

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC  
Form 10QSB  
February 17, 2004

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-QSB

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
For the quarterly period ended:  
December 31, 2003

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number  
0-21151

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

-----  
(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE ----- (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	91-1418002 ----- (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)
--	---

2 Park Avenue, Suite 201 Manhasset, New York ----- (Address of Principal Executive Office)	11030 ----- (Zip Code)
--	------------------------------

516-365-1909  
-----  
(Issuer's telephone number)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the part 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Applicable only to corporate issuers  
-----

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: On January 31, 2004, there were 5,461,659 shares of common stock outstanding.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes  No

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Description	Page Number
-----	
PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Balance Sheets (Unaudited) - At December 31, 2003 and At June 30, 2003.....	4
Condensed Statements of Operations (Unaudited) - Three Months Ended and Six Months Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002.....	5
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) - Six Months Ended December 31, 2003 and 2002.....	6
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited).....	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	11
Item 3. Controls and Procedures.....	18
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceeding.....	19
Item 2. Changes in Securities.....	19
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.....	22
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	22
Item 5. Other Information.....	23
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	23
SIGNATURES.....	24
CERTIFICATIONS.....	25

PART I-- FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

		PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Condensed Balance Sheets (unaudited)	
Assets		December 31, 2003	June 30, 2003
		-----	-----
Current assets:			
Cash		\$ 1,843	\$ --

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Accounts receivable	--	--
Contract work-in-progress	--	11,310
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	13,231	50,733
	-----	-----
Total current assets	15,074	62,043
Equipment, net	84,780	115,332
Patents, net	40,139	61,308
Other Assets	2,415	2,415
	-----	-----
Total assets	\$ 142,408	\$ 241,098
	=====	=====
Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit		
Current liabilities:		
Notes payable to stockholders	\$ 840,013	\$ 641,012
Accounts payable	235,415	189,903
Deferred wages	245,832	146,658
Other accrued liabilities	192,672	201,900
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities	1,513,932	1,179,473
Long term convertible debt net of discount of \$23,625 at December 31, 2003	1,375	--
Stockholders' deficit:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value. Authorized 15,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 5,461,659 shares at December 31, 2003 and June 30, 2003	5,462	5,462
Additional paid-in capital	8,391,201	8,349,701
Accumulated deficit	(9,769,562)	(9,293,538)
	-----	-----
Total stockholders' deficit	(1,372,899)	(938,375)
	-----	-----
Commitments, contingencies and subsequent events		
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit	\$ 142,408	\$ 241,098
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

4

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Condensed Statement of Operations  
(unaudited)

	For the three months ended, December 31,		For the six months ended December 31	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ 86,429	\$ 4,696	\$ 222,579	\$ 339,600

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Cost of revenues	39,556	72,839	164,504	199,611
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross profit (loss)	46,873	(68,143)	58,075	139,999
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	25,518	44,740	67,866	99,399
General and administrative	226,014	241,358	444,542	445,800
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	251,532	286,098	512,408	545,199
Loss from operations	(204,659)	(354,241)	(454,333)	(405,200)
Other income	--	--	1,762	--
Interest income	--	--	--	--
Interest expense	12,501	4,484	23,453	13,888
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (217,160)	\$ (358,725)	\$ (476,024)	\$ (419,085)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.09)	\$ (0.08)
Shares used to calculate basic and diluted net loss per share	5,461,659	5,461,659	5,461,659	5,418,411

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

5

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.  
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows  
(unaudited)

	For the six months ended December 31,	
	2003	2002
	-----	-----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (476,024)	\$ (419,085)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	53,541	86,447
Accreted interest on notes payable and convertible debt	1,375	10,138
Stock compensation	16,500	4,192
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	11,310	(11,776)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	37,502	23,714
Other assets	--	6,643
Accounts payable	45,513	(36,686)
Deferred wages	99,174	38,125
Other accrued liabilities	(9,228)	14,650
Net cash used in operating activities	(220,337)	(283,638)
	-----	-----
Cash flows used in investing activities - purchase of equipment	(1,820)	--
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities		
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated debt and attached warrants	25,000	105,022

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Proceeds from issuance of notes payable	199,000	126,500
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities	224,000	231,522
	-----	-----
Increase (decrease) in cash	1,843	(52,116)
Cash at beginning of the period	--	73,514
Cash at end of the period	\$ 1,843	\$ 21,398
	=====	=====
Supplementary disclosure of cash flow information:		
Note payable converted to common stock	\$ --	\$ 15,000
Issuance of stock and warrants previously subscribed	--	231,250

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements

6

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC  
December 31, 2003  
Notes to Condensed Financial Statements

### 1. Description of Business

Profile Technologies, Inc. (the "Company") is in the business of developing and commercializing potential processes for the nondestructive, noninvasive testing of both above ground and buried pipelines for the effectiveness of pipeline cathodic protecting systems and coating integrity. The Company's future revenues are currently dependent upon the market's acceptance of its sole developed process.

