

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC
Form 10-Q
April 30, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-12911

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

| | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| State of Incorporation: | I.R.S. Employer Identification |
| | Number: |
| Delaware | 77-0239383 |

Corporate Administration:
585 W. Beach Street
Watsonville, California 95076
(831) 724-1011

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of April 20, 2007.

| Class | Outstanding |
|-------|-------------|
|-------|-------------|

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value

41,935,780 shares

Table of Contents

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|----------------|---|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006</u> |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2007 and 2006</u> |
| | <u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 31.1

EXHIBIT 31.2

EXHIBIT 32

2

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3

Table of Contents**Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)**

Granite Construction Incorporated
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited - in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 207,647 | \$ 204,893 | \$ 225,495 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 93,313 | 141,037 | 57,613 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 413,267 | 492,229 | 439,400 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | 25,666 | 15,797 | 28,812 |
| Inventories | 47,337 | 41,529 | 35,412 |
| Real estate held for sale | 58,192 | 55,888 | 50,189 |
| Deferred income taxes | 36,552 | 36,776 | 22,586 |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | 37,422 | 31,912 | 26,359 |
| Other current assets | 65,930 | 63,144 | 65,087 |
| Total current assets | 985,326 | 1,083,205 | 950,953 |
| Property and equipment, net | 444,570 | 429,966 | 393,312 |
| Long-term marketable securities | 49,882 | 48,948 | 42,932 |
| Investments in affiliates | 23,585 | 21,471 | 15,365 |
| Other assets | 43,341 | 49,248 | 46,879 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,546,704 | \$ 1,632,838 | \$ 1,449,441 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 29,962 | \$ 28,660 | \$ 29,041 |
| Accounts payable | 214,395 | 257,612 | 220,284 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 270,641 | 287,843 | 231,475 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 170,042 | 189,328 | 121,325 |
| Total current liabilities | 685,040 | 763,443 | 602,125 |
| Long-term debt | 70,530 | 78,576 | 110,903 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 64,315 | 58,419 | 53,670 |
| Deferred income taxes | 19,798 | 22,324 | 37,325 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries | 18,227 | 15,532 | 30,822 |
| Shareholders' equity | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding | - | - | - |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares as of March 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006 and 100,000,000 shares as of March 31, 2006; issued and outstanding 41,942,130 shares as of March | 419 | 418 | 418 |

Edgar Filing: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC - Form 10-Q

31, 2007, 41,833,559 shares as of December
31, 2006 and 41,845,631 shares as of March
31, 2006

| | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 79,597 | 78,620 | 68,477 |
| Retained earnings | 605,797 | 612,875 | 543,495 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,981 | 2,631 | 2,206 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 688,794 | 694,544 | 614,596 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,546,704 | \$ 1,632,838 | \$ 1,449,441 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited - in thousands, except per share data)

| Three Months Ended March 31, | 2007 | | 2006 | |
|---|-------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Revenue | | | | |
| Construction | \$ | 421,549 | \$ | 434,338 |
| Material sales | | 66,111 | | 61,630 |
| Total revenue | | 487,660 | | 495,968 |
| Cost of revenue | | | | |
| Construction | | 385,516 | | 403,960 |
| Material sales | | 54,108 | | 51,773 |
| Total cost of revenue | | 439,624 | | 455,733 |
| Gross profit | | 48,036 | | 40,235 |
| General and administrative expenses | | 54,337 | | 48,256 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | | 713 | | 4,238 |
| Operating loss | | (5,588) | | (3,783) |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | | 6,843 | | 4,733 |
| Interest expense | | (1,086) | | (1,395) |
| Equity in income (loss) of affiliates | | 351 | | (77) |
| Other, net | | (233) | | (606) |
| Total other income (expense) | | 5,875 | | 2,655 |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes and minority interest | | 287 | | (1,128) |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | | 89 | | (773) |
| Income (loss) before minority interest | | 198 | | (355) |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries | | (2,447) | | (1,067) |
| Net loss | \$ | (2,249) | \$ | (1,422) |
| Basic and diluted net loss per share | \$ | (0.05) | \$ | (0.03) |
| Basic and diluted weighted average shares of common stock | | 40,992 | | 40,739 |
| Dividends per share | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | 0.10 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited - in thousands)

| Three Months Ended March 31, | 2007 | 2006 |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net loss | \$ (2,249) | \$ (1,422) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 17,825 | 16,811 |
| Provision for (benefit from) doubtful accounts | 1,238 | (215) |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | (713) | (4,238) |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,763 | 1,695 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | (2,700) | - |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries | 2,447 | 1,067 |
| Equity in (income) loss of affiliates | (351) | 77 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 87,512 | 33,802 |
| Inventories | (5,808) | (2,251) |
| Real estate held for sale | (2,562) | (3,300) |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | (5,510) | 1,049 |
| Other assets | (4,163) | (8,083) |
| Accounts payable | (43,217) | (12,523) |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings, net | (27,071) | 37,440 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (12,553) | (19,860) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,888 | 40,049 |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (32,883) | (20,060) |
| Maturities of marketable securities | 68,925 | 26,500 |
| Additions to property and equipment | (33,691) | (24,309) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 1,386 | 14,846 |
| Contributions to affiliates | (2,313) | - |
| Distributions from affiliates | - | 413 |
| Issuance of notes receivable | - | (500) |
| Collection of notes receivable | 3,682 | 2,911 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 5,106 | (199) |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Additions to long-term debt | 260 | 2,154 |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (6,746) | (13,513) |
| Dividends paid | (4,184) | (4,169) |
| Repurchases of common stock | (4,644) | (4,030) |
| Contributions from minority partners | 12,324 | 5,416 |
| Distributions to minority partners | (5,975) | (467) |
| Excess tax benefit on stock-based compensation | 2,700 | - |
| Other financing activities | 25 | 373 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,240) | (14,236) |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 2,754 | 25,614 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 204,893 | 199,881 |

Edgar Filing: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 207,647 | \$ | 225,495 |
| Supplementary Information | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 499 | \$ | 837 |
| Income taxes | | 6 | | 10,331 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activity: | | | | |
| Restricted stock issued for services | | 11,607 | | 9,629 |
| Dividends accrued but not paid | | 4,194 | | 4,185 |
| Financed acquisition of assets | | 612 | | - |
| Debt repayments from sale of assets | | 870 | | - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Granite Construction Incorporated (“we”, “us”, “our” or “Granite”) without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, although we believe the disclosures which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at March 31, 2007 and 2006 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The December 31, 2006 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements:

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements, but does not change existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of implementing SFAS 157 on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities” (“SFAS 159”). SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected are reported in earnings. SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of implementing SFAS 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

3. Change in Accounting Estimate:

Our gross profit in the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 includes the effects of significant changes in the estimates of the profitability of certain of our Heavy Construction Division (“HCD”) projects. The net effect of these estimate changes decreased gross profit in each period.

| Heavy Construction Division Change in Accounting Estimate <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended March | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 31, 2006 |
| Reduction in gross profit | \$ (25.0) | \$ (21.0) |
| Increase in gross profit | 9.5 | 7.5 |
| Net reduction in gross profit | \$ (15.5) | \$ (13.5) |
| Number of projects with significant downward estimate changes* | 4 | 6 |
| Range of reduction to gross profit from each project | 2.0 - 10.0 | 1.1 - 3.1 |

| | | |
|--|--------|--------------|
| Number of projects with significant upward estimate changes* | 1 | 3 |
| Range of increase to gross profit from each project | \$ 5.0 | \$ 1.1 - 2.8 |

* Significant is defined as a change with a net gross margin impact of \$1.0 million or greater

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, the changes that reduced gross profit resulted from changes in productivity and quantity estimates based on experience gained in the quarter, costs from design issues and owner-directed changes. The four HCD projects with significant downward changes during the period were at various stages of completion at March 31, 2007 ranging from 57.0% to 89.0%. All four projects also had significant downward estimate changes in 2006.

