Capitol Acquisition Corp Form 425 September 21, 2009

Two Harbors Investment Corp. Investor Presentation Capitol Acquisition Corp.

September 2009
Filed by Two Harbors Investment Corp. pursuant to
Rule 425 under the Securities Act of 1933
And deemed filed pursuant to Rule 14a-12
under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Subject Company: Capitol Acquisition Corp.

Commission File No. for the Related Registration Statement: 333-160199

Safe Harbor Statement

THIS PRESENTATION IS BEING PRESENTED BY CAPITOL ACQUISITION CORP. (CAPITOL OR CLA), PINE HARBORS INVESTMENT CORP. (TWO HARBORS).

NEITHER CAPITOL, TWO HARBORS NOR ANY OF ITS RESPECTIVE AFFILIATES MAKES ANY REPRESENTATION COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS PRESENTATION. THE SOLE PURPOSE OF THIS IS WHETHER THEY WISH TO PROCEED WITH A FURTHER REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION DISCUSS: OR TO CONTAIN ALL THE INFORMATION THAT A PERSON MAY DESIRE IN CONSIDERING THE PROPOSED TO FORM THE BASIS OF ANY INVESTMENT DECISION OR ANY OTHER DECISION IN RESPECT OF THE PROPOSED TO FORM THE BASIS OF ANY INVESTMENT WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) AS STATEMENT WITH THE SEC, IN EACH CASE THAT CONTAINS A PRELIMINARY PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTION. STOCKHOLDERS AND WARRANT HOLDERS OF CAPITOL AND OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS IN CONNECTION WITH CAPITOL S SOLICITATION OF PROXIES FOR THE SPECIAL INFORMATION, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION OF THE SECURITY HOLDINGS OF THE CAPITOL OFFICERS AND

SUCCESSFUL CONSUMMATION OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION. THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT/PR AND WARRANT HOLDERS, AS THE CASE MAY BE, AS OF A RECORD DATE TO BE ESTABLISHED FOR VOTING WARRANT HOLDERS WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO OBTAIN A COPY OF THE DEFINITIVE PROXY STATEMENT/PROTO: CAPITOL ACQUISITION CORP., 509 7TH STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004. FREE COPIES OF THESE WITHOUT CHARGE, AT THE SEC S INTERNET SITE (HTTP://WWW.SEC.GOV).

CAPITOL, TWO HARBORS, TWO HARBORS EXTERNAL MANAGER AND THEIR RESPECTIVE DIRECTORS, EX BE DEEMED TO BE PARTICIPANTS IN THE SOLICITATION OF PROXIES FOR THE SPECIAL MEETINGS OF CAPITO BE HELD TO APPROVE THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION. AS PART OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION, AN A CERTAIN SERVICES TO TWO HARBORS EXTERNAL MANAGER PURSUANT TO WHICH SUCH ENTITY WILL IN PERCENTAGE OF THE MANAGEMENT FEES TO BE PAID BY TWO HARBORS. ADDITIONALLY, THE UNDERWEY 2007 MAY ASSIST CAPITOL IN THESE SOLICITATION EFFORTS. THE UNDERWRITERS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN FUTURE SECURITIES OFFERINGS BY TWO HARBORS UPON COMPLETION OF CONSUMMATED, THE UNDERWRITERS WILL NOT RECEIVE ANY OF THEIR DEFERRED UNDERWRITING CONTHE INTERESTS OF POTENTIAL PARTICIPANTS IS INCLUDED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS AND HARBORS WITH THE SEC.

THIS PRESENTATION SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE A SOLICITATION OF A PROXY, CONSENT OR AUTHORIZATION THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION.

THIS PRESENTATION SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE AN OFFER TO SELL OR THE SOLICITATION OF AN OFFER TO SECURITIES IN ANY JURISDICTIONS IN WHICH SUCH OFFER, SOLICITATION OR SALE WOULD BE UNLAWFUTHE SECURITIES LAWS OF ANY SUCH JURISDICTION. NO OFFERING OF SECURITIES SHALL BE MADE EXCE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 10 OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED.

Forward Looking Statements

THIS PRESENTATION CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE SAFE H LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE NUMEROUS RISKS AND UNDIFFER FROM ITS EXPECTATIONS, ESTIMATES, AND PROJECTIONS AND, CONSEQUENTLY, YOU SHOULD NOT PREDICTIONS OF FUTURE EVENTS. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE NOT HISTORICAL IN NATURE ANALICIPATE, ESTIMATE, WILL, SHOULD, EXPECT, BELIEVE, INTEND, SEEK, PLAN, AN REFERENCES TO STRATEGY, PLANS, OR INTENTIONS.

STATEMENTS REGARDING THE FOLLOWING SUBJECTS, AMONG OTHERS, ARE FORWARD-LOOKING BY THE PROPOSED TERMS AND STRUCTURE OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION, THE TERMS OF TWO HARBORS SE TRANSACTION AND THE PROPOSED TERMS AND STRUCTURE OF TWO HARBORS MANAGEMENT AND ORC TRANSACTION; (II) REGARDING THE ESTIMATED BOOK VALUE OF TWO HARBORS UPON CLOSING OF THE PROPOSED INVESTMENT STRATEGIES AND INVESTMENT GOALS, TARGETED INVESTMENTS AND THE OPPOSED MARKET TRENDS, INCLUDING THE ROLE PRIVATE CAPITAL IS EXPECTED TO PLAY IN FINANCING

INCREASED SUPPORT AND INVOLVEMENT OF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT MAY OFFER POTENTIAL FOR ATTRA IMPROVING INVESTMENT RETURNS, THAT AGENCY RMBS ARE LIKELY TO REMAIN AT LOW PRICES TO LIE SPEEDS OF CERTAIN ASSETS (INCLUDING THAT SOME PREPAYMENTS ARE LIKELY TO REMAIN SLOWER THAT ARE PRICED AT LEVELS THAT COMPENSATE FOR CREDIT RISK AND HAVE UPSIDE TO POTENTIAL GOVERN FINANCING, AND CERTAIN AGENCY RMBS SPREADS ARE EXPECTED TO REMAIN WIDE; (VI) REGARDING TWATTRACTIVE ROE; (VII) REGARDING TWO HARBORS ABILITY TO QUICKLY DEPLOY ITS CAPITAL AND THE HARBORS WILL INVEST ITS CAPITAL; (VIII) REGARDING TWO HARBORS FINANCING STRATEGY AND USE LEVERAGE RATIO AND POTENTIAL USE OF GOVERNMENT PROGRAMS; (IX) REGARDING THE EXPECTED TO WARRANTS AS A POTENTIAL SOURCE OF CAPITAL GROWTH, INCLUDING THE BOOK VALUE OF TWO HARBORS BY TWO HARBORS UPON EXERCISE OF THE WARRANTS.

THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES. TWO HARBORS UPON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, WHETHER AS A RESULT OF NEW INFORMATION, FUTURE EVENTS, OR MAY AFFECT ACTUAL RESULTS INCLUDE: UNCERTAINTIES AS TO THE TIMING OF THE PROPOSED TRANSACTOR STOCKHOLDERS AND WARRANT HOLDERS; THE SATISFACTION OF CLOSING CONDITIONS TO THE PROPOSED TRANSACTION; CHANGES IN ECONOMIC CONDITIONS GENERALLY, CHANGES IN TWO HARBOR FINANCE AND THE REAL ESTATE MARKETS SPECIFICALLY; LEGISLATIVE AND REGULATORY CHANGES; A HARBORS ON FAVORABLE TERMS, OR AT ALL; AVAILABILITY OF SUITABLE INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND STRATEGIES; EXPECTATIONS REGARDING THE TIMING OF GENERATING REVENUES; THE DEGREE AN HARBORS DEPENDENCE ON ITS MANAGER AND INABILITY TO FIND A SUITABLE REPLACEMENT IN A TIM MANAGER WERE TO TERMINATE THE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT; CHANGES IN THE RELATIONSHIPS AMO STRATEGIES OF, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST AMONG, TWO HARBORS AND PINE RIVER, INCLUDING THE BUSINESS BY ITS EXEMPTIONS UNDER THE 1940 ACT; CHANGES IN INTEREST RATES AND INTEREST RATE ALIQUIDITY OF BORROWERS; INFLATION; CHANGES IN GAAP; CHANGES IN PERSONNEL AND LACK OF AVAIL POLICIES AND RULES APPLICABLE TO REITS; AND OTHER FACTORS NOT PRESENTLY IDENTIFIED.

4

Proven Manager with Strong Track

Record

Capitol Acquisition (NYSE Amex: CLA) to merge with a subsidiary of Two Harbors Investment Corp., a newly created mortgage REIT to capitalize on severe dislocation in the residential mortgage backed securities (RMBS)

market.

At current CLA price, an investor creates a share in Two Harbors

at 1.04x

initial

Book

Value

vs. 1.28xtrading average for non-Agency public peers. (1)Externally managed by PRCM Advisers, an affiliate of Pine River, a global fixed-income focused asset manager. Since February 2008 inception, Pine River s RMBS strategy has returned 145.3% life to date net of fees and 76.3% annualized net of fees (2) with no negative months. Team and infrastructure in place to rapidly invest proceeds and manage future growth. Attractive 1.5% management fee structure with no additional performance fees. Opportunity Transaction Highlights (1) Assumes no shareholder conversions or other purchases by Capitol of public shares. The impact of this benefit is reduced in the case of maximum shareholder

conversions

and
/or
other
purchases
of
public
shares.
Please
see
slide
25
entitled
Comparables:
Non-Agency
and

Agency

REITS

for

more

information.

(2)

For more information with respect to the performance of Pine River s RMBS strategy including key assumptions used in deriv

5

With no legacy assets, Two Harbors is positioned to invest 100% of Capitol s trust fund proceeds into RMBS with potential for attractive risk adjusted returns and Return on Equity (ROE).

Cross-product approach targeting all sub-sets of the RMBS market enables Two Harbors to best capture inefficiencies.

Potential to benefit from government programs such as Home Affordable Modification

Program

(HAMP)

and

TALF

II

if

expanded
to
RMBS.
Compelling
Targeted Returns
Transaction Highlights
(1)
Term Asset-Backed Securities Loan Facility (TALF).
(2)
As of September 17, 2009 closing price.
Capitol s public shareholders to own 100% of Two Harbors post
completion.
Expected market capitalization of \$258 million based on 26.25 million
common
shares
and
current
stock
price
of
\$9.84
(2)
(reduced
by
the
amounts converted by stockholders exercising their conversion rights
and the amounts that may be used to enter into forward or other
contracts to purchase shares of Capitol).
Warrants struck at \$11.00 provide accretive growth capital.

Pro Forma Ownership

6

Experienced, Cohesive Team:

Six partners together for average of 15 years.

Average 19 years hedge fund

experience.

57 employees, 20 investment professionals.

No senior management turnover.

Historically low attrition.

Overview of Pine River Capital Management

Founded June 2002 with offices in New York, London, Hong Kong, San Francisco and Minnesota.

Over \$1.1 billion assets under management

(1)

.

Experienced manager of non-Agency, Agency and other mortgage related assets.

Pine River has never suspended or withheld cash from investors.

Established Infrastructure:

Strong corporate governance.

Registrations: SEC/NFA (U.S.), FSA (U.K.),

SFC (Hong Kong), SEBI (India) and TSEC

(Taiwan).

Proprietary technology.

Global footprint.

Minnetonka,

MN

London

Hong

Kong

San

Francisco

New

York

Global multi-strategy asset management firm providing comprehensive portfolio management, transparency and liquidity to institutional and high net worth investors.

(1)

Estimate as of September 1, 2009.

7

The Two Harbors Team

Board consists of seven directors, majority independent, including:

Chairman, Brian Taylor, CEO and Founder, Pine River;

Vice-Chairman, Mark Ein, CEO and Founder, Capitol;

Tom Siering, Partner, Pine River, and CEO Two Harbors;

Steve Kasnet, Independent;

Bill Johnson, Independent;

Reid Sanders, Independent; and

Independent Director to be Nominated by Capitol.
Tom Siering, CEO.
Jeff Stolt, CFO.
Steve Kuhn, Co-Chief Investment Officer.
Bill Roth, Co-Chief Investment Officer.
Tim O Brien, General Counsel.
Andrew Garcia, VP Business Development.
Management Team
Board of Directors

Pine River s RMBS Strategy Historical Returns
Jan-08
Feb-08
Mar-08
Apr-08
May-08
Jun-08
Jul-08
Aug-08
Sep-08
Oct-08
Nov-08
Dec-08

Net Monthly Return N/A 2.93% 1.26% 2.83% 4.10% 4.09% 2.49% 2.11% 9.56% 2.46% 3.26% 4.32% Net Annual Return N/A 2.93% 4.23% 7.18% 11.57% 16.13% 19.02% 21.52% 33.15% 36.42% 40.87% 46.95% Jan-09 Feb-09 Mar-09 Apr-09 May-09 Jun-09 Jul-09 Aug-09 (Estimate) Sep-09 Oct-09 Nov-09 Dec-09 Net Monthly Return 8.50% 5.01% 4.48%5.09% 6.40%8.15% 10.71% 4.76% Net Annual Return

