

LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES  
Form 10-Q  
April 04, 2005

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark one)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended February 28, 2005

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 000-26565

---

**LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation)

**2655 Campus Drive, Suite 250**

**San Mateo, California**

(Address of principal executive office)

**94-3245315**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**94403**

(Zip Code)

**(650) 645-4000**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

---

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

106,077,485 shares of the Registrant's common stock were outstanding as of March 31, 2005.

---

**LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES**

**FORM 10-Q**

**For The Quarterly Period Ended February 28, 2005**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I.**

Item 1.

**FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of February 28, 2005 and May 31, 2004

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and nine months ended February 28, 2005 and February 29, 2004

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended February 28, 2005 and February 29, 2004

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4.

Controls and Procedures

**PART II.**

**OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1.

Legal Proceedings

Item 2.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3.

Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4.

Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5.

Other Information

Item 6.

Exhibits

**SIGNATURES**

**Part I. Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements****LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands)****Unaudited**

	February 28, 2005	May 31, 2004
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 194,404	\$ 215,877
Accounts receivable, net	1,950	3,143
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1,183	1,642
Other receivables	4,487	175
Total current assets	202,024	220,837
Property and equipment, net	1,613	1,851
Deferred costs related to warrants	896	3,583
Restricted cash	10,747	10,869
Other assets		268
Total assets	\$ 215,280	\$ 237,408
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity</b>		
<b>Current liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,528	\$ 3,102
Accrued liabilities	15,748	16,384
Accrued payroll and related expenses	719	685
Short-term borrowing from bank		608
Deferred revenues	4,369	6,137
Total current liabilities	23,364	26,916
Long-term excess facilities charges	18,214	19,140
Long-term deferred revenues	9,156	
Other long-term liabilities	2,416	2,416
Total liabilities	53,150	48,472
<b>Commitments and contingencies (Note 5) Stockholders equity:</b>		
Common stock	1,061	1,055
Contributed and paid-in-capital	1,502,811	1,503,113
Deferred stock-based compensation	(6,780)	(8,453)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,970)	(2,112)
Accumulated deficit	(1,332,992)	(1,304,667)
Total stockholders equity	162,130	188,936
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 215,280	\$ 237,408

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.



## LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands, except per share data)

Unaudited

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
<b>Revenues:</b>				
License and royalty	\$ (612)	\$ (54)	\$ (883)	\$ (1,675)
Service	732	1,756	2,783	6,110
Total revenues	120	1,702	1,900	4,435
<b>Cost of revenues:</b>				
License and royalty	15	206	49	565
Service	444	1,549	2,716	4,360
Total cost of revenues	459	1,755	2,765	4,925
Gross loss	(339)	(53)	(865)	(490)
<b>Operating expenses:</b>				
Research and development	4,508	5,022	12,107	12,336
Sales and marketing	388	703	1,692	3,136
General and administrative	3,113	3,667	9,921	12,327
Amortization of deferred costs related to warrants				1,831
Restructuring costs		86		1,447
Amortization and impairment of goodwill and intangible assets				22
Impairment of deferred costs related to warrants				4,969
Amortization of deferred stock-based compensation				10
Excess facilities charges and related asset impairment	486		6,108	593
Total operating expenses	8,495	9,478	29,828	36,671
Loss from operations	(8,834)	(9,531)	(30,693)	(37,161)
<b>Interest income, net</b>	1,025	504	2,196	1,694
<b>Other income (expense), net</b>	(37)	1,184	245	636
Loss from continuing operations before income tax provision	(7,846)	(7,843)	(28,252)	(34,831)
<b>Income tax provision (benefit)</b>	18	(122)	153	(19)
Loss from continuing operations	(7,864)	(7,721)	(28,405)	(34,812)
<b>Loss from discontinued operations</b>				(3,075)
<b>Gain on sale of discontinued operations</b>		249	80	9,286
Net loss	\$ (7,864)	\$ (7,472)	\$ (28,325)	\$ (28,601)
<b>Basic and diluted income (loss) per share:</b>				
Continuing operation, basic and diluted	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.33)
Discontinued operations, basic	\$	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.06
Discontinued operations, diluted	\$	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.06
<b>Basic and diluted net loss per share</b>	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.27)
<b>Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share</b>				
	105,911	105,204	105,751	104,573
<b>Shares used in computing diluted net gain per share from discontinued operations</b>				
		110,476	109,015	109,117
<b>Comprehensive loss:</b>				
Net loss	\$ (7,864)	\$ (7,472)	\$ (28,325)	\$ (28,601)

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency translation adjustment			(1,183)		153		(3,786)
Unrealized losses on short term investments, net		(10)			(11)		
Comprehensive loss	\$	(7,874)	\$	(8,655)	\$	(28,183)	\$ (32,387)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

## LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

Unaudited

	Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (28,325)	\$ (28,601)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Impairment of deferred costs related to warrants		4,969
Amortization of deferred costs related to warrants	2,687	5,001
Discontinued operations		(8,186)
Depreciation and amortization	904	2,238
Non-cash stock based compensation expense	1,758	1,428
Amortization and impairment of intangible assets		22
Stock units surrendered in consideration of taxes payable	(536)	
Amortization of investment discount		(616)
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	31	16
Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts	(28)	16
Asset impairment charges		41
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,221	(541)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	459	(72)
Other receivables	(4,312)	868
Other assets	268	(186)
Accounts payable	(574)	(40)
Accrued liabilities	(636)	(19,922)
Accrued payroll and related expenses	34	(182)
Deferred revenues	7,388	(204)
Long-term excess facilities liabilities	(926)	(2,568)
Net cash used in operating activities	(20,587)	(46,519)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchase of investments		(266,384)
Proceeds from maturity of investments		267,000
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operations		7,075
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	122	(1,627)
Purchases of property and equipment	(705)	(385)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	8	74
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(575)	5,753
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Principal payments on capital lease obligations		(6)
Short-term borrowing from bank	(608)	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	149	2,526
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(459)	2,520
<b>Effect of exchange rate changes on cash</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>(1,144)</b>
<b>Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>(21,473)</b>	<b>(39,390)</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period</b>	<b>215,877</b>	<b>261,689</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 194,404</b>	<b>\$ 222,299</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.





LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unaudited

**Note 1. Description of Business**

Liberate Technologies (Liberate, we, us, or our), together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries, is a provider of software and services for digital cable television systems. Based on industry standards, our software enables cable operators to run multiple applications and services including interactive programming guides, high definition television, video on demand, personal video recorders and games on multiple platforms.

Liberate began operations in late 1995 as a division of Oracle. In April 1996, the company separately incorporated in Delaware as Network Computer, Inc., and on May 11, 1999, it changed its name to Liberate Technologies.

Our headquarters are located in San Mateo, California. As of February 28, 2005, we have a research and development center in Canada and sales and customer support offices in the U.K.

**Agreement for Sale of North America Business**

On January 10, 2005, Liberate announced that it had reached agreement to sell substantially all of the assets of its North American business to Double C Technologies, LLC, a joint venture majority owned and controlled by Comcast Corporation with a minority investment by Cox Communications, Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, the joint venture will receive substantially all of the assets, including patents and other intellectual property, and will assume certain limited liabilities related to Liberate's North American business. Liberate will receive cash consideration of approximately \$82 million. The parties will cross-license technology and intellectual property to one another following the closing for purposes of the continued conduct of their respective businesses. As part of the transaction, the joint venture has made employment offers to approximately 130 employees, primarily located in London, Ontario, Canada. Liberate will retain its Non-North America business and will continue to service its Non-North America customers. In addition, concurrently with the effectiveness of the acquisition agreement, David Lockwood, the Chairman and CEO of Liberate, entered into a voting agreement with the joint venture, under which he agreed to vote all shares of Liberate stock beneficially owned by him, comprising approximately 12% of the total outstanding shares of Liberate, in favor of the transaction. The acquisition agreement became effective on January 14, 2005, upon the dismissal of Liberate's bankruptcy appeal. The acquisition agreement is also subject to Liberate stockholder approval and other customary closing conditions. Liberate's board of directors has called a special meeting of stockholders for April 5, 2005 to consider and vote upon the asset sale. The asset sale is expected to close in the quarter ending May 31, 2005. As of February 28, 2005, Liberate has incurred transaction related expenses of \$886,000. Additionally, there is a contingent success fee of approximately \$1.4 million payable to Allen & Company (advisors to the transaction) upon consummation of the sale. This fee will be recorded as a liability of the Company once shareholder approval ratifies the sale of the North America business.

**Note 2. Significant Accounting Policies**

**Basis of Presentation**

These interim financial statements are unaudited and reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature that we believe are necessary to provide a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations for the interim periods in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). These statements should be read in conjunction with the audited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2004. The results of operations for the interim periods reported do not necessarily indicate the results expected for the full fiscal year or for any future period.

In this report, we sometimes use the words fiscal or FY followed by a year to refer to our fiscal years, which end on May 31 of the specified year. We also sometimes use Q1, Q2, Q3, and Q4 to refer to our fiscal quarters, which end on August 31, November 30, the last day of February, and May 31 of each fiscal year.

**Principles of Consolidation**

Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Liberate and our subsidiaries. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

### **Foreign Currency Translation and Re-measurement**

The functional currency of Liberate's subsidiaries is the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, the monetary assets and liabilities of these operations are re-measured into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date and non-monetary assets and liabilities are re-measured at historical rates. Revenues, expenses, gains or losses are re-measured at the average exchange rate for the period, other than depreciation and amortization, which are re-measured at the respective historical rates as their related assets. The resulting re-measurement gains and losses of these operations as well as gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Translation gains or losses relating to prior periods have been recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), a component of Stockholders' Equity. As of February 28, 2005 and May 31, 2004, the cumulative translation losses in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$1.9 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

### **Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

Due to their short maturities, the carrying value of our financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, restricted cash, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, approximates their fair value.

### **Concentration of Credit Risk**

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Substantially all of our cash and cash equivalents are invested in high-quality money market instruments and securities of the U.S. government. While our customers are geographically dispersed, a substantial amount of our revenues has been generated from a small number of customers, whose receivables are generally unsecured. We mitigate our credit risk associated with accounts receivable by performing ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial conditions, and we maintain an allowance for potential credit losses. Historically, we have not experienced significant losses related to the non-payment of accounts receivable.

The table below sets forth information relating to each customer that accounted for 10% or more of our total revenues during the periods ended February 28, 2005 and February 29, 2004:

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
Customer A	338%	32%	77%	32%
Customer B	262%	29%	46%	23%
Customer C	*	*	31%	*
Customer D	*	18%	29%	36%
Customer E	149%	*	24%	*
Customer F	58%	*	12%	*
Customer G	21%	*	*	*
Customer H	*	64%	*	76%

---

\* Less than 10%

The above presentation includes the effects of the warrant amortization expense classified as a reduction of revenues. As a result, certain customers generated negative revenues, and the totals of the percentages for certain periods presented exceeds 100%.

