

OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/
Form DEF 14A
March 22, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934
(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

Owens & Minor, Inc.

(Name of registrant as specified in its charter)

(Name of person(s) filing proxy statement, if other than the registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

- (5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

- (1) Amount previously paid:

- (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No:

- (3) Filing party:

- (4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

**Notice of
2017
Annual Meeting
and
Proxy Statement**

**WHETHER OR NOT YOU PRESENTLY PLAN TO ATTEND THE MEETING IN
PERSON, THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS URGES YOU TO VOTE.**

Owens & Minor, Inc.

9120 Lockwood Boulevard

Mechanicsville, Virginia 23116

Table of Contents

9120 Lockwood Boulevard

Mechanicsville, Virginia 23116

(804) 723-7000

March 22, 2017

Dear Shareholders:

It is a pleasure to invite you to our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on Friday, May 5, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. The meeting will be held at The Jefferson Hotel, Empire Room, 101 West Franklin Street, Richmond, Virginia, 23220. Directions to The Jefferson Hotel are on the last page of the proxy statement.

The Notice of 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement describe the items of business for the meeting. In addition to considering these matters, we will review significant accomplishments and events since our last shareholders meeting as well as future opportunities and initiatives we intend to pursue. Our Board of Directors and management team will be there to discuss items of interest and to answer any questions.

The Notice of 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders contains instructions on how to access our proxy materials and our 2016 Annual Report/Form 10-K over the Internet as well as how shareholders can receive paper copies of such documents, if they so desire.

You may vote your shares by the Internet or by telephone or, if you prefer, you may request paper copies of the proxy materials and submit your vote by mail by following the instructions on the proxy card. **We encourage you to vote via the Internet.** Whichever method you choose, your vote is important so please vote as soon as possible. All of us at Owens & Minor appreciate your continued interest and support.

Warm regards,

P. CODY PHIPPS

President & Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents**Proxy Statement**

	Page
Table of Contents	i
<u>Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders</u>	1
<u>About the Meeting</u>	3
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	6
<u>Report of the Governance & Nominating Committee</u>	7
<u>Board Meetings</u>	7
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	9
<u>Director Compensation</u>	11
<u>Director Nominating Process</u>	11
<u>Communications with the Board of Directors</u>	12
<u>Proposal 1: Election Of Directors</u>	12
<u>Nominees for Election</u>	17
<u>Retiring Directors</u>	18
<u>Proposal 2: Approval of Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan</u>	21
<u>Proposal 3: Ratification Of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	21
<u>Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	22
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	24
<u>Stock Ownership Information</u>	24
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	24
<u>Stock Ownership by Management and the Board of Directors</u>	25
<u>Stock Ownership by Certain Shareholders</u>	25
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	26
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	26
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	44
<u>Report of the Compensation & Benefits Committee</u>	45
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	47
<u>Grants of Plan Based Awards Table</u>	48
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End Table</u>	49
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested Table</u>	49
<u>Retirement Plans</u>	50
<u>Pension Benefits Table</u>	51
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan</u>	52
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	56
<u>Proposal 4: Advisory Shareholder Vote to Approve Executive Compensation</u>	56
<u>Certain Relationships and Transactions</u>	56
<u>Shareholder Proposals</u>	58
<u>Other Matters</u>	A-1
<u>Appendix A Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan</u>	

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT

Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote your shares promptly, as instructed in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, by the Internet or by telephone. You may also request a paper proxy card to submit your vote by mail, if you prefer. We encourage you to vote via the Internet.

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Be Held Friday, May 5, 2017

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF OWENS & MINOR, INC.:

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Owens & Minor, Inc. (the Company or Owens & Minor) will be held on Friday, May 5, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. EDT at The Jefferson Hotel, Empire Room, 101 West Franklin Street, Richmond, Virginia, 23220.

The purposes of the meeting are:

1. To elect the 11 directors named in the attached proxy statement, each for a one-year term and until their respective successors are elected and qualified;
2. To approve the proposed Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan;
3. To ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2017;
4. To conduct an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company's named executive officers; and
5. To transact any other business properly before the annual meeting.

Shareholders of record as of March 10, 2017 will be entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

Your attention is directed to the attached proxy statement. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials is being distributed on or about March 22, 2017. This proxy statement, the proxy card and Owens & Minor's 2016 Annual Report/Form10-K are being furnished on the Internet on or about March 22, 2017.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

NICHOLAS J. PACE

Senior Vice President, General Counsel

& Corporate Secretary

Table of Contents

Street Address

9120 Lockwood Boulevard
Mechanicsville, Virginia 23116

Mailing Address

P.O. Box 27626
Richmond, Virginia 23261-7626

PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

to be held on May 5, 2017

ABOUT THE MEETING

What You Are Voting On

Proxies are being solicited by the Board of Directors for purposes of voting on the following proposals and any other business properly brought before the meeting:

Proposal 1: Election of the 11 directors named in this proxy statement, each for a one-year term and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

Proposal 2: Approval of proposed Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan.

Proposal 3: Ratification of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2017.

Proposal 4: Advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

Who is Entitled to Vote

Shareholders of Owens & Minor, Inc. (the "Company" or "Owens & Minor") as of the close of business on March 10, 2017 (the "Record Date") are entitled to vote. Each share of the Company's common stock ("Common Stock") is entitled to one vote with respect to each matter to be voted upon at the meeting. As of March 10, 2017, 61,107,013 shares of Common Stock were issued and outstanding.

How to Vote

You can vote via the Internet, by telephone or by mail.

By Internet. You may vote via the Internet by following the specific instructions on the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. Shareholders who have requested a paper copy of a proxy card by mail may submit proxies over the Internet by following the instructions on the proxy card. **We encourage you to vote via the Internet.** If your shares are held by your bank or broker in street name, please refer to the instruction form that you receive from your bank or broker or contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote via the Internet.

By Telephone. You may vote by telephone by calling the toll-free number on the proxy card and following the instructions. Shareholders will need to have the control number that appears on their notice available when voting. If your shares are held by your bank or broker in street name,

Edgar Filing: OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/ - Form DEF 14A

please refer to the instruction form that you receive from your bank or broker or contact your bank or broker to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

Table of Contents

By Mail. Shareholders who have requested a paper copy of a proxy card by mail may submit proxies by completing, signing and dating the enclosed proxy card and returning it in the postage-paid envelope provided.

However you choose to vote, you may revoke a proxy prior to the meeting by (1) submitting a subsequently dated proxy by any of the methods described above, (2) giving notice in writing to the Corporate Secretary of the Company or (3) voting in person at the meeting (attendance at the meeting will not itself revoke a proxy).

What Happens if You Do Not Make Selections on Your Proxy

If your proxy contains specific voting instructions, those instructions will be followed. However, if you sign and return your proxy card by mail or submit your proxy by telephone or via the Internet without making a selection on one or more proposals, you give authority to the individuals designated on the proxy card to vote on the proposal(s) for which you have not made specific selections or given instructions and any other matter that may arise at the meeting. If no specific selection is made or instructions given, it is intended that all proxies that are signed and returned or submitted via telephone or Internet will be voted FOR the election of all nominees for director, FOR approval of the 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan, FOR the ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm in 2017 and FOR the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers (together, the NEOs, and, individually, an NEO).

Whether Your Shares Will be Voted if You Don't Provide Your Proxy

Whether your shares will be voted if you do not provide your proxy depends on how your ownership of shares of Common Stock is registered. If you own your shares as a registered holder, which means that your shares of Common Stock are registered in your name, and you do not provide your proxy, your shares will not be represented at the meeting, will not count toward the quorum requirement, which is explained below, and will not be voted.

If you own your shares of Common Stock in street name, your shares may be voted even if you do not provide your broker with voting instructions. Brokers have the authority under New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) rules to vote shares for which their beneficial owner customers do not provide voting instructions on certain routine matters. When a proposal is not a routine matter and the brokerage firm has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares with respect to that proposal, the brokerage firm cannot vote the shares on that proposal. This is called a broker non-vote.

The Company believes that only the proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2017 is a routine matter for which brokerage firms will have discretionary voting power if you do not give voting instructions with respect to this proposal. The proposal to elect directors, the proposal to approve the 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan, and the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs, are non-routine matters for which brokerage firms will not have discretionary voting power and for which specific voting instructions from their customers are required. As a result, brokerage firms will not be allowed to vote on these non-routine matters on behalf of their customers if the customers do not return specific voting instructions.

What Constitutes a Quorum

A majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock present or represented by proxy constitutes a quorum. A quorum is required to conduct the annual meeting. If you vote your proxy, you will be considered part of the quorum. Abstentions and shares held by brokers or banks in street name (broker shares) that are voted on any matter are included in the quorum. Broker shares that are not voted on any matter will not be included in determining whether a quorum is present.

Table of Contents

The Vote Required to Approve Each Item

Election of Directors. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting is required for the election of each director. A majority of votes cast means that the number of votes cast FOR a nominee's election must exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST that nominee's election. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast and will have no effect on the results of this vote.

Approval of 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan. The approval of the 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on this proposal. Abstentions will be considered as votes cast under the rules of the NYSE and will have the effect of a vote against this proposal for purposes of the rules of the NYSE. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal and will have no effect on the results of this vote.

Ratification of Appointment of KPMG LLP. The appointment of KPMG LLP will be ratified if the votes cast FOR this proposal exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST this proposal. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal and will have no effect on the results of this vote. There should be no broker non-votes because this is considered a routine matter under the rules of the NYSE.

Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation. The compensation of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table will be approved on an advisory basis if the votes cast FOR this proposal exceed the number of votes cast AGAINST this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal and will have no effect on the results of this vote.

How to Obtain a Paper Copy of the Proxy Materials

Shareholders will find instructions about how to obtain a paper copy of the proxy materials on the notice they received in the mail about the Internet availability of proxy materials.

What it Means if You Get More Than One Notice about the Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Your shares are probably registered differently or are held in more than one account. Please vote all proxies to ensure that all your shares are voted. Also, please have all of your accounts registered in the same name and address. You may do this by contacting our transfer agent, Computershare, Inc., at 1-866-252-0358.

Costs of Soliciting Proxies

Owens & Minor will pay all costs of this proxy solicitation. The Company has retained Georgeson, LLC to aid in the distribution and solicitation of proxies for approximately \$6,000 plus expenses. The Company will reimburse brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their expenses in forwarding proxy and solicitation materials.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

General. The Company is managed under the direction of the Board of Directors, which has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to set forth certain corporate governance practices. Each year, we review our corporate governance policies and practices relative to applicable laws, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules and regulations promulgated thereunder or adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the NYSE, the exchange on which the Common Stock is listed, as well as the policies and practices recommended by groups and authorities active in corporate governance.

Corporate Governance Materials. The Company's Bylaws, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Honor and the charters of the Audit, Compensation & Benefits, and Governance & Nominating Committees are

Table of Contents

available on our website at <http://www.owens-minor.com> under Corporate Governance in the Investor Relations tab. The information available on, or that can be accessed through, our website is not a part of, or incorporated by reference into, this proxy statement.