8.50%

13.94% 19.04% 25.10% 33.12% 43.96% 59.38% 66.96% Annualized Net Life to Date Return 3 Month Net Return **Annualized Standard Deviation** 6 Month Net Return Positive Months 12 Month Net Return LTD Net Return Nisswa Fixed Income Fund L.P. Estimated September 1, 2009 Assets Under Management 145.34% 25.44% 46.54% 101.89% 76.27% 9.20% 19/19 \$327.9 Million Beginning in September 2008, the data reflects, on an unaudited basis, the actual performance of Nisswa Fixed Income Fund I For the period from February 2008 through July 2008, Pine River s **RMBS** strategy was conducted through the Nisswa Master Fund. During the month of August

2008,
the
strategy
was
conducted
in
both
the
Nisswa
Master
Fund
and
the
Nisswa Fixed Income Fund, however for purposes of investor reporting during the transition month of August 2008, returns fr
Fund owned 100% of the equity interests in the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund. The performance information shown in the table
monthly
investor
reports
of
the
Nisswa
Master
Fund
which
separately
reported
on
the
results
of
the
RMBS
component
of
the
Nisswa
Master
Fund.
The
performance
information
is
determined
by
dividing
the
net
income
derived
from

RMBS component of the Nisswa Master Fund by the weighted amount of capital that was allocated to this strategy over the ap

the manager, even if such fees were

not paid. The strategy performance information

related

to

the

the

Nisswa

Master

Fund

is

based

on

a

number

of

important

assumptions

with

respect

to

the

allocation

of

incentive

fees,

management

fees,

and

operating

expenses.

Specifically,

Pine

River

allocated

incentive

fees

among

the

Nisswa Master Fund s various strategies based on the proportion of new profit generated by each strategy over the aggregate new profit generated by the Nisswa Master Fund. The new profit is calculated by subtracting operating expenses, finance expenses and management fees from net trading gains. In addition,

Pine River allocated

management fees and operating expenses among the Nisswa Master Fund s various strategies based on the proportion of the margin requirements in each strategy over the Nisswa Master Fund s total margin requirements. The performance information shown in the table above beginning September 2008 reflects the actual performance of the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund. The investment strategy of each of the

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund

and

the

RMBS

strategy

component

of

the

Nisswa

Master

Fund

is

different

from

the

investment

strategy

that

Two

Harbors

intends

to

employ

in

several

important

respects.

The

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund

(and

before

September

2008

the

RMBS

strategy

component

of

the

Nisswa

Master

Fund)

traded

actively

in

fixed-rate, adjustable

and

interest-only

RMBS,

including

collateralized

mortgage

obligations

and

to-be-announced

forward

contracts,

and

equity

investments

in

REIT,

and

actively

hedged

its

trading

positions.

By

contrast,

Two

Harbors

will

initially

seek

to

invest

primarily

in

Agency

and

non-Agency

RMBS

with

a

buy-and-hold

emphasis,

and

does

not

currently

anticipate

actively

trading

its

assets.

In

addition,

whereas

the

Nisswa

Master

Fund

and

the

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund

charge

a

1.5%

management

fee

as

well

as

a

20%

incentive

fee,

Two

Harbors

will

only

pay

a

1.5%

management

fee.

Two

Harbors

investment

strategy

may

further

differ

from

that

of

the

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund,

in

that

it

may

use

greater

leverage

with

regard

to

its

investments

in

Agency

RMBS.

In

addition,

unlike

the

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund,

Two

Harbors

İS

constrained

by

limitations

on

its

investment

strategies

that

are

necessary

in

order

to

qualify

as

a

REIT

which

is

exempt

from

registration

under

the

Investment

Company

Act

of

1940

(1940

Act).

In

this

regard,

Two

Harbors

may

place

a

greater

emphasis

than

the

Nisswa

Fixed

Income

Fund

on

owning

whole

pool

Agency

RMBS

for

purposes

of

maintaining

its

1940

Act

exemption.

Accordingly,

past

performance

is

not

indicative

of

future

results.

Two

Harbors

is

not
expected
to
experience
returns,
if
any,
comparable
to
those
experienced
by
investors
in
the
Nisswa
Fixed
Income
Fund
or
the
RMBS
strategy
component
of
the
Nisswa

Master Fund.

Indeed,
Pine River s RMBS strategy has achieved financial returns since its inception in February 2008 that are not likely to be sustain Return on capital is calculated based on average monthly capital, not beginning of month capital. Assumes a 1.5% management

9

Two Harbors Investment Approach Holistic approach across non-Agency and Agency RMBS.

Continuous top-down market assessment to identify most attractive segments.

Detailed analyses to find the most mispriced securities.

Find and invest in smaller opportunities often ignored by larger funds.

Strong focus on risk management to preserve value and maximize returns.

10 Market Opportunity Traditional providers of capital have left the market.

Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac, historically the overseers of relative value and effectively the world s two largest mortgage hedge funds , cannot participate in the current price discrepancies.

The capital bases of traditional market participants such as proprietary trading desks and hedge funds have been reduced.

Continued forced selling by remaining participants has led to significant price.

Continued forced selling by remaining participants has led to significant price declines. Two Harbors will be positioned to capitalize upon severe dislocations in the \$11.0 trillion U.S. mortgage market.

- (1) (1) FBR Miller.

11 0% 1%

2% 3%

4%

5%

6%

7%

Jan-04

Oct-04

Aug-05

Jun-06

Apr-07

Jan-08 Nov-08 Sep-09 FN30CC 1moLIB Agency securities are trading at wide spreads to LIBOR and are likely to remain wide for some time. Source: UBS Mortgage Strategy. Non-Agency securities are trading at low prices. Significant opportunities in both non-Agency and Agency securities. Source: Amherst Securities. Note: All prices are indicative month-end levels for 2006 / 2007 vintages. Historical Pricing on Senior Non-Agency Securities Agency Spreads FN 30-yr Current Coupon vs. LIBOR 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 Jan-08 Apr-08 Aug-08 Dec-08 Apr-09 Aug-09 Prime - 30 Year Fixed Alt - A - 30 Year Fixed Option Arm Super Senior Market Opportunity

12

Hypothetical Portfolio

Total leverage:

1.8x

(5)

(6)

(5)

(7)

Hypothetical Portfolio

(1)

(\$ in millions)

Estimated shareholder equity:

\$251.1

(1)

Note:

See following page for footnotes.

