

FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/  
Form 10-Q  
August 05, 2013

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013**

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-12126

**FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

PENNSYLVANIA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

25-1440803

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

20 South Main Street, Chambersburg, PA 17201-0819

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(717) 264-6116

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes  No

There were 4,139,133 outstanding shares of the Registrant's common stock as of July 31, 2013.

**INDEX**

**Part I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1 - Financial Statements**

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 (unaudited)	3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (unaudited)	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	7

**Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition** 31

**Item 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** 56

**Item 4 – Controls and Procedures** 56

**Part II - OTHER INFORMATION** 57

**Item 1 – Legal Proceedings** 57

**Item 1A – Risk Factors** 57

**Item 2 – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds** 57

**Item 3 – Defaults Upon Senior Securities** 57

**Item 4 – Mine Safety Disclosures** 57

**Item 5 – Other Information** 57

**Item 6 – Exhibits** 57

**SIGNATURE PAGE** 58

**EXHIBITS**



**Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1 Financial Statements****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)	(unaudited)	
	June 30 2013	December 31 2012
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 14,789	\$ 20,578
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks	79,326	57,256
Total cash and cash equivalents	94,115	77,834
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	150,004	133,328
Restricted stock	2,135	3,571
Loans held for sale	238	67
Loans	729,167	753,579
Allowance for loan losses	(11,438 )	(10,379 )
Net Loans	717,729	743,200
Premises and equipment, net	16,599	17,037
Bank owned life insurance	21,229	20,925
Goodwill	9,016	9,016
Other intangible assets	910	1,123
Other real estate owned	5,264	5,127
Deferred tax asset	5,851	5,461
Other assets	9,551	10,674
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,032,641</b>	<b>\$ 1,027,363</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
<b>Deposits</b>		
Noninterest-bearing checking	\$ 118,943	\$ 123,623
Money management, savings and interest-bearing checking	627,910	572,698
Time	134,944	178,119
Total Deposits	881,797	874,440
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	38,934	42,209
Long-term debt	12,406	12,410
Other liabilities	6,743	6,670
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>939,880</b>	<b>935,729</b>
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>		
Common stock, \$1 par value per share, 15,000,000 shares authorized with 4,526,300 shares issued and 4,133,967 shares outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 4,503,380 shares issued and 4,107,346 shares outstanding at December 31, 2012	4,526	4,503
	-	-

Capital stock without par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized with no shares issued and outstanding		
Additional paid-in capital	36,106	35,788
Retained earnings	63,982	62,475
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,838 )	(4,050 )
Treasury stock, 392,333 shares at June 30, 2013 and 396,034 shares at December 31, 2012, at cost	(7,015 )	(7,082 )
Total shareholders' equity	92,761	91,634
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,032,641	\$ 1,027,363

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

## Consolidated Statements of Income

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30 2013	2012	June 30 2013	2012
Interest income				
Loans, including fees	\$ 8,035	\$ 9,112	\$ 16,332	\$ 18,210
Interest and dividends on investments:				
Taxable interest	365	451	718	885
Tax exempt interest	381	366	757	732
Dividend income	22	18	40	34
Deposits and obligations of other banks	73	55	131	91
Total interest income	8,876	10,002	17,978	19,952
Interest expense				
Deposits	1,162	1,304	2,265	2,758
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	12	19	30	39
Long-term debt	122	488	243	980
Total interest expense	1,296	1,811	2,538	3,777
Net interest income	7,580	8,191	15,440	16,175
Provision for loan losses	803	825	1,605	2,775
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	6,777	7,366	13,835	13,400
Noninterest income				
Investment and trust services fees	1,130	1,059	2,148	2,026
Loan service charges	192	269	442	541
Mortgage banking activities	40	(27 )	18	20
Deposit service charges and fees	452	479	888	954
Other service charges and fees	233	213	455	448
Debit card income	316	295	602	570
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	153	167	305	334
Other real estate owned (losses) gains, net	(141 )	(10 )	(141 )	27
Other	47	27	89	115
OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(50 )	-	(50 )	-
Securities gains, net	29	21	29	21
Total noninterest income	2,401	2,493	4,785	5,056
Noninterest expense				
Salaries and employee benefits	4,018	4,157	8,232	7,956
Net occupancy expense	568	493	1,136	1,011
Furniture and equipment expense	244	218	491	427
Advertising	317	396	652	710
Legal and professional fees	359	260	639	539
Data processing	451	440	845	853

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Pennsylvania bank shares tax	204	187	409	373
Intangible amortization	106	109	213	218
FDIC insurance	270	267	515	528
ATM/debit card processing	165	155	346	306
Other	923	915	1,730	1,685
Total noninterest expense	7,625	7,597	15,208	14,606
Income before federal income taxes	1,553	2,262	3,412	3,850
Federal income tax expense	198	356	506	575
Net income	\$ 1,355	\$ 1,906	\$ 2,906	\$ 3,275
Per share				
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.81
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.81
Cash dividends declared	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.44

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*



## Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)	For the Three Months Ended June 30		For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net Income	\$ 1,355	\$ 1,906	\$ 2,906	\$ 3,275
Securities:				
Unrealized (losses) gains arising during the period	(1,768 )	238	(1,591 )	500
Reclassification adjustment for losses (gains) included in net income	21	(21 )	21	(21 )
Net unrealized (losses) gains	(1,747 )	217	(1,570 )	479
Tax effect	594	(74 )	534	(163 )
Net of tax amount	(1,153 )	143	(1,036 )	316
Derivatives:				
Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period	42	(93 )	44	(63 )
Reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income (1)	152	178	332	358
Net unrealized gains	194	85	376	295
Tax effect	(66 )	(29 )	(128 )	(100 )
Net of tax amount	128	56	248	195
Total other comprehensive (loss) income	(1,025 )	199	(788 )	511
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 330	\$ 2,105	\$ 2,118	\$ 3,786
Reclassification adjustment / Statement line item	Tax expense (benefit)		Tax expense (benefit)	
(1) Derivatives / interest expense on deposits	\$ (52 )	\$ (61 )	\$ (113 )	\$ (122 )

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

## Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

**For the six months June 30, 2013 and 2012:**

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data) (unaudited)	Additional		Accumulated		Treasury	Total
	Common Stock	Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Comprehensive Loss		
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$4,419	\$34,698	\$60,280	\$(5,131)	\$(7,084)	\$87,182

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Net income	-	-	3,275	-	-	3,275
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	511	-	511
Cash dividends declared, \$.44 per share	-	-	(1,780)	-	-	(1,780)
Common stock issued under dividend reinvestment plan, 52,659 shares	53	687	-	-	-	740
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$4,472	\$35,385	\$61,775	\$(4,620)	\$(7,084)	\$89,928
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$4,503	\$35,788	\$62,475	\$(4,050)	\$(7,082)	\$91,634
Net income	-	-	2,906	-	-	2,906
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	(788)	-	(788)
Cash dividends declared, \$.34 per share	-	-	(1,399)	-	-	(1,399)
Treasury shares issued under stock option plans, 3,701 shares	-	(20)	-	-	67	47
Common stock issued under dividend reinvestment plan, 22,920 shares	23	338	-	-	-	361
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$4,526	\$36,106	\$63,982	\$(4,838)	\$(7,015)	\$92,761

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

## Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012
(Dollars in thousands) (unaudited)		
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 2,906	\$ 3,275
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	754	702
Net amortization of loans and investment securities	910	676
Amortization and net change in mortgage servicing rights valuation	33	56
Amortization of intangibles	213	218
Provision for loan losses	1,605	2,775
Net realized gains on sales of securities	(29)	(21)
Impairment writedown on securities recognized in earnings	50	-
Loans originated for sale	(5,270)	(4,473)
Proceeds from sale of loans	5,099	3,678
Writedown of other real estate owned	135	-
Net loss (gain) on sale or disposal of other real estate/other repossessed assets	6	(20)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(305)	(334)
Contribution to pension plan	-	(576)
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable and other assets	1,077	(97)
(Decrease) increase in interest payable and other liabilities	(265)	151
Other, net	705	(12)
Net cash provided by operating activities	7,624	5,998
Cash flows from investing activities		
Proceeds from sales and calls of investment securities available for sale	5,147	471
Proceeds from maturities and paydowns of investment securities available for sale	18,376	18,239
Purchase of investment securities available for sale	(42,743)	(26,107)
Net decrease in restricted stock	1,436	487
Net decrease (increase) in loans	23,614	(5,136)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate/other repossessed assets	15	195
Capital expenditures	(275)	(688)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	5,570	(12,539)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Net increase in demand deposits, interest-bearing and savings accounts	50,532	60,094
Net (decrease) increase in time deposits	(43,175)	8,566
Net decrease in repurchase agreements	(3,275)	(2,075)
Long-term debt payments	(4)	(2,044)
Dividends paid	(1,399)	(1,780)
Treasury stock issued under stock option plans	47	-
Common stock issued under dividend reinvestment plan	361	740
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,087	63,501

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Increase in cash and cash equivalents	16,281	56,960
Cash and cash equivalents as of January 1	77,834	34,144
Cash and cash equivalents as of June 30	\$ 94,115	\$ 91,104
Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information		
Cash paid during the year for:		
Interest on deposits and other borrowed funds	\$ 2,607	\$ 3,863
Income taxes	\$ 300	\$ -
Noncash Activities		
Loans transferred to Other Real Estate	\$ 293	\$ 241

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORPORATION and SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**Note 1 - Basis of Presentation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Franklin Financial Services Corporation (the Corporation), and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Farmers and Merchants Trust Company of Chambersburg (the Bank) and Franklin Future Fund Inc. Farmers and Merchants Trust Company of Chambersburg is a commercial bank that has one wholly-owned subsidiary, Franklin Financial Properties Corp. Franklin Financial Property Corp. holds real estate assets that are leased by the Bank. Franklin Future Fund Inc. is a non-bank investment company. The activities of non-bank entities are not significant to the consolidated totals. All significant intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows as of June 30, 2013, and for all other periods presented have been made.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The consolidated results of operations for the period ended June 30, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year. Management has evaluated subsequent events for potential recognition and/or disclosure through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

The consolidated financial information at December 31, 2012 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements.

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing deposits in other banks and federal funds sold. Generally, federal funds are purchased and sold for one-day periods.

Earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding during each period end. A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share follows:

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except per share data)	For the Three Months Ended June 30		For the Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	4,126	4,066	4,119	4,053
Impact of common stock equivalents	7	-	7	-
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	4,133	4,066	4,126	4,053
Anti-dilutive options excluded from calculation	46	100	57	104
Net income	\$ 1,355	\$ 1,906	\$ 2,906	\$ 3,275
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.81
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.33	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.81

## Note 2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-02 "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," requires an entity to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. In addition, an entity is required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. The amendments are effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The Corporation adopted this ASU at March 31, 2013.

Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. The objective of this ASU is to address the limitation of ASU 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*. This ASU clarifies that the scope of ASU 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, which includes bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements. It also applies to securities borrowing and lending transactions that are offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 215-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. This Update will provide users of financial statements with comparable information as it relates to certain reconciling differences between financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP and those prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. This update requires that the gross amounts of the asset and offsetting liabilities be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. The provisions of this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and interim periods within those annual periods, the same effective date as Update 2011-11. The required disclosures are to be retrospectively applied for all comparative periods presented. The Corporation adopted this ASU at March 31, 2013.

### Note 3. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss included in shareholders' equity are as follows:

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
(Dollars in thousands)		
Net unrealized gains on securities	\$ 973	\$ 2,543
Tax effect	(331 )	(865 )
Net of tax amount	642	1,678
Net unrealized losses on derivatives	(727 )	(1,103 )
Tax effect	247	375
Net of tax amount	(480 )	(728 )
Accumulated pension adjustment	(7,576 )	(7,576 )
Tax effect	2,576	2,576
Net of tax amount	(5,000 )	(5,000 )
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (4,838 )	\$ (4,050 )

### Note 4. Guarantees

The Corporation does not issue any guarantees that would require liability recognition or disclosure, other than its standby letters of credit. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Generally, all letters of credit, when issued, have expiration dates within one year. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as those that are involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral and/or personal guarantees supporting these commitments. The Bank had \$20.6 million and \$28.2 million of standby letters of credit as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of collateral and the enforcement of guarantees would be sufficient to cover the potential amount of future payments required under the corresponding guarantees. The amount of the liability as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued was not material.

#### **Note 5. Investments**

The investment portfolio increased \$16.7 million since year-end 2012. The Bank has invested \$42.7 million during the year with the majority of the purchases in U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities. U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds continue to comprise the greatest portion of the portfolio at 45% and 40% of the portfolio respectively.



The investment portfolio had a net unrealized gain of \$973 thousand at the end of the quarter compared to \$2.5 million at the end of 2012. Bond prices fell at the end of the second quarter in what appears to have been a temporary over reaction to comments from the Federal Reserve. Prices have recovered somewhat since then. The municipal bond sector shows the largest net unrealized gain, while the trust-preferred sector has the largest net unrealized loss. The portfolio averaged \$141.3 million for the year with a yield of 2.67% compared to an average of \$129.1 million and a yield of 3.11% for the same period in 2012. The Bank expects the yield on the portfolio to continue to decline as higher yielding bonds pay-down or mature and reinvestment yields remain low.

The equity portfolio is comprised of bank stocks and the Bank and the Corporation each maintain separate equity portfolios. During the second quarter, both the Bank and the Corporation sold equity securities and the amortized cost basis of this sector was reduced by 33%. The municipal bond portfolio is well diversified geographically (issuers from within 28 states) and is comprised primarily of general obligation bonds (71%). Most municipal bonds have credit enhancements in the form of private bond insurance or other credit support. The largest geographic municipal bond exposure is to twenty issuers in the state of Texas with a fair value of \$10.1 million and eleven issuers in the state of Pennsylvania with a fair value of \$6.3 million. The municipal bond portfolio contains \$57.3 million of bonds rated A or higher, \$629 thousand rated lower than A (but above noninvestment grade), and \$2.1 million that are not rated by Moody's rating agency. No municipal bonds are rated below investment grade. The Bank holds one variable rate corporate bond in the financial services sector and it is rated A3 by Moody's.

The trust preferred investments are comprised of seven single issuer trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$5.9 million and a fair value of \$4.9 million. The Bank has six private-label mortgage backed securities (PLMBS) with an amortized cost of \$2.3 million and a fair value of \$2.3 million.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available for sale as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Fair
	cost	unrealized	unrealized	value
June 30, 2013		gains	losses	
Equity securities	\$ 1,399	\$ 103	\$ (23 )	\$ 1,479
U.S. Government agency securities	12,135	128	(39 )	12,224
Municipal securities	58,513	2,105	(601 )	60,017
Corporate debt securities	1,004	-	(5 )	999
Trust preferred securities	5,914	-	(993 )	4,921
Agency mortgage-backed securities	67,740	780	(471 )	68,049
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	2,271	21	(29 )	2,263
Asset-backed securities	55	-	(3 )	52
	\$ 149,031	\$ 3,137	\$ (2,164 )	\$ 150,004

(Dollars in thousands)	Gross	Gross
------------------------	-------	-------

December 31, 2012	Amortized cost	unrealized gains	unrealized losses	Fair value
Equity securities	\$ 2,104	\$ 92	\$ (255)	) \$1,941
U.S. Government agency securities	12,657	156	(4)	) 12,809
Municipal securities	58,395	2,984	(163)	) 61,216
Corporate debt securities	1,005	-	(11)	) 994
Trust preferred securities	5,905	-	(1,075)	) 4,830
Agency mortgage-backed securities	48,121	1,029	(84)	) 49,066
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	2,539	10	(123)	) 2,426
Asset-backed securities	59	-	(13)	) 46
	\$ 130,785	\$ 4,271	\$ (1,728)	) \$133,328

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the fair value of investment securities pledged to secure public funds, trust balances, repurchase agreements, deposit and other obligations totaled \$114.9 million and \$119.8 million, respectively.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of debt securities at June 30, 2013, by contractual maturity are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because of prepayment or call options embedded in the securities.

