

EMC CORP
Form 10-Q
May 05, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-9853

EMC CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts 04-2680009

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

176 South Street
Hopkinton, Massachusetts 01748
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)
(508) 435-1000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the registrant outstanding as of March 31, 2016 was 1,953,196,703.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

| | Page No. |
|---|-----------|
| <u>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Income Statements for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>8</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>43</u> |
| <u>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>44</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>45</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>56</u> |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | <u>57</u> |
| <u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u> | <u>58</u> |

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of the Federal securities laws, about our business and prospects. The forward-looking statements do not include the potential impact of any mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, securities offerings or business combinations that may be announced or closed after the date hereof. Any statements contained herein that are not statements of historical fact may be deemed to be forward-looking statements. Without limiting the foregoing, the words "believes," "plans," "intends," "expects," "goals" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, although not all forward-looking statements contain these words. Our future results may differ materially from our past results and from those projected in the forward-looking statements due to various uncertainties and risks, including those described in Item 1A of Part II (Risk Factors). The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report and undue reliance should not be placed on these statements. We disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements contained herein after the date of this Quarterly Report.

Table of ContentsPART I
FINANCIAL INFORMATIONItem 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
EMC CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 7,224 | \$ 6,549 |
| Short-term investments | 2,577 | 2,726 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$83 and \$90 | 2,941 | 3,977 |
| Inventories | 1,233 | 1,245 |
| Other current assets | 625 | 566 |
| Total current assets | 14,600 | 15,063 |
| Long-term investments | 5,169 | 5,508 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 3,806 | 3,850 |
| Intangible assets, net | 2,059 | 2,149 |
| Goodwill | 17,099 | 17,090 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,173 | 1,164 |
| Other assets, net | 1,797 | 1,788 |
| Total assets | \$ 45,703 | \$ 46,612 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,038 | \$ 1,644 |
| Accrued expenses | 2,722 | 3,123 |
| Income taxes payable | 124 | 609 |
| Short-term debt (See Note 3) | 925 | 1,299 |
| Deferred revenue | 6,477 | 6,210 |
| Total current liabilities | 11,286 | 12,885 |
| Income taxes payable | 466 | 461 |
| Deferred revenue | 4,741 | 4,592 |
| Long-term debt (See Note 3) | 5,477 | 5,475 |
| Other liabilities | 483 | 480 |
| Total liabilities | 22,453 | 23,893 |
| Commitments and contingencies (See Note 12) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$0.01; authorized 25 shares; none outstanding | — | — |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01; authorized 6,000 shares; issued and outstanding 1,953 and 1,943 shares | 20 | 19 |
| Additional paid-in capital | — | — |
| Retained earnings | 22,111 | 21,700 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (558 |) (579) |
| Total EMC Corporation's shareholders' equity | 21,573 | 21,140 |
| Non-controlling interests | 1,677 | 1,579 |

| | | |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Total shareholders' equity | 23,250 | 22,719 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$45,703 | \$ 46,612 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS
(in millions, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Revenues: | | |
| Product sales | \$2,682 | \$ 2,905 |
| Services | 2,793 | 2,708 |
| | 5,475 | 5,613 |
| Costs and expenses: | | |
| Cost of product sales | 1,251 | 1,329 |
| Cost of services | 964 | 945 |
| Research and development | 814 | 788 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 1,987 | 2,037 |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | 49 | 135 |
| Operating income | 410 | 379 |
| Non-operating income (expense): | | |
| Investment income | 13 | 24 |
| Interest expense | (41) | (40) |
| Other income, net | 4 | 10 |
| Total non-operating income (expense) | (24) | (6) |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 386 | 373 |
| Income tax provision | 89 | 82 |
| Net income | 297 | 291 |
| Less: Net income attributable to the non-controlling interests | (29) | (39) |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$268 | \$ 252 |
| Net income per weighted average share, basic attributable to EMC Corporation common shareholders | \$0.14 | \$ 0.13 |
| Net income per weighted average share, diluted attributable to EMC Corporation common shareholders | \$0.14 | \$ 0.13 |
| Weighted average shares, basic | 1,949 | 1,974 |
| Weighted average shares, diluted | 1,965 | 1,996 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.12 | \$ 0.12 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 (in millions)
 (unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Net income | \$297 | \$ 291 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes (benefits): | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | 3 | (104) |
| Changes in market value of investments: | | |
| Changes in unrealized gains, net of taxes of \$12 and \$13 | 20 | 19 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) realized in net income, net of benefits (taxes) of \$0 and \$(6) | 2 | (8) |
| Net change in market value of investments | 22 | 11 |
| Changes in market value of derivatives: | | |
| Changes in unrealized gains (losses), net of taxes (benefits) of \$(1) and \$3 | (4) | 14 |
| Reclassification adjustment for net losses (gains) included in net income, net of benefits (taxes) of \$2 and \$0 | 4 | (11) |
| Net change in the market value of derivatives | — | 3 |
| Change in actuarial net gain (loss) from pension and other postretirement plans: | | |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 25 | (90) |
| Comprehensive income | 322 | 201 |
| Less: Net income attributable to the non-controlling interests | (29) | (39) |
| Less: Other comprehensive income attributable to the non-controlling interests | (4) | (1) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$289 | \$ 161 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in millions)

(unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Cash received from customers | \$6,878 | \$ 7,495 |
| Cash paid to suppliers and employees | (5,373) | (5,584) |
| Dividends and interest received | 21 | 24 |
| Interest paid | (3) | — |
| Income taxes paid | (591) | (855) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 932 | 1,080 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (188) | (197) |
| Capitalized software development costs | (156) | (128) |
| Purchases of short- and long-term available-for-sale securities | (1,361) | (2,421) |
| Sales of short- and long-term available-for-sale securities | 887 | 1,311 |
| Maturities of short- and long-term available-for-sale securities | 965 | 422 |
| Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (10) | (49) |
| Purchases of strategic and other related investments | (11) | (106) |
| Sales of strategic and other related investments | 28 | 57 |
| Decrease in restricted cash | 2 | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 156 | (1,111) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from the issuance of EMC's common stock | 105 | 121 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of VMware's common stock | 52 | 54 |
| EMC repurchase of EMC's common stock | — | (1,346) |
| VMware repurchase of VMware's common stock | — | (438) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 2 | 20 |
| Net payments for the issuance of short-term obligations | (376) | — |
| Dividend payment | (227) | (232) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (444) | (1,821) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 31 | (103) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 675 | (1,955) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 6,549 | 6,343 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$7,224 | \$ 4,388 |
| Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$297 | \$ 291 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 483 | 470 |
| Non-cash restructuring and other special charges | 3 | 11 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 304 | 245 |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | (2) | 16 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | (19) | (20) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | (2) | (20) |

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------|----------|
| Other, net | 7 | — |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions: | | |
| Accounts and notes receivable | 1,019 | 1,420 |
| Inventories | (40) | (69) |
| Other assets | (38) | (45) |
| Accounts payable | (580) | (575) |
| Accrued expenses | (421) | (376) |
| Income taxes payable | (500) | (754) |
| Deferred revenue | 415 | 494 |
| Other liabilities | 6 | (8) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$932 | \$ 1,080 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of ContentsEMC CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY(in millions)
(unaudited)

For the three months ended March 31, 2016:

| | Common Stock Shares | Par Value | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Non-controlling Interests | Shareholders' Equity |
|--|---------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2016 | 1,943 | \$ 19 | \$ — | \$ 21,700 | \$ (579) | \$ 1,579 | \$ 22,719 |
| Stock issued through stock option and stock purchase plans | 5 | 1 | 105 | — | — | — | 106 |
| Tax benefit from stock options exercised | — | — | (16) | — | — | — | (16) |
| Restricted stock grants, cancellations and withholdings, net | 3 | — | (38) | — | — | — | (38) |
| Stock issued through 401(k) stock match program | 2 | — | 44 | — | — | — | 44 |
| Reversal of reclass of previously repurchased common stock | — | — | (372) | 372 | — | — | — |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | 313 | — | — | — | 313 |
| Cash dividends declared | — | — | — | (229) | — | — | (229) |
| Impact from equity transactions of non-controlling interests | — | — | (36) | — | — | 65 | 29 |
| Change in market value of investments | — | — | — | — | 19 | 3 | 22 |
| Change in market value of derivatives | — | — | — | — | (1) | 1 | — |
| Translation adjustment | — | — | — | — | 3 | — | 3 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 268 | — | 29 | 297 |
| Balance, March 31, 2016 | 1,953 | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 22,111 | \$ (558) | \$ 1,677 | \$ 23,250 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2015:

| | Common Stock Shares | Par Value | Additional Paid-in Capital | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Non-controlling Interests | Shareholders' Equity |
|--|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Balance, January 1, 2015 | 1,985 | \$ 20 | \$ — | \$ 22,242 | \$ (366) | \$ 1,629 | \$ 23,525 |
| Stock issued through stock option and stock purchase plans | 6 | — | 121 | — | — | — | 121 |
| Tax benefit from stock options exercised | — | — | 13 | — | — | — | 13 |
| Restricted stock grants, cancellations and withholdings, net | 5 | — | (64) | — | — | — | (64) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (54) | (1) | (64) | (1,381) | — | — | (1,446) |
| Stock-based compensation | — | — | 295 | — | — | — | 295 |
| Cash dividends declared | — | — | — | (226) | — | — | (226) |
| Impact from equity transactions of non-controlling interests | — | — | (301) | — | — | (114) | (415) |
| Change in market value of investments | — | — | — | — | 9 | 2 | 11 |

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|-----------|---------|----|----------|-----------|
| Change in market value of derivatives | — | — | — | — | 4 | (1 |) | 3 |
| Translation adjustment | — | — | — | — | (104 |) | — | (104 |
| Net income | — | — | — | 252 | — | 39 | | 291 |
| Balance, March 31, 2015 | 1,942 | \$ 19 | \$ — | \$ 20,887 | \$ (457 |) | \$ 1,555 | \$ 22,004 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation

Company

EMC Corporation (“EMC” or “the Company”) and its subsidiaries develop, deliver and support the information technology (“IT”) industry’s broadest range of information infrastructure and virtual infrastructure technologies, solutions and services. EMC manages the Company as part of a federation of businesses: EMC Information Infrastructure, VMware Virtual Infrastructure, Pivotal and Virtustream.

EMC’s Information Infrastructure business provides a foundation for organizations to store, manage, protect, analyze and secure ever-increasing quantities of information, while at the same time improving business agility, lowering cost, and enhancing competitive advantage. EMC’s Information Infrastructure business comprises three segments – Information Storage, Enterprise Content Division and RSA Information Security. The results of Virtustream are currently reported within our Information Storage segment.

EMC’s VMware Virtual Infrastructure business, which is represented by EMC’s majority equity stake in VMware, Inc. (“VMware”), is a leader in virtualization and cloud infrastructure solutions that enable businesses to help transform the way they build, deliver and consume IT resources in a manner that is based on their specific needs. VMware’s virtualization infrastructure solutions, which include a suite of products and services designed to deliver a software-defined data center, run on industry-standard desktop computers, servers and mobile devices and support a wide range of operating system and application environments, as well as networking and storage infrastructures.

EMC’s Pivotal business (“Pivotal”) unites strategic technology, people and programs from EMC and VMware and has built a new platform comprised of next-generation data fabrics, application fabrics and a cloud independent platform-as-a-service (“PaaS”) to support Big and Fast Data applications. On top of this platform is the Company’s agile development services business. These capabilities are made available through Pivotal’s three primary offerings: Pivotal Cloud Foundry, the Pivotal Big Data Suite and Pivotal Labs.

Proposed Transaction with Dell

On October 12, 2015, EMC entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) among EMC, Denali Holding Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Denali”), Dell Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Dell”), and Universal Acquisition Co., a Delaware corporation and direct wholly owned subsidiary of Denali (“Merger Sub”), pursuant to which, among other things and subject to the conditions set forth therein, Merger Sub will merge with and into EMC (the “Merger”), with EMC continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of Denali.

At the effective time of the Merger (“Effective Time”), each share of EMC common stock issued and outstanding will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (i) \$24.05 in cash and (ii) a number of shares of common stock of Denali designated as Class V Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the “Class V Common Stock”), equal to the quotient obtained by dividing (A) 222,966,450 by (B) the aggregate number of shares of EMC common stock issued and outstanding immediately prior to the Effective Time. The aggregate number of shares of Class V Common Stock issued as Merger Consideration in the transaction is intended to represent 65% of EMC’s economic interest in the approximately 81% of the outstanding shares of VMware currently owned by EMC, reflecting approximately 53% of the total economic interest in the outstanding shares of VMware. Upon completion of the transaction, Denali will retain the remaining 28% of the total economic interest in the outstanding shares of VMware. Based on the estimated number of shares of EMC common stock outstanding at the closing of the transaction, EMC shareholders are expected to receive approximately 0.111 shares of Class V Common Stock for each share of EMC common stock.

The Merger Agreement contains specified termination rights for both Denali and EMC, including that, in general, either party may terminate the agreement if the Merger is not consummated on or before December 16, 2016. If EMC terminates the Merger Agreement, EMC is required to pay Denali a termination fee of \$2.5 billion. If Denali terminates the Merger Agreement, they are required to pay a termination fee of \$4 billion under specified circumstances, and in certain instances, an alternative termination fee of \$6 billion.

The transaction is expected to close in mid-2016. The completion of the Merger is subject to certain conditions including EMC shareholder approval, the receipt of certain other regulatory approvals in various jurisdictions and the effectiveness of the registration statement on Form S-4 to be filed by Denali in connection with the registration of shares of Class V Common Stock issuable in connection with the Merger.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The Merger Agreement contains representations and warranties customary for transactions of this nature. EMC has agreed to various customary covenants and agreements, including, among others, agreements to conduct its business in the ordinary course during the period between the execution of the Merger Agreement and the effective time of the Merger. In addition, without the consent of Denali, EMC may not take, authorize, agree or commit to do certain actions outside of the ordinary course of business, including acquiring businesses or incurring capital expenditures above specified thresholds, issuing additional debt facilities and repurchasing outstanding EMC common stock. Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, EMC is required to provide Denali with access to EMC's cash to help fund the Merger consideration. At this time, EMC has not finalized its plan to access such cash and has not determined if there would be a need to repatriate cash to meet the requirements of the Merger. To date, EMC has asserted overseas cash as indefinitely reinvested; however if these overseas funds are required to be repatriated to the U.S. in accordance with the Merger Agreement, EMC may be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. Other than transaction expenses associated with the proposed Merger, the terms of the Merger Agreement did not impact EMC's consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

General

The accompanying interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information. These consolidated financial statements include the accounts of EMC, its wholly owned subsidiaries, as well as VMware and Pivotal, companies majority-owned by EMC. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in our annual consolidated financial statements have been condensed or omitted. Accordingly, these interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 which are contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 25, 2016.

The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for any future period or the entire fiscal year. The interim consolidated financial statements, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments necessary to fairly state the results as of and for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per weighted average share has been computed using the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per weighted average share is computed using the weighted average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. Common equivalent shares consist of stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units. Additionally, for purposes of calculating diluted net income per weighted average share, net income is adjusted for the difference between VMware's reported diluted and basic net income per weighted average share, if any, multiplied by the number of shares of VMware held by EMC.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued updated guidance related to stock-based compensation which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. This standard is effective beginning January 1, 2017, with early application permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued a standard on leases which amends a number of aspects of lease accounting, including requiring lessees to recognize operating leases with a term greater than one year on their balance sheet as a right-of-use asset and corresponding lease liability, measured at the present value of the lease payments. The guidance also requires qualitative and quantitative disclosures designed to assess the amount, timing, and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. The standard requires modified retrospective adoption and is effective beginning January 1, 2019, with early adoption permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2014, the FASB issued a standard on revenue recognition providing a single, comprehensive revenue recognition model for all contracts with customers. The revenue standard is based on the principle that revenue should be recognized to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The standard, as amended, is effective beginning January 1, 2018, with early adoption permitted but not earlier than the original effective date of January 1, 2017. The principles may be applied retrospectively to each prior period presented or retrospectively with the cumulative effect recognized as of the date of initial application. We are currently evaluating the adoption method options and the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements.

2. Non-controlling Interests

The non-controlling interests' share of equity in VMware is reflected as a component of the non-controlling interests in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and was \$1,581 million and \$1,481 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. At March 31, 2016, EMC held approximately 97% of the combined voting power of VMware's outstanding common stock and approximately 81% of the economic interest in VMware.

GE's interest in Pivotal is in the form of a preferred equity instrument. Consequently, there is no net income attributable to the GE non-controlling interest related to Pivotal on the consolidated income statements. Additionally, due to the terms of the preferred instrument, GE's non-controlling interest on the consolidated balance sheets is generally not impacted by Pivotal's equity related activity. The preferred equity instrument is convertible into common shares at GE's election at any time.

The portion of the results of operations of Pivotal allocable to its other owners, along with the interest in the net assets of Pivotal attributable to those other owners are shown as a component of non-controlling interests on EMC's consolidated balance sheets and as a reduction of net income attributable to EMC shareholders.

The non-controlling interests' share of equity in Pivotal is reflected as a component of the non-controlling interests in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as \$96 million and \$98 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. At March 31, 2016, EMC consolidated held approximately 83% of the economic interest in Pivotal.