Code of Honor. The Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Honor that is applicable to all employees of the Company, including the principal executive officer, the principal financial officer and the principal accounting officer, as well as the members of the Board of Directors. We intend to post any amendments to or waivers from our Code of Honor (to the extent applicable to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, any other executive officer or any director) on our website <http://www.owens-minor.com> under Corporate Governance in the Investor Relations tab.

Director Independence. The Board of Directors has determined that the following Board members and/or nominees are independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines: Stuart M. Essig, John W. Gerdelman, Barbara B. Hill, Lemuel E. Lewis, Martha H. Marsh, Eddie N. Moore, Jr., James E. Rogers, David S. Simmons, Robert C. Sledd and Anne Marie Whittemore. To assist it in making determinations of independence, the Board has adopted categorical standards which are included in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines available on our website at <http://www.owens-minor.com> under Corporate Governance in the Investor Relations tab. The Board has determined that all directors and/or nominees identified as independent in this proxy statement meet these standards.

Structure and Leadership of the Board. The Board of Directors does not have a firm policy with respect to the separation of the offices of Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer. Instead, the Board believes that it is in the best interests of the Company for this determination to be made as part of the succession planning process when it selects a new Chief Executive Officer or when a Chairman ceases his or her service on the Board. In 2015, Mr. Phipps was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer while Craig R. Smith, our former Chief Executive Officer, continued to serve as Executive Chairman and then, effective August 1, 2015, as non-executive Chairman of the Board. At the time of Mr. Phipps' appointment, the Board believed that maintaining Mr. Smith as Chairman of the Board was in the best interests of the Company because it preserved continuity in the Board's performance of its duties, assisted in the transition of Mr. Phipps to the Chief Executive Officer position and management of the succession plan and provided a strong source of institutional knowledge and history of operations of the Company. On February 9, 2017, Mr. Smith notified the Board that he would retire and not stand for reelection to our Board. In connection therewith, the Board evaluated the separation of the offices of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. As part of that evaluation, the Board determined that, based on Mr. Phipps' 18-plus months of Board service and his performance and leadership as the Chief Executive Officer through a period of strategic transformation and repositioning of the Company, it would be in the best interest of the Company to have Mr. Phipps serve as Chairman. As previously announced, the Board intends to elect Mr. Phipps as Chairman at the Board meeting held in connection with the annual meeting.

The Board believes that the combination of the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer roles also currently serves the best interests of the Company for the following reasons:

this structure results in the most effective leadership to help the Board discharge its oversight duties during a period of transformation and repositioning of the Company;

the Chief Executive Officer is well situated to identify the key risks facing our organization and the success of its transformation and repositioning, and ensure that these risks are brought to the attention of the Board; and

having one leader serving as both the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides decisive leadership while reducing the likelihood of confusion about leadership roles and duplication of efforts, and allows the Company to speak with a unified voice. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines also provide for the annual election of an independent lead director by our non-management directors to, among other things, preside at Board meetings in the absence of the Chairman,

Table of Contents

preside at meetings of the independent directors, serve as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and advise the Chairman with respect to agendas and information requirements relating to the Board and committee meetings. The Board believes that the independent lead director enhances communications between Board members (including the Chairman) and committees as well as the overall functioning of the Board's leadership.

Majority Vote Requirement for Election of Directors. The Company's Bylaws and Corporate Governance Guidelines provide for the election of directors by majority vote in uncontested elections. Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, with respect to director nominations, the Board will only nominate those incumbent directors who submit irrevocable resignations effective upon the failure of such director nominee to receive the required vote for re-election and Board's acceptance of such resignation. In the event an incumbent director fails to receive a majority of the votes cast, the Governance & Nominating Committee (or such other committee designated by the Board) will make a recommendation to the Board as to whether to accept or reject the resignation. The Board must act on the resignation, taking into account the Governance & Nominating Committee's recommendation, and publicly disclose its decision regarding the resignation, including, if applicable, its rationale for rejecting a resignation, in a press release and an appropriate disclosure with the SEC within 90 days following certification of the election results. The Governance & Nominating Committee in making its recommendation, and the Board in making its decision, may each consider any factors or other information that it considers appropriate and relevant.

The Board's Role in Risk Oversight. The Board of Directors currently administers its risk oversight function through the full Board and not through a separate risk committee of the Board. However, each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation & Benefits Committee and the Governance & Nominating Committee oversees the specific financial, compensation and governance risks, respectively, relating to its functions and responsibilities and reports on these matters to the full Board. The Board performs its risk oversight function through regular reporting by the Board committees as well as the officers and management-level personnel who supervise the day-to-day risk management activities of the Company, including an enterprise risk steering committee comprised of senior leaders of the Company.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Programs. With respect to our overall compensation programs, Company management reviews our compensation policies and practices each year to determine whether they create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. As part of this assessment and with assistance and guidance provided by independent compensation consultant Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (Semler Brossy), we reviewed the design and features of our compensation and benefits programs and policies, potential risks that could be created by these programs and features of our programs and corporate governance policies that help to mitigate risk. Semler Brossy reviewed and discussed the results of the assessment with the Compensation & Benefits Committee. Based on this review and assessment, we believe that our compensation policies and practices do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Annual Performance Evaluation. The Board conducts an annual self-evaluation to determine whether it and its committees are functioning effectively. The Governance & Nominating Committee receives comments from all directors and reports annually to the Board with an assessment of the Board's performance. The assessment focuses on the Board's contribution to the Company and specifically focuses on areas in which the Board or management believes that the Board can improve.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF THE GOVERNANCE & NOMINATING COMMITTEE

The Governance & Nominating Committee is composed of five directors, all of whom are independent. The Governance & Nominating Committee met four times during 2016. During 2016, the Committee reviewed and recommended for Board approval several changes in the executive management team as presented by the Chief Executive Officer and devoted efforts to management succession planning, including the review and approval of a CEO emergency replacement plan. During 2016, the Governance & Nominating Committee also addressed several corporate governance matters, including appropriate compensation for Mr. Smith in his capacity as a non-executive chairman of the Board, review of the performance of the chief executive officer, review of its charter and the Corporate Governance Guidelines, and implementation of the annual Board assessment process. In anticipation of the possible retirement of several directors over the next few years due to our retirement age policy, the Committee devoted considerable time and attention to director succession planning, including the Company's engagement of an outside consulting firm to assist in the identification and strategic recruitment of directors possessing the qualities, experience and expertise that will contribute to the leadership and success of the Company in the rapidly changing healthcare industry.

THE GOVERNANCE & NOMINATING

COMMITTEE

Martha H. Marsh, Chairman

Lemuel E. Lewis

Eddie N. Moore, Jr.

James E. Rogers

Robert C. Sledd

Table of Contents

BOARD MEETINGS

The Board of Directors held six meetings during 2016. All directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the Board and committees on which they served. The Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that, absent unusual or unforeseen circumstances, directors are expected to attend each annual meeting of shareholders. All directors attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, the independent directors meet in executive session after each regularly scheduled Board meeting. These meetings are chaired by our lead director. Anne Marie Whittemore was elected in 2016 to serve as lead director and preside over these executive sessions. As lead director, Ms. Whittemore is also invited to participate in meetings of all Board committees but is permitted to vote only in meetings of committees of which she is a member. Shareholders and other interested parties may contact the lead director by following the procedures set forth in "Communications with the Board of Directors" on page 11 of this proxy statement.

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board of Directors currently has the following committees, which the Board established to assist it with its responsibilities:

Audit Committee: Oversees (i) the integrity of the Company's financial statements, (ii) the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (iii) the qualification and independence of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, (iv) the performance of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and internal audit functions and (v) issues involving the Company's ethical and legal compliance responsibilities. The Audit Committee has sole authority to appoint, retain, compensate, evaluate and terminate the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. The Board of Directors has determined that each of Lemuel E. Lewis and Eddie N. Moore, Jr. is an audit committee financial expert, as defined by SEC regulations and that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate under NYSE listing standards. All members of the Audit Committee are independent as such term is defined under the enhanced independence standards for audit committees in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and the rules thereunder as incorporated into the NYSE listing standards and under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Compensation & Benefits Committee: Administers executive compensation programs, policies and practices. Advises the Board on salaries and compensation of the executive officers and makes other studies and recommendations concerning compensation and compensation policies. May delegate authority for day-to-day administration and interpretation of compensation plans to certain senior officers of the Company (other than for matters affecting executive officer compensation and benefits). For further information on this committee's processes and procedures, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" on page 26 of this proxy statement. All members of the Compensation & Benefits Committee are independent within the meaning of the enhanced NYSE listing standards and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Compensation & Benefits Committee met six times during 2016.

Governance & Nominating Committee: Considers and recommends nominees for election as directors and officers and nominees for each Board committee. Reviews and recommends changes to director compensation. Reviews and evaluates the procedures, practices and policies of the Board and its members and leads the Board in its annual self-review. Oversees the governance of the Company, including reviewing and recommending changes to the Corporate Governance Guidelines. Conducts succession planning for senior management. All members of the Governance & Nominating Committee are independent within the meaning of the NYSE listing standards and the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Executive Committee: Exercises limited powers of the Board when the Board is not in session. The Executive Committee did not meet during 2016.

Table of Contents**BOARD COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP**

Director	Compensation &				Governance & Nominating
	Board	Audit	Benefits	Executive	
P. Cody Phipps	X			X	
Stuart M. Essig	X	X			
John W. Gerdelman	X	X			
Barbara B. Hill***	X				
Lemuel E. Lewis	X	X*		X	X
Martha H. Marsh	X		X	X	X*
Eddie N. Moore, Jr.	X	X			X
James E. Rogers	X		X	X	X
David S. Simmons	X		X		
Robert C. Sledd	X		X*	X	X
Craig R. Smith**	X*			X*	
Anne Marie Whittemore	X			X	
No. of meetings in 2016	6	7	6	0	4

*Chairman.

**Mr. Smith notified the Board of Directors on February 9, 2017 that he will retire and not stand for re-election at the annual meeting.

***Ms. Hill was appointed to the Board on February 9, 2017.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

The Governance & Nominating Committee reviews director compensation annually, and it is the responsibility of this committee to recommend to the Board of Directors any changes in director compensation. The Board of Directors makes the final determination with respect to director compensation. The Governance & Nominating Committee has the authority under its charter to retain outside consultants or advisors to assist it in gathering information and making decisions.

The Company uses a combination of cash and equity compensation to attract and retain qualified candidates to serve on its Board of Directors. In setting director compensation, the Company considers the commitment of time directors must make in performing their duties, the level of skills required by the Company of its Board members and the market competitiveness of its director compensation levels. The table below sets forth the schedule of fees paid to non-employee directors for their annual retainer and service in various capacities on Board committees and in Board leadership roles. Employee directors do not receive any additional compensation for serving on the Board or any of its committees.