### 2. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited interim condensed financial statements and related notes of the Company have been prepared pursuant to the instructions to Form 10-QSB. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been omitted pursuant to such instructions. The condensed financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's annual report on form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2003 (filed October 14, 2003). The information furnished reflects, in the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring items, necessary for fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

### 3. Net Loss Per Share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. As the Company had a net loss attributable to common shareholders in each of the periods presented, basic and diluted net loss per share are the same.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Excluded from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and six months ended December 31, 2003 are options and warrants to acquire 3,133,817 shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$2.17 because their effect would be antidilutive. Excluded also from the computation of diluted loss per share for the three and six months ended December 31, 2002 are options and warrants to acquire 2,853,817 shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$2.32 because their effect would be antidilutive. For the three and six months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, additional potential dilutive securities that were excluded from the diluted loss per share computation are the exchange rights discussed in footnote 5 that could result in options to acquire up to 721,750 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.00 at December 31, 2003 and 299,250 such shares at December 31, 2002.

7

#### 4. Notes Payable - Stockholders

On May 9, 2002, the Company entered into a bridge loan of up to \$150,000 with Murphy Evans, President and a director and stockholder of the Company. Mr. Evans has currently loaned the Company \$126,000 pursuant to this bridge loan. Pursuant to the terms of the loan, once Mr. Evans loaned the Company \$125,000, the Company cancelled 150,000 warrants with exercise prices ranging from \$3.00 per share to \$7.50 per share (old warrants), previously held by Mr. Evans and issued to Mr. Evans 150,000 five-year warrants with an exercise price of \$1.05.

The cancellation of the old warrants is an effective re-pricing and will be accounted for as a "variable plan" until such time as the warrants are exercised, expire or are forfeited. Variable plan accounting will result in intrinsic value associated with the warrants being adjusted to compensation expense based on each reporting period's ending stock value. As of December 31, 2003, no intrinsic value had been recorded related to these warrants as the stock price was below the exercise price.

As a result of the cancellation and re-issuance of the warrants with a reduced exercise price, the Company recorded an additional \$15,000 discount on notes payable and an increase in additional paid in capital based on the difference between the fair value of the old warrants and the fair value of the new warrants. The fair value of the old and new warrants on the day of cancellation and issuance was based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: warrant lives ranging from 5 to 5.5 years, risk free interest rates of 5.25%, volatility of 120% and a zero dividend yield.

The original bridge provided for interest of 6% per annum on the unpaid balance. Effective January 1, 2003, the Company and Mr. Evans entered into an agreement under which this note and all other past and future advances from Mr. Evans carry an interest rate of 5% per annum. Interest is payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year and the notes mature on December 31, 2003. During the three month period ended December 31, 2003, Murphy Evans loaned the Company an additional \$25,000 under the terms of this agreement. As of December 31, 2003, accrued interest of \$30,753 and the outstanding principal balance of \$726,466 were due and payable. Due to insufficient funds, the Company has not repaid these amounts. As of February 15, 2004, Mr. Evans had made no demand for payment. All advances from Mr. Evans are convertible into any debt or equity offering by the Company. Corresponding interest expense related to the note was \$17,994 and \$13,888 for the six months ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively.

In 2002, the Company issued non-interest bearing bridge notes payable to two officers in the amounts of \$15,000 and \$7,500, convertible into 21,428 and

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

10,714 equity units, respectively. Each equity unit is comprised of one share of common stock accompanied by a detachable five-year warrant to purchase an additional share of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.05. To the extent that the notes are not converted before maturity, both notes are payable in full when the Company determines it has sufficient working capital to do so. The note in the amount of \$15,000 was converted to 21,428 equity units described above in the fiscal year 2003.

In 2003, the Company issued \$50,000 in non-interest bearing bridge notes payable to two stockholders of the Company, convertible into 71,428 equity units. Each equity unit is comprised of one share of common stock accompanied by a detachable five-year warrant to purchase an additional share of common stock with an exercise price of \$1.05. To the extent that the notes are not converted before maturity, the loans are payable in full when the Company determines it has sufficient working capital to do so.

8

In addition, in the fiscal year 2003, the Company entered into a note payable with an officer of the Company. During the three months ended December 31, 2003, the officer loaned the Company an additional \$22,000. The note accrues interest at 5% per annum. As of December 31, 2003, accrued interest of \$1,334 and the outstanding principal balance of \$56,047 were due and payable. Due to insufficient funds, the Company has not repaid these amounts. As of February 15, 2004, the officer had made no demand for payment. The note is convertible into any debt or equity offering made by the Company. Corresponding interest expense related to the note was \$1,334 and \$0 for the six months ended December 31, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively.

The following is a summary of notes payable to stockholders as of December 31, 2003.

Evans	\$726,466
Officer Notes	63,547
Other Stockholder Notes	50,000
	-----
Total	\$840,013
	=====

As of February 15, 2004, the Company has not made the interest payments under the notes with Murphy Evans and Henry Gemino which were due and payable on June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2003. As of February 15, 2004, neither Mr. Evans nor Mr. Gemino has made any demand for payment, or exercised any remedies, under these notes.

