

REALOGY CORP
Form 10-Q
November 01, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Nos. 333-173250, 333-173254 and 333-148153

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP.
REALOGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrants as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction)

20-8050955 and 20-4381990
(I.R.S. Employer

of incorporation or organization)

Identification Numbers)

One Campus Drive

Parsippany, NJ
(Address of principal executive offices)

07054
(Zip Code)

(973) 407-2000

(Registrants telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants have submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers, or smaller reporting companies. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

There were 105,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, and 200,426,906 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, of Domus Holdings Corp. outstanding as of October 31, 2011. There were 100 shares of Common Stock, \$0.01 par value, of Realogy Corporation outstanding as of October 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Introductory Note and Forward-Looking Statements</u>	1
PART I <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks</u>	75
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	75
PART II <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	77
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	77
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	78
<u>Signatures</u>	79

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTORY NOTE

Except as otherwise indicated or unless the context otherwise requires, the terms we, us, our, our company and the Company refer to Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings) and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp., a Delaware limited liability company (Intermediate) and Realogy Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Realogy). Holdings is not a party to the senior secured credit facility and certain references in this report to our consolidated indebtedness exclude Holdings with respect to indebtedness under the senior secured credit facility. In addition, while Holdings is a guarantor of Realogy s obligations under the Unsecured Notes and the First and a Half Lien Notes, Holdings is not subject to the restrictive covenants in the agreements governing such indebtedness. Holdings, the indirect parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its indirect ownership of Realogy. Intermediate, the parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its ownership of Realogy. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Forward-looking statements in our public filings or other public statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements or other public statements. These forward-looking statements were based on various facts and were derived utilizing numerous important assumptions and other important factors, and changes in such facts, assumptions or factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include the information concerning our future financial performance, business strategy, projected plans and objectives, as well as projections of macroeconomic trends, which are inherently unreliable due to the multiple factors that impact economic trends, and any such variations may be material. Statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words believes, expects, anticipates, intends, projects, estimate, plans, and similar expressions or future or conditional verbs such as will, should, would, may and could are generally forward looking in and not historical facts. You should understand that the following important factors could affect our future results and cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements:

we have substantial leverage as a result of our April 2007 acquisition by affiliates of Apollo Management VI, L.P. and the related financings (the Merger Transactions). In addition since the Merger Transactions, we have needed to incur additional debt in order to fund negative cash flows, principally due to the significant level of interest expense arising from our substantial leverage. As of September 30, 2011, our total debt (excluding the securitization obligations) was \$7,027 million. The housing industry and economy have experienced significant declines since the time of the Merger Transactions that have negatively impacted our operating results. As a result, we have been, and continue to be, challenged by our heavily leveraged capital structure and significant level of interest expense;

variable rate indebtedness subjects us to interest rate risk, which could cause our debt service obligations to increase. At September 30, 2011, \$2,211 million of our total debt (excluding the securitization obligations and net of interest rate hedges) were at variable rates of interest. Were interest rates to increase 100 basis points (1% change in the interest rate) on our variable rate borrowings, our annual interest expense would increase by approximately \$22 million;

under our senior secured credit facility, our senior secured leverage ratio of total senior secured net debt to trailing 12-month EBITDA, as those terms are defined in the senior secured credit facility, calculated on a pro forma basis pursuant to the senior secured credit facility, may not exceed 4.75 to 1 on the last day of each fiscal quarter. For the twelve months ended September 30, 2011, we were in

Table of Contents

compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio covenant with a ratio of 4.15 to 1.0. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a full housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, we may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with our senior secured leverage ratio;

if we experience an event of default under our senior secured credit facility, including but not limited to a failure to meet our cash interest obligations under such facility, or under our indentures or relocation securitization facilities, or a failure to maintain, or a failure to cure a default of, the applicable senior secured leverage ratio under such instruments, or other lack of liquidity caused by substantial leverage and the adverse conditions in the housing market, such an event would materially and adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and business;

adverse developments or the absence of sustained improvement in general business, economic, employment and political conditions;

adverse developments or the absence of improvement in the U.S. residential real estate markets, either regionally or nationally, including but not limited to:

a lack of improvement in the number of homesales, further declines in home prices caused by either absolute price decreases or a change in the mix of business that we conduct and/or a deterioration in other economic factors that particularly impact the residential real estate market and the business segments in which we operate;

a lack of improvement in consumer confidence;

the impact of future recessions, slow economic growth and high levels of unemployment in the U.S. and abroad;

increasing mortgage rates and down payment requirements and/or reduced availability of mortgage financing, including but not limited to the potential impact of various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act and regulations which may be promulgated thereunder relating to mortgage financing, including restrictions imposed on mortgage originators as well as potential retention levels required to be maintained by sponsors to securitize certain mortgages;

legislative, tax or regulatory changes that would adversely impact the residential real estate market, including but not limited to potential reform relating to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and other government sponsored entities that provide liquidity to the U.S. housing and mortgage markets and potential reform of the Internal Revenue Code, including but not limited to any reform that reduces the amount that taxpayers would be allowed to deduct for home mortgage interest;

negative trends and/or a negative perception of the market trends in value for residential real estate;

continuing high levels of foreclosure activity including but not limited to the release of homes for sale by financial institutions;

excessive or insufficient regional home inventory levels;

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

the inability or unwillingness of homeowners to enter into homesale transactions due to negative equity in their existing homes;

lower homeownership rates due to various factors, including, but not limited to, high unemployment levels, reduced demand or preferred use by households of rental housing due in part to uncertainty regarding future home values;

our geographic and high-end market concentration relating in particular to our company-owned brokerage operations; and

local and regional conditions in the areas where our franchisees and brokerage operations are located;

Table of Contents

our inability to access capital and/or to securitize certain assets of our relocation business, either of which would require us to find alternative sources of liquidity, which may not be available, or if available, may not be on favorable terms;

limitations on flexibility in operating our business due to restrictions contained in our debt agreements;

our inability to sustain the improvements we have realized during the past several years in our operating efficiency through cost savings and business optimization efforts;

our failure to enter into or renew franchise agreements, maintain franchisee satisfaction with our brands;

the inability of franchisees to survive the ongoing challenges of the real estate market;

disputes or issues with entities that license us their trade names for use in our business that could impede our franchising of those brands;

actions by our franchisees that could harm our business or reputation, non-performance of our franchisees or controversies with our franchisees;

competition in our existing and future lines of business, including, but not limited to, higher costs to retain or attract sales agents for residential real estate brokerages, and the financial resources of competitors;

our failure to comply with laws and regulations and any changes in laws and regulations;

seasonal fluctuations in the residential real estate brokerage business could adversely affect our business, financial condition and liquidity, particularly during periods in which we have significant fixed cash obligations;

the loss of any of our senior management or key managers or employees;

adverse effects of natural disasters or environmental catastrophes;

any remaining resolutions or outcomes with respect to Cendant's (as defined herein) contingent liabilities under the Separation and Distribution Agreement and the Tax Sharing Agreement, including any adverse impact on our future cash flows;

the cumulative effect of adverse litigation, governmental proceedings or arbitration awards against us and the adverse effect of new regulatory interpretations, rules and laws; and

new types of taxes or increases in state, local or federal taxes that could diminish profitability or liquidity.

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Other factors not identified above, including those described under the headings **Forward-Looking Statements** and **Risk Factors** in our Final Prospectus dated June 16, 2011 covering the resale of the Realogy Corporation 11.00% Senior Subordinated Convertible Notes (the **Convertible Notes**) and the Class A Common Stock of Domus Holdings Corp. issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes (the **June 2011 Final Prospectus**), filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (**SEC**), may also cause actual results to differ materially from those described in our forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are difficult to anticipate and are generally beyond our control. You should consider these factors in connection with considering any forward-looking statements that may be made by us and our businesses generally.

Except for our ongoing obligations to disclose material information under the federal securities laws, we undertake no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements, to report events or to report the occurrence of unanticipated events unless we are required to do so by law. For any forward-looking statement contained in our public filings or other public statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

**Item 1. Financial Statements.
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Domus Holdings Corp. and Realogy Corporation:

We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets of Domus Holdings Corp. and its subsidiaries and Realogy Corporation and its subsidiaries as of September 30, 2011, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity (deficit) and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated April 1, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2011, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Florham Park, New Jersey

November 1, 2011

Table of Contents

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Revenues				
Gross commission income	\$ 831	\$ 751	\$ 2,279	\$ 2,280
Service revenue	211	197	567	518
Franchise fees	73	67	194	203
Other	40	37	125	123
Net revenues	1,155	1,052	3,165	3,124
Expenses				
Commission and other agent-related costs	547	490	1,498	1,479
Operating	324	315	959	925
Marketing	45	42	142	138
General and administrative	62	45	189	180
Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net	(3)	(6)	(17)	(315)
Restructuring costs	3	2	8	12
Depreciation and amortization	46	49	139	148
Interest expense/(income), net	159	151	499	458
Loss on the early extinguishment of debt			36	
Other (income)/expense, net				(6)
Total expenses	1,183	1,088	3,453	3,019
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests				
	(28)	(36)	(288)	105
Income tax expense	10	10	12	134
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	(11)	(13)	(15)	(22)
Net loss	(27)	(33)	(285)	(7)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1)		(2)	(1)
Net loss attributable to Domus Holdings and Realogy	\$ (28)	\$ (33)	\$ (287)	\$ (8)
Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Domus Holdings:				
Basic earnings (loss) per share:	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (1.43)	\$ (0.04)
Diluted earnings (loss) per share:	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (1.43)	\$ (0.04)
Domus Holdings weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding:				
Basic:	200.4	200.4	200.4	200.4
Diluted:	200.4	200.4	200.4	200.4

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In millions)****(Unaudited)**

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 102	\$ 192
Trade receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$66 and \$67)	142	114
Relocation receivables	449	386
Relocation properties held for sale	17	21
Deferred income taxes	66	76
Other current assets	91	109
Total current assets	867	898
Property and equipment, net	169	186
Goodwill	2,613	2,611
Trademarks	732	732
Franchise agreements, net	2,858	2,909
Other intangibles, net	450	478
Other non-current assets	208	215
Total assets	\$ 7,897	\$ 8,029
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 155	\$ 203
Securitization obligations	332	331
Due to former parent	78	104
Revolving credit facilities and current portion of long-term debt	200	194
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	621	525
Total current liabilities	1,386	1,357
Long-term debt	6,827	6,698
Deferred income taxes	885	883
Other non-current liabilities	143	163
Total liabilities	9,241	9,101
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 9 and 10)		
Equity (deficit):		
Domus Holdings common stock: \$.01 par value; 4,450,000,000 shares authorized, 105,000 Class A shares outstanding, 200,426,906 Class B shares outstanding at September 30, 2011 and 200,430,906 Class B shares outstanding at December 31, 2010 (Realogy common stock: \$.01 par value, 100 shares authorized, issued and outstanding)	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	2,029	2,024
Accumulated deficit	(3,357)	(3,070)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20)	(30)

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Holdings and Realogy stockholder s deficit	(1,346)	(1,074)
Noncontrolling interests	2	2
Total equity (deficit)	(1,344)	(1,072)
Total liabilities and equity (deficit)	\$ 7,897	\$ 8,029

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010
Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (285)	\$ (7)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	139	148
Deferred income taxes	5	127
Amortization of deferred financing costs and discount on unsecured notes	13	23
Loss on the early extinguishment of debt	36	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	(15)	(22)
De-designation of cash flow interest rate swaps	17	
Other adjustments to net loss	8	16
Net change in assets and liabilities, excluding the impact of acquisitions and dispositions:		
Trade receivables	(28)	(35)
Relocation receivables and advances	(64)	(77)
Relocation properties held for sale	4	35
Other assets	4	1
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	51	96
Due (to) from former parent	(25)	(392)
Other, net	11	(15)
Net cash used in operating activities	(129)	(102)
Investing Activities		
Property and equipment additions	(37)	(33)
Net assets acquired (net of cash acquired) and acquisition-related payments	(5)	(2)
Net proceeds from sale of assets		5
Proceeds from (purchases of) certificates of deposits, net	9	(10)
Change in restricted cash	2	4
Other, net	(5)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(36)	(36)
Financing Activities		
Net change in revolving credit facilities	20	117
Proceeds from issuance of First and a Half Lien Notes	700	
Proceeds from term loan extension	98	
Repayments of term loan credit facility	(705)	(24)
Net change in securitization obligations	1	34
Debt issuance costs	(34)	
Other, net	(5)	(9)
Net cash provided by financing activities	75	118
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents		

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(90)	(20)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	192	255
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 102	\$ 235
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information		
Interest payments (including securitization interest expense)	\$ 354	\$ 334
Income tax payments, net	\$ 3	\$ 7

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP. AND REALOGY CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unless otherwise noted, all amounts are in millions)

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Domus Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation (Holdings) is a holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp. (Intermediate). Intermediate is a holding company for its wholly owned subsidiary, Realogy Corporation, a Delaware corporation (Realogy), and its subsidiaries (Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy and its subsidiaries being referred to herein collectively as the Company). Holdings derives all of its operating income and cash flows from Realogy and its subsidiaries.

Holdings was incorporated on December 14, 2006. On December 15, 2006, Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiary Domus Acquisition Corp., entered into an agreement and plan of merger (the Merger) with Realogy which was consummated on April 10, 2007 with Holdings becoming the indirect parent company of Realogy. Holdings is owned by investment funds affiliated with, or co-investment vehicles managed by, Apollo Management VI, L.P., an entity affiliated with Apollo Management, L.P. (collectively referred to as Apollo) and members of the Company s management. As of September 30, 2011, all of Realogy s issued and outstanding common stock was currently owned by Intermediate, a direct wholly-owned subsidiary of Holdings.

Realogy is a global provider of real estate and relocation services. Realogy was incorporated on January 27, 2006 to facilitate a plan by Candant Corporation (now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc.) to separate into four independent companies one for each of Candant s business units real estate services or Realogy, travel distribution services (Travelport), hospitality services, including timeshare resorts (Wyndham Worldwide), and vehicle rental (Avis Budget Group). On July 31, 2006, the separation (Separation) from Candant became effective.

Realogy incurred indebtedness in connection with the Merger which included borrowings under Realogy s senior secured credit facility (the Senior Secured Credit Facility) and the issuance of unsecured notes. See Note 6, Short and Long-Term Debt for additional information on the indebtedness incurred related to the Merger and for additional information related to the senior secured leverage ratio that Realogy is required to maintain. An equity contribution to the Company of \$2,001 million was also made by Apollo as well as members of Realogy s management who purchased Holdings common stock with cash or through rollover equity. Realogy also refinanced the credit facilities covering the relocation securitization facilities (the Securitization Facilities Refinancing). The term Merger Transactions refer to, collectively, (1) the Merger, (2) the issuance of unsecured notes, (3) the initial borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, including the synthetic letter of credit facility, (4) the equity investment, and (5) the Securitization Facilities Refinancing.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the financial statements of both Holdings and Realogy and these statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Interim results may not be indicative of full year performance because of seasonal and short-term variations. The Company has eliminated all material intercompany transactions and balances between entities consolidated in these financial statements. In presenting the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, management makes estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and the related disclosures. Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and available information. Accordingly, actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Holdings only asset is its investment in the common stock of Intermediate, and Intermediate s only asset is its investment in the common stock of Realogy. Holdings only obligations are its guarantees of certain borrowings of Realogy. All expenses incurred by Holdings and Intermediate are for the benefit of Realogy and have been reflected in Realogy s consolidated financial statements. All issuances of Holdings equity securities,

Table of Contents

including grants of stock options and restricted stock by Holdings to employees and directors of Realogy and its subsidiaries have been reflected in Realogy's condensed consolidated financial statements. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same. Total equity (deficit) for Holdings is equal to Realogy, however, the common stock and additional paid-in capital components are different by \$2 million. Holdings has \$2 million for the par value of common stock and \$2 million less additional paid in capital as compared to Realogy. In management's opinion, the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the Realogy and Holdings' financial position as of September 30, 2011 and the results of operations and cash flows for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

As the interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared using the same accounting principles and policies used to prepare the annual financial statements, they should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 included in the June 2011 Final Prospectus as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February 2011, the Company refinanced certain of its outstanding indebtedness by (1) consummating private debt exchange offers exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), for its existing unsecured notes pursuant to which Realogy issued new unsecured notes due in 2017 and 2018 and convertible notes due in 2018 that are convertible at the holder's option into Class A Common Stock of Holdings which has a par value of \$0.01 per share ("Class A Common Stock") (the "Debt Exchange Offering"), (2) amending and extending Realogy's senior secured credit facility (the "Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment") which, among other things, extended the maturity of a significant portion of the first lien term loans and revolving commitments thereunder, and (3) issuing \$700 million principal amount of 7.875% senior secured notes due in 2019 (the "First and a Half Lien Notes" and, together with the Debt Exchange Offering and the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, the "Refinancing Transactions"), the net proceeds of which were used to prepay outstanding term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The Refinancing Transactions, among other things, reduced the Company's total senior secured debt for purposes of calculating the financial covenant under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, which requires that Realogy maintain a senior secured leverage ratio of total senior secured net debt to trailing 12-month Adjusted EBITDA (as defined in Note 6, "Short and Long-Term Debt"), that may not exceed a maximum amount on the last day of each fiscal quarter. At September 30, 2011, the maximum permitted ratio was 4.75 to 1 and Realogy was in compliance with the senior secured leverage covenant with a senior secured leverage ratio of 4.15 to 1. See Note 6, "Short and Long-Term Debt" for additional information related to the Refinancing Transactions.

Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation

On January 5, 2011, in connection with the consummation of the Debt Exchange Offering, Holdings amended and restated its certificate of incorporation. Under its amended and restated certificate of incorporation, Holdings has the authority to issue up to 4,500,000,000 shares, of which Holdings has the authority to issue 4,200,000,000 shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.01 par value (the "Class A Common Stock"), 250,000,000 shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.01 par value and 50,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value. Pursuant to Holdings' amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the outstanding shares of common stock of Holdings were reclassified on a share-for-share basis into shares of Class B Common Stock, the voting of which is controlled by Apollo.

The Convertible Notes are convertible to shares of Class A Common Stock upon conversion. Each share of Class A Common Stock has one vote per share, and each share of Class B Common Stock has five votes per share. The Class B Common Stock will automatically convert into Class A Common Stock on a share-for-share basis once (i) Apollo converts all of the Convertible Notes it received in the Debt Exchange Offering into shares

Table of Contents

of Class A Common Stock or (ii) upon a Qualified Public Offering, provided that such conversion would not result in a change of control of Realogy under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or any of Realogy's other debt arrangements.

Earnings (loss) per share attributable to Holdings

Basic earnings per share is computed based upon weighted-average shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive earnings per share is computed consistently with the basic computation while giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares and common share equivalents that were outstanding during the period. Holdings uses the treasury stock method to reflect the potential dilutive effect of unvested stock awards and unexercised options.

The Company was in a net loss position for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and therefore the impact of stock options, restricted stock and the convertible notes were excluded from the computation of dilutive earnings (loss) per share as the inclusion of such amounts would be anti-dilutive. The number of shares of common stock issuable under the stock options, restricted stock and the convertible notes that were excluded from the computation was 17 million, 0.1 million and 2,026 million, respectively.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Indefinite-Lived Intangibles

In connection with the FASB's Intangible Goodwill and Other guidance, the Company assesses goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually, or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. The Company performs its required annual impairment testing in the fourth quarter of each year subsequent to completing its annual budgeting and forecasting process. The Company is currently preparing its 2012 budgets and long-term financial projections as well as evaluating the impact of industry trends on the impairment analysis. As a result, the Company is in the early stages of completing the first test of its annual impairment review and has not completed the analysis that would indicate whether or not an impairment has occurred. If the Company has an impairment upon completion of its analysis, it could have a significant impact on its results of operations for the fourth quarter of 2011 given that the Company has \$2.6 billion of goodwill and \$1.9 billion of indefinite-lived intangibles, although it would have no impact on the Company's cash flows or financial covenant under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

Derivative Instruments

The Company uses foreign currency forward contracts largely to manage its exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates associated with its foreign currency denominated receivables and payables. The Company primarily manages its foreign currency exposure to the Swiss Franc, Canadian Dollar, British Pound and Euro. The Company has elected not to utilize hedge accounting for these forward contracts; therefore, any change in fair value is recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. However, the fluctuations in the value of these forward contracts generally offset the impact of changes in the value of the underlying risk that they are intended to economically hedge. As of September 30, 2011, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a fair value of less than \$1 million and a notional value of \$21 million. As of December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts with a fair value of less than \$1 million and a notional value of \$18 million.

The Company also enters into interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates associated with its variable rate borrowings. The Company has two interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional value of \$425 million to hedge the variability in cash flows resulting from the term loan facility. One swap, with a notional value of \$225 million, expires in July 2012 and the other swap, with a notional value of \$200 million, expires in December 2012. The Company is utilizing pay fixed interest swaps (in exchange for floating LIBOR rate based payments) to perform this hedging strategy. The derivatives were being accounted for as cash flow

Table of Contents

hedged in accordance with the FASB's derivative and hedging guidance and the unfavorable fair market value of the swaps was recorded within Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income/(Loss) (AOCI) at December 31, 2010. Following the completion of the Refinancing Transactions, the Company was not able to maintain hedge effectiveness in accordance with the accounting guidance. As a result, the interest rate swaps were de-designated as cash flow hedging instruments and the fair value of \$17 million was reclassified from AOCI and recognized in interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations during the first quarter of 2011.

The fair value of derivative instruments was as follows:

Liability Derivatives		September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Fair Value
Interest rate swap contracts	Other non-current liabilities	\$	\$ 17
Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Fair Value
Interest rate swap contracts	Other current liabilities	\$ 7	\$
	Other non-current liabilities	3	
		\$ 10	\$

The effect of derivative instruments on earnings was as follows:

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedge Relationships	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income	
	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010
	Interest rate swap contracts	\$		\$ (1)	Interest expense

Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedge Relationships	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income		Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income	
	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010
	Interest rate swap contracts	\$		\$ 5	Interest expense

Derivative Instruments Not

Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized

Gain or (Loss) Recognized in
Income on Derivative

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

Designated as Hedging Instruments	in Income for Derivative Instruments	Three Months Ended September 30, 2011	Three Months Ended September 30, 2010
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$ 3	\$
Foreign exchange contracts	Operating expense	\$ 1	\$ (2)

Derivative Instruments Not Designated as Hedging Instruments	Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income for Derivative Instruments	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010
Interest rate swap contracts	Interest expense	\$ 7	\$
Foreign exchange contracts	Operating expense	\$	\$ (1)

Table of Contents**Financial Instruments**

The following tables present the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy has three levels based on the reliability of the inputs used to determine fair value.

