

TYSON FOODS INC
Form 10-K
November 21, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the fiscal year ended **October 1, 2011**

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-14704

TYSON FOODS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

71-0225165
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2200 Don Tyson Parkway, Springdale, Arkansas
(Address of principal executive offices)

72762-6999
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

(479) 290-4000

Title of Each Class
Class A Common Stock, Par Value \$0.10
Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: Not Applicable

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
New York Stock Exchange

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

On April 2, 2011, the aggregate market value of the registrant's Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class A stock), and Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class B stock), held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$5,872,066,221 and \$408,715, respectively. Class B stock is not publicly listed for trade on any exchange or market system. However, Class B stock is convertible into Class A stock on a share-for-share basis, so the market value was calculated based on the market price of Class A stock.

On October 29, 2011, there were 299,769,152 shares of Class A stock and 70,020,855 shares of Class B stock outstanding.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant's Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held February 3, 2012, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	7
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	12
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	12
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	13
Item 4. <u>Removed and Reserved</u>	13
<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	15
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	17
Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	37
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	39
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	82
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	82
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	82
<u>PART III</u>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	83
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	83
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	83
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	84
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	84
<u>PART IV</u>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	84

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Founded in 1935, Tyson Foods, Inc. and its subsidiaries (collectively, *Company*, *we*, *us* or *our*) are one of the world's largest meat protein companies and the second-largest food production company in the *Fortune* 500 with one of the most recognized brand names in the food industry. We produce, distribute and market chicken, beef, pork, prepared foods and related allied products. Our operations are conducted in four segments: Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods. Some of the key factors influencing our business are customer demand for our products; the ability to maintain and grow relationships with customers and introduce new and innovative products to the marketplace; accessibility of international markets; market prices for our products; the cost of live cattle and hogs, raw materials, grain and feed ingredients; and operating efficiencies of our facilities.

We operate a fully vertically integrated poultry production process. Our integrated operations consist of breeding stock, contract growers, feed production, processing, further-processing, marketing and transportation of chicken and related allied products, including animal and pet food ingredients. Through our wholly-owned subsidiary, Cobb-Vantress, Inc. (Cobb), we are one of the leading poultry breeding stock suppliers in the world. Investing in breeding stock research and development allows us to breed into our flocks the characteristics found to be most desirable.

We also process live fed cattle and hogs and fabricate dressed beef and pork carcasses into primal and sub-primal meat cuts, case ready beef and pork and fully-cooked meats. In addition, we derive value from allied products such as hides and variety meats sold to further processors and others.

We produce a wide range of fresh, value-added, frozen and refrigerated food products. Our products are marketed and sold primarily by our sales staff to grocery retailers, grocery wholesalers, meat distributors, warehouse club stores, military commissaries, industrial food processing companies, chain restaurants or their distributors, international export companies and domestic distributors who serve restaurants, foodservice operations such as plant and school cafeterias, convenience stores, hospitals and other vendors. Additionally, sales to the military and a portion of sales to international markets are made through independent brokers and trading companies.

We have been exploring ways to commercialize our supply of poultry litter and animal fats. In June 2007, we announced a 50/50 joint venture with Syntroleum Corporation, called Dynamic Fuels LLC (Dynamic Fuels). Dynamic Fuels produces renewable synthetic fuels targeting the renewable diesel and jet fuel markets. Construction of production facilities was completed in late fiscal 2010, and initial production began in October 2010.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF SEGMENTS

We operate in four segments: Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods. The contribution of each segment to net sales and operating income (loss), and the identifiable assets attributable to each segment, are set forth in Note 16: Segment Reporting of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

DESCRIPTION OF SEGMENTS

Chicken: Chicken operations include breeding and raising chickens, as well as processing live chickens into fresh, frozen and value-added chicken products and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. It also includes sales from allied products and our chicken breeding stock subsidiary.

Beef: Beef operations include processing live fed cattle and fabricating dressed beef carcasses into primal and sub-primal meat cuts and case-ready products. This segment also includes sales from allied products such as hides and variety meats, as well as logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. Allied products are marketed to manufacturers of pharmaceuticals and technical products.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Pork: Pork operations include processing live market hogs and fabricating pork carcasses into primal and sub-primal cuts and case-ready products. This segment also includes our live swine group, related allied product processing activities and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. We sell allied products to pharmaceutical and technical products manufacturers, as well as a limited number of live swine to pork processors.

Table of Contents

Prepared Foods: Prepared Foods operations include manufacturing and marketing frozen and refrigerated food products and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products include pepperoni, bacon, beef and pork pizza toppings, pizza crusts, flour and corn tortilla products, appetizers, prepared meals, ethnic foods, soups, sauces, side dishes, meat dishes and processed meats. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets.

The results from Dynamic Fuels are included in Other.

RAW MATERIALS AND SOURCES OF SUPPLY

Chicken: The primary raw materials used in our chicken operations are corn and soybean meal used as feed and live chickens raised primarily by independent contract growers. Our vertically-integrated chicken process begins with the grandparent breeder flocks and ends with broilers for processing. Breeder flocks (i.e., grandparents) are raised to maturity in grandparent growing and laying farms where fertile eggs are produced. Fertile eggs are incubated at the grandparent hatchery and produce pullets (i.e., parents). Pullets are sent to breeder houses, and the resulting eggs are sent to our hatcheries. Once chicks have hatched, they are sent to broiler farms. There, contract growers care for and raise the chicks according to our standards, with advice from our technical service personnel, until the broilers reach the desired processing weight. Adult chickens are transported to processing plants, which are slaughtered and converted into finished products, then sent to distribution centers and delivered to customers.

We operate our own feed mills to produce scientifically-formulated feeds. In fiscal 2011, corn, soybean meal and other feed ingredients were major production costs, representing roughly 69% of our cost of growing a live chicken. In addition to feed ingredients to grow the chickens, we use cooking ingredients, packaging materials and cryogenic agents. We believe our sources of supply for these materials are adequate for our present needs, and we do not anticipate any difficulty in acquiring these materials in the future. While we produce nearly all our inventory of breeder chickens and live broilers, we also purchase live, ice-packed or deboned chicken to meet production and sales requirements.

Beef: The primary raw materials used in our beef operations are live cattle. We do not have facilities of our own to raise cattle but employ cattle buyers located throughout cattle producing areas who visit independent feed yards and public auctions and buy live cattle on the open spot market. These buyers are trained to select high quality animals, and we continually measure their performance. We also enter into various risk-sharing and procurement arrangements with producers to secure a supply of livestock for our facilities. We believe the sources of supply of live cattle are adequate for our present needs.

Pork: The primary raw materials used in our pork operations are live hogs. The majority of our live hog supply is obtained through various procurement relationships with independent producers. We employ buyers who make purchase agreements of various time durations as well as purchase hogs on a daily basis, generally a few days before the animals are processed. These buyers are trained to select high quality animals, and we continually measure their performance. We believe the sources of supply of live hogs are adequate for our present needs. Additionally, we raise a number of weanling swine to sell to independent finishers and supply a minimal amount of live swine for our own processing needs.

Prepared Foods: The primary raw materials used in our prepared foods operations are commodity based raw materials, including chicken, beef, pork, corn, flour and vegetables. Some of these raw materials are provided by our other segments, while others may be purchased from numerous suppliers and manufacturers. We believe the sources of supply of raw materials are adequate for our present needs.

SEASONAL DEMAND

Demand for chicken and beef products generally increases during the spring and summer months and generally decreases during the winter months. Pork and prepared foods products generally experience increased demand during the winter months, primarily due to the holiday season, while demand decreases during the spring and summer months.

CUSTOMERS

Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. accounted for 13.3% of our fiscal 2011 consolidated sales. Sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. were included in the Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods segments. Any extended discontinuance of sales to this customer could, if not replaced, have a material impact on our operations. No other single customer or customer group represented more than 10% of fiscal 2011 consolidated sales.

Table of Contents

COMPETITION

Our food products compete with those of other food producers and processors and certain prepared food manufacturers. Additionally, our food products compete in markets around the world.

We seek to achieve a leading market position for our products via our principal marketing and competitive strategy, which includes:

- identifying target markets for value-added products;
- concentrating production, sales and marketing efforts to appeal to and enhance demand from those markets; and
- utilizing our national distribution systems and customer support services.

Past efforts indicate customer demand can be increased and sustained through application of our marketing strategy, as supported by our distribution systems. The principal competitive elements are price, product safety and quality, brand identification, breadth and depth of product offerings, availability of products, customer service and credit terms.

INTERNATIONAL

We sold products to more than 130 countries in fiscal 2011. Major sales markets include Canada, Central America, China, the European Union, Japan, Mexico, the Middle East, Russia, South Korea, Taiwan and Vietnam.

We have the following international operations:

- Tyson de Mexico, a Mexican subsidiary, is a vertically-integrated poultry production company;
- Cobb-Vantress, a chicken breeding stock subsidiary, has business interests in Argentina, Brazil, the Dominican Republic, India, Ireland, Japan, the Netherlands, Peru, the Philippines, Russia, Spain, Sri Lanka, the United Kingdom and Venezuela;
- Tyson do Brazil, a Brazilian subsidiary, is a vertically-integrated poultry production company;
- Shandong Tyson Xinchang Foods, a Chinese subsidiary, is a vertically-integrated poultry production company;
- Tyson Dalong, a joint venture in China in which we have a majority interest, is a chicken further processing facility;
- Jiangsu-Tyson, a Chinese poultry breeding subsidiary, is building a vertically-integrated poultry operation with production expected to begin in fiscal 2012;
- Godrej Tyson Foods, a joint venture in India in which we have a majority interest, is a poultry processing business; and
- Cactus Argentina, a minority interest in a vertically-integrated beef operation joint venture in Argentina; however, we do not consolidate the entity due to the lack of controlling interest.

We continue to evaluate growth opportunities in foreign countries. Additional information regarding export sales, long-lived assets located in foreign countries and income (loss) from foreign operations is set forth in Note 16: Segment Reporting of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

We conduct continuous research and development activities to improve product development, to automate manual processes in our processing plants and growout operations, and to improve chicken breeding stock. In 2007, we opened the Discovery Center, which includes 19 research kitchens and a USDA-inspected pilot plant. The Discovery Center brings new market-leading retail and foodservice products to the customer faster and more effectively. Research and development costs totaled \$42 million, \$38 million and \$33 million in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATION AND FOOD SAFETY

Our facilities for processing chicken, beef, pork and prepared foods, milling feed and housing live chickens and swine are subject to a variety of federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations, which include provisions relating to the discharge of materials into the environment and generally provide for protection of the environment. We believe we are in substantial compliance with such applicable laws and regulations and are not aware of any violations of such laws and regulations likely to result in material penalties or material increases in compliance costs. The cost of compliance with such laws and regulations has not had a material adverse effect on our capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position, and except as described below, is not anticipated to have a material adverse effect in the future.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Congress and the United States Environmental Protection Agency are considering various options to control greenhouse gas emissions. It is unclear at this time when or if such options will be finalized, or what the final form may be. Due to the uncertainty surrounding this issue, it is premature to speculate on the specific nature of impacts that imposition of greenhouse gas emission controls would have on us, and whether such impacts would have a material adverse effect.

Table of Contents

We work to ensure our products meet high standards of food safety and quality. In addition to our own internal Food Safety and Quality Assurance oversight and review, our chicken, beef, pork and prepared foods products are subject to inspection prior to distribution, primarily by the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) and the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). We are also participants in the United States Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) program and are subject to the Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures and the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002.

EMPLOYEES AND LABOR RELATIONS

As of October 1, 2011, we employed approximately 115,000 employees. Approximately 97,000 employees were employed in the United States and 18,000 employees were in foreign countries, primarily China, Mexico and Brazil. Approximately 29,000 employees in the United States were subject to collective bargaining agreements with various labor unions, with approximately 40% of those employees included under agreements expiring in fiscal 2012. The remaining agreements expire over the next several years. Approximately 7,000 employees in foreign countries were subject to collective bargaining agreements. We believe our overall relations with our workforce are good.

MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTION

Our principal marketing objective is to be the primary provider of chicken, beef, pork and prepared foods products for our customers and consumers. As such, we utilize our national distribution system and customer support services to achieve the leading market position for our products. On an ongoing basis, we identify distinct markets and business opportunities through continuous consumer and market research. In addition to supporting strong regional brands across multiple protein lines, we build the Tyson brand and Tyson owned brands primarily through well-defined product-specific advertising and public relations efforts focused toward key consumer targets with specific needs. These efforts are designed to present key Tyson products as everyday solutions to relevant consumer problems thereby becoming part of regular eating routines.

We have the ability to produce and ship fresh, frozen and refrigerated products worldwide. Domestically, our distribution system extends to a broad network of food distributors and is supported by our owned or leased cold storage warehouses, public cold storage facilities and our transportation system. Our distribution centers accumulate fresh and frozen products so we can fill and consolidate less-than-truckload orders into full truckloads, thereby decreasing shipping costs while increasing customer service. In addition, we provide our customers a wide selection of products that do not require large volume orders. Our distribution system enables us to supply large or small quantities of products to meet customer requirements anywhere in the continental United States. Internationally, we utilize both rail and truck refrigerated transportation to domestic ports, where consolidations take place to transport to foreign destinations.

PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

We have filed a number of patents and trademarks relating to our processes and products that either have been approved or are in the process of application. Because we do a significant amount of brand name and product line advertising to promote our products, we consider the protection of our trademarks to be important to our marketing efforts. We also have developed non-public proprietary information regarding our production processes and other product-related matters. We utilize internal procedures and safeguards to protect the confidentiality of such information and, where appropriate, seek patent and/or trademark protection for the technology we utilize.

INDUSTRY PRACTICES

Our agreements with customers are generally short-term, primarily due to the nature of our products, industry practices and fluctuations in supply, demand and price for such products. In certain instances where we are selling further processed products to large customers, we may enter into written agreements whereby we will act as the exclusive or preferred supplier to the customer, with pricing terms that are either fixed or variable.

AVAILABILITY OF SEC FILINGS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DOCUMENTS ON INTERNET WEBSITE

We maintain an internet website for investors at <http://ir.tyson.com>. On this website, we make available, free of charge, annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to any of those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such reports with, or furnish to, the Securities and Exchange Commission. Also available on the website for investors are the Corporate Governance Principles, Audit Committee charter, Compensation Committee charter, Governance Committee charter, Nominating Committee charter, Code of Conduct and Whistleblower Policy. Our corporate governance documents are available in print, free of charge to any shareholder who requests them.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS RELEVANT TO FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF SAFE HARBOR PROVISIONS OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Certain information in this report constitutes forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, current views and estimates of our outlook for fiscal 2012, other future economic circumstances, industry conditions in domestic and international markets, our performance and financial results (e.g., debt levels, return on invested capital, value-added product growth, capital expenditures, tax rates, access to foreign markets and dividend policy). These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors and uncertainties that could cause our actual results and experiences to differ materially from anticipated results and expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements. We wish to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Among the factors that may cause actual results and experiences to differ from anticipated results and expectations expressed in such forward-looking statements are the following: (i) the effect of, or changes in, general economic conditions; (ii) fluctuations in the cost and availability of inputs and raw materials, such as live cattle, live swine, feed grains (including corn and soybean meal) and energy; (iii) market conditions for finished products, including competition from other global and domestic food processors, supply and pricing of competing products and alternative proteins and demand for alternative proteins; (iv) successful rationalization of existing facilities and operating efficiencies of the facilities; (v) risks associated with our commodity purchasing activities; (vi) access to foreign markets together with foreign economic conditions, including currency fluctuations, import/export restrictions and foreign politics; (vii) outbreak of a livestock disease (such as avian influenza (AI) or bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE)), which could have an adverse effect on livestock we own, the availability of livestock we purchase, consumer perception of certain protein products or our ability to access certain domestic and foreign markets; (viii) changes in availability and relative costs of labor and contract growers and our ability to maintain good relationships with employees, labor unions, contract growers and independent producers providing us livestock; (ix) issues related to food safety, including costs resulting from product recalls, regulatory compliance and any related claims or litigation; (x) changes in consumer preference and diets and our ability to identify and react to consumer trends; (xi) significant marketing plan changes by large customers or loss of one or more large customers; (xii) adverse results from litigation; (xiii) risks associated with leverage, including cost increases due to rising interest rates or changes in debt ratings or outlook; (xiv) compliance with and changes to regulations and laws (both domestic and foreign), including changes in accounting standards, tax laws, environmental laws, agricultural laws and occupational, health and safety laws; (xv) our ability to make effective acquisitions or joint ventures and successfully integrate newly acquired businesses into existing operations; (xvi) effectiveness of advertising and marketing programs; and (xvii) those factors listed under Item 1A. Risk Factors.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

These risks, which should be considered carefully with the information provided elsewhere in this report, could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Fluctuations in commodity prices and in the availability of raw materials, especially feed grains, live cattle, live swine and other inputs could negatively impact our earnings.

Our results of operations and financial condition are dependent upon the cost and supply of raw materials such as feed grains, live cattle, live swine, energy and ingredients, as well as the selling prices for our products, many of which are determined by constantly changing market forces of supply and demand over which we have limited or no control. Corn, soybean meal and other feed ingredients are major production costs for vertically-integrated poultry processors such as us, representing roughly 69% of our cost of growing a live chicken in fiscal 2011. As a result, fluctuations in prices for these feed ingredients, which include competing demand for corn and soybean meal for use in the manufacture of renewable energy, can adversely affect our earnings. Production of feed ingredients is affected by, among other things, weather patterns throughout the world, the global level of supply inventories and demand for grains and other feed ingredients, as well as agricultural and energy policies of domestic and foreign governments.

We have cattle under contract at feed yards owned by third parties; however, most of the cattle we process are purchased from independent producers. We have cattle buyers located throughout cattle producing areas who visit feed yards and buy live cattle on the open spot market. We also enter into various risk-sharing and procurement arrangements with producers who help secure a supply of livestock for daily start-up operations at our facilities. The majority of our live swine supply is obtained through procurement arrangements with independent producers. We also employ buyers who purchase hogs on a daily basis, generally a few days before the animals are required for processing. In addition, we raise live swine and sell feeder pigs to independent producers for feeding to processing weight and have contract growers feed a minimal amount of company-owned live swine for our own processing needs. Any decrease in the supply of cattle or swine on the spot market could increase the price of these raw materials and further increase per head cost of production due to lower capacity utilization, which could adversely affect our

financial results.

Table of Contents

Market supply and demand and the prices we receive for our products may fluctuate due to competition from other food producers and processors.

We face competition from other food producers and processors. Some of the factors on which we compete and which may drive demand for our products include:

- price;
- product safety and quality;
- brand identification;
- breadth and depth of product offerings;
- availability of our products and competing products;
- customer service; and
- credit terms.

Demand for our products also is affected by competitors' promotional spending, the effectiveness of our advertising and marketing programs, and the availability or price of competing proteins.

We attempt to obtain prices for our products that reflect, in part, the price we must pay for the raw materials that go into our products. If we are not able to obtain higher prices for our products when the price we pay for raw materials increases, we may be unable to maintain positive margins.

Outbreaks of livestock diseases can adversely impact our ability to conduct our operations and demand for our products.

Demand for our products can be adversely impacted by outbreaks of livestock diseases, which can have a significant impact on our financial results. Efforts are taken to control disease risks by adherence to good production practices and extensive precautionary measures designed to ensure the health of livestock. However, outbreaks of disease and other events, which may be beyond our control, either in our own livestock or cattle and hogs owned by independent producers who sell livestock to us, could significantly affect demand for our products, consumer perceptions of certain protein products, the availability of livestock for purchase by us and our ability to conduct our operations. Moreover, the outbreak of livestock diseases, particularly in our Chicken segment, could have a significant effect on the livestock we own by requiring us to, among other things, destroy any affected livestock. Furthermore, an outbreak of disease could result in governmental restrictions on the import and export of our products to or from our suppliers, facilities or customers. This could also result in negative publicity that may have an adverse effect on our ability to market our products successfully and on our financial results.

We are subject to risks associated with our international operations, which could negatively affect our sales to customers in foreign countries, as well as our operations and assets in such countries.

In fiscal 2011, we sold products to more than 130 countries. Major sales markets include Canada, Central America, China, the European Union, Japan, Mexico, the Middle East, Russia, South Korea, Taiwan and Vietnam. Our sales to customers in foreign countries for fiscal 2011 totaled \$5.5 billion, of which \$4.1 billion related to export sales from the United States. In addition, we had approximately \$539 million of long-lived assets located in foreign countries, primarily Brazil, China, Mexico and India, at the end of fiscal 2011.

As a result, we are subject to various risks and uncertainties relating to international sales and operations, including:

- imposition of tariffs, quotas, trade barriers and other trade protection measures imposed by foreign countries regarding the importation of poultry, beef and pork products, in addition to import or export licensing requirements imposed by various foreign countries;
- closing of borders by foreign countries to the import of poultry, beef and pork products due to animal disease or other perceived health or safety issues;
- impact of currency exchange rate fluctuations between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies, particularly the Brazilian real, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the Chinese renminbi, the European euro, and the Mexican peso;
- political and economic conditions;
- difficulties and costs associated in complying with, and enforcement of remedies under, a wide variety of complex domestic and international laws, treaties and regulations, including, without limitation, the United States' Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and economic and trade sanctions enforced by the United States Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control;

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

different regulatory structures and unexpected changes in regulatory environments;
tax rates that may exceed those in the United States and earnings that may be subject to withholding requirements and incremental taxes upon repatriation;
potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws; and
distribution costs, disruptions in shipping or reduced availability of freight transportation.

Table of Contents

Negative consequences relating to these risks and uncertainties could jeopardize or limit our ability to transact business in one or more of those markets where we operate or in other developing markets and could adversely affect our financial results.

We depend on the availability of, and good relations with, our employees.

We have approximately 115,000 employees, approximately 36,000 of whom are covered by collective bargaining agreements or are members of labor unions. Our operations depend on the availability and relative costs of labor and maintaining good relations with employees and the labor unions. If we fail to maintain good relations with our employees or with the labor unions, we may experience labor strikes or work stoppages, which could adversely affect our financial results.

We depend on contract growers and independent producers to supply us with livestock.

We contract primarily with independent contract growers to raise the live chickens processed in our poultry operations. A majority of our cattle and hogs are purchased from independent producers who sell livestock to us under marketing contracts or on the open market. If we do not attract and maintain contracts with growers or maintain marketing and purchasing relationships with independent producers, our production operations could be negatively affected.

If our products become contaminated, we may be subject to product liability claims and product recalls.

Our products may be subject to contamination by disease-producing organisms or pathogens, such as *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* and *E. coli*. These organisms and pathogens are found generally in the environment; therefore, there is a risk that one or more, as a result of food processing, could be present in our products. These organisms and pathogens also can be introduced to our products as a result of improper handling at the further processing, foodservice or consumer level. These risks may be controlled, but may not be eliminated, by adherence to good manufacturing practices and finished product testing. We have little, if any, control over handling procedures once our products have been shipped for distribution. Even an inadvertent shipment of contaminated products may be a violation of law and may lead to increased risk of exposure to product liability claims, product recalls (which may not entirely mitigate the risk of product liability claims), increased scrutiny and penalties, including injunctive relief and plant closings, by federal and state regulatory agencies, and adverse publicity, which could exacerbate the associated negative consumer reaction. Any of these occurrences may have an adverse effect on our financial results.

Our operations are subject to general risks of litigation.

We are involved on an on-going basis in litigation arising in the ordinary course of business or otherwise. Trends in litigation may include class actions involving consumers, shareholders, employees or injured persons, and claims relating to commercial, labor, employment, antitrust, securities or environmental matters. Litigation trends and the outcome of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty and adverse litigation trends and outcomes could adversely affect our financial results.

Our level of indebtedness and the terms of our indebtedness could negatively impact our business and liquidity position.

Our indebtedness, including borrowings under our revolving credit facility, may increase from time to time for various reasons, including fluctuations in operating results, working capital needs, capital expenditures and possible acquisitions, joint ventures or other significant initiatives. Our consolidated indebtedness level could adversely affect our business because:

- it may limit or impair our ability to obtain financing in the future;
- our credit rating could restrict or impede our ability to access capital markets at desired interest rates and increase our borrowing costs;
- it may reduce our flexibility to respond to changing business and economic conditions or to take advantage of business opportunities that may arise;
- a portion of our cash flow from operations must be dedicated to interest payments on our indebtedness and is not available for other purposes; and
- it may restrict our ability to pay dividends.

Our revolving credit facility contains affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: create liens and encumbrances; incur debt; merge, dissolve, liquidate or consolidate; make acquisitions and investments; dispose of or transfer assets; pay dividends or make other payments in respect of our capital stock; amend material documents; change the nature of our business; make certain payments of debt; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; and enter into sale/leaseback or hedging transactions, in each case, subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In addition, we are required to maintain minimum interest expense coverage and maximum leverage ratios.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Our 10.50% Senior notes due March 2014 also contain affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: incur additional debt and issue preferred stock; make certain investments and restricted payments; create liens; create restrictions on distributions from subsidiaries; engage in specified sales of assets and subsidiary stock; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter new lines of business; engage in consolidation, mergers and acquisitions; and engage in certain sale/leaseback transactions.

Table of Contents

An impairment in the carrying value of goodwill could negatively impact our consolidated results of operations and net worth.

Goodwill is initially recorded at fair value and is not amortized, but is reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators are present. In assessing the carrying value of goodwill, we make estimates and assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount rates based on budgets, business plans, economic projections, anticipated future cash flows and marketplace data. There are inherent uncertainties related to these factors and management's judgment in applying these factors. Goodwill valuations have been calculated using an income approach based on the present value of future cash flows of each reporting unit and are believed to reflect market participant views which would exist in an exit transaction. Under the income approach, we are required to make various judgmental assumptions about appropriate discount rates. Disruptions in global credit and other financial markets and deterioration of economic conditions, could, among other things, cause us to increase the discount rate used in the goodwill valuations. We could be required to evaluate the recoverability of goodwill prior to the annual assessment if we experience disruptions to the business, unexpected significant declines in operating results, divestiture of a significant component of our business or sustained market capitalization declines. These types of events and the resulting analyses could result in goodwill impairment charges in the future, which could be substantial. As of October 1, 2011, we had \$1.9 billion of goodwill, which represented approximately 17.1% of total assets.

Domestic and international government regulations could impose material costs.