Schedule of Director Fees

Type of Fee	Cash	Equity
Annual Retainer	\$ 35,000	\$ 100,000(1)
Additional Annual Retainer for Lead Director	35,000	N/A
Additional Retainer for Non-Executive Chairman (per quarter)	37,500(2)	N/A
Additional Annual Retainer for Audit Committee Chair	10,000	N/A
Additional Annual Retainer for Compensation & Benefits Committee Chair	10,000	N/A
Additional Annual Retainer for Governance & Nominating Committee Chair	9,000	N/A
Additional Annual Retainer for Other Committee Chairs	8,000	N/A
Board or Audit Committee Attendance Fee (per meeting)	2,000	N/A
Compensation & Benefits Committee Attendance Fee (per meeting)	1,800	N/A
Other Committee Attendance Fee (per meeting)	1,500	N/A
Board or Committee Telephone Conference Attendance Fee (per meeting, other than Audit Committee)	1,000	N/A
Audit Committee Telephone Conference Attendance Fee (per meeting)	1,200	N/A
Board Strategy Retreat Attendance Fee (annual 2-day meeting)	3,000	N/A

(1) Restricted stock grant with one-year vesting period.

(2) This retainer was approved for the period from May 6, 2016 until May 6, 2017.

Directors may defer the receipt of all or part of their director fees under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts deferred are invested in bookkeeping accounts that measure earnings and losses based on the performance of a particular investment. Directors may elect to defer their fees into the following two subaccounts: (i) an account based upon the price of the Common Stock and (ii) an account based upon the current interest rate of the Company's fixed income fund in its 401(k) plan. Subject to certain restrictions, a director may take cash distributions from a deferred fee account either prior to or following the termination of his or her service as a director.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the actual compensation paid by the Company to non-employee directors during the year ended December 31, 2016.

(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
Name	(\$ (1)	(\$ (1)(2)(4)	(\$ (3)(4)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Stuart M. Essig	60,600	100,000					160,600
John W. Gerdelman	62,400	100,000					162,400
Barbara B. Hill (5)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Lemuel E. Lewis	78,400	100,000					178,400
Martha H. Marsh	75,000	100,000					175,000
Eddie N. Moore, Jr.	68,400	100,000					168,400
James E. Rogers	64,200	100,000					164,200
David S. Simmons	62,000	100,000					162,000
Robert C. Sledd	76,000	100,000					176,000
Craig R. Smith	212,500	100,000					312,500
Anne Marie Whittemore	85,000	100,000					185,000

(1) Includes amounts deferred by the directors under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan.

(2) The amounts included in the Stock Awards column are the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with the FASB ASC Topic 718.

(3) Option Awards were not granted to Directors in 2016.

(4) The Stock Award amount of \$100,000 equated to 2,824 shares of Restricted Stock based on the closing stock price of \$35.41 on May 6, 2016, the date of grant. These shares vest on May 6, 2017.

(5) Ms. Hill was appointed to the Board on February 9, 2017 and therefore did not receive compensation during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Stock Ownership Guidelines for Directors

The Company maintains stock ownership guidelines for its directors which provide that each director shall attain, within five years after his or her service on the Board begins, a level of equity ownership of Common Stock having a value of at least five times the annual cash retainer fee or \$150,000, whichever is higher. Each director who has served on the Board for at least five years has achieved this ownership objective.

DIRECTOR NOMINATING PROCESS

Director Candidate Recommendations and Nominations by Shareholders. The Governance & Nominating Committee charter provides that the Governance & Nominating Committee will consider director candidate recommendations by shareholders. Shareholders should submit any such recommendations to the Governance & Nominating Committee through the method described under Communications with the Board of Directors below. In addition, our Bylaws provide that any shareholder of record entitled to vote for the election of directors at the applicable meeting of shareholders may nominate directors by complying with the notice procedures set forth in the Bylaws and summarized in Shareholder Proposals on page 56 of this proxy statement.

Process for Identifying and Evaluating Director Candidates. The Governance & Nominating Committee evaluates all director candidates in accordance with the director qualification standards and the criteria described in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. These guidelines require the Governance & Nominating Committee on an annual basis to review and evaluate the requisite skills and characteristics of individual Board members and nominees as well as the composition of the Board as a whole. This assessment includes whether the member or candidate is independent and includes considerations of diversity, age, skills and experience in the context of the Board's needs. The goal of the Governance & Nominating Committee is to have a Board whose membership reflects a mix of diverse skill sets, technical expertise, educational and professional backgrounds, industry experiences and public service as well as perspectives of different genders and ethnicities. The Governance & Nominating Committee reviews its annual assessment with the Board each year and, as new member candidates are sought, attempts to maintain and enhance the level of diverse backgrounds and viewpoints of directors constituting the Board. As part of the Board's annual self-assessment process, the Board will consider the effectiveness of its overall composition and structure as well as its performance and functioning.

There are no differences in the manner in which the Governance & Nominating Committee evaluates director candidates based on whether the candidate is recommended by a shareholder. The Governance & Nominating Committee did not receive any nominations from any shareholders for the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Our Bylaws provide that no director nominee can stand for election if, at the time of appointment or election, the nominee is over the age of 72.

COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has approved a process for shareholders and other interested parties to send communications to the Board. Shareholders and other interested parties can send written communications to the Board, any committee of the Board, non-management directors as a group, the lead director or any other individual director at the following address: P.O. Box 2076, Mechanicsville, VA 23116-2076. All communications will be relayed directly to the applicable director(s).

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Eleven directors have been nominated for election to the Board of Directors for a one-year term expiring at the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders or until their respective successors are elected. Each nominee has agreed to serve if elected and qualified. If any nominee is not able to serve, the Board may designate a substitute or reduce the number of directors serving on the Board. Proxies will be voted for the nominees shown below (or if not able to serve, such substitutes as may be designated by the Board). The Board has no reason to believe that any of the nominees will be unable to serve.

Our Bylaws currently provide that the Board of Directors consists of 12 directors and the Board has approved an amendment to our Bylaws to decrease the number of directors to 11 effective upon Mr. Smith's retirement from the Board. The Governance & Nominating Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board of Directors has approved, 11 persons as nominees for election to the Board of Directors. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of directors than the number of nominees named.

Information on each nominee, including the particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led the Board to conclude that he or she should serve as a director of the Company, is set forth below.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION

Stuart M. Essig, 55, has served as Chairman of the Board of Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation since 2012. From 1997 to 2012, he served as Chief Executive Officer of Integra LifeSciences, during which time he transitioned the business into a global surgical products company. Prior to joining Integra LifeSciences, Mr. Essig was a managing director in mergers and acquisitions for Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. He also has been a Managing Partner since 2012 of Prettybrook Partners, a healthcare advisory firm, and a Venture Partner since 2013 in Wellington Partners, a pan-European venture capital firm. In addition to Integra LifeSciences, he also currently serves on the boards of directors of Seaspine Holdings Corporation and Breg, Inc. and formerly served on the board of St. Jude Medical, Inc. Mr. Essig has been a director of the Company since 2013.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Essig to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his strong background and leadership experience in the medical device manufacturing industry and broad-based knowledge of the health care industry. His insights into the medical supplies manufacturing industry, both domestic and international, bring a unique perspective to Owens & Minor's Board that assists us both logistically and strategically as we seek to manage and grow our many relationships with the manufacturing community at home and abroad.

Table of Contents

John W. Gerdelman, 64, is Managing Partner of River2, an investment and consulting partnership. Mr. Gerdelman was President of Long Lines Limited, a telecommunications service provider, from 2010 to 2011. Before joining Long Lines in 2010, he co-founded Intelliden Corporation, a network solutions provider for which Mr. Gerdelman served as Executive Chairman from 2003 until it was acquired by IBM in 2010. Mr. Gerdelman has served in a number of leadership positions for other telecommunications companies, including 15 years with MCI Communications Corporation. He currently serves on the board of directors of Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. and previously served on the boards of Sycamore Networks, Inc., Proxim Wireless Corporation, APAC Customer Services, Inc. and McData Corporation. Mr. Gerdelman has been a director of the Company since 2010.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Gerdelman to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his unique entrepreneurial background, extensive experience in finance and accounting and expertise in telecommunications and information systems. The Board believes the Company benefits from Mr. Gerdelman's business management experience and perspectives as Owens & Minor continues to expand systems and technology solutions used to support our own business operations as well as to provide customers with new products for supply chain management.

Barbara B. Hill, 64, has served as an Operating Partner of NexPhase Capital, a private equity firm (formerly Moelis Capital Partners), since 2011, where she focuses on healthcare-related investments and providing strategic and operating support for NexPhase's healthcare portfolio companies. From 2006 to 2010, Ms. Hill served as Chief Executive Officer and President of FHC Health Systems and ValueOptions, Inc., a behavioral health benefits management company. Previously, Ms. Hill served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Woodhaven Health Services, an institutional pharmacy company, from 2004 to 2006, and President and a member of the board of directors of Express Scripts, a Fortune 100 pharmacy benefits management company, from 2002 to 2003. Ms. Hill also serves as a member of the board of directors of Omega Healthcare Investors, Inc., a Maryland real estate investment trust, Integra LifeSciences Holdings Corporation, a manufacturer of medical devices and implants and formerly served on the board of St. Jude Medical, Inc. Ms. Hill was appointed to the Board of Directors in February 2017.

The Board of Directors has nominated Ms. Hill to continue her service as a director of the Company based on her extensive experience in the healthcare industry. Ms. Hill brings deep management experience and insight both generally and specific to the healthcare industry, including unique strategic and operational experience from the managed healthcare and pharmaceutical industries. Her unique perspective will benefit Owens & Minor as it continues to expand as a full-service partner for customers that focuses on global healthcare solutions and understand the challenges faced at multiple levels within the global healthcare marketplace.

Table of Contents

Lemuel E. Lewis, 70, is a former Chairman of the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, a position he held from 2009 until his retirement from the board on December 31, 2010. Mr. Lewis was appointed to the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond in 2004 and served as Deputy Chairman from 2007 to 2008, and Chairman of the Audit Committee from 2005 to 2008. He previously served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Landmark Communications, Inc., a privately-held media and broadcasting company, from 2000 to 2006. He currently serves on the board of directors of Markel Corporation where he is Chairman of the Audit Committee. He also serves on the board of directors of Dollar Tree, Inc. and previously served on the board of Landmark Communications, Inc. Mr. Lewis has been a director of the Company since 2011.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Lewis to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his breadth of experience in accounting and finance through his service as Chief Financial Officer of a private media company, as well as his service on the Board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond where he chaired the Audit Committee. He also brings a wide range of differing perspectives to the Company based on his service on a number of Virginia college and foundation boards and through his membership on the boards of two other public companies, including service on their audit committees.

Martha H. Marsh, 68, retired in 2010 as President & Chief Executive Officer of Stanford Hospital & Clinics, a position she held since 2002. She also served as the Chief Executive Officer of the University of California Davis Health System from 1999 to 2002. After beginning her career at Arthur Andersen in 1975, she served the health care industry for more than thirty years in a variety of leadership positions, including as Senior Vice President for Professional Services and Managed Care at the University of Pennsylvania Health System. Ms. Marsh has also served on a variety of health care boards and committees. She currently serves on the boards of directors of AMN Healthcare Services, Inc. and Edward Life Sciences and previously served on the board of Thoratec Inc. Ms. Marsh has been a director of the Company since 2012.

