

DOMTAR INC /CANADA  
Form 40-F  
March 24, 2005

**Table of Contents**

**U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**Form 40-F**

**o REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

OR

**p ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13(a) OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE  
ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 Commission File Number 9682

**Domtar Inc.**

---

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Not Applicable

---

(Translation of Registrant's name into English (if applicable))

Canada

---

(Province or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

2621

---

(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code-Number (if applicable))

Not applicable

---

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number (if applicable))

395 de Maisonneuve Blvd. West, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 1L6 (514) 848-5400

---

(Address and telephone number of Registrant's principal executive offices)

CT Corporation System, 111 Eighth Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10011, (212) 664-1666

---

(Name, address (including zip code) and telephone number (including area code) of agent for service in the United States)

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act.

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

Common Shares no par value

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act.

Not Applicable

(Title of Class)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act.

8 3/4% Notes due 2006, 9 1/2% Debentures due 2016, 7.875% Notes due 2011, 5.375% Notes due 2013

(Title of Class)

For annual reports, indicate by check mark the information filed with this Form:

Annual Information form  Audited annual financial statements

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report.

Common Shares 230,237,354 shares

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant by filing the information contained in this form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). If Yes is marked, indicate the file number assigned to the Registrant in connection with such Rule.

Yes  82-  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the last 90 days.

Yes  No



**Table of Contents**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This annual report on Form 40-F contains forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, those regarding expectations for market growth and developments; expectations for growth and profitability; and statements preceded by believes , expects , anticipates , foresees , or similar expressions, are forward-looking statements. Since these statements are based on current plans, estimates and projections, they involve risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results to materially differ from those expressed in such forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to: (1) operating factors such as continued success of manufacturing activities and the achievement of efficiencies therein, continued success of product development, acceptance of new products or services by targeted customers, success of the existing and future collaboration arrangements, changes in business strategy or development plans or targets; (2) industry conditions, such as strength of product demand, intensity of competition, prevailing and future global market prices for products and the pricing pressures thereto, financial condition of the customers and competitors, the potential introduction of competing products and technologies by competitors; and (3) general economic conditions such as rates of economic growth in the Company s principal geographic markets or fluctuations in exchange and interest rates.

---

**Form 40-F**

Domtar Inc.

March 24, 2005

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Disclosure Controls and Procedures:

Management s Discussion and Analysis of Annual Results of Operations;

Management s statement of responsibility and Auditors report;

Audited consolidated financial statements of Domtar Inc. as at and for the year ended December 31, 2004;

Audit Committee:

Code of Ethics:

Principal Accountant fees and services:

Consent of Independant auditors

Certification

Certification

Certification

Certification

**Exhibits**

1.0 Consent of Independent Auditors

Table of Contents

2.1	CEO 302 Certification
2.2	CFO 302 Certification
3.1	CEO 906 Certification
3.2	CFO 906 Certification

---

**Table of Contents**

**DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

*Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Domtar maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures that is designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by Domtar is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, in a timely manner. Under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Domtar has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, Domtar's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Domtar's system of disclosure controls and procedures is effective.

*Change in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting*

Domtar maintains a system of internal controls over financial reporting. There were no changes in Domtar's internal controls that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Domtar's internal control over financial reporting.

---

**Table of Contents**

2004 FINANCIAL RESULTS

Management's  
Discussion and Analysis

MONTREAL, QUEBEC, FEBRUARY 28, 2005

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) relates to the financial condition and results of Domtar's operations. Throughout this MD&A, unless otherwise specified, Domtar, we, us and our refer to Domtar Inc., its subsidiaries, as well as its joint ventures, and the Corporation refers to Domtar excluding its interest in joint ventures including, among others, Norampac Inc. (Norampac). Domtar's common shares are listed on the Toronto and New York stock exchanges. Except where otherwise indicated, all financial information reflected herein is determined on the basis of Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This MD&A should be read in conjunction with Domtar's audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

In accordance with industry practice, in this MD&A, the term ton or the symbol ST refers to a short ton, an imperial unit of measurement equal to 0.9072 metric tons, and the term tonne or the symbol MT refers to a metric ton. In this MD&A, unless otherwise indicated, all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars, and the term dollars and the symbols \$ and CAN\$ refer to Canadian dollars. The term U.S. dollars and the symbol US\$ refer to United States dollars.

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This MD&A contains statements that are forward-looking in nature. Statements including the words believe, expect, anticipate, aim, target, plan, intend, continue, estimate, may, will, should and similar expressions are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by management, are inherently subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties such as, but not limited to, general economic and business conditions, product selling prices, raw material and operating costs, changes in foreign currency exchange rates, our ability to integrate acquired businesses into our existing operations, and other factors referenced herein and in Domtar's continuous disclosure filings. Therefore, Domtar's actual results may be materially different from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.



**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

2004 Overview

In 2004, stronger demand, which led to better pricing in U.S. dollar terms and higher shipments for most of our major products, combined with the positive effects of restructuring efforts, was not sufficient to offset the impact of the ongoing decline in the value of the U.S. dollar and higher costs for purchased wood, freight and softwood lumber duties.

Our business

Domtar's reporting segments correspond to the following business activities:  
Papers, Paper Merchants, Wood and Packaging.

<sup>1</sup> Including sales of Domtar paper through our Paper Merchants business.

<sup>2</sup> Excluding sales of Domtar paper.

---

SALES BY SEGMENT 2004

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS****PAPERS**

We are the third largest integrated manufacturer and marketer of uncoated freesheet paper in North America. We operate six pulp and paper facilities in Canada and five in the United States, with an annual paper production capacity of approximately 2.7 million tons, complemented by strategically located warehouses and sales offices. Over 50% of our paper production capacity is located in the United States and approximately 90% of our paper sales are made to customers in the United States. Uncoated and coated freesheet papers are used for business, commercial printing and publication and technical and specialty applications. The chart below illustrates our principal paper products and our annual paper production capacity.

We sell paper primarily through a large network of owned and independent merchants that distribute our paper products throughout North America. We also sell our products to a variety of customers, including business offices, office equipment manufacturers, retail outlets, commercial printers, publishers and converters. In addition, we sell pulp in excess of our own internal requirements. We also purchase pulp to optimize paper production and reduce freight costs. In 2004, our net market pulp position (shipments less purchases) was approximately 700,000 tons.

CATEGORY	BUSINESS PAPERS		COMMERCIAL PRINTING AND PUBLICATION PAPERS			TECHNICAL AND SPECIALTY PAPERS
	UNCOATED FREESHEET		COATED FREESHEET			UNCOATED AND COATED FREESHEET
TYPE GRADE	Copy	Premium imaging/Technology papers	Offset Business converting	Lightweight Opaques Text, cover and writing	Lightweight Premium Regular	Flexible packaging Abrasive papers Decorative papers Imaging papers Label papers Medical disposables
APPLICATION	Photocopies Office documents Presentations		Pamphlets Brochures Direct Mail Commercial printing Forms & envelopes	Stationery Brochures Annual reports Books Catalogs	Brochures Annual reports Books Magazines Catalogs	Food & candy wrappings Surgical gowns Repositionable note pads Security check papers Wallpapers
CAPACITY *	As at February 28, 2005 :			approximately 2.7 million		
	0.9 million tons	0.1 million tons	0.6 million tons	0.4 million tons	0.3 million tons	0.4 million tons
	33%	4%	22%	14%	13%	14%

\*The allocation of production capacity may vary from period to period in order to take advantage of market conditions. On December 9, 2004, we announced the indefinite closure of the pulp mill, one paper machine and its sheeter at our Cornwall paper mill until economic and market conditions allow these assets to operate profitably. This indefinite closure, impacting 85,000 tons of paper, has not been reflected in the above capacity.



**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Our Papers business is our most important segment, representing 56% of consolidated sales in 2004, or 62% when including sales of Domtar paper through our own Paper Merchants business.

**PAPER MERCHANTS**

Our Paper Merchants business comprises the purchasing, warehousing, sale and distribution of various products made by Domtar and other manufacturers. These products include business and printing papers, graphic arts supplies and certain industrial products. Domtar-owned paper merchants operate in the United States and Canada. On November 18, 2004, we announced that we had gathered all our merchant operations under a single banner and umbrella name, the Domtar Distribution Group, thereby creating the fifth largest paper merchant organization in North America. Ris Paper, acquired in July 2000, operates throughout the Northeast, Mid-Atlantic and Mid-West areas from 20 locations in the United States, including 17 distribution centers. The Canadian business operates as Buntin Reid in three locations in Ontario; JBR/La Maison du Papier in two locations in Quebec; and The Paper House in three locations in Atlantic Canada. Our Paper Merchants business represented 21% of consolidated sales in 2004, or 15% when excluding sales of Domtar paper.

**WOOD**

Our Wood business comprises the manufacturing and marketing of lumber and wood-based value-added products and the management of forest resources. We operate 10 sawmills (six in Quebec and four in Ontario, following the permanent closure of the Chapleau sawmill effective March 6, 2005) and one remanufacturing facility (in Quebec), for an annual capacity of 1.1 billion board feet of lumber. We also have investments in four businesses that produce wood products. We seek to optimize 18 million acres of forestland directly licensed or owned by the Corporation in Canada and the United States through efficient management and the application of certified sustainable forest management practices such that a continuous supply of wood is available for future needs. Our Wood business represented 11% of consolidated sales in 2004.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**PACKAGING**

Our Packaging business comprises our 50% ownership interest in Norampac, a joint venture between Domtar Inc. and Cascades Inc. We do not manage the day-to-day operations of Norampac. The Board of Directors of Norampac is composed of four representatives each from Domtar Inc. and Cascades Inc. The Chairman of the Board is proposed by Domtar Inc. and appointed by the Board, while the President and Chief Executive Officer is proposed by Cascades Inc. and appointed by the Board. Norampac's debt is non-recourse to Domtar Inc. As required by GAAP, we account for our 50% interest in Norampac using the proportionate consolidation method.

Norampac's network of 26 corrugated packaging plants, strategically located across Canada and the United States, provides full-service packaging solutions and produces a broad range of products. Norampac's eight containerboard mills (located in Ontario, Quebec, British Columbia, New York State and northern France), having a combined annual capacity of approximately 1.6 million tons, directly or indirectly supply essentially all the containerboard requirements of the converting plants. Our Packaging business represented 12% of consolidated sales in 2004.

**BUSINESS STRATEGY**

Our overall strategic objective is to be a world leader in the paper industry. We have developed our business strategies around three pillars: meeting and anticipating the ever-changing needs of *customers*, providing our *shareholders* with attractive returns, and fostering a dynamic and creative environment for our *employees* in which shared human values and personal commitment prevail.

Our business strategies are to continue to

anticipate and meet the needs of our customers in order to enhance customer loyalty

improve the productivity of our mills and the quality of our products and services

broaden our distribution capabilities

grow through acquisitions and alliances within our areas of expertise

maintain strict financial discipline

foster the personal growth and participation of employees

act as a responsible corporate citizen.

Through these strategies, we aim to be one of the most attractive investments in the North American basic materials sector by providing superior returns to our shareholders.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Summary of financial results

<b>FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i> <i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003 (RESTATED) <sup>1</sup>	2002
Sales	<b>5,115</b>	5,167	5,859
EBITDA <sup>2</sup>	<b>428</b>	514	809
Operating profit (loss) <sup>2</sup>	<b>49</b>	(95)	384
Excluding specified items <sup>3</sup>	<b>66</b>	126	447
Net earnings (loss)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
Excluding specified items <sup>3</sup>	<b>(33)</b>	(10)	183
Net earnings (loss) per share (in dollars):			
Basic	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.62
Basic, excluding specified items <sup>3</sup>	<b>(0.15)</b>	(0.05)	0.80
Diluted	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.61
ROE <sup>4</sup> (%)	<b>(2)</b>	(8)	6
Excluding specified items <sup>4</sup>	<b>(1)</b>		7
Operating profit (loss), excluding specified items, per segment <sup>3</sup> :			
Papers	<b>(5)</b>	126	346
Paper Merchants	<b>21</b>	20	25
Wood	<b>(13)</b>	(66)	2
Packaging	<b>52</b>	48	74
Corporate	<b>11</b>	(2)	
Total	<b>66</b>	126	447
Average exchange rates	CAN\$ <b>1.301</b>	1.401	1.570
	US\$ <b>0.769</b>	0.714	0.637
Dividends per share (in dollars):			
Series A preferred shares	<b>2.25</b>	2.25	2.25
Series B preferred shares	<b>0.73</b>	0.86	0.75
Common shares	<b>0.24</b>	0.22	0.14
Total assets (at end of year)	<b>5,688</b>	5,848	6,847
Total long-term debt, including current portion (at end of year)	<b>2,034</b>	2,059	2,514

1, 2, 3, 4 Refer to Notes to financial highlights .



**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**Notes to financial highlights**

<sup>1</sup>The prior period comparative figures have been restated to reflect the adoption of the new Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) handbook section 3110 Asset Retirement Obligations .

<sup>2</sup>EBITDA (Earnings Before Interest (Financing expenses), Taxes and Amortization) is a non-GAAP measure and is determined by adding back amortization expense, including portions related to specified items (impairment losses and write-downs), financing expenses and income taxes to net earnings (see EBITDA table). Operating profit is also a non-GAAP measure that is determined by deducting cost of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A), amortization expense, closure and restructuring costs, impairment loss and net gains on disposals of property, plant and equipment from sales. We focus on EBITDA and operating profit as these measures enable us to compare our results between periods without regard to debt service or income taxes (for operating profit) and without regard to amortization (for EBITDA). As such, we believe it would be useful for investors and other users to be aware of these measures so they can better assess our performance. Our EBITDA and operating profit measures have no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and are not necessarily comparable to similar measures presented by other companies and therefore should not be considered in isolation.

<sup>3</sup>See Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures .

<sup>4</sup>Return on average common shareholders' equity (ROE) is a non-GAAP measure that is calculated as net earnings, after dividend payments on preferred shares, to total average common shareholders' equity. The ratio excluding specified items is calculated as net earnings excluding specified items, after dividend payments on preferred shares, to total average common shareholders' equity excluding specified items on a cumulative basis. We use this measure in assessing the returns we provide to our shareholders and, as such, believe it would be useful for investors and other users to be aware of this measure so they can better assess our performance. ROE has no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is not necessarily comparable to similar measures presented by other companies and therefore should not be considered in isolation.

**SPECIFIED ITEMS AFFECTING RESULTS AND NON-GAAP MEASURES**

Our operating results include specified items that, in our view, do not typify our normal operating activities, thus affecting the comparability of our results from period to period. To measure our performance and that of our business segments from period to period without variations caused by these specified items, we focus on operating profit excluding specified items, net earnings excluding specified items, net earnings per share excluding specified items and other such measures excluding specified items. We define specified items as items such as the impacts of impairment of assets, facility or machine closures, changes in income tax legislation, debt restructuring, unrealized mark-to-market gains and losses on hedging contracts not considered as hedges for accounting purposes, foreign exchange impact on long-term debt translation and other items that, in our view, do not typify normal operating activities.

Operating profit excluding specified items, net earnings excluding specified items, net earnings per share excluding specified items and other such measures excluding specified items are non-GAAP measures. We believe that it is useful for investors and other users to be aware of the specified items that positively or adversely affected our GAAP results, and that these non-GAAP measures provide investors and other users with a measure of performance to compare our results between periods without regard to these specified items. Measures excluding specified items have no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and are not necessarily comparable to similar measures presented by other companies and therefore should not be considered in isolation.



The following tables reconcile our net earnings and net earnings per share, determined in accordance with GAAP, to our net earnings excluding specified items and net earnings per share excluding specified items, and reconcile our operating profit to our operating profit excluding specified items:

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

<b>SPECIFIED ITEMS</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>				<b>2003</b>	
	<b>OPERATING</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>NET LOSS PER SHARE</b>	<b>OPERATING</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>NET LOSS PER SHARE</b>
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	<b>PROFIT</b>	<b>LOSS</b>	<b>(IN DOLLARS)</b>	<b>(LOSS)</b>	<b>LOSS</b>	<b>(IN DOLLARS)</b>
<b>As per GAAP*</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(0.19)</b>	(95)	(193)	(0.86)
Specified items:						
Sales of land <sup>(i)</sup>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>(21)</b>				
Closure and restructuring costs <sup>(ii)</sup>	<b>48</b>	<b>33</b>		24	16	
Unrealized mark-to-market gains or losses <sup>(iii)</sup>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>		(6)	(4)	
Foreign exchange impact on long-term debt <sup>(iv)</sup>		<b>(4)</b>			(8)	
Impairment of assets <sup>(v)</sup>				201	135	
Income tax legislation changes <sup>(vi)</sup>					31	
Refinancing costs <sup>(vii)</sup>					12	
Write-off of deferred costs <sup>(viii)</sup>				2	1	
	<b>17</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>0.04</b>	221	183	0.81
<b>Excluding specified items</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>(33)</b>	<b>(0.15)</b>	126	(10)	(0.05)

\* Except for operating profit (loss), which is a non-GAAP measure.

<b>SPECIFIED ITEMS</b> <i>Three months ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>				<b>2003</b>	
	<b>OPERATING</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>NET LOSS PER SHARE</b>	<b>OPERATING</b>	<b>NET</b>	<b>NET LOSS PER SHARE</b>
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	<b>LOSS</b>	<b>LOSS</b>	<b>(IN DOLLARS)</b>	<b>(LOSS)</b>	<b>LOSS</b>	<b>(IN DOLLARS)</b>
<b>As per GAAP*</b>	<b>(12)</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>(0.11)</b>	(265)	(231)	(1.01)
Specified items:						
Sales of land <sup>(i)</sup>	<b>(29)</b>	<b>(17)</b>				
Closure and restructuring costs <sup>(ii)</sup>	<b>40</b>	<b>27</b>		29	19	
Unrealized mark-to-market gains or losses <sup>(iii)</sup>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>(1)</b>				
Foreign exchange impact on long-term debt <sup>(iv)</sup>		<b>(3)</b>			(3)	
Impairment of assets <sup>(v)</sup>				201	135	
Income tax legislation changes <sup>(vi)</sup>					31	
Refinancing costs <sup>(vii)</sup>					5	
Write-off of deferred costs <sup>(viii)</sup>				2	1	
	<b>10</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>0.02</b>	232	188	0.82

<b>Excluding specified items</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>(20)</b>	<b>(0.09)</b>	(33)	(43)	(0.19)
----------------------------------	------------	-------------	---------------	------	------	--------

---

\* Except for operating profit (loss), which is a non-GAAP measure.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

- (i) In the third quarter of 2004, we announced the sale of timberland and a subsequent donation to the ecological organization The Nature Conservancy, for a total net gain realized of \$4 million (\$4 million net of income taxes), to help create the largest private protected natural site in Eastern Canada. In addition, in the fourth quarter of 2004, we announced a sale of timberland to the Lyme Timber Company of Hanover and the ecological organization The Nature Conservancy for a realized gain of \$28 million (\$17 million net of income taxes), after determining that the property no longer met our land ownership criteria for our paper manufacturing business, as well as other sales of land for a realized gain of \$1 million (nil net of income taxes). These gains were recorded in the financial statements under "Net gains on disposal of property, plant and equipment". (ii) Our results reflect restructuring charges related to the implementation of best practices. In addition, our results include closure costs related to the indefinite closure of a pulp mill, one paper machine and its sheeter at our Cornwall paper mill, as well as closure costs pertaining to the closure of our St. Catharines paper mill and our Chapleau sawmill. These costs were presented under "Closure and restructuring costs" in the financial statements. (iii) Our results include unrealized mark-to-market gains or losses on commodity swap contracts and foreign exchange contracts not considered as hedges for accounting purposes. Such gains or losses were presented under "Selling, general and administrative expenses" in the financial statements.
- Our policy is to utilize derivative financial instruments such as commodity swap contracts for purposes of managing risk and not for speculative purposes. Notwithstanding the fact that these contracts do not meet the accounting criteria for hedge effectiveness, we believe that, from an operational and cash flow point of view, these contracts are effective in managing our risk.
- (iv) Our results include our 50% share of Norampac's foreign exchange gains or losses on the translation of a portion of its long-term debt. Such gains or losses were presented under "Financing expenses" in the financial statements.
- (v) In the fourth quarter of 2003, we conducted an impairment study of the long-lived assets at our Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill as a result of past operating losses at this facility and our assessment of the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar and market trends on this facility. Following this study, we recorded an impairment loss of \$201 million (\$135 million net of income taxes) on the net book value of property, plant and equipment of this mill. This loss was presented under "Impairment loss" in the financial statements. (vi) In the fourth quarter of 2003, income tax expense was increased by \$31 million as a result of an increase in statutory enacted income tax rates for future years and a corresponding revaluation of future income tax assets and liabilities. This charge was presented under "Income tax expense (recovery)" in the financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

- (vii) Our results include charges arising from the refinancing of US\$350 million of our debt in November 2003, as well as our proportionate share of Norampac's refinancing of substantially all its existing credit facilities and long-term debt, except those of its joint venture. These charges were recorded in the financial statements under Financing expenses .
- (viii) In the fourth quarter of 2003, our results included a charge relating to the write-off of costs incurred with respect to a potential joint venture transaction. This charge was presented under Selling, general and administrative expenses in the financial statements.

2004 VS 2003 ANNUAL OVERVIEW

---

**SALES OF \$5.1 BILLION**

Sales in 2004 amounted to \$5,115 million, a decrease of \$52 million over sales of \$5,167 million in 2003. This decrease is attributable to the negative impact of a 7% decline in the year-over-year average value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar, partially offset by higher shipments for the majority of our products and higher average selling prices for lumber, pulp and packaging products.

Overall, our U.S. dollar denominated average transaction prices for 2004 were higher than those of 2003 due to significantly higher average selling prices for lumber as well as higher average selling prices for pulp and packaging products. Our U.S. dollar denominated average transaction prices for papers in 2004 were below those of 2003.

**OPERATING PROFIT OF \$49 MILLION**

Cost of sales increased by \$46 million or 1% in 2004 compared to 2003. This increase mainly reflects higher shipments for the majority of our products, higher costs, particularly for purchased wood and freight, as well as higher duties on our softwood lumber exports to the U.S. These factors were partially offset by the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated costs as well as savings realized from restructuring activities.

Selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses decreased by \$13 million or 4% in 2004 compared to 2003. Excluding specified items, SG&A decreased by \$19 million or 6% in 2004 compared to 2003. This decrease is attributable to the \$11 million impact of higher royalty revenues relating to a license (expiring in May 2007) granted for the use of our wood preserving patent as well as the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated costs.

Operating profit in 2004 amounted to \$49 million compared to an operating loss of \$95 million in 2003. Excluding specified items, operating profit totaled \$66 million in 2004 compared to \$126 million in 2003. This decrease in operating profit excluding specified items is largely attributable to the \$175 million negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar (including

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

the effect of our hedging program), higher costs, particularly for purchased wood and freight, as well as higher softwood lumber duties. Partially offsetting the effect of higher costs and a weaker U.S. dollar were higher average selling prices for lumber, pulp and packaging products as well as higher overall shipments for the majority of our products, savings realized from restructuring activities and lower amortization expense.

**VARIANCE ANALYSIS 2004 vs 2003**

*(In millions of Canadian dollars)*

<b>2003 operating profit, excluding specified items</b>		<b>126</b>
Foreign exchange (net of hedging programs)		(175)
Selling prices		151
Softwood lumber duties		(24)
Energy costs		(1)
Quality and profitability improvement programs (Q&P):		
Shipments and mix	55	
Freight costs	(29)	
Purchased wood costs	(34)	
Other costs	(3)	
Total Q&P		(11)
<b>2004 operating profit, excluding specified items</b>		<b>66</b>

**Table of Contents****MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

As a result of the above-mentioned factors, EBITDA for 2004 compared to 2003 was as follows:

<b>EBITDA</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>		
Net loss	<b>(42)</b>	(193)
Income tax recovery	<b>(52)</b>	(67)
Financing expenses	<b>148</b>	169
Amortization of deferred gain	<b>(5)</b>	(4)
Amortization	<b>368</b>	385
Impairment loss		201
Closure and restructuring costs (portion related to fixed asset write-downs)	<b>11</b>	23
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>428</b>	514

**SPECIFIC COST REDUCTION INITIATIVES**

At the beginning of 2004, we announced plans to strengthen our quality and profitability improvement (Q&P) programs through a two-pronged program aimed at improving our results by \$200 million by the end of 2005. The first goal was to improve the profitability of our Canadian pulp and paper operations by \$50 million. The second goal was to increase our profitability by \$150 million through improvements in volume/mix and cost reductions. Due to the volatility of our current business environment, we have decided to refocus the program and aim to deliver on specific cost reduction initiatives. Although our Q&P programs are an integral part of our management approach, we wanted to focus on cost reduction initiatives.

These initiatives aim to achieve a run-rate of \$100 million in cost reductions at the end of 2005. As at December 31, 2004, we have achieved a run-rate of \$30 million in savings stemming from the restructuring of our Canadian pulp and paper operations announced in the first quarter of 2004. Although cost reductions will continue throughout 2005, the full impact of these actions will only be reflected in our 2006 results. Among the difficult but necessary decisions taken to allow the achievement of this objective, we find, among others:

The restructuring of our Canadian pulp and paper operations, which will eliminate 415 permanent positions once complete

The elimination of 400 additional permanent positions throughout Domtar, including 150 positions within management and staff functions and 250 positions within our paper mills

The restructuring of our lumber operations in northeastern Ontario, which will lead to the closure of our Chapleau sawmill and the elimination of 67 permanent positions.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The complete implementation of these measures will reduce our total workforce by 8%. The announcement of the indefinite closure of the pulp mill, one paper machine and its sheeter at our Cornwall paper mill, which will impact 390 positions, is not included in the 8% workforce reduction.

**NET LOSS OF \$42 MILLION**

Net loss for 2004 amounted to \$42 million (\$0.19 per common share) compared to a net loss of \$193 million (\$0.86 per common share) in 2003. Excluding specified items, net loss amounted to \$33 million (\$0.15 per common share) compared to \$10 million (\$0.05 per common share) in 2003. The decline in net earnings excluding specified items was attributable to the factors mentioned above as well as to lower income tax recovery, partially offset by lower financing expenses.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL**

Cash flows provided from operating activities in 2004 amounted to \$122 million compared to \$348 million in 2003. Additions to property, plant and equipment amounted to \$204 million in 2004 compared to \$236 million in 2003. We posted a negative free cash flow<sup>1</sup> of \$41 million compared to positive \$123 million in 2003. This was due to the continued weakness of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar, the impact of higher costs across our operations and higher working capital requirements.

Our total long-term debt decreased by \$25 million, largely due to the \$127 million positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated debt, partially offset by net borrowings of \$102 million. Our net debt-to-total capitalization ratio<sup>2</sup> as at December 31, 2004 stood at 49.5% compared to 48.4% as at December 31, 2003.

---

<sup>1</sup> Free cash flow is a non-GAAP measure that we define as the amount by which cash flows provided from operating activities, as determined in accordance with GAAP, exceeds net additions to property, plant and equipment, as determined in accordance with GAAP (additions to property, plant and equipment net of proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment). We use free cash flow in evaluating our ability and that of our business segments to service our debt and pay dividends to our shareholders and, as such, believe it would be useful for investors and other users to be aware of this measure so they can better assess our performance. Our free cash flow measure has no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is not necessarily comparable to similar measures presented by other companies and therefore should not be considered in isolation.

<sup>2</sup> See Net debt-to-total capitalization ratio table and definition in the Liquidities and capital resources section.



**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Q4 2004 vs Q4 2003 QUARTERLY OVERVIEW

---

**SALES OF \$1.2 BILLION**

Sales in the fourth quarter of 2004 amounted to \$1,209 million, an increase of \$32 million over sales of \$1,177 million in the fourth quarter of 2003. This increase is mainly attributable to higher average selling prices for the majority of our products except for hardwood pulp. Partially mitigating this rise in sales was the negative impact of a 7% decline in the quarter-over-quarter average value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar.

Overall, our U.S. dollar denominated average transaction prices for the fourth quarter of 2004 were higher than those of the fourth quarter of 2003 due to significantly higher average selling prices for lumber and higher average selling prices for papers, softwood pulp and packaging products.

**OPERATING LOSS OF \$12 MILLION**

Cost of sales increased by \$10 million or 1% in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to the fourth quarter of 2003. This increase mainly reflects higher shipments, as well as higher overall costs for purchased wood, fiber, chemicals, freight and energy. These factors were partially offset by the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated costs as well as savings realized from restructuring activities.

SG&A expenses decreased by \$7 million or 8% in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to the fourth quarter of 2003. Excluding specified items, SG&A decreased by \$4 million or 5% in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to the fourth quarter of 2003. The decrease in SG&A excluding specified items was mainly attributable to higher royalty revenues relating to a license granted for the use of our wood preserving patent as well as the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated costs.

Operating loss in the fourth quarter of 2004 amounted to \$12 million compared to an operating loss of \$265 million in the fourth quarter of 2003. Excluding specified items, operating loss totaled \$2 million in the fourth quarter of 2004 compared to an operating loss of \$33 million in the fourth quarter of 2003. This improvement in operating profit excluding specified items is largely attributable to higher average selling prices for the majority of our products except for hardwood pulp as well as savings realized from restructuring activities and lower amortization expense. The improvement in operating profit was partially mitigated by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar (including the effect of our hedging program) and higher overall costs, particularly for purchased wood, fiber and chemicals.

As a result of the above-mentioned factors, EBITDA in the fourth quarter of 2004 amounted to \$90 million compared to \$55 million in the fourth quarter of 2003.

Net loss in the fourth quarter of 2004 amounted to \$26 million (\$0.11 per common share) compared to \$231 million (\$1.01 per common share) in the fourth quarter of 2003.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Excluding specified items, net loss amounted to \$20 million (\$0.09 per common share), a \$23 million improvement over a net loss of \$43 million (\$0.19 per common share) in the fourth quarter of 2003. This improvement was due to the above-mentioned factors and a decrease in financing expenses, partially offset by a decrease in income tax recovery.

