RAINING DATA CORP Form 10KSB/A July 26, 2002

### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## Form 10-KSB/A

(Mark one)

b ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 0-16449

# **Raining Data Corporation**

(Name of Small Business Issuer in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State of Incorporation)
17500 Cartwright Road

Irvine, California

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

94-3046892

(I.R.S. Employer ID. No.)

92614

(Zip Code)

(949) 442-4400

(Issuer s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange
on Which Registered

None N/ A

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$0.10 par value

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Check if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of Registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. þ

The Registrant s revenues for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 were \$20.0 million.

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$10,750,274 on June 21, 2002 based on the closing sale price of such stock on that date.

As of June 21, 2002, the Registrant had 17,685,463 shares of its common stock outstanding.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): o Yes b No

#### EXPLANATORY NOTE

On June 28, 2002 the Company filed Part I and II of its Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002. This amended filing includes information required under Part III. This amendment does not otherwise attempt to update the information or financial results in the originally filed Form 10-KSB or to reflect events occurring after the filing date.

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### PART I

- Item 1. Description of Business
- Item 2. Description of Property
- Item 3. Legal Proceedings
- Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

#### PART II

- Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters
- Item 6. Management s Discussion and Analysis
- Item 7. Financial Statements
- Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

#### PART III

- <u>Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, and Control Persons; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>
- Item 10. Executive Compensation
- Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
- Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions
- Item 13.Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

#### **SIGNATURES**

RAINING DATA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

EXHIBIT 23.1

### **Table of Contents**

### RAINING DATA CORPORATION

### FISCAL YEAR 2002 FORM 10-KSB ANNUAL REPORT

### **INDEX**

PART I	
Item 1. Description of Business Item 2. Description of Property	1
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	7
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	7
PART II	
Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters Item 6. Management s Discussion and Analysis	7 8
Item 7. Financial Statements	23
Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	23
PART III	
Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	24
Item 10. Executive Compensation	26
Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	29
Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	31
Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	32
Signatures	35

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PART I

#### Item 1. Description of Business

This Form 10-KSB contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements include Raining Data Corporation s (Raining Data or the Company) expectations, hopes and intentions regarding the future, including but not limited to statements regarding Raining Data s strategy, competition, development plans (including anticipated cost, timing and eventual acceptance of new products and services by the market), financing, revenue and operations. Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include the risks described in the Risk Factor section and elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to the Company as of the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

#### Overview

Raining Data was incorporated in the State of Delaware in August 1987. The Company was originally incorporated as Blyth Holdings, Inc., and the name was changed to Omnis Technology Corporation in September 1997. Effective December 1, 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of PickAx, Inc., a Delaware corporation ( PickAx ), pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger dated August 23, 2000. Concurrent with the acquisition, the Company changed its name to Raining Data Corporation. The principal asset of PickAx is the capital stock of Pick Systems, now a wholly owned subsidiary of PickAx, which PickAx acquired from the estate of Richard Pick, the founder of Pick Systems, in March 2000. Pick Systems was incorporated in California in November 1982.

Raining Data s principal business is the design, development, sale and support of software infrastructure. The Company s software can be categorized into two product lines: Multidimensional Database Management Systems (MDMS) and Rapid Application Development (RAD) Software Tools. The Company s products allow customers to create and enhance flexible software applications for their own needs. The MDMS products are based on the multi-dimensional data model and designed to operate in environments such as Windows, Unix and Linux. The Company s RAD products support the full life cycle of software application development and are designed for rapid prototyping, development and deployment of graphical user interface (GUI) client/ server and web applications. The RAD products are object-oriented and component-based, providing the ability to deploy applications on such operating system platforms as Windows, Unix and Linux, as well as such database environments as Oracle, DB2, Sybase, Microsoft SQL Server and other Open Data Base Connectivity (ODBC) compatible database management systems.

Since the start of fiscal year 2002, the Company has changed the mix of its research and development efforts to include technologies, markets and products outside of its historical market, specifically XML-based Internet infrastructure products. Such efforts may not result in new products, and any new products may not be successful.

The Company s products are used by in-house corporate development teams, commercial application developers, system integrators, independent software vendors and independent consultants.

The Company licenses its software on a per-server basis or per-user basis. Therefore, the addition of servers or users to existing systems increases the Company s revenues from its installed base of licenses.

In addition to computer software products, the Company provides continuing maintenance and customer service contracts, as well as professional services, technical support and training to help plan, analyze, implement and maintain application software based on its products.

Since the acquisition of PickAx, the Company has continued to develop and enhance its MDMS and RAD products. Major and minor releases of all products are currently in progress.

1

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company has direct sales offices in the United States, United Kingdom, France and Germany and maintains distributor relationships in many other parts of the world.

#### **Products**

The Company markets its products under the Raining Data brand name. The Company s principal products include MDMS and RAD software tools for computer application development.

#### Multidimensional Database Management Systems Products

The Company s MDMS products include a comprehensive set of software development tools that allow the user to write, compile and run application programs. Historically, the principal advantages of the Multidimensional Database system have been simple program development, maximum flexibility, ease of use, and low total cost of ownership. Over the years, a community of vertical market application developers using the Multidimensional Database platform has emerged.

The MDMS product line consists principally of the D3 Data Base Management System (D3), which operates on systems including IBM AIX, DG/UX, HP-UX, Linux, Microsoft NT 2000, SCO UnixWare, SCO System V and Sun Solaris. D3 allows application programmers to create new business solution software in less time than it normally takes in many other environments. This can translate to lower costs for the developer, lower software prices for the customer and reduced costs of ownership for both the developer and end user. The Company s MDMS products also include mvEnterprise, a scalable solution that allows the user to port applications from other multidimensional databases and to leverage the capabilities of UNIX and mvBase, which enables the developer to easily migrate multidimensional database applications to the Windows/ client-server environment.

