

COMMAND SECURITY CORP

Form 10-Q

November 10, 2016

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016

or

**“ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-33525

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**New York**

**14-1626307**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

512 Herndon Parkway, Suite A, Herndon, VA 20170

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

**(703) 464-4735**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock as of November 7, 2016 was 9,841,484

## **Table of Contents**

	<i>Page</i>
<b>PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations - three and six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - September 30, 2016 (unaudited) and March 31, 2016</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity – six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (unaudited)</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7-10
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	11
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	18
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	18
<b>PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	19
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	19
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	19
<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	20
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Craig P. Coy
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of N. Paul Brost
Exhibit 32.1	§1350 Certification of Craig P. Coy
Exhibit 32.2	§1350 Certification of N. Paul Brost



**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(Unaudited)**

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2015	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
Revenues	\$42,406,405	\$ 34,449,804	\$78,742,613	\$ 68,110,865
Cost of revenues	37,246,290	29,890,232	69,033,491	58,732,272
Gross profit	5,160,115	4,559,572	9,709,122	9,378,593
Operating expenses				
General and administrative	4,388,408	4,575,426	8,483,320	8,690,107
Litigation settlement	-	1,400,000	-	1,400,000
Provision for doubtful accounts, net	(33,052 )	211,892	(107,913 )	372,530
	4,355,356	6,187,318	8,375,407	10,462,637
Operating income (loss)	804,759	(1,627,746 )	1,333,715	(1,084,044 )
Other expenses				
Interest expense	(86,786 )	(41,120 )	(140,771 )	(73,170 )
Income (loss) before income taxes and equity earnings (loss) in minority investment of unconsolidated affiliate	717,973	(1,668,866 )	1,192,944	(1,157,214 )
Equity earnings (loss) in minority investment of unconsolidated affiliate	(30,000 )	74,804	(130,000 )	136,304
Income (loss) before income taxes	687,973	(1,594,062 )	1,062,944	(1,020,910 )
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes	251,000	(637,000 )	465,000	(392,000 )

Edgar Filing: COMMAND SECURITY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net income (loss)	\$436,973	\$ (957,062	) \$597,944	\$ (628,910	)
Income (loss) per share of common stock					
Basic	\$0.04	\$ (0.10	) \$0.06	\$ (0.06	)
Diluted	\$0.04	\$ (0.10	) \$0.06	\$ (0.06	)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding					
Basic	9,815,458	9,769,510	9,804,100	9,750,537	
Diluted	10,233,226	9,769,510	10,196,323	9,750,537	

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	September 30, 2016 (Unaudited)	March 31, 2016 (Audited)
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 521,650	\$ 1,486,854
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts accounts of \$541,767 and \$650,226, respectively	30,293,132	21,890,623
Prepaid expenses	1,428,908	1,853,464
Other assets	2,899,634	2,184,465
Total current assets	35,143,324	27,415,406
 Furniture and equipment at cost, net	 194,162	 258,157
 Other assets:		
Intangible assets, net	1,190,529	1,364,966
Minority investment in unconsolidated affiliate	2,565,291	2,695,291
Other assets	3,972,575	4,412,042
Total other assets	7,728,395	8,472,299
 Total assets	 \$ 43,065,881	 \$ 36,145,862
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Checks issued in advance of deposits	\$ 511,913	\$ 471,939
Short-term borrowings	11,975,262	7,011,743
Accounts payable	1,413,510	945,711
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	9,210,525	8,321,297
Total current liabilities	23,111,210	16,750,690
 Insurance reserves	 469,552	 612,462
Other non-current liabilities	700,000	700,000
Total liabilities	24,280,762	18,063,152
 Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, convertible Series A, \$.0001 par value	-	-
Common stock, \$.0001 par value	1,160	1,155
Treasury stock, at cost, 1,752,200 shares	(2,885,579 )	(2,885,579 )

Edgar Filing: COMMAND SECURITY CORP - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	18,515,055	18,410,595
Accumulated earnings	3,154,483	2,556,539
Total stockholders' equity	18,785,119	18,082,710
 Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	 \$ 43,065,881	 \$36,145,862

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*



**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY****(Unaudited)**

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid In Capital	Accumulated Earnings	Total
Balance at March 31, 2015	-	1,149	(2,885,579)	18,245,747	5,212,862	20,574,179
Options exercised, net		6		75,089		75,095
Repurchase of stock options				(14,034 )		(14,034 )
Stock compensation cost				70,229		70,229
Net loss					(628,910 )	(628,910 )
Balance at September 30, 2015	-	1,155	(2,885,579)	18,377,031	4,583,952	20,076,559
Stock compensation cost				33,564		33,564
Net loss					(2,027,413 )	(2,027,413 )
Balance at March 31, 2016	-	1,155	(2,885,579)	18,410,595	2,556,539	18,082,710
Options exercised, net		5		85,772		85,777
Stock based compensation tax benefits				10,584		10,584
Stock compensation cost				8,104		8,104
Net income					597,944	597,944
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ -	\$ 1,160	\$(2,885,579)	\$ 18,515,055	\$ 3,154,483	\$ 18,785,119

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(Unaudited)**

	Six Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$597,944	\$(628,910 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	238,432	320,908
Provision for doubtful accounts, net	(107,913 )	372,530
Equity (earnings) loss in minority investment of unconsolidated affiliate	130,000	(136,304 )
Rent expense	11,821	(14,423 )
Stock based compensation costs	8,104	70,229
Insurance reserves	(142,910 )	(4,614 )
Deferred income taxes	440,590	(1,163,439)
Change in receivables, prepaid expenses and other current assets	(8,586,332)	(1,600,518)
Change in accounts payable and other liabilities	1,345,206	733,860
Net cash used in operating activities	(6,065,058)	(2,050,681)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of equipment	-	(58,531 )
Net cash used in investing activities	-	(58,531 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net advances on short-term borrowings	4,963,519	2,000,000
Change in checks issued in advance of deposits	39,974	(72,851 )
Repurchase of stock options	-	(14,034 )
Proceeds from option exercises, net	96,361	75,095
Net cash provided by financing activities	5,099,854	1,988,210
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(965,204 )	(121,002 )
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,486,854	2,435,839
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$521,650	\$2,314,837

**Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information**

Cash paid during the six months ended September 30 for:	2016	2015
Interest	\$ 132,823	\$ 62,285
Income taxes	6,390	76,550

*See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements*

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements presented herein have not been audited, and have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q which do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2016. In this discussion, the words “Company,” “we,” “our,” “us” and terms of similar import should be deemed to refer to Command Security Corporation.