The Board of Directors has nominated Ms. Marsh to continue her service as a director of the Company based on her extensive background in and knowledge of the health care industry and specifically the health care provider marketplace with which we conduct our business. Having served in the lead management position of some of the most prestigious health care systems in the United States, she brings unique perspectives on the requirements of and challenges faced by the health care provider industry as well as a deep understanding of the entire U.S. health care marketplace. Her broad-based background in accounting, finance, operations and management in the context of the health care industry brings a multi-disciplinary and highly relevant point of view to our Board of Directors in assessing issues and challenges within the health care marketplace.

Table of Contents

Eddie N. Moore, Jr., 69, currently serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of Norfolk State University. From 2011 to 2012, he served as President of St. Paul's College. He is President Emeritus of Virginia State University after serving as its President from 1993 to 2010. Prior to leading Virginia State University, Mr. Moore served as state treasurer for the Commonwealth of Virginia, heading the Department of the Treasury and serving on fifteen state boards and authorities. He also serves on the board of directors of Universal Corporation. Mr. Moore has been a director of the Company since 2005.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Moore to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his strong background in accounting and finance, which qualify him to serve as an audit committee financial expert, and his leadership experience in managing prominent educational institutions. The Board believes that Mr. Moore's experiences in the public sector bring unique perspectives and disciplines to the Board's deliberations and decision-making processes.

P. Cody Phipps, 55, joined Owens & Minor as its President & Chief Executive Officer effective July 1, 2015. He most recently served as President & Chief Executive Officer of Essendant, Inc. (formerly United Stationers Inc.) from 2011 to 2015. He served as Essendant's President from 2006 to 2011 and as Senior Vice President, Operations from 2003 to 2006. Previously, he was a Partner at McKinsey & Company, Inc., where he co-founded and led its Service Strategy and Operations Initiative, which focused on driving operational improvements in complex service and logistics environments. During his tenure at McKinsey, Mr. Phipps provided consulting services to a range of corporate clients across a diverse set of industries, including retail, manufacturing and healthcare. He formerly served on the board of directors of Con-way, Inc. and currently serves on the board of directors of R.R. Donnelley & Sons Company. Mr. Phipps has been a director of the Company since 2015.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Phipps to serve as a director of the Company based upon his unique ability as Chief Executive Officer to communicate to and inform the Board about the Company's day-to-day operations, implementation of strategic initiatives, and industry developments. The Board believes that Mr. Phipps brings an invaluable perspective on the Company's current operations and ongoing relationships with customers and suppliers.

James E. Rogers, 71, served from 2011 to 2015 as Chairman of the Board of BackOffice Associates, LLC, a private company that provides data quality, migration and governance solutions. He served as President of SCI Investors Inc, a private equity investment firm, from 1993 until his retirement in 2011. He also serves on the board of directors of NewMarket Corporation and formerly served on the boards of Caraustar Industries, Inc., Wellman, Inc., Chesapeake Corp. and Cadmus Communications, Inc. Mr. Rogers has been a director of the Company since 1991.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Rogers to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his leadership experience as a former chief executive officer and other senior executive positions with several public and private companies, as well as his breadth of knowledge about the Company, its culture and the health care distribution industry acquired through his tenure on the Company's Board of Directors. In addition, Mr. Rogers has demonstrated significant leadership and communication skills in his service as the Company's independent lead director for more than 10 years until 2014.

Table of Contents

David S. Simmons, 52, has served as Chairman & Chief Executive Officer of Pharmaceutical Product Development, LLC, a global biopharmaceutical research organization, since 2012. From 2001 to 2012, Mr. Simmons served in a variety of management positions with Pfizer, Inc., including as President and General Manager of the Emerging Markets and Established Products Business Units, Regional President of the Eastern Europe Pharmaceutical Division, President of the Pharmaceutical Division in Greece and Vice President of Marketing in Canada. Mr. Simmons has been a director of the Company since 2013.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Simmons to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his extensive background and experience in the global pharmaceutical business and the breadth of knowledge he brings to Owens & Minor and its international operations through his leadership positions with Pfizer in Europe and abroad. With a strong background in finance, operations and logistics in the context of the pharmaceutical industry, Mr. Simmons brings unique knowledge and perspectives to the Company, including with respect to our third party logistics growth and globalization strategy.

Robert C. Sledd, 64, served as a Senior Economic Advisor to the Governor of Virginia from 2010 to 2014. Since 2008, he also has served as Managing Partner of Pinnacle Ventures, LLC and Sledd Properties, LLC. From 1995 to 2008, he served as Chairman of Performance Food Group Co. (PFG), a foodservice distribution company that he co-founded in 1987. He served as Chief Executive Officer of PFG from 1987 to 2001 and from 2004 to 2006. He also serves on the boards of directors of SCP Pool Corporation and Universal Corporation. Mr. Sledd has been a director of the Company since 2007.

The Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Sledd to continue his service as a director of the Company based on his expertise in economic and business development policy, as well as his experience as a former chief executive of a foodservice distribution company, including his knowledge and understanding of the specific issues and challenges faced by companies in the business of distribution and supply chain management. His experiences in founding, growing and taking public PFG allow him to contribute to the Board a breadth of perspectives and ideas on matters of corporate management, governance and strategic growth.

Anne Marie Whittemore, 70, has been a partner in the law firm of McGuireWoods LLP since 1977. She also serves on the board of directors of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc., formerly served on the board of Albemarle Corporation and is a former chairman of the board of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond. Ms. Whittemore has been a director of the Company since 1991 and lead director since 2014.

The Board of Directors has nominated Ms. Whittemore to continue her service as a director of the Company based on the unique background and perspectives she brings to the Board as an attorney whose areas of specialty include corporate governance and complex commercial and securities litigation matters. Her experience includes representation of several Fortune 100 corporations and other companies in matters involving corporate governance and shareholder matters. Ms. Whittemore also has extensive experience as a public company director and member of both compensation and governance committees, which the Board believes contributes to her strong leadership skills and led to her appointment in 2014 as lead director.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of each nominee as director.

Table of Contents

RETIRING DIRECTORS

Effective immediately following the Annual Meeting, Mr. Smith's term will expire, at which time he will retire from the Board. The Company gratefully acknowledges and thanks Mr. Smith for 12 years of imparting wisdom, bringing strategic vision and providing strong leadership as a director of Owens & Minor, including four years as our Chairman.

Craig R. Smith, 64, has served as Chairman of the Board of Owens & Minor since 2013 and as Executive Chairman from September 2014 until August 2015. He served as Chairman & Chief Executive Officer of the Company from 2013 until September 2014 and as President & Chief Executive Officer from 2005 until 2013. Mr. Smith, who joined the Company in 1989, served as President & Chief Operating Officer from 1999 until 2005 and as Chief Operating Officer from 1995 to 1999. Mr. Smith has been a director of the Company since 2005 and will retire from the Board immediately following the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2: APPROVAL OF OWENS & MINOR, INC. 2017 TEAMMATE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

The Board of Directors adopted the Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan (2017 Stock Purchase Plan) as of April 27, 2017, subject to shareholder approval. The 2017 Stock Purchase Plan is intended to encourage a sense of proprietorship on the part of the Company s employees or teammates by assisting them in making regular purchases of shares of Common Stock, thereby further aligning the interests of employees and shareholders in the future growth and financial success of the Company.

The Company maintained its original Employee Stock Purchase Plan from 1991 through 2007 and maintained the 2007 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan from 2007 through 2017 (the Prior Stock Purchase Plan). The Company believes that the Prior Stock Purchase Plan has achieved its objectives of encouraging a sense of proprietorship among its teammates and aligning the interests of teammates and shareholders. The 2017 Stock Purchase Plan will enable the Company to continue to promote these objectives. No additional shares of Common Stock will be issued or purchased under the Prior Stock Purchase Plan for pay periods ending after April 27, 2017.

The material terms of the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan are summarized below. The summary is subject to and qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan, which is included as Appendix A to this proxy statement and incorporated herein by reference.

Administration. The Compensation & Benefits Committee will administer the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan. The Compensation & Benefits Committee is responsible for interpreting the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan and adopting any rules and regulations that are necessary or appropriate for the administration of the plan.

The Compensation & Benefits Committee will appoint an agent to assist with the administration of the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan. The agent will serve as custodian for funds that it receives under the plan until those funds are used to purchase shares of Common Stock. As described below, the agent will also purchase shares of Common Stock in accordance with the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan and will maintain an account for each participant, which will include an individual brokerage account.

Eligibility. A teammate of the Company or a related company who is classified as a full-time or part-time plus teammate under the Company s payroll system is eligible to participate in the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan after attaining age 18 and completion of 30 days of continuous service. The term related company means a subsidiary or other business (1) that is controlled by the Company, (2) whose employees are paid under the Company s payroll system and (3) that the Board of Directors has designated a related company for purposes of the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan.

Enrollment. An eligible teammate begins participation in the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan by completing the enrollment materials provided by the agent. As part of the enrollment process, the eligible teammate will authorize the Company to withhold part of his or her compensation each payroll period and direct that the amount deducted be applied to the purchase of shares of Common Stock under the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan. An eligible teammate who completes the enrollment process is referred to as a participant.

The payroll deduction authorization must be a whole dollar amount. The maximum monthly contribution by a participant will be as prescribed by the Compensation & Benefits Committee, but such maximum monthly contribution limit cannot be more than \$1,000.

A participant s payroll deduction authorization will continue in effect until it is changed in accordance with instructions provided by the agent. Changes to payroll deduction authorizations will be effective no later than the last day of the next payroll period after the agent receives the participant s new instruction.

Purchase of Shares. After the end of each payroll period, the Company will pay to the agent, on behalf of each participant, a cash payment equal to (1) the amount withheld from each participant s compensation plus

Table of Contents

(2) a Company contribution equal to 15% of the amount withheld from each participant's compensation. Not later than five business days after receiving this amount, the agent will purchase shares of Common Stock at the prevailing market price. The Company expects that the agent will purchase shares on the open market, although the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan allows the agent to purchase shares from the Company at the prevailing market price. Shares of Common Stock purchased by the agent will be credited to the individual brokerage account that the agent establishes for the benefit of each participant.

The agent will only purchase whole shares of Common Stock for the account of each participant. Any cash that cannot be applied to the purchase of a whole share for the account of a participant will be held for the participant's benefit and applied to the next purchase of shares of Common Stock (if the participant continues to participate in the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan) or will be distributed (if the participant does not continue to participate in the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan).

Withdrawal. A participant may withdraw from the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan and end his or her contributions to the plan at any time in accordance with instructions for withdrawal provided by the agent. Deductions from compensation will cease as soon as administratively practicable after the agent receives the participant's notice of withdrawal. Upon withdrawal, the cash balance in the participant's account, representing participant and Company contributions that have not been applied to the purchase of shares of Common Stock, will be paid to the participant in a single cash payment, without interest. The whole shares of Common Stock credited to the participant's account will continue to be held in the participant's individual brokerage account, pending instructions from the participant as described below. Termination of employment for any reason is treated as a withdrawal from the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan.

Instructions to Agent. The whole shares of Common Stock credited to a participant's account will remain in the participant's individual brokerage account until the participant gives a different instruction to the agent. The participant may instruct the agent to sell all or part of the whole shares of Common Stock, in which case the sales proceeds, less any transaction costs (which will be paid from the participant's account), will be distributed to the participant. Alternatively, the participant may instruct the agent to transfer all or part of the whole shares of Common Stock to another brokerage account established by the participant. Finally, the participant may direct the agent to obtain certificates evidencing the whole shares of Common Stock, which may be issued in the name of the participant or the participant and the participant's spouse, and the certificates will be distributed to the participant.