Papers

<b>SELECTED INFORMATION</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Sales	<b>2,891</b>	3,034
EBITDA	<b>270</b>	436
Operating loss	<b>(8)</b>	(93)
Sales of land <sup>1</sup>	<b>(33)</b>	
Restructuring and closure costs <sup>1</sup>	<b>33</b>	24
Impairment of assets <sup>1</sup>		201
Unrealized mark-to-market gains or losses <sup>1</sup>	<b>3</b>	(6)
Operating profit (loss), excluding specified items	<b>(5)</b>	126
Shipments:		
Paper (in thousands of ST)	<b>2,562</b>	2,499
Pulp (in thousands of ADST)	<b>808</b>	769
Paper shipments by product offering (%):		
Copy and offset grades	<b>52</b>	54
Uncoated commercial printing & publication and premium imaging grades	<b>21</b>	21
Coated commercial printing & publication grades	<b>12</b>	11
Technical & specialty grades	<b>15</b>	14
Total	<b>100</b>	100
Benchmark prices <sup>2</sup> :		
Copy 20 lb sheet	(US\$/TON) <b>794</b>	768
Offset 50 lb rolls	(US\$/TON) <b>676</b>	628
Coated publication, no. 3, 60 lb rolls	(US\$/TON) <b>811</b>	804
Pulp NBSK U.S. market	(US\$/ADMT) <b>640</b>	553
Pulp NBHK Japan market	(US\$/ADMT) <b>490</b>	470

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures .

<sup>2</sup> Source: Pulp & Paper Week. As such, these prices do not necessarily reflect our transaction prices.

<sup>3</sup> Based on Pulp & Paper Week's Southern Bleached Hardwood Kraft pulp prices for Japan, increased by an average differential of US\$15/ADMT between Northern and Southern Bleached Hardwood Kraft pulp prices.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**SALES AND OPERATING PROFIT**

Sales in our Papers business amounted to \$2,891 million in 2004, a decrease of \$143 million or 5% in comparison to 2003. This decrease was mainly attributable to the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar and overall lower average selling prices for paper, partially offset by higher shipments for paper and pulp and higher average selling prices for pulp.

Operating loss in our Papers business totaled \$8 million in 2004 (or \$5 million when excluding specified items) compared to an operating loss of \$93 million (or an operating profit of \$126 million when excluding specified items) in 2003. Excluding specified items, the decrease of \$131 million in operating profit is largely the result of the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar, higher purchased wood and freight costs and lower average selling prices for papers. Partially offsetting these factors were higher shipments for paper and pulp, higher average selling prices for pulp, savings realized from restructuring activities and lower amortization expense.

**PRICING ENVIRONMENT**

In our Papers business, our average transaction prices, denominated in U.S. dollars, decreased in 2004 compared to 2003. Within Canada, the decline of the U.S. dollar negatively impacted our Canadian dollar denominated prices, which are derived from U.S. dollar denominated prices.

Our average transaction prices for our basket of copy and offset grades decreased on average by approximately US\$5/ton in 2004 compared to 2003. However, our average transaction prices for copy 20 lb sheets and offset 50 lb rolls, which represented approximately 40% of our paper sales in 2004 in terms of shipments, were higher on average by US\$13/ton and US\$23/ton, respectively, in 2004 compared to 2003.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Our average transaction prices for Northern Bleached Softwood Kraft (NBSK) pulp increased by US\$69/tonne and our average transaction prices for Northern Bleached Hardwood Kraft (NBHK) pulp increased by US\$2/tonne in 2004 compared to 2003. We announced a US\$30/tonne price increase for softwood and hardwood pulp effective February 1, 2005.

**OPERATIONS**

Our paper shipments increased by 63,000 tons in 2004 compared to 2003. Our paper shipments to capacity ratio stood at 95% for 2004 compared to 91% in 2003. This improvement is mainly attributable to the fact that, in 2003, our Papers business had been impacted by weak economic conditions, our decision to both curtail production to match customer demand and end the practice of selling seconds, labor issues, and the impact of production disruptions caused by the mid-August 2003 power outage in the eastern United States and eastern Canada.

In November 2003, employees at our Vancouver paper mill went on strike after rejecting our offer for the renewal of their collective agreement. In January 2004, the strike was resolved and a new five-year labor agreement was signed with the Communications, Energy and Paperworkers Union of Canada. The mill resumed operations in early February 2004.

Negotiations are ongoing for the renewal of certain collective agreements that expired between April 2004 and September 2004 for our Cornwall, Ottawa-Hull, Espanola and Lebel-sur-Quévillon mills. The collective agreement at our Windsor mill will expire in April 2005.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**INITIATIVES**

In January 2004, we proceeded with our plan to reorganize production activities at our Vancouver paper mill to adjust our production to customer demand and increase the mill's profitability. Accordingly, we shut down one of the mill's two paper machines and restructured the activities of the other to optimize manufacturing of our Domtar Luna coated paper. This process resulted in the permanent curtailment of 45,000 tons of paper manufacturing capacity and the elimination of 85 permanent positions.

In the first quarter of 2004, we continued to implement best practices at our Cornwall, Espanola, Ottawa-Hull, Port Huron and Windsor mills. In all, 330 positions will be affected over the course of 2004 to 2006. In order to accomplish this plan, we will invest approximately \$11 million in capital expenditures in 2005 and 2006. Once fully implemented, these initiatives, in conjunction with the reorganization of operations at our Vancouver paper mill, are expected to generate annual savings of approximately \$50 million. As at December 31, 2004, the implementation of this initiative was on track and we have achieved savings of \$30 million on an annualized basis.

In line with the continued implementation of best practices across our operations, we announced further initiatives in the fourth quarter of 2004 aimed at improving our competitive position and operational flexibility. We announced the temporary closure of the pulp mill, one paper machine and its sheeter at our Cornwall mill, effective March 8, 2005, until economic and market conditions allow these assets to operate profitably. This resulted in a temporary production curtailment of 150,000 tons of pulp and 85,000 tons of paper, and will impact approximately 390 positions. We further announced that 400 permanent positions mainly related to our paper operations as well as management and staff functions will be eliminated by the end of 2005. These measures, in conjunction with the restructuring of our lumber operations in northeastern Ontario, should generate additional annualized savings of approximately \$50 million.

In addition, with respect to our capital expenditures program, we pursued investments in the roll-out of an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system and a paper logistics management system with the goal of improving the quality and efficiency of our services.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Paper merchants

<b>SELECTED INFORMATION</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>		
Sales	<b>1,057</b>	1,074
EBITDA	<b>23</b>	23
Operating profit	<b>20</b>	20
Restructuring and closure costs <sup>1</sup>	<b>1</b>	
Operating profit, excluding specified items	<b>21</b>	20

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures .

**SALES AND OPERATING PROFIT**

Our Paper Merchants business generated sales of \$1,057 million, a decrease of \$17 million or 2% in comparison to 2003. This decrease was attributable to the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar and lower average paper selling prices, partially offset by higher shipments.

Operating profit totaled \$20 million in 2004, or \$21 million when excluding specified items (reflecting an operating margin of 2.0%), compared to \$20 million (reflecting an operating margin of 1.9%) in 2003. The \$1 million increase in operating profit excluding specified items was primarily due to higher shipments, partially offset by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Wood

<b>SELECTED INFORMATION</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Sales		
Lumber sales	<b>496</b>	393
Wood chips and other sales	<b>175</b>	161
Sub-total	<b>671</b>	554
Intersegment sales	<b>(130)</b>	(117)
	<b>541</b>	437
EBITDA	<b>31</b>	(27)
Operating loss	<b>(27)</b>	(68)
Closure and restructuring costs <sup>1</sup>	<b>14</b>	
Write-off of deferred costs <sup>1</sup>		2
Operating loss, excluding specified items	<b>(13)</b>	(66)
Shipments (millions of FBM)	<b>1,009</b>	999
Shipments by product offering (%):		
Random lengths	<b>36</b>	39
Studs	<b>36</b>	37
Value-added	<b>23</b>	18
Industrial	<b>5</b>	6
Total	<b>100</b>	100
Benchmark prices <sup>2</sup> :		
Lumber G.L. 2x4 R/L no. 1 & no. 2	(US\$/MFBM) <b>459</b>	340
Lumber G.L. 2x4x8 stud	(US\$/MFBM) <b>417</b>	327
Lumber duties (cash deposits)	<b>69</b>	45

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures .

<sup>2</sup> Source: Random Lengths. As such, these prices do not necessarily reflect our transaction prices.

**SALES AND OPERATING PROFIT**

Sales in our Wood business amounted to \$541 million, an increase of \$104 million over sales of \$437 million in 2003. This increase is largely due to a significant rise in average selling prices for lumber and higher shipments, partially



offset by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Operating loss in our Wood business totaled \$27 million (or \$13 million when excluding specified items) in 2004 compared to an operating loss of \$68 million (or \$66 million when excluding specified items) in 2003. The \$53 million reduction in operating loss when excluding specified items was largely the result of significantly higher average selling prices for lumber, partially offset by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar, higher softwood lumber duties, higher road construction and maintenance costs and higher amortization expense.

Cash deposits of \$69 million were made on our softwood lumber exports to the U.S. in 2004 compared to \$45 million in 2003. Since May 22, 2002, cash deposits of \$145 million (US\$105 million) for countervailing and antidumping duties have been made and expensed by Domtar (at a stable rate of 27.22%). As of January 1, 2005, cash deposits for countervailing and antidumping duties are being made and expensed by Domtar at a new rate of 20.95%.

**PRICING ENVIRONMENT**

Our average transaction prices for Great Lakes 2x4 studs and random lengths increased by US\$92/MFBM and US\$124/MFBM, respectively, in 2004 compared to 2003. Our Canadian dollar denominated prices rose by a lesser amount, given that these are derived from U.S. dollar denominated prices.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**OPERATIONS**

During the first quarter of 2004, we created a 50-50 joint venture with Gogama Forest Products Ltd. to form a fully integrated sawmill, kiln and planer operation in northern Ontario that will produce approximately 60 million board feet per year of lumber previously dried and dressed at our Sault Ste. Marie planer facility.

Throughout 2004, certain of our operations were negatively impacted by temporary mill closures, which were beyond our control. Production at our White River sawmill, which was halted in mid-2003, reopened only in late February 2004. In addition, our Timmins sawmill and Gogama joint venture were affected by labor disruptions at transportation suppliers. Operations at our Chapleau sawmill were reduced to one shift, effective April 30, 2004, due to a substantial reduction in fiber supply availability. Furthermore, in the third quarter of 2004, we announced a temporary halt to woodland operations in our Val d'Or and Malartic forests, effective October 4, 2004, due to a shortage of logs resulting from a dispute between the Algonquin communities of Lac Simon and Winneway and the Government of Quebec. This temporary halt lasted for six weeks.

In early 2005, we announced, in conjunction with Tembec Inc. (Tembec), the restructuring of our northeastern Ontario sawmill operations, resulting in the permanent closure of our Chapleau sawmill as of March 6, 2005 and an investment in a new finger-jointed plant with Tembec, to be located on the current site of Tembec's Kirkland Lake sawmill, which is slated for closure. This measure will impact approximately 67 permanent positions. This initiative arose from a review of our northeastern Ontario sawmill operations in light of prevailing challenging conditions, namely higher energy costs, a declining U.S. dollar and countervailing

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

and antidumping duties on softwood lumber exports to the United States. This initiative will allow us to add a third shift at our Elk Lake sawmill in April 2005 to process fiber that will become available after the closure of the Tembec sawmill at Kirkland Lake.

Throughout 2003, extended holiday shutdowns taken at several mills in order to avoid inventory buildups in a declining product-pricing environment negatively impacted our operations. In addition, certain of our operations were negatively impacted by temporary and permanent mill closures. Our Ste-Marie sawmill was closed in 2002 due to the Canada-U.S. softwood lumber dispute and reopened only at the end of May 2003, and our Grand-Remous sawmill ceased operations in 2002 due to a dispute between the Barriere Lake First Nation and the Governments of Quebec and Canada and reopened only at the end of April 2003. The sale of the Sault Ste. Marie hardwood lumber facility in March 2003, and the Daveluyville remanufacturing facility in April 2003, as well as the closure of the Sault Ste. Marie planer facility in August 2003 due to its non-cost competitiveness, also impacted our operations negatively in 2003. Furthermore, production at our White River sawmill was halted in mid-2003 due to difficult market conditions and overcapacity within the North American market as well as the Canada-U.S. softwood lumber dispute.

Finally, we continued to pursue specific modernization projects at our facilities aimed at improving profitability in our Wood business. In 2004, the Matagami, Ste-Marie, Timmins and Malartic facilities achieved productivity improvement gains in comparison to 2003. We will continue to examine opportunities to further improve the profitability of our Wood business through additional cost reductions and strategic initiatives.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Packaging

<b>SELECTED INFORMATION</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Sales	<b>626</b>	622
EBITDA	<b>86</b>	80
Operating profit	<b>53</b>	48
Unrealized mark-to-market gains <sup>1</sup>	<b>(1)</b>	
Operating profit, excluding specified items	<b>52</b>	48
Shipments <sup>2</sup> :		
Containerboard (in thousands of ST)	<b>300</b>	320
Corrugated containers (in millions of square feet)	<b>6,802</b>	6,699
Benchmark prices <sup>3</sup>		
Unbleached kraft linerboard, 42 lb East	(US\$/TON) <b>468</b>	421

<sup>1</sup> Refer to Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures .

<sup>2</sup> Represents 50% of Norampac's trade shipments.

<sup>3</sup> Source: Pulp & Paper Week. As such, these prices do not necessarily reflect our transaction prices.

**SALES AND OPERATING PROFIT**

Our 50% share of Norampac's sales amounted to \$626 million in 2004, an increase of \$4 million or 1% compared to 2003. This increase was mainly due to higher average selling prices for both containerboard and corrugated containers, partially offset by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar.

Our 50% share of Norampac's operating profit amounted to \$53 million in 2004 (or \$52 million when excluding specified items) compared to \$48 million in 2003. This \$4 million or 8% increase in operating profit when excluding specified items is mainly attributable to higher average selling prices for both containerboard and corrugated containers and lower energy costs, partially offset by the negative impact of a weaker U.S. dollar and higher freight costs.

**PRICING ENVIRONMENT**

Norampac's average Canadian dollar net selling prices for containerboard products increased by 2% in 2004 compared to 2003, as the increase in U.S. dollar pricing more than offset the decline in the U.S. dollar. Norampac's average Canadian dollar net selling prices for corrugated boxes remained relatively stable in 2004 compared to 2003. Since the beginning of 2004, several price increases were realized for both containerboard and corrugated containers.



**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**OPERATIONS**

Norampac's North American integration level, the percentage of containerboard produced by Norampac that is consumed by its own box plants, increased to 64% in the fourth quarter of 2004, up from 60% in the fourth quarter of 2003.

Containerboard production at the Burnaby mill restarted in early-December after the strike that began in April 2004 was resolved.

During the year, Norampac acquired two corrugated products plants in Thomson, Connecticut and Lancaster, New York.

During the second quarter of 2004, Norampac announced the closure, slated for the first quarter of 2005, of its Concord, Ontario corrugated product plant, aimed at improving efficiency and profitability.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Financing expenses  
and income taxes

---

**FINANCING EXPENSES**

In 2004, financing expenses amounted to \$148 million (or \$153 when excluding specified items of \$5 million relating to our proportionate share of Norampac's foreign exchange gain on the translation of a portion of its long-term debt) compared to \$169 million in 2003 (or \$161 million when excluding specified items of \$9 million relating to our proportionate share of Norampac's foreign exchange gain on the translation of a portion of its long-term debt and \$17 million relating to refinancing costs). This \$8 million decrease in financing expenses when excluding specified items is largely due to the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar interest expense.

**INCOME TAXES**

In 2004, our income tax recovery totaled \$52 million compared to an income tax recovery of \$67 million in 2003. The variation in our income tax recovery results from a combination of factors, including the relative effect of permanent differences in a minimal profit/loss situation, the mix and level of earnings subject to different tax jurisdictions and differences in tax rates applicable to our foreign subsidiaries. A tax recovery adjustment of \$4 million recorded in the second quarter of 2004 following the reassessment of prior years by tax authorities further explains the variation in the income tax recovery.

Balance sheet

---

Our total consolidated assets were \$5,688 million as at December 31, 2004 compared to \$5,848 million as at December 31, 2003. Receivables amounted to \$233 million as at December 31, 2004, an increase of \$36 million when compared to \$197 million as at December 31, 2003. This increase reflects higher sales in December 2004 compared to December 2003, mainly due to higher average selling prices for all our major products, partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated receivables. Total inventories as at December 31, 2004 were \$723 million, an increase of \$53 million when compared to \$670 million as at December 31, 2003. This increase mainly reflects higher levels of raw materials (roundwood inventory) held at the sawmills due to a longer work period in December 2004 compared to December 2003 and a carrier strike, as well as to higher production levels compared to shipments. This increase in inventory was partially offset by the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated inventories. Property, plant and equipment as at December 31, 2004 totaled \$4,215 million



**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

compared to \$4,533 million as at December 31, 2003. This \$318 million decrease was mainly due to a greater level of amortization expense compared to capital expenditures and the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. mill assets. Other assets stood at \$265 million as at December 31, 2004 compared to \$212 million as at December 31, 2003. This \$53 million increase was mainly attributable to higher funding of our pension assets compared to pension expense and a reclassification of investment tax credits receivable.

Trade and other payables were \$654 million as at December 31, 2004, an increase of \$2 million compared to \$652 million as at December 31, 2003. This increase is mainly attributable to provisions for restructuring costs, mitigated by the timing of payments and purchases in December 2004 versus December 2003 and the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated trade and other payables. Long-term debt (including the portion due within one year) was \$2,034 million as at December 31, 2004, a decrease of \$25 million compared to \$2,059 million as at December 31, 2003. This decrease is mainly attributable to the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated debt, partially offset by additional net borrowings. Accumulated foreign translation adjustments were negative \$190 million as at December 31, 2004 compared to negative \$145 million as at December 31, 2003. This variation reflected the net impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on the net assets of our self-sustaining U.S. subsidiaries, or \$141 million, net of the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on the long-term debt designated as a hedge of the above-mentioned net assets, or \$117 million, and its corresponding income tax effect of \$21 million.

Liquidity and  
capital resources

---

<b>SELECTED INFORMATION</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Cash flows provided from operating activities before changes in working capital and other items	<b>249</b>	349
Changes in working capital and other items	<b>(127)</b>	(1)
Cash flows provided from operating activities	<b>122</b>	348
Net additions to property, plant and equipment	<b>(163)</b>	(225)
Free cash flow	<b>(41)</b>	123

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Our principal cash requirements are for working capital, capital expenditures, principal and interest payments on our debt and dividend payments. We expect to fund our liquidity needs primarily with internally generated funds from our operations and, to the extent necessary, through borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We also have the ability to fund liquidity requirements through the issuance of debt and/or equity. The availability and cost of debt financing is dependent, among other things, upon our credit ratings.

**OPERATING ACTIVITIES**

Cash flows provided from operating activities totaled \$122 million in 2004 compared to \$348 million in 2003. This \$226 million decrease mainly reflects increased requirements for working capital, primarily due to receivables and inventory fluctuations and the above-mentioned decrease in EBITDA. Our operating cash flow requirements are primarily for salaries and benefits, the purchase of fiber, energy and raw materials and other expenses such as property taxes.

In the first quarter of 2004, we terminated, prior to maturity, interest rate swap contracts, which were initially designated as a hedge of the fair value of the 5.375% notes due November 2013 for net cash proceeds of \$20 million (US\$15 million). The resulting gain of \$17 million, recorded under "Other liabilities and deferred credits", is being amortized over the original designated hedging period of the underlying 5.375% notes due in November 2013.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**INVESTING ACTIVITIES**

Cash flows used for investing activities totaled \$183 million in 2004, compared to \$238 million in 2003. This \$55 million decrease in cash flows used for investing activities was mainly due to lower additions to property, plant and equipment (\$204 million in 2004 compared to \$236 million in 2003) and higher proceeds on disposals of property, plant and equipment (\$41 million in 2004 compared to \$11 million in 2003) due to sales of surplus land. These factors were partially offset by an increase in cash flows used for Norampac's business acquisitions. We intend to limit our annual capital expenditures to below 75% of amortization. Capital expenditures required to maintain existing operations are expected to amount to approximately \$125 million in 2005.

Free cash flow in 2004 was negative \$41 million compared to positive \$123 million in 2003, reflecting a deterioration of \$164 million due mainly to reduced operating cash flows as a result of the above-mentioned factors.

**FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

In 2004, cash flows provided from financing activities amounted to \$64 million compared to cash flows used for financing activities of \$96 million in 2003. This increase is largely due to higher borrowings made under our revolving credit facility and lower net repayments made on our long-term debt.

<b>NET DEBT-TO-TOTAL CAPITALIZATION RATIO</b> <sup>1</sup> <i>As at December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Bank indebtedness	<b>22</b>	19
Long-term debt (including portion due within one year)	<b>2,034</b>	2,059
Cash and cash equivalents	<b>(52)</b>	(48)
<b>Net debt</b>	<b>2,004</b>	2,030
Shareholders' equity	<b>2,046</b>	2,168
Total capitalization	<b>4,050</b>	4,198
<b>Net debt-to-total capitalization (%)</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	48.4%

<sup>1</sup> Net debt-to-total capitalization ratio is a non-GAAP measure. We track this ratio on a regular basis in order to assess our debt position. We therefore believe it would be useful for investors and other users to be aware of this measure so they can better assess our performance. Net debt-to-total capitalization ratio has no standardized meaning prescribed by GAAP and is not necessarily comparable to similar measures presented by other companies and therefore should not be considered in isolation.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

As at December 31, 2004, our net debt-to-total capitalization ratio stood at 49.5% compared to 48.4% as at December 31, 2003. Net indebtedness, including \$174 million representing our 50% share of the net indebtedness of Norampac, was \$2,004 million as at December 31, 2004. This compares to \$2,030 million as at December 31, 2003, including \$180 million for our 50% share of the net indebtedness of Norampac. The \$26 million decrease in net indebtedness was largely due to the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated debt, partially offset by increased borrowings under our revolving credit facilities.

We have a bank credit facility comprised of a US\$71 million (\$86 million) bank term loan as at December 31, 2004 compared to US\$76 million (\$99 million) as at December 31, 2003 and a US\$500 million revolving credit facility. The term loan bears interest based on the U.S. dollar LIBOR rate or the U.S. prime rate, plus a margin that varies with Domtar's credit rating.

As at December 31, 2004, US\$112 million (\$135 million) was drawn, US\$9 million (\$10 million) of letters of credit was outstanding and no amounts were drawn in the form of bank overdraft and included in Bank indebtedness under the US\$500 million revolving credit facility, resulting in US\$379 million (\$456 million) of availability for future drawings. A provision of \$5 million was recorded related to the letters of credit. As at December 31, 2003, US\$23 million (\$30 million) was drawn, US\$8 million (\$10 million) of letters of credit was outstanding and US\$5 million (\$7 million) was drawn in the form of bank overdraft and included in Bank indebtedness, resulting in US\$464 million (\$600 million) of availability under this facility. Borrowings under this revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate based on the Canadian dollar bankers' acceptance or prime rate, or the U.S. dollar LIBOR rate or prime rate, plus a margin that varies with Domtar's credit rating. This bank facility also requires commitment fees in accordance with standard banking practices.

Our borrowing agreements contain restrictive covenants. In particular, our unsecured bank credit facility contains covenants that require compliance with certain financial ratios on a quarterly basis. In addition, the indentures related to the 10% and 10.85% debentures limit the amount of dividends that may be paid, the amount of shares that may be repurchased for cancellation and the amount of new long-term debt that may be incurred.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**CREDIT RATINGS**

rating agency	security	rating
Dominion Bond Rating Service	Unsecured Notes and Debentures	BBB (low)
	Preferred Shares	Pfd-4 (high)
Moody's	Unsecured Notes and Debentures	Baa3
Standard & Poor's	Unsecured Notes and Debentures	BBB-

The above ratings represent a risk assessment of our public unsecured debt securities. The rating by Dominion Bond Rating Service is the fourth most favourable rating in terms of quality within ten rating gradations with the "low" indicating a ranking in the lower end of this rating category. The rating by Moody's is the fourth most favourable rating in terms of quality within nine rating gradations with the numerical modifier 3 indicating a ranking in the lower end of this rating category. The rating by Standard & Poor's is the fourth most favourable rating in terms of quality within ten rating gradations with the "minus" indicating a ranking in the lower end of this rating category. Both Moody's and Standard & Poor's have a "negative outlook" with respect to our credit ratings.

A reduction in our credit ratings could impact our access to and cost of capital and financial flexibility in the future. The above ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell or hold securities and may be subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the above rating agencies.

In 2004, common shares amounting to \$19 million were issued pursuant to our stock option and share purchase plans versus \$15 million in 2003. We did not purchase for cancellation any of our common shares in either of the years ended December 31, 2004 or December 31, 2003.

As at February 28, 2005, we had 230,408,313 common shares, 69,576 Series A Preferred Shares and 1,470,000 Series B Preferred Shares issued and outstanding.

As at February 28, 2005, we had 5,215,929 common share purchase options issued and outstanding under the Executive stock option and Share purchase plan.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

---

In the normal course of business, we finance certain of our activities off balance sheet through leases and securitizations.

**LEASES**

On an ongoing basis, we enter into operating leases for property, plant and equipment. Minimum future rental payments under these operating leases, determined as at December 31, 2004, amounted to \$136 million, of which \$30 million related to our proportionate 50% share of Norampac.

**SECURITIZATIONS**

The Corporation sells a portion of its Canadian and U.S. receivables through securitization programs. The Corporation uses securitization of its receivables as a source of financing by reducing its working capital requirements. This securitization consists of the sale of receivables, or the sale of senior beneficial interest in them, to special purpose trusts managed by financial institutions for multiple sellers of receivables. The agreements normally allow the daily sale of new receivables to replace those that have been collected. They also limit the cash that can be received from the sale of the senior beneficial interest. The subordinate interest retained by the Corporation is included in Receivables and will be collected only after the senior beneficial interest has been settled.

As at December 31, 2004, the value of securitized receivables amounted to \$236 million compared to \$227 million as at December 31, 2003. The Corporation expects to continue to sell receivables on an ongoing basis, given the attractive discount rates. Should it decide to discontinue these programs, its working capital and bank debt requirements would increase. Such sales of receivables are contingent upon retaining specified credit ratings. The loss of such ratings would, absent appropriate waivers, increase working capital and bank debt requirements.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

GUARANTEES

**INDEMNIFICATIONS**

In the normal course of business, the Corporation offers indemnifications relating to the sale of its businesses and real estate. In general, these indemnifications may relate to claims from past business operations, the failure to abide by covenants and the breach of representations and warranties included in the sales agreements. Typically, such representations and warranties relate to taxation, environmental, product and employee matters. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally for an unlimited period of time. As at December 31, 2004, the Corporation is unable to estimate the potential maximum liabilities for these types of indemnification guarantees as the amounts are contingent upon the outcome of future events, the nature and likelihood of which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. Accordingly, no provisions have been recorded in the financial statements. These indemnifications have not yielded significant expenses in the past.

**PENSION PLANS**

We have indemnified and held harmless the trustees of Domtar's pension funds, and the respective officers, directors, employees and agents of such trustees, from any and all costs and expenses arising out of the performance of their obligations under the relevant trust agreements, including in respect of their reliance on authorized instructions of Domtar or for failing to act in the absence of authorized instructions. These indemnifications survive the termination of such agreements. As at December 31, 2004, we had not recorded a liability associated with these indemnifications, as we do not expect to make any payments pertaining to these indemnifications.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**E.B. EDDY ACQUISITION**

On July 31, 1998, the Corporation acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of E.B. Eddy Limited and E.B. Eddy Paper, Inc. (E.B. Eddy), an integrated producer of specialty paper and wood products. The purchase agreement includes a purchase price adjustment whereby, in the event of the acquisition by a third party of more than 50% of the shares of the Corporation in specified circumstances, the Corporation may have to pay up to a maximum of \$120 million, an amount which is gradually declining over a 25-year period. As at December 31, 2004, the maximum amount of the purchase price adjustment was \$110 million. No provision was recorded related to this potential purchase price adjustment, as the Corporation does not expect to make any payments pertaining to this purchase price adjustment.

**DEBT AGREEMENTS**

Certain debt agreements require the Corporation to indemnify the parties in the event of changes in elements such as withholding tax regulations. As the nature and scope of such indemnifications is contingent on future events, none of which can be foreseen as at December 31, 2004, and the structure of such transactions make these events unlikely, no provisions have been recorded in the financial statements.

**LEASES**

The Corporation has guaranteed to various lessors \$8 million of residual value of its assets under operating leases with expiry dates in 2006. If the fair value of the assets at the end of the lease terms is lower than the residual value guaranteed, the Corporation would be held liable for the shortfall. The Corporation's management does not believe that these are likely to be called upon and, as such, no provisions have been recorded in the financial statements with respect to these guarantees.



**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS  
AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

---

In the normal course of business, we enter into certain contractual obligations and commercial commitments. The following tables provide our obligations and commitments as at December 31, 2004:

**CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

CONTRACT TYPE	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>							
Debentures and notes		181				1,600	1,781
Other	8	216	2	12	3	12	253
Long-term debt	8	397	2	12	3	1,612	2,034
Operating leases	36	29	20	15	12	24	136
<b>Total obligations</b>	44	426	22	27	15	1,636	2,170

**COMMERCIAL OBLIGATIONS**

COMMITMENT TYPE	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	THEREAFTER	TOTAL
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>							
Letters of credit	16	3					19
Other commercial commitments*	121	60	55	46	39	94	415
<b>Total commitments</b>	137	63	55	46	39	94	434

\* Includes commitments to purchase roundwood, wood chips, gas, electricity and certain chemicals.

For 2005 and the foreseeable future, we expect cash flows from operations and from our various sources of financing to be sufficient to meet our contractual obligations and commercial commitments.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Selected quarterly financial information

<b>SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>		
Sales	1,388	1,336
EBITDA	176	152
Operating profit (loss)	78	56
Excluding specified items	73	55
Net earnings (loss)	27	8
Excluding specified items	22	11
Net earnings (loss) per share (in dollars):		
Basic	0.12	0.03
Basic, excluding specified items	0.09	0.05
Diluted	0.12	0.03
Average exchange rates	CAN\$ 1.510	1.398
	US\$0.662	0.715

Selected quarterly financial information for the eight most recently completed quarters ending December 31, 2004 is disclosed above.

Sales and operating profit experienced a steady decline throughout 2003. This was mainly in line with the steady weakening of the U.S. dollar and continued low selling prices. In addition, lower shipments further impacted our results during this period. Our quality and profitability improvement programs initiated in 2002 were hampered by difficult market conditions in 2003, but did enable us, when excluding the impact of lower volumes, to more than offset the impact of inflation on salaries and benefits.

