

Seaspan CORP
Form 20-F
March 19, 2010
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

(Mark One)

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) or (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
OR

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of event requiring this shell company report

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-32591

SEASPAN CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Republic of The Marshall Islands

(Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

Unit 2, 7th Floor, Bupa Centre

Edgar Filing: Seaspans CORP - Form 20-F

141 Connaught Road West

Hong Kong

China

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Sai W. Chu

Unit 2, 7th Floor, Bupa Centre

141 Connaught Road West

Hong Kong

China

Telephone: +852 (2540) 1686

Facsimile: +852 (2540) 1689

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile Number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
Class A Common Shares, par value of \$0.01 per share	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act:

None

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

67,734,811 Class A Common Shares, par value of \$0.01 per share

100 Class C Common Shares, par value of \$0.01 per share

200,000 Series A Preferred Shares, par value of \$0.01 per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Financial Reporting Standards as Issued by the International Accounting Standards Board Other

If Other has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION****INDEX TO REPORT ON FORM 20-F**

Part I		1
Item 1.	<u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors</u>	4
Item 2.	<u>Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable</u>	4
Item 3.	<u>Key Information</u>	4
	A. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	4
	B. <u>Capitalization and Indebtedness</u>	6
	C. <u>Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds</u>	6
	D. <u>Risk Factors</u>	6
Item 4.	<u>Information on the Company</u>	32
	A. <u>History and Development of the Company</u>	32
	B. <u>Business Overview</u>	33
	C. <u>Organizational Structure</u>	70
	D. <u>Property, Plants and Equipment</u>	70
Item 5.	<u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u>	75
	A. <u>Results of Operations</u>	75
	B. <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	87
	C. <u>Research and Development</u>	92
	D. <u>Trend Information</u>	92
	E. <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u>	93
	F. <u>Contractual Obligations</u>	93
Item 6.	<u>Directors, Senior Management and Employees</u>	94
	A. <u>Directors and Senior Management</u>	94
	B. <u>Compensation</u>	97
	C. <u>Board Practices</u>	99
	D. <u>Employees</u>	101
	E. <u>Share Ownership</u>	101
Item 7.	<u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u>	103
	A. <u>Major Shareholders</u>	103
	B. <u>Related Party Transactions</u>	103
Item 8.	<u>Financial Information</u>	105
	A. <u>Financial Statements and Other Financial Information</u>	105
	B. <u>Significant Changes</u>	107
Item 9.	<u>The Offer and Listing</u>	108
Item 10.	<u>Additional Information</u>	108
	A. <u>Share Capital</u>	108
	B. <u>Memorandum and Articles of Association</u>	109
	C. <u>Material Contracts</u>	109
	D. <u>Exchange Controls</u>	112
	E. <u>Taxation</u>	112
	F. <u>Dividends and Paying Agents</u>	121
	G. <u>Statements by Experts</u>	121
	H. <u>Documents on Display</u>	121
Item 11.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	121
Item 12.	<u>Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities</u>	123
Part II		124
Item 13.	<u>Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies</u>	124
Item 14.	<u>Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u>	124
Item 15.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	124
Item 16A.	<u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u>	125

Table of Contents

Item 16B.	<u>Code of Ethics</u>	125
Item 16C.	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	126
Item 16D.	<u>Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees</u>	126
Item 16E.	<u>Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers</u>	126
Item 16F.	<u>Change in Registrants - Certifying Accountant</u>	126
Item 16G.	<u>Corporate Governance</u>	126
<u>Part III</u>		128
Item 17.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	128
Item 18.	<u>Financial Statements</u>	128
Item 19.	<u>Exhibits</u>	129

Table of Contents

PART I

This Annual Report should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in this report.

This Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2009 contains certain forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) concerning future events and our operations, performance and financial condition, including, in particular, the likelihood of our success in developing and expanding our business. Statements that are predictive in nature, that depend upon or refer to future events or conditions, or that include words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, estimates, projects, forecasts, will, may, potential, should, and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect management's current views only as of the date of this Annual Report and are not intended to give any assurance as to future results. As a result, you are cautioned not to rely on any forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements appear in a number of places in this Annual Report. Although these statements are based upon assumptions we believe to be reasonable based upon available information, including operating margins, earnings, cash flow, working capital and capital expenditures, they are subject to risks and uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:

future operating or financial results;

our expectations relating to dividend payments and our ability to make such payments;

pending acquisitions, business strategy and expected capital spending;

operating expenses, availability of crew, number of off-hire days, dry-docking requirements and insurance costs;

general market conditions and shipping market trends, including charter rates and factors affecting supply and demand;

our financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to borrow funds under our credit facilities and to obtain additional financing in the future to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate activities;

estimated future capital expenditures needed to preserve our capital base;

our expectations about the availability of ships to purchase, the time that it may take to construct new ships, or the useful lives of our ships;

our continued ability to enter into long-term, fixed-rate time charters with our customers;

our ability to leverage to our advantage our Manager's relationships and reputation in the containership industry;

changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

the financial condition of our shipyards, charterers, lenders, refund guarantors and other counterparties and their ability to perform their obligations under their agreements with us;

potential liability from future litigation; and

other factors detailed in this Annual Report and from time to time in our periodic reports.

Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report are estimates reflecting the judgment of senior management and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that are inherently subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed

Table of Contents

or implied by such forward-looking statements. Accordingly, these forward-looking statements should be considered in light of various important factors, including those set forth in this Annual Report under the heading Risk Factors.

We do not intend to revise any forward-looking statements in order to reflect any change in our expectations or events or circumstances that may subsequently arise. We make no prediction or statement about the performance of our common shares. You should carefully review and consider the various disclosures included in this Annual Report and in our other filings made with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, that attempt to advise interested parties of the risks and factors that may affect our business, prospects and results of operations.

Unless we otherwise specify, when used in this Annual Report, the terms Seaspan, the Company, we, our and us refer to Seaspan Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and, for periods before our initial public offering, our predecessor, Seaspan Container Lines Limited or SCLL. References to our Manager are to Seaspan Management Services Limited and its wholly-owned subsidiaries that provide us with technical, administrative and strategic services. References to SSML are to Seaspan Ship Management Ltd., our Manager’s ship management affiliate. References to SCML are to Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., our Manager’s crew management affiliate.

References to Samsung are to Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. References to HHI are to Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. References to HSHI are to Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., a subsidiary of HHI. References to Jiangsu are to Jiangsu Yangzijiang Shipbuilding Co., Ltd. References to New Jiangsu are to Jiangsu New Yangzi Shipbuilding Co., Ltd. References to Zhejiang are to Zhejiang Shipbuilding Co. Ltd. References to Odense-Lindo are to Odense-Lindo Shipyard Ltd. Samsung, HHI, HSHI, Jiangsu, New Jiangsu, Zhejiang and Odense-Lindo are commonly referred to as our shipbuilders.

References to CSCL Asia are to China Shipping Container Lines (Asia) Co., Ltd., a subsidiary of China Shipping Container Lines Co., Ltd., or CSCL. References to APM are to A.P. Møller-Mærsk A/S. References to HL USA are to Hapag-Lloyd USA, LLC, a subsidiary of Hapag-Lloyd, AG, or Hapag-Lloyd. References to COSCON are to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd., a subsidiary of China COSCO Holdings Company Limited. References to K-Line are to Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. References to MOL are to Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd. References to CSAV are to Compañía Sud Americana De Vapores S.A.

We use the term twenty foot equivalent unit, or TEU, the international standard measure of containers, in describing the capacity of our container ships, which are also commonly referred to as vessels. The following table sets forth the actual capacities of the vessels in our fleet by class and shipbuilder.

Vessel Class (TEU)	Shipbuilder	Actual Capacity (TEU)
13100	HHI and HSHI	13,092 ⁽¹⁾
9600	Samsung	9,572
8500	Samsung	8,468
8500	HHI	8,495 ⁽¹⁾
5100	HHI	5,087
4800	Odense-Lindo	4,809
4500	Samsung	4,520 ⁽¹⁾
4250	Samsung	4,253
4250	New Jiangsu	4,256
3500	Zhejiang	3,596
2500	Jiangsu	2,546

(1) The actual capacities listed for the vessels that have not yet been delivered to us are based on the technical specifications provided in the shipbuilding contracts for such vessels.

Table of Contents

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

Since December 31, 2009, we have accepted delivery of three containerships that we agreed to acquire: on January 8, 2010, the MOL Empire, a 5100 TEU vessel built by HHI and chartered by MOL; on March 5, 2010, the COSCO Japan, a 8500 TEU vessel built by HHI and chartered by COSCON; and, on March 5, 2010, the Guayaquil Bridge, a 2500 TEU vessel built by Jiangsu and chartered by K-Line.

Table of Contents**Item 1. Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors**

Not applicable.

Item 2. Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3. Key Information**A. Selected Financial Data**

	Year Ended December 31,				August 12 to	January 1 to
	2009	2008	2007	2006	December 31, 2005	August 11, 2005 ⁽¹⁾
Statements of operations data (period ended, in thousands of dollars):						
Revenue	\$ 285,594	\$ 229,405	\$ 199,235	\$ 118,489	\$ 34,803	\$ 40,157
Operating expenses:						
Ship operating	80,162	54,416	46,174	27,869	7,832	7,733
Depreciation	69,996	57,448	50,162	26,878	7,186	9,904
General and administrative ⁽²⁾	7,968	8,895	6,006	4,911	1,694	218
Operating earnings	127,468	108,646	96,893	58,831	18,091	22,302
Other expenses (income):						
Interest expense	21,194	33,035	34,062	17,594	1,699	14,563
Change in fair value of financial instruments ⁽³⁾	(46,450)	268,575	72,365	908		(7,308)
Interest income	(311)	(694)	(4,074)	(1,542)	(124)	
Write-off on debt refinancing			635			
Undrawn credit facility fee	4,641	5,251	3,057	2,803	1,041	
Amortization of deferred charges	2,042	1,825	1,256	1,980	726	450
Other	1,100					(17)
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 145,252	\$ (199,346)	\$ (10,408)	\$ 37,088	\$ 14,749	\$ 14,614
Common shares outstanding (at period end):						
	67,734,811	66,800,141	57,541,933	47,522,350	35,991,600	
Per share data (in dollars):						
Basic earnings (loss) per class A and B common share	\$ 1.94	\$ (3.12)	\$ (0.20)	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.41	N/A
Diluted earnings (loss) per class A and B common share	\$ 1.58	\$ (3.12)	\$ (0.20)	\$ 0.98	\$ 0.41	N/A
Dividends paid per class A and B common share	\$ 0.775	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.785	\$ 1.70	\$ 0.23	N/A
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per class C common share	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	N/A
Dividends paid per class C common share	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	N/A

Table of Contents

	Year Ended December 31,				August 12	January 1 to
	2009	2008	2007	2006	to December 31, 2005	August 11, 2005 ⁽¹⁾
Statements of cash flows data (period ended, in thousands of dollars):						
Cash flows provided by (used in):						
Operating activities	\$ 94,576	\$ 124,752	\$ 113,168	\$ 71,363	\$ 24,115	\$ 19,289
Investing activities	(409,520)	(634,782)	(1,104,704)	(605,652)	(826,253)	(20,939)
Financing activities	312,059	523,181	1,022,443	610,798	817,856	793
Selected balance sheet data (at period end, in thousands of dollars):						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 133,400	\$ 136,285	\$ 123,134	\$ 92,227	\$ 15,718	\$ 3,209
Current assets	146,053	141,711	130,318	96,655	18,070	22,316
Vessels	3,485,350	3,126,489	2,424,253	1,198,782	621,163	466,112
Fair value of financial instruments, asset ⁽³⁾				10,711	4,799	
Deferred charges	21,667	20,306	17,240	7,879	6,526	8,548
Total assets	3,664,447	3,296,872	2,576,901	1,317,216	650,558	496,976
Current liabilities (excluding current portion of long-term debt)	30,692	23,654	15,716	11,167	4,226	5,357
Current portion of long-term debt ⁽⁴⁾						26,203
Long-term debt ⁽⁴⁾	1,883,146	1,721,158	1,339,438	563,203	122,893	405,495
Due to related party						43,393
Fair value of financial instruments, liability ⁽³⁾	280,445	414,769	135,617	15,831		11,552
Owner's equity						4,976
Share capital	679	668	575	475	360	
Total shareholders' equity	1,059,566	746,360	862,326	725,015	523,439	
Other data:						
Number of vessels in operation at period end	42	35	29	23	13	10
TEU capacity at period end	187,456	158,483	143,207	108,473	63,719	50,960
Fleet utilization ⁽⁵⁾	99.7%	99.3%	99.0%	99.0%	100.0%	99.8%

(1) Represents selected financial data for the predecessor for the period prior to our initial public offering.

(2) The predecessor combined financial statements include the general and administrative expenses incurred by the predecessor related to its operations. Subsequent to the completion of the initial public offering and the acquisition of the initial ten container ships, we have incurred additional administrative expenses, including legal, accounting, treasury, premises, securities regulatory compliance and other costs normally incurred by a listed public entity. Accordingly, general and administrative expenses incurred by and allocated to the predecessor do not purport to be indicative of our current expenses.

(3) The predecessor entered into interest rate swap agreements to reduce its exposure to market risks from changing interest rates. These derivative instruments have been recognized on the predecessor combined balance sheet at their fair value. As the predecessor did not designate the interest rate swap agreements as hedging instruments in accordance with the requirements in accounting literature, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps have been recognized in earnings. These changes occur due to changes in market interest rates for debt with substantially similar credit risk and payment terms. These interest rate swaps, together with the underlying debt, were settled

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

by the predecessor and not assumed by us on completion of the initial public offering and the acquisition of the initial fleet. Subsequent to the initial public offering, we entered into interest rate swap agreements to reduce our exposure to market risks from changing interest rates. The swap agreements fix LIBOR at 4.6325% to 5.8700% based on expected drawdowns and outstanding debt until at least February 2014. Interest rate swap agreements are recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. For the interest rate swap

Table of Contents

agreements that were designated as hedging instruments in accordance with the requirements in the accounting literature, the changes in the fair value of these interest rate swap agreements were reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. The fair value will change as market interest rates change. For designated swaps amounts payable or receivable under the interest rate swaps are included in earnings when and where the designated interest payments are included. The ineffective portion of the interest rate swaps are recognized immediately in net income. Other interest rate swap agreements and derivative instruments that are not designated as hedging instruments are marked to market and are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in earnings. On January 31, 2008, we de-designated two of our interest swaps for which we were obtaining hedge accounting. On September 30, 2008, we elected to prospectively de-designate all interest rate swaps for which we were obtaining hedge accounting treatment due to the compliance burden associated with this accounting policy. As a result, all of our interest rate swap agreements and the swaption agreement are marked to market subsequent to this date and the changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in earnings.

- (4) All predecessor long-term debt was settled on the completion of the initial public offering and was not assumed by us.
- (5) We calculate fleet utilization by dividing the number of our operating days during a period by the number of our ownership days during the period. We use fleet utilization to measure our efficiency in operating our vessels and the amount of days that our vessels are off-hire. We define operating days as the number of our available days in a period less the aggregate number of days that our vessels are off-hire due to any reason, including unforeseen circumstances. We use operating days to measure the aggregate number of days in a period during which our vessels actually generate revenues. We define ownership days as the aggregate number of days in a period during which each vessel in our fleet has been owned by us. Ownership days are an indicator of the size of our fleet over a period and affects the amount of vessel operating expenses that we incur.

B. Capitalization and Indebtedness

Not applicable.

C. Reasons for the Offer and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

D. Risk Factors

Some of the following risks relate principally to the industry in which we operate and to our business in general. Other risks relate principally to the securities market and to ownership of our common shares. The occurrence of any of the events described in this section could significantly and negatively affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash available for distributions or the trading price of our common shares.

Risks Inherent in Our Business

We may not have sufficient cash from our operations to enable us to pay dividends on our shares following the payment of expenses and the establishment of any reserves.

Our policy since our initial public offering has been to pay quarterly dividends. We may not, however, have sufficient cash available each quarter to pay dividends in the future. The amount of dividends we can pay depends upon the amount of cash we generate from our operations, which may fluctuate based on, among other things:

the rates we obtain from our charters and the ability of our charterers to perform their obligations under their respective time charters;

the level of our operating costs;

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

the number of unscheduled off-hire days for our fleet and the timing of, and number of days required for, scheduled dry-docking of our containerships;

Table of Contents

delays in the delivery of new vessels and the beginning of payments under charters relating to those ships;

prevailing global and regional economic and political conditions;

the effect of governmental regulations and maritime self-regulatory organization standards on the conduct of our business;

changes in the basis of taxation of our activities in various jurisdictions;

our ability to service our current and future indebtedness;

our ability to raise additional equity to satisfy our capital needs; and

our ability to draw on our existing credit facilities and the ability of our lenders and lessors to perform their obligations under their agreements with us.

The amount of cash we have available for dividends on our shares will not depend solely on our profitability.

The actual amount of cash we will have available for dividends also will depend on many factors including the following:

changes in our operating cash flow, capital expenditure requirements, working capital requirements and other cash needs;

the charter rates on new vessels and those obtained upon the expiration of our existing charters;

modification or revocation of our dividend policy by our board of directors;

restrictions under our credit facilities or lease arrangements or any future credit agreements or debt securities;

the amount of any cash reserves established by our board of directors; and

restrictions under Marshall Islands law.

In addition, before we can determine the amount of cash available for the payment of dividends, we must pay fees to our Manager for the technical management of our vessels, and a monthly administrative services fee not to exceed a total of \$6,000 per month and reimburse our Manager for all reasonable costs in providing us with administrative and strategic services. We will also be required to reimburse our Manager for certain extraordinary costs and capital expenditures as provided for in our management agreements.

The amount of cash we generate from our operations may differ materially from our net income or loss for the period, which will be affected by non-cash items. We may incur other expenses or liabilities that would reduce or eliminate the cash available for distribution as dividends. Our credit facilities and lease arrangements also restrict our declaration and payment of dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing or if the payment of the dividend would result in an event of default. In addition, Marshall Islands law generally prohibits the payment of dividends other than from surplus (retained earnings and the excess of consideration received for the sale of shares above the par

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

value of the shares) or while a company is insolvent or would be rendered insolvent by the payment of such a dividend, and any such dividend may be discontinued at the discretion of our board of directors. In addition, if our quarterly cash dividend exceeds \$0.485 per common share, our Manager will share in incremental dividends through the incentive shares based upon specified sharing ratios, which will reduce the cash available for dividends on our common shares. As a result of these and the other factors mentioned above, we may pay dividends during periods when we record losses and may not pay dividends during periods when we record net income. On January 22, 2010, we announced a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share for the three months ended December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

The continuation of the recent state of global financial markets and economic conditions may adversely impact our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms which may hinder or prevent us from meeting our future capital needs.

Global financial markets and economic conditions have been, and may continue to be, disrupted and volatile. The debt and equity capital markets have been exceedingly distressed. These issues, along with significant write-offs in the financial services sector, the re-pricing of credit risk and the continuation of weak economic conditions have made, and will likely continue to make, it difficult to obtain financing.

In particular, the cost of raising money in the equity capital markets has increased substantially while the availability of funds from those markets has diminished significantly. Although we have debt financing in place, after taking into consideration the \$200 million proceeds from the sale of our Series A 12% Cumulative Preferred Shares, par value \$0.01 per share, or our Series A Preferred Shares, we will require in the range of approximately \$180 to \$240 million in common or other equity capital and or other forms of capital to fund the remaining portion of the purchase price of the vessels we have contracted to purchase. We must raise this capital starting in approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately second quarter of 2012. These amounts are based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The continuation of the recent state of global financial markets and current economic conditions might adversely impact our ability to issue additional equity at prices which will not be dilutive to our existing shareholders or preclude us from issuing equity at all.

Also, as a result of concerns about the stability of financial markets generally and the solvency of counterparties specifically, the cost of obtaining money from the credit markets has increased as many lenders have increased interest rates, enacted tighter lending standards, refused to refinance existing debt at all or on terms similar to current debt and reduced, and in some cases ceased, to provide funding to borrowers. Although we have committed credit facilities in place to satisfy our short, medium and long-term debt needs and have not experienced any difficulties drawing on those facilities to date, we may be unable to obtain adequate funding under our current credit facilities in the future because our lenders may be unwilling or unable to meet their funding obligations or we may not be able to obtain funds at the interest rate agreed in our credit facilities due to market disruption events or increased costs.

In addition, these economic conditions could limit our ability to borrow funds under one of our credit facilities by causing a reduction in the market values of our vessels. While our credit facilities do not contain traditional vessel market value covenants that require us to repay our facilities solely because the market value of our vessels declines below a specified level, a decline in the market value of certain vessels has impaired our ability to draw the full amount available under our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility, which contains a loan to market value ratio requirement that must be met before we can borrow funds under that facility. We are able to draw down funds under that facility so long as the loan to market value ratio, being the ratio of the outstanding principal amount of the loan immediately after a drawing to the market value of the vessels that are provided as collateral under that facility, calculated on a without charter basis does not exceed 70%. As of December 31, 2009, we have drawn approximately \$1.0 billion of our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility. However, based on a valuation of the vessels financed under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility that was obtained in December of 2009 (which was on a without charter basis as required by our credit facility), we are currently unable to borrow the remaining approximately \$267 million available under this facility.

Due to these factors, we cannot be certain that financing will be available if needed and to the extent required, on acceptable terms. If financing is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, we may be unable to meet our obligations as they come due or we may be unable to enhance our existing business, complete acquisitions or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Table of Contents

The business and activity levels of many of our charterers, shipbuilders and related parties and their respective ability to fulfill their obligations under agreements with us, including payments for the charter of our vessels, may be impacted by the recent deterioration in the credit markets.

All of our vessels that are currently chartered and those that we will acquire will be chartered to customers under long-term time charters. Payments to us under those charters are and will be our sole source of operating cash flow. Many of our charterers finance their activities through cash flow from operations, the incurrence of debt or the issuance of equity. Recently, there has been a significant decline in the credit markets and the availability of credit. Additionally, the equity value of many of our charterers has substantially declined. The combination of a reduction of cash flow resulting from declines in world trade, a reduction in borrowing bases under reserve based credit facilities and the lack of availability of debt or equity financing may result in a significant reduction in the ability of our charterers to make charter payments to us.

During 2009, we received requests from certain of our charterers to re-negotiate the terms under certain of our time charters. We have not and do not currently intend to renegotiate hire rates or other material terms of our charter parties. If any of our charterers are unable to make charter payments to us in the future or if worsening economic conditions force us to accept material changes to the terms of our charters, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends may be materially and adversely affected. If any of our charterers are in default of their respective charter parties, we may not be able to recharter the relevant vessels at rates equal to the rates in our current charters or at all.

Similarly, the shipbuilders with whom we have contracted may be affected by the instability of the financial markets and other market conditions, including with respect to the fluctuating price of commodities and currency exchange rates. As well, the refund guarantors under our shipbuilding contracts, which are banks, financial institutions and other credit agencies, may also be affected by financial market conditions in the same manner as our lenders and, as a result, may be unable or unwilling to meet their obligations under their refund guarantees due to their own financial condition. If our shipbuilders or refund guarantors are unable or unwilling to meet their obligations to us, this will impact our acquisition of vessels and may materially and adversely affect our operations and our obligations under our credit facilities.

Our ability to obtain additional debt financing for future acquisitions of vessels may be dependent on the performance of our then existing charters and the creditworthiness of our charterers.

The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional capital resources that we will require to purchase additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such capital. Our inability to obtain additional financing at all or at attractive costs may materially affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to complete the acquisition of our fleet that we have contracted to purchase over approximately the next two years, which may cause our ability to pay dividends to be diminished, our financial leverage to increase, or our common shares to be diluted.

We have agreed to acquire an additional 26 containerships over approximately the next 30 months. We have entered into contracts to purchase 21 of those containerships and, as of December 31, 2009, the total purchase price of the 21 vessels was estimated to be approximately \$2.6 billion. Our obligation to purchase the 21 vessels is not conditional upon our ability to obtain financing for such purchases. We will lease the remaining five of the 26 vessels from Peony Leasing Limited, or Peony, an affiliate of Bank of Scotland plc and Lloyds Banking Group. Under the terms of our lease financing arrangements with Peony, we have the ability to purchase the five vessels from Peony at a price approximately equal to their fair market value at the end of their relevant lease terms. Although we currently intend to purchase all five vessels, we cannot assure you that we will be able to purchase them on terms favorable to us or at all.

To fund the remaining portion of these and other capital expenditures, we will use cash from operations, incur borrowings or raise capital through the sale of additional securities. Use of cash from operations may

Table of Contents

reduce cash available for dividends to our shareholders. Our ability to obtain bank financing or to access the capital markets for future offerings may be limited by our financial condition at the time of any such financing or offering and the covenants in our existing debt agreements, as well as by adverse market conditions resulting from, among other things, poor economic conditions and contingencies and uncertainties that are beyond our control.

To fund the remaining portion of the price of the vessels we have contracted to purchase, we need to raise in the range of approximately \$180 million to \$240 million in common or other equity and or other forms of capital beginning no later than approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately the second quarter of 2012. This amount of capital is based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The amount of capital required decreased from a range of approximately \$500 million to \$600 million because of the decision of our board of directors to reduce the quarterly dividend from \$0.475 to \$0.10 per share as announced on April 28, 2009. Due to the uncertainties associated with the global recession and the capital markets, our board of directors cannot determine how long this reduction will be in effect. The reduction will enable Seaspan to retain an approximate additional amount of \$100 million per year that can be redeployed to fund its newbuilding program.

The continuation of the recent state of global financial markets and economic conditions might adversely impact our ability to issue additional equity at prices which will not be dilutive to our existing shareholders or preclude us from issuing equity at all. In addition, our credit facilities contain gearing covenants, which prohibit us from incurring total borrowings in an amount greater than 65% of our total assets. Also, our \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement contains a loan to market value ratio requirement that must be met before we can borrow additional funds under that facility. Based on a valuation obtained in December of 2009 (which was on a without charter basis as required by our credit facility), the market decline in the value of the vessels securing that credit facility has impacted our ability to draw under this facility. We are currently unable to borrow the remaining approximately \$267 million available under the facility. Further, even if we are not in breach of the gearing covenants and the market value of our vessels has not declined, lenders under our credit facilities may be unable to meet their funding obligations or may refuse to do so because of poor market conditions or because of their own financial condition. As a result, we may not be able to borrow funds with which to complete the acquisition of the remaining vessels in our contracted fleet or to further expand the size of our fleet.

Our failure to obtain the funds for necessary future capital expenditures would likely have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. Even if we are successful in obtaining the necessary funds, the terms of such financings could limit our ability to pay dividends to our shareholders. In addition, incurring additional debt may significantly increase our interest expense and financial leverage, and issuing additional equity securities may result in significant shareholder dilution and would increase the aggregate amount of cash required to distribute a consistent level of dividends from earnings to our shareholders, which could have a material adverse effect on our ability to pay dividends.

Over the long-term, we will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to preserve the operating capacity of our fleet, which could result in a reduction or elimination of our ability to pay dividends.

We must make substantial capital expenditures over the long-term to preserve the operating capacity of our fleet. If, however, we do not retain funds in our business in amounts necessary to preserve our capital base, over the long-term, we will not be able to continue to refinance our indebtedness or maintain our payment of dividends. At some time in the future, we will likely need to retain additional funds, on an annual basis, to provide reasonable assurance of maintaining our capital base over the long-term. There are a number of factors that will not be determinable for a number of years, but that will be considered by our board of directors in future decisions regarding the amount of funds to be retained in our business to preserve our capital base. Unless we are successful in making accretive acquisitions with outside sources of financing that add a material amount to our cash available for retention in our business or unless our board of directors concludes that we will likely be able to recharter our fleet when our current charters expire at rates higher than the rates in our current charters, our

Table of Contents

board of directors will likely determine at some future date to reduce, or possibly eliminate, our dividend in order to be able to have reasonable assurance that it is retaining the funds necessary to preserve our capital base. When we refer to accretive acquisitions, we mean acquisitions that will increase our distributable cash flow per share.

Unless we set aside reserves or are able to borrow funds for vessel replacement at the end of a vessel's useful life, our revenue will decline.

Unless we maintain reserves and are able to borrow funds for vessel replacement, we will be unable to replace the vessels in our fleet upon the expiration of their remaining useful lives. Our cash flows and income are dependent on the revenues earned by the chartering of our vessels to customers. If we are unable to replace the vessels in our fleet upon the expiration of their useful lives, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends will be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, any reserves set aside for vessel replacement would not be available for dividends.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to borrow amounts under our credit facilities, and restrictive covenants in our credit facilities and lease arrangements impose financial and other restrictions on us, including our ability to pay dividends.

Prior to each drawdown under our credit facilities, each of our credit facilities requires us, among other things, to meet specified financial ratios and other requirements. Specifically, we are prohibited under each of our credit facilities from incurring total borrowings in an amount greater than 65% of our total assets. In addition, our \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement contains a loan to market value ratio requirement that must be met before we can borrow funds under that facility. The last valuation we obtained was in December of 2009 (which was on a without charter basis as required by our credit facility), and in light of the recent economic environment, vessel valuations in the marketplace have declined significantly since 2008. As a result, we are currently unable to borrow the remaining approximately \$267 million available under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility. To the extent that we are not able to satisfy the requirements in our credit facilities, we may not be able to draw down under our credit facilities, and to the extent we exceed the specified financial ratios and other requirements, we will be in breach of our credit facilities. We may also be required to prepay amounts borrowed under our credit facilities if we, or in certain circumstances, our charterers, experience a change of control.

Our credit facilities and lease arrangements also impose operating and financial restrictions on us and require us to comply with certain financial covenants. These restrictions and covenants limit our ability to, among other things:

except in the case of the lease arrangements, pay dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing under one of our credit facilities or if the payment of the dividend would result in an event of default;

incur additional indebtedness, including through the issuance of guarantees;

change the flag, class or management of our vessels;

create liens on our assets;

sell our vessels without replacing such vessels or prepaying a portion of our loan;

conduct material transactions with our affiliates except on an arm's-length basis;

merge or consolidate with, or transfer all or substantially all our assets to, another person; or

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

change our business.

Therefore, we may need to seek permission from our lenders or lessors in order to engage in some corporate actions. The interests of our lenders or lessors may be different from ours, and we cannot guarantee that we will be able to obtain our lenders' or lessors' consent when needed. If we do not comply with the restrictions and covenants in our credit agreements or lease arrangements, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends will be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any future indebtedness incurred under our credit facilities.

We intend to finance our future fleet expansion program partially with secured indebtedness drawn under our credit facilities or future credit facilities. The earliest maturity date of our current credit facilities is 2015, and while we intend to refinance amounts drawn under our credit facilities or future credit facilities with the net proceeds of future debt or equity offerings, we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so at an interest rate or on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If we are not able to refinance these amounts with the net proceeds of debt or equity offerings at an interest rate or on terms acceptable to us or at all, we will have to dedicate a portion of our cash flow from operations to pay the principal and interest of this indebtedness. If we are not able to satisfy these obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, any defaults by them, and the market value of our fleet, among other things, may materially affect our ability to obtain alternative financing arrangements. In addition, debt service payments under our credit facilities, future credit facilities, future issuance of debt securities or alternative financing arrangements may limit funds otherwise available for working capital, capital expenditures and other purposes. If we are unable to meet our debt obligations, or if we otherwise default under our credit facilities, future credit facilities, future debt securities or an alternative financing arrangements, our lenders could declare the debt, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable and foreclose on the vessels in our fleet, which could result in the acceleration of other indebtedness that we may have at such time and the commencement of similar foreclosure proceedings by other lenders.

Our substantial debt levels may limit our flexibility in obtaining additional financing and in pursuing other business opportunities.

Following the completion of our acquisition of the remaining 26 containerships that we have contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, we will have substantial indebtedness. Our level of debt and vessel lease obligations could have important consequences to us, including the following:

our ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

we may need to use a substantial portion of our cash from operations to make principal and interest payments on our debt or make our lease payments, reducing the funds that would otherwise be available for operations, future business opportunities and dividends to our shareholders;

our debt level could make us more vulnerable than our competitors with less debt to competitive pressures or a downturn in our business or the economy generally; and

our debt level may limit our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions.

Our ability to service our debt and vessel lease obligations will depend upon, among other things, our future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. If our operating results are not sufficient to service our current or future indebtedness and vessel lease obligations, we will be forced to take actions such as reducing dividends, reducing or delaying our business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring or refinancing our debt, or seeking additional equity capital or bankruptcy protection. We may not be able to effect any of these remedies on satisfactory terms, or at all.

We will be paying all costs for the 21 vessels that we contracted to purchase and have incurred borrowings to fund, in part, installment payments under the relevant shipbuilding contracts. If any of these vessels are not delivered as contemplated, we may be required to refund all or a portion of the amounts we borrowed.

For each of the vessels that we have agreed to purchase, we are required to make certain payment installments, ranging from 5% to 20% of the total contracted purchase price for each vessel, as well as a final installment payment, generally equal to 50% of the total vessel purchase price. We have entered into long-term credit facilities to partially fund the construction of these vessels.

Table of Contents

If a shipbuilder is unable to deliver a vessel or if we reject a vessel, we may in certain circumstances be required to pay back a portion of the outstanding balance of the relevant credit facility. Further, if a charterer rejects a vessel, we may in certain circumstances be required to pay back a portion of the outstanding balance of the relevant credit facility. Such an outcome could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We are relying on Peony, subject to the aggregate cap of \$400.0 million agreed in the leases, to pay all costs for the five 4500 TEU vessels that we have agreed to lease from Peony upon delivery of the vessels. If Peony fails to make such payments, we may have to finance the construction of these vessels before they begin generating revenue.

We entered into contracts in November and December 2007 to purchase five 4500 TEU vessels from Samsung. We subsequently novated those contracts to Peony in connection with the lease financing of the 4500 TEU vessels. Pursuant to the terms of the novation and lease agreements for the vessels, Peony is responsible for all costs relating to the construction and delivery of the five 4500 TEU vessels that we have contracted to lease, but have not yet been delivered, up to a maximum aggregate amount of \$400.0 million.

If Peony becomes insolvent or otherwise fails to continue to make construction payments for the 4500 TEU vessels, Samsung has the right to further novate the contracts back to us and we may need to finance the containerships before they begin operating and generating revenue, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or ability to pay dividends. Please read Information on the Company B. Business Overview Financing Facilities Our \$400.0 Million UK Lease Facility in this Annual Report.

We currently derive the substantial majority of our revenue from six charterers, and the loss of certain or a combination of charterers could result in a significant loss of revenue and cash flow.

Customers for our current operating fleet are: CSCL Asia, HL USA, COSCON, APM, MOL and CSAV.

The following table shows the number of vessels in our current fleet that are chartered to our six charterers and the percentage of our total containership revenue attributable to each charterer for the year ended December 31, 2009:

Charterer	Number of Vessels in our Current Fleet Chartered to such Charterer	Percentage of Total Containership Revenue in 2009
CSCL Asia	22	54.0%
HL USA	9	20.7%
APM	4	11.9%
Other	7	13.4%
Total	42	100.0%

All of our vessels are chartered to charterers under long-term time charters, and these charterers' payments to us are our primary source of operating cash flow. At any given time in the future, cash reserves of the charterers may be diminished or exhausted, and we cannot assure you that the charterers will be able to make charter payments to us in a timely manner or at all. The loss of any of these charters could materially and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Under some circumstances, we could lose a charterer or the benefits of a time charter if:

the charterer fails to make charter payments because of its financial inability, disagreements with us, defaults on a payment or otherwise;

at the time of delivery, the vessel subject to the time charter differs in its specifications from those agreed upon under the shipbuilding contract with each of the relevant shipbuilders;

Table of Contents

the charterer exercises certain specific limited rights to terminate the charter;

upon a change of control of the Company, the charterer fails to consent to such change of control; or

the charterer terminates the charter because the ship fails to meet certain guaranteed speed and fuel consumption requirements and we are unable to rectify the situation or otherwise reach a mutually acceptable settlement.

Forty of the vessels in our current or contracted fleet are or will be chartered to Chinese customers. The legal system in China is not fully developed and has inherent uncertainties that could limit the legal protections available to us, and the geopolitical risks associated with chartering vessels to Chinese customers could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Twenty-two of the 68 vessels in our current or contracted fleet are or will be chartered to CSCL Asia, and 18 vessels are or will be chartered to COSCON. CSCL Asia and COSCON are subsidiaries of Chinese companies. Our vessels that are chartered to Chinese customers are subject to various risks as a result of uncertainties in Chinese law, including (i) the risk of loss of revenues, property or equipment as a result of expropriation, nationalization, changes in laws, exchange controls, war, insurrection, civil unrest, strikes or other political risks and (ii) being subject to foreign laws and legal systems and the exclusive jurisdiction of Chinese courts and tribunals. The Chinese legal system is based on written statutes and their legal interpretation by the standing Committee of the National People's Congress. Prior court decisions may be cited for reference but have limited precedential value. Since 1979, the Chinese government has been developing a comprehensive system of laws and regulations dealing with economic matters such as foreign investment, corporate organization and governance, commerce, taxation and trade. However, because these laws and regulations are relatively new, and because of the limited volume of published cases and their non-binding nature, interpretation and enforcement of these laws and regulations involve uncertainties. If we are required to commence legal proceedings against a bank, a charterer or a charter guarantor based in China with respect to the provisions of a credit facility, a time charter or a time charter guarantee, we may have difficulties in enforcing any judgment obtained in such proceedings in China. Similarly, our shipbuilders based in China provide warranties against certain defects for the vessels that they will construct for us and refund guarantees from a Chinese financial institution for the installment payments that we will make to them. Although the shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees are governed by English law, if we are required to commence legal proceedings against these shipbuilders with respect to the provisions of the shipbuilding contracts or the warranties, or against the refund guarantor for a refund of our installment payments, we may have difficulties enforcing any judgment obtained in such proceeding in China.

A decrease in the level of China's export of goods or an increase in trade protectionism will have a material adverse impact on our charterers' business and, in turn, affect our business, results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

China exports considerably more goods than it imports. Most of our charterers' container shipping business revenue is derived from the shipment of goods from the Asia Pacific region, primarily China, to various overseas export markets including the United States and Europe. Any reduction in or hindrance to the output of China-based exporters could have a material adverse effect on the growth rate of China's exports and on our charterers' business. For instance, the government of China has recently implemented economic policies aimed at increasing domestic consumption of Chinese-made goods. This may have the effect of reducing the supply of goods available for export and may, in turn, result in a decrease of demand for shipping.

Our international operations expose us to the risk that increased trade protectionism will adversely affect our business. If the global economic crisis continues, governments may turn to trade barriers to protect their domestic industries against foreign imports, thereby depressing the demand for shipping. Specifically, increasing trade protectionism in the markets that our charterers serve has caused and may continue to cause an increase in: (i) the

Table of Contents

cost of goods exported from China, (ii) the length of time required to deliver goods from China and (iii) the risks associated with exporting goods from China. Such increases may also affect the quantity of goods to be shipped, shipping time schedules, voyage costs and other associated costs.

Any increased trade barriers or restrictions on trade, especially trade with China, would have an adverse impact on our charterers' business, operating results and financial condition and could thereby affect their ability to make timely charter hire payments to us and to renew and increase the number of their time charters with us. This could have an adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The continuation of recent economic conditions throughout the world, and especially in the Asia Pacific region, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The global economy recently experienced disruption and volatility following adverse changes in global capital markets. The deterioration in the global economy has caused, and may continue to cause, a decrease in worldwide demand for certain goods and, thus, shipping. Continuing economic instability could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends.

In particular, because a significant number of the port calls made by our vessels involves the loading or discharging of containerships in ports in the Asia Pacific region, continued economic turmoil in that region, especially in Japan and China, may exacerbate the effect on the recent economic slowdown on us. In recent years, China has been one of the world's fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product, which has increased the demand for shipping. Like the rest of the world, however, China and Japan have recently experienced slowed or negative economic growth, and this trend could continue. Moreover, the recent economic slowdown in the United States, the European Union and other Asian countries may further adversely affect demand for shipping. Our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends will likely be materially and adversely affected by a continuing or worsening economic downturn in any of these countries.

Our growth depends on our ability to expand relationships with existing charterers and obtain new charterers, for which we will face substantial competition.

One of our principal objectives is to acquire additional containerships over the mid to long-term and as market conditions allow in conjunction with entering into additional long-term, fixed-rate time charters for such ships. The process of obtaining new long-term time charters is highly competitive and generally involves an intensive screening process and competitive bids, and often extends for several months. Container shipping charters are awarded based upon a variety of factors relating to the vessel operator, including:

shipping industry relationships and reputation for customer service and safety;

container shipping experience and quality of ship operations (including cost effectiveness);

quality and experience of seafaring crew;

the ability to finance containerships at competitive rates and financial stability generally;

relationships with shipyards and the ability to get suitable berths;

construction management experience, including the ability to obtain on-time delivery of new ships according to customer specifications;

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

willingness to accept operational risks pursuant to the charter, such as allowing termination of the charter for force majeure events;
and

competitiveness of the bid in terms of overall price.

We expect substantial competition for providing new containership service from a number of experienced companies, including state-sponsored entities and major shipping companies. Many of these competitors have significantly greater financial resources than we do, and can therefore operate larger fleets and may be able to

Table of Contents

offer better charter rates. This increased competition may cause greater price competition for time charters. In addition, the recent deterioration in the global economy has caused and may continue to cause a decrease in demand for shipping. As a result of this decreased demand, containership owners may face increased competition to secure time charters. As a result of these factors, we may be unable to expand our relationships with existing customers or obtain new customers on a profitable basis, if at all, which would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

If a more active short-term or spot container shipping market develops, we may have more difficulty entering into long-term, fixed-rate time charters and our existing customers may begin to pressure us to reduce our charter rates.

One of our principal strategies is to enter into long-term, fixed-rate time charters. As more vessels become available for the spot or short-term market, we may have difficulty entering into additional long-term, fixed-rate time charters for our vessels due to the increased supply of vessels and possibly cheaper rates in the spot market and, as a result, our cash flow may be subject to instability in the long-term. A more active short-term or spot market may require us to enter into charters based on changing market prices, as opposed to contracts based on a fixed-rate, which could result in a decrease in our cash flow in periods when the market price for container shipping is depressed or insufficient funds are available to cover our financing costs for related vessels. In addition, the development of an active short-term or spot container shipping market could affect rates under our existing time charters as our current customers may begin to pressure us to reduce our rates.

Under the time charters for certain of our vessels, if a vessel is off-hire for an extended period, the charterer has a right to terminate the charter agreement for that vessel.

Pursuant to most of our time charter agreements, if a vessel is not available for service, or off hire, for an extended period, the charterer has a right to terminate the charter agreement for that vessel. If a time charter is terminated early, we may be unable to re-deploy the related vessel on terms as favorable to us. In the worst case, we may not receive any revenues from that vessel, but may be required to pay expenses necessary to maintain the vessel in proper operating condition. Please see Information on the Company B. Business Overview Time Charters.

Risks inherent in the operation of ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The operation of ocean-going vessels carries inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

marine disaster;

environmental accidents;

grounding, fire, explosions and collisions;

cargo and property losses or damage;

business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, political action in various countries, labor strikes or adverse weather conditions; and

piracy.

Such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenue from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, higher insurance rates, and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenue, which could result in reduction in the market price of our securities and materially affect our results of operations, business condition and ability to pay dividends. The involvement of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel

owner and operator.

Table of Contents

Acts of piracy on ocean-going vessels have recently increased in frequency, which could adversely affect our business.

Piracy is an inherent risk in the operation of ocean-going vessels and has historically affected vessels trading in regions of the world such as the South China Sea and in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. Throughout 2008 and 2009, the frequency of piracy incidents against commercial shipping vessels has increased significantly, particularly in the Gulf of Aden off the coast of Somalia. We may not be adequately insured to cover losses from these incidents, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. In addition, crew costs, including due to employing onboard security guards, could increase in such circumstances. Any of these events may materially adversely affect our charterers, impairing their ability to make payments to us under our charters.

Terrorist attacks and international hostilities could affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Terrorist attacks such as the attacks on the United States on September 11, 2001, and the continuing response of the United States to these attacks, as well as the threat of future terrorist attacks, continue to cause uncertainty in the world financial markets and may affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends. The continuing conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan may lead to additional acts of terrorism, regional conflict and other armed conflict around the world, which may contribute to further economic instability in the global financial markets. These uncertainties could also adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing on terms acceptable to us or at all.

Terrorist attacks targeted at sea vessels, such as the October 2002 attack in Yemen on the *VLCC Limburg*, a ship not related to us, may in the future also negatively affect our operations and financial condition and directly impact our containerships or our customers. Future terrorist attacks could result in increased volatility of the financial markets in the United States and globally and could result in an economic recession affecting the United States or the entire world. Any of these occurrences could have a material adverse impact on our operating results, revenue and costs.

Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered could affect us. Hostilities in South Korea could constitute a force majeure event under our contracts with Samsung, HHI and HSHI and could impact the construction of our newbuildings or result in their inability to perform under the contracts. In addition, future hostilities or other political instability in regions where our vessels trade could affect our trade patterns and adversely affect our operations and performance.

Our insurance may be insufficient to cover losses that may occur to our property or result from our operations due to the inherent operational risks of the shipping industry.

We maintain insurance for our fleet against risks commonly insured against by vessel owners and operators. Our insurance includes hull and machinery insurance, war risks insurance and protection and indemnity insurance (which includes environmental damage and pollution insurance). We can give no assurance that we will be adequately insured against all risks or that our insurers will pay a particular claim. Even if our insurance coverage is adequate to cover our losses, we may not be able to obtain timely a replacement vessel in the event of a loss. Under the terms of our credit facilities and lease agreements, we will be subject to restrictions on the use of any proceeds we may receive from claims under our insurance policies. Furthermore, in the future, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet. We may also be subject to supplementary or additional calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our own claim records but also the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations, as an industry group, through which we receive indemnity insurance coverage for statutory, contractual and tort liability due to the sharing and reinsurance arrangements stated in the insurance rules. Our insurance policies also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which, although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless directly or indirectly increase our costs.

Table of Contents

In addition, we do not carry loss-of-hire insurance, which covers the loss of revenue during extended vessel off-hire periods, such as those that occur during an unscheduled dry-docking due to damage to the vessel from accidents. Accordingly, any loss of a vessel or extended vessel off-hire, due to an accident or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Increased inspection procedures, tighter import and export controls and new security regulations could cause disruption of the business.

International container shipping is subject to security and customs inspection and related procedures, or inspection procedures, in countries of origin, destination and trans-shipment points. These inspection procedures can result in cargo seizure, delays in the loading, offloading, trans-shipment, or delivery of containers and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against exporters or importers and, in some cases, charterers.

Since the events of September 11, 2001, U.S. and Canadian authorities have increased container inspection rates. Government investment in non-intrusive container scanning technology has grown and there is interest in electronic monitoring technology, including so-called e-seals and smart containers, that would enable remote, centralized monitoring of containers during shipment to identify tampering with or opening of the containers, along with potentially measuring other characteristics such as temperature, air pressure, motion, chemicals, biological agents and radiation.

It is unclear what changes, if any, to the existing inspection procedures will ultimately be proposed or implemented, or how any such changes will affect the industry. It is possible that such changes could impose additional financial and legal obligations, including additional responsibility for inspecting and recording the contents of containers. Changes to the inspection procedures and container security could result in additional costs and obligations on carriers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of goods by container uneconomical or impractical. Additional costs may arise from current inspection procedures or future proposals may not be fully recoverable from customers through higher rates or security surcharges.

Governments could requisition our containerships during a period of war or emergency, resulting in loss of earnings.

The government of a ship's registry could requisition for title or seize our containerships. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a ship and becomes the owner. Also, a government could requisition our containerships for hire. Requisition for hire occurs when a government takes control of a ship and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Generally, requisitions occur during a period of war or emergency. Government requisition of one or more of our containerships may negatively impact our revenue.

Our growth depends upon continued growth in demand for containerships, and the recent global economic slowdown may impede our ability to continue to grow our business.

Our articles of incorporation limit our business to the chartering or rechartering of containerships to others and any other lawful act or activity customarily conducted in conjunction with the chartering or rechartering of containerships to others, although our business purpose may be modified by our board of directors subject to the approval of the holders of a majority of our Series A Preferred Shares and, for as long as the management agreements with our Manager are in effect, the approval of the holders of our incentive shares. Our growth will generally depend on continued growth in world and regional demand for chartering marine container shipping, and the recent global economic slowdown may impede our ability to continue to grow our business.

The ocean-going shipping container industry is both cyclical and volatile in terms of charter hire rates and profitability. Containership charter rates peaked in 2005 and generally stayed strong until the middle of 2008, when the effects of the recent economic crisis began to affect global container trade. Rates have fallen significantly and are now estimated to be lower than late 2001 levels, with the possibility for further pressure

Table of Contents

through at least 2010. In the future, rates may continue to decline. Fluctuations in charter rates result from changes in the supply and demand for ship capacity and changes in the supply and demand for the major products internationally transported by containerships. The factors affecting the supply and demand for containerships and supply and demand for products shipped in containers are outside of our control, and the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions are unpredictable.

The factors that influence demand for containership capacity include:

supply and demand for products suitable for shipping in containers;

changes in global production of products transported by containerships;

the distance container cargo products are to be moved by sea;

the globalization of manufacturing;

global and regional economic and political conditions;

developments in international trade;

changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, including changes in the distances over which container cargoes are transported;

environmental and other regulatory developments;

currency exchange rates; and

weather.

The factors that influence the supply of containership capacity include:

the number of newbuilding deliveries;

the extent of newbuilding vessel deferrals;

the scrapping rate of older containerships;

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

containership owner access to capital to finance the construction of newbuildings;

the price of steel and other raw materials;

changes in environmental and other regulations that may limit the useful life of containerships;

the number of containerships that are slow-steaming or extra slow-steaming to conserve fuel;

the number of containerships that are out of service, idle or laid out of service; and

port congestion and canal closures.

Our ability to recharter our containerships upon the expiration or termination of their current time charters and the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, the then current state of the containership market. If the containership market is in a period of depression when our ships' charters expire, we may be forced to recharter our ships at reduced rates or even possibly a rate whereby we incur a loss, which may reduce our earnings or make our earnings volatile. The same issues will exist if we acquire additional vessels and attempt to subject them to a long-term time charter arrangement as part of our acquisition and financing plan.

An over-supply of containership capacity may lead to reductions in charter hire rates and profitability.

While the size of the containership orderbook has declined over the last 12 months, newbuilding containerships with an aggregate capacity of 4.8 million TEUs, representing approximately 35% of the total fleet capacity as of December 31, 2009, were under construction. The size of the orderbook is large relative to

Table of Contents

historical levels and will result in the increase in the size of the world containership fleet over the next few years. An over-supply of containership capacity, combined with a decline in the demand for containerships, may result in a further reduction of charter hire rates. If such a reduction occurs upon the expiration or termination of our containerships' current time charters, we may only be able to recharter our containerships for reduced rates or unprofitable rates or we may not be able to recharter our containerships at all.

