

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Altisource Residential Corp
Form 10-Q
May 07, 2015

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-35657

Altisource Residential Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
MARYLAND 46-0633510
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

c/o Altisource Asset Management Corporation
36C Strand Street
Christiansted, United States Virgin Islands 00820
(Address of principal executive office)

(340) 692-1055
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer
Non-Accelerated Filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
 No x

As of April 30, 2015, 57,203,211 shares of our common stock were outstanding.

Altisource Residential Corporation

March 31, 2015

Table of Contents

<u>Part I</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Item 1. Financial statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations</u>	<u>21</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and procedures</u>	<u>36</u>
<u>Part II</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk factors</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine safety disclosures</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>38</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>39</u>

(table of contents)

References in this report to "we," "our," "us," or the "Company" refer to Altisource Residential Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated. References in this report to "AAMC" refer to Altisource Asset Management Corporation, unless otherwise indicated. References in this report to "Altisource" refer to Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A. and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated. References in this report to "Ocwen" refer to Ocwen Financial Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated.

Special note on forward-looking statements

Our disclosure and analysis in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the "Securities Act," and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which we refer to as the "Exchange Act." In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "intends," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," or "potential" or the negative of these and phrases or similar words or phrases which are predictions of or indicate future events or trends and which do not relate solely to historical matters. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions.

The forward-looking statements contained in this report reflect our current views about future events and are subject to numerous known and unknown risks, uncertainties, assumptions and changes in circumstances that may cause our actual results to differ significantly from those expressed in any forward-looking statement. Factors that may materially affect such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- our ability to implement our business strategy;
- our ability to make distributions to our stockholders;
- our ability to acquire assets for our portfolio;
- the impact of changes to the supply of, value of and the returns on sub-performing and non-performing loans;
- our ability to convert loans to rental properties generating attractive returns;
- our ability to predict our costs;
- our ability to effectively compete with our competitors;
- our ability to apply the proceeds from financing activities to target assets in a timely manner;
- changes in interest rates and the market value of the collateral underlying our sub-performing and non-performing loan portfolios or acquired properties;
 - our ability to obtain and access financing arrangements on favorable terms, or at all;
- our ability to maintain adequate liquidity;
- our ability to retain our engagement of AAMC;
- the failure of Altisource to effectively perform its obligations under various agreements with us;
- the failure of our mortgage loan servicers to effectively perform their servicing obligations;
- our failure to maintain qualification as a REIT;
- our failure to maintain our exemption from registration under the Investment Company Act;
- the impact of adverse real estate, mortgage or housing markets;
- the impact of adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes; and
- general economic and market conditions.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, assumptions and expectations, they are not guarantees of future performance. Such forward-looking statements speak only as of their respective dates, and we assume no obligation to update them to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information or otherwise. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could cause our future results to differ materially from any forward-looking statements, please see "Item 1A. Risk factors" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 2014.

ii

(table of contents)

Part I

Item 1. Financial statements (unaudited)

Certain information contained herein is presented as of April 30, 2015, which we have concluded is the latest practicable date for financial information prior to the filing of this quarterly report.

1

(table of contents)

Altisource Residential Corporation
 Consolidated Balance Sheets
 (In thousands, except share and per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets:		
Real estate held for use:		
Land	\$21,267	\$14,424
Rental residential properties (net of accumulated depreciation of \$2,046 and \$1,062, respectively)	86,206	60,908
Real estate owned	456,479	457,045
Total real estate held for use, net	563,952	532,377
Real estate assets held for sale	150,807	92,230
Mortgage loans at fair value	1,853,495	1,959,044
Mortgage loans held for sale	12,485	12,535
Cash and cash equivalents	53,246	66,166
Restricted cash	14,766	13,282
Accounts receivable	10,398	10,313
Related party receivables	24,530	17,491
Investment in affiliate	18,000	18,000
Deferred leasing and financing costs, net	5,384	4,251
Prepaid expenses and other assets	672	373
Total assets	\$2,707,735	\$2,726,062
Liabilities:		
Repurchase agreements	\$929,287	\$1,015,000
Other secured borrowings (including \$14,991 repurchase agreement with NewSource at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014)	379,840	339,082
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	42,686	11,678
Related party payables	52,541	33,391
Total liabilities	1,404,354	1,399,151
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Equity:		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 authorized shares; 57,203,211 and 57,192,212 shares issued and outstanding, at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively	572	572
Additional paid-in capital	1,227,175	1,227,091
Retained earnings	75,634	99,248
Total equity	1,303,381	1,326,911
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,707,735	\$2,726,062

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

(table of contents)

Altisource Residential Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Operations
 (In thousands, except share and per share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Revenues:		
Rental revenues	\$1,400	\$69
Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans	61,134	65,130
Net realized gain on mortgage loans	15,382	9,321
Net realized gain on re-performing mortgage loans	151	—
Net realized gain on real estate	10,608	—
Interest income	240	108
Total revenues	88,915	74,628
Expenses:		
Residential property operating expenses	12,459	1,050
Real estate depreciation and amortization	998	48
Real estate selling costs and impairment	14,691	354
Mortgage loan servicing costs	18,266	11,437
Interest expense	11,643	5,708
General and administrative	4,379	1,038
Related party general and administrative	16,052	12,632
Total expenses	78,488	32,267
Other income	2,000	—
Income before income taxes	12,427	42,361
Income tax expense	3	448
Net income	\$12,424	\$41,913
Earnings per share of common stock – basic:		
Earnings per basic share	\$0.22	\$0.78
Weighted average common stock outstanding – basic	57,200,889	53,436,108
Earnings per share of common stock – diluted:		
Earnings per diluted share	\$0.22	\$0.77
Weighted average common stock outstanding – diluted	57,406,619	54,086,959
Dividends declared per common share	\$0.63	\$0.48

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

(table of contents)

Altisource Residential Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity
 (In thousands, except share amounts)
 (Unaudited)

	Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Total equity
	Number of shares	Amount			
December 31, 2014	57,192,212	\$572	\$1,227,091	\$99,248	\$1,326,911
Issuance of common stock, including stock option exercises	10,999	—	27	—	27
Dividends on common stock (\$0.63 per share)	—	—	—	(36,038)	(36,038)
Share-based compensation	—	—	57	—	57
Net income	—	—	—	12,424	12,424
March 31, 2015	57,203,211	\$572	\$1,227,175	\$75,634	\$1,303,381
	Common stock				
	Number of shares	Amount	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Total equity
December 31, 2013	42,286,669	\$423	\$758,584	\$26,420	\$785,427
Issuance of common stock, including stock option exercises	14,828,094	148	483,358	—	483,506
Cost of issuance of common stock	—	—	(15,071)	—	(15,071)
Dividends on common stock (\$0.48 per share)	—	—	—	(27,398)	(27,398)
Share-based compensation	—	—	56	—	56
Net income	—	—	—	41,913	41,913
March 31, 2014	57,114,763	\$571	\$1,226,927	\$40,935	\$1,268,433

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

(table of contents)

Altisource Residential Corporation
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 (In thousands)(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Operating activities:		
Net income	\$12,424	\$41,913
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans	(61,134)	(65,130)
Net realized gain on mortgage loans	(15,382)	(9,321)
Net realized gain on sale of re-performing mortgage loans	(151)	—
Net realized gain on sale of real estate	(10,608)	(55)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	998	48
Real estate selling costs and impairment	14,691	355
Accretion of interest on re-performing mortgage loans	(232)	—
Share-based compensation	57	56
Amortization of deferred financing costs	532	608
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	996	747
Related party receivables	(4,535)	63
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(299)	(145)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,024	633
Related party payables	19,150	6,661
Net cash used in operating activities	(42,469)	(23,567)
Investing activities:		
Investment in mortgage loans	—	(611,357)
Investment in renovations	(5,534)	(447)
Real estate tax advances	(6,556)	(6,259)
Mortgage loan dispositions	56,337	32,775
Mortgage loan payments	5,816	3,129
Disposition of real estate	32,139	734
Change in restricted cash	(1,484)	(1,456)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	80,718	(582,881)
Financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock, including stock option exercises	74	487,041
Payment of tax withholdings on exercise of stock options	(47)	(3,535)
Cost of issuance of common stock	—	(15,071)
Dividends on common stock	(4,576)	(27,398)
Proceeds from issuance of other secured debt	50,690	—
Repayments of secured notes	(9,256)	—
Proceeds from repurchase agreement	33,877	127,664
Repayments of repurchase agreement	(119,590)	(30,096)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(2,341)	(123)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(51,169)	538,482
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(12,920)	(67,966)
Cash and cash equivalents as of beginning of the period	66,166	115,988
Cash and cash equivalents as of end of the period	\$53,246	\$48,022

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

Cash paid for interest	\$10,429	\$4,736
Transfer of mortgage loans to real estate owned	136,182	96,528
Transfer of real estate owned to mortgage loans	1,356	—
Change in accrued capital expenditures	(1,430) —
Change in accrued equity issuance costs	—	183
Changes in receivables from mortgage loan dispositions, payments and real estate tax advances to borrowers, net	2,848	1,247
Changes in receivables from real estate owned dispositions	630	—
Changes in payables from dividends	31,462	—
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.		

5

(table of contents)

Altisource Residential Corporation
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
March 31, 2015
(Unaudited)

1. Organization and basis of presentation

Altisource Residential Corporation is a Maryland REIT focused on acquiring, owning and managing single-family rental properties throughout the United States. We acquire our rental properties primarily through the acquisition of sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loan portfolios, which is a differentiated approach that we believe strategically positions us to take advantage of market opportunities better than market participants that are solely focused on real estate-owned (“REO”) acquisitions. We conduct substantially all of our activities through our wholly owned subsidiary Altisource Residential, L.P.

On December 21, 2012 we became a stand-alone publicly traded company with an initial capital contribution of \$100 million. We have a long-term service agreement with Altisource Portfolio Solutions, SA (“Altisource”), a leading provider of real estate and mortgage portfolio management, asset recovery and customer relationship management services. We also have servicing agreements with three separate mortgage loan servicers.

We are managed by Altisource Asset Management Corporation (“AAMC”). We rely on AAMC for administering our business and performing certain of our corporate governance functions. AAMC also provides portfolio management services in connection with our acquisition and management of sub-performing and non-performing loans and REO properties. AAMC was formed on March 15, 2012 as a wholly owned subsidiary of Altisource and was spun off from Altisource into a stand-alone publicly traded company concurrently with our separation from Altisource. On March 31, 2015, we entered into a new asset management agreement with AAMC (the “AMA”) with an effective date of April 1, 2015. Prior to the AMA, we were party to an asset management agreement with AAMC (the “Original AMA”) pursuant to which we received incentive fees, which we refer to as our “incentive management fees,” that gave us a share of Residential’s cash flow available for distribution to its stockholders as well as reimbursement for certain overhead and operating expenses. The new AMA provides for a new fee structure in which we pay AAMC a base management fee, an incentive management fee and a conversion fee for properties that become rental properties during each quarter versus the incentive management fee and expense reimbursement structure under the Original AMA. For additional details on the new AMA, please see “Note 7. Related Party Transactions.”

Since we commenced operations, we have completed three public equity offerings with aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$1.1 billion. We also entered into three separate repurchase agreements to finance the acquisition and ownership of residential mortgage loans and REO properties. The maximum aggregate funding available under these repurchase agreements at December 31, 2014 was \$1.2 billion. Following the amendments described below, the maximum aggregate funding available to us under these repurchase agreements as of March 31, 2015 was \$970.5 million, subject to certain sublimits, eligibility requirements and conditions precedent to each funding. As of March 31, 2015, an aggregate of \$929.3 million was outstanding under our repurchase agreements. All obligations of our subsidiaries under the repurchase agreements are fully guaranteed by us.

Each of our repurchase agreements is described below:

• Credit Suisse (“CS”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on March 22, 2013, (the “CS repurchase agreement”) with an initial aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$100.0 million. During 2014 the CS repurchase agreement was amended on several occasions, ultimately increasing the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity to \$225.0 million on December 31, 2014 with a maturity date of April 20, 2015, subject to an additional one-year extension with the approval of the lender. On April 20, 2015, we entered into an amended and restated repurchase

agreement with CS that increased our aggregate borrowing capacity from \$225.0 million to \$275.0 million, increased the REO sublimit under the facility and extended the maturity date to April 18, 2016. Please see “Note 12. Subsequent Events” for additional information.