(Dollars in thousands)	Amorized cost	Fair value
Due in one year or less	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,249
Due after one year through five years	14,295	14,983
Due after five years through ten years	21,862	22,517
Due after ten years	40,230	39,464
	77,621	78,213
Mortgage-backed securities	70,011	70,312
	\$ 147,632	\$ 148,525

The following table provides additional detail about trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2013:

### Trust Preferred Securities

(Dollars in thousands)

Deal Name	Single Issuer or Pooled	Class	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Lowest Credit Rating Assigned	Number of Banks Currently Performing	Deferrals and Defaults as % of Original Collateral	Expected Deferral/ Defaults as a Percentage of Remaining Performing Collateral
Huntington Cap Trust	Single	Preferred Stock	\$934	\$707	\$(227)	BB	1	None	None
Huntington Cap Trust II	Single	Preferred Stock	883	706	(177)	BB	1	None	None
BankAmerica Cap III	Single	Preferred Stock	959	794	(165)	BB	1	None	None
Wachovia Cap Trust II	Single	Preferred Stock	275	253	(22)	BBB+	1	None	None
Corestates Captl Tr II	Single	Preferred Stock	930	844	(86)	BBB+	1	None	None
Chase Cap VI JPM	Single	Preferred Stock	960	821	(139)	BBB	1	None	None
Fleet Cap Tr V	Single	Preferred Stock	973	796	(177)	BB+	1	None	None
			\$5,914	\$4,921	\$(993)				

The following table provides additional detail about private label mortgage-backed securities as of June 30, 2013:

### Private Label Mortgage Backed Securities

(Dollars in thousands)								
Description	Origination Date	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Collateral Type	Lowest Credit Rating Assigned	Credit Support %	Cumulative OTTI Charges
RALI 2004-QS4 A7	3/1/2004	\$ 255	\$254	\$ (1 )	ALT A	BBB+	12.02	\$ -
MALT 2004-6 7A1	6/1/2004	498	511	13	ALT A	CCC	13.04	-
RALI 2005-QS2 A1	2/1/2005	381	388	7	ALT A	CC	6.54	-
RALI 2006-QS4 A2	4/1/2006	663	641	(22 )	ALT A	D	-	278
GSR 2006-5F 2A1	5/1/2006	118	119	1	Prime	D	-	15
RALI 2006-QS8 A1	7/28/2006	356	350	(6 )	ALT A	D	-	197
		\$ 2,271	\$2,263	\$ (8 )				\$ 490

Impairment:

The investment portfolio contained eighty-three securities with \$56.7 million of temporarily impaired fair value and \$2.2 million in unrealized losses. The unrealized loss position has increased over year-end 2012. The trust preferred sector continues to show the largest unrealized loss at \$1.0 million on seven securities, a slight improvement from the prior-year end.

For securities with an unrealized loss, Management applies a systematic methodology in order to perform an assessment of the potential for other-than-temporary impairment. In the case of debt securities, investments considered for other-than-temporary impairment: (1) had a specified maturity or repricing date; (2) were generally expected to be redeemed at par, and (3) were expected to achieve a recovery in market value within a reasonable period of time. In addition, the Bank considers whether it intends to sell these securities or whether it will be forced to sell these securities before the earlier of amortized cost recovery or maturity. Equity securities are assessed for other-than-temporary impairment based on the length of time of impairment, dollar amount of the impairment and general market and financial conditions relating to specific issues. The impairment identified on debt and equity securities and subject to assessment at June 30, 2013, was deemed to be temporary and required no further adjustments to the financial statements, unless otherwise noted.

The following table reflects temporary impairment in the investment portfolio (excluding restricted stock), aggregated by investment category, length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position and the number of securities in each category as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013								
	Less than 12 months			12 months or more			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
Equity securities	\$109	\$ (5 )	1	\$555	\$ (18 )	4	\$664	\$ (23 )	5
U.S. Government agency securities	2,954	(30 )	5	3,996	(9 )	7	6,950	(39 )	12
Municipal securities	15,031	(554 )	21	1,189	(47 )	1	16,220	(601 )	22
Corporate debt securities	-	-	-	999	(5 )	1	999	(5 )	1
Trust preferred securities	-	-	-	4,921	(993 )	7	4,921	(993 )	7
Agency mortgage-backed securities	25,548	(470 )	29	84	(1 )	1	25,632	(471 )	30
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	1,245	(29 )	3	1,245	(29 )	3
Asset-backed securities	-	-	-	52	(3 )	3	52	(3 )	3
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$43,642	\$ (1,059 )	56	\$13,041	\$ (1,105 )	27	\$56,683	\$ (2,164 )	83

(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012								
	Less than 12 months			12 months or more			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
Equity securities	\$226	\$ (20 )	3	\$1,236	\$ (235 )	13	\$1,462	\$ (255 )	16
U.S. Government agency securities	938	(1 )	1	3,346	(3 )	6	4,284	(4 )	7
Municipal securities	8,789	(163 )	10	-	-	-	8,789	(163 )	10
Corporate debt securities	-	-	-	994	(11 )	1	994	(11 )	1
Trust preferred securities	-	-	-	4,830	(1,075 )	7	4,830	(1,075 )	7
Agency mortgage-backed securities	6,869	(68 )	8	2,664	(16 )	6	9,533	(84 )	14
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	1,875	(123 )	5	1,875	(123 )	5
Asset-backed securities	-	-	-	46	(13 )	3	46	(13 )	3
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$16,822	\$ (252 )	22	\$14,991	\$ (1,476 )	41	\$31,813	\$ (1,728 )	63

As of June 30, 2013, three equity securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired and an impairment charge of \$50 thousand was recorded.

The trust preferred portfolio contains the largest unrealized loss in the portfolio. At June 30, 2013 this sector contained seven securities with a fair value of \$4.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.0 million, representing a slight improvement over year-end 2012. The trust-preferred securities held by the Bank are single entity issues, not pooled trust preferred securities. Therefore, the impairment review of these securities is based only on the issuer and the security cannot be impaired by the performance of other issuers as if it was a pooled trust-preferred bond. All of the Bank's trust preferred securities are single issue, variable rate notes with long maturities (2027 – 2028). None of these bonds have suspended or missed a dividend payment. At June 30, 2013, the Bank believes it will be able to collect all interest and principal due on these bonds and no other-than-temporary-impairment charges were recorded. See the Trust Preferred Securities table for additional information.

The PLMBS sector shows a gross unrealized loss of \$29 thousand, an improvement over the \$123 thousand unrealized loss at December 31, 2012. These bonds were all rated AAA at time of purchase, but have since experienced rating declines. Some have experienced increased delinquencies and defaults, while others have seen the credit support increase as the bonds paid-down. The Bank monitors the performance of the PLMBS investments on a regular basis and reviews delinquencies, default rates, credit support levels and various cash flow stress test scenarios. In determining the credit related loss, Management considers all principal past due 60 days or more as a loss. If additional principal moves beyond 60 days past due, it will also be considered a loss. As a result of the analysis on PLMBS it was determined that no impairment charge was required at quarter end. The Bank has recorded \$490 thousand of cumulative impairment charges on this portfolio. Management continues to monitor these securities and it is possible that additional write-downs may occur if current loss trends continue. The Bank is currently participating in a class-action lawsuit against one PLMBS servicer that centers on defective warranties and representations made as part of the underwriting process. See the PLMBS table above for additional information.

The Bank held \$2.1 million of restricted stock at June 30, 2013. Except for \$30 thousand, this investment represents stock in FHLB Pittsburgh. The Bank is required to hold this stock to be a member of FHLB and it is carried at cost of \$100 per share. FHLB Pittsburgh has repurchased \$1.4 million of its capital stock during the year. FHLB stock is evaluated for impairment primarily based on an assessment of the ultimate recoverability of its cost. As a government sponsored entity, FHLB has the ability to raise funding through the U.S. Treasury that can be used to support its operations. There is not a public market for FHLB stock and the benefits of FHLB membership (e.g., liquidity and low cost funding) add value to the stock beyond purely financial measures. Management intends to remain a member of the FHLB and believes that it will be able to fully recover the cost basis of this investment.

**Note 6. Loans**

The Bank reports its loan portfolio based on the primary collateral of the loan. It further classifies these loans by the primary purpose, either consumer or commercial. The Bank's mortgage loans include long-term loans to individuals and businesses secured by mortgages on the borrower's real property. Construction loans are made to finance the purchase of land and the construction of residential and commercial buildings thereon, and are secured by mortgages on real estate. Commercial loans are made to businesses of various sizes for a variety of purposes including construction, property, plant and equipment, and working capital. Commercial loans also include loans to government municipalities. Commercial lending is concentrated in the Bank's primary market, but also includes purchased loan participations. Consumer loans are comprised of installment, home equity and unsecured personal lines of credit.

A summary of loans outstanding, by primary collateral, at the end of the reporting periods is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Change Amount	%
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
Consumer first liens	\$ 99,350	\$ 93,790	\$5,560	5.9
Consumer junior liens and lines of credit	32,724	35,494	(2,770 )	(7.8 )
Total consumer	132,074	129,284	2,790	2.2
Commercial first lien	57,063	60,809	(3,746 )	(6.2 )
Commercial junior liens and lines of credit	6,443	6,794	(351 )	(5.2 )
Total	63,506	67,603	(4,097 )	(6.1 )
Total residential real estate 1-4 family	195,580	196,887	(1,307 )	(0.7 )
Residential real estate - construction				
Consumer purpose	4,451	3,255	1,196	36.7
Commercial purpose	7,585	12,177	(4,592 )	(37.7)
Total residential real estate construction	12,036	15,432	(3,396 )	(22.0)
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	359,563	363,874	(4,311 )	(1.2 )
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	152,423	166,734	(14,311)	(8.6 )
Total commercial, industrial and agricultural	511,986	530,608	(18,622)	(3.5 )
Consumer	9,565	10,652	(1,087 )	(10.2)
	729,167	753,579	(24,412)	(3.2 )
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(11,438 )	(10,379 )	(1,059 )	10.2
Net Loans	\$ 717,729	\$ 743,200	\$(25,471)	(3.4 )
Included in the loan balances are the following:				
Net unamortized deferred loan costs	\$ 360	\$ 456		





**Note 7. Loan Quality**

The following table presents, by loan segment, the activity in the Allowance for Loan Losses (ALL) for the periods ended:

(Dollars in thousands)	Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family			Commercial Industrial & Agricultural Real Estate	Commercial Industrial & Agricultural Consumer		Total
	First Liens	Junior Liens & Lines of Credit	Construction				
Allowance at March 31, 2013	\$ 973	\$ 296	\$ 837	\$ 6,682	\$ 1,972	\$ 183	\$10,943
Charge-offs	(39 )	-	-	-	(317 )	(40 )	(396 )
Recoveries	1	-	-	3	68	16	88
Provision	430	(18 )	(136 )	45	479	3	803
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$11,438
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$ 913	\$ 306	\$ 899	\$ 6,450	\$ 1,620	\$ 191	\$10,379
Charge-offs	(39 )	(45 )	-	(167 )	(327 )	(84 )	(662 )
Recoveries	9	-	-	3	75	29	116
Provision	482	17	(198 )	444	834	26	1,605
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$11,438
Allowance at March 31, 2012	\$ 932	\$ 311	\$ 878	\$ 5,792	\$ 1,388	\$ 206	\$9,507
Charge-offs	(144 )	-	-	(262 )	(312 )	(36 )	(754 )
Recoveries	-	25	-	1	5	18	49
Provision	(11 )	(15 )	47	308	483	13	825
Allowance at June 30, 2012	\$ 777	\$ 321	\$ 925	\$ 5,839	\$ 1,564	\$ 201	\$9,627
Allowance at December 31, 2011	\$ 1,049	\$ 308	\$ 1,222	\$ 5,257	\$ 1,651	\$ 236	\$9,723
Charge-offs	(180 )	(65 )	-	(2,254 )	(345 )	(122 )	(2,966 )
Recoveries	-	25	-	9	7	54	95
Provision	(92 )	53	(297 )	2,827	251	33	2,775
Allowance at June 30, 2012	\$ 777	\$ 321	\$ 925	\$ 5,839	\$ 1,564	\$ 201	\$9,627
Allowance at December 31, 2011	\$ 1,049	\$ 308	\$ 1,222	\$ 5,257	\$ 1,651	\$ 236	\$9,723
Charge-offs	(251 )	(71 )	-	(3,298 )	(861 )	(236 )	(4,717 )
Recoveries	1	25	-	13	21	88	148
Provision	114	44	(323 )	4,478	809	103	5,225

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$ 913	\$ 306	\$ 899	\$ 6,450	\$ 1,620	\$ 191	\$10,379
-----------------------------------	--------	--------	--------	----------	----------	--------	----------

The following table presents, by loan segment, loans that were evaluated for the ALL under the specific reserve (individually) and those that were evaluated under the general reserve (collectively), and the amount of the allowance established in each category as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family			Commercial Industrial & Agricultural Real Estate	Commercial Industrial & Agricultural Consumer		Total
	First Liens	Junior Liens & Lines of Credit	Construction				
June 30, 2013							
Loans evaluated for allowance:							
Individually	\$ 2,968	\$ 324	\$ 546	\$ 30,964	\$ 2,423	\$ -	\$ 37,225
Collectively	153,445	38,843	11,490	328,599	150,000	9,565	691,942
Total	\$ 156,413	\$ 39,167	\$ 12,036	\$ 359,563	\$ 152,423	\$ 9,565	\$ 729,167
Allowance established for loans evaluated:							
Individually	\$ 461	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,083	\$ -	\$ 2,572
Collectively	904	278	701	5,702	1,119	162	8,866
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$ 11,438
December 31, 2012							
Loans evaluated for allowance:							
Individually	\$ 3,583	\$ 692	\$ 557	\$ 30,949	\$ 3,583	\$ -	\$ 39,364
Collectively	151,016	41,596	14,875	332,925	163,151	10,652	714,215
Total	\$ 154,599	\$ 42,288	\$ 15,432	\$ 363,874	\$ 166,734	\$ 10,652	\$ 753,579
Allowance established for loans evaluated:							
Individually	\$ 20	\$ 3	\$ -	\$ 357	\$ 467	\$ -	\$ 847
Collectively	893	303	899	6,093	1,153	191	9,532
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$ 913	\$ 306	\$ 899	\$ 6,450	\$ 1,620	\$ 191	\$ 10,379

The following table shows additional information about those loans considered to be impaired at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	Impaired Loans With No Allowance		With Allowance		Related Allowance
	Unpaid Recorded Principal Investment	Unpaid Recorded Principal Investment	Unpaid Recorded Principal Investment	Unpaid Recorded Principal Investment	
June 30, 2013					
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family					
First liens	\$1,601	\$1,665	\$1,816	\$1,816	\$ 461
Junior liens and lines of credit	642	665	-	-	-
Total	2,243	2,330	1,816	1,816	461
Residential real estate - construction	546	561	-	-	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	25,998	29,463	4,966	5,855	1,028
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	384	393	2,039	2,066	1,083
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$29,171	\$32,747	\$8,821	\$9,737	\$ 2,572
December 31, 2012					
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family					
First liens	\$3,504	\$3,715	\$80	\$ 80	\$ 20
Junior liens and lines of credit	691	707	-	-	-
Total	4,195	4,422	80	80	20
Residential real estate - construction	557	567	-	-	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	28,346	31,937	2,603	3,194	357
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	2,495	2,584	1,088	1,145	470
Consumer	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$35,593	\$39,510	\$3,771	\$4,419	\$ 847

