

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES INC
Form 10-K
March 31, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 1-10367

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc.
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

71-0675758
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

914 N Jefferson Street
Springdale, Arkansas
(Address of principal executive offices)

72764
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(479) 756-7400

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:
None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Class A common stock, \$.01 par value
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing stock price of such common equity as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was \$13,519,106 (for the purposes hereof, directors, executive officers and 10% or greater shareholders have been deemed affiliates).

Number of shares of common stock outstanding at March 30, 2011: Class A — 48,800,531; Class B — 1,465,530

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of our Definitive Proxy Statement for our 2011 annual meeting scheduled to be held in May 2011, and expected to be filed within 120 days of our fiscal year end, are incorporated by reference into Part III.

Table of Contents

| | | Page No. |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|
| <u>Part I</u> | | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Reserved</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Part II</u> | | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> | <u>12</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Part III</u> | | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Part IV</u> | | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | | <u>15</u> |
| <u>Index to Financial Statements</u> | | <u>F-1</u> |

Part I

Item 1. Business.

Summary

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. (AERT), founded in 1988, develops and commercializes technologies to recycle waste polyethylene plastics and develops, manufactures, and markets value added, green building compounds. Our primary products are composite building materials that are used in place of traditional wood or plastic products for exterior applications in building and remodeling homes and for certain other industrial or commercial building purposes. Our products are made primarily from approximately equal amounts of waste wood fiber that has been cleaned, sized and reprocessed, and recycled polyethylene plastics that have been cleaned, processed, and reformulated utilizing our patented and proprietary technologies. Our products have been extensively tested, and are sold by leading national companies such as the BlueLinx Corporation (BlueLinx), Lowe's Companies, Inc. (Lowe's) and Therma-Tru Corporation. Our products are primarily used in renovation and remodeling by consumers, homebuilders, and contractors as an exterior green (environmentally responsible) building alternative for decking, railing, and trim products. We have recently started up of a new Green Age plastic recycling, cleaning and reformulation facility at Watts, OK with the intent of initiating marketing and sales of (green) recycled plastic resins and green filler resins to third parties later in year 2011. It is our intent to have recycled plastic sales become a significant part of our business in the future.

Products

Building on our base process and materials, we manufacture the following product lines:

- Commercial and residential decking planks and accessories such as balusters and handrails (MoistureShield® and ChoiceDek®),
 - Exterior door components,
 - Exterior housing trim (MoistureShield®),
 - Deck tiles, and
 - Green, recycled resin compounds.

The wood fiber content of our products gives them many properties similar to all-wood products, but we believe the plastic content makes our products superior to either all-wood or all-plastic alternatives because:

- Unlike wood, our products do not require preservatives or treatment with toxic chemicals nor do they require annual water sealing or staining.
- Our products are less subject to thermal contraction or expansion and have greater dimensional stability than competing all-plastic products.
 - Our products are engineered for superior moisture-resistance and will not swell or expand like wood.
- Our products can be designed and extruded through dies to a desired shape in accordance with customer specifications, which helps the customer to minimize waste.

- Our products are less subject to rotting, cracking, warping, and splintering, insect infestation and water absorption than conventional wood materials.
 - Our products can be aesthetically enhanced to provide a wood-like or grained surface appearance.
- Our products can be combined with coloring agents and/or other additives to provide various colors and aesthetics.

1

Our latest generation of products offers multidimensional color levels to more closely resemble the natural look of wood. Also, we began to include a mildewcide in our products in 2006 that inhibits the growth of mold.

Based upon our extensive product testing and successful extended field history of over a decade, we offer a limited lifetime replacement warranty on our products against rot and fungal decay, and termite and insect damage.

Marketing and Sales

General Market Strategy. Our products are designed for applications where we can add the greatest value and address market needs, i.e. for external applications where wood is prone to rot and/or requires substantial annual maintenance in the form of staining or water sealing. Though we believe there are many possible applications for our wood/plastic composite technology, we have focused our resources and personnel on outdoor decking and handrail components and door and other OEM components, which in our view represent the most attractive market opportunities at this time. Within our chosen markets, we are constantly working to develop and improve strong customer relationships.

Sales and Customer Service. We provide sales support and customer service through our own marketing department, contract marketing through outside commissioned representatives, through BlueLinx, and through training programs for our customers and their sales associates. We also promote our decking products through interactive displays at national, regional, and local home and garden shows, as well as through in-store displays. Our in-house sales and customer support team is focused on serving commercial decking contractors and customers, and supporting the sales professionals at our regional building products distributors, as well as BlueLinx and Lowe's. Information and customer service are provided through the websites www.choicedek.com and www.moistureshield.com, and through a national toll-free customer assistance telephone number: 1-800-951-5117.

Cyclical Nature of Building Products Industry. Our products are used primarily in home improvement and new home construction. The home improvement and housing construction industries are subject to significant fluctuations in activity and periodic downturns caused by general economic conditions. High fuel prices, reduced disposable income, and economic uncertainty in particular can lead to reduced home improvement activity. Reductions in such activity have an adverse effect on the demand for our products. We have focused a large portion of our business on the remodel and repair market segment, which we believe is less cyclical than the new homebuilding market.

Facility Upgrades/Product Innovation. In our constant pursuit to satisfy our customers, and to keep up with changing trends in the marketplace, we routinely analyze the need to develop new products and improve existing products. We have invested significantly in plastic recycling technology and infrastructure over the last several years, which is also a strategic initiative designed to help insulate our raw materials purchasing from wide price swings associated with the petrochemical markets. As technology has improved, so has the aesthetics of our products, which are overwhelmingly composed of recycled materials. The startup of our next-generation Watts, Oklahoma recycling facility has allowed us to expand into additional customer driven opportunities.

The composite decking business is continuously evolving. The technology used to manufacture wood/plastic boards has advanced significantly over the last several years, and many contemporary products have much improved aesthetics. Going forward, it will be important for AERT to continue to innovate, keep in close touch with consumer trends and focus on regional market trends while always remaining competitive with wood decking.

Innovation

We are committed to becoming the leader in green building products from recycled plastic materials. In addition, we believe plastic recycling technologies could lead to new opportunities in the future. Our Watts, Oklahoma facility, which is designed to recover, utilize, and convert lower grades of waste plastics into usable feedstocks, is an example of our efforts to continually improve our recycling technology. By utilizing technology, we are upgrading the quality

and aesthetics of our products made from primarily recycled raw materials to levels comparable to virgin resin based materials.

As manufacturing technology and aesthetics of composite decking improve, market trends are also shifting. Consumers are demanding more variety and selection compared to prior periods as demand for a wider selection of decking colors appears to be increasing. Also, the evolution toward a more natural wood look appears to be increasing on the higher end of the market, while decreasing wood prices have widened the price differential between composites and wood on the lower end. In addition, we are working with a company to develop and commercialize a new generation of surface coatings. We will continue to work toward more selection combined with innovation and new products in conjunction with our customers.

2

Green Building Products

We have been recycling plastic and manufacturing green building products since our inception in 1988, and we intend to continue building our brands and differentiating AERT as a green building products company. Listed below are the major categories of products we manufacture and markets we supply.

ChoiceDek® Decking. We currently sell our ChoiceDek®-branded decking products in the home improvement warehouse (HIW) market through BlueLinx to Lowe's. We derived most of our revenue from Weyerhaeuser (our former distributor for ChoiceDek® products) in 2009 and BlueLinx in 2010. No other customers accounted for more than 10% of sales in 2009 or 2010. This market segment primarily focuses on the "do-it-yourself" ("DIY") market in which homeowners buy, build, and install their own decks. The DIY market is also serviced by The Home Depot, as well as several smaller regional chains. Our decking products are not currently carried in Home Depot, and the ChoiceDek® brand is sold exclusively to Lowe's. Lowe's started carrying a higher priced composite decking brand in 2007, which could limit ChoiceDek's® growth. ChoiceDek® is promoted through in-store displays and an ongoing print and marketing campaign targeting the residential decking market. We maintain a nationwide sales and customer service group. Lowe's also conducts national print and television ads for the products it carries.

MoistureShield® Decking. Our MoistureShield® brand line of decking products is currently sold to select primary distributors, who re-sell it to lumber dealers and contractor yards for sale to local deck builders and home builders. Most of our MoistureShield® customers are regularly purchasing, or have been exposed to, competing brands of composite decking. On this higher end segment, we believe success will require converting customers from competing products to our brands. The MoistureShield® decking line allows us to diversify our customer base.

Door Component Products. We sell our MoistureShield® industrial products to door manufacturers for use as component parts in products. For example, we manufacture door rails built into doors by Therma-Tru Corporation. In marketing these products, we emphasize the "value-added" feature of the MoistureShield® composite product, which, unlike competing wood products, can be engineered to incorporate certain desired end-product characteristics that save our customers time and expense. Customers also avoid the need for chemical treatments to their final product, which are often otherwise necessary to prevent rot and sustain durability. The durability of our MoistureShield® composite components allows our customers to extend the lifetime or warranties of their products while reducing or eliminating warranty claims costs. We are unable to predict the future size of the markets for MoistureShield® industrial products; however, we believe that the national door and window and commercial and residential trim markets are large and will allow us to diversify our customer base over time as we add production capacity and focus on additional opportunities.

Exterior Trim and Fascia Products. We have marketed an exterior trim and fascia system under the trade names MoistureShield® Trim and MoistureShield® CornerLoc. Several national homebuilders have been specifying and using the product. We believe this product line has significant growth potential as a green alternative to plastic (i.e., PVC) and wood trims to be distributed and sold in conjunction with our MoistureShield® distributors.

Competition

Our products compete with high-grade western pine, cedar and other premium woods, aluminum, high-performance plastics, and an increasing number of composites and other construction materials. We believe that our products have superior characteristics, which make them a better value for the consumer; however, they are more expensive initially than traditional wood products. Additionally, manufacturers of some competing products have long-established ties to the building and construction industry and have well-accepted products. Some of our competitors are larger and have research and development budgets, marketing staffs, and financial and other resources that surpass our resources.

Sales of non-wood decking products to date represent a small portion of the decking market. Pressure treated pine, cedar, redwood and other traditional woods constitute the vast majority of annual decking sales. We thus view wood decking as our principal competitor. The wood decking industry is highly segmented with many small to medium sized manufacturers. Wood decking is principally a commodity that competes as the low-priced product, whereas the more expensive non-wood products must compete on features and performance.

Among manufacturers of alternative decking materials, we view Trex Company, TimberTech Ltd., Tamko Building Products and Fiber Composites LLC as our primary competitors. The market for door products is highly segmented, with many competitors. We believe that our MoistureShield® industrial products have superior characteristics and are competitively priced. We emphasize durability, which means that manufacturers and homebuilders using our products should see reduced warranty callbacks and higher

customer satisfaction. Our product competes not only on durability, but also the ability of the customer to order a product that is custom manufactured to its specifications.

Intellectual Property and Proprietary Technology

Our products are built for hostile external environmental conditions. Our recycling processes focus on intensive cleaning and reformulating of our raw materials prior to extrusion. Our extrusion process is unique and focuses on total encapsulation of the wood fibers. Our composite manufacturing process and our development efforts in connection with waste plastics reclamation technologies involve patents and many trade secrets that we consider to be proprietary. We have also developed certain methods, processes, and equipment designs for which we have sought additional patent protection. We currently have six patents and six patents pending in the U.S. Our patents cover plastic recycling processes, methods, and apparatus or specially designed equipment as well as the composite product that we manufacture. The composite product patent was issued in 1998 and expires in 2015. This patent covers the unique properties, formulation and processing parameters of our encapsulated wood/polyethylene plastic composite building material.

One of our patents expired in March 2011. This patent related to an early stage plastic recycling process. We believe the plastic waste stream has diversified and become more complex since the issuance of that patent. We have updated and continue to refine our recycling processes, procedures, and technologies, and included these in later issued patents or pending patent applications. We have taken additional measures to protect our intellectual property and trade secrets by restricting access to our facilities and maintaining a policy of nondisclosure among our associates, which includes requiring confidentiality and nondisclosure agreements. Our patents expire between 2012 and 2021. We recently received a notice of allowance for a pending patent issuance in regard to our mixed recycled plastic resin identification and reformulation technologies. There are 5 patents currently pending. There can be no assurance, however, that additional patents will continue to issue or if issued, will be defensible in court.

Raw Materials

Wood Fiber. The wood fiber we use is waste byproduct generated by hardwood furniture, pallet, cabinet, and flooring manufacturers. Until recently, the cost of acquiring the waste wood has primarily been the handling and transportation costs involved in getting the material to our facilities. Costs vary with transportation costs in general, which are related to petroleum prices and the supply and demand for over-the-road trucking services. Our cost of sourcing waste wood fiber has increased over the last three years due to increases in transportation costs, but remains a small proportion of our total costs. The housing slowdown starting in mid-2006 reduced the demand for hardwood building products and has caused some of our suppliers to temporarily close facilities, which has forced us to pay higher costs to source wood elsewhere. In addition, we now increasingly see competition for scrap wood fiber for use as a fuel to replace natural gas or oil burners for both residential and industrial applications.