#### **RAD Products**

The RAD product line is designed to allow customers to develop software solutions that can be continuously enhanced to respond to changing business and technical needs. The Company s RAD products support the full life cycle of software applications and are designed for rapid development and deployment of web and client/server applications. These products enable reuse of software objects and the ability to integrate objects from disparate programming languages on a number of different operating system platforms. RAD products are used by corporations, system integrators, independent software vendors, small businesses and independent consultants to deliver custom software solutions for a wide range of users. Omnis Studio, the Company s premium RAD product, is an object-oriented, rapid application development tool offering efficient visual assembly of components and objects. Omnis Studio includes cross-platform support for Windows 95, Windows 98, Windows NT, Windows 2000, Mac OSX and Linux. In addition to these products, the Company provides technical support and training to help plan, analyze, implement and maintain application software based on the Company s technology.

#### **Training Services**

As part of its sales efforts, the Company offers training programs to its customers and prospective customers. These programs include classes on basic and advanced skills as well as classes designed to assist customers in the implementation and use of the Company s products.

#### **Technical Support**

The Company s products are used by its customers to build and deploy applications that may become a critical component of their business operations. As a result, continuing to provide customer technical support services is an important element of the Company s business strategy. Customers who participate in the Company s support programs receive periodic maintenance releases and direct technical support when required.

2

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Sales and Distribution

#### **United States Distribution**

In the United States, the Company sells its products through established distribution channels consisting of system integrators, specialized vertical application software developers and consulting organizations. The Company also sells its products directly through the Company sells are personnel to large end user organizations.

#### International Distribution

Outside the United States, the Company maintains direct sales offices in the United Kingdom, France and Germany. In June 2001, the Company closed its sales office in South Africa. In addition, the Company has distributor relationships in over 25 countries. Distributors in Latin America and the Pacific Rim are managed from the corporate offices in Irvine, California, while distributors in Europe, the Middle East and Africa are managed from the European offices of the Company. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, approximately 30% of the Company s revenue came from sales through the Company s offices located outside the United States.

#### Customers

The Company s customers can be classified into two general categories:

Independent Software Vendors and Software Developers. The majority of the Company s revenue is derived from independent software vendors, which typically write their own vertical application software that they sell as a complete package to end user customers. This category includes value added resellers (VARs) and software-consulting companies that provide contract programming services to their customers.

Corporate Information Technology ( IT ) Departments. The Company also derives a significant portion of its revenue from sales to IT departments of large corporations.

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001, no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company s revenues. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2000, one customer, Nortel, accounted for 19% of the Company s revenues.

#### **Research and Development**

The Company has devoted significant resources to the research and development of products and technology. The Company believes that its future success will depend in large part on a strong development effort with respect to both its existing and new products. Since the start of fiscal year 2002, the Company has changed the mix of its research and development efforts to include technologies, markets and products outside of its historical market, specifically XML-based Internet infrastructure products. The Company intends for these efforts to improve the operating results of the Company and increase cash flow. However, such efforts may not result in new products, and any new products may not be successful.

Research and development expenses increased 42% to \$5.6 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$3.9 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001, representing 28% and 42% of total net revenue during the periods, respectively. For the year ended March 31, 2001, research and development expense increased 71% to \$3.9 million from \$2.3 million for the year ended March 31, 2000.

### Competition

The application development tools software market is rapidly changing and intensely competitive. The Omnis Studio line of RAD developer products currently encounters competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft Corporation (Visual Basic), Inprise Corporation (Delphi), Allaire Corporation (Cold Fusion) and Magic Software Enterprises. The Company s database products encounter competition from several companies including Oracle, Microsoft SQL/ Server, IBM DB2, Sybase and IBM Informix.

3

#### **Table of Contents**

A number of the Company s competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than the Company. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or emerging technologies, evolving markets, changes in customer requirements, and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products.

The Company believes that its ability to compete in the various MDMS and RAD markets depends on factors both within and outside its control, including the timing, performance and price of new products developed by both the Company and the Company s competitors. Although the Company believes that it currently competes favorably with respect to most of these factors, the Company may not be able to maintain its competitive position against current and potential competitors, especially those with greater resources.

#### **Intellectual Properties and Other Proprietary Rights**

The Company relies primarily on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual provisions to protect its intellectual property and proprietary rights. The Company s trademarks and service marks include, but are not limited to, Raining Data, Pick, Pick Systems, Omnis, mvEnterprise, mvBase, mvDesigner and D3. In addition to trademark and copyright protections, the Company licenses its products to end users on a right to use basis pursuant to a perpetual license agreement that restricts use of products to a specified number of users. The Company generally relies on shrink-wrap or click-wrap licenses that become effective when a customer opens the package or downloads and installs the software on its system. In order to retain exclusive ownership rights to its software and technology, the Company generally provides its software in object code only, with contractual restrictions on copying, disclosure, and transferability. There can be no assurance that these protections will be adequate, or that the Company s competitors will not independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to the Company s technology.

#### **Production**

The Company utilizes certain of its distributors in some international markets to localize the products in these markets, including conversion of the product and product documentation to local languages, where necessary. The distributor for that market then handles the production of the resulting localized product.

### **Backlog**

The Company generally ships software products as orders are received and has historically operated with little backlog. As a result, the Company s license revenue in any given quarter is dependent upon orders received and product shipped during the quarter. Traditionally there has been a short cycle between receipt of an order and shipment. Consequently, the Company does not believe that its backlog as of any particular date is meaningful.

### **Employees**

At May 31, 2002, the Company had 145 employees worldwide of which 101 were in the United States and 44 were in the international offices of the Company. Of the 145 employees, 140 were full time employees with approximately 40% in research and development, 20% in technical support, 20% in sales and marketing and 20% in general and administrative functions.

4

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Executive Officers**

The following sets forth certain information regarding the executive officers of the Company as of April 15, 2002:

Name	Age	Position(s)		
Carlton H. Baab	44	President and Chief Executive Officer		
Mario I. Barrenechea	41	Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing		
Mark Allen	57	Vice President, Worldwide Customer Support & Training		
Brian C. Bezdek	31	Vice President, Finance, Corporate Controller & Secretary		
John Bramley	45	Vice President, New Applications		
Janet Crumpley	45	Vice President, Sales, North America, Latin America & Asia		
Rick Davies	49	Vice President, Customer Service, Major Accounts		
Boris Geller	38	Vice President, Market Development		
Gwyneth M. Gibbs	58	Vice President, European Operations		
Soheil Raissi	46	Vice President, Product Development & Professional Services		

Mr. Baab joined the Company as the President and Chief Executive Officer in August 2001. From May 2001 to August 2001, Mr. Baab served as a Managing Principal of Astoria Capital Management, a significant stockholder of the Company. In August 2001, Mr. Baab took a formal leave of absence to join the Company. From January 2000 to April 2001, Mr. Baab was the Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Certive, Inc., a web-based small-business services firm. From January 1999 to January 2000, Mr. Baab was the Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of RemarQ Communities, Inc., a web-based provider of discussion group services. Mr. Baab served as Chief Financial Officer of the CKS Group, a marketing communications company, from February 1994 through December 1998.