The effect of changes in our ownership interest in VMware and Pivotal on our equity was as follows (table in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$268 | \$ 252 |
| Transfers (to) from the non-controlling interests: | | |
| Increase in EMC Corporation's additional paid-in-capital for VMware and Pivotal equity issuances | 21 | 30 |
| Decrease in EMC Corporation's additional paid-in-capital for VMware's and Pivotal's other equity activity | (57) | (331) |
| Net transfers (to) from non-controlling interest | (36) | (301) |
| Change from net income attributable to EMC Corporation and transfers from the non-controlling interest in VMware, Inc. | \$232 | \$ (49) |

3. Debt

Short-Term Debt

On February 27, 2015, we entered into a credit agreement with the lenders named therein, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agents, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for a \$2.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility to be used for general corporate purposes that is scheduled to mature on February 27, 2020. At our option, subject to certain conditions, any loan under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at a rate equal to, either (i) the LIBOR Rate or (ii) the Base Rate (defined as the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate

plus 0.50%, (b) Citibank, N.A.'s "prime rate" as announced from time to time, or (c) one-month LIBOR plus 1.00%), plus, in each case the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties, covenants and events of default. We may also, upon the agreement of the existing lenders and/or additional lenders not currently parties to the agreement, increase the commitments under the credit facility by up to an additional \$1.0 billion. In addition, we may request to extend the maturity date of the credit facility, subject to certain conditions, for additional one-year periods. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with customary required covenants. At March 31, 2016, we had no funds borrowed and at December 31, 2015, we had \$600 million outstanding under the credit facility. Amounts outstanding under the credit facility are presented in short-term debt in the consolidated balance sheets with the issuances and proceeds presented on a net basis in the consolidated statement of cash flows due to their short term nature.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

On March 23, 2015, we established a short-term debt financing program whereby we may issue short-term unsecured commercial paper notes (“Commercial Paper”). Amounts available under the program may be borrowed, repaid and re-borrowed from time to time, with the aggregate face or principal amount of the notes outstanding at any time not to exceed \$2.5 billion. The Commercial Paper will have maturities of up to 397 days from the date of issue. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Commercial Paper are expected to be used for general corporate purposes. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with customary required covenants. At March 31, 2016, we had \$925 million of Commercial Paper outstanding, with a weighted-average interest rate of 0.95% and maturities ranging from 13 days to 30 days at the time of issuance. At December 31, 2015, we had \$699 million of Commercial Paper outstanding. Commercial Paper outstanding is presented in short-term debt in the consolidated balance sheets, and the issuances and proceeds of the Commercial Paper are presented on a net basis in the consolidated statement of cash flows due to their short term nature. At May 5, 2016, we had \$1,285 million of Commercial Paper outstanding.

Long-Term Debt

During 2013, we issued \$5.5 billion of Notes which pay a fixed rate of interest semi-annually in arrears. The proceeds from the Notes were used to satisfy the cash payment obligation of the \$1,725 billion 1.75% convertible senior notes due 2013 as well as for general corporate purposes including stock repurchases, dividend payments, business acquisitions, working capital needs and other business opportunities. The Notes of each series are senior, unsecured obligations of EMC and are not convertible or exchangeable. Unless previously purchased and canceled, we will repay the Notes of each series at 100% of the principal amount, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon, at maturity. However, EMC has the right to redeem any or all of the Notes at specified redemption prices. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all debt covenants, which are customary in nature.

Our long-term debt as of March 31, 2016 was as follows (dollars in millions):

| Senior Notes | Issued at Discount to Par | Carrying Value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| \$2.5 billion 1.875% Notes due 2018 | 99.943 % | \$ 2,499 |
| \$2.0 billion 2.650% Notes due 2020 | 99.760 % | 1,997 |
| \$1.0 billion 3.375% Notes due 2023 | 99.925 % | 1,000 |
| | | \$ 5,496 |
| Debt issuance costs | | (19) |
| Net long-term debt | | \$ 5,477 |

The unamortized discount on the Notes consists of \$4 million, which will be fully amortized by June 1, 2023. The effective interest rate on the Notes was 2.55% for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

4. Fair Value of Financial Assets and Liabilities

Our fixed income and equity investments are classified as available for sale and recorded at their fair market values. We determine fair value using the following hierarchy:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Inputs other than Level 1 that are observable, either directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3 – Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

Most of our fixed income securities are classified as Level 2, with the exception of some of our U.S. government and agency obligations and our investments in publicly traded equity securities, which are classified as Level 1, and all of our auction rate securities, which are classified as Level 3. In addition, our strategic investments held at cost are classified as Level 3. At March 31, 2016, the vast majority of our Level 2 securities were priced by pricing vendors. These pricing vendors utilize the most recent observable market information in pricing these securities or, if specific prices are not available for these securities, use other observable inputs like market transactions involving identical or comparable securities. In the event observable inputs are not available, we assess other factors to determine the security's market value, including broker quotes or model valuations. Each month, we perform independent price verifications of all of our fixed income holdings. In the event a price fails a pre-established

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

tolerance check, it is researched so that we can assess the cause of the variance to determine what we believe is the appropriate fair market value.

In general, investments with remaining effective maturities of 12 months or less from the balance sheet date are classified as short-term investments. Investments with remaining effective maturities of more than 12 months from the balance sheet date are classified as long-term investments. Our publicly traded equity securities are classified as long-term investments and our strategic investments held at cost are classified as other assets. As a result of the lack of liquidity for auction rate securities, we have classified these as long-term investments as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, all of our short- and long-term investments, excluding auction rate securities, were recognized at fair value, which was determined based upon observable inputs from our pricing vendors for identical or similar assets. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, auction rate securities were valued using a discounted cash flow model.

The following tables summarize the composition of our short- and long-term investments at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (tables in millions):

| | March 31, 2016 | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Aggregate Fair Value |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | \$2,054 | \$ 5 | \$ (1) | \$ 2,058 |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | 2,364 | 11 | (2) | 2,373 |
| High yield corporate debt securities | 220 | 3 | (8) | 215 |
| Asset-backed securities | 13 | — | — | 13 |
| Municipal obligations | 640 | — | — | 640 |
| Auction rate securities | 25 | — | (2) | 23 |
| Foreign debt securities | 2,298 | 6 | (2) | 2,302 |
| Total fixed income securities | 7,614 | 25 | (15) | 7,624 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 113 | 18 | (9) | 122 |
| Total | \$7,727 | \$ 43 | \$ (24) | \$ 7,746 |

| | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|--|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized (Losses) | Aggregate Fair Value |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | \$2,449 | \$ — | \$ (8) | \$ 2,441 |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | 2,257 | 1 | (10) | 2,248 |
| High yield corporate debt securities | 307 | 2 | (22) | 287 |
| Asset-backed securities | 20 | — | — | 20 |
| Municipal obligations | 731 | 1 | — | 732 |
| Auction rate securities | 27 | — | (2) | 25 |
| Foreign debt securities | 2,332 | — | (9) | 2,323 |
| Total fixed income securities | 8,123 | 4 | (51) | 8,076 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 126 | 40 | (8) | 158 |
| Total | \$8,249 | \$ 44 | \$ (59) | \$ 8,234 |

We held approximately \$2,302 million in foreign debt securities at March 31, 2016. These securities have an average credit rating of A+, and approximately 4% of these securities are deemed sovereign debt with an average credit rating of AA+. None of the securities deemed sovereign debt are from Argentina, Greece, Italy, Ireland, Portugal, Spain,

Cyprus or Puerto Rico.

12

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The following tables represent our fair value hierarchy for our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (tables in millions):

| | March 31, 2016 | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Cash | \$2,305 | \$— | \$— | \$2,305 |
| Cash equivalents | 4,025 | 894 | — | 4,919 |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | 1,258 | 800 | — | 2,058 |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | — | 2,373 | — | 2,373 |
| High yield corporate debt securities | — | 215 | — | 215 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 13 | — | 13 |
| Municipal obligations | — | 640 | — | 640 |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 23 | 23 |
| Foreign debt securities | — | 2,302 | — | 2,302 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 122 | — | — | 122 |
| Total cash and investments | \$7,710 | \$7,237 | \$23 | \$14,970 |
| Other items: | | | | |
| Strategic investments held at cost | \$— | \$— | \$385 | \$385 |
| Investment in joint venture | — | — | 39 | 39 |
| Long-term debt carried at discounted cost | — | (5,186) | — | (5,186) |
| Foreign exchange derivative assets | — | 74 | — | 74 |
| Foreign exchange derivative liabilities | — | (79) | — | (79) |
| Commodity derivative liabilities | — | (6) | — | (6) |
| | December 31, 2015 | | | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Cash | \$2,095 | \$— | \$— | \$2,095 |
| Cash equivalents | 3,861 | 593 | — | 4,454 |
| U.S. government and agency obligations | 1,495 | 946 | — | 2,441 |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | — | 2,248 | — | 2,248 |
| High yield corporate debt securities | — | 287 | — | 287 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 20 | — | 20 |
| Municipal obligations | — | 732 | — | 732 |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 25 | 25 |
| Foreign debt securities | — | 2,323 | — | 2,323 |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 158 | — | — | 158 |
| Total cash and investments | \$7,609 | \$7,149 | \$25 | \$14,783 |
| Other items: | | | | |
| Strategic investments held at cost | \$— | \$— | \$384 | \$384 |
| Investment in joint venture | — | — | 39 | 39 |
| Long-term debt carried at discounted cost | — | (4,999) | — | (4,999) |
| Foreign exchange derivative assets | — | 39 | — | 39 |
| Foreign exchange derivative liabilities | — | (78) | — | (78) |
| Commodity derivative liabilities | — | (4) | — | (4) |

Our auction rate securities are predominantly rated investment grade and are primarily collateralized by student loans. The underlying loans of all but one of our auction rate securities, with a market value of \$4 million, have partial guarantees by the U.S. government as part of the Federal Family Education Loan Program (“FFELP”) through the U.S. Department of Education. FFELP guarantees at least 95% of the loans which collateralize the auction rate securities. We believe the quality of the collateral underlying most of our auction rate securities will enable us to recover our principal balance.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

To determine the estimated fair value of our investment in auction rate securities, we use a discounted cash flow model using a five year time horizon. As of March 31, 2016, the coupon rates used ranged from 1% to 3% and the discount rate was 1%, which rate represents the rate at which similar FFELP backed securities with a five year time horizon outside of the auction rate securities market were trading at March 31, 2016. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include an incremental discount rate for the lack of liquidity in the market (“liquidity discount margin”) for an estimated period of time. The discount rate we selected was based on AA-rated banks as the majority of our portfolio is invested in student loans where EMC acts as a financier to these lenders. The liquidity discount margin represents an estimate of the additional return an investor would require for the lack of liquidity of these securities over an estimated five year holding period. The rate used for the discount margin was 1% at both March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 due to the narrowing of credit spreads on AA-rated banks during 2015 and into 2016.

Significant changes in the unobservable inputs discussed above could result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement. Generally, an increase in the discount rate, liquidity discount margin or coupon rate results in a decrease in our fair value measurement and a decrease in the discount rate, liquidity discount margin or coupon rate results in an increase in our fair value measurement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no material changes to the fair value of our auction rate securities.

EMC has a 49% ownership percentage of LenovoEMC Limited, a joint venture with Lenovo that was formed in 2012. We account for our LenovoEMC joint venture using the fair value method of accounting. To determine the estimated fair value at inception of our investment, we used a discounted cash flow model using a three year time horizon, and utilized a discount rate of 6%, which represented the incremental borrowing rate for a market participant. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model include an analysis of estimated Lenovo NAS revenue against a prescribed target as well as consideration of the purchase price put and call features included in the joint venture agreement. The put and call features create a floor and a cap on the fair value of the investment. As such, there is a limit to the impact on the fair value that would result from significant changes in the unobservable inputs. There were no material changes to the fair value of this joint venture during the three months ended March 31, 2016 or 2015.

The carrying value of the strategic investments held at cost were accounted for under the cost method. As part of our quarterly impairment review, we perform a fair value calculation of our strategic investments held at cost using the most currently available information. To determine the estimated fair value of private strategic investments held at cost, we use a combination of several valuation techniques including discounted cash flow models, acquisition and trading comparables. In addition, we evaluate the impact of pre- and post-money valuations of recent financing events and the impact of those on our fully diluted ownership percentages, and we consider any available information regarding the issuer’s historical and forecasted performance as well as market comparables and conditions. The fair value of these investments is considered in our review for impairment if any events and changes in circumstances occur that might have a significant adverse effect on their value.

Investment Losses

Unrealized losses on investments at March 31, 2016 by investment category and length of time the investment has been in a continuous unrealized loss position are as follows (table in millions):

| Less Than 12 Months | 12 Months or Greater | Total |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Gross Unrealized Losses |
|--|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| U.S. government and agency obligations | \$466 | \$ (1) | \$— | \$ — | \$466 | \$ (1) |
| U.S. corporate debt securities | 485 | (2) | — | — | 485 | (2) |
| High yield corporate debt securities | 99 | (6) | 23 | (2) | 122 | (8) |
| Auction rate securities | — | — | 23 | (2) | 23 | (2) |
| Foreign debt securities | 721 | (1) | 81 | (1) | 802 | (2) |
| Publicly traded equity securities | 2 | (1) | 2 | (8) | 4 | (9) |
| Total | \$1,773 | \$ (11) | \$129 | \$ (13) | \$1,902 | \$ (24) |

For all of our securities for which the amortized cost basis was greater than the fair value at March 31, 2016, we have concluded that currently we neither plan to sell the security nor is it more likely than not that we would be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery. In making the determination as to whether the unrealized loss is other-than-temporary, we considered the

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

length of time and extent the investment has been in an unrealized loss position, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuers, the issuers' credit rating and the time to maturity.

Contractual Maturities

The contractual maturities of fixed income securities held at March 31, 2016 are as follows (table in millions):

| | March 31, 2016 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | Amortized Cost Basis | Aggregate Fair Value |
| Due within one year | \$2,542 | \$ 2,543 |
| Due after 1 year through 5 years | 4,496 | 4,509 |
| Due after 5 years through 10 years | 332 | 330 |
| Due after 10 years | 244 | 242 |
| Total | \$7,614 | \$ 7,624 |

Short-term investments on the consolidated balance sheet include \$34 million in variable rate notes which have contractual maturities in 2016, and are not classified within investments due within one year above.

5. Inventories

Inventories consist of (table in millions):

| | March 31, December 31, | |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Work-in-process | \$ 590 | \$ 592 |
| Finished goods | 643 | 653 |
| | \$ 1,233 | \$ 1,245 |

6. Accounts and Notes Receivable and Allowance for Credit Losses

Accounts and notes receivable are recorded at cost. The portion of our notes receivable due in one year or less are included in accounts and notes receivable and the long-term portion is included in other assets, net on the consolidated balance sheets. Lease receivables arise from sales-type leases of products. We typically sell, without recourse, the contractual right to the lease payment stream and assets under lease to third parties. For certain customers, we retain the lease.

The contractual amounts due under the leases we retained as of March 31, 2016 were as follows (table in millions):

| Year | Contractual Amounts Due Under Leases |
|---|---|
| Due within one year | \$ 50 |
| Due within two years | 37 |
| Due within three years | 26 |
| Thereafter | 3 |
| Total | 116 |
| Less: Amounts representing interest | 7 |
| Present value | 109 |
| Current portion (included in accounts and notes receivable) | 46 |
| Long-term portion (included in other assets, net) | \$ 63 |

Subsequent to March 31, 2016, we sold \$19 million of these notes to third parties without recourse.

We maintain an allowance for credit losses on our accounts and notes receivable. The allowance is based on the credit worthiness of our customers, including an assessment of the customer's financial position, operating performance and their ability to meet their contractual obligation. We assess the credit scores for our customers each quarter. In addition, we consider our historical experience, the age of the receivable and current market and economic conditions.

Uncollectible amounts are charged against the allowance account.

15

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

In the event we determine that a lease may not be paid, we include in our allowance an amount for the outstanding balance related to the lease receivable. As of March 31, 2016, amounts from lease receivables past due for more than 90 days were not significant.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no material changes to our allowance for credit losses related to lease receivables. Gross lease receivables totaled \$116 million and \$154 million as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, before the allowance. The components of these balances were individually evaluated for impairment and included in our allowance determination as necessary.

7. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of (table in millions):

| | March 31, December 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Furniture and fixtures | \$ 286 | \$ 283 |
| Equipment and software | 7,474 | 7,378 |
| Buildings and improvements | 2,382 | 2,373 |
| Land | 172 | 171 |
| Building construction in progress | 97 | 83 |
| | 10,411 | 10,288 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (6,605) | (6,438) |
| | \$ 3,806 | \$ 3,850 |

Property, plant and equipment at March 31, 2016 includes \$52 million for facilities not yet placed in service that we are holding for future use.

8. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consist of (table in millions):

| | March 31, December 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Salaries and benefits | \$ 957 | \$ 1,189 |
| Product warranties | 151 | 172 |
| Dividends payable (see Note 10) | 239 | 234 |
| Partner rebates | 181 | 221 |
| Restructuring, current (See Note 11) | 250 | 333 |
| Derivatives | 85 | 82 |
| Other | 859 | 892 |
| | \$ 2,722 | \$ 3,123 |

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

Product Warranties

Systems sales include a standard product warranty. At the time of the sale, we accrue for systems' warranty costs. The initial systems' warranty accrual is based upon our historical experience, expected future costs and specific identification of systems' requirements. Upon sale or expiration of the initial warranty, we may sell additional maintenance contracts to our customers. Revenue from these additional maintenance contracts is included in deferred revenue and recognized ratably over the service period. The following represents the activity in our warranty accrual for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (table in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$172 | \$ 207 |
| Provision | 25 | 33 |
| Amounts charged against the accrual | (46) | (52) |
| Balance, end of the period | \$151 | \$ 188 |

The provision includes amounts accrued for systems at the time of shipment, adjustments for changes in estimated costs for warranties on systems shipped in the period and changes in estimated costs for warranties on systems shipped in prior periods. It is not practicable to determine the amounts applicable to each of the components.

9. Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates were 23.1% and 22.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our effective income tax rate is based upon estimated income before provision for income taxes for the year, composition of the income in different countries, and adjustments, if any, in the applicable quarterly periods for potential tax consequences, benefits and/or resolutions of tax audits or other tax contingencies. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the effective income tax rate varied from the statutory income tax rate principally as a result of the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions and federal tax credit for increasing research activities. Our aggregate income tax rate in foreign jurisdictions is lower than our income tax rate in the United States; substantially all of our income before provision for income taxes from foreign operations has been earned by our Irish subsidiaries. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective income tax rate varied from the statutory income tax rate principally as a result of the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions. On December 18, 2015, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 was signed into law. Some of the provisions were retroactive to January 1, 2015 including a permanent extension of the U.S. federal tax credit for increasing research activities.

Our effective income tax rate increased in the three months ended March 31, 2016 from the three months ended March 31, 2015 due primarily to higher state taxes. There were also differences in the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions, change in tax contingency reserves and discrete items, the net impact of which is immaterial.

We are routinely under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"). We have concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2008. In the third quarter of 2012, the IRS commenced a federal income tax audit for the tax years 2009 and 2010. The IRS completed their field audit for the tax years 2009 and 2010 and issued Revenue Agent Reports ("RARs") in the first quarter of 2016. We disagree with certain proposed adjustments and have filed a formal protest to the IRS Appeals Division. In the first quarter of 2015, the IRS commenced a federal income tax audit for the tax year 2011, which is still ongoing. We also have income tax audits in process in numerous state, local and international jurisdictions. In our international jurisdictions that comprise a significant portion of our operations, the

years that may be examined vary, with the earliest year being 2004. Based on the timing and outcome of examinations of EMC, the result of the expiration of statutes of limitations for specific jurisdictions or the timing and result of ruling requests from taxing authorities, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits could change from those recorded in our consolidated balance sheets. We anticipate that several of these audits may be finalized within the next twelve months. While we expect the amount of unrecognized tax benefits to change in the next twelve months, we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

10. Shareholders' Equity

The reconciliation from basic to diluted earnings per share for both the numerators and denominators is as follows (table in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| Numerator: | | |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$268 | \$ 252 |
| Incremental dilution from VMware | — | (1) |
| Net income – dilution attributable to EMC Corporation | \$268 | \$ 251 |
| Denominator: | | |
| Weighted average shares, basic | 1,949 | 1,974 |
| Weighted common stock equivalents | 16 | 22 |
| Weighted average shares, diluted | 1,965 | 1,996 |

Restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and options to acquire shares of our common stock in the amount of 2 million and 1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive. The incremental dilution from VMware represents the impact of VMware's dilutive securities on EMC's consolidated diluted net income per share and is calculated by multiplying the difference between VMware's basic and diluted earnings per share by the number of VMware shares owned by EMC.

Repurchase of Common Stock

We utilize both authorized and unissued shares (including repurchased shares) for all issuances under our equity plans. Our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of 250 million shares of our common stock in December 2014. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, we did not repurchase any shares of our common stock. Of the 250 million shares authorized for repurchase, we have repurchased 27 million shares to-date at a total cost of \$715 million, leaving a remaining balance of 223 million shares authorized for future repurchases.

During April 2016, VMware's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an aggregate of \$1.2 billion of VMware's Class A common stock through the end of 2016, which includes the amount remaining from VMware's previous stock repurchase authorization announced on January 27, 2015, which was \$835 million as of March 31, 2016. All shares repurchased under VMware's stock repurchase programs are retired. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, VMware did not repurchase any shares of its Class A common stock as it is currently subject to a number of legal and regulatory constraints resulting from the Merger Agreement which impacts the timing and ability to execute repurchases of VMware's shares.

Cash Dividend on Common Stock

EMC pays a quarterly dividend of \$0.115 per share of common stock to EMC shareholders, subject to the approval of our Board of Directors. Our Board of Directors declared the following dividends during 2016 and 2015:

| Declaration Date | Dividend Per Share | Record Date | Total Amount (in millions) | Payment Date |
|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| 2016: | | | | |
| February 11, 2016 | \$ 0.115 | April 1, 2016 | \$ 229 | April 22, 2016 |

2015:

| | | | | |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|------------------|
| February 27, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | April 1, 2015 | \$ 229 | April 23, 2015 |
| May 20, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | July 1, 2015 | \$ 226 | July 23, 2015 |
| July 30, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | October 1, 2015 | \$ 229 | October 23, 2015 |
| December 17, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | January 4, 2016 | \$ 230 | January 22, 2016 |

18

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), which is presented net of tax, for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 consist of the following (tables in millions):

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments | Unrealized Net Gains on Investments | Unrealized Net Losses on Derivatives | Recognition of Actuarial Net Loss from Pension and Other Postretirement Plans | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Attributable to the Non-controlling Interest in VMware, Inc. | Total |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---------|
| Balance as of December, 31 2015 ^(a) | \$ (356) | \$ (10) | \$ (89) | \$ (125) | \$ 1 | \$(579) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications | 3 | 20 | (4) | — | (4) | 15 |
| Net losses (gains) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | — | 2 | 4 | — | — | 6 |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | 3 | 22 | — | — | (4) | 21 |
| Balance as of March 31, 2016 ^(b) | \$ (353) | \$ 12 | \$ (89) | \$ (125) | \$ (3) | \$(558) |

(a) Net of taxes (benefits) of \$(5) million for unrealized net gains on investments, \$(56) million for unrealized net losses on derivatives and \$(71) million for actuarial net loss on pension plans.

(b) Net of taxes (benefits) of \$7 million for unrealized net gains on investments, \$(55) million for unrealized net losses on derivatives and \$(71) million for actuarial net loss on pension plans.

| | Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments | Unrealized Net Gains on Investments | Unrealized Net Losses on Derivatives | Recognition of Actuarial Net Loss from Pension and Other Postretirement Plans | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Attributable to the Non-controlling Interest in VMware, Inc. | Total |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|---------|
| Balance as of December, 31 2014 ^(a) | \$ (187) | \$ 49 | \$ (99) | \$ (126) | \$ (3) | \$(366) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications | (104) | 19 | 14 | — | (1) | (72) |
| Net losses (gains) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income | — | (8) | (11) | — | — | (19) |
| Net current period other comprehensive income (loss) | (104) | 11 | 3 | — | (1) | (91) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2015 ^(b) | \$ (291) | \$ 60 | \$ (96) | \$ (126) | \$ (4) | \$(457) |

(a)

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

Net of taxes (benefits) of \$31 million for unrealized net gains on investments, \$(64) million for unrealized net losses on derivatives and \$(70) million for actuarial net loss on pension plans.

(b) Net of taxes (benefits) of \$38 million for unrealized net gains on investments, \$(61) million for unrealized net losses on derivatives and \$(70) million for actuarial net loss on pension plans.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are as follows (table in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | Impacted Line Item on Consolidated Income Statements |
|---|---|---|---|
| Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components | | | |
| Net (loss) gain on investments: | \$ (2) | \$ 14 | Investment income |
| Net of tax | — | (6) | Provision for income tax |
| | \$ (2) | \$ 8 | |
| Net (loss) gain on derivatives: | | | |
| Foreign exchange contracts | \$ — | \$ 20 | Product sales revenue |
| Foreign exchange contracts | — | (3) | Cost of product sales |
| Interest rate swap | (6) | (6) | Other interest expense |
| Total net (loss) gain on derivatives before tax | (6) | 11 | |
| Net of tax | 2 | — | Provision for income tax |
| | \$ (4) | \$ 11 | |

11. Restructuring and Acquisition-Related Charges

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we incurred restructuring and acquisition-related charges of \$49 million and \$135 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, EMC incurred a \$5 million credit related to our prior restructuring programs. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, VMware incurred \$53 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to its current year restructuring program, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, EMC incurred \$111 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to our 2015 restructuring programs, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, VMware incurred \$22 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to its 2015 restructuring programs, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services.

In the first quarter of 2016, EMC did not commence any new restructuring programs. In the first quarter of 2016, VMware approved a plan to streamline its operations, with plans to reinvest the associated savings in field, technical and support resources associated with growth products. As a result, approximately 800 positions at VMware were eliminated during the three months ended March 31, 2016. All actions relating to VMware's plan are expected to be completed within a year of the start of the program.

In the first quarter of 2015, EMC implemented restructuring programs to create further operational efficiencies which resulted in a workforce reduction of approximately 1,320 positions. The actions impacted positions around the globe covering our Information Storage, RSA Information Security and Enterprise Content Division segments. All of these actions were completed within a year of the start of the program. In the first quarter of 2015, VMware eliminated approximately 350 positions across all major functional groups and geographies to streamline its operations. All of these actions were completed within a year of the start of the program.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we recognized \$5 million and \$6 million, respectively, of lease termination costs for facilities vacated in the period in accordance with our plan as part of all of our restructuring programs and for costs associated with terminating other contractual obligations. These accruals are expected to be utilized by the end of 2018.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

The activity for the restructuring programs is presented below (tables in millions):

Three Months Ended March 31, 2016:

Other EMC Programs

| Category | Balance as of December 31, 2015 | 2016 Charges | Utilization | Balance as of March 31, 2016 |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------|--|
| Workforce reductions | \$ 322 | \$ (10) | \$ (99) | \$ 213 |
| Consolidation of excess facilities and other contractual obligations | 20 | 5 | (3) | 22 |
| Total | \$ 342 | \$ (5) | \$ (102) | \$ 235 |

VMware Programs

| Category | Balance as of December 31, 2015 | 2016 Charges | Utilization | Balance as of March 31, 2016 |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------|--|
| Workforce reductions | \$ 3 | \$ 50 | \$ (26) | \$ 27 |
| Consolidation of excess facilities and other contractual obligations | — | 3 | — | 3 |
| Total | \$ 3 | \$ 53 | \$ (26) | \$ 30 |

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015:

2015 EMC Programs

| Category | Balance as of December 31, 2014 | 2015 Charges | Utilization | Balance as of March 31, 2015 |
|--|--|-----------------|-------------|--|
| Workforce reductions | \$ — | \$ 107 | \$ (11) | \$ 96 |
| Consolidation of excess facilities and other contractual obligations | — | 6 | — | 6 |
| Total | \$ — | \$ 113 | \$ (11) | \$ 102 |

Other EMC Programs

| Category | Balance as of December 31, 2014 | Adjustments to the Provision | Utilization | Balance as of March 31, 2015 |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| Workforce reductions | \$ 102 | \$ (2) | \$ (39) | \$ 61 |
| Consolidation of excess facilities and other contractual obligations | 19 | — | (5) | 14 |
| Total | \$ 121 | \$ (2) | \$ (44) | \$ 75 |

VMware Programs

| Category | Balance as of December 31, 2014 | 2015 Charges | Utilization | Balance as of March 31, |
|----------|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
|----------|--|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|

| | | | | 2015 |
|--|----|---|-------|----------------|
| Workforce reductions | \$ | 8 | \$ 22 | \$ (14) \$ 16 |
| Consolidation of excess facilities and other contractual obligations | — | | — | — |
| Total | \$ | 8 | \$ 22 | \$ (14) \$ 16 |

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

We are involved in a variety of claims, demands, suits, investigations and proceedings that arise from time to time relating to matters incidental to the ordinary course of our business, including actions with respect to contracts, intellectual property, product liability, employment, benefits and securities matters. As required by authoritative guidance, we have estimated the amount of probable losses that may result from all currently pending matters, and such amounts are reflected in our consolidated financial

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

statements. These recorded amounts are not material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations and no additional material losses related to these pending matters are reasonably possible. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of these matters with certainty, we do not expect the results of any of these actions to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because litigation is inherently unpredictable, however, the actual amounts of loss may prove to be larger or smaller than the amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements, and we could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could adversely affect our operating results or cash flows in a particular period.

Merger-Related Litigation

As of May 5, 2016, fifteen putative shareholder class action lawsuits challenging the Merger have been filed, of which thirteen were filed purportedly on behalf of Company shareholders and two purportedly on behalf of VMware shareholders. The lawsuits name various combinations of the Company, its current and former directors, VMware, certain of VMware's directors, Denali, Dell and Merger Sub, among others, as defendants. The fifteen lawsuits seek, among other things, injunctive relief enjoining the Merger, rescission of the Merger if consummated, an award of fees and costs and/or an award of monetary damages. The suits are captioned as follows:

| Case | Court | Filing Date |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1. IBEW Local No. 129 Benefit Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3130-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/15/2015 |
| 2. Barrett v. Tucci, Civ. No. 15-6023-A | Mass. Superior Court, Middlesex County | 10/16/2015 |
| 3. Graulich v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3169-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 4. Vassallo v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3173-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 5. City of Miami Police Relief & Pension Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3174-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 6. Lasker v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3214-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/23/2015 |
| 7. Walsh v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 15-13654 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 10/27/2015 |
| 8. Local Union No. 373 U.A. Pension Plan v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3253-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/28/2015 |
| 9. City of Lakeland Emps.' Pension & Ret. Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3269-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/28/2015 |
| 10. Ma v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3281-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/29/2015 |
| 11. Stull v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 15-13692 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 10/30/2015 |
| 12. Jacobs v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 15-6318-H | Mass. Superior Court, Middlesex County | 11/12/2015 |
| 13. Ford v. VMware, Inc., C.A. No. 11714-VCL | Delaware Chancery Court | 11/17/2015 |
| 14. Pancake v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 16-10040 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 1/11/2016 |
| 15. Booth Family Trust v. EMC Corp., | U.S. District Court, | 1/26/2016 |

Of the thirteen lawsuits filed purportedly on behalf of Company shareholders, nine were filed in Massachusetts state court, and four in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Eleven of the lawsuits initially advanced substantially the same allegations that the Merger Agreement was adopted in violation of the fiduciary duties of the Company's directors. Certain of those lawsuits also alleged that the Company, Denali, Dell, Merger Sub, Silver Lake Partners, LLC, and/or MSD Partners, LLC aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors.

On November 5, 2015, pursuant to a motion made by the Company and its directors, the nine lawsuits then pending in state court in Massachusetts were consolidated with and into the first-filed of those actions, IBEW Local No. 129 Benefit Fund v. Joseph

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

M. Tucci, et al. That action, brought in the Business Litigation Session of the Suffolk County Superior Court, named as defendants the Company and each member of its Board of Directors (as constituted as of October 12, 2015), Denali, Dell and Merger Sub.

The Company and its directors moved to dismiss the amended complaint in the IBEW matter pursuant to provisions of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Act, M.G.L. c. 156D, § 7.40 et seq., and Rules 12(b)(6) and 23.1 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, on the basis that the complaint asserts a derivative action on behalf of the Company and should be dismissed for failure to make the requisite pre-suit demand on the Company. On December 7, 2015 the Court granted this motion and on December 24, 2015 the court entered judgment dismissing each of the consolidated actions. On January 21, 2016, three of the plaintiffs served notice that they will appeal this judgment. On April 29, 2016, the appeal was docketed in the Massachusetts Appeals Court as case number 2016-P-0595. On May 2, 2016, the appellants filed an application for direct appellate review in the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court as Direct Appellate Review No. DAR-24347.

On January 11, 2016, following the state court judgment and a motion by the Company and its directors to stay or dismiss the two lawsuits then pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the plaintiffs in those cases amended their complaints to eliminate the initial claims based on Massachusetts state law and substitute allegations that the preliminary proxy statement/prospectus dated December 14, 2015 omits and/or misrepresents material information and that such omissions and misrepresentations constitute violations of Section 14(a) of, and Rule 14a-9 under, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Two additional lawsuits have since been filed in the same court advancing substantially the same proxy-disclosure-based allegations.

Of the two lawsuits filed purportedly on behalf of VMware shareholders, one was filed in Middlesex County Superior Court in Massachusetts, and the other in Delaware Chancery Court. Both generally allege that the Company, in its capacity as the majority shareholder of VMware, and individual defendants who are directors of the Company, VMware, or both, breached their fiduciary duties to minority shareholders of VMware in connection with the Merger. Both further allege that various combinations of defendants aided and abetted these alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The Company, VMware, Denali, Dell, Merger Sub, and other defendants have served or filed motions to dismiss the operative complaints in both actions.

The outcome of these lawsuits is uncertain, and additional lawsuits may be brought or additional claims advanced concerning the Merger. An adverse judgment for monetary damages could have an adverse effect on the Company's operations. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the Merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the Merger.

13. Segment Information

We manage the Company as a federation of businesses: EMC Information Infrastructure, VMware Virtual Infrastructure, Pivotal and Virtustream. EMC Information Infrastructure operates in three segments: Information Storage, Enterprise Content Division and RSA Information Security, while VMware Virtual Infrastructure and Pivotal each operate as single segments. The results of Virtustream are currently reported within our Information Storage segment.