Level Input: Input Definitions:

Level I	Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date.
Level II	Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data at the measurement date.
Level III	Unobservable inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The availability of observable inputs can vary from asset to asset and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, the type of asset, whether the asset is new and not yet established in the marketplace, and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is greatest for instruments categorized in Level III. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, for disclosure purposes, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

The fair value of financial instruments is generally determined by reference to quoted market values. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques, as appropriate. The fair value of interest rate swaps is determined based upon a discounted cash flow approach that incorporates counterparty and performance risk and therefore is categorized in Level III.

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at September 30, 2011 for assets/liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Derivatives				
Interest rate swaps (primarily included in other current liabilities)	\$	\$	\$ 10	\$ 10
Deferred compensation plan assets (included in other non-current assets)	1			1

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at December 31, 2010 for assets/liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

	Level I	Level II	Level III	Total
Derivatives				
Interest rate swaps (primarily included in other non-current liabilities)	\$	\$	\$ 17	\$ 17
Deferred compensation plan assets (included in other non-current assets)	1			1

The following table presents changes in Level III financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Fair value at December 31, 2010	\$ 17
Changes reflected in interest expense	(7)
Fair value at September 30, 2011	\$ 10

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the carrying amount of the Company's indebtedness compared to the estimated fair value, primarily determined by quoted market values, at:

Debt	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Senior Secured Credit Facility:				
Non-extended revolving credit facility	\$ 22	\$ 22	\$	\$
Extended revolving credit facility	28	28		
Non-extended term loan facility	631	566	3,059	2,903
Extended term loan facility	1,822	1,494		
First and a Half Lien Notes	700	525		
Second Lien Loans	650	637	650	720
Other bank indebtedness	133	133	163	163
Existing Notes:				
10.50% Senior Notes	64	56	1,688	1,656
11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes	52	43	468	449
12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	187	147	864	806
Extended Maturity Notes:				
11.50% Senior Notes	489	323		
12.00% Senior Notes	129	87		
13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	10	11		
11.00% Convertible Notes	2,110	1,506		
Securitization obligations	332	332	331	331

Income Taxes

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the income (loss) before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$12 million. This expense included \$12 million for an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$7 million was recognized for foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions offset by a \$7 million benefit due to the de-designation of the interest rate swaps.

Supplemental Cash Flow Information

The Company had non-cash transactions for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 pursuant to the terms of the Senior Toggle Notes. The Company elected to satisfy its interest payment obligations by issuing Senior Toggle Notes of \$3 million and \$25 million, respectively, which resulted in a non-cash transfer between accrued interest and long term debt.

Defined Benefit Pension Plan

The net periodic pension cost for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was less than \$1 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$2 million offset by a benefit of less than \$2 million for the expected return on assets. The net periodic pension cost for the three months ended September 30, 2010 was less than \$1 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$2 million offset by a benefit of \$1 million for the expected return on assets.

The net periodic pension cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$2 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of

Table of Contents

\$7 million offset by a benefit of \$5 million for the expected return on assets. The net periodic pension cost for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$2 million and was comprised of interest cost and amortization of amounts previously recorded as other comprehensive income of \$6 million offset by a benefit of \$4 million for the expected return on assets.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2011, the FASB amended the guidance on testing for goodwill impairment that allows an entity to elect to qualitatively assess whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test. If the qualitative assessment determines that it is not more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step test is unnecessary. If the entity elects to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit and proceed directly to Step One of the test and validate the conclusion by measuring fair value, it can resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. The amendments are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. The Company intends to adopt the amendments on January 1, 2012 for goodwill impairment test to be performed in 2012 and does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB amended the guidance on comprehensive income to allow companies an option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income (OCI) either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Companies are also required to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments between OCI and net income. The amendments do not change the items that must be reported in OCI or when an item of OCI must be reclassified to net income, nor do they change how earnings per share is calculated and presented. In addition, companies continue to have the option to present the OCI components net of tax or one amount reported for the tax effects of all OCI items. The amendments are effective retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB amended the guidance on Fair Value Measurement that result in common measurement of fair value and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The amendments mainly change the wording used to describe many of the requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments are effective prospectively for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company intends to adopt the amendments on January 1, 2012 and does not expect the adoption to have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued an amendment to the accounting and disclosure for revenue recognition. The amendment modifies the criteria for recognizing revenue in multiple element arrangements. Under the guidance, in the absence of vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE) or other third party evidence (TPE) of the selling price for the deliverables in a multiple-element arrangement, this amendment requires companies to use the best estimated selling price (BESP) for the individual deliverables. Companies shall apply the relative-selling price model for allocating an arrangement s total consideration to its individual deliverables. Under this model, the BESP is used for both the delivered and undelivered elements that do not have VSOE or TPE of the selling price. The guidance is effective for the fiscal year beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and will be applied prospectively to revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified after the effective date. The Company adopted the new guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the guidance did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In January 2010, the FASB expanded the disclosure requirements for fair value measurements relating to the transfers in and out of Level II measurements and amended the disclosures for the Level III activity reconciliation to be presented on a gross basis. In addition, valuation techniques and inputs should be disclosed for both Levels II and III recurring and nonrecurring measurements. The new requirements are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about the Level III activity reconciliation which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the new disclosure requirements on January 1, 2010 except for the disclosure related to the Level III reconciliation, which was adopted on January 1, 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to clarify when to perform step two of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. In certain situations, a reporting unit may have a negative carrying amount, particularly for companies that only have a single reporting unit and have significant debt. In that case, since the first step is passed, the negative carrying amount may shield a potential impairment. The guidance requires that reporting units with a zero or negative carrying value should proceed to step two of the impairment test if there are qualitative factors indicating that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. This guidance is effective for all interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued guidance to clarify the disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations. Previous guidance on Business Combinations requires disclosure of revenue and earnings of the combined entity as if the acquisition had occurred as of the beginning of both the current period and the comparable prior year reporting period. However, presenting pro forma results as if the acquisition occurred at the beginning of each annual period inappropriately results in certain adjustments, such as amortization expense of intangible assets with useful lives of less than two years, being included in the pro forma results of both reporting periods. The new guidance therefore requires pro forma information to be prepared as if the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior period and is applied prospectively for acquisitions consummated after the beginning of the fiscal year beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the guidance beginning January 1, 2011 and determined that the adoption did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

2. COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

Comprehensive loss consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net loss	\$ (27)	\$ (33)	\$ (285)	\$ (7)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(3)	2	(1)	1
Change in fair value of interest rate hedges, net				3
Change in unrecognized liability included in pension obligations	1		1	
Reclassification of interest rate hedges to interest expense, net ⁽¹⁾			10	
Comprehensive loss	(29)	(31)	(275)	(3)
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1)		(2)	(1)
Total comprehensive loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy	\$ (30)	\$ (31)	\$ (277)	\$ (4)

- (1) The interest rate swaps were being accounted for as cash flow hedges in accordance with the FASB's derivative and hedging guidance and the unfavorable fair market value of the swaps was recorded within AOCI at December 31, 2010. However, following the completion of the Refinancing Transactions in early

Table of Contents

2011, the Company was not able to maintain hedge effectiveness. As a result, the interest rate swaps were de-designated and \$10 million (\$17 million excluding the tax impact of \$7 million) was reclassified and recognized in interest expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. See Note 1, *Basis of Presentation* for additional information.

3. ACQUISITIONS

Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations were recorded in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the respective acquisition dates based upon their estimated fair values at such dates. The results of operations of businesses acquired by the Company have been included in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations since their respective dates of acquisition.

2011 Acquisitions

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the Company acquired eleven real estate brokerage operations through its wholly-owned subsidiary, NRT, for total consideration of \$3 million. These acquisitions resulted in goodwill of \$2 million that was assigned to the Company Owned Brokerage Services segment.

None of the 2011 acquisitions were significant to the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flows individually or in the aggregate.

2010 Acquisitions

On January 21, 2010, the Company completed the stock acquisition of Primacy Relocation, LLC (*Primacy*) for the assumption of approximately \$26 million of indebtedness (excluding \$9 million of indebtedness related to the sale of relocation receivables). Primacy was a relocation and global assignment management services company headquartered in the U.S. with international locations in Europe and Asia. The acquisition of Primacy increased goodwill by \$16 million, customer relationships intangibles by \$62 million and other intangibles by \$5 million. Effective January 1, 2011, the Primacy business operates under the Cartus name.

4. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill by segment and changes in the carrying amount are as follows:

	Real Estate Franchise Services	Company Owned Brokerage Services	Relocation Services	Title and Settlement Services	Total Company
Gross goodwill as of December 31, 2010	\$ 2,265	\$ 780	\$ 641	\$ 397	\$ 4,083
Accumulated impairment losses	(709)	(158)	(281)	(324)	(1,472)
Balance at December 31, 2010	1,556	622	360	73	2,611
Goodwill acquired		2			2
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$ 1,556	\$ 624	\$ 360	\$ 73	\$ 2,613

Table of Contents

Intangible assets are as follows:

	As of September 30, 2011			As of December 31, 2010		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
<i>Franchise Agreements</i>						
Amortizable Franchise agreement ^(a)	\$ 2,019	\$ 306	\$ 1,713	\$ 2,019	\$ 255	\$ 1,764
Unamortizable Franchise agreement ^(b)	1,145		1,145	1,145		1,145
Total Franchise Agreements	\$ 3,164	\$ 306	\$ 2,858	\$ 3,164	\$ 255	\$ 2,909
Unamortizable Trademark ^(c)	\$ 732		\$ 732	\$ 732		\$ 732
<i>Other Intangibles</i>						
Amortizable License agreement ^(d)	\$ 45	\$ 4	\$ 41	\$ 45	\$ 3	\$ 42
Amortizable Customer relationship ^(e)	529	135	394	529	107	422
Amortizable Pendlings and listing ^(f)				2	1	1
Unamortizable Title plant share ^(g)	10		10	10		10
Amortizable Other ^(h)	17	12	5	12	9	3
Total Other Intangibles	\$ 601	\$ 151	\$ 450	\$ 598	\$ 120	\$ 478

- (a) Generally amortized over a period of 30 years.
- (b) Relates to the Real Estate Franchise Services franchise agreement with NRT, which is expected to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (c) Relates to the Century 21, Coldwell Banker, ERA, The Corcoran Group, Coldwell Banker Commercial and Cartus tradenames, which are expected to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (d) Relates to the Sotheby's International Realty and Better Homes and Gardens Real Estate agreements which are being amortized over 50 years (the contractual term of the license agreements).
- (e) Relates to the customer relationships at the Title and Settlement Services segment and the Relocation Services segment. These relationships are being amortized over a period of 5 to 20 years.
- (f) Amortized over the estimated closing period of the underlying contracts (in most cases five months).
- (g) Primarily related to the Texas American Title Company title plant shares. Ownership in a title plant is required to transact title insurance in certain states. The Company expects to generate future cash flows for an indefinite period of time.
- (h) Generally amortized over periods ranging from 2 to 10 years.

Intangible asset amortization expense is as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Franchise agreements	\$ 17	\$ 17	\$ 51	\$ 51
Customer relationships	9	9	28	28
Other	2	1	5	3
Total	\$ 28	\$ 27	\$ 84	\$ 82

Based on the Company's amortizable intangible assets as of September 30, 2011, the Company expects related amortization expense for the remainder of 2011, the four succeeding years and thereafter to approximate \$27 million, \$108 million, \$105 million, \$105 million, \$95 million and \$1,713 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**5. ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES**

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Accrued payroll and related employee costs	\$ 88	\$ 93
Accrued volume incentives	14	17
Accrued commissions	21	15
Restructuring accruals	25	36
Deferred income	68	76
Accrued interest	230	112
Relocation services home mortgage obligations	12	16
Other	163	160
	\$ 621	\$ 525

6. SHORT AND LONG TERM DEBT

Total indebtedness is as follows:

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010
Senior Secured Credit Facility:		
Non-extended revolving credit facility	\$ 22	\$
Extended revolving credit facility	28	
Non-extended term loan facility	631	3,059
Extended term loan facility	1,822	
First and a Half Lien Notes	700	
Second Lien Loans	650	650
Other bank indebtedness	133	163
Existing Notes:		
10.50% Senior Notes	64	1,688
11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes	52	468
12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	187	864
Extended Maturity Notes:		
11.50% Senior Notes	489	
12.00% Senior Notes	129	
13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	10	
11.00% Convertible Notes	2,110	
Securitization Obligations:		
Apple Ridge Funding LLC	299	296
Cartus Financing Limited	33	35
	\$ 7,359	\$ 7,223

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February of 2011, Realogy completed a series of transactions, referred to herein as the Refinancing Transactions, to refinance both its secured and unsecured indebtedness.

Senior Secured Credit Facility

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility consisting of (i) a \$3,170 million term loan facility, (ii) a \$750 million revolving

Table of Contents

credit facility, (iii) a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility (the facilities described in clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), as amended by the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, collectively referred to as the First Lien Facilities), and (iv) a \$650 million incremental (or accordion) loan facility, which was utilized in connection with the incurrence of Second Lien Loans in 2009 as described below.

Effective February 3, 2011, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment and an incremental assumption agreement, which resulted in the following:

certain lenders extended the maturity of a significant portion of first lien term loans, revolving commitments and synthetic letter of credit commitments to October 10, 2016, April 10, 2016, and October 10, 2016, respectively, resulting in approximately \$2,424 million aggregate principal amount of extended term loans, approximately \$461 million aggregate principal amount of commitments in respect of extended revolving loans and approximately \$171 million aggregate principal amount of extended synthetic letter of credit commitments;

certain lenders simultaneously converted approximately \$98 million aggregate principal amount of revolving commitments in respect of extended revolving loans to extended term loans, thereby reducing the commitments under the revolving credit facility to \$652 million;

the net proceeds of the \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes together with cash on hand were used to prepay \$700 million of the outstanding extended term loans, thereby reducing the aggregate principal amount of extended term loans to \$1,822 million;

the interest rate with respect to the extended term loans was increased by 1.25% from the rate applicable to the non-extended term loans;

the interest rate with respect to the extended revolving loans was increased by 1.0% from the rate applicable to the non-extended revolving loans; and

the fee with respect to the synthetic letter of credit facility was increased by 1.25% from the fee applicable to the non-extending synthetic letter of credit facility.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment also provides for the incurrence of additional incremental term loans that are secured on a junior basis to the second lien loans in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$350 million.

The extended term loans do not require any scheduled amortization of principal. The non-extended term loan facility will continue to provide for quarterly amortization payments totaling 1% per annum of the principal amount of the non-extended first lien term loans.

Interest rates with respect to term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy's option, (a) adjusted LIBOR plus 3.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 4.25%) or (b) the higher of the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 1.75%) and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s prime rate (ABR) plus 2.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 3.25%).

The Senior Secured Credit Facility, as amended, provides a \$652 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$200 million letter of credit sub-facility and a \$50 million swingline loan sub-facility. Realogy uses the revolving credit facility for, among other things, working capital and other general corporate purposes, including permitted acquisitions and investments. Interest rates with respect to revolving loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy's option, adjusted LIBOR plus 2.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 3.25%) or ABR plus 1.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 2.25%) in each case subject to reductions based on the attainment of certain leverage ratios.

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

The Senior Secured Credit Facility, as amended, provided a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility which is for: (1) the support of Realogy's obligations with respect to Cendant contingent and other liabilities

Table of Contents

assumed under the Separation and Distribution Agreement and (2) general corporate purposes in an amount not to exceed \$100 million. In light of the reduction in Cendant's contingent and other liabilities, on January 5, 2011, Realogy reduced the synthetic letter of credit facility to \$223 million. As of September 30, 2011, most of the capacity was being utilized by a \$100 million letter of credit with Cendant for any remaining potential contingent obligations and \$100 million of letters of credit for general corporate purposes.

The loans under the First Lien Facilities (the "First Lien Loans") are secured to the extent legally permissible by substantially all of the assets of Realogy, Intermediate and the subsidiary guarantors, including but not limited to (a) a first-priority pledge of substantially all capital stock held by Realogy or any subsidiary guarantor (which pledge, with respect to obligations in respect of the borrowings secured by a pledge of the stock of any first-tier foreign subsidiary, is limited to 100% of the non-voting stock (if any) and 65% of the voting stock of such foreign subsidiary), and (b) perfected first-priority security interests in substantially all tangible and intangible assets of Realogy and each subsidiary guarantor, subject to certain exceptions.

In late 2009, Realogy incurred \$650 million of Second Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans are secured by liens on the assets of Realogy and by the guarantors that secure the First Lien Loans. However, such liens are junior in priority to the First Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans bear interest at a rate of 13.50% per year and interest payments are payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Second Lien Loans mature on October 15, 2017 and there are no required amortization payments.

The Company's Senior Secured Credit Facility contains financial, affirmative and negative covenants and requires the Company to maintain a senior secured leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount on the last day of each fiscal quarter. Specifically, the Company's total senior secured net debt to trailing twelve month EBITDA (as such terms are defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility), calculated on a pro forma basis pursuant to the Senior Secured Credit Facility, may not exceed 4.75 to 1. Total senior secured net debt does not include the First and a Half Lien Notes, Second Lien Loans, other bank indebtedness not secured by a first lien on the Company's assets, securitization obligations or the Unsecured Notes (as defined below). At September 30, 2011, the Company's senior secured leverage ratio was 4.15 to 1. EBITDA, as defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility, includes certain adjustments and also is calculated on a pro forma basis for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio. In this report, the Company refers to the term "Adjusted EBITDA" to mean EBITDA as so defined and calculated for purposes of determining compliance with the senior secured leverage covenant.

Based upon the Company's financial forecast, the Company believes that it will continue to be in compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio during the next twelve months. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, the Company may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with its senior secured leverage ratio. See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources - EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA" for the detailed covenant calculation.

The Company has the right to cure an event of default of the senior secured leverage ratio in three of any of the four consecutive quarters through the issuance of additional Holdings equity for cash, which would be infused as capital into the Company. The effect of such infusion would be to increase Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio for the applicable twelve-month period and reduce net senior secured indebtedness upon actual receipt of such capital. If the Company is unable to maintain compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio and fails to remedy a default through an equity cure as described above, there would be an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. Other events of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility include, without limitation, nonpayment, material misrepresentations, insolvency, bankruptcy, certain material judgments, change of control and cross-events of default on material indebtedness.

Table of Contents

If an event of default occurs under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, and the Company fails to obtain a waiver from the lenders, the Company's financial condition, results of operations and business would be materially adversely affected. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, the lenders:

would not be required to lend any additional amounts to the Company;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable;

could require the Company to apply all of its available cash to repay these borrowings; or

could prevent the Company from making payments on the First and a Half Lien Notes or the Unsecured Notes; any of which could result in an event of default under the First and a Half Lien Notes, the Unsecured Notes and the Company's Apple Ridge Funding LLC securitization program.

If the Company were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. The Company has pledged the majority of its assets as collateral under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. If the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility were to accelerate the repayment of borrowings, then the Company may not have sufficient assets to repay the Senior Secured Credit Facility and its other indebtedness, including the First and a Half Lien Notes and the Unsecured Notes, or be able to borrow sufficient funds to refinance such indebtedness. Even if the Company is able to obtain new financing, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms, or terms that are acceptable to the Company.

First and a Half Lien Notes

On February 3, 2011, Realogy issued \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes in a private offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The First and a Half Lien Notes mature on February 15, 2019 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 7.875% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on February 1 or August 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of February 15 and August 15 of each year. The First and a Half Lien Notes are secured by substantially the same collateral as Realogy's existing secured obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, but the priority of the collateral liens securing the First and a Half Lien Notes is (i) junior to the collateral liens securing Realogy's first lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and (ii) senior to the collateral liens securing Realogy's second lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

As discussed above, the net proceeds from the offering of the First and a Half Lien Notes, along with cash on hand, were used to prepay \$700 million of certain of Realogy's first lien term loans that were extended in connection with the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment.

Other Bank Indebtedness

Realogy has separate revolving U.S. credit facilities under which it can borrow up to \$125 million and a separate U.K. credit facility under which it can borrow up to £5 million. These facilities are not secured by assets of Realogy or any of its subsidiaries but are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The facilities generally have a one-year term with certain options for renewal. As of September 30, 2011, Realogy had outstanding borrowings of \$133 million under these credit facilities with \$75 million due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012 and \$50 million due in January 2013. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the weighted average interest rate is 2.9% under the U.S. credit facilities and 2.5% under the U.K. credit facility with interest payable either monthly or quarterly.

Table of Contents

Unsecured Notes

On April 10, 2007, Realogy issued \$1,700 million aggregate principal amount of 10.50% Senior Notes due 2014 (the 10.50% Senior Notes), \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes due 2014 (the Senior Toggle Notes and, together with the 10.50% Senior Notes, the Existing Senior Notes) and \$875 million aggregate principal amount of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Existing Notes).

On January 5, 2011, Realogy consummated the Debt Exchange Offering for its Existing Notes pursuant to which Realogy issued 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 11.50% Senior Notes), 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 12.00% Senior Notes and, together with the 11.50% Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Senior Notes and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Senior Notes), 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018 (the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Extended Maturity Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Notes) and 11.00% Series A Convertible Notes due 2018, the 11.00% Series B Convertible Notes due 2018 and the 11.00% Series C Convertible Notes due 2018 (collectively, the Convertible Notes). The term Senior Subordinated Notes refers to the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes, collectively; and the term Unsecured Notes refers to the Senior Notes, the Senior Subordinated Notes and the Convertible Notes, collectively.

Pursuant to the Debt Exchange Offering, approximately \$2,110 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for Convertible Notes, which are convertible at the holder's option into Class A Common Stock, and approximately \$632 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for the Extended Maturity Notes.

On January 5, 2011, Realogy issued:

\$492 million aggregate principal amount of 11.50% Senior Notes and \$1,144 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes in exchange for \$1,636 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 10.50% Senior Notes;

\$130 million aggregate principal amount of 12.00% Senior Notes and \$291 million aggregate principal amount of Series B Convertible Notes in exchange for \$421 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding Senior Toggle Notes; and

\$10 million aggregate principal amount of 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and \$675 million aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes in exchange for \$685 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes.