In addition, Mr. Baab served as an Executive Vice President and the Secretary of CKS from August 1995 through December 1998 and as CKS s Chief Operating Officer from August 1995 through May 1996. Mr. Baab also served on the Board of Directors of Momentum Business Applications, Inc. (Nasdaq: MMTM), which provided research and development expertise on a contract basis, until Momentum was acquired by PeopleSoft (Nasdaq: PSFT) in April 2002. Mr. Baab also serves on the University of Southern California, School of Engineering Board of Councilors. Mr. Baab holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering, with honors, from the University of Southern California and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration.

Mr. Barrenechea has served as Senior Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing since December 2000. Mr. Barrenechea joined the Company from PickAx where he served in a similar capacity. From 1994 until joining PickAx in 2000, Mr. Barrenechea served in various executive sales and marketing capacities at Informix, Inc., a leading supplier of computer software relational and multidimensional databases. Mr. Barrenechea holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Temple University.

Mr. Allen joined the Company as Vice President, Worldwide Customer Support and Training in August 2001. From January 2000 to August 2001, Mr. Allen served as Vice President, Service and Support at Bay Logics, a computer software company. From July 1998 to December 1999, Mr. Allen served as Director, Software Services at SGI (formally Silicon Graphics Computer Systems). In addition, from June 1997 to June 1998, Mr. Allen served as Director, Research and Development at CoCreate Software, Inc. Mr. Allen attended the University of Massachusetts where he majored in Business Administration.

Mr. Bezdek joined the Company as Vice President, Finance, Corporate Controller and Secretary in April 2002. From May 1996 to April 2002, Mr. Bezdek held various corporate finance positions, most recently as Vice President of Finance, at Activision Publishing Inc. (Nasdaq: ATVI), a worldwide publisher, developer and distributor of video games and interactive entertainment products. Mr. Bezdek holds a B.S. in Business Administration from Bowling Green State University and is a Certified Public Accountant as well as a Certified Cash Manager.

5

#### **Table of Contents**

Mr. Bramley has served as Vice President, New Applications since September 2001. Mr. Bramley joined the Company from PickAx where he served as Vice President, Engineering until his change in title. Mr. Bramley joined Pick Systems in November 1996, which was acquired by PickAx in March 2000. Mr. Bramley holds a B.S. in Computer Science from the State University of New York at Plattsburgh.

Ms. Crumpley has served as Vice President, Sales North America, Latin America and Asia since December 2000. Ms. Crumpley joined the Company from PickAx where she served as Vice President of Sales. Ms. Crumpley joined Pick Systems in January 1988 and served in various sales positions including National Sales Director. Ms. Crumpley holds a B.A. in Business from Boston Business College.

Mr. Davies has served as Vice President, Customer Service, Major Accounts since August 2001. Mr. Davies joined the Company from PickAx where he served as Vice President Technical Services. Mr. Davies joined Pick Systems in May 1986. Mr. Davies holds a B.S. in Information and Computer Science and a B.A. in Psychology from the University of California at Irvine.

Mr. Geller has served as Vice President, Market Development since March 2002. Mr. Geller joined the Company from Steeleye Technology, Inc., an enterprise reliability and disaster recovery software company, where he served as Vice President, Marketing since May 2000. From February 1999 to May 2000, Mr. Geller served as Senior Director, Marketing and Alliances for BEA Systems (NASDAQ: BEAS), a provider of application infrastructure. From April 1998 to February 1999, Mr. Geller served as Senior Director, Marketing and Business Development for Imparto Software Corp., a web marketing automation software company. Prior to that, Mr. Geller served in a variety of executive and senior management positions in marketing, business development and product development at BMC/BGS (NYSE: BMC), HP/Digital Equipment Corp. (NYSE: HPQ), Legato/ Qualix (NASDAQ: LGTO) and other enterprise software companies. Mr. Geller holds a B.S. and a M.S. in Computer Science from Boston University and an M.B.A. from Bentley College.

Mrs. Gibbs has served as Vice President, European Operations from the Company s offices in the United Kingdom since December 2000. Mrs. Gibbs served as President and Interim Chief Executive Officer of Omnis, Inc. from October 1998 until the Company s merger with PickAx in December 2000. Mrs. Gibbs joined the Company in October 1994 and was initially responsible for Research and Development in Europe. Mrs. Gibbs holds a B.S. in Astronomy from the University of London.

Mr. Raissi has served as Vice President, Product Development and Professional Services since September 2001. From March 2001 to September 2001, Mr. Raissi performed independent software and management consulting services. From September 2000 to March 2001, Mr. Raissi served as Vice President, Product Development for Equative, Inc., a computer software company providing web-based enterprise resource management applications to medium and larger enterprises. From September 1999 to August 2000, Mr. Raissi served as Vice President, Technical Services for Zland.com, an application service provider supplying hosted web-based applications through the Internet. From February 1996 to September 1999, Mr. Raissi served as the founding President of the Information Technology Group, which provided record and information management and retention scheduling software services to Fortune 1000 companies. Mr. Raissi holds a B.S. in Computer Science from California State University, Dominguez Hills and a B.A. in Literature from Pars University in Tehran, Iran.

#### Item 2. Description of Property

Following its acquisition of PickAx, the Company relocated its headquarters from San Carlos, California, to Irvine, California, where the Company currently leases approximately 44,750 square feet of office space in two buildings pursuant to a lease that expires in 2005 and provides for a base monthly rent of approximately \$69,000. The facility accommodates engineering, technical support, sales, marketing, and general administration. The Company subleases one of the two buildings representing approximately 13,000 square feet to Marvin Engineering Company, an unrelated third party.