The following table shows the average of impaired loans and related interest income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	<b>Three Months Ended June 30, 2013</b>		Three Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	<b>Average Recorded Investment</b>	<b>Interest Income Recognized</b>	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	\$ 3,439	\$ 4	\$ 4,283	\$ 8
Junior liens and lines of credit	679	4	722	1
Total	4,118	8	5,005	9
Residential real estate - construction	550	-	692	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	29,705	96	24,218	36
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	2,454	-	4,219	36
Consumer	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 36,827	\$ 104	\$ 34,134	\$ 81

(Dollars in thousands)	<b>Six Months Ended June 30, 2013</b>		Six Months Ended June 30, 2012	
	<b>Average Recorded Investment</b>	<b>Interest Income Recognized</b>	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	\$ 3,533	\$ 8	\$ 4,442	\$ 52
Junior liens and lines of credit	711	5	770	1
Total	4,244	13	5,212	53
Residential real estate - construction	553	-	350	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	29,896	134	24,297	93
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	3,467	-	4,267	72
Consumer	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 38,160	\$ 147	\$ 34,126	\$ 218

The following table presents a summary of nonperforming assets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Balance	% of Loan Segment	Balance	% of Loan Segment
Nonaccrual loans				
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	\$3,211	2.1	\$ 3,584	2.3
Junior liens and lines of credit	594	1.5	758	1.8
Total	3,805	1.9	4,342	2.2
Residential real estate - construction				
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	546	4.5	557	3.6
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	24,101	6.7	28,659	7.9
Total nonaccrual loans	2,423	1.6	2,836	1.7
	30,875		36,394	
Loans past due 90 days or more and not included above				
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	48		120	
Junior liens and lines of credit	-		112	
Total	48		232	
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate				
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	58		-	
Consumer	97		315	
Total loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	-		16	
	203		563	
Total nonperforming loans	31,078		36,957	
Other real estate owned	5,264		5,127	
Total nonperforming assets	\$36,342		\$ 42,084	

The following table presents the aging of payments of the loan portfolio:

(Dollars in thousands)	Current	Loans Past Due and Still Accruing			90 Days+Total	Non-AccruaLoans	Total
		30-59 Days	60-89 Days				
June 30, 2013							
<b>Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family</b>							
<b>First liens</b>	<b>\$151,909</b>	<b>\$ 485</b>	<b>\$ 760</b>	<b>\$ 48</b>	<b>\$1,293</b>	<b>\$ 3,211</b>	<b>\$156,413</b>
<b>Junior liens and lines of credit</b>	<b>38,317</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>39,167</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>190,226</b>	<b>741</b>	<b>760</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>1,549</b>	<b>3,805</b>	<b>195,580</b>
<b>Residential real estate - construction</b>	<b>11,285</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>12,036</b>
<b>Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate</b>	<b>333,799</b>	<b>1,605</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>1,663</b>	<b>24,101</b>	<b>359,563</b>
<b>Commercial, industrial and agricultural Consumer</b>	<b>149,701</b>	<b>156</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>2,423</b>	<b>152,423</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$694,418</b>	<b>\$2,827</b>	<b>\$ 844</b>	<b>\$ 203</b>	<b>\$3,874</b>	<b>\$ 30,875</b>	<b>\$729,167</b>
December 31, 2012							
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family							
First liens	\$147,236	\$2,862	\$ 797	\$ 120	\$3,779	\$ 3,584	\$154,599
Junior liens and lines of credit	40,741	449	228	112	789	758	42,288
Total	187,977	3,311	1,025	232	4,568	4,342	196,887
Residential real estate - construction	14,875	-	-	-	-	557	15,432
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	334,822	64	329	-	393	28,659	363,874
Commercial, industrial and agricultural Consumer	163,387	161	35	315	511	2,836	166,734
Total	\$711,400	\$3,794	\$ 1,428	\$ 563	\$5,785	\$ 36,394	\$753,579



The following table reports the internal credit rating for the loan portfolio. Consumer purpose loans (mortgage, home equity and installment) are assigned a rating of either pass or substandard. Substandard consumer loans are comprised of loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing and nonaccrual loans. Commercial loans may be assigned any rating in accordance with the Bank's internal risk rating system.

(Dollars in thousands)	Pass	OAEM	Substandard	Doubtful	Total
June 30, 2013					
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family					
First liens	\$144,173	\$3,799	\$ 8,441	\$ -	\$156,413
Junior liens and lines of credit	37,624	108	1,435	-	39,167
Total	181,797	3,907	9,876	-	195,580
Residential real estate - construction	10,386	600	1,050	-	12,036
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	301,411	15,237	42,915	-	359,563
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	137,313	2,474	12,636	-	152,423
Consumer	9,565	-	-	-	9,565
Total	\$640,472	\$22,218	\$ 66,477	\$ -	\$729,167
December 31, 2012					
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family					
First liens	\$139,549	\$6,277	\$ 8,773	\$ -	\$154,599
Junior liens and lines of credit	40,584	175	1,529	-	42,288
Total	180,133	6,452	10,302	-	196,887
Residential real estate - construction	11,284	2,922	1,226	-	15,432
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	299,075	20,221	41,828	2,750	363,874
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	148,195	3,120	15,419	-	166,734
Consumer	10,636	-	16	-	10,652
Total	\$649,323	\$32,715	\$ 68,791	\$ 2,750	\$753,579

The following table presents information on the Bank's Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) loans:

(Dollars in thousands)	Troubled Debt Restructurings				Troubled Debt Restructurings That Have Defaulted on Modified Terms YTD	
	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment	Performing*	Nonperforming	Number of Contracts	Recorded Investment
June 30, 2013						
Real estate construction	2	\$ 1,454	\$ 1,454	\$ -	-	\$ -
Residential real estate	5	433	433	-	-	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	13	18,238	18,238	-	-	-
Total	20	\$ 20,125	\$ 20,125	\$ -	-	\$ -
December 31, 2012						
Real estate construction	2	\$ 1,482	\$ 1,482	\$ -	-	\$ -
Residential real estate	4	467	467	-	-	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	2	1,812	1,812	-	-	-
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	11	7,669	7,669	-	-	-
Total	19	\$ 11,430	\$ 11,430	\$ -	-	\$ -

\* The performing status is determined by the loan's compliance with the modified terms

The following table reports new TDR loans during 2013 and the recorded investment as of June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	New During Period			
	Number of Contracts	Pre-TDR Modification	After-TDR Modification	Recorded Investment
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013				
Residential real estate	1	\$ 75	\$ 75	\$ 75
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	1	8,014	8,014	8,014
Total	2	\$ 8,089	\$ 8,089	\$ 8,089
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013				
Residential real estate	1	\$ 75	\$ 75	\$ 75

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	2	10,458	10,745	10,659	multiple
Total	3	\$ 10,533	\$ 10,820	\$ 10,734	

The following table reports new TDR loans made during 2012 and the recorded investment as of June 30, 2012. There were no new TDR loans recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

(Dollars in thousands)	New During Period			Recorded Investment	Concession
	Number of Pre-TDR Modifications	After-TDR Modification	Recorded		
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012					
Real estate construction	3	\$ 2,073	\$ 1,897	\$ 1,523	multiple
Residential real estate	1	150	150	148	multiple
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	2	2,223	2,223	1,681	maturity
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	2	700	1,091	1,074	multiple
Total	8	\$ 5,146	\$ 5,361	\$ 4,426	

**Note 8. Pensions**

The components of pension expense for the periods presented are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Components of net periodic cost:				
Service cost	\$ 114	\$ 115	\$ 228	\$ 230
Interest cost	179	179	358	358
Expected return on plan assets	(312 )	(197 )	(624 )	(394 )
Recognized net actuarial loss	159	171	318	342
Net period cost	\$ 140	\$ 268	\$ 280	\$ 536

The Bank expects its pension expense to decrease to approximately \$560 thousand in 2013 compared to \$1.1 million in 2012. The Bank contributed \$6.8 million to its pension plan in 2012 and does not expect to contribute any additional amounts in 2013.

Contributions are intended to provide not only for the benefits attributed to service to date but also for those expected to be earned in the future. However, due to the low rate environment, the funding status of the pension plan, and the Bank's excess cash position earning a low return, the Bank made an additional contribution, above the required minimum contribution, of \$6.0 million to the pension plan in December 2012. This action brought the plan to a fully funded status and will significantly reduce future pension expense. In 2012, the Bank changed the source of the discount rate used to calculate the benefit obligation, to the Citigroup Above Median Pension Discount Curve from the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve and Liability Index. The new curve represents bonds that are more like the pension plan assets in terms of duration and quality, and generally results in a higher discount rate.

**Note 9. Mortgage Servicing Rights**

Activity pertaining to mortgage servicing rights and the related valuation allowance follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Six Months Ended June 30	
	2013	2012
Cost of mortgage servicing rights:		

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Beginning balance	\$ 458	\$ 730
Originations	-	-
Amortization	(72 )	(100 )
Permanent writedowns	(55 )	-
Ending balance	\$ 331	\$ 630
Valuation allowance:		
Beginning balance	\$ (223 )	\$ (362 )
Valuation charges	-	(17 )
Valuation reversals	94	61
Ending balance	\$ (129 )	\$ (318 )
Mortgage servicing rights cost	\$ 331	\$ 630
Valuation allowance	(129 )	(318 )
Carrying value	\$ 202	\$ 312
Fair value	\$ 202	\$ 312

#### Note 10. Fair Value Measurements and Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Corporation could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective year-ends and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each year-end.

FASB ASC Topic 820, "Financial Instruments", requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and liabilities, including those financial assets and liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis. The Corporation does not report any nonfinancial assets at fair value. FASB ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under FASB ASC Topic 820 are as follows:

*Level 1:* Valuation is based on unadjusted, quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

*Level 2:* Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market. There may be substantial differences in the assumptions used for securities within the same level. For example, prices for U.S. Agency securities have fewer assumptions and are closer to level 1 valuations than the private label mortgage backed securities that require more assumptions and are closer to level 3 valuations.

*Level 3:* Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Corporation's assumptions regarding what market participants would assume when pricing a financial instrument.

An asset's or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents:** For these short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Investment securities:** The fair value of investment securities is determined in accordance with the methods described under FASB ASC Topic 820 as discussed below.

**Restricted stock:** The carrying value of restricted stock approximates its fair value based on redemption provisions for the restricted stock.

**Loans held for sale:** The fair value of loans held for sale is determined by the price set between the Bank and the purchaser prior to origination. These loans are usually sold at par.

**Net loans:** The fair value of fixed-rate loans is estimated for each major type of loan (e.g. real estate, commercial, industrial and agricultural and consumer) by discounting the future cash flows associated with such loans using rates currently offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of comparable credit quality. The model considers scheduled principal maturities, repricing characteristics, prepayment assumptions and interest cash flows. The discount rates used are estimated based upon consideration of a number of factors including the treasury yield curve, expense and service charge factors. For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit quality, carrying values approximate the fair value.

**Accrued Interest Receivable:** The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Mortgage servicing rights:** The fair value of mortgage servicing rights is based on observable market prices when available or the present value of expected future cash flows when not available. Assumptions such as loan default rates, costs to service, and prepayment speeds significantly affect the estimate of future cash flows. Mortgage servicing rights are carried at the lower of cost or fair value.

Deposits, Securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Long-term debt: The fair value of demand deposits, savings accounts, and money market deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-rate certificates of deposit and long-term debt is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using rates approximating those currently offered for certificates of deposit and borrowings with similar remaining maturities. Other borrowings consist of a line of credit with the FHLB at a variable interest rate and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, for which the carrying value approximates a reasonable estimate of the fair value.

Accrued interest payable: The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

**Derivatives:** The fair value of the interest rate swaps is based on other similar financial instruments and is classified as Level 2.

The following information regarding the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Corporation since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Corporation's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Corporation's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful.



The fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments are as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			
<b>Financial assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$94,115	\$94,115	\$94,115	\$-	\$-
Investment securities available for sale	150,004	150,004	1,479	148,525	-
Restricted stock	2,135	2,135	-	2,135	-
Loans held for sale	238	238	-	238	-
Net loans	717,729	730,059	-	-	730,059
Accrued interest receivable	3,022	3,022	-	3,022	-
Mortgage servicing rights	202	202	-	-	202
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>					
Deposits	\$881,797	\$882,981	\$-	\$882,981	\$-
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	38,934	38,934	-	38,934	-
Long-term debt	12,406	13,260	-	13,260	-
Accrued interest payable	280	280	-	280	-
Interest rate swaps	727	727	-	727	-
<b>December 31, 2012</b>					
(Dollars in thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
<b>Financial assets:</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$77,834	\$77,834	\$77,834	\$-	\$-
Investment securities available for sale	133,328	133,328	1,941	131,387	-
Restricted stock	3,571	3,571	-	3,571	-
Loans held for sale	67	67	-	67	-
Net loans	743,200	759,490	-	-	759,490
Accrued interest receivable	3,178	3,178	-	3,178	-
Mortgage servicing rights	235	235	-	-	235
<b>Financial liabilities:</b>					
	\$				
Deposits	874,440	\$876,240	\$-	\$876,240	\$-
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	42,209	42,209	-	42,209	-
Long-term debt	12,410	13,718	-	13,718	-
Accrued interest payable	348	348	-	348	-
Interest rate swaps	1,103	1,103	-	1,103	-

**Recurring Fair Value Measurements**

For financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Fair Value at June 30, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Asset Description				
Equity securities	\$1,479	\$-	\$ -	\$1,479
Obligations of U.S. Government agencies	-	12,224	-	12,224
Municipal securities	-	60,017	-	60,017
Corporate debt securities	-	999	-	999
Trust Preferred Securities	-	4,921	-	4,921
Agency mortgage-backed securities	-	68,049	-	68,049
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	2,263	-	2,263
Asset-backed securities	-	52	-	52
Total assets	\$1,479	\$148,525	\$ -	\$150,004
Liability Description				
Interest rate swaps	\$-	\$727	\$ -	\$727
Total liabilities	\$-	\$727	\$ -	\$727

(Dollars in Thousands)	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Asset Description				
Equity securities	\$1,941	\$-	\$ -	\$1,941
Obligations of U.S. Government agencies	-	12,809	-	12,809
Municipal securities	-	61,216	-	61,216
Corporate debt securities	-	994	-	994
Trust Preferred Securities	-	4,830	-	4,830
Agency mortgage-backed securities	-	49,066	-	49,066
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	2,426	-	2,426
Asset-backed securities	-	46	-	46
Total assets	\$1,941	\$131,387	\$ -	\$133,328
Liability Description				
Interest rate swaps	\$-	\$1,103	\$ -	\$1,103
Total liabilities	\$-	\$1,103	\$ -	\$1,103

The Corporation used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair values for financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Investment securities: Level 1 securities represent equity securities that are valued using quoted market prices from nationally recognized markets. Level 2 securities represent debt securities that are valued using a mathematical model based upon the specific characteristics of a security in relationship to quoted prices for similar securities.

Interest rate swaps: The interest rate swaps are valued using a discounted cash flow model that uses verifiable market environment inputs to calculate the fair value. This method is not dependent on the input of any significant judgments or assumptions by Management.

**Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements**

For financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)

Asset Description	Fair Value at June 30, 2013			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Impaired loans (1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ <b>10,038</b>	\$ <b>10,038</b>
Other real estate owned (1)	-	-	490	490
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	202	202
Total assets	\$ -	\$ -	\$ <b>10,730</b>	\$ <b>10,730</b>

(Dollars in Thousands)

Asset Description	Fair Value at December 31, 2012			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Impaired loans (1)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,235	\$ 9,235
Other real estate owned (1)	-	-	5,127	5,127
Mortgage servicing rights	-	-	235	235
Total assets	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,597	\$ 14,597

(1) *Includes assets directly charged-down to fair value during the year-to-date period.*

The Corporation used the following methods and significant assumptions to estimate the fair values for financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

**Impaired loans:** Impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria.

**Other real estate:** The fair value of other real estate, upon initial recognition, is estimated using Level 2 inputs within the fair value hierarchy based on observable market data and Level 3 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. In connection with the measurement and initial recognition of the foregoing assets, the Corporation recognizes charge-offs through the allowance for loan losses.

