

MOULTON EBEN S
Form 4
February 10, 2011

FORM 4

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287
Expires: January 31, 2005
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person *
MOULTON EBEN S

(Last) (First) (Middle)

105 NORTON STREET

(Street)

NEWARK, NY 14513

(City) (State) (Zip)

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol
IEC ELECTRONICS CORP [iec]

3. Date of Earliest Transaction
(Month/Day/Year)
02/08/2011

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer

(Check all applicable)

Director 10% Owner
 Officer (give title below) Other (specify below)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)
 Form filed by One Reporting Person
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Ownership (Instr. 4)
			Code	V Amount (A) or (D) Price			
Common Stock	02/08/2011		M	2,334 A \$ 1.22	315,459	D	

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned (e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)	8. Amount or Number of Shares
Stock Option (right to buy)	\$ 1.22	02/08/2010		M ⁽¹⁾	2,334	02/04/2011 02/03/2014	Common Stock	2,334

Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
MOULTON EBEN S 105 NORTON STREET NEWARK, NY 14513	X			

Signatures

Eben S. Moulton 02/10/2011

**Signature of Reporting Person Date

Explanation of Responses:

* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).

** Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).

(1) Stock Options pursuant to the Company's 2001 Stock Option and Incentive Plan in a transaction exempt under Rule 16(b)-3(d).

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure.

Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. > 207

In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, overall volumes of crude oil processed increased by 4.8% compared with corresponding period in 2006, and sales volumes in export markets decreased 0.3% compared to the

corresponding period in 2006. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, refinery capacity utilization reached over 100%, compared with 98.1% for corresponding period in 2006.

In 2006, overall volumes of crude oil processed increased by 4.4% compared with 2005, and volumes sales in export markets were 25% lower than in 2005. Refinery capacity utilization in 2006 reached 98.4%, compared with 94.4% in 2005 and 93.1% in 2004.

The La Plata refinery is the largest refinery in Argentina, with a capacity of 189,000 barrels of crude oil per day. The refinery includes three distillation units, two vacuum distillation units, two catalytic cracking units, two coking units, a coker naphtha hydrotreater unit, a platforming unit, a gasoline hydrotreater, a diesel fuel hydrofinishing unit, an isomerization unit and a lubricants complex. The refinery is located at the port in the city of La Plata, in the province of Buenos Aires, approximately 60 kilometers from the City of Buenos Aires. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, the refinery processed approximately 194,400 and 179,400 barrels of crude oil per day, respectively. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, the capacity utilization rate at the La Plata refinery was 8.3% higher than in the corresponding period of 2006. The capacity utilization rate at the La Plata refinery for 2006 was 3.9% higher than in 2005. The crude oil processed at the La Plata refinery comes mainly from our own production in the Neuquina and Golfo de San Jorge basins. Crude oil supplies for the La Plata refinery are transported from the Neuquina basin by pipeline and from the Golfo de San Jorge basin by vessel, in each case to Puerto Rosales, and then by pipeline from Puerto Rosales to the refinery.

In September 2003, we commenced construction of a new Fluid Cracking Catalysts (“FCC”) naphtha splitter and a desulfuration unit in the La Plata refinery, and in 2004, we commenced the construction of a new naphtha splitter in the Luján de Cuyo refinery. Both projects were completed during 2006 and have allowed us to meet higher technical requirements imposed by legislation in Argentina that limit the level of sulfur in fuels (gasoline).

The Luján de Cuyo refinery has an installed capacity of 105,500 barrels per calendar day, the third largest capacity among Argentine refineries. The refinery includes two distillation units, a vacuum distillation unit, two coking units, one catalytic cracking unit, a platforming unit, a Methyl TerButil Eter (“MTBE”) unit, an isomerization unit, an alkylation unit and hydrocracking and hydrotreating units. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, the refinery processed approximately 108,500 and 109,100 barrels of crude oil per day, respectively. The incremental amount of crude oil processed is a consequence of many factors, including improved operational techniques, elimination of “bottlenecks,” the use of crude oil of a different quality than that for which the facility was designed, and the fact that each unit has a margin of processing above its nominal capacity. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, the capacity utilization rate was 0.5% lower than in the corresponding period in 2006. The capacity utilization rate for 2006 was 4.0% higher than in 2005. Because of its location in the western province of Mendoza and its proximity to significant distribution terminals owned by us, the Luján de Cuyo refinery has become the primary facility responsible for providing the central provinces of Argentina with petroleum products for domestic consumption. The Luján de Cuyo refinery receives crude supplies from the Neuquina and Cuyana basins by pipeline directly into the facility. Approximately 88% of the crude oil processed at the Luján de Cuyo refinery is produced by us. Most of the crude oil purchased from third parties comes from oil fields in Neuquén or in Mendoza.

The Plaza Huincul refinery, located near the town of Plaza Huincul in the province of Neuquén, has an installed capacity of 25,000 barrels per calendar day. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, the refinery processed approximately 26,900 and 26,000 barrels of crude oil per calendar day, respectively. The incremental amount of crude oil processed is a consequence of many factors like good operation, elimination of bottle necks and the use of crude oil qualities different from those for which the facilities were designed. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, the capacity utilization rate was 3.5% higher than in the corresponding period of 2006. The capacity utilization rate for 2006 was 8.7% higher than in 2005. The only products currently produced commercially at the refinery are gasoline, diesel fuel and jet fuel, which are sold primarily in nearby areas and in the

southern regions of Argentina. Heavier products, to the extent production exceeds local demand, are blended with crude oil and transported by pipeline from the refinery to La Plata refinery for further processing. The Plaza Huincul refinery receives its crude supplies from the Neuquina basin by pipeline. Crude oil processed at the Plaza Huincul refinery is mostly produced by us. In the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, 23% and 19% of the refinery's crude supplies, respectively, were purchased from third parties.

115

During 1997 and 1998, each of our refineries and our Applied Technology Center were certified under ISO 9002 and ISO 14000 (environmental performance) and were recertified under ISO 9001 (version 2000) in 2003.

Capital expenditures in 2006 for efficiency and environmental projects and other improvements at the three refineries amounted to U.S.\$141.1 million.

Logistic division

Crude oil and products transportation and storage

We have available for our use a network of five major pipelines, two of which are wholly owned by us. The crude oil transportation network includes nearly 2,700 kilometers of crude oil pipelines with approximately 640,000 barrels of aggregate daily transportation capacity of refined products. We have total crude oil tankage of approximately seven million barrels and maintain terminal facilities at five Argentine ports.

Information with respect to our interests in our network of crude oil pipelines is set forth in the table below:

From	To	YPF Interest	Length (km)	Daily Capacity (bpd)
Puesto Hernández	Luján de Cuyo Refinery	100%	528	75,000
Puerto Rosales	La Plata Refinery	100%	585	316,000
La Plata Refinery	Dock Sud	100%	52	106,000
Brandsen	Campana	30%	168	120,700
Puesto Hernández/ Plaza Huinca/Allen	Puerto Rosales	37%	888(1)	232,000
Puesto Hernández	Concepción (Chile)	36%	428(2)	114,000

(1) Includes two parallel pipelines of 513 kilometers each from Allen to Puerto Rosales, with a combined daily throughput of 232,000 barrels.

(2) This pipeline ceased operating on December 29, 2005.

We own two crude oil pipelines in Argentina. One connects Puesto Hernández to the Luján de Cuyo refinery (528 kilometers), and the other connects Puerto Rosales to the La Plata refinery (585 kilometers) and extends to Shell's refinery in Dock Sud at the Buenos Aires port (52 kilometers). We also own a plant for the storage and distribution of crude oil in the northern province of Formosa with an operating capacity of 19,000 cubic meters, and two tanks in the city of Berisso, in the province of Buenos Aires, with 60,000 cubic meters of capacity. We own 37% of Oleoductos del Valle S.A., operator of an 888-kilometer pipeline network, its main pipeline being a double 513 kilometer pipeline that connects the Neuquina basin and Puerto Rosales.

As of December 31, 2007, we had a 36% interest in the 428-kilometer Transandean pipeline, which transported crude oil from Argentina to Concepción in Chile. This pipeline ceased operating on December 29, 2005, as a consequence of the interruption of oil exports resulting from decreased production in the north of the province of Neuquén. At present, the future of the pipeline is under evaluation and the assets related to this pipeline were reduced to their recovery value.

We also own 33.15% of Terminales Marítimas Patagónicas S.A., operator of two storage and port facilities: Caleta Córdova (province of Chubut), which has a capacity of 314,000 cubic meters, and Caleta Olivia (province of Santa

Cruz), which has a capacity of 246,000 cubic meters. We also have a 30% interest in Oiltanking Ebytem S.A., operator of the maritime terminal of Puerto Rosales, which has a capacity of 480,000 cubic meters, and of the crude oil pipeline that connect Brandsen (60,000 cubic meters of storage capacity) to the ESSO refinery in Campana (168 km), in the province of Buenos Aires.

In Argentina, we also operate a network of multiple pipelines for the transportation of refined products with a total length of 1,801 kilometers. We also own 16 plants for the storage and distribution of refined products with an approximate aggregate capacity of 983,620 cubic meters. Three of these plants are annexed to the refineries of Luján de Cuyo, La Plata and Plaza Huinul. Ten of these plants have maritime or river connections. We operate 53 airplane refueling facilities (40 of them are wholly owned) with a capacity of 24,000 cubic meters, own 27 trucks,

112 suppliers and 16 dispensers. These facilities provide a flexible country-wide distribution system and allow us to facilitate exports to foreign markets, to the extent allowed pursuant to government regulations. Products are shipped mainly by truck, ship or river barge.

Domestic marketing division

Through our Marketing Division, we market gasoline, diesel fuel and other petroleum products to retail and wholesale customers. We also sell convenience food products through our service stations, although such sales do not account for a material amount of our revenues.

In 2006, retail, wholesale, lubricants and specialties and aviation sales reached Ps.11,913 million, representing 62% of the Refining and Marketing segment's consolidated revenue, with Ps.5,656 million generated by retail customers.

As of September 30, 2007, the Marketing Division's sales network in Argentina included 1,698 retail service stations (compared to 1,731 at December 31, 2006), of which 98 are directly owned by us, and the remaining 1,600 are affiliated service stations. Operadora de Estaciones de Servicio S.A. ("OPESSA") (a wholly owned subsidiary of ours), operates 164 of our retail service stations, 77 of which are directly owned by us, 24 of which are leased to ACA (Automovil Club Argentino), and 63 of which are leased to independent owners. Additionally, we have a 50% interest in Refinor, which operates 76 retail service stations. We will continue our efforts to eliminate nonstrategic existing stations, and dealer-operated stations which do not comply with the level of operational efficiency that we require.

We estimate that, as of September 30, 2007 and as of December 31, 2006, our points of sale accounted for 30.9% and the 31.1% of the Argentine market, respectively. In Argentina, Shell, Petrobras and Esso are our main competitors and own approximately 15.6%, 12.8% and 10.5%, respectively, of the points of sale in Argentina, according to the latest information available to us.

During 2006, we slightly increased our market share in the diesel fuel and gasoline markets from 53.8% in 2005 to 54.8%, according to our analysis of data provided by the Secretariat of Energy.

The "Red XXI" marketing program, launched in October 1997, which has significantly improved operational efficiency and provides us with immediate performance data from each station, is aimed at connecting most of our service stations network. As of December 31, 2006, 1,461 stations were linked to the Red XXI system.

In 2007, we launched the Escuela Comercial YPF (YPF Business School), which focuses on performance, employability, operational excellence and customer satisfaction. The YPF Business School is aligned with our business strategy to promote a sense of belonging and common vision shared by all the members of our business chain. By September 2007, the YPF Business School had carried out 764 didactic activities, within its four branches of study, involving 1,764 of our employees or business partners (owned and branded service stations and distributors).

In order to improve the performance of the service stations, we have been increasing the standard of our services and management systems, including by certificating 211 gas stations with ISO 9001, 144 gas stations with ISO 9001 and ISO 14001, and 24 gas stations with ISO 9001, ISO 14001 and OHSAS 18001. The total number of certificated gas stations is 379. Additionally, 32 gas station stores are in the ISO 22000 (food safety management systems) certification process.

Our sales to the agricultural sector are principally conducted through a network of 124 distributors (eight of which are owned by us).

Sales to transportation, industrial, utility, and mining sectors are made primarily through our direct sales efforts. The main products sold in the domestic wholesale market include diesel fuel and fuel oil. During 2006, the direct sales unit has expanded its offering to the sale of products such as bags for storing grains, fertilizers and glyphosate.

In December 2002, the Wholesale Division obtained the ISO 9001 certification covering the design, operation, marketing, customer service and management processes. As of September 2007, there are 59 diesel fuel distributors

under ISO certification. Among them, 36 had ISO 9001 certification, 20 had ISO 9001 and 14001 certification, and 3 had ISO 9001, 14001 and OHSAS 18001 certification.

Sales to the aviation sector are made directly by us. The products sold in this market are jet fuel and aviation gasoline.

Our lubricants and specialties unit markets a wide variety of products that includes lubricants, greases, asphalt, paraffin, base lubricant, decanted oil, carbon dioxide and coke. This unit is responsible for the production, distribution and commercialization of the products in the domestic and exports markets. These operations are ISO 9001: 2000 and Tierra 16949 certified. The lubricants production facilities are also ISO 14001 certified.

During 2006, our lubricants and specialties sales to domestic markets increased by 28% from Ps.947 million in 2005 to Ps.1,216 million in 2006. We export lubricants to 20 countries, including the United States. During 2006, a new independent distributor on our behalf began operations in Canada, and we also began to study the possibility of entering the lubricants and specialties market in Mexico. Sales to export markets increased by 10% from Ps.192 million in 2005 to Ps.212 million in 2006. During 2006, total lubricants sales increased by 32%, total asphalt sales increased by 16% and total derivatives sales increased by 23%.

In a market of increasing costs, the strategy of differentiation followed by our lubricants and specialties unit allowed it to maintain its position of leadership in the Argentine market despite experiencing a slightly decreased market share, from 37.5% in 2005 to 36.9% in 2006. Lead domestic automotive manufacturers Ford, VW, Scania, Seat, Porsche and General Motors, which represent more than 60% of the automotive industry in Argentina, exclusively use and recommend YPF-branded lubricant products.

With respect to the development of alternative fuels, we have recently created a new business unit for bio-fuels within our Lubricants and Specialties division. Currently, our main objectives in this area are to secure our bio-fuel needs for the domestic market and create associations for the production of bio-fuels in light of Argentina's potential as a bio-fuels exporter to the European Union and other international markets. With respect to the domestic market, beginning in January 2010, every oil company in Argentina will be obligated under Argentine law (Law 26,093) to blend all fuels with 5% of bio-fuels. In addition, we have recently launched a national research and development program for alternative crops to be used in the production of bio-fuels, thereby also promoting development in regional economies in Argentina.

Trading division

Our Trading Division sells crude oil and refined products to international customers and oil to domestic oil companies. Sales to international companies for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006 totaled Ps.3,362 million (U.S.\$1,080 million) and Ps.4,945 million (U.S.\$1,606 million), respectively, 91% and 80% of which, respectively, represented sales of refined products, 2% and 12% of which, respectively, represented crude oil deliveries and the remaining 7% and 8% of which, respectively, represented sales of marine fuels. On a volume basis, for the corresponding period, sales consisted of 2.19 million and 5.50 million barrels of crude oil, 17.2 million and 21.2 million barrels of refined products, and 1 million and 1.67 million barrels of marine fuels, respectively. Exports include crude oil, unleaded gasoline, diesel fuel, fuel oil, liquefied petroleum gases, light naphtha and virgin naphtha. This Division's export sales are made principally to the United States, Mexico and Brazil. Domestic sales of crude oil reached Ps.340 million (U.S.\$110 million) and Ps.677 million (U.S.\$221 million), and 2.71 million and 5.6 million barrels in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, respectively. Domestic sales of marine fuels reached Ps.196 million (U.S.\$64 million) and Ps.258 million (U.S.\$84 million), and 1 and 1.5 million barrels in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, respectively.

LPG general division

Explanation of Responses:

Production

We are one of the largest LPG players in Argentina, with a production of 557,263 tons in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 (including 169,956 tons of LPG destined for petrochemical usage). This represents approximately 20% of total LPG Argentine production (including LPG destined for petrochemical usage).

118

We also have a 50% interest in Refinor, a jointly-controlled company, which produced 261,464 tons of LPG in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007.

The LPG general division obtains LPG from natural gas processing plants, from its refineries and petrochemical plant, and also purchases LPG from third parties. The following table sets forth the sources of our LPG general division's LPG purchases in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007:

	Purchase (tons) Nine-Month Period Ended September 30, 2007
LPG from Natural Gas Processing Plants:(1)	
General Cerri	10,260
Filo Mordao	9,926
El Portón	92,107
San Sebastián	12,193
Total Upstream	124,486
LPG from Refineries and Petrochemical Plants:	
La Plata Refinery	179,269
Luján de Cuyo Refinery	68,681
Ensenada Petrochemical Plant	14,871
Total Refineries & Petrochemical Plants(2)	262,821
LPG purchased from jointly controlled companies:(3)	88,201
LPG purchased from unrelated parties	58,270
Total	533,778

(1) The San Sebastian plant is a joint-venture in which we own a 30% interest; Loma La Lata and El Portón are 100% owned by us; General Cerri belongs to a third party with which we have a processing agreement. Filo Morado comprises assets that are operated by us.

(2) This production is net of 169,956 tons of LPG used as petrochemical feedstock (olefins derivatives, polybutenes and maleic).

(3) Purchased from Refinor.

LPG marketing

We sell LPG to the foreign market, the domestic wholesale market and to distributors that supply the domestic retail market. The LPG general division does not directly supply the retail market and such market is supplied by Repsol YPF Gas, which is not a YPF company.

Our LPG sales for 2006 and for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 can be broken down by market as follows:

	Sales Capacity	
	Nine-Month	
	Period	
	Ended	
	September	
	30, 2007	2006
	(tons)	
Domestic market		
Retail to related parties under common control	195,565	237,362
Other bottlers/propane network distributors	84,137	105,000
Other wholesales	84,879	79,813
Foreign market/exports		
Exports	163,727	359,501
Total sales	528,308	781,676

Total sales of LPG (excluding LPG used as petrochemical feedstock) to all markets (domestic and foreign markets combined) were Ps.622 million and Ps.820 million in the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, respectively.

Chemicals

In the nine-month period ending September 30, 2007 and in 2006, our revenues from chemical sales were Ps.2,454 million and Ps.3,048 million, respectively, and our operating income of the Chemicals segment was Ps.379 million and Ps.572 million, respectively.

Petrochemicals are produced at five different facilities at our petrochemical complexes in Ensenada and Plaza Huinul.

Our petrochemical production operations in Ensenada are closely integrated with our refining activities (La Plata Refinery). This close integration allows for a flexible supply of feedstock, the efficient use of byproducts (such as hydrogen) and others synergies.

The main petrochemical products and production capacity per year are as follows:

	Capacity (tons per year)
Ensenada:	
Aromatics	
BTX (Benzene, Toluene, Mixed Xylenes)	244,000
Paraxylene	38,000
Orthoxylene	25,000
Cyclohexane	95,000
Solvents	66,100
Olefins Derivatives	
MTBE	60,000
Butene I	25,000
Oxoalcohols	35,000
TAME	105,000
LAB/LAS	

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

LAB	52,000
LAS	25,000
Polybutenes	
PIB	26,000
Maleic	
Maleic Anhydride	17,500
Plaza Huincul:	
Methanol	411,000

120

Natural gas, the raw material for methanol, is supplied by our upstream unit. Production from the methanol unit during the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and during 2006 was destined primarily for export (69.8% and 71.0%, respectively), for our internal consumption as feedstock for MTBE and TAME (17.9% and 17.7%, respectively) and to the local market (12.3 % and 11.3%, respectively).

The use of natural gas as a raw material allows us to monetize reserves, demonstrating the integration between the petrochemical and the upstream units.

We also use high carbon dioxide-content natural gas in our methanol production. We completed a project for the treatment and conditioning of natural gas in Sierra Barrosa for this purpose. This project was completed in record time (commenced in August 2006 and completed in June 2007), allowing us to keep our methanol plant working at 50% of its production capacity during the winter period. The project enables us to process high carbon dioxide-content natural gas that could have not been otherwise commercialized.

The raw materials for petrochemical production in Ensenada, including virgin naphtha, propane, butane and kerosene, are supplied mainly by the La Plata refinery.

In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and in 2006, petrochemicals sales from Ensenada Industrial Complex's methanol units and fertilizer retail units were Ps.2,074 million and Ps.2,518 million, respectively, with the domestic market accounting for 62% and 61% and exports for 38% and 39%, respectively. During 2006, the exports were destined to Mercosur countries, Latin America, Europe, the United States and the Middle East.

We also participate in the fertilizer business directly and through Profertil S.A., or "Profertil," our 50%-owned subsidiary.

Profertil is jointly controlled by us and Agrium (a worldwide leader in fertilizers), that produces urea and ammonia and started operations in 2001. We are Profertil's principal supplier of natural gas, supplying approximately 35.7% of Profertil's feedstock.

In January 2005, we sold our interest in PBB, a chemical company, for U.S.\$97.5 million, recording a gain of Ps.75 million.

In March 2005, we sold our interests in Petroken, a jointly controlled company, for U.S.\$58 million, equal to its carrying amount. In July 2005, this operation was approved by the CNDC.

Our Ensenada petrochemical plant was certified under ISO 9001 in 1996 and recertified in October 2007. The La Plata petrochemical plant was certified under ISO 14001 in 2001 and recertified (version 2004) in October 2007. The plant was also certified under OHSAS 18001 in 2005 and recertified in October 2007.

Our Methanol plant was certified under ISO 9001 (version 2000) and under ISO 14001 (Version 2000) in October 2007.

Repsol YPF's presence has strengthened our position in the global markets, improving our access to these markets due to a better negotiating position derived from Repsol YPF's ability to offer a more complete portfolio of products and a sales force of its own, now located in regions previously served only by distributors.

Research and Development

We have a research and development facility in La Plata, Argentina, which works in cooperation with research and development activities of Repsol YPF. To carry out research and development programs of mutual interest, Repsol YPF maintains different cooperation agreements with universities, companies and other technological centers, both public and private. In 2006, Repsol YPF spent more than U.S.\$10.6 million under these agreements (240 of which were in place).

121

Repsol YPF participates actively in the research and development programs sponsored by different government administrations, taking part during 2006 in 18 projects sponsored by the Spanish Administration and in six European Union projects.

The research and development projects and activities apply to the entire value chain of the business – including exploration of new deposits of crude or gas, extraction and conditioning for transportation, transformation and manufacture of products at industrial complexes, and distribution to the end customer. Repsol YPF's two technology centers, one in Spain (Móstoles) and another in Argentina (La Plata), together employ a total of 450 people. In 2006, the Repsol YPF Technology Unit allocated U.S.\$86 million to the activity, to which another U.S.\$9 million were added in projects executed through the business units.

In the Hydrocarbon Exploration and Production area, the projects are focused towards three main objectives: (i) increasing the production of crude oil and gas towards improving the petroleum recovery factor (both for heavy and extra-heavy crudes, as well as for conventional ones); (ii) exploiting natural gas reserves through the liquefied natural gas chain and other alternatives; and (iii) reducing the environmental impact of operations and optimizing production and decreasing operating costs.

In Petroleum Product Refinery and Marketing, the Technology Unit provides specialized technological support to the refineries to produce gasoline and gas oil of the best quality, complying ahead of time with the requirements of international standards. In addition, new products are also being developed, such as bio-fuels or better performing lubricants and asphalts.

In Petrochemicals, Repsol YPF continued its significant effort with resources geared toward the consolidation of the proprietary technology developed in the last few years.

Repsol YPF develops its own technology when it has a competitive advantage and acquires available technology (optimizing and adapting them for the markets in which it competes) when it proves to be more advantageous to its business goals. Repsol YPF's goal is to increase the collaboration with the surrounding technological environment, universities and centers of public investigation, as well as with other companies, for a better use of and flexibility in the employment of resources and to decrease the risks in those areas in which it is involved. The total cost of developing its own technology in 2006, 2005 and 2004 has been U.S.\$94.7 million, U.S.\$75 million and U.S.\$78.5 million, respectively. The total cost in collaborations with universities and technological centers in 2006, 2005 and 2004 has been U.S.\$11 million, U.S.\$7 million and U.S.\$7.75 million, respectively.

Competition

The deregulation and privatization process created a competitive environment in the Argentine oil and gas industry. In our Exploration and Production business, we encounter competition from major international oil companies and other domestic oil companies in acquiring exploration permits and production concessions. Our Exploration and Production business may also encounter competition from oil and gas companies created and owned by certain Argentine provinces, including La Pampa, Neuquén and Chubut, as well as from ENARSA, the Argentine state-owned energy company, especially in light of the recent transfer of hydrocarbon properties to ENARSA and the provinces described under "Regulatory Framework and Relationship with the Argentine Government—Law No. 26,197." In our Refining and Marketing and Chemicals businesses, we face competition from several major international oil companies, such as Esso (a subsidiary of ExxonMobil), Shell and Petrobras, as well as several domestic oil companies. In our export markets, we compete with numerous oil companies and trading companies in global markets.

We operate in a dynamic market in the Argentine downstream industry and the crude oil and natural gas production industry. Crude oil and most refined products prices are subject to international supply and demand and Argentine

regulations and, accordingly, may fluctuate for a variety of reasons. Some of the prices in the internal market are controlled by local authorities. See “Regulatory Framework and Relationship with the Argentine Government.” Changes in the domestic and international prices of crude oil and refined products have a direct effect on our results of operations and on our levels of capital expenditures. See “Risk Factors— Risks Relating to the

Argentine Oil and Gas Business and Our Business—Fluctuations in oil and gas prices could affect our level of capital expenditures.”

Environmental Matters

YPF—Argentine operations

Our operations are subject to a wide range of laws and regulations relating to the general impact of industrial operations on the environment, including emissions into the air and water, the disposal or remediation of soil or water contaminated with hazardous or toxic waste, fuel specifications to address air emissions and the effect of the environment on health and safety. We have made and will continue to make expenditures to comply with these laws and regulations. In Argentina, local, provincial and national authorities are moving toward more stringent enforcement of applicable laws. In addition, since 1997, Argentina has been implementing regulations that require our operations to meet stricter environmental standards that are comparable in many respects to those in effect in the United States and in countries within the European Community. These regulations establish the general framework for environmental protection requirements, including the establishment of fines and criminal penalties for their violation. We have undertaken measures to achieve compliance with these standards and are undertaking various abatement and remediation projects, the more significant of which are discussed below. We cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulation will be enacted in the future or how existing or future laws will be administered or enforced. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of regulatory agencies, could require additional expenditures in the future by us for the installation and operation of systems and equipment for remedial measures and could affect our operations generally. In addition, violations of these laws and regulations may result in the imposition of administrative or criminal fines or penalties and may lead to personal injury claims or other tort liabilities.

In 2006, we continued to make investments in order to comply with new Argentine fuel specifications that are expected to come into effect between 2008 and 2016, pursuant to Resolution No. 1283/06 of the Secretariat of Energy (which replaces the Resolution No. 398/03) relating, among other things, to the purity of diesel fuels. We are currently reviewing what investments we will need to make to comply with this resolution. During 2006, we invested U.S.\$23.8 million at La Plata refinery and U.S.\$9.9 million at Luján de Cuyo refinery in order to meet the above-mentioned new gasoline quality environmental specifications. The investments were mainly in the FCC fractioning and gasoline hydrotreatment units. In 2007, we made additional investments of U.S.\$3.8 million and U.S.\$1.7 million in the La Plata and Luján de Cuyo refineries, respectively, for those purposes. In addition, we have completed basic engineering studies and begun detailed engineering studies for the construction of diesel fuel oil desulfuration units at La Plata and Luján de Cuyo refineries. These projects have been delayed due to the postponement of the implementation of fuel specification regulations. We currently plan to invest a total of approximately U.S.\$795 million between 2008 and 2012 to comply with the above-mentioned gasoline quality environmental specifications.

At each of our refineries, we are performing, on a voluntary basis, remedial investigations and feasibility studies and pollution abatement projects, which are designed to address liquid effluent discharges and air emissions. In addition, we have implemented an environmental management system to assist our efforts to collect and analyze environmental data in its upstream and downstream operations.

In addition to the projects related to the new specification standards mentioned above, we have begun to implement a broad range of environmental projects in the Domestic Exploration and Production and Refining and Marketing segments. Capital expenditures for those environmental projects associated with Refining and Marketing segment's projects during 2006 were U.S.\$64.7 million. A significant portion of the environmental program is dedicated to La Plata refinery and Luján de Cuyo refinery. The primary projects at La Plata include installation of separation and water treatment systems to replace existing systems, air pollution control devices, flare gas recovery systems,

hydrocarbon recovery systems, double bottoms in several tanks and site remediation. In addition, during 2006 and 2007, the storage facilities at certain service stations were replaced by new and safer technologies, such as double wall tanks, and hot oil furnaces were replaced by gas broilers.

Capital expenditures associated with Domestic Exploration and Production environmental projects during 2006 were U.S.\$61.4 million and included oil and gas recovery systems, flowlines and components construction, and

123

remediation of well sites, tank batteries and oil spills in the gathering systems of fields. Expenditures will also be made to improve technical assistance and training and to establish environmental contamination remediation plans, air emissions monitoring plans and ground water investigation and monitoring programs.

We and several other industrial companies operating in La Plata have entered into a community emergency response agreement with three municipalities and local hospitals, firefighters and other health and safety service providers to implement an emergency response program. This program is intended to prevent damages and losses resulting from accidents and emergencies, including environmental emergencies. Similar projects and agreements were developed at other refineries as well.

In 1991, we entered into an agreement (Convenio de Cooperación Interempresarial, or “CCI”) with certain other oil and gas companies to implement a plan to reduce and assess environmental damage resulting from oil spills in Argentine waters to reduce the environmental impact of potential oil spills offshore. This agreement involves consultation on technological matters and mutual assistance in the event of any oil spills in rivers or at sea due to accidents involving tankers or offshore exploration and production facilities.

Regarding climate change, we have been developing a strategy since 2002 to address the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol. The main elements of this plan are the following:

- actively promote the identification and pursuit of opportunities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions within our operations. For that, we take into account the cost of carbon in our business decisions; and
- intensify the execution of internal projects for generating credit by the clean development mechanisms that help our parent company, Repsol YPF, meet its obligations. We collaborate with competent authorities from the countries in which we operate, in particular the Argentina Clean Development Mechanism Office (“OAMD”).

Our estimated capital expenditures and future investments are based on currently available information and on current laws, and future changes in laws or technology could cause a revision of such estimates. In addition, while we do not expect environmental expenditures to have a significant impact on our future results of operations, changes in management’s business plans or in Argentine laws and regulations may cause expenditures to become material to our financial position, and may affect results of operations in any given year.

YPF Holdings—operations in the United States

Laws and regulations relating to health and environmental quality in the United States affect of YPF Holdings’ operations in the United States. See “Legal Framework and Relationship with the Argentine Government—U.S. Environmental Regulations.”

In connection with the sale of Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company (“Chemicals”) to a subsidiary of Occidental Petroleum Corporation (“Occidental”) in 1986, Maxus agreed to indemnify Chemicals and Occidental from and against certain liabilities relating to the business and activities of Chemicals prior to the September 4, 1986 closing date (the “Closing Date”), including certain environmental liabilities relating to certain chemical plants and waste disposal sites used by Chemicals prior to the Closing Date.

In addition, under the agreement pursuant to which Maxus sold Chemicals to Occidental, Maxus is obligated to indemnify Chemicals and Occidental for certain environmental costs incurred on projects involving remedial activities relating to chemical plant sites or other property used to conduct Chemicals’ business as of the Closing Date and for any period of time following the Closing Date which relate to, result from or arise out of conditions, events or circumstances discovered by Chemicals and as to which Chemicals provided written notice prior to September 4,

1996, irrespective of when Chemicals incurs and gives notice of such costs.

Tierra Solutions Inc. (“Tierra”) was formed to deal with the results of the alleged obligations of Maxus, as described above, resulting from actions or facts that occurred primarily between the 1940s and 1970s while Chemicals was controlled by other companies.

124

See “—Legal Proceedings—YPF Holdings” below for a description of environmental matters in connection with YPF Holdings.

Legal Proceedings

Argentina

The Privatization Law provides that the Argentine State shall be responsible, and shall hold us harmless, for any liabilities, obligations or other commitments existing as of December 31, 1990 that were not acknowledged as such in the financial statements of Yacimientos Petrolíferos Fiscales Sociedades del Estado as of that date arising out of any transactions or events that had occurred as of that date, provided that any such liability, obligation or other commitment is established or verified by a final decision of a competent judicial authority. In certain lawsuits related to events or acts that took place before December 31, 1990, we have been required to advance the payment of amounts established in certain judicial decisions, and have subsequently been reimbursed or are currently in the process of requesting reimbursement from the Argentine government of all material amounts in such cases. We are required to keep the Argentine government apprised of any claim against us arising from the obligations assumed by the Argentine government. We believe we have the right to be reimbursed for all such payments by the Argentine government pursuant to the above-mentioned indemnity, which payments in any event have to date not been material. This indemnity also covers fees and expenses of lawyers and technical consultants subject, in the case of our lawyers and consultants, to the requirement that such fees and expenses not be contingent upon the amounts in dispute.

Provisioned, probable contingencies

In the ordinary course of our business, we are a party to various actions, including approximately 2,219 labor lawsuits as of September 30, 2007, for which provisions of Ps.43 million have been made.

Reserves totaling Ps.1,772 million, Ps.1,570 million, Ps.1,303 million and Ps.1,005 million as of September 30, 2007 and as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, have been established to provide for contingencies which are probable and can be reasonably estimated. In the opinion of our management, in consultation with our external counsel, the amount reserved reflects the best estimation, based on the information available as of the date of this prospectus, of the probable outcome of the mentioned contingencies. The most significant legal proceedings and claims reserved are described in the following paragraphs.

CNDC anti-competitive activity disputes. On March 22, 1999, we were notified of Resolution No. 189/99 from the former Department of Industry, Commerce and Mining of Argentina, which imposed a fine on us of Ps.109 million, stated Argentine pesos as of that date, based on the interpretation that we had purportedly abused our dominant position in the bulk LPG market due to the existence of different prices between the exports of LPG and the sales to the domestic market from 1993 through 1997. In July 2002, the Argentine Supreme Court confirmed the fine, and we made the claimed payment. Additionally, Resolution No. 189/99 provided for the commencement of an investigation in order to prove whether the penalized behavior continued from October 1997 to March 1999. On December 19, 2003, the CNDC completed its investigation and charged us with abuse of dominant market position during this period. On January 20, 2004, we answered the notification by (i) claiming the application of the statutes of limitations and alleging the existence of defects in the imputation procedure (absence of majority in the resolution that decided the imputation and prejudgment by its signers); (ii) arguing the absence of abuse of dominant position; and (iii) offering the corresponding evidence.