The first quarter of 2004 started in much the same fashion as the fourth quarter of 2003, mostly due to the impact of higher shipments and sustained efforts to reduce costs being offset by lower selling prices. However, the second and third quarters of 2004 showed a marked improvement, despite the continued weakening of the U.S. dollar, due to higher average selling prices for all our products as price increases were being implemented. Our paper shipments have remained relatively stable from the beginning of the year through to the third quarter of 2004. The fourth quarter of 2004 was weak, as demand for the majority of our products slowed, the weakness of the U.S. dollar continued to impact our results and we continued to face high costs for fiber and energy usage. Shipments for papers, lumber and

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

		2003					2004
3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	YEAR	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	YEAR
1,266	1,177	5,167	<b>1,225</b>	<b>1,346</b>	<b>1,335</b>	<b>1,209</b>	<b>5,115</b>
131	55	514	<b>58</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>428</b>
36	(265)	(95)	<b>(33)</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>(12)</b>	<b>49</b>
31	(33)	126	<b>(30)</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>(2)</b>	<b>66</b>
3	(231)	(193)	<b>(44)</b>	<b>(1)</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>(26)</b>	<b>(42)</b>
	(43)	(10)	<b>(40)</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>(20)</b>	<b>(33)</b>
0.01	(1.01)	(0.86)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.01)</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>(0.11)</b>	<b>(0.19)</b>
	(0.19)	(0.05)	<b>(0.18)</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>(0.09)</b>	<b>(0.15)</b>
0.01	(1.01)	(0.86)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.01)</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>(0.11)</b>	<b>(0.19)</b>
1.380	1.316	1.401	<b>1.318</b>	<b>1.359</b>	<b>1.307</b>	<b>1.221</b>	<b>1.301</b>
0.725	0.760	0.714	<b>0.759</b>	<b>0.736</b>	<b>0.765</b>	<b>0.819</b>	<b>0.769</b>

corrugated containers for the fourth quarter of 2004 were lower than the third quarter, mainly due to seasonally weaker demand. Typically, second and third quarters are seasonally stronger in terms of results, as demand normally picks up during the spring and summer months.

In light of the volatility in our current business environment (weakness of the U.S. dollar, high costs for freight and purchased wood and the ongoing Canada U.S. softwood lumber dispute), we decided to refocus on specific cost reduction initiatives aimed at achieving a run-rate of \$100 million in cost reductions by the end of 2005. In addition, we will continue to work on improving both our volumes and mix.

#### 2003 COMPARED TO 2002

Sales in 2003 amounted to \$5,167 million, down \$692 million or 12% from sales of \$5,859 million in 2002. This decrease was mainly attributable to the effect of an 11% rapid decline in the year-over-year average value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar (approximate \$490 million impact, including the effect of our hedging program) as well as lower shipments for the majority of our products, except corrugated containers, caused

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

primarily by weak demand (approximate \$150 million impact). Overall, our U.S. dollar denominated average transaction prices for 2003 were unchanged in comparison to 2002 and remained low compared to historical average prices. Within Canada, however, the decline of the U.S. dollar negatively impacted our Canadian dollar denominated prices, which are derived from U.S. dollar denominated prices.

Cost of sales decreased by \$351 million in 2003 or 7% compared to 2002. This decrease was mainly due to the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated operating expenses, lower shipments and the impact of our quality and profitability improvement programs. These factors were partially offset by higher energy costs and the inclusion in 2003 (\$10 million) of fewer investment tax credits related to research and development expenses from current and prior years compared to 2002 (\$16 million). The 2002 results were impacted by the reversal of a \$28 million provision, of which \$8 million was recorded in the first quarter of 2002 and \$20 million was recorded in the second half of 2001, for countervailing and antidumping duties pertaining to the Canada-U.S. softwood lumber dispute.

SG&A expenses decreased by \$10 million or 3% (or \$6 million or 2% when excluding specified items) in 2003 compared to 2002. This mainly reflected the impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated costs. Lower employee profit-sharing expenses in 2003 compared to 2002 and other cost reduction initiatives also contributed to the decrease in SG&A. These factors were partially offset by an increase in stock-based compensation and pension expenses.

Operating loss was \$95 million in 2003 compared to an operating profit of \$384 million in 2002. Excluding specified items, operating profit amounted to \$126 million in 2003, down \$321 million or 72% from an operating profit excluding specified items of \$447 million in 2002. This decrease was largely due to the \$222 million impact of the weaker U.S. dollar (including the effect of our hedging program), lower shipments for the majority of our products, except corrugated containers, higher energy costs and higher countervailing and antidumping duties on our softwood lumber exports to the U.S. However, the positive impact of our quality and profitability improvement programs, when excluding the impact of lower shipments, allowed us to more than offset the impact of inflation on salaries and benefits.

As a result of the above-mentioned factors, EBITDA for 2003 amounted to \$514 million compared to \$809 million in 2002.

Net loss for 2003 amounted to \$193 million (\$0.86 per common share) compared to net earnings of \$141 million (or \$0.62 per common share) in 2002. Excluding specified items, net loss amounted to \$10 million (\$0.05 per common share) in 2003, down \$193 million from net earnings excluding specified items of \$183 million (\$0.80 per common share) in 2002. The year-over-year deterioration in net earnings was attributable to the factors mentioned above, partially offset by a decrease in financing expenses due to lower interest rates, the positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. interest expense and lower debt levels and lower income taxes.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Cash flows provided from operating activities in 2003 amounted to \$348 million compared to \$677 million in 2002. Additions to property, plant and equipment amounted to \$236 million in 2003 compared to \$226 million in 2002. Despite difficult market conditions, we generated free cash flow of \$123 million in 2003 compared to \$454 million in 2002. Free cash flow generated in 2003 was applied primarily to debt reduction and the payment of dividends.

Our total long-term debt decreased by \$455 million, largely due to the \$408 million positive impact of a weaker U.S. dollar on our U.S. dollar denominated debt and net repayments of \$47 million. Our net debt-to-total capitalization ratio as at December 31, 2003 was 48.4% compared to 49.4% as at December 31, 2002.

**Accounting changes**

---

**GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

On January 1, 2004, we adopted the new Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook Section 1100 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles recommendations and Section 1400 General Standards of Financial Statement Presentation recommendations. Section 1100 describes what constitutes Canadian GAAP and its sources and provides guidance on sources to consult when selecting accounting policies and determining appropriate disclosures when a matter is not dealt with explicitly in the primary sources of GAAP, thereby recodifying the Canadian GAAP hierarchy. Section 1400 provides general guidance on financial statement presentation and further clarifies what constitutes fair presentation in accordance with GAAP.

Accordingly, we reclassified delivery costs as well as countervailing and antidumping duties on exports of softwood lumber to the United States from Sales to Cost of sales .

The adoption of these recommendations had no other significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**HEDGING RELATIONSHIPS**

On January 1, 2004, we adopted the new CICA Accounting Guideline No.13 (AcG-13) Hedging Relationships . This accounting guideline addresses the identification, designation, documentation and effectiveness of the hedging relationships for the purpose of applying hedge accounting. In addition, it deals with the discontinuance of hedge accounting and establishes conditions for applying hedge accounting. Under this guideline, documentation of the information related to hedging relationships is required and the effectiveness of the hedges must be demonstrated and documented. As of January 1, 2004, we had in place all necessary hedge documentation to apply hedge accounting for interest rate swaps, forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency options and bunker oil and electricity swaps.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

For the exposure to price risk associated with sales of NBSK pulp swaps, as well as old corrugated containers, unbleached kraft linerboard and semi-chemical medium paper swaps, we do not meet the Canadian GAAP criteria for hedge effectiveness. As a result, we account for these contracts at their fair value. The fair value of these contracts is re-evaluated each quarter and a gain or loss is recorded in the Consolidated earnings. Notwithstanding the fact that these commodity swap contracts do not meet the Canadian GAAP criteria under AcG-13, we believe, from an operational and a cash flow point of view, that these contracts are effective in managing our risk. For the year ended December 31, 2004, a gain of \$1 million (nil per common share) is included in Selling, general and administrative expenses representing the gain on the marked to market of the commodity swaps.

**ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

On January 1, 2004, we adopted retroactively with restatement of prior periods the new CICA Handbook Section 3110 Asset Retirement Obligations, which requires entities to record a liability at fair value, in the period in which it incurs a legal obligation associated to the retirement of an asset. The associated costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related asset and depreciated over its remaining useful life. The liability is accreted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate. Section 3110 is analogous to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 143 Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which was adopted for U.S. GAAP purposes on January 1, 2003. Asset retirement obligations in connection with the adoption of Section 3110 were primarily linked to landfill capping obligations, asbestos removal obligations and demolition of certain abandoned buildings. For such assets, a liability is initially recognized in the period in which sufficient information exists to estimate a range of possible settlement dates. The adoption of Section 3110 has decreased the December 31, 2003 retained earnings by \$3 million or \$0.01 per common share (2002 - nil), decreased assets by \$7 million and decreased liabilities by \$4 million.

**EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS**

On January 1, 2004, the CICA amended CICA Handbook Section 3461 Employee Future Benefits. Section 3461 requires additional disclosures on the assets, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other employee future benefit plans. The new annual disclosures are effective for years ending on or after June 30, 2004. We adopted the amendments of Section 3461 and provided additional disclosures of the defined benefit pension plans and other employee future benefit plans in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Impact of accounting pronouncements  
not yet implemented  

---

**CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

In June 2003, the CICA issued Accounting Guideline No. 15 (AcG-15) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities . AcG-15 has been revised to harmonize with the new Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46R) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities . AcG-15 requires that an enterprise holding other than a voting interest in a variable interest entity ( VIE ) could, subject to certain conditions, be required to consolidate the VIE if it is considered its primary beneficiary whereby it would absorb the majority of the VIEs expected losses and/or receive the majority of its expected residual returns. In addition, AcG-15 prescribes certain disclosures for VIEs that are not consolidated but in which an enterprise has a significant variable interest. AcG-15 applies to annual and interim periods beginning on or after November 1, 2004. Effective January 1, 2004, we adopted FIN 46R on a U.S. GAAP basis. There was no initial impact on the consolidated financial statements under U.S. GAAP following the adoption of this recommendation. We do not expect this guideline to have an initial impact on our consolidated financial statements under Canadian GAAP.

**FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

In January 2005, the CICA issued three new accounting proposed sections in relation to financial instruments: proposed Section 3855 Financial Instruments - Recognition and measurement , proposed Section 3865 Hedges and proposed Section 1530 Comprehensive Income . Proposed Sections 3855, 3865 and 1530 apply to fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2006.

Proposed Section 3855 expands on Section 3860 Financial Instruments - Disclosure and Presentation , by prescribing when a financial instrument is to be recognized on the balance sheet and at what amount. It also specifies how financial instrument gains and losses are to be presented.

Proposed Section 3865 provides alternative accounting treatments to proposed Section 3855 for entities that choose to designate qualifying transactions as hedges for accounting purposes. It replaces and expands on AcG-13 Hedging Relationship and the hedging guidance in Section 1650 Foreign Currency Translation by specifying how hedge accounting is applied and what disclosures are necessary when it is applied.

Proposed Section 1530 introduces a new requirement to present certain revenues, expenses, gains and losses, that otherwise would not be immediately recorded in income, in a comprehensive income financial statement with the same prominence as any other financial statements that constitute a complete set of financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

The application of these new accounting sections for financial instruments is not expected to have a significant effect on our financial position or results of operations but will require us to present a new financial statement entitled Comprehensive Income .

**Critical accounting policies**

---

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect our results of operations and financial position. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates, including those related to environmental matters, useful lives, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, pension and other employee future benefit plans, income taxes and asset retirement obligations based upon currently available information. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The following critical accounting policies reflect matters that contain a significant level of management estimates about future events, reflect the most complex and subjective judgments, and are subject to a fair degree of measurement uncertainty.

**ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS**

Environmental expenditures for effluent treatment, air emission, landfill operation and closure, asbestos containment and removal, bark pile management, silvicultural activities and site remediation (together referred to as environmental matters) are expensed or capitalized depending upon their future economic benefit. In the normal course of business, we incur certain operating costs for environmental matters that are expensed as incurred. Expenditures for property, plant and equipment that prevent future environmental contamination are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over 10 to 40 years. Provisions for environmental matters are not discounted and are recorded when remediation efforts are likely and can be reasonably determined.

While we believe that we have determined the costs for environmental matters likely to be incurred, based on known information, our ongoing efforts to identify potential environmental concerns that may be associated with our former and present operations may lead to future environmental investigations. These efforts may result in the determination of additional environmental costs and liabilities, which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

As at December 31, 2004, we had a provision of \$57 million for environmental matters and other asset retirement obligations. Additional costs, not known or identifiable, could be incurred for remediation efforts. Based on policies and procedures in place to monitor environmental exposure, we believe that such additional remediation costs would not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, earnings or cash flows.

In addition, the pulp and paper industry in the United States is subject to Cluster Rules and Boiler M.A.C.T. (maximum achievable control technology) Rules that further regulate



**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

effluent and air emissions. We comply with all present regulations and we anticipate spending approximately \$52 million over the next three years to meet such requirements.

As at December 31, 2004, anticipated payments in each of the next five years are as follows:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	thereafter	total
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>							
Environmental matters	21	7	2	2	2	23	57
Cluster Rules obligation	14	8					22
Boiler M.A.C.T Rules obligation		18	12				30
	35	33	14	2	2	23	109

In 2004, our operating expenses for environmental matters totaled \$69 million and we capitalized an additional \$22 million for environmental projects mainly related to the improvement of air emissions, effluent treatment as well as remedial actions taken to address environmental compliance. In 2005, we expect to capitalize approximately \$27 million for environmental projects, including Cluster Rules and Boiler M.A.C.T. Rules obligations. We are not able to estimate the total amount of capital expenditures (other than Cluster Rules and Boiler M.A.C.T. Rules obligations) that may be required beyond 2005 for environmental compliance. However, we do not expect any additional required expenditure to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, earnings or cash flows.

**USEFUL LIVES**

Our property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated amortization, including asset impairment write-down. Interest costs are capitalized for capital projects in excess of \$10 million with a duration in excess of one year. For timber limits and timberlands, amortization is calculated using the unit of production method. For all other assets, amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

On a regular basis, we review the estimated useful lives of our property, plant and equipment. Assessing the reasonableness of the estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment requires judgment and is based on currently available information. Changes in circumstances such as technological advances, changes to our business strategy, changes to our capital strategy or changes in regulation can result in the actual useful lives differing from our estimates. Revisions to the estimated useful lives of property, plant and equipment constitute a change in accounting estimate and are dealt with prospectively by amending amortization rates. A change in the remaining estimated useful life of a group of assets, or their estimated net salvage value, will affect the amortization rate used to amortize the group of assets and thus affect amortization expense as reported in our results of operations. A change of one year in the composite estimated useful life of our fixed asset base would impact annual depreciation expense by approximately \$20 million.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

In 2004, we recorded total amortization expense of \$368 million (or \$379 million when including specified items pertaining to write-downs in the value of property, plant and equipment as a result of closures) compared to \$385 million in 2003 (or \$609 million when including specified items pertaining to impairment losses and closures). As at December 31, 2004, we had property, plant and equipment with a net book value of \$4,215 million (\$4,533 million in 2003).

With the write-downs in the value of property, plant and equipment recorded in the fourth quarter of 2003, our future amortization expense was reduced by approximately \$20 million on an annual basis.

**IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

We review the carrying amount of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable through future operations. This is accomplished by determining whether projected undiscounted future cash flows from operations exceed the net carrying amount of the assets as of the assessment date (Step I test). Impaired assets are recorded at fair value, determined principally by using discounted future cash flows expected from their use and eventual disposition (Step II test). Estimates of future cash flows and fair value require judgment and may change over time.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, as a result of operating losses and the potential impact that a weaker U.S. dollar may have on the results of operations, we conducted Step I of the impairment tests on most of our Canadian pulp and paper manufacturing facilities, our Wood segment and three container board mills in our Packaging segment.

Estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability of a long-lived asset included key assumptions related to trend prices and the long-term forecasted exchange rate for the U.S. dollar. Other significant assumptions are the estimated useful life of the long-lived assets and the effect of the ongoing softwood lumber dispute with the United States.

The trend prices were based on an analysis of external price trends, including RISI, as well as normalized pulp, paper, wood and unbleached kraft linerboard pricing over a business cycle at the mills subjected to the impairment tests.

The forecasted Canadian-U.S. foreign exchange rate assumptions were based on management's best estimate using independent market information, as well as analysis of historical data, trends and cycles. Management expects the longer-term average rate to be between CAN\$1.00 = US\$0.72 and CAN\$1.00 = US\$0.75.

We concluded that the recognition of an impairment loss for the business units analyzed was not required.

Certain pulp and paper and packaging mills are particularly sensitive to the key assumptions. Given the inherent imprecision and corresponding importance of the key assumptions used in the impairment test, it is reasonably possible that changes in future conditions may lead management to use different key assumptions which could require a material change in the

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

net carrying amount of these assets. The total net carrying amount of these mills was \$529 million as at December 31, 2004.

During the fourth quarter of 2003, as a result of operating losses at our Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill and our Wood business, we conducted impairment tests of the long-lived assets of these business units. In addition, due to the decision to close one paper machine at our Vancouver paper mill and the potential impact that a weaker U.S. dollar may have on the results of operations of our Canadian pulp and paper mills, we also conducted impairment tests of the long-lived assets of our Vancouver paper mill and other Canadian pulp and paper mills. As a result of these tests, in December 2003, we recorded an impairment loss of \$201 million related to the impairment of our Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill.

In the Step II test, performed in 2003 on our Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill only, the assumptions used to determine the discounted future cash flows (fair value) of the business unit were the same as those used in the Step I tests, except that future cash flows used were on an after-tax basis and were discounted at our risk-adjusted weighted average cost of capital.

**GOODWILL**

Goodwill is not amortized and is subject to an annual impairment test, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that it might be impaired. Testing for impairment is accomplished mainly by determining whether the fair value of a segment, based upon discounted cash flows, exceeds the net carrying amount of that segment as of the assessment date. If the fair value is greater than the net carrying amount, no impairment is necessary. In the event that the net carrying amount exceeds the sum of the discounted cash flows, a second test must be performed whereby the fair value of the segment's goodwill must be estimated to determine if it is less than its net carrying amount. Fair value of goodwill is estimated in the same way as goodwill was determined at the date of the acquisition in a business combination, that is, the excess of the fair value of the segment over the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the segment. Estimates of cash flows and fair value require judgment and may change.

**PENSION AND OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS**

Domtar contributes to several defined contribution, multi-employer and 401(k) plans. The pension expense under these plans is equal to Domtar's contribution and represented \$17 million in 2004 (\$19 million in 2003). Domtar also has several defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all employees, including one closed plan for certain non-unionized employees in Canada. Non-unionized employees in Canada joining Domtar after June 1, 2000 participate in defined contribution plans. The pension expense and the obligation related to the defined benefit plans are actuarially determined using management's most probable assumptions.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

We account for pension and other employee future benefits in accordance with CICA recommendations. As such, assumptions are made regarding the valuation of benefit obligations and performance of plan assets. Deferred recognition of differences between actual results and those assumed is a guiding principle of these recommendations. This approach allows for a gradual recognition of changes in benefit obligations and plan performance over the expected average remaining service life of the active employee group covered by the plans.

Pension and other employee future benefit assumptions include the discount rate, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, the rate of compensation increase, health care cost trend rates, mortality rates, employee early retirements and terminations or disabilities. Changes in these assumptions result in actuarial gains or losses which, in accordance with CICA recommendations, we have elected to amortize over the expected average remaining service life of the active employee group covered by the plans only to the extent that the unrecognized net actuarial gains and losses are in excess of 10% of the greater of the accrued benefit obligation and the market-related value of plan assets as at the beginning of the year.

We set our expected rate of return on plan assets annually to reflect the current view of long-term investment returns, including consideration of asset mix, equity risk premium, plan expenses and active investment management premium. A rate of return on plan assets of 7.7% was considered appropriate by our management. Pension and other employee future benefit costs for 2005 will be based on a 7.2% expected rate of return on plan assets in order to reflect our current view of long-term investment returns.

We set our discount rate assumption annually to reflect the rates available on high-quality, fixed income debt instruments, with a duration that is expected to match the timing and amount of expected benefit payments. High-quality debt instruments are corporate bonds with a rating of AA or better. The discount rates as at December 31, 2004 for pension and other employee future benefit plans were estimated at 5.8% for the accrued benefit obligation and 6.1% for the net periodic benefit cost.

The rate of compensation increase is another significant assumption in the actuarial model for pension (set at 3.4% for the accrued benefit obligation and 3.8% for the net periodic benefit cost) and for other employee future benefits (set at 3.5% for both the accrued benefit obligation and the net periodic benefit cost) and is determined based upon our long-term plans for such increases.

For measurement purposes, a 7.7% weighted average annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2005, based on external data and our own historical trends for health care costs. The rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 4.1% by 2012 and remain at that level thereafter.

The following table provides a sensitivity analysis of the key weighted average economic assumptions used in measuring the accrued pension benefit obligation, the accrued other employee future benefit obligation and related net periodic benefit cost for 2004. The

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

sensitivity analysis should be used with caution as it is hypothetical and changes in each key assumption may not be linear. The sensitivities in each key variable have been calculated independently of each other.

**SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

<b>PENSION AND OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS</b>	<b>ACCRUED BENEFIT OBLIGATION</b>	<b>PENSION FUTURE BENEFITS</b>		<b>OTHER</b>
		<b>NET PERIODIC BENEFIT OBLIGATION</b>	<b>ACCRUED BENEFIT</b>	<b>EMPLOYEE NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST</b>
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars)</i>				
Expected rate of return on assets				
Impact of:				
1% increase	N/A	(11)	N/A	N/A
1% decrease	N/A	11	N/A	N/A
Discount rate				
Impact of:				
1% increase	(144)	(13)	(13)	(1)
1% decrease	137	12	13	1
Assumed overall health care cost trend				
Impact of:				
1% increase	N/A	N/A	10	2
1% decrease	N/A	N/A	(8)	(1)

The assets of the pension plans are held by a number of independent trustees and are accounted for separately in Domtar's pension funds. Based on the fair value of the assets held at December 31, 2004, the plan assets are comprised of 5% in cash and short-term investments, 46% in bonds and 49% in Canadian, U.S. and foreign equities. The long-term asset allocation percentages are not expected to differ materially from the current composition.

The latest actuarial valuations were conducted as at December 31, 2003 for plans representing approximately 39% of total plan asset fair value and as at December 31, 2001 for plans representing approximately 61% of total plan asset fair value. These valuations indicated a funding deficiency. Our funding policy is to contribute annually the amount required to provide for benefits earned in the year and to fund past service obligations over periods not exceeding those permitted by the applicable regulatory authorities. Past service obligations primarily arise from improvements to plan benefits. We expect to contribute for a total amount of \$89 million in 2005 compared to \$80 million in 2004. The next actuarial valuations will be completed between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2006.

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**INCOME TAXES**

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, future tax assets and liabilities are determined according to differences between the carrying amounts and tax bases of the assets and liabilities. The change in the net future tax asset or liability is included in earnings and in the Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments account in Shareholders' equity. Future tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates and laws expected to apply in the years in which assets and liabilities are expected to be recovered or settled. For these years, a projection of taxable income and an assumption of the ultimate recovery or settlement period for temporary differences are required. The projection of future taxable income is based on management's best estimate and may vary from actual taxable income.

On an annual basis, we assess the need to establish a valuation allowance for future tax assets and, if it is deemed more likely than not that our future tax assets will not be realized based on these taxable income projections, a valuation allowance is recorded. As at December 31, 2004, we expect that our future tax assets will not be fully recovered from future taxable income, and we have therefore set up a valuation allowance of \$5 million.

Our future tax assets are mainly composed of temporary differences related to accounting provisions for acquisitions, restructuring, environmental matters, as well as loss carryforwards. The majority of these accruals will be utilized or paid out over the next five years. Our future tax liabilities are mainly composed of temporary differences pertaining to plant, equipment and others. Estimating the ultimate settlement period, given the amortization rates in effect are based on information as it develops, requires judgment and our best estimates. The reversal of timing differences is expected at future substantially enacted tax rates, which could change due to changes in income tax laws or the introduction of tax changes through the presentation of annual budgets by different governments. As a result, a change in the timing and the income tax rate at which the components will reverse could materially affect future tax expense as recorded in our results of operations. A one percentage point change in our reported effective income tax rate would have the effect of changing the income tax expense by approximately \$15 million.

In addition, Canadian, American and international tax rules and regulations are subject to interpretation and require judgment that may be challenged by taxation authorities. We believe that, to the best of our knowledge, we have adequately provided for our future tax consequences based upon current facts and circumstances and current tax law.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, we recorded a total net tax recovery of \$52 million (recovery of \$67 million in 2003), of which \$75 million was for future income tax recovery (recovery of \$81 million in 2003). Our net future tax liability as at December 31, 2004 was \$470 million (\$502 million in 2003).

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

We recognize asset retirement obligations at fair value in the period in which we incur a legal obligation associated to the retirement of an asset. Our asset retirement obligations are principally linked to landfill capping obligations, asbestos removal obligations and demolition of certain abandoned buildings. The associated costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related asset and depreciated over its remaining useful life. The liability is accreted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate.

The estimate of fair value is based on the results of the expected future cash flow approach, in which multiple cash flow scenarios that reflect a range of possible outcomes are considered. We have established cash flow scenarios for each individual asset retirement obligation. Probabilities are applied to each of the cash flow scenarios to arrive at an expected future cash flow. There is no supplemental risk adjustment made to the expected cash flow. The expected cash flows for each of the asset retirement obligations are discounted using the risk-free interest rate for the corresponding period until the settlement date. The rates used vary, based on the prevailing rate at the moment of recognition of the liability and on its settlement period. The rates used vary between 4.25% and 6.80%.

Cash flow estimates incorporate assumptions that either marketplace participants would use in their estimates of fair value, whenever that information is available without undue cost and effort, or assumptions developed by internal experts.

As at December 31, 2004, Domtar has estimated the net present value of its asset retirement obligations at \$25 million (2003 \$26 million), based on probability weighted undiscounted cash flows of \$37 million (2003 \$41 million).

**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Risks and uncertainties

---

**PRODUCT PRICES AND INDUSTRY CONDITIONS**

Our financial performance is sensitive to the selling prices of our products that are impacted by supply and demand.

The markets for most paper, pulp, lumber and packaging products are cyclical and are influenced by a variety of factors beyond our control. These factors include periods of excess product supply due to industry capacity additions, periods of decreased demand due to weak general economic activity in North America or international markets, inventory de-stocking by customers and fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Demand for lumber also depends on the level of housing starts, commercial building activity and the availability and cost of mortgage financing.

In addition, we may compete with product substitutes which can impact demand for our products. Our paper products compete with electronic transmission and document storage alternatives, as well as grades of paper we do not produce. As the use of these alternatives grows, demand for our paper products may decline or shift to other paper grades. Moreover demand for some of our wood products may decline if customers purchase steel alternatives. Demand for some of our corrugated container products may decline if customers purchase plastic alternatives. Any substantial shift in demand from our products to competing technologies or materials could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

During periods of low prices, we have experienced in the past, and could experience in the future, reduced revenues and margins resulting in substantial declines in profitability and sometimes, net losses. See Sensitivity Analysis .

**FOREIGN EXCHANGE**

The revenues for most of our products are affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Canadian dollar and the U.S. dollar. As a result, any decrease in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the Canadian dollar reduces our profitability. Our U.S. dollar sales, net of U.S. dollar purchases for our operating activities, represent approximately US\$1 billion annually (excluding Norampac). In addition, our sales in Canada are impacted by the exchange rate fluctuations, as the prices for many of our products are generally driven by U.S. prices of similar products. Our exposure to the U.S. dollar is reduced by interest on our U.S. dollar denominated debt (approximately \$0.1 billion annually, excluding Norampac). Exchange rate fluctuations are beyond our control and the U.S. dollar may continue to depreciate against the Canadian dollar in the future, which would result in lower revenues and margins.



**Table of Contents**

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

**OPERATIONAL RISKS**

The activities conducted by our businesses are subject to a number of operational risks including competition, performance of key suppliers and distributors, renewal of collective agreements, regulatory risks, successful integration of new acquisitions, retention of key personnel and reliability of information systems. In addition, operating costs for our businesses can be affected by changes in energy prices, fiber prices, other raw material prices and freight costs as a result of changing economic or political conditions or due to particular supply and demand considerations.

*Fiber supply*

We use hardwood and softwood fiber for the production of our papers and softwood for the production of lumber. Our forestry strategy is to optimize wood flows within our fiber supply area and to maximize value and minimize cost while securing an adequate wood supply. Our hardwood and softwood fiber resources are obtained from harvesting rights on public lands, purchases from third parties and from our owned land.

Several years ago, the Ontario government reduced the amount of public land available for forestry activities. In Quebec, an independent commission (the Coulombe Commission) is recommending a 20% reduction in allowable harvesting volumes over the next two to three years. This Commission's report is currently under review by the Quebec government and a decision is expected in 2005.

There is no assurance that access to fiber will continue at the same levels achieved in the past. The cost of softwood and hardwood fiber and the availability of wood chips may be affected.

**ENVIRONMENT**

We are subject to U.S. and Canadian environmental laws and regulations for effluent and air emissions, harvesting, silvicultural activities, waste management and groundwater quality, among others. These laws and regulations require us to obtain and comply with the authorization requirements of the appropriate governmental authorities, who exercise considerable discretion for authorization issuances and their timing. Changes to environmental laws and regulations and/or their application may require us to make significant expenditures that could negatively impact our financial results and financial condition.

Failure to comply with applicable environmental laws, regulations and permit requirements may result in fines, penalties or enforcement actions by the authorities, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of environmental control equipment or remedial actions, any of which could entail significant expenditures and negatively impact our financial results and financial condition.

**Table of Contents**

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

We continue to take remedial action under our Care and Control program at a number of former operating sites, especially in the wood preserving sector, due to possible soil, sediment or groundwater contamination. The investigation and remediation process is lengthy and subject to the uncertainties of changes in legal requirements, technological developments and the allocation of liability among potentially responsible parties.

In addition, the pulp and paper industry in the United States is subject to Cluster Rules and Boiler M.A.C.T. Rules that further regulate effluent and air emissions. We comply with all present regulations.

**LUMBER EXPORT DUTIES**

The United States Department of Commerce announced that it had imposed cash deposit requirements on the Canadian softwood lumber industry with a final aggregate countervailing and antidumping rate of 27.22%, that is, 18.79% for countervailing and 8.43% for antidumping. Since May 22, 2002, based on a final decision by the United States International Trade Commission, we have made the required cash deposits on our exports of softwood lumber to the United States. The Canadian government has challenged both the countervailing and antidumping rates with the World Trade Organization and the North American Free Trade Agreement. As of January 1, 2005, cash deposits for countervailing and antidumping duties are being made and expensed by Domtar at a new rate of 20.95%, that is, 17.17% for countervailing and 3.78% for antidumping.