Our operations are subject to extensive federal, state and foreign laws and regulations by authorities that oversee food safety standards and processing, packaging, storage, distribution, advertising, labeling and export of our products. Our facilities for processing chicken, beef, pork, prepared foods and milling feed and for housing live chickens and swine are subject to a variety of international, federal, state and local laws relating to the protection of the environment, including provisions relating to the discharge of materials into the environment, and to the health and safety of our employees. Our chicken, beef and pork processing facilities are participants in the HACCP program and are subject to the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002. In addition, our products are subject to inspection prior to distribution, primarily by the USDA and the FDA. Also, our livestock procurement and poultry growout activities are regulated by the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, which is part of USDA's Marketing and Regulatory Programs. Loss of or failure to obtain necessary permits and registrations could delay or prevent us from meeting current product demand, introducing new products, building new facilities or acquiring new businesses and could adversely affect operating results. Additionally, we are routinely subject to new or modified laws, regulations and accounting standards. If we are found to be out of compliance with applicable laws and regulations in these or other areas, we could be subject to civil remedies, including fines, injunctions, recalls or asset seizures, as well as potential criminal sanctions, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

A material acquisition, joint venture or other significant initiative could affect our operations and financial condition.

We periodically evaluate potential acquisitions, joint ventures and other initiatives (collectively, "transactions"), and we may seek to expand our business through the acquisition of companies, processing plants, technologies, products and services, which could include material transactions. A material transaction may involve a number of risks, including:

- failure to realize the anticipated benefits of the transaction;
- difficulty integrating acquired businesses, technologies, operations and personnel with our existing business;
- diversion of management attention in connection with negotiating transactions and integrating the businesses acquired;
- exposure to unforeseen or undisclosed liabilities of acquired companies; and
- the need to obtain additional debt or equity financing for any transaction.

We may not be able to address these risks and successfully develop these acquired companies or businesses into profitable units. If we are unable to do this, such expansion could adversely affect our financial results.

Market fluctuations could negatively impact our operating results as we hedge certain transactions.

Our business is exposed to fluctuating market conditions. We use derivative financial instruments to reduce our exposure to various market risks including changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign exchange rates. We hold certain positions, primarily in grain and livestock futures, that do not qualify as hedges for financial reporting purposes. These positions are marked to fair value, and the unrealized gains and losses are reported in earnings at each reporting date. Therefore, losses on these contracts will adversely affect our reported operating results. While these contracts reduce our exposure to changes in prices for commodity products, the use of such instruments may ultimately limit our ability to benefit from favorable commodity prices.

Deterioration of economic conditions could negatively impact our business.

Our business may be adversely affected by changes in economic conditions, including inflation, interest rates, access to capital markets, consumer spending rates, energy availability and costs (including fuel surcharges) and the effects of governmental initiatives to manage economic conditions. Any such changes could adversely affect the demand for our products, or the cost and availability of our needed raw materials, cooking ingredients and packaging materials, thereby negatively affecting our financial results.

Table of Contents

Disruptions in global credit and other financial markets and deterioration of economic conditions, could, among other things:

make it more difficult or costly for us to obtain financing for our operations or investments or to refinance our debt in the future;
cause our lenders to depart from prior credit industry practice and make more difficult or expensive the granting of any amendment of, or waivers under, our credit agreement to the extent we may seek them in the future;
impair the financial condition of some of our customers and suppliers thereby increasing customer bad debts or non-performance by suppliers;
negatively impact global demand for protein products, which could result in a reduction of sales, operating income and cash flows;
decrease the value of our investments in equity and debt securities, including our marketable debt securities,
company-owned life insurance and pension and other postretirement plan assets;
negatively impact our commodity purchasing activities if we are required to record losses related to derivative financial instruments; or
impair the financial viability of our insurers.

Changes in consumer preference could negatively impact our business.

The food industry in general is subject to changing consumer trends, demands and preferences. Trends within the food industry change often, and failure to identify and react to changes in these trends could lead to, among other things, reduced demand and price reductions for our products, and could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

The loss of one or more of our largest customers could negatively impact our business.

Our business could suffer significant setbacks in sales and operating income if our customers' plans and/or markets change significantly or if we lost one or more of our largest customers, including, for example, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., which accounted for 13.3% of our sales in fiscal 2011. Many of our agreements with our customers are short-term, primarily due to the nature of our products, industry practice and the fluctuation in demand and price for our products.

The consolidation of customers could negatively impact our business.

Our customers, such as supermarkets, warehouse clubs and food distributors, have consolidated in recent years, and consolidation is expected to continue throughout the United States and in other major markets. These consolidations have produced large, sophisticated customers with increased buying power who are more capable of operating with reduced inventories, opposing price increases, and demanding lower pricing, increased promotional programs and specifically tailored products. These customers also may use shelf space currently used for our products for their own private label products. Because of these trends, our volume growth could slow or we may need to lower prices or increase promotional spending for our products, any of which would adversely affect our financial results.

Extreme factors or forces beyond our control could negatively impact our business.

Natural disasters, fire, bioterrorism, pandemic or extreme weather, including droughts, floods, excessive cold or heat, hurricanes or other storms, could impair the health or growth of livestock or interfere with our operations due to power outages, fuel shortages, damage to our production and processing facilities or disruption of transportation channels, among other things. Any of these factors, as well as disruptions in our information systems, could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

Our renewable energy ventures and other initiatives might not be successful.

We have been exploring ways to convert animal fats and other by-products from our operations into value-added products. For example, in fiscal 2007, we announced the formation of Dynamic Fuels, a joint venture with Syntroleum Corporation. We will continue to explore other ways to commercialize opportunities outside our core business, such as renewable energy and other technologically-advanced platforms. These initiatives might not be as financially successful as we initially announced or might expect due to factors that include, but are not limited to, possible discontinuance of tax credits, competing energy prices, failure to operate at the volumes anticipated, abilities of our joint venture partners and our limited experience in some of these new areas.

Tyson Limited Partnership can exercise significant control.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

As of October 1, 2011, Tyson Limited Partnership (the TLP) owns 99.97% of the outstanding shares of Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class B stock) and the TLP and members of the Tyson family own, in the aggregate, 2.45% of the outstanding shares of Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class A stock), giving them, collectively, control of approximately 70.74% of the total voting power of the outstanding voting stock. At this time, the TLP does not have a managing general partner, and, as such, the management rights of the managing general partner may be exercised by a majority of the percentage interests of the general partners. As of October 1, 2011, Mr. John Tyson, Chairman of the Board of Directors, has 33.33% of the general partner percentage interests, and Ms. Barbara Tyson, a director of the Company, has 11.115% general partner percentage interests (the remaining general partnership interests are held by the Tyson Partnership Interest Trust (44.44%) and Harry C. Erwin, III (11.115%)). As a result of these holdings, positions and directorships, the partners in the TLP have the ability to exert substantial influence or actual control over our management and affairs and over substantially all matters requiring action by our stockholders, including amendments to our restated

Table of Contents

certificate of incorporation and by-laws, the election and removal of directors, any proposed merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets and other corporate transactions. This concentration of ownership may also delay or prevent a change in control otherwise favored by our other stockholders and could depress our stock price. Additionally, as a result of the Tyson family's significant ownership of our outstanding voting stock, we rely on the controlled company exemption from certain corporate governance requirements of the New York Stock Exchange.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We have production and distribution operations in the following states: Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington and Wisconsin. We also have sales offices throughout the United States. Additionally, we, either directly or through our subsidiaries, have sales offices, facilities or participate in joint venture operations in Argentina, Brazil, China, the Dominican Republic, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Japan, Mexico, the Netherlands, Peru, the Philippines, Russia, South Korea, Spain, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom and Venezuela.

	Number of Facilities		Total
	Owned	Leased	
Chicken Segment:			
Processing plants	60	1	61
Rendering plants	15	-	15
Blending mills	2	-	2
Feed mills	41	2	43
Broiler hatcheries	62	10	72
Breeder houses	508	783	1,291
Broiler farm houses	809	926	1,735
Beef Segment Production Facilities	12	-	12
Pork Segment Production Facilities	9	-	9
Prepared Foods Segment Processing Plants	22	1	23
Distribution Centers	11	5	16
Cold Storage Facilities	65	12	77
		Capacity(1)	
		per week at	Fiscal 2011
		October 1, 2011	Average Capacity
Chicken Processing Plants		46 million head	Utilization
Beef Production Facilities		175,000 head	92%
Pork Production Facilities		448,000 head	81%
Prepared Foods Processing Plants		45 million pounds	89%
			85%

(1) Capacity based on a five day week for Chicken and Prepared Foods, while Beef and Pork are based on a six day week.

Chicken: Chicken processing plants include various phases of slaughtering, dressing, cutting, packaging, deboning and further-processing. We also have 17 pet food operations, which are part of the Chicken processing plants. The blending mills, feed mills and broiler hatcheries have sufficient capacity to meet the needs of the chicken growout operations.

Beef: Beef plants include various phases of slaughtering live cattle and fabricating beef products. Some also treat and tan hides. The Beef segment includes three case-ready operations that share facilities with the Pork segment. One of the beef facilities contains a tallow refinery. Carcass facilities reduce live cattle to dressed carcass form. Processing facilities conduct fabricating operations to produce boxed beef and allied

products.

Pork: Pork plants include various phases of slaughtering live hogs and fabricating pork products and allied products. The Pork segment includes three case-ready operations that share facilities with the Beef segment.

Prepared Foods: Prepared Foods plants process fresh and frozen chicken, beef, pork and other raw materials into pizza toppings, branded and processed meats, appetizers, prepared meals, ethnic foods, soups, sauces, side dishes, pizza crusts, flour and corn tortilla products and meat dishes.

Our Dynamic Fuels joint venture produces renewable synthetic fuels targeting the renewable diesel and jet fuel markets. Construction of production facilities was completed in late fiscal 2010, and initial production began in October 2010. Dynamic Fuels operates one plant with designed annual capacity of 75 million gallons.

Table of Contents

We believe our present facilities are generally adequate and suitable for our current purposes; however, seasonal fluctuations in inventories and production may occur as a reaction to market demands for certain products. We regularly engage in construction and other capital improvement projects intended to expand capacity and improve the efficiency of our processing and support facilities. We also consider the efficiencies of our operations and may from time to time consider changing the number or type of plants we operate to align with our capacity needs.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Refer to the description of certain legal proceedings pending against us under Part II, Item 8, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 19: Commitments and Contingencies, which discussion is incorporated herein by reference. Listed below are certain additional legal proceedings involving the Company and/or its subsidiaries.

On October 23, 2001, a putative class action lawsuit styled R. Lynn Thompson, et al. vs. Tyson Foods, Inc. was filed in the District Court for Mayes County, Oklahoma by three property owners on behalf of all owners of lakefront property on Grand Lake O the Cherokees. Simmons Foods, Inc. and Peterson Farms, Inc. also are defendants. The plaintiffs allege the defendants' operations diminished the water quality in the lake thereby interfering with the plaintiffs' use and enjoyment of their properties. The plaintiffs sought injunctive relief and an unspecified amount of compensatory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees and costs. While the District Court certified a class, on October 4, 2005, the Court of Civil Appeals of the State of Oklahoma reversed, holding the plaintiffs' claims were not suitable for disposition as a class action. This decision was upheld by the Oklahoma Supreme Court and the case was remanded to the District Court with instructions that the matter proceed only on behalf of the three named plaintiffs. Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, restitution and compensatory and punitive damages in an unspecified amount in excess of \$10,000. We and the other defendants have denied liability and asserted various defenses. The defendants have requested a trial date, but the court has not yet scheduled the matter for trial.

Since 2003, nine lawsuits have been brought against us and several other poultry companies by approximately 150 plaintiffs in Washington County, Arkansas Circuit Court (Green v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., Bible v. Tyson Foods, Inc., Beal v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., McWhorter v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., McConnell v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., Carroll v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., Belew v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., Gonzalez v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., and Rasco v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.) alleging that the land application of poultry litter caused arsenic and pathogenic mold and fungi contamination of the air, soil and water in and around Prairie Grove, Arkansas and seeking recovery for several types of personal injuries, including several forms of cancer. On August 2, 2006, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of Tyson and the other poultry company defendants in the first case to go to trial, which the plaintiffs appealed, and the trial court stayed the remaining eight lawsuits pending the appeal. On May 8, 2008, the Arkansas Supreme Court reversed the summary judgment and remanded for a new trial. The remanded trial was held and the jury returned a verdict in our favor. The plaintiffs appealed this verdict to the Arkansas Supreme Court, which affirmed the verdict and denied the plaintiffs' petition for rehearing. The trial court has scheduled the second trial for October 22, 2012.

In 2010 our Mexican subsidiary, Tyson de Mexico (TdM), provided the National Water Commission (CONAGUA), an agency of the Mexican government's Ministry of the Environment and Natural Resources, with information on TdM's water usage for 2008 and 2009 at certain water wells that are part of TdM's poultry production operations. In February 2011, the regional CONAGUA office informed TdM that it was the regional CONAGUA office's opinion that TdM's permits for water usage from certain wells lapsed between the period of January 1, 2009 through May 5, 2009, and it estimated TdM owed approximately 6.5 million pesos (approximately \$560,000) for water usage during this period. TdM has had ongoing discussions with the regional CONAGUA office on this matter and is awaiting the regional office's final determination.

In late 2010, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 7 began a Clean Air Act investigation of the company related to operation and maintenance of ammonia refrigeration equipment at multiple facilities. The EPA subsequently referred the matter, which involves allegations of potential non-compliance with the Clean Air Act's Risk Management Plan requirements at 15 Tyson facilities in Kansas, Missouri, Iowa and Nebraska, to the United States Department of Justice (DOJ). The EPA and DOJ have indicated they will seek monetary penalties (but the EPA and DOJ have not yet indicated an amount) and injunctive relief requiring equipment and infrastructure changes at several facilities.

Other Matters: We currently have approximately 115,000 employees and, at any time, have various employment practices matters outstanding. In the aggregate, these matters are significant to the Company, and we devote significant resources to managing employment issues. Additionally, we are subject to other lawsuits, investigations and claims (some of which involve substantial amounts) arising out of the conduct of our business. While the ultimate results of these matters cannot be determined, they are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 4. REMOVED AND RESERVED

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY**

Our officers serve one year terms from the date of their election, or until their successors are appointed and qualified. No family relationships exist among these officers. The name, title, age and year of initial election to executive office of our executive officers are listed below:

Name	Title	Age	Year Elected Executive Officer
Craig J. Hart	Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	55	2004
Kenneth J. Kimbro	Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer	58	2009
Donnie King	Senior Group Vice President, Poultry and Prepared Foods	49	2009
Dennis Leatherby	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	51	1994
James V. Lochner	Chief Operating Officer	59	2005
Donnie Smith	President and Chief Executive Officer	52	2008
John Tyson	Chairman of the Board of Directors	58	2011(1)
David L. Van Bebber	Executive Vice President and General Counsel	55	2008
Noel White	Senior Group Vice President, Fresh Meats	53	2009

(1) Mr. Tyson was first elected an executive officer in 1989. In 2007, the Company's Board determined that the Chairman of the Board would no longer be considered an executive officer. On November 17, 2011, as part of its annual determination of executive officers, the Board designated Mr. Tyson in his capacity as Chairman of the Board as an executive officer.

Craig J. Hart was appointed Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer in 2004. Mr. Hart was initially employed by IBP, inc. in 1978.

Kenneth J. Kimbro was appointed Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources Officer in 2007, after serving as Senior Vice President, Human Resources since 2001. Mr. Kimbro was initially employed by IBP, inc. in 1995.

Donnie King was appointed Senior Group Vice President, Poultry and Prepared Foods in December 2009, after serving as Group Vice President, Refrigerated and Deli since 2008, Group Vice President, Operations since 2007, Senior Vice President, Consumer Products Operations since 2006 and Senior Vice President, Poultry Operations since 2003. Mr. King was initially employed by Valmac Industries, Inc. in 1982. Valmac Industries, Inc. was acquired by the Company in 1984.

Dennis Leatherby was appointed Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in 2008 after serving as Senior Vice President, Finance and Treasurer since 1998. He also served as Interim Chief Financial Officer from 2004 to 2006. Mr. Leatherby was initially employed by the Company in 1990.

James V. Lochner was appointed Chief Operating Officer in November 2009, after serving as Senior Group Vice President, Fresh Meats since 2007, Senior Group Vice President, Fresh Meats and Margin Optimization since 2006 and Senior Group Vice President, Margin Optimization, Purchasing and Logistics since 2005. Mr. Lochner was initially employed by IBP, inc. in 1983.

Donnie Smith was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in November 2009, after serving as Senior Group Vice President, Poultry and Prepared Foods since January 2009, Group Vice President of Consumer Products since 2008, Group Vice President of Logistics and Operations Services since 2007, Group Vice President Information Systems, Purchasing and Distribution since 2006 and Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer since 2005. Mr. Smith was initially employed by the Company in 1980.

John Tyson has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors since 1998 and was previously Chief Executive Officer of the Company from 2001 until 2006.

David L. Van Bebber was appointed Executive Vice President and General Counsel in 2008, after serving as Senior Vice President and Deputy General Counsel since 2004. Mr. Van Bebber was initially employed by Lane Processing in 1982. Lane Processing was acquired by the Company in 1986.

Noel White was appointed Senior Group Vice President, Fresh Meats in December 2009, after serving as Senior Vice President, Pork Margin Management since 2007 and Group Vice President, Fresh Meats Operations/Commodity Sales since 2005. Mr. White was initially employed by IBP, inc. in 1983.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

We have issued and outstanding two classes of capital stock, Class A stock and Class B stock. Holders of Class B stock may convert such stock into Class A stock on a share-for-share basis. Holders of Class B stock are entitled to 10 votes per share while holders of Class A stock are entitled to one vote per share on matters submitted to shareholders for approval. As of October 29, 2011, there were approximately 29,000 holders of record of our Class A stock and 9 holders of record of our Class B stock, excluding holders in the security position listings held by nominees.

DIVIDENDS

Cash dividends cannot be paid to holders of Class B stock unless they are simultaneously paid to holders of Class A stock. The per share amount of the cash dividend paid to holders of Class B stock cannot exceed 90% of the cash dividend simultaneously paid to holders of Class A stock. We have paid uninterrupted quarterly dividends on common stock each year since 1977 and expect to continue our cash dividend policy during fiscal 2012. In both fiscal 2011 and 2010, the annual dividend rate for Class A stock was \$0.16 per share and the annual dividend rate for Class B stock was \$0.144 per share.

MARKET INFORMATION

Our Class A stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol TSN. No public trading market currently exists for our Class B stock. The high and low closing sales prices of our Class A stock for each quarter of fiscal 2011 and 2010 are represented in the table below.

	Fiscal 2011		Fiscal 2010	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter	\$ 17.74	\$ 14.84	\$ 13.19	\$ 12.02
Second Quarter	19.82	16.25	19.50	12.24
Third Quarter	19.92	17.12	20.40	16.25
Fourth Quarter	19.24	15.68	18.06	15.22

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The table below provides information regarding our purchases of Class A stock during the periods indicated.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
July 3 to July 30, 2011	191,102	\$ 18.98	-	18,071,095
July 31 to Sept. 3, 2011	2,919,607	16.97	2,807,479	15,263,616
Sept. 4 to Oct. 1, 2011	2,524,152	17.16	2,469,800	12,793,816
Total	(2) 5,634,861	\$ 17.12	(3) 5,277,279	12,793,816

- (1) On February 7, 2003, we announced our Board of Directors approved a plan to repurchase up to 25 million shares of Class A common stock from time to time in open market or privately negotiated transactions. The plan has no fixed or scheduled termination date. On May 11, 2011, the Board of Directors reactivated the program, effective immediately, to repurchase up to the remaining 22.5 million shares of the Company's Class A common stock.
- (2) We purchased 357,582 shares during the period that were not made pursuant to our previously announced stock repurchase plan, but were purchased to fund certain Company obligations under our equity compensation plans. These transactions included 325,835 shares purchased in open market transactions and 31,747 shares withheld to cover required tax withholdings on the vesting of restricted stock.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

- (3) We purchased 5,277,279 shares during the period pursuant to our previously announced stock repurchase plan of approximately 25 million shares.

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph shows a five-year comparison of cumulative total returns for our Class A stock, the Standard & Poor's (S&P) 500 Index and a group of peer companies described below.

	Base Period		Years Ending			
	9/30/06	9/29/07	9/27/08	10/3/09	10/2/10	10/1/11
Tyson Foods, Inc.	100	113.35	81.41	80.40	107.22	115.51
S&P 500 Index	100	116.44	90.85	84.58	93.17	94.24
Peer Group	100	106.89	106.09	96.68	114.50	126.61

The total cumulative return on investment (change in the year-end stock price plus reinvested dividends), which is based on the stock price or composite index at the end of fiscal 2006, is presented for each of the periods for the Company, the S&P 500 Index and a peer group. The peer group includes: Campbell Soup Company, ConAgra Foods, Inc., General Mills, Inc., H.J. Heinz Co., Hershey Foods Corp., Hormel Foods Corp., Kellogg Co., McCormick & Co., Pilgrim's Pride Corporation, Sara Lee Corp. and Smithfield Foods, Inc. The graph compares the performance of the Company with that of the S&P 500 Index and peer group, with the investment weighted on market capitalization.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA****FIVE-YEAR FINANCIAL SUMMARY**

	in millions, except per share and ratio data				
	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007
Summary of Operations					
Sales	\$ 32,266	\$ 28,430	\$ 26,704	\$ 26,862	\$ 25,729
Goodwill impairment	-	29	560	-	-
Operating income (loss)	1,285	1,556	(215)	331	613
Net interest expense	231	333	310	206	224
Income (loss) from continuing operations	733	765	(550)	86	268
Loss from discontinued operation	-	-	(1)	-	-
Net income (loss)	733	765	(551)	86	268
Net income (loss) attributable to Tyson	750	780	(547)	86	268
Diluted net income (loss) per share attributable to Tyson:					
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1.97	2.06	(1.47)	0.24	0.75
Loss from discontinued operation	-	-	-	-	-
Net income (loss)	1.97	2.06	(1.47)	0.24	0.75
Dividends per share:					
Class A	0.160	0.160	0.160	0.160	0.160
Class B	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144	0.144
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 716	\$ 978	\$ 1,004	\$ 250	\$ 42
Total assets	11,071	10,752	10,595	10,850	10,227
Total debt	2,182	2,536	3,477	2,804	2,779
Shareholders' equity	5,685	5,201	4,431	5,099	4,735
Other Key Financial Measures					
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 506	\$ 497	\$ 513	\$ 493	\$ 514
Capital expenditures	643	550	368	425	285
Return on invested capital	18.5%	22.8%	(3.0)%	4.4%	7.7%
Effective tax rate	31.8%	36.4%	(1.5)%	44.6%	34.6%
Total debt to capitalization	27.7%	32.8%	44.0%	35.5%	37.0%
Book value per share	\$ 15.38	\$ 13.78	\$ 11.77	\$ 13.51	\$ 13.32
Closing stock price high	19.92	20.40	13.88	19.44	24.08
Closing stock price low	14.84	12.02	4.40	12.14	14.20

Notes to Five-Year Financial Summary

- Fiscal 2011 included an \$11 million non-operating gain related to the sale of interest in an equity method investment and a \$21 million reduction to income tax expense related to a reversal of reserves for foreign uncertain tax positions.
- Fiscal 2010 included \$61 million of interest expense related to losses on notes repurchased/redeemed during fiscal 2010, a \$29 million non-tax deductible charge related to a full goodwill impairment related to an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit and a \$12 million non-operating charge related to the partial impairment of an equity method investment. Additionally, fiscal 2010 included insurance proceeds received of \$38 million related to Hurricane Katrina.
- Fiscal 2009 was a 53-week year, while the other years presented were 52-week years.
- Fiscal 2009 included a \$560 million non-tax deductible charge related to Beef segment goodwill impairment and a \$15 million pretax charge related to closing a prepared foods plant.
- Fiscal 2008 included \$76 million of pretax charges related to: restructuring a beef operation; closing a poultry plant; asset impairments for packaging equipment, intangible assets, unimproved real property and software; flood damage; and severance charges. Additionally, fiscal 2008 included an \$18 million non-operating gain related to the sale of an investment.
- Fiscal 2007 included tax expense of \$17 million related to a fixed asset tax cost correction, primarily related to a fixed asset system conversion in 1999.
- Return on invested capital is calculated by dividing operating income (loss) by the sum of the average of beginning and ending total debt and shareholders' equity less cash and cash equivalents.
- For the total debt to capitalization calculation, capitalization is defined as total debt plus total shareholders' equity.
- In March 2009, we completed the sale of the beef processing, cattle feed yard and fertilizer assets of three of our Alberta, Canada subsidiaries (collectively, Lakeside). Lakeside was reported as a discontinued operation for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPANY

We are one of the world's largest meat protein companies and the second-largest food production company in the *Fortune* 500 with one of the most recognized brand names in the food industry. We produce, distribute and market chicken, beef, pork, prepared foods and related allied products. Our operations are conducted in four segments: Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods. Some of the key factors influencing our business are customer demand for our products; the ability to maintain and grow relationships with customers and introduce new and innovative products to the marketplace; accessibility of international markets; market prices for our products; the cost of live cattle and hogs, raw materials, grain and feed ingredients; and operating efficiencies of our facilities.

OVERVIEW

General As a result of improved internal performance, strong exports and favorable market conditions in our Beef and Pork segments, our operating results remained strong in fiscal 2011 despite a \$3.7 billion increase in input costs. The following are a few of the key drivers:

We continued to focus on maximizing our margins through margin management and operational efficiency improvements. Margin management improvements occurred in the areas of mix, export sales, price optimization and value-added products initiatives. The operational efficiencies occurred in the areas of yields, cost reduction, labor management and logistics cost optimization. Strong demand and exports in the Beef and Pork segments created a favorable pricing environment. While our Chicken segment remained profitable in fiscal 2011, we were challenged by \$675 million in increased grain and other feed ingredients costs, as well as excess industry supplies, which made it difficult to pass along the increased input costs. As a result of balancing our supply with customer demand, we cut production after customer demand fell short of expectations. Recent USDA data indicates decreased egg sets, broiler chick placements and slaughter pounds. However, the impact of these production cuts, and the associated impact on market prices, did not begin to materialize until late fourth quarter and into fiscal 2012.

With an operating margin of 4.0% in fiscal 2011, we have achieved operating margins of 4.0% or better in consecutive years for the first time since the acquisition of IBP, inc. in 2001. The following is a summary of operating margins by segment:

Chicken	1.5%
Beef	3.5%
Pork	10.3%
Prepared Foods	3.6%

Debt and Liquidity During fiscal 2011, we generated \$1.0 billion of operating cash flows. Total debt declined \$350 million in fiscal 2011 to \$2.2 billion, the lowest level since the acquisition of IBP, inc. Additionally, we repurchased, as part of our previously announced share repurchase program, 9.7 million shares of our stock for \$170 million in fiscal 2011. At October 1, 2011, we had \$1.6 billion of liquidity, which includes the availability under our credit facility and \$716 million of cash and cash equivalents. Our accounting cycle resulted in a 52-week year for both fiscal 2011 and 2010 and a 53-week year for fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

	in millions, except per share data		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss) attributable to Tyson	\$ 750	\$ 780	\$ (547)
Net income (loss) attributable to Tyson per diluted share	1.97	2.06	(1.47)

2011 Net income included the following items:

- \$11 million gain related to a sale of interests in an equity method investment; and
- \$21 million reduction to income tax expense related to a reversal of reserves for foreign uncertain tax positions.

