

TAL International Group, Inc.
Form 10-Q
July 30, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2013

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

For the Transition Period from _____ to _____

Commission file number- 001-32638

TAL International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in the charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

20-1796526

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

100 Manhattanville Road, Purchase, New York

(Address of principal executive office)

10577-2135

(Zip Code)

(914) 251-9000

(Registrant's telephone number including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirement for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

As of July 19, 2013, there were 33,844,464 shares of the Registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value outstanding.

Table of Contents

TAL International Group, Inc.

Index

| | Page No. |
|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (unaudited) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>18</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>34</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>35</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>36</u> |
| <u>Signature</u> | <u>37</u> |

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. In addition, we, or our executive officers on our behalf, may from time to time make forward-looking statements in reports and other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, or in connection with oral statements made to the press, potential investors or others. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, including statements regarding our strategy, future operations, future financial position, future revenues, projected costs, prospects, plans and objectives of management are forward-looking statements. The words "expect," "estimate," "anticipate," "predict," "believe," "think," "plan," "will," "should," "intend," "seek," "potential" and similar expressions and variations are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these identifying words.

Forward-looking statements in this report are subject to a number of known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013, in this report as well as in the other documents we file with the SEC from time to time, and such risks and uncertainties are specifically incorporated herein by reference.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date the statements are made. Except as required under the federal securities laws and rules and regulations of the SEC, we undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, changes in assumptions or changes in other factors affecting forward-looking information. We caution you not to unduly rely on the forward-looking statements when evaluating the information presented in this report.

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The consolidated financial statements of TAL International Group, Inc. ("TAL" or the "Company") as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012 included herein have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the rules and regulations of the SEC. In addition, certain information and note disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results for the interim periods. The results of operations for such interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC, on February 20, 2013, from which the accompanying December 31, 2012 Balance Sheet information was derived, and all of our other filings filed with the SEC from October 11, 2005 through the current date pursuant to the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS: | | |
| Leasing equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and allowances of \$835,785 and \$766,898 | \$3,369,725 | \$3,249,374 |
| Net investment in finance leases, net of allowances of \$1,055 and \$897 | 143,362 | 121,933 |
| Equipment held for sale | 39,062 | 47,139 |
| Revenue earning assets | 3,552,149 | 3,418,446 |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents | 68,293 | 65,843 |
| Restricted cash | 28,560 | 35,837 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$744 and \$692 | 87,016 | 71,363 |
| Goodwill | 71,898 | 71,898 |
| Deferred financing costs | 28,180 | 26,450 |
| Other assets | 8,105 | 9,453 |
| Fair value of derivative instruments | 21,317 | 1,904 |
| Total assets | \$3,865,518 | \$3,701,194 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Equipment purchases payable | \$39,193 | \$111,176 |
| Fair value of derivative instruments | 1,563 | 34,633 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued expenses | 52,204 | 64,936 |
| Net deferred income tax liability | 318,880 | 270,459 |
| Debt | 2,790,022 | 2,604,015 |
| Total liabilities | 3,201,862 | 3,085,219 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 500,000 shares authorized, none issued | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 36,856,307 and 36,697,366 shares issued respectively | 37 | 37 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 3,011,843 shares | (37,535 |) (37,535) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 496,533 | 493,456 |
| Accumulated earnings | 199,442 | 168,447 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 5,179 | (8,430) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 663,656 | 615,975 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$3,865,518 | \$3,701,194 |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Income

(Dollars and shares in thousands, except earnings per share)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Leasing revenues: | | | | |
| Operating leases | \$ 136,304 | \$ 124,303 | \$ 270,358 | \$ 243,784 |
| Finance leases | 3,152 | 3,552 | 6,250 | 7,250 |
| Total leasing revenues | 139,456 | 127,855 | 276,608 | 251,034 |
| Equipment trading revenues | 31,781 | 21,308 | 50,067 | 35,769 |
| Management fee income | 601 | 820 | 1,220 | 1,480 |
| Other revenues | 124 | 40 | 142 | 72 |
| Total revenues | 171,962 | 150,023 | 328,037 | 288,355 |
| Operating expenses (income): | | | | |
| Equipment trading expenses | 27,494 | 19,031 | 43,105 | 31,594 |
| Direct operating expenses | 6,218 | 6,026 | 12,180 | 11,607 |
| Administrative expenses | 10,614 | 11,128 | 22,518 | 22,234 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 49,832 | 47,169 | 99,149 | 92,374 |
| Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts | 1,585 | (183) | 1,503 | (169) |
| Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment | (8,026) | (13,152) | (18,287) | (23,912) |
| Total operating expenses | 87,717 | 70,019 | 160,168 | 133,728 |
| Operating income | 84,245 | 80,004 | 167,869 | 154,627 |
| Other expenses: | | | | |
| Interest and debt expense | 28,303 | 28,073 | 57,186 | 54,698 |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs | 2,578 | — | 2,578 | — |
| Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps | (5,268) | 6,728 | (8,420) | 3,756 |
| Total other expenses | 25,613 | 34,801 | 51,344 | 58,454 |
| Income before income taxes | 58,632 | 45,203 | 116,525 | 96,173 |
| Income tax expense | 20,756 | 15,906 | 41,129 | 33,949 |
| Net income | \$37,876 | \$29,297 | \$75,396 | \$62,224 |
| Net income per common share—Basic | \$1.13 | \$0.88 | \$2.25 | \$1.87 |
| Net income per common share—Diluted | \$1.12 | \$0.87 | \$2.24 | \$1.85 |
| Cash dividends paid per common share | \$0.66 | \$0.58 | \$1.30 | \$1.13 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding—Basic | 33,484 | 33,216 | 33,477 | 33,204 |
| Dilutive stock options and restricted stock | 196 | 418 | 181 | 399 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding—Diluted | 33,680 | 33,634 | 33,658 | 33,603 |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 (Dollars in thousands)
 (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net income | \$37,876 | \$29,297 | \$75,396 | \$62,224 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges (net of income tax effect of \$7,951, \$(625), \$7,076 and \$(625), respectively) | 14,553 | (1,145) |) 12,952 | (1,145) |
| Amortization of loss on terminated derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges (net of income tax effect of \$269, \$288, \$546 and \$570, respectively) | 496 | 528 | 1,002 | 1,046 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 1 | (43) |) (345) |) 65 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax | 15,050 | (660) |) 13,609 | (34) |
| Comprehensive income | \$52,926 | \$28,637 | \$89,005 | \$62,190 |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$75,396 | \$62,224 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 99,149 | 92,374 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 3,563 | 2,674 |
| Amortization of net loss on terminated derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges | 1,548 | 1,616 |
| Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment | (18,287 |) (23,912 |
| Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps | (8,420 |) 3,756 |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs | 2,578 | — |
| Deferred income taxes | 40,989 | 33,949 |
| Stock compensation charge | 2,977 | 2,056 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Net equipment purchased for resale activity | (6,760 |) 4,175 |
| Net realized loss on interest rate swaps terminated prior to their contractual maturities | (24,235 |) (13,598 |
| Other changes in operating assets and liabilities | (26,274 |) (14,926 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 142,224 | 150,388 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of leasing equipment and investments in finance leases | (372,576 |) (396,006 |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment, net of selling costs | 71,983 | 64,728 |
| Cash collections on finance lease receivables, net of income earned | 19,446 | 17,037 |
| Other | (268 |) 91 |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | (281,415 |) (314,150 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Stock options exercised and stock related activity | (304 |) 283 |
| Financing fees paid under debt facilities | (7,871 |) (4,997 |
| Borrowings under debt facilities | 1,290,207 | 732,404 |
| Payments under debt facilities and capital lease obligations | (1,104,140 |) (608,606 |
| Increase (decrease) in restricted cash | 7,277 | (2,359 |
| Common stock dividends paid | (43,528 |) (37,532 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 141,641 | 79,193 |
| Net increase (decrease) in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents | \$2,450 | \$(84,569 |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 65,843 | 140,877 |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$68,293 | \$56,308 |
| Supplemental non-cash investing activities: | | |
| Equipment purchases payable | \$39,193 | \$115,965 |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1—Description of the Business, Basis of Presentation and Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

A. Description of the Business

TAL International Group, Inc. ("TAL" or the "Company") leases intermodal transportation equipment, primarily maritime containers, and provides maritime container management services, through a worldwide network of offices, third party depots and other facilities. The Company operates in both international and domestic markets. The majority of the Company's business is derived from leasing its containers to shipping line customers through a variety of long-term and short-term contractual lease arrangements. The Company also sells its own containers and containers purchased from third parties for resale. TAL also enters into management agreements with third party container owners under which the Company manages the leasing and selling of containers on behalf of the third party owners.

B. Basis of Presentation

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to the accompanying prior period financial statements and notes to conform to the current year's presentation.

Effective October 1, 2012, the Company increased the residual value estimates used in its equipment depreciation policy. The increase in estimated residual values resulted in a decrease in depreciation expense of \$5.0 million (\$3.2 million after tax or \$0.09 per fully diluted share) for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and \$9.9 million (\$6.4 million after tax or \$0.19 per fully diluted share) for the six months ended June 30, 2013. Also effective October 1, 2012, the Company revised the estimated depreciation start date used in its leasing equipment depreciation policy. Under the Company's revised estimate, depreciation on leasing equipment begins on the date of initial on-hire.

C. Recently Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-2 ("ASU 2013-2"), Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income. ASU 2013-2 requires the presentation, in one place, of information about reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. Additionally, ASU 2013-2 requires the presentation of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component for periods in which changes in accumulated other comprehensive income balances are presented. The Company has adopted ASU 2013-2 effective January 1, 2013. The Company's adoption of ASU 2013-2 had no impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements as it is disclosure-only in nature.

Note 2—Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company believes that the carrying amounts of its cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, equipment purchases payable, and accounts payable approximated their fair value as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Fair value represents the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company utilizes the following fair value hierarchy when selecting inputs for its valuation techniques, with the highest priority given to Level 1:

Level 1—Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on observable inputs such as quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets (unadjusted).

