Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

MOSAIC CO Form 10-Q November 05, 2013 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

**DESCRIPTION OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-32327

# The Mosaic Company

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of 20-1026454 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

3033 Campus Drive

Suite E490

Plymouth, Minnesota 55441

(800) 918-8270

(Address and zip code of principal executive offices and registrant s telephone number, including area code)

# Former fiscal year ended on May 31

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer , accelerated filer , and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one): Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock as of the latest practicable date: 297,220,797 shares of Common Stock and 128,759,772 shares of Class A Common Stock and 0 shares of Class B Common Stock as of November 1, 2013.

# **Table of Contents**

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION							
	Item 1.	Financial Statements	1					
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	1					
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	2					
		Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	3					
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	4					
		Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity	5					
		Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6					
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	22					
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	36					
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	38					
PART II.	OTHER	RINFORMATION						
	Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39					
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	43					
	Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	43					
	Item 6.	Exhibits	43					
	Signatur	r <u>es</u>	44					
	Exhibit 1	<u>Index</u>	E-1					

# PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(In millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

		Three months ended September 30,		),
		013		2012
Net sales		908.7		2,645.7
Cost of goods sold	1,3	521.8	j	,871.1
Gross margin	(	386.9		774.6
Selling, general and administrative expenses		94.4		114.8
Loss on write down of assets		122.8		
Other operating expense		25.6		16.1
Operating earnings		144.1		643.7
Interest income, net		1.8		5.1
Foreign currency transaction loss		(29.6)		(27.3)
Other income (expense)		0.4		(0.6)
Earnings from consolidated companies before income taxes		116.7		620.9
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes		(6.6)		189.0
Earnings from consolidated companies		123.3		431.9
Equity in net earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated companies		2.5		(12.9)
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests		125.8		419.0
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests		1.4		1.6
Net earnings attributable to Mosaic	\$	124.4	\$	417.4
Basic net earnings per share attributable to Mosaic	\$	0.29	\$	0.98
Diluted net earnings per share attributable to Mosaic	\$	0.29	\$	0.98
Davis annialted account and the art along activities		425 O		105.6
Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding		425.9		425.6
Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	4	427.1		426.8

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

		nths ended nber 30,
	2013	2012
Net earnings, including noncontrolling interest	\$ 125.8	\$ 419.0
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		
Foreign currency translation, net of tax	140.9	210.5
Net actuarial gain and prior service cost, net of tax	2.4	3.6
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative instruments	(1.0)	
Other comprehensive income	142.3	214.1
Comprehensive income	268.1	633.1
Less: Comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest	1.4	1.4
Comprehensive income attributable to Mosaic	\$ 266.7	\$ 631.7

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Sej	otember 30, 2013	May 31, 2013
Assets			
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	3,338.6	\$ 3,697.1
Receivables, net		478.7	1,015.7
Inventories		1,495.0	1,557.3
Deferred income taxes		81.2	75.7
Other current assets		897.8	534.7
Total current assets		6,291.3	6,880.5
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$3,916.8 million and \$3,760.8 million,			
respectively		8,513.0	8,486.8
Investments in nonconsolidated companies		562.1	431.5
Goodwill		1,833.2	1,844.6
Deferred income taxes		212.5	212.7
Other assets		244.5	229.9
Total assets	\$	17,656.6	\$ 18,086.0
Liabilities and Equity			
Current liabilities:			
Short-term debt	\$	14.5	\$ 68.7
Current maturities of long-term debt		0.8	0.9
Accounts payable		611.7	763.1
Accrued liabilities		588.7	845.1
Deferred income taxes		86.6	87.1
Total current liabilities		1,302.3	1,764.9
Long-term debt, less current maturities		1,011.5	1.009.6
Deferred income taxes		960.9	961.4
Other noncurrent liabilities		830.8	907.2
Equity:		00 010	, , , , _
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013			
Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, 254,300,000 shares authorized, 150,059,772 shares issued and		1.2	1.2
128,759,772 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013 Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, 87,008,602 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding as of		1.3	1.3
September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013			
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 1,000,000,000 shares authorized, 309,245,292 shares issued and 297,206,830 shares outstanding as of September 30, 2013, 309,095,779 shares issued and			
297,057,317 shares outstanding as of May 31, 2013		3.0	3.0
Capital in excess of par value		1,507.8	1.491.3
Retained earnings		11,707.6	11.603.4
Accumulated other comprehensive income		312.9	326.4
recumulated outer comprehensive meome		314.9	320.4

Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

Total Mosaic stockholders equity Noncontrolling interests	13,532.6 18.5	13,425.4 17.5
Total equity	13,551.1	13,442.9
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 17,656.6	\$ 18,086.0

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In millions)

(Unaudited)

	Three mo September 30, 2013	onths ended September 30, 2012
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	\$ 125.8	\$ 419.0
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings including noncontrolling interests to net cash provided by		
operating activities:	166.1	140.6
Depreciation, depletion and amortization  Deferred income taxes	100.1	140.6
	7.0	0.3
Equity in net earnings of nonconsolidated companies, net of dividends  Accretion expense for asset retirement obligations	7.0 9.9	31.0
Share-based compensation expense	9.9 14.1	7.1 17.7
Loss on write-down of assets	122.8	17.7
Unrealized (gain) on derivatives	(40.7)	(52.5)
Other	(3.1)	(1.4)
Changes in assets and liabilities:	(3.1)	(1.4)
Receivables, net	131.7	(81.0)
Inventories	(2.5)	(213.1)
Other current and noncurrent assets	(167.8)	29.6
Accounts payable	(104.1)	128.3
Accrued liabilities and income taxes	(221.0)	(108.0)
Other noncurrent liabilities	(83.2)	26.2
Other noncurrent natimities	(83.2)	20.2
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(45.0)	343.8
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Capital expenditures	(332.5)	(384.6)
Restricted cash		7.8
Investments in nonconsolidated companies	(134.5)	
Other	1.3	1.3
Net cash used in investing activities	(465.7)	(375.5)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:	, ,	
Payments of short-term debt	(42.9)	(5.4)
Proceeds from issuance of short-term debt	57.2	10.3
Payments of long-term debt	(0.7)	(0.2)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	2.7	(0.1)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	0.5	(1.7)
Dividends	(106.8)	(106.6)
Other	0.5	0.8
Net cash used in financing activities	(89.5)	(102.9)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	23.3	26.9
Effect of exchange rate changes on easi	23.3	20.9
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(576.9)	(107.7)
Cash and cash equivalents June 30	3,915.5	3,656.2

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents September 30	\$ 3,338.6	\$ 3,548.5
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest (net of amount capitalized of \$12.1 and \$12.8 as of September 30, 2013 and 2012,		
respectively)	\$	\$
Income taxes (net of refunds)		130.4

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(In millions, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

	Mosaic Shareholders								
	Shares				Dolla	irs			
			Capital		Acci	ımulated			
			in			Other			
			Excess of			rehensive			
	Common	Common	Par	Retained		icome		ontrolling	Total
	Stock	Stock	Value	Earnings	(.	Loss)	Int	erests	Equity
Balance as of May 31, 2013	425.8	\$ 4.3	\$ 1,491.3	\$ 11,603.4	\$	326.4	\$	17.5	\$ 13,442.9
Total comprehensive income				211.0		(13.5)		1.2	198.7
Stock option exercises	0.2		0.7						0.7
Amortization of stock based compensation			18.8						18.8
Dividends (\$0.25 per share)				(106.8)					(106.8)
Dividends for noncontrolling interests								(0.2)	(0.2)
Tax shortfall related to share based									
compensation			(3.0)						(3.0)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	426.0	\$ 4.3	\$ 1,507.8	\$11,707.6	\$	312.9	\$	18.5	\$ 13,551.1

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

5

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Tables in millions, except per share amounts and as otherwise designated)

(Unaudited)

#### 1. Organization and Nature of Business

The Mosaic Company ( *Mosaic*, and, with its consolidated subsidiaries, *we*, *us*, *our*, or the *Company*) produces and markets concent phosphate and potash crop nutrients. We conduct our business through wholly and majority owned subsidiaries as well as businesses in which we own less than a majority or a noncontrolling interest, including consolidated variable interest entities and investments accounted for by the equity method. We are organized into the following business segments:

Our **Phosphates** business segment owns and operates mines and production facilities in Florida which produce concentrated phosphate crop nutrients and phosphate-based animal feed ingredients, and processing plants in Louisiana which produce concentrated phosphate crop nutrients. Additionally, the Phosphates segment has a 35% economic interest in a joint venture that owns a phosphate rock mine (the *Miski Mayo Mine*) in Peru. On August 5, 2013 Mosaic entered into an agreement to form a joint venture (the *Northern Promise Joint Venture*), of which Mosaic will own 25%, with Saudi Arabian Mining Company ( *Ma aden*) and Saudi Basic Industries Corporation ( *SABIC*) to develop a phosphate rock mine and chemical complexes that is presently contemplated to produce phosphate fertilizers, animal feed, food grade purified phosphoric acid and sodium tripolyphosphate in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

Our Phosphates segment s results also include our North American phosphate distribution activities and all of our international distribution activities as well as the results of Phosphate Chemicals Export Association, Inc. ( *PhosChem* ), a U.S. Webb-Pomerene Act association of phosphate producers that exports concentrated phosphate crop nutrient products around the world for us and PhosChem s other member. Our share of PhosChem s sales of dry phosphate crop nutrient products was approximately 93% for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Effective December 31, 2013, PhosChem will be dissolved.

Our **Potash** business segment owns and operates potash mines and production facilities in Canada and the U.S. which produce potash-based crop nutrients, animal feed ingredients and industrial products. Potash sales include domestic and international sales. We are a member of Canpotex, Limited ( *Canpotex* ), an export association of Canadian potash producers through which we sell our Canadian potash outside the U.S. and Canada.

Intersegment sales are eliminated within Corporate, Eliminations and Other. See Note 14 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report for segment results.

As previously announced, we are changing our fiscal year end to December, 31 from May 31 and began reporting our quarterly results on a calendar-year basis for the months of July through September 2013. Our first full calendar reporting year will be 2014. In connection with this change, we have included the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings and selected cash flow information for the four months ended September 30, 2013 in Note 18.

# 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

# Statement Presentation and Basis of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of Mosaic have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting and in accordance with the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) for interim financial reporting. As permitted under these rules, certain footnotes and other

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

financial information that are normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( *U.S. GAAP* ) can be condensed or omitted. The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements included in this document reflect, in the opinion of our management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments except as noted in these Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements) necessary for a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. The following notes should be read in conjunction with the accounting policies and other disclosures in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013. Sales, expenses, cash flows, assets and liabilities can and do vary during the year as a result of seasonality and other factors. Therefore, interim results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year.

The accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of Mosaic and its majority owned subsidiaries, as well as the accounts of certain variable interest entities ( *VIEs* ) for which we are the primary beneficiary. Certain investments in companies where we do not have control but have the ability to exercise significant influence are accounted for by the equity method.

# Accounting Estimates

Preparation of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net sales and expenses during the reporting periods. The more significant estimates made by management relate to the recoverability of non-current assets, the useful lives of long-lived assets, environmental and reclamation liabilities, the costs of our employee benefit obligations for pension plans and postretirement benefits, income tax-related accounts, including the valuation allowance against deferred income tax assets, Canadian resource taxes and royalties, inventory valuation and accruals for pending legal matters. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

# 3. Recently Issued Accounting Guidance

# Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Accounting Standards Update ( ASU ) No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities which enhances current disclosures about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are either offset on the statement of financial position or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement, irrespective of whether they are offset on the statement of financial position. Entities are required to provide both net and gross information for these assets and liabilities in order to facilitate comparability between financial statements prepared on the basis of U.S. GAAP and those prepared on the basis of International Financial Reporting Standards ( IFRS ). In January 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities to limit the scope of the new balance sheet offsetting disclosures to derivatives, repurchase agreements, and securities lending transactions to the extent that they are offset in the financial statements or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. These standards were effective for us beginning June 1, 2013 with retrospective application required. We determined that these requirements were not material to the results of operations or financial position, and, therefore, have not added disclosures otherwise required by these standards.