Recycled Plastics. We use both post-industrial and post-consumer waste polyethylene. The largest portion of the plastic materials we use is mixed with paper and other non-plastic materials, which lessen its value to other plastic recyclers. By primarily sourcing these contaminated waste plastics prior to processing, we produce a usable but lower cost feedstock for our composite extrusion lines. We believe our investments in recycling technology and infrastructure will create a significant raw material cost advantage compared to several of our virgin resin based competitors while offering a more competitive green building product. We also purchase plastic raw materials from outside sources, including virgin resin producers. These materials are more expensive and more sensitive to price swings related to the petrochemical industry. We also are subject to various quality and consistency problems when dealing with third party scrap suppliers, which increases our costs.

Our Watts, Oklahoma plastic recycling facility is designed to allow us to use the less desirable, but low cost, forms of waste polyethylene, which should greatly assist us in regaining a competitive advantage and maintaining a low cost

structure. The recycling facility is based on a successful joint development project involving polyethylene film recovery with the Dow Chemical Company earlier in our history. We believe that further refinement of this technology could lead to additional revenue opportunities beyond composite decking and building materials. The recycling facility commenced operations in February 2010.

Competition for Raw Materials. As the wood/plastic composites industry grows, we sometimes compete for raw materials with other plastic recyclers or plastic resin producers. We believe that our ability to use more contaminated polyethylene limits the number of competitors. Nonetheless, we expect to continue to encounter new entrants into the plastics reclamation business. We increased our capacity for processing waste plastic in recent years, which reduced our dependence on outside suppliers and gave us more control over our costs, but our costs are still not to our desired levels.

Industry Standards

Building codes exist to provide for safe and effective structures and consistency of structures and construction practices. Our decking and railing products comply with the International Building Code and the International Residential Code as well as the 1997 Uniform Building Code™ (UBC) and the BOCA® National Building Code/1999 (BNBC). The International Code Council – Evaluation Service (ICC-ES) publishes evaluation reports for building products. These reports tell the consumer, commercial or residential, that the products listed in the report comply with code when they are used in the prescribed application and installed according to the manufacturer's installation instructions. In 2009, we converted from the legacy evaluation report, NER-596, to ESR-2388 from ICC-ES. In Canada, compliance of our products to code is documented in evaluation report CCMC 13191-R from the Canadian Construction Materials Center. We utilize an independent, third-party auditor to ensure continuing compliance of our products to code.

The Company has also received from ICC-ES a Verification of Attributes Report (VAR-1015) that verifies the content of recycled materials in our decking, railing and OEM products.

Employees

During 2010, we reduced our workforce by approximately 60 as we continued to restructure our operations to improve efficiencies and lower costs. At December 31, 2010, we employed 403 associates, of whom 402 were full-time associates.

Available Information

We make available free of charge on our website (www.aert.com) our periodic reports filed with the SEC on Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

Item 2. Properties.

We have downsized operations, subleased facilities, idled excess manufacturing capacity, and reduced headcount as a result of the economic downturn in the U.S. We currently manufacture all of our composite products at extrusion facilities in Springdale, Arkansas. We also lease office space in Springdale used as our corporate offices.

We suspended extrusion operations at our Junction, Texas facility in October 2007. We are currently evaluating the move of extrusion equipment from the Texas facility to our Arkansas facilities for additional surge capacity and new product development work.

We operate a facility in Lowell, Arkansas that is used for plastic recycling, blending, and storage. Additionally, we lease warehouses and land in Lowell for inventory storage.

In December 2007, we entered into a related party lease for the use of 60 acres in Watts, Oklahoma where we commenced construction of an additional plastics recycling facility in September 2008. This facility commenced operations in February 2010, and is used to recycle lower grades of polyethylene plastic scrap in an effort to reduce our costs of recycled plastics and value added compounds. The facility also allows for potential sales of recycled plastics to third parties.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings. – See Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies

Item 4. Reserved.

5

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our Class A common stock was traded on the Nasdaq Capital Market under the symbol AERT from January 1, 2009 to December 28, 2009. Due to the Company's inability to demonstrate compliance with the Nasdaq Stock Market's continued listing requirements, the Company's shares ceased to be listed on the Nasdaq Capital Market on December 29, 2009, at which time the OTC Bulletin Board began providing quotes for the Company's shares. The Company's shares will continue to trade under the symbol AERT. As of March 31, 2011, there were approximately 1,400 holders of record of our Class A common stock and 11 holders of record of our Class B common stock. The closing price of our common stock was \$0.18 on December 31, 2010. We have not previously paid cash dividends on our common stock and there are currently restrictions under various debt obligations and our Series E preferred stock designation that would prevent the payment of such dividends for the foreseeable future. The following table sets forth the range of high and low quarterly sales prices (as reported by Nasdaq) of our Class A common stock for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010.

| Sales Price Range of Class A Common Stock | High | Low |
|---|--------|--------|
| Fiscal 2009 | | |
| First Quarter | \$0.35 | \$0.12 |
| Second Quarter | 0.75 | 0.26 |
| Third Quarter | 0.70 | 0.20 |
| Fourth Quarter | 0.58 | 0.30 |
| Fiscal 2010 | | |
| First Quarter | 0.45 | 0.28 |
| Second Quarter | 0.49 | 0.36 |
| Third Quarter | 0.40 | 0.25 |
| Fourth Quarter | 0.37 | 0.15 |

No repurchases of common stock took place during 2009 or 2010.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2010, regarding shares outstanding and available for issuance under our existing equity compensation plans.

| Plan Category | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights | Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in the first column of this table)* |
|--|---|---|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 1,049,000 | \$ 1.68 | 3,890,673 |
| Equity compensation plans | | | |

| | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| not approved by security holders | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,049,000 | \$ 1.68 | 3,890,673 |

* The amount in this column represents the sum of restricted stock units available for grant (1,790,673) and restricted stock units granted but only issuable upon satisfaction of vesting or other conditions (2,100,000 units with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$0.51).

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

2010 Summary

2010 continued to be a challenging year for the building materials industry and for AERT, marked by lower sales to our distributors, who maintained low inventory levels, and a decline in sales at the retail level. An independent study reported that the building materials market size had shrunk from \$5.4 billion in 2006 to \$2.7 billion in 2010.

Results of Operations

Two Year Comparison (in thousands)

| | 2009 | 2010 | % Change | |
|---|------------|------------|----------|---|
| Net sales | \$71,073 | \$69,819 | -1.8 | % |
| Cost of goods sold | 55,223 | 58,922 | 6.7 | % |
| % of net sales | 77.7 % | 84.4 % | | |
| Estimated liability for claims from class action settlement | 5,100 | - | -100.0 | % |
| % of net sales | 7.2 % | 0.0 % | | |
| Gross margin | 10,750 | 10,897 | 1.4 | % |
| % of net sales | 15.1 % | 15.6 % | | |
| Selling and administrative costs | 11,924 | 11,804 | -1.0 | % |
| % of net sales | 16.8 % | 16.9 % | | |
| Operating loss | (1,174) | (907) | -22.7 | % |
| % of net sales | -1.7 % | -1.3 % | | |
| Other expenses: | | | | |
| Gain (loss) from asset impairment and disposition | 92 | (136) | * | |
| Late registration fees | 273 | - | -100.0 | % |
| Net interest expense | (3,396) | (4,023) | 18.5 | % |
| Loss before dividends | (4,205) | (5,066) | 20.5 | % |
| % of net sales | -5.9 % | -7.3 % | | |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (805) | (791) | -1.7 | % |
| Net loss applicable to common stock | \$(5,010) | \$(5,857) | 16.9 | % |
| % of net sales | -7.0 % | -8.4 % | | |

* Not meaningful as a percentage change

Sales

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net sales decreased 2% from 2009. In 2010, we replaced our discontinued style of ChoiceDek handrail with an updated ChoiceDek handrail product line at Lowe's stores, which resulted in an unusually large return amount. Before the return of discontinued handrail, net sales decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.1%. While consumer purchases decline year over year, our sales reduction is attributable, in part, to a reduction of stock inventory carried by BlueLinx in its vendor managed inventory program for Lowe's combined with a significant reduction and/or elimination of inventory carried by lumber distributors due to fears related to the economy.

Cost of Goods Sold and Gross Margin

Cost of goods sold before the class action settlement accrual increased 7% in 2010 from 2009. The increase was due primarily to the start-up of our Watts recycling facility in 2010. In the third quarter of 2009, we revised our estimated class action claims resolution liability, resulting in an additional accrual of \$5.1 million and a 2009 gross margin of 15%. Excluding the class action accrual, our gross margin decreased to 16% in 2010 from 22% in 2009.

7

Selling and Administrative Costs

Selling and administrative costs were down slightly in 2010 compared to 2009. The primary components of selling and administrative costs are compensation and benefits, advertising and promotion, travel, professional fees, and commissions.

Asset Impairment and Other Expenses

Infrequent charges recorded during 2009 include the following:

- Penalties related to the late registration of shares underlying our Series D preferred stock offering that took place in the fourth quarter of 2007 were waived in the amount of \$0.3 million by one of the holders of the preferred stock and that expense was reversed in 2009.
- In 2009, an additional \$5.1 million was expensed due to a revision in our estimated class action claims resolution liability.

Net Loss

The operating loss for 2010 decreased to \$0.9 million from \$1.2 million in 2009. We incurred a net loss of \$5.9 million, or \$0.12 per share, in 2010 compared to a net loss of \$5.0 million, or \$0.10 per share, in 2009. Before the class action claims accrual, we produced net income of \$0.1 million in 2009. In addition to the sales decline and increase in cost of goods sold (before the class action accrual) discussed above, an increase in interest expense in 2010 contributed to a higher net loss as compared to 2009. The \$0.6 million increase in interest expense resulted primarily from the expensing of interest on our Watts industrial development bond in most of 2010, which was capitalized during the construction of the Watts facility in 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As a result of closely managing our costs and working capital, we funded our business primarily with cash generated from operations in 2010. As discussed in the debt section below, we may require additional financing to support our operations, resume growth, introduce new products, and service our debt obligations as they come due.

Cash Flows

Cash Flows from Operations

Cash provided by operations in 2010 was \$5.2 million as compared to \$7.3 million in 2009. In 2010, cash used in the increase of inventory and decrease in accrued liabilities was more than offset by cash provided by a reduction in accounts receivable and an increase in accounts payable. The increase in inventory was due primarily to the purchase of additional plastic for our Watts recycling facility. The decrease in accrued liabilities was due to the payment of claims related to our class action lawsuit settlement and the replacement of our discontinued style of ChoiceDek handrail with an updated ChoiceDek handrail product line at Lowe's stores. The decrease in accounts receivable was due to collections for a large amount of sales made in late December 2009 and an increase in our accounts receivable allowance for rebates. Accounts payable increased due to the construction and start-up of our Watts recycling facility.

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Cash used in investing activities decreased \$7.8 million to \$2.5 million in 2010 from \$10.3 million in 2009. Capital expenditures were higher in 2009 as the bulk of the construction of our Watts plastic recycling facility occurred in

2009.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities was \$1.3 million in 2010 compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$1.9 million in 2009. The \$3.2 million change in cash from financing activities was due primarily to the following:

- In 2010 we received \$2.6 million in proceeds from the issuance of notes, whereas we received no financing proceeds in 2009.

8

- In 2009, we decreased our debt by \$2.3 million more than in 2010 due primarily to the pay down of our line of credit by \$2.7 million in 2009, which was offset somewhat by an increase in note payments in 2010 of \$0.4 million.
- The net decrease in cash from restricted cash sources in 2010 was \$8.3 million. In 2009, we received the remaining \$7.6 million from our bond project fund for the construction of our Watts plastic recycling facility. In 2010, we paid a net amount of \$0.2 million into our bond principal fund and received a net amount of \$0.5 million from the State of Oklahoma, which was restricted for expansion of our Watts recycling facility.
 - In 2010, we issued \$0.2 million in Class A common stock in payment of expenses.

Working Capital

At December 31, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$28.6 million compared to a deficit of \$22.8 million at December 31, 2009. The increase in our deficit in 2010 was primarily the result of an increase in current maturities of long-term debt and our net loss for 2010. Components of working capital that fluctuated significantly from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2010 include accounts receivable, accounts payable, current maturities of long-term debt and accruals related to our class action lawsuit. See “Cash Flows from Operations” above for an explanation of the changes in accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. The increase in current maturities of long-term debt is due to the December 2011 maturity of the \$5.4 million 2009 bridge loan. See Subsequent Event – Note 13 for impact on working capital.

Property, Plant and Equipment

The changes in our property, plant and equipment in 2010 are due primarily to the completion of construction and startup of our Watts recycling facility. The decrease in construction in progress was offset by increases in buildings and equipment.

Arkansas Loan Program

On March 30, 2010, the Company entered into a grant agreement with the City of Springdale and the Arkansas Economic Development Commission (AEDC) in the amount of \$170,000. Part of the grant funds have been used to pay for a new packaging line in the Springdale North plant. On June 4, 2010, the Company accepted an offer of additional grant funding in the amount of \$150,000 that would be combined with and become a part of the initial grant provided by AEDC, bringing the total amount of that grant to \$320,000. The new grant funding and the remainder of the initial grant will be used to relocate an extrusion line from AERT’s plant at Junction, Texas to the Springdale South Plant. AERT expects to create 84 jobs in its operations in Arkansas as a result of the grant funding from AEDC.

