

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

Form 10-Q

November 03, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006
OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number: 1-12110
CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

TEXAS
*(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)*

76-6088377
*(I.R.S. Employer Identification
Number)*

3 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1300, Houston, Texas 77046
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)
(713) 354-2500
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)
N/A

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, If Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

As of October 31, 2006, there were 56,425,854 shares of Common Shares of Beneficial Interest, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
Table of Contents

| | | Page |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> | |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 7 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 43 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 44 |
| <u>PART II</u> | <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 1A</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 2</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 3</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 4</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 5</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 44 |
| <u>Item 6</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 45 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | | 46 |
| | <u>Exhibit 31.1</u> | |
| | <u>Exhibit 31.2</u> | |
| | <u>Exhibit 32.1</u> | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

**CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

| | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate assets, at cost | | |
| Land | \$ 683,645 | \$ 646,854 |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,988,031 | 3,840,969 |
| | 4,671,676 | 4,487,823 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (725,790) | (716,650) |
| Net operating real estate assets | 3,945,886 | 3,771,173 |
| Properties under development, including land | 351,246 | 372,976 |
| Investments in joint ventures | 8,266 | 6,096 |
| Properties held for sale, including land | 45,074 | 172,112 |
| Total real estate assets | 4,350,472 | 4,322,357 |
| Accounts receivable affiliates | 33,624 | 34,084 |
| Notes receivable | | |
| Affiliates | 31,037 | 11,916 |
| Other | 3,855 | 13,261 |
| Other assets, net | 112,801 | 99,516 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 8,061 | 1,576 |
| Restricted cash | 5,541 | 5,089 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,545,391 | \$ 4,487,799 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | | |
| Unsecured | \$ 1,693,106 | \$ 2,007,164 |
| Secured | 587,347 | 625,927 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 120,566 | 108,979 |
| Accrued real estate taxes | 41,165 | 26,070 |
| Other liabilities | 101,332 | 88,811 |
| Distributions payable | 43,056 | 38,922 |
| Total liabilities | 2,586,572 | 2,895,873 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Minority interests | | |
| Perpetual preferred units | 97,925 | 97,925 |
| Common units | 116,776 | 112,637 |
| Other minority interests | 10,002 | 10,461 |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Total minority interests | 224,703 | 221,023 |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Common shares of beneficial interest | 650 | 608 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 2,176,170 | 1,902,595 |
| Distributions in excess of net income | (206,442) | (295,074) |
| Employee notes receivable | (2,047) | (2,078) |
| Treasury shares, at cost | (234,215) | (235,148) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,734,116 | 1,370,903 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 4,545,391 | \$ 4,487,799 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Property revenues | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 139,354 | \$ 125,125 | \$ 408,581 | \$ 348,250 |
| Other property revenues | 15,202 | 11,507 | 41,172 | 31,612 |
| Total property revenues | 154,556 | 136,632 | 449,753 | 379,862 |
| Property expenses | | | | |
| Property operating and maintenance | 45,806 | 38,697 | 124,089 | 105,960 |
| Real estate taxes | 16,345 | 14,870 | 48,845 | 42,512 |
| Total property expenses | 62,151 | 53,567 | 172,934 | 148,472 |
| Non-property income | | | | |
| Fee and asset management | 5,433 | 1,789 | 11,030 | 10,929 |
| Sale of technology investments | 1,602 | | 1,602 | 24,199 |
| Interest and other income | 1,733 | 1,913 | 6,097 | 6,401 |
| Income on deferred compensation plans | 1,927 | 3,209 | 4,308 | 5,327 |
| Total non-property income | 10,695 | 6,911 | 23,037 | 46,856 |
| Other expenses | | | | |
| Property management | 4,629 | 4,208 | 13,821 | 11,350 |
| Fee and asset management | 3,689 | 2,008 | 8,293 | 4,999 |
| General and administrative | 9,849 | 6,183 | 25,299 | 18,017 |
| Transaction compensation and merger expenses | | | | 14,085 |
| Interest | 29,176 | 29,331 | 91,592 | 81,416 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 40,399 | 44,030 | 117,945 | 119,117 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 941 | 855 | 2,897 | 2,872 |
| Expense on deferred compensation plans | 1,927 | 3,209 | 4,308 | 5,327 |
| Total other expenses | 90,610 | 89,824 | 264,155 | 257,183 |
| Income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties, equity in income (loss) of joint ventures and minority interests | | | | |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | 12,490 | 152 | 35,701 | 21,063 |
| Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures | 96,247 | | 97,556 | 132,117 |
| Minority interests | 1,628 | (1,827) | 4,514 | (1,472) |
| Distributions on perpetual preferred units | (1,750) | (1,750) | (5,250) | (5,278) |
| Original issuance costs on redeemed perpetual preferred units | | | | (365) |
| Income allocated to common units and other minority interests | (12,413) | (261) | (14,750) | (1,756) |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 96,202 | (3,686) | 117,771 | 144,309 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 665 | 1,481 | 4,998 | 6,118 |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | 29,350 | | 80,394 | 36,115 |
| Income from discontinued operations allocated to common units | (760) | (112) | (1,681) | (343) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 125,457 | \$ (2,317) | \$ 201,482 | \$ 186,199 |
| Earnings per share basic | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 1.65 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 2.10 | \$ 2.81 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale | 0.50 | 0.03 | 1.49 | 0.82 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 2.15 | \$ (0.04) | \$ 3.59 | \$ 3.63 |
| Earnings per share diluted | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 1.58 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 2.03 | \$ 2.63 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale | 0.49 | 0.02 | 1.43 | 0.75 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 2.07 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 3.46 | \$ 3.38 |
| Distributions declared per common share | \$ 0.66 | \$ 0.635 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 1.905 |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 58,348 | 54,018 | 56,063 | 51,294 |
| Weighted average number of common and common dilutive equivalent shares outstanding | 61,250 | 55,671 | 58,904 | 55,494 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 201,482 | \$ 186,199 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations | 119,295 | 124,504 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 2,897 | 2,872 |
| Equity in (income) loss of joint ventures | (4,514) | 1,472 |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | (97,556) | (132,117) |
| Gain on sale of discontinued operations | (80,394) | (36,115) |
| Gain on sale of technology investments | (1,602) | (24,199) |
| Original issuance costs on redeemed perpetual preferred units | | 365 |
| Income allocated to common units and other minority interests, including discontinued operations | 16,431 | 2,099 |
| Accretion of discount on unsecured notes payable | 541 | 498 |
| Amortization of share-based compensation | 5,966 | 8,518 |
| Interest on employee notes receivable | (81) | (70) |
| Net change in operating accounts | 21,489 | 29,496 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 183,954 | 163,522 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Increase in real estate assets | (365,222) | (201,518) |
| Net proceeds from sales of properties, including land and discontinued operations | 150,958 | 127,027 |
| Proceeds from the sale of technology investments | 1,602 | 24,606 |
| Net proceeds from partial sales of assets to joint ventures | 222,791 | 316,796 |
| Distributions from joint ventures | 36,545 | 68,975 |
| Investments in joint ventures | (5,490) | (1,110) |
| Payments received on notes receivable other | 9,406 | 19,694 |
| Summit cash at merger date | | 16,696 |
| Cash consideration paid for Summit | | (458,050) |
| Payment of merger related liabilities | (5,724) | (50,765) |
| Change in restricted cash | (452) | (378) |
| Increase in non-real estate assets and other | (3,199) | (1,928) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 41,215 | (139,955) |

Table of Contents

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------|
| | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in unsecured line of credit and short-term borrowings | \$ (237,000) | \$ 65,000 |
| Proceeds from notes payable | | 248,423 |
| Repayment of Summit secured credit facility | | (188,500) |
| Repayment of notes payable | (85,654) | (17,838) |
| Proceeds from issuance of common shares | 254,932 | |
| Distributions to shareholders and minority interests | (123,177) | (109,385) |
| Redemption of perpetual preferred units | | (17,500) |
| Repayment of employee notes receivable | 112 | 1,865 |
| Repurchase of common units | (169) | (5,580) |
| Net decrease (increase) in accounts receivable affiliates | 841 | (2,780) |
| Increase in notes receivable affiliates | (31,173) | (1,138) |
| Common share options exercised | 3,220 | 8,253 |
| Payment of deferred financing costs | (2,684) | (6,968) |
| Other | 2,068 | 1,404 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (218,684) | (24,744) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 6,485 | (1,177) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 1,576 | 2,253 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 8,061 | \$ 1,076 |
| Supplemental information | | |
| Cash paid for interest, net of interest capitalized | \$ 90,343 | \$ 72,107 |
| Interest capitalized | 15,982 | 12,657 |
| Supplemental schedule of noncash investing and financing activities | | |
| Acquisition of Summit, net of cash acquired, at fair value: | | |
| Assets acquired | \$ 1,881 | \$ 1,589,680 |
| Liabilities assumed | 1,881 | 980,747 |
| Common shares issued | | 544,065 |
| Common units issued | | 81,564 |
| Value of shares issued under benefit plans, net | 16,274 | 11,271 |
| Cancellation of notes receivable affiliate in connection with property acquisition | 12,053 | |
| Distributions declared but not paid | 43,056 | 38,933 |
| Conversion of operating partnership units to common shares | 5,652 | |
| Contribution of real estate assets to joint ventures | 33,493 | 45,297 |
| Decrease in liabilities in connection with property transactions, net | 2,581 | 2,501 |
| Assumption of debt by joint venture | 30,525 | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
(Unaudited)

1. Description of the Business

Business. Formed on May 25, 1993, Camden Property Trust, a Texas real estate investment trust (REIT), is engaged in the ownership, development, construction and management of multifamily apartment communities. Our multifamily apartment communities are referred to as communities, multifamily communities, properties, or multifamily properties in the following discussion. As of September 30, 2006, we owned interests in, operated, or were developing 199 multifamily properties comprised of 68,419 apartment homes located in 13 states. We had 5,434 apartment homes under development at 15 of our multifamily properties, including 1,069 apartment homes at three multifamily properties owned through joint ventures, and several sites we intend to develop into multifamily apartment communities. Additionally, five properties comprised of 1,744 apartment homes were designated as held for sale.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Operating Partnerships and Minority Interests. At September 30, 2006, approximately 14% of our multifamily apartment homes were held in Camden Operating, L.P (Camden Operating). Camden Operating has issued both common and preferred limited partnership units. In connection with our joint venture in Camden Main & Jamboree, LP, as discussed in Note 6, *Investments in Joint Ventures*, we issued 28,999 Series B common units during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. As of September 30, 2006, we held 85.2% of the common limited partnership units and the sole 1.0% general partnership interest of the operating partnership. The remaining common limited partnership units, comprising 1.6 million units, are primarily held by former officers, directors and investors of Paragon Group, Inc., which we acquired in 1997.

Camden Operating had \$100 million of 7.0% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units outstanding as of September 30, 2006. Distributions on the Series B Preferred Units totaled \$1.8 million for the three months and \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

At September 30, 2006, approximately 22% of our multifamily apartment units were held in the Camden Summit Partnership, as discussed in Note 3, *Merger with Summit Properties Inc.* This operating partnership has issued common limited partnership units. As of September 30, 2006, we held 91.9% of the common limited partnership units and the sole 1.0% general partnership interest of the Camden Summit Partnership. The remaining common limited partnership units, comprising 1.6 million units, are primarily held by former officers, directors and investors of Summit.

Interim Financial Reporting. We have prepared these financial statements in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) for interim financial statements and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all information and footnote disclosures normally included for complete financial statements. While we believe the disclosures presented are adequate for interim reporting, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes included in our 2005 Form 10-K.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited financial statements contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of our financial statements for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions which affect amounts reported in the financial statements and related notes. Actual results could differ from management's estimates. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected in the period they are determined to be necessary.

Table of Contents

Segment Reporting. The Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, establishes standards for reporting financial and descriptive information about an enterprise s reportable segments. Although our multifamily communities are geographically diversified throughout the United States, management evaluates operating performance on an individual property level. However, as each of our apartment communities has similar economic characteristics, residents, and products and services, our apartment communities have been aggregated into one reportable segment with activities related to the ownership, development, construction and management of multifamily communities. Our multifamily communities generate rental revenue and other income through the leasing of apartment homes, which comprised 95.5% and 94.4% of our total consolidated revenues, excluding non-recurring gains on technology investments, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Real Estate Assets, at Cost. Real estate assets are carried at cost plus capitalized carrying charges. Carrying charges are primarily interest and real estate taxes which are capitalized as part of properties under development. Expenditures directly related to the development, acquisition and improvement of real estate assets, excluding internal costs relating to acquisitions of operating properties, are capitalized at cost as land, buildings and improvements. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits and other related costs attributable to the development of properties, are also capitalized. All construction and carrying costs are capitalized and reported on the balance sheet in properties under development until the apartment homes are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the apartment homes, the total cost for the apartment homes and the associated land is transferred to buildings and improvements and land, respectively, and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation.

Upon the acquisition of real estate, we assess the fair value of acquired assets, including land, buildings, the value of in-place leases, including above and below market leases, and acquired liabilities. We then allocate the purchase price of the acquired property based on relative fair value. We assess fair value based on estimated cash flow projections and available market information.