The impact of these downward changes on gross profit during the three months ended March 31, 2007 was partially offset by an increase in estimated profitability from one other project, due primarily to better than expected productivity experienced in the quarter.

7

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents additional information about the four projects with significant downward estimate changes for the three months ended March 31, 2007 (dollars in millions):

| | Number of Projects | Total Contract Value | 2007 Gross Profit Reduction Impact | Backlog at March 31, 2007 | Percent of Total HCD Backlog at March 31, 2007 |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Projects between 85% and 90% complete | 2 | \$ 392.0 | \$ 10.0 | \$ 48.0 | 2.8% |
| Project at 57% complete | 1 | 72.0 | 2.0 | 31.0 | 1.8% |
| Highway project in California - 89% complete | 1 | 447.0 | 10.0 | 48.0 | 2.8% |
| Total for projects with significant downward changes | 4 | \$ 911.0 | \$ 22.0 | \$ 127.0 | 7.4% |
| All other projects with backlog greater than \$5.0 million | 20 | | | \$ 1,589.0 | 91.4% |

We recorded minority interest related to these estimate changes of approximately \$1.4 million and \$0.6 million for three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 respectively. Three of our joint venture projects are currently forecast at a loss and will require additional capital contributions from our minority partners if the forecasts do not improve. Our joint venture agreements require that such capital contributions be made if needed. Based on our most recent assessment of our partners' financial condition, we currently believe that one of our partners does not have the ability to contribute all of the additional capital that will be needed if the project forecast does not improve. Included in minority interest in our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 is expense related to the potentially uncollectible partner balance of approximately \$2.6 million. The remaining minority interest balance related to these loss projects of \$8.5 million at March 31, 2007 has been included in other long-term assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

At December 31, 2006, a fourth joint venture project was forecasted at a loss and would have required additional contributions from our minority partner if the forecast did not improve. Based on our assessment at December 31, 2006, we did not believe that our partner would have the ability to contribute the required capital and therefore we recorded expense of approximately \$4.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 related to the potentially uncollectible partner balance. In March 2007, the joint venture agreement related to this project was amended to effectively relieve our partner of its obligation to fund the joint venture for its capital deficit to ensure that our partner would be able to contribute to the successful completion of this joint venture project and other considerations. This amendment did not have a material impact on our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as the potentially uncollectible minority interest was expensed during the year ended December 31, 2006.

We currently have a highway project that involves construction of seven miles of highway in western Oregon. It includes construction of at least eight new structures over creeks, rivers and a railroad, as well as construction of several retaining walls, culverts and drainage improvements. While clearing and excavating the site, numerous and massive landslides throughout the seven-mile project site were discovered. Some of these ancient landslides are at critical locations of the project, including under bridge abutments. At December 31, 2006 we had forecast this project at a loss of approximately \$20.0 million, largely due to very preliminary designs and estimates of cost associated with these geotechnical issues. During the quarter ended March 31, 2007 the geotechnical design was completed along with our analysis of the impact of these landslides on the project. It has been determined that the potential cost would be significantly higher than our earlier estimates and that the project would take

approximately two years longer to complete than originally anticipated. After conferring with the Oregon Department of Transportation (“ODOT”) on the most cost effective way to deal with these differing site conditions, we requested that ODOT terminate the original contract. ODOT has indicated that it is willing to consider a negotiated contract termination and will discuss the proposal with us in the coming weeks to determine if termination would be in the best interests of the Project and the Oregon taxpayers. Because of the uncertainty surrounding the ultimate resolution of these issues and the ongoing termination discussions, we have not recorded any adjustments to our project forecast during the quarter ended March 31, 2007. Should we reach agreement with ODOT to terminate the contract we will adjust the forecast to reflect the estimated cost associated with the settlement, which is currently not determinable. If ODOT elects not to terminate the contract we would reflect additional costs associated with the geotechnical and/or other changes when they are definitive and quantifiable. Such additional costs could be significant. We would pursue additional compensation related to these issues from ODOT, but would not recognize any revenue until a change order was signed in accordance with our policy.

We believe we are entitled to additional compensation related to some of our downward estimate changes and are actively pursuing these issues with the contract owners. However, the amount and timing of any future recovery is highly uncertain. While we recognize the impact of estimated costs immediately when known, under our accounting policies we do not recognize revenue from contract changes until we have a signed change order or executed claim settlement. We believe that our current estimates of gross profit are achievable. However, it is possible that the actual cost to complete will vary from our current estimate and any future estimate changes could be significant.

Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2007 our Branch Division recognized the effects of changes in the estimates of the profitability of certain projects. The net impact of these estimate changes was an increase in gross profit of approximately \$4.9 million due primarily to the settlement of outstanding issues with the contract owners and increased productivity on several projects. The net impact of such estimate changes in the Branch Division for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was an increase in gross profit of approximately \$7.8 million due primarily to the settlement of outstanding issues on two projects with no associated cost.

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

4. Inventories:

Inventories consist primarily of quarry products valued at the lower of average cost or market.

5. Property and Equipment:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Land | \$ 66,593 | \$ 56,797 | \$ 52,969 |
| Quarry property | 118,149 | 112,654 | 104,568 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 71,390 | 72,975 | 68,583 |
| Equipment and vehicles | 814,057 | 804,370 | 764,845 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 27,915 | 26,006 | 21,969 |
| Gross property and equipment | 1,098,104 | 1,072,802 | 1,012,934 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization | 653,534 | 642,836 | 619,622 |
| Net property and equipment | \$ 444,570 | \$ 429,966 | \$ 393,312 |

6. Intangible Assets:

The following table indicates the allocation of goodwill by reportable segment which is included in other assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | March 31, 2007 | December 31, 2006 | March 31, 2006 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Goodwill by segment: | | | |
| Heavy Construction Division | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 18,011 |
| Branch Division | 9,900 | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| Total goodwill | \$ 9,900 | \$ 9,900 | \$ 27,911 |

Also included in other assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets are other intangible assets with a net book value of \$2.2 million, \$2.4 million and \$2.8 million at March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006, respectively. Amortization expense related to intangible assets was approximately \$142,000 and \$100,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Amortization expense expected to be recorded in the future is as follows: \$416,000 for the balance of 2007, \$554,000 in 2008, \$269,000 in 2009, \$230,000 in 2010, \$170,000 in 2011 and \$577,000 thereafter.