Shareholder Rights. Each participant will have all of the rights of a shareholder with respect to the whole shares of Common Stock credited to the account. The participant may direct the agent with respect to the voting of those shares (but in the absence of direction from the participant, the agent may vote the shares in the manner determined by the agent).

Cash dividends and other cash distributions received on whole shares of Common Stock credited to each participant's account will be distributed to the participant unless the participant has made arrangements with the agent for the automatic reinvestment of the dividends. Dividends paid in whole shares of Common Stock will be credited to each participant's individual brokerage account.

Share Authorization. A total of 1,000,000 shares of Common Stock may be purchased or issued under the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan. The total number of shares of Common Stock that may be purchased or issued under the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan, and the participants' rights under the plan, will be adjusted, as the Compensation & Benefits Committee determines is equitably required, in the event of a stock split, stock dividend, merger, spinoff or other similar changes in the Company's capitalization.

Amendment and Termination. The Board of Directors may amend or terminate the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan; provided, however, that an amendment will not become effective without the approval of shareholders if (1) the amendment increases the total number of shares that may be purchased or issued under the 2017 Stock

Table of Contents

Purchase Plan (other than an adjustment for changes in capitalization, etc.) or (2) the shareholder approval rules of the New York Stock Exchange require that the amendment be submitted to shareholders for approval.

Unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors, no shares of Common Stock may be purchased or issued with respect to any payroll period ending after April 27, 2027.

Federal Income Tax Considerations. *The following discussion of tax consequences relates only to U.S. federal income tax matters and is a summary of the general rules applicable to the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan, not a complete description of the federal income tax aspects. The discussion is based on current provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, which are subject to change, and does not cover any state or local tax consequences of participation in the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan. Participants should consult their own tax advisors for advice on specific tax consequences applicable to them.*

A participant's contributions to the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan are after-tax dollars, which means that the income and employment taxes imposed on those contributions are deducted from the participant's other compensation. In addition, a participant must recognize, as ordinary income, the difference between the prevailing market price of the whole shares purchased for his or her account and the amount of the participant's contributions that are applied to the purchase of the shares. Thus, the Company contribution (15% of the participant's contribution) is taxable to the participant on each purchase date. The participant's employer (the Company or a related company) is entitled to claim a federal income tax deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant on account of the purchase of shares.

New Plan Benefits. Benefits under the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan will depend on the number of eligible teammates who elect to participate, the amount that they decide to contribute, and the prevailing market price of the shares of Common Stock. Accordingly, it is not possible to determine either the benefits or amounts that will be received by eligible teammates under the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan, or the benefits or amounts that would have been received by eligible employees had the 2017 Stock Purchase Plan been in effect for the Company's last completed fiscal year.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR approval of the Owens & Minor, Inc. 2017 Teammate Stock Purchase Plan.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 3: RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee (with confirmation of the Board) has selected KPMG LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2017 and has directed that management submit such appointment of KPMG LLP for ratification by the shareholders at the annual meeting. Representatives of KPMG LLP will be present at the annual meeting to answer questions and to make a statement, if they desire to do so.

Under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and the rules of the SEC promulgated thereunder, the Audit Committee is solely responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Shareholder ratification of this appointment is not required by the Company's Bylaws or otherwise. If shareholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will take such failure into consideration in future years. If shareholders ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the appointment of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it is determined that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company.

Prior to selecting KPMG LLP for fiscal 2017, the Audit Committee evaluated KPMG's performance with respect to fiscal 2016. In conducting this annual evaluation, the Audit Committee considered management's assessment of KPMG's performance in areas such as (i) independence, (ii) the quality and the efficiency of the services provided, including audit planning and coordination, (iii) industry knowledge and (iv) the quality of communications, including KPMG staff accessibility and keeping management apprised of issues. The Audit Committee also considered KPMG's tenure, the impact on the Company of changing auditors and the reasonableness of KPMG's billable rates. The Audit Committee is responsible for the audit fee negotiations associated with the retention of KPMG LLP. In order to assure continuing auditor independence, the Audit Committee periodically considers whether there should be a regular rotation of the independent registered accounting firm. Further, in conjunction with the rotation of the auditing firm's lead engagement partner every five years, the Audit Committee and its chairperson will continue to be directly involved in the selection of KPMG LLP's new lead engagement partner. The members of the Audit Committee and the Board believe that the continued retention of KPMG LLP to serve as our independent external auditor is in the best interests of us and our stockholders.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of KPMG LLP to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2017.

FEES PAID TO INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

For each of the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, KPMG LLP billed the Company the fees set forth below in connection with professional services rendered by that firm to the Company:

	Year 2016	Year 2015
Audit Fees	\$ 1,956,000	\$ 1,783,000
Audit-Related Fees	32,000	27,000
Tax Fees	102,000	636,000
All Other Fees		
Total	\$ 2,090,000	\$ 2,446,000

Audit Fees. These were fees for professional services performed for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's filings on Forms 10-K and 10-Q, Sarbanes-Oxley compliance, and services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.

Table of Contents

Audit-Related Fees. These were fees primarily for the annual audits of the Company's employee benefit plan financial statements, internal control attestations in certain foreign jurisdictions and consultations by management related to financial accounting and reporting matters.

Tax Fees. These were fees primarily for advice and consulting services related to the structuring of international operations, and the restructuring of business operations.

The Audit Committee has established policies and procedures for the pre-approval of audit services and permitted non-audit services in order to ensure the services do not impair the auditor's independence. The Audit Committee will pre-approve on an annual basis the annual audit services engagement terms and fees and will also pre-approve certain audit-related services that may be performed by the independent auditors up to the pre-approved fee levels, as well as permissible tax planning and compliance services. The Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to one or more of its members, but any pre-approval decision by such member or members must be presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. All services provided by and fees paid to KPMG LLP in 2016 were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with the pre-approval policies, and there were no instances of waiver of approval requirements or guidelines during this period.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee is composed of four directors, each of whom is independent under the enhanced independence standards for audit committees in the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder as incorporated into the listing standards of the NYSE and under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, and two of whom have been determined by the Board of Directors to be audit committee financial experts. The Audit Committee met seven times during 2016. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, which the Audit Committee reviews at least annually and revises as necessary to ensure compliance with current regulatory requirements and industry changes.

As its charter reflects, the Audit Committee has a broad array of duties and responsibilities. With respect to financial reporting and the financial reporting process, management, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm and the Audit Committee have the following respective responsibilities:

Management is responsible for:

Establishing and maintaining the Company's internal control over financial reporting;

Assessing the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of the end of each year; and

Preparation, presentation and integrity of the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company's independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for:

Performing an independent audit of the Company's consolidated financial statements and the Company's internal control over financial reporting;

Expressing an opinion as to the conformity of the Company's consolidated financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; and

Expressing an opinion as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee is responsible for:

Edgar Filing: OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/ - Form DEF 14A

Selecting the Company's independent registered public accounting firm;

Overseeing and reviewing the financial statements and the accounting and financial reporting processes of the Company; and

Overseeing and reviewing management's evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

In this context, the Audit Committee has met and held discussions with management and KPMG LLP, the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. Management represented to the Audit Committee that the Company's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016 were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed these consolidated financial statements with management and KPMG LLP, including the scope of the independent registered public accounting firm's responsibilities, critical accounting policies and practices used and significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of such financial statements.

The Audit Committee has discussed with KPMG LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standard No. 16, *Communications with Audit Committees*, issued by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (PCAOB). The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from KPMG LLP required by the PCAOB regarding the independence of that firm and has discussed with KPMG LLP the firm's independence from the Company.

In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with management its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and has discussed with KPMG LLP its opinion as to the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Based upon its discussions with management and KPMG LLP and its review of the representations of management and the report of KPMG LLP to the Audit Committee, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 for filing with the SEC.

THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

Lemuel E. Lewis, Chairman

Stuart M. Essig

John W. Gerdelman

Eddie N. Moore, Jr.

Table of Contents**STOCK OWNERSHIP INFORMATION****Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Based solely on the Company's records and information provided by our directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 5% of the Common Stock, we believe that, with one exception, all reports required to be filed by our directors and executive officers under Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act were filed on a timely basis during 2016. Due to an administrative error in reporting, the acquisition by Lemuel E. Lewis, Director of the Company, on February 18, 2016 of 31 shares of phantom stock, derivative securities beneficially owned through the Company's Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan, was reported late on a Form 4 filed on February 23, 2016.

Stock Ownership by Management and the Board of Directors

The following table shows, as of March 10, 2017, the number of shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by each director and director nominee, the executive officers identified as our NEOs in the Summary Compensation table in this Proxy Statement and all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Sole Voting and Investment		Aggregate Percentage Owned
	Power (1)	Other (2)	
Stuart M. Essig	24,535		*
John W. Gerdelman	20,072		*
Barbara B. Hill	1,051		*
Lemuel E. Lewis	32,587		*
Martha H. Marsh	13,013		*
Eddie N. Moore, Jr.	24,604		*
James E. Rogers	57,091		*
David S. Simmons	10,316		*
Robert C. Sledd	19,851		*
Craig R. Smith	95,982		*
Anne Marie Whittemore	71,254		*
P. Cody Phipps	301,985		*
Richard A. Meier	107,821		*
Rony C. Kordahi	29,482		*
Charles C. Colpo	38,705		*
W. Marshall Simpson	14,204		*
All Executive Officers and Directors as a group (21 persons)	1,026,853		1.68%

* Represents less than 1% of the total number of shares outstanding.

(1) No officer or director of the Company has the right to acquire any shares through the exercise of stock options within 60 days following March 10, 2017.

(2) Includes: (a) shares held by certain relatives or in estates; (b) shares held in various fiduciary capacities; and (c) shares for which the shareholder has shared power to dispose or to direct disposition. These shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned under the rules and regulations of the SEC, but the inclusion of such shares in the table does not constitute an admission of beneficial ownership.

Table of Contents**Stock Ownership by Certain Shareholders**

The following table shows, as of March 10, 2017, any person (including any group as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) who, to our knowledge, was the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Common Stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage Owned
BlackRock, Inc. 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055	7,338,760 ⁽¹⁾	12.01%
Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, PA 19355	6,488,060 ⁽²⁾	10.62%

(1) Based upon a Schedule 13G report or amendment filed by BlackRock, Inc. with the SEC on January 17, 2017.

(2) Based upon a Schedule 13G report or amendment filed by Vanguard Group, Inc. with the SEC on March 3, 2017.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table shows, as of December 31, 2016, information with respect to compensation plans under which shares of Common Stock are authorized for issuance.

Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders (2)	193,533		2,270,189
Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders (3)			
Total	193,533		2,270,189

(1) There are no outstanding options, warrants or rights as of December 31, 2016. The total in column (a) above relate to performance shares.

(2) These equity compensation plans are the 2015 Stock Incentive Plan adopted and approved by shareholders on April 30, 2015 and the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. No additional awards may be made under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan. However, shares may be issued under such plan upon the achievement of financial metrics under outstanding performance share grants.