Mortgage servicing rights: The fair value of mortgage servicing rights, upon initial recognition, is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing income. The model incorporates Level 3 assumptions such as cost to service, discount rate, prepayment speeds, default rates and losses.

The Corporation did not record any liabilities at fair value for which measurement of the fair value was made on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2013. For financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, there were no transfers of financial assets or liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 during the period ending June 30, 2013.

The following table presents additional quantitative information about Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2013			
	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
Impaired loans (1)	\$10,038	Appraisal	Appraisal Adjustments (2) Cost to sell	0% - 100% (19.5%) 5% - 15% (6.5%)
Other real estate owned (1)	490	Appraisal	Appraisal Adjustments (2) Cost to sell	8% (8%)
Mortgage servicing rights	202	Discounted Cash Flow (3)		
		at December 31, 2012		
Impaired loans (1)	\$9,235	Appraisal	Appraisal Adjustments (2) Cost to sell	0% - 100% (11%) 5% - 25% (7%)
Other real estate owned (1)	5,127	Appraisal	Appraisal Adjustments (2) Cost to sell	8% (8%)
Mortgage servicing rights	235	Discounted Cash Flow (3)		

(1) Includes assets directly charged-down to fair value during the year-to-date period.

(2) Qualitative adjustments are discounts specific to each asset and are made as needed.

(3) Valuation and inputs are determined by a third-party pricing service without adjustment.

#### Note 11. Financial Derivatives

The Board of Directors has given Management authorization to enter into additional derivative activity including interest rate swaps, caps and floors, forward-rate agreements, options and futures contracts in order to hedge interest rate risk. The Bank is exposed to credit risk equal to the positive fair value of a derivative instrument, if any, as a positive fair value indicates that the counterparty to the agreement is financially liable to the Bank. To limit this risk, counterparties must have an investment grade long-term debt rating and individual counterparty credit exposure is limited by Board approved parameters. Management anticipates continuing to use derivatives, as permitted by its Board-approved policy, to manage interest rate risk.

Information regarding the interest rate swap as of June 30, 2013 follows:

(Dollars in thousands)				Amount Expected to be Expensed into Earnings within the next 12 Months
Notional Amount	Maturity Date	Interest Rate Fixed Variable		
\$ <b>10,000</b>	5/30/2015	3.87%	0.06 %	\$ <b>379</b>

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments in the Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

(Dollars in thousands)		Balance Sheet	
Date	Type	Location	Fair Value
June 30, 2013	Interest rate contracts	Other liabilities	\$ <b>727</b>
December 31, 2012	Interest rate contracts	Other liabilities	\$ 1,103

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Statement of Income for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 follows:

Derivatives in ASC Topic 815 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

(Dollars in thousands)

Date / Type	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in OCI net of tax on Derivative (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)
Interest rate contracts					
Three months ended:					
June 30, 2013	\$ 128	Interest Expense	\$ (152)	) Other income (expense)	\$ -
June 30, 2012	\$ 56	Interest Expense	\$ (178)	) Other income (expense)	\$ -
Six months ended:					
June 30, 2013	\$ 248	Interest Expense	\$ (332)	) Other income (expense)	\$ -
June 30, 2012	\$ 195	Interest Expense	\$ (358)	) Other income (expense)	\$ -

*Interest Rate Swap Agreements (“Swap Agreements”)*

The Bank has entered into an interest rate swap agreement as part of its asset/liability management program. The swap is a free-standing derivative and is recorded at fair value in the Corporation’s consolidated statements of condition. The Bank is party to master netting arrangements with its financial institution counterparty; however, the Bank does not offset assets and liabilities under these arrangements for financial statement presentation purposes. The master netting arrangements provide for a single net settlement of all swap agreements, as well as collateral, in the event of default on, or termination of, any one contract. Collateral, in the form of marketable securities, is posted by the counterparty with net liability positions in accordance with contract thresholds.

*Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase (“Repurchase Agreements”)*



The Bank enters into agreements under which it sells securities subject to an obligation to repurchase the same or similar securities. Under these arrangements, the Bank may transfer legal control over the assets but still retain effective control through an agreement that both entitles and obligates the Bank to repurchase the agreements. As a result, these repurchase agreements are accounted for as collateralized financing arrangements (i.e., secured borrowings) and not as a sale and subsequent repurchase of securities. The obligation to repurchase the securities is reflected as a liability in the Corporation's consolidated statements of condition, while the securities underlying the repurchase agreements remain in the respective investment securities asset accounts. In other words, there is no offsetting or netting of the investment securities assets with the repurchase agreement liabilities. In addition, as the Bank does not enter into reverse repurchase agreements, there is no such offsetting to be done with repurchase agreements.

The following table presents the liabilities subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. As of these dates, all of the Bank's swap agreements with institutional counterparties were in a liability position. Therefore, there were no assets to be recognized in the consolidated statements of condition. The swap agreements we have with our commercial banking customers are not subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement, and therefore, are excluded from this table.

	Gross Amounts of Recognized Liabilities	Gross Amounts Offset in the Statements of Condition	Net Amounts of Liabilities Presented in the Statements of Condition	Gross Amounts Not Offset in the Statements of Condition		
				Financial Instruments	Cash Collateral Pledged	Net Amount
(Dollars in thousands) Interest Rate Swap Agreements						
June 30, 2013	\$ 727	\$ -	\$ 727	\$ 727	\$ -	\$ -
December 31, 2012	\$ 1,103	\$ -	\$ 1,103	\$ 1,103	\$ -	\$ -

#### Note 12. Reclassification

Certain prior period amounts may have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. Such reclassifications did not affect reported net income.

## **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition**

### **For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012**

#### Forward Looking Statements

Certain statements appearing herein which are not historical in nature are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements refer to a future period or periods, reflecting management's current views as to likely future developments, and use words such as "may," "will," "expect," "believe," "estimate," "anticipate," or similar terms. Because forward-looking statements involve certain risks, uncertainties and other factors over which the Corporation has no direct control, actual results could differ materially from those contemplated in such statements. These factors include (but are not limited to) the following: general economic conditions, changes in interest rates, changes in the Corporation's cost of funds, changes in government monetary policy, changes in government regulation and taxation of financial institutions, changes in the rate of inflation, changes in technology, the intensification of competition within the Corporation's market area, and other similar factors.

#### Critical Accounting Policies

Management has identified critical accounting policies for the Corporation to include Allowance for Loan Losses, Mortgage Servicing Rights, Financial Derivatives, Temporary Investment Impairment and Stock-based Compensation. There were no changes to the critical accounting policies disclosed in the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K in regards to application or related judgments and estimates used. Please refer to Item 7 of the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed disclosure of the critical accounting policies.

#### Results of Operations

##### *Year-to-Date Summary*

At June 30, 2013, total assets were \$1.033 billion, an increase of \$5.3 million from December 31, 2012. Net loans decreased to \$717.7 million and total deposits increased to \$881.8 million. The Corporation reported net income for the first six months of 2013 of \$2.9 million. This is an 11.3% decrease versus net income of \$3.3 million for the same period in 2012. Total revenue (interest income and noninterest income) decreased \$2.2 million year-over-year. Interest income decreased \$2.0 million, while interest expense decreased by \$1.2 million, resulting in a \$735 thousand

decrease in net interest income. The provision for loan losses was \$1.6 million for the period, \$1.2 million less than in 2012. Noninterest income decreased 5.4% mainly from decreases in loan and deposit service charges compared to prior year. Noninterest expense increased 4.1% due to increases in salary and benefits expense and net occupancy expense. Diluted earnings per share decreased to \$.70 in 2013 from \$.81 in 2012.

Key performance ratios as of, or for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are listed below:

	June 30,			
	2013		2012	
Performance measurements				
Return on average assets*	0.56	%	0.64	%
Return on average equity*	6.34	%	7.49	%
Return on average tangible assets (1)*	0.59	%	0.67	%
Return on average tangible equity (1)*	7.38	%	8.82	%
Efficiency ratio (2)	72.29	%	66.49	%
Net interest margin*	3.35	%	3.54	%
Current dividend yield*	4.25	%	5.18	%
Dividend payout ratio	48.14	%	54.35	%
Shareholders' Value (per common share)				
Diluted earnings per share	<b>\$0.70</b>		\$0.81	
Basic earnings per share	0.71		0.81	
Regular cash dividends paid	0.34		0.44	
Book value	22.44		22.00	
Tangible book value (3)	20.04		19.52	
Market value	16.00		13.12	
Market value/book value ratio	71.30	%	59.64	%
Price/earnings multiple*	11.43		8.10	
Safety and Soundness				
Leverage ratio (Tier 1)	8.41	%	8.10	%
Risk-based capital ratio (Tier 1)	13.06	%	12.19	%
Common equity ratio	8.98	%	8.51	%
Tangible common equity ratio (4)	8.10	%	7.60	%
Nonperforming loans/gross loans	4.26	%	3.83	%
Nonperforming assets/total assets	3.52	%	3.10	%
Allowance for loan losses as a % of loans	1.57	%	1.25	%
Net charge-offs/average loans*	0.15	%	0.75	%
Trust assets under management (fair value)	\$557,776		\$503,537	

\* Annualized

(1) Excludes goodwill, intangibles and intangible amortization expense, net of tax

(2) Noninterest expense / tax equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income less net securities gains

(3) Total shareholders' equity less goodwill and intangibles / shares outstanding

*(4)Total shareholders' equity less goodwill and intangibles / total assets less goodwill and intangibles*

**GAAP versus Non-GAAP Presentations** – The Corporation supplements its traditional GAAP measurements with Non-GAAP measurements. The Non-GAAP measurements include Return on Average Tangible Assets, Return on Average Tangible Equity, Tangible Book Value and Tangible Common Equity ratio. As a result of merger transactions, intangible assets (primarily goodwill, core deposit intangibles and customer list) were created. The Non-GAAP disclosures are intended to eliminate the effects of the intangible assets and allow for better comparisons to periods when such assets did not exist. However, not all companies use the same calculation methods for the same non-GAAP measurements and therefore may not be comparable. The following table shows the adjustments made between the GAAP and NON-GAAP measurements:

<b>GAAP Measurement</b>	<b>Calculation</b>
-------------------------	--------------------

Return on Average Assets	Net Income / Average Assets
Return on Average Equity	Net Income / Average Equity
Book Value	Total Shareholders' Equity / Shares Outstanding
Common Equity Ratio	Total Shareholders' Equity / Total Assets

<b>Non- GAAP Measurement</b>	<b>Calculation</b>
------------------------------	--------------------

Return on Average Tangible Assets	Net Income plus Intangible Amortization (net of tax) / Average Assets less Average Intangible Assets
Return on Average Tangible Equity	Net Income plus Intangible Amortization (net of tax) / Average Equity less Average Intangible Assets
Tangible Book Value	Total Shareholders' Equity less Intangible Assets / Shares outstanding
Tangible Common Equity Ratio	Total Shareholders' Equity less Intangible Assets / Total Assets less Intangible Assets

*Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2013 to the three months ended June 30, 2012:*

#### Net Interest Income

The most important source of the Corporation's earnings is net interest income, which is defined as the difference between income on interest-earning assets and the expense of interest-bearing liabilities supporting those assets. Principal categories of interest-earning assets are loans and securities, while deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Repos), short-term borrowings and long-term debt are the principal categories of interest-bearing liabilities. Demand deposits enhance net interest income because they are noninterest-bearing deposits. For the purpose of this discussion, balance sheet items refer to the average balance for the year and net interest income is adjusted to a fully taxable-equivalent basis. This tax-equivalent adjustment facilitates performance comparisons between taxable and tax-free assets by increasing the tax-free income by an amount equivalent to the Federal income taxes that would have been paid if this income were taxable at the Corporation's 34% Federal statutory rate.

Tax equivalent net interest income for the second quarter of 2013 decreased \$588 thousand quarter over quarter. Average interest-earning assets increased \$3.3 million from 2012, but the yield on these assets decreased by 46 basis points. The average balance of investment securities increased \$14.7 million while average gross loans decreased \$33.2 million (4.3%) quarter over quarter. Average mortgage loans increased \$20.0 million, but the increase was more than offset by a decrease in the average balance of commercial loans and consumer loans. Average commercial loans decreased \$41.5 million, as commercial loans continue to run-off. Average consumer loans, including home equity loans, decreased \$11.8 million, as consumers continue to borrow less.

Interest expense was \$1.3 million for the second quarter, a decrease of \$515 thousand from the 2012 total of \$1.8 million. Average interest-bearing liabilities decreased \$3.5 million to \$837.7 million for 2013 from an average balance of \$841.2 million in 2012. The average cost of these liabilities decreased from .86% in 2012 to .62% in 2013. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$48.9 million, due to increases in interest checking and savings accounts (\$55.3 million), and money management deposits (\$34.4 million). The cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased from .70% to .59%. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Repos) decreased \$18.4 million on average over the prior year quarter while the average rate remained the same in both periods at .15%. The average balance of long-term debt decreased by \$34.0 million, primarily due to \$33.1 million of prepayments on seven Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) advances in 2012.

The changes in the balance sheet and interest rates resulted in a decrease in tax equivalent net interest income to \$8.0 million in 2013 from \$8.6 million in 2012. The Bank's net interest margin decreased from 3.51% in 2012 to 3.26% in 2013. The decrease in the net interest margin is the result of a decrease in the rate on interest-earning assets of 46 basis points, while the yield on interest-bearing liabilities only decreased 24 basis points. Tax equalized net interest income decreased \$588 thousand during the quarter, due to a \$732 thousand decrease from lower rates, which was partially offset by a \$144 thousand increase from volume.



The following table presents average balances, tax-equivalent (T/E) interest income, and yields earned or rates paid on the assets or liabilities. All nontaxable interest income has been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis using a tax rate of 34%.

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended June 30,							
	2013				2012			
	Average balance	Income or expense	Average yield/rate		Average balance	Income or expense	Average yield/rate	
<b>Interest-earning assets:</b>								
Interest-bearing obligations of other banks and federal funds sold	<b>\$101,799</b>	<b>\$ 73</b>	0.29 %		\$80,054	\$ 55	0.28 %	
<b>Investment securities:</b>								
Taxable	103,062	387	1.51 %		92,097	469	2.04 %	
Nontaxable	43,027	561	5.23 %		39,250	539	5.51 %	
<b>Loans:</b>								
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	588,246	6,408	4.37 %		629,700	7,247	4.62 %	
Residential mortgage	77,231	818	4.25 %		57,207	819	5.74 %	
Home equity loans and lines	59,513	869	5.86 %		68,457	1,042	6.11 %	
Consumer	9,592	161	6.73 %		12,437	209	6.74 %	
Loans	734,582	8,256	4.51 %		767,801	9,317	4.87 %	
Total interest-earning assets	982,470	9,277	3.79 %		979,202	10,380	4.25 %	
Other assets	73,223				74,134			
Total assets	<b>\$1,055,693</b>				<b>\$1,053,336</b>			
<b>Interest-bearing liabilities:</b>								
<b>Deposits:</b>								
Interest-bearing checking	<b>\$171,308</b>	36	0.08 %		\$121,435	22	0.07 %	
Money Management	404,394	501	0.50 %		370,020	621	0.67 %	
Savings	60,783	14	0.09 %		55,321	16	0.12 %	
Time	155,525	611	1.58 %		196,364	645	1.32 %	
Total interest-bearing deposits	792,010	1,162	0.59 %		743,140	1,304	0.70 %	
<b>Securities sold under agreements to repurchase</b>								
Long- term debt	12,407	122	3.94 %		46,434	488	4.22 %	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	837,677	1,296	0.62 %		841,196	1,811	0.86 %	
Noninterest-bearing deposits	116,700				110,169			
Other liabilities	8,262				13,441			
Shareholders' equity	93,054				88,530			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	<b>\$1,055,693</b>				<b>\$1,053,336</b>			
T/E net interest income/Net interest margin		7,981	3.26 %			8,569	3.51 %	
Tax equivalent adjustment		(401 )				(378 )		

Net interest income	<b>\$ 7,580</b>	\$ 8,191
---------------------	-----------------	----------

## Provision for Loan Losses

For the second quarter of 2013, the Bank recorded net charge-offs of \$308 thousand compared to \$705 thousand in 2012. The charge-offs were more than offset by the second quarter provision expense of \$803 thousand and as a result, the allowance for loan losses (ALL) increased \$495 thousand from the end of the first quarter. For more information refer to the Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses discussion in the Financial Condition section.