### 5. Liquidity

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company incurred cumulative losses of \$9,769,562 through December 31, 2003 and had negative working capital of \$1,498,858 as of December 31, 2003. Additionally, the Company has expended a significant amount of cash in developing its technology and patented processes. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management recognizes that in order to meet the Company's capital requirements, and continue to operate, additional financing, including seeking industry-partner investment through joint ventures or other possible arrangements, will be necessary. The Company is evaluating alternative sources of financing to improve its cash position and is undertaking efforts to raise capital. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital or secure additional revenue contracts and generate positive cash flow, it is unlikely

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

To reduce cash outflows, certain of the Company's employees, officers and directors have agreed to defer a portion of their salaries and consulting fees from August 2001 until the Company has sufficient resources to pay the amounts owed or to exchange such amounts into options as described below. At December 31, 2003, the Company has accrued approximately \$377,732 related to the deferred payment of the salaries and consulting fees of which \$245,832 is included under deferred wages and \$131,900 in accrued liabilities. On March 18, 2002, the Board of Directors approved a right whereby for each dollar of deferred salary and fees, the employee, officer or director could exchange their deferred amount for an option to purchase two shares of common stock with a five-year term at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. No conversions have occurred to date. As there was no intrinsic value associated with these exchange rights, no additional compensation cost has been recorded.

9

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the offering of \$1,000,000 in convertible debentures. The Debentures are convertible into that number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the amount of the converted indebtedness divided by \$0.50 per share. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, payable quarterly. The Company is required to redeem each Debenture on the 5th anniversary of the date of the Debenture. The Company may, in its discretion, redeem any Debenture at any time prior to the mandatory redemption date of the Debenture by providing no less than 60 days' prior written notice to the holder of the Debenture.

Upon the purchase of, and for each \$0.50 of the Debenture's principal amount, the Company will issue to an investor a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase one (1) share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. For example, if an investor executes a Debenture in the principal amount of \$100,000, the Company will issue to such investor 200,000 Warrants. The Warrants will be exercisable at any time prior to the 5th anniversary date of the redemption of the Debenture. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had not received any funds from this offering.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had raised \$25,000 from this offering. Warrants issued in connection with this offering were recorded as paid-in capital at their fair value, estimated at \$18,500, based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: warrant life of 10 years, risk free interest rate of 4.45%, volatility of 120%, and a zero dividend yield. The remaining value of the debentures results in a beneficial conversion feature that reduces the book value of the convertible debt to zero. Accordingly, the Company recorded a \$25,000 discount on the convertible debt issued under this offering. The Company amortizes the discount using the effective interest method over the five year life of the debentures. For the six months ended December 31, 2003, the Company recorded \$1,375 of interest expense related to the Debentures.

### 6. NASDAQ Delisting

In June 2001, the Company announced that it received a Nasdaq Staff Determination, indicating that the Company failed to comply with the minimum bid price and net tangible asset/shareholder equity requirements of the Nasdaq Marketplace Rules for continued listing set forth in Marketplace Rule 4310(c)(4), and that its securities were, therefore, subject to delisting from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. On August 10, 2001, the Nasdaq Stock Market suspended trading in the Company's common stock. Effective Monday, August 13, 2001, the Company began trading on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board under the

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

symbol PRTK.

10

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation.

### General

Since its formation in 1988, Profile Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), has been engaged in the business of researching and developing a high speed scanning process, which is nondestructive and noninvasive, to test remotely buried, encased and insulated pipelines for corrosion. The Company's electromagnetic wave inspection process, referred to as the Company's "Inspection EMWSM" or "EMW," is a patented process of analyzing the waveforms of electrical impulses in a way that extracts point-to-point information along a segment of pipeline to illustrate the integrity of the entire pipeline. This process involves sending electrical pulses along the pipe being tested from two directions toward a varying intersecting point between the two pulser locations. One or more of the modified pulses is analyzed to determine whether an anomaly exists at the intersecting location.

The EMW process is designed to detect external corrosion of pipelines which occurs under pipe insulation and on buried pipes, without the need for taking the lines out of service, physically removing the insulation or digging up pipes, and then visually inspecting the outside of the pipe for corrosion. The Company often can inspect the pipelines by using various access points to the pipelines that already exist for other reasons. Where such access is not already available, the Company's technology permits the inspection of pipelines with a minimal amount of disturbance to the coating or insulation on the pipeline. In addition, the Company's technology permits an inspection of the entire pipeline, as opposed to other technologies which only conduct inspections at points selected for the testing. Such "spot inspections" are not necessarily accurate in indicating the overall condition of a pipe segment.

The most common forms of pipeline corrosion under insulation are localized corrosion of carbon steel and chloride stress corrosion cracking of stainless steel. Refineries, chemical plants, utilities, natural gas transmission companies and the petroleum industry have millions of miles of pipeline, and much of this pipeline is exposed to harsh and severe environments. As a result, there is an on-going effort by these industries to ensure that the quality of the pipe meets standards established by regulatory bodies and the industry to protect operating personnel and the environment.

