

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC
Form 10-Q
August 02, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

✓ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 1-12911

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED

State of Incorporation:
Delaware

I.R.S. Employer Identification Number:
77-0239383

Address of principal executive offices:
585 W. Beach Street
Watsonville, California 95076
(831) 724-1011

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ✓ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ✓ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

| | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Large accelerated filer | Accelerated filer | Non-accelerated filer | Smaller reporting company |
| ✓ | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). ☐

Yes ý No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of July 26, 2010.

| Class | Outstanding |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value | 38,774,960 shares |

Index

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Financial Statements</u> <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010,</u> <u>December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009</u> <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three</u> <u>and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six</u> <u>Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> <u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and</u> <u>Results of Operations</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Reserved</u> |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 10.1

EXHIBIT 10.2

EXHIBIT 10.3

EXHIBIT 31.1

EXHIBIT 31.2

EXHIBIT 32

EXHIBIT 101.INS

EXHIBIT 101.SCH

EXHIBIT 101.CAL

EXHIBIT 101.LAB

EXHIBIT 101.PRE

EXHIBIT 101.DEF

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited - in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current assets | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 208,450 | \$ 338,956 | \$ 356,168 |
| Short-term marketable securities | 73,566 | 42,448 | 24,878 |
| Receivables, net | 295,779 | 280,252 | 281,432 |
| Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | 56,665 | 10,619 | 50,891 |
| Inventories | 48,529 | 45,800 | 68,755 |
| Real estate held for development and sale | 148,897 | 139,449 | 131,169 |
| Deferred income taxes | 31,870 | 31,034 | 43,314 |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | 72,571 | 67,693 | 50,215 |
| Other current assets | 39,031 | 50,467 | 46,719 |
| Total current assets | 975,358 | 1,006,718 | 1,053,541 |
| Property and equipment, net | 501,258 | 520,778 | 529,805 |
| Long-term marketable securities | 68,291 | 76,937 | 53,328 |
| Investments in affiliates | 31,210 | 24,644 | 17,310 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 79,060 | 80,498 | 80,300 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,655,177 | \$ 1,709,575 | \$ 1,734,284 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | | |
| Current liabilities | | | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 8,538 | \$ 15,017 | \$ 15,348 |
| Current maturities of non-recourse debt | 39,657 | 43,961 | 49,500 |
| Accounts payable | 173,637 | 131,251 | 177,025 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 144,935 | 156,041 | 184,665 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 161,632 | 159,843 | 168,217 |
| Total current liabilities | 528,399 | 506,113 | 594,755 |
| Long-term debt | 216,870 | 225,203 | 225,220 |
| Long-term non-recourse debt | 16,615 | 19,485 | 8,455 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 49,197 | 48,998 | 46,686 |
| Deferred income taxes | 27,905 | 27,220 | 17,917 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| Equity | | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 3,000,000 shares, none outstanding | - | - | - |
| | 388 | 386 | 387 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 150,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 38,788,581 shares as of June 30, 2010, 38,635,021 shares as of December 31, 2009 and 38,673,034 shares as of June 30, 2009 | | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 98,142 | 94,633 | 89,142 |
| Retained earnings | 677,873 | 735,632 | 699,050 |
| Total Granite Construction Incorporated shareholders' equity | 776,403 | 830,651 | 788,579 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 39,788 | 51,905 | 52,672 |
| Total equity | 816,191 | 882,556 | 841,251 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 1,655,177 | \$ 1,709,575 | \$ 1,734,284 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited - in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | | | | |
| Construction | \$ 237,943 | \$ 277,456 | \$ 319,129 | \$ 445,505 |
| Large project construction | 153,328 | 125,770 | 259,653 | 274,830 |
| Construction materials | 61,089 | 57,315 | 87,253 | 87,161 |
| Real estate | 1,844 | 534 | 8,852 | 951 |
| Total revenue | 454,204 | 461,075 | 674,887 | 808,447 |
| Cost of revenue | | | | |
| Construction | 215,042 | 224,655 | 294,382 | 357,528 |
| Large project construction | 131,493 | 103,259 | 228,335 | 218,655 |
| Construction materials | 56,609 | 47,732 | 89,898 | 77,892 |
| Real estate | 1,362 | 1,534 | 6,860 | 1,741 |
| Total cost of revenue | 404,506 | 377,180 | 619,475 | 655,816 |
| Gross profit | 49,698 | 83,895 | 55,412 | 152,631 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 51,357 | 56,319 | 106,649 | 110,674 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 3,800 | 2,808 | 8,252 | 5,329 |
| Operating income (loss) | 2,141 | 30,384 | (42,985) | 47,286 |
| Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Interest income | 1,098 | 1,109 | 2,037 | 3,170 |
| Interest expense | (3,013) | (2,853) | (6,747) | (6,341) |
| Equity in (loss) income of affiliates | (387) | 783 | (706) | 339 |
| Other income, net | 1,934 | 1,431 | 4,831 | 5,216 |
| Total other (expense) income | (368) | 470 | (585) | 2,384 |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 1,773 | 30,854 | (43,570) | 49,670 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 4,406 | 8,187 | (3,207) | 13,016 |
| Net (loss) income | (2,633) | 22,667 | (40,363) | 36,654 |
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | (4,058) | (4,718) | (7,282) | (9,785) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Granite Construction Incorporated | \$ (6,691) | \$ 17,949 | \$ (47,645) | \$ 26,869 |
| Net (loss) income per share attributable to common shareholders (see Note 13) | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.18) | \$ 0.46 | \$ (1.26) | \$ 0.70 |
| Diluted | \$ (0.18) | \$ 0.46 | \$ (1.26) | \$ 0.70 |
| Weighted average shares of common stock | | | | |

Edgar Filing: GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Basic | 37,850 | 37,584 | 37,770 | 37,530 |
| Diluted | 37,850 | 37,699 | 37,770 | 37,650 |
| Dividends per common share | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.13 | \$ 0.26 | \$ 0.26 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited - in thousands)

| Six Months Ended June 30, | 2010 | 2009 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Operating activities | | |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (40,363) | \$ 36,654 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Impairment of real estate held for development and sale | 107 | 1,036 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 35,839 | 39,670 |
| Provision for (recovery of) doubtful accounts | 406 | (3,386) |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | (8,252) | (5,329) |
| Stock-based compensation | 6,885 | 4,561 |
| Gain on company owned life insurance | (1,748) | - |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effects of consolidations: | | |
| Receivables | (16,802) | 28,679 |
| Inventories | (2,729) | (13,532) |
| Real estate held for development and sale | (6,352) | (8,887) |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | (5,491) | (5,534) |
| Other assets, net | 13,376 | 8,517 |
| Accounts payable | 42,066 | 2,294 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities, net | 1,292 | (10,483) |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings, net | (57,152) | (80,295) |
| Net cash used in operating activities | (38,918) | (6,035) |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of marketable securities | (60,073) | (39,043) |
| Maturities of marketable securities | 34,900 | 27,610 |
| Proceeds from company owned life insurance | 2,078 | - |
| Additions to property and equipment | (21,809) | (55,659) |
| Proceeds from sales of property and equipment | 11,936 | 7,416 |
| Purchase of private preferred stock | (6,400) | - |
| Contributions to affiliates | (1,014) | (4,971) |
| Issuance of notes receivable | (1,242) | - |
| Collection of notes receivable | 1,720 | 374 |
| Other investing activities | (333) | 65 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (40,237) | (64,208) |
| Financing activities | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 95 | 4,911 |
| Long-term debt principal payments | (18,155) | (17,475) |
| Cash dividends paid | (10,067) | (10,003) |
| Purchase of common stock | (3,434) | (2,821) |
| | (19,797) | (9,080) |

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|----|-----------|----|-----------|
| Distributions to noncontrolling partners, net | | | | |
| Other financing activities | | 7 | | 36 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | | (51,351) | | (34,432) |
| Decrease in cash and cash equivalents | | (130,506) | | (104,675) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | | 338,956 | | 460,843 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 208,450 | \$ | 356,168 |

Supplementary Information

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|----|-------|----|--------|
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | 9,706 | \$ | 9,479 |
| Income taxes | | 308 | | 3,325 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | | | |
| Stock issued for services, net of forfeitures | \$ | 6,908 | \$ | 19,127 |
| Accrued cash dividends | | 5,043 | | 5,028 |
| Debt payments from sale of assets | | 4,400 | | - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by Granite Construction Incorporated (“we,” “us,” “our,” “Company” or “Granite”) without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) and should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted, although we believe the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Further, the condensed consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly our financial position at June 30, 2010 and 2009 and the results of our operations and cash flows for the periods presented. In preparing these financial statements, we have evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through the date the financial statements were issued. The December 31, 2009 condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited consolidated financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by U.S. GAAP.

We prepared the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements on the same basis as our annual consolidated financial statements, except for the change in our reportable business segments described in Note 17, “Business Segment Information” and the adoption of two new accounting standards. We adopted Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which clarified fair value disclosure requirements for assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis (see Note 5). Additionally, we adopted the new consolidation requirements applicable to our construction and real estate joint ventures that are considered variable interest entities (“VIEs”) as defined by ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. To ascertain if we are required to consolidate a VIE, we determine whether we are the VIE’s primary beneficiary. This new accounting standard changes the method used to determine the primary beneficiary of a VIE and requires the following:

- determination of the VIE’s primary beneficiary using a qualitative approach based on:
 - i) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE; and
 - ii) the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits of the VIE that could be significant.
 - ongoing evaluation of the VIE’s primary beneficiary; and
 - disclosures about a company’s involvement with the VIE including separate presentation on the condensed consolidated balance sheets of a consolidated VIE’s non-recourse debt.

Prior to the adoption of this accounting standard, determination of the VIE’s primary beneficiary was based on a quantitative and qualitative analysis and was reconsidered only upon the occurrence of specific triggering events. The adoption of this new accounting standard resulted in the consolidation of one construction joint venture (see Note 7) and did not have a material impact on our consolidation of real estate entities (see Note 8).