Carrying charges, principally interest and real estate taxes, of land under development and buildings under construction are capitalized as part of properties under development and buildings and improvements to the extent such charges do not cause the carrying value of the asset to exceed its net realizable value. Capitalized interest was \$5.3 million and \$16.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$5.0 million and \$12.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Capitalized real estate taxes were \$0.7 million and \$2.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.6 million and \$2.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. All operating expenses associated with completed apartment homes for properties in the development and leasing phase are expensed. Upon substantial completion of the project, all apartment homes are considered operating and we begin expensing all items which were previously considered carrying costs.

We capitalized \$39.4 million and \$28.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, of renovation and improvement costs which we believe extended the economic lives and enhanced the earnings of our multifamily properties. Our estimate of depreciation and amortization incorporates assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. At the time we place our assets in service, we believe such assumptions are reasonable; however, circumstances may develop causing us to change these assumptions, which would change our depreciation amounts on a prospective basis. Depreciation and amortization is computed over the expected useful lives of depreciable property on a straight-line basis as follows:

| | Estimated Useful Life |
|---|--------------------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 5-35 years |
| Furniture, fixtures, equipment and other | 3-20 years |
| Intangible assets (in-place leases and above and below market leases) | 6-13 months |

Table of Contents

Property operating and maintenance expense and income from discontinued operations included repair and maintenance expenses totaling \$11.6 million and \$31.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$10.0 million and \$26.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Costs recorded as repair and maintenance include all costs which do not alter the primary use, extend the expected useful life or improve the safety or efficiency of the related asset. Our largest repair and maintenance expenditures related to landscaping, interior painting and floor coverings.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123(R)) requiring the compensation cost relating to share-based payments be recognized over their vesting periods in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. In April 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "*Shared-Based Payment*" providing for a phased-in implementation process for SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) is effective for all public entities in the first annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. We adopted SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. The impact of adopting this pronouncement is discussed in Note 11 *Share-based Compensation*.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154 *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (SFAS No. 154). This pronouncement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and revises the requirements for accounting for and reporting a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. This pronouncement also requires changes to the method of depreciation, amortization, or depletion for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate that is effected by a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 154 does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those which are in a transition phase (such as SFAS No. 123(R)) as of the effective date of SFAS No. 154. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2005, the FASB issued Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-05, "*Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights*." EITF Issue No. 04-05 provides a framework for determining whether a general partner controls, and should consolidate, a limited partnership or a similar entity. EITF Issue No. 04-05 was effective after June 29, 2005, for all newly formed limited partnerships and for any pre-existing limited partnerships that modify their partnership agreements after that date. General partners of all other limited partnerships are required to apply the consensus no later than the beginning of the first reporting period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-05 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 78-9-1, *Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-05*. The EITF acknowledged the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-05 conflicted with certain aspects of Statement of Position (SOP) 78-9, *Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures*. The EITF agreed with the assessment of whether a general partner, or the general partners as a group, controls a limited partnership should be consistent for all limited partnerships, irrespective of the industry within which the limited partnership operates. Accordingly, the guidance in SOP 78-9 was amended in FSP 78-9-1 to be consistent with the guidance in EITF Issue No. 04-05. The effective dates for this FSP are the same as those mentioned above in EITF Issue No. 04-05. The adoption of FSP 78-9-1 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2006, the FASB issued FSP FASB Interpretation (FIN) 46(R)-6, *Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*. FIN 46(R)-6 addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine variability associated with a variable interest entity or variable interests in an entity when applying the provisions of FIN 46(R) and is effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2006. We will evaluate the impact of FIN 46(R)-6 at the time any reconsideration event occurs, as defined by the provisions of FIN 46(R), and for any new entities with which we become involved in future periods.

Table of Contents

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN 48 requires we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position, if the position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are in the process of assessing the impact of FIN 48 and have not determined what impact, if any, our adoption will have on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement does not require new fair value measurements, but is applied to the extent other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements. The statement emphasizes fair value as a market-based measurement which should be determined based on assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. We will be required to disclose the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the inputs used to develop the measurements, and the effect of certain of the measurements on earnings (or changes in net assets) for the period. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not anticipate the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)*, which requires an employer to recognize the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity. SFAS No. 158 also requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. This statement is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. We do not anticipate the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Reclassifications. Certain reclassifications have been made to amounts in prior period financial statements to conform with current period presentations. In our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, we present separately income and expense on deferred compensation plans. In the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations, we reclassified the income and expense on deferred compensation plans to be consistent with our 2006 presentation which resulted in a \$3.2 million and \$5.3 million increase to non-property income and to other expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

We reclassified two properties previously included in discontinued operations to continuing operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as management made the decision not to sell these assets. As a result, we adjusted the current and prior period consolidated financial statements to reflect the necessary reclassifications. Additionally, we recorded a depreciation charge of \$2.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 on these assets in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

3. Merger with Summit Properties Inc.

On February 28, 2005, Summit Properties Inc. (Summit) was merged with and into Camden Summit Inc., one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries (Camden Summit), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of October 4, 2004 (the Merger Agreement), as amended. Prior to the effective time of the merger, Summit was the sole general partner of Summit Properties Partnership, L.P. (the Camden Summit Partnership). At the effective time of the merger, Camden Summit became the sole general partner of the Camden Summit Partnership and the name of the partnership was changed to Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. As of February 28, 2005, Summit owned or held an ownership interest in 48 operating communities comprised of 15,002 apartment homes with an additional 1,834 apartment homes under construction in five new communities.

Table of Contents

The aggregate consideration paid for the merger was as follows:
(in thousands)

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Fair value of Camden common shares issued | \$ 544,065 |
| Fair value of Camden Summit Partnership units issued | 81,564 |
| Cash consideration paid for Summit common shares and partnership units exchanged | 458,050 |
| | |
| Total consideration | 1,083,679 |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed, including debt | 984,847 |
| | |
| Total purchase price | \$ 2,068,526 |

Revisions to the purchase price during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 included increases of \$1.3 million to land and \$0.7 million to properties under development, including land, as a result of purchase price adjustments primarily related to increases of \$1.9 million in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities for litigation. The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the time of merger, net of cash acquired:

(in thousands)

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Land | \$ 299,321 |
| Buildings and improvements | 1,528,124 |
| Properties under development, including land | 153,142 |
| Investments in joint ventures | 2,652 |
| Properties held for sale | 29,741 |
| Other assets, including the value of in-place leases of \$32.7 million | 37,308 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 16,696 |
| Restricted cash | 1,542 |
| | |
| Total assets acquired | 2,068,526 |
| | |
| Notes payable | 880,829 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | 97,612 |
| Employee notes receivable | (3,882) |
| Other minority interests | 10,288 |
| | |
| Fair value of liabilities assumed, including debt | 984,847 |
| | |
| Total consideration | \$ 1,083,679 |

In connection with the merger, we incurred \$69.8 million of termination, severance and settlement of share-based compensation costs. Of this amount, Summit had paid \$26.3 million prior to the effective time of the merger. As of September 30, 2006, substantially all costs were paid.

Table of Contents

The following financial information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005 gives effect to the merger as if it had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. The financial information for the three months ended September 30, 2005 represents actual results. The financial information for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 includes pro forma results for the first two months of 2005 and actual results for the remaining seven months. The pro forma results are based on historical data and are not intended to be indicative of the results of future operations.

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 |
|--|--|---|
| Total property revenues | \$ 136,632 | \$ 407,466 |
| Net income (loss) to common shareholders | (2,317) | 171,431 |
| Net income (loss) per common and common equivalent share | \$ (0.05) | \$ 2.71 |

4. Per Share Data

Basic earnings per share is computed using income from continuing operations and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share reflects common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of common share options and awards granted and units convertible into common shares. Only those items with a dilutive impact on our basic earnings per share are included in diluted earnings per share. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, 1.6 million and 1.8 million operating units convertible into common shares, respectively, were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were not dilutive. For the three months ended September 30, 2005, 0.5 million common shares issuable from the assumed conversion of common share options and awards granted and 2.4 million units convertible into common shares were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation as they were not dilutive.

Table of Contents

The following table presents information necessary to calculate basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, 2006 | 2005 | Ended September 30, 2006 | 2005 |
| Basic earnings per share calculation | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 96,202 | \$ (3,686) | \$ 117,771 | \$ 144,309 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale | 29,255 | 1,369 | 83,711 | 41,890 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 125,457 | \$ (2,317) | \$ 201,482 | \$ 186,199 |
| | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations per share | \$ 1.65 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 2.10 | \$ 2.81 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale per share | 0.50 | 0.03 | 1.49 | 0.82 |
| Net income (loss) per share | \$ 2.15 | \$ (0.04) | \$ 3.59 | \$ 3.63 |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 58,348 | 54,018 | 56,063 | 51,294 |
| | | | | |
| Diluted earnings per share calculation | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$ 96,202 | \$ (3,686) | \$ 117,771 | \$ 144,309 |
| Income (loss) allocated to common units | 869 | (359) | 1,950 | 1,626 |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, as adjusted | 97,071 | (4,045) | 119,721 | 145,935 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale | 29,255 | 1,369 | 83,711 | 41,890 |
| Income from discontinued operations, allocated to common units | 652 | | 652 | |
| Net income (loss), as adjusted | \$ 126,978 | \$ (2,676) | \$ 204,084 | \$ 187,825 |
| | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, as adjusted per share | \$ 1.58 | \$ (0.07) | \$ 2.03 | \$ 2.63 |
| Income from discontinued operations, including gain on sale per share | 0.49 | 0.02 | 1.43 | 0.75 |
| Net income (loss), as adjusted per share | \$ 2.07 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 3.46 | \$ 3.38 |
| | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 58,348 | 54,018 | 56,063 | 51,294 |
| Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of: | | | | |
| Common share options and awards granted | 768 | | 700 | 455 |
| Common units | 2,134 | 1,653 | 2,141 | 3,745 |

| | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average common shares outstanding, as adjusted outstanding | 61,250 | 55,671 | 58,904 | 55,494 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|

5. Property Acquisitions and Dispositions

Acquisitions. On January 31, 2006, we acquired the remaining 80% interest in Camden-Delta Westwind, LLC, a joint venture in which we had a 20% interest, in accordance with the Agreement and Assignment of Limited Liability Company Interest. The 80% interest was previously owned by Westwind Equity, LLC (Westwind), an unrelated third-party. As a result of the acquisition, we paid Westwind \$31.0 million, which includes a \$2.0 million non-refundable earnest money deposit paid in October 2005. Concurrent with this transaction, the mezzanine loan we had provided to the joint venture, which totaled \$12.1 million, was canceled. Additionally, we repaid the outstanding balance of a third-party construction loan, totaling \$46.8 million. We used proceeds from our unsecured line of credit facility to fund this purchase. The purchase price was allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair value at the date of acquisition. The intangible assets acquired at acquisition include in-place leases of \$0.5 million.

In July 2006, we acquired Camden Stoneleigh, a 390 apartment home community located in Austin, Texas, for \$35.3 million using proceeds from our unsecured line of credit. The purchase price of this property was allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. Tangible assets, which include land, buildings and improvements are being depreciated over their estimated useful lives, which range from 5 to 35 years. The intangible assets acquired at acquisition include in-place leases of \$0.4 million and above or below market leases of \$0.1 million. Intangible assets are being amortized over 10 months, which is the estimated average remaining life of in-place leases at time of acquisition.

Table of Contents

Discontinued Operations and Assets Held for Sale. Operating results of assets designated as held for sale are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented. Additionally, all gains and losses on the sale of these assets are included in discontinued operations. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, income from discontinued operations included the results of operations of five operating properties, containing 1,744 apartment homes, classified as held for sale at September 30, 2006 and the results of operations of six operating properties, containing 2,227 apartment homes, and four parcels of undeveloped land sold in 2006 through their sale dates. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, income from discontinued operations also included the results of three operating properties sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. As of September 30, 2006, the five operating properties classified as held for sale had a net book value of \$30.6 million.

The following is a summary of income from discontinued operations for the periods presented below:

(in thousands)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Property revenues | \$ 3,339 | \$ 6,985 | \$ 14,831 | \$ 23,540 |
| Property expenses | 2,419 | 3,728 | 8,483 | 12,036 |
| Net property revenues | 920 | 3,257 | 6,348 | 11,504 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 255 | 1,776 | 1,350 | 5,386 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 665 | \$ 1,481 | \$ 4,998 | \$ 6,118 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations of \$59.9 million from the sale of six operating properties. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$106.3 million. Proceeds from the sale of one operating property sold in July 2006 were deposited with a qualified intermediary for use in a deferred like-kind exchange. Subsequent to this transaction, the exchange account was liquidated as the deferred like-kind exchange was completed. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations of \$36.1 million on the sale of three operating properties, containing 1,317 apartment homes. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$127.0 million.

Upon our decision to abandon efforts to develop certain land parcels and to market these parcels as held for sale, we classify the operating expenses associated with these assets as discontinued operations. At September 30, 2006, we had several undeveloped land parcels classified as held for sale as follows:

(\$ in millions)

| Location | Acres | Net Book Value |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Southeast Florida | 3.1 | \$ 12.0 |
| Dallas | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Total land held for sale | | \$ 14.5 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we sold four parcels of undeveloped land totaling an aggregate of 8.7 acres to unrelated third parties. In connection with these sales, we received net proceeds of \$41.0 million and recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations totaling \$20.5 million.