2010 Net income included the following items:

- \$61 million in charges related to losses on notes repurchased during fiscal 2010;
- \$29 million non-cash, non-tax deductible charge related to a full goodwill impairment in an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit;
- \$12 million non-cash, non-tax deductible charge related to the impairment of an equity method investment; and
- \$38 million gain from insurance proceeds.

2009 Net loss included the following items:

- \$560 million non-cash, non-tax deductible charge related to a goodwill impairment in our Beef segment; and
- \$15 million charge related to the closing of our Ponca City, Oklahoma, processed meats plant.

FISCAL 2012 OUTLOOK

USDA data indicates overall domestic protein (chicken, beef, pork and turkey) production is expected to decrease in fiscal 2012. Because exports are likely to remain strong, we forecast total domestic availability of protein to be down 2-3% compared to fiscal 2011, which should continue to support improved pricing. The following is a summary of the fiscal 2012 outlook for each of our segments, as well as an outlook on sales, capital expenditures, net interest expense, debt and liquidity and share repurchases:

Chicken For fiscal 2012, we expect industry production will decrease approximately 4% from fiscal 2011, which should gradually improve market pricing conditions. Current futures prices indicate higher grain costs in fiscal 2012 compared to fiscal 2011. We expect to offset the increased grain costs with operational, pricing and mix improvements. Our Chicken segment is currently profitable and we expect it to strengthen throughout the year.

Beef We expect to see a gradual reduction in fed cattle supplies of 1-2% in fiscal 2012 as well as exports to remain strong as compared to fiscal 2011. Despite reduced domestic availability, we expect adequate supplies in the regions we operate our plants. Although current weak industry fundamentals are challenging our Beef business, we expect it to be profitable in the first quarter. We anticipate the fundamentals will strengthen throughout the year and our Beef segment will be in our normalized range for fiscal 2012.

Pork We expect hog supplies in fiscal 2012 to be comparable to fiscal 2011 and to be adequate in the regions in which we operate. Additionally, we expect pork exports to remain strong in fiscal 2012. Based on these factors, we expect strong fundamentals in our Pork business to continue in fiscal 2012.

Prepared Foods We expect operational improvements and increased pricing to offset an anticipated increase in raw material costs. Because many of our sales contracts are formula based or shorter-term in nature, we are typically able to offset rising input costs through increased pricing. However, there is a lag time for price increases to take effect. We expect improved Prepared Foods profitability for fiscal 2012 primarily due to improvements in our lunchmeats business.

Sales We expect 2012 sales to exceed \$34 billion mostly resulting from price increases related to decreases in domestic availability of protein and rising raw material costs.

Capital Expenditures Our preliminary capital expenditures plan for fiscal 2012 is approximately \$800-\$850 million. We will continue to make significant investments in our production facilities for high return operational efficiencies, other profit improvement projects and development of our foreign operations.

Net Interest Expense We expect fiscal 2012 net interest expense will be approximately \$185 million, down \$46 million compared to fiscal 2011.

Debt and Liquidity We do not have any significant maturities of debt coming due over the next two fiscal years and will continue to use our available cash to repurchase notes when available at attractive rates. We plan to maintain total liquidity in excess of \$1.2 billion.

Share Repurchases We expect to continue repurchasing shares under our previously announced share repurchase plan. In fiscal 2011, we repurchased 9.7 million shares for approximately \$170 million. As of October 1, 2011, 12.8 million shares remain authorized for repurchases. The timing and extent to which we repurchase shares will depend upon, among other things, market conditions, liquidity targets, our debt obligations and regulatory requirements.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY OF RESULTS CONTINUING OPERATIONS**

Sales	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Sales	\$ 32,266	\$ 28,430	\$ 26,704
Change in sales volume	1.7%	(0.6)%	
Change in average sales price	11.8%	7.1%	
Sales growth	13.5%	6.5%	

2011 vs. 2010

Average Sales Price The increase in sales was largely due to an increase in average sales prices, which accounted for an increase of approximately \$3.4 billion. While all segments had an increase in average sales prices mostly due to price increases associated with rising raw material costs, the majority of the increase was driven by the Beef and Pork segments.

Sales Volume Sales were positively impacted by an increase in sales volume, which accounted for an increase of \$484 million. This was primarily due to increases in the Chicken and Pork segments, partially offset by decreases in the Beef and Prepared Foods segments.

2010 vs. 2009

Average Sales Price The increase in sales was largely due to an increase in average sales prices, which accounted for an increase of approximately \$1.9 billion. While all segments had an increase in average sales prices, the majority of the increase was driven by the Beef and Pork segments.

Sales Volume Sales were negatively impacted by a decrease in sales volume, which accounted for a decrease of \$150 million. This was primarily due to an extra week in fiscal 2009 and the decrease in Pork segment sales volume, partially offset by an increase from a fiscal 2009 acquisition in the Chicken segment.

Cost of Sales	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Cost of sales	\$ 30,067	\$ 25,916	\$ 25,501
Gross profit	\$ 2,199	\$ 2,514	\$ 1,203
Cost of sales as a percentage of sales	93.2%	91.2%	95.5%

2011 vs. 2010

Cost of sales increased by approximately \$4.1 billion. Higher input cost per pound increased cost of sales by approximately \$3.7 billion, while higher sales volume increased cost of sales \$445 million.

The \$3.7 billion impact of higher input costs per pound was primarily driven by:

Increase in average live cattle and hog costs of approximately \$2.4 billion.

Increase in grain and feed ingredients of \$675 million and increase in other growout operating costs of \$74 million in our Chicken segment, which were partially offset by approximately \$200 million of operational improvements.

Increase in raw material costs of \$273 million in our Prepared Foods segment.

The \$0.4 billion impact of higher sales volumes was primarily driven by:

Increases in sales volume in our Chicken and Pork segments partially offset by decreases in our Beef and Prepared Foods segments.

Increase of \$145 million of costs of sales associated with Dynamic Fuels, which commenced production activities in fiscal 2011.

2010 vs. 2009

Cost of sales increased \$415 million. Higher cost per pound increased cost of sales by \$558 million, partially offset by lower sales volume which decreased cost of sales by \$143 million.

Increase in average live cattle and hog costs of approximately \$1.0 billion.

Increase due to net losses of \$78 million in fiscal 2010, as compared to net gains of \$191 million in fiscal 2009, from our commodity risk management activities related to forward futures contracts for live cattle and hogs, and excludes the impact from related physical purchase transactions which impact current and future period operating results.

Increase in raw material costs of approximately \$218 million in our Prepared Foods segment.

Increase in incentive-based compensation of approximately \$97 million.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Decrease due to net losses of \$6 million in fiscal 2010, as compared to net losses of \$257 million in fiscal 2009, from our commodity risk management activities related to grain and energy purchases, and excludes the impact from related physical purchase transactions which impact current and future period operating results.

Decrease in grain costs in the Chicken segment of approximately \$158 million.

Decrease in the Chicken segment costs resulting from operational improvements.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Selling, general and administrative	\$ 914	\$ 929	\$ 841
As a percentage of sales	2.8%	3.3%	3.1%

2011 vs. 2010

Decrease of \$13 million related to incentive-based compensation.

2010 vs. 2009

Increase of \$118 million related to incentive-based compensation.

Reductions include decreases resulting from one less week in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009, as well as a \$16 million reduction in professional fees, advertising and sales promotions.

Goodwill Impairment	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
	\$ 0	\$ 29	\$ 560

We perform our annual goodwill impairment test on the first day of the fourth quarter. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units using a discounted cash flow analysis. As further discussed in Critical Accounting Estimates, this analysis requires us to make various judgmental estimates and assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount factors.

2010 Includes the full impairment of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit.

2009 Includes the partial impairment of our Beef segment reporting unit.

Other Charges	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 17

2009 Included \$15 million charge related to closing our Ponca City, Oklahoma, processed meats plant.

Interest Income	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
	\$ 11	\$ 14	\$ 17

2011/2010/2009 Declines in interest income are primarily due to declines in cash balances and interest rates. The declines in cash balances are primarily due to repurchases, retirement and redemption of senior notes, repurchases of Class A common stock under the reactivated share repurchase program and additions to property, plant and equipment.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Cash interest expense	\$ 195	\$ 245	\$ 270
Losses on notes repurchased	7	61	3
Non-cash interest expense	40	41	54
Total Interest Expense	\$ 242	\$ 347	\$ 327

2011 vs. 2010

Cash interest expense included interest expense related to the coupon rates for senior notes and commitment/letter of credit fees incurred on our revolving credit facilities. The decrease is due primarily to lower average weekly indebtedness of approximately 15%.

Losses on notes repurchased during fiscal 2011 and 2010 included the amount paid exceeding the carrying value of the notes repurchased, which primarily included the repurchases of the 8.25% Notes due October 2011 (2011 Notes) and the 6.85% Senior notes due April 2016 (2016 Notes).

Non-cash interest expense primarily included interest related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and discounts/premiums on note issuances. This included debt issuance costs incurred on our revolving credit facility, the 10.50% Senior Notes due 2014 (2014 Notes) issued in March 2009, as well as the accretion of the debt discount on the 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013 (2013 Notes) and 2014 Notes.

2010 vs. 2009

Cash interest expense included interest expense related to the coupon rates for senior notes and commitment/letter of credit fees incurred on our revolving credit facilities. The decrease is due to lower average weekly indebtedness of approximately 11%, partially offset by an increase in the overall average borrowing rates.

Losses on notes repurchased during fiscal 2010 included the amount paid exceeding the carrying value of the notes repurchased, which primarily included the repurchases of the 2011 Notes and the 2016 Notes.

Non-cash interest expense primarily included interest related to the amortization of debt issuance costs and discounts/premiums on note issuances. This included debt issuance costs incurred on our revolving credit facility, the 2014 Notes, as well as the accretion of the debt discount on the 2013 Notes and 2014 Notes. Fiscal 2009 also includes expenses related to amendment fees paid in December 2008 on our then existing credit agreements.

Other (Income) Expense, net	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
	\$ (20)	\$ 20	\$ 18

2011 Included \$11 million gain related to a sale of interests in an equity method investment.

2010 Included \$12 million charge related to the impairment of an equity method investment.

2009 Included \$24 million in foreign currency exchange loss.

Table of Contents

Effective Tax Rate

	2011	2010	2009
	31.8%	36.4%	(1.5)%

The effective tax rate on continuing operations was impacted by a number of items which result in a difference between our effective tax rate and the U.S. statutory rate of 35%. The table below reflects significant items impacting the rate as indicated.

2011

- Domestic production activity deduction reduced the rate 2.3%.
- Net decrease in unrecognized tax benefits reduced the rate 1.7%.
- State income taxes increased the rate 1.6%.
- General business credits decreased the rate 0.9%

2010

- Domestic production activity deduction reduced the rate 2.0%.
- Decrease in unrecognized tax benefits reduced the rate 1.4%.
- Decrease in state valuation allowances reduced the rate 1.0%.
- State income taxes increased the rate 3.4%.

2009

- Impairment of goodwill, which is not deductible for income tax purposes, reduced the rate 36.1%.
- Increase in foreign valuation allowances reduced the rate 3.8%.
- General business credits increased the rate 2.2%.
- Tax planning in foreign jurisdictions increased the rate 1.7%.

SEGMENT RESULTS

We operate in four segments: Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods. The following table is a summary of sales and operating income (loss), which is how we measure segment income (loss). Segment results exclude the results of our discontinued operation, Lakeside.

	Sales			Operating Income (Loss)		
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Chicken	\$ 11,017	\$ 10,062	\$ 9,660	\$ 164	\$ 519	\$ (157)
Beef	13,549	11,707	10,937	468	542	(346)
Pork	5,460	4,552	3,875	560	381	160
Prepared Foods	3,215	2,999	2,836	117	124	133
Other	127	0	0	(24)	(10)	(5)
Intersegment Sales	(1,102)	(890)	(604)	0	0	0
Total	\$ 32,266	\$ 28,430	\$ 26,704	\$ 1,285	\$ 1,556	\$ (215)

Table of Contents

Chicken Segment Results

	2011	2010	Change 2011 vs. 2010	2009	in millions Change 2010 vs. 2009
Sales	\$ 11,017	\$ 10,062	\$ 955	\$ 9,660	\$ 402
Sales Volume Change			4.6%		2.0%
Average Sales Price Change			4.7%		2.1%
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 164	\$ 519	\$ (355)	\$ (157)	\$ 676
Operating Margin	1.5%	5.2%		(1.6%)	

2010 Operating income included a \$38 million gain from insurance proceeds and a \$29 million non-cash, non-tax deductible charge related to a full goodwill impairment of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit.

2011 vs. 2010

Sales Volume A 2.1% increase in slaughter pounds that mostly occurred in the first three quarters of fiscal 2011 and a reduction of volumes in ending inventory in fiscal 2011 as compared to fiscal 2010, primarily drove the 4.6% increase in sales volume for fiscal 2011.

Average Sales Price The increase in average sales prices is primarily due to mix changes and price increases associated with increased input costs.

Operating Income

Grain, Feed Ingredients and Growout Costs Operating results were negatively impacted in fiscal 2011 by an increase in grain and feed ingredients costs of \$675 million and an increase in other growout operating costs of \$74 million.

Operational Improvements Operating results were positively impacted by approximately \$200 million of operational improvements, primarily attributed to improvements in yield, mix and processing optimization. These operational improvements were partially offset by an increase in operating costs, mostly from cooking ingredients and employee related costs.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to grain and energy purchases. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2011	\$ 41
	2010	(6)
Improvement in operating results		\$ 47

2010 vs. 2009

Sales Volume The increase in sales volume for fiscal 2010 was due to sales volume related to a fiscal 2009 acquisition, partially offset by a decrease due to the extra week in fiscal 2009.

Average Sales Price The increase in average sales prices is primarily due to sales mix changes associated with the reduced sales volume of lower price per pound rendered products.

Operating Income (Loss)

Operational Improvements Operating results were positively impacted by operational improvements, which included: yield, mix and live production performance improvements; additional processing flexibility; and reduced interplant product movement.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to grain and energy purchases. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2010	\$ (6)
	2009	(257)
Improvement in operating results		\$ 251

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Grain Costs Operating results were positively impacted in fiscal 2010 by a decrease in grain costs of \$158 million. Operating results included an increase in incentive-based compensation.

Table of Contents

Beef Segment Results	in millions				
	2011	2010	Change 2011 vs. 2010	2009	Change 2010 vs. 2009
Sales	\$ 13,549	\$ 11,707	\$ 1,842	\$ 10,937	\$ 770
Sales Volume Change			(1.0)%		(1.9)%
Average Sales Price Change			16.9%		9.1%
Operating Income (Loss)	\$ 468	\$ 542	\$ (74)	\$ (346)	\$ 888
Operating Margin	3.5%	4.6%		(3.2)%	

2009 Operating loss included a \$560 million non-cash charge related to the partial impairment of goodwill.

2011 vs. 2010**Sales and Operating Income**

Average sales price increased due to price increases associated with increased livestock costs. We have maintained strong operating income by maximizing our revenues relative to the rising live cattle markets, partially attributable to strong export sales. This was offset by an increase in operating costs, primarily attributable to employee related costs.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to forward futures contracts for live cattle. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical sale and purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2011	\$ (41)
	2010	(15)

Decline in operating results \$ (26)

2010 vs. 2009**Sales and Operating Income (Loss)**

We increased our operating margins by maximizing our revenues relative to the rising live cattle markets, as well as improved our operating costs. In addition, we had an improvement in our export sales. Operating results included an increase in incentive-based compensation.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to forward futures contracts for live cattle. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical sale and purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2010	\$ (15)
	2009	102

Decline in operating results \$ (117)

Table of Contents

Pork Segment Results	in millions				
	2011	2010	Change 2011 vs. 2010	2009	Change 2010 vs. 2009
Sales	\$ 5,460	\$ 4,552	\$ 908	\$ 3,875	\$ 677
Sales Volume Change			4.1%		(3.3)%
Average Sales Price Change			15.2%		21.4%
Operating Income	\$ 560	\$ 381	\$ 179	\$ 160	\$ 221
Operating Margin	10.3%	8.4%		4.1%	

2011 vs. 2010

Sales and Operating Income

Average sales price increased due to price increases associated with increased livestock costs. We have maintained strong operating income by maximizing our revenues relative to the rising live hog markets, partially attributable to strong export sales and operational and mix performance.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to forward futures contracts for live hogs. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical sale and purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2011	\$ (32)
	2010	(36)
Improvement in operating results	\$	4

2010 vs. 2009

Sales and Operating Income

We increased our operating margins by maximizing our revenues relative to the rising live hog markets. In addition, we had an improvement in our export sales. Operating results included an increase in incentive-based compensation.

Derivative Activities Operating results included the following amounts for commodity risk management activities related to forward futures contracts for live hogs. These amounts exclude the impact from related physical sale and purchase transactions, which impact current and future period operating results.

Income/(Loss)	in millions	
	2010	\$ (36)
	2009	55
Decline in operating results	\$	(91)

Table of Contents**Prepared Foods Segment Results**

					in millions
	2011	2010	Change 2011 vs. 2010	2009	Change 2010 vs. 2009
Sales	\$ 3,215	\$ 2,999	\$ 216	\$ 2,836	\$ 163
Sales Volume Change			(2.2)%		0.3%
Average Sales Price Change			9.6%		5.5%
Operating Income	\$ 117	\$ 124	\$ (7)	\$ 133	\$ (9)
Operating Margin	3.6%	4.1%		4.7%	

2009 Operating income included a \$15 million charge related to closing our Ponca City, Oklahoma, processed meats plant.

2011 vs. 2010

Sales and Operating Income Despite the increase in average sales prices, operating income remained flat, excluding \$8 million in insurance proceeds in fiscal 2010 related to flood damage at our Jefferson, Wisconsin plant. The increase in average sales prices were offset by lower volumes, increased raw material costs of \$273 million and increased operational costs of \$50 million, primarily attributable to employee related costs and plant variances mostly due to lower volumes.

2010 vs. 2009

Sales and Operating Income Despite the increase in average sales prices and sales volume, operating income declined in fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009 due to an increase in raw material costs. However, we made several operational improvements in late fiscal 2009 that allow us to run our plants more efficiently. Operating results included an increase in incentive-based compensation.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our cash needs for working capital, capital expenditures, growth opportunities, the repurchases of senior notes and share repurchases are expected to be met with current cash on hand, cash flows provided by operating activities, or short-term borrowings. Based on our current expectations, we believe our liquidity and capital resources will be sufficient to operate our business. However, we may take advantage of opportunities to generate additional liquidity or refinance existing debt through capital market transactions. The amount, nature and timing of any capital market transactions will depend on: our operating performance and other circumstances; our then-current commitments and obligations; the amount, nature and timing of our capital requirements; any limitations imposed by our current credit arrangements; and overall market conditions.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ 733	\$ 765	\$ (551)
Non-cash items in net income (loss):			
Depreciation and amortization	506	497	513
Deferred income taxes	86	18	(33)
Impairment of goodwill	0	29	560
Impairment of assets	18	36	32
Other, net	49	76	72
Net changes in working capital	(346)	11	367
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,046	\$ 1,432	\$ 960

Cash flows associated with changes in working capital:

2011 Decreased due to the increase in inventory and accounts receivable balances, partially offset by the increase in accounts payable. The higher inventory and accounts receivable balances were driven by significant increases in input costs and price increases associated with the increased input costs.

2010 Increased due to the increase in accrued salaries, wages and benefits and accounts payable balances, almost entirely offset by the increase in inventory and accounts receivable balances. The increase in accrued salaries, wages and benefits is primarily due to the accruals for incentive-based compensation.

2009 Increased primarily due to a reduction in inventory and accounts receivable balances, partially offset by a reduction in accounts payable. The lower inventory balance was primarily due to the reduction of inventory volumes, as well as a decrease in raw material costs.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Investing Activities	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Additions to property, plant and equipment	\$ (643)	\$ (550)	\$ (368)
Proceeds from sale (purchases) of marketable securities, net	(80)	(4)	19
Proceeds from notes receivable	51	0	0
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operation	0	0	75
Change in restricted cash to be used for investing activities	0	43	(43)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	0	0	(93)
Other, net	28	11	(17)
Net cash used for investing activities	\$ (644)	\$ (500)	\$ (427)

Additions to property, plant and equipment include acquiring new equipment and upgrading our facilities to maintain competitive standing and position us for future opportunities. In fiscal 2011, our capital spending was primarily for production efficiencies in our operations and for ongoing development of foreign operations. In fiscal 2010, our capital spending was primarily related to production efficiencies in our operations, construction of Dynamic Fuels facility and development of our foreign operations. In fiscal 2009, our capital spending was for improvements made in our prepared foods operations to increase efficiencies, construction of Dynamic Fuels facility and development of our foreign operations.

Capital spending for fiscal 2012 is expected to be approximately \$800-\$850 million, and includes spending on our operations for production and labor efficiencies, yield improvements and sales channel flexibility, as well as expansion of our foreign operations. Purchases of marketable securities included funding for our deferred compensation plans.

Proceeds from notes receivable totaling \$51 million in fiscal 2011 related to the collection of notes receivable received in conjunction with the sale of a business operation in fiscal 2009.

Change in restricted cash In fiscal 2009, Dynamic Fuels received \$100 million in proceeds from the sale of Gulf Opportunity Zone tax-exempt bonds made available by the federal government to the regions affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. The cash received from these bonds was restricted and could only be used towards the construction of the Dynamic Fuels facility.

Acquisitions In fiscal 2009, we acquired three vertically integrated poultry companies in southern Brazil. The aggregate purchase price was \$67 million. In addition, we had \$15 million of contingent purchase price based on production volumes. The joint ventures in China called Shandong Tyson Xinchang Foods received the necessary government approvals during fiscal 2009. The aggregate purchase price for our 60% equity interest was \$21 million, which excludes \$93 million of cash transferred to the joint venture for future capital needs.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Financing Activities	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Net borrowings (payments) on revolving credit facilities	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 15
Payments on debt	(500)	(1,034)	(380)
Net proceeds from borrowings	115	0	852
Debt issuance costs	(9)	0	(59)
Purchase of redeemable noncontrolling interest	(66)	0	0
Purchases of Tyson Class A common stock	(207)	(48)	(19)
Dividends	(59)	(59)	(60)
Change in restricted cash to be used for financing activities	0	140	(140)
Other, net	68	42	6
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	\$ (658)	\$ (959)	\$ 215

Net borrowings (payments) on revolving credit facilities primarily include activity related to the accounts receivable securitization facility. With the entry into a new revolving credit facility and issuance of the 2014 Notes in March 2009, we repaid all outstanding borrowings under our accounts receivable securitization facility and terminated the facility.

Payments on debt include

2011 \$315 million of 2011 Notes; \$63 million of 2016 Notes; \$2 million of 7.0% Notes due May 2018; and \$103 million related to borrowings at our foreign operations.

2010 \$524 million of 2011 Notes; \$222 million of 2016 Notes; \$140 million of 7.95% Notes due February 2010 (2010 Notes) (using the restricted cash held in a blocked cash collateral account for the retirement of these notes); \$52 million of 7.0% Notes due May 2018; and \$61 million related to the premiums on notes repurchased during the year.

2009 \$161 million of 2011 Notes; \$94 million of 2010 Notes (using the restricted cash held in a blocked cash collateral account for the repurchase of these notes); and \$38 million of 2016 Notes.

Net proceeds from borrowings include

In fiscal 2011, our foreign operations received proceeds of \$106 million from borrowings. Total debt related to our foreign operations was \$98 million at October 1, 2011 (\$58 million current, \$40 million long-term). Additionally, Dynamic Fuels received \$9 million in proceeds from short term notes in fiscal 2011.

In fiscal 2009, we issued \$810 million of 2014 Notes. After the original issue discount of \$59 million, based on an issue price of 92.756% of face value, we received net proceeds of \$751 million. We used the net proceeds towards the repayment of our borrowings under our accounts receivable securitization facility and for other general corporate purposes.

In fiscal 2009, Dynamic Fuels received \$100 million in proceeds from the sale of Gulf Opportunity Zone tax-exempt bonds made available by the Federal government to the regions affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. These floating rate bonds are due October 1, 2033.

In conjunction with the entry into our credit facility and the issuance of the 2014 Notes during fiscal 2009, we paid \$48 million for debt issuance costs.

In fiscal 2011, the minority interest partner in our 60%-owned Shandong Tyson Xinchang Foods joint ventures in China exercised put options requiring us to purchase its entire 40% equity interest. The transaction closed in fiscal 2011 for cash consideration totaling \$66 million.

In fiscal 2011, we announced our Board of Directors reactivated a share repurchase program, which had no activity since fiscal 2005, to repurchase up to the remaining available 22.5 million shares of Class A common stock under the program. The share repurchase program has no fixed or scheduled termination date. During fiscal 2011, we repurchased 9.7 million shares for approximately \$170 million under this plan. As of October 1, 2011, 12.8 million shares remain authorized for repurchase. The timing and extent to which we repurchase shares will depend upon, among other things, market conditions, liquidity targets, limitations under our debt obligations and regulatory requirements. In addition to the share repurchase program, we purchase shares on the open market to fund certain obligations under our equity compensation plans. These repurchases totaled \$37 million, \$48 million and \$19 million in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents

Liquidity	Commitments Expiration Date	Facility Amount	Outstanding Letters of Credit under Revolving Credit Facility (no draw downs)		in millions	
			Amount Borrowed	Amount Available		
Cash and cash equivalents					\$	716
Revolving credit facility	February 2016	\$ 1,000	\$	158	\$	842
Total liquidity					\$	1,558

The revolving credit facility supports our short-term funding needs and letters of credit. Letters of credit are issued primarily in support of workers' compensation insurance programs, derivative activities and Dynamic Fuels' Gulf Opportunity Zone tax-exempt bonds. Our 2013 Notes may be converted early during any fiscal quarter in the event our Class A stock trades at or above \$21.96 for at least 20 trading days during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter. In this event, the note holders may require us to pay outstanding principal in cash, which totaled \$458 million at October 1, 2011. Any conversion premium would be paid in shares of Class A stock. The conditions for early conversion were not met in our fourth fiscal quarter of fiscal 2011, and thus, the notes may not be converted in our first quarter of fiscal 2012. Should the conditions for early conversion be satisfied in future quarters, and should the holders exercise their early conversion option, we would use current cash on hand and cash flow from operations for principal payments.