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

Reclassifications of certain costs between cost of revenue and selling, general and administrative expenses have been made to prior years condensed consolidated financial statements and footnote disclosures to conform to current year presentation. These reclassifications did not have a significant impact on our previously reported net operating results.

2. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncement

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2010-06. This ASU amends the accounting guidance in ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, regarding assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). This ASU requires separate disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements and will be effective for us in 2011. We do not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

3. Revisions in Estimates

Our profit recognition related to construction contracts is based on estimates of costs to complete each project. These estimates can vary in the normal course of business as projects progress and uncertainties are resolved. We do not recognize revenue on contract change orders or claims until we have a signed agreement; however, we do recognize costs as incurred and revisions to estimated total costs as soon as the obligation to perform is determined. Approved change orders and claims, as well as changes in related estimates of costs to complete, are considered revisions in estimates. We use the cumulative catch-up method applicable to construction contract accounting to account for revisions in estimates. Under this option, revisions in estimates are accounted for in their entirety in the period of change. As of June 30, 2010, we had no revisions in estimates that are reasonably certain to impact future periods.

Construction

There were no revisions in estimates, either increases or decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit during the three months ended June 30, 2010. The net change in project profitability from revisions in estimates, both increases and decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit was a net decrease to gross profit of \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and net increases in gross profit of \$5.9 million and \$18.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The projects are summarized as follows:

Increases

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Number of projects with upward estimate changes | - | 3 | - | 10 |
| Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net | \$ - | \$ 1.2 - 2.4 | \$ - | \$ 1.0 - 4.8 |
| Increase on project profitability | \$ - | \$ 5.9 | \$ - | \$ 19.8 |

The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 were due to the resolution of certain project uncertainties, higher productivity than originally anticipated and the settlement of outstanding issues with contract owners.

Decreases

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Number of projects with downward estimate changes | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1.6 | \$ 1.1 |
| Decrease on project profitability | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1.6 | \$ 1.1 |

The downward estimate change during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was due to rework costs to meet contract specifications.

There were no amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests included in these revisions in estimates during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 or 2009.

7

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Large Project Construction

The net changes in project profitability from revisions in estimates, both increases and decreases, that individually had an impact of \$1.0 million or more on gross profit were net increases of \$6.3 million and \$5.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$6.8 million and \$30.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. These revisions in estimates included amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests of \$0.5 million and \$1.9 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$1.0 million and \$2.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. The projects are summarized as follows:

Increases

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Number of projects with upward estimate changes | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| Range of increase in gross profit from each project, net | \$ 1.1 - 4.0 | \$ 1.7 - 3.0 | \$ 1.0 - 4.2 | \$ 1.1 - 17.3 |
| Increase on project profitability | \$ 6.3 | \$ 6.8 | \$ 10.6 | \$ 30.0 |

The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 were due to settlement of design issues with a subcontractor, resolution of project uncertainties and improved productivity. The increases during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 included resolution of project uncertainties, the settlement of outstanding revenue issues with various contract owners and improved productivity on certain projects. Gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included a negotiated claims settlement with the owner on a project in Pennsylvania for approximately \$17.3 million.

Decreases

| (dollars in millions) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Number of projects with downward estimate changes | - | - | 2 | - |
| Range of reduction in gross profit from each project, net | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1.8 - 2.9 | \$ - |
| Decrease on project profitability | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4.7 | \$ - |

The downward estimate changes during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were due to owner directed scope changes as well as site conditions that were different than anticipated.

On a large highway project in mountainous terrain in Oregon, several hillsides have experienced unanticipated ground movement. In some locations, the ground movements have caused damage to completed portions of bridge structures. The Company and the project owner, the Oregon Department of Transportation, are discussing the technical issues associated with these ground movements and identifying and analyzing possible remedial work plans to address these developments. At this time, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the impact, if any, these developments may have on the projected financial results for this project. Therefore, no revisions in estimates have been made this quarter related to these developments.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

4. Marketable Securities

The carrying amounts of marketable securities were as follows (in thousands):

| June 30, 2010 | Held-to-Maturity | | Trading | | Total |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------|------------|
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | \$ | 30,388 | \$ | - | \$ 30,388 |
| Commercial paper | | 24,969 | | - | 24,969 |
| Municipal bonds | | 13,020 | | - | 13,020 |
| Mutual funds | | - | | 5,189 | 5,189 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | | 68,377 | | 5,189 | 73,566 |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | | 60,430 | | - | 60,430 |
| Municipal bonds | | 7,861 | | - | 7,861 |
| Total long-term marketable securities | | 68,291 | | - | 68,291 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ | 136,668 | \$ | 5,189 | \$ 141,857 |

December 31, 2009

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|----|---------|----|-------|------------|
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | \$ | 14,508 | \$ | - | \$ 14,508 |
| Commercial paper | | 4,993 | | - | 4,993 |
| Municipal bonds | | 21,019 | | - | 21,019 |
| Mutual funds | | - | | 1,928 | 1,928 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | | 40,520 | | 1,928 | 42,448 |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | | 71,254 | | - | 71,254 |
| Municipal bonds | | 5,683 | | - | 5,683 |
| Total long-term marketable securities | | 76,937 | | - | 76,937 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ | 117,457 | \$ | 1,928 | \$ 119,385 |

June 30, 2009

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|----|--------|----|-------|-----------|
| Municipal bonds | \$ | 17,910 | \$ | - | \$ 17,910 |
| Mutual funds | | - | | 6,968 | 6,968 |
| Total short-term marketable securities | | 17,910 | | 6,968 | 24,878 |
| U.S. Government and agency obligations | | 29,235 | | - | 29,235 |
| Municipal bonds | | 24,093 | | - | 24,093 |
| Total long-term marketable securities | | 53,328 | | - | 53,328 |
| Total marketable securities | \$ | 71,238 | \$ | 6,968 | \$ 78,206 |

Scheduled maturities of held-to-maturity investments were as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2010

| | | |
|--------------------------|----|---------|
| Due within one year | \$ | 68,377 |
| Due in one to five years | | 68,291 |
| Total | \$ | 136,668 |

9

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

5. Fair Value Measurement

The following tables summarize each class of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| June 30, 2010 (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Level 11 | Level 22 | Level 33 | Total |
| Cash equivalents | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 176,139 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 176,139 |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Debt securities - mutual funds | 5,189 | - | - | 5,189 |
| Total | \$ 181,328 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 181,328 |

| December 31, 2009 (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Level 11 | Level 22 | Level 33 | Total |
| Cash equivalents | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 337,817 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 337,817 |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Debt securities - mutual funds | 1,928 | - | - | 1,928 |
| Total | \$ 339,745 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 339,745 |

| June 30, 2009 (in thousands) | Fair Value Measurement at Reporting Date Using | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | Level 11 | Level 22 | Level 33 | Total |
| Cash equivalents | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 351,204 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 351,204 |
| Trading securities | | | | |
| Debt securities - mutual funds | 6,968 | - | - | 6,968 |
| Total | \$ 358,172 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 358,172 |

1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

We believe the carrying values of receivables, other current assets, and other current liabilities approximate their fair values. The fair value of the senior notes payable was based on borrowing rates available to us for bank loans with similar terms, average maturities, and credit risk. The carrying amount and estimated fair value of senior notes payable were:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Carrying amount: | | | |
| Senior notes payable (including current maturities) | \$ 225,000 | \$ 240,000 | \$ 240,000 |

Fair value:

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Senior notes payable (including current maturities) | \$ | 246,088 | \$ | 249,159 | \$ | 231,692 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

6.Receivables, Net

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Construction contracts: | | | |
| Completed and in progress | \$ 155,343 | \$ 121,083 | \$ 152,562 |
| Retentions | 88,973 | 96,887 | 86,057 |
| Total construction contracts | 244,316 | 217,970 | 238,619 |
| Construction material sales | 39,989 | 22,817 | 33,140 |
| Other | 15,106 | 43,382 | 15,719 |
| Total gross receivables | 299,411 | 284,169 | 287,478 |
| Less: allowance for doubtful accounts | 3,632 | 3,917 | 6,046 |
| Total net receivables | \$ 295,779 | \$ 280,252 | \$ 281,432 |

Included in other receivables at June 30, 2010, December 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009 were items such as notes receivable, interest receivable, fuel tax refunds and income tax refunds.

7. Construction and Line Item Joint Ventures

We participate in various construction joint venture partnerships. We also participate in various “line item” joint ventures under which each partner is responsible for performing certain discrete items of the total scope of contracted work.

Our agreements with our joint venture partners for both construction joint ventures and line item joint ventures provide that each party will assume and pay for any losses it is responsible for under the joint venture agreement. Circumstances that could lead to a loss under our joint venture arrangements beyond our stated ownership interest include a partner’s inability to contribute additional funds to the venture in the event the project incurs a loss, or additional costs that we could incur should a partner fail to provide the services and resources toward project completion that had been committed to in the joint venture agreement. Due to the joint and several liability obligations under our joint venture arrangements, if one of our joint venture partners fails to perform, we and the remaining joint venture partners would be responsible for performance of the outstanding work.

At June 30, 2010, there was approximately \$1.8 billion of construction work to be completed on unconsolidated construction joint venture contracts of which \$634.0 million is our portion and the remaining \$1.2 billion represents our partners’ share. We are not able to estimate other amounts that may be required beyond the remaining cost of the work to be performed. These costs could be offset by billings to the customer or by proceeds from our partner’s corporate and/or other guarantees.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Construction Joint Ventures

Generally, each construction joint venture is formed to complete a specific contract and is jointly controlled by the joint venture partners. The joint venture agreements typically provide that our interests in any profits and assets, and our respective share in any losses and liabilities resulting from the performance of the contract are limited to our stated percentage interest in the project. We have no significant commitments beyond completion of the contracts. Under our contractual arrangements, we provide capital to these joint ventures in return for an ownership interest. In addition, partners dedicate resources to the ventures necessary to complete the contracts and are reimbursed for their cost. The operational risks of each construction joint venture are passed along to the joint venture partners. As we absorb our share of these risks, our investment in each venture is exposed to potential losses.