As a result of the Debt Exchange Offering, Realogy extended the maturity of approximately \$2,742 million aggregate principal amount of the Unsecured Notes to 2017 and 2018, leaving approximately \$303 million aggregate principal amount of Existing Notes that mature in 2014 and 2015. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the terms of the Convertible Notes, the Convertible Notes are redeemable at Realogy's option at a price equal to 90% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption upon a Qualified Public Offering.

The 10.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2014 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 10.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 11.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Toggle Notes mature on April 15, 2014. Interest is payable semiannually on April 15 and October 15 of each year. For any interest payment period after the initial interest payment period and through

Table of Contents

October 15, 2011, Realogy had the option to pay interest on the Senior Toggle Notes (1) entirely in cash (Cash Interest), (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding Senior Toggle Notes or by issuing Senior Toggle Notes (PIK Interest), or (3) 50% as Cash Interest and 50% as PIK Interest. Cash Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at a rate of 11.00% per annum. PIK Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at the Cash Interest rate per annum plus 0.75%. Beginning with the interest period which ended October 2008 through the interest period which ended April 2011, Realogy elected to satisfy its interest payment obligations by issuing additional Senior Toggle Notes. Realogy elected to pay Cash Interest for the interest period commencing April 15, 2011 and is required to make all future interest payments on the Senior Toggle Notes entirely in cash until they mature.

Realogy would be subject to certain interest deduction limitations if the Senior Toggle Notes were treated as applicable high yield discount obligations (AHYDO) within the meaning of Section 163(i)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. In order to avoid such treatment, Realogy is required to redeem for cash a portion of each Senior Toggle Note then outstanding at the end of the accrual period ending in April 2012. The portion of a Senior Toggle Note required to be redeemed is an amount equal to the excess of the accrued original issue discount as of the end of such accrual period, less the amount of interest paid in cash on or before such date, less the first-year yield (the issue price of the debt instrument multiplied by its yield to maturity). For the periods that Realogy elected to pay PIK Interest, Realogy will be required to repay approximately \$11 million in April 2012.

The 12.00% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2015 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 13.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis, and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis, in each case, by each of Realogy's existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guarantor under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Senior Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured senior subordinated basis and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured junior subordinated basis.

On June 24, 2011, Realogy completed offers of exchange notes for Extended Maturity Notes issued in the Debt Exchange Offering. The term exchange notes refers to the 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, the 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018, all as registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-173254 declared effective by the SEC on May 20, 2011). Each series of the exchange notes are substantially identical in all material respects to the Extended Maturity Notes of the applicable series issued in the Debt Exchange Offering (except that the new registered exchange notes do not contain terms with respect to additional interest or transfer restrictions). Unless the context otherwise requires, the term Extended Maturity Notes refers to the exchange notes.

Convertible Notes

The Series A Convertible Notes, Series B Convertible Notes and Series C Convertible Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Convertible Notes are convertible into Class A Common Stock at any time prior to

Table of Contents

April 15, 2018. The Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes are initially convertible into 975.6098 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.025 per share, and the Series C Convertible Notes are initially convertible into 926.7841 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.079 per share, subject to adjustment if specified distributions to holders of the Class A Common Stock are made or specified corporate transactions occur, in each case as set forth in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis by each of Realogy's existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guarantor under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured junior subordinated basis by Holdings.

Following a Qualified Public Offering, Realogy may, at its option, redeem the Convertible Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price, payable in cash, equal to 90% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On June 16, 2011, the SEC declared effective a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-173250) of Holdings and Realogy, registering for resale the outstanding Convertible Notes and the Class A Common Stock of Holdings issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes. Offers and sales of the Convertible Notes and Class A Common Stock may be made by selling securityholders pursuant to the June 2011 Final Prospectus as amended or supplemented from time to time.

Loss on the early extinguishment of debt and write-off of deferred financing costs

As a result of the Refinancing Transactions, the Company recorded a loss on the extinguishment of debt of \$36 million and wrote off deferred financing costs of \$7 million to interest expense as a result of debt modifications during the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Securitization Obligations

The Company has secured obligations through Apple Ridge Funding LLC, a securitization program with a five-year term which expires in April 2012. On May 13, 2011, the Company elected to reduce the capacity of the Apple Ridge securitization program from \$500 million to \$400 million.

In 2010, the Company, through a special purpose entity, Cartus Financing Limited, entered into agreements providing for a £35 million revolving loan facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012. These Cartus Financing Limited facilities are secured by relocation assets of a U.K. government contract in a special purpose entity and are therefore classified as permitted securitization financings as defined in the Company's senior secured credit facility and the indentures governing the Unsecured Notes.

The Apple Ridge entities and Cartus Financing Limited entity are consolidated special purpose entities that are utilized to securitize relocation receivables and related assets. These assets are generated from advancing funds on behalf of clients of the Company's relocation business in order to facilitate the relocation of their employees. Assets of these special purpose entities are not available to pay the Company's general obligations. Under the Apple Ridge program, provided no termination or amortization event has occurred, any new receivables generated under the designated relocation management agreements are sold into the securitization program and as new eligible relocation management agreements are entered into, the new agreements are designated to the program.

Certain of the funds that the Company receives from relocation receivables and related assets must be utilized to repay securitization obligations. These obligations were collateralized by \$432 million and \$393

Table of Contents

million of underlying relocation receivables and other related relocation assets at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Substantially all relocation related assets are realized in less than twelve months from the transaction date. Accordingly, all of the Company's securitization obligations are classified as current in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Interest incurred in connection with borrowings under these facilities amounted to \$1 million and \$4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$2 million and \$5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. This interest is recorded within net revenues in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as related borrowings are utilized to fund the Company's relocation business where interest is generally earned on such assets. These securitization obligations represent floating rate debt for which the average weighted interest rate was 1.9% and 2.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

AVAILABLE CAPACITY

As of September 30, 2011, the total capacity, outstanding borrowings and available capacity under the Company's borrowing arrangements were as follows:

	Expiration Date	Total Capacity	Outstanding Borrowings	Available Capacity
Senior Secured Credit Facility:				
Non-extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾	April 2013	\$ 289	\$ 22	\$ 217
Extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾	April 2016	363	28	272
Non-extended term loan facility	October 2013	631	631	
Extended term loan facility	October 2016	1,822	1,822	
First and a Half Lien Notes	February 2019	700	700	
Second Lien Loans	October 2017	650	650	
Other bank indebtedness ⁽²⁾	Various	133	133	
Existing Notes				
10.50% Senior Notes	April 2014	64	64	
11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes	April 2014	52	52	
12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes ⁽³⁾	April 2015	190	187	
Extended Maturity Notes				
11.50% Senior Notes ⁽⁴⁾	April 2017	492	489	
12.00% Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	April 2017	130	129	
13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	April 2018	10	10	
11.00% Convertible Notes	April 2018	2,110	2,110	
Securitization obligations:⁽⁶⁾				
Apple Ridge Funding LLC	April 2012	400	299	101
Cartus Financing Limited ⁽⁷⁾	Various	62	33	29
		\$ 8,098	\$ 7,359	\$ 619

- (1) The available capacity under these facilities was reduced by \$50 million and \$63 million of outstanding letters of credit on the non-extended and the extended revolving credit facility, respectively, at September 30, 2011.
- (2) Consists of revolving credit facilities that are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, \$75 million due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012 and \$50 million due in January 2013.
- (3) Consists of \$190 million of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015, less a discount of \$3 million.
- (4) Consists of \$492 million of 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$3 million.
- (5) Consists of \$130 million of 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$1 million.

Table of Contents

- (6) Available capacity is subject to maintaining sufficient relocation related assets to collateralize these securitization obligations.
 (7) Consists of a £35 million facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012.

7. RESTRUCTURING COSTS***2011 Restructuring Program***

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating existing facilities. The Company currently expects to incur restructuring charges of \$10 million in 2011. As of September 30, 2011, the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment recognized \$4 million of facility related expenses and \$3 million of personnel related expenses. The Title and Settlement Services segment recognized \$1 million of facility and personnel related expenses. At September 30, 2011, the remaining liability was \$4 million.

2010 Restructuring Program

During 2010, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating facilities. The Company recognized \$13 million of restructuring expense in the first nine months of 2010 and \$21 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows restructuring expense by category and the corresponding payments and other reductions from inception to September 30, 2011:

	Personnel Related	Facility Related	Asset Impairments	Total
Restructuring expense and other additions ^(a)	\$ 5	\$ 16	\$ 1	\$ 22
Cash payments and other reductions	(4)	(6)	(1)	(11)
Balance at December 31, 2010	1	10		11
Cash payments and other reductions	(1)	(4)		(5)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$	\$ 6	\$	\$ 6

- (a) Includes \$1 million of unfavorable lease liability recorded in purchase accounting for Primacy which was reclassified to restructuring liability as a result of the Company restructuring certain facilities after the acquisition date.

8. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION***Incentive Equity Awards Granted by Holdings***

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Holdings adopted the Domus Holdings Corp. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) under which non-qualified stock options, rights to purchase shares of common stock, restricted stock and other awards settleable in, or based upon, Holdings common stock may be issued to employees, consultants or directors of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. The stock options and restricted stock granted are either time vesting or performance based awards with an exercise price equal to the grant date fair price of the underlying shares and a contractual term of 10 years. The time vesting options are subject to ratable vesting over the requisite service period. The performance based options are cliff vested upon the achievement of certain internal rate of return (IRR) targets which are measured based upon distributions made to the stockholders of Holdings. The restricted stock was granted at the grant date fair value and has a three-year requisite service period with one-half cliff vesting after 18 months of service and one-half cliff vesting at the end of the three-year service period.

Table of Contents

During the first nine months of 2011, the Holdings Board granted 0.8 million of time vesting stock options and 0.1 million shares of time vesting restricted stock to senior management employees and an independent director of the Company, as well as 0.7 million of performance based stock options granted under the Phantom Value Plan (see discussion below). On August 2, 2011, the Holdings Board approved an amendment and restatement of the Plan to increase the number of shares reserved under the Plan by 5 million shares. As of September 30, 2011, the total number of shares available for future grant was approximately 5.6 million shares.

The fair value of the time vesting options and Phantom Plan options was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model utilizing the following assumptions. Expected volatility was based on historical volatilities of comparable companies. The expected term of the options granted represents the period of time that options were expected to be outstanding. The risk-free interest rate was based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant, which corresponds to the expected term of the options.

	Time Vesting Options	Phantom Plan Options
Weighted average grant date fair value	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.46
Expected volatility	55.5%	61.5%
Expected term (years)	6.25	4.75
Risk-free interest rate	2.6%	2.0%
Dividend yield		

Equity Award Activity

A summary of option and restricted share activity is presented below (number of shares in millions):

	Time-vesting Options	Performance based Options	Restricted Stock
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	12.73	2.52	
Granted	0.84	0.71	0.11
Exercised			
Vested			
Forfeited	(0.20)		
Outstanding at September 30, 2011	13.37	3.23	0.11

	Options Vested	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Exercisable at September 30, 2011	4.55	\$ 4.96	7.8 years	\$

As of September 30, 2011, there was approximately \$7 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the time vesting options and restricted stock under the Plan and \$5 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the performance based options. Unrecognized cost for the time vesting options and restricted stock will be recorded in future periods as compensation expense as the awards vest over the next three years with a weighted average period of approximately 1.9 years. The unrecognized cost for the performance based options will be recorded as compensation expense when an IPO or significant capital transaction is probable of occurring.

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$2 million and \$5 million related to the incentive equity awards granted by Holdings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and \$1 million and \$4 million related to the incentive equity awards granted by Holdings for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents***Phantom Value Plan***

On January 5, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the Realogy Corporation Phantom Value Plan (the *Phantom Value Plan*), which is intended to provide certain of Realogy's executive officers, with an incentive (the *Incentive Awards*) to remain in the service of Realogy, increased interest in the success of Realogy and the opportunity to receive compensation based upon Realogy's success. On January 5, 2011, the Board of Directors of the Company made initial grants of Incentive Awards in three series in an aggregate amount of \$22 million to certain executive officers of Realogy.

Under the Phantom Value Plan, each participant is eligible to receive a payment with respect to an Incentive Award relating to the three series of Convertible Notes at such time and from time to time that Apollo receives cash upon the discharge or third-party sale of not less than \$267 million of the aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Notes (the *Plan Notes*) (or on any non-cash consideration into which any series of Plan Notes may have been exchanged or converted). The payment with respect to a particular series of an Incentive Award would be an amount which bears the same ratio to the dollar amount of the Incentive Award relating to such series of the aggregate amount of cash received by Affiliate Holders bears to the aggregate principal amount of such series of Plan Notes held by Affiliate Holders on the date of grant of such Incentive Award. In addition, participants may be eligible to receive additional amounts based upon cash received by the Affiliate Holders pursuant to the terms of any non-cash consideration into which any such series of Plan Notes may have been exchanged or converted. Any cash payments made under the Phantom Value Plan will be recorded as compensation expense when Apollo receives cash upon the discharge or third-party sale of the Convertible Notes.

In the event that a payment is to be made with respect to an Incentive Award in conjunction with or subsequent to a qualified public offering of common stock of Realogy or its direct or indirect parent company, a participant may elect to receive stock in lieu of the cash payment in a number of unrestricted shares of common stock with a fair market value, as determined in good faith by the Compensation Committee, equal to the dollar amount then due on such Incentive Award, plus a number of restricted shares of such common stock with a fair market value, as determined in good faith by the Compensation Committee, equal to the amount then due multiplied by 0.15. The restricted shares of common stock will vest, based on continued employment, on the first anniversary of issuance. Compensation expense for the restricted shares of common stock will be recorded over a one-year vesting period upon issuance, while compensation expense for the unrestricted shares of common stock will be recorded on the issuance date. In addition, Incentive Awards will be subject to acceleration and payment upon a change of control as specified in the Phantom Value Plan.

On each date the Affiliate Holders receive cash interest on the Plan Notes, certain executive officers of Realogy may be granted stock options under the Holdings 2007 Stock Incentive Plan. The aggregate value of stock options granted (determined by the Holdings Board or its Compensation Committee in its sole discretion) is equal to an amount which bears the same ratio to the aggregate dollar amount of the participant's Incentive Award as the aggregate amount of cash interest received by Affiliate Holders on such date bears to the aggregate principal amount of the Plan Notes held by the Affiliate Holders on the date of grant of the Incentive Award. The stock option grants to Realogy's CEO, however, would be limited to 50% of the foregoing stock option amount. Generally, each grant of stock options will have a three year vesting schedule, subject to the participant's continued employment, and vested stock options will become exercisable one year following a qualified public offering. As such, compensation expense will be recorded after a public offering becomes probable of occurring. The stock options have a term of 7.5 years. In April and October 2011, Holdings issued approximately 0.7 million and 1.3 million, respectively, of stock options under the Phantom Value Plan in conjunction with the Affiliate Holders receiving cash interest on the Plan Notes.

Incentive Awards are immediately cancelable and forfeitable in the event of the termination of a participant's employment for any reason. The Incentive Awards also terminate 10 years following the date of grant.

Table of Contents**9. SEPARATION ADJUSTMENTS, TRANSACTIONS WITH FORMER PARENT AND SUBSIDIARIES AND RELATED PARTIES*****Transfer of Cendant Corporate Liabilities and Issuance of Guarantees to Cendant and Affiliates***

The Company has certain guarantee commitments with Cendant (pursuant to the assumption of certain liabilities and the obligation to indemnify Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport for such liabilities) and guarantee commitments related to deferred compensation arrangements with Cendant and Wyndham Worldwide. These guarantee arrangements primarily relate to certain contingent litigation liabilities, contingent tax liabilities, and other corporate liabilities, of which the Company assumed and is generally responsible for 62.5%. Upon separation from Cendant, the liabilities assumed by the Company were comprised of certain Cendant corporate liabilities which were recorded on the historical books of Cendant as well as additional liabilities which were established for guarantees issued at the date of Separation related to certain unresolved contingent matters and certain others that could arise during the guarantee period. Regarding the guarantees, if any of the companies responsible for all or a portion of such liabilities were to default in its payment of costs or expenses related to any such liability, the Company would be responsible for a portion of the defaulting party or parties' obligation. To the extent such recorded liabilities are in excess or are not adequate to cover the ultimate payment amounts, such deficiency or excess will be reflected in the results of operations in future periods.

The due to former parent balance was \$78 million and \$104 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At September 30, 2011, the due to former parent balance was comprised of the Company's portion of the following: (i) Cendant's remaining state and foreign contingent tax liabilities, (ii) accrued interest on contingent tax liabilities, (iii) potential liabilities related to Cendant's terminated or divested businesses, and (iv) potential liabilities related to the residual portion of accruals for Cendant operations.

Transactions with PHH Corporation

In January 2005, Cendant completed the spin-off of its former mortgage, fleet leasing and appraisal businesses in a tax-free distribution of 100% of the common stock of PHH to its stockholders. In connection with the spin-off, the Company entered into a venture, PHH Home Loans, with PHH for the purpose of originating and selling mortgage loans primarily sourced through the Company's real estate brokerage and relocation businesses. The Company owns 49.9% of the venture. In connection with the venture, the Company entered into an agreement with PHH and PHH Home Loans regarding the operation of the venture and a marketing agreement with PHH whereby PHH is the recommended provider of mortgage products and services promoted by the Company to its independently owned and operated franchisees. The Company also entered into a license agreement with PHH whereby PHH Home Loans was granted a license to use certain of the Company's real estate brand names. The Company maintains a relocation agreement with PHH whereby PHH outsources its employee relocation function to the Company and the Company subleases office space to PHH Home Loans.

In connection with these agreements, the Company recorded revenues of \$1 million and \$4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively and \$1 million and \$4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company recorded equity earnings of \$11 million and \$15 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively and \$13 million and \$21 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company received \$15 million and \$5 million in dividend distributions from PHH Home Loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has entered into certain transactions in the normal course of business with entities that are owned by affiliates of Apollo. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, the Company recognized revenue and expenses related to these transactions of \$1 million or less in the aggregate in each period.

Table of Contents

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

The Company is involved in claims, legal proceedings and governmental inquiries related to alleged contract disputes, business practices, intellectual property and other commercial, employment, regulatory and tax matters. Examples of such matters include but are not limited to allegations:

concerning adverse impacts to franchisees related to purported changes made to the Century 21[®] system and its National Advertising Fund after the Company acquired it in 1995, which is referred to elsewhere in this report as the Cooper Litigation ;

that the Company is vicariously liable for the acts of franchisees under theories of actual or apparent agency;

by former franchisees that franchise agreements were improperly terminated;

that residential real estate agents engaged by NRT in certain states are potentially common law employees instead of independent contractors, and therefore may bring claims against NRT for breach of contract, wrongful discharge and negligent supervision and obtain benefits available to employees under various state statutes;

concerning claims for alleged RESPA or state law violations including but not limited to claims relating to administrative fees or commissions that include both a fixed fee and percentage payment as well as the validity of sales associates indemnification and administrative fees;

concerning claims generally against the company-owned brokerage operations for negligence or breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the performance of real estate brokerage or other professional services; and

concerning claims generally against the title company contending that, as the escrow company, the company knew or should have known that a transaction was fraudulent.

Real Estate Business Litigation

Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. v. Cendant Corp. and Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (N.J. Super. Ct. L. Div., Morris County, New Jersey). In 2002, Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. filed a putative class action against Cendant and Cendant's subsidiary, Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (Century 21). The complaint alleges breach of certain provisions of the Real Estate Franchise Agreement entered into between Century 21 and the plaintiffs, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act and breach of certain express and implied fiduciary duties. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Cendant diverted money and resources from Century 21 franchisees and allotted them to NRT owned brokerages and otherwise improperly charged expenses to advertising funds. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive relief, interest, attorney's fees and costs. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, if applicable, provides for treble damages, attorney's fees and costs as remedies for violation of the Act. On August 17, 2010, the court granted plaintiffs' renewed motion to certify a class. The certified class includes Century 21 franchisees at any time between August 1, 1995 and April 17, 2002 whose franchise agreements contain New Jersey choice of law and venue provisions and who have not executed releases releasing the claim (unless the release was a provision of a franchise renewal agreement).

A case management order entered on November 29, 2010 established, among other things, a trial date of April 16, 2012. Pursuant to the court order, the Notice Administrator has advised us that the notice of pendency of the action was mailed to possible class members on March 4, 2011, and a summary of that notice has been published in various print and online media.

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

In August 2011, the court denied, without prejudice, plaintiffs motion seeking to invalidate two categories of claims releases. Based on the rulings of the court to date, there are approximately 1,020 franchisees (former

Table of Contents

and current) who are members of the class for all or a portion of the class period. Also, based upon the rulings by the court, merits discovery is substantially complete, but the exchange of expert reports and related discovery has not yet occurred.

This class action involves substantial, complex litigation. Class action litigation is inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties. The resolution of the Cooper Litigation could result in substantial losses and there can be no assurance that such resolution will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Larsen, et al. v. Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation, et al. (case formerly known as Joint Equity Committee of Investors of Real Estate Partners, Inc. v. Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corp., et al). The case, pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, arises from the relationship of several of our subsidiaries with a former Coldwell Banker Commercial franchise, whose affiliated entity allegedly utilized the Coldwell Banker Commercial name in the offer and sale of securities during the period in which it was a franchisee and for a period of time after the franchise agreement was terminated. In a SEC civil proceeding asserting violations of various securities laws, by stipulated judgment dated September 2, 2009, a shareholder of the franchisee, Real Estate Partners, Inc. (REP), and REP 's affiliated entities were ordered to disgorge approximately \$53 million in funds raised from investors. REP filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in 2007. The bankruptcy trustee is actively working on gathering assets to compensate creditors, including the allegedly defrauded investors. In April 2010, the Joint Equity Committee of Investors of Real Estate Partners, Inc. (Joint Equity Committee) filed this action against our subsidiaries Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation and Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC, alleging, among other things, negligence and fraud. The plaintiff alleged that the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries knew or should have known that REP and the Coldwell Banker Commercial franchisee were using the marks in connection with the promotion of securities but that the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries failed to act to stop that use. After the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries filed a motion to dismiss arguing, among other things, that the Joint Equity Committee lacked standing to bring claims on behalf of the investors or creditors in the bankruptcy proceeding, the initial complaint was amended to substitute individual investors as plaintiffs and was re-styled as a putative class action brought on behalf of REP investors. The First Amended Complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint on March 29, 2011, and the court denied the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries' motion to dismiss on September 8, 2011. On August 22, 2011, plaintiffs filed their motion to certify a class, which has yet to be fully briefed by both parties. Trial is currently scheduled for August 2012.

