total tax expense	\$-	\$8,884

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes, and (b) operating losses and tax credit carryforwards.

Table of Contents

The tax effects of significant items comprising the Company's deferred taxes as of December 31 are as follows (numbers are in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Deferred tax assets:		
Federal and state net operating loss carryforwards	\$37,635	\$36,284
Research and development tax credit carryforwards	2,214	1,979
Stock based compensation	3,922	3,665
Other provision and expenses not currently deductible	1,093	755
Total deferred tax assets	44,864	42,683
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Depreciation and amortization	(682)	(374)
Prepaid expenses	(145)	(191)
Total deferred liabilities	(827)	(565)
Less valuation allowance	(44,037)	(42,118)
Net deferred tax asset	\$—	\$—

The Company accounts for income taxes under an asset and liability approach that requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of changes in tax rates will be recognized as income or expense in the period that the change occurs. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is recorded when it is more likely than not that some or all of the benefit from the deferred tax asset will not be realized. Changes in circumstances, assumptions and clarification of uncertain tax regimes may require changes to any valuation allowances associated with the Company's deferred tax assets.

In 2013, the Company determined that based on all available evidence, both positive and negative, and based on the weight of the available evidence, including the Company's recent operating loss in 2013 and a projected cumulative loss through 2014, it was more likely than not that none of its deferred tax assets will be realized. Therefore, it recorded a full valuation allowance and recorded income tax expense of \$8.9 million. In 2014, the Company had an operating loss and cumulative loss and it determined it is still more likely than not that none of its deferred tax assets would be realized and therefore it maintained a full valuation allowance.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had net deferred tax assets before its valuation allowance of approximately \$44 million and \$42.1 million, respectively, primarily resulting from the future tax benefit of net operating loss carryforwards. The amount of the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets associated with excess tax deduction from stock-based compensation arrangement that is allocated to contributed capital if the future tax benefits are subsequently recognized is \$1.3 million at December 31, 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company did not utilize its prior years' net operating loss carryforwards. As of December 31, 2014, eMagin has federal and state net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$114.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. The federal research and development tax credit carryforwards are approximately \$2.2 million. The federal net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards will expire as follows:

	Net Operating Losses (in millions)	Research and Development Tax Credits
2018-2021	\$44.6	\$ 0.8
2022-2025	42.8	—
2026-2034	26.7	1.4
	\$114.1	\$ 2.2

The utilization of net operating losses is subject to a limitation due to the change of ownership provisions under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code and similar state provisions. Such limitation may result in the expiration of the net operating losses before their utilization. The Company has done an analysis regarding prior year ownership changes, and it has been determined that the Section 382 limitation on the utilization of net operating losses will currently not materially affect the Company's ability to utilize its net operating losses.

Table of Contents

The difference between the statutory federal income tax rate on the Company's pre-tax loss and the Company's effective income tax rate is summarized as follows:

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2014 2013	
U.S. Federal income tax expense at federal statutory rate	34 %	34 %
Change in valuation allowance	(37)	(214)
Change in effective state tax rate	(2)	1
Credits	5	8
Effective tax rate	— %	(171)%

The Company did not have unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company recognizes interest accrued and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in tax expense. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized no interest and penalties.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, California, Florida, and New York. Due to the Company's operating losses, all tax years remain open to examination by major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

Note 9: Shareholders' Equity**Preferred Stock - Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (“the Preferred Stock – Series B”)**

The Company has designated 10,000 shares of the Company's preferred stock as Preferred Stock – Series B at a stated value of \$1,000 per share. The Preferred Stock – Series B is convertible into common stock at a conversion price of \$0.75 per share. The holders of the Preferred Stock – Series B are not entitled to receive dividends unless the Company's Board of Directors declare a dividend for holders of the Company's common stock and then the dividend shall be equal to the amount that such holder would have been entitled to receive if the holder converted its Preferred Stock – Series B into shares of the Company's common stock. In the event of a liquidation, dissolution, or winding up of the Company, the Preferred Stock – Series B is entitled to receive liquidation preference before the Common Stock. The Company may at its option redeem the Preferred Stock – Series B by providing the required notice to the holders of the Preferred Stock – Series B and paying an amount equal to \$1,000 multiplied by the number of shares for all of such holder's shares of outstanding Preferred Stock – Series B to be redeemed.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were 5,659 shares of Preferred Stock – Series B issued and outstanding.

