STAG Industrial, Inc. Form 10-Q August 07, 2013

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
	Washington, D.C. 20549
	FORM 10-Q
X	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013
	OR
0	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
	For the transition period from to .
	Commission file number 1-34907

# STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

27-3099608

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

99 High Street, 28th Floor Boston, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)

02110

(Zip Code)

(617) 574-4777

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common and preferred shares as of the latest practicable date.

Class

Outstanding at August 5, 2013

Common Stock (\$0.01 par value)
9.0 % Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value)
6.625 % Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (\$0.01 par value)

42,338,377 2,760,000 2,800,000

# STAG INDUSTRIAL, INC.

# **Table of Contents**

PART I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	3
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	4
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	5
	Consolidated Statements of Equity for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	6
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012	7
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	24
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	38
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	39
PART II.	Other Information	39
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	39
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	39
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	39
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	39
Item 5.	Other Information	40
Item 6.	Exhibits	40
	SIGNATURE	41

# Part I. Financial Information

# **Item 1. Financial Statements**

# STAG Industrial, Inc.

# **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

# (unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets		
Rental Property:		
Land	121,797	\$ 104,656
Buildings	758,337	654,518
Tenant improvements	35,996	34,900
Building and land improvements	27,721	22,153
Less: accumulated depreciation	(58,507)	(46,175)
Total rental property, net	885,344	770,052
Cash and cash equivalents	19,763	19,006
Restricted cash	9,274	5,497
Tenant accounts receivable, net	10,949	9,351
Prepaid expenses and other assets	3,268	1,556
Interest rate swaps	3,186	
Deferred financing fees, net	5,624	4,704
Leasing commissions, net	2,832	1,674
Goodwill	4,923	4,923
Due from related parties	256	806
Deferred leasing intangibles, net	203,627	187,555
Total assets	1,149,046	\$ 1,005,124
Liabilities and Equity	·	
Liabilities:		
Mortgage notes payable	227,898	\$ 229,915
Unsecured credit facility		99,300
Unsecured term loans	225,000	150,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	12,873	12,111
Interest rate swaps		480
Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits	7,115	5,686
Dividends and distributions payable	17,259	11,301
Deferred leasing intangibles, net	7,094	6,871
Total liabilities	497,239	\$ 515,664
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 10,000,000 shares authorized,		
Series A, 2,760,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and		
outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	69,000	69,000
Series B, 2,800,000 shares (liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share) issued and		
outstanding at June 30, 2013 and no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012	70,000	
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 42,235,676 and		
35,698,582 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012,		
respectively	422	357
Additional paid-in capital	527,977	419,643
Common stock dividends in excess of earnings	(90,398)	(61,024)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	2,806	(371)

Total stockholders equity		579,807	427,605
Noncontrolling interest		72,000	61,855
Total equity		651,807	489,460
Total liabilities and equity	<b>\$</b>	1,149,046 \$	1,005,124

# **Consolidated Statements of Operations**

# (unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months of 2013	ended J	une 30, 2012	Six months er 2013	ided Ju	ne 30, 2012
Revenue						
Rental income	\$ 28,325	\$	16,991	\$ 54,479	\$	32,089
Tenant recoveries	3,480		2,019	7,142		4,005
Other income	262		330	658		651
Total revenue	32,067		19,340	62,279		36,745
Expenses						
Property	2,316		1,275	5,013		2,768
General and administrative	4,477		3,308	8,983		6,306
Real estate taxes and insurance	3,263		1,615	5,896		2,972
Property acquisition costs	1,269		1,149	1,845		1,441
Depreciation and amortization	16,397		9,153	31,947		17,874
Loss on impairment			622			622
Other expenses	161		9	245		60
Total expenses	27,883		17,131	53,929		32,043
Other income (expense)						
Interest income	3		4	6		8
Interest expense	(4,846)		(4,126)	(9,497)		(8,218)
Gain on interest rate swaps						215
Offering costs	(27)		(68)	(27)		(68)
Gain on extinguishment of debt			18			18
Total other income (expense)	(4,870)		(4,172)	(9,518)		(8,045)
Net loss from continuing operations	\$ (686)	\$	(1,963)	\$ (1,168)	\$	(3,343)
Discontinued operations						
Income attributable to discontinued operations	38		216	102		235
Gain on sales of real estate	464		219	464		219
Total income attributable to discontinued operations	502		435	566		454
Net loss	\$ (184)	\$	(1,528)	\$ (602)	\$	(2,889)
Less: loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(357)		(887)	(623)		(1,853)
Net income (loss) attributable to STAG						
Industrial, Inc.	\$ 173	\$	(641)	\$ 21	\$	(1,036)
Less: preferred stock dividends	2,519		1,553	4,071		3,106
Less: amount allocated to unvested restricted						
stockholders	64		41	133		41
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (2,410)	\$	(2,235)	\$ (4,183)	\$	(4,183)
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic						
and diluted	42,006,954		19,484,785	41,265,070		17,654,706
Loss per share basic and diluted						
Loss from continuing operations attributable to						
common stockholders	\$ (0.07)	\$	(0.13)	\$ (0.11)	\$	(0.26)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to	,					
common stockholders	\$ 0.01	\$	0.02	\$ 0.01	\$	0.02
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.06)	\$	(0.11)	\$ (0.10)	\$	(0.24)

# **Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (loss)**

# (unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
	2013		2012	2013		2012	
Net loss	\$ (184)	\$	(1,528) \$	(602)	\$	(2,889)	
Other comprehensive income:							
Unrealized gain on interest rate swaps	3,655			3,666			
Other comprehensive income	3,655			3,666			
Comprehensive income (loss)	3,471		(1,528)	3,064		(2,889)	
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	357		887	623		1,853	
Other comprehensive income attributable to							
noncontrolling interest	(482)			(489)			
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to STAG							
Industrial, Inc.	\$ 3,346	\$	(641) \$	3,198	\$	(1,036)	

# **Consolidated Statements of Equity**

# (unaudited, in thousands, except share data)

							Com	mon Stoc	k				controlling erest Uni		
			Common S	Share	·c	Additional Paid in		ividendsA excess of			r Total Stockholder		olders in		
	Prefe	erred Stock			ount	Capital		arnings	•	(Loss)	Equity		rtnership	Total Equi	ity
Six months ended June 30, 2013	,														
Balance, December 31,															
2012	\$	69,000	35,698,582	\$	357	\$ 419,643	\$	(61,024	)\$	(371) \$	\$ 427,60	5 \$	61,855	\$ 489,46	50
Proceeds from sales of															
common stock			6,433,352		64	117,675	5				117,73	9		117,73	39
Issuance of series B															
preferred stock		70,000									70,00	0		70,00	)0
Offering costs						(7,847	<sup>7</sup> )				(7,84'	<b>7</b> )		<b>(7,8</b> 4	<b>17</b> )
Issuance of restricted stock,															
net			96,287		1	(1	.)								
Issuance of common stock			5,269												
Dividends and distributions,															
net		(4,071)						(25,324	.)		(29,39		(4,198)	(33,59	
Non-cash compensation						680	)				680	0	805	1,48	
Issuance of units													11,499	11,49	<b>)</b> 9
Conversion of operating															
partnership units to												_			
common stock			2,186			23	3				2.	3	(23)		
Rebalancing of						(2.40)					(2.40	_			
noncontrolling interest						(2,196	)				(2,19		2,196		
Comprehensive loss		4.051						(4.050	`	3,177	3,17		489	3,66	
Net income (loss)	ф	4,071	10.005.656	Φ.	100	ф. <b>507</b> 0 <b>7</b> 5		(4,050		2 006 6	2:		(623)	(60	
Balance, June 30, 2013	\$	139,000	42,235,676	\$	422	\$ 527,977	\$	(90,398	)\$	2,806	\$ 579,80'	/ \$	72,000	\$ 651,80	)7
Six months ended June 30,	,														
2012															
Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	60,000	15 001 560	¢	150	¢ 170.010	<b>.</b>	(10.205	١. ۴		\$ 230,693	• o	70.216	\$ 309,90	٠.
Proceeds from sale of	Ф	09,000	15,901,560	Ф	139	\$ 179,919	, ф	(18,385	) <b>Þ</b>		\$ 230,693	ЭЭ	79,216	\$ 309,90	19
common stock			8,337,500		83	107,304	ı				107,38	7		107,38	27
Offering costs			8,337,300		03	(5,104					(5,10			(5,10	
Issuance of restricted stock			87,025		1	(3,102					(3,10	•)		(3,10	<b>,-</b> ,
Issuance of common stock			8,241		1	(1	)								
Dividends and distributions,			0,211												
net		(3,106)						(10,897	)		(14,00	3)	(4,133)	(18,13	36)
Non-cash compensation		(3,100)				502	)	(10,0)	,		50	-	474		76
Issuance of units for						302					20.	_	.,,	71	
acquisition fee													225	22	25
Conversion of operating															
partnership units to															
common stock			623,932		6	6,038	3				6,04	4	(6,044)		
						(5,989					(5,989		5,989		

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Rebalancing of						
noncontrolling interest						
Net income (loss)	3,106		(4,142)	(1,036)	(1,853)	(2,889)
Balance, June 30, 2012	\$ 69,000 24,958,258	\$ 249 \$ 282,669 \$	(33,424)\$	\$ 318,494 \$	73,874 \$	392,368