Over time, containership values may fluctuate substantially and, if these values are lower at a time when we are attempting to dispose of a containership, we may incur a loss or we may not be able to dispose of such containership at all.

Containership values can fluctuate substantially over time due to a number of different factors, including:

prevailing economic conditions in the market in which the containership trades;

a substantial or extended decline in world trade;

increases in the supply of containership capacity; and

the cost of retrofitting or modifying existing ships, as a result of technological advances in vessel design or equipment, changes in applicable environmental or other regulations or standards, or otherwise.

If a charter terminates, we may be unable to re-deploy the vessel at attractive rates and, rather than continue to incur costs to maintain and finance the vessel, may seek to dispose of it. Our inability to dispose of the containership at a reasonable price, or at all, could result in a loss on its sale and adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against that vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lienholder may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arrest or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay large sums of funds to have the arrest lifted.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the 'sister ship' theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel that is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any 'associated' vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner. Claimants could try to assert 'sister ship' liability against one vessel in our fleet for claims relating to another of our ships.

The aging of our fleet may result in increased operating costs in the future, which could adversely affect our earnings.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. As our fleet ages, we will incur increased costs. Older vessels are typically more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels. Cargo insurance rates increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations and safety or other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may also require expenditures for alterations, or the addition of new equipment, to our vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which our vessels may engage.

We are subject to regulation and liability under environmental laws that could require significant expenditures and affect our cash flows and net income.

Our business and the operations of our containerships are materially affected by environmental regulation in the form of international conventions, national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in

Table of Contents

which our containerships operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, water discharges and ballast water management. Because such conventions, laws, and regulations are often revised, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with such requirements or the impact thereof on the resale price or useful life of our containerships. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted that could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and which may materially adversely affect our operations. We are required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses, certificates and financial assurances with respect to our operations. Many environmental requirements are designed to reduce the risk of pollution, such as oil spills, and our compliance with these requirements can be costly.

Environmental requirements can also affect the resale value or useful lives of our vessels, require a reduction in cargo capacity, ship modifications or operational changes or restrictions, lead to decreased availability of insurance coverage for environmental matters or result in the denial of access to certain jurisdictional waters or ports, or detention in certain ports. Under local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, we could incur material liabilities, including cleanup obligations and natural resource damages, in the event that there is a release of petroleum or other hazardous materials from our vessels or otherwise in connection with our operations. We could also become subject to personal injury or property damage claims relating to the release of hazardous materials associated with our existing or historic operations. Violations of, or liabilities under, environmental requirements can result in substantial penalties, fines and other sanctions, including in certain instances, seizure or detention of our vessels.

The operation of our containerships is also affected by the requirements set forth in the International Maritime Organization's International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and Pollution Prevention, or the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires shipowners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive Safety Management System that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. Failure to comply with the ISM Code may subject us to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected ships and may result in denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

In addition, in complying with existing environmental laws and regulations and those that may be adopted, we may incur significant costs in meeting new maintenance and inspection requirements and new restrictions on air emissions from our containerships, in developing contingency arrangements for potential spills and in obtaining insurance coverage. Government regulation of vessels, particularly in the areas of safety and environmental requirements, can be expected to become stricter in the future and require us to incur significant capital expenditures on our vessels to keep them in compliance, or even to scrap or sell certain vessels altogether. Substantial violations of applicable requirements or a catastrophic release of bunker fuel from one of our containerships could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Compliance with safety and other vessel requirements imposed by classification societies may be very costly and may adversely affect our business.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention.

All of our currently operating vessels have been awarded certification under the ISM Code and we expect that each of the vessels to be delivered in the future will be awarded certification under the ISM Code upon delivery.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle under which the machinery would be surveyed

Table of Contents

periodically over a five-year period. Each of the vessels in our fleet is on a special survey cycle for hull inspection and a continuous survey cycle for machinery inspection. These vessels have qualified within their respective classification societies for dry-docking once every five years for inspection of the underwater parts of such vessel.

If any vessel does not maintain its class and/or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey or special survey, the vessel will be unable to trade between ports and will be unemployable and we could be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements and our lease agreements for the 4500 TEU vessels. This could negatively impact our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Delays in deliveries of our newly built containerships could harm our operating results.

We are currently under contract to purchase 21 and lease five additional containerships, which are scheduled to be delivered at various times over approximately the next 30 months. These vessels are being built by Jiangsu, New Jiangsu, HHI, HSHI and Samsung (individually, the Shipbuilder and collectively, the Shipbuilders). The delivery of these vessels, or any other newbuildings we may order, could be delayed, which would delay our receipt of revenue under the time charters for the containerships and therefore adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

The delivery of the newbuildings could be delayed because of:

work stoppages or other labor disturbances or other events that disrupt any of the Shipbuilders operations;

quality or engineering problems;

changes in governmental regulations or maritime self-regulatory organization standards;

lack of raw materials;

bankruptcy or other financial crisis of any of the Shipbuilders;

a backlog of orders at any of the Shipbuilders;

hostilities, or political or economic disturbances in South Korea or China, where the containerships are being built;

weather interference or catastrophic event, such as a major earthquake or fire;

our requests for changes to the original containership specifications;

shortages of or delays in the receipt of necessary construction materials, such as steel;

our inability to obtain requisite permits or approvals;

a dispute with any of the Shipbuilders; or

the failure of our banks to provide debt financing.

In addition, each of the shipbuilding contracts for the additional 26 vessels contain force majeure provisions whereby the occurrence of certain events could delay delivery or possibly result in termination of the contract. If delivery of a containership is materially delayed or if a shipbuilding contract is terminated, it could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Due to our lack of diversification, adverse developments in our containership transportation business could have an adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

We rely exclusively on the cash flow generated from our charters that operate in the containership transportation business. Due to our lack of diversification, an adverse development in the container shipping industry may have a significantly greater impact on our financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay dividends than if we maintained more diverse assets or lines of business.

Table of Contents

The age of our 4800 TEU secondhand vessels will result in increased operating costs, which could adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition or ability to pay dividends.

In general, the cost of maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increases with the age of the vessel. Our 4800 TEU secondhand vessels have an average age of approximately 21 years as of December 31, 2009. Older vessels are typically more costly to maintain than more recently constructed vessels. Cargo insurance rates also increase with the age of a vessel, making older vessels less desirable to charterers. Governmental regulations, including environmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards related to the age of vessels may require expenditures for alterations, or the addition of new equipment to these 4800 TEU secondhand vessels and may restrict the type of activities in which these vessels may engage. The increased costs associated with these vessels may prevent us from operating them profitably during the remainder of their useful lives and may adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our charter revenue from the four 4800 TEU secondhand vessels will decrease if APM exercises its options to extend its charters beyond the initial charter period of five years, and if APM does not exercise its options to extend, we may not be able to recharter these vessels at favorable rates or at all.

We purchased the four 4800 TEU secondhand vessels from APM in 2006. Simultaneously with the delivery of the four 4800 TEU vessels, we entered into five-year charter agreements for each of these vessels with APM at a daily hire rate of \$23,450. Upon the expiration of the initial five-year time charter term for each of the four 4800 TEU vessels, APM will have two consecutive one-year options to charter each vessel at \$22,400 and \$21,400 per day, respectively, and a final two-year option to charter each vessel at \$20,400 per day. Our Manager will operate the four 4800 TEU vessels purchased from APM for a fixed fee of \$7,848 per day through December 31, 2011. The daily fixed fee thereafter will be subject to renegotiation every three years. If APM exercises its options, our charter revenue from the four 4800 TEU secondhand vessels will decrease during the option years. In addition, the 4800 TEU vessels are approximately 21 years old, which is relatively old for containerships. If APM does not exercise its options to extend these time charters, the age of these vessels may prevent us from rechartering them at rates favorable to us or at all. If we are unable to recharter our 4800 TEU vessels, we may attempt to sell them. The age of the 4800 TEU vessels may prevent us from being able to sell them at a profit or at all.

Each vessel in our fleet is built or will be built in accordance with standard designs and uniform in all material respect to all other vessels in its class, thus any material defect in one vessel will likely affect all of our other vessels in such class.

Each vessel in our fleet is built or will be built in accordance with standard designs and uniform in all material respects to all other vessels in its class. As a result, any latent design defect discovered in one of our vessels will likely affect all of our other vessels in that class. Any disruptions in the operation of our vessels resulting from these defects could adversely affect our receipt of revenue under time charters for the vessels affected.

There are greater than normal operational risks with respect to the 9600 TEU vessels that we have purchased.

The two 9600 TEU vessels that we have purchased are some of the first vessels of this type to be built. Although one other company before us has built, serviced or operated similar vessels built by Samsung, there are unknown and possibly greater than normal operational risks associated with these vessels. Problems with operation of these vessels could be encountered, which would adversely affect our receipt of revenue under time charters for these vessels as well as their future resale value.

There are greater than normal construction, delivery and operational risks with respect to the 13100 TEU vessels that we have agreed to purchase.

The eight 13100 TEU vessels that we have purchased are some of the first vessels of this type to be built. As such, there are unknown and possibly greater than normal construction, delivery and operational risks associated

Table of Contents

with these vessels. Deliveries of these vessels could be delayed and problems with operation of these vessels could be encountered, either of which would adversely affect our receipt of revenue under time charters for these vessels, as well as their future resale value.

Increased competition in technological innovation could reduce our charter hire income and the value of our vessels.

The charter hire rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors including the vessel's efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes speed, fuel economy and the ability to be loaded and unloaded quickly. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. Physical life is related to the original design and construction, maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new containerships are built that are more efficient or flexible or have longer physical lives than our vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced containerships could adversely affect the amount of charter hire payments we receive for our vessels once their initial charters are terminated and the resale value of our vessels. As a result, our cash available for the service of our debt obligations and the payment of dividends could be adversely affected.

We depend on our Manager to operate our business, and if our Manager fails to satisfactorily perform its management services, our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends may be harmed.

We were incorporated in May 2005, and we do not currently have any employees. Pursuant to our management agreements, our Manager and certain of its affiliates will provide us with certain of our officers and with technical, administrative and strategic services (including vessel maintenance, crewing, purchasing, shipyard supervision, insurance, assistance with regulatory compliance and financial services). Our operational success and our ability to grow will depend significantly upon our Manager's satisfactory performance of these services. Our business will be harmed if our Manager fails to perform these services satisfactorily. In addition, if any of the management agreements were to be terminated or if their terms were to be altered, our business could be adversely affected as we may not be able to immediately replace such services, or even if replacement services are immediately available, the terms offered may be less favorable than the ones currently offered by our Manager.

Our ability to compete for and to enter into new charters and expand our relationships with our charterers will depend largely on our relationship with our Manager and its reputation and relationships in the shipping industry. If our Manager suffers material damage to its reputation or relationships, it may harm our ability to:

renew existing charters upon their expiration;

obtain new charters;

successfully interact with shipyards;

obtain financing on commercially acceptable terms;

maintain satisfactory relationships with our customers and suppliers; or

grow our business.

If our ability to do any of the things described above is impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

As we expand our business, our Manager may need to improve its operating and financial systems and expand our commercial and technical management staff and it will need to recruit suitable employees and crew for our vessels.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Since our initial public offering, we increased the size of our existing and contracted fleet to 68, which is approximately triple the size of our contracted fleet at the time of our initial public offering. Our Manager s

Table of Contents

current operating and financial systems may not be adequate if we further expand the size of our fleet, and attempts to improve those systems may be ineffective. In addition, if we expand our fleet, our Manager will need to recruit suitable additional administrative and management personnel. We cannot guarantee that our Manager will be able to continue to hire suitable employees as we expand our fleet. In the event of a shortage of experienced labor or if our Manager encounters business or financial difficulties, our Manager may not be able to adequately staff our vessels. If we expand our fleet and our Manager is unable to grow its financial and operating systems or to recruit suitable employees, our results of operations and customer relationships may be adversely affected.

The fixed fees that we pay our Manager for its technical management of our ships have increased since our initial public offering and may continue to increase over time. Additional increases in our technical management fees would increase our operating costs and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Under the management agreements for all our vessels, we currently pay our Manager a fixed fee for its technical management of such vessels. The fixed fees that we pay our Manager for its technical management of our fleet have increased and may continue to increase in the future. Pursuant to the management agreements, the current fee structure is effective until December 31, 2011 and thereafter, we and our Manager are required to renegotiate new fees every three years. If we and our Manager are unable to agree on new fees, the management agreements require that an arbitrator determines a fair market fee. Any increase in these fees will increase our operating costs and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our Manager and its affiliates have conflicts of interest and limited fiduciary and contractual duties, which may permit them to favor their own interests to your detriment and ours.

Conflicts of interest may arise between our Manager and its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and holders of our securities, on the other hand. As a result of these conflicts, our Manager may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of the holders of our securities. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

the asset purchase agreement, our management agreements, the omnibus agreement and other contractual agreements we have with our Manager and its affiliates were not the result of arm's-length negotiations, and the negotiation of these agreements may have resulted in prices and other terms that are less favorable to us than terms we might have obtained in arm's-length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties for similar services;

our chief executive officer and certain of our directors also serve as executive officers or directors of our Manager and our chief financial officer serves as an executive officer of certain of our Manager's affiliates;

our Manager advises our board of directors about the amount and timing of asset purchases and sales, capital expenditures, borrowings, issuances of additional securities and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is available for dividends to our shareholders and the payment of dividends on the incentive shares;

our Manager may recommend that we borrow funds in order to permit the payment of cash dividends;

our officers, including our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, do not spend all of their time on matters related to our business; and

our Manager advises us of costs incurred by it and its affiliates that it believes are reimbursable by us.

Table of Contents

Even if our board of directors or our shareholders are dissatisfied with our Manager, there are limited circumstances under which the management agreements governing the management of our vessels can be terminated by us. On the other hand, our Manager has substantial rights to terminate the management agreements and, under certain circumstances could receive very substantial sums in connection with such termination.

Under the management agreements governing our vessels, our Manager has the right after five years from our initial public offering to terminate the management agreements on twelve months' notice, although the covenant limiting our Manager's ability to compete with us continues for two years following such termination. Our Manager also has the right to terminate the management agreements after a dispute resolution if we have materially breached any of the management agreements, in which case none of the covenants would continue to apply to our Manager.

The management agreements will each terminate upon the sale of substantially all our assets to a third party, our liquidation or after any change of control of our company occurs. If the management agreements are terminated as a result of an asset sale, our liquidation or change of control, then our Manager may be paid the fair market value of the incentive shares as determined by an appraisal process. Any such payment could be substantial.

In addition, our rights to terminate the management agreements are limited. Even if we are not satisfied with the Manager's efforts in managing our business, unless our Manager materially breaches one of the agreements, we may not be able to terminate any of the management agreements until 2020. This early termination right requires a two-thirds approval of our independent directors, and if we elect to do so, or if we elect to terminate the management agreements at the end of their initial terms in 2025 or a subsequent renewal term, our Manager will continue to receive dividends on the incentive shares for a five-year period from the date of termination.

Our Manager could receive substantial sums based on its ownership of the incentive shares if our quarterly dividends to our shareholders are increased, reducing the amount of cash that would otherwise have been available for increased dividends to our shareholders.

Our Manager shares in incremental dividends, based on specified sharing ratios, on its incentive shares if and to the extent that the available cash from operating surplus paid by us exceeds specified target dividend levels. Because these incentive dividends are taken from the total pool of dividends payable to holders of common shares, such dividends will reduce the amount of cash which would otherwise have been available to increase the amount to be paid as dividends to our shareholders. Please read "Information on the Company" B. Business Overview "Management Agreements" Compensation of Our Manager.

Our Manager is a privately held company and there is little or no publicly available information about it.

The ability of our Manager to continue providing services for our benefit depends in part on its own financial strength. Circumstances beyond our control could impair our Manager's financial strength, and because it is a privately held company, information about its financial strength is not available. As a result, an investor in our securities might have little advance warning of problems affecting our Manager, even though these problems could have a material adverse effect on us. As part of our reporting obligations as a public company, we disclose information regarding our Manager that has a material impact on us to the extent that we become aware of such information.

Our Manager will engage in other businesses and may compete with us.

Pursuant to an omnibus agreement, our Manager, Seaspan International Ltd., or Seaspan International, and Norsk Pacific Steamship Company Limited, or Norsk, generally agreed to, and agreed to cause their controlled affiliates (which does not include us), not to engage in the business of chartering or rechartering containerships to others during the term of our Initial Management Agreement (as defined below in "Information on the

Table of Contents

Company B. Business Overview Management Agreements.) The omnibus agreement, however, contains significant exceptions that may allow these entities to compete with us. For instance, in certain circumstances these entities are permitted to acquire and operate containerships to the extent that such containership assets were part of an acquired business, provided that (i) a majority of the fair market value of the total assets of the acquired business is not attributable to the containership business and (ii) such entity has offered to sell to us the containership assets of the acquired business.

Our officers do not devote all of their time to our business.

Our Manager and its affiliates as well as certain of our officers are involved in other business activities that may result in their spending less time than is appropriate or necessary in order to manage our business successfully. Pursuant to the employment agreement of our chief executive officer, Gerry Wang, Mr. Wang is to devote substantially all of his time to us and our Manager on our business and affairs. Mr. Wang's employment contract will expire in 2013 unless it is renewed. Our chief financial officer is also employed by our Manager and he also devotes substantially all of his time to us and our Manager. Other officers appointed by our Manager may spend a material portion of their time providing services to our Manager and its affiliates on matters unrelated to us.

Our business depends upon certain employees who may not necessarily continue to work for us.

Our future success depends to a significant extent upon our chief executive officer, Gerry Wang, and certain members of our senior management and that of our Manager. Mr. Wang has substantial experience in the container shipping industry and has worked with our Manager for many years. Mr. Wang and others employed by our Manager are crucial to the development of our business strategy and to the growth and development of our business. If they were no longer to be affiliated with our Manager, or if we otherwise cease to receive advisory services from them, we may fail to recruit other employees with equivalent talent and experience, and our business and financial condition may suffer as a result. Although Mr. Wang has an employment agreement with our Manager, he does not have an employment agreement with us. In addition, Mr. Wang's employment agreement is due to expire at the end of 2013 unless it is renewed. As such, it is possible that Mr. Wang will no longer provide services to us and that our business may be adversely affected by the loss of such services.

We may be unable to make or realize expected benefits from acquisitions, and implementing our growth strategy through acquisitions of existing businesses or vessels may harm our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Our growth strategy includes selectively acquiring new containerships, existing containerships, containership related assets and container shipping business over the mid to long term and as market conditions allow. Factors that may limit the number of acquisition opportunities in the containership industry include the ability to access capital to fund such acquisitions, the overall economic environment and the status of global trade and the ability to secure long-term, fixed-rate charters.

Any acquisition of a vessel or business may not be profitable to us at or after the time we acquire it and may not generate cash flow sufficient to justify our investment. In addition, our acquisition growth strategy exposes us to risks that may harm our business, financial condition and operating results, including risks that we may:

fail to realize anticipated benefits, such as new customer relationships, cost savings or cash flow enhancements;

be unable, through our Manager, to hire, train or retain qualified shore and seafaring personnel to manage and operate our growing business and fleet;

decrease our liquidity by using a significant portion of our available cash or borrowing capacity to finance acquisitions;

Table of Contents

significantly increase our interest expense or financial leverage if we incur additional debt to finance acquisitions;

incur or assume unanticipated liabilities, losses or costs associated with the business or vessels acquired;

incur other significant charges, such as impairment of goodwill or other intangible assets, asset devaluation or restructuring charges;
or

not be able to service our debt obligations or pay dividends.

Our four 4800 TEU vessels were acquired secondhand. The purchase of existing, secondhand vessels has inherent risks that are not present when purchasing newbuilding vessels. Unlike newbuildings, existing containerhips typically do not carry warranties as to their condition. While we would inspect existing containerhips prior to purchase, such an inspection would normally not provide us with as much knowledge of a containerhip's condition as we would possess if it had been built for us and operated by us during its life. Repairs and maintenance costs for existing vessels are difficult to predict and may be substantially higher than for vessels we have operated since they were built. These costs could decrease our cash flow and reduce our liquidity.

Anti-takeover provisions in our organizational documents could make it difficult for our shareholders to replace or remove our current board of directors or have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition, which could adversely affect the market price of our securities.

Several provisions of our articles of incorporation and our bylaws could make it difficult for our shareholders to change the composition of our board of directors in any one year, preventing them from changing the composition of management. In addition, the same provisions may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that shareholders may consider favorable.

These provisions include:

authorizing our board of directors to issue blank check preferred shares without shareholder approval;

providing for a classified board of directors with staggered, three-year terms;

prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors;

authorizing the removal of directors only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote for those directors;

prohibiting shareholder action by written consent unless the written consent is signed by all shareholders entitled to vote on the action;

limiting the persons who may call special meetings of shareholders;

establishing advance notice requirements for nominations for election to our board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted on by shareholders at shareholder meetings; and

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

restricting business combinations with interested shareholders.

In addition, upon a change of control, our Manager may elect to have us purchase the incentive shares, which could result in a substantial payment to our Manager and discourage a change of control that might otherwise be beneficial to shareholders.

We have also adopted a shareholder rights plan pursuant to which our board of directors may cause the substantial dilution of the holdings of any person that attempts to acquire us without the prior approval of our board of directors.

Table of Contents

In addition, as a result of our recent offering of our Series A Preferred Shares, holders of our Series A Preferred Shares were granted the power to vote as a single class to approve certain major corporate changes, including any merger, consolidation, asset sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets. These shareholders could exercise this power to block a change of control that might otherwise be beneficial to holders of our common shares. Please read [Information on the Company](#) [B. Business Overview](#) [Recent Equity Offerings](#) [Our Series A Preferred Share Offering](#) in this Annual Report.

These anti-takeover provisions, including the provisions of our shareholder rights plan, could substantially impede the ability of public shareholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our securities and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Substantial future sales of our common shares in the public market could cause the price of our common shares to fall.

The market price of our common stock could decline due to sales of a large number of shares in the market, including sales of shares by our large shareholders, or the perception that these sales could occur. These sales could also make it more difficult or impossible for us to sell equity securities in the future at a time and price that we deem appropriate to raise funds through future offerings of common stock. In connection with our Series A Preferred Share Offering, we have granted registration rights to the holders of our Series A Preferred Shares. These shareholders have the right, subject to certain conditions, to require us to file registration statements covering the sale by them of common shares that are issuable upon the conversion of the Series A Preferred Shares. In addition, in connection with our initial public offering, the holders of our class B common shares were granted similar registration rights with respect to the class A common shares issuable upon their conversion. The class B common shares converted into class A common shares in 2008. Following their sale under an applicable registration statement, those securities will become freely tradable. By exercising their registration rights and selling a large number of common shares, these shareholders could cause the price of our common shares to decline.

We are incorporated in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, which does not have a well developed body of corporate law.

Our corporate affairs are governed by our articles of incorporation and bylaws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or BCA. The provisions of the BCA resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Republic of the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain United States jurisdictions. Shareholder rights may differ as well. While the BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, our public shareholders may have more difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by management, directors or controlling shareholders than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a United States jurisdiction.

Because we are organized under the laws of the Marshall Islands, it may be difficult to serve us with legal process or enforce judgments against us, our directors or our management.

We are organized under the laws of the Marshall Islands, and all of our assets are located outside of the United States. Our principal executive offices are located in Hong Kong. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for you to bring an action against us or against our directors or our management in the United States if you believe that your rights have been infringed under securities laws or otherwise. Even if you are successful in bringing an action of this kind, the laws of the Marshall Islands and of other jurisdictions may prevent or restrict you from enforcing a judgment against our assets or our directors and officers.

Table of Contents

Tax Risks

In addition to the following risk factors, you should read Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation for a more complete discussion of the expected material U.S. federal and non-U.S. income tax considerations relating to us and the ownership and disposition of our common shares.

We, or any of our vessel-owning subsidiaries, may become subject to U.S. federal income taxation on our U.S. source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, 50% of the gross income of a vessel owning or chartering corporation, such as ourselves and our subsidiaries, that is attributable to transportation that either begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States is characterized as U.S. source transportation income. Such income generally is subject to a 4% U.S. federal income tax without allowance for deduction or, if such income is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, U.S. federal corporate income tax (the highest statutory rate presently is 35%) as well as a branch profits tax (presently imposed at a 30% rate on effectively connected earnings), unless the corporation qualifies for exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, or the Section 883 Exemption.

We believe that we and each of our subsidiaries qualified for the Section 883 Exemption for the year ended December 31, 2009, or our 2009 Year, and we will take this position for U.S. federal income tax return reporting purposes. However, there are legal uncertainties involved in this determination, and therefore, no assurance can be given that the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, will accept our position. Further, we can give no assurance regarding our continued qualification for the Section 883 Exemption due to the factual nature of determining whether the exemption applies for any taxable year. If we or our subsidiaries are not entitled to the Section 883 Exemption for a taxable year, we or our subsidiaries generally would be subject to a 4% U.S. federal gross income tax on our U.S. source transportation income for such year. The imposition of this taxation would result in decreased earnings available for distribution to our shareholders and could have a negative effect on our business. Please refer to Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Taxation of the Company for additional information related to the Section 883 Exemption.

U.S. tax authorities could treat us as a passive foreign investment company, which could have adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. shareholders.

A non-U.S. entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes will be treated as a passive foreign investment company, or a PFIC, for such purposes in any taxable year for which either (i) at least 75% of its gross income consists of certain types of passive income or (ii) at least 50% of the average value of the corporation's assets produce, or are held for the production of, those types of passive income. For purposes of these tests, passive income includes rents and royalties (other than rents and royalties that are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business) and does not include income derived from the performance of services.

Based on the current and expected composition of our assets and income (and that of our subsidiaries), we believe that we were not a PFIC for our 2009 Year, and we do not expect to become a PFIC with respect to any other taxable year. However, there are legal uncertainties involved in this determination, including *Tidewater Inc. v. United States*, 565 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2009), in which the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit concluded that certain time charters were appropriately characterized as leases rather than service agreements for purposes of the foreign sales corporation provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code. While the court's conclusion was contrary to the position of the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, that the time charters should be treated as services agreements, the *Tidewater* decision may heighten the risk of a challenge regarding our status and the status of shipping companies that engage in time chartering operations generally. Therefore, no assurance can be given that the IRS will accept our position. Further, our PFIC status for

Table of Contents

any taxable year will not be determinable until after the end of such taxable year, and accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will not be treated as PFIC for any future taxable year. If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, our U.S. shareholders would face adverse tax consequences. For a more comprehensive discussion regarding our status as a PFIC and the tax consequences to U.S. shareholders if we are treated as a PFIC, please read Item 10. Additional Information E. Taxation U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations U.S. Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Shareholders PFIC Status and Significant Tax Consequences.

The preferential tax rates applicable to qualified dividend income are temporary.

Certain of our distributions may be treated as qualified dividend income eligible for preferential rates of U.S. federal income tax to individual U.S. shareholders (and certain other U.S. shareholders). In the absence of legislation extending the term for these preferential tax rates, all dividends received by such U.S. shareholders in tax years beginning on January 1, 2011 or later will be taxed at graduated tax rates applicable to ordinary income.

We may become a resident of Canada and have to pay tax in Canada on our worldwide income, which could reduce our earnings, and shareholders could then become taxable in Canada in respect of their ownership of our shares. Moreover, as a non-resident of Canada we may have to pay tax in Canada on our Canadian source income, which could reduce our earnings.

Under the Income Tax Act (Canada), or the Canada Tax Act, a corporation that is resident in Canada is subject to tax in Canada on its worldwide income, and shareholders of a corporation resident in Canada may be subject to Canadian capital gains tax on a disposition of its shares and to Canadian withholding tax on dividends paid in respect of such shares.

Our place of residence, under Canadian law, would generally be determined on the basis of where our central management and control are, in fact, exercised. It is not our current intention that our central management and control be exercised in Canada but, even if it were, there is a specific statutory exemption under the Canada Tax Act that provides that a corporation incorporated, or otherwise formed, under the laws of a country other than Canada will not be resident in Canada in a taxation year if its principal business is the operation of ships that are used primarily in transporting passengers or goods in international traffic, all or substantially all of its gross revenue for the year consists of gross revenue from the operation of ships in transporting passengers or goods in that international traffic, and it was not granted articles of continuance in Canada before the end of the year.

Based on our operations, we do not believe that we are, nor do we expect to be, resident in Canada for purposes of the Canada Tax Act, and we intend that our affairs will be conducted and operated in a manner such that we do not become a resident of Canada under the Canada Tax Act. However, if we were or become resident in Canada, we would be or become subject under the Canada Tax Act to Canadian income tax on our worldwide income. Further, shareholders who are non-residents of Canada may be or become subject under the Canada Tax Act to tax in Canada on any gains realized on the disposition of our shares and would be or become subject to Canadian withholding tax on dividends paid or deemed to be paid by us, subject to any relief that may be available under a tax treaty or convention.

Generally, a corporation that is not resident in Canada will be taxable in Canada on income it earns from carrying on a business in Canada and on gains from the disposition of property used in a business carried on in Canada. However, there are specific statutory exemptions under the Canada Tax Act that provide that income earned in Canada by a non-resident corporation from the operation of a ship in international traffic, and gains realized from the disposition of ships used principally in international traffic, are not included in a non-resident corporation's income for Canadian tax purposes where the corporation's country of residence grants substantially similar relief to a Canadian resident. A Canadian resident corporation that carries on an international shipping business, as described in the previous sentence, in the Republic of the Marshall Islands is exempt from income tax under the current laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

Table of Contents

We expect that we will qualify for these statutory exemptions under the Canada Tax Act. Based on our operations, we do not believe that we are, nor do we expect to be, carrying on a business in Canada for purposes of the Canada Tax Act other than a business that would provide us with these statutory exemptions from Canadian income tax. However, these statutory exemptions are contingent upon reciprocal treatment being provided under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. If in the future as a non-resident of Canada, we are carrying on a business in Canada that is not exempt from Canadian income tax, or these statutory exemptions are not accessible due to changes in the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands or otherwise, we would be subject to Canadian income tax on our non-exempt income earned in Canada which could reduce our earnings available for distribution to shareholders.

Please read **Additional Information E. Taxation Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences** for a discussion of expected material Canadian federal income tax consequences of owning and disposing of our common shares.

We, or any of our subsidiaries, may become subject to income tax in one or more non-U.S. jurisdictions, including Hong Kong, which would reduce our earnings, if under the laws of any such jurisdiction, we or such subsidiary is considered to be carrying on a trade or business in such jurisdiction or earn income that is considered to be sourced in such jurisdiction and we do not or such subsidiary does not qualify for an exemption.

We intend that our affairs and the business of each of our subsidiaries will be conducted and operated in a manner that minimizes income taxes imposed upon us and our subsidiaries. However, there is a risk that we will be subject to income tax in one or more jurisdictions, including Hong Kong, if under the laws of any such jurisdiction, we or such subsidiary is considered to be carrying on a trade or business there or earn income that is considered to be sourced there and we do not or such subsidiary does not qualify for an exemption.

The question of whether we or our subsidiaries will be treated as carrying on a trade or business, or generating income that is sourced, in any particular jurisdiction, including Hong Kong, will be largely a question of fact to be determined based upon an analysis of our contractual arrangements and the way we conduct business or operations, all of which may change over time. Furthermore, the laws of Hong Kong or any other jurisdiction may change in a manner that causes that jurisdiction's taxing authorities to determine that we are carrying on a trade or business in, or generating income that is sourced in, such jurisdiction and are subject to its taxation laws. Any taxes imposed on us or our subsidiaries will reduce our earnings.

Item 4. Information on the Company

A. History and Development of the Company

We are Seaspan Corporation, a Marshall Islands corporation that was incorporated on May 3, 2005. We are an owner of containerships and we charter them pursuant to long-term, fixed-rate time charters to major container liner companies. As of December 31, 2009, we owned and operated a fleet of 42 containerships and have entered into contracts for the purchase of an additional 21 containerships and contracts to lease an additional five containerships. Customers for our current operating fleet are CSCL Asia, HL USA, APM, COSCON, CSAV and MOL. Customers for the additional 26 vessels will include MOL, Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd., or K-Line, CSAV, and COSCON. Our primary objective is to continue to grow our business through accretive acquisitions over the mid to long-term and as market conditions allow.

We deploy all our vessels on long-term, fixed-rate time charters to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are typically associated with long-term time charters. As of December 31, 2009, the charters on the 42 vessels in our operating fleet had an average remaining term of 7.1 years plus certain options.

We maintain our principal executive offices at Unit 2, 7th Floor, Bupa Centre, 141 Connaught Road West, Hong Kong, China. Our telephone number is (852) 2540-1686.

Table of Contents

B. Business Overview

General

Our business is to own containerships, charter them pursuant to long-term, fixed-rate charters and seek additional accretive vessel acquisitions over the mid to long-term and as market conditions allow. We deploy all our vessels on long-term, fixed-rate time charters to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are typically associated with long-term time charters.

As of December 31, 2009 we owned and operated a fleet of 42 containerships and have entered into contracts to purchase an additional 21 containerships and to lease an additional five containerships. The average age of the 42 vessels in our fleet was 4.9 years as of December 31, 2009. Please read [Information on the Company](#) [D. Property, Plants and Equipment](#) [Our Fleet](#) for more information.

Our customer selection process is targeted at well-established container liner companies that charter-in vessels on a long-term basis as part of their fleet expansion strategy. Currently, 22 containerships in our fleet are under time charters with CSCL Asia. CSCL Asia, a British Virgin Islands company, is a subsidiary of CSCL. Nine containerships in our current fleet are under time charters with HL USA, an affiliate of TUI AG, or TUI. Our four 4800 TEU vessels are chartered to APM. Our two 3500 TEU vessels are under time charters with COSCON. Two of our 4250 TEU vessels are under time charters with CSAV. Our three 5100 TEU vessels are under time charters with MOL. The 26 containerships that we have contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, will similarly be chartered on a long-term basis to well established container liner companies.

Most of our charterers' container shipping business revenues are derived from the shipment of goods from the Asia Pacific region, primarily China, to various overseas export markets in the United States and in Europe.

Our Manager and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries provide technical, administrative and strategic services necessary to support our business. Our Manager provides a variety of ship management services, including purchasing supplies, crewing, vessel maintenance, insurance procurement and claims handling, inspections, and ensuring compliance with flag, class and other statutory requirements. In addition to the ship management services provided to us, our Manager also provides limited ship management services to Dennis R. Washington's personal vessel owning companies.

As of December 31, 2009, our Manager and its subsidiaries employed approximately 1,600 seagoing staff and approximately 100 shore staff. We expect our Manager and its subsidiaries will hire additional employees as we grow. We believe our Manager and its subsidiaries provide its seafarers competitive employment packages and comprehensive benefits and opportunities for career development.

We believe our Manager achieves high standards of technical ship management by pursuing risk reduction, operational reliability and safety. Our Manager achieves its standards by employing the following methods, among others:

developing a minimum competency standard for seagoing staff;

standardizing equipment used throughout the fleet, thus promoting efficiency and economies of scale;

implementing a voluntary vessel condition and maintenance monitoring program (our Manager was the first in the world to achieve accreditation by Det Norske Veritas on its hull planned maintenance system);

recruiting officers and ratings through an affiliate based in India that has a record of employee loyalty and high retention rates among its employees;

implementing an incentive system to reward staff for the safe operation of vessels; and

initiating and developing a cadet training program.

Table of Contents

Our Manager's personnel have experience in overseeing new vessel construction, vessel conversions and general marine engineering. The core management of our Manager has worked in various companies in the international ship management industry, including China Merchants Group, Neptune Orient Lines, Teekay Shipping, Safmarine Container Lines and Columbia Ship Management. Our Manager's staff has skills in all aspects of ship management, including design and operations and marine engineering, among others. A number of senior officers also have sea-going experience, having served aboard vessels at a senior rank. Our crews are directly selected by our Manager and hired by its crew management affiliate, SCML. In all training programs, our Manager places an emphasis on safety and regularly trains its crew members and other employees in order to ensure that our high standards can continuously be met. Shore-based personnel and crew members are trained to be prepared for, and are ready to respond to, emergencies related to life, property or the environment.

Our Manager is required to perform its services in a commercially reasonable manner. Our Manager is responsible for and will indemnify us for damages resulting from its obligations or liabilities under the management agreements, breaches of the agreements, fraud, willful misconduct, recklessness or gross negligence of our Manager or certain agents (other than the crew) or affiliates of our Manager in the performance of its duties.

Our Manager has agreed not to dispose of those wholly-owned subsidiaries that provide services to us pursuant to the management agreements.

Time Charters

General

We charter our vessels under long-term, fixed-rate time charters. A time charter is a contract for the use of a vessel for a fixed period of time at a specified daily rate. Under a time charter, the vessel owner provides crewing and other services related to the vessel's operation, the cost of which is included in the daily rate; the charterer is responsible for substantially all of the vessel voyage costs.

Each of the vessels in our fleet is subject to a long-term time charter. Currently, 22 containerships in our fleet are subject to charters with CSCL Asia, a subsidiary of CSCL. Nine containerships are subject to charters with HL USA, a subsidiary of Hapag-Lloyd. Four vessels are subject to charters with APM, and two vessels are subject to time charters with COSCON. Two of our 4250 TEU vessels are under time charters with CSAV. Our three 5100 TEU vessels are under time charters with MOL.

With respect to the vessels on charter to HL USA, CP Ships has provided a guarantee of the obligations and liabilities of HL USA under each time charter and Hapag-Lloyd AG has provided a guarantee of the obligations and liabilities of CP Ships under the original guarantee. For the vessels on charter to CSCL Asia, CSCL Hong Kong and CSCL have each provided a guarantee of the obligations and liabilities of CSCL Asia under each time charter.

Each of the 26 vessels that will be delivered over approximately the next 30 months is also subject to a long-term time charter. One containership is subject to a time charter with MOL, two are subject to charters with CSAV, seven are subject to charters with K-Line and the remaining 16 containerships are subject to charters with COSCON.

Initial Term; Extensions

The initial term for a time charter commences on the vessel's delivery to the charterer. Under all of our time charters, the charterer may also extend the term for periods in which the vessel is off-hire. APM has a very specific right to terminate its charters prior to expiration of the original term, as described in more detail below.

Table of Contents

The charter periods of the 22 vessels in our current fleet that are chartered to CSCL Asia are as follows. Five of the charters for the 4250 TEU vessels have initial terms of ten years each with options, exercisable by the charterer, to extend the term of each charter for an additional two years. The two charters for the 8500 TEU vessels have initial terms of 12 years with options, in favor of the charterer, to extend the term of each charter for an additional three years. The two charters for the 9600 TEU vessels have terms of 12 years, the charters for the remaining five 4250 TEU vessels in our current fleet chartered to CSCL Asia and the eight 2500 TEU vessels in our current fleet that are chartered to CSCL Asia, are all subject to charters of 12 years.

The charter periods of the four 4800 TEU vessels in our current fleet that are chartered to APM have initial terms of five years, two consecutive one-year options and a final two-year option; however, APM had the option to reduce initial terms on one or two vessels by up to nine months from the five year term, so long as it increased an initial period which is correspondingly beyond five years for the same number of vessels. APM did not exercise this option.

The charter periods for the two 3500 TEU vessels in our current fleet that are chartered to COSCON are 12 years. The charter period for each of the eight 8500 TEU vessels that will be constructed and chartered to COSCON is 12 years with three one-year options. The charter period for each of the eight 13100 TEU vessels that will be constructed and chartered to COSCON is 12 years with no option to extend beyond the initial term.

The charter periods for the three 5100 TEU vessels in our current fleet and the remaining 5100 TEU vessel under construction that are chartered or will be chartered to MOL, as the case may be, are subject to 12 years charters. There is no option to extend beyond the initial term.

The initial term of each of the time charters with HL USA for nine of our 4250 TEU vessels is three years. HL USA has the right to extend each of the charters for up to an additional seven years in successive one-year extensions. Each one-year extension is automatic, unless HL USA provides written notice to the contrary to us not later than two years prior to the commencement of the respective extension period. If HL USA provides notice of its intention not to extend a time charter at the end of its initial three-year term, it must pay to us, at the end of the term, a termination fee of approximately \$8.0 million per vessel. The termination fee declines by \$1.0 million per vessel in years four through nine. If the term of a time charter is extended for the full ten years, HL USA has an option to extend the term for two additional one-year periods.

In the case of our charters with HL USA, while the initial term is only three years, we consider these charters to be long-term charters. This is because HL USA is required to pay a termination fee of approximately \$8.0 million to terminate a charter at the end of the initial term and the charters automatically renew unless terminated upon two years prior notice. We have not received notice of termination from HL USA for the vessels whose initial term has expired.

The charter periods for the five 4500 TEU vessels that will be constructed and chartered to K-Line are 12 years with two three-year options. The charter periods for the two 2500 TEU vessels that are under construction and will be chartered to K-Line are 10 years.

The charter periods for the two 4250 TEU vessels in our current fleet and the remaining two 4250 TEU vessels that are under construction, which are chartered or will be chartered to CSAV, as the case may be, are six years. There is no option to extend beyond the initial term.

Recently, there have been a number of incidents in the shipping industry of customers attempting to renegotiate existing time charters down to lower rates. In April 2009, we received requests from CSAV to participate in their restructuring plan and renegotiate the payment terms of our charters with them. We declined to participate in the restructuring plan and related renegotiation. On July 30, 2009, we also received a request from Hapag-Lloyd related to the continuation of our charter parties with them on amended terms. We do not intend to renegotiate the main terms of our charter parties with HL USA. Although none of our other customers

Table of Contents

have attempted to renegotiate our time charter rates, it is important to recognize this possibility. For more information on risks associated with customer renegotiation, please see [Risk Factors](#) [Risks Inherent in Our Business](#). The business and activity levels of many of our charterers, shipbuilders and related parties and their respective ability to fulfill their obligations under agreements with us, including payments for the charter of our vessels, may be impacted by the current deterioration in the credit markets. If a more active short-term or spot container shipping market develops, we may have more difficulty entering into long-term, fixed-rate time charters and our existing customers may begin to pressure us to reduce our charter rates. Our growth depends on our ability to expand relationships with existing charterers and obtain new charterers, for which we will face substantial competition and Forty of the vessels in our current or contracted fleet are or will be chartered to Chinese customers. The legal system in China is not fully developed and has inherent uncertainties that could limit the legal protections available to us, and the geopolitical risks associated with chartering vessels to Chinese customers could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Hire Rate

Hire rate refers to the basic payment from the charterer for the use of the vessel. Under all of our time charters, hire rate is payable, in advance, in U.S. dollars, as specified in the charter.

In the case of all of our time charters, if a vessel's speed is reduced as a result of a defect or breakdown of the hull, machinery or equipment, hire rates under that particular time charter may be reduced by the cost of the time lost and extra fuel consumed for that particular incident. Historically, we have had no instances of hire rate reductions.

Under the time charters with CSCL Asia, the hire rate is payable in advance every 15 days at the applicable daily rate. Generally, the hire rate is a fixed daily amount that increases by a fixed amount at varying intervals during the term of the charter and/or any extension to the term.

Under the time charters with HL USA, the hire rate is payable monthly in advance at the applicable daily rate. The hire rate consists of two general components: a fixed hire rate component and a fixed payment for services. Pursuant to the management services agreement entered into by certain of the 23 subsidiaries previously owned by SCLL, but which were subsequently sold to a third party, or the VesselCos., with our Manager and HL USA, HL USA agreed to make certain payments toward operating expenses directly to our Manager under the direction of the VesselCos. These rights were assigned to us on completion of our purchase of the vessel owned by each such VesselCo. Both components are fixed for the first three years of the charters and for the seven extension years and increase for the two subsequent extension terms.

Similarly to the time charters with CSCL Asia, under the time charters with COSCON, the hire rate is payable in advance every 15 days at the applicable fixed daily rate.

The hire rate under the time charters with MOL is payable monthly in advance at the applicable fixed daily rate, the hire rate with K-Line is payable semi-monthly in advance at the applicable fixed daily rate and the hire rate with APM and CSAV is payable in advance on the 10th of each month at the applicable fixed daily rate. In each case, for any part of a payment period, the approximate amount of the hire rate is to be paid on the relevant due date with any outstanding balance to be paid day by day as it becomes due unless a bank guarantee or deposit is made by the relevant charterer.

Operations and Expenses

Our Manager operates our vessels and is responsible for ship operating expenses, which include technical management, crewing, repairs and maintenance, insurance, stores, lube oils, communication expenses and capital expenses, which include normally scheduled dry-docking of the vessels. Please read [Information on the](#)

Table of Contents

Company B. Business Overview Management Related Agreements for a description of the material terms of the management agreements. The charterer generally pays the voyage expenses, which include all expenses relating to particular voyages, including any bunker fuel expenses, port fees, cargo loading and unloading expenses, canal tolls, agency fees and commissions.

Off-hire

Under all forms of our time charters, when the vessel is off-hire, or not available for service, the charterer generally is not required to pay the hire rate, and we are responsible for all costs, including the cost of fuel bunkers unless the charterer is responsible for the circumstances giving rise to the lack of availability. A vessel generally will be deemed to be off-hire if there is an occurrence preventing the full working of the vessel due to, among other things:

operational deficiencies not due to actions of the charterers;

dry-docking for repairs, maintenance or inspection;

equipment breakdowns;

delays due to accidents;

crewing strikes, labor boycotts, certain vessel detentions or similar problems; or

our failure to maintain the vessel in compliance with its specifications and contractual standards or to provide the required crew. Under our time charters with HL USA, if a vessel is delayed, detained or arrested for 30 consecutive days due to engine or essential gear breakdown, strikes, labor stoppages, boycotts or blockades, or is requisitioned, or other causes affecting the vessel's schedule, other than grounding, collision or similar causes, we must charter a substitute vessel and we must pay any difference in hire cost of the charter for the duration of the substitution. Under our time charters with COSCON for the 3500 TEU vessels, if a vessel is placed off-hire for 30 cumulative days in a 365 day period, COSCON may cancel the time charter with respect to that vessel. Under our time charters with COSCON for the 8500 TEU vessels and the 13100 TEU vessels, if a vessel is placed off-hire for 45 cumulative days in a 365 day period, COSCON may cancel the time charter with respect to that vessel. Under our time charters with MOL and APM, if a vessel is off-hire for more than 60 consecutive days, the charterer has a right to terminate the charter agreement for that vessel. Under our time charters with CSAV, if a vessel is off-hire for more than 15 days and if we estimate that such off-hire is to last longer than 45 days, CSAV has the right to terminate the charter party. If a vessel chartered to K-Line is off-hire more than 50 consecutive days, K-Line has the option to cancel the time charter. CSCL Asia does not have similar rights under its charters with us.

Ship Management and Maintenance

Under each of our time charters, we are responsible for the operation and management of each vessel, including maintaining the vessel, periodic dry-docking, cleaning and painting and performing work required by regulations. Our Manager provides these services to us pursuant to the management agreements between us. Please read Information on the Company B. Business Overview Management Related Agreements for a description of the material terms of the management agreements.

Termination and Suspension

We are generally entitled to withdraw the vessel from service to the charterers if the charterer defaults in its payment obligations, without prejudice to other claims for hire against the charterers. Some of our charterers also have the right to terminate the time charters in circumstances other than extended periods of off-hire as noted above. Under our time charters with HL USA, if a vessel consistently fails to perform to a warranted speed or the amount of fuel consumed to power the vessel under normal circumstances exceeds a warranted amount, and we

Table of Contents

are unable to rectify the situation within a reasonable period of time or otherwise reach a mutually acceptable settlement, HL USA has the right to terminate the time charter with respect to that vessel. Under our time charters with COSCON, if a vessel consistently fails to perform to a specified, mutually agreed speed, and we are unable to rectify the situation within a reasonable period of time or otherwise reach a mutually acceptable settlement, COSCON has the right to terminate the time charter with respect to that vessel. APM, MOL, CSCL Asia, CSAV and K-Line do not have similar rights under their charters with us.

Change of Control

Under our time charters with HL USA, HL USA's prior consent is required to make any material change in our ownership or voting control. HL USA cannot unreasonably withhold such consent. None of CSCL Asia, APM, MOL, COSCON, CSAV or K-Line have similar rights under their charters with us.

Sale of Vessels

Several of our time charters with CSCL Asia allow us to sell the vessels under time charters to any party as long as the warranties under the time charters remain unaffected. The remaining time charters with CSCL Asia allow us to sell the vessels under the time charters to any party as long as we obtain the charterer's prior consent. CSCL Asia cannot unreasonably withhold such consent.

In the event we wish to sell one of the vessels under a time charter with HL USA, we must first notify HL USA and provide HL USA with an opportunity to purchase the vessel. If HL USA refuses to purchase the vessel or if we are unable to reach an agreement with HL USA within 14 days, we will be free to conclude a sale with another party subject to certain terms.

Our time charters with COSCON, MOL, K-Line and CSAV allow us to sell the vessels under time charters to any buyer suitable to fulfill the charter, but only when justified by circumstances and subject to charterer's consent, which cannot be unreasonably withheld. In addition, under our time charters with CSAV, we must give CSAV 50 days notice of our intent to transfer ownership.

There is no similar provision in the time charters with APM relating to the sale of the vessels.

Charterers

The following information about our charterers is as of December 31, 2009:

Charterer	Number of Vessels in our current operating fleet	Number of Vessels to be delivered	Total vessels upon all deliveries
CSCL Asia	22		22
HL USA	9		9
APM	4		4
COSCON	2	16	18
CSAV	2	2	4
MOL	3	1	4
K-Line		7	7
Total Competition	42	26	68

We operate in markets that are highly competitive and based primarily on supply and demand. We compete for charters based upon price, customer relationships, operating expertise, professional reputation and size, age and condition of the vessel.

Table of Contents

Competition for providing new containership service comes from a number of experienced shipping companies. Some of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources than we do and can therefore operate larger fleets and may be able to offer better charter rates. An increasing number of marine transportation companies have entered the containership sector, including many with strong reputations and extensive resources and experience. This increased competition may cause greater price competition for time charters. In addition, a potential oversupply of containerships, resulting from the relatively large number of newbuildings currently on order, coupled with a decrease in demand for containerships, resulting from the recent global economic downturn, may further increase the competition for time charters.

Seasonality

Our vessels operate under long-term charters and are not subject to the effect of seasonal variations in demand.

Management Related Agreements

The following summary of the material terms of the management related agreements does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the management agreements, omnibus agreement, the employment agreement with Gerry Wang, as amended, the employment agreement with Graham Porter and the change of control plan. Capitalized words and expressions used herein and defined in the management agreements shall have the same meaning herein as therein defined. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read each of the management agreements, the entire omnibus agreement, the employment agreement with Gerry Wang, as amended, the employment agreement with Graham Porter and the change of control agreement, each of which is incorporated herein by reference.