In the discussions leading up to the execution of the merger agreement, Two Harbors presented the following hypothetical portits consideration and review, except that the presentation that Capitol s board of directors reviewed only presented the hypothetic merger whereas the portfolios below have been revised to also show the hypothetical portfolios assuming the minimum trainformation has not been updated to include subsequent developments reflected elsewhere in this investor presentation. Such hactual assets held or borrowings made by Two Harbors. Instead, the presentation illustrates the types and performance charact should be available for purchase in the market and illustrates the costs of borrowings that Two Harbors believes should be available for purchase upon consummation of the merger at the prices a terms. In addition, the returns from the portfolio are based on a number of assumptions detailed below. Actual results will be securities portfolio and will vary from the amounts shown in the presentation below.

% of Equity

Equity

Assets

Interest Income

Interest ExpenseReturn on Equity

Deal size:

Deal size:

Deal size:

Deal size:

Deal size:

Asset Type

Low

Mid

High

Max

Min

Haircut

(2)

Max

Min

Yield

(3)(4)

Finance

Rate

Max

Min

Max

Min

None

Min

Agency hybrids

15%

20%

25%

\$50.2

\$20.0

10%

\$502.1

\$200.0 4% 1.0% \$20.1 \$8.0 (\$4.5) (\$1.8) 31.0% 31.0% Non-Agency super senior 35% 45% 55% 113.0 45.0 100% 113.0 45.0 16% 18.1 7.2 16.0% 16.0% Non-Agency mezzanine 10% 20% 30% 50.2 20.0 100% 50.2 20.0 30% 15.1 6.0 30.0% 30.0% MBS derivatives 5% 15% 25% 37.7

15.0 100% 37.7 15.0 40% 15.1 6.0 40.0% 40.0% 100% \$251.1 \$100.0 \$703.0 \$280.0 \$68.3

\$27.2 (\$4.5) (\$1.8) 25.4% 25.4%

13 (1)

In the case of the maximum transaction size, based on estimated stockholder equity of \$251.1 million, which assumes no stock transaction

size

of

\$100

million,

based

on

estimated

stockholder

equity

of

\$100 million,

after

stockholder

conversions

and/or

such

other

purchases

of

public

shares.

(2)

Two

Harbors

intends

to

use

repurchase

agreements

to

finance

the

purchase

of

Agency

RMBS.

In

a

repurchase

agreement

transaction,

the

haircut

refers

to

the

difference

between

the

market

value

of

the

securities

being

financed and the amount being advanced. The 10% haircut shown above for Agency Hybrids was based on (i) the 5% haircuts Income Fund involving Agency securities around the time this Presentation was prepared, as adjusted to take into account the haircuts for the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund s repurchase agreement transactions have predominantly been between 3% and 5% no leverage is employed. Two Harbors currently has one master repurchase agreement in place and expects additional agreement

(3) The

yields shown

above for

trades in

the
respective
asset
types
were
based
on
market
information
obtained
by
members
of
the
Pine
River
Fixed
Income
team
around
the
time
this
Presentation
was
prepared
in
connection
with
their
daily research and trading activities, including quote, bid and offering data obtained from broker-dealers utilized by the team a
Super Senior, Non-Agency Mezzanine and MBS Derivatives, the yield information was based on yields on securities traded b
between
April
1
and
May
30,
2009,
the
fund
made

five Non-Agency Super Senior bonds, 29 Non-Agency Mezzanine bonds and 41 MBS Derivatives). The yields presented were also consistent with the yields contained in quote, bid and offering data related Non-Agency Super Senior bonds, Non-Agency Mezzanine bonds and MBS Derivatives and obtained from

nine

around the time this

broker-dealers

Presentation

was

prepared. For Agency Hybrids, the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund did not make any trades in this asset type around the time this obtained from a broker-dealer around the time this Presentation was prepared. As Agency Hybrids are relatively fungible secure representative of such securities generally. The yields shown in the table were not adjusted from the yield data obtained from (4)

The following assumptions relating to prepayment, defaults and losses were used for each asset type: Agency Hybrids: 15 Cor (CDR)

70

Loss

Severity;

Non-Agency

Mezzanine:

4

CPR,

15

CDR,

70

Loss

Severity;

MBS

Derivatives:

25

CPR.

CPR

refers

to

the

rate,

expressed

as

a

percentage

of

a

mortgage

pool s

outstanding

principal,

at

which

loans

are expected to be prepaid in a given year. CDR refers to the rate, expressed as a percentage of a mortgage pool s outstanding expected principal loss of a loan, expressed as a percentage of the loan balance at the time of liquidation, including foreclosure J.P.Morgan s

April 2009 Agency Hybrid ARMs

Primer, market convention for valuing Agency Hybrid pools and, accordingly, Two Harbors believes that the use of such mark and Loss Severity assumptions shown above for the other asset types were based on April 2009 historical mortgage loan perfor 2009, as adjusted to take into account then existing market conditions (as reflected in the prepayment, default and loss assumpt securities traded by the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund described in footnote (3)) and the risk of potential further degradation in the

adjusted as described above, was reasonable. In the case of CPR, in general, when **RMBS** is purchased at a discount to par, faster prepayments will improve its yield, when **RMBS** purchase data premium, faster prepayments will reduce its yield and, when **RMBS** is purchased at par, its yield will

Edgar Filing: Capitol Acquisition Corp - Form 425
be
unaffected
by
prepayments.
The
yields
for
the
securities
within
the
listed
asset
classes
assumed
these
securities
were
purchased
at
a
discount
to
par.
In
the case of CDR and Loss Severity, in general, defaults and losses will reduce the yield of non-Agency RMBS.
(5)
Assumes borrowings of nine times invested equity. This assumed debt to invested equity ratio was based on (i) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (ii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (ii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that represents the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iiii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iiii) the fact that the description of 10.1 are higher and (iiii) the fact th
securities around the time the presentation was prepared had a debt to invested equity ratio of 19:1 or higher, and (ii) the fact the repurchase agreement transactions have predominantly been between 32:1 and 19:1 and have never been less than 9:1.
(6)
Two Harbors expects that advances under most of the repurchase agreements it intends to utilize will bear interest at One Mon
shown
above
were
based
on
(i)
One
Month
LIBOR
of
31
basis
points
and
(ii)
the
45
basis

point interest rate obtained connection with repurchase agreement transactions effected by the Nisswa Fixed Income Fund involving Agency securities, in each case, around the time this Presentation was prepared, as adjusted to take into account the (7) Total leverage shows the ratio of debt to equity. The ratio shown above assumes debt of \$451.9 million in the case of the maximum transaction size, and \$180 million in the

case
of
the
minimum
transaction
size
of
\$100
million.