As discussed in Note 1, effective in 2010 we adopted an accounting standard that provides a new approach for determining a VIE's primary beneficiary and requires continual evaluation of the primary beneficiary. The factors we consider in determining whether we are a construction joint venture's primary beneficiary include the decision making authority of each partner, which partner manages the day-to-day operations of the project and the amount of our equity investment in relation to that of our partners. The adoption of the new accounting standard resulted in the consolidation of one construction joint venture in our condensed consolidated financial statements on March 31, 2010 that was previously reported on a pro rata basis. This consolidation resulted in increases of \$2.4 million in assets, \$1.7 million in liabilities and \$0.8 million in noncontrolling interests in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

As part of our ongoing primary beneficiary evaluations, we determined that decision making responsibility was shared between the venture partners for one joint venture. Therefore, this joint venture did not have an identifiable primary beneficiary partner and we continued to report its pro rata results. All other joint ventures were assigned one primary beneficiary partner.

Consolidated Construction Joint Ventures

The carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities of construction joint ventures we are required to consolidate are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements as follows:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 105,690 | \$ 122,438 | \$ 120,940 |
| Other current assets | 15,202 | 3,220 | 14,470 |
| Total current assets | \$ 120,892 | \$ 125,658 | \$ 135,410 |
| Noncurrent assets | 829 | 1,443 | 3,741 |
| Total assets ¹ | \$ 121,721 | \$ 127,101 | \$ 139,151 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 32,145 | \$ 23,057 | \$ 25,589 |
| Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings | 66,706 | 69,354 | 79,503 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 11,125 | 11,834 | 11,871 |
| Total current liabilities | \$ 109,976 | \$ 104,245 | \$ 116,963 |
| Noncurrent liabilities | 505 | 3 | - |
| Total liabilities ¹ | \$ 110,481 | \$ 104,248 | \$ 116,963 |

¹The assets and liabilities of the joint ventures are used only for the particular joint venture's operations.

At June 30, 2010, our consolidated construction joint ventures were engaged in two active projects with total contract values of \$11.6 million and \$468.9 million and our proportionate share of the equity in these joint ventures was 45.0% and 57.3%, respectively.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Unconsolidated Construction Joint Ventures

We account for our share of construction joint ventures that we are not required to consolidate on a pro rata basis in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. As of June 30, 2010, these unconsolidated joint ventures were engaged in eight active construction projects with total contract values ranging from \$18.7 million to \$972.2 million. Our proportionate share of the equity in these unconsolidated joint ventures ranged from 20.0% to 42.5%.

Following is summary financial information related to unconsolidated construction joint ventures:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Assets: | | | |
| Total | \$ 412,165 | \$ 337,959 | \$ 253,211 |
| Less partners' interest | 253,234 | 219,777 | 175,567 |
| Granite's interest | 158,931 | 118,182 | 77,644 |
| Liabilities: | | | |
| Total | 250,868 | 168,114 | 120,296 |
| Less partners' interest | 164,508 | 117,625 | 92,867 |
| Granite's interest | 86,360 | 50,489 | 27,429 |
| Equity in construction joint ventures | \$ 72,571 | \$ 67,693 | \$ 50,215 |

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Total | \$ 136,592 | \$ 91,075 | \$ 258,398 | \$ 192,275 |
| Less partners' interest | 91,875 | 71,528 | 179,635 | 152,224 |
| Granite's interest | 44,717 | 19,547 | 78,763 | 40,051 |
| Cost of revenue: | | | | |
| Total | 119,209 | 80,392 | 228,384 | 172,224 |
| Less partners' interest | 75,442 | 63,020 | 149,929 | 134,668 |
| Granite's interest | 43,767 | 17,372 | 78,455 | 37,556 |
| Granite's interest in gross profit | \$ 950 | \$ 2,175 | \$ 308 | \$ 2,495 |

Line Item Joint Ventures

The revenue for each line item joint venture partner's discrete items of work is defined in the contract with the project owner and each venture partner bears the profitability risk associated with its own work. There is not a single set of books and records for a line item joint venture. Each partner accounts for its items of work individually as it would for any self-performed contract. We account for our portion of these contracts as project revenues and costs in our accounting system and include receivables and payables associated with our work in our condensed consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2010, we had one active line item joint venture construction project with a total contract value of \$148.3 million of which our portion is \$66.6 million. As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$18.0 million of work to be completed on this project.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

8. Real Estate Entities and Investments in Affiliates

Our Real Estate segment is a participant in various real estate entities through our Granite Land Company (“GLC”) subsidiary. Generally, each entity is formed to accomplish a specific real estate development project. The agreements with our partners in these real estate entities define each partner’s management role and financial responsibility in the project. If one of our partners is unable to fulfill its management role or make its required financial contribution, we may assume full management or financial responsibility for the project. This may result in the consolidation of entities that are accounted for under the equity method in our consolidated financial statements. The amount of our exposure is limited to our equity investment in the real estate joint venture.

As discussed in Note 1, effective in 2010 we adopted an accounting standard that provides a new approach for determining a VIE’s primary beneficiary and requires continual evaluation of the primary beneficiary. The adoption of the new accounting standard did not have a material impact on the treatment of any of our real estate entities or investments in affiliates.

Substantially all the assets of these real estate entities in which we are participants through our GLC subsidiary are classified as real estate held for sale or use. All outstanding debt of these entities is non-recourse to Granite. However, there is recourse to our real estate affiliates that incurred the debt. Our real estate affiliates include limited partnerships and limited liability companies of which we are a limited partner or member.

GLC routinely assists its real estate entities in securing debt financing from various sources. The amount of financial support to be provided by GLC to consolidated VIEs was increased by \$9.7 million in 2010 and by \$8.8 million in 2009 as a result of changes in the entities’ business plans. These amounts represent additional financial support in the form of current or future cash contributions to the consolidated entities, beyond what GLC had previously committed to provide. As of June 30, 2010, we had contributed \$11.5 million of the total increased commitment of \$18.5 million to the consolidated entities.

The carrying amounts of all real estate development assets are evaluated for recoverability in accordance with ASC Topic 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment. Based on our evaluations, we recognized pretax, non-cash impairment charges of \$0.1 million and \$1.0 million on assets classified as real estate held for development and sale during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. We recorded the charge in cost of revenue of our Real Estate segment in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Consolidated Real Estate Entities

The carrying amounts and classification of assets and liabilities of real estate entities we are required to consolidate are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements as follows:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Real estate held for development and sale | \$ 148,897 | \$ 139,449 | \$ 131,169 |
| Other current assets | 3,893 | 5,477 | 4,832 |
| Total current assets | 152,790 | 144,926 | 136,001 |
| Property and equipment, net | 7,894 | 14,905 | 15,386 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 2,081 | 11,989 | 10,847 |
| Total assets | \$ 162,765 | \$ 171,820 | \$ 162,234 |
| Current maturities of non-recourse debt | \$ 39,657 | \$ 43,961 | \$ 49,500 |
| Other current liabilities | 4,189 | 5,845 | 6,747 |
| Total current liabilities | 43,846 | 49,806 | 56,247 |
| Long-term non-recourse debt | 16,615 | 19,485 | 8,455 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 451 | 553 | 817 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 60,912 | \$ 69,844 | \$ 65,519 |

For our consolidated real estate entities, substantially all of the real estate held for development and sale as well as property and equipment are pledged as collateral for the debt of the real estate entities. All outstanding debt of the real estate entities is recourse only to the real estate affiliate that incurred the debt, the limited partnership or limited liability company, of which we are a limited partner or member. Our proportionate share of the results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
 NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (Unaudited)

Included in current assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets is real estate held for development and sale. The breakdown by type and location of our real estate held for development and sale is summarized below:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Residential | \$ 127,111 | \$ 121,101 | \$ 116,072 |
| Commercial | 21,786 | 18,348 | 15,097 |
| Total | \$ 148,897 | \$ 139,449 | \$ 131,169 |
| Washington | \$ 85,500 | \$ 80,703 | \$ 77,118 |
| Oregon | 29,580 | 29,280 | 28,757 |
| California | 24,901 | 20,848 | 16,988 |
| Texas | 8,916 | 8,618 | 8,306 |
| Total | \$ 148,897 | \$ 139,449 | \$ 131,169 |

Investments in Affiliates

We account for our share of unconsolidated real estate entities in which we have determined we are not the primary beneficiary in other income (expense) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and as a single line item on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as Investments in Affiliates. At June 30, 2010, these entities were engaged in real estate development projects with total assets ranging from approximately \$6.4 million to \$50.8 million. Our proportionate share of the operating results of these entities varies depending on the ultimate profitability of the entities.

Additionally, we have investments in non-real estate affiliates that are accounted for using the equity method. The most significant of these investments is a 50% interest in a limited liability company which owns and operates an asphalt terminal in Nevada.