Cendant Corporate Litigation

Pursuant to the Separation and Distribution Agreement dated as of July 27, 2006 among Cendant, Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport, each of Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport have assumed certain contingent and other corporate liabilities (and related costs and expenses), which are primarily related to each of their respective businesses. In addition, Realogy has assumed 62.5% and Wyndham Worldwide has assumed 37.5% of certain contingent and other corporate liabilities (and related costs and expenses) of Cendant or its subsidiaries, which are not primarily related to any of the respective businesses of Realogy, Wyndham Worldwide, Travelport and/or Cendant's vehicle rental operations, in each case incurred or allegedly incurred on or prior to the date of the separation of Travelport from Cendant.

The Company believes that it has adequately accrued for legal matters as appropriate. However, litigation and other disputes are inherently unpredictable and subject to substantial uncertainties and unfavorable resolutions could occur. In addition, class action lawsuits can be costly to defend and, depending on the class size and claims, could be costly to settle. Lastly, there may be greater risk of unfavorable resolutions in the current economic environment due to various factors including the absence of other defendants (due to business failures) that may be the real cause of the liability and greater negative sentiment toward corporate defendants. As such,

Table of Contents

the Company could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims with liability that are materially in excess of amounts accrued and these settlements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in any particular period.

Tax Matters

The Company is subject to income taxes in the United States and several foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining the worldwide provision for income taxes and in recording the related assets and liabilities. In the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. The Company is regularly under audit by tax authorities whereby the outcome of the audits is uncertain.

Under the Tax Sharing Agreement with Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport, the Company is generally responsible for 62.5% of tax liabilities that relate to income taxes imposed on Cendant and certain of its subsidiaries with respect to tax periods ending on or prior to December 31, 2006.

At September 30, 2011, the due to former parent balance of \$78 million was comprised of the Company's portion of the following: (i) Cendant's remaining state and foreign contingent tax liabilities, (ii) accrued interest on contingent tax liabilities, (iii) potential liabilities related to Cendant's terminated or divested businesses, and (iv) potential liabilities related to the residual portion of accruals for Cendant operations.

With respect to the residual legacy Cendant tax liabilities, the Company and its former parent believe there is appropriate support for the positions taken on Cendant's tax returns. Similarly, with respect to the Company's tax liabilities, the Company believes there is appropriate support for positions taken on its own tax returns. The liabilities that have been recorded represent the best estimates of the probable loss on certain positions. The Company believes that the accruals for tax liabilities are adequate for all open years based on an assessment of many factors including past experience and interpretations of tax law applied to the facts of each matter; however, the outcome of tax audits are inherently uncertain. Such tax audits and any related litigation, including disputes or litigation on the allocation of tax liabilities between parties under the Tax Sharing Agreement, could result in outcomes for the Company that are different from those reflected in the Company's historical financial statements.

Contingent Liability Letter of Credit

In April 2007, the Company established a standby irrevocable letter of credit for the benefit of Avis Budget Group in accordance with the Separation and Distribution Agreement. The synthetic letter of credit was utilized to support the Company's payment obligations with respect to its share of Cendant contingent and other corporate liabilities. The stated amount of the standby irrevocable letter of credit is subject to periodic adjustment to reflect the then current estimate of Cendant contingent and other liabilities. In 2010, the Company entered into agreements with Avis Budget Group and Wyndham to reduce the letter of credit from \$446 million to \$123 million primarily due to Cendant's IRS tax settlement for the taxable years 2003 through 2006 and other liability adjustments. On June 23, 2011, Realogy further reduced the letter of credit to \$100 million. The standby irrevocable letter of credit will be terminated if (i) the Company's senior unsecured credit rating is raised to BB by Standard and Poor's or Ba2 by Moody's or (ii) the aggregate value of the former parent contingent liabilities falls below \$30 million.

Apollo Management Fee Agreement

In connection with the Merger Transaction, Apollo entered into a management fee agreement with the Company which allows Apollo and its affiliates to provide certain management consulting services to the Company through the end of 2016 (subject to possible extension). The Company pays Apollo an annual management fee for this service up to the sum of the greater of \$15 million or 2.0% of the Company's annual

Table of Contents

Adjusted EBITDA for the immediately preceding year, plus out-of-pocket costs and expenses in connection therewith. At September 30, 2011, the Company had \$26 million accrued for the payment of Apollo management fees.

In addition, in the absence of an express agreement to the contrary, at the closing of any merger, acquisition, financing and similar transaction with a related transaction or enterprise value equal to or greater than \$200 million, Apollo will receive a fee equal to 1% of the aggregate transaction or enterprise value paid to or provided by such entity or its stockholders (including the aggregate value of (x) equity securities, warrants, rights and options acquired or retained, (y) indebtedness acquired, assumed or refinanced and (z) any other consideration or compensation paid in connection with such transaction). The Company has agreed to indemnify Apollo and its affiliates and their directors, officers and representatives for potential losses relating to the services to be provided under the management fee agreement. Apollo waived any fees payable to it pursuant to the management fee agreement in connection with the Refinancing Transactions.

Escrow and Trust Deposits

As a service to the Company's customers, it administers escrow and trust deposits which represent undisbursed amounts received for settlements of real estate transactions. With the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act in July 2010, deposits at FDIC-insured institutions are permanently covered up to \$250 thousand. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act temporarily provides unlimited coverage for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts from December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2012. These escrow and trust deposits totaled approximately \$321 million and \$190 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. These escrow and trust deposits are not assets of the Company and, therefore, are excluded from the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. However, the Company remains contingently liable for the disposition of these deposits.

11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The reportable segments presented below represent the Company's operating segments for which separate financial information is available and which is utilized on a regular basis by its chief operating decision maker to assess performance and to allocate resources. In identifying its reportable segments, the Company also considers the nature of services provided by its operating segments. Management evaluates the operating results of each of its reportable segments based upon revenue and EBITDA, which is defined as net income (loss) before depreciation and amortization, interest (income) expense, net (other than Relocation Services interest for secured assets and obligations) and income taxes, each of which is presented in the Company's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company's presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similar measures used by other companies.

	Revenues ^(a)			
	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,	September 30,
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Real Estate Franchise Services	\$ 151	\$ 138	\$ 429	\$ 433
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	841	762	2,312	2,319
Relocation Services	126	122	323	304
Title and Settlement Services	95	84	268	235
Corporate and Other ^(b)	(58)	(54)	(167)	(167)
Total Company	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,052	\$ 3,165	\$ 3,124

- (a) Transactions between segments are eliminated in consolidation. Revenues for the Real Estate Franchise Services segment include intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$58 million and \$167 million for the three and nine months ended

Table of Contents

September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$54 million and \$167 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are eliminated through the Corporate and Other line. Revenues for the Relocation Services segment include \$11 million and \$29 million of intercompany referral and relocation fees paid by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$12 million and \$29 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. Such amounts are recorded as contra-revenues by the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment. There are no other material inter-segment transactions.

- (b) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments.

	EBITDA			
	Three Months Ended September 30, ^(a)		Nine Months Ended September 30, ^(b)	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Real Estate Franchise Services	\$ 92	\$ 90	\$ 251	\$ 278
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	47	31	58	81
Relocation Services	50	51	92	82
Title and Settlement Services	8	8	22	14
Corporate and Other	(10)	(3)	(60)	277
Total Company	187	177	363	732
Less:				
Depreciation and amortization	46	49	139	148
Interest expense, net	159	151	499	458
Income tax expense	10	10	12	134
Net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy	\$ (28)	\$ (33)	\$ (287)	\$ (8)

- (a) Includes \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$3 million of former parent legacy items for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$2 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$6 million of former parent legacy items for the three months ended September 30, 2010.
- (b) Includes \$8 million of restructuring costs and \$36 million related to loss on the early extinguishment of debt, partially offset by a net benefit of \$17 million of former parent legacy items for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$12 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$315 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents**12. GUARANTOR/NON-GUARANTOR SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

The following consolidating financial information presents the Consolidating Balance Sheets and Consolidating Statements of Operations and Cash Flows for: (i) Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings); (ii) its direct wholly owned subsidiary Domus Intermediate Holdings Corp. (Intermediate); (iii) its indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Realogy Corporation (Realogy); (iv) the guarantor subsidiaries of Realogy; (v) the non-guarantor subsidiaries of Realogy; (vi) elimination entries necessary to consolidate Holdings, Intermediate, Realogy and the guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries; and (vii) the Company on a consolidated basis. The guarantor subsidiaries of Realogy are comprised of 100% owned entities. Guarantor and non-guarantor subsidiaries are 100% owned by Realogy, either directly or indirectly. Non-guarantor entities are comprised of securitization entities, foreign subsidiaries, unconsolidated entities, insurance underwriter subsidiaries and qualified foreign holding corporations. The guarantor and non-guarantor financial information is prepared using the same basis of accounting as the consolidated financial statements except for the investments in consolidated subsidiaries which are accounted for using the equity method.

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**Three Months Ended September 30, 2011****(In millions)**

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues							
Gross commission income	\$	\$	\$	\$ 831	\$	\$	\$ 831
Service revenue				140	71		211
Franchise fees				73			73
Other				38	2		40
Net revenues				1,082	73		1,155
Expenses							
Commission and other agent-related costs				547			547
Operating				273	51		324
Marketing				45			45
General and administrative			14	45	3		62
Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net			(3)				(3)
Restructuring costs				3			3
Depreciation and amortization			2	44			46
Interest expense/(income), net			158	1			159
Intercompany transactions			1	(1)			
Total expenses			172	957	54		1,183
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests							
			(172)	125	19		(28)
Income tax expense (benefit)			(55)	55	10		10
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities					(11)		(11)
Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	28	28	(89)	(19)		52	
Net income (loss)	(28)	(28)	(28)	89	20	(52)	(27)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests					(1)		(1)
Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy							
	\$ (28)	\$ (28)	\$ (28)	\$ 89	\$ 19	\$ (52)	\$ (28)

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****Three Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In millions)**

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues							
Gross commission income	\$	\$	\$	\$ 751	\$	\$	\$ 751
Service revenue				139	58		197
Franchise fees				67			67
Other				37			37
Net revenues				994	58		1,052
Expenses							
Commission and other agent-related costs				490			490
Operating				279	36		315
Marketing				41	1		42
General and administrative			8	34	3		45
Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net			(6)				(6)
Restructuring costs				2			2
Depreciation and amortization			2	47			49
Interest expense/(income), net			149	2			151
Intercompany transactions			1	(1)			
Total expenses			154	894	40		1,088
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests							
			(154)	100	18		(36)
Income tax expense (benefit)			(46)	45	11		10
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities					(13)		(13)
Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	33	33	(75)	(20)		29	
Net income (loss)	(33)	(33)	(33)	75	20	(29)	(33)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests							
Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy	\$ (33)	\$ (33)	\$ (33)	\$ 75	\$ 20	\$ (29)	\$ (33)

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations**

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues							
Gross commission income	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,279	\$	\$	\$ 2,279
Service revenue				375	192		567
Franchise fees				194			194
Other				120	5		125
Net revenues				2,968	197		3,165
Expenses							
Commission and other agent-related costs				1,498			1,498
Operating				821	138		959
Marketing				141	1		142
General and administrative			41	137	11		189
Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net			(17)				(17)
Restructuring costs				8			8
Depreciation and amortization			7	132			139
Interest expense/(income), net			495	4			499
Loss on the early extinguishment of debt			36				36
Intercompany transactions			3	(3)			
Total expenses			565	2,738	150		3,453
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests							
			(565)	230	47		(288)
Income tax expense (benefit)			(101)	94	19		12
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities					(15)		(15)
Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	287	287	(177)	(41)		(356)	
Net income (loss)	(287)	(287)	(287)	177	43	356	(285)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests					(2)		(2)
Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy							
	\$ (287)	\$ (287)	\$ (287)	\$ 177	\$ 41	\$ 356	\$ (287)

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In millions)**

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenues							
Gross commission income	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,280	\$	\$	\$ 2,280
Service revenue				367	151		518
Franchise fees				203			203
Other				121	2		123
Net revenues				2,971	153		3,124
Expenses							
Commission and other agent-related costs				1,479			1,479
Operating				818	107		925
Marketing				136	2		138
General and administrative			38	134	8		180
Former parent legacy costs (benefit), net			(315)				(315)
Restructuring costs				12			12
Depreciation and amortization			6	141	1		148
Interest expense/(income), net			453	5			458
Other (income)/expense, net			(1)	(5)			(6)
Intercompany transactions			4	(4)			
Total expenses			185	2,716	118		3,019
Income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests							
			(185)	255	35		105
Income tax expense (benefit)			(208)	321	21		134
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities					(22)		(22)
Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries	8	8	31	(35)		(12)	
Net income (loss)	(8)	(8)	(8)	(31)	36	12	(7)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests					(1)		(1)
Net income (loss) attributable to Holdings and Realogy							
	\$ (8)	\$ (8)	\$ (8)	\$ (31)	\$ 35	\$ 12	\$ (8)

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As of September 30, 2011

(In millions)

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 3	\$ 33	\$ 68	\$ (2)	\$ 102
Trade receivables, net				90	52		142
Relocation receivables				24	425		449
Relocation properties held for sale				17			17
Deferred income taxes			5	63	(2)		66
Intercompany note receivable				46	19	(65)	
Other current assets			10	62	20	(1)	91
Total current assets			18	335	582	(68)	867
Property and equipment, net			19	147	3		169
Goodwill				2,613			2,613
Trademarks				732			732
Franchise agreements, net				2,858			2,858
Other intangibles, net				450			450
Other non-current assets			72	84	52		208
Investment in subsidiaries	(1,344)	(1,344)	8,189	187		(5,688)	
Total assets	\$ (1,344)	\$ (1,344)	\$ 8,298	\$ 7,406	\$ 637	\$ (5,756)	\$ 7,897
Liabilities and Equity (Deficit)							
Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	\$	\$ 8	\$ 136	\$ 14	\$ (3)	\$ 155
Securitization obligations					332		332
Intercompany note payable				19	46	(65)	
Due to former parent			78				78
Revolving credit facilities and current portion of long-term debt			142	50	8		200
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities			285	300	36		621
Intercompany payables			2,127	(2,119)	(8)		
Total current liabilities			2,640	(1,614)	428	(68)	1,386
Long-term debt			6,827				6,827
Deferred income taxes			(617)	1,503	(1)		885
Other non-current liabilities			66	54	23		143
Intercompany liabilities			726	(726)			
Total liabilities			9,642	(783)	450	(68)	9,241
Total equity (deficit)	(1,344)	(1,344)	(1,344)	8,189	187	(5,688)	(1,344)
Total liabilities and equity (deficit)	\$ (1,344)	\$ (1,344)	\$ 8,298	\$ 7,406	\$ 637	\$ (5,756)	\$ 7,897

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet**

As of December 31, 2010

(In millions)

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets							
Current assets:							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	\$	\$ 69	\$ 74	\$ 51	\$ (2)	\$ 192
Trade receivables, net				79	35		114
Relocation receivables					386		386
Relocation properties held for sale				21			21
Deferred income taxes			15	63	(2)		76
Intercompany note receivable				13	19	(32)	
Other current assets			9	69	31		109
Total current assets			93	319	520	(34)	898
Property and equipment, net			21	162	3		186
Goodwill				2,611			2,611
Trademarks				732			732
Franchise agreements, net				2,909			2,909
Other intangibles, net				478			478
Other non-current assets			80	83	52		215
Investment in subsidiaries	(1,072)	(1,072)	8,014	152		(6,022)	
Total assets	\$ (1,072)	\$ (1,072)	\$ 8,208	\$ 7,446	\$ 575	\$ (6,056)	\$ 8,029
Liabilities and Equity (Deficit)							
Current liabilities:							
Accounts payable	\$	\$	\$ 25	\$ 168	\$ 12	\$ (2)	\$ 203
Securitization obligations					331		331
Intercompany note payable				19	13	(32)	
Due to former parent			104				104
Revolving credit facilities and current portion of long-term debt			132	55	7		194
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities			178	316	31		525
Intercompany payables			1,949	(1,962)	13		
Total current liabilities			2,388	(1,404)	407	(34)	1,357
Long-term debt			6,698				6,698
Deferred income taxes			(614)	1,497			883
Other non-current liabilities			86	61	16		163
Intercompany liabilities			722	(722)			
Total liabilities			9,280	(568)	423	(34)	9,101
Total equity (deficit)	(1,072)	(1,072)	(1,072)	8,014	152	(6,022)	(1,072)
Total liabilities and equity (deficit)	\$ (1,072)	\$ (1,072)	\$ 8,208	\$ 7,446	\$ 575	\$ (6,056)	\$ 8,029

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011****(In millions)**

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	\$	\$ (423)	\$ 296	\$ 4	\$ (6)	\$ (129)
Investing activities							
Property and equipment additions			(4)	(32)	(1)		(37)
Net assets acquired (net of cash acquired) and acquisition-related payments				(5)			(5)
Proceeds from certificates of deposits, net					9		9
Change in restricted cash					2		2
Intercompany note receivable				(33)		33	
Other, net				(5)			(5)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			(4)	(75)	10	33	(36)
Financing activities							
Net change in revolving credit facilities			25	(5)			20
Proceeds from issuance of First and a Half Lien Notes			700				700
Proceeds from term loan extension			98				98
Repayments of term loan credit facility			(705)				(705)
Net change in securitization obligations					1		1
Debt issuance costs			(34)				(34)
Intercompany dividend					(6)	6	
Intercompany note payable					33	(33)	
Intercompany transactions			278	(250)	(28)		
Other, net			(1)	(7)	3		(5)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities			361	(262)	3	(27)	75
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents							
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents			(66)	(41)	17		(90)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period			69	74	51	(2)	192
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$	\$ 3	\$ 33	\$ 68	\$ (2)	\$ 102

Table of Contents**Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows****Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010****(In millions)**

	Holdings	Intermediate	Realogy	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$	\$	\$ (449)	\$ 370	\$ (17)	\$ (6)	\$ (102)
Investing activities							
Property and equipment additions			(4)	(28)	(1)		(33)
Net assets acquired (net of cash acquired) and acquisition related payments				(2)			(2)
Net proceeds from sale of assets				5			5
Purchases of certificates of deposit					(10)		(10)
Change in restricted cash					4		4
Intercompany note receivable				(8)		8	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			(4)	(33)	(7)	8	(36)
Financing activities							
Net change in revolving credit facilities			75	35	7		117
Repayments of term loan credit facility			(24)				(24)
Net change in securitization obligations					34		34
Intercompany dividend					(6)	6	
Intercompany note payable					8	(8)	
Intercompany transactions			353	(341)	(12)		
Other, net			(1)	(6)	(2)		(9)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities			403	(312)	29	(2)	118
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents			(50)	25	5		(20)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period			194	24	42	(5)	255
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$	\$ 144	\$ 49	\$ 47	\$ (5)	\$ 235

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes thereto included elsewhere herein and with our Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements and accompanying Notes included in the June 2011 Final Prospectus. Unless otherwise noted, all dollar amounts in tables are in millions. Holdings, the indirect parent of Realogy, does not conduct any operations other than with respect to its indirect ownership of Realogy. All expenses incurred by Holdings and Intermediate are for the benefit of Realogy and have been reflected in Realogy's consolidated financial statements. All issuances of Holdings equity securities, including grants of stock options and restricted stock by Holdings to employees and directors of Realogy and its subsidiaries have been reflected in Realogy's condensed consolidated financial statements. As a result, the condensed consolidated financial positions, results of operations and cash flows of Holdings, Intermediate and Realogy are the same. This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors in this report and Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors in our June 2011 Final Prospectus for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements. Actual results may differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

We are a global provider of real estate and relocation services and report our operations in the following four segments:

Real Estate Franchise Services (known as Realogy Franchise Group or RFG) franchises the Century 21, Coldwell Banker®, ERA®, Sotheby's International Realty®, Coldwell Banker Commercial® and Better Homes and Gardens® Real Estate brand names. As of September 30, 2011, we had approximately 14,300 franchised and company owned offices and 253,000 independent sales associates operating under our brands in the U.S. and 100 other countries and territories around the world, which included approximately 730 of our company owned and operated brokerage offices with approximately 43,200 independent sales associates.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services (known as NRT) operates a full-service real estate brokerage business principally under the Coldwell Banker®, ERA®, Corcoran Group® and Sotheby's International Realty® brand names. In addition, we operate a large independent real estate owned (REO) residential asset manager, which focuses on bank-owned properties.

Relocation Services (known as Cartus) primarily offers clients employee relocation services such as homesale assistance, home finding and other destination services, expense processing, relocation policy counseling and other consulting services, arranging household goods moving services, visa and immigration support, intercultural and language training, and group move management services.

Title and Settlement Services (known as Title Resource Group or TRG) provides full-service title, settlement and vendor management services to real estate companies, affinity groups, corporations and financial institutions with many of these services provided in connection with the Company's real estate brokerage and relocation services business.

July 2006 Separation from Cendant

Realogy was incorporated on January 27, 2006 to facilitate a plan by Cendant Corporation (now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc.) to separate into four independent companies one for each of Cendant's business units real estate services or Realogy, travel distribution services (Travelport), hospitality services, including timeshare resorts (Wyndham Worldwide), and vehicle rental (Avis Budget Group). On July 31, 2006, the separation (Separation) from Cendant became effective.

Before the Separation, Realogy entered into a Separation and Distribution Agreement, a Tax Sharing Agreement and several other agreements with Cendant and Cendant's other businesses to effect the separation

Table of Contents

and distribution and provide a framework for Realogy's relationships with Cendant and Cendant's other businesses after the Separation. These agreements govern the relationships among Realogy, Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport subsequent to the completion of the separation plan and provided for the allocation among Realogy, Cendant, Wyndham Worldwide and Travelport of Cendant's assets, liabilities and obligations attributable to periods prior to the Separation.

April 2007 Merger Agreement with Affiliates of Apollo

On December 15, 2006, Realogy entered into an agreement and plan of merger with Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings) and Domus Acquisition Corp. which are affiliates of Apollo Management VI, L.P., an entity affiliated with Apollo Global Management, LLC. Under the merger agreement, Holdings acquired the outstanding shares of Realogy pursuant to the merger of Domus Acquisition Corp. with and into Realogy, with Realogy being the surviving entity (the Merger). The Merger was consummated on April 10, 2007. All of Realogy's issued and outstanding common stock is currently owned by Intermediate, which is a direct wholly owned subsidiary of Holdings.