As of February 28, 2005 and May 31, 2004, three and four customers, respectively, accounted for 10% or more of our gross accounts receivable balance. Their respective receivable balances as a percentage of our gross accounts receivable balance were as follows:

	February 28, 2005	May 31, 2004
Customer A	21%	18%
Customer D	*	15%
Customer B	22%	11%
Customer H	51%	49%

---

\* Less than 10%

### Property and Equipment

We record property and equipment at the lower of cost, net of accumulated depreciation, or net realizable value. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets ranging from two to five years. We amortize leasehold improvements over the shorter of the remaining lease term or the estimated useful lives of the improvements using the straight-line method.

### Deferred Costs Related to Warrants

In fiscal 1999, we issued warrants to several of our customers. We valued these warrants based on their estimated fair value using the Black-Scholes pricing model as of the earlier of the date that the warrants were earned or the date that it became likely that they would be earned. Under the requirements of Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services, we continue to revalue warrants if appropriate. We record the value of warrants as deferred costs, a non-current asset on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. We amortize those deferred costs over the estimated economic life of the arrangements under which the warrants are issued. Under EITF 01-09, Accounting for Consideration Given by a Vendor to a Customer, such amortization expense may be classified as an offset to associated revenues up to the amount of cumulative revenues recognized or to be recognized.

We periodically review warrants for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the warrants may not be recoverable. Significant management judgment is required in assessing the useful life of our warrant assets and the need for a measurement of impairment. In fiscal 2002, we recorded an impairment charge of \$44.8 million in connection with a review for impairment of the carrying value of deferred costs. In fiscal 2004, we recorded an impairment charge of \$5.0 million as a result of our realignment of strategy to focus on the U.S. cable market and the resulting impairment of warrants issued to non-U.S. customers. These impairment charges reduced the carrying value of deferred costs to a level equal to the expected future revenues from the holders of those warrants during the amortization period of those warrants. For the three and nine months ended February 28, 2005, we amortized \$896,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively, as a reduction of associated revenues up to the amount of cumulative revenues recognized or to be recognized.

### Stock-Based Compensation

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure amended SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, which provided alternate methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, SFAS 148 amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require more prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method used on reported results. We have elected to continue to follow the intrinsic value method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ( APB 25 ), to account for employee stock options. Under APB 25, no compensation expense is recognized upon the grant of an employee stock option unless the exercise price of the option is less than market price of the underlying stock at the date of grant.

Under the provisions of APB 25, we record deferred stock-based compensation in connection with stock units based on the intrinsic value of the underlying shares at the date of grant. This value is then amortized over the vesting period of the stock unit as a compensation expense by functional classification of the award recipient. See Note 6.

The following information regarding net loss and loss per share prepared in accordance with SFAS 123 has been determined as if we had accounted for our employee stock options, stock units and shares issued under our 1999 Equity Incentive Plan using the fair value method prescribed by SFAS 123. The resulting effect on net loss and loss per share pursuant to SFAS 123 is not likely to be representative of the effects on net loss and loss per share pursuant to SFAS 123 in future periods, because future periods will include additional grants and periods of vesting.

The following table illustrates the effect on reported net loss and loss per share had we applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 (in thousands, except per share data):

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
Net loss, as reported	\$ (7,864)	\$ (7,472)	\$ (28,325)	\$ (28,601)
Adjustments:				
Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported loss, net of related tax effects				10
Stock unit compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of related tax effects	547	1,296	1,758	1,310
Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards granted since July 1, 1995, net of related tax effects	(1,291)	(2,664)	(3,953)	(3,588)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (8,608)	\$ (8,840)	\$ (30,520)	\$ (30,869)
Basic and diluted net loss per share, as reported	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.27)	\$ (0.27)
Basic and diluted net loss per share, pro forma	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.29)	\$ (0.30)

The following table shows the expense related to stock units, by functional classification (in thousands):

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
Cost of revenues	\$ 25	\$ 155	\$ 113	\$ 155
Research and development	360	979	1,110	979
Sales and marketing	53	80	202	94
General and administrative	109	82	333	82
Total	\$ 547	\$ 1,296	\$ 1,758	\$ 1,310

For the three and nine months ended February 28, 2005, we granted 35,000 and 160,768 stock units to employees and non-employee directors, respectively, and we issued no stock options. For the three and nine months ended February 29, 2004 we granted 2,289,500 and 2,513,028 stock units to employees and non-employee directors, and we issued 14,000 stock options. For purposes of SFAS 123 the fair value of the stock based compensation issued under the 1999 Equity Incentive Plan for the quarter ended February 28, 2005 was estimated at the date of grant utilizing a Black-Scholes valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
Risk-free interest rate	3.39%	2.43%	2.94%	2.41%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Volatility of common stock	70%	47%	68%	47%
Average expected life (in years)	3.00	3.00	2.63	2.98
Weighted average fair value	\$ 2.45	\$ 3.72	\$ 2.44	\$ 3.70



**Revenue Recognition**

*License and Royalty Revenues.* Liberate licenses its software through its direct sales force located in North America and Europe. License and royalty revenues consist primarily of fees earned from the licensing of its software, as well as royalty fees earned upon the shipment or activation of products that incorporate its software. In general, license revenues are recognized when a non-cancelable license agreement has been signed and the customer has acknowledged an unconditional obligation to pay, the software product has been delivered, there are no uncertainties surrounding product acceptance, the fees are fixed or determinable and collection is considered probable. Delivery is considered to have occurred when title and risk of loss have been transferred to the customer, which generally occurs when media containing the licensed programs are provided to a common carrier. In the case of electronic delivery, delivery occurs when the customer is given access to the licensed programs. If collectibility is not considered probable, revenue is recognized when the fee is collected.