Certain aspects of our operations, including the management of our fleet, are performed by our Manager under the supervision of our board of directors. Our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer have been made available to us by our Manager to manage our day-to-day operations and affairs. Our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and others, including our Manager and its affiliated companies, report to our board of directors regarding strategic, administrative and technical management matters.

Our Manager, Seaspan Management Services Limited, is owned primarily by trusts established for members of the Washington family and an entity indirectly owned by Gerry Wang and Graham Porter. Mr. Wang is our chief executive officer and a member of our board of directors. Mr. Porter is a director and officer of both our Manager and SCLL.

Management Agreements

Substantially all of the management services for our vessels are provided by our Manager, the provision of which is currently governed by seven management agreements:

the amended and restated management agreement for our initial fleet chartered to CSCL Asia and HL USA and the 4800 TEU vessels chartered to APM, or the Initial Management Agreement;

the vessel management agreement for the 5100 TEU vessels chartered to MOL, or the 5100 Management Agreement;

the vessel management agreement for eight of ten 2500 TEU vessels, which are chartered to CSCL Asia, and the 3500 TEU vessels chartered to COSCON, or the 2500/3500 Management Agreement;

the vessel management agreement for two of ten 2500 TEU vessels, which are chartered to K-Line, the four 4250 TEU vessels chartered to CSAV and the eight 8500 TEU vessels chartered to COSCON, or the 2500/4250/8500 Management Agreement;

Table of Contents

the vessel management agreement for two of our 13100 TEU vessels chartered to COSCON with hull numbers 2177 and S452, or the 2177/S452 Management Agreement;

the vessel management agreement for two of our 13100 TEU vessels chartered to COSCON with hull numbers 2178 and S453, or the 2178/S453 Management Agreement; and

the vessel management agreement for two of our 13100 TEU vessels chartered to COSCON with hull numbers 2179 and S454, or the 2179/S454 Management Agreement.

Under our management agreements, our Manager is responsible for providing us with certain services, in each case, at the direction of our board of directors, which include the following:

technical services, which include managing day-to-day vessel operations, arranging general vessel maintenance, ensuring regulatory compliance and compliance with the law of the flag of each vessel and of the places where the vessel trades, ensuring classification society compliance, supervising the maintenance and general efficiency of vessels, arranging our hire of qualified officers and crew, arranging for the training, transportation, compensation and insurance of the crew (including processing all claims), arranging normally scheduled dry-docking and general and routine repairs, arranging insurance for vessels (including marine hull and machinery insurance, protection and indemnity insurance and risks and crew insurance), purchasing stores, supplies, spares, lubricating oil and maintenance capital expenditures for vessels, appointing supervisors and technical consultants and providing technical support, shoreside support, and attending to all other technical matters necessary to run our business (provision of technical services and related costs are paid for by our Manager at its cost and in return for its technical services, our Manager receives fixed daily technical services fees);

administrative services, which include assistance with the maintenance of our corporate books and records, payroll services, the assistance with the preparation of our tax returns (and paying all vessel taxes) and financial statements, assistance with corporate and regulatory compliance matters not related to our vessels, procuring legal and accounting services (including the preparation of all necessary budgets for us for submission to our board of directors), assistance in complying with the U.S. and other relevant securities laws, human resources, cash management and bookkeeping services, development and monitoring of internal audit controls, disclosure controls and information technology, assistance with all regulatory and reporting functions and obligations, furnishing any reports or financial information that might be requested by us and other non-vessel related administrative services (including all annual, quarterly, current and other reports we are required to file with the SEC pursuant to the Exchange Act, assistance with office space, providing legal and financial compliance services, overseeing banking services (including the opening, closing, operation and management of all our accounts including making any deposits and withdrawals reasonably necessary for the management of our business and day-to-day operations), procuring general insurance and director and officer liability insurance, arranging for the licensing to us of the tradename Seaspan and associated logos, providing all administrative services required for subsequent debt and equity financings and attending to all other administrative matters necessary to ensure the professional management of our business; and

strategic services, which include chartering our vessels, managing our relationships with our charter parties, identifying and negotiating the purchase and sale of vessels and arranging for financing of such vessels, providing general strategic planning services and implementing corporate strategy, providing business development services, developing acquisition and divestiture strategies, working on the integration of any acquired business, providing pre-delivery services for vessels, including overseeing and supervising the plan approval and construction of new vessels and negotiating shipbuilding contracts and liaising with the shipbuilders, and providing such other strategic, corporate planning, business development and advisory services as we may reasonably identify from time to time.

Generally, our Manager is responsible for paying for all costs associated with the provision of technical services but is not responsible for certain extraordinary costs and expenses, which consist of repairs for accidents; non-routine dry-docking; any improvement, structural change, installation of new equipment imposed

Table of Contents

by compulsory legislation; increase in crew employment and support expenses resulting from an introduction of new, or change in the interpretation of, applicable laws; or any other similar costs, liabilities and expenses that were not reasonably contemplated by us and our Manager as being encompassed by or a component of the technical services fee at the time the fee was determined. We carry insurance coverage consistent with industry standards for certain matters but we cannot assure you that our insurance will be adequate to cover all extraordinary costs and expenses. Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any extraordinary costs and expenses are caused by our Manager's fraud, willful misconduct, recklessness or gross negligence, our Manager will be responsible for them. For vessels other than those in our initial contracted fleet, we will pay for the pre-delivery purchase of stores, spares, lubricating oils, supplies, equipment and services related to the delivery of the relevant vessels and for fees associated with the classification society or registration under the relevant flag.

Subject to certain termination rights, the initial term of the management agreements will expire on December 31, 2025. If not terminated, the management agreements shall automatically renew for a five-year period and shall thereafter be extended in additional five-year increments if we do not provide notice of termination in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year immediately preceding the end of the respective term.

Reporting Structure

Our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer have been made available to us by our Manager to manage our day-to-day operations and affairs. Pursuant to his employment agreement described below, our chief executive officer devotes substantially all of his time to us and our Manager on our business and affairs. Our chief financial officer also devotes substantially all of his business time to us and our Manager on our business and affairs. Our Manager reports to our board of directors through our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer and operates our business. Our board of directors and our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have responsibility for overall corporate strategy, acquisitions, financing and investor relations. Our chief executive officer and chief financial officer utilize the resources of our Manager to run our business.

Compensation of Our Manager

In return for its technical management of our ships, our Manager receives a daily fixed fee per vessel payable on a monthly basis once the vessels have been delivered. During 2008, in accordance with the terms of the management agreements, we negotiated with the Manager and agreed to the fixed fees for the three year period commencing January 1, 2009. Effective January 1, 2009, the fixed fees were as follows:

Vessel Class (TEU)	Shipbuilder	Charterer	Daily Fixed Fee per Vessel
13100	HHI and HSHI	COSCON	\$ 8,336
9600	Samsung	CSCL Asia	\$ 7,406
8500	Samsung	CSCL Asia	\$ 6,699
8500	HHI	COSCON	\$ 7,410
5100	HHI	MOL	\$ 6,482
4800	Odense-Lindo	APM	\$ 7,848
4500	Samsung	K-Line	\$ 6,916
4250	Samsung	CSCL Asia	\$ 5,526 ⁽¹⁾
4250	Samsung	CSCL Asia and HL USA	\$ 5,423 ⁽²⁾
4250	New Jiangsu	CSAV	\$ 5,411
3500	Zhejiang	COSCON	\$ 5,242
2500	Jiangsu	K-Line	\$ 5,187
2500	Jiangsu	CSCL Asia	\$ 5,118

(1) This rate applies to each of the 4250 TEU vessels constructed by Samsung and chartered to CSCL Asia with a hull number ranging from 1342 through 1349.

(2) This rate applies to each of the 4250 TEU vessels constructed by Samsung and chartered to CSCL Asia or HL USA with a hull number ranging from 1493 to 1552.

Table of Contents

The fixed fees listed above are in effect through December 31, 2011 and thereafter will be subject to renegotiation every three years. We believe these are fair market fees.

With respect to fee renegotiation, if our Manager and the board of directors are unable to reach an agreement, an arbitrator will determine the fair market fee. In the event that we acquire an additional vessel, the technical services fee in respect of that vessel will be the same fee as is applicable to vessels of the same size. If there is a material difference in the operating costs associated with the new vessel, or if there are no vessels of a similar size already owned by us, we will negotiate a fair market fee with our Manager. If we are unable to reach an agreement, an arbitrator will determine the fair market fee.

In return for providing us with strategic and administrative services, our Manager is entitled to a service fee not exceeding a maximum of \$6,000 per month, and, except for certain supervisory services, to reimbursement for all of the reasonable costs and expenses incurred by it and its affiliates in providing us with such services. With respect to the reimbursement of certain costs and expenses for the provision of certain services related to the supervision of construction of our vessels, the Manager is paid a fixed amount per vessel, which fee is based on vessel class and has been agreed upon with our Manager. Our Manager provides these supervisory services to us directly but may subcontract certain of these services to other entities, including its affiliates. The management agreements provide that we have the right to audit the costs and expenses billed to us and also provides for a third party to settle any billing disputes between us and our Manager.

In connection with providing us strategic services, our Manager's affiliate acquired 100 incentive shares for \$1,000 concurrently with our initial public offering. The incentive shares are entitled to a share of incremental dividends, based on specified sharing ratios, once dividends on our common shares reach certain specified targets, beginning with the first target of \$0.485 per share, and the Company has an adequate operating surplus to pay such a dividend. On January 22, 2010, we announced a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.10 per common share. Under the terms of the Initial Management Agreement, we have the right to reacquire the incentive shares from our Manager's affiliate at a nominal price under specified circumstances and we have the obligation to reacquire them at a price determined by independent parties under other specified circumstances.

The following table further details this allocation among the common and incentive shares:

	Quarterly Common Share Dividend Target Amount	Allocation of Incremental Operating Surplus Paid as a Dividend	
		Common Shares	Incentive Shares
Below First Target	up to \$0.485	100%	0%
First Target	above \$0.485 up to \$0.550	90%	10%
Second Target	above \$0.550 up to \$0.675	80%	20%
Third Target	above \$0.675	75%	25%

The table below illustrates the percentage allocations of operating surplus distributed between the common shares and the incentive shares as a result of certain quarterly dividend amounts per common share. The amounts presented below are intended to be illustrative of the way in which the incentive shares are entitled to an increasing share of dividends based on the target dividend levels described above as total dividends increase. This is not intended to represent a prediction of future performance.

Quarterly Dividend Per Common Share	Common Share Dividend as Percentage of Total Dividends	Incentive Share Dividend as Percentage of Total Dividends
\$0.485	100.00	0.00
\$0.550	98.70	1.30
\$0.675	94.61	5.39
\$0.750	92.20	7.80
\$1.000	87.20	12.80

Table of Contents

Omnibus Agreement

We have entered into an agreement with our Manager, certain of our Manager's subsidiaries that provide services to us, Norsk, a company within the Washington Marine Group, and Seaspan International, a company that owns substantially all of the Washington Companies' marine transportation shipyards and ship management entities. The following discussion describes the provisions of the omnibus agreement.

Non-competition

Our Manager, Norsk, and Seaspan International have agreed, and have caused their controlled affiliates (other than us and our subsidiaries) to agree, directly or indirectly, not to engage in or otherwise acquire or invest in any business involved in the chartering or rechartering of containerhips to others, hereinafter referred to as the containerhip business, during the term of our Initial Management Agreement, except as provided below. Containerhips includes any ocean-going vessel that is intended primarily to transport containers or is being used primarily to transport containers. In the event that our Initial Management Agreement is terminated as a result of the breach thereof by our Manager or if our Manager elects to terminate our Initial Management Agreement pursuant to its optional termination right, the term of the non-competition agreement shall survive for two years from such date. The non-competition agreement does not prevent Seaspan International, Norsk, our Manager or any of their controlled affiliates (other than us and our subsidiaries) from:

acquiring and subsequently operating assets that are within the definition of containerhip business as part of a business if a majority of the fair market value of the acquisition is not attributable to the containerhip business. However, if at any time a party completes such an acquisition, it must offer to sell the assets that are attributable to the containerhip business to us for their fair market value plus any additional tax or other similar costs to the acquiring party that would be required to transfer such assets to us separately from the acquired business;

solely with respect to Seaspan International, acquiring and subsequently operating assets that are within the definition of containerhip business that relate to discussions, negotiations or agreements that occurred prior to the date of our initial public offering; provided, however, that Seaspan International must offer to sell the assets to us within one year from the acquisition date valued at their fully built-up cost, which represents the aggregate expenditures incurred by Seaspan International to acquire and bring such assets to the condition and location necessary for our intended use;

collectively with Gerry Wang, Graham Porter and the controlled affiliates of Seaspan International, Norsk and our Manager, acquiring up to a 9.9% equity ownership, voting or profit participation for investment purposes only in any publicly traded entity that is engaged in the containerhip business;

acquiring operating assets that are within the definition of containerhip business pursuant to the right of first offer after our Initial Management Agreement is terminated;

acquiring, and subsequently operating, containerhips that we do not purchase pursuant to the terms of the asset purchase agreement;

acquiring, and subsequently operating, containerhips with a capacity of less than 1000 TEU; or

providing technical ship management services relating to containerhips.

Rights of First Offer on Containerhips

Our Manager and Seaspan International and their controlled affiliates have granted us a 30-day right of first offer on any proposed sale, transfer or other disposition of any assets that fall within the definition of containerhip business they might own. This right of first offer does not apply to a sale, transfer or other disposition of vessels between any affiliates, or pursuant to the terms of any charter or other agreement with a

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

charterer. Our right of first offer is in effect during the term of our Initial Management Agreement and, unless termination is for Company Breach or we terminate pursuant to our early termination right or optional termination right, shall extend for a two year period following its termination.

Table of Contents

Prior to any disposition of assets that fall within the definition of containership business, Seaspan International, our Manager and their controlled affiliates, as appropriate, must deliver a written notice setting forth the material terms and conditions of any proposed sale, transfer or disposition of the assets. During the 30-day period after the delivery of such notice, we will negotiate in good faith with Seaspan International, our Manager or their controlled affiliates, as appropriate, to reach an agreement on the transaction. If an agreement is not reached within such 30-day period, Seaspan International or our Manager, as the case may be, will be able within the next 180 days to sell, transfer or dispose of such assets to a third party (or to agree in writing to undertake such transaction with a third party) on terms generally no less favorable to the selling party than those offered pursuant to the written notice.

Our Manager and Seaspan International have a similar 30-day right of first offer on any of our assets that fall within the definition of containership business for a period beginning on the date of the termination of our Initial Management Agreement and extending for a period of two years, unless such agreement is terminated as a result of the breach thereof by our Manager or if our Manager exercises its optional termination right, in which case such right of first offer shall not apply.

Employment Agreement with Gerry Wang

Our chief executive officer has entered into an employment agreement with SSML, a subsidiary of our Manager. In connection with our recent offering of Series A Preferred Shares, or our Series A Preferred Share Offering, Mr. Wang and SSML entered into an amended employment agreement to extend the initial term of his employment until December 31, 2013. The employment agreement, as amended, provides that Mr. Wang receive an annual base salary of \$600,000, subject to increases at the discretion of the board of directors of our Manager, half of which is being reimbursed by us under the Initial Management Agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Wang serves as the chief executive officer of SSML and as our chief executive officer. He devotes substantially all of his time to us and our Manager on our business and affairs. The initial term of the agreement expires on December 31, 2013. However, unless written notice is provided between 180 days and 210 days prior to the termination date, the agreement automatically renews on December 31, 2013, and each subsequent year for an additional one-year term. Except in the case of a termination for cause, our Manager cannot terminate the chief executive officer without our prior consent, which cannot be unreasonably withheld.

Mr. Wang has acknowledged in the agreement that by virtue of his employment, he owes fiduciary obligations to us and to SSML. In such case where our interests and those of SSML conflict, Mr. Wang will act in our best interests and such action or inaction in fulfilling his obligations in our respect will not be a breach of his employment agreement with SSML.

Mr. Wang has agreed to be bound by the terms of the omnibus agreement and not to engage in any activity that our Manager is prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the omnibus agreement.

Employment Agreement with Graham Porter

Graham Porter has entered into an employment agreement with Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, or Seaspan Advisory, a subsidiary of our Manager that provides us with strategic services pursuant to the Initial Management Agreement. The agreement provides that Mr. Porter receive an annual base salary of \$200,000, subject to increases at the discretion of the board of directors of our Manager. We reimburse our Manager for one half of this amount under the Initial Management Agreement. Pursuant to this agreement, Mr. Porter serves as the chief executive officer of Seaspan Advisory. While the initial term of the agreement expired on December 31, 2008, the term has continued to automatically renew for a one year period and will continue to do so on December 31 of each subsequent year for an additional one-year term unless written notice of termination is provided between 180 days and 210 days prior to such date. No such termination notice has been provided to date.

Table of Contents

Mr. Porter has agreed to be bound by the terms of the omnibus agreement and not to engage in any activity that our Manager is prohibited from engaging in pursuant to the omnibus agreement.

Change of Control Plan

We established a change of control severance plan, or the Change of Control Plan, for certain employees of our ship manager, SSML, effective as of January 1, 2009. We do not currently have any employees and we rely on the technical, administrative and strategic services provided by our Manager and its affiliates, including SSML. The purpose of the Change of Control Plan is to allow SSML to recruit qualified employees and limit the loss or distraction of such qualified employees that may result from the possibility of a change of control.

Under the terms of the Change of Control Plan, certain employees of SSML, or the Participants, are entitled to receive from us a severance benefit if their employment is terminated due to a qualifying termination. A qualifying termination means a termination by either SSML (if the Participant is terminated for reasons other than cause, death or disability) or by the Participant (if the Participant resigns for good reason, which includes a reduction in base salary or a material diminution in responsibilities, among other things) within a certain period of time following a change of control. A change of control includes:

the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets in certain circumstances;

a transaction where certain persons become the beneficial owner of more than a majority of our common shares;

a change in our directors after which a majority of our board are not continuing directors (as defined in the Change of Control Plan);
or

the consolidation or merger of us with or into any person in certain circumstances.

A change of control does not include certain events involving certain of the original founders of SCLL including Dennis R. Washington, Kyle R. Washington, Kevin L. Washington, Gerry Wang or Graham Porter or any of their respective affiliates.

The time period during which a Participant will be entitled to any benefits under the Change of Control Plan following a change of control and the severance benefit to which he or she will be entitled on a qualifying termination is dependent on the tier in which the Participant is placed in the Change of Control Plan. The Change of Control Plan is composed of three tiers of Participants and the chief executive officer of SSML may add or remove Participants from the Change of Control Plan at any time with our prior written consent.

Tier 1 Participants are entitled to severance benefits on a qualifying termination for a two year period following a change of control and they will receive from us 30 months of their current base salary and bonuses. Tier 2 and Tier 3 Participants are entitled to severance benefits on a qualifying termination for a one year period following a change of control and will receive from us 18 months and 9 months, respectively, of their current base salary and bonus. All Participants will also become fully vested in all outstanding incentive awards in addition to receiving their severance benefits. Participants will also receive certain other benefits, including but not limited to health, dental and life insurance benefits for a three month period subject to the permission of the benefits carrier.

We will require any entity who is our successor to assume and agree to perform our obligations under the Change of Control Plan. The Participants will only be entitled to benefits under the Change of Control Plan upon providing us and SSML with a release and waiver.

Please read the above summary of our Change of Control Plan in conjunction with the Change of Control Plan itself, which is filed as an exhibit hereto.

Table of Contents

Risk of Loss and Insurance

Hull & Machinery, Loss of Hire and War Risks Insurance

We maintain marine hull and machinery and war risks insurance, which covers the risk of actual or constructive total loss and partial loss, for all of our vessels. Each of our vessels is covered up to at least fair market value with certain deductibles per vessel per claim. We achieve this by maintaining nominal increased value coverage for each of our vessels. Under this increased value coverage, in the event of total loss of a vessel, we will be entitled to recover amounts not recoverable under our hull and machinery policy due to under-insurance. We have not obtained, and will not obtain, loss-of-hire insurance covering the loss of revenue during extended off-hire periods. We believe that this type of coverage is not economical and is of limited value to us. However, we evaluate the need for such coverage on an ongoing basis, taking into account insurance market conditions and the employment of our vessels.

Protection and Indemnity Insurance

Protection and indemnity insurance is provided by mutual protection and indemnity associations, or P&I associations, which insure our third-party and crew liabilities in connection with our shipping activities. This includes third-party liability, crew liability and other related expenses resulting from the injury or death of crew, passengers and other third parties, the loss or damage to cargo, claims arising from collisions with other vessels, damage to other third-party property, pollution arising from oil or other substances and salvage, towing and other related costs, including wreck removal. Protection and indemnity insurance is a form of mutual indemnity insurance, extended by protection and indemnity mutual associations. Subject to the capping discussed below, our coverage, except for pollution, is unlimited, but subject to the rules of the particular protection and indemnity insurer.

Our protection and indemnity insurance coverage for pollution is \$1.0 billion per vessel per incident. The thirteen P&I associations that comprise the International Group insure approximately 90% of the world's commercial blue-water tonnage and have entered into a pooling agreement to reinsure each association's liabilities. As a member of a mutual P&I association, which is a member or affiliate of the International Group, we are subject to calls payable to the associations based on the International Group's claim records as well as the claim records of all other members of the individual associations.

Inspection by Classification Societies

Every seagoing vessel must be classed by a classification society. The classification society certifies that the vessel is in class, signifying that the vessel has been built and maintained in accordance with the rules of the classification society and complies with applicable rules and regulations of the vessel's country of registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. In addition, where surveys are required by international conventions and corresponding laws and ordinances of a flag state, the classification society will undertake them on application or by official order, acting on behalf of the authorities concerned.

Each vessel is inspected by a surveyor of the classification society in three surveys of varying frequency and thoroughness: every year for the annual survey, every two to three years for intermediate surveys and every five years for special surveys. Should any defects be found, the classification surveyor will issue a condition of class or a requirement for appropriate repairs that have to be made by the shipowner within the time limit prescribed. Vessels may be required, as part of the annual and intermediate survey process, to be dry-docked for inspection of the underwater portions of the vessel and for necessary repair stemming from the inspection. Special surveys always require dry-docking. The classification society also undertakes on request other surveys and checks that are required by regulations and requirements of the flag state. These surveys are subject to agreements made in each individual case and/or to the regulations of the country concerned.

Table of Contents

Financing Facilities

Our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility, or the \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On August 8, 2005, we entered into a \$1.0 billion secured loan facility agreement with certain lenders. This agreement was amended and restated on May 11, 2007. The amended and restated credit agreement, or the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement, provides for a \$1.3 billion single-tranche senior secured, seven year revolving credit facility. The borrowings of the facility may be used to finance vessel acquisitions, to refinance vessels already acquired by us and for general corporate purposes. The facility maturity date is May 11, 2015.

Our obligations under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement are secured by, among other things, first and second priority mortgages on the vessels of our initial fleet as well as the 4800 TEU vessels. Also, the facility is secured by a first-priority assignment of earnings related to these same vessels, including time-charter revenues, and a first-priority assignment of insurance proceeds.

Until August 11, 2012, we will be able to borrow up to \$1.3 billion without adding additional collateral so long as the total outstanding loan balance remains below 70% of the market value of the vessels, on a without charter basis as required by our credit facility, that are collateralized. In certain circumstances and for a certain period of time, even if our loan to value ratio exceeds 70%, we can borrow under the facility to purchase additional vessels so long as the loan to value ratio does not exceed 80%, or the Overadvance Loan. The vessels purchased will then become additional security under the facility. As of December 31, 2009, we have drawn approximately \$1.0 billion of our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility. Based on a valuation of the vessels financed under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility that was obtained in December of 2009 (which was on a without charter basis as required by our credit facility), we are currently unable to borrow the remaining approximately \$267 million available under this facility.

Beginning on August 11, 2012, the maximum facility amount will be reduced by \$32.5 million per quarter until May 11, 2014. In addition to the previous mentioned reduction and beginning on May 11, 2014, the maximum facility amount will be reduced by \$65.0 million per quarter until May 11, 2015, when the outstanding loan balance will be due and payable. We have the right, subject to certain conditions, to add additional vessels to the collateral package to preserve access to the full amount of the facility.

We may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. We are required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans under certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel where the ratio of the loan to market value of the remaining collateral vessels exceeds a certain percentage. Amounts prepaid in accordance with these provisions may be reborrowed, subject to certain conditions. Under the terms of the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement, we must pay interest at a rate per annum, calculated as LIBOR plus 0.7% per annum. In the case of an Overadvance Loan, the interest rate is LIBOR plus 1.0% per annum. The \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.2625% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility.

In addition to the security granted by us to secure the facility, we are also subject to other customary conditions before we may borrow under the facility, including but not limited to, that no event of default is outstanding and that there has been no material adverse change in our ability to make all required payments under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement. In addition, the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement contains various covenants limiting our ability to, among other things:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

Table of Contents

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with affiliates; or

change the flag, class or management of the collateral vessels.

The \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants including but not limited to those that require Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450,000,000;

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of 2.50 to 1.00; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement contains customary events of default, including but not limited to non-payment of principal or interest, breach of covenants, material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Our \$365.0 Million Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our 11 to 13 year \$365.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility, or the \$365 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$365 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$365 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On May 19, 2006, we entered into a senior secured, \$365.0 million revolving credit facility agreement with certain lenders, or the \$365 Million Credit Agreement.

The \$365 Million Credit Facility is split into two separate tranches, one to partially fund the acquisition of our two 3500 TEU vessels and the second to partially fund the construction of eight of our ten 2500 TEU vessels. We are also able to use the facility for general corporate purposes in certain circumstances. Our obligations under the \$365 Million Credit Facility are secured by first-priority mortgages on our two 3500 TEU container vessels and the eight 2500 TEU vessels. Also, the facility is secured by a first-priority assignment of our earnings related to the collateral vessels, including time-charter revenues, and any insurance proceeds and first priority assignments of shipbuilding contracts and related refund guarantees.

We may prepay all loans at any time without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. Amounts that have been prepaid may be reborrowed. We are required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans under certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel if we do not substitute another vessel. Beginning March 31, 2008, the total amounts available for borrowing under the first tranche were reduced and will continue to be reduced semiannually in accordance with the commitment reduction schedule until the maturity date, at which time the outstanding balance shall be repaid. Beginning March 18, 2010, the total amounts available for borrowing under the second tranche will be reduced semiannually in accordance with the commitment reduction schedule until the maturity date, at which time the outstanding balance shall be repaid.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Indebtedness under the \$365 Million Credit Facility bears interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 0.850% until approximately July 5, 2013, for the first tranche, and August 31, 2015, for the second tranche, and LIBOR plus 0.925% thereafter for both tranches. We incur a commitment fee on the undrawn portion of the \$365 Million Credit Facility at a rate of 0.30% per annum.

Table of Contents

We are subject to other customary conditions before we may borrow under the \$365 Million Credit Facility, including that no event of default is ongoing and there having been no material adverse effect on our ability to perform our payment obligations under the facility. In addition, the \$365 Million Credit Facility contains various covenants limiting our ability to:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with our affiliates except on an arm's-length basis; and

change the flag, class, or management of our vessels.

The \$365 Million Credit Facility contains certain financial covenants including covenants requiring Seaspan Corporation to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, maximum leverage and minimum interest coverage and principal and interest coverage ratios similar to those of the \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement.

The \$365 Million Credit Agreement contains customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, breach of covenants or material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness, bankruptcy and change of control.

Our \$218.4 Million Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our \$218.4 million term loan facility, or the \$218.4 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$218.4 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$218.4 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On October 16, 2006, we entered into a \$218.4 million credit facility agreement, or the \$218.4 Million Credit Agreement. The funds drawn under this facility will be used to partially finance the construction of the four 5100 TEU vessels. The facility maturity date is December 23, 2021.

Our obligations under the \$218.4 Million Credit Facility are secured by first-priority assignments of the shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees, first-priority assignments of our earnings related to the 5100 TEU vessels, including time-charter revenues, and a first-priority assignment of the management agreement for the 5100 TEU vessels. Also, our obligations under the facility will be secured by first-priority mortgages on each of the vessels and a first-priority assignment of any insurance proceeds.

Beginning on June 23, 2013, the principal amount borrowed under the facility will be reduced in eighteen semi-annual payments by amounts ranging from 2.7% to 3.3% of the amount borrowed until the maturity date. A final repayment of approximately 45% of the amount borrowed is required upon the final maturity date.

We may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. We are required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans under certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel if we do not substitute another vessel. The \$218.4 Million Credit Facility requires payment of interest at a rate per annum, calculated as LIBOR plus 0.6% per annum, and payment of a commitment fee of 0.3% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility.

We are subject to other customary conditions before we may borrow under the facility, including that no event of default is ongoing and there having occurred no material adverse change on our ability to perform our payment obligations under the facility. In addition, the \$218.4 Million Credit Facility contains various covenants limiting our ability to:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

Table of Contents

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with our affiliates except on an arm's-length basis; or

change the flag, class, or management of our vessels.

The \$218.4 Million Credit Agreement also contains covenants, among others, requiring Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth of \$450,000,000;

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of 2.5 to 1.0; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio of 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$218.4 Million Credit Agreement contains customary events of default, including nonpayment of principal or interest, breach of covenants or material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Our \$920.0 Million Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our \$920.0 million reducing revolving credit facility, or the \$920 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$920 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$920 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On August 8, 2007, we entered into a secured reducing revolving \$920.0 million credit facility agreement with certain lenders, or the \$920 Million Credit Agreement. The proceeds of this facility are available to partially finance the construction of two of the 2500 TEU vessels by Jiangsu, the four 4250 TEU vessels by New Jiangsu and the eight 8500 TEU vessels by HHI. After delivery of these vessels, we may use the facility for general corporate purposes.

The final maturity date for this facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel delivered and December 31, 2022. Our obligations under the \$920 Million Credit Facility are or will be secured by, among other things, assignments of shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees for the vessels, assignments of time charters, earnings and any charter guarantee for the vessels, assignments of insurances for the vessels, mortgages of the vessels and an assignment of a management agreement for the vessels.

Under the \$920 Million Credit Facility, we may borrow up to the lesser of \$920.0 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1,250,000 per vessel. The facility will be proportionately reduced to the extent that not all vessels are delivered by June 30, 2011. Commencing on the earlier of 36 months after the delivery date of the last vessel and June 30, 2014, the facility will reduce by eighteen consecutive semi-annual reductions in the amounts and on the dates set out in a schedule to the credit agreement, and on each such date that we must prepay the amount of the outstanding loan that exceeds the amount of the reduced facility. The outstanding loans under the facility must be paid in full by the final maturity date.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

We may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. Amounts prepaid voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the facility, subject to the required reductions in the

Table of Contents

facility. We will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where we elect not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. We may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans and substitute another vessel within the time period prescribed and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. Amounts prepaid in the circumstance of a sale, loss or removal of a vessel or cancellation of a shipbuilding contract may only be re-borrowed in certain limited circumstances.

The \$920 Million Credit Agreement requires payment of interest on the outstanding loans at a rate calculated as LIBOR plus 0.5% per annum. The credit agreement also requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.20% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility. Prior to delivery of a vessel, interest and commitment fees associated with the loans for a vessel may be capitalized and added to the outstanding loans.

In addition to the security we have granted to secure the facility, we are also subject to other customary conditions precedent before we may borrow under the facility including, but not limited to, that no event of default is outstanding and that there has been no material adverse change in our ability to make all required payments under the credit agreement. We are also subject to certain conditions subsequent to drawing including, but not limited to, registration of certain refund guarantees with applicable authorities in China. In addition, the \$920 Million Credit Agreement contains various covenants limiting our ability to among other things:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with affiliates; or

change the flag, class or management of the collateral vessels.

The \$920 Million Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants including, but not limited to, those that require Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450,000,000;

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of 2.50 to 1.00; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$920 Million Credit Agreement contains customary events of default including, but not limited to, non-payment of principal or interest, breach of covenants, material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Our \$150.0 Million Credit Facility

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

The following summary of the material terms of our \$150.0 million reducing revolving credit facility, or the \$150 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$150 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$150 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On December 28, 2007, we entered into a secured reducing revolving \$150.0 million credit facility agreement, as amended, or the \$150 Million Credit Agreement, with two of our wholly-owned subsidiary

Table of Contents

companies, Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd. and Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd., as borrowers. We guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the terms of the agreement. The proceeds of the facility are available to finance construction of two of our 13100 TEU vessels, one of which will be constructed by HHI and the other by HSHI. After delivery of these vessels, we may use the facility for general corporate purposes.

The final maturity date for this facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel delivered and October 17, 2023. Our obligations under the \$150 Million Credit Facility are or will be secured by, among other things, pre-delivery assignments of the shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees for the vessels, assignments of time charter and earnings, a pledge of shares in the borrowers by us, assignments of insurances for the vessels, mortgages of the vessels and an assignment of a management agreement for the vessels.

Under the \$150 Million Credit Agreement, we may borrow for each vessel up to the lesser of \$75 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) for that vessel, provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$2,500,000 per vessel. The facility will be proportionately reduced to the extent that a vessel is not delivered by November 27, 2012. Commencing on the earlier of six months after the delivery date of the last vessel and October 27, 2012, the \$150 Million Credit Facility will reduce by consecutive semi-annual reductions in the amounts and on the dates set out in a schedule to the credit agreement, and on each such date we must prepay the amount of the outstanding loan that exceeds the amount of the reduced facility. The outstanding loans under the facility must be paid in full by the final maturity date.

We may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. Amounts prepaid voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the facility, subject to the required reductions in the facility. We will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where we elect not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. We may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans and substitute another vessel within the time period prescribed and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. Amounts prepaid in the circumstance of a sale, loss or removal of a vessel or cancellation of a shipbuilding contract may only be re-borrowed in certain limited circumstances.

The \$150 Million Credit Agreement requires payment of interest on the outstanding loans at a rate calculated as LIBOR plus 0.8% per annum. The credit agreement also requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.20% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility.

In addition to the security we have granted to secure the facility, we are also subject to other customary conditions precedent before borrowing under the facility including, but not limited to, that no event of default is outstanding and that there has been no material adverse change in our ability to make all required payments under the credit agreement. In addition, the \$150 Million Credit Agreement contains various covenants limiting our ability and the ability of the borrowers to, among other things:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with affiliates; or

change the flag, class or management of the collateral vessels.

The \$150 Million Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants including, but not limited to, those that require Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450,000,000;

Table of Contents

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of 2.50 to 1.00; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$150 Million Credit Agreement contains customary events of default including, but not limited to, non-payment of principal or interest, breach of covenants, material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Our \$291.2 Million Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our \$291.2 million term loan and credit facility, or the \$291.2 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On March 17, 2008, we entered into a \$291.2 million credit facility agreement, or the \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement. The facility has a term loan component, which is divided into two tranches, and a revolving loan component, which is divided into a senior revolver and junior revolver. The proceeds of this facility are available to partially finance the construction of two of our 13100 TEU vessels, one of which will be constructed by HHI and the other by HSHI. The term loans are available for drawing until a certain period of time following the scheduled delivery date of each vessel. After delivery of these vessels, we may use the revolving loan for general corporate purposes.

The final maturity date for the revolving loan is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel delivered and December 31, 2023 and the final maturity date for the term loans is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the vessels to which those term loans relate and December 31, 2023. The outstanding loans under the facility must be paid in full by the relevant final maturity date.

Our obligations under the \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement are or will be secured by, among other things, assignments of shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees for the vessels, assignments of time charters and earnings for the vessels, assignments of insurances for the vessels, mortgages of the vessels and an assignment of a management agreement for the vessels. One of the tranches of the term loan portion is guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of Korea, or KEXIM.

Under the \$291.2 Million Credit Facility, we may borrow up to the lesser of \$291.2 million and 80% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) and on an individual vessel basis, the lesser of \$145.6 million and 80% of the vessel delivered costs for that vessel, provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1,000,000 per vessel. Consistent with export-import bank financing under the applicable Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development rules, we must pay 20% of the vessel delivered costs on or prior to the delivery date.

We may prepay the term loans on a repayment date (as defined in the credit agreement) without penalty, other than breakage costs and opportunity costs in certain circumstances. We may prepay the revolving loan on the last day of any interest period except that we are not permitted to prepay the junior revolving loan during the pre-delivery period. Amounts of the revolving loan that are prepaid voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the revolving loan. We will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel, the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract or if the guarantee

Table of Contents

provided by KEXIM ceases to be valid for certain reasons and KEXIM determines that there has been or could be a material adverse effect on our ability to perform our payment obligations. We may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans.

The \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement requires payment of interest on the outstanding revolving loan at a rate calculated as LIBOR plus 0.85% per annum and payment of interest on the outstanding term loans at a rate calculated as the commercial interest reference rate of KEXIM plus 0.65% per annum for the first tranche, LIBOR plus 0.35% for the second tranche. The credit agreement also requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.30% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility. Prior to delivery of a vessel, interest and commitment fees associated with the loans for a vessel may be capitalized and added to the outstanding loans.

In addition to the security we have granted to secure the facility, we are also subject to other customary conditions precedent and other restrictions before we may borrow under the facility including, but not limited to, that no event of default is outstanding and that there has been no material adverse change in our ability to make all required payments under the \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement. In addition, the \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement contains various covenants limiting our ability to, among other things:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with affiliates; or

change the flag, class or management of the collateral vessels.

The \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants including, but not limited to, those that require Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450,000,000;

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of 2.50 to 1.00; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$291.2 Million Credit Agreement contains certain events of default including, but not limited to, non-payment of principal or interest, breach of covenants, material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Our \$400.0 Million UK Lease Facility

The following summary of the material terms of the \$400.0 Million UK Lease Facility does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$400.0 Million UK Lease Facility. Because the following is only a summary, it

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$400.0 Million UK Lease Facility listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

Our wholly-owned subsidiary, Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd., or the lessee, entered into lease agreements with Peony, also referred to as the lessor, for a UK lease facility in respect of each of the 4500 TEU vessels. The purpose of the lease facility is to finance the acquisition of our 4500 TEU vessels. The facility limit, or the aggregate net capital expenditure allowed for all five 4500 TEU vessels under the terms of the lease agreements, is \$400.0 million. The lessee and the lessor entered into lease agreements for all five of the 4500 TEU vessels on

Table of Contents

December 27, 2007, accompanied by a payment to Samsung by the lessor for three of the 4500 TEU vessels on December 28, 2007 and for the remaining two 4500 TEU vessels on January 8, 2008.

As part of the lease transaction, the lessor acquired each 4500 TEU vessel by way of a novation of the shipbuilding contract so that legal title of each vessel will pass from Samsung directly to the lessor on the delivery date of each vessel. The lessor appointed our Manager as its agent to supervise the construction of the vessels in accordance with the terms of the novated shipbuilding contracts. Our Manager will perform all of the obligations of the lessor under the novated shipbuilding contracts, other than payment of the contract price, which the lessor will be responsible for during the construction period and signature of the protocol of delivery and acceptance (which it may only sign upon instruction and satisfaction of the lease conditions precedent). If the amounts owing to Samsung are in excess of the \$400.0 million facility limit, the lessee will contribute towards the lessor's capital expenditure on each vessel.

On the delivery date of each vessel, the lessor will lease the vessel to the lessee by bareboat charter for a maximum period of four years and 360 days, during which time the lessee will have full possession and use of the vessel with quiet enjoyment except in certain limited circumstances. During the lease period, the lessee will pay to the lessor a rental payment calculated in accordance with a financial schedule appended to the lease agreements. The payments are predicated upon a number of principles and variable assumptions. The lessee bears any change of law risk. To the extent that any variable assumptions are incorrect, the lessor will be entitled to alter the rentals payable under the leases to take account of the failure of, or the change in, the relevant assumption. The obligation of the lessee to pay such rent applies irrespective of any contingency, including but not limited to the unavailability of the relevant vessel for any reason.

The rentals will be paid in large part by equal installments and quarterly in arrears, the first installment payable on the first to occur of January 15, April 15, July 15 and October 15 after delivery from Samsung and the final payment for each vessel, being the lower of 99% of the total vessel cost and \$64,000,000, will be due and payable at the end of the lease period. No rental payments will be due to the lessor during the construction period.

The lease agreements require payment of a commitment fee of 0.35% of any undrawn balance of the facility limit payable in arrears on interest payment dates during the construction period.

The lessee's obligations under the \$400.0 million facility are secured by a general assignment of earnings (other than those related to the time charters for the vessels), insurances and requisition hire for each vessel and a corporate guarantee issued by us in respect of the obligations of the lessee and our Manager.

Subject to payment of a termination fee in certain circumstances, the lessee may voluntarily terminate the lease agreements during the construction period if the lease transactions are determined to be economically burdensome or commercially burdensome. Upon a voluntary termination during the construction period, the lessor will further novate the vessel to the lessee. The lessee may also terminate the lease during the lease period after the construction period subject to payment of a termination fee. Upon such termination, the lessor will sell the relevant vessel and appoint the lessee as its sales agent for that purpose. Following the sale, the lessor will pay to the lessee a rebate of rental equal to 99.99% of the proceeds of sale of the vessel (after deduction of any rental or other sums then due and unpaid to the lessor).

The lease agreements may be treated by the lessor as terminated in the event of certain circumstances including the failure of the lessee to pay an installment of rent and the acceleration and non-payment of the financial indebtedness owed by us or the lessee to the lessor or any other subsidiary of Bank of Scotland plc, and failure of the lessee to perform other obligations of a non-financial nature. The lessee will also be required to prepay rental amounts, broken funding costs and other costs to the lessor in certain circumstances, including but not limited to a change in law which will result in the lessor incurring a material liability or increased liability arising out of its ownership of the vessel beyond its day-one liabilities that does not entitle the lessor to increase

Table of Contents

the rental payment. Termination or mandatory prepayment will result in payment by the lessee to the lessor of a termination amount, rental amounts due and payable, broken funding costs and other costs. If the termination or mandatory prepayment event occurs during the lease period, the lessor will have the right to sell the vessel which shall be subject to the above sales agency arrangements unless the lessee has forfeited these.

The lease agreements contain various covenants regarding the use and employment of the vessels, their maintenance and operation, equipment, title and registration and insurances. The lease agreements also contain certain covenants that limit the ability of the lessor to, among other things, create or allow any security interests to arise over the vessels. Certain financial covenants are included in our corporate guarantee that are similar to those in our credit facilities and which include, but are not limited to, those that require us and our subsidiaries to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450,000,000;

total borrowings at less than 65% of the total assets;

cash and cash equivalents of \$25,000,000 if at any time less than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or more;

a net interest coverage ratio always greater than 2.50 to 1.00; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than 1.1 to 1.0.

Subject to the lessee not being in default under the lease agreement at the relevant time, at the end of each lease period and in other prescribed circumstances, the lessor will appoint the lessee as its sole and exclusive agent to sell the relevant vessel to certain acceptable parties, excluding the lessee (but not excluding Seaspan Corporation or one of its other subsidiaries) and K-Line. The sale must be on best terms, including price, that are reasonably obtainable on the open market on an as is, where is basis. Following the payment of the sale proceeds to the lessor, the lessor will unconditionally pay to the lessee a rebate of rental equal to 99.99% of the proceeds of sale of the vessel (after deduction of any rental or other sums then due and unpaid to the lessor).

To comply with the lease arrangements, the lessee is a party to the time charters with K-Line, and we have guaranteed the performance of the lessee's obligations to K-Line.

The lease agreements also provide for a standby loan agreement to be provided by an affiliate of the lessor to a Seaspan company, or a standby lender, at the end of the lease period in respect of the vessels. The availability of the standby loan will be determined in the sole discretion of the standby lender and on the terms and conditions set out in the lease agreements.

The benefits under the lease financings are derived primarily from tax depreciation assumed to be available to lessors as a result of their investment in the vessels. If that depreciation ultimately proves not to be available to the lessors, or is clawed back from the lessor as a result of adverse tax rate changes or rulings, or in the event that we terminate one or more of our leases, the benefits of a reduced financing margin may be lost.

In entering into the lease arrangements, the lessee has taken credit, insolvency and performance risk on the lessor. If the lessor is subject to insolvency or similar proceedings in the UK, the lessee may not be able to obtain full performance of the lease arrangements, and will be subject to the insolvency rules applicable to claims by unsecured creditors. However, the lessee has the benefit of a limited parent support letter from Bank of Scotland plc.

In accordance with the guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 96-21, we include the value of the leased vessels and the liability related to the lease commitment on our books during the construction period and over the subsequent lease period.

Table of Contents

Our \$235.3 Million Credit Facility

The following summary of the material terms of our \$235.3 million term loan facility, the \$235.3 Million Credit Facility, does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement, as defined below. Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report.

On March 31, 2008, we entered into a \$235.3 million credit facility agreement, or the \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement. The proceeds of the facility are available to partially finance the construction, acquisition and vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) of two of our 13100 TEU vessels, one of which will be constructed by HHI and the other by HSHI.

The final maturity date for the \$235.3 Million Credit Facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel relating to the facility delivered and February 6, 2024. Our obligations under the credit agreement are or will be secured by, among other things, assignments of shipbuilding contracts and refund guarantees for the vessels, assignments of time charters and earnings for the vessels, assignments of insurances for the vessels, mortgages of the vessels and an assignment of a management agreement for the vessels.

Under the facility, we may borrow up to the lesser of \$235.3 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) and on an individual vessel basis, the lesser of \$117.65 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs for that vessel; however, amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1.5 million per vessel, except that it may be increased to an amount of up to \$2.5 million per vessel with the consent of the facility agent.

Beginning six months from the actual delivery date of the last delivered vessel securing the \$235.3 Million Credit Facility, the amount borrowed with respect to that vessel will be reduced in 24 semi-annual payments of \$2,693,750 until the maturity date. A final repayment of \$53.0 million of the amount borrowed with respect to that vessel is required upon the final maturity date.

We may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. No amounts prepaid under the \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement may be re-borrowed. We will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where we elect not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement or if the KEIC insurance policies, or the KEIC Insurance, cease to be valid or enforceable in any material respect other than in certain circumstances.

The \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement requires payment of interest on the outstanding loans at a rate calculated as LIBOR plus 0.7% per annum on the portion of the facility covered by the KEIC Insurance and LIBOR plus 1.0% per annum on the portion of the facility that is not covered by the KEIC Insurance. The \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement also requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.35% per annum calculated on the undrawn, uncanceled portion of the facility. Prior to delivery of a \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement vessel, interest and commitment fees associated with the loans for a vessel may be capitalized and added to the outstanding loans.

In addition to the security granted by us to secure the \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement, we are also subject to other customary conditions precedent before we may borrow under the facility including, but not limited to, that no event of default is outstanding and that there has been no material adverse change in our ability to make all required payments under the credit agreement. The \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement contains various covenants limiting our ability to, among other things:

allow liens to be placed on the collateral securing the facility;

Table of Contents

enter into mergers with other entities;

conduct material transactions with affiliates; or

change the flag, class or management of the collateral vessels.

The \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants including, but not limited to, those that require Seaspan Corporation to maintain:

a tangible net worth in excess of \$450.0 million;

cash on hand and cash equivalents of \$25.0 million if at any time more than 50% of the collateral vessels are subject to time charters having a remaining term of one year or less;

a net interest coverage ratio of greater than 2.5 to 1.0; and

an interest and principal coverage ratio greater than or equal to 1.1 to 1.0.

The \$235.3 Million Credit Agreement contains customary events of default including, but not limited to, non-payment of principal or interest, breach of covenants, material inaccuracy of representations, default under other material indebtedness and bankruptcy.

Recent Equity Offerings

Public Offering of Common Shares April 2008

On April 16, 2008, we issued 7,663,300 common shares at a public offering price of \$27.25 per share. This amount includes 7,000,000 common shares sold in an underwritten public offering and 663,300 shares sold directly to certain executive officers and directors, certain affiliates of our Manager and certain of their executive officers and Dennis R. Washington in a concurrent sale. On May 5, 2008, we issued 1,050,000 common shares as a result of the underwriters' exercise of the entire over-allotment option granted to them in connection with the April 2008 public common share offering. Our net proceeds from the underwritten public offering, including the exercise of the over-allotment option, after deducting underwriting discounts but before offering expenses, were \$210.6 million. The net proceeds from the concurrent sale were \$18.1 million. We used the net proceeds from the public offering and the concurrent sale for general corporate purposes, including repayment of indebtedness, capital expenditures, working capital and to make vessel acquisitions.

Our Dividend Reinvestment Plan

On May 29, 2008, we instituted a dividend reinvestment plan, or the DRIP. The plan allows interested shareholders to reinvest all or a portion of their cash dividends in our common shares without paying any brokerage commission or service charge. During the year ended December 31, 2009, 852,230 shares were issued through the participation in the DRIP resulting in the re-investment of \$7.1 million.

Our Series A Preferred Share Offering

The following summary of the material terms of our Series A Preferred Share Offering and the other transactions incidental thereto does not purport to be complete and is subject to, and qualified in its entirety by reference to, all the provisions of the Statement of Designation, the Registration Rights Agreement, the Amendment to the Rights Agreement, the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement and the Amendment to the Gerry Wang Employment Agreement (as defined below). Because the following is only a summary, it does not contain all information that you may find useful. For more complete information, you should read the entire Statement of Designation, the Registration Rights Agreement, the Amendment to the Rights Agreement, the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement and the Amendment to the Gerry Wang Employment Agreement, each of which are listed as exhibits to this Annual Report.

Table of Contents

On January 22, 2009, we entered into a preferred stock purchase agreement, or the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, to issue and sell shares of 12% Cumulative Preferred Stock Series A, par value \$0.01 per share, to Dennis R. Washington, Kevin L. Washington, Kyle R. Washington, who is our chairman, and Graham Porter, through certain of their respective affiliates, or the Investors, for \$200 million. Under the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, the Series A Preferred Shares are to be issued in two equal tranches of \$100 million. The first tranche closed on January 30, 2009 and the second tranche closed on October 1, 2009. The Series A Preferred Shares have not been registered under the Securities Act.

In connection with the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, we filed a statement of designation, or the Statement of Designation, on January 29, 2009, creating the Series A Preferred Shares and establishing the designations, preferences and other rights of the Series A Preferred Shares with the Registrar of Corporations of the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

The Statement of Designation sets the initial liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Shares at \$1,000 per share, subject to adjustment. No dividend will be payable in respect of the Series A Preferred Shares in the first five years. Instead, the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Shares will increase at a rate of 12% per annum until January 31, 2014, compounded quarterly. As a result, this will not reduce our distributable cash available to common shareholders during the next five years. The Series A Preferred Shares will automatically convert into the common shares at a conversion price of \$15.00 at any time on or after January 31, 2014 if the average closing price of the trailing 30 trading days of the common shares is equal to or greater than \$15.00. If at any time on or after January 31, 2014 the average closing price over the trailing 30 trading days of our common shares is less than \$15.00, we have the option to convert the Series A Preferred Shares at a conversion price of \$15.00 and pay the Investors 115% of the difference between the conversion price and average closing price of the trailing 30 trading days of the common shares, payable in cash or common shares at our option. If on January 31, 2014 the Series A Preferred Shares have not converted to common shares, the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Shares will increase at a rate of 15% per annum, compounded quarterly, payable in cash or by continuing to increase the liquidation value of the Series A Preferred Shares at the holder's option.