We do not have any significant maturities of debt coming due over the next two fiscal years.

Our current ratio was 2.01 to 1 and 1.81 to 1 at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively.

Capital ResourcesCredit Facility

Cash flows from operating activities and current cash on hand are our primary source of liquidity for funding debt service, capital expenditures, dividends and share repurchases. We also have a revolving credit facility, with a committed maximum capacity of \$1.0 billion, to provide additional liquidity for working capital needs, letters of credit and a source of financing for growth opportunities. As of October 1, 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$158 million, none of which were drawn upon, which left \$842 million available for borrowing. Our revolving credit facility is funded by a syndicate of 38 banks, with commitments ranging from \$3 million to \$90 million per bank. The syndicate includes bank holding companies that are required to be adequately capitalized under federal bank regulatory agency requirements.

Capitalization

To monitor our credit ratings and our capacity for long-term financing, we consider various qualitative and quantitative factors. We monitor the ratio of our debt to our total capitalization as support for our long-term financing decisions. At October 1, 2011 and October 2, 2010, the ratio of our debt-to-total capitalization was 27.7% and 32.8%, respectively. For the purpose of this calculation, debt is defined as the sum of current and long-term debt. Total capitalization is defined as debt plus Total Shareholders' Equity. Our ratio of debt to our total capitalization decreased in fiscal 2011 primarily resulting from reduced debt balances and increased retained earnings associated with strong earnings in fiscal 2011.

Credit Ratings2016 Notes

On September 4, 2008, Standard & Poor's (S&P) downgraded the credit rating from BBB- to BB. This downgrade increased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 6.85% to 7.35%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due October 1, 2008.

On November 13, 2008, Moody's Investors Services, Inc. (Moody's) downgraded the credit rating from Ba1 to Ba3. This downgrade increased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 7.35% to 7.85%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due April 1, 2009.

On August 19, 2010, S&P upgraded the credit rating from BB to BB+. On September 2, 2010, Moody's upgraded the credit rating from Ba3 to Ba2. These upgrades decreased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 7.85% to 7.35%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due October 1, 2010.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

On February 24, 2011, S&P upgraded the credit rating of these notes from BB+ to BBB-. On March 29, 2011, Moody's upgraded our credit rating from Ba2 to Ba1. These upgrades decreased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 7.35% to 6.85%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due April 1, 2011.

A further one-notch upgrade by Moody's would decrease the interest rates on the 2016 Notes by 0.25%, while a one-notch downgrade by either ratings agency would increase the interest rates on the 2016 Notes by 0.25%.

Table of Contents**Revolving Credit Facility**

S&P's corporate credit rating for Tyson Foods, Inc. is BBB-. Moody's corporate credit rating for Tyson Foods, Inc. is Ba1. If Moody's were to upgrade our credit rating to Baa2 or higher while our S&P credit rating remained at BBB-, or S&P were to upgrade our credit rating to BBB or higher while Moody's upgraded our credit rating to Baa3 or higher, our letter of credit fees would decrease by 0.25% and fees paid on the unused portion of the facility would decrease by 0.075%.

If S&P were to downgrade our corporate credit rating to BB+ or Moody's were to downgrade our corporate credit rating to Ba2, our letter of credit fees would increase by 0.25% and fees paid on the unused portion of the facility would increase by 0.025%.

Debt Covenants

Our revolving credit facility contains affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: create liens and encumbrances; incur debt; merge, dissolve, liquidate or consolidate; make acquisitions and investments; dispose of or transfer assets; pay dividends or make other payments in respect of our capital stock; amend material documents; change the nature of our business; make certain payments of debt; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; and enter into sale/leaseback or hedging transactions, in each case, subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In addition, we are required to maintain minimum interest expense coverage and maximum leverage ratios.

Our 2014 Notes also contain affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: incur additional debt and issue preferred stock; make certain investments and restricted payments; create liens; create restrictions on distributions from subsidiaries; engage in specified sales of assets and subsidiary stock; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter new lines of business; engage in consolidation, mergers and acquisitions; and engage in certain sale/leaseback transactions.

We were in compliance with all debt covenants at October 1, 2011.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements material to our financial position or results of operations. The off-balance sheet arrangements we have are guarantees of debt of outside third parties, including a lease and grower loans, and residual value guarantees covering certain operating leases for various types of equipment. See Note 19: Commitments and Contingencies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of October 1, 2011:

	Payments Due by Period				Total
	2012	2013-2014	2015-2016	2017 and thereafter	
	in millions				
Debt and capital lease obligations:					
Principal payments (1)	\$ 70	\$ 1,296	\$ 650	\$ 242	\$ 2,258
Interest payments (2)	165	272	116	46	599
Guarantees (3)	26	61	22	17	126
Operating lease obligations (4)	95	102	31	54	282
Purchase obligations (5)	886	81	31	61	1,059
Capital expenditures (6)	412	15	0	0	427
Other long-term liabilities (7)	12	5	4	29	50
Total contractual commitments	\$ 1,666	\$ 1,832	\$ 854	\$ 449	\$ 4,801

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

- (1) In the event of a default on payment, acceleration of the principal payments could occur.
- (2) Interest payments include interest on all outstanding debt. Payments are estimated for variable rate and variable term debt based on effective rates at October 1, 2011, and expected payment dates.
- (3) Amounts include guarantees of debt of outside third parties, which consist of a lease and grower loans, all of which are substantially collateralized by the underlying assets, as well as residual value guarantees covering certain operating leases for various types of equipment. The amounts included are the maximum potential amount of future payments.
- (4) Amounts include minimum lease payments under lease agreements.
- (5) Amounts include agreements to purchase goods or services that are enforceable and legally binding and specify all significant terms, including: fixed or minimum quantities to be purchased; fixed, minimum or variable price provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction. The purchase obligations amount included items, such as future purchase commitments for grains, livestock contracts and fixed grower fees that provide terms that meet the above criteria. We have excluded future purchase commitments for contracts that do not meet these criteria. Purchase orders have not been included in the table, as a purchase order is an authorization to purchase and may not be considered an enforceable and legally binding contract. Contracts for goods or services that contain termination clauses without penalty have also been excluded.
- (6) Amounts include estimated amounts to complete buildings and equipment under construction as of October 1, 2011.
- (7) Amounts include items that meet the definition of a purchase obligation and are recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Table of Contents

In addition to the amounts shown above in the table, we have unrecognized tax benefits of \$174 million and related interest and penalties of \$58 million at October 1, 2011, recorded as liabilities. During fiscal 2012, tax audit resolutions could potentially reduce these amounts by approximately \$10 million, either because tax positions are sustained on audit or because we agree to their disallowance.

The maximum contractual obligation associated with our cash flow assistance programs at October 1, 2011, based on the estimated fair values of the livestock supplier's net tangible assets on that date, aggregated to approximately \$220 million, or approximately \$192 million remaining maximum commitment after netting the cash flow assistance related receivables.

RECENTLY ISSUED/ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Refer to the discussion under Part II, Item 8, Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 1: Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for recently issued accounting pronouncements and Note 2: Change in Accounting Principles for recently adopted accounting pronouncements.

Table of Contents**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of certain accounting estimates we consider critical.

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions
<p>Contingent liabilities</p> <p>We are subject to lawsuits, investigations and other claims related to wage and hour/labor, environmental, product, taxing authorities and other matters, and are required to assess the likelihood of any adverse judgments or outcomes to these matters, as well as potential ranges of probable losses.</p> <p>A determination of the amount of reserves and disclosures required, if any, for these contingencies are made after considerable analysis of each individual issue. We accrue for contingent liabilities when an assessment of the risk of loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated. We disclose contingent liabilities when the risk of loss is reasonably possible or probable.</p>	<p>Our contingent liabilities contain uncertainties because the eventual outcome will result from future events, and determination of current reserves requires estimates and judgments related to future changes in facts and circumstances, differing interpretations of the law and assessments of the amount of damages, and the effectiveness of strategies or other factors beyond our control.</p>	<p>We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to establish our contingent liabilities during the past three fiscal years.</p> <p>We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to calculate our contingent liabilities. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to gains or losses that could be material.</p>
<p>Marketing and advertising costs</p> <p>We incur advertising, retailer incentive and consumer incentive costs to promote products through marketing programs. These programs include cooperative advertising, volume discounts, in-store display incentives, coupons and other programs.</p> <p>Marketing and advertising costs are charged in the period incurred. We accrue costs based on the estimated performance, historical utilization and redemption of each program.</p> <p>Cash consideration given to customers is considered a reduction in the price of our products, thus recorded as a reduction to sales. The remainder of marketing and advertising costs is recorded as a selling, general and</p>	<p>Recognition of the costs related to these programs contains uncertainties due to judgment required in estimating the potential performance and redemption of each program.</p> <p>These estimates are based on many factors, including experience of similar promotional programs.</p>	<p>We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to establish our marketing accruals during the past three fiscal years.</p> <p>We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to calculate our marketing accruals. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to gains or losses that could be material.</p> <p>A 10% change in our marketing accruals at October 1, 2011, would impact pretax earnings by approximately \$15 million.</p>

administrative expense.

Table of Contents

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions
<p>Accrued self insurance We are self insured for certain losses related to health and welfare, workers compensation, auto liability and general liability claims.</p>	<p>Our self-insurance liability contains uncertainties due to assumptions required and judgment used.</p>	<p>We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to establish our self-insurance liability during the past three fiscal years.</p>
<p>We use an independent third-party actuary to assist in determining our self-insurance liability. We and the actuary consider a number of factors when estimating our self-insurance liability, including claims experience, demographic factors, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions.</p>	<p>Costs to settle our obligations, including legal and healthcare costs, could increase or decrease causing estimates of our self-insurance liability to change.</p>	<p>We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to calculate our self-insurance liability. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates or assumptions, we may be exposed to gains or losses that could be material.</p>
<p>We periodically review our estimates and assumptions with our third-party actuary to assist us in determining the adequacy of our self-insurance liability. Our policy is to maintain an accrual within the central to high point of the actuarial range.</p>	<p>Incident rates, including frequency and severity, could increase or decrease causing estimates in our self-insurance liability to change.</p>	<p>A 10% increase in the actuarial estimate at October 1, 2011, would not result in a material change in the amount we recorded for our self-insurance liability. A 10% decrease in the actuarial estimate at October 1, 2011, would result in a decrease in the amount we recorded for our self-insurance liability of approximately \$23 million.</p>
<p>Impairment of long-lived assets Long-lived assets are evaluated for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Examples include a significant adverse change in the extent or manner in which we use a long-lived asset or a change in its physical condition.</p>	<p>Our impairment analysis contains uncertainties due to judgment in assumptions and estimates surrounding undiscounted future cash flows of the long-lived asset, including forecasting useful lives of assets and selecting the discount rate that reflects the risk inherent in future cash flows to determine fair value.</p>	<p>We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to evaluate the impairment of long-lived assets during the last three fiscal years.</p>
<p>When evaluating long-lived assets for impairment, we compare the carrying value of the asset to the asset's estimated undiscounted future cash flows. An impairment is indicated if the estimated future cash flows are less than the carrying value of the asset. The impairment is the excess of the carrying value over the fair value of the long-lived asset.</p>	<p></p>	<p>We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood there will be a material change in the estimates or assumptions used to calculate impairments of long-lived assets. However, if actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions used to calculate estimated future cash flows, we may be exposed to impairment losses that could be material. Additionally, we continue to evaluate our future international business strategies, which may expose us to future impairment losses.</p>
<p>We recorded impairment charges related to long-lived assets of \$15 million, \$19 million and \$25 million, respectively, in fiscal 2011, 2010</p>	<p></p>	<p></p>

and 2009.

Table of Contents

Description	Judgments and Uncertainties	Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions
Income taxes		
We estimate total income tax expense based on statutory tax rates and tax planning opportunities available to us in various jurisdictions in which we earn income.	Changes in tax laws and rates could affect recorded deferred tax assets and liabilities in the future.	We do not believe there is a reasonable likelihood there will be a material change in the tax related balances or valuation allowances. However, due to the complexity of some of these uncertainties, the ultimate resolution may result in a payment that is materially different from the current estimate of the tax liabilities.
Federal income tax includes an estimate for taxes on earnings of foreign subsidiaries expected to be remitted to the United States and be taxable, but not for earnings considered indefinitely invested in the foreign subsidiary.	Changes in projected future earnings could affect the recorded valuation allowances in the future.	
Deferred income taxes are recognized for the future tax effects of temporary differences between financial and income tax reporting using tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse.	Our calculations related to income taxes contain uncertainties due to judgment used to calculate tax liabilities in the application of complex tax regulations across the tax jurisdictions where we operate.	To the extent we prevail in matters for which unrecognized tax benefit liabilities have been established, or are required to pay amounts in excess of our recorded unrecognized tax benefit liabilities, our effective tax rate in a given financial statement period could be materially affected. An unfavorable tax settlement would require use of our cash and generally result in an increase in our effective tax rate in the period of resolution. A favorable tax settlement would generally be recognized as a reduction in our effective tax rate in the period of resolution.
Valuation allowances are recorded when it is likely a tax benefit will not be realized for a deferred tax asset.	Our analysis of unrecognized tax benefits contains uncertainties based on judgment used to apply the more likely than not recognition and measurement thresholds.	
We record unrecognized tax benefit liabilities for known or anticipated tax issues based on our analysis of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due.		

Table of Contents

Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets

Description: Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step is to identify if a potential impairment exists by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered to have a potential impairment and the second step of the impairment test is not necessary. However, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step is performed to determine if goodwill is impaired and to measure the amount of impairment loss to recognize, if any.

The second step compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying amount, then goodwill is not considered impaired. However, if the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination (i.e., the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all the assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized intangible assets, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was determined as the exit price a market participant would pay for the same business).

For other indefinite life intangible assets, if the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

We have elected to make the first day of the fourth quarter the annual impairment assessment date for goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets. However, we could be required to evaluate the recoverability of goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets prior to the required annual assessment if, among other things, we experience disruptions to the business, unexpected significant declines in operating results, divestiture of a significant component of the business or a sustained decline in market capitalization.

Judgments and Uncertainties: We estimate the fair value of our reporting units, generally our operating segments, using various valuation techniques, with the primary technique being a discounted cash flow analysis, which uses significant unobservable inputs, or Level 3 inputs, as defined by the fair value hierarchy. A discounted cash flow analysis requires us to make various judgmental assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount rates.

We include assumptions about sales, operating margins and growth rates which consider our budgets, business plans and economic projections, and are believed to reflect market participant views which would exist in an exit transaction. Assumptions are also made for varying perpetual growth rates for periods beyond the long-term business plan period. Generally, we utilize normalized operating margin assumptions based on future expectations and operating margins historically realized in the reporting units' industries. For the fiscal 2011 impairment test of material reporting units, only our Domestic Chicken reporting unit utilized operating margins in future years in excess of the operating margin realized in the most recent year.

Our Domestic Chicken reporting unit had goodwill at October 1, 2011, totaling \$900 million or 95% of our Chicken segment's goodwill. We assumed operating margins in future years would return to our normalized range of 5.0% to 7.0%, as we believe this is consistent with market participant views in an exit transaction. Had we assumed future operating margins consistent with those realized in the current fiscal year, we would have failed the first step of the annual impairment test, which would have required the second step to be performed and may have resulted in a material goodwill impairment loss. The current year Domestic Chicken reporting unit results were not indicative of future market participant expectations in an exit transaction. In assessing the appropriate operating margins to be utilized in estimating the fair value of the Domestic Chicken segment, we considered many factors, including the following:

The Domestic Chicken segment has realized significant operational improvements, including yield, mix, live production, processing flexibility, and interplant product movements, over the past three years as compared to both historical results and the industry.

The operational improvements were offset in the current year due to historically high grain and feed ingredient costs coupled with excess supplies, which made it difficult to pass along the increased input costs.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Recent USDA data indicates eggs sets, broiler chick placements and slaughter pounds are down significantly, which are all strong indicators that supply relative to demand should return to more normalized levels by the end of fiscal 2012, allowing financial results to return to normalized ranges.

Other indefinite life intangible asset fair values have been calculated for trademarks using a royalty rate method. Assumptions about royalty rates are based on the rates at which similar brands and trademarks are licensed in the marketplace.

Our impairment analysis contains uncertainties due to uncontrollable events that could positively or negatively impact the anticipated future economic and operating conditions.

Effect if Actual Results Differ From Assumptions: We have not made any material changes in the accounting methodology used to evaluate impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets during the last three years.

The discount rate used in our annual goodwill impairment test increased to an average of 8.8% in fiscal 2011 from 8.4% in fiscal 2010. There were no significant changes in the other key estimates and assumptions.

Other than the Beef reporting unit in 2009, no material reporting units failed the first step of the annual goodwill impairment analysis in fiscal 2011, 2010 or 2009 and therefore, the second step was not necessary. In fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$560 million partial impairment of our Beef reporting unit's goodwill, which was driven by an increase in our discount rate used in the 2009 annual goodwill impairment analysis as a result of disruptions in global credit and other financial markets and deterioration of economic conditions. In fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$29 million full impairment of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit's goodwill.

Some of the inherent estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value of the reporting units are outside the control of management, including interest rates, cost of capital, tax rates, and our credit ratings. While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and assumptions to calculate the fair value of the reporting units and other indefinite life intangible assets, it is possible a material change could occur. If our actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions used to calculate fair value, we may be required to perform the second step, which could result in additional material impairments of our goodwill.

If the Domestic Chicken reporting unit experienced a 15% or more decline in fair value at July 1, 2011, it would have caused the carrying value of the reporting unit to be in excess of fair value, which would have required the second step to be performed. Additionally, valuing the Domestic Chicken reporting unit utilizing projected operating margins averaging less than 4.0%, or a 0.9% increase in the discount rate used in fiscal 2011, would have caused the carrying value of the Domestic Chicken reporting unit to be in excess of fair value, which would have required the second step to be performed. The second step may have resulted in a material goodwill impairment loss. All other material reporting units' estimated fair value exceeded their carrying value by more than 20%. Consequently, we do not consider any of our other material reporting units at significant risk of failing the first step of the annual goodwill impairment test.

Our fiscal 2011 other indefinite life intangible asset impairment analysis did not result in a material impairment charge. A hypothetical 20% decrease in the fair value of intangible assets would not result in a material impairment.

Table of Contents**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK****MARKET RISK**

Market risk relating to our operations results primarily from changes in commodity prices, interest rates and foreign exchange rates, as well as credit risk concentrations. To address certain of these risks, we enter into various derivative transactions as described below. If a derivative instrument is accounted for as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the instrument either will be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments through earnings, or be recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of an instrument's change in fair value is recognized immediately. Additionally, we hold certain positions, primarily in grain and livestock futures that either do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting or are not designated as hedges. With the exception of normal purchases and normal sales that are expected to result in physical delivery, we record these positions at fair value, and the unrealized gains and losses are reported in earnings at each reporting date. Changes in market value of derivatives used in our risk management activities relating to forward sales contracts are recorded in sales. Changes in market value of derivatives used in our risk management activities surrounding inventories on hand or anticipated purchases of inventories are recorded in cost of sales.

The sensitivity analyses presented below are the measures of potential losses of fair value resulting from hypothetical changes in market prices related to commodities. Sensitivity analyses do not consider the actions we may take to mitigate our exposure to changes, nor do they consider the effects such hypothetical adverse changes may have on overall economic activity. Actual changes in market prices may differ from hypothetical changes.

Commodities Risk: We purchase certain commodities, such as grains and livestock, in the course of normal operations. As part of our commodity risk management activities, we use derivative financial instruments, primarily futures and options, to reduce the effect of changing prices and as a mechanism to procure the underlying commodity. However, as the commodities underlying our derivative financial instruments can experience significant price fluctuations, any requirement to mark-to-market the positions that have not been designated or do not qualify as hedges could result in volatility in our results of operations. Contract terms of a hedge instrument closely mirror those of the hedged item providing a high degree of risk reduction and correlation. Contracts designated and highly effective at meeting this risk reduction and correlation criteria are recorded using hedge accounting. The following table presents a sensitivity analysis resulting from a hypothetical change of 10% in market prices as of October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, on the fair value of open positions. The fair value of such positions is a summation of the fair values calculated for each commodity by valuing each net position at quoted futures prices. The market risk exposure analysis includes hedge and non-hedge derivative financial instruments.

Effect of 10% change in fair value	in millions	
	2011	2010
Livestock:		
Cattle	\$ 34	\$ 39
Hogs	57	42
Grain	11	10

Table of Contents

Interest Rate Risk: At October 1, 2011, we had variable rate debt of \$211 million with a weighted average interest rate of 4.1%. A hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates effective at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, would have a minimal effect on interest expense.

Additionally, changes in interest rates impact the fair value of our fixed-rate debt. At October 1, 2011, we had fixed-rate debt of \$2.0 billion with a weighted average interest rate of 9.2%. Market risk for fixed-rate debt is estimated as the potential increase in fair value, resulting from a hypothetical 10% decrease in interest rates. A hypothetical 10% decrease in interest rates would have increased the fair value of our fixed-rate debt by approximately \$5 million at October 1, 2011, and \$9 million at October 2, 2010. The fair values of our debt were estimated based on quoted market prices and/or published interest rates.

Foreign Currency Risk: We have foreign exchange gain/loss exposure from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates primarily as a result of certain receivable and payable balances. The primary currency exchanges we have exposure to are the Brazilian real, the British pound sterling, the Canadian dollar, the Chinese renminbi, the European euro, and the Mexican peso. We periodically enter into foreign exchange forward and option contracts to hedge some portion of our foreign currency exposure. A hypothetical 10% change in foreign exchange rates effective at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, related to the foreign exchange forward and option contracts would have an \$18 million and \$17 million impact, respectively, on pretax income. In the future, we may enter into more foreign exchange forward and option contracts as a result of our international growth strategy.

Concentrations of Credit Risk: Our financial instruments exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents and trade receivables. Our cash equivalents are in high quality securities placed with major banks and financial institutions. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to receivables are limited due to our large number of customers and their dispersion across geographic areas. We perform periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and generally do not require collateral. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, 16.5% and 15.3%, respectively, of our net accounts receivable balance was due from Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. No other single customer or customer group represented greater than 10% of net accounts receivable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA****TYSON FOODS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

Three years ended October 1, 2011

in millions, except per share data

	2011	2010	2009
Sales	\$ 32,266	\$ 28,430	\$ 26,704
Cost of Sales	30,067	25,916	25,501
Gross Profit	2,199	2,514	1,203
Operating Expenses:			
Selling, general and administrative	914	929	841
Goodwill impairment	0	29	560
Other charges	0	0	17
Operating Income (Loss)	1,285	1,556	(215)
Other (Income) Expense:			
Interest income	(11)	(14)	(17)
Interest expense	242	347	327
Other, net	(20)	20	18
Total Other (Income) Expense	211	353	328
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	1,074	1,203	(543)
Income Tax Expense	341	438	7
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	733	765	(550)
Loss from Discontinued Operation, Net of Tax	0	0	(1)
Net Income (Loss)	733	765	(551)
Less: Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	(17)	(15)	(4)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Tyson	\$ 750	\$ 780	\$ (547)
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:			
Class A Basic	303	303	302
Class B Basic	70	70	70
Diluted	380	379	372
Net Income (Loss) Per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Tyson:			
Class A Basic	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.13	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.91	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ 1.97	\$ 2.06	\$ (1.47)
Net Income (Loss) Per Share from Discontinued Operation Attributable to Tyson:			
Class A Basic	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Class B Basic	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Diluted	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Net Income (Loss) per Share Attributable to Tyson:			
Class A Basic	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.13	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.91	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ 1.97	\$ 2.06	\$ (1.47)

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**TYSON FOODS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010
in millions, except share and per share data

	2011	2010
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 716	\$ 978
Accounts receivable, net	1,321	1,198
Inventories	2,587	2,274
Other current assets	156	168
Total Current Assets	4,780	4,618
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	3,823	3,674
Goodwill	1,892	1,893
Intangible Assets	149	166
Other Assets	427	401
Total Assets	\$ 11,071	\$ 10,752
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Current debt	\$ 70	\$ 401
Accounts payable	1,264	1,110
Other current liabilities	1,040	1,034
Total Current Liabilities	2,374	2,545
Long-Term Debt	2,112	2,135
Deferred Income Taxes	424	321
Other Liabilities	476	486
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest	0	64
Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock (\$0.10 par value):		
Class A-authorized 900 million shares:		
issued 322 million shares in both 2011 and 2010	32	32
Convertible Class B-authorized 900 million shares:		
issued 70 million shares in both 2011 and 2010	7	7
Capital in excess of par value	2,261	2,243
Retained earnings	3,801	3,113
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(79)	0
Treasury stock, at cost		
22 million shares in 2011 and 15 million shares in 2010	(365)	(229)
Total Tyson Shareholders' Equity	5,657	5,166
Noncontrolling Interest	28	35
Total Shareholders' Equity	5,685	5,201
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 11,071	\$ 10,752

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents**TYSON FOODS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY**

Three years ended October 1, 2011

in millions

	October 1, 2011		October 2, 2010		October 3, 2009	
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount
Common Stock at beginning and end of year:						
Class A	322	\$ 32	322	\$ 32	322	\$ 32
Class B	70	7	70	7	70	7
Capital in Excess of Par Value:						
Balance at beginning of year		2,243		2,236		2,217
Stock-based compensation		18		7		19
Balance at end of year		2,261		2,243		2,236
Retained Earnings:						
Balance at beginning of year		3,113		2,399		3,006
Net income (loss) attributable to Tyson		750		780		(547)
Dividends paid		(59)		(59)		(60)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest accretion		(3)		(7)		0
Balance at end of year		3,801		3,113		2,399
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax:						
Balance at beginning of year		0		(34)		41
Hedge accounting		(17)		12		6
Investment accounting		(8)		0		10
Currency translation adjustments		(41)		27		(81)
Net change in postretirement liabilities		(13)		(5)		(10)
Balance at end of year		(79)		0		(34)
Treasury Stock:						
Balance at beginning of year	15	(229)	16	(242)	15	(233)
Purchase of Tyson Class A common stock	11	(206)	3	(48)	2	(19)
Stock-based compensation	(4)	70	(4)	61	(1)	10
Balance at end of year	22	(365)	15	(229)	16	(242)
Total Shareholders' Equity Attributable to Tyson		\$ 5,657		\$ 5,166		\$ 4,398
Equity Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests						
Balance at beginning of year		\$ 35		\$ 33		\$ 29
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests (1)		(13)		(6)		(4)
Contributions by (distributions to) noncontrolling interest		8		10		9
Net foreign currency translation adjustment and other		(2)		(2)		(1)
Total Equity Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests		\$ 28		\$ 35		\$ 33

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Total Shareholders Equity	\$ 5,685	\$ 5,201	\$ 4,431
Comprehensive Income (Loss):			
Net income (loss)	\$ 733	\$ 765	\$ (551)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	(79)	34	(75)
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss)	654	799	(626)
Less: Comprehensive Loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(13)	(6)	(4)
Total Comprehensive Income (Loss) attributable to Tyson	\$ 667	\$ 805	\$ (622)
See accompanying notes.			