Realogy incurred substantial indebtedness in connection with the Merger, the aggregate proceeds of which were used to pay the aggregate merger consideration, repay a portion of Realogy's then outstanding indebtedness and pay fees and expenses related to the Merger. Specifically, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility, issued unsecured notes and refinanced the credit facilities governing Realogy's relocation securitization programs (the Merger and the related financing transactions being referred to as the Merger Transactions). In addition, investment funds affiliated with, or co-investment vehicles managed by, Apollo Management VI, L.P. or one of its affiliates (together with Apollo Global Management, LLC and its subsidiaries, Apollo), as well as members of management who purchased Holdings common stock with cash or through rollover equity, contributed \$2,001 million to Realogy to complete the Merger Transactions, which was treated as a contribution to Realogy's equity. Holdings common stock is currently owned or controlled solely by Apollo, although others parties own Convertible Notes that may be converted into Holdings common stock.

Refinancing Transactions

In January and February of 2011, Realogy completed a series of transactions, referred to herein as the Refinancing Transactions, to refinance both its secured and unsecured indebtedness. The Refinancing Transactions, among other things, have:

extended the maturities on more than 90% of Realogy's Existing Notes by at least three years;

provided a mechanism for a potential deleveraging of the Company's debt through the issuance of \$2.1 billion aggregate principal amount of Convertible Notes that mature in 2018 and that are convertible at any time, at the holder's option, into Class A Common Stock of Holdings;

extended the maturities of a significant portion of its first lien senior secured indebtedness from 2013 to 2016 (including 79% of its \$3.1 billion term loan facility);

replaced \$700 million of its first lien secured debt with secured indebtedness due in 2019 that is not included in the numerator of its senior secured leverage ratio, thereby significantly improving the Company's operating cushion under such ratio and mitigating concerns regarding the Company maintaining compliance with such ratio for at least the next twelve months; and

maintained access to \$650 million of borrowing under its senior secured revolving credit facilities.

Our annual cash interest will increase as a result of the Refinancing Transactions due to an increase in interest expense under our Senior Secured Credit Facility as well as an increase in the interest rate on the \$700 million of First and a Half Lien Notes compared to the first lien secured debt. For additional information related to the Refinancing Transactions, see Financial Condition, Liquidity and Capital Resources Financial Obligations .

Table of Contents

Industry Trends

Our businesses compete primarily in the domestic residential real estate market. This market is cyclical in nature and although it has shown strong growth over several decades, it has been in a significant and prolonged downturn, which initially began in the second half of 2005. Based upon data published by the National Association of Realtors (NAR) from 2005 to 2010, the number of annual U.S. existing homesale units has declined by 31% and the median price has declined by 21%.

In response to the housing downturn, the U.S. government implemented certain actions during the past several years to assist in the stabilization and/or recovery of the residential real estate market. These measures have included: (1) the placement of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in conservatorship in September 2008 and the funding of billions to these entities to backstop shortfalls in their capital requirements; (2) the establishment, and subsequent expansion and extension, of a federal homebuyer tax credit for qualified buyers (that, as extended, required signed contracts on or before April 30, 2010 and completion by September 2010); (3) as part of a broader plan to bring stability to credit markets and stimulate the housing market, the purchase of mortgage-backed securities by the Federal Reserve in an attempt to maintain low mortgage rates (the first phase which ended on March 31, 2010 and the second phase which ended on June 30, 2011); (4) the continuation of the 2008 higher loan limits for FHA, Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae loans, which expired on September 30, 2011; and (5) the availability of low-cost refinancing through Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac to certain homeowners negatively impacted by falling home prices, as well as, encouraging lenders through government financial incentives to modify loan terms with borrowers at risk of foreclosure or already in foreclosure. We believe these governmental actions have provided some stabilization to the residential real estate market.

Interest rates continue to be at low levels by historical standards, which we believe has helped to provide some stability in the residential real estate market and partially mitigate some of the decline in homesale transactions. According to Freddie Mac, interest rates on commitments for fixed-rate first mortgages have decreased from 5.3% in December 2008 to 4.1% in September 2011. Offsetting some of the favorable impact of lower interest rates are conservative mortgage underwriting standards, increased down payment requirements and homeowners having limited or negative equity in homes in certain markets.

According to Corelogic's September 2011 press release, there are 1.7 million homes that are in some stage of foreclosure in the U.S. This magnitude of so-called shadow inventory could, were it to be released into the market, adversely impact home prices in local markets, while potentially increasing unit sales activity. Furthermore, Corelogic estimates that 10.9 million homes have negative equity, as the mortgages on such properties exceed the estimated fair market value of the homes. Utilizing 2010 Census data, the 10.9 million homes with negative equity represent 14% of all owner-occupied homes in the U.S. More than half of the homes with negative equity are located in just six states (AZ, CA, FL, GA, MI, NV) and, as a result, sales activity in these states could experience a slower pace of sales compared to the rest of the country, as homeowners may be reluctant to sell their residences at a loss.

According to NAR, the inventory of existing homes for sale is 3.5 million homes at September 2011 compared to 3.6 million homes at December 2010. The September 2011 inventory level represents a seasonally adjusted 8.5 months supply which is up from 8.2 months supply as of December 2010. The supply remains higher than the historical average and could increase due to the release of homes for sale by financial institutions. These factors could continue to add downward pressure on the price of existing homesales.

Recent Legislative and Regulatory Matters

Dodd-Frank Act. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law for the express purpose of regulating the financial services industry. The Dodd-Frank Act establishes an independent federal bureau of consumer financial protection to enforce laws involving consumer financial products and services, including mortgage finance. The bureau is empowered with examination and enforcement authority. The Dodd-Frank Act

Table of Contents

also establishes new standards and practices for mortgage originators, including determining a prospective borrower's ability to repay their mortgage, removing incentives for higher cost mortgages, prohibiting prepayment penalties for non-qualified mortgages, prohibiting mandatory arbitration clauses, requiring additional disclosures to potential borrowers and restricting the fees that mortgage originators may collect. While we are continuing to evaluate all aspects of the Dodd-Frank Act, such legislation and regulations promulgated pursuant to such legislation as well as other legislation that may be enacted to reform the U.S. housing finance market could materially and adversely affect the mortgage and housing industries, result in heightened federal regulation and oversight of the mortgage and housing industries, disrupt mortgage availability, increase down payment requirements, increase mortgage costs and result in potential litigation for housing market participants.

Certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the operation and practices of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and other government sponsored entities, or GSEs, and require sponsors of securitizations to retain a portion of the economic interest in the credit risk associated with the assets securitized by them. Substantial reduction in, or the elimination of, GSE demand for mortgage loans by reducing qualifying mortgages could have a material adverse effect on the mortgage industry and the housing industry in general and these provisions may reduce the availability or increase the cost of mortgages to certain individuals.

Potential Reform of the U.S. Housing Finance Market and Potential Wind-down of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae. On February 11, 2011, the Obama Administration issued a report to the U.S. Congress outlining proposals to reform the U.S. housing finance market, including, among other things, reform designed to reduce government support for housing finance and the winding down of Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae over a period of years. Numerous pieces of legislation seeking various types of reform for the GSEs have been introduced in Congress. Two significant questions that need to be addressed in any such reform are: (1) will banks and other private sources of capital be able to fill homebuyers' needs as the government seeks to pull back some of the housing mortgage market support and (2) will these other sources of capital be available at rates which are reasonably attractive to potential homebuyers. Legislation, if enacted, which curtails Freddie Mac and/or Fannie Mae's activities and/or results in the wind down of these entities could increase mortgage costs and could result in more stringent underwriting guidelines imposed by lenders, either of which could have a materially adverse effect on the housing market in general and our operations in particular. Given the current uncertainty with respect to the extent, if any, of such reform, it is difficult to predict either the long-term or short-term impact of government action that may be taken.

Mortgage Interest Deduction. Certain lawmakers are looking into a variety of tax law changes in order to achieve additional tax revenues and reduce the federal deficit. One possible change would reduce the amount certain taxpayers would be allowed to deduct for home mortgage interest and possibly limit the deduction to one's primary residence. Any reduction in the mortgage interest deduction could have an adverse effect on the housing market by reducing incentives for buying homes and could negatively affect property values.

We believe that long-term demand for housing and the growth of our industry is primarily driven by affordability, the economic health of the domestic economy, positive demographic trends such as population growth, increasing household formation, interest rate trends and locally based dynamics such as employment levels and housing demand relative to housing supply. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery. Factors that may negatively affect a housing recovery include:

higher mortgage rates as well as reduced availability of mortgage financing;

lower unit sales, due to the reluctance of first time homebuyers to purchase due to concerns about investing in a home and move-up buyers having limited or negative equity in homes;

lower average homesale price, particularly if banks and other mortgage servicers liquidate foreclosed properties that they are currently holding in certain concentrated affected markets;

Table of Contents

continuing high levels of unemployment and associated lack of consumer confidence;

unsustainable economic recovery in the U.S. or a weak recovery resulting in only modest economic growth;

a lack of stability or improvement in home ownership levels in the U.S.; and

legislative or regulatory reform, including but not limited to reform that adversely impacts the financing of the U.S. housing market or amends the Internal Revenue Code in a manner that negatively impacts home ownership such as reform that reduces the amount that certain taxpayers would be allowed to deduct for home mortgage interest.

Consequently, we cannot predict when the residential real estate industry will return to a period of sustainable growth. Moreover, if the residential real estate market or the economy as a whole does not improve, we may experience further adverse effects on our business, financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to access capital.

Many of the trends impacting our businesses that derive revenue from homesales also impact our Relocation Services business, which is a global provider of outsourced employee relocation services. In addition to general residential housing trends, key drivers of our Relocation Services business are corporate spending and employment trends which have shown signs of stabilization; however, there can be no assurance that corporate spending on relocation services will return to previous levels following any economic recovery.

Homesales

There was an unusual pattern of activity in 2010 which creates atypical year over year quarterly comparisons in 2011. The number of homesale transactions in the second quarter of 2010 was positively impacted as a result of the homebuyer tax credit and, as a result, the first half of 2011 does not present a positive comparison. In addition, the second half of 2010 was weak which we believe was due to the pull-forward of homesales into the second quarter of 2010 due to the expiration of the tax credit combined with weak economic conditions, tight mortgage underwriting standards and high unemployment. As a result of the fluctuations in homesale activity in 2010, the year over year quarterly comparisons in the third quarter of 2011 were favorable with RFG and NRT homesales increasing 10% and 16%, respectively.

The year over year improvement in homesales for 2011 forecasted by NAR and Fannie Mae has been reduced as 2011 progressed due to the continued weak economic conditions, tight mortgage underwriting standards and high unemployment. For example, in March 2011, NAR estimated that homesale transactions would increase by 8% for 2011 compared to 2010, however, by its October 2011 forecast, NAR lowered the estimate so that the increase was only 1% for 2011 compared to 2010. The decrease in the forecast reflects the difficulty of determining the direction of the housing market given the current macroeconomic conditions.

	2011 vs. 2010					
	Full Year 2010 vs. 2009	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter Forecast	Full Year 2011 vs. 2010 Forecast
Number of Homesales						
<i>Industry</i>						
NAR ^(a)	(5%)	(1%)	(12%)	17%	5%	1%
Fannie Mae ^(a)	(5%)	(1%)	(13%)	17%	2%	1%
<i>Realogy</i>						
Real Estate Franchise Services	(6%)	(4%)	(13%)	10%		
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	(7%)	(3%)	(13%)	16%		

(a) Existing homesale data is as of the most recent NAR and Fannie Mae press release.

Table of Contents

Existing homesale transactions were reported by NAR to be 4.9 million homes for 2010 compared to 5.2 million homes in 2009. NAR estimates that existing homesale transactions for 2011 will increase slightly to 5.0 million homes.

As of their most recent releases, NAR and Fannie Mae are forecasting an increase of 4% and 1%, respectively, in existing homesale transactions for 2012 compared to 2011.

Homesale Price

In 2010, the percentage increase in the average price of homes brokered by our franchisees and company owned offices significantly outperformed the percentage change in median home price reported by NAR, due to the geographic areas they serve, as well as, a greater impact from increased activity in the mid and higher price point areas and less REO activity in our company owned offices compared to the prior year. This trend continued in 2011 with RFG homesale price up 1% and NRT homesale price flat for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 which significantly outperformed the NAR forecast of down approximately 4%. We believe that one significant reason, other than our geographic footprint, that accounts for the difference between our average homesale price and the median homesale price of NAR is due to the high level of distressed sales included in NAR's data. In the third quarter of 2011, the average home price of homes transacted by NRT was down 5% compared to the same period in 2010. The decline was due to a shift in the mix of business to more lower priced properties compared to higher priced properties as we believe first time buyers appear to be more active in the market.

For the full year 2011, NAR and Fannie Mae are forecasting median price to be down compared to 2010 with a decrease of 4%, again reflecting the impact of distressed sales activity on the residential real estate market as a whole.

	2011 vs. 2010					
	Full Year 2010 vs. 2009	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter Forecast	Full Year 2011 vs. 2010 Forecast
Homesales Price						
<i>Industry (median)</i>						
NAR ^(a)	%	(5%)	(4%)	(4%)	(3%)	(4%)
Fannie Mae ^(a)	%	(5%)	(4%)	(3%)	(3%)	(4%)
<i>Realty (average)</i>						
Real Estate Franchise Services	4%	3%	2%	(1%)		
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	11%	(1%)	5%	(5%)		

(a) Existing homesale price data is as of the most recent NAR and Fannie Mae press release.

As of their most recent releases, NAR is forecasting an increase of 3% in median homesale prices for 2012 compared to 2011, while Fannie Mae is forecasting a decrease of 1% in median homesale prices for 2012 compared to 2011.

While data provided by NAR and Fannie Mae are two indicators of the direction of the residential housing market, we believe that homesale statistics will continue to vary between us and NAR and Fannie Mae because they use survey data in their historical reports and forecasting models whereas we use data based on actual reported results. In addition to the differences in calculation methodologies, there are geographical differences and concentrations in the markets in which we operate versus the national market. For instance, comparability is impaired due to NAR's utilization of seasonally adjusted annualized rates whereas we report actual period over

Table of Contents

period changes and their use of median price for their forecasts compared to our average price. Additionally, NAR data is subject to periodic review and revision. NAR issued a press release earlier this year disclosing that it is engaged in a review of its sampling and methodology processes with respect to existing homesale data to ensure accuracy. NAR expects to issue revised data shortly that will result in a downward revision to sales volume but they expect that these revisions will not affect median prices and there will be no notable change to NAR's previous characterizations of the market in terms of sales trends. These revisions will have no impact on our reported financial results or key business driver information. While we believe that the industry data presented herein are derived from the most widely recognized sources for reporting U.S. residential housing market statistical data, we do not endorse or suggest reliance on this data alone. We also note that forecasts are inherently uncertain or speculative in nature and actual results for any period may materially differ.

Housing Affordability Index

According to NAR, the housing affordability index has continued to improve as a result of the homesale price declines which began in 2007. An index above 100 signifies that a family earning the median income has more than enough income to qualify for a mortgage loan on a median-priced home, assuming a 20 percent down payment. The housing affordability index improved to 174 for 2010 compared to 169 for 2009 and 138 for 2008. The August 2011 index of 184 increased from the 2010 index and the overall improvement in this index could favorably impact a housing recovery.

Other Factors

Due to the prolonged downturn in the residential real estate market, a significant number of franchisees have experienced operating difficulties. As a result, many of our franchisees with multiple offices have reduced overhead and consolidated offices in an attempt to remain competitive in the marketplace. In addition, we have had to terminate franchisees due to non-reporting and non-payment which could adversely impact transaction volumes in the future. Due to the factors noted above, we significantly increased our bad debt and note reserves in prior years and continue to actively monitor the collectability of receivables and notes from our franchisees.

Key Drivers of Our Businesses

Within our Real Estate Franchise Services segment and our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment, we measure operating performance using the following key operating statistics: (i) closed homesale sides, which represents either the buy side or the sell side of a homesale transaction, (ii) average homesale price, which represents the average selling price of closed homesale transactions and (iii) average homesale broker commission rate, which represents the average commission rate earned on either the buy side or sell side of a homesale transaction. Our Real Estate Franchise Services segment is also impacted by the net effective royalty rate which represents the average percentage of our franchisees' commission revenues payable to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment, net of volume incentives achieved. The net effective royalty rate does not include the effect of non-standard incentives granted to some franchisees.

Prior to 2006, the average homesale broker commission rate was declining several basis points per year, the effect of which was more than offset by increases in homesale prices. From 2007 through the third quarter of 2011, the average broker commission rate remained fairly stable; however, we expect that, over the long term, the modestly declining trend in average brokerage commission rates will continue.

The net effective royalty rate has been declining over the past three years. We would expect that, over the near future, the net effective royalty rate will continue to modestly decline due to an increased concentration of business in larger franchisees which earn higher volume rebates as well as the Company's focus on strategic growth through relationships with larger established real estate companies. The net effective rate can also be affected by a shift in volume amongst our brands which operate under different royalty rate arrangements.

Table of Contents

Our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment has a significant concentration of real estate brokerage offices and transactions in geographic regions where home prices are at the higher end of the U.S. real estate market, particularly the east and west coasts, while our Real Estate Franchise Services segment has franchised offices that are more widely dispersed across the United States. Accordingly, operating results and homesale statistics may differ between our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment and our Real Estate Franchise Services segment based upon geographic presence and the corresponding homesale activity in each geographic region.

Within our Relocation Services segment, we measure operating performance using the following key operating statistics: (i) initiations, which represent the total number of transferees we serve and (ii) referrals, which represent the number of referrals from which we earn revenue from real estate brokers. In our Title and Settlement Services segment, operating performance is evaluated using the following key metrics:

(i) purchase title and closing units, which represent the number of title and closing units we process as a result of home purchases, (ii) refinance title and closing units, which represent the number of title and closing units we process as a result of homeowners refinancing their home loans, and (iii) average price per closing unit, which represents the average fee we earn on purchase title and refinancing title sides.

The decline in the number of homesale transactions and the decline in homesale prices has and could continue to adversely affect our results of operations by: (i) reducing the royalties we receive from our franchisees and company owned brokerages, (ii) reducing the commissions our company owned brokerage operations earn, (iii) reducing the demand for our title and settlement services, (iv) reducing the referral fees we earn in our relocation services business, and (v) increase the risk of franchisee default due to lower homesale volume. Our results could also be negatively affected by a decline in commission rates charged by brokers.

The following table presents our drivers for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. See Results of Operations for a discussion as to how the key drivers affected our business for the periods presented.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	% Change
Real Estate Franchise Services^(a)						
Closed homesale sides	252,991	229,241	10%	688,679	711,061	(3%)
Average homesale price	\$ 200,987	\$ 202,272	(1%)	\$ 199,422	\$ 196,641	1%
Average homesale broker commission rate	2.56%	2.53%	3 bps	2.55%	2.54%	1 bps
Net effective royalty rate	4.88%	4.95%	(7 bps)	4.86%	5.01%	(15 bps)
Royalty per side	\$ 261	\$ 267	(2%)	\$ 257	\$ 261	(2%)
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services						
Closed homesale sides	71,167	61,092	16%	195,428	197,207	(1%)
Average homesale price	\$ 433,003	\$ 457,782	(5%)	\$ 432,758	\$ 432,996	%
Average homesale broker commission rate	2.49%	2.47%	2 bps	2.49%	2.48%	1 bps
Gross commission income per side	\$ 11,620	\$ 12,209	(5%)	\$ 11,623	\$ 11,522	1%
Relocation Services						
Initiations	37,540	36,743	2%	119,081	115,361	3%
Referrals	22,254	19,625	13%	55,349	53,504	3%
Title and Settlement Services						
Purchase title and closing units	26,128	22,963	14%	71,318	73,042	(2%)
Refinance title and closing units	14,234	17,546	(19%)	41,900	39,860	5%
Average price per closing unit	\$ 1,446	\$ 1,381	5%	\$ 1,453	\$ 1,406	3%

(a) Includes all franchisees except for our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Discussed below are our condensed consolidated results of operations and the results of operations for each of our reportable segments. The reportable segments presented below represent our operating segments for which separate financial information is available and which is utilized on a regular basis by our chief operating decision maker to assess performance and to allocate resources. In identifying our reportable segments, we also consider the nature of services provided by our operating segments. Management evaluates the operating results of each of our reportable segments based upon revenue and EBITDA. Our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly-titled measures used by other companies. As discussed above under Industry Trends, our results of operations are significantly impacted by industry and economic factors that are beyond our control.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

Our consolidated results comprised the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change
Net revenues	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,052	\$ 103
Total expenses ⁽¹⁾	1,183	1,088	95
Net loss before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests	(28)	(36)	8
Income tax expense	10	10	
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	(11)	(13)	2
Net loss	(27)	(33)	6
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1)		(1)
Net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy	\$ (28)	\$ (33)	\$ 5

(1) Total expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2011 include \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$3 million of former parent legacy items. Total expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2010 include \$2 million of restructuring costs, offset by a net benefit of \$6 million of former parent legacy items.

Net revenues increased \$103 million (10%) for the third quarter of 2011 compared with the third quarter of 2010 principally due to increases in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment. This increase is primarily due to reduced homesale transactions in the third quarter of 2010 which we believe was due to the pull-forward of sales into the second quarter of 2010 as a result of the expiration of the 2010 tax credit. The Title and Settlement Services segment also reported an increase in revenues due to higher refinance and title insurance premiums and the Relocation Services segment revenues increased due to volume increases.

Total expenses increased \$95 million (9%) primarily driven by:

a \$57 million increase in commission expenses paid to real estate agents due to an increase in homesale transaction volume;

a \$9 million increase in operating expenses, primarily due to \$8 million related to volume increases in the Title and Settlement Services segment and a \$2 million increase in employee incentive costs, partially offset by a decrease of \$2 million in operating expenses at the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment due to restructuring and cost-saving activities; and

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

a \$17 million increase in general and administrative expenses due to an \$18 million increase in employee incentive costs primarily due to the prior year reversal of employee incentive accruals in the third quarter of 2010.