# **Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**

# (unaudited, in thousands)

Six months ended June 30, 2013 201

Net loss	\$ (602)	\$ (2,889)
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	32,045	18,132
Loss on impairment		622
Non-cash portion of interest expense	515	498
Intangible amortization in rental income, net	2,875	2,258
Straight-line rent adjustments, net	(1,507)	(1,269)
Gain on interest rate swaps		(215)
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(18)
Gain on sales of real estate	(464)	(219)
Non-cash compensation expense	1,485	976
Issuance of units for acquisition fee		225
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Tenant accounts receivable, net	(77)	101
Leasing commissions, net	(1,420)	(359)
Restricted cash	(421)	(689)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,633)	(653)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	969	(35)
Tenant prepaid rent and security deposits	1,429	1,152
Due from related parties	550	(43)
Total adjustments	34,346	20,464
Net cash provided by operating activities	33,744	17,575
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Additions of land and building improvements	(120,458)	(86,992)
Proceeds from sale of rental property, net	4,843	3,216
Restricted cash	(837)	(1,173)
Cash received (paid) for deal deposits, net	(100)	35
Additions to lease intangibles	(38,422)	(25,950)
Net cash used in investing activities	(154,974)	(110,864)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from sale of series B preferred stock	70,000	
Proceeds from credit facility		87,300
Repayment of credit facility		(82,300)
Proceeds from unsecured credit facility	65,000	
Repayment of unsecured credit facility	(164,300)	
Proceeds from unsecured term loans	75,000	
Proceeds from mortgage notes payable		9,252
Repayment of mortgage notes payable	(1,965)	(18,592)
Payment of loan fees and costs	(1,487)	(477)
Dividends and distributions	(27,634)	(14,009)
Proceeds from sales of common stock	117,739	107,387
Offering costs	(7,847)	(5,104)
Restricted cash - escrow for dividends	(2,519)	(1,553)
Net cash provided by financing activities	121,987	81,904
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	757	(11,385)
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	19,006	16,498

Cash and cash equivalents end of period

\$

19,763

5,113

\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

7

STAG Industrial, In	c.
---------------------	----

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

#### (unaudited)

#### 1. Organization and Description of Business

STAG Industrial, Inc. (the Company ) is a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate company focused on the acquisition, ownership and management of single-tenant industrial buildings throughout the United States. The Company was formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and has elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ) under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code ). The Company is structured as an umbrella partnership REIT, commonly called an UPREIT, and owns substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business through its operating partnership, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the Operating Partnership ). As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company owned an 85.96% and 85.29% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, respectively. As used herein, the Company refers to STAG Industrial, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships except where context otherwise requires.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company owned 194 buildings in 33 states with approximately 33.3 million square feet, consisting of 132 warehouse/distribution buildings, 42 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. The Company also owned one vacant land parcel adjacent to one of the Company s buildings. The Company s buildings were 93.9% leased to 174 tenants as of June 30, 2013.

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Interim Financial Information

The accompanying interim financial statements have been presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q of Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying interim financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. The information included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

#### Basis of Presentation

The Company s consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership and their subsidiaries. The equity interests of other limited partners in the Operating Partnership held in the form of common units (Noncontrolling Common Units or Common Units) are reflected as noncontrolling interest. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation and combination of entities. The financial statements of the Company are presented on a consolidated basis, for all periods presented.

#### Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, *Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*, which requires an entity to present information about reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income in their interim and annual financial statements in a single note or on the face of the financial statements. ASU 2013-02 was effective for the Company on January 1, 2013. The Company s adoption of this authoritative guidance did not have a material impact on its operating results or financial position.

8

#### Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Supplemental Disclosures

The following table provides supplemental disclosures related to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in thousands):

	Six months aded June 30, 2013	Six months ended June 30, 2012
Supplemental cash flow information		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 8,720	\$ 7,895
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and		
financing activities		
Non-cash investing activities included in additions of		
land and building improvements	\$ (11,277)	\$ (303)
Issuance of Common Units for acquisitions	\$ 11,499	\$
Dividends and distributions declared but not paid	\$ 17,259	\$ 10,287

#### Restricted Cash

Restricted cash may include security deposits and cash held in escrow for real estate taxes and capital improvements as required in various mortgage loan agreements. Restricted cash also may include amounts held by the Company s transfer agent for preferred stock dividends that are distributed subsequent to June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, restricted cash included \$2.5 million, which amount was held by the Company s transfer agent for preferred stock dividends and distributed subsequent to June 30, 2013.

#### Tenant Accounts Receivable, net

Tenant accounts receivable, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets, includes both tenant accounts receivable, net and accrued rental income, net. The Company provides an allowance for doubtful accounts against the portion of tenant accounts receivable that is estimated to be uncollectible. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$19 thousand and \$0, respectively.

The Company accrues rental revenue earned, but not yet receivable, in accordance with GAAP. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had accrued rental revenue of \$7.9 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. The Company maintains an allowance for estimated losses that may result from those revenues. If a tenant fails to make contractual payments beyond any allowance, the Company may recognize additional bad debt expense in future periods equal to the amount of unpaid rent and accrued rental revenue. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had an allowance on accrued rental revenue of \$0 and \$0, respectively.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had a total of approximately \$4.9 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, of total lease security deposits available in existing letters of credit, which are not reflected on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets; and \$2.7 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, of lease security deposits available in cash.

#### **Deferred Costs**

Deferred financing fees include costs incurred in obtaining debt that are capitalized. The deferred financing fees are amortized to interest expense over the life of the respective loans, which approximates the effective interest method. Any unamortized amounts upon early repayment of debt are written off in the period of repayment. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, amortization of deferred financing fees included in interest expense was \$0.3 million, \$0.6 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Fully amortized deferred charges are removed upon maturity of the underlying debt.

#### Revenue Recognition

By the terms of their leases, certain tenants are obligated to pay directly the costs of their buildings insurance, real estate taxes, ground lease payments, and certain other expenses and these costs are not reflected on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements. To the extent any tenant responsible for these costs under its lease defaults on its lease or it is deemed probable that the tenant will fail to pay for such costs, the Company will record a liability for such obligations. The Company estimates that real estate taxes, which are the responsibility of these certain tenants, were approximately \$2.3 million, \$4.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. This would have been the maximum liability of the Company had the tenants not met their contractual obligations. The Company does not recognize recovery revenue related to leases where the tenant has assumed the cost for real estate taxes, insurance, ground lease payments and certain other expenses.

#### Income Taxes

The Company elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code commencing with its taxable year ended December 31, 2011 and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. As a REIT, the Company is required to distribute at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders and meet the various other requirements imposed by the Code relating to such matters as operating results, asset holdings, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership. The Company is generally not subject to corporate level income tax on the earnings distributed currently to its stockholders that it derives from its REIT qualifying activities. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, and is unable to avail itself of certain savings provisions set forth in the Code, all of the Company s taxable income would be subject to federal income tax at regular corporate rates, including any applicable alternative minimum tax.

The Company will not be required to make distributions with respect to income derived from the activities conducted through subsidiaries that the Company elects to treat as taxable REIT subsidiaries ( TRS ) for federal income tax purposes. Certain activities that the Company undertakes must be conducted by a TRS, such as performing non-customary services for its tenants and holding assets that it cannot hold directly. A TRS is subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company s TRS did not have any activity during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are subject to certain state and local income, excise and franchise taxes. Taxes in the amount of \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, \$9 thousand, and \$0.1 million have been recorded in other expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

The Company currently has no liabilities for uncertain tax positions.

#### 3. Real Estate

The following table summarizes the acquisitions of the Company during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

<b>Building Location</b>	Date Acquired	Square Feet	Buildings
Orangeburg, SC	2/7/2013	319,000	1
Golden, CO	2/27/2013	227,500	1
Columbia, SC	2/28/2013	273,280	1
DeKalb, IL	3/15/2013	146,740	1
Ocala, FL	3/26/2013	619,466	1
Londonderry, NH	3/28/2013	125,060	1
Marion, IA	3/28/2013	95,500	1
Mishawaka, IN	4/5/2013	308,884	1
Southfield, MI (1)	4/9/2013	113,000	1

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Houston, TX	4/9/2013	201,574	1
Idaho Falls, ID	4/11/2013	90,300	1
Mt. Prospect, IL	5/14/2013	87,380	1
Williamsport, PA	5/31/2013	250,000	1
Belvidere, IL	6/19/2013	1,006,960	8
Kentwood, MI	6/26/2013	85,157	1
Marshall, MI	6/26/2013	57,025	1
	Total	4,006,826	23

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company also owns a 5.4 acre vacant land parcel adjacent to this building.