## Noninterest Income

For the second quarter of 2013, noninterest income decreased \$92 thousand from the same period in 2012. Investment and trust service fees increased due to higher recurring asset management fees. Loan service charges decreased compared to 2012, primarily from lower secondary mortgage market fees, as the Bank is now retaining a higher volume of its mortgage production. Mortgage banking fees increased, as 2013 had a reversal of prior impairment charges (\$48 thousand) compared to an impairment charge (\$16 thousand) in the prior year. Deposit service charges decreased due to lower retail overdraft fees. Other service charges and fees increased primarily due to increases in ATM fees and debit card income also increased. Losses on other real estate owned increased in 2013 due to a writedown on two properties of \$135 thousand. Other income increased due to an increase in title insurance income in 2013. The Corporation had OTTI losses on three equity securities in 2013 compared to none in 2012. Securities gains were recorded during both periods.

The following table presents a comparison of noninterest income for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended		Change	
	June 30 2013	2012	Amount	%
Noninterest Income				
Investment and trust services fees	\$ 1,130	\$ 1,059	\$71	6.7
Loan service charges	192	269	(77 )	(28.6 )
Mortgage banking activities	40	(27 )	67	248.1
Deposit service charges and fees	452	479	(27 )	(5.6 )
Other service charges and fees	233	213	20	9.4
Debit card income	316	295	21	7.1
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	153	167	(14 )	(8.4 )
Other real estate owned (losses) gains, net	(141 )	(10 )	(131 )	(1,310.0)
Other	47	27	20	74.1
OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(50 )	-	(50 )	(100.0 )
Securities gains (losses), net	29	21	8	38.1
Total noninterest income	\$ 2,401	\$ 2,493	\$(92 )	(3.7 )

## **Noninterest Expense**

Noninterest expense for the second quarter of 2013 increased \$28 thousand compared to the same period in 2012. The decrease in salaries and benefits was primarily due to a decrease in pension expense (\$128 thousand) as a result of the additional cash contribution to the pension plan at the end of 2012. Occupancy and equipment expense increased due to a new branch in Mechanicsburg that opened in the fourth quarter of 2012 and an updated facility in Newville. Advertising decreased due to lower production costs in the second quarter of 2013 compared to 2012. Legal and professional fees increased due to consulting expenses, while data processing fees and shares tax expense remained relatively flat year over year. ATM/debit card processing increased from start-up costs incurred by the Bank to begin printing debit cards in house, and other expenses increased due to higher carrying costs of other real estate owned properties.

The following table presents a comparison of noninterest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended		Change	
	June 30 2013	2012	Amount	%
Noninterest Expense				
Salaries and benefits	\$ 4,018	\$ 4,157	\$(139)	(3.3 )
Net occupancy expense	568	493	75	15.2
Furniture and equipment expense	244	218	26	11.9
Advertising	317	396	(79 )	(19.9)
Legal and professional fees	359	260	99	38.1
Data processing	451	440	11	2.5
Pennsylvania bank shares tax	204	187	17	9.1
Intangible amortization	106	109	(3 )	(2.8 )
FDIC insurance	270	267	3	1.1
ATM/debit card processing	165	155	10	6.5
Other	923	915	8	0.9
Total noninterest expense	\$ 7,625	\$ 7,597	\$28	0.4

#### Provision for Income Taxes

For the second quarter of 2013 the Corporation recorded a Federal income tax expense of \$198 thousand compared to \$356 thousand for the same quarter in 2012. Tax expense was lower in 2013, due to a higher proportion of tax free income to pre-tax income, which therefore resulted in lower tax expense. As a result, the effective tax rate decreased year over year to 12.7% for the second quarter of 2013 compared to 15.7% for 2012. All taxable income for the Corporation is taxed at a rate of 34%.

#### *Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2013 to the six months ended June 30, 2012:*

#### Net Interest Income

Tax equivalent net interest income for the first half of 2013 decreased \$703 thousand. Average interest-earning assets increased \$18.2 million from 2012, but the yield on these assets decreased by 46 basis points. The average balance of investment securities increased \$12.2 million while average loans decreased \$20.3 million (2.7%) year over year. Average mortgage loans increased \$19.0 million, but the increase was offset by a decrease in the average balance of commercial loans and consumer loans. Average commercial loans decreased \$27.5 million, as commercial loans continue to run-off. Average consumer loans, including home equity loans, decreased \$11.9 million, as consumers continue to borrow less.

Interest expense was \$2.5 million for the first half of 2013, a decrease of \$1.3 million from the 2012 total of \$3.8 million. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased \$10.2 million to \$834.2 million for 2013 from an average balance of \$824.0 million in 2012. The average cost of these liabilities decreased from .92% in 2012 to .61% in 2013. Average interest-bearing deposits increased \$56.2 million, due to increases in interest checking and savings accounts (\$45.8 million), and money management deposits (\$40.5 million). The cost of interest-bearing deposits decreased from .76% to .58% driven down primarily by an 18 basis point reduction in the cost of money management accounts. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase (Repos) decreased \$11.8 million on average over the prior year while the average rate remained constant at .15% in both years. The average balance of long-term debt decreased by \$34.2 million, primarily due to \$33.1 million of prepayments on seven Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) advances in 2012.

The changes in the balance sheet and interest rates resulted in a decrease in tax equivalent net interest income of \$703 thousand to \$16.2 million in 2013 compared to \$16.9 million in 2012. The Bank's net interest margin decreased from 3.54% in 2012 to 3.35% in 2013. The decrease in the net interest margin is the result of a decrease in the rate on interest-earning assets of 46 basis points, while the yield on interest-bearing liabilities only decreased 31 basis points. Tax equivalized net interest income decreased \$703 thousand during the year, due to a \$1.1 million decrease from lower rates, which was partially offset by a \$420 thousand increase from volume.

The following table presents average balances, tax-equivalent (T/E) interest income, and yields earned or rates paid on the assets or liabilities. All nontaxable interest income has been adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis using a tax rate of 34%.

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Six Months Ended June 30,							
	2013				2012			
	Average balance	Income or expense	Average yield/rate		Average balance	Income or expense	Average yield/rate	
Interest-earning assets:								
Interest-bearing obligations of other banks and federal funds sold	\$92,396	\$ 131	0.29	%	\$66,051	\$ 91	0.28	%
Investment securities:								
Taxable	99,223	757	1.54	%	90,079	919	2.05	%
Nontaxable	42,084	1,114	5.34	%	39,042	1,078	5.54	%
Loans:								
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	597,370	12,990	4.39	%	624,866	14,539	4.67	%
Residential mortgage	75,335	1,672	4.48	%	56,317	1,533	5.46	%
Home equity loans and lines	60,357	1,768	5.91	%	69,723	2,119	6.10	%
Consumer	10,346	336	6.55	%	12,846	432	6.74	%
Loans	743,408	16,766	4.55	%	763,752	18,623	4.89	%
Total interest-earning assets	977,111	18,768	3.87	%	958,924	20,711	4.33	%
Other assets	73,207				72,790			
Total assets	\$1,050,318				\$1,031,714			
Interest-bearing liabilities:								
Deposits:								
Interest-bearing checking	\$158,861	61	0.08	%	\$118,234	44	0.07	%
Money Management	396,236	1,049	0.53	%	355,721	1,253	0.71	%
Savings	59,471	30	0.10	%	54,284	31	0.11	%
Time	166,915	1,125	1.36	%	196,997	1,431	1.46	%
Total interest-bearing deposits	781,483	2,265	0.58	%	725,236	2,759	0.76	%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	40,351	30	0.15	%	52,147	39	0.15	%
Long- term debt	12,414	243	3.95	%	46,630	980	4.21	%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	834,248	2,538	0.61	%	824,013	3,778	0.92	%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	115,672				106,822			
Other liabilities	7,945				12,886			
Shareholders' equity	92,453				87,993			
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$1,050,318				\$1,031,714			
T/E net interest income/Net interest margin		16,230	3.35	%		16,933	3.54	%
Tax equivalent adjustment		(790 )				(758 )		
Net interest income		\$ 15,440				\$ 16,175		





### Provision for Loan Losses

For 2013, the Bank recorded net charge-offs of \$546 thousand compared to \$2.9 million in 2012. The charge-offs were more than offset by the year-to-date provision expense of \$1.6 million and as a result, the allowance for loan losses (ALL) increased \$1.1 million over year-end 2012. For more information refer to the Loan Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses discussion in the Financial Condition section.

### Noninterest Income

For the first half of 2013, noninterest income decreased \$271 thousand from the same period in 2012. Investment and trust service fees increased due to higher recurring asset management fees and nonrecurring estate fees. Loan service charges decreased as mortgage production declined in 2013 compared to 2012. Deposit service charges decreased due to lower retail and commercial overdraft fees. Losses on other real estate owned increased in 2013 due to a writedown on two properties of \$135 thousand. Other income decreased as 2012 contained a nonrecurring insurance recovery of \$55 thousand on a prior period loss. The Bank had OTTI losses on three equity securities in 2013 compared to none in 2012. Securities gains were recorded during both periods.

The following table presents a comparison of noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Six Months Ended		Change	
	June 30 2013	2012	Amount	%
Noninterest Income				
Investment and trust services fees	\$ 2,148	\$ 2,026	\$122	6.0
Loan service charges	442	541	(99 )	(18.3 )
Mortgage banking activities	18	20	(2 )	(10.0 )
Deposit service charges and fees	888	954	(66 )	(6.9 )
Other service charges and fees	455	448	7	1.6
Debit card income	602	570	32	5.6
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	305	334	(29 )	(8.7 )
Other real estate owned (losses) gains, net	(141 )	27	(168)	(622.2)
Other	89	115	(26 )	(22.6 )
OTTI losses recognized in earnings	(50 )	-	(50 )	(100.0)
Securities gains (losses), net	29	21	8	38.1
Total noninterest income	\$ 4,785	\$ 5,056	\$(271)	(5.4 )

### Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the first half of 2013 increased \$602 thousand compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in salaries and benefits was primarily due to annual salary adjustments (\$114 thousand) and health insurance expense (\$394 thousand), but these increases were partially offset by a \$257 thousand decrease in pension expense. Occupancy and equipment expense increased due to a new branch in Mechanicsburg that opened in the fourth quarter of 2012 and an updated facility in Newville. Advertising decreased due to less production costs in 2013 compared to 2012. Legal and professional fees increased due to consulting expenses, while data processing fees and shares tax expense remained relatively flat year over year. ATM/debit card processing increased from start-up costs incurred by the Bank to begin printing debit cards in house. Other expenses increased due to increases in other real estate owned expenses.

The following table presents a comparison of noninterest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	For the Six Months Ended		Change	
	June 30 2013	2012	Amount	%
Noninterest Expense	\$ 8,232	\$ 7,956	\$276	3.5
Salaries and benefits	1,136	1,011	125	12.4
Net occupancy expense	491	427	64	15.0
Furniture and equipment expense	652	710	(58 )	(8.2 )
Advertising	639	539	100	18.6
Legal and professional fees	845	853	(8 )	(0.9 )
Data processing	409	373	36	9.7
Pennsylvania bank shares tax	213	218	(5 )	(2.3 )
Intangible amortization	515	528	(13 )	(2.5 )
FDIC insurance	346	306	40	13.1
ATM/debit card processing	1,730	1,685	45	2.7
Other	\$ 15,208	\$ 14,606	\$602	4.1
Total noninterest expense				

#### Provision for Income Taxes

For the first half of 2013 the Corporation recorded a Federal income tax expense of \$506 thousand compared to \$575 thousand for the same period in 2012. Tax expense was slightly lower in 2013, due to a higher proportion of tax free income to pre-tax income. The effective tax rate remained virtually unchanged at 14.8% for the first half of 2013 compared to 14.9% for 2012. All taxable income for the Corporation is taxed at a rate of 34%.

#### Financial Condition

##### *Summary:*

At June 30, 2013, assets totaled \$1.033 billion, an increase of \$5.3 million from the 2012 year-end balance of \$1.027 billion. Investment securities increased \$16.7 million, while net loans decreased \$25.5 million. Deposits were up \$7.4 million in the first half of 2013 due primarily to increases in money management deposits. Shareholders' equity increased \$1.1 million during the first six months as retained earnings increased approximately \$1.5 million and the Corporation's Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRIP) added an additional \$361 thousand in new capital.

##### *Cash and Cash Equivalents:*

Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$94.1 million at June 30, 2013 an increase of \$16.3 million from the prior year-end balance of \$77.8 million. The increase is due to continued deposit inflows as well as negative loan growth as a result of rapid prepayments. The funds are invested in interest-bearing deposit accounts, primarily at the Federal Reserve.

***Investment Securities:***

The investment portfolio increased \$16.7 million since year-end 2012. The Bank has invested \$42.7 million during the year with the majority of the purchases in U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities. U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities and municipal bonds continue to comprise the greatest portion of the portfolio at 45% and 40% of the portfolio respectively.

The investment portfolio had a net unrealized gain of \$973 thousand at the end of the quarter compared to \$2.5 million at the end of 2012. Bond prices fell at the end of the second quarter in what appears to have been a temporary over reaction to comments from the Federal Reserve. Prices have recovered somewhat since June 30, 2013. The municipal bond sector shows the largest net unrealized gain, while the trust-preferred sector has the largest net unrealized loss. The portfolio averaged \$141.3 million for the year with a yield of 2.67% compared to an average of \$129.1 million and a yield of 3.11% for the same period in 2012. The Bank expects the yield on the portfolio to continue to decline as higher yielding bonds pay-down or mature and reinvestment yields remain low.

The equity portfolio is comprised of bank stocks and the Bank and the Corporation each maintain separate equity portfolios. During the second quarter, both the Bank and the Corporation sold equity securities and the amortized cost basis of this sector was reduced by nearly 50%. The municipal bond portfolio is well diversified geographically (issuers from within 28 states) and is comprised primarily of general obligation bonds (71%). Most municipal bonds have credit enhancements in the form of private bond insurance or other credit support. The largest geographic municipal bond exposure is to twenty issuers in the state of Texas with a fair value of \$10.1 million and eleven issuers in the state of Pennsylvania with a fair value of \$6.3 million. The municipal bond portfolio contains \$57.3 million of bonds rated A or higher, \$629 thousand rated lower than A (but above noninvestment grade), and \$2.1 million that are not rated by Moody's rating agency. No municipal bonds are rated below investment grade. The Bank holds one variable rate corporate bond in the financial services sector and it is rated A3 by Moody's.

The trust preferred investments are comprised of seven single issuer trust preferred securities with an amortized cost of \$5.9 million and a fair value of \$4.9 million. The Bank has six private-label mortgage backed securities (PLMBS) with an amortized cost of \$2.3 million and a fair value of \$2.3 million.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available for sale as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Fair
	cost	unrealized	unrealized	value
June 30, 2013		gains	losses	
Equity securities	\$ 1,399	\$ 103	\$ (23 )	\$ 1,479
U.S. Government agency securities	12,135	128	(39 )	12,224
Municipal securities	58,513	2,105	(601 )	60,017
Corporate debt securities	1,004	-	(5 )	999
Trust preferred securities	5,914	-	(993 )	4,921
Agency mortgage-backed securities	67,740	780	(471 )	68,049
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	2,271	21	(29 )	2,263
Asset-backed securities	55	-	(3 )	52
	\$ 149,031	\$ 3,137	\$ (2,164 )	\$ 150,004

(Dollars in thousands)	Amortized	Gross	Gross	Fair
	cost	unrealized	unrealized	value
December 31, 2012		gains	losses	
Equity securities	\$ 2,104	\$ 92	\$ (255 )	\$ 1,941
U.S. Government agency securities	12,657	156	(4 )	12,809
Municipal securities	58,395	2,984	(163 )	61,216
Corporate debt securities	1,005	-	(11 )	994
Trust preferred securities	5,905	-	(1,075 )	4,830
Agency mortgage-backed securities	48,121	1,029	(84 )	49,066
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	2,539	10	(123 )	2,426

Asset-backed securities	59	-	(13	)	46
	\$ 130,785	\$ 4,271	\$ (1,728	)	\$ 133,328

The following table provides additional detail about the Bank's trust preferred securities as of June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)

Deal Name	Single Issuer or Pooled	Class	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Lowest Credit Rating Assigned	Number of Banks Currently Performing	Deferrals and Defaults as a % of Original Collateral	Expected Deferral/ Defaults as a Percentage of Remaining Performing Collateral
Huntington Cap Trust	Single	Preferred Stock	\$934	\$707	\$ (227 )	BB	1	None	None
Huntington Cap Trust II	Single	Preferred Stock	883	706	(177 )	BB	1	None	None
BankAmerica Cap III	Single	Preferred Stock	959	794	(165 )	BB	1	None	None
Wachovia Cap Trust II	Single	Preferred Stock	275	253	(22 )	BBB+	1	None	None
Corestates Captl Tr II	Single	Preferred Stock	930	844	(86 )	BBB+	1	None	None
Chase Cap VI JPM	Single	Preferred Stock	960	821	(139 )	BBB	1	None	None
Fleet Cap Tr V	Single	Preferred Stock	973	796	(177 )	BB+	1	None	None
			\$5,914	\$4,921	\$ (993 )				

The following table provides additional detail about private label mortgage-backed securities as of June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)

Description	Origination Date	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Collateral Type	Lowest Credit Rating Assigned	Credit Support %	Cumulative OTTI Charges
RALI 2004-QS4 A7	3/1/2004	\$ 255	\$254	\$ (1 )	ALT A	BBB+	12.02	\$ -
MALT 2004-6 7A1	6/1/2004	498	511	13	ALT A	CCC	13.04	-
RALI 2005-QS2 A1	2/1/2005	381	388	7	ALT A	CC	6.54	-
RALI 2006-QS4 A2	4/1/2006	663	641	(22 )	ALT A	D	-	278
GSR 2006-5F 2A1	5/1/2006	118	119	1	Prime	D	-	15
RALI 2006-QS8 A1	7/28/2006	356	350	(6 )	ALT A	D	-	197
		\$ 2,271	\$2,263	\$ (8 )				\$ 490

The investment portfolio contained eighty-three securities with \$56.7 million of temporarily impaired fair value and \$2.2 million in unrealized losses. The unrealized loss position has increased over year-end 2012. The trust preferred

sector continues to show the largest unrealized loss at \$1.0 million on seven securities, a slight improvement from the prior-year end.

For securities with an unrealized loss, Management applies a systematic methodology in order to perform an assessment of the potential for other-than-temporary impairment. In the case of debt securities, investments considered for other-than-temporary impairment: (1) had a specified maturity or repricing date; (2) were generally expected to be redeemed at par, and (3) were expected to achieve a recovery in market value within a reasonable period of time. In addition, the Bank considers whether it intends to sell these securities or whether it will be forced to sell these securities before the earlier of amortized cost recovery or maturity. Equity securities are assessed for other-than-temporary impairment based on the length of time of impairment, dollar amount of the impairment and general market and financial conditions relating to specific issues. The impairment identified on debt and equity securities and subject to assessment at June 30, 2013, was deemed to be temporary and required no further adjustments to the financial statements, unless otherwise noted.



The following table reflects temporary impairment in the investment portfolio (excluding restricted stock), aggregated by investment category, length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position and the number of securities in each category as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013								
	Less than 12 months			12 months or more			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
Equity securities	\$109	\$ (5 )	1	\$555	\$ (18 )	4	\$664	\$ (23 )	5
U.S. Government agency securities	2,954	(30 )	5	3,996	(9 )	7	6,950	(39 )	12
Municipal securities	15,031	(554 )	21	1,189	(47 )	1	16,220	(601 )	22
Corporate debt securities	-	-	-	999	(5 )	1	999	(5 )	1
Trust preferred securities	-	-	-	4,921	(993 )	7	4,921	(993 )	7
Agency mortgage-backed securities	25,548	(470 )	29	84	(1 )	1	25,632	(471 )	30
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	1,245	(29 )	3	1,245	(29 )	3
Asset-backed securities	-	-	-	52	(3 )	3	52	(3 )	3
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$43,642	\$ (1,059 )	56	\$13,041	\$ (1,105 )	27	\$56,683	\$ (2,164 )	83
(Dollars in thousands)	December 31, 2012								
	Less than 12 months			12 months or more			Total		
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Count
Equity securities	\$226	\$ (20 )	3	\$1,236	\$ (235 )	13	\$1,462	\$ (255 )	16
U.S. Government agency securities	938	(1 )	1	3,346	(3 )	6	4,284	(4 )	7
Municipal securities	8,789	(163 )	10	-	-	-	8,789	(163 )	10
Corporate debt securities	-	-	-	994	(11 )	1	994	(11 )	1
Trust preferred securities	-	-	-	4,830	(1,075 )	7	4,830	(1,075 )	7
Agency mortgage-backed securities	6,869	(68 )	8	2,664	(16 )	6	9,533	(84 )	14
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	-	-	1,875	(123 )	5	1,875	(123 )	5
Asset-backed securities	-	-	-	46	(13 )	3	46	(13 )	3
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$16,822	\$ (252 )	22	\$14,991	\$ (1,476 )	41	\$31,813	\$ (1,728 )	63

As of June 30, 2013, three equity securities were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired and an impairment charge of \$50 thousand was recorded.

The trust preferred portfolio contains the largest unrealized loss in the portfolio. At June 30, 2013 this sector contained seven securities with a fair value of \$4.9 million and an unrealized loss of \$1.0 million, representing a slight improvement over year-end 2012. The trust-preferred securities held by the Bank are single entity issues, not pooled trust preferred securities. Therefore, the impairment review of these securities is based only on the issuer and the security cannot be impaired by the performance of other issuers as if it was a pooled trust-preferred bond. All of the Bank's trust preferred securities are single issue, variable rate notes with long maturities (2027 – 2028). None of these bonds have suspended or missed a dividend payment. At June 30, 2013, the Bank believes it will be able to collect all interest and principal due on these bonds and no other-than-temporary-impairment charges were recorded. See the Trust Preferred Securities table for additional information.

The PLMBS sector shows a gross unrealized loss of \$29 thousand, an improvement over the \$123 thousand unrealized loss at December 31, 2012. These bonds were all rated AAA at time of purchase, but have since experienced rating declines. Some have experienced increased delinquencies and defaults, while others have seen the credit support increase as the bonds paid-down. The Bank monitors the performance of the PLMBS investments on a regular basis and reviews delinquencies, default rates, credit support levels and various cash flow stress test scenarios. In determining the credit related loss, Management considers all principal past due 60 days or more as a loss. If additional principal moves beyond 60 days past due, it will also be considered a loss. As a result of the analysis on PLMBS it was determined that no impairment charge was required at quarter end. The Bank has recorded \$490 thousand of cumulative impairment charges on this portfolio. Management continues to monitor these securities and it is possible that additional write-downs may occur if current loss trends continue. The Bank is currently participating in a class-action lawsuit against one PLMBS servicer that centers on defective warranties and representations made as part of the underwriting process. See the PLMBS table above for additional information.

The following table represents the cumulative credit losses on debt securities recognized in earnings as of June 30, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013
Balance of cumulative credit losses on securities, January 1, 2013	\$ 490
Additions for credit losses recorded which were not previously recognized as components of earnings	-
Balance of cumulative credit losses on securities, June 30, 2013	\$ 490

The Bank held \$2.1 million of restricted stock at June 30, 2013. Except for \$30 thousand, this investment represents stock in FHLB Pittsburgh. The Bank is required to hold this stock to be a member of FHLB and it is carried at cost of \$100 per share. FHLB Pittsburgh has repurchased \$1.4 million of its capital stock during the year. FHLB stock is evaluated for impairment primarily based on an assessment of the ultimate recoverability of its cost. As a government sponsored entity, FHLB has the ability to raise funding through the U.S. Treasury that can be used to support its operations. There is not a public market for FHLB stock and the benefits of FHLB membership (e.g., liquidity and low cost funding) add value to the stock beyond purely financial measures. Management intends to remain a member of the FHLB and believes that it will be able to fully recover the cost basis of this investment.

*Loans:*

Residential real estate: This category is comprised of consumer purpose loans secured by residential real estate and to a lesser extent, commercial purpose loans secured by residential real estate. The consumer purpose category represents traditional residential mortgage loans and home equity products (primarily junior liens and lines of credit). Total consumer real estate loans increased over 2012 as the result of an increase in residential first lien mortgages because the Bank retained more production in its portfolio. The loans that were retained were identified as part of a selective retention program designed to hold mortgages the Bank believes will have a shorter than average life. The Bank expects to continue the selective retention program throughout 2013 and expects to see this balance continue to increase in 2013. In the first half of 2013, the Bank originated \$19.5 million in mortgages, including approximately \$8.2 million for a fee through a third party brokerage agreement. The Bank does not originate or hold any loans that would be considered sub-prime or Alt-A, and does not generally originate mortgages outside of its primary market area.

Home equity lending has continued to be slow and the Bank has seen both the fixed rate loan product and the variable rate line product continue to decline in 2013. Many consumers have seen equity in their homes disappear or have been reluctant to borrow due to uncertainty in the economy. Despite low rates, the Bank expects that home equity lending will not pick up significantly until the overall economy improves.

Commercial purpose loans in this category represent loans made for various business needs, but are secured with residential real estate. In addition to the real estate collateral, it is possible that additional security is provided by personal guarantees or UCC filings. These loans are underwritten as commercial loans and are not originated to be sold.

Residential real estate construction: The largest component of this category represents loans to residential real estate developers (\$7.6 million), while loans for individuals to construct personal residences totaled \$4.5 million at June 30, 2013. The Bank's exposure to residential construction loans is concentrated primarily in south central Pennsylvania. Real estate construction loans, especially land development loans, frequently provide an interest reserve in order to assist the developer during the development stage when minimal cash flow is generated. All real estate construction loans are underwritten in the same manner, regardless of the use of an interest reserve. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had \$10.9 million in residential real estate construction loans funded with an interest reserve and capitalized \$37 thousand of interest from these reserves on active projects. Real estate construction loans are monitored on a regular basis by either an independent third party inspector or the assigned loan officer depending on loan amount or complexity of the project. This monitoring process includes at a minimum, the submission of invoices and AIA documents of costs incurred by the borrower, on-site inspections, and a signature by the assigned loan officer for disbursement of funds.

Commercial loans and commercial real estate: Loans in this category include commercial, industrial, farm, agricultural, and municipal government loans. Collateral for these loans may include commercial real estate, farm real estate, equipment or other business assets. Total commercial loans decreased to \$512.0 million from \$530.6 million at the end of 2012. The largest sectors (by collateral) in the commercial real estate category are: land development (\$53.6 million), hotels and motels (\$41.1 million), office buildings (\$40.9 million) farm land (\$39.6 million), auto delaerships (\$20.1 million) and shopping centers (\$18.4) million. Commercial loans decreased by 3.5% compared to year end. Of the \$18.6 million decrease, \$1.8 million was a pay-off for a loan in nonaccrual during the first quarter and \$12.5 million were payoffs on five large credits in the second quarter. The largest sectors (by industry) in the commercial loan category are: manufacturing (\$21.9 million), retail trade (\$16.7 million), utilities (\$16.1 million) and construction (\$15.1 million). The Bank is very active in its market in pursuing commercial lending opportunities, but supplements in-market growth with purchased loan participations. The Bank purchases commercial loan participations in an effort to increase its commercial lending and diversify its loan mix, both geographically and by industry sector. Purchased loans are originated primarily within the south central Pennsylvania market and are purchased from only a few select counter parties. These loans usually represent an opportunity to participate in larger credits that are not available in market, with the benefit of lower origination and servicing costs. In the first half of 2013, the Bank purchased \$12.8 million of loan participations and commitments. At June 30, 2013, the Bank held \$137.6 million in purchased loan participations in its portfolio compared to \$142.9 million at year-end 2012.

Consumer loans decreased \$1.1 million due primarily to regular payments and maturities. The consumer portfolio will continue to run-down, as consumers are unwilling to increase their debt.

The following table a summary of loans outstanding, by primary collateral as of:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Change	
			Amount	%
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
Consumer first liens	\$ 99,350	\$ 93,790	\$5,560	5.9
Consumer junior liens and lines of credit	32,724	35,494	(2,770 )	(7.8 )
Total consumer	132,074	129,284	2,790	2.2
Commercial first lien	57,063	60,809	(3,746 )	(6.2 )
Commercial junior liens and lines of credit	6,443	6,794	(351 )	(5.2 )
Total	63,506	67,603	(4,097 )	(6.1 )
Total residential real estate 1-4 family	195,580	196,887	(1,307 )	(0.7 )
Residential real estate - construction				
Consumer purpose	4,451	3,255	1,196	36.7
Commercial purpose	7,585	12,177	(4,592 )	(37.7)
Total residential real estate construction	12,036	15,432	(3,396 )	(22.0)
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	359,563	363,874	(4,311 )	(1.2 )

Edgar Filing: FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORP /PA/ - Form 10-Q

Commercial, industrial and agricultural	152,423	166,734	(14,311)	(8.6 )
Total commercial, industrial and agricultural	511,986	530,608	(18,622)	(3.5 )
Consumer	9,565	10,652	(1,087 )	(10.2)
	729,167	753,579	(24,412)	(3.2 )
Less: Allowance for loan losses	(11,438 )	(10,379 )	(1,059 )	10.2
Net Loans	\$ 717,729	\$ 743,200	\$(25,471)	(3.4 )

Included in the loan balances are the following:

Net unamortized deferred loan costs	\$ 360	\$ 456		
Unamortized discount on purchased loans	\$ (109 )	\$ (129 )		

Loans pledged as collateral for borrowings and commitments from:

FHLB	\$ 592,412	\$ 657,684		
Federal Reserve Bank	79,460	112,613		
	\$ 671,872	\$ 770,297		

***Loan Quality:***

Management utilizes a risk rating scale ranging from 1 (Prime) to 9 (Loss) to evaluate loan quality. This risk rating scale is used primarily for commercial purpose loans. Consumer purpose loans are identified as either a pass or substandard rating. Substandard consumer loans are loans that are 90 days or more past due and still accruing. Loans rated 1 – 4 are considered pass credits. Loans that are rated 5 are pass credits, but have been identified as credits that are likely to warrant additional attention and monitoring. Loans rated 6 (Other Assets Especially Mentioned - OAEM) or worse begin to receive enhanced monitoring and reporting by the Bank. Loans rated 7 (Substandard) or 8 (Doubtful) exhibit the greatest financial weakness and present the greatest possible risk of loss to the Bank. Nonaccrual loans are rated no better than 7. The following factors represent some of the factors used in determining the risk rating of a borrower: cash flow, debt coverage, liquidity, management, and collateral. Risk ratings, for pass credits, are generally reviewed annually for term debt and at renewal for revolving or renewing debt. The Bank monitors loan quality by reviewing four measurements: (1) loans rated 6 (OAEM) or worse (collectively “watch list”), (2) delinquent loans, (3) other real estate owned (OREO), and (4) net-charge-offs. Management compares trends in these measurements with the Bank’s internally established targets, as well as its national peer group.