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income which requires entities to disclose additional information about changes in and significant items reclassified out of accumulated other

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

comprehensive income. This guidance was effective for us beginning June 1, 2013. We determined that these requirements were not material to the results of operations or financial position and, therefore, have not added disclosures otherwise required by this standard.

# Pronouncements Issued But Not Yet Adopted

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-11, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists which requires that an unrecognized tax benefit should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction of a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward when settlement in this manner is available under the law. This guidance is effective for us beginning January 1, 2014 and will be applied on a prospective basis to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date. We do not expect this guidance to have a material impact on our results of operations or financial position.

#### 4. Other Financial Statement Data

The following provides additional information concerning selected balance sheet accounts:

(in millions)	Septemb 201	
Other current assets		
Final price deferred <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	270.2 \$ 137.1
Income and other taxes receivable		289.7 267.6
Prepaid expenses		92.0 98.2
Assets held for sale <sup>(b)</sup>		232.6
Other		13.3 31.8
	\$	897.8 \$ 534.7
Accrued liabilities		
Non-income taxes	\$	28.5 \$ 81.1
Payroll and employee benefits		93.7 146.6
Asset retirement obligations		95.0 83.5
Customer prepayments		126.9 243.3
Other		244.6 290.6
	\$	\$ 845.1
Other noncurrent liabilities		
Asset retirement obligations	\$	564.1 \$ 575.0
Accrued pension and postretirement benefits		125.1 140.7
Unrecognized tax benefits		7.9 45.2
Other		133.7 146.3
	\$	830.8 \$ 907.2

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

- (a) Final price deferred is product that has shipped to customers, but the price has not yet been agreed upon. This has not been included in inventory as it is not held for sale.
- (b) See further description of assets held for sale in Footnote 16.

8

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# 5. Earnings Per Share

The numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share ( *EPS* ) is net earnings attributable to Mosaic. The denominator for basic EPS is the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The denominator for diluted EPS also includes the weighted average number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential common shares had been issued. The following is a reconciliation of the denominator for the basic and diluted EPS computations:

		onths ended ember 30,
	2013	2012
Net earnings attributed to Mosaic	\$ 124.4	\$ 417.4
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	425.9	425.6
Dilutive impact of share-based awards	1.2	1.2
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	427.1	426.8
Basic net earnings per share attributable to Mosaic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.98
Diluted net earnings per share attributable to Mosaic	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.98

A total of 1.4 million and 0.9 million shares of common stock subject to issuance upon exercise of stock options for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, have been excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as the effect would have been anti-dilutive.

#### 6. Income Taxes

We record unrecognized tax benefits in accordance with applicable accounting standards. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, gross unrecognized tax benefits decreased by \$46.3 million to \$270.5 million. We recorded a gross decrease of \$69.7 million due to the resolution of certain tax matters in various jurisdictions, of which \$17.0 million impacted our effective tax rate. The decrease was partially offset by a net increase in unrecognized tax benefits associated with the current and prior fiscal years. If recognized, approximately \$97.7 million of the \$270.5 million in unrecognized tax benefits would affect our effective tax rate in future periods.

We recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of our income tax provision. We had accrued interest and penalties totaling \$50.3 million and \$53.8 million as of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, respectively, that were included in other noncurrent liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Based upon the information available as of September 30, 2013, we anticipate that the amount of uncertain tax positions will change in the next twelve months; however, the change cannot reasonably be estimated.

# 7. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	-	September 30, 2013		ay 31, 2013
Raw materials	\$	27.6	\$	43.0
Work in process		415.9		445.8

Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

Finished goods	983.5	991.3
Operating materials and supplies	68.0	77.2
	\$ 1,495.0	\$ 1,557.3

9

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 8. Goodwill

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill, by reporting unit, are as follows:

	Pho	osphates	Potash	Total
Balance as of May 31, 2013	\$	546.6	\$ 1,298.0	\$ 1,844.6
Foreign currency translation			(0.6)	(0.6)
Reallocation of goodwill to assets held for sale		(10.8)		(10.8)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$	535.8	\$ 1,297.4	\$ 1,833.2

We review goodwill for impairment annually or at any time events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be fully recoverable. Under our accounting policy, an annual review is performed in November of each year, or more frequently if indicators of potential impairment exist.

#### 9. Variable Interest Entities

Mosaic is the primary beneficiary of and consolidates two VIEs within our Phosphates segment: PhosChem and South Fort Meade Partnership, L.P. ( *SFMP* ). We determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of an entity subject to consolidation based on a qualitative assessment of the purpose and design of the VIE, the risks that the VIE were designed to create and pass along to other entities, the activities of the VIE that could be directed and which entity could direct them, and the expected relative impact of those activities on the economic performance of the VIE. We assess our VIE determination with respect to an entity on an ongoing basis. We have not identified any additional VIEs in which we hold a significant interest.

PhosChem is an export association of United States phosphate producers that markets our phosphate products internationally. We, along with the other member, are, subject to certain conditions and exceptions, contractually obligated to reimburse PhosChem for our respective pro rata share of any operating expenses or other liabilities. PhosChem had net sales of \$228.0 million and \$323.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, which are included in our consolidated net sales. PhosChem currently funds its operations through ongoing sales.

We determined that, because we are PhosChem s exclusive export agent for the marketing, solicitation of orders and freighting of dry phosphatic materials, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact PhosChem s economic performance. Because Mosaic accounts for the majority of sales volume marketed through PhosChem, we have the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could be significant to PhosChem.

SFMP owns the mineable acres at our South Fort Meade phosphate mine. We have a long-term mineral lease with SFMP which, in general, expires on the earlier of: (i) December 31, 2025, or (ii) the date that we have completed mining and reclamation obligations associated with the leased property. In addition to lease payments, we pay SFMP a royalty on each tonne mined and shipped from the areas that we lease. SFMP had no external sales for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

We determined that, because we control the day-to-day mining decisions and are responsible for obtaining mining permits, we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact SFMP s economic performance. Because of our rental and royalty payments to the partnership, we have the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could potentially be significant to SFMP.

10

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

No additional financial or other support has been provided to these VIE s beyond what was previously contractually required during any periods presented. The carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for these consolidated entities are as follows:

	September 2013	30, May 31, 2013
Current assets	\$ 4	9.9 \$ 180.7
Non current assets	4	5.2 46.9
Total assets	\$ 9	5.1 \$ 227.6
Current liabilities	\$ 1	0.2 \$ 5.4
Total liabilities	\$ 1	0.2 \$ 5.4

# 10. Contingencies

We have described below judicial and administrative proceedings to which we are subject.

We have contingent environmental liabilities that arise principally from three sources: (i) facilities currently or formerly owned by our subsidiaries or their predecessors; (ii) facilities adjacent to currently or formerly owned facilities; and (iii) third-party Superfund or state equivalent sites. At facilities currently or formerly owned by our subsidiaries or their predecessors, the historical use and handling of regulated chemical substances, crop and animal nutrients and additives and by-product or process tailings have resulted in soil, surface water and/or groundwater contamination. Spills or other releases of regulated substances, subsidence from mining operations and other incidents arising out of operations, including accidents, have occurred previously at these facilities, and potentially could occur in the future, possibly requiring us to undertake or fund cleanup or result in monetary damage awards, fines, penalties, other liabilities, injunctions or other court or administrative rulings. In some instances, pursuant to consent orders or agreements with governmental agencies, we are undertaking certain remedial actions or investigations to determine whether remedial action may be required to address contamination. At other locations, we have entered into consent orders or agreements with appropriate governmental agencies to perform required remedial activities that will address identified site conditions. Taking into consideration established accruals of approximately \$23.7 million and \$24.7 million as of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, respectively, expenditures for these known conditions currently are not expected, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material effect on our business or financial condition. However, material expenditures could be required in the future to remediate the contamination at known sites or at other current or former sites or as a result of other environmental, health and safety matters. Below is a discussion of the more significant environmental matters.

EPA RCRA Initiative. In 2003, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ( EPA ) Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance announced that it would be targeting facilities in mineral processing industries, including phosphoric acid producers, for a thorough review under the U.S. Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ( RCRA ) and related state laws. Mining and processing of phosphates generate residual materials that must be managed both during the operation of a facility and upon a facility s closure. Certain solid wastes generated by our phosphate operations may be subject to regulation under RCRA and related state laws. The EPA rules exempt extraction and beneficiation wastes, as well as 20 specified mineral processing wastes, from the hazardous waste management requirements of RCRA. Accordingly, certain of the residual materials which our phosphate operations generate, as well as process wastewater from phosphoric acid production, are exempt from RCRA regulation. However, the generation and management of other solid wastes from phosphate operations may be subject to hazardous waste regulation if the waste is deemed to exhibit a hazardous waste characteristic. As part of its initiative, we understand that EPA has inspected all or nearly all facilities in the

11

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

U.S. phosphoric acid production sector to ensure compliance with applicable RCRA regulations and to address any imminent and substantial endangerment found by the EPA under RCRA. We have provided the EPA with substantial amounts of information regarding the process water recycling practices and the hazardous waste handling practices at our phosphate production facilities in Florida and Louisiana, and the EPA has inspected all of our currently operating processing facilities in the U.S. In addition to the EPA s inspections, our phosphates concentrates facilities have entered into consent orders to perform analyses of existing environmental data, to perform further environmental sampling as may be necessary, and to assess whether the facilities pose a risk of harm to human health or the surrounding environment.

We have received Notices of Violation ( *NOVs* ) from the EPA related to the handling of hazardous waste at our Riverview (September 2005), New Wales (October 2005), Mulberry (June 2006), Green Bay (August 2006) and Bartow (September 2006) facilities in Florida. The EPA has issued similar NOVs to our competitors and referred the NOVs to the U.S. Department of Justice ( *DOJ* ) for further enforcement. We currently are engaged in discussions with the DOJ and EPA. We believe we have substantial defenses to allegations in the NOVs, including but not limited to previous EPA regulatory interpretations and inspection reports finding that the process water handling practices in question comply with the requirements of the exemption for extraction and beneficiation wastes. We intend to evaluate various alternatives and continue discussions to determine if a negotiated resolution can be reached. If it cannot, we intend to vigorously defend these matters in any enforcement actions that may be pursued.

We are negotiating the terms of a possible settlement with the EPA, the DOJ, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection and the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (collectively, the *Government*) and the final terms are not yet agreed upon or approved. If a settlement can be achieved, in all likelihood our commitments would be multi-faceted with key elements including, in general and among other elements, the following:

Incurring capital expenditures likely to exceed \$150 million in the aggregate over a period of several years.

Providing meaningful additional financial assurance for the estimated costs of closure and post-closure care of our Gypstacks ( *Gypstack Closure Costs* ). For financial reporting purposes, we recognize our estimated asset retirement obligations ( *AROs* ), including Gypstack Closure Costs, at their present value. This present value determined for financial reporting purposes is reflected on our Consolidated Balance Sheets in accrued liabilities and other noncurrent liabilities. As of May 31, 2013, the undiscounted amount of our AROs, determined using the assumptions used for financial reporting purposes, was approximately \$1.5 billion and the present value of our Gypstack Closure Costs reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheet was approximately \$450 million. Currently, financial assurance requirements in Florida and Louisiana for Gypstack Closure Costs can be satisfied through a variety of methods, including satisfaction of financial tests. In the context of a potential settlement of the Government s enforcement action, we expect that we would agree to pre-fund a material portion of our Gypstack Closure Costs, primarily by depositing cash, currently estimated to be in the amount of approximately \$625 million, into a trust fund which would increase over time with reinvestment of earnings. Amounts held in any such trust fund (including reinvested earnings) would be classified as restricted cash on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. We expect that any final settlement of this matter would resolve all of our financial assurance obligations to the Government for Gypstack Closure Costs. Our actual Gypstack Closure Costs are generally expected to be paid by us in the normal course of our Phosphates business over a period that may not end until three decades or more after a Gypstack has been closed.