Deutsche Bank (“DB”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on September 12, 2013 (the “DB repurchase agreement”). The DB repurchase agreement was amended on December 18, 2013, has an aggregate funding capacity of \$250.0 million and matures on March 11, 2016. The DB repurchase agreement includes a provision that, beginning in April 2015, we will not be able to finance mortgage loans in excess of amounts outstanding under the facility at the end of March 2015. Therefore, our aggregate funding capacity under the DB repurchase agreement was reduced to \$219.7 million in April 2015, which was the amount outstanding under the facility at the time.

(table of contents)

Wells Fargo (“Wells”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on September 23, 2013 (the “Wells repurchase agreement”) with an initial aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$200.0 million. Throughout 2013 and 2014 the Wells repurchase agreement was amended several times increasing the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity to a high of \$1.0 billion, and on December 31, 2014 was reduced to \$750.0 million, subject to certain sublimits, to reflect the securitization of a significant portion of our non-performing loans that previously had been financed under the Wells repurchase agreement. The maturity date of the Wells repurchase agreement was March 23, 2015. However, on February 20, 2015, we exercised our option to extend the termination date of this facility to March 23, 2016 without any additional funding, thereby reducing our aggregate funding capacity under the Wells repurchase agreement to \$525.8 million which was the amount outstanding under the facility on the extension date. We are in discussions with Wells to further extend the repurchase agreement with an ability to obtain additional funding. No assurance can be provided that we will be able to renew this facility on reasonable terms, on a timely basis or at all. In the event we cannot extend the agreement with an ability to obtain additional funding, the advance rate will be reduced by 10% after the first 90 days of the extension term and we will not be entitled to draw additional funds under the facility.

Following all of the amendments described above, the maximum aggregate funding available to us under these repurchase agreements as of March 31, 2015 was \$970.5 million, subject to certain sublimits, eligibility requirements and conditions precedent to each funding. As of March 31, 2015, an aggregate of \$0.9 billion was outstanding under our repurchase agreements. All obligations of our subsidiaries under the repurchase agreements are fully guaranteed by us.

On November 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-2 (“ARLP 2014-2”) issued \$270.8 million in Class A Notes (the “Class A Notes”) with a weighted coupon of approximately 3.85% and \$234.0 million in Class M Notes (the “Class M Notes”). We initially retained \$95.8 million of the Class A Notes and all of the Class M Notes in our taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”). On February 9, 2015, we sold \$50.7 million of the retained Class A Notes to an unrelated third party. No interest will be paid on any Class M Notes while any Class A Notes remain outstanding. The Class A Notes and Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-2 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-2 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on January 26, 2054, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-2 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-2 was \$332.5 million.

On September 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-1 (“ARLP 2014-1”) issued \$150.0 million in Class A Notes (the “Class A Notes”) with a weighted coupon of approximately 3.47% and \$32.0 million in Class M Notes (the “Class M Notes”) with a weighted coupon of 4.25%. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-1 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-1 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on September 25, 2044, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-1 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-1 was \$213.8 million.

We retained all of the Class M Notes issued by ARLP 2014-1 in our TRS. On September 30, 2014, pursuant to a master repurchase agreement, the TRS sold \$15.0 million of the Class M Notes to NewSource Reinsurance Company Ltd, (“NewSource”), an entity in which we own 100% of the outstanding preferred stock and in which AAMC owns 100% of the outstanding common stock, for a purchase price of \$15.0 million. The master repurchase agreement initially required the TRS to repurchase the Class M Notes from NewSource at a 5.0% yield on December 28, 2014,

with the parties having the option to extend the master repurchase agreement for additional 89-day periods. In no event can the master repurchase agreement be extended beyond September 29, 2015. The agreement is currently due to expire on June 25, 2015.

Basis of presentation and use of estimates

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States which we refer to as "U.S. GAAP." All wholly owned subsidiaries are included and all intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

7

(table of contents)

The unaudited consolidated financial statements and accompanying unaudited consolidated financial information, in our opinion, contain all adjustments that are of a normal recurring nature and necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. The interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. We have omitted certain notes and other information from the interim consolidated financial statements presented in this Quarterly Report as permitted by SEC rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our 2014 annual report on Form 10-K.

Recently issued accounting standards

In April 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) - Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs are presented on the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability instead of being presented as an asset. Debt disclosures will include the face amount of the debt liability and the effective interest rate. The standard requires retrospective application and represents a change in accounting principle. The standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the impact of adopting this standard to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810) – Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. ASU 2015-02 makes targeted amendments to the current consolidation guidance that change the analysis a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. This guidance addresses concerns that current accounting might require a reporting entity to consolidate another legal entity in situations in which the reporting entity's contractual rights do not give it the ability to act primarily on its own behalf, the reporting entity does not hold a majority of the legal entity's voting rights, or the reporting entity is not exposed to a majority of the legal entity's economic benefits or obligations. The standard is effective for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of ASU 2015-02 on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 is a comprehensive new revenue recognition model requiring a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount reflecting the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 may be applied using either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2016, and early adoption is not permitted. We do not expect this amendment to have a significant effect on our financial position or results of operations.

2. Mortgage loans

Acquisitions of non-performing residential mortgage loans

During the first quarter of 2015, we did not acquire any portfolios of residential mortgage loans. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we acquired an aggregate of 4,207 residential mortgage loans, substantially all of which were non-performing, having an aggregate UPB of approximately \$1.1 billion and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of approximately \$901 million. The aggregate purchase price for these acquisitions was approximately \$611 million.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we expensed \$0.1 million for due diligence costs related to a potential purchase of a portfolio we did not ultimately acquire. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we recognized \$0.5 million for due diligence costs related to transactions in both general and administrative expense and related

party general and administrative expense.

Generally, we expect that our residential mortgage loan and REO portfolios may grow at an uneven pace, as opportunities to acquire distressed residential mortgage loans may be irregularly timed and may involve large portfolios of loans, and the timing and extent of our success in acquiring such loans cannot be predicted. In addition, for any given portfolio of loans that we agree to acquire, we typically acquire fewer loans than originally expected, as certain loans may be resolved prior to the closing date or may fail to meet our diligence standards. The number of loans excluded from an acquisition typically constitutes a relatively small portion of a particular portfolio. In some cases, the number of loans we do not acquire could be significant. In any case where we do not acquire the full portfolio, appropriate adjustments are made to the applicable purchase price.

8

Throughout this report, all unpaid principal balance and market value amounts for the portfolios we have acquired are provided as of “cut-off date” for each transaction unless otherwise indicated. The “cut-off date” for each acquisition is a date shortly before the closing used to identify the final loans being purchased and the related unpaid principal balance, market value of underlying properties and other characteristics of the loans.

Transfer of mortgage loans to real estate owned

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we transferred 724 and 636 mortgage loans, respectively, to REO at an aggregate fair value based on broker price opinions ("BPOs") of \$134.8 million and \$96.5 million, respectively. Such transfers occur when the foreclosure sale is complete. In connection with these transfers to REO, we recorded \$18.4 million and \$23.6 million, respectively, in net unrealized gains on mortgage loans. At March 31, 2015, we had 7,021 loans with a carrying value of \$1.4 billion that were in the foreclosure process compared to 7,841 loans with a carrying value of \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2014.

Dispositions

During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we disposed of 150 and 116 mortgage loans, respectively, primarily through short sales, refinancing and foreclosure sales. In connection with these dispositions, we recorded \$15.4 million and \$9.3 million, respectively, of net realized gains on mortgage loans.

Acquisition of re-performing residential mortgage loans

On June 27, 2014, we acquired 879 re-performing mortgage loans with an aggregate market value of underlying properties of \$271.1 million for an aggregate purchase price of \$144.6 million. Under ASC 310-30, acquired loans may be aggregated and accounted for as a pool of loans if the loans being aggregated have common risk characteristics. A pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. These 879 re-performing residential mortgage loans were determined to have common risk characteristics and have been accounted for as a single loan pool. During October 2014, we sold an aggregate of 934 re-performing loans to an unrelated third party for an aggregate purchase price of \$164 million. The sale included 770 loans from the re-performing mortgage loans purchased in June 2014, and 164 loans that had transitioned to re-performing status from prior non-performing loan acquisitions.

Under ASC 310-30, we estimate cash flows expected to be collected, adjusted for expected prepayments and defaults expected to be incurred over the life of the loan pool. We determine the excess of the loan pool's contractually required principal and interest payments over the expected cash flows as an amount that should not be accreted, the nonaccretable yield. The difference between expected cash flows and the present value of the expected cash flows is referred to as the accretable yield, which represents the amount that is expected to be recorded as interest income over the remaining life of the loan pool. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, we recognized no provision for loan loss and no adjustments to the amount of the accretable yield. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, we accreted \$0.2 million into interest income with respect to our re-performing loans. As of March 31, 2015, these re-performing loans, having a UPB of \$17.5 million and a carrying value of \$12.5 million, were held for sale.

The following tables present information regarding the estimates of the contractually required payments and the cash flows expected to be collected as of the date of the acquisition and changes in the balance of the accretable yield (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	
	Accretable Yield	Carrying Amount of Loans
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$7,640	\$12,535
Additions	—	37

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Payments and other reductions, net	(201) (319)
Accretion	(232) 232	
Balance at the end of the period	\$7,207	\$12,485	

(table of contents)

3. Real estate assets, net

Real estate held for use

As of March 31, 2015, we had 3,464 REO properties held for use. Of these properties, 587 had been rented, 151 were being listed for rent and 116 were in varying stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 2,610 REO properties, we will make a final determination whether each property meets our rental profile after (a) applicable state redemption periods have expired, (b) the foreclosure sale has been ratified, (c) we have recorded the deed for the property, (d) utilities have been activated and (e) we have secured access for interior inspection. A majority of the REO properties are subject to state regulations which require us to await the expiration of a redemption period before a foreclosure can be finalized. We include these redemption periods in our portfolio pricing which generally reduces the price we pay for the mortgage loans. Once the redemption period expires, we immediately proceed to record the new deed, take possession of the property, activate utilities, and start the inspection process in order to make our final determination. As of December 31, 2014, we had 3,349 REO properties held for use. Of these properties, 336 had been rented, 197 were being listed for rent and 254 were in various stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 2,562 REO properties, we were in the process of determining whether these properties would meet our rental profile. As of March 31, 2014, we had 852 REO properties held for use. Of these properties, 35 had been rented, 17 were being listed for rent and 48 were in various stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 752 REO properties, we were in the process of determining whether these properties would meet our rental profile. If a REO property meets our rental profile, we determine the extent of renovations that are needed to generate an optimal rent and maintain consistency of renovation specifications for future branding. If we determine that the REO property will not meet our rental profile, we list the property for sale, in certain instances after renovations are made to optimize the sale proceeds.

Real estate held for sale

As of March 31, 2015, we classified 966 REO properties having an aggregate carrying value of \$150.8 million as real estate held for sale as they do not meet our residential rental property investment criteria. As of December 31, 2014, we had 611 REO properties having an aggregate carrying value of \$92.2 million held for sale, and as of March 31, 2014, we had 44 REO properties having an aggregate carrying value of \$4.2 million held for sale. None of these REO properties have any operations; therefore, we are not presenting discontinued operations related to these properties.

We record residential properties held for sale at the lower of either the carrying amount of REO or its estimated fair value less estimated selling costs. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value, as adjusted, we record impairment equal to the amount of such excess. If an increase in fair value is noted at a subsequent measurement date, a gain is recognized to the extent of any previous impairment recognized. As of March 31, 2015 we had \$9.0 million of impairment on the 966 REO properties having a fair value of \$168.9 million. As of December 31, 2014 we had \$4.9 million of impairment on the 611 REO properties having a fair value of \$96.0 million. There was no impairment on the 44 REO properties held at March 31, 2014.

Dispositions

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we disposed of 254 residential properties and recorded \$10.6 million of net realized gains on real estate. We disposed of two residential properties during the three months ended March 31, 2014. There were no significant gains or losses on the dispositions in 2014.