We also have a cost method investment that represents a 3.6% interest in a corporation that designs and manufactures power generation equipment. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, we entered into an agreement with that corporation to create a limited liability company whose purpose is to develop and construct power generation facilities in the western United States. Our investment in the company as of June 30, 2010 was \$0.6 million. Our share of profits and losses will vary depending on the operating results of the company. We evaluated the entity and determined that it is a VIE and we are not the primary beneficiary; therefore, we account for it as an investment in affiliates.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Our investments in affiliates balance consists of the following:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Equity method investments in real estate affiliates | \$13,408 | \$13,325 | \$13,375 |
| Equity method investments in other affiliates | 11,402 | 11,319 | 3,935 |
| Total equity method investments | 24,810 | 24,644 | 17,310 |
| Cost method investments | 6,400 | - | - |
| Total investments in affiliates | \$31,210 | \$24,644 | \$17,310 |

The breakdown by type and location of our interests in real estate ventures is summarized below:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Residential | \$ 8,894 | \$ 8,759 | \$ 8,780 |
| Commercial | 4,514 | 4,566 | 4,595 |
| Total | \$ 13,408 | \$ 13,325 | \$ 13,375 |
| Texas | \$ 13,408 | \$ 13,325 | \$ 13,375 |
| Total | \$ 13,408 | \$ 13,325 | \$ 13,375 |

The following table provides summarized balance sheet information for our affiliates accounted for under the equity method on a combined 100% basis, which primarily relates to our real estate affiliates:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Total assets | \$ 163,720 | \$ 169,325 | \$ 153,525 |
| Net assets | 87,027 | 84,939 | 71,102 |
| Granite's share of net assets | 24,810 | 24,644 | 17,310 |

9. Property and Equipment, Net

Balances of major classes of assets and allowances for depreciation and depletion are included in property and equipment, net on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as follows:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|----------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Land and land improvements | \$ 124,621 | \$ 126,162 | \$ 124,744 |
| Quarry property | 172,140 | 160,618 | 142,744 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 89,209 | 96,725 | 96,589 |
| Equipment and vehicles | 807,267 | 829,195 | 857,430 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 39,441 | 38,096 | 37,415 |
| Property and equipment | 1,232,678 | 1,250,796 | 1,258,922 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and depletion | 731,420 | 730,018 | 729,117 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Property and equipment, net | \$ | 501,258 | \$ | 520,778 | \$ | 529,805 |
|-----------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

10. Intangible Assets

The balances of the following intangible assets are included in other noncurrent assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheets at carrying value:

Indefinite-lived Intangible Assets:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Goodwill ¹ | \$ 9,900 | \$ 9,900 | \$ 9,900 |
| Use rights and other | 1,319 | 1,319 | 2,954 |
| Total unamortized intangible assets | \$ 11,219 | \$ 11,219 | \$ 12,854 |

¹Goodwill for all periods presented primarily relates to our Construction segment.

Amortized Intangible Assets:

| June 30, 2010 (in thousands) | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 33,582 | \$ (5,984) | \$ 27,598 |
| Customer lists | 2,198 | (1,601) | 597 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (1,247) | 341 |
| Other | 1,082 | (567) | 515 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 38,450 | \$ (9,399) | \$ 29,051 |

December 31, 2009

| (in thousands) | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 33,582 | \$ (5,151) | \$ 28,431 |
| Customer lists | 2,198 | (1,399) | 799 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (1,106) | 482 |
| Other | 1,082 | (478) | 604 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 38,450 | \$ (8,134) | \$ 30,316 |

June 30, 2009

| (in thousands) | Gross Value | Accumulated Amortization | Net Value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Permits | \$ 36,070 | \$ (4,593) | \$ 31,477 |
| Customer lists | 2,198 | (1,109) | 1,089 |
| Covenants not to compete | 1,588 | (901) | 687 |
| Other | 1,082 | (388) | 694 |
| Total amortized intangible assets | \$ 40,938 | \$ (6,991) | \$ 33,947 |

Amortization expense related to these intangible assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, and approximately \$0.7 million and \$1.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Based on the amortized intangible assets balance at June 30, 2010, amortization expense expected to be recorded in the future is as follows: \$1.1 million for the balance of 2010; \$2.2 million in 2011; \$2.1 million in 2012; \$1.8 million in 2013; \$1.7 million in 2014; and \$20.1 million thereafter.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

11. Line of Credit

On June 22, 2010, we refinanced our \$150.0 million bank revolving line of credit (“LOC”), which allows for unsecured borrowings through June 22, 2013. Borrowings under the LOC bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin based upon certain financial ratios calculated quarterly. The margin was 2.75% at June 30, 2010. The unused and available portion of the LOC was \$145.8 million at June 30, 2010. We had standby letters of credit (“Letters”) totaling approximately \$4.2 million outstanding at June 30, 2010, all of which will expire between October 2010 and March 2011. These Letters will likely be replaced upon expiration.

Restrictive covenants under the terms of our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain levels of cash flow, financial ratios and tangible net worth (as defined by the debt agreements). We were in compliance with these covenants at June 30, 2010.

12. Weighted Average Shares Outstanding

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net (loss) income per share in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding | 38,798 | 38,675 | 38,734 | 38,503 |
| Less: weighted average unvested restricted stock outstanding | 948 | 1,091 | 964 | 973 |
| Total basic weighted average shares outstanding | 37,850 | 37,584 | 37,770 | 37,530 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | |
| Weighted average common stock outstanding, basic | 37,850 | 37,584 | 37,770 | 37,530 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Common stock options and units ¹ | - | 115 | - | 120 |
| Total weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution | 37,850 | 37,699 | 37,770 | 37,650 |

¹Due to the net loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, stock options and units representing 119 and 115 shares, respectively, have been excluded from the number of shares used in calculating diluted loss per share for that period, as their inclusion would be antidilutive.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

13. Earnings Per Share

We calculate earnings per share (“EPS”) under the two-class method by allocating earnings to both common shares and unvested restricted stock which are considered participating securities. However, net losses are not allocated to participating securities for purposes of computing EPS under the two-class method. The following is a reconciliation of net (loss) income attributable to Granite and related weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for purposes of calculating basic and diluted net (loss) income per share using the two-class method:

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Basic | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Granite | \$(6,691) | \$ 17,949 | \$(47,645) | \$26,869 |
| Less: net income allocated to participating securities | - | 500 | - | 667 |
| Net (loss) income allocated to common shareholders for basic calculation | \$(6,691) | \$ 17,449 | \$(47,645) | \$26,202 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 37,850 | 37,584 | 37,770 | 37,530 |
| Net (loss) income per share, basic | \$(0.18) | \$ 0.46 | \$(1.26) | \$0.70 |
| Diluted | | | | |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Granite | \$(6,691) | \$ 17,949 | \$(47,645) | \$26,869 |
| Less: net income allocated to participating securities | - | 499 | - | 665 |
| Net (loss) income allocated to common shareholders for diluted calculation | \$(6,691) | \$ 17,450 | \$(47,645) | \$26,204 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 37,850 | 37,699 | 37,770 | 37,650 |
| Net (loss) income per share, diluted | \$(0.18) | \$ 0.46 | \$(1.26) | \$0.70 |

14. Income Taxes

It is reasonably possible that we will resolve various uncertain tax positions resulting in a decrease of up to \$3.4 million in unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

15. Equity and Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income

The following tables summarize our equity activity for the periods presented:

| (in thousands) | Granite Construction Inc. | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Equity |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 830,651 | \$ 51,905 | \$ 882,556 |
| Purchase of common stock ¹ | (3,434) | - | (3,434) |
| Other transactions with shareholders | 6,918 | - | 6,918 |
| Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net ³ | - | (19,399) | (19,399) |
| Comprehensive (loss) income: | | | |
| Net (loss) income | (47,645) | 7,282 | (40,363) |
| Total comprehensive (loss) income | (47,645) | 7,282 | (40,363) |
| Dividends on common stock | (10,087) | - | (10,087) |
| Balance at June 30, 2010 | \$ 776,403 | \$ 39,788 | \$ 816,191 |

| (in thousands) | Granite Construction Inc. | Noncontrolling Interests | Total Equity |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | \$ 767,509 | \$ 36,773 | \$ 804,282 |
| Purchase of common stock ² | (2,821) | - | (2,821) |
| Other transactions with shareholders | 6,932 | - | 6,932 |
| Transactions with noncontrolling interests, net ³ | - | 6,114 | 6,114 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net income | 26,869 | 9,785 | 36,654 |
| Other comprehensive income | 146 | - | 146 |
| Total comprehensive income | 27,015 | 9,785 | 36,800 |
| Dividends on common stock | (10,056) | - | (10,056) |
| Balance at June 30, 2009 | \$ 788,579 | \$ 52,672 | \$ 841,251 |

¹Represents 120,687 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested.

²Represents 77,683 shares purchased in connection with employee tax withholding for shares vested.

³Amount is comprised primarily of contributions from (distributions to) noncontrolling partners.

The components of other comprehensive income are as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Changes in unrealized gain on investments | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 238 |
| Tax provision on unrealized loss | - | - | - | (92) |
| Total other comprehensive income | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 146 |

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

16. Legal Proceedings

Silica Litigation

Our wholly-owned subsidiary Granite Construction Company (“GCCO”) was one of approximately 100 to 300 defendants in six California Superior Court lawsuits remaining from the silica-related lawsuits filed against GCCO. GCCO has been dismissed from the six lawsuits (four of which were filed in 2005 and two in 2006) this quarter. Each lawsuit was brought by a single plaintiff who was seeking money damages by way of various causes of action, including strict product and market share liability, and alleged personal injuries caused by exposure to silica products and related materials during the plaintiffs’ use or association with sand blasting or grinding concrete. GCCO has now been dismissed from a total of 24 silica-related lawsuits in which GCCO was served, and no such lawsuits are currently pending.

Hiawatha Project DBE Issues

The Hiawatha Light Rail Transit (“HLRT”) project was performed by Minnesota Transit Constructors (“MnTC”), a joint venture that consisted of GCCO and other unrelated companies. GCCO was the managing partner of the joint venture, with a 56.5% interest. The Minnesota Department of Transportation (“MnDOT”) is the contracting agency for this federally funded project. The Metropolitan Council is the local agency conduit for providing federal funds to MnDOT for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the U.S. Department of Transportation Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) each conducted a review of the Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (“DBE”) program maintained by MnTC for the HLRT project. In addition, the U.S. Department of Justice (“USDOJ”) is conducting an investigation into compliance issues with respect to MnTC’s DBE Program for the HLRT project. MnDOT and the OIG (collectively, the “Agencies”) have initially identified certain compliance issues in connection with MnTC’s DBE Program and, as a result, have determined that MnTC failed to meet the DBE utilization criteria as represented by MnTC. Although there has been no formal administrative subpoena issued, nor has a civil complaint been filed in connection with the administrative reviews or the investigation, MnDOT has proposed a monetary sanction of \$4.3 million against MnTC and specified DBE training for personnel from the members of the MnTC joint venture as a condition of awarding future projects to joint venture members of MnTC on MnDOT and Metropolitan Council work. MnTC and its members are fully cooperating with the Agencies and the USDOJ. MnTC has presented its detailed written responses to the initial determinations of the Agencies as well as the investigation by the USDOJ. MnTC, USDOJ, and the Agencies are continuing to engage in informal discussions in an attempt to resolve this matter. Such discussions, if successful, are expected to include resolution of issues with the USDOJ and with the state agencies. We cannot, however, rule out the possibility of civil or criminal actions or administrative sanctions being brought against MnTC or one or more of its members which could result in civil and criminal penalties.