(3) The Company does not have any equity compensation plans that have not been approved by shareholders.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) describes our executive compensation philosophy and programs, the compensation decisions made by the Compensation & Benefits Committee under these programs and the considerations that went into our decisions in light of the Company s performance in 2016.

Our fiscal year 2016 NEOs are:

Named Executive Officer	Title
P. Cody Phipps	President & Chief Executive Officer
Richard A. Meier	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer & President, International
Rony C. Kordahi*	Executive Vice President, North American Operations
Charles C. Colpo	Senior Vice President, Strategic Relationships
W. Marshall Simpson**	Executive Vice President & Chief Commercial Officer

* Mr. Kordahi joined the Company effective April 4, 2016 as its Executive Vice President, North American Operations

** Mr. Simpson resigned effective February 1, 2017 and currently serves as a consultant to the Company.

Executive Summary

2016 Business Transformation and Compensation Review

2016 was a year of focused execution and continued strategic transformation for Owens & Minor as we added new executive leadership, continued our business transformation agenda, began to reposition the Company for future success and further executed our strategic and tactical initiatives in the highly competitive global healthcare market.

Highlights of 2016 events and performance include:

The Company achieved annual earnings of \$1.76 per share and adjusted earnings of \$2.05 per share⁽¹⁾ for 2016 with consolidated operating earnings of \$200 million (or 2.05% of revenues), representing an all-time high adjusted earnings per share level for the Company.

Mr. Phipps served his first full calendar year as our President & CEO.

In addition to welcoming Mr. Kordahi as our EVP, North American Operations, we welcomed new executive leadership talent in the roles of Senior Vice President, Chief Information Officer; Senior Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary; and Senior Vice President, Clinical & Procedural Solutions.

We successfully performed in accordance with our business Transformational Agenda initiatives, including: building and aligning our executive team, strengthening our core distribution business, executing on our growth strategies and developing new strategies.

We transitioned the loss of a major customer, adjusting our cost structure while delivering solid adjusted earnings despite this loss.

Edgar Filing: OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/ - Form DEF 14A

We believe our 2016 compensation results are consistent with our solid 2016 operating performance and that the incentive awards earned by our executive officers reflected our performance in 2016. Additionally, the

- 1 Adjusted (non-GAAP) earnings and a reconciliation to its most comparable GAAP equivalent financial measure are described on page 15 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2017.

Table of Contents

Compensation & Benefits Committee exercised negative discretion with respect to annual performance awards paid to our executive officers as a result of the loss of this customer, reducing the incentive payment from the achievement level of 98% to 94%.

Summary of 2016 Performance Pay Results

Annual Incentive Program

2016 Annual Incentive Results

Overall Payout of 94% of Target

Adjusted Operating Earnings was \$224 million

Qualitative Performance Factor 94%

While the Company's 2016 financial performance resulted in achievement of 98% of its adjusted operating earnings metric, the Compensation & Benefits Committee exercised negative discretion with respect to annual performance awards paid to executive officers, including the NEOs, as a result of the loss a major customer of the Company.

Long Term Incentive

Results for 2015 Performance Share Grant (FY 2015 and FY 2016 performance period):

Payout on 2015-2016 LTI was at 108% of Target

Return on Average Assets was 4.6%

Adjusted Diluted Earnings Per Share was \$2.05

Table of Contents

Owens & Minor's Compensation Philosophy and Goals

The fundamental principle underlying Owens & Minor's executive compensation program is that we pay for sustained performance, profitable growth and achievement of results. Our goal is to encourage high Company and individual performance within a framework that allows us to attract, retain and motivate our executives. Components of our executive compensation program are designed to create the appropriate balance between short- and long-term incentives and between fixed and at-risk compensation, to weigh cost against expected benefit and to align with the creation of shareholder value while providing market-competitive compensation packages that promote executive retention. These components include:

Annual cash incentives to drive critical business goals for each year.

Restricted stock and performance share grants to retain management and focus executives on longer-term financial performance and execution of our operational and strategic plans.

Reasonable but market-competitive base salaries so executives are not motivated to take excessive risks.

Retirement, severance and other benefits to attract executive talent and encourage retention.

Evolution of Compensation Program and Practices

With respect to our overall compensation programs, Company management reviews our compensation policies and practices each year to determine whether they create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. The Committee and the independent Compensation Consultant, Semler Brossy Consulting Group, LLC (Semler Brossy) consider risks when designing new executive compensation programs, and Semler Brossy periodically provides an external review of the programs and features of our programs and corporate governance policies that help mitigate risk. Based on these reviews and assessments, we believe that our executive compensation program, structured to reward performance and create long-term shareholder value, has played a significant role over the past decade in effectively motivating and rewarding management to meet the challenges of our business and produce our many successes. Our compensation philosophy and practices continue to evolve into a more market-driven pay structure with lower fixed pay and more variable and performance-based pay in order to enhance the program's pay for performance orientation. Further discussion and disclosure of the Company's compensation policies and practices are included in the pages following this Executive Summary.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Practices

Our compensation programs and practices are designed to meet compensation best practices and to drive performance that creates long-term shareholder value.

WHAT WE DO

Pay for Performance. We link pay to performance. A significant portion of our executives' potential total annual compensation, both cash and equity, is based on the achievement of objective, simple and transparent financial measures that are structured to enhance short-term and long-term performance.

Performance-Based Equity Awards. At least half of our annual equity award grants are performance shares with multi-year performance requirements and an additional year of vesting on earned shares.

Share Ownership Guidelines. We have established stock ownership guidelines for our officers, and all of our NEOs meet or exceed the established ownership guidelines.

Limited Perquisites. We tie perquisites to a legitimate business purpose and limit the value provided to executive officers.

Double-Triggered Change in Control Provisions. Equity vesting and severance payments and benefits based on a change in control require termination of employment following the change in control.

Recoupment Policy. We have in place a recoupment policy to recover from our executives compensation paid under circumstances involving restatement of our financial statements due to misconduct.

Risk Mitigation. We seek to mitigate risks associated with compensation by establishing caps on incentive compensation, multiple performance targets for earning incentive compensation and ongoing processes to identify and manage risk. We do not believe our compensation program creates risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company, which we confirm annually through a risk assessment of incentive-based compensation.

Independent Compensation Consulting Firm. The Compensation & Benefits Committee receives advice about its programs and practices from an independent consulting firm that provides no other services to the Company and has no conflicts of interest with respect to its work.

WHAT WE DON'T DO

No Employment Agreements. While we agreed to an employment term sheet upon the hiring of our new CEO in 2015, we do not otherwise have employment agreements with our executive officers.

No Hedging. We prohibit our executive officers and directors from hedging against the economic ownership of Company stock.

No Pledging. We strongly discourage our executive officers from pledging Company stock, and none of our NEOs currently has any such stock pledged.

No Re-pricing of Equity Awards. Our stock plans do not permit the re-pricing of equity awards.

No Tax Gross-Ups. We do not provide any tax gross-ups, including excise tax gross-ups on change in control severance payments and benefits.

Table of Contents

Say-On-Pay Vote

In May 2016, our shareholders approved the compensation of our NEOs for 2015 in our say-on-pay advisory vote with over 96% of votes cast in support of the program. Based on this support, the Compensation & Benefits Committee made no material changes to the general structure and philosophy behind our executive compensation program in 2016 but continues to evaluate our pay programs and practices to ensure that they are both market competitive and equitable. At our upcoming 2017 Annual Meeting, our shareholders will provide an advisory vote to approve 2016 executive compensation, and the Compensation & Benefits Committee will continue to consider results from these advisory votes in setting executive compensation.

The Process for Setting Executive Compensation

The Company's executive compensation levels and programs are established, approved and administered by the Compensation & Benefits Committee, which is currently composed of four independent directors. The Compensation & Benefits Committee solicits the views of its independent outside consulting firm and senior management on incentive compensation and plan design issues. In addition, the Compensation & Benefits Committee sets performance goals and evaluates the performance of our Chief Executive Officer on an annual basis jointly with the Governance & Nominating Committee, and the Chief Executive Officer sets performance goals and provides performance evaluations of our other executive officers and makes recommendations as to their compensation levels. Since his employment in 2016, our Chief Executive Officer has not made recommendations to the Compensation & Benefits Committee with respect to his compensation and does not participate in Committee meetings when his compensation is discussed.

Independent Advisor. The Compensation & Benefits Committee has the authority under its charter to retain independent consultants or advisors to assist it in gathering information and making decisions. Management may not engage any independent advisor retained by the Compensation & Benefits Committee to perform services without the prior approval of the committee, and no such engagement by management was undertaken in 2016. The Compensation & Benefits Committee also obtains information and assistance from the Company's Human Resources Department in evaluating and making decisions on executive compensation.

The Compensation & Benefits Committee continued to engage Semler Brossy in 2016 as its independent advisor to (1) provide recommendations in changes to our peer group; (2) provide guidance and advice in our search for new executive officers and the compensation package offered to those new leaders; (3) analyze competitive levels of each element of compensation and total compensation for each of the executive officers relative to our peer group and industry trends; (4) provide information regarding executive compensation trends and regulatory changes and developments; (5) provide input on annual and long-term incentive design, particularly to address our current mix of SERP and non-SERP eligible officers; and (6) periodically assist in conducting a risk assessment of our compensation programs, policies and practices. The Compensation & Benefits Committee has analyzed whether the work of Semler Brossy has raised any conflict of interest and has concluded that the work of our advisor, including the individuals employed by our advisor who provide consulting services to the committee, has not created any conflict of interest. The Compensation & Benefits Committee also considered and confirmed the independence of legal advisors retained during 2016.

Factors Used to Determine Executive Compensation. Consistent with past years, the Compensation & Benefits Committee considered a variety of factors in making decisions regarding compensation for our NEOs in 2016. The primary factors were as follows:

Performance. Our policy is to provide executive officers with compensation opportunities that are based upon Company performance and their contribution to Company performance.

Mix of Short-Term and Long-Term Compensation. Because the successful operation of our business requires a long-term approach, one element of our executive compensation program is long-term compensation.

Table of Contents

Although we have never had specific policies on the percentage of total compensation that should be short-term versus long-term, we considered this relationship in determining the overall balance and reasonableness of our executives' total direct compensation packages. We believe that short-term compensation is necessary in conjunction with long-term compensation to provide remuneration for performance of the short-term goals or milestones that ultimately lead to achievement of our long-term objectives and strategic initiatives.

Mix of Performance-Based Compensation. To create a strong link between pay and performance, a significant portion of compensation is based on the achievement of objective financial measures. We have no specific policies on the percentage of total compensation that should be performance-based, but consider this relationship in determining the overall balance and reasonableness of the executives' total direct compensation packages.

Impact and Mix of Cash vs. Non-Cash Compensation. We consider both the cost and the motivational value of the various components of compensation. Although we have no specific policies on the percentage of total compensation that should be cash versus equity, we consider this relationship in determining the overall balance and reasonableness of the executives' total direct compensation packages.