6

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company owns a three-story building consisting of approximately 5,900 total square feet located on approximately six acres of land in Suffolk, England. The facility houses engineers, marketing, and technical support.

The Company also leases three sales and support offices in the United States and Europe. The Company does not invest in real estate as part of its investment decisions.

#### Item 3. Legal Proceedings

In addition to the matters described below, the Company is subject from time to time to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business.

In May 2001, General Automation initiated litigation in Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange against the Company for breach of contract relating to the Pick Systems purchase of selected assets of General Automation in August 2000. General Automation seeks in excess of \$1,000,000 in damages, penalties and interest, in addition to attorneys fees and costs. The Company has asserted counterclaims for fraud, breach of contract and indemnity. Currently, the Company and General Automation are in discussions to settle the claims. Barring a settlement, a trial date has been set for July 29, 2002. The Company does not expect the ultimate resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on its results of operations. However, a payout of the amount sought by General Automation would have a significant impact on the Company s liquidity and capital resources.

In July 2000, Park Applications Computer Engineering, Ltd. (PACE) sued the Company in the Queen s Bench Division Company of the High Court of Justice in Northern Ireland. PACE sought damages of \$800,000 plus penalties and interest for alleged breach of contract relating to the purchase by Pick Systems of software from PACE. In January 2002, the Company and PACE entered into a settlement agreement under which the Company agreed to pay \$500,000 to PACE. Of this settlement, \$250,000 was paid to PACE in January 2002 and the remaining \$250,000 will be paid over a two-year period.

In June 2001, Douce Bis sued the Company s UK subsidiary in the Commercial Court of Paris, France for approximately \$990,000 plus costs. The claim was for compensation and loss of profits due Douce Bis under the terms of the Douce Bis/ Omnis distributorship agreement entered into in 1983 and extended from time to time thereafter. The Company terminated Douce Bis as the Omnis distributor in France effective December 2000. The Company and Douce Bis entered into a settlement agreement in April 2002 under which the Company paid the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$415,000.

In June 2001, Thomas Arata, Jr. and Edward Runci, former employees of PickAx, sued the Company in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in Louisiana. The suit was for unspecified amounts charging breach of contract, fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, unfair trade practices, and unjust enrichment concerning Arata s and Runci s activities for and on behalf of PickAx prior to and during PickAx s acquisition of Pick Systems in March 2000 and the subsequent termination of their employment. In May 2002, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Arata and Runci under which it paid to Arata and Runci an aggregate amount of \$250,000 in cash and 100,000 shares of the Company s common stock.

#### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted for a vote of the stockholders of the Company during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.

#### **PART II**

### Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

#### **Market Information**

The common stock of the Company is traded on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market under the symbol RDTA .

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for the Company s common stock for fiscal years 2001 and 2002:

Fiscal Year 2001	High	Low
	<b>\$16.50</b>	Ф. 7. <i>(</i> . 2)
First Quarter	\$16.50	\$5.63
Second Quarter	\$ 9.50	\$5.81
Third Quarter	\$14.00	\$2.94
Fourth Quarter	\$11.13	\$4.81
Fiscal Year 2002	High	Low
First Quarter	\$6.00	\$5.00
Second Quarter	\$5.60	\$1.55
Third Quarter	\$5.70	\$1.43
Fourth Quarter	\$3.63	\$2.10

On June 21, 2002, the closing price for the Company s common stock on the NASDAQ SmallCap Market was \$1.60 and there were approximately 200 holders of record of the Company s common stock.

#### **Dividends**

The Company has never declared or paid dividends on its common stock. The Company intends to retain earnings, if any, for the operation and expansion of the Company s business, and therefore does not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

#### Item 6. Management s Discussion and Analysis

This Form 10-KSB contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements include the Company's expectations, hopes, and intentions regarding the future, including but not limited to statements regarding the Company's strategy, competition, development plans (including anticipated cost, timing and eventual acceptance of new products and services by the market), financing, revenue, and operations. Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in any such statement. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from such forward-looking statements include the risks described in the Risk Factor's section and elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to the Company as of the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

This discussion and analysis of the financial statements and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited Consolidated Financial Statements, including the related notes thereto, contained elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB.

#### **Restatement of Financial Statements**

On February 14, 2002, Raining Data announced that it would restate its financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001 and each of the six quarterly periods ended September 30, 2001 due to the misapplication of certain accounting standards. This annual report on Form 10-KSB contains restated financial information and related disclosures for the year ended March 31, 2001 and reflects, where appropriate, changes as a result of the restatements.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

The discussion and analysis of the Company s financial condition and results of operations is based on the Company s consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements

8

#### **Table of Contents**

requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and disclosure of contingent liabilities.

On an on-going basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts, long-lived and intangible assets and goodwill and contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company has identified the accounting policies below as the policies critical to its business operations and the understanding of its results of operations. The Company believes the following critical accounting policies and the related judgments and estimates affect the preparation of its consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition The Company recognizes revenue using the residual method pursuant to the requirements of Statement of Position No. 97-2, Software Revenue Recognition (SOP 97-2), as amended by Statement of Position No. 98-9, Software Revenue Recognition with Respect to Certain Arrangements . Under the residual method, revenue is recognized in a multiple element arrangement when Company-specific objective evidence of fair value exists for all of the undelivered elements in the arrangement, but does not exist for one or more of the delivered elements in the arrangement. At the outset of the arrangement with the customer, the Company defers revenue for the fair value of its undelivered elements (e.g., maintenance) and recognizes revenue for the remainder of the arrangement fee attributable to the elements initially delivered in the arrangement (e.g., software license) when the basic criteria in SOP 97-2 have been met.

Under SOP 97-2, revenue attributable to an element in a customer arrangement is recognized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists and delivery has occurred, provided the fee is fixed or determinable, collectibility is probable and the arrangement does not require significant customization of the software. If at the outset of the customer arrangement, the Company determines that the arrangement fee is not fixed or determinable, the Company defers the revenue and recognizes the revenue when the arrangement fee becomes due and payable.