Common Stock

The Company received approximately \$275 thousand and \$394 thousand for the exercise of 266,488 and 254,078 stock options during the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company received approximately \$1.0 million from the exercise of 1 million warrants. There were no warrants exercised during 2013.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company did not repurchase stock and during the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company repurchased 12,066 shares at an average cost of \$2.99 per share. At December 31, 2014, there was approximately \$2.0 million remaining under the stock repurchase plan.

Note 10: Stock Compensation

Employee stock purchase plan

In 2005, the shareholders approved the 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“ESPP”). The ESPP provides the Company’s employees with the opportunity to purchase common stock through payroll deductions. Employees may purchase stock semi-annually at a price that is 85% of the fair market value at certain plan-defined dates. At December 31, 2014, the number of shares of common stock available for issuance was 300,000. As of December 31, 2014, the plan had not been implemented.

Incentive compensation plans

The Amended and Restated 2003 Employee Stock Option Plan (the “2003 Plan”) provided for grants of shares of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The 2003 Plan terminated July 2, 2013. No additional options can be granted from the plan though options granted before the 2003 Plan terminated may be exercised until the grant expires.

Table of Contents

The 2008 Incentive Stock Plan (the “2008 Plan”) adopted and approved by the Board of Directors on November 5, 2008 provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The 2008 Plan has an aggregate of 2 million shares. In 2014, there were no options issued from the 2008 Plan.

The 2011 Incentive Stock Plan (the “2011 Plan”) was approved by the Company’s shareholders on November 3, 2011. The 2011 Plan provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The Board of Directors reserved 1.4 million shares of common stock for issuance under the 2011 Plan. On June 7, 2012, at the Company’s Annual Meeting, the shareholders approved an Amended and Restated 2011 Incentive Stock Plan which eliminated the evergreen provision and prohibits the repricing or exchange of stock options without shareholder approval. In 2014, there were no options issued from the 2011 Plan.

The 2013 Incentive Stock Plan (the “2013 Plan”) adopted and approved by the shareholders on May 17, 2013 provides for grants of common stock and options to purchase shares of common stock to employees, officers, directors and consultants. The 2013 Plan has an aggregate of 1.5 million shares. In 2014, there were 404,113 options granted from this plan.

Vesting terms of the options range from immediate vesting to a ratable vesting period of 5 years. Option activity for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 is summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (In Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	4,597,186	\$ 3.82		
Options granted	404,113	2.63		
Options exercised	(266,488)	1.03		
Options forfeited	(109,055)	3.89		
Options cancelled or expired	(115,649)	5.84		
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	4,510,107	\$ 3.83	3.93	\$1,292,171
Vested or expected to vest at December 31, 2014 (1)	4,493,527	\$ 3.83	3.93	\$1,291,519
Exercisable at December 31, 2014	4,095,661	\$ 3.87	3.89	\$1,246,530

(1) The expected to vest options are the result of applying the pre-vesting forfeiture rate assumptions to total unvested options.

At December 31, 2014, there were 1,369,464 shares available for grant under the 2013, 2011, and 2008 Plans.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on December 31, 2014 for the options that were in-the-money. As of December 31, 2014 there were 1,337,104 options that were in-the-money. The Company's closing stock price was \$2.32 as of December 31, 2014. The Company issues new shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options. The intrinsic value of the 2014 options exercised was \$0.4 million of which \$0.2 million is an excess tax benefit.

Stock-based compensation

The Company uses the fair value method of accounting for share-based compensation arrangements. The fair value of stock options is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model. Stock-based compensation expense is reduced for estimated forfeitures and is amortized over the vesting period using the straight-line method.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the allocation of non-cash stock-based compensation to the Company's expense categories for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Cost of revenue	\$154	\$285
Research and development	262	566
Selling, general and administrative	609	1,231
Total stock compensation expense	\$1,025	\$2,082

At December 31, 2014, total unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options was approximately \$0.4 million, net of estimated forfeitures. Total unrecognized compensation cost will be adjusted for future changes in estimated forfeitures and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 1.3 years.