Given that the Argentine Supreme Court has previously established under Law No. 22,262 that the statute of limitations for administrative infractions is two years, our defense based on the statute of limitations having run should be successful. Since the imputed conduct occurred before September 29, 1999, which is the effective date of

the new law, we believe that the law applicable to the proceeding is Law No. 22,262 instead of the new Antitrust Protection Law (No. 25,156). We filed appeals with the National Economic Criminal Court: (i) on July 29, 2003, in view of the rejection by the CNDC of the motion to overturn the resolution that ordered the opening of the preliminary investigations, without deciding in advance on the prescription claimed by us; and (ii) on February 4, 2004, in view of the rejection by the CNDC of the motion to overturn the resolution that ordered the charge because of a lack of majority and prejudgment. On April 13, 2004, the National Court of Appeals in Criminal Economic

125

Matters sustained the appeal filed by us on the grounds of lack of majority of the CNDC in passing the objected resolution. On August 31, 2004, we appealed the resolution passed by the CNDC that rejected the claimed prescription. The CNDC accepted the appeal and referred the proceedings to Chamber II of the National Court of Appeals in Federal Civil and Commercial Matters and thereby prevented the prior intervention of Room B of the National Court of Appeals in Criminal Economic Matters. On March 3, 2006, the CNDC decided on the evidence that we shall produce during this proceeding. During August and September 2007, hearings involving the testimony of witnesses proposed by us took place. Despite the arguments expressed by us, the above-mentioned circumstances make evident that, preliminarily, the CNDC rejects the defenses filed by us and that the CNDC is reluctant to modify the doctrine provided by Resolution No. 189/99. Furthermore, Court of Appeals decisions tend to confirm the decisions made by the CNDC.

Alleged defaults under natural gas supply contracts – Innergy, et al. Based on the provisions of Rule No. 27/04, Resolution No. 659/04 and Resolution No. 752/05, the Secretariat of Energy and/or the Undersecretariat of Fuels have instructed us to re-direct natural gas export volumes to the internal market, thereby affecting natural gas exports, by means of requiring the injection of additional volumes, not contractually committed by us, to supply the domestic market. These additional volumes (additional injections, permanent additional injections and additional volumes required for distributors, pursuant to Resolutions SE No. 659/2004, 752/2005 and 1329/2006, as described in “Regulatory Framework and Relationship with the Argentine Government”) are not set forth in contractual undertakings, forcing us to make the authorized exports under the relevant agreements and permits, the performance of which has been conditioned by the aforesaid program. We appealed these measures. However, in the absence of a favorable resolution, we were obliged to comply with them in order to avoid greater losses for us and our export customers (e.g., revocation of export permits). We informed our customers that the aforesaid resolutions and the measures set forth therein constitute an event of force majeure which releases us from any contractual or extra contractual liability deriving from the failure to deliver the volumes of gas stipulated under the relevant agreements. Some of our current customers have rejected the force majeure invoked by us and have sought to claim payment of damages and/or penalties for breach of supply commitments, reserving their rights to file future claims. Three customers sought payments from us for damages under a “deliver or pay” clause, which demands have been rejected by us. One of these customers, Innergy Soluciones Energéticas S.A., filed an arbitral claim for deliver-or-pay payments that amount to U.S.\$87.7 million at August 2007, plus interest (as calculated by Innergy in its memorial statement dated September 17, 2007). This amount will continue to increase as Innergy invoices deliver-or-pay amounts to us on a monthly basis for missed deliveries from September 2007. We have counterclaimed against Innergy for contract termination based upon statutory hardship, as provided by Article 1198 of the Argentine Civil Code. We are currently in pre-arbitral negotiations with the other two clients who have sought damages from us under the “deliver-or-pay” clause, Electroandina S.A., and Empresa Eléctrica del Norte Grande S.A., which have also claimed liquidated damages for non-delivery of natural gas. These companies have claimed liquidated damages through November 2006 in a total amount of approximately U.S.\$41 million and, from December 2006 through September 2007, for an additional total amount of U.S.\$52 million. We have rejected such claims.

Alleged defaults under natural gas supply contracts – Central Puerto. Central Puerto S.A. (“Central Puerto”) has made claims against us for cutbacks in natural gas supply pursuant to its contracts. We have formally denied such breach, based on the fact that, pending the restructuring of such contracts, we are not obligated to confirm nominations of natural gas during certain periods of the year. On March 15, 2007, Central Puerto notified us of the commencement of pre-arbitral negotiations in relation to the agreements for the supply of its plants located in Buenos Aires and Loma de La Lata, province of Neuquén. On May 29, 2007, we and Central Puerto entered into a Termination and Dispute Resolution Agreement regarding the principles of agreement for the supply of Central Puerto’s plant located in Loma de La Lata. On June 6, 2007, Central Puerto notified us of its decision to submit the controversy regarding the agreement for the supply of natural gas to its plants located in Buenos Aires (the “Buenos Aires Gas Supply Agreement”) to arbitration under the rules of the International Chamber of Commerce. On June 21, 2007, we appointed our arbitrator and notified Central Puerto of our decision to submit to arbitration the controversy regarding the

amounts due by Central Puerto under the Buenos Aires Gas Supply Agreement. On July 23, 2007, Central Puerto filed an arbitral claim for: (i) our specific performance of the Buenos Aires Gas Supply Agreement by continuing to deliver volumes of natural gas of up to 3,400,000 m3/day, the applicable maximum daily requirement under the contract, to Central Puerto's plants located in Buenos Aires; (ii) our payment of "deliver or pay" amounts for failure to deliver natural gas (totaling 1,920 mmcm through December 3, 2007), without specifying the amount claimed; and (iii) acknowledgement of Central Puerto's right to make-up natural gas

126

volumes. On September 24, 2007, we answered Central Puerto's claim and filed counterclaims asking the tribunal for: (i) a declaration of the termination of the contract; or (ii) as a subsidiary claim in case the tribunal rejects the request for termination of the contract, the restructuring of the contract under the Civil Law principles of "Teoría de la Imprevisión" (hardship provision) and "Sacrificio Compartido" (both-parties-effort) and (iii) payment by Central Puerto of "take or pay" amounts owed by Central Puerto for certain amounts produced but not taken between 2002 and 2004. On December 3, 2007, Central Puerto submitted a presentation requesting that the tribunal reject all of our claims.

La Plata refinery environmental disputes. On June 29, 1999, a group of three neighbors of the La Plata Refinery filed claims for the remediation of alleged environmental damages in the peripheral water channels of the refinery, investments related to contamination and compensation for alleged health and property damages as a consequence of environmental pollution caused by YPF prior to and after privatization. We notified the executive branch of the Argentine government that there is a chance that the tribunal may find us responsible for the damages. In such event, due to the indemnity provided by Law No. 24,145 and in accordance with that law, we shall be allowed to request reimbursement of the expenses for liabilities existing on or prior to January 1, 1991 (before privatization) from the Argentine government.

On December 27, 2002, a group of 264 claimants who resided near the La Plata Refinery requested compensation for alleged quality of life deterioration and environmental damages purportedly caused by the operation of the La Plata Refinery. The amount claimed is approximately Ps.54 million. We filed a writ answering the complaint. There are two similar additional claims raised by two groups of 120 and 343 neighbors, respectively. The first group has made a claim for compensation of Ps.14 million, and the second group has made a claim for compensation of Ps.35 million, in addition to a request for environmental cleanup. As of September 30, 2007, we had established a reserve of Ps.21 million with respect to these personal or property claims.

On December 17, 1999, a group of 37 claimants who resided near La Plata Refinery, demanded the specific performance by us of different works, installation of equipment, technology and execution of work necessary to stop any environmental damage, as well as compensation for health damages alleged to be the consequence of gaseous emissions produced by the refinery, currently under monitoring.

We have been informally notified that the Secretariat of Environmental Policy of the Province of Buenos Aires has brought criminal proceedings against us on the grounds of the purported worsening of the water quality problems in the Western Channel adjacent to La Plata Refinery, potential health damages (on account of the existence of volatile particles and/or hydrocarbon suspension), non-fulfillment of a remediation schedule of canals, and the existence of allegedly clandestine disposal sites. To our knowledge, the responsible court has not yet made any formal accusations.

AFIP tax claims. On January 31, 2003, we received a claim from the Federal Administration of Public Revenue (Administración Federal de Ingresos Públicos, or "AFIP"), stating that the forward oil sale agreements entered into by us (see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Transactions with unconsolidated variable interest entities") should have been subject to an income tax withholding. On March 8, 2004, the AFIP formally communicated to us the claim for approximately Ps.45 million plus interest and fines. Additionally, on June 24, 2004, we received a new formal claim from the AFIP, asserting that the services related to these contracts should have been taxed with the Value Added Tax. Management believes, based upon the opinion of its external counsel, that the claim is without merit since those advances were received under crude oil export commitments. Consequently, during 2004, we presented our defense to the AFIP, rejecting the claims and arguing our position. However, on December 28, 2004, we received formal communication of a resolution from the AFIP confirming its original position in both claims. We have appealed such resolution in the National Fiscal Court. In 2006, we conditionally paid the amounts corresponding to periods that followed those included in the claim by the AFIP and filed reimbursement summary proceedings so as to avoid facing interest payments or a fine.

Sale of Electricidad Argentina S.A. and Empresa Distribuidora y Comercializadora Norte S.A. to EDF. In July 2002, EDF Internacional S.A. (“EDF”), initiated an international arbitration proceeding under the Arbitration Regulations of the International Chamber of Commerce against us, among others, seeking payment from us of U.S.\$69 million which was afterward increased to U.S.\$103.2 million. EDF claims that under a Stock Purchase

127

Agreement dated March 30, 2001 among Endesa Internacional S.A. and Astra Compañía Argentina de Petróleo S.A. (which was subsequently merged into YPF), as sellers, and EDF, as purchaser, with respect to shares of Electricidad Argentina S.A. and Empresa Distribuidora y Comercializadora Norte S.A., EDF is entitled to an adjustment in the purchase price it paid due to changes in the exchange rate of the Argentine peso that EDF asserts to have occurred prior to December 31, 2001. Our position is that the change in the exchange rate did not occur prior to January 2002, and, therefore, EDF is not entitled to the purchase price adjustment. We have filed a counterclaim against EDF in the amount of U.S.\$13.85 million as a purchase price adjustment. We believe that EDF's claim is without merit. The arbitral award dated October 22, 2007 accepted the claim against us awarding damages against us in the amount of U.S.\$40 million and also accepted our counterclaim against EDF in the amount of U.S.\$11.1 million. Consequently, the amount payable by us should the award become final is U.S.\$28.9 million plus costs and interest. We have challenged the award by filing an extraordinary appeal before the Federal Supreme Court and an appeal before the Federal Appellate Court on Commercial Matters.

Non-provisioned, possible contingencies

In addition to the probable contingencies described in the preceding paragraphs, we have received several labor, civil, commercial and environmental claims which had not been reserved since management, based on the evidence available to date and upon the opinion of our external counsel, have considered them to be possible contingencies. The most significant of such contingencies are described below.

Capital control-related proceedings. On December 9, 2002, we filed a declaratory judgment action (Acción Declarativa de Certeza) before an Argentine federal court requesting clarification as to the uncertainty generated by opinions and statements of several organizations providing official advice that the right of the hydrocarbon industry to freely dispose of up to 70% of foreign currency proceeds from exports of hydrocarbons products and byproducts, as provided by Executive Decree No. 1,589/89, had been implicitly abolished by the new exchange regime established by Executive Decree No. 1,606/01. On December 9, 2002, a federal judge issued an injunction ordering the Argentine government, the Central Bank and the Ministry of the Economy to refrain from interfering with our access to and use of 70% of the foreign exchange proceeds from our hydrocarbon exports. Following the enactment of Decree No. 2,703/02 in December 2002, we expanded the scope of the declaratory judgment action before the federal court to clear any doubts and uncertainty arising after the enactment of this decree. See "Regulatory Framework and Relationship with the Argentine Government—Repatriation of Foreign Currency." On December 1, 2003, the National Administrative Court of Appeals decided that the issuance of Decree No. 2,703 in 2002, which allows companies in the oil and gas sector to keep abroad up to 70% of the export proceeds, rendered the injunction unnecessary. Nevertheless, the Court of Appeals' decision was silent with respect to the availability of the exemption to convert proceeds from export operations carried out by oil and gas companies into domestic currency prior to the issuance of Decree 2,703. On December 15, 2003, we filed a motion for clarification asking the court to clarify whether the exemption was available to oil and gas companies during the period between the issuance of Decree No. 1,606/01 and the issuance of Decree No. 2,703/02. On February 6, 2004, the Court of Appeals dismissed our motion for clarification, indicating that the regulations included in Decree No. 2,703/02 were sufficiently clear, and confirmed the lifting of the injunction that prohibited the Central Bank and the Ministry of Economy from interfering with our access to foreign exchange proceeds, as described above. On February 19, 2004, we filed an extraordinary appeal before the Supreme Court against the dismissal of the motion for clarification by the Court of Appeals and requested the restatement of the injunction against the Central Bank and the Ministry of Economy. The Federal Court of Appeals dismissed the extraordinary appeal. Taking into account the fact that there is a new special system in place allowing for the free disposal of up to 70% of the foreign currency proceeds from the exports of crude oil and its derivatives, it was deemed advisable to abandon the suit as a procedural strategy. If the Central Bank were to reassert and prevail before the courts in the argument that the exemption allowing oil and gas companies to keep up to 70% of export proceeds abroad during the period between the issuance of Decree No. 1,606/01 and the issuance of Decree No. 2,703/02 was not available, we could be subject to material penalties.

On October 12, 2007, we were notified of the initiation of an administrative summary proceeding for alleged late repatriation of foreign currency proceeds, and the failure to repatriate the remaining 70%, in connection with some hydrocarbon export transactions made in 2002 (during the period between the issuance of Decree No. 1,606/01 and the issuance of Decree No. 2,703/02). In this administrative summary proceeding, charges were brought against us in the amount of U.S.\$1.6 million, and it has been advised that the conduct of a bank that handled other of our

128

export transactions made in 2002 be investigated, which could give rise to the initiation of further proceedings. Nevertheless, a final and unchallenged judicial judgment recently issued by a First Instance Court in Criminal Economic Matters in a similar administrative summary proceeding against a different company for alleged violation of the criminal exchange law (lack of repatriation of 70% of foreign currency proceeds) regarding export transactions made in 2002 resolved the matter in favor of that company based on well-founded arguments that were not challenged by the prosecutor.

CNDC investigation. On November 17, 2003, CNDC requested explanations, within the framework of an official investigation pursuant to Art. 29 of the Antitrust Act, from a group of almost 30 natural gas production companies, including us, with respect to the following items: (i) the inclusion of clauses purportedly restraining trade in natural gas purchase/sale contracts and (ii) gas imports from Bolivia, in particular (a) expired contracts signed by YPF, when it was state-owned, and YPFB (the Bolivian state-owned oil company), under which YPF allegedly sold Bolivian gas in Argentina at prices below the purchase price; and (b) the unsuccessful attempts in 2001 by Duke and Distribuidora de Gas del Centro to import gas into Argentina from Bolivia. On January 12, 2004, we submitted explanations in accordance with Art. 29 of the Antitrust Act, contending that no antitrust violations had been committed and that there had been no price discrimination between natural gas sales in the Argentine market and the export market. On January 20, 2006, we received a notification of resolution dated December 2, 2005, whereby the CNDC (i) rejected the “non bis in idem” petition filed by us, on the grounds that ENARGAS was not empowered to resolve the issue when ENARGAS Resolution No. 1,289 was enacted; and (ii) ordered that the preliminary opening of the proceedings be undertaken pursuant to the provisions of Section 30 of Act 25,156. On January 15, 2007, CNDC charged us and eight other producers with violations of Act 25,156. We have contested the complaint on the basis that no violation of the Act took place and that the charges are barred by the applicable statute of limitations, and have presented evidence in support of our position. On June 22, 2007, without acknowledging any conduct in violation of the Antitrust Act, we filed with the CNDC a commitment according to Article 36 of the Antitrust Act requesting that the CNDC approve the commitment, suspend the investigation and dismiss the proceedings. We are still awaiting a formal response.

The CNDC has commenced proceedings to investigate us for using a clause in bulk LPG supply contracts that it believes prevents buyers from reselling the product to third parties and therefore restrict competition in a manner detrimental to the general economic interest. We have asserted that the contracts do not contain a prohibition against resale to third parties and have offered evidence in support of our position. On April 12, 2007, we presented to the CNDC, without acknowledging any conduct in violation of the Antitrust Act, a commitment consistent with Article 36 of the Antitrust Act not to include such clauses in future bulk LPG supply contracts, among other things, and requested that the CNDC terminate the proceedings. We are still awaiting a formal response.

Noroeste basin reserves review. The effectiveness after certain specific dates of natural gas export authorizations (related to production in the Noroeste basin) granted to us pursuant to Resolution SE Nos. 165/99, 576/99, 629/99 and 168/00, issued by the Secretariat of Energy, is subject to an analysis by the Secretariat of Energy to determine whether sufficient additional natural gas reserves have been discovered or developed by us in the Noroeste basin. The result of this ongoing review is uncertain and may have an adverse impact upon the execution of the export gas sales agreements related to such export authorizations, and may imply significant costs and liabilities for us. We have submitted to the Secretariat of Energy documentation in order to allow for the continuation of the authorized exports in accordance with Resolutions SE No. 629/1999, 565/1999, and 576/1999 (the “Export Permits”) from the Noroeste basin. These Export Permits relate to the long-term natural gas export contracts with Gas Atacama Generación, Edelnor and Electroandina (collectively, the “Clients”), involving volumes of 900,000 m³/day, 600,000 m³/day and 1,750,000 m³/day, respectively. We have not yet received a response from the Secretariat of Energy. However, on March 29, 2007, an internal memorandum of the technical sector of the Secretariat of Energy addressed this file and concluded, without resolving the question that we have not included the necessary reserves to continue with the Export Permits. The file is currently awaiting decision from the Secretariat of Energy. If the Secretariat of Energy were to determine that the reserves are not sufficient to continue to comply with our export commitments and other

commitments, it could declare the expiration or suspension of one or more of the Export Permits, which would have a direct impact on the export contracts, to the injury of the Clients. In the case in which it were determined that we did not act as a prudent and diligent operator and/or did not have sufficient reserves, we could be responsible for the damages that this situation causes to the Clients.

Alleged defaults under natural gas contracts – Mega. Mega has claimed compensation from us for failure to deliver natural gas under the contract between us and Mega. We invoked that natural gas deliveries to Mega pursuant to the contract were affected by the Argentine government’s interference. Likewise, we would not be liable for such natural gas delivery deficiencies pursuant to the doctrines of “force majeure” and “contract impracticability.”

New Jersey claims. On December 13, 2005, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund filed a claim with a New Jersey court against Occidental Chemical Corporation, Tierra, Maxus, Repsol YPF, YPF, YPF Holdings and CLH Holdings. The plaintiffs are claiming for the remediation of environmental damages, including the costs and fees associated with this proceeding, based on alleged violations of the Spill Compensation and Control Act and the Water Pollution Control Act in a facility allegedly operated by the defendants and located in Newark, New Jersey that allegedly impacted the Passaic River and Newark Bay. We filed a motion to dismiss the action. See “—YPF Holdings.”

Patagonian Association of Land-Owners claims. On August 21, 2003, the Patagonian Association of Land-Owners (“ASSUPA”) sued the companies operating production concessions and exploration permits in the Neuquina basin, including us, claiming for the remediation of the general environmental damage purportedly caused in the execution of such activities or the establishment of an environmental restoration fund, and the implementation of measures to prevent environmental damages in the future. The total amount claimed against all companies is more than U.S.\$547.6 million. The plaintiff requested that the Argentine government (Secretariat of Energy), the Federal Environmental Council (Consejo Federal de Medio Ambiente), the provinces of Buenos Aires, La Pampa, Neuquén, Río Negro and Mendoza and the National Ombudsman be summoned. It requested, as a preliminary injunction, that the defendants refrain from carrying out activities affecting the environment. Both the Ombudsman’s summons as well as the requested preliminary injunction were rejected by the Supreme Court of Argentina. Once the complaint was notified, we and the other defendants filed a motion to dismiss for failure of the plaintiff to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The court granted the motion, and the plaintiff had to file a supplementary complaint. We have requested that the claim be rejected because the defects of the complaint indicated by the Supreme Court of Argentina have not been corrected. However, we have also requested its rejection for other reasons, and impleaded the Argentine government, due to its obligation to indemnify us against any liability and hold the us harmless for events and claims arising prior to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree 546/1993. Our request is currently pending.

Dock Sud and Quilmes claims. We have been sued in the following environmental lawsuits that have been filed by residents living near Dock Sud, province of Buenos Aires: (i) “Mendoza, Beatriz against National State et al.” is a lawsuit pending before the Supreme Court of Argentina, in which the Argentine government, the province of Buenos Aires, the City of Buenos Aires, 14 municipalities and 44 companies (including us) are being sued. The plaintiffs have requested unspecified compensation for collective environmental damage of Matanza and Riachuelo river basins and for physical and property damage, which they claim to have suffered. The National Supreme Court declared itself legally competent to settle only the conflict related to the collective environmental damages, including prevention of future pollution, remediation of environmental damages already caused and monetary compensation for irreparable environmental damages; and has requested that the defendants submit specific reports. In particular, it has requested that the Argentine government, the province of Buenos Aires, the City of Buenos Aires and Cofema submit a plan with environmental objectives. We have answered the complaint and requested the impleading of the Argentine government, based on its obligation to indemnify us against any liability and hold us harmless for events and claims previous to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993; (ii) “Félix, Víctor et al against Shell C.A.P.S.A. et al. for compensation” is a suit in which the province of Buenos Aires and the Municipality of Avellaneda are being sued, as are companies domiciled at Dock Sud, including us. The plaintiffs are requesting environmental remediation of Dock Sud, which they estimate at Ps.600 million, and physical and property damages. However, we have been informed that plaintiffs have left without effect their claim against us; (iii) “Cicero, María Cristina against Antivari S.A.C.I. et al. for damages” in which the plaintiffs, who are residents of Villa Inflamable, Dock Sud, also demand the environmental remediation of Dock Sud and Ps.33 million in compensation for physical

and property damages against many companies that have operations there, including us. We answered the complaint by requesting its rejection and asked the citation of the Argentine government, due to its obligation to indemnify us against any liability and hold us harmless for events and claims previous to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993.

130

In addition, citizens claiming to be residents living near Quilmes, in the province of Buenos Aires, have filed a lawsuit in which they have requested the remediation of environmental damages and the payment of Ps.46 million as compensation for alleged personal damages. The plaintiffs base their claim mainly on a fuel leak that occurred in 1988 in a poliduct running from La Plata to Dock Sud that was operated by Yacimientos Petrolíferos Fiscales S.A. The leaked fuel became perceptible in November 2002, resulting in remediation that is now being performed by us in the affected area, supervised by the environmental authority of the province of Buenos Aires. We have requested an extension of the time to answer the complaint to allow us time to evaluate certain documents submitted to the court by the plaintiffs. We have also notified the Argentine government that we will implead it at the time we answer the complaint in order to request that it indemnify us against any liability and hold us harmless in connection with this lawsuit, as provided by Law. No. 24,145. In this case, we believe that the Argentine government will contest its obligation to indemnify and hold us harmless by claiming that the alleged damages were not caused by the 1988 leak.

La Plata Refinery environmental claims. On June 6, 2007, we were served with a new complaint in which nine residents of the vicinity of the La Plata Refinery request (i) the cessation of contamination and other harms they claim are attributable to the refinery and (ii) the cleanup of the adjacent canals, Río Santiago and Río de la Plata (water, soils and aquifers, including within the refinery), or, if cleanup is impossible, compensation for environmental and personal damages. The plaintiffs have also requested physical and property damages of Ps.51.4 million, or an amount to be determined from evidence produced in discovery. We believe that most damages that are alleged by the plaintiff, if proven, may be attributable to events that occurred prior to YPF' s privatization and would therefore be the responsibility of the Argentine government in accordance with the Privatization Law of YPF. Notwithstanding the foresaid, there is the possibility a judgment could order us to meet the expenses of remedying these liabilities, in which case we could ask the Argentine government to reimburse the remediation expenses for liabilities existing prior to January 1, 1991 pursuant to Law 24,145. In addition, we believe that this claim partially overlaps with the request made by a group of neighbors of the La Plata Refinery on June 29, 1999, mentioned in preceding paragraphs. Accordingly, we consider that the cases will need to be partially consolidated to the extent that the claims overlap. We answered the complaint by requesting its rejection and asked for the citation of the Argentine government, due to its obligation to indemnify us against any liability and hold us harmless for events and claims previous to January 1, 1991, according to Law No. 24,145 and Decree No. 546/1993. The contamination that may exist could derive from countless sources, including from dumping of refuse over many years by other industrial facilities and by ships.

Additionally, we are aware of an action in which we have not yet been served, in which the plaintiff requests the cessation of contamination and the cleanup of the canals adjacent to the La Plata Refinery, in Río Santiago, and other sectors near the coast (removal of mud, drainage of wetlands, restoration of biodiversity, among other things), and, if such sanitation is not practicable, compensation of Ps.500 million (approximately U.S.\$161 million) or an amount to be determined from evidence produced in discovery. We believe that this claim partially overlaps with the requests made by a group of neighbors of the La Plata Refinery on June 29, 1999 and with the complaint served on June 6, 2007, mentioned in preceding paragraphs. Accordingly, we consider that if it is served in this proceeding or any other proceeding related to the same subject matters, the cases will need to be consolidated to the extent that the claims overlap. With respect to claims that would not be included in the previous proceedings, for the time being we are unable to estimate the prospects of such claims. Additionally, we believe that most damages that would be alleged by the plaintiff, if proven, may be attributable to events that occurred prior to YPF' s privatization and could therefore be the responsibility of the Argentine government in accordance with the Privatization Law concerning YPF.

Non-provisioned, remote contingencies

Our management, in consultation with our external counsel, believes that the following contingencies, while individually significant, are remote:

Congressional request for investigation to CNDC. On November 7, 2003, certain former members of the Argentine Congress, Arturo Lafalla, Ricardo Falu and others, filed with the CNDC a complaint against us for abuse of a dominant position in the bulk LPG market during 2002 and part of 2003. The alleged conduct consisted of selling bulk LPG in the domestic market at prices higher than the export price, thereby restricting the availability of bulk LPG in the domestic market. On December 15, 2003, the CNDC decided to forward the complaint to us, and

131

requested explanations under Art. 29 of the Antitrust Act. On January 21, 2004, we submitted explanations in accordance with Art. 29 of the Antitrust Act, contending that no antitrust violations had been committed. At this point, the CNDC may accept our explanations or begin a criminal investigation. We contend that we did not restrict LPG supply in the domestic market during the relevant period, that during this period all domestic demand for LPG could have been supplied by our competitors and that therefore our market share could not be deemed a dominant position. As of the date of this registration statement, CNDC has not taken any further action.

Pursuant to the provisions of Resolution No. 189/99, referred to above, certain third parties have claimed compensation for alleged damages suffered by them as a consequence of our sanctioned conduct. We have denied these claims and presented our defenses.

Neuquén royalty disputes. On February 20, 2006, the province of Neuquén published in the Official Gazette Decrees No. 225/06 and 226/06 (the “Decrees”). The Decrees provide that royalties for domestic sales of hydrocarbons produced within the province of Neuquén must be calculated using international market prices as a reference, thus increasing the amounts of the royalties to be paid by us. The calculation of hydrocarbon royalties, in accordance with Section 75 (12) of the Argentine Constitution, is ruled by federal legislation, and the Decrees, in our opinion, contradict the preemption principle of the Argentine Constitution. We filed a declaratory judgment action (Acción Declarativa de Certeza) with the Argentine Supreme Court with the aim of obtaining the nullification of the Decrees and the issuance of an interim measure banning the province of Neuquén from filing any royalty claim on the ground of the provisions contained within the Decrees. On October 31, 2006, the Argentine Supreme Court issued an injunction ordering the province of Neuquén to refrain from applying the Decrees to us. On November 29, 2007, the province of Neuquén issued Decree No. 2200/07, revoking the Decrees, and subsequently petitioned the Argentine Supreme Court to withdraw its injunction against the Decrees as moot. We have filed a written request for the continuation of the injunction as well as the official revocation of the Decrees. Neuquén has not expressly withdrawn its request and the matter is currently pending before the Argentine Supreme Court.

On August 31, 2004, the province of Neuquén filed with the Federal Court of the province of Neuquén (the “Federal Court”) a claim against Atalaya Energy and 19 oil and gas companies, including us, claiming compliance with Section 6 Law No. 25,561 for the calculation of royalties regarding hydrocarbons produced within the province of Neuquén. Section 6 Law No. 25,561 provides that in no event will export withholdings reduce the wellhead prices for the calculation and payment of hydrocarbon royalties. According to the province of Neuquén’s reading of Section 6 Law No. 25,561, the oil and gas companies producing hydrocarbons in the province of Neuquén should not make any deduction based on export withholdings for the calculation of royalties corresponding to hydrocarbons sold in the domestic market. The Federal Court issued an interim measure ordering the oil and gas companies to calculate and pay royalties on the basis of international prices. We filed an appeal against such interim measure. On October 5, 2005, the Federal Court granted our appeal. Additionally, the Federal Court clarified that Section 6 Law No. 25,561 shall be applied only to the calculation of royalties regarding exported hydrocarbons. The province of Neuquén appealed this decision to the National Court of Appeals, which declared that it lacked jurisdiction and referred the case to the Argentine Supreme Court. In 2006, the Argentine Supreme Court also declared that it lacked jurisdiction, and returned the case file to the Federal Court. We also requested the Argentine Supreme Court to order the Federal Court to restrain from continuing proceedings. The Argentine Supreme Court denied such request and we filed a writ requesting the reversal of such decision. On May 14, 2007, the judge issued an opinion declaring that the Federal Court lacked jurisdiction to hear our royalties dispute case and the case was transferred to the administrative courts of the province of Neuquén. On May 17, 2007, we presented our appeal on the basis that the judge failed to consider recent jurisprudential records of the Federal Court (the case of the Neuquén Decrees) that acknowledged that royalties disputes posed a valid federal question. On June 29, 2007, the judge rejected our motion in limine but subsequently accepted our motion of appeal. We have filed a request with the Federal Court requesting jurisdiction over the royalties litigation, in light of the above-mentioned recent jurisprudence.

Other export tax disputes. During 2006 and 2007, the Customs General Administrations in Neuquén, Comodoro Rivadavia and Puerto Deseado informed us that certain summary proceedings had been brought against us based on alleged formal misstatements on forward oil deliveries (future commitments of crude oil deliveries) in the loading permits submitted before these agencies. Although our management, based on the opinion of legal counsel, believes the claim has no legal basis, the potential fines imposed could be substantial.

132

Mendoza royalties dispute. Following demands by the province of Mendoza that the international market price be applied to internal market transactions based on an interpretation of Section 6 of Law No. 25,561 (similar to the above-mentioned claim made by Neuquén) on June 26, 2007, we filed a declaratory judgment action requesting the Argentine Supreme Court to declare Mendoza's interpretation of Section 6 of Law No. 25,561 unconstitutional. Our request is currently pending before the Argentine Supreme Court.

Neuquén concession investment dispute. On November 22, 2007, we received Note No. 172/07 of the Secretariat of Energy and Mining of the Province of Neuquén (SEEM), alleging material shortfalls in our investments pursuant to the Extension Agreement for the Loma de la Lata – Sierra Barrosa Concession, executed on December 5, 2000 (the "Extension Agreement"). The Note provided that: (i) "YPF shall immediately explain the reasons for the detected underinvestment, subject to immediate forfeiture of the concession extension"; (ii) "this serious incident makes it necessary to delay any negotiations with this company for the purpose of any concession extensions"; (iii) the proceedings will be remitted to the Provincial Legislature so that the legislators may weigh this "incident" at the time of reviewing any extension to the contracts; and (iv) legal rights were reserved for the institution of legal actions "to comprehensively redress the damage caused."

The Extension Agreement sets out three phases for investment by us: (i) a first phase from July 1, 2000 to December 31, 2005, during which the committed investment amounted to U.S.\$3,500 million; (ii) a second period, from January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2011, contemplating a committed investment of U.S.\$2,500 million; and (iii) a final period from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2017, during which we agreed to invest the amount of U.S.\$2,000 million. The aggregate amount of the committed investment is U.S.\$8,000 million, and under the Extension Agreement any non-substantial difference in a phase can be performed and made up for in the next phase.

In addition to the SEEM's failure to observe Section 80 of Law No 17,319, which requires a controlling authority to warn permission holders and concession operators and to allow them to cure violations, we believe that:

(i) we have made the investments agreed to under the Extension Agreement for the first of the three periods (ended on December 31, 2005), which is the subject of Note No 172/07, whether calculated in U.S. dollars or in pesos (though we believe they should be calculated in pesos);

(ii) during almost two years since the end of the first period, we have made investments in the province of Neuquén of approximately U.S.\$1,830 million (for a cumulative amount of U.S.\$5,350 million since 2000), which greatly exceeds the difference alleged by the province in Note No. 172/07 and demonstrates the completion of our performance of the requisite investments for the first period (U.S.\$2,500 million related to the years 2006-2011); and

(iii) the investment obligations are convertible into pesos at a one-to-one ratio by effect of the emergency regulations enacted in 2002 (including Section 1 of Decree 214/04) and in light of economic reality, as the size and scope of the investments that could be made at the time the Extension Agreement was entered into differs drastically from the amount possible after devaluation in 2002. Our arguments in this regard are considered without prejudice to asserting the "unforeseen conditions" doctrine under Argentine law due to the significant change in circumstances, as the right to assert the doctrine was not waived in the Extension Agreement.

We have challenged Note No. 172/07 through administrative and judicial proceedings and believes that the claim made by the province of Neuquén is without merit; however, if the Province were to prevail, it would have a material adverse effect on us.

Additional information

On January 21, 2005, we were notified of a request made by Empresa Nacional de Electricidad S.A. (“ENDESA”) for arbitration to resolve a dispute relating to an alleged breach of a contractual clause in an export contract signed in June 2000. The clause relates to increased natural gas deliveries and ENDESA has requested payment of a contractual penalty resulting from our alleged failure to deliver the required amounts. The contract term is 15 years. ENDESA’s claim amounted to U.S.\$353.8 million, while asserting that there had been willful

133

misconduct on our part. Thereafter, the parties entered into (i) an agreement for the amendment of the gas supply agreement in order to adapt it to the export restrictions imposed by the Argentine government (the “Amendment”) and (ii) an agreement for the termination of the arbitration (the “Termination Agreement”), both subject to the Secretariat of Energy’s approval. On August 31, 2007, we were notified of the Secretariat of Energy’s approval. Thereafter, the parties informed the tribunal of the termination of the arbitration by mutual agreement. We have agreed to pay ENDESA U.S.\$8 million pursuant to the Termination Agreement while ENDESA has agreed to forego all claims based on past conduct. Finally, the Amendment adjusted the maximum semi-annual compensation that we would have to pay in connection with deficiencies in natural gas deliveries.