Level 2—Financial assets and liabilities whose values are based on observable inputs such as (i) quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; (ii) quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; or (iii) model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable in active markets.

Level 3—Financial assets and liabilities whose values are derived from valuation techniques based on one or more significant unobservable inputs.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 2—Fair Value of Financial Instruments (Continued)

The Company does not measure debt at fair value in its consolidated balance sheets. The fair value, which was measured using Level 2 inputs, and the carrying value of the Company's debt are listed in the table below as of the dates indicated (in thousands).

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Liabilities | | |
| Debt—carrying value | \$2,790,022 | \$2,604,015 |
| Debt—estimated fair value | \$2,780,426 | \$2,701,668 |

The Company estimated the fair value of its debt instruments based on the net present value of its future debt payments, using a discount rate which reflects the Company's estimate of current market interest rates and spreads as of the balance sheet date.

Note 3—Dividends

The Company paid the following quarterly dividends during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 on its issued and outstanding common stock:

| Record Date | Payment Date | Aggregate Payment | Per Share Payment |
|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| June 4, 2013 | June 25, 2013 | \$22.1 Million | \$0.66 |
| March 7, 2013 | March 28, 2013 | \$21.4 Million | \$0.64 |
| June 1, 2012 | June 22, 2012 | \$19.2 Million | \$0.58 |
| March 8, 2012 | March 29, 2012 | \$18.3 Million | \$0.55 |

Note 4—Capital Stock and Stock Options

Stock Based Compensation Plans

The Company records compensation cost relating to stock based payment transactions in accordance with ASC 718. The cost is measured at the grant date, based on the calculated fair value of the award, and is recognized as an expense over the employee's requisite service period (generally the vesting period of the equity award) on a straight-line basis. The Company recognized compensation costs in administrative expenses related to the vesting of restricted shares granted in 2011, 2012 and 2013 under the Company's stock based compensation plans of compensation costs of \$1.1 million and \$0.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$3.0 million and \$2.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Total unrecognized compensation cost of approximately \$7.4 million as of June 30, 2013 related to restricted shares granted during 2011, 2012 and 2013 will be recognized over the remaining weighted average vesting period of approximately 2.1 years.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, plan participants exercised 30,150 options which had been granted under the 2005 Management Omnibus Incentive Plan. Plan participants tendered 19,959 shares, all of which were subsequently retired by the Company, to satisfy payment of the exercise price, and in certain instances withholding taxes related to activity under the Company's stock compensation plans.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 4—Capital Stock and Stock Options (Continued)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following as of the dates indicated (in thousands and net of tax effects):

| | Cash Flow Hedges | Foreign Currency Translation | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$(7,481 |) \$(949 |) \$ (8,430 |
| Change in fair value of derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges | 11,767 | — | 11,767 |
| Reclassification of realized loss on interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges | 1,185 | — | 1,185 |
| Amortization of net loss on derivative instruments previously designated as cash flow hedges | 1,002 | — | 1,002 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | — | (345 |) (345 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 13,954 | (345 |) 13,609 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2013 | \$6,473 | \$(1,294 |) \$ 5,179 |

The following table presents reclassifications out of Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the period indicated (in thousands):

| | Amounts Reclassified From Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | | Affected Line Item in the Consolidated Statements of Income |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| | Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 | |
| Realized loss on interest rate swap agreements, designated as cash flow hedges | \$1,832 | \$1,832 | Interest and debt expense |
| Amortization of net loss on derivative instruments previously designated as cash flow hedges | 765 | 1,548 | Interest and debt expense |
| Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,597 | 3,380 | Income before income taxes |
| Income tax (benefit) | (919 |) (1,193 |) Income tax expense |
| Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | \$1,678 | \$2,187 | Net income |

Note 5—Net Investment in Finance Leases

The following table represents the components of the net investment in finance leases (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---|---------------|----------------------|
| Gross finance lease receivables | \$178,901 | \$152,321 |
| Allowance on gross finance lease receivables | (1,055 |) (897 |
| Gross finance lease receivables, net of allowance | 177,846 | 151,424 |
| Unearned income | (34,484 |) (29,491 |
| Net investment in finance leases | \$143,362 | \$121,933 |

The Company evaluates potential losses in its finance lease portfolio by regularly reviewing the specific receivables in the portfolio and analyzing historical loss experience. The Company's historical loss experience on its gross finance

lease receivables, after considering equipment recoveries, was less than 1%. Net investment in finance lease receivables is generally charged off after an analysis is completed which indicates that collection of the full balance is remote.

10

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 5—Net Investment in Finance Leases (Continued)

In order to estimate its allowance for losses contained in the gross finance lease receivables, the Company categorizes the credit worthiness of the receivables in the portfolio based on internal customer credit ratings, which are reviewed and updated, as appropriate, on an ongoing basis. The internal customer credit ratings are developed based on a review of the financial performance and condition, operating environment, geographical location and trade routes of our customers.

The categories of gross finance lease receivables based on the Company's internal customer credit ratings can be described as follows:

Tier 1—These customers are typically large international shipping lines who have been in business for many years and have world class operating capabilities and significant financial resources. In most cases, the Company has had a long commercial relationship with these customers and currently maintains regular communication with them at several levels of management, which provides TAL with insight into the customer's current operating and financial performance. In the Company's view, these customers have the greatest ability to withstand cyclical down turns and would likely have greater access to needed capital than lower rated customers. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 1 customers to range from minimal to modest.

Tier 2—These customers are typically either smaller shipping lines with less operating scale or shipping lines with a high degree of financial leverage, and accordingly the Company views these customers as subject to higher volatility in financial performance over the business cycle. The Company generally expects these customers to have less access to capital markets or other sources of financing during cyclical down turns. The Company views the risk of default for Tier 2 customers as moderate.

Tier 3—Customers in this category exhibit volatility in payments on a regular basis, thus they are considered non-performing. The Company has initiated or implemented plans to recover equipment on lease to these customers and believes that default is likely, or has already occurred.

Based on the above categories, the Company's gross finance lease receivables are as follows (in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Tier 1 | \$ 143,985 | \$ 109,883 |
| Tier 2 | 34,916 | 42,438 |
| Tier 3 | — | — |
| Gross finance lease receivables | \$ 178,901 | \$ 152,321 |

The Company considers an account past due when a payment has not been received in accordance with the terms of the related lease agreement. As of June 30, 2013, approximately \$0.2 million of the Company's Tier 1 gross finance lease receivables and \$0.3 million of the Company's Tier 2 gross finance lease receivables were past due, substantially all of which were aged approximately 31 days. As of June 30, 2013, none of the Company's gross finance lease receivables were in non-accrual status. The Company recognizes income on gross finance lease receivables in non-accrual status as collections are made.

The following table represents the activity of the Company's allowance on gross finance lease receivables for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Beginning Balance | Additions/ (Reversals) | Other(a) | Ending Balance |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| Finance Lease—Allowance for doubtful accounts: For the six months ended | | | | |
| June 30, 2013 | \$ 897 | \$ 158 | \$— | \$ 1,055 |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 1,073 | \$(94 |) \$(1 |) \$978 |

(a) Primarily relates to the effect of foreign currency translation.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 6—Debt

Debt consisted of the following (amounts in thousands):

| | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|--|---------------|----------------------|
| Asset backed securitization (ABS) term notes | \$ 1,297,120 | \$ 1,343,826 |
| Term loan facilities | 804,566 | 698,570 |
| Asset backed warehouse facility | 52,000 | 355,000 |
| Revolving credit facilities | 515,000 | 75,000 |
| Capital lease obligations | 121,336 | 131,619 |
| Total Debt | \$ 2,790,022 | \$ 2,604,015 |

As of June 30, 2013, the Company had \$1,270.9 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates and \$1,519.1 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (primarily LIBOR). The Company economically hedges the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on a portion of its floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap agreements that convert a portion of its floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had interest rate swaps in place with a net notional amount of \$927.9 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of its floating rate debt obligations.

The Company is subject to certain financial covenants under its debt facilities, and as of June 30, 2013, was in compliance with all such covenants.

Asset Backed Securitization Term Notes

In May 2013, the Company prepaid all of the outstanding principal balance on certain fixed rate secured notes issued under the Asset Backed Securitization ("ABS") facilities in the amount of \$190.0 million. Also in May 2013, the Company entered into an amendment to revise the optional prepayment date for certain other fixed rate secured notes issued under the ABS facilities from July 2013 to July 2015, and to reduce the interest rate on the notes from 5.50% to 3.25%.

In February 2013, the Company issued \$253 million of Class A fixed rate secured notes and \$18.8 million of Class B fixed rate secured notes under the ABS facilities.

Asset Backed Warehouse Facility

In April 2013, the Company decreased the size of its asset backed warehouse facility from \$600.0 million to \$550.0 million.

Revolving Credit Facilities

In March 2013, the Company entered into a \$450 million senior secured revolving credit facility.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 7—Derivative Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps

The Company has entered into interest rate swap agreements to manage interest rate risk exposure. The majority of interest rate swap agreements utilized by TAL effectively modify the Company's exposure to interest rate risk by converting a portion of its floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. Such agreements involve the receipt of floating rate amounts in exchange for fixed rate interest payments over the lives of the agreements without an exchange of the underlying principal amounts. In limited instances, the Company has also entered into interest rate swap agreements that involve the receipt of fixed rate amounts in exchange for floating rate interest payments. The counterparties to the Company's interest rate swap agreements are highly rated financial institutions. In the unlikely event that the counterparties fail to meet the terms of the interest rate swap agreements, the Company's exposure is limited to the interest rate differential on the notional amount at each monthly settlement period over the life of the agreements. The Company does not anticipate any non-performance by the counterparties. Substantially all of the assets of certain indirect, wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company have been pledged as collateral for the underlying indebtedness and the amounts payable under the interest rate swap agreements for each of these entities. In addition, certain assets of TAL International Container Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, are pledged as collateral for the revolving credit facility and the amounts payable under certain interest rate swap agreements.