7. Construction Joint Ventures:

We participate in various construction joint venture partnerships. Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to accomplish a specific project and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. The joint venture agreements typically provide that our interest in any profits and assets, and our respective share in any losses and liabilities that may result from the performance of the contract are limited to our stated percentage interest in the project. Although each venture's contract with the project owner typically requires joint and several liability among the joint venture partners, our agreements with our joint venture partners provide that each partner will assume and pay its full proportionate share of any losses resulting from a project.

We have determined that certain of these joint ventures are variable interest entities as defined by FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." Accordingly, we have consolidated those joint ventures where we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary. At March 31, 2007, the joint ventures we have consolidated were engaged in construction projects with total individual contract values ranging from \$123.8 million to \$463.9 million. Our proportionate share of the consolidated joint ventures ranges from 52.0% to 99.0%.

Consistent with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-01, "Investor Balance Sheet and Income Statement Display under the Equity Method for Investments in Certain Partnerships and Other Ventures," we account for our share of the operations of construction joint ventures in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary on a pro rata basis in the consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item in the consolidated balance sheets. At March 31, 2007, the joint ventures in which we hold a significant interest but are not the primary beneficiary were engaged in construction projects with total individual contract values ranging from \$36.9 million to \$347.9 million. Our proportionate share of these joint ventures ranges from 20.0% to 40.0%.

9

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

We also participate in various “line item” joint venture agreements under which each partner is responsible for performing certain discrete items of the total scope of contracted work. The revenue for these discrete items is defined in the contract with the project owner and each venture partner bears the profitability risk associated with its own work. All partners in a line item joint venture are jointly and severally liable for completion of the total project under the terms of the contract with the project owner. There is not a single set of books and records for a line item joint venture. Each partner accounts for its items of work individually as it would for any self-performed contract. We account for our portion of these contracts as a project in our accounting system and include receivables and payables associated with our work on our consolidated balance sheet.

Although our agreements with our joint venture partners for both construction joint ventures and line item joint ventures provide that each party will assume and pay its share of any losses resulting from a project, if one of our partners is unable to pay its share, we would be fully liable under our contract with the project owner. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under our joint venture arrangements beyond our proportionate share include a partner’s inability to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event the project incurs a loss, or additional costs that we could incur should a partner fail to provide the services and resources toward project completion that had been committed to in the joint venture agreement. At March 31, 2007, approximately \$455.3 million of work representing our partners’ share of unconsolidated and line item joint venture contracts in progress had yet to be completed.

8. Real Estate Partnerships:

We participate in real estate partnerships through our Granite Land Company. Generally, each partnership is formed to accomplish a specific real estate development project. We have determined that certain of these partnerships are variable interest entities as defined by FASB Interpretation No. 46 (revised December 2003), “Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities.” Accordingly, we have consolidated those partnerships for which we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary. At March 31, 2007, the partnerships we have consolidated were engaged in development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$0.2 million to \$24.8 million. At March 31, 2007, approximately \$58.2 million was classified as real estate held for sale on our condensed consolidated balance sheet and of that balance approximately \$55.6 million was pledged as collateral for the obligations of consolidated real estate partnerships. Our proportionate share of the results of these partnerships varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the partnerships.

We account for our share of the operations of real estate partnerships in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary in “investments in affiliates” in our consolidated balance sheets and in “other income (expense)” in our consolidated statements of operations. At March 31, 2007, the partnerships in which we hold a significant interest but are not the primary beneficiary were engaged in development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$3.5 million to \$56.9 million. Total liabilities of real estate partnerships in which we are not the primary beneficiary were approximately \$85.0 million at March 31, 2007. Our proportionate share of the results of these partnerships varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the partnerships.

9. Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net loss per share in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 31, | |
| <i>(in thousands)</i> | 2007 | 2006 |

Edgar Filing: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| Basic and diluted weighted average shares outstanding | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding | 41,841 | 41,689 |
| Less: weighted average restricted stock outstanding | 849 | 950 |
| Total weighted average shares outstanding | 40,992 | 40,739 |

Since we incurred a loss in each of the quarters ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the effect of dilutive securities totaling 497,000 and 550,000 equivalent shares, respectively, have been excluded from the calculation of diluted net loss per share because their impact would be anti-dilutive. Dilutive securities consist of restricted stock, common stock options and common stock units.

10

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

10. Comprehensive Loss:

The components of comprehensive loss, net of tax, are as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Net loss | \$ (2,249) | \$ (1,422) |
| Other comprehensive income: | | |
| Changes in net unrealized gains on investments | 350 | 604 |
| Total comprehensive loss | \$ (1,899) | \$ (818) |

11. Income Taxes:

Uncertain tax positions: We adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an Interpretation of FASB Statement 109" ("FIN 48"), on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we recognized an increase in the liability for uncertain tax positions of approximately \$4.8 million, of which approximately \$0.6 million is accounted for as a decrease in the beginning balance of retained earnings. Including the cumulative increase at the beginning of 2007, we had approximately \$5.2 million of total gross unrecognized tax benefits at March 31, 2007. There were no unrecognized tax benefits that would affect the effective tax rate in any future period at either January 1, 2007 or March 31, 2007.

We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal and various state and local jurisdictions. We are not currently under examination by federal, state or local taxing authorities for any open tax years. The tax years 2002 through 2006 remain open to examination by the major taxing authorities to which we are subject. We record interest related to uncertain tax positions as interest and any penalties are recorded as other expense in our statement of operations. As of January 1, 2007 we estimated interest of approximately \$3.0 million which was included in our liability for uncertain tax positions.

Provision for income taxes: Our effective tax rate decreased to 31.0% for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 from 68.5% for the corresponding period in 2006. The higher effective tax rate in the three months of 2006 was due to the recognition of a change in our assessment of certain tax exposures of approximately \$0.4 million.

12. Legal Proceedings:***Silica Litigation***

Our wholly owned subsidiary Granite Construction Company ("GCCO") is one of approximately 100 to 300 defendants in ten active California Superior Court lawsuits. Of the ten lawsuits, five were filed against GCCO in 2005 and five were filed against GCCO in 2006, in Alameda County (*Riley vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Molina vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Dominguez vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Cleveland vs. A. Teichert & Son.*; *Guido vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Williams vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Horne vs. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Harris vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Kammer vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; and *Solis vs. The 3M Company et al.*). Each lawsuit was brought by a single plaintiff who is seeking money damages by way of various causes of action, including strict product and market share liability, and alleges personal injuries caused by exposure to silica products and related materials during the plaintiffs' use or association with sand blasting or grinding concrete. The plaintiff in each lawsuit has categorized the defendants as equipment defendants, respirator defendants, premises defendants and sand defendants. We have been identified as a sand defendant, meaning a party that manufactured, supplied or distributed silica-containing products. Our

preliminary investigation revealed that we have not knowingly sold or distributed abrasive silica sand for sandblasting, and therefore, we believe the probability of these lawsuits resulting in an incurrence of a material liability is remote. We have been dismissed from fourteen other similar lawsuits. In addition, we have been apprised of three complaints that are based on similar allegations of exposure to silica containing products being filed, but not served, against GCCO and more than 100 other defendants in California Superior Court.