(1) Excludes net income (loss) related to redeemable noncontrolling interest of \$(4) million, \$(9) million and \$0, for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**TYSON FOODS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

Three years ended October 1, 2011

in millions

	2011	2010	2009
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:			
Net income (loss)	\$ 733	\$ 765	\$ (551)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation	433	416	445
Amortization	73	81	68
Deferred income taxes	86	18	(33)
Impairment of goodwill	0	29	560
Impairment of assets	18	36	32
Other, net	49	76	72
(Increase) decrease in accounts receivable	(114)	(79)	137
(Increase) decrease in inventories	(299)	(239)	493
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	152	101	(216)
Increase (decrease) in income taxes payable/receivable	(73)	(53)	33
Increase (decrease) in interest payable	19	(4)	(60)
Net change in other current assets and liabilities	(31)	285	(20)
Cash Provided by Operating Activities	1,046	1,432	960
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:			
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(643)	(550)	(368)
Purchases of marketable securities	(146)	(53)	(37)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	66	49	56
Proceeds from notes receivable	51	0	0
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operation	0	0	75
Change in restricted cash to be used for investing activities	0	43	(43)
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	0	0	(93)
Other, net	28	11	(17)
Cash Used for Investing Activities	(644)	(500)	(427)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Net borrowings (payments) on revolving credit facilities	0	0	15
Payments of debt	(500)	(1,034)	(380)
Net proceeds from borrowings	115	0	852
Debt issuance costs	(9)	0	(59)
Purchase of redeemable noncontrolling interest	(66)	0	0
Purchases of Tyson Class A common stock	(207)	(48)	(19)
Dividends	(59)	(59)	(60)
Change in restricted cash to be used for financing activities	0	140	(140)
Other, net	68	42	6
Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities	(658)	(959)	215
Effect of Exchange Rate Change on Cash	(6)	1	6
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(262)	(26)	754
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	978	1,004	250
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$ 716	\$ 978	\$ 1,004

See accompanying notes.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TYSON FOODS, INC.

NOTE 1: BUSINESS AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business: Tyson Foods, Inc. (collectively, Company, we, us or our), founded in 1935 with world headquarters in Springdale, Arkansas, is one of the world's largest meat protein companies and the second-largest food production company in the *Fortune* 500. We produce a wide variety of brand name protein-based and prepared food products marketed in the United States and approximately 130 countries around the world.

Consolidation: The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all majority-owned subsidiaries over which we exercise control and, when applicable, entities for which we have a controlling financial interest or are the primary beneficiary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We have an investment in a joint venture, Dynamic Fuels LLC (Dynamic Fuels), in which we have a 50 percent ownership interest. Dynamic Fuels qualifies as a variable interest entity. We consolidate Dynamic Fuels since we are the primary beneficiary. At October 1, 2011, Dynamic Fuels had \$170 million of total assets, of which \$144 million was property, plant and equipment, and \$116 million of total liabilities, of which \$100 million was long-term debt. At October 2, 2010, Dynamic Fuels had \$154 million of total assets, of which \$145 million was property, plant and equipment, and \$107 million of total liabilities, of which \$100 million was long-term debt.

Fiscal Year: We utilize a 52- or 53-week accounting period ending on the Saturday closest to September 30. The Company's accounting cycle resulted in a 52-week year for fiscal years 2011 and 2010 and a 53-week year for fiscal year 2009.

Discontinued Operation: On March 13, 2009, we completed the sale of the beef processing, cattle feed yard and fertilizer assets of three of our Alberta, Canada subsidiaries (collectively, Lakeside), which were part of our Beef segment, and related inventories. The financial statements report Lakeside as a discontinued operation. See Note 3: Acquisitions and Discontinued Operation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further information.

Cash and Cash Equivalents: Cash equivalents consist of investments in short-term, highly liquid securities having original maturities of three months or less, which are made as part of our cash management activity. The carrying values of these assets approximate their fair values. We primarily utilize a cash management system with a series of separate accounts consisting of lockbox accounts for receiving cash, concentration accounts where funds are moved to, and several zero-balance disbursement accounts for funding payroll, accounts payable, livestock procurement, grower payments, etc. As a result of our cash management system, checks issued, but not presented to the banks for payment, may result in negative book cash balances. These negative book cash balances are included in accounts payable and other current liabilities. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, checks outstanding in excess of related book cash balances totaled approximately \$281 million and \$267 million, respectively.

Accounts Receivable: We record accounts receivable at net realizable value. This value includes an appropriate allowance for estimated uncollectible accounts to reflect any loss anticipated on the accounts receivable balances and charged to the provision for doubtful accounts. We calculate this allowance based on our history of write-offs, level of past due accounts and relationships with and economic status of our customers. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, our allowance for uncollectible accounts was \$31 million and \$32 million, respectively. We generally do not have collateral for our receivables, but we do periodically evaluate the credit worthiness of our customers.

Inventories: Processed products, livestock and supplies and other are valued at the lower of cost or market. Cost includes purchased raw materials, live purchase costs, growout costs (primarily feed, contract grower pay and catch and haul costs), labor and manufacturing and production overhead, which are related to the purchase and production of inventories.

	2011	in millions 2010
Processed products:		
Weighted-average method chicken and prepared foods	\$ 715	\$ 721
First-in, first-out method beef and pork	581	462

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Livestock	first-in, first-out method	928		759
Supplies and other	weighted-average method	363		332
Total inventory		\$ 2,587	\$	2,274

Table of Contents

Property, Plant and Equipment: Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost and depreciated on a straight-line method, using estimated lives for buildings and leasehold improvements of 10 to 33 years, machinery and equipment of three to 12 years and land improvements and other of three to 20 years. Major repairs and maintenance costs that significantly extend the useful life of the related assets are capitalized. Normal repairs and maintenance costs are charged to operations.

We review the carrying value of long-lived assets at each balance sheet date if indication of impairment exists. Recoverability is assessed using undiscounted cash flows based on historical results and current projections of earnings before interest and taxes. We measure impairment as the excess of carrying cost over the fair value of an asset. The fair value of an asset is measured using discounted cash flows including market participant assumptions of future operating results and discount rates.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets: Goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets are initially recorded at fair value and not amortized, but are reviewed for impairment at least annually or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. Our goodwill is allocated by reporting unit, and we follow a two-step process to evaluate if a potential impairment exists. The first step is to identify if a potential impairment exists by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is not considered to have a potential impairment and the second step of the impairment test is not necessary. However, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step is performed to determine if goodwill is impaired and to measure the amount of impairment loss to recognize, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of goodwill with the carrying amount of goodwill. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying amount, then goodwill is not considered impaired. However, if the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill recognized in a business combination (i.e., the fair value of the reporting unit is allocated to all the assets and liabilities, including any unrecognized intangible assets, as if the reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination and the fair value of the reporting unit was determined as the exit price a market participant would pay for the same business). We have elected to make the first day of the fourth quarter the annual impairment assessment date for goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets.

We have estimated the fair value of our reporting units using a discounted cash flow analysis, which uses significant unobservable inputs, or Level 3 inputs, as defined by the fair value hierarchy. This analysis requires us to make various judgmental estimates and assumptions about sales, operating margins, growth rates and discount factors and is believed to reflect market participant views which would exist in an exit transaction. Generally, we utilize normalized operating margin assumptions based on future expectations and operating margins historically realized in the reporting units' industries. For the fiscal 2011 impairment test of material reporting units, only our Domestic Chicken reporting unit, which had goodwill at October 1, 2011 totaling \$900 million, utilized operating margins in future years in excess of the operating margins realized in the most recent year. The current year Domestic Chicken reporting unit results were not indicative of future market participant expectations in an exit transaction. We assumed operating margins in future years would return to our normalized range, as we believe this is consistent with market participant views in an exit transaction. Some of the inherent estimates and assumptions used in determining fair value of the reporting units are outside the control of management, including interest rates, cost of capital, tax rates, and credit ratings. While we believe we have made reasonable estimates and assumptions to calculate the fair value of the reporting units, it is possible a material change could occur. If our actual results are not consistent with our estimates and assumptions used to calculate fair value, we may be required to perform the second step in future years, which could result in material impairments of our goodwill.

During fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, all of our reporting units passed the first step of the goodwill impairment analysis, with the exception of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit in fiscal 2010 and the Beef reporting unit in fiscal 2009. In fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$29 million full impairment of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit's goodwill. In fiscal 2009, we recorded a \$560 million partial impairment of our Beef reporting unit's goodwill, which was driven by an increase in our discount rate used in the 2009 annual goodwill impairment analysis as a result of disruptions in global credit and other financial markets and deterioration of economic conditions.

For our other indefinite life intangible assets, if the carrying value of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The fair value of trademarks is determined using a royalty rate method based on expected revenues by trademark.

Investments: We have investments in joint ventures and other entities. We use the cost method of accounting when our voting interests are less than 20 percent. We use the equity method of accounting when our voting interests are in excess of 20 percent and we do not have a controlling interest or a variable interest in which we are the primary beneficiary. Investments in joint ventures and other entities are reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other Assets.

Table of Contents

We also have investments in marketable debt securities. We have determined all of our marketable debt securities are available-for-sale investments. These investments are reported at fair value based on quoted market prices as of the balance sheet date, with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, recorded in other comprehensive income. The amortized cost of debt securities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization is recorded in interest income. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. Realized gains and losses on the sale of debt securities and declines in value judged to be other than temporary are recorded on a net basis in other income. Interest and dividends on securities classified as available-for-sale are recorded in interest income.

Accrued Self Insurance: We use a combination of insurance and self-insurance mechanisms in an effort to mitigate the potential liabilities for health and welfare, workers' compensation, auto liability and general liability risks. Liabilities associated with our risks retained are estimated, in part, by considering claims experience, demographic factors, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions.

Capital Stock: We have two classes of capital stock, Class A Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class A stock) and Class B Common Stock, \$0.10 par value (Class B stock). Holders of Class B stock may convert such stock into Class A stock on a share-for-share basis. Holders of Class B stock are entitled to 10 votes per share, while holders of Class A stock are entitled to one vote per share on matters submitted to shareholders for approval. As of October 1, 2011, Tyson Limited Partnership (the TLP) owned 99.97% of the outstanding shares of Class B stock and the TLP and members of the Tyson family owned, in the aggregate, 2.45% of the outstanding shares of Class A stock, giving them, collectively, control of approximately 70.74% of the total voting power of the outstanding voting stock. Cash dividends cannot be paid to holders of Class B stock unless they are simultaneously paid to holders of Class A stock. The per share amount of the cash dividend paid to holders of Class B stock cannot exceed 90% of the cash dividend simultaneously paid to holders of Class A stock. We pay quarterly cash dividends to Class A and Class B shareholders. We paid Class A dividends per share of \$0.16 and Class B dividends per share of \$0.144 in each of fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009.

The Class B stock is considered a participating security requiring the use of the two-class method for the computation of basic earnings per share. The two-class computation method for each period reflects the cash dividends paid for each class of stock, plus the amount of allocated undistributed earnings (losses) computed using the participation percentage, which reflects the dividend rights of each class of stock. Basic earnings per share were computed using the two-class method for all periods presented. The shares of Class B stock are considered to be participating convertible securities since the shares of Class B stock are convertible on a share-for-share basis into shares of Class A stock. Diluted earnings per share were computed assuming the conversion of the Class B shares into Class A shares as of the beginning of each period.

On May 11, 2011, we announced our Board of Directors reactivated a share repurchase program, which had no activity since fiscal 2005, to repurchase up to the remaining available 22.5 million shares of Class A common stock under the program. The share repurchase program has no fixed or scheduled termination date. During fiscal 2011, we repurchased 9.7 million shares for approximately \$170 million under this plan. As of October 1, 2011, 12.8 million shares remain authorized for repurchase. The timing and extent to which we repurchase shares will depend upon, among other things, market conditions, liquidity targets, limitations under our debt obligations and regulatory requirements. In addition to the share repurchase program, we purchase shares on the open market to fund certain obligations under our equity compensation plans. These repurchases totaled \$37 million and \$48 million during fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Financial Instruments: We purchase certain commodities, such as grains and livestock in the course of normal operations. As part of our commodity risk management activities, we use derivative financial instruments, primarily futures and options, to reduce our exposure to various market risks related to these purchases, as well as to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Contract terms of a financial instrument qualifying as a hedge instrument closely mirror those of the hedged item, providing a high degree of risk reduction and correlation. Contracts designated and highly effective at meeting risk reduction and correlation criteria are recorded using hedge accounting. If a derivative instrument is accounted for as a hedge, changes in the fair value of the instrument will be offset either against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of an instrument's change in fair value is immediately recognized in earnings as a component of cost of sales. Instruments we hold as part of our risk management activities that do not meet the criteria for hedge accounting are marked to fair value with unrealized gains or losses reported currently in earnings. Changes in market value of derivatives used in our risk management activities relating to forward sales contracts are recorded in sales, while changes surrounding inventories on hand or anticipated purchases of inventories or supplies are recorded in cost of sales. We generally do not hedge anticipated transactions beyond 18 months.

Revenue Recognition: We recognize revenue when title and risk of loss are transferred to customers, which is generally on delivery based on terms of sale. Revenue is recognized as the net amount estimated to be received after deducting estimated amounts for discounts, trade allowances and product terms.

Table of Contents

Litigation Reserves: There are a variety of legal proceedings pending or threatened against us. Accruals are recorded when it is probable a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability can be reasonably estimated based on current law, progress of each case, opinions and views of legal counsel and other advisers, our experience in similar matters and intended response to the litigation. These amounts, which are not discounted and are exclusive of claims against third parties, are adjusted periodically as assessment efforts progress or additional information becomes available. We expense amounts for administering or litigating claims as incurred. Accruals for legal proceedings are included in Other current liabilities in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Freight Expense: Freight expense associated with products shipped to customers is recognized in cost of sales.

Advertising and Promotion Expenses: Advertising and promotion expenses are charged to operations in the period incurred. Customer incentive and trade promotion activities are recorded as a reduction to sales based on amounts estimated as being due to customers, based primarily on historical utilization and redemption rates, while other advertising and promotional activities are recorded as selling, general and administrative expenses. Advertising and promotion expenses for fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009 were \$552 million, \$505 million and \$491 million, respectively.

Research and Development: Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Research and development costs totaled \$42 million, \$38 million and \$33 million in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Use of Estimates: The consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements: In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) clarified the guidance around fair value measurements and disclosures. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. We will adopt this guidance in the second quarter of fiscal year 2012. We do not expect the adoption will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued guidance regarding the presentation of comprehensive income. This guidance is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. We anticipate we will adopt this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013. Upon adoption, we will be required to present comprehensive income as part of our consolidated statements of income, or in a separate financial statement. Currently, we present such information in our notes to the consolidated financial statements. Other than changing the presentation of comprehensive income, we do not expect the adoption will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued guidance amending the way companies test for goodwill impairment. This guidance is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. We do not expect the adoption will have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2: CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

In December 2007, the FASB issued guidance to establish accounting and reporting standards for a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. This guidance clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity and may be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements, rather than in the liability or mezzanine section between liabilities and equity. This guidance also requires consolidated net income be reported at amounts that include the net income attributable to both Tyson (the parent) and the noncontrolling interest. We adopted the presentation and disclosure requirements retrospectively at the beginning of fiscal 2010. Accordingly, attributable to Tyson refers to operating results exclusive of any noncontrolling interest. In conjunction with this adoption, we also adopted guidance applicable for all noncontrolling interests in which we are or may be required to repurchase an interest in a consolidated subsidiary from the noncontrolling interest holder under a put option or other contractual redemption requirement. Because we had certain redeemable noncontrolling interests, noncontrolling interests were presented in both the equity section and the mezzanine section of the balance sheet between liabilities and equity.

Table of Contents

In May 2008, the FASB issued guidance which specifies issuers of convertible debt instruments that may be settled in cash upon conversion (including partial cash settlement) should separately account for the liability and equity components in a manner that will reflect the entity's nonconvertible debt borrowing rate when interest cost is recognized in subsequent periods. The amount allocated to the equity component represents a discount to the debt, which is amortized into interest expense using the effective interest method over the life of the debt. We adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and applied it retrospectively. Upon retrospective adoption, our effective interest rate on our 3.25% Convertible Senior Notes due 2013 issued in September 2008 was determined to be 8.26%, which resulted in the recognition of a \$92 million discount to these notes with the offsetting after tax amount of \$56 million recorded to capital in excess of par value. This discount is being accreted over the five-year term of the convertible notes at the effective interest rate.

The following table presents the effects of the retrospective application of new accounting guidance on our consolidated financial statements (in millions, except per share data):

	Adjustments:			
	Previously	Convertible	Noncontrolling	As
	Reported	Debt	Interest	Adjusted
October 3, 2009 Income Statement:				
Interest Expense	\$ 310	\$ 17	\$ 0	\$ 327
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	(526)	(17)	0	(543)
Income Tax Expense	14	(7)	0	7
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	(540)	(10)	0	(550)
Minority Interest	(4)	0	4	0
Net Income (Loss)	(537)	(10)	(4)	(551)
Less: Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	(4)	(4)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Tyson	0	0	0	(547)
Net Income (Loss) Per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Tyson:				
Class A Basic	\$ (1.47)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ (1.44)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.47)
Net Income (Loss) Per Share Attributable to Tyson:				
Class A Basic	\$ (1.47)	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ (1.32)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ (1.44)	\$ (0.03)	\$ 0.00	\$ (1.47)

In December 2008, the FASB issued guidance requiring additional disclosures about assets held in an employer's defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. This guidance is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted. We adopted the disclosure requirements in fiscal 2010. See Note 14: Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits for required disclosures.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance removing the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity. This guidance also clarifies the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets eligible for sale accounting. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We adopted this guidance at the beginning of fiscal year 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009 and December 2009, the FASB issued guidance requiring an analysis to determine whether a variable interest gives the entity a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity. This guidance requires an ongoing assessment and eliminates the quantitative approach previously required for determining whether an entity is the primary beneficiary. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We adopted this guidance at the beginning of fiscal year 2011. The adoption did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTE 3: ACQUISITIONS AND DISCONTINUED OPERATION****Acquisitions**

In August 2009, we completed the establishment of related joint ventures in China referred to as Shandong Tyson Xinchang Foods. The aggregate purchase price for our 60% equity interest was \$21 million, which excludes \$93 million of cash transferred to the joint venture for future capital needs. The purchase price included \$29 million allocated to Intangible Assets and \$19 million allocated to Goodwill, as well as the assumption of \$76 million of Current and Long-Term Debt.

In May 2011, the minority partner exercised put options requiring us to purchase its entire 40% equity interest. In August 2011, the transaction closed for \$66 million.

In October 2008, we acquired three vertically integrated poultry companies in southern Brazil: Macedo Agroindustrial, Avicola Itaiopolis and Frangobras. The aggregate purchase price was \$67 million. In addition, we had \$15 million of contingent purchase price based on production volumes. The purchase price included \$23 million allocated to Goodwill and \$19 million allocated to Intangible Assets. Through fiscal 2011, we have paid \$11 million of the contingent purchase price.

Discontinued Operation

On March 13, 2009, we completed the sale of the beef processing, cattle feed yard and fertilizer assets of three of our Alberta, Canada subsidiaries (collectively, Lakeside), which were part of our Beef segment, and related inventories for total consideration of \$145 million, based on exchange rates then in effect. This included (a) cash received at closing of \$43 million, (b) \$78 million of collateralized notes receivable from either XL Foods or an affiliated entity to be collected throughout the two years following closing, and (c) \$24 million of XL Foods Preferred Stock to be redeemed over five years.

We recorded a pretax loss on sale of Lakeside of \$10 million in fiscal 2009, which included an allocation of beef reporting unit goodwill of \$59 million and cumulative currency translation adjustment gains of \$41 million.

The following is a summary of Lakeside's operating results prior to its disposition (in millions):

	2009
Sales	\$ 461
Pretax income from discontinued operation	\$ 20
Loss on sale of discontinued operation	(10)
Income tax expense	11
Loss from discontinued operation	\$ (1)

NOTE 4: PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Major categories of property, plant and equipment and accumulated depreciation at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010:

	in millions	
	2011	2010
Land	\$ 95	\$ 97
Building and leasehold improvements	2,698	2,617
Machinery and equipment	4,897	4,694
Land improvements and other	386	232
Buildings and equipment under construction	446	513
	8,522	8,153
Less accumulated depreciation	4,699	4,479

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Net property, plant and equipment	\$ 3,823	\$ 3,674
-----------------------------------	----------	----------

Approximately \$427 million will be required to complete buildings and equipment under construction at October 1, 2011.

Table of Contents**NOTE 5: GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

The following table reflects goodwill activity for fiscal years 2011 and 2010:

	in millions				
	Chicken	Beef	Pork	Prepared Foods	Consolidated
Balances at October 3, 2009:					
Goodwill	\$ 973	\$ 1,123	\$ 317	\$ 64	\$ 2,477
Accumulated impairment losses	0	(560)	0	0	(560)
	973	563	317	64	1,917
Fiscal 2010 Activity:					
Impairment losses	(29)	0	0	0	(29)
Currency translation and other	6	0	0	(1)	5
Balances at October 2, 2010:					
Goodwill	\$ 979	\$ 1,123	\$ 317	\$ 63	\$ 2,482
Accumulated impairment losses	(29)	(560)	0	0	(589)
	\$ 950	\$ 563	\$ 317	\$ 63	\$ 1,893
Fiscal 2011 Activity:					
Impairment losses	0	0	0	0	0
Currency translation and other	(1)	0	0	0	(1)
Balances at October 1, 2011:					
Goodwill	978	1,123	317	63	2,481
Accumulated impairment losses	(29)	(560)	0	0	(589)
	\$ 949	\$ 563	\$ 317	\$ 63	\$ 1,892

Other intangible assets by type at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010:

	in millions	
	2011	2010
Gross Carrying Value:		
Trademarks	\$ 56	\$ 56
Patents, intellectual property and other	143	144
Land use rights	25	23
Less Accumulated Amortization	75	57
Total Intangible Assets	\$ 149	\$ 166

Beginning with the date benefits are realized, other intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated period of benefit of three to 30 years. Amortization expense of \$18 million, \$19 million and \$10 million was recognized during fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We estimate amortization expense on intangible assets for the next five fiscal years subsequent to October 1, 2011 will be: 2012 - \$16 million; 2013 - \$16 million; 2014 - \$15 million; 2015 - \$15 million; 2016 - \$14 million.

NOTE 6: OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other current liabilities at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, include:

	in millions	
	2011	2010
Accrued salaries, wages and benefits	\$ 407	\$ 444

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Self-insurance reserves	298	256
Other	335	334
Total other current liabilities	\$ 1,040	\$ 1,034

Table of Contents**NOTE 7: DEBT**

The major components of debt are as follows (in millions):

	2011	2010
Revolving credit facility	\$ 0	\$ 0
Senior notes:		
8.25% Notes due October 2011 (2011 Notes)	0	315
3.25% Convertible senior notes due October 2013 (2013 Notes)	458	458
10.50% Senior notes due March 2014 (2014 Notes)	810	810
6.85% Senior notes due April 2016 (2016 Notes)	638	701
7.00% Notes due May 2018	120	122
7.00% Notes due January 2028	18	18
Discount on senior notes	(76)	(105)
GO Zone tax-exempt bonds due October 2033 (0.14% at 10/1/2011)	100	100
Other	114	117
Total debt	2,182	2,536
Less current debt	70	401
Total long-term debt	\$ 2,112	\$ 2,135

Annual maturities of debt for the five fiscal years subsequent to October 1, 2011, are: 2012 - \$70 million; 2013 - \$17 million; 2014 - \$1,279 million; 2015 - \$7 million; 2016 - \$643 million.

Revolving Credit Facility

In February 2011, we amended and extended our \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility that supports short-term funding needs and letters of credit. The facility will mature and the commitments thereunder will terminate in February 2016, provided that (a) at any time during the six-month period ending November 29, 2013, we have corporate credit ratings not lower than BBB- and Baa3 from Standard & Poor's (S&P) and Moody's Investor Services, Inc. (Moody's), respectively, in each case with stable outlook or better, (b) on or prior to November 29, 2013, we have refinanced, purchased, or defeased the 2014 Notes, or (c) we have irrevocably deposited cash in an amount not less than the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding 2014 Notes on or prior to November 29, 2013, in a blocked cash collateral account. In the event none of the foregoing events have occurred, the loans made under this facility will mature and the commitments thereunder will terminate on November 29, 2013. As of October 1, 2011, none of the foregoing events have occurred.

After reducing the amount available by outstanding letters of credit issued under this facility, the amount available for borrowing under this facility at October 1, 2011, was \$842 million. At October 1, 2011, we had outstanding letters of credit issued under this facility totaling \$158 million, none of which were drawn upon. Our letters of credit are issued primarily in support of workers' compensation insurance programs, derivative activities and Dynamic Fuels' Gulf Opportunity Zone tax-exempt bonds. We had an additional \$50 million of bilateral letters of credit not issued under this facility, none of which were drawn upon.

This facility is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries. The guarantors' cash, accounts receivable, inventory and proceeds received related to these items previously secured our obligations under this facility. Because we satisfied certain credit rating requirements provided for in the facility, we requested the release of the liens securing the facility. As of October 1, 2011, all liens securing our obligations under this facility were released, while the facility remains fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries.

Table of Contents

2013 Notes

In September 2008, we issued \$458 million principal amount 3.25% convertible senior unsecured notes due October 15, 2013, with interest payable semi-annually in arrears on April 15 and October 15. The conversion rate initially is 59.1935 shares of Class A stock per \$1,000 principal amount of notes, which is equivalent to an initial conversion price of \$16.89 per share of Class A stock. The 2013 Notes may be converted before the close of business on July 12, 2013, only under the following circumstances:

during any fiscal quarter after December 27, 2008, if the last reported sale price of our Class A stock for at least 20 trading days during a period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter is at least 130% of the applicable conversion price on each applicable trading day (which would currently require our shares to trade at or above \$21.96); or during the five business days after any 10 consecutive trading days (measurement period) in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of notes for each trading day of the measurement period was less than 98% of the product of the last reported sale price of our Class A stock and the applicable conversion rate on each such day; or upon the occurrence of specified corporate events as defined in the supplemental indenture.