As of June 30, 2013, the Company had net interest rate swap agreements in place to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of the borrowings under its debt facilities as summarized below:

| Net Notional Amount(1) | Weighted Average Fixed Leg (Pay) Interest Rate(2) | Weighted Average Remaining Term(2) |
|---------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| \$927.9 Million | 1.44% | 6.3 years |

(1) As of June 30, 2013, the net notional amount outstanding on the Company's interest rate swap agreements is comprised of \$1,252.9 million of pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate agreements and \$325.0 million of pay-floating rate/receive-fixed rate agreements. The Company entered into the pay-floating rate/receive-fixed rate agreements at the parent company level to offset the cash flows on certain pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate agreements of certain wholly owned subsidiaries. The pay-floating rate/receive-fixed rate and pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate agreements have terms that offset each other.

(2) The calculations of weighted average fixed (pay) leg interest rate and weighted average remaining term on the Company's interest rate swap agreements reflect the impact of the pay-floating rate/receive-fixed rate agreements and the pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate agreements they offset.

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, the Company terminated pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$654.3 million and entered into new pay-fixed rate/receive-floating rate agreements with lower fixed interest rates, longer maturities and an aggregate notional amount of \$850.0 million. The Company designated these interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges at their inception. There was no material ineffectiveness associated with these cash flow hedges in the period from designation through June 30, 2013. The Company made net payments of \$24.2 million to its interest rate swap counterparties related to the termination of those agreements. As the terminated interest rate swap agreements were non-designated, the entire amount has been previously recognized in the Company's statements of net income. The Company recognized amortization of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to losses on terminated interest rate swap agreements that had been designated as cash flow hedges in interest and debt expense of \$0.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of June 30, 2013, the unamortized pre-tax balance in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to designated interest rate swap agreements and losses on terminated

interest rate swap agreements that had been designated as cash flow hedges was \$10.0 million, of which approximately \$12.5 million is expected to be amortized to interest and debt expense over the next 12 months. Amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to these terminated interest rate swap agreements may be recognized in earnings immediately in conjunction with a termination of the related debt balances.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 7—Derivative Instruments (Continued)

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Swaps

In April 2008, the Company entered into foreign currency rate swap agreements to manage foreign currency rate risk exposure by exchanging Euros for U.S. dollars based on expected payments under its Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The Company will pay a total of approximately 1.4 million Euros and receive approximately \$2.1 million over the remaining term of the foreign currency rate swap agreements, which expire in April 2015. The Company does not account for the foreign currency rate swap agreements as hedging instruments under ASC 815, and therefore changes in the fair value of the foreign currency rate swap agreements are reflected in the consolidated statements of income in administrative expenses.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company has elected to use the income approach to value its interest rate swap and foreign currency rate swap agreements, using observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount (discounted) assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. The Level 2 inputs for the interest rate swap and forward valuations are limited to quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets (specifically futures contracts and spot currency rates) and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (specifically forward currency points, LIBOR cash and swap rates, basis swap adjustments and credit risk at commonly quoted intervals).

Location of Derivative Instruments in Financial Statements

| Derivative Instrument | Fair Value of Derivative Instruments (In Millions) | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| | Asset Derivatives | | | | Liability Derivatives | | | |
| | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | | June 30, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
| Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | |
| Interest rate swap contracts, designated as cash flow hedges | Fair value of derivative instruments | \$20.5 | Fair value of derivative instruments | \$— | Fair value of derivative instruments | \$0.5 | Fair value of derivative instruments | \$— |
| Interest rate swap contracts, not designated | Fair value of derivative instruments | 0.5 | Fair value of derivative instruments | 1.4 | Fair value of derivative instruments | 1.1 | Fair value of derivative instruments | 34.6 |
| Foreign exchange contracts, not designated | Fair value of derivative instruments | 0.3 | Fair value of derivative instruments | 0.5 | Fair value of derivative instruments | — | Fair value of derivative instruments | — |
| Total derivatives | | \$21.3 | | \$1.9 | | \$1.6 | | \$34.6 |

Effect of Derivative Instruments on Consolidated Statements of Income and Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
(In Millions)

| Location of (Gain) Loss on Derivative | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: TAL International Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Instruments | | | | |
|--|--|---------|--------|---------|--------|
| Realized loss on interest rate swap agreements | Interest expense | \$2.9 | \$6.1 | \$6.0 | \$12.8 |
| Unrealized (gain) on interest rate swap agreements, designated as cash flow hedges | Other comprehensive income | (22.5) | — | (20.0) | — |
| Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps, not designated | Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps | (5.3) | 6.7 | (8.4) | 3.8 |
| Foreign exchange agreements, not designated | Administrative expenses | 0.2 | (0.1) | 0.2 | — |

14

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8—Segment and Geographic Information

Industry Segment Information

The Company conducts its business activities in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and has two reporting segments:

Equipment leasing—the Company owns, leases and ultimately disposes of containers and chassis from its lease fleet, as well as manages leasing activities for containers owned by third parties.

Equipment trading—the Company purchases containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resells these containers to container retailers and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment. Included in the Equipment trading segment revenues are leasing revenues from equipment purchased for resale that is currently on lease until the containers are dropped off.

The following tables show segment information for the periods indicated and the consolidated totals reported (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | 2012 | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|---|
| | 2013 | | | | | | |
| | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Trading | Totals | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Trading | Totals | |
| Total revenues | \$137,264 | \$34,698 | \$171,962 | \$126,771 | \$23,252 | \$150,023 | |
| Equipment trading expenses | — | 27,494 | 27,494 | — | 19,031 | 19,031 | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 49,373 | 459 | 49,832 | 46,202 | 967 | 47,169 | |
| Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment | (8,026 |) — | (8,026 |) (13,152 |) — | (13,152 |) |
| Interest and debt expense | 27,643 | 660 | 28,303 | 27,287 | 786 | 28,073 | |
| Income before income taxes(1) | 50,216 | 5,726 | 55,942 | 49,752 | 2,179 | 51,931 | |

Segment income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps of \$5.3 million and \$6.7 (1) million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 8—Segment and Geographic Information (Continued)

| | Six Months Ended | | | 2012 | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | June 30, 2013 | | | June 30, 2012 | | |
| | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Trading | Totals | Equipment Leasing | Equipment Trading | Totals |
| Total revenues | \$272,159 | \$55,878 | \$328,037 | \$248,576 | \$39,779 | \$288,355 |
| Equipment trading expenses | — | 43,105 | 43,105 | — | 31,594 | 31,594 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 97,954 | 1,195 | 99,149 | 90,372 | 2,002 | 92,374 |
| Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment | (18,287) | — | (18,287) | (23,912) | — | (23,912) |
| Interest and debt expense | 55,803 | 1,383 | 57,186 | 53,068 | 1,630 | 54,698 |
| Income before income taxes(1) | 101,218 | 9,465 | 110,683 | 95,921 | 4,008 | 99,929 |
| Equipment held for sale at June 30 | 24,308 | 14,754 | 39,062 | 14,460 | 17,284 | 31,744 |
| Goodwill at June 30 | 70,898 | 1,000 | 71,898 | 70,898 | 1,000 | 71,898 |
| Total assets at June 30 | 3,796,077 | 69,441 | 3,865,518 | 3,362,345 | 66,828 | 3,429,173 |
| Purchases of leasing equipment and investments in finance leases(2) | 361,110 | 11,466 | 372,576 | 393,951 | 2,055 | 396,006 |

Segment income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps of \$8.4 million and \$3.8 (1) million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Represents cash disbursements for purchases of leasing equipment and investments in finance lease as reflected in (2) the consolidated statements of cash flows for the periods indicated, but excludes cash flows associated with the purchase of equipment held for resale.

There are no intercompany revenues or expenses between segments. Additionally, certain administrative expenses have been allocated between segments based on an estimate of services provided to each segment. A portion of the Company's equipment purchased for resale was purchased through certain sale-leaseback transactions with our shipping line customers. Due to the expected longer term nature of these transactions, these purchases are reflected as leasing equipment as opposed to equipment held for sale and the cash flows associated with these transactions are and will be reflected as purchases of leasing equipment and proceeds from the sale of equipment in investing activities in the Company's consolidated statements of cash flows.

Geographic Segment Information

The Company earns its revenues from international containers which are deployed by its customers in a wide variety of global trade routes. Substantially all of the Company's leasing related revenue is denominated in U.S. dollars. The following table represents the geographic allocation of revenues for the periods indicated based on customers' primary domicile and allocates equipment trading revenue based on the location of sale (in thousands):

| Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| June 30, | | June 30, | |
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |

Total revenues:

| | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| United States of America | \$ 10,348 | \$ 13,581 | \$ 21,202 | \$ 23,390 |
| Asia | 81,796 | 65,621 | 150,180 | 125,269 |
| Europe | 68,649 | 62,240 | 136,594 | 123,031 |
| Other International | 11,169 | 8,581 | 20,061 | 16,665 |
| Total | \$ 171,962 | \$ 150,023 | \$ 328,037 | \$ 288,355 |

As all of the Company's containers are used internationally, where no one container is domiciled in one particular place for a prolonged period of time, substantially all of the Company's long-lived assets are considered to be international.

Table of Contents

TAL INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies

Residual Value Guarantees

During 2008, the Company entered into commitments for equipment residual value guarantees in connection with certain finance leases that were sold or brokered to financial institutions. The guarantees represent the Company's commitment that these assets will be worth a specified amount at the end of certain lease terms (if the lessee does not default on the lease) which expire in 2016. At June 30, 2013, the maximum potential amount of the guarantees under which the Company could be required to perform was approximately \$27.1 million. The carrying values of the guarantees of \$1.1 million have been deferred and are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses. Under the criteria established by ASC 820, the Company performed fair value measurements of the guarantees at origination using Level 2 inputs, which were based on significant other observable inputs other than quoted prices, either on a direct or indirect basis. The Company accounts for the residual value guarantees under Accounting Standards Codification 460, Guarantees. The Company expects that the market value of the equipment covered by the guarantees will equal or exceed the value of the guarantees and therefore, no contingent loss has been provided as of June 30, 2013.