Liberate recognizes revenue from software licensing arrangements using the residual method pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Position (SOP) No. 97-2, *Software Revenue Recognition*, as amended by SOP No. 98-9, *Software Revenue Recognition, With Respect to Certain Transactions* and the Securities and Exchange Commission's Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*. Revenues recognized from multiple-element software arrangements are allocated to each element of the arrangement based on the fair values of the elements, such as licenses for software products, maintenance or consulting services. The determination of fair value is based on objective evidence, which is specific to Liberate. We limit our assessment of objective evidence for each element to either the price charged when the same element is sold separately or the price established by management having the relevant authority to do so, for an element not yet sold separately. If evidence of fair value of all undelivered elements exists but evidence does not exist for one or more delivered elements, then revenue is recognized under the residual method. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered elements is deferred and the remaining portion of the arrangement fee is recognized as revenue.

However, if such undelivered elements consist of services that are essential to the functionality of the software, we recognize license and services revenues using contract accounting, pursuant to SOP No. 81-1, *Accounting for Performance of Construction-Type and Certain Production-Type Contracts*. If license arrangements include the rights to unspecified future products, revenue is recognized ratably over the contractual or estimated economic term of the arrangement. We recognize royalty revenues when a network operator reports that it has shipped or activated products or its rights to deploy such products expire.

Deferred revenue is primarily comprised of collections from and billings to customers for software arrangements, including subscription arrangements, which does not qualify for revenue recognition under our revenue recognition policy.

We reduce license and royalty revenues by certain expenses as a result of the application of EITF No. 01-09, which generally requires that consideration, including warrants, issued to a customer should be classified in a vendor's financial statements not as an expense, but as a reduction to revenues up to the amount of cumulative revenues recognized or likely to be recognized from that customer.

*Service Revenues.* Service revenues consist of consulting, maintenance, and other services. We generally recognize consulting and other service revenues, including non-recurring engineering and training, as services are performed. Where consulting services are performed under a fixed-price arrangement, we generally recognize revenues on a percentage-of-completion basis. Maintenance services include both updates and technical support. Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance agreement. The fees for maintenance arrangements range between 15% and 25% of the cumulative license fees and activation royalties incurred under the contract, depending upon the level of support being provided.

Service revenues also include reimbursable expenses billed to customers in accordance with EITF No. 01-14, *Income Statement Characterization of Reimbursements Received for Out-of-Pocket Expenses Incurred*, which generally requires that a company recognize travel expenses and other reimbursable expenses billed to customers as revenue. With the adoption of EITF No. 01-14, we recognize reimbursable expenses as service revenues when there is an agreement to bill the customer for the expenses, the expenses have been incurred and billed, and collection is probable.

## **Restructuring Costs**

## Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

For restructuring activities initiated after December 31, 2002, we report for such activities in accordance with SFAS No.146, Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities, which addresses accounting for and reporting costs associated with exit or disposal activities and nullifies EITF No. 94-03. For restructuring activities initiated prior to December 31, 2002, we continue to record restructuring costs in accordance with EITF No. 94-03, Liability Recognition of Certain Employee Termination Benefits and Other Costs Incurred in a Restructuring, and SAB No. 100, Restructuring and Impairment Charges. Severance costs include severance pay and employee benefit obligations to terminated employees. Our executive management approves the scope of any reductions in force. Other costs related to restructuring include the write-down of intangible assets and amounts expected to be paid in connection with terminated contracts.

### **Computation of Basic and Diluted Net Loss Per Share**

Basic net loss per share is computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the periods presented. Calculation of fully diluted shares is required when reporting net income per share and includes the weighted average number of shares of common stock, stock options, stock units and warrants outstanding. As we have recorded a net loss for all periods presented, the net loss per share on a diluted basis is equivalent to basic net loss per share because the effect of including all outstanding stock options, stock units and warrants in the earnings per share calculation would be anti-dilutive. Accordingly, we excluded from the calculation of net loss per share total potential dilutive common shares of 11,357,274 and 13,410,414, related to stock options, stock units and warrants, for the periods ended February 28, 2005 and February 29, 2004, respectively.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment. SFAS 123 (R) addresses the accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees and requires companies to expense the value of employee stock options and similar awards. SFAS 123(R) requires stock based compensation of the share-based payment to be measured at fair value on the date that the company grants the awards to employees. The expense should be recognized over the vesting period for each option and adjusted for actual forfeitures that occur before vesting. Although we do not expect to grant any future options, the company is currently assessing the impact SFAS 123(R) will have on our financial statements.

**Note 3. Discontinued Operations**

In August 2002, we acquired the outstanding capital stock of Sigma Systems Group (Canada) (Sigma Systems). In accordance with SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangibles, we determined that Sigma Systems had two reporting units, OSS and Bill-Care. Subsequently, in May 2003, we sold Bill-Care to a company owned by certain former shareholders of Sigma Systems, for the consideration of \$1.0 million in cash, resulting in a loss of \$177,000, which was recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2003. In November 2003, we completed the sale of the OSS division and its assets to Sigma Software Solutions Inc. and affiliated entities. The price included \$3.6 million in cash and the assumption of \$7.4 million of lease obligations and other liabilities. In connection with the sale of the OSS division, we received a total of \$7.1 million in cash, which consisted of the cash proceeds of \$3.6 million and the return of escrow funds of \$3.5 million, and we recorded a gain on the sale of discontinued operations of \$9.3 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2004.