# Year Ended December 31, 2012

East Windsor, CT	<b>Building Location</b>	Date Acquired	Square Feet	Buildings
Lansing, MI		3/1/2012	145,000	
Portland, ME   3/27/2012	South Bend, IN	3/8/2012	225,000	1
Portland, TN	Lansing, MI	3/21/2012	129,325	1
Portland, TN	Portland, ME	3/27/2012	100,600	1
Franklin IN	Portland, TN	3/30/2012		1
Muhlenberg Township, PA Avon, CT 6/15/2012 Avon, CT 18/15/2012 Avon	Spartanburg, SC	4/5/2012	409,600	4
Avon, CT  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL	-	4/17/2012	703,496	1
Avon, CT  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL  Orlando, FL  Fineville, NC  Orlando, FL	Muhlenberg Township, PA	5/24/2012	394,289	1
Pineville, NC	-	6/15/2012	78,400	1
Buffalo, NY	Orlando, FL	6/15/2012	155,000	1
Edgefield, SC         6/15/2012         126,190         1           Arlington, TX         6/15/2012         196,000         1           Bellevue, OH         7/18/2012         181,838         1           Atlanta, GA         8/1/2012         407,981         1           Huntersville, NC         8/6/2012         185,570         1           Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         204,952         1           Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         207,042         1           Dallas, GA         9/4/2012         92,807         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         202,691         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Ducan, SC         9/21/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         87,932         1		6/15/2012		1
Arlington, TX Bellevue, OH 7/18/2012 181,838 11 Bellevue, OH 7/18/2012 181,838 11 Huntersville, NC 8/6/2012 185,570 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Dallas, GA 9/4/2012 202,340 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 202,340 1 De Pere, WI 9/13/2012 200,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 474,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,900 1 Buena, VA 10/9/2012 320,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Holland, MI 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Jackson, TN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Jackson, TN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Johnstown, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Lafayette, IN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Novi, MI 10/9/2012 325,000 1 Novi, MI 10/9/2012 387,084 1 I Parson, KS 10/9/2012 387,084 1 I Parson, KS 10/9/2012 31,000 1 Parson, KS	Buffalo, NY	6/15/2012	117,000	1
Arlington, TX Bellevue, OH 7/18/2012 181,838 11 Bellevue, OH 7/18/2012 181,838 11 Huntersville, NC 8/6/2012 185,570 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Dallas, GA 9/4/2012 202,340 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 202,340 1 De Pere, WI 9/13/2012 200,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 474,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 310,900 1 Buena, VA 10/9/2012 320,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Holland, MI 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Jackson, TN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Jackson, TN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Johnstown, NY 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Lafayette, IN 10/9/2012 30,000 1 Novi, MI 10/9/2012 325,000 1 Novi, MI 10/9/2012 387,084 1 I Parson, KS 10/9/2012 387,084 1 I Parson, KS 10/9/2012 31,000 1 Parson, KS	Edgefield, SC	6/15/2012	126,190	1
Bellevue, OH 7/18/2012 181,838 1 Atlanta, GA 8/11/2012 407,981 1 Huntersville, NC 8/6/2012 185,570 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 8/23/2012 207,042 1 Dallas, GA 9/4/2012 22,807 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 223,340 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 202,691 1 De Pere, WI 9/13/2012 200,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/11/2012 474,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 372,759 1 Gurnee, IL 9/28/2012 223,760 1 Auburn Hills, MI 10/9/2012 87,932 1 El Paso, TX 10/9/2012 87,932 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 50,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 50,000 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 101,589 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 101,589 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 50,000 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 104,955 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 50,000 1 Independence, VA 10/9/2012 104,955 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 104,955 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 50,000 1 Independence, VA 10/9/2012 104,955 1 Greenwood, SC		6/15/2012		1
Atlanta, GA Huntersville, NC 86/2012 185,570 11 Simpsonville, SC 88/23/2012 204,952 1 Simpsonville, SC 88/23/2012 207,042 1 Dallas, GA 9/4/2012 202,047 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 202,340 1 Mebane, NC 9/4/2012 202,691 1 De Pere, WI 9/13/2012 200,000 1 Duncan, SC 9/21/2012 313,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 313,380 1 Buena Vista, VA 9/27/2012 313,380 1 Auburn Hills, MI 10/9/2012 87,932 1 EI Paso, TX 10/9/2012 87,932 1 EI Paso, TX 10/9/2012 10/10,589 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 10/10,589 1 Gloversville, NY 10/9/2012 10/10,589 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 10/4,955 1 Greenwood, SC 10/9/2012 10/4,955 1 Independence, VA 10/9/2012 10/4,955 1 Independence, VA 10/9/2012 10/9/2012 10/9/2012 10/10,000 1 Independence, VA 10/9/2012 10/9		7/18/2012	181,838	1
Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         204,952         1           Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         207,042         1           Dallas, GA         9/4/2012         92,807         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         172,759         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         287,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         287,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         260,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1		8/1/2012		1
Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         204,952         1           Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         207,042         1           Dallas, GA         9/4/2012         92,807         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,655         1		8/6/2012		1
Simpsonville, SC         8/23/2012         207,042         1           Dallas, GA         9/4/2012         92,807         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         202,691         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena, Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         287,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         29,555         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         10,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         195,000         1				1
Dallas, GA         9/4/2012         92,807         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         202,691         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/72012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         25,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         10,4955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         19,000         1		8/23/2012		1
Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         223,340         1           Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         202,691         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         287,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         105,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         25,000         1     <		9/4/2012	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Mebane, NC         9/4/2012         202,691         1           De Pere, WI         9/13/2012         200,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         25,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         25,000         1<		9/4/2012		1
De Pere, WI		9/4/2012		1
Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         474,000         1           Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         42,325         1				1
Duncan, SC         9/21/2012         313,380         1           Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         10,589         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         56,580         1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Buena Vista, VA         9/27/2012         172,759         1           Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         101,589         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         56,580         1				
Gurnee, IL         9/28/2012         223,760         1           Auburn Hills, MI         10/9/2012         87,932         1           El Paso, TX         10/9/2012         269,245         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         101,589         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         71,400         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         275,000				
Auburn Hills, MI       10/9/2012       87,932       1         El Paso, TX       10/9/2012       269,245       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       50,000       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       101,589       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       26,529       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       59,965       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       104,955       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       70,100       1         Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,000       1 </td <td></td> <td>9/28/2012</td> <td></td> <td>1</td>		9/28/2012		1
El Paso, TX       10/9/2012       269,245       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       50,000       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       101,589       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       26,529       1         Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       59,965       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       104,955       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       70,100       1         Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       29,600       1				
Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         50,000         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         101,589         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         60,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         56,580         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         71,400         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         275,000         1           Lamsing, MI         10/9/2012         250,100         1				
Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         101,589         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         60,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         56,580         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         71,400         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         71,400         1           Lansing, MI         10/9/2012         275,000         1           Marion, IN         10/9/2012         249,600         1		10/9/2012		1
Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         26,529         1           Gloversville, NY         10/9/2012         59,965         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         104,955         1           Greenwood, SC         10/9/2012         70,100         1           Holland, MI         10/9/2012         195,000         1           Independence, VA         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Jackson, TN         10/9/2012         250,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         52,500         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         60,000         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         42,325         1           Johnstown, NY         10/9/2012         57,102         1           Kansas City, KS         10/9/2012         56,580         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         71,400         1           Lafayette, IN         10/9/2012         120,000         1           Lansing, MI         10/9/2012         275,000         1           Marion, IN         10/9/2012         249,600         1           Novi, MI         10/9/2012         120,800         1		10/9/2012		1
Gloversville, NY       10/9/2012       59,965       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       70,100       1         Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       104,955       1         Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       70,100       1         Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1			59,965	1
Greenwood, SC       10/9/2012       70,100       1         Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Holland, MI       10/9/2012       195,000       1         Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1		10/9/2012	70,100	1
Independence, VA       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Jackson, TN       10/9/2012       250,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1	Holland, MI	10/9/2012		1
Jackson, TN10/9/2012250,0001Johnstown, NY10/9/201252,5001Johnstown, NY10/9/201260,0001Johnstown, NY10/9/201242,3251Johnstown, NY10/9/201257,1021Kansas City, KS10/9/201256,5801Lafayette, IN10/9/201271,4001Lafayette, IN10/9/2012120,0001Lafayette, IN10/9/2012275,0001Lansing, MI10/9/2012250,1001Marion, IN10/9/2012249,6001Novi, MI10/9/2012120,8001O Hara, PA10/9/2012887,0841Parsons, KS10/9/2012120,0001Phenix City, AL10/9/2012117,5681		10/9/2012	120,000	1
Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       52,500       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1	•	10/9/2012	250,000	1
Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       60,000       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       42,325       1         Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1	Johnstown, NY	10/9/2012		
Johnstown, NY       10/9/2012       57,102       1         Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       120,800       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1	Johnstown, NY	10/9/2012		1
Kansas City, KS       10/9/2012       56,580       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       71,400       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Lafayette, IN10/9/201271,4001Lafayette, IN10/9/2012120,0001Lafayette, IN10/9/2012275,0001Lansing, MI10/9/2012250,1001Marion, IN10/9/2012249,6001Novi, MI10/9/2012120,8001O Hara, PA10/9/2012887,0841Parsons, KS10/9/2012120,0001Phenix City, AL10/9/2012117,5681	Kansas City, KS			1
Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Lafayette, IN       10/9/2012       275,000       1         Lansing, MI       10/9/2012       250,100       1         Marion, IN       10/9/2012       249,600       1         Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1		10/9/2012		1
Lafayette, IN10/9/2012275,0001Lansing, MI10/9/2012250,1001Marion, IN10/9/2012249,6001Novi, MI10/9/2012120,8001O Hara, PA10/9/2012887,0841Parsons, KS10/9/2012120,0001Phenix City, AL10/9/2012117,5681		10/9/2012		1
Lansing, MI     10/9/2012     250,100     1       Marion, IN     10/9/2012     249,600     1       Novi, MI     10/9/2012     120,800     1       O Hara, PA     10/9/2012     887,084     1       Parsons, KS     10/9/2012     120,000     1       Phenix City, AL     10/9/2012     117,568     1				
Marion, IN10/9/2012249,6001Novi, MI10/9/2012120,8001O Hara, PA10/9/2012887,0841Parsons, KS10/9/2012120,0001Phenix City, AL10/9/2012117,5681		10/9/2012		1
Novi, MI       10/9/2012       120,800       1         O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
O Hara, PA       10/9/2012       887,084       1         Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Parsons, KS       10/9/2012       120,000       1         Phenix City, AL       10/9/2012       117,568       1				1
Phenix City, AL 10/9/2012 117,568 1				1
				1
	·	10/9/2012		1