On August 11, 2006, we received Note SE No. 1009 (the “Note”) from the Secretariat of Energy, which reviewed the progress of reserves in the Ramos Area in the Noroeste basin, in relation to the export authorization granted by Resolution SE No. 169/97 (the “Export Authorization”). The Export Authorization concerns the long-term natural gas export contract between us and GasAtacama Generación, for a maximum daily volume of 530,000 m3/day. The Note stated that as a result of the decrease in natural gas reserves supporting the Export Authorization, the domestic market supply was at risk. The Note preventively provided that the maximum natural gas daily volumes authorized to be exported under the Export Authorization were to be reduced by 20%, affecting the export contract. We filed an answer to the Note on September 15, 2006 stating our allegations and defenses.

YPF Holdings

The following is a brief description of certain environmental and other liabilities related to YPF Holdings.

In connection with the sale of Maxus’ former chemical subsidiary, Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company (“Chemicals”), to Occidental Petroleum Corporation (together with its subsidiary Occidental Chemical Corporation, “Occidental”) in 1986, Maxus agreed to indemnify Chemicals and Occidental from and against certain liabilities relating to the business or activities of Chemicals, including certain environmental liabilities. Tierra assumed essentially all of Maxus’ aforesaid indemnity obligations to Occidental in respect of Chemicals. See “YPF Holdings—Operations in the United States.”

As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings’ reserves for environmental and other contingencies totaled approximately U.S.\$113.5 million. YPF Holdings management believes it has adequately reserved for all environmental and other contingencies that are probable and can be reasonably estimated based on information available as of such time; however, many such contingencies are subject to significant uncertainties, including the completion of ongoing studies, the discovery of new facts, or the issuance of orders by regulatory authorities, which could result in material additions to such reserves in the future. It is possible that additional claims will be made, and additional information about new or existing claims (such as results of ongoing investigations, the issuance of court decisions or the signing of settlement agreements) is likely to develop over time. YPF Holdings’ reserves for the environmental and other contingencies described below are based solely on currently available information and as a result, YPF Holdings, Maxus and Tierra may have to incur costs that may be material, in addition to the reserves already taken.

In the following discussion concerning plant sites and third party sites, references to YPF Holdings include, as appropriate and solely for ease of reference, references to Maxus and Tierra. As indicated above, Tierra is also a subsidiary of YPF Holdings and has assumed certain of Maxus’ obligations.

Newark, New Jersey. A consent decree, previously agreed upon by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the “EPA”), the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (the “DEP”) and Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, was entered in 1990 by the United States District Court of New Jersey for Chemicals’ former Newark, New Jersey agricultural chemicals plant. The approved remedy has been completed and paid for by Tierra pursuant to the above described indemnification agreement with Occidental. Operations and maintenance of the constructed remedy

are ongoing, and as of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings has reserved approximately U.S.\$16.2 million in connection with such activities.

Passaic River/Newark Bay, New Jersey. Maxus, acting on behalf of Occidental, negotiated an agreement with the EPA under which Tierra has conducted further testing and studies to characterize contaminated sediment and biota in a six-mile portion of the Passaic River near the Newark, New Jersey plant site described above. While some

work remains, these studies were substantially completed in 2005. In addition, the EPA and other agencies are addressing the lower 17-mile portion of the Passaic River (including the six-mile portion already studied) in a joint federal, state, local and private sector cooperative effort designated as the Lower Passaic River Restoration Project (PRRP). Tierra, along with certain other entities (as of September 30, 2007), has agreed to participate in a remedial investigation and feasibility study (RIFS) in connection with the PRRP. The parties are discussing the possibility of further work with the EPA. The entities that have agreed to fund the RIFS have negotiated allocations of responsibility among themselves based on a number of considerations.

In December 2005, the DEP issued a directive to Tierra, Maxus and Occidental directing said parties to pay the State of New Jersey's costs of developing a Source Control Dredge Plan focused on allegedly dioxin-contaminated sediment in the lower six-mile portion of the Passaic River described above. The development of this Plan is estimated by the DEP to cost approximately U.S.\$2.3 million. The DEP has advised the recipients that they are not required to respond to the directive until otherwise notified. Also in December 2005, the DEP and the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund sued YPF Holdings, Tierra, Maxus and several affiliated entities, in addition to Occidental, in connection with dioxin contamination allegedly emanating from Chemicals' former Newark plant and contaminating the lower 17-mile portion of the Passaic River, Newark Bay, other nearby waterways and surrounding areas. The defendants have made responsive pleadings and/or filings. See “—Argentina—New Jersey claims.”

In June 2007, EPA released a draft Focused Feasibility Study (“FFS”) that outlines several alternatives for remedial action in the lower eight miles of the Passaic River. These range from no action (which would result in comparatively little cost) to extensive dredging and capping (which according to the draft FFS, EPA estimated could cost from U.S.\$0.9 billion to U.S.\$2.3 billion), and are all described by EPA as involving proven technologies that could be carried out in the near term, without extensive research. Tierra, in conjunction with the other parties of the PRRP group, submitted comments on the draft FFS to EPA, as did a number of other interested parties. In September 2007, EPA announced its intention to spend further time considering the comments, to issue a proposed plan for public comment in the middle of 2008 and to select a clean-up plan in the last quarter of 2008. Tierra plans to respond to any further EPA proposal as may be appropriate at that time.

In August 2007, the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (“NOAA”), as one of the Federal Natural Resources Trustees, sent a letter to the parties of the PRRP group, including Tierra and Occidental, requesting that the group enter into an agreement to conduct a cooperative assessment of natural resources damages in the Passaic River and Newark Bay. The PRRP group has responded through its common counsel to request that discussions relating to such an agreement be postponed until 2008, due in part to the pending FFS proposal by EPA. Tierra plans to continue to participate in the PRRP group with regard to this matter.

As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings has reserved approximately U.S.\$16.0 million in connection with the foregoing matters related to the Passaic River, the Newark Bay and the surrounding area. This amount principally consists of estimated costs for studies and other work Maxus and Tierra have already agreed to undertake. During the last quarter of 2007, we have evaluated several remediation scenarios for the lower eight miles of the Passaic River, which have resulted in an increase of approximately U.S.\$25 million in our reserves as of December 31, 2007. The development of new information or the imposition of natural resource damages or remedial actions differing from the scenarios we have evaluated could result in Maxus and Tierra incurring additional costs to the amount currently reserved.

Hudson and Essex Counties, New Jersey. Until 1972, Chemicals operated a chromite ore processing plant at Kearny, New Jersey (the “Kearny Plant”). Tierra, on behalf of Occidental, is providing financial assurance in the amount of U.S.\$20 million for performance of the work associated with the issues described below.

In May 2005, the DEP took two actions in connection with the chrome sites in Hudson and Essex Counties. First, the DEP issued a directive to Maxus, Occidental and two other chromium manufacturers (the "Respondents") directing them to arrange for the cleanup of chromite ore residue at three sites in Jersey City and for the conduct of a study by paying the DEP a total of U.S.\$19.55 million. Second, the DEP filed a lawsuit against Occidental and two other entities in state court in Hudson County seeking, among other things, cleanup of various sites where chromite ore residue is allegedly located, recovery of past costs incurred by the state at such sites (including in excess of U.S.\$2.3 million dollars allegedly spent for investigations and studies) and, with respect to certain costs at 18 sites, treble damages. The DEP claims that the defendants are jointly and severally liable, without regard to fault, for

much of the damages alleged. The parties have engaged in discussions (including mediation) regarding possible settlement; however, there is no assurance that these discussions will be successful.

Pursuant to a request of the DEP, in the second half of 2006, Tierra and certain other parties tested the sediments in a portion of the Hackensack River near the former Kearny Plant. A report of those test results has been submitted to the DEP for its comments. What, if any, additional work will be required is expected to be determined once the results of this testing have been analyzed by the DEP.

In November 2005, several environmental groups sent a notice of intent to sue the owner of the property adjacent to the former Kearny Plant and five other parties, including Tierra, under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The parties have entered into an agreement that addresses the concerns of the environmental groups, and these groups have agreed, at least for now, not to file suit.

As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings has reserved a total of approximately U.S.\$20.4 million in connection with the foregoing chrome-related matters. Soil action levels for chromium in New Jersey have not been finalized, and the DEP continues to review the proposed action levels. The cost of addressing these chrome-related matters could increase significantly depending upon the final soil action levels, the DEP's response to Tierra's reports and other developments.

Painesville, Ohio. From about 1912 through 1976, Chemicals operated manufacturing facilities in Painesville, Ohio (the "Painesville Works"). The operations there over the years involved several discrete but contiguous plant sites over an area of about 1,300 acres. The primary area of concern historically has been Chemicals' former chromite ore processing plant (the "Chrome Plant"). The Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA) has approved certain work, including the remediation of specific sites within the former Painesville Works area and work associated with the development plans (the "Remediation Work"). The Remediation Work has begun. As the OEPA approves additional projects for the site of the former Painesville Works, additional amounts may need to be reserved. YPF Holdings has reserved a total of approximately U.S.\$11.3 million as of September 30, 2007 for its estimated share of the cost to perform the remedial investigation and feasibility study ("RIFS"), the Remediation Work and other operation and maintenance activities at this site.

Greens Bayou, Texas. Pursuant to settlement agreements with the Port of Houston Authority (the "Port") and other parties, Tierra and Maxus are participating (on behalf of Chemicals) in the remediation of property adjoining Chemicals' former Greens Bayou facility where dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane ("DDT") and certain other chemicals were manufactured. At September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings has reserved approximately U.S.\$21.8 million for its estimated share of future remediation activities associated with the Greens Bayou facility. Additionally, the parties have engaged in settlement discussions with Natural Resources Trustees in connection with claims for natural resources damages. The amount of natural resources damages and the parties' obligations in respect thereof are unknown at the present time.

Third Party Sites. In June 2005, the EPA designated Maxus as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") at the Milwaukee Solvay Coke & Gas Site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The basis for this designation is Maxus' alleged status as the successor to Pickands Mather & Co. and Milwaukee Solvay Coke Co., companies that the EPA has asserted are former owners or operators of such site. Preliminary work in connection with the RIFS in respect of this site commenced in the second half of 2006. Maxus has reserved approximately U.S.\$0.25 million as of September 30, 2007 for its estimated share of the costs of the RIFS, which is included in the U.S.\$2.4 million total discussed below. Maxus lacks sufficient information to determine additional exposure or costs, if any, it might have in respect of this site.

Maxus is responsible for certain liabilities attributable to Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of the Malone Service Company Superfund Site in Galveston County, Texas. This site is a former waste disposal site where Chemicals is alleged to have sent waste products prior to September 1986.

Chemicals has also been designated as a PRP by the EPA under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA") with respect to a number of third party sites where hazardous substances from Chemicals' plant operations allegedly were disposed or have come to be located. Numerous PRPs have been named at substantially all of these sites. At several of these, Chemicals has no known

exposure. At September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings had reserved approximately U.S.\$2.4 million in connection with its estimated share of costs related to the foregoing third party sites.

“Agent Orange” and VCM Litigation. In 2002, Occidental sued Maxus and Tierra in state court in Dallas, Texas seeking a declaration that Maxus and Tierra have the obligation under the agreement pursuant to which Maxus sold Chemicals to Occidental to defend and indemnify Occidental from and against certain historical obligations of Chemicals, including claims related to “Agent Orange” and vinyl chloride monomer (VCM), notwithstanding the fact that said agreement contains a 12-year cut-off for defense and indemnity obligations with respect to most litigation. Tierra was dismissed as a party, and the matter was tried in May 2006. The trial court decided that the 12-year cut-off period did not apply and entered judgment against Maxus. This decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in February 2008. This decision will require Maxus to accept responsibility for various matters which it has refused indemnification since 1998, which could result in the incurrence of material costs in addition to YPF Holdings’ current reserves for this matter. This decision will also require Maxus to reimburse Occidental for past costs on these matters. Maxus believes that its current reserves are adequate for these past costs and is currently evaluating the decision of the Court of Appeals. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings had reserved approximately U.S.\$14.9 million in respect of this matter.

Turtle Bayou Litigation. In March 2005, Maxus agreed to defend Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of an action seeking the contribution of costs for the remediation of the Turtle Bayou waste disposal site in Liberty County, Texas. Judgment was recently entered in this action, and Maxus filed a motion for reconsideration which was partially successful. As a result, the court’s decision requires Maxus to pay, on behalf of Occidental, approximately 16% of those costs incurred by one of the plaintiffs. Maxus has appealed. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings has reserved U.S.\$0.8 million in respect of this matter.

YPF Holdings, including its subsidiaries, is a party to various other lawsuits, the outcomes of which are not expected to have a material adverse affect on the Company’s financial condition. YPF Holdings has established reserves for legal contingencies in situations where a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

YPF Holdings has entered into various operating agreements and capital commitments associated with the exploration and development of its oil and gas properties. Such contractual, financial and/or performance commitments are not material, except perhaps those commitments related to the development of the Neptune Prospect located in the vicinity of the Atwater Valley Area, Blocks 573, 574, 575, 617 and 618. Total commitments for the Neptune Prospect are U.S.\$75 million in 2007 and U.S.\$17.1 million in 2008.

Employees

As of September 30, 2007, we had approximately 11,650 employees, including approximately 5,774 employees of the Refining and Marketing business segment, approximately 1,795 employees of the Exploration and Production business segment, and approximately 565 employees of the Chemical business segment.

Approximately 52% of our employees are represented by one labor union (Federación Sindicatos Unidos Petroleros Hidrocarburíferos) that negotiates labor agreements with us. At the end of 2006, we began new labor union negotiations, that resulted in our extending our labor agreement until year 2010. The negotiations also involved economic and social conditions for our employees that are addressed in the labor agreement. We consider the current relations with our workforce to be good. However, we and other industry participants are subject to work stoppages and other industrial actions. See “Business—Legal Proceedings” for a description of litigation with certain former employees.

As part of our privatization, we restructured our internal organization and significantly reduced the number of our employees. We reduced our work force from over 51,000 employees (including approximately 15,000 personnel under contract) at December 31, 1990 to approximately 7,500 at December 31, 1993. We paid to the employees affected by these reductions the termination payments required under Argentine labor laws which amounted to Ps.686 million. In connection with the reduction in our workforce, we have received notice of approximately 2,219 lawsuits brought by former employees as of September 30, 2007. A substantial majority of such suits have been brought by former employees who allege that they received insufficient severance payments in connection with their dismissal, the unsettled YPF stocks, according to the "Regime of Participated Property" (this regulation was

denominated to the sale of employees' YPF stocks), and various job-related illnesses, injuries, typically seek unspecified relief. The outcome of this type of litigation depends on factual issues that vary from case to case, and it is not always feasible to predict the outcome of particular cases.

Based on the number and character of the lawsuits already commenced, however, the estimated likelihood of additional claims in view of the number of dismissed employees, applicable statutes of limitations, the legal principles involved in the suits and the financial statement reserves previously established, our management does not expect the outcome of these lawsuits to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or future results of operations.

Maxus (a YPF subsidiary) has a number of trustee noncontributory pension plans covering substantially all full-time employees. The benefits provided by these plans are based on the number of years of employment and the compensation earned during those years. This company has other noncontributory pension plans for executive officers, selected key employees and former employees of the Maxus Group. The Maxus career average pension plan was frozen effective March 1, 2007. The Maxus savings plan was amended effective March 1, 2007 to include the non-elective component, through which the plan's sponsor contributes 7.5% of the employees' annual base salary. Maxus also grants benefits for health care, life insurance and other social benefits to some of its employees who retire early. The amounts payable accrue over the employee's years of service.

We also had approximately 19,000 third-party employees under contract as of December 31, 2006, mostly under contract with large international service providers. Although we have policies regarding compliance with labor and social security obligations by its contractors, we are not in a position to ensure that contractors' employees will not initiate legal actions to seek indemnification from us based upon a number of Argentine judicial labor court precedents recognizing joint and several liability between the contractor and the entity to which it is supplying services under certain circumstances.

The following table provides a breakdown of our employees by business units as of September 30, 2007.

Employees by Business Units	
Exploration & Production	1,795
Domestic	1,696
International	21
Natural Gas & Electricity	78
Refining and Marketing	5,774
Domestic	3,025
OPESSA	2,749
Chemical	565
A-Evangelista S.A.	2,690
Corporate and other	787
Total YPF	11,611

The following table provides a breakdown of our employees by geographic locations.

Employees by Geographic Location	
Argentina	11,590
USA	20
Spain	1
Total YPF	11,611

Explanation of Responses:

Property, Plant and Equipment

Most of our property, consisting of interests in crude oil and natural gas reserves, refineries, storage, manufacturing and transportation facilities and service stations, is located in Argentina. We also own property in the United States. See “—Exploration and Production—Principal properties—International properties—United States.”

138

There are several classes of property which we do not own in fee. Our petroleum exploration and production rights are in general based on sovereign grants of concession. Upon the expiration of the concession, our exploration and production assets associated with the particular property subject to the relevant concession revert to the government. In addition, as of December 31, 2006, we leased 88 service stations to third parties and also had activities with service stations that are owned by third parties and operated by them under a supply contract with us for the distribution of our products.

MANAGEMENT

Board of Directors

Our business and affairs are managed by the Board of Directors in accordance with our bylaws and the Argentine Corporations Law No. 19,550 (the “Argentine Corporations Law”). Our bylaws provide for a Board of Directors of seven to fourteen members, as agreed at the shareholders’ meeting, and up to an equal number of alternates. Alternates are those elected by the shareholders to replace directors who are absent from meetings or who are unable to exercise their duties, when and for whatever period appointed to do so by the Board of Directors. Alternates have the responsibilities, duties and powers of directors only if and to the extent they are called upon to attend board meetings or for such longer period as they may act as replacements.

Directors shall hold office from one to three years, as determined by the shareholders’ meetings. At the shareholders’ general ordinary and extraordinary meeting held on March 7, 2008 the holders of Class B shares and Class C shares and Class D shares, voting as a single class, appointed 13 directors to serve a two-year term and five alternates to serve a two-year term, all of them representatives of Class D shares.

In accordance with our bylaws, the Argentine government, sole holder of Class A shares, is entitled to elect one director and one alternate. The current director representative of Class A shares was appointed to serve up to a one-year term.

Under the Argentine Corporations Law, a majority of our directors must be residents of Argentina. All directors must establish a legal domicile in Argentina for service of notices in connection with their duties.

Our bylaws require the Board of Directors to meet at least once every quarter in person or by video conference, and a majority of directors is required in order to constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not met one hour after the start time set for the meeting, the President or his substitute may invite alternates of the same class as that of the absent directors to join the meeting, or call a meeting for another day. Resolutions must be adopted by a majority of the directors present, and the President or his substitute is entitled to cast the deciding vote in the event of a tie.

The composition of certain of our Board committees, as well as the roles of certain members thereof, will change upon the implementation of the requirements of the shareholders’ agreement between Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía. See “Selling Shareholders.”

The current members of our Board of Directors, the year in which they were appointed and the year their current term expires are as follows:

Name	Position	Director Since	Term Expires
Antonio Brufau Niubo	Chairman and Director	2004	2009
Sebastián Eskenazi	Executive Vice-Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and Director	2008	2009
Enrique Eskenazi	Vice-Chairman and Director	2008	2009
Antonio Gomis Sáez	Chief Operating Officer and Director	2007	2009
Aníbal Guillermo Belloni	Director	2008	2009
Mario Blejer	Director	2008	2009
Carlos Bruno	Director	2008	2009
Santiago Carnero*	Director	2008	2009
Carlos de la Vega	Director	1993	2009

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

Matías Eskenazi Storey	Director	2008	2009
Eduardo Elsztain	Director	2005	2009
Salvador Font Estrany	Director	2008	2009
Federico Mañero	Director	2005	2009
Fernando Ramirez Mazarredo	Director	2008	2009
Luis Suárez de Lezo Mantilla	Director	2008	2009
Javier Monzón	Director	2005	2009
Mario Vázquez	Director	2008	2009
Alejandro Quiroga López	General Counsel and Alternate Director	2004	2009
Gonzalo López Fanjul	Alternate Director	2005	2009
Alfredo Pochintesta	Alternate Director	2008	2009
Rafaél Lopez Revuelta	Director of Chemicals and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Tomás García Blanco	Director of Exploration and Production and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Fabián Falco	Director of Communication and External Relations and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Walter Forwood	Chief Financial Officer and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Fernando Dasso	Director of Human Resources and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Carlos Jimenez	Director of Management Control and Alternate Director	2008	2009
Carlos Alfonsi	Alternate Director	2008	2009
Ezequiel Eskenazi Storey	Alternate Director	2008	2009
Mauro Renato José Dacomo	Alternate Director	2008	2009
Ignacio Cruz Morán	Alternate Director	2008	2009
Eduardo Ángel Garrote	Alternate Director	2008	2009

* Representing our Class A shares.

None of the members of the Board of Directors owns shares in YPF.

Directors' outside business interests and experience

Antonio Brufau Niubo

Mr. Brufau Niubo graduated with an economics degree from the University of Barcelona. From 1999 to 2004, he acted as managing director for the La Caixa Group. He served as a member of the Repsol YPF Board of Directors from 1996 until becoming chairman and CEO of Repsol YPF in October 2004, a position he currently occupies. He was appointed chairman of Gas Natural group in July 1997 and is now vice chairman of the group. From July 2002 to July 2005, he served as chairman of Barcelona's Círculo de Economía. Mr. Brufau has served on the boards of several other companies, including Suez; Enagás; Abertis; Aguas de Barcelona; Colonial and Caixa Holding; the CaixaBank France and CaixaBank Andorra. Until December 2005, he was the only Spanish member of the Executive Committee of the International Chamber of Commerce.

Antonio Gomis Sáez

Mr. Gomis Sáez graduated with a chemical engineering degree from the Complutense University of Madrid and a master's in business administration from IESE Business School – University of Navarra in Spain. He began his career in 1974 at the Repsol YPF Petróleo refinery in Puertollano, Ciudad Real and later went to work at the International Energy Agency in Paris founded by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (“OECD”). He served as advisor to the General Secretary of Energy and Mineral Resources at the Spanish Ministry of Energy. In 1986 he joined the Instituto Nacional de Hidrocarburos, where he was appointed managing director of international and institutional relations of Repsol YPF. From 1997 to 2000, he was general director of energy at the Spanish Ministry of Industry and Energy. From September 2000 to November 2004, he was corporate director of external relations, overseeing investor and media relations. In January 2005 he was appointed CEO of Repsol YPF Química and managing director of Repsol YPF's Chemicals Europe and Rest of the World. In July 2007 he was appointed director of our company and in August 2007 he became our Chief Executive Officer and served in that capacity until March 2008. Since March 2008, he has served as our Chief Operating Officer.

Carlos Bruno

Mr. Bruno graduated with a degree in architecture from the University of Buenos Aires. He is president and co-founder of the Centro de Investigaciones para la Transformación. He has participated in the creation of the Center of International Economy while being a member of the Ministry of Foreign Relations. He was the Undersecretary of Economic Integration and Secretary of International Economy Relations from 1984 to 1989 and was appointed Ambassador V with the Senate's approval. His areas of expertise are international economic relations and international trade.

Santiago Carnero

Mr. Carnero graduated as a certified public accountant from the University of La Plata in Argentina. He has been a professional advisor in accounting, taxation and labor matters, and corporate organizational and constitutional matters. He has also served as an external auditor for public and private organizations. Since 2004, Mr. Carnero has served as

advisor to the Bicameral Commission of Expense Control and Intelligence Activities of the National Congress of Argentina.

Carlos de la Vega

Mr. de la Vega was director of La Caja ART from 1996 to 2004 and director of Luncheon Tickets from 1991 to 1998. Since April 2003 he has been president of the Argentine Chamber of Commerce, a position he also held from 1988 to 1993. He has been a member of our Board of Directors for Class D shares since 1993, and until 1996 he was director of Institutional Relations of Ciba-Geigy Argentina. He has been a member of our Audit Committee from 1993 to 1997 and from 2004 to the present.

141

Eduardo Elzstain

Mr. Elzstain has more than 20 years of experience in the real estate industry. In 1990, he founded Consultores Asset Management, a leading portfolio management firm that has been a pioneer investor in Latin America and in other emerging countries. He serves as the chairman of Cresud, a leading agricultural company in Latin America devoted to the operation and formation of a valuable portfolio of land and a producer of soybeans, corn, wheat, beef cattle and milk. In addition he is a board member of BrasilAgro – Companhia Brasileira de Propriedades Agrícolas, and chairman of IRSA, Argentina's largest and most diversified real estate company, with interests in office buildings, hotels and residential projects. He is also chairman of IRSA's subsidiary, Alto Palermo S.A., Argentina's leading shopping center company. Mr. Elzstain is vice-chairman of Banco Hipotecario S.A., Argentina's largest mortgage bank.

Mr. Elzstain studied economics at the University of Buenos Aires and is a member of the World Economic Forum, the Group of Fifty and Asociación Empresaria Argentina (Argentine Business Association), among associations. Moreover, Mr. Elzstain is president of Fundación IRSA, Endeavor Argentina, Hillel Argentina and Museo de los Niños Abasto, among others.

Federico Mañero

Mr. Mañero graduated with a law degree from the San Sebastián Faculty of Law. He is president of Comunicación y Gestión de Entornos, and has more than 25 years of experience in managerial and consulting positions for organizations and private, public and political projects. He is an expert in strategic positioning and corporate communications, and has an international profile with professional activities in more than 50 countries and strong relations in Latin America. He is the founder of various nonprofit projects and organizations like Solidaridad Internacional, Programa de Cooperación Iberoamericana en Temas de Juventud (Organismo Iberoamericano de Juventud) and Movimiento por la Paz, el Desarme y la Libertad and is a regular collaborator with the Fundación Salvador Allende, Fundación Progreso Global and UNICEF. Mr. Mañero is a native speaker of Spanish and French.

Fernando Ramírez Mazarredo

Mr. Ramírez Mazarredo received his degree in Economic and Business Sciences from the University of Madrid and is a certified public accountant. He was Chairman of the Spanish Financial Futures Market (Mercado Español de Futuros Financieros) from April 2004 to June 2005.

Luis Suárez de Lezo Mantilla

Mr. Suárez de Lezo Mantilla received his degree in Law from the Universidad Complutense of Madrid and is a State Attorney (on leave) specializing in Commercial and Administrative Law.

Javier Monzón

Mr. Monzón graduated with a degree in economics from the Complutense University of Madrid. He is chairman and CEO of Indra. He has a finance and management background. He has acted as corporate banking director of Caja Madrid, CFO and president of Telefónica International, executive vice president and member of the executive committee of Telefónica, worldwide partner of Arthur Andersen, managing partner of Corporate Finance Consulting Services and president of Alpha Corporate in Arthur Andersen Spain. He is a member of the boards of other companies, foundations and entrepreneurial organizations, such as our company, ACS and the American Chamber of Commerce.

Mario E. Vázquez

Explanation of Responses:

Mr. Vázquez graduated as a certified public accountant from the University of Buenos Aires. He has been a professor of auditing at the Economics School of the University of Buenos Aires. Mr. Vázquez has acted as CEO of Grupo Telefónica in Argentina and was a member of the Board of Telefónica, S.A. from 2000 to 2006. Mr. Vázquez is currently a member of the Board of Telefónica Internacional, S.A. (Spain) and of Telefónica Chile. He is also a member of the boards of directors or a statutory auditor of several companies (including Telefónica de Argentina S.A., Telefónica Holding de Argentina S.A., YPF S.A., Santander Río Seguros, Indra, Universia and Sheraton Hotels). He is a member of the board of F.I.E.L. (Latin American Foundation for Economic Investigation), Fundación Leer, the Argentine Chamber of Commerce, IDEA, CARI (Consejo Argentino para las Relaciones Internacionales) and Fundación Carolina. Mr. Vázquez was also partner and general director of Arthur Andersen (Pistrelli, Diaz y Asociados y Andersen Consulting – Accenture) for more than 20 years until his retirement in 1993.

Alejandro Quiroga López

Mr. Quiroga López graduated with a law degree from the University of Buenos Aires School of Law. Since 2001, he has been our general counsel and secretary of our Board of Directors. He was a partner at the law firm Nicholson & Cano from 1986 to 1997, a foreign associate at Davis Polk & Wardwell in 2000, and Undersecretary of Banking and Insurance at the Ministry of Economy of Argentina from 1997 to 1999. He was professor of banking and commercial law at the University of Cema. He was a member of the Executive Board of the University of Buenos Aires School of Law. He is also a graduate of the Wharton Advanced Management Program.

Gonzalo López Fanjul

Mr. López Fanjul graduated as a mining engineer from the University of Oviedo. He is a deputy director and director of certain companies in which we participate. He was previously our director of Exploration and Production.

Alfredo Pochintesta

Mr. Pochintesta has received degrees in public accounting and administration from the University of Buenos Aires. Mr. Pochintesta worked as a planning and administration manager in Pluspetrol S.A., planning manager in Petrosur S.A. and senior auditor at PriceWaterhouseCoopers. He worked for Astra for more than 18 years as CFO

and since 1990 as head of the Gas and Electricity Division. Mr. Pochintesta joined Repsol YPF in 1999 when Repsol YPF purchased Astra. He was in charge of the LPG business for Latin America from 1999 to January 2005, when he was appointed marketing director. He also serves as director of a number of other companies.

Rafael López Revuelta

Mr. López Revuelta graduated as a chemical engineer from the Complutense University of Madrid and earned a master's degree in business administration from IESE, Madrid. He has been a director in different areas of Repsol YPF since 1988.

Tomás García Blanco

Mr. García Blanco graduated with a degree in mining engineering from Oviedo University, a certificate in petroleum engineering from Oil & Gas Consultants International in Tulsa, Oklahoma and an IMD Managing Corporate Resources degree from Laussane University. He has developed his Exploration and Production career internationally in Spain, the United States, Egypt, Libya, Venezuela and Argentina. Mr. García Blanco has held several positions in Repsol YPF, including field engineer, reservoir engineer, production engineer, development manager, production manager, operations manager, business unit manager, director of technical staff and, since August 2006, he has been Director of Exploration and Production for Argentina and Bolivia.

Fabián Falco

Mr. Falco has been our Director of Communication and External Relations since 2001. He was director of external relations and corporate marketing of Aguas Argentinas and director of external communications and press of Bidas S.A.

Walter Forwood

Mr. Forwood graduated with a bachelor's degree in economics from the Universidad Argentina de la Empresa and a master of science in finance from Florida International University. He began his career at Bank of Boston and Continental Bank, Argentina. Mr. Forwood joined Industrias Metalúrgicas Pescarmona in 1993 and subsequently served as CFO of Corporación Impsa. In 1997, he joined Cisneros Television Group and held the positions of CFO of Cisneros Television Group and Ibero-American Media Partners, vice chairman of Imagen Satelital and COO of El Sitio Inc. In 2001, Mr. Forwood became CFO of Verizon Communications Inc., chairman and CEO of CTI, CFO of Telefónica de Puerto Rico, general manager of Verizon Wireless of Puerto Rico, and COO of Telefónica de Puerto Rico. Mr. Forwood is currently our Chief Financial Officer.

Fernando Dasso

Mr. Dasso graduated with a labor relations degree from the University of Buenos Aires. In 1993, he joined our company and has held several positions within our company ever since. In 2006, he was appointed Director of Human Resources in the Exploration and Production business unit for Argentina, Bolivia and Brazil. Since June 2007, he has been our Director of Human Resources.

Carlos Jiménez

Mr. Jiménez graduated with a degree in chemical engineering from the Complutense University of Madrid, Spain and received a master's degree in business administration and financial management from the Polytechnic University of Madrid. In addition, he completed the Program of Management Development (Programa de Desarrollo Directivo) at

the Institut Européen d'Administration des Affaires (INSEAD). Mr. Jiménez began his professional career as a Process and Startup Engineer in 1980 with a leading engineering and construction company, while also being employed as Professor at the Complutense University of Madrid. In 1986 he joined Petronor, S.A., part of the Repsol YPF group, as head of the Department of Technical Studies in the area of commercial planning and coordination. In 1999, he became Director of Refining in the area of strategic planning and development of Repsol YPF. During the period 2002 to 2004, he was Director of the Refining and Marketing business unit in Brazil. From 2004 to 2007, he was Technical Director of Refining and Logistics. In addition, Mr. Jiménez is a member of the boards of directors of Oiltanking-Ebytem S.A., Oldelval S.A. and OTA and OTC S.A. He is also the President of the Refinery Committee of ARPEL. Currently, Mr. Jimenez is our Director of Management Control.

Carlos Alfonsi

Mr. Carlos Alberto Alfonsi graduated with a chemistry degree from Universidad Tecnológica of Mendoza, Argentina, an IMD Managing Corporate Resources degree from Lausanne University and studied at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. In 1987, he joined our company and has held several positions in our company and Repsol YPF, including operations manager, director of the La Plata refinery, operational planning director, trading and transport director for Latin America, refinery and marketing director in Peru, country manager for Peru, and R&M for Peru, Chile, Ecuador and Brazil. Since January 2008, he has been our company's Director of Refining and Logistic operations.

Board practices

In accordance with the Argentine Corporations Law, directors have an obligation to perform their duties with loyalty and with the diligence of a prudent business person. Directors are jointly and severally liable to us, our shareholders and to third parties for the improper performance of their duties, for violating the law or our bylaws or regulations, and for any damage caused by fraud, abuse of authority or gross negligence. Specific duties may be assigned to a director by the bylaws, company regulations, or by resolution of the shareholders' meeting. In such cases, a director's liability will be determined by reference to the performance of such duties.

Only shareholders, through a shareholders' meeting may authorize directors to engage in activities in competition with us. Transactions or contracts between directors and us in connection with our activities are permitted to the extent they are performed under fair market conditions. Transactions that do not comply with the Argentine Corporations Law require prior approval of the Board of Directors or the Supervisory Committee. In addition, these transactions must be subsequently approved by the shareholders at a general meeting. If our shareholders do not approve the relevant transaction, the directors and members of the Supervisory Committee who approved such transactions are jointly and severally liable for any damages caused to us.

Any director whose personal interests are adverse to ours shall notify the Board of Directors and the Supervisory Committee and abstain from voting on such matters. Otherwise, such director may be held liable to us.

A director will not be liable if, notwithstanding his presence at the meeting at which a resolution was adopted or his knowledge of such resolution, a written record exists of his opposition to such resolution and he reports his opposition to the Supervisory Committee before any complaint against him is brought before the Board of Directors, the Supervisory Committee, the shareholders' meeting, the appropriate governmental agency or the courts. Any liability of a director to us terminates upon approval of the director's actions by the shareholders at a general meeting, provided that shareholders representing at least 5% of our capital stock do not object and provided further that such liability does not result from a violation of the law, our bylaws or other regulations.