12

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

We have also established accruals to address the estimated cost of civil penalties in connection with this matter, which we do not believe in light of the relevant regulatory history, would be material to our results of operations, liquidity or capital resources. In light of our strong operating cash flows, liquidity and capital resources, we believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to be able to fund such capital expenditures, financial assurance requirements and civil penalties as part of a settlement. If a settlement cannot be agreed upon, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or estimate the potential amount or range of loss; however, we would face potential exposure to material costs should we fail in the defense of an enforcement action.

EPA EPCRA Initiative. In July 2008, the DOJ sent a letter to major U.S. phosphoric acid manufacturers, including us, stating that the EPA s ongoing investigation indicates apparent violations of Section 313 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act ( EPCRA ) at their phosphoric acid manufacturing facilities. Section 313 of EPCRA requires annual reports to be submitted with respect to the use or presence of certain toxic chemicals. DOJ and EPA also stated that they believe that a number of these facilities have violated Section 304 of EPCRA and Section 103 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ( CERCLA ) by failing to provide required notifications relating to the release of hydrogen fluoride from the facilities. The letter did not identify any specific violations by us or assert a demand for penalties against us. We cannot predict at this time whether the EPA and DOJ will initiate an enforcement action over this matter, what its scope would be, or what the range of outcomes of such a potential enforcement action might be.

Florida Sulfuric Acid Plants. On April 8, 2010, the EPA Region 4 submitted an administrative subpoena to us under Section 114 of the Federal Clean Air Act (the *CAA*) regarding compliance of our Florida sulfuric acid plants with the New Source Review requirements of the CAA. The request received by Mosaic appears to be part of a broader EPA national enforcement initiative focusing on sulfuric acid plants. We cannot predict at this time whether the EPA and DOJ will initiate an enforcement action over this matter, what its scope would be, or what the range of outcomes of such a potential enforcement action might be.

Other Environmental Matters. Superfund and equivalent state statutes impose liability without regard to fault or to the legality of a party s conduct on certain categories of persons who are considered to have contributed to the release of hazardous substances into the environment. Under Superfund, or its various state analogues, one party may, under certain circumstances, be required to bear more than its proportionate share of cleanup costs at a site where it has liability if payments cannot be obtained from other responsible parties. Currently, certain of our subsidiaries are involved or concluding involvement at several Superfund or equivalent state sites. Our remedial liability from these sites, alone or in the aggregate, currently is not expected to have a material effect on our business or financial condition. As more information is obtained regarding these sites and the potentially responsible parties involved, this expectation could change.

We believe that, pursuant to several indemnification agreements, our subsidiaries are entitled to at least partial, and in many instances complete, indemnification for the costs that may be expended by us or our subsidiaries to remedy environmental issues at certain facilities. These agreements address issues that resulted from activities occurring prior to our acquisition of facilities or businesses from parties including, but not limited to, ARCO (BP); Beatrice Fund for Environmental Liabilities; Conoco; Conserv; Estech, Inc.; Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation; Kerr-McGee Inc.; PPG Industries, Inc.; The Williams Companies and certain other private parties. Our subsidiaries have already received and anticipate receiving amounts pursuant to the indemnification agreements for certain of their expenses incurred to date as well as future anticipated expenditures. Potential indemnification is not considered in our established accruals.

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Phosphate Mine Permitting in Florida

Denial of the permits sought at any of our mines, issuance of the permits with cost-prohibitive conditions, or substantial delays in issuing the permits, legal actions that prevent us from relying on permits or revocation of permits may create challenges for us to mine the phosphate rock required to operate our Florida and Louisiana phosphate plants at desired levels or increase our costs in the future.

The Altman Extension of the Four Corners Mine. The Army Corps of Engineers (the Corps) issued a federal wetlands permit under the Clean Water Act (the CWA) for mining the Altman Extension (the Altman Extension) of our Four Corners phosphate rock mine in central Florida in May 2008. The Sierra Club, Inc. (the Sierra Club), Manasota-88, Inc. (Manasota-88), Gulf Restoration Network, Inc., People for Protecting Peace River, Inc. (People for Protecting Peace River) and the Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc. sued the Corps in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, Jacksonville Division (the Jacksonville District Court), seeking to vacate our permit to mine the Altman Extension. On September 30, 2013, the Jacksonville District Court granted our motion for summary judgment and denied the plaintiffs motion for summary judgment, resulting in dismissal of the plaintiffs lawsuit. The time for the plaintiffs to appeal or request a rehearing has not yet expired.

#### MicroEssentials® Patent Lawsuit

On January 9, 2009, John Sanders and Specialty Fertilizer Products, LLC filed a complaint against Mosaic, Mosaic Fertilizer, LLC, Cargill, Incorporated and Cargill Fertilizer, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri (the *Missouri District Court*). The complaint alleges that our production of MicroEssentials® SZ, one of several types of the MicroEssentials® value-added ammoniated phosphate crop nutrient products that we produce, infringes on a patent held by the plaintiffs since 2001. Plaintiffs have since asserted that other MicroEssentials® products also infringe the patent. Plaintiffs seek to enjoin the alleged infringement and to recover an unspecified amount of damages and attorneys fees for past infringement. Our answer to the complaint responds that the plaintiffs patent is invalid and we have counterclaimed that the plaintiffs have engaged in inequitable conduct.

The Missouri District Court stayed the lawsuit pending an ex parte reexamination of plaintiffs patent claims by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (the *PTO*). On September 12, 2012, Shell Oil Company ( *Shell*) filed an inter parties reexamination request which in part asserted that the claims as amended and added in connection with the ex parte reexamination are unpatentable. On October 4, 2012, the PTO issued an Ex Parte Reexamination Certificate in which certain claims of the plaintiffs patent were cancelled, disclaimed and amended, and new claims were added. Plaintiffs have filed a motion with the Missouri District Court requesting that the stay of the lawsuit be lifted, and we have opposed that motion. On November 28, 2012, the PTO granted Shell s request for an *inter parties* reexamination. On December 11, 2012, as part of that reexamination, the PTO issued an initial rejection of all of plaintiffs remaining patent claims. On September 12, 2013, the PTO reversed its initial rejection of the plaintiffs remaining patent claims and allowed them to stand. The time for Shell to appeal the PTO s decision has not yet expired. A successful appeal by Shell could limit the claims the plaintiffs can assert against us or their remedies against us.

We believe that the plaintiffs allegations are without merit and intend to defend vigorously against them. At this stage of the proceedings, we cannot predict the outcome of this litigation, estimate the potential amount or range of loss or determine whether it will have a material effect on our results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

14

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# **Brazil Tax Contingencies**

Our Brazilian subsidiary is engaged in a number of judicial and administrative proceedings relating to various non-income tax matters. We estimate that our maximum potential liability with respect to these matters is approximately \$96 million. Approximately \$52 million of the maximum potential liability relates to PIS and Cofins tax credit cases while the majority of the remaining amount relates to various other non-income tax cases such as value added taxes. In the event that the Brazilian government was to prevail in connection with all judicial and administrative matters involving us and considering the amount of judicial deposits made, our maximum cash tax liability with respect to these matters would be approximately \$94 million. Based on the current status of similar tax cases involving unrelated taxpayers, we believe we have recorded adequate accruals, which are immaterial, for the probable liability with respect to these Brazilian judicial and administrative proceedings.

#### Other Claims

We also have certain other contingent liabilities with respect to judicial, administrative and arbitration proceedings and claims of third parties, including tax matters, arising in the ordinary course of business. We do not believe that any of these contingent liabilities will have a material adverse impact on our business or financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

# 11. Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We are exposed to the impact of fluctuations in the relative value of currencies, the impact on interest rates, the impact of fluctuations in the purchase prices of natural gas, ammonia and sulfur consumed in operations and changes in freight costs. We periodically enter into derivatives in order to mitigate our foreign currency risks, interest rate risks and the effects of changing commodity and freight prices, but not for speculative purposes.

As of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, the following is the total absolute notional volume associated with our outstanding derivative instruments:

#### (in millions of Units)

	Derivative	Unit of	September 30,	May 31,
Derivative Instrument	Category	Measure	2013	2013
Foreign currency derivatives	Foreign currency	US Dollars	944.0	1,459.7
Natural gas derivatives	Commodity	MMbtu	10.6	15.2
Ocean freight contracts	Freight	Tonnes	0.8	1.5

We do not apply hedge accounting treatments to our foreign currency exchange contracts, commodities contracts, and freight contracts. Unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency exchange contracts used to hedge cash flows related to the production of our products are included in cost of goods sold in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Unrealized gains and losses on commodities contracts and certain forward freight agreements are also recorded in cost of goods sold in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Unrealized gain or loss on foreign currency exchange contracts used to hedge cash flows that are not related to the production of our products are included in the foreign currency transaction gain (loss) line in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. Below is a table that shows the unrealized gains and (losses) on derivative instruments related to foreign currency exchange contracts, commodities contracts, and freight:

		Three mor	nths ended
		Septem	ber 30,
Derivative Instrument	Location	2013	2012
Foreign currency derivatives	Cost of goods sold	\$ 22.6	\$ 17.7

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

Foreign currency derivatives	Foreign currency transaction gain (loss)	(1.0)	0.5
Commodity derivatives	Cost of goods sold	2.7	5.9
Freight derivatives	Cost of goods sold	(1.1)	0.2

15

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The gross fair market value of all derivative instruments and their location in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are shown in the table below by asset or liability position and are further categorized by foreign currency, commodity, and freight derivatives:

	<b>Asset Derivatives</b>		Liability Derivative			
		Septe	ember 30,		Septe	ember 30,
Derivative Instrument	Location		2013	Location		2013
Foreign currency derivatives	Other current assets	\$	8.1	Accrued liabilities	\$	(16.4)
Commodity derivatives	Other current assets		3.2	Accrued liabilities		(6.7)
Commodity derivatives	Other assets			Other noncurrent liabilities		(0.5)
Freight derivatives	Other current assets		1.9	Accrued liabilities		(0.1)
Total		\$	13.2		\$	(23.7)

	Asset Derivative	es	Liability Derivatives	
<b>Derivative Instrument</b>	Location	May 31, 2013	Location	May 31, 2013
Foreign currency derivatives	Other current assets	\$ 10.7	Accrued liabilities	\$ (38.6)
Commodity derivatives	Other current assets	4.8	Accrued liabilities	(6.1)
Commodity derivatives	Other assets	0.2	Other noncurrent liabilities	
Freight derivatives	Other current assets	1.7	Accrued liabilities	(0.4)
Total		\$ 17.4		\$ (45.1)

We have entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps in anticipation of future debt issuance. These swap contracts are designated as cash flow hedges with unrealized gains and losses deferred to other comprehensive income and will be reclassified into earnings over the life of the associated debt once these contracts are settled. As of September 30, 2013, the total notional value of these swaps was \$1.5 billion, with \$1.25 billion related to ten-year term debt and \$250.0 million related to thirty-year term debt. The fair market value of these contracts was (\$1.9) million, with \$7.3 million accounted as assets and \$9.2 million accounted as liabilities as of September 30, 2013. These swap contracts will be settled in November 2013, or upon the earlier issuance of debt.

For additional disclosures about fair value measurement of derivative instruments, see Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

# Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features

Certain of our derivative instruments contain provisions that are governed by International Swap and Derivatives Association ( *ISDA* ) agreements with the counterparties. These agreements contain provisions that allow us to settle for the net amount between payments and receipts, and also state that if our debt were to be rated below investment grade, certain counterparties could request full collateralization on derivative instruments in net liability positions. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features that were in a liability position as of September 30, 2013, was \$18.8 million. We have no cash collateral posted in association with these contracts. If the credit-risk-related contingent features underlying these agreements were triggered on September 30, 2013, we would be required to post \$16.2 million of collateral assets, which are either cash or U.S. Treasury instruments, to the counterparties.