In the summer of 1998, the Company completed its first commercial contract on the North Slope of Alaska, testing approximately 100 road and caribou crossings on British Petroleum pipelines under a contract with ASCG Inspection, Inc.

In the summer of 1999, the Company followed up its initial Alaska work under a contract with another large multi-national oil company to test approximately 250 below grade pipes. During the summer of 2000, the Company expanded its Alaska efforts by testing a total of 372 below-ground pipes. In 2001, the Company tested 441 lines in Alaska. In 2002, the Company inspected 364 lines.

Based on estimates provided by its customers, the Company originally planned to inspect between 400 and 500 below-grade lines in Alaska in the calendar year 2003. However, based on the Company's final work scopes and the

11

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

fact that more than 40 lines could not be tested for physical reasons, the Company successfully tested 250 below-grade pipes during the calendar year 2003. In addition to the approximately 250 below-grade lines that were successfully tested, the Company completed the testing of approximately 3,500 feet of above-grade, insulated pipes for one of its Alaska customers in an effort to demonstrate that it should be included in that customer's 2004 above-grade inspection budget. Although the Company is confident it can provide greater value to its customer than companies offering competing inspection technologies for above-grade work, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure any contracts to perform above-grade, insulated pipe inspections during the calendar year 2004 or at any time in the future. If the Company is successful in securing above-grade work for 2004, that work could begin as early as March.

In January 2002, the Company retained Dr. Charles Frost, President of Pulse Power Physics, Inc., to assist in the improvement of the Company's hardware, software and its testing and data interpretation methods.

The Company's data interpretation process has also been largely automated. The Company's business model and strategy is heavily dependent on its ability to automate the data interpretation process and fully implement its technology. If the Company is unable to automate completely the data interpretation process and fully implement its technology, the Company may not be able to secure additional fee-for-service contracts or implement a licensing and joint venture business model. As a result, such failure may have a material adverse effect on the business and financial condition of the Company.

### Revenues

The Company derives revenue solely from the sale of the EMW inspection technology service. The Company relies upon several employees, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Operating Officer, the Vice President - Field Operations, for the Company's sales functions. The Company relies solely upon the employees of the Company to conduct its sales activities.

During the six months ended December 31, 2003, all of the Company's revenues were attributable to two customers. These customers individually accounted for 9% and 91%, and 36% and 64%, of net revenues during the six months ended December 31, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

### Marketing

The Company's sales and marketing strategy includes positioning the Company's EMW technology as the method of choice to detect pipeline corrosion where the pipelines are either inaccessible to other inspection tools or much more costly to inspect with tools other than Profile's EMW inspection. Pending completion of designed improvements to its buried pipe inspection equipment and procedures, the Company intends to concentrate its marketing efforts on above-grade insulated pipe such as is common in refineries and chemical plants and on encased road and stream crossings.

Upon completion of its redesigned buried pipe product, which the Company plans to accomplish during the fiscal year 2004, the Company intends to refocus on the natural gas utility and pipeline market, particularly in so-called "high consequence areas" (e.g., densely populated areas). However, the design and

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

fabrication of an improved buried pipe product is dependent on the availability of sufficient funding for the project.

There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in concentrating its marketing efforts for the EMW technology on above-grade insulated pipe or in the "high consequence areas" of the natural gas utility and pipeline market.

### Critical Accounting Estimates and Policies

The discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon the Company's financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including contract revenue recognition and impairment of long-lived assets. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that the Company believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form its basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions, and such variations may be adverse.

The Company recognizes revenue from service contracts using the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting. Contract revenues earned are measured using either the percentage-of-contract costs incurred to date to total estimated contract costs or, when the contract is based on measurable units of completion, revenue is based on the completion of such units. Historically, the majority of the Company's revenue has been recognized based on the completion of measurable units. Anticipated losses on contracts, if any, are charged to earnings as soon as such losses can be estimated. Changes in estimated profits on contracts are recognized during the period in which the change in estimate is known. The Company records claims for additional compensation on contracts upon revision of the contract to include the amount to be received for the additional work performed. Contract costs include all direct material and labor costs and those indirect costs related to contract performance, such as indirect labor, supplies, tools and repairs, and depreciation costs. Selling, general, and administrative costs are charged to expense as incurred. Service contracts generally extend no more than six months.

The Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

### Results of Operations

The Company's operating results depend exclusively on its ability to market its EMW inspection technology. If the Company is not able to automate completely the EMW inspection process and fully implement its new technology, the Company may not be able to obtain future contracts to sell or to license its EMW technology. Since the Company's revenues are derived solely from the marketing

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

and sale of its EMW technology, any failure to obtain future contracts will have a material adverse effect on the business and financial condition of the Company.

Revenues increased to \$86,429 for the three months and decreased to \$222,579 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$4,696 for the three months and \$339,609 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. The increase in revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2003 compared to the corresponding period in the previous year is attributable to the fact that the Company finished its Alaska work scope later in 2003 than it had in 2002. The decrease in revenues for the six months ended December 31, 2003 compared to the corresponding period in 2002 is attributable to the decreased overall work scope in Alaska during the summer and fall of 2003 compared with the same period in 2002.