The Audit Committee

The Transparency Decree and Resolutions No. 400/02 and No. 402/02 of the CNV, require that Argentine public companies appoint an audit committee (comité de auditoria) composed of at least three members of the Board of Directors. The bylaws or the regulations of the Board of Directors must set forth the composition and regulations for the operation of the Audit Committee. A majority of the members of the Audit Committee must be independent directors. See “—Independence of the Members of our Board of Directors and Audit Committee” below.

Our Audit Committee was created on May 6, 2004. The members of the Audit Committee currently are: president Mario Vázquez, members Mario Blejer, Carlos de la Vega, Federico Mañero and Carlos Bruno, and alternate members Javier Monzón and Eduardo Elsztain.

Mario Vázquez was determined by our Board of Directors to be an “Audit Committee Financial Expert” pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC.

Executive directors may not sit on the Audit Committee.

Our Audit Committee, among other things:

- periodically inspects the preparation of our financial and economic information;
- reviews and opines with respect to the Board of Directors' proposals regarding the designation of the external auditors and the renewal, termination and conditions of their appointment;
- evaluates internal and external audit work, monitors our relationship with the external auditors, and assures their independence;
- provides appropriate disclosure regarding operations in which there exists a conflict of interest with members of the corporate committees or controlling shareholders;
- opines on the reasonability of the proposals by the Board of Directors for fees and stock option plans of the directors and administrators;
- verifies compliance with applicable national or international regulations in matters related to behavior in the stock markets; and
 - ensures that the internal Code of Ethics complies with normative demands and is adequate.

Activities of the audit committee

The Audit Committee, which pursuant to its regulations meets as many times as needed and at least once every quarter, held ten meetings between April 2006 and March 2007.

Performing its basic function of supporting the Board of Directors in its oversight duties, the Audit Committee periodically reviews economic and financial information relating to us, supervises the internal financial control systems and oversees the independence of the external auditors.

Economic and financial information

With the help of the Chief Financial Officer and considering the work performed by our external and internal auditors, the Audit Committee analyzes the consolidated annual and quarterly financial statements before they are submitted to the Board of Directors.

In addition, because our shares are traded on the NYSE, pursuant to U.S. law we must include our annual financial information in an annual report on Form 20-F, which must be filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee reviews such annual report before it is submitted to the SEC.

Oversight of the internal control system

To supervise the internal financial control systems and ensure that they are sufficient, appropriate and efficient, the Audit Committee oversees the progress of the annual internal audit, which is aimed at identifying our critical risks.

Throughout each year, the Audit Committee is informed by our internal audit department of the most relevant facts and recommendations arising out of its work, and the status of the recommendations issued in prior years.

We have aligned the internal control system for financial reporting with the requirements established by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, a process supervised by the Audit Committee. These regulations require that, along with the annual audit, a report must be presented from our management relating to the design, maintenance and periodic evaluation of the internal control system for financial reporting, accompanied by a report from our external auditor. Several of our departments are involved in this activity, including the internal audit department. Our external auditor reported on our internal control system for financial reporting as of December 31, 2006.

Relations with the external auditors

The Audit Committee maintains a close relationship with the external auditors, allowing it to make a detailed analysis of the relevant aspects of the audit of financial statements and to obtain detailed information on the planning and progress of the work.

The Audit Committee also evaluates the services provided by our external auditors, determines whether the condition of independence of the external auditors, as required by applicable law, is met and monitors the performance of external auditors to ensure that it is satisfactory.

As of December 31, 2006, and as a consequence of the evaluation process described in the paragraph above, the Audit Committee had no objections to the re-election of Deloitte & Co. S.R.L. as our external auditors. The shareholders at a meeting held on April 13, 2007 approved the re-election of Deloitte & Co. S.R.L. as external auditors of the financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2007.

Independence of the Members of our Board of Directors and Audit Committee

Pursuant to CNV regulations, a director is not considered independent when such director (i) owns at least a 35% equity interest in a company, or a lesser interest if the director has the right to appoint one or more directors of the company, which we refer to as a "Significant Participation," or has a Significant Participation in another company that in turn has a Significant Participation in the company or a significant influence on the company ("significant influence" is defined by Argentine GAAP); (ii) is a member of the Board of Directors of, or depends on, shareholders, or is otherwise related to shareholders, who have a Significant Participation in the company or another company in which these shareholders have a direct or indirect Significant Participation or significant influence; (iii) is or has been in the previous three years an employee of the company; (iv) has a professional relationship with, or is a member of a company that maintains professional relationships with, or receives remuneration (other than that received in consideration of his performance as a director) from the company or any of its shareholders who has a direct or indirect Significant Participation in or significant influence on the company, or with a third-party company that has a direct or indirect Significant Participation or a significant influence; (v) directly or indirectly sells or provides goods or services to the company or to any of its shareholders who has a direct or indirect Significant Participation in or

significant influence on the company for an amount exceeding his remuneration as a member of the Board of Directors or audit committee; or (vi) is the spouse or parent (up to second grade of affinity or up to fourth grade of consanguinity) of persons who, if they were members of the Board of Directors or Audit Committee, would not be independent, according to the above-listed rules.

145

As of the date of this prospectus, we believe that Messrs. Carlos Bruno, Carlos de la Vega, Eduardo Elsztain, Federico Mañero, Javier Monzón, Mario Vázquez and Mario Blejer qualify as independent members of our Board of Directors under the above-described criteria.

Disclosure Committee

In February 2003, we created a Disclosure Committee to:

- monitor the overall compliance with regulations and principles of conduct of voluntary application, especially in relation to listed companies and their corporate governance;
- direct, establish and maintain procedures for the preparation of accounting and financial information to be approved and filed by us or which is generally released to the markets;
- direct, establish and maintain internal control systems that are adequate and efficient to ensure that our financial statements included in annual and quarterly reports, as well as any accounting and financial information to be approved and filed by us, are accurate, reliable and clear;
- identify significant risks to our businesses and activities that may affect the accounting and financial information to be approved and filed;
- assume the activities that, according to U.S. laws and SEC regulations, are applicable to us and may be assumed by disclosure committees or other internal committees of a similar nature, especially those activities relating to the SEC regulations dated August 29, 2002 (“Certification of Disclosure in Companies’ Quarterly and Prospectus” —SEC Release number 33-8124), in relation to the support for the certifications by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as to the existence and maintenance by us of adequate procedures and controls for the generation of the information to be included in its annual reports on Form 20-F, and other information of a financial nature;
- take on activities similar to those stipulated in SEC regulations for a disclosure committee with respect to the existence and maintenance by us of adequate procedures and controls for the preparation and content of the information to be included in the annual financial statements, and any accounting or financial information to be filed with the CNV and other regulators of the stock markets on which our stock is traded; and
- formulate proposals for an internal code of conduct on the stock markets that follow applicable rules and regulations or any other standards deemed appropriate.

In addition, the Disclosure Committee reviews and supervises our procedures for the preparation and filing of:

- official notices to the SEC, the Argentine stock market authorities and other regulators of the stock markets on which our stock is traded;
 - interim financial reports;
- press releases containing financial data on results, earnings, large acquisitions, divestitures or any other information relevant to the shareholders;
 - general communications to the shareholders; and
- presentations to analysts, investors, rating agencies and lending institutions.

The Disclosure Committee is composed of certain of our executive officers, some of whom are also members of our Board of Directors.

The Disclosure Committee is currently composed of the following people:

146

Name	Position
Sebastián Eskenazi	Chief Executive Officer
Carlos Alfonsi	Director Refining and Logistics
Fernando Dasso	Director of Human Resources
Fabián Falco	Director of Communication and External Relations
Walter Forwood	Chief Financial Officer
Tomás García Blanco	Director Exploration and Production
Carlos Jiménez .	Director Management Control
Gabriel Leiva	Director Accounting and Administration
Rafael López Revuelta	Director Chemicals
Alfredo Pochintesta	Director of Marketing
Alejandro Quiroga López	General Counsel
Aquiles Rattia	Director of Reserves Control
Juan Carlos Rodríguez González	Director of Internal Audit

Executive Officers

The President of the Board of Directors, who, according to our bylaws, must be a Class D director, is elected by the Board of Directors to serve for a two-year term, but not to exceed his term as director. All other officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors and may be terminated at any time without notice.

All of our current senior executive officers are either members or alternate members of the Board of Directors.

Compliance with NYSE Listing Standards on Corporate Governance

On November 4, 2003, the SEC approved rules proposed by the NYSE intended to strengthen corporate governance standards for listed companies.

In accordance with the NYSE corporate governance rules, as of July 31, 2005, all members of the Audit Committee were required to be independent. Independence is determined in accordance with highly detailed rules promulgated by the NYSE and SEC. Each of the members of our Audit Committee was determined to be independent in accordance with the applicable NYSE and SEC rules.

148

Significant differences between our corporate governance practices and those required by NYSE listing standards

Non-U.S., NYSE-listed companies may, in general, follow their home country corporate governance practices in lieu of most of the NYSE corporate governance requirements. The NYSE rules, however, require that non-U.S. companies disclose any significant ways in which their specific corporate governance practices differ from U.S. companies under the NYSE listing standards.

The following is a summary of the significant differences between our corporate governance practices and those applicable to U.S. companies under the NYSE listing standards. Because more than 50% of our voting stock is held by another company, Repsol YPF, we would not be required to comply with the following NYSE corporate governance requirements even if we were a U.S. company: (i) having a majority of independent directors, (ii) corporate governance committee requirements, and (iii) compensation committee requirements.

Independence of the directors on the Board of Directors

In accordance with the NYSE corporate governance rules, a majority of the Board of Directors must be composed of independent directors, whose independence is determined in accordance with highly detailed rules promulgated by the NYSE. Other than as described under “—Independence of the Members of our Board of Directors and Audit Committee,” Argentine law does not regulate the independence of directors nor criteria for determining independence.

Compensation and nomination committees

In accordance with the NYSE corporate governance rules, all U.S. companies listed on the NYSE must have a compensation committee and a nominations committee and all members of such committees must be independent in accordance with highly detailed rules promulgated by the NYSE. Under Argentine law, these committees are not required.

Separate meetings for non-management directors

In accordance with NYSE corporate governance rules, independent directors must meet periodically outside of the presence of the executive directors. Under Argentine law, this practice is not required and as such, the independent directors on our Board of Directors do not meet outside of the presence of the other directors.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics applicable to the Board of Directors and all employees.

Compensation of Directors and Officers

The Argentine Corporations Law provides that the aggregate annual compensation paid to the members of the Board of Directors (including those directors acting in an executive capacity) with respect to a fiscal year may not exceed 5% of net income for such year if we are not paying dividends in respect of such net income. The Argentine Corporations Law increases the annual limitation on directors' compensation up to 25% of net income if all of the net income for each year is distributed as dividends. Such percentage decreases proportionally based on the relation between the net income and the dividends distributed. In the case of directors that perform duties at special commissions or perform administrative or technical tasks, the aforesaid limits may be exceeded if a shareholders' meeting so approves and the issue is expressly included in the shareholders' meeting agenda. The compensation of the president and other directors acting in an executive capacity, together with the compensation of all other directors, must be approved by an ordinary general shareholders' meeting as provided by the Argentine Corporations Law.

For the period ended September 30, 2007, the aggregate compensation paid to the members of the Board of Directors and our executive officers for services in all capacities was Ps.27.8 million.

149

During 2006, our performance-based compensation programs included a bonus plan for approximately 4,900 employees, including members of our senior management.

The bonus plan provides for cash to be paid to the participants based on a measurable and specific set of objectives under Repsol YPF's "Management by Objectives Program" and the results of reviews of individual performance. All of the participants are YPF employees included at a specific salary level. The additional compensation that may be payable to each eligible employee in the bonus plan ranges from 15% to 55% of such employee's annual base salary. Bonus percentages are fixed by the president of our Board of Directors with the approval of Repsol YPF's Compensation Committee at the beginning of each calendar year. The total amount of bonuses awarded under the bonus plan cannot exceed 90% of the individual's annual base salary and will be linked to the company's net cash flow. We cannot give any assurances that this plan will not be changed in the future.

In 2006, Ps.1,968 million was accrued for eligible members of the Board of Directors and officers pursuant to a deferred compensation plan.

Our directors who are not also executive officers do not have any service contracts with us.

Supervisory Committee

The Supervisory Committee is responsible for overseeing management's compliance with the Argentine Corporations Law, the bylaws and regulations (if any), and shareholders' resolutions. The functions of the Supervisory Committee include, among others, attending all meetings of the Board of Directors, preparing a report of the financial statements for our shareholders, attending shareholders' meetings and providing information upon request to holders of at least 2% of our capital stock.

The bylaws provide for a Supervisory Committee consisting of three to five members and three to five alternate members, elected to one-year terms. The Class A shares are entitled to elect one member and one alternate member of the Committee so long as one share of such class remains outstanding. The holders of Class D shares elect up to four members and up to four alternates. Under the bylaws, meetings of the Supervisory Committee may be called by any member. The meeting requires the presence of all members, and a majority vote among those in order to make a decision. The members and alternate members of the Supervisory Committee are not members of our Board of Directors. The role of our Supervisory Committee is distinct from that of the Audit Committee. See "—The Audit Committee." For the period ended September 30, 2007, the aggregate compensation paid to the members of the Supervisory Committee was Ps.551 thousand.

The current members of the Supervisory Committee, the year in which they were appointed and the year their current term expires are as follows:

Name	Class of Shares Represented	Member Since	Term Expires
Silvana Rosa Lagrosa	A	2007	2008
Juan A. Gelly y Obes	D	2005	2008
Israel Lipsich	D	2008	2009
Santiago C. Lazzati	D	2005	2008
Carlos María Tombeur	D	2008	2009
Orlando Pelaya	A	2006	2008
Arturo F. Alonso Peña	D	2007	2008
Oscar Oroná	D	2008	2009

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

Edgardo A. Sanguinetti	D	2008	2009
Rubén Laizerowitch	D	2008	2009

150

Juan A. Gelly y Obes

Mr. Gelly y Obes graduated as a certified public accountant from the Belgrano University of Buenos Aires. He is a partner of the consulting firm Otero Cano & Asociados-Accountants, and he is a consulting accountant in legal matters to the board of directors of the Argentine Republic Central Bank. Previously, Mr. Gelly y Obes was a member of the statutory audit committees of Aerolineas Argentinas S.A. and Agritech Inversora S.A.

Silvana Rosa Lagrosa

Mrs. Lagrosa graduated as a certified public accountant from the University of Buenos Aires. She has been a member of the Sindicatura General de la Nación (SIGEN) since 2000, for which she acts as statutory auditor of our company, Lotería Nacional S.E., Ferrocarril General Belgrano S.A., Encotesa e.l. and LAFSA.

Santiago C. Lazzati

Mr. Lazzati graduated as a certified public accountant from the University of Buenos Aires. He was a partner of Arthur Andersen from 1974 until he retired in 1993 and was the head of the Audit and Business Advisory Division from 1975 to 1987 and Practice Director from 1987 until his retirement. He is currently an associate director in Deloitte, working in Argentina and other Latin American Countries Organization (LATCO) countries in consulting, especially in human capital services. He is a business consultant, specializing in topics related to management and human behavior. He is the author of fifteen books and many articles on accounting, auditing and business administration. Additionally, Mr. Lazzati is assessor of the International Criminal Court in the Hague of all matters concerning the organization of the Office of the Prosecutor in charge, Dr. Luis Moreno Ocampo. Mr. Lazzati is the statutory auditor of Sheraton Hotels and Telefónica de Argentina and a full-time business administration professor of the Universidad Católica Argentina.

Arturo F. Alonso Peña

Mr. Peña received his law degree from the University of Buenos Aires School of Law in 1973. He was statutory auditor of Banco Hipotecario Nacional from 1995 to 2001. He was partner of M&M Bomchil law firm from 1980 to 1985, Chief of the trademark department of the National Intellectual Property Registry in 1979, and secretary of the Court of First Instance in commercial matters of the City of Buenos Aires from 1974 to 1978. He is currently an attorney with Severgnini, Robiola, Grinberg & Larrechea.

Orlando F. Pelaya

Mr. Pelaya graduated as a certified public accountant from the University of Lomas de Zamora in Argentina. He is a member of Sindicatura General de la Nación (SIGEN), for which he acts as statutory auditor of Educ.ar S.E., an educational web portal (a state company); INDeR S.E. (e.l.), the National Reinsurance Institute (a state company) and Interbaires S.A. In addition, he is an alternate statutory auditor of AySA S.A., an Argentine water company; CAMMESA, an electricity administration company; EDCADASSA S.A, a cargo airline; L.A.F.S.A., the Argentine federal airline; LT 10, administration radio company, and our company. He is also a control coordinator of other state companies.

Share Ownership of Executive Officers

None of our executive officers owns any of our shares.

SELLING SHAREHOLDERS

We are registering 98,328,198 Class D shares, including in the form of ADSs, covered by this prospectus on behalf of the selling shareholders. The names of the selling shareholders and information about their holdings and the offering will be set forth in one or more supplements to this prospectus.

On February 21, 2008, Petersen Energía S.A. (“Petersen Energía”) purchased 58,603,606 of our ADSs, representing 14.9% of our capital stock, from Repsol YPF for U.S.\$2,235 million (the “Petersen Transaction”). In addition, Repsol YPF also granted certain members of the Eskenazi family, who are affiliates of Petersen Energía, options to purchase up to an additional 10.1% of our outstanding capital stock within four years (the “Petersen Options”).

58,603,606 Class D shares, in the form of ADSs, registered hereunder are subject to a pledge in favor of certain lenders financing Petersen Energía’s purchase of ADSs in connection with the Petersen Transaction. 39,724,592 of the Class D shares, including in the form of ADSs, registered hereunder evidence the securities that certain members of the Eskenazi family, affiliates of Petersen Energía, may acquire in connection with the Petersen Options using financing obtained from certain lenders to whom the securities purchased under the Petersen Options will be pledged as collateral. The purpose of this registration is to permit certain pledgees of the ADSs purchased in connection with the Petersen Transaction and certain pledgees of the securities purchased under the Petersen Options (if any), and their respective donees, transferees and other successors-in-interest that receive the resale securities covered by this prospectus as a gift, distribution or other transfer (including a purchase) after the date of this prospectus, to resell such securities when and as they deem appropriate, in each case in the event that such pledgees become entitled to exercise their pledges over such securities and become the beneficial owners of such securities. We do not know when or in what amounts the selling shareholders may offer securities for sale. The selling shareholders may not come into the possession of any securities or may elect not to sell any or all of the securities offered by this prospectus.

The following are summaries of certain material terms of the agreements entered into by Repsol YPF, Petersen Energía and certain of their respective affiliates in connection with the Petersen Transaction and the Peterson Options, as described in Repsol YPF’s public filings.

Share Purchase Agreement and Related Financing Agreements

Pursuant to the share purchase agreement, Petersen Energía purchased 58,603,606 ADSs, representing 14.9% of our outstanding capital stock, from Repsol YPF for a total purchase price of U.S.\$2,235 million, or U.S.\$38.13758 per ADS. Such purchase and sale is subject to a post-closing condition of certain regulatory antitrust approvals, consents and authorizations being obtained within 12 months from the date of the share purchase agreement. In the event that such approvals, consents and authorizations are not obtained, Repsol YPF has agreed with Petersen Energía and the lenders under the senior secured term loan facility referred to below to unwind the Petersen Transaction.

Petersen Energía’s purchase of our securities was financed by the drawdown of U.S.\$1,026 million under a senior secured term loan facility provided by certain financial institutions, U.S.\$1,015 million under a seller credit agreement entered into with Repsol YPF and equity provided by Peterson Energía’s shareholders. The seller credit agreement matures on February 21, 2018 or the immediately preceding business day if such date is not a business day. Principal payments are required to be made at certain periodic intervals commencing in 2013 until the maturity date. The loan under the seller credit agreement bears interest at 8.12% per year through and including May 15, 2013, and thereafter at 7.0% per year and contains other customary terms and provisions.

Securities purchased by Petersen Energía are pledged as collateral under the senior secured term loan facility and the seller credit agreement. The seller credit agreement is subordinated to the senior secured term loan facility.

Option Agreements

152

Repsol YPF granted certain members of the Eskenazi family, who are affiliates of Petersen Energía, an option to purchase the number of Class D shares or ADSs amounting to 0.1% of our capital stock, pursuant to the first option agreement, and an option to purchase an additional number of Class D shares or ADSs amounting to 10.0% of our capital stock (collectively, the "Option Shares"), pursuant to the second option agreement, subject to certain terms and conditions. The Petersen Options expire on February 21, 2012. The exercise price per Option Share shall be determined in accordance with the following formula: (i) U.S.\$15 billion multiplied by the consumer price index published monthly by the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics for the period from the date of the option agreements through the exercise date, (ii) plus or minus our accumulated results from the date of the option agreements through the exercise date (with certain adjustments for taxes paid), determined based on our financial statements for the fiscal years ending after the date of the option agreements, (iii) minus dividends paid from the date of the option agreements through the exercise date, (iv) plus or minus any changes in our share capital, (v) divided by the number of shares outstanding on the exercise date.

The beneficiaries of the Petersen Options may only exercise their purchase rights under the first option agreement once and with respect to all of the Class D shares or ADSs subject to the agreement. The beneficiaries of the Petersen Options may exercise their purchase rights under the second option agreement on one or more occasions during the exercise period of such second option agreement.

Subject to certain terms and conditions contained in the Petersen Options, Repsol YPF has agreed to provide financing of up to 48% of the exercise price required to be paid for the Option Shares purchased by certain members of the Eskenazi family pursuant to the Petersen Options. Repsol YPF has also agreed to finance or guarantee the financing of up to 100% of the price that the members of the Eskenazi family would be required to pay to purchase shares from other shareholders through a mandatory tender offer as a result of Petersen Energía and its affiliates, including certain members of the Eskenazi family, acquiring an interest in our capital stock of greater than 15%. This commitment is limited to a maximum amount equivalent to the price necessary to purchase Class D shares or ADSs equal to 0.9% of our capital stock, which corresponds to the percentage of shares that were not owned by Repsol YPF prior to the Petersen Transaction.

The beneficiaries of the Petersen Options agreed that, if they exercise their option under the second option agreement, they will not transfer for a period of five years the 10% of our outstanding capital stock that is subject to that agreement, but have not made such an agreement as to the 0.1% of our outstanding capital stock that is subject to the first option agreement.

Shareholders' Agreement

Petersen Energía, Repsol YPF and certain affiliates of Repsol YPF entered into a shareholders' agreement on February 21, 2008 in connection with the Petersen Transaction establishing certain rights and obligations in connection with our governance and certain procedures for and limitations on transfers of our shares, among other matters. The following is a summary of certain material terms of the shareholders' agreement based on Repsol YPF's public filings.

Voting at Shareholders' Meetings

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed to discuss and reach agreement on their voting with respect to proposals presented at shareholders' meetings involving certain matters, including certain increases or any reductions in our capital (except reductions that are legally required), the merger, divestiture or dissolution of our company or certain of our subsidiaries, the divestiture of material assets of our company or certain of our subsidiaries, the modification of our bylaws, and the designation or removal of our external auditors, among other matters. In the event that Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía cannot reach an agreement on any of these matters, they have agreed to vote against such matters.

Composition of our Board of Directors

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed that the composition of our Board of Directors shall reflect a proportional representation of Repsol YPF's and Petersen Energía's interests in our capital stock, with (i) Repsol YPF retaining the right to appoint the majority of the members of our Board of Directors for so long as it holds the

153

majority of our capital stock, and (ii) Petersen Energía having the right to appoint at least five members to our Board (or three members in the case that its interest in our outstanding capital stock falls below 10%).

Appointment of Directors and Officers and Certain Board Decisions

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed that the Chairman of our Board of Directors and our Chief Operating Officer shall be designated by Repsol YPF while our Chief Executive Officer will be designated by Petersen Energía. They have agreed that initially Mr. Antonio Brufau will remain the Chairman of our Board of Directors, Mr. Sebastián Eskenazi will serve as our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Antonio Gomis will serve as our Chief Operating Officer and Mr. Enrique Eskenazi will serve as a director and Non-Executive Vice President of the Board. When Mr. Enrique Eskenazi ceases to be a director, such non-executive vice presidency will remain vacant.

Certain decisions of our Board of Directors shall require the affirmative vote of the directors representing Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía, including any action that results in any of the specific matters discussed under “—Voting at Shareholders’ Meetings” above, the reduction of our direct or indirect interest in certain of our subsidiaries, the contracting of debts, guarantees or investments that contractually limit the payment of dividends or cause our consolidated debt to EBITDA ratio to reach or exceed 3:1, undertake non-budgeted investments or acquisitions that individually exceed U.S.\$250 million, and the requesting of the declaration of insolvency or bankruptcy, among other matters. In the event that Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía cannot reach an agreement on any of these specific matters, they have agreed to instruct their directors to vote against such matters.

Lock-Ups and Transfer Restrictions

Petersen Energía has agreed not to sell any shares of our capital stock for a period of five years, subject to certain exceptions, including the condition that Repsol YPF continues to hold at least 35% of our outstanding capital stock. In addition, if our dividend payments are insufficient for Petersen Energía to meet its obligations under the senior secured term loan facility, or if Petersen Energía repays the senior secured term loan facility in full, Petersen Energía may sell shares of our capital stock, so long as Petersen Energía maintains a minimum interest in our capital stock of between 10% and 15% (depending on whether the beneficiaries of the Petersen Options have fully exercised the Petersen Options and excluding certain dilution events in respect of capital increases).

Repsol YPF has agreed to hold at least 50.01% of our capital stock for a period of at least five years, unless Petersen Energía repays the senior secured term loan facility in full. Once the senior secured term loan facility has been repaid in full, Repsol YPF has agreed to hold at least 35% of our capital stock, so long as Petersen Energía maintains a minimum interest in our capital stock of between 10% and 15% (depending on whether its affiliates that are beneficiaries of the Petersen Options have fully exercised the Petersen Options and excluding certain dilution events in respect of capital increases), provided that Repsol YPF may sell shares to a purchaser that is a “first-tier” company in the oil and gas industry and agrees to be bound by the terms of the shareholders’ agreement.

After five years: (i) Petersen Energía may transfer its shares without limitation; and (ii) so long as Petersen Energía maintains a minimum interest in our capital stock of between 10% and 15% (depending on whether its affiliates that are beneficiaries of the Petersen Options have fully exercised the Petersen Options and excluding certain dilution events in respect of capital increases), Repsol YPF must maintain an interest that, combined with Petersen Energía’s holdings, amounts to 40% of our outstanding capital stock, subject to certain conditions, provided that Repsol YPF may sell shares to a purchaser that is a “first-tier” company in the oil and gas industry and agrees to be bound by the terms of the shareholders’ agreement.

Public Offering

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed that Repsol YPF may engage in a public stock offering of at least 10% of our outstanding capital stock and Repsol YPF has filed a registration statement covering 20% of our capital stock to be offered in such offering, which may occur before or after the sale of any securities covered by this prospectus.

Tag-Along Rights, Right to Participate in Public Offering and Right of First Refusal

If Petersen Energía has repaid the senior secured term loan facility in full, when Repsol YPF sells more than 5% of our outstanding capital stock, Petersen Energía shall have a pro rata tag-along right with respect to such sale by

Repsol YPF. Petersen Energía also has rights to participate, on a pro rata basis, in any public offering of our outstanding capital stock conducted by Repsol YPF.

Additionally, when Repsol YPF or Petersen Energía sells a block of our shares representing greater than 10% of our capital stock, the other party shall have a right of first refusal to purchase such shares, subject to certain terms and conditions.

Acquisition of Certain of Repsol YPF's Latin American Assets

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed to allow us to evaluate the possible acquisition, at market price, of certain specified Latin American assets of Repsol YPF in order to expand and diversify our business.

Dividends

Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed to effect the adoption of a dividend policy under which we would distribute 90% of our net income as dividends, starting with our net income for 2007. They have also agreed to vote in favor of requiring us to distribute an additional dividend of U.S.\$850 million, of which half will be paid in 2008 and half will be paid in 2009.

Tender Offer by Petersen Energía

Repsol YPF has agreed not to participate in the tender offer for our shares that Petersen Energía or its affiliates will be required to make if they acquire 15% or more of our outstanding capital stock (as a result of its exercise of one of the options agreements, or otherwise).

Duration and Termination

The shareholders' agreement shall remain in effect during our existence, but is subject to immediate termination if Repsol YPF's holdings of our capital stock fall below 12.5% or Petersen Energía's holdings of our capital stock fall below 10%. The shareholders' agreement is also subject to termination if there are certain defaults under the shareholders' agreement, or if, within thirty days of the bankruptcy of either party, the bankrupt party cannot provide a sufficient guaranty to the other party.

Registration Rights and Related Agreements

Under the terms of the registration rights agreement between us, Repsol YPF and the financial institutions providing the senior secured term loan facility, we have agreed to file this resale shelf registration statement under the Securities Act with respect to the ADSs sold in the Petersen Transaction and keep it continuously effective until certain specified conditions have been met. Upon any acceleration of the senior secured term loan facility following the occurrence and continuation of an event of default under such facility, Credit Suisse, London Branch, the administrative agent acting on behalf of the lenders under the senior secured term loan facility as holders of such pledged securities, may sell such securities under this shelf registration statement after giving us notice, provided that we may suspend the use of this registration statement upon the occurrence of certain specified events. Such securities and the associated registration rights may be transferred by any holder.

In the event that we fail to keep this resale shelf registration statement continuously effective and an acceleration of the senior secured term loan facility following an occurrence and continuation of an event of default under such facility occurs, we are required to pay certain specified damages to the holders of the securities required to be registered hereby. The registration rights agreement provides that the selling shareholders and we will indemnify each

other and our and their respective directors, officers, agents, employees and controlling persons against specific liabilities in connection with the offer and sale of the ADSs, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or will be entitled to contribution in connection with those liabilities. In addition, Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía PTY Ltd., the parent holding company of Petersen Energía, S.A., have agreed in a separate agreement to indemnify us against certain specific losses resulting from our agreement to indemnify the selling shareholders and their directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the registration rights agreement (excluding losses resulting from a final judgment determining the existence of a material misstatement or omission of fact contained in our resale shelf registration statement or a prospectus included therein, or a settlement based on such claims). Repsol

YPF or Petersen Energía will pay all of our expenses incidental to the registration, offering and sale of the ADSs to the public (subject to the caps and limitations set forth in the registration rights agreement), and each selling shareholder will be responsible for payment of commissions, concessions, fees and discounts of underwriters, broker-dealers and agents.

We also expect to enter into a separate registration rights agreement with respect to the Option Shares, with terms and conditions that are substantially similar to those contained in the registration rights agreement entered into with respect to the ADSs sold in the Petersen Transaction.

156

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

All material transactions and balances with related parties are set forth in Note 7 to the Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 6 to our individual financial statements included in the Unaudited Individual and Consolidated Interim Financial Statements. The principal such transactions are short-term intercompany loans granted by us at market rates of interest (which, net of loans collected, amounted to Ps.1,049 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007), our sales of refined and other products to certain affiliates (which amounted to Ps.2,469 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007), and our purchase of petroleum and other products that we do not produce ourselves from certain affiliates (which amounted to Ps.1,302 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2007). The prices of the transactions with related parties approximate the amounts charged by and/or to us by unrelated third parties.

In addition, Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía PTY Ltd., the parent holding company of Petersen Energía, have agreed to indemnify us against certain specific losses resulting from our agreement to indemnify the selling shareholders and their directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the registration rights agreement we have entered into in connection with the Petersen Transaction. Repsol YPF or Petersen Energía will pay all of our expenses incidental to the registration, offering and sale of the securities registered hereby to the public. See “Selling Shareholders—Registration Rights and Related Agreements.”

For an organizational chart demonstrating our organizational structure, including our interests in our principal affiliates, see “Business – Overview.”

Argentine Law Concerning Related Party Transactions

Section 73 of the Transparency Decree provides that before a company whose shares are listed in Argentina may enter into an act or contract involving a “significant amount” with a related party or parties, such company must obtain approval from its board of directors, and obtain an opinion, prior to such board approval, from its audit committee or from two independent valuation firms that states that the terms of the transaction are consistent with those that could be obtained on an arm’s length basis.

For the purpose of Section 73 of the Transparency Decree, as amended by Decree No. 1020/03, “significant amount” means an amount that exceeds 1% of the issuer’s net worth as reflected in the latest approved financial statements, provided this amount exceeds Ps.300,000. For purposes of the Transparency Decree, “related party” means (i) directors, members of the supervisory committee, managers; (ii) the persons or entities that control or hold a significant participation in the company or in its controlling shareholder (at least 35% of its capital stock, or a lesser amount when they have the right to appoint one or more directors, or have other shareholder agreements related to the management of the company or its controlling shareholder); (iii) any other company under common control; (iv) direct relatives of the persons mentioned in (i) and (ii); or (v) companies in which the persons referred to in (i) to (iv) hold directly or indirectly significant participations.

The acts or contracts referred to above, immediately after being approved by the board of directors, shall be disclosed to the CNV, making express indication of the audit committee’s or independent valuation firm’s opinion, as the case may be. Also, beginning on the business day following the day the transaction was approved by the board of directors, the audit committee’s or independent valuation firm’s reports shall be made available to the shareholders at the company’s principal executive offices.

If the audit committee or the two independent valuation firms do not find that the contract is on arm’s length terms, prior approval must be obtained at the company’s shareholders’ meeting.

DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STOCK

Set forth below is certain information relating to our capital stock, including brief summaries of certain provisions of our bylaws, the Argentine Corporations Law and certain related laws and regulations of Argentina, all as in effect as at the date hereof. The following summary description of our capital stock does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to our bylaws, the Argentine Corporations Law and the provisions of other applicable Argentine laws and regulations, including the CNV and the Buenos Aires Stock Exchange rules.

Overview

Our capital stock consists of Ps.3,933,127,930, fully subscribed and paid in shares, divided into 3,764 Class A shares, 7,624 Class B shares, 105,736 Class C shares and 393,195,669 Class D shares, with a par value of ten pesos each and the right to one vote per share. Our total capital stock has not changed since December 31, 2004.

In November 1992, the Privatization Law became effective. Pursuant to the Privatization Law, in July 1993, we completed a worldwide offering of 160 million Class D shares, representing approximately 45% of our outstanding capital stock, which had been owned by the Argentine government. Concurrently with the completion of such offering, the Argentine government transferred approximately 40 million Class B shares to the Argentine provinces, which represented approximately 11% of our outstanding capital stock, and made an offer to holders of pension bonds and certain other claims to exchange such bonds and other claims for approximately 46.1 million Class B shares, representing approximately 13% of our outstanding capital stock. As a result of these transactions, the Argentine government's ownership percentage of our capital stock was reduced from 100% to approximately 30%, including shares that had been set aside to be offered to our employees upon establishment of the terms and conditions by the Argentine government in accordance with Argentine law. The shares set aside to be offered to employees represented 10% of our outstanding capital stock.