On and after July 15, 2013, until the close of business on the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders may convert their notes at any time, regardless of the foregoing circumstances. Upon conversion, we will deliver cash up to the aggregate principal amount of the 2013 Notes to be converted and shares of our Class A stock in respect of the remainder, if any, of our conversion obligation in excess of the aggregate principal amount of the 2013 Notes being converted. As of October 1, 2011, none of the conditions permitting conversion of the 2013 Notes had been satisfied.

The 2013 Notes were originally accounted for as a combined instrument because the conversion feature did not meet the requirements to be accounted for separately as a derivative financial instrument. However, we adopted new accounting guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2010 and applied it retrospectively to all periods presented. This new accounting guidance required us to separately account for the liability and equity conversion features. Upon retrospective adoption, our effective interest rate on the 2013 Notes was determined to be 8.26%, which resulted in the recognition of a \$92 million discount to these notes with the offsetting after tax amount of \$56 million recorded to capital in excess of par value. This discount is being accreted over the five-year term of the convertible notes at the effective interest rate.

In connection with the issuance of the 2013 Notes, we entered into separate convertible note hedge transactions with respect to our Class A stock to minimize the potential economic dilution upon conversion of the 2013 Notes. We also entered into separate warrant transactions. We recorded the purchase of the note hedge transactions as a reduction to capital in excess of par value, net of \$36 million pertaining to the related deferred tax asset, and we recorded the proceeds of the warrant transactions as an increase to capital in excess of par value. Subsequent changes in fair value of these instruments are not recognized in the financial statements as long as the instruments continue to meet the criteria for equity classification.

We purchased call options in private transactions for \$94 million that permit us to acquire up to approximately 27 million shares of our Class A stock at an initial strike price of \$16.89 per share, subject to adjustment. The call options allow us to acquire a number of shares of our Class A stock initially equal to the number of shares of Class A stock issuable to the holders of the 2013 Notes upon conversion. These call options will terminate upon the maturity of the 2013 Notes.

We sold warrants in private transactions for total proceeds of \$44 million. The warrants permit the purchasers to acquire up to approximately 27 million shares of our Class A stock at an initial exercise price of \$22.31 per share, subject to adjustment. The warrants are exercisable on various dates from January 2014 through March 2014.

The maximum amount of shares that may be issued to satisfy the conversion of the 2013 Notes is limited to 35.9 million shares. However, the convertible note hedge and warrant transactions, in effect, increase the initial conversion price of the 2013 Notes from \$16.89 per share to \$22.31 per share, thus reducing the potential future economic dilution associated with conversion of the 2013 Notes. If our share price is below \$22.31 upon conversion of the 2013 Notes, there is no economic net share impact. Upon conversion, a 10% increase in our share price above the \$22.31 conversion price would result in the issuance of 2.5 million incremental shares. The 2013 Notes and the warrants could have a dilutive effect on our earnings per share to the extent the price of our Class A stock during a given measurement period exceeds the respective exercise prices of those instruments. The call options are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share as their impact is anti-dilutive.

2014 Notes

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

In March 2009, we issued \$810 million of senior unsecured notes, which will mature in March 2014. The 2014 Notes carry a 10.50% interest rate, with interest payments due semi-annually on March 1 and September 1. These were issued at an original issue discount of \$59 million, based on an issue price of 92.756% of face value. The 2014 Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of our domestic subsidiaries.

Table of Contents**2016 Notes**

The 2016 Notes carried an interest rate at issuance of 6.60%, with an interest step up feature dependent on their credit rating. On November 13, 2008, Moody's downgraded the credit rating from Ba1 to Ba3. This downgrade increased the interest rate from 7.35% to 7.85%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due April 1, 2009.

On August 19, 2010, S&P upgraded the credit rating of these notes from BB to BB+. On September 2, 2010, Moody's upgraded our credit rating from Ba3 to Ba2. These upgrades decreased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 7.85% to 7.35%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due October 1, 2010.

On February 24, 2011, S&P upgraded the credit rating of these notes from BB+ to BBB-. On March 29, 2011, Moody's upgraded our credit rating from Ba2 to Ba1. These upgrades decreased the interest rate on the 2016 Notes from 7.35% to 6.85%, effective beginning with the six-month interest payment due April 1, 2011.

GO Zone Tax-Exempt Bonds

In October 2008, Dynamic Fuels received \$100 million in proceeds from the sale of Gulf Opportunity Zone tax-exempt bonds made available by the federal government to the regions affected by Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005. These floating rate bonds are due October 1, 2033. In November 2008, we entered into an interest rate swap related to these bonds to mitigate our interest rate risk on a portion of the bonds for five years. We also issued a letter of credit as a guarantee for the entire bond issuance.

Debt Covenants

Our revolving credit facility contains affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: create liens and encumbrances; incur debt; merge, dissolve, liquidate or consolidate; make acquisitions and investments; dispose of or transfer assets; pay dividends or make other payments in respect of our capital stock; amend material documents; change the nature of our business; make certain payments of debt; engage in certain transactions with affiliates; and enter into sale/leaseback or hedging transactions, in each case, subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In addition, we are required to maintain minimum interest expense coverage and maximum leverage ratios.

Our 2014 Notes also contain affirmative and negative covenants that, among other things, may limit or restrict our ability to: incur additional debt and issue preferred stock; make certain investments and restricted payments; create liens; create restrictions on distributions from subsidiaries; engage in specified sales of assets and subsidiary stock; enter into transactions with affiliates; enter new lines of business; engage in consolidation, mergers and acquisitions; and engage in certain sale/leaseback transactions.

We were in compliance with all debt covenants at October 1, 2011.

NOTE 8: INCOME TAXES

Detail of the provision for income taxes from continuing operations consists of the following:

	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Federal	\$ 320	\$ 374	\$ 7
State	21	44	(4)
Foreign	0	20	4
	\$ 341	\$ 438	\$ 7
Current	\$ 255	\$ 420	\$ 40
Deferred	86	18	(33)
	\$ 341	\$ 438	\$ 7

Table of Contents

The reasons for the difference between the statutory federal income tax rate and our effective income tax rate from continuing operations are as follows:

	2011	2010	2009
Federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
State income taxes	1.6	2.4	0.1
Unrecognized tax benefits, net	(1.7)	(1.4)	(0.3)
Goodwill impairment	0.0	0.9	(36.1)
General business credits	(0.9)	(0.7)	2.2
Domestic production deduction	(2.3)	(2.0)	0.5
Change in foreign valuation allowance	0.3	0.8	(3.8)
Tax planning in foreign jurisdictions	0.0	0.0	1.7
Other	(0.2)	1.4	(0.8)
	31.8%	36.4%	(1.5)%

During fiscal 2011, tax expense was impacted by the domestic production deduction, adjustments to reserves for uncertain tax positions due to domestic and foreign tax audit activities, and estimated general business credits, which decreased tax expense by \$25 million, \$19 million, and \$9 million, respectively.

During fiscal 2010, tax expense was impacted by the domestic production deduction and reductions in unrecognized tax benefits, which decreased tax expense by \$24 million and \$16 million, respectively.

The fiscal 2009 goodwill impairment is not deductible for income tax purposes and negatively impacted our effective income tax rate by 36.1%. During fiscal 2009, our tax expense was impacted by an increase in foreign valuation allowance which increased tax expense by \$21 million, estimated general business credits, which decreased tax expense by \$12 million, and tax planning in foreign jurisdictions which decreased tax expense by \$9 million.

We recognize deferred income taxes for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled.

The tax effects of major items recorded as deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

	in millions			
	2011		2010	
	Deferred Tax		Deferred Tax	
	Assets	Liabilities	Assets	Liabilities
Property, plant and equipment	\$ 0	\$ 401	\$ 0	\$ 347
Suspended taxes from conversion to accrual method	0	81	0	86
Intangible assets	0	35	0	34
Inventory	9	113	9	85
Accrued expenses	196	0	202	0
Net operating loss and other carryforwards	97	0	97	0
Insurance reserves	23	0	20	0
Other	80	68	108	90
	\$ 405	\$ 698	\$ 436	\$ 642
Valuation allowance	\$ (92)		\$ (96)	
Net deferred tax liability		\$ 385		\$ 302

We record deferred tax amounts in Other Current Assets and in Deferred Income Taxes on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

The deferred tax liability for suspended taxes from conversion to accrual method represents the 1987 change from the cash to accrual method of accounting and will be recognized by 2027.

Table of Contents

At October 1, 2011, our gross state tax net operating loss carryforwards approximated \$635 million and expire in fiscal years 2012 through 2029. Gross foreign net operating loss carryforwards approximated \$160 million, of which \$63 million expire in fiscal years 2012 through 2020, and the remainder has no expiration. We also have tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$19 million that expire in fiscal years 2012 through 2025.

We have accumulated undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries aggregating approximately \$339 million and \$260 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively. These earnings are expected to be indefinitely reinvested outside of the United States. If those earnings were distributed in the form of dividends or otherwise, we would be subject to federal income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits), state income taxes and withholding taxes payable to the various foreign countries. It is not currently practicable to estimate the tax liability that might be payable on the repatriation of these foreign earnings.

The following table summarizes the activity related to our gross unrecognized tax benefits at October 1, 2011, October 2, 2010, and October 3, 2009:

	2011	2010	in millions 2009
Balance as of the beginning of the year	\$ 184	\$ 233	\$ 220
Increases related to current year tax positions	4	4	7
Increases related to prior year tax positions	21	11	60
Reductions related to prior year tax positions	(24)	(35)	(21)
Reductions related to settlements	(9)	(25)	(25)
Reductions related to expirations of statute of limitations	(2)	(4)	(8)
Balance as of the end of the year	\$ 174	\$ 184	\$ 233

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, that would impact our effective tax rate was \$155 million and \$150 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively. We classify interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, before tax benefits, we had \$58 million and \$64 million, respectively, of accrued interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits.

As of October 1, 2011, we are subject to income tax examinations for U.S. federal income taxes for fiscal years 2003 through 2010. We are also subject to income tax examinations for state and foreign income taxes for fiscal years 2001 through 2010. During fiscal 2012, tax audit resolutions could potentially reduce our unrecognized tax benefits by approximately \$10 million, either because tax positions are sustained on audit or because we agree to their disallowance.

NOTE 9: OTHER INCOME AND CHARGES

During fiscal 2011, we recorded an \$11 million gain related to a sale of interests in an equity method investment. This gain was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Income in Other, net.

During fiscal 2010, we recognized \$38 million of insurance proceeds received related to losses incurred from Hurricane Katrina in 2005. These proceeds are reflected in the Chicken segment's Operating Income and included in the Consolidated Statements of Income in Cost of Sales. Also in fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$12 million impairment charge related to an equity method investment. This charge is included in the Consolidated Statements of Income in Other, net.

On March 27, 2009, we announced the decision to close our Ponca City, Oklahoma, processed meats plant. The plant ceased operation in August 2009. The closing resulted in the elimination of approximately 600 jobs. During fiscal 2009, we recorded charges of \$15 million, which included \$14 million for estimated impairment charges and \$1 million of employee termination benefits. The charges are reflected in the Prepared Foods segment's Operating Income and included in the Consolidated Statements of Income in Other Charges.

Table of Contents**NOTE 10: EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE**

The earnings and weighted average common shares used in the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share are as follows:

	in millions, except per share data		
	2011	2010	2009
Numerator:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$ 733	\$ 765	\$ (550)
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(17)	(15)	(4)
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Tyson	750	780	(546)
Less Dividends:			
Class A (\$0.16/share)	49	49	50
Class B (\$0.144/share)	10	10	10
Undistributed earnings (losses)	\$ 691	\$ 721	\$ (606)
Class A undistributed earnings (losses)	\$ 572	\$ 597	\$ (501)
Class B undistributed earnings (losses)	119	124	(105)
Total undistributed earnings (losses)	\$ 691	\$ 721	\$ (606)
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic earnings (loss) per share:			
Class A weighted average shares	303	303	302
Class B weighted average shares, and shares under if-converted method for diluted earnings per share	70	70	70
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options and restricted stock	6	6	0
Convertible 2013 Notes	1	0	0
Denominator for diluted earnings (loss) per share adjusted weighted average shares and assumed conversions	380	379	372
Net Income (Loss) Per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Tyson:			
Class A Basic	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.13	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.91	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ 1.97	\$ 2.06	\$ (1.47)
Net Income (Loss) Per Share Attributable to Tyson:			
Class A Basic	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.13	\$ (1.49)
Class B Basic	\$ 1.84	\$ 1.91	\$ (1.35)
Diluted	\$ 1.97	\$ 2.06	\$ (1.47)

Approximately 4 million, 5 million and 24 million in fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, of our stock-based compensation shares were antidilutive and were not included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation.

We have two classes of capital stock, Class A stock and Class B stock. Cash dividends cannot be paid to holders of Class B stock unless they are simultaneously paid to holders of Class A stock. The per share amount of cash dividends paid to holders of Class B stock cannot exceed 90% of the cash dividends paid to holders of Class A stock.

We allocate undistributed earnings (losses) based upon a 1 to 0.9 ratio per share to Class A stock and Class B stock, respectively. We allocate undistributed earnings (losses) based on this ratio due to historical dividend patterns, voting control of Class B shareholders and contractual limitations of dividends to Class B stock.

Table of Contents**NOTE 11: DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Our business operations give rise to certain market risk exposures mostly due to changes in commodity prices, foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. We manage a portion of these risks through the use of derivative financial instruments, primarily futures and options, to reduce our exposure to commodity price risk, foreign currency risk and interest rate risk. Forward contracts on various commodities, including grains, livestock and energy, are primarily entered into to manage the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of these inputs used in our production processes. Foreign exchange forward contracts are entered into to manage the fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, primarily as a result of certain receivable and payable balances. We also periodically utilize interest rate swaps to manage interest rate risk associated with our variable-rate borrowings.

Our risk management programs are periodically reviewed by our Board of Directors Audit Committee. These programs are monitored by senior management and may be revised as market conditions dictate. Our current risk management programs utilize industry-standard models that take into account the implicit cost of hedging. Risks associated with our market risks and those created by derivative instruments and the fair values are strictly monitored at all times, using Value-at-Risk and stress tests. Credit risks associated with our derivative contracts are not significant as we minimize counterparty concentrations, utilize margin accounts or letters of credit, and deal with credit-worthy counterparties. Additionally, our derivative contracts are mostly short-term in duration and we generally do not make use of credit-risk-related contingent features. No significant concentrations of credit risk existed at October 1, 2011.

We recognize all derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities at fair value in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, with the exception of normal purchases and normal sales expected to result in physical delivery. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we designate the hedging instrument based upon the exposure being hedged (i.e., fair value hedge, cash flow hedge, or hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation). We qualify, or designate, a derivative financial instrument as a hedge when contract terms closely mirror those of the hedged item, providing a high degree of risk reduction and correlation. If a derivative instrument is accounted for as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the instrument either will be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged assets, liabilities or firm commitments through earnings, or be recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) (OCI) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of an instrument's change in fair value is recognized in earnings immediately. We designate certain forward contracts as follows:

Cash Flow Hedges include certain commodity forward and option contracts of forecasted purchases (i.e., grains) and certain foreign exchange forward contracts.

Fair Value Hedges include certain commodity forward contracts of forecasted purchases (i.e., livestock).

Net Investment Hedges include certain foreign currency forward contracts of permanently invested capital in certain foreign subsidiaries.

Cash flow hedges

Derivative instruments, such as futures and options, are designated as hedges against changes in the amount of future cash flows related to procurement of certain commodities utilized in our production processes. We do not purchase forward and option commodity contracts in excess of our physical consumption requirements and generally do not hedge forecasted transactions beyond 18 months. The objective of these hedges is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with the forecasted purchase of those commodities. For the derivative instruments we designate and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of OCI and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in earnings in the current period. Ineffectiveness related to our cash flow hedges was not significant during fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009.

We had the following aggregated notionals of outstanding forward and option contracts accounted for as cash flow hedges:

	Metric	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Commodity:			
Corn	Bushels	6 million	16 million
Soy Meal	Tons	82,300	101,500
Foreign Currency	United States dollar	\$ 75 million	\$ 0

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

As of October 1, 2011, the net amounts expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next 12 months are pretax losses of \$21 million related to grain and pretax gains of \$9 million related to foreign currency. During fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, we did not reclassify significant pretax gains/losses into earnings as a result of the discontinuance of cash flow hedges due to the probability the original forecasted transaction would not occur by the end of the originally specified time period or within the additional period of time allowed by generally accepted accounting principles.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the pretax impact of cash flow hedge derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income (in millions):

	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives			Consolidated Statements of Income Classification	Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from OCI to Earnings		
	2011	2010	2009		2011	2010	2009
Cash Flow Hedge Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:							
Commodity contracts	\$ (5)	\$ 6	\$ (61)	Cost of Sales	\$ 25	\$ (6)	\$ (67)
Foreign exchange contracts	9	1	8	Other Income/Expense	0	1	6
Total	\$ 4	\$ 7	\$ (53)		\$ 25	\$ (5)	\$ (61)

Fair value hedges

We designate certain futures contracts as fair value hedges of firm commitments to purchase livestock for slaughter. Our objective of these hedges is to minimize the risk of changes in fair value created by fluctuations in commodity prices associated with fixed price livestock firm commitments. We had the following aggregated notional of outstanding forward contracts entered into to hedge forecasted commodity purchases which are accounted for as a fair value hedge:

	Metric	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Commodity:			
Live Cattle	Pounds	318 million	361 million
Lean Hogs	Pounds	601 million	508 million

For these derivative instruments we designate and qualify as a fair value hedge, the gain or loss on the derivative, as well as the offsetting gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk, are recognized in earnings in the same period. We include the gain or loss on the hedged items (i.e., livestock purchase firm commitments) in the same line item, Cost of Sales, as the offsetting gain or loss on the related livestock forward position.

	in millions			
	Consolidated Statements of Income Classification	2011	2010	2009
Gain/(Loss) on forwards	Cost of Sales	\$ (78)	\$ (58)	\$ 152
Gain/(Loss) on purchase contract	Cost of Sales	78	58	(152)

Ineffectiveness related to our fair value hedges was not significant during fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009.

Foreign net investment hedges

We utilize forward foreign exchange contracts to protect the value of our net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries. For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a hedge of a net investment in a foreign currency, the gain or loss is reported in OCI as part of the cumulative translation adjustment to the extent it is effective, with the related amounts due to or from counterparties included in other liabilities or other assets. We utilize the forward-rate method of assessing hedge effectiveness. Any ineffective portions of net investment hedges are recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income during the period of change. Ineffectiveness related to our foreign net investment hedges was not significant during fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, we had \$35 million and \$49 million aggregate outstanding notionals related to our forward foreign currency contracts accounted for as foreign net investment hedges.

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the pretax impact of these derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income (in millions):

	Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI			Consolidated Statements of Income Classification	Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from		
	on Derivatives				OCI to Earnings		
	2011	2010	2009		2011	2010	2009
Net Investment Hedge Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:							
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (2)	\$ (1)	\$ (5)	Other Income/Expense	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ (2)

Table of ContentsUndesignated positions

In addition to our designated positions, we also hold forward and option contracts for which we do not apply hedge accounting. These include certain derivative instruments related to commodities price risk, including grains, livestock and energy, foreign currency risk and interest rate risk. We mark these positions to fair value through earnings at each reporting date. We generally do not enter into undesignated positions beyond 18 months.

The objective of our undesignated grains, energy and livestock commodity positions is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with the forecasted purchase of certain grains, energy and livestock inputs to our production processes. We also enter into certain forward sales of boxed beef and boxed pork and forward purchases of cattle and hogs at fixed prices. The fixed price sales contracts lock in the proceeds from a sale in the future and the fixed cattle and hog purchases lock in the cost. However, the cost of the livestock and the related boxed beef and boxed pork market prices at the time of the sale or purchase could vary from this fixed price. As we enter into fixed forward sales of boxed beef and boxed pork and forward purchases of cattle and hogs, we also enter into the appropriate number of livestock futures positions to mitigate a portion of this risk. Changes in market value of the open livestock futures positions are marked to market and reported in earnings at each reporting date, even though the economic impact of our fixed prices being above or below the market price is only realized at the time of sale or purchase. These positions generally do not qualify for hedge treatment due to location basis differences between the commodity exchanges and the actual locations when we purchase the commodities.

We have a foreign currency cash flow hedging program to hedge portions of forecasted transactions denominated in foreign currencies, primarily with forward and option contracts, to protect against the reduction in value of forecasted foreign currency cash flows. Our undesignated foreign currency positions generally would qualify for cash flow hedge accounting. However, to reduce earnings volatility, we normally will not elect hedge accounting treatment when the position provides an offset to the underlying related transaction that currently impacts earnings.

The objective of our undesignated interest rate swap is to manage interest rate risk exposure on a floating-rate bond. Our interest rate swap agreement effectively modifies our exposure to interest rate risk by converting a portion of the floating-rate bond to a fixed rate basis for the first five years, thus reducing the impact of the interest-rate changes on future interest expense. This interest rate swap does not qualify for hedge treatment due to differences in the underlying bond and swap contract interest-rate indices.

We had the following aggregate outstanding notionals related to our undesignated positions:

Commodity:	Metric	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Corn	Bushels	17 million	38 million
Soy Meal	Tons	174,600	367,000
Soy Oil	Pounds	13 million	2 million
Live Cattle	Pounds	72 million	73 million
Lean Hogs	Pounds	19 million	134 million
Natural Gas	British thermal units	0	450 billion
Foreign Currency	United States dollars	\$ 110 million	\$ 146 million
Interest Rate	Average monthly notional debt	\$ 39 million	\$ 53 million

The following table sets forth the pretax impact of the undesignated derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Income (in millions):

	Gain/(Loss)		
	Recognized		
	in Earnings		
	2011	2010	2009
Derivatives not designated			

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

as hedging instruments:

Commodity contracts	Sales	\$ 20	\$ 27	\$ (34)
Commodity contracts	Cost of Sales	(2)	(20)	(151)
Foreign exchange contracts	Other Income/Expense	(3)	(5)	0
Interest rate contracts	Interest Expense	0	1	(4)
Total		\$ 15	\$ 3	\$ (189)

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the fair value of all derivative instruments outstanding in the Consolidated Balance Sheets (in millions):

	Fair Value	
	2011	2010
Derivative Assets:		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	\$ 3	\$ 20
Foreign exchange contracts	12	0
Total derivative assets designated	15	20
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	21	10
Foreign exchange contracts	5	1
Total derivative assets not designated	26	11
Total derivative assets	\$ 41	\$ 31
Derivative Liabilities:		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	\$ 41	\$ 16
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:		
Commodity contracts	121	34
Foreign exchange contracts	1	6
Interest rate contracts	2	3
Total derivative liabilities not designated	124	43
Total derivative liabilities	\$ 165	\$ 59

Our derivative assets and liabilities are presented in our Consolidated Balance Sheets on a net basis. We net derivative assets and liabilities, including cash collateral when a legally enforceable master netting arrangement exists between the counterparty to a derivative contract and us. See Note 12: Fair Value Measurements for a reconciliation to amounts reported in the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Other current assets and Other current liabilities.

NOTE 12: FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy contains three levels as follows:

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices available in active markets for the identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.

Level 2 Other observable inputs available at the measurement date, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, either directly or indirectly, including:

- Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets;
- Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; and
- Inputs derived principally from or corroborated by other observable market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that cannot be corroborated by observable market data and reflect the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

Table of Contents**Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis**

The fair value hierarchy requires the use of observable market data when available. In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy our financial assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis according to the valuation techniques we used to determine their fair values (in millions):

October 1, 2011	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting (a)	Total
Assets:					
Commodity Derivatives	\$ 0	\$ 24	\$ 0	\$ (21)	\$ 3
Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts	0	17	0	(2)	15
Available for Sale Securities:					
Debt securities	0	34	83	0	117
Equity securities	7	0	0	0	7
Deferred Compensation Assets	28	122	0	0	150
Total Assets	\$ 35	\$ 197	\$ 83	\$ (23)	\$ 292
Liabilities:					
Commodity Derivatives	\$ 0	\$ 162	\$ 0	\$ (135)	\$ 27
Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts	0	1	0	(1)	0
Interest Rate Swap	0	2	0	0	2
Total Liabilities	\$ 0	\$ 165	\$ 0	\$ (136)	\$ 29
October 2, 2010					
Assets:					
Commodity Derivatives	\$ 0	\$ 30	\$ 0	\$ (18)	\$ 12
Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts	0	1	0	(1)	0
Available for Sale Securities:					
Debt securities	0	42	73	0	115
Equity securities	15	3	0	0	18
Deferred Compensation Assets	0	86	0	0	86
Total Assets	\$ 15	\$ 162	\$ 73	\$ (19)	\$ 231
Liabilities:					
Commodity Derivatives	\$ 0	\$ 50	\$ 0	\$ (50)	\$ 0
Foreign Exchange Forward Contracts	0	6	0	(1)	5
Interest Rate Swap	0	3	0	(1)	2
Total Liabilities	\$ 0	\$ 59	\$ 0	\$ (52)	\$ 7

(a) Our derivative assets and liabilities are presented in our Consolidated Balance Sheets on a net basis. We net derivative assets and liabilities, including cash collateral, when a legally enforceable master netting arrangement exists between the counterparty to a derivative contract and us. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, we had posted \$113 million and \$35 million of cash collateral and held \$0 and \$3 million cash collateral with various counterparties, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation between the beginning and ending balance of debt securities measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the table above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (in millions):

	October 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 73	\$ 72
	0	1

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):

Included in earnings

Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(1)	1
Purchases, issuances and settlements, net	11	(1)
Balance at end of year	\$ 83	\$ 73

Total gains (losses) for the periods included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets and liabilities still held at end of year	\$ 0	\$ 0
--	------	------

Table of Contents

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument:

Derivative Assets and Liabilities: Our derivatives, including commodities, foreign exchange forward contracts and an interest rate swap, primarily include exchange-traded and over-the-counter contracts which are further described in Note 11: Derivative Financial Instruments. We record our commodity derivatives at fair value using quoted market prices adjusted for credit and non-performance risk and internal models that use as their basis readily observable market inputs including current and forward commodity market prices. Our foreign exchange forward contracts are recorded at fair value based on quoted prices and spot and forward currency prices adjusted for credit and non-performance risk. Our interest rate swap is recorded at fair value based on quoted LIBOR swap rates adjusted for credit and non-performance risk. We classify these instruments in Level 2 when quoted market prices can be corroborated utilizing observable current and forward commodity market prices on active exchanges, observable market transactions of spot currency rates and forward currency prices or observable benchmark market rates at commonly quoted intervals.