16

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# Counterparty Credit Risk

We enter into foreign exchange and certain commodity and interest rate derivatives, primarily with a diversified group of highly rated counterparties. We continually monitor our positions and the credit ratings of the counterparties involved and limit the amount of credit exposure to any one party. While we may be exposed to potential losses due to the credit risk of non-performance by these counterparties, material losses are not anticipated. We closely monitor the credit risk associated with our counterparties and customers and to date have not experienced material losses.

# 12. Fair Value Measurements

We determine the fair market values of our derivative contracts and certain other assets and liabilities based on the fair value hierarchy, described below, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels within the fair value hierarchy that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Values based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Values based on quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, or model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3: Values generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect our own estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

# Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents assets and liabilities included in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets that are recognized at fair value on a recurring basis, and indicate the fair value hierarchy utilized to determine such fair values.

	Total	Septemb Level 1	er 30, 2013 Level 2	Level 3
Assets	- VWI	20,011	20,612	20,010
Foreign currency derivatives	\$ 8.1	\$ 1.0	\$ 7.1	\$
Commodity derivatives	3.2		3.2	
Freight derivatives	1.9			1.9
Total assets at fair value	\$ 13.2	\$ 1.0	\$ 10.3	\$ 1.9
Liabilities				
Foreign currency derivatives	\$ 16.4	\$ 3.7	\$ 12.7	\$
Commodity derivatives	7.2		7.2	
Freight derivatives	0.1			0.1
Total liabilities at fair value	\$ 23.7	\$ 3.7	\$ 19.9	\$ 0.1

We did not significantly change our valuation techniques from prior periods.

17

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments are as follows:

	Septembe	er 30, 2013	May 31, 2013		
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,338.6	\$ 3,338.6	\$ 3,697.1	\$ 3,697.1	
Receivables, net	478.7	478.7	1,015.7	1,015.7	
Accounts payable trade	611.7	611.7	763.1	763.1	
Short-term debt	14.5	14.5	68.7	68.7	
Long-term debt, including current portion	1,012.3	1,032.8	1,010.5	1,093.3	

For cash and cash equivalents, receivables, net, accounts payable trade and short-term debt, the carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of those instruments. The fair value of long-term debt, including current portion, is estimated using quoted market prices for the publicly registered notes and debentures, classified as Level 1 and Level 2, respectively, within the fair value hierarchy, depending on the market liquidity of the debt.

## 13. Related Party Transactions

We enter into transactions and agreements with certain of our non-consolidated companies from time to time. As of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, the net amount due from our non-consolidated companies totaled \$23.6 million and \$145.8 million, respectively.

The Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings included the following transactions with our non-consolidated companies:

	Three months ende	
	Septem	ber 30,
	2013	2012
Transactions with non-consolidated companies included in net sales	\$ 191.8	\$ 248.2
Transactions with non-consolidated companies included in cost of goods sold	76.1	141.2

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## 14. Business Segments

The reportable segments are determined by management based upon factors such as products and services, production processes, technologies, market dynamics, and for which segment financial information is available for our chief operating decision maker. For a description of our business segments see Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report. We evaluate performance based on the operating earnings of the respective business segments, which includes certain allocations of corporate selling, general and administrative expenses. The segment results may not represent the actual results that would be expected if they were independent, stand-alone businesses. Corporate, Eliminations and Other primarily represents activities associated with our nitrogen distribution business, unallocated corporate office activities and eliminations. All intersegment transactions are eliminated within Corporate, Eliminations and Other. Segment information was as follows:

	<b>N</b> . 1.	<b>D</b> . I	Elin	rporate, ninations	m
	Phosphates	Potash	and	d Other	Total
Three months ended September 30, 2013					
Net sales to external customers	\$ 1,418.8	\$ 488.5	\$	1.4	\$ 1,908.7
Intersegment net sales		34.7		(34.7)	
Net sales	1,418.8	523.2		(33.3)	1,908.7
Gross margin	193.3	184.4		9.2	386.9
Operating earnings	57.6	91.8		(5.3)	144.1
Capital expenditures	119.9	199.2		13.4	332.5
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	75.6	85.5		5.0	166.1
Three months ended September 30, 2012					
Net sales to external customers	\$ 1,740.6	\$ 902.5	\$	2.6	\$ 2,645.7
Intersegment net sales		24.6		(24.6)	
Net sales	1,740.6	927.1		(22.0)	2,645.7
Gross margin	328.9	440.9		4.8	774.6
Operating earnings	246.2	398.1		(0.6)	643.7
Capital expenditures	99.7	263.9		21.0	384.6
Depreciation, depletion and amortization expense	70.1	67.1		3.4	140.6

# 15. Investment in a Joint Venture

On August 5, 2013, we entered into a Shareholders Agreement with Saudi Arabian Mining Company ( *Ma aden* ) and Saudi Basic Industries Corporation ( *SABIC* ) to form a joint venture which will develop, own and operate integrated phosphate production facilities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The approximately \$7 billion greenfield project will be financed by the joint venture with debt and the investments of the parties, and have a production capacity of approximately 3.5 million tonnes of finished product. Operations are expected to commence in late calendar 2016. We will have a 25% interest in the joint venture.

In connection with our equity share, we will market approximately 25% of the production of the joint venture. Our cash investment is expected to be approximately \$1 billion, funded over a four-year period. As of September 30, 2013, our investment is \$156 million.

#### THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### 16. Assets Held for Sale

During the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we decided to exit our distribution businesses in Argentina and Chile. In connection with this decision, we wrote down the related assets by approximately \$50 million pre-tax to their estimated fair value, which is included in loss on write down of assets in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings. There was no tax benefit recorded related to this write down. At September 30, 2013, we included \$232.6 million in other current assets and \$45.9 million in accrued liabilities in our balance sheet as these qualify for asset held for sale accounting. We expect to continue to sell our products in these countries by using other distribution channels.

Also, during the quarter ended September 30, 2013, we decided to sell the salt operations of our Hersey, Michigan mine and close the related potash operations. We are currently decommissioning the potash assets which precluded the Hersey facility from qualifying as an asset held for sale at September 30, 2013. In connection with the planned sale of this mine we wrote down the related assets by approximately \$48 million pre-tax to their estimated fair value and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of approximately \$17 million.

## 17. Subsequent Event

On October 28, 2013, we entered into an agreement to acquire the Florida phosphate assets and assume certain related liabilities of CF Industries, Inc. ( *CF* ) for \$1.2 billion plus an additional \$200 million to fund CF s asset retirement obligation escrow (the *CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition* ). Under the terms of the agreement, we would acquire CF s phosphate mining and production operations in Central Florida and terminal and warehouse facilities in Tampa, Florida. These facilities currently produce approximately 1.8 million tonnes of phosphate fertilizer per year. This transaction is expected to close in the first half of 2014. Customary regulatory approvals are required.

We also signed strategic supply agreements with CF under which CF will provide us with ammonia (the *CF Ammonia Supply Agreements*). Under one of the agreements, we will purchase approximately 545,000 to 725,000 tonnes annually for up to fifteen years at a price tied to the prevailing price of U.S. natural gas. This agreement is expected to commence prior to January 1, 2017. Under a second agreement, we would purchase approximately 270,000 tonnes annually for three years from CF s Trinidad operations at CFR Tampa market-based pricing. In light of these supply arrangements, we have decided to forego our proposed ammonia manufacturing plant at our Faustina, Louisiana facility. Therefore, at September 30, 2013, we wrote off our initial investment in the Faustina project of approximately \$25 million and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of \$9 million.

20

# THE MOSAIC COMPANY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# 18. Additional Financial Information

The condensed consolidated statement of earnings for the four months ended September 30, 2013 is as follows:

In millions (Unaudited)	 nonths ended tember 30, 2013
Net sales	\$ 2,584.3
Cost of goods sold	2,077.5
Gross margin	506.8
Selling, general and administrative expenses	120.6
Loss on write down of assets	122.8
Other operating expense	25.5
Operating earnings	237.9
Interest income (expense), net	(1.3)
Foreign currency transaction gain (loss)	(8.6)
Other expense	0.2
Earnings from consolidated companies before income taxes	228.2
Provision for income taxes	21.4
Earnings from consolidated companies	206.8
Equity in net earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated companies	6.0
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	212.8
Less: Net earnings (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	1.8
Net earnings attributable to Mosaic	\$ 211.0

The following table represents the net cash provided by operating activities, net cash used in investing activities, and net cash used in financing activities for the 4 months ended September 30, 2013:

In millions (Unaudited)	Septe	Four months ended September 30, 2013	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	388.9	
Net cash used in investing activities		(603.2)	
Net cash used in financing activities		(136.2)	

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with the material under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K of The Mosaic Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 (the **10-K Report** ) and the material under Item 1 of Part I of this report.

Throughout the discussion below, we measure units of production, sales and raw materials in metric tonnes, which are the equivalent of 2,205 pounds, unless we specifically state we mean long ton(s), which are the equivalent of 2,240 pounds. In the following tables, there are certain percentages that are not considered to be meaningful and are represented by NM.

# **Results of Operations**

The following table shows the results of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

		months er	201:	2013-2012		
(in millions, except per share data)	2013		2012	Change	Percent	
Net sales	\$ 1,908.7	\$	2,645.7	\$ (737.0)	(28%)	
Cost of goods sold	1,521.8	1	1,871.1	(349.3)	(19%)	
Gross margin	386.9	)	774.6	(387.7)	(50%)	
Gross margin percentage	20	1%	29%			
Selling, general and administrative expenses	94.4		114.8	(20.4)	(18%)	
Loss on write down of assets	122.8	}		122.8	NM	
Other operating expense	25.6	1	16.1	9.5	59%	
Operating earnings	144.1		643.7	(499.6)	(78%)	
Interest income, net	1.8		5.1	(3.3)	(65%)	
Foreign currency transaction loss	(29.6	<u>(</u> )	(27.3)	(2.3)	(8%)	
Other income (expense)	0.4		(0.6)	1.0	NM	
Earnings from consolidated companies before income taxes	116.7	,	620.9	(504.2)	(81%)	
(Benefit from) provision for income taxes	(6.6	<u>(</u> )	189.0	(195.6)	NM	
Earnings from consolidated companies	123.3	1	431.9	(308.6)	(71%)	
Equity in net earnings (loss) of nonconsolidated companies	2.5	,	(12.9)	15.4	NM	
Net earnings including noncontrolling interests	125.8	}	419.0	(293.2)	(70%)	
Less: Net earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests	1.4		1.6	(0.2)	(13%)	
Net earnings attributable to Mosaic	\$ 124.4	\$	417.4	\$ (293.0)	(70%)	
				, , ,	. ,	
Diluted net earnings per share attributable to Mosaic	\$ 0.29	\$	0.98	\$ (0.69)	(70%)	
Diluted weighted average number of shares outstanding	427.1		426.8	, i	, i	

# Overview of Consolidated Results for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Net sales decreased to \$1.9 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$2.6 billion in the prior year comparative period. Net earnings attributable to Mosaic for the three months ended September 30, 2013 were \$124.4 million, or \$0.29 per diluted share, compared to \$417.4 million, or \$0.98 per diluted share, for the comparative period a year ago. Significant factors affecting our results of operations and financial condition are listed below. Certain of these factors are discussed in more detail in the following sections of this Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Operating earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2013, were impacted by lower phosphate and potash selling prices and lower sales volumes for both potash and phosphates products compared to the same period in the prior year.