In July 1997, the Class C shares set aside for the benefit of our employees in conjunction with the privatization, excluding approximately 1.5 million Class C shares set aside as a reserve against potential claims, were sold through a global public offering, increasing the percentage of our outstanding shares of capital stock held by the public to 75%. Proceeds from the transactions were used to cancel debt related to the employee plan, with the remainder distributed to participants in the plan. Additionally, Resolution 1,023/06 of the Ministry of Economy and Production, dated December 21, 2006, effected the transfer to the employees covered by the employee share ownership plan, or PPP, of 1,117,717 Class C shares, corresponding to the Class C shares set aside as a reserve against potential claims, and reserving 357,987 Class C shares until a decision was reached in a pending lawsuit. Subsequently, with a final decision having been reached in the lawsuit, and consistent with the mechanism of conversion of Class C shares into Class D shares established by Decree 628/1997 and its accompanying rules, as of December 28, 2007, 1,381,999 Class C shares had been converted into Class D shares. See "Business—History of YPF."

The Class A shares held by the Argentine government became eligible for sale in April 1995 upon the effectiveness of legislation which permitted the Argentine government to sell such shares. In January 1999, Repsol YPF acquired 52,914,700 Class A shares in block (14.99% of our shares) which were converted to Class D shares. Additionally, on April 30, 1999, Repsol YPF announced a tender offer to purchase all outstanding Class A, B, C and D shares at a price of U.S.\$44.78 per share (the "Offer"). Pursuant to the Offer, in June, 1999, Repsol YPF acquired an additional 82.47% of our outstanding capital stock. On November 4, 1999, Repsol YPF acquired an additional 0.35%. On June 7, 2000, Repsol YPF announced a tender offer to exchange newly issued Repsol YPF's shares for 2.16% of our Class B, C and D shares held by minority shareholders. Pursuant to the tender offer, and after the merger with Astra, as of December 31, 2007, Repsol YPF owns 389,548,900 Class D shares and therefore controls us through a 99.04% ownership interest.

Memorandum and Articles of Association

Our bylaws were approved by National Executive Decree No. 1,106, dated May 31, 1993, and notarized by public deed No. 175, dated June 15, 1993 at the National Notary Public Office, sheet 801 of the National Registry,

158

and registered at the Inspection Board of Legal Entities of the Republic of Argentina on the same date, June 15, 1993 under number 5,109 of the book of Corporations number 113, volume "A."

At a shareholder's meeting held on April 13, 2007, our shareholders approved an amendment to our bylaws which broadens the scope of our permissible activities to include work with non-fossil fuels, bio-fuels, and their components, as well as the production, processing, transport, marketing and storage of grain and its derivatives. The amendment is currently in the process of being registered by the CNV.

For a detailed description of our object and purpose, see "Business." Our object is set forth in Section 4 of our bylaws. Copies of the bylaws, which have been filed as described in "Exhibit Index" in this prospectus, are also available at our offices.

Shareholders' Meetings

Pursuant to the Argentine Corporations Law, the Board of Directors or the Supervisory Committee shall call either annual ordinary or extraordinary shareholders' meetings in the cases provided by laws and whenever they consider appropriate. Shareholders representing not less than 5% of our capital stock may also request that a shareholders' meeting be called.

Shareholders' meetings may be ordinary meetings or extraordinary meetings. We are required to convene and hold an ordinary meeting of shareholders within four months of the close of each fiscal year to consider the matters specified in the first two paragraphs of Section 234 of the Argentine Corporations Law, such as the approval of our financial statements, allocation of net income for such fiscal year, approval of the reports of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee and election, performance and remuneration of directors and members of the Supervisory Committee. In addition, pursuant to the Transparency Decree, at ordinary shareholders' meetings, shareholders must consider (i) the disposition of, or creation of any lien over, assets as long as such decision has not been performed in the ordinary course of business and (ii) the execution of administration or management agreements and whether to approve any agreement by virtue of which the assets or services provided to us are paid partial or totally with a percentage of our income, results or earnings, if the payment is material when measured against the volume of the ordinary course of business and our shareholders' equity. Other matters which may be considered at an ordinary shareholders' meeting convened and held at any time include the responsibility of directors and members of the Supervisory Committee, capital increases and the issuance of certain notes. Extraordinary shareholders' meetings may be called at any time to consider matters beyond the authority of an ordinary meeting including, without limitation, the amendment of our bylaws, issuance of debentures, early dissolution, merger, spin-off, reduction of capital stock and redemption of shares, transformation from one type of entity to another and limitation or suspension of shareholders' preemptive rights.

Shareholders' meetings may be called by the Board of Directors or the members of the Supervisory Committee whenever required by law or whenever they deem it necessary. Also, the Board of Directors or the members of the Supervisory Committee are required to call shareholders' meetings upon the request of shareholders representing an aggregate of at least five percent of our outstanding share capital, in which case the meeting must take place within 40 days of such shareholders' request. If the board or the Supervisory Committee fails to call a meeting following such a request, a meeting may be ordered by the CNV or by the courts.

Notices of meetings

Notice of shareholders' meetings must be published for five days in the Official Gazette, in an Argentina newspaper of wide circulation and in the bulletin of the Buenos Aires Stock Exchange, at least 20 but not more than 45 days prior to the date on which the meeting is to be held. Such notice must include information regarding the type of meeting to be

held, the date, time and place of such meeting and the agenda. If a quorum is not available at such meeting, a notice for a meeting on second call, which must be held within 30 days of the date on which the first meeting was called, must be published for three days at least eight days before the date of the meeting on second call. The above-described notices of shareholders' meetings may be effected simultaneously for the meeting on second call to be held on the same day as the first meeting, only in the case of ordinary meetings. Shareholders' meetings may be validly held without notice if all the shares of our outstanding share capital are present and resolutions are adopted by unanimous vote of shares entitled to vote.

Quorum and voting requirements

Except as described below, the quorum for ordinary meetings of shareholders on first call is a majority of the shares entitled to vote, and action may be taken by the affirmative vote of an absolute majority of the shares present that are entitled to vote on such action. If a quorum is not available at the first meeting, a meeting on second call may be held at which action may be taken by the holders of an absolute majority of the shares present, regardless of the number of such shares. The quorum for an extraordinary shareholders' meeting on first call is 60% of the shares entitled to vote, and if such quorum is not available, a meeting or second call may be held, at which action may be taken by the holders of an absolute majority of the shares present, regardless of the number of such shares.

Our bylaws establish that in order to approve (i) the transfer of our domicile outside Argentina, (ii) a fundamental change of the corporate purpose set forth in our bylaws, (iii) delisting of our shares in the BASE or NYSE, and (iv) a spin-off by us, when as a result of such spin-off more than 25% of our assets are transferred to the resulting corporations, a majority of the shares representing 75% or more of our voting shares is required, both in first and second call. Our bylaws also establish that in order to approve (i) certain amendments to our bylaws concerning tender offers of shares (as described below), (ii) the granting of certain guarantees in favor of our shareholders, (iii) full stop of refining, commercialization and distribution activities and (iv) rules regarding appointment, election and number of members of our Board of Directors, a majority of the shares representing 66% or more of our voting shares is required, both in first and second call, as is the affirmative vote of the Class A Shares, granted in a special meeting of the holders of such shares.

In order to attend the meeting, shareholders must deposit their shares, or a certificate representing book-entry shares issued by a bank, clearing house or depository trust company, with us. This certificate will allow each shareholder to be registered in the attendance book which closes three business days before the date on which the meeting will be held. We will issue to each shareholder a deposit certificate required for admission into the meeting. Shares certified and registered in the attendance book may not be disposed of before the meeting is held unless the corresponding deposit is cancelled.

Under the Corporations Law, foreign companies that own shares in an Argentine corporation are required to register with the Superintendent of Corporations (Inspección General de Justicia, or IGJ) in order to exercise certain shareholder rights, including voting rights. Such registration requires the filing of certain corporate and accounting documents. Accordingly, if a shareholder owns Class D shares directly (rather than in the form of ADSs) and is a non-Argentine company, and such shareholder fails to register with the IGJ, the ability to exercise its rights as a holder of Class D shares may be limited.

Directors, members of the Supervisory Committee and senior managers are both entitled and required to attend all shareholders' meetings. These persons may only exercise voting power to the extent they have been previously registered as shareholders, in accordance with the provisions described in the above paragraph. Nevertheless, these persons are not allowed to vote on any proposal regarding the approval of their management duties or their removal for cause.

Shareholders who have a conflict of interest with us and who do not abstain from voting may be liable for damages to us, but only if the transaction would not have been approved without such shareholders' votes. Furthermore, shareholders who willfully or negligently vote in favor of a resolution that is subsequently declared void by a court as contrary to the law or our bylaws may be held jointly and severally liable for damages to us or to other third parties, including shareholders.

Preemptive and Accretion Rights

Except as described below, in the event of a capital increase, a holder of existing shares of a given class has a preferential right to subscribe a number of shares of the same class sufficient to maintain the holder's existing proportionate holdings of shares of that class. Preemptive rights also apply to issuances of convertible securities, but do not apply upon conversion of such securities. Pursuant to the Argentine Corporations Law, in exceptional cases and on a case-by-case basis when required for our best interest, the shareholders at an extraordinary meeting with a special majority may decide to limit or suspend shareholders' preemptive rights, provided that such limitation or

suspension of the shareholders' preemptive rights is included in the agenda of the meeting and the shares to be issued are paid in kind or are issued to cancel preexisting obligations.

Under our bylaws, we may only issue securities convertible into Class D shares, and the issuance of any such convertible securities must be approved by a special meeting of the holders of Class D shares.

Holders of ADSs may be restricted in their ability to exercise preemptive rights if a registration statement under the Securities Act relating thereto has not been filed or is not effective. Preemptive rights are exercisable during the 30 days following the last publication of notice informing shareholders of their right to exercise such preemptive rights in the Official Gazette and in an Argentine newspaper of wide circulation. Pursuant to the Argentine Corporations Law, if authorized by an extraordinary shareholders' meeting, companies authorized to make a public offering of their securities, such as us, may shorten the period during which preemptive rights may be exercised from 30 to ten days following the publication of notice of the offering to the shareholders to exercise preemptive rights in the Official Gazette and a newspaper of wide circulation in Argentina. Pursuant to our bylaws, the terms and conditions on which preemptive rights may be exercised with respect to Class C shares may be more favorable than those applicable to Class A, Class B and Class D shares.

Shareholders who have exercised their preemptive rights have the right to exercise accretion rights, in proportion to their respective ownership, with respect to any unpreempted shares, in accordance with the following procedure.

- Any unpreempted Class A shares will be converted into Class D shares and offered to holders of Class D shares that exercised preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise additional preemptive rights with respect to any such Class A shares.
- Any unpreempted Class B shares will be assigned to those provinces that exercised preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise accretion rights with respect to such shares; any excess will be converted into Class D shares and offered to holders of Class D shares that exercised preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise accretion rights with respect to any such Class D shares.
- Any unpreempted Class C shares will be assigned to any PPP participants who exercised preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise accretion rights with respect to such shares; any excess will be converted into Class D shares and offered to holders of Class D shares that exercised preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise accretion rights with respect to any such Class C shares.
- Any unpreempted rights will be assigned to holders of Class D shares that exercised their preemptive rights and indicated their intention to exercise accretion rights; any remaining Class D shares will be assigned pro rata to any holder of shares of another class that indicated his or her intention to exercise accretion rights.

The term for exercise of additional preemptive rights is the same as that fixed for exercising preemptive rights.

Voting

Under our bylaws, each Class A, Class B, Class C and Class D share entitles the holder thereof to one vote at any meeting of our shareholders, except that the Class A shares (i) vote separately with respect to the election of our Board of Directors and are entitled to appoint one director and one alternate director and (ii) have certain veto rights, as described below.

Class A Veto Rights

Under the bylaws, so long as any Class A shares remain outstanding, the affirmative vote of such shares is required in order to: (i) decide upon the merger of the Company; (ii) approve any acquisition of shares by a third party representing more than 50% of the Company's capital; (iii) transfer to third parties all the exploitation rights granted to the Company pursuant to the Hydrocarbons Law, applicable regulations thereunder or the Privatization Law, if such transfer would result in the total suspension of the Company's exploration and production activities; (iv) voluntarily dissolve the Company and (v) transfer our legal or fiscal domicile outside Argentina. The actions

described in clauses (iii) and (iv) above also require prior approval of the Argentine Congress through enactment of a law.

Reporting Requirements

Pursuant to our bylaws, any person who, directly or indirectly, through or together with its affiliates and persons acting in concert with it, acquires Class D shares or securities convertible into Class D shares, so that such person controls more than 3% of the Class D shares, is required to notify us of such acquisition within five days of such acquisition, in addition to complying with any requirements imposed by any other authority in Argentina or elsewhere where our Class D shares are traded. Such notice must include the name or names of the person and persons, if any, acting in concert with it, the date of the acquisition, the number of shares acquired, the price at which the acquisition was made, and a statement as to whether it is the purpose of the person or persons to acquire a greater shareholding in, or control of, us. Each subsequent acquisition by such person or persons requires a similar notice.

Certain Provisions Relating to Acquisitions of Shares

Pursuant to our bylaws:

- each acquisition of shares or convertible securities, as a result of which the acquirer, directly or indirectly through or together with its affiliates and persons acting in concert with it (collectively, an “Offeror”), would own or control shares that, combined with such Offeror’s prior holdings, if any, of shares of such class, would represent:
 - 15% or more of the outstanding capital stock, or
 - 20% or more of the outstanding Class D shares; and
- each subsequent acquisition by an Offeror (other than subsequent acquisitions by an Offeror owning or controlling more than 50% of our capital prior to such acquisition) (collectively, “Control Acquisitions”), must be carried out in accordance with the procedure described under “Restrictions on Control Acquisitions” below.

In addition, any merger, consolidation or other combination with substantially the same effect involving an Offeror that has previously carried out a Control Acquisition, or by any other person or persons, if such transaction would have for such person or persons substantially the same effect as a Control Acquisition (“Related Party Share Acquisition”), must be carried out in accordance with the provisions described under “—Restrictions on Related Party Share Acquisitions.” The voting, dividend and other distribution rights of any shares acquired in a Control Acquisition or a Related Party Share Acquisition carried out other than in accordance with such provisions will be suspended, and such shares will not be counted for purposes of determining the existence of a quorum at shareholders’ meetings.

Restrictions on Control Acquisitions

Prior to consummating any Control Acquisition, an Offeror must obtain the approval of the Class A shares, if any are outstanding, and make a public tender offer for all of our outstanding shares and convertible securities. The Offeror will be required to provide us with notice of, and certain specified information with respect to, any such tender offer at least fifteen business days prior to the commencement of the offer, as well as the terms and conditions of any agreement with any shareholder proposed for the Control Acquisition (a “Prior Agreement”). We will send each shareholder and holder of convertible securities a copy of such notice at the Offeror’s expense. The Offeror is also required to publish a notice containing substantially the same information in a newspaper of general circulation in Argentina, New York and each other city in which our securities are traded on an exchange or other securities market, at least once per week, beginning on the date notice is provided to us, until the offer expires.

Explanation of Responses:

Our Board of Directors shall call a special meeting of the Class A shares to be held ten business days following the receipt of such notice for the purpose of considering the tender offer. If the special meeting is not held, or if the

162

shareholders do not approve the tender offer at such meeting, neither the tender offer nor the proposed Control Acquisition may be completed.

The tender offer must be carried out in accordance with a procedure specified in our bylaws and in accordance with any additional or stricter requirements of jurisdictions, exchanges or markets in which the offer is made or in which our securities are traded. Under the bylaws, the tender offer must provide for the same price for all shares tendered, which price may not be less than the highest of the following (the "Minimum Price"):

- (i) the highest price paid by, or on behalf of, the Offeror for Class D shares or convertible securities during the two years prior to the notice provided to us, subject to certain antidilution adjustments with respect to Class D shares;
- (ii) the highest closing price for the Class D shares on the BASE during the thirty-day period immediately preceding the notice provided to us, subject to certain antidilution adjustments;
- (iii) the price resulting from clause (ii) above multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the highest price paid by or on behalf of the Offeror for Class D shares during the two years immediately preceding the date of the notice provided to us and the denominator of which shall be the closing price for the Class D shares on the BASE on the date immediately preceding the first day in such two-year period on which the Offeror acquired any interest in or right to any Class D shares, in each case subject to certain antidilution adjustments; and
- (iv) the net earnings per Class D share during the four most recent full fiscal quarters immediately preceding the date of the notice provided to us, multiplied by the higher of (A) the price/earnings ratio during such period for Class D shares (if any) and (B) the highest price/earnings ratio for us in the two-year period immediately preceding the date of the notice provided to us, in each case determined in accordance with standard practices in the financial community.

Any such offer must remain open for a minimum of 20 days and a maximum of 30 days following the provision of notice to the shareholders or publication of the offer, plus an additional period of a minimum of five days and a maximum of ten days required by CNV regulations, and shareholders must have the right to withdraw tendered shares at any time up until the close of the offer. Following the close of such tender offer, the Offeror will be obligated to acquire all tendered shares or convertible securities, unless the number of shares tendered is less than the minimum, if any, upon which such tender offer was conditioned, in which case the Offeror may withdraw the tender offer. Following the close of the tender offer, the Offeror may consummate any Prior Agreement within thirty days following the close of the tender offer; provided, however, that if such tender offer was conditioned on the acquisition of a minimum number of shares, the Prior Agreement may be consummated only if such minimum was reached. If no Prior Agreement existed, the Offeror may acquire the number of shares indicated in the notice provided to us on the terms indicated in such notice, to the extent such number of shares were not acquired in the tender offer, provided that any condition relating to a minimum number of shares tendered has been met.

Restrictions on Related Party Share Acquisitions

The price per share to be received by each shareholder in any Related Party Share Acquisition must be the same as, and must not be less, than the highest of the following:

- (i) the highest price paid by or on behalf of the party seeking to carry out the Related Party Share Acquisition (an "Interested Shareholder") for (A) shares of the class to be transferred in the Related Party Share Acquisition (the "Class") within the two-year period immediately preceding the first public announcement of the Related Party Share Acquisition or (B) shares of the Class acquired in any Control Acquisition, in each case as adjusted for any stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend or other reclassification affecting the Class;

(ii) the highest closing sale price of shares of the Class on the BASE during the thirty days immediately preceding the announcement of the Related Party Share Acquisition or the date of any Control Acquisition by the Interested Shareholder, adjusted as described above;

163

(iii) the price resulting from clause (ii) multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the highest price paid by or on behalf of the Interested Shareholder for any share of the Class during the two years immediately preceding the announcement of the Related Party Transaction and the denominator of which shall be the closing sale price for shares of the Class on the date immediately preceding the first day in the two-year period referred to above on which the Interested Shareholder acquired any interest or right in shares of the Class, in each case as adjusted as described above; and

(iv) the net earnings per share of the shares of the Class during the four most recent full fiscal quarters preceding the announcement of the Related Party Transaction multiplied by the higher of the (A) the price/earnings ratio during such period for the shares of the Class and (B) the highest price/earnings ratio for us in the two-year period preceding the announcement of the Related Party Transaction, in each case determined in accordance with standard practices in the financial community.

In addition, any transaction that would result in the acquisition by any Offeror of ownership or control of more than 50% of our capital stock, or that constitutes a merger or consolidation of us, must be approved in advance by the Class A shares while any such shares remain outstanding.

DIVIDENDS AND DIVIDEND POLICY

Under our bylaws, all Class A, Class B, Class C and Class D shares rank equally with respect to the payment of dividends. All shares outstanding as of a particular record date share equally in the dividend being paid, except that shares issued during the period to which a dividend relates may be entitled only to a partial dividend with respect to such period if the shareholders' meeting that approved the issuance so resolved. No provision of our bylaws or of the Argentine Corporations Law gives rise to future special dividends only to certain shareholders.

The amount and payment of dividends are determined by majority vote of our shareholders voting as a single class, generally, but not necessarily, on the recommendation of the Board of Directors. In addition, under the Argentine Corporations Law, our Board of Directors has the right to declare dividends subject to further approval of shareholders at the next shareholders' meeting.

We have distributed over 85% of our net income attributable to the years 2001 through 2006 in dividends to our shareholders. We have not adopted a formal dividend policy. Any dividend policy adopted will be subject to a number of factors, including our debt service requirements, capital expenditure and investment plans, other cash requirements and such other factors as may be deemed relevant at the time. In addition, Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía have agreed in the shareholders' agreement entered into by them in connection with the Petersen Transaction to effect the adoption of a dividend policy under which we would distribute 90% of our net income as dividends, starting with our net income for 2007. They have also agreed to vote in favor of corporate resolutions requiring us to distribute a special dividend of U.S.\$850 million, of which half will be paid in 2008 and half will be paid in 2009. See "Selling Shareholders—Shareholders' Agreement."

The following table sets forth for the periods and dates indicated, the quarterly dividend payments made by us, expressed in pesos.

Year Ended December 31,	Pesos Per Share/ADS				
	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	Total
2002	—	—	—	4.00	4.00
2003	—	5.00	2.60	—	7.60
2004	—	9.00	—	4.50	13.50
2005	—	8.00	—	4.40	12.40
2006	—	6.00	—	—	6.00
2007	6.00	—	—	—	6.00
2008	10.76	—	—	—	10.76

On March 6, 2007, the Board of Directors approved a dividend of Ps.6.00 per share or per ADS, to be paid out of the reserve for future dividends approved by the shareholders' meeting of April 28, 2006. The dividends were paid on March 21, 2007 and ratified by the shareholders' meeting of April 13, 2007. Our shareholders' meeting held on April 13, 2007, approved a reserve for future dividends of Ps.4,234 million.

On February 6, 2008, our Board of Directors approved a dividend of Ps.10.76 per share or per ADS, to be paid out of the reserve for future dividends approved by our shareholders' meeting held on April 13, 2007. The dividend was paid on February 29, 2008.

Amount Available for Distribution

Under Argentine law, dividends may be lawfully paid only out of our retained earnings reflected in the annual audited financial statements prepared in accordance with Argentine GAAP and CNV regulations and approved by a

Explanation of Responses:

shareholders' meeting. The Board of Directors of a listed Argentine company may declare interim dividends, in which case each member of the Board and of the Supervisory Committee is jointly and severally liable for the repayment of such dividend if retained earnings at the close of the fiscal year in which the interim dividend was paid would not have been sufficient to permit the payment of such dividend.

165

According to the Argentine Corporations Law and our by-laws, we are required to maintain a legal reserve of 20% of our then-outstanding capital stock. The legal reserve is not available for distribution to shareholders.

Under our bylaws, our net income is applied as follows:

- first, an amount equivalent to at least 5% of net income, plus (less) prior year adjustments, is segregated to build the legal reserve until such reserve is equal to 20% of our subscribed capital;
- second, an amount is segregated to pay the accrued fees of the members of the Board of Directors and of the Supervisory Committee (see “Management—Compensation of Directors and Officers”);
 - third, an amount is segregated to pay dividends on preferred stock, if any; and
- fourth, the remainder of net income may be distributed as dividends to common shareholders or allocated for voluntary or contingent reserves as determined by the shareholders’ meeting.

Our Board of Directors submits our financial statements for the preceding fiscal year, together with reports thereon by the Supervisory Committee and the auditors, at the annual ordinary shareholders’ meeting for approval. Within four months of the end of each fiscal year, an ordinary shareholders’ meeting must be held to approve our yearly financial statements and determine the allocation of our net income for such year.

Under applicable CNV regulations, cash dividends must be paid to shareholders within 30 days of the shareholders’ meeting approving such dividends or, in the case in which the shareholders’ meeting delegates the authority to distribute dividends to the Board of Directors, within 30 days of the Board of Directors’ meeting approving such dividends. In the case of stock dividends, shares are required to be delivered within three months of our receipt of notice of the authorization of the CNV for the public offering of the shares arising from such dividends. In accordance with the Argentine Commercial Code, the statute of limitations to the right of any shareholder to receive dividends declared by the shareholders’ meeting is three years from the date on which it has been made available to the shareholder.

Owners of ADSs are entitled to receive any dividends payable with respect to the underlying Class D shares. Cash dividends are paid to the Depositary in pesos, directly or through The Bank of New York S.A., although we may choose to pay cash dividends outside Argentina in a currency other than pesos, including U.S. dollars. The Deposit Agreement provides that the Depositary shall convert cash dividends received by the Depositary in pesos to dollars, to the extent that, in the judgment of the Depositary, such conversion may be made on a reasonable basis, and, after deduction or upon payment of the fees and expenses of the Depositary, shall make payment to the holders of ADSs in dollars.

DESCRIPTION OF AMERICAN DEPOSITARY SHARES

The following is a summary of certain provisions of the deposit agreement among us, The Bank of New York, as depositary (the “Depositary”), and holders from time to time of our American Depositary Receipts (the “Deposit Agreement”), under which the American Depositary Receipts (“ADRs”) evidencing the ADSs are to be issued.

This summary does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Deposit Agreement, a copy of which has been filed as an exhibit to this registration statement. Additional copies of the Deposit Agreement are available for inspection at the Corporate Trust Office of the Depositary in New York, which is presently located at 101 Barclay Street, 21st Floor West, New York, New York 10286.

American Depositary Receipts

ADRs evidencing ADSs will be issuable by the Depositary under the Deposit Agreement. An ADR may evidence any number of ADSs. Each ADS represents one Class D share (or a right to receive one Class D share) deposited under the Deposit Agreement with the custodian, currently The Bank of New York, S.A., in Buenos Aires, or any of its successors (the “Custodian”).

ADRs will be issued under the Deposit Agreement subject to the conditions and other provisions described under “Deposit and Withdrawal of Deposited Securities” below, upon deposit with the Custodian in Buenos Aires of Class D shares (or evidence of rights to receive Class D shares).

The Depositary is required to keep books at its Corporate Trust Office for the registration of ADRs and transfers of ADRs, which at all reasonable times shall be open for inspection by you, as an ADR holder, provided that such inspection shall not be for the purpose of communicating with other holders regarding matters other than our business or a matter related to the Deposit Agreement or the ADRs.

As an ADR holder, we will not treat you as one of our shareholders and you will not have shareholder rights. Argentine law governs shareholder rights. As an ADR holder, you will have ADR holder rights. The Deposit Agreement sets out ADR holder rights as well as the rights and obligations of the Depositary. New York law governs the Deposit Agreement and the ADRs.

Current ADSs Outstanding

As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 224.7 million ADSs outstanding and approximately 93 holders of record of ADSs. Such ADSs represented approximately 57.1% of the total number of issued and outstanding Class D shares as of December 2007. Excluding ADSs owned by Repsol YPF, outstanding ADSs represent 0.5% of the total number of outstanding Class D shares.

Deposited Securities

As used in this section, “Deposited Securities” means Class D shares (or evidence of rights to receive Class D shares) held under the Deposit Agreement and any cash, securities or other property received at any time by or on behalf of the Depositary with respect to those shares.

Deposit and Withdrawal of Deposited Securities

The Depositary has agreed that upon deposit with the Custodian in Buenos Aires of Class D shares or evidence of rights to receive Class D shares, and subject to the terms of the Deposit Agreement, it will execute and deliver through

its Corporate Trust Office to the persons specified by the depositor, ADRs registered in the name or names of such person or persons for the number of ADSs issuable in respect of such deposit, upon payment to the Depositary of the fee for execution and delivery of ADRs, the fee for deposit and transfer of Class D shares and taxes and governmental charges.

Upon surrender of ADRs at the Corporate Trust Office of the Depositary, upon payment of the fees and charges provided in the Deposit Agreement and subject to the provisions of the Deposit Agreement, our by-laws and the

167

Class D shares, you, as an ADR holder, are entitled to delivery of appropriate evidence of title to the Class D shares, at the Corporate Trust Office of the Depositary or at the office of the Custodian in Buenos Aires, and to any other property at the time represented by the surrendered ADRs.

The forwarding of documents of title for such delivery at the Corporate Trust Office of the Depositary in New York City will be at your risk and expense as an ADR holder.

Subject to the Deposit Agreement, the Depositary may execute and deliver ADRs prior to the receipt of Class D shares ("Pre-Release"). The Depositary may deliver Class D shares upon the receipt and cancellation of ADRs which have been Pre-Released, whether or not such cancellation is prior to the termination of such Pre-Release or the Depositary knows that such ADRs have been Pre-Released. The Depositary may receive ADRs in lieu of Class D shares in satisfaction of a Pre-Release. Each Pre-Release will be (a) preceded or accompanied by a written representation from the person to whom ADRs are to be delivered that such person, or its customer, owns the Class D shares or ADRs to be remitted, as the case may be, (b) at all times fully collateralized with cash or United States government securities until such Class D shares are deposited, (c) terminable by the Depositary on not more than five (5) business days notice, and (d) subject to such further indemnities and credit regulations as the Depositary deems appropriate. We will incur no liability to you, as an ADR holder, as a result of such transactions.

Dividends, Other Distributions, Rights and Changes Affecting Deposited Securities

The Depositary is required, to the extent that in its judgment it can convert Argentine pesos (or any other foreign currency) on a reasonable basis into dollars and transfer the resulting dollars to the United States, to convert all cash dividends and other cash distributions which it receives on the underlying Deposited Securities into dollars, and to distribute the amount it receives, net of any expenses it incurs in connection with conversion, to you, as an ADR holder, in proportion to the number of ADSs representing such Class D shares that you hold. The amount distributed will be reduced by any amounts required to be withheld by us or the Depositary on account of taxes. See "Material Tax Considerations." The Depositary converts pesos into dollars by selling pesos and purchasing dollars in the Argentine foreign exchange market. If the Depositary determines in its judgment that any foreign currency received by it cannot be converted on a reasonable basis and transferred to the United States, the Depositary may distribute the foreign currency it receives or, at its discretion, hold such foreign currency, uninvested and without liability for interest on it, for your account as an ADR holder.

If any distribution by us consists of a dividend in, or free distribution of, Class D shares, the Depositary may, and will, if we so request, reflect on its records such increase in the aggregate number of ADSs representing such Class D shares or distribute to you, as an ADR holder, in proportion to your holdings, additional ADRs evidencing an aggregate number of ADSs representing the number of Class D shares received as such dividend or free distribution, subject to the provisions of the Deposit Agreement, including the withholding of taxes and governmental charges and the payment of fees. If additional ADRs are not distributed in the case of such dividend or free distribution, each ADR will from that point forward also represent the additional number of Class D shares distributed with respect to the Class D shares represented by it prior to such distribution.

In the event that the Depositary determines that any distribution in property (including Class D shares or rights to subscribe for Class D shares) cannot be made proportionally, or if for any other reason (including any requirement that we or the Depositary withhold on account of taxes) the Depositary deems such distribution not to be feasible, the Depositary may dispose of all or a portion of such property in such amounts and in such manner, including by public or private sale, as the Depositary deems equitable and practicable, and the Depositary will distribute the net proceeds of any such sale or the balance of any such property, after deduction of the fees of the Depositary provided in the Deposit Agreement, to you, as an ADR holder, as in the case of a distribution received in cash.

If we offer, or cause to be offered, to you, as an ADR holder, any rights to subscribe for additional Class D shares or any rights of any other nature, the Depositary, after consultation with us, will have discretion as to the procedure to be followed in making such rights available to you or in disposing of such rights for your benefit, or if by the terms of such rights offering or for any other reason, the Depositary may not make the rights or net proceeds following the sale of rights available to you, then the Depositary will allow the rights to lapse. If at the time of the offering of any rights the Depositary determines in its discretion, after consultation with us, that it is lawful and feasible to make such rights available to all or certain ADR holders but not to other holders, the Depositary may,

after consultation with us, distribute such rights to any holder to whom it determines the distribution to be lawful and feasible. If making such rights available to all or certain ADR holders is not lawful or not feasible, the Depositary in its discretion may sell such rights, or warrants or other instruments and may allocate the proceeds of any such sale (net of the fees of the Depositary and all taxes and governmental charges incurred in connection with such rights) for your account, as an ADR holder, upon an averaged or other practicable basis without regard to any distinctions among ADR holders because of exchange restrictions, the date of delivery of any ADRs or otherwise.

We and the Depositary will not offer rights to you, as an ADR holder, unless a registration statement is in effect with respect to the securities represented by such rights under the Securities Act of 1933 or the offer and sale of such rights or securities to you are exempt from registration under the provisions of such act. The Depositary is not responsible if it decides that it is unlawful or impractical to make a distribution available to you. We have no obligation to register Class D shares, ADSs, rights or other securities under the Securities Act. We also have no obligation to take any other action to permit the distribution of Class D shares, ADSs, rights or anything else to you. This means that you may not receive the distributions we make on our Class D shares or any value for them if it is illegal or impractical for us or the Depositary to make them available to you.

Record Dates

Whenever any cash dividend or other cash distribution becomes payable or any distribution other than cash is made, whenever rights are issued with respect to the Deposited Securities, whenever for any reason the Depositary causes, at our election, a change in the number of Class D shares represented by each ADS, or whenever the Depositary receives notice of any meeting of holders of our Class D shares or of holders of other securities represented by the ADRs, the Depositary will fix a record date, after consultation with us, which date shall, to the extent practicable, be the same record date fixed by us, for the determination of ADR holders who are entitled to receive such dividend, distribution or rights, or the net proceeds of the sale thereof, to give instructions for the exercise of voting rights at any such meeting or for fixing the date on or after which each ADS will represent a changed number of Class D shares, subject to the provisions of the Deposit Agreement.

Voting of the Underlying Class D Shares

The Depositary has agreed that, as soon as practicable after receipt of a notice of any meeting of our shareholders, it will mail a notice to you, as an ADR holder, which will contain (a) a summary in English of the notice of such meeting, (b) a statement that at the close of business on a specified record date, you, as an ADR holder, will be entitled, subject to any applicable provisions of Argentine law, our bylaws and the Class D shares, to instruct the Depositary to exercise the voting rights, if any, pertaining to the Class D shares represented by your ADSs and (c) a statement as to the manner in which such instructions may be given to the Depositary.

The Depositary intends so far as practicable to vote or cause to be voted the amount of Class D shares represented by the ADSs in accordance with your written instructions. If no instructions are received, the Depositary will vote Class D shares in accordance with the recommendations of our management, unless prohibited from doing so by applicable Argentine law. In addition, the Depositary will deposit all Class D shares evidenced by ADSs for purposes of establishing a quorum at meetings of shareholders, whether or not voting instructions with respect to such shares have been received.

Amendment and Termination of the Deposit Agreement

The ADRs and the Deposit Agreement may at any time be amended by written agreement between the us and the Depositary. Any amendment which imposes or increases any fees or charges (other than taxes and governmental charges, registration fees, cable, telex or facsimile transmission costs, delivery costs or other such expenses), or which

otherwise prejudices any substantial existing right of yours as an ADR holder, will not take effect as to outstanding ADRs until the expiration of 30 days after written notice of such amendment has been mailed to you. If you are an ADR holder at the time such amendment so becomes effective, you will be deemed, if such notice shall have been mailed to you, by continuing to hold such ADR, to consent to such amendment and to be bound by the Deposit Agreement or ADRs as amended thereby. In no event may any amendment impair your right as an ADR holder to surrender your ADR and receive in exchange the Class D shares and any property represented thereby, except in accordance with applicable law.

Whenever so directed by us, the Depositary has agreed to terminate the Deposit Agreement by mailing notice of such termination to the holders of all then outstanding ADRs registered on the books of the Depositary at least 30 days prior to the date fixed in such notice of such termination. The Depositary may likewise terminate the Deposit Agreement by mailing notice of such termination to us and the holders of outstanding ADRs registered on the books of the Depositary, if at any time 90 days after the Depositary shall have delivered to us such notice a successor Depositary shall not have been appointed and accepted its appointment as provided in the Deposit Agreement. If any ADRs remain outstanding after the date of termination, the Depositary thereafter will discontinue the registration of transfer of ADRs, will suspend the distribution of dividends to ADR holders, and will not give any further notices or perform any further acts under the Deposit Agreement, except that the Depositary will continue to collect dividends and other distributions pertaining to the Deposited Securities, will sell rights as provided in the Deposit Agreement, and will continue to deliver Deposited Securities, together with any dividends or other distributions received with respect thereto, and the net proceeds of the sale of any rights or other property, in exchange for surrendered ADRs, after deducting, in each case, fees and expenses of the Depositary for the surrender of ADRs, expenses for the account of the ADR holder in accordance with the provisions of the Deposit Agreement, and taxes and governmental charges. At any time after the expiration of one year from the date of termination, the Depositary may sell the Deposited Securities and hold uninvested the net proceeds, together with any other cash then held, unsegregated and without liability for interest, for the pro rata benefit of the holders of ADRs which have not yet been surrendered, with such holders becoming general creditors of the Depositary with respect to such proceeds.

Charges of Depositary

We will pay the fees and reasonable expenses of the Depositary in connection with the initial issuance of the ADRs evidencing the ADSs offered in connection with this registration statement and all other charges of the Depositary, except for the charges that are expressly provided in the Deposit Agreement to be at the expense of persons depositing Class D Shares or of ADR holders, as set forth below.

If ADRs are issued to you (including issuance pursuant to a stock dividend or stock split declared or an exchange of stock regarding ADRs or Deposited Securities or a distribution of rights pursuant to the Deposit Agreement), or if you surrender ADRs for delivery of Class D shares or other underlying securities, the Depositary will charge you a fee of up to \$5.00 per 100 ADSs (or portion thereof) for the issuance or surrender, respectively, of an ADR. If you are an ADR holder, the Depositary will also charge you a fee for, and will deduct such fee from, the distribution of proceeds from the sale of securities or rights pursuant to the Deposit Agreement in an amount equal to the fee that would have been charged as a result of the deposit by holders of securities (treating for this purpose all securities as if they were Class D shares) or Class D shares received in exercise of rights distributed to them had such rights not been sold by the Depositary and the net proceeds from such sale distributed.

In addition, if you deposit or withdraw Class D shares, surrender ADRs or are issued ADRs (including issuance pursuant to a stock dividend or stock split or an exchange of stock regarding ADRs or Deposited Securities or a distribution of ADRs pursuant to the Deposit Agreement), you will incur the following charges:

- (i) taxes and other governmental charges, (ii) any applicable registration fees for the registration of transfers of Class D shares generally on our share register or that of the Registrar and applicable to transfers of Class D Shares to the name of the Depositary or the Custodian on the making of deposits or withdrawals under the Deposit Agreement, (iii) certain cable, telex and facsimile charges provided in the Deposit Agreement and (iv) expenses incurred by the Depositary in the conversion of foreign currency pursuant to the Deposit Agreement.

Payment of Taxes

The Depositary may deduct the amount of any taxes owed from any payments to you. It may also sell Deposited Securities, by public or private sale, to pay any taxes owed. You will remain liable if the proceeds of the sale are not enough to pay the taxes. If the Depositary sells deposited securities, it will, if appropriate, reduce the number of ADSs to reflect the sale and pay to you any proceeds, or send to you any property, remaining after it has paid the taxes.

170

Transfer of American Depositary Receipts

The ADRs are transferable on the books of the Depositary, provided however that the Depositary may close the transfer books at our reasonable request or at any time it deems it necessary to perform its duties. As an ADR holder, you will have the right to inspect the transfer books, subject to certain conditions provided in the Deposit Agreement. Prior to the execution and delivery, registration of transfer, split-up, combination or surrender of any ADR or the withdrawal of Deposited Securities, the Depositary, the registrar of transfers of ADRs or the Custodian may require payment of a sum sufficient to reimburse it for any tax or other governmental charge and any stock transfer or related registration fee and payment of any applicable fees provided in the Deposit Agreement. The Depositary may refuse to deliver ADRs, register the transfer of any ADR or make any distribution of, or related to, Class D shares until it has received such proof of citizenship, residence, exchange control approval or other information as it or we may deem necessary. The delivery, transfer and registration of transfer of ADRs generally may be suspended during any period when the transfer books of the Depositary are closed, or if any such action is deemed necessary or advisable by the Depositary or us at any time, subject to the provisions of the Deposit Agreement. The surrender of outstanding ADRs and the withdrawal of Deposited Securities may not be suspended, subject only to (i) temporary delays caused by closing our transfer books or those of the Depositary for the deposit of Class D shares in connection with voting at a shareholders' meeting or the payment of dividends, (ii) the payment of fees, taxes and similar charges and (iii) compliance with any U.S. or foreign laws or governmental regulations relating to the ADRs or to the withdrawal of the Deposited Securities.

Notices and Reports

On or before the first day on which we give notice, by publication or otherwise, of any meeting of holders of Class D shares or other Deposited Securities, or of any adjourned meeting of such holders, or of the taking of any action in respect of any cash or other distributions or the offering of any rights, the Company shall transmit to the Depositary and the Custodian an English copy of such notice in the form given or to be given to the holders of Class D shares or other Deposited Securities.

The Depositary shall make available for inspection at its Corporate Trust Office any reports and communications, including any proxy soliciting material, received from us which are both (a) received by the Depositary as the holder of the Deposited Securities, and (b) made generally available to the holders of such Deposited Securities by the Company.

Upon your request, we intend to send to the Depositary for distribution to you, as an ADR holder, annual reports in English containing audited consolidated financial statements, quarterly reports in English containing certain unaudited summary financial information and summaries in English of notices of shareholders' meetings and other reports and communications that are made generally available by us to holders of Deposited Securities.

Liability

Neither we nor the Depositary will be liable to you if prevented or delayed by the applicable law of any country or by any governmental authority, any provision of our charter and by-laws or of our Class D shares or certain circumstances beyond our control in performing our respective obligations, including the performance or omission of acts which are provided by the Deposit Agreement to be within the discretion of the Depositary under the Deposit Agreement. Our obligations, and those of the Depositary, under the Deposit Agreement are expressly limited to performing without negligence or bad faith our respective obligations specifically set forth in the Deposit Agreement.

MATERIAL TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following summary contains a description of the material Argentine and U.S. federal income tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of Class D shares or ADSs, but it does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all the tax considerations that may be relevant to a decision to purchase Class D shares or ADSs. The summary is based upon the tax laws of Argentina and regulations thereunder and on the tax laws of the United States and regulations thereunder as in effect on the date hereof, which are subject to change. Prospective purchasers of Class D shares or ADSs should consult their own tax advisors as to the tax consequences of the acquisition, ownership and disposition of Class D shares or ADSs.

Although there is at present no income tax treaty between Argentina and the United States, the tax authorities of the two countries have had discussions that may culminate in such a treaty. No assurance can be given, however, as to whether or when a treaty will enter into force or how it will affect the U.S. holders of Class D shares or ADSs.

Argentine Tax Considerations

The following discussion is a summary of the material Argentine tax considerations relating to the purchase, ownership and disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs.

Dividends tax

Dividends paid on our Class D shares or ADSs, whether in cash, property or other equity securities, are not subject to income tax withholding, except for dividends paid in excess of our taxable accumulated income for the previous fiscal period, which are subject to withholding at the rate of 35% in respect of such excess. This is a final tax and it is not applicable if dividends are paid in shares (acciones liberadas) rather than in cash.

Capital gains tax

Due to the amendments made to the Argentine Income Tax Law (the "AITL") by Law 25,414 and Decree 493/2001, and the abrogation of Law 25,414 by Law 25,556, it is not clear whether certain amendments concerning capital gains taxes are in effect or not. Although opinion No. 351 of the National Treasury General Attorney Office solved the most important matters related to capital gains taxes, other issues remain unclear.

Resident individuals

Under what we believe to be a reasonable interpretation of existing applicable tax laws and regulations: (i) income derived from the sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs by resident individuals who do not sell or dispose of Argentine shares on a regular basis would not be subject to Argentine income tax, and (ii) although there still exists uncertainty regarding this issue, income derived from the sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs by resident individuals who sell or dispose of Argentine shares on a regular basis should be exempt from Argentine income tax to the extent our Class D shares or ADSs are listed on stock exchanges or securities markets.

Foreign beneficiaries

Capital gains obtained by non resident individuals or entities from the sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs are exempt from income tax. Pursuant to a reasonable construction of the AITL, and although the matter is not completely free from doubt, such treatment should apply to those foreign beneficiaries that qualify as "offshore entities" for purposes of the AITL if the shares are not listed in Argentina or any other jurisdiction. For this

purpose, an “offshore entity” is any foreign legal entity if pursuant to its bylaws or to the applicable regulatory framework (i) its principal activity is to invest outside the jurisdiction of its incorporation and/or (ii) it cannot perform in such jurisdiction certain transactions. On the contrary, there is no doubt that such exemption is not available if the shares are publicly traded on a stock exchange.

Local entities

Capital gains obtained by Argentine entities (in general, entities organized or incorporated under Argentine law, certain traders and intermediaries, local branches of non Argentine entities, sole proprietorships and individuals carrying on certain commercial activities in Argentina) derived from the sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs are subject to income tax at the rate of 35%. Losses arising from the sale of our Class D shares or ADSs can be applied only to offset capital gains arising from sales of shares or ADSs.

Personal assets tax

Argentine entities, such as us, have to pay the personal assets tax corresponding to (i) individuals and undivided estates; (ii) foreign individuals and undivided estates; and (iii) foreign entities, for the holding of our shares or ADSs at December 31 of each year. The applicable tax rate is 0.5% and is levied on the equity value (valor patrimonial proporcional), or the book value, of the shares arising from the latest financial statements at December 31 of each year. Pursuant to the Personal Assets Tax Law, we are entitled to seek reimbursement of such paid tax from the applicable shareholders, including by withholding, foreclosing on the shares, or by withholding dividends.

Tax on debits and credits in bank accounts

Tax on debits and credits in bank accounts is levied, with certain exceptions, for debits and credits on checking accounts maintained at financial institutions located in Argentina and other transactions that are used as a substitute for the use of checking accounts. The general tax rate is 0.6% for each debit and credit, although in certain cases an increased rate of 1.2% or a decreased rate may apply. The account holder may use up to 34% of the tax paid when the 0.6% rate is applicable, and up to 17% of the tax when the 1.2% rate is applicable, as a credit against other federal taxes.

Value added tax

The sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs and the distribution of dividends are exempt from the value added tax.

Transfer taxes

The sale, exchange or other disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs is not subject to transfer taxes.

Stamp taxes

Stamp taxes may apply in certain Argentine provinces in case transfer of our Class D shares or ADSs is performed or executed in such jurisdictions by means of written agreements. Transfer of our Class D shares or ADSs is exempt from stamp tax in the City of Buenos Aires.

Other taxes

There are no Argentine inheritance or succession taxes applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs. In addition, neither the minimum presumed income tax nor any local gross turnover tax is applicable to the ownership, transfer or disposition of our Class D shares or ADSs.

In the case of litigation regarding the Class D shares or ADSs before a court of the City of Buenos Aires, a 3% court fee would be charged, calculated on the basis of the claim. A 3% surcharge calculated on the amount of the court tax

would also be imposed by the City of Buenos Aires Attorneys Social Security Association.

Tax treaties

Argentina has tax treaties for the avoidance of double taxation currently in force with Australia, Austria, Belgium, Bolivia, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland and the United Kingdom. There is currently no tax treaty or convention in effect between Argentina and the United States. It is not clear when, if ever, a treaty will be ratified or entered into effect.

173

As a result, the Argentine tax consequences described in this section will apply, without modification, to a holder of our Class D shares or ADSs that is a U.S. resident. Foreign shareholders located in certain jurisdictions with a tax treaty in force with Argentina may be (i) exempted from the payment of the personal assets tax and (ii) entitled to apply for reduced withholding tax rates on payments to be made by Argentine parties.

United States Federal Income Tax Considerations

In the opinion of Davis Polk & Wardwell, the following are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of our Class D shares or ADSs. This discussion does not purport to be a comprehensive description of all of the tax considerations that may be relevant to a particular person's decision to acquire such securities.

This discussion applies only if you are a U.S. Holder (as defined below) and you hold our Class D shares or ADSs, as capital assets for tax purposes and it does not describe all of the tax consequences that may be relevant to holders subject to special rules, such as:

- certain financial institutions;
- insurance companies;
- dealers and traders in securities or foreign currencies;
- persons holding Class D shares or ADSs, as part of a hedge, "straddle," integrated transaction or similar transaction;
 - persons whose functional currency for U.S. federal income tax purposes is not the U.S. dollar;
 - partnerships or other entities classified as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
 - persons liable for the alternative minimum tax;
 - tax-exempt organizations; or
- persons holding Class D shares or ADSs, that own or are deemed to own ten percent or more of our voting stock.

If an entity that is classified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes holds Class D shares or ADSs, the U.S. federal income tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and upon the activities of the partnership. Partnerships holding Class D shares or ADSs and partners in such partnerships should consult their tax advisers as to the particular U.S. federal income tax consequences of holding and disposing of the Class D shares or ADSs.

This discussion is based on the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), administrative pronouncements, judicial decisions and final, temporary and proposed Treasury regulations, all as of the date hereof. These laws are subject to change, possibly on a retroactive basis. It is also based in part on representations by the Depositary and assumes that each obligation under the Deposit Agreement and any related agreement will be performed in accordance with its terms.

You are a "U.S. Holder" if you are a beneficial owner of Class D shares or ADSs and are, for U.S. federal tax purposes:

- a citizen or individual resident of the United States;

- a corporation, or other entity taxable as a corporation, created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof; or
- an estate or trust the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source.

174

In general, if you hold ADSs, you will be treated as the holder of the underlying shares represented by those ADSs for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, no gain or loss will be recognized if you exchange ADSs for the underlying shares represented by those ADSs.

The U.S. Treasury has expressed concerns that parties to whom ADSs are pre-released, or intermediaries in the chain of ownership between U.S. Holders and the issuer of the security underlying the ADSs, may be taking actions that are inconsistent with the claiming of foreign tax credits by U.S. Holders of ADSs. Such actions would also be inconsistent with the claiming of the reduced rate of tax, described below, applicable to dividends received by certain non-corporate holders. Accordingly, the analysis of the creditability of Argentine taxes, and the availability of the reduced tax rate for dividends received by certain non-corporate holders, each described below, could be affected by actions taken by such parties or intermediaries.

Please consult your own tax advisers concerning the U.S. federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of purchasing, owning and disposing of Class D shares or ADSs in your particular circumstances.

This discussion assumes that the Company is not, and will not become, a passive foreign investment company, as described below.

Taxation of Distributions

Distributions paid on Class D shares or ADSs, other than certain pro rata distributions of ordinary shares, will be treated as a dividend to the extent paid out of current or accumulated earnings and profits (as determined under U.S. federal income tax principles). Because the Company does not maintain calculations of earnings and profits under U.S. federal income tax principles, it is expected that distributions will generally be reported to U.S. Holders as dividends. Subject to applicable limitations (including a minimum holding period requirement) and the discussion above regarding concerns expressed by the U.S. Treasury, certain dividends paid by qualified foreign corporations to certain non-corporate U.S. Holders in taxable years beginning before January 1, 2011, are taxable at a maximum rate of 15%. A foreign corporation is treated as a qualified foreign corporation with respect to dividends paid on stock that is readily tradable on an established securities market in the United States, such as the New York Stock Exchange where our ADSs are traded. You should consult your own tax advisers to determine whether the favorable rate may apply to dividends you receive and whether you are subject to any special rules that limit your ability to be taxed at this favorable rate. The amount of a dividend will include any amounts withheld by us in respect of Argentine taxes. The amount of the dividend will be treated as foreign-source dividend income to you and will not be eligible for the dividends-received deduction generally allowed to U.S. corporations under the Code.

Dividends paid in Argentine pesos will be included in your income in a U.S. dollar amount calculated by reference to the exchange rate in effect on the date of your or in the case of ADSs, the Depository's receipt of the dividend, regardless of whether the payment is in fact converted into U.S. dollars. If the dividend is converted into U.S. dollars on the date of receipt, you generally should not be required to recognize foreign currency gain or loss in respect of the dividend income. You may have foreign currency gain or loss if you do not convert the amount of such dividend into U.S. dollars on the date of its receipt.

Subject to applicable limitations (including a minimum holding period requirement) that may vary depending upon your circumstances and subject to the discussion above regarding concerns expressed by the U.S. Treasury, Argentine income taxes withheld from dividends on Class D shares or ADSs will be creditable against your U.S. federal income tax liability. The rules governing the foreign tax credit are complex. You are urged to consult your tax advisers regarding the availability of the foreign tax credit under your particular circumstances.

Sale and Other Disposition of Class D shares or ADSs

Explanation of Responses:

For U.S. federal income tax purposes, gain or loss you realize on the sale or other disposition of Class D shares or ADSs will be capital gain or loss, and will be long-term capital gain or loss if you held the Class D shares or ADSs for more than one year. The amount of your gain or loss will equal the difference between the amount realized on the disposition and your tax basis in the Class D shares or ADSs disposed of. Such gain or loss will generally be U.S.-source gain or loss for foreign tax credit purposes. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

175

Passive Foreign Investment Company Rules

The Company believes that it will not be considered a “passive foreign investment company” (“PFIC”) for U.S. federal income tax purposes for the taxable year of 2008, and does not expect to be considered one in the foreseeable future. However, since PFIC status depends upon the composition of a company’s income and assets and the market value of its assets (including, among other things, less than 25 percent owned equity investments) from time to time, there can be no assurance that the Company will not be considered a PFIC for any taxable year. If the Company were treated as a PFIC for any taxable year during which you held a Class D share or ADS, certain adverse consequences could apply to you.

If the Company is treated as a PFIC for any taxable year during which you held a Class D share or ADS, any gain you recognize on a sale or other disposition of the Class D share or ADS would be allocated ratably over your holding period for the Class D share or ADS. The amounts allocated to the taxable year of the disposition and to any year before the Company became a PFIC would be taxed as ordinary income. The amount allocated to each other taxable year would be subject to tax at the highest rate in effect for individuals or corporations, as appropriate, and an interest charge would be imposed on the amount allocated to such taxable year. Further, any distribution in respect of ADSs or ordinary shares in excess of 125 percent of the average of the annual distributions on ADSs or ordinary shares received by you during the preceding three years or your holding period, whichever if shorter, would be subject to taxation as described above. Certain elections may be available to you (including a mark to market election) that may mitigate the adverse consequences resulting from PFIC status.

In addition, if the Company were to be treated as a PFIC in a taxable year in which it pays dividends or the prior taxable year, the 15% dividend rate discussed above with respect to dividends paid to non-corporate holders would not apply.

Information Reporting and Backup Withholding

Payments of dividends and sales proceeds that are made within the United States or through certain U.S.-related financial intermediaries generally are subject to information reporting and to backup withholding unless (i) you are a corporation or other exempt recipient or (ii) in the case of backup withholding, you provide a correct taxpayer identification number and certify that you are not subject to backup withholding.

The amount of any backup withholding from a payment to you will be allowed as a credit against your U.S. federal income tax liability and may entitle you to a refund, provided that the required information is timely furnished to the Internal Revenue Service.

PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

The selling shareholders and their successors, which term includes their transferees, pledgees or donees or their successors may sell the ADSs directly to purchasers or through underwriters, broker-dealers or agents, who may receive compensation in the form of discounts, concessions or commissions from the selling shareholders or the purchasers. These discounts, concessions or commissions as to any particular underwriter, broker-dealer or agent may be in excess of those customary in the types of transactions involved.

The ADSs may be sold in one or more transactions at:

- fixed prices;
- prevailing market prices at the time of sale;
- prices related to the prevailing market prices;
- varying prices determined at the time of sale; or
- negotiated prices.

These sales may be effected in transactions:

- on any national securities exchange or quotation service on which the ADSs may be listed or quoted at the time of sale, including the NYSE;
- in the over-the-counter market;
- otherwise than on such exchanges or services or in the over-the-counter market;
- through the writing of options, whether the options are listed on an options exchange or otherwise;
- ordinary brokerage transactions and transactions in which the broker-dealer solicits purchasers;
- block trades in which the broker-dealer will attempt to sell the ADSs as agent but may position and resell a portion of the block as principal to facilitate the transaction;
- purchases by a broker-dealer as principal and resale by the broker-dealer for its account;
- an exchange distribution in accordance with the rules of the applicable exchange;
- privately negotiated transactions;
- through the settlement of short sales;
- sales pursuant to Rule 144;
- a combination of any such methods of sale; and
- any other method permitted pursuant to applicable law.

Explanation of Responses:

As set out above, these transactions may include block transactions or crosses. Crosses are transactions in which the same broker acts as agent on both sides of the trade.

Brokers or dealers engaged by the selling shareholders may arrange for other broker-dealers to participate in selling ADSs. Broker-dealers may receive commissions or discounts from the selling shareholders (or, if any broker-dealer acts as agent for the purchases of ADSs, from the purchaser) in amounts to be negotiated.

In connection with the sale of the ADSs or otherwise, the selling shareholders may enter into hedging transactions with broker-dealers or other financial institutions. These broker-dealers or financial institutions may in turn engage in short sales of ADSs in the course of hedging the positions they assume with selling shareholders. The selling shareholders may also sell the ADSs short and deliver these securities to close out such short positions, or loan or pledge the ADSs to broker-dealers that in turn may sell these securities.

The aggregate proceeds to the selling shareholders from the sale of the ADSs offered by them hereby will be the purchase price of the ADSs less discounts and commissions, if any. Each of the selling shareholders reserves the right to accept and, together with their agents from time to time, to reject, in whole or in part, any proposed purchase of ADSs to be made directly or through agents. We will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of the ADSs.

In order to comply with the securities laws of some states, if applicable, the ADSs may be sold in these jurisdictions only through registered or licensed brokers or dealers.

Profits on the sale of the ADSs by selling shareholders and any discounts, commissions or concessions received by any broker-dealers or agents might be deemed to be underwriting discounts and commissions under the Securities Act. Selling shareholders who are deemed to be “underwriters” within the meaning of Section 2(11) of the Securities Act will be subject to the prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act. To the extent the selling shareholders may be deemed to be “underwriters,” they may be subject to statutory liabilities, including, but not limited to, Sections 11, 12 and 17 of the Securities Act.

The selling shareholders and any other person participating in a distribution will be subject to applicable provisions of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder. Regulation M of the Exchange Act may limit the timing of purchases and sales of any of the securities by the selling shareholders and any other person. In addition, Regulation M may restrict the ability of any person engaged in the distribution of the securities to engage in market-making activities with respect to the particular securities being distributed for a period of up to five business days before the distribution. The selling shareholders have acknowledged that they understand their obligations to comply with the provisions of the Exchange Act and the rules thereunder relating to stock manipulation, particularly Regulation M, and have agreed that they will not engage in any transaction in violation of such provisions.

To our knowledge, there are currently no plans, arrangements or understandings between any selling shareholder and any underwriter, broker-dealer or agent regarding the sale of the ADSs by the selling shareholders.

A selling shareholder may decide not to sell any ADSs described in this prospectus. Any securities covered by this prospectus which qualify for sale pursuant to Rule 144 or Rule 144A of the Securities Act may be sold under Rule 144 or Rule 144A rather than pursuant to this prospectus. In addition, a selling shareholder may transfer, devise or gift the ADSs by other means not described in this prospectus.

With respect to a particular offering of the ADSs, to the extent required, an accompanying prospectus supplement or, if appropriate, a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part will be prepared and will set forth the following information:

- the specific ADSs to be offered and sold;
- the names of the selling shareholders;
- the respective purchase prices and public offering prices and other material terms of the offering;
- the names of any participating agents, broker-dealers or underwriters; and

- any applicable commissions, discounts, concessions and other items constituting, compensation from the selling shareholders.

We entered into the registration rights agreement to facilitate the sale by Repsol YPF of our securities pursuant to the Petersen Transaction and the Petersen Options described under “Selling Shareholders” and for the benefit of the pledgees of such securities to register such securities under applicable federal laws under certain circumstances

178

and at certain times. See “Selling Shareholders”. The registration rights agreement provides that the selling shareholders and we will indemnify each other and our and their respective directors, officers and controlling persons against specific liabilities in connection with the offer and sale of the ADSs, including liabilities under the Securities Act, or will be entitled to contribution in connection with those liabilities. In addition, Repsol YPF and Petersen Energía PTY Ltd., an affiliate of Petersen Energía, S.A., have agreed to indemnify us against certain specific losses resulting from our agreement to indemnify the selling shareholders and their directors, officers and controlling persons pursuant to the registration rights agreement. Repsol YPF or Peteren Energía will pay all of our expenses incidental to the registration, offering and sale of the ADSs to the public, and each selling shareholder will be responsible for payment of commissions, concessions, fees and discounts of underwriters, broker-dealers and agents.

VALIDITY OF SECURITIES

The validity of the ADSs will be passed upon for us by Davis Polk & Wardwell, New York, New York. The validity of the shares and other matters governed by Argentine law will be passed upon for us by Pérez Alati, Grondona, Benites, Arntsen, & Martínez de Hoz (h), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

180

EXPERTS

The Audited Consolidated Financial Statements and management's report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting incorporated in this registration statement by reference to YPF's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been audited by Deloitte & Co. S.R.L., an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in its reports, which are incorporated herein by reference (which reports (1) express an unqualified opinion on YPF's consolidated financial statements and include an explanatory paragraph stating that the accounting principles generally accepted in Argentina vary in certain significant respects from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, that the information relating to the nature and effect of such differences is presented in Notes 13, 14, and 15 to YPF's Audited Consolidated Financial Statements, (2) express an unqualified opinion on management's assessment regarding the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and (3) express an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting), and have been so incorporated in reliance upon the reports of such firm given upon its authority as expert in accounting and auditing.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, contains statements that we believe constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements may include statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of us and our management, including statements with respect to trends affecting our financial condition, financial ratios, results of operations, business, strategy, geographic concentration, production volume and reserves, as well as our plans with respect to capital expenditures, business strategy, geographic concentration, cost savings, investments and dividends payout policies. These statements are not a guarantee of future performance and are subject to material risks, uncertainties, changes and other factors which may be beyond our control or may be difficult to predict. Accordingly, our future financial condition, prices, financial ratios, results of operations, business, strategy, geographic concentration, production volumes, reserves, capital expenditures, cost savings, investments and dividend policies could differ materially from those expressed or implied in any such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, currency fluctuations, the price of petroleum products, the ability to realize cost reductions and operating efficiencies without unduly disrupting business operations, replacement of hydrocarbon reserves, environmental, regulatory and legal considerations and general economic and business conditions in Argentina, as well as those factors described in this prospectus, in particular, those described in “Risk Factors” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.” We do not undertake to publicly update or revise these forward-looking statements even if experience or future changes make it clear that the projected results or condition expressed or implied therein will not be realized.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement (including amendments and exhibits to the registration statement) on Form F-3 under the Securities Act. This prospectus, which is part of the registration statement, does not contain all of the information set forth in the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules to the registration statement. For further information, we refer you to the registration statement and the exhibits and schedules filed as part of the registration statement. If a document has been filed as an exhibit to the registration statement, we refer you to the copy of the document that has been filed. Each statement in this prospectus relating to a document filed as an exhibit is qualified in all respects by the filed exhibit.

We are subject to the informational requirements of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, which is also known as the Exchange Act. Accordingly, we are required to file reports and other information with the SEC, including annual reports on Form 20-F and reports on Form 6-K. You may inspect and copy reports and other information filed with the SEC at the public reference facilities maintained by the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. Copies of the materials may be obtained from the Public Reference Room of the SEC at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington D.C. 20549 at prescribed rates. The public may obtain information on the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room by calling the SEC in the United States at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an internet website at <http://www.sec.gov>, from which you can electronically access the registration statement and its exhibits as well as our other filings with the SEC.

As a foreign private issuer, we are not subject to the same disclosure requirements as a domestic U.S. registrant under the Exchange Act, including the requirements to prepare and issue quarterly reports, or the proxy rules applicable to domestic U.S. registrants under Section 14 of the Exchange Act or the insider reporting and short-swing profit rules under Section 16 of the Exchange Act. However, we intend to furnish to the SEC annual reports containing financial statements audited by our independent auditors and our quarterly reports containing unaudited financial data for the first three quarters of each fiscal year, as required by CNV rules and regulations. We will file annual reports on Form 20-F within the time period required by the SEC, which is currently six months from December 31, the end of our fiscal year, and will file on reports on Form 6-K containing an English language version of any quarterly reports we file with Argentine securities regulators or stock exchanges.

We will send the depositary a copy of all notices that we give relating to meetings of our shareholders or to distributions to shareholders or the offering of rights and a copy of any other report or communication that we make generally available to our shareholders. The depositary will make all these notices, reports and communications that it receives from us available for inspection by registered holders of ADSs at its office. The depositary will mail copies of those notices, reports and communications to you if we ask the depositary to do so and furnish sufficient copies of materials for that purpose.

We also file financial statements and other periodic reports with the CNV located at 25 de Mayo 175, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE

The SEC allows us to “incorporate by reference” the information we submit to it, which means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you to those documents that are considered part of this prospectus. Information contained in this prospectus and information that we submit to the SEC in the future and incorporate by reference will automatically update and supersede the previously submitted information. We incorporate herein by reference the documents listed below that we have submitted to the SEC:

- Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed with the SEC on June 27, 2007; and
- Item 2 of the Periodic Report on Form 6-K furnished to the SEC on July 30, 2007.

We incorporate by reference in this prospectus all subsequent annual reports filed with the SEC on Form 20-F under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and those of our reports submitted to the SEC on Form 6-K that we specifically identify in such form as being incorporated by reference until the offering of the securities registered under the registration statement is completed or terminated.

As you read the above documents, you may find inconsistencies in information from one document to another. If you find inconsistencies, you should rely on the statements made in this prospectus or in the most recent document incorporated by reference herein.

You may obtain a copy of these filings at no cost by writing or telephoning us at the following address: Avenida Pte. R. Sáenz Peña 777, C1035AAC Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina, Tel. (011-5411) 5071-5531.

We will send the depositary a copy of all notices that we give relating to meetings of our shareholders or to distributions to shareholders or the offering of rights and a copy of any other report or communication that we make generally available to our shareholders. The depositary will make all these notices, reports and communications that it receives from us available for inspection by registered holders of ADSs at its office. The depositary will mail copies of those notices, reports and communications to you if we ask the depositary to do so and furnish sufficient copies of materials for that purpose.

ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENTS AGAINST FOREIGN PERSONS

We are incorporated under the laws of Argentina. Substantially all of our assets are located outside the United States. The majority of our directors and all our officers and certain advisors named herein reside in Argentina. As a result, it may not be possible for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon us or such persons or to enforce against us or them in United States courts judgments predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States.

We have been advised by our Argentine counsel, Pérez Alati, Grondona, Benites, Arntsen, & Martínez de Hoz (h), that a substantial portion of our assets located in Argentina could not be subject to attachment or foreclosure if a court were to find that such properties are necessary to the provision of an essential public service, unless the Argentine government otherwise approves the release of such property. In accordance with Argentine law, as interpreted by the Argentine courts, assets which are necessary to the provision of an essential public service may not be attached, whether preliminarily or in aid of execution.

Our Argentine counsel has also advised us that judgments of United States courts for civil liabilities based upon the federal securities laws of the United States may be enforced in Argentina, provided that the requirements of Article 517 of the Federal Civil and Commercial Procedure Code (if enforcement is sought before federal courts) are met as follows: (i) the judgment, which must be final in the jurisdiction where rendered, was issued by a court competent in accordance with the Argentine principles regarding international jurisdiction and resulted from a personal action, or an in rem action with respect to personal property if such was transferred to Argentine territory during or after the prosecution of the foreign action, (ii) the defendant against whom enforcement of the judgment is sought was personally served with the summons and, in accordance with due process of law, was given an opportunity to defend against foreign action, (iii) the judgment must be valid in the jurisdiction where rendered and meet authenticity requirements established in accordance with the requirements of Argentine law, (iv) the judgment does not violate the principles of public policy of Argentine law, and (v) the judgment is not contrary to a prior or simultaneous judgment of an Argentine court.

Subject to compliance with Article 517 of the Federal Civil and Commercial Procedure Code described above, a judgment against us, any Argentine selling shareholder or the persons described above obtained outside Argentina would be enforceable in Argentina without reconsideration of the merits.

We have been further advised by our Argentine counsel that:

- original actions based on the federal securities laws of the United States may be brought in Argentine courts and that, subject to applicable law, Argentine courts may enforce liabilities in such actions against us, our directors, our executive officers, the selling shareholders and the advisors named in this prospectus; and
- the ability of a judgment creditor or the other persons named above to satisfy a judgment by attaching certain assets of ours or any of the selling shareholders, respectively, is limited by provisions of Argentine law.

A plaintiff (whether Argentine or non-Argentine) residing outside Argentina during the course of litigation in Argentina must provide a bond to guarantee court costs and legal fees if the plaintiff owns no real property in Argentina that could secure such payment. The bond must have a value sufficient to satisfy the payment of court fees and defendant's attorney fees, as determined by the Argentine judge. This requirement does not apply to the enforcement of foreign judgments.

Repsol YPF is a limited liability company (sociedad anónima) organized under the laws of the Kingdom of Spain. All of the directors and executive officers of Repsol YPF are not residents of the United States. Such persons and a

substantial portion of Repsol YPF's assets are located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for you to file a lawsuit against either Repsol YPF or such persons in the United States with respect to matters arising under the federal securities laws of the United States. It may also be difficult for you to enforce judgments obtained in U.S. courts against either Repsol YPF or such persons based on the civil liability provisions of such

185

laws. Provided that United States case law does not prevent the enforcement in the U.S. of Spanish judgments (as in such case, judgments obtained in the U.S. shall not be enforced in Spain), if a U.S. court grants a final judgment in an action based on the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States, enforceability of such judgment in Spain will be subject to satisfaction of certain factors. Such factors include the absence of a conflicting judgment by a Spanish court or of an action pending in Spain among the same parties and arising from the same facts and circumstances, the Spanish courts' determination that the U.S. courts had jurisdiction, that process was appropriately served on the defendant, the regularity of the proceeding followed before the U.S. courts, the authenticity of the judgment and that enforcement would not violate Spanish public policy. In general, the enforceability in Spain of final judgments of U.S. courts does not require retrial in Spain. If an action is commenced before Spanish courts with respect to liabilities based on the U.S. federal securities laws, there is a doubt as to whether Spanish courts would have jurisdiction. Spanish courts may enter and enforce judgments in foreign currencies.

CONVERSION TABLE

1 ton = 1 metric ton= 1,000 kilograms = 2,204 pounds
1 barrel = 42 U.S. gallons
1 ton of oil = approximately 7.3 barrels (assuming a specific gravity of 34 degrees API (American Petroleum Institute))
1 barrel of oil equivalent = 5,615 cubic feet of gas = 1 barrel of oil, condensate or natural gas liquids
1 kilometer = 0.63 miles
1 million Btu = 252 termies
1 cubic meter of gas = 35.3147 cubic feet of gas
1 cubic meter of gas = 10 termies
1000 acres = approximately 4 square kilometers

TECHNICAL OIL AND GAS TERMS USED IN THIS PROSPECTUS

The following terms have the meanings shown below unless the context indicates otherwise:

“acreage”: The total area, expressed in acres or km², over which we have interests in exploration or production. Net acreage is our interest in the relevant exploration or production area.

“concession”: A grant of access for a defined area and time period that transfers certain entitlements to produce hydrocarbons from the host country to an enterprise. The company holding the concession generally has rights and responsibilities for exploration, development, production and sale of hydrocarbon . Typically, the concession is granted under a legislated fiscal system where the host country collects royalties on the estimated value at the wellhead of crude oil production and the natural gas volume commercialized and taxes or fees on profits earned.

“exploratory well”: A well drilled to find and produce oil or gas in an unproved area, to find a new reservoir in a field previously found to be productive of oil or gas in another reservoir, or to extend a known reservoir.

“hydrocarbons”: Crude oil and natural gas.

“natural gas liquids,” or “NGL”: The portions of gas from a reservoir that are liquefied at the surface in separators, field facilities, or gas processing plants. NGL from gas processing plants is also called liquefied petroleum gas, or “LPG.”

“oil and gas producing activities”:

- (i) Such activities include:
- A. The search for crude oil, including condensate and natural gas liquids, or natural gas (“oil and gas”) in their natural states and original locations.
 - B. The acquisition of property rights or properties for the purpose of further exploration and/or for the purpose of removing the oil or gas from existing reservoirs on those properties.
 - C. The construction, drilling and production activities necessary to retrieve oil and gas from their natural reservoirs, and the acquisition, construction, installation, and maintenance of field gathering and storage systems – including lifting the oil and gas to the surface and gathering, treating, field processing (as in the case of processing gas to

extract liquid hydrocarbons) and field storage. For purposes of this section, the oil and gas production function shall normally be regarded as terminating at the outlet valve on the lease or field storage tank; if unusual physical or operational circumstances exist, it may be appropriate to regard the production function as terminating at the first point at which oil, gas or gas liquids are delivered to a main pipeline, a common carrier, a refinery, or a marine terminal.

(ii) Oil and gas producing activities do not include:

187

- A. The transporting, refining and marketing of oil and gas;
- B. Activities relating to the production of natural resources other than oil and gas;
- C. The production of geothermal steam or the extraction of hydrocarbons as a by-product of the production of geothermal steam or associated geothermal resources as defined in the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970; or
- D. The extraction of hydrocarbons from shale, tar sands or coal.

“proved oil and gas reserves”: Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids that geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Prices include consideration of changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements, but not on escalations based upon future conditions.

i) Reservoirs are considered proved if economic productibility is supported by either actual production or conclusive formation test. The area of a reservoir considered proved includes:

- A. that portion delineated by drilling and defined by gas-oil and/or oil-water contacts, if any; and
- B. the immediately adjoining portions not yet drilled, but which can be reasonably judged as economically productive on the basis of available geological and engineering data. In the absence of information on fluid contacts, the lowest known structural occurrence of hydrocarbons controls the lower proved limit of the reservoir.
- ii) Reserves that can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques (such as fluid injection) are included in the “proved” classification when successful testing by a pilot project, or the operation of an installed program in the reservoir, provides support for the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based.

iii) Estimates of proved reserves do not include the following:

- A. oil that may become available from known reservoirs but is classified separately as “indicated additional reserves”;
- B. crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, the recovery of which is subject to reasonable doubt because of uncertainty as to geology, reservoir characteristics, or economic factors;
- C. crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, that may occur in undrilled prospects; and
- D. crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids, that may be recovered from oil sales, coal, gilsonite and other such sources.

“proved developed reserves”: Proved developed oil and gas reserves are reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. Additional oil and gas expected to be obtained through the application of fluid injection or other improved recovery techniques for supplementing the natural forces and mechanisms of primary recovery should be included as “proved developed reserves” only after testing by a pilot project or after the operation of an installed program has confirmed through production response that increased recovery will be achieved.

“proved undeveloped reserves”: Proved undeveloped oil and gas reserves are reserves that are expected to be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage, or from existing wells where a relatively major expenditure is required for recompletion. Reserves on undrilled acreage shall be limited to those drilling units offsetting productive units that are reasonably certain of production when drilled. Proved reserves for other undrilled units can be claimed only where it can be demonstrated with certainty that there is continuity of production from the existing productive formation. Under no circumstances should estimates for proved undeveloped reserves be attributable to any acreage

for which an application of fluid injection or other improved recovery technique is contemplated, unless such techniques have been proved effective by actual tests in the area and in the same reservoir.

“recovery factor”: The recoverable amount of the original or residual estimated hydrocarbons in place in a reservoir, expressed as a percentage of total hydrocarbons in place.

“refining capacity”: The crude oil processing capacity of refineries, expressed as an average over a period of time for the quality of oil and under conditions for which the facility was designed. Such capacity could be improved through the application of updated operation and maintenance techniques, increased availability, equipment revamps, de-bottlenecking, and the use of higher qualities of crude oil than those for which the refinery was originally designed, among other improvements.

“reserves audit”: A reserves audit is the process of reviewing certain factual matters and assumptions on which an estimate of reserves and/or reserves information prepared by others has been based and the rendering of an opinion about (1) the appropriateness of the methodologies employed, (2) the adequacy and quality of the data relied upon, (3) the depth and thoroughness of the reserves estimation process, (4) the classification of reserves appropriate to the relevant definitions used, and (5) the reasonableness of the estimated reserves quantities and/or the reserves information, and is, therefore, free of material misstatement. The term “reasonableness” cannot be defined with precision but reflects a quantity and/or value difference as contemplated under “Internal Control on Reserves and Reserves Audits.” Often a reserves audit includes a detailed review of certain critical assumptions and independent assessments with acceptance of other information less critical to the reserves estimation. Typically, a reserves audit letter should be of sufficient rigor to determine the appropriate reserves classification for all reserves in the property set evaluated and to clearly state the reserves classification system being utilized. In contrast to the term “audit” as used in a financial sense, a reserves audit is generally less rigorous than a reserves report.

The estimation of reserves and other reserves information is an imprecise science due to the many unknown geological and reservoir factors that can only be estimated through sampling techniques. Since reserves are therefore only estimates, they cannot be audited for the purpose of verifying exactness. Instead, reserves information is audited for the purpose of reviewing in sufficient detail the policies, procedures, methods and data used by us in estimating our reserves information so that the reserves auditors may express an opinion as to whether, in the aggregate, the reserves information furnished by us is reasonable within established and predetermined tolerances and has been estimated and presented in conformity with generally accepted petroleum engineering and evaluation principles and within the rules and regulations of the SEC.

In some cases, the auditing procedure may require independent estimates of reserves information for some or all properties. The desirability of such re-estimation will be determined by the reserves auditor exercising his or her professional judgment in arriving at an opinion as to the reasonableness of our reserves information. In those cases, an external reservoir engineer makes an independent comprehensive evaluation of reserves by interpreting and assessing all the pertinent data to generate such engineer’s own cash flow analysis and proved reserves estimate. The degree of assurance of such independent estimates cannot usually be provided with numeric precision.

The main product of these external engineering evaluations is a report that includes the engineer’s actual proved reserves estimates and economic evaluation. This report may also, at our request, include maps, logs, or other technical backup used by the external reservoir engineer, with an opinion letter that includes the reserves auditor’s findings, conformance or not with the applicable principles, definitions and procedures for estimating reserves. This opinion may also, at our request, include conclusions and recommendations. In the aforementioned case where the auditor performs an independent estimate of reserves information, we will call it an external reserves certification.

In all cases, in the opinion letter or report issued by the auditor, the reserves auditor states his or her professional standing and professional affiliation as a registered or certified professional from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.

A reserves auditor is a professional who has sufficient educational background, professional training and professional experience to enable him or her to exercise prudent professional judgment while in charge of the conduct of an audit of reserves information estimated by others. The determination of whether a reserves auditor is professionally qualified is made on an individual-by-individual basis with reference to the recognition and respect of

his or her peers. A reserves auditor would normally be considered by us to be qualified if he or she (i) has a minimum of 10 years' practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least five years of such experience in charge of the estimations and evaluation of reserves information; and (ii) either (A) has obtained, from a college or university of recognized stature, a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology or other discipline of engineering or physical science, or (B) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent thereof, from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.

Our standard of independence for reserves auditors is that he or she must not have any financial interest in the properties under evaluation. This is in order that there is no incentive for his or her reports to be outcome-oriented because there is no direct economic benefit for him or her as a consequence of the results of his or her work. An independent reserves auditor's compensation is based only on professional services carried out to deliver an unbiased analysis suitable for the public and financial communities. We also require that a statement of such independence is included in the auditor's report.

The meaning of the terms "reserves audit," "reserves report," "external reserves certification" among others may not be comparable to other similar terms used by other companies in respect of proved reserves.

"reserves estimate": The process whereby a qualified reserves estimator performs a comprehensive evaluation by interpreting and assessing all the pertinent data to generate such proved reserves estimates and cash flow analysis. The main product of this evaluation results in a report that includes: (i) the actual reserve estimate quantities, (ii) the future producing rates from such reserves, (iii) the future net revenues from such reserves, and (iv) the present value of such future net revenue. This report may also include maps, logs or other technical backup used by the estimator.

"reserves review": The process whereby a qualified reserves professional reviewer conducts a high-level assessment of reserves information to determine if it is plausible. The steps consist primarily of:

inquiry;
analytical procedures;
analysis;
review of historical reserves performance; and
discussions with reserves management staff.

"plausible" means the reserves data appearing to be worthy of belief based on the information obtained by a reserves estimator or by an independent qualified reserves auditor in carrying out the aforementioned steps. It may result in a statement like "Nothing came to my attention that would indicate the reserves information has not been prepared and presented in accordance with the applicable principles and definitions."

Our standard for an "Independent Qualified Reserves Auditor" is that an Independent Qualified Reserves Auditor is a professional who has sufficient educational background, professional training and professional experience to enable him or her to exercise prudent professional judgment while in charge of the conduct of an audit of reserves information estimated by others. The determination of whether a Reserves Auditor is professionally qualified is made on an individual-by-individual basis with reference to the recognition and respect of his or her peers. A Reserves Auditor would normally be considered by us to be qualified if he or she (i) has a minimum of 10 years' practical experience in petroleum engineering or petroleum production geology, with at least 5 years of such experience in charge of the estimations and evaluation of reserves information; and (ii) either (A) has obtained, from a college or university of recognized stature, a bachelor's or advanced degree in petroleum engineering, geology or other discipline of engineering or physical science, or (B) has received, and is maintaining in good standing, a registered or certified professional engineer's license or a registered or certified professional geologist's license, or the equivalent thereof,

from an appropriate governmental authority or professional organization.

Our standard of independence for Consulting Reserves Auditors is that he or she must not have any financial interest in the properties under evaluation. This is in order that there is no incentive for his or her reports to be outcome-oriented because there is no direct economic benefit for him or her as a consequence of the results of his or her

190

work. The Independent Qualified Reserves Auditor’s compensation is based only on professional services carried out to deliver an unbiased analysis suitable for the public and financial communities. We also require that a statement of such independence be included in the auditor’s report.

Reviews do not require examination of the detailed documentation that supports the reserves information, unless this information does not appear to be plausible. A reserves review, due to the limited nature of the investigation involved, does not provide the level of assurance provided by a reserves estimate or a reserves audit. Though reserves reviews can be done for specific applications, they are not a substitute for an audit or an estimate.

Abbreviations and miscellaneous terms:

“bbl”	Barrels
“Bcf”	Billion cubic feet 10 ⁹ cubic feet
“Bcm”	Billion cubic meters 10 ⁹ cubic meters
“boe”	Barrels of oil equivalent
“boe/d”	Barrels of oil equivalent per day
“Condensate”	Mixture of hydrocarbons that exist in the gaseous phase at original temperature and pressure of the reservoir, but when produced condense into liquid phase at temperature and pressure associated with surface production equipment
“Gas”	Natural gas
“GWh”	Gigawatt hours
“HP”	Horse Power
“km”	Kilometers
“km ² ”	Square kilometers
“m”	Thousand
“m ³ ”	Cubic meter
“m ³ /d”	Thousand barrels per day
“mboe/d”	Thousand barrels of oil equivalent per day
“mcf”	Thousand cubic feet
“mcm”	Thousand cubic meters
“mm”	Million

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

“mmbbl”	Million barrels
“mmboe”	Million barrels of oil equivalent
“mmboe/d”	Million barrels of oil equivalent per day
“mmBtu”	Million British thermal units
“mmcf”	Million cubic feet

“mmcf/d”	Million cubic feet per day
“mmcm”	Million cubic meters
“mmcm/d”	Million cubic meters per day
“mtn”	Thousand tons
“MW”	Megawatts
“Oil”	Crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids
“WTI”	West Texas Intermediate
“USA”	United States

Oil and gas reserves definitions used in this prospectus are in accordance with the reserves definitions of Rule 4-10(a) (1)-(17) of Regulation S-X of the SEC.

The definitions of reserves estimate, reserves audit and reserves review as given below and used hereunder are not terms defined under SEC Rules or Regulations and are terms used by us in this prospectus as defined herein and consequently such terms may be defined and used differently by other companies.

For the purpose of this prospectus, any reserves estimate, or any independent reserves audit or any reserves review invoked hereunder, are in accordance with the oil and gas reserves definitions of Rule 4-10(a) (1)-(17) of Regulation S-X of the SEC.

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED AND INDIVIDUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF
SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

INDEX

	Page
- Cover	1
- Consolidated balance sheets	2
- Consolidated statements of income	3
- Consolidated statements of cash flows	4
- Notes to consolidated financial statements	5
- Exhibits to consolidated financial statements	22
- Balance sheets	24
- Statements of income	25
- Statements of changes in shareholders' equity	26
- Statements of cash flows	27
- Notes to financial statements	28
- Exhibits to financial statements	52

F-1

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

Avenida Presidente Roque Sáenz Peña 777 – Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

FISCAL YEARS NUMBER 31 AND 30

BEGINNING ON JANUARY 1, 2007 AND 2006

UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED AND INDIVIDUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

(the consolidated and individual financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

Principal business of the Company: exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas and other minerals and refining, transportation, marketing and distribution of oil and petroleum products and petroleum derivatives, including petrochemicals, chemicals and non-fossil fuels, biofuels, and their components, generation of electric power from hydrocarbons, rendering telecommunications services, as well as the production, industrialization, processing, marketing, preparation services, transportation and storage of grain and its derivatives.

Date of registration with the Public Commerce Register: June 2, 1977.

Duration of the Company: through June 15, 2093.

Last amendment to the bylaws: July 11, 2007.

Optional Statutory Regime related to Compulsory Tender Offer provided by Decree No. 677/2001 art. 24: not incorporated.

Capital structure as of September 30, 2007
(expressed in Argentine pesos)

Subscribed,
paid-in and
authorized for
stock exchange
listing
(Note 4 to
individual
financial
statements)

- Shares of Common Stock, Argentine pesos 10 par value,
1 vote per share

3,933,127,930

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

F-2

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2006
(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos - Note 1 to the individual financial statements)
(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007	2006
Current Assets		
Cash	106	118
Investments (Note 2.a)	310	971
Trade receivables (Note 2.b)	2,893	2,242
Other receivables (Note 2.c)	4,302	5,033
Inventories (Note 2.d)	2,494	1,697
Other assets	-	1,128
Total current assets	10,105	11,189
Noncurrent Assets		
Trade receivables (Note 2.b)	37	44
Other receivables (Note 2.c)	792	852
Investments (Note 2.a)	769	788
Fixed assets (Note 2.e)	24,435	22,513
Intangible assets	8	8
Total noncurrent assets	26,041	24,205
Total assets	36,146	35,394
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable (Note 2.f)	3,455	3,495
Loans (Note 2.g)	551	915
Salaries and social security	196	207
Taxes payable	1,370	1,298
Net advances from crude oil purchasers	32	96
Reserves	354	273
Total current liabilities	5,958	6,284
Noncurrent Liabilities		
Accounts payable (Note 2.f)	2,852	2,448
Loans (Note 2.g)	523	510
Salaries and social security	164	202
Taxes payable	23	20
Net advances from crude oil purchasers	-	7
Reserves	1,671	1,578
Total noncurrent liabilities	5,233	4,765
Total liabilities	11,191	11,049
Shareholders' Equity	24,955	24,345
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	36,146	35,394

The accompanying Notes and the individual financial statements of YPF,
are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

F-3

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND 2006

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos, except for per share amounts in Argentine pesos - Note 1 to the individual financial statements)

(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007	2006
Net sales (Note 4)	20,869	19,172
Cost of sales	(13,917)	(11,528)
Gross profit	6,952	7,644
Administrative expenses (Exhibit H)	(561)	(490)
Selling expenses (Exhibit H)	(1,541)	(1,356)
Exploration expenses (Exhibit H)	(356)	(318)
Operating income	4,494	5,480
Income on long-term investments (Note 4)	38	27
Other expense, net (Note 2.h)	(171)	(33)
Financial income (expense), net and holding gains:		
Gains on assets		
Interests	259	250
Exchange differences	100	80
Holding gains on inventories	313	442
Losses on liabilities		
Interests	(216)	(151)
Exchange differences	(57)	(96)
Reversal of impairment of other current assets	69	-
Net income before income tax	4,829	5,999
Income tax	(1,849)	(2,264)
Net income	2,980	3,735
Earnings per share	7.58	9.50

The accompanying Notes and the individual financial statements of YPF,
are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND 2006

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos - Note 1 to the individual financial statements)

(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007	2006
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	2,980	3,735
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash flows provided by operating activities:		
Income on long-term investments	(38)	(27)
Dividends from long-term investments	52	34
Reversal of impairment of other current assets	(69)	-
Depreciation of fixed assets	3,105	2,628
Consumption of materials and fixed assets retired, net of allowances	158	224
Increase in allowances for fixed assets	99	126
Income tax	1,849	2,264
Income tax payments	(1,654)	(2,311)
Increase in reserves	570	609
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade receivables	(644)	(101)
Other receivables	904	(484)
Inventories	(797)	(589)
Accounts payable	200	230
Salaries and social security	(42)	(50)
Taxes payable	(101)	(336)
Net advances from crude oil purchasers	(69)	(71)
Decrease in reserves	(396)	(158)
Interests, exchange differences and others	35	186
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	6,142(1)	5,909(1)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Acquisitions of fixed assets	(4,076)	(3,460)
Investments (non cash and equivalents)	(13)	(111)
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(4,089)	(3,571)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Payment of loans	(1,413)	(666)
Proceeds from loans	1,026	687
Dividends paid	(2,360)	(2,360)
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(2,747)	(2,339)
Net decrease in Cash and Equivalents	(694)	(1)
Cash and equivalents at the beginning of year	1,087	515
Cash and equivalents at the end of period	393	514

For supplemental information on cash and equivalents, see Note 2.a.

(1) Includes (98) and (90) corresponding to interest payments for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The accompanying Notes and the individual financial statements of YPF,
are an integral part of and should be read in conjunction with these statements.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

F-5

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE NINE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos - Note 1 to the individual financial statements, except where otherwise indicated)

(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

YPF Sociedad Anónima (the “Company” or “YPF”) has prepared its consolidated financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in Argentina (“Argentine GAAP”), considering the regulation of the Argentine Securities Commission (“CNV”). The Company includes supplemental individual financial statements to the consolidated financial statements. Consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the accompanying individual financial statements.

The consolidated financial statements for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are unaudited, but reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of the Management, are necessary to present the consolidated financial statements for such periods on a consistent basis with the audited annual consolidated financial statements.

a) Consolidation policies:

Following the methodology established by Technical Resolution No. 21 of the Argentine Federation of Professional Councils in Economic Sciences (“F.A.C.P.C.E.”), the Company has consolidated its balance sheets and the related statements of income and cash flows as follows:

–Investments and income (loss) related to controlled companies in which YPF has the number of votes necessary to control corporate decisions are substituted for such companies' assets, liabilities, net revenues, cost and expenses, which are aggregated to the Company's balances after the elimination of intercompany profits, transactions, balances and other consolidation adjustments.

–Investments and income (loss) related to companies in which YPF holds joint control are consolidated line by line on the basis of the Company's proportionate share in their assets, liabilities, net revenues, cost and expenses, considering intercompany profits, transactions, balances and other consolidation adjustments.

Investments in companies under control and joint control are detailed in Exhibit C to the individual financial statements.

b) Financial statements used for consolidation:

The consolidated financial statements are based upon the last available financial statements of those companies in which YPF holds control or joint control, taking into consideration, if applicable, significant subsequent events and transactions, available management information and transactions between YPF and the related companies which could have produced changes to their shareholders' equity.

c) Valuation criteria:

In addition to the valuation criteria disclosed in the notes to YPF individual financial statements, the following additional valuation criteria have been applied in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

Fixed assets

Properties on foreign unproved reserves have been valued at cost and translated into pesos as detailed in Note 2.e to the individual financial statements. Capitalized costs related to unproved properties are reviewed periodically by Management to ensure the carrying value does not exceed their estimated recoverable value.

As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings Inc. has approximately 28 of exploratory drilling costs that have been capitalized for a period greater than one year, representing one project and one well. The project is pending the results of drilling on an adjacent block.

Salaries and Social Security – Pensions and other Postretirement and Postemployment Benefits

YPF Holdings Inc., which has operations in the United States of America, has a number of trustee defined-benefits pension plans and postretirement and postemployment benefits.

The funding policy related to trustee pension plans is to contribute amounts to the plans sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements under governmental regulations, plus such additional amounts as Management may determine to be appropriate. The benefits related to the plans were valued at net present value and accrued based on the years of active service of employees. The net liability for defined-benefits plans is disclosed as non-current liabilities in the “Salaries and social security” account and is the amount resulting from the sum of: the present value of the obligations, net of the fair value of the plan assets and net of the unrecognized actuarial losses generated since December 31, 2003. The unrecognized actuarial losses and gains are recognized as expense during the expected average remaining work of the employees participating in the plans and the life expectancy of the retired employees. The Company updates the actuarial assumptions at the end of each year. As of December 31, 2006, the unrecognized actuarial losses amounted to 52.

YPF Holdings Inc. also has a noncontributory supplemental retirement plan for executive officers and other selected key employees.

YPF Holdings Inc. provides certain health care and life insurance benefits for eligible retired employees, and also certain insurance, and other postemployment benefits for eligible individuals in case employment is terminated by YPF Holdings Inc. before their normal retirement. YPF Holdings Inc. accrues the estimated cost of retiree benefit payments during employees’ active service periods.

Employees become eligible for these benefits if they meet minimum age and years of service requirements. YPF Holdings Inc. accounts for benefits provided when the minimum service period is met, payment of the benefit is probable and the amount of the benefit can be reasonably estimated. Other postretirement and postemployment benefits are recorded as claims are incurred.

F-7

Recognition of revenues and costs of construction activities

Revenues and costs related to construction activities are accounted by the percentage of completion method. When adjustments in contract values or estimated costs are determined, any change from prior estimates is reflected in earnings in the current year. Anticipated losses on contracts in progress are expensed as soon as they become evident.

2. ANALYSIS OF THE MAIN ACCOUNTS OF THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Details regarding the significant accounts included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are as follows:

Consolidated Balance Sheets Accounts as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006

a) Investments:	2007		2006	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Short-term investments and government securities	310(1)	148(3)	971(1)	156(3)
Long-term investments	-	834(2)	-	843(2)
Allowance for reduction in value of holdings in long-term investments	-	(213)(2)	-	(211)(2)
	310	769	971	788

(1) Includes 287 and 969 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, with an original maturity of less than three months.

(2) In addition to the amounts detailed in Exhibit C to the individual financial statements, includes interest in Gas Argentino S.A. ("GASA"). As of September 30, 2007, the shareholders and creditors of GASA have signed a debt restructuring agreement whose approval is pending by the National Antitrust Protection Board.

(3) Restricted cash.

b) Trade receivables:	2007		2006	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Accounts receivable	2,936	37	2,280	44
Related parties	428	-	391	-
	3,364	37	2,671	44
Allowance for doubtful trade receivables	(471)	-	(429)	-
	2,893	37	2,242	44

F-8

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

c) Other receivables	2007		2006	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Deferred income tax	-	491	-	510
Tax credits and export rebates	876	16	692	18
Trade	97	-	71	-
Prepaid expenses	146	63	130	73
Concessions charges	17	77	17	88
Related parties	2,606(1)	-	3,883(1)	-
Loans to clients	11	91	12	69
Advances to suppliers	108	-	65	-
From joint ventures and other agreements	90	-	46	-
Miscellaneous	466	105	254	146
	4,417	843	5,170	904
Allowance for other doubtful accounts	(115)	-	(137)	-
Allowance for valuation of other receivables to their estimated realizable value	-	(51)	-	(52)
	4,302	792	5,033	852

(1) In addition to the amounts detailed in Note 3.c to the individual financial statements, mainly includes 198 with Repsol Netherlands Finance B.V. as of September 30, 2007, which accrue interest at 5.36 %, and 48 and 218 with Repsol Netherlands Finance B.V. and Repsol International Finance B.V., respectively, as of December 31, 2006.

d) Inventories:	2007	2006
Refined products	1,580	1,047
Crude oil and natural gas	623	441
Products in process	33	47
Raw materials, packaging materials and others	258	162
	2,494	1,697

e) Fixed assets:	2007	2006
Net book value of fixed assets (Exhibit A)	24,484	22,562
Allowance for unproductive exploratory drilling	(3)	(3)
Allowance for obsolescence of material and equipment	(46)	(46)
	24,435	22,513

f) Accounts payable:	2007		2006	
	Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Trade	2,825	26	2,617	27
Hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations	-	2,607	233	2,210
Related parties	164	-	238	-
From joint ventures and other agreements	331	-	256	-
Environmental liabilities	93	164	93	164
Miscellaneous	42	55	58	47
	3,455	2,852	3,495	2,448

g) Loans:	Interest rates (1)	Principal maturity	2007		2006	
			Current	Noncurrent	Current	Noncurrent
Negotiable Obligations – YPF	9.13–10.00%	2009 - 2028	11	523	559	509
Other bank loans and other creditors	1.25–18.25%	2007 - 2008	540	-	356	1
			551	523	915	510

(1) Annual fixed interest rates as of September 30, 2007.

Consolidated Statements of Income as of September 30, 2007 and 2006

h) Other expense, net:	Income (Expense)	
	2007	2006
Reserve for pending lawsuits and other claims	(140)	(54)
Environmental remediation - YPF Holdings Inc.	(113)	(61)
Defined-benefits pension plans and other postretirement benefits	(12)	(17)
Miscellaneous	94	99
	(171)	(33)

3. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES IN CONTROLLED COMPANIES

Laws and regulations relating to health and environmental quality in the United States of America affect nearly the operations of YPF Holdings Inc. These laws and regulations set various standards regulating certain aspects of health and environmental quality, provide for penalties and other liabilities for the violation of such standards and establish in certain circumstances remedial obligations.

YPF Holdings Inc. believes that its policies and procedures in the area of pollution control, product safety and occupational health are adequate to prevent unreasonable risk of environmental and other damage, and of resulting financial liability, in connection with its business. Some risk of environmental and other damage is, however, inherent in particular operations of YPF Holdings Inc. and, as discussed below, Maxus Energy Corporation (“Maxus”) and Tierra Solutions, Inc. (“Tierra”) could have certain potential liabilities associated with operations of Maxus’ former chemical subsidiary. YPF Holdings Inc. cannot predict what environmental legislation or regulations will be enacted in the future or how existing or future laws or regulations will be administered or enforced. Compliance with more stringent laws or regulations, as well as more vigorous enforcement policies of the regulatory agencies, could in the future require material expenditures by YPF Holdings Inc. for the installation and operation of systems and equipment for remedial measures, possible dredging requirements and in certain other respects. Also, certain laws allow for recovery of natural resource damages from responsible parties and ordering the implementation of interim remedies to abate an imminent and substantial endangerment to the environment. Potential expenditures for any such actions cannot be reasonably estimated.

In connection with the sale of Maxus’ former chemical subsidiary, Diamond Shamrock Chemicals Company (“Chemicals”) to Occidental Petroleum Corporation (“Occidental”) in 1986, Maxus agreed to indemnify Chemicals and Occidental from and against certain liabilities relating to the business or activities of Chemicals, including environmental liabilities relating to chemical plants and waste disposal sites used by Chemicals prior to the selling date. Tierra has agreed to assume essentially all of Maxus’ aforesaid indemnity obligations to Occidental in respect of Chemicals.

Explanation of Responses:

F-10

As of September 30, 2007, reserves for the environmental contingencies and other claims totaled approximately 353. YPF Holdings Inc.'s Management believes it has adequately reserved for all environmental contingencies, which are probable and can be reasonably estimated as of such time; however, changes in circumstances could result in changes, including additions, to such reserves in the future. The most significant contingencies are described in the following paragraphs:

In the following discussion concerning plant sites and third party sites, references to YPF Holdings Inc. include, as appropriate and solely for ease of reference, references to Maxus and Tierra. As indicated above, Tierra is also a subsidiary of YPF Holdings Inc. and has assumed certain of Maxus' obligations.

Newark, New Jersey. A consent decree, previously agreed upon by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy ("DEP") and Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, was entered in 1990 by the United States District Court of New Jersey and requires implementation of a remedial action plan at Chemicals' former Newark, New Jersey agricultural chemicals plant. The approved remedy has been completed and paid for by Tierra. This project is in the operation and maintenance phase. YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved approximately 50 as of September 30, 2007, in connection with such activities.

Passaic River, New Jersey. Studies have indicated that sediments of the Newark Bay watershed, including the Passaic River adjacent to the former Newark plant, are contaminated with hazardous chemicals from many sources. Maxus, forced to act on behalf of Occidental, negotiated an agreement with the EPA under which Tierra has conducted further testing and studies near the plant site. While some work remains, these studies were substantially completed in 2005. In addition:

- The EPA and other agencies are addressing the lower Passaic River in a joint federal, state, local and private sector cooperative effort designated as the Lower Passaic River Restoration Project ("PRRP"). Tierra, along with approximately seventy two other entities, participated in an initial remedial investigation and feasibility study ("RIFS") in connection with the PRRP. The parties are discussing the possibility of further work with the EPA. The entities that have agreed to fund the RIFS have negotiated allocations of responsibility among themselves based on a number of considerations.
- In 2003, the DEP issued Directive No. 1 to approximately 66 entities, including Occidental and Maxus and certain of their respective related entities. Directive No. 1 seeks to address natural resource damages allegedly resulting from almost 200 years of historic industrial and commercial development of the lower 17 miles of the Passaic River and a part of its watershed. Directive No. 1 asserts that the named entities are jointly and severally liable for the alleged natural resource damages without regard to fault. The DEP has asserted jurisdiction in this matter even though all or part of the lower Passaic River has been designated as a Superfund site and is a subject of the PRRP. Directive No. 1 calls for the following actions: interim compensatory restoration, injury identification, injury quantification and value determination. Maxus and Tierra responded to Directive No. 1 setting forth good faith defenses. Settlement discussions between the DEP and the named entities have been held; however, no agreement has been reached or is assured.
- In 2004, the EPA and Occidental entered into an administrative order on consent (the "AOC") pursuant to which Tierra (on behalf of Occidental) has agreed to conduct testing and studies to characterize contaminated sediment and biota in the Newark Bay. The initial field work on this study, which includes testing in the Newark Bay, has been substantially completed. Discussions with the EPA regarding additional work that might be required are underway.

- In December 2005, the DEP issued a directive to Tierra, Maxus and Occidental directing said parties to pay the State of New Jersey’s costs of developing a Source Control Dredge Plan focused on allegedly dioxin-contaminated sediment in the lower six-mile portion of the Passaic River. The development of this plan is estimated by the DEP to cost approximately US\$ 2 million. This directive was issued even though this portion of the lower Passaic River is a subject of the PRRP. The DEP has advised the recipients that (a) it is engaged in discussions with the EPA regarding the subject matter of the directive, and (b) they are not required to respond to the directive until otherwise notified.
- In December 2005, the DEP sued YPF, YPF Holdings Inc., Tierra, Maxus and several affiliated entities, in addition to Occidental, in connection with dioxin contamination allegedly emanating from Chemicals’ former Newark plant and contaminating the lower portion of the Passaic River, Newark Bay, other nearby waterways and surrounding areas. The DEP seeks unspecified and punitive damages and other matters. The defendants have made responsive pleadings and filings.
- In June 2007, EPA released a draft Focused Feasibility Study (“FFS”) that outlines several alternatives for remedial action in the lower eight miles of the Passaic River. These alternatives range from no action (which would result in comparatively little cost) to extensive dredging and capping (which according to the draft FFS, EPA estimated could cost from U.S.\$0.9 billion to U.S.\$2.3 billion), and are all described by EPA as involving proven technologies that could be carried out in the near term, without extensive research. Tierra, in conjunction with the other parties of the PRRP group, submitted comments on the draft FFS to EPA, as did other interested parties. In September 2007, EPA announced its intention to spend further time considering these comments, to issue a proposed plan for public comment by the middle of 2008 and to select a clean-up plan in the last quarter of 2008. Tierra will respond to any further EPA proposal as may be appropriate at that time.
- In August 2007, the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration (“NOAA”) sent a letter to the parties of the PRRP group, including Tierra and Occidental, requesting that the group enters into an agreement to conduct a cooperative assessment of natural resources damages in the Passaic River and Newark Bay. The PRRP group has responded through its common counsel requesting that discussions relating to such agreement to be postponed until 2008, due in part to the pending FFS proposal by EPA. Tierra will continue to participate in the PRRP group with regard to this matter.