Asset Dispositions and Partial Sales to Joint Ventures. As discussed in Note 6, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized gains, included in continuing operations, of \$91.6 million from the partial sale of nine properties to an unconsolidated affiliated joint venture. These partial sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$170.9 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized gains, included in

continuing operations, of \$132.1 million from the partial sales of twelve properties to twelve affiliated unconsolidated joint ventures. These partial sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$316.8

Table of Contents

million. The gains recognized on the partial sales of these assets were included in continuing operations due to our continuing involvement with these assets.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized a gain on the sale of land located adjacent to one of our pre-development assets in College Park, Maryland of \$0.8 million. As discussed in Note 6, we also recognized gains of \$0.5 million and \$4.7 million on the partial sales of land to two joint ventures located in Houston, Texas and College Park, Maryland, respectively. The gains recognized on the sales of these assets were not included in discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement with these assets. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$55.5 million.

6. Equity Investments in Joint Ventures

The joint ventures described below do not qualify as variable interest entities under the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 46(R), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements* (FIN 46(R)) or the consolidation requirements of the EITF Issue No. 04-05. Accordingly, we utilize the guidance provided by SOP 78-9 and Accounting Principles Board Opinion 18, when determining the basis of accounting for these ventures. Because we exercise significant influence, but we do not control the voting interest of these joint ventures, we account for these entities using the equity method. The joint ventures in which we have an interest have been funded with secured, third-party debt. We are not committed to any additional funding on third-party debt in relation to our joint ventures. We have guaranteed our proportionate interest on construction loans in three of our development joint ventures. Additionally, we eliminate fee income from property management services to the extent of our ownership

Our contribution of real estate assets to joint ventures at formation are treated as partial sales under SFAS No. 66. As a result, the amounts recorded as gain on sale of assets to joint ventures represents the change in ownership of the underlying assets. Our initial investment is determined based on our continuing ownership percentage in the net book value of the underlying assets on the date of the transaction.

As of September 30, 2006, our equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures accounted for under the equity method of accounting consisted of:

A 20% interest in Sierra-Nevada Multifamily Investments, LLC (Sierra-Nevada), which owns 14 apartment communities with 3,098 apartment homes located in Las Vegas. We are providing property management services to Sierra-Nevada and fees earned for these services totaled \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2006, Sierra-Nevada had total assets of \$136.0 million and third-party secured debt totaling \$179.9 million.

A 50% interest in Denver West Apartments, LLC (Denver West), which owns Camden Denver West, a 320 apartment home community located in Denver, Colorado. We are providing property management services to Denver West and fees earned for these services totaled \$19,000 and \$58,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$19,000 and \$56,000 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2006, Denver West had total assets of \$22.0 million and third-party secured debt totaling \$17.1 million.

A 20% interest in 12 apartment communities containing 4,034 apartment homes (located in the Las Vegas, Phoenix, Houston, Dallas and Orange County, California markets), which we partially sold to 12 individual affiliated joint ventures in March 2005. We are providing property management services to the joint ventures and fees earned for these services totaled \$0.3 million and \$0.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. At September 30, 2006, the joint ventures had total assets of \$389.8 million and had third-party secured debt totaling \$272.6 million.

Table of Contents

A 30% interest in Camden Plaza, LP to which we partially sold undeveloped land located in Houston, Texas in January 2006. In connection with this partial sale, we received cash proceeds of \$10.3 million. Of the total proceeds received, approximately \$2.0 million was recognized as an immediate distribution and was applied against our initial investment balance. The remaining 70% interest is owned by Onex Real Estate Partners (Onex), an unaffiliated third-party, which contributed cash of \$3.2 million to the joint venture. The joint venture is developing a 271 apartment home community at a total estimated cost to complete of \$42.9 million. Concurrent with this transaction, we provided a \$6.4 million mezzanine loan to the joint venture which had a balance of \$7.1 million at September 30, 2006, and is reported as Notes receivable affiliates as discussed in Note 8. At September 30, 2006, the joint venture had total assets of \$23.3 million and had third-party secured debt totaling \$11.6 million.

A 30% interest in Camden Main & Jamboree, LP to which we contributed \$1.4 million in cash and \$1.9 million in Camden Operating Series B common units in March 2006. The remaining 70% interest is owned by Onex which contributed \$7.7 million to the joint venture. The joint venture purchased Camden Main & Jamboree, a 290 apartment home community located in Irvine, California, which is currently under development and has a total estimated cost to complete of \$107.1 million as of September 30, 2006. Concurrent with this transaction, we provided a mezzanine loan totaling \$15.8 million to the joint venture, which had a balance of \$17.1 million at September 30, 2006, and is reported as Notes receivable affiliates as discussed in Note 8. At September 30, 2006, the joint venture had total assets of \$88.1 million and had third-party secured debt totaling \$60.0 million.

A 30% interest in Camden College Park, LP to which we partially sold undeveloped land located in College Park, Maryland in August 2006. In connection with this partial sale, we received cash proceeds of \$45.0 million. Of the total proceeds received, approximately \$9.1 million was recognized as an immediate distribution and was applied against our initial investment balance. The remaining 70% interest is owned by affiliates of Onex which contributed cash of \$10.1 million to the joint venture. The joint venture is developing a 508 apartment home community and has a total estimated cost to complete of \$139.9 million as of September 30, 2006. Concurrent with this transaction, we provided a mezzanine loan totaling \$6.7 million to the joint venture, which had a balance of \$6.9 million at September 30, 2006, and is reported as Notes receivable affiliates as discussed in Note 8. At September 30, 2006, the joint venture had total assets of \$59.2 million and had third-party secured debt totaling \$38.3 million.

A 15% interest in nine apartment communities containing 3,237 apartment homes located in Kentucky and Missouri which we partially sold to the joint venture in September 2006. In connection with this partial sale, we received cash proceeds of approximately \$194.9 million. Of the proceeds received, approximately \$23.9 million was recognized as an immediate distribution and was applied against our initial investment balance. The remaining 85% of the joint venture is owned by a fund managed by DRA Advisors LLC who contributed cash of \$64.0 million to the joint venture. We are providing property management services to the joint venture, and fees earned for these services totaled \$20,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006, the joint venture had total assets of \$246.9 million and had third-party secured debt totaling \$169.0 million.

A 25% interest in the Station Hill, LLC (Station Hill) joint venture, which we acquired in connection with the Summit merger. Hollow Creek, LLC, a subsidiary of a major financial services company and our partner in Station Hill, has commenced termination of the joint venture's operations as all properties in the joint venture were sold as of September 30, 2006. In January 2006, Station Hill sold two properties, Summit Creek, a 260 apartment home community located in Charlotte, North Carolina, and Summit Hill, a 411 apartment home community located in Raleigh, North Carolina, for an aggregate of \$47.5 million. During July 2006, the joint venture sold the remaining property, Summit Hollow, a 232

apartment home community located in

Table of Contents

Charlotte, North Carolina for \$15.5 million. Our share of these dispositions totaled \$15.8 million and we recognized net gains on sale totaling \$1.1 million and \$2.8 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. We provided property management services to the joint venture, and fees earned for these services totaled \$4,000 and \$33,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$55,000 and \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

7. Third-party Construction Services

At September 30, 2006, we were under contract on third-party construction projects ranging from \$2.4 million to \$35.0 million per contract. We earn fees on these projects ranging from 2.8% to 7.8% of the total contracted construction costs, which we recognize as earned. Fees earned from third-party construction projects totaled \$0.8 million and \$2.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.8 million and \$1.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, and are included in Fee and asset management income in our consolidated statements of operations. We recorded costs and cost over-runs on third-party construction projects of \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.9 million and \$2.4 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. These costs are first applied against revenues earned on each project and any excess is included in Fee and asset management expenses in our consolidated statements of operations.

8. Notes Receivable

We have a mezzanine financing program under which we provide secured financing to owners of real estate properties. As of September 30, 2006, we had a \$3.9 million secured note receivable to an unrelated third party. This note, which matures in 2009, accrues interest a rate of 9% per annum, which is recognized as earned. We have reviewed the terms and conditions underlying the outstanding note receivable and believe this note is collectable, and no impairment existed at September 30, 2006.

The following is a summary of our notes receivable outstanding under the mezzanine financing program during the periods presented, excluding notes receivable from affiliates:

(\$ in millions)

| Location | Current Property Type | Current Status | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Dallas/Fort Worth, Texas | Multifamily | Stabilized | \$ | \$ 6.9 |
| Houston, Texas | Multifamily | Predevelopment | 3.9 | 3.9 |
| Austin, Texas | Multifamily | Stabilized | | 2.5 |
| | Total | | \$ 3.9 | \$ 13.3 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, three loans totaling \$9.4 million each with an effective interest rate of 11% were repaid. Included in these repayments were approximately \$0.1 million of prepayment penalties, which are included in Fee and asset management income in our consolidated statements of operations.

We provided mezzanine construction financing in connection with one joint venture transaction discussed in Note 5 and three joint venture transactions discussed in Note 6. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the balance of Notes receivable affiliates totaled \$31.0 million and \$11.9 million, respectively. The note outstanding at December 31, 2005 was cancelled on January 31, 2006 in connection with our acquisition of the remaining 80% interest in the joint venture. At the time the mezzanine loan was cancelled, the balance of the note was \$12.1 million. The notes outstanding as of September 30, 2006 each accrue interest at 14% per year and mature through 2010.

Table of Contents**9. Notes Payable**

The following is a summary of our indebtedness:

(in millions)

| | September 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Unsecured line of credit and short-term borrowings | \$ 14.0 | \$ 251.0 |
| Senior unsecured notes | | |
| \$50.0 million 7.11% Notes, due 2006 | | 50.0 |
| \$75.0 million 7.16% Notes, due 2006 | 75.0 | 74.9 |
| \$50.0 million 7.28% Notes, due 2006 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| \$50.0 million 4.30% Notes, due 2007 | 51.3 | 52.3 |
| \$150.0 million 5.98% Notes, due 2007 | 149.9 | 149.8 |
| \$100.0 million 4.74% Notes, due 2009 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| \$250.0 million 4.39% Notes, due 2010 | 249.9 | 249.9 |
| \$100.0 million 6.77% Notes, due 2010 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| \$150.0 million 7.69% Notes, due 2011 | 149.6 | 149.6 |
| \$200.0 million 5.93% Notes, due 2012 | 199.4 | 199.4 |
| \$200.0 million 5.45% Notes, due 2013 | 199.1 | 199.0 |
| \$250.0 million 5.08% Notes, due 2015 | 248.6 | 248.5 |
| | 1,572.6 | 1,623.2 |
| Medium-term unsecured notes | | |
| \$25.0 million 3.91% Notes, due 2006 | | 25.3 |
| \$15.0 million 7.63% Notes, due 2009 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| \$25.0 million 4.64% Notes, due 2009 | 26.7 | 27.2 |
| \$10.0 million 4.90% Notes, due 2010 | 11.3 | 11.5 |
| \$14.5 million 6.79% Notes, due 2010 | 14.5 | 14.5 |
| \$35.0 million 4.99% Notes, due 2011 | 39.0 | 39.5 |
| | 106.5 | 133.0 |
| Total unsecured notes | 1,693.1 | 2,007.2 |
| Secured notes | | |
| 4.55% - 8.50% Conventional Mortgage Notes, due 2007 - 2013 | 522.0 | 529.2 |
| 3.95% - 7.29% Tax-exempt Mortgage Notes, due 2025 - 2028 | 65.4 | 96.7 |
| | 587.4 | 625.9 |
| Total notes payable | \$ 2,280.5 | \$ 2,633.1 |

In January 2005, we entered into a credit agreement which increased our unsecured credit facility to \$600 million, with the ability to further increase it up to \$750 million. This \$600 million unsecured line of credit originally matured in January 2008. In January 2006, we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement to extend the maturity by two years to January 2010 and to amend certain covenants. The scheduled interest rate is based on spreads over the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or the Prime Rate. The scheduled interest rate spreads are subject to change as our credit ratings change. Advances under the line of credit may be priced at the scheduled rates, or we may enter into bid rate loans with participating banks at rates below the scheduled rates. These bid rate loans have terms of six months or less and may not exceed the lesser of \$300 million or the remaining amount available under the line of

credit. The line of credit is subject to customary financial covenants and limitations, all of which we were in compliance with at September 30, 2006.

Our line of credit provides us with the ability to issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. While our issuance of letters of credit does not increase our borrowings outstanding under our line, it does reduce the amount available. At September 30, 2006, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$35.5 million, and had \$550.5 million available, under our unsecured line of credit.

As part of the Summit merger, we assumed Summit's unsecured letter of credit facility, which matures in July 2008 and has a total commitment of \$20.0 million. The letters of credit issued under this facility serve as collateral for performance on contracts and as credit guarantees to banks and insurers. As of September 30, 2006, there were \$3.5 million of letters of credit commitments outstanding under this facility.

Table of Contents

During 2006, we repaid \$75.0 million of maturing unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 6.04%. We repaid these notes payable using proceeds available under our unsecured line of credit.

In connection with our partial sale of nine apartment communities to a joint venture during the three months ended September 30, 2006, as discussed in Note 6, three tax-exempt mortgage notes totaling \$30.5 million were assumed by the joint venture.

At September 30, 2006 and 2005, the weighted average interest rate on our floating rate debt, which includes our unsecured line of credit, was 4.9% and 4.0%, respectively.