MOP selling prices have continued to decline from prior year levels due to supply and demand fundamentals. The announcement by one of our competitors to significantly increase their production volumes, leading customers to expect future price declines, also exacerbated uncertainty in the potash market. Potash sales volumes for the current period were constrained by sentiments in the market driving customers to purchase fertilizer only as needed, combined with delayed purchases in anticipation of the signing of supply contracts in China.

Phosphates sales volumes for the three months ended September 30, 2013 were lower than the same period in the prior year, primarily due to lower domestic sales volumes driven by delayed purchasing based on customer expectations of lower selling prices and a delayed North American harvest, which delayed the fall fertilizer application season. Our average selling price for phosphates has continued to decline from prior year levels, due in part to softer demand caused by reduced purchases from customers in India as they had high inventory levels in country, the volatile Rupee compared to the U.S. dollar, and aggressive pricing by other exporters to India.

Both Phosphates and Potash average selling prices continue to decline subsequent to the quarter due to the cautious purchasing behavior of customers

## Other Highlights

During the three months ended September 30, 2013:

We maintained a strong financial position with cash and cash equivalents of \$3.3 billion as of September 30, 2013.

We recorded a foreign currency transaction loss of \$29.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared with a loss of \$27.3 million for the same period a year ago.

Capital expenditures were \$332.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013. We continue to invest in our business through the expansion of capacity in our Potash segment and through sustaining capital, in line with our view of the long-term fundamentals of increasing global demand in that business.

We made several strategic decisions during and subsequent to the quarter ended September 30, 2013:

On August 5, 2013, we entered into a Shareholders Agreement with Saudi Arabian Mining Company ( *Ma aden* ) and Saudi Basic Industries Corporation ( *SABIC* ) to form a joint venture which will develop, own and operate integrated phosphate production facilities in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia (the *Northern Promise Joint Venture* ). We will own 25% of the joint venture and market approximately 25% of the production of the joint venture. When completed, the project is expected to diversify our sources for phosphate production and allow us to meet the increasing needs of our global customers. For further information see Note 15 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in the report.

Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

23

On October 28, 2013, we entered into the agreement for the CF Phosphates Assets Acquisition for \$1.2 billion plus an additional \$200 million to fund CF s asset retirement obligation escrow. Under the terms of the agreement, we would acquire CF s phosphate mining and production operations in Central Florida and terminal and warehouse facilities in Tampa, Florida. These facilities currently produce approximately 1.8 million tonnes of phosphate fertilizer per year. This transaction is expected to close in the first half of 2014 and is subject to customary regulatory approvals.

We also signed the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements under which CF will provide us with ammonia. Under one of the agreements, we will purchase approximately 545,000 to 725,000 tonnes annually for up to fifteen years at a price tied to the prevailing price of U.S. natural gas. This agreement is expected to commence prior to January 1, 2017. Under a second agreement, we would purchase approximately 270,000 tonnes annually for three years from CF s Trinidad operations at CFR Tampa market-based pricing. In light of these supply agreements, we have decided to forego our proposed ammonia manufacturing plant at our Faustina, Louisiana facility. Therefore, as of September 30, 2013 we wrote off our initial investment in the project of approximately \$25 million and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of \$9 million.

In addition to the \$1.4 billion total consideration in connection with the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition, we expect to spend an estimated \$500 million to develop reserves and improve existing mines and an estimated \$200 million on marine assets to transport ammonia from Louisiana to our Florida facilities. These estimated \$2.1 billion of investments and capital expenditures are expected to be offset by an estimated \$2.1 billion in capital savings related to the cancellations of (i) the Faustina ammonia project, saving approximately \$1.1 billion in future capital expenditures, and (ii) a planned \$1billion beneficiation facility for our future Ona phosphate rock mine, because the proximity of the existing infrastructure at CF s South Pasture mine to Ona would allow us to take advantages of synergies associated with the combined mining assets. We also expect to capture significant additional operating efficiencies.

We made the decision to exit our Argentina and Chile distribution businesses. In connection with this decision, we wrote down the related assets by approximately \$50 million. There was no tax benefit recorded related to this write down.

We decided to close the Hersey, Michigan potash business and sell the related salt operations. In connection with the planned sale, we wrote down the related assets by approximately \$48 million, to their estimated fair value, and recorded a corresponding tax benefit of approximately \$17 million.

24

# Phosphates Net Sales and Gross Margin

The following table summarizes the Phosphates segment s net sales, gross margin, sales volume, selling prices and raw material prices:

	Three months ended September 30,					2013-2012		
(in millions, except price per tonne or unit)	20	013		2012	Cl	nange	Percent	
Net sales:						Ü		
North America	\$ 4	417.1	\$	601.7	\$ (	184.6)	(31%)	
International	1,	001.7	1	,138.9	(	137.2)	(12%)	
Total	1,	418.8	1	,740.6	(	321.8)	(18%)	
Cost of goods sold	1,	225.5	1	,411.7	(	186.2)	(13%)	
Gross margin	\$	193.3	\$	328.9	\$ (	135.6)	(41%)	
Gross margin as a percent of net sales		14%		19%				
Sales volume (in thousands of metric tonnes)		1470		1970				
Crop Nutrients <sup>(a)</sup> :								
North America <sup>(b)</sup>		515		734		(219)	(30%)	
International <sup>(b)</sup>		677		657		20	3%	
MicroEssentials®		233		269		(36)	(13%)	
Crop Nutrient Blends		837 809		809		28	3%	
Total		2,262 2,46		2,469		(207)	(8%)	
Feed Phosphates		152		128		24	19%	
Other <sup>(c)</sup>	325		334			(9)	(3%)	
Total Phosphates Segment Tonnes <sup>(a)</sup>	í	2,739		2,931		(192)	(7%)	
Average selling price per tonne:								
DAP (FOB plant)	\$	436	\$	533	\$	(97)	(18%)	
Crop Nutrient Blends (FOB destination)		508		544		(36)	(7%)	
Average cost per unit consumed in cost of goods sold:								
Ammonia (metric tonne)	\$	486	\$	499	\$	(13)	(3%)	
Sulfur (long ton)		167		196		(29)	(15%)	

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes tonnes sold by PhosChem for its other member.

The Phosphates segment s net sales decreased to \$1.4 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$1.7 billion for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Lower sales prices resulted in decreased net sales of approximately \$180 million in addition to lower sales volumes, which resulted in decreased sales of approximately \$120 million, due to the factors discussed in the Overview.

Our average DAP selling price was \$436 per tonne for the three months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of 18% from the same period a year ago due to the factors discussed in the Overview. The selling price of crop nutrient blends ( **Blends**) for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased 7% compared to the same period in the prior year. We have moved to selling more high value phosphate product in Blends, which has helped soften the decreases in these selling prices.

<sup>(</sup>b) Excludes Crop Nutrient Blends and MicroEssentials®.

<sup>(</sup>c) Other volumes are primarily single superphosphate ( SSP ), potash and nitrogen products sold outside of North America. Three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

25

The Phosphates segment s sales volumes were lower, with 2.7 million tonnes for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 2.9 million tonnes for the same period in the prior year, due to the factors discussed in the Overview.

We consolidate the financial results of PhosChem. Included in our results for the three months ended September 30, 2013 is PhosChem net sales and cost of goods sold for its other member of \$15.3 million, compared with \$38.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Effective December 31, 2013, PhosChem will be dissolved. The dissolution of PhosChem is not expected to have an impact on our future results of operations.

Gross margin for the Phosphates segment decreased to \$193.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$328.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012. Lower sales prices and volumes had an unfavorable impact on gross margin of approximately \$240 million, which was partially offset by the favorable impact of lower product costs of approximately \$100 million. Approximately \$70 million of the lower product costs was due to lower costs of products sold by our international distribution locations, including Blends. As this business is a distribution business, these costs are typically passed on to the customers. This is reflected in revenue; therefore, there is minimal impact on gross margin. Approximately \$20 million of the decrease in product costs was due to lower sulfur and ammonia costs, and approximately \$10 million was due to the lower cost of phosphate rock used in our North American operations. Although product costs decreased in the current quarter, we have not seen the full effect of these lower costs in our gross margin due to the low sales volumes as these costs are captured in our inventory balance. Other factors affecting gross margin and costs are discussed below. As a result of these factors, gross margin as a percentage of net sales decreased to 14% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from 19% for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

The average consumed price for ammonia for our North American operations decreased to \$486 per tonne for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from \$499 in the same period a year ago. The average consumed price for sulfur for our North American operations decreased to \$167 per long ton for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from \$196 in the same period a year ago. The purchase price of these raw materials is driven by global supply and demand. The average consumed cost of purchased and produced rock decreased to \$58 per tonne for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$61 per tonne in the same period a year ago. The percentage of phosphate rock purchased from our Miski Mayo Mine used in finished product production in our North American operations increased to 10% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, from 6% in the same period a year ago. This increase offset the percentage of purchased phosphate rock from unrelated parties used in phosphate finished product production in our North American operations. This decreased to 2% in the three months ended September 30, 2013, from 7% in the same period a year ago. We continue to increase our purchases of phosphate rock from our Miski Mayo investment as their production increases.

Our North American phosphate rock production was 3.3 million tonnes for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with 4.0 million tonnes during the same period a year ago. We have decreased phosphate rock production as we have built our inventory reserves as part of our overall phosphate rock mining strategy.

The Phosphates segment s North American production of crop nutrient dry concentrates and animal feed ingredients was 2.1 million tonnes for both the three months ended September 30, 2013 and the comparable period of the prior year.

Costs were also impacted by net unrealized mark-to-market derivative gains of \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily on foreign currency derivatives, compared to losses of \$1.4 million for the same period a year ago, primarily on commodity derivatives.

26

#### Potash Net Sales and Gross Margin

The following table summarizes the Potash segment s net sales, gross margin, sales volume and selling price:

	Three mon Septem	ber 30,	2013-2012		
(in millions, except price per tonne or unit) Net sales:	2013	2012	Change	Percent	
North America	\$ 279.4	\$ 613.7	¢ (224.2)	(FA01)	
			\$ (334.3)	(54%)	
International	243.8	313.4	(69.6)	(22%)	
Total	523.2	927.1	(403.9)	(44%)	
Cost of goods sold	338.8	486.2	(147.4)	(30%)	
Gross margin	\$ 184.4	\$ 440.9	\$ (256.5)	(58%)	
Gross margin as a percent of net sales	35%	48%			
Sales volume (in thousands of metric tonnes)	20 70	1070			
Crop Nutrients <sup>(a)</sup> :					
North America	417	862	(445)	(52%)	
International	781	767	14	2%	
Total	1,198	1,629	(431)	(26%)	
Non-agricultural	182	162	20	12%	
Non-agricultural	102	102	20	1270	
m . 1p . 10 m	1 200	1.701	(411)	(22.61)	
Total Potash Segment Tonnes	1,380	1,791	(411)	(23%)	
Average selling price per tonne (FOB plant):					
MOP North America	\$ 364	\$ 480	\$ (116)	(24%)	
MOP International	294	402	(108)	(27%)	
MOP Average	342	453	(111)	(25%)	

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes tonnes related to a third-party tolling arrangement.

Three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

The Potash segment s net sales decreased to \$523.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$927.1 million in the same period a year ago. The decrease was primarily due to lower sales volumes that resulted in a decrease in net sales of approximately \$250 million combined with lower selling prices that resulted in a decrease of approximately \$120 million.

Our average muriate of potash ( *MOP* ) selling price was \$342 per tonne for the three months ended September 30, 2013, a decrease of \$111 per tonne compared with the same period a year ago. Potash selling prices have decreased due to the factors discussed in the Overview.

The Potash segment s sales volumes decreased to 1.4 million tonnes for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 1.8 million tonnes in the same period a year ago, primarily driven by the factors described in the Overview.