We are currently experiencing, and may continue to experience, reduced revenues and margins in our Wood business as a result of countervailing and antidumping duty applications or any new arrangements between the United States and Canada.

**LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

In the normal course of our operations, we become involved in various legal actions mostly related to contract disputes, patent infringements, environmental and product warranty claims and labor issues. While the final outcome with respect to actions outstanding or pending as at December 31, 2004 cannot be predicted with certainty, it is our belief that their resolution will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, earnings or cash flows.

In April 2003, the Canadian Competition Bureau (the Bureau) began an investigation of Canada's major distributors of carbonless paper and other fine paper products, including our Paper Merchants in Canada. In March 2004, the Bureau expanded its investigation to include dealings between the Corporation and Xerox Canada Limited. Although the investigation is continuing, we are not able to predict the outcome of this investigation or the impact, if any, it may have on us.

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

## Sensitivity analysis

Our operating profit, net earnings and earnings per share can be impacted by the following sensitivities:

	APPROXIMATE ANNUAL IMPACT ON <sup>1,2</sup>		
	OPERATING PROFIT	NET EARNINGS	EARNINGS PER SHARE
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, except per share amounts)</i>			
<b>Each US\$10/unit change in the selling price of the following products</b>			
<sup>3</sup> :			
Papers			
Copy and offset grades	17	11	0.05
Uncoated commercial printing & publication and premium imaging grades	8	5	0.02
Coated commercial printing & publication grades	4	3	0.01
Technical & specialty grades	5	3	0.01
Pulp - net position	10	7	0.03
Wood			
Lumber	14	9	0.04
Packaging			
Containerboard	9	6	0.03
<b>Foreign exchange</b> (CAN\$0.01 change in relative value to the U.S. dollar before hedging)			
Impact of US\$ pricing on export sales, net of US\$ purchases, excluding Norampac	10 <sup>5</sup>	6	0.03

<sup>1</sup> Based on an exchange rate of \$1.282.

<sup>2</sup> Based on a marginal tax rate of 35%.

<sup>3</sup> Based on budgeted 2005 capacity (in tons, tonnes or MFBM).

<sup>4</sup> Based on budgeted 2005 consumption levels. The allocation between energy sources may vary during the year in order to take advantage of market conditions.

<sup>5</sup> In addition to this direct impact, significant fluctuations in the value of the U.S. currency will have an indirect impact on our product pricing in Canada over time given that Canadian pricing is derived from U.S. dollar denominated prices. The combined direct and indirect impact of a CAN\$0.01 change in relative value to the U.S. dollar before hedging and excluding Norampac could reach approximately \$15 million.

*Note that Domtar may, from time to time, hedge part of its foreign exchange, net pulp, containerboard, interest rate and energy positions, which may therefore impact the above sensitivities.*



**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

<b>BENCHMARK PRICES</b> <sup>1</sup>		1996	1997
<b>Papers</b>			
Copy 20 lb sheets	(US\$/TON)	848	769
Offset 50 lb rolls	(US\$/TON)	736	756
Coated publication, no. 3, 60 lb rolls	(US\$/TON)	943	941
Pulp NBSK, U.S. market	(US\$/ADMT)	586	588
Pulp NBHK, Japan market <sup>2</sup>	(US\$/ADMT)	501	514
<b>Wood</b>			
Lumber 2x4x8	(US\$/MFBM)	403	386
Lumber 2x4 R/L, no. 1 & no. 2	(US\$/MFBM)	441	447
<b>Packaging</b>			
Unbleached kraft linerboard, 42 lb East	(US\$/TON)	371	336
Average exchange rates			
	CAN\$	1.364	1.385
	US\$	0.733	0.722

<sup>1</sup> Source: Pulp & Paper Week and Random Lengths. As such, these prices do not necessarily reflect our transaction prices.

<sup>2</sup> Based on Pulp & Paper Week's Southern Bleached Hardwood Kraft pulp prices for Japan, increased by an average differential of US\$15/ADMT between Northern and Southern Bleached Hardwood Kraft pulp prices.

---

**PRODUCTS**


---

1996 TO 2004

HISTORICAL PRICES KEY BENCHMARK PRICES

**Table of Contents**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	AVERAGE 1996+
780	778	877	815	776	768	<b>794</b>	801
666	659	757	719	692	628	<b>676</b>	699
909	851	948	853	767	804	<b>811</b>	870
544	541	685	558	491	553	<b>640</b>	576
444	508	681	485	427	470	<b>490</b>	502
375	390	319	345	336	327	<b>417</b>	366
377	440	351	345	331	340	<b>459</b>	392
373	400	468	445	427	421	<b>468</b>	412
1.484	1.486	1.485	1.549	1.570	1.401	<b>1.301</b>	
0.674	0.673	0.673	0.646	0.637	0.714	<b>0.769</b>	

Outlook

The year 2005 may be just as challenging as 2004, especially given that no cost-cutting initiatives can compensate for the rapid decline of the U.S. dollar. We will focus on delivering targeted savings of \$100 million stemming from restructuring initiatives and will continue to review the viability of each of our Canadian operations as well as the investment plans of each of our mills. Finally, we will continue to optimize the use of our assets with initiatives aimed at improving the value proposition to customers such as the development of a full line of papers for environmentally sensitive customers and the deployment of an integrated supply chain that will allow us to develop customer specific supply programs.

Internal controls

As requested by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act enacted by the U.S. Congress in July 2002 and the rules promulgated by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) thereunder, we have filed with the SEC certificates relating to, among others, the accuracy of the financial information contained in our 2004 Annual Report including our MD&A and annual financial statements and notes thereto, and the adequacy of our procedures and controls relating to disclosure and financial reporting.

*Additional information, including our Annual Information Form, is available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and EDGAR at [www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml](http://www.sec.gov/edgar.shtml).*

**Table of Contents**

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management's statement  
of responsibility

---

The consolidated financial statements contained in this Annual Report are the responsibility of management, and have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. Where necessary, management has made judgments and estimates of the outcome of events and transactions, with due consideration given to materiality. Management is also responsible for all other information in the Annual Report and for ensuring that this information is consistent, where appropriate, with the information and data included in the consolidated financial statements.

To discharge its responsibility, management maintains a system of internal controls to provide reasonable assurance as to the reliability of financial information and the safeguarding of assets.

The Corporation's external auditors are responsible for auditing the consolidated financial statements and giving an opinion thereon. In addition, the Corporation maintains a staff of internal auditors whose functions include reviewing internal controls and their application on an ongoing basis.

The Board of Directors carries out its responsibility relative to the consolidated financial statements principally through its Audit Committee, consisting solely of independent directors, which reviews the consolidated financial statements and reports thereon to the Board. The Committee meets periodically with the external auditors, internal auditors and management to review their respective activities and the discharge of each of their responsibilities. Both the external auditors and the internal auditors have free access to the Committee, with or without management, to discuss the scope of their audits, the adequacy of the system of internal controls and the adequacy of financial reporting.

raymond royer  
President and Chief Executive Officer

daniel buron  
Senior Vice-President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Montreal, Quebec, February 23, 2005

**Table of Contents**

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Auditors report

To the Shareholders of Domtar Inc.

We have audited the consolidated balance sheets of Domtar Inc. as at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the consolidated statements of earnings, retained earnings and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Domtar Inc. as at December 31, 2004 and 2003 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2004 in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles.

pricewaterhousecoopers llp  
Chartered Accountants

Montreal, Quebec, February 23, 2005



**Table of Contents**

## CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<b>CONSOLIDATED EARNINGS</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$  restated (note 2)	\$
<b>Sales</b> (note 2)	4,250	<b>5,115</b>	5,167	5,859
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Cost of sales (note 2)	3,640	<b>4,381</b>	4,335	4,686
Selling, general and administrative (note 2)	254	<b>306</b>	319	329
Amortization	306	<b>368</b>	385	398
Closure and restructuring costs (note 6)	40	<b>48</b>	24	63
Impairment loss (note 3)			201	
Net gains on disposals of property, plant and equipment	(31)	<b>(37)</b>	(2)	(1)
	4,209	<b>5,066</b>	5,262	5,475
<b>Operating profit (loss)</b>	41	<b>49</b>	(95)	384
Financing expenses (note 7)	123	<b>148</b>	169	192
Amortization of deferred gain	(4)	<b>(5)</b>	(4)	(5)
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>	(78)	<b>(94)</b>	(260)	197
Income tax expense (recovery) (note 8)	(43)	<b>(52)</b>	(67)	56
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	(35)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
<b>Per common share (in dollars)</b> (note 9)				
Net earnings (loss)				
Basic	(0.16)	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.62
Diluted	(0.16)	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.61
 <b>CONSOLIDATED RETAINED EARNINGS</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	 2004	 <b>2004</b>	 2003	 2002
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$  restated (note 2)	\$
<b>Retained earnings at beginning of year as reported</b>	425	<b>512</b>	753	645
Cumulative effect of change in accounting policy (note 2)	(2)	<b>(3)</b>		
<b>Retained earnings at beginning of year as restated</b>	423	<b>509</b>	753	645
Net earnings (loss)	(35)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
Dividends on common shares	(45)	<b>(54)</b>	(49)	(32)
Dividends on preferred shares	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(2)	(1)

<b>Retained earnings at end of year</b>	342	<b>412</b>	509	753
---	-----	------------	-----	-----

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

## CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<b>CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS</b> <i>As at December 31</i>	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$  restated (note 2)
<b>Assets</b>			
<b>Current assets</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents	43	<b>52</b>	48
Receivables (note 10)	194	<b>233</b>	197
Inventories (note 11)	601	<b>723</b>	670
Prepaid expenses	10	<b>12</b>	22
Income and other taxes receivable	14	<b>17</b>	29
Future income taxes (note 8)	72	<b>87</b>	60
	934	<b>1,124</b>	1,026
<b>Property, plant and equipment</b> (note 12)	3,502	<b>4,215</b>	4,533
<b>Goodwill</b>	70	<b>84</b>	77
<b>Other assets</b> (note 13)	220	<b>265</b>	212
	4,726	<b>5,688</b>	5,848
<b>Liabilities and shareholders equity</b>			
<b>Current liabilities</b>			
Bank indebtedness	18	<b>22</b>	19
Trade and other payables (note 14)	543	<b>654</b>	652
Income and other taxes payable	27	<b>32</b>	28
Long-term debt due within one year (note 15)	7	<b>8</b>	5
	595	<b>716</b>	704
<b>Long-term debt</b> (note 15)	1,683	<b>2,026</b>	2,054
<b>Future income taxes</b> (note 8)	463	<b>557</b>	562
<b>Other liabilities and deferred credits</b> (note 16)	285	<b>343</b>	360
<b>Commitments and contingencies</b> (note 17)			
<b>Shareholders equity</b>			
Preferred shares (note 18)	33	<b>39</b>	42
Common shares (note 18)	1,475	<b>1,775</b>	1,756
Contributed surplus (note 18)	8	<b>10</b>	6
Retained earnings	342	<b>412</b>	509
Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments (note 20)	(158)	<b>(190)</b>	(145)
	1,700	<b>2,046</b>	2,168

4,726      **5,688**      5,848

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Approved by the Board:

brian m. levitt  
Director

raymond royer  
Director

**Table of Contents**

## CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<b>CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS</b> <i>Years ended December 31</i>	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$  restated (note 2)	\$
<b>Operating activities</b>				
Net earnings (loss)	(35)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
Non-cash items:				
Amortization and write-down of property, plant and equipment (note 6)	315	<b>379</b>	408	425
Future income taxes (note 8)	(62)	<b>(75)</b>	(81)	31
Amortization of deferred gain	(4)	<b>(5)</b>	(4)	(5)
Closure and restructuring costs, excluding write-down of property, plant and equipment (note 6)	31	<b>37</b>	1	36
Impairment loss (note 3)			201	
Refinancing expenses (note 7)			17	
Net gains on disposals of property, plant and equipment	(31)	<b>(37)</b>	(2)	(1)
Other	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	2	15
	207	<b>249</b>	349	642
Changes in working capital and other items				
Receivables (note 10)	(38)	<b>(46)</b>	71	22
Inventories	(64)	<b>(77)</b>	4	43
Prepaid expenses	7	<b>8</b>	(1)	3
Trade and other payables	(26)	<b>(32)</b>	(47)	(62)
Income and other taxes	16	<b>20</b>	(2)	12
Early settlements of interest rate swap contracts (note 19)	16	<b>20</b>		40
Other	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(17)	(13)
Payments of closure and restructuring costs, net of proceeds on disposition	(10)	<b>(12)</b>	(9)	(10)
	(106)	<b>(127)</b>	(1)	35
Cash flows provided from operating activities	101	<b>122</b>	348	677
<b>Investing activities</b>				
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(169)	<b>(204)</b>	(236)	(226)
Proceeds from disposals of property, plant and equipment	34	<b>41</b>	11	3
Business acquisitions (note 5)	(16)	<b>(19)</b>	(11)	(27)
Other	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(2)	6
Cash flows used for investing activities	(152)	<b>(183)</b>	(238)	(244)
<b>Financing activities</b>				

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

Dividend payments	(47)	<b>(56)</b>	(45)	(33)
Change in bank indebtedness	4	<b>5</b>	(4)	(25)
Change in revolving bank credit, net of expenses	87	<b>105</b>	22	9
Issuance of long-term debt, net of expenses	2	<b>2</b>	617	
Repayment of long-term debt	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(691)	(395)
Premium on redemption of long-term debt			(7)	
Common shares issued, net of expenses	16	<b>19</b>	15	16
Redemptions of preferred shares	(2)	<b>(3)</b>	(3)	(3)
Cash flows provided from (used for) financing activities	53	<b>64</b>	(96)	(431)
<b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	2	<b>3</b>	14	2
Translation adjustments related to cash and cash equivalents	1	<b>1</b>	(4)	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	40	<b>48</b>	38	36
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of year</b>	43	<b>52</b>	48	38

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 1.

Summary of significant accounting policies

The consolidated financial statements are expressed in Canadian dollars and have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles (Canadian GAAP). These financial statements differ in certain respects from those prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) and are not intended to provide certain disclosures which would typically be found in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. These differences are described in Note 25. These consolidated financial statements are dated February 23, 2005.

**BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Domtar Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Corporation) as well as its joint ventures (collectively Domtar). Investments over which the Corporation exercises significant influence are accounted for using the equity method. The Corporation's interests in joint ventures are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method.

**USE OF ESTIMATES**

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with Canadian GAAP, which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year, the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates, including those related to environmental matters, useful lives, impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill, pension and other employee future benefit plans, income taxes and asset retirement obligations, based on currently available information. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**TRANSLATION OF FOREIGN CURRENCIES**

*Self-sustaining foreign operations*

For foreign subsidiaries that are considered financially and operationally self-sustaining, the current rate method of translation of foreign currencies has been used. Under this method, assets and liabilities are translated into Canadian dollars at the rate in effect at the balance sheet date and revenues and expenses are translated at the average exchange rates during the year. All gains and losses arising from the translation of the financial statements of these foreign subsidiaries are included in the Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments account under Shareholders equity.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 1. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

*Foreign currency transactions and integrated foreign operations*

For foreign currency transactions and foreign subsidiaries that are considered financially and operationally integrated, the temporal method of translation of foreign currencies has been used. Monetary items are translated at the rate in effect at the balance sheet date, non-monetary items are translated at their historical rate (as well as the related amortization) and revenues and expenses are translated at the rate in effect at the transaction date or at the average exchange rates during the year as appropriate. Translation gains and losses, except those on long-term debt, are included in Selling, general and administrative expenses.

*Foreign currency long-term debt*

For the Corporation's long-term debt designated as a hedge of the net investment in self-sustaining foreign subsidiaries, exchange gains and losses are included in the Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments account under Shareholders' equity. Prior to the fourth quarter of 2004, a portion of the foreign currency denominated long-term debt of the Corporation was designated as a hedge of future U.S. dollar revenue stream and exchange gains and losses were deferred and will be recognized when the designated revenue is earned or when it becomes probable that the forecasted transaction will not occur, as the hedge then ceases to be effective.

Norampac Inc. and its subsidiaries (Norampac) (a 50-50 joint venture with Cascades Inc.) has also designated a portion of its U.S. dollar denominated long-term debt as a hedge of its net investment in self-sustaining foreign subsidiaries. For such debt designated as a hedge of the net investment in self-sustaining foreign subsidiaries, exchange gains and losses are included in the Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments account in Shareholders' equity. For the remaining U.S. dollar denominated long-term debt, exchange gains and losses are included in Financing expenses.

**REVENUE RECOGNITION**

Domtar recognizes revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, goods have been delivered, there are no uncertainties surrounding product acceptance, the related revenue is fixed or determinable and collection is considered reasonably assured. Delivery is not considered to have occurred until the customer takes title and assumes the risks and rewards of ownership.

**INCOME TAXES**

Domtar uses the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. Under this method, future tax assets and liabilities are determined according to differences between the carrying



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

amounts and tax bases of the assets and liabilities. The change in the net future tax asset or liability is included in earnings and in the Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments account under Shareholders' equity. Future tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates and laws expected to apply in the years in which the assets and liabilities are expected to be recovered or settled. Domtar does not provide for income taxes on undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that are not expected to be repatriated in the foreseeable future.

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS**

Cash and cash equivalents include cash and short-term investments with original maturities of less than three months and are presented at cost.

**RECEIVABLES**

Receivables are recorded at cost net of a provision for doubtful accounts that is based on expected collectibility. Gains or losses on securitization of receivables are calculated as the difference between the carrying amount of the receivables sold and the sum of the cash proceeds on sale and the fair value of the retained subordinate interest in such receivables on the date of transfer. Fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis. Costs related to the sales of receivables are recognized in earnings in the period when the sale occurs.

**INVENTORIES**

Inventories of operating and maintenance supplies and raw materials are valued at the lower of average cost and replacement cost. Work in process and finished goods are valued at the lower of average cost and net realizable value, and include the cost of raw materials, direct labor and manufacturing overhead expenses.

**PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated amortization including asset impairment write-down. Interest costs are capitalized for capital projects in excess of \$10 million and having a duration in excess of 1 year. For timber limits and timberlands, amortization is calculated using the unit of production method. For all other assets, amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Buildings are amortized over periods of 10 to 40 years and machinery and equipment over periods of 3 to 20 years. The amortization expense is reported net of the amount of the amortization of deferred credits related to property, plant and equipment. No amortization is recorded on assets under construction.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 1. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

**IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances indicating that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, as measured by comparing their net book value to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows generated by their use. Impaired assets are recorded at fair value, determined principally by using discounted future cash flows expected from their use and eventual disposition.

**GOODWILL**

Goodwill is not amortized and is subject to an annual impairment test, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that it might be impaired. Testing for impairment is accomplished mainly by determining whether the fair value of a segment, based upon discounted cash flows, exceeds the net carrying amount of that segment as of the assessment date. If the fair value is greater than the net carrying amount, no impairment is necessary. In the event that the net carrying amount exceeds the sum of the discounted cash flows, a second test must be performed whereby the fair value of the segment's goodwill must be estimated to determine if it is less than its net carrying amount. Fair value of goodwill is estimated in the same way as goodwill was determined at the date of the acquisition in a business combination, that is, the excess of the fair value of the segment over the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the segment.

**OTHER ASSETS**

Other assets are recorded at cost. Expenses and discounts related to the issuance of long-term debt are deferred and amortized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related obligation.

**DEFERRED CREDITS**

Deferred credits comprise the deferred gain on the contribution of net assets to Norampac, the deferred net gain on early settlements of interest rate swap contracts and grants and investment tax credits obtained upon the acquisition of property, plant and equipment. The deferred gain on the contribution of net assets to Norampac is amortized on a straight-line basis over 15 years. The deferred net gain on early settlements of interest rate swap contracts is amortized as an adjustment to Financing expenses over the initially designated periods of the respective interest payments. Investment tax credits are amortized on the same basis as the related property, plant and equipment.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS**

Environmental expenditures for effluent treatment, air emission, landfill operation and closure, asbestos containment and removal, bark pile management, silvicultural activities and site remediation (together referred to as environmental matters) are expensed or capitalized depending on their future economic benefit. In the normal course of business, Domtar incurs certain operating costs for environmental matters that are expensed as incurred. Expenditures for property, plant and equipment that prevent future environmental contamination are capitalized and amortized on a straight-line basis over 10 to 40 years. Provisions for environmental matters are not discounted and are recorded when remediation efforts are likely and can be reasonably determined.

**ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

Asset retirement obligations are recognized, at fair value, in the period in which Domtar incurs a legal obligation associated to the retirement of an asset. The associated costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related asset and depreciated over its remaining useful life. The liability is accreted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate.

**STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER STOCK-BASED PAYMENTS**

Domtar uses the fair value based approach of accounting for stock-based payments to directors and for stock options granted to its employees. Any consideration paid by plan participants on the exercise of share options or the purchase of shares is credited to stated capital together with any related stock-based compensation expense.

Stock-based compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period of the options, share purchase rights and bonus shares. For employee share purchase discounts, compensation expense is recognized when employees purchase shares. The contributed surplus component of the stock-based compensation is transferred to capital stock upon the issuance of common shares.

Deferred Share Units are amortized over their vesting periods and remeasured at each reporting period, until settlement, using the quoted market value. Deferred Share Units are accounted for in compensation expense and Other liabilities and deferred credits.

**DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS**

Derivative instruments are contracts that require or provide an option to exchange cash flows or payments determined by applying certain rates, indices or changes therein to notional contract amounts. Derivative instruments are utilized by Domtar in the management of its foreign currency, price risk and interest rate exposures. Except for two interest rate swap contracts of Norampac, which were obtained through business acquisitions, Domtar does not use derivative instruments for speculative purposes.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 1. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

*Derivatives designated for hedge accounting*

In order for a derivative to qualify for hedge accounting, the hedge relationship must be designated and formally documented at its inception, outlining the particular risk management objective and strategy, the specific asset, liability or cash flow being hedged, as well as how effectiveness is assessed. The derivative must be effective in accomplishing the objective of offsetting either changes in the fair value or cash flow attributable to the risk being hedged both at inception and over the term of the hedging relationship.

When derivative instruments have been designated within a hedge relationship and are highly effective in offsetting the identified risk characteristics of specific financial assets and liabilities, or group of financial assets and liabilities, hedge accounting is applied to these derivative instruments. Hedge accounting requires that gains, losses, revenues and expenses of a hedging item be recognized in the same period that the associated gains, losses, revenues and expenses of the hedged item are recognized.

Realized and unrealized gains or losses associated with hedging instruments for which the underlying hedged items are either sold, paid or terminated are recognized to earnings. Realized and unrealized gains or losses when hedging instruments have ended or ceased to be effective prior to their maturity are deferred and recognized in earnings concurrently with the recognition of the item being hedged.

Domtar hedges its foreign exchange exposure on anticipated sales denominated in U.S. dollars through the use of options and forward contracts. Resulting gains and losses, including premiums on options, are recognized when the designated sale is recognized and are included in Sales.

Domtar hedges its exposure to price risk associated with purchases of bunker oil and electricity through the use of cash settled commodity swaps. Resulting gains and losses are recognized when the designated purchase is recognized and are included in Cost of sales.

Domtar hedges its exposure to interest rate on its long-term debt through the use of interest rate swap contracts. Amounts accounted for under interest rate swap contracts are included in Financing expenses. In 2004, the Corporation terminated prior to maturity, its interest rate swap contracts.

*Derivatives not designated for hedge accounting*

For the exposure to price risk associated with sales of Northern Bleached Softwood Kraft (NBSK) pulp swaps, as well as old corrugated containers, unbleached kraft linerboard and semi-chemical medium paper, Domtar does not meet the requirements for hedge accounting. As a result, Domtar accounts for these contracts at their fair value with resulting gains and losses being included in Selling, general and administrative expenses.

For the two interest rate swap contracts of Norampac, which are used for speculative purposes, the change in their fair value is recorded in Selling, general and administrative expenses.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**PENSIONS**

Domtar accrues the cost of defined benefit plans as determined by independent actuaries. These plans include funded and unfunded defined benefit pension plans and defined contribution plans. The net periodic benefit cost includes the following:

the cost of pension benefits provided in exchange for employees' services rendered during the year

the interest cost of pension obligations

the expected long-term return on pension fund assets based on a market-related value determined using a five-year moving average market value for equity securities and fair value for other asset classes

gains or losses on settlements or curtailments

the straight-line amortization of past service costs and plan amendments over the average remaining service period of approximately 13 years of the active employee group covered by the plans

the amortization of cumulative unrecognized net actuarial gains and losses in excess of 10% of the greater of the accrued benefit obligation or market-related value of plan assets at the beginning of year over the average remaining service period of approximately 13 years of the active employee group covered by the plans.

The pension plans obligations are determined in accordance with the projected benefit method prorated on services.

**OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS**

Domtar accrues the cost of post-retirement benefits other than pensions as determined by independent actuaries. These benefits, which are funded by Domtar as they become due, include life insurance programs, medical and dental benefits and short-term and long-term disability programs. Domtar amortizes the cumulative unrecognized net actuarial gains and losses in excess of 10% of the accrued benefit obligation at the beginning of the year over the average remaining service period of approximately 14 years of the active employee group covered by the plans.

**INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS**

Investment tax credits are recognized in earnings as a reduction of research and development expenses when Domtar has made the qualifying expenditures and has a reasonable assurance that the credits will be realized.

**DISCLOSURE OF GUARANTEES**

A guarantee is a contract or an indemnification agreement that contingently requires Domtar to make payments to the other party of the contract or agreement, based on changes in an

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 1. Summary of significant accounting policies (continued)

underlying that is related to an asset, a liability or an equity security of the other party or on a third party's failure to perform under an obligating agreement. It could also be an indirect guarantee of the indebtedness of another party, even though the payment to the other party may not be based on changes in an underlying that is related to an asset, a liability or an equity security of the other party.

**COUNTERVAILING AND ANTIDUMPING DUTIES**

Cash deposits for countervailing and antidumping duties (lumber duties) are expensed as the deposits for softwood lumber export sales to the United States are made. The lumber duties expense is presented in Cost of sales. Recoveries of cash deposits for lumber duties are only recognized when the amounts are reasonably measurable and their recovery is virtually certain.

note 2.

Accounting changes

2004

**GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION**

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted the new Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants (CICA) Handbook Section 1100 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles recommendations and Section 1400 General Standards of Financial Statement Presentation recommendations. Section 1100 describes what constitutes GAAP and its sources and provides guidance on sources to consult when selecting accounting policies and determining appropriate disclosures when a matter is not dealt with explicitly in the primary sources of GAAP, thereby recodifying the Canadian GAAP hierarchy. Section 1400 provides general guidance on financial statement presentation and further clarifies what constitutes fair presentation in accordance with GAAP.

Accordingly, Domtar reclassified delivery costs as well as countervailing and antidumping duties on exports of softwood lumber to the United States from Sales to Cost of sales. As of December 31, 2004, delivery costs amounted to \$411 million (2003 \$345 million; 2002 \$358 million) and countervailing and antidumping duties amounted to \$69 million (2003 \$45 million; 2002 \$11 million).

The adoption of these recommendations has no other significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**HEDGING RELATIONSHIPS**

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted the new CICA Accounting Guideline No. 13 (AcG-13) Hedging Relationships. This accounting guideline addresses the identification, designation, documentation and effectiveness of the hedging relationships for the purpose of applying hedge accounting. In addition, it deals with the discontinuance of hedge accounting and establishes conditions for applying hedge accounting. Under this guideline, documentation of the information related to hedging relationships is required and the effectiveness of the hedges must be demonstrated and documented. As of January 1, 2004, Domtar has in place all necessary hedge documentation to apply hedge accounting for interest rate swap contracts, forward foreign exchange contracts, foreign currency options and bunker oil and electricity swaps.

For the exposure to price risk associated with sales of NBSK pulp swaps, as well as old corrugated containers, unbleached kraft linerboard and semi-chemical medium paper swaps, Domtar does not meet the Canadian GAAP criteria for hedge effectiveness. As a result, Domtar accounts for these contracts at their fair value. The fair value of these contracts is re-evaluated each quarter and a gain or loss is recorded in the Consolidated earnings. Notwithstanding the fact that these commodity swap contracts do not meet the Canadian GAAP criteria under AcG-13, Domtar believes, from an operational and a cash flow point of view, that these contracts are effective in managing its risk. For the year ended December 31, 2004, a gain of \$1 million, nil per common share, is included in Selling, general and administrative expenses, representing the gain on the marked to market of the commodity swaps.

**ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted retroactively with restatement of prior periods the new CICA Handbook Section 3110 Asset Retirement Obligations, which requires entities to record a liability at fair value, in the period in which it incurs a legal obligation associated to the retirement of an asset. The associated costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related asset and depreciated over its remaining useful life. The liability is accreted using a credit adjusted risk-free interest rate. Section 3110 is analogous to the requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 143 Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, which was adopted for U.S. GAAP purposes on January 1, 2003. Asset retirement obligations in connection with the adoption of Section 3110 were primarily linked to landfill capping obligations, asbestos removal obligations and demolition of certain abandoned buildings. For such assets, a liability is initially recognized in the period in which sufficient information exists to estimate a range of possible settlement dates. The adoption of Section 3110 has decreased the December 31, 2003 retained earnings by \$3 million, \$0.01 per common share (2002 nil), decreased assets by \$7 million and decreased liabilities by \$4 million.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 2. Accounting changes (continued)

**EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS**

On January 1, 2004, the CICA amended Handbook Section 3461 Employee Future Benefits recommendations. Section 3461 requires additional disclosures about the assets, cash flows and net periodic benefit cost of defined benefit pension plans and other employee future benefit plans. The new annual disclosures are effective for years ending on or after June 30, 2004. Domtar adopted the amendments of Section 3461 and provided additional disclosures of the defined benefit pension plans and other employee future benefit plans in Notes 22 and 23.

2003

**SHARE PURCHASE FINANCING**

On January 1, 2003, Domtar prospectively adopted the new CICA Emerging Issues Committee Abstract 132 (EIC-132) Share Purchase Financing recommendations relating to share purchase loans (the loans) receivable. Accordingly, loans as at January 1, 2003, amounting to \$11 million, were reclassified from Other assets to Common shares and interest revenue was treated as a reduction of dividends. The common shares purchased with these loans are held in trust as security for the loans. The loans are interest bearing at the dividend rate and have defined repayment terms not exceeding 10 years. These common shares were not considered as being outstanding for the calculation of the basic earnings per share but were considered in the calculation of the diluted earnings per share. The adoption of these recommendations had no significant impact on the diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2003.

**IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

On January 1, 2003, Domtar early adopted the new CICA Handbook Section 3063 Impairment of Long-lived Assets recommendations. These recommendations provide accounting guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of impairment of long-lived assets, including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with finite useful lives. They require the recognition of an impairment loss for a long-lived asset when events or changes in circumstances cause its carrying value to exceed the total undiscounted future cash flows expected from its use and eventual disposition. The impairment loss is calculated by deducting the fair value of the asset from its carrying value. This change in accounting policy has been applied prospectively. Domtar reviews the carrying amount of the long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable through future operations (Note 3).



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**EXIT AND DISPOSAL ACTIVITIES**

*Disposal of long-lived assets and discontinued operations*

Domtar prospectively adopted the revised CICA Handbook Section 3475 Disposal of Long-lived Assets and Discontinued Operations recommendations for disposal activities initiated on or after May 1, 2003, as required by the transitional provisions. These recommendations establish standards for the recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of disposals of long-lived assets, as well as for the presentation and disclosure of discontinued operations. The adoption of these new recommendations had no significant impact on the December 31, 2003 consolidated financial statements.

*Severance, termination benefits and costs associated with exit and disposal activities*

Domtar prospectively adopted the new CICA Emerging Issues Committee Abstract 134 (EIC-134) Accounting for Severance and Termination Benefits recommendations and Abstract 135 (EIC-135) Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit and Disposal Activities (Including Costs Incurred in a Restructuring) recommendations relating to exit or disposal activities initiated after March 31, 2003, as required by their transitional provisions. These recommendations provide guidance on the timing of recognition and measurement of liabilities, as well as disclosures for the various types of severance and termination benefits related to the termination of employees services prior to normal retirement and costs associated with an exit or disposal activity. Under these new recommendations, liabilities for these costs are to be recognized in the period when they are incurred and measured at their fair value.

2002

**STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION AND OTHER STOCK-BASED PAYMENTS**

On January 1, 2002, Domtar adopted the new CICA Handbook Section 3870 Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments recommendations. These recommendations require the use of a fair value based approach of accounting for stock-based payments to non-employees. The recommendations did not require the use of the fair value method when accounting for stock-based awards to employees, except for stock-based compensation that meets specific criteria. However, Domtar has chosen to record an expense for the stock options granted to its employees using the fair value method.

In accordance with the transitional provisions of the new recommendations, Domtar has adopted the new recommendations for awards granted after January 1, 2002. The effect of the adoption of the recommendations has been reflected as a charge of \$4 million (\$4 million net of income taxes or \$0.02 per common share) for the year ended December 31, 2002.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 2. Accounting changes (continued)

**GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

On January 1, 2002, Domtar adopted the new CICA Handbook Section 3062 Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets recommendations, which require intangible assets with an indefinite life and goodwill to no longer be amortized and be tested annually for impairment. Intangible assets with a finite life will continue to be amortized over their useful life.

In accordance with the transitional provisions of the new recommendations, Domtar has performed the impairment test of its goodwill and has determined that no write-down for impairment was necessary.

impact of accounting pronouncements not yet implemented

**CONSOLIDATION OF VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

In June 2003, the CICA issued Accounting Guideline No. 15 (AcG-15) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. AcG-15 has been revised to harmonize with the new Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46R) Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities. AcG-15 requires that an enterprise holding other than a voting interest in a variable interest entity (VIE) could, subject to certain conditions, be required to consolidate the VIE if it is considered its primary beneficiary whereby it would absorb the majority of the VIE's expected losses and/or receive the majority of its expected residual returns. In addition, AcG-15 prescribes certain disclosures for VIEs that are not consolidated but in which an enterprise has a significant variable interest. AcG-15 applies to annual and interim periods beginning on or after November 1, 2004. Effective January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted FIN 46R on a U.S. GAAP basis. There was no initial impact on the consolidated financial statements under U.S. GAAP following the adoption of this recommendation (Note 25). Domtar does not expect this guideline to have an initial impact on its consolidated financial statements under Canadian GAAP.

**FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

In January 2005, the CICA issued three new accounting proposed Sections in relation with financial instruments: proposed Section 3855 Financial Instruments Recognition and Measurement, proposed Section 3865 Hedges and proposed Section 1530 Comprehensive Income. Proposed Sections 3855, 3865 and 1530 apply to fiscal years beginning on or after October 1, 2006.

Proposed Section 3855 expands on Section 3860 Financial Instruments Disclosure and Presentation, by prescribing when a financial instrument is to be recognized on the balance sheet and at what amount. It also specifies how financial instrument gains and losses are to be presented.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Proposed Section 3865 provides alternative accounting treatments to proposed Section 3855 for entities, which choose to designate qualifying transactions as hedges for accounting purposes. It replaces and expands on AcG-13 Hedging Relationships, and the hedging guidance in Section 1650 Foreign Currency Translation by specifying how hedge accounting is applied and what disclosures are necessary when it is applied.

Proposed Section 1530 introduces a new requirement to present certain revenues, expenses, gains and losses, that otherwise would not be immediately recorded in income, in a comprehensive income statement with same prominence as other statements that constitute a complete set of financial statements.

The application of these new accounting Sections for financial instruments is not expected to have a significant effect on Domtar's financial position, earnings or cash flows but will require Domtar to present a new statement entitled Comprehensive Income.

note 3.

Measurement uncertainty

**IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS**

Domtar reviews the carrying amount of long-lived assets when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable through future operations. This is accomplished by determining whether projected undiscounted future cash flows from operations exceed the net carrying amount of the assets as of the assessment date (Step I test). Impaired assets are recorded at fair value, determined principally by using discounted future cash flows expected from their use and eventual disposition (Step II test). Estimates of future cash flows and fair value require judgment and may change over time.

During the fourth quarter of 2004, as a result of operating losses and the potential impact that a weaker U.S. dollar may have on the results of operations, Domtar conducted Step I impairment test on most of the Canadian pulp and paper manufacturing facilities, the Wood segment and three containerboard mills in the Packaging segment.

Estimates of future cash flows used to test the recoverability of a long-lived asset included key assumptions related to trend prices and the long-term forecasted exchange rate for the U.S. dollar. Other significant assumptions are the estimated useful life of the long-lived assets and the effect of the ongoing softwood lumber dispute with the United States.

The trend prices were based on an analysis of external price trends, including RISI, as well as normalized pulp, paper, wood and unbleached kraft linerboard pricing over a business cycle at the mills subjected to the impairment tests.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 3. Measurement uncertainty (continued)

The forecasted Canadian-U.S. foreign exchange rate assumptions were based on management's best estimates using independent market information, as well as analysis of historical data, trends and cycles. Management expects the longer-term average rate to be between CAN\$1.00 = US\$0.72 and CAN\$1.00 = US\$0.75.

Domtar concluded that the recognition of an impairment loss for the business units analyzed was not required.

Certain pulp and paper and packaging mills are particularly sensitive to the key assumptions. Given the inherent imprecision and corresponding importance of the key assumptions used in the impairment test, it is reasonably possible that changes in future conditions may lead management to use different key assumptions, which could require a material change in the net carrying amount of these assets. The total net carrying amount of these mills was \$529 million as at December 31, 2004.

During the fourth quarter of 2003, as a result of operating losses at the Lebel-sur-Quévillon, Quebec pulp mill and the Wood segment, Domtar conducted impairment tests of the long-lived assets of these business units. In addition, due to the decision to close one paper machine at the Vancouver, British Columbia paper mill and the potential impact that a weaker U.S. dollar may have on the results of operations of Canadian pulp and paper mills, Domtar also conducted impairment tests of the long-lived assets of the Vancouver paper mill and other Canadian pulp and paper mills. As a result of these tests, in December 2003, Domtar recorded an impairment loss of \$201 million related to the impairment of the Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill.

In the Step II test, performed in 2003 on the Lebel-sur-Quévillon pulp mill only, the assumptions used to determine the discounted future cash flows of the business unit were the same as those used in the Step I test, except that future cash flows used were on an after-tax basis and were discounted at the risk-adjusted weighted average cost of capital.

note 4.

United states dollar amounts

The consolidated financial statements are expressed in Canadian dollars and, solely for the convenience of the reader, the 2004 consolidated financial statements and the tables of certain related notes have been translated into U.S. dollars at the year-end rate of CAN\$1.00 = US\$0.8308. This translation should not be construed as an application of the recommendations relating to the accounting for foreign currency translation, but rather as supplemental information for the reader.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 5.

Business acquisitions

2004

In 2004, Norampac acquired businesses for a cash consideration of \$36 million (US\$28 million) (the Corporation's proportionate share being \$18 million (US\$14 million)).

**GOODWILL**

In 2004, goodwill increased by \$7 million related to business acquisitions completed by Norampac.

2003

In 2003, Norampac acquired businesses for a cash consideration of \$21 million (US\$14 million) and a transfer of assets of \$12 million (US\$8 million) (the Corporation's proportionate share being \$11 million (US\$7 million) and \$6 million (US\$4 million), respectively).

**GOODWILL**

In 2003, goodwill decreased by \$2 million, consisting of a \$2 million increase related to business acquisitions completed by Norampac and a \$4 million decrease related to foreign currency exchange rate changes.

2002

In 2002, Norampac acquired businesses for a cash consideration of \$54 million and a transfer of assets of \$14 million (the Corporation's proportionate share being \$27 million and \$7 million, respectively).

**GOODWILL**

In 2002, goodwill increased by \$17 million related to business acquisitions completed by Norampac.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 6.

Closure and restructuring costs

In 2004, Domtar sold the St. Catharines, Ontario paper mill, which was closed in 2002, for \$1 million to a third party who agreed to purchase it in its existing state. As such, the majority of the remaining closure cost provision was reversed, leaving a balance of \$1 million (2003 \$8 million) as at December 31, 2004, representing the remaining severance and commitments and contingencies related to environmental matters.

In 2004, Domtar's management committed to workforce reduction and restructuring plans throughout the Corporation's paper and merchant operations in Canada and the United States. The total severance and termination benefit costs accrued for the year ended December 31, 2004, representing a portion of the severance and termination costs related to the plan, amounted to \$42 million (which included \$2 million for pension curtailment costs). In addition, training costs of \$1 million were incurred for the year ended December 31, 2004. Further costs related to the plan expected to be incurred over 2005 and 2006 include severance and termination costs of \$4 million, training costs of \$6 million, outplacement costs of \$2 million and pension settlements of \$3 million, which will be expensed as incurred. To accomplish this plan, Domtar will invest approximately \$11 million in capital expenditures over 2005 and 2006. As at December 31, 2004, the balance of the provision was \$32 million.

In 2004, Domtar's management decided to permanently shut down the sawmill located in Chapleau, Ontario, resulting in a charge of \$14 million in December 2004, including \$11 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment and \$3 million related to a provision for severance and related costs.

In 2003, Domtar's management decided to permanently shut down one paper machine at the Vancouver, British Columbia paper mill. The decision to close the paper machine resulted in a charge of \$29 million in December 2003, including \$23 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment, \$5 million related to a provision for severance and related costs (for 85 employees), which were contractual obligations as at the time of the decision, and \$1 million related to the write-down of certain inventory items and spare parts to their net recoverable amounts. Further costs of \$1 million related to the dismantling of the paper machine, net of salvage proceeds, were accrued in the second quarter of 2004, as well as a provision reversal of \$2 million regarding severance and related costs. The total of the 2003 to 2005 costs is estimated to be \$30 million. As at December 31, 2004, the balance of the provision was \$2 million (2003 \$5 million).

**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

In 2002, Domtar announced plans to permanently shut down the St. Catharines, Ontario paper mill and in 2003 the wood products remanufacturing facility in Daveluyville, Quebec and the hardwood lumber operations in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario. The shutdown of these mills resulted in a charge of \$63 million, including \$27 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment and \$36 million primarily for severance costs, demolition costs, site remediation and other commitments and contingencies related to these closures.

In 2002, Domtar shut down a paper machine at the Nekoosa, Wisconsin paper mill, which was acquired in the third quarter of 2001, as a result of a study that was part of the original acquisition plan. In accordance with CICA recommendations, charges related to the closure of this paper machine amounting to \$10 million (US\$6 million) have been accounted for under the purchase price allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the acquisition date, and thus did not affect the results for the year ended December 31, 2002.

The following table provides a reconciliation of all closure and restructuring cost provisions for the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003:

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE 4)		
Balance at beginning of year	11	<b>13</b>	26
Severance payments	(10)	<b>(12)</b>	(9)
Reduction in the provision for demolition costs			(5)
Reversal of provision	(8)	<b>(10)</b>	
Proceeds on disposition	1	<b>1</b>	
Other			(4)
Additions			
Labor costs	37	<b>45</b>	5
Dismantling costs	1	<b>1</b>	
<b>Balance at end of year</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>13</b>

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Note 7.

---

Financing Expenses

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$	\$
Interest on long-term debt	133	<b>160</b>	158	185
Exchange gains on long-term debt	(4)	<b>(5)</b>	(9)	
Receivables securitization	5	<b>6</b>	12	14
Net interest recoveries related to interest rate swap contracts	(2)	<b>(2)</b>	(2)	(11)
Refinancing expenses <sup>(a)</sup>			17	
Amortization of deferred net gain on early settlements of interest rate swap contracts	(12)	<b>(14)</b>	(4)	
Amortization of debt issue costs and other	6	<b>7</b>	1	6
	126	<b>152</b>	173	194
Less: Income from short-term investments	1	<b>1</b>	1	
Capitalized interest	2	<b>3</b>	3	2
	123	<b>148</b>	169	192
<b>Cash payments (cash receipts)</b>				
Interest, net of interest income and amounts capitalized	130	<b>156</b>	160	185
Net cash receipts related to interest rate swap contracts	(17)	<b>(20)</b>		(58)
	113	<b>136</b>	160	127

---

(a) The Refinancing expenses for the year ended December 31, 2003, include \$10 million representing Domtar's proportionate share of Norampac's debt refinancing expenses and \$7 million representing the Corporation's debt refinancing expenses. Refinancing of long-term debt is described in Note 15.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Note 8.

Income Taxes

The following table provides a reconciliation of income taxes computed at the Canadian statutory rate to income tax expense (recovery) presented on the Consolidated earnings:

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$	\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE 4)			
Combined basic Canadian federal and provincial tax rate (statutory income tax rate)	33.7%	<b>33.7%</b>	35.2%	37.1%
Income tax expense (recovery) based on statutory income tax rate	(27)	<b>(32)</b>	(92)	73
Large corporation tax	5	<b>6</b>	6	7
Canadian manufacturing and processing activities	1	<b>1</b>	5	(2)
Foreign rate differential	(21)	<b>(25)</b>	(18)	(21)
Reassessment of prior years by tax authorities	(3)	<b>(4)</b>		
Impact of increase in income tax rate on future income taxes			31	
Other	2	<b>2</b>	1	(1)
<b>Income tax expense (recovery)</b>	<b>(43)</b>	<b>(52)</b>	<b>(67)</b>	<b>56</b>
Income tax expense (recovery)				
Current	19	<b>23</b>	14	25
Future	(62)	<b>(75)</b>	(81)	31
	<b>(43)</b>	<b>(52)</b>	<b>(67)</b>	<b>56</b>

Net cash payments for income taxes in 2004, net of cash receipts, amounted to \$9 million (2003 receipts amounted to \$1 million; 2002 payments amounted to \$3 million).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## Note 8. Income Taxes (Continued)

The following table provides the geographic distribution of the income tax expense (recovery):

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$	\$
			RESTATED (NOTE 2 )	
<b>Earnings (loss) before income taxes</b>				
Canada	(177)	<b>(213)</b>	(361)	17
Foreign	99	<b>119</b>	101	180
	(78)	<b>(94)</b>	(260)	197
<b>Current income taxes</b>				
Canada	9	<b>11</b>	12	20
Foreign	10	<b>12</b>	2	5
	19	<b>23</b>	14	25
<b>Future income taxes</b>				
Canada	(52)	<b>(63)</b>	(96)	(9)
Foreign	(10)	<b>(12)</b>	15	40
	(62)	<b>(75)</b>	(81)	31
<b>COMPONENTS OF FUTURE INCOME TAX ASSETS AND LIABILITIES</b>				
	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$	
<b>Future income tax assets</b>				
Accounting provisions not deductible for tax purposes	69	<b>83</b>		108
Losses and other deductions carryforward	297	<b>358</b>		279
Deferred credits	37	<b>44</b>		44
Capital losses carryforward	9	<b>11</b>		8
	412	<b>496</b>		439
<b>Future income tax liabilities</b>				
Property, plant and equipment	(740)	<b>(890)</b>		(886)
Pension and other employee future benefit plans	(14)	<b>(17)</b>		(17)

Impact of foreign exchange on long-term debt	(46)	<b>(55)</b>	(33)
Other	(3)	<b>(4)</b>	(5)
	(803)	<b>(966)</b>	(941)
<b>Total net future income tax liability</b>	(391)	<b>(470)</b>	(502)
Net current future income tax asset	72	<b>87</b>	60
Net non-current future income tax liability	(463)	<b>(557)</b>	(562)
	(391)	<b>(470)</b>	(502)

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

As at December 31, 2004, Domtar had operating losses of \$951 million. These operating losses are set to expire between 2010 and 2024.

Note 9.

---

Earnings (loss) per share

The basic net earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year.

The diluted net earnings (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year, plus the effects of dilutive common share equivalents such as options and share purchase loans. The diluted net earnings (loss) per share is calculated using the treasury method, as if all common share equivalents had been exercised at the beginning of the year, or the date of the issuance, as the case may be, and that the funds obtained thereby were used to purchase common shares of Domtar at the average trading price of the common shares during the period. Stock options to purchase common shares are not included in the computation of diluted net earnings (loss) per share in periods when net losses are recorded, given that they are anti-dilutive.

The following table provides the reconciliation between basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$	\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE		RESTATED	
	4)		(NOTE 2)	
Net earnings (loss)	(35)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
Dividend requirements of preferred shares	1	<b>1</b>	2	1
Net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares	(36)	<b>(43)</b>	(195)	140
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (millions)	228.7	<b>228.7</b>	227.3	227.2
Effect of dilutive stock options (millions)				0.9
Weighted average number of diluted common shares outstanding (millions)	228.7	<b>228.7</b>	227.3	228.1
Basic earnings (loss) per share (in dollars)	(0.16)	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.62
Diluted earnings (loss) per share (in dollars)	(0.16)	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)	0.61

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 9. earnings (loss) per share (continued)

The following table provides the securities that could potentially dilute basic earnings (loss) per share in the future but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because to do so would have been anti-dilutive for the periods presented:

	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
<b>Number of shares</b>			
Options	<b>5,306,553</b>	5,688,264	2,163,250
Bonus shares	<b>226,693</b>	211,786	
Rights	<b>84,500</b>	46,000	

Note 10.

Receivables

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$
Trade receivables	56	<b>67</b>	37
Subordinate interest in securitized receivables	93	<b>112</b>	106
Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts	(13)	<b>(16)</b>	(18)
	136	<b>163</b>	125
Silvicultural credits receivable	11	<b>13</b>	11
Sales taxes receivable	14	<b>17</b>	17
Other receivables	33	<b>40</b>	44
<b>Receivables</b>	194	<b>233</b>	197

**RECEIVABLES SECURITIZATION**

Domtar uses securitization of its receivables as a source of financing by reducing its working capital requirements. Domtar's securitizations consist of the sale of receivables, or the sale of senior beneficial interest in them, to special purpose trusts managed by financial institutions for multiple sellers of receivables. The agreements normally allow the daily sale of new receivables to replace those that have been collected. They also limit the cash that can

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

be received from the sale of the senior beneficial interest. Such sales of receivables are contingent upon annual renewals and retaining specified credit ratings. The subordinate interest retained by Domtar is included in Receivables and will be collected only after the senior beneficial interest has been settled. The book value of the retained subordinated interests approximates fair value.

Domtar retains responsibility for servicing the receivables sold but does not record a servicing asset or liability as the fees received by Domtar for this service approximate the fair value of the services rendered.

In 2004, a net charge of \$6 million (2003 \$12 million; 2002 \$14 million) resulted from the programs described below and was included in Financing expenses.

**U.S. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE PROGRAM**

In January 2002, Domtar entered into an agreement, which was renewed in December 2004 and expires in December 2005, for the securitization of U.S. receivables for a maximum cash consideration of \$211 million (US\$175 million).

At December 31, the following balances were outstanding under this program:

	<b>2004</b>		2003	
	\$	US\$	\$	US\$
Receivables sold	<b>267</b>	<b>222</b>	261	202
Senior beneficial interest held by third parties	<b>(178)</b>	<b>(148)</b>	(174)	(135)
<b>Subordinate interest in securitized receivables retained by Domtar</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>74</b>	87	67

In 2004, the net cash inflow from the sale of senior beneficial interests in the U.S. receivables was \$17 million (US\$14 million) (2003 \$13 million (US\$10 million); 2002 \$8 million (US\$5 million)) and was included in the Consolidated statement of cash flows as a source of cash from receivables.

**CANADIAN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE PROGRAM**

In December 2000, Domtar entered into an agreement, which was renewed in December 2003 and expires in December 2005, for the securitization of Canadian receivables for a maximum cash consideration of \$75 million.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## Note 10. Receivables (continued)

At December 31, the following balances were outstanding under this program:

	2004	2003
	\$	\$
Receivables sold	<b>81</b>	72
Senior beneficial interest held by third parties	<b>(58)</b>	(53)
<b>Subordinate interest in securitized receivables retained by Domtar</b>	<b>23</b>	19

In 2004, the net cash inflow from the sale of senior beneficial interests in the Canadian receivables was \$5 million (2003 cash outflow of \$13 million; 2002 cash inflow of \$18 million) and was included in the Consolidated statement of cash flows as a source or use of cash from receivables.

Note 11.

---

Inventories

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE		
	4)		
Work in process and finished goods	324	<b>390</b>	363
Raw materials	131	<b>157</b>	138
Operating and maintenance supplies	146	<b>176</b>	169
	601	<b>723</b>	670

**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 12.

## Property, plant and equipment

	2004		2004	
	NET CARRYING AMOUNT	COST	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING AMOUNT
	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$	\$
Machinery and equipment	2,798	5,559	2,191	3,368
Buildings	432	969	449	520
Timber limits and land	148	209	31	178
Assets under construction	124	149		149
	3,502	6,886	2,671	4,215
				2003
		COST	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING AMOUNT
		\$	\$	\$
			restated (note 2)	restated (note 2)
Machinery and equipment		5,590	1,942	3,648
Buildings		920	384	536
Timber limits and land		210	28	182
Assets under construction		167		167
		6,887	2,354	4,533

As at December 31, 2004, a net carrying amount of \$10 million (2003 \$11 million) included in Buildings is held under capital leases (\$12 million for cost (2003 \$12 million) and \$2 million for accumulated amortization (2003 \$1 million)).



Table of Contents

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 13.

## Other assets

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		
	4)		
Accrued benefit asset – defined benefit pension plans (note 22)	152	<b>183</b>	153
Investment tax credits receivable	22	<b>26</b>	
Unamortized debt issue costs	15	<b>18</b>	26
Investments and advances	12	<b>15</b>	14
Discount on long-term debt	9	<b>11</b>	13
Other	10	<b>12</b>	6
	220	<b>265</b>	212

note 14.

## Trade and other payables

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		
	4)		restated
			(note 2)
Trade payables	308	<b>371</b>	424
Payroll-related accruals	98	<b>118</b>	110
Accrued interest	27	<b>33</b>	34
Payables on capital projects	8	<b>10</b>	18
Rebates accruals	11	<b>13</b>	4
Accrued benefit liability – defined benefit pension plans (note 22)			1
Accrued benefit liability – other employee future benefit plans (note 23)	5	<b>6</b>	1
Provision for environment and other asset retirement obligations (note 17)	18	<b>21</b>	19
Closure and restructuring costs excluding costs for defined benefit pension plans and site remediation (note 6)	30	<b>36</b>	8
Other	38	<b>46</b>	33
	543	<b>654</b>	652



Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 15.

Long-term debt

	MATURITY	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
		US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$
<b>The Corporation</b>				
Unsecured debentures and notes				
8.75% Notes (2004 and 2003 US\$150)	2006	150	<b>181</b>	194
10% Debentures	2011	68	<b>82</b>	82
7.875% Notes (2004 and 2003 US\$600)	2011	600	<b>722</b>	775
5.375% Notes (2004 and 2003 US\$350)	2013	350	<b>421</b>	452
9.5% Debentures (2004 and 2003 US\$125)	2016	125	<b>150</b>	162
10.85% Debentures	2017	63	<b>75</b>	75
Unsecured term loan (2004 US\$71; 2003 US\$76)	2006	71	<b>86</b>	99
Unsecured revolving credit facility	2006	112	<b>135</b>	30
Capital lease obligations	2028	9	<b>11</b>	11
Other		6	<b>7</b>	3
		1,554	<b>1,870</b>	1,883
<b>Norampac</b>				
Unsecured notes				
6.75% Notes (2004 and 2003 US\$125)	2013	125	<b>150</b>	161
Secured revolving credit facility (2004 CAN\$9 and 1; 2003 CAN\$10 and 1)	2008	8	<b>10</b>	11
Other		3	<b>4</b>	4
		136	<b>164</b>	176
		1,690	<b>2,034</b>	2,059
Less: Due within one year		7	<b>8</b>	5
		1,683	<b>2,026</b>	2,054

As at December 31, 2004, principal long-term debt repayments, including capital lease obligations, in each of the next five years amounted to:

2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
------	------	------	------	------

\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
8	397	2	12	3

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 15. Long-term debt (continued)

**THE CORPORATION**

*Unsecured debentures and notes*

The 10% and 10.85% debentures each have purchase fund requirements, whereby the Corporation has undertaken to make all reasonable efforts to purchase quarterly, for cancellation, a portion of the aggregate principal amount of the debentures at prices not exceeding par.

On November 18, 2003, the Corporation issued \$456 million (US\$350 million) 5.375% notes due in 2013 at an issue price of \$452 million (US\$347 million). The Corporation used the proceeds from this issuance to reduce the unsecured term loan.

In the first quarter of 2004, the Corporation terminated, prior to maturity, its interest rate swap contracts entered into in 2003. As described in Note 19, these swaps had been designated as hedges of a portion of the interest on the 5.375% notes payable.

*Bank facility*

The Corporation has a bank facility comprised of a US\$71 million unsecured term loan and a US\$500 million unsecured revolving credit facility that expires in 2006.

The unsecured term loan bears interest based on the U.S. dollar LIBOR rate or U.S. prime rate, plus a margin that varies with Domtar's credit rating. Repayment of 11% (2003 17%) of the balance currently outstanding through April 30, 2006 is required prior to its maturity in August 2006. In 2004, the Corporation repaid \$7 million (US\$5 million) (2003 \$534 million (US\$404 million)) of this term loan.

Borrowings under the revolving credit facility bear interest at a rate based on Canadian dollar bankers' acceptance or U.S. dollar LIBOR rate or prime rate, plus a margin that varies with Domtar's credit rating. The revolving credit facility also requires commitment fees in accordance with standard banking practices.

As at December 31, 2004, \$135 million (2003 \$37 million) of borrowings under the unsecured revolving credit facility were outstanding, of which nil (2003 \$7 million) was in the form of overdraft and included in Bank indebtedness, and \$135 million (2003 \$30 million) were included in Long-term debt. In addition, as at December 31, 2004, the Corporation had outstanding letters of credit pursuant to this bank credit for an amount of \$10 million (2003 \$10 million). The Corporation also has other outstanding letters of credit for an amount of \$3 million. A provision of \$5 million was recorded related to letters of credit.

In 2004, the interest rates on outstanding borrowings under the bank facilities ranged from 2.34% to 4.55% (2003 from 2.31% to 4.80%).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

The Corporation's borrowing agreements contain restrictive covenants. In particular, the Corporation's bank facility requires compliance with certain financial ratios on a quarterly basis.

Certain debt agreements require the Corporation to indemnify the parties in the event of changes in elements such as withholding tax regulations. As the nature and scope of such indemnifications are contingent on future events, none of which can be foreseen as at December 31, 2004, and the structure of such transactions makes these events unlikely, no provisions have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

**NORAMPAC**

Norampac's debt is non-recourse to the Corporation. On May 28, 2003, Norampac completed a series of financial transactions to substantially refinance all of its existing credit facilities, except those of its joint venture. The following amounts represent the Corporation's proportionate share.

In 2003, Norampac entered into a new five-year secured revolving credit facility of \$175 million. The new facility replaced a secured reducing revolving credit facility of \$39 million and a \$75 million secured revolving facility. The new revolving credit facility is secured by all the inventories and receivables of Norampac Inc. and its North American subsidiaries and by property, plant and equipment at two of its containerboard mills and three of its converting facilities. Also, this facility requires compliance with certain covenants. As at December 31, 2004, the Corporation's proportionate share of assets secured under the new revolving credit facility relating to receivables, inventories and property, plant and equipment amounted to \$97 million (2003 \$89 million), \$69 million (2003 \$65 million) and \$218 million (2003 \$218 million), respectively. Borrowings under this credit facility bear interest at floating rates plus a borrowing margin based on Norampac's credit rating.

In 2003, Norampac issued unsecured Senior notes for an aggregate amount of \$173 million (US\$125 million). The notes bear a 6.75% coupon and will mature in 2013. The notes require compliance with certain covenants. The aggregate proceeds of these two transactions were used to repay the existing credit facilities for an amount of approximately \$34 million at the time of the refinancing and to redeem both the \$104 million (US\$75 million) 9.5% notes and the \$50 million 9.375% notes due in 2008.

As at December 31, 2004, \$10 million (2003 \$11 million) of borrowings under the secured revolving credit facility were outstanding. In addition, as at December 31, 2004, Norampac had outstanding letters of credit pursuant to this bank credit for an amount of \$6 million (2003 \$4 million). No provision was recorded related to outstanding letters of credit.

In 2004, the interest rates on outstanding borrowings under the revolving credit facility ranged from 3.04% to 3.69% (2003 from 3.06% to 4.05%).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

NOTE 16.