US Highway 20 Project

GCCO and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Northwest, Inc. are the members of a joint venture known as Yaquina River Constructors (“YRC”) which is currently constructing a new road alignment of US Highway 20 near Eddyville, Oregon under contract with the Oregon Department of Transportation (“ODOT”). The project involves constructing seven miles of new road through steep and forested terrain in the Coast Range Mountains. During the fall and winter of 2006, extraordinary rain events produced runoff that overwhelmed erosion control measures installed at the project and resulted in discharges to surface water in alleged violations of YRC’s stormwater permit. In June 2009, YRC was informed that the USDOJ had assumed the criminal investigation that the Oregon Department of Justice had previously been conducting in connection with stormwater runoff from the project. YRC and its members are fully cooperating in the investigation. We do not know whether any criminal charges or civil lawsuits will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what, if any, criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

City of San Diego Fire Debris Cleanup

In the aftermath of the 2007 San Diego County wildfires, GCCO bid for and was awarded a fixed unit price, variable quantity contract with the City of San Diego (the "City") to perform specified debris cleanup work. GCCO began work in November 2007 and completed the work in April 2008. In August 2008, the City announced that it would conduct an independent audit of the project. In December 2008, the City's audit report was released with findings that, while some GCCO billings contained mistakes, rates paid to GCCO appear to be generally reasonable. GCCO has reimbursed the City for the undisputed overbilled amount of less than \$3,000. The former San Diego City Attorney, after conducting a separate investigation of GCCO's work on the project, filed a civil lawsuit in California Superior Court, County of San Diego on October 17, 2008 against GCCO and another contractor that had been awarded a similar cleanup contract with the City. In the complaint, the City alleges that both contractors knowingly presented to the City false claims for payment in violation of the California False Claims Act. The City seeks trebled damages in an amount to be determined, and a civil penalty in the amount of \$10,000 for each false claim made. After the November 2008 election in which a new City Attorney was elected, GCCO and the City Attorney agreed to stay the lawsuit in order to allow the City Attorney time to complete its investigation. The parties have agreed to jointly request a stay that will expire October 5, 2010, during which time a proposed resolution will be presented by the City Attorney to the City Council for approval. GCCO believes the allegations in the City's complaint to be without factual or legal basis and, therefore, we believe the City's entitlement to relief sought under the California False Claims Act is remote.

Grand Avenue Project DBE Issues

On March 6, 2009, the U.S. Department of Transportation, Office of Inspector General ("OIG") served upon our wholly-owned subsidiary, Granite Construction Northeast, Inc. ("Granite Northeast"), a United States District Court Eastern District of New York subpoena to testify before a grand jury by producing documents. The subpoena seeks all documents pertaining to the use of a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise ("DBE") firm (the "Subcontractor"), and the Subcontractor's use of a non-DBE lower tier subcontractor/consultant, on the Grand Avenue Bus Depot and Central Maintenance Facility for the Borough of Queens Project (the "Grand Avenue Project"), a Granite Northeast project. The subpoena also seeks any documents regarding the use of the Subcontractor as a DBE on any other projects and any other documents related to the Subcontractor or to the lower-tier subcontractor/consultant. We have complied with the subpoena and are fully cooperating with the OIG's investigation. To date, Granite Northeast has not been notified that it is either a subject or target of the OIG's investigation. Accordingly, we do not know whether any criminal charges or civil lawsuits will be brought or against whom, as a result of the investigation. Therefore, we cannot estimate what, if any, criminal or civil penalty or conditional assessment may result from this investigation.

Other Legal Proceedings/Government Inquiries

We are a party to a number of other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business. From time to time, we also receive inquiries from public agencies seeking information concerning our compliance with government construction contracting requirements and related laws and regulations. We believe that the nature and number of these proceedings and compliance inquiries are typical for a construction firm of our size and scope. Our litigation typically involves claims regarding public liability or contract related issues. While management currently believes, after consultation with counsel, that the ultimate outcome of pending proceedings and compliance inquiries, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse affect on our financial position or overall trends in results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse effect on our results of operations, cash flows and/or financial position for the period in which the ruling occurs. In addition, our government contracts could be terminated, we could be suspended or debarred, or payment of our costs disallowed. While any one of our pending legal proceedings is subject to early resolution as a result of our ongoing efforts to settle, whether or when any legal proceeding will be

resolved through settlement is neither predictable nor guaranteed.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

17. Business Segment Information

On August 31, 2009, we announced changes in our organizational structure. In conjunction with the restructure, we changed our reportable business segments to reflect our lines of business rather than geographies, on which our segment reporting was previously based. Effective January 1, 2010, our new reportable segments are: Construction, Large Project Construction, Construction Materials and Real Estate. The prior period segment information presented below has been reclassified to conform to our new reportable segments.

The Construction segment performs various heavy civil construction projects with a large portion of the work focused on new construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, bridges, site work and other infrastructure projects. These projects are typically bid-build projects completed within two years with a contract value of less than \$75 million.

The Large Project Construction segment focuses on large, complex infrastructure projects which are long-term in nature. These projects include major highways, mass transit facilities, bridges, tunnels, waterway locks and dams, pipelines, canals and airport infrastructure. This segment primarily includes bid-build, design-build and construction management/general contractor contracts, generally with contract values in excess of \$75 million.

The Construction Materials segment mines and processes aggregates and operates plants that produce construction materials for internal use and for sale to third parties.

The Real Estate segment purchases, develops, operates, sells and invests in real estate related projects and provides real estate services for the Company's operations.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Account Policies contained in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K. We evaluate segment performance based on gross profit or loss, and do not include overhead and non-operating income or expense. Segment assets include property and equipment, intangibles, inventory, equity in construction joint ventures and real estate held for development and sale.

Table of Contents

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION INCORPORATED
NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Summarized segment information is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|------------|
| | Construction | Large Project Construction | Construction Materials | Real Estate | Total |
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Total revenue from reportable segments | \$ 237,943 | \$ 153,328 | \$ 108,598 | \$ 1,844 | \$ 501,713 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | - | - | (47,509) | - | (47,509) |
| Revenue from external customers | 237,943 | 153,328 | 61,089 | 1,844 | 454,204 |
| Gross profit | 22,901 | 21,835 | 4,480 | 482 | 49,698 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 5,110 | 542 | 7,453 | 138 | 13,243 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Total revenue from reportable segments | \$ 277,456 | \$ 125,770 | \$ 104,086 | \$ 534 | \$ 507,846 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | - | - | (46,771) | - | (46,771) |
| Revenue from external customers | 277,456 | 125,770 | 57,315 | 534 | 461,075 |
| Gross profit (loss) | 52,801 | 22,511 | 9,583 | (1,000) | 83,895 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 6,314 | 1,383 | 7,948 | 125 | 15,770 |
| Six Months Ended June 30, | | | | | |
| (in thousands) | Large Project Construction | | | | |
| | Construction | Construction | Materials | Real Estate | Total |
| 2010 | | | | | |
| Total revenue from reportable segments | \$ 319,129 | \$ 259,653 | \$ 142,318 | \$ 8,852 | \$ 729,952 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | - | - | (55,065) | - | (55,065) |
| Revenue from external customers | 319,129 | 259,653 | 87,253 | 8,852 | 674,887 |
| Gross profit (loss) | 24,747 | 31,318 | (2,645) | 1,992 | 55,412 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 10,626 | 1,469 | 15,552 | 329 | 27,976 |
| Segment assets | 138,207 | 81,565 | 378,256 | 165,081 | 763,109 |
| 2009 | | | | | |
| Total revenue from reportable segments | \$ 445,505 | \$ 274,830 | \$ 142,823 | \$ 951 | \$ 864,109 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenue | - | - | (55,662) | - | (55,662) |
| | 445,505 | 274,830 | 87,161 | 951 | 808,447 |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Revenue from external customers | | | | | |
| Gross profit (loss) | 87,977 | 56,175 | 9,269 | (790) | 152,631 |
| Depreciation, depletion and amortization | 14,095 | 2,976 | 15,614 | 301 | 32,986 |
| Segment assets | 150,345 | 63,159 | 417,701 | 146,766 | 777,971 |

A reconciliation of segment gross profit to consolidated income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total gross profit from reportable segments | \$ 49,698 | \$83,895 | \$55,412 | \$ 152,631 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 51,357 | 56,319 | 106,649 | 110,674 |
| Gain on sales of property and equipment | 3,800 | 2,808 | 8,252 | 5,329 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (368) | 470 | (585) | 2,384 |
| Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 1,773 | \$30,854 | \$(43,570) | \$ 49,670 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Disclosure

From time to time, Granite makes certain comments and disclosures in reports and statements, including in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, or statements made by its officers or directors that are not based on historical facts and which may be forward-looking in nature. Under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, a "safe harbor" may be provided to us for certain of these forward-looking statements. Words such as "outlook," "believes," "expects," "appears," "may," "will," "should," "anticipates" or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. In addition, other written or oral statements which constitute forward-looking statements have been made and may in the future be made by or on behalf of Granite. These forward-looking statements are estimates reflecting the best judgment of senior management and are based on our current expectations and projections concerning future events, many of which are outside of our control, and involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those more specifically described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K under "Item 1A. Risk Factors." Granite undertakes no obligation to publicly revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason. As a result, the reader is cautioned not to rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

Overview

We are one of the largest heavy civil contractors in the United States, engaged in the construction and improvement of streets, roads, highways, mass transit facilities, airport infrastructure, bridges, dams and other infrastructure-related projects. We produce construction materials through the use of our aggregate reserves and plant facilities. We also operate a real estate investment and development company. Our permanent offices are located in Alaska, Arizona, California, Florida, Nevada, New York, Texas, Utah and Washington.