Debt

We paid down our debt by \$3.3 million in 2010, and received \$2.6 million in loan proceeds. We continue to explore funding through various financial assistance programs sponsored by state and federal governments. In March 2011, we engaged in a recapitalization that resulted in significant changes to our debt structure. Details of the 2011 recapitalization are discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements and 2010 financing activities are discussed below.

Line of Credit

The maturity date of the Company’s line of credit agreement with Liberty Bank of Arkansas was extended multiple times in 2010, and currently matures on March 15, 2012. The Company is currently working to restructure or replace the line, which had a balance of \$7.8 million at December 31, 2010. The line is secured by inventory, accounts receivable, chattel paper, general intangibles and other current assets, as well as by fixtures and equipment, and bears

an interest rate of 7.5%, which was lowered in October 2010 from 9%. The full amount of the line is guaranteed as to payment by our largest stockholder, Marjorie Brooks, by Joe Brooks, the Company's chairman and chief executive officer, and by Steve Brooks, the Company's chief operating officer. Ms. Brooks is collateralized by a subordinate lien on all of our assets subject to certain priority liens, and receives a fee as compensation for her guaranty.

Oklahoma Energy Program Loan

9

On July 14, 2010, we entered into a loan agreement with the Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) whereby ODOC agreed to a 15-year, \$3.0 million loan to AERT at a fixed interest rate of 3%. The loan is being made pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act State Energy Program for the State of Oklahoma, and will fund the second phase of AERT's new recycling facility in Watts, Oklahoma. Payments on the loan are scheduled to commence on the earlier of project completion or July 1, 2011.

Bonds

Prior to the recapitalization described in Note 13 to the financial statements, we were required to make monthly payments to bond principal and bond interest funds from which semi-annual interest and annual principal payments were made on the bonds. Additionally, we were required to make payments to our debt service reserve funds for the bonds, if necessary, in order to maintain a minimum balance in the reserve funds. Failure to make the required payments to our bond funds was an event of default under our bond agreements, which would have given the bond trustee the option of demanding immediate repayment of the bonds. We did not make certain monthly payments into our bond funds in 2009 and 2010; however, the holder of the bonds waived the requirement to make payments to the debt service reserve funds through December 15, 2011 and waived the event of default concerning loan payments through April 1, 2011. In 2009, \$1.9 million was paid from the debt service reserve funds for portions of our semi-annual interest payments and annual principal payments on the bonds. In 2010, \$0.2 million was paid from the debt service reserve funds for portions of our semi-annual interest payments on the bonds. In March 2011, the bonds were exchanged for debt and preferred stock, as discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements.

2009 Bridge Loan

We did not make the monthly principal payments of \$0.2 million on the 2009 bridge loan from October 2010 to March 2011. The loan had a balance of \$5.4 million at December 31, 2010. Failure to make principal payments when due is an event of default under the bridge loan agreement. The bridge loan was exchanged for preferred stock in March 2011, as discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements.

2010 Note

In December 2010, we received a loan of \$2.0 million from H.I.G. AERT, LLC ("H.I.G."), an affiliate of H.I.G. Capital, LLC. We issued a promissory note (the "Note") to H.I.G. in the original principal amount of \$2.0 million at a fixed interest rate of 20% per annum, originally maturing February 15, 2011. The maturity date of the Note was later extended to March 15, 2011. We had an option to request up to an additional \$0.5 million under the Note prior to maturity, which H.I.G. could fund at its sole discretion. The principal amount of the note was due at maturity and could have been prepaid prior to that time. Interest on the note was due in arrears on the first day of each month. We had the option at each interest payment date to pay the interest in cash or pay the interest by adding it to the principal amount then outstanding on the Note.

The Note contained certain covenants, including restrictions on: (1) the incurrence of additional debt, (2) certain payments if a default existed, and (3) the redemption of, or dividends or distributions on, capital stock. The proceeds of the loan were used to pay certain of our current liabilities. In connection with the Note, we granted H.I.G. a security interest in all of our tangible and intangible property, contracts, and proceeds of the foregoing. The Note was exchanged for other debt in March 2011, as discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements.

Debt Covenants

Under our 2007 and 2008 bond agreements, which were cancelled as part of the recapitalization discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements, we covenanted that we would maintain certain financial ratios. Those ratios are listed in the chart of covenants below. The long-term debt service coverage ratio and current ratio were amended in the second

quarter of 2010 to lower levels that we believed we could meet. The debt to equity ratio was replaced with a debt to total assets ratio. Those ratios in effect at December 31, 2010 are listed in the chart of covenants below. If we failed to comply with, or to secure a waiver for, certain of the covenants, the bond trustee had the option of demanding immediate repayment of the bonds. In such an event, it could have been difficult for us to refinance the bonds, which would have given the bond trustee the option to take us into bankruptcy. We were not in compliance with the debt service coverage and accounts payable covenants as of December 31, 2010; however, the bonds purchaser waived the accounts payable covenant through April 1, 2011. Our 2009 bridge loan had cross-default provisions that caused it to be in technical default at December 31, 2010 due to our non-compliance with the loan covenants discussed above.

| | December 31, 2010 | | Compliance |
|---|----------------------|---|------------|
| Bonds Payable and HIG Notes Payable Debt Covenants | | | |
| Long-term debt service coverage ratio for last four quarters of at least 1.00 to 1.00 | 0.9 | | No |
| Current ratio of not less than 0.25 to 1.00 | 0.3 | | Yes |
| Debt to assets ratio of not more than 0.90 to 1.00 | 0.8 | | Yes |
| Not more than 20% of accounts payable in excess of 75 days past invoice date | 50 | % | No-Waived |
| Not more than 20% of accounts receivable in excess of 90 days past invoice date | 4 | % | Yes |

Our line of credit contains the financial covenants listed below. In the case of non-compliance with certain of the covenants, the bank loan could become due and payable at any time and the bank lender could foreclose on the property used to secure the debt, which could force us into a bankruptcy proceeding before we can refinance this indebtedness. The bank lender for our line of credit, Liberty Bank, waived any non-compliance with the covenants through November 30, 2011 as part of the recapitalization discussed in Note 13 to the financial statements.

| | December 31, 2010 | | Compliance |
|---|----------------------|---|------------|
| Liberty Debt Covenants | | | |
| Long-term debt service coverage ratio for last four quarters of at least 2.00 to 1.00 | 0.9 | | No-Waived |
| Current ratio of not less than 1.00 to 1.00 | 0.3 | | No-Waived |
| Debt to equity ratio of not more than 3.00 to 1.00 | (2.87 |) | No-Waived |
| Not more than 10% of accounts payable in excess of 75 days past invoice date | 50 | % | No-Waived |
| Not more than 20% of accounts receivable in excess of 90 days past invoice date | 4 | % | Yes |

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported on our financial statements. The estimates made in applying the accounting policies described below are material to the financial statements and notes thereto due to the level of judgment involved in arriving at those estimates.

Accounts Receivable

Trade accounts receivable are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. Payments of accounts receivable are allocated to the specific invoices identified on the customers' remittance advice. Accounts receivable are carried at the original invoice amount less an estimated reserve. Management reviews all overdue accounts receivable balances and estimates the portion, if any, of the balance that may not be collected and provides an allowance. Balances that remain outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the valuation allowance and a reduction in trade accounts receivable. Recoveries of trade receivables previously written off are recorded when received.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. Material, labor, and factory overhead necessary to produce the inventories are included in their cost.

Buildings and Equipment

Property additions and betterments include capitalized interest and acquisition, construction and administrative costs allocable to construction projects and property purchases. Provision for depreciation of buildings and equipment is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Gains or losses on sales or other dispositions of property are credited or charged to income in the period incurred. Repairs and maintenance costs are charged to income in the period incurred, unless it is determined that the useful life of the respective asset has been extended.

The Company assesses the recoverability of its investment in long-lived assets to be held and used in operations whenever events or circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Such assessment requires that the future cash flows

associated with the long-lived assets be estimated over their remaining useful lives. An impairment loss may be required when the future cash flows are less than the carrying value of such assets.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when the title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company typically recognizes revenue at the time product is shipped or when segregated and billed under a bill and hold agreement. Sales are recorded net of discounts, rebates, and returns.

Estimates of expected sales discounts are calculated by applying the appropriate sales discount rate to all unpaid invoices that are eligible for the discount. The Company's sales prices are determinable given that the Company's sales discount rates are fixed and given the predictability with which customers take sales discounts.

Uncertainties, Issues and Risks

There are many factors that could adversely affect our business and results of operations. These factors include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, decline in demand for our products, business or industry changes, critical accounting policies, government rules and regulations, environmental concerns, litigation, new products / product transition, product obsolescence, competition, acts of war, terrorism, public health issues, concentration of customer base, loss of a significant customer, availability of raw material (plastic) at a reasonable price, management's failure to execute effectively, inability to obtain adequate financing (i.e. working capital), equipment breakdowns, low stock price, and fluctuations in quarterly performance.

Forward-looking Information

An investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. Prior to making an investment, prospective investors should carefully consider the following factors, among others, and seek professional advice. In addition, this Form 10-K contains certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. Such forward-looking statements, which are often identified by words such as "believes", "anticipates", "expects", "estimates", "should", "may", "will" and similar expressions, represent our expectations or beliefs concerning future events. Numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties could cause actual results to differ materially from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Prospective purchasers of our securities should carefully consider the information contained herein or in the documents incorporated herein by reference.

The foregoing discussion contains certain estimates, predictions, projections and other forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) that involve various risks and uncertainties. While these forward-looking statements, and any assumptions upon which they are based, are made in good faith and reflect management's current judgment regarding the direction of the business, actual results will almost always vary, sometimes materially, from any estimates, predictions, projections, or other future performance suggested herein. Some important factors (but not necessarily all factors) that could affect the sales volumes, growth strategies, future profitability and operating results, or that otherwise could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statement include the following: market, political or other forces affecting the pricing and availability of plastics and other raw materials; accidents or other unscheduled shutdowns affecting us, our suppliers' or our customers' plants, machinery, or equipment; competition from products and services offered by other enterprises; our ability to refinance short-term indebtedness; state and federal environmental, economic, safety and other policies and regulations, any changes therein, and any legal or regulatory delays or other factors beyond our control; execution of planned capital projects; weather conditions affecting our operations or the areas in which our products are marketed; adverse rulings, judgments, or settlements in litigation or other legal matters. We undertake no obligation to publicly release the result of any revisions to any such

forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Item 8. Financial Statements.

The financial statements portion of this item is submitted in a separate section of this report.

12

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer, who is our principal executive officer, and our Chief Financial Officer, who is our principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of December 31, 2010. Based upon this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, we have an effective system of disclosure controls and procedures and an effective means for timely communication of information required to be disclosed in this report.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We, as members of the management of Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. (the "Company"), are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

We assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting established in "Internal Control-Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment, we have concluded that such internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of our registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by its registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit it to provide only management's report in this annual report.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2010, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or that are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

13

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

The information required by Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

The information required by Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The information required by Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

The information required by Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

The information required by Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement for its 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Part IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a1) and (a2). The Financial Statements listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements are filed as part of this report and such Index is hereby incorporated by reference. All schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable, and therefore have been omitted.

(a3) and (c). The exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed or incorporated by reference as part of this report and such Index is hereby incorporated by reference.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL
RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

/s/ JOE G. BROOKS
Joe G. Brooks,
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
(principal executive officer)

/s/ J. R. BRIAN HANNA
J. R. Brian Hanna,
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer)

Date: March 31, 2011

POWER OF ATTORNEY

The undersigned directors and officers of Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. hereby constitute and appoint Joe G. Brooks our true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent with full power to execute in our name and behalf in the capacities indicated below any and all amendments to this report on Form 10-K to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and hereby ratify and confirm all that such attorney-in-fact and agent shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ JOE G. BROOKS Joe G. Brooks | Chairman and Chief Executive Officer | March 31, 2011 |
| /s/ STEPHEN W. BROOKS Stephen W. Brooks | Vice Chairman, Chief Operating Officer and Secretary | March 31, 2011 |
| /s/ TIMOTHY D. MORRISON Timothy D. Morrison | President and Director | March 31, 2011 |
| /s/ JERRY B. BURKETT Jerry B. Burkett | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| /s/ EDWARD P. CARDA Edward P. Carda | Director | March 31, 2011 |
| /s/ VERNON J. RICHARDSON | Director | March 31, 2011 |

Vernon J. Richardson

Director

March 31, 2011

Michael R. Phillips

15

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------------|
| Financial Statements: | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| <u>Balance Sheets</u> | <u>F-3 – F-4</u> |
| <u>Statements of Operations</u> | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Statements of Stockholders' Deficit</u> | <u>F-6</u> |
| <u>Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>F-7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-8 – F-25</u> |

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As described in Note 13, the Company entered into a recapitalization transaction subsequent to December 31, 2010.