14
Assumption
Value of 1 CPR
Trailing 6mo
Total CPR
5
6
17
CDR
5
5
4.6
Severity

50

28% 77% WFMBS 06-AR11 A7 Non-Agency Discount Example Voluntary CPRs 5% 8% 10% 15% 25% 40% Severity 32% 43% 50% 68% 107% 50% Severity 24% 36% 44% 63% 104% When purchasing deep discount securities, prepayment speeds can have a significant impact on returns. Below is an example Fargo originated senior support bond available for purchase in July 2009 for just over \$31. The bond is backed by Prime jumber adjustable rate mortgages with an average loan size of \$603,000 and average FICO score of 742. The average coupon being pa borrowers is 6.27%, leaving plenty of refinancing incentive for the almost 90% of borrowers who are current on their loan. Recommendation of the second of t prepayment history of the underlying mortgages support this analysis. As illustrated below, loss severities also impact returns. **SUPER** SENIOR BONDS WFMBS 2006-AR11 A7 5.24% -14.94% slice Sub Bonds Illustrative Non-Agency Security Investment Yields at Various Voluntary **CPRs**

50 30.9 Yield 24%

(2) and Loss Severities 1 CPR 4%

This bond does not represent an actual asset held by Two Harbors. Instead, the presentation illustrates the analysis PRCM Advantage of the Adv

purchase by Two Harbors. There can be no assurance that an asset of the type presented will be available for purchase upon co. (1)

Constant prepayment rate.

(2)

Other assumptions: 5 CDR, Dollar price of \$31.25.

(1)

15 Non-Agency Discount Example Super Senior Bond backed by Option Arm Collateral (CWALT 2006-OA17 2A1).

First 27.8% of loss is absorbed by junior bonds.

Receives protection from the Senior Support and Subordinate bonds from credit losses.

Pays a coupon of COFI (2)

+ 150bps,

SUPER

SUPPORT BONDS

Severity Assumptions

(1)

(2)

Voluntary CPR

where most Option Arms pay 1mo

Illustrative non-Agency Security Investment

Libor + a smaller margin.

SENIOR BONDS 27.8%-100%

(1) of 1, which implies only 1% of the people in the trust (annually) will be able to refinance. A constant default rate of 35, which means 35% of the trust per year will be defaulted. Loss severity of 70%, which assumes all loans liquidated out of the trust will trade for 30 cents on the dollar. Purchase price: \$34.00. Yield: 18.5 percent. Security Assumptions Risk / Reward Profile of this Bond Dollar Price 50% 55% 60% 65% 70% 75% \$34 44.0% 37.0% 31.0% 25.0% 18.0% 12.0% Implied liquidation % of the entire pool: over 95 percent. Implied total % loss on the collateral: 66.7 percent. This bond does not represent an actual asset held by Two Harbors. Instead, the presentation illustrates the analysis PRCM Advantage of the Adv purchase by Two Harbors. There can be no assurance that an asset of the type presented will be available for purchase upon co Yields at Various Loss

Constant prepayment rate.

(2)

Other assumptions: 1% voluntary CPR, 35 CDR, Cost of Funds Index (COFI) flat at 1.38%.

```
16
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
Incentive to Prepay in bps (July 2009)
LLB
Generic
0
10
```

20 30 40 50 60 70 Incentive to Prepay in bps 2003 2009 July Source: Merrill Lynch Fixed Income Strategy and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. Capacity constraints of mortgage originators. Significant declines in homeowners equity reduces borrower s ability to access funding. Low Loan Balance (LLB) Fixed costs reduce borrower s incentive; busy brokers avoid low-fee business. Fixed costs represent higher barriers to smaller borrowers. Prepayment speeds remain slower than 2003 despite government intervention. Some prepayments likely to remain slower than projections. Prepayment Cycle Creates Pricing Opportunities Fannie 30-yr. Prepayment Curves Fannie 30-yr Prepayment Curves by Loan Attributes

Agency Inverse IO Example

Agency Inverse IO bonds are an inherently levered way to take advantage of slow prepayment speeds on specific types of collateral pools, such as

LLBs.

Yields at Various Prepayment Speeds

Agency Inverse IO Bond Example

Loan Size Data (FNR 2006-21 XS) (as of July 2009)

Constant Prepayment

Rates (CPRs)

Average

Original

Original

Current

Minimum

Maximum

\$68,600

\$63,300

\$13,000

\$85,000

5 CPR

 $10\,\mathrm{CPR}$

15 CPR

25 CPR

35 CPR

45 CPR

Price 11-16

60.1%

53.4%

46.6%

32.3%

17.0%

0.4%

1 month

Aug-09

12.2

Jul-09

19.0

Jun-09

7.9

May-09

10.9

Apr-09

18.0

Mar-09

18.3

Feb-09

12.2

Jan-09

7.9

Dec-08

7.1

Nov-08

8.4

Oct-08

7.3

Sep-08

6.3

18 Diana Denhardt

Repo Funding Analyst.

20 years financing experience at EBF & Associates and Cargill 6 member software development team Supported by 37 operational and administrative professionals, including:

11 member accounting team; 3 member legal team; 7 member operations and settlement team; and 6 member software development team. Pine River Offers Extensive MBS Expertise Two Harbors Co-Chief Investment Officers Steve Kuhn Partner and Head of Fixed Income Trading. Goldman Sachs Portfolio Manager from 2002 to 2007. 17 years investing in and trading mortgage backed securities and other fixed income securities for firms including Goldman Sachs Asset Management, Citadel and Cargill. Bill Roth Portfolio Manager. Citi and Salomon Brothers 1981 2009; Managing Director since 1997. Managing Director in the bank s proprietary trading group managing MBS and ABS portfolios. Pine River s RMBS strategy has returned 145.3% life to date net of fees and annualized net of fees since inception, February 2008. (1) (1) For more information with respect to the performance

of Pine River s RMBS

strategy including
key
assumptions
used
in
deriving
such
performance,
please
see
slide
8
entitled
Pine
River s
RMBS
Strategy
Historical
Returns .
Jiayi Chen
Trader.
Formerly Goldman Sachs Asset
Management, risk management.
Brendan
McAllister
Trader.
Farmer des LIDC Constituto accordo a of ton
Formerly UBS Securities, member of top
mortgage sales team.
Aaron
Zimmerman
Trader.
Formerly Citi, member of proprietary trading
group.