11

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit (“HLRT”) project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors (“MnTC”), a joint venture that consisted of GCCO, and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (“MnDOT”) is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (“DBE”) program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice (“USDOJ”), is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC’s DBE Program for the HLRT project. The MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the “Agencies”) have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC’s DBE program, and as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. There has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation. The MnTC is fully cooperating with all of the agencies involved and will be provided an opportunity to informally present its response to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ.

Other Legal Proceedings

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business which, from time to time, include inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of such proceedings and compliance inquiries which are currently pending, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations, cash flows and/or financial position for the period in which the ruling occurs. While any one of our pending legal proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will resolve through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

13. Business Segments:

We have two reportable segments: the Branch Division and HCD. The Branch Division is comprised of branch offices, including our majority owned subsidiary, Wilder Construction Company, that serve local markets, while HCD pursues major infrastructure projects throughout the nation. HCD focuses on building larger heavy civil projects with contract durations that are frequently greater than two years, while the Branch Division projects are typically smaller in size and shorter in duration. HCD has been the primary participant in our construction joint ventures. Substantially all of our revenue from the sales of materials is from the Branch Division. On February 14, 2007 we announced an organizational realignment of our business operations which will involve reorganizing our operating divisions geographically into “Granite West” and “Granite East” and is expected to take approximately twelve months to complete. Upon completion of this reorganization, the composition of our reportable segments will likely change.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies contained in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We evaluate performance based on operating profit or loss (excluding gain on sales of property and equipment), and do not include income taxes, interest income, interest expense or other income (expense). Unallocated other corporate expenses principally comprise corporate general and

administrative expenses.

During the three months ended March 31 2007, we also recorded revenue and operating income of \$4.9 million and \$2.9 million, respectively, primarily related to sales of certain real estate development assets by our Granite Land Company subsidiary that are not included in either the Branch Division or HCD. There were no comparable sales during the three months ended March 31, 2006. Of the \$2.9 million of operating income in Granite Land Company for the three months ended March 31, 2007 our partners' share was approximately \$1.9 million.

12

Table of Contents

Granite Construction Incorporated
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Summarized segment information is as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | HCD | Branch | Total |
| 2007 | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 207,992 | \$ 274,751 | \$ 482,743 |
| Inter-segment revenue transfer | (6,691) | 6,691 | - |
| Net revenue | 201,301 | 281,442 | 482,743 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 3,189 | 13,925 | 17,114 |
| Operating (loss) income | (15,679) | 19,396 | 3,717 |
| Property and equipment | 41,236 | 378,958 | 420,194 |
| 2006 | | | |
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 251,354 | \$ 244,584 | \$ 495,938 |
| Inter-segment revenue transfer | (6,514) | 6,514 | - |
| Net revenue | 244,840 | 251,098 | 495,938 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 3,577 | 11,991 | 15,568 |
| Operating (loss) income | (12,094) | 18,832 | 6,738 |
| Property and equipment | 47,830 | 321,425 | 369,255 |

A reconciliation of segment operating losses to consolidated totals is as follows:

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Total operating income for reportable segments | \$ 3,717 | \$ 6,738 |
| Other income, net | 5,875 | 2,655 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 713 | 4,238 |
| Unallocated other corporate expense | (12,919) | (13,581) |
| Granite Land Company operating income (loss) excluding gain on sales of property and equipment | 2,901 | (1,178) |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes and minority interest | \$ 287 | \$ (1,128) |

14. Subsequent Event:

In April 2007, the Company acquired certain assets of the Superior Group of Companies, a Pacific Northwest-based construction materials producer and asphalt paving company for approximately \$56.8 million in cash with an additional \$3.0 million payable upon the resolution of certain contingencies. The purchased assets include 16 asphalt plants, more than 50 million tons of permitted reserves (owned and leased), construction equipment and rolling stock and all associated shops and buildings. The acquisition will be accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, "Business Combinations."

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Disclosure

From time to time, Granite makes certain comments and disclosures in reports and statements, including in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or statements made by its officers or directors that are not based on historical facts and which may be forward-looking in nature. Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, a "safe harbor" may be provided to us for certain of these forward-looking statements. We wish to caution readers that forward-looking statements are subject to risks regarding future events and the future results of Granite that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections as well as the beliefs and assumptions of Granite's management. Words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "appears," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates" or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, other written or oral statements which constitute forward-looking statements have been made and may in the future be made by or on behalf of Granite. These forward-looking statements are estimates reflecting the best judgment of senior management that rely on a number of assumptions concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those more specifically described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Item 1A. Risk Factors." Granite undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason. As a result, the reader is cautioned not to rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are one of the largest heavy civil contractors in the United States and are engaged in the construction of highways, dams, airport infrastructure, mass transit facilities and other infrastructure-related projects. We have offices in Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Minnesota, Nevada, New York, Texas, Utah and Washington. Our business involves two operating segments: the Branch Division and the Heavy Construction Division ("HCD").

On February 14, 2007 we announced an organizational realignment of our business operations which is designed to accommodate growth of our vertically integrated Branch business in the West and improve profitability of our large, complex projects. This realignment will involve realigning our operating divisions geographically into "Granite West" and "Granite East" and is expected to take approximately to the end of 2007 to complete.

Granite West will include the operations of our current Branch Division as well as the western portion of our large project business that is currently included in HCD. Granite West will retain our successful decentralized operating structure, with each of its branch locations aligning under one of three operating groups: Northwest, Northern California and Southwest. Each of these newly formed operating groups will report to a Granite West Group Vice President who will oversee three to six branches and will have the responsibility to stimulate strategic thinking and growth in their geographic territory. Among other key benefits, this structure will allow Granite West to utilize its resources and market knowledge to take advantage of the larger projects we expect to be let as a result of healthy funding in the West as well as the recently passed infrastructure funding propositions in California.

Granite East will include the eastern portion of our large project business that is currently included in HCD and will be aligned to focus on enhancing project management oversight and discipline from estimating through execution. Granite East will leverage its resources and core capabilities in areas where it has local knowledge, local relationships and local resources. It will be operated out of three regional offices: the Central Region, based in Dallas, Texas; the Southeast Region, based in Tampa, Florida; and the Northeast Region, based in Tarrytown, New York.

In addition to our two current operating segments, we purchase, develop and sell real estate through our Granite Land Company subsidiary ("GLC") which also provides real estate services for other Granite operations. GLC's portfolio of

projects includes both commercial and residential development and is geographically diversified throughout the West and Texas. The amount invested by GLC in each project is typically less than \$5.0 million and the revenues and operating income of Granite Land Company are not included in either the Branch Division or HCD operating segments.

Our construction contracts are obtained primarily through competitive bidding in response to advertisements by federal, state and local agencies and private parties and to a lesser extent through negotiation with private parties. Our bidding activity is affected by such factors as backlog, current utilization of equipment and other resources, our ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. Bidding activity, backlog and revenue resulting from the award of new contracts may vary significantly from period to period.