/s/ HOGANTAYLOR LLP

Fayetteville, Arkansas
March 31, 2011

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands)

| | December 31, 2009 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash | \$ 243 | \$ 1,655 |
| Restricted cash | - | 866 |
| Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$7 at December 31, 2009 and \$110 at December 31, 2010 | 5,163 | 2,497 |
| Inventories | 6,795 | 8,169 |
| Prepaid expenses | 707 | 645 |
| Total current assets | 12,908 | 13,832 |
| Land, buildings and equipment: | | |
| Land | 1,989 | 1,989 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 8,903 | 17,802 |
| Machinery and equipment | 45,296 | 52,232 |
| Transportation equipment | 830 | 757 |
| Office equipment | 2,396 | 2,260 |
| Construction in progress | 16,006 | 459 |
| Total land, buildings and equipment | 75,420 | 75,499 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 36,240 | 39,635 |
| Net land, buildings and equipment | 39,180 | 35,864 |
| Other assets: | | |
| Debt issuance costs, net of accumulated amortization of \$1,538 at December 31, 2009 and \$1,748 at December 31, 2010 | 2,941 | 2,731 |
| Debt service reserve fund | 222 | 43 |
| Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$478 at December 31, 2009 and \$486 at December 31, 2010 | 1,087 | 1,017 |
| Total other assets | 4,250 | 3,791 |
| Total assets | \$ 56,338 | \$ 53,487 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | December 31, 2009 | December 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable – trade | \$ 9,078 | \$ 11,711 |
| Accounts payable – related parties | 636 | 1,510 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 2,878 | 7,622 |
| Current maturities of capital lease obligations | 244 | 203 |
| Accruals related to expected settlement of class action lawsuit | 7,245 | 5,079 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 6,578 | 6,586 |
| Working capital line of credit | 7,829 | 7,829 |
| Notes payable | 1,238 | 2,045 |
| Total current liabilities | 35,726 | 42,585 |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | 28,260 | 23,313 |
| Capital lease obligations, less current maturities | 401 | 199 |
| | 28,661 | 23,512 |
| Accrued dividends on convertible preferred stock | 948 | 1,739 |
| Commitments and contingencies (see Note 12) | | |
| Stockholders' deficit: | | |
| Convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, 748,772 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2010; aggregate liquidation preference of \$19,768 at December 31, 2009 and 2010 | 7 | 7 |
| Class A common stock, \$.01 par value; 125,000,000 shares authorized; 48,105,554 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 48,800,531 at December 31, 2010 | 481 | 488 |
| Class B convertible common stock, \$.01 par value; 7,500,000 shares authorized; 1,465,530 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2010 | 15 | 15 |
| Warrants outstanding; 3,787,880 at December 31, 2009 and 2010 | 1,533 | 1,533 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 52,711 | 53,209 |
| Accumulated deficit | (63,744) | (69,601) |
| Total stockholders' deficit | (8,997) | (14,349) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit | \$ 56,338 | \$ 53,487 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| Net sales | \$71,073 | \$69,819 |
| Cost of goods sold | 55,223 | 58,922 |
| Estimated liability for claims from class action settlement | 5,100 | - |
| Gross margin | 10,750 | 10,897 |
| Selling and administrative costs | 11,924 | 11,804 |
| Operating loss | (1,174) | (907) |
| Other expenses: | | |
| Gain (loss) from asset impairment and disposition | 92 | (136) |
| Late registration fees | 273 | - |
| Net interest expense | (3,396) | (4,023) |
| Loss before dividends | (4,205) | (5,066) |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (805) | (791) |
| Net loss applicable to common stock | \$(5,010) | \$(5,857) |
| Loss per share of common stock (basic and diluted) | \$(0.10) | \$(0.12) |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic and diluted) | 49,309,251 | 49,750,807 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT
(in thousands, except share data)

| | Preferred Stock | | Class A Common Stock | | Class B Common Stock | | Warrants Outstanding | |
|---|--------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|---------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | Number | Value |
| Balance - December 31, 2008 | 788,182 | \$8 | 47,423,680 | \$474 | 1,465,530 | \$15 | 3,787,880 | \$1,530 |
| Issuances of Class A common stock pursuant to employee stock award program | - | - | 287,774 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Deferred equity compensation for restricted stock | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Conversion of Series D preferred stock | (39,410) | (1) | 394,100 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance - December 31, 2009 | 748,772 | 7 | 48,105,554 | 481 | 1,465,530 | 15 | 3,787,880 | 1,530 |
| Issuances of Class A common stock pursuant to employee stock award program | - | - | 69,977 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Issuance of Class A common stock in payment of services | - | - | 625,000 | 6 | - | - | - | - |
| Deferred equity compensation for restricted stock | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net loss | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Balance - December 31, 2010 | 748,772 | \$7 | 48,800,531 | \$488 | 1,465,530 | \$15 | 3,787,880 | \$1,530 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net loss applicable to common stock | \$ (5,010) | \$ (5,857) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,730 | 6,252 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 805 | 791 |
| (Gain) loss from fixed asset impairment and disposition | (92) | 136 |
| Increase in accounts receivable allowance | - | 104 |
| Decrease in other assets | 1,890 | 241 |
| Increase in cash restricted for interest costs | - | (153) |
| Changes in current assets and current liabilities | 4,004 | 3,700 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 7,327 | 5,214 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of land, buildings and equipment | (10,312) | (2,532) |
| Proceeds from disposition of equipment | 56 | 21 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (10,256) | (2,511) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of notes | - | 2,600 |
| Net payments on line of credit | (2,750) | - |
| Payments on notes | (2,730) | (3,103) |
| Payments on capital lease obligations | (216) | (244) |
| (Increase) decrease in cash restricted for payment of debt and construction costs | 7,630 | (714) |
| Issuance of stock in payment of expenses | - | 170 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 1,934 | (1,291) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash | (995) | 1,412 |
| Cash, beginning of period | 1,238 | 243 |
| Cash, end of period | \$ 243 | \$ 1,655 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1: Description of the Company

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. (AERT or the Company), founded in 1988, recycles polyethylene plastic and develops, manufactures, and markets composite building materials that are used in place of traditional wood or plastic products for exterior applications in building and remodeling homes and for certain other industrial or commercial building purposes. The Company's products are made primarily from approximately equal amounts of waste wood fiber, which have been cleaned, sized and reprocessed, and recycled polyethylene plastics which have been cleaned, processed, and reformulated utilizing our patented and proprietary technologies. Its products have been extensively tested, and are sold by leading national companies such as BlueLinx Corporation (BlueLinx), Lowe's Companies, Inc. (Lowe's) and Therma-Tru Corporation. The Company's products are primarily used in renovation and remodeling by consumers, homebuilders, and contractors as an exterior green (environmentally responsible) building alternative for decking, railing, and trim products.

On May 13, 2010, the Company changed its distributor for ChoiceDek® products from Weyerhaeuser Company, previously its largest customer, to BlueLinx. The change came primarily as a result of Weyerhaeuser's decision to transition away from non-lumber products. All ChoiceDek® products are sold by the distributor exclusively to Lowe's.

The Company currently manufactures all of its composite products at extrusion facilities in Springdale, Arkansas. The Company operates a plastic recycling, blending and storage facility in Lowell, Arkansas, where it also leases warehouses and land for inventory storage. In February 2010, the Company commenced operations at its Watts, Oklahoma recycling facility, which currently recycles polyethylene plastic scrap in order to reduce the Company's costs of recycled plastics. The Company expects to also use the Watts facility to recycle plastic for sales to third parties in the future.

Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition Policy

The Company recognizes revenue when the title and risk of loss have passed to the customer, there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the sales price is determinable and collectability is reasonably assured. The Company typically recognizes revenue at the time product is shipped or when segregated and billed under a bill and hold agreement. Sales are recorded net of discounts, rebates, and returns, which were \$1.5 million and \$4.3 million in each of 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Estimates of expected sales discounts are calculated by applying the appropriate sales discount rate to all unpaid invoices that are eligible for the discount. The Company's sales prices are determinable given that the Company's sales discount rates are fixed and given the predictability with which customers take sales discounts.

Shipping and Handling

The Company records shipping fees billed to customers in net sales and records the related expenses in cost of goods sold.

Operating Costs

The cost of goods sold line item in the Company's statements of operations includes costs associated with the manufacture of our products, such as labor, depreciation, repairs and maintenance, utilities, leases, and raw materials, including the costs of raw material delivery, warehousing and other distribution related costs. The selling and administrative costs line item in the Company's statements of operations includes costs associated with sales, marketing, and support activities like accounting and information technology. The types of costs incurred in those areas include labor, advertising, travel, commissions, outside professional services, leases and depreciation.

F-8

Statements of Cash Flows

In order to determine net cash provided by operating activities, net loss has been adjusted by, among other things, changes in current assets and current liabilities, excluding changes in cash, current maturities of long-term debt and current notes payable. Those changes, shown as an (increase) decrease in current assets and an increase (decrease) in current liabilities, are as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| Receivables | \$ (3,589) | \$ 2,563 |
| Inventories | 3,757 | (1,375) |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 2,391 | 1,163 |
| Accounts payable — trade and related parties | (1,473) | 3,507 |
| Accrued liabilities | 2,918 | (2,158) |
| | \$ 4,004 | \$ 3,700 |
| Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized of \$1,080 in 2009 and \$260 in 2010 | \$ 1,934 | \$ 2,498 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ - | \$ - |

Supplemental Disclosures of Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2010 |
| Notes payable for financing of insurance policies | \$ 1,025 | \$ 1,051 |
| Notes payable for equipment | 104 | 56 |
| Investment tax credit related to construction of fixed assets | 754 | — |
| Amortized discount on Allstate note payable | 193 | — |
| Accounts payable transferred to notes payable | 440 | — |
| Accrued liability transferred to note payable | 508 | — |
| Class A common stock issued in payment of accounts payable | — | 50 |

Restricted Cash

At December 31, 2010, restricted cash included \$0.4 million that was restricted for payment of principal and interest on the Series 2008 bonds and \$0.5 million that was restricted for construction and equipment costs at our Watts, Oklahoma plastic recycling facility (see Note 5: Notes Payable and Long-term Debt).

Buildings and Equipment

Buildings and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated over the estimated useful life of each asset using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives are: buildings — 15 to 30 years, leasehold improvements — 2 to 6 years, transportation equipment — 3 to 5 years, office equipment — 3 to 6 years and machinery and equipment — 3 to 10 years. Depreciation expense recognized by the Company for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 was \$5.1 million and \$5.8 million, respectively.

Gains or losses on sales or other dispositions of property are credited or charged to income in the period incurred. Repairs and maintenance costs are charged to income in the period incurred, unless it is determined that the useful life of the respective asset has been extended. Interest costs incurred on debt issued to construct facilities are capitalized during the construction period as part of the project cost. The net amount of capitalized interest in 2009 and 2010 was \$1.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

The Company assesses the recoverability of its investment in long-lived assets to be held and used in operations whenever events or circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Such assessment requires that the future cash flows associated with the long-lived assets be estimated over their remaining useful lives. An impairment loss may be required when the future cash flows are less than the carrying value of such assets.

At December 31, 2009 and 2010, office equipment included \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, related to assets under capital leases, and machinery and equipment included \$0.1 million related to assets under capital leases at December 31, 2009 and 2010. At December 31, 2009 and 2010, accumulated depreciation included \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, related to assets under capital leases.

F-9

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. Material, labor, and factory overhead necessary to produce the inventories are included in their cost. Inventories consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|
| Parts and supplies | \$1,470 | \$1,188 |
| Raw materials | 2,416 | 3,462 |
| Work in process | 1,041 | 1,310 |
| Finished goods | 1,868 | 2,209 |
| | \$6,795 | \$8,169 |

Other Assets

Debt issuance costs are amortized over the term of the related debt. Amortization of debt issuance costs charged to interest expense was \$0.2 million for 2009 and 2010.

The net costs for the preparation of patent applications are amortized using the straight-line method over 17 years. The amortization of intangible assets resulted in aggregate expense of \$0.2 million for 2009 and \$0 for 2010.

The debt service reserve fund was restricted during the life of the bonds payable (see Note 5: Notes Payable and Long-term Debt and Note 13: Subsequent Event) for payment of principal and interest on the bonds in the case the Company was unable to make those payments.

As of December 31, the Company had the following amounts related to intangible assets (in thousands):

| | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Debt issuance costs | \$4,479 | \$ 1,538 | \$4,479 | \$ 1,748 |
| Patents | 486 | 478 | 486 | 486 |
| | \$4,965 | \$ 2,016 | \$4,965 | \$ 2,234 |

The unamortized debt issuance costs were written off in March 2011 in connection with the recapitalization discussed in Note 13.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are uncollateralized customer obligations due under normal trade terms generally requiring payment within thirty days from the invoice date. Trade accounts are stated at the amount management expects to collect from outstanding balances. Payments of accounts receivable are allocated to the specific invoices identified on the customers' remittance advice.

Accounts receivable are carried at original invoice amounts less an estimated reserve made for returns and discounts based on a review of historical rates of returns and expected discounts to be taken. The carrying amount of accounts receivable is reduced, if needed, by a valuation allowance that reflects management's best estimate of the amounts that will not be collected. Management individually reviews all overdue accounts receivable balances and, based on an assessment of current creditworthiness, estimates the portion, if any, of the balance that will not be collected.

Management provides for probable uncollectible amounts through a charge to earnings and a credit to an allowance account based on its assessment of the current status of the individual accounts. Balances that remain outstanding after management has used reasonable collection efforts are written off through a charge to the valuation allowance and a credit to trade accounts receivable. Recoveries of trade receivables previously written off are recorded when received.

The table below presents a rollforward of our allowance for sales returns and bad debts for 2010 and 2009 (in thousands).