Table of Contents

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the loss before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was \$10 million. This expense included \$6 million for an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$4 million for foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions

Following is a more detailed discussion of the results of each of our reportable segments during the three months ended September 30:

	Revenues			EBITDA ^(b)			Margin		
	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	Change
Real Estate Franchise Services	\$ 151	\$ 138	9%	\$ 92	\$ 90	2%	61%	65%	(4)
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	841	762	10	47	31	52	6	4	2
Relocation Services	126	122	3	50	51	(2)	40	42	(2)
Title and Settlement Services	95	84	13	8	8		8	10	(2)
Corporate and Other ^(a)	(58)	(54)	*	(10)	(3)	*			
Total Company	\$ 1,155	\$ 1,052	10%	\$ 187	\$ 177	6%	16%	17%	(1)
Less: Depreciation and amortization				46	49				
Interest expense, net				159	151				
Income tax expense				10	10				
Net loss attributable to Holdings and Realty				\$ (28)	\$ (33)				

* not meaningful

(a) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments, which consists of intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$58 million and \$54 million during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and unallocated corporate overhead.

(b) Includes \$3 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$3 million of former parent legacy items for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$2 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$6 million of former parent legacy item for the three months ended September 30, 2010.

As described in the aforementioned table, EBITDA margin for Total Company expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased 1 percentage point for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010.

On a segment basis, the Real Estate Franchise Services segment margin decreased 4 percentage points to 61% from 65% in the comparable prior period due to an increase in employee related costs and higher legal expenses. The Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment margin increased 2 percentage points to 6% from 4% in the comparable prior period due to an increase in the number of homesale transactions and lower operating expenses. The Relocation Services segment margin decreased 2 percentage points to 40% from 42% in the comparable prior period primarily due to employee related costs. The Title and Settlement Services segment margin decreased 2 percentage points to 8% from 10% in the comparable prior period due to higher resale volume offset by lower refinancing volume and an increase in underwriting costs.

The Corporate and Other EBITDA for the three months ended September 30, 2011 decreased \$7 million to a negative \$10 million due to a lower benefit related to former parent legacy items of \$3 million in 2011 and a \$5 million increase in employee related costs.

Table of Contents

Real Estate Franchise Services

Revenues increased \$13 million to \$151 million and EBITDA increased \$2 million to \$92 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenue was driven by a \$5 million increase in third-party domestic franchisee royalty revenue due to a 10% increase in the number of homesale transactions, partially offset by a 1% decrease in the average homesale price and a lower net effective royalty rate as our larger affiliates are achieving higher volume levels.

The increase in revenue was also attributable to a \$5 million increase in royalties received from our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment which pays royalties to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment. These intercompany royalties of \$56 million and \$51 million during the third quarter of 2011 and 2010, respectively, are eliminated in consolidation. See **Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services** for a discussion of the drivers related to this period over period revenue decrease for Real Estate Franchise Services segment. In addition, marketing revenue and related marketing expenses increased \$3 million, respectively, compared to the same period in 2010.

The increase in EBITDA was principally due to the increase in revenues discussed above, partially offset by an increase in employee related expenses of \$5 million compared to the same period in the prior year and incremental expenses of \$2 million related to legal expenses.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services

Revenues increased \$79 million to \$841 million and EBITDA increased \$16 million to \$47 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues, excluding REO revenues, of \$81 million was due to increased commission income earned on homesale transactions which was primarily driven by a 16% increase in the number of homesale transactions, partially offset by a 5% decrease in the average price of homes sold. We believe the 16% increase in homesale transactions and 5% decrease in the average price of homes sold is reflective of industry trends in the markets we serve. The 5% decline in the average price for the third quarter of 2011 was due to a shift in the mix of business to more lower priced properties compared to higher priced properties as we believe first time buyers appear to be more active in the market. Separately, revenues from our REO asset management company decreased by \$2 million to \$6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to reduced inventory levels of foreclosed properties being made available for sale. Our REO operations facilitate the maintenance and sale of foreclosed homes on behalf of lenders.

EBITDA increased \$16 million due to the increase in revenues discussed above and a \$6 million decrease in operating expenses, net of inflation, primarily due to restructuring and cost-saving activities as well as reduced employee costs. The EBITDA increases were partially offset by:

a \$57 million increase in commission expenses paid to real estate agents as a result of the increase in revenues;

an increase of \$5 million in royalties paid to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment, principally as a result of the increase in revenues earned on homesale transactions; and

\$4 million of additional operating costs related to late 2010 acquisitions

Relocation Services

Revenues increased \$4 million to \$126 million while EBITDA decreased \$1 million to \$50 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

Table of Contents

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by \$5 million of incremental international revenue due to increased transaction volume.

EBITDA decreased \$1 million as a result of an increase in employee related expenses of \$5 million and an increase in international operating expense of \$3 million due to increased volume. The decreases were mostly offset by the increase in revenues discussed above and \$2 million related to favorable foreign exchange rate movement in the third quarter of 2011 compared to the third quarter of 2010.

Title and Settlement Services

Revenues increased \$11 million to \$95 million while EBITDA remained flat at \$8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a \$10 million increase in underwriter revenue and a \$4 million increase in resale volume, partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in volume from refinancing transactions. EBITDA remained flat as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above, offset by a net increase of \$10 million in variable operating costs as more of our business was generated by third-party title agents.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 vs. Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

Our consolidated results comprised the following:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change
Net revenues	\$ 3,165	\$ 3,124	\$ 41
Total expenses ⁽¹⁾	3,453	3,019	434
Net income (loss) before income taxes, equity in earnings and noncontrolling interests	(288)	105	(393)
Income tax expense	12	134	(122)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities	(15)	(22)	7
Net income (loss)	(285)	(7)	(278)
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(2)	(1)	(1)
Net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy	\$ (287)	\$ (8)	\$ (279)

- (1) Total expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 include \$8 million of restructuring costs and \$60 million related to the Refinancing Transactions, partially offset by a net benefit of \$17 million of former parent legacy items. Total expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 include \$12 million of restructuring costs offset by a net benefit of \$315 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments.

Net revenues increased \$41 million (1%) for the first nine months of 2011 compared with the first nine months of 2010 principally due to an increase in revenues for the Title and Settlement Services segment due to higher refinance and title insurance premiums and the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases. These increases were partially offset by decreases in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment as a result of the absence of the homebuyer tax credit in 2011.

Total expenses increased \$434 million (14%) primarily due to:

The absence of a net benefit of \$315 million of parent legacy items as a result of tax and other liability adjustments which occurred in 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$17 million of former parent legacy items in the first nine months of 2011;

Table of Contents

the impact of the Refinancing Transactions which resulted in a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as well as an increase in interest expense of \$17 million as a result of the de-designation of interest rate swaps and \$7 million due to the write-off of financing costs;

a \$47 million increase in operating, marketing and general and administrative expenses primarily due to \$30 million of employee retention accruals, an increase of \$35 million in operating costs as a result of volume increases in the Title and Settlement Services segment and the Relocation Services segment and a \$9 million increase in operating expenses in the Real Estate Franchise Service segment, primarily related to the international business conferences for all of our brands in 2011 and an increase in bad debt and note reserves, partially offset by a decrease of \$15 million in operating expenses at the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment due to restructuring and cost-saving activities as well as reduced employee costs; and

a \$19 million increase in commission expenses paid to real estate agents due to more experienced and productive agents completing a greater percentage of homesale transactions.

The Company's provision for income taxes in interim periods is computed by applying its estimated annual effective tax rate against the income (loss) before income taxes for the period. In addition, non-recurring or discrete items are recorded during the period in which they occur. No Federal income tax benefit was recognized for the current period loss due to the recognition of a full valuation allowance for domestic operations. Income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$12 million. This expense included \$12 million for an increase in deferred tax liabilities associated with indefinite-lived intangible assets and \$7 million was recognized for foreign and state income taxes for certain jurisdictions offset by a \$7 million benefit due to the de-designation of the interest rate swaps from an accounting perspective.

Following is a more detailed discussion of the results of each of our reportable segments during the nine months ended September 30:

	Revenues			EBITDA ^(b)			Margin		
	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	% Change	2011	2010	Change
Real Estate Franchise Services	\$ 429	\$ 433	(1%)	\$ 251	\$ 278	(9%)	59%	64%	(5)
Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services	2,312	2,319		58	81	(28)	3	3	
Relocation Services	323	304	6	92	82	12	28	27	1
Title and Settlement Services	268	235	14	22	14	57	8	6	2
Corporate and Other ^(a)	(167)	(167)	*	(60)	277	*			
Total Company	\$ 3,165	\$ 3,124	1%	\$ 363	\$ 732	(50%)	12%	23%	(11)
Less: Depreciation and amortization				139	148				
Interest expense, net ^(c)				499	458				
Income tax expense				12	134				
Net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy				\$ (287)	\$ (8)				

* not meaningful

- (a) Includes the elimination of transactions between segments, which consists of intercompany royalties and marketing fees paid by our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment of \$167 million and \$167 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and unallocated corporate overhead.
- (b) Includes \$8 million of restructuring costs and \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt, partially offset by a net benefit of \$17 million of former parent legacy items for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$12 million of restructuring costs, offset by a net benefit of \$315 million of former parent legacy items primarily as a result of tax and other liability adjustments for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

(c) Includes \$24 million of higher interest expense in 2011 of which \$17 million was a result of the de-designation of interest rate swaps from an accounting perspective and \$7 million was due to the write-off of financing costs as a result of the Refinancing Transactions. As described in the aforementioned table, EBITDA margin for Total Company expressed as a percentage of revenues decreased 11 percentage points for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to a net benefit of \$315 million of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments in the first nine months of 2010 compared to a net benefit of \$17 million of former parent legacy items for the same period in 2011. In addition, there was a decrease in current year EBITDA due to a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as well as a decrease in homesale transaction volume at the Real Estate Franchise Services segment and Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment, partially offset by an improvement in EBITDA for the Relocation Services segment due to volume increases.

On a segment basis, the Real Estate Franchise Services segment margin decreased 5 percentage points to 59% from 64% in the comparable prior period due to an increase in employee related expenses, international business conferences for all of our brands in 2011 and bad debt and note reserves expense. The Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment margin remained at 3% due to a slight decrease in the number of homesale transactions and a decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by lower operating expenses primarily as a result of restructuring and cost-saving activities. The Relocation Services segment margin increased 1 percentage point to 28% from 27% in the comparable prior period primarily due to volume increases. The Title and Settlement Services segment margin increased 2 percentage points to 8% from 6% in the prior period due to higher refinance and underwriter transaction volume.

The Corporate and Other EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 decreased \$337 million to negative \$60 million primarily due to a net benefit of \$315 million in 2010 of former parent legacy items resulting from tax and other liability adjustments compared to a net benefit of \$17 million in 2011 from former parent legacy items for the same comparable period and a \$36 million loss on the early extinguishment of debt as a result of the Refinancing Transactions.

Real Estate Franchise Services

Revenues decreased \$4 million to \$429 million and EBITDA decreased \$27 million to \$251 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenue was driven by a \$9 million decrease in third-party domestic franchisee royalty revenue due to a 3% decrease in the number of homesale transactions, a lower net effective royalty rate as our larger affiliates are achieving higher volume levels and an increase in Sotheby's International Realty volume which is at a lower net effective royalty rate.

These decreases were partially offset by a 1% increase in the average homesale price primarily due to increased Sotheby's International Realty volume and a \$6 million increase in marketing revenue compared to the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenue was also attributable to a \$1 million decrease in royalties received from our Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment which pays royalties to our Real Estate Franchise Services segment. These intercompany royalties of \$157 million and \$158 million during the first nine months of 2011 and 2010, respectively, are eliminated in consolidation. See Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services for a discussion of the drivers related to this period over period revenue decrease for Real Estate Franchise Services segment.

The decrease in EBITDA was due to the decrease in revenues discussed above, as well as an increase in employee related costs of \$6 million, an increase in marketing expense of \$4 million, an increase in bad debt and note reserves expense of \$3 million compared to the same period in the prior year, incremental expenses of

Table of Contents

\$4 million related to the international business conferences for all of our brands in 2011 and a \$4 million increase in legal expenses primarily due to the reversal of litigation accruals in connection with a favorable outcome and an insurance reimbursement in the first nine months of 2010.

Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services

Revenues decreased \$7 million to \$2,312 million and EBITDA decreased \$23 million to \$58 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The decrease in revenues was driven by a decrease of \$8 million related to our REO asset management company in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the same period in 2010 due to reduced inventory levels of foreclosed properties being made available for sale. Our REO operations facilitate the maintenance and sale of foreclosed homes on behalf of lenders. Excluding REO revenues discussed above, revenues increased \$1 million due to increased commission income earned on homesale transactions which was primarily driven by an increase in average broker commission rate, partially offset by a 1% decrease in the number of homesale transactions. We believe the 1% decrease in homesale transactions is reflective of industry trends in the markets we serve.

EBITDA decreased \$23 million due to the decrease in revenues discussed above, as well as, a \$19 million increase in commission expenses paid to real estate agents due to more experienced and productive agents completing a greater percentage of homesale transactions, \$13 million related to additional operating costs related to late 2010 acquisitions and a \$6 million decrease in equity earnings related to our investment in PHH Home Loans, partially offset by a \$28 million decrease in operating expenses, net of inflation, due to restructuring and cost-saving activities as well as reduced employee costs.

Relocation Services

Revenues increased \$19 million to \$323 million and EBITDA increased \$10 million to \$92 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by:

\$15 million of incremental international revenue due to increased transaction volume;

\$4 million increase in relocation service fee revenues primarily due to higher domestic transaction volume; and

a \$2 million increase in referral fee revenue primarily due to increased domestic transaction volume, offset by decreased home values.

These increases were partially offset by a \$3 million decrease in at-risk revenue due primarily to fewer closings in 2011 compared to 2010.

EBITDA increased \$10 million primarily as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above and a \$3 million decrease in restructuring expenses, partially offset by an \$11 million increase in operating expenses due to employee related costs and higher international volume.

Title and Settlement Services

Revenues increased \$33 million to \$268 million and EBITDA increased \$8 million to \$22 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared with the same period in 2010.

The increase in revenues was primarily driven by a \$27 million increase in underwriter revenue and a \$7 million increase in volume from refinancing transactions, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in resale

Table of Contents

volume. EBITDA increased \$8 million as a result of the increase in revenues discussed above partially offset by an increase of \$24 million in variable operating costs as a result of the increase in underwriter and refinancing volume noted above.

2011 Restructuring Program

During the first nine months of 2011, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating existing facilities. The Company currently expects to incur restructuring charges of \$10 million in 2011. As of September 30, 2011, the Company Owned Real Estate Brokerage Services segment recognized \$4 million of facility related expenses and \$3 million of personnel related expenses. The Title and Settlement Services segment recognized \$1 million of facility and personnel related expenses. At September 30, 2011, the remaining liability is \$4 million.

2010 Restructuring Program

During 2010, the Company committed to various initiatives targeted principally at reducing costs, enhancing organizational efficiencies and consolidating facilities. The Company recognized \$13 million of restructuring expense in the first nine months of 2010 and \$21 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The table below shows restructuring expense by category and the corresponding payments and other reductions from inception to September 30, 2011:

	Personnel Related	Facility Related	Asset Impairments	Total
Restructuring expense and other additions ^(a)	\$ 5	\$ 16	\$ 1	\$ 22
Cash payments and other reductions	(4)	(6)	(1)	(11)
Balance at December 31, 2010	1	10		11
Cash payments and other reductions	(1)	(4)		(5)
Balance at September 30, 2011	\$	\$ 6	\$	\$ 6

(a) Includes \$1 million of unfavorable lease liability recorded in purchase accounting for Primacy which was reclassified to restructuring liability as a result of the Company restructuring certain facilities after the acquisition date.

FINANCIAL CONDITION, LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**FINANCIAL CONDITION**

	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	Change
Total assets	\$ 7,897	\$ 8,029	\$ (132)
Total liabilities	9,241	9,101	140
Total equity (deficit)	(1,344)	(1,072)	(272)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, total assets decreased \$132 million primarily as a result of a decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$90 million, a decrease in franchise agreements intangible assets, other intangibles and property and equipment of \$51 million, \$28 million and \$17 million, respectively, due to amortization and depreciation, partially offset by an increase in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$28 million and \$63 million, respectively. Total liabilities increased \$140 million principally due to a \$135 million increase in long term debt, primarily as a result of the refinancing transactions and a \$96 million increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities, partially offset by a \$48 million decrease in accounts payable and a \$26 million decrease in due to former parent. Total equity (deficit) decreased \$272 million primarily due to the net loss attributable to Holdings and Realogy of \$287 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our liquidity position has been and may continue to be negatively affected by the ongoing unfavorable conditions in the real estate market, the substantial interest expense on our debt obligations and potential adverse changes in interest rates. Our liquidity position would also be adversely impacted by our inability to access our relocation securitization programs and could be adversely impacted by our inability to access the capital markets. In addition, our short-term liquidity position from time to time has been and may continue to be negatively affected by seasonal fluctuations in the residential real estate brokerage business. See *Liquidity Risks* *Seasonality* for additional information.

Although we have seen improvement in affordability and average homesale price, we are not certain whether these signs of stabilization will lead to a recovery. Factors that may negatively affect a housing recovery include:

higher mortgage rates as well as reduced availability of mortgage financing;

lower unit sales, due to the reluctance of first time homebuyers to purchase due to concerns about investing in a home and move-up buyers having limited or negative equity in homes;

lower average homesale price, particularly if banks and other mortgage servicers liquidate foreclosed properties that they are currently holding in certain concentrated affected markets;

continuing high levels of unemployment and associated lack of consumer confidence;

unsustainable economic recovery in the U.S. or a weak recovery resulting in only modest economic growth;

a lack of stability or improvement in home ownership levels in the U.S.; and

legislative or regulatory reform, including but not limited to reform that adversely impacts the financing of the U.S. housing market or amends the Internal Revenue Code in a manner that negatively impacts home ownership such as reform that reduces the amount that certain taxpayers would be allowed to deduct for home mortgage interest.

Consequently, we cannot predict when the residential real estate industry will return to a period of sustainable growth. Moreover, if the residential real estate market or the economy as a whole does not improve, we may experience further adverse effects on our business, financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to access capital.

At September 30, 2011, our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and funds available under the revolving credit facilities and our securitization facilities. Our primary liquidity needs will be to service our debt and finance our working capital and capital expenditures. Based upon current interest rates and debt borrowings as of September 30, 2011, we estimate that our annual cash interest payments will be approximately \$600 million and these payments will be funded through cash generated from operations as well as availability under our existing revolving credit facilities. Based upon the Company's financial forecast, the Company believes that it will meet its cash flow needs for at least the remainder of 2011 as well as the year ended December 31, 2012.

We may need to incur additional debt or issue equity. Future indebtedness may impose various additional restrictions and covenants on us which could limit our ability to respond to market conditions, to provide for unanticipated capital investments or to take advantage of business opportunities. Our ability to make payments to fund working capital, capital expenditures, debt service, and strategic acquisitions will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future, which is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control.

Table of Contents

We will continue to evaluate potential transactions to refinance certain tranches of our indebtedness, extend maturities and/or reduce first lien debt. There can be no assurance as to which, if any, of these alternatives we may pursue as the pursuit of any alternative will depend upon numerous factors such as market conditions, our financial performance and the limitations applicable to such transactions under our existing financing agreements. There also can be no assurance that financing or refinancing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

Cash Flows

At September 30, 2011, we had \$102 million of cash and cash equivalents, a decrease of \$90 million compared to the balance of \$192 million at December 31, 2010. The following table summarizes our cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2011	2010	Change
Cash provided by (used in):			
Operating activities	\$ (129)	\$ (102)	\$ (27)
Investing activities	(36)	(36)	
Financing activities	75	118	(43)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (90)	\$ (20)	\$ (70)

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 we used \$27 million of additional cash in operations compared to the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$129 million of cash was used in operating activities due to negative cash flows from operating results of \$107 million after \$354 million of cash interest payments, an increase in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$28 million and \$64 million, respectively, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities of \$51 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$102 million of cash was used in operating activities due to increases in trade receivables and relocation receivables of \$35 million and \$77 million, respectively, as well as by negative cash flows from operating results of \$107 million after \$334 million of cash interest payments, partially offset by an increase in accounts payable and a decrease in relocation properties held for sale of \$96 million and \$35 million, respectively.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$36 million of cash was used in investing activities primarily due to \$37 million of property and equipment additions and acquisition related payments of \$5 million, partially offset by net proceeds from certificates of deposit of \$9 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$36 million of cash was used in investing activities and was primarily due to \$33 million of property and equipment additions and the purchase of certificates of deposit for \$10 million, partially offset by proceeds from the sale of assets of \$5 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 we provided \$43 million less cash from financing activities compared to the same period in 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$75 million of cash was provided by financing activities and was comprised of \$700 million of proceeds from the issuance of the First and a Half Lien Notes, \$98 million related to the proceeds from the extension of the term loan facility and an increase in incremental revolver borrowings of \$20 million, partially offset by \$705 million of term loan facility repayments and the payment of \$34 million of debt issuance costs. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, \$118 million of cash was provided by financing activities and was comprised of \$117 million of proceeds from drawings on our unsecured revolving credit facilities and additional securitization obligations of \$34 million, partially offset by \$24 million of term loan facility repayments.

Table of Contents

Financial Obligations

In connection with the closing of the Merger Transactions on April 10, 2007, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility consisting of (i) a \$3,170 million term loan facility, (ii) a \$750 million revolving credit facility, (iii) a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility (the facilities described in clauses (i), (ii) and (iii), as amended by the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment, collectively referred to as the First Lien Facilities), and (iv) a \$650 million incremental (or accordion) loan facility, which was utilized in connection with the incurrence of Second Lien Loans in 2009 as described below.