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Ware Shoals, SC	10/9/2012	20,514	1
Wichita, KS	10/9/2012	80,850	1
Wichita, KS	10/9/2012	120,000	1
Wichita, KS	10/9/2012	44,760	1
Wichita, KS	10/9/2012	47,700	1
Chicopee, MA	10/26/2012	217,000	1
Sterling Heights, MI	10/31/2012	108,000	1
Harrisonburg, VA	11/29/2012	357,673	1
Toledo, OH	12/13/2012	177,500	1
Woodstock, IL	12/14/2012	129,803	1
Kansas City, MO	12/19/2012	226,576	1
Smyrna, GA	12/20/2012	102,000	1
Montgomery, IL	12/20/2012	584,301	1
Statham, GA	12/21/2012	225,680	1
	Total	12,829,194	70

The following table (in thousands) summarizes the allocation of the consideration paid during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012, respectively, for the acquired assets and liabilities in connection with the acquisitions of buildings at the date of acquisition identified in the table above:

	]	Six months Ended June 30, 2013	Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Weighted Average Amortization Period (years) Lease Intangibles
Land	\$	17,936	N/A	\$ 34,991	N/A
Buildings		106,688	N/A	269,616	N/A
Tenant improvements		1,300	N/A	10,624	N/A
Cash escrow for capital					
additions			N/A	785	N/A
Above market leases		5,125	5.8	16,728	10.0
Below market leases		(1,698)	7.5	(5,962)	6.5
In-place leases		24,754	5.7	63,397	6.6
Tenant relationships		10,239	8.3	26,241	8.2
Building and land					
improvements		3,897	N/A	7,488	N/A
Net assets acquired	\$	168,241		\$ 423,908	

As partial consideration for eight buildings acquired on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units in the Operating Partnership with a fair value of approximately \$11.5 million. The issuance of the Common Units was effected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Common Units. The remaining purchase price of approximately \$40.1 million was paid in cash.

The Company has included the results of operations for each of the 23 buildings acquired in its Consolidated Statements of Operations from the date of acquisition. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the entities acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2013 contributed \$3.0 million and \$3.4 million, respectively, to total revenue and \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million to net loss including building acquisition costs of \$1.2 million and \$1.7 million related to the acquisition of the buildings.

The following tables set forth pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. The below pro forma information does not purport to represent what the actual results of operations of the Company would have been had the acquisitions outlined above occurred on the first day of the applicable reporting period, nor do they purport to predict the results of operations of future periods. The pro forma information has not been adjusted for property sales.

		Six months Ended
		June 30, 2013
Pro Forma	(in th	nousands, except share data) (1)
Total revenue	\$	68,493
Net income (2)	\$	3,360
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$	(750)
Weighted average shares outstanding		41,265,070
Net loss per share attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.02)

Six months Ended
June 30, 2012
(in thousands, except share data) (3)

Pro Forma

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total revenue	\$ 49,745
Net income (2)	\$ 1,128
Net loss attributable to common stockholders	\$ (1,407)
Weighted average shares outstanding	17,654,706
Net income per share attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.08)

On June 12, 2013, the Company sold a 53,183 square feet flex/office building located in Pittsburgh, PA. The building represented a non-core asset of the Company. The carrying value of the building prior to sale was \$4.4 million. The sales price was \$5.1 million and the Company received net proceeds of \$4.8 million. A gain on sale of real estate of \$0.5 million was recognized at closing under the full accrual method of gain recognition. The building contributed \$0.1 million, \$0.2 million, \$0.1 million, and \$0.3 million to total revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The results of operations and the gain on sale are included in income attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. On April 20, 2012, the Company sold a vacant warehouse and distribution facility located in Youngstown, OH containing 153,708 net rentable square feet. The carrying value of the property prior to sale was \$3.0 million. The sales price was \$3.4 million and the Company received net proceeds of \$3.2 million. The property contributed \$0 to total revenue during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. At closing, the Company recognized a gain on sale of real estate in the amount of \$0.2 million under the full accrual method of gain recognition. The results of operations and the gain for this sale are included in income attributable to discontinued operations on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

#### 4. Deferred Leasing Intangibles

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
In-place leases	\$ 126,877 \$	108,363
Less: Accumulated amortization	(40,009)	(28,289)
In-place leases, net	86,868	80,074
Above market leases	55,793	50,699
Less: Accumulated amortization	(14,148)	(10,362)
Above market leases, net	41,645	40,337
Tenant relationships	70,806	61,050
Less: Accumulated amortization	(16,284)	(11,298)
Tenant relationships, net	54,522	49,752
Leasing commissions	28,420	23,376
Less: Accumulated amortization	(7,828)	(5,984)
Leasing commissions, net	20,592	17,392
Total deferred leasing intangibles, net	\$ 203,627 \$	187,555

Deferred leasing intangibles included in total liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

<sup>(1)</sup> The proforma information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2013 had occurred at January 1, 2012, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

<sup>(2)</sup> The net income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 excludes \$1.7 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the six months ended June 30, 2013. Net income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2012 excludes \$1.3 million of property acquisition costs related to the acquisition of buildings that closed during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

<sup>(3)</sup> The pro forma information for the six months ended June 30, 2012 is presented as if the acquisition of the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the buildings acquired during the six months ended June 30, 2012 had occurred at January 1, 2012 and January 1, 2011, respectively, the beginning of the reporting period prior to acquisition.

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	<del>-</del>	ne 30, 013	D	December 31, 2012
Below market leases	\$	10,888	\$	9,878
Less: Accumulated amortization		(3,794)		(3,007)
Total deferred leasing intangibles, net	\$	7,094	\$	6,871

Amortization expense, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to in-place leases, leasing commissions and tenant relationships of deferred leasing intangibles was \$9.7 million, \$19.1 million, \$5.1 million and \$10.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. Rental income, inclusive of results from discontinued operations, related to net amortization of above (below) market leases decreased by \$1.5 million, \$2.9 million, \$1.1 million and \$2.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively.

Amortization related to deferred leasing intangibles over the next five years is as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Net Amortization of In-Place Leases, Leasing Commissions and Tenant Relationships	Net Decrease to Rental Income Related to Above and Below Market Leases
Remainder of 2013	\$ 19,278	\$ 2,987
2014	34,387	5,626
2015	27,144	5,820
2016	22,451	5,473
2017	17,363	4,046

The Company assesses deferred leasing intangibles for impairments on a quarterly basis when certain triggering events are met. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying values of certain deferred lease intangibles may be impaired, a recovery analysis is performed based on undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated from the tenant over the remaining lease term. If the recovery analysis indicates the carrying value of the tested lease intangibles are not recoverable from estimated future cash flows, it is written down to its estimated fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. The fair value is determined based on the contractual lease rental payments over the remaining term discounted back to the current reporting period. On June 11, 2012, the Company received notice from a tenant that the tenant was exercising an option in their lease to downsize their space from approximately 190,000 to 60,000 rentable square feet effective March 31, 2013. After determining the undiscounted future cash flows were not recoverable, the Company calculated the fair value of the lease intangibles. Using the remaining contractual lease payments for the reduced space and discounting the cash flows at a risk adjusted return for a market participant of 11.4%, it was determined that the fair value of the lease intangibles was \$0.4 million resulting in a noncash impairment loss of \$0.6 million during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, which is reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. The fair value calculation of the lease intangibles of \$0.4 million was performed using Level 3 inputs, and this is a nonrecurring fair value measurement.

#### 5. Debt

Payments on mortgage notes are generally due in monthly installments of principal amortization and interest. Payments on the Unsecured Term Loans and the Unsecured Credit Facility (each defined below) are generally due in monthly installments of interest.

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company s outstanding indebtedness, including mortgage notes payable and borrowings under the Company s Unsecured Term Loans and Unsecured Credit Facility as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Loan	Interest Rate (1)	Principal outstanding as of June 30, 2013	Principal outstanding as of December 31, 2012	Current Maturity
Sun Life(2)	6.05%	3,949	4,079	Jun-1-2016
Webster Bank (3)	4.22%	5,909	5,984	Aug-4-2016
Bank of America Unsecured Credit Facility	LIBOR + $1.65\%(4)$		99,300	Sept-10-2016
Union Fidelity (5)	5.81%	6,727	6,898	Apr-30-2017
Webster Bank (6)	3.66%	3,162	3,203	May-29-2017
Webster Bank (7)	3.64%	3,405	3,450	May-31-2017
Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan	LIBOR + $1.65\%(8)$	150,000	150,000	Sept-10-2017

Edgar Filing: STAG Industrial, Inc. - Form 10-Q

CIGNA-1 Facility(9)	6.50%	59,266	59,645	Feb-1-2018
CIGNA-2 Facility(10)	5.75%	60,433	60,863	Feb-1-2018
CIGNA-3 Facility(11)	5.88%	16,990	17,097	Oct-1-2019
Wells Fargo Bank, Unsecured Term Loan	LIBOR + $2.15\%(12)$	75,000		Feb-14-2020
Wells Fargo Bank, CMBS Loan (13)	4.31%	68,057	68,696	Dec-1-2022
		\$ 452,898	\$ 479,215	

<sup>(1)</sup> Current interest rate as of June 30, 2013. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.1947% and 0.2087%, respectively.