F-10

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Charged to Costs and Expenses | Charged to Other Accounts | Deductions ¹ | Balance at End of Period |
|------|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2009 | \$ 616 | 82 | - | 691 | \$ 7 |
| 2010 | \$ 7 | 1,150 | 388 | 659 | \$ 110 |

1. Charges to the accounts are for the purposes for which the reserve was created.

Warranty Estimates

The Company offers a limited warranty on its products. Estimates of expected warranty claims are recorded as liabilities and charged to income in the period revenue is recognized. Amounts accrued for warranty claims totaled \$1.1 million and \$0.7 million at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Earnings Per Share

When applicable, the Company provides dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per shares (EPS) on the face of the statements of operations, and provides a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic EPS computation to the numerator and denominator of the diluted EPS computation. Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock that then shared in the earnings of the Company.

In computing diluted EPS, only potential common shares that are dilutive — those that reduce earnings per share or increase loss per share — are included. The exercise of options and warrants or conversion of convertible securities is not assumed if the result would be antidilutive, such as when a loss from continuing operations is reported. As a result, if there is a loss from continuing operations, diluted EPS would be computed in the same manner as basic EPS is computed, even if an entity has net income after adjusting for discontinued operations, an extraordinary item or the cumulative effect of an accounting change. The Company incurred losses from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010. Therefore, basic EPS and diluted EPS were computed in the same manner for those years.

Although not included in a diluted EPS calculation due to being antidilutive, the Company had potentially dilutive securities outstanding at December 31, 2009 and 2010 (see Notes 6 – 8 and Note 13). The following schedule presents antidilutive securities for the years ended December 31.

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Options | 1,174,000 | 1,049,000 |
| Warrants | 3,787,880 | 3,787,880 |
| Restricted common stock | 1,503,270 | 2,100,000 |
| Series D preferred stock | 7,487,720 | 7,556,415 |

Subsequent to December 31, 2010 (See Note 13), the Company issued 20,524,149 shares of newly authorized Series E preferred stock.

Although these financial instruments were not included due to being antidilutive, such financial instruments may become dilutive and would then need to be included in future calculations of diluted EPS.

Concentration Risk

Credit Risk

The Company's revenues are derived principally from national and regional building products distributors and BlueLinx, the Company's primary decking customer. The ChoiceDek® brand of decking products sold to BlueLinx are in turn sold exclusively to

F-11

Lowe's. BlueLinX is also one of the Company's MoistureShield® decking customers. The Company extends unsecured credit to its customers. The Company's concentration in the building materials industry has the potential to impact its exposure to credit risk because changes in economic or other conditions in the construction industry may similarly affect the Company's customers. The Company derived most of its revenue prior to May 2010 from Weyerhaeuser, its distributor of ChoiceDek® products prior to the change to BlueLinX. Since the change, the Company has derived most of its revenue from BlueLinX.

Accounts Receivable

As of December 31, 2010, one customer accounted for 51% of the aged receivables and in December 31, 2009 another customer accounted for 78% of the aged receivable balance. The Company regularly monitors the creditworthiness of its customers and believes that it has adequately provided for exposure to potential credit losses.

Cash

The Company maintains bank accounts which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) up to \$250,000. At times, cash balances may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limit. The Company believes no significant concentrations of risk exist with respect to its cash.

Disclosure about Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt has been estimated by the Company based upon each obligation's characteristics, including remaining maturities, interest rate, credit rating, and collateral and amortization schedule. The carrying amount approximates fair value.

Share-Based Payments

The Company measures the cost of employee and director services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award and recognizes that cost in the financial statements. Compensation cost is recognized as the awards vest. Since 2005, the Company has used restricted stock awards as its exclusive form of stock-based compensation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Advertising Costs

Advertising costs are charged to expense in the period incurred. Advertising expense was \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Research and Development Costs

Expenditures for research activities relating to product development and improvement are charged to expense as incurred. Such expenditures amounted to \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' financial statements to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the Company's net income.

F-12

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting standards that have been issued or proposed that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on our financial statements upon adoption.

Note 3: Related Party Transactions

Leases

In December 2007, the Company entered into a 20-year lease for an existing 16 building complex on 60 acres in Adair County, Oklahoma near the town of Watts, for construction of a waste plastic washing, recycling, and reclamation facility. The property is being leased from Razorback Farms, a corporation controlled by Marjorie S. Brooks, the Company's largest stockholder and a former director, with payments of .0075 cents per pound of plastic recycled, commencing on January 1, 2009, on a pounds of production, or net throughput of recycled plastic produced, basis with a minimum rent of \$1,000 per month. The throughput or production rent is due quarterly and is capped throughout the term of the lease not to exceed \$450,000 per year. Rent expense recorded under this lease totaled \$12,000 in 2009 and \$91,000 in 2010.

Beginning in 2011, from January 1 to March 1, 2011 for a 60-day period and every three years thereafter, the Company shall have the right to purchase the site and an adjoining property of 891 acres required for the operation of its facility at fair market value.

Commissions

The Company employs the services of Tull Sales, Inc. (Tull Sales), as an outside sales representative. Tull Sales is owned by Michael M. Tull, who was a director before leaving the board on June 2, 2009. Commission costs incurred by the Company for services performed by Tull Sales were \$0.4 million in 2009.

Guarantees

Marjorie Brooks; Joe Brooks, the Company's chairman and chief executive officer; and Steve Brooks, the Company's chief operating officer; personally guarantee repayment of our bank line of credit, which had a balance of \$7.8 million at December 31, 2010. Marjorie Brooks also guarantees the Company's 2009 bridge loan, which had a principal balance of \$5.4 million at December 31, 2010. The Company recorded loan guarantee fees of \$0.4 million in 2009 and \$0.5 million in 2010 to compensate Ms. Brooks for her guarantees.

Other

The balance of accounts payable-related parties included the following amounts:

• Loan guarantee fees of \$0.5 million and \$0.9 million at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, owed to Marjorie S. Brooks

• Lease, contract employee and other charges of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million at December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, owed to Razorback Farms

- Accrued board of directors' fees of \$0.2 million at December 31, 2010

• The total price of plastic equipment purchased from Joe Brooks, the Company's chairman and chief executive officer, in the amount of \$0.2 million at December 31, 2010

Note 4: Line of Credit

The maturity date of the Company's line of credit agreement with Liberty Bank of Arkansas was extended multiple times in 2010, and currently matures on March 15, 2012. The Company is currently working to restructure or replace the line, which had a balance of \$7.8 million at December 31, 2010. The line of credit balance exceeded the borrowing base of \$2,535,912 at December 31, 2010, leaving no funds available to borrow. The borrowing base is equal to the sum of approximately 85% of our qualifying accounts

F-13

receivable, 75% of finished goods inventory and 50% of all other inventory, excluding parts and supplies. The line is secured by inventory, accounts receivable, chattel paper, general intangibles and other current assets, as well as by fixtures and equipment, and bears an interest rate of 7.5%, which was lowered in October 2010 from 9%. The full amount of the line is guaranteed as to payment by our largest stockholder, Marjorie Brooks, by Joe Brooks, the Company's chairman and chief executive officer, and by Steve Brooks, the Company's chief operating officer. Ms. Brooks is collateralized by a subordinate lien on all of our assets subject to certain priority liens, and receives a fee as compensation for her guaranty.

The credit facility includes a debt service coverage ratio, current ratio, accounts payable, debt to equity ratio and accounts receivable aging covenants and customary restrictions on dividends and the incurrence of additional debt or liens, among other matters. We were not in compliance with the debt service coverage ratio, current ratio, debt to equity ratio and accounts payable covenants as of December 31, 2010. In the case of non-compliance with certain of the covenants, the bank loan could become due and payable at any time and the bank lender could foreclose on the property used to secure the debt, which could force us into a bankruptcy proceeding before we can refinance this indebtedness. The bank lender for our line of credit, Liberty Bank, waived any non-compliance with the covenants through November 30, 2011 as part of the recapitalization discussed in Note 13 below. The amended and restated promissory note requires a \$0.1 million principal payment on March 31, 2011 plus payments of, the lesser of \$0.25 million, and the amount by which the outstanding principal balance exceeds the borrowing base, per month for four months plus payments, commencing September 2011, for four months, equal to the lesser of \$0.1 million and the amount by which the outstanding balance exceeds the borrowing base.

Note 5: Notes Payable and Long-Term Debt

Notes Payable

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Notes payable consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands): | | |
| 19.75% note payable to Allstate, secured by subordinated interest in the collateral securing the bonds payable; principal and interest originally due July 15, 2010 | \$ 800 | \$ - |
| 6% note payable to plaintiff attorneys in class action lawsuit; unsecured; principal payable weekly and interest payable at maturity | 353 | - |
| 10% note payable to State of Arkansas; unsecured; principal and interest payable monthly; maturing February 2010 | 85 | - |
| 20% note payable to H.I.G. AERT, LLC; principal due at maturity of March 15, 2011(c); secured by interest in collateral securing the 2007 and 2008 bonds | - | 2,000 |
| Note payable to finance insurance policy bearing interest at 4.9%; secured by insurance policy; principal and interest payable monthly | - | 45 |
| Total | \$ 1,238 | \$ 2,045 |

Long-term Debt

| | 2009 | 2010 |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Long-term debt, less current maturities consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands): | | |
| 8% bonds payable (2007 bonds) to HIG; principal payable annually beginning December 15, 2009; interest payable semi-annually; subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption; secured by real estate | \$ 13,015 | \$ 13,015 |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| and improvements, fixed assets, patents and trademarks, inventory, and pledged revenues; maturing on December 15, 2023(a)(c) | | |
| 8% bonds payable (2008 bonds) to HIG; principal payable annually beginning December 15, 2009; interest payable semi-annually; subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption; secured by real estate and improvements, fixed assets, patents and trademarks, inventory, and pledged revenues; maturing on December 15, 2023(a)(c) | 10,220 | 10,220 |
| 10% note payable to HIG, secured by subordinated interest in the collateral securing the bonds payable and a personal guarantee by Marjorie S. Brooks, our major stockholder; principal and interest due December 15, 2011(b)(c) | 5,951 | 5,396 |
| 7.5% note payable to Liberty Bank of Arkansas; secured by certain real estate and equipment purchased with proceeds from the note; principal and interest payable monthly; extended maturity date of April 15, 2010 was further extended to April 15, 2012 | 1,703 | 1,624 |
| 3% note payable to the Oklahoma Department of Commerce; secured by assets purchased / constructed with the loan proceeds; matures 15 years from start date of loan, which is expected to be the earlier of project completion or July 1, 2011 | - | 600 |
| Other | 249 | 80 |
| Total | 31,138 | 30,935 |
| Less current maturities | (2,878) | (7,622) |
| Long-term debt, less current maturities | \$28,260 | \$23,313 |

- (a) Our 2007 and 2008 bonds have the same covenants. The Company was not in compliance with the debt service coverage and accounts payable covenants as of December 31, 2010. The bond trustee waived the accounts payable covenant through April 1, 2011. Additionally, the Company did not make certain required payments into its bond principal, interest and reserve funds in 2010. The bondholder waived through April 1, 2011 the default provision of the loan agreements of the bonds concerning failure to make loan payments. The Company's 2009 bridge loan has cross-default provisions that caused it to be in technical default at December 31, 2010 due to non-compliance with the loan covenants discussed above. Additionally, the Company failed to make certain principal payments on the 2009 bridge loan, which is an event of default under that loan.
- (b) The face amount of the note is \$5.7 million and a 5% premium on the note is due at maturity.
- (c) These notes were exchanged for debt and/or equity as discussed in Note 13: Subsequent Event

Oklahoma Energy Program Loan

On July 14, 2010, we entered into a loan agreement with the Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) whereby ODOC agreed to a 15-year, \$3.0 million loan to AERT at a fixed interest rate of 3%. The loan is being made pursuant to the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act State Energy Program for the State of Oklahoma, and will fund the second phase of AERT's new recycling facility in Watts, Oklahoma. Payments on the loan are scheduled to commence on the earlier of project completion or July 1, 2011.

ODOC, under award number 14215 SSEP09, advanced \$0.6 million to AERT. In 2010, \$0.1 million was spent for equipment under budget line Item 2.4 leaving funds available at December 31, 2010 of \$0.5 million.

2010 Note

In December 2010, we received a loan of \$2.0 million from H.I.G. AERT, LLC ("H.I.G."), an affiliate of H.I.G. Capital, LLC. We issued a promissory note (the "Note") to H.I.G. in the original principal amount of \$2.0 million at a fixed interest rate of 20% per annum, originally maturing February 15, 2011. The maturity date of the Note was later extended to March 15, 2011. We had an option to request up to an additional \$0.5 million under the Note prior to maturity, which H.I.G. could fund at its sole discretion. The principal amount of the note was due at maturity and could have been prepaid prior to that time. Interest on the note was due in arrears on the first day of each month. We had the option at each interest payment date to pay the interest in cash or pay the interest by adding it to the principal amount then outstanding on the Note.

The Note contained certain covenants, including restrictions on: (1) the incurrence of additional debt, (2) certain payments if a default existed, and (3) the redemption of, or dividends or distributions on, capital stock. The proceeds of the loan were used to pay certain of our current liabilities. In connection with the Note, we granted H.I.G. a security interest in all of our tangible and intangible property, contracts, and proceeds of the foregoing. The Note was exchanged for other debt in March 2011, as discussed in Note 13: Subsequent Event. The Series A and Series B notes referred to in Note 13 mature in 2017.