The condensed consolidated financial statements for the interim period shown in this report are not necessarily indicative of our results to be expected for any period after the date hereof, including for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017 or for any other subsequent period. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the consolidated accounts of the Company with all intercompany transactions eliminated. In the opinion of our management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements included in this quarterly report. All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

**1. Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In May 2014, the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) issued, ASU 2014-09 (*Topic 606*) *Revenue from Contracts with Customers*. The guidance substantially converges final standards on revenue recognition between the FASB and IASB providing a framework on addressing revenue recognition issues and, upon its effective date, replaces almost all existing revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance, in current U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date”, which deferred the effective date of ASU No. 2014-09 by one year, making it effective for the Company’s fiscal year ending March 31, 2019. This standard may be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented, or retrospectively with a cumulative adjustment to retained earnings in the year of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting ASU 2014-09 to determine the impact, if any, that it may have on our current practices.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, “Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes”. ASU 2015-17 simplifies the presentation of deferred taxes by requiring deferred tax assets and liabilities to be classified as non-current on the balance sheet. ASU 2015-17 is effective for the Company’s fiscal year ending March 31, 2018. The guidance may be adopted prospectively or retrospectively and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently

evaluating the impact of this guidance. Other than the reclassification of the current deferred tax asset to long term assets, the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, "Leases (Topic 842)", which requires lessees to recognize a lease liability and a right-to-use asset on the balance sheet for all leases, except certain short-term leases. ASU 2016-02 is effective beginning with the Company's fiscal year ending March 31, 2020, with early adoption permitted, and must be implemented using a modified retrospective approach for all leases existing at, or entered into after the beginning of the earliest comparative period that is presented in the financial statements. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2016-09 on its financial statements. The adoption of this guidance is expected to result in a significant increase in assets and liabilities on the Company's balance sheet.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, "Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting", which simplifies accounting and presentation of share-based payments, primarily relating to the recognition and classification of excess tax benefits, accounting for forfeitures and tax withholding requirements. ASU 2016-09 is effective beginning with the Company's fiscal year ending March 31, 2018, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2016-09 on its financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued new guidance on cash flow statement presentation ASU 2016-15, *Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230); Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments*. This ASU addresses diversity in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The new guidance is effective beginning with the Company's fiscal year ending March 31, 2019, with early adoption permitted. The Company has not yet adopted this standard and is continuing its evaluation of the impact of this guidance on its consolidated financial statements.

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**2. Short-Term Borrowings:**

On February 12, 2009, we entered into a \$20.0 million credit facility (the “Credit Agreement”) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”). This credit facility, which was most recently amended in October 2016 (see below) and matures March 31, 2017, contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, among other things, covenants requiring us to maintain certain financial ratios and is collateralized by customer accounts receivable and certain other assets of the Company as defined in the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement provides for a letter of credit sub-line in an aggregate amount of up to \$3.0 million. The Credit Agreement also provides for interest to be calculated on the outstanding principal balance of the revolving loans at the prime rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.50%. For LIBOR loans, interest will be calculated on the outstanding principal balance of the LIBOR loans at the LIBOR rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.75%.

On November 13, 2015, we entered into a fifth amendment (the “Fifth Amendment”) to our Credit Agreement. The Fifth Amendment amends a financial covenant of the Credit Agreement to allow for certain legal settlement costs associated with the Company’s settlement of a class action lawsuit (Leal v. Command Security Corporation).

On February 12, 2016, we entered into a sixth amendment (the “Sixth Amendment” to our Credit Agreement). The Sixth Amendment amends the Credit Agreement to replace the “Minimum Debt Service Coverage Ratio” covenant with a “Minimum Excess Availability” covenant that was effective as of December 31, 2015.

On October 12, 2016, we entered into a seventh amendment (the “Seventh Amendment” to our Credit Agreement). The Seventh Amendment amends the Credit Agreement to extend the existing agreement from October 16, 2016 to March 31, 2017 and amend the terms of the “Minimum Excess Availability” covenant. If we breach a covenant, Wells Fargo has the right to immediately request the repayment in full of all borrowings under the Credit Agreement, unless Wells Fargo waives the breach. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Agreement.

## Edgar Filing: COMMAND SECURITY CORP - Form 10-Q

Under the Credit Agreement, as of September 30, 2016, the interest rate was 2.375% for LIBOR loans and 2.625% for revolving loans. At September 30, 2016, we had approximately \$0.5 million of cash on hand. We also had \$8.0 million in LIBOR loans outstanding, \$4.0 million of revolving loans outstanding and \$0.5 million outstanding under our letters of credit sub-line under the Credit Agreement, representing 69% of the maximum borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement based on our “eligible accounts receivable” (as defined in the Credit Agreement) as of such date.

### 3. Other Assets:

	September 30, 2016	March 31, 2016
Workers' compensation insurance	\$ 2,012,174	\$ 1,258,066
Other receivables	6,000	44,958
Security deposits	141,161	140,019
Deferred tax asset	4,712,874	5,153,464
	6,872,209	6,596,507
Current portion	(2,899,634 )	(2,184,465 )
Total non-current portion	\$ 3,972,575	\$ 4,412,042

The other asset workers' compensation insurance represents the net amount of the payments made to cover the workers' compensation insurance premium against the actual premium due as well as the difference in the amount deposited to the loss fund less the estimated workers' compensation claims and reserves related to the historical loss claims as well as the estimates related to the incurred but not reported claims. There is no offsetting claim liability reported as the Company has determined that there is a sufficient amount deposited into the loss funds to cover the estimated claims reserve as well as the estimate related to the incurred but not reported claims.

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****(Unaudited)****4. Minority Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate**

In March 2014, the Company made a 20% minority investment in Ocean Protection Services LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("OPS LLC") which at that time owned 100% of a holding company, OPS Acquisitions, Ltd ("OPSA Ltd"), which in turn owns 100% of the operating company, Ocean Protection Services, Ltd. ("OPS Ltd."), a UK based company specializing in maritime security, risk management and risk analysis. The Company purchased 2,000 Class A Common Units of OPS LLC for a purchase price of \$2.125 million. The excess of the carrying value of the Company's investment in OPS LLC and the proportionate share of the underlying net assets of OPS Ltd. is largely attributable to goodwill. Since the Company's initial investment, there have been no additional capital contributions made or distributions received.