Our contracts are obtained through competitive bidding in response to advertisements by both public agencies and private parties and on a negotiated basis as a result of direct solicitation by private parties. Our bidding activity is affected by such factors as contract backlog, available personnel, current utilization of equipment and other resources, our ability to obtain necessary surety bonds and competitive considerations. Bidding activity, contract backlog and revenue resulting from the award of new contracts may vary significantly from period to period.

The three primary economic drivers of our business are (1) the overall health of the economy, (2) federal, state and local public funding levels and (3) population growth with the resulting private development. For example, a stagnant or declining economy will generally result in reduced demand for construction and construction materials in the private sector. This reduced demand increases competition for private sector projects and will ultimately also increase competition in the public sector as companies migrate from bidding on scarce private sector work to projects in the public sector. Greater competition can reduce our revenues and/or have a downward impact on our gross profit margins. In addition, a stagnant or declining economy tends to produce less tax revenue for public agencies, thereby decreasing a source of funds available for spending on public infrastructure improvements. Some funding sources that have been specifically earmarked for infrastructure spending, such as diesel and gasoline taxes, are not as directly affected by a stagnant or declining economy, unless actual consumption is reduced. However, even these can be temporarily at risk as state and local governments struggle to balance their budgets. Additionally, high fuel prices can have a dampening effect on consumption, resulting in overall lower tax revenue. Conversely, increased levels of public funding as well as an expanding or robust economy will generally increase demand for our services and provide opportunities for revenue growth and margin improvement.

New Reporting Structure

On August 31, 2009, we announced changes in our organizational structure designed to improve operating efficiencies and better position the Company for long-term growth. In conjunction with the restructure, we changed our reportable business segments to align with our lines of business rather than geographies, on which our segment reporting was previously based. Effective January 1, 2010 our new reportable segments are: Construction, Large Project Construction, Construction Materials and Real Estate. Additionally, we reclassified certain costs between cost of revenue and selling, general and administrative expenses to better represent our direct cost of revenue. These reclassifications did not have a significant impact on our previously reported net operating results.

Our market sector information reflects three regions defined as follows: 1) California; 2) West, excluding California, which includes our offices in Alaska, Nevada, Utah and Washington; and 3) East which includes our offices in Arizona, Florida, New York and Texas. Each of these regions includes operations from our Construction, Large Project Construction, and Construction Materials lines of business.

Table of Contents

Current Economic Environment and Outlook

Market conditions remained very challenging during the second quarter of 2010. The weak demand for residential development continued to contribute to increased competition for public sector projects. This highly competitive environment, combined with pressure on public funding available for transportation and infrastructure projects, had an impact on our revenue, backlog and gross profit margins in the Construction segment. As a result we expect lower revenue and lower gross margins during 2010 than in previous years. We expect these conditions to continue into 2011 due to the uncertainty surrounding federal, state and local transportation funding and the slow pace of the economic recovery.

Large Project Construction projects are also competitive. However, the scale and complexity of the large jobs precludes many smaller contractors from bidding such work. The Large Project Construction segment has seen an increase in backlog when compared to June 30, 2009 and is tracking over \$9.0 billion of work which will be bid during the next twelve months.

Additionally, we expect the slow pace of residential and commercial development activity to have a continued negative impact on our Construction Materials segment.

Results of Operations

Interim results are subject to significant seasonal variations and the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

| Comparative Financial Summary (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenue | \$ 454,204 | \$461,075 | \$674,887 | \$ 808,447 |
| Gross profit | 49,698 | 83,895 | 55,412 | 152,631 |
| Operating income (loss) | 2,141 | 30,384 | (42,985) | 47,286 |
| Other (expense) income, net | (368) | 470 | (585) | 2,384 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | 4,406 | 8,187 | (3,207) | 13,016 |
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | (4,058) | (4,718) | (7,282) | (9,785) |
| Net (loss) income attributable to Granite | (6,691) | 17,949 | (47,645) | 26,869 |

| Total Revenue by Segment (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---------|------------|---------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| Construction | \$ 237,943 | 52.4 % | \$277,456 | 60.2 % | \$ 319,129 | 47.3 % | \$ 445,505 | 55.1 % |
| Large Project Construction | 153,328 | 33.8 | 125,770 | 27.3 | 259,653 | 38.5 | 274,830 | 34.0 |
| Construction Materials | 61,089 | 13.4 | 57,315 | 12.4 | 87,253 | 12.9 | 87,161 | 10.8 |
| Real Estate | 1,844 | 0.4 | 534 | 0.1 | 8,852 | 1.3 | 951 | 0.1 |
| Total | \$ 454,204 | 100.0 % | \$461,075 | 100.0 % | \$ 674,887 | 100.0 % | \$ 808,447 | 100.0 % |

Table of Contents

| Construction Revenue (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| California: | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$76,741 | 32.3 % | \$ 111,778 | 40.3% | \$ 109,283 | 34.2 % | \$ 184,589 | 41.4 % |
| Private sector | 9,791 | 4.1 | 10,413 | 3.8 | 17,023 | 5.3 | 20,383 | 4.6 |
| West, excluding California: | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$117,271 | 49.3 % | \$ 121,627 | 43.8% | \$ 139,706 | 43.9 % | \$ 162,088 | 36.4 % |
| Private sector | 6,724 | 2.8 | 10,852 | 3.9 | 7,414 | 2.3 | 15,671 | 3.5 |
| East: | | | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$27,280 | 11.4 % | \$ 21,969 | 7.9% | \$ 44,360 | 13.9 % | \$ 60,268 | 13.5 % |
| Private sector | 136 | 0.1 | 817 | 0.3 | 1,343 | 0.4 | 2,506 | 0.6 |
| Total | \$237,943 | 100.0 % | \$ 277,456 | 100.0% | \$ 319,129 | 100.0 % | \$ 445,505 | 100.0 % |

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased by \$39.5 million, or 14.2%, and \$126.4 million, or 28.4%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009. These decreases were due to the lower demand for residential development and a highly competitive marketplace as contractors aggressively bid for the limited work available. As a result, we were engaged in fewer public sector projects during the first half of 2010 than in the comparable period of 2009. In particular, revenue in the first half of 2009 included \$69.2 million from federally funded security projects that were substantially completed in 2009. Revenue in the first half of 2010 was also negatively affected by adverse weather conditions in the first quarter.

Large Project

| Construction Revenue ¹ (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| California | \$ 14,812 | 9.7% | \$ 15,068 | 12.0% | \$26,798 | 10.3 % | \$ 25,864 | 9.5 % |
| West, excluding California | | | | | | | | |
| California | 14,225 | 9.3 | 10,535 | 8.4 | 19,987 | 7.7 | 17,173 | 6.2 |
| East | 124,291 | 81.0 | 100,167 | 79.6 | 212,868 | 82.0 | 231,793 | 84.3 |
| Total | \$ 153,328 | 100.0 % | \$ 125,770 | 100.0 % | \$ 259,653 | 100.0 % | \$ 274,830 | 100.0 % |

¹All revenue is earned from the public sector.

Revenue increased by \$27.6 million, or 21.9%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and decreased by \$15.2 million, or 5.5%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. Revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased primarily due to higher production levels on new projects in New York and Texas. Unusually wet weather during the first quarter of 2010 contributed to the six month decrease for the segment. Additionally, revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included the positive impact of a \$17.3 million settlement related to a project in the East. We did not benefit from a similar settlement in 2010. Lower ratios of consolidated to unconsolidated joint ventures adversely affected revenue by approximately \$7.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and contributed approximately \$18.1 million to the decrease in revenue for the six month period, compared to the prior year. Only Granite's pro rata share of revenues from unconsolidated joint ventures is included in revenue.

Table of Contents

Construction

Materials

| Revenue (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| California | \$ 39,784 | 65.1% | \$ 36,174 | 63.1% | \$ 60,246 | 69.0 % | \$ 56,737 | 65.1 % |
| West, excluding California | 15,491 | 25.4 | 17,034 | 29.7 | 18,141 | 20.8 | 21,761 | 25.0 |
| East | 5,814 | 9.5 | 4,107 | 7.2 | 8,866 | 10.2 | 8,663 | 9.9 |
| Total | \$ 61,089 | 100.0 % | \$ 57,315 | 100.0 % | \$ 87,253 | 100.0 % | \$ 87,161 | 100.0 % |

Revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 increased by \$3.8 million, or 6.6%, and \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009. These increases were primarily due to sales generated from new plants that became operational late in 2009.

Real Estate Revenue

Real Estate revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 increased by \$1.3 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, compared with the same periods in 2009. The increase during the three months was due to recognition of previously deferred revenue. The increase during the six months was due to the sale of a commercial property in California. We continue to experience limited sales activity due to the slow recovery of the real estate market.

Contract Backlog

Our contract backlog is comprised of the remaining unearned revenue on awarded contracts, including 100% of our consolidated joint venture contracts and our proportionate share of unconsolidated joint venture contracts. We include a construction project in our contract backlog at such time as a contract is awarded and funding is in place, with the exception of certain federal government contracts for which funding is appropriated on a periodic basis. Substantially all of the contracts in our contract backlog may be canceled or modified at the election of the customer; however, we have not been materially adversely affected by contract cancellations or modifications in the past.