Purchase Commitments

At June 30, 2013, commitments for capital expenditures totaled approximately \$92.4 million.

Note 10—Income Taxes

The consolidated income tax expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was determined based upon estimates of the Company's consolidated effective income tax rates for the year ending December 31, 2013 and the year ended December 31, 2012. The difference between the consolidated effective income tax rate and the U.S. federal statutory rate is primarily attributable to state income taxes, foreign income taxes and the effect of certain permanent differences.

Note 11—Subsequent Events

Quarterly Dividend

On July 23, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors approved and declared a \$0.68 per share quarterly cash dividend on its issued and outstanding common stock, payable on September 24, 2013 to shareholders of record at the close of business on September 3, 2013.

Debt

Asset Backed Warehouse Facility

In July 2013, the Company renewed its \$550 million asset backed warehouse facility. Under the renewed facility, the credit limit may be increased to \$650 million or greater under certain circumstances and the period in which funds are available on a revolving basis has been extended to July 2, 2015, after which if the facility is not refinanced, the notes will convert to term notes with a maturity date of July 2, 2019. The term notes amortize on a level basis over the four year term period to 60% of the outstanding balance.

The interest rate on the asset backed warehouse facility is LIBOR plus 1.95% during the two year revolving period and will increase to LIBOR plus 2.95% for the term period. The advance rate is increased to 81%. The facility contains customary affirmative and negative covenants, financial covenants, representations and warranties, and events of default, which are subject to various exceptions and qualifications. The proceeds will be used to finance the acquisition of equipment and for other general corporate purposes.

Asset Backed Securitization Term Notes

In July 2013, TAL Advantage I LLC, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Fourth Supplemental Indenture to the Amended and Restated Indenture dated April 12, 2006 to reduce the minimum Asset Base from \$400 million to \$50 million thereby continuing the scheduled amortization in accordance with the agreement at the current advance rate.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the consolidated financial condition and results of operations of TAL International Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with related consolidated financial data and our annual audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013. The statements in this discussion regarding industry outlook, our expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources and other non-historical statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described under "Risk Factors" and "Forward-Looking Statements" in our Form 10-K. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements.

Our Company

We are one of the world's largest and oldest lessors of intermodal containers and chassis. Intermodal containers are large, standardized steel boxes used to transport freight by ship, rail or truck. Because of the handling efficiencies they provide, intermodal containers are the primary means by which many goods and materials are shipped internationally. Chassis are used for the transportation of containers domestically.

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and have two business segments:

• **Equipment leasing**—we own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our lease fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties.

• **Equipment trading**—we purchase containers from shipping line customers, and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container retailers and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment.

Operations

Our consolidated operations include the acquisition, leasing, re-leasing and subsequent sale of multiple types of intermodal containers and chassis. As of June 30, 2013, our total fleet consisted of 1,238,403 containers and chassis, including 21,023 containers under management for third parties, representing 2,030,521 twenty-foot equivalent units (TEU). We have an extensive global presence, offering leasing services through 17 offices in 11 countries and approximately 230 third party container depot facilities in approximately 40 countries as of June 30, 2013. Our customers are among the largest shipping lines in the world. For the six months ended June 30, 2013, our twenty largest customers accounted for 81.4% of our leasing revenues, our five largest customers accounted for 50% of our leasing revenues, and our largest customer, CMA CGM, accounted for 16.7% of our leasing revenues.

Table of Contents

The following tables provide the composition of our equipment fleet as of the dates indicated (in units, TEUs and cost-equivalent units, or "CEU"):

| Equipment Fleet in Units | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------------|---------|-----------|---|
| | June 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | June 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | |
| Dry | 1,044,302 | 19,343 | 1,063,645 | 1,000,612 | 21,030 | 1,021,642 | 915,303 | 23,164 | 938,467 | |
| Refrigerated | 60,125 | 84 | 60,209 | 57,124 | 105 | 57,229 | 57,531 | 141 | 57,672 | |
| Special | 54,718 | 1,596 | 56,314 | 55,485 | 1,713 | 57,198 | 46,373 | 1,856 | 48,229 | |
| Tank | 7,004 | — | 7,004 | 6,608 | — | 6,608 | 6,388 | — | 6,388 | |
| Chassis | 13,377 | — | 13,377 | 13,146 | — | 13,146 | 12,968 | — | 12,968 | |
| Equipment leasing fleet | 1,179,526 | 21,023 | 1,200,549 | 1,132,975 | 22,848 | 1,155,823 | 1,038,563 | 25,161 | 1,063,724 | |
| Equipment trading fleet | 37,854 | — | 37,854 | 45,860 | — | 45,860 | 40,104 | — | 40,104 | |
| Total | 1,217,380 | 21,023 | 1,238,403 | 1,178,835 | 22,848 | 1,201,683 | 1,078,667 | 25,161 | 1,103,828 | |
| Percentage | 98.3 | % 1.7 | % 100.0 | % 98.1 | % 1.9 | % 100.0 | % 97.7 | % 2.3 | % 100.0 | % |
| Equipment Fleet in TEUs | | | | | | | | | | |
| | June 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | June 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | |
| Dry | 1,685,545 | 34,543 | 1,720,088 | 1,607,232 | 37,796 | 1,645,028 | 1,454,261 | 41,932 | 1,496,193 | |
| Refrigerated | 115,354 | 149 | 115,503 | 109,316 | 186 | 109,502 | 109,867 | 251 | 110,118 | |
| Special | 98,285 | 2,702 | 100,987 | 98,888 | 2,883 | 101,771 | 82,701 | 3,120 | 85,821 | |
| Tank | 7,004 | — | 7,004 | 6,608 | — | 6,608 | 6,388 | — | 6,388 | |
| Chassis | 23,826 | — | 23,826 | 23,432 | — | 23,432 | 23,116 | — | 23,116 | |
| Equipment leasing fleet | 1,930,014 | 37,394 | 1,967,408 | 1,845,476 | 40,865 | 1,886,341 | 1,676,333 | 45,303 | 1,721,636 | |
| Equipment trading fleet | 63,113 | — | 63,113 | 71,435 | — | 71,435 | 65,031 | — | 65,031 | |
| Total | 1,993,127 | 37,394 | 2,030,521 | 1,916,911 | 40,865 | 1,957,776 | 1,741,364 | 45,303 | 1,786,667 | |
| Percentage | 98.2 | % 1.8 | % 100.0 | % 97.9 | % 2.1 | % 100.0 | % 97.5 | % 2.5 | % 100.0 | % |
| Equipment Fleet in CEUs | | | | | | | | | | |
| | June 30, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | June 30, 2012 | | | |
| | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | Owned | Managed | Total | |
| Total | 2,480,808 | 33,765 | 2,514,573 | 2,367,636 | 36,880 | 2,404,516 | 2,201,779 | 40,940 | 2,242,719 | |
| Percentage | 98.7 | % 1.3 | % 100.0 | % 98.5 | % 1.5 | % 100.0 | % 98.2 | % 1.8 | % 100.0 | % |

In the equipment fleet tables above, we have included total fleet count information based on CEU. CEU is a ratio used to convert the actual number of containers in our fleet to a figure based on the relative purchase prices of our various equipment types to that of a 20 foot dry container. For example, the CEU ratio for a 40 foot standard height dry container is 1.6, and a 40 foot high cube refrigerated container is 10.0. The CEU ratios used in this calculation are from our debt agreements and may differ slightly from CEU ratios used by others in the industry.

We lease five types of equipment: (1) dry freight containers, which are used for general cargo such as manufactured component parts, consumer staples, electronics and apparel, (2) refrigerated containers, which are used for perishable items such as fresh and frozen foods, (3) special containers, which are used for heavy and over-sized cargo such as marble slabs, building products and machinery, (4) tank containers, which are used to transport bulk liquid products such as chemicals, and (5) chassis, which are used for the transportation of containers domestically. Our in-house equipment sales group manages the sale process for our used containers and chassis from our equipment leasing fleet and buys and sells used and new containers and chassis acquired from third parties.

Table of Contents

The percentage of our equipment fleet by equipment type as of June 30, 2013 and the percentage of our leasing revenues by equipment type for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

| Equipment Type | Percent of total fleet units | Percent of leasing revenues | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Dry | 85.9 | 64.6 | % | % |
| Refrigerated | 4.9 | 20.9 | | |
| Special | 4.5 | 7.3 | | |
| Tank | 0.5 | 3.1 | | |
| Chassis | 1.1 | 2.0 | | |
| Equipment leasing fleet | 96.9 | 97.9 | | |
| Equipment trading fleet | 3.1 | 2.1 | | |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | % | % |

We generally lease our equipment on a per diem basis to our customers under three types of leases: long-term leases, finance leases and service leases. Long-term leases, typically with initial contractual terms ranging from three to eight years, provide us with stable cash flow and low transaction costs by requiring customers to maintain specific units on-hire for the duration of the lease. Finance leases, which are typically structured as full payout leases, provide for a predictable recurring revenue stream with the lowest cost to the customer because customers are generally required to retain the equipment for the duration of its useful life. Service leases command a premium per diem rate in exchange for providing customers with a greater level of operational flexibility by allowing the pick-up and drop-off of units during the lease term. We also have expired long-term leases whose fixed terms have ended but for which the related units remain on-hire and for which we continue to receive rental payments pursuant to the terms of the initial contract. Some leases have contractual terms that have features reflective of both long-term and service leases and we classify such leases as either long-term or service leases, depending upon which features we believe are predominant.

The following table provides a summary of our equipment leasing fleet portfolio by lease type, based on CEUs as of the dates indicated below:

| Lease Portfolio | June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------|---|
| Long-term leases | 65.3 | 67.5 | 67.0 | % |
| Finance leases | 6.9 | 6.6 | 7.5 | |
| Service leases | 20.5 | 21.0 | 19.7 | |
| Expired long-term leases (units on-hire) | 7.3 | 4.9 | 5.8 | |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | % |

As of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, our long-term and finance leases combined had average remaining contract terms of approximately 43 months, 43 months, and 47 months, respectively, assuming no leases are renewed.