Long term debt maturity after recapitalization is as follows:

| Year | Amount |
|------|--------|
| 2011 | \$226 |
| 2012 | 1,794 |
| 2013 | 254 |
| 2014 | 254 |
| 2015 | 21 |

| | |
|------------|----------|
| Thereafter | 17,597 |
| | \$20,146 |

Note 6: Equity

Series D Preferred Stock

F-15

On October 29, 2007, the Company sold for \$10 million cash (i) an aggregate 757,576 shares of a newly established Series D convertible preferred stock, convertible initially at a conversion price of \$1.32, and (ii) accompanying five-year warrants to acquire an aggregate of 3,787,880 shares of common stock at an initial exercise price of \$1.38. The Series D preferred stock has an 8% cumulative dividend rate. For the first two quarters following the closing, the Company paid dividends in additional shares of Series D preferred stock, which was allowed under the agreement. Beginning in the third quarter following the closing, dividends were paid in shares of common stock. Dividends of \$0.8 million were accrued in each of 2009 and 2010 but not paid, as the Company has been in a stockholders' deficit position since December 31, 2008, and Delaware law does not allow the payment of dividends when a company has negative equity. On March 18, 2011, the Series D preferred stock, the accrued dividends on the preferred stock, and the detachable Series W warrants (discussed below) were exchanged for Class A common stock as part of the recapitalization discussed in Note 13: Subsequent Event. Prior to the exchange, upon any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of the Series D preferred stock were entitled to receive a liquidation preference equal to two times the original purchase price plus all accrued but unpaid dividends. In the event of certain mergers, consolidations or other business combinations to which the Company was a party, the holders of the Series D preferred stock were entitled at their option to have such preferred stock redeemed at 100% of its stated value plus accrued dividends. In addition to separate protective voting rights as to certain customary matters, the holders of the Series D preferred stock were entitled to vote on an as-converted basis, as amended, together with the holders of the Company's common stock on all other matters submitted to a vote of the Company's stockholders.

In 2009, 39,410 shares of preferred stock were converted to common stock, leaving a total of 748,772 shares of preferred stock outstanding at December 31, 2009. In 2010, two issuances of Class A common stock at a price below the conversion price of the preferred stock and below the exercise price of the Series W warrants resulted in anti-dilution adjustments to the conversion price of the preferred stock and the exercise price of the warrants. The conversion price of the preferred stock at December 31, 2010 was \$1.308 and the exercise price of the warrants was \$1.3672.

Common Stock

The Class A common stock and the Class B common stock are substantially similar in all respects except that the Class B common stock has five votes per share while the Class A common stock has one vote per share. Each share of Class B common stock is convertible at any time at the holder's option to one share of Class A common stock and, except in certain instances, is automatically converted into one share of Class A common stock upon any sale or transfer.

Series W Warrants Issued in Connection with Preferred Stock

In connection with the issuance of Series D preferred stock in 2007, the Company issued 3,787,880 Series W warrants. On March 18, 2011, the Series D preferred stock, the accrued dividends on the preferred stock, and the detachable Series W warrants were exchanged for Class A common stock as part of the recapitalization discussed in Note 13: Subsequent Event. These warrants were initially exercisable at \$1.38 per share and were to expire on October 29, 2012. The exercise price of the warrants was decreased to \$1.3672 in 2010 due to anti-dilution adjustments arising from issuances of the Company's Class A common stock at prices below the exercise price of the warrants. Each of the warrants also had a cashless exercise feature. There were 3,787,880 shares of Class A common stock reserved for potential issuance pursuant to Series W warrants at December 31, 2010.

Note 7: Stock Option Plans

Additional stock options are not issuable under the Company's stock option plans, as the plans have expired. However, there are options outstanding that were issued under the plans.

A summary of the activity of the Company's stock options during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 follows:

| | 2009 | | 2010 | | Aggregate |
|--------------------------------|------------|--|------------|--|---|
| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Intrinsic Value at December 31, 2010 |
| Outstanding, beginning of year | 1,274,000 | \$ 1.67 | 1,174,000 | \$ 1.74 | |
| Granted | — | — | — | — | |
| Exercised | — | — | — | — | |
| Forfeited | (100,000) | 0.94 | (125,000) | 2.25 | |
| Outstanding, end of year | 1,174,000 | \$ 1.74 | 1,049,000 | \$ 1.68 | \$ — |
| Exercisable, end of year | 1,174,000 | \$ 1.74 | 1,049,000 | \$ 1.68 | \$ — |

F-16

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding under the Company's stock option plans as of December 31, 2010. All options were exercisable at December 31, 2010.

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding and Exercisable | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | Number at 12/31/10 | Wtd. Avg. Remaining Contract Life | Wtd. Avg. Exercise Price |
| \$0.86 | 124,000 | 0.31 years | \$ 0.86 |
| \$1.12 - \$1.75 | 550,000 | 1.81 years | 1.29 |
| \$2.25 - \$2.75 | 375,000 | 0.64 years | 2.51 |
| | 1,049,000 | 1.21 years | \$ 1.68 |

Note 8: Equity Incentive Plans

2005 and 2008 Key Associate and Management Equity Incentive Plans

The purpose of the Associate Plans is to further the growth and development of the Company by providing, through ownership of stock of the Company, an incentive to officers and other key "associates" (each of whom are employees of the Company for tax purposes) who are in a position to contribute materially to the prosperity of the Company including, but not limited to, all salaried personnel of the Company, to increase such persons' interests in the Company's welfare, to encourage them to continue their services to the Company, and to attract individuals of outstanding ability to enter employment with the Company.

The Associate Plans are currently administered by the compensation committee (the Administrator) of the board of directors. The Administrator has the power and authority to select and grant to participants restricted stock awards pursuant to the terms of the Associate Plan. Any employee of the Company is eligible to receive an award under the Associate Plans. No director who is not also an employee is eligible to receive an award under the Associate Plans.

The stock available for awards under the Associate Plans are shares of the Company's authorized but unissued, or reacquired, common stock. The aggregate number of shares which may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the Associate Plans may not exceed 3,000,000 shares of common stock. In the event that any outstanding award for any reason expires, is forfeited or is terminated, the shares of common stock allocable to the unvested portion of the award will again be available for awards under the Associate Plans.

The terms and conditions of the restricted stock purchase agreements or award may change from time to time, and the terms and conditions of separate restricted stock purchase agreements need not be identical, but each restricted stock purchase agreement will include the substance of each of the following provisions:

(a) Purchase Price. The purchase price of restricted stock awards shall be determined by the Administrator, and may be stated as cash, property or prior services performed.

(b) Consideration. The consideration for common stock acquired pursuant to the restricted stock purchase agreement will be paid either: (i) in cash at the time of purchase; or (ii) in any other form of legal consideration that may be acceptable to the Administrator in its discretion including, without limitation, a recourse promissory note, property or a stock-for-stock exchange or prior services that the Administrator determines have a value at least equal to the fair market value of such common stock.

(c) Vesting. Shares of common stock acquired under the restricted stock purchase agreement or awards may, but need not, be subject to a restricted period that specifies a right of repurchase in favor of the Company in accordance with a vesting schedule to be determined by the Administrator, or forfeiture in the event the consideration was in the form of prior services.

2005 and 2008 Non-Employee Director Equity Incentive Plans

The purpose of the Director Plans is to further the growth and development of the Company by providing, through ownership of stock of the Company, an incentive to non-employee directors to encourage them to continue their director services to the Company,

F-17

and to attract individuals of outstanding ability to accept director positions with the Company. The Director Plans are administered by the compensation committee (the Administrator) of the board of directors.

Pursuant to the Director Plans, each director of the Company who is not also an employee of the Company is eligible to receive, and will automatically receive, an annual award under the Director Plan. However, directors waived their right to receive awards in 2009 and 2010. There were, as of December 31, 2010, three non-employee directors eligible to participate in the Director Plans. The stock available for awards under the Director Plans are shares of the Company's authorized but unissued, or reacquired, common stock. The aggregate number of shares which may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the Director Plans will not exceed 1,500,000 shares of common stock. In the event that any outstanding award under the Director Plans for any reason expires, is forfeited or is terminated, the shares of common stock allocable to the unexercised portion of the award shall again be available for awards under the Director Plans.

Although the Administrator has authority under the Director Plans to change the timing, number of restricted stock units, vesting and crediting provisions and other features of restricted stock unit awards which may be made under the Director Plans, it has been the Company's practice, and is its expectation to resume in the future, that effective as of the third business day each year following the earlier of (i) the Company's announcement by press release or other widely disseminated means of its results of operations (including both definitive revenue, net income, and earnings per share data) for the preceding fiscal year of the Company, or (ii) the Company's filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the preceding fiscal year of the Company, each eligible director then serving is granted, in consideration of his or her services as a director to that point and as an inducement to further services in such capacity, a restricted stock unit award for that number of shares of common stock determined by dividing \$32,000 by the fair market value of the common stock. The restricted stock award is then credited to the account of each eligible director over a vesting period; and such award would be further subject to the condition that the award would vest only upon the earlier of (i) the termination of director services by such director (to the extent of credited awards), and (ii) the completion of the required service period. The award of shares of restricted stock to the director would then be made upon and to the extent of satisfaction of all crediting and vesting conditions. Restricted stock awards or restricted stock unit awards may not be sold, assigned, transferred or otherwise disposed of, pledged or hypothecated as collateral for a loan or as security for the performance of any obligation or for any other purpose during the period of vesting and crediting conditions as the Administrator shall determine. However, the unvested portion of any award will automatically vest upon the occurrence of any change in control.

Restricted Stock Award Summary

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested restricted stock awards as of December 31, 2010, and changes during the year ended December 31, 2010, follows:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|------------|---|
| Non-vested at January 1, 2010 | 1,160,769 | \$ 0.71 |
| Granted | 750,000 | 0.33 |
| Vested | (447,340) | 0.66 |
| Forfeited | (75,929) | 0.90 |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2010 | 1,387,500 | \$ 0.51 |

The total fair value of the 2010 awards was \$0.2 million and was initially recorded as deferred equity compensation. The value of the awards is amortized over the vesting period of the awards and charged to compensation expense. As of December 31, 2010, there was \$0.4 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted

stock awards. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.1 years. There were no restricted stock grants to directors in 2010.

The total fair value of shares vested in 2009 and 2010 was \$0.6 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. Costs recognized for the vested portion of restricted stock awards totaled \$0.4 million in 2009 and \$0.3 million in 2010. The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock granted in 2009 was \$0.35 per share. A 2011 expense of \$0.4 million will be recorded as a result of employee stock awards that vested upon the change of control. See Subsequent event: Note 13.

F-18

Note 9: Leases

At December 31, 2010, the Company was obligated under various operating leases covering certain buildings and equipment. Rent expense under operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010 was \$3.8 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. These amounts for rent expense are considerably higher than the future minimum lease payments each year shown in the table below due to many of our operating equipment leases having durations of less than one year. The Company recorded \$0.7 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively, related to warehouses that it subleased to another company under non-cancelable subleases.

During 2010, the Company also leased certain ERP software, computer equipment, and production equipment under three individual, non-cancelable capital leases. The leases have various terms but all include a bargain purchase option upon expiration. The computer equipment lease expired in 2010 and the software and production equipment leases expire in 2012. The principal portion of lease payments for capital lease obligations totaled \$0.2 million in each of 2009 and 2010.

Future minimum lease payments required under operating and capital leases as of December 31, 2010, are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | Capital Leases | Operating Leases |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| 2011 | \$224 | \$ 1,595 |
| 2012 | 206 | 904 |
| 2013 | — | 811 |
| 2014 | — | 796 |
| 2015 | — | 124 |
| Thereafter | — | 144 |
| Total minimum payments required. | 430 | \$ 4,374 |
| Less amount representing interest | 28 | |
| Present value of future minimum lease payments | 402 | |
| Less current obligations under capital leases | 203 | |
| Long-term obligations under capital leases | \$ 199 | |

Note 10: Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes.

The Company had no income tax provision for State and Federal income for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010.