The following tables illustrate our contract backlog as of the respective dates:

Total Contract Backlog

by Segment

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | | March 31, 2010 | | June 30, 2009 | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| Construction | \$ 594,214 | 38.3% | \$ 487,751 | 30.9% | \$ 687,696 | 44.9% |
| Large Project Construction | 957,080 | 61.7 | 1,091,251 | 69.1 | 844,547 | 55.1 |
| Total | \$ 1,551,294 | 100.0% | \$ 1,579,002 | 100.0% | \$ 1,532,243 | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

Construction Contract

Backlog

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | | March 31, 2010 | | June 30, 2009 | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| California: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 241,761 | 40.7% | \$ 176,919 | 36.2% | \$ 232,937 | 33.9% |
| Private sector | 10,043 | 1.7 | 6,602 | 1.4 | 16,152 | 2.3 |
| West, excluding California: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 272,565 | 45.9% | \$ 222,767 | 45.7% | \$ 369,091 | 53.7% |
| Private sector | 6,465 | 1.1 | 4,934 | 1.0 | 6,164 | 0.9 |
| East: | | | | | | |
| Public sector | \$ 61,922 | 10.4% | \$ 75,042 | 15.4% | \$ 60,475 | 8.8% |
| Private sector | 1,458 | 0.2 | 1,487 | 0.3 | 2,877 | 0.4 |
| Total | \$ 594,214 | 100.0% | \$ 487,751 | 100.0% | \$ 687,696 | 100.0% |

Contract backlog of \$594.2 million at June 30, 2010 was \$106.5 million, or 21.8%, higher than at March 31, 2010 and \$93.5 million, or 13.6%, lower than at June 30, 2009. The increase from March 31, 2010 was primarily due to an improved success rate on bidding activity for work in the West, including the award of a \$22.2 million highway widening project in California and a \$21.5 million bridge project in Washington. The decrease from June 30, 2009 was primarily driven by progress on existing projects, the continued weak demand for residential construction, and intense competition for public sector work as competitors migrated from the increasingly scarce private sector work, creating more competition for public sector projects.

Large Project Contract

Backlog¹

| (dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | | March 31, 2010 | | June 30, 2009 | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|----------------|--------|---------------|--------|
| California | \$ 32,568 | 3.4% | \$ 40,842 | 3.7% | \$ 71,091 | 8.4% |
| West, excluding California: | | | | | | |
| California | 53,868 | 5.6 | 61,907 | 5.7 | 95,785 | 11.3 |
| East | 870,644 | 91.0 | 988,502 | 90.6 | 677,671 | 80.3 |
| Total | \$ 957,080 | 100.0% | \$ 1,091,251 | 100.0% | \$ 844,547 | 100.0% |

¹All contract backlog is related to contracts with public agencies.

Contract backlog of \$957.1 million at June 30, 2010 was \$134.2 million, or 12.3%, lower than at March 31, 2010, and \$112.5 million, or 13.3%, higher than at June 30, 2009. The decrease in backlog from March 31, 2010 reflects progress made on large construction projects during the second quarter. The increase in backlog from June 30, 2009 reflects contract modifications and notices to proceed associated with a tunnel project in New York City and the Houston light rail project, as well as an award for our portion of the work on the World Trade Center Path Hall ("WTC") Project in New York City. Our share of the WTC work is 20% or approximately \$108.0 million. In July 2010, we received awards that will be booked into contract backlog in the third quarter of 2010, including a \$78.0 million rail project in California and a \$29.0 million highway project in New Mexico.

Contract backlog includes construction management contracts for the design of two large infrastructure projects. These contracts require us to negotiate with the owners for the construction phase of the projects. As the design phase of these projects advances, we have seen progress in our negotiations for the construction work. In July 2010 we were awarded approximately \$106.0 million associated with one of these projects to build a new freeway, transit and trail system project in Utah that will be booked into contract backlog in the third quarter of 2010.

Included in contract backlog is \$69.7 million, \$87.9 million and \$171.3 million for the periods June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010, and June 30, 2009, respectively, associated with noncontrolling interests.

31

Table of Contents

Gross Profit (Loss)

The following table presents gross profit (loss) by business segment for the respective periods:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Construction | \$ 22,901 | \$ 52,801 | \$ 24,747 | \$ 87,977 |
| Percent of segment revenue | 9.6% | 19.0% | 7.8 % | 19.7 % |
| Large Project Construction | \$ 21,835 | \$ 22,511 | \$ 31,318 | \$ 56,175 |
| Percent of segment revenue | 14.2% | 17.9% | 12.1 % | 20.4 % |
| Construction Materials | \$ 4,480 | \$ 9,583 | \$ (2,645) | \$ 9,269 |
| Percent of segment revenue | 7.3% | 16.7% | -3.0 % | 10.6 % |
| Real Estate | \$ 482 | \$ (1,000) | \$ 1,992 | \$ (790) |
| Percent of segment revenue | 26.1% | -187.3% | 22.5 % | -83.1 % |
| Total gross profit | \$ 49,698 | \$ 83,895 | \$ 55,412 | \$ 152,631 |
| Percent of total revenue | 10.9% | 18.2% | 8.2 % | 18.9 % |

We defer profit recognition until a project reaches 25% completion. In the case of large, complex design/build projects, we may defer profit recognition beyond the point of 25% completion until such time as we believe we have enough information to make a reasonably dependable estimate of contract revenue and cost. Because we have a large number of smaller projects at various stages of completion in our Construction segment, this policy generally does not impact gross profit significantly on a quarterly or annual basis. However, our Large Project Construction segment has fewer projects in process at any given time and gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary significantly in periods where one or several projects reach our percentage of completion threshold and the deferred profit is recognized or, conversely, in periods where contract backlog is growing rapidly and a higher percentage of projects are in their early stages with no associated gross profit recognition.

Revenue from projects that have not yet reached our profit recognition threshold is as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Construction | \$ 32,675 | \$ 15,413 | \$ 34,629 | \$ 16,064 |
| Large Project Construction | 34,386 | 6,416 | 59,401 | 11,066 |
| Total revenue from contracts with deferred profit | \$ 67,061 | \$ 21,829 | \$ 94,030 | \$ 27,130 |

We do not recognize revenue from contract claims until we have a signed agreement and payment is assured, nor do we recognize revenue from contract change orders until the owner has agreed to the change order in writing. However, we do recognize the costs related to any contract claims or pending change orders in our forecasts when costs are incurred, and revisions to estimated total costs are reflected as soon as the obligation to perform is determined. As a result, our gross profit as a percent of revenue can vary depending on the magnitude and timing of settlement claims and change orders.

When we experience significant contract forecast changes, we undergo a process that includes reviewing the nature of the changes to ensure that there are no material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period rather than as a change in estimate for the current period. In our review of these changes, we did not identify any material amounts that should have been recorded in a prior period.

Table of Contents

Construction gross profit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$29.9 million and \$63.2 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009. The decreases were due to lower revenues, a highly competitive marketplace and lower margins in beginning backlog. In addition, the decreases were due in part to the recognition, in 2009, of deferred profit on a design/build project that reached the point of profit recognition during the first six months of 2009.

Large Project Construction gross profit remained relatively unchanged for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and decreased \$24.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009. Gross profit for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was impacted by unusually wet weather, design issues and higher than anticipated job costs during the first quarter of the year. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, \$59.4 million of revenue was recognized on projects which have not yet reached the profit recognition threshold compared to \$11.1 million for the same period in 2009. Additionally, the net impact of a negotiated claims settlement on a project in the Northeast added \$17.3 million to gross profit during 2009. On a large highway project in mountainous terrain in Oregon, several hillsides have experienced unanticipated ground movement. In some locations, the ground movements have caused damage to completed portions of bridge structures. The Company and the project owner, the Oregon Department of Transportation, are discussing the technical issues associated with these ground movements and identifying and analyzing possible remedial work plans to address these developments. At this time, the Company cannot reasonably estimate the impact, if any, these developments may have on the projected financial results for this project. Therefore, no revisions in estimates have been made this quarter related to these developments.

Construction Materials gross profit (loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$5.1 million and \$11.9 million compared to the same periods in 2009 due primarily to higher fixed costs related to new plants that became operational late in 2009 without a corresponding increase in sales.

Real Estate gross profit was \$0.5 million and \$2.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to gross losses of \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million in the same periods of 2009. Gross profit increased primarily due to the sale of a commercial property in California in the first half of 2010.

Table of Contents

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

The following table presents the components of selling, general and administrative expenses for the respective periods:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Selling | | | | |
| Salaries and related expenses | \$ 12,484 | \$ 12,423 | \$ 26,254 | \$ 24,780 |
| Other selling expenses | 2,646 | 3,768 | 5,422 | 7,244 |
| Total selling | \$ 15,130 | \$ 16,191 | \$ 31,676 | \$ 32,024 |
| General and administrative | | | | |
| Salaries and related expenses | \$ 16,834 | \$ 18,993 | \$ 37,534 | \$ 39,874 |
| Incentive compensation, discretionary profit sharing and other variable compensation | 6,205 | 6,623 | 9,663 | 12,146 |
| (Recovery of) provision for doubtful accounts, net | (102) | (663) | 406 | (3,386) |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 13,290 | 15,175 | 27,370 | 30,016 |
| Total general and administrative | \$ 36,227 | \$ 40,128 | \$ 74,973 | \$ 78,650 |
| Total | \$ 51,357 | \$ 56,319 | \$ 106,649 | \$ 110,674 |
| Percent of revenue | 11.3% | 12.2% | 15.9 % | 13.7 % |

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$5.0 million, or 8.8% and \$4.0 million, or 3.6%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009.

Selling Expenses

Selling expenses include the costs of business and aggregate resource development, estimating and bidding. Selling compensation can vary due to the number of project employees assigned to estimating and bidding activities. As projects are completed or the level of work slows down, we temporarily redeploy project employees to work on bidding activities of new projects, moving their salaries and related costs from cost of revenues to selling expenses.

Total selling expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1.1 million, or 6.6%, and \$0.3 million, or 1.1%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009, as we continue to reduce our overall cost structure.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses include costs related to our operational offices that are not allocated to direct contract costs and expenses related to our corporate offices. Other general and administrative expenses include information technology, occupancy, office supplies, depreciation, travel and entertainment, outside services, training and other miscellaneous expenses, none of which individually exceeded 10% of total selling, general and administrative expenses.