Effective February 3, 2011, Realogy entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment and an incremental assumption agreement, which resulted in the following:

certain lenders extended the maturity of a significant portion of first lien term loans, revolving commitments and synthetic letter of credit commitments to October 10, 2016, April 10, 2016, and October 10, 2016, respectively, resulting in approximately \$2,424 million aggregate principal amount of extended term loans, approximately \$461 million aggregate principal amount of commitments in respect of extended revolving loans and approximately \$171 million aggregate principal amount of extended synthetic letter of credit commitments;

certain lenders simultaneously converted approximately \$98 million aggregate principal amount of revolving commitments in respect of extended revolving loans to extended term loans, thereby reducing the commitments under the revolving credit facility to \$652 million;

the net proceeds of the \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes together with cash on hand were used to prepay \$700 million of the outstanding extended term loans, thereby reducing the aggregate principal amount of extended term loans to \$1,822 million;

the interest rate with respect to the extended term loans was increased by 1.25% from the rate applicable to the non-extended term loans;

the interest rate with respect to the extended revolving loans was increased by 1.0% from the rate applicable to the non-extended revolving loans; and

the fee with respect to the synthetic letter of credit facility was increased by 1.25% from the fee applicable to the non-extending synthetic letter of credit facility.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment also provides for the following:

allows for the incurrence of additional incremental term loans that are secured on a junior basis to the second lien loans in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$350 million;

allows for one or more future issuances of additional senior secured notes or unsecured notes or loans to prepay Realogy's first lien term loans, to be secured on either a *pari passu* basis with, or junior to, its first lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility;

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

allows for one or more future issuances of additional senior secured or unsecured notes or loans to prepay Realogy's second lien loans, to be secured on a *pari passu* basis with, or junior to, its second lien loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility; and

provides that debt financing secured by a lien that is junior in priority to the first lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility (including, but not limited to, the First and a Half Lien Notes) will not, subject to certain exceptions, constitute senior secured debt for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

The extended term loans do not require any scheduled amortization of principal. The non-extended term loan facility will continue to provide for quarterly amortization payments totaling 1% per annum of the principal amount of the non-extended first lien term loans.

Table of Contents

Interest rates with respect to term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy's option, (a) adjusted LIBOR plus 3.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 4.25%) or (b) the higher of the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 1.75%) and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.'s prime rate (ABR) plus 2.0% (or with respect to the extended term loans, 3.25%).

The Senior Secured Credit Facility, as amended provides for a \$652 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$200 million letter of credit sub-facility and a \$50 million swingline loan sub-facility. Realogy uses the revolving credit facility for, among other things, working capital and other general corporate purposes, including permitted acquisitions and investments. Interest rates with respect to revolving loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facility are based on, at Realogy's option, adjusted LIBOR plus 2.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 3.25%) or ABR plus 1.25% (or with respect to the extended revolving loans, 2.25%) in each case subject to reductions based on the attainment of certain leverage ratios.

The Senior Secured Credit Facility, as amended, provided for a \$525 million synthetic letter of credit facility which is for: (1) the support of Realogy's obligations with respect to Cendant contingent and other liabilities assumed under the Separation and Distribution Agreement and (2) general corporate purposes in an amount not to exceed \$100 million. In light of the reduction in Cendant's contingent and other liabilities, on January 5, 2011, Realogy reduced the synthetic letter of credit facility to \$223 million. As of September 30, 2011, most of the capacity was being utilized by a \$100 million letter of credit with Cendant for any remaining potential contingent obligations and \$100 million of letters of credit for general corporate purposes.

The loans under the First Lien Facilities (the First Lien Loans) are secured to the extent legally permissible by substantially all of the assets of Realogy, Intermediate and the subsidiary guarantors, including but not limited to (a) a first-priority pledge of substantially all capital stock held by Realogy or any subsidiary guarantor (which pledge, with respect to obligations in respect of the borrowings secured by a pledge of the stock of any first-tier foreign subsidiary, is limited to 100% of the non-voting stock (if any) and 65% of the voting stock of such foreign subsidiary), and (b) perfected first-priority security interests in substantially all tangible and intangible assets of Realogy and each subsidiary guarantor, subject to certain exceptions.

In late 2009, Realogy incurred \$650 million of Second Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans are secured by liens on the assets of Realogy and by the guarantors that secure the First Lien Loans. However, such liens are junior in priority to the First Lien Loans. The Second Lien Loans bear interest at a rate of 13.50% per year and interest payments are payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Second Lien Loans mature on October 15, 2017 and there are no required amortization payments.

First and a Half Lien Notes

On February 3, 2011, Realogy issued \$700 million aggregate principal amount of First and a Half Lien Notes in a private offering exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act. The First and a Half Lien Notes mature on February 15, 2019 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 7.875% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on February 1 or August 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of February 15 and August 15 of each year. The First and a Half Lien Notes are secured by substantially the same collateral as Realogy's existing secured obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, but the priority of the collateral liens securing the First and a Half Lien Notes is (i) junior to the collateral liens securing Realogy's first lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and (ii) senior to the collateral liens securing Realogy's second lien obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facility.

As discussed above, the net proceeds from the offering of the First and a Half Lien Notes, along with cash on hand, were used to repay \$700 million of certain of Realogy's first lien term loans that were extended in connection with the Senior Secured Credit Facility Amendment. This repayment reduced the Company's total senior secured debt for purposes of calculating the financial covenant under the senior secured credit agreement.

Table of Contents***Other Bank Indebtedness***

Realogy has separate revolving U.S. credit facilities under which it can borrow up to \$125 million and a separate U.K. credit facility under which it can borrow up to £5 million. These facilities are not secured by assets of Realogy or any of its subsidiaries but are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. The facilities generally have a one-year term with certain options for renewal. As of September 30, 2011, Realogy had outstanding borrowings of \$133 million under these credit facilities with \$75 million due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012 and \$50 million due in January 2013. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the weighted average interest rate is 2.9% under the U.S. credit facilities and 2.5% under the U.K. credit facility with interest payable either monthly or quarterly.

Unsecured Notes

On April 10, 2007, Realogy issued \$1,700 million aggregate principal amount of 10.50% Senior Notes due 2014 (the 10.50% Senior Notes), \$550 million aggregate principal amount of 11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes due 2014 (the Senior Toggle Notes and, together with the 10.50% Senior Notes, the Existing Senior Notes) and \$875 million aggregate principal amount of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015 (the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Existing Notes).

On January 5, 2011, Realogy consummated the Debt Exchange Offering for its Existing Notes pursuant to which Realogy issued 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 11.50% Senior Notes), 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 (the 12.00% Senior Notes and, together with the 11.50% Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Senior Notes) and, together with the Existing Senior Notes, the Senior Notes), 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018 (the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and, together with the Extended Maturity Senior Notes, the Extended Maturity Notes) and 11.00% Series A Convertible Notes due 2018, the 11.00% Series B Convertible Notes due 2018 and the 11.00% Series C Convertible Notes due 2018 (collectively, the Convertible Notes). The term Senior Subordinated Notes refers to the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes, collectively; and the term Unsecured Notes refers to the Senior Notes, the Senior Subordinated Notes and the Convertible Notes, collectively.

Pursuant to the Debt Exchange Offering, approximately \$2,110 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for Convertible Notes, which are convertible at the holder's option into Class A Common Stock, and approximately \$632 million aggregate principal amount of the Existing Notes were tendered for the Extended Maturity Notes.

On January 5, 2011, Realogy issued:

\$492 million aggregate principal amount of 11.50% Senior Notes and \$1,144 million aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes in exchange for \$1,636 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 10.50% Senior Notes;

\$130 million aggregate principal amount of 12.00% Senior Notes and \$291 million aggregate principal amount of Series B Convertible Notes in exchange for \$421 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding Senior Toggle Notes; and

\$10 million aggregate principal amount of 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and \$675 million aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes in exchange for \$685 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes.

As a result of the Debt Exchange Offering, Realogy extended the maturity of approximately \$2,742 million aggregate principal amount of the Unsecured Notes to 2017 and 2018, leaving approximately \$303 million aggregate principal amount of Existing Notes that mature in 2014 and 2015. In addition, pursuant to the terms of the indenture governing the terms of the Convertible Notes, the Convertible Notes are redeemable at Realogy's option at a price equal to 90% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption upon a Qualified Public Offering.

Table of Contents

The 10.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2014 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 10.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 11.50% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.50% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Toggle Notes mature on April 15, 2014. Interest is payable semiannually on April 15 and October 15 of each year. For any interest payment period after the initial interest payment period and through October 15, 2011, Realogy had the option to pay interest on the Senior Toggle Notes (1) entirely in cash (Cash Interest), (2) entirely by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding Senior Toggle Notes or by issuing Senior Toggle Notes (PIK Interest), or (3) 50% as Cash Interest and 50% as PIK Interest. Cash Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at a rate of 11.00% per annum. PIK Interest on the Senior Toggle Notes accrues at the Cash Interest rate per annum plus 0.75%. Beginning with the interest period which ended October 2008 through the interest period which ended April 2011, Realogy elected to satisfy its interest payment obligations by issuing additional Senior Toggle Notes. Realogy elected to pay Cash Interest for the interest period commencing April 15, 2011 and is required to make all future interest payments on the Senior Toggle Notes entirely in cash until they mature.

Realogy would be subject to certain interest deduction limitations if the Senior Toggle Notes were treated as applicable high yield discount obligations (AHYDO) within the meaning of Section 163(i)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. In order to avoid such treatment, Realogy is required to redeem for cash a portion of each Senior Toggle Note then outstanding at the end of the accrual period ending in April 2012. The portion of a Senior Toggle Note required to be redeemed is an amount equal to the excess of the accrued original issue discount as of the end of such accrual period, less the amount of interest paid in cash on or before such date, less the first-year yield (the issue price of the debt instrument multiplied by its yield to maturity). For the periods that Realogy elected to pay PIK Interest, Realogy will be required to repay approximately \$11 million in April 2012.

The 12.00% Senior Notes mature on April 15, 2017 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2015 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 12.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year. The 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 13.375% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment date on April 15 and October 15 of each year.

The Senior Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior basis, and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis, in each case, by each of Realogy's existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guarantor under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Senior Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured senior subordinated basis and the Senior Subordinated Notes are guaranteed by Holdings on an unsecured junior subordinated basis.

On June 24, 2011, Realogy completed offers of exchange notes for Extended Maturity Notes issued in the Debt Exchange Offering. The term exchange notes refers to the 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, the 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017 and the 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2018, all as registered under the Securities Act pursuant to a Registration Statement on Form S-4 (File No. 333-173254 declared effective by the SEC on May 20, 2011). Each series of the exchange notes are substantially identical in all material respects to the

Table of Contents

Extended Maturity Notes of the applicable series issued in the Debt Exchange Offering (except that the new registered exchange notes do not contain terms with respect to additional interest or transfer restrictions). Unless the context otherwise requires, the term Extended Maturity Notes refers to the exchange notes.

Convertible Notes

The Series A Convertible Notes, Series B Convertible Notes and Series C Convertible Notes mature on April 15, 2018 and bear interest at a rate per annum of 11.00% payable semiannually to holders of record at the close of business on April 1 or October 1 immediately preceding the interest payment dates of April 15 and October 15 of each year. The Convertible Notes are convertible into Class A Common Stock at any time prior to April 15, 2018. The Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes are initially convertible into 975.6098 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of Series A Convertible Notes and Series B Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.025 per share, and the Series C Convertible Notes are initially convertible into 926.7841 shares of Class A Common Stock per \$1,000 aggregate principal amount of Series C Convertible Notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of approximately \$1.079 per share, in each case subject to adjustment if specified distributions to holders of the Class A Common Stock are made or specified corporate transactions occur, as set forth in the indenture governing the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured senior subordinated basis by each of Realogy's existing and future U.S. subsidiaries that is a guarantor under the Senior Secured Credit Facility or that guarantees certain other indebtedness in the future, subject to certain exceptions. The Convertible Notes are guaranteed on an unsecured junior subordinated basis by Holdings.

Following a Qualified Public Offering, Realogy may, at its option, redeem the Convertible Notes, in whole or in part, at a redemption price, payable in cash, equal to 90% of the principal amount of the Convertible Notes to be redeemed plus accrued and unpaid interest thereon to, but excluding, the redemption date.

On June 16, 2011, the SEC declared effective a Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-173250) of Holdings and Realogy, registering for resale the outstanding Convertible Notes and the Class A Common Stock of Holdings issuable upon conversion of the Convertible Notes. Offers and sales of the Convertible Notes and Class A Common Stock may be made by selling securityholders pursuant to the June 2011 Final Prospectus, as amended or supplemented from time to time.

Loss on the early extinguishment of debt and write-off of deferred financing costs

As a result of the Refinancing Transactions, the Company recorded a loss on the early extinguishment of debt of \$36 million and wrote off deferred financing costs of \$7 million to interest expense as a result of debt modifications during 2011.

Securitization Obligations

The Company has secured obligations through Apple Ridge Funding LLC, a securitization program with a five-year term which expires in April 2012. On May 13, 2011, the Company elected to reduce the capacity of the Apple Ridge securitization program from \$500 million to \$400 million. The Company is currently in the process of negotiating an amendment and extension of the Apple Ridge securitization program. We cannot assure you that such financing will be available or, if available, on terms favorable to us.

In 2010, the Company through a special purpose entity, Cartus Financing Limited, entered into agreements providing for a £35 million revolving loan facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012. These Cartus Financing Limited facilities are secured by relocation assets of a U.K. government contract in a special purpose entity and are therefore classified as permitted securitization financings as defined in the Company's senior secured credit facility and the indentures governing the Unsecured Notes.

Table of Contents

The Apple Ridge entities and Cartus Financing Limited entity are consolidated special purpose entities that are utilized to securitize relocation receivables and related assets. These assets are generated from advancing funds on behalf of clients of the Company's relocation business in order to facilitate the relocation of their employees. Assets of these special purpose entities are not available to pay the Company's general obligations. Under the Apple Ridge program, provided no termination or amortization event has occurred, any new receivables generated under the designated relocation management agreements are sold into the securitization program and as new eligible relocation management agreements are entered into, the new agreements are designated to the program.

Certain of the funds that the Company receives from relocation receivables and related assets must be utilized to repay securitization obligations. These obligations were collateralized by \$432 million and \$393 million of underlying relocation receivables and other related relocation assets at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Substantially all relocation related assets are realized in less than twelve months from the transaction date. Accordingly, all of the Company's securitization obligations are classified as current in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Interest incurred in connection with borrowings under these facilities amounted to \$1 million and \$4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, and \$2 million and \$5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. This interest is recorded within net revenues in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations as related borrowings are utilized to fund the Company's relocation business where interest is generally earned on such assets. These securitization obligations represent floating rate debt for which the average weighted interest rate was 1.9% and 2.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

AVAILABLE CAPACITY

As of September 30, 2011, the total capacity, outstanding borrowings and available capacity under the Company's borrowing arrangements were as follows:

	Expiration Date	Total Capacity	Outstanding Borrowings	Available Capacity
Senior Secured Credit Facility:				
Non-extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾	April 2013	\$ 289	\$ 22	\$ 217
Extended revolving credit facility ⁽¹⁾	April 2016	363	28	272
Non-extended term loan facility	October 2013	631	631	
Extended term loan facility	October 2016	1,822	1,822	
First and a Half Lien Notes	February 2019	700	700	
Second Lien Loans	October 2017	650	650	
Other bank indebtedness ⁽²⁾	Various	133	133	
Existing Notes				
10.50% Senior Notes	April 2014	64	64	
11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes	April 2014	52	52	
12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes ⁽³⁾	April 2015	190	187	
Extended Maturity Notes				
11.50% Senior Notes ⁽⁴⁾	April 2017	492	489	
12.00% Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	April 2017	130	129	
13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes	April 2018	10	10	
11.00% Convertible Notes	April 2018	2,110	2,110	
Securitization obligations:⁽⁶⁾				
Apple Ridge Funding LLC	April 2012	400	299	101
Cartus Financing Limited ⁽⁷⁾	Various	62	33	29
		\$ 8,098	\$ 7,359	\$ 619

- (1) The available capacity under these facilities was reduced by \$50 million and \$63 million of outstanding letters of credit on the non-extended and the extended revolving credit facility, respectively, at September 30, 2011. Based upon the senior secured leverage ratio of 4.15 to 1 at September 30, 2011, we could have borrowed as of such date an additional \$354 million under the revolving credit facility under the senior secured credit agreement and remained in compliance with the 4.75 to 1 maximum senior secured leverage ratio. On October 31, 2011, the Company had \$300 million outstanding on the revolving credit facilities, \$133 million on the non-extended facility and \$167 million on the extended facility.
- (2) Consists of revolving credit facilities that are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, \$75 million due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012 and \$50 million due in January 2013.
- (3) Consists of \$190 million of 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2015, less a discount of \$3 million.
- (4) Consists of \$492 million of 11.50% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$3 million.
- (5) Consists of \$130 million of 12.00% Senior Notes due 2017, less a discount of \$1 million.
- (6) Available capacity is subject to maintaining sufficient relocation related assets to collateralize these securitization obligations.
- (7) Consists of a £35 million facility which expires in August 2015 and a £5 million working capital facility which expires in August 2012.

Covenants under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and certain Indentures

The Senior Secured Credit Facility and the indentures governing the First and a Half Lien Notes, the Extended Maturity Notes and the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes contain various covenants that limit Realogy's ability to, among other things:

incur or guarantee additional debt;

incur debt that is junior to senior indebtedness and senior to the 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes;

Table of Contents

pay dividends or make distributions to Realogy's stockholders;

repurchase or redeem capital stock or subordinated indebtedness;

make loans, investments or acquisitions;

incur restrictions on the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or to make other payments to Realogy;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

create liens;

merge or consolidate with other companies or transfer all or substantially all of our assets;

transfer or sell assets, including capital stock of subsidiaries; and

prepay, redeem or repurchase the Unsecured Notes and First and a Half Lien Notes and debt that is junior in right of payment to the Unsecured Notes and the First and a Half Lien Notes.

In connection with the Debt Exchange Offering, Realogy received consents from the holders of the 10.50% Senior Notes and Senior Toggle Notes to amend the respective indentures governing the terms of such Existing Notes to remove substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain other provisions previously contained in such indentures.

As a result of the covenants to which we remain subject, we are limited in the manner in which we conduct our business and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs. In addition, on the last day of each fiscal quarter, the financial covenant in the Senior Secured Credit Facility requires us to maintain on a quarterly basis a senior secured leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount. Specifically, our total senior secured net debt to trailing twelve-month EBITDA (as such terms are defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility), calculated on a pro forma basis pursuant to the Senior Secured Credit Facility, may not exceed 4.75 to 1.0. Total senior secured net debt does not include the Second Lien Loans, other bank indebtedness not secured by a first lien on our assets, securitization obligations, the First and a Half Lien Notes or the Unsecured Notes. At September 30, 2011, the Company's senior secured leverage ratio was 4.15 to 1. EBITDA, as defined in the Senior Secured Credit Facility, includes certain adjustments and also is calculated on a pro forma basis for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio.

Based upon the Company's financial forecast, the Company believes that it will continue to be in compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio during the next twelve months. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, we may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with our senior secured leverage ratio.

The Company has the right to cure an event of default of the senior secured leverage ratio in three of any of the four consecutive quarters through the issuance of additional Holdings equity for cash, which would be infused as capital into the Company. The effect of such infusion would be to increase Adjusted EBITDA for purposes of calculating the senior secured leverage ratio for the applicable twelve-month period and reduce net senior secured indebtedness upon actual receipt of such capital. If we are unable to maintain compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio and we fail to remedy a default through an equity cure as described above, there would be an event of default under the senior secured credit agreement. Other events of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility include, without limitation, nonpayment, material misrepresentations, insolvency, bankruptcy, certain material judgments, change of control and cross-events of default on material indebtedness.

Table of Contents

If an event of default occurs under the Senior Secured Credit Facility and we fail to obtain a waiver from our lenders, our financial condition, results of operations and business would be materially adversely affected. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, the lenders:

would not be required to lend any additional amounts to us;

could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable;

could require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings; or

could prevent us from making payments on the First and a Half Lien Notes or the Unsecured Notes; any of which could result in an event of default under the First and a Half Lien Notes or the Unsecured Notes or our Apple Ridge Funding LLC securitization program.

If we were unable to repay those amounts, the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility could proceed against the collateral granted to them to secure that indebtedness. We have pledged the majority of our assets as collateral under the Senior Secured Credit Facility. If the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facility were to accelerate the repayment of borrowings thereunder, then we may not have sufficient assets to repay the Senior Secured Credit Facility and our other indebtedness, including the First and a Half Lien Notes and the Unsecured Notes, or be able to borrow sufficient funds to refinance such indebtedness. Even if we are able to obtain new financing, it may not be on commercially reasonable terms, or terms that are acceptable to us.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA

EBITDA is defined by the Company as net income (loss) before depreciation and amortization, interest (income) expense, net (other than relocation services interest for securitization assets and securitization obligations) and income taxes. Adjusted EBITDA is presented to demonstrate our compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio covenant in the Senior Secured Credit Facility. We present EBITDA because we believe EBITDA is a useful supplemental measure in evaluating the performance of our operating businesses and provides greater transparency into our results of operations. The EBITDA measure is used by our management, including our chief operating decision maker, to perform such evaluation. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net income or other statement of operations data prepared in accordance with GAAP.

We believe EBITDA facilitates company-to-company operating performance comparisons by backing out potential differences caused by variations in capital structures (affecting net interest expense), taxation, the age and book depreciation of facilities (affecting relative depreciation expense) and the amortization of intangibles, which may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to operating performance. We further believe that EBITDA is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in their evaluation of companies, many of which present an EBITDA measure when reporting their results.

EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider EBITDA either in isolation or as a substitute for analyzing our results as reported under GAAP. Some of these limitations are:

EBITDA does not reflect changes in, or cash requirement for, our working capital needs;

EBITDA does not reflect our interest expense (except for interest related to our securitization obligations), or the cash requirements necessary to service interest or principal payments on our debt;

Edgar Filing: REALOGY CORP - Form 10-Q

EBITDA does not reflect our income tax expense or the cash requirements to pay our taxes;

EBITDA does not reflect historical cash expenditures or future requirements for capital expenditures or contractual commitments;

Table of Contents

although depreciation and amortization are non-cash charges, the assets being depreciated and amortized will often require replacement in the future, and EBITDA measures do not reflect any cash requirements for such replacements; and

other companies may calculate EBITDA measures differently so they may not be comparable.

Adjusted EBITDA as used herein corresponds to the definition of EBITDA, calculated on a pro forma basis, used in the Senior Secured Credit Facility to calculate the senior secured leverage ratio.

Like EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider Adjusted EBITDA either in isolation or as a substitute for analyzing our results as reported under GAAP. In addition to the limitations described above with respect to EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA includes pro forma cost savings, the pro forma effect of business optimization initiatives and the pro forma full year effect of acquisitions and new franchisees. These adjustments may not reflect the actual cost-savings or pro forma effect recognized in future periods.