Upon any liquidation or dissolution of the company, holders of the Series A Preferred Shares will generally be entitled to receive the cash value of the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Shares, including any accrued but unpaid dividends, after satisfaction of all liabilities to our creditors but before any distribution is made to or set aside for the holders of junior stock, including common shares.

In general, the holders of the Series A Preferred Shares will be entitled to vote together with the holders of common shares on an as-converted basis on any matter submitted for a vote of common shares. In addition, the holders of the Series A Preferred Shares, voting as a separate class, will have the right to approve any future issuance of senior or parity stock (except that we may freely issue additional Series A Preferred Shares up to an aggregate amount of \$115 million), any redemption of our capital stock, any amendment of our articles of incorporation, bylaws or the Statement of Designation or any share exchange, reclassification, merger, consolidation, liquidation, dissolution, sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets. In addition, subject to certain exceptions, the holders of the Series A Preferred Shares have preemptive rights to prevent dilution and the right to elect up to two members of our board of directors.

In addition, on January 30, 2009, as contemplated by the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, we entered into a registration rights agreement, or the Registration Rights Agreement, with the Investors pursuant to which, in certain circumstances, we will be obligated to file a registration statement covering the potential sale of the common shares issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred Shares.

Pursuant to the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, on January 30, 2009, we entered into an amendment to our shareholders rights agreement, or the Amendment to the Rights Agreement, in order to exempt from the shareholders rights agreement the Investors as to the transactions contemplated by the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement and any conversion of the Series A Preferred Shares into common shares.

Table of Contents

In connection with the closing of the transactions contemplated by the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement, Gerry Wang, our chief executive officer, entered into an amendment to his employment agreement with SSML, or the Amendment to the Gerry Wang Employment Agreement, in order to extend the initial term of his employment to December 31, 2013. Thereafter, his employment agreement automatically extends on December 31 of each year unless a prior written notice of non-renewal is delivered by either party no earlier than 210 days and no later than 180 days prior to such date.

Environmental and Other Regulations

Government regulation affects the ownership and operation of our vessels in a significant manner. We are subject to international conventions and codes, and national, state, provincial and local laws and regulations in force in the countries in which our vessels may operate or are registered, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, and water discharges and ballast water management.

A variety of government and private entities subject our vessels to both scheduled and unscheduled inspections. These entities include the local port authorities (United States Coast Guard, Canadian Coast Guard, harbor master or equivalent), classification societies, flag state administrations (country of registry), charterers, and terminal operators. Certain of these entities require us to obtain permits, licenses and certificates for the operation of our vessels. Failure to maintain necessary permits or approvals could require us to incur substantial costs or temporarily suspend the operation of one or more of our vessels in one or more ports.

We believe that the heightened level of environmental and quality concerns among insurance underwriters, regulators and charterers is leading to greater inspection and safety requirements on all vessels and may accelerate the scrapping of older vessels throughout the shipping industry.

Increasing environmental concerns have created a demand for vessels that conform to the strictest environmental standards. We are required to maintain operating standards for all of our vessels that emphasize operational safety, quality maintenance, continuous training of our officers and crews and compliance with United States, Canadian and international regulations and with flag administration.

International Maritime Organization

The International Maritime Organization, or IMO, has negotiated international conventions that impose liability for pollution in international waters and a signatory's territorial waters. For example, the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, or MARPOL, imposes environmental standards on the shipping industry relating to pollution prevention and procedures, technical standards, oil spills management, management of garbage, the handling and disposal of noxious liquids, harmful substances in packaged forms, sewage and air emissions. Annex III of MARPOL regulates the transportation of marine pollutants, including standards on packing, marking, labeling, documentation, stowage, quantity limitations and pollution prevention. These requirements have been expanded by the International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code, which imposes additional standards for all aspects of the transportation of dangerous goods and marine pollutants by sea. Annex VI to MARPOL, which became effective in May 2005, addresses air pollution from ships. All of the vessels we have agreed to purchase are generally Annex VI compliant. Annex VI sets limits on sulfur oxide, nitrogen oxide, carbon dioxide and particulate matter emissions from ship exhausts and prohibits deliberate emissions of ozone depleting substances, such as chlorofluorocarbons. Annex VI also includes a global cap on the sulfur content of fuel oil and allows for special areas to be established with more stringent controls on sulfur emissions. On July 21, 2008, the United States enacted the Maritime Pollution Protection Act of 2008, implementing Annex VI in territorial waters of the United States. The United States deposited its instrument of ratification with IMO on October 8, 2008, and Annex VI entered into force for the United States on January 8, 2009. On October 9, 2008, the Member States of the IMO adopted amendments to Annex VI creating more stringent standards for engines and fuels. These amendments call for a new interim fuel standard beginning in

Table of Contents

2010, the use of low sulfur fuel by 2015, and the use of advanced technology engines designed to reduce emissions of nitrogen oxide by 2016. These requirements could require modifications to our vessels to achieve compliance. The Company is evaluating these requirements and the alternatives for achieving compliance. The costs to comply with these requirements may be material or significant to our operations.

Shortly after ratifying Annex VI, the United States, along with Canada, proposed the designation of an Emission Control Area (ECA) for specific portions of U.S. and Canadian coastal waters in March 2009. This action would control the emission of NOx, SOx, and particulate matter from ocean-going ships. On July 17, 2009, the joint proposal from the United States and Canada to amend MARPOL Annex VI to designate specific areas these coastal waters as an ECA was accepted in principle at the IMO. The proposal will circulate among member states for six months. In March 2010, member states who are parties to MARPOL Annex VI will vote to adopt an amendment designating the North American ECA. The approved ECA may enter into force as early as August 2012. New requirements associated with the ECA may increase the cost of operating our vessels in U.S. and Canadian waters.

The operation of our vessels is also affected by the requirements set forth in the ISM Code. The ISM Code requires ship owners and bareboat charterers to develop and maintain an extensive Safety Management System that includes the adoption of a safety and environmental protection policy setting forth instructions and procedures for safe operation and describing procedures for dealing with emergencies. A Safety Management Certificate is issued under the provisions of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS, to each ship with an SMS verified to be in compliance with the ISM Code. The failure of a ship owner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. All of the vessels in our current fleet are ISM code-certified.

The IMO adopted an International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships Ballast Water and Sediments, or the BWM Convention, in February 2004. The BWM Convention s implementing regulations call for a phased introduction of mandatory ballast water exchange requirements (beginning in 2009), to be replaced in time with mandatory concentration limits. The BWM Convention will not enter into force until 12 months after it has been adopted by 30 states, the combined merchant fleets of which represent not less than 35% of the gross tonnage of the world s merchant shipping. As of January 31, 2010, the BWM Convention has not yet been adopted by the required number of states to come into force. The IMO has indicated that it may seek to postpone the deadline for inclusion of ballast water treatment facilities on newly built ships to the end of 2011 were adopted by the requisite number of states to lead to an earlier effective date.

In 2001, the IMO adopted the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, or the Bunker Convention, which imposes strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage in jurisdictional waters of ratifying states caused by discharges of Bunker Oil. The Bunker Convention defines Bunker Oil as any hydrocarbon mineral oil, including lubricating oil, used or intended to be used for the operation or propulsion of the ship, and any residues of such oil. The Bunker Convention also requires registered owners of ships over a certain size to maintain insurance for pollution damage in an amount equal to the limits of liability under the applicable national or international limitation regime (but not exceeding the amount calculated in accordance with the Convention on Limitation of Liability for Maritime Claims of 1976, as amended). The Bunker Convention took effect on November 21, 2008.

On September 17, 2008, the International Convention on the Control of Harmful Anti-fouling Systems on Ships, or AFSC, came into force. It prohibits the use of harmful organotins in anti-fouling paints used on ships and will establish a mechanism to prevent the potential future use of other harmful substances in anti-fouling systems. Our vessels are required to obtain certification of compliance.

Increasingly, various regions are adopting additional, unilateral requirements on the operation of vessels in their territorial waters. These regulations, such as those described below, apply to our vessels when they are in

Table of Contents

their waters and can add to the costs of operating and maintaining those vessels as well as increasing the potential liabilities that apply to spills or releases of oil or other materials or violations of the applicable requirements. What follows will describe such regional regulations.

United States

The United States Oil Pollution Act of 1990

The United States Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and cleanup of the environment from oil spills. OPA affects all owners and operators whose vessels trade in the United States, its territories and possessions or whose vessels operate in United States waters, which includes the United States territorial sea and its two hundred nautical mile exclusive economic zone. Although OPA is primarily directed at oil tankers (which are not operated by us), it also applies to non-tanker ships, including container ships, with respect to the fuel used to power such ships.

Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are responsible parties and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels. OPA defines these other damages broadly to include:

natural resources damage and the costs of assessment thereof;

real and personal property damage;

net loss of taxes, royalties, rents, fees and other lost revenue;

lost profits or impairment of earning capacity due to property or natural resources damage; and

net cost of public services necessitated by a spill response, such as protection from fire, safety or health hazards, and loss of subsistence use of natural resources.

Amendments to OPA signed into law on July 11, 2006 increased the limits on the liability of responsible parties for any vessel other than a tank vessel to \$950 per gross ton or \$800,000, whichever is greater, unless the incident is caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct, or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited.

We maintain pollution liability coverage insurance in the amount of \$1 billion per incident for each of our vessels. If the damages from a catastrophic spill were to exceed our insurance coverage it could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operation. OPA requires owners and operators of vessels to establish and maintain with the United States Coast Guard evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to meet their potential liabilities under the act. In 1994, the U.S. Coast Guard implemented regulations requiring evidence of financial responsibility for non-tank vessels in the amount of \$900 per gross ton, which includes the then-applicable OPA limitation on liability of \$600 per gross ton and the U.S. CERCLA liability limit of \$300 per gross ton, as described below. On February 5, 2008, the U.S. Coast Guard proposed a new rule that will increase the applicable amount of required evidence of financial responsibility to \$1,250 per gross ton, to reflect the increase in liability limits under OPA pursuant to the recent amendments and CERCLA. Under the U.S. Coast Guard regulations implementing OPA, vessel owners and operators may evidence their financial responsibility by showing proof of insurance, surety bond, self-insurance, or guaranty. Under the OPA regulations, an owner or operator of a fleet of vessels is required only to demonstrate evidence of financial responsibility in an amount sufficient to cover the vessels in the fleet having the greatest maximum liability under OPA. We have obtained the necessary OPA financial assurance certificates for each of our vessels currently in service and trading to the United States.

The Coast Guard and Maritime Transportation Act of 2004, or the CGMTA, amended OPA to require the owner or operator of any non-tank vessel of 400 gross tons or more that carries oil of any kind as a fuel for main propulsion, to prepare and submit a response plan for each vessel on or before August 8, 2005. Previous law was

Table of Contents

limited to vessels that carry oil in bulk as cargo. The vessel response plans include detailed information on actions to be taken by vessel personnel to prevent or mitigate any discharge or threat of discharge of oil from the vessel due to operational activities or casualties. Each of our vessels has the necessary response plans in place to comply with the requirements of the CGMTA and OPA.

OPA specifically permits individual states to impose their own liability regimes with regard to oil pollution incidents occurring within their boundaries, and some states have enacted legislation providing for unlimited liability for oil spills. In some cases, states that have enacted such legislation have not yet issued implementing regulations defining vessels owners' responsibilities under these laws. We intend to comply with all applicable state regulations in the ports where our vessels call.

CERCLA

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, or CERCLA, governs spills or releases of hazardous substances other than petroleum or petroleum products. CERCLA imposes joint and several liability, without regard to fault, on the owner or operator of a ship, vehicle or facility from which there has been a release, along with other specified responsible parties. Costs recoverable under CERCLA include cleanup and removal costs, natural resource damages and governmental oversight costs. Liability under CERCLA is generally limited to the greater of \$300 per gross ton or \$0.5 million, unless the incident is caused by gross negligence, willful misconduct or a violation of certain regulations, in which case liability is unlimited.

Ballast Water Management

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, or the EPA, had exempted the discharge of ballast water and other substances incidental to the normal operation of vessels in U.S. ports from the Clean Water Act permitting requirements. However, on March 30, 2005, a U.S. District Court ruled that the EPA exceeded its authority in so exempting ballast water from regulation under the act. On September 18, 2006, the court issued an order invalidating the exclusion in the EPA's regulations for all discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel as of September 30, 2008, and directing the EPA to develop a system for regulating all discharges from vessels by that date. EPA's appeal failed and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the District Court's ruling on July 23, 2008. In response, EPA issued a Vessel General Permit, or the VGP, covering the discharges incidental to the operation of vessels greater than 79 feet in length on December 18, 2008. Vessels must comply with the VGP by February 6, 2009. The VGP requires the use of Best Management Practices, inspections, and monitoring of the areas of the vessel the permit addresses. Since January 2009, several environmental groups and industry associations have filed challenges in U.S. federal court to the EPA's issuance of the Vessel General Permit. These cases are still in early procedural stages of litigation. States may also add additional conditions. For example, California requires that all vessel discharges in its waters comply with numeric effluent limitations.

The United States National Invasive Species Act, or NISA, was enacted in 1996 in response to growing reports of harmful organisms being released into United States waters through ballast water taken on by vessels in foreign ports. The Coast Guard adopted regulations under NISA in July 2004 that impose mandatory ballast water management practices for all vessels equipped with ballast water tanks entering United States waters. The Coast Guard is developing a proposal to establish standards for ballast water discharge, which could set maximum acceptable discharge limits for various invasive species, and/or lead to requirements for active treatment of ballast water.

In the absence of federal standards, states have enacted legislation or regulations to address invasive species through ballast water and hull cleaning management and permitting requirements. For example, Michigan has approved a law requiring vessels to obtain a ballast water discharge permit to operate in state waters and use certain technologies to prevent the introduction of non-native species into waters of the state. The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality has approved four options for ballast water treatment that involve sodium hypochlorite, chlorine dioxide, ultraviolet light radiation, or de-oxygenation. The use of these technologies may be costly for oceangoing vessels operating in Michigan ports to implement. The Sixth Circuit rejected a challenge to this law in November 2007, and it is unclear if it will be challenged on other grounds.

Table of Contents

Similarly, on October 10, 2007, the California governor signed into law legislation, or A.B. 740, expanding the state's marine invasive species ballast water regulatory program (A.B. 433, California's Marine Invasive Species Act, which regulates the discharge and/or exchange of ballast water of vessels coming from outside the exclusive economic zone into a California port) to regulate hull fouling organisms. A.B. 740 gives the State Lands Commission until 2012 to adopt regulations requiring vessels owners and operators to use best available and economically feasible inwater technology to remove aquatic species from submerged parts of vessels. Until the regulations can be implemented, A.B. 740 specifies that hull fouling organisms, such as barnacles, algae, mussels, and worms that attach to the hard parts of ships, must be removed and disposed of on a regular basis. Furthermore, on October 15, 2007, the California State Lands Commission approved regulations governing the discharge of ballast water for vessels operating in California waters, which among other things, sets limits for the number of living organisms allowed in ballast water discharge. The regulations will be implemented on a graduated time schedule beginning on January 1, 2009, with a final performance standard of zero detectable living organisms going into effect on January 1, 2020. Other states may create other similar hull cleaning regulations or ballast water performance standards that could increase the costs of operating in state waters of the United States.

Clean Air Act

The Federal Clean Air Act of 1970, as amended by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 and 1990, or the CAA, requires the EPA to promulgate standards applicable to emissions of volatile organic compounds and other air contaminants. Our vessels are subject to vapor control and recovery requirements when cleaning fuel tanks and conducting other operations in regulated port areas and emissions standards for compression-ignition marine engines operating in U.S. waters. These types of engines are called Category 3 marine diesel engines and are typically found on large oceangoing vessels. These rules are currently limited to new engines beginning with the 2004 model year. More recently, in August 2009, the EPA issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding its plan to propose more stringent emission standards and other related provisions for new Category 3 marine engines. This rule was finalized in December, 2009, and contains standards consistent with Annex VI of MARPOL discussed above, by establishing lower standards for vessel emissions of particulate matter, sulfur oxides, and nitrogen oxides. The rule's emission standards apply in two stages: near-term standards for newly-built engines will apply beginning in 2011, and long-term standards requiring an 80 percent reduction in nitrogen dioxides (NOx) will begin in 2016. To the extent that these rules apply to existing vessels (as opposed to vessels manufactured after the effective date), we may incur costs to install equipment in these vessels to comply.

The CAA also requires states to draft State Implementation Plans, or SIPs, designed to attain national health-based air quality standards in primarily major metropolitan and/or industrial areas. Where states fail to present approvable SIPs or SIP revisions by certain statutory deadlines, the federal government is required to draft a Federal Implementation Plan. Several SIPs regulate emissions resulting from degassing operations by requiring the installation of vapor control equipment on vessels. A risk exists that new regulations could require significant capital expenditures and otherwise increase our costs.

After a previous attempt to regulate the emissions of auxiliary diesel engines on ocean-going vessels was rejected by the Ninth Circuit, California's Air Resources Board, or CARB, approved new regulations on July 24, 2008. These regulations apply to ocean-going vessels' main diesel engines, auxiliary engines, and auxiliary boilers when operating within 24 miles of the California coast and require operators to use low sulfur fuels. These regulations became legally effective on July 1, 2009.

California also approved regulations on December 3, 2008 to reduce emissions from diesel auxiliary engines on certain ocean-going vessels while in California ports, including container ship fleets that make 25 or more annual visits to California ports. The regulations became effective January 2, 2009 and require vessel operators to either (1) turn off auxiliary engines for most of their stay and connect to the vessel to some other source of power, most likely a shore-based grid, or (2) use alternative control techniques to achieve equivalent emission reductions. These requirements may increase our operating costs while in California ports.

Table of Contents

Canada

Canada has established a complex regulatory enforcement system under the jurisdiction of various ministries and departments for preventing and responding to a marine pollution incident. The legislation prescribes measures to prevent pollution, mandates clean up of marine pollution, and creates civil and criminal liabilities for those responsible for a marine pollution incident.

The Canada Shipping Act, 2001

On July 1, 2001, the Canada Shipping Act, 2001, or CSA 2001, replaced the Canada Shipping Act as the primary legislation governing marine transport, pollution and safety. However, most of the provisions of CSA 2001 did not come into force until July 1, 2007 when certain regulations necessary to implement these provisions came into effect. CSA 2001 applies to all vessels operating in Canadian waters and in the Exclusive Economic Zone of Canada and establishes the primary regulatory and liability regime for oil pollution prevention and response.

Regulations that relate primarily to environmental matters under the CSA 2001 include the Regulations for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships and for Dangerous Chemicals, which contain provisions to enable Canada to complete accession to annex IV (sewage), V (garbage) and VI (air) of the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, the Ballast Water Control and Management Regulations and the Response Organizations and Oil Handling Facilities Regulations. It is expected that the Response Organizations and Oil Handling Facilities Regulations are to be replaced at a future date by new Environmental Response Regulations.

CSA 2001 requires ship owners to have in place an arrangement with an approved pollution response organization. Vessels must carry a declaration, which identifies the vessel's insurer and confirms that an arrangement with a response organization is in place. Failure of a vessel to comply with these requirements can result in a fine of up to C\$1 million or imprisonment for a term of not more than 18 months, or both. Lesser offenses, such as failing to comply with the directions of a pollution prevention officer, are subject to a fine of not more than C\$100,000, imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or both.

CSA 2001 also makes it a strict liability offense to discharge a pollutant, including but not limited to, oil from a vessel. Vessels must have a shipboard oil pollution plan and implement the same in respect of an oil pollution incident. The maximum fine for marine pollution, or for failing to implement an oil pollution plan, is C\$1 million or imprisonment for not more than 18 months, or both. If the discharge of a pollutant continues for more than one day, the person committing the offense may be convicted of a separate offense for each day on which the pollutant is discharged. Lesser offenses, such as failing to comply with directions of the Minister in respect of a pollution incident, are subject to a fine of not more than C\$100,000, imprisonment for a term of not more than one year, or both. Depending upon the circumstances of the offense, a person convicted of an offense may be subject to other penalties, such as being liable to fund the cost of conducting research into the ecological use and disposal of the pollutant in respect of which the offense was committed.

CSA 2001 also provides the authorities with broad discretionary powers to enforce its requirements. The CSA 2001 authorizes the detention of a vessel where there are reasonable grounds for believing that the vessel caused marine pollution or that an offence has been committed. In addition, CSA 2001 provides authorities with the power to issue administrative monetary penalties for contraventions of the legislation. The Administrative Monetary Penalties Regulations, which came into force on April 3, 2008 and apply to all vessel types except pleasure crafts, allow for the imposition of penalties up to C\$25,000 outside of the formal court process.

Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994

The Migratory Birds Convention Act, or MBCA, implements Canada's obligations under a bilateral Canada-United States treaty designed to protect migrating birds that cross North American land and water areas. Recent amendments to MBCA clarify existing prohibitions, expand the investigative and enforcement powers of Environment Canada and provide the government with the ability to enforce the statute effectively in Canada's Exclusive Economic Zone.

Table of Contents

MBCA prohibits the deposit of any substance that is harmful to migratory birds in any waters or area frequented by migratory birds. Increased maximum fines range from C\$300,000 to C\$1 million or imprisonment from six months to three years, or both, which penalty provisions extend to the vessel's owner, operator, master and chief engineer. MBCA imposes minimum fines, C\$500,000 for an indictable offence and C\$100,000 for a summary offence, for offences committed by a vessel in excess of 5,000 tons deadweight. An offence can be committed by a person or a vessel.

MBCA extends to every master, chief engineer, owner and operator of a vessel and, if the vessel is owned by a corporation, to certain of its directors and officers, the duty to take reasonable steps to ensure a vessel's compliance with the prohibition against harmful deposits. A foreign vessel may be detained within Canada's Exclusive Economic Zone with the consent of the attorney general. MBCA grants discretion to the court, on application by a person who has incurred monetary loss as a result of an offence, to order the convicted party to pay compensation to that person.

The Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999

The Canadian Environmental Protection Act, or CEPA, regulates water pollution, including disposal at sea and the management of hazardous waste. Insofar as the shipping industry is concerned, CEPA prohibits the disposal or incineration of substances at sea except with a permit issued under CEPA, the importation or exportation of a substance for disposal at sea without a permit, and the loading on a ship of a substance for disposal at sea without a permit.

Contravention of CEPA can result in maximum fines ranging from C\$300,000 to C\$1 million or imprisonment from six months to three years, or both. The penalties may be increased if damage to the environment results and the person acted intentionally or recklessly. A vessel also may be seized or detained for contravention of CEPA's prohibitions. Costs and expenses of measures taken to remedy a condition or mitigate damage resulting from an offence are also recoverable. CEPA establishes civil liability for restoration of the environment, costs and expenses incurred relating to prevention or remedying environmental damage, or an environmental emergency. Limited defenses are provided but generally would not cover violations arising from ordinary vessel operations.

Recent amendments to CEPA subject owners of ships and directors and officers of corporations that own ships to a duty of care to ensure that ships comply with CEPA provisions and its regulations concerning disposal at sea and with orders and directions made under CEPA. The amendments also expand the jurisdiction of Canadian courts to include the Exclusive Economic Zone of Canada.

Environmental Enforcement Act

The Environmental Enforcement Act, or EEA, received Royal Assent in June 2009 and amends nine existing statutes that are administered by Environment Canada and the Parks Canada Agency, including CEPA and the MBCA. Key provisions of the EEA raise maximum fines and introduce minimum fines for the first time. In particular, the EEA raises maximum fines to as high as \$6 million and establishes minimum fines for serious offences which range between \$5,000 for individuals and \$500,000 for large corporations and vessels or ships of 7,500 tonnes deadweight or over (the minimum fine for serious offences by small corporations and vessels or ships of less than 7,500 tonnes deadweight ranges between \$25,000 and \$75,000). Furthermore, the EEA provides enforcement officers with new powers to investigate cases and grants courts new sentencing authorities to ensure that penalties reflect the seriousness of the pollution and wildlife offences. The EEA is not yet in force and no coming into force date has been announced.

An Act to Amend the Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994 and the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999

Passed in 2005, an Act To Amend The Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994, and the Canadian Environmental Protection Act, 1999 clarifies existing prohibitions, expands the investigative and enforcement

Table of Contents

powers of Environment Canada and provides the government with the ability to enforce the two statutes effectively in Canada's Exclusive Economic Zone. The act also creates or amends a number of strict liability offences. Other amendments effected by the act include:

the extension to every master, chief engineer, owner and operator of a vessel and, if the vessel is owned by a corporation, to certain of its directors and officers, of the duty to take reasonable steps to ensure a vessel's compliance with the prohibition against harmful deposits;

a provision allowing a foreign vessel to be detained within Canada's Exclusive Economic Zone with the consent of the attorney general;

an increased maximum fine of C\$1 million or up to three years' imprisonment, or both, for indictable offences and an increased maximum fine of C\$300,000 or up to six months' imprisonment for summary offences, which penalty provisions extend to the vessel's owner, operator, master and chief engineer;

for offences committed by a vessel in excess of 5,000 tons deadweight, a minimum fine of C\$500,000 for an indictable offence and C\$100,000 for a summary offence;

a provision that an offence can be committed by a person or a vessel; and

the grant to a court of the discretion, on application by a person who has incurred monetary loss as a result of an offence, to order the convicted party to pay compensation to that person.

If one of our vessels fails to comply with its provisions, it could have an adverse effect on us.

Fisheries Act

The Fisheries Act prohibits the deposit of a deleterious substance in waters frequented by fish. The owner of a deleterious substance, the person having control of the substance and the person causing the spill must report the spill and must take all reasonable measures to counteract, mitigate or remedy any adverse effects resulting from a spill and are subject to maximum fines ranging from C\$300,000 to C\$1 million or imprisonment from six months to three years, or both.

Marine Liability Act

The Marine Liability Act, which came into force on August 8, 2001, is the principal legislation dealing with liability of ship owners and operators in relation to passengers, cargo, pollution and property damage. The Marine Liability Act implements the 1992 International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage (the CLC or Civil Liability Convention) and the 1992 International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage (the IOPC or Fund Convention). The Marine Liability Act creates strict liability for a vessel owner for damages from oil pollution from a ship, as well as for the costs and expenses incurred for clean up and preventive measures. Both governments and private parties can pursue vessel owners for damages sustained or incurred as a result of such an incident. Although the act does provide some limited defenses, they are generally not available for spills or pollution incidents arising out of the routine operation of a vessel. The act limits the overall liability of a vessel owner to amounts that are determined by the tonnage of the containership.

In 2009, amendments were made to the Marine Liability Act to enable the implementation of two additional international maritime conventions on pollution liability and compensation, the Supplementary Fund Protocol of 2003 to the 1992 International Oil Pollution Compensation Fund and the International Convention on Civil Liability for Bunker Oil Pollution Damage, 2001. The amendments also introduce the creation of a maritime lien over foreign vessels for unpaid invoices to ship suppliers operating in Canada, and the establishment of a general limitation period of three years in federal law for maritime claims where a limitation period does not currently exist.

Table of Contents

British Columbia's Environmental Management Act

British Columbia's Environmental Management Act, or EMA, governs spills or releases of waste into the environment within the province in a manner or quantity that causes pollution. EMA imposes absolute, retroactive, joint and separate liability for remediation of a contaminated site. Maximum penalties for an offence are C\$1 million or imprisonment for up to six months, or both. Where a person intentionally causes damage to the environment, the maximum penalties are C\$3 million or imprisonment for up to three years, or both.

European Union Requirements

In waters of the European Union, or the EU, our vessels are subject to regulation EU-level directives implemented by the various nations through laws and regulations of these requirements. These laws and regulations prescribe measures to prevent pollution, protect the environment, and support maritime safety. For instance, the EU has adopted directives that require member states to refuse access to their ports to certain sub-standard vessels, according to vessel type, flag, and number of previous detentions. Member states must inspect at least 25% of vessels using their ports annually and provide increased surveillance of vessels posing a high risk to maritime safety or the marine environment. If deficiencies are found that are clearly hazardous to safety, health or the environment, the state is required to detain the vessel until the deficiencies are addressed. Member states are also required to implement a system of penalties for breaches of these standards.

Our vessels are also subject to inspection by appropriate classification societies. Classification societies typically establish and maintain standards for the construction and classification of vessels, supervise that construction is according to these standards, and carry out regular surveys of ships in service to ensure compliance with the standards. The EU has adopted directives that provide member states with greater authority and control over classification societies, including the ability to seek to suspend or revoke the authority of classification societies that are negligent in their duties. The EU requires member states to monitor these organizations' compliance with EU inspection requirements and to suspend any organization whose safety and pollution prevention performance of the organization becomes unsatisfactory.

The EU's directive on the sulfur content of fuels restricts the maximum sulfur content of marine fuels used in vessels operating in EU member states' exclusive economic zones. Under this Directive, our vessels may need to make expenditures to comply with the sulfur fuel content limits in the marine fuel they use in order to avoid delays or other obstructions to their operations. The EU has also issued a directive adopting the IMO's standards for the maximum sulfur content of marine fuels used in special SOx Emission Control Areas, or ECAs, in the Baltic Sea, North Sea, and for any other seas or ports the IMO may designate as SOx ECAs 12 months after the date of entry into force of the designation. These and other related requirements may increase our costs of operating and may affect financial performance.

In response to the sinking of the MT Prestige and resulting oil spill in 2003, the EU adopted a directive requiring member states to impose criminal sanctions for certain pollution discharges committed intentionally, recklessly, or by serious negligence. Penalties may include fines, imprisonment, permanent or temporary disqualification from engaging in commercial activities, placement under judicial supervision, or exclusion from access to public benefits or aid.

The EU also authorizes member states to adopt the IMO's Bunker Convention, discussed above, that imposes strict liability on ship owners for pollution damage caused by spills of oil carried as fuel in vessels' bunkers and requires vessels of a certain size to maintain financial security to cover any liability for such damage.

The EU is currently considering other proposals to further regulate vessel operations. In October 2007, the EU adopted a new Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union that included, in part, the development of environmentally sound end-of-life ship dismantling requirements, promotion of the use of shore-side electricity by ships at berth in EU ports to reduce air emissions, and consideration of options for EU legislation to reduce

Table of Contents

greenhouse gas emissions from maritime transport. Individual countries in the EU may also have additional environmental and safety requirements. It is impossible to predict what additional legislation or regulations, if any, may be promulgated by the European Union or any other country or authority. The trend, however, is towards increasing regulation and our prediction is that, if true, requirements will become more extensive and more stringent. Were more stringent future requirements to be put in effect in the future, they may require, individually or in the aggregate, significant expenditures and could increase our costs of operating, potentially affecting financial performance.

Other Regions

Other regions of the world also have the ability to adopt requirements or regulations that may impose obligations on our vessels and may increase our costs to operate them. We cannot assure you that compliance with these requirements will not entail significant expenditures on our part. However, these requirements would apply to the industry as a whole and should also affect our competitors.

Greenhouse Gas Legislation

In February 2005, the Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, or the Kyoto Protocol, entered into force. Pursuant to the Kyoto Protocol, adopting countries are required to implement national programs to reduce emissions of certain gases, generally referred to as greenhouse gases, which are suspected of contributing to global warming. Currently, the greenhouse gas emissions from international shipping do not come under the Kyoto Protocol. The European Union confirmed in April 2007 that it plans to expand the European Union emissions trading scheme by adding vessels. In the United States, the California Attorney General and a coalition of environmental groups petitioned the EPA in October 2007 to regulate greenhouse gas emissions from ocean-going ships under the Clean Air Act. Legislation has been introduced into the U.S. Congress to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in the United States. In addition, EPA's December 2009 endangerment finding regarding greenhouse gases allows the EPA to begin regulating greenhouse gas emissions under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act. To date, rules proposed by EPA pursuant to this authority have not involved ocean-going vessels. Any passage of climate control legislation or other regulatory initiatives by the IMO, European Union, or individual countries where we operate that restrict emissions of greenhouse gases from vessels could require us to make significant financial expenditures we cannot predict with certainty at this time.

In Canada, the British Columbia Legislature passed the Greenhouse Gas Reduction Targets Act in late 2007 and brought it into force on January 1, 2008. It sets a province-wide 33% reduction in the 2007 level of greenhouse gas emissions by 2020. As part of British Columbia's plan to implement the Western Climate Initiative's cap-and-trade system, a regulation requiring the reporting of greenhouse gas emissions was approved under the authority of the Greenhouse Gas Reduction (Cap and Trade) Act in November 2009. The Reporting Regulation came into effect on January 1, 2010 and requires operations that are located in British Columbia and emitting 10,000 tonnes or more of carbon dioxide equivalent per year to report greenhouse gas emissions to the British Columbia Ministry of Environment. Those reporting operations with emissions of 25,000 tonnes or greater are required to have emissions reports verified by a third party. However certain sectors are exempt from the Reporting Regulation, including marine transportation.

Vessel Security Regulations

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there have been a variety of initiatives intended to enhance vessel security. On November 25, 2002, the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002, or the MTSA, came into effect. To implement certain portions of the MTSA, in July 2003, the United States Coast Guard issued regulations requiring the implementation of certain security requirements aboard vessels operating in waters subject to the jurisdiction of the United States. Similarly, in December 2002, amendments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, or SOLAS, created a new chapter of the convention dealing specifically with maritime security. The new chapter came into effect in July 2004 and imposes various

Table of Contents

detailed security obligations on vessels and port authorities, most of which are contained in the newly created International Ship and Port Facilities Security Code or ISPS Code. Among the various requirements are:

on-board installation of automatic information systems, or AIS, to enhance vessel-to-vessel and vessel-to-shore communications;

on-board installation of ship security alert systems;

the development of vessel security plans; and

compliance with flag state security certification requirements.

The United States Coast Guard regulations, intended to align with international maritime security standards, exempt non-United States vessels from MTSA vessel security measures provided such vessels have on board a valid International Ship Security Certificate, or ISSC, that attests to the vessel's compliance with SOLAS security requirements and the ISPS Code. The VesselCos implemented the various security measures addressed by the MTSA, SOLAS and the ISPS Code and we intend to continue to do so in the future.

C. Organizational Structure

We incorporated three wholly-owned subsidiary companies in 2007: Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd., Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd. and Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd. In 2009, we incorporated an additional wholly-owned subsidiary company: Seaspan (Asia) Corporation. Seaspan (Asia) Corporation incorporated three wholly-owned subsidiary companies in 2009: Seaspan Containership 2181 Ltd., Seaspan Containership 2177 Ltd., and Seaspan Containership S452 Ltd. Each of our subsidiary companies, and their wholly owned subsidiaries, was incorporated in the Marshall Islands.

D. Property, Plants and Equipment

Our Fleet

As of December 31, 2009, we owned and operated a fleet of 42 containerships and have entered into contracts to purchase or lease an additional 26 containerships. The average age of our fleet of 42 vessels was 4.9 years as of December 31, 2009.

The following table summarizes facts regarding the size and capacity for the 42 vessels in operation as of December 31, 2009:

Vessel Class (TEU)	# of Vessels	Shipyard	Approximate Vessel Dimensions and Gross Tonnage				Classification Society	
			Length (in meters)	Width (in meters)	Depth (in meters)	Gross Tonnage (tons)	Design, Construction & Inspection	Operation
9600	2	Samsung	336.7	45.6	27.2	108,069	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾
8500	2	Samsung	334.0	42.8	24.6	90,645	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾
5100	3	HHI	294.1	32.2	22.1	54,940	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	DNV ⁽³⁾
4800	4	Odense-Lindo	294.2	32.2	21.5	52,191	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾
4250	19	Samsung	260.0	32.3	19.3	39,941	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾ & DNV ⁽³⁾
4250	2	New Jiangsu	261.0	32.3	19.3	40,541	Germanischer ⁽²⁾	DNV ⁽³⁾

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

3500	2	Zhejiang	231.0	32.2	18.8	35,988	Germanischer ⁽²⁾	DNV ⁽³⁾
2500	8	Jiangsu	208.9	29.8	16.4	26,404	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾	Lloyd's ⁽¹⁾
42								

(1) Lloyd's Register of Shipping, or Lloyd's

(2) Germanischer Lloyd, or Germanischer

(3) Det Norske Veritas, or DNV

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes key facts regarding the time charters for the 42 vessels in operation as of December 31, 2009:

Vessel Name	Vessel Class (TEU)	Commencement of Charter	Year Built	Charterer	Length of Time Charter	Daily Charter Rate (in thousands)
CSCL Zeebrugge	9600	3/15/07	2007	CSCL Asia	12 years	\$ 34.0 ⁽¹⁾
CSCL Long Beach	9600	7/5/07	2007	CSCL Asia	12 years	34.0 ⁽¹⁾
CSCL Oceania ⁽³⁾	8500	12/4/04	2004	CSCL Asia	12 years + one 3-year option	29.5 ⁽²⁾
CSCL Africa	8500	1/24/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years + one 3-year option	29.5 ⁽²⁾
MOL Emerald	5100	4/30/09	2009	MOL	12 years	28.9
MOL Eminence	5100	8/31/09	2009	MOL	12 years	28.9
MOL Emissary	5100	11/20/09	2009	MOL	12 years	28.9
MSC Sweden	4800	11/6/06	1989	APM	5 years + two 1-year options + one 2-year option	23.5 ⁽⁴⁾
Cap Victor ⁽⁵⁾	4800	11/20/06	1988	APM	5 years + two 1-year options + one 2-year option	23.5 ⁽⁴⁾
Cap York	4800	12/6/06	1989	APM	5 years + two 1-year options + one 2-year option	23.5 ⁽⁴⁾
MSC Ancona	4800	12/22/06	1989	APM	5 years + two 1-year options + one 2-year option	23.5 ⁽⁴⁾
CSCL Hamburg	4250	7/3/01	2001	CSCL Asia	10 years + one 2-year option	18.3 ⁽⁶⁾
CSCL Chiwan	4250	9/20/01	2001	CSCL Asia	10 years + one 2-year option	18.3 ⁽⁶⁾
CSCL Ningbo	4250	6/15/02	2002	CSCL Asia	10 years + one 2-year option	19.7 ⁽⁶⁾
CSCL Dalian	4250	9/4/02	2002	CSCL Asia	10 years + one 2-year option	19.7 ⁽⁷⁾
CSCL Felixstowe	4250	10/15/02	2002	CSCL Asia	10 years + one 2-year option	19.7 ⁽⁷⁾
CSCL Vancouver	4250	2/16/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years	17.0
CSCL Sydney	4250	4/19/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years	17.0
CSCL New York	4250	5/26/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years	17.0
CSCL Melbourne	4250	8/17/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years	17.0
CSCL Brisbane	4250	9/15/05	2005	CSCL Asia	12 years	17.0
New Delhi Express	4250	10/18/05	2005	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Dubai Express	4250	1/3/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Jakarta Express	4250	2/21/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Saigon Express	4250	4/6/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Lahore Express	4250	7/11/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Rio Grande Express	4250	10/20/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Santos Express	4250	11/13/06	2006	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Rio de Janeiro Express	4250	3/28/07	2007	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
Manila Express	4250	5/23/07	2007	HL USA	3 years + seven 1-year extensions + two 1-year options ⁽⁹⁾	18.0 ⁽⁸⁾
CSAV Loncomilla	4250	4/28/09	2009	CSAV	6 years	25.9
CSAV Lumaco	4250	5/14/09	2009	CSAV	6 years	25.9
COSCO Fuzhou	3500	3/27/07	2007	COSCON	12 years	19.0
COSCO Yingkou	3500	7/5/07	2007	COSCON	12 years	19.0
CSCL Panama	2500	5/15/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL Montevideo	2500	9/6/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL São Paulo	2500	8/11/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL Lima	2500	10/15/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL Santiago	2500	11/8/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL San Jose	2500	12/1/08	2008	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL Callao	2500	4/10/09	2009	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾
CSCL Manzanillo	2500	9/21/09	2009	CSCL Asia	12 years	16.8 ⁽¹⁰⁾

(1) CSCL Asia has a charter period of 12 years with a charter rate of \$34,000 per day, increasing to \$34,500 per day after six years.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

- (2) CSCL Asia has an initial charter period of 12 years with a charter rate of \$29,500 per day for the first six years, \$29,800 per day for the second six years, and \$30,000 per day during the option period.

Table of Contents

- (3) The MSC Belgium was renamed CSCL Oceania on or about September 5, 2009 following the end of its sub-charter by CSCL Asia to Mediterranean Shipping Company S.A.
- (4) APM has a initial charter period of five years at \$23,450 per day, two consecutive one-year options to charter the vessel at \$22,400 and \$21,400 per day, respectively, and a final two-year option to charter the vessel at \$20,400 per day; provided, however, that APM may declare an initial charter period on one or two vessels that is up to 9 months less than 5 years so long as they declare an initial charter period that is correspondingly greater than 5 years for the same number of vessels. In addition, we pay an affiliate of APM a 0.5% commission on all hire payments for each of the APM charters.
- (5) The name of the Maersk Matane was changed to Cap Victor on or about August 7, 2009 in connection with the subcharter of the vessel by APM to Hamburg Süd;
- (6) CSCL Asia has an initial charter period of ten years with a charter rate of \$18,000 per day for the first five years, \$18,300 per day for the second five years, and \$19,000 per day for the final two-year option.
- (7) CSCL Asia has an initial charter period of ten years with a charter rate of \$19,933 per day for the first five years, \$19,733 per day for the second five years, and \$20,500 per day for the final two-year option.
- (8) HL USA has an initial charter period of three years that automatically extends for up to an additional seven years with a charter rate of \$18,000 per day, and \$18,500 per day for the final two one-year options.
- (9) For these charters, the initial charter period is three years that automatically extends for up to an additional seven years in successive one-year extensions, unless HL USA elects to terminate the charters with two years prior written notice. The charterer is required to pay a termination fee of approximately \$8.0 million to terminate a charter at the end of the initial charter period. The termination fee declines by \$1.0 million per year per vessel in years four through nine. The initial charter periods of the charters for the *New Delhi Express*, the *Dubai Express*, the *Jakarta Express*, the *Saigon Express*, the *Lahore Express*, the *Rio Grande Express* and the *Santos Express* have expired, and these charters have automatically extended pursuant to their terms. As at December 31, 2009, no notice of non-renewal has been received for the remaining two vessels.
- (10) CSCL Asia has a charter period of 12 years with a charter rate of \$16,750 per day for the first six years of the charter period, increasing to \$16,900 per day for the second 6 years.

New Vessel Contracts

At December 31, 2009, we had contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, 26 additional containerships that are currently or will be under construction. These consist of two 2500 TEU vessels, two 4250 TEU vessels, five 4500 TEU vessels, one 5100 TEU vessel, eight 8500 TEU vessels and eight 13100 TEU vessels. We expect to take delivery of these 26 containerships over approximately the next 30 months. To fund the remaining portion of the price of the vessels we have contracted to purchase, or lease as the case may be, we need to raise in the range of approximately \$180 million to \$240 million in common or other equity and or other forms of capital beginning no later than approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately the second quarter of 2012. This amount of capital is based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The amount of capital required decreased from a range of approximately \$500 million to \$600 million because of the decision of our board of directors to reduce the quarterly dividend from \$0.475 to \$0.10 per share as announced on April 28, 2009. Due to the uncertainties associated with the global recession and the capital markets, our board of directors cannot determine how long this reduction will be in effect. The reduction will enable Seaspan to retain an approximate additional amount of \$100 million per year that can be redeployed to fund its newbuilding program.

As a result of the recent economic slowdown and over-capacity in the container shipping industry as well as the uncertainty of the capital markets, we have been pursuing alternatives in cooperation with our shipyards and charterers to delay the delivery of some of the 26 vessels that we have contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, over the next 30 months. In this regard, we entered into agreements with some of our shipyards whereby under certain circumstances we had the option to delay the delivery of up to 15 of the newbuilding vessels that we have contracted to purchase. In July 2009, we exercised the option to delay the delivery of 11 of the 15 vessels and we deferred the delivery dates for those vessels for periods ranging from two to 15 months from the dates agreed to under the original shipbuilding contracts. We did not exercise the option to delay the delivery of the remaining four vessels. As set out in the option agreements, we will compensate the shipyards for their

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

costs and expenses related to the deferral at the time we pay the final installment to the shipyards. The shipbuilding contracts and time charters have been amended to reflect the deferred dates.

Table of Contents

Two of our shipyards also agreed to delay the delivery of five additional vessels that were not subject to option agreements. The deferrals are for a period of approximately nine months from the dates that were agreed to under the original shipbuilding contract. The shipbuilding contracts and time charters for these five vessels have been amended to allow for the new delivery dates.

We previously agreed to acquire ten 2500 TEU vessels from Jiangsu as each vessel is delivered and passes inspection, each vessel to be built at Jiangsu's shipyard in Jiangsu Province, China. The average contractual purchase price for these 2500 TEU vessels is \$41.4 million per vessel. Six of these vessels were delivered in 2008 and two were delivered in 2009. These eight vessels are currently operating under twelve-year time charters with CSCL Asia. The remaining two vessels will be subject to ten-year time charters with K-Line, commencing upon delivery.

We previously agreed to acquire four 4250 TEU vessels from New Jiangsu as each vessel is delivered and passes inspection, each vessel to be built by New Jiangsu at its shipyard in Jiangsu Province, China. The contractual purchase price is \$61.4 million per vessel. Two of these vessels were delivered in 2009 and are currently operating under six-year time charters with CSAV. The remaining two vessels 4250 will be subject to a six-year time charter with CSAV, commencing upon delivery.

We previously agreed to acquire four 5100 TEU vessels from HHI as each vessel is delivered and passes inspection, each vessel to be built by HHI at its shipyard in Ulsan, South Korea. The contractual purchase price is \$77.4 million per vessel. Three of these vessels were delivered in 2009 and are currently operating under twelve-year time charters with MOL. The remaining vessel will be subject to a twelve-year time charter with MOL, commencing upon delivery.

We have also agreed to acquire eight 8500 TEU vessels from HHI as each vessel is delivered and passes inspection. The contractual purchase price is \$122.4 million per vessel. These eight vessels will be assembled, launched, completed, commissioned and delivered by HHI at its shipyard in Ulsan, South Korea. Each 8500 TEU vessel will be subject to a twelve-year time charter with COSCON with three one-year options, commencing upon delivery.

We have also agreed to acquire eight 13100 TEU vessels, five of which will be built by HHI at its shipyard in Ulsan, South Korea and the other three by HSHI at its shipyard in Samho, South Korea. Again, we will acquire these vessels as they are delivered and pass inspection. The contractual purchase price is \$165.3 million per vessel. Each 13100 TEU vessel will be subject to a twelve-year time charter with COSCON, commencing upon delivery.

We have also agreed to lease five 4500 TEU vessels from Peony as each vessel is delivered to Peony and passes inspection. These five vessels will be built by Samsung at its shipyard in Geoje Island, South Korea. Each 4500 TEU vessel will be subject to a twelve-year time charter with K-Line with two three-year options, commencing upon delivery.

For the exercise of options to defer vessels as described above, we will pay an aggregate additional \$19.0 million on our new vessel contracts.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2009, the 21 newbuilding containerhips that we have contracted to purchase and the five that we have contracted to lease are currently or will be under construction and consist of the following vessels:

Vessel	Vessel Class (TEU)	Length of Time Charter ⁽¹⁾	Charterer	Daily Charter Rate (in thousands)	Shipbuilder
Hull No. S452	13100	12 years	COSCON	\$ 55.0	HSHI
Hull No. 2177	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HHI
Hull No. S453	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HSHI
Hull No. 2178	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HHI
Hull No. S454	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HSHI
Hull No. 2179	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HHI
Hull No. 2180	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HHI
Hull No. 2181	13100	12 years	COSCON	55.0	HHI
COSCO Japan	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Korea	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Philippines	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Malaysia	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Indonesia	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Thailand	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Pakistan	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
COSCO Vietnam	8500	12 years + three one- year options	COSCON	42.9 ⁽²⁾	HHI
MOL Empire	5100	12 years	MOL	28.9	HHI
Brotonne Bridge	4500	12 years + two three- year options	K-Line	34.3 ⁽³⁾	Samsung
Brevik Bridge	4500	12 years + two three- year options	K-Line	34.3 ⁽³⁾	Samsung
Bilbao Bridge	4500	12 years + two three- year options	K-Line	34.3 ⁽³⁾	Samsung
Berlin Bridge	4500	12 years + two three- year options	K-Line	34.3 ⁽³⁾	Samsung
Budapest Bridge	4500	12 years + two three- year options	K-Line	34.3 ⁽³⁾	Samsung
CSAV Lingue	4250	6 years	CSAV	25.9	New Jiangsu
CSAV Lebu	4250	6 years	CSAV	25.9	New Jiangsu
Guayaquil Bridge	2500	10 years	K-Line	17.9	Jiangsu
Calicanto Bridge	2500	10 years	K-Line	17.9	Jiangsu

(1) Each charter begins upon delivery of the vessel to the relevant charterer.

(2) COSCON has an initial charter period of 12 years with a charter rate of \$42,900 per day and \$43,400 per day for the three one-year options.

(3) K-Line has an initial charter period of 12 years with a charter rate of \$34,250 per day for the first six years, increasing to \$34,500 per day for the second six years, \$37,500 for the first three-year option period and \$42,500 for the second three-year option period.

The following chart details the estimated number of vessels in our fleet as we take contractual delivery ⁽¹⁾:

	Forecasted Year Ended December 31,			
	2009	2010	2011	2012
Deliveries	7	13	9	4
Operating Vessels	42	55	64	68

Total Capacity (TEU)	187,456	266,157	349,075	401,443
-----------------------------	----------------	----------------	----------------	----------------

(1) This table reflects the deferred delivery dates of the 16 vessels as previously described.

Table of Contents

Item 5. Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

A. Results of Operations

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following management's discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our historical financial statements and their notes included elsewhere in this report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, such as those set forth in the section entitled "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Annual Report.

Overview

We are Seaspan Corporation, a Marshall Islands corporation that was incorporated on May 3, 2005. Our business is to own containerships, charter them pursuant to long-term, fixed-rate charters and seek additional accretive vessel acquisitions. We deploy all our vessels on long-term, fixed-rate time charters to take advantage of the stable cash flow and high utilization rates that are typically associated with long-term time charters. Since our initial public offering, our primary objective has been to continue to grow our business through accretive acquisitions over the mid to long-term and as market conditions allow in order to increase our dividend per share.