Our indebtedness, excluding our unsecured line of credit, had a weighted average maturity of 5.2 years. Scheduled repayments on outstanding debt, including our line of credit, and the weighted average interest rate on maturing debt at September 30, 2006 are as follows:

(in millions)

| Year | Amount | Weighted Average Interest Rate |
|---------------------|---------------|---|
| 2006 | \$ 128.4 | 7.2% |
| 2007 | 233.0 | 5.7 |
| 2008 | 200.7 | 4.8 |
| 2009 | 198.2 | 5.0 |
| 2010 | 466.8 | 5.1 |
| 2011 and thereafter | 1,053.4 | 5.6 |
| Total | \$ 2,280.5 | 5.5% |

10. Related Party Transactions

We perform property management services for properties owned by joint ventures in which we own an interest. Management fees earned on these properties totaled \$0.6 million and \$1.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, as compared to \$0.7 million and \$1.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. See further discussion of our investments in joint ventures in Note 6.

In conjunction with our merger with Summit, we acquired employee notes receivable from nine former employees of Summit totaling \$3.9 million. Subsequent to the merger, five employees repaid their loans totaling \$1.8 million. At September 30, 2006, the notes receivable had an outstanding balance of \$2.0 million. As of September 30, 2006, the employee notes receivable were 100% secured by Camden common shares.

11. Share-based Compensation

Adoption of SFAS 123(R). Under SFAS No. 123(R), we account for share-based awards on a prospective basis, with compensation expense, net of estimated forfeitures, being recognized in our statement of operations beginning in the first quarter of 2006 using the grant-date fair values.

SFAS 123(R) requires measurement of compensation cost for all share-based awards at fair value on the grant date and recognition of compensation expense over the requisite service period for awards expected to vest. The fair value of stock option grants was determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which is consistent with our prior valuation techniques utilized for options granted after January 1, 2003, as previously reported in disclosures required under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation, (SFAS No. 123) as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure (SFAS No. 148). Employee awards granted prior to January 1, 2003 were accounted for under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, (APB 25) and related interpretations.

Table of Contents

The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) changes the accounting for our stock options and share awards (SAs) under our 2002 Share Incentive Plan and our 1993 Share Incentive Plan as discussed below.

Share Awards. SAs have a vesting period of five years. The compensation cost for SAs is based on the market value of the shares on the date of grant. The fair value method under SFAS No. 123(R) is similar to the fair value method under SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, with respect to measurement and recognition of share-based compensation. However, SFAS No. 123 permitted us to recognize forfeitures as they occurred, while SFAS No. 123(R) requires us to estimate future forfeitures. To determine our estimated future forfeitures, we used actual forfeiture history.

Employee Share Purchase Plan. We have established an Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP) for all active employees, officers, and trust managers who have completed one year of continuous service. The adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) had no effect on the accounting surrounding our ESPP as the plan was previously deemed compensatory under the provisions of SFAS No. 123.

Valuation Assumptions. The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$7.88 and \$4.47 in 2006 and 2005, respectively. We calculated the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The following assumptions were used for each respective period:

| | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Expected volatility | 16.6% | 18.0% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 4.4% | 4.2% |
| Expected dividend yield | 4.1% | 5.6% |
| Expected life (in years) | 5 | 10 |

Our computation of expected volatility for 2006 is based on the historical volatility of our common shares over a time period equal to the expected term of the option and ending on the grant date. Prior to 2006, our computation of expected volatility was based on historical volatility of our common shares over a time period from the inception of the 1993 Share Incentive Plan and ending on the grant date. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected dividend yield on our common shares is calculated using the annual dividends paid in prior year. Our computation of expected life for 2006 was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the share-based awards.

Share-based Compensation Award Activity. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 was \$3.1 million and \$4.3 million, respectively. As of September 30, 2006, there was approximately \$0.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested options, which is expected to be amortized over a weighted average of 0.8 years.

The following table summarizes share options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2006:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Outstanding Options | | Exercisable Options | | Remaining Contractual Life |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Number | Weighted Average Price | Number | Weighted Average Price | |
| \$24.88-\$40.40 | 412,229 | \$ 34.26 | 412,229 | \$ 34.26 | 5.2 years |
| \$41.90-\$43.90 | 454,538 | 42.91 | 320,538 | 42.91 | 6.9 years |
| \$44.00-\$62.32 | 445,838 | 48.39 | 312,506 | 49.62 | 7.1 years |
| Total options | 1,312,605 | \$ 42.06 | 1,045,273 | \$ 41.51 | 6.5 years |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes activity under our 1993 and 2002 Share Incentive Plans for the nine months ended September 30, 2006:

| | Options / Share Awards Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise / Grant Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value(2) |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Outstanding at January 1, 2006 | 3,380,062 | \$ 36.30 | | |
| Granted | 265,483 | 65.24 | | |
| Exercised | (137,337) | 33.93 | | |
| Forfeited (1) | (21,610) | 50.66 | | |
| Outstanding at September 30, 2006 | 3,486,598 | \$ 38.23 | 6.5 | \$ 131,723,672 |
| Outstanding options | 1,312,605 | \$ 42.06 | | \$ 44,562,940 |
| Exercisable options | 1,045,273 | 41.51 | | 36,072,371 |
| Vested share awards | 1,593,180 | 31.10 | | |

(1) *Forfeited amounts include estimated forfeitures on share awards granted to employees through September 30, 2006.*

(2) *Intrinsic value is calculated using the closing price on September 29, 2006 of \$76.01 per share.*

Pro Forma Information for Periods Prior to the Adoption of SFAS 123(R). The following table illustrates the effect on net income and net income per share had we applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 to all outstanding and unvested option grants and Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP) awards for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R):
(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2005 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|--|---|
| Net income (loss), as reported | \$ (2,317) 1,034 | \$ 186,199 8,530 |

| | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----|---------|
| Add: share-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income | | | | |
| Deduct: total share-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value method for all awards | | (1,132) | | (8,736) |
| Pro forma net income | \$ | (2,415) | \$ | 185,993 |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic as reported | \$ | (0.04) | \$ | 3.63 |
| Basic pro forma | | (0.04) | | 3.63 |
| Diluted as reported | | (0.05) | | 3.38 |
| Diluted pro forma | | (0.05) | | 3.38 |

Impact of SFAS No. 123(R). Share-based compensation expense recognized under SFAS No. 123(R) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased income from continuing operations and net income by \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and decreased capitalized compensation cost by \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. The \$0.5 million decrease to income from continuing operations and net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was primarily related to expense associated with the accelerated vesting of certain share awards granted to individuals who met retirement conditions as defined in the 2002 Share Incentive Plan. As a result of SFAS 123(R), there was no impact to basic and diluted earnings per share for the three months ended September 30, 2006, and there was a \$0.01 impact to basic and diluted earnings per share for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

In our Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006, we presented unvested share awards as a component of Additional paid-in capital. We previously presented unvested share awards as a separate component of shareholders equity. In the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets, we reclassified the unvested share awards outstanding as of December 31, 2005 totaling \$13.0 million to additional paid-in capital. These amounts represent the unvested portions of the estimated fair value of obligations under our share awards. There was no impact to the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows as a result of our adoption of SFAS 123(R).

12. Common Shares

In June 2006, we issued 3.6 million common shares at \$71.25 per share in a public equity offering. We used the net proceeds of \$254.9 million to reduce indebtedness on our unsecured line of credit allowing additional capacity to fund our current and future development activities and for general corporate purposes.

We filed an automatic shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission in June 2006 which became effective upon filing. We may use the shelf registration statement to offer, from time to time, common shares, preferred shares, debt securities or warrants. Our declaration of trust provides that we may issue up to 110,000,000 shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 100,000,000 common shares and 10,000,000 preferred shares. As of September 30, 2006, we had 56,409,128 common shares outstanding under our declaration of trust.

13. Net Change in Operating Accounts

The effect of changes in the operating accounts on cash flows from operating activities is as follows:

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2006 | 2005 |
| Increase in assets: | | |
| Other assets, net | \$ (7,773) | \$ (4,517) |
| Increase in liabilities: | | |
| Accrued real estate taxes | 17,089 | 8,280 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 10,948 | 10,095 |
| Other liabilities | 1,225 | 15,638 |
| Change in operating accounts | \$ 21,489 | \$ 29,496 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Construction Contracts. As of September 30, 2006, we were obligated for approximately \$200.7 million of additional expenditures on our recently completed projects and those currently under development (a substantial amount of which we expect to be funded with our unsecured line of credit).

Fair Housing Amendments Act Contingency. Prior to our merger with Oasis Residential, Inc. (Oasis) in April 1998, Oasis had been contacted by certain regulatory agencies with regard to alleged failures to comply with the Fair Housing Amendments Act (the Fair Housing Act) as it pertained to nine properties (seven of which we currently own) constructed for first occupancy after March 31, 1991. On February 1, 1999, the Justice Department filed a lawsuit against us and several other defendants in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada alleging (1) the design and construction of these properties violates the Fair Housing Act and (2) we, through the merger with Oasis, had discriminated in the rental of dwellings to persons because of handicap. The complaint requests an order that (i) declares the defendants policies and practices violate the Fair Housing Act; (ii) enjoins us from (a) failing or refusing, to the extent possible, to bring the dwelling units and public use and common use areas at these properties and other covered units Oasis has designed and/or constructed into compliance with the Fair Housing Act, (b) failing or refusing to take such affirmative steps as

Table of Contents

may be necessary to restore, as nearly as possible, the alleged victims of the defendants' alleged unlawful practices to positions they would have been in but for the discriminatory conduct, and (c) designing or constructing any covered multifamily dwellings in the future that do not contain the accessibility and adaptability features set forth in the Fair Housing Act; and requires us to pay damages, including punitive damages, and a civil penalty.

With any acquisition, we plan for and undertake renovations needed to correct deferred maintenance, life/safety and Fair Housing matters. On January 30, 2001, a consent decree was ordered and executed in the above Justice Department action. Under the terms of the decree, we were ordered to make certain retrofits and implement certain educational programs and Fair Housing advertising. These changes took place by July 31, 2006, consistent with the terms of the Consent Order. The costs associated with complying with the decree have been accrued for and are not material to our consolidated financial statements. As of this date, the Court's jurisdiction over this matter has expired, and no further action is required for continued compliance.

Summit Merger Contingencies. On May 25, 2001, through a joint venture of the Camden Summit Partnership and SZF, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company in which the Camden Summit Partnership owned 29.78% until July 3, 2003, on which date the Camden Summit Partnership purchased its joint venture partner's 70.22% interest, the Camden Summit Partnership entered into an agreement with Brickell View, L.C. (Brickell View), a Florida limited liability company, and certain of its affiliates relating to the formation of Coral Way, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, to develop a new community in Miami, Florida. Brickell View agreed to be the developer of that community and certain of its affiliates signed guarantees obligating them to pay certain costs relating to the development. On August 12, 2003, the Camden Summit Partnership received notice of two suits filed by Brickell View and certain of its affiliates against SZF, LLC and certain entities affiliated with the Camden Summit Partnership. The suits were originally filed in the Miami-Dade Circuit Court and were subsequently removed to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. One of the suits was remanded to the Miami-Dade Circuit Court, while the other was dismissed on October 12, 2005, after the execution of a tolling agreement to allow the pending Miami-Dade Circuit Court matter to proceed. Both suits related to the business agreement among the parties in connection with the development and construction of the community by Coral Way. Brickell View and its affiliates alleged, among other things, breach of an oral joint venture agreement, breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duties and constructive fraud on the part of SZF, LLC and Camden Summit Partnership and its affiliates, and sought both a declaratory judgment that the guarantee agreements have been constructively terminated and unspecified monetary damages. On October 31, 2006, both matters were resolved by the parties entering into a settlement and the claims of Brickell View and its affiliates will be dismissed.

On December 19, 2003, the Camden Summit Partnership received notice of a demand for arbitration asserted by Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. against Coral Way, LLC for unpaid architectural fees. In this demand, Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. allege they are entitled to an increased architectural fee as a result of an increase in the cost of the project. Camden Summit Partnership asserted a counter-claim against Bermello, Ajamil & Partners, Inc. for damages related to the cost to correct certain structural and other design defects, and delay damages. On October 31, 2006, the parties entered into a settlement of the claims of Bermello Ajamil & Partners, Inc.'s claims for unpaid architectural fees and its claims will be dismissed. Camden Summit Partnership's claims remain pending.

On May 6, 2003, the Camden Summit Partnership purchased certain assets of Brickell Grand, Inc. (Brickell Grand), including the community known as Summit Brickell. At the time of purchase, Summit Brickell was subject to a \$4.1 million claim of construction lien filed by the general contractor, Bovis Lend Lease, Inc. (Bovis), due to Brickell Grand's alleged failure to pay the full amount of the construction costs. Bovis sought to enforce this claim of lien against Brickell Grand in a suit filed on October 18, 2002 in Miami-Dade Circuit Court, Florida. In September 2003, Bovis filed an amended complaint seeking to enforce an increased claim of lien of \$4.6 million. On May 31, 2005, we paid Bovis \$1.3 million, which was credited against amounts

Table of Contents

owed by the Camden Summit Partnership to Bovis. Settlement documents in this matter were executed and on December 22, 2005, we paid Bovis an additional \$2.7 million to resolve this matter. There are executory terms of the settlement to be fulfilled on the part of Bovis and Camden Summit Partnership (Camden will pay an additional \$0.3 million upon the completion of certain matters by Bovis); however, it is anticipated that those matters will be completed in the fourth quarter of 2006. This case was dismissed on February 22, 2006, although the Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement Agreement.

In January 2005, Brickell Grand, Inc. filed suit in Miami-Dade Circuit Court, Florida, asserting claims for breach of contract, fraud in the inducement, and rescission alleging Summit has an obligation to indemnify Brickell Grand, Inc. in the Bovis lawsuit and that Summit had failed to properly market the Summit Brickell apartments, increasing Brickell Grand Inc.'s cost overrun obligations. Brickell Grand, Inc. claimed Summit misappropriated its identity by filing eviction actions in its name. Brickell Grand, Inc. sought rescission of the sale of Summit Brickell or, alternatively, unspecified damages. On October 31, 2006, the matter was resolved by the parties entering into a settlement and Brickell Grand, Inc.'s claims will be dismissed.

On December 30, 2005, the Camden Summit Partnership, L.P. filed suit against Willy A. Bermello, Luis Ajamil, and Henry Pino to enforce the terms of a promissory note executed by them in conjunction with the Camden Summit Partnership's purchase of Summit Brickell Grand Apartments. Bermello, Ajamil, and Pino were entitled to certain credits against the promissory note based on a formula agreed upon between the parties. Bermello, Ajamil, and Pino filed a Second Amended Counter-Claim on July 10, 2006, alleging the Camden Summit Partnership fraudulently induced them to execute the promissory note and seek to void the promissory note. On October 31, 2006, the matter was resolved by the parties entering into a settlement and Bermello, Ajamil, and Pino's claims will be dismissed.

All costs and expenses expected to be incurred associated with the defense and settlement of the above matters were accrued for at the time of merger.

Other Contingencies. In the ordinary course of our business, we issue letters of intent indicating a willingness to negotiate for acquisitions, dispositions or joint ventures. Such letters of intent are non-binding, and neither party to the letter of intent is obligated to pursue negotiations unless and until a definitive contract is entered into by the parties. Even if definitive contracts are entered into, the letters of intent relating to the purchase and sale of real property and resulting contracts generally contemplate such contracts will provide the purchaser with time to evaluate the property and conduct due diligence, during which periods the purchaser will have the ability to terminate the contracts without penalty or forfeiture of any deposit or earnest money. There can be no assurance definitive contracts will be entered into with respect to any matter covered by letters of intent or we will consummate any transaction contemplated by any definitive contract. Furthermore, due diligence periods for real property are frequently extended as needed. An acquisition or sale of real property becomes probable at the time the due diligence period expires and the definitive contract has not been terminated. We are then at risk under a real property acquisition contract, but only to the extent of any earnest money deposits associated with the contract, and are obligated to sell under a real property sales contract.

We are currently in the due diligence period for certain acquisitions and dispositions. No assurance can be made we will be able to complete the negotiations or become satisfied with the outcome of the due diligence.

We are subject to various legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. These matters are generally covered by insurance. While the resolution of these matters cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the final outcome of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Lease Commitments. At September 30, 2006, we had long-term operating leases covering certain land, office facilities and equipment. Rental expense totaled \$0.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, and totaled \$2.1 million and \$2.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and

Table of Contents

2005, respectively. Minimum annual rental commitments for the remainder of 2006 are \$0.7 million and for the years ending December 31, 2007 through 2010 are \$2.6 million, \$2.4 million, \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, and \$9.8 million in the aggregate thereafter.

Employment Agreements. At September 30, 2006, we had employment agreements with six of our senior officers, the terms of which expire at various times through August 20, 2007. Such agreements provide for minimum salary levels, as well as various incentive compensation arrangements, which are payable based on the attainment of specific goals. The agreements also provide for severance payments plus a gross-up payment if certain situations occur, such as termination without cause or a change of control. In the case of four of the agreements, the severance payment equals one times the respective current salary base in the case of termination without cause and 2.99 times the respective average annual compensation over the previous three fiscal years in the case of change of control. In the case of the other two agreements, the severance payment generally equals 2.99 times the respective average annual compensation over the previous three fiscal years in connection with, among other things, a termination without cause or a change of control, and the officer would be entitled to receive continuation and vesting of certain benefits in the case of such termination.

15. Post Retirement Benefits

At the effective date of the Summit merger, we entered into a separation agreement with two former Summit employees. Pursuant to the respective separation agreement, each of these individuals resigned as an officer and director of Summit and all entities related to Summit, and the respective employment agreement between Summit and each such executive was terminated. Additionally, under the separation agreement, each of the executives to receive payments totaling \$1.0 million and other benefits approximately equivalent to those he was entitled to receive upon termination of employment pursuant to his employment agreement with Summit. Other benefits received by these former employees included postretirement benefits including office space and medical benefits. As of September 30, 2006, we had accrued \$3.2 million associated with these post retirement liabilities. Net periodic benefit cost, relating entirely to interest cost, was \$44,000 and \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. During the remainder of 2006, we expect to contribute approximately \$50,000 to the plan.

16. Texas Margin Tax

On May 18, 2006, the Texas Governor signed into law a Texas margin tax (H.B. No. 3) which restructures the state business tax by replacing the taxable capital components of the current franchise tax with a new taxable margin component. Since the tax base on the Texas margin tax is derived from an income based measure, we believe the margin tax is an income tax and, therefore, the provisions of SFAS 109 regarding the recognition of deferred taxes apply to the new margin tax. In accordance with SFAS 109, the effect on deferred tax liabilities of a change in tax law should be included in tax expense attributable to continuing operations in the period including the enactment date. As a result, we calculated our deferred tax assets and liabilities for Texas based on the new margin tax. The cumulative effect of the change was immaterial and the impact of the change in deferred tax liabilities did not have a material impact on tax expense. There was no income tax expense recorded for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2006. Beginning in 2007, we anticipate we will incur tax expense related to this new Texas margin tax.

Table of Contents

17. Subsequent Events

On October 30, 2006, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Trust Managers of Camden Property Trust authorized the acceleration of vesting of all unvested share awards held by two members of senior management, issued under the 2002 share incentive plan. As a result of vesting acceleration, an aggregate of 76,542 share awards that otherwise would have vested from time to time over the next five years became immediately exercisable. All other terms and conditions applicable to such share awards remain in effect.

By accelerating the vesting of these share awards, we will recognize a one-time compensation expense in October 2006 of approximately \$4.2 million. Such action will reduce compensation expense by an equivalent amount over the five-year period that such share awards would have originally vested.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this report. Historical results and trends which might appear in the consolidated financial statements should not be interpreted as being indicative of future operations.

We consider portions of this report to be forward-looking within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1924, both as amended, with respect to our expectations for future periods. Forward-looking statements do not discuss historical fact, but instead include statements related to expectations, projections, intentions or other items relating to the future. Although we believe the expectations reflected in our forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that our expectations will be achieved. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact should be deemed forward-looking statements. Reliance should not be placed on these forward-looking statements as they are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are beyond our control and could differ materially from our actual results and performance.

Factors that may cause our actual results or performance to differ materially from those contemplated by forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

We may fail to identify, acquire, construct or develop properties in accordance with management's strategies, including obtaining a desired yield on an investment or effectively integrating acquired properties;

The effects of economic conditions, including rising interest rates, could impact occupancy or rental rates;

Insufficient cash flows could affect our ability to make required payments of debt or related interest;

We are subject to regulations surrounding our status as a real estate investment trust (REIT) and would be adversely affected if we failed to qualify as a REIT;

Debt and/or equity necessary for us to operate our business may not be available or may not be available on favorable terms;

Changes in our capital requirements may result in the need for additional financing sooner than anticipated;

We are subject to competition for residents which could limit our ability to lease apartments or increase or maintain rental income;

We are subject to risks associated with our notes receivable including borrower defaults, bankruptcies, fraud and other losses;

Changes in laws and litigation risks could affect our business;

Environmental uncertainties and catastrophes may exceed our insurance coverage; and

Additional factors as discussed in Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, particularly those under Risk Factors.

These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions as of the date of this report.

Executive Summary

Based on our results for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and the current economic conditions, we expect moderate growth during the remainder of 2006 from the revenue generated by our stabilized communities. The

economic conditions include meaningful job growth and population growth in the high growth markets in which we operate, which should positively affect apartment housing demand. Combined with expected decreased housing demand ensuing from rising interest rates, we believe these economic conditions result in multifamily apartment communities being economically attractive alternatives to purchasing a single-family home. Additionally, condominium conversion activity should continue to reduce the availability of rental multifamily communities.

Table of Contents

We are focused on our investment strategy through market balance. We intend to continue to improve our portfolio mix through the acquisition and disposition of real estate assets. Market balance risk is expected to be mitigated as our property operations are not overly concentrated in any one market.

In positioning for future growth, we intend to continue focusing on our development pipeline and plan to maintain approximately \$1.0 billion to \$1.5 billion in our current and future development pipelines. However, total projected capital costs and the commencement of future developments may be impacted by increasing construction costs and other factors. Additionally, we intend to continue acquiring land for future development which will positively impact our future development pipeline.

Property Portfolio

Our multifamily property portfolio, excluding land held for future development and joint venture properties which we do not manage, is summarized as follows:

| | September 30, 2006 | | December 31, 2005 | |
|---|--------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Apartment Homes | Properties | Apartment Homes | Properties |
| Operating Properties | | | | |
| Las Vegas, Nevada (a) (b) | 8,064 | 30 | 8,064 | 30 |
| Dallas, Texas (a) | 7,773 | 21 | 8,643 | 24 |
| Houston, Texas (a) | 6,510 | 15 | 6,810 | 15 |
| Tampa, Florida | 5,635 | 12 | 5,635 | 12 |
| Charlotte, North Carolina (c) | 4,146 | 17 | 4,493 | 18 |
| Washington, D.C. Metro (b) | 3,834 | 11 | 2,882 | 9 |
| Orlando, Florida | 3,296 | 8 | 3,296 | 8 |
| Atlanta, Georgia | 3,202 | 10 | 3,202 | 10 |
| Raleigh, North Carolina (c) | 2,704 | 7 | 2,631 | 7 |
| Denver, Colorado (b) | 2,529 | 8 | 2,529 | 8 |
| Austin, Texas | 2,525 | 8 | 2,135 | 7 |
| Southeast Florida | 2,520 | 7 | 2,520 | 7 |
| Phoenix, Arizona (a) | 2,433 | 8 | 2,433 | 8 |
| Los Angeles/Orange County, California (a) | 2,191 | 5 | 2,191 | 5 |
| St. Louis, Missouri (d) | 2,123 | 6 | 2,123 | 6 |
| Louisville, Kentucky (d) | 1,448 | 5 | 1,448 | 5 |
| Corpus Christi, Texas | 1,410 | 3 | 1,410 | 3 |
| San Diego/Inland Empire, California | 846 | 3 | 846 | 3 |
| Other (d) | 1,468 | 4 | 2,289 | 6 |
| Total Operating Properties | 64,657 | 188 | 65,580 | 191 |
| Properties Under Development | | | | |
| Washington, D.C. Metro (e) | 2,211 | 6 | 1,996 | 5 |
| Houston, Texas (e) | 650 | 2 | 236 | 1 |
| San Diego/Inland Empire, California | 350 | 1 | 350 | 1 |
| Los Angeles/Orange County, California (e) | 290 | 1 | | |
| Orlando, Florida | 261 | 1 | | |
| Raleigh, North Carolina | | | 484 | 1 |
| Charlotte, North Carolina | | | 145 | 1 |
| Total Properties Under Development | 3,762 | 11 | 3,211 | 9 |

| | | | | |
|--|--------|-----|--------|-----|
| Total Properties | 68,419 | 199 | 68,791 | 200 |
| Less: Joint Venture Properties (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) | 11,758 | 39 | 8,355 | 31 |
| Total Properties Owned 100% | 56,661 | 160 | 60,436 | 169 |

(a) *Includes properties held in unconsolidated joint ventures as follows: one property with 456 apartment homes in Dallas, Texas; three properties with 1,216 apartment homes in Houston, Texas; four properties with 992 apartment homes in Phoenix, Arizona; one property with 421 apartment homes in Orange County, California; and three properties with 949 apartment homes in Las Vegas, Nevada. Each property is held individually in a joint venture in which we hold a 20% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated investor.*

Table of Contents

- (b) *Includes properties held in unconsolidated joint ventures as follows: one property with 320 apartment homes in Denver, Colorado in which we own a 50% interest, the remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated investor; and 14 properties with 3,098 apartment homes in Las Vegas, Nevada in which we own a 20% interest, the remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated investor. Additional property held at December 31, 2005 included one property with 464 apartment homes currently in lease-up in Ashburn, Virginia in which we owned a 20% interest, the remaining interest was owned by an unaffiliated investor.*
- (c) *Includes properties held in an unconsolidated joint venture acquired through the merger with Summit at December 31, 2005 as follows: two properties with 492 apartment homes in Charlotte, North Carolina; and one property with 411 apartment homes in Raleigh, North Carolina. We owned a 25% interest in this joint venture. An unaffiliated investor owns the remaining interest in the joint venture.*
- (d) *Includes properties held in an unconsolidated joint venture entered into in 2006 as follows: four properties with 1,447 apartment homes in St. Louis, Missouri; four properties with 1,194 apartment homes in Louisville, Kentucky; and one property with 596 apartment homes in Kansas City, Missouri. The properties are held in a joint venture in which we hold a 15% interest. The remaining interest is owned by an unaffiliated investor.*
- (e) *Includes properties held in unconsolidated joint ventures entered into in 2006 as follows: one property with 271 apartment homes in Houston Texas; one property with 290 apartment homes in Orange County, California; and one property with 508 apartment homes in College Park, Maryland. Each property is held in separate joint ventures in which we hold a 30% interest in each. The remaining interest in each joint venture is owned by an unaffiliated investor.*

Stabilized Communities

We consider a property stabilized once it reaches 90% occupancy, or generally one year from opening the leasing office, with some allowances for larger than average properties. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, stabilization was achieved at two recently completed properties as follows:

| Property and Location | Number of Apartment Homes | Date of Completion | Date of Stabilization |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| Camden Farmers Market II Dallas, TX | 284 | 3Q05 | 2Q06 |
| Camden Dilworth Charlotte, NC | 145 | 2Q06 | 3Q06 |

Acquisition Communities

On January 31, 2006, we acquired the remaining 80% interest in Camden-Delta Westwind, LLC, a joint venture in which we had a 20% interest, in accordance with the Agreement and Assignment of Limited Liability Company Interest. The 80% interest was previously owned by Westwind Equity, LLC (Westwind), an unrelated third-party. As a result of the acquisition, we paid Westwind \$31.0 million, which includes a \$2.0 million non-refundable earnest money deposit paid in October 2005. Concurrent with this transaction, the mezzanine loan we had provided to the joint venture, which totaled \$12.1 million, was canceled. Additionally, we repaid the outstanding balance of a third-party construction loan, totaling \$46.8 million. We used proceeds from our unsecured line of credit facility to fund this purchase. The purchase price was allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair value at the date of acquisition. The intangible assets acquired at acquisition include in-place leases of \$0.5 million.