The two primary economic drivers of our business are (1) federal, state and local public funding levels and (2) the overall health of the economy, both nationally and locally. The level of demand for our services will generally have a direct correlation to these drivers. For example, a weak economy will generally result in a reduced demand for construction in the private sector. This reduced demand increases competition for fewer private sector projects and will ultimately also increase competition in the public sector as companies migrate from bidding on scarce private sector work to projects in the public sector. Greater competition can reduce revenue growth and/or increase pressure on gross profit margins. A weak economy also tends to produce less tax revenue, thereby decreasing the funds available for spending on public infrastructure improvements. There are funding sources that have been specifically earmarked for infrastructure spending, such as gasoline taxes, which are not necessarily directly impacted by a weak economy. However, even these funds can be temporarily at risk as state and local governments struggle to balance their budgets. Conversely, higher public funding and/or a robust economy will generally increase demand for our services and provide opportunities for revenue growth and margin improvement.

Table of Contents

Our general and administrative costs include salaries and related expenses, incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing and other variable compensation, as well as other overhead costs to support our overall business. In general, these costs will increase in response to the growth and the related increased complexity of our business. These costs may also vary depending on the number of projects in process in a particular area and the corresponding level of estimating activity. For example, as large projects are completed or if the level of work slows down in a particular area, we will often re-assign project employees to estimating and bidding activities until another project gets underway, temporarily moving their salaries and related costs from cost of revenue to general and administrative expense. Additionally, our compensation strategy for selected management personnel is to rely heavily on a variable cash and restricted stock performance-based incentive element. The cash portion of these incentives is expensed when earned while the restricted stock portion is expensed over the vesting period of the stock (generally five years). Depending on the mix of cash and restricted stock, these incentives can have the effect of increasing general and administrative expenses in very profitable years and decreasing expenses in less profitable years.

Results of Operations

| Comparative Financial Summary <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Revenue | \$ 487,660 | \$ 495,968 |
| Gross profit | 48,036 | 40,235 |
| General and administrative expenses | 54,337 | 48,256 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 713 | 4,238 |
| Operating loss | (5,588) | (3,783) |
| Net loss | (2,249) | (1,422) |

Our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 reflect operating income from our Branch Division that was comparable to the corresponding period in 2006. The Branch Division results were offset by a larger operating loss in our Heavy Construction Division during the first three months of 2007 due primarily to the impact of increased project cost estimates on four projects. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2007 include a positive contribution from our Granite Land Company subsidiary. Additionally, the results for the first three months of 2007 reflect decreased gains on sales of property and equipment.

| Total Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Revenue by Division: | | | | |
| Branch Division | \$ 281,442 | 57.7 | \$ 251,098 | 50.6 |
| Heavy Construction Division | 201,301 | 41.3 | 244,840 | 49.4 |
| Other | 4,917 | 1.0 | 30 | - |
| Total | \$ 487,660 | 100.0 | \$ 495,968 | 100.0 |

| Branch Division Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| California: | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 93,661 | 51.9 | \$ 72,273 | 45.2 |
| Private sector | 41,757 | 23.1 | 47,079 | 29.4 |
| Material sales | 45,141 | 25.0 | 40,604 | 25.4 |
| Total | \$ 180,559 | 100.0 | \$ 159,956 | 100.0 |

Edgar Filing: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC - Form 10-Q

West (excluding California):

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------|-------|------------|-------|
| Public sector | \$ 47,449 | 47.0 | \$ 40,924 | 44.9 |
| Private sector | 32,464 | 32.2 | 29,292 | 32.1 |
| Material sales | 20,970 | 20.8 | 20,926 | 23.0 |
| Total | \$ 100,883 | 100.0 | \$ 91,142 | 100.0 |
| Total Branch Division Revenue: | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 141,110 | 50.1 | \$ 113,197 | 45.1 |
| Private sector | 74,221 | 26.4 | 76,371 | 30.4 |
| Material sales | 66,111 | 23.5 | 61,530 | 24.5 |
| Total | \$ 281,442 | 100.0 | \$ 251,098 | 100.0 |

15

Table of Contents

Branch Division Revenue: Revenue from our Branch Division increased \$30.3 million, or 12.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2006. The increase reflected higher revenue at many of the division's California locations, due in part to higher backlog in the public sector at the beginning of 2007 from higher state funding and mild weather in the quarter. The healthy public sector construction market in California also contributed to higher revenue from the sale of construction materials which was reflected in both increased volumes and increased average selling prices in the 2007 period compared with the 2006 period. These increases were partially offset by a slowing in the residential development opportunities in California.

| HCD Revenue <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | 2007 | | 2006 | |
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Revenue by Geographic Area: | | | | |
| Midwest | \$ 18,289 | 9.1 | \$ 12,910 | 5.3 |
| Northeast | 46,556 | 23.1 | 69,442 | 28.4 |
| South | 36,469 | 18.1 | 49,087 | 20.0 |
| Southeast | 70,965 | 35.3 | 47,730 | 19.5 |
| West | 29,022 | 14.4 | 65,671 | 26.8 |
| Total | \$ 201,301 | 100.0 | \$ 244,840 | 100.0 |
| Revenue by Market Sector: | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 197,719 | 98.2 | \$ 239,764 | 97.9 |
| Private sector | 3,582 | 1.8 | 4,976 | 2.0 |
| Material sales | - | - | 100 | 0.1 |
| Total | \$ 201,301 | 100.0 | \$ 244,840 | 100.0 |
| Revenue by Contract Type: | | | | |
| Fixed unit price | \$ 33,367 | 16.6 | \$ 68,430 | 27.9 |
| Fixed price, including design/build | 167,934 | 83.4 | 176,291 | 72.0 |
| Other | - | - | 119 | 0.1 |
| Total | \$ 201,301 | 100.0 | \$ 244,840 | 100.0 |

HCD Revenue: Revenue from our Heavy Construction Division decreased \$43.5 million, or 17.8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2007 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2006. The decrease was mainly due to a reduction in volume from lower backlog at the beginning of 2007 compared with the beginning of 2006. Geographically, the largest decreases were experienced in the West, where a large design/build project in California is nearing completion and in the Northeast due primarily to certain large design/build projects in New York nearing completion. Increases in the Southeast resulted from revenue contributions from a large design/build project in Mississippi that was awarded in February 2006. Revenue from fixed price contracts increased from 72.0% of HCD revenue in the three months ended March 31, 2006 to 83.4% of HCD revenue in the three months ended March 31, 2007 due primarily to the growth in design/build projects in our backlog.

| Total Backlog <i>(in thousands)</i> | March 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | March 31, 2006 | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Backlog by Division: | | | | | | |
| Branch Division | \$ 760,240 | 30.4 | \$ 807,648 | 35.8 | \$ 877,455 | 34.5 |
| Heavy Construction Division | 1,738,230 | 69.6 | 1,448,939 | 64.2 | 1,669,280 | 65.5 |
| Total | \$ 2,498,470 | 100.0 | \$ 2,256,587 | 100.0 | \$ 2,546,735 | 100.0 |