Table of Contents

Operating Performance

Our profitability is primarily determined by the extent to which our leasing and other revenues exceed our ownership, operating and administrative expenses. Our profitability is also impacted by the gains or losses that we realize on the sale of our used equipment and the net sales margins on our equipment trading activities.

Our leasing revenues are primarily driven by the size of our owned fleet, our equipment utilization and the average lease rates in our lease portfolio. Our leasing revenues also include ancillary fees driven by container pick-up and drop-off volumes. Leasing revenues for the second quarter of 2013 increased 9.1% from the second quarter of 2012. Owned fleet size. As of June 30, 2013, our owned fleet included 2,480,808 CEUs, an increase of 4.8% from December 31, 2012 and 12.7% from June 30, 2012. The increase in our fleet size over the second quarter of 2012 was primarily due to our purchases of new containers and the completion of several large sale-leaseback transactions during 2012 and first half of 2013.

Global containerized trade volumes are expected to be moderately positive in 2013 though below earlier expectations. Alphaliner is currently forecasting growth of 4.2% down from their January estimate of 5.5%. Global containerized trade volumes continue to be impacted by weak economic activity in major developed economies, and most of the growth in global trade volumes continues to be driven by growth in regional and north-south trades. While still positive, economic growth in many developing markets has been lower than expected this year, limiting the ability of regional trades to make up for the expected weak performance on the main Asia to Europe and Asia to North America trades. Leasing demand continues to benefit from our customers' increased reliance on leased containers, allowing us to grow our fleet more rapidly than the growth in global trade, since many of our customers remain cautious about purchasing large numbers of containers directly due to their weak operating results. However, the extra boost provided by an increased reliance on leasing is more limited in 2013 than it has been over the last few years as several of our customers have increased their direct purchases of containers this year to take advantage of lower new container prices. As of July 24, 2013, we have purchased over \$470 million of containers through new orders and sale-leaseback transactions, with approximately 70% (together with our beginning factory inventory as of January 1st) on hire or committed to lease. Our investment pace in new containers for the remainder of 2013 is expected to be slower in the second half of the year, since the timing of deliveries is now past the summer peak season. However, the timing and size of sale-leaseback transactions is difficult to predict.

Utilization. Our average utilization was 97.5% during the second quarter of 2013, down slightly from 97.8% in the second quarter of 2012. The global supply of containers continues to be fairly tight relative to cargo volumes and container demand, and we generally expect our utilization to remain at a high level while this situation lasts. Over time, we expect that our utilization will gradually moderate as the global supply and demand for containers returns to greater balance.

The following tables set forth our equipment fleet utilization(1) for the periods indicated below:

| | Quarter Ended | | | | | |
|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | |
| Average Utilization | 97.5 | % 97.7 | % 97.7 | % 97.7 | % 97.8 | % |
| | June 30, 2013 | March 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 | June 30, 2012 | |
| Ending Utilization | 97.5 | % 97.6 | % 97.9 | % 97.4 | % 97.6 | % |

(1)Utilization is computed by dividing our total units on lease (in CEUs) by the total units in our fleet (in CEUs) excluding new units not yet leased and off-hire units designated for sale.

Average lease rates. Average lease rates for our dry container product line decreased by 3.5% in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012. This decrease was mainly the result of the completion of several large sale-leaseback transactions for older dry containers in the second half of 2012. These older containers were purchased for prices well below the current cost of new containers, and the leaseback rates are substantially below our

current portfolio average. Excluding the effects of these sale-leaseback transactions, average lease rates on our dry container product line decreased by 0.8% in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. Lower new container prices and widespread availability of attractively priced financing for container leasing companies continue to pressure market lease rates, and market

Table of Contents

lease rates for dry containers are currently below our portfolio average. Because of this, we expect our average dry container lease rates to continue to decline in 2013 as new units are picked up on lease.

Average lease rates for refrigerated containers were 3.3% lower in 2013 compared to 2012. Our average lease rates for refrigerated containers were negatively impacted by the addition of new refrigerated containers placed on lease at rates lower than our portfolio average. The cost of the refrigeration machines included in refrigerated containers has trended down over the last few years, which has led to lower refrigerated container prices and lease rates. Lease rates for new refrigerated containers are also being negatively impacted by aggressive pricing from new entrants seeking to build market share and the widespread availability of attractively priced financing for container leasing companies.

The average lease rates for special containers were approximately 5.1% lower in 2013 compared to 2012 mainly due to a large sale-leaseback transaction for older special containers completed late in the fourth quarter of 2012. We purchased these older containers for prices well below the current cost of new containers, and the leaseback rate is substantially below our current portfolio average. Excluding the effects of this sale-leaseback transaction, average lease rates for our special containers increased by 2% in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the same period of 2012. This increase is mainly the result of the drop-off and sale of older special containers that were on leases with rates well below our portfolio average.

Equipment disposals. During the second quarter of 2013, we recognized a \$8.0 million gain on the sale of our used containers compared to a gain of \$13.2 million in the second quarter of 2012. In the second quarter of 2013, our gain on sale was negatively impacted by lower average sale prices and the higher cost of equipment sold.

Used container selling prices have decreased approximately 11% from the second quarter 2012, though they remain high compared to historical averages. We expect that used container sale prices will continue to trend down toward historical levels as the global supply and demand balance for containers continues to normalize. The average book value of containers sold in the second quarter of 2013 was higher than it was in the same period in 2012 since a larger portion of containers sold in the second quarter of 2013 were purchased through sale-leaseback transactions for values above the net book values of our typical sale age units.

Equipment ownership expenses. Our ownership expenses, which consist of depreciation and interest expense, increased by \$2.8 million or 3.7% in the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012. TAL purchased a large volume of new containers in 2012 and the first half of 2013, and the average net book value of our revenue earning assets increased by approximately 20% from the second quarter of 2012 to the second quarter of 2013.

Depreciation expense increased \$2.6 million or 5.5% in the second quarter 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012 mainly due to the net increase in the size of our depreciable fleet. Depreciation expense would have grown by \$7.6 million or approximately 16% from the second quarter of 2012 excluding the impact of the increase in residual value estimates made in the fourth quarter of 2012.

Interest expense increased \$0.2 million or 0.7% in the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2012. The increase from the second quarter of 2012 was due to an increase in our average outstanding debt, mostly offset by a decrease in our average effective interest rate. Our average debt balance increased mainly due to new equipment purchases. Our average effective interest rate decreased by 0.94% in the second quarter of 2013 as compared to the second quarter of 2013 mainly due to the issuance of new debt at interest rates lower than those on our existing debt facilities, and the termination of several interest rate swap agreements and the replacement of those terminated agreements with longer term interest rate swap agreements that have lower fixed interest rates. We use interest rate swap agreements to convert a portion of our floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis to match the duration of our interest rates to the duration of our lease portfolio.

Credit performance. We recorded a \$1.3 million provision for doubtful accounts during the second quarter of 2013 related to payment defaults and estimated recovery costs for several small regional shipping lines. While our credit losses represent a small percentage of our leasing revenue, our overall concern about credit risk remains heightened due to the difficult market conditions facing our shipping line customers. Most of the major shipping lines reported modest or negative profitability in 2012 and most shipping lines recorded losses in the first quarter of 2013. The freight rates our customers receive for moving cargo generally decreased from the first quarter of 2013 to the second, and we expect our customers' financial performance to deteriorate from the first quarter level. In addition, it is

anticipated that the volume of new vessels entering service over the next several years will cause the global container vessel fleet to grow at a higher rate than global containerized trade. As a result, we expect freight rates and our customers' financial performance to remain under pressure.

Operating expenses. Our direct operating expenses were \$6.2 million in the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$6.0 million in the second quarter of 2012. Our direct operating expenses increased during the second quarter of 2013 mainly due to \$0.8 million in higher storage costs resulting from slightly lower utilization and an increase in the number of containers held for sale, partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in repair expense as a result of lower refurbishment costs for certain equipment. The number of containers held for sale increased by 12,559 TEU, or 70.1%, from June 30, 2012 to June 30, 2013 due to higher

Table of Contents

drop-off volumes generated by sale-leaseback transactions concluded in 2012 and 2013 as well as the ongoing normalization of the supply and demand balance for used containers. Containers designated as held for sale are excluded from our calculation of utilization.

Our administrative expenses were \$10.6 million in the second quarter of 2013 compared to \$11.1 million in the second quarter of 2012. The limited change in our administrative expenses over the last several years highlights the leverage we have over our fixed costs. TAL has existing business relationships with essentially all of the world's major shipping lines, and our global operating infrastructure covers most of the world's major export and import locations. As a result, we have not needed to significantly grow our organization as we have rapidly grown our business. The ratio of our administrative expenses to our leasing revenues decreased from 14.5% in 2008 to 8.4% in 2012, and 7.6% in the second quarter of 2013.