On September 7, 2016, the majority owner of OPS LLC consented to a restructuring transaction wherein 70% of its investment in OPSA Ltd. was transferred to a group comprising former management and owners of OPS Ltd. and the holder of the senior debt of OPSA Ltd. On September 21, 2016, the majority owner of OPS LLC transferred its 80% interest in OPS LLC to the Company resulting in the Company owning 100% of OPS LLC. No cash consideration was paid by the Company and no liabilities were undertaken by the Company in connection with the OPS LLC transfer to the Company. As a result, OPS LLC became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company thereby increasing the Company's interest in OPSA Ltd. (and OPS Ltd.) from 20% to 30%.

The following summarizes the condensed consolidated statements of operations of OPSA Ltd. for the six months ended:

	September 30, 2016	September 30, 2015
Net operating revenues	\$ 4,289,676	\$ 6,126,624
Gross profit	\$ 1,401,201	\$ 2,017,393
Operating income (loss)	\$ (274,727)	) \$ 1,282,980
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ (642,546)	) \$ 658,613

**5. Accrued Expenses and Other Liabilities:**



	September 30, 2016	March 31, 2016
Payroll and related expenses	\$ 6,647,748	\$5,530,554
Taxes and fees payable	246,887	320,333
Accrued interest payable	12,705	4,756
Other	2,303,185	2,465,654
Total	\$ 9,210,525	\$8,321,297

#### 6. Insurance Reserves:

We have an insurance policy covering workers' compensation claims in states where we perform services. Estimated accrued liabilities are based on our historical loss experience and the ratio of claims paid to our historical payout profiles. Charges for estimated workers' compensation related losses incurred and included in cost of sales were \$800,667 and \$656,885 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$ 1,771,780 and \$1,286,914 for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The nature of our business also subjects us to claims or litigation alleging that we are liable for damages as a result of the conduct of our employees or others. We insure against such claims and suits through general liability policies with third-party insurance companies.

Our insurance coverage limits are currently \$1.0 million per occurrence for non-aviation related business (with additional first and second layer excess liability policies of \$5.0 million and \$10.0 million, respectively) and \$30.0 million per occurrence for aviation related business. We retain the risk for the first \$25,000 of general liability non-aviation related operations. The aviation related deductible is \$5,000 per occurrence, with the exception of \$50,000 for airport wheelchair and electric cart operations, \$25,000 for damage to aircraft and \$100,000 for skycap operations. Estimated accrued liabilities are based on specific reserves in connection with existing claims as determined by third party risk management consultants and actuarial factors and the timing of reported claims. These are all factored into estimated losses incurred but not yet reported to us.

Cumulative amounts estimated to be payable by us with respect to pending and potential claims for all years in which we are liable under our general liability retention and workers' compensation policies have been accrued as liabilities. Such accrued liabilities are necessarily based on estimates; accordingly, our ultimate liability may exceed or be less than the amounts accrued. The methods of making such estimates and establishing the resultant accrued liability are reviewed continually and any adjustments resulting therefrom are reflected in our current results of operations.

Workers' compensation annual costs are comprised of premiums as well as incurred losses as determined at the end of the coverage period, subject to minimum and maximum amounts. Workers' compensation insurance claims and

reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accruals of estimates for claims incurred but not yet reported as provided by a third party. In estimating these accruals, we consider historical loss experience and make judgments about the expected levels of costs per claim. We believe our estimates of future liability are reasonable based upon our methodology; however, changes in health care costs, accident frequency and severity and other factors could materially affect the estimate for these liabilities. The Company continually monitors changes in claim type and incident and evaluates the workers' compensation insurance accrual, making necessary adjustments based on the evaluation of these qualitative data points.

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(Unaudited)**

**7. Earnings per Share:**

Under the requirements of FASB ASC 260-10, *Earnings Per Share*, the dilutive effect of our common shares that have not been issued, but that may be issued upon the exercise or conversion, as the case may be, of rights or options to acquire such common shares, is excluded from the calculation for basic earnings per share. Diluted earnings per share reflects the additional dilution that would result from the issuance of our common shares if such rights or options were exercised or converted, as the case may be, and is presented for the three and six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

For the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, the Company reported a net loss and, accordingly, potential common shares that would cause dilution, such as employee stock options, have been excluded from the diluted share count because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. For the three and six months ended September 30, 2015, the fully diluted shares would have been 9,892,976 and 9,937,766, respectively.

**8. Contingencies:**

The nature of our business is such that there is a significant volume of routine claims and lawsuits that are made against us, the vast majority of which never lead to the award of substantial damages. We maintain general liability and workers' compensation insurance coverage that we believe is appropriate to the relevant level of risk and potential liability that we face, relating to these matters. Some of the claims brought against us could result in significant payments; however, the exposure to us under general liability non-aviation related operations is limited to the first \$25,000 per occurrence. The aviation related deductible is \$5,000 per occurrence, with the exception of \$50,000 for airport wheelchair and electric cart operations, \$25,000 for damage to aircraft and \$100,000 for skycap operations. Any punitive damage award would not be covered by the general liability insurance policy. The only other potential impact would be on future premiums, which may be adversely affected by an unfavorable claims history.

In March 2012, the California Service Employees Health and Welfare Trust Fund filed a suit in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company seeking to maintain the payment of monthly health insurance contributions, which were stopped by the Company following the termination of the collective bargaining agreement. Venue was subsequently transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. On July 31, 2014 the Court denied the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and granted partial summary judgment in favor of the

Company. While the parties stipulated to a proposed judgment within recorded reserves, the plaintiffs' appealed the judgment before the Judge had issued a final Order, pending the outcome of the companion case filed in July 2012. In that case, the Service Employee International Union (SEIU) filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California against the Company seeking the restoration of the collective bargaining agreement between SEIU and the Company following a majority vote of Aviation Safeguards employees in December 2011 to withdraw recognition of the union. On February 20, 2014, the U.S. District Court, Central District of California, ruled in favor of the Company and granted our motion for summary judgment in full, denied the plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment and terminated the case. The plaintiffs filed their Notice of Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit on March 18, 2014 and both parties have subsequently filed appellate briefs. Oral arguments were held in March 2016 in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. On September 14, 2016 the Court issued its Opinion, reversing the lower court's order and ordering that the SEIU motion for summary judgment be granted. The Company is exploring review with the United States Supreme Court. These cases involve a high degree of inherent uncertainty and, as such, the Company is unable to estimate the eventual amount of potential loss, if any, until developments in the case yield additional information sufficient to support a quantitative assessment of the range of reasonably probable loss. At this time it is not possible to predict the outcome of this appeal or the potential cost if the plaintiffs were to prevail, however, the potential cost to the company could be material.