(table of contents)

4. Fair value of financial instruments

The following table sets forth the fair value of financial assets and liabilities by level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (\$ in thousands):

	Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets	Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices	Level 3 Unobservable inputs
March 31, 2015			
Recurring basis (assets)			
Mortgage loans	\$—	\$—	\$1,853,495
Nonrecurring basis (assets)			
Real estate assets held for sale	\$—	\$—	\$168,942
Transfer of real estate owned to mortgage loans	\$—	\$—	\$1,356
Transfer of mortgage loans to real estate owned	\$—	\$—	\$136,182
Not recognized on consolidated balance sheets at fair value (assets)			
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$—	\$—	\$12,485
Not recognized on consolidated balance sheets at fair value (liabilities)			
Repurchase agreements at fair value	\$—	\$929,287	\$—
Other secured borrowings	\$—	\$377,420	\$—
December 31, 2014			
Recurring basis (assets)			
Mortgage loans	\$—	\$—	\$1,959,044
Nonrecurring basis (assets)			
Real estate assets held for sale	\$—	\$—	\$96,041
Transfer of real estate owned to mortgage loans	\$—	\$—	\$8,400
Transfer of mortgage loans to real estate owned	\$—	\$—	\$595,668
Not recognized on consolidated balance sheets at fair value (assets)			
Mortgage loans held for sale	\$—	\$—	\$12,535
Not recognized on consolidated balance sheets at fair value (liabilities)			
Repurchase agreements at fair value	\$—	\$1,015,000	\$—
Other secured borrowings	\$—	\$336,409	\$—

We have not transferred any assets from one level to another level during the three months ended March 31, 2015 or during the year ended December 31, 2014.

The carrying values of our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, related party receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, related party payables and investment in NewSource are equal to or approximate fair value. The fair value of mortgage loans is estimated using our asset manager's proprietary pricing model. The fair value of transfers of mortgage loans to real estate owned is estimated using BPOs. The fair value of re-performing mortgage loans held for sale is estimated using the present value of the future estimated principal and interest payments of the loan, with the discount rate used in the present value calculation representing the estimated effective yield of the loan. The fair value of the repurchase agreements is estimated using the income approach based on credit spreads available to us currently in the market for similar floating rate debt. The fair value of other secured borrowings is estimated using observable market data.

(table of contents)

The following table sets forth the changes in our level 3 assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Mortgage loans		
Beginning balance	\$1,959,044	\$1,207,163
Investment in mortgage loans	—	612,508
Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans	61,134	65,130
Net realized gain on mortgage loans	15,382	9,321
Mortgage loan dispositions and payments	(65,168)	(39,458)
Real estate tax advances to borrowers	7,127	8,006
Reclassification of realized gains on real estate sold from unrealized gains	10,802	—
Transfer of real estate owned to mortgage loans	1,356	—
Transfer of mortgage loans to real estate owned	(136,182)	(96,528)
Ending balance at March 31	\$1,853,495	\$1,766,142
Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans held at the end of the period	\$51,068	\$38,197

The following table sets forth the fair value of our non-performing mortgage loans, the related unpaid principal balance and market value of underlying properties by delinquency status as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (\$ in thousands):

	Number of loans	Carrying value	Unpaid principal balance	Market value of underlying properties
March 31, 2015				
Current	794	\$127,984	\$183,091	\$188,031
30	95	13,653	20,233	20,694
60	41	6,423	8,986	10,288
90	2,138	332,892	531,217	502,562
Foreclosure	7,021	1,372,543	1,964,595	1,801,433
Mortgage loans	10,089	\$1,853,495	\$2,708,122	\$2,523,008
December 31, 2014				
Current	670	\$107,467	\$159,731	\$160,654
30	109	15,424	22,629	24,046
60	57	7,921	11,624	12,510
90	2,286	361,434	569,930	544,709
Foreclosure	7,841	1,466,798	2,172,047	1,951,606
Mortgage loans	10,963	\$1,959,044	\$2,935,961	\$2,693,525

(table of contents)

The following table sets forth the carrying value of our re-performing mortgage loans held for sale, the related unpaid principal balance and market value of underlying properties by delinquency status as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (\$ in thousands):

	Number of loans	Carrying value	Unpaid principal balance	Market value of underlying properties
March 31, 2015				
Current	69	\$8,597	\$12,004	\$15,102
30	6	498	1,018	1,074
60	—	—	—	—
90	24	3,390	4,498	5,931
Mortgage loans held for sale	99	\$12,485	\$17,520	\$22,107
December 31, 2014				
Current	68	\$8,317	\$11,938	\$15,154
30	6	\$1,118	\$1,667	\$2,004
60	4	\$359	\$644	\$670
90	24	\$2,741	\$4,149	\$4,624
Mortgage loans held for sale	102	\$12,535	\$18,398	\$22,452

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our mortgage loans are discount rates, forecasts of future home prices, alternate loan resolution probabilities, resolution timelines and the value of underlying properties. Significant changes in any of these inputs in isolation could result in a significant change to the fair value measurement. A decline in the discount rate in isolation would increase the fair value. A decrease in the housing pricing index in isolation would decrease the fair value. Individual loan characteristics such as location and value of underlying collateral affect the loan resolution probabilities and timelines. An increase in the loan resolution timeline in isolation would decrease the fair value. A decrease in the value of underlying properties in isolation would decrease the fair value. The following table sets forth quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used to measure the fair value of our mortgage loans as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

Input	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Equity discount rate	15.0%	15.0%
Debt to asset ratio	65.0%	65.0%
Cost of funds	3.5% over 1 month LIBOR	3.5% over 1 month LIBOR
Annual change in home pricing index	0% to 7.6%	-0.1% to 7.6%
Loan resolution probabilities — modification	0% to 44.7%	0% to 44.7%
Loan resolution probabilities — rental	0% to 100.0%	0% to 100.0%
Loan resolution probabilities — liquidation	0% to 100.0%	0% to 100.0%
Loan resolution timelines (in years)	0.1 to 5.3	0.1 to 5.3
Value of underlying properties	\$500 - \$5,500,000	\$3,000 - \$5,300,000

5. Borrowings

Repurchase Agreements

Our operating partnership and certain of its Delaware Statutory Trust subsidiaries, as applicable, have entered into master repurchase agreements with major financial institutions. The purpose of these repurchase agreements is to finance the acquisition and ownership of mortgage loans, rental properties and REO properties in our portfolio. We have effective control of the assets associated with these agreements and therefore have concluded these are financing arrangements. As of March 31, 2015, the weighted average annualized interest rate on borrowings under our

repurchase agreements was 3.06%, excluding amortization of deferred financing costs.

(table of contents)

The following table sets forth data with respect to our repurchase agreements as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (\$ in thousands):

	Maximum borrowing capacity	Book value of collateral	Amount outstanding
March 31, 2015			
CS repurchase agreement due April 20, 2015	\$225,000	\$331,633	\$183,806
Wells repurchase agreement due March 23, 2016	525,780	986,572	525,780
DB repurchase agreement due March 11, 2016	219,701	457,553	219,701
	\$970,481	\$1,775,758	\$929,287
December 31, 2014			
CS repurchase agreement due April 20, 2015	\$225,000	\$332,618	\$222,044
Wells repurchase agreement due March 23, 2015	\$750,000	\$1,036,409	\$569,509
DB repurchase agreement due March 11, 2016	\$250,000	\$450,532	\$223,447
	\$1,225,000	\$1,819,559	\$1,015,000

Under the terms of each repurchase agreement, as collateral for the funds drawn thereunder, subject to certain conditions, our operating partnership will sell to the applicable lender equity interests in the Delaware statutory trust subsidiary that owns the applicable underlying mortgage assets on our behalf, or the trust will directly sell such underlying mortgage assets. In the event the lender determines the value of the collateral has decreased, the lender has the right to initiate a margin call and require us, or the applicable trust subsidiary, to post additional collateral or to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings. The price paid by the lender for each mortgage asset we finance under the repurchase agreements is based on a percentage of the market value of the mortgage asset and may depend on its delinquency status. With respect to funds drawn under the repurchase agreements, our applicable subsidiary is required to pay the lender interest based on LIBOR or at the lender's cost of funds plus a spread calculated based on the type of applicable mortgage assets collateralizing the funding, as well as certain other customary fees, administrative costs and expenses to maintain and administer the repurchase agreements. We do not collateralize any of our repurchase facilities with cash. Pursuant to the CS Repurchase Agreement, we are entitled to collateralize a portion of the facility with securities. As of March 31, 2015, approximately \$10.6 million of the amounts outstanding under the CS Repurchase Agreement was collateralized by \$17.0 million of the Class M Notes issued and retained by us in connection with the securitization completed in September 2014 by ARLP 2014-1, and approximately \$29.4 million of the amounts outstanding under the CS Repurchase Agreement was collateralized by \$45.1 million of the Class A2 Notes issued and retained by us in connection with the securitization completed in November 2014 by ARLP 2014-2.

The repurchase agreements require us to maintain various financial and other covenants, including maintaining a minimum adjusted tangible net worth, a maximum ratio of indebtedness to adjusted tangible net worth and specified levels of unrestricted cash. In addition, the repurchase agreements contain customary events of default. We are restricted by the terms of our repurchase agreements from paying dividends greater than our REIT taxable income in a calendar year.

We are currently in compliance with the covenants and other requirements with respect to the repurchase agreements. We monitor our banking partners' ability to perform under the repurchase agreements and have concluded there is currently no reason to doubt that they will continue to perform under the repurchase agreements as contractually obligated. For additional information on the repurchase agreements, please see Note 1, "Organization and basis of presentation."

Other Secured Debt

On November 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP 2014-2 issued \$270.8 million in Class A Notes with a weighted coupon of approximately 3.85% and \$234.0 million in Class M Notes. We initially retained \$95.8 million of the Class A Notes and all of the Class M Notes in our TRS. On February 9, 2015, we sold \$50.7 million of the retained Class A Notes to an unrelated third party. No interest will be paid on any Class M Notes while any Class A Notes remain outstanding. The Class A Notes and Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-2 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-2 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on January 26, 2054, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-2 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-2 was \$332.5 million.

(table of contents)

On September 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP 2014-1 issued \$150.0 million in Class A Notes with a weighted coupon of approximately 3.47% and \$32.0 million in Class M Notes with a weighted coupon of 4.25%. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-1 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-1 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on September 25, 2044, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-1 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-1 was \$213.8 million.

We retained all of the Class M Notes issued by ARLP 2014-1 in our TRS. On September 30, 2014, pursuant to a master repurchase agreement, the TRS sold \$15.0 million of the Class M Notes to NewSource for a purchase price of \$15.0 million. The master repurchase agreement initially required the TRS to repurchase the Class M Notes from NewSource at a 5.0% yield on December 28, 2014, with the parties having the option to extend the master repurchase agreement for additional 89-day periods. In no event can the master repurchase agreement be extended beyond September 29, 2015. The agreement is currently due to expire on June 25, 2015.

The following table sets forth data with respect to these notes as of March 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands):

	Interest Rate	Amount outstanding	
March 31, 2015			
ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-1			
ARLP 2014-1 Class A Notes due September 25, 2044 ⁽¹⁾	3.47	% \$145,953	
ARLP 2014-1 Class M Notes due September 25, 2044 ⁽²⁾	4.25	% 32,000	
ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-2			
ARLP 2014-2 Class A Notes due January 26, 2054 ⁽³⁾	3.85	% 264,034	
ARLP 2014-2 Class M Notes due January 26, 2054	—	% 234,010	
ARNS, Inc.			
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase due June 25, 2015	5.00	% 14,991	
Intercompany eliminations			
Elimination of Class M Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(32,000)
Elimination of ARLP 2014-2 Class A Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(45,138)
Elimination of ARLP 2014-2 Class M Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(234,010)
		\$379,840	
December 31, 2014			
ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-1			
ARLP 2014-1 Class A Notes due September 25, 2044 ⁽¹⁾	3.47	% \$150,000	
ARLP 2014-1 Class M Notes due September 25, 2044 ⁽²⁾	4.25	% 32,000	
ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-2			
ARLP 2014-2 Class A Notes due January 26, 2054 ⁽³⁾	3.85	% 269,820	
ARLP 2014-2 Class M Notes due January 26, 2054	—	% 234,010	
ARNS, Inc.			
Securities sold under agreement to repurchase due March 27, 2015	5.00	% 14,991	
Intercompany eliminations			
Elimination of ARLP 2014-1 Class M Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(32,000)
Elimination of ARLP 2014-2 Class A Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(95,729)
Elimination of ARLP 2014-2 Class M Notes due to ARNS, Inc.		(234,010)
		\$339,082	

(1) The expected redemption date for the Class A Notes is September 25, 2017.

(2) The expected redemption date for the Class M Notes is September 25, 2018.

(3)The expected redemption date for the Class A Notes is November 27, 2017.

(table of contents)

6. Commitments and contingencies

Litigation, claims and assessments

There have been no material new developments in our legal proceedings since the March 2, 2015 filing of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, except as follows:

The Police Retirement System of Saint Louis v. Erbey, et al. On April 2, 2015, The Police Retirement System of Saint Louis and the defendants entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) reflecting an agreement in principle to settle the action with the settlement consideration being the new AMA. The MOU contemplates the negotiation and execution of a stipulation of settlement. The stipulation of settlement will be subject to customary conditions, including court approval. If the settlement is finally approved by the court, it will resolve and release all claims in the action that were, or could have been brought by or on our behalf challenging any aspect of the Original AMA and the negotiation of, the terms and provisions of, or the approval of the new AMA. In addition, in connection with the settlement, the parties agreed that plaintiff’s counsel will apply to the court for an award of fees and expenses. There can be no assurances that the parties will ultimately enter into a stipulation of settlement or that the court will approve the settlement.

Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al. On March 27, 2015, a putative shareholder class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court of the Virgin Islands by a purported shareholder of Residential under the caption Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al., 15-cv-00024. The action names as defendants Residential, Mr. Erbey and certain officers and a former officer of Residential and alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by, among other things, making materially false statements and/or failing to disclose material information to Residential’s shareholders regarding RESI’s relationship and transactions with AAMC, Ocwen and Home Loan Servicing Solutions Ltd. These alleged misstatements and omissions include allegations that the defendants failed to adequately disclose Residential’s reliance on Ocwen and the risks relating to its relationship with Ocwen, including that Ocwen was not properly servicing and selling loans, that Ocwen was under investigation by regulators for violating state and federal laws regarding servicing of loans, and Ocwen’s lack of proper internal controls. The complaint also contains allegations that certain of Residential’s disclosure documents were false and misleading because they fail to disclose fully the entire details of a certain asset management agreement between Residential and AAMC that allegedly benefited AAMC to the detriment of Residential’s shareholders. The action seeks, among other things, an award of monetary damages to the putative class in an unspecified amount, and an award of attorney’s and other fees and expenses. We believe the complaint is without merit. At this time, we are not able to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, nor can we estimate the range of possible loss, if any.

For the litigation described above where an estimate is possible, management has established a litigation accrual in the amount of \$1.5 million. This estimated liability is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, as well as known and unknown uncertainties. Matters underlying the Company’s litigation accrual may change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate, including as a result of changes in our ongoing and future legal proceedings. Those matters for which an estimate is not possible are not included within this litigation accrual. Therefore, this estimated liability represents what we believe to be an estimate of liability only for certain liabilities that are estimable and probable, and does not represent our maximum loss exposure.

(table of contents)

7. Related party transactions

New Asset Management Agreement with AAMC

On March 31, 2015, we entered into a new Asset Management Agreement ("AMA") with AAMC.

The AMA, which became effective on April 1, 2015, provides for a new management fee structure which replaces the incentive fee structure under the original asset management agreement (the "Original AMA") as follows:

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly base management fee equal to 1.5% of the product of (i) our average invested capital for the quarter multiplied by (ii) 0.25, while we have fewer than 2,500 single family rental properties actually rented ("Rental Properties"). The base management fee percentage increases to 1.75% of invested capital while we have between 2,500 and 4,499 Rental Properties and increases to 2.0% of invested capital while we have 4,500 or more Rental Properties;

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly incentive management fee equal to 20% of the amount by which our return on invested capital exceeds a hurdle return rate of between 7.0% and 8.25% (depending on the 10-year treasury rate). The incentive management fee increases to 22.5% while we have between 2,500 and 4,499 Rental Properties and increases to 25% while we have 4,500 or more Rental Properties; and

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly conversion fee equal to 1.5% of the market value of the single-family homes leased by us for the first time during the quarter.

We have the flexibility to pay up to 25% of the incentive management fee to AAMC in shares of our common stock.

Under the new AMA, AAMC will continue to be the exclusive asset manager for us for an initial term of 15 years from April 1, 2015, with two potential five-year extensions, subject to our achieving an average annual return on invested capital of at least 7.0%. Under the AMA, we will not be required to reimburse AAMC for the allocable compensation and routine overhead expenses of its employees and staff, all of which will now be covered by the base management fee described above.

Neither party is entitled to terminate the AMA prior to the end of the initial term, or each renewal term, other than termination by (a) us and/or AAMC "for cause" for certain events such as a material breach of the AMA and failure to cure such breach, (b) Residential for certain other reasons such as our failure to achieve a return on invested capital of at least 7.0% for two consecutive fiscal years after the third anniversary of the AMA, and (c) Residential in connection with certain change of control events.

Summary of Related Party Transaction Expenses

Through January 16, 2015, William C. Erbey served as our Chairman as well as the Executive Chairman of Ocwen, Chairman of Altisource, and Chairman of AAMC. Effective January 16, 2015, Mr. Erbey stepped down as the Executive Chairman of Ocwen and Chairman of each of Altisource, Residential and AAMC and is no longer a member of the Board of Directors for any of these companies. As of March 31, 2015, Mr. Erbey owned or controlled approximately 4% of our common stock, approximately 30% of the common stock of Altisource, approximately 28% of the common stock of AAMC and approximately 14% of the common stock of Ocwen. As of March 31, 2015, Mr. Erbey also held 857,543 options to purchase Altisource common stock (all of which were exercisable), 3,572,626 options to purchase Ocwen common stock (3,322,626 of which were exercisable) and 85,755 options to purchase AAMC common stock (all of which were exercisable).

As a result of Mr. Erbey's position as our Chairman and as Chairman of each of Ocwen, Altisource and AAMC during the beginning of the first quarter, combined with his share ownership, these companies are considered related parties of Residential for the first quarter of 2015. We will continue to monitor the facts and circumstances with respect to Mr. Erbey, as well as our continuing officers and directors, to determine whether any of Altisource, Ocwen or AAMC will be related parties in future periods.

(table of contents)

Our Consolidated Statements of Operations included the following significant related party transactions (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Counter-party	Consolidated Statements of Operations location
2015			
Residential property operating expenses	\$9,512	Ocwen/Altisource	Residential property operating expenses
Mortgage loan servicing costs	16,985	Ocwen	Mortgage loan servicing costs
Other general and administrative expenses	402	Altisource	Related party general and administrative expenses
Expense reimbursements	750	AAMC	Related party general and administrative expenses
Management incentive fee	14,900	AAMC	Related party general and administrative expenses
Interest expense	160	NewSource	Interest expense
Professional fee sharing for negotiation of AMA	2,000	AAMC	Other income
	Three months ended March 31, 2014	Counter-party	Consolidated Statements of Operations location
2014			
Residential property operating expenses	\$1,050	Ocwen/Altisource	Residential property operating expenses
Mortgage loan servicing costs	10,490	Ocwen	Mortgage loan servicing costs
Due diligence and unsuccessful deal costs	111	Altisource	Related party general and administrative expenses
Other general and administrative expenses	—	Altisource	Related party general and administrative expenses
Expense reimbursements	1,469	AAMC	Related party general and administrative expenses
Management incentive fee	10,911	AAMC	Related party general and administrative expenses

On September 30, 2014, pursuant to a master repurchase agreement, our TRS sold \$15.0 million of the Class M Notes to NewSource for a purchase price of \$15.0 million. The master repurchase agreement initially required the TRS to repurchase the Class M Notes from NewSource at a 5.0% yield on December 28, 2014, with the parties having the option to extend the master repurchase agreement for additional 89 day periods. In no event can the master repurchase agreement be extended beyond September 29, 2015. The agreement is currently due to expire on June 25, 2015.

8. Share-based payments

On December 21, 2012, as part of our separation transaction from Altisource, we issued stock options under the 2012 Conversion Option Plan and 2012 Special Conversion Option Plan to holders of Altisource stock options to purchase shares of our common stock in a ratio of one share of our common stock to every three shares of Altisource common stock. The options were granted as part of our separation to employees of Altisource and/or Ocwen solely to give effect to the exchange ratio in the separation, and we do not include share-based compensation expense related to these options in our consolidated statements of operations because they are not related to our incentive compensation.

Our directors each receive annual grants of restricted stock equal to \$45,000 based on the market value of our common stock at the time of the annual stockholders meeting. This restricted stock vests and is issued after a one-year service period subject to each director attending at least 75% of the Board and committee meetings. No dividends are paid on the shares until the award is issued.

We recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million of compensation expense related to these grants for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a nominal amount of unrecognized share-based compensation cost remaining with respect to the director grants. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, we granted no share-based payments to directors.

(table of contents)

9. Income taxes

As a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements including the requirement to distribute at least 90% of our annual REIT taxable income to our stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax to the extent we distribute our REIT taxable income to our stockholders and provided we satisfy the REIT requirements including certain asset, income, distribution and stock ownership tests. If we fail to qualify as a REIT, and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we will be subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes and may be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year in which we lost our REIT qualification.

On March 31, 2015, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.55 per share of common stock, which was paid on April 23, 2015 to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 13, 2015.

Based on our 2014 taxable income of \$115.8 million, which includes net capital gains of \$54.4 million, the aggregate minimum distribution to stockholders required to maintain our REIT status was \$55.3 million in 2014. Dividends declared and paid per share of common stock aggregated \$2.03 for the year ended December 31, 2014, or \$116.0 million. These distributions included a cash dividend of \$0.08 per share of common stock, or \$4.5 million, which was treated as a 2013 distribution for REIT qualification purposes. On March 12, 2015 our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.08 per share of common stock, which was paid on March 30, 2015 to all stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 23, 2015. This additional dividend, an aggregate of \$4.6 million, was treated as a 2014 distribution for REIT qualification purposes.

Our consolidated financial statements include the operations of our taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"), which is subject to federal, state and local income taxes on its taxable income. Through December 31, 2014, the TRS operated at a cumulative taxable loss, which resulted in our recording a deferred tax asset with a corresponding valuation allowance. The TRS has continued to operate at a cumulative taxable loss through March 31, 2015 which resulted in our recording additional deferred tax assets and a corresponding valuation allowance. As of March 31, 2015, we are forecasting that the TRS will not be profitable for the 2015 fiscal year.

We recorded state income tax expense on our consolidated operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015. As a REIT, we may also be subject to federal taxes if we engage in certain types of transactions.

As of March 31, 2015 and 2014, we did not accrue interest or penalties associated with any unrecognized tax benefits, nor was any interest expense or penalty recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. We recorded nominal state and local tax expense on income and property for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Our subsidiaries and we remain subject to tax examination for the period from inception to December 31, 2014.

10. Earnings per share

The following table sets forth the components of diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share and per share amounts):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014
Numerator		
Net income	\$ 12,424	\$ 41,913
Denominator		
Weighted average common stock outstanding – basic	57,200,889	53,436,108
Stock options using the treasury method	200,712	638,761

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Restricted stock	5,018	12,090
Weighted average common stock outstanding – diluted	57,406,619	54,086,959
Earnings per basic share	\$0.22	\$0.78
Earnings per diluted share	\$0.22	\$0.77

(table of contents)

11. Segment information

Our primary business is the acquisition and ownership of single-family rental assets. Our primary sourcing strategy is to acquire these assets by purchasing sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans. As a result, we operate in a single segment focused on the resolution of sub-performing and non-performing mortgages and ownership of rental residential properties.

12. Subsequent events

New Loan and Security Agreement with Nomura

On April 10, 2015, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement (the “Loan Agreement”) with Nomura Corporate Funding Americas, LLC (“Nomura”). The purpose of the Loan Agreement is to finance our beneficial ownership of REO properties. These obligations are fully guaranteed pursuant to a guaranty (the “Guaranty”) made by us in favor of Nomura. The Loan Agreement terminates on April 8, 2016.

Under the terms of the Loan Agreement, subject to certain conditions, Nomura may advance funds to us from time to time, with such advances collateralized by REO properties. The maximum aggregate advance for the REO properties under the Loan Agreement is \$100.0 million, subject to certain sublimits, eligibility requirements and conditions precedent to each funding.

The advances paid by Nomura under the Loan Agreement with respect to the REO properties from time to time will be based on a percentage of the market value of the applicable REO properties. Under the terms of the Loan Agreement, we are required to pay to Nomura interest based on the one-month LIBOR plus a spread and certain other customary fees, administrative costs and expenses in connection with Nomura's structuring, management and ongoing administration of the Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement requires us to maintain various standard financial and other covenants.

In addition, the Loan Agreement contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods), including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, certain material adverse changes, bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings and other events of default customary for this type of transaction. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the Loan Agreement and the liquidation by Nomura of the REO properties then subject to the Loan Agreement.

Amended and Restated Repurchase Agreement with CS

On April 20, 2015, we entered into an Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement with CS. The CS Repurchase Agreement, as amended and restated, increases the aggregate maximum funding capacity to finance the purchase and beneficial ownership of non-performing, re-performing and performing mortgage loans and REO properties from \$225.0 million to \$275.0 million, and also increases the sublimit for REO properties that can be financed on the facility.

The obligations of our subsidiaries continue to be fully guaranteed by us pursuant to an amended and restated guaranty (the “Amended Guaranty”) made in favor of CS. As amended and restated, the Repurchase Agreement has been extended to mature on April 21, 2016.

All other material terms and conditions of the Repurchase Agreement remain the same as the original repurchase agreement in all material respects.

(table of contents)

Item 2. Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations

Overview

We are a Maryland REIT focused on acquiring and managing single-family rental properties primarily by acquiring portfolios of sub-performing and non-performing residential mortgage loans throughout the United States. We believe that the events affecting the housing and mortgage market in recent years have created a significant rental demand for single-family properties. These events have created a large supply of distressed mortgage loans for sale in the market. We therefore believe we have an opportunity to acquire single-family properties through the acquisition of sub-performing and non-performing loan portfolios at attractive valuations. We expect our integrated approach of acquiring sub-performing and non-performing residential mortgage loans and converting them to rental properties will enable us to compete more effectively for attractive investment opportunities. While we focus on acquiring our rental properties through the acquisition of distressed mortgage loans, we also may consider purchasing rental properties through other avenues, including, without limitation, though the purchase of REO, residential mortgage-backed securities, clean-up calls and other distressed assets.

To date, we have acquired our non-performing and re-performing mortgage loans through direct acquisitions from institutions such as banks, HUD and private equity funds.

During 2014 and 2013 we acquired portfolios consisting of an aggregate of 15,817 residential mortgage loans, substantially all of which were non-performing, and 277 REO properties having an aggregate unpaid principal balance ("UPB") of approximately \$4.2 billion and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of approximately \$3.6 billion. The aggregate purchase price for these acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 billion. There were no portfolio acquisitions completed in the first quarter of 2015.

Additionally, in June 2014, we acquired 879 re-performing mortgage loans with an aggregate market value of underlying properties of \$271.1 million for an aggregate purchase price of \$144.6 million. During October 2014, we sold 770 of these re-performing mortgage loans.

From inception through March 31, 2015, we modified an aggregate of 745 mortgage loans, converted an aggregate of 4,632 mortgage loans into REO properties and disposed of an aggregate of 1,096 mortgage loans through short sale, refinancing or other liquidation events.

Following the above-referenced transactions, as of March 31, 2015, our portfolio consisted of 10,089 residential mortgage loans, substantially all of which were non-performing, having an aggregate UPB of approximately \$2.7 billion and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of \$2.5 billion. We also owned 4,430 REO properties with an aggregate carrying value of \$714.8 million, of which 3,464 were held for use and 966 were held for sale. Of the 3,464 REO properties held for use, 587 properties had been rented and were occupied by tenants, 151 were being listed for rent and 116 were in varying stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 2,610 REO properties held for use, we will make a final determination whether each property meets our rental profile after (a) applicable state redemption periods have expired, (b) the foreclosure sale has been ratified, (c) we have recorded the deed for the property, (d) utilities have been activated and (e) we have secured access for interior inspection. We also owned 99 re-performing mortgage loans held for sale having an aggregate UPB of approximately \$17.5 million and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of approximately \$22.1 million as of March 31, 2015.

We are externally managed by AAMC, an asset management company that provides portfolio management and corporate governance services to investment vehicles that own real estate related assets. We conduct substantially all of our operations, and make substantially all of our investments, through our operating partnership and its subsidiaries. One of our subsidiaries is the sole general partner of the operating partnership, and we are the sole limited partner.

On March 31, 2015, we entered into a new Asset Management Agreement ("AMA") with AAMC.

The AMA, which became effective on April 1, 2015, provides for a new management fee structure which replaces the incentive fee structure under the original asset management agreement with AAMC (the "Original AMA") as follows:

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly base management fee equal to 1.5% of the product of (i) our average invested capital for the quarter multiplied by (ii) 0.25, while we have fewer than 2,500 single family rental properties actually rented ("Rental Properties"). The base management fee percentage increases to 1.75% of invested capital while we have between 2,500 and 4,499 Rental Properties and increases to 2.0% of invested capital while we have 4,500 or more Rental Properties;

21

(table of contents)

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly incentive management fee equal to 20% of the amount by which our return on invested capital exceeds a hurdle return rate of between 7.0% and 8.25% (depending on the 10-year treasury rate). The incentive management fee increases to 22.5% while we have between 2,500 and 4,499 Rental Properties and increases to 25% while we have 4,500 or more Rental Properties; and

AAMC is entitled to a quarterly conversion fee equal to 1.5% of the market value of the single-family homes leased by us for the first time during the quarter.

We have the flexibility to pay up to 25% of the incentive management fee to AAMC in shares of our common stock.

Under the new AMA, AAMC will continue to be the exclusive asset manager for us for an initial term of 15 years from April 1, 2015, with two potential five-year extensions, subject to our achieving an average annual return on invested capital of at least 7.0%.

Neither party is entitled to terminate the AMA prior to the end of the initial term, or each renewal term, other than termination by (a) us and/or AAMC “for cause” for certain events such as a material breach of the AMA and failure to cure such breach, (b) Residential for certain other reasons such as our failure to achieve a return on invested capital of at least 7.0% for two consecutive fiscal years after the third anniversary of the AMA, and (c) Residential in connection with certain change of control events.

Observations on Current Market Opportunities

We believe there are market opportunities to acquire single-family rental properties through the distressed loan channel and expect the supply of non-performing loans, sub-performing loans, properties in foreclosure and REO to remain steady over the next two years as GSEs, HUD, banks and other mortgage lenders seek to dispose of their distressed inventories. We are also beginning to see opportunities in the marketplace in which entities are seeking to sell portfolios of REO properties and rental properties. We have been considering and will consider these REO and rental portfolio opportunities as they may provide alternative attractive avenues to grow our rental portfolio.

Despite these potential REO portfolio opportunities, we continue to believe that the distressed loan channel gives us a cost advantage over other acquisition channels such as foreclosure auctions and REO acquisitions, involves less competition and positions us to be selected as the buyer of diverse portfolios of such loans since we are not geographically constrained. Our preferred resolution methodology is to modify the sub-performing and non-performing loans. We believe modification followed by refinancing generates near-term cash flows, provides the highest possible economic outcome for us and is a socially responsible business strategy because it keeps more families in their homes.

Metrics Affecting Our Results

Revenues

Our revenues primarily consist of the following:

- i. Net realized gain on mortgage loans. We record net realized gains, including the reclassification of previously accumulated net unrealized gains, upon the liquidation of a loan which may consist of short sale, third party sale of the underlying property, refinancing or full debt pay-off of the loan. We expect the timeline to liquidate loans will vary significantly by loan, which could result in fluctuations in revenue recognition and operating performance from period to period. Additionally, the proceeds from loan liquidations may vary significantly depending on the

resolution methodology. We generally expect to collect proceeds of loan liquidations in cash and, thereafter, have no continuing involvement with the asset.

Net unrealized gains from the conversion of loans to REO. Upon conversion of loans to REO, we mark the properties to the most recent market value. The difference between the carrying value of the asset at the time of conversion and the most recent market value, based on BPOs, is recorded in our statement of operations as net unrealized gain on mortgage loans. We expect the timeline to convert acquired loans into REO will vary significantly by loan, which could result in fluctuations in our revenue recognition and our operating performance from period to period. The factors that may affect the timelines to foreclose upon a residential mortgage loan include, without limitation, state foreclosure timelines and deferrals associated therewith; unauthorized parties occupying the property; inadequacy of

(table of contents)

documents necessary to foreclose; bankruptcy proceedings initiated by borrowers; federal, state or local legislative action or initiatives designed to provide homeowners with assistance in avoiding residential mortgage loan foreclosures and continued declines in real estate values and/or sustained high levels of unemployment that increase the number of foreclosures and which place additional pressure and/or delays on the already overburdened judicial and administrative proceedings.

Net unrealized gains from the change in fair value of loans. After our sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans are acquired, the fair value of each loan is adjusted in each subsequent reporting period as the loan proceeds to a particular resolution (i.e., modification, or conversion to real estate owned). As a loan approaches resolution, the resolution timeline for that loan decreases and costs embedded in the discounted cash flow model for loan servicing, foreclosure costs and property insurance are incurred and removed from future expenses. The shorter resolution timelines and reduced future expenses each increase the fair value of the loan. The increase in the value of the loan is recognized in net unrealized gain on mortgage loans in our consolidated statements of operations. The exact nature of resolution will be dependent on a number of factors that are beyond our control, including borrower willingness to pay, property value, availability of refinancing, interest rates, conditions in the financial markets, the regulatory environment and other factors.

Net realized gain on real estate. REO properties that do not meet our investment criteria are sold out of our taxable REIT subsidiary. The realized gain or loss recognized in financial statements reflects the net amount of realized and unrealized gains on sold REOs from the time of acquisition to sale completion.

As a greater number of our REO properties are renovated and deemed suitable for rental, we expect a greater portion of our revenues will be rental revenues. We believe the key variables that will affect our rental revenues over the long term will be average occupancy and rental rates. We anticipate that a majority of our leases of single-family rental properties to tenants will be for a term of two years or less. As these leases permit the residents to leave at the end of the lease term without penalty, we anticipate our rental revenues will be affected by declines in market rents more quickly than if our leases were for longer terms. Short-term leases may result in high turnover, which involves expenses such as additional renovation costs and leasing expenses, or reduced rental revenues.

Although we seek to lease the majority of REO properties we acquire on foreclosure, we also sell the properties that do not meet our rental investment criteria. The real estate market and home prices will determine proceeds from any sale of real estate. In addition, while we seek to track real estate price trends and estimate the effects of those trends on the valuations of our portfolios of residential mortgage loans, future real estate values are subject to influences beyond our control.

Expenses

Our expenses have primarily consisted of rental property operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, real estate selling cost and impairment, mortgage loan servicing, interest expense, general and administrative expenses, and expense reimbursement and incentive management fees under the Original AMA. Rental property operating expenses are expenses associated with our ownership and operation of rental properties including expenses such as property management fees, expenses towards repairs, utility expenses on vacant properties, turnover costs, property taxes, insurance and HOA dues. Depreciation and amortization is a non-cash expense associated with the ownership of real estate and generally remains relatively consistent each year in relation to our asset levels since we depreciate our properties on a straight-line basis over a fixed life. Real estate selling cost and impairment represents our estimate for the costs to be incurred to sell a property and an amount that represents the carrying amount over the estimated fair value less costs to sell. Mortgage loan servicing costs are primarily for servicing fees, foreclosure fees and advances of residential property insurance. Interest expense consists of the costs to borrow money in connection with our debt financing of our portfolios. General and administrative expenses consist of the costs related to the general operation

and overall administration of our business. Historically, expense reimbursement consisted primarily of employee salaries of AAMC in direct correlation to the services they provided on our behalf and other personnel costs and corporate overhead. Under the new AMA, there are no general expense reimbursements. The incentive management fees consist of compensation due to AAMC. Historically, this was based on the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders for each period. Under the new agreement the management fees are based on our invested capital and leased properties.

Other factors affecting our consolidated results

We expect our results of operations to be affected by various factors, many of which are beyond our control, including the following:

23

(table of contents)

Acquisitions

Our operating results will depend on our ability to source sub-performing and non-performing loans, as well as other residential mortgage loans and REO property assets. We believe that there is currently a large supply of sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans available to us for acquisition.

Generally, we expect that our residential mortgage loan portfolio may grow at an uneven pace, as opportunities to acquire distressed residential mortgage loans may be irregularly timed and may at times involve large portfolios of loans, and the timing and extent of our success in acquiring such loans cannot be predicted.

Financing

Our ability to grow our business is dependent on the availability of adequate financing including additional equity financing, debt financing or both in order to meet our objectives. We intend to leverage our investments with debt, the level of which may vary based upon the particular characteristics of our portfolio and on market conditions. To the extent available at the relevant time, our financing sources may include bank credit facilities, warehouse lines of credit, structured financing arrangements and repurchase agreements, among others. We may also seek to raise additional capital through public or private offerings of debt or equity securities, depending upon market conditions. To qualify as a REIT under the Code, we will need to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income each year to our stockholders. This distribution requirement limits our ability to retain earnings and thereby replenish or increase capital to support our activities.

Resolution Activities

	Three months ended March 31, 2014	Three months ended March 31, 2015
Mortgage Loans ⁽¹⁾		
Beginning balance	8,054	10,963
Acquisitions	4,207	—
Dispositions	(116) (150
Mortgage loan conversions to REO	(637) (728
Reversions to mortgage loans ⁽²⁾	1	4
Ending balance	11,509	10,089
Modifications	81	126
Loan reinstatements	19	67
Real Estate Owned		
Beginning balance	262	3,960
Acquisitions	—	—
Dispositions	(2) (254
Mortgage loan conversions to REO	637	728
Reversions to mortgage loans	(1) (4
Ending balance	896	4,430
Leased	35	587
Renovations complete	17	151
Renovations in process	48	116

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Evaluating strategy/held for sale	796	3,576
	896	4,430

(1) Excludes mortgage loans held for sale.