The following key assumptions were used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options granted:

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Dividend yield	0 %	0 %
Risk free interest rates	0.78 – 1.85 %	0.35 - 1.48 %
Expected volatility	59.1 to 67.8 %	63 to 74 %
Expected term (in years)	3.25 to 5.0	3.5 to 5.0

The weighted average fair value per share for options granted in 2014 and 2013 was \$1.25 and \$1.81, respectively.

There was no dividend declared and paid in 2014. Though the Company paid a special one-time dividend in 2012, the Company does not expect to pay dividends in the near future therefore it used an expected dividend yield of 0%. The risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model is based on the implied yield at the time of grant available on U.S. Treasury securities with an equivalent term. Expected volatility is based on the weighted average historical volatility of the Company's common stock for the equivalent term. The expected term of options represents the period that the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience and vesting schedules of similar awards.

Warrants

At December 31, 2014, there were no warrants outstanding and at December 31, 2013, there were 1 million warrants to purchase shares of common stock outstanding and exercisable at an exercise price of \$1.03 with an expiration date of June 22, 2014.

Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies

Royalty Payments

On January 13, 2014, Global OLED Technology, LLC (“GOT”) filed a complaint against the Company in the New York State Supreme Court sitting in Dutchess County, NY seeking damages for unpaid annual minimum royalties, unpaid royalties on net sales of certain licensed products, unpaid interest, and damages for breach of confidentiality provisions, in each case allegedly arising under a certain license agreement. GOT also sought a declaratory judgment mandating specific performance of the parties obligations under the license agreement in accordance with the terms and conditions thereof, a full accounting of net sales thereunder and recoupment of reasonable costs and attorneys’ fees. Following the filing of the complaint, GOT and the Company engaged in discussions in attempts to amicably resolve the dispute between the parties without the costs associated with a lengthy litigation. The Company recorded a \$0.5 million liability on the Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and a selling, general and administrative expense on the Company’s Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 as a reasonable estimate of the loss contingency related to this matter.

Table of Contents

On April 29, 2014, the Company and GOT entered into a settlement agreement resolving the matter in full. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, the parties agreed to terminate the patent license agreements entered into in 1998 and 1999, respectively, between FED Corporation (predecessor to the Company) and Eastman Kodak Company (predecessor to GOT), in exchange for mutual releases and the payment by the Company of a one-time settlement amount, substantially all of which was recorded as an expense by the Company as described above. In addition, the parties agreed to dismiss with prejudice the lawsuit commenced by GOT in January 2014.

Operating Leases

The Company leases office facilities and office, lab and factory equipment under operating leases. Certain leases provide for payments of monthly operating expenses. The Company currently has lease commitments for space in Hopewell Junction, New York and Santa Clara, California.

The Company's corporate headquarters and manufacturing facilities are leased from IBM in Hopewell Junction, New York. The Company leases approximately 37,000 square feet to house its equipment for OLED microdisplay fabrication and for research and development, an assembly area and administrative offices. The lease expires in May 2019. The Company leases approximately 1,800 square feet of office space for design and product development in Santa Clara, California and the lease expires in October 2015. In Bellevue, Washington, eMagin leases approximately 1,500 square feet on a month-to-month basis.

Rent expense was approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million for years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The future minimum lease payments for the years 2015 through 2018 are \$0.9 million annually and for 2019, \$0.4 million.

Equipment Purchase Commitments

The Company has committed to equipment purchases of approximately \$0.4 million at December 31, 2014.

Employee benefit plans

eMagin has a defined contribution plan (the 401(k) Plan) under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, which is available to all employees who meet established eligibility requirements. Employee contributions are generally limited

to 15% of the employee's compensation. Under the provisions of the 401(k) Plan, eMagin may match a portion of the participating employees' contributions. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the matched contributions to the 401(k) Plan were \$0.07 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

Employment agreements

2014

On May 13, 2014, the Company and Jerome T. Carollo executed an Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (the "Carollo Employment Agreement"), which amended and restated in its entirety the Executive Employment Agreement, effective as of March 21, 2011. Pursuant to the Employment Agreement, Mr. Carollo will continue to serve as the Company's Senior Vice President, Business Development until May 13, 2016. Mr. Carollo is paid a base salary of \$292,000 and received stock options valued at \$50,000.