Total general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$3.9 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2009 which included the recovery of \$1.6 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, on an account that had been reserved for in previous years. Incentive compensation for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$0.4 million and \$2.5 million, respectively, due to lower profits

and was primarily comprised of amortization related to unvested restricted stock issued in previous years.

Table of Contents

Other (Expense) Income

The following table presents the components of other (expense) income for the respective periods:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income | \$ 1,098 | \$ 1,109 | \$ 2,037 | \$ 3,170 |
| Interest expense | (3,013) | (2,853) | (6,747) | (6,341) |
| Equity in (loss) income of affiliates | (387) | 783 | (706) | 339 |
| Other income, net | 1,934 | 1,431 | 4,831 | 5,216 |
| Total other (expense) income | \$ (368) | \$ 470 | \$ (585) | \$ 2,384 |

Interest income remained relatively unchanged during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and decreased in the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared with the same periods in 2009. The decrease was primarily due to lower cash balances in 2010. Other income, net during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is primarily comprised of \$2.9 million of previously deferred income related to the sale of an investment in our affiliate in 2008. Other income, net during the six months ended June 30, 2009 is primarily comprised of a gain of approximately \$4.4 million related to the sale of gold, a by-product of one of our aggregate extraction operations.

Income Taxes

The following table presents the provision for (benefit from) income taxes for the respective periods:

| (dollars in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Provision for (benefit from) income taxes | \$ 4,406 | \$ 8,187 | \$ (3,207) | \$ 13,016 |
| Effective tax rate | 248.5% | 26.5% | 7.4% | 26.2% |

We calculate our income tax provision (benefit) at the end of each interim period by estimating our annual effective tax rate and apply that rate to our year-to-date ordinary earnings. The effect of changes in enacted tax laws, tax rates or tax status is recognized in the interim period in which the change occurs.

Our effective tax rate increased to 248.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 from 26.5% and decreased to 7.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from 26.2% for the same periods in 2009, respectively. These changes were primarily due to a downward revision of our estimated annual effective tax rate resulting from a forecasted increase in noncontrolling interests as a percent of net income, as income taxes on noncontrolling interests are not included in our effective tax rate. The unusually high rate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 is due to adjusting the year-to-date effective tax rate to the current estimate of our annual effective tax rate.

Noncontrolling Interests

The following table presents the amount attributable to noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries for the respective periods:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|----------------|-----------------------------|------|---------------------------|------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|
| Amount attributable to noncontrolling interests | \$ | (4,058) | \$ | (4,718) | \$ | (7,282) | \$ | (9,785) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|----|---------|

The amount attributable to noncontrolling interests represents the noncontrolling owners' share of the income or loss of our consolidated construction joint ventures and real estate development entities. The balance remained relatively unchanged for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to 2009 as new projects replaced those that were nearing completion in 2009. The amount for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased compared to the same period in 2009 as activity on joint venture projects neared completion.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We believe our cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, cash generated from operations and amounts available under our existing committed credit facility will be sufficient to meet our expected working capital needs, capital expenditures, financial commitments, cash dividend payments, and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through the next twelve months. If we experience a prolonged change in our business operating results or make a significant acquisition, we may need to acquire additional sources of financing, which, if available, may be limited by the terms of our existing debt covenants, or may require the amendment of our existing debt agreements.

The following table presents our cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities, including amounts from our consolidated joint ventures, as of the respective dates:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 | June 30, 2009 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents excluding consolidated joint ventures | \$ 102,760 | \$ 216,518 | \$ 235,228 |
| Consolidated joint venture cash and cash equivalents | 105,690 | 122,438 | 120,940 |
| Total consolidated cash and cash equivalents | 208,450 | 338,956 | 356,168 |
| Short-term and long-term marketable securities ¹ | 141,857 | 119,385 | 78,206 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities | \$ 350,307 | \$ 458,341 | \$ 434,374 |

¹See Note 4 of “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” for the composition of our marketable securities.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities. Our cash and cash equivalents are comprised of commercial paper, deposits and money market funds held with established national financial institutions. Marketable securities consist of municipal bonds, commercial paper, and U.S. government and agency obligations. Cash and cash equivalents held by our consolidated joint ventures represents the working capital needs of each joint venture’s project. The decision to distribute cash must generally be made jointly by all of the partners and therefore these funds are not available for the working capital needs of Granite.

Table of Contents

| Cash Flows (in thousands) | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net cash used in: | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (38,918) | \$ (6,035) |
| Investing activities | (40,237) | (64,208) |
| Financing activities | (51,351) | (34,432) |

Cash used in operating activities was \$38.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$6.0 million in 2009. The increased use of cash was primarily driven by our net loss and was partially offset by a more favorable change in working capital items in 2010 as compared to 2009.

Cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$24.0 million lower than the same period in 2009. The change was primarily due to a \$33.9 million decrease in purchases of property and equipment related to the completion of two aggregate and asphalt plants in 2009 partially offset by an increase in purchases of marketable securities as we continue to move from cash and cash equivalents to marketable securities. In addition, the first half of 2010 included a \$6.4 million investment in a corporation that designs and manufactures power generation and equipment systems.

Cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$16.9 million compared to the same period in 2009. The primary reason for this change was a \$10.7 million increase in distributions to noncontrolling partners, net.

Capital Expenditures

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we had capital expenditures of \$21.8 million compared to \$55.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Major capital expenditures are typically for aggregate and asphalt production facilities, aggregate reserves, construction equipment, buildings and leasehold improvements and investments in our information technology systems. The timing and amount of such expenditures can vary based on the progress of planned capital projects, the type and size of construction projects, changes in business outlook and other factors. We currently anticipate investing up to \$50.0 million in capital expenditures during 2010.

Table of Contents

Bank and Surety Credit Facilities

On June 22, 2010, we refinanced our \$150.0 million bank revolving line of credit (“LOC”), which allows for unsecured borrowings through June 22, 2013. Borrowings under the LOC bear interest at LIBOR plus an applicable margin based upon certain financial ratios calculated quarterly. The margin was 2.75% at June 30, 2010. The unused and available portion of the LOC was \$145.8 million at June 30, 2010. We had standby letters of credit (“Letters”) totaling approximately \$4.2 million outstanding at June 30, 2010, all of which will expire between October 2010 and March 2011. These Letters will likely be replaced upon expiration.

Additionally, we are generally required to provide various types of surety bonds that provide an additional measure of security under certain public and private sector contracts. At June 30, 2010, approximately \$1.5 billion of our contract backlog was bonded. Performance bonds do not have stated expiration dates; rather, we are generally released from the bonds when the owner accepts the contract. The ability to maintain bonding capacity to support our current and future level of contracting requires that we maintain cash and working capital balances satisfactory to our sureties.

A significant portion of our real estate held for development and sale is secured by mortgages. These mortgages are typically renegotiated to reflect the evolving nature of the real estate projects as they progress through acquisition, entitlement and development. Modification of mortgage terms may include changes in loan-to-value ratios requiring our GLC real estate entities to repay portions of the debt. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we provided additional funding of \$0.8 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, to these real estate entities to facilitate mortgage refinancing. As of June 30, 2010, the principal amount of debt of our real estate entities secured by mortgages was \$56.3 million of which \$39.7 million is included in current liabilities and \$16.6 million is included in long-term liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Restrictive covenants under the terms of our debt agreements require the maintenance of certain financial ratios and tangible net worth (as defined by the debt agreements). Our debt agreements define certain events of default such as the failure to observe certain covenants or the failure by us or one of our consolidated subsidiaries (other than any real estate affiliate over which we exercise control that incurs certain indebtedness) to pay its debts as they become due. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with our debt covenants and our affiliates and consolidated subsidiaries were current with their debt agreements. We are not aware of any debt agreement non-compliance by our unconsolidated entities as of June 30, 2010. Should we, our affiliates, or consolidated subsidiaries fail to comply with these covenants, or should any other event of default occur (other than certain indebtedness incurred by any of our real estate affiliates), the lenders could cause the amounts due under the debt agreements to become immediately payable and terminate their obligation to make further credit available.

Share Purchase Program

In 2007, our Board of Directors authorized us to purchase up to \$200.0 million of our common stock at management’s discretion. As of June 30, 2010, \$64.1 million was available for purchase. We did not purchase shares under the share purchase program in any of the periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 of the “Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements” for a description of recent accounting pronouncements, including the expected dates of adoption and effects on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, statements of operations and statements of cash flows.

Website Access

Our website address is www.graniteconstruction.com. On our website we make available, free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the US Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on our website is not incorporated into, and is not part of, this report. These reports, and any amendments to them, are also available at the website of the US Securities and Exchange Commission, www.sec.gov.

Table of Contents

Item QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

3.

There was no significant change in our exposure to market risks since December 31, 2009.

Item CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

4.

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of June 30, 2010, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the second quarter of 2010, there were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

40

Table of Contents

Item LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

1.

See Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 16 - Legal Proceedings.

Item RISK FACTORS

1A.

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Item UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

2.

None.

Item DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

3.

None.

Item RESERVED

4.

Item OTHER INFORMATION

5.

None.

Item EXHIBITS

6.

- 10.1 † Credit Agreement dated June 22, 2010 among Granite Construction Incorporated and five enumerated financial institutions and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent
- 10.2 † Guaranty Agreement dated June 22, 2010 from the subsidiaries of Granite Construction Incorporated as Guarantors of financial accommodations pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement date June 22, 2010
- 10.3 † International Swap Dealers Association, Inc. Master Agreement and Credit Support Annex between Shell Energy north America (US), L.P. and Granite Construction Incorporated dated as of March 16, 2010
- 31.1 † Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- 31.2 † Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- 32 †† Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 101.INS †† XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH †† XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL †† XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.LAB †† XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase

101.PRE †† XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

101.DEF †† XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

† Filed herewith

†† Furnished herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION
INCORPORATED

Date: August 2, 2010 By:

/s/ Laurel J. Krzeminski
Laurel J. Krzeminski
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