In July 2006, we acquired Camden Stoneleigh, a 390 apartment home community located in Austin, Texas for \$35.3 million using proceeds from our unsecured line of credit. The purchase price of this property was allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. Tangible assets, which include land, buildings and improvements are being depreciated over their estimated useful lives, which

range from 5 to 35 years. The intangible assets acquired at acquisition include in-place leases of \$0.4 million and above or below market leases of \$0.1 million. Intangible assets are being amortized over 10 months, which is the estimated average remaining life of in-place leases at time of acquisition.

Discontinued Operations

The results of operations for properties sold during the period or classified as held for sale as of September 30, 2006 are classified as discontinued operations. The property-specific components of earnings classified as discontinued operations include property revenues, property expenses and depreciation expense. The gain or loss on the eventual disposal of the held for sale properties is also classified as discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

We intend to maintain a strategy to manage our invested capital through the selective sale of properties and to utilize the proceeds to fund investments with higher anticipated growth prospects in our markets.

A summary of our 2006 dispositions and properties held for sale as of September 30, 2006 is as follows:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> Property and Location | Number of Apartment Homes | Date of Disposition | Year Built | Net Book Value (1) |
|---|--|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Dispositions | | | | |
| Camden Highlands <i>Plano, TX</i> | 160 | 1Q06 | 1985 | \$ |
| Camden View <i>Tucson, AZ</i> | 365 | 1Q06 | 1974 | |
| Camden Trails <i>Dallas, TX</i> | 264 | 2Q06 | 1984 | |
| Camden Wilshire <i>Houston, TX</i> | 536 | 2Q06 | 1982 | |
| Camden Pass <i>Tucson, AZ</i> | 456 | 2Q06 | 1984 | |
| Camden Oaks <i>Dallas, TX</i> | 446 | 3Q06 | 1985 | |
| Held for Sale | | | | |
| Camden Wyndham <i>Houston, TX</i> | 448 | n/a | 1978/1981 | 6.2 |
| Camden Trace <i>Maryland Heights, MO</i> | 372 | n/a | 1972 | 6.9 |
| Camden Taravue <i>St. Louis, MO</i> | 304 | n/a | 1975 | 5.8 |
| Camden Downs <i>Louisville, KY</i> | 254 | n/a | 1975 | 5.2 |
| Camden Crossing <i>Houston, TX</i> | 366 | n/a | 1982 | 6.5 |
| Total apartment homes sold and held for sale | 3,971 | | | |

(1) *Net Book Value is land and buildings and improvements less the related accumulated depreciation as of September 30, 2006.*

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations of \$59.9 million from the sale of six operating properties. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$106.3 million. Proceeds from the sale of one operating property sold in July 2006 were deposited with a qualified intermediary for use in a deferred like-kind exchange. Subsequent to this transaction, the exchange account was

liquidated as the deferred like-kind exchange was completed. For the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations of \$36.1 million on the sale of three operating properties, containing 1,317 apartment homes. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$127.0 million.

We reclassified two properties previously included in discontinued operations to continuing operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as management made the decision not to sell these assets. As a result, we adjusted the current and prior period consolidated financial statements to reflect the necessary reclassifications. Additionally, we recorded a depreciation charge of \$2.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 on these assets in accordance with the provisions of SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

Table of Contents

Upon our decision to abandon efforts to develop certain land parcels and to market these parcels as held for sale, we reclassify the operating expenses associated with these assets to discontinued operations. At September 30, 2006, we had several undeveloped land parcels classified as held for sale as follows:

| <i>(\$ in millions)</i> | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|
| Location | | Acres | Net Book Value |
| Southeast Florida | | 3.1 | \$ 12.0 |
| Dallas | | 2.6 | 2.5 |
| Total land held for sale | | | \$ 14.5 |

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we sold four parcels of undeveloped land totaling an aggregate of 8.7 acres to unrelated third parties. In connection with these sales, we received net proceeds of \$41.0 million and recognized gains on sale of discontinued operations totaling \$20.5 million.

Asset Dispositions and Partial Sales to Joint Ventures

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized gains, included in continuing operations, of \$91.6 million from the partial sale of nine properties to an unconsolidated affiliated joint venture. These partial sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$170.9 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized gains, included in continuing operations, of \$132.1 million from the partial sales of twelve properties to twelve affiliated unconsolidated joint ventures. These partial sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$316.8 million. The gains recognized on the partial sales of these assets were included in continuing operations due to our continuing involvement with these assets.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we recognized a gain on the sale of land located adjacent to one of our pre-development assets in College Park, Maryland of \$0.8 million. We also recognized gains of \$0.5 million and \$4.7 million on the partial sales of land to two joint ventures located in Houston, Texas and College Park, Maryland, respectively. The gains recognized on the sales of these assets were not included in discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement with these assets. These sales generated net proceeds of approximately \$55.5 million.

Development and Lease-Up Properties

At September 30, 2006, we had four completed properties in lease-up as follows:

(\$ in millions)

| Property and Location | Number of Apartment Homes | Cost to Date | % Leased at 10/29/06 | Estimated | |
|---|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | Date of Completion | Date of Stabilization |
| In Lease-Up | | | | | |
| Camden Westwind <i>Ashburn, VA</i> | 464 | \$ 94.8 | 64% | 2Q06 | 2Q07 |
| Camden Fairfax Corner <i>Fairfax, VA</i> | 488 | 80.2 | 86% | 3Q06 | 1Q07 |
| Camden Manor Park <i>Raleigh, NC</i> | 484 | 49.1 | 69% | 3Q06 | 3Q07 |
| Camden Royal Oaks <i>Houston, TX</i> | 236 | 21.4 | 31% | 3Q06 | 3Q07 |
| Total wholly-owned | 1,672 | \$ 245.5 | | | |

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2006, we had eleven properties in various stages of construction as follows:
(\$ in millions)

| Property and Location | Number of Apartment Homes | Estimated Cost | Cost Incurred | Included in Properties Under Development | Estimated Date of Completion | Estimated Date of Stabilization |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| <u>In Lease-Up</u> | | | | | | |
| Camden Clearbrook <i>Frederick, MD</i> | 297 | \$ 45.0 | 42.4 | \$ 20.9 | 4Q06 | 3Q07 |
| Camden Old Creek <i>San Marcos, CA</i> | 350 | 98.0 | 85.3 | 69.2 | 2Q07 | 4Q07 |
| <u>Under Construction</u> | | | | | | |
| Camden Monument Place <i>Fairfax, VA</i> | 368 | 64.0 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 3Q07 | 1Q08 |
| Camden Potomac Yards <i>Arlington County, VA</i> | 379 | 110.0 | 61.9 | 61.9 | 3Q07 | 3Q08 |
| Camden City Centre <i>Houston, TX</i> | 379 | 54.0 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 3Q07 | 3Q08 |
| Camden Summerfield <i>Largo, MD</i> | 291 | 68.0 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 3Q08 | 1Q09 |
| Camden Orange Court <i>Orlando, FL</i> | 261 | 49.0 | 11.8 | 11.8 | 3Q08 | 1Q09 |
| Camden Dulles Station <i>Herndon, VA</i> | 368 | 77.0 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 4Q08 | 2Q09 |
| Total wholly-owned | 2,693 | \$ 565.0 | 311.3 | \$ 273.7 | | |
| <u>Under Construction Joint Ventures</u> | | | | | | |
| Camden Main & Jamboree <i>Irvine, CA</i> | 290 | \$ 107.1 | 86.8 | \$ 86.8 | 1Q07 | 3Q07 |
| Camden Plaza <i>Houston, TX</i> | 271 | 42.9 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 3Q07 | 2Q08 |
| Camden College Park <i>College Park, MD</i> | 508 | 139.9 | 56.8 | 56.8 | 1Q09 | 4Q09 |
| Total joint ventures | 1,069 | \$ 289.9 | \$ 166.4 | \$ 166.4 | | |

Our consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2006 included \$351.2 million related to wholly-owned properties under development. Of this amount, \$273.7 million related to our eight wholly-owned projects currently under development. Additionally, at September 30, 2006, we had \$39.7 million invested in land held for future development. We also had \$37.8 million invested in land tracts adjacent to development projects, which are being utilized in conjunction with those projects. Upon completion of these development projects, we may utilize this land to further develop apartment homes in these areas. We may also sell certain parcels of these undeveloped land tracts to third parties for commercial and retail development.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

Changes in revenues and expenses related to our operating properties from period to period are due primarily to acquisitions, dispositions, the performance of stabilized properties in the portfolio, and the lease-up of newly constructed properties. Where appropriate, comparisons are made on a dollars-per-weighted average apartment home basis in order to adjust for such changes in the number of apartment homes owned during each period. Selected weighted averages for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Average monthly property revenue per apartment home | \$ 948 | \$ 874 | \$ 927 | \$ 845 |
| Annualized total property expenses per apartment home | \$ 4,574 | \$ 4,111 | \$ 4,279 | \$ 3,962 |
| Weighted average number of operating apartment homes owned 100% | 54,350 | 52,119 | 53,880 | 49,970 |
| Weighted average occupancy, total operating properties owned 100% | 95.3% | 95.6% | 97.5% | 94.6% |

Property-level operating results

The following table presents the property-level revenues and property-level expenses, excluding discontinued operations, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005:

(\$ in thousands)

| | Apartment Homes at 9/30/06 | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------|---------------|----------|--|-------------|---------------|----------|
| | | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Property revenues | | | | | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 46,565 | \$ 129,028 | \$ 119,891 | \$ 9,137 | 7.6% | \$ 379,640 | \$ 326,354 | \$ 53,286 | 16.3% |
| Non-same store communities | 3,987 | 13,766 | 8,228 | 5,538 | 67.3 | 38,858 | 20,800 | 18,058 | 86.8 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 4,365 | 4,243 | 37 | 4,206 | * | 8,118 | 37 | 8,081 | * |
| Dispositions/other | | 7,519 | 8,476 | (957) | (11.3) | 23,137 | 32,671 | (9,534) | (29.2) |
| Total property revenues | 54,917 | \$ 154,556 | \$ 136,632 | \$ 17,924 | 13.1% | \$ 449,753 | \$ 379,862 | \$ 69,891 | 18.4% |
| Property expenses | | | | | | | | | |
| Same store communities | 46,565 | \$ 50,996 | \$ 47,676 | \$ 3,320 | 7.0% | \$ 145,704 | \$ 129,167 | \$ 16,537 | 12.8% |
| Non-same store communities | 3,987 | 5,350 | 3,289 | 2,061 | 62.7 | 14,225 | 7,923 | 6,302 | 79.5 |
| Development and lease-up communities | 4,365 | 1,405 | 8 | 1,397 | * | 2,578 | 8 | 2,570 | * |

Edgar Filing: CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|------------|-----------------|
| Dispositions/other | 4,400 | 2,594 | 1,806 | 69.6 | 10,427 | 11,374 | (947) | (8.3) |
| Total property expenses | 54,917 | \$ 62,151 | \$ 53,567 | \$ 8,584 | 16.0% | \$ 172,934 | \$ 148,472 | \$ 24,462 16.5% |

Same store communities are communities we owned and were stabilized as of January 1, 2005. Non-same store communities are stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2005. Development and lease-up communities are non-stabilized communities we have acquired or developed after January 1, 2005. Dispositions primarily represent revenues from communities we have partially sold to joint ventures in which we retain an ownership interest and have not included in discontinued operations for the periods prior to sale.

* *Not a meaningful percentage*

Table of Contents*Same store analysis*

Same store property revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased 7.6% and 16.3%, respectively, from the same periods in the prior year resulting primarily from higher rental income per apartment home. Our revenue growth is the result of improving market fundamentals resulting from growth in employment and population in the majority of our markets, the increasing cost of ownership versus rental, and rising interest rates and construction costs limiting new supply. In addition, we continue to believe that our strong operating performance is not only the result of improving operating fundamentals, but also the continued enhancements we are making to many of our operational components, such as our online leasing tool and revenue management system. We believe these enhancements have created efficiencies within our business and have allowed us to take advantage of improvements in the rental market.