Computation of the gain on sale of the OSS division is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Nine months ended February 29, 2004</b>	
Proceeds	\$	7,075
Expenses of sale		(715)
Net liabilities sold		2,926
Gain on sale of discontinued operations	\$	9,286

Pursuant to the provisions of SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, amounts in the financial statements and related notes have been reclassified to reflect the discontinued operations of both Bill-Care and OSS. Operating results for the discontinued operations are reported, net of tax, under Loss from discontinued operations on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. There was no impact of discontinued operations on the balance sheets as of February 28, 2005 and May 31, 2004. For the three month period ended February 28, 2005, there was no gain or loss recorded related to discontinued operations. For the nine month period ended February 28, 2005, there was a gain recorded of \$80,000 due to an unanticipated cash receipt related to the discontinued operations.

The following table reflects the impact of discontinued operations on certain statement of operations data for the nine months ended February 29, 2004 (in thousands except per share information).

	<b>Nine months ended February 29, 2004</b>	
Total revenues	\$	2,552

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

Cost of revenues		1,275
Gross margin		1,277
Operating expenses		4,357
Amortization of purchased intangibles		287
Amortization of deferred stock compensation		46
Restructuring costs		23
Operating loss from discontinued operations		(3,436)
Interest and other income (expense)		361
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	(3,075)
Basic and diluted loss per share from discontinued operations	\$	(0.03)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted loss per share from discontinued operations		104,248

**Note 4. Excess Facilities Charges and Related Asset Impairment**

Our excess facilities charges consist primarily of costs associated with permanently vacating certain facilities and the related asset impairments. In determining the charges for excess facilities, we were required to estimate future sublease income, future net operating expenses of the facilities, and other expenses. The most significant of these estimates relates to the timing and extent of future sublease income which reduces our reported lease obligations. We based our estimates of sublease income on current market conditions and rental rates provided by an independent real estate consultant, an assessment of the time period over which reasonable estimates could be made, the status of negotiations with potential subtenants, and the location of the facility, among other factors. Adjustments to the facilities accrual will be required if actual lease exit costs or sublease income differ from amounts currently expected. We review the status of activities on a quarterly basis and, if appropriate, record changes to our excess facilities obligations in current operations based on management's most current estimates.

The liability for excess facilities as of May 31, 2004 did not include charges for additional space vacated in Q4 FY04 or potential savings related to the anticipated rejection of this lease under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code due to the uncertainty of the outcome of the bankruptcy proceeding. On September 8, 2004, the Bankruptcy Court issued a ruling dismissing our bankruptcy case. Pursuant to the dismissal of the bankruptcy case, which removed the uncertainty that existed at May 31, 2004, we recorded \$4.4 million of excess facilities charges in Q1 FY05 based on revised estimates related to future sublease income and the additional space vacated in Q4 FY04. The \$4.4 million excess facility charge consists of a \$5.1 million charge related to additional space vacated in Q4 FY04, which was accounted for in accordance with SFAS 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities, partially offset by an increase in estimates of sublease income of \$640,000. In Q2 FY05 we recorded \$1.2 million of excess facilities charges primarily related to lease payments made during the quarter, after the dismissal of the bankruptcy case, and an amendment of sublease income estimates. In Q3 FY05 we recorded \$486,000 of excess facilities charges primarily relating to the revision of the estimated future cash flows discounted at the original effective interest rate and from estimates for sublease income not realized during the current quarter. As of February 28, 2005 the total liability for excess facilities included in the condensed consolidated balance sheet was \$26.3 million, which consisted of a long-term liability of \$18.2 million and a short term liability of \$8.1 million. There was no charge for excess facilities and related asset impairment for Q3 FY04. We recorded \$593,000 in excess facilities charges and related asset impairment expense for the nine months ended February 29, 2004.

**Note 5. Commitments and Contingencies****Operating Leases**

We currently have various operating leases for our facilities, including our former offices in San Carlos, and certain office equipment that expire at various dates through fiscal 2009 and thereafter. Future minimum lease payments under these operating leases, including our former facilities in San Carlos, as of February 28, 2005 are as follows (in thousands):

<b>Years ending May 31,</b>		
2005	\$	4,896
2006		10,189
2007		10,030
2008		9,084
2009 and thereafter		10,138
	\$	44,337

### **Letters of Credit**

We maintain various irrevocable letters of credit and bank guarantees as security deposits for our current headquarters in San Mateo, California, our former headquarters in San Carlos, California and our former U.K. offices. As of February 28, 2005, the aggregate outstanding balance of all letters of credit and bank guarantees was \$10.1 million, of which \$8.8 million was related to the letter of credit for our former headquarters in San Carlos, California. We vacated the San Carlos facility in March 2004 and ceased rent payment effective April 1, 2004. The landlord has since drawn against the letter of credit for the unpaid rent, net of sublease income from the facility, in the amount of \$5.1 million, through February 28, 2005. The landlord may draw up to the entire amount of the letter of credit in the event that Liberate does not make the payments required under the lease.

### **Employment Agreements**

In March and April 2003, we entered into employee retention agreements with David Lockwood, Patrick Nguyen, Gregory Wood and Philip Vachon. Under the terms of the retention agreements, in the event of a change of control of Liberate that is followed within one year by the officer's actual or constructive termination, the officer will receive a payment equal to twice his total taxable compensation for the prior fiscal year, with a minimum payment of \$500,000 and a maximum payment of \$750,000. We have other retention agreements with a small number of non-executive employees.