Table of Contents

| Branch Division Backlog (in thousands) | March 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | March 31, 2006 | |
|---|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| California: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 377,289 | 81.9 | \$ 423,542 | 84.2 | \$ 304,714 | 61.3 |
| Private sector | 83,642 | 18.1 | 79,718 | 15.8 | 192,704 | 38.7 |
| Total | \$ 460,931 | 100.0 | \$ 503,260 | 100.0 | \$ 497,418 | 100.0 |
| West (excluding California): | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 227,919 | 76.1 | \$ 230,230 | 75.6 | \$ 298,820 | 78.6 |
| Private sector | 71,390 | 23.9 | 74,158 | 24.4 | 81,217 | 21.4 |
| Total | \$ 299,309 | 100.0 | \$ 304,388 | 100.0 | \$ 380,037 | 100.0 |
| Total Branch Division Backlog: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 605,208 | 79.6 | \$ 653,772 | 80.9 | \$ 603,534 | 68.8 |
| Private sector | 155,032 | 20.4 | 153,876 | 19.1 | 273,921 | 31.2 |
| Total | \$ 760,240 | 100.0 | \$ 807,648 | 100.0 | \$ 877,455 | 100.0 |

Branch Division Backlog: Branch Division backlog of \$760.2 million at March 31, 2007 was \$47.4 million, or 5.9%, lower than at December 31, 2006 and \$117.2 million, or 13.4% lower than at March 31, 2006. The decrease is primarily due to a slowing in the residential development opportunities in California and an increase in the number of bidders on public work as competitors in private residential work transition to bidding on public work (see “Outlook”). Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2006 the Branch Division was awarded four large projects with combined revenue of approximately \$140.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2007 there were no such large individual awards.

| HCD Backlog (in thousands) | March 31, 2007 | | December 31, 2006 | | March 31, 2006 | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Backlog by Geographic Area: | | | | | | |
| Midwest | \$ 425,836 | 24.5 | \$ 443,909 | 30.6 | \$ 65,111 | 3.9 |
| Northeast | 683,323 | 39.3 | 248,605 | 17.2 | 428,112 | 25.6 |
| South | 181,136 | 10.4 | 214,809 | 14.8 | 300,303 | 18.0 |
| Southeast | 204,324 | 11.8 | 272,881 | 18.8 | 452,019 | 27.1 |
| West | 243,611 | 14.0 | 268,735 | 18.6 | 423,735 | 25.4 |
| Total | \$ 1,738,230 | 100.0 | \$ 1,448,939 | 100.0 | \$ 1,669,280 | 100.0 |
| Backlog by Market Sector: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 1,706,514 | 98.2 | \$ 1,413,778 | 97.6 | \$ 1,615,908 | 96.8 |
| Private sector | 31,716 | 1.8 | 35,161 | 2.4 | 53,372 | 3.2 |
| Total | \$ 1,738,230 | 100.0 | \$ 1,448,939 | 100.0 | \$ 1,669,280 | 100.0 |
| Backlog by Contract Type: | | | | | | |
| Fixed unit price | \$ 144,031 | 8.3 | \$ 171,239 | 11.8 | \$ 329,772 | 19.8 |
| Fixed price including design/build | 1,594,199 | 91.7 | 1,277,700 | 88.2 | 1,339,508 | 80.2 |
| Total | \$ 1,738,230 | 100.0 | \$ 1,448,939 | 100.0 | \$ 1,669,280 | 100.0 |

HCD Backlog: Heavy Construction Division backlog of \$1.7 billion at March 31, 2007 was \$289.3 million, or 20.0%, higher than at December 31, 2006, and \$69.0 million, or 4.1%, higher than at March 31, 2006. Additions to HCD

backlog in the first three months of 2007 included a \$463.9 million joint venture highway construction project in Maryland. Additionally, HCD backlog includes approximately \$31.0 million related to our 20% share of a joint venture project to construct a transportation hub at the World Trade Center in New York. We currently expect that the total revenue on this contract could exceed \$1.5 billion of which our share could exceed \$300.0 million. Included in HCD Backlog at March 31, 2007 is approximately \$19.1 million from a federal government project for which the funding has not yet been fully allocated.

Approximately 18.4%, 26.2% and 15.0% of the HCD backlog at March 31, 2007, December 31, 2006 and March 31, 2006, respectively, related to projects that have forecasted a loss. The forecasted losses were recognized in the period in which they were identified. Assuming no further changes in the forecasted results for these projects, this backlog will earn no profit or loss in future periods.

17

Table of Contents

| Gross Profit <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2007 | 31, 2006 |
| Branch Division | \$ 50,751 | \$ 43,609 |
| <i>Percent of division revenue</i> | 18.0% | 17.4% |
| Heavy Construction Division | \$ (6,430) | \$ (2,958) |
| <i>Percent of division revenue</i> | (3.2)% | (1.2)% |
| Other | \$ 3,715 | \$ (416) |
| Total gross profit | \$ 48,036 | \$ 40,235 |
| <i>Percent of total revenue</i> | 9.9% | 8.1% |

Gross Profit: We recognize revenue only equal to cost, deferring profit recognition, until a project reaches 25% completion. In certain cases, such as large, complex design/build projects, we may continue to defer profit recognition beyond the point of 25% completion until such time as we believe we have enough information to make a reasonably dependable estimate of contract revenue and cost. Because we have a large number of projects at various stages of completion in our Branch Division, this policy generally has very little impact on the Branch Division's gross profit on a quarterly or annual basis. However, HCD has fewer projects in process at any given time and those projects tend to be much larger than Branch Division projects. As a result, HCD gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary significantly in periods where one or several very large projects reach our completion threshold and the deferred profit is recognized or conversely, in periods where backlog is growing rapidly and a higher percentage of projects are in their early stages with no associated gross margin recognition. Revenue from projects that had not reached our completion threshold, and for which no margin had been recognized, was as follows:

| Revenue from Contracts with Deferred Profit <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Branch Division | \$ 20,713 | \$ 28,648 |
| Heavy Construction Division | 26,462 | 34,792 |
| Total revenue from contracts with deferred profit | \$ 47,175 | \$ 63,440 |

Additionally, we do not recognize revenue from contract claims until we have a signed agreement and payment is assured and we do not recognize revenue from contract change orders until the contract owner has agreed to the change order in writing. However, we do recognize the costs related to any contract claims or pending change orders in our forecasts when we are contractually obligated to incur them. As a result, our gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary during periods where a large volume of change orders or contract claims are pending resolution (reducing gross profit percent) or, conversely, during periods where large change orders or contract claims are agreed or settled (increasing gross profit percent). Although this variability can occur in both our Branch Division and HCD, it can be much more pronounced in HCD because of the larger size of its projects.

Branch Division gross profit as a percent of revenue increased to 18.0% in the first three months of 2007 compared with 17.4% in the first three months of 2006 due to higher gross profit margins realized on the sale of construction materials driven by higher average selling prices. Branch Division construction gross profit as a percent of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2007 increased slightly to 18.0% from 17.9% from the same period in 2006.

Branch Division gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2007 includes the effects of changes in the estimates of the profitability of certain projects. The net impact of these estimate changes for 2007 was an increase in gross profit of approximately \$4.9 million due primarily to the settlement of outstanding issues and increased productivity on several projects. The net impact of such estimate changes for the three months ended March 31, 2006

was an increase in gross profit of approximately \$7.8 million due primarily to the settlement of outstanding issues on two projects with no associated cost.

HCD gross profit as a percent of revenue in the first three months of 2007 decreased compared to the first three months of 2006. The reduction in gross margin was primarily due to additional estimated project costs that had the effect of reducing gross profit by approximately \$15.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2007. This compares with reduced gross margin from reductions in estimated project profitability of approximately \$13.5 million in the same period of 2006 (See Note 3 of the "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements").