On April 29, 2014, the California Superior Court granted a plaintiff's motion (*Leal v. Command Security Corporation*) to certify a class consisting of all persons who were employed by the Company in a non-exempt security officer position within the State of California at any time since May 2, 2007 through the date of trial who agreed to and signed an on-duty meal period agreement at the time of their employment. The case is a certified class action involving allegations that the Company violated certain California state laws relating to on-duty meal and rest breaks. On November 12, 2015, the Company agreed to a maximum settlement amount of \$2.0 million, including plaintiff's attorney fees and costs, administration costs, and certain other miscellaneous costs. As part of the settlement, the parties further agreed that (i) the final settlement will be subject to court approval; (ii) a minimum of 50% of the net proceeds will be distributed to the class; and (iii) the settlement will be paid in two installments, the first to be paid upon court approval of the final settlement agreement and the second to be paid no later than one year from final approval. The Company expects court approval and the first of the two installments to be paid before December 31, 2016.

The *Leal v. Command Security Corporation* lawsuit is one of numerous class action lawsuits filed during the past two years against security guard companies in California related to meal and rest break regulations. The Company aggressively defended its position in this case; however, given the environment in California regarding similar lawsuits, the Company settled this matter and believes that settling the matter provided a favorable outcome. While the parties have established a maximum settlement amount at \$2.0 million, the Company recorded a \$1.4 million provision in the quarter ended September 30, 2015. We record legal costs associated with loss contingencies as expenses in the period in which they are incurred. This provision is based on the terms of the settlement and historical statistical information as to the expected rate of participation in similar cases provided to the Company by claims administrators. In the event the rate of participation in the settlement by class members were to exceed current estimates the final settlement amount could increase up to the maximum settlement amount. The settlement will be administered over the next one to two years.

In addition to such cases, we have been named as a defendant in several uninsured employment related claims that are pending before various courts, the Equal Employment Opportunities Commission or various state and local agencies. We have instituted policies to minimize these occurrences and monitor those that do occur. At this time, we are unable

to determine the impact on the financial position and results of operations that these claims may have, should the investigations conclude that they are valid.

We have employment agreements with certain of our officers and key employees with terms which range from one to three years. The agreements generally provide for annual salaries and for salary continuation for a specified number of months under certain circumstances, including a change in control of the Company. Approximately 36% of our workforce is subject to a collective bargaining agreement which is set to expire on March 31, 2017, or a recognition agreement with SEIU 32BJ.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes contained in this quarterly report.

### **Forward Looking Statements**

Certain of our statements contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of this quarterly report and, in particular, those under the heading "Outlook," contain forward-looking statements. The words "may," "will," "should," "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "plans," "intend" and "contingent" or the negative of these words or other variations on these words or comparable terminology typically identify such statements. These statements are based on our management's current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industry in which we operate generally, and other beliefs of and assumptions made by our management, some or many of which may be incorrect. In addition, other written or verbal statements that constitute forward-looking statements may be made by us or on our behalf. While our management believes these statements are accurate, our business is dependent upon general economic conditions and various conditions specific to the industries in which we operate. Moreover, we believe that the current business environment is more challenging and difficult than it has been in the past several years, if not longer. Many of our customers, particularly those that are primarily involved in the aviation industry, are currently experiencing substantial financial and business difficulties. If the business of any substantial customer or group of customers fails or is materially and adversely affected by the current economic environment or otherwise, they may seek to substantially reduce their expenditures for our services. Any loss of business from our substantial customers could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements that we have made in this quarterly report. Further, other factors, including, but not limited to, those relating to the shortage of qualified labor, competitive conditions and adverse changes in economic conditions of the various markets in which we operate, could adversely impact our business, operations and financial condition and cause our actual results to fail to meet our expectations, as expressed in the forward-looking statements that we have made in this quarterly report. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that we may not be able to accurately predict. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any of these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

As provided for under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we wish to caution shareholders and investors that the important factors under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with respect to our fiscal year ended March 31, 2016, could cause our actual financial condition and results from operations to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements in this quarterly report.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Critical accounting policies are defined as those most important to the portrayal of a company's financial condition and results and that require the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The estimates that we make include allowances for doubtful accounts, depreciation and amortization, income tax assets and insurance reserves. Estimates are based on historical experience, where applicable or other assumptions that management believes are reasonable under the circumstances. We have identified the policies described below as our critical accounting policies. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making estimates, actual results may differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

We record revenues as services are provided to our customers. Revenues consist primarily of aviation and security services, which are typically billed at hourly rates. These rates may vary depending on base, overtime and holiday time worked. Revenue is reported net of applicable taxes.

#### **Accounts Receivable**

We periodically evaluate the requirement for providing for billing adjustments and/or reflect the extent to which we will be able to collect our accounts receivable. We provide for billing adjustments where management determines that there is a likelihood of a significant adjustment for disputed billings. Criteria used by management to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts include, among others, the creditworthiness of the customer, current trends, prior payment performance, the age of the receivables and our overall historical loss experience. Individual accounts are charged off against the allowance as management deems them to be uncollectible.

#### **Minority Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliate**

The Company including its consolidated subsidiary, OPS LLC, use the equity method to account for its investment in OPSA Ltd. Equity method investments are recorded at original cost and adjusted periodically to recognize: (i) our proportionate share of investees' net income or losses after the date of the investment; (ii) additional contributions made or distributions received; and (iii) impairment losses resulting from adjustments to net realizable value. The Company reviews its investment accounted for under the equity method of accounting for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a loss in the value of the investment may be other than temporary.