A reconciliation of net loss attributable to Realogy to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the twelve months ended September 30, 2011 is set forth in the following table:

	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Less Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Equals Three Months Ended December 31, 2010	Plus Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	Equals Twelve Months Ended September 30, 2011
Net loss attributable to Realogy	\$ (99)	\$ (8)	\$ (91)	\$ (287)	\$ (378) ^(a)
Income tax expense (benefit)	133	134	(1)	12	11
Income (loss) before income taxes	34	126	(92)	(275)	(367)
Interest expense, net	604	458	146	499	645
Depreciation and amortization	197	148	49	139	188
<i>EBITDA</i>	835	732	103	363	466 ^(b)
<i>Covenant calculation adjustments:</i>					
Restructuring costs, merger costs and former parent legacy cost (benefit) items, net ^(c)					(7)
Pro forma cost-savings for 2011 restructuring initiatives ^(d)					12
Pro forma cost-savings for 2010 restructuring initiatives ^(e)					3
Pro forma effect of business optimization initiatives ^(f)					50
Non-cash charges ^(g)					3
Non-recurring fair value adjustments for purchase accounting ^(h)					4
Pro forma effect of acquisitions and new franchisees ⁽ⁱ⁾					7
Apollo management fees ^(j)					15
Incremental securitization interest costs ^(k)					2
Loss on the early extinguishment of debt					36
<i>Adjusted EBITDA</i>					\$ 591
Total senior secured net debt ^(l)					\$ 2,453
<i>Senior secured leverage ratio</i>					4.15x

(a) Net loss attributable to Realogy consists of: (i) a loss of \$91 million for the fourth quarter of 2010; (ii) a loss of \$237 million for the first quarter of 2011; (iii) a loss of \$22 million for the second quarter of 2011 and (iv) a loss of \$28 million for the third quarter of 2011.

(b) EBITDA consists of: (i) \$103 million for the fourth quarter of 2010; (ii) a negative \$11 million for the first quarter of 2011; (iii) \$187 million for the second quarter of 2011 and (iv) \$187 million for the third quarter of 2011.

(c) Consists of \$17 million of restructuring costs and \$1 million of merger costs offset by a net benefit of \$25 million for former parent legacy items.

Table of Contents

- (d) Represents actual costs incurred that are not expected to recur in subsequent periods due to restructuring activities initiated during the first nine months of 2011. From this restructuring, we expect to reduce our operating costs by approximately \$17 million on a twelve-month run-rate basis and estimate that \$5 million of such savings were realized from the time they were put in place. The adjustment shown represents the impact the savings would have had on the period from October 1, 2010 through the time they were put in place had those actions been effected on October 1, 2010.
- (e) Represents actual costs incurred that are not expected to recur in subsequent periods due to restructuring activities initiated during the year ended December 31, 2010. From this restructuring, we expect to reduce our operating costs by approximately \$34 million on a twelve-month run-rate basis and estimate that \$31 million of such savings were realized from the time they were put in place. The adjustment shown represents the impact the savings would have had on the period from October 1, 2010 through the time they were put in place had those actions been effected on October 1, 2010.
- (f) Represents the twelve-month pro forma effect of business optimization initiatives that have been completed to reduce costs, including \$2 million related to our Relocation Services integration costs, new business start-ups and acquisition related non-cash adjustments, \$5 million related to vendor renegotiations, \$37 million for employee retention accruals and \$6 million of other initiatives. The employee retention accruals reflect the employee retention plans that have been implemented in lieu of our customary bonus plan, due to the ongoing and prolonged downturn in the housing market in order to ensure the retention of executive officers and other key personnel, principally within our corporate services unit and the corporate offices of our four business units.
- (g) Represents the elimination of non-cash expenses, including \$7 million of stock-based compensation expense and \$2 million of other items less \$6 million for the change in the allowance for doubtful accounts and notes reserves from October 1, 2010 through September 30, 2011.
- (h) Reflects the adjustment for the negative impact of fair value adjustments for purchase accounting at the operating business segments primarily related to deferred rent.
- (i) Represents the estimated impact of acquisitions and new franchisees as if they had been acquired or signed on October 1, 2010. Franchisee sales activity is comprised of new franchise agreements as well as growth acquired by existing franchisees with our assistance. We have made a number of assumptions in calculating such estimate and there can be no assurance that we would have generated the projected levels of EBITDA had we owned the acquired entities or entered into the franchise contracts as of October 1, 2010.
- (j) Represents the elimination of annual management fees payable to Apollo for the twelve months ended September 30, 2011.
- (k) Incremental borrowing costs incurred as a result of the securitization facilities refinancing for the twelve months ended September 30, 2011.
- (l) Represents total borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility which are secured by a first priority lien on our assets of \$2,503 million plus \$12 million of capital lease obligations less \$62 million of readily available cash as of September 30, 2011. Pursuant to the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facility, senior secured net debt does not include First and a Half Lien Notes, Second Lien Loans, other bank indebtedness not secured by a first lien on our assets, securitization obligations or Unsecured Notes.

LIQUIDITY RISKS

Our liquidity position may be negatively affected as a result of the following specific liquidity risks.

Seasonality and Cash Requirements

Our business segments are subject to seasonal fluctuations. Historically, operating results and revenues for all of our businesses have been strongest in the second and third quarters of the calendar year. A significant portion of the expenses we incur in our real estate brokerage operations are related to marketing activities and commissions and are, therefore, variable. However, many of our other expenses, such as interest payments, facilities costs and certain personnel-related costs, are fixed and cannot be reduced during a seasonal slowdown. For example, interest payments of approximately \$215 million are due on our Unsecured Notes and Second Lien

Table of Contents

Loans in October and April of each year. Accordingly, the two most significant interest payments fall in, or immediately following, periods of our lowest cash flow generation. Because of this asymmetry, we may be required to seek additional sources of working capital, including deferring spending or entering into additional debt financing, to address temporary working capital needs. There can be no assurance that financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

Senior Secured Credit Facility Covenant Compliance

On the last day of each fiscal quarter, the financial covenant in the Senior Secured Credit Facility requires us to maintain on a quarterly basis a senior secured leverage ratio not to exceed a maximum amount. Specifically, our total senior secured net debt to trailing twelve month Adjusted EBITDA may not exceed 4.75 to 1.

As of September 30, 2011, we were in compliance with the senior secured leverage ratio covenant with a ratio of 4.15 to 1.0. While the housing market has shown signs of stabilization, in part due to government actions designed to bolster the housing market, there remains substantial uncertainty with respect to the timing and scope of a housing recovery and if a housing recovery is delayed or is weak, we may be subject to additional pressure in maintaining compliance with our senior secured leverage ratio as a result of negative cash flows due to our significant annual interest payments.

Interest Rate Risk

Certain of our borrowings, primarily borrowings under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, borrowings under our other bank indebtedness and borrowings under our securitization arrangements, are at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net loss would increase further. We have entered into interest rate swaps, involving the exchange of floating for fixed rate interest payments, to reduce interest rate volatility for a portion of our floating interest rate debt facilities.

Securitization Programs

Funding requirements of our relocation business are primarily satisfied through the issuance of securitization obligations to finance relocation receivables and advances. The Apple Ridge securitization facility under which securitization obligations are issued has restrictive covenants and trigger events, including performance triggers linked to the age and quality of the underlying assets, limits on net credit losses incurred, financial reporting requirements, restrictions on mergers and change of control, and cross defaults under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, Unsecured Notes and other material indebtedness. The Company is currently in the process of negotiating an amendment and extension of the Apple Ridge securitization program which expires in April 2012. We cannot assure you that such financing will be available or, if available, on terms favorable to us.

Litigation

The Company is involved in claims, legal proceedings and governmental inquiries related to alleged contract disputes, business practices, intellectual property and other commercial, employment, regulatory and tax matters. We cannot predict with certainty the cost of defense, the cost of prosecution, insurance coverage or the ultimate outcome of litigation and other proceedings filed by or against us, including remedies or damage awards. The defense and resolution of these litigation matters could result in substantial costs and cash payments and there can be no assurance that such resolutions will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our future contractual obligations as of September 30, 2011:

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Non-extended revolving credit facility ^(a)	\$	\$	\$ 22		\$	\$	\$ 22
Extended revolving credit facility ^(a)						28	28
Non-extended term loan facility ^(b)	2	6	623				631
Extended term loan facility ^(c)						1,822	1,822
First and a Half Lien Notes ^(d)						700	700
Second Lien Loans ^(d)						650	650
Other bank indebtedness ^(e)		83	50				133
10.50% Senior Notes ^(g)				64			64
11.50% Senior Notes ^(h)						492	492
11.00%/11.75% Senior Toggle Notes ^{(f)(g)}		11		41			52
12.00% Senior Notes ^(h)						130	130
12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes ^(g)					190		190
13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes ^(h)						10	10
11.00% Convertible Notes ^(h)						2,110	2,110
Securitized obligations ⁽ⁱ⁾		299			33		332
Operating leases ^(j)	40	127	88	53	35	35	378
Capital leases (including imputed interest)	2	6	4	2			14
Purchase commitments ^(k)	20	38	22	11	10	261	362
Total^{(l)(m)}	\$ 64	\$ 570	\$ 809	\$ 171	\$ 268	\$ 6,238	\$ 8,120

- (a) The Company's Senior Secured Credit Facility provides for a \$652 million revolving credit facility, which includes a \$289 million revolver expiring in April 2013 and a \$363 million revolver expiring in April 2016. The obligation is classified on the balance sheet as current due to the revolving nature of the facility.
- (b) The Company's non-extended term loan facility provides for quarterly amortization payments totaling 1% per annum of the principal amount with the balance due on the final maturity date of October 2013. The Company has entered into derivative instruments to fix the interest rate for \$425 million of the variable rate debt, which will result in interest payments of \$27 million annually. The interest rate for the remaining portion of the variable rate debt of \$206 million will be determined by the interest rates in effect during each period.
- (c) The Company's extended term loan facility matures in October 2016. The interest rate for the variable rate debt of \$1,822 million will be determined by the interest rates in effect during each period. There is no scheduled amortization of principal.
- (d) The Company's First and a Half Lien Notes bear an annual interest rate of 7.875% and the Second Lien Loans bear an annual interest rate of 13.50%. Interest payments are due semi-annually and the annual interest expense for the First and a Half Lien Notes and the Second Lien Loans is approximately \$143 million. There is no scheduled amortization with either debt.
- (e) Consists of revolving credit facilities that are supported by letters of credit issued under the Senior Secured Credit Facility, \$75 million is due in July 2012, \$8 million due in August 2012, and \$50 million is due in January 2013. These obligations are classified on the balance sheet as current due to the revolving nature of the facilities. The interest rate for the revolving credit facilities is variable and will be determined by the interest rates in effect during each period.
- (f) The Company utilized the PIK Interest option to satisfy interest payment obligations for the Senior Toggle Notes which increased the principal amount of the Senior Toggle Notes from October 2008 through April 2011. As a result, the Company is subject to certain interest deduction limitations if the Senior Toggle Notes were treated as AHYDO within the meaning of Section 163(i)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code. In order to avoid such treatment, the Company is required to redeem for cash a portion of each Senior Toggle Note then outstanding in April 2012 which is estimated to be approximately \$11 million.

Table of Contents

- (g) Annual interest expense for the 10.50% Senior Notes, 12.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and Senior Toggle Notes is approximately \$36 million.
- (h) Annual interest expense for the 11.50% Senior Notes, 12.00% Senior Notes, 13.375% Senior Subordinated Notes and the Convertible Notes is approximately \$306 million.
- (i) The Company's securitization obligations are variable rate debt and the interest payments will be determined by the interest rates in effect during each period. These agreements expire in April 2012, August 2012, and August 2015. However, these obligations are classified as current on the balance sheet due to the current classification of the underlying assets that collateralize the obligations.
- (j) The operating lease amounts included in the above table do not include variable costs such as maintenance, insurance and real estate taxes.
- (k) Purchase commitments include a minimum licensing fee that the Company is required to pay to Sotheby's from 2009 through 2054. The annual minimum licensing fee is approximately \$2 million. The purchase commitments also include a minimum licensing fee to be paid to Meredith from 2009 through 2057. The annual minimum fee began at \$0.5 million in 2009 and will increase to \$4 million by 2014 and generally remains the same thereafter.
- (l) In April 2007, the Company established a standby irrevocable letter of credit for the benefit of Avis Budget Group Inc. in accordance with the Separation and Distribution Agreement. At September 30, 2011, the letter of credit was at \$100 million. This letter of credit is not included in the contractual obligations table above.
- (m) The contractual obligations table does not include the Apollo management fee and does not include other non-current liabilities such as pension liabilities of \$44 million and unrecognized tax benefits of \$37 million as the Company is not able to estimate the year in which these liabilities could be paid.

Potential Debt Purchases or Sales

Our affiliates have purchased a portion of our indebtedness and we or our affiliates from time to time may sell such indebtedness or purchase additional portions of our indebtedness. Any such future purchases or sales may be made through open market or privately negotiated transactions with third parties or pursuant to one or more tender or exchange offers or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as well as with such consideration as we or any such affiliates may determine. Affiliates who own portions of our indebtedness earn interest on a consistent basis with third party owners of such indebtedness.

Critical Accounting Policies

In presenting our financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported therein. Several of the estimates and assumptions we are required to make relate to matters that are inherently uncertain as they pertain to future events. However, events that are outside of our control cannot be predicted and, as such, they cannot be contemplated in evaluating such estimates and assumptions. If there is a significant unfavorable change to current conditions, it could result in a material adverse impact to our combined results of operations, financial position and liquidity. We believe that the estimates and assumptions we used when preparing our financial statements were the most appropriate at that time.

These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements included in the June 2011 Final Prospectus, which includes a description of our critical accounting policies that involve subjective and complex judgments that could potentially affect reported results.

Recently Issued and Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of recently issued and adopted accounting pronouncements.

Table of Contents**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risks.**

Our principal market exposure is interest rate risk. At September 30, 2011, our primary interest rate exposure was to interest rate fluctuations in the United States, specifically LIBOR, due to its impact on our variable rate borrowings. Due to our senior secured credit facility which is benchmarked to U.S. LIBOR, this rate will be the primary market risk exposure for the foreseeable future. We do not have significant exposure to foreign currency risk nor do we expect to have significant exposure to foreign currency risk in the foreseeable future.

We assess our market risk based on changes in interest rates utilizing a sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis measures the potential impact on earnings, fair values and cash flows based on a hypothetical 10% change (increase and decrease) in interest rates. In performing the sensitivity analysis, we are required to make assumptions regarding the fair values of relocation receivables and advances and securitization borrowings, which approximate their carrying values due to the short-term nature of these items. We believe our interest rate risk is further mitigated as the rate we incur on our securitization borrowings and the rate we earn on relocation receivables and advances are based on similar variable indices.

Our total market risk is influenced by various factors, including the volatility present within the markets and the liquidity of the markets. There are certain limitations inherent in the sensitivity analyses presented. While probably the most meaningful analysis, these analyses are constrained by several factors, including the necessity to conduct the analysis based on a single point in time and the inability to include the complex market reactions that normally would arise from the market shifts modeled.

At September 30, 2011, we had total long-term debt of \$7,027 million, excluding \$332 million of securitization obligations. Of the \$7,027 million of long-term debt, the Company has \$2,636 million of variable interest rate debt primarily based on LIBOR. We have entered into floating to fixed interest rate swap agreements with varying expiration dates with an aggregate notional value of \$425 million and effectively fixed our interest rate on that portion of variable interest rate debt. The remaining variable interest rate debt is subject to market rate risk as our interest payments will fluctuate as a result of market changes. We have determined that the impact of a 100 bps change in LIBOR (1% change in the interest rate) on our term loan facility variable rate borrowings would affect our annual interest expense by approximately \$22 million. While these results may be used as benchmarks, they should not be viewed as forecasts.

At September 30, 2011, the fair value of our long-term debt approximated \$5,578 million, which was determined based on quoted market prices. Since considerable judgment is required in interpreting market information, the fair value of the long-term debt is not necessarily indicative of the amount that could be realized in a current market exchange. A 10% decrease in market rates would have a \$155 million impact on the fair value of our long-term debt.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**Controls and Procedures for Domus Holdings Corp.**

- (a) Domus Holdings Corp. (Holdings) maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Holdings management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, recognizes that any set of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

Table of Contents

- (b) As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, Holdings has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Holdings' disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

- (c) There has not been any change in Holdings' internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures for Realogy Corporation

- (a) Realogy Corporation (Realogy) maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Realogy's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, recognizes that any set of controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives.

- (b) As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, Realogy has carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Realogy's disclosure controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

- (c) There has not been any change in Realogy's internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

We are involved in certain other claims and legal actions arising in the ordinary course of our business. The Company is involved in claims, legal proceedings and governmental inquiries related to alleged contract disputes, business practices, intellectual property and other commercial, employment, regulatory and tax matters. Certain of these actions allege damages under statutes that provide for treble or punitive damages. In addition, certain of these matters have or may become class action lawsuits which are inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties. We cannot predict with certainty the cost of defense, the cost of prosecution, insurance coverage or the ultimate outcome of litigation and other proceedings filed by or against us, including remedies or damage awards. While the results of such claims and legal actions cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe based on information currently available to us that the final outcome of any of the current proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The following updates certain disclosures with respect to specific legal and regulatory proceedings contained in our June 2011 Final Prospectus and our quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2011.

Legal Real Estate Business

Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. v. Cendant Corp. and Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (N.J. Super. Ct. L. Div., Morris County, New Jersey). In 2002, Frank K. Cooper Real Estate #1, Inc. filed a putative class action against Cendant and Cendant's subsidiary, Century 21 Real Estate Corporation (Century 21). The complaint alleges breach of certain provisions of the Real Estate Franchise Agreement entered into between Century 21 and the plaintiffs, breach of the implied duty of good faith and fair dealing, violation of the New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act and breach of certain express and implied fiduciary duties. The complaint alleges, among other things, that Cendant diverted money and resources from Century 21 franchisees and allotted them to NRT owned brokerages and otherwise improperly charged expenses to advertising funds. The complaint seeks unspecified compensatory and punitive damages, injunctive relief, interest, attorney's fees and costs. The New Jersey Consumer Fraud Act, if applicable, provides for treble damages, attorney's fees and costs as remedies for violation of the Act. On August 17, 2010, the court granted plaintiffs' renewed motion to certify a class. The certified class includes Century 21 franchisees at any time between August 1, 1995 and April 17, 2002 whose franchise agreements contain New Jersey choice of law and venue provisions and who have not executed releases releasing the claim (unless the release was a provision of a franchise renewal agreement).

A case management order entered on November 29, 2010 established, among other things, a trial date of April 16, 2012. Pursuant to the court order, the Notice Administrator has advised us that the notice of pendency of the action was mailed to possible class members on March 4, 2011, and a summary of that notice has been published in various print and online media.

In August 2011, the court denied, without prejudice, plaintiffs' motion seeking to invalidate two categories of claims releases. Based on the rulings of the court to date, there are approximately 1,020 franchisees (former and current) who are members of the class for all or a portion of the class period. Also, based upon the rulings by the court, merits discovery is substantially complete, but the exchange of expert reports and related discovery has not yet occurred.

This class action involves substantial, complex litigation. Class action litigation is inherently unpredictable and subject to significant uncertainties. The resolution of the Cooper Litigation could result in substantial losses and there can be no assurance that such resolution will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

Table of Contents

Larsen, et al. v. Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation, et al. (case formerly known as Joint Equity Committee of Investors of Real Estate Partners, Inc. v. Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corp., et al). The case, pending in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, arises from the relationship of several of our subsidiaries with a former Coldwell Banker Commercial franchise, whose affiliated entity allegedly utilized the Coldwell Banker Commercial name in the offer and sale of securities during the period in which it was a franchisee and for a period of time after the franchise agreement was terminated. In a SEC civil proceeding asserting violations of various securities laws, by stipulated judgment dated September 2, 2009, a shareholder of the franchisee, Real Estate Partners, Inc. (REP), and REP 's affiliated entities were ordered to disgorge approximately \$53 million in funds raised from investors. REP filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection in 2007. The bankruptcy trustee is actively working on gathering assets to compensate creditors, including the allegedly defrauded investors. In April 2010, the Joint Equity Committee of Investors of Real Estate Partners, Inc. (Joint Equity Committee) filed this action against our subsidiaries Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation and Coldwell Banker Real Estate LLC, alleging, among other things, negligence and fraud. The plaintiff alleged that the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries knew or should have known that REP and the Coldwell Banker Commercial franchisee were using the marks in connection with the promotion of securities but that the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries failed to act to stop that use. After the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries filed a motion to dismiss arguing, among other things, that the Joint Equity Committee lacked standing to bring claims on behalf of the investors or creditors in the bankruptcy proceeding, the initial complaint was amended to substitute individual investors as plaintiffs and was re-styled as a putative class action brought on behalf of REP investors. The First Amended Complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended Complaint on March 29, 2011, and the court denied the Coldwell Banker subsidiaries' motion to dismiss on September 8, 2011. On August 22, 2011, plaintiffs filed their motion to certify a class, which has yet to be fully briefed by both parties. Trial is currently scheduled for August 2012.

The Company also monitors litigation and claims asserted against other industry participants together with new statutory and regulatory enactments for potential impacts to its business. Two key areas that the Company is currently monitoring are RESPA compliance and rules concerning use of customer information with affiliates. The U.S. Supreme Court recently agreed to hear *Freeman vs. Quicken Loans, Inc.*, where the issue presented is whether RESPA applies to a fee that is not split or shared with a third party. A decision in that case could impact the extent to which RESPA applies to certain fixed fees that real estate brokerages, including our Company owned brokerages and certain of our franchisees, charge to a buyer or seller in a homesale transaction. Although the Company responds, as appropriate, to these developments, such developments may impose costs or obligations that adversely affect the Company's business operations or financial results.

Item 6. Exhibits.

See Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DOMUS HOLDINGS CORP.

REALOGY CORPORATION

Date: November 1, 2011

/s/ Anthony E. Hull
Anthony E. Hull
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 1, 2011

/s/ Dea Benson
Dea Benson
Senior Vice President,
Chief Accounting Officer and
Controller

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit	Description
12	Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Domus Holdings Corp. pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Domus Holdings Corp. pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.3	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Realogy Corporation pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.4	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Realogy Corporation pursuant to Rules 13(a)-14(a) and 15(d)-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification for Domus Holdings Corp. pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification for Realogy Corporation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Furnished electronically with this report.