Cost of revenues decreased to \$39,556 for the three months and \$164,504 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$72,839 for the three months and \$199,613 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. This decrease during both the three and six month periods is due to the decrease in the Company's overall work scope in Alaska during the summer and fall of 2003 compared with the corresponding period in 2002.

Gross profit was \$46,873 for the three months and \$58,075 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to a gross loss of \$68,143 for the three months and gross profit of \$139,996 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. The increase in gross profit for the three months ended December 31, 2003 is due to operating efficiencies obtained in the latter part of the overall work scope on the North Slope of Alaska and to the cancellation of revenue generating contracts during the three months ended December 31, 2002. The decrease in gross profit for the six months ended December 31, 2003, as compared to the same period in the prior year is primarily due to a lower overall work scope in Alaska in 2003.

Research and development expenses decreased to \$25,518 for the three months and \$67,866 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$44,740 for the three months and \$99,392 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. The decrease for both the three and six month periods ended December 31, 2003 compared to the same periods ended December 31, 2002 is attributable to the fact that certain employees spent less time on research and development and more time on general and administrative activities when not working on revenue generating contracts during these periods.

General and administrative expenses decreased to \$226,014 for the three months and \$444,542 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$241,358 for the three months and \$445,807 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. General and administrative costs remained relatively consistent for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to the same period in the prior year because the Company's cost structure includes a significant amount of fixed costs.

Loss from operations decreased to \$204,659 for the three months and increased to \$454,333 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$354,241 for the three months and \$405,203 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. The increase for the six months ended December 31, 2003 compared to the same period in the prior year is due to operating efficiencies obtained in the latter part of the overall work scope on the North Slope of Alaska and to the cancellations of revenue generating contracts during the three months ended December 31, 2002. Because the Company's cost structure includes a significant amount of fixed costs, fluctuations in revenue significantly impact the

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Company's gross margin and loss from operations.

Interest expense increased to \$12,501 for the three months and \$23,453 for the six months ended December 31, 2003, compared to \$4,484 for the three months and \$13,888 for the six months ended December 31, 2002. The increase during the three and six month periods ended December 31, 2003 is a result of the interest accrued in relation to the notes payable to stockholders described in Note 4 above.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company incurred cumulative losses of \$9,769,562 through December 31, 2003, and had negative working capital of \$1,498,858 as of December 31, 2003. Additionally, the Company has expended a significant amount of cash in developing its technology and patented processes. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management recognizes that in order to meet the Company's capital requirements, and continue to operate, additional financing, including seeking industry-partner investment through joint ventures or other possible arrangements, will be necessary. The Company is evaluating alternative sources of financing to improve its cash position and is undertaking efforts to raise capital. If the Company is unable to raise additional capital or secure additional revenue contracts and generate positive cash flow, it is unlikely that the Company will be able to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

To reduce cash outflows, certain of the Company's employees, officers and directors have agreed to defer a portion of their salaries and consulting fees from August 2001 until the Company has sufficient resources to pay the amounts owed or to exchange such amounts into options as described below. At December 31, 2003, the Company has accrued approximately \$377,732 related to the deferred payment of the salaries and consulting fees of which \$245,832 is included under deferred wages and \$131,900 in accrued liabilities. On March 18, 2002, the Board of Directors approved a right whereby for each dollar of deferred salary and fees, the employee, officer or director could exchange their deferred amount for an option to purchase two shares of common stock with a five-year term at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. No conversions have occurred to date. As there was no intrinsic value associated with these exchange rights, no additional compensation cost has been recorded.

15

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the offering (the "2003 Offering") of \$1,000,000 in convertible debentures (the "Debentures"). The Debentures are convertible into that number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the amount of the converted indebtedness divided by \$0.50 per share. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, payable quarterly. The Company is required to redeem each Debenture on the 5th anniversary of the date of the Debenture. The Company may, in its discretion, redeem any Debenture at any time prior to the mandatory redemption date of the Debenture by providing no less than 60 days' prior written notice to the holder of the Debenture.

Upon the purchase of, and for each \$0.50 of the Debenture's principal amount, the Company will issue to an investor a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase one (1) share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. For example, if an investor executes a Debenture in the principal amount of \$100,000, the Company will issue to such investor 200,000 Warrants. The Warrants will be exercisable at any time prior to the 5th

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

anniversary date of the redemption of the Debenture.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had raised \$25,000 from the 2003 Offering. Warrants issued in connection with the 2003 Offering were recorded as paid-in capital at their fair value, estimated at \$18,500, based on an option pricing model with the following assumptions: warrant life of 10 years, risk free interest rate of 4.45%, volatility of 120%, and a zero dividend yield. The remaining value of the Debentures results in a beneficial conversion feature that reduces the book value of the convertible debt to zero. Accordingly, the Company recorded a \$25,000 discount on the convertible debt issued under the 2003 Offering. The Company amortized the discount using the effective interest method over the five year life of the Debentures. For the six months ended December 31, 2003, the Company recorded \$1,375 of interest expense related to the Debentures.