### Intangible Assets

Intangible assets are stated at cost and consist primarily of customer lists and borrowing costs that are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of three to ten years, and goodwill, which is reviewed annually for impairment. The life assigned to acquired customer lists is based on management's estimate of our expected customer attrition rate. The attrition rate is estimated based on historical contract longevity and management's operating experience. We test for impairment annually or when events and circumstances warrant such a review, if earlier. Any potential impairment is evaluated based on anticipated undiscounted future cash flows and actual customer attrition in accordance with FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant and Equipment*.

### Insurance Reserves

General liability estimated accrued liabilities are calculated on an undiscounted basis based on actual claim data and estimates of incurred but not reported claims developed utilizing historical claim trends. Projected settlements and incurred but not reported claims are estimated based on pending claims, historical trends and related data.

Workers' compensation annual costs are comprised of premiums as well as incurred losses as determined at the end of the coverage period, subject to minimum and maximum amounts. Workers' compensation insurance claims and reserves include accruals of estimated settlements for known claims, as well as accruals of estimates for claims incurred but not yet reported as provided by a third party. In estimating these accruals, we consider historical loss experience and make judgments about the expected levels of costs per claim. We believe our estimates of future liability are reasonable based upon our methodology; however, changes in health care costs, accident frequency and severity and other factors could materially affect the estimate for these liabilities. The Company continually monitors changes in claim type and incident and evaluates the workers' compensation insurance accrual, making necessary adjustments based on the evaluation of these qualitative data points.

### Income Taxes

Income taxes are based on income (loss) for financial reporting purposes and reflect a current tax liability (asset) for the estimated taxes payable (recoverable) in the current year tax return and changes in deferred taxes. Deferred tax assets or liabilities are determined based on differences between financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using enacted tax laws and rates. A valuation allowance is provided on deferred tax assets if it is determined that it is more likely than not that the asset will not be realized.

We recognize the effect of income tax positions only if those positions are more likely than not of being sustained. Recognized income tax positions are measured at the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely of being realized. Changes in recognition or measurement are reflected in the period in which the change in judgment occurs. In the event that interest and/or penalties are assessed in connection with our tax filings, interest will be recorded as interest expense and penalties as selling, general and administrative expense. We did not have any unrecognized tax benefits as of September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Stock Based Compensation

FASB ASC 718, Stock Compensation, requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values at grant date and the recognition of the related expense over the period in which the share-based compensation vests. We use the modified-prospective transition method. Under the modified-prospective transition method, we recognize compensation expense in our financial statements issued subsequent to the date of adoption for all share-based payments granted, modified or settled. Non-cash charges of \$8,104 and \$70,229 for stock based compensation have been recorded for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

## Overview

We principally provide uniformed security officers and aviation services to commercial, residential, financial, industrial, aviation and governmental customers through approximately 28 offices throughout the United States. In conjunction with providing these services, we assume responsibility for a variety of functions, including recruiting, hiring, training and supervising all operating personnel as well as paying such personnel and providing them with uniforms, fringe benefits and workers' compensation insurance.

Our customer-focused mission is to provide the best personalized supervision and management attention necessary to deliver timely and efficient security solutions so that our customers can operate in safe environments without disruption or loss. Technology underpins our efficiency, accuracy and dependability. We use a sophisticated software system that integrates scheduling, payroll and billing functions, giving customers the benefit of customized programs using the personnel best suited to the job.

Renewing and extending existing contracts and obtaining new contracts are crucial to our ability to generate revenues, earnings and cash flow. In addition, our growth strategy involves the acquisition and integration of complementary businesses in order to increase our scale within certain geographical areas, increase our market share in the markets in which we operate, gain market share in the markets in which we do not currently operate and improve our profitability. We intend to pursue suitable acquisition opportunities for contract security officer businesses. We frequently evaluate acquisition opportunities and, at any given time, may be in various stages of due diligence or preliminary discussions with respect to a number of potential acquisitions. However, we cannot assure you that we will identify any suitable acquisition candidates or, if identified, that we will be able to complete the acquisition of such candidates on favorable terms or at all.

The global security industry has grown largely due to an increasing fear of crime and terrorism. In the United States, the demand for security-related products and central station monitoring services also has grown steadily. We believe that there is continued heightened attention to and demand for security due to worldwide events, and the ensuing threat, or perceived threat, of criminal and terrorist activities. For these reasons, we expect that security will continue to be a key area of focus both domestically in the United States and abroad.

Demand for security officer services is dependent upon a number of factors, including, among other things, demographic trends, general economic variables such as growth in the gross domestic product, unemployment rates, consumer spending levels, perceived and actual crime rates, government legislation, terrorism sensitivity, war/external conflicts and technology.

## **Results of Operations**

### **Revenues**

Our revenues increased by \$8.0 million, or 23.1%, to \$42.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 from \$34.4 million in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was due mainly to the commencement of work under the contracts with the USPS in June 2016 and a large on-line retailer in April 2016. In addition, revenues from a major transportation company increased by approximately \$0.6 million and revenues from financial and banking institutions increased by approximately \$0.3 million. These increases were partly offset by reductions in revenues of approximately \$0.7 million from residential and retail customers, approximately \$0.7 million from temporary airport construction related services and from California based technology companies of approximately \$0.6 million.

Our revenues increased by \$10.6 million, or 15.6%, to \$78.7 million for the six months ended September 30, 2016 from \$68.1 million in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in revenues for the six months ended September 30, 2016 was due mainly to the commencement of work under the contracts with the USPS in June 2016 and a large on-line retailer in April 2016. In addition, revenues from a major transportation company increased by approximately \$0.9 million, revenues from New York based healthcare facilities increased by approximately \$0.5 million and revenues from financial and banking institutions increased by approximately \$0.5 million. These increases were partially offset by reductions in revenues of approximately \$1.5 million from temporary airport construction related services, approximately \$1.5 million from California based technology companies and approximately \$1.2 million from residential and retail customers.

### **Gross Profit**

Our gross profit increased by \$0.6 million, or 13.2%, to \$5.2 million (12.2% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from \$4.6 million (13.2% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in gross profit was due mainly to the commencement of work under the contracts with the USPS and the large on-line retailer as discussed above, partly offset by a decline in gross profit from temporary airport construction related security services.