(2) This \$4.1 million loan with Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.) (Sun Life) was assumed on October 14, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Gahanna, OH and the property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
(3) This \$6.2 million loan with Webster Bank, National Association (Webster Bank) was entered into on August 4, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Norton, MA. The property is collateral for this loan.
(4) The spread over LIBOR for this unsecured revolving credit facility ( Unsecured Credit Facility ) is based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio and will range between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company paid unused fees of \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and did not have unused fees for the six months ended June 30, 2012 as the Company did not enter into the Unsecured Credit Facility until September 10, 2012. The borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2013 was \$200 million, assuming current leverage levels.
(5) This \$7.2 million loan with Union Fidelity Life Insurance Co. ( Union Fidelity ) was assumed on July 28, 2011 in connection with the acquisition of the St. Louis, MO building. The property is collateral for this loan. The principal outstanding includes an unamortized fair market value premium of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
(6) This \$3.25 million loan with Webster Bank loan was entered into on May 29, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in Portland, ME. The property is collateral for this loan.
(7) This \$3.5 million loan with Webster Bank loan was entered into on May 31, 2012 in connection with the acquisition of the building located in East Windsor, CT. The property is collateral for this loan.
This Bank of America, N.A. (Bank of America) unsecured term loan (Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan) was entered into on September 10, 2012. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and will range between 1.65% and 2.25%. The spread was 1.65% as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The Company swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate for \$100.0 million of the \$150.0 million outstanding on the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan. The net settlements of the swaps commenced on the effective date of the swaps, October 10, 2012. For further details refer to Note 6.
(9) This Connecticut General Life Insurance Company ( CIGNA ) credit facility originally was entered into in July 2010 (the CIGNA-1 Facility ), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral, had no remaining borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2013.
(10) This CIGNA credit facility originally was entered into in October 2010 (the CIGNA-2 Facility ), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral, had a remaining borrowing capacity of approximately \$2.9 million as of June 30, 2013, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.

- (11) This CIGNA credit facility originally was entered into on July 8, 2011 ( CIGNA-3 Facility ), which loan has various buildings serving as collateral. The CIGNA-3 Facility had a remaining borrowing capacity of approximately \$47.9 million as of June 30, 2013, subject to customary terms and conditions, including underwriting.
- This Wells Fargo unsecured term loan (Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan) was entered into on February 14, 2013. The spread over LIBOR is based on the Company's consolidated leverage ratio and will range between 2.15% and 2.70%. The spread was 2.15% as of June 30, 2013. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan has an accordion feature that allows the Company to increase its borrowing capacity to \$250.0 million, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Company borrowed \$25 million under this loan at closing and an additional \$50 million was drawn on June 17, 2013. On March 1, 2013, the Company swapped the one-month LIBOR for a fixed rate of 1.33% on \$25.0 million of the \$150.0 million capacity on the unsecured term loan. The Company entered into two additional interest rate swaps on June 13, 2013 with effective dates of July 1, 2013 and August 1, 2013 in the amounts of \$50 million and \$25 million, which converted the one-month LIBOR to 1.681% and 1.703%, respectively (see Note 6 for further details). The borrowing capacity as of June 30, 2013 was \$75 million, assuming current leverage levels, and can be drawn down by the Company through February 14, 2014. The Company incurred \$1.4 million in deferred financing fees associated with the closing of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan, which will be amortized over its seven year term. The Company also incurred an annual fee of \$50 thousand to be amortized over one year. The Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan has an unused commitment fee equal to 0.35% of its unused portion, which is paid monthly in arrears. During the period February 14, 2013 to June 30, 2013, the Company incurred an unused fee of \$0.2 million.

(13) This \$68.8 million Wells Fargo loan ( CMBS Loan ) was entered into on November 8, 2012 and is a non-recourse loan with buildings serving as collateral.
Financial Covenant Considerations
The Company s ability to borrow under the Unsecured Credit Facility, and the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan (together, the Bank of America Unsecured Term Loan and the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan are the Unsecured Term Loans ) is subject to its ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants, including:
• a maximum consolidated leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:1.00;
• a maximum secured leverage ratio of not greater than 0.45:1.00;
• a maximum unencumbered leverage ratio of not greater than 0.60:100;
• a maximum secured recourse debt ratio of not greater than 7.5%;
• a minimum fixed charge ratio of not less than 1.50 to 1.00;
• a minimum tangible net worth covenant test; and
• various thresholds on Company level investments.
If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, the Company may be precluded from paying certain distributions (other than those required to allow it to qualify and maintain its status as a REIT) under the terms of the Unsecured Credit Facility and Unsecured Term Loans.

The Sun Life loan, the Webster Bank loans, the Union Fidelity loan, the CIGNA-1 Facility, the CIGNA-2 Facility, the CIGNA-3 Facility and the CMBS Loan, and specific properties are collateral for these loans. The acquisition costs of these properties were financed by the loans and by collateral assignments of the specific leases and rents. These debt facilities contain certain financial and other covenants. The Company was in

compliance with all financial covenants as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

28

#### Fair Value of Debt

The fair value of the Company s debt was determined by discounting the future cash flows using the current rates at which loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings for loans with similar remaining maturities, similar terms, and similar loan-to-value ratios. The discount rates ranged from 1.85% to 5.24% and 1.86% to 4.64% at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and were applied to each individual debt instrument. The fair value of the Company s debt is based on Level 3 inputs. The following table presents the aggregate carrying value of the Company s debt and the corresponding estimate of fair value as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30	, 2013		December	31, 20	12
	Carrying Amount		Fair Value	Carrying Amount		Fair Value
Mortgage notes payable	\$ 227,898	\$	231,841	\$ 229,915	\$	242,175
Unsecured Credit Facility	\$	\$		\$ 99,300	\$	99,300
Bank of America Unsecured Term						
Loan	\$ 150,000	\$	147,610	\$ 150,000	\$	150,000
Wells Fargo Bank Unsecured Term						
Loan	\$ 75,000	\$	74,126	\$	\$	

#### 6. Use of Derivative Financial Instruments

Risk Management Objective of Using Derivatives

The Company s use of derivative instruments is limited to the utilization of interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk exposures and not for speculative purposes. The principal objective of such arrangements is to minimize the risks and/or costs associated with the Company s operating and financial structure, as well as to hedge specific transactions.

On March 1, 2013, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement for notional amount of \$25.0 million with an effective date of March 1, 2013 that converts the one-month LIBOR rate on the \$25.0 million then outstanding balance of the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate of 1.33% plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio. This swap was designated as a cash flow hedge of interest rate risk.

On June 13, 2013, the Company entered into two interest rate swap agreements for notional amounts of \$50.0 million and \$25.0 million with effective dates of July 1, 2013 and August 1, 2013 that will convert the one-month LIBOR rate on the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan from a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio to a fixed rate of 1.681% and 1.703%, respectively, plus a spread of 2.15% to 2.70% based on the Company s consolidated leverage ratio. These swaps were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk.

The following table details the Company s outstanding interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2013 (collectively, the Unsecured Term Loan Swaps ) (in thousands):

Interest Rate		Notional		Variable Interest	
Derivative	Trade Date	Amount	Fixed Interest Rate	Rate	Maturity Date
Interest rate swap	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000	0.7945%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-14-2012	\$ 10,000	0.7975%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-20-2012	\$ 25,000	0.7525%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	Sept-24-2012	\$ 25,000	0.727%	One-month LIBOR	September 10, 2017
Interest rate swap	March-1-2013	\$ 25,000	1.33%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Interest rate swap	June-13-2013	\$ 25,000	1.703%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020
Interest rate swap	June-13-2013	\$ 50,000	1.681%	One-month LIBOR	February 14, 2020

The fair value of the interest rate swaps outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows (in thousands):

<b>Balance Sheet</b>	Notional	Fair Value	<b>Notional Amount</b>	Fair Value
Location	Amount	June 30,	December 31,	December 31,
	June 30.	2013	2012	2012

2013

		-010			
Unsecured Term Loan Swaps	Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 200,000 \$	3,186 \$	100,000 \$	(480)

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

The Company s objectives in using interest rate swaps are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and qualifies as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. On September 14, 2012, the Company commenced a program of utilizing such designated derivatives to hedge the variable cash flows associated with certain variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company did not record any hedge ineffectiveness related to the hedged derivatives.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company s variable-rate debt. The Company estimates that an additional \$1.9 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income as a decrease to interest expense over the next twelve months.

The table below details the location in the financial statements of the gain or loss recognized on interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively:

	Thre 20		nded June 30 2012	,	Six months ended June 30, 2013 2012			
Amount of income recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income on interest rate swaps (effective								
portion)	\$	3,440	\$	\$	3,286	\$		
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into income (loss) as interest								
expense (effective portion)	\$	215	\$	\$	380	\$		
Amount of loss recognized in income on swaps (ineffective portion and amount								
excluded from effectiveness testing)	\$		\$	\$		\$		

The Company is exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to the interest rate swaps. The Company minimizes this risk exposure by limiting counterparties to major banks and investment brokers who meet established credit and capital guidelines.

#### Credit-risk-related Contingent Features

As of June 30, 2013, the fair values of the interest rate swaps are in a net asset position of \$3.6 million, which includes accrued interest, but excludes any adjustment for nonperformance risk related to these agreements. As of June 30, 2013, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. The adjustment for nonperformance risk included in the fair value of the Company s net asset position was \$0.4 million as of June 30, 2013.

#### Fair Value of Interest Rate Swaps

The valuation of the interest rate swaps is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market- based inputs including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined by using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash payments and the discounted expected variable cash receipts. The variable cash receipts are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company applied the provisions of this standard to the valuation of its interest rate swaps.