Dother liabilities and deferred credits

	2004 US\$ (note 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$  restated (note 2 )
<b>Other liabilities</b>			
Accrued benefit liability other employee future benefit plans (note 23)	73	<b>88</b>	89
Accrued benefit liability defined benefit pension plans (note 22)	27	<b>32</b>	37
Provision for contracts assumed	3	<b>4</b>	10
Provision for environment and other asset retirement obligations	30	<b>36</b>	44
Other	32	<b>38</b>	40
<b>Deferred credits</b>			
Deferred gain on contribution of net assets to Norampac	32	<b>39</b>	44
Deferred net gain on early settlements of interest rate swap contracts	32	<b>39</b>	36
Unrealized foreign exchange on translation of long-term debt	40	<b>48</b>	44
Investment tax credits and other	16	<b>19</b>	16
	285	<b>343</b>	360

**ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS**

The asset retirement obligations are principally linked to landfill capping obligations, asbestos removal obligations and demolition of certain abandoned buildings. As at December 31, 2004, Domtar has estimated the net present value of its asset retirement obligations to be \$25 million (2003 \$26 million); the present value was based on probability weighted undiscounted cash flows of \$37 million (2003 \$41 million). The majority of asset retirement obligations are estimated to be settled prior to December 31, 2020. However, some settlement scenarios call for obligations to be settled as late as December 31, 2040. Domtar's credit adjusted risk-free rates were used to calculate the net present value of the asset retirement obligations. The rates used vary between 4.25% and 6.80%, based on the prevailing rate at the moment of recognition of the liability and on its settlement period.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

The following table reconciles Domtar's asset retirement obligations:

	2004 US\$ (note 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$ restated (note 2)
Asset retirement obligations, beginning of year	22	<b>26</b>	31
Liabilities incurred during the year	1	<b>1</b>	
Revisions to estimated cash flows	(2)	<b>(2)</b>	
Liabilities settled during the year	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(3)
Accretion expense	2	<b>2</b>	2
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate change	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(4)
<b>Asset retirement obligations, end of year</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>

NOTE 17.

Commitments and contingencies

**ENVIRONMENT**

Domtar is subject to environmental laws and regulations enacted by federal, provincial, state and local authorities.

In 2004, Domtar's operating expenditures for environmental matters, as described in Note 1, amounted to \$69 million (2003 \$70 million; 2002 \$82 million).

Domtar made capital expenditures for environmental matters of \$22 million in 2004 (2003 \$7 million; 2002 \$20 million) for the improvement of air emissions, effluent treatment and remedial actions to address environmental compliance. At this time, Domtar cannot reasonably estimate the additional capital expenditures that may be required. However, management expects any additional required expenditure would not have a material adverse effect on Domtar's financial position, earnings or cash flows.

Domtar continues to take remedial action under its Care and Control Program at a number of former operating sites, especially in the wood preserving sector, due to possible soil, sediment or groundwater contamination. The investigation and remediation process is lengthy and subject to the uncertainties of changes in legal requirements, technological developments and the allocation of liability among potentially responsible parties.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 17. Commitments and contingencies (continued)

While Domtar believes that it has determined the costs for environmental matters likely to be incurred based on known information, Domtar's ongoing efforts to identify potential environmental concerns that may be associated with its properties may lead to future environmental investigations. These efforts may result in the determination of additional environmental costs and liabilities which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

As at December 31, 2004, Domtar had a provision of \$57 million (2003 \$63 million) for environmental matters and other asset retirement obligations. Additional costs, not known or identifiable, could be incurred for remediation efforts. Based on policies and procedures in place to monitor environmental exposure, management believes that such additional remediation costs would not have a material adverse effect on Domtar's financial position, earnings or cash flows.

In addition, the pulp and paper industry in the United States is subject to Cluster Rules and Boiler M.A.C.T. (maximum achievable control technology) Rules that further regulate effluent and air emissions. Domtar complies with all present regulations and anticipates spending approximately \$52 million over the next three years to meet such requirements.

As at December 31, 2004, anticipated payments in each of the next five years were as follows:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	thereafter	total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Environmental provision	21	7	2	2	2	23	57
Cluster Rules obligation	14	8					22
Boiler M.A.C.T. Rules obligation		18	12				30

**CONTINGENCIES**

In the normal course of operations, Domtar becomes involved in various legal actions mostly related to contract disputes, patent infringements, environmental and product warranty claims, and labor issues. While the final outcome with respect to actions outstanding or pending as at December 31, 2004, cannot be predicted with certainty, it is management's opinion that their resolution will not have a material adverse effect on Domtar's financial position, earnings or cash flows.

In April 2003, the Canadian Competition Bureau began an investigation of Canada's major distributors of carbonless paper and other fine paper products, including Domtar. Although the investigation is continuing, no new information has been presented that would allow Domtar to predict the outcome of this investigation or any impact it may have on Domtar.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**E.B. EDDY ACQUISITION**

On July 31, 1998, Domtar acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of E.B. Eddy Limited and E.B. Eddy Paper, Inc. (E.B. Eddy), an integrated producer of specialty paper and wood products. The purchase agreement includes a purchase price adjustment whereby, in the event of the acquisition by a third party of more than 50% of the shares of the Corporation in specified circumstances, the Corporation may have had to pay up to a maximum of \$120 million, an amount which is gradually declining over a 25-year period. As at December 31, 2004, the maximum amount of the purchase price adjustment was \$110 million. No provision was recorded for this potential purchase price adjustment.

**LEASE AND OTHER COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS**

The Corporation has entered into operating leases for property, plant and equipment. The Corporation also has commitments to purchase property, plant and equipment, roundwood, wood chips, gas, electricity and certain chemicals. Minimum future payments under these operating leases and other commercial commitments, determined as at December 31, 2004, were as follows:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	thereafter	total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Operating leases	30	23	16	11	9	17	106
Other commercial commitments	81	34	34	26	27	18	220

Total operating lease expense amounted to \$38 million in 2004 (2003 \$38 million; 2002 \$45 million).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 17. Commitments and contingencies (continued)

Norampac has entered into operating leases for property, plant and equipment. Norampac also has commitments to purchase property, plant and equipment, gas, electricity and steam. The Corporation's proportionate share of Norampac's minimum future payments under these operating leases and other commercial commitments, determined as at December 31, 2004, were as follows:

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	thereafter	total
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Operating leases	6	6	4	4	3	7	30
Other commercial commitments	40	26	21	20	12	76	195

The Corporation's proportionate share of Norampac's total operating leases expense amounted to \$7 million in 2004 (2003-\$8 million; 2002-\$8 million).

**GUARANTEES***Indemnifications*

In the normal course of business, the Corporation offers indemnifications relating to the sale of its businesses and real estate. In general, these indemnifications may relate to claims from past business operations, the failure to abide by covenants and the breach of representations and warranties included in the sales agreements. Typically, such representations and warranties relate to taxation, environmental, product and employee matters. The terms of these indemnification agreements are generally for an unlimited period of time. As at December 31, 2004, the Corporation is unable to estimate the potential maximum liabilities for these types of indemnification guarantees as the amounts are contingent upon the outcome of future events, the nature and likelihood of which cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. Accordingly, no provisions have been recorded. These indemnifications have not yielded significant expenses in the past.

*Leases*

The Corporation has guaranteed to various lessors \$8 million of residual value of its assets under operating leases with expiry dates in 2006. If the fair value of the assets at the end of the lease terms are lower than the residual values guaranteed, the Corporation would be held liable for the shortfall. The Corporation's management does not believe these are likely to be called upon and, as such, no provisions have been recorded with respect to these guarantees.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

NOTE 18.

Stated capital

**PREFERRED SHARES**

The outstanding preferred shares at December 31, were as follows:

	2004 US\$ (note 4)	number of shares	<b>2004</b> \$	number of shares	2003 \$
Preferred shares					
Series A	2	<b>69,576</b>	<b>2</b>	69,576	2
Series B	31	<b>1,470,000</b>	<b>37</b>	1,590,000	40
	33		<b>39</b>		42

The authorized preferred shares consist of preferred shares issuable in an unlimited number of series, ranking equal with respect to the payment of dividends and the distribution of assets.

The Series A Preferred shares are non-voting and redeemable at the Corporation's option at \$25.00 per share since April 1, 1994. These shares carry a cumulative cash dividend per share of \$2.25 per annum.

The Series B Preferred shares are non-voting and redeemable at the Corporation's option at \$25.00 per share. These shares carry a cumulative cash dividend equivalent to 72% of the bank prime rate.

The Corporation has undertaken to make all reasonable efforts to purchase quarterly, for cancellation, 1% of the number of Series A and Series B Preferred shares outstanding on April 2, 1992, at prices not exceeding \$25.00 per share. In connection therewith, preferred shares purchased for cancellation were as follows:

	2004 number of shares	2004 average price per shares \$	2003 number of shares	2003 average price per share \$	2002 number of shares	2002 average price per share \$
Series B	<b>120,000</b>	<b>24.68</b>	120,000	23.48	120,000	23.66

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
 december 31, 2004 (in millions of canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)

NOTE 18. Stated capital (continued)

**COMMON SHARES**

The Corporation is authorized to issue an unlimited number of common shares. In 2004, a cash dividend of \$0.24 per share has been paid on these shares (2003 \$0.215 per share; 2002 \$0.14 per share). The changes in the number of outstanding common shares and their aggregate stated value from January 1, 2002 to December 31, 2004, were as follows:

	2004 US\$ (note 4)	number of shares	2004 \$	
Balance at beginning of year	1,469	<b>228,860,806</b>	<b>1,768</b>	
Shares issued				
Stock option and share purchase plans	17	<b>1,376,550</b>	<b>20</b>	
<b>Balance before share purchase financing agreements</b>	1,486	<b>230,237,356</b>	<b>1,788</b>	
Share purchase financing agreements	(11)	<b>(947,105)</b>	<b>(13)</b>	
<b>Balance at end of year</b>	1,475	<b>229,290,251</b>	<b>1,775</b>	
<b>Book value per common share at end of year</b>	7.27		<b>8.75</b>	
	2003 number of shares	\$	2002 number of shares	\$
Balance at beginning of year	227,680,352	1,752	226,202,379	1,731
Shares issued				
Stock option and share purchase plans	1,180,454	16	1,477,973	21
<b>Balance before share purchase financing agreements</b>	228,860,806	1,768	227,680,352	1,752
Share purchase financing agreements	(922,994)	(12)		
<b>Balance at end of year</b>	227,937,812	1,756	227,680,352	1,752
<b>Book value per common share at end of year</b>		9.34		11.02

Book value per common share is the sum of the stated value of common shares, contributed surplus, retained earnings and accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments divided by the number of common shares outstanding at year-end.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

As at December 31, 2004, the Corporation had a receivable from its employees of \$13 million (2003 \$12 million; 2002 \$11 million) related to share purchase loans granted to them. These shares are held in trust as security for the loans that are interest bearing at the dividend rate and with defined repayment terms not exceeding 10 years. At the end of the year, there were 947,105 shares (2003 922,994 shares; 2002 1,000,333 shares) held in trust in respect to employee loans for which the market value was \$14.50 (2003 \$16.25; 2002 \$15.70) per share. These loans were included in Other assets until December 31, 2002, and were included as a reduction of Common shares thereafter.

**EXECUTIVE STOCK OPTION AND SHARE PURCHASE PLAN**

Under the Executive Stock Option and Share Purchase Plan (Plan), options and rights may be granted to selected eligible employees. Options are granted at a price equal to the market value on the day immediately preceding the date the options were granted and generally expire 10 years after the date of the grant. Normally, one quarter of the options may be exercised at each anniversary date of the grant. Rights permit eligible employees to purchase shares at 90% of the quoted market value on the day immediately preceding the date the rights were granted, and provide for a one-for-four bonus share to be issued on the third anniversary date of the grant of the rights.

In 2003, a new performance feature was introduced to the Plan for all grants starting with 2003 going-forward. Options granted before 2003 are not affected by this new feature. Pursuant to this new feature, the granted stock options will vest in four increments of 25% on each anniversary date of the grant, provided the performance of Domtar's common share price is equal to or exceeds the average performance of an index composed of the S&P 500 Materials (U.S.) index (50%) and the S&P/TSX Materials (Canada) index (50%). On each anniversary date of the grant, the average closing price of Domtar's common shares, during the 20 consecutive trading days on the Toronto Stock Exchange immediately preceding each anniversary date of the grant, is used to measure the performance of Domtar's common share price and is compared to the average performance of the index during the same reference period. The relevant annual portion only vests on a given anniversary date if the performance of Domtar's common share price equals or exceeds the average index during the relevant reference period. Should this not be the case, the annual portion will not vest but may vest on any following anniversary date if the foregoing test, applied on a cumulative basis, is satisfied on a subsequent anniversary date over the vesting period of four years. Any annual portion which has not vested on or before the end of the vesting period of the option will automatically lapse on the expiry date. The new performance options have a term of 10 years and will expire in February 2013.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 december 31, 2004 (in millions of canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)

## NOTE 18. Stated capital (continued)

In 2001, all of the 900,000 performance options granted to the members of the Management Committee in March 1997 became void as a result of not meeting the specified conditions to exercise the options based on the market value of the Corporation's common shares. A new performance option program was then approved in June 2001, and 1,050,000 stock options were granted to members of the Management Committee. Pursuant to this grant, and except in certain specified circumstances, there was no prorata or early vesting prior to January 1, 2004, at which time the options became fully vested if the holder of the options was still an employee of Domtar. After vesting, the options may not be exercised unless both of the following two conditions have been met: 1) at any time between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2003, the weighted average trading price of the Corporation's common shares during 20 consecutive trading days on the Toronto Stock Exchange has reached or exceeded \$16.70, \$18.51 or \$20.32, whereupon 25%, 50% or 100%, respectively, of the options granted become exercisable; and 2) the appreciation in the market value of the Corporation's common shares between January 1, 2001 and the exercise date is equal to or exceeds the increase in the Standard & Poor's U.S. Paper & Forest Products index during the same period. As at December 31, 2004, only 25% of the options are exercisable, provided the above-mentioned conditions are met, and the remaining 75% have been cancelled as the objectives of the program have not been attained.

The fair value of options granted during the years ended December 31, 2004, 2003 and 2002 was estimated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2004	2003	2002
Risk-free interest rate	4.2%	5.2%	5.2%
Annual dividends per shares (in dollars)	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.14
Expected lives (years)	6	6	6
Volatility	33.4%	34.0%	34.4%
Estimated realization percentage-performance options	69.8%	69.8%	N/A
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year (in dollars per option)	\$ 3.68	\$ 4.36	\$ 6.54



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Changes in the number of options outstanding were as follows:

	number of options	2004 weighted average exercise price \$
Outstanding at beginning of year	5,688,264	14.22
Granted	1,266,000	15.53
Exercised	(540,270)	11.57
Cancelled	(1,107,441)	14.08
<b>Outstanding at end of year</b>	<b>5,306,553</b>	<b>14.83</b>
<b>Options exercisable at end of year</b>	<b>2,287,587</b>	<b>13.79</b>

	2003 number of options	2003 weighted average exercise price \$	2002 number of options	2002 weighted average exercise price \$
Outstanding at beginning of year	4,920,882	13.56	4,573,233	12.29
Granted	1,243,850	15.95	1,212,000	16.52
Exercised	(356,105)	10.61	(614,827)	9.99
Cancelled	(120,363)	15.45	(249,524)	13.51
<b>Outstanding at end of year</b>	<b>5,688,264</b>	<b>14.22</b>	<b>4,920,882</b>	<b>13.56</b>
<b>Options exercisable at end of year</b>	<b>1,988,289</b>	<b>12.98</b>	<b>1,533,085</b>	<b>11.84</b>

The following table summarizes the information about options outstanding and exercisable as at December 31, 2004:

range of exercise prices	options outstanding		options exercisable	
	weighted average remaining number of options	weighted average exercise price	number of options	weighted average exercise price
	contractual life			

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

			\$		\$
\$9.12 \$11.00	576,336	2.8	10.36	576,336	10.36
\$11.01 \$12.75	609,042	5.6	12.13	430,185	12.14
\$12.76 \$16.52	4,121,175	7.6	15.86	1,281,066	15.88
	<b>5,306,553</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>14.83</b>	<b>2,287,587</b>	<b>13.79</b>

157

---

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 18. Stated capital (continued)

During the year, 353,900 shares (2003 320,350; 2002 358,472) were issued pursuant to the exercise of rights and 52,730 bonus shares (2003 41,169; 2002 - 40,878) were issued. The total expense recognized in Domtar's results of operations related to these rights and bonus shares amounted to \$2 million in 2004 (2003 \$2 million; 2002 \$1 million). As at December 31, 2004, 226,693 bonus shares could be issued over the next three years.

As at December 31, 2004, 16,000,000 common shares (2003 16,000,000; 2002 11,300,000) were authorized for issuance under the Plan. Since its inception, 5,965,595 shares have been issued under this plan.

During the year, under the Executive Stock Option and Share Purchase Plan and the Employee Share Purchase Plan, as described below, \$4 million (2003 \$3 million; 2002 \$3 million) was included in Contributed surplus in conjunction with the recognition of stock-based compensation expense.

**DEFERRED SHARE UNIT PLANS**

*Outside Directors*

Under the Deferred Share Unit Plan for Outside Directors, deferred share units (DSU), equivalent in value to a common share, may be granted to eligible directors. In addition, participants may elect to receive their annual retainer and attendance fees in DSU. A participant shall receive, not later than the 31st of January following the end of the year during which the participant ceases to be a member of the Board of Directors, a lump sum payment in cash equal to the number of DSU recorded in the participant's account on the termination date multiplied by the termination value of the common shares or, if the participant so elects, a number of common shares to be purchased on the open market equal to the number of DSU then recorded in the participant's account less, in either case, any applicable withholding tax. A participant account shall be credited with dividend equivalents in the form of additional DSU when normal cash dividends are paid on common shares. Upon payment in full of the DSU, they shall be cancelled. The total expense recognized in Domtar's results of operations amounted to \$0.4 million in 2004 (2003 \$0.6 million; 2002 \$0.2 million). In 2004, 37,940 DSU (2003 32,263; 2002 37,492) were granted and 45,334 DSU (2003 11,262; 2002 21,088) were redeemed. As at December 31, 2004, 130,134 DSU (2003 137,528; 2002 116,527) were outstanding.

*Executives*

Under the Executive Deferred Share Unit Plan, DSU may be granted to eligible executives. A participant shall receive, no later than the 31st of January following the end of the year during which occurred the participant's date of retirement, death, determination of long-term

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

disability or termination of employment at the end of a continuous period that started on or after January 1, 1999 and represents at least seven years of tenure as a member of the Management Committee, a lump sum payment in cash equal to the number of DSU recorded in the participant's account on one of these dates multiplied by the redemption value of the common shares or, if the participant so elects, a number of common shares to be purchased on the open market equal to the number of DSU then recorded in the participant's account less, in either case, any applicable withholding tax. A participant account shall be credited with dividend equivalents in the form of additional DSU when normal cash dividends are paid on common shares. Upon payment in full of the DSU, they shall be cancelled. The total expense (reversal) recognized in Domtar's results of operation amounted to \$(0.6) million in 2004 (2003 \$0.4 million; 2002 \$1 million). As at December 31, 2004, 66,178 DSU (2003 72,196; 2002 82,110) were outstanding under this plan.

Under the Executive Performance Share Unit Plan approved in December 2003, Performance Share Units (PSU) may be granted to eligible executives and other key employees of Domtar or any of its affiliates. Each PSU, subject to the vesting conditions (including certain conditions relating to the relative performance of Domtar's common shares) set out in each grant being fulfilled, gives a participant the right to receive one common share of Domtar or, at his option, the cash equivalent at the time of vesting. In the event a participant elects to receive common shares, Domtar will make arrangements for delivery of such shares through purchases on the open market then recorded in the participant's account less, in either case, any applicable withholding tax. A participant account shall be credited with dividend equivalents in the form of additional PSU when normal cash dividends are paid on common shares. The total expense recognized in Domtar's results of operations amounted to \$0.1 million in 2004, representing 725,989 units authorized and issued since the inception of the plan.

**EMPLOYEE SHARE PURCHASE PLANS**

Under the Employee Share Purchase Plans, all employees are eligible to purchase common shares at a price of 90% of the quoted market value. Common shares are purchased under the plans on monthly investment dates. Shares purchased under the Canadian plan are subject to a mandatory twelve-month holding period. Employees who hold the shares for 18 months following the date of acquisition (U.S. plan) or who hold the shares purchased in any calendar year until June 30 of the following year (Canadian plan) are entitled to receive additional common shares equivalent to 10% of the cost of such shares. As at December 31, 2004, 6,050,000 common shares (2003 5,050,000; 2002 5,050,000) were authorized for issuance under the plans. During the year, 421,825 common shares (2003 470,653; 2002 463,796) were issued under the plans at an average price of \$15.77 (2003 \$15.32; 2002 \$16.49) per share. Since their inception, 4,484,340 shares have been issued under these plans.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 19.

Financial instruments

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS	2004		2004		2003	
	FAIR VALUE US\$ (NOTE 4)	CARRYING AMOUNT US\$ (NOTE 4)	FAIR VALUE \$	CARRYING AMOUNT \$	FAIR VALUE \$	CARRYING AMOUNT \$
Long-term debt	1,864	1,690	<b>2,244</b>	<b>2,034</b>	2,324	2,059

The fair value of the long-term debt, including the portion due within one year, is principally based on quoted market prices.

Due to their short-term maturity, the carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, bank indebtedness, trade and other payables and income and other taxes approximate their fair values.

**INTEREST RATE RISK**

Domtar is exposed to interest rate risk arising from fluctuations in interest rates on its cash and cash equivalents, its bank indebtedness, its bank credit facility and its long-term debt. Domtar may manage this interest rate exposure by the use of derivative instruments such as interest rate swap contracts.

In 2004, the Corporation terminated, prior to maturity, interest rate swap contracts for net cash proceeds of \$20 million (US\$15 million). The resulting gain of \$17 million recorded under Other liabilities and deferred credits is being deferred and will be recognized against financing expenses over the period of the interest rate payments ending November 2013, the original designated hedging period of the underlying 5.375% notes.

In 2002, the Corporation terminated, prior to maturity, interest rate swap contracts for net cash proceeds of \$40 million (US\$26 million). The net gain of \$40 million recorded under Other liabilities and deferred credits was deferred and is recognized against financing expenses over the period of the interest rate payments ending October 2003 and October 2006, the original designated hedging period of the underlying 7.875% notes. The net recognized amounts will be \$13 million and \$10 million in 2005 and 2006, respectively. In 2004, a net amount of \$13 million (2003 \$4 million) was recognized against financing expenses.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Norampac has interest rate swap contracts having nominal values of \$2 million (US\$2 million) (2003 \$2 million (US\$2 million)) and \$1 million (US\$1 million) (2003 \$2 million (US\$1 million)), respectively, according to the Corporation's proportionate share. Under the terms of these swaps, maturing in December 2008 and October 2012, respectively, Norampac will, on a monthly basis, receive interest calculated on the LIBOR one-month rate plus 1.5% and pay an average fixed rate of 7.25% and 9.47%, respectively. Norampac is holding these derivative financial instruments for speculative purposes and accordingly the derivatives are recorded at their fair value. As at December 31, 2004 and 2003, these swaps had a negative fair value of \$1 million (US\$1 million).

In 2004, Norampac entered into additional interest rate swap contracts having both the same nominal value of \$15 million (US\$13 million), according to the Corporation's proportionate share. Under the terms of these swaps, maturing in December 2013, Norampac will, on a semi-annual basis, receive a fixed interest rate of 6.75% and pay interest calculated on the LIBOR six-month rate plus 2.16% and 2.17%, respectively. These swap contracts are designated as hedges of the fair value of a portion of Norampac's Senior notes. As at December 31, 2004, these interest rate swap contracts had a fair value of \$1 million (US\$ 1 million).

**CREDIT RISK**

Domtar is exposed to credit risk on the accounts receivable from its customers. In order to reduce this risk, Domtar reviews new customers' credit histories before granting credit and conducts regular reviews of existing customers' credit performance. As at December 31, 2004, one of Domtar's paper segment customers located in the United States represented 5% (\$25 million) (2003 6% (\$24 million)) of the receivables, prior to the effect of the receivables securitization.

Domtar is also exposed to credit risk in the event of non-performance by counterparties to its financial instruments. Domtar minimizes this exposure by entering into contracts with counterparties that are believed to be of high credit quality. Collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk is usually not obtained. The credit standing of counterparties is regularly monitored.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 19. Financial instruments (continued)

**FOREIGN CURRENCY RISK**

In order to reduce the potential negative effects of a fluctuating Canadian dollar, Domtar has entered into various arrangements to stabilize anticipated future net cash inflows denominated in U.S. dollars. The following table provides the detail of the arrangements used as hedging instruments:

	2004	2003	2004	2003
	AVERAGE EXCHANGE RATE		CONTRACTUAL AMOUNTS	
	(CAN\$/US\$)		(IN MILLIONS OF U.S. DOLLARS)	
Forward foreign exchange contracts				
0 to 12 months	<b>1.27</b>	1.44	<b>189</b>	12
13 to 24 months	<b>1.34</b>		<b>37</b>	
Currency options purchased				
0 to 12 months	<b>1.31</b>	1.34	<b>212</b>	250
Currency options sold				
0 to 12 months	<b>1.39</b>		<b>212</b>	

Forward foreign exchange contracts are contracts whereby Domtar has the obligation to sell U.S. dollars at a specific rate.

Currency options purchased are contracts whereby Domtar has the right, but not the obligation, to sell U.S. dollars at the strike rate if the U.S. dollar trades below that rate.

Currency options sold are contracts whereby Domtar has the obligation to sell U.S. dollars at the strike rate if the U.S. dollar trades above that rate.

Norampac has currency options sold, which do not qualify as hedging instruments. The average exchange rate and contractual amounts at December 31, 2004 were \$1.43 and US\$8 million, respectively (2003 nil and nil, respectively), representing the Corporation's proportionate share. As at December 31, 2004, the fair value of these derivative financial instruments was nil (2003 nil).

In addition to the above arrangements and as a result of the early settlement of certain currency options, the Corporation was left, in 2003, with freestanding written call options requiring the Corporation to sell US\$33 million at \$1.60 if rates exceeded \$1.60 in 2004. These freestanding written call options do not qualify for hedge accounting and, accordingly, any fluctuations of their fair value are recorded to earnings. The impact was nil for the year ended December 31, 2004 (2003 gain of \$6 million). As at December 31, 2004, there were no more freestanding written call options and, as such, their fair value as at that date was nil (2003 nil).





**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

The fair value of derivative financial instruments generally reflects the estimated amounts that Domtar would receive or pay to settle the contracts at December 31, 2004 and 2003. As at these dates, the spot exchange rates were \$1.20 and \$1.29, respectively, and the fair value of the above derivative financial instruments used as hedging items was as follows:

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Unrealized gain on forward foreign exchange contracts	14	<b>17</b>	2
Unrealized gain on currency options	20	<b>24</b>	12

**PRICE RISK**

During 2004, the Corporation entered into a cash settled commodity swap agreement to manage price risk associated with sales of NBSK pulp covering a period starting July 2004 and ending June 2007. The agreement fixes the sale price of NBSK pulp for 1,000 tonnes per month for 36 months. This agreement is in addition to the 2003 and 2002 contracts, which fix the sale price of NBSK pulp for 1,500 tonnes per month for 36 months and are expiring in April 2006 and October 2005, respectively. These contracts are not designated as hedging instruments and they are accounted for at their fair market value. The fair value of these instruments as at December 31, 2004, was negative \$6 million (2003 negative \$3 million).

In 2004, the Corporation also entered into a cash settled commodity swap agreement to manage price risk associated with purchases of bunker oil covering a period starting July 2004 and ending December 2006. The agreement fixes the purchase price of bunker oil for 7,000 barrels per month. This agreement is in addition to the 2003 contract, which fixes the purchase price of bunker oil for 15,000 barrels per month ending in December 2005. These contracts are designated as hedging instruments. The fair value of these instruments as at December 31, 2004, represented an unrealized gain of \$2 million (2003 unrealized gain of \$2 million).

Norampac entered into cash settled commodity swap agreements to manage price risk associated with sales of unbleached kraft linerboard and semi-chemical medium paper and purchases of old corrugated containers and electricity. As at December 31, 2004, Norampac had entered into contracts expiring in 2005 through 2007. According to the Corporation's proportionate share, these derivative agreements fix the sale price for 37,000 tonnes (2003 82,500 tonnes) of unbleached kraft linerboard and 2,500 tonnes (2003 8,500 tonnes) of semi-chemical medium paper and fix the purchase price for 286,750 tonnes (2003 387,000 tonnes) of old corrugated containers and 68,387 megawatts

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 19. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

(2003 112,427 megawatts) of electricity. The contracts related to unbleached kraft liner-board, semi-chemical medium paper and old corrugated containers are not designated as hedging instruments and they are accounted for at their fair market value. The fair value of these instruments as at December 31, 2004, represented a net gain of \$1 million (2003 unrealized net gain of \$4 million). The fair value of the electricity contracts as at December 31, 2004, represented an unrealized gain of \$1 million (2003 nil).

note 20.

---

Accumulated foreign currency

translation adjustments

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	2004 \$	2003 \$	2002 \$
Balance at beginning of year	(121)	<b>(145)</b>	2	2
Effect of changes in exchange rates during the year:				
On net investment in self-sustaining foreign subsidiaries	(117)	<b>(141)</b>	(391)	(18)
On certain long-term debt denominated in foreign currencies designated as a hedge of net investment in self-sustaining foreign subsidiaries	97	<b>117</b>	282	18
Future income taxes thereon	(17)	<b>(21)</b>	(38)	
<b>Balance at end of year</b>	<b>(158)</b>	<b>(190)</b>	<b>(145)</b>	<b>2</b>

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

NOTE 21.

---

Interests in joint ventures

The following amounts represent the Corporation's proportionate interests in its joint ventures (Norampac, Anthony-Domtar Inc. and Gogama Forest Products Inc.):

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$	
<b>Assets</b>				
Current assets	150	<b>180</b>	164	
Long-term assets	422	<b>508</b>	483	
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Current liabilities	77	<b>93</b>	75	
Long-term liabilities	226	<b>272</b>	272	
	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$	2002 \$
<b>Earnings</b>				
Sales	532	<b>640</b>	629	653
Operating expenses	(489)	<b>(588)</b>	(585)	(581)
Operating profit	43	<b>52</b>	44	72
Financing expenses	7	<b>8</b>	17	18
Net earnings	27	<b>32</b>	17	37
<b>Cash flows</b>				
Cash flows provided from operating activities	48	<b>58</b>	45	70
Cash flows used for investing activities	(53)	<b>(64)</b>	(42)	(55)
Cash flows provided from financing activities	12	<b>15</b>	4	4

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

NOTE 22.

---

Pension plans

**DEFINED CONTRIBUTION PLANS**

Domtar contributes to several defined contribution, multi-employer and 401(k) plans. The pension expense under these plans is equal to Domtar's contribution. The 2004 pension expense was \$17 million (2003 \$19 million; 2002 \$20 million).

**DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS**

Domtar has several defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all employees, including one closed plan for certain non-unionized employees in Canada. Non-unionized employees in Canada joining Domtar after June 1, 2000 participate in defined contribution plans. The defined benefit plans are generally contributory in Canada and non-contributory in the United States. The pension expense and the obligation related to the defined benefit plans are actuarially determined using management's most probable assumptions.