Our gross profit increased by \$0.3 million, or 3.5%, to \$9.7 million (12.3% of revenues) for the six months ended September 30, 2016, from \$9.4 million, (13.8% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in gross profit was due mainly to the commencement of work under the contracts with the USPS and the large on-line retailer, an increase in gross profit from financial institutions and an increase in equipment sales. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in profits following the decline in revenues from temporary airport construction related services, California based technology companies and various residential and retail customers.

The decline in gross profit margins for the three and six months ended September 30, 2016, was primarily due to the decline in revenues from temporary airport construction related security services and certain start-up costs incurred in connection with the above-mentioned new contracts.

**General and Administrative Expenses**

Our general and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.2 million, or 4.1%, to \$4.4 million (10.3% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from \$4.6 million (13.3% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was driven primarily by lower legal and consulting fees, partly offset by higher employee compensation benefits costs, information technology and communications costs.

Our general and administrative expenses decreased by \$0.2 million, or 2.4%, to \$8.5 million (10.8% of revenues) for the six months ended September 30, 2016, from \$8.7 million (12.8% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in general and administrative expenses for the six months ended September 30, 2016 was driven primarily by lower legal fees, partly offset by higher employee compensation, benefits costs, information technology and communications costs.

**Provision for Doubtful Accounts**

The provision for doubtful accounts for the three months ended September 30, 2016, net of recoveries, decreased by \$244,944 to net recoveries of \$33,052 as compared with net expense of \$211,892 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in the net provision for doubtful accounts for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was driven primarily by the recovery of approximately \$45,600 of specific accounts previously considered uncollectible and a reduction in past due accounts.

The provision for doubtful accounts for the six months ended September 30, 2016, net of recoveries, decreased by \$480,443 to net recoveries of \$107,913 as compared with net expense of \$372,530 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in the net provision for doubtful accounts for the six months ended September 30, 2016 was driven primarily by recovery of approximately \$75,462 of specific accounts previously deemed uncollectible and a reduction in past due accounts.

We periodically evaluate the requirement for providing for billing adjustments and/or credit losses on our accounts receivable. We provide for billing adjustments in cases where our management determines that there is a likelihood of a significant adjustment for disputed billings. Criteria used by management to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts include, among others, the creditworthiness of the customer, current trends, prior payment performance, the age of the receivables and our overall historical loss experience. Individual accounts are charged off against the allowance for doubtful accounts as our management deems them to be uncollectible. We do not know if bad debts will increase in future periods.

**Operating Income (Loss)**

Our operating income increased by \$2.4 million, or 149%, to \$0.8 million (1.9% of revenues) for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from an operating loss of \$1.6 million (4.7% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in operating income was driven primarily by the absence of a \$1.4 million settlement charge, the \$0.6 improvement in gross profit mentioned above, the \$0.2 million reduction to general and administrative expenses and the \$0.2 million increase in recoveries of specific accounts receivable previously considered uncollectable.

Our operating income increased by \$2.4 million, or 223%, to \$1.3 million (1.7% of revenues) for the six months ended September 30, 2016, as compared with an operating loss of \$1.1 million (1.6% of revenues) in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in operating income was driven primarily by the absence of a \$1.4 million settlement charge, the \$0.5 million reduction in the provision for doubtful accounts mentioned above, the \$0.2 million reduction to general and administrative expenses and the \$0.3 million improvement in gross profit.

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased by \$45,666, or 111%, to \$86,786 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, from \$41,120 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in interest expense for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was due to higher average outstanding borrowings and higher interest rates under our credit agreement with Wells Fargo, described below.

Interest expense increased by \$67,601, or 92.4%, to \$140,771 for the six months ended September 30, 2016, from \$73,170 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The increase in interest expense for the six months ended September 30, 2016 was due primarily to higher average outstanding borrowings and higher interest rates under our credit agreement with Wells Fargo, described below.

**Equity Earnings (Loss) in Minority Investment of Unconsolidated Affiliate**

The Company uses the equity method to account for its investment in OPS Acquisitions Ltd. (“OPSA”). Equity method investments are recorded at original cost and adjusted periodically to recognize: (i) our proportionate share of investees’ net income or losses after the date of the investment; (ii) additional contributions made or distributions received; and (iii) impairment losses resulting from adjustments to net realizable value. The Company reviews its investment accounted for under the equity method of accounting for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a loss in the value of the investment may be other than temporary.

The Company's proportionate share of the net loss of OPSA for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was \$30,000 as compared with net income of \$74,804 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in the Company's proportionate share of net income of OPSA was due to a reduction in revenues driven by a decrease in total missions during the three months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015, as well as changes in the number and composition of assigned security personnel. In addition, during the three months ended September 30, 2016, OPSA recognized approximately \$122,000 of legal and other costs incurred in connection with recent changes in its ownership structure.



The Company's proportionate share of the net loss of OPSA for the six months ended September 30, 2016 was \$130,000 as compared with net income of \$136,304 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The decrease in the Company's proportionate share of net income of OPSA was due to a reduction in revenues driven by a decrease in total missions during the six months ended September 30, 2016 as compared to the six months ended September 30, 2015, as well as changes in the number and composition of assigned security personnel. In addition, during the six months ended September 30, 2016, OPSA recognized approximately \$767,000 of costs incurred in connection with certain strategic growth initiatives and other costs incurred in connection with recent changes in the ownership of OPSA.

During the past two fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and continuing into the first nine months of 2016, OPSA has experienced a decline in revenues and net income from continuing operations. Specifically, for the year ended December 31, 2015, revenues declined 16.1% from the year ended December 31, 2014, gross profits declined by 10.2% and net income from continuing operations declined by 31.4%. However, during the same periods, gross profit as a percent of revenues increased from 30.6% to 32.8%.

OPSA revenues for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 were \$4.3 million and \$6.1 million, respectively. Gross profit margins for the same periods were 32.7% and 32.9%, respectively.

The above-mentioned decline in revenues was driven by an overall reduction in world-wide shipping activity, reduced demand for security personnel as a result of declines in attempted and successful piracy attacks, lower insurance rates and lower oil prices allowing operators the option of longer routes through lower risk areas further leading to a decline in demand for security services. The maturing of this industry has also led to price competition further compressing revenues and margins.

In addition, during the nine months ended September 30, 2016, OPSA has pursued certain strategic growth opportunities costing approximately \$1.0 million that have resulted in increases in a variety of related costs including salaries and wages, legal, consulting, travel and financing costs. And, during the three months ended September 30, 2016, OPSA incurred \$122,000 of costs in connection with recent changes in the ownership of OPSA.