As of December 31, 2009, we owned and operated a fleet of 42 containerships and have entered into contracts to purchase or lease an additional 26 containerships. The average age of our fleet of 42 vessels was 4.9 years as of December 31, 2009. Please read "Information on the Company" D. Property, Plants and Equipment "Our Fleet" for more information.

Our customer selection process is targeted at well-established container liner companies that charter-in vessels on a long-term basis as part of their fleet expansion strategy. Currently, 22 containerships in our fleet are under time charters with CSCL Asia. CSCL Asia is a subsidiary of CSCL and is listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange. CSCL Asia primarily operates in the China trade routes. Nine containerships in our fleet are under time charters with HL USA, which is an affiliate of Hapag-Lloyd. Our four 4800 TEU vessels are chartered to APM. Two containerships are currently chartered to COSCON. Our three 5100 TEU vessels are chartered to MOL, and two of our 4250 TEU containerships are currently chartered to CSAV. The 26 containerships that we have contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, are similarly under long-term charters. Sixteen of those vessels are under time charters with COSCON, seven with K-Line, one with MOL and two with CSAV. For more information on our charterers and the provisions of our time charter contracts, please read "Information on the Company" B. Business Overview "Time Charters."

Critical Accounting Estimates

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or GAAP, and we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and the related disclosures of contingent obligations. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments. We base our estimates on historical experience and anticipated results and trends and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. By their nature, estimates are subject to an inherent degree of uncertainty. Actual results may differ from our estimates.

Senior management has discussed with our audit committee the development, selection, and disclosure of accounting estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Amortization of Dry-Docking Activities

We defer costs incurred for dry-docking activities. Dry-docking of our vessels is performed every five years and includes major overhaul activities that are comprehensive and all encompassing. Regular repairs and maintenance during operations on the other hand are limited in scope.

Table of Contents

Repairs and maintenance normally performed on an operational vessel either at port or at sea are limited to repairs to specific damages caused by a particular incident or normal wear and tear, or minor maintenance to minimize the wear and tear to the vessel. Above the water line repairs, minor deck maintenance and equipment repairs may be performed to the extent the operations and safety of the crew and vessel are not compromised.

Major overhaul performed during dry-docking is differentiated from normal operating repairs and maintenance by these factors: safety, operational priorities, dry-dock specific equipment, shore contractor skills, time and earnings capability. A vessel at dry-dock under the requirements of a classification society must perform certain assessments, refurbishments, replacements and alterations within a safe non-operational environment that allows for complete shutdown of certain machinery and equipment, navigational, ballast (keep the vessel upright) and safety systems. Such shutdowns are either not allowed during a regular port call or are operationally difficult to effect and extremely unsafe at sea. Below water inspection and overhaul (such as hull steel replacement and the painting/resurfacing of the hull, rudder, propeller or thrusters) and the examination of internal tanks, engine casing integrity and internal engine components require access to areas normally filled or enveloped with water, lubes or fuel and are extremely hazardous during vessel operations even at port. Other areas not accessible for major overhaul during vessel operations include cargo holds, hatch covers, and main switchboard, which are continuously involved in the vessel's activities. Additional specialized equipment required to access and maneuver vessel components such as hatch covers, which weigh approximately 32 tons, and engine room blowers are not available at regular ports and such activities have an impact on revenue.

A regular port visit requires a vessel to continuously unload and reload containers and the vessel must clear the port within a short timeframe. Major overhauls during dry-dock, where components are dismantled, examined, altered, replaced, resealed and refinished, may take days to complete far beyond the duration of a port call. Additionally, specialized shore skills from contractors and dry-dock specific equipment are required to perform a major comprehensive overhaul. Examination of large complex engine components, electrical systems, pipes and valves, internal tanks, the aggregate of which encompasses a vast portion of the vessel, are unsafe to examine during continuous vessel operations and are, therefore, deferred to a safe dry-dock environment. Minimizing container port stay mitigates the risk of off-hire and reduced revenues, while a major overhaul during dry-dock, which may include technological changes, can help control future costs and, in combination, enhance long term profitability.

The major components of dry-docking costs include: 1) yard costs, which may include riggers, pilot/tugs, yard fees, hull painting service, deck repairs (such as steel work, anchors, chains, valves, tanks, and hatches) and engine components (such as shafts, thrusters, propeller, rudder, main engine and auxiliary machinery); 2) non-yard costs which includes the paint, technician service costs and parts ordered specifically for dry-dock; and 3) other costs associated with communications, pilots, tugs, survey fees, port fees and classification fees.

We currently defer dry-docking amounts based on the costs incurred under the management agreements. At the date of our initial public offering, certain amounts were paid by our predecessor to our Manager for expected dry-docking liabilities relating to certain vessels currently in operation. These amounts are not included in our consolidated financial statements as they are recorded by our Manager. As of December 31, 2009, we estimate that the five-year China based dry-docking costs for the vessels currently in operation would range from \$0.4 million to \$0.6 million per vessel. Based on this estimate, if the current operating fleet dry-docked and the dry-docking costs were deferred, the expected annual amortization of dry-docking costs would be approximately \$3.8 million for the 42 vessels in our fleet as of December 31, 2009. The actual costs may be materially different than this estimate. Dry-docking costs are subject to changes in global economics, port availability and changes in trade routes made by the charterer, which may cause actual costs to be materially different than current estimates. We will reimburse the Manager for the amount of dry-docking costs that are considered to be extraordinary costs and expenses as defined in the management agreements.

Table of Contents

Vessel Lives

We depreciate our vessels using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives. We review the estimate of our vessels useful lives on an ongoing basis to ensure they reflect current technology, service potential, and vessel structure. For accounting purposes, we estimate the useful life of the vessels will be 30 years from the date of initial completion. Should certain factors or circumstances cause us to revise our estimate of vessel service lives in the future, depreciation expense could be materially lower or higher. Such factors include, but are not limited to, the extent of cash flows generated from future charter arrangements, changes in international shipping requirements, and other factors, many of which are outside of our control.

Impairment of Long-lived Assets

Our business is capital intensive and has required, and will continue to require, significant investments in vessels. At December 31, 2009, the net book value of our vessels was \$3.5 billion. We estimate the useful lives of vessels and this is used as the basis for recording depreciation and amortization. Recoverability of vessels is measured by comparing the net book value of an asset to the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to be generated from the asset over its estimated useful life. An impairment charge is recognized in cases where the undiscounted expected future cash flows from an asset are less than the net book value of the asset. The impairment charge is equal to the amount by which the net book value of the asset exceeds its fair value.

We test our long-lived assets for recoverability whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Examples of such events or changes in circumstances related to our long-lived assets include, but are not restricted to: a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which it is being used or in its physical condition; a significant adverse change in legal factors or in the business climate that could affect its value, including an adverse action or assessment by a foreign government that impacts the use of the asset; or a current-period operating or cash flow loss combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses, or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with its use. To the extent that there has been a general decline in the market value of vessels, we will analyze our vessels for impairment to the extent that the decline in market value is expected to impact the future cash flows of the vessel. In cases where the vessel being analyzed is under a long-term charter party agreement, a decline in the current market value of the vessel may not impact the recoverability of its carrying value.

There are two key variables that impact our estimate of future cash flows: (1) the length and rates of any current time charter and management arrangements, and (2) the terms of any arrangements entered into beyond the current time charter. Cash flow estimates are based on current contractual rates, to the extent such information is available. Longer term rate estimates are based on our view of long-term supply and demand and consideration is given to many factors including but not limited to estimates of changes in general economic conditions, future shipping capacity, and the global industry cost structure. Changes in these assumptions will impact our estimates of future cash flows. Consequently, it is possible that our future operating results could be adversely affected by asset impairment charges or by changes in depreciation and amortization rates related to our vessels.

Intangible Assets

For certain vessels where the Company provides lubricants for the operation of such vessels, the Company has a contractual right to have the vessel returned with the same level and complement of lubricants. This contractual right is recorded as an intangible asset at the historical fair value of the lubricants at the time of delivery. Intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or more frequently due to events or changes in circumstances that indicate the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value.

Derivative Instruments

Our hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate swap and swaption agreements have been entered into to reduce our exposure to market risks from

Table of Contents

changing interest rates. Derivatives and hedging activities are accounted for in accordance with guidance on disclosures about derivative instruments and hedging activities (FASB ASC 815), which requires that all derivative instruments be recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. We recognize the interest rate swap and swaption agreements on the balance sheet at their fair value.

To qualify for hedge accounting, derivatives must be highly effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and must be formally designated as a hedge at the inception of the hedging relationship. We consider a hedge to be highly effective if the change in fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is within 80% to 125% of the opposite change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. For interest rate swap agreements that qualify for hedge accounting and are formally designated as cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded in other comprehensive income and are reclassified to earnings when and where the hedged transaction is reflected in earnings. Ineffective portions of the hedges are recognized in earnings as they occur. Actual cash receipts and/or payments and related accruals on derivatives related to hedges are recorded as adjustments to the interest income or interest expense associated with the hedged item. During the life of the hedge, we formally assess whether each derivative designated as a hedging instrument continues to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items. If it is determined that a hedge has ceased to be highly effective, we will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively. Furthermore, for hedges that may be highly effective or may continue to be highly effective for accounting purposes, we may not elect to apply hedge accounting or may prospectively discontinue hedge accounting for such hedges.

When we de-designate a hedging relationship and discontinue hedge accounting, we evaluate the future settlements to determine whether there are any hedged interest rate payments that are improbable to occur. When such amounts are identified as being improbable, the balance pertaining to these amounts that is included in accumulated other comprehensive income is reversed through earnings immediately. When amounts are not identified as improbable, any balances recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income at the de-designation date are recognized in earnings when the related settlements under the interest rate swap occur.

For interest rate swap agreements and the swaption agreement that are not designated as hedging instruments or have been de-designated, we record changes in the fair value of these instruments in earnings.

On January 31, 2008, we de-designated two of our interest rate swap hedges when we changed our forecasts of probable outstanding debt as a result of certain changes in economic factors and capital structuring.

On September 30, 2008, we elected to prospectively de-designate the remainder of our designated interest rate swaps. This election was made due to the compliance burden associated with this accounting policy. The amounts included in other comprehensive loss related to these interest rate swaps will be recognized in earnings when and where the interest payments will be recognized.

We do not hedge foreign currency translation of assets or liabilities or foreign currency transactions or use financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities that the Company will apply prospectively effective January 1, 2010. The new guidance will change the evaluation of when a variable interest entity should be consolidated and requires additional disclosures about a reporting entity's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new guidance.

Important Financial and Operational Terms and Concepts

We use a variety of financial and operational terms and concepts when analyzing our performance. These include the following:

Bunkers. Heavy fuel and diesel oil used to power a ship's engines.

Table of Contents

Charter. The hire of a ship for a specified period of time or a particular voyage to carry a cargo from a loading port to a discharging port. The contract for a charter is commonly called a charterparty.

Charterer. The party that hires a ship for a period of time or for a voyage.

Charterhire. A sum of money paid to the shipowner by a charterer for the use of a ship. Charterhire paid under a voyage charter is also known as freight .

Classification society. An independent organization that certifies that a ship has been built and maintained according to the organization's rules for that type of ship and complies with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of the ship's registry and the international conventions of which that country is a member. A ship that receives its certification is referred to as being in-class .

Dry-docking. The removal of a ship from the water for inspection and repair of those parts of a ship that are below the water line and other normally inaccessible areas of the vessel. During dry-dockings, which are required to be carried out periodically, certain mandatory classification society inspections are carried out and relevant certifications are issued. Dry-dockings for containerships generally occur once every five years, at which time a special survey may be conducted.

Ship operating expenses. The costs of operating a ship, primarily consisting of crew wages and associated costs, insurance premiums, management fee, lubricants and spare parts, and repair and maintenance costs. Ship operating expenses exclude fuel cost, port expenses, agents fees, canal dues and extra war risk insurance, as well as commissions, which are included in voyage expenses .

Special survey. The inspection of a ship by a classification society surveyor that takes place every five years, as part of the recertification of the ship by a classification society.

Spot market. The market for immediate chartering of a ship, usually for single voyages.

TEU. Twenty-foot equivalent unit, the international standard measure for containers and containership capacity.

Time charter. A charter under which the shipowner hires out a ship for a specified period of time. The shipowner is responsible for providing the crew and paying ship operating expenses while the charterer is responsible for paying the voyage expenses and additional voyage insurance. The shipowner is paid charterhire, which accrues on a daily basis.

Voyage charter. A charter under which a shipowner hires out a ship for a specific voyage between the loading port and the discharging port. The shipowner is responsible for paying both ship operating expenses and voyage expenses. Typically, the charterer is responsible for any delay at the loading or discharging ports. The shipowner is paid freight on the basis of the cargo movement between ports.

Voyage expenses. Expenses incurred due to a ship's traveling from a loading port to a discharging port, such as fuel (bunkers) cost, port expenses, agents' fees, canal dues, extra war risk insurance and commissions.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2008

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations is for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and, except where otherwise specifically indicated, all amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our operating results for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008.

	Year Ended December 31, 2009	Year Ended December 31, 2008
Statement of operations data (in thousands of dollars):		
Revenue	\$ 285,594	\$ 229,405
Operating expenses:		
Ship operating	80,162	54,416
Depreciation	69,996	57,448
General and administrative	7,968	8,895
Operating earnings	127,468	108,646
Other expenses (income):		
Interest expense	21,194	33,035
Interest income	(311)	(694)
Undrawn credit facility fee	4,641	5,251
Amortization of deferred charges	2,042	1,825
Change in fair value of financial instruments	(46,450)	268,575
Other expenses	1,100	
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 145,252	\$ (199,346)
Common shares outstanding at year end:	67,734,811	66,800,141
Per share data (in dollars):		
Basic earnings (loss) per class A and B common share	\$ 1.94	\$ (3.12)
Diluted earnings (loss) per class A and B common share	\$ 1.58	\$ (3.12)
Dividends paid per class A and B common share	\$ 0.775	\$ 1.90
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per class C common share	\$	\$
Dividends paid per class C common share	\$	\$
Statement of cash flows data (in thousands of dollars):		
Cash flows provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 94,576	\$ 124,752
Investing activities	(409,520)	(634,782)
Financing activities	312,059	523,181
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (2,885)	\$ 13,151
Selected balance sheet data (in thousands of dollars):		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 133,400	\$ 136,285
Vessels	3,485,350	3,126,489
Other assets	45,697	34,098
Total assets	\$ 3,664,447	\$ 3,296,872
Other liabilities	30,692	23,654
Fair value of financial instruments	280,445	414,769
Long-term debt	1,883,146	1,721,158
Other long-term liabilities	410,598	390,931
Shareholders' equity	1,059,566	746,360
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 3,664,447	\$ 3,296,872

Other data:

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Number of vessels in operation at period end	42	35
Average age of fleet in years at period end	4.9	4.8
TEU capacity at period end	187,456	158,483
Average remaining initial term on outstanding charters	7.1	7.6
Fleet utilization	99.7%	99.3%

Table of Contents

In 2008, we accepted delivery of six vessels. We began 2009 with 35 vessels in operation and took delivery of seven newbuilding vessels during 2009 for a total of 42 vessels in operation at December 31, 2009. Operating days are the primary driver of revenue while ownership days are the driver for ship operating costs.

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase	
	2009	2008	Days	%
Operating days	14,003	11,195	2,808	25.1%
Ownership days	14,041	11,277	2,764	24.5%

Financial Summary (in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2009	2008	\$	%
Revenue	\$ 285.6	\$ 229.4	\$ 56.2	24.5%
Ship operating expenses	80.2	54.4	25.7	47.3%
Depreciation	70.0	57.4	12.5	21.8%
General and administrative expenses	8.0	8.9	(0.9)	(10.4%)
Interest expense	21.2	33.0	(11.8)	(35.8%)
Change in fair value of financial instruments	(46.5)	268.6	(315.0)	(117.3%)
Other expenses	1.1		1.1	100.0%
<i>Revenue</i>				

The increase in revenue is due to an increase in operating days and the dollar impact thereof, for the year was due to the following:

	Operating Days impact	\$ impact (in millions)
2009 vessel deliveries	1,259	\$ 30.5
Full year contribution for 2008 vessel deliveries	1,534	25.7
Scheduled off-hire	(15)	(0.6)
Other *	30	0.6
Total	2,808	\$ 56.2

* Other includes the impact of: 59 fewer days of unscheduled off-hire off-set by the 29 day ownership impact due to the leap year in 2008 for the 29 vessels in operation at that time.

Vessel utilization was 99.7% for the year ended December 31, 2009, compared to 99.3% for the prior year.

Ship Operating Expenses

The increase in ship operating expense was partially due to the adjustment of technical services fees for the period commencing January 1, 2009 which increased the fees by approximately 23% from the initial technical services fees.

The increase in ownership days, and the dollar impact thereof, for the year was due to the following:

Ownership Days impact	\$ impact (in millions)
--------------------------	----------------------------

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Adjustment of technical services fees *	(29)	\$	11.5
Full year contribution for 2008 vessel deliveries	1,522		8.2
2009 vessel deliveries	1,271		6.9
Fewer extraordinary ** costs and expenses not covered by the fixed fee			(0.9)
Total	2,764	\$	25.7

* *This 29 day ownership impact is due to the leap year in 2008 for the 29 vessels in operation at that time.*

** *Extraordinary costs and expenses are defined in our management agreements and do not relate to extraordinary items as defined by GAAP.*

Table of Contents

Depreciation

The increase in depreciation expense was due to the additional ownership days from the seven deliveries in 2009 and a full year for the 2008 deliveries.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses decreased primarily to lower share-based compensation and a reduction in discretionary activities.

Interest Expense

Interest expense is composed of interest at the variable rate plus margin incurred on debt for operating vessels and a non-cash reclassification of amounts from accumulated other comprehensive income related to previously designated hedging relationships. Although the average operating debt balance was higher in the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the prior year, interest expense decreased due to a decrease in LIBOR. The average LIBOR for the year ended December 31, 2009 was 0.4% compared to 3.0% for the prior year. Although we enter into fixed interest rate swaps, the difference between the variable interest rate and the swapped fixed rate on operating debt is recorded in our change in fair value of financial instruments caption as required by financial reporting standards. The interest incurred on our long-term debt for our vessels under construction is capitalized to the respective vessels under construction.

Undrawn Credit Facility Fee

The decrease in undrawn credit facility fees is due to lower average undrawn balances on our credit facilities due to increased debt draws for construction and final delivery of vessels. We pay commitment fees ranging from 0.2% to 0.35% on our credit facilities, which are expensed as incurred.

Amortization of Deferred Charges

Amortization of deferred charges relating to our financing fees increased by 14.4%, or \$0.2 million, to \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Financing fees are deferred and amortized over the terms of the individual credit facilities using the effective interest yield basis. To the extent that the amortization of the deferred financing fees relates to our operating credit facilities, the amortization is expensed while the amortization of the deferred financing fees relating to our construction facilities are capitalized to the related vessels under construction.

Amortization relating to dry-dock is consistent with the prior year. We apply the deferral method of accounting for dry-docking activities whereby actual costs incurred are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the period until the next scheduled dry-docking activity.

Change in Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The change in fair value of financial instruments resulted in a gain of \$46.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to a loss of \$268.6 million for the prior year. The change in fair value gain of \$46.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 is due to increases in the forward LIBOR curve and overall market changes in credit risk since December 31, 2008. During the year ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, the change in fair value of financial instruments includes losses of \$nil and \$1.6 million, respectively, for ineffectiveness on interest rate swaps designated as hedges. Also, included in the change in fair value of financial instruments for the year ended December 31, 2008, is a \$1.6 million charge to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the two interest rate swaps that were de-designated during the three months ended March 31, 2008. We have de-designated these interest rate swaps as a result of certain changes in the forecasts of probable debt which decreased the probable notional debt balances at certain future interest settlement periods.

Table of Contents

On September 30, 2008, due to the compliance and expense burden associated with applying hedge accounting, we elected to prospectively de-designate all interest rate swaps for which we were applying hedge accounting treatment. As a result, from October 1, 2008, all of our interest rate swap agreements and our swaption agreement are marked to market with all changes in the fair value of these instruments recorded in Change in fair value of financial instruments in the Statement of Operations. Prior to de-designation on September 30, 2008, approximately 30% of the change in fair value was recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss in the equity section of our balance sheet for our designated swaps with the change in fair value of our non-designated swaps recorded in Change in fair value of financial instruments in the statement of operations.

Change in fair value of derivative financial instruments is a required accounting adjustment under financial reporting standards. At the end of each reporting period, we must record a mark-to-market adjustment for our interest rate swap agreements and swaption agreement as though the instruments were realized as of the reporting date.

Please read Item 11 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk for further discussion.

Other expenses

Additional charges of \$1.1 million were accrued for in the three months ended June 30, 2009. This amount is due to the shipyards in connection with the 11 options of \$0.1 million each that were exercised. These amounts are due at the deferred delivery date of each vessel and are considered to represent the cost of entering into the delivery deferral options in accordance with financial reporting standards and therefore were accrued for.

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2007

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations is for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP and, except where otherwise specifically indicated, all amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars.

Table of Contents

The following table presents our operating results for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

	Year Ended December 31, 2008	Year Ended December 31, 2007
Statement of operations data (in thousands of dollars):		
Revenue	\$ 229,405	\$ 199,235
Operating expenses:		
Ship operating	54,416	46,174
Depreciation	57,448	50,162
General and administrative	8,895	6,006
Operating earnings	108,646	96,893
Other expenses (income):		
Interest expense	33,035	34,062
Interest income	(694)	(4,074)
Undrawn credit facility fee	5,251	3,057
Amortization of deferred charges	1,825	1,256
Write-off on debt refinancing		635
Change in fair value of financial instruments	268,575	72,365
Net loss	\$ (199,346)	\$ (10,408)
Common shares outstanding at year end:	66,800,141	57,541,933
Per share data (in dollars):		
Basic and diluted loss per class A and B common share	\$ (3.12)	\$ (0.20)
Dividends paid per class A and B common share	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.785
Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per class C common share	\$	\$
Dividends paid per class C common share	\$	\$
Statement of cash flows data (in thousands of dollars):		
Cash flows provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 124,752	\$ 113,168
Investing activities	(634,782)	(1,104,704)
Financing activities	523,181	1,022,443
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 13,151	\$ 30,907
Selected balance sheet data (in thousands of dollars):		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 136,285	\$ 123,134
Vessels	3,126,489	2,424,253
Other assets	34,098	29,514
Total assets	\$ 3,296,872	\$ 2,576,901
Other liabilities	23,654	15,716
Fair value of financial instruments	414,769	135,617
Long-term debt	1,721,158	1,339,438
Other long-term liability	390,931	223,804
Shareholders' equity	746,360	862,326
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 3,296,872	\$ 2,576,901
Other data:		
Number of vessels in operation at period end	35	29

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Average age of fleet in years at period end	4.8	4.7
TEU capacity at period end	158,483	143,207
Average remaining initial term on outstanding charters	7.6	7.7
Fleet utilization	99.3%	99.0%

Table of Contents

We began 2008 with 29 vessels in operation and took delivery of six newbuilding vessels during 2008 for a total of 35 vessels in operation at December 31, 2008. Operating days are the primary driver of revenue while ownership days are the driver for ship operating costs.

	Year Ended December 31,		Increase	
	2008	2007	Days	%
Operating days	11,195	9,731	1,464	15.0%
Ownership days	11,277	9,829	1,448	14.7%
Revenue				

Revenue increased by 15.1%, or \$30.2 million, to \$229.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared with \$199.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, which was primarily due to the six vessels delivered during 2008 and a full year of operations in 2008 for the six vessels delivered in 2007. The twelve vessel deliveries contributed 1,424 of the 1,464 additional operating days for the year ended December 31, 2008. We incurred 82 days of net off-hire for the year, which impacted revenue by \$1.6 million. Vessel utilization was 99.3% for the year ended December 31, 2008, compared to 99.0% for the prior year.

Ship Operating Expenses

Ship operating costs increased by 17.8%, or \$8.2 million, to \$54.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$46.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase for the year ended December 31, 2008 was due to a full year of ownership in 2008 for the six vessels delivered in 2007, in addition to the six vessels delivered in 2008. Stated in ownership days, these twelve deliveries contributed 1,424 of the 1,448 additional ownership days for the year ended December 31, 2008 and an additional \$6.2 million in ship operating expenses.

Depreciation

Depreciation expense increased by 14.5%, or \$7.3 million, to \$57.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$50.2 million for the comparable period last year. The increase was primarily due to a full year of amortization for the 2007 vessel deliveries and the impact of the 2008 vessel deliveries.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by 48.1 %, or \$2.9 million, to \$8.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$6.0 million for the prior period last year. Of the \$2.9 million, approximately \$1.4 million relates to share-based compensation for directors and officers, \$0.6 million relates to professional fees, including accounting and legal services, and \$0.9 million for increased overhead costs to support growth and corporate governance costs.

Interest Expense

Interest expense decreased by 3.0%, or \$1.0 million, to \$33.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$34.1 million for the comparable period last year. The decrease is attributable to lower overall average operating debt outstanding during 2008 compared to 2007. We have entered into interest rate swap agreements to reduce our exposure to market rate risks from changing interest rates on the LIBOR based payments on our facilities. As a result, the decrease in the average LIBOR from 5.3% in 2007 to 2.9% in 2008 has not significantly impacted our interest expense as the majority of our operating debt for the 2007 fiscal year and the period up to October 1, 2008 was hedged under fixed rate terms with interest rate swaps that were designated and tested as effective hedges for accounting purposes. During 2008, we de-designated all interest rate swaps for which we were applying hedge accounting treatment. The amounts recorded in other comprehensive loss relating to the de-designated swaps will be recognized in earnings when and where the previously hedged interest payments will be recognized.

Table of Contents

The interest incurred on our long-term debt for our vessels under construction is capitalized to the respective vessels under construction.

Undrawn Credit Facility Fee

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we incurred \$5.3 million in undrawn credit facility fees compared with \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of 71.8% or \$2.2 million. The increase is primarily due to the new credit facilities entered into at the end of 2007. The commitment fees on undrawn credit facilities ranges from 0.20% to 0.35% per annum on undrawn credit facility amounts. The commitment fees are expensed as incurred.

Interest Income

During the year ended December 31, 2008, we earned interest income of \$0.7 million compared with \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, a decrease of 83.0% or \$3.4 million. This interest income was earned through investing excess cash balances in highly liquid securities with terms to maturity of three months or less. The decrease from the prior year is due to having smaller average cash balances and lower average interest rates in the year ended December 31, 2008.

Amortization of Deferred Charges

Amortization of deferred charges increased 45.3%, or \$0.6 million, to \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Amortization of deferred charges relating to our financing fees increased by 29.4%, or \$0.3 million, to \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was due to the amortization of deferred financing fees on Tranche B of the \$365.0 Million Credit Facility for the six vessels delivered in 2008. Financing fees are deferred and amortized over the terms of the individual credit facilities using the effective interest yield basis. To the extent that the amortization of the deferred financing fees relates to our operating credit facilities, the amortization is expensed while the amortization of the deferred financing fees relating to our construction facilities are capitalized to the related vessels under construction.

As a result of the adoption of FSP AUG AIR-1, we have adopted the deferral method of accounting for dry-docking activities whereby actual costs incurred are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the period until the next scheduled dry-docking activity. Amortization of deferred charges relating to dry-docking increased to \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Change in Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Change in fair value of financial instruments (a loss) increased by \$196.2 million, to \$268.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$72.4 million for the comparable period last year. The increase is primarily due to decreases in the forward LIBOR curves and overall market changes in credit risk of our non-designated interest rate swaps. The financial instruments consist entirely of fixed interest rate swaps and a swaption that we enter into to lock in the return on our acquisitions and provide predictable long-term cash earnings and distributions to our shareholders. Certain of our interest rate swaps were accounted for as hedging instruments in accordance with the requirements in accounting literature. The change in fair value of financial instruments includes the ineffective portion of our interest rate swap agreements that were accounted for as hedging instruments. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the change in fair value of financial instruments includes losses of \$1.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, of ineffectiveness on interest rate swaps designated as hedges. Also, included in the change in fair value of financial instruments is a \$1.6 million

Table of Contents

charge to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the two interest rate swaps that were de-designated during the three months ended March 31, 2008. We have de-designated these interest rate swaps as a result of certain changes in the forecasts of probable debt which decreased the probable notional debt balances at certain future interest settlement periods.

On September 30, 2008, we elected to prospectively de-designate all interest swaps for which we were applying hedge accounting treatment due to the compliance burden associated with this accounting policy. Subsequent to this date, all of our interest rate swap agreements and the swaption agreement are marked to market and the changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in earnings.

B. Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity and Cash Needs

As at December 31, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents totaled \$133.4 million.

As at December 31, 2009, we have drawn approximately \$1.0 billion of an available \$1.3 billion under our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility for general corporate purposes, including to fund the delivery of and pay certain installments for certain of our vessels. This facility has a maturity date of May 11, 2015.

There are restrictions on the amount that can be advanced to us under the \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility based on the market value of the vessel or vessels that are provided as collateral for the facility. For instance, our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility contains a loan to market value ratio requirement that must be met before we can borrow funds under it. We are able to draw down funds so long as the loan to market value ratio, being the ratio of the outstanding principal amount of the loan immediately after a drawing to the market value of the vessels that are provided as collateral under that facility, calculated on a without charter basis does not exceed 70%. We may be unable to obtain an appraisal as to the market value of our vessels due to current market conditions and the unwillingness of valuers to provide them. The last valuation we obtained was in December of 2009, and in light of the recent economic downturn, vessel valuations in the marketplace have declined significantly. As a result, we are currently unable to borrow the remaining approximately \$267 million under our \$1.3 Billion Credit Facility; however, we do not require this amount to fund the remaining installments for our newbuild fleet. In certain circumstances and for a certain period of time, even if our loan to value ratio exceeds 70%, we can borrow under the facility to fund the purchase of additional vessels, so long as the loan to market value ratio does not exceed 80%, and in that case such vessels will then become additional security under the facility. For more information, please read [Our \\$1.3 Billion Credit Facility](#).

We entered into our \$365 Million Credit Facility on May 19, 2006. This facility is split into two separate tranches, one to fund the acquisition of the 3500 TEU vessels and the second to fund the construction of eight of our ten 2500 TEU vessels. We are also able to use the facility for general corporate purposes.

As at December 31, 2009, we have drawn \$128.6 million of the \$283.0 million available in the second tranche under the \$365 Million Credit Facility to partially finance eight of our ten 2500 TEU vessels. No amounts to date have been drawn from the first tranche of this credit facility, of which \$74.8 million is available as at December 31, 2009. For more information, please read [Our \\$365 Million Credit Facility](#).

On October 16, 2006, we entered into our \$218.4 Million Credit Facility. The proceeds of this facility are being used to partially fund the construction of four 5100 TEU vessels, one that is being constructed by HHI and three that have already been delivered. As at December 31, 2009, we have drawn \$189.2 million of an available \$218.4 million under this credit facility to fund the construction of the 5100 TEU vessels. For more information on this facility, please read [Our \\$218.4 Million Credit Facility](#).

On August 8, 2007, we entered into the \$920 Million Credit Facility, the proceeds of which have been used to partially fund the construction of two of the ten 2500 TEU vessels under construction by Jiangsu, the four 4250 TEU vessels constructed or under construction by New Jiangsu and the eight 8500 TEU vessels under

Table of Contents

construction by HHI. After delivery of these vessels, we may use the facility for general corporate purposes. As at December 31, 2009, we have drawn \$495.9 million of the \$920.0 million available under this facility. See [Our \\$920 Million Credit Facility](#) for more information on this facility.

We entered into the \$150 Million Credit Facility on December 28, 2007 with two of our wholly-owned subsidiary companies, Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd. and Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd., as borrowers. We guaranteed the obligations of our subsidiaries under the terms of the agreement. The proceeds of the facility are available to finance construction of two of our 13100 TEU vessels; one of which is under construction by HHI and the other by HSHI. After delivery of these vessels, we may use the facility for general corporate purposes. As at December 31, 2009, no amounts were drawn on the \$150.0 million available under this facility. For more information, please read [Our \\$150 Million Credit Facility](#).

On March 17, 2008, we entered into our \$291.2 Million Credit Facility. The proceeds of this facility are being used to partially finance the construction of two of our 13100 TEU vessels, one of which is being built by HHI and the other by HSHI. The \$291.2 Million Credit Facility has a term loan component, which is divided into two tranches, and a revolving loan component. As of December 31, 2009, no amounts were drawn under this facility. For more information on this facility, please read [Our \\$291.2 Million Credit Facility](#).

On March 31, 2008, we entered into our \$235.3 Million Credit Facility. The proceeds of this facility are being used to partially finance the construction of two of our 13100 TEU vessels, one of which is being built by HHI and the other by HSHI. As at December 31, 2009, we have drawn \$36.7 million of an available \$235.3 million under this credit facility to fund the construction of the 13100 TEU vessels. For more information on this facility, please read [Our \\$235.3 Million Credit Facility](#).

Our primary short-term liquidity needs are to fund our operating expenses, including payments under our management agreement, and payment of our quarterly dividend. Our medium-term liquidity needs primarily relate to the purchase of the containerships we have contracted to purchase. Our long-term liquidity needs primarily relate to vessel acquisitions and debt repayment. We anticipate that our primary sources of funds for our short and medium-term liquidity needs will be our committed credit facilities, new credit facilities, new lease obligations, additional equity offerings as well as our cash from operations, while our long-term sources of funds will be from cash from operations and/or debt or equity financings. We believe that these sources of funds will be sufficient to meet our liquidity needs to fund our newbuild program through the remainder of 2010. Our 2011 liquidity needs will require us to raise additional equity capital, or other forms of capital, or alternatively, our board of directors may elect to reduce or eliminate our quarterly dividend to help finance our newbuilding program.

As of December 31, 2009, the estimated remaining installments on the 26 vessels we contracted to purchase, or lease as the case may be, was approximately \$1.7 billion, which we expect to fund primarily from our credit facilities, lease obligations, and from additional equity offerings. Our obligation to purchase the vessels we contracted to purchase is not conditional upon our ability to obtain financing for such purchases. To fund the remaining portion of the purchase price of these vessels, we need to raise in the range of approximately \$180 million to \$240 million in common or other equity and or other forms of capital beginning no later than approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately the second quarter of 2012. This amount of capital is based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The recent state of global financial markets and economic conditions may adversely impact our ability to issue additional equity at prices which will not be dilutive to our existing shareholders or preclude from us from issuing equity at all.

As a result of the current economic slowdown and over-capacity in the container shipping industry as well as the uncertainty of the capital markets, we have been pursuing alternatives in cooperation with our shipyards and charterers to delay the delivery of some of the 26 vessels that we have contracted to purchase or lease, as the case may be, over the next 30 months. In this regard, we entered into agreements with some of our shipyards whereby under certain circumstances we had the option to delay the delivery of up to 15 of the newbuilding vessels that we have contracted to purchase. In July 2009, we exercised the option to delay the delivery of 11 of

Table of Contents

the 15 vessels and we deferred the delivery dates for those vessels for periods ranging from two to 15 months from the dates agreed to under the original shipbuilding contracts. We did not exercise the option to delay the delivery of the remaining four vessels. As set out in the option agreements, we will compensate the shipyards for their costs and expenses related to the deferral at the time we pay the final installment to the shipyards. The shipbuilding contracts and time charters have been amended to reflect the deferred dates.

Two of our shipyards also agreed to delay the delivery of five additional vessels that were not subject to option agreements. The deferrals are for a period of approximately nine months from the dates that were agreed to under the original shipbuilding contract. The shipbuilding contracts and time charters for these five vessels have been amended to allow for the new delivery dates.

We currently have seven credit facilities and one tax lease, with an approximate combined borrowing capacity of \$3.6 billion and a commitment of \$3.9 billion. Our diversified international banking group is composed of 24 banks holding between 1% to 15% of the total borrowing capacity. There are six banks that hold 5% or more of the total borrowing capacity: Lloyds Banking Group (15%); DnB Nor Bank ASA (12%); Credit Suisse (9%); Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation (10%); Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited (8%); and BNP Paribas (6%).

Due to the recent deterioration of the global credit markets, we may not be able to obtain funding under our current credit facilities or may not be able to obtain funds at the interest rate agreed in such facilities. Although we have credit facilities committed to satisfy our short, medium and long-term debt needs and although we have not experienced any difficulties drawing on those facilities to date, we may be unable to obtain adequate funding under our current credit facilities in the future because our lenders may be unwilling or unable to meet their funding obligations. Our credit facilities contain standard provisions with respect to a market disruption of LIBOR and if certain circumstances occur, including that the lenders can no longer obtain matching deposits at the published LIBOR rate, our lenders may require that the interest rate under the facilities be increased, for the applicable term only, so as to be equivalent to their cost of funding or an alternate rate to which we agree. In response to the deterioration in the credit markets, central banks and governments worldwide are working together to address credit market issues. For more information about liquidity risks associated with the current state of the global financial markets, please read Risk Factors. The current state of global financial markets and current economic conditions may adversely impact our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms which may hinder or prevent us from meeting our future capital needs.

Our credit facilities do not contain traditional vessel market value covenants that require us to repay our facilities solely because the market value of our vessels declines below a specified level. However, a decline in the market value of certain vessels could impair our ability to draw the full amount available under one of our facilities. Our \$1.3 Billion Credit Agreement contains a loan to market value ratio requirement that must be met before we can borrow funds under that facility. We are able to draw down funds under that facility so long as the loan to market value ratio, being the ratio of the outstanding principal amount of the loan immediately after a drawing to the market value of the vessels that are provided as collateral under that facility, does not exceed 70%. In certain circumstances and for a certain period of time, even if our loan to value ratio exceeds 70%, we can borrow under the facility to fund the purchase of additional vessels, so long as the loan to market value ratio does not exceed 80%, and in that case, such vessels will then become additional security under the facility. Under all of our credit facilities, in certain circumstances a prepayment may be required as a result of certain events such as a termination or expiration of a charter (and the inability to enter into a charter suitable to lenders within a period of time) or termination of a shipbuilding contract. The amount that must be prepaid may be calculated based on the loan to market value ratio or some other ratio that takes into account the market value of the relevant vessels. In these circumstances, valuations of our vessels are conducted on a without charter basis as required under the relevant credit facility agreement. Our credit facilities also contain gearing covenants which prohibit us from incurring total borrowings in an amount greater than 65% of our total assets.

Our dividend policy heavily impacts our future liquidity needs. At the time of our initial public offering, our board of directors adopted a dividend policy to pay a regular quarterly dividend on our common shares while

Table of Contents

reinvesting a portion of our operating cash flow in our business. Retained cash may be used to, among other things, fund vessel or fleet acquisitions, other capital expenditures and debt repayments, as determined by our board of directors. This dividend policy reflects our judgment that by retaining a portion of our cash in our business over the long-term, we will be able to provide better value to our shareholders by enhancing our longer term dividend paying capacity. Based on a dividend of \$0.475 per quarter, our annualized dividend on the current number of shares outstanding is approximately \$129 million. We have equity capital requirements of approximately \$180 to \$240 million starting in approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately second quarter 2012. This amount of capital is based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. The amount of capital required decreased from a range of approximately \$500 million to \$600 million because of the decision of our board of directors to reduce the quarterly dividend from \$0.475 to \$0.10 per share as announced on April 28, 2009. Due to the uncertainties associated with the global recession and the capital markets, our board of directors cannot determine how long this reduction will be in effect. The reduction will enable Seaspan to retain an approximate additional amount of \$100 million per year that can be redeployed to fund its newbuilding program. If it becomes necessary to provide further assurance that we will be able to meet our liquidity needs for our new vessel orders, our board of directors may elect to further reduce or eliminate our quarterly dividend. Please read Financial Information Dividend Policy.

All of the vessels that are currently chartered and that we will acquire are chartered to charterers under long-term time charters, and these charterers' payments to us are and will be our sole source of operating cash flow. At any given time in the future, cash reserves of the charterers may be diminished or exhausted, and we cannot assure you that the charterers will be able to make charter payments to us. If the charterers are unable to make charter payments to us, our results of operations and financial condition will be materially adversely affected.

We have good commercial relations with each of our customers and we believe they will be able to meet their commitments under their charter agreements with us. Part of our business strategy is to grow our customer base. If our existing charters with CSCL Asia, HL USA, APM, COSCON, CSAV or MOL were terminated, based on current charter rates, we do not believe we could recharter such vessels at rates equal to the existing rates over similar time periods. If our existing charters are terminated and market rates continue to decline, we may recharter our vessels at lower rates, adversely affecting our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Operating Activities Cash Flows

Net cash from operating activities decreased by \$30.2 million, to \$94.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$124.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Primarily, this net decrease is comprised of higher swap settlements of \$61.3 million, net of higher operating earnings before depreciation of \$31.3 million in 2009. The higher swap settlements were primarily due to lower LIBOR and higher average notional amounts in the swap agreements in 2009 compared to 2008. The increase in operating earnings before depreciation during the year was due to the delivery of seven additional vessels in 2009.

Net cash from operating activities increased by \$11.6 million, to \$124.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$113.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. The increase was primarily attributable to the delivery of six additional vessels in 2008, resulting in higher operating earnings.

Investing Activities Cash Flows

Cash used in investing activities decreased by \$225.3 million, to \$409.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$634.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease is primarily due to the decrease in the amount of installments scheduled to be paid in 2009 under our shipbuilding contracts.

Cash used in investing activities decreased by \$469.9 million, to \$634.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$1.1 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease of \$469.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 compared to 2007 is primarily due to a decrease of \$477.7 million in expenditures for vessels due to lower scheduled installments under our shipbuilding contracts.

Table of Contents*Financing Activities Cash Flows*

Net cash from financing activities decreased by \$211.1 million, to \$312.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, from \$523.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. The decrease of \$211.1 million is primarily comprised of net proceeds received on the issuance of preferred shares of \$198.4 million being \$29.1 million less than net proceeds of \$227.5 million received on the issuance of common shares in 2008, net draws on our credit facilities being \$219.7 million less than in 2008 due to less installments under our shipbuilding contracts being due in 2009. Additionally, no amount similar to the \$35.4 million reimbursement from Peony for the deposits on vessels under construction to be leased from Peony Leasing Limited was received in 2009 and the amount of dividends paid in 2009 decreased by \$70.7 million over the prior year.

Net cash from financing activities decreased by \$499.3 million, to \$523.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, from \$1.0 billion for the year ended December 31, 2007. The decrease of \$499.3 million is due to a decrease of \$69.3 million in common shares issued, a decrease of \$260.5 million in draws on credit facilities, a decrease in financing fees incurred of \$3.6 million, an increase in repayment of credit facilities of \$134.0 million, an increase of \$21.3 million in dividends paid compared to the prior year, and a decrease of \$17.7 million in reimbursements from Peony for the deposits on vessels under construction to be leased from Peony Leasing Limited.

Ongoing Capital Expenditures and Dividends

The average age of the vessels in our operating fleet is less than five years; as such, no significant capital expenditures for dry-docking and maintenance have occurred in the past. On December 31, 2009, the CSCL Hamburg went aground in the Gulf of Aqaba en route to Singapore. Any repair costs are expected to be recovered from insurance, net of the insurance deductible. The vessel is expected to be off-hire for approximately 100 days. Although the vessel was not expected to undergo its next scheduled 5-year survey until 2013, the Company chose to combine the repairs with an earlier dry-docking to achieve savings and defer the next scheduled dry-dock to 2015. On March 5, 2008 the CSCL Hamburg collided with a bulk carrier in the South China Sea. Both vessels sustained damage as a result of the collision. The CSCL Hamburg was off-hire for 60 days while it underwent repairs for 50 days for damage sustained from the collision and 10 days for other routine dry-docking work. The vessel went back into operation on May 5, 2008. During the first quarter of 2007, the CSCL Chiwan incurred approximately 9 days of off-hire for repairs to its rudder-horn.

Our Manager has included the cost of routine dry-docking within the technical services fee we pay pursuant to the management agreement. In 2009, the CSCL Oceania and the CSCL Africa underwent their 5-year survey for a total of two dry-dockings. In 2008, the CSCL Hamburg underwent 10 days of routine dry-docking work. There were no other scheduled 5-year surveys in 2008. During 2007, three of our 4250 TEU vessels and three of our 4800 TEU vessels underwent their 5-year survey for a total of six dry-dockings. There are scheduled 5-year surveys in 2010 for seven of our 4250 TEU vessels.

The technical services fee does not cover extraordinary costs or expenses. We are insured for certain matters, but we cannot assure you that our insurance will be adequate to cover all of these matters. During 2009, we incurred approximately \$1.9 million of additional costs and expenses, which are not covered by the technical services fee. These costs include bunkers consumed during dry-docking and off-hire, repair costs and insurance deductibles.

We must make substantial capital expenditures over the long-term to preserve our capital base. If we do not retain funds in our business in amounts necessary to preserve our capital base over the long-term, we will not be able to continue to refinance our indebtedness or maintain our dividends. On an annual basis, we will likely need at some time in the future to retain funds in addition to such amount to provide reasonable assurance of maintaining our capital base over the long-term. We believe it is not possible to determine now, with any reasonable degree of certainty, when and how much of our operating cash flow we should retain in our business to preserve our capital base. We believe that the amounts we forecast to be able to retain in our business after the

Table of Contents

acquisition of our initial fleet will provide a substantial portion of our needs. There are a number of factors that will not be determinable for a number of years, but that will enter into our board of directors' future decisions regarding the amount of funds to be retained in our business to preserve our capital base, including the following:

the remaining lives of our vessels;

the returns that we generate on our retained cash flow, particularly the returns generated from investments in additional vessels (this will depend on the economic terms of any future acquisitions and charters, which are currently unknown);

future market charter rates for our vessels, particularly with respect to our fleet when the vessels come off charter (this will depend on various factors, including: our existing charters are not expected to expire for approximately 5-12 years from their commencement; the existing charters are at rates substantially below current spot rates and short-term charter rates; but actual market charter rates when the existing charters expire are currently unknown);

our future operating and interest costs, particularly after the expiration of the technical services fees and financing arrangements described in this Annual Report (our technical services fees are fixed until December 31, 2011 and will be subject to renegotiation thereafter; our initial financing costs are effectively hedged until at least February 2014; but future operating and financing costs are currently unknown);

our future refinancing requirements and alternatives and conditions in the relevant financing and capital markets at that time; and

unanticipated future events and other contingencies. Please read "Risk Factors."

Our board of directors will periodically consider these factors in determining our need to retain funds rather than pay them out as dividends. Unless we are successful in making acquisitions with outside sources of financing that add a material amount to our cash available for retention in our business or unless our board of directors concludes that we will likely be able to recharter our fleet upon expiration of existing charters at rates higher than the rates in our current charters, our board of directors will likely determine at some future date to reduce, or possibly eliminate, our dividend to have reasonable assurance that the Company is retaining the funds necessary to preserve our capital base.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, dividends of \$52.0 million, or \$0.775 per share, were declared on common shares. Because of the adoption of the DRIP, for the year ended December 31, 2009 the actual amount of cash dividends paid was \$44.8 million and \$7.1 million was reinvested through the DRIP. During the year ended December 31, 2008, dividends of \$121.4 million, or \$1.90 per share, were declared on common shares. Because of the adoption of the DRIP, for the year ended December 31, 2008, the actual amount of cash dividends paid was \$115.6 million and \$5.8 million was reinvested through the DRIP.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, dividends of \$14.5 million were accrued for Series A preferred shares.

C. Research and Development

Not applicable.

D. Trend Information

In the containership charter market, there was significant upward movement in time charter rates in the period between the start of 2002 and the middle of 2005. Demand for containership capacity driven by increases in global container trade underpinned upward market movements, and the market recovered from the falls seen in 2001 to levels beyond previous market highs. Midway through 2005, containership charter rates began to fall as a

Table of Contents

result of increased capacity and falling freight rates, before stabilizing at the end of 2006. Containership charter rates generally stayed strong until mid 2008 when the effects of the credit crisis affected global container trade adversely. Current rates are now estimated to be at late 2001 levels with further pressure expected through at least 2010.

The charter owner containership sector is also subject to the development of newbuilding prices, which reflect the cost of new containerships paid by owners from the shipyards. Since early 2003, newbuilding prices have risen substantially but have recently declined. The total newbuilding price for a theoretical 2750 TEU containership increased from \$29.5 million at the start of 2003 to \$53.0 million at the start of January 2008 but has decreased to \$29.5 million in December 2009. Over the same period, for a theoretical 4700 TEU containership the newbuilding price rose from \$45.0 million to \$81.0 million and has now declined to \$56.3 million in December 2009, while the newbuilding price for a theoretical 6350 TEU containership increased from \$60.0 million to \$107.0 million and has now decreased to \$86.5 million in December 2009. Economies of scale in containership building mean that the cost per TEU involved in building larger containerships is smaller than for ships with smaller TEU capacity.

E. Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2009, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

F. Contractual Obligations

On a pro forma basis our long-term undiscounted contractual obligations as of December 31, 2009, including amounts payable under our credit facilities, consists of the following:

	Total	Payments Due by Period			More than 5 Years
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	
			(in thousands)		
Long-term debt obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,883,146	\$ 5,452	\$ 64,471	\$ 345,864	\$ 1,467,359
Purchase obligations for additional vessels ⁽²⁾	1,710,621	669,756	1,040,865		
Fixed payments to the Manager for technical, construction supervision and administrative services under our management agreements ⁽³⁾	244,268	108,185	136,083		
Lease obligations ⁽⁴⁾	545,529	400	73,845	90,504	380,780
Total	\$ 4,383,564	\$ 783,793	\$ 1,315,264	\$ 436,368	\$ 1,848,139

- (1) Represents principal payments on amounts drawn on our credit facilities that bear interest at variable rates of LIBOR plus margins ranging from 0.5% to 0.925% per annum, for which we have entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the LIBOR at rates ranging from 4.6325% to 5.87% per annum. For the purpose of this table, principal repayments are determined based on amounts outstanding at period end, pro-rated to reflect commitment reduction schedules for each related facility. Actual repayments may differ from the amounts presented as repayment timing is impacted by the balance outstanding at each commitment reduction date.
- (2) These obligations are for the 21 vessels that we have contracted to purchase and include the payments to be made on our behalf for the five vessels we have agreed to lease. These obligations are based on the contractual delivery dates under the shipbuilding contracts with our shipyards.
- (3) Under the management agreements, the Manager provides services to us for fixed fees. On December 31, 2008, we negotiated the adjusted technical services fee for the period commencing January 1, 2009 and ending on December 31, 2011 for the vessels that are subject to the management agreements and the initial technical services fee for the same period for the vessels to be delivered but are not yet subject to management agreements. These will then be subject to re-negotiation with our Manager. The administrative services portion of the fees is capped at \$6,000 per month, plus reimbursement for all reasonable costs and

Table of Contents

expenses incurred by our Manager and its affiliates. Our Manager provides construction supervision services under fixed fee arrangements of \$250,000 to \$350,000 per vessel. For purposes of this table only, we have only included fixed payments based on the adjusted technical services fee and the initial technical service fee until December 31, 2011. The amounts presented above are based on the adjusted technical services fees for the vessels currently operating in our fleet at December 31, 2009 and for the vessels that we have contracted to purchase based on each of their contractual delivery dates in addition to the remaining fees for construction supervision services for the vessels under construction. The amounts do not include reimbursements that may become payable to our Manager for administrative or strategic services provided.