Our management measures are designed to assess performance of these reporting segments excluding certain items. As a result, the corporate reconciling items are used to capture the items excluded from the segment operating performance measures, including stock-based compensation expense, intangible asset amortization expense, restructuring charges and acquisition and other related charges. Additionally, in certain instances, infrequently occurring items are also excluded or included from the measures used by management in assessing segment performance. Research and development expenses, selling, general and administrative expenses and restructuring and

acquisition-related charges associated with the EMC Information Infrastructure business are not allocated to the segments within the EMC Information Infrastructure business, as they are managed centrally at the EMC Information Infrastructure business level. EMC Information Infrastructure and Pivotal have not been allocated non-operating income (expense), net and income tax provision as these costs are managed centrally at the EMC corporate level. Accordingly, for the three segments within the EMC Information Infrastructure business, gross profit is the segment operating performance measure, while for Pivotal, operating income is the operating performance measure. The VMware Virtual Infrastructure within EMC amounts represent the revenues and expenses of VMware as reflected within EMC's consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

Our segment information for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 is as follows (tables in millions, except percentages):

| | EMC Information Infrastructure | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | Information Storage | Enterprise Content Division | RSA Information Security | EMC Information Infrastructure | Pivotal | EMC Information Infrastructure plus Pivotal | |
| Three Months Ended | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Product revenues | \$1,960 | \$ 29 | \$ 95 | \$ 2,084 | \$27 | \$ 2,111 | |
| Services revenues | 1,487 | 105 | 133 | 1,725 | 56 | 1,781 | |
| Total consolidated revenues | 3,447 | 134 | 228 | 3,809 | 83 | 3,892 | |
| Gross profit | \$1,711 | \$ 91 | \$ 151 | \$ 1,953 | \$34 | \$ 1,987 | |
| Gross profit percentage | 49.6 % | 68.0 % | 66.4 % | 51.3 % | 41.2 % | 51.1 % | |
| Research and development | | | | 378 | 34 | 412 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | | | 1,084 | 58 | 1,142 | |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | | | | — | — | — | |
| Total operating expenses | | | | 1,462 | 92 | 1,554 | |
| Operating income (expense) | | | | \$ 491 | \$(58) | \$ 433 | |
| | | | | EMC Information Infrastructure plus Pivotal | VMware Virtual Infrastructure | Corp Reconciling Items | Consolidated |
| Three Months Ended | | | | | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Product revenues | | \$ 2,111 | \$ 571 | \$ — | | \$ 2,682 | |
| Services revenues | | 1,781 | 1,012 | — | | 2,793 | |
| Total consolidated revenues | | 3,892 | 1,583 | — | | 5,475 | |
| Gross profit | | \$ 1,987 | \$ 1,373 | \$ (100) | | \$ 3,260 | |
| Gross profit percentage | | 51.1 % | 86.7 % | % — | | 59.5 % | |
| Research and development | | 412 | 283 | 119 | | 814 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | 1,142 | 644 | 201 | | 1,987 | |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | | — | — | 49 | | 49 | |
| Total operating expenses | | 1,554 | 927 | 369 | | 2,850 | |
| Operating income (expense) | | 433 | 446 | (469) | | 410 | |
| Non-operating income (expense), net | | (15) | 9 | (18) | | (24) | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | | 75 | 128 | (114) | | 89 | |
| Net income | | 343 | 327 | (373) | | 297 | |

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|---------|----|--------|---|
| Net income attributable to the non-controlling interests | 1 | (68 |) | 38 | (29 |) |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$ 344 | \$ 259 | \$ (335 |) | \$ 268 | |

24

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

| | EMC Information Infrastructure | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| | Information Storage | Enterprise Content Division | RSA Information Security | EMC Information Infrastructure | Pivotal | EMC Information Infrastructure plus Pivotal | |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Product revenues | \$2,179 | \$ 27 | \$ 100 | \$ 2,306 | \$16 | \$ 2,322 | |
| Services revenues | 1,484 | 111 | 148 | 1,743 | 38 | 1,781 | |
| Total consolidated revenues | 3,663 | 138 | 248 | 4,049 | 54 | 4,103 | |
| Gross profit | \$1,850 | \$ 90 | \$ 165 | \$ 2,105 | \$22 | \$ 2,127 | |
| Gross profit percentage | 50.5 % | 65.2 % | 66.6 % | 52.0 % | 40.3 % | 51.9 % | |
| Research and development | | | | 424 | 27 | 451 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | | | 1,169 | 49 | 1,218 | |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | | | | — | — | — | |
| Total operating expenses | | | | 1,593 | 76 | 1,669 | |
| Operating income (expense) | | | | \$ 512 | \$(54) | \$ 458 | |
| | | | | EMC Information Infrastructure plus Pivotal | VMware Virtual Infrastructure | Corp Reconciling Items | Consolidated |
| Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | | | | |
| Product revenues | | \$ 2,322 | \$ 583 | \$ — | | \$ 2,905 | |
| Services revenues | | 1,781 | 927 | — | | 2,708 | |
| Total consolidated revenues | | 4,103 | 1,510 | — | | 5,613 | |
| Gross profit | | \$ 2,127 | \$ 1,311 | \$ (99) | | \$ 3,339 | |
| Gross profit percentage | | 51.9 % | 86.8 % | — % | | 59.5 % | |
| Research and development | | 451 | 247 | 90 | | 788 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | | 1,218 | 604 | 215 | | 2,037 | |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | | — | — | 135 | | 135 | |
| Total operating expenses | | 1,669 | 851 | 440 | | 2,960 | |
| Operating income (expense) | | 458 | 460 | (539) | | 379 | |
| Non-operating income (expense), net | | (14) | 8 | — | | (6) | |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | | 126 | 89 | (133) | | 82 | |
| Net income | | 318 | 379 | (406) | | 291 | |
| Net income attributable to the non-controlling interests | | — | (74) | 35 | | (39) | |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | | \$ 318 | \$ 305 | \$(371) | | \$ 252 | |

Table of Contents

EMC CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (Continued)

Our revenues are attributed to the geographic areas according to the location of the customers. Revenues by geographic area are included in the following table (table in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 |
| United States | \$2,897 | \$ 3,013 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | 1,537 | 1,559 |
| Asia Pacific and Japan | 740 | 729 |
| Latin America, Mexico and Canada | 301 | 312 |
| Total | \$5,475 | \$ 5,613 |

No country other than the United States accounted for 10% or more of revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2016 or 2015.

Long-lived assets, excluding financial instruments, deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangible assets, in the United States were \$4,566 million at March 31, 2016 and \$4,584 million at December 31, 2015. Internationally, long-lived assets, excluding financial instruments, deferred tax assets, goodwill and intangible assets, were \$1,037 million at March 31, 2016 and \$1,053 million at December 31, 2015. No country other than the United States accounted for 10% or more of total long-lived assets, excluding financial instruments and deferred tax assets, at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto which appear elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements and should also be read in conjunction with the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of Part II. The forward-looking statements do not include the potential impact of any mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, securities offerings or business combinations that may be announced or closed after the date hereof.

Certain tables may not add or recalculate due to rounding.

INTRODUCTION

We manage our company as a federation of businesses to capitalize on the emerging and rapidly growing trends of cloud computing, Big Data, mobile, social networking and security. Our federated businesses include EMC Information Infrastructure, Pivotal, VMware Virtual Infrastructure and Virtustream.

To capitalize on these trends and align our businesses effectively, we have developed a market growth strategy that has four main pillars: our best-in class products and solutions, our continued focus on cloud services for both on and off premise implementations, a coordinated go-to-market approach led by a federation go-to-market organization and our leadership team and global talent. We believe these pillars enable us to become a more trusted partner to our customers as they embark on their digital transformation and transition to the hybrid cloud, and to drive the overall revenue and growth opportunity of EMC Information Infrastructure and the faster growth opportunities of VMware Virtual Infrastructure, Pivotal and Virtustream.

Under our federation model, each of the businesses operate independently to build its own ecosystem and culture, operate with greater speed and agility and offer customers technology solutions that are free from vendor lock-in. At the same time, our businesses are strategically aligned in the mission to lead customers and partners through unprecedented transformational shifts occurring in IT. We believe this ability to draw on resources from across the federation to offer tightly integrated solutions that can be rapidly deployed while retaining choice for customers seeking flexibility is a distinct competitive advantage.

In the second quarter of 2015, we initiated a cost reduction and business transformation program to better align our expenses and improve the operations of our federation of businesses. This program is primarily in response to increased pressure on our traditional storage businesses and accordingly, the vast majority of this program is focused on our EMC Information Infrastructure segment. The goal of this cost reduction and business transformation program is to reduce our current annual cost base by \$850 million. We expect the \$850 million reduction in our annual cost base to be achieved in 2017. As part of this cost reduction plan, in the fourth quarter of 2015, we approved a restructuring plan which consisted of a reduction in force which was substantially completed by the end of the first quarter of 2016 and will be fully completed by the end of 2016.

Proposed Transaction with Dell

On October 12, 2015, EMC and Denali Holding Inc. (“Denali”), the parent company of Dell Inc. (“Dell”), signed a definitive agreement (“Merger Agreement”) under which Denali will acquire EMC Corporation, with VMware remaining a publicly-traded company. The combined company will be a leader in numerous high-growth areas of the \$2 trillion information technology market, with a complementary portfolio, sales team and research and development (“R&D”) organization across four globally recognized technology franchises – servers, storage, virtualization and PCs – and brings together strong capabilities in the fast growing areas of the industry, including converged infrastructure, digital transformation, software-defined data center, hybrid cloud, mobile and security.

For additional information related to the Merger Agreement, please refer to the Preliminary Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on April 11, 2016, which includes the full text of the Merger Agreement as Annex A.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

EMC Information Infrastructure

Our EMC Information Infrastructure business consists of three segments: Information Storage, Enterprise Content Division, formerly known as Information Intelligence Group, and RSA Information Security. The objective for our EMC Information Infrastructure business is to simultaneously increase our market share through our strong portfolio of offerings while investing in the business. During the first quarter of 2016, we continued to invest in expanding our total addressable market through increased internal R&D and through business acquisitions, with a focus on flash, Big Data storage, software-defined storage and converged infrastructure to facilitate the enablement of hybrid cloud infrastructures. We have developed a product portfolio to address customer needs that enables the adoption of hybrid cloud approaches as most enterprises and organizations embark on IT transformation initiatives incorporating both new and traditional storage architectures including converged infrastructure, cloud services, Flash, storage sharing, and software-defined and software-managed architectures. Our go-to market model, where we continue to leverage our direct sales force and services organization, as well as our channel and services partners and service providers, positions us well to help enable customers to transition to cloud computing and benefit from Big Data in the most advantageous manner for their businesses.

Pivotal

Pivotal is focused on building a platform comprising the next generation of data fabrics, application fabrics and a cloud independent platform-as-a-service ("PaaS") to support cloud computing and Big and Fast Data Applications. The foundation of our technology platform, Pivotal Cloud Foundry ("Pivotal CF"), continues to gain momentum as an open platform for developing and operating new cloud applications that can be run on multiple leading private and public clouds, in addition to our own, and not lock a customer into any one cloud in particular. It continues to enable developers to produce next generation applications and user experiences as well as transform existing applications to operate with greater speed at lower costs. On top of this platform, Pivotal will continue to offer its own suite of big and fast data capabilities, the Big Data Suite ("BDS"), featuring game changing innovations that use Hadoop Distributed File System ("HDFS") and scalar processing technologies. Additionally, its agile development services business, Pivotal Labs, continues to help existing customers and digital era startups build industrial-strength applications with more agility, more speed, and better quality. Pivotal is becoming an increasingly important factor in our cross-federation solutions, which offer a combination of products, converged infrastructure and services that provide a unique value proposition to customers, positioning the business for rapid growth in the future.

VMware Virtual Infrastructure

VMware is a leader in virtualization and cloud infrastructure solutions utilized by organizations to help transform the way they build, deliver and consume IT resources. VMware develops and markets its product and service offerings within three main product groups and allows organizations to leverage synergies and manage IT resources across complex multi-cloud, multi-device environments. These three product and service areas include: SDDC or Software-Defined Data Center, Hybrid Cloud Computing and End-User Computing.

VMware generally sells its solutions using enterprise agreements ("EAs") or as part of its non-EA, transactional, business. EAs are comprehensive volume license offerings, offered both directly by VMware and through certain channel partners that also provide for multi-year maintenance and support.

Historically, the majority of VMware license sales have been from VMware vSphere which is included in its compute product category within its SDDC product group. However, the market for VMware's compute products is reaching maturity and VMware vSphere license sales have been declining. As the transformation of the IT industry continues, VMware expects that its growth of license sales within the SDDC product group will be increasingly derived from sales of its newer products, suites and services solutions across its SDDC portfolio. Hybrid cloud computing continued to grow during the three months ended March 31, 2016. VMware's cloud strategy has three components: (i) continue to expand beyond compute virtualization in the private cloud, (ii) extend the private cloud into the public cloud, and (iii) connect and secure endpoints across a range of public clouds. VMware's end-user computing product

group continues to experience strong growth. VMware's AirWatch business model includes an on-premise solution that it offers through the sale of perpetual licenses and an off-premise solution that it offers as software-as-a-service. AirWatch and Horizon products and services continued to contribute to the growth of its end-user computing product group during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

28

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenues

The following table presents total revenue by our segments (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | \$ Change % Change |
| Information Storage | \$ 3,447 | \$ 3,663 | \$(216) (6)% |
| Enterprise Content Division | 134 | 138 | (4) (3)% |
| RSA Information Security | 228 | 248 | (20) (8)% |
| Pivotal | 83 | 54 | 29 56 % |
| VMware Virtual Infrastructure | 1,583 | 1,510 | 73 5 % |
| Total consolidated revenues | \$ 5,475 | \$ 5,613 | \$(138) (2)% |

Consolidated product revenues decreased 8% to \$2,682 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. The decrease was primarily driven by the decrease in product revenue in the Information Storage segment. Our Information Storage business continues to be negatively impacted by the rapidly changing IT macro environment where our customers are changing their buying patterns and needs. Additionally, during the first part of 2016, our business results were again negatively impacted by currency fluctuations.

The Information Storage segment's product revenues decreased 10% to \$1,960 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. This decrease was primarily driven by declines in our traditional high-end and mid-tier storage product sales as customers continue to purchase these product categories primarily to cover short-term needs as they begin to drive digital transformation of their IT infrastructures. Additionally, product revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2016 were negatively impacted by foreign currency fluctuations and higher than expected unshipped orders at March 31, 2016 as customers remained cautious about their transactional spend and placed their orders very late in the quarter. The decrease in our traditional product revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was partially offset by growth in product revenues from our newer storage technologies, which include our all-flash XIO and VMAX, Isilon scale-out file, Data Domain purpose built backup appliance, Converged Infrastructure, and Software-Defined Storage products.

The Pivotal segment's product revenues increased 72% to \$27 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 primarily due to a significant increase in subscription orders in the current and prior year for Pivotal CF and BDS which earn out over time. Pivotal is benefiting from the transition to next-gen applications by the enterprise and continues to expand the number of customers adopting Pivotal CF.

The VMware Virtual Infrastructure segment's product revenues decreased 2% to \$571 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. The decrease in product revenues was driven by lower license sales of its core compute products and growth derived from its hybrid cloud and SaaS offerings, partially offset by increased sales in VMware's emerging products, including NSX and vSAN, as well as VMware's vCAN offering. Perpetual license revenues that are part of a multi-year arrangement are generally recognized upon delivery of the underlying license using the residual method, whereas revenues derived from VMware's hybrid cloud and SaaS offerings are recognized over a period of time. Growth from VMware's hybrid cloud and SaaS offerings have resulted in less revenue being recognized up-front which has had an adverse impact on its growth rate during the first quarter of 2016.

The RSA Information Security segment's product revenues decreased 5% to \$95 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 resulting from declines in non-strategic products which more than offset growth in the rest of the RSA portfolio. Security remains a high customer priority and RSA is increasingly focused on the rapidly growing

security analytics and next generation identity management solution as well as extending its market leadership in governance, risk and compliance (“GRC”) which enables them to help customers secure their cloud-based IT environments.

The Enterprise Content Division segment’s product revenues increased 7% to \$29 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 due to growth in license sales. This business continues to innovate to meet customers’ demand for technologies that work seamlessly in mobile cloud environments.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Consolidated services revenues increased 3% to \$2,793 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. The consolidated services revenues increase was primarily driven by the Information Storage and VMware Virtual Infrastructure segments' services revenues resulting from increased revenue associated with maintenance services.

The Information Storage segment's services revenues were flat at \$1,487 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Higher revenue associated with maintenance services due to a larger installed base as well as an increase in renewals associated with aforementioned customer caution on transactional spend was offset by decreased demand for professional services associated with lower product sales.

The Pivotal segment's services revenues increased 49% to \$56 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Services revenues increased primarily due to increases in professional services revenues resulting from continued strong demand for our Pivotal Labs services.

The VMware Virtual Infrastructure segment's services revenues increased 9% to \$1,012 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. The increase in services revenues was primarily attributable to growth in VMware's software maintenance revenues which benefited from renewals of software maintenance contracts sold in previous periods and additional maintenance contracts sold in conjunction with new software license sales.

The RSA Information Security segment's services revenues decreased 10% to \$133 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Services revenues decreased primarily due to a decrease in professional services.