If the Company terminates Mr. Carollo's employment without Cause, or if Mr. Carollo resigns from his employment for Good Reason, or if Mr. Carollo's employment is terminated or significantly changed or his salary is decreased as a result of a Change of Control, then Mr. Carollo will be entitled to either the total amount of the base salary that remains unpaid, or monthly salary payments for twelve (12) months, based on his monthly rate of base salary at the date of such termination. However, in lieu of the aforementioned monthly payments, the Company may in its sole discretion pay such payments in a single lump-sum. Mr. Carollo shall also be entitled to payment for accrued and unused vacation, the immediate vesting of any non-vested equity-related instruments granted under the Employment Agreement, and any bonuses which have accrued prior to the date of termination. If Mr. Carollo voluntarily resigns from his employment with the Company, other than for Good Reason as defined in the Employment Agreement, or if the Company terminates his employment for Cause as defined in the Employment Agreement, then Mr. Carollo shall cease to accrue salary, paid time off, employee benefits and other compensation which would have become payable after the date of such resignation or termination, as applicable. See Note 14 – Subsequent Events.

Effective August 29, 2014, Gabriel G. Matus resigned as Senior Vice President, General Counsel, Secretary and Chief Ethics Officer of the Company and his Employment Agreement was terminated.

Table of Contents

2013

On December 31, 2013 and effective as of January 1, 2014, the Company and Andrew G. Sculley, Jr. entered into an Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (the “Sculley Employment Agreement”), which amended and restated in its entirety the Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2011. Pursuant to the Sculley Employment Agreement, Mr. Sculley will continue serving as the Company’s President and Chief Executive Officer until June 30, 2016 unless it is terminated sooner pursuant to its terms. Under the Sculley Employment Agreement, Mr. Sculley is paid an annual salary of \$410,000. Mr. Sculley may receive equity-related instruments on an annual basis in amounts and subject to vesting and other terms and conditions as the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may determine. During the term of the Sculley Employment Agreement, the Company shall use its reasonable good faith efforts to cause Mr. Sculley to be elected to the Company’s Board of Directors.

Pursuant to the Sculley Employment Agreement, Mr. Sculley’s employment may be terminated by the Company with or without Cause (as defined in the Sculley Employment Agreement) and he may terminate his employment for Good Reason (as defined in the Sculley Employment Agreement), among other reasons. If Mr. Sculley’s employment is terminated without Cause or if he terminates it for Good Reason, then Mr. Sculley shall, at the Company’s sole discretion, be entitled to the lesser of (i) the total amount of his base salary that remains unpaid under the Sculley Employment Agreement, which shall be paid monthly, or (ii) monthly salary payments for twelve (12) months, based on Mr. Sculley’s monthly rate of base salary at the date of such termination, which the Company may pay in a lump-sum in lieu of the aforementioned monthly payments. The payment of the severance is contingent upon Mr. Sculley executing a release agreement, such release becoming effective, and only so long as Mr. Sculley does not revoke or breach the provision of the release or the restrictive covenants set forth in Sections 4 and 5 of the Sculley Employment Agreement. Mr. Sculley shall also be entitled to (i) payment for accrued and unused vacation; (ii) the immediate vesting of any non-vested equity-related instruments granted pursuant to Section 2.6 of the Sculley Employment Agreement; and (iii) any bonuses which have accrued prior to the date of Mr. Sculley’s termination. If the Sculley Employment Agreement is terminated with Cause or if Mr. Sculley terminates it without Good Reason then Mr. Sculley shall cease to accrue salary, personal time off, benefits and other compensation on the date of such termination.

On December 31, 2013 and effective as of January 1, 2014, the Company and Paul C. Campbell entered into an Amended and Restated Employment Agreement (the “Campbell Employment Agreement”), which amended and restated in its entirety the Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2012. Pursuant to the Campbell Employment Agreement, Mr. Campbell will continue serving as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer until December 31, 2015 unless it is terminated sooner pursuant to its terms. Under the Campbell Employment Agreement, Mr. Campbell is paid an annual salary of \$335,000. Mr. Campbell may receive equity-related instruments on an annual basis in amounts and subject to vesting and other terms and conditions as the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors may determine.