Dividends

We paid the following quarterly dividends during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 on our issued and outstanding common stock:

| Record Date | Payment Date | Aggregate Payment | Per Share Payment |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| June 4, 2013 | June 25, 2013 | \$22.1 Million | \$0.66 |
| March 7, 2013 | March 28, 2013 | \$21.4 Million | \$0.64 |
| June 1, 2012 | June 22, 2012 | \$19.2 Million | \$0.58 |
| March 8, 2012 | March 29, 2012 | \$18.3 Million | \$0.55 |

Historically, most of our dividends have been treated as a non-taxable return of capital, and based on our current estimates we believe that our dividends paid in 2013 will also be treated as a non-taxable return of capital to TAL shareholders. The taxability of the dividends to TAL shareholders does not impact TAL's corporate tax position. Investors should consult with a tax adviser to determine the proper tax treatment of these distributions.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands of dollars):

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Leasing revenues | \$ 139,456 | \$ 127,855 | \$ 276,608 | \$ 251,034 |
| Equipment trading revenues | 31,781 | 21,308 | 50,067 | 35,769 |
| Management fee income | 601 | 820 | 1,220 | 1,480 |
| Other revenues | 124 | 40 | 142 | 72 |
| Total revenues | 171,962 | 150,023 | 328,037 | 288,355 |
| Operating expenses (income): | | | | |
| Equipment trading expenses | 27,494 | 19,031 | 43,105 | 31,594 |
| Direct operating expenses | 6,218 | 6,026 | 12,180 | 11,607 |
| Administrative expenses | 10,614 | 11,128 | 22,518 | 22,234 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 49,832 | 47,169 | 99,149 | 92,374 |
| Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts | 1,585 | (183) | 1,503 | (169) |
| Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment | (8,026) | (13,152) | (18,287) | (23,912) |
| Total operating expenses | 87,717 | 70,019 | 160,168 | 133,728 |
| Operating income | 84,245 | 80,004 | 167,869 | 154,627 |
| Other expenses: | | | | |
| Interest and debt expense | 28,303 | 28,073 | 57,186 | 54,698 |
| Write-off of deferred financing costs | 2,578 | — | 2,578 | — |
| Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps | (5,268) | 6,728 | (8,420) | 3,756 |
| Total other expenses | 25,613 | 34,801 | 51,344 | 58,454 |
| Income before income taxes | 58,632 | 45,203 | 116,525 | 96,173 |
| Income tax expense | 20,756 | 15,906 | 41,129 | 33,949 |
| Net income | \$ 37,876 | \$ 29,297 | \$ 75,396 | \$ 62,224 |

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Leasing revenues. The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Leasing revenues: | | |
| Operating lease revenues: | | |
| Per diem revenue | \$ 130,949 | \$ 118,764 |
| Fee and ancillary lease revenue | 5,355 | 5,539 |
| Total operating lease revenue | 136,304 | 124,303 |
| Finance lease revenue | 3,152 | 3,552 |
| Total leasing revenues | \$ 139,456 | \$ 127,855 |

Total leasing revenues were \$139.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$127.9 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$11.6 million, or 9.1%.

Table of Contents

Per diem revenue increased by \$12.2 million, or 10.3%, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2012. The primary reasons for this increase are as follows:

\$19.5 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects an increase in the average number of units on hire from 1,713,728 CEUs in the second quarter of 2012 to approximately 1,998,038 CEUs in the second quarter of 2013; partially offset by

\$3.9 million decrease due to lower average per diem rates primarily due to a large number of units placed on hire during 2012 on a sale-leaseback transaction. These older containers were purchased for prices well below the current cost of new containers, and therefore, the leaseback rate is substantially below our current portfolio average; and \$3.3 million decrease due to the recognition of revenue during the second quarter of 2012 for the early termination of certain lease contracts, which did not reoccur in 2013.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue decreased by \$0.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Although overall drop-off volumes increased in the second quarter of 2013, the average drop-off fee per unit decreased.

Finance lease revenue decreased by \$0.4 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio. The decreases in finance lease revenue and the average size of our finance lease portfolio were partially offset by units that went on-hire at the end of the second quarter of 2013 on a large finance lease.

Equipment Trading Activities. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Equipment trading revenues | \$31,781 | \$21,308 |
| Equipment trading expenses | (27,494) | (19,031) |
| Equipment trading margin | \$4,287 | \$2,277 |

The equipment trading margin increased \$2.0 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The trading margin increased \$1.1 million due to higher sales volumes and increased \$0.9 million due to higher per unit margins on equipment sold.

Direct operating expenses. Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$6.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$6.0 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$0.2 million primarily driven by a \$0.8 million increase in storage costs due to slightly lower utilization and an increase in the sales stack of 12,559 TEU, partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in repair expense as a result of lower refurbishment costs for certain equipment.

Administrative expenses. Administrative expenses were \$10.6 million in 2013 compared to \$11.1 million in 2012, a decrease of \$0.5 million or 4.5%, primarily due to lower incentive compensation in the second quarter of 2013, partially offset by increased foreign exchange losses on our Euro and GBP denominated assets and liabilities in the second quarter of 2013.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$49.8 million in the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$47.2 million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$2.6 million or 5.5%. Depreciation expense increased by \$9.5 million due to the net increase in the size of our depreciable fleet, partially offset by a decrease of \$1.9 million due to equipment becoming fully depreciated and a benefit of \$5.0 million, resulting from the change in residual value estimates effective October 1, 2012.

Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts. Our provision for doubtful accounts was \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2013, compared to a reversal of \$0.2 million in the second quarter of 2012. In the second quarter of 2013,

we recorded a \$1.3

25

Table of Contents

million provision for doubtful accounts related to payment defaults and estimated recovery costs for several small regional shipping lines.

Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment. Gain on sale of equipment was \$8.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$13.2 million in the same period in 2012, a decrease of \$5.2 million. The primary reasons for this decrease are as follows:

\$2.4 million decrease due to lower selling prices;

\$2.2 million decrease due to the higher cost of equipment sold. The average book value of containers sold in the second quarter of 2013 was higher than it was in the same period in 2012 since a larger portion of containers sold in the second quarter of 2013 were purchased through sale-leaseback transactions for values above the net book values of our typical sale age units; and

\$0.6 million decrease due to lower selling volumes.

Interest and debt expense. Interest and debt expense was \$28.3 million in the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$28.1 million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$0.2 million. Interest and debt expense increased by \$6.7 million due to a higher average debt balance of \$2,755.9 million in the second quarter of 2013, compared to \$2,222.9 million in the second quarter of 2012. This was mostly offset by a \$6.5 million decrease due to a lower effective interest rate of 4.06% in the second quarter of 2013 compared to 5.00% in the second quarter of 2012.

Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps. Net gain on interest rate swaps was \$5.3 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a net loss of \$6.7 million in the same period in 2012. The fair value of our interest rate swap agreements increased during the second quarter of 2013 due to an increase in long term interest rates. Under the majority of our interest rate swap agreements, we make interest payments based on fixed interest rates and receive payments based on the applicable prevailing variable interest rate. As long term interest rates increased during the second quarter of 2013, the current market rate on interest rate swap agreements with similar terms increased relative to our existing interest rate swap agreements, which caused the fair value of our existing interest rate swap agreements to increase during the quarter.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense was \$20.8 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$15.9 million in the same period in 2012. The effective tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were 35.4% and 35.2%, respectively.

While we record income tax expense, we do not currently pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

Leasing revenues. The principal components of our leasing revenues are presented in the following table. Per diem revenue represents revenue earned under operating lease contracts; fee and ancillary lease revenue represent fees billed for the pick-up and drop-off of containers in certain geographic locations and billings of certain reimbursable operating costs such as repair and handling expenses; and finance lease revenue represents interest income earned under finance lease contracts.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Leasing revenues: | | |
| Operating lease revenues: | | |
| Per diem revenue | \$259,666 | \$231,820 |
| Fee and ancillary lease revenue | 10,692 | 11,964 |
| Total operating lease revenue | 270,358 | 243,784 |
| Finance lease revenue | 6,250 | 7,250 |
| Total leasing revenues | \$276,608 | \$251,034 |

Total leasing revenues were \$276.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$251.0 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$25.6 million, or 10.2%.

Table of Contents

Per diem revenue increased by \$27.8 million, or 12.0%, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2012. The primary reasons for this increase are as follows:

\$39.7 million increase due to an increase in the average number of units on hire. This reflects an increase in the average number of units on hire from 1,680,247 CEUs in the six months ended June 30, 2012 to 1,981,844 CEUs in the same period of 2013; partially offset by

- \$8.3 million decrease due to lower average per diem rates primarily due to a large number of units placed on hire in 2012 on a sale-leaseback transaction. These older containers were purchased for prices well below the current cost of new containers, and therefore, the leaseback rate is substantially below our current portfolio average; and

- \$3.3 million decrease due to the recognition of revenue during the second quarter of 2012 for the early termination of certain lease contracts, which did not reoccur in 2013.

Fee and ancillary lease revenue decreased by \$1.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Although overall drop-off volumes increased in the first half of 2013, the average drop-off fee per unit decreased.

Finance lease revenue decreased by \$1.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to a decrease in the average size of our finance lease portfolio. The decreases in finance lease revenue and the average size of our finance lease portfolio were partially offset by units that went on-hire at the end of the second quarter of 2013 on a large finance lease.

Equipment Trading Activities. Equipment trading revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of equipment purchased for resale. Equipment trading expenses represent the cost of equipment sold, including costs associated with the acquisition, maintenance and selling of trading inventory, such as positioning, repairs, handling and storage costs, and estimated direct selling and administrative costs.

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (in thousands) | |
| Equipment trading revenues | \$50,067 | \$35,769 |
| Equipment trading expenses | (43,105) | (31,594) |
| Equipment trading margin | \$6,962 | \$4,175 |

The equipment trading margin increased \$2.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The trading margin increased \$1.8 million due to higher sales volumes and increased \$1.0 million due to higher per unit margins on equipment sold

Direct operating expenses. Direct operating expenses primarily consist of our costs to repair equipment returned off lease, to store the equipment when it is not on lease and to reposition equipment that has been returned to locations with weak leasing demand.

Direct operating expenses were \$12.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$11.6 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$0.6 million primarily driven by a \$1.4 million increase in storage costs due to an increase in the number of idle units, partially offset by a \$0.6 million decrease in repair expense as a result of lower refurbishment costs for certain equipment.

Administrative expenses. Administrative expenses were \$22.5 million in 2013 compared to \$22.2 million in 2012, an increase of \$0.3 million or 1.4%, primarily due to increased foreign exchange losses on our Euro and GBP denominated assets and liabilities.

Depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization was \$99.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$92.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of \$6.7 million or 7.3%.

Depreciation expense increased by \$19.2 million due to the net increase in the size of our depreciable fleet, partially offset by a decrease of \$2.6 million due to equipment becoming fully depreciated and a benefit of \$9.9 million, resulting from the change in residual value estimates effective October 1, 2012.