The income tax provisions for 2009 and 2010 differ from the amounts computed by applying the US federal statutory rate of 34% to income as a result of the following (in thousands):

| | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Income tax at the U.S. federal statutory rate | \$(1,703) | 34.0 | \$(1,991) | 34.0 |
| State income taxes | (261) | 5.2 | (289) | 4.9 |
| Dividends on preferred stock | 315 | (6.3) | 310 | (5.3) |
| Change in valuation allowance | 508 | (10.1) | 441 | (7.5) |
| Late registration penalties | (107) | 2.1 | — | — |

Edgar Filing: ADVANCED ENVIRONMENTAL RECYCLING TECHNOLOGIES INC - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------|---------|-------|---------|
| Expiration of net operating loss carryforwards | 1,340 | (26.7) | 1,470 | (25.1) |
| Other | (92) | 1.8 | 59 | (1.0) |
| | \$— | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0 |

The tax effects of significant temporary differences representing deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows (in thousands):

F-19

| | 2009 | | 2010 | |
|---|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | Current | Long-Term | Current | Long-Term |
| Deferred tax assets — | | | | |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | \$1,470 | \$ 15,717 | \$0 | \$ 19,363 |
| Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward | — | 76 | — | 76 |
| Asset impairment | — | 2,124 | — | 1,910 |
| Inventory reserve | 155 | — | 114 | — |
| Allowance for sales returns | 3 | — | 43 | — |
| Accrued expenses | 3,543 | — | 1,504 | 805 |
| Restricted stock | (147) | — | (161) | — |
| Deferred compensation | 557 | — | 674 | — |
| Charitable contribution | 53 | — | 55 | — |
| Inventory overhead capitalization | 25 | — | 31 | — |
| Valuation allowance | (5,382) | (15,128) | (2,007) | (18,944) |
| Total deferred tax assets | 277 | 2,789 | 253 | 3,210 |
| Deferred tax liability — | | | | |
| Depreciation | — | 2,789 | — | 3,210 |
| Prepaid expenses | 277 | — | 253 | — |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 277 | 2,789 | 253 | 3,210 |
| Net deferred tax | \$— | \$ — | \$— | \$ — |

As of December 31, 2010, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of \$50.4 million for federal income tax purposes, which are available to reduce future taxable income. If not utilized, the net operating loss carryforwards will expire between 2016 and 2030. As there is insufficient evidence that the Company will be able to generate adequate future taxable income to enable it to realize its net operating loss carryforwards prior to expiration, the Company maintained a valuation allowance in the amount of \$20.5 million at December 31, 2009 and \$20.9 million at December 31, 2010 to recognize its deferred tax assets only to the extent of its deferred tax liabilities. In March 2011, H.I.G. AERT, LLC acquired a controlling interest in the Company (see Note 13), which will result in a significant restriction on the utilization of the Company's net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007, except in the State of Texas, for which the 2006 tax year is still subject to examination. The Company is not currently the subject of any income tax examinations by any tax authorities.

Based upon a review of its income tax filing positions, the Company believes that its positions would be sustained upon an audit and does not anticipate any adjustments that would result in a material change to its financial position. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded. The Company recognizes interest related to income taxes as interest expense and penalties as operating expenses.

Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies

Long Term Contracts

The company has two agreements with terms of two years and six years for the purchase of raw materials.

Legal Proceedings

Class Action Lawsuits

The U.S. District Court, Western District of Washington (Seattle Division) approved a class action settlement in January 2009 related to a purported class action lawsuit seeking to recover on behalf of purchasers of ChoiceDek® composite decking for damages allegedly caused by mold and mildew stains on their decks. The settlement includes decking material purchased from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2007, along with decking material purchased after December 31, 2007 that was manufactured before October 1, 2006, the date a mold inhibitor was introduced in the manufacturing process.

At December 31, 2010, the Company had a total remaining balance in accrued expenses and accounts payable of \$5.1 million associated with the settlement of the class action lawsuit. The estimate included \$4.6 million remaining for the claims resolution process and \$0.5 million remaining to be paid for plaintiffs' attorney fees. In 2008, the Company accrued an estimated \$2.9 million for resolving claims. In the third quarter of 2009, the Company increased its estimate of costs to be incurred in resolving claims under the settlement by \$5.1 million. The estimate was revised due to events that occurred and information that became available after the second quarter of 2009 concerning primarily the number of claims received. The deadline for submitting new claims has now passed.

F-20

The claim resolution process will have an annual net cost limitation to the Company of \$2.0 million until the claim resolution process is completed.

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. v. American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company

On April 18, 2008, AERT filed suit against its umbrella liability insurer, American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company (“AISLIC”), to obtain a defense against the then-pending class action lawsuits (discussed above) (the “Jamruk/Pelletz Lawsuits”) under one or more umbrella liability insurance policies issued by AISLIC and to recover AERT’s past defense costs, interest, and other damages and attorneys’ fees relating to AISLIC’s denial of coverage for the Jamruk/Pelletz Lawsuits. After the settlement of the Jamruk/Pelletz Lawsuits was approved in January 2009, AERT amended its claims against AISLIC to also seek recovery for amounts to be paid by AERT in connection with the settlement. In September 2009, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas – Dallas Division denied AERT’s claims. AERT filed an appeal of the court’s decision in January 2010, but lost its appeal and is no longer pursuing the lawsuit.

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. v. Fort Mason Master, L.P. and Fort Mason Partners, L.P.

On August 19, 2009, AERT filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware (the Court) against Fort Mason Master, L.P. and Fort Mason Partners, L.P. (the Funds), requesting that the Court enter an order that AERT has no obligation to redeem its Series D preferred stock held by the Funds and that the Funds be required to comply with the terms of the preferred stock agreements. Prior to AERT filing a complaint, the Funds indicated to the Company their belief that they were entitled to have their stock redeemed (representing \$4.0 million of initial investment) because of the Company’s accruing but not paying cumulative dividends, allegedly misrepresenting their redemption rights, and/or failing to pay late registration penalties. The Company denied and disputed these allegations. AERT also sought a ruling to clarify its obligation with respect to late registration penalties attributable to delays in getting its S-3 resale registration statement for the preferred stock effective as a result of circumstances beyond its control. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$0.4 million accrued relating to these late registration penalties.

On January 15, 2010, the Funds filed with the Court an answer to the Company’s complaint and a counterclaim against AERT. The Funds denied any allegations made by AERT and claimed that AERT had breached certain terms of the Series D preferred stock agreements, including that AERT failed to pay required dividends, AERT falsely represented that it was not prohibited from redeeming the preferred stock, and AERT failed to timely make effective a registration statement and failed to pay the related late registration penalties. The Funds sought damages and attorneys’ fees from AERT for the alleged breaches of contract. Additionally, the Funds requested that the Court require AERT to redeem the preferred stock, claiming that the alleged breaches of contract gave the Funds the right to require redemption under the preferred stock agreements.

AERT responded to the counterclaims on February 4, 2010. In its response, AERT denied the allegations of the Funds and requested that the Court enter an order dismissing the counterclaims, declaring that AERT had no current obligation to redeem the preferred stock, requiring the Funds to comply with the rights and obligations in connection with the Series D preferred stock under Delaware law, and awarding AERT its attorneys’ fees and expenses related to this lawsuit.

On April 27, 2010, the Funds filed a motion for summary judgment on their counterclaims. AERT filed its answering brief with the Court on June 16, 2010 and the Funds filed their reply brief on July 2, 2010. On August 6, 2010, AERT and the Funds agreed to dismiss, without prejudice, the pending lawsuit and the claims and counterclaims therein in conjunction with a sale by the Funds of their Series D preferred stock and warrants to a third party.

Banc of America Leasing & Capital, LLC as successor-in-interest to LaSalle National Leasing Corporation vs. Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc.

On February 14, 2011, Banc of America Leasing & Capital, LLC (Banc of America) filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Washington County, Arkansas seeking judgment against the Company in the amount of \$4.4 million for alleged unpaid past and future rent on equipment Banc of America leased to the Company. Banc of America was also seeking an award of legal fees, enforcement costs and costs related to the sale of the leased equipment. Additionally, Banc of America was seeking an Order of Delivery requiring that the Company surrender possession of certain equipment leased to the Company by Banc of America. The complaint was dropped by Banc of America after the Company and Banc of America reached certain agreements in March 2011 whereby the company paid an aggregate amount of \$0.5 million – See Note 13.

F-21

Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. v. Ross Systems, Inc.

The Company currently has a cause of action against the vendor for its enterprise resource planning (ERP) system, Ross Systems, Inc. (Ross). The case is pending in the Circuit Court of Washington County, Arkansas – Civil Division. The Company alleges that Ross engaged in negligent misrepresentation, deceit, deceptive trade practices and breach of contract. The Company is seeking punitive damages and an amount for breach of contract. Ross countersued the Company for the collection of certain billings, but the suit was disallowed by the Court.

Other Matters

AERT is involved from time to time in other litigation arising from the normal course of business. In management's opinion, this other litigation is not expected to materially impact the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

Note 12: 401(k) Plan

The Company sponsors the A.E.R.T. 401(k) Plan (the Plan) for the benefit of all eligible employees. The Plan qualifies under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code thereby allowing eligible employees to make tax-deferred contributions to the Plan. The Plan provides that the Company may elect to make employer-matching contributions equal to a percentage of each participant's voluntary contribution. The Company may also elect to make a profit sharing contribution to the Plan. The Company has never made any matching or profit sharing contributions to the Plan.

Note 13: Subsequent Event

On March 18, 2011, the Company consummated related recapitalization transactions (the "Transactions") with H.I.G. AERT, LLC, an affiliate of H.I.G. Capital LLC ("H.I.G.") and with other existing preferred stockholders, pursuant to which, among other things, H.I.G. exchanged \$32,620,816 of its secured debt in the Company, including interest accrued through March 17, 2011, for a combination of new debt and equity. Prior to the consummation of the Transactions, H.I.G. was the sole owner of four distinct obligations representing the Company's debt: (i) \$6,806,656 of principal plus accrued interest owed under that certain Promissory Note, dated July 1, 2009, issued by the Company in favor of H.I.G.; (ii) \$13,281,084 of principal plus accrued interest owed under the Adair County Industrial Authority Solid Waste Recovery Facilities Revenue Bonds issued in 2007; (iii) \$10,436,409 of principal plus accrued interest owed under the City of Springdale Arkansas, Industrial Development Refunding Revenue Bonds issued in 2008; and (iv) \$2,096,667 of principal plus accrued interest owed under the Secured Promissory Note ("2010 Note") issued on December 20, 2010. Items (i)-(iv) above are collectively referred to herein as the "Prior Debt." In addition, prior to the consummation of the Transactions, H.I.G. was the sole owner of 315,273 shares of the Company's Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series D Preferred Stock") and 1,515,155 Warrants exercisable for shares of the Company's Class A Common Stock (the "Warrants").

In connection with the consummation of the Transactions, the Company entered into a Securities Exchange Agreement with H.I.G. (the "Exchange Agreement"), and a Credit Agreement with H.I.G. (the "Credit Agreement"), each dated March 18, 2011. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement and the Credit Agreement, in exchange for the Prior Debt and H.I.G. making approximately \$6.9 million in additional new capital available to the Company, H.I.G. was issued (i) a Series A Term Note ("Series A Note") in the aggregate principal amount of \$10,000,000, (ii) a Series B Senior Term Note ("Series B Note", and collectively with the Series A Note, the "Notes") in the aggregate principal amount of \$9,000,000 (or such lesser amount as is actually borrowed thereunder), and (iii) 20,524.149 shares of Series E Convertible Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (the "Series E Preferred Stock"). As a result, upon consummation of the Transactions (including the Series D Exchange Agreement described hereunder), H.I.G. holds \$17,596,667 outstanding principal amount of senior secured debt of the Company and owns approximately 80%

of the outstanding common equity securities of the Company on a fully diluted, as converted basis. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, until such time as H.I.G. no longer owns at least 20% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock on a fully diluted basis, H.I.G. has the right to purchase securities in any subsequent issuance or sale of securities by the Company in an amount equal to the greater of (i) H.I.G.'s ownership percentage as of the business day prior to its receipt of notice of the proposed issuance or sale by the Company or (ii) 51%.

Pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Company issued to H.I.G. the Notes, which are secured by a grant of a security interest in all of the Company's assets in accordance with the terms of a Security Agreement, Patent Security Agreement, Copyright Security Agreement and Trademark Security Agreement, each dated March 18, 2011. The Series A Note matures six years after the closing of

F-22

the Transactions (the “Closing”) and, at the Company’s option, either (i) bears cash interest at 8.0% per annum or (ii) bears cash interest at 4.0% per annum, plus a rate of interest equal to 4.0% per annum payable in kind and added to the outstanding principal amount of the Series A Note (with the latter option only being available for the first 24 months following the Closing, after which the Series A Note will bear cash interest at 8.0% per annum). H.I.G. and Liberty Bank of Arkansas (“Liberty”) concurrently entered into an Amended Intercreditor Agreement pursuant to which H.I.G. has priority vis-a-vis Liberty with respect to its lien on all assets of the Company other than inventory, accounts receivable, deposit accounts, and receipts, revenues, cash and income derived from such inventory and accounts receivable and certain real estate, and Liberty has priority vis-à-vis H.I.G. with respect to its lien on all inventory, accounts receivable, deposit accounts, and receipts, revenues, cash and income derived from such inventory and accounts receivable and certain real estate.

Upon the Closing, H.I.G. converted the \$2,000,000 principal amount of the 2010 Note and accrued interest thereon into borrowings under the Series B Note. In addition, an additional \$5,500,000 was funded and drawn under the Series B Note at Closing. The remaining principal balance is available to be drawn down from time to time in the future upon request by the Company, subject to H.I.G.’s approval in its sole discretion. The Series B Note matures six years after the Closing and, at the Company’s option, either (i) bears cash interest at 10.0% per annum or (ii) bears cash interest at 4.0% per annum, plus a rate of interest equal 6.0% per annum payable in kind and added to the outstanding principal amount of the Series B Term Note. The Series B Note ranks pari passu to the Series A Note.

The Credit Agreement contains provisions requiring mandatory payments upon the Notes equal to 50% of the Company’s “Excess Cash Flow” (as defined in the Credit Agreement) and equal to 100% of proceeds from most non-ordinary course asset dispositions, additional debt issuances or equity issuances (subject to certain exceptions in each case or as H.I.G. otherwise agrees), and contains covenant restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt, liens, leases or equity issuances (subject to certain exceptions in each case or as H.I.G. otherwise agrees).