As part of our standard compensation package, certain employees and managers are eligible to participate in a variety of discretionary and non-discretionary bonus plans or commission plans. We accrue bonus and commission expenses over the fiscal year, based on estimates of the probability of payments against those plans.

### **Indemnification Obligations**

FIN 45 Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others requires that a guarantor recognize, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing the guarantee or indemnification. FIN 45 also requires additional disclosure by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees and indemnifications. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 45 are applicable for guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The disclosure requirements of FIN 45 are effective for financial statements of interim or annual periods ending after December 15, 2002. We adopted the recognition and measurement provisions of FIN 45 prospectively to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Our software license agreements typically provide for indemnification of customers for intellectual property infringement claims. To date, no material indemnification claims have been filed against us. We also warrant to customers that software products operate substantially in accordance with specifications. Historically, minimal costs have been incurred related to product warranties, and accordingly, we have not accrued warranty costs. In addition, we are obligated to indemnify our officers and directors under the terms of indemnity agreements entered into with them, as well as pursuant to our certificate of incorporation, bylaws, and applicable Delaware law. We are unable to quantify the charge that could result from officer and director indemnification.

### **Legal Matters**

*Restatement Class-Action Litigation.* On October 20, 2004, a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the Settlement) was filed with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (the Court) in connection with the matter *In re Liberate Technologies Securities Litigation* (the Class Action). The Class Action is based on the restatement of our financial statements for certain periods of fiscal 2002 and the revision of our preliminary financial results announced for the first quarter of fiscal 2003 (the Restatement). The parties to the Settlement are: (i) the lead plaintiff in the Class Action, on behalf of himself and each of the class members; and (ii) defendants Liberate Technologies, Mitchell E. Kertzman, Nancy J. Hilker and Coleman Sisson. Under the terms of the Settlement, Liberate agreed to pay or cause to be paid \$13.8 million in settlement of the claims specified in the Class Action, and the lead plaintiff and each class member agreed to release Liberate and the other defendants from those claims. The Settlement shall in no way be construed or deemed to be evidence of or an admission or concession on the part of Liberate or the other specified defendants with respect to any claim or any fault or liability or wrongdoing or damage whatsoever, or any infirmity in the defenses that the defendants have asserted.

Following a settlement hearing on February 15, 2005, the Court granted final approval of the Settlement and, pursuant to the Settlement, entered judgment dismissing the Class Action with prejudice.

During Q3 FY05, Liberate paid out the settlement amount of \$13.8 million and recovered \$5.0 million from its insurance carrier. The company expects to recover another \$4.4 million as a rebate of part of the premiums paid for the loss mitigation policy that the company obtained for the Class Action and Derivative Action, which is included in other receivables at February 28, 2005.



*Restatement Derivative Litigation.* On November 3, 2004, a Notice of Settlement in Principle (the Notice ) was filed with the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo in connection with the matter In re Liberate Technologies Derivative Litigation (the Derivative Action ). The Notice disclosed that Liberate has reached an agreement in principle to settle the Derivative Action on terms that, among other things, will provide for the dismissal with prejudice of all claims asserted by plaintiffs. The agreement in principle to settle the Derivative Action is subject to the execution of a definitive stipulation of settlement and approval of such settlement stipulation by the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo. The Derivative Action is based on the Restatement and names Liberate as a nominal party and certain of our former officers and current or former directors as defendants (collectively, the Derivative Defendants ). The Derivative Action generally alleges that the Derivative Defendants failed

to adequately oversee our financial reporting, and thus are liable for breach of their fiduciary duties, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and waste of corporate assets. The Derivative Action also alleges that the Derivative Defendants are liable for unjust enrichment and that certain named officers and directors are liable for violations of California Code Section 25402 and breach of fiduciary duty for insider selling and misappropriation of information. The Derivative Action seeks unspecified monetary damages and other relief.

*Dismissal of Bankruptcy Case.* On April 30, 2004, Liberate filed a voluntary petition for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. The landlord of Liberate's former headquarters in San Carlos, California filed a motion to dismiss the case, and on September 8, 2004, the bankruptcy court issued a ruling dismissing Liberate's bankruptcy case. The bankruptcy court ruled that Liberate had cash well in excess of its liabilities and did not need bankruptcy protection to avoid wasteful liquidation of its assets. Liberate appealed this ruling in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, but later stipulated to a voluntary dismissal of the appeal. The Court granted the stipulation of dismissal and dismissed the appeal with prejudice on January 14, 2005. Accordingly, Liberate will not be able to realize savings or the other benefits of a Chapter 11 proceeding.

*Lease-Related Litigation.* On September 29, 2004, Circle Star Center Associates, L.P., the landlord of Liberate's former offices in San Carlos, California, filed a complaint in the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo alleging that Liberate had breached the office lease by, among other things, failing to pay rent for certain months of 2004 and applicable late fees, failing to replenish the letter of credit and failing to reimburse the landlord for its attorneys' fees in connection with Liberate's bankruptcy proceeding. The complaint also includes allegations of conversion and defamation. The complaint seeks damages of approximately \$3.9 million for the alleged breach and conversion and unspecified damages for the alleged defamation. In November 2004, Liberate filed motions challenging the legal basis for the landlord's cause of action for defamation and claim for attorneys' fees in connection with Liberate's bankruptcy. On March 24, 2005, the Superior Court granted Liberate's motions and dismissed the landlord's claims for defamation and attorneys' fees. A trial date in this action is currently scheduled for September 26, 2005.

In addition, on December 16, 2004, the landlord filed a further complaint for breach of lease against Liberate. The complaint seeks damages in the amount of not less than approximately \$1.2 million, plus prejudgment interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees, alleging that Liberate breached the lease by failing to pay rent in November and December 2004. On February 22, 2005, the landlord filed a motion for summary judgment on the breach of contract claim. A hearing on the summary judgment motion is currently scheduled for May 13, 2005.

While Liberate intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously, because litigation is by its nature uncertain, we are unable to predict the outcome or estimate the potential liability, if any, of this litigation.

*Underwriting Litigation.* Beginning on May 16, 2001, a number of class-action lawsuits seeking monetary damages were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against several of the firms that underwrote our initial public offering, naming Liberate and certain of our former officers and current or former directors as co-defendants. The suits allege that the underwriters received excessive and improper commissions that were not disclosed in our prospectus and that the underwriters artificially increased the price of our stock. The plaintiffs subsequently added allegations regarding our secondary offering, and named additional officers and directors as co-defendants. The suits were consolidated into one action that was coordinated for pretrial purposes with

hundreds of virtually identical suits under a case captioned *In re Initial Public Offering Securities Litigation*, Civil Action No. 21-MC-92. On February 19, 2003, the court denied in part and granted in part a motion to dismiss filed on behalf of the defendants, including Liberate. The court's order did not dismiss any claims against Liberate. As a result, discovery may proceed. The individual defendants have been dismissed without prejudice in this litigation.

While we deny allegations of wrongdoing, we have agreed to enter into a global issuer settlement of plaintiffs' claims. In June 2004, a stipulation of settlement and release of claims against the issuer defendants, including Liberate, was submitted to the court for approval. The terms of the settlement, if approved, would dismiss and release all claims against the participating defendants (including Liberate). In exchange for this dismissal, D&O insurance carriers would agree to guarantee a recovery by the plaintiffs from the underwriter defendants of at least \$1 billion, and the issuer defendants would agree to an assignment or surrender to the plaintiffs of certain claims the issuer defendants may have against the underwriters. The settlement is subject to a number of conditions, including court approval.

We cannot predict the timing or ultimate outcome of this proposed settlement or estimate the amounts of, or potential range of loss with respect to, this litigation if a settlement is not approved.

*OpenTV Patent Litigation.* On February 7, 2002, OpenTV filed a lawsuit against Liberate in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging that Liberate is infringing two of OpenTV's patents and seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief. We have filed an answer denying OpenTV's allegations. Our counter-claim alleges that OpenTV infringes one of our patents for information retrieval systems. We are seeking to have OpenTV's patents invalidated, requesting a finding that our technology does not infringe OpenTV's patents, and seeking monetary damages and injunctive relief against OpenTV. The court has issued a claim construction ruling, but a trial date is not currently set. Pursuant to the asset purchase agreement with Double C Technologies LLC, it will assume the defense and liabilities associated with this lawsuit upon the closing of such transaction. However, if the closing of such sale transaction does not occur, we will continue to be responsible for such lawsuit. While we would continue to vigorously defend this lawsuit and are confident in our technology and intellectual property, because litigation is by its nature uncertain, we are unable to predict the outcome of this litigation and whether we may face any material exposure for damages or the need to alter our software arising from this case.

*Insurance Coverage Litigation.* On December 29, 2004, Federal Insurance Company, one of Liberate's excess insurance carriers, filed a complaint for declaratory judgment, alleging that Liberate and other defendants are not entitled to coverage for defense costs and losses incurred in connection with the Class Action, Derivative Action, SEC investigation or other matters. The complaint, filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, named as defendants Liberate and certain former officers and current and former directors. On March 18, 2005, Federal Insurance Company voluntarily dismissed its complaint without prejudice.

On March 1, 2005, Liberate filed a complaint against the London Underwriters, New Hampshire Insurance Company Per: AIG Europe (UK) Limited and Federal Insurance Company (together, the Carriers) for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and declaratory relief. The complaint, filed in the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo, alleges that the Carriers failed to perform any of their obligations under their respective policies and applicable law on behalf of Liberate or its directors and officers in connection with the Class Action, the Derivative Action and the SEC Investigation. Liberate seeks monetary damages, exemplary or punitive damages, attorneys' fees and declaratory relief. We expect that the Carriers may assert defenses and claims contending that Liberate and other defendants are not entitled to coverage under the Carriers' respective policies.

Liberate intends to prosecute its rights under its insurance policies vigorously. However, litigation is by its nature uncertain and there can be no assurance that Liberate will be successful in securing coverage under the policies.

*Stock Option Litigation.* On March 3, 2005, Mitchell Kertzman, Liberate's former Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, filed a complaint in the California Superior Court for the County of San Mateo alleging that Liberate breached its Stock Option Agreement with Mr. Kertzman by, among other things, failing to allow him to exercise his stock options after the termination of his employment with Liberate. Liberate believes that it has complied at all times with the terms of the Stock Option Agreement. The complaint alleges claims for breach of contract, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing and interference with contract and prospective economic advantage. The complaint seeks monetary damages of at least \$3.0 million, interest and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Mr. Kertzman has applied for a writ of attachment. On March 25, 2005, Liberate filed an opposition to Mr. Kertzman's application for a writ of attachment and a hearing on the application is scheduled for April 6, 2005.