Pursuant to the Campbell Employment Agreement, Mr. Campbell's employment may be terminated by the Company with or without Cause (as defined in the Campbell Employment Agreement) and he may terminate his employment for Good Reason (as defined in the Campbell Employment Agreement), among other reasons. If Mr. Campbell's employment is terminated without Cause or if he terminates it for Good Reason, then Mr. Campbell shall, at the Company's sole discretion, be entitled to the lesser of (i) the total amount of his base salary that remains unpaid under the Campbell Employment Agreement, which shall be paid monthly, or (ii) monthly salary payments for twelve (12) months, based on Mr. Campbell's monthly rate of base salary at the date of such termination, which the Company may pay in a lump-sum in lieu of the aforementioned monthly payments. The payment of the severance is contingent upon Mr. Campbell executing a release agreement, such release becoming effective, and only so long as Mr. Campbell does not revoke or breach the provision of the release or the restrictive covenants set forth in Sections 4 and 5 of the Campbell Employment Agreement. Mr. Campbell shall also be entitled to (i) payment for accrued and unused vacation; (ii) the immediate vesting of any non-vested equity-related instruments granted pursuant to Section 2.6 of the Campbell Employment Agreement; and (iii) any bonuses which have accrued prior to the date of Mr. Campbell's termination. If the Campbell Employment Agreement is terminated with Cause or if Mr. Campbell terminates it without Good Reason then Mr. Campbell shall cease to accrue salary, personal time off, benefits and other compensation on the date of such termination. Pursuant to the Campbell Employment Agreement, the Company paid for certain relocation related expenses up to a maximum of \$10,000.

The Company and Gabriel G. Matus entered into an employment agreement, dated as of April 30, 2013 (the "Matus Employment Agreement"), pursuant to which Mr. Matus will serve as the Company's Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Matus' employment with the Company commenced on May 13, 2013 (the "Commencement Date") and shall continue until May 13, 2015, unless terminated sooner pursuant to the Matus Employment Agreement. Pursuant to the Matus Employment Agreement, Mr. Matus is paid a base salary of \$234,000 per annum and received stock options valued at \$50,000.

If Mr. Matus voluntarily terminates his employment with the Company, other than for Good Reason (as such term is defined in the Employment Agreement), he shall cease to accrue salary, personal time off, benefits and other compensation on the date of voluntary termination and all unvested stock options granted to Mr. Matus will be void. The Company may terminate Mr. Matus' employment with or without cause. If the Company terminates Mr. Matus' employment without Cause (as such term is defined in the Employment Agreement) after 120 days from the date of the Employment Agreement, Mr. Matus will be entitled to monthly salary payments for twelve (12) months, based on his monthly rate of base salary at the date of such termination, provided, however, in lieu of the aforementioned monthly payments the Company may in its sole discretion pay such amounts in a single lump-sum payment. In addition, any non-vested options pursuant to the Employment Agreement shall vest immediately. Mr. Matus shall also be entitled to receive (i) payment for accrued and unpaid vacation pay, and (ii) all bonuses that have accrued during the term of the Employment Agreement but have not been paid.

Table of Contents*Future sales concessions*

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company received a notification to stop shipments to three of its customers regarding a possible wire bonding problem in some of the microdisplays shipped to these customers. Shipments to two of the three customers resumed in 2014. As the third customer (“this Customer”) was not interested in continuing to use eMagin’s standard commercial microdisplay which was originally shipped, eMagin has been working, at this Customer’s request, on a more mechanically robust display configuration. This Customer provided a proposal to eMagin which the Company countered with a proposal that provided concessions to this Customer predicated on future business. To date, there is no executed agreement. However, it is more likely than not that ultimately there will be a final proposal with acceptance of some concessions. It is reasonably possible that eMagin will incur a future loss once the terms of the proposal are finalized; however, given the uncertainty that still exists, the amount of the potential future loss cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Note 12: Concentrations

The following is a schedule of revenue by geographic location (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
North and South America	\$13,560	\$17,674
Europe, Middle East, and Africa	9,246	7,632
Asia Pacific	2,909	2,684
Total	\$25,715	\$27,990

	For the Years Ended December 31,	
	2014	2013
Domestic	51%	62%
International	49%	38%

The Company purchases principally all of its silicon wafers from a single supplier located in Taiwan.