As of September 30, 2007, there is a total of approximately 50 reserved in connection with the foregoing matters related to the Passaic River, and surrounding area. This amount principally consists of estimated costs for studies and other work Maxus and Tierra have already agreed to undertake. During the last quarter of 2007, we have evaluated several remediation scenarios for the lower eight miles of the Passaic River, which result in an increase of approximately 79 in our reserve as of December 31, 2007. The development of new information or the imposition of remediation actions differing from the scenarios we have evaluated could result in Maxus and Tierra incurring material costs in addition to the amount currently reserved.

Hudson County, New Jersey. Until 1972, Chemicals operated a chromite ore processing plant at Kearny, New Jersey (“Kearny Plant”). According to the DEP, wastes from these ore processing operations were used as fill material at a number of sites in and near Hudson County. The DEP and Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, signed an administrative consent order with the DEP in 1990 for investigation and remediation work at certain chromite ore residue sites in Kearny and Secaucus, New Jersey.

Tierra, on behalf of Occidental, is presently performing the work and funding Occidental's share of the cost of investigation and remediation of these sites and is providing financial assurance in the amount of US\$ 20 million for performance of the work. The ultimate cost of remediation is uncertain. Tierra submitted its remedial investigation reports to the DEP in 2001, and the DEP continues to review the report.

Additionally, in May 2005, the DEP took two actions in connection with the chrome sites in Hudson and Essex Counties. First, the DEP issued a directive to Maxus, Occidental and two other chromium manufacturers directing them to arrange for the cleanup of chromite ore residue at three sites in Jersey City and the conduct of a study by paying the DEP a total of US\$ 20 million. While YPF Holdings Inc. believes that Maxus is improperly named and there is little or no evidence that Chemicals' chromite ore residue was sent to any of these sites, the DEP claims these companies are jointly and severally liable without regard to fault. Second, the State of New Jersey filed a lawsuit against Occidental and two other entities in state court in Hudson County seeking, among other things, cleanup of various sites where chromite ore residue is allegedly located, recovery of past costs incurred by the state at such sites (including in excess of US\$ 2 million allegedly spent for investigations and studies) and, with respect to certain costs at 18 sites, treble damages. The DEP claims that the defendants are jointly and severally liable, without regard to fault, for much of the damages alleged. During mediation, the parties have engaged in discussion regarding possible settlement; however, there is no assurance that these discussions will be successful.

In November 2005, several environmental groups sent a notice of intent to sue the owners of the properties adjacent to the former Kearny Plant (the "Adjacent Property"), including among others Tierra, under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The stated purpose of the lawsuit, if filed, would be to require the noticed parties to carry out measures to abate alleged endangerments to health and the environment emanating from the Adjacent Property. The parties have entered into an agreement that addresses the concerns of the environmental groups, and these groups have agreed, at least for now, not to file suit.

Pursuant to a request of the DEP, in the second half of 2006, Tierra and other parties tested the sediments in a portion of the Hackensack River near the former Kearny Plant. Whether additional work will be required, is expected to be determined once the results of this testing have been analyzed.

As of September 30, 2007, there is a total of approximately 63 reserved in connection with the foregoing chrome-related matters. The study of the levels of chromium in New Jersey has not been finalized, and the DEP is still reviewing the proposed action levels. The cost of addressing these chrome-related matters could increase depending upon the final soil action levels, the DEP's response to Tierra's reports and other developments.

Painesville, Ohio. In connection with the operation until 1976 of one chromite ore processing plant ("Chrome Plant"), from Chemicals, the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency ("OEPA") ordered to conduct a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RIFS") at the former Painesville's Plant area. Tierra has agreed to participate in the RIFS as required by the OEPA. Tierra submitted the remedial investigation report to the OEPA, which report was finalized in 2003. Tierra is submitting required feasibility reports separately. In addition, the OEPA has approved certain work, including the remediation of specific sites within the former Painesville Works area and work associated with the development plans discussed below (the "Remediation Work"). The Remediation Work has begun. As the OEPA approves additional projects for the site of the former Painesville Works, additional amounts may need to be reserved.

Over ten years ago, the former Painesville Works site was proposed for listing on the national Priority List under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"): however, the EPA has stated that the site will not be listed so long as it is satisfactorily addressed pursuant to the Director's Order and OEPA's programs. As of the date of issuance of these financial statements, the site has not been listed. YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved a total of 35 as of September 30, 2007 for its estimated share of the cost to perform the RIFS, the remediation work and other operation and maintenance activities at this site. The scope and nature of any further investigation or remediation that may be required cannot be determined at this time; however, as the RIFS progresses, YPF Holdings Inc. will continuously assess the condition of the Painesville's plants works site and make any changes, including additions, to its reserve as may be required.

Third Party Sites. Pursuant to settlement agreements with the Port of Houston Authority and other parties, Tierra and Maxus are participating (on behalf of Chemicals) in the remediation of property adjoining Chemicals' former Greens Bayou facility where DDT and certain other chemicals were manufactured. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved 68 for its estimated share of future remediation activities associated with the Greens Bayou facility. Additionally, efforts have been initiated in connection with claims for natural resources damages. The amount of natural resources damages and the party's obligations in respect thereof are unknown at the present time.

In June 2005, the EPA designated Maxus as a potentially responsible party ("PRP") at the Milwaukee Solvay Coke & Gas site in Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The basis for this designation is Maxus alleged status as the successor to Pickands Mather & Co. and Milwaukee Solvay Coke Co., companies that the EPA has asserted are former owners or operators of such site. Preliminary work in connection with the RIFS of this site commenced in the second half of 2006. Maxus has reserved 1 as of September 30, 2007 for its estimated share of the costs of the RIFS. Maxus lacks sufficient information to determine additional exposure or costs, if any, it might have in respect of this site.

Maxus has agreed to defend Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of the Malone Services Company Superfund site in Galveston County, Texas. This site is a former waste disposal site where Chemicals is alleged to have sent waste products prior to September 1986. It is the subject of enforcement activities by the EPA. Although Occidental is one of many PRPs that have been identified and have agreed to an Administrative Order on Consent, Tierra (which is handling this matter on behalf of Maxus) presently believes the degree of Occidental's alleged involvement as successor to Chemicals is relatively small.

Chemicals has also been designated as a PRP with respect to a number of third party sites where hazardous substances from Chemicals' plant operations allegedly were disposed or have come to be located. At several of these, Chemicals has no known exposure. Although PRPs are typically jointly and severally liable for the cost of investigations, cleanups and other response costs, each has the right of contribution from other PRPs and, as a practical matter, cost sharing by PRPs is usually effected by agreement among them. At a number of these sites, the ultimate response cost and Chemicals' share of such costs cannot be estimated at this time. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved 7 in connection with its estimated share of costs related to these sites.

Black Lung Benefits Act Liabilities. The Black Lung Benefits Act provides monetary and medical benefits to miners disabled with black lung disease, and also provides benefits to the dependents of deceased miners if black lung disease caused or contributed to the miner's death. As a result of the operations of its coal-mining subsidiaries, YPF Holdings Inc. is required to provide insurance of this benefit to former employees and their dependents. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved 30 in connection with its estimate of these obligations.

Legal Proceedings. In 1998, a subsidiary of Occidental filed a lawsuit in state court in Ohio seeking a declaration of the parties' rights with respect to obligations for certain costs allegedly related to Chemicals' Ashtabula, Ohio facility, as well as certain other costs. A settlement of this matter was reached in March 2007, with those activities required by the settlement document completed in the second quarter of 2007.

In 2001, the Texas State Controller assessed Maxus approximately US\$ 1 million in Texas state sales taxes for the period of September 1, 1995 through December 31, 1998, plus penalty and interest. In August 2004, the administrative law judge issued a decision affirming approximately US\$ 1 million of such assessment, plus penalty and interest. YPF Holdings Inc. believes the decision is erroneous, has paid the revised tax assessment, penalty and interest (a total of approximately US\$ 2 million under protest). Maxus filed suit in Texas state court in December 2004 challenging the administrative decision. The matter will be reviewed by a trial de novo in the court action.

In 2002, Occidental sued Maxus and Tierra in state court in Dallas, Texas seeking a declaration that Maxus and Tierra have the obligation under the agreement pursuant to which Maxus sold Chemicals to Occidental to defend and indemnify Occidental from and against certain historical obligations of Chemicals, including claims related to “Agent Orange” and vinyl chloride monomer (VCM), notwithstanding the fact that said agreement contains a 12-year cut-off for defense and indemnity obligations with respect to most litigation. Tierra was dismissed as a party, and the matter was tried in May 2006. The trial court decided that the 12-year cut-off period did not apply and entered judgment against Maxus. This decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeals in February 2008. This decision will require Maxus to accept responsibility for various matters for which it has refused indemnification since 1998. This could result in the incurrence of material costs in addition to Maxus’ current reserves for this matter. This decision will require Maxus to reimburse Occidental for past costs on these matters; Maxus believes that its current reserves are adequate for these past costs. Maxus is currently evaluating the decision of the Court of Appeals. The judgment awarded Occidental declaratory relief, approximately US\$ 2, and attorney’s fees and costs. The judgment will accrue post judgment interest at the rate of 8% per annum in the event Maxus does not prevail on appeal. In December 2006, the trial court set the amount of Maxus obligation in an amount of approximately 47, which have been entirely reserved.

In March 2005, Maxus agreed to defend Occidental, as successor to Chemicals, in respect of an action seeking the contribution of costs incurred in connection with the remediation of the Turtle Bayou waste disposal site in Liberty County, Texas. The plaintiffs alleged that certain wastes attributable to Chemicals found their way to the Turtle Bayou site. Trial for this matter was bifurcated, and in the liability phase Occidental and other parties were found severally, and not jointly, liable for waste products disposed of at this site. Trial in the allocation phase of this matter was completed in the second quarter of 2007, and the court has entered a decision setting Occidental’s liability at 18.73 % of those costs incurred by one of the plaintiffs. Occidental’s motion for reconsideration of a portion of this decision has been filed with the court, and the parties are awaiting the court’s decision on this and other post-judgment motions. As of September 30, 2007, YPF Holdings Inc. has reserved 2 in respect of this matter.

In 2005, Skidmore Energy Company and others (“Skidmore”) have sued Maxus (U.S.) Exploration Company (“Maxus US”), a subsidiary of YPF Holdings Inc., in state court in Texas. Skidmore claims it was entitled to an assignment of approximately five oil and gas leases in the US Gulf of Mexico. Maxus US denies Skidmore’s claims. Maxus US and Skidmore have entered an agreement to submit this matter to binding arbitration; the arbitration hearing was held from October 29 to November 1, 2007, with briefs submitted to the arbitration panel on November 6, 2007. The decision of the arbitration panel, holding that Skidmore should take nothing, was rendered on November 29, 2007.

YPF Holdings Inc., including its subsidiaries, is a party to various other lawsuits, the outcomes of which are not expected to have a material adverse affect on YPF’s financial condition. The Company has established reserves for legal contingencies in situations where a loss is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

YPF Holdings Inc. has entered into various operating agreements and capital commitments associated with the exploration and development of its oil and gas properties which are not material except those for the Neptune Prospect. Total commitments related to the development of the Neptune Prospect located in the vicinity of the Atwater Valley Area, Blocks 573, 574, 575, 617 and 618 are US\$ 75 million for 2007 and US\$ 17 million for 2008.

4. CONSOLIDATED BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company organizes its business into four segments which comprise: the exploration and production, including contractual purchases of natural gas and crude oil purchases arising from service contracts and concession obligations, as well as crude oil intersegment sales, natural gas and its derivatives sales and electric power generation (“Exploration and Production”); the refining, transport and marketing of crude oil to unrelated parties and refined products (“Refining and Marketing”); the petrochemical operations (“Chemical”); and other activities, not falling into these categories, are classified under “Corporate and Other”, which principally includes corporate administration costs and assets,

construction activities and environmental remediation activities related to YPF Holdings Inc. preceding operations (Note 3).

F-15

Operating income (loss) and assets for each segment have been determined after intersegment adjustments. Sales between business segments are made at internal transfer prices established by YPF, which approximate market prices.

	Exploration and Production	Refining and Marketing	Chemical	Corporate and Other	Consolidation Adjustments	Total
Nine-month period ended						
September 30, 2007						
Net sales to unrelated parties	2,310	14,599	1,855	99	-	18,863
Net sales to related parties	495	1,511	-	-	-	2,006
Net intersegment sales	9,770	1,405	599	262	(12,036)	-
Net sales	12,575	17,515	2,454	361	(12,036)	20,869
Operating income (loss)	3,550	1,008	379	(480)	37	4,494
Income on long-term investments	25	13	-	-	-	38
Depreciation	2,714	281	67	43	-	3,105
Acquisitions of fixed assets	3,299	528	79	170	-	4,076
Assets	19,374	11,077	1,996	4,795	(1,096)	36,146
Nine-month period ended						
September 30, 2006						
Net sales to unrelated parties	2,311	13,248	1,704	85	-	17,348
Net sales to related parties	584	1,240	-	-	-	1,824
Net intersegment sales	10,812	1,177	494	201	(12,684)	-
Net sales	13,707	15,665	2,198	286	(12,684)	19,172
Operating income (loss)	5,449	53	340	(391)	29	5,480
Income on long-term investments	18	9	-	-	-	27
Depreciation	2,298	238	62	30	-	2,628
Acquisitions of fixed assets	2,800	471	84	112	-	3,467
Year ended December 31, 2006						
Assets	18,987	9,349	1,876	6,049	(867)	35,394

Export sales for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 were 6,176 and 6,716, respectively. Export sales were mainly to the United States of America, Brazil and Chile.

5. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES FOLLOWED BY THE COMPANY AND UNITED STATES GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Argentine GAAP, which differs in certain respects from generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”).

The differences between Argentine GAAP and U.S. GAAP are reflected in the amounts provided in Note 6 and Note 7, and principally relate to the items discussed in the following paragraphs.

a) Functional and reporting currency

Under Argentine GAAP, financial statements are presented in constant Argentine pesos (“reporting currency”), as mentioned in Note 1 to the individual financial statements. Foreign currency transactions are recorded in Argentine pesos by applying to the foreign currency amount the exchange rate between the reporting and the foreign currency at the date of the transaction. Exchange rate differences arising on monetary items in foreign currency are recognized in the income statement of each period.

Under U.S. GAAP, a definition of the functional currency is required, which may differ from the reporting currency. Management has determined for YPF and certain of its subsidiaries and investees the U.S. dollar as its functional currency in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 52. Therefore, YPF has remeasured into U.S. dollars its financial statements and the financial statements of the mentioned subsidiaries and investees as of September 30, 2007 and 2006, and December 31, 2006, prepared in accordance with Argentine GAAP by applying the procedures specified in SFAS No. 52. The objective of the remeasurement process is to produce the same results that would have been reported if the accounting records had been kept in the functional currency. Accordingly, monetary assets and liabilities are remeasured at the balance sheet date (current) exchange rate. Amounts carried at prices in past transactions are remeasured at the exchange rates in effect when the transactions occurred. Revenues and expenses are remeasured on a monthly basis at the average rates of exchange in effect during the period, except for consumption of nonmonetary assets, which are remeasured at the rates of exchange in effect when the respective assets were acquired. Translation gains and losses on monetary assets and liabilities arising from the remeasurement are included in the determination of net income (loss) in the period such gains and losses arise. For certain YPF’s subsidiary and investees, Management has determined the Argentine peso as its functional currency. Translation adjustments resulting from the process of translating the financial statements of the mentioned subsidiary and investees into U.S. dollars are not included in determining net income and are reported in other comprehensive income (“OCI”) as a component of shareholders’ equity.

The amounts obtained from the process referred to above are translated into Argentine pesos following the provisions of SFAS No. 52. Assets and liabilities were translated at the current selling exchange rate of Argentine pesos 3.15 and 3.06 to US\$ 1, as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively. Revenues, expenses, gains and losses reported in the income statement are translated at the exchange rate existing at the time of each transaction or, if appropriate, at the weighted average of the exchange rates during the period. Translation effects of exchange rate changes are included in OCI as a component of shareholders’ equity.

b) Proportional consolidation

As discussed in Note 1.a to the consolidated financial statements, YPF has proportionally consolidated, net of intercompany transactions, assets, liabilities, net revenues, cost and expenses of investees in which joint control is held, which is not allowed for U.S. GAAP purposes. The mentioned proportional consolidation generated an increase of 339 and 446 in total assets and total liabilities as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, and an increase of 999 and 1,053 in net sales and 511 and 541 in operating income for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

c) Valuation of inventories

As described in Note 2.c to the individual financial statements, the Company values its inventories of refined products for sale, products in process of refining and separation, crude oil and natural gas at replacement cost. Under U.S. GAAP, these inventories should be valued at cost or market, which is defined as replacement cost, provided that it does not exceed net realizable value or is not less than net realizable value reduced by a normal profit margin. As the rotation of inventories is high, there have been no significant differences between inventories valued at replacement cost and at historical cost using first in first out (“FIFO”) method for the periods presented.

d) Impairment of long-lived assets

Under Argentine GAAP, in order to perform the recoverability test, long-lived assets are grouped with other assets at business segment level. With respect to long-lived assets that were held as pending sale or disposal, the Company’s policy was to record these assets at amounts that did not exceed net realizable value.

Under U.S. GAAP, for proved oil and gas properties, the Company performs the impairment test on an individual field basis. Other long-lived assets are aggregated so that the discrete cash flows produced by each group of assets may be separately analyzed. Each asset is tested following the guidelines of SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets", by comparing the net book value of such an asset with the expected undiscounted cash flows. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. When market values are not available, the Company estimates them using the expected future cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risks associated with the recovery of the assets.

Impairment charges to reconcile to U.S. GAAP amounted to 100 for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and was included as operating income from continuing operations. The impairment recorded in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 was mainly the result of a decrease in oil and gas reserves affecting certain long-lived assets of the YPF's Exploration and Production Business Segment.

The impairment adjustment for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007, also included 69 for the elimination of the income recorded due to the reversal of impairment under Argentine GAAP of the assets held for sale, as discussed in Note 2.d. to the individual financial statements.

The adjusted basis after impairment results in lower depreciation under U.S. GAAP of 100 and 96 for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

e) Start-up and organization costs

Under Argentine GAAP, start-up and organization costs can be capitalized subject to recoverability through future revenues. These costs were fully amortized during 2006 based on a five-year estimated useful life.

Under U.S. GAAP, start-up costs were expensed as incurred.

f) Reorganization of entities under common control

Under Argentine GAAP, results on sales of noncurrent assets and the corresponding accounts receivable are recognized in the statement of income and the balance sheet, respectively. Under U.S. GAAP, results related with reorganization of entities under common control are eliminated and the corresponding accounts receivable are considered as a capital (dividend) transaction.

g) Pension Plans

As displayed in Note 1.c, YPF Holdings Inc. has non-contributory defined-benefit pension plans and postretirement and postemployment benefits.

Under Argentine GAAP, the net liability for defined-benefits plans is the amount resulting from the sum of the present value of the obligations, net of the fair value of the plan assets and net of the unrecognized actuarial losses. These unrecognized actuarial losses are recorded in the statement of income during the expected average remaining working lives of the employees participating in the plans and the life expectancy of retired employees.

Under U.S. GAAP the Company adopted SFAS No. 158 "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans-an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132 (R). Under provisions of SFAS No. 158 the Company fully recognized the underfunded status of defined-benefit pension and postretirement plans as a liability in the financial statements reducing the Company's shareholders' equity through accumulated OCI account. Unrecognized actuarial losses and gains are recognized in the statement of income during the expected average remaining working lives of the employees participating in the plans and the life expectancy of retired

employees. The effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 158 did not have a material effect.

F-18

h) Accounting for asset retirement obligations

SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations, addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement cost. The standard applies to legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and normal use of the asset. SFAS No. 143 requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period or year in which it is incurred, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The asset retirement obligations liability is built up in cash flow layers, with each layer being discounted using the discount rate as of the date that the layer was created. Remeasurement of the entire obligation using current discount rates is not permitted. Each cash flow layer is added to the carrying amount of the associated asset. This additional carrying amount is then depreciated over the life of the asset. The liability is increased due to the passage of time based on the time value of money (“accretion expense”) until the obligation is settled.

Argentine GAAP is similar to SFAS No. 143, except for a change in the discount rate is treated as a change in estimates, so the entire liability must be recalculated using the current discount rate, being the change added or reduced from the related asset.

i) Consolidation of variable interest entities - Interpretation of ARB No. 51

Under Argentine GAAP consolidation is based on having the votes necessary to control corporate decisions (Note 1). FIN No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, (“FIN 46R”), clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements, to certain entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. The interpretations explain how to identify variable interest entities and how an enterprise assesses its interests in a variable interest entity to decide whether to consolidate that entity. They require existing unconsolidated variable interest entities to be consolidated by their primary beneficiaries if the entities do not effectively disperse risks among parties involved.

As of September 30, 2007, YPF has operations with one variable interest entity (“VIE”) which has been created in order to structure YPF’s future deliveries of oil (“FOS transaction”).

YPF entered into a forward oil sale agreement that calls for the future delivery of oil for the life of the contract. YPF was paid in advance for the future delivery of oil. The price of the oil to be delivered was calculated using various factors, including the expected future price and quality of the crude oil being delivered. The counterparty or assignee to the oil supply agreement is a VIE incorporated in the Cayman Islands, which finance itself through the issuance of notes. The oil to be delivered under the supply agreement is subsequently sold in the open market.

YPF is exposed to any change in the price of the crude oil it will deliver in the future under the outstanding FOS transaction. YPF’s exposure derives from crude oil swap agreements under which YPF pays a fixed price with respect to the nominal amount of the crude oil sold, and receives the variable market price of such crude oil (Note 2.j to the individual financial statements).

The effect before taxes of such consolidation was an increase in the “Loans” account of 100 and 186, an increase of current assets of 21 and 19, the elimination of “Net advances from crude oil purchasers” of 32 and 103 and a decrease in shareholders’ equity of 47 and 65 as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively.

j) Capitalization of financial expenses

Under Argentine GAAP, for those qualifying assets that necessarily take a substantial period of time to get ready for its intended use, borrowing costs (including interest and exchange differences) should be capitalized. Accordingly, borrowing costs for those assets whose construction period exceeds one year have been capitalized, provided that such

capitalization does not exceed the amount of financial expense recorded in that year.

F-19

Under US GAAP, only interest expense on qualifying assets must be capitalized, regardless of the asset's construction period.

The effect on net income and shareholders' equity as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 and December 31, 2006 is included in "Capitalization of financial expenses" in the reconciliation in Note 6.

k) SFAS Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for uncertainty in income taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 ("FIN 48")

FIN 48 defines the criteria an individual tax position must meet for any part of the benefit of such position to be recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 establishes "a more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold that must be met before a tax benefit can be recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 also provides guidance, among other things, on the measurement of the income tax benefit associated with uncertain tax positions, de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties and financial statement disclosures.

The Company implemented FIN 48 on January, 2007. As it is defined in this interpretation, the Company has reassessed whether the "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold has been met before a tax benefit can be recognized and how much of a tax benefits to recognize in the financial statements. The adoption of FIN 48 did not have an impact on YPF's financial position. There were no unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption and as of September 30, 2007.

Under Argentine tax regime, as of September 30, 2007, fiscal years 2001 through 2006 remain subject to examination by the Federal Administration of Public Revenues ("AFIP").

l) SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"), which clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes guidelines for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures regarding fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements and eliminates inconsistencies in guidance found in various prior accounting pronouncements. SFAS No. 157 will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 but does not believe the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material impact on its financial position.

m) SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities—including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. The Company is evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have on the financial statements, but does not believe the adoption of SFAS 159 will have a material impact on its financial position.

n) SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations" and SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of ARB No. 51"

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (Revised 2007) ("SFAS No. 141(R)", "Business Combinations", which requires the recognition of assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in an acquiree at the acquisition date fair value with limited exceptions. SFAS No. 141(R) will change the accounting treatment for certain specific items and includes a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. SFAS No. 141(R) applies

prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

F-20

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — An Amendment of ARB No. 51", which establishes new accounting and reporting standards for noncontrolling interest (minority interest) and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. SFAS No. 160 also includes expanded disclosure requirements regarding the interests of the parent and its noncontrolling interest. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008.

6. RECONCILIATION OF NET INCOME AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY TO UNITED STATES GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES

The following is a summary of the significant adjustments to net income for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and to shareholders' equity as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, which would have been required if U.S. GAAP had been applied instead of Argentine GAAP in the consolidated financial statements. Amounts are expressed in millions of Argentine pesos.

	For the nine-month periods ended	
	2007	2006
Net income according to Argentine GAAP	2,980	3,735
Increase (decrease) due to:		
Elimination of the inflation adjustment into Argentine constant pesos (Note 1 to the individual financial statements and 5.a)	612	751
Remeasurement into functional currency and translation into reporting currency (Note 5.a)	(1,181)	(1,300)
Reorganization of entities under common control - Interest from accounts receivable (Note 5.f)	(15)	(50)
Start-up and organization costs amortization (Note 5.e)	-	8
Impairment of long-lived assets (Note 5.d)	(69)	96
Consolidation of VIEs (Note 5.i)	20	39
Capitalization of financial expenses (Note 5.j)	28	36
Asset retirement obligations (Note 5.h)	7	-
Pension plans (Note 5.g)	(7)	(19)
Deferred income tax (1)	(19)	(43)
Net income in accordance with U.S. GAAP	2,356	3,253
Earnings per share, basic and diluted	5.99	8.27
	As of	
	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Shareholders' equity according to Argentine GAAP	24,955	24,345
Increase (decrease) due to:		
Elimination of the inflation adjustment into Argentine constant pesos (Note 1 to the individual financial statements and 5.a)	(4,396)	(5,008)
Remeasurement into functional currency and translation into reporting currency (Note 5.a)	7,971	8,333

Edgar Filing: MOULTON EBEN S - Form 4

Reorganization of entities under common control - Accounts receivable (Note 5.f)	-	(954)
Impairment of long-lived assets (Note 5.d)	(574)	(491)
Consolidation of VIEs (Note 5.i)	(47)	(65)
Capitalization of financial expenses (Note 5.j)	245	211
Asset retirement obligations (Note 5.h)	(29)	(35)
Pension plans (Note 5.g)	(65)	(56)
Deferred income tax (1)	(60)	(39)
Shareholders' equity in accordance with U.S. GAAP	28,000	26,241

(1) Corresponds to the effect of Deferred Income Tax, if applicable, to U.S. GAAP adjustments.

F-21

7. ADDITIONAL U.S. GAAP DISCLOSURES

a) Consolidated operating income (loss)

Under U.S. GAAP, costs charged to income for environmental remediation, holding gains on inventories, impairment of long-lived assets, the elimination of operating results of jointly controlled companies proportionally consolidated, pending lawsuits and other claims costs and other items which are not individually significant, would have been deducted from or added to operating income.

b) Comprehensive income

Net income under U.S. GAAP as determined in Note 6 is approximately the same as comprehensive income as defined by SFAS No. 130 for the periods presented, except for the effect in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and the year ended December 31, 2006 of the following items, that should be included in comprehensive income for U.S. GAAP purposes but are excluded from net income for U.S. GAAP purposes:

	As of	
	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Effect arising from the translation into reporting currency	15,401(1)	14,582(1)
Pension plans	(223)(2)	(217)(2)
Comprehensive income at the end of periods	15,178	14,365

(1) Has no tax effect.

(2) Valuation allowance has been recorded to offset the recognized income tax effect.

c) Hydrocarbon well abandonment obligations

Under Argentine regulations, the Company has the obligation to incur in costs related to the abandonment of hydrocarbon wells. The Company does not have assets legally restricted for purposes of settling the obligation.

The reconciliation of the beginning and ending aggregate carrying amounts of hydrocarbon well abandonment obligations, translated into Argentine pesos at the outstanding selling exchange rate as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and under US GAAP, is as follows:

	As of	
	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Aggregate hydrocarbon well abandonment obligation, beginning of year	2,441	1,457
Translation effect	82	12
Revision in estimated cash flows	-	840
Obligations incurred	-	55
Accretion expense	146	117
Obligations settled	(49)	(40)
Aggregate hydrocarbon well abandonment obligation, end of periods	2,620	2,441

d) Cash and equivalents

	As of	
	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
Cash	100	111
Cash and equivalents (1)	203	710
Cash and equivalents at the end of the periods (2)	303	821

(1) Included in short-term investments in the consolidated balance sheets.

(2) Cash and equivalents from jointly controlled companies which are proportionally consolidated for Argentine GAAP purposes are not included.

The principal transactions not affecting cash consisted in increases in assets related to hydrocarbon well abandonment costs and consumption of fixed assets allowances for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2007 and for the year ended December 31, 2006.

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

F-22

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND COMPARATIVE INFORMATION
FIXED ASSETS EVOLUTION

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos - Note 1 to the individual financial statements)

(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007 Cost				
	Amounts at beginning of year	Translation net effect (5)	Increases	Net decreases, transfers and reclassifications	Amounts at end of period
Main account					
Land and buildings	2,326	-	-	63	2,389
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	42,534	10	-	7,791	50,335
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	8,650	-	8	373	9,031
Transportation equipment	1,850	-	-	14	1,864
Materials and equipment in warehouse	611	-	791	(656)	746
Drilling and work in progress	3,569	(2)	3,164	(2,591)	4,140
Exploratory drilling in progress	135	2	88	(92)	133
Furniture, fixtures and installations	556	-	4	59	619
Selling equipment	1,341	-	-	66	1,407
Other property	367	1	21	(16)	373
Total 2007	61,939	11	4,076	5,011(1)(6)	71,037
Total 2006	61,812	4	3,467(2)	(396)(1)	64,887

	2007 Depreciation				2006			
	Accumulated at beginning of year	Net decreases, transfers and reclassifications	Depreciation rate	Increases	Accumulated at end of period	Net book value as of 09-30-07	Net book value as of 09-30-06	Net book value as of 12-31-06
Main account								
Land and buildings	1,053	(1)	2%	44	1,096	1,293	1,264	1,273
Mineral property, wells and related equipment	29,496	4,075	(4)	2,676	36,247	14,088(3)	12,760(3)	13,038(3)
Refinery equipment and petrochemical plants	5,793	(1)	4-10%	256	6,048	2,983	2,836	2,857
Transportation equipment	1,273	(3)	4-5%	41	1,311	553	564	577
	-	-	-	-	-	746	549	611

Explanation of Responses:

Materials and equipment in warehouse								
Drilling and work in progress	-	-	-	-	-	4,140	3,883	3,569
Exploratory drilling in progress	-	-	-	-	-	133	156	135
Furniture, fixtures and installations	479	1	10%	33	513	106	83	77
Selling equipment	1,001	-	10%	43	1,044	363	323	340
Other property	282	-	10%	12	294	79	82	85
Total 2007	39,377	4,071(1)(6)		3,105	46,553	24,484		
Total 2006	39,803	(44)(1)		2,628	42,387		22,500	22,562

- (1) Includes 99 and 128 of net book value charged to fixed assets allowances for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (2) Includes 7 corresponding to the cost of hydrocarbon wells abandonment obligations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2006.
- (3) Includes 901, 1,097 and 1,014 of mineral property as of September 30, 2007 and 2006 and December 31, 2006, respectively.
- (4) Depreciation has been calculated according to the unit of production method.
- (5) Includes the net effect of the exchange differences arising from the translation of net book values at beginning of the year of fixed assets in foreign companies.
- (6) Includes 5,291 of acquisition cost and 4,094 of accumulated depreciation corresponding to oil and gas exploration and producing areas, which were disposed by sale as of December 31, 2006 (Note 2.d to the individual financial statements).

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ
Director

F-23

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA AND CONTROLLED AND JOINTLY CONTROLLED COMPANIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE NINE-MONTH PERIODS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND 2006

EXPENSES INCURRED

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos – Note 1 to the individual financial statements)

(the consolidated financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007				2006	
	Production costs	Administrative expenses	Selling expenses	Exploration expenses	Total	Total
Salaries and social security taxes	617	137	128	35	917	718
Fees and compensation for services	117	199	28	3	347	270
Other personnel expenses	199	55	18	15	287	235
Taxes, charges and contributions	165	13	216	-	394	325
Royalties and easements	1,465	-	4	5	1,474	1,607
Insurance	78	2	10	3	93	76
Rental of real estate and equipment	243	3	43	1	290	234
Survey expenses	-	-	-	136	136	86
Depreciation of fixed assets	2,992	36	77	-	3,105	2,628
Industrial inputs, consumable materials and supplies	408	6	29	5	448	411
Operation services and other service contracts	428	11	57	38	534	436
Preservation, repair and maintenance	1,201	14	41	2	1,258	950
Contractual commitments	478	-	-	-	478	433
Unproductive exploratory drillings	-	-	-	100	100	133
Transportation, products and charges	579	-	748	-	1,327	1,116
Allowance for doubtful trade receivables	-	-	42	-	42	79
Publicity and advertising expenses	-	38	58	-	96	109
Fuel, gas, energy and miscellaneous	529	47	42	13	631	623
Total 2007	9,499	561	1,541	356	11,957	
Total 2006	8,305	490	1,356	318		10,469

ANTONIO GOMIS SÁEZ

Director

F-24

YPF SOCIEDAD ANONIMA

BALANCE SHEETS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2006

(amounts expressed in millions of Argentine pesos – Note 1)

(the individual financial statements as of September 30, 2007 and September 30, 2006 are unaudited)

	2007	2006
Current Assets		
Cash	59	88
Investments (Note 3.a)	59	552
Trade receivables (Note 3.b)	2,738	2,138
Other receivables (Note 3.c)	4,726	5,116
Inventories (Note 3.d)	2,272	1,522
Other assets (Note 2.d)	-	1,128
Total current assets	9,854	10,544
Noncurrent Assets		
Trade receivables (Note 3.b)	36	44
Other receivables (Note 3.c)	769	826
Investments (Note 3.a)	2,613	2,634
Fixed assets (Note 3.e)	22,608	20,893
Total noncurrent assets	26,026	24,397
Total assets	35,880	34,941
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable (Note 3.f)	4,136	3,968
Loans (Note 3.g)	355	813
Salaries and social security	145	162
Taxes payable	1,257	1,173
Net advances from crude oil purchasers (Note 3.h)	32	96
Reserves (Exhibit E)	228	206
Total current liabilities	6,153	6,418
Noncurrent Liabilities		
Accounts payable (Note 3.f)	2,829	2,425
Loans (Note 3.g)	523	510
Taxes payable	8	10
Net advances from crude oil purchasers (Note 3.h)	-	7
Reserves (Exhibit E)	1,412	1,226