Other gross profit for the three months ended March 31, 2007 was primarily related to sales of certain real estate development projects by consolidated subsidiaries. Our minority partners' share of the gross profit was approximately \$2.4 million.

Cost of revenue consists of direct costs on contracts, including labor and materials, subcontractor costs, direct overhead costs and equipment expense (primarily depreciation, maintenance and repairs and fuel).

Table of Contents

| General and Administrative Expenses <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Salaries and related expenses | \$ 34,158 | \$ 29,893 |
| Incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing and other variable compensation | 4,046 | 2,947 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 16,133 | 15,416 |
| Total | \$ 54,337 | \$ 48,256 |
| Percent of revenue | 11.1% | 9.7% |

General and Administrative Expenses: Salaries and related expenses in the three months ended March 31, 2007 increased \$4.3 million, or 14.3%, over the comparable period in 2006 due primarily to increased personnel to support our growth strategy - particularly in our Branch Division, as well as higher payroll related benefits, normal salary increases and other compensation related expenses. Other general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 reflects an increase related to higher reserves for doubtful accounts of approximately \$1.5 million compared with the 2006 quarter. Other general and administrative expenses include information technology, occupancy, office equipment and supplies, depreciation, travel and entertainment, outside services, marketing, training and other miscellaneous expenses, none of which individually exceeded 10% of total general and administrative expenses.

| Gain on Sales of Property and Equipment <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | \$ 713 | \$ 4,238 |

Gain on Sales of Property and Equipment: Gain on sales of property and equipment in the three months ended March 31, 2007 is comprised of gains from sales of construction equipment. Gain on sales of property and equipment in the three months ended March 31, 2006 included \$2.3 million in a gain from the sale of a rental property and \$1.3 million of gains from the sale of construction equipment.

| Other Income (Expense) <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Interest income | \$ 6,843 | \$ 4,733 |
| Interest expense | (1,086) | (1,395) |
| Equity in loss of affiliates | 351 | (77) |
| Other, net | (233) | (606) |
| Total | \$ 5,875 | \$ 2,655 |

Other Income (Expense): Interest income increased in the first three months of 2007 compared with the first three months of 2006 due primarily to higher yields on higher average invested balances in the first three months of 2007.

| Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 89 | \$ (773) |
| Effective tax rate | 31.0% | 68.5% |

Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes: The higher effective tax rate in the first three months of 2006 was due to the discrete period recognition of a change in our assessment of certain tax exposures of approximately \$0.4 million.

| Minority Interest in Consolidated Subsidiaries <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|--|---------------------------|-------------|
| | March 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries | \$ (2,447) | \$ (1,067) |

Minority Interest in Consolidated Subsidiaries: Our minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries represents the minority owners' share of the income or loss of our consolidated subsidiaries, primarily Wilder Construction Company, certain real estate development entities and various consolidated construction joint ventures. The increase in minority interest in the 2007 quarter compared with the 2006 quarter is primarily related to the minority share of our Granite Land Company's consolidated entities of approximately \$2.0 million and higher profitability on certain other entities. This increase was partially offset by our minority partners' share of losses on certain construction joint ventures.

Table of Contents

Three of our joint venture projects are currently forecast at a loss and will require additional capital contributions from our minority partners if the forecasts do not improve. Our joint venture agreements require that such capital contributions be made if needed. Based on our most recent assessment of our partners' financial condition, we currently believe that one of our partners does not have the ability to contribute all of the additional capital that will be needed if the project forecast does not improve. Included in minority interest in our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2007 is expense related to the potentially uncollectible partner balance of approximately \$2.6 million. The remaining minority interest balance related to these loss projects of \$8.5 million at March 31, 2007 has been included in other long-term assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

At December 31, 2006, a fourth joint venture project was forecasted at a loss and would have required additional contributions from our minority partner if the forecast did not improve. Based on our assessment at December 31, 2006, we did not believe that our partner would have the ability to contribute the required capital and therefore we recorded expense of approximately \$4.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2006 related to the potentially uncollectible partner balance. In March 2007, the joint venture agreement related to this project was amended to effectively relieve our partner of its obligation to fund the joint venture for its capital deficit to ensure that our partner would be able to contribute to the successful completion of this joint venture project and other considerations. This amendment did not have a material impact on the operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2007, as the potentially uncollectible minority interest was expensed during the year ended December 31, 2006.

Outlook

Our branches started the year with excellent results and our outlook for the remainder of the year is positive. While it is still too early in our construction season to predict how the Branch Division will fare compared to last year's record financials results, we are optimistic that 2007 will be another excellent year for our business in the West.

As was expected, some of our markets are beginning to see an increase in competition for public sector work as a result of the slowdown in the residential market. In this type of market, our strategy is to be patient and cautious in our bidding strategy so that we do not utilize our capacity on lower margin work because we believe better opportunities are going to be available later in the year. Although we are seeing less demand for our construction services from the private/residential sector, the public funding pipeline in most of our markets is very healthy. We are excited about the opportunities ahead, particularly in California as a result of the transportation-related Propositions passed last year. While some of those projects may impact our backlog in 2007, they are not expected to significantly affect the division's bottom line results until 2008.

In our large projects business, we continue to have underperforming projects to complete before we are able to fully realize the benefits of both our new organizational realignment as well as the newer, more profitable work in our backlog. We anticipate that most of the underperforming projects that have negatively impacted us will be complete this year. In many cases, those projects are being replaced with projects that were bid more conservatively, with higher gross margins. There is the potential that operating income in HCD could be breakeven in 2007 and our expectation is that our large project business will post increasingly positive returns in 2008 and beyond.

With regard to raw materials costs, we are subject to energy and petroleum related price volatility as it relates to our use of diesel fuel for our rolling stock equipment, natural gas, propane and diesel fuel to heat our hot plants, as well as liquid asphalt for production of asphaltic concrete. We manage our exposure to these price changes by monitoring the escalation of these commodities and pricing them into our projects and contracts accordingly. Some of our contracts include clauses for liquid asphalt and fuel escalation and de-escalation that provide protection in the event that oil product prices change significantly. Although we are exposed to price spikes in projects that do not include such clauses, this potential impact can be reversed when prices come down.

A continuing challenge for not only Granite but our industry is the shortage of labor, particularly the shortage of craft workers. To ensure Granite remains the employer of choice in the industry, we have developed a team of people who are devoted to the task of craft recruiting and development. In addition, we are also working to develop closer relationships at the local level with industry associations and craft work force leaders in an effort to continue to build the highest quality and safest workforce available.

As a follow up to our announcement in February, the implementation of our strategic and organizational realignment is well underway. We are making considerable progress in our development of the new operating structure, Granite West and Granite East, and are confident that most of the transition will be complete by year-end.