In 2014, there was no customer that accounted for 10% of its revenue. In 2013, there were 2 customers that accounted for 22% of its revenue and 17% of its accounts receivable.

At December 31, 2014 and 2013, there were 10 customers who comprised 78% and 70%, respectively, of the outstanding accounts receivable. In 2014, the Company had 3 customers that together accounted for 44% of its outstanding receivable and in 2013, 2 customers that together 26% of its outstanding receivable.

Table of Contents**Note 13 – QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)**

Summarized quarterly financial information for 2014 and 2013 are as follows (in thousands except share data):

	Quarters Ended			
	March 31, 2014	June 30, 2014	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2014
Revenues	\$6,278	\$7,018	\$5,699	\$6,720
Gross profit	\$1,931	\$2,169	\$1,745	\$1,539
Net loss before income tax	\$(1,618)	\$(1,047)	\$(1,036)	\$(1,556)
Net loss	\$(1,618)	\$(1,047)	\$(1,036)	\$(1,556)
Net loss per share - basic	\$(0.07)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.07)
Net loss per share - diluted	\$(0.07)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.07)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	23,778,110	23,940,800	24,842,945	24,943,181
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	23,778,110	23,940,800	24,842,945	24,943,181

	Quarters Ended			
	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2013	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2013
Revenues	\$8,503	\$7,028	\$6,329	\$6,130
Gross profit	\$3,751	\$2,391	\$2,225	\$59
Net (loss) income before income tax	\$325	\$(1,128)	\$(1,057)	\$(3,323)
Net (loss) income	\$205	\$(1,008)	\$(4,559)	\$(8,705)
Net (loss) income per share - basic	\$0.01	\$(0.04)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.37)
Net (loss) income per share - diluted	\$0.01	\$(0.04)	\$(0.19)	\$(0.37)
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - basic	23,527,072	23,586,413	23,718,106	23,726,626
Weighted average number of shares outstanding - diluted	25,332,976	23,586,413	23,718,106	23,726,626

Note 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Effective January 16, 2015, Jerome T. Carollo resigned as Senior Vice President of Business Development and his Employment Agreement was terminated.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our principal executive and principal financial officers have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a – 15(e) and 15d – 15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report. They have concluded that, based on such evaluation, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2014.

(b) Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Overview

Internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for eMagin.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled “Internal Control -- Integrated Framework (2013)” published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (“COSO”) of the Treadway Commission to evaluate the effectiveness of eMagin’s internal control over financial reporting. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management’s Assessment

As of December 31, 2014, our management has assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting and has determined that our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. These conclusions were communicated to the Audit Committee.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

The information required by this Item will be included in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement to be filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the Company's 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders ("2015 Proxy Statement") and is incorporated herein by reference. Such Proxy Statement will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year to which this report relates.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this Item will be included in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this Item will be included in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this Item will be included in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this Item will be included in the Company's 2015 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

1. *Financial Statements*

The following consolidated financial statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8 of Part II "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data:

- A. Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2014 and 2013.
- B. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.
- C. Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.
- D. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules*

Financial statement schedules not included herein have been omitted because they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included herein.