Peer Group Comparisons. Each year, we evaluate our compensation levels and programs through comparisons to available information for a group of peer companies selected by the Compensation & Benefits Committee ("Peer Companies") based in part on recommendations from and analyses prepared by our compensation advisors. This evaluation helps us to assess whether our level and mix of executive pay is competitive and reasonable when compared to certain industry standards.

In general, the Peer Companies were selected after consideration of the following factors:

Quantitative Factors: revenue, net income, total assets, and/or market capitalization

Qualitative Factors: business model (health care distribution and companies from other distribution industries) and geography. Our Peer Companies include a mix of health care distribution and companies from other distribution industries in order to capture companies of comparable business model and size to us. The Compensation & Benefits Committee periodically reviews the peer group to ensure it remains appropriate and relevant as a market reference and modifies the peer group as necessary to reflect changes at Owens & Minor, among the peers or within the industry. In early 2016, the Compensation & Benefits Committee reviewed and updated the peer group for 2016 based on the quantitative and qualitative factors above to ensure continued relevance of the Peer Companies. Based on this review, Con-Way, Inc. and Omnicare were removed because they recently had been acquired. Relative to the Quantitative Factors of the Peer Companies, Owens & Minor generally ranks between the 25th percentile and median of the group. The Peer Companies used for 2016 consisted of the following:

2016 Peer Companies

C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc.	Patterson Companies, Inc.
Essendant Inc.	United Natural Foods, Inc.
Genuine Parts Company	WESCO International
Henry Schein, Inc.	VWR Corporation
JB Hunt Transport Services, Inc.	WestRock Co.

Using the Peer Companies, Semler Brossy analyzed the compensation components and levels as reported for the NEOs of the Peer Companies and prepared a comparison of 2016 target total direct compensation and each element thereof to reported information for the Peer Companies. The Compensation & Benefits Committee also considered data from a Towers Watson General Industry survey, using a survey cut incorporating a discount to

Table of Contents

Owens & Minor's revenue in light of the low-margin nature of the Company's business relative to general industry companies. When the Compensation & Benefits Committee reviews data from the Peer Companies, they consider the 50th percentile of the group as a reference point, rather than a policy, for positioning target total compensation, and actual compensation may be above or below the target level based on performance. Data from the Peer Companies is one of a number of factors considered by the Compensation & Benefits Committee while determining each executive's pay. Other factors considered during this process include, but are not limited to, the executive's performance, internal equity of pay, general market competitiveness and whether or not the executive participates in the now-frozen SERP program.

In October 2016, the Compensation & Benefits Committee re-evaluated the number and composition of the Peer Companies with the assistance of Semler Brossy. The Compensation & Benefits Committee added three companies, Anixter International, Synnex Corp. and Univar, Inc. to the Peer Companies. This revised group of Peer Companies will be used for 2017.

Tally Sheets. We also review total compensation levels for executive officers at least annually through the use of tally sheets that quantify each element of direct and indirect compensation provided to individual executives and the portion of the executive's total compensation represented by each element of compensation. This annual review of tally sheets also includes information on the value of executives' outstanding equity awards, as well as an evaluation of the payments and benefits that would be paid to executive officers in the event of termination of employment, including retirement or following a change in control of the Company. While providing additional context to us in making compensation decisions, the information from the tally sheets regarding outstanding equity awards and termination payments and benefits generally does not affect our compensation decisions for the NEOs. This reflects our view that an executive's compensation level should be based on the Company's performance, the executive's performance and the executive's contribution to the Company's performance.

Total Program Cost. We consider the cost (including aggregate share usage and dilution) of the various components of our compensation program in evaluating the overall balance and reasonableness of our executives' total direct compensation packages.

Risk Considerations. In setting executive compensation, the Compensation & Benefits Committee reviews the various components of our program to consider whether they are appropriately structured to promote the achievement of our business goals without encouraging the taking of unnecessary risks. We believe that several elements of our program mitigate risks associated with performance-based compensation, including the following:

Limits on Incentive Compensation. Awards under our annual incentive program are capped at 200% of the executive's target award to protect against excessive short-term incentives, and the Compensation & Benefits Committee has discretion to reduce awards based on factors it deems appropriate, including whether officers took unnecessary risks.

Performance Metrics. We use a combination of financial performance metrics for our annual incentive program that emphasizes profitable and disciplined growth and requires responsible and risk-based decision-making by our executives.

Performance Shares/Long-Term Equity Awards. At least half of an executive's equity compensation each year consists of performance shares with a two-year performance cycle and an additional year of service-based vesting, which focuses management on sustaining the Company's longer-term performance. The other portion of an executive's equity compensation each year consists of restricted stock awards that vest over a period of at least three years and, accordingly, further encourages a focus on long-term performance.

Share Ownership Guidelines. Our share ownership guidelines ensure that our executives have a substantial stake tied to long-term holdings in Owens & Minor stock.

Table of Contents

Recoupment Policy. Performance-based cash and equity compensation to our executive officers is subject to recoupment under circumstances involving misconduct that results in a restatement of our financial statements.

Elements of Compensation

In an effort to achieve the objectives identified above, our 2016 executive compensation framework consisted of the following elements as further described below:

Element	Description	Purpose
Base Salary	Fixed cash	Provides a fixed amount of cash compensation to allow us to recruit and retain key talent
Annual Incentives	Cash awarded annually for performance against adjusted operating earnings metrics and qualitative performance factors	To motivate executive officers' performance in achieving our current-year business goals
Long-Term Incentives	Performance shares and restricted stock Performance shares are earned if the Company achieves return on invested capital and adjusted diluted EPS metrics. Performance is measured over a 2-year period and, if earned, shares vest at the end of 3 years from date of award. Restricted stock vests 3 years from date of grant	Rewards performance that enhances shareholder value through the use of equity-based awards that link compensation to the value of our Common Stock and the achievement of multi-year performance goals; strengthens the alignment of management and shareholder interests by creating meaningful levels of Company stock ownership by management
Deferred Compensation Plan	Officers may defer salary and cash bonuses into a plan that provides for investment options similar to the Company's 401(k) plan.	Provides a tax efficient opportunity to save for retirement and to ensure that our executive compensation program remains competitive in the marketplace for key executive talent
Retirement/Post-Termination Compensation	Participation in Company's 401(k) plan and matching contributions similar to other teammates. SERP (frozen as of March 2012) available to legacy NEOs only (see Summary Compensation Table, Note 3).	Provides security for the future needs of the executives and their families

We believe that the elements of our executive compensation framework support short-term and long-term performance goals by providing our executive officers with an appropriate mix of compensation elements that include (1) fixed annual compensation, (2) target-based annual and long-term incentive compensation and (3) security for the future needs of the executives and their families in the form of retirement and termination benefits.

Table of Contents**Base Salary**

While we agreed to an employment term sheet upon the hiring of our new CEO in 2015, our executive officers are otherwise employed on an at will basis and without employment agreements. We review base salaries each April.

In making base salary decisions in April 2016, the Compensation & Benefits Committee considered:

- (1) Individual attributes of each NEO (such as responsibilities, skills, leadership and experience),
- (2) Individual and overall Company performance levels,
- (3) The officer's expected future contributions to the Company, and
- (4) Overall market-competitiveness of the officer's base salary.

We also considered that the targeted average percentage salary increase for non-executive Company teammates was approximately 2.5% in 2016. In addition, we reviewed competitive comparisons prepared by Semler Brossy indicating that even though our base salary levels were generally above median versus the Peer Companies, our target total direct compensation was below the median. Based on the factors above, we gave each of the NEOs (other than Mr. Kordahi) a merit increase in base salary of 2.5%. We believe that these relatively modest increases in salary levels reflect the performance of each of the officers balanced against economic conditions, average salary increases being received by other Company teammates, and the Company's above-median base salary levels relative to the Peer Companies. We also believe that Mr. Kordahi's 2016 base salary is consistent with market comparable information.

Name	2016 Base Salary	2016 Base Salary	Reason for Increase
	Amount	Increase Percentage	
P. Cody Phipps	\$ 922,500	2.5%	Merit
Richard A. Meier	\$ 653,162	2.5%	Merit
Rony C. Kordahi (1)	\$ 450,000	N/A	N/A
Charles C. Colpo	\$ 456,895	2.5%	Merit
W. Marshall Simpson	\$ 486,875	2.5%	Merit

(1) Mr. Kordahi joined the Company in April 2016 and therefore was not eligible for a salary increase.

Annual Incentives

We provide annual incentive opportunities to executive officers to motivate their performance in achieving our current-year business goals. Each year, we establish a business plan for the forthcoming year that includes financial, strategic and other goals for the Company and that is approved by the Board of Directors. Annual incentive goals for the executive officers are set based on the approved business plan (the Annual Incentive Program). These goals are weighted to reflect their relative importance and contribution to overall Company performance.

The performance metrics (Performance Metrics) established for determining the Target Payout Amount for the 2016 Annual Incentive Program were:

Company Adjusted Operating Earnings comprising 80% of the Performance Metric

Edgar Filing: OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/ - Form DEF 14A

A Qualitative Performance Factor (i.e., individual goals) comprising 20% of the Performance Metric
In addition, none of the foregoing Performance Metrics could result in a payout unless the Company achieved an adjusted operating earnings for 2016 of at least \$130 million (the Qualifier).

Table of Contents

The Compensation & Benefits Committee selected, and the Board of Directors approved, the Qualifier and each of the Performance Metrics, including the weights assigned to them and the target achievement levels in March 2016 based on discussions with and recommendations by senior management, the approved business plan for 2016 and the growth and operational improvements called for in our strategic plan. The Committee selected Adjusted Operating Earnings as the financial Performance Metric for our annual cash incentive plan because this metric:

is a common metric to all of our business units,

is widely understood by our teammates and the internal metric of greatest focus,

is one of the most important underlying drivers of business performance and other financial metrics (such as adjusted diluted EPS, operating cash flow and return on invested capital),

is aligned with creating shareholder value as sustained adjusted operating earnings is both highly correlated with share price growth and a key driver of free cash flow to shareholders which is also highly correlated to equity value,

is aligned with our investor communications and the area of focus of our investor base, and

is in part driven by our performance of our Transformation Agenda initiatives.

For the 2015 performance year, the annual incentive plan financial metrics were adjusted earnings per share and growth in net revenue. The Compensation & Benefits Committee modified the financial performance metrics for 2016 removing adjusted earnings per share and using that metric in the performance share plan alone to reduce duplication and emphasize the importance of sustained adjusted earnings per share growth to shareholder value creation. Net revenue was removed to focus solely on profitability and profitable growth.