The Enterprise Content Division segment's services revenues decreased 6% to \$105 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Services revenues decreased primarily due to a decrease in software-as-a-service and professional services.

Consolidated revenues by geography were as follows (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | % Change |
| United States | \$2,897 | \$ 3,013 | (4)% |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa | 1,537 | 1,559 | (1)% |
| Asia Pacific and Japan | 740 | 729 | 2 % |
| Latin America, Mexico and Canada | 301 | 312 | (4)% |
| Total revenues | \$5,475 | \$ 5,613 | (2)% |

Revenues decreased in the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 in the United States, Europe, Middle East and Africa and in Latin America, Mexico and Canada. Revenues increased in the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 in Asia Pacific and Japan.

Changes in exchange rates negatively impacted consolidated revenue growth by 2% for the three months ended March 31, 2016. Exchange rates in Europe, Middle East and Africa had the most significant impact on EMC's consolidated growth rate. The negative impact of the changes in rates was the most significant for the Euro, British pound, Australia dollar and Brazilian real.

Changes in exchange rates which impacted revenue growth rates in our geographic regions include negative impacts to growth in Europe, Middle East and Africa of 3%, Asia Pacific and Japan of 2% and Latin America of 10% for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Costs and Expenses

The following table presents our costs and expenses, operating income and net income attributable to EMC Corporation (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | |
| Information Storage | \$ 1,736 | \$ 1,813 | \$(77) | (4)% |
| Enterprise Content Division | 43 | 48 | (5) | (11)% |
| RSA Information Security | 77 | 83 | (6) | (7)% |
| Pivotal | 49 | 32 | 17 | 54% |
| VMware Virtual Infrastructure | 210 | 199 | 11 | 5% |
| Corporate reconciling items | 100 | 99 | 1 | —% |
| Total cost of revenue | 2,215 | 2,274 | (59) | (3)% |
| Gross margins: | | | | |
| Information Storage | 1,711 | 1,850 | (139) | (8)% |
| Enterprise Content Division | 91 | 90 | 1 | 1% |
| RSA Information Security | 151 | 165 | (14) | (8)% |
| Pivotal | 34 | 22 | 12 | 59% |
| VMware Virtual Infrastructure | 1,373 | 1,311 | 62 | 5% |
| Corporate reconciling items | (100) | (99) | (1) | —% |
| Total gross margin | 3,260 | 3,339 | (79) | (2)% |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Research and development ⁽¹⁾ | 814 | 788 | 26 | 3% |
| Selling, general and administrative ⁽²⁾ | 1,987 | 2,037 | (50) | (2)% |
| Restructuring and acquisition-related charges | 49 | 135 | (86) | (64)% |
| Total operating expenses | 2,850 | 2,960 | (110) | (4)% |
| Operating income | 410 | 379 | 31 | 8% |
| Investment income, interest expense and other expenses, net | (24) | (6) | (18) | 322% |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 386 | 373 | 13 | 3% |
| Income tax provision | 89 | 82 | 7 | 8% |
| Net income | 297 | 291 | 6 | 2% |
| Less: Net income attributable to the non-controlling interest in VMware, Inc. | (29) | (39) | 10 | (26)% |
| Net income attributable to EMC Corporation | \$ 268 | \$ 252 | \$ 16 | 6% |

(1) Amount includes corporate reconciling items of \$119 million and \$90 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(2) Amount includes corporate reconciling items of \$201 million and \$215 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Gross Margins

Overall our gross margin percentages remained flat at 59.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to 2015, the Information Storage segment decreased overall gross margins by 21 basis points, the RSA Information Security segment decreased overall gross margins by 3 points, and the Pivotal segment decreased overall gross margin by 9 basis points. These decreases were offset by the VMware

Virtual Infrastructure segment, which increased overall gross margins by 34 basis points, and the Enterprise Content Division segment, which increased overall gross margins by 6 basis points.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

The gross margin percentages for the Information Storage segment were 49.6% and 50.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to a decrease in product margins due to lower product volumes partially offset by an increase in services mix and services margins. Services margins increased as a result of the increase in the mix of maintenance services which have higher margins than professional services.

The gross margin percentages for the Pivotal segment were 41.2% and 40.3% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily due to an increase in product margins and a shift in the mix of product revenue to products which have higher margins, somewhat offset by a decrease in services margins.

The gross margin percentages for the VMware Virtual Infrastructure segment were 86.7% and 86.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The slight decrease in gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was due to a higher mix of services revenues which have lower margins, partially offset by increases in both product and services margins year over year.

The gross margin percentages for the RSA Information Security segment were 66.4% and 66.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Gross margins decreased slightly for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 due to a slight decrease in services margins, somewhat offset by an increase in product margins.

The gross margin percentages for the Enterprise Content Division segment were 68.0% and 65.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in gross margin percentage for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was primarily driven by increases in both product and services margins.

Research and Development

Research and development expenses include payroll, stock-based compensation expense and other personnel-related costs associated with product development. Also included in R&D expenses are infrastructure costs, which consist of equipment and facilities costs, and depreciation expense, intangible asset amortization and capitalized software development costs.

The following table summarizes our consolidated R&D expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|-----|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % |
| Research and development | \$814 | \$ 788 | \$ 26 | 3 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 15 % | 14 % | | |

The increase in R&D expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 was attributable to the Pivotal business, which increased overall R&D expenses by \$7 million, and the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business, which increased overall R&D expenses by \$36 million. In addition, corporate reconciling items increased consolidated R&D expenses by \$29 million. These increases were partially offset by the EMC Information Infrastructure business, which decreased overall R&D expenses by \$46 million.

The following table summarizes R&D expenses within EMC's Information Infrastructure business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--------|---|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

Research and development \$378 \$ 424 \$ (46) (11)%
Percentage of revenue 10 % 10 %

R&D expenses within EMC's Information Infrastructure business decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to decreases in personnel-related costs as well as increases in capitalized software development costs due to the timing of projects reaching technological feasibility, which decreased overall R&D expenses.

32

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Personnel-related costs decreased by \$16 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, primarily due to our cost reduction and business transformation program initiated in 2015. Capitalized software development costs increased by \$30 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes R&D expenses within the Pivotal business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| | March 2016 | March 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Research and development | \$34 | \$ 27 | \$ 7 | 26 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 41 % | 50 % | | |

R&D expenses within the Pivotal business increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to an increase in personnel-related costs, which are expenses driven by incremental headcount from strategic hiring and business acquisitions.

The following table summarizes R&D expenses within the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| | March 2016 | March 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Research and development | \$283 | \$ 247 | \$ 36 | 15 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 18 % | 16 % | | |

R&D expenses within the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to growth in personnel-related expenses of \$23 million driven by incremental growth in headcount. Additionally, depreciation and infrastructure related R&D expenses within the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business increased \$7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes corporate reconciling items within R&D expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|--------|----------|
| | March 2016 | March 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Corporate reconciling items | \$119 | \$ 90 | \$ 29 | 32 % |

Corporate reconciling items within R&D, which consist of stock-based compensation expense and intangible asset amortization, increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to stock-based compensation expense, which increased by \$29 million.

Selling, General and Administrative

Selling expenses include payroll, sales commissions, stock-based compensation expense and other personnel-related costs associated with the marketing and sale of product offerings. Also included in selling expenses are product launch and business development costs, including travel expenses, as well as equipment and facilities costs, including the related depreciation expense and intangible asset amortization. General and administrative expenses include payroll, stock-based compensation expense and other personnel-related costs incurred to support the overall business. These expenses include costs associated with the finance, human resources, legal and other administrative functions and initiatives.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

The following table summarizes our consolidated selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$1,987 | \$2,037 | \$ (50) | (2)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 36 % | 36 % | | |

The decrease in SG&A expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 was attributable to the EMC Information Infrastructure business, which decreased overall SG&A expenses by \$85 million and corporate reconciling items which decreased consolidated SG&A expenses by \$14 million. Partially offsetting the decreases were increases in the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business, which increased overall SG&A expenses by \$40 million and the Pivotal business, which increased overall SG&A expenses by \$9 million.

The following table summarizes SG&A expenses within EMC's Information Infrastructure business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$1,084 | \$1,169 | \$ (85) | (7)% |
| Percentage of revenue | 29 % | 29 % | | |

SG&A expenses within EMC's Information Infrastructure business decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to personnel-related costs which decreased by \$68 million and business development costs which decreased by \$10 million. These decreases were primarily due to our cost reduction and business transformation program which was initiated in 2015.

The following table summarizes SG&A expenses within the Pivotal business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$58 | \$49 | \$9 | 17 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 70 % | 92 % | | |

SG&A expenses within the Pivotal business increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to an increase of \$9 million in personnel-related costs, which are expenses driven by incremental headcount from strategic hiring and business acquisitions. Pivotal continues to build out its go-to-market capabilities as it grows its business.

The following table summarizes SG&A expenses within the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------|----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$644 | \$604 | \$40 | 7 % |
| Percentage of revenue | 41 % | 40 % | | |

SG&A expenses within the VMware Virtual Infrastructure business increased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to growth in personnel-related costs of \$26 million due to incremental

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

growth in headcount. Additionally, infrastructure and depreciation costs increased by \$13 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The following table summarizes corporate reconciling items within SG&A expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (dollars in millions):

| | Three Months Ended | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------|----------|
| | March 2016 | March 2015 | Change | % Change |
| Corporate reconciling items | \$201 | \$ 215 | \$ (14) | (7)% |

Corporate reconciling items within SG&A, which consist of stock-based compensation, intangible asset amortization, acquisition and other related charges and merger-related costs, decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in acquisition and other related charges of \$29 million due to the decreases in installment payments to certain key employees of AirWatch. In addition, intangible asset amortization decreased by \$8 million. Partially offsetting these decreases was an increase in stock-based compensation of \$27 million and an increase in merger-related costs of \$7 million.

Restructuring and Acquisition-Related Charges

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we incurred restructuring and acquisition-related charges of \$49 million and \$135 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, EMC incurred a \$5 million credit related to our prior restructuring programs. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, VMware incurred \$53 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to its current year restructuring program, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, EMC incurred \$111 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to our 2015 restructuring programs, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, VMware incurred \$22 million of restructuring charges, primarily related to its 2015 restructuring programs, and \$1 million of charges in connection with acquisitions for financial, advisory, legal and accounting services.

In the first quarter of 2016, EMC did not commence any new restructuring programs. In the first quarter of 2016, VMware approved a plan to streamline its operations, with plans to reinvest the associated savings in field, technical and support resources associated with growth products. As a result, approximately 800 positions at VMware were eliminated during the three months ended March 31, 2016. All actions relating to VMware's plan are expected to be completed within a year of the start of the program.

In the first quarter of 2015, EMC implemented restructuring programs to create further operational efficiencies which resulted in a workforce reduction of approximately 1,320 positions. The actions impacted positions around the globe covering our Information Storage, RSA Information Security and Enterprise Content Division segments. All of these actions were completed within a year of the start of the program. In the first quarter of 2015, VMware eliminated approximately 350 positions across all major functional groups and geographies to streamline its operations. All of these actions were completed within a year of the start of the program.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we recognized \$5 million and \$6 million, respectively, of lease termination costs for facilities vacated in the period in accordance with our plan as part of all of our restructuring programs and for costs associated with terminating other contractual obligations. These accruals are expected to be utilized by the end of 2018.

Investment Income

Investment income was \$13 million and \$24 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Investment income decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 when compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to an increase in net realized losses.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, interest income was \$28 million and \$25 million, respectively, and net realized losses were \$16 million and \$2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Interest Expense

Interest expense was \$41 million and \$40 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Interest expense during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 consists primarily of interest on the \$5.5 billion aggregate principal amount of senior notes (collectively, the "Notes"), which we issued in June 2013.

Other Income

Other income was \$4 million and \$10 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Other income primarily consists of net gains and losses on strategic investments and foreign exchange gains and losses.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we recognized net gains from strategic investments of \$5 million and foreign currency exchange gains of \$11 million. Offsetting these gains was an \$18 million foreign currency exchange loss related to the re-measurement of assets and liabilities of our Venezuela subsidiary in connection with the Venezuela currency devaluation. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we recognized net gains from strategic investments of \$20 million which were partially offset by foreign currency exchange losses of \$10 million.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates were 23.1% and 22.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Our effective income tax rate is based upon estimated income before provision for income taxes for the year, composition of the income in different countries, and adjustments, if any, in the applicable quarterly periods for potential tax consequences, benefits and/or resolutions of tax audits or other tax contingencies. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, the effective income tax rate varied from the statutory income tax rate principally as a result of the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions and federal tax credit for increasing research activities. Our aggregate income tax rate in foreign jurisdictions is lower than our income tax rate in the United States; substantially all of our income before provision for income taxes from foreign operations has been earned by our Irish subsidiaries. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the effective income tax rate varied from the statutory income tax rate principally as a result of the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions. On December 18, 2015, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 was signed into law. Some of the provisions were retroactive to January 1, 2015 including a permanent extension of the U.S. federal tax credit for increasing research activities.

Our effective income tax rate increased in the three months ended March 31, 2016 from the three months ended March 31, 2015 due primarily to higher state taxes. There were also differences in the mix of income attributable to foreign versus domestic jurisdictions, change in tax contingency reserves and discrete items, the net impact of which is immaterial.

We are routinely under audit by the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS"). We have concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for years through 2008. In the third quarter of 2012, the IRS commenced a federal income tax audit for the tax years 2009 and 2010. The IRS completed their field audit for the tax years 2009 and 2010 and issued Revenue Agent Reports ("RARs") in the first quarter of 2016. We disagree with certain proposed adjustments and have filed a formal protest to the IRS Appeals Division. In the first quarter of 2015, the IRS commenced a federal income tax audit for the tax year 2011, which is still ongoing. We also have income tax audits in process in numerous state, local and international jurisdictions. In our international jurisdictions that comprise a significant portion of our operations, the years that may be examined vary, with the earliest year being 2004. Based on the timing and outcome of examinations of EMC, the result of the expiration of statutes of limitations for specific jurisdictions or the timing and result of ruling requests from taxing authorities, it is reasonably possible that the related unrecognized tax benefits could change from those recorded in our consolidated balance sheets. We anticipate that several of these audits may be finalized within the next twelve months. While we expect the amount of unrecognized tax benefits to change in the next twelve months, we do not expect the change to have a significant impact on our consolidated results of operations or financial

position.

Our effective income tax rate for the remainder of 2016 may be affected by such factors as changes in tax laws, regulations or income tax rates, changing interpretation of existing laws or regulations, the impact of accounting for stock-based compensation, the impact of accounting for business combinations, changes in our international organization, and changes in overall levels of income before provision for income taxes. Our effective income tax rate may also be adversely affected by earnings being lower than anticipated in countries where we have lower statutory income tax rates and higher than anticipated in countries where we have higher statutory income tax rates.

36

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Non-controlling Interests

The net income attributable to the non-controlling interests was \$29 million and \$39 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The net income attributable to the non-controlling interest in VMware was \$30 million and \$39 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The decrease in the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 was due to decreases in VMware's reported net income. VMware's reported net income was \$161 million and \$196 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The weighted average non-controlling interest in VMware was approximately 19% and 20% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. EMC did not purchase any shares of VMware common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Financial Condition

Proposed Transaction with Dell

On October 12, 2015, EMC and Denali signed a definitive Merger Agreement. EMC has agreed to various customary covenants and agreements, including, among others, agreements to conduct its business in the ordinary course during the period between the execution of the Merger Agreement and the effective time of the Merger. In addition, without the consent of Denali, we may not take, authorize, agree or commit to do certain actions outside of the ordinary course of business, including acquiring businesses or incurring capital expenditures above specified thresholds, issuing additional debt facilities, and repurchasing outstanding EMC common stock. We do not believe these restrictions will prevent us from meeting our debt service obligations, ongoing costs of operations, working capital needs, or capital expenditure requirements for the next twelve months.

The Merger Agreement contains specified termination rights for each of Denali and EMC, including that, in general, either party may terminate the agreement if the Merger is not consummated on or before December 16, 2016. If EMC terminates the Merger Agreement, we are required to pay Denali a termination fee of \$2.5 billion.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

At March 31, 2016, our total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term and long-term investments were \$15.0 billion. This balance includes approximately \$8.2 billion held by VMware, of which \$6.3 billion is held outside the U.S. and \$1.9 billion is held in the U.S., and \$6.8 billion held by EMC, of which \$5.8 billion is held outside the U.S. and \$1.0 billion is held in the U.S. If the funds that are held outside the U.S. are needed for our operations in the U.S., we would be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes to repatriate these funds. However, our intent is to permanently reinvest these funds outside of the U.S. and our current plans do not demonstrate a need to repatriate them to fund our U.S. operations.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, EMC is required to provide Denali with access to EMC's cash to help fund the Merger consideration. At this time, EMC has not finalized its plan to access such cash and has not determined if there would be a need to repatriate cash to meet the requirements of the Merger. If these overseas funds are required to be repatriated to the U.S. in accordance with the Merger Agreement, we may be required to accrue and pay U.S. taxes.