**COMPONENTS OF NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST FOR DEFINED BENEFIT PLANS**

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$	\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE			
	4)			
Service cost for the year	29	<b>35</b>	32	30
Interest expense	61	<b>74</b>	72	69
Actual return on plan assets	(86)	<b>(104)</b>	(115)	(24)
Recognized actuarial loss	28	<b>34</b>	72	24
Plan amendments <sup>(a)</sup>	3	<b>3</b>	11	
Curtailment and settlement loss (NOTE 6)	2	<b>2</b>		2
<b>Costs arising in the period</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	72	101
<b>Difference between costs arising in the period and costs recognized in the period in respect of:</b>				
Return on plan assets	19	<b>23</b>	36	(57)
Actuarial gain	(18)	<b>(22)</b>	(67)	(24)
Plan amendments	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(10)	2
<b>Net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit plans</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>44</b>	31	22

(a) In 2003, amendments include an \$8 million amount for the Domtar US Nekoosa Papers Pension Plan in order to increase the pension benefit level pursuant to the latest collective agreement.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

Domtar's funding policy is to contribute annually the amount required to provide for benefits earned in the year and to fund past service obligations over periods not exceeding those permitted by the applicable regulatory authorities. Past service obligations primarily arise from improvements to plan benefits. The latest actuarial valuations were conducted as at December 31, 2003, for plans representing approximately 39% and as at December 31, 2001, for plans representing approximately 61% of the total plans asset fair value. These valuations indicated a funding deficiency. The next actuarial valuations will be completed between December 31, 2004 and December 31, 2006. Domtar expects to contribute for a total amount of \$89 million in 2005 compared to \$80 million in 2004.

**CHANGE IN ACCRUED BENEFIT OBLIGATION**

The following table represents the change in the accrued benefit obligation as determined by independent actuaries:

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Accrued benefit obligation at beginning of year	1,030	<b>1,240</b>	1,117
Service cost for the year	29	<b>35</b>	31
Interest expense	61	<b>74</b>	72
Plan participants' contributions	10	<b>12</b>	12
Actuarial loss	30	<b>36</b>	72
Plan amendments	3	<b>3</b>	11
Benefits paid	(58)	<b>(70)</b>	(65)
Settlement	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	
Curtailment	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate change	(4)	<b>(5)</b>	(10)
<b>Accrued benefit obligation at end of year</b>	<b>1,099</b>	<b>1,323</b>	1,240

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 22. PENSION PLANS (CONTINUED)

**CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE OF DEFINED BENEFIT PLAN ASSETS**

The following table represents the change in the fair value of assets of defined benefit plans reflecting the actual return on plan assets, the contributions and the benefits paid during the year:

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Fair value of defined benefit plan assets at beginning of year	856	<b>1,030</b>	900
Actual return on plan assets	86	<b>104</b>	115
Employer contributions	66	<b>80</b>	73
Plan participants contributions	10	<b>12</b>	12
Benefits paid	(58)	<b>(70)</b>	(65)
Settlement	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate change	(3)	<b>(4)</b>	(5)
<b>Fair value of defined benefit plan assets at end of year</b>	<b>956</b>	<b>1,151</b>	1,030

**DESCRIPTION OF FUNDED ASSETS**

The assets of the pension plans are held by a number of independent trustees and are accounted for separately in the Domtar pension funds. Based on the fair value of the assets held at December 31, 2004, the plan assets are comprised of 5% in cash and short-term investments, 46% in bonds and 49% in Canadian, U.S. and foreign equities.

Domtar has indemnified and held harmless the trustees of Domtar pension funds, and the respective officers, directors, employees and agents of such trustees, from any and all costs and expenses arising out of the performance of their obligations under the relevant trust agreements, including in respect of their reliance on authorized instructions of Domtar or for failing to act in the absence of authorized instructions. These indemnifications survive the termination of such agreements. As at December 31, 2004, Domtar has not recorded a liability associated with these indemnifications, as Domtar does not expect to make any payments pertaining to these indemnifications.

**RECONCILIATION OF FUNDED STATUS TO AMOUNTS RECOGNIZED IN THE CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

The following tables present the difference between the fair value of the defined benefit pension assets and the actuarially determined accrued benefit obligation as at December 31, 2004 and 2003. This difference is also referred to as either the deficit or surplus, as the case may be, or the funded status of the plans.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

The tables further reconcile the amount of the surplus or deficit (funded status) to the net amount recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets. This difference between the funded status and the net amount recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets, in accordance with Canadian GAAP, represents the portion of the surplus or deficit not yet recognized for accounting purposes. Deferred recognition is a guiding principle of these recommendations. This approach allows for a gradual recognition of changes in accrued benefit obligations and plan performance over the expected average remaining service life of the employee group covered by the plans.

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Accrued benefit obligation at end of year	1,099	<b>1,323</b>	1,240
Fair value of defined benefit plan assets at end of year	(956)	<b>(1,151)</b>	(1,030)
<b>Funded status</b>	(143)	<b>(172)</b>	(210)
<b>Reconciliation of funded status to amounts recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets</b>			
Unrecognized actuarial loss	252	<b>303</b>	305
Unrecognized past service costs	16	<b>20</b>	20
<b>Net amount recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets</b>	125	<b>151</b>	115
	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Accrued benefit asset - defined benefit plans (note 13)	152	<b>183</b>	153
Accrued benefit liability - defined benefit plans (notes 14 and 16)	(27)	<b>(32)</b>	(38)
<b>Net amount recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets</b>	125	<b>151</b>	115

As at December 31, 2004, the accrued benefit obligation and the fair value of defined benefit plan assets for the pension plans with an accrued benefit obligation in excess of fair value plan assets were \$1,229 million and \$1,053 million, respectively (2003 - \$1,107 million and \$900 million, respectively).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 22. PENSION PLANS (CONTINUED)

**CASH PAYMENTS FOR EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS**

Cash payments for employee future benefits made by Domtar for the years ended December 31, are as follows:

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$	2002 \$
Defined contribution plans	14	<b>17</b>	19	20
Defined benefit plans	66	<b>80</b>	73	53
<b>Total cash payments for employee future benefits</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>97</b>	92	73

**WEIGHTED-AVERAGE ASSUMPTIONS**

Domtar used the following key assumptions to measure the accrued benefit obligation and the net periodic benefit cost for defined benefit plans. These assumptions are long-term, which is consistent with the nature of employee future benefits.

	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
<b>Accrued benefit obligation as at December 31:</b>			
Discount rate	<b>5.8%</b>	6.1%	6.5%
Rate of compensation increase	<b>3.4%</b>	3.8%	3.9%
<b>Net periodic benefit cost for years ended December 31:</b>			
Discount rate	<b>6.1%</b>	6.5%	6.7%
Rate of compensation increase	<b>3.8%</b>	3.9%	3.9%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	<b>7.7%</b>	7.7%	8.2%

Effective January 1, 2005, Domtar will use 7.2% as the expected return on plan assets, which reflects the current view of long-term investment returns.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

NOTE 23.

---

OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS

The post-retirement and post-employment plans are unfunded.

**COMPONENTS OF NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST  
FOR OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS**

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$	2002 \$
Service cost for the year	3	<b>3</b>	4	4
Interest expense	5	<b>7</b>	7	7
Recognized actuarial loss	3	<b>3</b>	7	2
Plan amendments	1	<b>1</b>	1	
Curtailement loss	1	<b>1</b>		
Other			(1)	
<b>Costs arising in the period</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	18	13
<b>Difference between costs arising in the period and costs recognized in the period in respect of:</b>				
Actuarial gain	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(6)	(1)
Plan amendments	(1)	<b>(1)</b>		
<b>Net periodic benefit cost for other employee future benefit plans</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	12	12

**CHANGE IN ACCRUED BENEFIT OBLIGATION  
FOR OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS**

	2004 US\$ (NOTE 4)	<b>2004</b> \$	2003 \$
Accrued benefit obligation at beginning of year	96	<b>116</b>	109
Service cost for the year	3	<b>3</b>	4
Interest expense	6	<b>7</b>	7
Actuarial loss	3	<b>3</b>	6
Plan amendments	1	<b>1</b>	
Benefits paid	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(8)
Curtailement			(1)
Effect of foreign currency exchange rate change	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(2)
Other			1
<b>Accrued benefit obligation at end of year</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>121</b>	116



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

## NOTE 23. OTHER EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS (CONTINUED)

**CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS OF OTHER  
EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFIT PLANS**

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE		
	4)		
Fair value of assets of other employee future benefit plans at beginning of year			
Employer contributions	7	<b>8</b>	8
Benefits paid	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(8)
<b>Fair value of assets of other employee future benefit plans at end of year</b>			

**FUNDED STATUS AND NET AMOUNT RECOGNIZED  
IN THE CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(NOTE		
	4)		
Funded status	101	<b>121</b>	116
Unrecognized actuarial loss	(21)	<b>(25)</b>	(26)
Unrecognized past service costs	(2)	<b>(2)</b>	
<b>Net amount recognized in the Consolidated balance sheets</b> (Notes 14 and 16)	78	<b>94</b>	90

Cash payments for other employee future benefit plans for the year ended December 31, 2004, amounted to \$8 million (2003 \$8 million; 2002 \$7 million).

**WEIGHTED-AVERAGE ASSUMPTIONS**

Domtar used the following key assumptions to measure the accrued benefit obligation and the net periodic benefit cost for other employee future benefit plans. These assumptions are long-term, which is consistent with the nature of employee future benefits.

	2004	2003	2002
<b>Accrued benefit obligation as at December 31:</b>			
Discount rate	<b>5.8%</b>	6.1%	6.6%
Rate of compensation increase	<b>3.5%</b>	3.5%	4.2%
<b>Net periodic benefit cost for years ended December 31:</b>			
Discount rate	<b>6.1%</b>	6.6%	6.7%
Rate of compensation increase	<b>3.5%</b>	4.2%	3.7%

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

For measurement purposes, 7.7% weighted-average annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2005. The rate was assumed to decrease gradually to 4.1% for 2012 and remain at that level thereafter. An increase or decrease of 1% of this rate would have the following impact:

	INCREASE OF 1%		DECREASE OF 1%	
	US\$ (Note 4)	\$	US\$ (Note 4)	\$
Impact on net periodic benefit cost	2	2	(1)	(1)
Impact on accrued benefit obligation	8	10	(7)	(8)

NOTE 24.

---

Segmented disclosures

Domtar operates in the four reportable segments described below. Each reportable segment offers different products and services and requires different technology and marketing strategies. The following summary briefly describes the operations included in each of Domtar's reportable segments:

**Papers** represents the aggregation of the manufacturing and distribution of business, commercial printing and publication, and technical and specialty papers, as well as pulp.

**Paper Merchants** involves the purchasing, warehousing, sale and distribution of various products made by Domtar and by other manufacturers. These products include business and printing papers, graphic arts supplies and certain industrial products.

**Wood** comprises the manufacturing and marketing of lumber and wood-based value-added products and the management of forest resources.

**Packaging** comprises the Corporation's 50% ownership interest in Norampac, a company that manufactures and distributes containerboard and corrugated products.

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as described in Note 1. Domtar evaluates performance based on operating profit, which represents sales, reflecting transfer prices between segments at fair value, less allocable expenses before financing expenses and income taxes. Segment assets are those directly used in segment operations.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 24. Segmented disclosures (continued)

<b>SEGMENTED DATA</b>	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$	\$
<b>Sales</b>				
Papers	2,649	<b>3,188</b>	3,330	3,811
Paper Merchants	878	<b>1,057</b>	1,074	1,193
Wood <sup>(a)</sup>	557	<b>671</b>	554	642
Packaging	526	<b>633</b>	629	658
Total for reportable segments	4,610	<b>5,549</b>	5,587	6,304
Intersegment sales Papers	(246)	<b>(297)</b>	(296)	(326)
Intersegment sales Wood	(108)	<b>(130)</b>	(117)	(112)
Intersegment sales Packaging	(6)	<b>(7)</b>	(7)	(7)
<b>Consolidated sales</b>	4,250	<b>5,115</b>	5,167	5,859
<b>Amortization, write-down of property, plant and equipment and impairment loss</b>			Restated (Note-2)	
Papers <sup>(h, i, j)</sup>	231	<b>278</b>	529	335
Paper Merchants	2	<b>3</b>	3	3
Wood <sup>(c, k)</sup>	48	<b>58</b>	41	51
Packaging	28	<b>33</b>	32	32
Total for reportable segments	309	<b>372</b>	605	421
Corporate	6	<b>7</b>	4	4
<b>Consolidated amortization, write-down of property, plant and equipment and impairment loss</b>	315	<b>379</b>	609	425
<b>Operating profit (loss)</b>				
Papers <sup>(b, f,g,h,i,j)</sup>	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(93)	301
Paper Merchants	17	<b>20</b>	20	25
Wood <sup>(a,c,k)</sup>	(22)	<b>(27)</b>	(68)	(16)
Packaging <sup>(e)</sup>	44	<b>53</b>	48	74
Total for reportable segments	32	<b>38</b>	(93)	384
Corporate <sup>(d)</sup>	9	<b>11</b>	(2)	
<b>Consolidated operating profit (loss)</b>	41	<b>49</b>	(95)	384

**Segment assets**

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

Papers	3,297	<b>3,968</b>	4,220	5,142
Paper Merchants	104	<b>125</b>	117	160
Wood	430	<b>517</b>	505	544
Packaging	548	<b>660</b>	632	658
Total for reportable segments	4,379	<b>5,270</b>	5,474	6,504
Corporate	347	<b>418</b>	374	343
<b>Consolidated assets</b>	4,726	<b>5,688</b>	5,848	6,847

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

SEGMENTED DATA (CONTINUED)	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (Note 4)	\$	\$	\$
<b>Additions to property, plant and equipment</b>				
Papers	114	<b>138</b>	176	142
Paper Merchants	2	<b>2</b>	2	2
Wood	15	<b>18</b>	23	40
Packaging	25	<b>30</b>	31	30
Total for reportable segments	156	<b>188</b>	232	214
Corporate	2	<b>3</b>	1	9
<b>Consolidated additions to property, plant and equipment</b>	158	<b>191</b>	233	223
Add: Change in payables on capital projects	11	<b>13</b>	3	3
<b>Consolidated additions to property, plant and equipment per Consolidated cash flows</b>	169	<b>204</b>	236	226
GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (Note 4 )	\$	\$	\$
<b>Sales</b> (a,l, m)				
Canada	970	<b>1,167</b>	1,285	1,431
United States	3,067	<b>3,691</b>	3,637	4,170
Other foreign countries	213	<b>257</b>	245	258
	4,250	<b>5,115</b>	5,167	5,859
<b>Property, plant and equipment and goodwill</b>			Restated (Note 2)	
Canada	1,931	<b>2,324</b>	2,461	2,818
United States	1,623	<b>1,953</b>	2,127	2,618
Other foreign countries	18	<b>22</b>	22	30
	3,572	<b>4,299</b>	4,610	5,466

(a) The sales and operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflect a net effect of a \$20 million provision reversal for countervailing and antidumping duties on exports of softwood lumber to the United States (\$20 million recorded in the second half of 2001, \$8 million recorded in the first quarter of 2002 and a reversal of

\$28 million in the second quarter of 2002).

- (b) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 reflects a reversal of the closure cost provision relating to the sale of the St. Catharines, Ontario paper mill, in the amount of \$8 million and reflects a \$40 million provision for workforce reduction and restructuring costs of the Corporation's operations in Canada and the United States.
- (c) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 reflects a \$14 million charge, including \$11 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment and \$3 million for severance and related costs, relating to the permanent shutdown of the Chapleau, Ontario sawmill.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 24. Segmented disclosures (continued)

- (d) The operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2004, reflects a \$2 million provision for workforce reduction and restructuring costs of the Corporation's operations in Canada and the United States.
- (e) The operating profit for year ended December 31, 2004, includes a gain of \$1 million, representing the Corporation's proportionate share of Norampac's gain on the marked to market of the old corrugated containers, the unbleached kraft linerboard and the semi-chemical medium paper swap contracts.
- (f) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes the recognition of \$4 million (2003 \$10 million; 2002 \$16 million) for investment tax credits related to research and development expenses of current and prior years, reflected as a reduction of the Cost of sales.
- (g) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2004 includes gains on sales of timberlands in the amount of \$33 million.
- (h) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2003 reflects a \$29 million charge, including \$23 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment, a provision of \$5 million for severance and related costs and \$1 million related to the write-down of certain inventory items and spare parts to their net recoverable amounts related to the paper machine shutdown at the Vancouver, British Columbia paper mill.
- (i) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2003 reflects a \$201 million impairment loss related to the Lebel-sur-Quévillon, Quebec pulp mill.
- (j) The operating profit for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflects a \$45 million charge, including \$14 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment, relating to the permanent shutdown of the St. Catharines, Ontario paper mill. The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2003 includes a reduction in the closure cost provision in the amount of \$5 million largely as a result of changes to the initial approach to dismantling the mill.
- (k) The operating loss for the year ended December 31, 2002 reflects an \$18 million charge, including \$13 million related to the write-down to the estimated net realizable value of property, plant and equipment, relating to the shutdown of the Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario hardwood lumber operations and Daveluyville, Quebec wood products remanufacturing facility.
- (l) Sales are attributed to countries based on the location of external customers.
- (m) In 2004, export sales from Canada were \$1,630 million (2003 \$1,417 million; 2002 \$1,592 million).

note 25.

---

Reconciliation of canadian and united states  
generally accepted accounting principles

The Consolidated earnings and Consolidated balance sheets have been prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP, which differ in some respects from U.S. GAAP. The following are the significant differences in accounting principles as they pertain to the Consolidated earnings and the Consolidated balance sheets.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**(a) NET EARNINGS ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table provides a reconciliation of the net earnings (loss) from Canadian GAAP to U.S. GAAP:

	2004	2004	2003	2002
	US\$ (Note 4)	\$	\$  Restated (Note 2)	\$
<b>Net earnings (loss) in accordance with Canadian GAAP</b>	(35)	<b>(42)</b>	(193)	141
<b><i>Adjustments with respect to the following items:</i></b>				
Pension plans cost <sup>(1)</sup>	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(5)	(8)
Other employee future benefit plans cost <sup>(2)</sup>	1	<b>1</b>		
Revenue stream hedge <sup>(3)</sup>	3	<b>4</b>	42	2
Foreign currency hedging contracts <sup>(4)</sup>	(13)	<b>(16)</b>	41	68
Commodity hedging contracts <sup>(5)</sup>	(6)	<b>(7)</b>	2	(2)
Interest rate swap contracts <sup>(6)</sup>	(10)	<b>(13)</b>	(7)	62
Amortization of deferred gain <sup>(7)</sup>	(4)	<b>(5)</b>	(4)	(5)
Acquisition of E.B. Eddy <sup>(8)</sup>	(5)	<b>(6)</b>	(6)	(6)
Formation of Norampac <sup>(9)</sup>	(2)	<b>(2)</b>	(2)	(2)
Losses (gains) from discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>			(3)	68
Tax effect of the above adjustments	9	<b>11</b>	(20)	(55)
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations in accordance with U.S. GAAP</b>	(63)	<b>(76)</b>	(155)	263
Losses (gains) from discontinued operations net of income taxes <sup>(10)</sup>			(2)	45
<b>Net earnings (loss) in accordance with U.S. GAAP</b>	(63)	<b>(76)</b>	(153)	218
Dividend requirements of preferred shares	1	<b>1</b>	2	1
<b>Net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares in accordance with U.S. GAAP</b>	(64)	<b>(77)</b>	(155)	217
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations per common share in accordance with U.S. GAAP (in dollars)</b>				
Basic	(0.28)	<b>(0.34)</b>	(0.69)	1.15
Diluted	(0.28)	<b>(0.34)</b>	(0.69)	1.15
<b>Net earnings (loss) per common share in accordance with U.S. GAAP (in dollars)</b>				
Basic	(0.28)	<b>(0.34)</b>	(0.68)	0.96
Diluted	(0.28)	<b>(0.34)</b>	(0.68)	0.95



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally  
accepted accounting principles (continued)

The following table presents the Consolidated earnings under Canadian and U.S. GAAP:

	2004		2004	
	US\$ (Note 4) Canadian Gaap	US\$ (Note 4) U.S. Gaap	\$  <b>Canadian Gaap</b>	\$  <b>U. S. Gaap</b>
<b>Sales</b>	4,250	3,718	<b>5,115</b>	<b>4,475</b>
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Cost of sales	3,640	3,223	<b>4,381</b>	<b>3,879</b>
Selling, general and administrative	254	215	<b>306</b>	<b>259</b>
Amortization	306	282	<b>368</b>	<b>339</b>
Closure and restructuring costs	40	40	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>
Impairment loss				
Net gains on disposals of property, plant and equipment	(31)	(31)	<b>(37)</b>	<b>(37)</b>
	4,209	3,729	<b>5,066</b>	<b>4,488</b>
<b>Operating profit (loss)</b>	41	(11)	<b>49</b>	<b>(13)</b>
Financing expenses	123	113	<b>148</b>	<b>136</b>
Amortization of deferred gain	(4)		<b>(5)</b>	
Share of joint ventures net earnings <sup>(7 &amp; 9)</sup>		(25)		<b>(30)</b>
Derivative instruments loss (gain) <sup>(4 to 6)</sup>		30		<b>36</b>
	(78)	(129)	<b>(94)</b>	<b>(155)</b>
Income tax expense (recovery)	(43)	(66)	<b>(52)</b>	<b>(79)</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations</b>	(35)	(63)	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(76)</b>
Losses (gains) from discontinued operations net of income taxes <sup>(10)</sup>				
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	(35)	(63)	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(76)</b>
Dividend requirements of preferred shares	1	1	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares</b>	(36)	(64)	<b>(43)</b>	<b>(77)</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations per common share (in dollars)</b>				
Basic	(0.16)	(0.28)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.34)</b>
Diluted	(0.16)	(0.28)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.34)</b>

**Net earnings (loss) per common share (in dollars)**

Basic	(0.16)	(0.28)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.34)</b>
Diluted	(0.16)	(0.28)	<b>(0.19)</b>	<b>(0.34)</b>

See section (e) for the reconciliation of the Consolidated earnings items.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

The following table presents the Consolidated earnings under Canadian and U.S. GAAP (continued):

	2003		2002	
	\$	\$	\$	\$
	RESTATED (NOTE 2)			
	CANADIAN GAAP	U.S. GAAP	CANADIAN GAAP	U.S. GAAP
<b>Sales</b>	5,167	4,589	5,859	5,151
<b>Operating expenses</b>				
Cost of sales	4,335	3,895	4,686	4,146
Selling, general and administrative	319	271	329	280
Amortization	385	358	398	371
Closure and restructuring costs	24	29	63	5
Impairment loss	201	201		
Net gains on disposals of property, plant and equipment	(2)	(2)	(1)	(1)
	5,262	4,752	5,475	4,801
<b>Operating profit (loss)</b>	(95)	(163)	384	350
Financing expenses	169	110	192	172
Amortization of deferred gain	(4)		(5)	
Share of joint ventures' net earnings <sup>(7 &amp; 9)</sup>		(15)		(35)
Derivative instruments loss (gain) <sup>(4 to 6)</sup>		(36)		(128)
	(260)	(222)	197	341
Income tax expense (recovery)	(67)	(67)	56	78
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations</b>	(193)	(155)	141	263
Losses (gains) from discontinued operations net of income taxes <sup>(10)</sup>		(2)		45
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	(193)	(153)	141	218
Dividend requirements of preferred shares	2	2	1	1
<b>Net earnings (loss) applicable to common shares</b>	(195)	(155)	140	217
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations per common share (in dollars)</b>				
Basic	(0.86)	(0.69)	0.62	1.15
Diluted	(0.86)	(0.69)	0.61	1.15
<b>Net earnings (loss) per common share (in dollars)</b>				

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

Basic	(0.86)	(0.68)	0.62	0.96
Diluted	(0.86)	(0.68)	0.61	0.95

See section (e) for the reconciliation of the Consolidated earnings items.



**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally  
accepted accounting principles (continued)

**(b) BALANCE SHEET ADJUSTMENTS**

The following table presents the Consolidated balance sheets under Canadian GAAP and U.S. GAAP:

	2004		2004		2003	
	US\$ (NOTE 4)	US\$ (NOTE 4)	\$	\$	\$	\$
	CANADIAN GAAP	U.S. GAAP	CANADIAN GAAP	U.S. GAAP	RESTATED (NOTE 2) CANADIAN GAAP	U.S. GAAP
<b>Assets</b>						
<b>Current assets</b>						
Cash and cash equivalents	43	37	52	45	48	41
Receivables	194	127	233	152	197	109
Inventories	601	540	723	650	670	603
Prepaid expenses	10	8	12	10	22	20
Income and other taxes receivable	14	14	17	17	29	29
Future income taxes	72	72	87	87	60	60
	934	798	1,124	961	1,026	862
<b>Property, plant and equipment</b>	3,502	3,236	4,215	3,895	4,533	4,231
<b>Goodwill</b>	70	90	84	108	77	109
<b>Investments in joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup></b>		233		281		274
<b>Other assets</b>	220	203	265	243	212	190
	4,726	4,560	5,688	5,488	5,848	5,666
<b>Liabilities and shareholders equity</b>						
<b>Current liabilities</b>						
Bank indebtedness	18	4	22	5	19	8
Trade and other payables	543	484	654	582	652	565
Income and other taxes payable	27	23	32	28	28	27
Long-term debt due within one year	7	6	8	7	5	4
	595	517	716	622	704	604
<b>Long-term debt</b>	1,683	1,537	2,026	1,850	2,054	1,865
<b>Future income taxes</b>	463	423	557	509	562	502
<b>Other liabilities and deferred credits</b>	285	234	343	282	360	367
<b>Shareholders equity</b>						

Edgar Filing: DOMTAR INC /CANADA - Form 40-F

Preferred shares	33	33	<b>39</b>	<b>39</b>	42	42
Common shares	1,475	1,475	<b>1,775</b>	<b>1,775</b>	1,756	1,756
Contributed surplus	8	8	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	6	6
Retained earnings	342	481	<b>412</b>	<b>580</b>	509	711
Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments	(158)		<b>(190)</b>		(145)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income		(148)		<b>(179)</b>		(187)
	1,700	1,849	<b>2,046</b>	<b>2,225</b>	2,168	2,328
	4,726	4,560	<b>5,688</b>	<b>5,488</b>	5,848	5,666

See section (f) for the reconciliation of the Consolidated balance sheets items.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**(c) DIFFERENCES BETWEEN CANADIAN GAAP AND U.S. GAAP**

Significant differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP are described below.

*(1) Pension plans cost*

On January 1, 2000, Domtar adopted the Canadian accounting recommendations for employee future benefit costs. The recommendations essentially harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP and were applied retroactively without restating prior years. Differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP remain with respect to the amortization of actuarial gains and losses and past service costs arising prior to January 1, 2000. Differences also arise from the fact that the straight-line method is used to amortize actuarial gains and losses for U.S. GAAP purposes while the corridor method is used for Canadian GAAP purposes.

Furthermore, under U.S. GAAP, an additional minimum pension liability is recorded for plans where the accumulated benefit obligation exceeds the fair value of plan assets. For these plans, an intangible asset is recorded up to the extent of unrecognized past service costs. The balance is recorded in Other comprehensive income, net of applicable income taxes. The concept of additional minimum liability does not exist under Canadian GAAP.

*(2) Other employee future benefit plans cost*

On January 1, 2000, Domtar adopted the Canadian accounting recommendations for employee future benefit costs. The recommendations essentially harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP and were applied retroactively without restating prior years. Differences between Canadian and U.S. GAAP remain with respect to the amortization of actuarial gains and losses arising prior to January 1, 2000.

*(3) Revenue stream hedge*

In connection with the adoption of the Canadian accounting recommendations relating to the accounting for foreign currency translation, the Corporation elected to designate certain U.S. dollar denominated long-term debt as a hedge of its U.S. dollar revenue stream. Starting in the fourth quarter of 2004, these U.S. dollar denominated long-term debt are no longer designated as a hedge of future U.S. dollar revenue stream. The exchange gain deferred under Canadian GAAP was recorded to earnings under U.S. GAAP, as such designation is not possible under U.S. GAAP.

*(4) Foreign currency hedging contracts*

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted the Canadian accounting recommendations relating to hedging relationships for the foreign currency contracts. These recommendations essentially harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP and were applied prospectively. For contracts initiated prior to that date, Domtar has elected not to designate these contracts as hedging instruments for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes. Accordingly, these contracts are marked to market and resulting unrealized gains and losses are recorded to earnings. Under Canadian GAAP, gains and losses related to these contracts are included in Sales.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally  
accepted accounting principles (continued)

*(5) Commodity hedging contracts*

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted the Canadian accounting recommendations relating to hedging relationships for the commodity contracts. These recommendations essentially harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP and were applied prospectively. For contracts initiated prior to that date, Domtar has elected not to designate these contracts as hedging instruments for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes. Accordingly, these contracts are marked to market and the resulting unrealized gains and losses are recorded to earnings.

Under Canadian GAAP, these commodity contracts are not designated for hedge accounting, except for the bunker oil and electricity contracts. Contracts that are not designated for hedge accounting are marked to market and the resulting gains and losses are recorded in earnings. Domtar has to account for these at fair value. The fair value is re-evaluated on a regular basis and a gain or loss is recorded in earnings. For contracts that are designated for hedge accounting, the realized gains and losses are included in Sales or Cost of sales as appropriate.

*(6) Interest rate swap contracts*

Under Canadian GAAP, unrealized gains and losses on interest rate swap contracts designated as hedges are not recognized in the consolidated financial statements. Under U.S. GAAP, certain interest rate swap contracts cannot be designated as a hedge and are marked to market. Therefore, any fluctuations of the fair value are recorded to earnings.

In 2004, the Corporation terminated prior to maturity its interest rate swap contracts for net cash proceeds of \$20 million (US\$15 million). In 2002, the Corporation terminated prior to maturity its interest rate swap contracts for net cash proceeds of \$40 million (US\$26 million).

Under Canadian GAAP, the net gain is deferred and recognized as a deduction of Financing expenses over the period of the interest rate payments initially designated as being hedged by these swaps. Furthermore, the Corporation had an unamortized deferred credit of nil (2003 \$3 million; 2002 \$3 million) related to interest received under these contracts during the year. Under U.S. GAAP, there is no deferred amount as the fluctuations in the swaps market value and interest payments are recognized in earnings as they arise.