Two Harbors Investment Team Goals Create highest return on equity in the mortgage REIT sector.

Capture significant capital appreciation resulting from government policies, including if TALF is expanded to cover RMBS.

Maintain investment flexibility across entire RMBS sector to best take advantage of opportunities as the mortgage market evolves.

Note:

Balance sheet as of June 30, 2009, balances and estimates subject to change.

(1)

As of September 17, 2009.

(2)

Assumes 100% of sponsors promote shares retired, existing 33.2 million warrants amended to an out-of-the-money strike prior no shareholder conversions or other purchases by Capitol of public shares.

Opportunity for Investors

\$1.9

\$0.4

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Add: Other Assets and Prepaid Income Taxes

\$9.42

Initial Book Value Per Share

(2)

Valuation Summary

(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)

October 2009

\$247.3

Initial Book Value

1.04x

Assumed Price/Initial Book Value

\$14.0

Less: Estimated Transaction & Other Expenses

26.25

Fully Diluted Shares (treasury method)

\$258.3

Fully Diluted Equity Value

\$259.1

Add: Cash Held in Trust

\$9.84

Assumed Price Per Share

Capitol s common stockholders expected to create Two Harbors at or near Book Value.

Estimated Value at Closing

(1)

Note:

Agency REIT Mean comprised of American Capital Agency, Annaly Mortgage, Anworth Mortgage, Capstead Mortgage, Cyp. Non-Agency REIT Mean comprised of Chimera Investment Corp., Invesco Mortgage, PennyMac Mortgage Investment Trust (1)

Target Leverage defined here as Total Liabilities divided by Total Equity.

(2)

Current leverage of 1.0x pro forma for recent equity offerings. Unadjusted for the equity offerings, target leverage would be 2 (3)

Current leverage of 5.3x pro forma for recent equity offering. Unadjusted for the equity offering, target leverage would be 9.0 Opportunity for Investors

104%

110%

133%

122% 164% 105% 50.0% 70.0% 90.0% 110.0% 130.0% 150.0% 170.0% Agency **REIT Mean** Chimera Redwood PennyMac Invesco Two Harbors Non-Agency REIT's Non-Agency REIT Mean Non-Agency Mean: 128% 1.1x NA 5.3x5.6x 1.0x1.0x 2.0x 1.0x2.0x3.0x4.0x5.0x6.0x7.0xAgency **REIT Mean** Chimera Redwood PennyMac Invesco Two Harbors Non-Agency REIT's Non-Agency REIT Mean Non-Agency

Mean: 2.5x

2.9x

Efficient structure creates Two Harbors at a lower Price to Book

Value, using less leverage

than other publicly traded residential mortgage REITs.

Target

Leverage

(1)

Price to Book Value

- (2)
- (3)

0.5x 0.6x

0.7x

0.7x

0.9x

1.0x

1.1x

1.2x

1.2x 1.3x

1.4x

1.5x

\$9.50

\$9.75

\$9.84 \$10.00 \$10.50 \$11.00 \$11.50 \$12.00 \$12.50 \$13.00 \$13.50

\$14.50 Common Price

\$14.00

Two Harbors Price to BV

Non-Agency REIT Mean Price to BV

Price to Book Value

Transaction expected to create Two Harbors closer to Book Value than would be possible in a traditional IPO or through secondary market purchases.

Opportunity for Investors

Non-Agency Mean: 1.28x Note:

Assumes 100% of sponsors promote shares retired, existing 33.2 million warrants amended to an out-of-the-money strike price Capitol of public shares. The impact of this benefit is reduced in the case of maximum shareholder conversions and /or other p

Structure Creates

Attractive Return

Profile

Severe dislocation has led to capital outflows and potential investment opportunities throughout the sector.

Government programs to inject liquidity into market provides additional upside.

Deep, broad experience and disciplined investment approach. Generated 145.3% life to date net of fees and 76.3% annualized net of fees

(1)

and no negative return months since Pine River launched its RMBS strategy in February 2008.

CLA s public stockholders expected to create Two Harbors at 1.04x initial Book Value vs. 1.28x average for non-Agency public peers (2) High targeted return on equity with moderate leverage. Market Opportunity **Investment Team Investment Summary Building Next** Great Mortgage **REIT** Highly experienced team of mortgage specialists brought together to create next great mortgage REIT franchise. (1) For more information with respect to the performance of Pine River s **RMBS** strategy including key assumptions used in deriving such performance, please see slide 8 entitled Pine River s **RMBS** Strategy Historical Returns .

(2) Assumes no

shareholder

conversions

or

other

purchases

by

Capitol

of

public

shares.

The

impact

of

this

benefit

is

reduced

in

the

case

of

maximum

shareholder

conversions

and

/or

other

purchases

of

public

shares.

Please

see

slide

25

entitled

Comparables:

Non-Agency

and

Agency

REITS

for

more

information.

Appendix

Comparables: Non-Agency and Agency REITs

Source:

SNL Financial, FactSet and company filings.

Note:

REIT Means calculated using the average of the non-Agency peer group mean and the Agency peer group mean. Prices as of

Based on IBES consensus estimates, where available.

(2)

Most recent announced quarterly dividend annualized, divided by current share price.

(3)

Debt / Equity

Leverage

defined

here as Total Liabilities divided by Total Equity. (4) Expense ratio is all non-interest expense less non-recurring expenses and any provisions for loan losses for the most recent qua Pro forma for \$851m equity offering (including private placement) on 4/15/2009 and for \$622m follow-on on 05/26/09. (6) Pro forma for \$238m equity offering on 05/26/09. Market cap includes private placement (.735m shares offered at IPO price of \$20.00). (8) Market cap includes Invesco Ltd private placement (1.5m)shares offered at IPO price of \$20.00). Book value net of gross spread paid by **IVR** of 1.5% of public offering and other IPO expenses

of \$1.9m. Excludes over-allotment. (9) Pro forma for \$387m equity on 7/29/09. (\$ in millions, except per share data) Price Market Price / Div. Yield: Debt / % Expense Company Ticker 09/17/09 Cap 2010E EPS (1) Book Most Recent (2) Equity (3) Agency Ratio (4) Non-Agency REITs Chimera Investment Corp. (5) CIM \$3.85 \$2,581 7.3x1.33x8.3% 1.0x 35% 1.6% Redwood Trust (6) RWT16.92 1,311

9.2 1.64 5.9 5.3 0

5.4

PennyMac

Mortgage Investment Trust

(7)

PMT

19.85

332

NA

1.05

NA

NA

NA

NA

Invesco

Mortgage Capital Inc.