Gross margin for the Potash segment decreased to \$184.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from \$440.9 million for the same period in the prior year. Gross margin was unfavorably impacted by approximately \$150 million related to lower sales volumes combined with an unfavorable impact of approximately \$120 million due to the decrease in selling prices. Approximately \$20 million in increased costs, primarily related to labor costs and depreciation, adversely impacted gross margin. These and other factors

<sup>(</sup>b) This price excludes industrial and feed sales.

Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

27

affecting gross margin and costs are further discussed below. As a result of these factors, gross margin as a percentage of net sales decreased to 35% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 48% for the same period a year ago.

We incurred \$47.7 million in expenses and \$3.9 million in capital expenditures related to managing the brine inflows at our Esterhazy mine during the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$68.4 million and \$54.5 million, respectively, in the three months ended September 30, 2012. We have been effectively managing the brine inflows at Esterhazy since 1985, and from time to time we experience changes to the amounts and patterns of brine inflows. Inflows continue to be higher than average but are still estimated to be within the range of our historical experience. Brine inflow expenses decreased compared to the prior year as a project came on line that enhances our flexibility for disposing of brine that has been pumped out of the mine by injecting it at a site that is remote from the current mine workings. The new remote injection capability is allowing us to be more disciplined and efficient in our approach to managing the brine inflow. Brine inflow costs also continue to reflect the cost of addressing changing inflow patterns and inflows from below our mine workings, which can be more complex and costly to manage, as well as costs associated with the introduction of horizontal drilling. In addition, the timing of activities and other temporary operating factors favorably impacted the expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013. Capital expenditures decreased from the prior year period primarily due to expenditures for our new remote injection site in the prior year period. The new remote injection site, together with increased pumping capacity, is also helping us alleviate the effects of constraints on our pumping that began in the latter half of fiscal 2012. These constraints affected available storage capacity in surface ponds and were primarily due to abnormal rainfall in Saskatchewan as well as the downtime of certain of our brine injection wells. The amount of brine stored in the mined out areas at Esterhazy had reached a level higher than past experience as a result of the factors described above, but did not impede mining. In general, the higher the level of brine stored in the mine, the less time available to mitigate new or increased inflows that exceed our capacity for pumping or disposal of brine outside the mine, and therefore the less time to avoid flooding and/or loss of the mine. As a result of our investments in the new remote injection and increased pumping capacities, however, we have substantially reduced the amount of brine stored in the mine.

We incurred \$85.5 million in depreciation expense during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$67.1 million in the same period of the prior year. The higher depreciation relates to more fixed assets being depreciated as they have been brought into service for our sustaining and expansion projects.

We incurred \$30.8 million in Canadian resource taxes for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared with \$66.1 million in the same period a year ago. These taxes decreased due to lower sales and profits. We incurred \$10.5 million in royalties in the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to \$11.5 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Costs were impacted by net unrealized mark-to-market derivative gains of \$22.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013, primarily on foreign currency derivatives, compared with gains of \$25.2 million for the same period a year ago, primarily on foreign currency derivatives.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, potash production was 2.0 million tonnes compared to 1.5 million tonnes for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as we continue to bring our expansion projects online.

28

#### Other Income Statement Items

		onths ended mber 30,	2013	3-2012
(in millions)	2013	2012	Change	Percent
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 94.4	\$ 114.8	\$ (20.4)	(18%)
Loss on write down of assets	122.8		122.8	NM
Other operating expense	25.6	16.1	9.5	59%
Interest (expense)	(1.7)	(0.3)	(1.4)	NM
Interest income	3.5	3.5 5.4		(35%)
Interest income, net	1.8	5.1	(3.3)	(65%)
Foreign currency transaction gain (loss)	(29.6)	(27.3)	(2.3)	8%
Other income (expense)	0.4	(0.6)	1.0	NM
Benefit from provision for income taxes	(6.6)	189.0	(195.6)	NM

# Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, selling general and administrative expenses were \$94.4 million compared to \$114.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in the current quarter is primarily related to a decrease in incentive compensation and benefits combined with the elimination of costs associated with the prior year implementation of a new human resources system, and a favorable foreign currency exchange impact compared to the prior year period.

#### Loss on Write Down of Assets

The loss on write down of assets of \$122.8 million is related to the exit from our Argentina and Chile distribution businesses, write off of initial engineering costs of our ammonia plant, closure of Hersey potash facility, and the possible sale of our Hersey salt operations mentioned in the Overview.

#### Other Operating Expense

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we had other operating expense of \$25.6 million compared with \$16.1 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in expense in the current year is primarily due to approximately \$9 million related to the settlement of certain mineral right interests.

# Foreign Currency Transaction Gain (Loss)

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded a foreign currency transaction loss of \$29.6 million compared with a loss of \$27.3 million for the same periods in the prior year. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the loss was mainly the result of the effect of the weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar on significant U.S. dollar denominated intercompany receivables and U.S. dollar cash held by our Canadian affiliates. It was also affected by the strengthening of the U.S. dollar relative to the Brazilian Real on significant U.S. dollar denominated payables.

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the loss was mainly the result of the effect of the weakening of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar on significant U.S. dollar denominated intercompany receivables and cash held by our Canadian affiliates.

# (Benefit from) Provision for Income Taxes

Three months ended	Effective Tax Rate	Provision for Income Taxes		
September 30, 2013	(5.7%)	\$	(6.6)	
September 30, 2012	30.4%		189.0	

29

Income tax benefit was \$6.6 million and the effective tax rate was (5.7%) for the three months ended September 30, 2013. For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded tax benefits specific to the period tax of \$18.1 million related to the resolution of certain tax matters in various jurisdictions. Additionally, we recorded a \$26.4 million benefit related to the \$122.8 million write down of the distribution business in Argentina and Chile; the Hersey, Michigan mine assets; and the write-off of our initial investment in a proposed ammonia plant. For the three months ended September 30, 2012, we had income tax expense of \$189.0 million and an effective tax rate of 30.4%. In addition to items specific to the period, for each period, our income tax rate is impacted by the mix of earnings across the jurisdictions in which we operate and by a benefit associated with depletion.

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, we recorded tax benefits specific to the period tax of \$18.1 million related to the resolution of certain tax matters in various jurisdictions. Additionally, we recorded a \$26.4 million benefit related to the \$122.8 million write down of the distribution business in Argentina and Chile, the Hersey, Michigan mine assets, and the write-off of our initial investment in a proposed ammonia plant.

### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. GAAP. In preparing the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to make various judgments, estimates and assumptions that could have a significant impact on the results reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We base these estimates on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable by management under the circumstances. Changes in these estimates could have a material effect on our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our significant accounting policies, including our significant accounting estimates, are summarized in Note 2 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report. A more detailed description of our significant accounting policies is included in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 10-K Report. Further information regarding our critical accounting estimates is included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition in our 10-K Report.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$3.3 billion in cash and cash equivalents. Funds generated by operating activities, available cash and cash equivalents, and our credit facilities continue to be our most significant sources of liquidity. We believe funds generated from the expected results of operations and available cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to finance our operations, including our expansion plans, existing strategic initiatives and expected dividend payments, for the next 12 months and that such funds together with an expected increase in our long-term debt level will be sufficient for these purposes along with the anticipation of share repurchases and the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition. There can be no assurance, however, that we will continue to generate cash flows at or above current levels. In addition, we have a \$750.0 million credit facility, of which \$737.4 million was available for working capital needs and investment opportunities as of September 30, 2013.

The following table represents a comparison of the net cash provided by operating activities, net cash used in investing activities, and net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012:

	Three mon				
(in millions)	Septem	ber 30,	2013-2012		
	2013	\$ Change	% Change		
Cash Flow					
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (45.0)	\$ 343.8	\$ (388.8)	(113%)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(465.7)	(375.5)	(90.2)	(24%)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(89.5)	(102.9)	13.4	13%	

30

Our capital management philosophy is founded on the principals of maintaining a solid, sustainable financial foundation that will allow us to take advantage of strategic opportunities, while maintaining a more efficient balance sheet. As we previously announced, we plan to target a liquidity buffer of \$2.25 billion, comprised of approximately one-third cash and two-thirds committed credit lines, and we expect to increase our long-term debt levels. We have entered into forward-starting interest rate swaps in anticipation of the future issuance of debt. The total notional value of these swaps is \$1.5 billion, with \$1.25 billion related to ten-year term debt and \$250 million related to thirty-year term debt. These swap contracts will be settled in November 2013, or upon the earlier issuance of debt.

All of our cash and cash equivalents are diversified in highly rated investment vehicles. Approximately \$1.9 billion of cash and cash equivalents are held by non-U.S. subsidiaries and are not subject to significant foreign currency exposures as the majority are held in investments denominated in U.S. dollars, as of September 30, 2013. The majority of our funds is not subject to significant foreign currency exposures, as the bulk of these funds is held in U.S. denominated investments. These funds may create foreign currency transaction gains or losses, however, depending on the functional currency of the entity holding the cash. In addition, there are no significant restrictions that would preclude us from bringing these funds back to the U.S.; however, there would be an income tax expense impact on remitting approximately \$0.7 billion of cash associated with certain undistributed earnings, that are part of the permanently reinvested earnings discussed in Note 13 to our Consolidated Financial Statements in our 10-K Report.

#### Operating Activities

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, net cash used in operating activities was \$45.0 million compared to net cash provided by operating activities of \$343.8 million for the three months ended 2012. During the three months ended September 30, 2013, net cash used in operating activities was driven by the effects of changes in working capital, including decreases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and an increase in other current and noncurrent assets, partially offset by net earnings. Higher working capital levels during the quarter, as a result of the late fall application season in North America, are expected to partially reverse in the fourth quarter.

The change in accounts payable was primarily due to a decline in the cost of raw material purchases in our Phosphates segment, as discussed further in the Phosphates Net Sales and Gross Margin section, combined with a decrease in payables for construction in progress for the three months ended September 30, 2013. The change in accrued liabilities also contributed to the change in working capital as we shipped product against our customer prepayments in Brazil, which were high at June 1, 2013.

The increase in other current assets is primarily due to an increase in our final price deferred product which is product shipped to customers not yet priced. There was also a non-cash impact related to the reclassification of balances from receivables and inventories to assets held for sale, which is included in other current assets.

### **Investing Activities**

Net cash used in investing activities was \$465.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$375.5 million for the same period a year ago. Investing activities in the current year were primarily composed of capital expenditures of \$332.5 million in the three months ended September 30, 2013, of which \$87.0 million related to our Potash expansion projects. We also contributed \$134.5 million to our investment in the Northern Promise Joint Venture during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

#### Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2013, was \$89.5 million, compared to \$102.9 million for the same period in the prior year. Cash used in financing activities primarily

31

consisted of our quarterly dividend of \$0.25 per share, which resulted in dividend payments of \$106.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013.

#### Debt Instruments, Guarantees and Related Covenants

See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 10-K Report for additional information relating to our financing arrangements.

#### Financial Assurance Requirements

In addition to various operational and environmental regulations related to our Phosphates segment, we are subject to financial assurance requirements. In various jurisdictions in which we operate, particularly Florida and Louisiana, we are required to pass a financial strength test or provide credit support, typically in the form of surety bonds, letters of credit or certificates of deposit. Further information regarding financial assurance requirements is included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition in our 10-K Report and under EPA RCRA Initiative in Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Obligations

Information regarding off-balance sheet arrangements and obligations is included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations and Financial Condition in our 10-K Report.

#### **Contingencies**

Information regarding contingencies is hereby incorporated by reference to Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

### **Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information**

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, appearing in this report constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include, among other things, statements about our expectations, beliefs, intentions or strategies for the future, including statements about the Cargill Transaction or about the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition or the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements and their nature, impact and benefits, statements concerning our future operations, financial condition and prospects, statements regarding our expectations for capital expenditures, statements concerning our level of indebtedness and other information, and any statements of assumptions regarding any of the foregoing. In particular, forward-looking statements may include words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, potential, predict, project or should.

These statements involve certain risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from expectations as of the date of this filing.