While Liberate intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously because legal actions are inherently uncertain, we cannot predict or determine the outcome or resolution of these actions or estimate the amounts of, or potential range of, loss with respect to these proceedings. In addition, the timing of the final resolution of these proceedings is uncertain. The possible resolutions of these proceedings could include judgments against us or settlements that could require substantial payments by us, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The cost of participating and defending against these actions is substantial and will require the continued diversion of management's attention and corporate resources.

We have notified our various insurance carriers of the Class Action, Derivative Action and other pending legal matters. Our primary carrier and one of our secondary carriers under our existing policies have disputed whether certain costs incurred in connection with the restatement-related litigation and the SEC investigation are covered under their respective policies. Our insurance may not cover all or portions of our defense costs,

any settlement, any judgment rendered against us, or amounts we are required to pay to any indemnified person in connection with the Class Action or Derivative Action or any expenses incurred in connection with the SEC investigation or any other matter.

**Legal Contingencies**

Of the \$15.7 million of accrued liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet approximately \$2.3 million has been accrued for resolution of shareholder litigation. We have not accrued any amounts in connection with the Lease-Related Litigation, the OpenTV Litigation, the Stock Option Litigation or the Underwriting Litigation (in the event that the proposed settlement is not approved). Management believes we have meritorious defenses to these claims and intends to defend these actions vigorously. However, we are unable to predict the outcome or resolution of these actions or estimate the amounts of, or potential range of, loss with respect to these actions.

**Note 6. Offerings of Common Stock****Common Stock**

In Q3 FY05 we issued 114,732 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options, and 316,531 stock units became vested (of which 105,877 vested units were withheld to satisfy employee withholding taxes, resulting in a net issuance of 210,654 shares to employees and non-employee directors). In the nine months ended February 28, 2005, we issued 122,064 shares of common stock to employees upon the exercise of stock options and 654,410 stock units became vested (of which 213,935 vested units were withheld to satisfy employee withholding taxes, resulting in a net issuance of 440,475 shares to employees and non-employee directors).

In Q3 FY04 we issued 301,304 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options, and 270,245 stock units became vested (of which 73,168 vested units were withheld to satisfy employee withholding taxes, resulting in a net issuance of 197,077 shares to employees and non-employee directors). In the nine months ended February 29, 2004, we issued 1,061,474 shares of common stock to employees upon the exercise of stock options, 103,000 shares of common stock to an executive officer and 274,411 stock units became vested (of which 73,168 vested units were withheld to satisfy employee withholding taxes, resulting in a net issuance of 201,243 shares to employees and non-employee directors).

**Warrant Agreements**

In fiscal 1999, we agreed to issue warrants to purchase up to 4,599,992 shares of our stock to certain network operators who satisfied specific milestones within specific time frames. We estimated the fair market value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes pricing model as of the earlier of the date the warrants were earned or the date that it became likely that they would be earned. Pursuant to the requirements of EITF No. 96-18, Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services, we will revalue the warrants if appropriate.

As of February 28, 2005, network operators had earned warrants to purchase 2,396,660 shares. Of this amount, warrants to purchase 552,774 shares had previously been exercised, warrants to purchase 163,890 shares were retired in connection with those exercises and warrants to purchase 879,998 shares expired unexercised. As of February 28, 2005, there were earned and outstanding warrants to purchase 799,998 shares with exercise prices of \$4.80 and \$6.90 per share and a weighted average exercise price of \$6.64 per share. All outstanding warrants will expire by May 31, 2005.

We record amortization expense for deferred costs related to warrants in accordance with EITF No. 01-09. Under EITF No. 01-09, warrant amortization expense may be classified as a reduction to associated revenues up to the amount of cumulative revenues recognized or to be recognized. Such amortization expense was classified as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended		Nine months ended	
	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004	February 28, 2005	February 29, 2004
Warrant amortization reduction to license and royalty revenues	\$ 896	\$ 896	\$ 2,687	\$ 3,170

Warrant amortization charged to operating expenses								1,831
	\$	896	\$	896	\$	2,687	\$	5,001

### Stock-based Compensation Stock Units

During fiscal 2004, we implemented a program to grant restricted stock units ( RSUs ) to certain employees and non-employee directors as part of our overall stock-based compensation. Each RSU entitles the holder to receive one share of Liberate common stock on the vesting date of the RSU. The RSUs granted to employees generally vest over a period of four years while those granted to non-employee directors generally vest over 12 months. Stock-based compensation representing the intrinsic value (fair market value) of the underlying shares at the date of grant of the RSUs is recognized evenly over the vesting period. On the vesting dates, the RSUs are settled by the delivery of shares of common stock to the participants. During the three months ended February 28, 2005, we granted 35,000 RSUs to employees. During the quarter 52,128 RSUs were cancelled due to employee terminations. As of February 28, 2005 there was a balance of \$6.8 million in deferred stock-based compensation related to RSUs in stockholder s equity and there were 1,753,142 RSUs outstanding and unvested. See Note 2 for the total expenses by functional classification incurred for the three and nine months ended February 28, 2005 pertaining to the amortization of RSUs.

### Note 7. Restructuring Costs

Restructuring costs include severance pay and employee benefit obligations in connection with terminated employees as well as other costs such as the write-down of intangible assets, disposal of fixed assets and amounts paid in connection with terminated contracts.

Edgar Filing: LIBERATE TECHNOLOGIES - Form 10-Q

For the three and nine months ended February 28, 2005, there was no restructuring activity. For the three and nine months ended February 29, 2004, we recorded \$86,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively, of restructuring costs related to severance payments for the termination of employees.