The combination of the above-mentioned decline in revenues and increased costs has resulted in short-term liquidity pressures that may impact OPSA's ability to remain current in its obligations under its senior debt. However, the Company and OPSA believe the core business will continue to generate gross profit margins reasonably consistent with historical results and further, there are initiatives underway to reduce operating expenses. While there can be no assurance that OPSA will be able to increase revenues and/or net income from continuing operations in the foreseeable future or improve its liquidity outlook so as to avoid a default under its credit agreement, the Company believes there is a reasonable possibility to return OPSA to more stable and predictable levels of profitability. Management performed an impairment analysis as of March 31, 2016 and concluded its investment in OPSA was not impaired at that time. The Company has and will continue to closely monitor the operations of OPSA and review its

investment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate a loss in the value of the investment may be other than temporary.

**Provision for (benefit from) income taxes**

The provision for income taxes increased by \$888,000 to a net tax provision of \$251,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared with a net tax benefit of \$637,000 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The Company's effective tax rate decreased by 3.5% to 36.5% for the three months ended September 30, 2016 compared with 40.0% in the corresponding period of the prior year.

The provision for income taxes increased by \$857,000 to a net tax provision of \$465,000 for the six months ended September 30, 2016 compared to a net tax benefit of \$392,000 in the corresponding period of the prior year. The Company's effective tax rate increased by 5.3% to 43.7% for the six months ended September 30, 2016 compared with 38.4% in the corresponding period of the prior year.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We pay approximately 81% of our employees on a bi-weekly basis with the remaining employees being paid on a weekly basis, while customers pay for services generally within 60 days from the invoice date. We maintain a commercial revolving loan arrangement, currently with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association ("Wells Fargo"). We fund our payroll and operations primarily through borrowings under our \$20.0 million credit facility with Wells Fargo (as amended, the "Credit Agreement"), described below under "Short Term Borrowings."

We principally use short-term borrowings under our Credit Agreement to fund our accounts receivable. Our short-term borrowings have supported the accounts receivable associated with our organic growth. We intend to continue to use short-term borrowings to support our working capital requirements.

We believe that our existing funds, cash generated from operations, and existing sources of and access to financing are adequate to satisfy our working capital, capital expenditure and debt service requirements for the foreseeable future. However, we cannot assure you that this will continue to be the case. We may be required to obtain alternative or additional financing to maintain and expand our existing operations through the sale of our securities, an increase in the amount of available borrowings under our Credit Agreement, obtaining additional financing from other financial institutions, or otherwise. The failure by us to obtain such financing, if needed, would have a material adverse effect upon our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Short-Term Borrowings:

On February 12, 2009, we entered into a \$20.0 million credit facility (the “Credit Agreement”) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (“Wells Fargo”). This credit facility, which was most recently amended in October 2016 (see below) and matures March 31, 2017, contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, including, among other things, covenants requiring us to maintain certain financial ratios and is collateralized by customer accounts receivable and certain other assets of the Company as defined in the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement provides for a letter of credit sub-line in an aggregate amount of up to \$3.0 million. The Credit Agreement also provides for interest to be calculated on the outstanding principal balance of the revolving loans at the prime rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.50%. For LIBOR loans, interest will be calculated on the outstanding principal balance of the LIBOR loans at the LIBOR rate (as defined in the Credit Agreement) plus 1.75%.

On November 13, 2015, we entered into a fifth amendment (the “Fifth Amendment”) to our Credit Agreement. The Fifth Amendment amends a financial covenant of the Credit Agreement to allow for certain legal settlement costs associated with the Company’s settlement of a class action lawsuit (Leal v. Command Security Corporation).

On February 12, 2016, we entered into a sixth amendment (the “Sixth Amendment” to our Credit Agreement). The Sixth Amendment amends the Credit Agreement to replace the “Minimum Debt Service Coverage Ratio” covenant with a “Minimum Excess Availability” covenant that was effective as of December 31, 2015.

On October 12, 2016, we entered into a seventh amendment (the “Seventh Amendment” to our Credit Agreement). The Seventh Amendment amends the Credit Agreement to extend the existing agreement from October 16, 2016 to March 31, 2017 and amend the terms of the “Minimum Excess Availability” covenant. If we breach a covenant, Wells Fargo has the right to immediately request the repayment in full of all borrowings under the Credit Agreement, unless Wells Fargo waives the breach. For the three months ended September 30, 2016, we were in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Agreement.

Under the Credit Agreement, as of September 30, 2016, the interest rate was 2.375% for LIBOR loans and 2.6250% for revolving loans. At September 30, 2016, we had approximately \$0.5 million of cash on hand. We also had \$8.0 million in LIBOR loans outstanding, \$3.8 million of revolving loans outstanding and \$0.5 million outstanding under our letters of credit sub-line under the Credit Agreement, representing 69% of the maximum borrowing capacity under the Credit Agreement based on our “eligible accounts receivable” (as defined in the Credit Agreement) as of such date.

Investments and Capital Expenditures

We have no material commitments for capital expenditures at this time.

Working Capital

Our working capital increased by \$1.4 million, or 12.8%, to \$12.0 million as of September 30, 2016, from \$10.7 million as of March 31, 2016.

We had checks drawn in advance of future deposits of \$0.5 million at September 30, 2016, and March 31, 2016. Cash balances, book overdrafts and payroll and related expenses can fluctuate materially from day to day depending on such factors as collections, timing of billing and payroll dates, and are covered via advances from the revolving loan as checks are presented for payment.

## **Outlook**

### **Operating Initiatives**

During the last few years the Company has pursued several initiatives to improve our competitive and strategic position. Significant progress has been made in rebuilding and strengthening our management team and improving the efficiency and functional effectiveness of our organization, systems and processes. In December 2014 we re-entered the U.S. federal government market with the award of the U.S. Postal Service (“USPS”) contract which had been the subject of a long and challenging protest process. On April 7, 2016, the Court of Federal Claims dismissed the protest filed by Universal Protective Services (“Universal”) on January 27, 2016. Following the dismissal of Universal’s claim, the Company reinitiated activities to fully assume the USPS Contract in two phases. On June 6, 2016, the Company commenced work at approximately half the locations and on June 13, 2016, commenced work at the remaining locations.