(8)

IVR

21.58

216

6.7

1.10

NA

1.1

NA

NA

Mean

7.7x

1.28x

7.1%

2.5x

3.5%

Agency REITs

Annaly

Mortgage

NLY

\$18.47

\$10,054

6.8x

1.18x

13.0%

5.9x

1.4%

MFA Mortgage

(9)

MFA

8.02

2,245

6.4

1.15

12.5

3.9 1.1 Hatteras Financial HTS 32.58 1,179 6.8 1.36 13.5 6.4 1.4 Capstead Mortgage CMO 14.64 935 6.0 1.28 15.3 6.6 2.7 Anworth Mortgage ANH 7.94 827 6.3 1.09 16.1 5.1 2.1 American Capital Agency **AGNC** 28.36 426 5.8 1.37 21.2 5.3 3.2 Cypress Sharpridge Investments CYS 13.95 253 5.5

1.10 17.2 5.9 2.5

76

Mean

6.2x

1.22x

15.5%

5.6x

2.1%

Overall Mean

7.0x

1.25x

11.3%

4.0x

2.8

26

1.29x

1.04x

0.03x

(0.14x)

(0.13x)

(0.13x) (0.01x)

0.9x

1.0x

1.1x

1.2x

1.3x

1.4x

1.5x

Initial
Adjust warrants
Retire sponsor shares
Adjust deferred IPO fees
Transaction expenses
Final
We de-SPAC the SPAC
By re-striking warrants at \$11.00, retiring the sponsor shares, and restructuring the deferred
fees, we de-SPAC the SPAC.
Current
CLA
Share
Price
(1)
Multiple
of
Book
Value
Non-Agency
Mean: 1.28x
(1)
As of September 17, 2009 closing price.
(2)
Assumes
no
shareholder
conversions
or
other
purchases
by
Capitol
of
public
shares.
The
impact
of
this
benefit
is
reduced
in
the
case
of
maximum
shareholder
conversions

and

/or other purchases of public shares. (2)

27

Restructured Warrants Source of Growth Capital Consent requires majority of warrant holders.

Any cash warrant exercises will be at a premium to the initial liquidation value. Proceeds expected to be redeployed in accretive investments.

Note:

Assumes re-strike of 33.249 million warrants at \$11.00, no shareholder conversions or other purchases by Capitol of public shares and exercise of all warrants for cash. However, 7,000,000 warrants each relating to one share of stock of Two Harbors, which will be held by CLA s sponsors following the consummation

of the merger, are exercisable on a cashless basis. If these warrants are exercised, the Book Value per Share would be less than \$10.30 due to dilution and the greater the price of Two Harbors stock price at the time of exercise of these warrants, the greater the dilutive impact. Warrant Exercise (\$ in millions, except per share data) Warrant strike price to be amended to \$11.00. Pre Post Book Value \$247.3 \$613.1 Basic Shares Outstanding (mm) 26.25 59.50 Book Value per Share \$9.42 \$10.30 % Increase 9.4%

Capitol Shareholder Options
Holders of record of CLA stock have the option of receiving a share of Two Harbors or a pro rata distribution of the cash held in CLA s trust (currently \$9.87).
Capitol Acquisition
Shareholder
The acquisition is approved
If unable to complete a transaction by 11/8/2009, shareholder receives pro rata share of cash-in-trust (currently \$9.87).
The acquisition is rejected and CLA

liquidates in 11/09
Shareholder receives pro rata share of cash-in-trust (currently \$9.87).
CLA shareholder votes no
Shareholder holds share of
Two Harbors.
CLA shareholder votes yes

29

Experienced Team

Brian

Taylor, Chairman.

Brian

founded

Pine

River

in

2002

and

is

responsible

for

management

of

the

business

and

oversight

of

the

funds.

Prior

to

Pine

River s

inception,

Brian

was

with

EBF

&

Associates

from

1988

to

2002;

he

was

named

head

of

the

convertible

arbitrage

group

in

1994

and

Partner

in

1997.

His

responsibilities

included

portfolio

management,

marketing,

product

development,

and

trading

information
systems
development.
Не
holds
a
B.S.
from
Millikin
University
in
Decatur,
Illinois
and
an
M.B.A.
from
the
University
of
Chicago
and
passed the
Illinois
CPA
exam.
Mark
D.
Ein,
Vice-Chairman.
Mark
has
served
as
CEO
of
Capitol
Acquisition
Corp.
since
its
inception
in
November
2007.
Mark
is
the
Founder

and CEO of Venturehouse Group, LLC, a technology holding company that creates, invests in and builds technology, communicat companies. Notable portfolio companies include Matrics Technologies, sold to Symbol **Technologies** in 2004; Cibernet Corporation, sold to MACH S.a.r.l in 2007; and an early investment in XM Satellite Radio. He is also the President of Leland Investments, a priva also Co-Chairman and majority owner of Kastle Systems, a leading provider of building and office security systems. Mark is a of the Washington Kastles, the World Team Tennis franchise in Washington, D.C. From 1992 to 1999, Mark was a Principal v Prior to Carlyle, Mark worked at Brentwood Associates and Goldman, Sachs (in the commercial MBS group). Mark holds a B Pennsylvania s Wharton School of Finance and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Business School. **Thomas** Siering, Chief Executive & Director. Prior joining Pine River in 2006, Tom was head of the Value Investment Group at

Associates in Minnetonka, MN from 1999 until 2006. He was the portfolio manager for Merced Partners, LP and Tamarack Ir

EBF &

held various

Finance. Steve

that period. Tom was named a partner of EBF in 1997. He supervised a staff of thirteen people located both in Minnesota and comprised of traders, analysts and support personnel. Tom joined EBF in 1989 as a Trader. Prior to his employment at EBF, for

trading		
positions		
in		
the		
Financial		
Markets		
Department		
at		
Cargill,		
Inc.		
From		
1981		
until		
1987		
Tom		
was		
employed		
in		
the		
Domestic		
Soybean		
Processing		
Division		
at		
Cargill		
in		
both		
trading		
and		
managerial		
roles.		
Tom		
holds		
a		
B.B.A.		
from		
the		
University		
of Lama		
Iowa		
with		
a maior		
major		
in		

Kuhn,
Co-Chief
Investment
Officer.
Prior
to
joining
Pine
River
in
2008,
Steve
was
a
Vice
President
and
Portfolio
Manager
at
Goldman
Sachs
based in New York and Beijing from 2002 to 2007, where he was part of a team that managed approximately \$40 billion in mo
From 1999 to 2002, Steve was a Japanese convertible bond trader at Citadel Investment Group in Chicago. Prior to that, he was
backed
securities
trading
at Consill
Cargill.
He
has 17
years
mortgage-related
trading
experience.
Steve
holds
B.A.
in Examples
Economics
with
Honors
from
Harvard.
Bill
Roth,
Co-Chief
Investment

Officer. Bill has 28

30

Experienced Team

Jeff

Stolt,

Chief

Financial

Officer.