Available for Sale Securities: Our investments in marketable debt securities are classified as available-for-sale and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These investments, which are generally long-term in nature with maturities ranging up to 45 years, are reported at fair value based on pricing models and quoted market prices adjusted for credit and non-performance risk. We classify our investments in U.S. government and agency debt securities as Level 2 as fair value is generally estimated using discounted cash flow models that are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including time value and yield curve as well as other readily available relevant economic measures. We classify certain corporate, asset-backed and other debt securities as Level 3 as there is limited activity or less observable inputs into proprietary valuation models, including estimated prepayment, default and recovery rates on the underlying portfolio or structured investment vehicle.

Additionally, we have eight million shares of Syntroleum Corporation common stock and 4.25 million warrants, which expire in early fiscal 2014, to purchase an equivalent amount of Syntroleum Corporation common stock at an average price of \$2.87. We record the shares and warrants in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value based on quoted market prices. We classify the shares as Level 1 as the fair value is based on unadjusted quoted prices available in active markets. We classify the warrants as Level 2 as fair value can be corroborated based on observable market data.

(in millions)	October 1, 2011			October 2, 2010		
	Amortized Cost Basis	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain/(Loss)	Amortized Cost Basis	Fair Value	Unrealized Gain
Available for Sale Securities:						
Debt Securities:						
U.S. Treasury and Agency	\$ 33	\$ 34	\$ 1	\$ 41	\$ 42	\$ 1
Corporate and Asset-Backed (a)	54	56	2	43	46	3
Redeemable Preferred Stock	27	27	0	27	27	0
Equity Securities:						
Common Stock	9	7	(2)	9	15	6
Stock Warrants	0	0	0	0	3	3

(a) At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, the amortized cost basis for Corporate and Asset-Backed debt securities had been reduced by accumulated other than temporary impairments of \$3 million and \$3 million, respectively.

Unrealized holding gains (losses), net of tax, are excluded from earnings and reported in OCI until the security is settled or sold. On a quarterly basis, we evaluate whether losses related to our available-for-sale securities are temporary in nature. Losses on equity securities are recognized in earnings if the decline in value is judged to be other than temporary. If losses related to our debt securities are determined to be other than temporary, the loss would be recognized in earnings if we intend, or more likely than not will be required, to sell the security prior to recovery. For debt securities in which we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity, losses determined to be other than temporary would remain in OCI, other than expected credit losses which are recognized in earnings. We consider many factors in determining whether a loss is temporary, including the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been below cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer and our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery. During fiscal 2011 and 2010, we recognized no other than temporary impairments in earnings, while we recognized \$4 million of other than temporary impairments during fiscal 2009. No other than temporary losses were deferred in OCI as of October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010.

Table of Contents

Deferred Compensation Assets: We maintain non-qualified deferred compensation plans for certain executives and other highly compensated employees. Investments are maintained within a trust and include money market funds, mutual funds and life insurance policies. The cash surrender value of the life insurance policies is invested primarily in mutual funds. The investments are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices and are included in Other Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. We classify the investments which have observable market prices in active markets in Level 1 as these are generally publicly-traded mutual funds. The remaining deferred compensation assets are classified in Level 2, as fair value can be corroborated based on observable market data. Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on deferred compensation are included in earnings.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

In addition to assets and liabilities that are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, we record assets and liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Generally, assets are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as a result of impairment charges. During fiscal 2010, we recorded a \$29 million charge to fully impair an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit's goodwill. We utilized a discounted cash flow analysis that incorporated unobservable Level 3 inputs. We did not have any other significant measurements of assets or liabilities at fair value on a nonrecurring basis subsequent to their initial recognition.

Other Financial Instruments

Fair values for debt are based on quoted market prices or published forward interest rate curves. Fair value and carrying value for our debt were as follows (in millions):

	Carrying October 1, 2011	Carrying October 2, 2010	Carrying October 1, 2011	Carrying October 2, 2010
	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value	Fair Value
Total Debt	\$ 2,334	\$ 2,182	\$ 2,770	\$ 2,536

For all of our other financial instruments, the estimated fair value approximated the carrying value at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010. The carrying value of our other financial instruments, not otherwise disclosed herein, included notes receivable, which approximated fair value at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010. Notes receivable were recorded in Other Current Assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and totaled \$0 and \$49 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively. The fair values were determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Our financial instruments exposed to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of cash and cash equivalents and accounts receivable. Our cash equivalents are in high quality securities placed with major banks and financial institutions. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to receivables are limited due to the large number of customers and their dispersion across geographic areas. We perform periodic credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition and generally do not require collateral. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, 16.5% and 15.3%, respectively, of our net accounts receivable balance was due from Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. No other single customer or customer group represented greater than 10% of net accounts receivable.

NOTE 13: STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We issue shares under our stock-based compensation plans by issuing Class A stock from treasury. The total number of shares available for future grant under the Tyson Foods, Inc. 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (Incentive Plan) was 15,102,409 at October 1, 2011.

Stock Options

Shareholders approved the Incentive Plan in January 2001. The Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (Compensation Committee). The Incentive Plan includes provisions for granting incentive stock options for shares of Class A stock at a price not less than the fair value at the date of grant. Nonqualified stock options may be granted at a price equal to, less than or more than the fair value of Class A stock on the date the option is granted. Stock options under the Incentive Plan generally become exercisable ratably over

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

two to five years from the date of grant and must be exercised within 10 years from the date of grant. Our policy is to recognize compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

Table of Contents

	Shares Under Option	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Outstanding, October 2, 2010	19,373,912	\$ 12.69		
Exercised	(4,127,763)	12.26		
Canceled	(498,920)	12.78		
Granted	3,507,992	16.19		
Outstanding, October 1, 2011	18,255,221	13.46	6.1	\$ 246
Exercisable, October 1, 2011	9,465,184	\$ 13.93	4.3	\$ 132

We generally grant stock options once a year; however, we granted stock options twice during fiscal 2010. The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$6.19, \$4.76 and \$1.29, respectively. The fair value of each option grant is established on the date of grant using a binomial lattice method. We use historical volatility for a period of time comparable to the expected life of the option to determine volatility assumptions. Expected life is calculated based on the contractual term of each grant and takes into account the historical exercise and termination behavior of participants. Risk-free interest rates are based on the five-year Treasury bond rate. Assumptions as of the grant date used in the fair value calculation of each year's grants are outlined in the following table.

	2011	2010	2009
Expected life	6.7 years	6.5 years	5.3 years
Risk-free interest rate	1.5%	1.2%	2.3%
Expected volatility	38.8%	40.4%	34.6%
Expected dividend yield	1.0%	1.3%	3.3%

We recognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options, net of income taxes, of \$12 million, \$11 million and \$9 million, respectively, during fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, with a \$7 million, \$7 million and \$6 million related tax benefit. We had 6.8 million, 2.2 million and 2.4 million options vest in fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, with a grant date fair value of \$16 million, \$13 million and \$15 million, respectively.

In fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, we received cash of \$51 million, \$31 million and \$1 million, respectively, for the exercise of stock options. Shares are issued from treasury for stock option exercises. The related tax benefit realized from stock options exercised during fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$10 million, \$5 million and \$0, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised in fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, was \$26 million, \$12 million and \$0, respectively. Cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost of those options (excess tax deductions) are classified as financing cash flows. We realized \$5 million, \$3 million and \$0, respectively, in excess tax deductions during fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of October 1, 2011, we had \$26 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to stock option plans that will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.4 years.

Restricted Stock

We issue restricted stock at the market value as of the date of grant, with restrictions expiring over periods through 2014. Unearned compensation is recognized over the vesting period for the particular grant using a straight-line method.

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant- Date Fair Value Per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in millions)
Nonvested, October 2, 2010	3,601,614	\$ 14.55		
Granted	377,423	17.38		
Dividends	28,000	17.92		
Vested	(913,954)	15.12		
Forfeited	(122,781)	14.74		
Nonvested, October 1, 2011	2,970,302	\$ 14.70	1.2	\$ 52

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

As of October 1, 2011, we had \$14 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards that will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.2 years.

Table of Contents

We recognized stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock, net of income taxes, of \$7 million, \$8 million and \$10 million for years 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The related tax benefit for fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009 was \$5 million, \$5 million and \$7 million, respectively. We had 0.9 million, 1.8 million and 0.7 million, respectively, restricted stock awards vest in fiscal years 2011, 2010 and 2009, with a grant date fair value of \$14 million, \$30 million and \$11 million.

Performance-Based Shares

In July 2003, our Compensation Committee began authorizing us to award performance-based shares of our Class A stock to certain senior executives. These awards are typically granted on the first business day of our fiscal year. The vesting of the performance-based shares is generally over three years and each award is subject to the attainment of goals determined by the Compensation Committee prior to the date of the award. We review progress toward the attainment of goals each quarter during the vesting period. However, the attainment of goals can be determined only at the end of the vesting period. If the shares vest, the ultimate cost will be equal to the Class A stock price on the date the shares vest multiplied by the number of shares awarded for all performance grants with other than market criteria. For grants with market performance criteria, the ultimate expense will be the fair value of the probable shares to vest regardless if the shares actually vest. Total expense recorded related to performance-based shares was not material for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009.

NOTE 14: PENSIONS AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

At October 1, 2011, we had four noncontributory defined benefit pension plans consisting of three funded qualified plans and one unfunded non-qualified plan. All three of our qualified plans are frozen and provide benefits based on a formula using years of service and a specified benefit rate. Effective January 1, 2004, we implemented a non-qualified defined benefit plan for certain contracted officers that uses a formula based on years of service and final average salary. We also have other postretirement benefit plans for which substantially all of our employees may receive benefits if they satisfy applicable eligibility criteria. The postretirement healthcare plans are contributory with participants contributions adjusted when deemed necessary.

We have defined contribution retirement and incentive benefit programs for various groups of employees. We recognized expenses of \$45 million, \$48 million and \$49 million in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We use a fiscal year end measurement date for our defined benefit plans and other postretirement plans. We generally recognize the effect of actuarial gains and losses into earnings immediately for other postretirement plans rather than amortizing the effect over future periods.

Other postretirement benefits include postretirement medical costs and life insurance.

Benefit Obligations And Funded Status

The following table provides a reconciliation of the changes in the plans' benefit obligations, assets and funded status at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010:

	Pension Benefits				Other Postretirement	
	Qualified		Non-Qualified		Benefits	
	2011	2010	2011	2010	2011	2010
Change in benefit obligation						
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$ 97	\$ 89	\$ 42	\$ 38	\$ 45	\$ 46
Service cost	0	0	3	3	0	1
Interest cost	5	5	2	2	2	2
Plan participants' contributions	0	0	0	0	1	1
Actuarial loss	3	9	17	0	4	1
Benefits paid	(6)	(6)	(2)	(1)	(8)	(6)
Benefit obligation at end of year	99	97	62	42	44	45
Change in plan assets						
Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year	74	68	0	0	0	0

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Actual return on plan assets	1	9	0	0	0	0
Employer contributions	5	3	2	1	7	5
Plan participants' contributions	0	0	0	0	1	1
Benefits paid	(6)	(6)	(2)	(1)	(8)	(6)
Fair value of plan assets at end of year	74	74	0	0	0	0
Funded status	\$ (25)	\$ (23)	\$ (62)	\$ (42)	\$ (44)	\$ (45)

Table of Contents

Amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets consist of:

	in millions					
	Pension Benefits				Other Postretirement Benefits	
	Qualified		Non-Qualified		2011	2010
	2011	2010	2011	2010		
Accrued benefit liability	\$ (25)	\$ (23)	\$ (62)	\$ (42)	\$ (44)	\$ (45)
Accumulated other comprehensive (income)/loss:						
Unrecognized actuarial loss	45	40	17	1	0	0
Unrecognized prior service (cost)/credit	0	0	2	3	(5)	(6)
Net amount recognized	\$ 20	\$ 17	\$ (43)	\$ (38)	\$ (49)	\$ (51)

At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, all pension plans had an accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets. The accumulated benefit obligation for all qualified pension plans was \$99 million and \$97 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively. Plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets are as follows:

	in millions			
	Pension Benefits			
	Qualified		Non-Qualified	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Projected benefit obligation	\$ 99	\$ 97	\$ 62	\$ 42
Accumulated benefit obligation	99	97	55	41
Fair value of plan assets	74	74	0	0

Net Periodic Benefit Cost

Components of net periodic benefit cost for pension and postretirement benefit plans recognized in the Consolidated Statements of Income are as follows:

	in millions								
	Pension Benefits						Other Postretirement Benefits		
	Qualified		Non-Qualified						
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Service cost	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 3	\$ 3	\$ 4	\$ 0	\$ 1	\$ 0
Interest cost	5	5	6	2	2	2	2	2	3
Expected return on plan assets	(6)	(6)	(7)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amortization of prior service cost	0	0	0	1	1	1	(1)	(1)	0
Recognized actuarial loss, net	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 2	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 7	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 4

Assumptions

Weighted average assumptions are as follows:

	Pension Benefits						Other Postretirement Benefits		
	Qualified		Non-Qualified						
	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009	2011	2010	2009
Discount rate to determine net periodic benefit cost	5.06%	6.00%	6.33%	5.50%	6.00%	6.50%	4.50%	5.71%	6.50%

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Discount rate to determine benefit obligations	4.53%	5.06%	6.00%	4.75%	5.50%	6.00%	4.09%	4.50%	5.71%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.50%	3.50%	3.50%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Expected return on plan assets	7.79%	7.80%	8.00%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

To determine the rate-of-return on assets assumption, we first examined historical rates of return for the various asset classes. We then determined a long-term projected rate-of-return based on expected returns over the next five to 10 years.

Table of Contents

Our discount rate assumptions used to account for pension and other postretirement benefit plans reflect the rates at which the benefit obligations could be effectively settled. These were determined using a cash flow matching technique whereby the rates of a yield curve, developed from high-quality debt securities, were applied to the benefit obligations to determine the appropriate discount rate.

We have three postretirement health plans. Two of these consist of fixed, annual payments and account for \$28 million of the postretirement medical obligation at October 1, 2011. A healthcare cost trend is not required to determine this obligation. The remaining plan accounts for \$16 million of the postretirement medical obligation at October 1, 2011. The plan covers retirees who do not yet qualify for Medicare and uses a healthcare cost trend of 6% in the current year. A one-percentage point change in assumed healthcare cost trend rate would have an immaterial impact on the postretirement benefit obligation and total service and interest cost.

Plan Assets

The fair value of plan assets for domestic pension benefit plans was \$59 million as of October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010. The following table sets forth the actual and target asset allocation for pension plan assets:

	2011	2010	Target Asset Allocation
Cash	1.9 %	0.3 %	1.0 %
Fixed income securities	24.2	18.5	19.0
US Stock Funds	41.4	44.6	45.0
International Stock Funds	17.7	19.9	20.0
Real Estate	4.7	5.0	5.0
Alternatives	10.1	11.7	10.0
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %

A foreign subsidiary pension plan had \$15 million in plan assets at October 1, 2011 and October 2, 2010. All of this plan's assets are held in an insurance contract consistent with its target asset allocation.

The Plan Trustees have established a set of investment objectives related to the assets of the pension plans and regularly monitor the performance of the funds and portfolio managers. Objectives for the pension assets are (1) to provide growth of capital and income, (2) to achieve a target weighted average annual rate of return competitive with other funds with similar investment objectives and (3) to diversify to reduce risk. The investment objectives and target asset allocation were adopted in January 2004 and amended in November 2008. Alternative investments may include, but not limited to, hedge funds, private equity funds and fixed income funds.

The following table shows the categories of pension plan assets and the level under which fair values were determined in the fair value hierarchy, which is described in Note 12: Fair Value Measurements.

	October 1, 2011			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1
Fixed Income Securities Bond Fund (a)	14	0	0	14
Equity Securities:				
U.S. stock funds (a)	25	0	0	25
International stock funds (a)	10	0	0	10
Global real estate funds (a)	3	0	0	3
Total equity securities	38	0	0	38
Other Investments - Alternatives (b)	0	0	6	6
Total fair value	53	0	6	59
Insurance Contract at Contract Value	0	0	15	15

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Total plan assets	\$	53	\$	0	\$	21	\$	74
-------------------	----	----	----	---	----	----	----	----

- (a) Valued using quoted market prices in active markets.
- (b) Valued using plan's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the assets based on the best information available, such as investment manager pricing.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of the change in the fair value measurement of the defined benefit plans consolidated assets using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) is as follows (in millions):

	Alternative funds	Insurance contract	Total
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$ 7	\$ 15	\$ 22
Actual return on plan assets:			
Assets still held at reporting date	(1)	0	(1)
Assets sold during the period	0	0	0
Purchases, sales and settlements, net	0	0	0
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3	0	0	0
Balance at October 1, 2011	\$ 6	\$ 15	\$ 21

We believe there are no significant concentrations of risk within our plan assets as of October 1, 2011.

Contributions

Our policy is to fund at least the minimum contribution required to meet applicable federal employee benefit and local tax laws. In our sole discretion, we may from time to time fund additional amounts. Expected contributions to pension plans for fiscal 2012 are approximately \$7 million. For fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, we funded \$7 million, \$4 million and \$2 million, respectively, to defined benefit plans.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments are expected to be paid:

	Pension Benefits		Other Postretirement
	Qualified	Non-Qualified	Benefits
2012	\$ 8	\$ 2	\$ 7
2013	7	2	4
2014	7	2	4
2015	7	3	4
2016	6	3	4
2017-2021	29	18	17

The above benefit payments for other postretirement benefit plans are not expected to be offset by Medicare Part D subsidies in 2011 or thereafter.

Table of Contents

NOTE 15: COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	in millions 2011	in millions in millions 2010
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized net hedging gains (losses), net of taxes	\$ (7)	\$ 10
Unrealized net gain on investments, net of taxes	1	9
Currency translation adjustment	(35)	6
Postretirement benefits reserve adjustments	(38)	(25)
Total accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (79)	\$ 0

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

	Before Tax	Income Tax	in millions After Tax
Fiscal 2011:			
Net hedging gain reclassified to earnings	\$ (25)	\$ 10	\$ (15)
Net hedging unrealized gain (loss)	4	(6)	(2)
Unrealized loss on investments	(12)	4	(8)
Currency translation adjustment	(42)	1	(41)
Net change in postretirement liabilities	(21)	8	(13)
Other comprehensive income (loss) 2011	\$ (96)	\$ 17	\$ (79)
Fiscal 2010:			
Net hedging loss reclassified to earnings	\$ 7	\$ (1)	\$ 6
Net hedging unrealized gain	7	(1)	6
Currency translation adjustment	27	0	27
Net change in postretirement liabilities	(6)	1	(5)
Other comprehensive income (loss) 2010	\$ 35	\$ (1)	\$ 34
Fiscal 2009:			
Net hedging loss reclassified to earnings	\$ 61	\$ (25)	\$ 36
Net hedging unrealized loss	(53)	23	(30)
Loss on investments reclassified to other income	4	(1)	3
Unrealized gain on investments	12	(5)	7
Currency translation adjustment gain reclassified to loss from discontinued operation	(41)	0	(41)
Currency translation adjustment	(43)	3	(40)
Net change in postretirement liabilities	(11)	1	(10)
Other comprehensive income (loss) 2009	\$ (71)	\$ (4)	\$ (75)

Table of Contents

NOTE 16: SEGMENT REPORTING

We operate in four segments: Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods. We measure segment profit as operating income (loss).

Chicken: Chicken operations include breeding and raising chickens, as well as processing live chickens into fresh, frozen and value-added chicken products and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. It also includes sales from allied products and our chicken breeding stock subsidiary.

Beef: Beef operations include processing live fed cattle and fabricating dressed beef carcasses into primal and sub-primal meat cuts and case-ready products. This segment also includes sales from allied products such as hides and variety meats, as well as logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. Allied products are marketed to manufacturers of pharmaceuticals and technical products.

Pork: Pork operations include processing live market hogs and fabricating pork carcasses into primal and sub-primal cuts and case-ready products. This segment also includes our live swine group, related allied product processing activities and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets. We sell allied products to pharmaceutical and technical products manufacturers, as well as a limited number of live swine to pork processors.

Prepared Foods: Prepared Foods operations include manufacturing and marketing frozen and refrigerated food products and logistics operations to move products through the supply chain. Products include pepperoni, bacon, beef and pork pizza toppings, pizza crusts, flour and corn tortilla products, appetizers, prepared meals, ethnic foods, soups, sauces, side dishes, meat dishes and processed meats. Products are marketed domestically to food retailers, foodservice distributors, restaurant operators, hotel chains and noncommercial foodservice establishments such as schools, healthcare facilities, the military and other food processors, as well as to international markets.

The results from Dynamic Fuels are included in Other.

Table of Contents

	in millions						
	Chicken	Beef	Pork	Prepared Foods	Other	Intersegment Sales	Consolidated
Fiscal year ended October 1, 2011							
Sales	\$ 11,017	\$ 13,549	\$ 5,460	\$ 3,215	\$ 127	\$ (1,102)	\$ 32,266
Operating Income (Loss)	164	468	560	117	(24)		1,285
Total Other (Income) Expense							211
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes							1,074
Depreciation	259	84	28	58	4		433
Total Assets	5,412	2,610	960	943	1,146		11,071
Additions to property, plant and equipment	464	88	27	58	6		643
Fiscal year ended October 2, 2010							
Sales	\$ 10,062	\$ 11,707	\$ 4,552	\$ 2,999	\$ 0	\$ (890)	\$ 28,430
Operating Income (Loss)	519	542	381	124	(10)		1,556
Total Other (Income) Expense							353
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes							1,203
Depreciation	251	82	27	56	0		416
Total Assets	5,031	2,468	845	940	1,468		10,752
Additions to property, plant and equipment	320	61	27	42	100		550
Fiscal year ended October 3, 2009							
Sales	\$ 9,660	\$ 10,937	\$ 3,875	\$ 2,836	\$ 0	\$ (604)	\$ 26,704
Operating Income (Loss)	(157)	(346)	160	133	(5)		(215)
Total Other (Income) Expense							328
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes							(543)
Depreciation	252	103	36	54	0		445
Total Assets	4,927	2,277	840	905	1,646		10,595
Additions to property, plant and equipment	174	39	18	58	79		368

We allocate expenses related to corporate activities to the segments, while the related assets and additions to property, plant and equipment remain in Other.

The Pork segment had sales of \$816 million, \$718 million and \$449 million for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, from transactions with other operating segments. The Beef segment had sales of \$286 million, \$172 million and \$155 million for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, from transactions with other operating segments.

Our largest customer, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., accounted for 13.3%, 13.4% and 13.8% of consolidated sales in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Sales to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. were included in the Chicken, Beef, Pork and Prepared Foods segments. Any extended discontinuance of sales to this customer could, if not replaced, have a material impact on our operations.

The majority of our operations are domiciled in the United States. Approximately 96%, 96% and 97% of sales to external customers for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were sourced from the United States. Approximately \$5.8 billion and \$5.6 billion, respectively, of long-lived assets were located in the United States at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010. Approximately \$539 million and \$511 million of long-lived assets were located in foreign countries, primarily Brazil, China, Mexico and India, at fiscal years ended 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We sell certain products in foreign markets, primarily Canada, Central America, China, the European Union, Japan, Mexico, the Middle East, Russia, South Korea, Taiwan and Vietnam. Our export sales from the United States totaled \$4.1 billion, \$3.2 billion and \$2.7 billion for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Substantially all of our export sales are facilitated through unaffiliated brokers, marketing associations and foreign sales staffs. Sales of products produced in a country other than the United States were less than 10% of consolidated sales for each of fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009. Approximately \$57 million of income, \$11 million of loss and \$14 million of loss from continuing operations before income taxes for fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, was from operations based in a country other than the United States, all of which was included in the Chicken segment.

Table of Contents**NOTE 17: SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION**

The following table summarizes cash payments for interest and income taxes:

	in millions		
	2011	2010	2009
Interest	\$ 192	\$ 302	\$ 333
Income taxes, net of refunds	311	470	35

NOTE 18: TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

We have operating leases for farms, equipment and other facilities with the estate of Don Tyson, a former director of the Company, John Tyson, Chairman of the Company, certain members of their families and the Randal W. Tyson Testamentary Trust. Total payments of \$2 million in fiscal 2011, \$2 million in fiscal 2010 and \$3 million in fiscal 2009, were paid to entities in which these parties had an ownership interest.

NOTE 19: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**Commitments**

We lease equipment, properties and certain farms for which total rentals approximated \$183 million, \$188 million and \$175 million, respectively, in fiscal 2011, 2010 and 2009. Most leases have initial terms up to seven years, some with varying renewal periods. The most significant obligations assumed under the terms of the leases are the upkeep of the facilities and payments of insurance and property taxes.

Minimum lease commitments under non-cancelable leases at October 1, 2011, were:

	in millions
2012	\$ 95
2013	63
2014	39
2015	19
2016	12
2017 and beyond	54
Total	\$ 282

We guarantee obligations of certain outside third parties, which consists of a lease and grower loans, all of which are substantially collateralized by the underlying assets. Terms of the underlying debt cover periods up to ten years, and the maximum potential amount of future payments as of October 1, 2011, was \$76 million. We also maintain operating leases for various types of equipment, some of which contain residual value guarantees for the market value of the underlying leased assets at the end of the term of the lease. The remaining terms of the lease maturities cover periods over the next seven years. The maximum potential amount of the residual value guarantees is \$50 million, of which \$43 million would be recoverable through various recourse provisions and an additional undeterminable recoverable amount based on the fair value of the underlying leased assets. The likelihood of material payments under these guarantees is not considered probable. At October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, no material liabilities for guarantees were recorded.

We have cash flow assistance programs in which certain livestock suppliers participate. Under these programs, we pay an amount for livestock equivalent to a standard cost to grow such livestock during periods of low market sales prices. The amounts of such payments that are in excess of the market sales price are recorded as receivables and accrue interest. Participating suppliers are obligated to repay these receivables balances when market sales prices exceed this standard cost, or upon termination of the agreement. Our maximum obligation associated with these programs is limited to the fair value of each participating livestock supplier's net tangible assets. The potential maximum obligation as of October 1, 2011, was approximately \$220 million. The total receivables under these programs were \$28 million and \$51 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively, and are included, net of allowance for uncollectible amounts, in Other Assets in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Even though these programs are limited to the net tangible assets of the participating livestock suppliers, we also manage a portion of our credit risk associated with these programs by obtaining security interests in livestock suppliers' assets. After analyzing residual credit risks and general market conditions, we have recorded an allowance for these programs' estimated uncollectible receivables of \$10 million.

and \$15 million at October 1, 2011, and October 2, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Additionally, we enter into future purchase commitments for various items, such as grains, livestock contracts and fixed grower fees. At October 1, 2011, these commitments totaled:

	in millions
2012	\$ 886
2013	63
2014	18
2015	16
2016	15
2017 and beyond	61
Total	\$ 1,059

Contingencies

We are involved in various claims and legal proceedings. We routinely assess the likelihood of adverse judgments or outcomes to those matters, as well as ranges of probable losses, to the extent losses are reasonably estimable. We record accruals for such matters to the extent that we conclude a loss is probable and the financial impact, should an adverse outcome occur, is reasonably estimable. Such accruals are reflected in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. In our opinion, we have made appropriate and adequate accruals for these matters and believe the probability of a material loss beyond the amounts accrued to be remote; however, the ultimate liability for these matters is uncertain, and if accruals are not adequate, an adverse outcome could have a material effect on the consolidated financial condition or results of operations. Listed below are certain claims made against the Company and/or our subsidiaries for which the potential exposure is considered material to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. We believe we have substantial defenses to the claims made and intend to vigorously defend these matters.