Watch list loans exhibit financial weaknesses that increase the potential risk of default or loss to the Bank. However, inclusion on the watch list, does not by itself, mean a loss is certain. The watch list includes both performing and nonperforming loans. Watch list loans totaled \$88.7 million at quarter-end compared to \$104.3 million at the prior year end. The watch list is comprised of \$22.2 million rated 6 and \$66.5 million rated 7. The balance of loans rate 6 or 7 has declined since year-end 2012. At March, 31, 2013, the Bank had one loan for \$2.8 million rated 8. The Bank secured additional collateral to fully secure the loan, restructured the loan as a TDR during the second quarter and has since upgraded the rating to 7. The Bank has no loans rated 9 (Loss). The Bank’s Loan Management Committee reviews these loans and risk ratings on a quarterly basis in order to proactively identify and manage problem loans. In addition, a committee meets monthly to discuss possible workout strategies for OREO and all credits rated 7 or worse. Management also tracks other commercial loan risk measurements including high loan to value loans, concentrations, participations and policy exceptions and reports these to the Credit Risk Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors. The Bank also uses a third-party consultant to assist with internal loan review with a goal of reviewing 60% of commercial loans each year. The FDIC defines certain supervisory loan-to-value lending limits. The Bank’s internal loan-to-value limits are all equal to, or have a lower loan-to-value limit, than the supervisory limits. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had loans of \$29.6 million that exceeded the supervisory limit.

Delinquent loans are a result of borrowers’ cash flow and/or alternative sources of cash being insufficient to repay loans. The Bank’s likelihood of collateral liquidation to repay the loans becomes more probable the further behind a borrower falls, particularly when loans reach 90 days or more past due. Management monitors the performance status of loans by the use of an aging report. The aging report can provide an early indicator of loans that may become severely delinquent and possibly result in a loss to the Bank. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for a note that presents the internal credit ratings of the loan portfolio and the aging of payments.

Nonaccruing loans generally represent Management's determination that the borrower will be unable to repay the loan in accordance with its contractual terms and that collateral liquidation may or may not fully repay both interest and principal. It is the Bank's policy to evaluate the probable collectability of principal and interest due under terms of loan contracts for all loans 90-days or more, nonaccrual loans, or impaired loans. Further, it is the Bank's policy to discontinue accruing interest on loans that are not adequately secured and in the process of collection. Upon determination of nonaccrual status, the Bank subtracts any current year accrued and unpaid interest from its income, and any prior year accrued and unpaid interest from the allowance for loan losses. Management continually monitors the status of nonperforming loans, the value of any collateral and potential of risk of loss. Nonaccrual loans are rated no better than 7 (Substandard).



The following table presents a summary of nonperforming assets:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Balance	% of Loan Segment	Balance	% of Loan Segment
Nonaccrual loans				
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	\$3,211	2.1	\$ 3,584	2.3
Junior liens and lines of credit	594	1.5	758	1.8
Total	3,805	1.9	4,342	2.2
Residential real estate - construction				
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate	546	4.5	557	3.6
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	24,101	6.7	28,659	7.9
Total nonaccrual loans	2,423	1.6	2,836	1.7
Loans past due 90 days or more and not included above				
Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family				
First liens	48		120	
Junior liens and lines of credit	-		112	
Total	48		232	
Commercial, industrial and agricultural real estate				
Commercial, industrial and agricultural	58		-	
Consumer	97		315	
Total loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	-		16	
	203		563	
Total nonperforming loans	31,078		36,957	
Other real estate owned	5,264		5,127	
Total nonperforming assets	\$36,342		\$ 42,084	
Nonaccrual loans to total gross loans	4.23	%	4.83	%
Nonperforming loans to total gross loans	4.26	%	4.90	%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	3.52	%	4.10	%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	36.80	%	28.08	%

Loan quality has improved slightly during the first six months of 2013, as measured by the balance of nonperforming loans. Nonperforming loans have decreased by \$5.9 million with the majority of the decrease coming in the nonaccrual commercial real estate sector. During the year, three large credits were moved off of nonaccrual. One credit for \$1.8 million paid-off, a second credit for \$2.5 million and a third credit for \$2.4 million were both returned to accrual status. However, these decreases were partially offset by the addition of a new credit to nonaccrual for \$2.7 million (Credit 9, Significant Nonaccrual table) to nonaccrual during the first quarter. The nonaccrual table identifies the most significant loans in nonaccrual status. These nine nonaccrual loans account for 81% of the total nonaccrual balance. Also included in the nonaccrual total is \$12.2 million of loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDR). A TDR loan is maintained on nonaccrual status until a satisfactory repayment history is established. All of the

Bank's TDR loans are in compliance with the modified terms and it is likely that some will be able to be returned to performing status in 2013. It is possible that other nonaccrual loans could be removed from nonaccrual status in 2013. However, it is also possible that other loans may become delinquent and nonperforming loans could remain at a high level due to lengthy workout periods on these loans. All loans on the watch list (loans rated 6 or worse) that are not reported as nonperforming loans are considered potential problem loans. Potential problem loans at June 30, 2013 totaled \$57.6 million compared to \$67.3 million at year-end 2012.

The following table provides information on the most significant nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2013.

(Dollars in thousands)	Balance	ALL Reserve	Nonaccrual Date	Collateral	Location	Last Appraisal(1)
Credit 1 - commercial real estate development	\$3,421	\$ -	Dec-10	1st lien on 92 acres undeveloped commercial real estate	PA	Jan-13 \$3,718
Credit 2 - construction	991	-	Aug-11	1st lien on commercial and residential properties and 70 acres of farmland	PA	Jun-13 \$1,304
Credit 3 - residential real estate development	2,433	-	Mar-12	1st and 2nd liens on commercial real estate, residential real estate and business assets	PA	Dec-12 \$5,028
Credit 4 - residential real estate development	2,069	-	Jun-12	1st lien residential development land - 75 acres 2nd lien residential real estate	WV PA	Jun-12 \$2,550
Credit 5 - residential real estate development	1,593	-	Apr-12	1st and 2nd liens on residential real estate	PA	May-12 \$2,003
Credit 6 - residential real estate development	7,945	-	Sep-12	1st lien residential real estate development -376 acres and other commercial and residential properties	PA	Mar-13 \$9,910
Credit 7 - warehousing	2,117	-	Oct-12	1st lien on commercial refrigerated warehouse	PA	Feb-13 \$5,995
Credit 8 - agriculture	1,858	-	Oct-12	1st lien on farmland	PA	Aug-12 \$2,666
Credit 9 - agriculture/food production	2,687	1,055	Mar-13	Liens on land, commercial and residential real estate and business assets	PA	Dec-12 \$3,718
	\$25,114	\$1,055				

(1) Appraisal value, as reported, does not reflect the pay-off of any senior liens or the cost to liquidate the collateral, but does reflect only the Bank's share of the collateral if it is a participated loan.

Credit 1 has been charged down by \$3.1 million since being placed on nonaccrual due to declining appraisal values, including a write-down of \$167 thousand in the first quarter of 2013. This credit is part of a participated loan and the foreclosure process is held up in court. Credit 2 is in the process of foreclosure. Credit 3 is a TDR that is performing in accordance with the modified terms and is on nonaccrual until a satisfactory repayment history is established. Credit 4 was written down by \$259 thousand in 2012 and foreclosure is likely in 2013. Credit 5 is exploring external financing to pay off its loan and is considering restructuring options from the Bank, but it is unknown if these efforts will be successful. Credit 6 provided additional real estate collateral in 2013 and was restructured as a TDR. Credit 7 is a participated loan and the lead bank is developing a workout strategy. Credit 8 is a TDR and is performing in accordance with its modified terms. Credit 9 is a new nonaccrual loan in 2013.

In addition to monitoring nonaccrual loans, the Bank also closely monitors impaired loans and troubled debt restructurings (TDR). A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all interest and principal payments due according to the originally contracted terms of the loan agreement. All nonaccrual loans (excluding consumer purpose loans) and TDR loans are considered impaired. In addition, the Bank reviews all other loans rated 7 or worse for impaired status. Impaired loans totaled \$38.0 million at quarter-end compared to \$39.4 million at year-end 2012. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for a note that reports on impaired loans.

A loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the creditor (the Bank), for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. The Bank reviews all loans rated 5 or worse when it is providing a loan restructure, modification or new credit facility to determine if the action is a TDR. If a TDR loan is placed on nonaccrual status, it remains on nonaccrual status for at least six months to ensure performance. All TDR loans are in compliance with their modified terms. The largest TDR loans that remain on nonaccrual status are referenced as credits 3, 6 and 8 on the Significant Nonaccrual table. All of the TDR loans are commercial purpose loans except for four consumer purpose real estate secured loans totaling \$391 thousand. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for a note that identifies TDR loans in the portfolio.

The Bank holds \$5.3 million of other real estate owned (OREO), comprised of ten properties compared to \$5.1 million and ten properties at December 31, 2012. The largest property (\$2.8 million, property 2, OREO table below) was added in 2012 and represents a failed residential real estate development in central Pennsylvania. This property was written-down by \$800 thousand in December 2012. During 2013, the Bank has incurred a loss of \$6 thousand on a sale and has recorded a write-down of \$135 thousand on OREO property.

The following table provides additional information on significant other real estate owned properties:

June 30, 2013					
(Dollars in thousands)					
	Date	Balance	Collateral	Location	Last Appraisal
Property 1	2011	\$ 1,840	unimproved and improved real estate for residential development on four separate tracts totaling 150 acres	PA	Nov-12 \$2,141
Property 2	2012	2,758	1st, 2nd, and 3rd liens residential development land - four tracts with 294 acres	PA	Aug-12 \$3,292
		\$4,598			

At June 30, 2013, the Bank had \$1.3 million of residential properties in the process of foreclosure.

***Allowance for Loan Losses:***

Management performs a monthly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (ALL). The ALL is determined by segmenting the loan portfolio based on the loan's collateral. The Bank further classifies the portfolio based on the primary purpose of the loan, either consumer or commercial. When calculating the ALL, consideration is given to a variety of factors in establishing this estimate including, but not limited to, current economic conditions, diversification of the loan portfolio, delinquency statistics, results of internal loan reviews, historical charge-offs, the adequacy of the underlying collateral (if collateral dependent) and other relevant factors. The Bank begins enhanced monitoring of all loans rated 6 (OAEM) or worse, and obtains a new appraisal or asset valuation for any loan rated 7 (substandard) or worse. Management, at its discretion, may determine that additional adjustments to the appraisal or valuation are required. Valuation adjustments will be made as necessary based on factors, including, but not limited to: the economy, deferred maintenance, industry, type of property/equipment, age of the appraisal, etc. and the knowledge Management has about a particular situation. In addition, the cost to sell or liquidate the collateral is also estimated and deducted from the valuation in order to determine the net realizable value to the Bank. When determining the allowance for loan losses, certain factors involved in the evaluation are inherently subjective and require material estimates that may be susceptible to significant change, including the amounts and timing of future cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Management monitors the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on an ongoing basis and reports its adequacy quarterly to the Credit Risk Oversight Committee of the Board of Directors. Management believes that the allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2013 is adequate.

The analysis for determining the ALL is consistent with guidance set forth in generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and the Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses. The analysis has two components, specific and general allocations. The specific component addresses specific reserves established for impaired loans. A loan is considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect all interest and principal payments due according to the originally contracted terms of the loan agreement. Collateral values discounted for market conditions and selling costs are used to establish specific allocations for impaired loans. It is possible that as a result of the credit analysis, a specific reserve is not required for an impaired loan. For impaired loans with balances less than \$100 thousand and consumer purpose loans, a specific reserve analysis is not performed and these loans are added to the general allocation pool. The increase in impaired loans with a specific allowance established is due primarily to the addition of Credit 9 (Significant Nonaccrual table) in 2013. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for a table that reports impaired loans and the specific reserve established for impaired loans.

The general allocation component addresses the reserves established for pools of homogenous loans. The general component includes a quantitative and qualitative analysis. When calculating the general allocation, the Bank segregates its loan portfolio into the following sectors based primarily on the type of supporting collateral: residential real estate, commercial, industrial or agricultural real estate; commercial and industrial (C&I non-real estate), and consumer. The residential real estate sector is further segregated by first lien loans, junior liens and home equity products, and residential real estate construction. The historical loss experience factor was .91% of gross loans at June 30, 2013 compared to 1.05% at December 31, 2012. The quantitative analysis uses the Bank's eight quarter rolling historical loan loss experience adjusted for factors derived from current economic and market conditions that have been determined to have an effect on the probability and magnitude of a loss. The qualitative analysis utilizes a risk matrix that incorporates qualitative and environmental factors such as: loan volume, management, loan review process, credit concentrations, competition, and legal and regulatory issues. These factors are each risk rated from minimal to high risk and in total can add up to a maximum qualitative factor of 37.5 basis points. At quarter-end, this factor was 21.5 basis points, unchanged from December 31, 2012. These factors are determined on the basis of Management's observation, judgment and experience.

Real estate appraisals and collateral valuations are an important part of the Bank's process for determining potential loss on collateral dependent loans and thereby have a direct effect on the determination of loan reserves, charge-offs and the calculation of the allowance for loan losses. As long as the loan remains a performing loan, no further updates to appraisals are required. If a loan or relationship migrates to risk rating of 7 or worse, an evaluation for impairment status is made based on the current information available at the time of downgrade and a new appraisal or collateral valuation is obtained. We believe this practice complies with the regulatory guidance dated December 12, 2010.

In determining the allowance for loan losses, Management, at its discretion, may determine that additional adjustments to the fair value obtained from an appraisal or collateral valuation are required. Adjustments will be made as necessary based on factors, including, but not limited to the economy, deferred maintenance, industry, type of property or equipment etc., and the knowledge Management has about a particular situation. In addition, the cost to sell or liquidate the collateral is also estimated and deducted from the valuation in order to determine the net realizable

value to the Bank. If an appraisal is not available, Management may make its best estimate of the real value of the collateral or use last known market value and apply appropriate discounts. If an adjustment is made to the collateral valuation, this will be documented with appropriate support and reported to the Loan Management Committee.



The following table shows the loans that were evaluated for the allowance for loan losses under a specific reserve (individually) and those that were evaluated under a general reserve (collectively), and the amount of the allowance established in each category as of June 30, 2013:

(Dollars in thousands)	Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family			Commercial Industrial & Agricultural	Commercial Industrial & Agricultural	Consumer	Total
	First Liens	Junior Liens & Lines of Credit	Construction	Real Estate			
June 30, 2013							
Loans evaluated for allowance:							
Individually	\$ 2,968	\$ 324	\$ 546	\$ 30,964	\$ 2,423	\$ -	\$ 37,225
Collectively	153,445	38,843	11,490	328,599	150,000	9,565	691,942
Total	\$ 156,413	\$ 39,167	\$ 12,036	\$ 359,563	\$ 152,423	\$ 9,565	\$ 729,167
Allowance established for loans evaluated:							
Individually	\$ 461	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,028	\$ 1,083	\$ -	\$ 2,572
Collectively	904	278	701	5,702	1,119	162	8,866
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$ 11,438

During 2013, \$1.6 million was added to the allowance for loan losses (ALL) through the provision for loan loss expense. This is a sizable reduction from the provision expense of \$2.8 million for the same period in 2012 that was driven by one charge-off of \$1.6 million on a nonperforming commercial real estate loan (Credit 1 Significant Nonaccrual table). The ALL as a percentage of loans was 1.57% at June 2013.