Prior

to

co-founding

Pine

River

in

2002,

Jeff

was
the
Controller
at
EBF
&
Associates
from
1997
to
2002.
In
this
role,
Jeff
oversaw
the preparation of all fund accounting statements, managed the offshore administrator relationship, managed the audit process
reporting. Jeff began employment with EBF in 1989. Prior to that, Jeff was an accountant in Cargill, Inc. s Financial Markets
holds a B.S. in Accounting and Finance from the Minnesota State University.
Tim
O Brien,
General
Counsel.
Prior
to
joining
Pine
River
in
2007,
Tim
served
as
Vice
President
and
General
Counsel
of
NRG
Energy,
Inc.
from
2004
until
2006.
He served as Deputy General Counsel of NRG Energy from 2000 to 2004 and Assistant General Counsel from 1996 to 2000.
associate at Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton in Los Angeles and San Diego, California. He holds a B.A. in History from
degree

from

the

University

of

Minnesota

Law

School.

Tim

attended

an

eight-week

Advanced

Management

Program

at

Harvard

Business

School

in

the

spring

of

2007.

Andrew

Garcia,

VP

Business

Development.

Prior

to

joining

in

2008,

Andrew

was

the

Event

Driven

and

Business

Combination

Companies

(SPAC)

specialist

in

the

Capital

Markets

division

at

Maxim Group in New York. Before joining Maxim Group, he was the head trader at Laterman & Company. From 2001 to 2005, he covered institutional event-driven and risk arbitrage investors as a sales trader, equity sales person, and middle markets sales person Cathay Financial, Oppenheimer & Co.,

CIBC Oppenheimer Corp. Andrew holds a B.A. from Kenyon College.

and

95

Brad Farrell, Controller. Prior to joining Pine

River in September 2009, Brad was Vice President, Director, External Reporting for **GMAC** ResCap, responsible for external reporting initiatives within the corporate function of **GMAC** ResCap from 2007 to 2009. From 2002 to 2007 he held various positions in finance and accounting with XL Capital and its affiliates. From 1997 to 2002 he was employed with KPMG. Brad is a Certified Public Ad from Drake University in 1997.

Edgar Filing: Capitol Acquisition Corp - Form 425
Stephen
G.
Kasnet,
Independent
Director
and
Audit
Committee
Chair.
Stephen
is The state of th
a
Director
and
Chairman
of
the
Board
of
Columbia
Laboratories,
Inc.
(NASDAQ: CBRX). He has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Raymond Property Company LLC since 2007. Fr
Chief
Executive
Officer
of
Harbor
Global
Company,
Ltd.,
an
asset
management,
natural
resources
and
real
estate
investment
company,
and
Chairman
of
PioGlobal
Asset Management. Mr. Kasnet
also served as a past director and member of the Executive Committee of The Bradley Real Estate Trust and served as Chairm
Warren Bank. He has held senior management positions with Pioneer Group, Inc.; First Winthrop Corporation and Winthrop
Forbes.

He

serves

as

Chairman

of

the

Board

of

Rubicon

Ltd.

(forestry)

and

is

a

director

of

Tenon

Ltd.

(wood

products).

He

is

also

trustee

and

vice

president

of

the

board

of

The

Governor s

Academy,

Byfield,

MA.

Mr.

Kasnet

received

a

Bachelor

of

Arts

from

the

University

of

Pennsylvania

in

1966.

William
W.
Johnson,
Independent
Director.
William
was
a
Managing
Director
of
J.P.
Morgan
from
2006
to
2009,
where
he
held
senior
roles
including
Divisional
Management
and
Risk
Committee
Member,
Head
of
Proprietary
Positioning
Business,
and
Head
of
Tax-Exempt
Capital
Markets.
From 2004
to
2005
2005,
he
he was
he

investor. William

was the President of Paloma Partners, private capital management company in Greenwich, Connecticut from 2001 to 2003. Prior to working at Paloma, he worked for **UBS** and its predecessors in Chicago, Singapore, London and Basel from 1984 to 2001. He began his career in currency options trading, and served in several senior management functions at UBS including Divisional Management and Risk Committee Member and G

received a Bachelor of Science degree from the University of Pennsylvania Wharton School in 1984, and a Masters in Busines Chicago in 1988.

31

Experienced Team

Reid

Sanders,

Independent

Director.

Reid

was

the

Co-Founder

and

former

Executive

Vice

President of Southeastern Asset Management, and the former President of Longleaf Partners Mutual Funds from 1975-2000. He iscurrently the President of Sanders Properties, Director of Independent Bank, and serves on the Investment Committee of Cypress Reality and on the Advisory Board of SSM Venture Partners.

Prior to

founding

Courthaustarn
Sourtheastern Asset
Management, Mr.
Sanders
held
roles
as
an
Investment
Officer
at
First
Tennessee
Investment
Management
from
1973-1975,
and
as
a
Credit
Analyst
in
Commercial
Lending
at
Union
Planters
National
Bank
from
1971-1972.
Previous
directorships
include
serving
as
Chairman
of
Two
Rivers
Capital
Management,
and
as
as a
director
unccioi

of Harbor

Global
Company
Ltd., PioGlobal
Asset
Management,
The
Pioneer
Group
and
TBA
Entertainment
Corporation.
Mr.
Sanders
is
a
Trustee
of
the
Hugo
Dixon
Foundation,
the
Dixon
Gallery
and
Gardens,
the
Hutchison
School,
Campbell
Clinic
Foundation,
The
Jefferson
Scholars
Foundation,
TN
Shakespeare
Company,
and
a
former
Trustee
of
Rhodes
College.
0.0

He received

Bachelors

of

Economics

from

the

University

of Virginia

in

1971.

Contact Details
Mark Ein
Chairman and CEO
Capitol Acquisition Corp.
202 654 7001
mark@capitolacquisition.com
For further information, please contact:
Andrew Garcia
VP of Business Development
Two Harbors Investment Corp.
612 238 3307
andrew.garcia@twoharborsinvestment.com