The following sets forth the Company s financial instruments that are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2013	Fa Quoted Prices In Active Markets for dentical Assets (Level 1)		ket Measurements a ne 30, 2013 Using: Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	s of	Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets:						
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ 3,186  December 31, 2012	Fa Quoted Prices In Active Markets for dentical Assets (Level 1)		3,186 ket Measurements a nber 31, 2012 Using: Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Liabilities:						
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ (480)	\$	\$	(480)	\$	
			18			

#### 7. Stockholders Equity

#### Preferred Stock

Pursuant to its charter, the Company is authorized to issue 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. On November 2, 2011, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,760,000 shares (including 360,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters overallotment option) of 9.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the Series A Preferred Stock ), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series A Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company s common stock with respect to dividend rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series A Preferred Stock has no stated maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series A Preferred Stock prior to November 2, 2016, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company s ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the articles supplementary for the Series A Preferred Stock).

On April 16, 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 2,800,000 shares (including 300,000 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters—overallotment option) of 6.625% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share (the Series B Preferred Stock), at a price to the public of \$25.00 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$67.8 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$70.0 million net of the underwriters discount of \$2.2 million. The Company also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters discount of \$2.2 million and \$0.2 million of direct offering costs incurred are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Company. Dividends on the Series B Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the last day of March, June, September and December of each year. The Series B Preferred Stock ranks senior to the Company s common stock and on parity with the Company s Series A Preferred Stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company. The Series B Preferred Stock has no stated maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Generally, the Company is not permitted to redeem the Series B Preferred Stock prior to April 16, 2018, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company s ability to qualify as a REIT and in certain other circumstances related to a change of control (as defined in the articles supplementary for the Series B Preferred Stock). The Company used the net proceeds to pay off the outstanding amount due under the Unsecured Credit Facility and to fund acquisitions.

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company s board of directors on the Series A Preferred Stock during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013	<b>Declaration Date</b>	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 6, 2013	\$ 0.5625	July 1, 2013
March 31	March 1, 2013	0.5625	April 1, 2013
Total 2013		\$ 1.125	

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2012	<b>Declaration Date</b>	Per Share	Date Paid
December 31	November 2, 2012	\$ 0.5625	December 31, 2012
September 30	August 2, 2012	0.5625	October 1, 2012
June 30	May 15, 2012	0.5625	July 2, 2012
March 31	March 6, 2012	0.5625	April 2, 2012
Total 2012		\$ 2.25	-

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company s board of directors on the Series B Preferred Stock during the prorated period ended June 30, 2013:

Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013	<b>Declaration Date</b>	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30 (prorated for April 16, 2013 to June 30, 2013)	May 6, 2013	\$ 0.34505	July 1, 2013
Total 2013	•	\$ 0.34505	•

## Common Stock

On January 22, 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 6,284,152 shares of common stock (including 819,672 shares issued pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters overallotment option) at a public offering price of \$18.30 per share. The Company received net proceeds of \$110.1 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$115.0 million net of the underwriters discount of \$4.9 million. The Company also incurred direct offering costs of \$0.2 million. The underwriters discount of

\$4.9 million and \$0.2 million of direct offering costs incurred are reflected as a reduction to additional paid-in capital in the Consolidated Balance Sheet of the Company. The Company used the proceeds to fully pay down the then outstanding balance on the Unsecured Credit Facility.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company sold 149,200 shares of common stock under its at the market (ATM) program that commenced on December 14, 2012. The Company received net proceeds of \$2.7 million, reflecting gross proceeds of \$2.7 million, net of the sales agents fees of approximately \$41 thousand. The Company used the net proceeds for general corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2013, there was approximately \$66.9 million of common stock available to be sold under the ATM.

The table below sets forth the dividends that have been declared by the Company s board of directors on its common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012:

<b>Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2013</b>	<b>Declaration Date</b>	Per Share	Date Paid
June 30	May 6, 2013	\$ 0.30	July 15, 2013
March 31	March 1, 2013	0.30	April 15, 2013
Total 2013		\$ 0.60	

<b>Amount Declared During Quarter Ended 2012</b>	<b>Declaration Date</b>	Per Share		Date Paid
December 31	November 2, 2012	\$	0.27	January 15, 2013
September 30	August 2, 2012		0.27	October 15, 2012
June 30	May 15, 2012		0.27	July 13, 2012
March 31	March 6, 2012		0.26	April 13, 2012
Total 2012		\$	1.07	

All of the Company s independent directors elected to receive shares of common stock in lieu of cash for their fees for serving as members of the board and/or chairmen of various committees during 2013 and 2012. The shares of common stock are issued to the independent directors pursuant to the STAG Industrial, Inc. 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (the 2011 Plan). The number of shares of common stock granted is calculated based on the trailing 10-day average common stock price ending on the third business day preceding the grant date. The fair value of the shares of the common stock granted is calculated based on the closing stock price per the NYSE on the grant date multiplied by the number of shares of common stock granted. The table below sets forth the grants of common stock for the members service during quarters ended in 2013 and 2012 as below:

Service During Quarter Ended 2013	Grant Date	Shares	Fair Value
June 30	July 15, 2013	2,602	\$ 53,000
March 31	April 15, 2013	2,418	52,000
Total 2013		5,020	\$ 105,000

Service During Quarter Ended 2012	Grant Date	Shares	Fair Value
December 31	January 15, 2013	2,851 \$	54,000
September 30	October 15, 2012	2,876	49,000
June 30	July 13, 2012	3,108	46,000
March 31	April 13, 2012	3,776	50,000
Total 2012		12,611 \$	199,000

#### Restricted Stock-Based Compensation

On January 3, 2013, the Company granted 106,268 shares of time-based restricted common stock to certain employees of the Company pursuant to the 2011 Plan, with a fair value of \$1.9 million (\$18.11 per share).

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 48,173 and 16,161 shares of restricted common stock had vested with a fair value of \$0.9 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The Company recognizes non-cash compensation expense ratably over the vesting period, and accordingly, the Company recognized \$0.2 million, \$0.4 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million in non-cash compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense for the remaining life of the awards was \$2.9 million and \$1.5 million as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, there were 11,540 and 1,559 forfeitures of shares of restricted common stock, respectively.

#### 2011 Plan

At their annual meeting on May 6, 2013, the stockholders of the Company approved an amendment to the 2011 Plan that increased the number of shares of common stock that may be awarded under the 2011 Plan by 1,887,274 shares to an aggregate of 3,642,461 shares (7.5% of the shares of common stock and common units (including LTIP units) issued and outstanding as of March 27, 2013).

## 8. Noncontrolling Interest

Noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership are interests in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Company. As of June 30, 2013, noncontrolling interests consisted of 6,299,186 Common Units and 599,464 long-term incentive plan units in the Operating Partnership (LTIP units), which in total represented an approximately 14.04% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2012, noncontrolling interests consisted of 5,743,958 Noncontrolling Common Units and 413,551 LTIP units, which in total represented an approximately 14.71% limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. The Company adjusts the carrying value of noncontrolling interest to reflect its share of the book value of the Operating Partnership when there has been a change in the Company s ownership of the Operating Partnership. Such adjustments are recorded to additional paid in capital as a rebalancing of noncontrolling interest on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

#### Noncontrolling Common Units

Noncontrolling Common Units and shares of the Company s common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics in that Noncontrolling Common Units and shares of the Company s common stock share equally in the total net income or loss distributions of the Operating Partnership. Investors who own Noncontrolling Common Units have the right to cause the Operating Partnership to redeem any or all of their Noncontrolling Common Units for cash equal to the then-current market value of one share of the Company s common stock, or, at the Company s election, shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. All Noncontrolling Common Units will receive the same quarterly distribution as the per share dividends on common stock. As discussed in Note 3, on June 19, 2013, the Company granted 555,758 Common Units as partial consideration for the purchase price of eight buildings. The issuance of the Common Units was affected in reliance upon an exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company relied on the exemption based on representations given by the holders of the Common Units. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were 2,186 redemptions of Noncontrolling Common Units for shares of common stock.

# LTIP Units

Pursuant to the 2011 Plan, the Company may grant LTIP units in the Operating Partnership. LTIP units, which the Company grants either as free-standing awards or together with other awards under the 2011 Plan, are valued by reference to the value of the Company s common stock, and are subject to such conditions and restrictions as the compensation committee may determine, including continued employment or service, computation of financial metrics and achievement of pre-established performance goals and objectives. Vested LTIP units can be converted to Noncontrolling Common Units in the Operating Partnership on a one-for-one basis once a material equity transaction has occurred that results in the accretion of the member s capital account to the economic equivalent of the Noncontrolling Common Unit. As of June 30, 2013, all of the outstanding LTIP units have met the aforementioned criteria and holders have the ability to convert the LTIP units to Noncontrolling Common Units. All LTIP units, whether vested or not, will receive the same quarterly per unit distributions as Noncontrolling Common Units, which equal per share dividends on common stock.

On January 3, 2013, the Company granted a total of 173,044 LTIP units to certain executive officers and 14,525 LTIP units to its non-employee, independent directors pursuant to the 2011 Plan. The fair value of the LTIP units was approximately \$3.3 million, which was determined by a lattice binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulation using a volatility factor of 45%, a risk-free interest rate of 1.97%, an expected annual dividend yield of 6.0% and terms of 10 years. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, there were 1,656 redemptions of LTIP units for Noncontrolling Common Units. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 170,778 and 112,506 LTIP units were vested, respectively. Unrecognized compensation expense was \$6.0 million and \$3.5 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and is included in additional paid-in capital on the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Equity.