Professional services, maintenance and other revenues relate primarily to consulting services, maintenance and training. Maintenance revenues are recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, typically 12 months. Consulting and training revenues are recognized as the services are performed and are usually on a time and materials basis. Such services primarily consist of implementation services related to the installation of the Company s products and do not include significant customization to or development of the underlying software code.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts The Company maintains allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of the Company s customers to make required payments. The amount of the Company s reserves is based on historical experience and its analysis of the accounts receivable and the balances outstanding. If the financial condition of the Company s customers were to deteriorate, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances may be required which would result in an additional selling and marketing expense in the period such determination was made. While such credit losses have historically been within the Company s expectations and the provisions established, the Company cannot guarantee that it will continue to experience the same credit loss rates that it has in the past.

Long-Lived and Intangible Assets and Goodwill The Company assesses the impairment of identifiable intangibles, long-lived assets and related goodwill and enterprise level goodwill whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Factors the Company considers to be important which could trigger an impairment review include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Timing of the Company s revenue, significant changes in the manner of use of the acquired assets or the strategy for the overall business;

Significant negative industry or economic trends;

9

#### **Table of Contents**

Significant decline in the Company s stock price for a sustained period; and

The Company s market capitalization relative to net book value.

When the Company determines that the carrying value of intangibles, long-lived assets and related goodwill and enterprise level goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment and the carrying value of the asset cannot be recovered from projected undiscounted cash flows, the Company measures any impairment based on a projected undiscounted cash flow method using a discount rate determined by its management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in the current business model. Significant management judgment is required in determining whether an indicator of impairment exists and projecting cash flows.

Contingencies and Litigation The Company estimates a range of liability related to pending litigation based on claims for which its management can estimate the amount and range of loss. The Company records the minimum estimated liability related to those claims, where there is a range of loss. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and range of loss on litigation, management may be unable to make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from an unfavorable outcome. As additional information becomes available, the Company will assess the potential liability related to its pending litigation and revise its estimates. Such revisions in the Company s estimates of the potential liability could materially impact the results of operations and financial position.

#### **Results of Operations**

Effective December 1, 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of PickAx. The results for the year ended March 31, 2002 include a full year of combined operations. The results of operations for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001 differ materially because they contain only four months of combined operations. Consequently, the results of prior periods are not directly comparable to these periods or future periods. Given the limited amount of data on the combined operations, it may be more difficult for the Company to identify significant trends in the operating results. The Company does, however, view the MDMS and RAD markets in which it operates to be relatively stable and consistent from period to period and anticipates that its revenue in those markets will remain stable for the foreseeable future. The Company is committed to research and development efforts that are intended to allow it to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue. However, such efforts may not result in new products and any new products may not achieve market acceptance. In addition, the ability of the Company to continue its research and development efforts at anticipated levels is subject to the availability of adequate liquidity and other risks described below.

The Company sells its products in U.S. Dollars in North America, British Pounds Sterling in the United Kingdom and Euros in Germany and France. Foreign exchange gains and losses have not been material to the Company s performance to date.

The following table sets forth, as a percentage of total net revenue, certain Consolidated Statement of Operations data for the periods indicated, except for the cost of license revenue and the cost of service revenue, which are expressed as a percentage of the related revenue. This information should be read in

10

#### **Table of Contents**

conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-KSB.

#### Twelve Months Ended

	March 31, 2002	March 31, 2001	March 31, 2000	
Net Revenue License	49%	66%	80%	
Service	51%	34%	20%	
Total Net Revenue	100%	100%	100%	
Cost of Sales				
Cost of License Revenue (as a % of License Revenue)	4%	5%	3%	
Cost of Service Revenue (as a % of Service Revenue)	32%	53%	23%	
Gross Margin on License Revenue	96%	95%	96%	
Gross Margin on Service Revenue	68%	47%	77%	
Operating Expenses				
Selling and Marketing	31%	60%	52%	
Research and Development	28%	42%	37%	
General and Administrative	35%	45%	60%	
Stock-based Compensation	6%	19%	18%	
Amortization of Goodwill and Intangible Assets	64%	45%	0%	
Total Costs and Expenses	181%	233%	174%	
Operating Loss	(81)%	(133)%	(74)%	
Other Expense, net	(21)%	(19)%	(2)%	
Net Loss	(102)%	(152)%	(76)%	

#### Revenue

*Net Revenue*. Total net revenue increased 115% to \$20.0 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$9.3 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. Net revenue increased 50% to \$9.3 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001 from \$6.2 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2000.

The Company s revenue is derived principally from two sources: fees from software licensing and fees for services, including consulting, training, maintenance and technical support. License revenue increased 60% to \$9.9 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$6.2 million in fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. For the year ended March 31, 2001, license revenue increased 24% to \$6.2 million from \$5.0 million for the year ended March 31, 2000.

Service revenue increased 225% to \$10.1 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$3.1 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. For the year ended March 31, 2001, service revenue increased 158% to \$3.1 million from \$1.2 million for the year ended March 31, 2000.

The increase in total net revenue and service revenue as a percentage of total net revenue over the last three fiscal years is primarily due to the acquisition of PickAx in December 2000. PickAx service revenue was a more significant component of its total revenue as compared to the Omnis revenue mix. Combined operations increased total net revenue as most product lines were continued post-acquisition. The Company does, however, view the MDMS and RAD markets in which it operates to be relatively stable and consistent from period to period and does not anticipate a significant increase in revenue from those markets for the foreseeable future. In the longer term, the Company expects that the MDMS and RAD markets will eventually contract as customers adopt newer technologies. The Company will, when it believes it to be strategically beneficial, raise the selling price of its products, both existing and new releases. These price increases may increase the revenue of the Company without any growth in the markets in which the Company

#### **Table of Contents**

operates. There can be no guarantee that any pricing actions the Company may take will be successful in generating additional revenue.

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of revenue during the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001. For the year ended March 31, 2000, one customer, Nortel, accounted for 19% of the Company s revenue.

#### Cost of Revenue

Cost of License Revenue. Cost of license revenue is comprised of direct costs associated with software license sales including software packaging, documentation and physical media costs. Cost of license revenue as a percentage of license revenue decreased to 4% in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from 5% for the prior year. The decrease in cost of license revenue as a percentage of license revenue was due to the redesigned packaging and documentation of the former PickAx products. The cost of license revenue as a percentage of license revenue for the year ended March 31, 2000 was 4%.