The Board of Directors approved an extension of the 2003 Offering through February 15, 2004. Subsequent to December 31, 2003, the Company raised an additional \$80,000 from the 2003 Offering. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has raised a total of \$105,000 in the 2003 Offering.

The Company's contractual obligations consist of commitments under deferred salaries and fees and repayment of loans payable to certain officers, directors and stockholders. The Company does not have any contractual obligations related to its operating leases. However, the Company expects to continue to incur costs on leased properties, as the Company has extended such leases in the past or will use alternate facilities. As of December 31, 2003, deferred salary and consulting fees were equal to \$377,732, and the salaries and fees will continue to be deferred until the Company has sufficient resources to pay the amounts owed, or the employees, officers, or directors exchange such amounts as described above.

As of December 31, 2003, the Company had outstanding loans payable to certain officers, directors and stockholders with principal amounts, in the aggregate, equal to \$840,013. The terms of the various notes are described below under "Part II, Item 2, Changes in Securities."

Capital will be expended to support operations until the Company can generate sufficient cash flows from operations. In order for the Company to generate cash flows from operations, the Company must generate additional revenue generating contracts. Management is currently directing the Company's activities towards obtaining additional service contracts, which, if obtained, will necessitate the Company attracting, hiring, training and outfitting

16

qualified technicians. If additional service contracts are obtained, it will also necessitate additional field test equipment purchases in order to provide the services. The Company's intention is to purchase such equipment for its field crews for the foreseeable future, until such time as the scope of operations may require alternate sources of financing equipment. The Company expects that if additional contracts are secured, and revenues increase, working capital requirements will increase. There can be no assurance that the Company's process will gain widespread commercial acceptance within any particular time frame, or at all. The Company will incur additional expenses as it hires and trains field crews and support personnel related to the successful receipt of commercial contracts. Additionally, the Company anticipates that cash will be used to meet capital expenditure requirements necessary to develop infrastructure to support future growth. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to secure additional revenue generating contracts to provide sufficient cash.

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB contains "forward-looking statements." These forward-looking statements can generally be identified as such because the context of the statement will include words such as the Company "believes," "anticipates," "expects" or words of similar import. Similarly, statements that describe the Company's projected future results, future plans, objectives or goals or future conditions or events are also forward looking statements. Actual results are inherently difficult to predict. Any such forward-looking statements are subject to the risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results of operations, financial condition, acquisitions, financing transactions, operations, expenditures, expansion and other events to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. Any such forward-looking statements would be subject to a number of assumptions regarding, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions generally. Such assumptions would be based on facts and conditions as they exist at the time such statements are made as well as predictions as to future facts and conditions, the accurate prediction of which may be difficult and involve the assessment of events beyond the Company's control.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions that the Company will obtain or have access to adequate financing to continue its operations, that the Company will market and provide products and services on a timely basis, that there will be no material adverse competitive or technological change with respect to the Company's business, demand for the Company's products and services will significantly increase, that the Company will be able to secure additional fee-for-services or licensing contracts, that the Company's executive officers will remain employed as such by the Company, that the Company's forecast accurately anticipate market demand, and that there will be no material adverse change in the Company's operations, business or governmental regulation affecting the Company or its customers. The foregoing assumptions are based on judgments with respect to, among other things, future economic, competitive and market conditions and future business decisions, all of which are difficult or impossible to predict accurately and many of which are beyond the Company's control. Although the Company believes the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, the Company cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements.

17

### Item 3. Controls and Procedures

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported. The Company's executive officers, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, who also serves as Chief Financial Officer, and the Chief Operating Officer, are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Company. These executives have designed such controls to ensure that all material information related to the Company is made known to them by others within the organization. As of December 31, 2003, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Operating Officer completed an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, and such evaluation has provided them with reasonable assurance that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information required to be included in the

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Company's periodic filings with the SEC. They did not discover any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses within the controls and procedures that require modification. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the Company's evaluation that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2003 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

18

### PART II -- OTHER INFORMATION

#### Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The Company is not a party to any pending or threatened legal proceedings.

#### Item 2. Changes in Securities.

On March 18, 2002, the Board of Directors approved an offering of 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.70 per share, with attached warrants (the "2002 Offering"). Each warrant entitles the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share until April 4, 2007. The Company did not incur or pay any commissions with respect to offers and sales of securities under the 2002 Offering. The 2002 Offering terminated on December 31, 2002. As of December 31, 2002, the Company had raised a total of \$403,200 from the 2002 Offering. All of the investors were accredited investors. The 2002 Offering is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

In April 2002, the Company issued a non-interest bearing bridge loan in the principal amount of \$15,000 (the "Gemino Loan") payable to Henry Gemino, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and a director and stockholder of the Company. The terms of the Gemino Loan provided for payment at such time as the Company determined that it had sufficient working capital to repay the principal balance of the Gemino Loan and for the conversion into 21,428 equity units. Each equity unit was comprised of one share of the Company's common stock, with a detached 5-year warrant to purchase one additional share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The Gemino Loan is exempt from registration under the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. The Gemino Loan was converted into the 21,428 equity units in 2002.