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits on pages 52 to 53 are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 12th day of March, 2015.

eMAGIN CORPORATION

By: /s/ Andrew G. Sculley
Andrew G. Sculley
Chief Executive Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on March 12, 2015, on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

Signature	Title
/s/ Andrew G. Sculley Andrew G. Sculley	President and Chief Executive Officer, Director (Principal Executive Officer)
/s/ Paul Campbell Paul Campbell	Chief Financial Officer (Chief Accounting Officer and Principal Financial Officer)
/s/ Jill J. Wittels Jill J. Wittels	Chair of the Board, Director
/s/ Ellen Richstone Ellen Richstone	Director
Christopher Brody	Director
/s/ Paul Cronson Paul Cronson	Director

/s/ Leslie Polgar Director
Leslie Polgar

/s/ Stephen Seay Director
Stephen Seay

Table of Contents

eMAGIN CORPORATION

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between Fashion Dynamics Corp., FED Capital Acquisition Corporation and FED Corporation dated March 13, 2000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K/A filed on March 17, 2000).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to an appendix to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on September 21, 2006).
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to an appendix to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on October 26, 2010).
3.3	Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.3 to the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement filed on June 14, 2001).
3.4	Certificate of Designations of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2008).
4.1	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2008).
10.1	Form of Agreement for Stock Option Grant pursuant to 2003 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed on March 14, 2000).*
10.2	Nonexclusive Field of Use License Agreement relating to OLED Technology for miniature, high resolution displays between the Eastman Kodak Company and FED Corporation dated March 29, 1999 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed on April 30, 2001).
10.3	Amendment Number 1 to the Nonexclusive Field of Use License Agreement relating to the LED Technology for miniature, high resolution displays between the Eastman Kodak Company and FED Corporation dated March 16, 2000 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed on April 30, 2001).
10.4	Lease between International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") and FED Corporation dated May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 30, 2001).

- 10.5 Amendment Number 1 to the Lease between IBM and FED Corporation dated July 9, 1999 (incorporated by reference to exhibits 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 30, 2001)
- 10.6 Amendment Number 2 to the Lease between IBM and FED Corporation dated January 29, 2001 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 filed on March 30, 2001).
- 10.7 Amendment Number 3 to Lease between IBM and FED Corporation dated May 28, 2002 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-1A as filed November 12, 2008).
- 10.8 Amendment Number 4 to Lease between IBM and FED Corporation dated December 14, 2004 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004).
- 10.09 Amended and Restated 2003 Stock Option Plan, filed September 1, 2005, as filed in the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement, incorporated herein by reference.*
- 10.10 2005 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, filed September 1, 2005, as filed in the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement, incorporated herein by reference.*
- 10.11 2004 Amended and Restated Non-Employee Compensation Plan, filed September 21, 2006, as filed in the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement incorporated herein by reference.*
- 10.12 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated December 18, 2008 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2008).
- 10.13 Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 18, 2008 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2008).
- 10.14 Exchange Agreement, dated December 18, 2008 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.3 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 22, 2008).
- 10.15 Amendment Number 6 to the lease between IBM and eMagin Corporation dated May 27, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-k filed on June 19, 2009).

Table of Contents

- 10.16 Lease between Northup Building LLC and eMagin dated May 28, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 19, 2009).
- 10.17 Loan and Security Agreement between the Company and Access Business Finance, LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 11, 2009).
- 10.18 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and Andrew G. Sculley dated as of December 31, 2013 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 3, 2014).
- 10.19 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and Paul Campbell dated as of December 31, 2013 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.2 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on January 3, 2014).
- 10.20 First Amendment of Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of September 1, 2011, between the Company and Access Business Finance L.L.C. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 30, 2011).
- 10.21 2011 Incentive Stock Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 8, 2011).*
- 10.22 2013 Incentive Stock Plan, filed April 2, 2013, as filed in the Registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement incorporated herein by reference.*
- 10.23 Employment Agreement, dated as of April 30, 2013, by and between the Company and Gabriel G. Matus (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2013).
- 10.24 Amendment Number 7 to the lease between IBM and eMagin Corporation dated May 2, 2014 (incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 8, 2014).
- 10.25 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and Jerome T. Carollo dated as of May 13, 2014 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Form 8-K filed on May 16, 2014).
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Company (filed herewith).
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (filed herewith).
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Sarbanes Oxley Section 302 (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Sarbanes Oxley Section 302 (filed herewith).
- 32.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith).

101.INS XBRL Instance Document (filed herewith).

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document (filed herewith).

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document (filed herewith).

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document (filed herewith).

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document (filed herewith).

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document (filed herewith).

* Each of the Exhibits noted by an asterisk is a management compensatory plan or arrangement.