Also consistent with the Company’s initiative to compete for larger contract opportunities, the Company commenced work on a new multi-state security services contract with a large on-line retailer in April 2016. With a stronger foundation and a more effective organization, the Company is currently engaged in a corporate-wide campaign with four basic focus areas:

- Improved performance through better systems, procedures and training;
- Profitable top line revenue growth through identification of larger bid and proposal opportunities including new Federal and/or international opportunities and potential acquisitions;
- Dedicated marketing and sales efforts in specific industry sectors that complement our core capabilities, geography and operational expertise; and,
- Attention to details and discipline that will drive operating efficiencies, and enhance enterprise value.

These strategic initiatives may result in future costs related to new business development expenses, severance and other employee-related matters, litigation risks and expenses, and other costs. At this time we are unable to determine the scope of these potential costs.

### **Financial Results**

Our future revenues will largely depend on our ability to gain additional business from new and existing customers in our security officer and aviation services divisions at acceptable margins, while minimizing terminations of contracts with existing customers. In addition, our growth strategy involves the acquisition and integration of complementary businesses to increase our scale within certain geographical areas, capture market share in the markets in which we operate, enter new markets and improve our profitability. We intend to pursue acquisition opportunities for contract security officer businesses. Our ability to complete future acquisitions will depend on our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, negotiate acceptable terms for their acquisition and, if necessary, finance those acquisitions. Our security services division continues to experience organic growth over recent quarters as demand for our security services has steadily increased. Our current focus is on increasing our revenues, as our sales and marketing team and branch managers' work to develop new business and retain profitable contracts. During recent years, the Department of Homeland Security and the Transportation Security Administration have implemented numerous security measures that affect airline operations, including expanded cargo and baggage screening, and are likely to implement additional measures in the future. Additional measures taken to enhance either passenger or cargo security procedures in the future may increase the airline industry's demand for third party services provided by us. Additionally, our aviation services division is continually subject to such government regulation, which has adversely affected us in the past with the federalization of the pre-board screening services and the document verification process at several of our domestic airport locations.

Our gross profit margin during the six months ended September 30, 2016 was 12.3%. We expect gross profit to remain under pressure due primarily to continued price competition, including competition from companies that have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have. However, we expect these effects will be moderated by continued operational efficiencies resulting from better management and leveraging of our cost structures, workflow process efficiencies associated with our integrated financial software system and higher contributions from our continuing new business development.

Our security services division generated approximately \$48.8 million or 62.0% of our total revenues in the six months ended September 30, 2016. Our aviation services division generated approximately \$29.9 million or 38.0% of our total revenues in the six months ended September 30, 2016.

In the six months ended September 30, 2016, the Company had seven customers who, in the aggregate, represented approximately 54% of the Company's revenues for the six months ended September 30, 2016, with two of those customers representing 13% and 11% of total revenues, respectively. These customers include one domestic and one international airline, three major transportation & logistics companies, a northeast U.S. based healthcare facility and an airline consortium. Any loss of business with these customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operation.

As noted earlier, on February 12, 2009, we entered into a \$20.0 million Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo, which was most recently amended in October 2016, as described above. As of the close of business on October 24, 2016, 2016, our total outstanding borrowings under the Credit Agreement were approximately \$10.0 million and our total availability was approximately \$7.5 million, which we believe is sufficient to meet our needs for the foreseeable future barring any increase in reserves imposed by Wells Fargo. We believe that existing funds, cash generated from

operations, and existing sources of and access to financing are adequate to satisfy our working capital, planned capital expenditures and debt service requirements for the foreseeable future, barring any increase in reserves imposed by Wells Fargo. However, we cannot assure you that this will be the case, and we may be required to obtain alternative or additional financing to maintain and expand our existing operations through the sale of our securities, an increase in the amount of available borrowings under our Credit Agreement, obtaining additional financing from other financial institutions or otherwise. The financial markets generally, and the credit markets in particular, continue to be volatile, both in the United States and in other markets worldwide. The current market situation has resulted generally in substantial reductions in available loans to a broad spectrum of businesses, increased scrutiny by lenders of the credit-worthiness of borrowers, more restrictive covenants imposed by lenders upon borrowers under credit and similar agreements and, in some cases, increased interest rates under commercial and other loans. If we require alternative or additional financing at this or any other time, we cannot assure you that such financing will be available upon commercially acceptable terms or at all. If we fail to obtain additional financing when and if required by us, our business, financial condition and results of operations would be materially adversely affected.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

During the six months ended September 30, 2016, we did not hold a portfolio of securities instruments for either trading or speculative purposes. Periodically, we hold securities instruments for other than trading purposes. Due to the short-term nature of our investments, we believe that we have no material exposure to changes in the fair value as a result of market fluctuations.

We are exposed to market risk in connection with changes in interest rates, primarily in connection with outstanding balances under our revolving line of credit with Wells Fargo, which was entered into for purposes other than trading purposes. Based on our average outstanding balances during the six months ended September 30, 2016, a 1% change in the prime and/or LIBOR lending rates could impact our financial position and results of operations by approximately \$44,000 over the remainder of our fiscal year ending March 31, 2017. For additional information on the revolving line of credit with Wells Fargo, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources – Short Term Borrowings.”

Reference is made to Item 2 of Part I of this quarterly report, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Forward Looking Statements.”

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures”, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that such controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.



An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation and subject to the foregoing, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2016. There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our second quarter of fiscal 2017 ended September 30, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

See our discussion under Note 8 “Contingencies” to the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1 of Part I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes to our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2016.

### **Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibit 10.1 Seventh Amendment to Credit and Security Agreement, dated as of October 12, 2016, between Command Security Corporation and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to the Company’s Current Report on Form 8-K dated October 14, 2016.)

Exhibit 31.1\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.2\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.1\*\* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.2\*\* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 99.1\* Press Release dated November 10, 2016 .

Exhibit 101\* The following materials from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 are formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2016 and March 31, 2016, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended

Edgar Filing: COMMAND SECURITY CORP - Form 10-Q

September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015, and (v) Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

\*Filed herewith

\*\*Furnished herewith

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**COMMAND SECURITY CORPORATION**

Date: November 10, 2016 By: /s/ Craig P. Coy

Craig P. Coy  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ N. Paul Brost

N. Paul Brost  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)