Total property expenses from our same store communities increased 7.0% and 12.8% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively. The increases in same store property expenses per apartment home for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in prior year were primarily due to increases in repairs and maintenance expenses, salaries expense and utilities expenses of \$86, \$79, and \$77 on an annualized per apartment home basis, respectively. These three expense categories represent approximately 60% of total operating costs for the period, and increased 10.1% as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005. The increases for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 were primarily due to increases in real estate taxes, repair and maintenance expenses and salaries expenses of \$115, \$111, and \$110 on an annualized per apartment home basis, respectively. These three expense categories represent approximately 73% of total operating costs for the period, and increased 12.4% as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Non-same store analysis and other analysis

Property revenues from non-same store, development and lease-up communities increased from \$8.3 million and \$20.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, to \$18.0 million and \$47.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 due to the completion and lease-up of properties in our development pipeline. Property revenues from dispositions/other during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 decreased \$1.0 million and \$9.5 million as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. Disposition/other property revenue earned during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 primarily relates to retail lease income of \$0.8 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, and revenues from properties partially sold into joint ventures in which we retain an ownership interest for the period in which the properties were wholly owned of \$6.4 million and \$20.0 million, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, disposition/other property revenue earned primarily relates to retail lease income of \$0.7 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, income associated with the amortization of above and below market leases acquired in the Summit merger of \$0.8 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, and revenues from properties partially sold into joint ventures in which we retain an ownership interest for the period in which the properties were wholly owned of \$6.7 million and \$28.5 million, respectively.

Property expenses from non-same store, development and lease-up communities increased from \$3.3 million and \$7.9 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, to \$6.8 million and \$16.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, primarily due to the completion and lease-up of properties in our development pipeline. Property expenses from dispositions/other increased \$1.8 million and decreased \$0.9 million as compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. The increase for the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005 is due to the partial sale of nine properties to a joint venture. The decrease for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005 is due to the twelve communities partially sold to twelve individual affiliated joint ventures during 2005 offset by the partial sale of nine properties to a joint venture during the three months ended September 30, 2006.

Table of ContentsNon-property income

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | | | Change | | | | Change | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Fee and asset management | \$ 5,433 | \$ 1,789 | \$ 3,644 | 203.7% | \$ 11,030 | \$ 10,929 | \$ 101 | 0.9% |
| Sale of technology investments | 1,602 | | 1,602 | 100.0 | 1,602 | 24,199 | (22,597) | (93.4) |
| Interest and other income | 1,733 | 1,913 | (180) | (9.4) | 6,097 | 6,401 | (304) | (4.7) |
| Income of deferred compensation plans | 1,927 | 3,209 | (1,282) | (40.0) | 4,308 | 5,327 | (1,019) | (19.1) |
| Total non-property income | \$ 10,695 | \$ 6,911 | \$ 3,784 | 54.8% | \$ 23,037 | \$ 46,856 | \$ (23,819) | (50.8) |

Fee and asset management income for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased \$3.6 million from the three months ended September 30, 2005 and remained consistent for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in prior year. The increase in income from the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005 was primarily due to \$3.1 million of development fees and structuring fees earned from the formation of three joint ventures in 2006. The fees earned from the three joint ventures formed during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were consistent with the structuring fees we earned from the partial sale of 12 properties to 12 individual joint ventures in 2005.

Income from the sale of technology investments totaled \$24.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 as compared to \$1.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006. We recognized a \$24.2 million gain on the sale of our investment in Rent.com, which was acquired by eBay Inc. during the first quarter of 2005. During the three months ended September 30, 2006, we received holdback distributions totaling \$1.6 million from the sale of our investment in Rent.com.

Income of deferred compensation plans decreased \$1.3 million and \$1.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in prior year. The decreases in income primarily relate to the performance of the assets held in the deferred compensation plan for plan participants.

Other expenses

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|--|----------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| | | | Change | | | | Change | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Property management | \$ 4,629 | \$ 4,208 | \$ 421 | 10.0% | \$ 13,821 | \$ 11,350 | \$ 2,471 | 21.8% |
| Fee and asset management | 3,689 | 2,008 | 1,681 | 83.7 | 8,293 | 4,999 | 3,294 | 65.9 |
| General and administrative | 9,849 | 6,183 | 3,666 | 59.3 | 25,299 | 18,017 | 7,282 | 40.4 |
| Transaction compensation and merger expenses | | | | 0.0 | | 14,085 | (14,085) | (100.0) |
| Interest | 29,176 | 29,331 | (155) | (0.5) | 91,592 | 81,416 | 10,176 | 12.5 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 40,399 | 44,030 | (3,631) | (8.2) | 117,945 | 119,117 | (1,172) | (1.0) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 941 | 855 | 86 | 10.0 | 2,897 | 2,872 | 25 | 0.9 |
| | 1,927 | 3,209 | (1,282) | (40.0) | 4,308 | 5,327 | (1,019) | (19.1) |

Expense on deferred
compensation plans

**Total non-property
income**

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|--------|------|------------|------------|----------|------|
| \$ 90,610 | \$ 89,824 | \$ 786 | 0.9% | \$ 264,155 | \$ 257,183 | \$ 6,972 | 2.7% |
|-----------|-----------|--------|------|------------|------------|----------|------|

Property management expense, which represents regional supervision and accounting costs related to property operations, increased from \$4.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$4.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and increased from \$11.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$13.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. These increases were primarily due to salary and benefit expenses, including long-term incentive compensation and amortization expenses recorded for share awards in accordance with SFAS 123(R). Property management expenses were 3.0% and 3.1% of total property revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and were 3.1% and 3.0% of total property revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents

Fee and asset management expense, which represents expenses related to third-party construction projects and property management for third parties, increased 83.7% from \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005 to \$3.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and increased 65.9% from \$5.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$8.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily due to increases in costs and cost over-runs on third-party projects which totaled \$2.8 million and \$5.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, and \$0.9 million and \$2.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively.

General and administrative expenses increased \$3.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in 2005, and were 6.4% and 4.5% of total property revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These expenses increased \$7.3 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in prior year, and were 5.6% and 4.7% of total property revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same periods in prior year was primarily due to increases in salary and benefit expenses, including long-term incentive compensation expense and amortization expense recorded for share awards in accordance with SFAS 123(R), and legal costs.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2005, we incurred transaction compensation and merger expenses totaling \$14.1 million. Merger expenses primarily related to training and transitional employee costs.

Gross interest cost before interest capitalized to development properties increased \$13.5 million, from \$94.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 to \$107.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The overall increase in interest expense was due primarily to a higher line of credit balance during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 as a result of continued funding of the development pipeline, increases in the effective interest rate associated with variable rate debt and interest expense on the \$250 million notes issued in June 2005. Interest capitalized increased to \$16.0 million from \$12.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, due to higher average balances in our development pipeline resulting from the fifteen wholly-owned communities recently completed or currently under construction.

Depreciation and amortization decreased 8.2% and 1.0% during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same periods in 2005. These decreases were due primarily to amortization of the value of in-place leases acquired in connection with the merger with Summit of \$9.5 million and \$22.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively, offset by additional depreciation on assets acquired, depreciation charges on assets reclassified from discontinued operations to continuing operations, and new development and capital improvements placed in service during the past year.

Expense of deferred compensation plans decreased \$1.3 million and \$1.0 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to the same period in prior year. The decreases in expense primarily relate to the performance of the assets held in the deferred compensation plan for plan participants.

Other

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Change | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|---|--|---------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Gain on sale of properties, including land | \$ 96,247 | \$ | \$ 96,247 | 100.0% | \$ 97,556 | \$ 132,117 | \$(34,561) | (26.2)% |
| Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures | 1,628 | (1,827) | 3,455 | 189.1 | 4,514 | (1,472) | 5,986 | 406.7 |
| Income allocated to common units and other minority interests | (12,413) | (261) | (12,152) | 4655.9 | (14,750) | (1,756) | (12,993) | 739.5 |

Gain on sale of properties for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 included gains to the extent of the change in ownership of \$91.6 million from the partial sale of nine properties to an affiliated joint venture and \$4.7 million from the partial sale of land to an affiliated joint venture. Also included in gain on sale

Table of Contents

of properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were gains of \$0.5 million from the partial sale of land to an affiliated joint venture and \$0.8 million from the sale of undeveloped land to an unaffiliated third party. Gain on sale of properties for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a gain of \$132.1 million from the partial sale of 12 operating communities to affiliated joint ventures.

Equity in income (loss) of joint ventures increased \$3.5 million and \$6.0 million from the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, respectively. We recognized \$2.8 million of gains on sale of three properties held through a joint venture during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Of the gains recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a gain of \$1.1 million was recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2006 from the sale of one property held through a joint venture. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, we recognized losses in one joint venture due to debt retirement costs associated with the refinancing of debt. Our portion of the debt retirement costs was approximately \$2.0 million.

Income allocated to common units and other minority interests increased \$12.2 million and \$13.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2005. These increases were due to gains recognized on the partial sale of eight properties held in Camden Operating, L.P. to a joint venture during the three months ended September 30, 2006. A portion of the gains recognized were allocated to minority interest holders in Camden Operating, L.P.

Discontinued operations

(\$ in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended | | Change | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Change | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|------------|---------|
| | September 30, 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % | 2006 | 2005 | \$ | % |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ 665 | \$ 1,481 | \$ (816) | (55.1)% | \$ 4,998 | \$ 6,118 | \$ (1,120) | (18.3)% |

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, income from discontinued operations included the results of operations of five operating properties, containing 1,744 apartment homes, classified as held for sale at September 30, 2006 and the results of operations of six operating properties and four parcels of undeveloped land sold in 2006 through their sale dates. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2005, income from discontinued operations also included three operating properties sold during the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

Funds from Operations (FFO)

Management considers FFO to be an appropriate measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) currently defines FFO as net income (computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles), excluding gains (or losses) from depreciable operating property sales, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Diluted FFO also assumes conversion of all dilutive convertible securities, including convertible minority interests, which are convertible into common shares. We consider FFO to be an appropriate supplemental measure of operating performance because, by excluding gains or losses on dispositions of operating properties and excluding depreciation, FFO can help one compare the operating performance of a company's real estate between periods or as compared to different companies.

We believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our consolidated historical operating results, FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income as presented in the consolidated statements of operations and data included elsewhere in this report. FFO is not defined by generally accepted accounting principles. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indication of our operating performance. Furthermore, FFO as disclosed by other REITs may not be comparable to our calculation.

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of net income to diluted FFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 is as follows:

(in thousands)

| | Three Months | | Nine Months | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | Ended September 30, | | Ended September 30, | |
| | 2006 | 2005 | 2006 | 2005 |
| Funds from operations: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 125,457 | \$ (2,317) | \$ 201,482 | \$ 186,199 |
| Real estate depreciation, including discontinued operations | 39,990 | 45,163 | 117,314 | 122,626 |
| Adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures | (325) | 1,284 | (543) | 3,249 |
| Gain on sale of operating properties, including discontinued operations | (100,423) | | (151,467) | (168,221) |
| Income allocated to common units, including discontinued operations | 13,125 | 241 | 16,280 | 1,969 |
| Funds from operations diluted | \$ 77,824 | \$ 44,371 | \$ 183,066 | \$ 145,822 |
| Weighted average shares basic | 58,348 | 54,018 | 56,063 | 51,294 |
| Incremental shares issuable from assumed conversion of: | | | | |
| Common share options and awards granted | 768 | 496 | 700 | 456 |
| Common units | 3,769 | 4,086 | 3,903 | 3,744 |
| Weighted average shares diluted | 62,885 | 58,600 | 60,666 | 55,494 |

Adjustments for unconsolidated joint ventures included in FFO for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 includes net gains totaling \$1.1 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, from the sale of properties held in joint ventures. Included in the net gains recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 are \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in prepayment penalties associated with the repayment of mortgages associated with the sold properties.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We are committed to maintaining a strong balance sheet and preserving our financial flexibility, which we believe enhances our ability to identify and capitalize on investment opportunities as they become available. We intend to maintain what management believes is a conservative capital structure by:

using what management believes is a prudent combination of debt and common and preferred equity;

extending and sequencing the maturity dates of our debt where possible;

managing interest rate exposure using what management believes are prudent levels of fixed and floating rate debt;

borrowing on an unsecured basis in order to maintain a substantial number of unencumbered assets; and

maintaining conservative coverage ratios.

Our interest expense coverage ratio, net of capitalized interest, was 2.9 and 2.6 times for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and 2.8 and 2.9 times for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Interest expense coverage ratio is derived by dividing interest expense for the period into the sum

of income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties, equity in income (loss) of joint ventures and minority interests, depreciation, amortization, interest expense and income from discontinued operations. At September 30, 2006 and 2005, 79.8% and 77.0%, respectively, of our properties (based on invested capital) were unencumbered. Our weighted average maturity of debt, excluding our line of credit, was 5.2 years at September 30, 2006.