## 9. Earnings Per Share

A participating security is defined by GAAP as an unvested stock-based payment award containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends and must be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. Unvested restricted stock awards are considered participating securities as these stock-based awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends irrespective of whether the awards ultimately vest or expire. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, there were 217,806, 223,555, 155,047 and 160,484, respectively, unvested shares of restricted stock on a weighted average basis that were considered participating securities, which were not dilutive. For purposes of calculating basic and diluted earnings per share, awards under the 2011 Outperformance Program (the OPP) are considered contingently issuable shares. Because the OPP awards require the Company to outperform absolute and relative return thresholds, unless such thresholds have been met by the end of the applicable reporting period, the Company excludes the awards from the basic and diluted earnings per share calculation. As of June 30, 2013, the

absolute and relative return thresholds were met; however, the OPP awards have been excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were anti-dilutive. The absolute and relative return thresholds were not met as of June 30, 2012.

The following tables set forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, and June 30, 2012, respectively (in thousands, except share data).

	Thre	ee months Ended
		June 30, 2013
Numerator		
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(686)
Less: preferred stock dividends		2,519
Less: amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders		64
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to continuing operations		(423)
Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$	(2,846)
Income attributable to discontinued operations	\$	502
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations		66
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	436
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted		42,006,954
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.07)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders		0.01
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.06)

	-	x months Ended June 30, 2013
Numerator		
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(1,168)
Less: preferred stock dividends		4,071
Less: amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders		133
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to continuing operations		(698)
Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$	(4,674)
Income attributable to discontinued operations	\$	566
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations		75
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	491
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted		41,265,070
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.11)
Income from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders		0.01
Loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.10)

	Th	ree Months
	Ende	d June 30, 2012
Numerator		
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(1,963)
Less: preferred stock dividends		1,553
Less: Amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders		41
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to continuing operations		(1,012)
Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$	(2,545)
Income attributable to discontinued operations	\$	435
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations		125
Income from discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$	310
Less: preferred stock dividends Less: Amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to continuing operations Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders Income attributable to discontinued operations Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations	\$	1,553 41 (1,012 (2,545 435

## Denominator

Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted	19,484,785
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$ (0.13)
Discontinued operations	0.02
Loss per common share basic and diluted	\$ (0.11)

# Six Months

	Ende	ed June 30, 2012
Numerator		
Net loss from continuing operations	\$	(3,343)
Less: preferred stock dividends		3,106
Less: Amount allocable to unvested restricted stockholders		41
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to continuing operations		(1,993)
Loss from continuing operations available to common stockholders	\$	(4,497)
Income attributable to discontinued operations	\$	454
Less: noncontrolling interest allocable to discontinued operations		140
Income from discontinued operations available to common stockholders	\$	314
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic and diluted		17,654,706
Loss from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders	\$	(0.26)
Discontinued operations		0.02
Loss per common share basic and diluted	\$	(0.24)

#### 10. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance subject to deductible requirements. Management believes that the ultimate settlement of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows

The Company is subject to a one-time incentive fee based on aggregate performance thresholds of the acquired buildings sourced by Columbus Nova Real Estate Acquisition Group, LLC. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of the incentive fee was zero.

## 11. Concentrations of Credit Risk

Concentrations of credit risk arise when a number of tenants related to the Company s investments or rental operations are engaged in similar business activities, are located in the same geographic region, or have similar economic features that would cause their inability to meet contractual obligations, including those to the Company, to be similarly affected. The Company regularly monitors its tenant base to assess potential concentrations of credit risk. Management believes the current credit risk portfolio is reasonably well diversified and does not contain any unusual concentration of credit risk. No single tenant accounted for more than 5% of rental income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012.

## 12. Related Party Transaction

In June 2013, STAG Investments II, LLC (Fund II), a private, fully-invested fund that is an affiliate of the Company, and the Company amended the Services Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2011, pursuant to which the Company provides asset management services to Fund II in return for an annual asset management fee. The Services Agreement was amended to exclude disposition services from the asset management services to be performed by the Company and results in a concomitant reduction in the asset management fee. The asset management fee is based on the equity investments in the Fund II assets and is currently equal to 1.25% of the equity investment.

#### 13. Subsequent Events

GAAP requires an entity to disclose events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued (subsequent events) as well as the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. There are two types of subsequent events. The first type consists of events or transactions that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing financial statements (recognized subsequent events). No significant recognized subsequent events were noted. The second type consists of events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose subsequent to that date (non-recognized subsequent events).

The following non-recognized subsequent events are noted:

On July 30, 2013, the Company received a draw of \$25.0 million under the Wells Fargo Unsecured Term Loan.

On August 2, 2013, F. Alexander Fraser provided notice of his resignation as a director of the Company due to his desire to devote more of his time to his duties and responsibilities as a Managing Director at GI Partners, LLC. Mr. Fraser s resignation was effective immediately on August 2, 2013.

On August 2, 2013, GI STAG Investco, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the controlling member of one of the contributors in the formation transactions (GISI), waived its rights under that certain voting agreement, dated April 20, 2011, among the Company, GISI and other contributors in the formation transactions (collectively, the Contributors) (the Voting Agreement). As previously disclosed, under the terms of the Voting Agreement, subject to certain conditions, GISI received the right to select up to two individuals to be nominated to serve on the board of directors, the Company agreed to cause such individuals to be nominated for election to the board of directors at each annual meeting of the Company s stockholders, and each Contributor agreed, at each annual meeting of the Company s stockholders, to vote all of such Contributor s shares of the common stock in favor of the election of the two GISI nominees to the board of directors. Pursuant to this agreement, in connection with the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, GISI nominated F. Alexander Fraser and Christopher P. Marr to the board of directors, and they were elected by the stockholders.

On August 2, 2013, the Company and GISI executed an agreement to terminate the Voting Agreement (the Termination Agreement ) formally. The Termination Agreement provides that no party will have any further rights or obligations under the Voting Agreement, effective as of August 2, 2013. The Company has submitted notice to all of the Contributors to request confirmation of the termination of the Voting Agreement by executing the Termination Agreement.

#### Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion with the financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in Item 1 of this report and the audited financial statements as of December 31, 2012, and related notes thereto included in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

As used herein, Company, we, our and us, refer to STAG Industrial, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships, except where the context otherwise requires. The consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 include the financial information of the Company, STAG Industrial Operating Partnership, L.P. (operating partnership) and our subsidiaries.

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the safe harbor from civil liability provided for such statements by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (set forth in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act )). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of words such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, may, plans, projects, seeks, should, will, and variations of such words or Our forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects, which are based on the information currently available to us and on assumptions we have made. Although we believe that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects as reflected in or suggested by our forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies or prospects will be attained or achieved and you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Furthermore, actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and may be affected by a variety of risks and factors including, without limitation:

- the factors included in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on March 6, 2013 and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, including those set forth under the headings Business, Risk Factors, and Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- the competitive environment in which we operate;
- real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for tenants in such markets;
- decreased rental rates or increasing vacancy rates;
- potential defaults under or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

•	potential bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants;
•	acquisition risks, including failure of such acquisitions to perform in accordance with projections;
•	the timing of acquisitions and dispositions;
•	potential natural disasters such as hurricanes;
•	international, national, regional and local economic conditions;
•	the general level of interest rates;
• changes in	potential changes in the law or governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those laws and regulations, including real estate and zoning or real estate investment trust ( REIT ) tax laws, and potential increases in real property tax rates;
• and interes	financing risks, including the risks that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal and we may be unable to refinance our existing debt upon maturity or obtain new financing on attractive terms or at all;
•	lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance;
•	our failure to complete acquisitions;
•	our failure to successfully integrate acquired buildings;
•	our ability to maintain our qualification as a REIT;

• litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes; and
<ul> <li>possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of buildings presently owned or previously owned by us.</li> </ul>
Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. New risks and uncertainties arise over time, and it is not possible for us to predict those events or how they may affect us. Except as required by law, we are not obligated to, and do not intend to, update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.
Overview
We are a fully-integrated, full-service real estate company focused on the acquisition, ownership and management of single-tenant industrial buildings throughout the United States.
As of June 30, 2013, we owned 194 buildings and one land parcel in 33 states with approximately 33.3 million square feet, consisting of 132 warehouse/distribution buildings, 42 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. We also owned one vacant land parcel adjacen to one of our buildings. Our buildings were 93.9% leased to 174 tenants, with no single tenant accounting for more than 2.4% of our total annualized rent and no single industry accounting for more than 12.7% of our total annualized rent. As used herein, the definition of annualized rent is the contractual monthly base rent as of June 30, 2013 multiplied by 12.
We were formed as a Maryland corporation on July 21, 2010 and our operating partnership, of which we, through our wholly owned subsidiary, STAG Industrial GP, LLC, are the sole general partner, was formed as a Delaware limited partnership on December 21, 2009. On April 20, 2011, we completed our formation transactions and became a public company. At June 30, 2013, we owned an 85.96% limited partnership interest in our operating partnership. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT under the Code, and generally are not subject to federal income tax to the extent we distribute our income to our stockholders and maintain our qualification as a REIT.
Factors That May Influence Future Results of Operations
Outlook
The lack of speculative development generally across the country and specifically in our markets may improve occupancy levels and rental rates in our owned portfolio. In addition, our acquisition activity is expected to enhance our overall financial performance. The continuation of low

interest rates combined with the availability of attractively priced buildings should allow us to deploy our capital on an attractive spread investing basis. In general, the economic environment for our tenants appears to be improving due in particular to the increasing availability of

financing accessible by mid-sized companies.