In connection with the execution of the Credit Agreement, Marjorie S. Brooks entered into a Second Amended and Restated Guaranty Agreement in favor of H.I.G., dated March 18, 2011 (the “H.I.G. Guaranty”), pursuant to which Mrs. Brooks agreed to guarantee the Company’s obligations under the Credit Agreement up to a maximum guaranteed amount of \$6,000,000 (plus certain potential expenses), replacing a prior guaranty Mrs. Brooks had agreed to with respect to certain of the Prior Debt. In consideration for Mrs. Brooks entering into the H.I.G. Guaranty and continuing to perform her obligations under a January 16, 2006 Guaranty Agreement, as amended, in favor of Liberty (the “Liberty Guaranty”), the Company entered into a Guaranty Fee Agreement with Mrs. Brooks, dated March 18, 2011 (the “Guaranty Fee Agreement”), pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay to Mrs. Brooks (i) for as long as the Liberty Guaranty remains in effect, a guaranty fee equal to 4.0% per annum multiplied by the average daily balance of the Company’s obligations under its Loan Agreement with Liberty, as amended, for the month in which the fee is calculated, and (ii) for so long as the H.I.G. Guaranty remains in effect, a guaranty fee equal to 4.0% per annum multiplied by the lesser of \$6,000,000 and the average daily balance of the Company’s outstanding obligations under the Credit Agreement for the month in which the fee is calculated. The Company also agreed to pay Mrs. Brooks \$313,124 at a later date in full satisfaction of previously accrued and unpaid guaranty fees, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the Guaranty Fee Agreement.

In addition, pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, the Company issued 20,524.149 shares of newly authorized Series E Preferred Stock to H.I.G. at the Closing. The Series E Preferred Stock was authorized by the filing of a Certificate of Designations, Preferences and Rights of the Series E Convertible Preferred Stock of the Company filed on March 17, 2011 with the Delaware Secretary of State (the “Series E Designation”). Pursuant to the Series E Designation, holders of the Series E Preferred Stock are entitled to receive per share dividends equal to 6% per annum of the stated value of \$1,000 per share of Series E Preferred Stock when declared by the Company’s Board of Directors. In addition, holders of the Series E Preferred Stock are entitled to participate in any dividends declared on shares of the Company’s Common Stock on an as-converted basis. Shares of the Series E Preferred Stock and all accrued dividends thereon are convertible at any time at the holder’s election into shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock (the “Conversion Shares”) at a conversion price of \$0.075 per share, subject to customary anti-dilution adjustments. The Series E Preferred Stock ranks senior to all other equity securities of the Company. Holders of the Series E Preferred Stock have the right to vote their ownership interests in the Series E Preferred Stock on an as-converted basis. In

addition, holders of the Series E Preferred Stock also have the right to elect four of the Company's seven directors for as long as there remain outstanding shares of Series E Preferred Stock representing at least 20% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on an as-converted basis. If the outstanding shares of Series E Preferred Stock at any time represent less than 20% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock on an as-converted basis, the holders of the Series E Preferred Stock will have the right to elect one of the Company's seven directors. The Series E Designation contains customary protective voting provisions and other rights customarily granted to holders of preferred equity securities.

F-23

Also, on March 18, 2011, the Company and H.I.G. entered into a Registration Rights Agreement under which, among other things, the Company granted to H.I.G. certain demand and “piggyback” registration rights with regard to its Conversion Shares and Series D Converted Shares. The Registration Rights Agreement provides for the payment of reasonable expenses in connection with such registrations (including the payment of fees of counsel up to \$10,000 for each registration statement) and contains other customary provisions.

On March 16, 2011, the Company’s Board of Directors approved the appointment of Michael Phillips, an affiliate of H.I.G., to the Board, effective as of the Closing, to fill a vacancy. The Company entered into an Indemnity Agreement with Mr. Phillips dated March 18, 2011, entitling Mr. Phillips to indemnification, defense and advancement of expenses by the Company in connection with his service as a director of the Company. Mr. Phillips will also serve on the compensation committee of the Board. H.I.G, with which Mr. Phillips is affiliated, will be compensated pursuant to the Advisory Services Agreement.

In order to satisfy its obligations under the Exchange Agreement with regard to the reservation of the Conversion Shares, at a meeting of the Company’s stockholders to be held no later than July 15, 2011, the Company is contractually obligated to present for stockholder approval an amendment to the Company’s Certificate of Incorporation to authorize 400,000,000 additional shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock. On March 18, 2011, the Company, H.I.G., all of the prior Series D Preferred Stockholders, certain management stockholders and certain other stockholders, including Marjorie S. Brooks, entered into a Voting Agreement undertaking to vote in favor of such increase in authorized capital stock.

On March 18, 2011, immediately prior to the closing under the Exchange Agreement and Credit Agreement, the Company and the holders of the Company’s Series D Preferred Stock consummated the transactions contemplated by a Series D Preferred Stock Exchange Agreement (“Series D Exchange Agreement”), under which 748,772 shares of Series D Preferred Stock and Warrants exercisable for 3,787,880 shares of the Company’s Common Stock were exchanged for 36,313,377 shares of the Company’s Class A Common Stock (the “Series D Converted Shares”), equal to approximately 10% of the outstanding common shares of the Company on a fully diluted basis.

As a condition to Closing, the Company also entered into a Fifteenth Loan Modification Agreement with Liberty, dated March 18, 2011 (the “Liberty Modification Agreement”), pursuant to which Liberty waived certain events of default and rights to demand payment from the date of the Liberty Modification Agreement through November 30, 2011. In addition, Liberty and the Company extended the maturity date of the Liberty Loan from March 15, 2011 to March 15, 2012, as well as made amendments to the Amended and Restated Loan Agreement between the Company and Liberty, in order to permit the consummation of the Transactions.

The principal use of proceeds for the new borrowings by the Company under the Credit Agreement were to pay off various trade payables that were significantly in arrears. The Company applied approximately \$3.9 million for purposes of such settlement payments and obtained a \$2.7 million discount. In connection with the settlements with existing trade creditors, the Company entered into a Lease Reinstatement, Settlement and Waiver Agreement with Banc of America Leasing & Capital, LLC as successor-in-interest to LaSalle National Leasing Corporation (“Banc of America Leasing”), dated March 17, 2011, pursuant to which the Company agreed to pay Banc of America Leasing an aggregate amount of \$549,220 to settle litigation and reinstate a principal equipment lease, with such payment representing past rent payments, late fees, legal fees and a non-refundable security deposit under the Lease.

The Company also on March 18, 2011 entered into an Advisory Services Agreement between H.I.G. Capital, LLC, an affiliate of H.I.G., and the Company (the “Advisory Services Agreement”) that provides for an annual monitoring fee between \$250,000 and \$500,000 (the “Monitoring Fee”) and reimbursement of all other out of pocket fees and expenses incurred by H.I.G. Capital, LLC. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the Advisory Services Agreement, H.I.G. Capital, LLC will be entitled to a financial advisory fee and a supplemental management fee in connection with any acquisition, disposition or material public or private debt or equity financing of the Company, in each case which has

been introduced, arranged, managed and/or negotiated by H.I.G. Capital, LLC or its affiliates. H.I.G. Capital, LLC was paid a \$500,000 transaction fee under the Advisory Services Agreement in connection with the recapitalization.

The Company also terminated the Series D Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement, the Series D Preferred Stock Registration Rights Agreement and the Warrants issued in connection with the Series D Preferred Stock purchase transaction, and terminated all rights and obligations of the parties thereunder except for any indemnification rights and obligations under the Series D Preferred Stock Registration Rights Agreement. No early termination penalties were paid or incurred by the Company in connection with such terminations.

F-24

The Company created material new debt obligations pursuant to the Credit Agreement and Notes in exchange for Prior Debt held by H.I.G. and concurrent new financial loans of approximately \$5.5 million. See the discussion of the Credit Agreement for the material terms of such new debt obligations.

The Company issued (i) 36,313,377 shares of Common Stock to seven existing Series D Preferred Stockholders, including H.I.G., in exchange for (A) all of the previously outstanding 748,772 shares of Series D Preferred Stock and (B) Warrants exercisable for an aggregate of 3,787,880 shares of Common Stock, and (ii) 20,524,149 shares of Series E Preferred Stock to H.I.G. in exchange for a portion of the Prior Debt held by H.I.G. All of such issuances in clauses (i) and (ii) above were done on an unregistered private placement basis pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Rule 506 thereunder and pursuant to the exemption for exchanges with existing stockholders under Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

All of the Series D Preferred Stock of the Company was retired and the contractual rights associated with it were extinguished and, pursuant to the Board designation rights conferred upon the Series E Preferred Stock, the rights of holders of Common Stock with respect to the election of directors was materially limited.

H.I.G. acquired Series E Preferred Stock and Series D Converted Shares with the right to vote on an as-converted basis shares representing approximately 78.9% of the voting rights associated with outstanding voting capital stock immediately following the Transactions and with the right under the Series E Designation to designate four out of seven directors, representing a majority of the Board of Directors. As of the date of this Current Report, Michael Phillips is the only H.I.G. affiliate serving on the Board. However, it is anticipated that new directors will be appointed to the Board in accordance with the Series E Preferred Stock voting rights in the upcoming months.

In preparation for the recapitalization transactions, on March 16, 2011, the Board approved the Series E Designation, which was filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on March 17, 2011, as an amendment to the Company's Certificate of Incorporation.

In connection with the recapitalization, the Company also amended its bylaws to implement the changes to the Board of Directors size, composition and structure contemplated by such Series E Designation.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit

| No. | Description of Exhibit |
|-------|--|
| 3.1 | Certificate of Incorporation, including Certificate of Amendment filed on June 12, 1989 (a), and Certificate of Amendment filed on August 22, 1989 (b), and Certificate of Amendment filed on December 29, 1999 |
| 3.2 | Certificate of Designation of Class B common stock.(a) |
| 3.3 | Bylaws of Registrant.(a) |
| 3.4 | Form of Class A common stock Certificate.(c) |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Designation of Series D Preferred Stock filed October 29, 2007(e) |
| 4.2.1 | Form of Class B common stock Certificate.(a) |
| 10.1 | Form of Right of Refusal Agreement among Class B common stockholders.(a) |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan.(d) |
| 10.3 | Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan.(d) |
| 10.4 | Chairman Stock Option Plan.(d) |
| 10.5 | 2005 Key Associate and Management Equity Incentive Plan(h) |
| 10.6 | 2005 Non-Employee Director Equity Incentive Plan(h) |
| 10.9 | Wood-Plastic Composite Decking Agreement between AERT and Weyerhaeuser Company, et al. effective October 12, 2004.* (Redacted in accordance with confidential treatment request, as filed October 15, 2005)(i) |
| 10.10 | Liberty Bank Real Estate Loan Agreement.(j) |
| 10.11 | Liberty Bank Real Estate Promissory Note.(j) |
| 10.12 | Liberty Bank Line of Credit Loan Agreement.(k) |
| 10.13 | Liberty Bank Line of Credit Promissory Note.(k) |
| 10.14 | Series D Convertible Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated October 29, 2007(e) |
| 10.14 | Form of Warrants to Purchase Common Stock issued October 29, 2007(e) |
| 10.14 | Registration Rights Agreement(e) |
| 10.15 | Loan Agreement dated December 19, 2007 related to Series 2007 8% Bonds.(f) |
| 10.15 | Indenture of Trust dated December 19, 2007 related to Series 2007 8% bonds.(f) |
| 10.16 | Indenture of Trust between City of Springdale, Arkansas, and Bank of Oklahoma, N.A., Trustee, relating to the issuance of \$10,610,000 City of Springdale, Arkansas Industrial Development Refunding Revenue Bonds (Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. Project) Series 2008; dated as of February 1, 2008.(g) |
| 10.16 | Loan Agreement between City of Springdale, Arkansas, and Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc., related to \$10,610,000 City of Springdale, Arkansas Industrial Development Refunding Revenue Bonds (Advanced Environmental Recycling Technologies, Inc. Project) Series 2008; dated as of February 1, 2008.(g) |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.** |
| 31.1 | Certification per Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 302) by the Company's chairman and chief executive officer.** |
| 31.2 | Certification per Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 302) by the Company's chief financial officer.** |
| 32.1 | Certification per Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 906) by the Company's chairman and chief executive officer.** |
| 32.2 | Certification per Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 906) by the Company's chief financial officer.** |

* Confidential treatment was granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission for certain portions of this agreement. The confidential portions were filed separately with the Commission.

The Registrant has no exhibits corresponding to Exhibits 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, through 23, or 26 through 29.

** Filed herewith.

- (a) Contained in Exhibits to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-29595, filed June 28, 1989.
- (b) Contained in Exhibits to Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-29595, filed August 24, 1989.
- (c) Contained in Exhibits to Amendment No. 2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1, No. 33-29595, filed November 8, 1989.
- (d) Filed with Form 10-K for December 31, 1994.
- (e) Contained in exhibits to Form 8-K filed November 1, 2007.
- (f) Contained in exhibits to Form 8-K filed December 21, 2007.
- (g) Contained in exhibits to Form 8-K filed February 29, 2008.
- (h) Contained in exhibits to DEFR14A filed July 11, 2005.
- (i) Filed with Forms 10-Q for September 30, 2004 and June 30, 2005.
- (j) Filed with Form 10-Q for September 30, 2005.
- (k) Filed with Form 10-K for December 31, 2005.