Table of Contents

As a result of the significant cash flow generated by our operations, the availability under our unsecured credit facility and other short-term borrowings, proceeds from dispositions of properties and other investments and access to the capital markets by issuing securities under our automatic shelf registration statement, we believe our liquidity and financial condition are sufficient to meet all of our reasonably anticipated cash flow needs during 2006 including:

normal recurring operating expenses;

current debt service requirements;

recurring capital expenditures;

initial funding of property developments, acquisitions and notes receivable; and

the minimum dividend payments required to maintain our REIT qualification under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

One of our principal long-term liquidity requirements includes the repayment of maturing debt, including borrowings under our unsecured line of credit used to fund development and acquisition activities. For unsecured notes, we anticipate that no significant portion of the principal of those notes will be repaid prior to maturity. Additionally, as of September 30, 2006, we had several development projects in various stages of construction, for which a total estimated cost of \$200.7 million remained to be funded. We intend to meet our long-term liquidity requirements through the use of security offerings under our automatic shelf registration statement, draws on our unsecured credit facility and property dispositions.

In September 2006, we announced our Board of Trust Managers had declared a dividend distribution of \$0.66 per share to holders of record as of September 29, 2006 of our common shares. The dividend was subsequently paid on October 17, 2006. We paid equivalent amounts per unit to holders of the common operating partnership units. This distribution to common shareholders and holders of common operating partnership units equates to an annualized dividend rate of \$2.64 per share or unit.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased to \$184.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 from \$163.5 million for the same period in 2005. The increases were primarily due to additional property revenues from recently acquired properties and growth in property revenues from our stabilized communities. This increase was partially offset by the loss of property revenues due to sales of properties and other transactional expenses.

Cash flows provided by investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 totaled \$41.2 million, as compared to net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2005 of \$140.0 million. We incurred \$365.2 million in property development, acquisition and capital improvement costs during the first nine months of 2006 as compared to \$201.5 million during the same period in 2005. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, we paid \$5.7 million of severance benefits associated with the Summit merger. Proceeds received from sales of properties and technology investments, sales of assets to joint ventures and joint venture distributions representing returns of investments totaled \$411.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 primarily consisted of transactions associated with the Summit merger and expenditures related to real estate assets as we paid \$508.8 million in connection with the Summit merger, either as consideration paid at acquisition or for merger liabilities assumed. These payments were ultimately funded using a portion of the proceeds received from the sales of properties and technology investments and distributions from joint ventures representing returns of investments, which totaled an aggregate of \$537.4 million.

Net cash used in financing activities totaled \$218.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, primarily as a result of the repayment of our line of credit of \$237.0 million, payments of \$85.7 million for senior unsecured notes, distributions to shareholders and minority interest holders of \$123.2 million, and an increase in notes receivable affiliates of \$31.2 million as three mezzanine loans were provided to joint ventures formed during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The cash used in financing activities was partially offset by \$254.9 million of proceeds

from the issuance of 3.6 million common shares. Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 was \$24.7 million, primarily due to the repayment of a

Table of Contents

secured credit facility assumed in our merger with Summit of \$188.5 million, distributions to shareholders and minority interest holders and redemption of preferred units of \$126.9 million, partially offset by a net increase in our unsecured line of credit of \$65.0 million and proceeds from notes payable of \$248.4 million.

Financial Flexibility

In January 2005, we entered into a credit agreement which increased our unsecured credit facility to \$600 million, with the ability to further increase it up to \$750 million. This \$600 million unsecured line of credit originally matured in January 2008. In January 2006, we entered into an amendment to our credit agreement to extend the maturity by two years to January 2010 and to amend certain covenants. The scheduled interest rate is based on spreads over the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) or the Prime Rate. The scheduled interest rate spreads are subject to change as our credit ratings change. Advances under the line of credit may be priced at the scheduled rates, or we may enter into bid rate loans with participating banks at rates below the scheduled rates. These bid rate loans have terms of six months or less and may not exceed the lesser of \$300 million or the remaining amount available under the line of credit. The line of credit is subject to customary financial covenants and limitations, all of which we were in compliance with at September 30, 2006.

Our line of credit provides us with the ability to issue up to \$100 million in letters of credit. While our issuance of letters of credit does not increase our borrowings outstanding under our line, it does reduce the amount available. At September 30, 2006, we had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$35.5 million, and had \$550.5 million available, under our unsecured line of credit.

As part of the Summit merger, we assumed Summit's unsecured letter of credit facility, which matures in July 2008 and has a total commitment of \$20.0 million. The letters of credit issued under this facility serve as collateral for performance on contracts and as credit guarantees to banks and insurers. As of September 30, 2006, there were \$3.5 million of letters of credit commitments outstanding under this facility.

As an alternative to our unsecured line of credit, we from time to time borrow using competitively bid unsecured short-term notes with lenders who may or may not be a part of the unsecured line of credit bank group. Such borrowings vary in term and pricing and are typically priced at interest rates below those available under the unsecured line of credit.

During 2006, we repaid \$75.0 million of maturing unsecured notes with an effective interest rate of 6.04%. We repaid these notes payable using proceeds available under our unsecured line of credit.

In connection with our sale of nine apartment communities to a joint venture during the three months ended September 30, 2006, as discussed in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements, three tax-exempt mortgage notes totaling \$30.5 million were assumed by the joint venture.

At September 30, 2006 and 2005, the weighted average interest rate on our floating rate debt, which includes our unsecured line of credit, was 4.9% and 4.0%, respectively.

In June 2006, we issued 3.6 million common shares at \$71.25 per share in a public equity offering. We used the net proceeds of \$254.9 million to reduce indebtedness on our unsecured line of credit allowing additional capacity to fund our current and future development activities and for general corporate purposes.

We filed an automatic shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission during the three months ended September 30, 2006 that became effective upon filing. We may use the shelf registration statement to offer, from time to time, common shares, preferred shares, debt securities or warrants. Our declaration of trust provides that we may issue up to 110,000,000 shares of beneficial interest, consisting of 100,000,000 common shares and 10,000,000 preferred shares. As of September 30, 2006, we had 56,409,128 common shares outstanding under our declaration of trust.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes our known contractual obligations as of September 30, 2006:
(in millions)

| | Total | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Thereafter |
|---|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Debt maturities | \$ 2,280.5 | \$ 128.4 | \$ 233.0 | \$ 200.7 | \$ 198.2 | \$ 466.8 | \$ 1,053.4 |
| Interest payments (1) | 566.8 | 30.6 | 108.2 | 99.5 | 86.2 | 68.4 | 173.9 |
| Non-cancelable operating lease payments | 19.7 | 0.7 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 9.8 |
| Construction contracts | 200.7 | 51.5 | 123.4 | 25.8 | | | |
| | \$ 3,067.7 | \$ 211.2 | \$ 467.2 | \$ 328.4 | \$ 286.6 | \$ 537.2 | \$ 1,237.1 |

(1) Includes contractual interest payments for our line of credit, senior unsecured notes, medium-term notes and secured notes. The interest payments on certain secured notes with floating interest rates and our line of credit were calculated based on the interest rates in effect as of September 29, 2006.

The joint ventures in which we have an interest have been funded with secured, third-party debt. We are not committed to any additional funding on third-party debt in relation to our joint ventures.

Inflation

Substantially all of our apartment leases are for a term generally ranging from 6 to 13 months. In an inflationary environment, we may realize increased rents at the commencement of new leases or upon the renewal of existing leases. The short-term nature of our leases generally minimizes our risk from the adverse affects of inflation.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that are most important to the presentation of a company's financial condition and results, and require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We follow financial accounting and reporting policies that are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Income recognition. Our rental and other property income is recorded when due from residents and is recognized monthly as it is earned. Other property income consists primarily of utility rebillings, and administrative, application and other transactional fees charged to our residents. Retail lease income is recorded on a straight-line basis over the lease term, including any construction period if we are determined not to be the owner of the tenant improvements. Interest, fee and asset management and all other sources of income are recognized as earned.

Capital expenditures. We capitalize renovation and improvement costs that we believe extend the economic lives and enhance the earnings of the related assets. Capital expenditures, including carpet, appliances and HVAC unit replacements, subsequent to initial construction are capitalized and depreciated over their estimated useful lives, which range from 3 to 20 years.

Notes receivable. We evaluate the collectibility of both interest and principal of each of our notes receivable. If we identify that the borrower is unable to perform its duties under the notes receivable or that the operations of the property do not support the continued recognition of interest income or the carrying value of the loan, we then cease income recognition and record an impairment charge against the loan.

Asset impairment. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows and costs to sell, an impairment charge equal to the excess is recognized.

Table of Contents

Cost capitalization. Real estate assets are carried at cost plus capitalized carrying charges. Carrying charges are primarily interest and real estate taxes which are capitalized as part of properties under development. Expenditures directly related to the development, acquisition and improvement of real estate assets, excluding internal costs relating to acquisitions of operating properties, are capitalized at cost as land, buildings and improvements. Indirect development costs, including salaries and benefits and other related costs attributable to the development of properties, are also capitalized. All construction and carrying costs are capitalized and reported on the balance sheet in properties under development until the apartment homes are substantially completed. Upon substantial completion of the apartment homes, the total cost for the apartment homes and the associated land is transferred to buildings and improvements and land, respectively, and the assets are depreciated over their estimated useful lives using the straight-line method of depreciation.

Where possible, we stage our construction to allow leasing and occupancy during the construction period, which we believe minimizes the duration of the lease-up period following completion of construction. Our accounting policy related to properties in the development and leasing phase is that all operating expenses associated with completed apartment homes are expensed.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, results of operations during the reporting periods and related disclosures. Our more significant estimates relate to determining the allocation of the purchase price of our acquisitions, estimates supporting our impairment analysis related to the carrying value of our real estate assets, estimates of the useful lives of our assets, reserves related to co-insurance requirements under our property, general liability and employee benefit insurance programs and estimates of expected losses of variable interest entities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

New Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123(R) requiring the compensation cost relating to share-based payments be recognized over their vesting periods in the income statement based on their estimated fair values. In April 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, "*Share-Based Payment*" providing for a phased-in implementation process for SFAS No. 123(R). SFAS No. 123(R) is effective for all public entities in the first annual reporting period beginning after June 15, 2005. We adopted SFAS No. 123(R) on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. The impact of adopting this pronouncement is discussed in Note 11 *Share-based Compensation*. In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154 *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (SFAS No. 154). This pronouncement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle and revises the requirements for accounting for and reporting a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. This pronouncement also requires changes to the method of depreciation, amortization, or depletion for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate that is effected by a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. SFAS No. 154 does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those which are in a transition phase (such as SFAS No. 123(R)) as of the effective date of SFAS No. 154. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2005, the FASB issued Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-05, "*Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights*." EITF Issue No. 04-05 provides a framework for determining whether a general partner controls, and should consolidate, a limited partnership or a similar entity. EITF Issue No. 04-05 was effective after June 29, 2005, for all newly formed limited partnerships and for any pre-existing limited partnerships that modify their partnership agreements after that date. General partners of all other limited partnerships are required to apply the consensus no later than the beginning of the first reporting

Table of Contents

period in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of EITF Issue No. 04-05 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2005, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) 78-9-1, *Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-05*. The EITF acknowledged the consensus in EITF Issue No. 04-05 conflicted with certain aspects of Statement of Position (SOP) 78-9, *Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures*. The EITF agreed with the assessment of whether a general partner, or the general partners as a group, controls a limited partnership should be consistent for all limited partnerships, irrespective of the industry within which the limited partnership operates. Accordingly, the guidance in SOP 78-9 was amended in FSP 78-9-1 to be consistent with the guidance in EITF Issue No. 04-05. The effective dates for this FSP are the same as those mentioned above in EITF Issue No. 04-05. The adoption of FSP 78-9-1 did not have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2006, the FASB issued FSP FASB Interpretation (FIN) 46(R)-6, *Determining the Variability to Be Considered in Applying FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*. FIN 46(R)-6 addresses how a reporting enterprise should determine variability associated with a variable interest entity or variable interests in an entity when applying the provisions of FIN 46(R) and is effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2006. We will evaluate the impact of FIN 46(R)-6 at the time any reconsideration event occurs, as defined by the provisions of FIN 46(R), and for any new entities with which we become involved in future periods.

In June 2006, the FASB issued FIN 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109*, which clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in tax positions. FIN 48 requires we recognize in our financial statements the impact of a tax position, if the position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We are in the process of assessing the impact of FIN 48 and have not determined what impact, if any, our adoption will have on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The statement does not require new fair value measurements, but is applied to the extent other accounting pronouncements require or permit fair value measurements. The statement emphasizes fair value as a market-based measurement which should be determined based on assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. We will be required to disclose the extent to which fair value is used to measure assets and liabilities, the inputs used to develop the measurements, and the effect of certain of the measurements on earnings (or changes in net assets) for the period. SFAS No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We do not anticipate the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)*, which requires an employer to recognize the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan (other than a multiemployer plan) as an asset or liability in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income of a business entity. SFAS No. 158 also requires an employer to measure the funded status of a plan as of the date of its year-end statement of financial position, with limited exceptions. This statement is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2006. We do not anticipate the adoption of this statement will have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

No material changes to our exposures to market risk have occurred since our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by the report pursuant to Securities Exchange Act (Exchange Act) Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in our Exchange Act filings is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Changes in internal controls. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting occurring during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For further discussion regarding legal proceedings, see Note 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes to the Risk Factors previously disclosed in Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Chief Executive Officer dated November 3, 2006.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Chief Financial Officer dated November 3, 2006.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on our behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CAMDEN PROPERTY TRUST

/s/ Michael P. Gallagher

November 3, 2006

Michael P. Gallagher
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Date

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|------------------------|---|
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Chief Executive Officer dated November 3, 2006. |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of Chief Financial Officer dated November 3, 2006. |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002. |