We expect that existing U.S. cash and cash equivalents, together with any cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to meet normal operating requirements for the next twelve months. We expect to continue to generate positive cash flows from operations and to use cash generated by operations as a primary source of liquidity. Should we require more capital than is generated by our operations to fund discretionary activities, such as business acquisitions, we have the ability to raise capital through the issuance of commercial paper or by drawing on our credit facility at reasonable interest rates.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

Cash Flow

The following table summarizes our cash flow activity for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | \$ Change |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 932 | \$ 1,080 | \$(148) |
| Cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 156 | (1,111) |) 1,267 |
| Cash used in financing activities | (444) | (1,821) |) 1,377 |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 31 | (103) |) 134 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 675 | \$ (1,955) |) \$2,630 |

Cash provided by operating activities consists primarily of cash collections from our customers somewhat offset by cash used for employee related expenditures, cash paid to suppliers for material and manufacturing costs and income tax payments.

The following table summarizes the primary drivers of the decrease in cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | \$ Change |
| Cash received from customers | \$ 6,878 | \$ 7,495 | \$(617) |
| Cash paid to suppliers and employees | (5,373) | (5,584) |) 211 |
| Income taxes paid | (591) | (855) |) 264 |

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$148 million to \$932 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in cash received from customers, attributable to lower sales volume as well as entering the first quarter of 2016 with a significantly lower accounts receivable balance than at the start of the first quarter of 2015. This was partially offset by a decrease in cash paid to suppliers and employees resulting from our cost reduction and business transformation program which was initiated in 2015. Additionally, income tax payments, which are comprised of estimated taxes for the current year, extension payments for the prior year and refunds or payments associated with income tax filings and tax audits, decreased primarily due to lower pre-tax income in 2015 compared to 2014.

Cash used in investing activities consists primarily of the timing of purchases, sales and maturities of our investments in available-for-sale securities, business acquisitions and the purchase of capital and other assets.

The following table summarizes the primary driver of the increase in cash used in investing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | \$ Change |
| Net sales, maturities and (purchases) of available-for-sale securities | \$ 491 | \$ (688) |) \$ 1,179 |

Net cash provided by investing activities increased by \$1,267 million to \$156 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in cash provided by/(used in) the net

sales, maturities and purchases of available-for-sale securities. The net sales, maturities and purchases of available-for-sale securities varies from period to period based upon our cash collections, cash requirements and maturity dates of our investments as well as cash available after the issuance and payment of debt.

Cash used in financing activities consists primarily of net proceeds or payments from the issuance or repayment of short-term and long-term debt as well as proceeds from the issuance of common stock, stock repurchases and dividend payments.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

The following table summarizes the primary drivers of the decrease in cash used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|
| | March 31, 2016 | March 31, 2015 | Change |
| Repurchase of EMC and VMware common stock | \$ — | \$ (1,784) |) \$1,784 |
| Net payments on short-term obligations | (376) | — | (376) |

Net cash used in financing activities decreased by \$1,377 million to \$444 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015 primarily due to a decrease in cash spent on EMC and VMware stock repurchases during 2016, partially offset by the cash outflows related to the repayment of short-term obligations in 2016.

Share Repurchase

During April 2016, VMware's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an aggregate of \$1.2 billion of VMware's common stock through the end of 2016. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, VMware did not repurchase any shares as it is currently subject to a number of legal and regulatory constraints resulting from the Merger Agreement, which impacts the timing and ability to execute repurchases of VMware's shares. VMware expects to repurchase the authorized \$1,200 million of its Class A common stock during the remainder of 2016, following the EMC shareholder vote on the proposed merger of EMC and Dell.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we spent \$1,346 million to repurchase 54 million shares of our common stock, and VMware spent \$438 million to repurchase 5 million shares of their common stock.

Dividends

Our Board of Directors declared the following dividends during 2016 and 2015:

| Declaration Date | Dividend Per Share | Record Date | Total Amount (in millions) | Payment Date |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 2016: | | | | |
| February 11, 2016 | \$ 0.115 | April 1, 2016 | \$ 229 | April 22, 2016 |
| 2015: | | | | |
| February 27, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | April 1, 2015 | \$ 229 | April 23, 2015 |
| May 20, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | July 1, 2015 | \$ 226 | July 23, 2015 |
| July 30, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | October 1, 2015 | \$ 229 | October 23, 2015 |
| December 17, 2015 | \$ 0.115 | January 4, 2016 | \$ 230 | January 22, 2016 |

Short-Term Debt

On February 27, 2015, we entered into a credit agreement with the lenders named therein, Citibank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agents, and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Bookrunners (the "Credit Agreement"). The Credit Agreement provides for a \$2.5 billion unsecured revolving credit facility to be used for general corporate purposes that is scheduled to mature on

February 27, 2020. At our option, subject to certain conditions, any loan under the Credit Agreement will bear interest at a rate equal to, either (i) the LIBOR Rate or (ii) the Base Rate (defined as the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, (b) Citibank, N.A.'s "prime rate" as announced from time to time, or (c) one-month LIBOR plus 1.00%), plus, in each case the Applicable Margin, as defined in the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary representations and warranties, covenants and events of default. We may also, upon the agreement of the existing lenders and/or additional lenders not currently parties to the agreement, increase the commitments under the credit facility by up to an additional \$1.0 billion. In addition, we may request to extend the maturity date of the credit facility, subject to certain conditions, for additional one-year periods. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with customary required covenants and had no funds borrowed under the credit facility. At December 31, 2015, we had \$600 million outstanding under the credit facility.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

On March 23, 2015, we established a short-term debt financing program whereby we may issue short-term unsecured commercial paper notes ("Commercial Paper"). Amounts available under the program may be borrowed, repaid and re-borrowed from time to time, with the aggregate face or principal amount of the notes outstanding at any time not to exceed \$2.5 billion. The Commercial Paper will have maturities of up to 397 days from the date of issue. The net proceeds from the issuance of the Commercial Paper are expected to be used for general corporate purposes. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with customary required covenants. At March 31, 2016, we had \$925 million of Commercial Paper outstanding. At December 31, 2015, we had \$699 million of Commercial Paper outstanding. At May 5, 2016, we had \$1,285 million of Commercial Paper outstanding.

Long-Term Debt

During 2013, we issued \$5.5 billion of Notes which pay a fixed rate of interest semi-annually in arrears. The proceeds from the Notes were used to satisfy the cash payment obligation of the \$1,725 billion 1.75% convertible senior notes due 2013 as well as for general corporate purposes including stock repurchases, dividend payments, business acquisitions, working capital needs and other business opportunities. The Notes of each series are senior, unsecured obligations of EMC and are not convertible or exchangeable. Unless previously purchased and canceled, we will repay the Notes of each series at 100% of the principal amount, together with accrued and unpaid interest thereon, at maturity. However, EMC has the right to redeem any or all of the Notes at specified redemption prices. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all debt covenants, which are customary in nature.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures and Reconciliations to GAAP Results

The financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). EMC uses certain non-GAAP financial measures, which exclude stock-based compensation, intangible asset amortization, restructuring charges, acquisition and other related charges, infrequently occurring gains, losses, benefits and charges, and special tax items to measure its revenues, gross margin, operating margin, net income and diluted earnings per share for purposes of managing our business. EMC also assesses its financial performance by measuring its free cash flow which is also a non-GAAP financial measure. Free cash flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities, less additions to property, plant and equipment and capitalized software development costs. These non-GAAP financial measures should be considered in addition to, not as a substitute for, measures of EMC's financial performance or liquidity prepared in accordance with GAAP. EMC's non-GAAP financial measures may be defined differently from time to time and may be defined differently than similar terms used by other companies, and accordingly, care should be exercised in understanding how EMC defines its non-GAAP financial measures.

EMC's management uses the non-GAAP financial measures to gain an understanding of EMC's comparative operating performance (when comparing such results with previous periods or forecasts) and future prospects and excludes these items from its internal financial statements for purposes of its internal budgets and each reporting segment's financial goals. These non-GAAP financial measures are used by EMC's management in their financial and operating decision-making because management believes they reflect EMC's ongoing business in a manner that allows meaningful period-to-period comparisons. EMC's management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide useful information to investors and others (a) in understanding and evaluating EMC's current operating performance and future prospects in the same manner as management does, if they so choose, and (b) in comparing in a consistent manner EMC's current financial results with EMC's past financial results.

Our non-GAAP operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in millions):

For the Three Months Ended

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | March 31, 2016 | | March 31, 2015 | |
|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| Gross margin | \$ 3,360 | | \$ 3,438 | |
| Gross margin percentage | 61.4 | % | 61.3 | % |
| Operating income | 879 | | 918 | |
| Operating margin percentage | 16.0 | % | 16.4 | % |
| Income tax provision | 203 | | 215 | |
| Net income attributable to EMC | 603 | | 623 | |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to EMC | \$ 0.31 | | \$ 0.31 | |

The decrease in non-GAAP gross margin for the period was attributable to lower sales volume and higher costs of revenue in Information Storage, partially offset by higher sales volume in VMware Virtual Infrastructure. Non-GAAP gross margin

40

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

percentage increased slightly for the three months ended March 31, 2016 primarily due to a decrease in Information Storage gross margins, offset by an increase in the mix of VMware revenue which has higher overall margins. Information Storage gross margins decreased year over year primarily due to a decrease in product margins due to lower product volumes partially offset by an increase in services margins.

The decrease in the non-GAAP operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily attributable to the overall decrease in gross margin, somewhat offset by lower operating expenses resulting from our cost reduction program. Non-GAAP operating margin percentage decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2016 primarily due to operating expenses declining at a slower rate than revenue.

The reconciliation of the above financial measures from GAAP to non-GAAP is as follows (in millions):

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016

| | Gross margin | Operating income | Non-operating (income) expense | Income tax provision (benefit) | Net income attributable to EMC | Diluted Earnings per share attributable to EMC |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| GAAP | \$3,260 | \$ 410 | \$ (24) | \$ 89 | \$ 268 | \$ 0.14 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 41 | 304 | — | 69 | 212 | 0.11 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 59 | 90 | — | 25 | 60 | 0.03 |
| Restructuring charges | — | 48 | — | 14 | 26 | 0.01 |
| Acquisition and other related charges | — | 20 | — | 5 | 13 | 0.01 |
| Merger-related costs | — | 7 | — | 1 | 6 | — |
| Venezuela currency devaluation | — | — | 18 | — | 18 | 0.01 |
| Non-GAAP | \$3,360 | \$ 879 | \$ (6) | \$ 203 | \$ 603 | \$ 0.31 |

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015

| | Gross margin | Operating income | Non-operating (income) expense | Income tax provision (benefit) | Net income attributable to EMC | Diluted Earnings per share attributable to EMC |
|---|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| GAAP | \$3,339 | \$ 379 | \$ (6) | \$ 82 | \$ 252 | \$ 0.13 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 37 | 244 | — | 55 | 170 | 0.09 |
| Intangible asset amortization | 62 | 101 | — | 30 | 66 | 0.03 |
| Restructuring charges | — | 133 | — | 33 | 96 | 0.04 |
| Acquisition and other related charges | — | 50 | — | 16 | 28 | 0.02 |
| R&D tax credit | — | — | — | (5) | 5 | — |
| VMware litigation and other contingencies | — | 11 | — | 4 | 6 | — |
| Non-GAAP | \$3,438 | \$ 918 | \$ (6) | \$ 215 | \$ 623 | \$ 0.31 |

We also monitor our ability to generate free cash flow. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, our free cash flow was \$588 million, a decrease of 22% compared to the free cash flow generated for the three months ended March 31, 2015. EMC uses free cash flow, among other measures, to evaluate the ability of its operations to generate cash that is available for purposes other than capital expenditures and capitalized software development costs. Management believes that information regarding free cash flow provides investors with an important perspective on the cash available to make strategic acquisitions and investments, repurchase shares, service and issue debt, pay dividends and fund ongoing operations. As free cash flow is not a measure of liquidity calculated in accordance with GAAP, free

cash flow should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the analysis provided in the statements of cash flows.

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS - (Continued)

The reconciliation of the above free cash flow from GAAP to non-GAAP is as follows (in millions):

| | For the Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|--|----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Cash Flow from Operations | \$932 | \$ 1,080 |
| Capital expenditures | (188) | (197) |
| Capitalized software development costs | (156) | (128) |
| Free Cash Flow | \$588 | \$ 755 |

Free cash flow represents a non-GAAP measure related to operating cash flows. In contrast, our GAAP measure of cash flow consists of three components. These are cash flows provided by operating activities of \$932 million and \$1,080 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, cash provided by investing activities of \$156 million and used in investing activities of \$1,111 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and cash used in financing activities of \$444 million and \$1,821 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

All of the foregoing non-GAAP financial measures have limitations. Specifically, the non-GAAP financial measures that exclude the items noted above do not include all items of income and expense that affect EMC's operations or cash flows. Further, these non-GAAP financial measures are not prepared in accordance with GAAP, may not be comparable to non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies and do not reflect any benefit that such items may confer on EMC. Management compensates for these limitations by also considering EMC's financial results as determined in accordance with GAAP.

Table of Contents

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk affecting us, see Item 7A “Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 25, 2016. Our exposure to market risks has not changed materially from that set forth in our Annual Report.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”)), as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on such evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have concluded that as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in a variety of claims, demands, suits, investigations and proceedings that arise from time to time relating to matters incidental to the ordinary course of our business, including actions with respect to contracts, intellectual property, product liability, employment, benefits and securities matters. As required by authoritative guidance, we have estimated the amount of probable losses that may result from all currently pending matters, and such amounts are reflected in our consolidated financial statements. These recorded amounts are not material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations and no additional material losses related to these pending matters are reasonably possible. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of these matters with certainty, we do not expect the results of any of these actions to have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Because litigation is inherently unpredictable, however, the actual amounts of loss may prove to be larger or smaller than the amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements, and we could incur judgments or enter into settlements of claims that could adversely affect our operating results or cash flows in a particular period.

Merger-Related Litigation

As of May 5, 2016, fifteen putative shareholder class action lawsuits challenging the Merger have been filed, of which thirteen were filed purportedly on behalf of Company shareholders and two purportedly on behalf of VMware shareholders. The lawsuits name various combinations of the Company, its current and former directors, VMware, certain of VMware's directors, Denali, Dell and Merger Sub, among others, as defendants. The fifteen lawsuits seek, among other things, injunctive relief enjoining the Merger, rescission of the Merger if consummated, an award of fees and costs and/or an award of monetary damages. The suits are captioned as follows:

| Case | Court | Filing Date |
|---|---|-------------|
| 1. IBEW Local No. 129 Benefit Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3130-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/15/2015 |
| 2. Barrett v. Tucci, Civ. No. 15-6023-A | Mass. Superior Court, Middlesex County | 10/16/2015 |
| 3. Graulich v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3169-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 4. Vassallo v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3173-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 5. City of Miami Police Relief & Pension Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3174-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/19/2015 |
| 6. Lasker v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3214-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/23/2015 |
| 7. Walsh v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 15-13654 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 10/27/2015 |
| 8. Local Union No. 373 U.A. Pension Plan v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 1584-3253-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/28/2015 |
| 9. City of Lakeland Emps.' Pension & Ret. Fund v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3269-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/28/2015 |
| 10. Ma v. Tucci, Civ. No. 1584-3281-BLS1 | Mass. Superior Court, Suffolk County | 10/29/2015 |
| 11. Stull v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 15-13692 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 10/30/2015 |
| 12. Jacobs v. EMC Corp., | Mass. Superior Court, Middlesex County | 11/12/2015 |

Edgar Filing: EMC CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|-----|---|---|------------|
| 13. | Civ. No. 15-6318-H Ford v. VMware, Inc., C.A. No. 11714-VCL | Delaware Chancery Court | 11/17/2015 |
| 14. | Pancake v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 16-10040 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 1/11/2016 |
| 15. | Booth Family Trust v. EMC Corp., Civ. No. 16-10114 | U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts | 1/26/2016 |

Table of Contents

Of the thirteen lawsuits filed purportedly on behalf of Company shareholders, nine were filed in Massachusetts state court, and four in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts. Eleven of the lawsuits initially advanced substantially the same allegations that the Merger Agreement was adopted in violation of the fiduciary duties of the Company's directors. Certain of those lawsuits also alleged that the Company, Denali, Dell, Merger Sub, Silver Lake Partners, LLC, and/or MSD Partners, LLC aided and abetted the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty by the directors.

On November 5, 2015, pursuant to a motion made by the Company and its directors, the nine lawsuits then pending in state court in Massachusetts were consolidated with and into the first-filed of those actions, IBEW Local No. 129 Benefit Fund v. Joseph M. Tucci, et al. That action, brought in the Business Litigation Session of the Suffolk County Superior Court, named as defendants the Company and each member of its Board of Directors (as constituted as of October 12, 2015), Denali, Dell and Merger Sub.

The Company and its directors moved to dismiss the amended complaint in the IBEW matter pursuant to provisions of the Massachusetts Business Corporation Act, M.G.L. c. 156D, § 7.40 et seq., and Rules 12(b)(6) and 23.1 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure, on the basis that the complaint asserts a derivative action on behalf of the Company and should be dismissed for failure to make the requisite pre-suit demand on the Company. On December 7, 2015 the Court granted this motion and on December 24, 2015 the court entered judgment dismissing each of the consolidated actions. On January 21, 2016, three of the plaintiffs served notice that they will appeal this judgment. On April 29, 2016, the appeal was docketed in the Massachusetts Appeals Court as case number 2016-P-0595. On May 2, 2016, the appellants filed an application for direct appellate review in the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court as Direct Appellate Review No. DAR-24347.