- (4) The Company, through its wholly-owned subsidiary Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd., has entered into an arrangement with Peony Leasing Limited to lease five 4500 TEU vessels, which are currently under construction, for five year terms commencing on their delivery dates.

Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees**A. Directors and Senior Management**

On July 25, 2009, George H. Juetten was appointed to our board of directors by the Company's Series A Preferred shareholders. The board has determined that Mr. Juetten meets the standards for independence established by the New York Stock Exchange and that he satisfies the criteria to qualify as a financial expert. Mr. Juetten replaced David Korbin as chair of the audit committee on September 19, 2009 following Mr. Korbin's resignation as a director of the Company and chair of the audit committee.

On September 19, 2009, Antony S. Crawford was elected as a director of the Company at the 2009 annual shareholder meeting. Mr. Crawford passed away on January 23, 2010.

On October 25, 2009, Milton K. Wong resigned as a member of our board of directors effective as of January 2, 2010.

Our directors and executive officers as of the date of this annual report and their ages as of December 31, 2009 are listed below:

Name	Age	Position
Kyle R. Washington	39	Chairman of the Board of Directors
Gerry Wang	47	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Sai W. Chu	43	Chief Financial Officer
George H. Juetten	62	Director
Peter Lorange	66	Director
Peter S. Shaerf	55	Director
Barry R. Pearl	60	Director
John C. Hsu	46	Director

Kyle R. Washington. Kyle R. Washington was appointed as chairman of the board in May 2005. He is also a director and the executive chairman of the board of SCLL and a director and chairman of our Manager and certain of its operating subsidiaries. From 1998 to 2006, Mr. Washington was responsible for the overall corporate strategy of the Washington Marine Group and was active in developing senior level customer, supplier, competitor and governmental relationships. Within the Washington Marine Group, he was a director and the executive chairman of Seaspan International Ltd., a marine transportation company that is involved in shipdocking, barging and shipyard enterprises. In 2009, Mr. Washington returned as a director and executive chairman of Seaspan International Ltd. and was appointed as a director of Envirocon, Inc., Modern Machinery Co., Inc., Montana Rail Link, Inc., Montana Resources, Inc. and Southern Railway of British Columbia, Ltd., all of which are within the Washington Group of Companies. Mr. Washington has also been a director of bioLytical Laboratories Inc. since 2005, a company that develops and manufactures a rapid HIV diagnostic product, and he

Table of Contents

is a principal of CopperLion Capital, a \$100 million private equity fund he started in 2007. Mr. Washington was chairman of 2003 World Weightlifting Championships, an ambassador to the 2010 Winter Olympics in Vancouver and is an active supporter of the Young Life Organization. He is a graduate of the University of Montana with a degree in business administration.

Gerry Wang. Gerry Wang was appointed our chief executive officer and director in May 2005. Mr. Wang joined the Offshore Division of Seaspan International Ltd. in early 1990 and is currently a director, chief executive officer and president of SCLL. Mr. Wang is also a director and chief executive officer of certain affiliates of our Manager. From 1986 to 1989, Mr. Wang was the business manager for China Merchants Group in Hong Kong. He graduated from Shanghai Maritime University in 1983 with a Bachelor's degree in Navigation, and in 1986, he earned a Master's degree in International Economics under the sponsorship program of the United Nations Economic and Social Council Asia Pacific. In 1993, he obtained his MBA degree from the University of British Columbia in Vancouver, BC, Canada.

Sai W. Chu. Sai W. Chu was appointed our chief financial officer in June 2007. Mr. Chu was appointed chief financial officer of SSML, SCLL and SCML in May 2005 after joining SSML as corporate controller in September 2004 and the Washington Marine Group as corporate controller in April 2004. Mr. Chu qualified as a chartered accountant in 1992 having articulated with KPMG LLP's Vancouver office and also qualified as a certified management accountant in 1990. From 1995 to 1998, he was the assistant corporate controller with Imperial Parking Limited, an integrated parking management company with operations in Asia and North America. From 1998 to 1999, Mr. Chu was manager, financial reporting, of BC Gas Inc. (now Terasen Inc.), a natural gas and oil transmission and distribution utility. From 2000 to April 2004, he was controller of Datawest Solutions Inc., a technology provider of banking and payment solutions. All of Mr. Chu's previous employers subsequent to 1995 and prior to joining us were companies listed on the Toronto Stock Exchange.

George H. Juetten. George H. Juetten was elected by our Series A Preferred shareholders as a director on July 25, 2009 and has served as chair of our audit committee since September 19, 2009. Prior to his election, Mr. Juetten was executive vice president and chief financial officer of Washington Group International (URS Corporation) from 2001 to 2009. Washington Group International was an integrated engineering, construction and management services company that was listed on the New York Stock Exchange. Prior to that, Mr. Juetten was with Dresser Industries, Inc. (Halliburton Company), an NYSE company that provided technology, products and services for developing energy and natural resources. He served as vice president controller from 1993 to 1996 and as executive vice president and chief financial officer from 1996 to 1999. Mr. Juetten was an audit partner for Price Waterhouse from 1969 to 1993, serving in several jurisdictions including a three-year tour of duty in The Hague. He is a trustee of St. Alphonsus Regional Medical Centre and the College of Idaho and a member of the Board of Directors of the Boise Philharmonic Association. Mr. Juetten received a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from the Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin and is member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the Texas Society of Certified Public Accountants. As a director elected by our Series A Preferred shareholders, Mr. Juetten is not a member of any of our board's three classes of directors, which members are elected to hold office for a term of three years or until a successor is elected and qualifies.

Peter Lorange. Peter Lorange was appointed as a director in August 2005. Mr. Lorange is chairman, president and chief executive officer of Lorange Institute of Business Zurich. Mr. Lorange was president of IMD from July 1, 1993 to April 1, 2008, and until July 1, 2009, he was Professor of Strategy at IMD and held the Kristian Gerhard Jebsen Chair of International Shipping. He was formerly president of the Norwegian School of Management in Oslo. Mr. Lorange was affiliated with the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania for more than a decade in various assignments, including director for the Joseph H. Lauder Institute of Management and International Studies and The William H. Wurster Center for International Management Studies, as well as The William H. Wurster Professor of Multinational Management. He has also taught at the Sloan School of Management (M.I.T.), IMEDE (now IMD), and the Stockholm School of Economics. Mr. Lorange serves on the board of directors of several corporations including: Marsoft International A/S, Preferred Global Health, Zaruma

Table of Contents

Resources Inc., Global Praxis and Copenhagen Consulting Company (CoCoCo). He received his undergraduate education from the Norwegian School of Economics and Business, was awarded a Masters of Arts degree in Operations Management from Yale University and his Doctor of Business Administration degree from Harvard University.

Peter S. Shaerf. Peter S. Shaerf has served as a director of the Company since August 2005 and is chair of the compensation committee. Since 2002, Mr. Shaerf has been a managing director of AMA Capital Partners, an investment bank and private equity firm specializing in the maritime industry. From 1998 until April 2002, Mr. Shaerf was a managing director of Poseidon Capital Corp., an independent maritime consulting and investment company that works extensively in the investment community. From 1980 to 2002, he was a partner of The Commonwealth Group, a brokerage and consulting company that specializes in the dry cargo and liner shipping industry. From 1977 to 1980, he was a director of Common Brothers U.S.A. Ltd., a shipbroking subsidiary of a British shipowner of dry cargo and tanker tonnage. He is a director of General Maritime Corporation, a company listed on the New York Stock Exchange, and a director of TBS International, a company listed on the NASDAQ exchange. Mr. Shaerf is chairman of New York Maritime Inc. (NYMAR), a leading global trade association that promotes New York as a maritime center. Mr. Shaerf holds a B.A. degree in international business law from the London Metropolitan University.

Barry R. Pearl. Barry R. Pearl was appointed as a director in October 2006. Mr. Pearl is executive vice-president of Kealine LLC (and its WesPac Energy LLC affiliate), a private developer and operator of petroleum infrastructure facilities, and serves as a director of Kayne Anderson Energy Development Company, Targa Resources Partners, L.P. and Magellan Midstream Partners, L.P. Mr. Pearl served as president, chief executive officer and director of TEPPCO Partners, L.P. from May 2002 through 2005 and as president and chief operating officer from February 2001 until his appointment as chief executive officer. Mr. Pearl began his career with Champlin Petroleum Company in 1974, and served in a variety of staff financial and analysis positions until his appointment as vice president and general manager of Calnev Pipeline in 1982. Mr. Pearl joined Southern Pacific Pipelines in 1984 and served as vice president, operations, senior vice president, business development and planning, and senior vice president and chief financial officer. From 1998 through 2000, Mr. Pearl was vice president and chief financial officer of Maverick Tube Corporation. Mr. Pearl has been involved in a number of petroleum industry organizations, including service as chairman of the Association of Oil Pipelines from 2004 through 2005. He received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Mathematics from Indiana University, and was awarded a Masters of Arts degree in Operations Research from Yale University and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Denver.

John C. Hsu. John Hsu was appointed as director in April 2008. He is currently a partner of Ajia Partners, one of Asia's largest privately-owned alternative investment firms. Mr. Hsu's family has been in the business of owning and operating bulkers, tankers and specialized ships, through affiliated entities such as Sincere Navigation Corp (Taiwan listed) and Oak Maritime, Inc., for generations. Mr. Hsu is currently a director of Oak Maritime, Inc and is also director of several other private companies, including TSSi, Inc. (a surveillance IC solutions provider) and Convergence Tech Venture (a venture capital fund). From 1998 to 2002, he was chief investment officer of Matrix Global Investments, a hedge fund in U.S.-listed technology companies. Since 1993, he has been responsible for managing the Hsu family's investment portfolio consisting of financial securities, hedge funds, and private equity investments. Mr. Hsu received his B.A. from Colgate University in 1986 and MBA from Columbia University in 1992, and is fluent in Japanese and Mandarin.

Table of Contents

Directors and Officers of Our Manager

The following table provides information about the directors and officers of our Manager and officers of certain of its subsidiaries. As described below, our Manager and certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries provide us with technical, administrative and strategic services pursuant to the management agreements.

Name	Age	Position
Kyle R. Washington	39	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Director of our Manager and Director and Officer of certain subsidiaries of our Manager
Gerry Wang	47	Director of our Manager and Director, President and Chief Executive Officer of SSML and SCML
Graham Porter	39	Managing Director, Deputy Chairman and Director of our Manager
Sai W. Chu	43	Chief Financial Officer of SSML
Peter Curtis	51	Vice President of SSML

Kyle R. Washington. Kyle R. Washington was appointed director and chairman of our Manager in August 2005. He is also a director and officer of certain of our Manager's operating subsidiaries.

Gerry Wang. Gerry Wang was appointed director of our Manager in August 2005. He has been a director of SSML since 2000 and was appointed president and chief executive officer in July 2004. He is also director, president and chief executive officer of SCML.

Graham Porter. Graham Porter was appointed managing director, deputy chairman and director of our Manager in August 2005. Mr. Porter plays a key role in the overall strategic management services our Manager provides to us. Mr. Porter joined Seaspan International Ltd. in 1992 as part of the offshore heavy-lift and ocean towing division and is currently a director, managing director and secretary of SCLL. In 2000, Mr. Porter was part of the senior management and equity team to form SCLL, established to own and operate deep-sea container vessels. Mr. Porter is also a director, managing director or deputy chairman of certain affiliates of our Manager. Mr. Porter is chairman of Tiger Group, an investment firm based in Hong Kong which, through its affiliated companies, holds shares in us and in other shipping ventures. He graduated in 1992 with a degree in business, major in transportation and logistics and minor in accounting, from the University of British Columbia in Vancouver, BC, Canada. Mr. Porter currently resides in Hong Kong.

Sai W. Chu. Sai W. Chu was appointed chief financial officer of SSML, SCLL, and SCML in May 2005.

Peter Curtis. Peter Curtis was appointed vice president of SSML in April 2001. He is responsible for the overall operations and commercial management of the vessels managed by SSML. From 1981 to 1989, Mr. Curtis served in the South African Navy, where he attained the rank of Lt. Commander in charge of the submarine maintenance facility and design office. From 1989 to 1991, he was an associate with a firm of engineering consultants in Cape Town, working on offshore and naval architectural projects, such as offshore oil and gas as well as other marine projects. From 1991 to 1999, Mr. Curtis was with Safmarine, where he was responsible for the operations of a mixed fleet of containerships, handy-size and cape-size bulkcarriers and also oversaw a number of new building programs. Prior to joining SSML in 2001, Mr. Curtis was based in Cyprus for two years with Columbia Ship Management as technical director. In 1981, he obtained a B.Sc. Mechanical Engineering degree at Natal University in Durban, South Africa. In 1986, Mr. Curtis obtained his Master's degree in Naval Architecture from University College in London, England and in 2000, he obtained his B.Sc. in business from Stellenbosch University in Cape Town, South Africa.

B. Compensation

Each independent member of our board of directors and Peter Lorange receives an annual cash retainer of \$45,000. In addition, the chair of the audit committee receives an annual payment of \$20,000 and each member of the audit committee receives an annual payment of \$5,000. The chair of the compensation committee receives

Table of Contents

an annual payment of \$5,000 and each member of the compensation committee also receives an annual payment of \$5,000. The chair of the conflicts committee receives an annual payment of \$5,000 and each member of the conflicts committee receives an annual payment of \$5,000 for the regular quarterly committee meetings and \$1,500 per meeting for any other meetings attended during the calendar year. All annual cash retainers and payments are payable in equal quarterly installments.

Except for Peter Lorange, who is an officer of our subsidiary companies, officers who also serve as directors will not receive compensation for their services as directors. Mr. Lorange receives \$5,000 of additional compensation per year payable in quarterly installments in recognition of his ongoing service as a director and officer of our subsidiaries.

In 2009, Milton K. Wong received \$5,000 in additional compensation for the year ended December 31, 2009 paid in quarterly installments. This amount was paid to him in recognition of the assistance he provided to the chairman of the Board. Mr. Wong resigned from the Board effective January 2, 2010.

Each director is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses incurred while attending any meeting of the Board or any Board committee. In addition, each independent Board member and Peter Lorange is entitled to receive a travel stipend in the amount of \$4,000 per Board meeting if the eligible recipient travels transoceanic to attend the meeting in person or \$3,000 per Board meeting if the eligible recipient travels transcontinental to attend the meeting in person. We paid a total amount of \$80,000 to the independent directors and Peter Lorange in travel stipends in 2009.

Equity Incentive Plan

In December 2005, our Board adopted the Seaspan Corporation Stock Incentive Plan, or the Plan, under which our officers, employees and directors may be granted options, restricted shares, phantom share units, and other stock based awards as may be determined by our Board. A total of 1,000,000 common shares were reserved for issuance under the Plan, which is administered by our Board. The Plan will expire 10 years from the date of its adoption. The following directors have been awarded the following equity incentive awards under the Plan on or subsequent to January 1, 2009:

On January 1, 2010, each of our independent directors and Peter Lorange was awarded 7,200 restricted shares, which will vest on January 1, 2011. Those shares granted to Antony S. Crawford vested on January 23, 2010, the date of his death; and

On January 6, 2009, each of our independent directors as of that date (including David Korbin, who resigned on September 19, 2009, and Milton K. Wong, who resigned on January 2, 2010) and Peter Lorange was awarded 6,600 restricted shares, which vested on January 1, 2009. Upon their election to the Board, George H. Juetten and Antony S. Crawford were awarded a pro-rata portion of the 6,600 shares (being 2,893 and 1,881 restricted shares respectively) which vested on January 1, 2010. In recognition of Mr. Korbin's services to the Company and in consideration of his assistance in the transition of the chair of the audit committee to Mr. Juetten, the Board awarded Mr. Korbin the compensation he would have been entitled to if he remained a director and committee member of the Corporation until December 31, 2009, which included the vesting of his 6,600 shares.

Our board of directors approved a grant of 15,000 phantom share units to Sai W. Chu, our chief financial officer, on March 1, 2009. This grant was made in accordance with the Plan and is subject to a three-year vesting period beginning on January 1, 2010. On June 8, 2007, our board of directors also approved a grant of 15,000 phantom share units to Sai W. Chu in accordance with the Plan. One-third of the phantom share units vested on each of January 1, 2008, January 1, 2009 and January 1, 2010.

Our board of directors approved a grant of 150,000 phantom share units to Gerry Wang, our chief executive officer, on March 1, 2009. This grant, which was made in accordance with the Plan, is subject to a three-year vesting period beginning on January 1, 2010. In December 2007, our board of directors, also in accordance with

Table of Contents

the Plan, approved a grant to Gerry Wang of 135,000 phantom share units. One-third of these vested on each of December 21, 2008 and December 21, 2009 and the remaining one-third will vest on December 21, 2010. In October 2006, our board of directors, in accordance with the Plan, approved a grant to Mr. Wang of 99,500 phantom share units, subject to vesting over a three year period beginning January 1, 2007. The last one-third of those phantom share units vested on January 1, 2009.

On March 1, 2009, Christa Scowby, our corporate secretary and corporate counsel of a subsidiary of our Manager, was awarded 12,000 phantom share units under the Plan. One-third of the phantom share units vested on January 1, 2010 and the remaining two-thirds will vest equally on January 1, 2011 and January 1, 2012.

During the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, we paid to our directors and management (13 persons in 2009 and 11 in 2008) aggregate cash compensation of approximately \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million respectively. We do not have a retirement plan for members of our management team or our directors.

The report of the compensation committee of our board of directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 will be included as part of our Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC as a Current Report on Form 6-K.

C. Board Practices

General

As of March 1, 2010, the Board consisted of seven members. Except for George H. Juetten, who was appointed by our Series A Preferred Shareholders on July 25, 2009 and who does not belong to a class of directors, the Board is divided into the following three classes, with members of each class elected to hold office for a term of three years in accordance with the classification indicated below and until his successor is elected and qualified:

Our Class I director is Kyle R. Washington and his term expires in 2012. Antony S. Crawford, who was a Class I director following his election to the Board at the annual shareholder meeting on September 19, 2009, passed away on January 23, 2010. David Korbin, who was also a Class I director, resigned from the Board effective September 19, 2009;

Our Class II directors are Gerry Wang, Peter Lorange and Barry R. Pearl. They have terms that expire in 2010. Mr. Wang and Mr. Lorange have each been nominated by the board of directors for re-election at the 2010 annual meeting of shareholders. The Board has nominated Graham Porter for election to the board to replace Mr. Pearl upon the expiration of his term. If elected, Mr. Porter will be a Class II director and will hold office until the next election of Class II directors and until his successor has been elected and qualified. For more information on Mr. Porter, please read Item 6. A. Directors, Senior Management and Employees Directors and Senior Management Directors and Officers of Our Manager of this Annual Report and Proposals to be Voted on Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC as a Current Report on Form 6-K; and

Our Class III directors are Peter S. Shaerf and John C. Hsu. They have terms that expire in 2011. Milton K. Wong, who was also a Class III director, resigned from the Board effective January 2, 2010.

There are no service contracts between us and any of our directors providing for benefits upon termination of their employment or service.

The board of directors has determined that each of the current members of the board, other than Kyle R. Washington, Gerry Wang and Peter Lorange, has no material relationship with us, either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us, and is, therefore, independent from management and our Manager. Our board has determined that Peter Lorange cannot currently be deemed

Table of Contents

independent due to his continued service as an officer of our subsidiaries. However, we intend to find a replacement to serve as an officer of our subsidiaries in lieu of Mr. Lorange. We believe that upon stepping down as an officer of our subsidiaries, Mr. Lorange will have no material relationship with us, either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us, and will be independent from us, a standard that differs from the independence standard for domestic companies that is set forth in the NYSE listed company manual. Please read [Exemptions from NYSE Corporate Governance Rules](#) for more information about the ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by domestic companies.

If elected, Graham Porter will not be deemed an independent director due to his relationship with us and our Manager, which existed prior to our initial public offering. Mr. Porter indirectly owns part of our predecessor, SCLL, and, in connection with our initial public offering, we acquired SCLL's entire existing and future containership business, including the vessels that made up our initial fleet. In addition, an entity indirectly owned by Mr. Porter owns part of our Manager. Mr. Porter currently serves as managing director, deputy chairman and director of our Manager and as director, managing director and secretary of SCLL.

The board of directors has the following three standing committees: audit committee, compensation committee and conflicts committee. The membership of these committees during 2009 and the function of each of the committees are described below. Each of our standing committees is currently comprised entirely of members that have been deemed independent by our board of directors and operates under a written charter adopted by our board of directors. All of the committee charters are available under [Corporate Governance](#) in the Investor Relations section of our website at www.seaspancorp.com.

During 2009, the Board held nine meetings, the audit and compensation committees each held five meetings and the conflicts committee held ten meetings. Each director attended at least 75% of the Board meetings (held during the period for which such person was a director) during the last fiscal year with the exception of Peter Lorange and Antony S. Crawford. Mr. Lorange attended five of the nine board meetings held in 2009 and Mr. Crawford was not able to attend the one board meeting held during the period for which he was a member of the Board. Each director attended at least 75% of the total number of committee meetings on which such person served (held during the periods for which such person served) during the last fiscal year with the exception of Peter Shaerf. Mr. Shaerf attended seven of ten conflicts committee meetings.

Our audit committee is composed entirely of directors who currently satisfy applicable NYSE and SEC audit committee independence standards. In 2009, our audit committee members were Barry R. Pearl, Milton K. Wong, John C. Hsu and George H. Juetten, who was appointed on September 19, 2009 and who replaced David Korbin as a member and chair of the audit committee. Milton K. Wong resigned as a director of the Company effective January 2, 2010 and no other director has been appointed to the audit committee in his place. All members of the committee are financially literate, and the board of directors has determined that Mr. Juetten, Mr. Pearl and Mr. Hsu all qualify as financial experts. The audit committee assists the board of directors in fulfilling its responsibilities for general oversight of: the integrity of our consolidated financial statements; our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the independent auditors' qualifications and independence; and the performance of our internal audit function and independent auditors.

In 2009, our compensation committee consisted of Peter S. Shaerf, Milton K. Wong, Barry R. Pearl and John C. Hsu. Milton K. Wong resigned as a director of the Company effective January 2, 2010 and no other director has been appointed to the compensation committee in his place. The compensation committee reviews, evaluates and approves our agreements, plans, policies and programs to compensate our officers and directors. Each year it produces a report on executive compensation and publishes the report in our Annual Report on Form 20-F, otherwise discharges the Board's responsibilities relating to the compensation of our officers and directors and performs such other functions as the Board may assign to the committee from time to time.

Our conflicts committee is composed entirely of directors who currently satisfy applicable NYSE independence standards. Until the fourth quarter of 2009, the members of our conflicts committee were David

Table of Contents

Korbin, Barry R. Pearl, Milton K. Wong and Peter S. Shaerf. David Korbin ceased to be a member of the conflicts committee following his resignation as a director of the Company on September 19, 2009. Milton K. Wong also ceased to be a member of the conflicts committee upon his resignation as a director of the Company effective January 2, 2010. John C. Hsu was subsequently appointed to the conflicts committee on January 28, 2010. The conflicts committee reviews and approves transactions between us and our directors, our officers and other related parties for potential conflicts of interest on an ongoing basis.

Exemptions from NYSE Corporate Governance Rules

As a foreign private issuer, we are exempted from certain corporate governance rules that apply to domestic companies under NYSE listing standards. The following are the significant ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by domestic companies:

we hold annual meetings of shareholders under the Business Corporations Act of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, similar to NYSE requirements;

in lieu of a nominating committee, the full board of directors regulates nominations as set forth in our bylaws; and

in lieu of obtaining shareholder approval prior to the adoption of equity compensation plans, the full board of directors approves such adoption.

In addition, our board of directors will not have a majority of directors who satisfy the independence standards set forth in the NYSE listed company manual. Unlike domestic companies listed on the NSYE, foreign private issuers are not required to have a majority of independent directors and the standard for independence applicable to foreign private issuers is different from the standard that is applicable to domestic issuers.

Our Board has determined that four of our seven current directors (being John C. Hsu, George H. Juetten, Barry R. Pearl and Peter Shaerf) satisfy the NYSE's independence standards, which are not applicable to us. Although Peter Lorange does not currently qualify as an independent director in accordance with the NYSE independence standards due to his continuing service as an officer of our subsidiaries, we believe that if Mr. Lorange were to step down from these offices, our Board would determine that he would be independent from our management and our sponsor. Under the NYSE independence standards applicable to a domestic issuer, however, Mr. Lorange could not be considered independent from us until three years after he ceased to be an officer of our subsidiaries.

Upon the election of Mr. Porter and the replacement of Mr. Lorange as an officer of our subsidiaries, four of our seven directors will be independent but only three directors (less than a majority of the Board) will be independent under the NYSE's independence standards.

Finally, U.S. issuers are required to have a compensation committee that is comprised entirely of independent directors. Although as a foreign private issuer this rule does not apply to us, we have a compensation committee that consists of three directors, all of whom currently satisfy NYSE standards for independence.

D. Employees

We do not have any employees. Our Manager provides us with all of our staff and all of our other officers. Our board of directors has the authority to hire employees as it deems necessary.

E. Share Ownership

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common and preferred shares by:

each of our current and former directors;

Table of Contents

each of our current named executive officers; and

all current and former Seaspan directors and all current executive officers as a group.

The information presented in the table is based on information filed with the SEC and on information provided to Seaspan prior to February 12, 2010.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares	Percentage of Common Shares ⁽¹⁾	Series A Preferred Shares	Percentage of Series A Preferred Shares	Percentage of Total Voting Securities ⁽²⁾
Kyle R. Washington ⁽³⁾	2,589,858	3.8%	12,000	6.0%	4.2%
Gerry Wang ⁽⁴⁾	1,414,268	2.1%			1.7%
Sai W. Chu	35,571	*			*
David Korbin	17,850	*			*
Peter Lorange ⁽⁵⁾	99,224	*			*
Peter S. Shaerf	54,407	*			*
Milton K. Wong ⁽⁶⁾	37,850	*			*
Barry R. Pearl	26,220	*			*
John C. Hsu	16,400	*			*
George H. Juetten	35,093	*			*
Antony S. Crawford ⁽⁷⁾	9,081	*			*
All executive officers and directors as a group (11 persons)	4,335,822	6.4%	12,000	6.0%	6.3%

(1) Percentages are based on the 67,998,160 common shares that were issued and outstanding on February 12, 2010.

(2) Assumes the conversion of Series A Preferred Shares at a conversion price of \$15.00. Percentages are based on the 14,499,754 votes that the Series A Preferred Shares were entitled to in the aggregate as of February 12, 2010.

(3) The number of common and preferred shares shown for Kyle R. Washington includes shares beneficially owned by The Kyle R. Washington 1999 Trust II and CopperLion Capital (KRW) I Limited Partnership. This information is based on the Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on March 20, 2006 and information provided to Seaspan by Kyle R. Washington on or about February 17, 2010.

(4) The number of common shares shown for Mr. Wang includes shares beneficially or directly owned by Gerry Wang, as well as by certain members of his immediate family, the Gerry Wang Family Trust and by 0731455 B.C. Ltd., a British Columbia company. This information was provided to Seaspan by Mr. Wang on or about February 12, 2010.

(5) The number of common shares shown for Mr. Lorange includes shares held by S. Ugelstad Invest A/S 100. This information was provided to Seaspan by Mr. Lorange on or about February 23, 2010.

(6) The number of common shares shown for Mr. Wong includes shares held by Pip Peri Pembo Management Ltd. This information was provided to Seaspan by Mr. Wong on or about March 3, 2010.

(7)

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Antony S. Crawford was granted 1,881 restricted common shares, which vested on January 1, 2010, and 7,200 restricted common shares, which vested on January 23, 2010.

* Less than 1%.

Table of Contents**Item 7. Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions****A. Major Shareholders**

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common and preferred shares by each person known by us to be a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the common or preferred shares. The information provided in the table is based on information filed with the SEC and on information provided to Seaspan prior on or about February 12, 2010.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Common Shares	Percentage of Common Shares ⁽¹⁾	Series A Preferred Shares	Percentage of Series A Preferred Shares	Percentage of Total Voting Securities ⁽²⁾
Graham Porter ⁽³⁾	3,981,553	5.9%	20,000	10.0%	6.6%
Dennis R. Washington ⁽⁴⁾	9,430,292	13.9%	160,000	80.0%	25.5%

(1) Percentages are based on the 67,998,160 common shares that were issued and outstanding on February 12, 2010.

(2) Percentages are based on the 14,499,754 votes that the Series A Preferred Shares were entitled to in the aggregate as of February 12, 2010.

(3) The number of common shares shown for Mr. Porter includes common shares beneficially owned by Tiger Container Shipping Co. Ltd., or Tiger, and Jenstar Ltd., or Jenstar. The number of Series A Preferred Shares shown for Mr. Porter include Series A Preferred Shares beneficially owned by Tiger. Tiger and Jenstar are Cayman Islands companies that are owned by Graham Porter, a managing director and director of our Manager. This information is based on the Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on March 12, 2010 and information provided to Seaspan by Mr. Porter on or about February 18, 2010.

(4) The number of common and preferred shares shown for Dennis R. Washington includes those shares beneficially owned by Deep Water Holdings, LLC and the Roy Dennis Washington Revocable Living Trust. This information is based on the Schedule 13D filed with the SEC on October 6, 2009 and information provided to Seaspan by Dennis R. Washington on or about February 15, 2010.

The major shareholders in our common shares have the same voting rights as other shareholders in our common shares.

Pursuant to the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement in connection with the Series A Preferred Share Offering, we agreed to issue and sell an aggregate of \$200 million of our Series A Preferred Shares to entities owned by Dennis R. Washington, Kyle R. Washington, Kevin L. Washington and Graham Porter in two equal tranches. The first tranche closed on January 30, 2009. As a result, the aforementioned investors acquired an aggregate of 100,000 of our Series A Preferred Shares. The second tranche closed on October 1, 2009 which resulted in such investors acquiring an additional 100,000 Series A Preferred Shares.

As of December 31, 2009, 13,475,638 of our Class A common shares were held by 71 holders of record in the United States. As of December 31, 2009, 100% of our Class C common shares were held by our Manager.

We are not aware of any arrangements, the operation of which may at a subsequent date result in a change of control.

B. Related Party Transactions

From time to time since our initial public offering, we have entered into agreements and have consummated transactions with certain related parties. These related party agreements include agreements relating to the management of the vessels in our fleet, the provision of services by our executive officers and the sale and purchase of our common and preferred equity securities. We may enter into related party transactions from time to time in the future. In January 2009, we established a conflicts committee, comprised entirely of independent directors, which must approve all proposed material related party transactions.

Table of Contents

Transactions Related to Our Initial Public Offering

We, our Manager and certain affiliates entered into various documents and agreements that effected the transactions relating to our formation, our initial public offering and the application of the proceeds from our initial public offering. These agreements were not the result of arm's-length negotiations and they, or any of the transactions that they provide for, may not have been effected on terms at least as favorable to the parties to these agreements as they could have obtained from unaffiliated third parties. For more information on the transactions that we entered into in connection with our initial public offering, please read [Registration Rights Agreements](#), [Information on the Company B. Business Overview](#) [Management Related Agreements](#), [Management Agreements](#), [Omnibus Agreement](#), [Employment Agreement with Gerry Wang](#), and [Employment Agreement with Graham Porter](#).

Our Management Agreements

Our Manager provides substantially all of the management services for our vessels under various management agreements. For more information about the agreements with our Manager that govern the provision of management services for our fleet, please read [Information on the Company B. Business Overview](#) [Management Agreements](#).

Change of Control Plan

Effective as of January 1, 2009, we established a change of control severance plan for certain employees of SSML. For more information on the Change of Control Plan, please read [Information on the Company B. Business Overview](#) [Change of Control Plan](#).

Registration Rights Agreements

In connection with our initial public offering, we agreed to register for resale on a shelf registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933, or Securities Act, and applicable state securities laws, any subordinated shares proposed to be sold by the holders of the subordinated shares (or the underlying common shares upon their conversion) upon expiration of a certain holding period if an exemption from the registration requirements is not otherwise available or advisable. These holders also have certain piggyback registration rights allowing them to participate in offerings by us to the extent that their participation does not interfere or impede with our offering. We are obligated to pay all expenses incidental to the registration, excluding underwriting discounts and commissions.

In connection with the Series A Preferred Share Offering, we entered into a registration rights agreement, pursuant to which, in certain circumstances, we will be obligated to file a registration statement covering the potential sale by a holder of the common shares that are issuable upon the conversion of the Series A Preferred Shares unless the sum of the common shares held by such holder as a result of the conversion can be sold in a single transaction under Rule 144 of the Securities Act. These holders also have certain piggyback registration rights allowing them to participate in offerings by us to the extent that their participation does not interfere with or impede such offering. Under this agreement, we are obligated to pay all expenses incidental to the registration, excluding underwriting discounts or commissions. For a more detailed discussion of the Series A Preferred Share Offering, see [Information on the Company B. Business Overview](#) [Recent Equity Offerings](#) [Our Series A Preferred Share Offering](#).

Offering of Common Shares Concurrently with Our April 2008 Equity Offering

In April 2008, in connection with an underwritten public equity offering, we sold 663,300 common shares directly to certain of our executive officers and directors, certain affiliates of our Manager and certain of their executive officers and directors and Dennis R. Washington. For a description of our April 2008 equity offering and the concurrent sale of common shares to certain affiliates, please read [Information on the Company B. Business Overview](#) [Recent Equity Offerings](#) [Public Offering of Common Shares April 2008](#).

Table of Contents

Series A Preferred Share Offering

In January 2009, we entered into various documents and agreements in connection with the issuance and sale of our Series A Preferred Shares to certain investors, including an entity affiliated with the chairman of our board of directors. For a description of our Series A Preferred Share Offering please read [Information on the Company](#) [B. Business Overview](#) [Recent Equity Offerings](#) [Our Series A Preferred Share Offering](#).

Sale of Subordinated Shares

Concurrently with our initial public offering, we sold 7,145,000 subordinated shares to members of the Washington family, or trusts set up on their behalf, to an entity owned by our chief executive officer, Gerry Wang, and to an entity owned by Graham Porter, a director of our Manager, at a purchase price per share equal to the initial public offering price of our common shares. Effective October 1, 2008, the subordinated period ended and the rights and privileges on our subordinated shares became the same as our common shares.

Arrangement Fee to Tiger Group Investments

In connection with certain financial transactions involving the Company, Tiger Group Investments, or Tiger Group, will receive a fee up to a maximum amount of \$4.5 million (payable on a success basis) for certain services rendered in connection with the arrangement, structuring and negotiation of the transactions. Tiger Group is controlled by Graham Porter, an officer and director of our Manager and an officer of Seaspan Advisory, a subsidiary of our Manager. The terms of the fee were reviewed and approved by the Conflicts Committee of our board of directors.

Item 8. Financial Information

A. Financial Statements and Other Financial Information

Please see Item 18 below.

Legal Proceedings

We have not been involved in any legal proceedings that may have, or have had a significant effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity, and we are not aware of any proceedings that are pending or threatened that may have a material effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or liquidity. From time to time, we may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business, principally personal injury and property casualty claims. We expect that these claims would be covered by insurance, subject to customary deductibles. Those claims, even if lacking merit, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

Dividend Policy

In January 2010, we declared our quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per share on our common shares effective for the fourth quarter 2009, which dividend was paid on February 12, 2010 to all shareholders of record on February 1, 2010. Declaration and payment of dividends is at the discretion of our board of directors and there can be no assurance we will not reduce or eliminate our dividend. Since our initial public offering, and including the dividend paid in February 2010, we have paid cumulative dividends of \$6.49 per share on our common and subordinated shares.

At the time of our initial public offering, our board of directors adopted a dividend policy to pay a regular quarterly dividend on our common shares while reinvesting a portion of our operating cash flow in our business. Retained cash flow may be used, among other things, to fund vessel or fleet acquisitions, create reserves for vessel replacement costs, other capital expenditures and debt repayments, as determined by our board of directors. This dividend policy reflects our judgment that by retaining a portion of our cash flow in our business,

Table of Contents

we will be able to provide better value to our shareholders by enhancing our longer term dividend paying capacity. It is our goal to further grow our dividend through accretive acquisitions of additional vessels. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be successful in meeting our goal.

On April 28, 2009, we announced that our board of directors decided to temporarily reduce our quarterly dividends from \$0.475 to \$0.10 per share. Due to the uncertainties associated with the global recession and the capital markets, our board of directors cannot determine how long this reduction will be in effect. This reduction will enable us to retain an approximate additional amount of \$100 million per year that can be redeployed to fund our newbuilding program. Based on a dividend of \$0.475 per quarter, our annualized dividend on the current number of shares outstanding is approximately \$129 million. We have equity capital requirements of \$180 to \$240 million starting in approximately the fourth quarter of 2010 or first quarter of 2011 and ending in approximately second quarter 2012. These amounts are based on a quarterly dividend of \$0.10 per common share. Before the reduction of the dividend, we required approximately \$500 million to \$600 million in non-debt capital over an approximate two year period beginning in 2010. Based on these equity needs, the recent global economic downturn and the uncertainty of the capital markets, our board of directors is continuing to evaluate our dividend policy. If it becomes necessary to provide assurance that we will be able to meet our liquidity needs for our new vessel orders, our board of directors may elect to reduce or eliminate our quarterly dividend.

As compensation for providing strategic services, our Manager received 100 incentive shares concurrently with our initial public offering. The purpose of the incentive shares is to incentivize our Manager to increase the amount of distributable cash flow per share. The incentive shares will share in incremental dividends only after quarterly dividends on the common shares exceed \$0.485 per share as follows:

first, 90% of incremental dividends to all common shares, pro rata, and 10% of incremental dividends to the incentive shares, until each common share has received a total of \$0.550 for that quarter;

second, 80% of incremental dividends to all common shares, pro rata, and 20% of incremental dividends to the incentive shares, until each common share has received a total of \$0.675 for that quarter; and

after that, 75% of the incremental dividends to all common shares, pro rata, and 25% of incremental dividends to the incentive shares.

With respect to the Series A Preferred Shares, no dividend will be payable in respect of those shares until 2014. Instead, the liquidation preference of the Series A Preferred Shares will increase at a rate of 12% per annum until January 31, 2014, compounded quarterly. As a result, this will not reduce the Company's distributable cash available to common shareholders during the next five years. The Series A Preferred Shares are convertible on or after January 31, 2014 under certain circumstances. If on January 31, 2014 the Preferred Shares have not converted to common shares, the liquidation preference of the Preferred Shares will increase at a rate of 15% per annum, compounded quarterly, payable in cash or by continuing to increase the liquidation value of the Preferred Shares at the holder's option. While, generally, no cash dividend is payable in respect of the Series A Preferred Shares, if at any time after March 31, 2014 the Series A Preferred Shares are outstanding, the Statement of Designations provides that holder of Series A Preferred Shares may make an Early Payment Election to receive a cash dividend on all Series A Preferred Shares held by such holder. This payment shall be made prior to and in preference to any declaration or payment of dividends on any junior stock, including our Common Shares.

There are a number of factors that could affect the dividends on our common shares in the future. As a result of these factors, you may not receive dividends in the intended amounts or at all. These factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

we may not have enough cash to pay dividends due to changes in our operating cash flow, capital expenditure requirements, working capital requirements and other cash needs;

Table of Contents

our ability to pay dividends is dependent upon the charter rates on new vessels and those obtained upon the expiration of our existing charters;

while the dividend policy adopted by our board of directors contemplates the distribution of a substantial portion of our cash available to pay dividends, our board of directors could modify or revoke this policy at any time;

even if our dividend policy is not modified or revoked, the actual amount of dividends distributed under the policy and the decision to make any distribution will remain at all times entirely at the discretion of our board of directors;

the amount of dividends that we may distribute is limited by restrictions under our senior secured credit facilities and future indebtedness could contain covenants that are even more restrictive. In addition, our credit facilities require us to comply with various financial covenants, and our credit facilities prohibit the payment of dividends if an event of default has occurred and is continuing under our credit facilities or if the payment of the dividend would result in an event of default;

the amount of any cash reserves established by our board of directors;

the amount of dividends that we may distribute is subject to restrictions under Marshall Islands law;

the amount of dividends we pay in respect of our common shares on or after March 31, 2014 will be subject to the rights of our Series A Preferred Shareholders to receive dividend payments pursuant to Early Payment Elections as described above; and

our common shareholders have no contractual or other legal right to dividends, and we are not otherwise required to pay dividends. Please read **Risk Factors** **Risks Inherent In Our Business** We may not have sufficient cash from our operations to enable us to pay dividends on our shares following the payment of expenses and the establishment of any reserves, The current state of global financial markets and current economic conditions may adversely impact our ability to obtain financing on acceptable terms which may hinder or prevent us from meeting our future capital needs, The amount of cash we have available for dividends on our shares will not depend solely on our profitability, Over the long-term, we will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to preserve the operating capacity of our fleet, which could result in a reduction or elimination of our ability to pay dividends, and We will be required to make substantial capital expenditures to complete the acquisition of our fleet that we have contracted to purchase and to expand the size of our fleet, which may cause our ability to pay dividends to be diminished, our financial leverage to increase or our shareholders to be diluted for a more detailed description of various factors that could reduce or eliminate our ability to pay dividends.

B. Significant Changes

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Item 9. The Offer and Listing.**

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol SSW. The following table sets forth the high and low prices for the common shares on the NYSE since the date of listing for the periods indicated.

	High	Low
August 9, 2005 to December 31, 2005	\$ 21.51	\$ 17.20
January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006	23.20	19.51
January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007	37.73	19.65
January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2008	31.40	4.37
January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009	13.07	5.12
First quarter 2008	31.40	24.02
Second quarter 2008	29.14	23.61
Third quarter 2008	26.35	17.90
Fourth quarter 2008	17.99	4.37
First quarter 2009	13.07	7.23
Second quarter 2009	12.00	5.52
Third quarter 2009	10.85	5.12
Fourth quarter 2009	10.23	8.15
September 2009	10.85	6.50
October 2009	10.23	8.25
November 2009	9.89	8.15
December 2009	9.50	8.60
January 2010	11.36	9.27
February 2010	10.88	9.66
March 2010 (March 1 through March 15)	10.70	9.98

Item 10. Additional Information**A. Share Capital**

Under our Articles of Incorporation and Statement of Designation, our authorized shares consist of:

200,000,000 common shares (referred to in our articles of incorporation as the Class A Common Shares), par value \$0.01 per share;

25,000,000 subordinated shares (referred to in our Articles of Incorporation as the Class B Common Shares), par value \$0.01 per share;

100 incentive shares (referred to in our Articles of Incorporation as the Class C Common Shares), par value \$0.01 per share; and

65,000,000 preferred shares (referred to in our articles of incorporation as the Preferred Shares), par value \$0.01 per share, which includes 315,000 Series A preferred shares (referred to in our Statement of Designation as the Series A Preferred Shares), par value of \$0.01 per share.

Effective October 1, 2008, the subordination period for our 7,145,000 subordinated shares, owned by the members of the Washington family, or trusts set up on their behalf, an entity owned by our chief executive officer, Gerry Wang, and an entity owned by Graham Porter, a director of our Manager, ended and the rights and privileges of our subordinated shares became the same as our common shares. Accordingly, the Class B Common Shares are no longer issued and outstanding. As of March 1, 2010, 67,998,160 Class A Common Shares and 100 Class C Common Shares were issued and outstanding.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

As of March 2010, there were 200,000 Preferred Shares issued and outstanding as the result of the closing of the first and second tranche of the Series A Preferred Share Offering. The Statement of Designation permits us to issue and sell 115,000 additional Series A Preferred Shares. Pursuant to the Statement of Designation, the

Table of Contents

Series A Preferred Shares are convertible after five years into Class A Common Shares. If they are converted, we will issue to the holders thereof the number of new Class A Common Shares from our authorized but unissued share capital that is necessary to fulfill our obligations under the Statement of Designation.

The rights, preferences and restrictions attaching to our Class A and Class C Common Shares are described in the section entitled "Description of Capital Stock" of our Rule 424(b)(5) prospectus (File No. 333-137051), filed with the SEC on November 3, 2006 and hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report and there have been no changes to those rights, preferences and restrictions since that date. The rights, preferences and restrictions of the Series A Preferred Shares are set forth in the Statement of Designation and described more fully in the section of this Annual Report entitled "Information on the Company B. Business Overview Recent Equity Offerings Our Series A Preferred Share Offering." The Statement of Designation was previously filed as exhibit 3.1 to our Report on Form 6-K filed on February 2, 2009 and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

B. Memorandum and Articles of Association

Our Articles of Incorporation have previously been filed as exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on August 4, 2005 and are hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report. Our Bylaws have previously been filed as exhibit 3.2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762) filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005 and are hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report. In connection with our Series A Preferred Share Offering, we filed a Statement of Designation with respect to our Series A Preferred Shares with the Registrar of Corporations of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. Under the BCA, the Statement of Designation is deemed an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation. The Statement of Designation was previously filed as exhibit 3.1 to our Report on Form 6-K filed on February 2, 2009 and is hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

The necessary actions required to change the rights of shareholders and the conditions governing the manner in which annual general meetings and special meetings of shareholders are convoked are described in our Bylaws filed as exhibit 3.2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762) filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005 and are hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

We have in place a shareholder rights agreement that would have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of Seaspan. The shareholder rights agreement has been filed as part of our Form 8-A (File No. 001-32591), filed with the SEC on August 2, 2005. In connection with the Series A Preferred Share Offering, we amended the shareholder rights agreement. Amendment No. 1 to the shareholder rights agreement is listed as an exhibit to this Annual Report and was previously filed with the SEC as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 6-K on February 2, 2009. The shareholder rights agreement, as amended, is hereby incorporated by reference into this Annual Report.

There are no limitations on the rights to own securities, including the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights on the securities imposed by the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands or by our Articles of Incorporation or Bylaws.

C. Material Contracts

The following is a summary of each material contract, other than material contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, to which we are a party, for the two years immediately preceding the date of this Annual Report:

(a) Underwriting Agreement by and among Seaspan Corporation, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Goldman, Sachs & Co. as Representatives of the several underwriters named therein dated April 10, 2008, previously filed as Exhibit 1.1 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on April 11, 2008.

Table of Contents

- (b) Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 22, 2009, by and among Seaspan Corporation and certain investors named therein, previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.
- (c) Amended and Restated Management Agreement dated as of the 8th day of August, 2005 as amended and restated as of the 4th day of May, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K/A, filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007.
- (d) Vessel Management Agreement for 2500 TEU/3500 TEU Vessels dated as of the 18th day of May, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 6-K/A, filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007.
- (e) Vessel Management Agreement for 5100 TEU Vessels dated as of the 18th day of May, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Form 6-K/A, filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007.
- (f) Vessel Management Agreement for Two 2500 TEU K-Line Vessels/Four 4250 TEU CSAV Vessels/Eight 8500 COSCON Vessels dated as of the 28th day of September, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on October 29, 2007.
- (g) Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2177 and Hull No. S452 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. dated as of the 28th day of January 2008, among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 4.9 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.
- (h) Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2178 and Hull No. S453 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. dated as of the 31st day of March, 2008 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.2 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008.
- (i) Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2179 and Hull No. S454 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. dated as of the 31st day of March, 2008 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008.
- (j) Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between Seaspan Corporation and Arranged by Citigroup Global Markets Limited and Fortis Capital Corp., with Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Credit Suisse, Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen, DnB Nor Bank ASA, Fortis Capital Corp. as Mandated Lead Arrangers with Fortis Capital Corp. as Facility Agent dated as of May 11, 2007, previously filed as Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on May 23, 2007.
- (k) Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000 dated May 19, 2006, among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent, previously filed as Exhibit 1 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on June 12, 2006.

Table of Contents

(l) Amendment No. 1 to Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000, dated June 29, 2007, among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent, previously filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Form 6-K/A, filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007.

(m) Amendment No. 2 to Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000 dated August 7, 2007, among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent, previously filed as Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(n) U.S. \$218,400,000 Credit Facility Agreement dated October 16, 2006, among Seaspan Corporation, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe Limited, as Security Trustee and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as Facility Agent, previously filed as Exhibit 1 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on October 23, 2006.

(o) U.S. \$920,000,000 Reducing, Revolving Credit Facility dated August 8, 2007, among DnB Nor Bank ASA, Credit Suisse, The Export-Import Bank of China, Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch, previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on August 9, 2007.

(p) U.S. \$150,000,000 Reducing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated December 28, 2007, for Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd., Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd. as borrowers with Seaspan Corporation, as guarantor, arranged by Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited and with Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited as facility agent, previously filed as Exhibit 4.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008 as amended on July 20, 2009.

(q) U.S. \$291,200,000 Credit Facility Agreement for Seaspan Corporation as Borrower, arranged by Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch and The Export-Import Bank of Korea with Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch as Facility Agent and Security Trustee and Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch as Swap Agent dated March 17, 2008, previously filed as Exhibit 4.21 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(r) U.S. \$235,300,000 Credit Facility Agreement dated March 31, 2008 for Seaspan Corporation as borrower, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation as mandated lead arranger, Sumitomo Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as original lender, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe Limited as security trustee, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as facility agent and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as agent for the finance parties under the KEIC policies, previously filed as Exhibit 99.1 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008.

(s) Lease Agreement between Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. dated December 27, 2007 in respect of one 4520 TEU container carrier to be built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1851, previously filed as Exhibit 4.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(t) Lease Agreement between Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. dated December 27, 2007 in respect of one 4520 TEU container carrier to be built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1852, previously filed as Exhibit 4.23 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(u) Lease Agreement between Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. dated December 27, 2007 in respect of one 4520 TEU container carrier to be built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1853, previously filed as Exhibit 4.24 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

Table of Contents

(v) Lease Agreement between Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. dated December 27, 2007 in respect of one 4520 TEU container carrier to be built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1854, previously filed as Exhibit 4.25 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(w) Lease Agreement between Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. dated December 27, 2007 in respect of one 4520 TEU container carrier to be built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1855, previously filed as Exhibit 4.26 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(x) Amendment Agreement relating to Five Lease Agreements in respect of 4520 TEU Container Carriers to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull Nos. 1851, 1852, 1853, 1854 and 1855, dated February 4, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd., previously filed as Exhibit 4.27 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F, filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008.

(y) Amendment No. 1 to Shareholders Rights Agreement dated January 30, 2009, by and between Seaspan Corporation and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC as Rights Agent, previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.