Charged-off loans usually result from: (1) a borrower being legally relieved of loan repayment responsibility through bankruptcy, (2) insufficient collateral sale proceeds to repay a loan; or (3) the borrower and/or guarantor does not own other assets that, if sold, would generate sufficient sale proceeds to repay a loan.

The Bank recorded net loan charge-offs of \$308 thousand for the second quarter of 2013 and \$546 thousand year-to-date. This compares to net charge-offs of \$705 thousand during the second quarter of 2012 and \$2.9 million during the first six months of 2012. The large 2012 charge-off discussed previously is the primary reason for the reduction in net charge-offs during 2013. See Note 7 in the accompanying financial statements for additional information on the allowance for loan loss.



The following table presents an analysis of the allowance for loan losses for the periods ended:

(Dollars in thousands)	Residential Real Estate 1-4 Family			Commercial Industrial & Agricultural	Commercial Industrial & Agricultural		Consumer Total
	First Liens	Junior Liens & Lines of Credit	Construction	Real Estate	Agricultural	Consumer	
Allowance at March 31, 2013	\$ 973	\$ 296	\$ 837	\$ 6,682	\$ 1,972	\$ 183	\$10,943
Charge-offs	(39 )	-	-	-	(317 )	(40 )	(396 )
Recoveries	1	-	-	3	68	16	88
Provision	430	(18 )	(136 )	45	479	3	803
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$11,438
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$ 913	\$ 306	\$ 899	\$ 6,450	\$ 1,620	\$ 191	\$10,379
Charge-offs	(39 )	(45 )	-	(167 )	(327 )	(84 )	(662 )
Recoveries	9	-	-	3	75	29	116
Provision	482	17	(198 )	444	834	26	1,605
Allowance at June 30, 2013	\$ 1,365	\$ 278	\$ 701	\$ 6,730	\$ 2,202	\$ 162	\$11,438
Allowance at December 31, 2011	\$ 1,049	\$ 308	\$ 1,222	\$ 5,257	\$ 1,651	\$ 236	\$9,723
Charge-offs	(251 )	(71 )	-	(3,298 )	(861 )	(236 )	(4,717 )
Recoveries	1	25	-	13	21	88	148
Provision	114	44	(323 )	4,478	809	103	5,225
Allowance at December 31, 2012	\$ 913	\$ 306	\$ 899	\$ 6,450	\$ 1,620	\$ 191	\$10,379
				June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	June 30, 2012	
Net loans charged-off as a percentage of average gross loans				0.15	% 0.60	% 0.75	%
Net loans charged-off as a percentage of the provision for loan losses				34.02	% 87.44	% 103.46	%
Allowance as a percentage of loans				1.57	% 1.38	% 1.25	%
Net charge-offs				\$ 546	\$ 4,569	\$ 2,871	

*Deposits:*

Total deposits increased \$7.4 million during the first half months of 2013 to \$881.8 million. Non-interest bearing deposits decreased \$4.7 million, while savings and interest-bearing checking increased \$55.2 million and time deposits decreased \$43.2 million. The decrease in non-interest bearing checking accounts occurred primarily in municipal checking accounts (\$4.2 million). Interest-bearing checking deposits increased due to transitioning customers from securities sold under agreements to repurchase accounts to a deposit sweep product, which provides full FDIC coverage. The Bank's Money Management product increased \$16.3 million due primarily to an increase in commercial deposits. Retail time deposits decreased since year-end, as customers moved funds to more liquid accounts. During the second quarter, the Bank called \$32.1 million of its brokered CDs. As of June 30, 2013, the Bank had \$5.1 million in CDARS reciprocal time deposits included in brokered time deposits.

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Change	
			Amount	%
Noninterest-bearing checking	\$ 118,943	\$ 123,623	\$(4,680 )	(3.8 )
Interest-bearing checking	169,652	135,454	34,198	25.2
Money management	396,375	380,079	16,296	4.3
Savings	61,883	57,165	4,718	8.3
Total interest-bearing checking and savings	627,910	572,698	55,212	9.6
Retail time deposits	119,823	127,861	(8,038 )	(6.3 )
Brokered time deposits	15,121	50,258	(35,137)	(69.9)
Total time deposits	134,944	178,119	(43,175)	(24.2)
Total deposits	\$ 881,797	\$ 874,440	\$7,357	0.8
Overdrawn deposit accounts reclassified as loans	\$ 133	\$ 128		

*Borrowings:*

The balance of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, which are accounted for as collateralized financings, decreased \$3.3 million from year-end. The Bank is transitioning customers from this product to a deposit sweep product, which provides full FDIC coverage. This will free-up collateral that was required to cover these balances and will increase the Bank's liquidity. The long-term debt from the FHLB decreased due to scheduled amortizations.

*Shareholders' Equity:*

Total shareholders' equity increased \$1.1 million to \$92.8 million at June 30, 2013, compared to \$91.6 million at the end of 2012. The increase in retained earnings from the Corporation's net income of \$2.9 million was partially offset

by the cash dividend of \$1.4 million. The Corporation's dividend payout ratio is 48.1% for the first half of 2013 compared to 54.4% in 2012.

As part of its quarterly dividend decision, the Corporation considers current and future income projections, dividend yield, payout ratio, and current and future capital ratios. In April 2013, the Board of Directors declared a \$.17 per share regular quarterly dividend for the second quarter of 2013. This compares to a regular quarterly cash dividend of \$.17 paid in the second quarter of 2012. On July 25, 2013 the Board of Directors declared a \$.17 per share regular quarterly dividend for the third quarter of 2013, which will be paid on August 28, 2013.

In addition, the Corporation considers how dividend decisions may affect the Dividend Reinvestment Plan (DRIP), which has raised \$361 thousand in new capital this year with 22,920 new shares purchased. The Corporation continually explores other sources of capital as part of its capital management plan for the Corporation and the Bank. The Corporation did not repurchase any shares of the Corporation's common stock during the first six months of 2013.

Capital adequacy is currently defined by regulatory agencies through the use of several minimum required ratios. At June 30, 2013, the Corporation was well capitalized as defined by the banking regulatory agencies. Regulatory capital ratios for the Corporation and the Bank are shown below:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	Regulatory Ratios			
			Well Capitalized		Minimum Minimum	
<b>Total Risk-based Capital Ratio</b>						
Franklin Financial Services Corporation	13.49	% 12.60	% 8.00	% N/A		
Farmers & Merchants Trust Company	13.08	% 12.22	% 8.00	% 10.00		%
<b>Tier 1 Risk-based Capital Ratio</b>						
Franklin Financial Services Corporation	12.23	% 11.36	% 4.00	% N/A		
Farmers & Merchants Trust Company	11.82	% 10.97	% 4.00	% 6.00		%
<b>Tier 1 Leverage Ratio</b>						
Franklin Financial Services Corporation	8.41	% 8.29	% 4.00	% N/A		
Farmers & Merchants Trust Company	8.11	% 7.99	% 4.00	% 5.00		%

On July 2, 2013, federal banking regulators adopted new capital rules. The rules are effective January 1, 2015, with selected components being phased in through 2019. Included in the new rules are: (1) a new definition of regulatory capital that places greater emphasis on common equity, (2) a new minimum common equity capital ratio of 4.5% and for well capitalized banks a ratio of 6.5%, (3) changes to risk-weights for certain assets, (4) a new capital conservation buffer of 2.5% above the minimum capital ratios, and (5) an increase in the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio from 4% to 6% and for well capitalized banks an increase from 6% to 8%.

The Bank is currently in the process of reviewing the new capital rules and analyzing its capital position. It believes at this time that it will be in compliance with the fully implemented new rules and will maintain capital ratios necessary to be considered “well capitalized” and exceed the new capital conservation buffer.

The new rule also allows most banks to make a one time, permanent “opt-out” election to exclude its unrealized gains or losses from the regulatory capital calculation. This exemption will reduce the volatility of the Bank’s capital ratios. The Bank expects to make the “opt-out” election in 2015 when available.

## Economy

The Corporation's primary market area includes Franklin, Fulton, Cumberland and Huntingdon County, PA. This area is diverse in demographic and economic makeup. County populations range from a low of approximately 15,000 in Fulton County to over 238,000 in Cumberland County. Unemployment in the Bank's market area has remained virtually unchanged over the past year and ranges from a low of 6.2% in Franklin County to high of 8.3% in Fulton County. The market area has a diverse economic base and local industries include, warehousing, truck & rail shipping centers, light and heavy manufacturers, health-care, higher education institutions, farming and agriculture, and a varied service sector. The Corporation's primary market area is located in south central Pennsylvania and provides easy access to the major metropolitan markets on the east coast via trucking and rail transportation. Because of this, warehousing and distribution companies continue to find the area attractive. The local economy is not overly dependent on any one industry or business and Management believes that the Bank's primary market area continues to be well suited for growth as the recession eases.

The following provides selected economic data for the Bank's primary market:

### Economic Data

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Unemployment Rate (seasonally adjusted)		
Market area range (1)	6.7 - 10.5%	6.8% - 10%
Pennsylvania	8.0%	7.9%
United States	7.7%	7.8%
Housing Price Index - year over year change		
PA, nonmetropolitan statistical area	1.9%	0.8%
United States	2.0%	-0.3%
Franklin County Building Permits - year over year change		
Residential, estimated	33.3%	-42.5%
Multifamily, estimated	247.2%	210.9%

(1) Franklin, Cumberland, Fulton and Huntingdon Counties

Unlike many companies, the assets and liabilities of the Corporation are financial in nature. As such, interest rates and changes in interest rates may have a more significant effect on the Corporation's financial results than on other types of industries. Because of this, the Corporation watches the actions of the Federal Reserve Open Market Committee (FOMC) as it makes decisions about interest rate changes. The FOMC continues to hold short-term rates at historic lows. The FOMC recently announced that it would hold short-term rates at the current levels until the unemployment rate falls to 6.5% and the inflation rate does not go above 2.5%. With the current unemployment rate hovering just under 8%, it is expected that the low rate environment will be ongoing well beyond 2013. A continued period of low rates will continue to have negative effects on the Bank's net interest margin as assets continue to be booked or repriced at lower rates, and liabilities rates can not be lowered significantly.

### Liquidity

The Corporation must meet the financial needs of the customers that it serves, while providing a satisfactory return on the shareholders' investment. In order to accomplish this, the Corporation must maintain sufficient liquidity in order to respond quickly to the changing level of funds required for both loan and deposit activity. The goal of liquidity management is to meet the ongoing cash flow requirements of depositors who want to withdraw funds and of borrowers who request loan disbursements. The Bank regularly reviews its liquidity position by measuring its projected



net cash flows (in and out) at a 30 and 90-day interval. The Bank stresses this measurement by assuming a level of deposit out-flows that have not historically been realized. In addition to this forecast, other funding sources are reviewed as a method to provide emergency funding if necessary. The objective of this measurement is to identify the amount of cash that could be raised quickly without the need to liquidate assets. The Bank also stresses its liquidity position utilizing different longer-term scenarios. The varying degrees of stress create pressure on deposit flows in its local market, reduce access to wholesale funding and limit access of funds available through brokered deposit channels. In addition to stressing cash flow, specific liquidity risk indicators are monitored to help identify risk areas. This analysis will help identify and quantify the potential cash surplus/deficit over a variety of time horizons to ensure the Bank has adequate funding resources. Assumptions used for liquidity stress testing are subjective. Should an evolving liquidity situation or business cycle present new data, potential assumption changes will be considered. The Bank believes it can meet all anticipated liquidity demands.

Historically, the Corporation has satisfied its liquidity needs from earnings, repayment of loans and amortizing investment securities, maturing investment securities, loan` sales, deposit growth and its ability to access existing lines of credit. All investment securities are classified as available for sale; therefore, securities that are not pledged as collateral for borrowings are an additional source of readily available liquidity, either by selling the security or, more preferably, to provide collateral for additional borrowing. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had approximately \$115 million (fair value) in its investment portfolio pledged as collateral for deposits and Repos. Another source of available liquidity for the Bank is a line of credit with the FHLB. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had approximately \$35 million available on this line of credit and \$16 million of unsecured lines of credit at correspondent banks.

In December 2011, FHLB notified the Bank it was being placed on full collateral delivery status. This status means that the Bank's ability to borrow from FHLB is reduced to the value of the collateral physically delivered to FHLB. Prior to this change, the Bank's borrowing capacity was determined by the value of all real estate loan collateral pledged to FHLB. This status is determined by an internal scorecard developed by the FHLB in its sole discretion. At June 30, 2013, the Bank had an excess borrowing capacity of \$57.7 million, which includes the amount available on the line of credit. However, this amount is well below the available capacity prior to the collateral delivery requirement. The Bank expects that it will remain on collateral delivery status throughout 2013.

The FHLB system has always been a major source of funding for community banks. The capital level of the Pittsburgh FHLB, and the entire FHLB system, has been strained due to the declining value of mortgage related assets. The Pittsburgh FHLB has already implemented steps to improve its capital position that included a suspension of its dividend and an end to its practice of redeeming members' stock. Both of these actions are not favorable to the Bank. There are no indicators that lead the Bank to believe the FHLB will discontinue its lending function. If that were to occur, it would have a negative effect on the Bank and it is unlikely that the Bank could replace the level of FHLB funding in a short time. Another action that may be considered by FHLB to increase its capital is to have a capital call on its member banks. This would require the member banks to invest more capital into the FHLB when most banks would prefer not make such an investment.

The Bank has established credit at the Federal Reserve Discount Window and as of June 30, 2013 had the ability to borrow approximately \$36 million.

### **Off Balance Sheet Commitments**

The Corporation's financial statements do not reflect various commitments that are made in the normal course of business, which may involve some liquidity risk. These commitments consist mainly of unfunded loans and letters of credit made under the same standards as on-balance sheet instruments. Because these instruments have fixed maturity dates, and because many of them will expire without being drawn upon, they do not generally present any significant liquidity risk to the Corporation. Unused commitments and standby letters of credit totaled \$232.6 million and \$223.6 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The Corporation has entered into various contractual obligations to make future payments. These obligations include time deposits, long-term debt, operating leases, deferred compensation and pension payments. These amounts have not changed materially from those reported in the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

There were no material changes in the Corporation's exposure to market risk during the six months ended June 30, 2013. For more information on market risk refer to the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of Controls and Procedures**

The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Corporation's management, including the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon the evaluation, the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of June 30, 2013, the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Corporation's reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

The management of the Corporation is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Corporation's internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

## **Part II – OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

The nature of the Corporation's business generates a certain amount of litigation involving matters arising in the ordinary course of business. However, in management's opinion, there are no proceedings pending to which the Corporation is a party or to which our property is subject, which, if determined adversely to the Corporation, would be material in relation to our shareholders' equity or financial condition. In addition, no material proceedings are pending or are known to be threatened or contemplated against us by governmental authorities or other parties.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There were no material changes in the Corporation's risk factors during the six months ended June 30, 2013. For more information, refer to the Corporation's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

### **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

None

### **Item 3. Defaults by the Company on its Senior Securities**

None

### **Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not Applicable

**Item 5. Other Information**

None

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibits

3.1 Articles of Incorporation of the Corporation. (Filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.)

3.2 Bylaws of the Corporation. (Filed as Exhibit 99 to Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 20, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)

31.1 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications – Principal Executive Officer

31.2 Rule 13a – 14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications – Principal Financial Officer

32.1 Section 1350 Certifications – Principal Executive Officer

32.2 Section 1350 Certifications – Principal Financial Officer

101 Interactive Data File (XBRL)\*

\* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

FRANKLIN FINANCIAL SERVICES CORPORATION

and SUBSIDIARIES

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Franklin Financial Services  
Corporation

August 5, 2013 /s/ William E. Snell, Jr.  
William E. Snell, Jr.  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Authorized Officer)

August 5, 2013 /s/ Mark R. Hollar  
Mark R. Hollar  
Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)