Cost of Service Revenue. Cost of service revenue includes consulting, technical support, and training, all of which consist primarily of personnel related costs. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of net service revenue decreased to 32% in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from 53% in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. The decrease in cost of service revenue as a percentage of service revenue in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 was primarily due to management streamlining of operations and overhead cost reductions. Cost of service revenue as a percentage of net service revenue increased from 23% in the year ended March 31, 2000 to 53% in the year ended March 31, 2001. This was primarily due to the increase in service revenue acquired from PickAx in December 2000.

#### Operating Expense

Selling and Marketing Expense. Selling and marketing expense consists of salaries, benefits, sales commissions, travel, information technology and allocated overhead costs for the Company s sales and marketing personnel. Selling and marketing expense increased 9% to \$6.1 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$5.6 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001, representing 31% and 60% of total net revenue during the periods, respectively. For the year ended March 31, 2001, selling and marketing expense increased 74% to \$5.6 million from \$3.2 for the year ended March 31, 2000. The increase in absolute dollars in selling and marketing expense was due primarily to the acquisition of the PickAx operations, including higher levels of personnel staffing in PickAx in order for the Company to begin marketing all of the Company s products to all of the Company s customers as envisioned in the PickAx acquisition. In addition, the Company began marketing the Company s products to customers in other multi-dimensional market spaces not previously included in the Company s sales and marketing efforts. If the research and development efforts of the Company are successful and new products or services are created, the Company would then expect to incur increased sales and marketing expense to promote those new products in future periods.

Research and Development Expense. Research and development expense consists primarily of salaries and other personnel-related expense for engineering personnel, allocated overhead costs, costs of contractors and depreciation of equipment used in the development of our software products. Research and development expense increased 42% to \$5.6 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, from \$3.9 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001, representing 28% and 42% of total net revenue during the periods, respectively. For the year ended March 31, 2001, research and development expense increased 71% to \$3.9 million from \$2.3 million for the year ended March 31, 2000. The increase in research and development expense was due primarily to the efforts of the Company to develop products reflecting the combination of technologies resulting from the acquisition. The decrease of research and development expense as a percentage of revenue from the year ended March 31, 2001 to the year ended March 31, 2002 is attributable to the significant increase in net revenues during the fiscal 2002 year. Since the start of fiscal year 2002, the Company has changed the mix of its research and development efforts to include technologies, markets and products outside of its historical market, specifically XML-based Internet infrastructure products. The Company is committed to its research and development efforts and expects research and development expense in absolute dollars to

12

#### **Table of Contents**

remain stable in future periods and may increase if both liquidity improves and management believes that additional spending is warranted. Such efforts may not result in new products and any new products may not generate sufficient revenue, if any, to offset the research and development expense.

General and Administrative Expense. General and administrative expense includes costs associated with the Company s finance, human resources, legal and other administrative functions. These costs consist principally of salaries and other personnel related expenses, professional fees, provision for doubtful accounts, equipment depreciation and allocated overhead costs. General and administrative expense increased 64% to \$6.9 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$4.2 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. General and administrative expense represented approximately 35% of total net revenue in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 and 45% of total net revenue in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. For the year ended March 31, 2001, general and administrative expense increased 14% to \$4.2 million from \$3.7 million for the year ended March 31, 2000. This increase was due to severance and related costs being incurred as a result of cost reduction efforts following the PickAx acquisition and initiatives to eliminate redundant operations and activities. The Company also incurred severance and related costs in the year ended March 31, 2002 related to the changes in management and costs associated with the restatement of the Company s financial statements. The Company believes that the severance costs incurred in the years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 and restatement costs incurred in the year ended March 31, 2002 were one-time costs and, as a result, reductions in general and administrative cost related to the current structure and operations of the Company are possible in future years.

Stock-Based Compensation. Stock-based compensation decreased to \$1.2 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, from \$1.8 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. The decrease in stock-based compensation is attributable primarily to cancellations of options for terminated employees, options nearing the end of their vesting periods and a decrease in new options being granted with an exercise price below fair value at the date of grant. For the year ended March 31, 2001, stock-based compensation increased 61% to \$1.8 million from \$1.1 million for the year ended March 31, 2000. See Recently Issued Accounting Standards below.

Amortization of Goodwill and Intangible Assets. The Company recorded \$12.8 million for the amortization of goodwill and intangible assets in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 related to the acquisition of PickAx. The Company incurred \$4.2 million in goodwill and intangible asset amortization expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. The increase is due to a full year of amortization in the year ended March 31, 2002 as compared to only four months of amortization for the year ended March 31, 2001.

Other Expense. Other expense is primarily comprised of net interest expense and gains and losses on foreign currency transactions. Interest expense increased to \$3.9 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 from \$1.3 million in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. The increase in interest expense relates primarily to the note payable to Astoria for the principal amount of \$18.5 million, which was assumed in the acquisition of PickAx, accretion of a note discount resulting from stock warrants issued to Astoria in connection with the loan and other long term notes payable totaling \$1.0 million borrowed by the Company from other stockholders in August and September 2000.

The Company sells its products in U.S. Dollars in North America, in British Pounds Sterling in the United Kingdom and in Euros in Germany and France. As the Company recognizes revenue and expenses in these various currencies but reports its financial results in U.S. Dollars, changes in exchange rates may cause variances in the Company s period-to-period revenue and results of operations in future periods. Foreign exchange gains and losses have not been material to the Company s performance to date.

#### Net Loss

Net Loss. The net loss for the year ended March 31, 2002 was \$20.4 million or \$1.23 per share as compared to a loss of \$14.2 million or \$1.21 per share for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001. The increased loss relates to the PickAx acquisition for which the Company recognized approximately \$12.8 million in amortization of goodwill and intangible assets and approximately \$3.9 million in interest expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002.

13

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **EBITDA**

The Company had earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) of negative \$1.6 million and negative \$5.9 million for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 respectively. The improvement in EBITDA was primarily due to cost control and reductions in the second half of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002. EBITDA is defined as Operating Income (Loss) with an add-back for depreciation, goodwill and non-goodwill amortization, and non-cash stock-based compensation expense.