On January 11, 2016, following the state court judgment and a motion by the Company and its directors to stay or dismiss the two lawsuits then pending in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the plaintiffs in those cases amended their complaints to eliminate the initial claims based on Massachusetts state law and substitute allegations that the preliminary proxy statement/prospectus dated December 14, 2015 omits and/or misrepresents material information and that such omissions and misrepresentations constitute violations of Section 14(a) of, and Rule 14a-9 under, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Two additional lawsuits have since been filed in the same court advancing substantially the same proxy-disclosure-based allegations.

Of the two lawsuits filed purportedly on behalf of VMware shareholders, one was filed in Middlesex County Superior Court in Massachusetts, and the other in Delaware Chancery Court. Both generally allege that the Company, in its capacity as the majority shareholder of VMware, and individual defendants who are directors of the Company, VMware, or both, breached their fiduciary duties to minority shareholders of VMware in connection with the Merger. Both further allege that various combinations of defendants aided and abetted these alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The Company, VMware, Denali, Dell, Merger Sub, and other defendants have served or filed motions to dismiss the operative complaints in both actions.

The outcome of these lawsuits is uncertain, and additional lawsuits may be brought or additional claims advanced concerning the Merger. An adverse judgment for monetary damages could have an adverse effect on the Company's operations. A preliminary injunction could delay or jeopardize the completion of the Merger, and an adverse judgment granting permanent injunctive relief could indefinitely enjoin completion of the Merger.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

The risk factors that appear below could materially affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. This description includes any material changes to and supersedes the description of the risk factors associated with our business previously disclosed in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31,

2015. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only risks and uncertainties facing us. Our business is also subject to general risks and uncertainties that affect many other companies.

The announcement and pendency of the Dell transaction may materially adversely affect our business.

Uncertainty about the effect of the proposed merger on our employees, customers and other parties may have a material adverse effect on our business. Our employees may experience uncertainty about their roles following the merger. There can be no assurance that our employees, including key personnel, can be retained to the same extent that we have previously been able to attract and retain employees. Any loss of such employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, operations and financial position.

Parties with which we do business may experience uncertainty associated with the merger and related transactions, including with respect to current or future business relationships with us. Such uncertainty could cause customers, suppliers and others to

Table of Contents

seek to change existing business relationships or delay or defer certain business decisions with us and could have a material adverse effect on our business, operations and financial position.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, we are subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of our business, including the ability in certain cases to enter into contracts, acquire or dispose of assets, incur indebtedness or incur capital expenditures, until the proposed merger closes or the Merger Agreement terminates. The restrictions may prevent us from pursuing otherwise attractive business opportunities and taking other actions with respect to our business that we may consider advantageous and result in our inability to respond effectively to competitive pressures and industry developments, and may otherwise harm our business and operations.

In addition, we have diverted, and will continue to divert, significant management resources towards the completion of the transaction, which could materially adversely affect our business and operations.

Failure to consummate the Dell transaction could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial results.

There can be no assurance that the proposed merger with Denali will occur. If the merger is not completed for any reason, including as a result of a failure of our shareholders to approve the Merger Agreement, the ongoing business of EMC may be adversely affected and, without realizing any of the benefits of having completed the merger, we may experience negative reactions from the financial markets, including negative impacts on the price of our common stock, and litigation related to any failure to complete the merger or related to any enforcement proceeding commenced against us to perform our obligations under the Merger Agreement. A failed transaction may result in negative publicity and a negative impression of us in the investment community. Further, any disruptions to our business resulting from the announcement and pendency of the merger, including any adverse changes in our relationships with our customers, partners and employees, could continue or accelerate in the event of a failed transaction.

Consummation of the merger is subject to certain conditions, including, among others, (i) approval by our shareholders; (ii) the absence of an order or law prohibiting consummation of the merger; (iii) the receipt of consents under specified foreign antitrust laws; (iv) the absence of a material adverse effect on us; (v) the accuracy of the parties' respective representations and warranties; and (vi) the parties' respective compliance with agreements and covenants contained in the Merger Agreement. Many of the conditions to closing of the merger are not within our control and there can be no assurance that these and other conditions to closing will be satisfied. In addition, the closing may not occur if the required financing for the transaction is unavailable or delayed.

The Merger Agreement also contains certain termination rights for both us and Denali, and in certain specified circumstances upon termination of the Merger Agreement, including a termination by us to enter into an agreement for a superior proposal, we will be required to pay Denali a cash termination fee. This payment could affect the structure, pricing and terms proposed by a third party seeking to acquire or merge with us and could deter such third party from making a competing acquisition proposal.

The Merger Agreement provides for limited remedies for us in the event of a breach by Denali or its affiliates that results in termination of the Merger Agreement, including the right to a reverse termination fee payable under certain specified circumstances. There can be no assurance that a remedy will be available to us in the event of such a breach or that any damages incurred by us in connection with the merger will not exceed the amount of the reverse termination fee.

In addition, we have incurred, and will continue to incur, significant costs, expenses and fees for professional services and other transaction costs in connection with the proposed merger. We will be required to pay such costs relating to

the transaction whether or not the merger is completed.

Lawsuits have been filed, and other lawsuits may be filed, challenging the merger. An adverse ruling in any such lawsuit may delay the merger or prevent the merger from being completed.

Lawsuits have been filed against various combinations of EMC, its current and former directors, VMware, certain of VMware's directors, Denali and Dell, among other defendants. Certain of the lawsuits have generally alleged, among other things, that the directors of EMC breached their fiduciary duties to EMC shareholders in connection with the merger; that various combinations of defendants aided and abetted the EMC directors in the alleged breach of their fiduciary duties; that the proxy statement filed by EMC with the SEC in connection with the merger contains material misstatements and omissions; that EMC, in its capacity as the majority shareholder of VMware, and individual defendants who are directors of EMC, VMware or both, breached their fiduciary duties to minority shareholders of VMware in connection with the merger; and that certain defendants aided and abetted

Table of Contents

those alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The lawsuits have sought, among other things, injunctive relief enjoining the merger, rescission of the merger if consummated, an award of fees and costs and/or an award of damages. Additional lawsuits arising out of or relating to the Merger Agreement or the merger may be filed in the future. Lawsuits challenging the merger could prevent the merger from being completed, or could result in a material delay in, or the abandonment of, the merger.

We may be unable to keep pace with rapid industry, technological and market changes.

The markets in which we compete are characterized by rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions, evolving industry standards and changing needs of customers. In addition, our industry is experiencing one of the most disruptive periods of transition in its history as we move from IT solutions built for the client-server second platform into the next phase of IT growth and innovation, or the third platform. There can be no assurance that our existing products will be properly positioned in the third platform or that we will be able to introduce new or enhanced products into the market on a timely basis, or at all. We spend a considerable amount of money on research and development and introduce new products from time to time. There can be no assurance that enhancements to existing products and solutions or new products and solutions will receive customer acceptance. As competition in the IT industry increases, it may become increasingly difficult for us to maintain a technological advantage and to leverage that advantage toward increased revenues and profits. In addition, there can be no assurance that our vision of enabling hybrid cloud computing, Big Data and trust through infrastructure and application transformation will be accepted or validated in the marketplace.

Risks associated with the development and introduction of new products include delays in development and changes in data storage, networking virtualization, infrastructure management, information security and operating system technologies which could require us to modify existing products. Risks inherent in the transition to new products include:

- the difficulty in forecasting customer preferences or demand accurately;
- the inability to expand production capacity to meet demand for new products;
- the inability to successfully manage the interoperability and transition from older products;
- the impact of customers' demand for new products on the products being replaced, thereby causing a decline in sales of existing products and an excessive, obsolete supply of inventory;
- delays in initial shipments of new products; and
- delays in sales caused by the desire of customers to evaluate new products for extended periods of time.

Further risks inherent in new product introductions include the uncertainty of price-performance relative to products of competitors and competitors' responses to such new product introductions. Our failure to introduce new or enhanced products on a timely basis, keep pace with rapid industry, technological or market changes or effectively manage the transition to new products or new technologies could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

The markets we serve are highly competitive, and we may be unable to compete effectively.

We compete with many companies in the markets we serve. Some of our competitors offer a broad spectrum of IT products and services, and others offer specific information storage, protection, security, management, virtualization and intelligence products or services. Some of our competitors (whether independently or by establishing alliances) may have substantially greater financial, marketing or technological resources, larger distribution capabilities, earlier access to customers or greater opportunity to address customers' various IT requirements than us. In addition, through further consolidation in the IT industry, companies may improve their competitive position and ability to compete against us. We compete on the basis of our products' features, performance and price as well as our services. Our

failure to compete on any of these bases could affect demand for our products or services, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Companies may develop new technologies or products in advance of us or establish business models or technologies disruptive to us. Our business may be materially adversely affected by the announcement or introduction of new products, including hardware and software products, and new services offered by our competitors, and the implementation of effective marketing or sales strategies by our competitors. The material adverse effect to our business could include a decrease in demand for our products and services and an increase in the length of our sales cycle due to customers taking longer to compare products and services and to complete their purchases.

Table of Contents

We may have difficulty managing operations.

Our future operating results will depend on our overall ability to manage operations, which includes, among other things:

- successfully communicating and executing on our unique federation strategy;
- retaining and hiring the appropriate number of qualified employees;
- managing, protecting and enhancing, as appropriate, our infrastructure, including but not limited to, our information systems (and our ability to protect confidential information residing on such systems) and internal controls;
- accurately forecasting revenues;
- training our sales force to sell effectively, given the breadth of our offerings;
- successfully integrating new acquisitions;
- managing inventory levels, including minimizing excess and obsolete inventory, while maintaining sufficient inventory to meet customer demands;
- controlling expenses;
- managing our manufacturing capacity, real estate facilities and other assets;
- meeting our sustainability goals; and
- executing on our plans.

An unexpected decline in revenues without a corresponding and timely reduction in expenses or a failure to manage other aspects of our operations could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of a lessening demand in the information technology market.

Our revenue and profitability depend on the overall demand for our products and services. Delays or reductions in IT spending could materially adversely affect demand for our products and services which could result in decreased revenues or earnings.

Our customers operate in a variety of sectors and across many geographies. Any adverse effects to such markets could materially adversely affect demand for our products and services which could result in decreased revenues or earnings.

Pricing pressures, increases in component and product design costs, decreases in sales volume, or changes to the relative mixture of our revenues could materially adversely affect our revenues, gross margins or earnings.

Our gross margins are impacted by a variety of factors, including competitive pricing, component and product design costs, sales volume and the relative mixture of product and services revenue. Increased component costs, increased pricing pressures, the relative and varying rates of increases or decreases in component costs and product price, changes in our product and services revenue mixture, including the mixture of subscription based product revenue, or decreased sales volume could have a material adverse effect on our revenues, gross margins or earnings.

The costs of third-party components comprise a significant portion of our product costs. We may have difficulty managing our component and product design costs if supplies of certain components become limited or component prices increase. Any such limitation could result in an increase in our component costs. An increase in component or design costs relative to our product prices could have a material adverse effect on our gross margins and earnings. Moreover, certain competitors may have advantages with respect to component costs due to vertical integration of their supply chain, which may include disk drives, microprocessors, memory components and servers.

The markets in which we do business are highly competitive, and we may encounter aggressive price competition for all of our products and services from numerous companies globally. There also has been, and may continue to be, a willingness on the part of certain competitors to reduce prices or provide information infrastructure and virtual infrastructure products or services, together with other IT products or services, at minimal or no additional cost in order to preserve or gain market share. Such price competition may result in pressure on our product and service prices, and reductions in product and service prices may have a material adverse effect on our revenues, gross margins or earnings.

Table of Contents

Our financial performance is impacted by the financial performance of VMware.

Because we consolidate VMware's financial results in our results of operations, our financial performance is impacted by the financial performance of VMware. VMware's financial performance may be affected by a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

- fluctuations in demand, adoption rates, sales cycles (which have been increasing in length) and pricing levels for VMware's products and services;
- changes in customers' budgets for information technology purchases and in the timing of its purchasing decisions;
- the timing of recognizing revenues in any given quarter, which can be affected by a number of factors, including product announcements, beta programs and product promotions that can cause revenue recognition of certain orders to be deferred until future products to which customers are entitled become available;
- the timing of announcements or releases of new or upgraded products and services by VMware or by its competitors;
- the timing and size of business realignment plans and restructuring charges;
- VMware's ability to maintain scalable internal systems for reporting, order processing, license fulfillment, product delivery, purchasing, billing and general accounting, among other functions;
- VMware's ability to control costs, including its operating expenses;
- credit risks of VMware's distributors, who account for a significant portion of product revenues and accounts receivable;
- VMware's ability to process sales at the end of the quarter;
- seasonal factors such as the end of fiscal period budget expenditures by VMware's customers and the timing of holiday and vacation periods;
- renewal rates and the amounts of the renewals for enterprise agreements, or EA's, as original EA terms expire;
- the timing and amount of internally developed software development costs that may be capitalized;
- unplanned events that could affect market perception of the quality or cost-effectiveness of VMware's products and solutions; and
- VMware's ability to accurately predict the degree to which customers will elect to purchase its subscription-based offerings in place of licenses to its on-premises offerings.

We may become involved in litigation that may materially adversely affect us.

We are involved in various legal proceedings in connection with our proposed merger. From time to time, we may also become involved in various legal proceedings relating to matters incidental to the ordinary course of our business, including patent, commercial, product liability, employment, class action, whistleblower and other litigation and claims, and governmental and other regulatory investigations and proceedings. Such matters can be time-consuming, divert management's attention and resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. Furthermore, because litigation is inherently unpredictable, there can be no assurance that the results of any of these actions will not have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Cybersecurity breaches could expose us to liability, damage our reputation, compromise our ability to conduct business, require us to incur significant costs or otherwise adversely affect our financial results.

We retain sensitive data, including intellectual property, proprietary business information and personally identifiable information, in our secure data centers and on our networks. We face a number of threats to our data centers and networks of unauthorized access, including security breaches and other system disruptions. It is critical to our business strategy that our infrastructure remains secure and is perceived by our customers and business partners to be secure. Despite our security measures, our infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or other disruptive problems, such as the sophisticated cyber attack on our RSA division that we disclosed in March 2011. Any such security breach may compromise information stored on our networks and may result in significant data losses or theft

of our, our customers', our business partners' or our employees' intellectual property, proprietary business information or personally identifiable information. In addition, we have outsourced a number of our business functions to third party contractors, and any breach of their security systems could adversely affect us.

A cybersecurity breach could negatively affect our reputation as a trusted provider of information infrastructure by adversely affecting the market's perception of the security or reliability of our products or services. In addition, a cyber attack could result in other negative consequences, including remediation costs, disruption of internal operations, increased cybersecurity protection costs, lost revenues or litigation.

Table of Contents

Our quarterly revenues or earnings could be materially adversely affected by uneven sales patterns or changing purchasing behaviors.

Our quarterly sales have historically reflected an uneven pattern in which a disproportionate percentage of a quarter's total sales occur in the last month and weeks and days of each quarter. This uneven sales pattern makes it difficult for us to accurately predict revenues, earnings and working capital for each financial period and increases the risk of unanticipated variations in our quarterly results and financial condition. We believe this uneven sales pattern is a result of many factors, including:

- the relative dollar amount of our product and services offerings in relation to many of our customers' budgets, resulting in long lead times for customers' budgetary approval, which tends to be given late in a quarter;
- the tendency of customers to wait until late in a quarter to commit to purchase in the hope of obtaining more favorable pricing from one or more competitors seeking their business;
- the fourth-quarter influence of customers spending their remaining capital budget authorization prior to new budget constraints in the first nine months of the following year; and
- seasonal influences.

Our uneven sales pattern makes it extremely difficult to predict near-term demand and adjust manufacturing capacity or our supply chain accordingly. Our backlog at any particular time is also not necessarily indicative of future sales levels. This is because:

- we assemble our products on the basis of our forecast of near-term demand and maintain inventory in advance of receipt of firm orders from customers;
- we generally ship products shortly after receipt of the order; and
- customers may generally reschedule or cancel orders with little or no penalty.

If predicted demand is substantially greater than orders, we will have excess inventory. Alternatively, if orders substantially exceed predicted demand, our ability to assemble, test and ship orders received in the last weeks and days of each quarter may be limited. This could materially adversely affect quarterly revenues or earnings as our revenues in any quarter are substantially dependent on orders booked and shipped in that quarter.

Loss of infrastructure, due to factors such as an information systems failure, loss of public utilities, natural disasters or extreme weather conditions, could also impact our ability to book orders or ship products in a timely manner. Delays in product shipping or an unexpected decline in revenues without a corresponding and timely slowdown in expenses, could intensify the impact of these factors on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, unanticipated changes in our customers' purchasing behaviors, such as customers taking longer to negotiate and complete their purchases or making smaller, incremental purchases based on their current needs, can also make it difficult for us to accurately predict revenues, earnings and working capital for each financial period and increase the risk of unanticipated variations in our quarterly results and financial condition.

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of general global economic and market conditions.

We are subject to the effects of general global economic and market conditions that are beyond our control. If these conditions remain challenging or worsen, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected. Possible consequences of macroeconomic global challenges that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition include insolvency of key suppliers resulting in product delays, inability of customers to obtain credit to finance purchases of our products, customer insolvencies, increased risk that customers may delay payments, fail to pay or default on credit extended to them, and counterparty failures that negatively impact our treasury operations.

Our business may suffer if we are unable to retain or attract key personnel.