In April 2002, the Company issued a non-interest bearing bridge loan in the principal amount of \$7,500 (the "Scott Loan") payable to G.L. Scott, the former Chairman of the Board of Directors and stockholder of the Company. The Scott Loan is payable at such time as the Company determines that it has sufficient working capital to repay the principal balance of the Scott Loan and is convertible into 10,714 equity units at any time prior to payment. Each equity unit is comprised of one share of the Company's common stock, with a detached 5-year warrant to purchase one additional share at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The Scott Loan is exempt from registration under the Securities Act pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof. On September 29, 2002, Mr. Scott died unexpectedly from a stroke before converting any part of this loan. As of February 15, 2004, Mr. Scott's estate had not converted any part of the Scott

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Loan into equity units.

On May 9, 2002, the Company entered into a \$150,000 bridge loan agreement with Murphy Evans, the President and a director and stockholder of the Company (the "Evans Loan"). The Company's Board of Directors approved the terms of the Evans Loan. The Evans Loan was exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act.

19

Mr. Evans had loaned the Company \$126,000, pursuant to the Evans Loan. Under the terms of the Evans Loan, once Mr. Evans loaned the Company \$125,000, the Company cancelled 150,000 warrants held by Mr. Evans, with exercise prices ranging from \$3.00 per share to \$7.50 per share, and issued to Mr. Evans 150,000 five-year warrants with an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. If the Company had raised \$400,000 pursuant to the 2002 Offering within 90 days of May 9, 2002, the entire loan amount would have been converted into the Company's common stock in accordance with the terms of the 2002 Offering. However, the Company raised only \$346,250, not \$400,000, under the 2002 Offering within 90 days of May 9, 2002. As a result, under the terms of the Evans Loan, the Company would have been obligated to commence making monthly loan payments to Mr. Evans in the amount of \$25,000 per month, with interest accruing at 6% per annum on the unpaid principal balance of the Evans Loan. On March 6, 2003, the Evans Loan was replaced and superseded by the Amended Evans Loan as described below. As of March 6, 2003, Mr. Evans made no demand for payment under the Evans Loan, and no repayments of the Evans Loan had been made by the Company.

During 2002, the Company also entered into certain non-interest bearing bridge loans in the aggregate amount of \$56,500 (the "Subsequent Evans Loan") payable to Murphy Evans, the President and a director and shareholder of the Company. The terms of the Subsequent Evans Loan provided for payment at such time as the Company determined it had sufficient working capital to repay the principal balance of the Subsequent Evans Loan which was convertible into 81,428 equity units at any time prior to payment. Each equity unit was comprised of one share of the Company's common stock, with a detached 5-year warrant to purchase one additional share at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The Subsequent Evans Loan is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. On March 6, 2003, the Subsequent Evans Loan was replaced and superseded by the Amended Evans Loan as described below. As of March 6, 2003, no repayments of the Subsequent Evans Loan had been made by the Company.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2003, Murphy Evans also loaned \$194,650 to the Company (collectively, the "Non-Convertible Evans Loan"). This loan agreement provided for payment at such time as the Company determined it had sufficient working capital to repay the Non-Convertible Evans Loan. Interest was to accrue on the Non-Convertible Evans Loan at a rate of 5% per annum. The Non-Convertible Evans Loan is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. On March 6, 2003, the Non-Convertible Evans Loan was replaced and superseded by the Amended Evans Loan as described below. As of March 6, 2003, no repayments of the Evans Loan had been made by the Company.

On March 6, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors approved the Loan Amendment and Promissory Note (the "Amended Evans Loan") between the Company and Murphy Evans. The Amended Evans Loan amends and supersedes the indebtedness under the Evans Loan, Subsequent Evans Loan and Non-Convertible Evans Loan by aggregating the debt under all of these loans by Mr. Evans into one promissory note bearing interest on the aggregate principal balance at a rate of 5% per annum, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year. The outstanding balance under the Amended Evans Loan was due and payable in full on December 31, 2003. The Amended Evans Loan superseded and replaced all of the terms under the Evans Loan, Subsequent Evans Loan and Non-Convertible Evans Loan, including the

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

conversion feature under the Subsequent Evans Loan.

20

In addition, the terms of the Amended Evans Loan will apply to all future loans that may be made to the Company by Murphy Evans. Due to the necessity by the Company to obtain additional financing in the future to sustain the Company's operations, the Board of Directors approved the terms of the Amended Evans Loan. From March 6, 2003 through June 30, 2003, Murphy Evans loaned the company an additional \$172,315 under the Amended Evans Loan. During the six months ended December 31, 2003, Mr. Evans loaned the Company an additional \$177,000 under the Amended Evans Loan. The Amended Evans Loan is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of the Amended Evans Loan was equal to \$726,466. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has not made the interest payments due on June 30 and December 31, 2003 and did not repay the outstanding principal balance. Mr. Evans has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies, under the Amended Evans Loan.