Factors that could cause reported results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

business and economic conditions and governmental policies affecting the agricultural industry where we or our customers operate, including price and demand volatility resulting from periodic imbalances of supply and demand;

changes in farmers application rates for crop nutrients;

changes in the operation of world phosphate or potash markets, including continuing consolidation in the crop nutrient industry, particularly if we do not participate in the consolidation;

32

pressure on prices realized by us for our products;

the expansion or contraction of production capacity or selling efforts by competitors or new entrants in the industries in which we operate, including the effects of test runs by members of Canpotex to prove the production capacity of potash expansion projects;

the ability of the Northern Promise Joint Venture to obtain project financing in acceptable amounts and upon acceptable terms, the future success of current plans for the joint venture and any future changes in those plans;

build-up of inventories in the distribution channels for our products that can adversely affect our sales volumes and selling prices;

seasonality in our business that results in the need to carry significant amounts of inventory and seasonal peaks in working capital requirements, and may result in excess inventory or product shortages;

changes in the costs, or constraints on supplies, of raw materials or energy used in manufacturing our products, or in the costs or availability of transportation for our products;

rapid drops in the prices for our products can require us to write down our inventories to the lower of cost or market;

the effects on our customers of holding high cost inventories of crop nutrients in periods of rapidly declining market prices for crop nutrients:

the lag in realizing the benefit of falling market prices for the raw materials we use to produce our products that can occur while we consume raw materials that we purchased or committed to purchase in the past at higher prices;

customer expectations about future trends in the selling prices and availability of our products and in farmer economics;

disruptions to existing transportation or terminaling facilities, including those of export associations or joint ventures in which we participate;

shortages of railcars, barges or ships for carrying our products and raw materials;

the effects of and change in trade, monetary, environmental, tax and fiscal policies, laws and regulations;

foreign exchange rates and fluctuations in those rates;

tax regulations, currency exchange controls and other restrictions that may affect our ability to optimize the use of our liquidity;

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

other risks associated with our international operations, including any potential adverse effects in the event of active protests against natural resource companies in Peru;

adverse weather conditions affecting our operations, including the impact of potential hurricanes, excess rainfall or drought;

difficulties or delays in receiving, challenges to, increased costs of obtaining or satisfying conditions of, or revocation or withdrawal of required governmental and regulatory approvals including permitting activities;

changes in the environmental and other governmental regulation that applies to our operations, including the possibility of further federal or state legislation or regulatory action affecting greenhouse gas emissions or of restrictions or liabilities related to elevated levels of naturally-occurring radiation that arise from disturbing the ground in the course of mining activities or possible efforts to reduce the flow of nutrients into the Gulf of Mexico or the Mississippi River Basin;

33

the potential costs and effects of implementing federal or state water quality standards for the discharge of nitrogen and/or phosphorus into Florida waterways;

the financial resources of our competitors, including state-owned and government-subsidized entities in other countries;

the possibility of defaults by our customers on trade credit that we extend to them or on indebtedness that they incur to purchase our products and that we guarantee, particularly when we are exiting our business operations or locations that produced or sold the products to that customer;

any significant reduction in customers liquidity or access to credit that they need to purchase our products;

rates of return on, and the investment risks associated with, our cash balances;

the effectiveness of our risk management strategy;

the effectiveness of the processes we put in place to manage our significant strategic priorities, including the expansion of our Potash business and our investment in the Northern Promise Joint Venture;

actual costs of various items differing from management s current estimates, including, among others, asset retirement, environmental remediation, reclamation or other environmental obligations, Canadian resource taxes and royalties, or the liabilities we are assuming in the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition;

the costs and effects of legal and administrative proceedings and regulatory matters affecting us, including environmental, tax or administrative proceedings, complaints that our operations are adversely impacting nearby farms, businesses, other property uses or properties, settlements thereof and actions taken by courts with respect to approvals of settlements, resolution of global tax audit activity, and other further developments in legal proceedings and regulatory matters;

the success of our efforts to attract and retain highly qualified and motivated employees;

strikes, labor stoppages or slowdowns by our work force or increased costs resulting from unsuccessful labor contract negotiations;

brine inflows at our Esterhazy, Saskatchewan potash mine as well as potential inflows at our other shaft mines;

accidents involving our operations, including potential fires, explosions, seismic events or releases of hazardous or volatile chemicals;

terrorism or other malicious intentional acts, including cybersecurity risks such as attempts to gain unauthorized access to, or disable, our information technology systems, or our costs of addressing malicious intentional acts;

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

other disruptions of operations at any of our key production or distribution facilities or those of export associations or joint ventures in which we participate, particularly when they are operating at high operating rates;

changes in antitrust and competition laws or their enforcement;

actions by the holders of controlling equity interests in businesses in which we hold a noncontrolling interest;

changes in our relationships with other members of export associations and joint ventures in which we participate or their or our exit from participation in such export associations and joint ventures, and other changes in our commercial arrangements with unrelated third parties;

the adequacy of our property, business interruption and casualty insurance policies to cover potential hazards and risks incident to our business, and our willingness and ability to maintain current levels of insurance coverage as a result of market conditions, our loss experience and other factors;

34

restrictions on our ability to execute certain actions and potential liabilities imposed on us by the agreements relating to the Cargill Transaction;

risks and uncertainties arising from the possibility that the closing of the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition may be delayed or may not occur, including delays arising from any inability to obtain governmental approvals of the transaction on the proposed terms and schedule and the ability to satisfy other closing conditions;

difficulties with realization of the benefits of the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition or the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements, including the risks that: the acquired assets may not be integrated successfully; the anticipated cost or capital expenditure savings from the transactions may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; regulatory agencies might not take, or might delay, actions with respect to permitting or regulatory enforcement matters that are necessary for us to fully realize the benefits of the transactions including replacement of CF s escrowed financial assurance funds; or the price of natural gas will rise or the market price for ammonia will fall to a level at which the natural gas based pricing under one of the long term CF Ammonia Supply Agreements becomes disadvantageous to us; and

other risk factors reported from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission reports.

Material uncertainties and other factors known to us are discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our 10-K Report and Item 1A of Part II of this report.

We base our forward-looking statements on information currently available to us, and we undertake no obligation to update or revise any of these statements, whether as a result of changes in underlying factors, new information, future events or other developments.

35

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to the impact of fluctuations in the relative value of currencies, the impact on interest rates, fluctuations in the purchase price of natural gas, ammonia and sulfur consumed in operations, and changes in freight costs as well as changes in the market value of our financial instruments. We periodically enter into derivatives in order to mitigate our foreign currency risks, interest rate risks and the effects of changing commodity prices and freight prices, but not for speculative purposes. See Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 10-K Report and Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Contracts

As of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, the fair value of our major foreign currency exchange contracts were (\$7.6) million and (\$28.3) million, respectively. The table below provides information about Mosaic s significant foreign exchange derivatives.

	As of September 30, 2013		13	As of May 31, 2013		
	Expected Maturity Date Years ending		Fair	Expected Maturity Date Year ending May 31,	Fair	
(in millions US\$)	2013	2014	Value	2014	Value	
Foreign Currency Exchange Forwards			Φ (4.5)		Φ (20.5)	
Canadian Dollar			\$ (4.5)	<b>70.4</b>	\$ (29.5)	
Notional (million US\$) long				58.4		
Weighted Average Rate Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar	Φ 2165	Φ 412.7		1.0276		
Notional (million US\$) short	\$ 316.5	\$ 413.7		\$ 895.0		
Weighted Average Rate Canadian dollar to U.S. dollar	1.0111	1.0393		1.0056		
Foreign Currency Exchange Non-Deliverable Forwards			Φ (5.0)		Φ 2.2	
Brazilian Real	Φ 57.7		\$ (5.9)	Ф 172.1	\$ 3.2	
Notional (million US\$) long	\$ 57.7			\$ 173.1		
Weighted Average Rate Brazilian real to U.S. dollar	2.3726	Φ 240		2.0391		
Notional (million US\$) short	\$ 27.5	\$ 34.0		\$ 149.8		
Weighted Average Rate Brazilian real to U.S. dollar	2.1787	2.2052	Φ 2.0	2.0848	Φ (2.2)	
Indian Rupee	Φ 00.5	Φ 5.5	\$ 2.8	<b>4</b> 121.0	\$ (2.3)	
Notional (million US\$) long	\$ 80.5	\$ 5.5		\$ 131.9		
Weighted Average Rate Indian rupee to U.S. dollar	60.2790	66.9082		57.3234		
Foreign Currency Exchange Futures					Φ 0.2	
Brazilian Real				<b>d</b> 160	\$ 0.3	
Notional (million US\$) long				\$ 16.0		
Weighted Average Rate Brazilian real to U.S. dollar				2.0849		
Total Fair Value			\$ (7.6)		\$ (28.3)	

Further information regarding foreign currency exchange rates and derivatives is included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our 10-K Report and Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

#### **Commodities**

As of September 30, 2013 and May 31, 2013, the fair value of our natural gas commodities contracts were (\$6.6) million and (\$5.0) million, respectively.

The table below provides information about our natural gas derivatives which are used to manage the risk related to significant price changes in natural gas.

		As of September 30, 2013  Expected Maturity Date Years ending December 31,				As of May 31, 2013 Expected Maturity Date Years ending May 31,					
(in millions)	2013 2014 2015 Fair Value				· Value	2014	2015	Fair Value			
Natural Gas Swaps											
Notional (million MMBtu) long	3.0		6.6		1.0	\$	(6.6)	11.7	3.5	\$	(5.0)
Weighted Average Rate (US\$/MMBtu)	\$ 4.28	\$	4.09	\$	3.95			\$ 4.26	\$ 3.79		
Total Fair Value						\$	(6.6)			\$	(5.0)

Further information regarding commodities and derivatives is included in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our 10-K Report and Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report.

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our filings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer have concluded, based on such evaluations, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose for which they were designed as of the end of such period.

#### (b) Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, have evaluated any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, did not identify any such change during the three months ended September 30, 2013.

38

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We have included information about legal and environmental proceedings in Note 10 to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report. This information is incorporated herein by reference.

We are also subject to the following legal and environmental proceedings in addition to those described in Note 10 of our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this report:

Water Quality Regulations for Nutrient Discharges in Florida. On December 7, 2010, we filed a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Pensacola Division, against the EPA challenging a rule adopted by the EPA that set numeric water quality standards (the NNC Rule) for nitrogen and/or phosphorus in Florida lakes and streams. Our lawsuit was subsequently transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Tallahassee Division (the Tallahassee District Court), for consolidation with a number of lawsuits brought by other parties challenging the NNC Rule. The NNC Rule set criteria that would require drastic reductions in the levels of nutrients discharged into Florida lakes and streams, and would have required us and others to significantly limit discharges of these nutrients in Florida beginning in March 2012. Our lawsuit asserted, among other matters, that the criteria set by the EPA did not comport with the requirements of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act or the Administrative Procedure Act, and sought a declaration that the NNC Rule is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion and not in accordance with law, and vacating the NNC Rule and remanding it for further rulemaking proceedings consistent with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act and its implementing regulations.

In February 2012, the Tallahassee District Court invalidated the NNC Rule in part and upheld it in part, and remanded the invalid parts of the rule to the EPA for reconsideration and reproposal. The Tallahassee District Court subsequently ordered that the effective date of the parts of the NNC Rule that the court had upheld and any parts re-proposed to comply with the court sorder be postponed until January 2013. Although we have not appealed, several other parties have appealed certain of the Tallahassee District Court sortings.

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection (the *FDEP*) has adopted state rules that will, if they ultimately become effective, supplant the requirements of the NNC Rule and mitigate some of the potential adverse effects of the NNC Rule. In June 2012, the FDEP rule was upheld by a state administrative law judge in an administrative proceeding challenging the rule brought by certain nongovernmental organizations and the FDEP rule was submitted to the EPA for approval. In July 2012, the nongovernmental organizations appealed the state administrative law judge s decision upholding the FDEP rule to the Florida First District Court of Appeal. In February 2013, the Florida First District Court of Appeal upheld the administrative law judge s decision.