For the year ended December 31, 2004, the amortization of the net deferred gain and related interest was \$13 million under Canadian GAAP and nil under U.S. GAAP (2003 \$7 million and nil, respectively; 2002 nil and nil, respectively).

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

*(7) Joint ventures*

Interests in joint ventures are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method for Canadian GAAP and using the equity method under U.S. GAAP. This difference does not affect Net earnings or Shareholders equity.

Furthermore, under Canadian GAAP, a portion of the gain on the contribution to Norampac is deferred and amortized. Under U.S. GAAP, this gain was fully recognized in earnings upon the formation of Norampac.

*(8) Acquisition of E.B.Eddy*

The E.B. Eddy acquisition has been accounted for under Canadian GAAP, which at the time differed from U.S. GAAP in the accounting for income taxes, pension benefits cost and accounting for business integration provisions.

*(9) Formation of Norampac*

On January 1, 2000, Domtar adopted the Canadian accounting recommendations for income taxes. These recommendations essentially harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP and were applied retroactively without restating prior years. Accordingly, certain property, plant and equipment acquired at the formation of Norampac remained recorded at a lower value under Canadian GAAP.

*(10) Losses (gains) from discontinued operations*

On January 1, 2002, Domtar adopted SFAS 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets for reporting purposes under U.S. GAAP. SFAS 144 establishes an accounting model to be used for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and broadens the presentation of discontinued operations to include more disposal transactions. Pursuant to SFAS 144, the permanent closure in 2002 of the St. Catharines, Ontario, paper mill and in 2003 of the wood products remanufacturing facility in Daveluyville, Quebec, and the hardwood lumber operations in Sault Ste. Marie, Ontario, qualify as discontinued operations. As indicated in Note 2, Domtar prospectively adopted the revised Canadian accounting recommendations for disposal of long-lived assets and discontinued operations applicable to disposal activities initiated on or after May 1, 2003. The recommendations harmonize Canadian GAAP with U.S. GAAP.

*(11) Investment tax credits*

Under U.S. GAAP, the income tax expense has been reduced in 2004 by \$4 million (2003 \$10 million; 2002 \$16 million) for investment tax credits related to research and development expenses, which had been recognized as a reduction of Cost of sales under Canadian GAAP.

*(12) Long-term debt discount*

Under Canadian GAAP, long-term debt discount is presented in Other assets as a deferred charge. U.S. GAAP requires that long-term debt discount be reported as a direct reduction of the long-term debt.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally  
accepted accounting principles (continued)

*(13) Foreign currency translation adjustments*

Under U.S. GAAP, foreign currency translation adjustments are included as a component of Comprehensive income. Under Canadian GAAP, the concept of comprehensive income does not exist and foreign currency translation adjustments are included as a component of Shareholders' equity.

*(14) Comprehensive income*

U.S. GAAP requires the disclosure of Comprehensive income (section d iv)). The concept of comprehensive income does not exist under Canadian GAAP.

*(15) Consolidated statement of cash flows*

Under U.S. GAAP, the Consolidated statement of cash flows would not be significantly different from the presentation under Canadian GAAP, except that the joint ventures would be shown as an equity investment and not proportionately consolidated.

**(d) SUPPLEMENTARY DISCLOSURES**

*i) Accounting changes*

*Variable interest entities*

Effective January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted FIN 46 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, which requires that an enterprise holding a variable interest in a Variable Interest Entity (VIE) could, subject to certain conditions, be required to consolidate the VIE if the enterprise is to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses and/or receive a majority of its expected residual returns. This interpretation is effective for newly created or acquired VIEs after January 31, 2003. For VIEs existing prior to this date, the provisions of the interpretation are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2003. In December 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46R, which modifies the scope exceptions provided in FIN 46. Entities would be required to replace FIN 46 provisions with FIN 46R provisions to all newly created post-January 31, 2003 VIEs as of the end of the first period ending after March 15, 2004. There was no initial impact on the consolidated financial statements upon the adoption of this recommendation. The equivalent CICA Accounting Guideline No.15 Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on or after November 1, 2004.

**Table of Contents**

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

*Asset retirement obligations*

Effective January 1, 2003, Domtar adopted SFAS 143 *Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations* for U.S. GAAP purposes only. SFAS 143 requires entities to record a liability at fair value when there is a legal obligation associated to the retirement of an asset in the period in which it is incurred. The associated costs are capitalized as part of the carrying value of the related asset and depreciated over its remaining useful life. The liability is accreted each year using a credit adjusted risk-free rate.

On January 1, 2004, Domtar adopted retroactively with restatement of prior years the new CICA Handbook Section 3110 *Asset Retirement Obligations* (Note 2), which is analogous to SFAS 143.

*Stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments*

On January 1, 2002, and concurrently with the adoption of Section 3870 *Stock-based Compensation and Other Stock-based Payments* for Canadian GAAP purposes, Domtar adopted the fair value method defined in SFAS 123 *Accounting for Stock-based Compensation* to measure its stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments (Note 2). SFAS 123 indicates that the fair value method is the preferable method of accounting and requires that the fair value method be adopted prospectively.

Prior to January 1, 2002, for U.S. GAAP purposes, Domtar had elected to measure stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments using the intrinsic value base method of accounting. In this instance, however, under SFAS 123, Domtar is required to make pro forma disclosures of net earnings, basic earnings per share and diluted earnings per share using the fair value method of accounting for stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments granted prior to January 1, 2002. Accordingly, Domtar's net earnings (loss), basic earnings (loss) per share and diluted earnings (loss) per share for the year ended December 31, 2004 would have been reduced, on a pro forma basis, by \$1 million, nil per common share and nil per common share, respectively (2003 \$2 million, \$0.01 per common share and \$0.01 per common share, respectively; 2002 \$3 million, \$0.01 per common share and \$0.01 per common share, respectively) for stock-based compensation and other stock-based payments granted prior to January 1, 2002.

*ii) Defined benefit pension plans*

The projected benefit obligation, accumulated benefit obligation and fair value of plan assets for the pension plans with accumulated benefit obligations in excess of plan assets were \$1,181 million, \$1,068 million and \$1,011 million, respectively, as at December 31, 2004 (2003 \$1,107 million, \$998 million and \$890 million, respectively).

**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally accepted accounting principles (continued)

**iii) Inventories**

Inventories under U.S. GAAP are comprised of the following:

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note 4)		
Work in process and finished goods	305	<b>367</b>	342
Raw materials	113	<b>136</b>	119
Operating and maintenance supplies	122	<b>147</b>	142
	540	<b>650</b>	603

**iv) Comprehensive income and accumulated other comprehensive income**

Under U.S. GAAP, Domtar is required to disclose certain information about comprehensive income. This information would be as follows:

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	2004	2004	2003	2002
	US\$	\$	\$	\$
	(note 4)		restated (note 2)	
Net earnings (loss) in accordance with U.S. GAAP	(63)	<b>(76)</b>	(153)	218
Other comprehensive income				
Additional minimum liability of defined benefit pension plans, net of income taxes recovery of \$16 million (2003 expense of \$3 million; 2002 expense of \$19 million) (section(c)(1) above)	22	<b>27</b>	(6)	(33)
Unrealized gains and losses on commodity hedging contracts				1
Unrealized gains and losses on foreign currency hedging contracts, net of income taxes of \$13 million (2003 nil; 2002 nil)	22	<b>26</b>		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(37)	<b>(45)</b>	(147)	
<b>Comprehensive income</b>	<b>(56)</b>	<b>(68)</b>	<b>(306)</b>	<b>186</b>



**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

<b>ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$	\$	\$	\$
	(note			
	4)		restated	
			(note 2)	
Additional minimum liability of defined benefit pension plans	(12)	<b>(15)</b>	(42)	(36)
Unrealized gains on foreign currency hedging contracts	22	<b>26</b>		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(158)	<b>(190)</b>	(145)	2
<b>Accumulated other comprehensive income</b>	(148)	<b>(179)</b>	(187)	(34)

**v) Impact of accounting pronouncements not yet implemented***Inventory costs*

In November 2004, FASB issued Statement 151 *Inventory Costs* to clarify the accounting for abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted material. Statement 151 also introduces the concept of *normal capacity* and requires the allocation of fixed production overhead to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. Unallocated overhead must be recognized as an expense in the period in which it is incurred. This statement is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Domtar does not expect this standard to have a significant impact on the results of operations and financial position.

**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally accepted accounting principles (continued)

**(e) RECONCILIATION OF THE CONSOLIDATED EARNINGS ITEMS FROM CANADIAN GAAP TO U.S. GAAP**

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003	2002
	US\$ (note 4)	\$	\$	\$
<b>Sales Canadian GAAP</b>	4,250	<b>5,115</b>	5,167	5,859
Discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>			(2)	(55)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(532)	<b>(640)</b>	(576)	(653)
<b>Sales U.S. GAAP</b>	3,718	<b>4,475</b>	4,589	5,151
<b>Cost of sales Canadian GAAP</b>	3,640	<b>4,381</b>	4,335	4,686
Pension plans cost <sup>(1)</sup>	1	<b>1</b>	5	8
Other employee future benefit plans cost <sup>(2)</sup>	(1)	<b>(1)</b>		
Discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>			(5)	(63)
Investment tax credits <sup>(11)</sup>	3	<b>4</b>	10	16
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(420)	<b>(506)</b>	(450)	(501)
<b>Cost of sales U.S. GAAP</b>	3,223	<b>3,879</b>	3,895	4,146
<b>Selling, general and administrative Canadian GAAP</b>	254	<b>306</b>	319	329
Discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>			1	(1)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(39)	<b>(47)</b>	(49)	(48)
<b>Selling, general and administrative U.S. GAAP</b>	215	<b>259</b>	271	280
<b>Amortization Canadian GAAP</b>	306	<b>368</b>	385	398
Acquisition of E.B. Eddy <sup>(8)</sup>	5	<b>6</b>	6	6
Discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>				(1)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(29)	<b>(35)</b>	(33)	(32)
<b>Amortization U.S. GAAP</b>	282	<b>339</b>	358	371
<b>Closure and restructuring costs Canadian GAAP</b>	40	<b>48</b>	24	63
Discontinued operations <sup>(10)</sup>			5	(58)
<b>Closure and restructuring costs U.S. GAAP</b>	40	<b>48</b>	29	5

<b>Financing expenses Canadian GAAP</b>	123	<b>148</b>	169	192
Revenue stream hedge <sup>(3)</sup>	(3)	<b>(4)</b>	(42)	(2)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(7)	<b>(8)</b>	(17)	(18)
<b>Financing expenses U.S. GAAP</b>	113	<b>136</b>	110	172
<b>Income tax expense (recovery) Canadian GAAP</b>	(43)	<b>(52)</b>	(67)	56
Tax effect of the adjustments	(10)	<b>(11)</b>	20	55
Investment tax credits <sup>(11)</sup>	(3)	<b>(4)</b>	(10)	(16)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(10)	<b>(12)</b>	(10)	(17)
<b>Income tax expense (recovery) U.S. GAAP</b>	(66)	<b>(79)</b>	(67)	78

**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

**(f) RECONCILIATION OF THE CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS ITEMS FROM CANADIAN GAAP TO U.S. GAAP**

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		restated
	4)		(note 2)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents Canadian GAAP</b>	43	<b>52</b>	48
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(6)	<b>(7)</b>	(7)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents U.S. GAAP</b>	37	<b>45</b>	41
<b>Receivables Canadian GAAP</b>	194	<b>233</b>	197
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(67)	<b>(81)</b>	(88)
<b>Receivables U.S. GAAP</b>	127	<b>152</b>	109
<b>Inventories Canadian GAAP</b>	601	<b>723</b>	670
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(61)	<b>(73)</b>	(67)
<b>Inventories U.S. GAAP</b>	540	<b>650</b>	603
<b>Prepaid expenses Canadian GAAP</b>	10	<b>12</b>	22
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(2)	<b>(2)</b>	(2)
<b>Prepaid expenses U.S. GAAP</b>	8	<b>10</b>	20
<b>Property, plant and equipment Canadian GAAP</b>	3,502	<b>4,215</b>	4,533
Acquisition of E.B. Eddy <sup>(8)</sup>	56	<b>67</b>	73
Formation of Norampac <sup>(9)</sup>	16	<b>20</b>	22
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(338)	<b>(407)</b>	(397)
<b>Property, plant and equipment U.S. GAAP</b>	3,236	<b>3,895</b>	4,231
<b>Goodwill Canadian GAAP</b>	70	<b>84</b>	77
Acquisition of E.B. Eddy <sup>(8)</sup>	85	<b>102</b>	102
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(65)	<b>(78)</b>	(70)
<b>Goodwill U.S. GAAP</b>	90	<b>108</b>	109



**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally accepted accounting principles (continued)

	2004	2004	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		restated
	4)		(note 2)
<b>Other assets Canadian GAAP</b>	220	<b>265</b>	212
Pension plans cost <sup>(1)</sup>	(64)	<b>(78)</b>	(80)
Intangible assets related to additional minimum liability <sup>(1)</sup>	27	<b>32</b>	68
Commodity hedging contracts <sup>(5)</sup>	(3)	<b>(4)</b>	3
Unrealized gains on foreign currency hedging contracts <sup>(4)</sup>	32	<b>39</b>	
Long-term debt discount <sup>(12)</sup>	(9)	<b>(11)</b>	(13)
<b>Other assets U.S. GAAP</b>	203	<b>243</b>	190
<b>Bank indebtedness Canadian GAAP</b>	18	<b>22</b>	19
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(14)	<b>(17)</b>	(11)
<b>Bank indebtedness U.S. GAAP</b>	4	<b>5</b>	8
<b>Trade and other payables Canadian GAAP</b>	543	<b>654</b>	652
Foreign currency hedging contracts <sup>(4)</sup>	2	<b>2</b>	(14)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(61)	<b>(74)</b>	(73)
<b>Trade and other payables U.S. GAAP</b>	484	<b>582</b>	565
<b>Income and other taxes payable Canadian GAAP</b>	27	<b>32</b>	28
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(4)	<b>(4)</b>	(1)
<b>Income and other taxes payable U.S. GAAP</b>	23	<b>28</b>	27
<b>Long-term debt due within one year Canadian GAAP</b>	7	<b>8</b>	5
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(1)	<b>(1)</b>	(1)
<b>Long-term debt due within one year U.S. GAAP</b>	6	<b>7</b>	4
<b>Long-term debt Canadian GAAP</b>	1,683	<b>2,026</b>	2,054
Long-term debt discount <sup>(12)</sup>	(9)	<b>(11)</b>	(13)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(137)	<b>(165)</b>	(176)
<b>Long-term debt U.S. GAAP</b>	1,537	<b>1,850</b>	1,865



**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		restated
	4)		(note 2)
<b>Future income taxes Canadian GAAP</b>	463	<b>557</b>	562
Tax effect of the adjustments	28	<b>34</b>	16
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(68)	<b>(82)</b>	(76)
<b>Future income taxes U.S. GAAP</b>	423	<b>509</b>	502
<b>Other liabilities and deferred credits Canadian GAAP</b>	285	<b>343</b>	360
Pension plans cost <sup>(1)</sup>	1	<b>1</b>	(2)
Additional minimum liability of defined benefit pension plans <sup>(1)</sup>	44	<b>54</b>	133
Other employee future benefit plans cost <sup>(2)</sup>	15	<b>18</b>	19
Revenue stream hedge <sup>(3)</sup>	(40)	<b>(48)</b>	(44)
Interest rate swap contracts <sup>(6)</sup>	(19)	<b>(23)</b>	(36)
Deferred gain on contribution of net assets to Norampac <sup>(7)</sup>	(32)	<b>(39)</b>	(44)
Joint ventures <sup>(7)</sup>	(20)	<b>(24)</b>	(19)
<b>Other liabilities and deferred credits U.S. GAAP</b>	234	<b>282</b>	367



**Table of Contents**

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2004 (IN MILLIONS OF CANADIAN DOLLARS, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

note 25. Reconciliation of canadian and united states generally accepted accounting principles (continued)

	2004	<b>2004</b>	2003
	US\$	\$	\$
	(note		restated
	4)		(note 2)
<b>Retained earnings Canadian GAAP</b>	342	<b>412</b>	509
Pension plans cost <sup>(1)</sup>	(45)	<b>(54)</b>	(53)
Other employee future benefit plans cost <sup>(2)</sup>	(11)	<b>(13)</b>	(14)
Revenue stream hedge <sup>(3)</sup>	26	<b>32</b>	29
Foreign currency hedging contracts <sup>(4)</sup>	(2)	<b>(3)</b>	8
Commodity hedging contracts <sup>(5)</sup>	(2)	<b>(3)</b>	2
Interest rate swap contracts <sup>(6)</sup>	12	<b>15</b>	25
Deferred gain on contribution of net assets to Norampac <sup>(7)</sup>	21	<b>26</b>	31
Acquisition of E.B. Eddy <sup>(8)</sup>	123	<b>148</b>	152
Formation of Norampac <sup>(9)</sup>	17	<b>20</b>	22
<b>Retained earnings U.S. GAAP</b>	481	<b>580</b>	711
<b>Accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments Canadian GAAP</b>	(158)	<b>(190)</b>	(145)
Additional minimum liability of defined benefit pension plans <sup>(1)</sup>	(12)	<b>(15)</b>	(42)
Unrealized gains on foreign currency hedging contracts <sup>(4)</sup>	22	<b>26</b>	
<b>Accumulated other comprehensive income U.S. GAAP</b>	(148)	<b>(179)</b>	(187)

note 26.

---

Comparative figures

To conform with the basis of presentation adopted in the current year, certain figures previously reported have been reclassified.

**Table of Contents**

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Economic value added (eva<sup>®</sup>)

In addition to using ROE as an important financial metric, Domtar uses EVA<sup>®</sup> to ensure that its decision-making processes are aligned with the objective of increasing shareholder value. EVA<sup>®</sup> is used at Domtar to measure performance and evaluate investment decisions.

EVA<sup>®</sup> is positive when a corporation's net after-tax operating profit exceeds a capital charge representing the return expected by the providers of the corporation's capital. Domtar reviews its cost of capital periodically, based on changes in financial markets.

Domtar's EVA<sup>®</sup> for 2004 is negative \$389 million and was impacted by the sharp decline in the average value of the U.S. dollar when compared to the Canadian dollar, high costs, particularly for purchased wood and freight, and countervailing and antidumping duties on exports of softwood lumber to the United States.

In 2005, in order to increase its competitiveness and counteract the impact of difficult market conditions, Domtar will focus on delivering targeted savings of \$100 million through cost reduction initiatives. In addition, it will continue to review the viability of its Canadian operations and optimize the use of its assets through initiatives aimed at improving its value proposition to customers. Domtar remains committed to creating long-term shareholder value and will continue to exercise financial discipline, especially with respect to capital management.

EVA<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of Stern Stewart & Co.

**COMMON STOCK PRICES**

Quarterly share prices for the common shares of Domtar during 2004 and 2003 were as follows:

	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>
	\$	\$	\$	\$
market price - 2004				
Toronto Stock Exchange				
High	16.84	17.95	17.50	16.02
Low	14.45	15.11	15.02	13.65
New York				
Stock Exchange (US\$)				
High	13.00	13.28	13.25	12.71
Low	10.84	10.90	11.71	11.36
market price - 2003				
Toronto Stock Exchange				
High	17.01	16.10	16.70	16.42
Low	14.43	14.02	14.15	14.10
New York				
Stock Exchange (US\$)				
High	11.18	11.54	12.34	12.55
Low	9.85	9.79	10.18	10.79



**Table of Contents**

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY

<i>December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	\$	\$
<b>OPERATIONS</b>		
<b>Sales</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>5,115</b>	5,167
Operating expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	<b>5,049</b>	5,041
Operating profit excluding specified items	<b>66</b>	126
Specified items and other expenses		
Specified items affecting the operating profit <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>17</b>	221
Financing expenses	<b>148</b>	169
Premium and write-off on early redemption of long-term debt		
Gain on contribution to Norampac, including amortization of deferred gain	<b>(5)</b>	(4)
Income tax expense (recovery)	<b>(52)</b>	(67)
Non-controlling interest		
<b>Earnings (loss) from continuing operations</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(193)</b>
<b>Discontinued operations, net of income taxes</b>		
<b>Net earnings (loss)</b>	<b>(42)</b>	<b>(193)</b>
<b>FINANCIAL POSITION</b>		
<b>Assets</b>		
Cash, short-term investments and deposits	<b>52</b>	48
Other current assets	<b>1,072</b>	978
Property, plant and equipment	<b>4,215</b>	4,533
Goodwill	<b>84</b>	77
Other assets	<b>265</b>	212
Total assets	<b>5,688</b>	5,848
<b>Liabilities and shareholders equity</b>		
Current liabilities	<b>716</b>	704
Long-term debt	<b>2,026</b>	2,054
Future income taxes	<b>557</b>	562
Other liabilities and deferred credits and non-controlling interest	<b>343</b>	360
Equity element of convertible debentures		
Preferred shares	<b>39</b>	42
Common shareholders equity	<b>2,007</b>	2,126
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	<b>5,688</b>	5,848

---

(1)

All data have been restated to reflect the reclassification of delivery costs as well as countervailing and antidumping duties on exports of softwood lumber to the United States from Sales to Cost of sales.

- (2) For the 2004, 2003 and 2002 figures, see Specified items affecting results and non-GAAP measures in Managements Discussion and Analysis .

**Table of Contents**

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
5,859	4,636	3,813	3,271	2,486	2,065	2,100	2,309	1,596
5,412	4,323	3,337	2,892	2,286	2,009	1,991	1,800	1,469
447	313	476	379	200	56	109	509	127
63						35		(27)
192	167	119	76	123	65	32	87	156
						127		
(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(25)			
56	11	100	123	31	3	(23)	153	2
			(1)	(2)	(2)	(1)	6	
141	140	262	186	53	15	(61)	263	(4)
						184	65	67
141	140	262	186	53	15	123	328	63
38	36	29	3	9	283	44	286	319
1,138	1,132	999	843	788	567	593	710	575
5,387	5,612	3,002	2,978	3,010	1,964	1,992	2,086	1,809
79	62	65	65	66	65	20	21	14
205	213	140	116	108	66	58	46	52
6,847	7,055	4,235	4,005	3,981	2,945	2,707	3,149	2,769
854	810	629	604	683	397	396	416	367
2,444	2,883	984	1,041	1,158	863	635	1,082	1,220
625	528	559	371	252	206	209	225	72
370	408	254	207	232	189	156	175	183
							99	93
44	48	51	54	87	100	103	216	219
2,510	2,378	1,758	1,728	1,569	1,190	1,208	936	615
6,847	7,055	4,235	4,005	3,981	2,945	2,707	3,149	2,769

**Table of Contents**

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

<i>December 31</i>	<b>2004</b>	2003
<i>(In millions of Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted)</i>	<b>\$</b>	\$
<b>cash flows</b>		
<b>Operating</b>		
Cash flows provided from operating activities	<b>122</b>	348
<b>Investing</b>		
Net additions to property, plant and equipment	<b>(163)</b>	(225)
Acquisition of businesses	<b>(19)</b>	(11)
Net consideration received upon contribution to Norampac		
Net proceeds from business divestitures		
Other	<b>(1)</b>	(2)
<b>Financing</b>		
Dividend payments	<b>(56)</b>	(45)
Long-term debt and equity financing	<b>21</b>	632
Change in bank indebtedness	<b>5</b>	(4)
Change in revolving bank credit	<b>105</b>	22
Redemption, repayments and other	<b>(11)</b>	(701)
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>3</b>	14
<b>other data</b>		
<b>Per common share</b>		
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations <sup>(3)</sup>	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)
Net earnings (loss) <sup>(3) (4)</sup>	<b>(0.19)</b>	(0.86)
Cash dividends declared	<b>0.24</b>	0.22
Year-end book value	<b>8.75</b>	9.34
Market price		
Toronto Stock Exchange		
High	<b>17.95</b>	17.01
Low	<b>13.65</b>	14.02
<b>Ratios</b>		
Return on common shareholders' equity <sup>(4) (5)</sup>	<b>(2)%</b>	(8)%
Net debt-to-total-capitalization ratio <sup>(6)</sup>	<b>49:51</b>	48:52
<b>Other statistics</b>		
Number of common shareholders	<b>5,270</b>	5,477
Number of preferred shareholders	<b>184</b>	207
Common shares outstanding (millions)	<b>230.2</b>	228.9
Number of employees <sup>(7)</sup>	<b>10,600</b>	11,000
Salaries, wages and benefits <sup>(7)</sup>	<b>877</b>	935

<sup>(3)</sup> The 1997 results include the after-tax impact of the gain on contribution to Norampac of \$0.11 per share. The 1996 and 1994 results include the after-tax impact of specified items of \$(0.17) and \$0.11 per share, respectively.

- (4) The 1997 figures exclude a non-recurring \$17 million after-tax gain realized on the contribution of Domtar's packaging net assets to Norampac. The 1996 figures exclude non-recurring items related to the divestitures of the Gypsum and Decorative Panels divisions, a charge related to the refinancing program completed during the year and a charge principally related to asset write-downs.
- (5) Ratio of net earnings, after dividend payments on preferred shares, to total average common shareholders' equity.
- (6) Ratio of long-term debt and bank indebtedness, net of cash, short-term investments and short-term deposits held in trust to total capitalization.



**Table of Contents**

## HISTORICAL SUMMARY (CONTINUED)

2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
677	727	587	326	248	125	172	520	166
(223)	(280)	(248)	(206)	(206)	(153)	(351)	(361)	(212)
(27)	(2 616)	(22)	(9)	(456)			(88)	
					285			
6	(5)	(12)	28	(1)	7	604		297
						(19)	233	(249)
(33)	(28)	(28)	(28)	(25)	(23)	(17)	(5)	(3)
16	4 289		5	676		360	4	3
(25)	(2)	(1)	17	(4)	15	(12)	10	16
9	(196)	(163)	198	3			(89)	(21)
(398)	(1 882)	(87)	(337)	(509)	(17)	(979)	(31)	(16)
2	7	26	(6)	(274)	239	(242)	193	(19)
0.62	0.72	1.42	1.00	0.31	0.09	(0.50)	1.99	(0.08)
0.62	0.72	1.42	1.00	0.31	0.09	0.87	2.50	0.45
0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14		
11.02	10.51	9.75	9.39	8.56	7.96	8.03	7.32	4.83
17.80	16.46	22.00	18.75	12.70	13.50	12.70	14.75	10.13
13.83	11.00	9.90	8.60	6.80	8.85	9.00	9.25	6.50
6%	7%	15%	11%	4%	1%	11%	41%	10%
49:51	55:45	37:63	38:62	43:57	33:67	32:68	40:60	50:50
5,589	5,773	6,034	6,477	7,076	7,254	8,732	9,347	10,303
236	273	301	351	390	418	485	555	624
227.7	226.2	180.4	184.1	183.4	149.5	150.4	127.8	127.4
12,000	12,500	9,155	8,232	7,946	7,300	7,574	9,503	8,985
1 056	821	611	544	414	461	462	550	551

<sup>(7)</sup> All data subsequent to 1997 exclude Norampac. All data prior to 1998 include 100% of Domtar's packaging division, although it was contributed to Norampac on December 30, 1997.

The 1998 data for salaries, wages and benefits includes only five months of E.B. Eddy, whereas the data for number of employees includes all employees of E.B. Eddy as at December 31, 1998.

The 2000 data for salaries, wages and benefits includes only five months of RIS Paper, whereas the data for number of employees includes all employees of RIS Paper as at December 31, 2000.

The 2001 data for salaries, wages and benefits includes only five months of the four integrated pulp and paper mills acquired from Georgia-Pacific Corporation, whereas the data for number of employees includes all employees of the four integrated pulp and paper mills acquired from Georgia-Pacific Corporation as at December 31, 2001.

**Table of Contents**

**Table of Contents**

**AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Audit Committee is composed entirely of directors who are independent, as such term is defined in the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. All members of the Audit Committee are financially literate and there are two audit committee financial experts. In considering criteria for the determination of financial literacy, the Board considers the ability to read and understand a set of financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of the issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by Domtar's financial statements. In determining who the audit committee financial experts are, the Board and the Audit Committee have considered the attributes described in Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K of the *Securities and Exchange Commission*. The audit committee financial experts are Messrs. Louis P. Gignac and Claude R. Lamoureux. The other members of the Audit Committee are Jacques Laurent and Gilles Ouimet.

The duties of the Audit Committee are set out in the Audit Committee Charter, which may be consulted on our Internet site at [www.domtar.com/corporate\\_section/](http://www.domtar.com/corporate_section/).

**CODE OF ETHICS**

Since 2000, the Corporation has had in place a Code of Ethics that applies to all its employees, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The Code of Ethics may be consulted on our Internet site at [www.domtar.com/corporate\\_section/](http://www.domtar.com/corporate_section/).

**PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES**

**AUDIT FEES**

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2003 for completion of the 2003 audit of the consolidated financial statements amounted to \$1,368,000.

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2004 for completion of the 2004 audit of the consolidated financial statements amounted to \$1,178,000.

**AUDIT-RELATED FEES**

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2003 for services related to the 2003 audit of the consolidated financial statements amounted to \$422,000.

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2004 for services related to the 2004 audit of the consolidated financial statements amounted to \$341,000.

---

**Table of Contents**

**ALL OTHER FEES**

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2003 for professional non-audit services amounted to \$74,000.

Fees paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in 2004 for professional non-audit services amounted to \$88,000.

**AUDIT AND NON-AUDIT SERVICES PRE-APPROVAL POLICY**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Corporation adopted in April 2003 the Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval Policy that may be found on our web site at [www.domtar.com/corporate\\_section/](http://www.domtar.com/corporate_section/). All the fees indicated above were approved in accordance with the Audit and Non-Audit Services Pre-Approval policy.

The Audit Committee regularly reviews and determines whether specific projects or expenditures with the Company's independent auditors, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and their affiliates, potentially affect their independence. The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Pre-approval is generally provided by the Audit Committee for up to one year, is detailed as to the particular service or category of services to be rendered, and is generally subject to a specific budget. The Audit Committee may also pre-approve additional services or specific engagements on a case-by-case basis. Management is required to provide quarterly updates to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of any services provided in accordance with this pre-approval, as well as the cumulative fees for all non-audit services incurred to date.

---

**Table of Contents**

UNDERTAKING

The Registrant agrees to make available, in person or by telephone, representatives to respond to inquiries made by the Commission staff, and to furnish promptly, when requested to do so by the Commission staff, information relating to: (i) the securities in relation to which the obligation to file an annual report on Form 40-F arises; or (ii) transactions in said securities.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 40-F and has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

Registrant: Domtar Inc.

By: /s/ Razvan L. Theodoru

Razvan L. Theodoru  
Corporate Secretary

Date: March 24, 2005