(z) Registration Rights Agreement dated August 8, 2005, by and among Seaspan Corporation and certain investors named therein, previously filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Form F-1, filed with the SEC on August 4, 2005.

(aa) Registration Rights Agreement dated January 30, 2009, by and among Seaspan Corporation and certain investors named therein, previously filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.

(bb) Amendment No. 1 to Executive Employment Agreement with Gerry Wang, effective as of January 1, 2009, by and between Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Gerry Wang, previously filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Form 6-K, filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.

(cc) Change of Control Severance Plan for Employees of Seaspan Ship Management Ltd., effective as of January 1, 2009, filed herewith.

D. Exchange Controls

We are not aware of any governmental laws, decrees or regulations in the Republic of The Marshall Islands that restrict the export or import of capital, including foreign exchange controls, or that affect the remittance of dividends, interest or other payments to non-resident holders of our securities.

We are not aware of any limitations on the right of non-resident or foreign owners to hold or vote our securities imposed by the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands or our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws.

E. Taxation

U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations

The following is a discussion of the expected material U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to us and the ownership and disposition of our common shares. This discussion is based upon the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, final and temporary regulations promulgated thereunder, or the Treasury Regulations, administrative rulings and pronouncements and judicial decisions, all as in effect currently and during the year ended December 31, 2009, or our 2009 Year, and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect. Changes in these authorities may cause the U.S. federal income tax considerations to vary substantially from those described below.

Table of Contents

The following discussion is for general information purposes only and does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the U.S. federal income tax considerations applicable to us or an investment in us. No ruling has been or will be requested from the IRS regarding any matter affecting us or our shareholders. The statements made herein may be challenged by the IRS and, if so challenged, may not be sustained upon review in a court.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of Our Company

Taxation of Operating Income

Unless exempt from U.S. federal income taxation under the rules contained in Section 883 of the Code, a non-U.S. corporation that earns U.S. source transportation income is subject to U.S. federal income taxation under one of two alternative tax regimes: (i) the net basis tax and branch profits tax or (ii) the 4% gross basis tax. For this purpose, transportation income includes income from the use (or hiring or leasing for use) of a vessel to transport cargo and the performance of services directly related to the use of any vessel to transport cargo and, thus, includes time charter or bareboat charter income. Further, for this purpose, (i) transportation income attributable to transportation that either begins or ends, but that does not both begin and end, in the United States, or U.S. Source International Transportation Income, is considered to be 50% derived from sources within the United States, (ii) transportation income attributable to transportation that both begins and ends in the United States, or U.S. Source Domestic Transportation Income, is considered to be 100% derived from sources within the United States, and (iii) transportation income attributable to transportation exclusively between non-U.S. destinations is considered to be 100% derived from sources outside the United States.

We believe that we did not earn any U.S. Source Domestic Transportation Income during our 2009 Year, and we expect that we will not earn any such income in future years. However, certain of our activities gave rise to U.S. Source International Transportation Income during our 2009 Year, and future expansion of our operations could result in an increase in the amount of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income. Unless the exemption from tax under Section 883 of the Code, or the Section 883 Exemption, applies, our U.S. Source International Transportation Income generally will be subject to U.S. federal income taxation under either the net basis tax and branch profits tax or the 4% gross basis tax, both of which are discussed below.

The Net Basis Tax and Branch Profits Tax

If the Section 883 Exemption does not apply, the U.S. source portion of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income may be treated as effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business in the United States, or Effectively Connected Income, if we have a fixed place of business in the United States involved in the earning of U.S. Source International Transportation Income and substantially all of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income is attributable to regularly scheduled transportation or, in the case of bareboat charter income, is attributable to a fixed place of business in the United States.

Any income we earn that is treated as Effectively Connected Income would be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax (the highest statutory rate is currently 35%) as well as a 30% branch profits tax imposed under Section 884 of the Code. In addition, a 30% branch profits tax could be imposed on certain interest paid, or deemed paid, by us.

If we were to sell a vessel that has produced Effectively Connected Income, we generally would be subject to the net basis corporate income tax as well as to the 30% branch profits tax with respect to the gain recognized up to the amount of certain prior deductions for depreciation that reduced Effectively Connected Income. Otherwise, we would not be subject to U.S. federal income tax with respect to gain realized on the sale of a vessel, provided the gain is not attributable to an office or other fixed place of business maintained by us in the United States under U.S. federal income tax principles.

We believe that during our 2009 Year we did not have a fixed place of business in the United States. As a result, we believe that none of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income earned during our 2009 Year

Table of Contents

is treated as Effectively Connected Income. While we do not expect to acquire a fixed place of business in the United States, there is no assurance that we will not have, or will not be treated as having, a fixed place of business in the United States in the future, which may result in our U.S. Source International Transportation Income being treated as Effectively Connected Income and our being subject to the net basis tax and branch profits tax as described above.

The 4% Gross Basis Tax

Provided we are not subject to the net basis tax and the branch profits tax described above, we generally will be subject to a 4% U.S. federal income tax on the U.S. source portion of our U.S. Source International Transportation Income (without benefit of deductions) unless the Section 883 Exemption applies (as more fully described below) and we file a U.S. federal income tax return to claim that exemption.

The Section 883 Exemption

In general, the Section 883 Exemption provides that if a non-U.S. corporation satisfies the requirements of Section 883 of the Code and the Treasury Regulations thereunder, or the Section 883 Regulations, it will not be subject to the net basis tax and branch profits tax or the 4% gross basis tax described above on its U.S. Source International Transportation Income. The Section 883 Exemption does not apply to U.S. Source Domestic Transportation Income.

A non-U.S. corporation will qualify for the Section 883 Exemption if, among other things, it satisfies the following three requirements:

- (i) it is organized in a jurisdiction outside the United States that grants an exemption from tax to U.S. corporations on the types of U.S. Source International Transportation Income that the non-U.S. corporation earns, or an Equivalent Exemption;
- (ii) it satisfies one of the following three ownership tests: (a) the Qualified Shareholder Test, (b) the Controlled Foreign Corporation test, or (c) the Publicly Traded Test; and
- (iii) it meets certain substantiation, reporting and other requirements.

We are organized under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The U.S. Treasury Department has recognized the Republic of the Marshall Islands as a jurisdiction that grants an exemption from tax to U.S. corporations on the type of income we have earned and are expected to earn; therefore, we meet the first requirement for the Section 883 Exemption.

Regarding the second requirement for the Section 883 Exemption, we do not believe that we met the Qualified Shareholder Test or the Controlled Foreign Corporation Test with respect to our 2009 Year, and we do not expect to meet those tests in future years. The analysis of our ability to meet the Publicly Traded Test follows.

The Publicly Traded Test

In order to meet the Publicly Traded Test, the stock of the non-U.S. corporation at issue must be regularly traded and primarily traded on one or more established securities markets either in the United States or in a jurisdiction outside the United States that grants an Equivalent Exemption. Stock of a non-U.S. corporation will be considered to be regularly traded on an established securities market if such stock satisfies certain listing and trading requirements. Generally, the listing requirement will be satisfied if one or more classes of the non-U.S. corporation's stock that, in the aggregate, represent more than 50% of the vote and value of all of the corporation's outstanding stock are listed on an established market during such year. The trading volume requirement generally will be satisfied if, with respect to each class of stock relied on to meet the listing requirement, (i) trades in such class are effected, other than in minimal quantities, on an established securities

Table of Contents

market on at least 60 days during the taxable year and (ii) the aggregate number of shares in such class of stock that are traded on an established securities market during the taxable year is at least 10% of the average number of shares outstanding in that class during the taxable year (with special rules for short taxable years). Alternatively, if the stock of the non-U.S. corporation is traded during the taxable year on an established securities market in the United States and the stock is regularly quoted by dealers making a market in the stock within the meaning of the Section 883 Regulations, the stock will be considered regularly traded on an established securities market in the United States. Notwithstanding these rules, a class of stock will not be treated as regularly traded on an established securities market if, for more than half of the number of days during the taxable year, one or more 5% shareholders (*i.e.*, a shareholder that holds, directly, indirectly or constructively, at least 5% of the vote and value of a class of stock) own in the aggregate 50% or more of the vote and value of that class, unless the corporation can establish that a sufficient proportion of such shareholders are qualified shareholders for purposes of the Section 883 Exemption so as to preclude other persons who are 5% shareholders from owning 50% or more of the value of that class for more than half the days during the taxable year. For purposes of the Section 883 Exemption, qualified shareholders include individual residents of jurisdictions that grant an Equivalent Exemption and non-U.S. corporations organized in jurisdictions that grant an Equivalent Exemption and that meet the Publicly Traded Test.

The stock of a non-U.S. corporation will be considered to be primarily traded on one or more established securities market in a given country if, with respect to the class or classes of stock relied on to meet the regularly traded requirement described above, the number of shares of each such class of stock that are traded on established securities markets in that country exceeds the number of shares in such class that are traded during that year on established securities markets in any other single country.

Because our common shares are and have been traded solely on the New York Stock Exchange, which is considered to be an established securities market in the United States, we believe our common shares are and have been primarily traded on an established securities market for purposes of the Publicly Traded Test. Additionally, we believe our common shares represented more than 50% of our outstanding stock by voting power and value during our 2009 Year, our common shares satisfied the listing and trading volume requirements described above for our 2009 Year, and 50% or more of common shares were not owned by 5% shareholders at any time during such year. Consequently, we believe we satisfied the Publicly Traded Test, and therefore qualified for the Section 883 Exemption, for our 2009 Year.

While there can be no assurance that we will continue to meet the requirements of the Publicly Traded Test and while our board of directors could determine that it is in our best interests to take an action that would result in our not being able to satisfy the Publicly Traded Test, we expect to continue to satisfy the requirements of the Publicly Traded Test and the Section 883 Exemption for future years.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of U.S. Shareholders

As used herein, the term U.S. Shareholder means a beneficial owner of our common shares that is (i) an individual U.S. citizen or resident (as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes), (ii) a corporation (or other entity that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) organized under the laws of the United States or any of its political subdivisions, (iii) an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source, or (iv) a trust if (a) a court within the United States is able to exercise primary jurisdiction over the administration of the trust and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (b) the trust has a valid election in effect to be treated as a U.S. person for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

This discussion applies only to beneficial owners of our common shares that own the shares as capital assets (generally, for investment purposes) and does not comment on all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be important to certain shareholders in light of their particular circumstances, such as shareholders subject to special tax rules (*e.g.*, financial institutions, regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, insurance companies, traders in securities that have elected the mark-to-market method of accounting for

Table of Contents

their securities, persons liable for alternative minimum tax, broker-dealers, tax-exempt organizations, partnerships or other pass-through entities and their investors or former citizens or long-term residents of the United States) or shareholders that will hold our common shares as part of a straddle, hedge, conversion, constructive sale or other integrated transaction for U.S. federal income tax purposes, all of whom may be subject to tax rules that differ significantly from those summarized below. If a partnership or other entity treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds our common shares, the tax treatment of its partners generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership holding our common shares, you should consult your tax advisor to determine the appropriate tax treatment to you of the partnership's ownership of our common shares.

This discussion does not contain information regarding any U.S. state or local, estate, gift or alternative minimum tax considerations concerning the ownership or disposition of our common shares. Shareholders are urged to consult their own tax advisors regarding the U.S. federal, state, local and other tax consequences of owning and disposing of our common shares.

Distributions on Our Common Shares

Subject to the discussion below regarding the rules applicable to passive foreign investment companies, or PFICs, any distributions made by us with respect to our common shares to a U.S. Shareholder generally will constitute dividends, which may be taxable as ordinary income or qualified dividend income as described in more detail in the paragraph below, to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles. Distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will be treated first as a nontaxable return of capital to the extent of the U.S. Shareholder's tax basis in our common shares on a dollar-for-dollar basis and thereafter as capital gain, which will be either long-term or short-term capital gain depending upon whether the U.S. Shareholder held the common shares for more than one year. U.S. Shareholders that are corporations generally will not be entitled to claim a dividends received deduction with respect to any distributions they receive from us. For purposes of computing allowable foreign tax credits for U.S. federal income tax purposes, dividends received with respect to our common shares will be treated as foreign source income and generally will be treated as passive category income.

Dividends received with respect to our common shares by a U.S. Shareholder who is an individual, trust or estate, or a U.S. Individual Shareholder, generally will be treated as qualified dividend income that is taxable to such U.S. Individual Shareholder at preferential capital gain tax rates, provided that: (i) our common shares are readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States (such as the New York Stock Exchange on which our common shares are currently traded); (ii) we are not a PFIC for the taxable year during which the dividend is paid or the immediately preceding taxable year (which we do not believe we are, have been or will be, as discussed below); and (iii) the U.S. Individual Shareholder has owned our common shares for more than 60 days in the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the date on which the common shares become ex-dividend. There is no assurance that any dividends paid on our common shares will be eligible for these preferential rates in the hands of a U.S. Individual Shareholder, and any dividends paid on our common shares that are not eligible for these preferential tax rates generally are taxed as ordinary income to a U.S. Individual Shareholder. In the absence of legislation extending the term of the preferential tax rates for qualified dividend income, all dividends received by a taxpayer in tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2011 will be taxed at rates applicable to ordinary income.

Special rules may apply to any amounts received in respect of our common shares that are treated as extraordinary dividends. Generally, an extraordinary dividend is a dividend with respect to a common share that is equal to or in excess of 10% of a shareholder's adjusted tax basis (or fair market value upon the shareholder's election) in such common share. In addition, extraordinary dividends include dividends received within a one year period that, in the aggregate, equal or exceed 20% of a shareholder's adjusted tax basis (or fair market value). If we pay an extraordinary dividend on our common shares that is treated as qualified dividend income, then any loss recognized by a U.S. Individual Shareholder from the sale or exchange of such common shares will be treated as long-term capital loss to the extent of the amount of such dividend.

Table of Contents*Consequences of Possible CFC Classification*

If more than 50 percent of either the total combined voting power of our outstanding shares entitled to vote or the total value of all of our outstanding shares were owned, directly, indirectly or constructively, by citizens or residents of the United States, U.S. partnerships or corporations, or U.S. estates or trusts (as defined for U.S. federal income tax purposes), each of which owned, directly, indirectly or constructively, 10% or more of the total combined voting power of our outstanding shares entitled to vote (each, a CFC Shareholder), we could be treated as a controlled foreign corporation, or a CFC. CFC Shareholders are treated as receiving current distributions of their shares of certain income of the CFC without regard to any actual distributions and are subject to other burdensome U.S. federal income tax and administrative requirements but generally are not also subject to the requirements generally applicable to shareholders of a PFIC. Although we do not believe we are or will become a CFC, U.S. persons purchasing a substantial interest in us should consider the potential implications of being treated as a CFC Shareholder in the event we become a CFC in the future.

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Our Common Shares

Subject to the discussion of PFICs, below, a U.S. Shareholder generally recognizes capital gain or loss upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realized by the shareholder from such sale, exchange or other disposition and the shareholder's tax basis in such shares. A U.S. Shareholder's ability to deduct capital losses is subject to certain limitations.

Subject to the discussion of extraordinary dividends above, gain or loss recognized upon a sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares will be (i) treated as long-term capital gain or loss if the U.S. Shareholder's holding period is greater than one year at the time of the sale, exchange or other disposition and (ii) generally treated as U.S. source income or loss, as applicable, for foreign tax credit purposes. Certain U.S. Shareholders, including individuals, may be eligible for preferential rates of U.S. federal income tax in respect of long-term capital gains.

PFIC Status and Significant Tax Consequences

Special and adverse U.S. federal income tax rules apply to a U.S. Shareholder that holds stock in a non-U.S. entity treated as a corporation and classified as a PFIC for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In general, we will be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year in which either (i) at least 75% of our gross income (including the gross income of our subsidiaries) consists of passive income (*e.g.*, dividends, interest, capital gains and rents derived other than in the active conduct of a rental business), or (ii) at least 50% of the average value of the assets held by us (including the assets of our subsidiaries) is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income. For purposes of determining whether we are a PFIC, income earned, or deemed earned, by us in connection with the performance of services would not constitute passive income.

Based on the composition of our assets and income (and that of our subsidiaries) for our 2009 Year, we believe that we were not a PFIC for such year. Furthermore, based on the expected composition of our assets and income (and that of our subsidiaries), we do not expect to become a PFIC with respect to any future years. Our belief in this regard is based principally on the position that, for purposes of determining whether we are a PFIC, the majority, if not all, of the gross income we derive (or are deemed to derive) from the chartering activities of our wholly owned subsidiaries should constitute service income rather than rental income. Correspondingly, such income should not constitute passive income, and the assets owned and operated by us or our subsidiaries in connection with the production of such income (in particular, the vessels) should not constitute passive assets under the PFIC rules. We believe there is substantial legal authority supporting our position consisting of case law and IRS pronouncements concerning the characterization of income derived from time charters as services income for other tax purposes. However, there is no legal authority specifically relating to the statutory provisions governing PFICs or relating to circumstances substantially similar to ours. Moreover, in *Tidewater Inc. v. United States*, 565 F.3d 299 (5th Cir. 2009), the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit concluded that certain time charters were appropriately characterized as leases rather than service agreements for

Table of Contents

purposes of the foreign sales corporation provisions of the Code. While the court's conclusion was contrary to the IRS's position that the time charters should be treated as services agreements, the Tidewater decision may heighten the risk of a challenge regarding our status and the status under the PFIC rules of shipping companies that engage in time chartering operations generally.

Although we intend to conduct our affairs in a manner to avoid being classified as a PFIC with respect to any taxable year, there can be no assurance that the nature of our operations, and therefore the composition of our income and assets, will remain the same in the future. Moreover, the market value of our common shares may be treated as reflecting the value of our assets at any given time. Therefore, a decline in the market value of our common shares (which is not within our control) may impact the determination of whether we are a PFIC. Because our status as a PFIC for any taxable year will not be determinable until after the end of the taxable year, there can be no assurance that we will not be considered a PFIC for any future taxable year.

As discussed more fully below, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year, a U.S. Shareholder generally would be subject to one of three different U.S. income tax regimes, depending on whether the shareholder makes certain elections.

Taxation of U.S. Shareholders Making a Timely QEF Election

If we were classified as a PFIC for a taxable year, a U.S. Shareholder making a timely election to treat us as a Qualified Electing Fund for U.S. tax purposes, or a QEF Election, would be required to report his pro rata share of our ordinary earnings and our net capital gain, if any, for our taxable year that ends with or within the shareholder's taxable year regardless of whether the shareholder received distributions from us in that year. Such income inclusions would not be eligible for the preferential tax rates applicable to qualified dividend income. The shareholder's adjusted tax basis in our common shares would be increased to reflect taxed but undistributed earnings and profits, and distributions of earnings and profits that had previously been taxed would not be taxed again when distributed but would result in a corresponding reduction in the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in our common shares. The shareholder generally would recognize capital gain or loss on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares.

A U.S. Shareholder would make a QEF Election with respect to any year that we are a PFIC by filing one copy of IRS Form 8621 with his U.S. federal income tax return and a second copy in accordance with the instructions to such form.

Taxation of U.S. Shareholders Making a Mark-to-Market Election

Alternatively, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year and, as we believe, our common shares are treated as marketable stock, then a U.S. Shareholder would be allowed to make a mark-to-market election with respect to our common shares, provided the shareholder completes and files IRS Form 8621 in accordance with the relevant instructions. If that election is made, the shareholder generally would include as ordinary income in each taxable year the excess, if any, of the fair market value of our common shares at the end of the taxable year over the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in our common shares. The shareholder also would be permitted an ordinary loss in respect of the excess, if any, of the shareholder's adjusted tax basis in our common shares over the fair market value thereof at the end of the taxable year (but only to the extent of the net amount previously included in income as a result of the mark-to-market election). The shareholder's tax basis in our common shares would be adjusted to reflect any such income or loss recognized. Gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares would be treated as ordinary income, and any loss realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares would be treated as ordinary loss to the extent that such loss does not exceed the net mark-to-market gains previously included in income by the shareholder.

Table of Contents

Taxation of U.S. Shareholders Not Making a Timely QEF Election or Mark-to-Market Election

Finally, if we were to be treated as a PFIC for any taxable year and if a U.S. Shareholder did not make either a QEF Election or a mark-to-market election for that year, the shareholder would be subject to special rules resulting in increased tax liability with respect to (i) any excess distribution (*i.e.*, the portion of any distributions received by the shareholder on our common shares in a taxable year in excess of 125% of the average annual distributions received by the shareholder in the three preceding taxable years, or, if shorter, the shareholder's holding period for our common shares), and (ii) any gain realized on the sale, exchange or other disposition of our common shares. Under these special rules:

the excess distribution or gain would be allocated ratably over the shareholder's aggregate holding period for our common shares;

the amount allocated to the current taxable year and any taxable year prior to the year we were first treated as a PFIC with respect to the shareholder would be taxed as ordinary income; and

the amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate of tax in effect for the applicable class of taxpayers for that year, and an interest charge for the deemed deferral benefit would be imposed with respect to the resulting tax. Additionally, if the shareholder is an individual who dies while owning our common shares, such shareholder's successor generally would not receive a step-up in tax basis with respect to such shares.

U.S. Federal Income Taxation of Non-U.S. Shareholders

A beneficial owner of our common shares (other than a partnership or an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) that is not a U.S. Shareholder is referred to as a non-U.S. Shareholder.

Distributions on Our Common Shares

In general, a non-U.S. Shareholder is not subject to U.S. federal income tax on distributions received from us with respect to our common shares unless the distributions are effectively connected with the shareholder's conduct of a trade or business within the United States (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, are attributable to a permanent establishment that the shareholder maintains in the United States).

Sale, Exchange or Other Disposition of Our Common Shares

In general, a non-U.S. Shareholder is not subject to U.S. federal income tax on any gain resulting from the disposition of our common shares unless (i) such gain is effectively connected with the shareholder's conduct of a trade or business in the United States (and, if required by an applicable income tax treaty, is attributable to a permanent establishment that the shareholder maintains in the United States) or (ii) the shareholder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 days or more during the taxable year in which those shares are disposed (and certain other requirements are met).

Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

In general, payments of distributions or the proceeds of a disposition of our common shares to a non-corporate U.S. Shareholder will be subject to information reporting requirements. These payments to a non-corporate U.S. Shareholder also may be subject to backup withholding if the shareholder:

fails to provide an accurate taxpayer identification number;

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

is notified by the IRS that he has failed to report all interest or corporate distributions required to be shown on his U.S. federal income tax returns; or

in certain circumstances, fails to comply with applicable certification requirements.

Table of Contents

Non-U.S. Shareholders may be required to establish their exemption from information reporting and backup withholding on payments made to them within the United States by certifying their status on an IRS Form W-8BEN, W-8ECI or W-8IMY, as applicable.

Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Rather, a shareholder generally may obtain a credit for any amount withheld against his liability for U.S. federal income tax (and obtain a refund of any amounts withheld in excess of such liability) by timely filing U.S. federal income tax return with the IRS.

Marshall Islands Tax Consequences

The following discussion is the opinion of Dennis J. Reeder, Reeder & Simpson, P.C., our counsel as to matters of the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the current laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands applicable to persons who do not reside in, maintain offices in or engage in business in the Republic of the Marshall Islands.

Because we do not, and we do not expect that we will, conduct business or operations in the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and because all documentation related to this offering will be executed outside of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, under current Marshall Islands law you will not be subject to Marshall Islands taxation or withholding on distributions, including upon a return of capital, we make to you as a shareholder. In addition, you will not be subject to Marshall Islands stamp, capital gains or other taxes on the purchase, ownership or disposition of common shares, and you will not be required by the Republic of the Marshall Islands to file a tax return relating to the common shares.

Each prospective shareholder is urged to consult his tax counsel or other advisor with regard to the legal and tax consequences, under the laws of pertinent jurisdictions, including the Marshall Islands, of his investment in us. Further, it is the responsibility of each shareholder to file all state, local and non-U.S., as well as U.S. federal tax returns that may be required of him.

Canadian Federal Income Tax Consequences

The following discussion is the opinion of Blake, Cassels & Graydon, LLP, our counsel as to certain matters of Canadian law, as to the material Canadian federal income tax consequences under the Income Tax Act (Canada) (the Canada Tax Act), as of the date of this prospectus, that we believe are relevant to holders of common shares acquired in this offering who are, at all relevant times, for the purposes of the Canada Tax Act and the Canada-United States Tax Convention 1980 (the Canada-U.S. Treaty) resident only in the United States who are qualifying persons for purposes of the Canada-U.S. Treaty and who deal at arm's length with us (U.S. Resident Holders). Holders that are United States limited liability companies should consult their own tax advisors.

Subject to the assumptions below, under the Canada Tax Act, no taxes on income (including taxable capital gains and withholding tax on dividends) are payable by U.S. Resident Holders in respect of the acquisition, holding, disposition or redemption of our shares. This opinion is based upon the assumptions that we are not a resident in Canada and such U.S. Resident Holders do not have, and have not had, for the purposes of the Canada-U.S. Treaty, a permanent establishment in Canada to which such shares pertain and, in addition, do not use or hold and are not deemed or considered to use or hold such shares in the course of carrying on a business in Canada. We will not be resident in Canada in a taxation year if our principal business is the operation of ships that are used primarily in transporting passengers or goods in international traffic, all or substantially all of our gross revenue for the year consists of gross revenue from the operation of ships in transporting passengers or goods in that international traffic, and we were not granted articles of continuance in Canada before the end of the year. Income earned in Canada by a non-resident corporation from the operation of a ship in international traffic, and gains realized from the disposition of ships used principally in international traffic, are not included in a non-resident corporation's income for Canadian tax purposes where the corporation's country of residence grants substantially similar relief to a Canadian resident. For a further discussion, separate from this opinion, of the tax consequences of us becoming a resident in Canada, please read Risk Factors Tax Risks.

Table of Contents

Each prospective shareholder is urged to consult his tax counsel or other advisor with regard to the legal and tax consequences, under the laws of pertinent jurisdictions, including Canada, of his investment in us. Further, it is the responsibility of each shareholder to file all state, local and non-U.S., as well as U.S. federal tax returns that may be required of him.

F. Dividends and Paying Agents

Not applicable.

G. Statements by Experts

Not applicable.

H. Documents on Display

Documents concerning us that are referred to herein may be inspected at the offices of Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. at 2600-200 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia. Those documents electronically filed with the SEC may be obtained from the SEC's website at www.sec.gov or from the SEC public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Further information on the operation of the public reference rooms may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. Copies of documents can be requested from the SEC public reference rooms for a copying fee.

Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. We use interest rate swaps to manage interest rate price risks, but do not use these financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

As of December 31, 2009, our floating-rate borrowings totaled \$1.8 billion, of which we had entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the rates on a notional principal of \$2.1 billion. These interest rate swaps have a fair value of \$280.4 million in the counterparties' favor.

The tables below provide information about our financial instruments at December 31, 2009 that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. See note 7 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere herein, which provides additional information with respect to our existing debt agreements. The information in this table is based upon our credit facilities.

	2010	2011	Principal Payment Dates			Thereafter
			2012	2013	2014	
Credit Facilities:						
Bearing interest at variable interest rates ⁽¹⁾	5,452	5,815	58,656	121,893	223,971	1,467,359

- (1) Represents principal payments on our credit facilities that bear interest at variable rates for which we have entered into interest rate swap agreements to fix the LIBOR. For the purpose of this table, principal repayments are determined based on amounts outstanding at period end, pro-rated to reflect commitment reduction schedules for each related facility. Actual repayments may differ from the amounts presented as payment timing is impacted by the balance outstanding at each commitment reduction date.

Table of Contents

On December 31, 2007, we had certain interest rate swaps designated as hedging instruments. During 2008, all of these interest rate swaps were de-designated. The relevant interest rate swap information and de-designation dates are as follows (dollars in thousands):

Fixed per annum rate swapped for LIBOR	Notional Amount as at December 31, 2009	Maximum Notional Amount ⁽¹⁾	Effective Date	Ending Date	Date of prospective de-designation
4.6325%	\$ 663,399	\$ 663,399	September 15, 2005	July 16, 2012	September 30, 2008
5.6400%	535,623	714,500	August 31, 2007	August 31, 2017	January 31, 2008 ⁽³⁾
5.2500%	200,000	200,000	September 29, 2006	June 23, 2010	September 30, 2008
5.2600%	106,800	106,800	July 3, 2006	February 26, 2021 ⁽²⁾	September 30, 2008
5.5150%	59,700	59,700	February 28, 2007	July 31, 2012	September 30, 2008
5.6000%		200,000	June 23, 2010	December 23, 2021	September 30, 2008
5.3150%		106,800	August 15, 2006	August 28, 2009 ⁽⁴⁾	January 31, 2008 ⁽³⁾

We received hedge accounting treatment on certain of our interest rate swaps during the period ended September 30, 2008 that ceased to receive such treatment in subsequent periods based on the prospective de-designation. For the interest rate swap agreements that were designated as hedging instruments in accordance with the requirements in the accounting literature, the changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps were reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. Interest expense was adjusted to include amounts payable or receivable under the designated interest rate swaps. The ineffective portion of the interest rate swaps were recognized immediately in earnings. The amounts included in other comprehensive loss related to these interest rate swaps will be recognized in earnings when and where the interest payments will be recognized.

- (1) Over the term of the interest rate swaps, the notional amounts increase and decrease. These amounts represent the peak notional amount during the term of the swap.
- (2) The Company has entered into a swaption agreement with a bank (Swaption Counterparty) whereby the Swaption Counterparty has the option to require the Company to enter into an interest rate swap to pay LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 5.26%. This is a European option and is open for a two hour period on February 26, 2014 after which it expires. The notional amount of the underlying swap is \$106,800,000 with an effective date of February 28, 2014 and an expiration of February 26, 2021. If the Swaption Counterparty exercises the swaption, the underlying swap effectively offsets the Company's 5.26% pay fixed LIBOR swap from February 28, 2014 to February 26, 2021.
- (3) The impact of these de-designations resulted in recognition of a charge of \$1,647,000 to earnings out of accumulated other comprehensive loss at the date of de-designation.
- (4) Expired during the year.

In addition, we have the following interest rate swaps that are not designated as hedges (dollars in thousands):

Fixed per annum rate swapped for LIBOR	Notional Amount as at December 31, 2009	Maximum Notional Amount ⁽¹⁾	Effective Date	Ending Date
5.4200%	\$ 224,467	\$ 438,462	September 6, 2007	May 31, 2024
5.0275%	111,000	158,000	May 31, 2007	September 30, 2015
5.5950%	106,800	106,800	August 28, 2009	August 28, 2020
5.2000%	96,000	96,000	December 18, 2006	October 2, 2015
5.1700%	45,510	55,500	April 30, 2007	May 29, 2020

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

5.1750%	663,399	July 16, 2012	July 15, 2016
5.8700%	620,390	August 31, 2017	November 28, 2025
5.4975%	59,700	July 31, 2012	July 31, 2019

- (1) Over the term of the interest rate swaps, the notional amounts increase and decrease. These amounts represent the peak notional amount during the term of the swap.

Table of Contents

The fair value of our interest rate swaps and swaption will change as market interest rates change. Since we prospectively de-designated the remaining interest rate swaps for which we were applying hedge accounting on September 30, 2008, all of our interest rate swap agreements are marked to market subsequent to this date. These instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value and the changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in earnings.

Counterparties to these financial instruments may expose us to credit-related losses in the event of non-performance. As at December 31, 2009, these financial instruments are in the counterparties' favor. We have considered and reflected the risk of non-performance by us and our counterparties in the fair value of our financial instruments as of December 31, 2009. As part of our consideration of non-performance risk, we perform evaluations of our counterparties for credit risk through ongoing monitoring of their financial health and risk profiles to identify funding risk or changes in their credit ratings.

Counterparties to these agreements are major financial institutions, and we consider the risk of loss due to non-performance to be minimal. We do not require collateral from these institutions. We do not hold and will not issue interest rate swaps for trading purposes.

Item 12. Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 13. Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

None.

Item 14. Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

On January 22, 2009, we entered into the Preferred Share Purchase Agreement for the issuance and sale of \$200 million aggregate amount of our Series A Preferred Shares. If certain conditions are met, the Series A Preferred Shares are convertible into our Class A Common Shares after five years. While the Series A Preferred Shares are issued and outstanding, the holders thereof have certain voting rights, preemptive rights and certain other rights and preferences that materially affect the rights of the Class A Common Shares. The voting and other powers, preferences and relative participating, optional or special rights and qualifications, limitations or restrictions are fully set forth in the Statement of Designation, which was previously filed with the SEC as Exhibit 3.1 to our Current Report on Form 6-K on February 2, 2009. For more information, please read Information on the Company B. Business Overview Recent Equity Offerings Our Series A Preferred Share Offering.

Item 15. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As required by Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 under the Exchange Act, management has evaluated, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures refer to controls and other procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding our required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management was required to apply its judgment in evaluating and implementing possible controls and procedures.

Based on the foregoing, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer have concluded that, as of December 31, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting.

Internal control over financial reporting refers to a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

Table of Contents

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and members of our board of directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process, and it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 using the framework set forth in the report of the Treadway Commission's Committee of Sponsoring Organizations.

Based on the foregoing, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

The effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 has been audited by KPMG LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited our December 31, 2009 consolidated annual financial statements, as stated in their report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the year ended December 31, 2009 there were no significant changes with regard to internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16A. Audit Committee Financial Expert

The board of directors has determined that all members of the audit committee as of the date of filing of this Annual Report qualify as audit committee financial experts and are independent under applicable NYSE and SEC standards.

Item 16B. Code of Ethics

We have adopted Standards for Business Conduct that includes a Code of Ethics for all employees and directors. This document is available under Corporate Governance in the Investor Relations section of our website (www.seaspancorp.com). We also intend to disclose any waivers to or amendments of our Standards of Business Conduct or Code of Ethics for the benefit of our directors and executive officers on our website. We will provide a hard copy of our Code of Ethics free of charge upon written request of a shareholder. Please contact Sai W. Chu for any such request.

Table of Contents**Item 16C. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

Our principal accountant for 2009 was KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants.

In 2009 and 2008, the fees rendered by the auditors were as follows:

	2009	2008
Audit Fees	\$ 399,300	\$ 597,300
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees	221,100	24,700
All Other Fees		
	\$ 620,400	\$ 622,000

Audit Fees

Audit fees for 2009 include fees related to the issuance of the Series A Preferred Shares in addition to our annual audit, quarterly reviews and accounting consultations. Audit fees for 2008 include fees related to our public offering of our common shares completed in April 2008 and the prospectus for the DRIP in addition to our annual audit, quarterly reviews and accounting consultations.

Audit-Related Fees

There were no audit-related fees in 2009 or 2008.

Tax Fees

Tax fees for 2009 and 2008 are primarily for tax consultation services.

The audit committee has the authority to pre-approve permissible audit-related and non-audit services not prohibited by law to be performed by our independent auditors and associated fees. Engagements for proposed services either may be separately pre-approved by the audit committee or entered into pursuant to detailed pre-approval policies and procedures established by the audit committee, as long as the audit committee is informed on a timely basis of any engagement entered into on that basis. The audit committee separately pre-approved all engagements and fees paid to our principal accountant in 2009 and 2008.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the Listing Standards for Audit Committees

Not applicable.

Item 16E. Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Not applicable.

Item 16F. Change in Registrants Certifying Accountant

Not applicable.

Item 16G. Corporate Governance

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

The following are the significant ways in which our corporate governance practices differ from those followed by domestic companies:

we hold annual meetings of shareholders under the Business Corporations Act of the Republic of the Marshall Islands, similar to NYSE requirements;

Table of Contents

in lieu of a nominating committee, the full board of directors regulates nominations as set forth in our bylaws; and

in lieu of obtaining shareholder approval prior to the adoption of equity compensation plans, the full board of directors approves such adoption.

U.S. issuers are required to have a compensation committee that is comprised entirely of independent directors. Although as a foreign private issuer this rule does not apply to us, we have a compensation committee that consists of four directors, all of whom satisfy NYSE standards for independence.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 17. Financial Statements

Not applicable.

Item 18. Financial Statements

The following financial statements, together with the report of KPMG LLP, Chartered Accountants thereon, are filed as part of this Annual Report:

SEASPAN CORPORATION

<u>Management's Statement of Responsibilities</u>	F-1
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007</u>	F-8
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-9

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulations of the SEC are not required, are inapplicable or have been disclosed in the Consolidated Notes to the Financial Statements and therefore have been omitted.

Table of Contents**Item 19. Exhibits**

The following exhibits are filed as part of this Annual Report:

Exhibit Number	Description
1.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Seaspan Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on August 4, 2005).
1.2	Bylaws of Seaspan Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).
2.1	Statement of Designation of the 12% Cumulative Preferred Shares Series A, dated January 22, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009).
2.2	Specimen of Share Certificate of Seaspan Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).
2.3	Specimen of Share Certificate of Seaspan Corporation 12% Cumulative Preferred Shares Series A (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009).
2.4	Registration Rights Agreement by and among Seaspan Corporation and the investors named therein dated August 8, 2005 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on August 4, 2005).
2.5	Registration Rights Agreement by and among Seaspan Corporation and the investors named therein dated January 30, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009).
2.6	Form of Shareholders Rights Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Amendment No. 2 to Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on August 4, 2005).
2.7	Amendment No. 1 to Shareholders Rights Agreement dated January 30, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.)
4.3	Underwriting Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on April 11, 2008).
4.4	Preferred Stock Purchase Agreement dated January 22, 2009, by and among Seaspan Corporation and certain investors named therein (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009.)
4.5	Seaspan Corporation Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on March 17, 2006).
4.6	Amended and Restated Management Agreement among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. dated as of May 4, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K/A (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007).
4.7	Vessel Management Agreement for 2500 TEU / 3500 TEU Vessels dated as of the 18th day of May, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 6-K/A (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
4.8	Vessel Management Agreement for 5100 TEU Vessels dated as of the 18th day of May, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Form 6-K/A (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007).
4.9	Vessel Management Agreement for Two 2500 TEU K-Line Vessels / Four 4250 TEU CSAV Vessels / Eight 8500 COSCON Vessels dated as of the 28th day of September, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on October 29, 2007).
4.10	Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2177 and Hull No. S452 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. And Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. dated as of January 28, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.9 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.11	Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2178 and Hull No. S453 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. dated as of March 31, 2008 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008).
4.12	Vessel Management Agreement for Two 13100 TEU Vessels with Hull No. 2179 and Hull No. S454 built by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. and chartered to COSCO Container Lines Co., Ltd. dated as of March 31, 2008 among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Seaspan Crew Management Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008).
4.13	Omnibus Agreement by and among Seaspan Corporation, Seaspan Management Services Limited, Seaspan Ship Management Ltd., Seaspan Advisory Services Limited, Norsk Pacific Steamship Company Limited and Seaspan International Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).
4.14	Employment Agreement between Gerry Wang and Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).
4.15	Amendment No. 1 to Executive Employment Agreement with Gerry Wang, effective as of January 1, 2009, by and between Seaspan Ship Management Ltd. and Gerry Wang (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on February 2, 2009).
4.16	Employment Agreement between Graham Porter and Seaspan Advisory Services Limited (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
4.17	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Seaspan Corporation and each of Kyle Washington, Gerry Wang, Kevin M. Kennedy, David Korbin, Peter Shaerf, Peter Lorange, Milton K. Wong, Barry R. Pearl, Sai W. Chu, Christa L. Scowby, Ken Low and John Hsu (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-1 (File No. 333-126762), filed with the SEC on July 21, 2005).
4.18	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between Seaspan Corporation and Arranged by Citigroup Global Markets Limited and Fortis Capital, with Citigroup Global Markets Limited, Credit Suisse, Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen, DnB Nor Bank ASA, Fortis Capital Corp. as Mandated Lead Arrangers with Fortis Capital Corp. as Facility Agent, dated as of May 11, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 1.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on May 23, 2007).
4.19	Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000, dated May 19, 2006, among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank, ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent (incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on June 12, 2006).
4.20	Amendment No. 1 to Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000, dated June 29, 2007, among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank, ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Form 6-K/A (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on October 10, 2007).
4.21	Amendment No. 2 to Credit Facility Agreement providing for a Senior Secured Reducing Revolving Credit Facility of up to \$365,000,000 dated August 7, 2007 among Seaspan Corporation, DnB Nor Bank, ASA, as Sole Bookrunner, Administrative Agent and Security Agent, Credit Suisse and Fortis Capital Corp., as Mandated Lead Arrangers and Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen as documentation agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.22	U.S. \$218,400,000 Credit Facility Agreement, dated October 16, 2006, among Seaspan Corporation, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe Limited, as Security Trustee and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as Facility Agent (incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on October 23, 2006).
4.23	U.S. \$920,000,000 Reducing, Revolving Credit Facility, dated August 8, 2007, among DnB Nor Bank ASA, Credit Suisse, The Export-Import Bank of China, Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on August 9, 2007).
4.24	U.S. \$150,000,000 Reducing Revolving Credit Facility Agreement dated December 28, 2007, for Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd. and Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd. as borrowers with Seaspan Corporation, as guarantor, arranged by Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited with Industrial and Commercial Bank of China Limited as facility Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.20 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
4.25	U.S. \$291,200,000 Credit Facility Agreement for Seaspan Corporation as Borrower, arranged by Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch and The Export-Import Bank of Korea with Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch as Facility Agent and Security Trustee and Fortis Bank S.A./N.V., New York Branch as Swap Agent dated March 17, 2008 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.21 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.26	U.S. \$235,300,000 Credit Facility Agreement dated March 31, 2008 for Seaspan Corporation as borrower, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation as mandated lead arranger, Sumitomo Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as original lender, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe Limited as security trustee, Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as facility agent and Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation, Brussels Branch as agent for the finance parties under the KEIC policies (incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on April 4, 2008).
4.27	Lease Agreement in respect of one 4520 TEU Container Carrier to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1851 dated December 27, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.22 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.28	Lease Agreement in respect of one 4520 TEU Container Carrier to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1852 dated December 27, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.23 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.29	Lease Agreement in respect of one 4520 TEU Container Carrier to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1853 dated December 27, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.24 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.30	Lease Agreement in respect of one 4520 TEU Container Carrier to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1854 dated December 27, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.25 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.31	Lease Agreement in respect of one 4520 TEU Container Carrier to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull No. 1855 dated December 27, 2007, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.26 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.32	Amendment Agreement relating to Five Lease Agreements in respect of 4520 TEU Container Carriers to be Built at Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd. with Hull Nos. 1851, 1852, 1853, 1854 and 1855, dated February 4, 2008, among Peony Leasing Limited and Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.27 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).
4.33	Form of Securities Indenture (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form F-3 (File No. 333-137051), filed with the SEC on September 1, 2006).
4.34	Seaspan Corporation Change of Control Severance Plan for Employees of Seaspan Ship Management Ltd., effective as of January 1, 2009 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.34 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009).
8.1	Subsidiaries of Seaspan Corporation (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 8.1 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591) filed with the SEC on March 24, 2008).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
11.1	Code of Ethics (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 11.1 to the Company's Form 20-F (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on March 17, 2006).
11.2	Compensation Committee Annual Report (incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on March 15, 2007).
11.3	Audit Committee Annual Report (incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 6-K (File No. 1-32591), filed with the SEC on March 15, 2007).
12.1*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Seaspan's Chief Executive Officer.
12.2*	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Seaspan's Chief Financial Officer.
13.1*	Seaspan Corporation Certification of Gerry Wang, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.2*	Seaspan Corporation Certification of Sai W. Chu, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
15.1*	Consent of KPMG LLP to the incorporation by reference of the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

* Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S STATEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES

The management of Seaspan Corporation (the Company) is responsible for the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements and the preparation and presentation of information in the Annual Report. The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and are considered by management to present fairly the financial position and operating results of the Company.

The Company maintains various systems of internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are appropriately authorized and recorded, that assets are safeguarded and that financial reports are properly maintained to provide accurate and reliable financial statements.

The Company's audit committee is comprised entirely of non-management directors and is appointed by the Board of Directors annually. The committee meets periodically with the Company's management and independent auditors to review the consolidated financial statements and the independent auditors' report thereon.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been reviewed by the audit committee, which has recommended their approval by the Board of Directors. The Company's independent auditors, KPMG LLP, have examined the consolidated financial statements and their report follows.

/s/ GERRY WANG
Chief Executive Officer

March 4, 2010

/s/ SAI W. CHU
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Seaspan Corporation

We have audited Seaspan Corporation's (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the section entitled "Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting" included in Management's Discussion and Analysis. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009, and our report dated March 4, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, Canada

March 4, 2010

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors of Seaspan Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Seaspan Corporation (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2009 in conformity with US generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on the criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 4, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Chartered Accountants

Vancouver, Canada

March 4, 2010, except for note 15(c), which is as of March 5, 2010

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except number of shares and par value amounts)

December 31, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 133,400	\$ 136,285
Accounts receivable	164	172
Prepaid expenses	12,489	5,254
	146,053	141,711
Vessels (note 4)	3,485,350	3,126,489
Deferred charges (note 5)	21,667	20,306
Other assets (note 6)	11,377	8,366
	\$ 3,664,447	\$ 3,296,872
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 12(a))	\$ 20,905	\$ 15,211
Deferred revenue	9,787	8,443
	30,692	23,654
Long-term debt (note 7)	1,883,146	1,721,158
Other long-term liabilities (note 8)	410,598	390,931
Fair value of financial instruments (note 14(c))	280,445	414,769
Shareholders' equity:		
Share capital (note 9):		
Class A common shares; \$0.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized; 67,734,811 shares issued and outstanding (2008 66,800,041)		
Class B common shares; \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; nil shares issued and outstanding (2008 nil)		
Class C common shares; \$0.01 par value; 100 shares authorized; 100 shares issued and outstanding (2008 100)		
Preferred shares; \$0.01 par value; 65,000,000 shares authorized, of which 315,000 are Series A; 200,000 Series A issued and outstanding (2008 nil); liquidation preference of \$214,464 (2008 nil)	679	668
Additional paid in capital	1,489,936	1,282,189
Deficit	(349,802)	(443,081)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(81,247)	(93,416)
	1,059,566	746,360
	\$ 3,664,447	\$ 3,296,872

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Commitments and contingent obligations (note 13)

Subsequent events (note 15)

Approved on behalf of the Board:

/s/ GEORGE H. JUETTEN

/s/ GERRY WANG

Director
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Director

F-4

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Consolidated Statements of Operations

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amounts)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

	2009	2008	2007
Revenue	\$ 285,594	\$ 229,405	\$ 199,235
Operating expenses:			
Ship operating (note 3)	80,162	54,416	46,174
Depreciation	69,996	57,448	50,162
General and administrative	7,968	8,895	6,006
	158,126	120,759	102,342
Operating earnings	127,468	108,646	96,893
Other expenses (income):			
Interest expense	21,194	33,035	34,062
Interest income	(311)	(694)	(4,074)
Undrawn credit facility fees	4,641	5,251	3,057
Amortization of deferred charges (note 5)	2,042	1,825	1,256
Change in fair value of financial instruments	(46,450)	268,575	72,365
Financing fee write-off (note 5)			635
Other expenses (note 8)	1,100		
	(17,784)	307,992	107,301
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 145,252	\$ (199,346)	\$ (10,408)
Earnings (loss) per shares (note 10):			
Class A and Class B common share, basic	\$ 1.94	\$ (3.12)	\$ (0.20)
Class A and Class B common share, diluted	1.58	(3.12)	(0.20)
Class C common share, basic and diluted			

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

	2009	2008	2007
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 145,252	\$ (199,346)	\$ (10,408)
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Change in fair value of financial instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments		(40,156)	(58,132)
Amounts reclassified to earnings (loss) during the period	12,169	9,084	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	12,169	(31,072)	(58,132)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 157,421	\$ (230,418)	\$ (68,540)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

	Number of common shares			Number of Preferred shares	Common shares	Preferred shares	Additional paid-in capital	Deficit	Accumulated other Comprehensive income (loss)	Total shareholders' equity
	Class A	Class B	Class C	Series A						
Balance, December 31, 2006	40,377,250	7,145,000	100		\$ 475	\$	\$ 748,410	\$ (17,658)	\$ (4,212)	\$ 727,015
Class A common shares issued on secondary offering (note 9)	9,975,000				100		309,864			309,964
Fees and expenses in connection with issuance of common shares							(13,202)			(13,202)
Share-based compensation expense (note 11):										
Restricted class A common shares and phantom share units issued	44,583						1,340			1,340
Net loss								(10,408)		(10,408)
Other comprehensive net loss:										
Change in fair value of financial instruments designated as cash flow hedging instruments									(58,132)	(58,132)
Dividends on Class A and B common shares (\$1.785 per share)								(94,251)		(94,251)
Balance, December 31, 2007	50,396,833	7,145,000	100		575		1,046,412	(122,317)	(62,344)	862,326
Class A common shares issued on public offering (note 9)	8,713,300				87		237,350			237,437
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment program (note 9)	440,391				5		5,836			5,841
Fees and expenses in connection with issuance of common shares and dividend reinvestment program							(9,963)			(9,963)
Share-based compensation expense (note 11):										
Restricted class A common shares and phantom share units issued	104,517				1		2,554			2,555
Conversion of class B common shares to class A common shares at termination of subordination	7,145,000	(7,145,000)								

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

period (note 9)							
Net loss					(199,346)		(199,346)
Other comprehensive loss						(31,072)	(31,072)
Dividends on class A and B common shares (\$1.90 per share)					(121,418)		(121,418)
Balance, December 31, 2008, carried forward	66,800,041	100	668	1,282,189	(443,081)	(93,416)	746,360

F-6

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity (Continued)

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

	Number of common shares			Number of Preferred shares	Common shares	Preferred shares	Additional paid-in capital	Deficit	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	Total shareholders equity
	Class A	Class B	Class C	Series A						
Balance, December 31, 2008, brought forward	66,800,041		100		\$ 668		\$ 1,282,189	\$ (443,081)	\$ (93,416)	\$ 746,360
Series A preferred shares issued				200,000		2	199,998			200,000
Shares issued through dividend reinvestment program (note 9)	852,230					8	7,124			7,132
Fees and expenses in connection with issuance of common shares, dividend reinvestment program and preferred shares							(1,558)			(1,558)
Share-based compensation expense (note 11):										
Restricted class A common shares and phantom share units issued	82,540					1	2,183			2,184
Net earnings								145,252		145,252
Other comprehensive income									12,169	12,169
Dividends on class A common shares (\$0.775 per share)								(51,973)		(51,973)
Balance, December 31, 2009	67,734,811		100	200,000	\$ 677	\$ 2	\$ 1,489,936	\$ (349,802)	\$ (81,247)	\$ 1,059,566

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

	2009	2008	2007
Cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities:			
Net earnings (loss)	\$ 145,252	\$ (199,346)	\$ (10,408)
Items not involving cash:			
Depreciation	69,996	57,448	50,162
Share-based compensation (note 11)	2,184	2,555	1,340
Amortization of deferred charges (note 5)	2,042	1,825	1,256
Write-off on debt refinancing (note 5)			635
Amounts reclassified from other comprehensive loss to interest expense	12,068	2,155	
Unrealized change in fair value of financial instruments	(134,324)	253,037	72,365
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses and accounts receivable	(7,227)	1,758	(2,756)
Other assets and deferred charges	(3,553)	(2,618)	(3,975)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	5,694	6,695	2,909
Deferred revenue	1,344	1,243	1,640
Other long-term liabilities (note 8)	1,100		
Cash from operating activities	94,576	124,752	113,168
Financing activities:			
Series A preferred shares issued, net of share issue costs	198,442		
Common shares issued, net of share issue costs (note 9)		227,474	296,762
Draws on credit facilities (note 7)	161,988	764,720	1,025,235
Other long-term liabilities (note 8)		35,405	53,106
Repayment of credit facility		(383,000)	(249,000)
Financing fees incurred (note 5)	(3,530)	(5,841)	(9,409)
Dividends on common shares	(44,841)	(115,577)	(94,251)
Cash from financing activities	312,059	523,181	1,022,443
Investing activities:			
Expenditures for vessels	(408,557)	(626,783)	(1,104,469)
Cash payments on interest rate swaps		(7,124)	
Intangible assets	(963)	(875)	(235)
Cash used in investing activities	(409,520)	(634,782)	(1,104,704)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(2,885)	13,151	30,907
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	136,285	123,134	92,227

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 133,400	\$ 136,285	\$ 123,134
Supplementary information (note 12(b))			

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-8

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

1. General:

Seaspan Corporation was incorporated on May 3, 2005 in the Marshall Islands for the purpose of acquiring 10 containerships (the Initial Fleet) from 10 existing Republic of Cyprus incorporated wholly owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Predecessor) of Seaspan Container Lines Limited (SCLL) and to enter into an agreement (the Asset Purchase Agreement) to acquire 13 additional containerships from 13 other Cyprus incorporated wholly owned subsidiaries of SCLL (all 13 subsidiaries collectively referred to as VesselCos) on completion of their construction, which completion was scheduled to occur between 2005 - 2007 (all 23 containerships collectively referred to as the Contracted Fleet). Subsequent to the completion of the initial public offering (IPO), Seaspan Corporation has also entered into agreements to acquire other containerships. The primary activity of Seaspan Corporation is the ownership and operation of the containerships which are engaged in the deep-sea container transportation business.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, Seaspan Corporation incorporated Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd., Seaspan Finance II Co. Ltd., and Seaspan Finance III Co. Ltd., for the purpose of entering into financing lease and credit facility agreements in connection with the construction of certain containerships. These entities are fully consolidated in the financial statements as at and for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008. During the year ended December 31, 2009, Seaspan Corporation incorporated Seaspan (Asia) Corporation for the purpose of entering into lease financing in connection with the construction of certain containerships. This entity is fully consolidated in the financial statements as at and for the year ended December 31, 2009. In these consolidated financial statements, the Company refers to Seaspan Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries.