In November 2012, the EPA approved the FDEP rule, and also proposed two rules that would establish new federal nutrient criteria for (i) streams and unimpaired lakes, and (ii) coastal waters, certain estuaries not covered in the FDEP rule and flowing waters in South Florida. These proposed rules will only go into effect if the EPA and the State of Florida fail to satisfy all of the conditions necessary for the FDEP rule to become effective. As of October 2013, the EPA has modified its 2009 Necessity Determination finding that numeric nutrient criteria for certain waters are no longer necessary, has approved the FDEP rule in its entirety and has approved the estuary criteria submitted by FDEP. Florida has enacted legislation implementing parts of its rules, and has adopted an implementation document as a rule to clarify certain issues pertaining to the application of its stream criteria. The only remaining steps are for the Tallahassee District Court to approve the EPA s motion to modify the terms of the 2010 consent decree with the plaintiffs that established the terms and schedule for rulemaking on numeric nutrient criteria, and to withdraw the EPA-established criteria for lakes and springs that have already taken effect.

Subject to further litigation or rulemaking developments, we expect that compliance with the requirements of nutrient criteria rules could adversely affect our Florida Phosphate operations, require significant capital expenditures and substantially increase our annual operating expenses.

Nutrient Discharges into the Gulf of Mexico and Mississippi River Basin. On March 13, 2012, the Gulf Restoration Network, the Missouri Coalition for the Environment, the Iowa Environmental Council, the Tennessee Clean Water Network, the Minnesota Center for Environmental Advocacy, Sierra Club, the Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., the Prairie Rivers Network, the Kentucky Waterways Alliance, the Environmental Law & Policy Center and the Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. brought a lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (the Louisiana District Court) against the EPA, seeking to require it to establish numeric nutrient criteria for nitrogen and phosphorous in the Mississippi River basin. In July 2011, the EPA had denied the plaintiffs July 2008 petition seeking such standards. On May 30, 2012, the Louisiana District Court granted our motion to intervene in this lawsuit.

On September 20, 2013, the Louisiana District Court issued a decision in this matter, requiring the EPA to respond directly to the plaintiffs July 2008 petition within 180 days but denying the plaintiffs motion to limit the factors that the EPA may consider and finding that nothing in the Clean Water Act precludes the EPA from considering the factors it cited in its July 2011 denial of the plaintiffs July 2008 petition.

We intend to defend vigorously the EPA s decision not to establish numeric nutrient criteria for nitrogen and phosphorous in the Mississippi River basin and the Gulf of Mexico. In the event that the EPA were to adopt such a rule, we cannot predict what its requirements would be or the effects it would have on us or our customers.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Important risk factors that apply to us are outlined in Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2013 (the 10-K Report ).

We believe that the risk factors in Item 1A of the 10-K Report should be expanded to include the following matters related to the proposed CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition and the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements:

We may fail to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition and the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements within the anticipated time frame or at all.

The success of the proposed CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition and the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining CF s phosphate mining and production operations with our operations in Central Florida, our ability to avoid or delay future capital spending as a result of the transactions and our ability to realize cost savings from natural gas based pricing under one of the long term CF Ammonia Supply Agreements. Our ability to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings is subject to certain risks including:

Our ability to successfully consolidate both companies phosphate mining, manufacturing, purchasing, transportation and logistics activities in Central Florida and to eliminate duplicative overhead and other costs;

Our ability to avoid certain planned capital expenditures necessary for future mines through utilization of some of the mining infrastructure assets we would acquire from CF and to avoid the capital expenditures for our own new ammonia plant as a result of the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements;

Whether the combined operations will perform as expected;

# Edgar Filing: MOSAIC CO - Form 10-Q

Whether CF successfully performs its obligations under the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements over the life of its commitment;

40

Whether the integration of operations in Central Florida takes longer than anticipated or involves higher than projected integration costs;

Whether the integration process disrupts our on-going operations in Central Florida or diverts the attention of our management from our current operations; and

The cooperation of federal, state and local governmental agencies on matters relating to the acquisition, including permitting, replacement of CF s \$200 million of escrowed financial assurance earmarked for closure and long-term care of CF s phosphogypsum stacks in Florida, and other regulatory enforcement matters.

If we are not able to successfully combine CF s operations with our operations within the anticipated time frame, or at all, the anticipated cost savings and other benefits of the proposed acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected, and the combined operations may not perform as expected.

In addition, we use ammonia as a raw material in the production of our concentrated phosphate products. Under one of the CF Ammonia Supply Agreements, we have agreed to purchase 545,000 to 725,000 tonnes of ammonia per year for up to 15 years at a price to be determined by a formula based on the prevailing price of U.S. natural gas. This agreement is expected to commence prior to January 1, 2017, whether or not the acquisition closes. If the price of natural gas rises or the market price for ammonia falls outside of the range we currently anticipate, we may not fully realize the cost benefit we anticipate from the natural gas based pricing under this agreement, or the cost of our ammonia under this agreement could be a competitive disadvantage.

The CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition is subject to review under antitrust laws and requires governmental approvals which could jeopardize completion of the acquisition or impose conditions on the acquisition that could have a material adverse effect on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the acquisition.

Completion of the acquisition is conditioned upon the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 as well as obtaining certain required governmental authorizations. Although we and CF have agreed to use our commercially reasonable efforts, subject to certain limitations, to make certain governmental filings or obtain the required governmental authorizations, as the case may be, there can be no assurance that the relevant waiting period will expire or authorizations will be obtained, and we and CF are not obligated to accept any and all conditions imposed by governmental authorities in order to obtain such authorizations. In addition, the governmental authorities with or from which these authorizations are required have broad discretion in administering the governing regulations. As a condition to authorization of the acquisition, these governmental authorities may impose requirements, limitations or costs or require divestitures or place restrictions on our conduct of the business after completion of the acquisition. Our acceptance of any such divestiture requests or other restrictions on operations could diminish the benefits of the acquisition and result in additional transaction costs, loss of revenue or other effects associated with restrictions on business operations.

In addition, at any time before or after completion of the acquisition, the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice or the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, any state or certain foreign governments could take various actions under antitrust, competition or similar laws, including seeking to enjoin the completion of the acquisition or to rescind the acquisition. Private parties also may seek to take legal action under antitrust, competition or similar laws under certain circumstances. A challenge to the acquisition on antitrust, competition or similar grounds may be made by any of these governmental or private parties and, if such a challenge is made, it is possible that we and CF will not prevail.

Our benefits from the proposed CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition depend on the accuracy of our estimates of the liabilities and obligations we are assuming in the transaction.

We are assuming various liabilities and obligations of CF as part of the CF Phosphate Assets Acquisition. The benefits we expect from the acquisition depend on our estimates of these liabilities and obligations. To the extent we have underestimated these liabilities and obligations, we might not fully realize the benefits we are expecting from the acquisition.

In addition, as a result of a competitor s July 30, 2013 announcement that it would stop selling potash through Belarusian Potash Company, we believe that the risk factors in Item 1A of Form 10-K that describe risks related to disruptions of key distribution facilities or transportation should be revised to read as follows:

We conduct our operations primarily through a limited number of key production and distribution facilities. Any disruption at one of these facilities could have a material adverse impact on our business. The risk of material disruption increases when demand for our products results in high operating rates at our facilities.

We conduct our operations through a limited number of key production and distribution facilities. These facilities include our phosphate mines and concentrates plants; our potash mines; and the ports and other distribution facilities through which we, and the export associations and joint ventures in which we participate, conduct our respective businesses, as well as other commercial arrangements with unrelated third parties. Any disruption of operations at one of these facilities has the possibility of significantly affecting our production or our ability to distribute our products. Operating these facilities at high rates during periods of high demand for our products increases the risk of mechanical or structural failures, decreases the time available for routine maintenance and increases the impact on our operating results from any disruption. A disruption of operations at one of our key facilities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Examples of the types of events that could result in a disruption at one of these facilities include: adverse weather; strikes or other work stoppages; deliberate, malicious acts; political and economic instability and other risks associated with our international operations; changes in permitting, financial assurance or other environmental, health and safety laws or other changes in the regulatory environment in which we operate; legal and regulatory proceedings; our relationships with other members of export associations and joint ventures in which we participate and their or our exit from participation in such export associations or joint ventures; other changes in our commercial arrangements with unrelated third parties; accidents occurring in the course of operating activities; and other factors. We discuss a number of these examples in more detail throughout the Risk Factors section in Item 1A of the 10-K Report.

In the event of a disruption to existing terminaling facilities or transportation for our products or raw materials, alternative terminaling facilities or transportation might not be available on a timely basis or have sufficient capacity to fully serve all of our customers or facilities.

In the event of a disruption of existing terminaling facilities or transportation for our products or raw materials, alternative terminaling facilities or transportation might not be available on a timely basis or have sufficient capacity to fully serve all of our customers or facilities.

Terminaling facilities and transportation include the ports and other distribution facilities through which we, and the export associations and joint ventures in which we participate, conduct our respective businesses; transportation and related equipment arrangements; and other commercial arrangements with unrelated third parties.

Examples of the types of events that could result in a disruption of terminaling facilities or transportation include: adverse weather; strikes or other work stoppages; deliberate, malicious acts; political and economic

instability and other risks associated with our international operations; changes in permitting, financial assurance or other environmental, health and safety laws or other changes in the regulatory environment in which we operate; legal and regulatory proceedings; our relationships with other members of export associations and joint ventures in which we participate and their or our exit from participation in such export associations and joint ventures; other changes in our commercial arrangements with unrelated third parties; accidents occurring in the course of operating activities; lack of truck, rail, barge or ship transportation; and other factors. We discuss a number of these examples in more detail throughout the Risk Factors section in Item 1A of our 10-K Report.

An extended interruption in delivering of products to our customers or the supply of natural gas, ammonia or sulfur to our production facilities could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Other risk factors that are included in Item 1A of the 10-K Report that relate to some of the key effects that our competitor s announcement may have had on the potash market include those risk factors discussing:

The effects of changes in competitors production or shifts in their marketing focus;

The potential adverse effect on prices and volumes from increases in global supply;

Ongoing efforts by existing competitors and potential new entrants to expand global potash production capacity;

A history of periodic imbalances of supply and demand for our products and the resulting effects on prices, volumes and our results of operations; and

The effects on sales volumes and prices of customer expectations about future crop nutrient prices and availability.

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Pursuant to our employee stock plans relating to the grant of employee stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock unit awards, and other equity-based awards, we have granted and may in the future grant employee stock options to purchase shares of our common stock for which the purchase price may be paid by means of delivery to us by the optionee of shares of our common stock that are already owned by the optionee (at a value equal to market value on the date of the option exercise). During the periods covered by this report, no options to purchase shares of our common stock were exercised for which the purchase price was so paid.

## ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Information concerning mine safety violations or other regulatory matters required by Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 104 of Regulation S-K is included in Exhibit 95 to this report.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Reference is made to the Exhibit Index on page E-1 hereof.

# **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE MOSAIC COMPANY

by: /s/ Anthony T. Brausen
Anthony T. Brausen

Senior Vice President Finance and Chief

Accounting Officer (on behalf of the registrant and as principal accounting officer)

November 5, 2013

44

# **Exhibit Index**

Exhibit No	Description	Incorporated Herein by Reference to	Filed with Electronic Submission
10.iii.a.	Form of Employee Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement under The Mosaic Company 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan, approved July 17, 2013		X
10.iii.b.	Form of Performance Unit Award Agreement under The Mosaic Company 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan, approved July 17, 2013		X
31.1	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(a).		X
31.2	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(a).		X
32.1	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.		X
32.2	Certification Required by Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code.		X
95	Mine Safety Disclosures		X
101	Interactive Data Files		X

E-1