Originally introduced in 2014, the Compensation & Benefits Committee retained a Qualitative Performance Factor as a performance metric that, subject to meeting the Qualifier, would allow a portion of any incentive compensation earned by the NEOs to be based on an overall assessment of the NEO's job performance relative to leadership, performance against position-specific goals, strategic focus, management skills and other factors. The Compensation & Benefits Committee believed it was important to include the Qualitative Performance Factor as a way to reward strong performance and leadership provided by management that may or may not have translated into achievement of other financial and operating targets during the year, but that were nonetheless important achievements believed to contribute to future value creation. The NEOs individual performance goals and key performance indicators that were used to determine the NEOs Qualitative Performance Factor included the following:

NEO	Individual Performance Goal
P. Cody Phipps	<i>Drive business performance</i>
<i>President & Chief Executive Officer</i>	Ø Achieve 2016 financial goals
	Ø Align the executive team
	Ø Mitigate competitive risks to business

Build capabilities to enhance execution & performance

- Ø Align the organization for effectiveness
- Ø Execute strategic supplier initiatives
- Ø Enhance effectiveness of our commercial sales team
- Ø Drive operations continuous improvement initiatives

Advance the Company's enterprise strategy

- Ø Develop enterprise strategy based on enhanced market & competitive analysis
- Ø Execute growth strategies in International and CPS Segments

Table of Contents

Enhance Company culture & teammate engagement

Ø Lead Purpose Driven Culture through teammate engagement and development of our mission, vision & values

Ø Ensure Company is represented and contributing to the communities it serves

Richard A. Meier

Execute International Segment growth strategy

Executive Vice President,

Chief Financial Officer &

President, International

Execute Clinical & Procedural Solutions (CPS) Segment growth strategy

Enhance investor relations & communications functions

Rony C. Kordahi

Realize continuous improvement savings

Executive Vice President,

North American Operations

Implement new domestic regional field structure

Drive standard operating procedures & productivity

Charles C. Colpo

Execute International Segment growth strategy

Senior Vice President,

Owens & Minor Europe Operations

Advance global QA/RA functions

Enhance International Segment IT platform to make it a competitive differentiator

W. Marshall Simpson

Achieve Domestic Segment revenue & margin goals

Executive Vice President &

Chief Commercial Officer

Execute on private label product growth initiatives

Implement new domestic regional field structure

The Compensation & Benefits Committee's goal in setting the target achievement levels was to provide management with challenging yet reasonably achievable goals that would lead the Company to meeting its 2016 business plan and position the Company to ultimately achieve the growth, transformation and improvement targets in our strategic plan without encouraging excessive risk-taking behavior. We believe that the use of a key financial performance metric that rewards profitable growth, as well as a qualitative performance metric to assess individual efforts and goal achievement, provides a balanced assessment of performance. The Compensation & Benefits Committee retains authority to reduce or eliminate incentive compensation, which allows the committee to make judgments as to compensation it believes is excessive in light of performance as well as to monitor and respond to any behavior that it believes could be detrimental to the Company. As discussed in this CD&A, the Compensation & Benefits Committee exercised negative discretion for 2016 incentive awards as a result of the loss of a major customer of the Company.

The achievement levels for the financial Performance Metrics were structured to provide for a payout of 100% of the targeted award at target performance, with 200% payout at maximum performance and 50% payout at threshold performance. No amount would be payable in respect of any Performance Metrics for achievement below the Threshold Payout Amount or if the Company did not achieve the Qualifier.

The table below sets forth (i) the Performance Metrics, their respective weightings, achievement levels at threshold, target and maximum as well as actual results in 2016 for each financial Performance Metric and (ii) the Qualifier and actual achievement level required for the payment of any incentive compensation under the Annual Incentive Program.

Table of Contents**2016 Performance Metric Achievement Levels and Actual Results**

Performance Metrics	Weighting	Threshold (1)			2016	
		50%	100%	Maximum (1)	Results (2)	Achievement
Company Adjusted Operating Earnings (\$ thousands) (2)	80%	\$ 208,000,000	\$ 224,000,000	\$ 256,000,000	\$ 223,000,000	98%
Qualitative Performance Factor	20%	N/A	N/A	N/A	See footnote (3)	See footnote (3)
Qualifier 2016 Company Adjusted Operating Earnings must be at least \$130,000,000 for Receipt of Any Incentive Compensation Payout (2)	N/A	\$ 130,000,000	N/A	N/A	\$ 223,000,000	Yes

(1) For achievement levels above threshold but below target or above target but below maximum, payout amounts would be calculated based on a straight-line interpolation of the achievement level above threshold or target, as applicable.

(2) For purposes of the 2016 Annual Incentive Program, and in accordance with the terms of the program as approved by the Compensation & Benefits Committee, adjusted results for the applicable performance metrics as provided in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016, filed with the SEC on February 17, 2017, were further adjusted to remove foreign currency translation impact for purposes of calculating incentive compensation. Accordingly, payouts under the 2016 Annual Incentive Program were based on incentive plan-adjusted operating earnings of \$223 million.

(3) In determining achievement of the Qualitative Performance Factor for each NEO, the Compensation & Benefits Committee reviewed their accomplishments and contributions in producing 2016 results as well as performance of their individual goals (discussed above) and commencing implementation of the components of the Company's Transformation Agenda. In evaluating the NEOs (other than Mr. Phipps) performance in 2016, the Compensation & Benefits Committee solicited Mr. Phipps' assessment of each NEOs performance. After evaluation of each NEOs performance, the Compensation & Committee determined that each NEO would receive a payout of 94% of the Qualitative Performance Factor.

Based on the foregoing results and the exercise of negative discretion, each NEO received the following payout under the 2016 Annual Incentive Program:

Name	2016 Incentive Payout			
	Target as a % of Base Salary	2016 Incentive Payout Target (\$)	2016 Incentive Payout Amount	2016 Incentive Payout as % of Target
P. Cody Phipps	125%	\$ 1,153,125	\$ 1,083,939	94%
Richard A. Meier	75%	489,871	460,480	94%
Rony C. Kordahi (1)	70%	315,000	222,076	94%
Charles C. Colpo	50%	228,448	214,742	94%
W. Marshall Simpson	70%	340,813	320,365	94%

(1) Mr. Kordahi's 2016 incentive payout is pro-rated based on time in position during 2016 (9 months).

Long-Term Incentives

Our shareholder-approved 2015 Stock Incentive Plan permits us to award grants of non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock awards, performance share awards, stock units and stock appreciation

Table of Contents

rights. Except in instances of initial executive hiring, job promotions and similar circumstances, we grant equity awards to executive officers one time each year. The Compensation & Benefits Committee's decision to grant equity-based awards is discretionary and largely determined by the Company's longer-term financial performance, strategic accomplishments and individual contributions. Equity award decisions may also be based upon outstanding individual performance, expected future performance, job promotions and the assumption of greater responsibility within the Company. We strive to maintain an appropriate balance between the aggregate number of shares used for equity grants (relative to the competitive landscape) and shareholder interests.

We make annual equity award grants to senior management in two forms: (1) restricted stock that vests after a three-year holding period during which the officer is continuously employed by the Company; and (2) performance share awards that are earned based on achievement of designated performance metrics over a two-year period followed by a one-year holding period during which the officer must remain in the Company's employ. We believe that the mix between these vehicles helps provide a balance between linking compensation to the achievement of multi-year performance goals and strengthening the alignment of management and shareholder interests by creating meaningful levels of Company stock ownership by management. The Company has not issued stock options to its officers since 2007.

In accordance with our standard practice, the grant of the 2016 annual equity awards was made at the first meeting of our Board in 2016 on February 5, 2016, a date that is scheduled more than one year in advance. The grant values are based on the closing price of the stock on the date of grant and the number of shares subject to the award.

When making 2016 long-term incentive equity award determinations, the Compensation & Benefits Committee focused on the Company's longer-term financial performance and balanced the need to align the NEOs' financial interests with those of shareholders against considerations regarding the affordability of equity grants, including aggregate share usage, dilution and accounting costs. We have historically been below median relative to the Peer Companies in our equity award grants to minimize share usage, dilution and accounting costs but are gradually evolving to increased long-term incentives (with more performance shares) relative to other elements of compensation in an effort to make our compensation program more performance-based and market driven.

Based on the foregoing considerations, the Compensation & Benefits Committee granted the following long-term incentive awards in 2016 having the indicated grant date fair values:

Name	2016 Long-Term Incentive Awards (1)		Special Award of Restricted Stock	Total
	Performance	Restricted		
	Shares (2)	Stock (3)		
P. Cody Phipps	\$ 1,999,997	\$ 1,999,997	\$	\$ 3,999,994
Randy R. Meier	350,000	350,000		699,999
Rony C. Kordahi (4)	349,991	349,991	400,011	1,099,993
Charlie C. Colpo	155,997	155,997		311,994
W. Marshall Simpson	350,000	350,000		699,999

(1) The amounts shown are the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and, in the case of performance shares, are based on probable achievement at target levels.

(2) These performance shares generally require achievement by the Company of specific financial metrics (discussed below) for fiscal years 2016 and 2017 as a condition to issuance of the underlying shares of restricted stock (which, if earned, would vest on the third anniversary of the performance share award). Amounts in the table reflect the values if achieved at the target level. Mr. Phipps long-term incentive compensation and equity

Table of Contents

targets are higher than other NEOs, reflecting a trend in our compensation practices to place more emphasis on performance-based compensation and bringing the target incentive opportunity for Mr. Phipps in line with market competitive incentive opportunities for CEOs. In addition, the Chief Executive Officer's higher award relative to the other NEOs is intended to reflect the broader scope of his responsibilities and authority and his greater ability to impact the Company's performance.

(3) These shares of restricted stock vest three years from the date of grant based on the executive's continued employment with the Company.

(4) As agreed to at the time of hire, Mr. Kordahi received an additional \$400,011 award of restricted stock on April 4, 2016, one-third of which vests on each of the first three anniversary dates of date of grant and provided he remains in the Company's employ.

The table below shows the metrics, weights and performance levels established for the 2016 performance share awards. The Compensation & Benefits Committee approved 2017 adjusted earnings per share (adjusted diluted EPS) and two-year (2016-2017) average return on invested capital (ROIC), weighted 80% and 20%, respectively, as metrics for the long-term incentive performance shares that were granted in 2016. The Compensation & Benefits Committee choose adjusted diluted EPS as a financial metric because this metric:

is the metric of greatest attention by analysts and our investors,

is aligned with shareholder value as sustained adjusted diluted EPS growth is both highly correlated with share price growth and adjusted diluted EPS is a key driver of free cash flow to shareholders which is also highly correlated to equity value,

is consistent with our message to shareholders that our focus is on consistent earnings growth,

is widely understood by award recipients, and

is impacted and driven in part by our performance of Transformation Agenda initiatives.

In addition to adjusted diluted EPS, the Compensation & Benefits Committee selected ROIC as a performance metric because:

the metric captures management's ability to create value through better balance sheet management,

the metric measures value creation, over time, as the excess return on invested capital over the cost of capital generates value for shareholders

a significant portion of our shareholder base and analysts believe that ROIC is an important metric of our performance.

2016 Performance Share Award Metrics

Performance Metric (1) (2)	Weight	Threshold	Target	Maximum
Adjusted Diluted EPS	80%	\$1.90	\$2.12	\$2.57
Average Return on Invested Capital	20%	9%	10%	12%

(1) For achievement levels above threshold but below target, or above target but below maximum, share payout amounts would be calculated based on a straight line interpolation of the achievement level above threshold or target, respectively. There is no payout for achievement below threshold.

Edgar Filing: OWENS & MINOR INC/VA/ - Form DEF 14A

(2) The average return on invested capital metric is measured over the 2016 and 2017 calendar years and the adjusted diluted EPS metric is measured for the calendar year 2017 and based on the applicable weights and achievement levels, if met, will result in the issuance of restricted stock to each officer.

Table of Contents