Our business depends to a significant extent on the continued service of senior management and other key employees, the development of additional management personnel and the hiring of new qualified employees. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in retaining and developing existing personnel or recruiting new personnel. The loss of one or more key employees, our inability to attract or develop additional qualified employees or any delay in hiring key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Undetected problems in our products could directly impair our financial results.

If flaws in design, production, assembly or testing of our products (by us or our suppliers) were to occur, we could experience a rate of failure in our products that would result in substantial delays in shipment, significant repair, replacement or service costs or potential damage to our reputation. Any of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Continued improvement in manufacturing capabilities, control of material and manufacturing quality and costs and product testing are critical factors in our future growth. However, there can be no assurance that our efforts to monitor, develop, modify and implement appropriate testing and manufacturing processes for our products will be sufficient to avoid a rate of failure in our products that could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our stock price is volatile and may be affected by factors related to VMware.

Our stock price, like that of other technology companies, is subject to significant volatility because of factors such as:

- the announcement of acquisitions, new products, services or technological innovations by us or our competitors;
- quarterly variations in our operating results;
- changes in revenue or earnings estimates by the investment community; and
- speculation in the press or investment community.

The trading price of our common stock has been and likely will continue to be affected by various factors related to VMware, including:

- the trading price for VMware Class A common stock;
- actions taken or statements made by us, VMware, or others concerning our relationship with VMware; and
- factors impacting the performance of VMware, including those discussed in the risk factor above regarding the impact of VMware's financial performance on our financial performance.

In addition, although we own a majority of VMware and consolidate its financial results in our results of operations, our stock price may not accurately reflect our pro rata ownership interest of VMware.

Due to the global nature of our business, political, economic or regulatory changes or other factors in a specific country or region could impair our international operations, future revenue or financial condition.

A substantial portion of our revenues is derived from sales outside the United States including, increasingly, in rapid growth markets such as Brazil, Russia, India and China. In addition, a substantial portion of our products is manufactured outside of the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be materially adversely affected by a variety of factors relating to our operations outside the United States, including, among others, the following:

- changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- changes in a specific country's or region's economic conditions;
- political or social unrest;
- trade restrictions;
- import or export licensing requirements;
- the overlap of different tax structures or changes in international tax laws;
- changes in regulatory requirements;
- difficulties in staffing and managing international operations;
- stringent privacy policies in some foreign countries;
- compliance with a variety of foreign laws and regulations; and

longer payment cycles in certain countries.

Our foreign operations, particularly in those countries with developing economies, are also subject to laws prohibiting improper payments and bribery, including the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar regulations in foreign jurisdictions. Our employees, contractors and agents may take actions in violation of our policies that are designed to ensure compliance with these laws. Any such violations could subject us to civil or criminal penalties or otherwise have an adverse effect on our business and reputation.

Table of Contents

In addition, we hold a significant portion of our cash and investments in our international subsidiaries. Potential regulations could impact our ability to transfer this cash and these investments to the United States. Although the international cash is permanently reinvested, should we be required to repatriate cash, we may incur a significant tax obligation.

We operate a Venezuelan sales subsidiary with a U.S. dollar functional currency. As a result, Bolivar-denominated transactions are subject to exchange gains and losses that may impact our earnings. During the first quarter of 2016, the Venezuelan government devalued its official exchange rate to a fixed rate of 10 bolivars per U.S. dollar. This rate was formerly called the CENCOEX and is now called the DIPRO and is available for essential imports and transactions. The Venezuelan government also changed its SIMADI exchange rate to the DICOM rate which is available for all other transactions and which fluctuates based on supply and demand.

During the first quarter of 2016, we re-measured all balances based upon the DIPRO rate which we believe is most appropriate for these items to be settled. We are closely monitoring information concerning these rates in the event it becomes appropriate to adopt a rate other than DIPRO. Changing the rate used to re-measure our Bolivar-denominated transactions rates could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

If our suppliers are not able to meet our requirements, we could have decreased revenues and earnings.

We purchase or license many sophisticated components and products from one or a limited number of qualified suppliers, including some of our competitors. These components and products include flash drives, disk drives, high density memory components, power supplies and software developed and maintained by third parties. We have experienced delivery delays from time to time because of high industry demand or the inability of some vendors to consistently meet our quality or delivery requirements. Natural disasters have also in the past impacted, and may continue to impact, our ability to procure certain components in a timely fashion, and an economic crisis could also negatively affect the solvency of our suppliers, resulting in product delays. Current or future social and environmental regulations or issues, such as those relating to the sourcing of conflict minerals from the Democratic Republic of the Congo or the elimination of environmentally sensitive materials from our products, could restrict the supply of resources used in production or increase our costs. If any of our suppliers were to cancel or materially change contracts or commitments with us or fail to meet the quality or delivery requirements needed to satisfy customer orders for our products, we could lose time-sensitive customer orders, be unable to develop or sell certain products cost-effectively or on a timely basis, if at all, and have significantly decreased quarterly revenues and earnings, which would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Additionally, we periodically transition our product line to incorporate new technologies. The importance of transitioning our customers smoothly to such new technologies, along with our historically uneven pattern of quarterly sales (as discussed in a prior risk factor), intensifies the risk that the failure of a supplier to meet our quality or delivery requirements will have a material adverse impact on our revenues and earnings.

Our investment portfolio could experience a decline in market value which could adversely affect our financial results.

We held \$7.7 billion in short- and long-term investments as of March 31, 2016. These investments consist primarily of investment grade debt securities, and we limit the amount of investment with any one issuer. A further deterioration in the economy, including a tightening of credit markets, increased defaults by issuers, or significant volatility in interest rates, could cause these investments to decline in value or could otherwise impact the liquidity of our portfolio. If market conditions deteriorate significantly, our results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

Risks associated with our distribution channels may materially adversely affect our financial results.

In addition to our direct sales force, we have agreements in place with many distributors, systems integrators, resellers and original equipment manufacturers to market and sell our products and services. We derive a significant percentage of our revenues from such distribution channels. Our financial results could be materially adversely affected if our contracts with channel partners were terminated, if our relationship with channel partners were to deteriorate, if the financial condition of our channel partners were to weaken, if our channel partners were not able to timely and effectively implement their planned actions or if the level of demand for our channel partners' products and services were to decrease. In addition, as our market opportunities change, we may have an increased reliance on channel partners, which may negatively impact our gross margins. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in maintaining or expanding these channels. If we are not successful in maintaining or expanding these channels, we may lose sales opportunities, customers and market share. Furthermore, our partial reliance on channel partners may materially reduce our management's visibility of potential customers and demand for products and services, thereby making it more difficult to accurately forecast such demand. In addition, there can be no assurance that our channel partners will not develop,

Table of Contents

market or sell products or services or acquire other companies that develop, market or sell products or services in competition with us in the future.

In addition, as we focus on new market opportunities and additional customers through our various distribution channels, including small-to-medium sized businesses, we may be required to provide different levels of service and support than we typically have provided in the past. We may have difficulty managing directly or indirectly through our channels these different service and support requirements and may be required to incur substantial costs to provide such services, which may adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of the risks associated with alliances.

We have strategic alliances with leading information technology companies, some of whom may be our competitors in other areas, and we plan to continue our strategy of developing key alliances in order to expand our reach into existing and new markets. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in our ongoing strategic alliances or that we will be able to find further suitable business relationships as we develop new products and strategies. Any failure to continue or expand such relationships could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

There can be no assurance that companies with which we have strategic alliances, certain of which have substantially greater financial, marketing or technological resources than us, will not develop or market products in competition with us in the future, discontinue their alliances with us or form alliances with our competitors.

Our business may suffer if we cannot protect our intellectual property.

We generally rely upon patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and contract rights in the United States and in other countries to establish and maintain our proprietary rights in our technology and products. However, there can be no assurance that any of our proprietary rights will not be challenged, invalidated or circumvented. In addition, the laws of certain countries do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect our proprietary technology against unauthorized third-party copying or use, which could adversely affect our competitive position. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain licenses to any technology that we may require to conduct our business or that, if obtainable, such technology can be licensed at a reasonable cost.

From time to time, we receive notices from third parties claiming infringement by our products of third-party patent or other intellectual property rights. Responding to any such claim, regardless of its merit, could be time-consuming, result in costly litigation, divert management's attention and resources and cause us to incur significant expenses. In the event there is a temporary or permanent injunction entered prohibiting us from marketing or selling certain of our products or a successful claim of infringement against us requiring us to pay royalties to a third party, and we fail to develop or license a substitute technology, our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

In addition, although we believe we have adequate security measures, if our intellectual property or other sensitive data is misappropriated, we could suffer monetary and other losses and reputational harm, which could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Issues arising during the upgrade of our enterprise resource planning system could affect our operating results and ability to manage our business effectively.

We are in the process of upgrading our enterprise resource planning, or ERP, computer system to enhance operating efficiencies and provide more effective management of our business operations. While one phase of our upgrade was implemented in the third quarter of 2012, we still have further planned phases to our upgrade. The upgrade could cause substantial business interruption that could adversely impact our operating results. We are investing significant financial and personnel resources into this project. However, there is no assurance that the system upgrade will meet our current or future business needs or that it will operate as designed. We are heavily dependent on such computer systems, and any significant failure or delay in the system upgrade could cause a substantial interruption to our business and additional expense, which could result in an adverse impact on our operating results, cash flows or financial condition.

Table of Contents

We may have exposure to additional income tax liabilities.

As a multinational corporation, we are subject to income taxes in both the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Due to economic and political conditions, tax rates in various jurisdictions may be subject to significant change, which might significantly impact our effective income tax rate in the future. Our domestic and international tax liabilities are subject to the allocation of revenues and expenses in different jurisdictions and the timing of recognizing revenues and expenses. Additionally, the amount of income taxes paid is subject to our interpretation of applicable tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we file and changes to tax laws. From time to time, we are subject to income tax audits. While we believe we have complied with all applicable income tax laws, there can be no assurance that a governing tax authority will not have a different interpretation of the law and assess us with additional taxes. Should we be assessed with additional taxes, there could be a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

As part of the current Administration's ongoing negotiations, President Obama, House of Representatives and Senate Committees have called for a comprehensive tax reform, which might change certain U.S. tax rules for U.S. corporations doing business outside the United States. While the scope of future changes differs among various tax proposals and remains unclear, proposed changes might include limiting the ability of U.S. corporations to deduct certain expenses attributable to offshore earnings, modifying the foreign tax credit rules and taxing currently certain transfers of intangibles offshore. The enactment of some or all of these proposals could increase the Company's effective tax rate and adversely affect our profitability.

Recent developments in 2014, including the Irish government's announced changes to the taxation of certain existing non-resident Irish companies beginning in January 2021, and the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development's project on Base Erosion and Profit Shifting, could ultimately impact our tax liabilities to foreign jurisdictions and treatment of our foreign earnings from a U.S. perspective, which may adversely impact our effective tax rate.

On December 28, 2015, the U.S. Tax Court issued a final decision in *Altera Corp. v. Commissioner* related to the treatment of stock-based compensation expense in an intercompany cost-sharing arrangement. At this time, the U.S. Department of the Treasury has not withdrawn the requirement from its regulations to include stock-based compensation. The I.R.S. has the right to appeal the U.S. Tax Court decision. We concluded that no adjustment to our consolidated financial statements is appropriate at this time due to the uncertainties with respect to the ultimate resolution of this case.

Changes in laws or regulations could materially adversely affect us.

Our business, results of operations or financial condition could be materially adversely affected if laws, regulations or standards relating to us or our products are newly implemented or changed. In addition, our compliance with existing regulations may have a material adverse impact on us. Under applicable federal securities laws, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, we are required to evaluate and determine the effectiveness of our internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting. Should we or our independent auditors determine that we have material weaknesses in our internal controls, our results of operations or financial condition may be materially adversely affected or our stock price may decline.

Changes in generally accepted accounting principles may materially adversely affect us.

From time to time, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") promulgates new accounting principles that could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations or financial condition. The FASB is currently

contemplating a number of new accounting pronouncements which, if approved, could materially change our reported results. Such changes could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations and financial position.

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of the risks associated with acquisitions, investments and joint ventures.

As part of our business strategy, we seek to acquire businesses that offer complementary products, services or technologies. These acquisitions are accompanied by risks commonly encountered in an acquisition of a business, which may include, among other things:

- the effect of the acquisition on our financial and strategic position and reputation;
- the failure of an acquired business to further our strategic plans;
- the failure of the acquisition to result in expected benefits, which may include benefits relating to enhanced revenues, technology, human resources, cost savings, operating efficiencies and other synergies;

Table of Contents

the difficulty and cost of integrating the acquired business, including costs and delays in implementing common systems and procedures and costs and delays caused by communication difficulties or geographic distances between the two companies' sites;

- the assumption of known or unknown liabilities of the acquired business, including litigation-related liability;
- the potential impairment of acquired assets;
- the lack of experience in new markets, products or technologies or the initial dependence on unfamiliar supply or distribution partners;
- the diversion of our management's attention from other business concerns;
- the impairment of relationships with customers or suppliers of the acquired business or our customers or suppliers;
- the recoverability of benefits from goodwill and intangible assets and the potential impairment of these assets;
- the potential loss of key employees of the acquired company; and
- the potential incompatibility of business cultures.

These factors could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. To the extent that we issue shares of our common stock or other rights to purchase our common stock in connection with any future acquisition, existing shareholders may experience dilution. Additionally, regardless of the form of consideration issued, acquisitions could negatively impact our net income and our earnings per share.

In addition to the risks commonly encountered in the acquisition of a business as described above, we may also experience risks relating to the challenges and costs of closing a transaction or failing to close an announced transaction. Further, the risks described above may be exacerbated as a result of managing multiple acquisitions at the same time.

We also seek to invest in businesses that offer complementary products, services or technologies and to, from time to time, create new joint ventures or alliances. These investments and ventures are accompanied by risks similar to those encountered in an acquisition of a business.

Our pension plan assets are subject to market volatility.

We have a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan assumed as part of our Data General acquisition. The plan's assets are invested in common stocks, bonds and cash. The expected long-term rate of return on the plan's assets was 6.50%. This rate represents the average of the expected long-term rates of return weighted by the plan's assets as of December 31, 2015. As market conditions permit, we expect to continue to shift the asset allocation to lower the percentage of investments in equities and increase the percentage of investments in long-duration fixed-income securities. The effect of such change could result in a reduction in the long-term rate of return on plan assets and an increase in future pension expense. As of December 31, 2015, the ten-year historical rate of return on plan assets was 6.45%, and the inception to date return on plan assets was 6.46%. In 2015, we experienced a 2.42% loss on plan assets. Should we not achieve the expected rate of return on the plan's assets or if the plan experiences a decline in the fair value of its assets, we may be required to contribute assets to the plan which could materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

Our business could be materially adversely affected by changes in regulations or standards regarding energy use of our products.

We continually seek ways to increase the energy efficiency of our products. Recent environmental analyses have focused on the estimated amount of global carbon emissions that are generated by information technology products. As a result, governmental and non-governmental organizations have turned their attention to the development of regulations and standards to drive technological improvements to reduce the amount of such carbon emissions. There

is a risk that any regulations or standards developed by these organizations will not fully address the complexity of the products and technology developed by the IT industry or will favor certain technological approaches to reducing such carbon emissions. Depending on the regulations or standards that are ultimately adopted, compliance with such regulations or standards could materially adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

Our business could be materially adversely affected as a result of war, acts of terrorism, natural disasters or climate change.

Terrorist acts, acts of war, natural disasters, or the direct and indirect effects of climate change (such as a rise in sea level, increased storm severity, drought, flooding, wildfires, pandemics, and social unrest from resource depletion and rising food prices) may cause damage or disruption to our employees, facilities, customers, partners, suppliers, distributors and resellers, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition. Such events may also cause damage or disruption to transportation and communication systems and to our ability to manage logistics in such an environment, including receipt of components and distribution of products.

Our failure to pay quarterly dividends to our shareholders could materially adversely affect our stock price.

Our ability to pay quarterly dividends will be subject to, among other things, our financial position and results of operations, available cash and cash flow, and capital requirements. Any reduction or discontinuation of quarterly dividends could cause our stock price to decline significantly.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES IN THE FIRST QUARTER OF 2016

During the first quarter of 2016, EMC did not repurchase any shares. At March 31, 2016, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the board authorization is 223 million.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

See index to Exhibits on page 58 of this report.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 5, 2016 EMC CORPORATION

By: /s/ Denis G. Cashman
Denis G. Cashman
Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

57

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 3.1 Restated Articles of Organization of EMC Corporation. (1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of EMC Corporation. (1)
- 4.1 Form of Stock Certificate. (2)
- 4.2 Underwriting Agreement, dated as of June 3, 2013, by and among the Company, Citigroup Global Markets Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities LLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, as representatives of the several underwriters named therein. (3)
- 4.3 Indenture, dated as of June 6, 2013, by and between the Company and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee. (3)
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed herewith)
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed herewith)
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (filed herewith)
- 101.INS* XBRL Instance Document. (filed herewith)
- 101.SCH* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema. (filed herewith)
- 101.CAL* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase. (filed herewith)
- 101.DEF* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase. (filed herewith)
- 101.LAB* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase. (filed herewith)
- 101.PRE* XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase. (filed herewith)

Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to EMC Corporation’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 3, 2013 (No. 1-9853).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to EMC Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 29, 2008 (No. 1-9853).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to EMC Corporation’s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 6, 2013 (No. 1-9853).