During the twelve months ended June 30, 2003, the Company entered into two non-interest bearing bridge loans in the respective principal amounts of \$40,000 and \$10,000 (the "Shareholder Loans") payable to two shareholders of the Company. The terms of the Shareholder Loans provide for payment at such time as the Company determines it has sufficient working capital to repay the principal balances of the Shareholder Loans. The Shareholder Loans are convertible into 57,142 and 14,286 equity units, respectively, at any time prior to payment. Each equity unit is comprised of one share of the Company's common stock, with a detached 5-year warrant to purchase one additional share at an exercise price of \$1.05 per share. The Shareholder Loans are exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. As of February 15, 2004, neither shareholder had converted either Shareholder Loan into equity units.

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved a promissory note (the "2003 Gemino Note") in the principal amount of \$34,047 payable to Henry E. Gemino, the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and a director and stockholder of the Company. The 2003 Gemino Note bears interest at the rate of 5% per annum, payable on each June 30 and December 31 of each year. The 2003 Gemino Note evidences the Company's obligation to repay Mr. Gemino certain amounts advanced by Mr. Gemino to pay certain expenses of the Company. The outstanding balance under the 2003 Gemino Note is due and payable in full on December 31, 2003. The 2003 Gemino Note is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of the 2003 Gemino Note was equal to \$56,047. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has not made the interest payments due on June 30, 2003 or December 31, 2003 and has not repaid the outstanding balance due and payable on December 31, 2003. Mr. Gemino has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies under the 2003 Gemino Note.

On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved an offering (the "2003 Offering") of \$1,000,000 in convertible debentures (the "Debentures"). The Debentures are convertible into that number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to the amount of the converted indebtedness divided by \$0.50 per share. The Debentures bear interest at a rate of 5% per annum, payable quarterly. The Company is required to redeem each Debenture on the fifth anniversary of the date of the Debenture. The Company may, in its discretion, redeem any Debenture at any time prior to the mandatory redemption date of the Debenture by providing no less than 60 days' prior written notice to the holder of the Debenture.

21

## Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

Upon the purchase of, and for each \$0.50 of the Debenture's principal amount, the Company will issue to an investor a warrant (the "Warrant") to purchase one (1) share of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. For example, if an investor executes a Debenture in the principal amount of \$100,000, the Company will issue to such investor 200,000 Warrants. The Warrants will be exercisable at any time prior to the 5th anniversary date of the redemption of the Debenture. The 2003 Offering is exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had not received any funds from the 2003 Offering. As of December 31, 2003, the Company had raised \$25,000 from the 2003 Offering. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has raised an aggregate amount of \$105,000 in the 2003 Offering.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

On March 6, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the terms of the Amended Evans Loan between the Company and Murphy Evans. See "Part II, Item 2, Changes in Securities." The Amended Evans Loan amends and supersedes the indebtedness under the Evans Loan, the Subsequent Evans Loan and the Non-Convertible Evans Loan by aggregating the debt under all of these loans by Mr. Evans into one promissory note bearing interest on the aggregate principal balance at a rate of 5% per annum, payable on June 30 and December 31 of each year. The outstanding balance under the Amended Evans Loan is due and payable in full on December 31, 2003. On June 19, 2003, the Board of Directors approved the 2003 Gemino Note, the terms of which are described above in Item 2, "Changes in Securities."

As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of the Amended Evans Loan was equal to \$726,466. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has not made the interest payments in the amount of \$13,061 and \$17,692 which were due and payable to Mr. Evans on June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, the Company's total arrearage under the Amended Evans Loan with respect to this interest payment was equal to \$30,753. As of February 15, 2004, Mr. Evans has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies, under the Amended Evans Loan.

As of December 31, 2003, the outstanding principal balance of the 2003 Gemino Note was equal to \$56,047. As of February 15, 2004, the Company has not made the interest payments in the amount of \$0 and \$1,334 which were due and payable to Mr. Gemino on June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2003, respectively. As of December 31, 2003, the Company's total arrearage on the 2003 Gemino Note with respect to this interest payment was equal to \$1,334. As of February 15, 2004, Mr. Gemino has not made any demand for payment, or exercised any of his remedies, under the 2003 Gemino Note.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of the Company was held on December 15, 2003.

22

At the Annual Meeting, 4,591,920 shares were present in person or by proxy. The following is a summary and tabulation of the matters that were voted upon at the Annual Meeting:

#### Proposal I.

The election of directors each for a term of one year:

Withheld

Edgar Filing: PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10QSB

	For	Authority
	---	-----
Henry E. Gemino	4,432,205	159,715
Murphy Evans	4,426,205	165,715
Charles Christenson	4,438,755	153,165
William A. Krivsky	4,438,755	153,165

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a) Exhibits.

Exhibit 31.1      Certification of Henry E. Gemino, as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.2      Certification of Philip L. Jones, as Chief Operating Officer of the Company, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.1      Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Henry E. Gemino, as Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company.

Exhibit 32.2      Certification under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Philip L. Jones, as Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

None.

23

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PROFILE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

-----  
(Registrant)

Date: February 16, 2004

/s/ Henry E. Gemino

-----  
Henry E. Gemino  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chief Financial Officer