Provision (reversal) for doubtful accounts. Our provision for doubtful accounts was \$1.5 million in six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a reversal of \$0.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012. In the second

quarter of 2013, we

27

Table of Contents

recorded a \$1.3 million provision for doubtful accounts related to payment defaults and estimated recovery costs for a few small regional shipping lines.

Net (gain) on sale of leasing equipment. Gain on sale of equipment was \$18.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$23.9 million in the same period in the six months ended June 30, 2012, a decrease of \$5.6 million. The primary reasons for this decrease are as follows:

\$4.9 million decrease due to lower selling prices;

- \$2.7 million decrease due to the higher cost of equipment sold. The average book value of containers sold in 2013 was higher than it was in the same period in 2012 since a larger portion of containers sold in 2013 were purchased through sale-leaseback transactions for values above the net book values of our typical sale age units; partially offset by

\$1.8 million increase due to larger gains resulting from payments by customers for lost units. In the first quarter of 2013, one of our customers declared an unusually large number of units as lost; and

\$0.2 million increase due to higher disposal volumes.

Interest and debt expense. Interest and debt expense was \$57.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$54.7 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of \$2.5 million. Interest and debt expense increased by \$12.8 million due to a higher average debt balance of \$2,708.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$2,196.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012. This was mostly offset by a \$10.3 million decrease due to a lower effective interest rate of 4.20% in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 4.93% for the same period in 2012.

Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps Net gain on interest rate swaps was \$8.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to a loss of \$3.8 million in the same period in 2012. The fair value of our interest rate swap agreements increased during the six months ended June 30, 2013 due to an increase in long term interest rates. Under the majority of our interest rate swap agreements, we make interest payments based on fixed interest rates and receive payments based on the applicable prevailing variable interest rate. As long term interest rates increased during 2013, the current market rate on interest rate swap agreements with similar terms increased relative to our existing interest rate swap agreements, which caused the fair value of our existing interest rate swap agreements to increase.

Income tax expense. Income tax expense was \$41.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$33.9 million in the same period in 2012. The effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were 35.3%.

While we record income tax expense, we do not currently pay any significant federal, state or foreign income taxes due to the availability of net operating loss carryovers and accelerated tax depreciation for our equipment. The majority of the expense recorded for income taxes is recorded as a deferred tax liability on the balance sheet. We anticipate that the deferred income tax liability will continue to grow for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

Business Segments

We operate our business in one industry, intermodal transportation equipment, and in two business segments, Equipment leasing and Equipment trading.

Equipment leasing

We own, lease and ultimately dispose of containers and chassis from our leasing fleet, as well as manage containers owned by third parties. Equipment leasing segment revenues represent leasing revenues from operating and finance leases, fees earned on managed container leasing activities, as well as other revenues. Expenses related to equipment leasing include direct operating expenses, administrative expenses, depreciation expense and interest expense. The Equipment leasing segment also includes gains and losses on the sale of owned leasing equipment.

Equipment trading

We purchase containers from shipping line customers and other sellers of containers, and resell these containers to container retailers and users of containers for storage or one-way shipment. Equipment trading segment revenues represent the proceeds on the sale of containers purchased for resale. Expenses related to equipment trading include the cost of containers purchased for resale that were sold and related selling costs, as well as direct operating expenses, administrative expenses and interest expense.

Segment income before income taxes

The following table lists the income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing and Equipment trading segments for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|---|--|
| | June 30, 2013 | 2012 | % Change | June 30, 2013 | 2,012 | % Change | | |
| Income before income taxes(1) | | | | | | | | |
| Equipment leasing segment | \$50,216 | \$49,752 | 0.9 | % \$101,218 | \$95,921 | 5.5 | % | |
| Equipment trading segment | \$5,726 | \$2,179 | 162.8 | % \$9,465 | \$4,008 | 136.2 | % | |

Income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps of \$5.3 million and \$6.7 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$2.6 (1) million in the three months ended June 30, 2013. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, income before income taxes excludes net gains and losses on interest rate swaps of \$8.4 million and \$3.8 million, respectively, and the write-off of deferred financing costs of \$2.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Equipment leasing income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$50.2 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$49.8 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$0.4 million. Leasing revenue increased by \$10.6 million mainly due to continued growth in our container fleet, and this was partially offset by a \$3.5 million increase in ownership costs (depreciation and interest expense) due to the growth in our container fleet, a \$5.2 million decrease in used container disposal gains, and a \$1.3 million provision for doubtful accounts we recorded in the second quarter of 2013 related to payment defaults and estimated recovery costs for several small regional shipping lines.

Income before income taxes for the Equipment leasing segment was \$101.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$95.9 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$5.3 million. Leasing revenue increased by \$23.8 million mainly due to continued growth in our container fleet, and this was partially offset by a \$10.4 million increase in ownership costs (depreciation and interest expense) due to the growth in our container fleet, a \$5.6 million decrease in used container disposal gains, and a \$1.3 million provision for doubtful accounts we recorded in the second quarter of 2013 related to payment defaults and estimated recovery costs for several small regional shipping lines.

Equipment trading income before income taxes. Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$5.7 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$2.2 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$3.5 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the equipment trading margin resulting

primarily from higher sales volumes and higher per unit margins on equipment sold.

29

Table of Contents

Income before income taxes for the Equipment trading segment was \$9.5 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$4.0 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$5.5 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in the equipment trading margin resulting primarily from higher sales volumes and higher per unit margins on equipment sold.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal sources of liquidity are cash flows provided by operating activities, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on finance lease receivables and borrowings under our credit facilities. Our cash in-flows and borrowings are used to finance capital expenditures, meet debt service requirements and pay dividends. We continue to have sizable cash in-flows. For the twelve months ended June 30, 2013, cash provided by operating activities, together with the proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment and principal payments on our finance leases, was \$480.4 million. In addition, as of June 30, 2013 we had \$68.3 million of unrestricted cash and \$533.0 million of additional borrowing capacity under our current credit facilities. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we issued \$272 million of fixed rate secured term notes under the ABS facilities. We also increased the current maximum borrowing level under the revolving credit facilities from \$100 million to \$550 million.

As of June 30, 2013, major committed cash outflows in the next 12 months include \$364.0 million of scheduled principal payments on our existing debt facilities and \$131.6 million of committed but unpaid capital expenditures. We believe that cash provided by operating activities and existing cash, proceeds from the sale of our leasing equipment, principal payments on our finance lease receivables and availability under our borrowing facilities will be sufficient to meet our obligations over the next 12 months.

At June 30, 2013, our outstanding indebtedness was comprised of the following (amounts in millions):

| | Current Amount Outstanding | Current Maximum Borrowing Level |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Asset backed securitization (ABS) term notes | \$1,297.1 | \$1,297.1 |
| Term loan facilities | 804.6 | 804.6 |
| Asset backed warehouse facility | 52.0 | 550.0 |
| Revolving credit facilities | 515.0 | 550.0 |
| Capital lease obligations | 121.3 | 121.3 |
| Total Debt | \$2,790.0 | \$3,323.0 |

The maximum commitment levels depicted in the chart above may not reflect the actual availability under all of the credit facilities. Certain of these facilities are governed by borrowing bases that limit borrowing capacity to an established percentage of relevant assets.

As of June 30, 2013, we had \$1,270.9 million of debt outstanding on facilities with fixed interest rates and \$1,519.1 million of debt outstanding on facilities with interest rates based on floating rate indices (primarily LIBOR). We economically hedge the risks associated with fluctuations in interest rates on our floating rate borrowings by entering into interest rate swap agreements that convert a portion of our floating rate debt to a fixed rate basis, thus reducing the impact of interest rate changes on future interest expense. As of June 30, 2013, we had interest rate swaps in place with a net notional amount of \$927.9 million to fix the floating interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt obligations.

Debt Covenants

We are subject to certain financial covenants under our debt agreements. As of June 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all such covenants. Below are the primary financial covenants to which we are subject:

- ♣Minimum Earnings Before Interest and Taxes ("Covenant EBIT") to Cash Interest Expense;
- ♣Minimum Tangible Net Worth ("TNW"); and
- ♣Maximum Indebtedness to TNW.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Measures

We primarily rely on our results measured in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") in evaluating our business. Covenant EBIT, Cash Interest Expense, TNW, and Indebtedness are non-GAAP financial measures defined in our debt agreements that are used to determine our compliance with certain covenants contained in our debt agreements and should not be used as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. However, we believe that the inclusion of this non-GAAP information provides additional information to investors regarding our debt covenant compliance.

Minimum Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense

For the purpose of this covenant, Covenant EBIT is calculated based on the cumulative sum of our earnings for the last four quarters (excluding income taxes, interest expense, amortization, net gain or loss on interest rate swaps and certain non-cash charges). Cash Interest Expense is calculated based on interest expense adjusted to exclude interest income, amortization of deferred financing costs, and the difference between current and prior period interest expense accruals.

Minimum Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense is calculated on a consolidated basis and for certain of our wholly-owned special purpose entities ("SPEs"), whose primary activity is to issue asset backed notes. Covenant EBIT for each of our SPEs is calculated based on the net earnings generated by the assets pledged as collateral for the underlying debt issued. The actual Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio for each SPE may differ depending on the specific net earnings associated with those pledged assets. As of June 30, 2013, the minimum and actual consolidated Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio and Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense ratio for each of the issuers of our debt facilities whose initial borrowing capacity was approximately \$200 million or greater were as follows:

| Entity/Issuer | Minimum Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense Ratio | Actual Covenant EBIT to Cash Interest Expense Ratio |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Consolidated | 1.10 | 2.51 |
| TAL Advantage I, LLC | 1.10 | 3.26 |
| TAL Advantage II, LLC | 1.10 | 1.94 |
| TAL Advantage III, LLC | 1.30 | 2.25 |
| TAL Advantage IV, LLC | 1.10 | 2.17 |
| TAL Advantage V, LLC | 1.10 | * |

* This covenant applies commencing with the quarter ending December 31, 2013.

Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW Covenants

We are required to meet consolidated Minimum TNW and Maximum Indebtedness to TNW covenants. For the purpose of calculating these covenants, all amounts are based on the consolidated balance sheet of TAL International Group, Inc. TNW is calculated as total tangible assets less total indebtedness, which includes equipment purchases payable and, in certain cases, the fair value of derivative instruments liability.

Table of Contents

For the majority of our debt facilities, the Minimum TNW is calculated as \$321.4 million plus 50% of cumulative net income or loss since January 1, 2006, which as of June 30, 2013 was \$602.0 million. For our revolving credit facility having a maximum borrowing capacity of \$450 million, the Minimum TNW is \$450 million. As of June 30, 2013, the actual TNW for each of our SPEs and for the \$450 million revolving credit facility was \$909.4 million. As of June 30, 2013, the maximum and actual Indebtedness to TNW ratios for each of our debt facilities whose initial borrowing capacity was approximately \$200 million or greater was as follows:

| Entity/Issuer | Maximum Indebtedness to TNW Ratio | Actual Indebtedness to TNW Ratio |
|------------------------|--|---|
| Consolidated | 4.75 | 3.12 |
| TAL Advantage I, LLC | 4.75 | 3.12 |
| TAL Advantage II, LLC | 4.75 | 3.12 |
| TAL Advantage III, LLC | 4.75 | 3.12 |
| TAL Advantage IV, LLC | 4.75 | 3.12 |
| TAL Advantage V, LLC | 4.75 | 3.12 |

As of June 30, 2013, our outstanding debt on facilities whose initial borrowing capacity was approximately \$200 million or greater was approximately \$1,886 million.

Failure to comply with these covenants could result in a default under the related credit agreements and/or could result in the acceleration of our outstanding debt if we were unable to obtain a waiver from the creditors.

Cash Flow

The following table sets forth certain cash flow information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 142,224 | \$ 150,388 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of leasing equipment and investments in finance leases | \$(372,576) | \$(396,006) |
| Proceeds from sale of equipment, net of selling costs | 71,983 | 64,728 |
| Cash collections on finance lease receivables, net of income earned | 19,446 | 17,037 |
| Other | (268) | 91 |
| Net cash (used in) investing activities | \$(281,415) | \$(314,150) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | \$ 141,641 | \$ 79,193 |

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$8.2 million to \$142.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$150.4 million in the same period in 2012 primarily due to a \$13.4 million increase in accounts receivable related to equipment sales during the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the timing of cash collections. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2013 we had net purchases of trading equipment of \$6.8 million compared to net sales of \$4.2 million in the same period in 2012, and payments of \$24.2 million for the termination of certain interest rate swap agreements compared to payments of \$13.6 million in the same period in 2012, which collectively resulted in a decrease in net cash provided by operating activities of \$21.6 million. Partially offsetting these decreases was a \$27.0 million increase in earnings excluding depreciation and income taxes in the six months ended June 30, 2013.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities decreased by \$32.8 million to \$281.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$314.2 million in the same period in 2012. This decrease was primarily due to a net decrease in purchases of leasing equipment and investments in finance lease, and higher proceeds from the sale of equipment due to higher disposal volumes.

Table of Contents

Financing Activities

In the six months ended June 30, 2013, we had net cash provided by financing activities of \$141.6 million, compared to net cash provided by financing activities of \$79.2 million in the same period in 2012, an increase of \$62.4 million. This increase was primarily due to an increase in our net borrowings under our various debt facilities of \$59.4 million for purchases of equipment.

Contractual Obligations

We are party to various operating and capital leases and are obligated to make payments related to our long term borrowings. We are also obligated under various commercial commitments, including obligations to our equipment manufacturers. Our equipment manufacturer obligations are in the form of conventional accounts payable, and are satisfied by cash flows from operations and long term financing activities.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of June 30, 2013:

| Contractual Obligations: | Contractual Obligations by Period | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|------------------------|
| | Total | Remaining 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 and thereafter |
| | (dollars in millions) | | | | | |
| Total debt obligations(1) | \$3,078.1 | \$232.9 | \$432.8 | \$404.1 | \$418.6 | \$1,589.7 |
| Capital lease obligations(2) | 136.1 | 5.8 | 24.0 | 39.7 | 29.9 | 36.7 |
| Operating leases (mainly facilities) | 7.9 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 3.6 |
| Purchase obligations: | | | | | | |
| Equipment purchases payable | 39.2 | 39.2 | — | — | — | — |
| Equipment purchase commitments | 92.4 | 81.6 | 10.8 | — | — | — |
| Total contractual obligations | \$3,353.7 | \$360.4 | \$469.1 | \$444.8 | \$449.4 | \$1,630.0 |

(1) Amounts include actual and estimated interest for floating rate debt based on June 30, 2013 rates and the net effect of our interest rate swaps.

(2) Amounts include interest.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2013, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such entities which are often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which were established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements. We are, therefore, not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Our estimates are based on historical experience and currently available information. Actual results could differ from such estimates. Our critical accounting policies are discussed in our 2012 Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 20, 2013.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk represents the risk of changes in value of a financial instrument, derivative or non-derivative, caused by fluctuations in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity prices. Changes in these factors could cause fluctuations in the results of our operations and cash flows. In the ordinary course of business, we are exposed to interest rate and foreign currency exchange rate risks.

Interest Rate Risk

We enter into interest rate swap agreements to fix the interest rates on a portion of our floating rate debt. We assess and manage the external and internal risk associated with these derivative instruments in accordance with our overall operating goals. External risk is defined as those risks outside of our direct control, including counterparty credit risk, liquidity risk, systemic risk and legal risk. Internal risk relates to those operational risks within the management oversight structure and includes actions taken in contravention of our policy.

The primary external risk of our interest rate swap agreements is counterparty credit exposure, which is defined as the ability of a counterparty to perform its financial obligations under a derivative agreement. All of our derivative agreements are with highly rated financial institutions. Credit exposures are measured based on the market value of outstanding derivative instruments. Both current and potential exposures are calculated for each derivative agreement to monitor counterparty credit exposure.

As of June 30, 2013, we had net interest rate swap agreements in place to fix interest rates on a portion of our borrowings under debt facilities with floating interest rates as summarized below:

| Net Notional Amount | Weighted Average Fixed (Pay) Leg Interest Rate | Weighted Average Remaining Term |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| \$927.9 Million | 1.44% | 6.3 years |

During the six months ended June 30, 2013, we designated certain interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges at their inception. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, we recognized \$22.5 million and \$20.0 million in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to changes in the fair value of the designated agreements.

Prior to March 2013, we typically did not apply hedge accounting for our interest rate swap agreements. Changes in the fair value of non-designated interest rate swap agreements are recognized in the consolidated statements of income as net gains or losses on interest rate swaps. We recognized net gains and losses on interest rate swaps in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 as follows (amounts in millions):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------|---------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net (gain) loss on interest rate swaps | \$(5.3) | \$6.7 | \$(8.4) | \$3.8 |

Since 61% of our floating rate debt is hedged using interest rate swaps, our interest expense is not significantly affected by changes in interest rates. However, a 100 basis point increase in the interest rates on our floating rate debt (primarily LIBOR) would result in an increase of approximately \$4.9 million in interest expense over the next 12 months.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Although we have significant foreign based operations, the U.S. dollar is the operating currency for the large majority of our leases and obligations, and most of our revenues and expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 were denominated in U.S. dollars. However, we pay our non-U.S. staff in local currencies, and certain of our direct operating expenses and disposal transactions for our older containers are structured in foreign currencies.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recorded net foreign currency exchange losses of \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, and net foreign currency exchange losses of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These losses resulted primarily from fluctuations in exchange rates related to our Euro and Pound Sterling transactions and related assets and liabilities.

In April 2008, we entered into foreign currency rate swap agreements to exchange Euros for U.S. dollars based on expected payments under our Euro denominated finance lease receivables. The foreign currency rate swap agreements

expire in

34

Table of Contents

April 2015. The fair value of these derivative agreements was \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2013, and is reported as an asset in fair value of derivative instruments on our consolidated balance sheet.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Based upon the required evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our President and Chief Executive Officer and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer concluded that as of June 30, 2013 our disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that information was gathered, analyzed and disclosed on a timely basis.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2013, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

From time to time, we are a party to litigation matters arising in connection with the normal course of our business. While we cannot predict the outcome of these matters, in the opinion of our management, based on information presently available to us, we believe that we have adequate legal defenses, reserves or insurance coverage and any liability arising from these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our business. Nevertheless, unexpected adverse future events, such as an unforeseen development in our existing proceedings, a significant increase in the number of new cases or changes in our current insurance arrangements could result in liabilities that have a material adverse impact on our business.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

For a detailed discussion of our risk factors, refer to our 2012 Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 20, 2013.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

On March 13, 2006, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program for the repurchase of our common stock. The stock repurchase program, as amended, authorizes us to repurchase up to 4.0 million shares of our common stock. There were no repurchases of stock during the three months ended June 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 4.62* | Amendment No. 1 dated May 3, 2013 to the Series 2010-1 Supplement dated June 28, 2010 by and between TAL Advantage IV, LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Indenture Trustee |
| 4.63* | Omnibus Amendment No. 1 dated July 2, 2013 to the Series 2009-1 Supplement and Series 2009-1 Note Purchase Agreement by and between TAL Advantage III LLC and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, and the other Noteholders from time to time party thereto and the other financial institutions from time to time party thereto |
| 4.64* | Fourth Supplemental Indenture between TAL Advantage I LLC and U.S. Bank National Association dated July 5, 2013 to the Amended and Restated Indenture dated as of April 12, 2006 |
| 31.1* | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended |
| 31.2* | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended |
| 32.1** | Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 32.2** | Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 |
| 101.INS*** | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH*** | XBRL Instance Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.DEF*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |
| 101.LAB*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE*** | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

*** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TAL International Group, Inc.

July 30, 2013

By: /s/ JOHN BURNS
John Burns
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal
Financial Officer)

37