(2) Subsequent to the foreclosure sale, we may be notified that the foreclosure sale was invalidated for certain reasons.

(table of contents)

In addition, as of March 31, 2015, 181 of our mortgage loans were on trial modification plans, compared to 207 mortgage loans on trial modification plans as of December 31, 2014.

Portfolio size

The size of our investment portfolio will also impact operating results. Generally, as the size of our investment portfolio grows, the amount of revenue we expect to generate will increase. A growing investment portfolio, however, will drive increased expenses including possibly higher servicing fees, property management fees and fees payable to AAMC. We may also incur additional interest expense if we incur additional debt to finance the purchase of our assets.

Existing Portfolio

During 2014 and 2013 we acquired portfolios consisting of an aggregate of 15,817 residential mortgage loans, substantially all of which were non-performing, and 277 REO properties having an aggregate unpaid principal balance (“UPB”) of approximately \$4.2 billion and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of approximately \$3.6 billion. The aggregate purchase price for these acquisitions was approximately \$2.4 billion. We did not complete any portfolio acquisitions in the first quarter of 2015.

Throughout this report, all unpaid principal balance and market value amounts for the portfolios we have acquired are provided as of the applicable “cut-off” date for each transaction unless otherwise indicated. We refer to the assets underlying our completed acquisitions as our “Existing Portfolio.” The Existing Portfolio does not include assets acquired as REO. As defined in this quarterly report, our “Existing Portfolio” does not include the 99 re-performing mortgage loans having an aggregate UPB of approximately \$17.5 million and an aggregate market value of underlying properties of approximately \$22.1 million as of March 31, 2015, which are considered “Mortgage loans held for sale.”

Our sub-performing and non-performing mortgage loans become REO properties when we obtain legal title to the property upon completion of foreclosure. Additionally, some of the portfolios we purchase may, from time to time, contain a small number of residential mortgage loans that have already been converted to REO.

As of March 31, 2015, we had 4,430 REO properties, consisting of 3,464 REO properties held for use and 966 held for sale. Of the 3,464 REO properties held for use, 587 properties had been rented and were occupied by tenants, 151 were being listed for rent and 116 were in varying stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 2,610 REO properties held for use, we will make a final determination whether each property meets our rental profile after (a) applicable state redemption periods have expired, (b) the foreclosure sale has been ratified, (c) we have recorded the deed for the property, (d) utilities have been activated and (e) we have secured access for interior inspection. A majority of the REO properties are subject to state regulations which require us to await the expiration of a redemption period before a foreclosure can be finalized. We include these redemption periods in our pricing which generally reduces the price we pay for the mortgage loans. Once the redemption period expires, we immediately proceed to record the new deed, take possession of the property, activate utilities, and start the inspection process in order to make a final determination on whether to rent or liquidate the property. If an REO property meets our rental investment criteria, we determine the extent of renovations that are needed to generate an optimal rent and maintain consistency of renovation specifications for future branding. If it is determined that the REO property will not meet our rental investment criteria, the property is listed for sale, in some instances after renovations are made to optimize the sale proceeds. As of March 31, 2014, we had 852 REO properties held for use. Of these properties, 35 had been rented, 17 were being listed for rent and 48 were in various stages of renovation. With respect to the remaining 752 REO properties at March 31, 2014, we were in the process of determining whether these properties would meet our rental profile. Additionally, 44 REO properties owned as of March 31, 2014 were held for sale.

The following table sets forth a summary of our REO properties as of March 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands):

25

(table of contents)

State / District	Number of properties	Carrying value (1)	Weighted average age in years (2)
Alabama	27	\$4,011	23
Alaska	1	185	28
Arizona	106	17,492	22
Arkansas	50	4,459	31
California	595	178,339	33
Colorado	29	6,125	25
Connecticut	28	5,265	46
Delaware	11	2,033	34
District of Columbia	1	242	103
Florida	799	120,791	24
Georgia	170	21,217	21
Hawaii	2	339	20
Idaho	11	1,374	30
Illinois	409	60,909	42
Indiana	162	18,083	32
Iowa	8	547	58
Kansas	28	2,243	47
Kentucky	57	6,113	32
Louisiana	21	2,154	27
Maine	15	1,659	117
Maryland	128	24,811	34
Massachusetts	39	7,343	79
Michigan	89	9,715	46
Minnesota	74	12,147	39
Mississippi	11	1,051	27
Missouri	77	6,894	42
Montana	5	1,010	29
Nebraska	8	1,213	44
Nevada	15	2,037	16
New Hampshire	14	2,154	59
New Jersey	56	8,970	67
New Mexico	30	3,860	21
New York	55	10,062	69
North Carolina	257	30,306	19
Ohio	130	14,757	41
Oklahoma	22	2,293	30
Oregon	10	1,595	29
Pennsylvania	217	28,154	52
Rhode Island	54	6,842	76
South Carolina	103	11,273	23
South Dakota	2	295	52
Tennessee	77	9,200	23
Texas	144	17,979	22
Utah	70	12,560	28
Vermont	3	548	142
Virginia	52	13,536	26

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Washington	26	5,281	37
West Virginia	3	647	25
Wisconsin	128	14,371	49
Wyoming	1	275	21
Total	4,430	\$714,759	34

26

(table of contents)

(1) The carrying value of an asset is based on historical cost which generally consists of the market value at the time of foreclosure sale plus renovation costs, net of any accumulated depreciation.

(2) Weighted average age is based on the age weighted by carrying value for each state.

The remainder of our Existing Portfolio consists of a diversified pool of sub-performing and non-performing residential mortgage loans with the underlying properties located across the United States. The aggregate purchase price of our Existing Portfolio for acquisitions completed through March 31, 2015 was 67% of the aggregate market value, as determined by the most recent BPO provided by the applicable seller for each property in the respective portfolio as of its cut-off date. We cannot assure you that the BPOs accurately reflected the actual market value of the related property at the purported time or accurately reflect such market value today.

As of March 31, 2015 the aggregate carrying value of our Existing Portfolio was \$1.9 billion (which does not include the carrying value of our REO properties of an additional \$714.8 million). The carrying value of mortgage loans is based on our asset manager's proprietary pricing model. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of our mortgage loans are discount rates, forecasts of future home prices, alternate resolution probabilities and foreclosure timelines. Significant changes in any of these inputs in isolation could result in a significant change to the fair value measurement. For a more complete description of the fair value measurements and the factors that may significantly affect the carrying value of our assets, please see Note 4 to our consolidated financial statements.

The table below provides a summary of the sub-performing and non-performing residential mortgage loans in our Existing Portfolio based on the respective UPB and respective market values of underlying properties as of March 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands):

Location	Loan count	UPB	Market value of underlying properties (1)	Weighted average market LTV (2)	
Alabama	56	\$8,359	\$7,103	177.5	%
Alaska	3	672	1,011	68.8	%
Arizona	124	35,523	32,751	120.6	%
Arkansas	49	3,883	4,572	107.2	%
California	1,108	533,355	571,934	105.8	%
Colorado	49	12,939	13,940	101.4	%
Connecticut	134	41,203	36,826	141.5	%
Delaware	57	10,901	9,626	132.7	%
Dist. of Columbia	66	17,358	20,857	107.1	%
Florida	1,977	482,614	400,789	141.5	%
Georgia	248	45,660	40,991	133.1	%
Hawaii	53	27,836	28,591	104.5	%
Idaho	31	7,420	6,790	133.3	%
Illinois	318	79,353	63,784	185.9	%
Indiana	241	30,447	27,761	122.4	%
Iowa	24	2,030	2,178	102.8	%
Kansas	24	2,584	3,004	105.6	%
Kentucky	47	5,722	5,221	120.3	%
Louisiana	38	6,491	6,846	111.6	%
Maine	37	6,915	6,877	110.3	%

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

Maryland	581	155,135	127,474	142.9	%
Massachusetts	271	74,420	74,619	115.8	%
Michigan	79	12,646	12,639	164.5	%
Minnesota	38	8,015	8,173	122.1	%
Mississippi	25	2,897	2,845	114.2	%
Missouri	71	6,817	5,868	171.3	%

27

(table of contents)

Montana	2	461	560	84.3	%
Nebraska	4	465	440	105.9	%
Nevada	230	73,018	56,329	143.4	%
New Hampshire	11	3,013	3,200	100.8	%
New Jersey	1,062	318,192	250,343	157.5	%
New Mexico	123	17,473	17,040	112.6	%
New York	720	248,166	254,231	113.9	%
North Carolina	215	28,655	27,136	119.2	%
North Dakota	1	123	140	87.9	%
Ohio	113	16,575	14,475	282.2	%
Oklahoma	32	4,136	4,214	122.6	%
Oregon	103	30,424	28,364	116.5	%
Pennsylvania	256	46,795	39,820	143.0	%
Puerto Rico	2	218	285	77.2	%
Rhode Island	49	11,290	6,377	230.1	%
South Carolina	189	33,746	31,193	119.2	%
South Dakota	3	395	325	132.0	%
Tennessee	75	11,062	10,971	121.2	%
Texas	422	48,992	68,197	83.3	%
Utah	52	11,463	11,989	101.9	%
Vermont	9	1,451	1,383	128.9	%
Virginia	136	44,543	42,928	118.6	%
Washington	466	126,931	122,573	115.6	%
West Virginia	8	1,009	838	128.0	%
Wisconsin	57	8,331	6,587	154.3	%
Total mortgage loans	10,089	\$2,708,122	\$2,523,008	130.3	%

Market value is based on the most recent BPO provided to us by the applicable seller for each property in the respective portfolio as of its cut-off date or an updated BPO received since the acquisition was completed.

- (1) Although we performed diligence on a representative sample of the properties to confirm the accuracy of the BPOs provided to us by the sellers, we cannot assure you that the BPOs set forth in this table accurately reflected the actual market value of the related property at the purported time or accurately reflect such market value today.
- (2) Weighted average loan to value (LTV) is based on the loan to value weighted by unpaid principal balance for each state.

Results of operations

Three months ended March 31, 2015 versus three months ended March 31, 2014

Rental revenues

Rental revenues increased to \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The number of leased properties increased to 587 at March 31, 2015 from 35 at March 31, 2014. We also had an additional 151 properties listed for rent at March 31, 2015. We expect to generate increasing rental revenues as we continue to renovate, list and rent additional residential rental properties. Our rental revenues will depend primarily on occupancy levels and rental rates for our residential rental properties. Because our lease terms generally are expected to be two or fewer years, our occupancy levels and rental rates will be highly dependent on localized residential rental markets, our ability to manage maintenance and repair costs and our renters'

desire to remain in our properties.

Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans

Our net unrealized gains on mortgage loans decreased to \$61.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$65.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily related to a lower unrealized gains on loans converted to REO status and friction cost due to our servicing transfers during the quarter. The net unrealized gains for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 can be broken down into the following two components:

28

(table of contents)

First, we recognized unrealized gains driven by a material change in loan status of \$18.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$23.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we converted 724 mortgage loans to REO status compared to 636 mortgage loans converted to REO status during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Upon conversion of these mortgage loans to REO, we marked these properties to the most recent market value, less estimated selling costs in the case of REO properties held for sale; and

Second, we recognized \$42.7 million in unrealized gains for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from the net increase in the fair value of loans during the period compared to \$41.6 million in unrealized gains during the three months ended March 31, 2014. Adjustments to the fair value of loans after acquisition represent, among other factors, a reduction in the time remaining to complete the foreclosure process due to the passage of time since acquisition and a reduction in future foreclosure expenses to the extent we have already incurred them. The reduction in time remaining to complete the foreclosure is driven by the completion of activities in the foreclosure process after we acquired the loans. This reduction in timeline results in reduced carrying costs and reduced future expenses for the loans, each of which increases the fair value of the loans. The increase in the value of the loans is recognized in net unrealized gain on mortgage loans in our consolidated statements of operations.

Through the resolution of non-performing loans, our Existing Portfolio has decreased from 10,963 loans at December 31, 2014 to 10,089 loans at March 31, 2015. The fair value of mortgage loans is based on a number of factors which are difficult to predict and may be subject to adverse changes in value depending on the financial condition of borrowers, as well as geographic, economic, market and other conditions. Therefore, we may experience unrealized losses on our mortgage loans in the future.

Net realized gain on mortgage loans

Net realized gains on mortgage loans increased to \$15.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$9.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We disposed of 150 mortgage loans in the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 116 mortgage loans in the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily from short sales and foreclosure sales.

Net realized gain on real estate

Net realized gains on real estate were \$10.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, during which we disposed of 254 residential properties. We disposed of two residential properties during the three months ended March 31, 2014. There were no significant gains or losses on the dispositions in 2014.

Interest income

Interest income increased to \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as we accreted \$0.2 million into interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2015 with respect to the re-performing loans acquired in June 2014. Interest income was \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014

Residential property operating expenses

We incurred \$12.5 million of residential property operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 with 4,430 REO properties and 587 leased properties at March 31, 2015 compared to 896 REO properties and 35 leased properties at March 31, 2014. We expect to incur increasing residential property operating expenses as we convert more mortgage loans to, and own more

residential properties. Our residential property operating expenses for rental properties will be dependent primarily on residential property taxes and insurance, property management fees, HOA dues, and repair and maintenance expenditures. Our residential property operating expenses for properties held while we are evaluating strategy will be dependent primarily on residential property taxes and insurance, property management fees, HOA dues, utilities, and landscaping.

Real estate depreciation and amortization

We incurred \$1.0 million of real estate depreciation and amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to a nominal amount of real estate depreciation and amortization for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We expect to incur increasing real estate depreciation and amortization as we convert more mortgage loans to, and own more, residential rental

(table of contents)

properties. Real estate depreciation and amortization are non-cash expenditures which generally are not expected to be indicative of the market value or condition of our residential rental properties.

Real estate selling costs and impairment

Real estate selling costs of REO held for sale were \$10.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We also recognized \$4.1 million REO valuation impairment for the three months ended March 31, 2015. There was no impairment recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We record residential properties held for sale at the lower of either the carrying amount of REO or its estimated fair value less estimated selling costs. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated fair value, as adjusted, we record impairment equal to the amount of such excess. If an increase in fair value is noted at a subsequent measurement date, a gain is recognized to the extent of any previous impairment recognized.

Mortgage loan servicing costs

We incurred \$18.3 million of mortgage loan servicing costs, primarily for servicing fees, foreclosure fees and advances of residential property insurance for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$11.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. We incur mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure costs as our mortgage loan servicers provide servicing for our loans and pay for advances relating to property insurance, foreclosure attorney fees, foreclosure costs, and property preservation. Our loan servicing costs could be higher in a given period if the number of mortgage loans in our portfolio increases.

Interest expense

We incurred \$11.6 million of interest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2015 related to borrowings under our repurchase agreements (including amortization of deferred financing costs) compared to \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The interest rate under our repurchase agreements is subject to change, based on changes in the relevant index. Market interest rates are currently at historically low levels, and any increase in market interest rates will cause our contractual interest expense to increase. We also expect our interest expense to increase as our debt increases to fund and/or leverage our ownership of existing and additional portfolios.

General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses increased to \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 from \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to increased litigation-based expenses and higher professional fees. The effect of these increases was partially offset by a decrease in acquisition costs.

Related party general and administrative

We incurred \$16.1 million of related party general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$12.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. These expenses included \$14.9 million in incentive management fees for the three months ended March 31, 2015 which were due to AAMC under the new AMA compared to \$10.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The remaining related party general and administrative expenses are related to expense reimbursements to AAMC for salaries and benefits attributable to AAMC's personnel providing services on behalf of our business under the original asset management agreement, professional fees and due diligence costs related to the acquisition of loan portfolios.

Liquidity and capital resources

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

As of March 31, 2015, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$53.2 million compared to \$66.2 million as of December 31, 2014. Our liquidity reflects our ability to meet our current obligations (including our operating expenses and, when applicable, retirement of, and margin calls relating to, our financing arrangements) and make distributions to our stockholders. We are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income each year to our stockholders to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. This distribution requirement limits our ability to retain earnings and thereby replenish or increase capital to support our activities.

We were initially funded with \$100.0 million on December 21, 2012. Since our separation, our primary sources of liquidity have been proceeds from equity offerings, borrowings under our repurchase agreements and securitization financings, interest

30

(table of contents)

payments we receive from our portfolio of assets, cash generated from loan liquidations and cash generated from our rental portfolio. We expect our existing business strategy will require additional debt and/or equity financing. Our manager continues to explore a variety of financing sources to support our growth, including, but not limited to, debt financing through bank warehouse lines of credit, additional and/or amended repurchase agreements, term financing, securitization transactions and additional debt or equity offerings. Based on our current borrowing capacity, leverage ratio, and anticipated additional debt financing transactions, we believe that these sources of liquidity will be sufficient to enable us to meet anticipated short-term (one year) liquidity requirements, including paying expenses on our existing loan portfolio, funding distributions to our stockholders, paying fees to AAMC under the new AMA and general corporate expenses. However, there can be no assurance as to how much additional financing capacity such efforts will produce, what form the financing will take or that such efforts will be successful. If we are unable to renew, replace or expand our sources of financing, our business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations may be materially and adversely affected.

To date, we have conducted the following equity offerings, repurchase facilities and securitization transactions:

Equity Offerings

We have completed three public equity offerings with aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$1.1 billion. On May 1, 2013, we completed a public offering of 17,250,000 shares of common stock at \$18.75 per share and received net proceeds of approximately \$309.5 million. On October 1, 2013, we completed our second public offering of 17,187,000 shares of common stock at \$21.00 per share and received net proceeds of \$349.4 million. On January 22, 2014, we completed our third public offering of 14,200,000 shares of common stock at \$34.00 per share and received net proceeds of approximately \$467.6 million.

Repurchase Facilities

We also entered into three separate repurchase agreements to finance the acquisition and ownership of residential mortgage loans and REO properties. The maximum aggregate funding available under these repurchase agreements at December 31, 2014 was \$1.2 billion. Following the amendments described below, the maximum aggregate funding available to us under these repurchase agreements as of March 31, 2015 was \$970.5 million, subject to certain sublimits, eligibility requirements and conditions precedent to each funding. As of March 31, 2015, an aggregate of \$929.3 million was outstanding under our repurchase agreements. All obligations of our subsidiaries under the repurchase agreements are fully guaranteed by us.

Each of our repurchase agreements is described below:

Credit Suisse (“CS”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on March 22, 2013 (the “CS repurchase agreement”) with an initial aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$100.0 million. During 2014 the CS repurchase agreement was amended on several occasions, ultimately increasing the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity to \$225.0 million on December 31, 2014 with a maturity date of April 20, 2015, subject to an additional one-year extension with the approval of the lender. On April 20, 2015, we entered into an amended and restated repurchase agreement with CS that increased our aggregate borrowing capacity from \$225.0 million to \$275.0 million, increased the REO sublimit under the facility and extended the maturity date to April 18, 2016.

Deutsche Bank (“DB”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on September 12, 2013 (the “DB repurchase agreement”). The DB repurchase agreement was amended on December 18, 2013, has an aggregate funding capacity of \$250.0 million and matures on March 11, 2016. The DB repurchase agreement includes a provision that, beginning in April 2015, we will not be able to finance mortgage loans in excess of amounts outstanding under the facility at the end of March 2015. Therefore, our aggregate funding capacity under the DB repurchase agreement was

reduced to \$219.7 million in April 2015, which was the amount outstanding under the facility at the time.

Wells Fargo (“Wells”) is the lender on the repurchase agreement entered into on September 23, 2013 (the “Wells repurchase agreement”) with an initial aggregate maximum borrowing capacity of \$200.0 million. Throughout 2013 and 2014 the Wells repurchase agreement was amended several times increasing the aggregate maximum borrowing capacity to a high of \$1.0 billion, and on December 31, 2014 was reduced to \$750.0 million, subject to certain sublimits, to reflect the securitization of a significant portion of our non-performing loans that previously had been financed under the Wells repurchase agreement. The maturity date of the Wells repurchase agreement was March 23, 2015. However, on February 20, 2015, we exercised our option to extend the termination date of this facility to March 23, 2016 without any additional funding, thereby reducing our aggregate funding capacity under the Wells repurchase agreement to \$525.8 million which was the amount outstanding under the facility on the extension date. We are in

31

(table of contents)

discussions with Wells to further extend the repurchase agreement with an ability to obtain additional funding. No assurance can be provided that we will be able to renew this facility on reasonable terms, on a timely basis or at all. In the event we cannot extend the agreement with an ability to obtain additional funding, the advance rate will be reduced by 10% after the first 90 days of the extension term and we will not be entitled to draw additional funds under the facility.

Under the terms of each repurchase agreement, as collateral for the funds we draw thereunder, subject to certain conditions, the operating partnership will sell to the applicable lender equity interests in the Delaware statutory trust subsidiary that owns the applicable underlying mortgage assets on our behalf, or the trust will directly sell such underlying mortgage assets. In the event the lender determines the value of the collateral has decreased, the lender has the right to initiate a margin call and require us to post additional collateral or to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings. The price paid by the lender for each underlying mortgage asset we finance under the applicable repurchase agreement is subject to agreement between the lender and us and is based on a percentage of the market value of the underlying mortgage asset and depends on its delinquency status. Our cost of borrowing under the repurchase agreements generally corresponds to LIBOR, or the lender interest at the lender's cost of funds plus a margin. We are also required to pay certain other customary fees, administrative costs and expenses to maintain and administer the repurchase agreements.

The repurchase agreements require us to maintain various financial and other covenants, including maintaining a minimum adjusted tangible net worth, a maximum ratio of indebtedness to adjusted tangible net worth and specified levels of unrestricted cash. In addition, the repurchase agreements contain customary events of default.

We are currently in compliance with the covenants and other requirements with respect to our repurchase agreements. We monitor our banking partners' ability to perform under the repurchase agreements and have concluded there is currently no reason to doubt that they will continue to perform under the repurchase agreements as contractually obligated.

The following table sets forth data with respect to our repurchase agreements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015, March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2014 (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014	Three months ended December 31, 2014
Balance at end of period	\$929,287	\$699,950	\$1,015,000
Maximum month-end balance outstanding during the period	997,161	703,147	1,112,883
Weighted average quarterly balance	970,513	666,132	1,055,776

As amended, the three repurchase agreements provide for the lenders to finance our portfolio at advance rates (or purchase prices) ranging from 40% to 80% of the "asset value" of the mortgage loans and REO properties. Under these repurchase agreements, the "asset value" generally is an amount that is based on the market value of the mortgage loan or REO property. We believe these are typical market terms which are designed to provide protection for the lender to collateralize its advances to us in the event the collateral declines in value. Under each of the repurchase agreements, if the carrying value of the collateral declines beyond certain limits, we would have to either (a) provide additional collateral or (b) repurchase certain assets under the agreement to maintain the applicable advance rate.

The decrease in amounts outstanding under our repurchase agreements from December 31, 2014 to March 31, 2015 relate in part to amounts paid down with the proceeds from the sale of the Class A Notes. Our overall advance rate under the repurchase agreements declined from 55.8% at December 31, 2014 to 52.3% at March 31, 2015 due to the normal attrition of amounts outstanding under the CS Repurchase Agreement that are collateralized with secured notes

issued and retained by us in connection with securitizations completed in 2014. We do not collateralize any of our repurchase facilities with cash.

Loan and Security Agreement

On April 10, 2015, we entered into a Loan Agreement with Nomura. The purpose of the Loan Agreement is to finance our beneficial ownership of REO properties. These obligations are fully guaranteed pursuant to a guaranty made by us in favor of Nomura. The Loan Agreement terminates on April 8, 2016. Under the terms of the Loan Agreement, subject to certain conditions, Nomura may advance funds to us from time to time, with such advances collateralized by REO properties. The maximum aggregate advance for the REO properties under the Loan Agreement is \$100.0 million, subject to certain sublimits, eligibility requirements and conditions precedent to each funding.

(table of contents)

The advances paid by Nomura under the Loan Agreement with respect to the REO properties from time to time will be based on a percentage of the market value of the applicable REO properties. Under the terms of the Loan Agreement, we are required to pay to Nomura interest based on the one-month LIBOR plus a spread and certain other customary fees, administrative costs and expenses in connection with Nomura's structuring, management and ongoing administration of the Loan Agreement.

The Loan Agreement requires us to maintain various financial and other covenants, including a minimum adjusted tangible net worth, a maximum ratio of indebtedness to adjusted tangible net worth and specified levels of unrestricted cash. In addition, the Loan Agreement contains events of default (subject to certain materiality thresholds and grace periods), including payment defaults, breaches of covenants and/or certain representations and warranties, cross-defaults, certain material adverse changes, bankruptcy or insolvency proceedings and other events of default customary for this type of transaction. The remedies for such events of default are also customary for this type of transaction and include the acceleration of the principal amount outstanding under the Loan Agreement and the liquidation by Nomura of the REO properties then subject to the Loan Agreement.

Securitizations

On November 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-2 ("ARLP 2014-2") issued \$270.8 million in Class A Notes (the "Class A Notes") and \$234.0 million in Class M Notes (the "Class M Notes"). We initially retained \$95.8 million of the Class A Notes and all of the Class M Notes in our taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). On February 9, 2015, we sold \$50.7 million of the retained Class A Notes to an unrelated third party. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-2 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-2 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on January 26, 2054, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-2 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-2 was \$332.5 million.

On September 25, 2014, we completed a securitization transaction in which ARLP Securitization Trust, Series 2014-1 ("ARLP 2014-1") issued \$150.0 million in Class A Notes (the "Class A Notes") and \$32.0 million in Class M Notes (the "Class M Notes"). The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes are secured solely by the non-performing mortgage loans and REO properties of ARLP 2014-1 and not by any of our other assets. The assets of ARLP 2014-1 are the only source of repayment and interest on the Class A Notes and the Class M Notes. The Class A Notes and the Class M Notes mature on September 25, 2044, and we do not guaranty any of the obligations of ARLP 2014-1 under the terms of the Indenture governing the notes or otherwise. As of March 31, 2015, the book value of the underlying securitized assets held by ARLP 2014-1 was \$213.8 million.

As described above in "-Repurchase Agreements", we used a portion of the proceeds of the securitizations to repurchase the non-performing loans from the repurchase facilities, as such non-performing loans were used to collateralize the securitization trusts.

We retained all of the Class M Notes issued by ARLP 2014-1 in our TRS. On September 30, 2014, pursuant to a master repurchase agreement, the TRS sold \$15.0 million of the Class M Notes to NewSource, an entity in which we own 100% of the outstanding preferred stock and in which AAMC owns 100% of the outstanding common stock, for a purchase price of \$15.0 million. The master repurchase agreement initially required the TRS to repurchase the Class M Notes from NewSource at a 5.0% yield on December 28, 2014, with the parties having the option to extend the master repurchase agreement for additional 89-day periods. In no event can the master repurchase agreement be extended beyond September 29, 2015. The agreement is currently due to expire on June 25, 2015.

Cash flows

We report and analyze our cash flows based on operating activities, investing activities and financing activities. The following table sets forth the changes in our cash flows (\$ in thousands):

	Three months ended March 31, 2015	Three months ended March 31, 2014	Change	
Net cash used in operating activities	\$(42,469)(23,567)\$(18,902)
Net cash used in investing activities	80,718	(582,881)663,599	
Net cash provided by financing activities	(51,169)538,482	(589,651)
Total cash flows	\$(12,920)\$(67,966)\$55,046	

(table of contents)

The change in net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 consisted primarily of gains on our mortgage loans and real estate offset by related party mortgage loan servicing costs including servicing fees, foreclosure fees and advances of residential property insurance on delinquent loans, interest expense, professional fees, acquisition costs and expense reimbursements to our manager for salaries and benefits.

The change in net cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 consisted primarily of investments in non-performing loan portfolios, offset by proceeds from the disposition of loans and real estate. During periods in which we purchase a significant number of mortgage loans and conduct substantial renovations of residential real estate, our cash used in investing activities may exceed cash provided by investing activities.

The change in net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 consisted primarily of net repayment of borrowings under repurchase agreements and other secured borrowings and payment of dividends. The change in net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 consisted primarily of the net proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net borrowings under repurchase agreements and payment of dividends. Net cash related to financing activities will generally consist of the incurrence by us of debt, repayment of debt previously incurred by us, payment of dividends and issuance of common stock.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as of March 31, 2015.

Recent accounting pronouncements

See Item 1 - Financial statements (unaudited) - Note 1, "Organization and basis of presentation - Recently issued accounting standards."

Critical accounting judgments

Accounting standards require information in financial statements about the risks and uncertainties inherent in significant estimates, and the application of generally accepted accounting principles involves the exercise of varying degrees of judgment. Certain amounts included in or affecting our financial statements and related disclosures must be estimated requiring us to make certain assumptions with respect to values or conditions that cannot be known with certainty at the time our consolidated financial statements are prepared. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts we report for our assets and liabilities and our revenues and expenses during the reporting period and our disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our consolidated financial statements. Actual results may differ significantly from our estimates and any effects on our business, financial position or results of operations resulting from revisions to these estimates are recorded in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known. For additional details on our critical accounting judgments, please see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical accounting judgments" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014,

(table of contents)

Non-GAAP measures - Estimated REIT taxable income

Estimated REIT taxable income is a measure that we use in connection with monitoring our compliance with certain REIT requirements. Estimated REIT taxable income should not be considered as an alternative to net income or net income per share as indicators of our operating performance.

The following table is a reconciliation of U.S. GAAP net income to estimated REIT taxable income (\$ in thousands):

	U.S. GAAP Three months ended March 31, 2015	Adjustments ⁽¹⁾ Three months ended March 31, 2015	Tax Three months ended March 31, 2015
Revenues:			
Rental revenues	\$1,400	\$—	\$1,400
Net unrealized gain on mortgage loans	61,134	(13,009)	48,125
Net realized gains	26,141	(13,403)	12,738
Interest income, advance recoveries and other	240	6,182	6,422
Total revenues	88,915	(20,230)	68,685
Expenses:			
Residential property operating expenses including depreciation	13,457	(1,952)	11,505
Mortgage loan servicing costs	18,266	(13,295)	4,971
General, administrative and other	44,765	(14,214)	30,551
Total expenses	76,488	(29,461)	47,027
Estimated income before income taxes	\$12,427	\$9,231	\$21,658

(1) Adjustments between GAAP earnings and estimated taxable REIT income primarily represent temporary timing differences in the recognition of revenue and expense items, as provided above.

(table of contents)

Item 3. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk

Market risk includes risks that arise from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and other market changes that affect market sensitive instruments. The primary market risks that we are currently exposed to are real estate risk and interest rate risk. A substantial portion of our investments are, and we expect will continue to be, comprised of non-performing loans and rental properties. The primary driver of the value of both these asset classes is the fair value of the underlying real estate.

Real Estate Risk

Residential property values are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including, but not limited to: national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local real estate conditions (such as an oversupply of housing); construction quality, age and design; demographic factors; and retroactive changes to building or similar codes. Decreases in property values could cause us to suffer losses.

Interest Rate Risk

We will be exposed to interest rate risk from our (a) acquisition and ownership of residential mortgage loans and (b) debt financing activities. Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control. Changes in interest rates may affect the fair value of the residential mortgage loans and real estate underlying our portfolios as well as our financing interest rate expense.

We currently do not intend to hedge the risk associated with the residential mortgage loans and real estate underlying our portfolios. However, although we have not yet done so, we may undertake risk mitigation activities with respect to our debt financing interest rate obligations. We expect that our debt financing will at times be based on a floating rate of interest calculated on a fixed spread over the relevant index, as determined by the particular financing arrangement. A significantly rising interest rate environment could have an adverse effect on the cost of our financing. To mitigate this risk, we may use derivative financial instruments such as interest rate swaps and interest rate options in an effort to reduce the variability of earnings caused by changes in the interest rates we pay on our debt.

These derivative transactions will be entered into solely for risk management purposes, not for investment purposes. When undertaken, these derivative instruments likely will expose us to certain risks such as price and interest rate fluctuations, timing risk, volatility risk, credit risk, counterparty risk and changes in the liquidity of markets. Therefore, although we expect to transact in these derivative instruments purely for risk management, they may not adequately protect us from fluctuations in our financing interest rate obligations.

We currently borrow funds at variable rates using secured financings. At March 31, 2015, we had \$0.9 billion of variable rate debt outstanding not protected by interest rate hedge contracts. The estimated aggregate fair market value of this debt was \$0.9 billion. If the weighted average interest rate on this variable rate debt had been 100 basis points higher or lower, the annual interest expense would increase or decrease by \$9.3 million, respectively.

Item 4. Controls and procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this

quarterly report were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms, and to ensure that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

(table of contents)

Part II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material new developments in our legal proceedings since the March 2, 2015 filing of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, except as follows:

The Police Retirement System of Saint Louis v. Erbey, et al. On April 2, 2015, The Police Retirement System of Saint Louis and the defendants entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) reflecting an agreement in principle to settle the action with the settlement consideration being the new AMA. The MOU contemplates the negotiation and execution of a stipulation of settlement. The stipulation of settlement will be subject to customary conditions, including court approval. If the settlement is finally approved by the court, it will resolve and release all claims in the action that were, or could have been brought by or on our behalf challenging any aspect of the Original AMA and the negotiation of, the terms and provisions of, or the approval of the new AMA. In addition, in connection with the settlement, the parties agreed that plaintiff’s counsel will apply to the court for an award of fees and expenses. There can be no assurances that the parties will ultimately enter into a stipulation of settlement or that the court will approve the settlement.

Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al. On March 27, 2015, a putative shareholder class action complaint was filed in the United States District Court of the Virgin Islands by a purported shareholder of Residential under the caption Martin v. Altisource Residential Corporation, et al., 15-cv-00024. The action names as defendants Residential, Mr. Erbey and certain officers and a former officer of Residential and alleges that the defendants violated federal securities laws by, among other things, making materially false statements and/or failing to disclose material information to Residential’s shareholders regarding Residential’s relationship and transactions with AAMC, Ocwen and Home Loan Servicing Solutions Ltd. These alleged misstatements and omissions include allegations that the defendants failed to adequately disclose Residential’s reliance on Ocwen and the risks relating to its relationship with Ocwen, including that Ocwen was not properly servicing and selling loans, that Ocwen was under investigation by regulators for violating state and federal laws regarding servicing of loans, and Ocwen’s lack of proper internal controls. The complaint also contains allegations that certain of Residential’s disclosure documents were false and misleading because they fail to disclose fully the entire details of a certain asset management agreement between Residential and AAMC that allegedly benefited AAMC to the detriment of Residential’s shareholders. The action seeks, among other things, an award of monetary damages to the putative class in an unspecified amount, and an award of attorney’s and other fees and expenses. We believe the complaint is without merit. At this time, we are not able to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter, nor can we estimate the range of possible loss, if any.

Item 1A. Risk factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors since December 31, 2014. For information regarding our risk factors, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in "Item 1A. Risk factors" in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed on March 2, 2015.

Item 4. Mine safety disclosures

Not applicable.

(table of contents)

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Separation Agreement, dated as of December 21, 2012, between Altisource Residential Corporation and Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Commission on December 28, 2012).
3.1	Articles of Restatement of Altisource Residential Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 8, 2013).
3.2	By-laws of Altisource Residential Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 10 filed with the Commission on December 5, 2012).
10.1	Loan and Security Agreement, dated as of April 10, 2015, among Nomura Corporate Funding Americas, LLC, and ARLP REO I, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO I, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO I, LLC, ARLP REO II, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO II, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO II, LLC, ARLP REO III, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO III, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO III, LLC, ARLP REO IV, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO IV, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO IV, LLC, ARLP REO V, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO V, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO V, LLC, ARLP REO VI, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO VI, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO VI, LLC, and ARLP REO VII, LLC, on behalf of itself and with respect to QRS Series of ARLP REO VII, LLC and TRS Series of ARLP REO VII, LLC and each other Delaware limited liability company that is organized in series that may be subsequently added as a party to the Agreement under a Joinder Agreement.
10.2	Guaranty, dated as of April 10, 2015 made by Altisource Residential Corporation in favor of Nomura Corporate Funding Americas, LLC
10.3	Amended and Restated Master Repurchase Agreement, dated as of April 20, 2015, by and among Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Capital LLC, Altisource Residential, L.P., ARNS, Inc., ARLP Trust, ARLP Trust 4, RESI SFR Sub, LLC, and RESI REO Sub, LLC and Altisource Residential Corporation
10.4	Amended and Restated Guaranty Agreement, dated April 20, 2015 by Altisource Residential Corporation in favor of Credit Suisse First Boston Mortgage Capital, LLC
31.1*	Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

Edgar Filing: Altisource Residential Corp - Form 10-Q

31.2*	Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32.1*	Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
32.2*	Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Extension Labels Linkbase
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

38

(table of contents)

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 7, 2015

By: /s/ Altisource Residential Corporation
Robin N. Lowe
Robin N. Lowe
Chief Financial Officer