Several private lawsuits are pending against us alleging that we failed to compensate poultry plant employees for all hours worked, including overtime compensation, in violation of the Federal Labor Standards Act (FLSA). These lawsuits include *DeAsencio v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (*DeAsencio*), filed on August 22, 2000, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. This matter involves similar allegations that employees should be paid for the time it takes to engage in pre- and post-shift activities such as changing into and out of protective and sanitary clothing, obtaining clothing and walking to and from the changing area, work areas and break areas. They seek back wages, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs appealed a jury verdict and final judgment entered in our favor on June 22, 2006, in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. On September 7, 2007, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case to the District Court for further proceedings. We sought rehearing en banc, which was denied by the Court of Appeals on October 5, 2007. The United States Supreme Court denied our petition for a writ of certiorari on June 9, 2008. The new trial date has not been set.

The other private lawsuits referred to above are *Sheila Ackles, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (N. Dist. Alabama, October 23, 2006); *McCluster, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (M. Dist. Georgia, December 11, 2006); *Dobbins, et al. v. Tyson Chicken, Inc., et al.* (N.D. Alabama, December 21, 2006); *Buchanan, et al. v. Tyson Chicken, Inc., et al. and Potter, et al. v. Tyson Chicken, Inc., et al.* (N.D. Alabama, December 22, 2006); *Jones, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al., Walton, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al. and Williams, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.* (S.D. Mississippi, February 9, 2007); *Balch, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (E.D. Oklahoma, March 1, 2007); *Adams, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (W.D. Arkansas, March 2, 2007); *Atkins, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (M.D. Georgia, March 5, 2007); *Laney, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc. and Williams, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (M.D. Georgia, May 23, 2007) (the *Williams Case*). Similar to *DeAsencio*, each of these matters involves allegations that employees should be paid for the time it takes to engage in pre- and post-shift activities such as changing into and out of protective and sanitary clothing, obtaining clothing and walking to and from the changing area, work areas and break areas. The plaintiffs in each of these lawsuits seek or have sought to act as class representatives on behalf of all current and former employees who were allegedly not paid for time worked and seek back wages, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees. On April 6, 2007, we filed a motion for transfer of the above named actions for coordinated pretrial proceedings before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, which was granted on August 17, 2007. These cases and five other cases subsequently filed involving the same allegations (i.e., *Armstrong, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (W.D. Tennessee, January 30, 2008); *Maldonado, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (E.D. Tennessee, January 31, 2008); *White, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (E.D. Texas, February 1, 2008); *Meyer, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (W.D. Missouri, February 2, 2008); and *Leak, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc.* (W.D. North Carolina, February 6, 2008)), were transferred to the U.S. District Court in the Middle District of Georgia, *In re: Tyson Foods, Inc., Fair Labor Standards Act Litigation* (MDL Proceedings). On September 2, 2011, the parties executed a settlement agreement and filed a joint motion with the court seeking its approval of the settlement. The court approved the settlement on September 15, 2011, and Tyson will pay at least \$12.25 million but no more than \$17.5 million in back pay and damages to eligible class members. The settlement agreement provides a process for identifying and certifying eligible class members, which includes a 75-day notice period for certain class members to become eligible for payment under the settlement. In addition, the settlement agreement provides that plaintiffs' attorneys must file an application for fees

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

with the court but that no more than \$14.5 million in attorneys' fees and costs will be paid. Plaintiffs' attorneys filed their fee application on October 11, 2011.

Table of Contents

We have pending twelve separate wage and hour actions involving Tyson Fresh Meats Inc.'s plants located in Lexington, Nebraska (Lopez, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., D. Nebraska, June 30, 2006), Garden City and Emporia, Kansas (Garcia, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., D. Kansas, May 15, 2006), Storm Lake, Iowa (Bouaphakeo (f/k/a Sharp), et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., N.D. Iowa, February 6, 2007), Columbus Junction, Iowa (Guyton (f/k/a Robinson), et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., d.b.a Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., S.D. Iowa, September 12, 2007), Joslin, Illinois (Murray, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., C.D. Illinois, January 2, 2008; and DeVoss v. Tyson Foods, Inc. d.b.a Tyson Fresh Meats, C.D. Illinois, March 2, 2011), Dakota City, Nebraska (Gomez, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., D. Nebraska, January 16, 2008), Madison, Nebraska (Acosta, et al. v Tyson Foods, Inc. d.b.a Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., D. Nebraska, February 29, 2008), Perry and Waterloo, Iowa (Edwards, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc. d.b.a Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., S.D. Iowa, March 20, 2008); Council Bluffs, Iowa (Maxwell (f/k/a Salazar), et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc. d.b.a Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., S.D. Iowa, April 29, 2008); Logansport, Indiana (Carter, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc. and Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., N.D. Indiana, April 29, 2008); and Goodlettsville, Tennessee (Abadeer v. Tyson Foods, Inc., and Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc., M.D. Tennessee, February 6, 2009). The actions allege we failed to pay employees for all hours worked, including overtime compensation for the time it takes to change into protective work uniforms, safety equipment and other sanitary and protective clothing worn by employees, and for walking to and from the changing area, work areas and break areas in violation of the FLSA and analogous state laws. The plaintiffs seek back wages, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs. Each case is proceeding in its jurisdiction.

After a trial in the Garcia case, a jury verdict in favor of the plaintiffs was entered on March 17, 2011, with respect to the Garden City, Kansas facility. Exclusive of pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, the jury found violations of federal and state laws for pre- and post-shift work activities and awarded damages in the amount of \$503,011, respectively. Plaintiffs' counsel has filed an application for attorneys' fees and expenses in the amount of \$3,475,422. We contested the application and are currently evaluating our appeal options.

A jury trial was held in the Lopez case, which involved the Lexington, NE beef plant, and resulted in a jury verdict in favor of Tyson. Judgment was entered and the complaint was dismissed with prejudice, on May 26, 2011. Plaintiffs filed an appeal with the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals on June 16, 2011.

A jury trial was held in the Bouaphakeo case, which involved the Storm Lake, Iowa pork plant and resulted in a jury verdict in favor of the plaintiffs on September 26, 2011. Exclusive of pre- and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and costs, the jury found violations of federal and state laws for pre- and post-shift work activities and awarded damages in the amount of \$2,892,379. On October 24, 2011, we renewed our motion for judgment as a matter of law due to a failure of class-wide proof and, in the alternative, for a new trial on damages.

The Guyton, Gomez and Acosta cases are scheduled for trials on April 9, 2012, October 15, 2012, and November 13, 2012, respectively.

We have pending one wage and hour action involving our Tyson Prepared Foods plant located in Jefferson, Wisconsin (Weissman, et al. v. Tyson Prepared Foods, Inc., Jefferson County (Wisconsin) Circuit Court, October 20, 2010). The plaintiffs allege that employees should be paid for the time it takes to engage in pre- and post-shift activities such as changing into and out of protective and sanitary clothing and the associated time it takes to walk to and from their workstations post-donning and pre-doffing of protective and sanitary clothing. Six named plaintiffs seek to act as state law class representatives on behalf of all current and former employees who were allegedly not paid for time worked and seek back wages, liquidated damages, pre- and post-judgment interest, and attorneys' fees and costs. On May 16, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a motion to certify a state law class of all hourly employees who have worked at the Jefferson plant from October 20, 2008, to the present. We have filed motions for summary judgment seeking dismissal of the claims, or, in the alternative, to limit the claims made for non-compensable clothes changing activities.

On June 19, 2005, the Attorney General and the Secretary of the Environment of the State of Oklahoma filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma against us, three of our subsidiaries and six other poultry integrators. This complaint was subsequently amended. As amended, the complaint asserts a number of state and federal causes of action including, but not limited to, counts under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and state-law public nuisance theories. The amended complaint asserts that defendants and certain contract growers who are not named in the amended complaint polluted the surface waters, groundwater and associated drinking water supplies of the Illinois River Watershed (IRW) through the land application of poultry litter. Oklahoma asserts that this alleged pollution has also caused extensive injury to the environment (including soils and sediments) of the IRW and that the defendants have been unjustly enriched. Oklahoma's claims cover the entire IRW, which encompasses more than one million acres of land and the natural resources (including lakes and waterways) contained therein. Oklahoma seeks wide-ranging relief, including injunctive relief, compensatory damages in excess of \$800 million, an unspecified amount in punitive damages and attorneys' fees.

Table of Contents

We and the other defendants have denied liability, asserted various defenses, and filed a third-party complaint that asserts claims against other persons and entities whose activities may have contributed to the pollution alleged in the amended complaint. The district court has stayed proceedings on the third party complaint pending resolution of Oklahoma's claims against the defendants. On October 31, 2008, the defendants filed a motion to dismiss for failure to join the Cherokee Nation as a required party or, in the alternative, for judgment as a matter of law based on the plaintiffs' lack of standing. This motion was granted in part and denied in part on July 22, 2009. In its ruling, the district court dismissed Oklahoma's claims for cost recovery and for natural resources damages under CERCLA and for unjust enrichment under Oklahoma common law. This ruling also narrowed the scope of Oklahoma's remaining claims by dismissing all damage claims under its causes of action for Oklahoma common law nuisance, federal common law nuisance, and Oklahoma common law trespass, leaving only its claims for injunctive relief for trial. On August 18, 2009, the Court granted partial summary judgment in favor of the defendants on Oklahoma's claims for violations of the Oklahoma Registered Poultry Feeding Operations Act. Oklahoma later voluntarily dismissed the remainder of this claim. On September 2, 2009, the Cherokee Nation filed a motion to intervene in the lawsuit. Its motion to intervene was denied on September 15, 2009, and the Cherokee Nation filed a notice of appeal of that ruling in the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals on September 17, 2009. A non-jury trial of the case began on September 24, 2009. At the close of Oklahoma's case-in-chief, the Court granted the defendants' motions to dismiss claims based on RCRA, nuisance per se, and health risks related to bacteria. The defense rested its case on January 13, 2010, and closing arguments were held on February 11, 2010. On September 21, 2010, the Court of Appeals affirmed the district court's denial of the Cherokee Nation's motion to intervene. On October 6, 2010 the Cherokee Nation and the State of Oklahoma filed a petition for rehearing or *en banc* review seeking reconsideration of this ruling. The Court of Appeals denied this petition.

On May 8, 2008, a lawsuit was filed against the Company and two of our employees in the District Court of McCurtain County, Oklahoma styled *Armstrong, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.* (the *Armstrong Case*). The lawsuit was brought by a group of 52 poultry growers who allege that certain of our live production practices in Oklahoma constitute fraudulent inducement, fraud, unjust enrichment, negligence, gross negligence, unconscionability, violations of the Oklahoma Business Sales Act, Deceptive Trade Practice violations, violations of the Consumer Protection Act, and conversion, as well as other theories of recovery. The plaintiffs sought damages in an unspecified amount. On October 30, 2009, 20 additional growers represented by the same attorney filed a lawsuit against us in the same court asserting the same or similar claims, which is styled *Clardy, et al. v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al.* (the *Clardy Case*). In both of these cases we have denied all allegations of wrongdoing. In June 2009, the plaintiffs in the *Armstrong* case requested an expedited trial date for a smaller group of plaintiffs they claimed were facing imminent financial peril. The Court ultimately severed a group of 10 plaintiffs from the *Armstrong Case*, and a trial began on March 15, 2010. There were numerous irregularities and rulings during the trial which we believe to have been legally erroneous and highly prejudicial to our right to a fair trial. On April 1, 2010, the jury returned a verdict against us and one of our employees, and on April 2, 2010, the jury returned a punitive damages verdict against us. After a dispute caused by inconsistencies between the multiple verdict forms completed by the jury and apparent confusion by the jury as to how to complete those verdict forms, the Court entered a final judgment in the amount of \$8,655,735. Subsequent to the trial, the presiding judge disqualified from the cases and the Oklahoma Supreme Court appointed a new judge to the cases. The Company filed post-trial motions challenging the verdict. Those motions were denied. The Company has appealed the verdict to the Oklahoma Supreme Court. We filed a motion with the trial court to change venue from McCurtain County on the grounds that the numerous irregularities that occurred during the trial, coupled with the attendant publicity, resulted in community bias which would prevent the Company from receiving a fair trial in McCurtain County. The trial court granted this motion and the case will be transferred to Choctaw County, Oklahoma. We filed another motion, which the trial court also granted, to stay all future trials of the claims of the plaintiffs in the *Armstrong Case* and the *Clardy Case* pending the outcome of the appeal of the first trial. We also filed a motion to sever all of the plaintiffs' claims into individual cases, which was heard on January 25, 2010. This motion was denied, but the Court took under advisement the sizes and groupings of plaintiffs in future trials. We believe numerous and substantial legal errors were made by the Court during the trial and that a review of and guidance on these issues by the appellate court could have a substantial impact on the outcome of future trials in the *Armstrong Case* and the *Clardy Case*.

Table of Contents**NOTE 20: QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)**

	in millions, except per share data			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
2011				
Sales	\$ 7,615	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,247	\$ 8,404
Gross profit	744	533	531	391
Operating income	498	303	312	172
Net income	294	156	188	95
Net income attributable to Tyson	298	159	196	97
Net income per share attributable to Tyson:				
Class A Basic	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.27
Class B Basic	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.24
Diluted	\$ 0.78	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.26
2010				
Sales	\$ 6,635	\$ 6,916	\$ 7,438	\$ 7,441
Gross profit	529	564	752	669
Operating income	314	344	507	391
Net income	159	156	242	208
Net income attributable to Tyson	160	159	248	213
Net income per share attributable to Tyson:				
Class A Basic	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.58
Class B Basic	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.39	\$ 0.61	\$ 0.52
Diluted	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.65	\$ 0.57

First quarter fiscal 2011 net income included \$11 million gain related to a sale of interests in an equity method investment. Third quarter fiscal 2011 net income included \$21 million reduction to income tax expense related to a reversal of reserves for foreign uncertain tax positions.

Second quarter fiscal 2010 net income included \$24 million of pretax charges related to losses on notes repurchased during the quarter. Third quarter fiscal 2010 operating income included \$38 million of insurance proceeds received during the quarter and net income included \$34 million of pretax charges related to losses on notes repurchased during the quarter and a \$12 million charge related to an equity method investment impairment. Fourth quarter fiscal 2010 operating income included a \$29 million non-cash charge related to the full impairment of an immaterial Chicken segment reporting unit's goodwill.

NOTE 21: CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. (TFM Parent), our wholly-owned subsidiary, has fully and unconditionally guaranteed the 2016 Notes. TFM Parent and substantially all of our wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries have fully and unconditionally guaranteed the 2014 Notes. The following financial information presents condensed consolidating financial statements, which include Tyson Foods, Inc. (TFI Parent); TFM Parent; the other 2014 Notes guarantor subsidiaries (Guarantors) on a combined basis; the elimination entries necessary to reflect TFM Parent and the Guarantors, which collectively represent the 2014 Notes total guarantor subsidiaries (2014 Guarantors), on a combined basis; the 2014 Notes non-guarantor subsidiaries (Non-Guarantors) on a combined basis; the elimination entries necessary to consolidate TFI Parent, the 2014 Guarantors and the Non-Guarantors; and Tyson Foods, Inc. on a consolidated basis, and is provided as an alternative to providing separate financial statements for the guarantor(s).

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income for the year ended October 1, 2011 in millions

	2014 Guarantors				Subtotal	Non-Guar-antors	Elimin-ations	Total
	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guar-antors	Elimin-ations				
Sales	\$ 157	\$ 18,636	\$ 13,159	\$ (1,227)	\$ 30,568	\$ 1,542	\$ (1)	\$ 32,266
Cost of Sales	29	17,461	12,364	(1,226)	28,599	1,440	(1)	30,067
Gross Profit (Loss)	128	1,175	795	(1)	1,969	102	0	2,199
Operating Expenses:								
Selling, general and administrative	52	215	561	(1)	775	87	0	914
Goodwill impairment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other charges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating Income	76	960	234	0	1,194	15	0	1,285
Other (Income) Expense:								
Interest expense, net	(26)	148	117	0	265	(8)	0	231
Other, net	(9)	0	(12)	0	(12)	1	0	(20)
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries	(673)	(115)	(59)	102	(72)	(13)	758	0
Total Other (Income) Expense	(708)	33	46	102	181	(20)	758	211
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	784	927	188	(102)	1,013	35	(758)	1,074
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	34	272	38	0	310	(3)	0	341
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	750	655	150	(102)	703	38	(758)	733
Loss from Discontinued Operation, net of tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Income (Loss)	750	655	150	(102)	703	38	(758)	733
Less: Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	(17)	0	(17)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Tyson	\$ 750	\$ 655	\$ 150	\$ (102)	\$ 703	\$ 55	\$ (758)	\$ 750

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income for the year ended October 2, 2010 in millions

	2014 Guarantors				Subtotal	Non-Guar-antors	Elimin-ations	Total
	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guar-antors	Elimin-ations				
Sales	\$ 454	\$ 15,950	\$ 12,248	\$ (966)	\$ 27,232	\$ 1,167	\$ (423)	\$ 28,430
Cost of Sales	16	14,867	11,343	(966)	25,244	1,079	(423)	25,916
Gross Profit	438	1,083	905	0	1,988	88	0	2,514
Operating Expenses:								
Selling, general and administrative	93	199	550	0	749	87	0	929
Goodwill impairment	0	0	0	0	0	29	0	29
Other charges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating Income (Loss)	345	884	355	0	1,239	(28)	0	1,556
Other (Income) Expense:								
Interest expense, net	328	2	17	0	19	(14)	0	333
Other, net	25	1	(7)	0	(6)	1	0	20
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries	(782)	(51)	25	37	11	(14)	785	0
Total Other (Income) Expense	(429)	(48)	35	37	24	(27)	785	353
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before Income Taxes	774	932	320	(37)	1,215	(1)	(785)	1,203
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(6)	304	116	0	420	24	0	438
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	780	628	204	(37)	795	(25)	(785)	765
Loss from Discontinued Operation, net of tax	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Net Income (Loss)	780	628	204	(37)	795	(25)	(785)	765
	0	0	0	0	0	(15)	0	(15)

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Less: Net Loss Attributable to
Noncontrolling Interest

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Tyson	\$	780	\$	628	\$	204	\$	(37)	\$	795	\$	(10)	\$	(785)	\$	780
--	----	-----	----	-----	----	-----	----	------	----	-----	----	------	----	-------	----	-----

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income for the year ended October 3, 2009 in millions

	2014 Guarantors					Non-Guarantors		Total
	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guarantors	Eliminations	Subtotal	Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Sales	\$ 11	\$ 14,504	\$ 12,245	\$ (725)	\$ 26,024	\$ 709	\$ (40)	\$ 26,704
Cost of Sales	132	13,970	11,526	(725)	24,771	638	(40)	25,501
Gross Profit (Loss)	(121)	534	719	0	1,253	71	0	1,203
Operating Expenses:								
Selling, general and administrative	132	187	450	0	637	72	0	841
Goodwill impairment	0	560	0	0	560	0	0	560
Other charges	0	0	17	0	17	0	0	17
Operating Income (Loss)	(253)	(213)	252	0	39	(1)	0	(215)
Other (Income) Expense:								
Interest expense, net	285	13	20	0	33	(8)	0	310
Other, net	11	(3)	(6)	0	(9)	16	0	18
Equity in net earnings of subsidiaries	157	(32)	44	13	25	(17)	(165)	0
Total Other (Income) Expense	453	(22)	58	13	49	(9)	(165)	328
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations before								
Income Taxes	(706)	(191)	194	(13)	(10)	8	165	(543)
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	(138)	111	34	0	145	0	0	7
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	(568)	(302)	160	(13)	(155)	8	165	(550)
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operation, net of tax	21	5	0	0	5	(27)	0	(1)
Net Income (Loss)	(547)	(297)	160	(13)	(150)	(19)	165	(551)
Less: Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	(4)	0	(4)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to Tyson	\$ (547)	\$ (297)	\$ 160	\$ (13)	\$ (150)	\$ (15)	\$ 165	\$ (547)

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet as of October 1, 2011 in millions

	2014 Guarantors					Non-Guarantors		Total
	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guarantors	Eliminations	Subtotal	Guarantors	Eliminations	Total
Assets								
Current Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1	\$ 1	\$ 414	\$ 0	\$ 415	\$ 300	\$ 0	\$ 716
Accounts receivable, net	1	506	656	0	1,162	157	1	1,321
Inventories	2	926	1,440	0	2,366	219	0	2,587
Other current assets	62	95	102	(133)	64	54	(24)	156
Total Current Assets	66	1,528	2,612	(133)	4,007	730	(23)	4,780
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	37	875	2,369	0	3,244	542	0	3,823
Goodwill	0	881	966	0	1,847	45	0	1,892
Intangible Assets	0	31	49	0	80	69	0	149
Other Assets	2,179	180	147	(15)	312	296	(2,360)	427
Investment in Subsidiaries	11,396	1,923	769	(1,760)	932	319	(12,647)	0
Total Assets	\$ 13,678	\$ 5,418	\$ 6,912	\$ (1,908)	\$ 10,422	\$ 2,001	\$ (15,030)	\$ 11,071
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity								
Current Liabilities:								
Current debt	\$ 2	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 68	\$ 0	\$ 70
Accounts payable	8	525	648	0	1,173	83	0	1,264
Other current liabilities	5,808	144	442	(133)	453	474	(5,695)	1,040
Total Current Liabilities	5,818	669	1,090	(133)	1,626	625	(5,695)	2,374
Long-Term Debt	1,972	1,198	916	0	2,114	269	(2,243)	2,112
Deferred Income Taxes	0	120	310	(15)	415	9	0	424
Other Liabilities	231	142	191	0	333	29	(117)	476

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Tyson Shareholders Equity	5,657	3,289	4,405	(1,760)	5,934	1,041	(6,975)	5,657	
Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	28	
Total Shareholders Equity	5,657	3,289	4,405	(1,760)	5,934	1,069	(6,975)	5,685	
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 13,678	\$ 5,418	\$ 6,912	\$ (1,908)	\$ 10,422	\$ 2,001	\$ (15,030)	\$ 11,071	

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet as of October 2, 2010

in millions

2014 Guarantors

	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guar- antors	Elimin- ations	Subtotal	Non- Guar- antors	Elimin- ations	Total
Assets								
Current Assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 731	\$ 0	\$ 733	\$ 243	\$ 0	\$ 978
Accounts receivable, net	0	2,389	4,670	0	7,059	132	(5,993)	1,198
Inventories	0	734	1,361	0	2,095	179	0	2,274
Other current assets	43	49	27	(9)	67	95	(37)	168
Total Current Assets	45	3,174	6,789	(9)	9,954	649	(6,030)	4,618
Net Property, Plant and Equipment	39	870	2,257	0	3,127	508	0	3,674
Goodwill	0	880	967	0	1,847	46	0	1,893
Intangible Assets	0	37	53	0	90	76	0	166
Other Assets	2,804	101	61	0	162	295	(2,860)	401
Investment in Subsidiaries	10,776	1,785	631	(1,607)	809	307	(11,892)	0
Total Assets	\$ 13,664	\$ 6,847	\$ 10,758	\$ (1,616)	\$ 15,989	\$ 1,881	\$ (20,782)	\$ 10,752
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity								
Current Liabilities:								
Current debt	\$ 317	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 84	\$ 0	\$ 401
Accounts payable	16	421	608	0	1,029	65	0	1,110
Other current liabilities	6,044	168	335	(9)	494	526	(6,030)	1,034
Total Current Liabilities	6,377	589	943	(9)	1,523	675	(6,030)	2,545
Long-Term Debt	2,011	1,638	1,228	0	2,866	118	(2,860)	2,135
Deferred Income Taxes	0	105	204	0	309	12	0	321
Other Liabilities	110	148	179	0	327	49	0	486
Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	64	0	64
Total Tyson Shareholders' Equity	5,166	4,367	8,204	(1,607)	10,964	928	(11,892)	5,166
Noncontrolling Interest	0	0	0	0	0	35	0	35
Total Shareholders' Equity	5,166	4,367	8,204	(1,607)	10,964	963	(11,892)	5,201
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 13,664	\$ 6,847	\$ 10,758	\$ (1,616)	\$ 15,989	\$ 1,881	\$ (20,782)	\$ 10,752

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows for the year ended October 1, 2011

in millions

2014 Guarantors

	TFI Parent	TFM Parent	Guar- antors	Elimin- ations	Subtotal	Non- Guar- antors	Elimin- ations	Total
Cash Provided by (Used for) Operating Activities	\$ 31	\$ 564	\$ 468	\$ 0	\$ 1,032	\$ 3	\$ (20)	\$ 1,046
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:								
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(1)	(107)	(443)	0	(550)	(92)	0	(643)
Purchases of marketable securities, net	0	(57)	(21)	0	(78)	(2)	0	(80)
Proceeds from notes receivable	0	0	0	0	0	51	0	51
Proceeds from sale of discontinued operation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Change in restricted cash-investing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other, net	23	0	8	0	8	(3)	0	28
Cash Provided by (Used for) Investing Activities	22	(164)	(456)	0	(620)	(46)	0	(644)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:								
Net change in debt	(391)	(6)	0	0	(6)	12	0	(385)
Debt issuance costs	(9)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(9)
Purchase of redeemable noncontrolling interest	0	0	0	0	0	(66)	0	(66)
Purchases of Tyson Class A common stock	(207)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(207)
Dividends	(59)	0	0	0	0	(20)	20	(59)
Change in restricted cash-financing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other, net	58	0	0	0	0	10	0	68
Net change in intercompany balances	554	(395)	(329)	0	(724)	170	0	0
Cash Provided by (Used for) Financing Activities	(54)	(401)	(329)	0	(730)	106	20	(658)
Effect of Exchange Rate Change on Cash	0	0	0	0	0	(6)	0	(6)
Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(1)	(1)	(317)	0	(318)	57	0	(262)

Edgar Filing: TYSON FOODS INC - Form 10-K

Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	2	2	731	0	733 &n
--	---	---	-----	---	--------