#### Rental Revenue

We receive income primarily from rental revenue from our buildings. The amount of rental revenue generated by the buildings in our portfolio depends principally on our ability to maintain the occupancy rates of currently leased space and to lease currently available space. As of June 30, 2013, our buildings were approximately 93.9% leased. The amount of rental revenue generated by us also depends on our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings. Future economic downturns or regional downturns affecting our submarkets that impair our ability to renew or re-lease space and the ability of our tenants to fulfill their lease commitments, as in the case of tenant bankruptcies, could adversely affect our ability to maintain or increase rental rates at our buildings.

Certain leases entered into by us contain tenant concessions such as free rent. Any such rental concessions are accounted for on a straight line basis over the term of the lease.

## **Scheduled Lease Expirations**

Our ability to re-lease space subject to expiring leases will impact our results of operations and is affected by economic and competitive conditions in our markets and by the desirability of our individual buildings. As of June 30, 2013, we had approximately 2.0 million rentable square feet of currently available space in our buildings. Of the 2.2 million square feet of leases that have expired during the six months ended June 30, 2013, we have already renewed 1.6 million square feet of leases, resulting in a 72% tenant retention rate as of June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, for the period July 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, none of our top ten leases based on June 30, 2013 annualized revenue will be expiring.

#### Conditions in Our Markets

The buildings in our portfolio are located in markets throughout the United States. Positive or negative changes in economic or other conditions, adverse weather conditions and natural disasters in these markets may affect our overall performance.

## Rental Expenses

Our rental expenses generally consist of utilities, real estate taxes, management fees, insurance and site repair and maintenance costs. For the majority of our tenants, our rental expenses are controlled, in part, by the triple net provisions in tenant leases. In our triple net leases, the tenant is responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term, including utilities, taxes, insurance and maintenance costs. However, we also have modified gross leases and gross leases in our building portfolio. The terms of those leases vary and on some occasions we may absorb building related expenses of our tenants. In our modified gross leases, we are responsible for some building related expenses during the lease term, but the cost of most of the expenses is passed through to the tenant for reimbursement to us. In our gross leases, we are responsible for all aspects of and costs related to the building and its operation during the lease term. Our overall performance will be affected by the extent to which we are able to pass-through rental expenses to our tenants.

### **Results of Operations**

The following discussion of our results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying footnotes. We consider our same store (as defined below) portfolio to consist of only those buildings owned and operated at the beginning and at the end of both of the applicable three and six month periods presented. Same store results are considered to be useful to investors in evaluating our performance because they provide information relating to changes in building-level operating performance without taking into account the effects of acquisitions or dispositions.

# Comparison of three months ended June 30, 2013 to the three months ended June 30, 2012

Our results of operations are affected by the acquisition and disposition activity during the 2013 and 2012 periods as described below. On April 1, 2012, we owned 110 buildings including 62 warehouse/distribution buildings, 28 light manufacturing buildings and 20 flex/office buildings. Subsequent to April 1, 2012, we sold four buildings for which the results of operations are included in loss from discontinued operations and are not considered part of our same store portfolio. Therefore, there are 106 buildings which are considered our same store portfolio ( three month same store ) in the analysis below. Three month same store occupancy decreased 3.5% to 91.7% as of June 30, 2013 compared to 95% as of June 30, 2012. The results of operations from acquisitions relates to the 88 buildings acquired after April 1, 2012 for a cost of approximately \$554.1 million.

The following table summarizes selected operating information for our three month same store portfolio and our total portfolio for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (dollars in thousands). This table includes reconciliation from our same store portfolio to our total portfolio by also providing information for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 with respect to the buildings acquired after April 1, 2012.

	Same-Store Portfolio Three months ended June 30, 2013 2012 Change			Acquisitions Three months ended June Rogee months % Change 2013 2012 2013					Total Portfolio s ended June 30, 2012 Change				% Change			
		2013		2012	Ci	lange	% Change	2013		2012	2013		2012	•	mange	% Change
Revenue																
Operating revenue																
Rental income	\$	15,601	\$	16,044	\$	(443)	-2.8%\$	12,724	\$	947 \$	28,325	\$	16,991	\$	11,334	66.7%
Tenant recoveries		2,263		1,915		348	18.2%	1,217		104	3,480		2,019		1,461	72.4%
Other income (1)		17.969		17.072		(105)	-71.4%	12.044		1.055	7		18		-11	-61.1%
Total operating revenue Expenses	e	17,868		17,973		(105)	-0.6%	13,944		1,055	31,812		19,028		12,784	67.2%
Operating expenses																
Property		1,658		1,249		409	32.7%	658		26	2,316		1,275		1,041	81.6%
Real estate taxes and		2,000		-,- :>							_,		-,		-,	0.1071
insurance		1,689		1,528		161	10.5%	1,574		87	3,263		1,615		1,648	102.0%
Total operating																
expenses		3,347		2,777		570	20.5%	2,232		113	5,579		2,890		2,689	93.0%
Net operating income																
(2)	\$	14,521	\$	15,196	\$	(675)	-4.4%\$	11,712	\$	942 \$	26,233	\$	16,138	\$	10,095	62.6%
Other expenses																
(income)																
General and administrative											4,477		3,308		1,169	35.3%
Asset management fees											4,477		3,306		1,109	33.3%
income											(255)		(312)		57	-18.3%
Property acquisition											(233)		(312)		51	10.5 %
costs											1,269		1,149		120	10.4%
Depreciation and											,		ĺ			
amortization											16,397		9,153		7,244	79.1%
Loss on impairment													622		(622)	-100.0%
Other expenses											161		9		152	1688.9%
Total other expenses											22 0 40		12.020		0.420	<b>70.2</b> %
(income)											22,049		13,929		8,120	58.3%
Total expenses											27,628		16,819		10,809	64.3%
Other income																
(expense)																
Interest income											3		4		(1)	-25.0%
Interest expense											(4,846)		(4,126)		(720)	17.5%
Offering costs											(27)		(68)		41	-60.3%
Gain on extinguishmen	t															
of debt													18		(18)	-100.0%
Total other income																
(expense)											(4,870)		(4,172)		(698)	16.7%
Discontinued operations																
Income attributable to																
discontinued operations	2										38		216		(178)	-82.4%
Gain on sales of real	,										50		210		(170)	02.170
estate											464		219		245	111.9%
Total income																
attributable to																
discontinued																
operations											502		435		67	15.4%
Net loss										\$	(184)	\$	(1,528)	\$	1,344	-88.0%
Less: loss attributable to	0										(257)		(007)		£20	50 0 <i>c</i> 4
noncontrolling interest Net income (loss)											(357)		(887)		530	-59.8%
attributable to STAG																
Industrial, Inc.										\$	173	\$	(641)	\$	814	-127.0%
										Ψ	173	Ψ	(011)	Ψ	311	127.070

<sup>(1)</sup> Other income excludes asset management fee income, which is included below in Other expenses (income) for purposes of calculating net operating income.

(2) Net operating income excludes the results of discontinued operations in the table above. For a detailed discussion of net operating income, including the reasons management believes net operating income is useful to investors, see Non-GAAP Financial Measures below.

## Same Store Total Operating Revenue

Same store operating revenue consists primarily of rental income from our properties, lease termination fees and tenant reimbursements for insurance, real estate taxes and certain other expenses.

Same store rental income consisting of base rent, termination income, straight-line rent and above and below market lease amortization decreased by \$0.4 million or 2.8% to \$15.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$16.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. Approximately \$0.6 million of the change was attributable to vacancy and \$0.1 million was due to a long term tenant renewing at a lower initial rental rate. These decreases were offset by \$0.5 million of rental increases due to tenant expansions and scheduled rental rate increases. Same-store rental income also decreased as a result of a decrease in straight-line rent of approximately \$0.1 million. Additionally, during the three months ended June 30, 2012, we had termination income relating to a tenant of approximately \$0.1 million and there was no termination income during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Same store tenant recoveries increased by \$0.3 million or 18.2% to \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$0.1 million increase in real estate tax income related to increased real estate tax rates during the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. Additionally, the increase was primarily attributable to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the three months ended June 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenant, resulting in an increase of \$0.2 million.

Same store other income decreased by \$10 thousand or 71.4% to \$4 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$14 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2012. This was primarily due to a reduction in late fee income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Same S	tore	Operating	Expenses
--------	------	-----------	----------

Same store operating expenses consist primarily of property operating expenses and real estate taxes and insurance.

Total same store expenses increased by \$0.6 million or 20.5% to \$3.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$2.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in utilities of \$0.3 million of which \$0.2 million relates to one of the properties where a single tenant occupied the building during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and the tenant paid the utility expenses directly to the third parties; therefore, the expenses were not carried on our books. The tenant vacated during the fourth quarter of 2012 and the same building was occupied by multiple tenants during the three months ended June 30, 2013 with leases that require us to pay the utility expenses and to be reimbursed by the tenants. Additionally, there was an increase of \$0.1 million related to property professional fees and repairs and maintenance expenses. Real estate taxes and insurance increased by \$0.2 million across several properties due to the increase in the real estate tax rates and insurance expenses.

## Total Other Expenses (Income)

Total other expenses (income) consist of general and administrative expense, asset management fee income, property ac