20

Table of Contents**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

| <i>(in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended March | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 31, | |
| | 2007 | 2006 |
| Cash and cash equivalents excluding consolidated joint ventures | \$ 102,714 | \$ 134,384 |
| Consolidated joint venture cash and cash equivalents | 104,933 | 91,111 |
| Total consolidated cash and cash equivalents | \$ 207,647 | \$ 225,495 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 3,888 | \$ 40,049 |
| Investing activities | 5,106 | (199) |
| Financing activities | (6,240) | (14,236) |
| Capital expenditures | 33,691 | 24,309 |
| Working capital | 300,286 | 348,828 |

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows from operations and borrowings under our credit facilities. We expect the principal use of funds for the foreseeable future will be for acquisitions, capital expenditures, working capital, debt service and other investments. We have budgeted approximately \$110.0 million for capital expenditures in 2007, which includes amounts for construction equipment, aggregate and asphalt plants, buildings, leasehold improvements and the purchase of land and aggregate reserves.

Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term and long-term marketable securities totaled \$350.8 million at March 31, 2007 and included \$104.9 million of cash from our consolidated joint ventures (see Note 7 of the "Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements"). This joint venture cash is for the working capital needs of each joint venture's project. The decision to distribute cash must generally be made jointly by all of the partners. We believe that our current cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash generated from operations and amounts available under our existing credit facilities will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital needs, capital expenditures, financial commitments and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through the next twelve months and beyond. If we experience a significant change in our business such as the execution of a significant acquisition, we would likely need to acquire additional sources of financing, which may be limited by the terms of our existing debt covenants, or may require the amendment of our existing debt agreements.

Cash provided by operating activities of \$3.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 represents a decrease of \$36.2 million from the amount provided by operating activities during same period in 2006. Contributing to this decrease was a decrease in net billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings during the 2007 period due primarily to progress on the projects that had received large mobilization payments in the prior year.

Cash provided by investing activities of \$5.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007 represents an increase of approximately \$5.3 million from the amount used in the same period of 2006 due primarily to an increase in net maturities of marketable securities partially offset by increased net additions to property and equipment in the 2007 period.

Cash used in financing activities was \$6.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2007, representing a decrease of \$8.0 million from the amount used in the same period of 2006. The decrease was due primarily to decreases in net repayments of long-term debt and increases in net contributions from minority partners in the 2007 period.

We had standby letters of credit totaling approximately \$4.7 million outstanding at March 31, 2007, which will expire between May 2007 and March 2008. We are generally required by the beneficiaries of these letters of credit to replace them upon expiration. Additionally, we typically are required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an

additional measure of security under certain public and private sector contracts. At March 31, 2007, approximately \$2.4 billion of our backlog was bonded and performance bonds totaling approximately \$8.9 billion were outstanding. Performance bonds do not have stated expiration dates; rather, we are generally released from the bonds when each contract is accepted by the owner. The ability to maintain bonding capacity to support our current and future level of contracting requires that we maintain cash and working capital balances satisfactory to our sureties.

We have a \$150.0 million bank revolving line of credit, which allows for unsecured borrowings through June 24, 2011, with interest rate options. Interest on outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit is at our choice of selected LIBOR rates plus a margin that is recalculated quarterly. The margin was 0.60% at March 31, 2007. The unused and available portion of this line of credit was \$145.6 million at March 31, 2007. In April 2007, the Company acquired certain assets of the Superior Group of Companies, a Pacific Northwest-based construction materials producer and asphalt paving company for approximately \$56.8 million in cash which was funded by a borrowing under this line of credit. Additionally, our Wilder subsidiary has a bank revolving line of credit of \$10.0 million that expires in June 2008. The unused and available portion of this line of credit was \$9.8 million at March 31, 2007.

Restrictive covenants under the terms of our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and the maintenance of tangible net worth (as defined). We were in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2007. Additionally, our Wilder subsidiary has certain restrictive covenants (on a Wilder stand-alone basis) under the terms of its debt agreements. Wilder was in compliance with these covenants at March 31, 2007. Failure to comply with these covenants could cause the amounts due under the debt agreements to become currently payable.

21

Table of Contents

Website Access

Our website address is www.graniteconstruction.com. On our website we make available, free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this report. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission, www.sec.gov.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There was no significant change in our exposure to market risk during the three months ended March 31, 2007.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the first quarter of 2007, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

23

Table of Contents**Item****1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS*****Silica Litigation***

Our wholly owned subsidiary Granite Construction Company (“GCCO”) is one of approximately 100 to 300 defendants in ten active California Superior Court lawsuits. Of the ten lawsuits, five were filed against GCCO in 2005 and five were filed against GCCO in 2006, in Alameda County (*Riley vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Molina vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Dominguez vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Cleveland vs. A. Teichert & Son.*; *Guido vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Williams vs. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Horne vs. Teichert & Son, Inc.*; *Harris vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; *Kammer vs. A-1 Aggregates, et al.*; and *Solis vs. The 3M Company et al.*). Each lawsuit was brought by a single plaintiff who is seeking money damages by way of various causes of action, including strict product and market share liability, and alleges personal injuries caused by exposure to silica products and related materials during the plaintiffs’ use or association with sand blasting or grinding concrete. The plaintiff in each lawsuit has categorized the defendants as equipment defendants, respirator defendants, premises defendants and sand defendants. We have been identified as a sand defendant, meaning a party that manufactured, supplied or distributed silica-containing products. Our preliminary investigation revealed that we have not knowingly sold or distributed abrasive silica sand for sandblasting, and therefore, we believe the probability of these lawsuits resulting in an incurrence of a material liability is remote. We have been dismissed from fourteen other similar lawsuits. In addition, we have been apprised of three complaints that are based on similar allegations of exposure to silica containing products being filed, but not served, against GCCO and more than 100 other defendants in California Superior Court.

Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit (“HLRT”) project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors (“MnTC”), a joint venture that consisted of GCCO, and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (“MnDOT”) is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (“DBE”) program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice (“USDOJ”), is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC’s DBE Program for the HLRT project. The MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the “Agencies”) have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC’s DBE program, and as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. There has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation. The MnTC is fully cooperating with all of the agencies involved and will be provided an opportunity to informally present its response to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ.

Other Legal Proceedings

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business which, from time to time, include inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of such proceedings and compliance inquiries which are currently pending, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations, cash flows and/or financial position for the period in which the ruling occurs. While any one of our pending legal

proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will resolve through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

Item

1A. RISK FACTORS

Information regarding risk factors appears in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006.

24

Table of Contents**Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**

During the three months ended March 31, 2007, we did not sell any of our equity securities that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The following table sets forth information regarding the repurchase of shares of our common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2007:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased¹ | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs² | Approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs² |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| January 1, 2007 through January 31, 2007 | 950 | \$ 50.32 | - | \$ 22,787,537 |
| February 1, 2007 through February 28, 2007 | - | - | - | \$ 22,787,537 |
| March 1, 2007 through March 31, 2007 | 71,102 | 58.33 | - | \$ 22,787,537 |
| | 72,052 | \$ 58.22 | - | |

¹The total number of shares purchased includes shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares granted under our 1999 Equity Incentive Plan.

²On October 16, 2002, we publicly announced that our Board of Directors had authorized us to repurchase up to \$25.0 million worth of shares of our common stock, exclusive of repurchases related to employee benefit plans, at management's discretion.

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

Item 6. EXHIBITS31.1 †Certification of Principal Executive Officer31.2 †Certification of Principal Financial Officer32 †Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

† Filed herewith

†Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**GRANITE CONSTRUCTION
INCORPORATED**

Date: April 27, 2007

By: /s/ William E. Barton
William E. Barton
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer