

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO
Form 10-K
March 18, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

R ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 2-17039

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO
(State of Incorporation)

84-0467208
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

850 EAST ANDERSON LANE, AUSTIN, TEXAS 78752-1602
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(512) 836-1010
(Telephone Number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (b) of the Act:

| Title of each class to be so registered: | Name of each exchange on which each class is to be registered: |
|--|--|
| Class A Common Stock, \$1.00 par value | The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act:

None
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes o No R

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No R

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90

days: Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the common stock (based upon the closing price) held by non-affiliates of the Registrant on June 30, 2012 was \$487,461,991.

As of March 13, 2013, the number of shares of Registrant's common stock outstanding was: Class A - 3,434,766 and Class B - 200,000.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the annual meeting of shareholders to be held June 21, 2013, which will be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2012 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----------------|--|------------|
| PART I | | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | Business | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | Risk Factors | <u>16</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | Unresolved Staff Comments | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | Properties | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | Legal Proceedings | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | Mine Safety Disclosures | <u>25</u> |
| PART II | | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | Selected Consolidated Financial Data | <u>29</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>30</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | Controls and Procedures | <u>70</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | Other Information | <u>73</u> |
| PART III | | |
| | The information required by Items 10 through 14 is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after December 31, 2012. | |
| PART IV | | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules | <u>74</u> |
| | Signatures | <u>150</u> |

Table of Contents

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information

This Form 10-K includes statements pertaining to anticipated financial performance, business endeavors, product development, and other similar matters. These statements, which may include words such as "expect," "anticipate," "believe," "intend," and other like expressions, constitute forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. A variety of factors could cause actual results and experiences to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties that may affect the operations, performance, and results of business include, but are not limited to, the following:

Difficult conditions globally and in the U.S. economy may materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our investment portfolio is subject to several risks which may lessen the value of our invested assets and the amounts credited to policyholders.

The determination of valuation and impairments of fixed income securities include estimations and assumptions that are subjective and prone to differing interpretations and could materially impact our results of operations or financial condition.

Changing interest rates and credit spreads, market volatility and general economic conditions affect the risks and the returns on both our investment portfolio and our products.

We are subject to incurring difficulties in marketing and distributing our products through our current and future distribution channels.

We are subject to a downgrade in our financial strength ratings which may negatively affect our ability to attract and retain independent distributors, make our products less attractive to consumers, and may have an adverse effect on our operations.

We are subject to competition from new sources as well as companies having substantially greater financial resources, higher ratings, and more expansive product offerings which could have an adverse impact upon our business levels and profitability.

We are subject to regulation and changes to existing laws which may affect our profitability or means of operation.

Changes in accounting standards issued by standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements and affect the management of business operations.

We may be subject to unfavorable judicial developments, including the time and expense of litigation, which potentially could affect our financial position and results of operations.

We could be liable with respect to liabilities ceded to reinsurers if the reinsurers fail to meet the obligations assumed by them.

We are subject to policy claims experience which can fluctuate from period to period and vary from past results or expectations.

We are subject to assumption inaccuracies regarding future mortality, persistency, and interest rates used in determining deferred policy acquisition costs which may require us to accelerate our amortization.

Occurrence of natural or man-made disasters and catastrophes could adversely affect our ability to conduct business operations and the financial condition and results of operations.

We are dependent upon effective information technology systems and on development and implementation of new technologies.

The Company could be adversely affected by changes to tax law or interpretations of existing tax law which could reduce the demand for certain insurance products.

The Company may be required to establish a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets which could materially affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Competition for employees is intense and the Company may not be able to attract and retain highly skilled people needed to support its business.

See Part 1A, Risk Factors, for additional information.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

General

National Western Life Insurance Company (hereinafter referred to as "National Western", "Company", or "Registrant") is a stock life insurance company, chartered in the State of Colorado in 1956, and doing business in forty-nine states, the District of Columbia, and four U.S. territories or possessions. National Western is also licensed in Haiti, and although not otherwise licensed, accepts applications from and issues policies to residents of various countries in Central and South America, the Caribbean, the Pacific Rim, Eastern Europe and Asia. Such policies are underwritten, accepted, and issued in the United States based upon applications submitted by independent contractors. The Company provides life insurance products for the savings and protection needs of approximately 133,000 policyholders and for the asset accumulation and retirement needs of 141,000 annuity contract holders.

The Company's total assets increased to \$10.3 billion at December 31, 2012, from \$9.7 billion at December 31, 2011. The Company generated revenues of \$664.7 million, \$572.7 million and \$576.0 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In addition, National Western generated net income of \$92.6 million, \$55.6 million and \$72.9 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Company's financial information, including information in this report filed on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to the above reports, are accessible free of charge through the Company's Internet site at www.nationalwesternlife.com or may be viewed at the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. or at the SEC's Internet site at www.sec.gov.

Products

National Western offers a broad portfolio of individual whole life, universal life and term insurance plans, and annuities, including supplementary riders. In the following discussion, the Company reports sales and other statistical information. These statistics are derived from various sales tracking and administrative systems and are not derived from the Company's financial reporting systems or financial statements. These statistics are used to measure the relative progress of our marketing and acquisition efforts. Sales data for traditional life insurance is based upon annualized premiums, while universal life sales are based on annualized "target" premiums which are those premiums upon which full first year commissions are paid. Sales of annuities are measured based on the amount of deposits received. These statistics attempt to measure only some of the many factors that may affect future profitability, and therefore, are not intended to be predictive of future profitability.

Life Products. The Company's life products provide protection for the life of the insured and, in some cases, allow for cash value accumulation on a tax-deferred basis. These product offerings include universal life insurance ("UL"), interest-sensitive whole life, and traditional products such as term insurance coverage. Interest sensitive products such as UL accept premiums that are applied to an account value. Deducted from the account value are costs of insurance charges which vary by age, gender, plan, and class of insurance, as well as various expense charges. Interest is credited to account values at a fixed interest rate generally determined in advance and guaranteed for a policy year at a time, subject to minimum guaranteed rates specified in the policy contract. A slight variation to this general interest crediting practice involves equity-indexed universal life ("EIUL") policies whose credited interest may be linked in part to an outside index such as the S&P 500[®] Composite Stock Price Index ("S&P 500 Index[®]") at the election of the policyholder. These products offer both flexible and fixed premium modes and provide policyholders with flexibility

in the available coverage, the timing and amount of premium payments and the amount of the death benefit, provided there are sufficient policy funds to cover all policy charges for the coming year. Traditional products generally provide for a fixed death benefit payable in exchange for regular premium payments.

Table of Contents

Annuity Products. Annuity products sold include flexible premium and single premium deferred annuities, equity-indexed (fixed-indexed) annuities, and single premium immediate annuities. These products can be tax qualified or nonqualified annuities. A fixed single premium deferred annuity ("SPDA") provides for a single premium payment at the time of issue, an accumulation period, and an annuity payout period commencing at some future date. A flexible premium deferred annuity ("FPDA") provides the same features but allows, generally with some conditions, additional payments into the contract. Interest is credited to the account value of the annuity initially at a current rate of interest which is guaranteed for a period of time, typically the first year. After this period, the interest credited is subject to change based upon market rates and product profitability subject to a minimum guaranteed rate specified in the contract. Interest accrues during the accumulation period generally on a tax-deferred basis to the contract holder. After a number of years specified in the annuity contract, the owner may elect to have the proceeds paid as a single payment or as a series of payments over a period of time. The owner is permitted at any time during the accumulation period to withdraw all or part of the annuity account balance subject to contract provisions such as surrender charges and market value adjustments. A fixed-indexed deferred annuity performs essentially in the same manner as SPDAs and FPDAs with the exception that, in addition to a fixed interest crediting option, the contract holder has the ability to elect an interest crediting mechanism that is linked, in part, to an outside index such as the S&P 500 Index[®]. A single premium immediate annuity ("SPIA") foregoes the accumulation period and immediately commences an annuity payout period.

The following table sets forth information regarding the Company's sales activity by product type. Life insurance sales are measured by annualized first year premiums.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Annuities: | | | |
| Fixed-indexed deferred | \$656,185 | 916,188 | 915,883 |
| Other deferred | 159,157 | 431,839 | 494,094 |
| Single premium immediate | 39,321 | 40,925 | 21,353 |
| Total annuities | \$854,663 | 1,388,952 | 1,431,330 |
| Life: | | | |
| Universal life insurance | \$33,523 | 33,221 | 30,819 |
| Traditional life and other | 3,496 | 3,370 | 3,147 |
| Total life | \$37,019 | 36,591 | 33,966 |

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth information regarding the Company's life insurance in force for each date presented.

| | Insurance In Force as of December 31, | |
|-----------------------|--|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | |
| Universal life: | | |
| Number of policies | 57,380 | 60,520 |
| Face amounts | \$7,353,730 | 7,550,580 |
| Traditional life: | | |
| Number of policies | 41,230 | 43,490 |
| Face amounts | \$3,185,030 | 2,928,010 |
| Fixed-indexed life: | | |
| Number of policies | 34,450 | 32,960 |
| Face amounts | \$8,584,340 | 8,147,260 |
| Rider face amounts | \$2,479,520 | 2,343,560 |
| Total life insurance: | | |
| Number of policies | 133,060 | 136,970 |
| Face amounts | \$21,602,620 | 20,969,410 |

The following table sets forth information regarding annuities in force for each date presented.

| | Annuities In Force as of December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | |
| Fixed-indexed annuities | | |
| Number of policies | 59,850 | 55,240 |
| GAAP annuity reserves | \$4,197,121 | 3,778,419 |
| Other deferred annuities | | |
| Number of policies | 63,320 | 67,560 |
| GAAP annuity reserves | \$2,691,610 | 2,818,405 |
| Immediate annuities | | |
| Number of policies | 17,730 | 17,420 |
| GAAP annuity reserves | \$414,402 | 427,590 |
| Total annuities | | |
| Number of policies | 140,900 | 140,220 |
| GAAP annuity reserves | \$7,303,133 | 7,024,414 |

Table of Contents

Operating Segments

The Company manages its business between Domestic Insurance Operations and International Insurance Operations. For segment reporting purposes, the Company's annuity business, which is predominantly domestic, is separately identified. The Company also has a Corporate segment, which consists of the assets and activities of wholly-owned subsidiaries that have not been allocated to any other operating segment.

Domestic Insurance Operations. The Company is currently licensed to do business in all states and the District of Columbia, except for New York. Products marketed are annuities, universal life insurance, and traditional life insurance, which include both term and whole life products. The majority of domestic sales are the Company's annuities. National Western markets and distributes its domestic products primarily through independent national marketing organizations ("NMOs"). These NMOs assist the Company in recruiting, contracting, and managing independent agents. The Company's agents are independent contractors who are compensated on a commission basis. At December 31, 2012, the Company's NMO relationships had contracted approximately 14,000 independent agents with the Company. More than 19% of these contracted agents submitted policy applications to the Company in the past twelve months. At December 31, 2012, the Company had 60,000 domestic life insurance policies in force representing \$2.4 billion in face amount of coverage and 141,000 annuity contracts representing account balances of \$7.28 billion.

The following table sets forth the Company's domestic life insurance sales as measured in annualized first year premium for the last three years.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Fixed-indexed life | \$11,751 | 5,315 | 1,738 |
| Universal life | 200 | 117 | 486 |
| Traditional life | 130 | 44 | 53 |
| Total | \$12,081 | 5,476 | 2,277 |

International Insurance Operations. National Western's international operations generally focus on foreign nationals in upper socioeconomic classes. Insurance products are issued primarily to residents of countries in Central and South America, the Caribbean, the Pacific Rim, Eastern Europe, and Asia. Issuing policies to residents of countries in these different regions provides diversification that helps to minimize large fluctuations that could arise due to various economic, political, and competitive pressures that may occur from one country to another. Products issued to international residents are almost entirely universal life and traditional life insurance products. However, certain annuity and investment contracts are also available. At December 31, 2012, the Company had in excess of 73,000 international life insurance policies in force representing nearly \$19.2 billion in face amount of coverage.

International applications are submitted by independent contractors, consultants and broker-agents, many of whom have been submitting policy applications to National Western for 20 or more years. The Company had relationships with approximately 3,500 of these independent international individuals at December 31, 2012, 30% of which submitted policy applications to the Company in the past twelve months.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Company's international life insurance sales as measured in annualized first year premium for the past three years.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Fixed-indexed life | \$15,240 | 19,962 | 20,235 |
| Universal life | 6,332 | 7,827 | 8,393 |
| Traditional life | 3,366 | 3,326 | 3,062 |
| Total | \$24,938 | 31,115 | 31,690 |

There are some inherent risks of accepting international applications which are not present within the domestic market that are reduced substantially by the Company in several ways. As previously described, the Company accepts applications from foreign nationals in upper socioeconomic classes who have substantial financial resources. This targeted customer base coupled with National Western's conservative underwriting practices have historically resulted in claims experience, due to natural causes, similar to that in the United States. The Company minimizes exposure to foreign currency risks by requiring payment of premiums and claims in United States dollars. In addition, experience with the international products for nearly fifty years and the Company's longstanding business relationships further serve to minimize risks.

The Company's implementation of commission caps on domestic policies in 2009 discouraged sales of larger face amounts resulting in lower sales levels and amounts of insurance per policy as shown below. While the average new policy face amounts subsequently declined, the increased sales activity in the past two years has produced a modest upward trend in this figure. Conversely, the Company's sales to international residents have witnessed a steady growth in the average face amount of insurance coverage per policy over the same time period. Empirical evidence suggests that policy persistency generally improves with larger face amounts of insurance.

| | Average New Policy Face Amount | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| | Domestic | International |
| Year ended December 31, 2006 | 315,800 | 254,700 |
| Year ended December 31, 2007 | 416,800 | 251,000 |
| Year ended December 31, 2008 | 455,200 | 272,000 |
| Year ended December 31, 2009 | 201,400 | 315,300 |
| Year ended December 31, 2010 | 164,800 | 338,600 |
| Year ended December 31, 2011 | 178,500 | 363,600 |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | 254,900 | 380,200 |

Table of Contents

Geographical Distribution of Business. The following table depicts the distribution of the Company's premium revenues and deposits.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| United States domestic products: | | | |
| Annuities | \$ 809,270 | 1,342,216 | 1,388,711 |
| Life insurance | 98,802 | 51,846 | 37,111 |
| Total domestic products | 908,072 | 1,394,062 | 1,425,822 |
| International products: | | | |
| Annuities | 55,437 | 48,770 | 42,283 |
| Life insurance | 170,805 | 171,911 | 161,973 |
| Total international products | 226,242 | 220,681 | 204,256 |
| Total direct premiums and deposits collected | \$ 1,134,314 | 1,614,743 | 1,630,078 |

Although many agents sell National Western's products, the Company's annuity sales in any year typically reflect several NMOs whose contracted independent agents sold 10% or more of the Company's total annuity sales. In 2012, there was one NMO that accounted for approximately 16% of the Company's annuity sales. Similarly, domestic life insurance sales in any year may include several NMOs who accounted for 10% or more of total domestic life insurance sales. In 2012, there were two NMOs who generated 22% and 11%, respectively, of total domestic life insurance sales. Given the lower level of domestic life insurance sales relative to international life sales and annuity sales, the larger percentage of domestic life sales for these particular NMOs is not considered a significant concentration of business within the total context of new business. In addition, with the independent distribution model the Company employs, the concentration of sales within a particular NMO is not as an acute concern as compared to other distribution channels given that the underlying agents are free to contract with the Company through any NMO the Company has a relationship with.

Applications submitted from residents of Latin America and the Pacific Rim perennially have comprised the majority of the Company's international life insurance sales. Several years ago, new sales efforts were directed toward the sale of a traditional endowment form of life insurance product for residents of Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States (former Soviet Union). However, the Company has scaled back its efforts in these areas due to profitability concerns. As noted previously, the Company's international sales by geographic market tend to fluctuate with the socio and economic climates in these regions. The Company's mix of international sales by geographic region is as follows.

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------|--|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Percentage of International Sales: | | | | |
| Latin America | 88.3 | % 88.0 | | % |
| Pacific Rim | 10.4 | 10.8 | | |
| Eastern Europe | 1.3 | 1.2 | | |

| | | | |
|--------|-------|---------|---|
| Totals | 100.0 | % 100.0 | % |
|--------|-------|---------|---|

9

Table of Contents

International life insurance sales are much more diversified by independent consultants and contractors. In terms of sales to residents of international countries, Venezuela, Brazil, and Taiwan were the only countries exceeding 10% of total international sales with shares of 23%, 21%, and 10%, respectively.

Segment Financial Information. A summary of financial information for the Company's segments is as follows:

| | Domestic Life Insurance (In thousands) | International Life Insurance | Annuities | All Others | Totals |
|---|--|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Revenues, excluding realized gains (losses): | | | | | |
| 2012 | \$52,399 | 158,559 | 397,707 | 42,797 | 651,462 |
| 2011 | 47,436 | 135,181 | 343,267 | 40,800 | 566,684 |
| 2010 | 45,015 | 137,117 | 348,020 | 40,365 | 570,517 |
| Segment earnings (losses): (A) | | | | | |
| 2012 | \$1,255 | 35,556 | 33,206 | 13,961 | 83,978 |
| 2011 | 460 | 17,674 | 20,253 | 13,299 | 51,686 |
| 2010 | (912 |) 21,722 | 34,316 | 14,212 | 69,338 |
| Segment assets: (B) | | | | | |
| 2012 | \$463,569 | 1,105,862 | 8,269,445 | 242,404 | 10,081,280 |
| 2011 | 403,868 | 1,023,942 | 7,997,407 | 225,716 | 9,650,933 |
| 2010 | 387,873 | 1,025,103 | 7,101,720 | 209,179 | 8,723,875 |

Notes to Table:

(A) Amounts exclude realized gains and losses on investments, net of taxes.

(B) Amounts exclude other unallocated assets.

Additional information concerning these industry segments is included in Note 13, Segment and Other Operating Information, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Competition and Ratings

National Western operates in a mature and highly competitive industry. We compete with hundreds of life and health insurance company groups in the United States as well as other financial intermediaries such as banks and securities firms who market insurance products. Many of these companies are larger, have more substantial capital and technological resources, possess greater brand recognition, and maintain higher ratings. Competitors in international territories include Pan-American Life Insurance, American Fidelity Life Insurance, Manhattan Life Insurance Company and Best Meridian Insurance while domestic market competitors include, among others, American Equity Investment Life, Sammons Financial Group (Midland, NACOLAH), AVIVA, Allstate (Lincoln Benefit), Lincoln National Life, Equitrust Life Insurance Company and Forethought Financial Group. Competitive factors are primarily the breadth and quality of products offered, established positions in niche markets, pricing, relationships with distribution channels, commission structures, the perceived stability of the insurer, quality of underwriting and customer service, scale and cost efficiency. Operating results of life insurers are subject to fluctuations not only from this competitive environment but also due to economic conditions, interest rate levels and changes, performance of

investments, and the maintenance of strong insurance ratings from independent rating agencies.

Table of Contents

In order to compete successfully, life insurers focus initiatives toward distribution, technology, defined end market targets, speed to the market in terms of product development, and customer relationship management as ways of gaining a competitive edge. The Company's management believes that it competes primarily on the basis of its longstanding reputation for commitment in serving international markets, its financial strength and stability, and its ability to attract and retain distribution based upon product and compensation. With respect to sales to residents of international countries, the Company is of the opinion that the home office and website infrastructure to support languages other than English, and the knowledge needed to effectively underwrite risks outside of the United States is a significant barrier to entry for potential competitors.

Ratings with respect to financial strength are an important factor in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies. Financial strength ratings are generally defined as a rating agency's opinion as to a company's financial strength and ability to meet ongoing obligations to policyholders and contract holders. Accordingly, ratings are important to maintaining public confidence and impact the ability to market products. The following summarizes the Company's current financial strength ratings.

| Rating Agency | Rating | Outlook |
|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| A.M. Best | A (Excellent) | Stable |
| Standard & Poor's | A (Strong) | Stable |

A.M. Best has 16 financial strength ratings assigned to insurance companies which currently range from A++ (Superior) to F (In Liquidation). Standard & Poor's has twenty-one financial strength ratings assigned to insurance companies ranging from "AAA" (Extremely Strong) to "R" (Regulatory Action). Both rating agencies further qualify their current ratings with outlook designations of "Positive", "Stable", and "Negative".

A.M. Best and Standard & Poor's ratings are an independent consideration of the Company's claims paying ability and are not a rating of the Company's investment worthiness. Accordingly, such ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities. The rating agencies formally review the Company and its rating on an annual basis with interim analysis performed as necessary. In June 2009, A.M. Best upgraded the Company's rating to "A" from "A-". This was particularly noteworthy given the financial crisis backdrop that framed this time period and the number of companies that were negatively impacted, often significantly, during this time. In June 2010, Standard & Poor's upgraded its outlook of the Company from "negative" to "stable". Generally speaking, as ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agency, there is no assurance that the Company's ratings will continue for a certain period of time. In the event the Company's ratings are subsequently downgraded, the Company's business may be negatively impacted.

Table of Contents

Risk Management

Similar to other insurers, the Company is exposed to a wide spectrum of financial, operational, and other risks as described in Item 1A “Risk Factors”. Effective enterprise risk management is a key concern for identifying, monitoring, measuring, communicating, and managing risks within limits and risk tolerances. The Company’s Board of Directors and senior management are knowledgeable of and accountable for key risks. The full Board of Directors meets at least every other month and regularly hears reports from the President and Chief Operating Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Chief Actuary, the Chief Investment Officer, and the Chief Compliance Officer. In addition, the Board has several committees which include the Audit Committee, the Investment Committee, and the Compensation and Stock Option Committee that regularly convene to address various aspects of risk.

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Governance Framework

Board of Directors and Sub-Committees of the Board

o

Company Senior Management

o

ERM Committees

| | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| o | o | o | o | o |
| Disclosure Committee | Asset/Liability Matching | Product Pricing/ Development | Compliance/Fraud Unit | Underwriting/ Claims |
| o | o | o | o | o |

Corporate Risk Function

| | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| Insurance Risk | Market Risk | Credit Risk | Operational Risk | Strategy Risk |
| o | o | o | o | o |

Lines of Business / Functional Areas

The Company maintains several management groups and committees that meet regularly to monitor, discuss and manage a variety of issues and risks associated with the business. These groups and committees include numerous areas such as regulatory compliance, financial reporting process and controls, fraud unit investigations, product spread management, and business strategy. Key members of senior management are involved with these groups and committees providing direction and oversight and serve as a reporting liaison with the Company’s Board of Directors and sub-committees. In addition, the Company’s Internal Audit department reviews financial and operational risk exposures and reports directly to the Audit Committee of the Company concerning their independent reviews and assessments of management’s efforts in these areas.

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures, including internal controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that assets are safeguarded and transactions are properly authorized, executed and recorded. The Company recognizes the importance of full and open presentation of its financial position and operating results and to this end maintains a Disclosure Controls and Procedures Committee comprised of senior executives who possess comprehensive knowledge of the Company’s business and operations. This Committee is responsible for evaluating disclosure controls and procedures and for the gathering, analyzing, and disclosing of information as required to be disclosed under the securities laws. It assists the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer in their responsibilities for making the certifications required under the securities laws regarding the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures. It ensures that material financial information is properly communicated up the Company’s hierarchy to the appropriate person or persons and that all disclosures are made in a timely fashion. This Committee reports directly to the Audit Committee of the Company.

The Company's product designs, underwriting standards and risk management techniques are utilized to protect against disintermediation risk and greater than expected mortality and morbidity risk. Disintermediation risk is limited through the use of surrender charges, certain provisions not allowing discretionary withdrawals, and market value adjustment features. Investment guidelines including duration targets, asset allocation tolerances and return objectives help to ensure that disintermediation risk is managed within the constraints of profitability criteria. Prudent underwriting is applied to select and price insurance risks and the Company regularly monitors mortality experience relative to its product pricing assumptions. Enforcement of disciplined claims management serves to further protect against greater than expected mortality.

Table of Contents

A significant aspect of the Company's business is managing the linkage of its asset characteristics with the anticipated behavior of its policy obligations and liabilities, a process commonly referred to as asset-liability matching. The Company maintains an Asset-Liability Committee ("ALCO") consisting of senior level members of the Company who assist and advise the Company's Board of Directors in monitoring the level of risk the Company is exposed to in managing its assets and liabilities in order to attain the risk-return profile desired. Certain members of the ALCO meet as frequently as necessary, to review and recommend for Board of Director ratification, current period interest crediting rates to policyholders based upon existing and anticipated investment opportunities. These rates apply to new sales and to products after an initial guaranteed period, if applicable. Rates are established after the initial guaranteed period based upon asset portfolio yields and each product's required interest spread, taking into consideration current competitive market conditions.

Underwriters generally follow detailed policies and procedures to assess and quantify the risk of the Company's individual life products based on the age, gender, health, occupation and financial resources of the applicant and the amount of insurance applied for. As the Company maintains reinsurance treaties with several third party reinsurers, the majority of the Company's risk assessment policies have been established in conjunction with reinsurer policies and practices. The Company bases premiums and policy charges for individual life insurance on expected death benefits, surrender benefits, expenses and required reserves. Assumptions regarding mortality, interest rates, policy persistency, expenses, premium payment patterns and investment performance are embedded in the pricing of policies. Deviations of actual experience from pricing assumptions may positively or negatively impact the profitability of the Company's products.

Substantially all products available to residents of other countries contain a currency clause stating that premium and claim "dollars" refer to lawful currency of the United States. Policy applications submitted through international insurance consultants are generally associated with individuals in upper socioeconomic classes who desire the stability and inflationary hedge of dollar denominated insurance products issued by the Company. The favorable demographics of this group typically results in a higher average policy size, and persistency and claims experience (from natural causes) similar to that in the United States. By accepting applications submitted on residents outside the United States, the Company is able to further diversify its revenue, earnings and insurance risk.

Insurance Product Liabilities

At December 31, 2012, the Company's total balance for liabilities pertaining to insurance products was \$8.7 billion. These product liabilities are payable over an extended period of time which the Company's product pricing makes certain assumptions for. The profitability of insurance products depends on this pricing and differences between the Company's expectations when the products are sold and the subsequent actual experience impacts future profitability.

Liabilities for insurance products are determined using standard actuarial tables and past experience. Accordingly, establishing reserves can be an uncertain process in some cases. The Company's financial results depend significantly upon the extent to which actual experience is consistent with the assumptions used in determining reserves and pricing the Company's products. If assumptions are incorrect with respect to future claims, future policyholder premiums and policy charges or the investment income derived from the assets supporting product liabilities, the Company would be required to increase its liabilities which would negatively affect operating results.

Table of Contents

Reinsurance

The Company follows the industry practice of reinsuring (ceding) portions of its insurance risks with a variety of reinsurance companies. All reinsurance is yearly renewable term. We do not use financial or surplus relief reinsurance. The use of reinsurance allows the Company to underwrite policies larger than the risk it is willing to retain on any single life and to continue writing a larger volume of new business. New sales of life insurance products are reinsured above prescribed limits and do not require the reinsurer's prior approval within certain guidelines. The maximum amount of life insurance the Company normally retains is \$500,000 on any one life. However, the use of reinsurance does not relieve the Company of its primary liability to pay the full amount of the insurance benefit in the event of the failure of a reinsurer to honor its contractual obligation. Consequently, the Company avoids concentrating reinsurance risk with any one reinsurer and only participates in reinsurance treaties with reputable carriers. No reinsurer of business ceded by the Company has failed to pay policy claims (individually or in the aggregate) with respect to our ceded business. The Company continuously monitors the financial strength of our reinsurers and has been able to obtain replacement coverages from financially responsible reinsurers when making changes. The Company's primary reinsurers as of December 31, 2012 were as follows.

| Reinsurer | A.M. Best Rating | Amount of In Force Ceded (\$000's) |
|--|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Hannover Life Reassurance Company | A | \$1,924,888 |
| SCOR Global Life Americas Reinsurance (Delaware) | A+ | 1,537,454 |
| RGA Reinsurance Company (Missouri) | A | 967,624 |
| Mapfre Re (Spain) | A | 772,714 |
| SCOR Global Life S.E. Branch (Delaware) | A | 161,398 |
| All others | | 66,166 |
| | | \$5,430,244 |

Regulatory and Other Issues

Regulation. The Company's insurance business is subject to comprehensive state regulation in each of the states it is licensed to conduct business. The laws enforced by the various state insurance departments provide broad administrative powers with respect to licensing to transact business, licensing and appointing agents, approving policy forms, regulating unfair trade and claims practices, establishing solvency standards, fixing minimum interest rates for the accumulation of surrender values, and regulating the type, amounts, and valuations of permitted investments, among other things. The Company is required to file detailed annual statements with each of the state insurance supervisory departments in which it does business. Annually, the Company's board-appointed qualified actuary must submit an opinion to state insurance regulators where the Company is licensed to do business on whether the statutory assets held backing the statutory reserves are sufficient to meet contractual obligations and related expenses of the insurer. If an opinion cannot be rendered noting the sufficiency of assets, the Company is required to establish additional statutory reserves which draw from available statutory surplus until the time such an opinion can be furnished.

The Company's operations and financial records are subject to examination by these departments at regular intervals. Statutory financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Colorado Division of Insurance, the Company's principal insurance regulator. Prescribed statutory accounting practices are largely dictated by the Statutory Accounting Principles adopted by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). The NAIC, as well as state regulators, continually evaluates existing laws and regulations

pertaining to the operations of life insurers. To the extent that initiatives result as a part of this process, they may be adopted in the various states in which the Company is licensed to do business. It is not possible to predict the ultimate content and timing of new statutes and regulations adopted by state insurance departments and the related impact upon the Company's operations although it is conceivable that they may be more restrictive.

Each state has insurance guaranty association laws under which insurers doing business in a state can be assessed contributions, up to prescribed limits, in order to cover contractual benefit obligations of insolvent insurance companies. The state guaranty associations levy assessments on each insurer on the basis of their proportionate share of the premiums written in the lines of business in which the insolvent insurer had been engaged. Some states permit the member insurers to recover the assessments paid through full or partial premium tax offsets.

Table of Contents

State insurance laws and regulations contain numerous provisions pertaining to the marketplace activities of insurers, including various provisions governing the form and content of disclosures made to consumers, policy illustrations, advertising material, sales practices and handling of policyholder complaints. State regulatory authorities enforce these prerequisites through periodic market conduct examinations.

The Company's business is also affected by U.S. federal, state and local tax laws. Although the federal government does not directly regulate the life insurance industry, federal measures previously considered or enacted by Congress, if revisited, could affect the insurance industry and the Company's business. These measures include the tax treatment of life insurance companies and life insurance products, as well as changes in individual income tax structures and rates. Even though the ultimate impact of any of these changes, if implemented, is uncertain, the persistency of the Company's existing products and the ability to sell products could be materially affected.

Given the ongoing legislative developments concerning insurance industry regulation, the NAIC and state regulators continue to revisit existing laws and statutes focusing on matters involving insurance company investments and solvency, market conduct, risk-adjusted capital measurements, enterprise risk management guidelines, interpretations of current laws, and creation of new laws. The Company does not believe the adoption of any of the current NAIC initiatives will have a material adverse impact on its operations; however, the Company cannot predict the form of any future proposals or regulation.

Despite having been in place for over two years, many of the key rules of the Dodd-Frank legislation have yet to be formalized, some of which may have an impact on insurers. To date, the Federal Insurance Office (FIO) has been established to accumulate information about the insurance industry. Its current mandate is very broad and covers a wide variety of topics although it is not empowered with any general regulatory authority over insurers.

The USA Patriot Act of 2001 ("Patriot Act") amended the Money Laundering Control Act of 1986 and the Bank Secrecy Act of 1970 to expand anti-money laundering ("AML") and financial transparency laws applicable to financial services companies, including insurance companies. Among other things, the Patriot Act seeks to identify parties involved in terrorism, money laundering or other illegal activities. The Company has adopted AML programs that include policies, procedures and controls to detect and prevent money laundering.

On August 10, 2012, the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 ("Iran Act") was signed into law. Section 219 of the Iran Act amended Section 13 of the Exchange Act to require an issuer filing periodic Exchange Act reports to provide disclosure whether during the reporting period it or any of its affiliates knowingly engaged in certain specified activities involving contacts with or support for Iran and other identified persons involved in terrorism or the creation of weapons of mass destruction. Such activities include, among other things, certain banking transactions supporting Iran's weapons of mass destruction activities and terrorism. The Company and its subsidiaries had no transactions or activities requiring disclosure under the Iran Act in this report filed on Form 10-K.

Risk-Based Capital Requirements. In order to enhance the regulation of insurer solvency, the NAIC established risk-based capital ("RBC") requirements to help state regulators monitor the financial strength and stability of life insurers by identifying those companies that may be inadequately capitalized. Under the NAIC's requirements, each insurer must maintain its total capital above a calculated threshold or take corrective measures to achieve the threshold. The threshold of adequate capital is based on a formula that takes into account the amount of risk each company faces on its products and investments. The RBC formula takes into consideration four major areas of risk which are: (i) asset risk which primarily focuses on the quality of investments; (ii) insurance risk which encompasses mortality and morbidity risk; (iii) interest rate risk which involves asset-liability matching issues; and (iv) other business risks. For each category, the RBC requirements are determined by applying specified factors to various assets, premiums, reserves, and other items, with the factor being higher for items with greater underlying risk and

lower for items with less risk. The standards require life insurers to submit a report to state regulators on an annual basis regarding their risk-based capital.

The RBC requirements provide for four levels of regulatory attention, varying with the ratio of the insurer's ratio of total adjusted capital to its RBC as measured on December 31 of each year. In addition, the RBC requirements provide for a trend test if an insurer's total adjusted capital falls to a certain range of its ratio relative to its RBC as of the end of the year. The Company's statutory capital and surplus at December 31, 2012, was significantly in excess of the threshold RBC requirements for regulatory attention and trend test analysis.

Table of Contents

Effects of Inflation. The rate of inflation as measured by the change in the average consumer price index has not had a material effect on the revenues or operating results of the Company during the three most recent fiscal years.

Employees. The Company had 280 employees as of December 31, 2012, substantially all of which worked in the Company's home office in Austin, Texas. None of the employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements governing their employment with the Company.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Company performance is subject to varying risk factors including general business and financial risk. Any or all of these risks could have a material adverse effect on the business, financial condition or results of the Company or cause the trading price of the Company's Class A common shares to decline materially. This section provides an overview of possible risk exposures at this point in time that could impact Company performance in the future. While these scenarios do not represent expectations of future experience, they are intended to illustrate the potential impacts if any of the following risks were to manifest into actual occurrences.

Current difficult conditions globally and in the U.S. economy may materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

The Company's results from operations are materially affected by economic conditions both in the U.S. and elsewhere around the world. From 2008 to 2010, the U.S. economy experienced severe credit and liquidity issues and experienced a recession. Although the recession may have ended, unemployment remains relatively high and business and consumer confidence low. Recent economic data seemingly points to growth, but future growth could be impacted by U.S. fiscal policies addressing the significant national budget deficits and debt levels. Concurrently, the contractionary environment affecting the European economy has the potential for ripple effects in the U.S. economy. Efforts by foreign financial authorities to address their plight through currency manipulation or similar versions of quantitative easing initiatives may serve to exacerbate the financial and economic headwinds.

Even under more favorable economic conditions, general factors such as credit availability, willingness of business to invest, consumer spending, financial market conditions and inflation affect the Company's business. Demand for our products and ultimately the profitability of our business may be adversely affected by anemic activity in any or all of these areas. Our current policyholders may opt to defer or stop paying insurance premiums. Adverse changes as detailed above could negatively affect our net income and have a material effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. The Company cannot foretell the occurrence of economic trends or the timing of changes in such trends.

Our investment portfolio is subject to several risks which may lessen the value of invested assets and the amounts credited to policyholders.

The Company primarily invests monies received in investment grade, fixed income investment securities in order to meet its obligations to policyholders and provide a return on its deployed capital. Accordingly, our business is exposed to the performance of debt markets. Adverse market conditions can affect the liquidity and value of our investments and we are subject to the risk that issuers of these securities may default on principal and interest payments, particularly in the event of an ongoing downturn in the economic and/or business climate. At December 31, 2012, approximately 2% of the Company's \$8.7 billion fixed income securities portfolio was comprised of issuers who were investment grade at the time the Company acquired them but were subsequently downgraded for various reasons. A substantial increase in defaults from these or other issuers could negatively impact the Company's financial

position and results of operations.

For the Company's fixed-indexed products, over the counter derivative instruments (index options) are purchased from a number of highly rated counterparties to fund the index credit to policyholders. In the event that any of these counterparties fails to meet their contractual obligations under these derivative instruments, the Company would be financially at risk for providing the credits due that the counterparty reneged on. The Company attempts to offset this risk through careful credit evaluation of counterparties, diversification of holdings among numerous institutions, and use of credit support agreements requiring counterparties to provide collateral at specified threshold levels. The failure of counterparties to perform could negatively impact the Company's financial strength and reduce the Company's profitability.

The concentration of the Company's portfolio in asset classes, industries, or geographic areas could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolios and, therefore, the Company's results of operations and financial position. In order to minimize this risk, the Company's investment guidelines contain maximum exposure thresholds to concentrations of risk in order to promote a broadly diversified portfolio.

Table of Contents

Significant financial and credit market volatility, changes in interest rates and credit spread margins, credit defaults, market illiquidity, declines in equity prices, ratings downgrades of the issuers of debt securities, and declines in general economic conditions, either singularly or in combination, could have a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations and financial condition through realized losses, impairments, and changes in unrealized loss positions.

The determination of valuation and impairments of fixed income securities include estimations and assumptions that are subjective and prone to differing interpretations and could materially impact our results of operations or financial condition.

The Company makes assumptions regarding the fair value and expected performance of its investments. During periods of market disruption and volatility, it becomes more difficult to evaluate securities particularly if trading becomes less frequent or market data becomes less observable. As a result, valuations may include inputs and assumptions that are less observable or require greater estimation and judgment as well as valuation methods which are more complex. We also consider a wide range of factors about security issuers in evaluating the cause of a decline in the estimated fair value of a security and in assessing the prospects for recovery. Inherent in this evaluation are assumptions about the operations of the issuer and its future earnings potential. Such evaluations are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. The decision on whether to record an other-than-temporary impairment is determined by our assessment of the financial condition and prospects of a particular issuer, projections of future cash flows and recoverability as well as our ability and intent to hold the securities to recovery or maturity. Expectations that the Company's investments in corporate debt securities will continue to perform in accordance with their contractual terms are based on evidence gathered through our normal credit surveillance process. Our conclusions concerning the recoverability of any particular security's market price could ultimately prove to be invalid as facts and circumstances change. It is possible that issuers of the Company's investments in corporate securities and/or debt obligations will perform worse than current expectations. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we have accurately assessed the level of impairments in our financial statements or that additional impairments may not need to be taken in the future. It is also possible that unanticipated events may lead the Company to dispose of such investments and recognize the effects of any market movements in its financial statements.

We are subject to changing interest rates and credit spreads, market volatility, and general economic conditions which may affect the risk and returns on both our investment portfolio and our products.

We are exposed to significant capital market risk related to changes in interest rates. Our investment performance, including yields and realization of gains and losses, may vary depending on economic and market conditions. Substantial and sustained changes, up or down, in market interest rate levels can materially affect the profitability of our products, the market value of our investments, and ultimately the reported amount of stockholders' equity.

A rise in interest rates will increase the net unrealized loss position of our investment portfolio and may subject the Company to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk is the risk that policyholders may surrender their contracts in a rising interest rate environment, requiring the Company to liquidate investments in an unrealized loss position (i.e. the market value less than the carrying value of the investments). The Company manages its liabilities and configures its investment portfolio so as to provide and maintain sufficient liquidity to support expected withdrawal demands. If the Company experiences unexpected withdrawal or surrender activity, it could exhaust liquid assets and be forced to liquidate other assets at a loss or on other unfavorable terms. With respect to fixed income security investments the Company maintains in an "Available for Sale" category, rising interest rates will cause declines in the market value of these securities. These declines are reported in our financial statements as an unrealized investment loss and a reduction of stockholders' equity.

There may be occasions, especially in the current climate, where the Company could encounter difficulty selling some of its investments due to a lack of liquidity in the marketplace. If the Company required significant amounts of cash on short notice during such a period, it may have difficulty selling investments at attractive prices, in a timely manner or both.

Significant changes in interest rates expose insurance companies to the risk of not realizing the anticipated spread between the interest rates earned on investments and the credited rates paid on in force policies and contracts. A decline in interest rates could expose the Company to reduced profitability due to minimum interest rate guarantees that are required in our products by regulation. As a key component of profitability, a narrowing of investment spreads (“spread compression”) could negatively affect operating results. Although the Company has the ability to adjust the rates credited on products in order to maintain our required investment spread, a significant decline in interest rate levels could affect investment yields to the point where the investment spread is compromised due to minimum interest rate guarantees. In addition, the potential for increased policy surrenders and cash withdrawals, competitor activities, and other factors could further limit the Company’s ability to maintain crediting rates on its products at levels necessary to avoid sacrificing investment spread.

Table of Contents

Changes in interest rates may also impact the Company's business in other ways. The Company's expectation for future interest earnings and spreads is an important component in determining the amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DPAC"). Significantly lower interest earnings or spreads may cause the Company to accelerate its amortization of DPAC thereby reducing net income in a reporting period. Additionally, during periods of declining interest rates, life insurance and annuity products may be relatively more attractive savings alternatives to consumers resulting in increased premium payments on products with flexible premium features, repayment of policy loans, or otherwise a higher persistency of policies remaining in force from year-to-year during a period when the Company's investments carry lower returns.

The profitability of the Company's fixed-indexed products linked in part to market indices is significantly affected by the cost of underlying call options purchased to fund the credits owed to contract holders selecting this form of interest crediting. If there are little or no gains on the call options purchased over the expected life of these fixed-indexed products, the Company would incur expenses for credited interest over and above the option costs. In addition, if the Company does not successfully match the terms of the underlying call options purchased with the terms of the fixed-indexed products, the index credits could exceed call option proceeds. This would serve to reduce the Company's spread on the products and decrease profits.

We are subject to incurring difficulties in marketing and distributing our products through our current and future distribution channels.

The Company distributes its life and annuity products through independent broker-agents and consultants. There is substantial competition, particularly in the Company's domestic market, for independent broker-agents with the demonstrated ability to market and sell insurance products. Competition for these individuals or organizations typically centers on company reputation, products, compensation, home office support and the insurer's financial strength ratings. Competitiveness for such individuals and organizations also depends upon the relationships the Company develops with them. The Company's future sales and financial condition are dependent upon avoiding significant interruptions in attracting and retaining independent broker-agents and consultants.

As technology continues to evolve, comparison of a particular product of any company for a particular customer with competing products for that customer will become more readily available, which could also lead to increased competition as well as affecting agent, consultant and customer behavior.

We are subject to a downgrade in our financial strength ratings which may negatively affect our ability to attract and retain independent distributors, make our products less attractive to consumers, and may have an adverse effect on our operations.

Financial strength ratings are important criteria in establishing the competitive position of insurers. While financial strength ratings are not a recommendation to buy the Company's products, these ratings are important to maintaining public confidence in the Company, its products, and its competitive position. Ratings generally reflect the rating agencies' view of a particular company's financial strength, operating performance, and ability to meet its obligations to policyholders. However, some of the rating factors often relate to the particular views of the rating agency, their independent economic modeling, the general economic climate, and other circumstances outside of the insurer's control. Accordingly, we cannot predict with any certainty what actions rating agencies may take. A downgrade in our financial strength rating, or an announced potential downgrade, could affect our competitive position and make it more difficult to market our products vis-à-vis competitors with higher financial strength ratings. In extreme situations, a significant downgrade action by one or more rating agencies could cause a decrease in the sale of our products, prompt defections within our independent sales force, and induce existing policyholders to cancel their policies and withdraw funds from the Company. Currently, the major rating agencies, including A.M. Best and

Standard & Poor's, maintain stable outlooks on the U.S. life insurance industry. Irrespective, these rating agencies could revise their benchmarks regarding levels of capital, earnings, and other metrics that align with particular rating levels and impact their rating assessments of U.S. life insurance companies. These events could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and liquidity.

We are subject to competition from new sources as well as companies having substantially greater financial resources, higher ratings, and more expansive product offerings which could have an adverse impact upon our business levels and profitability.

Table of Contents

Our ability to compete is based upon a variety of factors including financial strength ratings, competitive products, service, scale, and distribution capacity. In recent years, there has been considerable consolidation among companies in the insurance and financial sectors resulting in large, well-capitalized entities that offer products comparable to the Company. Frequently, these larger organizations are not domiciled in the United States or are financial services entities attempting to establish a position in the insurance industry. These larger competitors often enjoy economies of scale which produce lower operating costs and the wherewithal to absorb greater risk allowing them to price products more competitively and, in turn, attract independent distributors. Such competition could result in lower sales or higher lapses of existing products. In addition, since the actual cost of products is not precisely known when they are sold, the Company is exposed to competitors who may sell products at prices that do not cover actual costs. Consequently, the Company may encounter additional product pricing pressures to lower prices for similar products and be challenged to maintain market share, profit margin targets and profitability criteria. Due to these competitive presences, the Company may not be able to effectively compete without negative affects on our financial position and results of operations.

We are subject to regulation and changes to existing laws that may affect our profitability or means of operations.

The Company is subject to extensive laws and regulations which are complex and subject to change. In addition, these laws and regulations are enforced by a number of different authorities including, but not limited to, state insurance regulators, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), state attorney generals, and the U.S. Department of Justice. Compliance with these laws and regulations is time consuming and any changes may materially increase our compliance costs and other expenses of doing business. The regulatory framework at the state and, increasingly, federal level pertaining to insurance products and practices is advancing and could affect not only the design of our products but our ability to continue to sell certain products.

The Company is subject to government regulation in each of the states in which it conducts business with such regulation vested in state agencies having broad administrative power dealing with many aspects of the Company's business. Regulators oversee matters relating to sales practices, policy forms, claims practices, types and amounts of investments, reserve adequacy, insurer solvency, minimum amounts of capital and surplus, transactions with related parties, and payments of dividends. At any given time, the Company may be subject to a number of financial, market conduct, or other examinations or audits. These examinations or audits may result in payment of fines and penalties as well as changes in systems or procedures, any of the which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Life insurer products generally offer tax advantages to policyholders via the deferral of income tax on policy earnings during the accumulation phase of the product, be it an annuity or a life insurance product, as compared to other savings instruments such as certificates of deposit and taxable bonds. Taxes are payable on income attributable to a distribution under a policy/contract for the year in which the distribution is made as opposed to the current taxation of other savings instruments. In addition, death benefit proceeds maintain a tax-free status. Periodically, Congress has considered legislation that would reduce or eliminate this tax advantage inherent to the life insurance industry and subject the industry's products to treatment more equivalent with other investments. In the event that the tax status of life insurance products is revised or reduced by Congress all life insurers would be adversely impacted.

Insurance companies that do business in a particular state are subject to assessment up to certain prescribed limits by that state's insurance guaranty association to provide funds to help pay for policyholder losses or liabilities of insolvent insurance companies. The financial crisis of 2008 and 2009 weakened the financial condition of numerous insurers, including insurers already in the state of receivership, thus increasing the risk of sparking guaranty fund assessments. As the amount and timing of assessments by state insurance guaranty associations is outside of the Company's control, the liabilities provided for these potential assessments in our financial statements may differ from the amounts

ultimately assessed.

Table of Contents

A number of U.S. jurisdictions have been investigating life insurer practices for compliance with unclaimed property laws. In highly publicized incidents, the practice by certain companies of using data available on the U.S. Social Security Administration's Death Master File or a similar data base in order to avoid paying periodic benefits under annuity contracts was disclosed. As a result, a number of jurisdictions are requiring life insurers to use this same data to identify instances where amounts under life insurance policies and annuity contracts are payable and to locate and pay beneficiaries under such contracts. The National Conference of Insurance Legislators ("NCOIL") has adopted the Model Unclaimed Life Insurance Benefits Act ("Act") and several states have adopted legislation that is substantially similar to the Act adopted by NCOIL. The Act imposes new requirements on insurers to periodically compare their in force life insurance and annuity policies against the Death Master File, investigate any identified matches to confirm the death of the insured and determine whether benefits are due and attempt to locate the beneficiaries or, if no beneficiary can be located, escheat the policy benefit to the respective state government as unclaimed property. The Act could result in additional payments to beneficiaries, additional escheatment of funds deemed abandoned under state laws, and/or administrative penalties. It is also possible that life insurers may be subject to claims regarding their business practices as a result given the legal uncertainty in this area. While preliminary efforts in this area have not disclosed substantial benefit amounts owed, additional payments or costs could be significant and have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act ("Dodd-Frank") was enacted into law. Dodd-Frank calls for expansive changes in the regulation and oversight of the financial industry intended to provide for greater supervision of financial industry entities, reduction of risk in banking practices and in securities and derivatives trading, enhancement of public company corporate governance practices and executive compensation disclosures, and greater protections to individual consumers and investors. Numerous provisions of Dodd-Frank require adoption of implementing rules and/or regulations. The process of adopting these have been delayed beyond the timeframes imposed by Dodd-Frank. Dodd-Frank established a Federal Insurance Office with the Department of Treasury. The director of this office is required to conduct a study on how to modernize and improve the system of insurance regulation in the United States, accomplished by increased national uniformity through either a federal charter or effective action by the states. Until various final regulations are defined, the full impact of the regulations on the Company will remain unclear. Legislative or regulatory requirements imposed may impact the Company in a variety of ways including placing the Company at a competitive disadvantage relative to its competition or other financial service entities, changing the competitive framework of the financial services sector and/or life insurance industry, making it more expensive for the Company to conduct its business, or requiring the reallocation of Company resources to legal and compliance-related activities. Consequently, the impact of Dodd-Frank on the Company, as well as that on the financial industry and economy cannot be determined until all the rules and regulations called for under the Act have been finalized and implemented.

The Company's operations are centralized at its Austin, Texas location and it is licensed to do business in forty-nine states (except New York) and various other U.S. territories and possessions and is regulated by the insurance departments in each of these locations. Although not otherwise licensed, the Company also accepts applications from and issues dollar-denominated policies to residents outside of the United States. From time to time insurance regulators in these non-U.S. locations have sought to exercise regulatory authority over the Company including the imposition of substantial penal fines. Although these non-U.S. regulators have no jurisdiction over the Company and any actions, including fines, would be unenforceable against the Company, the threat of regulatory action could impede the submission of future applications from residents in these locations. The Company's future sales and financial condition are dependent upon avoiding significant interruptions in receiving applications from residents outside of the United States.

Changes in accounting standards issued by standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our financial statements and affect the management of business operations.

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") as delineated in the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("FASB ASC"). GAAP is subject to constant review by various policy-setting organizations to address emerging accounting rules and issue interpretative accounting guidance. From time to time, the Company is required to adopt new or revised accounting standards or guidance that has been integrated into the FASB ASC. Future accounting standards required to be adopted could possibly change the current accounting treatment that the Company uses in its consolidated financial statements and such changes could possibly have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In addition, the FASB is in the process of working on several projects with the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") which could produce significant changes as GAAP converges with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). These projects include how the Company accounts for its insurance contracts and financial instruments and how its financial statements are prepared and presented. The SEC has proposed that filers in the U.S. be required to report financial results in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB rather than GAAP. Despite the gradual working toward convergence of GAAP and IFRS, adoption of IFRS would be a complete change to the Company's accounting and reporting. The changes to GAAP and the ultimate conversion to IFRS will invoke new demands on public companies in the areas of governance, internal controls, employee training, and disclosure and will likely affect how business operations are managed. The Company is unable to predict whether, and if so, when this proposal will be adopted and/or implemented.

The Company is also required to comply with statutory accounting principles ("SAP") which are subject to constant review by the NAIC and related task forces and committees. Various proposals either are currently or have been previously pending before the NAIC. The Company cannot predict whether or in what form reforms will be enacted by state legislatures and whether the enacted reforms will positively or negatively affect the Company.

We may be subject to unfavorable judicial developments, including the time and expense of litigation, which potentially could affect our financial position and results of operations.

Financial services companies are frequently targets of legal proceedings, including class action litigation. In the ordinary course of business, we are involved in various legal actions common to the life insurance industry, some of which may occasionally assert claims for large amounts. Companies in the life insurance and annuity lines of business have encountered litigation pertaining to allegations of improper sales practices in connection with the sale of life insurance, improper product design and disclosures, marketing unsuitable products to customers especially in the senior market, bad faith in the handling of insurance claims, and other similar pleas. In addition, life insurance companies are subject to risk of errors and misconduct of the agents selling their products for fraud, non-compliance with policies and recommending products or transactions that are not suitable in a particular situation. Often these legal proceedings have resulted in the award of substantial amounts disproportionate to the actual damages including material amounts of punitive compensatory damages. In some states, judges and juries have substantial discretion in awarding punitive and compensatory damages which creates the potential for material adverse judgments or awards. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of the liabilities established in the Company's accounts. Given the inherent unpredictability of litigation, there can be no assurance that such litigation, current or in the future, will not have such a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations or cash flows in any particular reporting period. In addition, the Company could also suffer significant reputation harm as a result of such litigation and the occurrence of such matters may become more frequent and/or severe in the event that general economic conditions deteriorate.

We could be liable with respect to liabilities ceded to reinsurers if the reinsurers fail to meet the obligations assumed by them.

The Company cedes material amounts of insurance to other unaffiliated insurance companies through reinsurance. New sales of life products are reinsured within prescribed limits and do not require the reinsurer's prior approval within certain guidelines. The Company's maximum retention limit on an insured life is \$500,000. However, these reinsurance arrangements do not fully discharge the Company's obligation to pay benefits on the reinsured business. If a reinsurer fails to meet its obligations, the Company would be forced to cover these claims. In addition, if a reinsurer becomes insolvent, it may cause the Company to lose its reserve credits on the ceded business which require the establishment of additional reserves. To mitigate the risks associated with the use of reinsurance, the Company carefully monitors the ratings and financial condition of its reinsurers on a regular basis and attempts to avoid

concentration of credit risks by spreading its business among several reinsurers in order to diversify its risk exposure.

The Company's ability to be competitive is affected by the availability of reinsurance. The availability and cost of reinsurance protection are impacted by our operating and financial performance as well as conditions beyond our control. In recent years, the number of life reinsurers has decreased as the reinsurance industry has consolidated. The lower number of life reinsurers has resulted in increased concentration of risk for insurers. If the cost of reinsurance were to increase or become unavailable, the Company could be adversely impacted.

We are subject to policy claims experience which can fluctuate from period to period and vary from past results or expectations.

The Company's earnings are significantly influenced by policy claims received and will vary from period to period depending upon the amount of claims incurred. In any given quarter or year, there is very limited predictability of claims experience. The liability established for future policy benefits is based upon a number of different factors. Our mortality experience could be adversely impacted by a catastrophic event such as a natural disaster, terrorist attack or pandemic event. In the event our future claim experience does not match our past results or pricing assumptions, our operating results could be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

We are subject to assumption inaccuracies regarding future mortality, persistency, and interest rates used in determining deferred policy acquisition costs which may require us to accelerate our amortization.

In the course of business, the Company makes certain assumptions regarding mortality, policy persistency, expenses, interest rates, business mix, investment performance and other factors concerning the type of business experience expected in future periods. Deferred policy acquisition costs (and deferred sales inducement amounts) are calculated using a number of these assumptions. They represent costs that vary with and are primarily related to the acquisition of new insurance and annuity contracts. Amortization of deferred policy acquisition expenses is dependent upon actual and expected profits generated by the lines of business that incurred the related expenses and are amortized over the expected lives of the corresponding contracts. The deferred policy acquisition costs recorded on the balance sheet are tested to determine if they are recoverable under current assumptions. The estimates and assumptions used to amortize deferred policy acquisition costs proportional to expected gross profits are also regularly reviewed. Due to the uncertainty associated with establishing these assumptions, the Company cannot, with precision, determine the exact pattern of profit emergence. Increases in actual or future withdrawals or surrenders or investment losses, often associated with severe economic recessions, could result in an acceleration of amortization. Accordingly, actual results could differ from the related assumptions which could have a material and adverse impact on the Company's operating results.

Assumptions and estimates involve judgment, and by their nature are imprecise and subject to changes and revisions over time. The Company's results may be affected, positively or negatively, by actual results differing from assumptions, by changes in estimates, and by changes resulting from implementing more sophisticated administrative systems and procedures that facilitate the calculation of more precise estimates.

Occurrence of natural or man-made disasters and catastrophes could adversely affect our ability to conduct business operations and the financial condition and results of operations.

The occurrence of natural disasters and catastrophes, including earthquakes, hurricanes, floods, tornadoes, fires, explosions, pandemic disease and man-made disasters, including acts of terrorism and military actions, could adversely affect the financial condition or results of operations of the Company. Such disasters and catastrophes could impact the Company directly by damaging our facilities, preventing employees from performing their duties or otherwise disturbing the Company's ordinary business operations, as well as indirectly by changing the condition and behaviors of consumers, business counterparties and regulators and potentially causing declines or volatility in economic and financial markets. Disasters or a pandemic could disrupt public and private infrastructure, including communications and financial services, which could disrupt the Company's normal business operations. In addition, such events and conditions could result in a decrease or halt in economic activity in large geographic regions, adversely impacting the marketing of the Company's business within such geographic areas which in turn could have an adverse effect on the Company.

The effects of natural and man-made disasters and catastrophes on the Company's business include, but are not limited to: an acceleration of the timing in which benefits are paid under the Company's insurance policies due to catastrophic loss of life; unexpected changes in persistency rates as policyholders affected by disaster may be unable to meet their contractual obligations; harm to the financial condition of the Company's reinsurers due to an increase in claims thereby impacting the cost and availability of reinsurance and possibly increasing the probability of default on reinsurance recoveries; and heightened volatility, loss of liquidity, and credit impairment in the financial markets resulting in harm to the Company's financial condition.

We are dependent upon effective information technology systems and on development and implementation of new technologies.

The Company's business operations are technology dependent for maintaining accurate records, administering complex contract provisions, and complying with increasingly demanding regulation. While systems developments can streamline many processes and in the long term reduce the cost of doing business, these initiatives can present short-term cost and implementation risks. Projections of expenses, implementation time frames and the ultimate enhancement values may be different from expectations and escalate over time. The Company also faces rising costs and time constraints in meeting data security compliance requirements of new and proposed regulations. These increased risks and expanding requirements expose the Company to potential data loss and damages and significant increases in compliance and litigation costs.

Table of Contents

The Company relies on its computer systems to conduct business and produce financial statements. While policies, procedures and back-up plans designed to prevent or minimize the effect of incapacity or failure are maintained, the Company's computer systems may be vulnerable to disruptions or breaches as a result of natural disasters, man-made disasters, criminal activity or other events beyond the Company's control. A computer virus, information security breach, disaster or unanticipated event could affect the data storage and processing systems of the Company, or its service providers, destroying or compromising valuable data or making it difficult to conduct business. The Company's computer systems may be inaccessible to its employees, business partners, and customers for an extended period of time. Even if employees of the Company are able to report to work, they may be unable to perform their duties if the Company's data or systems are disabled or destroyed. The failure or incapacity of any of the Company's computer systems could potentially disrupt operations, damage our reputation and adversely impact our profitability. Unanticipated problems with our disaster recovery or business continuity plans and systems could have a material adverse impact on our ability to resume and conduct business.

The Company retains confidential information on its systems, including customer information and proprietary business information, and relies on sophisticated commercial technologies to maintain the security of those systems. The increasing volume and sophistication of computer viruses, hackers and other external threats may increase the vulnerability of the Company's systems to data breaches. Anyone who is able to circumvent the Company's security measures could access, view, misappropriate, alter, or delete any information in the systems, including personally identifiable customer information, customer financial information, and proprietary business information. An increasing number of states require customers to be notified of any unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of their information. Any compromise of the security of the Company's technology systems that results in the disclosure of personally identifiable customer information could damage the Company's reputation, expose it to litigation, and result in significant technical, legal and other expenses.

The Company's business is dependent on the ability to keep up to date with effective, secure and advanced technology systems to reach a large number of people, provide sizable amounts of information, and secure and store vast quantities of data through our technology systems. Some of the Company's information technology systems are older legacy-type systems and require an ongoing commitment of resources to maintain current standards. These legacy systems are written in older programming languages with which fewer and fewer individuals are knowledgeable of and trained in. The Company's success is in large part dependent on maintaining and enhancing the effectiveness of existing legacy systems until converting to newer technologies and failure of these systems for any reason could disrupt our operations, result in the loss of business and adversely impact our profitability.

The Company could be adversely affected by changes to tax law or interpretations of existing tax law which could reduce the demand for certain insurance products.

The Internal Revenue Code (the "IRC") provides that income tax payable on investment earnings of certain life insurance and annuity products is deferred during the accumulation period of the policies/contracts giving certain of the Company's products a competitive advantage over other non-insurance products. If the IRC were amended to reduce the tax-deferred status of life insurance and annuity products, all life insurance companies, including the Company, would be adversely affected with respect to the ability to sell these products. Such changes in tax law could make the tax advantages of investing in certain life insurance and annuity products less attractive and adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

In addition, the Company is subject to federal corporate income taxes but benefits from certain tax provisions, including but not limited to, dividends-received deductions and insurance reserve deductions. Due to a variety of factors including the current Federal budget deficit and ongoing proposals from the U.S. Department of Treasury, there is a risk that federal tax legislation could be enacted lessening or eliminating some or all of the tax advantages

currently benefiting the Company and result in higher taxes. Provisions in the IRC currently require that a portion of expenses incurred in selling insurance products be deducted over a period of years rather than immediately deducted in the year such expenses are incurred (generally referred to as a "DAC tax" on insurance companies). The Company cannot predict what other changes to tax laws or interpretations of existing tax law may ultimately be enacted or adopted, or whether such changes will adversely affect the Company.

The Company may be required to establish a valuation allowance against its deferred tax assets which could materially affect the Company's results of operations and financial condition.

Differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases give rise to deferred tax assets. These deferred tax assets represent future tax savings that would otherwise be paid in cash. GAAP requires that such deferred tax assets be analyzed for their future realizability which is dependent upon the generation of sufficient future taxable income with which to offset the deferred tax assets. If it is determined that all or a portion of the deferred tax assets cannot be realized, an offsetting valuation allowance must be established with a corresponding charge to net income.

Table of Contents

The Company's current assessment of future taxable income in combination with the consideration of available tax planning opportunities has determined that it is more likely than not that it will generate sufficient taxable income to realize its deferred tax assets. This assessment of the realizability of our deferred tax assets requires significant judgment. If future events deviate from the Company's current assessment and cause a failure to achieve our projections, a valuation allowance may need to be established which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and financial condition. The Company's year-end net deferred tax assets reflect the current top marginal corporate income tax rate of 35%. Any future reduction in this rate would cause a writedown of our deferred tax assets.

Competition for employees is intense and the Company may not be able to attract and retain highly skilled people needed to support its business.

The Company's success and ability to reach goals is dependent upon its ability to attract and retain qualified personnel. The market for qualified personnel is extremely competitive and the Company may not be able to hire or retain key people. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of the company's key personnel could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations due to their skills, unique knowledge of our business, years of industry experience and the potential difficulty of quickly finding qualified replacements. The Company has managed to sustain lower than average employee turnover and retained valued employees with decades of experience in the Company's products, business and systems. As these individuals attain retirement age, the Company is exposed to the loss of cumulative knowledge in its operations. The Company's employees, including named executive officers, are not subject to employee contracts. Sales in our lines of business and our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected if the Company is unsuccessful in attracting and retaining qualified individuals or its recruiting and retention costs increase significantly.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

The Westcap Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary, owns the Company's principal office location in Austin, Texas and two buildings adjacent to it, totaling approximately 93,000 square feet that are leased and utilized by the Company. The Company's affiliate, Regent Care Building, LP, owns a 65,000 square foot building in Reno, Nevada, which is leased and utilized by another of the Company's affiliates, Regent Care Operations, LP, for use in its nursing home operations. The Company's subsidiary, Regent Care San Marcos A-3 LP, owns a 74,000 square foot building in San Marcos, Texas, which is also used in nursing home operations. Lease costs and related operating expenses for facilities of the Company's subsidiaries are currently not significant in relation to the Company's consolidated financial statements. The intercompany lease costs related to The Westcap Corporation and the nursing homes have been eliminated for consolidated reporting purposes.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In the normal course of business, the Company is involved or may become involved in various legal actions in which claims for alleged economic and punitive damages have been or may be asserted, some for substantial amounts. In recent years, carriers offering life insurance and annuity products have faced litigation, including class action lawsuits, alleging improper product design, improper sales practices, and similar claims. As discussed below, the Company has been a defendant over the past several years in two such class action lawsuits. Given the uncertainty involved in these

types of actions, the ability to make a reliable evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or an estimate of the amount of or range of potential loss is endemic to the particular circumstances and evolving developments of each individual matter on its own merits.

The Company was a defendant in a class action lawsuit initially filed on September 17, 2004, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. The California state court certified a class consisting of certain California policyholders age 65 and older alleging violations under California Business and Professions Code section 17200. The court additionally certified a subclass of 36 policyholders alleging fraud against their agent, and vicariously against the Company. The California Insurance Department intervened in this case asserting that the Company violated California insurance laws. The parties to this case became involved in court-ordered mediation and ongoing negotiations. On February 22, 2010, the Company reported in a Form 8-K filing a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs and plaintiff in intervention providing a settlement benefit of approximately \$17 million which was included in the Company's legal accrual provision at December 31, 2009. The settlement agreement was given final court approval at a Fairness Hearing on August 20, 2010. Including attorney's fees, policy benefits and other considerations, the Company paid out approximately \$22.4 million in the third and fourth quarters of 2010.

Table of Contents

The Company is currently a defendant in a second class action lawsuit pending as of June 12, 2006, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California. The case is titled In Re National Western Life Insurance Deferred Annuities Litigation. The complaint asserts claims for RICO violations, Financial Elder Abuse, Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, et seq, Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17500, et seq, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Fraudulent Concealment, Cal. Civ. Code 1710, et seq, Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, and Unjust Enrichment and Imposition of Constructive Trust. On July 12, 2010, the Court certified a nationwide class of policyholders under the RICO allegation and a California class under all of the remaining causes of action except breach of fiduciary duty. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses in this cause and intends to vigorously defend itself against the asserted claims. In addition, given the speculative and vague damage theories presented by the plaintiffs in the matter, the inability to ascertain any financial harm to the class of policyholders, and the current status of the case before the Court, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate a possible range of loss for disclosure in the accompanying financial statements. Therefore, no amounts have been provided in the financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2012 for this matter. Trial is set for April 22, 2013.

In addition to the two class action lawsuits described above, the Company is the named defendant in the case of Sheila Newman vs. National Western Life Insurance Company, which alleged mishandling of policyholder funds by an agent. On February 3, 2010, the 415th Judicial District Court of Parker County in Weatherford, Texas, entered a Final Judgment against the Company of approximately \$208,000 including actual damages of \$113,000 and amounts for attorney's fees, and prejudgment interest on the actual damages. In addition, the Final Judgment included \$150 million for exemplary damages. The Company vigorously defended this case and appealed the Final Judgment to the Court of Appeals Second District of Texas in Fort Worth. The Court of Appeals on August 11, 2011, reversed the trial court judgment in its entirety and rendered a take nothing verdict in favor of National Western. Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a motion for a rehearing which the Court ruled on October 13, 2011, that the trial court's judgment was still reversed and judgment was still entered that Newman take nothing, all in favor of National Western. The Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a Motion for Reconsideration En Banc which the Court of Appeals denied on October 27, 2011. The Plaintiffs (Appellees) then filed a Motion for Rehearing of the Court's amended decision, which the Court of Appeals denied on December 22, 2011. On March 21, 2012, Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a petition for review with the Texas Supreme Court and the Company filed its response on April 20, 2012. The Supreme Court asked the parties for briefs on the issues before deciding on whether to hear the case and both parties submitted their briefs. On February 14, 2013, the Supreme Court denied the Plaintiffs petition for review. On March 4, 2013, the Plaintiff filed for an extension of time to file a motion for rehearing.

On October 26, 2011 the Brazilian Superintendence of Private Insurance ("SUSEP") attempted to serve the Company with a subpoena regarding an administrative proceeding initiated by SUSEP in which it alleged that the Company was operating as an insurance company in Brazil without due authorization. The Company has been informed that SUSEP is attempting to impose a penal fine of approximately \$6 billion on the Company. SUSEP has unsuccessfully attempted to serve the Company with notice regarding this matter. The Company does not transact business in Brazil and has no officers, employees, property, or assets in Brazil. The Company and its legal advisors believe that SUSEP has no jurisdiction over the Company, that SUSEP's attempts at service of process have been invalid, and that any penal fine would be unenforceable. For the reasons described above, the Company does not believe that this matter meets the definition of a material pending legal proceeding as such term is defined in Item 103 of Regulation S-K but has included the foregoing description solely due to the purported amount of the fine sought.

Although there can be no assurances, at the present time, the Company does not anticipate that the ultimate liability arising from such other potential, pending, or threatened legal actions will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or operating results of the Company.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

25

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY,
RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER
PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

The principal market on which the Class A common stock of the Company trades is The NASDAQ - Stock Market® under the symbol "NWLI". The high and low sales prices for the Class A common stock for each quarter during the last two years and the cash dividends declared per common share are shown in the following table.

| Class A Common Stock Data (per share) | 1 st Quarter | 2 nd Quarter | 3 rd Quarter | 4 th Quarter |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2012: | | | | |
| High | \$ 154.98 | 143.29 | 148.79 | 160.75 |
| Low | 132.20 | 124.66 | 134.00 | 137.81 |
| Dividends Declared | — | — | 0.36 | — |
| 2011: | | | | |
| High | \$ 175.88 | 164.13 | 178.82 | 153.02 |
| Low | 151.78 | 149.85 | 132.15 | 130.00 |
| Dividends Declared | — | — | 0.36 | — |

There is no established public trading market for the Company's Class B common stock.

Equity Security Holders

The number of stockholders of record on March 13, 2013 was as follows:

| | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Class A Common Stock | 3,847 |
| Class B Common Stock | 2 |

Dividends

Class B common stockholders receive dividends at one-half the rate declared on Class A common stock. During 2012, the Company paid cash dividends on its Class A and Class B common stock in the amounts of \$1,236,516 and \$36,000, respectively. During 2011, the Company also paid cash dividends on its Class A and Class B common stock in the amounts of \$1,236,515 and \$36,000, respectively. Payment of dividends is within the discretion of the Company's Board of Directors but subject to prescribed limitations set by the Colorado Division of Insurance without prior approval. The Company's general policy is to reinvest earnings internally to finance the development of new business.

Securities Authorized For Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The Company has two equity compensation plans that were approved by security holders. Under the two plans, a total of 82,468 shares of the Company's Class A common stock may be issued upon exercise of the outstanding options at December 31, 2012. The weighted average exercise price of the outstanding options is \$186.19 per option. Excluding

the outstanding options, 291,000 shares of Class A common stock remain available for future issuance under the plans at December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the change in the Company's cumulative total stockholder return on its common stock with the NASDAQ - U.S. Companies Index and the NASDAQ - Insurance Stock Index. The graph assumes that the value of the Company's Class A common stock and each index was \$100 at December 31, 2007, and that all dividends were reinvested.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Effective March 10, 2006, the Company adopted and implemented a limited stock buy-back program associated with the Company's 1995 Stock Option and Incentive Plan ("Plan") which provides Option Holders the additional alternative of selling shares acquired through the exercise of options directly back to the Company. Option Holders may elect to sell such acquired shares back to the Company at any time within ninety (90) days after the exercise of options at the prevailing market price as of the date of notice of election. Effective August 22, 2008, the Company adopted and implemented another limited stock buy-back program substantially similar to the 2006 program for shares issued under the 2008 Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Company's repurchase of its Class A common shares from Option Holders for the quarter ended December 31, 2012. There were no shares repurchased during the period.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| October 1, 2012 through October 31, 2012 | — | \$— | N/A | N/A |
| November 1, 2012 through November 30, 2012 | — | — | N/A | N/A |
| December 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 | — | \$— | N/A | N/A |
| Total | — | \$— | N/A | N/A |

Purchased shares are reported in the Company's consolidated financial statements as authorized and unissued.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following five-year financial summary includes comparative amounts derived from the audited consolidated financial statements.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (In thousands except per share amounts) | | | | |
| Earnings Information: | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Universal life and annuity contract charges | \$ 149,328 | 132,133 | 127,192 | 145,651 | 133,424 |
| Traditional life and annuity contract premiums | 18,616 | 18,078 | 16,565 | 17,043 | 17,752 |
| Net investment income | 460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 | 393,531 | 273,362 |
| Other revenues | 23,470 | 25,439 | 25,377 | 17,348 | 12,769 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | 13,200 | 6,063 | 5,475 | (5,167) | (26,228) |
| Total revenues | 664,662 | 572,747 | 575,992 | 568,406 | 411,079 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | 51,842 | 46,494 | 52,929 | 48,997 | 39,759 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 121,922 | 133,088 | 96,449 | 115,163 | 127,161 |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 262,339 | 232,788 | 266,603 | 242,816 | 138,960 |
| Other operating expenses | 87,978 | 77,541 | 55,448 | 92,192 | 55,630 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 524,081 | 489,911 | 471,429 | 499,168 | 361,510 |
| Earnings before Federal income taxes | 140,581 | 82,836 | 104,563 | 69,238 | 49,569 |
| Federal income taxes | 48,023 | 27,209 | 31,666 | 23,754 | 15,927 |
| Net earnings | \$ 92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 | 45,484 | 33,642 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share: | | | | | |
| Class A | \$ 26.19 | 15.74 | 20.67 | 12.90 | 9.54 |
| Class B | \$ 13.09 | 7.87 | 10.33 | 6.45 | 4.77 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share: | | | | | |
| Class A | \$ 26.19 | 15.73 | 20.61 | 12.87 | 9.48 |
| Class B | \$ 13.09 | 7.87 | 10.33 | 6.45 | 4.77 |
| Balance Sheet Information: | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$ 10,263,858 | 9,727,999 | 8,773,948 | 7,518,735 | 6,786,480 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities | \$8,872,178 | 8,451,214 | 7,555,157 | 6,404,682 | 5,800,267 |
| Stockholders' equity | \$1,391,680 | 1,276,785 | 1,218,791 | 1,114,053 | 986,213 |
| Book value per common share | \$382.88 | 351.27 | 335.83 | 307.24 | 271.99 |

29

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides a "safe harbor" for forward-looking statements. Certain information contained herein or in other written or oral statements made by or on behalf of National Western Life Insurance Company or its subsidiaries are or may be viewed as forward-looking. Although the Company has taken appropriate care in developing any such information, forward-looking information involves risks and uncertainties that could significantly impact actual results. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, matters described in the Company's SEC filings such as exposure to market risks, anticipated cash flows or operating performance, future capital needs, and statutory or regulatory related issues. However, National Western, as a matter of policy, does not make any specific projections as to future earnings, nor does it endorse any projections regarding future performance that may be made by others. Whether or not actual results differ materially from forward-looking statements may depend on numerous foreseeable and unforeseeable events or developments. Also, the Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments, or otherwise.

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations ("MD&A") of National Western Life Insurance Company for the three years ended December 31, 2012 follows. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning on page 81 of this report.

Overview

The Company provides life insurance products on a global basis for the savings and protection needs of policyholders and annuity contracts for the asset accumulation and retirement needs of contract holders both domestically and internationally. The Company accepts funds from policyholders or contract holders and establishes a liability representing future obligations to pay the policy or contract holders and their beneficiaries. To ensure the Company will be able to pay these future commitments, the funds received as premium payments and deposits are invested in high quality investments, primarily fixed income securities.

Due to the business of accepting funds to pay future obligations in later years and the underlying economics, the relevant factors affecting the Company's business and profitability include the following:

- the level of sales and premium revenues collected
- persistency of policies and contracts
- return on investments sufficient to produce an acceptable spread margin over interest crediting rates
- investment credit quality which minimizes the risk of default or impairment
- levels of policy benefits and costs to acquire business
- the level of operating expenses
- effect of interest rate changes on revenues and investments including asset and liability matching
- maintaining adequate levels of capital and surplus
- actual levels of surrenders, withdrawals, claims and interest spreads and changes in assumptions for amortization of deferred policy acquisition expenses and deferred sales inducements
- change in the fair value of derivative index options and embedded derivatives pertaining to fixed-index life and annuity products

pricing and availability of adequate reinsurance

30

Table of Contents

The Company monitors these factors continually as key business indicators. The discussion that follows in this Item 7 includes these indicators and presents information useful to an overall understanding of the Company's business performance in 2012, incorporating required disclosures in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Impact of Recent Business Environment

The Company's business generally is aided by economic expansion, whether moderate or vigorous. Conversely, a muted economic recovery could adversely impact the demand for the Company's products in the future. Economic data has shown conflicting signals of both improvement and retrenchment over recent quarters generating uncertainty about the direction of the economy at least in the near term. The European debt crisis continues to cause stress within the markets and recent predictions of further contraction of economic activity in the region during 2013 amplify the concerns. There exists ongoing uncertainty to actions the United States Congress will take to address the national debt situation given the inability to address the "fiscal cliff" crisis in recent months. Although unemployment remains high at nearly 8% in the United States, recent minutes of Federal Reserve meetings indicate a growing faction no longer supporting the supposed stimulus effects of the quantitative easing programs implemented to spur economic growth. Inflation has now emerged as a concern to be monitored. Personal income growth remains relatively modest as the cessation of the payroll tax holiday of the past two years begins to filter through various measures of sales and consumption. In summary, many economic challenges remain both globally and in the United States.

The fixed income markets, our primary investment source, have generally experienced an improvement in fundamental credit quality as stronger liquidity and positive trends in corporate profitability provided a more favorable backdrop. The Company experienced minimal impairment and degradation of quality in its fixed income holdings during the financial crisis and subsequent recovery. Fixed maturity investment yields declined during 2012 as both credit spreads and U.S. Treasury yields migrated lower. Although the yield curve was moderately upward sloping at the end of 2012, the low overall interest rate environment creates a challenging scenario for obtaining yields to support crediting rates on interest sensitive products. We intentionally managed annuity sales in 2012 to lesser levels given the interest rate environment and the Company's desire to avoid adding blocks of business to its in force at exceptionally low interest rate thresholds.

Industry analysts and observers generally agree that a sudden jump in interest rate levels would be harmful to life insurers with interest-sensitive products as it could provide an impetus for abnormal product surrenders and withdrawals at the same time fixed debt securities held by insurers declined in market value. The federal government's burgeoning deficit and the Federal Reserve's "quantitative easing" initiatives threaten to push longer term interest rates higher by creating greater borrowing demand and fueling inflationary conditions, respectively. Observers of credit markets increasingly refer to "frothy" conditions with respect to bonds and their low yields and speculate as to what may be the impetus to reverse the course on lower rates. It is uncertain what direction and at what pace interest rate movements may occur in the future and what impact, if any, such movements would have on the Company's business, results of operations, cash flows or financial condition.

Our operating strategy continues to be to maintain capital levels substantially above regulatory and rating agency requirements. The Company maintains resources more than adequate to fund future growth and absorb abnormal periods of cash outflows.

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies

Accounting policies discussed below are those considered critical to an understanding of the Company's financial statements.

Impairment of Investment Securities. The Company's accounting policy requires that a decline in the value of a security below its amortized cost basis be evaluated to determine if the decline is other-than-temporary. The primary factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value for fixed income and equity securities is other-than-temporary include: (a) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (b) the reasons for the decline in value (credit event, interest rate related, credit spread widening), (c) the overall financial condition as well as the near-term prospects of the issuer, (d) whether the debtor is current on contractually obligated principal and interest payments, and (e) that the Company does not intend to sell the investment prior to recovery. In addition, certain securitized financial assets with contractual cash flows are evaluated periodically by the Company to update the estimated cash flows over the life of the security. If the Company determines that the fair value of the securitized financial asset is less than its carrying amount and there has been a decrease in the present value of the estimated cash flows since the previous purchase or prior impairment, then an other-than-temporary impairment charge is recognized. The Company would recognize impairment of securities due to changing interest rates or market dislocations only if the Company intended to sell the securities prior to recovery. When a security is deemed to be impaired a charge is recorded equal to the difference between the fair value and amortized cost basis of the security. In compliance with GAAP guidance the estimated credit versus the non-credit components are bifurcated, and the non-credit component is reclassified as unrealized losses in other comprehensive income. Once an impairment charge has been recorded, the fair value of the impaired investment becomes its new cost basis and the Company continues to review the other-than-temporarily impaired security for appropriate valuation on an ongoing basis. However, the new cost basis of an impaired security is not adjusted for subsequent increases in estimated fair value.

Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs ("DPAC"). The Company is required to defer certain policy acquisition costs and amortize them over future periods. These costs include commissions and certain other expenses that vary with and are directly associated with acquiring new business. The deferred costs are recorded as an asset commonly referred to as deferred policy acquisition costs. The DPAC asset balance is subsequently charged to income over the lives of the underlying contracts in relation to the anticipated emergence of revenue or profits. Actual revenue or profits can vary from Company estimates resulting in increases or decreases in the rate of amortization. The Company performs regular evaluations of its universal life and annuity contracts to determine if actual experience or other evidence suggests that earlier estimates should be revised. Assumptions considered significant include surrender and lapse rates, mortality, expense levels, investment performance, and estimated interest spread. Should actual experience dictate that the Company change its assumptions regarding the emergence of future revenues or profits (commonly referred to as "unlocking"), the Company would record a charge or credit to bring its DPAC balance to the level it would have been if using the new assumptions from the inception date of each policy.

DPAC is also subject to periodic recoverability and loss recognition testing. These tests ensure that the present value of future contract-related cash flows will support the capitalized DPAC balance to be amortized in the future. The present value of these cash flows, less the benefit reserve, is compared with the unamortized DPAC balance and if the DPAC balance is greater, the deficiency is charged to expense as a component of amortization and the asset balance is reduced to the recoverable amount. For more information about accounting for DPAC see Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Deferred Sales Inducements. Costs related to sales inducements offered on sales to new customers, principally on investment type contracts and primarily in the form of additional credits to the customer's account value or

enhancements to interest credited for a specified period, which are beyond amounts currently being credited to existing contracts, are deferred and recorded as other assets. All other sales inducements are expensed as incurred and included in interest credited to contract holders' funds. Deferred sales inducements are amortized to income using the same methodology and assumptions as DPAC, and are included in interest credited to contract holders' funds. Deferred sales inducements are periodically reviewed for recoverability. For more information about accounting for DPAC see Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Future Policy Benefits. Because of the long-term nature of insurance contracts, the Company is liable for policy benefit payments many years into the future. The liability for future policy benefits represents estimates of the present value of the Company's expected benefit payments, net of the related present value of future net premium collections. For traditional life insurance contracts, this is determined by standard actuarial procedures, using assumptions as to mortality (life expectancy), morbidity (health expectancy), persistency, and interest rates, which are based on the Company's experience with similar products. The assumptions used are those considered to be appropriate at the time the policies are issued. An additional provision is made on most products to allow for possible adverse deviation from the assumptions assumed. For universal life and annuity products, the Company's liability is the amount of the contract's account balance. Account balances are also subject to minimum liability calculations as a result of minimum guaranteed interest rates in the policies. While management and Company actuaries have used their best judgment in determining the assumptions and in calculating the liability for future policy benefits, there is no assurance that the estimate of the liabilities reflected in the financial statements represents the Company's ultimate obligation. In addition, significantly different assumptions could result in materially different reported amounts. A discussion of the assumptions used to calculate the liability for future policy benefits is reported in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Revenue Recognition. Premium income for the Company's traditional life insurance contracts is generally recognized as the premium becomes due from policyholders. For annuity and universal life contracts, the amounts collected from policyholders are considered deposits and are not included in revenue. For these contracts, fee income consists of policy charges for policy administration, cost of insurance charges and surrender charges assessed against policyholders' account balances which are recognized in the period the services are provided.

Investment activities of the Company are integral to its insurance operations. Since life insurance benefits may not be paid until many years into the future, the accumulation of cash flows from premium receipts are invested with income reported as revenue when earned. Anticipated yields on investments are reflected in premium rates, contract liabilities, and other product contract features. These anticipated yields are implied in the interest required on the Company's net insurance liabilities (future policy benefits less deferred acquisition costs) and contractual interest obligations in its insurance and annuity products. The Company benefits to the extent actual net investment income exceeds the required interest on net insurance liabilities and manages the rates it credits on its products to maintain the targeted excess or "spread" of investment earnings over interest credited. The Company will continue to be required to provide for future contractual obligations in the event of a decline in investment yield. For more information concerning revenue recognition, investment accounting, and interest sensitivity, please refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Note 3, Investments, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and the discussions under Investments in Item 7 of this report.

Pension Plans and Other Postretirement Benefits. The Company sponsors a qualified defined benefit pension plan, which was frozen effective December 31, 2007, covering substantially all employees, and three nonqualified defined benefit plans covering certain senior officers. In addition, the Company has postretirement health care benefits for certain senior officers. The freeze of the qualified benefit pension plan ceased future benefit accruals to all participants and closed the Plan to any new participants. In addition, all participants became immediately 100% vested in their accrued benefits as of that date. In accordance with prescribed accounting standards, the Company annually reviews plan assumptions.

The Company annually reviews its pension benefit plans' assumptions which include the discount rate, the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, and the compensation increase rate. The assumed discount rate is set based on the rates of return on high quality long-term fixed income investments currently available and expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension benefits. The assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets is generally set at the rate expected to be earned based on the long-term investment policy of the plans, the various classes of the

invested funds, input of the plan's investment advisors and consulting actuary, and the plan's historic rate of return. The compensation rate increase assumption is generally set at a rate consistent with current and expected long-term compensation and salary policy, including inflation. These assumptions involve uncertainties and judgment, and therefore actual performance may not be reflective of the assumptions.

Table of Contents

Other postretirement benefit assumptions include future events affecting retirement age, mortality, dependency status, per capita claims costs by age, health care trend rates, and discount rates. Per capita claims cost by age is the current cost of providing postretirement health care benefits for one year at each age from the youngest age to the oldest age at which plan participants are expected to receive benefits under the plan. Health care trend rates involve assumptions about the annual rate(s) of change in the cost of health care benefits currently provided by the plan, due to factors other than changes in the composition of the plan population by age and dependency status. These rates implicitly consider estimates of health care inflation, changes in utilization, technological advances, and changes in health status of the participants.

Share-Based Payments. Liability awards under a share-based payment arrangement have been measured based on the awards' fair value at the reporting date. The Black-Scholes valuation method is used to estimate the fair value of the options. This fair value calculation of the options includes assumptions relative to the following:

- exercise price
- expected term based on contractual term and perceived future behavior relative to exercise
- current price
- expected volatility
- risk-free interest rates
- expected dividends

These assumptions are continually reviewed by the Company and adjustments may be made based upon current facts and circumstances.

Other significant accounting policies, although not involving the same level of measurement uncertainties as those discussed above, but nonetheless important to an understanding of the financial statements, are described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). In addition, the Company regularly evaluates operating performance using non-GAAP financial measures which exclude or segregate derivative and realized investment gains and losses from operating revenues. Similar measures are commonly used in the insurance industry in order to assess profitability and results from ongoing operations. The Company believes that the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of the Company's results of operations by highlighting the results from ongoing operations and the underlying profitability factors of the Company's business. The Company excludes or segregates derivative and realized investment gains and losses because such items are often the result of events which may or may not be at the Company's discretion and the fluctuating effects of these items could distort trends in the underlying profitability of the Company's business. Therefore, in the following sections discussing consolidated operations and segment operations, appropriate reconciliations have been included to report information management considers useful in enhancing an understanding of the Company's operations to reportable GAAP balances reflected in the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Operations

Revenues. The following details Company revenues:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Universal life and annuity contract charges | \$ 149,328 | 132,133 | 127,192 |
| Traditional life and annuity premiums | 18,616 | 18,078 | 16,565 |
| Net investment income (excluding derivatives) | 432,901 | 424,369 | 384,771 |
| Other revenues | 23,470 | 25,439 | 25,377 |
| Operating revenues | 624,315 | 600,019 | 553,905 |
| Derivative gain (loss) | 27,147 | (33,335) |) 16,612 |
| Net realized investment gains (losses) | 13,200 | 6,063 | 5,475 |
| Total revenues | \$ 664,662 | 572,747 | 575,992 |

Universal life and annuity contract revenues - Revenues for universal life and annuity contract charges increased 13.0% in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to higher cost of insurance and administrative charges resulting from growth in the amount of business in force. Revenues for universal life and annuity products consist of policy charges for the cost of insurance, administration charges, and surrender charges assessed against policyholder account balances, less reinsurance premiums as depicted in the following table.

| Contract Charges: | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Cost of insurance and administrative charges | \$ 127,859 | 117,179 | 110,864 |
| Surrender charges | 39,156 | 31,368 | 35,897 |
| Other charges | (757) |) 1,676 | 32 |
| Gross contract revenues | 166,258 | 150,223 | 146,793 |
| Reinsurance premiums | (16,930) |) (18,090) |) (19,021) |
| Net contract charges | \$ 149,328 | 132,133 | 127,772 |

Cost of insurance charges were \$95.3 million in 2012 compared to \$90.3 million in 2011 and \$86.4 million in 2010. Cost of insurance charges typically trend with the size of the life insurance block in force. The increasing revenue from cost of insurance charges corresponds with the growth in life insurance in force. At December 31, 2012, the volume of life insurance in force increased to \$21.6 billion from \$20.9 billion as of the end of 2011. Administrative charges were \$32.6 million, \$26.9 million and \$24.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and correlate with new life insurance business sales both in number of policies placed as well as the volume of insurance issued. Surrender charges assessed against policyholder account balances upon withdrawal were \$39.2 million in 2012 compared to \$31.4 million in 2011 and \$35.9 million in 2010. While the Company earns surrender charge income that is assessed upon policy terminations, the Company's overall profitability is enhanced when policies remain in force and additional contract revenues are realized and the Company continues to make an

interest rate spread equivalent to the difference it earns on its investment and the amounts that it credits to policyholders.

Table of Contents

Traditional life and annuity premiums - Traditional life and annuity premiums increased 3% in 2012 compared to 2011. Traditional life insurance premiums for products such as whole life and term life are recognized as revenues over the premium-paying period. The Company's life insurance sales focus has been primarily centered around universal life products. Universal life products, especially the Company's equity indexed universal life products which offer the opportunity for consumers to acquire life insurance protection and receive credited interest linked in part to an outside market index such as the S&P 500 Index[®], have been more popular product offerings in the Company's markets. However, the recent financial crisis generated renewed interest in the Company's term life insurance products particularly with residents outside of the United States.

Net investment income (with and without derivatives) - A detail of net investment income is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Gross investment income: | | | |
| Debt securities | \$408,204 | 400,431 | 363,483 |
| Mortgage loans | 11,879 | 12,123 | 9,191 |
| Policy loans | 5,079 | 5,143 | 5,352 |
| Short-term investments | 936 | 273 | 631 |
| Other invested assets | 7,965 | 7,438 | 8,494 |
| Total investment income | 434,063 | 425,408 | 387,151 |
| Less: investment expenses | 1,162 | 1,039 | 2,380 |
| Net investment income (excluding derivatives) | 432,901 | 424,369 | 384,771 |
| Derivative gain (loss) | 27,147 | (33,335) |) 16,612 |
| Net investment income | \$460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 |

Debt securities generated approximately 94% of total investment income, excluding derivative gains and losses, in 2012, consistent with prior years. The Company's strategy is to invest substantially all of its cash flows in fixed debt securities consistent with its guidelines for credit quality, duration, and diversification. In the wake of two record years in annuity sales in 2010 and 2011, the Company experienced substantial cash flow for investing in debt securities which caused the portfolio to grow from \$7.4 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$8.2 billion at December 31, 2011 and to \$8.8 billion at December 31, 2012. Despite the growth in the debt security portfolio, lower interest rate levels have persisted in accordance with Federal Reserve monetary policy which has depressed long-term U.S. Treasury rates. When combined with historically tight spreads of corporate securities over U.S. Treasury rate levels, this has resulted in lower yields on new investment purchases. The yield on debt security purchases to fund insurance operations declined to 3.37% in 2012 and 4.18% in 2011 from 4.45% in 2010.

The Company's new mortgage loan activity has been relatively low by historical standards in recent years given the low level of rates and the higher level of risk associated with commercial properties in the current economic environment. Policy loan and other invested asset balances outstanding have remained relatively stable over the past few years.

Table of Contents

In order to assess underlying profitability and results from ongoing operations, net investment income performance is analyzed excluding derivative gain (loss), which is a common practice in the insurance industry. Net investment income performance is summarized as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands except percentages) | | |
| Excluding derivatives: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$432,901 | 424,369 | 384,771 |
| Average invested assets, at amortized cost | \$8,702,615 | 7,959,868 | 6,899,013 |
| Yield on average invested assets | 4.97 | % 5.33 | % 5.58 |
| Including derivatives: | | | |
| Net investment income | \$460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 |
| Average invested assets, at amortized cost | \$8,733,409 | 8,032,099 | 6,975,806 |
| Yield on average invested assets | 5.27 | % 4.87 | % 5.75 |

The decline in average invested asset yield, excluding derivatives, from 2010 to 2011 and to 2012 is due to the Company obtaining lower yields on newly invested cash inflows. As described above, the Company invests substantially most of its net cash flows in debt securities whose yields have declined during this period. The pattern in average invested asset yield, including derivatives, incorporates increases and decreases in the fair value of index options purchased by the Company to support its fixed-indexed products. Fair values of the purchased call options have oscillated over the past few years with gains in 2012 and 2010 and losses in 2011 due to intermittent increases and decreases in the S&P 500 Index[®] during this period. Refer to the derivatives discussion following this section for a more detailed explanation.

Other revenues - Other revenues primarily pertain to the Company's two nursing home operations in Reno, Nevada and San Marcos, Texas. Revenues associated with these operations were \$22.8 million, \$21.8 million and \$22.9 million in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Other revenues in 2011 also includes \$2.9 million received as net settlement of a lawsuit in which the Company was plaintiff.

Derivative gain (loss) - Index options are derivative financial instruments used to hedge the equity return component of the Company's fixed-indexed products. Derivative gain or loss includes the amounts realized from the sale or expiration of the options. Since the index options do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting under GAAP, they are marked to fair value on each reporting date and the resulting unrealized gain or loss is also reflected as a component of net investment income.

Gains and losses from index options are due to equity market conditions. Index options are intended to act as hedges to match the returns on the product's underlying reference index and the rise or decline in the index relative to the index level at the time of the option purchase causes option values to likewise rise or decline. As income from index options fluctuates with the underlying index, the contract interest expense to policyholder accounts for the Company's fixed-indexed products also fluctuates in a similar manner and direction. In 2012, the Company incurred unrealized gains on unexpired options in excess of the realized losses on expiring options thus resulting in an overall derivative gain for the period. In 2011, the opposite situation occurred as unrealized losses on unexpired options exceeded the realized gains on expiring options. In 2010, the reference indices increased and the Company recorded an overall gain

from index options with a corresponding increase in contract interest expenses.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the derivative gain (loss) amounts and total contract interest by year.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Derivatives: | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) | \$36,639 | (61,284 |) (22,167 |
| Realized gain (loss) | (9,492 |) 27,949 | 38,779 |
| Total gain (loss) included in net investment income | \$27,147 | (33,335 |) 16,612 |
| Total contract interest | \$262,339 | 232,788 | 266,603 |

Net realized investment gains (losses) - Realized gains (losses) on investments include impairment write-downs on real estate and investments in debt securities as well as valuation allowances recorded on mortgage loans. The net investment gains reported in 2012 consisted of gross gains of \$15.8 million, primarily from calls and sales of debt securities and the sale of a foreclosed property, offset by gross losses of \$2.6 million, which include other-than-temporary impairment losses described below.

The Company records impairment write-downs when a decline in value is considered to be other-than-temporary and full recovery of the investment is not expected. Impairments due to credit factors are recorded in the Company's consolidated statement of earnings while non-credit impairment losses are included in other comprehensive income (loss). Impairment and valuation write-downs reflected in the consolidated statements of earnings are summarized in the following table.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Impairment or valuation write-downs: | | | |
| Debt securities | \$1,243 | 125 | 670 |
| Equities | 97 | 14 | 59 |
| Mortgage loans | 637 | 609 | 387 |
| Real estate | 214 | (366 |) 178 |
| Total | \$2,191 | 382 | 1,294 |

Debt security impairments in 2012 and 2011 primarily include write-downs on asset-backed securities whose cash flows and fair values did not support the amortized cost basis at which the instruments were recorded in the financial statements. Debt security impairments in 2010 included similar impairment write-downs on certain asset-backed securities as well as impairment losses on corporate debt securities.

Equity impairments represent a mark-to-market write-down on securities in which the market discount to book value was significant and had been maintained for several reporting periods. Equity securities represent 0.1% of invested assets and individual holdings have an average cost basis of approximately \$50,000.

The mortgage loan valuation write-down in 2012 of \$0.7 million represents a general valuation allowance established for the Company's mortgage loan portfolio based upon the Company's loss experience over the past ten years. It is not identified with a specific underlying asset. The mortgage loan valuation write-downs in 2011 and 2010 principally involved a New Orleans, Louisiana property whose value was negatively impacted by Hurricane Katrina. The write-downs reflect an adjustment to a newly provided appraisal of the property. The property was subsequently acquired through foreclosure then sold during 2012 for a net gain on the sale of \$2.7 million. The real estate valuation write-down in 2010 principally pertains to property located in Fort Smith, Arkansas. The Company had a letter of intent to sell the property at December 31, 2010 and adjusted the carrying value associated with the offer. The Company subsequently sold the property in January 2011.

Table of Contents

Benefits and Expenses. The following details benefits and expenses.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | \$51,842 | 46,494 | 52,929 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 121,922 | 133,088 | 96,449 |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 262,339 | 232,788 | 266,603 |
| Other operating expenses | 87,978 | 77,541 | 55,448 |
| Totals | \$524,081 | 489,911 | 471,429 |

Life and other policy benefits - Life and other policy benefits include death claims of \$31.8 million, \$29.8 million and \$32.9 million for 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. While death claim amounts are subject to variation from period to period, the Company's mortality experience has generally been consistent with or better than its product pricing assumptions. Although not utilized previously, the Company initiated a project during 2012 to identify unreported death claims by researching the Social Security Administration master data base for deceased individuals matching with insureds under in force policies of the Company. The Company identified death claims of \$2.5 million in 2012 through this initiative for deaths incurred over the past decade and prior in some cases. Going forward, the Company will continue the program annually in order to identify unreported death claims although the amounts will be lesser in scope as the search will be more current. In January 2010, the country of Haiti, where the Company is licensed to sell its products, sustained a severe earthquake in its major city of Port-au-Prince. Death claims received by the Company as a result of this incident approximated \$3.1 million after reinsurance and are included in life and other policy benefits for 2010.

The Company had been implementing new actuarial reserving systems over the past several years that will enhance its ability to provide estimates used in establishing future policy liabilities, monitor the deferred acquisition costs and deferred sales inducement assets as well as support other actuarial processes within the Company. The Company substantially completed its installation of a vendor software product for use in calculating the GAAP reserve liability for future policy benefits of its products. The vendor system provides actuarial formula calculations producing refined estimates of reserves in accordance with GAAP. The previous reserving system produced estimated liabilities on state regulated actuarial formulas which were supplemented with adjustments in order to produce GAAP reserve estimates. The Company elected to purchase and install the new reserving system as growth in its lines of businesses created a need for more refined and controlled actuarial reserve computations in accordance with GAAP. The implementation of these new reserving systems for specific blocks of business began in the second quarter of 2009 and the final blocks of business were completed in the fourth quarter of 2011. During the conversion process, current reserving assumptions were reviewed and updated as appropriate.

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs - Life insurance companies are required to defer certain expenses that vary with, and are directly related to, the cost of acquiring new business. The majority of these acquisition expenses consist of commissions paid to agents, underwriting costs, and certain marketing expenses and sales inducements. The Company defers sales inducements in the form of first year interest bonuses on annuity and universal life products that are directly related to the production of new business. These charges are deferred and amortized using the same methodology and assumptions used to amortize other capitalized acquisition costs and the amortization is included in contract interest. Recognition of these deferred policy acquisition costs ("DPAC") as an expense in the consolidated financial statements occurs over future periods in relation to the expected emergence of

profits priced into the products sold. This emergence of profits is based upon assumptions regarding premium payment patterns, mortality, persistency, investment performance, and expense patterns. Companies are required to review universal life and annuity contract assumptions periodically to ascertain whether actual experience has deviated significantly from that assumed. If it is determined that a significant deviation has occurred, the emergence of profit patterns is to be "unlocked" and reset based upon the actual experience. DPAC balances are also adjusted each period to reflect current policy lapse or termination rates, expense levels and credited rates on policies as compared to anticipated experience ("true-up") with the adjustment reflected in current period amortization expense. In accordance with GAAP guidance the Company must also write off deferred acquisition costs, unearned revenue liabilities, and deferred sales inducement assets upon internal replacement of certain contracts as well as annuitizations of deferred annuities.

Table of Contents

The following table identifies the effects of unlocking and true-up adjustments on DPAC balances recorded through amortization expense for 2012, 2011 and 2010.

| Increase (Decrease) in DPAC Balance | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Unlocking | \$— | (7,945 |) (2,700 |
| True-up | 12,820 | (7,802 |) (4,117 |
| Totals | \$12,820 | (15,747 |) (6,817 |

As noted previously in the discussion of the Company's net investment income, investment yields have been declining precipitously the past several years in tandem with intervention by the Federal Reserve and its policies to aid the economy in recovering from the financial crisis of 2008-2009. These policies have depressed interest rate levels to historical lows. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Federal Reserve announced its intention to maintain interest rates at current levels for at least the next couple of years in order to promote further economic recovery. As a result of this announced intention, the Company reevaluated its assumptions concerning future investment portfolio yield rates and concluded that an unlocking of assumptions was required to incorporate the anticipated lowering of investment yields and its impact upon future investment spreads and profitability. The unlocking adjustment increased DPAC amortization expense by \$7.9 million and reduced DPAC asset balances for deferred annuities and universal life by a like amount.

During 2010 a correction was made to a surrender charge assumption for future years on one deferred annuity product line. This change resulted in an unlocking adjustment that increased the current period's DPAC amortization expense (decreased DPAC balance) by \$2.7 million. As the amount of the correction was determined to have occurred over the course of multiple previously reported periods, it was concluded that the amount of the correction was immaterial to the financial results reported in any of these periods, as well as the current period.

While the Company is required to evaluate its emergence of profits continually, management believes that the current amortization patterns of deferred policy acquisition costs are reflective of actual experience.

Table of Contents

True-up adjustments are recorded quarterly and the adjustments in 2012, 2011 and 2010 relate to changes in expense ratios, partial surrender rates, mortality rates, credited interest rates and earned rates for the current year's experience. The true-up adjustments by line of business were as shown in the following table.

| True-up Adjustments | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Annuities | \$(5,886 |) (6,842 |) (6,454 |
| International life | 12,696 | (582 |) 2,910 |
| Domestic life | 6,010 | (378 |) (573 |
| Totals | \$12,820 | (7,802 |) (4,117 |

Universal life and annuity contract interest - The Company closely monitors credited interest rates on interest sensitive policies, taking into consideration such factors as profitability goals, policyholder benefits, product marketability, and economic market conditions. As long-term interest rates change, the Company's credited interest rates are often adjusted accordingly, taking into consideration the factors described above. The difference between yields earned on investments over policy credited rates is often referred to as the "interest spread".

The Company's approximated average credited rates, excluding and including equity-indexed products, were as follows:

| | December 31, | | | December 31, | | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | (Excluding fixed-index products) | | | (Including fixed-index products) | | | |
| Annuity | 2.88 | % 3.08 | % 3.41 | % 2.87 | % 3.99 | % 3.93 | % |
| Interest sensitive life | 4.06 | % 4.14 | % 4.35 | % 5.48 | % 5.04 | % 5.25 | % |

Contract interest including fixed-index products also encompasses the performance of the index options associated with the Company's fixed-index products. As previously noted, the market performance of these derivative features resulted in net realized and unrealized gains in 2012 of \$27.1 million, net realized and unrealized losses of \$33.3 million in 2011, and net realized and unrealized gains of \$16.6 million in 2010.

In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company evaluated its excess benefit reserve calculations for a closed block of two-tier annuity contracts using the computational tools available with the upgraded reserving system discussed above. Changes in estimates for the block of business were implemented extending the benefit period and changing annuitization assumptions. As a result of these estimate changes, the Company recorded an additional contract reserve liability of \$19.2 million which is included in contract interest for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Contract interest in 2010 also includes \$16.7 million of policy credits added in conjunction with the settlement of a class action lawsuit involving the Company's annuity products. See additional comments in "Other Operating Expenses" below.

Table of Contents

Other operating expenses - Other operating expenses consist of general administrative expenses, licenses and fees, commissions not subject to deferral, nursing home expenses and compensation costs. These are summarized in the table that follows.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| General insurance expense | \$24,028 | 21,282 | 4,694 |
| Nursing home expenses | 21,620 | 21,046 | 20,056 |
| Compensation expense | 23,120 | 19,285 | 20,210 |
| Commission expense | 11,607 | 11,279 | 6,781 |
| Taxes, licenses and fees | 7,603 | 4,649 | 3,707 |
| Totals | \$87,978 | 77,541 | 55,448 |

During 2010, the Company settled a lawsuit which previously had been entirely accrued and expensed as a general insurance expense. The settlement defined the components of the amount previously accrued between policyholder benefits and operating expenses. This resulted in the Company decreasing operating expense by \$16.7 million during 2010 and reclassifying the amount as annuity contract interest. As the amount had been entirely accrued, there was no net effect on pretax earnings but it did produce a reduction in other operating expense in the 2010 results.

In addition to legal expense activity, general insurance expense includes amortization expense associated with capitalized system costs. The Company has been involved in major information system initiatives to enhance actuarial, accounting, policy acquisition, and policy administration processes. Costs related to these systems are capitalized during the development process and then amortized once they are placed into service and used in operations. Amortization expense in association with these system implementations was \$3.5 million, \$3.3 million, and \$2.6 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The increasing trend in amortization expense is attributable to additional functionality being placed into operation during the period.

Nursing home expenses include the Company's two facilities in Reno, Nevada and San Marcos, Texas. The increase in nursing home expense generally corresponds with services provided that are associated with increasing revenues.

Compensation expense includes share based compensation costs for the Company's stock option plans related to outstanding vested and unvested stock options. As these costs vary in tandem with the Company's Class A common share price as a result of marking the stock options to fair value under the liability method of accounting, the related expense amount varies positive or negative in any given period. For the three years shown, share based compensation expense totaled \$1.1 million in 2012, \$(2.6) million in 2011 and \$(0.1) million in 2010. The negative expense in 2011 and 2010 reflects the market price decline in the Company's Class A common stock as of the reporting dates while the increase in 2012 reflects an increase in the Company's Class A common shares from \$136.16 at December 31, 2011 to \$157.74 at December 31, 2012.

At year-end 2012, the Company was informed by the California Life and Health Guaranty Fund Association that it would be assessing the Company approximately \$1.8 million for its pro rata share as part of the finalization of the Executive Life Insurance Company insolvency of twenty years ago. The assessment will be forthcoming in the first half of 2013 and the entire amount was accrued at December 31, 2012 and included in taxes, licenses and fees expense.

Table of Contents

Segment Operations

Summary of Segment Earnings

A summary of segment earnings from continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is provided below. The segment earnings exclude realized gains and losses on investments, net of taxes.

| | Domestic Life Insurance (In thousands) | International Life Insurance | Annuities | All Others | Totals |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| Segment earnings (loss): | | | | | |
| 2012 | \$1,255 | 35,556 | 33,206 | 13,961 | 83,978 |
| 2011 | 460 | 17,674 | 20,253 | 13,299 | 51,686 |
| 2010 | (912 |) 21,722 | 34,316 | 14,212 | 69,338 |

Domestic Life Insurance Operations

A comparative analysis of results of operations for the Company's domestic life insurance segment is detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Premiums and other revenue: | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$31,143 | 30,387 | 27,622 |
| Net investment income | 21,194 | 16,980 | 17,226 |
| Other revenues | 62 | 69 | 167 |
| Total premiums and other revenue | 52,399 | 47,436 | 45,015 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | 10,633 | 11,636 | 13,484 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 7,461 | 11,467 | 9,352 |
| Universal life insurance contract interest | 17,507 | 9,760 | 10,643 |
| Other operating expenses | 14,895 | 13,890 | 12,839 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 50,496 | 46,753 | 46,318 |
| Segment earnings (loss) before Federal income taxes | 1,903 | 683 | (1,303) |
| Federal income taxes (benefit) | 648 | 223 | (391) |
| Segment earnings (loss) | \$1,255 | 460 | (912) |

Table of Contents

Revenues from domestic life insurance operations include life insurance premiums on traditional type products and contract revenues from universal life insurance. Revenues from traditional products are simply premiums collected, while revenues from universal life insurance consist of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration fees, and surrender charges assessed during the period. A comparative detail of premiums and contract revenues is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Universal life insurance revenues | \$32,655 | 29,607 | 26,787 |
| Traditional life insurance premiums | 4,640 | 5,509 | 5,960 |
| Reinsurance premiums | (6,152 |) (4,729 |) (5,125 |
| Totals | \$31,143 | 30,387 | 27,622 |

The Company's domestic life insurance in force policy count has been declining since 2008 resulting in lower universal life contract revenue charges. The pace of new policies issued has lagged the number of policies terminating from death or surrender by roughly a five-to-one rate over the three years shown causing a declining level of insurance in force from which contract revenue is received. This ratio improved somewhat during 2012 to a three-to-one relationship. Nonetheless, the number of domestic life insurance policies has declined from 66,000 at December 31, 2010 to 62,900 at December 31, 2011 and to 60,000 at December 31, 2012. Universal life insurance revenues are also generated with the issuance of new business based upon amounts per application and percentages of the face amount (volume) of insurance issued. The number of domestic policies issued during 2012 was 56% higher than in 2011 and the volume of insurance issued was 123% greater than that in 2011. Contract revenues associated with this increased new business activity account for the overall increase in domestic life premiums and contract charges.

During the latter part of 2008, the Company's internal checking and monitoring procedures detected potential instances of rebating in certain geographic markets and instituted commission caps and other preventive procedures to discourage this practice. Although not illegal in these markets, the practice of rebating is particularly prone to large face amount policies not renewing premium payments beyond the initial year of the policy. The level of life insurance volume subsequently lapsed at a rate in excess of 11.2% during 2010. However, this rate declined to 7.1% in 2011 and further to 6.6% in 2012.

Premiums collected on universal life products are not reflected as revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of earnings in accordance with GAAP. Actual domestic universal life premiums are detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Universal life insurance: | | | |
| First year and single premiums | \$76,545 | 27,571 | 11,672 |
| Renewal premiums | 18,428 | 19,643 | 21,025 |
| Totals | \$94,973 | 47,214 | 32,697 |

The Company's efforts over the past several years have been to attract new independent agents and to promote life products to improve domestic life sales. During the past couple of years the Company has achieved some success in this regard with the number of new policies issued increasing 76% in 2011, reversing a multi-year trend of declining activity, and trending higher still in 2012 as noted above. Sales the past two years have been substantially weighted toward single premium policies which do not have recurring premium payments. These products are targeting wealth transfer strategies involving the movement of accumulated wealth in alternative investment vehicles, including annuities, into life insurance products.

Table of Contents

Net investment income for this segment of business has been gradually increasing the past two years due to the increased new business activity described above and a higher level of investments needed to support this growth in policy obligations. The increase in net investment income has been partially muted by lower investment yields attained from debt security investment purchases during this timeframe. Net investment income also includes the gains and losses on index options purchased to back the index crediting mechanism on fixed -index universal life products. A detail of net investment income for domestic life insurance operations is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net investment income (excluding derivatives) | \$20,205 | 17,126 | 16,837 |
| Derivative gain (loss) | 989 | (146 |) 389 |
| Net investment income | \$21,194 | 16,980 | 17,226 |

Life and policy benefits for a smaller block of business are subject to variation from period to period. Claim activity during 2012 was consistent when compared to historical trends. The Company's overall mortality experience for this segment is in line with pricing assumptions.

As noted previously in the discussion of results from consolidated operations, the Company records true-up adjustments to DPAC balances each period to reflect current policy lapse or termination rates, expense levels and credited rates on policies as compared to anticipated experience with the adjustment reflected in current period amortization expense. To the extent required, the Company may also record unlocking adjustments to DPAC balances. The following table identifies the effects of unlocking and true-up adjustments on domestic life insurance DPAC balances recorded through amortization expense for 2010, 2011 and 2012.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Increase (Decrease) in DPAC Balance | | | |
| Unlocking | \$— | (1,542 |) — |
| True-up | 6,010 | (378 |) (573 |
| Totals | \$6,010 | (1,920 |) (573 |

The true-up adjustments recorded in 2012 increased the DPAC balance which conversely reduced amortization expense by a like amount for the year. The unlocking adjustment in 2011 came about as the Company reevaluated its assumptions concerning future investment portfolio yield rates and concluded that an unlocking of assumptions was required to incorporate the anticipated lowering of investment yields and its impact upon future investment spreads and profitability.

Table of Contents

International Life Insurance Operations

The Company's international life operations have been a steady contributor to the Company's overall earnings performance and represents a niche where the Company believes it has a competitive advantage. A stable population of distribution relationships has been developed given the Company's longstanding reputation for supporting its international life products coupled with the instability of competing insurers.

A comparative analysis of results of operations for the Company's international life insurance segment is detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Premiums and other revenue: | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$114,605 | 98,021 | 98,092 |
| Net investment income | 43,469 | 36,806 | 38,667 |
| Other revenues | 485 | 354 | 358 |
| Total premiums and other revenue | 158,559 | 135,181 | 137,117 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | 20,877 | 20,709 | 29,228 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 18,103 | 29,415 | 21,828 |
| Universal life insurance contract interest | 39,639 | 36,674 | 36,369 |
| Other operating expenses | 26,007 | 22,131 | 18,651 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 104,626 | 108,929 | 106,076 |
| Segment earnings before Federal income taxes | 53,933 | 26,252 | 31,041 |
| Federal income taxes | 18,377 | 8,578 | 9,319 |
| Segment earnings | \$35,556 | 17,674 | 21,722 |

As with domestic life operations, revenues from the international life insurance segment include both premiums on traditional type products and revenues from universal life insurance. A comparative detail of premiums and contract revenues is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Universal life insurance revenues | \$111,407 | 98,813 | 101,390 |
| Traditional life insurance premiums | 17,119 | 15,132 | 13,454 |
| Reinsurance premiums | (13,921 |) (15,924 |) (16,752 |
| Totals | \$114,605 | 98,021 | 98,092 |

Table of Contents

In general, universal life revenues and operating earnings are anticipated to emerge with the amount of international life insurance in force and a steady growth in new sales. Over the past three years, the volume of insurance in force has grown from \$17.3 billion at December 31, 2010 to \$18.6 billion at December 31, 2011 and to \$19.2 billion at December 31, 2012 promoting an increasing trend in revenues. Universal life insurance revenues are also generated with the issuance of new business based upon amounts per application and percentages of the face amount (volume) of insurance issued. During 2012, the number of international life policies issued declined approximately 19% from the prior year while the volume of insurance issued was roughly 15% less than that in 2011.

International universal life revenues also include surrender charges assessed upon surrender of contracts by policyholders. In the midst of the financial crisis the past few years, the Company's international policyholders in particular exhibited concern regarding the developments in U.S. financial markets. This evidenced itself in the Company's termination activity in its international life in force. During 2009, the Company incurred higher termination experience than is typical which resulted in recognition of increased surrender charge fee income. This level of termination activity subsequently subsided although the termination activity in 2012 increased over the pace incurred in 2011. The following table illustrates the Company's international life termination experience over the past five years.

| Volume In Force Terminations | Amount in \$'s (millions) | Annualized Termination Rate | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Year Ended December 31, 2012 | \$1,828.4 | 8.7 | % |
| Year Ended December 31, 2011 | 1,465.1 | 7.3 | % |
| Year Ended December 31, 2010 | 1,721.8 | 9.0 | % |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009 | 2,423.2 | 13.0 | % |
| Year Ended December 31, 2008 | 1,923.2 | 10.8 | % |

As noted previously, premiums collected on universal life products are not reflected as revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of earnings in accordance with GAAP. Actual international universal life premiums collected are detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Universal life insurance | | | |
| First year and single premiums | \$32,293 | 38,240 | 36,628 |
| Renewal premiums | 120,876 | 117,391 | 110,358 |
| Totals | \$153,169 | 155,631 | 146,986 |

The Company's most popular international products have been its fixed-index universal life products in which policyholders can elect to have the interest rate credited to their policy account values linked in part to the performance of the S&P 500 Index[®]. Included in the totals in the above table are collected premiums for fixed-index universal life products of \$97.8 million, \$98.1 million and \$90.2 million for the years ended 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

As noted previously, net investment income and contract interest include period-to-period changes in fair value pertaining to call options purchased to hedge the interest crediting feature on the fixed-index universal life products. To support the index crediting rate feature on its fixed-index universal life products the Company purchases S&P 500 Index[®] call options. With the growth in the block of business of these policies, the period-to-period changes in fair values of the underlying options used to hedge this interest crediting feature have had an increasingly greater impact on net investment income and universal life contract interest. A detail of net investment income for international life insurance operations is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net investment income (excluding derivatives) | \$39,074 | 39,528 | 36,205 |
| Derivative gain (loss) | 4,395 | (2,722 |) 2,462 |
| Net investment income | \$43,469 | 36,806 | 38,667 |

For liability purposes, the embedded option in the Company's policyholder obligations for this feature is bifurcated and separately reserved for. Accordingly, a comparable impact for the derivative component in the equity-index universal life product is reflected in the contract interest expense for each respective period.

Life and policy benefits primarily consist of death claims on policies. The Company's clientele for international products are generally wealthy individuals with access to U.S. dollars and quality medical care. Consequently, the amounts of coverage purchased tend to be larger amounts. In the year ended December 31, 2012, the average face amount of insurance purchased was approximately \$380,000, an increase of approximately 5% over the previous year. While life and policy benefit expense for the international life segment reflects the larger policies purchased, mortality due to natural causes is comparable to that in the United States. The expense shown for 2010 includes death claims of approximately \$3.1 million, after reinsurance, associated with the Haiti earthquake in January 2010.

As noted previously, the Company records true-up adjustments to DPAC balances each period to reflect current policy lapse or termination rates, expense levels and credited rates on policies as compared to anticipated experience as well as unlocking adjustments as necessary. The following table identifies the effects of unlocking and true-up adjustments on international life insurance DPAC balances recorded through amortization expense for 2012, 2011 and 2010.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Increase (Decrease) in DPAC Balance | | | |
| Unlocking | \$— | (1,926 |) — |
| True-up | 12,696 | (582 |) 2,910 |
| Totals | \$12,696 | (2,508 |) 2,910 |

Similar to domestic life results, the true-up adjustments recorded in 2012 increased the DPAC balance which conversely reduced amortization expense by a like amount for the year. The unlocking adjustment in 2011 came about as the Company reevaluated its assumptions concerning future investment portfolio yield rates and concluded that an unlocking of assumptions was required to incorporate the anticipated lowering of investment yields and its impact upon future investment spreads and profitability.

As indicated in the discussion concerning net investment income, contract interest expense includes fluctuations that are the result of the performance of underlying equity indices associated with fixed-index universal life products. The derivative gain (loss) realized on purchased call options is included in the amounts the Company credits to policyholders. For more details about the Company's use of index options to hedge the performance of equity indices refer to the derivative gain (loss) discussion in the Consolidated Operations section of Item 7.

Table of Contents

Annuity Operations

The Company's annuity operations are almost exclusively in the United States. Although some of the Company's investment contracts are available to international residents, current sales are small relative to total annuity sales. A comparative analysis of results of operations for the Company's annuity segment is detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Premiums and other revenue: | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$22,196 | 21,803 | 18,043 |
| Net investment income | 375,431 | 318,294 | 327,975 |
| Other revenues | 80 | 3,170 | 2,002 |
| Total premiums and other revenue | 397,707 | 343,267 | 348,020 |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | 20,332 | 14,149 | 10,217 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 96,358 | 92,206 | 65,269 |
| Annuity contract interest | 205,193 | 186,354 | 219,591 |
| Other operating expenses | 25,456 | 20,474 | 3,905 |
| Total benefits and expenses | 347,339 | 313,183 | 298,982 |
| Segment earnings before Federal income taxes | 50,368 | 30,084 | 49,038 |
| Federal income taxes | 17,162 | 9,831 | 14,722 |
| Segment earnings | \$33,206 | 20,253 | 34,316 |

Premiums and contract charges primarily consist of surrender charge income recognized on terminated policies. The amount of surrender charge income recognized is determined by the volume of surrendered contracts as well as the duration of each contract at the time of surrender given the pattern of declining surrender charge rates over time that is common to most annuity contracts. The Company's lapse rate for annuity contracts during 2012 was 6.1%, a slight increase from a rate of 5.9% in 2011 and 5.5% in 2010.

Deposits collected on annuity contracts are not reflected as revenues in the Company's consolidated statements of earnings in accordance with GAAP. Actual annuity deposits collected are detailed below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Fixed-indexed annuities | \$680,210 | 902,613 | 900,301 |
| Other deferred annuities | 145,785 | 458,692 | 510,332 |
| Immediate annuities | 38,722 | 29,685 | 20,378 |

| | | | |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Totals | \$864,717 | 1,390,990 | 1,431,011 |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

49

Table of Contents

Fixed-index products are more attractive for consumers when interest rate levels remain low and equity markets produce positive returns. Since the Company does not offer variable products or mutual funds, fixed-index products provide an important alternative to the Company's existing fixed interest rate annuity products. Fixed-index annuity deposits as a percentage of total annuity deposits were 79%, 65% and 63% for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increasing percentage of fixed-index products to total annuity deposits reflects the low interest rate environment and the current bull market in equities.

As a selling inducement, some of the deferred products, as well as the fixed-index annuity products, include a first year interest rate bonus ranging from 1% to 7% depending upon the product, in addition to the base first year interest rate. Other products include a premium bonus ranging from 2% to 10% which is credited to the account balance when premiums are applied. These bonus rates are deferred in conjunction with other capitalized policy acquisition costs. The amount deferred to be amortized over future periods amounted to approximately \$31.8 million, \$46.7 million and \$51.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Amortization of deferred sales inducements is included as a component of annuity contract interest as described later in this discussion of annuity operations.

A detail of net investment income for annuity operations is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net investment income (excluding derivatives) | \$353,667 | 348,761 | 314,214 |
| Derivative gain (loss) | 21,763 | (30,467) |) 13,761 |
| Net investment income | \$375,430 | 318,294 | 327,975 |

As previously described, derivatives are call options purchased to hedge the equity return component of the Company's fixed-index annuity products with any gains or losses from the sale or expiration of the options, as well as period-to-period changes in fair values, reflected in net investment income. Given the bifurcation of the embedded option in the determination of the contract reserve liability, a comparable impact for the derivative component in fixed-index annuity products is reflected in contract interest expense.

Life and policy benefits for the annuity segment includes reserves established for contracts in a payout mode with or without life contingencies. The actuarial reserving systems that the Company implemented during the past several years included this particular block of policies. With the growth in the Company's overall block of annuity business more contracts convert to a payout status and reserves are established for those policies. Additionally, during 2011, the Company added \$19.2 million of reserves to a closed block of annuity business with higher minimum guaranteed interest rates as a result of extending the assumed benefit period beyond that previously used.

Consistent with the domestic and international life segments, the Company records true-up adjustments to DPAC balances each period to reflect current policy lapse or termination rates, expense levels and credited rates on policies as compared to anticipated experience as well as unlocking adjustments as necessary. The following table identifies the effects of unlocking and true-up adjustments on annuity DPAC balances recorded through amortization expense for 2010, 2011 and 2012.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

(In thousands)

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---|
| Increase (Decrease) in DPAC Balance | | | | |
| Unlocking | \$— | (4,477 |) (2,700 |) |
| True-up | (5,886 |) (6,842 |) (6,454 |) |
| Totals | \$(5,886 |) (11,319 |) (9,154 |) |

50

Table of Contents

As the true-up adjustments in each period decreased DPAC balances a corresponding increase in DPAC amortization expense was recorded. The true-up adjustments in 2012, 2011 and 2010 relate to changes in policy lapse or termination rates, expense levels and credit rates compared to anticipated experience. In addition to the true-up adjustments shown above, during the second quarter of 2011 the Company decreased its DPAC balances (increased amortization expense) an additional \$4.0 million for adjustments determined in conjunction with the implementation of the new actuarial software platform which allowed the Company to perform an analysis of DPAC balances in finer detail.

The unlocking adjustment in 2011 came about as the Company reevaluated its assumptions concerning future investment portfolio yield rates and concluded that an unlocking of assumptions was required to incorporate the anticipated lowering of investment yields and its impact upon future investment spreads and profitability. During 2010, the Company unlocked for a change in projected surrender charge rates on certain fixed rate annuities that reduced DPAC (and increased amortization expense) by \$2.7 million. Unlocking was not done during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Annuity contract interest includes the equity component return associated with the call options purchased to hedge the Company's fixed-index annuities. The detail of fixed-index annuity contract interest as compared to contract interest for all other annuities is as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Fixed-indexed annuities | \$107,046 | 78,831 | 153,331 |
| All other annuities | 102,757 | 124,523 | 95,353 |
| Gross contract interest | 209,803 | 203,354 | 248,684 |
| Bonus interest deferred and capitalized | (31,758 |) (46,708 |) (51,089 |
| Bonus interest amortization | 27,150 | 29,708 | 21,996 |
| Total contract interest | \$205,195 | 186,354 | 219,591 |

The fluctuation in reported contract interest amounts for fixed-indexed annuities is driven by sales levels, the level of the business in force and the positive or negative performance of equity markets on option values. The derivative gain (loss) information included in the net investment income discussion above is largely reflected in the amounts shown for contract interest for fixed-indexed annuities.

True-up adjustments for the deferred sales inducement balance are done each period similar to that done with respect to DPAC balances. For the year ended December 31, 2012, deferred sales inducement balances were reduced by \$5.5 million in true-up adjustments. This decrease is included in the above table as an addition to bonus interest amortization. For the year ended December 31, 2011, deferred sales inducement balances were reduced by \$1.2 million for unlocking and by \$1.6 million pertaining to the Company's implementation of new actuarial system technology. These adjustments, reflected above as additional bonus interest amortization, were incremental to true-up adjustment recognized during the period.

Gross contract interest in 2010 includes an additional \$16.7 million for policy credits as part of the settlement of a class action lawsuit. This amount had been provided for in prior year financial results as an operating expense accrual since the character of the settlement amount was not known at that time. Accordingly, a reclassification was made in

the 2010 consolidated financial statements to report the amounts as contract interest with a corresponding reduction in other operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Other Operations

The Company's primary business encompasses its domestic and international life insurance operations and its annuity operations. However, the Company also has small real estate, nursing home, and other investment operations through its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Nursing home operations generated \$1.2 million, \$0.8 million and \$2.8 million of operating earnings in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The decline in earnings in 2011 reflects reductions in Medicare and Medicaid reimbursement rates as state governmental entities addressed their budget deficit conditions. The remaining earnings in Other Operations of \$12.8 million, \$12.5 million, and \$11.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, respectively, represent investment income from real estate, municipal bonds, and common and preferred equities held in subsidiary company portfolios principally for tax-advantage purposes. Included in these amounts are semi-annual distributions from a life interest in the Libby Shearn Moody Trust which is an asset held in NWLSM, Inc. Pretax distributions from this trust were \$4.9 million, \$4.5 million, and \$4.4 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

INVESTMENTS

General

The Company's investment philosophy emphasizes the careful handling of policyowners' and stockholders' funds to achieve security of principal, to obtain the maximum possible yield while maintaining security of principal, and to maintain liquidity in a measure consistent with current and long-term requirements of the Company.

The Company's overall conservative investment philosophy is reflected in the allocation of its investments, which is detailed below as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The Company emphasizes investment grade debt securities, with smaller holdings in mortgage loans and policy loans.

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Carrying Value | % | Carrying Value | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Debt securities | \$8,775,854 | 96.5 | \$8,250,316 | 96.3 |
| Mortgage loans | 142,170 | 1.6 | 157,460 | 1.8 |
| Policy loans | 71,549 | 0.8 | 74,967 | 0.9 |
| Derivatives, index options | 57,890 | 0.6 | 30,844 | 0.4 |
| Real estate | 18,800 | 0.2 | 19,220 | 0.2 |
| Equity securities | 12,267 | 0.1 | 16,546 | 0.2 |
| Other | 22,639 | 0.2 | 15,252 | 0.2 |
| Totals | \$9,101,169 | 100.0 | \$8,564,605 | 100.0 |

The Company's investment portfolio increased 6% to \$9.1 billion at December 31, 2012 compared to \$8.6 billion at December 31, 2011 due to positive cash flows from operating and financing activities. The primary driver of the increase was another strong annuity sales year. Although intentionally managed to a lower level, approximately \$0.8 billion in annuity deposits were collected in 2012. The increase in investments also includes improved security fair market values (for debt securities categorized as "available for sale" and reported at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet) as a result of a decline in interest rates and, to a lesser extent, credit spread tightening. The unrealized gain position of the Company's securities available for sale portfolio, which is reported at fair market value, increased

from \$202.3 million at December 31, 2011 to \$257.5 million at December 31, 2012.

Derivatives, index options are call options purchased to hedge the interest crediting mechanism associated with the Company's fixed-index universal life and annuity policies. These options are reported on the balance sheet at fair value in accordance with GAAP. As previously discussed in the Results of Operations section of this Item 7, the unrealized gain position of options held at December 31, 2012 of \$36.6 million was approximately \$97.9 million larger than the unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011 (\$61.3 million).

Table of Contents

Debt and Equity Securities

The Company maintains a diversified portfolio which consists mostly of corporate, mortgage-backed, and public utility fixed income securities. Investments in mortgage-backed securities primarily include U.S. government agency pass-through securities and collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMO"). The Company's investment guidelines prescribe limitations by type of security as a percent of the total investment portfolio and all holdings were within these threshold limits. As of December 31, the Company's debt securities portfolio consisted of the following:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Carrying Value | % | Carrying Value | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Corporate | \$5,264,884 | 60.0 | \$4,289,680 | 52.0 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 1,957,380 | 22.3 | 2,258,706 | 27.4 |
| Public utilities | 1,062,666 | 12.1 | 1,045,387 | 12.6 |
| States & political subdivisions | 391,633 | 4.5 | 362,252 | 4.4 |
| U.S. agencies | 23,114 | 0.3 | 205,464 | 2.5 |
| Asset-backed securities | 48,216 | 0.5 | 56,178 | 0.7 |
| Foreign governments | 26,054 | 0.3 | 30,712 | 0.4 |
| U.S. Treasury | 1,907 | — | 1,937 | — |
| Totals | \$8,775,854 | 100.0 | \$8,250,316 | 100.0 |

Substantially all of the Company's investable cash flows are directed toward the purchase of debt securities. The Company's investment policy calls for investing in debt securities that are investment grade, meet quality and yield objectives, and provide adequate liquidity for obligations to policyholders. Debt securities with intermediate maturities are targeted by the Company as they more closely match the intermediate nature of the Company's policy liabilities and provide an appropriate strategy for managing cash flows. The percentage of mortgage-backed securities in the Company's investment portfolio declined during 2012 reflecting increased prepayment activity induced by the low interest rate environment. The Company holds minimal levels of U.S. Treasury securities due to their low yields and deposits most of these holdings with various state insurance departments in order to meet security deposit on hand requirements in these states.

Debt securities purchased to fund insurance company operations are summarized below.

| | Year Ended December 31 | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Dollars in thousands) | |
| Cost of acquisitions | \$1,419,730 | \$1,410,389 |
| Average S&P quality | A- | AA- |
| Effective annual yield | 3.37 | % 4.18 |
| Spread to treasuries | 1.59 | % 1.43 |
| Effective duration | 8.2 years | 7.1 years |

Table of Contents

Rating agencies generally view mortgage-backed securities as having additional risk for insurers holding interest sensitive product liabilities given a potential asset/liability disintermediation. Consequently, the Company holds predominantly agency mortgage-backed securities. Because mortgage-backed securities are subject to prepayment and extension risk, the Company has substantially reduced these risks by investing in collateralized mortgage obligations, which have more predictable cash flow patterns than pass-through securities. These securities, known as planned amortization class I ("PAC I"), very accurately defined maturity ("VADM") and sequential tranches are designed to amortize in a more predictable manner than other CMO classes or pass-throughs. The Company does not purchase tranches, such as PAC II and support tranches, that subject the portfolio to greater than average prepayment risk. Using this strategy, the Company can more effectively manage and reduce prepayment and extension risks, thereby helping to maintain the appropriate matching of the Company's assets and liabilities.

In addition to diversification, an important aspect of the Company's investment approach is managing the credit quality of its investments in debt securities. Thorough credit analysis is performed on potential corporate investments including examination of a company's credit and industry outlook, financial ratios and trends, and event risks. This emphasis is reflected in the high average credit rating of the Company's debt securities portfolio with 98.1% held in investment grade securities. In the table below, investments in debt securities are classified according to credit ratings by Standard and Poor's ("S&P®"), or other nationally recognized statistical rating organizations if securities were not rated by S&P®.

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Carrying Value | % | Carrying Value | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| AAA and U.S. government | \$111,859 | 1.3 | \$173,176 | 2.0 |
| AA | 2,646,017 | 30.2 | 3,067,454 | 37.2 |
| A | 2,529,537 | 28.8 | 2,078,808 | 25.2 |
| BBB | 3,322,674 | 37.8 | 2,784,711 | 33.8 |
| BB and other below investment grade | 165,767 | 1.9 | 146,167 | 1.8 |
| Totals | \$8,775,854 | 100.0 | \$8,250,316 | 100.0 |

National Western does not purchase below investment grade securities. The investments held in debt securities below investment grade are the result of subsequent downgrades of the securities. These holdings are further summarized below.

| | Below Investment Grade Debt Securities | | | | |
|-------------------|--|----------------|------------|----------------------|---|
| | Amortized Cost | Carrying Value | Fair Value | % of Invested Assets | |
| | (In thousands except percentages) | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | \$161,550 | 165,767 | 168,671 | 1.8 | % |
| December 31, 2011 | \$144,131 | 146,167 | 140,590 | 1.7 | % |

The Company's percentage of below investment grade securities compared to total invested assets at December 31, 2012 was relatively consistent with that of year-end 2011 although the dollar amount increased due to certain issues held being downgraded to below-investment grade levels during the year. The Company's holdings of below investment grade securities are relatively small and as a percentage of total invested assets low compared to industry

averages.

54

Table of Contents

Holdings in below investment grade securities by category as of December 31, 2012 are summarized below, including 2012 and 2011 fair values for comparison. The Company is continually monitoring developments in these industries that may affect security valuation issues.

| Industry Category | Below Investment Grade Debt Securities | | | |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Amortized Cost 2012 (In thousands) | Carrying Value 2012 | Fair Value 2012 | Fair Value 2011 |
| Retail | \$24,802 | 23,770 | 23,770 | 8,478 |
| Telecommunications | 5,434 | 8,538 | 8,538 | 7,863 |
| Asset-backed securities | 8,574 | 8,574 | 9,989 | 12,498 |
| Mortgage-backed | 7,764 | 7,775 | 7,775 | 8,173 |
| Transportation | — | 320 | 320 | 415 |
| Manufacturing | 52,755 | 54,380 | 56,325 | 55,210 |
| Banking/finance | 32,512 | 32,525 | 31,324 | 35,927 |
| Other | 29,709 | 29,885 | 30,630 | 12,026 |
| Totals | \$161,550 | 165,767 | 168,671 | 140,590 |

The Company closely monitors its below investment grade holdings by reviewing investment performance indicators, including information such as issuer operating performance, debt ratings, analyst reports and other economic factors that may affect these specific investments. While additional losses are not currently anticipated, based on the existing status and condition of these securities, continued credit deterioration of some securities or the markets in general is possible, which may result in further write-downs.

Certain European countries have experienced varying degrees of financial stress. Risks from the continued debt crisis in Europe could continue to disrupt financial markets and have a detrimental impact on global conditions as well as on sovereign and non-sovereign obligations. The Company has no exposure to the sovereign debt of Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece or Spain. These countries in particular are experiencing significant economic, fiscal and political strains that increase the likelihood of default for these countries. Additionally, the Company has no exposure to the debt of financial institutions domiciled in these countries.

However, the Company does have exposure to the debt of non-financial companies in these countries. The following table shows bond holdings at December 31, 2012 of non-financial companies that are domiciled in Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece or Spain held in the available for sale and held to maturity debt security portfolios.

Securities Available for Sale:

| Company | S&P Rating | Book Value 2012 | Fair Value 2012 | Country Domiciled |
|----------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Covidien | A | \$24,205 | 26,354 | Ireland |
| CRH | BBB+ | 10,997 | 11,643 | Ireland |
| Telecom Italia | BBB | 11,998 | 12,330 | Italy |
| Telefonica | BBB | 11,751 | 13,072 | Spain |
| Totals | | \$58,951 | 63,399 | |

Table of Contents

Securities Held to Maturity:

| Company | S&P Rating (In thousands) | Book Value 2012 | Fair Value 2012 | Country Domiciled |
|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Coca-Cola HBC | BBB | \$4,017 | 4,369 | Greece |
| CRH | BBB+ | 3,007 | 3,099 | Ireland |
| EDP | BB+ | 17,211 | 17,955 | Portugal |
| Enel | BBB+ | 19,914 | 21,960 | Italy |
| Finmeccanica | BBB- | 15,025 | 15,044 | Italy |
| Iberdrola Finance | BBB | 2,899 | 3,143 | Spain |
| Telecom Italia | BBB | 2,996 | 3,132 | Italy |
| Telefonica | BBB | 8,140 | 8,740 | Spain |
| Totals | | \$73,209 | 77,442 | |

Generally accepted accounting principles require that investments in debt securities be written down to fair value when declines in value are judged to be other-than-temporary. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price methodology). Refer to Note 14, Fair Values of Financial Instruments, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") credit related write-downs on debt securities totaling \$1.2 million and \$0.1 million on equity securities, respectively. The debt security impairments all pertained to asset-backed securities whose discounted future cash flows fell below the Company's amortized cost basis in such securities in conjunction with fair value declines. See Note 3, Investments Debt and Equity Securities, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for further discussion.

As of April 1, 2009, the Company adopted the GAAP guidance on the recognition and accounting for other-than-temporary impairments due to credit loss versus non-credit loss. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, and Note 3, Investments, in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for further discussion. Since adoption of this new guidance, the Company recognized \$7.4 million of other-than-temporary impairments; of which \$2.3 million was deemed credit related and recognized as realized investment losses in earnings, and \$5.1 million was deemed a non-credit related impairment and recognized in other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

The Company is required to classify its investments in debt and equity securities into one of three categories: (a) trading securities; (b) securities available for sale; or (c) securities held to maturity. The Company purchases securities with the intent to hold to maturity and accordingly does not maintain a portfolio of trading securities. Of the remaining two categories, available for sale and held to maturity, the Company makes a determination on categorization based on various factors including the type and quality of the particular security and how it will be incorporated into the Company's overall asset/liability management strategy. As shown in the table below, at December 31, 2012, approximately 30.3% of the Company's total debt and equity securities, based on fair values, were classified as securities available for sale. These holdings in available for sale provide flexibility to the Company to react to market opportunities and conditions and to practice active management within the portfolio to provide adequate liquidity to meet policyholder obligations and other cash needs.

| | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | Fair Value | Amortized | Unrealized |
| | (In thousands) | Cost | Gains |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | |
| Debt securities | \$6,503,627 | 5,962,120 | 541,507 |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Debt securities | 2,813,734 | 2,559,052 | 254,682 |
| Equity securities | 12,267 | 9,460 | 2,807 |
| Totals | \$9,329,628 | 8,530,632 | 798,996 |

Asset-Backed Securities

The Company holds approximately \$48.2 million in asset-backed securities as of December 31, 2012. This portfolio includes \$15.9 million of manufactured housing bonds and \$32.3 million of home equity loans (also referred to as subprime securities). The Company does not have any holdings in collateralized bond obligations ("CBO"s), collateralized debt obligations ("CDO"s), or collateralized loan obligations ("CLO"s). Principal risks in holding asset-backed securities are structural, credit, and capital market risks. Structural risks include the securities' priority in the issuer's capital structure, the adequacy of and ability to realize proceeds from collateral and the potential for prepayments. Credit risks include corporate credit risks or consumer credit risks for financing such as subprime mortgages. Capital market risks include the general level of interest rates and the liquidity for these securities in the marketplace.

Table of Contents

The Company holds one Alt-A security with a carrying value of \$3.8 million. The Alt-A sector is a sub-sector of the jumbo prime MBS sector. The average FICO for an Alt-A borrower is approximately 715 compared to a score of 730 for a jumbo prime borrower. The Company's exposure to the Alt-A and subprime sectors is limited to investments in the senior tranches of structured securities collateralized by Alt-A or subprime residential mortgage loans. The subprime sector is generally categorized under the asset-backed sector. This sector lends to borrowers who do not qualify for prime interest rates due to poor or insufficient credit history. Subprime borrowers generally have FICO scores of 660 or below. The slowing housing market, rising interest rates, and relaxed underwriting standards for loans originated after 2005 resulted in higher delinquency rates and losses beginning in 2007. These events caused illiquidity in the market and volatility in the market prices of subprime securities. With government intervention through the quantitative easing initiatives over the past few years, the housing market has begun to show signs of stabilizing. There has also been an improvement in the prices of subprime securities as the bond market became more liquid. All of the loans classified as Alt-A or subprime in the Company's portfolio as of December 31, 2012 were underwritten prior to 2005 as noted in the table below.

| Investment Origination Year | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Subprime: | | | | |
| 1998 | \$5,310 | 5,014 | 6,767 | 6,611 |
| 2002 | — | — | 492 | 740 |
| 2003 | 3,927 | 4,960 | 4,296 | 4,186 |
| 2004 | 23,067 | 26,225 | 21,123 | 19,931 |
| Subtotal subprime | \$32,304 | 36,199 | 32,678 | 31,468 |
| Alt A: | | | | |
| 2004 | \$3,839 | 3,839 | 4,223 | 4,223 |

As of December 31, 2012, 2 of the subprime securities were rated AAA, 3 were rated AA, 4 were rated BBB and 2 were rated CC.

Mortgage Loans and Real Estate

In general, the Company originates loans on high quality, income-producing properties such as shopping centers, freestanding retail stores, office buildings, industrial and sales or service facilities, selected apartment buildings, motels, and health care facilities. The location of these properties is typically in major metropolitan areas that offer a potential for property value appreciation. Credit and default risk is minimized through strict underwriting guidelines and diversification of underlying property types and geographic locations. In addition to being secured by the property, mortgage loans with leases on the underlying property are often guaranteed by the lease payments and also by the borrower. This approach has proven to result in quality mortgage loans with few defaults. Mortgage loan interest income is recognized on an accrual basis with any premium or discount amortized over the life of the loan. Prepayment and late fees are recorded on the date of collection.

The Company requires a minimum specified yield on mortgage loan investments. The historically low interest rate environment of the past couple of years has resulted in fewer loan opportunities being available which met the Company's required rate of return. Consequently, new mortgage loan origination activity has been less significant in

this time frame with \$21.5 million and \$37.3 million in total loans for the years 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Loans in foreclosure, loans considered impaired or loans past due 90 days or more are placed on a non-accrual status. If a mortgage loan is determined to be on non-accrual status, the mortgage loan does not accrue any revenue into the Consolidated Statements of Income. The loan is independently monitored and evaluated as to potential impairment or foreclosure. If delinquent payments are made and the loan is brought current, then the Company returns the loan to active status and accrues income accordingly. The Company has no loans past due 90 days which are accruing interest.

Table of Contents

The Company's direct investments in real estate are not a significant portion of its total investment portfolio as most of these investments were acquired through mortgage loan foreclosures. For the year ended December 31, 2012, one property was acquired through mortgage loan foreclosure and for the year ended December 31, 2011, no real estate properties were acquired through mortgage loan foreclosure. The Company also participates in several real estate joint ventures and limited partnerships that invest primarily in income-producing retail properties. These investments have enhanced the Company's overall investment portfolio returns.

The Company held net investments in mortgage loans totaling \$142.2 million and \$157.5 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The diversification of the portfolio by geographic region, property type, and loan-to-value ratio was as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Mortgage Loans by Geographic Region: | | | | |
| West South Central | \$78,010 | 54.6 | \$89,474 | 55.2 |
| New England | 21,160 | 14.8 | 21,401 | 13.2 |
| Mountain | 2,180 | 1.5 | 15,922 | 9.8 |
| Pacific | 18,178 | 12.7 | 12,001 | 7.4 |
| East South Central | 10,261 | 7.2 | 10,411 | 6.4 |
| East North Central | 10,367 | 7.3 | 10,018 | 6.2 |
| Middle Atlantic | 2,103 | 1.5 | 2,195 | 1.4 |
| South Atlantic | 561 | 0.4 | 609 | 0.4 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |
| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Mortgage Loans by Property Type: | | | | |
| Retail | \$98,180 | 68.7 | \$97,881 | 60.4 |
| Hotel/Motel | 4,139 | 2.9 | 25,679 | 15.8 |
| Land/Lots | 12,618 | 8.8 | 13,604 | 8.4 |
| Apartments | 16,919 | 11.9 | 11,103 | 6.9 |
| Office | 4,354 | 3.1 | 8,858 | 5.5 |
| All other | 6,610 | 4.6 | 4,906 | 3.0 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|
| | Amount (In thousands) | % | Amount (In thousands) | % |
| Mortgage Loans by Loan-to-Value Ratio (1): | | | | |
| Less than 50% | \$58,754 | 41.1 | \$68,048 | 42.0 |
| 50% to 60% | 27,832 | 19.5 | 32,091 | 19.8 |
| 60% to 70% | 23,518 | 16.5 | 25,692 | 15.9 |
| 70% to 80% | 9,431 | 6.6 | 5,505 | 3.4 |
| 80% to 90% | — | — | — | — |
| Greater than 90% | 23,285 | 16.3 | 30,695 | 18.9 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |

(1) Loan-to-Value Ratio using the most recent appraised value

The greater than 90% category is related to loans made with a long standing borrower which are backed by the investment property, contracted leases and the guarantee of the borrower.

The Company does not consider its mortgage loans to be a separate portfolio segment. The Company considers its primary class to be property type and primarily uses loan-to-value as its credit risk quality indicator. All loans within the portfolio are analyzed quarterly in order to monitor the financial quality of these assets. Based on ongoing monitoring, mortgage loans with a likelihood of becoming delinquent are identified and placed on an internal “watch list”. Among the criteria that would indicate a potential problem are: major tenant vacancies or bankruptcies, late payments, and loan relief/restructuring requests. The mortgage loan portfolio is analyzed for the need for a valuation allowance on any loan that is on the internal watch list, in the process of foreclosure or that currently has a valuation allowance.

Mortgage loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When it is determined that a loan is impaired, a loss is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount of the mortgage loan and the estimated value reduced by the cost to sell. Estimated value is typically based on the loan’s observable market price or the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell. Impairments and changes in the valuation allowance are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) in the consolidated statements of earnings.

The Company recognized valuation losses of \$0.65 million, \$0.609 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The mortgage loan valuation write-down in 2012 represents a general valuation allowance established for the Company's mortgage loan portfolio based upon the Company's loss experience over the past ten years and is not specifically identified to individual loans. The valuation write-downs in 2011 and 2010 pertained to specifically impaired loans. The specific principle balances requiring a valuation allowance were \$0.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$7.0 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The amount of interest recorded while these loans were impaired was \$0 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The impairments in 2011 and 2010 were based on information which indicated that the Company may not collect all amounts in accordance with the mortgage agreement. While the Company closely monitors its mortgage loan portfolio, future changes in economic conditions can result in impairments beyond those currently

identified.

60

Table of Contents

The following table represents the mortgage loan allowance for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 (In thousands) | 2011 |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$4,571 | 3,962 |
| Provision | 650 | 609 |
| Releases | (4,571 |) — |
| Balance, end of period | \$650 | 4,571 |

The mortgage loan allowance released during 2012 pertained to a property forced into bankruptcy which the Company subsequently acquired in a bankruptcy auction. The mortgage loan was foreclosed and the Company incurred a write-down of \$0.6 million and the property reclassified as a real estate investment included in other long-term investments on the Company's balance sheet. The property was subsequently sold in the third quarter of 2012 for a net gain of \$2.7 million. The mortgage loan provision at December 31, 2012 represents a general allowance based upon the Company's mortgage loan portfolio loss experience over the past ten years.

The Company does not recognize interest income on loans past due ninety days or more. The Company had no mortgage loans past due six months or more at December 31, 2012 and one mortgage loan past due six months or more at December 31, 2011, with principal balances totaling \$0.0 million and \$7.0 million, respectively. There was no interest income not recognized in 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The contractual maturities of mortgage loan principal balances at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Principal Balance by Contractual Maturity: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$23,869 | 16.6 | \$17,661 | 10.8 |
| Due after one year through five years | 44,973 | 31.3 | 62,851 | 38.6 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 44,993 | 31.4 | 51,720 | 31.8 |
| Due after ten years through fifteen years | 8,862 | 6.2 | 9,423 | 5.8 |
| Due after fifteen years | 20,759 | 14.5 | 21,188 | 13.0 |
| Totals | \$143,456 | 100.0 | \$162,843 | 100.0 |

The Company's real estate investments total approximately \$18.8 million at December 31, 2012 and \$19.2 million at December 31, 2011, and consist primarily of income-producing properties which are being operated by a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company recognized operating income on these properties of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company monitors the conditions and market values of these properties on a regular basis and makes repairs and capital improvements to keep the properties in good condition. The Company recorded net realized investment gains and losses of \$2.6 million, \$(0.1) million and \$(0.1) million associated with these properties in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

Derivatives, Index Options

The Company offers fixed-indexed universal life and annuity products that guarantee the return of principal to policyholders and, at the policyholder's election, credit interest based on a percentage gain in a specified market index, typically the S&P 500 Index® (policyholders may alternatively elect a fixed interest rate). Premiums and deposits received on these products are predominantly invested in investment grade fixed income securities with a portion used to purchase derivatives consisting of call options on the applicable market index to fund the index credits due to fixed-indexed policyholders. The call options purchased are one-year over-the-counter option contracts coinciding with the initial issuance of the policy and annual renewal periods in order to match the Company's funding requirements for the underlying policies. On the respective anniversary dates of the index policies, the index used to compute the annual index credit is reset and a new one-year call option is purchased to fund the next annual index credit.

Although the call options are employed to be effective hedges against the Company's policyholder obligations from an economic standpoint, they do not meet the requirements for hedge accounting under GAAP. Accordingly, the call options are marked to fair value on each reporting date with the change in fair value, plus or minus, included as a component of net investment income. The change in fair value of the call options includes the gains or losses recognized at the expiration of the option term and the changes in fair value for open contracts.

The Company's design of its fixed-indexed products incorporates a budget for the purchase of over-the-counter call options to fund the index credits due to policyholders. Management monitors current prices of these call options and manages the cost of purchases through the terms of the policy contracts. These terms permit the Company to change caps, participation rates, and asset fees, subject to guaranteed minimums, thus managing the cost of the call options quoted by counterparties. In addition, the Company's product terms allow for the Company to withdraw from offering a particular index option at any time effective on the next policy anniversary date.

The fair value of derivative instruments presented in the Company's consolidated financial statements totaling \$57.9 million at December 31, 2012 and \$30.8 million at December 31, 2011 pertain to notional policyholder account values of \$2.02 billion and \$1.95 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, electing interest credits based upon applicable market index performance.

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of change in market values of financial instruments due to changes in interest rates, currency exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. The most significant market risk exposure for National Western is interest rate risk. Substantial and sustained increases and decreases in market interest rates can affect the profitability of insurance products and fair value of investments. The yield realized on new investments generally increases or decreases in direct relationship with interest rate changes. The fair values of fixed income debt securities correlate to external market interest rate conditions as market values typically increase when market interest rates decline and decrease when market interest rates rise. However, market values may fluctuate for other reasons, such as changing economic conditions, market dislocations or increasing event-risk concerns.

Interest Rate Risk

A gradual increase in interest rates from current levels would generally be a positive development for the Company. Rate increases would be expected to provide incremental net investment income, produce increased sales of fixed rate products, and limit the potential erosion of the Company's interest rate spread on products due to minimum guaranteed crediting rates in products. Alternatively, a rise in interest rates will reduce the fair value of the Company's investment

portfolio and if long-term rates rise dramatically within a relatively short time period could expose the Company to disintermediation risk. Disintermediation risk is the risk that policyholders will surrender their policies in a rising interest rate environment forcing the Company to liquidate assets when they are in an unrealized loss position.

A decline in interest rates could cause certain mortgage-backed securities in the Company's portfolio to be more likely to pay down or prepay. In this situation, the Company typically will be unable to reinvest the proceeds at comparable yields. Lower interest rates will likely also cause lower net investment income, subject the Company to reinvestment rates risks, and possibly reduce profitability through reduced interest rate margins associated with products with minimum guaranteed crediting rates. Alternatively, the fair value of the Company's investment portfolio will increase when interest rates decline.

Table of Contents

The correlation between fair values and interest rates for debt securities the past two years is reflected in the tables below.

| | December 31, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| | (In thousands except percentages) | | | |
| Debt securities - fair value | \$9,317,361 | 8,690,737 | | |
| Debt securities - amortized cost | \$8,521,172 | 8,058,057 | | |
| Fair value as a percentage of amortized cost | 109.34 | % 107.85 | | % |
| Unrealized gains at year-end | \$796,189 | 632,680 | | |
| Ten-year U.S. Treasury bond - increase (decrease) in yield for the year | (0.12 |)% (1.42 | |)% |
| | Unrealized Gains Balance | | | |
| | Net Balance at | Net Balance at | | |
| | December 31, | December 31, | Change in Net | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | Balance | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities held to maturity | \$541,507 | 440,421 | 101,086 | |
| Debt securities available for sale | 254,682 | 192,259 | 62,423 | |
| Totals | \$796,189 | 632,680 | 163,509 | |

Debt securities held to maturity are recorded at their amortized cost basis. Accordingly, the unrealized gain amounts shown in the table above are not incorporated into the Company's consolidated financial statements at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Changes in interest rates typically have a sizable effect on the fair values of the Company's debt securities. During 2012, market interest rates of the ten-year U.S. Treasury bond decreased roughly 12 basis points from year end 2011. In addition, there was an increase in demand for corporate bonds across the yield curve causing spreads between corporate bonds and treasury securities to tighten. Therefore, the increase in unrealized gains of \$163.5 million was the result of the decline both in interest rates and corporate bond spreads. The Company would expect similar results in the future from a significant upward or downward movement in market rates. However, since the majority of the Company's debt securities are classified as held to maturity, which are recorded at amortized cost, changes in fair values have relatively small effects on the Company's financial results.

The Company manages interest rate risk principally through ongoing cash flow testing required for insurance regulatory purposes. Business models are used to perform cash flow testing under various commonly used stress test interest rate scenarios to determine if existing assets would be sufficient to meet projected liability outflows. Sensitivity analysis allows the Company to measure the potential gain or loss in fair value of its interest-sensitive instruments and to protect its economic value and achieve a predictable spread between what is earned on invested assets and what is paid on liabilities. The Company seeks to minimize the impact of interest risk through surrender charges that are imposed to discourage policy surrenders. Interest rate changes can be anticipated in the computer models and the corresponding risk addressed by management actions affecting asset and liability instruments. However, potential changes in the values of financial instruments indicated by hypothetical interest rate changes will likely be different from actual changes experienced, and the differences could be significant.

The Company has the ability to adjust interest rates, participation rates, and asset fees and caps, as applicable, in response to changes in investment portfolio yields for a substantial portion of its business in force. The ability to adjust these rates is subject to competitive forces in the market for the Company's products. Surrender rates could increase and new sales could be negatively affected if crediting rates are not competitive with the rates offered on competing products offered by other insurance companies and financial service entities. The Company designs its products with features encouraging persistency. Interest sensitive life and annuity products have surrender and withdrawal penalty provisions. Depending on the products, surrender charge rates on annuity contracts sold or in force range up to 25% and surrender charge periods up to 15 years. Typically, surrender charge rates gradually decrease each year the contract is in force.

Table of Contents

The Company seeks to minimize the impact of interest rate risk through surrender charges that are imposed to discourage policy surrenders and to offset unamortized acquisition costs. Certain products, such as supplementary contracts with life contingencies, are not subject to surrender or discretionary withdrawal. The Company also includes a market value adjustment (“MVA”) feature on many of its annuity products which may increase or decrease the amount paid to contract holders upon surrender of their contract as a means to further mitigate interest rate risk. The MVA is a mathematical formula which uses changes in interest rates since the inception of a contract (typically linked to U.S. Treasury interest rates) to the date of surrender and will decrease the amount paid upon surrender when interest rates rise or increase the amounts paid when interest rates decline. As noted above, the value of the Company’s fixed debt securities decline in a rising interest rate environment and the MVA feature which decreases amounts paid upon surrender in a rising rate environment serves to discourage this activity. Conversely, in a decreasing interest rate environment surrender activity poses less of a risk to the Company as the value of its fixed debt securities backing the contracts increases. If necessary, the securities backing the contracts surrendered could be sold at a gain offsetting the increased amount paid upon surrender due to the MVA.

The following table profiles the Company’s insurance liabilities at December 31, 2012 for annuities, deposit-type contracts and supplementary contracts with life contingencies by surrender and discretionary withdrawal characteristics.

| | December 31, 2012 | |
|---|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Subject to discretionary withdrawal: | | |
| With market value adjustment | \$1,802,791 | 26.5 |
| With surrender charge of 5% or more | 4,218,807 | 61.7 |
| With surrender charge of 5% or less | 349,893 | 5.1 |
| Not subject to discretionary withdrawal | 461,182 | 6.7 |
| Total | \$6,832,673 | 100.0 |

Interest Rate Sensitivity

The following table illustrates the market risk sensitivity of the Company's interest rate-sensitive assets. The table shows the effect of a change in interest rates on the fair value of the portfolio using models that measure the change in fair value arising from an immediate and sustained change in interest rates in increments of 100 basis points.

| | Fair Values of Assets | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | Changes in Interest Rates in Basis Points | | | | |
| | -100 | 0 | +100 | +200 | +300 |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Debt and equity securities | \$9,734,141 | 9,329,628 | 8,892,164 | 8,455,223 | 8,035,983 |
| Mortgage loans | 153,842 | 147,365 | 141,395 | 135,879 | 130,768 |
| Other loans | 15,697 | 15,273 | 14,872 | 14,491 | 14,128 |
| Derivatives | 57,146 | 57,890 | 60,327 | 62,739 | 65,460 |

Table of Contents

The selection of the 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve was made only as an illustration of the potential hypothetical impact of such an event and should not be construed as a prediction of future market events. Actual results could vary materially from those illustrated due to the nature of the estimates and assumptions used in the above analysis. Expected maturities of debt securities may differ from contractual maturities due to call or prepayment provisions. The models assume that prepayments on mortgage-backed securities are influenced by agency and pool types, the level of interest rates, loan age, refinancing incentive, month of the year, and underlying coupon. During periods of declining interest rates, principal payments on mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations tend to increase as the underlying mortgages are prepaid. Conversely, during periods of rising interest rates, the rate of prepayment slows. Both of these situations can expose the Company to the possibility of asset-liability cash flow and yield mismatch. The model uses a proprietary method of sampling interest rate paths along with a mortgage prepayment model to derive future cash flows. The initial interest rates used are based on the current U.S. Treasury yield curve as well as current mortgage rates for the various types of collateral in the portfolio.

Mortgage and other loans were modeled by discounting scheduled cash flows through the scheduled maturities of the loans, starting with interest rates currently being offered for similar loans to borrowers with similar credit ratings. Policy loans were modeled by discounting estimated cash flows using U.S. Treasury Bill interest rates as the base rates at December 31, 2012. The estimated cash flows include assumptions as to whether such loans will be repaid by the policyholders or settled upon payment of death or surrender benefits on the underlying insurance contracts and incorporate both Company experience and mortality assumptions associated with such contracts.

In addition to the securities analyzed above, the Company invests in index options which are derivative financial instruments used to hedge the equity return component of the Company's indexed annuity and life products. The values of these options are primarily impacted by equity price risk, as the options' fair values are dependent on the performance of the underlying reference index. However, increases or decreases in investment returns from these options are substantially offset by corresponding increases or decreases in amounts paid to indexed policyholders, subject to minimum guaranteed policy interest rates.

The Company's market risk liabilities, which include policy liabilities for annuity and supplemental contracts, are managed for interest rate risk through cash flow testing as previously described. As part of this cash flow testing, the Company has analyzed the potential impact on net earnings of a 100 basis point decrease and increases in increments of 100 basis points in the U.S. Treasury yield curve as of December 31, 2012. The potential impact on net earnings from these interest rate changes are summarized below.

| | Changes in Interest Rates in Basis Points | | | |
|------------------------|---|---------|-------|-------|
| | -100 | +100 | +200 | +300 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Impact on net earnings | \$(1,547 |) 2,456 | 3,880 | 4,402 |

These estimated impacts in earnings are net of tax effects and the estimated effects of deferred policy acquisition costs.

The above described scenarios produce estimated changes in cash flows as well as cash flow reinvestment projections. Estimated cash flows in the Company's model assume cash flow reinvestments, which are representative of the Company's current investment strategy. Calls and prepayments include scheduled maturities and those expected to occur which would benefit the security issuers. Assumed policy surrenders consider differences and relationships between credited interest rates and market interest rates as well as surrender charges on individual policies. The impact to earnings also includes the expected effects on amortization of deferred policy acquisition

costs. The model considers only annuity and supplemental contracts in force at December 31, 2012, and does not consider new product sales or the possible impact of interest rate changes on sales.

Credit Risk

The Company is exposed to credit risk through counterparties and within its investment portfolio. Credit risk relates to the uncertainty associated with an obligor's continued ability to make timely payments of principal and interest in accordance with the contractual terms of an instrument or contract. As previously discussed, the Company manages credit risk through established investment credit policies and guidelines which address the quality of creditors and counterparties, concentration limits, diversification practices and acceptable risk levels. These policies and guidelines are regularly reviewed and approved by senior management and the Company's Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

In connection with the Company's use of call options to hedge the equity return component of its fixed-indexed annuity and life products, the Company is exposed to the risk that a counterparty fails to perform under terms of the option contract. The Company purchases one-year option contracts from multiple counterparties and evaluates the creditworthiness of all counterparties prior to the purchase of the contracts. For consideration in contracting with a counterparty the rating required by the Company is a Standard & Poor's credit rating of "A" or higher and a Moody's rating of "A2" or higher. Accordingly, all options are purchased from nationally recognized financial institutions with a demonstrated performance for honoring their financial obligations and possessing substantial financial capacity. In addition, each counterparty is required to execute a credit support agreement obligating the counterparty to provide collateral to the Company when the fair value of the Company's exposure to the counterparty exceeds specified amounts. The amount of collateral to be provided is based upon a sliding scale tied to the credit rating of the counterparty (the higher the credit rating of the counterparty the higher the threshold of exposure before collateral is to be provided). At the highest credit rating level the maximum counterparty net exposure not subject to collateral support is \$20 million. This net exposure level declines as the counterparty credit rating declines and ultimately is \$0 at a rating of "BBB+". Counterparty credit ratings and credit exposure are monitored continuously by the Company's Investment department with adjustments to collateral levels managed as incurred under the credit support agreements.

The Company's net exposure to loss due to credit risk if the option counterparties failed to completely perform according to the terms of the one-year contracts is as follows at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| Counterparty | Moody/ S&P Rating | December 31, 2012 | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | Fair Value (In thousands) | Collateral Held | Net Exposure |
| Credit Suisse | A1/A+ | \$12,879 | 11,733 | 1,146 |
| Wells Fargo | Aa3/AA- | 8,150 | 162 | 7,988 |
| Bank of America | A3/A | 15,100 | 9,990 | 5,110 |
| Barclays Bank | A2/A+ | 9,644 | — | 9,644 |
| BNP Paribas | A2/A+ | 7,636 | 5,379 | 2,257 |
| JPMorgan Chase | Aa3/A+ | 4,481 | 1 | 4,480 |
| | | \$57,890 | 27,265 | 30,625 |
| Counterparty | Moody/ S&P Rating | December 31, 2011 | | |
| | | Fair Value (In thousands) | Collateral Held | Net Exposure |
| Credit Suisse | Aa1/A+ | \$8,881 | 8,472 | 409 |
| Wells Fargo | Aa3/AA- | 8,106 | — | 8,106 |
| Bank of America | A2/A | 7,192 | 1,824 | 5,368 |
| Barclays Bank | Aa3/A+ | 2,585 | — | 2,585 |
| BNP Paribas | Aa3/AA- | 2,312 | — | 2,312 |
| JPMorgan Chase | Aa1/A+ | 1,768 | 1 | 1,767 |
| | | \$30,844 | 10,297 | 20,547 |

The Company has never incurred a loss on index options due to counterparty default.

Table of Contents

The Company is also exposed to credit spread risk related to market prices of investment securities and cash flows associated with changes in credit spreads. Credit spread tightening will reduce net investment income associated with new purchases of fixed debt securities and increase the fair value of the investment portfolio. Credit spread widening will reduce the fair value of the investment portfolio and will increase net investment income on new purchases.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

Liquidity requirements are met primarily by funds provided from operations. Premium deposits and annuity considerations, investment income, and investment maturities and prepayments are the primary sources of funds while investment purchases, policy benefits in the form of claims, and payments to policyholders and contract holders in connection with surrenders and withdrawals as well as operating expenses are the primary uses of funds. To ensure the Company will be able to pay future commitments, the funds received as premium payments and deposits are invested in high quality investments, primarily fixed income securities. Funds are invested with the intent that the income from investments, plus proceeds from maturities, will meet the ongoing cash flow needs of the Company. The approach of matching asset and liability durations and yields requires an appropriate mix of investments. Although the Company historically has not been put in the position of having to liquidate invested assets to provide cash flow, its investments consist primarily of marketable debt securities that could be readily converted to cash for liquidity needs. The Company may also borrow up to \$40 million on its bank line of credit for short-term cash needs. There were no borrowings outstanding under the line of credit at December 31, 2012.

A primary liquidity concern for life insurers is the risk of an extraordinary level of early policyholder withdrawals. The Company includes provisions within its annuity and universal life insurance policies, such as surrender and market value adjustments, that help limit and discourage early withdrawals. The following table sets forth withdrawal characteristics of the Company's annuity reserves and deposit liabilities (based on statutory liability values) as of the dates indicated.

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|---|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total | |
| | (In thousands except percentages) | | | | |
| Not subject to discretionary withdrawal provisions | \$461,182 | 6.7 | % \$472,000 | 7.2 | % |
| Subject to discretionary withdrawal, with adjustment: | | | | | |
| With market value adjustment | 1,802,791 | 26.5 | % 1,869,974 | 28.6 | % |
| At contract value less current surrender charge of 5% or more | 4,218,807 | 61.7 | % 3,854,855 | 58.8 | % |
| Subtotal | 6,482,780 | 94.9 | % 6,196,829 | 94.6 | % |
| Subject to discretionary withdrawal at contract value with no surrender charge or surrender charge of less than 5% | 349,893 | 5.1 | % 354,215 | 5.4 | % |
| Total annuity reserves and deposit liabilities | \$6,832,673 | 100.0 | % \$6,551,044 | 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

The actual amounts paid by product line in connection with surrenders and withdrawals for the years ended December 31 are noted in the table below.

| | December 31, | | |
|------------------|----------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Product Line: | | | |
| Traditional Life | \$4,913 | 3,574 | 4,679 |
| Universal Life | 50,905 | 41,902 | 44,427 |
| Annuities | 458,249 | 468,032 | 384,067 |
| Total | \$514,067 | 513,508 | 433,173 |

The above contractual withdrawals, as well as the level of surrenders experienced, were generally consistent with the Company's assumptions in asset-liability management, and the associated cash outflows did not have an adverse impact on overall liquidity. The nominal increase in annuity dollar outflows coincides with a growing block of business which added approximately \$3.6 billion in deposits over the past three years. Individual life insurance policies are less susceptible to withdrawal than annuity reserves and deposit liabilities because policyholders may incur surrender charges and undergo a new underwriting process in order to obtain a new insurance policy. Cash flow projections and tests under various market interest rate scenarios are also performed to assist in evaluating liquidity needs and adequacy. The Company currently expects available liquidity sources and future cash flows to be more than adequate to meet the demand for funds.

In the past, cash flows from the Company's insurance operations have been sufficient to meet current needs. Cash flows from operating activities were \$290.3 million, \$244.8 million, and \$178.9 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The Company also has significant cash flows from both scheduled and unscheduled investment security maturities, redemptions, and prepayments. These cash flows totaled \$1,821.4 million, \$812.5 million, and \$911.3 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. Cash flows from security maturities, redemptions, and prepayments were amplified over the last three years due to the decline in interest rates. These cash flow items could be reduced if interest rates rise in 2013. Net cash flows from the Company's universal life and annuity deposit product operations totaled \$144.2 million, \$662.9 million, and \$855.9 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively.

Capital Resources

The Company relies on stockholders' equity for its capital resources as there is no long-term debt outstanding and the Company does not anticipate the need for any long-term debt in the near future. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had no commitments beyond its normal operating and investment activities. The Company has declared and paid an annual dividend on its common shares since 2005 although its practice remains to substantially reinvest earnings internally to finance the development of new business. Despite the fact the Company's market price of its Class A common shares has been trading at a substantial discount to the book value for several years, there are no imminent plans for the Company to repurchase its shares as the Board of Directors has adopted a strategic policy of building a strong capital base to maintain high financial strength ratings and the ability to take competitive advantages as they arise. The capacity to pay dividends is limited by law in the state of Colorado to earned profits (statutory unassigned surplus). At December 31, 2012, the maximum amount legally available for distribution without further regulatory approval is \$100 million.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

It is not Company practice to enter into off-balance sheet arrangements or to issue guarantees to third parties, other than in the normal course of issuing insurance contracts. Commitments related to insurance products sold are reflected as liabilities for future policy benefits. Insurance contracts guarantee certain performances by the Company.

Insurance reserves are the means by which life insurance companies determine the liabilities that must be established to assure that future policy benefits are provided for and can be paid. These reserves are required by law and based upon standard actuarial methodologies to ensure fulfillment of commitments guaranteed to policyholders and their beneficiaries, even though the obligations may not be due for many years. Refer to Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for a discussion of reserving methods.

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes future estimated cash payments under existing contractual obligations.

| | Payment Due by Period | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Total | Less Than 1 Year | 1 - 3 Years | 3 - 5 Years | More Than 5 Years |
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Operating lease obligations (1) | \$ 150 | 150 | — | — | — |
| Life claims payable (2) | 63,321 | 63,321 | — | — | — |
| Other long-term reserve liabilities reflected on the balance sheet under GAAP (3) | 10,859,204 | 1,048,884 | 1,852,343 | 1,678,654 | 6,279,323 |
| Total | \$ 10,922,675 | 1,112,355 | 1,852,343 | 1,678,654 | 6,279,323 |

(1) Refer to Note 9, Commitments and Contingencies, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements relating to Company leases.

(2) Life claims payable include benefit and claim liabilities for which the Company believes the amount and timing of the payment is essentially fixed and determinable. Such amounts generally relate to incurred and reported death and critical illness claims including an estimate of claims incurred but not reported.

(3) Other long-term liabilities include estimated life and annuity obligations related to death claims, policy surrenders, policy withdrawals, maturities and annuity payments based on mortality, lapse, annuitization, and withdrawal assumptions consistent with the Company's historical experience. These estimated life and annuity obligations are undiscounted projected cash outflows that assume interest crediting and market growth consistent with assumptions used in amortizing deferred acquisition costs. They do not include any offsets for future premiums or deposits. Other long-term liabilities also include determinable payout patterns related to immediate annuities. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the actual cash outflows will differ both in amount and timing, possibly materially, from these estimates.

ACCOUNTING STANDARDS AND CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

During July 2010, the FASB issued new guidance that requires additional disclosures related to an entity's financing receivables and the nature of its credit risks related to financing receivables. The effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance was effective December 31, 2010. See Note 3, Investments, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional disclosures.

During October 2010, the FASB issued new guidance affecting insurance companies that incur costs in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. The guidance addresses the diversity in practice regarding the interpretation for which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal business qualifies for deferral. The new guidance specifies the acquisition costs which are capitalizable and those which must be expensed. The effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance was effective January 1, 2012. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional disclosures.

During January 2011, the FASB issued new guidance which defers the effective date of disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20. The effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the AICPA, and the SEC did not, or are not believed by management to, have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

Correction of Errors

During 2011, it was discovered that compensation costs associated with the exercise of stock options under the Company's stock and incentive plans had been recorded incorrectly during 2010 and 2009. The associated cumulative amount of \$239,000 was not material to either 2010 or 2009 and the Company recorded the amount as an adjustment to retained earnings as of December 31, 2011.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES
ABOUT MARKET RISK

The information called for by Item 7A is set forth in the Investments section of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

See Attachment A, Index to Financial Statements and Schedules.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS
ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There have been no changes in auditors or disagreements with auditors that are reportable pursuant to Item 304 of Regulation S-K.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within required time periods. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure matters.

Internal controls over financial reporting change as the Company modifies and enhances its systems and processes to meet business needs. Any significant changes in controls are evaluated prior to implementation to help ensure continued effectiveness of internal controls and the control environment. While changes have taken place in internal controls during the year ended December 31, 2012, there have been no changes that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls

subsequent to the date of this examination.

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report and concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

70

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The Company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the Company's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Under the supervision and participation of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, an assessment of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 was conducted based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in Internal Control - Integrated Framework. Based on the Company's assessment under the criteria of this framework, management concluded that the Company maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

Attestation Report of the Company's Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Company engages KPMG LLP as the independent registered accounting firm to audit the Company's financial statements and internal control over financial reporting and express their opinion thereon. A copy of KPMG LLP's attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting is set forth on the page that follows.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Internal controls over financial reporting change as the Company modifies and enhances its systems and processes to meet business needs. Any significant changes in controls are evaluated prior to implementation to help ensure continued effectiveness of internal controls and the control environment. While changes have taken place in internal controls during the year ended December 31, 2012, there have been no changes that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of this examination.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

National Western Life Insurance Company:

We have audited National Western Life Insurance Company's (the Company) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, National Western Life Insurance Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of National Western Life Insurance Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, and our

report dated March 18, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/S/KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas
March 18, 2013

72

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

There is no information required to be disclosed on Form 8-K for the quarter ended December 31, 2012 which has not been previously reported.

PART III

The information required by Part III is incorporated by reference from our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of shareholders to be held June 21, 2013 to be filed with the Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) 1. Listing of Financial Statements

See Attachment A, Index to Financial Statements and Schedules, on page 81 for a list of financial statements included in this report.

(a) 2. Listing of Financial Statement Schedules

See Attachment A, Index to Financial Statements and Schedules, on page 81 for a list of financial statement schedules included in this report.

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or because the information required by the schedule is included elsewhere in the financial statements or notes.

(a) 3. Listing of Exhibits

The exhibits listed below, as part of Form 10-K, are numbered in accordance with the numbering used in Item 601 of Regulation S-K of The Securities and Exchange Commission.

- | | | |
|--------------|---|--|
| Exhibit 2 | - | Order Confirming Third Amended Joint Consensual Plan of Reorganization Proposed By The Debtors And The Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors (As Modified As of August 28, 1998) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Company's Form 8-K dated August 28, 1998). |
| Exhibit 3(a) | - | Restated Articles of Incorporation of National Western Life Insurance Company dated April 10, 1968 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995). |
| Exhibit 3(b) | - | Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of National Western Life Insurance Company dated July 29, 1971 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(b) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995). |
| Exhibit 3(c) | - | Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of National Western Life Insurance Company dated May 10, 1976 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(c) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995). |
| Exhibit 3(d) | - | Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of National Western Life Insurance Company dated April 28, 1978 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(d) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995). |
| Exhibit 3(e) | - | Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of National Western Life Insurance Company dated May 1, 1979 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995). |
| Exhibit 3(f) | - | |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

Bylaws of National Western Life Insurance Company as amended through April 24, 1987 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(f) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).

Exhibit 3ii(h) - Bylaws of National Western Life Insurance Company dated March 22, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3ii(h) to the Company's Form 8-K March 22, 2010).

Exhibit 10(a) - National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan dated July 26, 1991 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).

Table of Contents

- Exhibit 10(c) - National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated, dated March 27, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(c) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).
- Exhibit 10(d) - First Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective July 1, 1995 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(d) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).
- Exhibit 10(e) - National Western Life Insurance Company 1995 Stock and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(e) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1995).
- Exhibit 10(f) - First Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective December 17, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(f) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- Exhibit 10(g) - Second Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective December 17, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(g) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- Exhibit 10(h) - Second Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective December 17, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- Exhibit 10(i) - Third Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective December 17, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1996).
- Exhibit 10(j) - Fourth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective June 20, 1997 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(j) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1997).
- Exhibit 10(k) - First Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company 1995 Stock and Incentive Plan effective June 19, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1998).
- Exhibit 10(m) - Fifth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective July 1, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(m) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1998).
- Exhibit 10(n) - Sixth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective August 7, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(n) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998).
- Exhibit 10(o) - Third Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective August 7, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(o) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998).
- Exhibit 10(p) -

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

Exchange Agreement by and among National Western Life Insurance Company, NWL Services, Inc., Alternative Benefit Management, Inc., and American National Insurance Company effective November 23, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(p) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1998).

Exhibit 10(s) - Seventh Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective August 7, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(s) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).

Table of Contents

- Exhibit 10(u) - Eighth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective December 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(u) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).
- Exhibit 10(v) - Fourth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective December 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(v) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000).
- Exhibit 10(w) - Second Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company 1995 Stock and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(w) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001).
- Exhibit 10(z) - Fifth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective January 1, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(z) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001).
- Exhibit 10(ae) - Sixth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective August 23, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ae) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
- Exhibit 10(af) - Seventh Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective October 18, 2002 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(af) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002).
- Exhibit 10(ai) - Eighth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective January 1, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ai) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002).
- Exhibit 10(am)- Ninth amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan effective November 1, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(am) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- Exhibit 10(an) - Ninth amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective December 5, 2003 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(an) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003.)
- Exhibit 10(ar) - Third Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company 1995 Stock and Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(ar) to the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004).
- Exhibit 10(as) - Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Group Excess Benefit Plan effective December 15, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(as) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
- Exhibit 10(at) - The National Western Life Insurance Company Employee Health Plan was amended and restated effective August 20, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(at) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

- Exhibit 10(au) - Tenth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan effective December 31, 2004 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(au) to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004).
- Exhibit 10(az) - National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for Robert L. Moody (Exhibit 10(az) to Form 8-K dated July 1, 2005).

Table of Contents

- Exhibit 10(ba) - First Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for Robert L. Moody (Exhibit 10(ba) to Form 8-K dated August 22, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(bb) - Second Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for Robert L. Moody (Exhibit 10(bb) to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(bc) - Tenth Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan (Exhibit 10(bc) to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(bd) - National Western Life Insurance Company Retirement Bonus Program for Robert L. Moody (Exhibit 10(bd) to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(be) - Eleventh Amendment to the National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan (Exhibit 10(be) to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(bf) - Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for the President of National Western Life Insurance Company (Exhibit 10(bf) to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2005).
- Exhibit 10(bg) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2006 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bg) to Form 8-K dated February 17, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bh) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2006 Executive Officer Bonus Program (as amended) (Exhibit 10(bh) to Form 8-K dated April 21, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bi) - 2006 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bi) to Form 8-K dated June 23, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bj) - 2006 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bj) to Form 8-K dated June 23, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bk) - National Western Life Insurance Company Harvest Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan (Exhibit 10(bk) to Form 8-K dated June 23, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bl) - Amendment No. 16 to Loan Agreement (Exhibit 10(bl) to Form 8-K dated July 31, 2006).
- Exhibit 10(bm)- Life Systems, Incorporated Termination Agreement (Exhibit 10(bm) to Form 8-K dated March 30, 2007).
- Exhibit 10(bn) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2007 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bn) to Form 8-K dated April 19, 2007).
- Exhibit 10(bo) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2007 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bo) to Form 8-K dated April 19, 2007).
- Exhibit 10(bp) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2007 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bp) to Form 8-K dated April 19, 2007).
- Exhibit 10(bq) -

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bq) to Form 8-K dated March 17, 2008).

Exhibit 10(br) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(br) to Form 8-K dated August 22, 2008).

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Exhibit 10(bs) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bs) to Form 8-K dated August 22, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(bt) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (as amended) (Exhibit 10(bt) to Form 8-K dated October 16, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(bu) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 Incentive Plan (Exhibit 10(bu) to S-8 dated September 2, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(bv) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2008 Senior Vice President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bv) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(bw) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2009 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bw) to Form 8-K dated February 19, 2009). |
| Exhibit 10(bx) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2009 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bx) to Form 8-K dated February 19, 2009). |
| Exhibit 10(by) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2009 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(by) to Form 8-K dated February 19, 2009). |
| Exhibit 10(bz) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2009 Senior Vice President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(bz) to Form 8-K dated February 19, 2009). |
| Exhibit 10(ca) - | National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for Robert L. Moody As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2009 (Exhibit 10(ca) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(cb) - | Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan for the President of National Western Life Insurance Company As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2009 (Exhibit 10(cb) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(cc) - | National Western Life Insurance Company Grandfathered Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan As Amended and Restated Effective as of December 31, 2004 (Exhibit 10(cc) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(cd) - | National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Defined Benefit Plan As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2009 (Exhibit 10(cd) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(ce) - | National Western Life Insurance Company Grandfathered Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan As Amended and Restated Effective as of December 31, 2004 (Exhibit 10(ce) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |
| Exhibit 10(cf) - | National Western Life Insurance Company Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2009 (Exhibit 10(cf) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008). |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

- Exhibit 10(cg) - First Amendment to The National Western Life Insurance Company Pension Plan As Amended and Restated Effective as of January 1, 2007 (Exhibit 10(cg) to Form 10-K dated December 31, 2008).
- Exhibit 10(ch) - Amended National Western Life Insurance Company Group Excess Benefit Plan, effective May 1, 2009 (Exhibit 10(ch) to Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2009).

Table of Contents

- Exhibit 10(ci) - Revolving Credit Loan Agreement with Moody National Bank (Exhibit 10(ci) to Form 8-K dated August 31, 2009).
- Exhibit 10(cj) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2010 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cj) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2009).
- Exhibit 10(ck) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2010 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(ck) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2009).
- Exhibit 10(cl) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2010 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cl) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2009).
- Exhibit 10(cm) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2010 Officer President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cm) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2009).
- Exhibit 10(cn) - Master Service Agreement between National Western Life Insurance Company and Flexible Architecture and Simplified Technology, Inc. dated March 30, 2010 (Exhibit 10(cn) to Form 8-K dated March 30, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(co) - Amended National Western Life Insurance Company Pension Plan, effective January 1, 2008. (Exhibit 10(co) to Form 10-Q dated March 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cp) - Management/Consultant Agreement dated March 29, 2000 by and between Regent Care Operations, Limited Partnership and Regent Management Services, Limited Partnership. (Exhibit 10(cp) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cq) - Management Agreement dated October 1, 2008 by and between Regent Care San Marcos B-3, Limited Partnership and Regent Management Services, Limited Partnership. (Exhibit 10(cq) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cr) - Administrative Services Only Agreement dated January 1, 2001 by and between National Western Life Insurance Company and American National Insurance Company (ANICO) pertaining to ANICO Excess Benefit Plan. (Exhibit 10(cr) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cs) - Premium Payment Agreement dated January 1, 2001 by and between National Western Life Insurance Company and American National Insurance Company (ANICO) pertaining to ANICO Excess Benefit Plan. (Exhibit 10(cs) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(ct) - Administrative Services Only Agreement dated January 1, 2001 by and between National Western Life Insurance Company and American National Insurance Company (ANICO) pertaining to ANICO Excess Benefit Plan. (Exhibit 10(ct) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cu) - Premium Payment Agreement dated January 1, 2001 by and between National Western Life Insurance Company and American National Insurance Company (ANICO) pertaining to ANICO Excess Benefit Plan. (Exhibit 10(cu) to Form 10-K /A dated December 31, 2010).

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

- Exhibit 10(cv) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2011 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cv) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cw) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2011 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cw) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010).
- Exhibit 10(cx) - National Western Life Insurance Company 2011 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cx) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010).

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------|---|
| Exhibit 10(cy) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2011 Officer President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cy) to Form 8-K dated December 16, 2010). |
| Exhibit 10(cz) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2012 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(cz) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2011). |
| Exhibit 10(da) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2012 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(da) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2011). |
| Exhibit 10(db) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2012 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(db) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2011). |
| Exhibit 10(dc) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2012 Officer President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(dc) to Form 8-K dated December 14, 2011). |
| Exhibit 10(dd) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2013 Executive Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(dd) to Form 8-K dated December 12, 2012). |
| Exhibit 10(de) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2013 Domestic Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(de) to Form 8-K dated December 12, 2012). |
| Exhibit 10(df) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2013 International Marketing Officer Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(df) to Form 8-K dated December 12, 2012). |
| Exhibit 10(dg) - | National Western Life Insurance Company 2013 Officer President Bonus Program (Exhibit 10(dg) to Form 8-K dated December 12, 2012). |
| Exhibit 21 - | Subsidiaries of the Registrant. |
| Exhibit 31(a) - | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| Exhibit 31(b) - | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| Exhibit 32(a) - | Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

(b) Exhibits

Exhibits required by Regulation S-K are listed as to location in the Listing of Exhibits in Item 15.(a)3 above. Exhibits not referred to have been omitted as inapplicable or not required.

(c) Financial Statement Schedules

The financial statement schedules required by Regulation S-K are listed as to location in Attachment A, Index to Financial Statements and Schedules, on page 81 of this report.

Table of Contents

ATTACHMENT A

Index to Financial Statements and Schedules

| | Page |
|--|------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>82</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>83</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>85</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>86</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>87</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>89</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>91</u> |
| <u>Schedule I - Summary of Investments Other Than Investments in Related Parties, December 31, 2012</u> | <u>148</u> |
| <u>Schedule V - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u> | <u>149</u> |

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or because the information required by the schedule is included elsewhere in the consolidated financial statements or notes.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders
National Western Life Insurance Company:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of National Western Life Insurance Company and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income (loss), stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement schedules I and V. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of National Western Life Insurance Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), National Western Life Insurance Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 18, 2013 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

/S/KPMG LLP
Houston, Texas
March 18, 2013

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2012 and 2011

(In thousands)

| ASSETS | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| Investments: | | |
| Securities held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value: \$6,503,627 and \$6,082,330) | \$5,962,120 | 5,641,909 |
| Securities available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: \$2,568,512 and \$2,422,650) | 2,826,001 | 2,624,953 |
| Mortgage loans, net of allowance for possible losses (\$650 and \$4,571) | 142,170 | 157,460 |
| Policy loans | 71,549 | 74,967 |
| Derivatives, index options | 57,890 | 30,844 |
| Other long-term investments | 41,439 | 34,472 |
| Total Investments | 9,101,169 | 8,564,605 |
| Cash and short-term investments | 124,561 | 119,290 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 705,397 | 722,542 |
| Deferred sales inducements | 152,844 | 155,753 |
| Accrued investment income | 92,665 | 87,875 |
| Federal income tax receivable | 5,655 | — |
| Other assets | 81,567 | 77,934 |
| | \$10,263,858 | 9,727,999 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

December 31, 2012 and 2011

(In thousands except share amounts)

| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| LIABILITIES: | | |
| Future policy benefits: | | |
| Universal life and annuity contracts | \$8,430,545 | 8,023,798 |
| Traditional life reserves | 138,309 | 139,657 |
| Other policyholder liabilities | 148,561 | 151,308 |
| Deferred Federal income tax liability | 55,054 | 46,481 |
| Federal income tax payable | — | 5,962 |
| Other liabilities | 99,709 | 84,008 |
| Total liabilities | 8,872,178 | 8,451,214 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 4, 7, and 9) | | |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Class A - \$1 par value; 7,500,000 shares authorized; 3,434,766 shares issued and outstanding in 2012 and 2011 | 3,435 | 3,435 |
| Class B - \$1 par value; 200,000 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding in 2012 and 2011 | 200 | 200 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 37,767 | 37,767 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 76,786 | 53,176 |
| Retained earnings | 1,273,492 | 1,182,207 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 1,391,680 | 1,276,785 |
| | \$10,263,858 | 9,727,999 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands except per share amounts)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---|
| Premiums and other revenue: | | | | |
| Universal life and annuity contract charges | \$ 149,328 | 132,133 | 127,192 | |
| Traditional life premiums | 18,616 | 18,078 | 16,565 | |
| Net investment income | 460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 | |
| Other revenues | 23,470 | 25,439 | 25,377 | |
| Net realized investment gains (losses): | | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) (losses) recoveries | 1,023 | (1,752 |) (729 |) |
| Portion of OTTI losses recognized in other comprehensive income | (2,364 |) 1,613 | — | |
| Net OTTI losses recognized in earnings | (1,341 |) (139 |) (729 |) |
| Other net investment gains | 14,541 | 6,202 | 6,204 | |
| Total net realized investment gains (losses) | 13,200 | 6,063 | 5,475 | |
| Total revenues | 664,662 | 572,747 | 575,992 | |
| Benefits and expenses: | | | | |
| Life and other policy benefits | 51,842 | 46,494 | 52,929 | |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 121,922 | 133,088 | 96,449 | |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 262,339 | 232,788 | 266,603 | |
| Other operating expenses | 87,978 | 77,541 | 55,448 | |
| Total benefits and expenses | 524,081 | 489,911 | 471,429 | |
| Earnings before Federal income taxes | 140,581 | 82,836 | 104,563 | |
| Federal income taxes | 48,023 | 27,209 | 31,666 | |
| Net earnings | \$92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 | |
| Basic Earnings Per Share: | | | | |
| Class A | 26.19 | 15.74 | 20.67 | |
| Class B | 13.09 | 7.87 | 10.33 | |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share: | | | | |
| Class A | 26.19 | 15.73 | 20.61 | |
| Class B | 13.09 | 7.87 | 10.33 | |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|------------|-----------|----------|
| Net earnings | \$92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of effects of deferred costs and taxes: | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on securities: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period | 27,211 | 10,955 | 33,417 |
| Net unrealized liquidity gains (losses) | 1,307 | (215 |) 600 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net amounts included in net earnings | (4,504 |) (4,365 |) (2,566 |
| Amortization of net unrealized (gains) losses related to transferred securities | 148 | 27 | 9 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities | 24,162 | 6,402 | 31,460 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 221 | (217 |) (308 |
| Benefit plans: | | | |
| Amortization of net prior service cost and net gain | (773 |) (3,417 |) 1,496 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 23,610 | 2,768 | 32,648 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 116,168 | \$ 58,395 | 105,545 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Common stock: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$3,635 | 3,629 | 3,626 |
| Shares exercised under stock option plan | — | 6 | 3 |
| Balance at end of period | 3,635 | 3,635 | 3,629 |
| Additional paid-in capital: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 37,767 | 37,140 | 36,680 |
| Shares exercised under stock option plan | — | 627 | 460 |
| Balance at end of period | 37,767 | 37,767 | 37,140 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss): | | | |
| Unrealized gains (losses) on non-impaired securities: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 69,117 | 62,356 | 31,639 |
| Change in unrealized gains (losses) during period | 22,855 | 6,761 | 30,717 |
| Balance at end of period | 91,972 | 69,117 | 62,356 |
| Unrealized losses on impaired held to maturity securities: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (2,321 |) (2,511 |) (2,751 |
| Amortization | 393 | 33 | 38 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments, non-credit, net of tax | 289 | (38 |) 589 |
| Additional credit loss on previously impaired securities | 411 | 106 | — |
| Change in shadow deferred policy acquisition costs | (198 |) 89 | (387 |
| | | | — |
| Balance at end of period | (1,426 |) (2,321 |) (2,511 |
| Unrealized losses on impaired available for sale securities: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (608 |) (59 |) (562 |
| Other-than-temporary impairments, non-credit, net of tax | — | (1,118 |) 1,033 |
| Change in shadow deferred policy acquisition costs | (424 |) 569 | (530 |
| Recoveries, net of tax | 836 | | — |
| Balance at end of period | (196 |) (608 |) (59 |

(Continued on next page)

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustments: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 2,368 | 2,585 | 2,893 |
| Change in translation adjustments during period | 221 | (217 |) (308 |
| Balance at end of period | 2,589 | 2,368 | 2,585 |
| Benefit plan liability adjustment: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | (15,380 |) (11,963 |) (13,459 |
| Amortization of net prior service cost and net gain, net of tax | (773 |) (3,417 |) 1,496 |
| Balance at end of period | (16,153 |) (15,380 |) (11,963 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) at end of period | 76,786 | 53,176 | 50,408 |
| Retained earnings: | | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | 1,182,207 | 1,127,614 | 1,055,987 |
| Correction of an error (see Note 1) | — | 239 | |
| Net earnings | 92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 |
| Stockholder dividends | (1,273 |) (1,273 |) (1,270 |
| Balance at end of period | 1,273,492 | 1,182,207 | 1,127,614 |
| Total stockholders' equity | \$1,391,680 | 1,276,785 | 1,218,791 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
(In thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 262,339 | 232,788 | 266,603 |
| Surrender charges and other policy revenues | (14,720) |) (4,251) |) (35,350) |
| Realized (gains) losses on investments | (13,201) |) (6,082) |) (6,932) |
| Accrual and amortization of investment income | (1,458) |) (2,588) |) (2,056) |
| Depreciation and amortization | 5,688 | 5,251 | 4,727 |
| (Increase) decrease in value of derivatives | (27,147) |) 33,335 | (16,612) |
| (Increase) decrease in deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs | (10,623) |) (65,073) |) (124,191) |
| (Increase) decrease in accrued investment income | (4,790) |) (8,155) |) (8,148) |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets | (7,176) |) (1,149) |) (21,188) |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities for future policy benefits | 13,536 | 24,245 | 38,775 |
| (Decrease) increase in other policyholder liabilities | (2,747) |) (219) |) 22,597 |
| (Decrease) increase in Federal income tax liability | (15,054) |) (7,971) |) (6,023) |
| (Decrease) increase in other liabilities | 13,076 | (11,104) |) (6,230) |
| Other, net | — | 111 | (1) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 290,281 | 244,765 | 178,868 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from sales of: | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | — | — | — |
| Securities available for sale | 8,214 | 19,522 | 32,545 |
| Other investments | 8,791 | 5,183 | 4,779 |
| Proceeds from maturities and redemptions of: | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | 1,473,885 | 640,620 | 833,157 |
| Securities available for sale | 347,521 | 171,852 | 78,175 |
| Derivatives, index options | 54,745 | 82,204 | 80,497 |
| Purchases of: | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | (1,787,388) |) (1,311,122) |) (1,633,597) |
| Securities available for sale | (490,689) |) (387,203) |) (359,365) |
| Derivatives, index options | (56,413) |) (65,029) |) (54,254) |
| Other investments | (5,380) |) (10,903) |) (2,212) |
| Principal payments on mortgage loans | 36,545 | 19,534 | 21,943 |
| Cost of mortgage loans acquired | (21,454) |) (35,980) |) (63,762) |
| (Increase) decrease in policy loans | 3,418 | 3,481 | (112) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (428,205) |) (867,841) |) (1,062,206) |
| (Continued on next page) | | | |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS, CONTINUED

For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010

(In thousands)

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Dividends on common stock | \$(1,273 |) (1,273 |) (1,270 |
| Deposits to account balances for universal life and annuity contracts | 985,370 | 1,476,657 | 1,499,830 |
| Return of account balances on universal life and annuity contracts | (841,126 |) (813,766 |) (643,911 |
| Issuance of common stock under stock option plan | — | 633 | 463 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 142,971 | 662,251 | 855,112 |
| Effect of foreign exchange | 221 | (217 |) (308 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and short-term investments | 5,271 | 38,958 | (28,534 |
| Cash and short-term investments at beginning of year | 119,290 | 80,332 | 108,866 |
| Cash and short-term investments at end of year | \$124,561 | 119,290 | 80,332 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | |
| Cash paid during the year for: | | | |
| Interest | 40 | — | 40 |
| Income taxes | 62,540 | 31,331 | 40,850 |
| Noncash operating activities: | | | |
| Deferral of sales inducements | \$4,498 | 16,890 | 28,985 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(A) Principles of Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of National Western Life Insurance Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries ("National Western" or "Company"), The Westcap Corporation, Regent Care San Marcos Holdings, LLC, NWL Investments, Inc., NWL Services, Inc., NWLSM, Inc., and NWL Financial, Inc. All significant intercorporate transactions and accounts have been eliminated in consolidation.

(B) Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") which require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates in the accompanying consolidated financial statements include (1) liabilities for future policy benefits, (2) valuation of derivative instruments, (3) recoverability and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, (4) commitments and contingencies, (5) valuation allowances for deferred tax assets, (6) other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities, and (7) valuation allowances for mortgage loans and real estate.

The Company also files financial statements with insurance regulatory authorities which are prepared on the basis of statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Colorado Division of Insurance which are significantly different from consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. These differences are described in detail in the statutory information section of this note.

Certain amounts in the prior year consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

(C) Investments. Investments in debt securities the Company purchases with the intent to hold to maturity are classified as securities held to maturity. The Company has the ability to hold the securities until maturity, as it would be unlikely that forced sales of securities would be required, prior to maturity, to cover payments of liabilities. As a result, securities held to maturity are carried at amortized cost less declines in fair value that are deemed other-than-temporary.

Investments in debt and equity securities that are not classified as securities held to maturity are reported as securities available for sale. Securities available for sale are reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements at fair value. Valuation changes resulting from changes in the fair value of the securities are reflected as a component of stockholders' equity in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). These unrealized gains or losses in stockholders' equity are reported net of taxes and adjustments to deferred policy acquisition costs.

Transfers of securities between categories are recorded at fair value at the date of transfer.

Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. For mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities, the effective interest method is used based on anticipated prepayments and the estimated economic life of the securities. When estimates of prepayments change, the effective yield is recalculated to reflect actual payments to date and anticipated future payments. The net

investment in the securities is adjusted to the amount that would have existed had the new effective yield been applied at the time of acquisition. This adjustment is reflected in net investment income.

Quarterly the Company reviews its investment portfolio for market value changes to identify changes caused by issuer credit deterioration, changes in market interest rates and changes in economic conditions. If this review indicates a decline in fair value that is other-than-temporary, the Company's carrying amount in the investment is reduced to its estimated fair value. In accordance with GAAP guidance the estimated credit versus non-credit components are bifurcated. The credit component is taken through earnings. The non-credit component is reclassified as unrealized loss in other comprehensive income. The Company would not recognize impairment of securities due to changing of interest rates or market dislocations unless the Company had the intent to sell the securities prior to recovery or maturity.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company considers a number of factors in determining whether the impairment is other-than-temporary. These include, but are not limited to: 1) actions taken by rating agencies, 2) default by the issuer, 3) the significance of the decline in fair value, 4) the intent and ability to hold the investment until recovery, 5) the time period during which the decline has occurred, 6) an economic analysis of the issuer's industry, and 7) the financial strength, liquidity, and recoverability of the issuer. Management performs a security-by-security review each quarter in evaluating the need for any other-than-temporary impairments. Although no set formula is used in this process, the investment performance, collateral position, and continued viability of the issuer are significant measures considered.

Realized gains and losses for securities available for sale and securities held to maturity are included in earnings and are derived using the specific identification method for determining the cost of securities sold or called. Decline in the fair value below cost that is deemed other-than-temporary is bifurcated in credit and non-credit declines. The noncredit related declines are reclassified as unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Credit losses are recorded in earnings and result in the establishment of a new cost basis for the security. The new discount or reduced premium amount is amortized over the remaining life of the impaired debt security prospectively based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows.

Mortgage loans and other long-term investments are stated at cost, less unamortized discounts, deferred fees, and allowances for possible losses. Policy loans are stated at their aggregate unpaid balances. Real estate is stated at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated costs to sell.

Impaired loans are those loans where it is probable that all amounts due according to contractual terms of the loan agreement will not be collected. The Company has identified these loans through its normal loan review procedures. Impaired loans include: 1) nonaccrual loans, 2) loans which are 90 days or more past due, unless they are well secured and are in the process of collection, and 3) other loans which management believes are impaired. Impaired loans are measured based on: 1) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, 2) the loan's observable market price, or 3) the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Substantially all of the Company's impaired loans are measured at the fair value of the collateral. In limited cases, the Company may use other methods to determine the level of impairment of a loan if such loan is not collateral dependent.

While the Company closely manages its investment portfolio, future changes in issuer facts and circumstances can result in impairments beyond those currently identified.

(D) Cash and Short-Term Investments. For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Company considers all short-term investments with a maturity at the date of purchase of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

(E) Derivatives. Fixed-index products combine features associated with traditional fixed annuities and universal life contracts, with the option to have interest rates linked in part to an underlying equity index. The equity return component of such policy contracts is identified separately and accounted for in future policy benefits as embedded derivatives on the consolidated balance sheet. The remaining portions of these policy contracts are considered the host contracts and are recorded separately as fixed annuity or universal life contracts. The host contracts are accounted for under debt instrument type accounting. The host contracts are recorded as discounted debt instruments that are accreted, using the effective yield method, to their minimum account values at their projected maturities or termination dates.

The Company purchases over-the-counter index options, which are derivative financial instruments, to hedge the equity return component of its index annuity and life products. The amounts which may be credited to policyholders are linked, in part, to the returns of the underlying index. The index options act as hedges to match closely the returns on the underlying index. Cash is exchanged upon purchase of the index options and no principal or interest payments are made by either party during the option periods. Upon maturity or expiration of the options, cash is paid to the Company based on the underlying index performance and terms of the contract. As a result, amounts credited to policyholders' account balances are substantially offset by changes in the value of the options.

The Company does not elect hedge accounting relative to derivative instruments. The derivatives are reported at fair value in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Changes in the values of the index options and changes in the policyholder liabilities are both reflected in the statement of earnings. Any gains or losses from the sale or expiration of the options, as well as period-to-period changes in values, are reflected as net investment income in the statement of earnings. Any changes relative to the embedded derivatives associated with policy contracts are reflected in contract interest in the consolidated statement of earnings.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Although there is credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the indexed options, the Company does not expect any counterparties to fail to meet their obligations, given their high credit ratings. In addition, credit support agreements are in place with all counterparties for option holdings in excess of specific limits, which may further reduce the Company's credit exposure. At December 31, 2012 and 2011, the fair values of index options owned by the Company totaled \$57.9 million and \$30.8 million, respectively.

(F) Insurance Revenues and Expenses. Premiums on traditional life insurance products are recognized as revenues as they become due from policyholders. Benefits and expenses are matched with premiums in arriving at profits by providing for policy benefits over the lives of the policies and by amortizing acquisition costs over the premium-paying periods of the policies. For universal life and annuity contracts, revenues consist of policy charges for the cost of insurance, policy administration, and surrender charges assessed during the period. Expenses for these policies include interest credited to policy account balances, benefit claims incurred in excess of policy account balances and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and sales inducements.

Under GAAP, commissions, sales inducements, and certain expenses related to policy issuance and underwriting, all of which vary with and are related to the production of new business, are deferred. For traditional products, these costs are amortized over the premium-paying period of the related policies in proportion to the ratio of the premium earned to the total premium revenue anticipated, using the same assumptions as to interest, mortality, and withdrawals as were used in calculating the liability for future policy benefits. For universal life and annuity contracts, these costs are amortized in relation to the present value of expected gross profits on these policies. The Company evaluates the recoverability of deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs on a quarterly basis. In this evaluation, the Company considers estimated future gross profits or future premiums, as applicable for the type of contract. The Company also considers expected mortality, interest earned and credited rates, persistency, and expenses.

A summary of information relative to deferred policy acquisition costs (DPAC) is provided in the table below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, beginning of year | \$722,542 | 691,939 | 626,440 |
| Policy acquisition costs deferred: | | | |
| Agents' commissions | 121,900 | 171,763 | 184,616 |
| Other | 6,617 | 9,755 | 7,185 |
| Total costs deferred | 128,517 | 181,518 | 191,801 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | (121,922) | (133,088) | (96,449) |
| Adjustments for unrealized (gains) losses on investment securities | (23,740) | (17,827) | (29,853) |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs, end of year | \$705,397 | 722,542 | 691,939 |

Effective in calendar year 2012, new GAAP guidance specifies those policy acquisition costs which may be deferred and amortized over future periods. Previously, guidance permitted companies greater discretion as to the acquisition costs it could capitalize and defer. The Company implemented the new guidance in 2012 and deferrable policy

acquisition costs decreased to \$6.6 million compared to \$9.8 million and \$7.2 million in 2011 and 2010, respectively. However, a good portion of the decrease in deferrable costs is attributed to lower acquisition costs incurred given reduced annuity sales levels.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A summary of information relative to deferred sales inducements is provided in the table below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Deferred sales inducements, beginning of year | \$ 155,753 | 143,844 | 122,232 |
| Sales inducement costs deferred | 31,758 | 46,709 | 51,093 |
| Amortization of sales inducements | (27,261 |) (29,819 |) (22,108 |
| Adjustments for unrealized (gains) losses on investment securities | (7,406 |) (4,981 |) (7,373 |
| Deferred sales inducements, end of year | \$ 152,844 | 155,753 | 143,844 |

Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs decreased to \$121.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$133.1 million reported in 2011, and increased from the \$96.4 million expense recorded in 2010. Amortization expense in 2011 includes an unlocking adjustment to incorporate lower future portfolio yield rate assumptions which increased DPAC amortization by \$7.9 million. There were no unlocking adjustments in 2012 and 2010. DPAC true-up adjustments were also recorded in 2012, 2011 and 2010 relative to partial surrender rates, mortality rates, credited interest rates and earned rates for the current year's experience resulting in a \$12.8 million decrease in amortization expense in 2012 and \$7.8 million and \$4.1 million increases in amortization expense in 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Under GAAP, the liability for future policy benefits on traditional products has been calculated using assumptions as to future mortality (based on the 1965-1970 and 1975-1980 Select and Ultimate mortality tables), interest ranging from 4% to 8%, and withdrawals based on Company experience. For universal life and annuity contracts, the liability for future policy benefits represents the account balance. Fixed-index products combine features associated with traditional fixed annuities and universal life contracts, with the option to have interest rates linked in part to an equity index. In accordance with GAAP guidance, the equity return component of such policy contracts must be identified separately and accounted for as embedded derivatives. The remaining portions of these policy contracts are considered the host contracts and are recorded separately as fixed annuity or universal life contracts. The host contracts are accounted for under GAAP guidance provisions that require debt instrument type accounting. The host contracts are recorded as discounted debt instruments that are accreted, using the effective yield method, to their minimum account values at their projected maturities or termination dates. The embedded derivatives are recorded at fair value.

(G) Deferred Federal Income Taxes. Federal income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided if all or some portion of the deferred tax asset may not be realized. An increase or decrease in a valuation allowance that results from a change in circumstances that affects the realizability of the related deferred tax asset is included in income in the period the change occurs.

(H) Depreciation of Property, Equipment, and Leasehold Improvements. Depreciation is based on the estimated useful lives of the assets and is calculated on the straight-line and accelerated methods. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the economic useful life of the improvement or the term of the lease.

(I) Statutory Information. Domiciled in Colorado, the Company prepares its statutory financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Colorado Division of Insurance. The Colorado Division of Insurance has adopted the provisions of the National Association of Insurance Commissioners' ("NAIC") Statutory Accounting Practices ("SSAP") as the basis for its statutory accounting practices.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following are major differences between GAAP and accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Colorado Division of Insurance (“statutory accounting practices”).

1. The Company accounts for universal life and annuity contracts based on the provisions of GAAP guidance. The basic difference between GAAP guidance and statutory accounting practices with respect to certain long-duration contracts is that deposits for universal life and annuity contracts are not reflected as revenues, and surrenders and certain other benefit payments are not reflected as expenses. Only contracts with no insurance risk qualify for such treatment under statutory accounting practices. For all other contracts, statutory accounting practices do reflect such items as revenues and expenses.

A summary of direct premiums and deposits collected is provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Annuity deposits | \$864,719 | 1,390,990 | 1,431,011 |
| Universal life insurance deposits | 248,118 | 202,774 | 179,682 |
| Traditional life and other premiums | 21,476 | 20,978 | 19,385 |
| Totals | \$1,134,313 | 1,614,742 | 1,630,078 |

2. Statutory accounting practices require commissions and related costs to be expensed as incurred, whereas under GAAP these items are deferred and amortized.

3. For statutory accounting purposes, liabilities for future policy benefits for life insurance policies are calculated by the net level premium method or the commissioners reserve valuation method. Future policy benefit liabilities for annuities are calculated based on the continuous commissioners annuity reserve valuation method and provisions of Actuarial Guidelines 33 and 35.

4. Deferred Federal income taxes are provided for temporary differences which are recognized in the consolidated financial statements in a different period than for Federal income tax purposes. Deferred taxes are also recognized in statutory accounting practices; however, there are limitations as to the amount of deferred tax assets that may be reported as admitted assets. The change in the deferred taxes is recorded in surplus, rather than as a component of income tax expense.

5. For statutory accounting purposes, debt securities are recorded at amortized cost, except for securities in or near default, which are reported at fair value. Under GAAP, debt securities are carried at amortized cost or fair value based on their classification as either held to maturity or available for sale.

6. Investments in subsidiaries are recorded as affiliated common stock investments at their respective SSAP investment value under statutory accounting, whereas the financial statements of the subsidiaries have been consolidated with those of the Company under GAAP.

7. Compensation costs related to the Company’s share based compensation plans are recognized in income based on intrinsic value at each reporting date under statutory accounting, whereas under GAAP these costs are determined

using the fair value method.

8. The calculation of pension liabilities and net periodic benefit costs are recognized for both statutory and GAAP accounting. However, in accordance with SSAP No. 89, the accumulated benefit obligation in excess of the fair value of plan assets, including unfunded accrued pension costs, is recognized as an additional minimum liability with an equal amount recognized as a non-admitted intangible asset.

9. The asset valuation reserve and interest maintenance reserve, which are investment valuation reserves prescribed by statutory accounting practices, have been eliminated, as they are not required under GAAP.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. The table below provides the Company's net gain from operations, net income, unassigned surplus (retained earnings) and capital and surplus (stockholders' equity), on the statutory basis used to report to regulatory authorities for the years ended December 31.

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--|----------------|---------|---------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net gain from operations before Federal and foreign income taxes | \$ 130,644 | 78,283 | 106,966 |
| Net income | 84,473 | 53,901 | 76,954 |
| Unassigned surplus | 961,054 | 859,074 | 821,097 |
| Capital and surplus | 1,004,766 | 922,522 | 878,451 |

(J) Stock Compensation. The Company accounts for its share-based compensation for GAAP reporting using liability accounting, and measures compensation cost using the fair value method at each reporting date. For stock options, fair value is determined using an option pricing model that takes into account various information and assumptions including the Company's stock price, volatility, option price, vesting dates, exercise dates and projected option lapses. Under the intrinsic value based method, compensation cost is the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at grant date or other measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock.

(K) Accounting Standards and Changes in Accounting

During July 2010, the FASB issued new guidance that requires additional disclosures related to an entity's financing receivables and the nature of its credit risks related to financing receivables. The effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance was effective December 31, 2010. See Note 3 Investments, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional disclosures.

During October 2010, the FASB issued new guidance affecting insurance companies that incur costs in the acquisition of new and renewal insurance contracts. The guidance addresses the diversity in practice regarding the interpretation for which costs relating to the acquisition of new or renewal business qualifies for deferral. The new guidance specifies the acquisition costs which are capitalizable and those which must be expensed. The effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance was effective January 1, 2012. See Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional disclosures.

During January 2011, the FASB issued new guidance which defers the effective date of disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in Accounting Standards Update No. 2010-20. The new effective date is for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance did not have a significant impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the AICPA, and the SEC did not, or are not believed by management to, have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

Correction of Errors

During 2011, it was discovered that compensation costs associated with the exercise of stock options under the Company's stock and incentive plans had been recorded incorrectly during 2010 and 2009. The associated cumulative amount of \$239,000 was not material to either 2010 or 2009 and the Company recorded the amount as an adjustment to retained earnings as of December 31, 2011.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(2) DEPOSITS WITH REGULATORY AUTHORITIES

The following assets were on deposit with state and other regulatory authorities as required by law, at the end of each year.

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Debt securities held to maturity | \$ 15,399 | 14,913 |
| Debt securities available for sale | 823 | 1,304 |
| Short-term investments | 475 | 475 |
| Totals | \$ 16,697 | 16,692 |

(3) INVESTMENTS

(A) Investment Income

The major components of net investment income are as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Gross investment income: | | | |
| Debt securities | \$ 408,204 | 400,431 | 363,483 |
| Mortgage loans | 11,879 | 12,123 | 9,191 |
| Policy loans | 5,079 | 5,143 | 5,352 |
| Derivative gains (losses) | 27,147 | (33,335) |) 16,612 |
| Money market investments | 936 | 273 | 631 |
| Other investment income | 7,965 | 7,438 | 8,494 |
| Total investment income | 461,210 | 392,073 | 403,763 |
| Investment expenses | 1,162 | 1,039 | 2,380 |
| Net investment income | \$ 460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 |

The Company had real estate investments that were non-income producing for the preceding twelve months totaling \$1.5 million, \$1.6 million and \$3.2 million at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

(B) Mortgage Loans and Real Estate

A financing receivable is a contractual right to receive money on demand or on fixed or determinable dates that is recognized as an asset in a company's statement of financial position. The Company's mortgage, participation and mezzanine loans on real estate are the only financing receivables included in the balance sheet.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In general, the Company originates loans on high quality, income-producing properties such as shopping centers, freestanding retail stores, office buildings, industrial and sales or service facilities, selected apartment buildings, motels, and health care facilities. The location of these properties is typically in major metropolitan areas that offer a potential for property value appreciation. Credit and default risk is minimized through strict underwriting guidelines and diversification of underlying property types and geographic locations. In addition to being secured by the property, mortgage loans with leases on the underlying property are often guaranteed by the lease payments and also by the borrower. This approach has proven to result in quality mortgage loans with few defaults. Mortgage loan interest income is recognized on an accrual basis with any premium or discount amortized over the life of the loan. Prepayment and late fees are recorded on the date of collection.

The Company requires a minimum specified yield on mortgage loan investments. The historically low interest rate environment of the past couple of years has resulted in fewer loan opportunities being available which met the Company's required rate of return. Consequently, new mortgage loan origination activity has been less significant in this time frame with \$21.5 million and \$37.3 million in total loans for the years 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Loans in foreclosure, loans considered impaired or loans past due 90 days or more are placed on a non-accrual status. If a mortgage loan is determined to be on non-accrual status, the mortgage loan does not accrue any revenue into the Consolidated Statements of Income. The loan is independently monitored and evaluated as to potential impairment or foreclosure. If delinquent payments are made and the loan is brought current, then the Company returns the loan to active status and accrues income accordingly. The Company has no loans past due 90 days which are accruing interest.

The Company's direct investments in real estate are not a significant portion of its total investment portfolio as most of these investments were acquired through mortgage loan foreclosures. The Company also participates in several real estate joint ventures and limited partnerships that invest primarily in income-producing retail properties. These investments have enhanced the Company's overall investment portfolio returns.

The Company held net investments in mortgage loans totaling \$142.2 million and \$157.5 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The diversification of the portfolio by geographic region, property type, and loan-to-value ratio was as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Mortgage Loans by Geographic Region: | | | | |
| West South Central | \$78,010 | 54.6 | \$89,474 | 55.2 |
| New England | 21,160 | 14.8 | 21,401 | 13.2 |
| Mountain | 2,180 | 1.5 | 15,922 | 9.8 |
| Pacific | 18,178 | 12.7 | 12,001 | 7.4 |
| East South Central | 10,261 | 7.2 | 10,411 | 6.4 |
| East North Central | 10,367 | 7.3 | 10,018 | 6.2 |
| South Atlantic | 561 | 0.4 | 609 | 0.4 |
| Middle Atlantic | 2,103 | 1.5 | 2,195 | 1.4 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |

| | | | | |
|--------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |
|--------|-----------|------|-----------|------|

98

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Mortgage Loans by Property Type: | | | | |
| Retail | \$98,180 | 68.7 | \$97,881 | 60.4 |
| Hotel/Motel | 4,139 | 2.9 | 25,679 | 15.8 |
| Land/Lots | 12,618 | 8.8 | 13,604 | 8.4 |
| Apartments | 16,919 | 11.9 | 11,103 | 6.9 |
| Office | 4,354 | 3.1 | 8,858 | 5.5 |
| All other | 6,610 | 4.6 | 4,906 | 3.0 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |
| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Mortgage Loans by Loan-to-Value Ratio (1): | | | | |
| Less than 50% | \$58,754 | 41.1 | \$68,048 | 42.0 |
| 50% to 60% | 27,832 | 19.5 | 32,091 | 19.8 |
| 60% to 70% | 23,518 | 16.5 | 25,692 | 15.9 |
| 70% to 80% | 9,431 | 6.6 | 5,505 | 3.4 |
| 80% to 90% | — | — | — | — |
| Greater than 90% | 23,285 | 16.3 | 30,695 | 18.9 |
| Gross balance | 142,820 | 100.0 | 162,031 | 100.0 |
| Allowance for possible losses | (650 |) (0.5 |) (4,571 |) (2.9 |
| Totals | \$142,170 | 99.5 | \$157,460 | 97.1 |

(1) Loan-to-Value Ratio using the most recent appraised value.

The greater than 90% category is related to loans made with a long standing borrower which are backed by the investment property, contracted leases and the guarantee of the borrower.

The Company does not consider its mortgage loans to be a separate portfolio segment. The Company considers its primary class to be property type and primarily uses loan-to-value as its credit risk quality indicator. All loans within the portfolio are analyzed quarterly in order to monitor the financial quality of these assets. Based on ongoing monitoring, mortgage loans with a likelihood of becoming delinquent are identified and placed on an internal “watch list”. Among the criteria that would indicate a potential problem are: major tenant vacancies or bankruptcies, late payments, and loan relief/restructuring requests. The mortgage loan portfolio is analyzed for the need for a valuation allowance on any loan that is on the internal watch list, in the process of foreclosure or that currently has a valuation allowance.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Mortgage loans are considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. When it is determined that a loan is impaired, a loss is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount of the mortgage loan and the estimated value reduced by the cost to sell. Estimated value is typically based on the loan's observable market price or the fair value of the collateral less cost to sell. Impairments and changes in the valuation allowance are reported in net realized capital gains (losses) in the consolidated statements of earnings.

The Company recognized valuation losses of \$0.7 million, \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The mortgage loan valuation write-down in 2012 represents a general valuation allowance established for the Company's mortgage loan portfolio based upon the Company's loss experience over the past ten years and is not specifically identified to individual loans. The valuation write-downs in 2011 and 2010 pertained to specifically impaired loans. The specific principle balances requiring a valuation allowance were \$0.0 million, \$7.0 million and \$7.0 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The amount of interest recorded while these loans were impaired was \$0 million, \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million in 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The impairments in 2011 and 2010 were based on information which indicated that the Company may not collect all amounts in accordance with the mortgage agreement. While the Company closely monitors its mortgage loan portfolio, future changes in economic conditions can result in impairments beyond those currently identified.

The following table represents the mortgage loan allowance for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$4,571 | 3,962 |
| Provision | 650 | 609 |
| Releases | (4,571 |) — |
| Balance, end of period | \$650 | 4,571 |

The mortgage loan allowance released during 2012 pertained to a property forced into bankruptcy which the Company subsequently acquired in a bankruptcy auction. The mortgage loan was foreclosed and the Company incurred a write-down of \$0.6 million and the property reclassified as a real estate investment included in other long-term investments on the Company's balance sheet. The property was subsequently sold in the third quarter of 2012 for a net gain of \$2.7 million. The mortgage loan provision at December 31, 2012 represents a general allowance based upon the Company's mortgage loan portfolio loss experience over the past ten years.

The Company does not recognize interest income on loans past due 90 days or more. The Company had no mortgage loan past due six months or more at December 31, 2012 and one mortgage loan past due six months or more at December 31, 2011, with a principal balance totaling \$0.0 million and \$7.0 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There was no interest income not recognized in 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The contractual maturities of mortgage loan principal balances at December 31, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| | Amount | % | Amount | % |
| | (In thousands) | | (In thousands) | |
| Principal Balance by Contractual Maturity: | | | | |
| Due in one year or less | \$23,869 | 16.6 | \$17,661 | 10.8 |
| Due after one year through five years | 44,973 | 31.3 | 62,851 | 38.6 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 44,993 | 31.4 | 51,720 | 31.8 |
| Due after ten years through fifteen years | 8,862 | 6.2 | 9,423 | 5.8 |
| Due after fifteen years | 20,759 | 14.5 | 21,188 | 13.0 |
| Totals | \$143,456 | 100.0 | \$162,843 | 100.0 |

The Company's real estate investments totaled approximately \$18.8 million at December 31, 2012 and \$19.2 million at December 31, 2011, and consist primarily of income-producing properties which are being operated by a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The Company's real estate holdings are reflected in other long-term investments in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The Company records real estate at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated cost to sell, which is determined on an individual asset basis. The Company recognized operating income on these properties of approximately \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company monitors the conditions and market values of these properties on a regular basis and makes repairs and capital improvements to keep the properties in good condition. The Company recorded net realized investment gains and losses of \$2.6 million, \$(0.1) million and \$(0.1) million associated with these properties in the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The realized gain at December 31, 2012 was due to a foreclosed property located in New Orleans, LA that was subsequently sold at a gain.

(C) Debt and Equity Securities

The table below presents amortized costs and fair values of securities held to maturity at December 31, 2012.

| | Securities Held to Maturity | | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$23,114 | 2,748 | — | 25,862 |
| U.S. Treasury | 1,907 | 648 | — | 2,555 |
| States and political subdivisions | 391,062 | 41,150 | (431) | 431,781 |
| Foreign governments | 9,988 | 616 | — | 10,604 |
| Public utilities | 781,239 | 89,162 | (103) | 870,298 |
| Corporate | 2,887,572 | 273,431 | (3,753) | 3,157,250 |
| Mortgage-backed | 1,835,051 | 133,684 | (261) | 1,968,474 |
| Home equity | 21,545 | 4,443 | (549) | 25,439 |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------|---------|--------|-------------|
| Manufactured housing | 10,642 | 722 | — | 11,364 |
| Totals | \$5,962,120 | 546,604 | (5,097 |) 6,503,627 |

101

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents amortized costs and fair values of securities available for sale at December 31, 2012.

| | Securities Available for Sale | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | Amortized Cost (In thousands) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | \$599 | — | (28 |) 571 |
| Foreign governments | 15,134 | 932 | — | 16,066 |
| Public utilities | 254,853 | 26,621 | (47 |) 281,427 |
| Corporate | 2,157,706 | 222,587 | (2,981 |) 2,377,312 |
| Mortgage-backed | 113,488 | 8,905 | (64 |) 122,329 |
| Home equity | 12,242 | — | (1,483 |) 10,759 |
| Manufactured housing | 5,030 | 240 | — | 5,270 |
| | 2,559,052 | 259,285 | (4,603 |) 2,813,734 |
| Equity private | — | — | — | — |
| Equity public | 9,460 | 2,865 | (58 |) 12,267 |
| Totals | \$2,568,512 | 262,150 | (4,661 |) 2,826,001 |

The table below presents amortized costs and fair values of securities held to maturity at December 31, 2011.

| | Securities Held to Maturity | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | Amortized Cost (In thousands) | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$205,464 | 3,519 | (330 |) 208,653 |
| U.S. Treasury | 1,937 | 648 | — | 2,585 |
| States and political subdivisions | 358,364 | 27,338 | (280 |) 385,422 |
| Foreign governments | 9,979 | 927 | — | 10,906 |
| Public utilities | 685,989 | 77,060 | (4,498 |) 758,551 |
| Corporate | 2,258,640 | 195,551 | (14,483 |) 2,439,708 |
| Mortgage-backed | 2,082,650 | 155,413 | (29 |) 2,238,034 |
| Home equity | 23,815 | 439 | (1,649 |) 22,605 |
| Manufactured housing | 15,071 | 876 | (81 |) 15,866 |
| Totals | \$5,641,909 | 461,771 | (21,350 |) 6,082,330 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents amortized costs and fair values of securities available for sale at December 31, 2011.

| | Securities Available for Sale | | | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | |
| States and political subdivisions | \$4,042 | 16 | (170) |) 3,888 |
| Foreign governments | 20,145 | 588 | — |) 20,733 |
| Public utilities | 327,794 | 32,511 | (907) |) 359,398 |
| Corporate | 1,881,735 | 155,144 | (5,839) |) 2,031,040 |
| Mortgage-backed | 163,856 | 12,389 | (189) |) 176,056 |
| Home equity | 10,887 | 30 | (2,054) |) 8,863 |
| Manufactured housing | 7,689 | 740 | — |) 8,429 |
| | 2,416,148 | 201,418 | (9,159) |) 2,608,407 |
| Equity private | 195 | 7,923 | — |) 8,118 |
| Equity public | 6,307 | 2,266 | (145) |) 8,428 |
| Totals | \$2,422,650 | 211,607 | (9,304) |) 2,624,953 |

The Company's investment policy is to invest in high quality securities with the primary intention of holding these securities until the stated maturity. As such, the portfolio has exposure to interest rate risk which is the risk that funds are invested today at a market interest rate and in the future interest rates rise causing the current market price on that investment to be lower. This risk is not a significant factor relative to the Company's buy and hold portfolio, since the original intention was to receive the stated interest rate and principal at maturity to match liability requirements to policyholders. Also, the Company takes steps to manage these risks. For example, the Company purchases the type of mortgage-backed securities that have more predictable cash flow patterns.

In addition, the Company is exposed to credit risk which is continually monitored relating to security holdings. Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of a security will not be able to fulfill their obligations relative to a security payment schedule. The Company has reviewed pertinent information for all issuers in an unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012 including market pricing history, credit ratings, analyst reports, as well as data provided by the issuers themselves. The Company then made a determination on each specific issuer relating to other-than-temporary impairment. For the securities that have not been impaired at December 31, 2012, the Company intends to hold these securities until recovery in fair value and expects to receive all amounts due relative to principal and interest.

The Company held below investment grade debt securities totaling \$165.8 million and \$146.2 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These amounts represent 1.8% and 1.7% of total invested assets for December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Below investment grade holdings are the result of downgrades subsequent to purchase, as the Company only invests in high quality securities with ratings quoted as investment grade. Below investment grade securities generally have greater default risk than higher rated corporate debt. The issuers of these securities are usually more sensitive to adverse industry or economic conditions than are investment grade issuers.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded net realized gains totaling \$14.5 million related to the disposition of investment securities. The net realized gains included \$1.2 million of losses for other-than-temporary impairment write-downs on investments in debt securities. For the years ended December 2011 and 2010, the Company recorded realized losses totaling \$6.2 million and \$6.2 million, respectively, related to disposition of securities.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of the Company's held to maturity investments by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012.

| | Held to Maturity | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|---|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$— | — | — | — | — | — | |
| U.S. Treasury | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| State and political subdivisions | 19,745 | (401 |) 1,470 | (31 |) 21,215 | (432 |) |
| Foreign governments | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Public utilities | 24,271 | (80 |) 1,982 | (23 |) 26,253 | (103 |) |
| Corporate | 303,645 | (1,776 |) 38,078 | (1,977 |) 341,723 | (3,753 |) |
| Mortgage-backed | 15,010 | (261 |) — | — | 15,010 | (261 |) |
| Home equity | — | — | 6,435 | (548 |) 6,435 | (548 |) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$362,671 | (2,518 |) 47,965 | (2,579 |) 410,636 | (5,097 |) |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of the Company's available for sale investments by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2012.

| | Available For Sale | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|---|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$— | — | — | — | — | — | |
| U.S. Treasury | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| State and political subdivisions | 571 | (28 |) — | — | 571 | (28 |) |
| Foreign governments | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Public utilities | 10,949 | (47 |) — | — | 10,949 | (47 |) |
| Corporate | 64,383 | (713 |) 14,713 | (2,268 |) 79,096 | (2,981 |) |
| Mortgage-backed | 3,839 | (64 |) — | — | 3,839 | (64 |) |
| Home equity | 4,698 | (216 |) 6,062 | (1,267 |) 10,760 | (1,483 |) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| | 84,440 | (1,068 |) 20,775 | (3,535 |) 105,215 | (4,603 |) |
| Equity public | 756 | (8 |) 295 | (50 |) 1,051 | (58 |) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$85,196 | (1,076 |) 21,070 | (3,585 |) 106,266 | (4,661 |) |

Liquidity in the bond market improved in 2012 as economic and market conditions started to stabilize. Although the unrealized losses declined substantially in 2012, there continues to be uncertainty in the bond markets regarding the economic recovery and some unrealized losses remain in the Company's portfolio. The Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired because the Company does not intend to sell these securities until recovery in fair value and expects to receive all amounts due relative to principal and interest.

The Company does not consider securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired where the market decline is attributable to factors such as market volatility, liquidity, spread widening and credit quality where we anticipate a recovery of all amounts due under the contractual terms of the security and have the intent and ability to hold until recovery or maturity. Based on the Company's review in concert with the Company's ability and intent to hold these securities until maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012. The Company will monitor the investment portfolio for future changes in issuer facts and circumstances that could result in future impairments beyond those currently identified.

Debt securities. The gross unrealized losses for debt securities are made up of 72 individual issues, or 5.9% of the total debt securities held by the Company. The market value of these bonds as a percent of amortized cost averages

98.2%. Of the 72 securities, 15, or approximately 20.5%, fall in the 12 months or greater aging category; and 65 were rated investment grade at December 31, 2012. Additional information on debt securities by investment category is summarized below.

105

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

U.S. Treasury. No securities had a gross unrealized loss.

U.S. government agencies. No securities had a gross unrealized loss.

State and political subdivisions. The unrealized losses on these investments are the result of holdings in 11 securities. Of these securities, all are rated A- or above except 1 which is rated BBB+. Based on these facts and the Company's intent to hold to maturity, no other-than-temporary loss was recognized as of December 31, 2012.

Foreign governments. No securities had a gross unrealized loss.

Public utilities. Of the 8 securities, all are rated BBB or above except for 1, which is rated BB+. At this time, the Company does not consider any of these unrealized losses as other-than-temporary.

Corporate. Corporate securities with unrealized losses are reviewed based on monitoring procedures described previously; including review of the amount of the unrealized loss, the length of time that the issue has been in an unrealized loss position, credit ratings, analyst reports, and recent issuer financial information. A total of 44 securities had unrealized losses; with 4 issues rated below investment grade. More extensive analysis was performed on these 4 issues. The European debt crisis and lack of liquidity in the high yield market caused a slight increase in unrealized losses during the fourth quarter of 2011. Based on the analysis performed, none of the unrealized losses are considered other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

Mortgage-backed securities. Of the 3 securities, all are rated AA+ except 1, which is rated CCC. The Company generally purchases these investments at a discount relative to their face amount and it is expected that the securities will not be settled at a price less than the stated par. Based on cash flow analysis, the 1 CCC rated investment was other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

Home equity. Of the 6 securities, all are rated BBB or above except 1 which is rated CC. The Company performs a quarterly cash flow analysis on asset-backed securities that are rated below AA. Based on cash flow analysis, 2 securities were other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012.

Manufactured housing. No securities had a gross unrealized loss.

Equity securities. The gross unrealized losses for equity securities are made up of 13 individual issues. These holdings are reviewed quarterly for impairment. 5 of the equity securities were considered other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2012, in accordance with Company policy.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of the Company's held to maturity investments by investment category and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011.

| | Held to Maturity | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|---|
| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$24,985 | (16 |) 27,010 | (314 |) 51,995 | (330 |) |
| U.S. Treasury | — | — | — | — | — | — |) |
| State and political subdivisions | 805 | (82 |) 17,117 | (198 |) 17,922 | (280 |) |
| Foreign governments | — | — | — | — | — | — |) |
| Public utilities | 26,509 | (1,388 |) 17,242 | (3,110 |) 43,751 | (4,498 |) |
| Corporate | 199,934 | (7,215 |) 95,975 | (7,268 |) 295,909 | (14,483 |) |
| Mortgage-backed | 23,256 | (29 |) — | — | 23,256 | (29 |) |
| Home equity | — | — | 11,660 | (1,649 |) 11,660 | (1,649 |) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | 2,436 | (81 |) 2,436 | (81 |) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$275,489 | (8,730 |) 171,440 | (12,620 |) 446,929 | (21,350 |) |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the gross unrealized losses and fair values of the Company's available for sale investments by investment category, and length of time the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position at December 31, 2011.

| | Available For Sale | | 12 Months or Greater | | Total Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| | Less than 12 Months Fair Value (In thousands) | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | | |
| Debt securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. agencies | \$— | — | — | — | — | — |
| U.S. Treasury | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| State and political subdivisions | — | — | 2,257 | (170 |) 2,257 | (170) |
| Foreign governments | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Public utilities | 19,314 | (907 |) — | — | 19,314 | (907) |
| Corporate | 100,584 | (4,009 |) 20,944 | (1,830 |) 121,528 | (5,839) |
| Mortgage-backed | 3,950 | (189 |) — | — | 3,950 | (189) |
| Home equity | — | — | 5,351 | (2,054 |) 5,351 | (2,054) |
| Manufactured housing | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| | 123,848 | (5,105 |) 28,552 | (4,054 |) 152,400 | (9,159) |
| Equity public | 722 | (84 |) 299 | (61 |) 1,021 | (145) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$124,570 | (5,189 |) 28,851 | (4,115 |) 153,421 | (9,304) |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt securities at December 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

| | Debt Securities Available for Sale | | Debt Securities Held to Maturity | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Due in 1 year or less | \$ 198,155 | 202,808 | 380,099 | 384,706 |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 864,018 | 957,662 | 758,183 | 841,425 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 1,349,544 | 1,497,720 | 2,686,026 | 2,982,889 |
| Due after 10 years | 16,574 | 17,185 | 270,574 | 289,330 |
| | 2,428,291 | 2,675,375 | 4,094,882 | 4,498,350 |
| Mortgage and asset-backed securities | 130,761 | 138,359 | 1,867,238 | 2,005,277 |
| Total | \$ 2,559,052 | 2,813,734 | 5,962,120 | 6,503,627 |

The Company uses the specific identification method in computing realized gains and losses. The table below details the nature of realized gains and losses, excluding impairments, during the year.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Available for sale debt securities: | | | |
| Realized gains on disposal | \$ 7,115 | 6,448 | 4,174 |
| Realized losses on disposal | — | (1 |) (153 |
| Held to maturity debt securities: | | | |
| Realized gains on redemption | 6,065 | 763 | 2,407 |
| Realized losses on redemption | (679 |) (853 |) — |
| Equity securities realized gains | 193 | 291 | 106 |
| Real estate | 2,485 | (70 |) (178 |
| Mortgage loans | (638 |) (609 |) (387 |
| Other | — | 233 | 235 |
| Totals | \$ 14,541 | 6,202 | 6,204 |

Due to significant credit deterioration, one bond with a carrying value of \$1.0 million was sold directly from held to maturity in 2012. The sale resulted in a small loss of \$0.3 million in 2012. No transfers were made out of held to maturity to available for sale in 2012 and 2011.

Except for U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities, the Company had no other investments in any entity in excess of 10% of stockholders' equity at December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents net impairment losses recognized in earnings for the periods indicated.

| | December 31, | | |
|--|----------------|---------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment (losses) recoveries on debt securities | \$1,121 | (1,738 |) (670 |
| Portion of loss recognized in comprehensive income | (2,364 |) 1,613 | — |
| Net impairment losses on debt securities recognized in earnings | (1,243 |) (125 |) (670 |
| Equity securities impairments | (98 |) (14 |) (59 |
| Totals | \$(1,341 |) (139 |) (729 |

For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recovered \$1.1 million on previously impaired sale asset-backed securities. The Company recognized \$1.7 million as other-than-temporary impairments on one held to maturity security and two available for sale securities in 2011 and \$0.7 million on five held to maturity securities and one available for sale asset-backed security in 2010. The credit component of the impairment was determined to be the difference between amortized cost and the present value of the cash flows expected to be received, discounted at the original yield. The significant inputs used to project cash flows are estimated future prepayment rates, default rates and default loss severity. Prior to adoption of the FASB guidance issued on April 9, 2009, the amount of impairment recognized in earnings was the difference between amortized cost and fair value.

The table below presents a roll forward of credit losses on securities for which the Company also recorded non-credit other-than-temporary impairments in other comprehensive loss.

| | Year Ended December 31, 2012 (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, 2011 |
|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Beginning balance, cumulative credit losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | \$1,122 | 997 |
| Reductions for securities sold during current period | (118 |) — |
| Additions for OTTI where credit losses have been previously recognized | 1,243 | 125 |
| Ending balance, cumulative credit losses related to other-than-temporary impairments | \$2,247 | 1,122 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(D) Net Unrealized Gains (Losses)

Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities included in stockholders' equity at December 31, 2012 and 2011, are as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------------------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Gross unrealized gains | \$270,967 | 212,588 |
| Gross unrealized losses | (9,133 |) (18,603 |
| Adjustments for: | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs and sales inducements | (122,799 |) (92,160 |
| Deferred Federal income tax expense | (48,685 |) (35,675 |
| | 90,350 | 66,150 |
| Net unrealized gains related to securities transferred to held to maturity | — | 38 |
| Net unrealized gains on investment securities | \$90,350 | 66,188 |

(E) Transfer of Securities

For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company made transfers totaling \$4.6 million and \$0 million, respectively, to the held to maturity category from securities available for sale. Lower holdings of securities available for sale reduce the Company's exposure to market price volatility, while still providing securities available for liquidity and asset/liability management purposes. The transfer of securities are recorded at fair value in accordance with GAAP, which requires that the \$0.0 million unrealized holding loss at the date of the transfer during 2010 continue to be reported in a separate component of stockholders' equity and be amortized over the remaining lives of the securities as an adjustment of yield, in a manner consistent with the amortization of any premium or discount.

In August, 2012, the Company decided to fully amortize the remaining balance of these unrealized gains and losses on the securities transferred to held to maturity from available for sale. The Company has been in the process of converting to a new investment system for tracking of investment securities. The amount of work necessary to convert the unamortized balance of these unrealized gains and losses for the new system would have exceeded any gain derived from continuing to amortize these amounts over the remaining life of the investments and would not have provided any additional information to users of these financial statements.

(4) REINSURANCE

Effective January 1, 2011, the Company began reinsuring any risk on any one life in excess of \$500,000. The Company's general policy prior to that date was to reinsure that portion of any risk in excess of \$250,000 on the life of any one individual. Total life insurance in force was \$21.6 billion and \$20.9 billion at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Of these amounts, life insurance in force totaling \$5.4 billion and \$5.6 billion was ceded to reinsurance companies on a yearly renewable term basis, at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In accordance with the reinsurance contracts, reinsurance receivables including amounts related to claims incurred but not reported and

liabilities for future policy benefits totaled \$9.0 million and \$10.6 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Premiums and contract revenues were reduced by \$19.8 million, \$20.7 million and \$21.9 million for reinsurance premiums ceded during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Benefit expenses were reduced by \$8.2 million, \$7.0 million and \$24.4 million, for reinsurance recoveries during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. A contingent liability exists with respect to reinsurance, as the Company remains liable if the reinsurance companies are unable to meet their obligations under the existing agreements. The Company does not assume reinsurance.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(5) FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

Total Federal income taxes were allocated as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|---------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Taxes (benefits) on earnings from continuing operations: | | | | |
| Current | \$51,886 | 39,928 | 27,468 | |
| Deferred | (3,863 |) (12,719 |) 4,198 | |
| Taxes on earnings | 48,023 | 27,209 | 31,666 | |
| Taxes (benefits) on components of stockholders' equity: | | | | |
| Net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale | 13,011 | 2,986 | 17,024 | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (157 |) 197 | (191 |) |
| Change in benefit liability | (410 |) (1,840 |) 805 | |
| Total Federal income taxes (benefit) | \$60,467 | 28,552 | 49,304 | |

The provisions for Federal income taxes attributable to earnings from continuing operations vary from amounts computed by applying the statutory income tax rate to earnings before Federal income taxes. The reasons for the differences and the corresponding tax effects are as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Income tax expense at statutory rate of 35% | \$49,204 | 28,993 | 36,597 | |
| Dividend received deduction | (1,292 |) (1,178 |) (1,077 |) |
| Tax exempt interest | (1,977 |) (2,033 |) (1,854 |) |
| Non deductible gifts | 2 | 7 | — | |
| Tax adjustment on foreign currency | 245 | (24 |) (482 |) |
| Adjustments pertaining to prior tax years | 1,244 | 1,122 | (1,944 |) |
| Nondeductible insurance | 160 | 160 | 160 | |
| Nondeductible meals | 110 | 38 | 30 | |
| Amortization of life interest in a trust | 116 | 114 | 113 | |
| Other, net | 211 | 10 | 123 | |
| Taxes on earnings from continuing operations | \$48,023 | 27,209 | 31,666 | |

There were no deferred taxes attributable to enacted tax rate changes for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The Company expects its effective tax rate to be less than the statutory rate of 35% due to recurring permanent differences that reduce tax expense. During the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company's effective tax rate was further decreased by adjustments pertaining to prior tax periods for amounts currently received, changes from previous estimates, and other adjustments which the Company does not generally expect to recur in the future.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities at December 31, 2012 and 2011 are presented below.

| | December 31, | |
|---|----------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Future policy benefits, excess of financial accounting liabilities over tax liabilities | \$278,407 | 271,637 |
| Investment securities write-downs for financial accounting purposes | 6,724 | 8,684 |
| Pension liabilities | 8,461 | 7,841 |
| Accrued operating expenses recorded for financial accounting purposes not currently tax deductible | 7,380 | 6,535 |
| Mortgage loans, principally due to valuation allowances for financial accounting purposes | 228 | 1,600 |
| Accrued and unearned investment income recognized for tax purposes and deferred for financial accounting purposes | 156 | 265 |
| Capital loss carryforward | — | — |
| Other | (4,690 |) (850 |
| | |) |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 296,666 | 295,712 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs, principally expensed for tax purposes | (298,435 |) (296,797 |
| | |) |
| Debt securities, principally due to deferred market discount for tax | (8,680 |) (8,091 |
| | |) |
| Real estate, principally due to adjustments for financial accounting purposes | (117 |) (272 |
| | |) |
| Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale | (45,927 |) (37,025 |
| | |) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 4,118 | 3,276 |
| Fixed assets, due to different bases | (2,342 |) (3,249 |
| | |) |
| Other | (337 |) (35 |
| | |) |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | (351,720 |) (342,193 |
| | |) |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | \$(55,054 |) (46,481 |
| | |) |

There was no valuation allowance for deferred tax assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011. In assessing deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences.

In accordance with GAAP, the Company assessed whether it had any significant uncertain tax positions related to open examination or other IRS issues and determined that there were none. Accordingly, no reserve for uncertain tax positions was recorded. Should the accrual of any interest or penalties relative to unrecognized tax benefits be necessary, it is the Company's policy to record such accruals in its income tax accounts. There were no such accruals as of December 31, 2012 or 2011. The Company and its corporate subsidiaries file a consolidated U.S. Federal income tax return, which is subject to examination for all years after 2005.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

During 2008, the Company was notified that its 2005 amended tax return, which was filed September 2007, was being audited by the IRS. The audit closed in the third quarter of 2010 with the Company receiving \$2.0 million, including interest, which approximated the amount claimed in the amended 2005 tax return.

Allocation of the consolidated Federal income tax liability amongst the Company and its subsidiaries is based on separate return calculations pursuant to the "wait-and-see" method as described in sections 1.1552-1(a)(1) and 1.1502-33(d)(2) of the current Treasury Regulations. Under this method, consolidated group members are not given current credit for net losses until future net taxable income is generated to realize such credits.

(6) INFORMATION REGARDING CONTROLLING STOCKHOLDER

Robert L. Moody, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer, owns 99.0% of the total outstanding shares of the Company's Class B common stock and 33.7% of the Class A common stock as of December 31, 2012.

Holders of the Company's Class A common stock elect one-third of the Board of Directors of the Company, and holders of the Class B common stock elect the remainder. Any cash or in-kind dividends paid on each share of Class B common stock shall be only one-half of the cash or in-kind dividends paid on each share of Class A common stock. Also, in the event of liquidation of the Company, the Class A stockholders shall first receive the par value of their shares; then the Class B stockholders shall receive the par value of their shares; and the remaining net assets of the Company shall be divided between the stockholders of both Class A and Class B common stock, based on the number of shares held.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(7) PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

(A) Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The Company sponsors a qualified defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees. The plan provides benefits based on the participants' years of service and compensation. The Company makes annual contributions to the plan that complies with the minimum funding provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"). On October 19, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved an amendment to freeze the Pension Plan as of December 31, 2007. The freeze ceased future benefit accruals to all participants and closed the Plan to any new participants. In addition, all participants became immediately 100% vested in their accrued benefits as of that date. As participants are no longer earning credit for service, future qualified defined benefit plan expense is projected to be minimal. Fair values of plan assets and liabilities are measured as of December 31 for each year. A detail of plan disclosures is provided below.

Obligations and Funded Status

| | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------------------|----------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Changes in projected benefit obligations: | | |
| Projected benefit obligations at beginning of year | \$21,890 | 19,502 |
| Service Cost | 174 | 147 |
| Interest cost | 928 | 1,000 |
| Actuarial loss | 1,833 | 2,429 |
| Benefits paid | (1,290 |) (1,188 |
| | |) |
| Projected benefit obligations at end of year | 23,535 | 21,890 |
| Changes in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 15,688 | 16,136 |
| Actual return on plan assets | 1,513 | 282 |
| Contributions | 902 | 458 |
| Benefits paid | (1,290 |) (1,188 |
| | |) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | 16,813 | 15,688 |
| Funded status at end of year | \$(6,722 |) (6,202 |
| | |) |

The service cost of \$174,000 for 2012 represents plan expenses expected to be paid out of plan assets. Under the new and clarified rules of the Pension Protection Act, plan expenses paid from plan assets are to be included in the plan's service cost component.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements: | | |
| Assets | \$— | — |
| Liabilities | (6,722 |) (6,202 |
| |) |) |
| Net amount recognized | \$(6,722 |) (6,202 |
| |) |) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net loss | \$11,283 | 10,680 |
| Prior service cost | 12 | 15 |
| Net amount recognized | \$11,295 | 10,695 |

The accumulated benefit obligation was \$23.5 million and \$21.9 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit costs: | | | |
| Interest cost | \$928 | 1,000 | 1,034 |
| Service cost | 174 | 147 | — |
| Expected return on plan assets | (1,070 |) (1,094 |) (1,035 |
| |) |) |) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Amortization of net loss | 786 | 542 | 499 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | 822 | 599 | 502 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net loss (gain) | 1,389 | 3,240 | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (4 |) (4 |) |
| |) |) |) |
| Amortization of net loss (gain) | (786 |) (542 |) |
| |) |) |) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | 599 | 2,694 | |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income | \$1,421 | 3,293 | |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The estimated net loss that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013, based on the average expected future service of plan participants, is \$777,000. The estimated prior service cost that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013 will be minimal.

Assumptions

| | December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|--------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations: | | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.75 | % 4.25 | | % |
| Rate of compensation increase | n/a | n/a | | |
| | December 31, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost: | | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.25 | % 5.25 | % 5.75 | % |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | 7.00 | % 7.00 | % 7.00 | % |
| Rate of compensation increase | n/a | n/a | n/a | |

The assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets is generally set at the rate expected to be earned based on the long-term investment policy of the plan and the various classes of invested funds, based on the input of the plan's investment advisors and consulting actuary and the plan's historic rate of return. As of December 31, 2012, the plan's average 10-year and inception-to-date returns were 5.52% and 6.70%, respectively.

In setting the annual discount rate assumption, the Pension Committee reviews the current 10 year and 30 year corporate bond yields, the current spread to treasuries and their relative change during the past twelve months. It also calculates the present value of the projected benefit payment stream based on the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve. Based on the facts and circumstances currently existing, the Pension Committee elected to use the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve.

In setting the annual portfolio rate of return assumption, the Pension Committee considers the Plan's actual long-term performance, the portfolio's current allocation and individual investment holdings, the Committee's and the Investment Manager's expectations for future long term investment strategy and expected performance, and the advice of consultants knowledgeable about overall market expectations and benchmark rates of return used by comparable companies.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Plan Assets

As discussed in Note 14, Fair Values of Financial Instruments, the Company adopted GAAP guidance which defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value of financial assets. Using this guidance, the Company has categorized its pension plan assets into a three level hierarchy, based on the priority of inputs to the valuation process. The fair value hierarchy classifications are reviewed annually. Reclassification of certain financial assets and liabilities may result based on changes in the observability of valuation attributes. The following table sets forth the Company's pension plan assets within the fair value hierarchy as of December 31, 2012.

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Total (In thousands) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Cash | \$885 | 885 | — | — |
| Equity securities | | | | |
| Domestic | 9,900 | 9,900 | — | — |
| International | 201 | 201 | — | — |
| Debt securities | | | | |
| U.S. government agencies | 403 | — | 403 | — |
| Corporate bonds | 5,424 | — | 5,424 | — |
| Total | \$16,813 | 10,986 | 5,827 | — |

Investment securities. Fair values for investments in debt and equity securities are based on quoted market prices, where available. For securities not actively traded, fair values are estimated using values obtained from various independent pricing services. In the cases where prices are unavailable from these sources, values are estimated by discounting expected future cash flows using a current market rate applicable to the yield, credit quality, and maturity of the investments.

Cash and short-term investments. The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for these instruments approximate their fair values.

The plan's weighted-average asset allocations by asset category are as follows:

| | December 31, | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Asset Category: | | | |
| Equity securities | 60% | 57% | 62% |
| Debt securities | 35% | 33% | 32% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 5% | 10% | 6% |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% |

The Company has established and maintains an investment policy statement for the assets held in the plan's trust. The investment strategies are of a long-term nature and are designed to meet the following objectives:

ensure that funds are available to pay benefits as they become due
set forth an investment structure detailing permitted assets and expected allocation ranges among classes
ensure that plan assets are managed in accordance with ERISA

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Pension Plan is a highly diversified portfolio; the 93% of the pension assets not invested in cash or U.S. Government agencies is allocated among 208 different investments, with no single credit representing more than 2.4% of the fair value of the portfolio. The investment policy statement sets forth the following acceptable ranges for each asset's class.

| | Acceptable Range |
|---------------------------|------------------|
| Asset Category: | |
| Equity securities | 55-65% |
| Debt securities | 30-40% |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 0-15% |

Deviations from these ranges are permitted if such deviations are consistent with the duty of prudence under ERISA. Investments in natural resources, venture capital, precious metals, futures and options, real estate, and other vehicles that do not have readily available objective valuations are not permitted. Short sales, use of margin or leverage, and investment in commodities and art objects are also prohibited.

The investment policy statement is reviewed annually to ensure that the objectives are met considering any changes in benefit plan design, market conditions, or other material considerations.

Contributions

The Company expects to contribute \$451,000 to the plan during 2013 although additional amounts may be contributed. The plan's funding status is reviewed periodically throughout the year by the Company's Pension Plan Committee. The Company intends to contribute at least the minimum amounts necessary for tax compliance and to maintain an Adjusted Funding Target Attainment Percentage (AFTAP) of over 80% to meet the Pension Protection Act Plan's threshold.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid (in thousands):

| | |
|-----------|---------|
| 2013 | \$1,305 |
| 2014 | 1,305 |
| 2015 | 1,291 |
| 2016 | 1,301 |
| 2017 | 1,380 |
| 2018-2022 | 6,657 |

The Company also sponsors three non-qualified defined benefit pension plans. The first plan covers certain senior officers and provides benefits based on the participants' years of service and compensation. The primary pension obligations and administrative responsibilities of the plan are maintained by a pension administration firm, which is a subsidiary of American National Insurance Company ("ANICO"), a related party. ANICO has guaranteed the payment of pension obligations under the plan. However, the Company has a contingent liability with respect to the plan should these entities be unable to meet their obligations under the existing agreements. Also, the Company has a

contingent liability with respect to the plan in the event that a plan participant continues employment with the Company beyond age seventy, the aggregate average annual participant salary increases exceed 10% per year, or any additional employees become eligible to participate in the plan. If any of these conditions are met, the Company would be responsible for any additional pension obligations resulting from these items. Amendments were made to this plan to allow an additional employee to participate and to change the benefit formula for the Chairman of the Company. As previously mentioned, these additional obligations are a liability to the Company. Effective December 31, 2004, this plan was frozen with respect to the continued accrual of benefits of the Chairman and the President of the Company in order to comply with law changes under the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 ("Act").

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Effective July 1, 2005, the Company established a second non-qualified defined benefit plan for the benefit of the Chairman of the Company. This plan is intended to provide for post-2004 benefit accruals that mirror and supplement the pre-2005 benefit accruals under the previously discussed non-qualified plan, while complying with the requirements of the Act.

Effective November 1, 2005, the Company established a third non-qualified defined benefit plan for the benefit of the President of the Company. This plan is intended to provide for post-2004 benefit accruals that supplement the pre-2005 benefit accruals under the first non-qualified plan as previously discussed, while complying with the requirements of the Act.

A detail of plan disclosures related to the amendments of the original plan and the additional two plans is provided below:

Obligations and Funded Status

| | December 31, 2012 2011 (In thousands) | |
|--|--|------------------------|
| Changes in projected benefit obligations: | | |
| Projected benefit obligations at beginning of year | \$21,401 | 19,257 |
| Service cost | 168 | 93 |
| Interest cost | 912 | 1,033 |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | 2,025 | 3,000 |
| Benefits paid | (1,981 |) (1,982) |
| Projected benefit obligations at end of year | 22,525 | 21,401 |
| Change in plan assets: | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | — | — |
| Contributions | 1,981 | 1,982 |
| Benefits paid | (1,981 |) (1,982) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | — | — |
| Funded status at end of year | \$(22,525 |) (21,401) |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | December 31, | |
|--|----------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Amounts recognized in the Company's consolidated financial statements: | | |
| Assets | \$— | — |
| Liabilities | (22,525 |) (21,401 |
| |) |) |
| Net amount recognized | \$(22,525 |) (21,401 |
| |) |) |
| Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Net loss | \$11,117 | 10,235 |
| Prior service cost | 878 | 936 |
| Net amount recognized | \$11,995 | 11,171 |

The accumulated benefit obligation was \$19.5 million and \$19.8 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Service cost | \$168 | 93 | 53 |
| Interest cost | 912 | 1,033 | 1,063 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 59 | 59 | 516 |
| Amortization of net loss | 1,142 | 888 | 658 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | 2,281 | 2,073 | 2,290 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net (gain) loss | 2,025 | 3,000 | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (59 |) (59 |) |
| Amortization of net (gain) loss | (1,142 |) (888 |) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | 824 | 2,053 | |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income | \$3,105 | 4,126 | |

The estimated net loss to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013, based on the average expected future service of plan participants, is \$1,097,000. The estimated prior

service cost to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013 will be \$59,000.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Assumptions

| | December 31, | | | |
|--|--------------|--------|--------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations: | | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.75 | % 4.25 | | % |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00 | % 4.00 | | % |
| | December 31, | | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit costs: | | | | |
| Discount rate | 4.25 | % 5.25 | % 5.75 | % |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| Rate of compensation increase | 4.00 | % 4.00 | % 4.00 | % |

The plan is unfunded and therefore no assumption has been made related to the expected long-term return on plan assets.

Plan Assets

The plan is unfunded and therefore had no assets at December 31, 2012 or 2011.

Contributions

The Company expects to contribute \$2.0 million to the plan in 2013.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid (in thousands):

| | |
|-----------|---------|
| 2013 | \$1,982 |
| 2014 | 1,982 |
| 2015 | 1,982 |
| 2016 | 1,982 |
| 2017 | 1,982 |
| 2018-2022 | 9,908 |

(B) Defined Contribution Pension Plans

In addition to the defined benefit pension plans, the Company sponsors a qualified 401(k) plan for substantially all employees and a non-qualified deferred compensation plan primarily for senior officers. The Company made annual contributions to the 401(k) plan of one percent of each employee's compensation in 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additional Company matching contributions of up to two percent of each employee's compensation are

also made each year based on the employee's personal level of salary deferrals to the plan. All Company contributions are subject to a vesting schedule based on the employee's years of service. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, Company contributions totaled \$323,000, \$344,000 and \$429,000, respectively.

The non-qualified deferred compensation plan was established to allow eligible employees to defer the payment of a percentage of their compensation and to provide for additional Company contributions. Company contributions are subject to a vesting schedule based on the employee's years of service. For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, Company contributions totaled \$66,000, \$62,000, and \$64,000, respectively.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------|------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: | | | |
| Interest cost | \$ 129 | 143 | 137 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 103 | 103 | 103 |
| Amortization of net loss | 41 | 19 | — |
| Net periodic benefit cost | 273 | 265 | 240 |
| Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net (gain) loss | (105 |) 632 | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (103 |) (103 |) |
| Amortization of net (gain) loss | (41 |) (19 |) |
| Total recognized in other comprehensive income | (249 |) 510 | |
| Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost and other comprehensive income | \$24 | 775 | |

The estimated net loss to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013, based on the average expected future service of plan participants, is \$66,000. The estimated prior service cost to be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net periodic benefit cost over 2013 will be \$103,000.

Assumptions

| | December 31, | | | |
|---|--------------|--------|---|--|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | |
| Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations: | | | | |
| Discount rate | 3.75 | % 4.25 | % | |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | n/a | n/a | | |

For measurement purposes, a 9% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2013 and future years.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Assumed health care trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one percentage point change in assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects for the years ended December 31:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|---|--|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---|
| | 1% Point Increase (In thousands) | 1% Point Decrease | 1% Point Increase | 1% Point Decrease | |
| Effect on total of service and interest cost components | \$29 | (22 |) 34 | (26 |) |
| Effect on postretirement benefit obligation | \$810 | (622 |) 827 | (633 |) |

Plan Assets

The plans are unfunded and therefore had no assets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Contributions

The following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are expected to be paid (in thousands):

| | |
|-----------|------|
| 2013 | \$72 |
| 2014 | 78 |
| 2015 | 85 |
| 2016 | 93 |
| 2017 | 101 |
| 2018-2022 | 658 |

(8) SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Company has available a \$40 million bank line of credit (with Moody National Bank, a related party) primarily for cash management purposes relating to investment transactions. The Company is required to maintain a collateral security deposit in trust with the sponsoring bank equal to 110% of any outstanding liability. The Company had no outstanding liabilities with the bank at December 31, 2012 or 2011. The Company had assets having an amortized value of \$42.1 million on deposit with the lender at year end 2012.

(9) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(A) Legal Proceedings

In the normal course of business, the Company is involved or may become involved in various legal actions in which claims for alleged economic and punitive damages have been or may be asserted, some for substantial amounts. In recent years, carriers offering life insurance and annuity products have faced litigation, including class action lawsuits, alleging improper product design, improper sales practices, and similar claims. As discussed below, the Company has been a defendant over the past several years in two such class action lawsuits. Given the uncertainty involved in these

types of actions, the ability to make a reliable evaluation of the likelihood of an unfavorable outcome or an estimate of the amount of or range of potential loss is endemic to the particular circumstances and evolving developments of each individual matter on its own merits.

125

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company was a defendant in a class action lawsuit initially filed on September 17, 2004, in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. The California state court certified a class consisting of certain California policyholders age 65 and older alleging violations under California Business and Professions Code section 17200. The court additionally certified a subclass of 36 policyholders alleging fraud against their agent, and vicariously against the Company. The California Insurance Department intervened in this case asserting that the Company violated California insurance laws. The parties to this case became involved in court-ordered mediation and ongoing negotiations. On February 22, 2010, the Company reported in a Form 8-K filing a settlement agreement with the plaintiffs and plaintiff in intervention providing a settlement benefit of approximately \$17 million which was included in the Company's legal accrual provision at December 31, 2009. The settlement agreement was given final court approval at a Fairness Hearing on August 20, 2010. Including attorney's fees, policy benefits and other considerations, the Company paid out approximately \$22.4 million in the third and fourth quarters of 2010.

The Company is currently a defendant in a second class action lawsuit pending as of June 12, 2006, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California. The case is titled In Re National Western Life Insurance Deferred Annuities Litigation. The complaint asserts claims for RICO violations, Financial Elder Abuse, Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17200, et seq, Violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code 17500, et seq, Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Aiding and Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Fraudulent Concealment, Cal. Civ. Code 1710, et seq, Breach of the Duty of Good Faith and Fair Dealing, and Unjust Enrichment and Imposition of Constructive Trust. On July 12, 2010 the Court certified a nationwide class of policyholders under the RICO allegation and a California class under all of the remaining causes of action except breach of fiduciary duty. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses in this cause and intends to vigorously defend itself against the asserted claims. In addition, given the speculative and vague damage theories presented by the plaintiffs in the matter, the inability to ascertain any financial harm to the class of policyholders, and the current status of the case before the Court, the Company is unable to reasonably estimate a possible range of loss for disclosure in the accompanying financial statements. Therefore, no amounts have been provided in the financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2012 for this matter. Trial is set for April 22, 2013.

In addition to the two class action lawsuits described above, the Company is the named defendant in the case of Sheila Newman vs. National Western Life Insurance Company, which alleged mishandling of policyholder funds by an agent. On February 3, 2010, the 415th Judicial District Court of Parker County in Weatherford, Texas, entered a Final Judgment against the Company of approximately \$208,000 including actual damages of \$113,000 and amounts for attorney's fees, and prejudgment interest on the actual damages. In addition, the Final Judgment included \$150 million for exemplary damages. The Company vigorously defended this case and appealed the Final Judgment to the Court of Appeals Second District of Texas in Fort Worth. The Court of Appeals on August 11, 2011, reversed the trial court judgment in its entirety and rendered a take nothing verdict in favor of National Western. Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a motion for a rehearing which the Court ruled on October 13, 2011, that the trial court's judgment was still reversed and judgment was still entered that Newman take nothing, all in favor of National Western. The Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a Motion for Reconsideration En Banc which the Court of Appeals denied on October 27, 2011. The Plaintiffs (Appellees) then filed a Motion for Rehearing of the Court's amended decision, which the Court of Appeals denied on December 22, 2011. On March 21, 2012, Plaintiffs (Appellees) filed a petition for review with the Texas Supreme Court and the Company filed its response on April 20, 2012. The Supreme Court asked the parties for briefs on the issues before deciding on whether to hear the case and both parties submitted their briefs. On February 14, 2013, the Supreme Court denied the Plaintiffs petition for review. On March 4, 2013, the Plaintiff filed for an extension of time to file a motion for rehearing.

On October 26, 2011 the Brazilian Superintendence of Private Insurance (“SUSEP”) attempted to serve the Company with a subpoena regarding an administrative proceeding initiated by SUSEP in which it alleged that the Company was operating as an insurance company in Brazil without due authorization. The Company has been informed that SUSEP is attempting to impose a penal fine of approximately \$6 billion on the Company. SUSEP has unsuccessfully attempted to serve the Company with notice regarding this matter. The Company does not transact business in Brazil and has no officers, employees, property, or assets in Brazil. The Company and its legal advisors believe that SUSEP has no jurisdiction over the Company, that SUSEP’s attempts at service of process have been invalid, and that any penal fine would be unenforceable. For the reasons described above, the Company does not believe that this matter meets the definition of a material pending legal proceeding as such term is defined in Item 103 of Regulation S-K but has included the foregoing description solely due to the purported amount of the fine sought.

Although there can be no assurances, at the present time, the Company does not anticipate that the ultimate liability arising from such other potential, pending, or threatened legal actions will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or operating results of the Company.

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(B) Financial Instruments

In order to meet the financing needs of its customers in the normal course of business, the Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit which involve elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amounts, assuming that the amounts are fully advanced and that collateral or other security is of no value. Commitments to extend credit are legally binding agreements to lend to a customer that generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Commitments do not necessarily represent future liquidity requirements, as some could expire without being drawn upon. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. The Company controls the credit risk of these transactions through credit approvals, limits, and monitoring procedures.

The Company had \$3.0 million of commitments to extend credit relating to mortgage loans at December 31, 2012. The Company evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis.

(C) Guaranty Association Assessments

The Company is subject to state guaranty association assessments in all states in which it is licensed to do business. These associations generally guarantee certain levels of benefits payable to resident policyholders of insolvent insurance companies. Many states allow premium tax credits for all or a portion of such assessments, thereby allowing potential recovery of these payments over a period of years. However, several states do not allow such credits.

The Company estimates its liabilities for guaranty association assessments by using the latest information available from the National Organization of Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Associations. The Company monitors and revises its estimates for assessments as additional information becomes available which could result in changes to the estimated liabilities. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, liabilities for guaranty association assessments totaled \$3.8 million and \$2.0 million, respectively. Other operating expenses related to state guaranty association assessments were minimal for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

(D) Leases

The Company had leased various computers and other office related equipment under operating leases. Rental expenses for these leases were \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company's lease commitment expired October 31, 2011 and a new lease was entered into as of January 1, 2012. The Company's future annual lease obligations as of December 31, 2012 are in the table below.

| | |
|---------------------|--------|
| 2013 | \$ 150 |
| 2014 and thereafter | — |
| Total | \$ 150 |

(E) Compensation Plan

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company implemented a Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan to provide incentive bonuses to eligible agents. Agents qualify for participation by meeting certain sales goals each year. Company contributions are subject to a vesting schedule based on the agents' years of qualification in the plan. The Company expects to contribute approximately the same amount to the plan as in 2013 as in 2012 (\$0.8 million).

127

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(10) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(A) Changes in Common Stock Shares Outstanding

Details of changes in shares of common stock outstanding are provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Common stock shares outstanding: | | | |
| Shares outstanding at beginning of year | 3,635 | 3,629 | 3,626 |
| Shares exercised under stock option plan | — | 6 | 3 |
| Shares outstanding at end of year | 3,635 | 3,635 | 3,629 |

(B) Dividend Restrictions

The Company is restricted by state insurance laws as to dividend amounts which may be paid to stockholders without prior approval from the Colorado Division of Insurance. The restrictions are based on the greater of statutory earnings from operations excluding capital gains or 10% of statutory capital and surplus of the Company. The maximum dividend payment which may be made without prior approval in 2013 is \$100.5 million.

On August 17, 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a cash dividend to stockholders on record as of October 29, 2012 and payable December 3, 2012. The dividends approved were \$0.36 per common share to Class A stockholders and \$0.18 per common share to Class B stockholders. A dividend in the same amounts per share on Class A and Class B shares was declared in August and paid in December 2011.

(C) Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Colorado Division of Insurance imposes minimum risk-based capital requirements on insurance companies that were developed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). The formulas for determining the amount of risk-based capital ("RBC") specify various weighting factors that are applied to statutory financial balances or various levels of activity based on the perceived degree of risk. Regulatory compliance is determined by a ratio of the Company's regulatory total adjusted capital to its authorized control level RBC, as defined by the NAIC. Companies below specific trigger points or ratios are classified within certain levels, each of which requires specified corrective action. The Company's current authorized control level RBC of \$72.9 million is significantly below its total adjusted capital of \$1.1 billion.

(D) Share-Based Payments

The Company has a stock and incentive plan ("1995 Plan") which provides for the grant of any or all of the following types of awards to eligible employees: (1) stock options, including incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options; (2) stock appreciation rights, in tandem with stock options or freestanding; (3) restricted stock; and (4)

performance awards. The Company has issued only nonqualified stock options under the 1995 Plan. The 1995 Plan began on April 21, 1995, and was amended on June 25, 2004 to extend the termination date to April 20, 2010. The number of shares of Class A, \$1.00 par value, common stock which were allowed to be issued under the 1995 Plan, or as to which stock appreciation rights or other awards were allowed to be granted, could not exceed 300,000. Effective June 20, 2008, the Company's shareholders approved a 2008 Incentive Plan ("2008 Plan"). The 2008 Plan is substantially similar to the 1995 Plan and authorized an additional number of Class A, \$1.00 par value, common stock shares eligible for issue not to exceed 300,000. These shares may be current authorized and unissued shares.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

All of the employees of the Company and its subsidiaries are eligible to participate in the two Plans. In addition, directors of the Company are eligible to receive the same types of awards as employees except that they are not eligible to receive incentive stock options. Company directors, including members of the Compensation and Stock Option Committee, are eligible for nondiscretionary stock options. The directors' grants vest 20% annually following one full year of service to the Company from the date of grant. The employees' grants vest 20% annually following three full years of service to the Company from the date of grant. All grants issued expire after ten years.

In 2006, the Company adopted and implemented a limited stock buy-back program with respect to the 1995 Plan which provides option holders the additional alternative of selling shares acquired through the exercise of options directly back to the Company. Option holders may elect to sell such acquired shares back to the Company at any time within ninety (90) days after the exercise of options at the prevailing market price as of the date of notice of election. The buy-back program did not alter the terms and conditions of the Plan, however the program necessitated a change in accounting from the equity classification to the liability classification. In 2008, the Company implemented another limited stock buy-back program, substantially similar to the 2006 program, for shares issued under the 2008 Plan.

The Company uses the current fair value method to measure compensation cost. As of December 31, 2012, the liability balance was \$2.7 million versus \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2011. A summary of shares available for grant and stock option activity is detailed below.

| | Shares Available For Grant | Options Outstanding Shares | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Stock Options: | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | 291,000 | 86,118 | \$187.83 |
| Exercised | — | — | \$— |
| Forfeited | — | (3,650 |) \$224.89 |
| Expired | — | — | \$— |
| Stock options granted | — | — | \$— |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | 291,000 | 82,468 | \$186.19 |
| | | Stock Appreciation Rights Outstanding Awards | Weighted-Average Exercise Price |
| Balance at January 1, 2012 | | 75.411 | \$126.64 |
| Exercised | | (2.9 |) \$120.82 |
| Forfeited | | (6.05 |) \$147.13 |
| Granted | | — | \$— |
| Balance at December 31, 2012 | | 66,461 | \$125.03 |

The total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$0.1 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The total share-based liabilities paid were \$73,000 and \$179,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the total cash received from the exercise of options under the Plan was \$0 and \$513,000. The total fair value of shares vested during the years

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K
ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 was \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively.

129

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table summarizes information about stock options and SARs outstanding at December 31, 2012.

| | Options and SARs Outstanding | | |
|---|------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life | Number Exercisable |
| Exercise prices: | | | |
| \$150.00 | 50,050 | 1.3 years | 50,050 |
| \$255.13 | 23,418 | 5.2 years | 9,608 |
| \$208.05 | 9,000 | 5.4 years | 7,200 |
| \$251.49 | 250 | 5.6 years | 100 |
| \$236.00 | 400 | 0.1 years | 400 |
| \$114.64 | 32,043 | 6.1 years | 9,929 |
| \$132.56 | 33,768 | 9.0 years | 1,800 |
| Totals | 148,929 | | 79,087 |
| Aggregate intrinsic value (in thousands) | \$2,619 | | \$861 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above is based on the closing stock price of \$157.74 per share on December 31, 2012.

In estimating the fair value of the options outstanding at December 31, the Company employed the Black-Scholes option pricing model with assumptions as detailed below.

| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Expected term of options | 0 to 9 years | 2 to 10 years |
| Expected volatility: | | |
| Range | 19.54% to 34.93% | 25.39% to 37.73% |
| Weighted-average | 25.96 | 30.87 |
| Expected dividend yield | 23.00 | 0.26 |
| Risk-free rate: | | |
| Range | 0.39% to 1.49% | 0.73% to 2.03% |
| Weighted-average | 0.84 | 1.16 |

The Company reviewed the contractual term relative to the options as well as perceived future behavior patterns of exercise. Volatility is based on the Company's historical volatility over the expected term.

The pre-tax compensation cost/(benefit) recognized in the financial statements related to the Plan was \$1.1 million, \$(2.6) million and \$(0.1) million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The related tax (expense)/benefit recognized was \$0.4 million, \$(0.9) million and \$0.0 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the total compensation cost related to nonvested options not yet recognized was \$1.7 million, \$2.2 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. This amount is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years. The Company recognizes compensation cost over the graded vesting periods.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(11) EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share amounts for the Company are presented using two different computations. Basic earnings per share excludes dilutive effects of certain securities or contracts, such as stock options, and is computed by dividing income available to each class of common stockholders on an as if distributed basis by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock, that then shared in the distributed earnings of each class of common stock. Stock options not included in the weighted-average number of diluted shares, because such shares would have been anti-dilutive, were immaterial. U.S. GAAP requires a two-class presentation for the Company's two classes of common stock (Note 6, Transactions with Controlling Stockholder). Accordingly, the earnings per share for both Class A and Class B are presented. The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted earnings per share.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Class A | Class B | Class A | Class B | Class A | Class B |
| (In thousands except per share amounts) | | | | | | |
| Numerator for Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share: | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$92,558 | | 55,627 | | 72,897 | |
| Dividends – Class A shares | (1,237) | | (1,237) | | (1,234) | |
| Dividends – Class B shares | (36) | | (36) | | (36) | |
| Undistributed income | \$91,285 | | 54,354 | | 71,627 | |
| Allocation of net income: | | | | | | |
| Dividends | \$1,237 | 36 | 1,237 | 36 | 1,234 | 36 |
| Allocation of undistributed income | 88,703 | 2,582 | 52,816 | 1,538 | 69,598 | 2,029 |
| Net income | \$89,940 | 2,618 | 54,053 | 1,574 | 70,832 | 2,065 |
| Denominator: | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share - weighted-average shares | 3,435 | 200 | 3,433 | 200 | 3,427 | 200 |
| Effect of dilutive stock options | — | — | 4 | — | 10 | — |
| Diluted earnings per share - adjusted weighted-average shares for assumed conversions | 3,435 | 200 | 3,437 | 200 | 3,437 | 200 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$26.19 | 13.09 | 15.74 | 7.87 | 20.67 | 10.33 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$26.19 | 13.09 | 15.73 | 7.87 | 20.61 | 10.33 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(12) COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

GAAP guidance requires that all items recognized under accounting standards as components of comprehensive income be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. This guidance requires that an enterprise (a) classify items of other comprehensive income by their nature in a financial statement and (b) display the accumulated balance of other comprehensive income separately from retained earnings and additional paid-in capital in the equity section of a statement of financial position.

This guidance affects the Company's reporting presentation of certain items such as foreign currency translation adjustments, unrealized gains and losses on investment securities, and minimum pension liabilities. These items are reflected as components of other comprehensive income (loss), as reported in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Components of other comprehensive income (loss) for 2012, 2011 and 2010 and the related tax effect are detailed below.

| | Amounts Before Taxes (In thousands) | Tax (Expense)Benefit | Amounts Net of Taxes |
|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2012: | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of effects of deferred costs of \$30,677 | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period | \$41,863 | (14,652) | 27,211 |
| Unrealized liquidity losses | 2,011 | (704) | 1,307 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net earnings | (6,929 |) 2,425 | (4,504) |
| Amortization of net unrealized gains (losses) and related to transferred securities | 227 | (79) | 148 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities | 37,172 | (13,010) | 24,162 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 64 | 157 | 221 |
| Pension liability adjustment | (1,183 |) 410 | (773) |
| Other comprehensive income | \$36,053 | (12,443) | 23,610 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Amounts Before Taxes (In thousands) | Tax (Expense)Benefit | Amounts Net of Taxes |
|---|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 2011: | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of effects of deferred costs of \$22,561: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period | \$16,854 | (5,899) | 10,955 |
| Unrealized liquidity losses | (331) |) 116 | (215) |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net earnings | (6,715) |) 2,350 | (4,365) |
| Amortization of net unrealized gains (losses) related to transferred securities | 41 | (14) | 27 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities | 9,849 | (3,447) | 6,402 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (334) |) 117 | (217) |
| Pension liability adjustment | (5,257) |) 1,840 | (3,417) |
| Other comprehensive income | \$4,258 | (1,490) | 2,768 |
| | Amounts Before Taxes (In thousands) | Tax (Expense)Benefit | Amounts Net of Taxes |
| 2010: | | | |
| Unrealized gains on securities, net of effects of deferred costs of \$37,080: | | | |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period | \$51,411 | (17,994) | 33,417 |
| Unrealized liquidity losses | 923 | (323) | 600 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net gains included in net earnings | (3,948) |) 1,382 | (2,566) |
| Amortization of net unrealized gains (losses) related to transferred securities | 14 | (5) | 9 |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities | 48,400 | (16,940) | 31,460 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | (474) |) 166 | (308) |
| Pension liability adjustment | 2,302 | (806) | 1,496 |
| Other comprehensive income | \$50,228 | (17,580) | 32,648 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(13) SEGMENT AND OTHER OPERATING INFORMATION

(A) Operating Segment Information

The Company defines its reportable operating segments as domestic life insurance, international life insurance, and annuities. The Company's segments are organized based on product types and geographic marketing areas. In addition, the Company regularly evaluates operating performance using non-GAAP financial measures which exclude or segregate realized investment gains and losses from operating revenues and earnings. The Company believes that the presentation of these non-GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of the Company's results of operations by highlighting the results from ongoing operations and the underlying profitability factors of the Company's business. The Company excludes or segregates realized investment gains and losses because such items are often the result of events which may or may not be at the Company's discretion and the fluctuating effects of these items could distort trends in the underlying profitability of the Company's business.

A summary of segment information, prepared in accordance with GAAP guidance, is provided below.

| | Domestic Life Insurance (In thousands) | International Life Insurance | Annuities | All Others | Totals |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| 2012: | | | | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Items: | | | | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs and sales inducements | \$40,810 | 226,899 | 590,532 | — | 858,241 |
| Total segment assets | 463,569 | 1,105,862 | 8,269,445 | 242,404 | 10,081,280 |
| Future policy benefits | 398,202 | 846,028 | 7,324,624 | — | 8,568,854 |
| Other policyholder liabilities | 10,468 | 13,074 | 125,019 | — | 148,561 |
| Condensed Income Statements: | | | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$31,143 | 114,605 | 22,196 | — | 167,944 |
| Net investment income | 21,194 | 43,469 | 375,431 | 19,954 | 460,048 |
| Other revenues | 62 | 485 | 80 | 22,843 | 23,470 |
| Total revenues | 52,399 | 158,559 | 397,707 | 42,797 | 651,462 |
| Life and other policy benefits | 10,633 | 20,877 | 20,332 | — | 51,842 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 7,461 | 18,103 | 96,358 | — | 121,922 |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 17,507 | 39,639 | 205,193 | — | 262,339 |
| Other operating expenses | 14,895 | 26,007 | 25,456 | 21,620 | 87,978 |
| Federal income taxes (benefit) | 648 | 18,377 | 17,162 | 7,216 | 43,403 |
| Total expenses | 51,144 | 123,003 | 364,501 | 28,836 | 567,484 |
| Segment earnings (loss) | \$1,255 | 35,556 | 33,206 | 13,961 | 83,978 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Domestic Life Insurance (In thousands) | International Life Insurance | Annuities | All Others | Totals |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 2011: | | | | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Items: | | | | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs and sales inducements | \$37,102 | 231,123 | 610,070 | — | 878,295 |
| Total segment assets | 403,868 | 1,023,942 | 7,997,407 | 225,716 | 9,650,933 |
| Future policy benefits | 344,916 | 761,926 | 7,056,613 | — | 8,163,455 |
| Other policyholder liabilities | 9,526 | 17,400 | 124,382 | — | 151,308 |
| Condensed Income Statements: | | | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$30,387 | 98,021 | 21,803 | — | 150,211 |
| Net investment income | 16,980 | 36,806 | 318,294 | 18,954 | 391,034 |
| Other revenues | 69 | 354 | 3,170 | 21,846 | 25,439 |
| Total revenues | 47,436 | 135,181 | 343,267 | 40,800 | 566,684 |
| Life and other policy benefits | 11,636 | 20,709 | 14,149 | — | 46,494 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 11,467 | 29,415 | 92,206 | — | 133,088 |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 9,760 | 36,674 | 186,354 | — | 232,788 |
| Other operating expenses | 13,890 | 22,131 | 20,474 | 21,046 | 77,541 |
| Federal income taxes (benefit) | 223 | 8,578 | 9,831 | 6,455 | 25,087 |
| Total expenses | 46,976 | 117,507 | 323,014 | 27,501 | 514,998 |
| Segment earnings (loss) | \$460 | 17,674 | 20,253 | 13,299 | 51,686 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Domestic Life Insurance (In thousands) | International Life Insurance | Annuities | All Others | Totals |
|---|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| 2010: | | | | | |
| Selected Balance Sheet Items: | | | | | |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs and sales inducements | \$42,751 | 226,004 | 567,028 | — | 835,783 |
| Total segment assets | 387,873 | 1,025,103 | 7,101,720 | 209,179 | 8,723,875 |
| Future policy benefits | 325,246 | 716,716 | 6,205,819 | — | 7,247,781 |
| Other policyholder liabilities | 13,394 | 26,678 | 111,454 | — | 151,526 |
| Condensed Income Statements: | | | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$27,622 | 98,092 | 18,043 | — | 143,757 |
| Net investment income | 17,226 | 38,667 | 327,975 | 17,515 | 401,383 |
| Other revenues | 167 | 358 | 2,002 | 22,850 | 25,377 |
| Total revenues | 45,015 | 137,117 | 348,020 | 40,365 | 570,517 |
| Life and other policy benefits | 13,484 | 29,228 | 10,217 | — | 52,929 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 9,352 | 21,828 | 65,269 | — | 96,449 |
| Universal life and annuity contract interest | 10,643 | 36,369 | 219,591 | — | 266,603 |
| Other operating expenses | 12,839 | 18,651 | 3,905 | 20,053 | 55,448 |
| Federal income taxes (benefit) | (391) |) 9,319 | 14,722 | 6,100 | 29,750 |
| Total expenses | 45,927 | 115,395 | 313,704 | 26,153 | 501,179 |
| Segment earnings (loss) | \$ (912) |) 21,722 | 34,316 | 14,212 | 69,338 |

Reconciliations of segment information to the Company's consolidated financial statements are provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Premiums and Other Revenue: | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$167,944 | 150,211 | 143,757 |
| Net investment income | 460,048 | 391,034 | 401,383 |
| Other revenues | 23,470 | 25,439 | 25,377 |
| Realized gains (losses) on investments | 13,200 | 6,063 | 5,475 |
| Total consolidated premiums and other revenue | \$664,662 | 572,747 | 575,992 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Federal Income Taxes: | | | |
| Total segment Federal income taxes | \$43,403 | 25,087 | 29,750 |
| Taxes on realized gains (losses) on investments | 4,620 | 2,122 | 1,916 |
| Total taxes on consolidated net earnings | \$48,023 | 27,209 | 31,666 |
| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net Earnings: | | | |
| Total segment earnings | \$83,978 | 51,686 | 69,338 |
| Realized gains (losses) on investments, net of taxes | 8,580 | 3,941 | 3,559 |
| Total consolidated net earnings | \$92,558 | 55,627 | 72,897 |
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Assets: | | | |
| Total segment assets | \$10,081,280 | 9,650,933 | 8,723,875 |
| Other unallocated assets | 182,578 | 77,066 | 50,073 |
| Total consolidated assets | \$10,263,858 | 9,727,999 | 8,773,948 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(B) Geographic Information

A significant portion of the Company's premiums and contract revenues are from countries other than the United States. Premiums and contract revenues detailed by country are provided below.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| United States | \$67,924 | 58,570 | 57,190 |
| Brazil | 38,605 | 34,367 | 31,015 |
| Taiwan | 13,958 | 13,155 | 12,421 |
| Venezuela | 12,223 | 10,348 | 9,639 |
| Colombia | 9,818 | 9,413 | 10,205 |
| Argentina | 8,693 | 8,820 | 8,600 |
| Other foreign countries | 36,507 | 36,191 | 36,565 |
| Revenues, excluding reinsurance premiums | 187,728 | 170,864 | 165,635 |
| Reinsurance premiums | (19,784 |) (20,653 |) (21,878 |
| Total premiums and contract revenues | \$167,944 | 150,211 | 143,757 |

Premiums and contract revenues are attributed to countries based on the location of the policyholder. The Company has no significant assets, other than financial instruments, located in countries other than the United States.

(C) Major Agency Relationships

A significant portion of the Company's annuity sales were sold through the top two independent marketing agencies in recent years. Business from the top agency accounted for approximately 16% of annuity sales in 2012.

(14) FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB guidance which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. In compliance with this GAAP guidance, the Company has categorized its financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three level hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the category level is based on the lowest priority level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets are categorized as follows:

Level 1: Fair value is based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible to the Company for identical assets or liabilities. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. These generally provide the most reliable evidence and are used to measure fair value whenever available. The Company's Level 1 assets include equity

securities that are traded in an active exchange market. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Level 2: Fair value is based upon significant inputs other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability through corroboration with observable market data as of the reporting date. Level 2 inputs include quoted market prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted market prices in markets that are not active for identical or similar assets or liabilities, model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable and other observable inputs. The Company's Level 2 assets include fixed maturity debt securities (corporate and private bonds, government or agency securities, asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities), preferred stock, certain equity securities, and over-the-counter derivative contracts. The Company's Level 2 liabilities consist of certain product-related embedded derivatives. Valuations are generally obtained from third party pricing services for identical or comparable assets or determined through use of valuation methodologies using observable market inputs.

Level 3: Fair value is based on significant unobservable inputs which reflect the entity's or third party pricing service's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The Company's Level 3 assets include certain equity securities and certain less liquid or private fixed maturity debt securities where significant valuation inputs cannot be corroborated with market observable data. The Company's Level 3 liabilities consist of share-based compensation obligations. Valuations are estimated based on non-binding broker prices or internally developed valuation models or methodologies, discounted cash flow models and other similar techniques.

The following table sets forth the Company's assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of the date indicated.

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities, available for sale | \$2,813,734 | — | 2,813,734 | — |
| Equity securities, available for sale | 12,267 | 11,968 | 299 | — |
| Derivatives, index options | 57,890 | — | 57,890 | — |
| Total assets | \$2,883,891 | 11,968 | 2,871,923 | — |
| Policyholder account balances (a) | \$72,470 | — | 72,470 | — |
| Other liabilities (b) | 2,718 | — | — | 2,718 |
| Total liabilities | \$75,188 | — | 72,470 | 2,718 |
| | December 31, 2011 | | | |
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Debt securities, available for sale | \$2,608,407 | — | 2,608,407 | — |
| Equity securities, available for sale | 16,546 | 8,233 | 195 | 8,118 |
| Derivatives, index options | 30,844 | — | 30,844 | — |
| Total assets | \$2,655,797 | 8,233 | 2,639,446 | 8,118 |
| Policyholder account balances (a) | \$47,129 | — | 47,129 | — |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---|--------|-------|
| Other liabilities (b) | 1,647 | — | — | 1,647 |
| Total liabilities | \$48,776 | — | 47,129 | 1,647 |

- (a) Represents the fair value of certain product-related embedded derivatives that were recorded at fair value.
(b) Represents the liability for share-based compensation.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table provides additional information about fair value measurements for which significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs were utilized to determine fair value.

| | Twelve Months Ended 2012 | | Total Assets | Other Liabilities |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Debt Securities, Available For Sale (In thousands) | Equity Securities, Available For Sale | | |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2012 | \$— | 8,118 | 8,118 | 1,647 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | | |
| Included in net income | — | — | — | 1,144 |
| Included in other comprehensive income (loss) | — | 897 | 897 | — |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | | | | (73) |
| Transfers into (out of) Level 3 | — | (9,015) | (9,015) | — |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2012 | \$— | — | — | 2,718 |
| Amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets or liabilities still held as of December 31, 2012 | \$— | — | — | 1,337 |

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company transferred certain securities from level 3 to level 2. Prior to 2011 these securities lacked significant inputs which were directly or indirectly observable. During 2011, the Company's independent pricing service began pricing these securities.

| | Twelve Months Ended 2011 | | Total Assets | Other Liabilities |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Debt Securities, Available For Sale (In thousands) | Equity Securities, Available For Sale | | |
| Beginning balance, January 1, 2011 | \$18,602 | 7,564 | 26,166 | 4,512 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | | |
| Included in net income | — | — | — | (2,308) |
| Included in other comprehensive income (loss) | (3,638) | 554 | (3,084) | — |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | (601) | — | (601) | (557) |
| Transfers into (out of) Level 3 | (14,363) | — | (14,363) | — |
| Ending balance, December 31, 2011 | \$— | 8,118 | 8,118 | 1,647 |
| Amount of total gains (losses) for the period included in net income attributable to the change in unrealized gains (losses) relating to assets or | \$— | — | — | (1,674) |

liabilities still held as of December 31, 2011

Realized gains (losses) on Level 3 assets and liabilities are reported in the consolidated statements of earnings as net investment gains (losses). Unrealized gains (losses) on debt and equity securities are reported as other comprehensive income (loss) within stockholders' equity.

140

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair value hierarchy classifications are reviewed each reporting period. Reclassification of certain financial assets and liabilities may result based on changes in the observability of valuation attributes. Reclassifications are reported as transfers into and out of Level 3 at the beginning fair value for the reporting period in which the changes occur.

GAAP defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires additional disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is based on an exit price, which is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The objective of a fair value measurement is to determine that price for each financial instrument at each measurement date. GAAP also establishes a hierarchal disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring financial instruments at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a variety of factors including the type of instrument and the characteristics of instruments. Financial instruments with readily available active quoted prices or those for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. It is the Company's policy to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when developing fair value measures.

The following methods and assumptions were used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments and liabilities during the periods presented in the consolidated financial statements.

Fixed maturity securities. Fair values for investments in debt securities available for sale are based on quoted market prices, where available. For securities not actively traded, fair values are estimated using values obtained from various independent pricing services with any adjustments based upon observable data. In the cases where prices are unavailable for these sources, values are estimated by discounting expected future cash flows using a current market rate applicable to the yield, credit quality, and maturity of the investments.

Equity securities. Fair values for equity securities are based upon quoted market prices, where available. For equity securities that are not actively traded, estimated values are based on values of comparable issues or audited financial statements of the issuer.

Cash and short-term investments. The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for these instruments approximate their fair values due to the relatively short time between the purchase of the instrument and its expected repayment or maturity.

Mortgage and other loans. The fair values of performing mortgage and other loans are estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the scheduled maturities of the loans, using interest rates currently being offered for similar loans to borrowers with similar credit ratings. Fair values for significant nonperforming loans are based on recent internal or external appraisals. If appraisals are not available, estimated cash flows are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information.

Policy Loans. The carrying value of policy loans approximates fair values.

Derivatives. Fair values for index (call) options are based on counterparty market prices. The counterparties use market standard valuation methodologies incorporating market inputs for volatility and risk free interest rates in arriving at a fair value for each option contract. Prices are verified by the Company using analytical tools. There are no performance obligations related to the call options purchased to hedge the Company's fixed indexed life and

annuity policy liabilities.

Life interest in Libbie Shearn Moody Trust. The fair value of the life interest is estimated based on assumptions as to future distributions from the Trust over the life expectancy of Mr. Robert L. Moody, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. These estimated cash flows were discounted at a rate consistent with uncertainties relating to the amount and timing of future cash distributions. However, the Company has limited the fair value to the maximum amount to be received from insurance proceeds in the event of Mr. Moody's premature death.

Annuity and supplemental contracts. Fair values for the Company's insurance contracts other than annuity contracts are not required to be disclosed. This includes the Company's traditional and universal life products. Fair values for immediate annuities without mortality features are based on the discounted future estimated cash flows using current market interest rates for similar maturities. Fair values for deferred annuities, including fixed-indexed annuities, are determined using estimated projected future cash flows discounted at the rate that would be required to transfer the liability in an orderly transaction. The fair values of liabilities under all insurance contracts are taken into consideration in the Company's overall management of interest rate risk, which minimizes exposure to changing interest rates through the matching of investment maturities with amounts due under insurance and annuity contracts.

141

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company utilizes independent third-party pricing services to determine the majority of its fair values of investment securities. The independent pricing services provide quoted market prices when available or otherwise incorporate a variety of observable market data in their valuation techniques including reported trading prices, broker-dealer quotes, bids and offers, benchmark securities, benchmark yields, credit ratings, and other reference data. The Company reviews prices received from service providers for unusual fluctuations to ensure that the prices represent a reasonable estimate of fair value but generally accepts the price identified from the primary pricing service.

When quoted market prices in active markets are unavailable, the Company determines fair values using various valuation techniques and models based on a range of observable market inputs including pricing models, quoted market price of publicly traded securities with similar duration and yield, time value, yield curve, prepayment speeds, default rates and discounted cash flow. In most cases, these estimates are determined based on independent third party valuation information, and the amounts are disclosed in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Generally, the Company obtains a single price or quote per instrument from independent third parties to assist in establishing the fair value of these investments.

Fair value measurements for investment securities where there exists limited or no observable data are calculated using the Company's own estimates based on current interest rates, credit spreads, liquidity premium or discount, the economic and competitive environment, unique characteristics of the security and other pertinent factors. These estimates are derived a number of ways including, but not limited to, pricing provided by brokers where the price indicates reliability as to value, fair values of comparable securities incorporating a spread adjustment (for maturity differences, credit quality, liquidity, collateralization), discounted cash flow models and margin spreads, bond yield curves, and observable market prices and exchange transaction information not provided by external pricing services. The resulting prices may not be realized in an actual sale or immediate settlement and there may be inherent weaknesses in any calculation technique. In addition, changes in underlying assumptions used, including discount rates and estimates of future cash flows, could significantly affect the results of current or future values.

The following table presents, by pricing source and fair value hierarchy level, the Company's assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|--|-------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Fixed maturities, available for sale: | | | | |
| Priced by third-party vendors | \$2,813,734 | — | 2,813,734 | — |
| Priced internally | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal | 2,813,734 | — | 2,813,734 | — |
| Equity securities, available for sale: | | | | |
| Priced by third-party vendors | 12,267 | 11,968 | 299 | — |
| Priced internally | — | — | — | — |
| Subtotal | 12,267 | 11,968 | 299 | — |
| Derivatives, index options: | | | | |

Edgar Filing: NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE CO - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------|-----------|-----|---|
| Priced by third-party vendors | 57,890 | — | 57,890 | — | |
| Priced internally | — | — | — | — | |
| Subtotal | 57,890 | — | 57,890 | — | |
| Total | \$2,883,891 | 11,968 | 2,871,923 | — | |
| Percent of total | 100.0 | % 0.4 | % 99.6 | % — | % |

142

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

| | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | Carrying Values | Fair Values | Carrying Values | Fair Values |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| ASSETS | | | | |
| Investments in debt and equity securities: | | | | |
| Securities held to maturity | \$5,962,120 | 6,503,627 | 5,641,909 | 6,082,330 |
| Securities available for sale | 2,826,001 | 2,826,001 | 2,624,953 | 2,624,953 |
| Cash and short-term investments | 124,561 | 124,561 | 119,290 | 119,290 |
| Mortgage loans | 142,170 | 147,365 | 157,460 | 158,958 |
| Policy loans | 71,549 | 71,549 | 74,967 | 74,967 |
| Other loans | 14,997 | 15,273 | 16,287 | 16,780 |
| Derivatives, index options | 57,890 | 57,890 | 30,844 | 30,844 |
| Life interest in Libbie Shearn Moody Trust | — | 12,775 | 330 | 12,775 |
| LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Deferred annuity contracts | \$6,907,055 | 6,624,111 | 6,606,886 | 6,273,379 |
| Immediate annuity and supplemental contracts | 492,853 | 531,857 | 506,982 | 521,122 |

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

(15) DERIVATIVE INVESTMENTS

Fixed-indexed products provide traditional fixed annuities and universal life contracts with the option to have credited interest rates linked in part to an underlying equity index or a combination of equity indices. The equity return component of such policy contracts is identified separately and accounted for in future policy benefits as embedded derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets. The remaining portions of these policy contracts are considered the host contracts and are recorded separately as fixed annuity or universal life contracts. The host contracts are accounted for under debt instrument type accounting in which future policy benefits are recorded as discounted debt instruments that are accreted, using the effective yield method, to their minimum account values at their projected maturities or termination dates.

The Company purchases over-the-counter index options, which are derivative financial instruments, to hedge the equity return component of its fixed-indexed annuity and life products. The index options act as hedges to match closely the returns on the underlying index or indices. The amounts which may be credited to policyholders are linked, in part, to the returns of the underlying index or indices. As a result, changes to policyholders' liabilities are

substantially offset by changes in the value of the options. Cash is exchanged upon purchase of the index options and no principal or interest payments are made by either party during the option periods. Upon maturity or expiration of the options, cash may be paid to the Company depending on the performance of the underlying index or indices and terms of the contract.

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company does not elect hedge accounting relative to these derivative instruments. The index options are reported at fair value in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The changes in the values of the index options and the changes in the policyholder liabilities are both reflected in the consolidated statements of earnings. Any changes relative to the embedded derivatives associated with policy contracts are reflected in contract interest in the consolidated statements of earnings. Any gains or losses from the sale or expiration of the options, as well as period-to-period changes in values, are reflected as net investment income in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Although there is credit risk in the event of nonperformance by counterparties to the index options, the Company does not expect any counterparties to fail to meet their obligations, given their high credit ratings. In addition, credit support agreements are in place with all counterparties for option holdings in excess of specific limits, which may further reduce the Company's credit exposure.

The tables below present the fair value of derivative instruments.

| | | December 31, 2012 | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| | | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| | | (In thousands) | | | (In thousands) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | | |
| Equity index options | Derivatives, Index Options | \$57,890 | | | |
| Fixed-indexed products | | | | Universal Life and Annuity Contracts | \$72,470 |
| Total | | \$57,890 | | | \$72,470 |
| | | December 31, 2011 | | | |
| | | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| | | (In thousands) | | | (In thousands) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | | | | |
| Equity index options | Derivatives, Index Options | \$30,844 | | | |
| Fixed-indexed products | | | | Universal Life and Annuity Contracts | \$47,129 |
| Total | | \$30,844 | | | \$47,129 |

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The table below presents the effect of derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of earnings for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized In Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized In Income on Derivatives | Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized In Income on Derivatives |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | 2012 (In thousands) | 2011 |
| Equity index options | Net investment income | \$27,147 | (33,335) |
| Fixed-indexed products | Universal life and annuity contract interest | (28,852) | 36,757 |
| | | \$ (1,705) | 3,422 |

(16) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Robert L. Moody, Jr. ("Mr. Moody, Jr.") is the son of Robert L. Moody, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and is the brother of Ross R. Moody, the Company's President and Chief Operating Officer, and of Russell S. Moody and Frances A. Moody-Dahlberg who serve as directors of National Western. Frances A. Moody-Dahlberg is also an employee of National Western Life Insurance Company.

Mr. Moody, Jr. wholly owns an insurance marketing organization that maintains agency contracts with National Western pursuant to which agency commissions are paid in accordance with the Company's standard commission schedules. Mr. Moody, Jr. also maintains an independent agent contract with National Western for policies personally sold under which commissions are paid in accordance with standard commission schedules. In 2012, commissions paid under these agency contracts aggregated approximately \$475,000. In his capacity as an insurance marketing organization with the Company, Mr. Moody, Jr. also received marketing consulting fees of \$48,000 and use of a Company vehicle valued at \$4,850.

Mr. Moody, Jr. further serves as the agent of record for several of the Company's benefit plans including the self-insured health plan for which Mr. Moody, Jr. provides utilization review services through a wholly-owned utilization review company. In 2012, amounts paid to Mr. Moody, Jr. as commissions and service fees pertaining to the Company's benefit plans approximated \$49,000.

Mr. Moody, Jr. is an Advisory Director of a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company. As an Advisory Director, Mr. Moody, Jr. received director fees of \$500 during 2012, and received \$0 of Company paid guest travel to attend Company sales conferences and functions.

During 2012, management fees totaling \$830,781 were paid to Regent Management Services, Limited Partnership ("RMS") for services provided to downstream nursing home subsidiaries of National Western. RMS is 1% owned by general partner RCC Management Services, Inc. ("RCC"), and 99% owned by limited partner, Three R Trusts. RCC is 100% owned by the Three R Trusts. The Three R Trusts are four Texas trusts for the benefit of the children of Robert L. Moody (Robert L. Moody, Jr., Ross R. Moody, Russell S. Moody, and Frances A.

Moody-Dahlberg). Charles D. Milos, Senior Vice President-Mortgage Loans and Real Estate, and a director of the Company, is a director and President of RCC. Ellen C. Otte, Assistant Secretary of the Company, is a director and Secretary of RCC.

The Company holds a common stock investment totaling approximately 6.9% of the issued and outstanding shares of Moody Bancshares, Inc. at December 31, 2012. Moody Bancshares, Inc. owns 100% of the outstanding shares of Moody Bank Holding Company, Inc., which owns approximately 98% of the outstanding shares of The Moody National Bank of Galveston ("MNB"). The Company utilizes MNB for certain bank custodian services as well as for certain administrative services with respect to the Company's defined benefit and contribution plans. Effective November 1, 2011, the Company amended a 36 month sublease on one of the Company's leased office locations for \$6,363 per month with Moody National Bank. Robert L. Moody, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, serves as Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of MNB. The ultimate controlling person of MNB is the Three R Trusts. During 2012, fees totaling \$541,494 were paid to MNB with respect to these

Table of ContentsNATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

services.

During 2012 the Company paid American National Insurance Company (“ANICO”) \$218,248 in premiums for certain company sponsored benefit plans and \$1,381,628 in reimbursements for claim costs for which ANICO provides third party administrative services. ANICO paid the Company \$1,554,332 in premiums for its company sponsored benefit plans. Robert L. Moody, the Company’s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is also ANICO’s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer.

(17) UNAUDITED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA

Quarterly results of operations for 2012 are summarized as follows:

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | (In thousands except per share data) | | | |
| 2012: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 196,662 | 127,609 | 190,985 | 149,407 |
| Earnings (loss) | \$ 19,741 | 23,246 | 24,322 | 25,249 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Class A | \$5.58 | 6.58 | 6.88 | 7.14 |
| Class B | \$2.79 | 3.29 | 3.44 | 3.57 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Class A | \$5.58 | 6.58 | 6.88 | 7.14 |
| Class B | \$2.79 | 3.29 | 3.44 | 3.57 |

Quarterly results of operations for 2011 are summarized as follows:

| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | (In thousands except per share data) | | | |
| 2011: | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 180,367 | 140,151 | 92,354 | 159,875 |
| Earnings (loss) | \$ 18,211 | 13,790 | 19,265 | 4,362 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Class A | \$5.16 | 3.90 | 5.45 | 1.23 |
| Class B | \$2.58 | 1.95 | 2.73 | 0.62 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Class A | \$5.15 | 3.90 | 5.45 | 1.23 |

| | | | | |
|---------|--------|------|------|------|
| Class B | \$2.58 | 1.95 | 2.73 | 0.62 |
|---------|--------|------|------|------|

146

Table of Contents

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(18) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events have been evaluated and no reportable items were identified.

147

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

SCHEDULE I

SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS

OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES

December 31, 2012

(In thousands)

| Type of Investment | (1) Cost | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Amount |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------------------|
| Fixed maturity bonds: | | | |
| Securities held to maturity: | | | |
| United States government and government agencies and authorities | \$25,021 | 28,417 | 25,021 |
| States, municipalities, and political subdivisions | 391,062 | 431,781 | 391,062 |
| Foreign governments | 9,988 | 10,604 | 9,988 |
| Public utilities | 781,239 | 870,298 | 781,239 |
| Corporate | 2,887,572 | 3,157,250 | 2,887,572 |
| Mortgage-backed | 1,835,051 | 1,968,474 | 1,835,051 |
| Asset-backed | 32,187 | 36,803 | 32,187 |
| Total securities held to maturity | 5,962,120 | 6,503,627 | 5,962,120 |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| United States government and government agencies and authorities | — | — | — |
| States, municipalities, and political subdivisions | 599 | 571 | 571 |
| Foreign governments | 15,134 | 16,066 | 16,066 |
| Public utilities | 254,853 | 281,427 | 281,427 |
| Corporate | 2,157,706 | 2,377,312 | 2,377,312 |
| Mortgage-backed | 113,488 | 122,329 | 122,329 |
| Asset-backed | 17,272 | 16,029 | 16,029 |
| Total securities available for sale | 2,559,052 | 2,813,734 | 2,813,734 |
| Total fixed maturity bonds | 8,521,172 | 9,317,361 | 8,775,854 |
| Equity securities: | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | |
| Common stocks: | | | |
| Public utilities | 1,115 | 1,626 | 1,626 |
| Banks, trust and insurance companies | 252 | 325 | 325 |
| Corporate | 3,220 | 4,998 | 4,998 |
| Preferred stocks | 4,873 | 5,318 | 5,318 |
| Total equity securities | 9,460 | 12,267 | 12,267 |
| Derivatives, index options | 57,890 | — | 57,890 |
| Mortgage loans | 142,170 | — | 142,170 |
| Policy loans | 71,549 | — | 71,549 |
| Other long-term investments (2) | 41,439 | — | 41,439 |
| Total investments other than investments in related parties | \$313,048 | \$— | 313,048 |

Notes:

(1) Bonds are shown at amortized cost, mortgage loans are shown at unpaid principal balances before allowances for possible losses, derivatives are shown at fair value, and real estate is stated at cost before allowances for possible losses.

(2) Real estate acquired by foreclosure included in other long-term investments is as follows: cost \$0.9 million; balance sheet amount \$0.9 million.

See accompanying report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

148

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES
 SCHEDULE V
 VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS
 For the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 (In thousands)

| Description | Balance at Beginning of Period | (1) Charged to Costs and Expenses | Reductions | Transfers | Balance at End of Period |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Valuation accounts deducted from applicable assets: | | | | | |
| Allowance for possible losses on mortgage loans: | | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | \$4,571 | 650 | (4,571 |) — | 650 |
| December 31, 2011 | \$3,926 | 645 | — | — | 4,571 |
| December 31, 2010 | \$4,997 | — | (1,071 |) — | 3,926 |
| Allowance for possible losses on real estate: | | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | \$1,758 | 55 | — | — | 1,813 |
| December 31, 2011 | \$2,124 | 278 | (644 |) — | 1,758 |
| December 31, 2010 | \$2,012 | 178 | (66 |) — | 2,124 |

Notes:

(1) These amounts were recorded to realized (gains) losses on investments.

See accompanying report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NATIONAL WESTERN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

(Registrant)

Date: March 18, 2013

/S/Robert L. Moody

By: Robert L. Moody, Chairman of the Board and
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title (Capacity) | Date |
|---|---|----------------|
| /S/Robert L. Moody Robert L. Moody | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, and Director (Principal Executive Officer) | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/ Ross R. Moody Ross R. Moody | President and Chief Operating Officer, and Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/ Brian M. Pribyl Brian M. Pribyl | Senior Vice President - Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer) | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Thomas F. Kopetic Thomas F. Kopetic | Vice President, Controller & Assistant Treasurer (Principal Accounting Officer) | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Stephen E. Glasgow Stephen E. Glasgow | Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/E. Douglas McLeod E. Douglas McLeod | Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Charles D. Milos Charles D. Milos | Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Frances A. Moody-Dahlberg Frances A. Moody-Dahlberg | Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Russell S. Moody Russell S. Moody | Director | March 18, 2013 |
| /S/Louis E. Pauls, Jr. Louis E. Pauls, Jr. | Director | March 18, 2013 |

Director

March 18, 2013

E.J. Pederson

150