2. Summary of significant accounting policies:

(a) Basis of presentation:

This summary of significant accounting policies is presented to assist in understanding the consolidated financial statements. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements.

(b) Principles of consolidation:

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Seaspan Corporation and its majority owned subsidiaries (collectively, the Company). The Company also consolidates any variable interest entities (VIEs) of which it is the primary beneficiary, as defined. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

(c) Foreign currency translation:

The functional and reporting currency of the Company is the United States dollar. Transactions incurred in other currencies are translated into United States dollars using the exchange rate at the time of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities as of the financial reporting date are

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

translated into United States dollars using exchange rates at that date. Exchange gains and losses are included in net earnings.

F-9

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(d) Cash equivalents:

Cash equivalents include highly liquid securities with terms to maturity of three months or less when acquired.

(e) Vessels:

Vessels purchased on completion of the IPO are carried at the historical carrying value of the Predecessor which includes capitalized interest during construction and other construction, design, supervision and pre-delivery costs.

Vessels purchased pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement are recorded at their cost to the Company, reflecting the predetermined purchase price in the agreement.

Vessels acquired in the secondhand market are recorded at their cost to the Company which consists of the purchase price, acquisition and delivery costs.

Vessels under construction include deposits, installment payments, interest, financing costs, construction design, supervision costs, and other pre-delivery costs incurred during the construction period.

Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of each vessel, which is 30 years from the date of initial completion. The Company calculates depreciation based on the remaining useful life and the expected salvage value of the vessel.

Vessels are evaluated for impairment when events or circumstances indicate that their carrying values may not be recovered from future undiscounted cash flows. Such evaluations include comparison of current and anticipated operating cash flows, assessment of future operations and other relevant factors. When the carrying value of the vessels exceeds the undiscounted estimated future cash flows, the vessels would be written down to their fair value.

(f) Intangible assets:

For certain vessels where the Company provides lubricants for the operation of such vessels, the Company has a contractual right to have the vessel returned with the same level and complement of lubricants upon termination of the management agreement. This contractual right is recorded as an intangible asset and included in other assets at the historical fair value of the lubricants at the time of delivery. Intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or more frequently due to events or changes in circumstances that indicate the asset might be impaired. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value.

(g) Deferred financing fees:

Edgar Filing: Seaspac CORP - Form 20-F

Deferred financing fees represent the unamortized costs incurred on issuance of credit facilities. Amortization is provided on the effective interest rate method over the term of the underlying obligation.

F-10

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(h) Income taxes:

There are no taxes on income in the jurisdiction in which the Company is incorporated. The Company is not subject to taxes on income in any other jurisdiction where the Company operates.

The owner of the vessel is responsible for all taxes, fees or other levies charged by countries other than Hong Kong on vessels due to having cargo on board. Under charter party agreements, this responsibility has been assumed by the charterer. Taxes, fees or levies charged by Hong Kong are included in technical services, as part of the Management Agreements (note 3). Any such taxes paid by the Company, as a result of the charterer's failure to pay, will be recognized when the Company's obligation is determinable.

(i) Revenue recognition:

Revenue from charter hire services is recognized as services are rendered and collection is reasonably assured. Any expected losses on shipping contracts are provided for as they become known. Cash received in excess of earned revenue is recorded as deferred revenue.

(j) Derivative financial instruments:

The Company's hedging policies permit the use of various derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk. Interest rate swap and swaption agreements have been entered into to reduce the Company's exposure to market risks from changing interest rates. All derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet at their respective fair values. The Company recognizes the interest rate swap and swaption agreements on the balance sheet at their fair value.

On September 30, 2008, the Company de-designated as accounting hedges its derivatives to which hedge accounting was applied (note 14(c)). Subsequent to this date, all changes in fair value of the Company's derivative instruments are recorded in earnings.

If the Company elects to apply hedge accounting, to qualify for hedge accounting, derivatives must be highly effective at reducing the risk associated with the exposure being hedged and must be formally designated as a hedge at the inception of the hedging relationship. The Company considers a hedge to be highly effective if the change in fair value of the derivative hedging instrument is within 80% to 125% of the opposite change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. For interest rate swap agreements that are formally designated as cash flow hedges, the changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded in other comprehensive income and are reclassified to earnings when and where the hedged transaction is reflected in earnings. Ineffective portions of the hedges are recognized in earnings as they occur.

During the life of the hedge, the Company formally assesses whether each derivative designated as a hedging instrument continues to be highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of hedged items. If it is determined that a hedge has ceased to be highly effective, the Company will discontinue hedge accounting prospectively.

If the Company de-designates a hedging relationship and discontinues hedge accounting, the Company evaluates the future settlements to determine whether there are any hedged interest rate payments that are improbable to occur. When such amounts are identified as being

improbable, the accumulated other

F-11

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

comprehensive income balance pertaining to these amounts is reversed through earnings immediately. When amounts are not identified as improbable, any balances recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income at the de-designation are recognized in earnings when and where the interest payments will be recognized.

(k) Fair value measurement:

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1 Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.

Level 2 Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

(l) Share-based compensation:

Share-based compensation awards may include options, restricted shares, phantom shares and other share-based awards. Compensation cost of the Company's share-based compensation awards is measured at their grant date fair values, based on the quoted market price of the Company's Class A common shares, and recognized straight-line over the requisite service period.

(m) Earnings per share:

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

The Company has multiple classes of common shares with different participation rights and applies the two-class method to compute basic earnings per share (EPS). Diluted EPS reflects the dilutive effect of share-based compensation awards for which future service is required as a condition to the delivery of the underlying common shares, as well as the conversion of preferred shares.

(n) Dry-dock activities:

Classification rules require that vessels be dry-docked for inspection including planned major maintenance and overhaul activities for ongoing certification. The Company dry-docks its vessels once

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

every five years. Dry-docking costs include planned major maintenance and overhaul activities for ongoing certification including the inspection, refurbishment and replacement of steel, engine components, electrical, pipes and valves, and other parts of the vessel. The Company has adopted the deferral method of accounting for dry-dock activities whereby costs incurred are deferred and amortized on a straight line basis over the period until the next scheduled dry-dock activity.

(o) Use of estimates:

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting fiscal periods. Areas where accounting judgments and estimates are significant to the Company include the assessment of the vessel lives and the recoverability of the carrying value of vessels which are subject to future market events and the fair value of interest rate derivative financial instruments. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(p) Recent accounting pronouncements:

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance on the consolidation of variable interest entities that the Company will apply prospectively effective January 1, 2010. The new guidance will change the evaluation of when a variable interest entity should be consolidated and requires additional disclosures about a reporting entity's involvement in variable interest entities. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new guidance.

3. Related party transactions:

The owners of Seaspan Management Services Limited (the Manager) also own common shares and preferred shares of the Company. The Manager also has officers in common with the Company.

The Management Agreement was entered into on August 8, 2005 between the Company and the Manager for the provision of certain technical, strategic and administrative services for fees. In connection with entering into the agreement to provide the Company with strategic services, the Company issued 100 incentive shares to the Manager (note 9).

Under the Management Agreement, the Manager provides services to the Company for fees which are fixed through December 31, 2011 and thereafter will be subject to renegotiation every three years as follows:

Technical Services The Manager is responsible for providing ship operating expenses to the Company in exchange for a fixed fee per day per vessel as described below. The technical services fee does not include certain extraordinary items, as defined in the

Management Agreements.

Administrative and Strategic Services The Manager provides administrative and strategic services to the Company for the management of the business for a fixed fee of \$72,000 per year. The Company will also reimburse all reasonable expenses incurred by the Manager in providing these services to the Company.

On May 4, 2007, the Management Agreement (the Amended Management Agreement) was amended and restated to include the second hand vessels chartered to A.P. Møller Mærsk A/S (the Mærsk vessels). Under the original Management Agreement, the Manager provides technical, administrative and strategic services

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(the Services) to the Company for the 23 vessels that made up the Company's contracted fleet at the time of the IPO (the IPO vessels). These Services will continue to be provided to the Company under the Amended Management Agreement for the IPO vessels, Mærsk vessels, and any other new build or second hand vessel that the Company may, with the prior approval of the Manager, add to the Amended Management Agreement.

Under the Amended Management Agreement, for vessels other than the IPO vessels, the Company will fund at its own expense pre-delivery purchases and services to ensure the seaworthiness and readiness for service and will pay all fees associated with the classification society or registration of the vessel under the relevant flag.

On September 28, 2007, January 28, 2008 and March 31, 2008, the Company entered into separate Management Agreements with the Manager to provide technical services for the vessels that it has acquired to date other than those in its initial contracted fleet or the Mærsk Vessels. Under these Management Agreements, the Company pays to the Manager an initial technical services fee for the management of those vessels once the relevant vessel is delivered.

The initial technical service fee under each of the Management Agreements was fixed through December 31, 2008. During 2008, the Company negotiated the Adjusted Technical Services Fee for the period commencing January 1, 2009 as summarized below. These fees are fixed through December 31, 2011, and thereafter will be subject to renegotiation every three years:

Date of Management Agreement	Vessels subject to Management Agreement	Adjusted Technical Services Fee (in whole amounts, per vessel per day)	Initial Technical Services Fee (in whole amounts, per vessel per day)
May 4, 2007			
(Amended Management Agreement)	23 IPO vessels constructed by Samsung Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., or SHI		
	- Five 4250 TEU	\$ 5,526	\$ 4,500
	- 14 4250 TEU	5,423	4,500
	- Two 8500 TEU	6,699	6,000
	- Two 9600 TEU	7,406	6,500
	Four 4800 TEU Mærsk vessels constructed by Odense - Lindo Shipyard Ltd.	7,848	5,750
May 18, 2007		5,242	4,200
(2500/3500 Management Agreement)	Two 3500 TEU vessels constructed by Zhejiang Shipbuilding Co. Ltd.		
		5,118	4,000

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

Eight of the ten 2500 TEU vessels
constructed by Jiangsu Yangzijiang
Shipbuilding Co., Ltd., or Jiangsu

F-14

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Date of Management Agreement	Vessels subject to Management Agreement	Adjusted Technical Services Fee (in whole amounts, per vessel per day)	Initial Technical Services Fee (in whole amounts, per vessel per day)
May 18, 2007 (5100 Management Agreement)	Four 5100 TEU vessels being constructed by Hyundai Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., or HHI	6,482	4,800
September 28, 2007 (2500/4250/8500 Management Agreement)	Two 2500 TEU vessels being constructed by Jiangsu Four 4250 TEU vessels being constructed by Jiangsu New Yangzi Shipbuilding Co., Ltd., or New Jiangsu	5,187 5,411	4,200 4,725
January 28, 2008 (13100 Management Agreement, Hull no. 2177 and Hull no. S452)	Eight 8500 TEU vessels being constructed by HHI Two 13100 TEU vessels that will be constructed by HHI and Hyundai Samho Heavy Industries Co., Ltd., or HSHI	7,410 8,336	6,000 6,750
March 31, 2008 (13100 Management Agreement, Hull no. S453 and Hull no. 2178)	Two 13100 TEU vessels that will be constructed by HHI and HSHI	8,336	6,750
March 31, 2008 (13100 Management Agreement, Hull no. S454 and Hull no. 2179)	Two 13100 TEU vessels that will be constructed by HHI and HSHI.	8,336	6,750

The Company incurred the following costs under the Management Agreements:

	2009	2008	2007
For the year ended December 31:			
Technical services	\$ 81,844,000	\$ 54,623,400	\$ 47,956,500
Dry-dock activities included in technical services	3,575,000	2,945,000	2,566,000
Administrative and strategic services	72,000	72,000	72,000
Reimbursed expenses	2,458,000	2,242,000	1,526,000
Construction supervision (under fixed fee arrangements of \$250,000 to \$350,000 per vessel)	3,106,000	1,714,000	nil
Consulting services incurred with the Manager and parties related thereto	240,000	40,000	nil
As of December 31, 2009, amounts due to the Manager totaled \$1,235,000 (2008 \$1,799,000).			

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

4. Vessels:

	Cost	Accumulated depreciation	Net book value
December 31, 2009			
Vessels	\$ 2,300,246	\$ 211,557	\$ 2,088,689
Vessels under construction	1,396,661		1,396,661
	\$ 3,696,907	\$ 211,557	\$ 3,485,350
December 31, 2008			
Vessels	\$ 1,839,715	\$ 141,662	\$ 1,698,053
Vessels under construction	1,428,436		1,428,436
	\$ 3,268,151	\$ 141,662	\$ 3,126,489

During the year, the Company capitalized interest costs of \$30,995,880 (December 31, 2008 \$50,052,000; 2007 \$19,030,000) to vessels under construction.

5. Deferred charges:

	Dry-docking	Financing fees	Total
December 31, 2007	\$ 2,126	\$ 15,114	\$ 17,240
Cost incurred	217	5,841	6,058
Amortization expensed	(527)	(1,298)	(1,825)
Amortization capitalized		(1,167)	(1,167)
December 31, 2008	1,816	18,490	20,306
Cost incurred	1,505	3,530	5,035
Amortization expensed	(558)	(1,484)	(2,042)
Amortization capitalized		(1,632)	(1,632)
December 31, 2009	\$ 2,763	\$ 18,904	\$ 21,667

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company refinanced the \$1.0 billion credit facility, as described in note 7. As a result, \$635,000 of previously deferred costs incurred in connection with the \$1.0 billion credit facility were expensed.

6. Other assets:

	2009	2008
Prepaid expenses	\$ 7,989	\$ 5,941
Intangible assets	3,388	2,425
Other assets	\$ 11,377	\$ 8,366

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

7. Long-term debt:

	2009	2008
Long-term debt (operating vessels):		
\$1.3 billion revolving credit facility	\$ 567,461	\$ 608,118
\$218.4 million credit facility	163,061	
\$365.0 million revolving credit facility	128,566	95,717
\$920.0 million revolving credit facility	77,706	
Long-term debt (operating vessels)	\$ 936,794	\$ 703,835
Long-term debt (vessels under construction):		
\$1.3 billion revolving credit facility	\$ 465,284	\$ 424,627
\$920.0 million revolving credit facility	418,220	450,753
\$218.4 million credit facility	26,107	110,916
\$235.3 million credit facility	36,741	
\$365.0 million revolving credit facility		31,027
\$291.2 million credit facility		
\$150.0 million revolving credit facility		
Long-term debt (vessels under construction)	\$ 946,352	\$ 1,017,323
Long-term debt	\$ 1,883,146	\$ 1,721,158

(a) \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility:

On May 11, 2007, the Company amended and restated its \$1.0 billion credit facility dated August 8, 2005. The amended and restated credit agreement is a \$1.3 billion single-tranche senior secured seven-year revolving credit facility (the \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility).

Borrowings under this facility may be used to finance vessel acquisitions, to refinance vessels already acquired by the Company and for general corporate purposes. During 2008, the Company exercised its option to extend the maturity date by twelve months to May 11, 2015.

The Company's obligations under the \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility are secured by the following, among others:

First and second priority mortgages on the 23 vessels in the Company's initial contracted fleet as well as the four Mærsk vessels;
and

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

First-priority assignment of earnings related to the above noted vessels, including time charter revenues, and a first-priority assignment of any insurance proceeds.

Until August 11, 2012, the Company is able to borrow up to \$1.3 billion without providing additional collateral provided that the total outstanding balance remains below 70% of the market value of the vessels that are collateralized. Under these restrictions, as of December 31, 2009, the Company is unable to borrow the additional \$267 million under the facility. This restriction does not impact the repayment terms under the facility. In certain circumstances and for a certain period of time, even if the Company's loan to value ratio exceeds 70%, the Company can borrow under the facility to purchase additional vessels so long as the loan to value ratio does not exceed 80% (the Overadvance Loan). The vessels purchased will then become additional security under the facility.

F-17

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Beginning on August 11, 2012, the maximum facility amount will be reduced by \$32.5 million per quarter until May 11, 2014. The maximum facility amount will then be reduced by \$65.0 million per quarter until its maturity date, when the outstanding loan balance will be due and payable.

Interest is calculated at a rate of one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.7% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. In the case of the Overadvance Loan, the interest rate is LIBOR plus 1.0% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. The weighted average rate of interest including the margin is 0.93% at December 31, 2009 (2.54% at December 31, 2008).

The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.2625% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

The Company may prepay all amounts outstanding without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. The Company is required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans under certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel where the ratio of the loan to market value of the remaining collateral vessels exceeds a certain percentage. Amounts prepaid in accordance with these provisions may be reborrowed, subject to certain conditions.

The \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility contains certain financial covenants including but not limited to covenants requiring the Company to maintain a minimum tangible net worth, and interest and principal coverage ratios.

(b) \$365.0 million revolving credit facility:

On May 19, 2006, the Company entered into a \$365.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility agreement (the \$365.0 million revolving credit facility) with certain lenders.

The \$365.0 million revolving credit facility is divided into two Tranches:

(i) Tranche A, in the maximum amount of \$82.0 million (\$74.8 million at December 31, 2009; \$78.4 million at December 31, 2008)

Beginning in March 2008, the amount available under Tranche A began to reduce semiannually by amounts ranging from 2.2% to 3.5% of the total amounts available until the maturity date, at which time Tranche A will terminate. A final payment of approximately 47% of the total amounts available is required upon maturity of the tranche on July 5, 2017. No amounts have been drawn on Tranche A.

(ii) Tranche B, in the maximum amount of \$283.0 million.

Edgar Filing: Seaspac CORP - Form 20-F

Tranche B was used to partially fund the purchase of eight 2500 TEU vessels constructed by Jiangsu in China. Since the collateral vessels have all been delivered, the Company is using the facility for general corporate purposes.

Beginning in March 2010, the principal amount borrowed under Tranche B will be reduced semiannually by amounts ranging from 2.1% to 3.3% of the total amounts available until the maturity date at which time Tranche B will terminate. A final payment of approximately 49% of the total amounts available is required upon maturity of the tranche on August 31, 2019.

F-18

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Interest is calculated as one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.850% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company, up to the sixth anniversary of the delivery date of the last delivered vessel in each Tranche and LIBOR plus 0.925% per annum thereafter. The weighted average rate of interest including the margin is 1.39% at December 31, 2009 (2.28% at December 31, 2008).

The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.3% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

(c) \$218.4 million credit facility:

On October 16, 2006, the Company entered into a secured credit facility for \$218.4 million (the \$218.4 million credit facility). The proceeds of this facility are being used to partially finance the construction of the four 5100 TEU vessels being constructed by HHI.

Interest is calculated as one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.6% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. The weighted average rate of interest including the margin is 0.83% at December 31, 2009 (2.03% at December 31, 2008).

The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.3% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

Beginning in June 2013, the principal amount borrowed under the facility will be reduced in eighteen semi-annual payments by amounts ranging from 2.7% to 3.3% of the total amounts available until the maturity date. A final repayment of approximately 45% of the amount borrowed is due on the maturity date on December 23, 2021.

(d) \$920.0 million revolving credit facility:

On August 8, 2007, the Company entered into a secured reducing revolving \$920.0 million credit facility (the \$920.0 million revolving credit facility).

The proceeds of this facility may be used by the Company to partially finance the construction of two of the Company's 2500 TEU vessels being constructed by Jiangsu, four of the Company's 4250 TEU vessels being constructed by New Jiangsu, and the Company's eight 8500 TEU vessels being constructed by HHI. After delivery of the vessels, the Company may use this facility for general corporate purposes.

The Company may borrow up to the lesser of \$920.0 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the credit agreement) provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1,250,000 per vessel. The facility will be proportionately reduced to the extent that not all vessels are delivered to the Company by June 30, 2011.

Interest is calculated as one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.5% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. The weighted average rate of interest including the margin is 0.76% at December 31, 2009 (2.68% at December 31,

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

2008). The \$920.0 million revolving credit facility also requires payment of a commitment fee of 0.2% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility. Prior to delivery of a vessel, interest and commitment fees associated with the loans for a vessel may be added to the outstanding loan balance.

F-19

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Commencing on the earlier of 36 months after the delivery date of the last vessel and June 30, 2014, the facility will be reduced by eighteen consecutive semiannual reductions in the amounts and on the dates set out in a schedule to the credit agreement, and on each such date the Company must prepay the amount of the outstanding loan that exceeds the amount of the reduced facility. The outstanding loans under the facility must be paid in full by the maturity date.

The maturity date for the \$920.0 million revolving credit facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last collateral vessel delivered and December 31, 2022.

The Company may prepay all amounts outstanding without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. Amounts prepaid voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the facility, subject to the required reductions in the \$920.0 million revolving credit facility. The Company will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where the Company elects not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. The Company may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans and substitute another vessel within the time period prescribed and on the terms set out in the \$920.0 million revolving credit facility. Amounts prepaid in the circumstance of a sale, loss or removal of a vessel or cancellation of a shipbuilding contract may only be re-borrowed in certain limited circumstances.

(e) \$150.0 million revolving credit facility:

On December 28, 2007, the Company entered into a secured reducing revolving \$150.0 million credit facility (the \$150.0 million revolving credit facility).

The proceeds of this facility are being used by the Company to finance the construction of two of the Company's 13100 TEU vessels, one of which will be built by HHI and the other by HSHI.

Under this facility, the Company may borrow up to the lesser of \$150.0 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs (as defined in the agreement) provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$2,500,000 per vessel. The facility will be proportionately reduced to the extent that not all vessels are delivered to the Company by November 27, 2012.

Interest is calculated as one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.8% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. As no amounts were outstanding under the facility at December 31, 2009, the weighted average rate of interest including the margin is nil at December 31, 2009 (nil at December 31, 2008). The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.2% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

Commencing on the earlier of six months after the delivery date of the last vessel and October 27, 2012, the facility will reduce by eighteen consecutive semi-annual reductions in the amounts and on the dates set out in a schedule to the credit agreement, and on each such date the Company must prepay the amount of the outstanding loan that exceeds the amount of the reduced facility. The outstanding loans under the facility must be paid in full by the maturity date.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

The maturity date for the \$150.0 million revolving credit facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel delivered and October 17, 2023.

F-20

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

The Company may prepay all loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. Amounts prepaid voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the facility, subject to the required reductions in the facility. The Company will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where the Company elects not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement. The Company may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans and substitute another vessel within the time period prescribed and on the terms set out in the \$150.0 million revolving credit facility. Amounts prepaid in the circumstance of a sale, loss or removal of a Vessel or cancellation of a shipbuilding contract may only be re-borrowed in certain limited circumstances.

(f) \$291.2 million credit facility:

On March 17, 2008, the Company entered into a secured \$291.2 million credit facility agreement (the \$291.2 million credit facility). The proceeds of this facility will be used by the Company to partially finance the construction of two of the Company's 13100 TEU vessels.

Under the \$291.2 million credit agreement, the Company may borrow up to the lesser of \$291.2 million and 80% of the vessel delivered costs provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1,000,000 per vessel.

The facility has a term loan component of \$232,960,000, which is divided into two tranches, and a revolving loan component of \$58,240,000. One of the tranches of the term loan portion is guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of Korea (KEXIM).

The Company can draw the term loans for a specified period of time following the scheduled delivery date of each vessel. After delivery of these vessels, the Company may use the revolving loan for general corporate purposes.

The maturity date for the revolving loan is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last vessel and December 31, 2023 and the maturity date for the term loans is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the vessels to which those term loans relate and December 31, 2023.

Interest on the outstanding term loan tranches is calculated as the commercial interest reference rate of KEXIM plus 0.65% per annum for the first tranche and LIBOR plus 0.35% for the second tranche. Interest on the outstanding revolving loan is calculated as one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR plus 0.85% per annum, depending on the interest period selected by the Company. As the Company had no amounts drawn under the facility at December 31, 2009, the weighted average rate of interest including the margin is nil at December 31, 2009 (December 31, 2008 - nil). The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.30% per annum on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

The Company may prepay the term loans on a repayment date without penalty, other than breakage costs and opportunity costs in certain circumstances. The Company may prepay the revolving loan on the last day of any interest period except that the Company is not permitted to prepay a certain portion of the revolving loan during the pre-delivery period. Amounts of the revolving loan that are prepaid

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

voluntarily may be re-borrowed up to the amount of the revolving loan. The Company will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel, the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract or if the guarantee provided by KEXIM ceases to be valid for certain reasons and KEXIM determines that there has been or could be a material adverse effect on the Company's ability to perform its payment obligations. The Company may also remove a vessel from the facility upon prepayment of the relevant portion of the outstanding loans.

(g) \$235.3 million credit facility:

On March 31, 2008, the Company entered into a secured \$235.3 million credit facility agreement (the \$235.3 million credit facility). The proceeds of this facility will be used by the Company to partially finance the construction of two of the Company's 13100 TEU vessels.

Under the \$235.3 million credit facility, the Company may borrow up to the lesser of \$235.3 million and 65% of the vessel delivered costs provided that amounts borrowed in respect of vessel delivered costs that are not covered by the amount of the refund guarantees for the vessels may not exceed \$1,500,000 per vessel, except that it may be increased to \$2,500,000 per vessel with the consent of the lender. The financing will be made available in two loans (the vessel loans). Each vessel loan has a maximum principal amount equal to the lesser of (i) \$117,650,000, (ii) 65% of the vessel delivered costs relating to each vessel.

The Company can draw up to the maximum available loan for a specified period of time from the date of the signing of the agreement to the earlier of the delivery date of the 2nd vessel, the date following 210 days after the scheduled delivery date of the 2nd vessel, and February 6, 2012.

The facility is partly insured for both political and economic risks by the Korea Export Insurance Corporation (KEIC). For each vessel loan, KEIC will insure during the pre-delivery period the sum of the KEIC insurance premium plus 56% of the installments paid to the shipyards (the KEIC covered portion). The amount insured will not exceed \$94.0 million per vessel on delivery and will reduce progressively down to zero at maturity during the post-delivery period.

The KEIC premium is, for each vessel, the KEIC covered portion multiplied by the KEIC Insurance Premium Rate divided by the difference between 1 minus the Insurance Premium Rate of 1.52%.

The Company must repay the loans over twenty-four semi-annual repayment dates. The first repayment date will be six months after the delivery date of the last vessel to be delivered.

The maturity date for the credit facility is the earlier of the twelfth anniversary of the delivery date of the last delivered vessel and February 6, 2024.

The \$235.3 million credit facility requires payment of interest on the outstanding loan at a rate calculated as (i) in respect of the uncovered portion, 1% per annum plus one month, two month, three month, or six month LIBOR, depending on the interest period selected by the Company, and (ii) in respect of the KEIC covered portion, 0.7% per annum plus LIBOR. The weighted average rate of interest including the margin is 0.96% at December 31, 2009 (nil at December 31, 2008 as no amounts had been drawn under the facility). The Company is subject to a commitment fee of 0.35% per annum calculated on the undrawn amounts under the facility.

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

The Company may prepay the loan in whole or from time to time in part on the last day of any period on which interest payable on a loan or an overdue amount is calculated. The Company may prepay all

F-22

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

loans without penalty, other than breakage costs in certain circumstances. No amounts prepaid under the credit agreement may be re-borrowed. The Company will be required to prepay a portion of the outstanding loans in certain circumstances, including the sale or loss of a vessel or the cancellation of a shipbuilding contract where the Company elects not to substitute another vessel within the time period and on the terms set out in the credit agreement or if the KEIC insurance policies (the KEIC Insurance) cease to be valid or enforceable in any material respect other than in certain circumstances.

A prepayment must be in a minimum amount of \$5,000,000 and then in increments of \$1,000,000. No amounts prepaid may be re-borrowed. The Company may also cancel the unutilized amount of the facility in whole or in part. Partial cancellation must be in a minimum amount of \$5,000,000 and then in increments of \$2,500,000.

(h) General:

The security for each of the Company's credit facilities, except for the \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility, which is described in note 7(a), includes:

A first priority mortgage on the collateral vessels funded by the related credit facility;

An assignment of the Company's time charters and earnings related to the related collateral vessels;

An assignment of the insurance on each of the vessels that are subject to a related mortgage;

An assignment of the Company's related shipbuilding contracts; and

A pledge of the related retention accounts.

Under each of our credit facilities, in certain circumstances a prepayment may be required as a result of certain events such as a termination or expiration of a charter (and the inability to enter into a charter suitable to lenders within a period of time) or termination of a shipbuilding contract. The amount that must be prepaid may be calculated based on the loan to market value ratio or some other ratio that takes into account the market value of the relevant vessels. In these circumstances, valuations of our vessels are conducted on a without charter basis as required under the relevant credit facility agreement.

Each credit facility contains financial covenants requiring the Company to maintain minimum tangible net worth, interest coverage ratios, interest and principal coverage ratios, and debt to assets ratios, as defined. The Company is in compliance with these covenants.

(i) Minimum repayments:

As at December 31, 2009, minimum repayments for the balances outstanding with respect to the credit facilities are as follows:

2010	\$	5,452
2011		5,815
2012		58,656
2013		121,893
2014		223,971
Thereafter		1,467,359
	\$	1,883,146

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

Minimum repayments are determined based on amounts outstanding at year end, pro-rated to reflect commitment reduction schedules for each related facility. Actual repayments may differ from the amounts presented as repayment timing is impacted by the balance outstanding at each commitment reduction date.

8. Other long-term liabilities:

	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2008
Other long-term liability	\$ 409,498	\$ 390,931
Accrual for additional payments to shipyards (note 13)	1,100	
Other long-term liabilities	\$ 410,598	\$ 390,931

On November 29, 2007 and December 3, 2007, the Company agreed to purchase five 4500 TEU vessels that will be built by SHI. The contractual purchase price is \$82,811,000 per vessel. The vessels are scheduled to be delivered between September 2010 and July 2011. On December 27, 2007, the Company entered into agreements to novate the shipbuilding contracts to an unrelated special purpose entity (the SPE). On delivery, Seaspan Finance I Co. Ltd. (Finance I) will lease the five 4500 TEU vessels from the SPE over a term of five years. Under the lease, Finance I will be required to make lease payments totaling 20% of the cost of the vessels over the five-year lease terms and at the end of each lease term, the Company will be required to pay to the SPE the remaining 80% of the cost of the vessels. At the end of the lease term Finance I will also be appointed sales agent by the lessor to sell the vessels; the Company will receive 99.9% of the proceeds from the sale of each vessel and can choose to purchase the vessels.

The SPE is considered a variable interest entity under GAAP. Although the Company has an interest of approximately 50% of the assets of the SPE, the Company is not considered to be the primary beneficiary and as a result does not consolidate the SPE. The Company's exposure to the entity is limited to the five 4500 TEU vessels.

Under GAAP, the Company is deemed to be the accounting owner of these vessels under construction during the construction period. As a result, the Company will continue to recognize the value of the vessels and the liability related to the lease commitment in the financial statements during the construction period and over the subsequent lease period. Finance I's obligations under the lease are guaranteed by the Company.

The balance under the leases will be based on the estimated costs of the vessels funded by the SPE. Estimated payments under the leases will be due as follows:

2010	\$ 400
------	--------

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

2011	28,593
2012	45,252
2013	45,252
2014	45,252
Thereafter	380,780
	\$ 545,529

F-24

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

9. Share capital:

On November 1, 2008, the 7,145,000 class B common shares were converted to class A common shares. Class B common shares were subordinated to the class A common shares during the period from the completion of the IPO to any quarter after September 30, 2008 where (i) the Company paid quarterly dividends of an amount at least equal to \$0.425 per share on both class A and class B common shares for the immediately preceding four-quarter fiscal period and (ii) the cash generated from operations available to pay the dividends during such four-quarter fiscal period equaled, on a quarterly basis, was at least \$0.425 per share on all of the Company's common shares calculated on a fully diluted basis during that fiscal period (the Subordination Fiscal period).

The class C common shares are incentive shares that are entitled to share in incremental dividends, based on specified sharing ratios, once dividends on the Company's class A common shares and class B common shares reach certain specified targets, beginning with the first target of \$0.485 per share per quarter, and when the Company has an operating surplus sufficient to pay such a dividend. The class C common shares are not convertible to class A common shares. At December 31, 2009, the incentive shares do not have rights to incremental dividends.

On April 24, 2007, the Company completed an equity offering and issued 5,000,000 common shares at \$29.45 per share. On April 30, 2007, an additional 475,000 common shares were issued to the underwriters as part of the over-allotment option granted to them by the Company. The net proceeds of \$154,361,000 from this offering were used to fund vessel acquisitions.

On August 17, 2007, the Company completed an equity offering and issued 4,500,000 common shares at \$33.05 per share. The net proceeds of \$142,401,000 from this offering were used to repay indebtedness under the \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility.

On April 16, 2008, the Company completed an equity offering and issued 7,000,000 common shares at a price of \$27.25 per share. Certain of the Company's executive officers and members of the board of directors, certain affiliates of the Manager and certain of their executive officers and an immediate family member of the Chairman of the Board purchased 663,300 common shares directly from the Company at the public offering price concurrently with the closing of this equity offering. On May 5, 2008, an additional 1,050,000 common shares were issued to the underwriters as part of the over-allotment option granted to them by the Company. Net proceeds from the underwritten public offering, including the over-allotment, after underwriting discounts but before offering expenses, and from the concurrent sale were \$228,663,000 were used to repay indebtedness under the \$1.3 billion revolving credit facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company adopted a dividend reinvestment program (DRIP). The program allows interested shareholders to reinvest all or a portion of cash dividends received in the Company's common shares. If new common shares are issued by the Company, the reinvestment price is equal to the average price of the Company's common shares for the five days immediately prior to the reinvestment, less a discount. The discount rate is set by the Board of Directors and is currently 3%. If common shares are purchased in the open market, the reinvestment price is equal to the average price per share paid. During the year ended December 31, 2009, an additional 852,230 shares were issued through the DRIP (December 31, 2008 440,391), representing a non-cash distribution of \$7,132,000 (December 31, 2008 \$5,841,000).

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company sold 12% cumulative convertible Series A preferred stock (the Series A preferred shares) to the Company's chairman and a group of other investors

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(the Investors) for \$200 million. The first tranche of 100,000 shares for \$100 million was issued on January 30, 2009 and the second tranche of 100,000 shares for \$100 million was issued on October 1, 2009. The 12% cumulative dividends are non-cash and accrue until January 31, 2014.

The Series A preferred shares automatically convert to Class A common shares at a price of \$15.00 per share (the Exercise Price) at any time on or after January 31, 2014 if the trailing 30 day average trading price of the common shares is equal to or above the Exercise Price.

If at any time on or after January 31, 2014 the trailing average price of the common shares is less than the Exercise Price, the Company has the option to convert the Series A preferred shares at the Exercise Price and pay the Investors 115% of the difference between the Exercise Price and the trailing 30 day average price of the common shares. The Company has the option to pay the difference in common shares or in cash.

Upon certain triggering events, such as a liquidation, change of control, or merger, amongst others, the investors have the option to convert, in whole or in part, their Series A preferred shares to common shares at the Exercise Price. Depending on the nature of the triggering event, the liquidation preference of the Series A preferred shares will convert at the Exercise Price, or the liquidation preference will convert at the lower of (i) the Exercise Price or (ii) the price at which the Series A preferred shares are valued in the transaction giving rise to the triggering event.

If the Series A preferred shares have not converted into common shares on or after January 31, 2014, the dividend rate will increase to 15% per annum. The Investors have the option to have the dividend paid in cash or to continue to increase the liquidation value of the Series A preferred shares by 15% per annum.

10. Earnings per share:

As there were no dividend arrearages for the class A common shares, and the class B common shares have received the same level of dividends as the class A common shares, earnings per share (EPS) of class A and class B common shares have been presented as one class for purposes of the two-class earnings per share method. On November 1, 2008, the class B common shares converted to class A common shares and are no longer a separate class of common shares.

To the extent that EPS for class A and class B common shares exceed the first target dividend level of \$0.485 per share per quarter, and there is sufficient operating surplus as defined in the Company's Articles of Incorporation, undistributed earnings would be allocated to class C common shares for the purpose of calculating EPS under the two-class method. Otherwise, class C common shares would not participate in earnings. To date, class C common shares have not participated in earnings. Although the EPS for class A and class B common shares have exceeded the first target dividend level of \$0.485 per share per quarter for certain quarters there has not been adequate operating surplus for class C shares to participate in earnings.

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

The Company applies the if-converted method to determine the EPS impact for the convertible Series A preferred shares. The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the basic and diluted EPS computations for class A and class B common shares.

For the year ended	Income (numerator)	Shares (denominator)	Per share amount
December 31, 2009			
Net earnings	\$ 145,252		
Less: Series A preferred share dividends	(14,464)		
Basic EPS:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders	\$ 130,788	67,340	\$ 1.94
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Convertible Series A preferred shares	14,464	24,638	
Share-based compensation		37	
Diluted EPS:			
Income attributable to common shareholders plus assumed conversion	\$ 145,252	92,015	\$ 1.58
For the year ended			
December 31, 2008			
Net loss	\$ (199,346)	63,801	
Basic and diluted EPS			\$ (3.12)
For the year ended			
December 31, 2007			
Net loss	\$ (10,408)	53,008	
Basic and diluted EPS			\$ (0.20)

11. Share-based compensation:

In December 2005, the Company's board of directors adopted the Seaspan Corporation Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan), under which our officers, employees and directors may be granted options, restricted shares, phantom shares, and other stock-based awards as may be determined by the Company's board of directors. A total of 1,000,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance under the Plan, which is administered by the Company's board of directors. The Plan expires ten years from the date of its adoption.

Upon vesting of restricted shares and phantom share units, class A common shares are issued in exchange for their cancellation. The restricted shares generally vest over one year and the phantom share units generally vest over three years.

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

A summary of the Company's restricted shares and phantom share units as of December 31, 2009 and for the year then ended is presented below:

Share-based compensation awards are summarized as follows:

	Restricted shares		Phantom share units	
	Number of shares	Weighted average grant date FV	Number of shares	Weighted average grant date FV
December 31, 2006	14,500	\$ 22.40	99,500	\$ 22.40
Granted	21,083	24.43	150,000	25.10
Vested	(25,916)	24.43	(33,167)	22.40
Cancelled	(9,667)	22.40		
December 31, 2007		\$	216,333	\$ 24.27
Granted	21,350	24.82		
Vested	(21,350)	24.82	(83,167)	24.02
December 31, 2008		\$	133,166	\$ 24.42
Granted	44,374	10.66	177,000	7.75
Vested			(38,166)	23.35
December 31, 2009	44,374	\$ 10.66	272,000	\$ 13.72

During 2009, the Company recognized a total of \$2,185,000 (2008 \$2,555,000; 2007 \$1,340,000) in share-based compensation expenses. During 2009, the total fair value of shares vested was \$357,000 (2008 \$1,446,000; 2007 \$1,435,000). As at December 31, 2009, there was \$1,994,000 of total unrecognized compensation costs relating to unvested share-based compensation awards, which are expected to be recognized over a 24 month period.

12. Other information:

(a) Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:

The principal components of accounts payable and accrued liabilities are:

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

	2009	2008
Due to related parties (note 3)	\$ 1,235	\$ 1,799
Accrued interest	11,793	8,348
Other accrued liabilities	7,877	5,064
	\$ 20,905	\$ 15,211

F-28

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

(b) Supplementary information to the statement of cash flows consists of:

	2009	2008	2007
Interest paid on debt	\$ 9,807	\$ 26,963	\$ 34,038
Interest received	290	711	4,099
Undrawn credit facility fee paid	2,400	3,088	1,473
Non-cash transactions:			
Dividends on Series A preferred shares	14,464		
Dividend reinvestment	7,132	5,841	
Increase in other long-term liability for vessels under construction	18,567	131,722	170,698

13. Commitments and contingent obligations:

(a) As of December 31, 2009, the Company has outstanding commitments for the purchase of additional vessels and instalment payments for vessels under construction, including payments to be made on the Company's behalf as described in note 8, as follows:

2010	\$ 669,756
2011	702,797
2012	338,068
	\$ 1,710,621

The Company entered into agreements with shipyards whereby it had options to defer the delivery date for 11 of the vessels that have been contracted for construction. The deferrals range from 2 months to 15 months from the dates that were contracted under the original agreements. The options were exercised in July 2009 and the shipbuilding contracts and time charters have been amended to reflect the deferred delivery dates. As a result of exercising these options, the Company will pay an additional amount of \$1,333,333 or \$1,875,000 per vessel, depending on the size of the vessel, at the deferred delivery date for a total aggregate amount of \$19,000,000 for all 11 vessels. During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company accrued \$1,100,000 as additional payments to the shipyards. The \$1,100,000 (representing \$100,000 for each of the 11 options) would have been due to the shipyards in connection with the options had they not been exercised and is considered to represent the cost of entering into the delivery deferral options (note 8).

The Company also amended shipbuilding contracts with two of its shipyards to delay the delivery of five additional vessels that were not subject to option agreements. The shipbuilding contracts and time charters for these five vessels have been amended to allow for the new delivery dates.

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

- (b) As at December 31, 2009, based on 100% utilization, the minimum future revenues to be received on committed time charter party agreements are approximately:

2010	\$ 405,546
2011	547,346
2012	643,680
2013	643,669
2014	642,605
Thereafter	3,961,640
	\$ 6,844,486

- (c) Under the Management Agreements, the Manager provides services to the Company for fees which are fixed. During 2008, the Company negotiated the Adjusted Technical Services Fee for the period commencing January 1, 2009 and ending on December 31, 2011 (the Period) for the vessels that are subject to the Management Agreements and the initial technical services fee for the vessels to be delivered but are not yet subject to management agreements. Based on the contractual delivery dates of the vessels under construction and the negotiated rates, the fixed payments to the Manager for technical services, construction supervision services, and administrative and strategic services for the Period are as follows:

2010	\$ 108,185
2011	136,083
	\$ 244,268

14. Financial instruments:

- (a) Concentrations:

The Company's revenue is derived from the following customers:

2009 2008 2007

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

CSCL Asia	\$ 154,286	\$ 122,549	\$ 102,886
HL USA	59,099	59,283	54,963
APM	34,066	33,702	32,738
Other	38,143	13,871	8,648
	\$ 285,594	\$ 229,405	\$ 199,235

(b) Fair value:

The carrying values of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values because of their short term to maturity. As of December 31, 2009, the fair value of long-term debt is equal to \$1,715,316,000 (December 31, 2008 \$1,581,774,000). The fair value of long-term debt is estimated based on expected interest and principal repayments, discounted by the forward rates plus a margin.

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

The Company's derivative financial instruments which are required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of the interest rate derivative financial instruments are determined based on inputs that are readily available in public markets or can be derived from information available in publicly quoted markets. Therefore, the Company has categorized these derivative financial instruments as Level 2. The fair values of the interest rate derivative financial instruments have been calculated by discounting the future cash flow of both the fixed rate and variable rate interest rate payments. The discount rate was derived from a yield curve created by nationally recognized financial institutions adjusted for the associated credit risk. See note 14 (c) for further information on the Company's interest rate derivative financial instruments.

(c) Interest rate derivative financial instruments:

The Company uses derivative financial instruments, consisting of interest rate swap agreements and an interest rate swaption, to manage its exposure to movements in interest rates.

Counterparties to the derivative financial instruments are major financial institutions. Due to the nature of the counterparties and the fact that all instruments were in favour of counterparties at December 31, 2009, the risk of credit loss related to these counterparties is considered to be immaterial at December 31, 2009.

On December 31, 2007, the Company had certain interest rate swaps designated as hedging instruments for accounting purposes. During 2008, all of these interest rate swaps were de-designated. The relevant interest rate swap information and de-designation dates are as follows:

Fixed per annum rate swapped for LIBOR	Notional amount as at December 31, 2009	Maximum notional amount ⁽¹⁾	Effective date	Ending date	Date of prospective de-designation
4.6325%	\$ 663,399	\$ 663,399	September 15, 2005	July 16, 2012	September 30, 2008
5.6400%	535,623	714,500	August 31, 2007	August 31, 2017	January 31, 2008 ⁽³⁾
5.2500%	200,000	200,000	September 29, 2006	June 23, 2010	September 30, 2008
5.2600%	106,800	106,800	July 3, 2006	February 26, 2021 ⁽²⁾	September 30, 2008
5.5150%	59,700	59,700	February 28, 2007	July 31, 2012	September 30, 2008
5.6000%		200,000	June 23, 2010	December 23, 2021	September 30, 2008
5.3150%		106,800	August 15, 2006	August 28, 2009 ⁽⁴⁾	January 31, 2008 ⁽³⁾

- (1) Over the term of the interest rate swaps, the notional amounts increase and decrease. These amounts represent the peak notional during the term of the swap.
- (2) The Company has entered into a swaption agreement with a bank (Swaption Counterparty) whereby the Swaption Counterparty has the option to require the Company to enter into an interest rate swap to pay LIBOR and receive a fixed rate of 5.26%. This is a European option and is open for a two hour period on February 26, 2014 after which it expires. The notional amount of the underlying swap is \$106,800,000 with an effective date of February 28, 2014 and an expiration of

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

February 26, 2021. If the Swaption Counterparty exercises the swaption, the underlying swap effectively offsets the Company's 5.26% pay fixed LIBOR swap from February 28, 2014 to February 26, 2021.

F-31

Table of Contents**SEASPAN CORPORATION**

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

- (3) The impact of these de-designations resulted in recognition of a charge of \$1,647,000 to earnings out of accumulated other comprehensive loss at the date of de-designation.
- (4) Expired during the year.

The amounts in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to these interest rate swaps will be recognized in earnings when and where the related interest settlements will be recognized.

In addition, the Company holds the following interest rate swaps at the balance sheet date that were not designated as hedges during the year ended December 31, 2009:

Fixed per annum rate swapped for LIBOR	Notional amount as at December 31, 2009	Maximum notional amount ⁽¹⁾	Effective date	Ending date
5.4200%	\$ 224,467	\$ 438,462	September 6, 2007	May 31, 2024
5.0275%	111,000	158,000	May 31, 2007	September 30, 2015
5.5950%	106,800	106,800	August 28, 2009	August 28, 2020
5.2000%	96,000	96,000	December 18, 2006	October 2, 2015
5.1700%	45,510	55,500	April 30, 2007	May 29, 2020
5.1750%		663,399	July 16, 2012	July 15, 2016
5.8700%		620,390	August 31, 2017	November 28, 2025
5.4975%		59,700	July 31, 2012	July 31, 2019

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company recorded losses of nil (2008 \$1,611,111; 2007 \$136,000), respectively, to change in fair value of financial instruments for ineffectiveness on interest rate swaps designated as hedges.

The fair value of these liability derivative financial instruments not designated as hedging instruments at December 31, 2009 is summarized below:

	Balance sheet location	Fair value
Interest rate swaps and interest rate swaption	Fair value of financial instruments	\$ 280,445
December 31, 2009	Location of gain or (loss) recognized in earnings	Amount of gain or (loss) recognized in earnings
Interest rate swaps and interest rate rate swaption	Change in fair value of financial instruments	\$ 46,450

Edgar Filing: Seaspan CORP - Form 20-F

December 31, 2009	Location of gain or (loss) reclassified from AOCI into earnings	Amount of gain or (loss) reclassified from AOCI into earnings
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense	\$ (12,068) ⁽¹⁾
	Depreciation	(101) ⁽¹⁾

- (1) The effective portion of changes in unrealized loss on interest rate swaps was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income until September 30, 2008 when these contracts were de-designated as accounting hedges.

F-32

Table of Contents

SEASPAN CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

(Tabular amounts in thousands of United States dollars, except per share amount and number of shares)

Years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007

15. Subsequent events:

- (a) On January 8, 2010, the Company accepted delivery of the MOL Empire from HHI.

- (b) On January 22, 2010, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.10 per share, representing a total distribution of \$6,783,000. The dividend was paid on February 12, 2010 to all shareholders of the Company's class A common stock of record on February 1, 2010. Of the \$6,783,000 distribution \$5,147,000 was paid in cash and \$1,636,000 was reinvested through the DRIP.

- (c) On March 5, 2010, the Company accepted delivery of the COSCO Japan and the Guayaquil Bridge from HHI and Jiangsu, respectively.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this Annual Report on its behalf.

SEASPAN CORPORATION

By: /s/ SAI W. CHU

Sai W. Chu
Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: March 18, 2010