EBITDA does not represent funds available for management s discretionary use and is not intended to represent cash flow from operations. EBITDA should not be construed as a substitute for operating income or as a better measure of liquidity than cash flow from operating activities, which are determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). EBITDA excludes components that are significant in understanding and assessing the Company s results of operations and cash flows. In addition, EBITDA is not a term defined by GAAP and as a result the Company s measure of EBITDA might not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies.

However, the Company believes that EBITDA is relevant and useful information, which is often reported and widely used by analysts, investors and other interested parties in the Company's industry. Accordingly, the Company is disclosing this information to permit a more comprehensive analysis of our operating performance, as an additional measure of performance and liquidity and to provide additional information with respect to the Company's ability to service its debt and to meet its capital expenditure and working capital requirements. See the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto contained elsewhere in this report for more information.

#### Quarterly Operating Results

#### RAINING DATA CORPORATION

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS DATA

#### Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2002

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
		(in \$ 000 s)					
Total Net Revenue	\$ 5,236	\$ 4,593	\$ 5,089	\$ 5,127			
Total Costs and Expenses	8,646	8,214	8,009	7,819			
Operating Loss	(4,565)	(4,678)	(3,585)	(3,439)			
Net loss	(5,512)	(5,627)	(4,595)	(4,692)			
EBITDA	\$ (823)	\$(1,058)	\$ 141	\$ 158			

The Company believes the quarterly financial results show stability in net revenue derived from the MDMS and RAD markets, which the Company expects to continue in future periods. The decrease in the costs and expenses and improvement in the operating results for the second half of fiscal 2002 are the result of management s increased focus on costs and operation control. The Company expects to continue those efforts while maintaining its spending on research and development.

#### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At March 31, 2002, the Company had \$4.5 million in cash. Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. ( Astoria ) has a security interest in all of the Company s assets in support of a note assumed in the acquisition of PickAx. The note had a balance, including accrued interest, of \$20.5 million at March 31, 2002. On June 10, 2002, Astoria and the Company amended the terms of the note to extend the maturity date from November 30, 2002 to May 30, 2003 and to increase the interest rate from 8% to 10% beginning June 30, 2002. The note will accelerate in the event of certain public or private offerings of equity securities. The note will continue to accrue interest until maturity or retirement prior to that time. The note does not provide for any further borrowings and gives Astoria the right to approve any acquisitions by the Company in excess of \$1,000,000. In

14

#### **Table of Contents**

addition to this debt, Astoria holds all of the Company s preferred stock and a majority of the outstanding common stock. Mr. Baab, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, served as a Managing Principal of Astoria until taking a formal leave of absence to join the Company in August 2001.

The Company believes that its cash flow from operating activities will be sufficient to meet the Company s operating and capital expenditure requirements for the remainder of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2003. However, unless the note is extended or otherwise converted to equity, the Company does not expect its cash flow from operating activities to be sufficient to enable the Company to meet its debts when they mature, including the Astoria note. The Company s ability to service and pay its debt is dependent upon the Company s future financial performance, which will be affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, the public market for the Company s stock and other factors beyond the Company's control. The Company is committed to research and development efforts that are intended to allow it to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue and improve operating results. If these efforts are successful, the Company hopes to take advantage of opportunities in the equity and capital markets to raise the funds necessary either to pay down its debt to Astoria or to negotiate the conversion of the debt, in part or in whole, to equity. If these efforts are unsuccessful, the Company will need to negotiate with Astoria to further extend the maturity date of the note. There can be no assurance that Astoria would agree to extend the note on terms favorable to the Company or at all. If Astoria declined to extend or convert the note and the Company is unable to obtain additional financing, Astoria will be able to foreclose on the assets of the Company secured by the note and the Company may not be able to continue its operations. Even if the note is extended or converted, the Company may need additional funds unless the Company experiences a significant improvement in its operating results. The Company may seek to raise additional funds through public or private equity financing or bank lines of credit or from other sources. Given the recent financial performance of the Company, it is unlikely that the Company would be in a position to obtain a line of credit at the present time. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available or that, if available, such financing will be on terms favorable to the Company. To address its liquidity concerns, the Company may explore other options including a sale of the Company or its assets or a corporate reorganization.

There has been a positive change in cash position during the last three fiscal years ended March 31, 2002; however, the Company can make no assertion that it will be in a relatively better cash position as of the end of the current fiscal year. The Company's primary focus has been on research and development, which has required cash outlays without the immediate or short-term receipt of related revenue. The Company has utilized proceeds from private stock placements and debt issuance to fund research and development, aggressive sales and marketing efforts and legal matters as noted elsewhere in Item 7 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements contained therein.

The Company had a working capital deficit of \$4.7 million at March 31, 2002. Of this total deficit, \$4.2 million represents deferred revenue that the Company earns over the remaining life of the underlying service contracts, usually 12 months, as more fully discussed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The Company believes the costs to deliver the minimum services necessary to record and earn the deferred revenue to be less than 20% of that amount.

As discussed in Item 3, the Company has pending litigation with General Automation, which seeks in excess of \$1,000,000 in damages. Although, the Company does not expect the ultimate resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, a payout of the amount sought by General Automation would have a significant impact on the Company s liquidity and capital resources.

The Company had no material commitments for capital expenditures at March 31, 2002.

### **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations (SFAS No. 141), and SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142). SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and eliminates the pooling of interests method. SFAS No. 141 specifies criteria that intangible assets acquired in a business combination must meet to be recognized and reported separately from goodwill. SFAS No. 142

15

#### **Table of Contents**

requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142. SFAS No. 142 also requires that intangible assets with estimable useful lives be amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. In addition, SFAS No. 142 includes provisions upon adoption for the reclassification of certain existing recognized intangibles and goodwill, reclassification of certain intangibles out of previously reported goodwill, reassessment of the useful lives of recognized intangibles and testing for impairment of those intangibles.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 141 as of July 1, 2001, and SFAS No. 142 became effective for the Company on April 1, 2002. SFAS No. 142 requires the Company to evaluate its existing intangible assets and goodwill that were acquired in purchase business combinations and to make any necessary reclassifications in order to conform with the new classification criteria in SFAS No. 141 for recognition separate from goodwill. The Company is required to reassess the useful lives and residual values of all intangible assets acquired, and make any necessary amortization period adjustments by the end of the first interim period after adoption. If an intangible asset is identified as having an indefinite useful life, the Company will be required to test the intangible asset for impairment in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142 within the first interim period. Impairment is measured as the excess of carrying value over the fair value of an intangible asset with an indefinite life. Any impairment loss will be measured as of the date of adoption and recognized as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in the first interim period.

In connection with the SFAS No. 142 transitional goodwill impairment evaluation, the Statement requires the Company to perform an assessment of whether there is an indication that goodwill is impaired as of the date of adoption. To accomplish this, the Company must identify its reporting units and determine the carrying value of each reporting unit by assigning the assets and liabilities, including the existing goodwill and intangible assets, to those reporting units as of April 1, 2002. The Company will then have up to six months from April 1, 2002 to determine the fair value of each reporting unit and compare it to the carrying amount of the reporting unit. To the extent the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the fair value of the reporting unit, an indication exists that the reporting unit goodwill may be impaired and the Company must perform the second step of the transitional impairment test. The second step is required to be completed as soon as possible, but no later than the end of the year of adoption. In the second step, the Company must compare the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill, both of which would be measured as of the date of adoption. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by allocating the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the assets (recognized and unrecognized) and liabilities of the reporting unit in a manner similar to a purchase price allocation, in accordance with SFAS No. 141. The residual fair value after this allocation is the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill. Any transitional impairment loss will be recognized as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle in the Company s statement of operations.

As of the date of adoption of SFAS No. 142, the Company had unamortized goodwill in the amount of \$25.6 million and unamortized identifiable intangible assets in the amount of \$8.1 million, all of which will be subject to the transition provisions of SFAS No. 142. Amortization expense related to goodwill was \$12.8 million for the year ended March 31, 2002. Because of the extensive effort needed to comply with adopting SFAS No. 142, it is not practicable to reasonably estimate the impact of adopting the Statements on the Company s consolidated financial statements at the date of this report, including whether it will be required to recognize any transitional impairment losses as the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations (SFAS No. 143). SFAS No. 143 requires the Company to record the fair value of an asset retirement obligation as a liability in the period in which it incurs a legal obligation associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or normal use of the assets. The Company would also record a corresponding asset, which is depreciated over the life of the asset. Subsequent to the initial measurement of the asset retirement obligation, the obligation will be adjusted at the end of each period to reflect the passage of time and changes in the estimated future cash flows underlying the obligation.

16

#### **Table of Contents**

The Company is required to adopt SFAS No. 143 on April 1, 2003, but does not expect adoption to have a material effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS No. 144). SFAS No. 144 addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. This Statement requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. SFAS No. 144 requires companies to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, abandonment, or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 on April 1, 2002. The Company has not yet determined the effect, if any, from the adoption of SFAS No. 144 on its financial condition and results of operations.

#### **Risk Factors**

The Company operates in a rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties. The following section lists some, but not all, of these risks and uncertainties that may have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition or results of operation.

If the Company fails to acquire additional funds or pay, convert into equity or extend Astoria s note when due, the Company may not be able to continue its operations.

At March 31, 2002, the Company had \$4.5 million in cash. The Company owes Astoria approximately \$20.5 million in principal and accrued interest, which will become due upon the earlier of May 30, 2003 or certain public or private offerings of equity securities. Unless the note is extended or otherwise converted to equity, the Company does not expect its cash flow from operating activities to be able to meet its debts when they mature, including the Astoria note. The Company s ability to service and pay its debt is dependent upon the Company s future financial performance, which will be affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions, the public market for the Company s stock and other factors beyond the Company's control. The Company is committed to research and development efforts that are intended to allow it to penetrate new markets and generate new sources of revenue and improve operating results. If these efforts are successful, the Company hopes to take advantage of opportunities in the equity and capital markets to raise the funds necessary either to pay down its debt to Astoria or to negotiate the conversion of the debt, in part or in whole, to equity. If these efforts are unsuccessful, the Company will need to negotiate with Astoria to further extend the maturity date of the note. There can be no assurance that Astoria would agree to extend the note on terms favorable to the Company or at all. If Astoria declined to extend or convert the note and the Company is unable to obtain additional financing, Astoria will be able to foreclose on the assets of the Company secured by the note and the Company may not be able to continue its operations. Even if the note is extended or converted, the Company will need additional funds unless the Company experiences a significant improvement in its operating results. The Company may seek to raise additional funds through public or private equity financing or bank lines of credit or from other sources. Given the recent financial performance of the Company, it is unlikely that the Company would be in a position to obtain a line of credit at the present time. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available or that, if available, such financing will be on terms favorable to the Company. To address its liquidity concerns, the Company may explore other options including a sale of the Company or its assets or a corporate reorganization.

In addition, the Company has pending litigation with General Automation, which seeks in excess of \$1,000,000 in damages. Although, the Company does not expect the ultimate resolution of this matter to have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, a payout of the amount sought by General Automation would have a significant impact on the Company s liquidity and capital resources.

17

#### **Table of Contents**

Because the market for the Company s existing products is relatively stable, the Company s revenue may decline if it cannot maintain market share for its products.

The Company believes that the MDMS and RAD markets in which it currently operates are relatively stable and consistent from period to period. As a result, to grow its revenues in these markets, the Company will need to maintain its sales to existing customers and attract additional corporate development teams, commercial application developers, system integrators, independent software vendors or independent consultants to adopt its MDMS or RAD products. In addition, competitors may introduce new products or enhancements to existing products employing new technologies or incorporating new features and functionalities, which could render the Company s existing products and services obsolete and unmarketable. To be successful, the Company must keep pace with technological developments, address the ever-changing and increasingly sophisticated needs of its customers and improve market share for its products. If the Company fails to attract new customers, or if the Company loses its customers to competitors, the Company s revenue may decline. In the longer term, it is expected that the MDMS and RAD markets will eventually contract as customers adopt newer technologies.

If the Company does not develop new products and enhance existing products to keep pace with rapidly changing technology and industry standards, its revenues may decline.

The Company has devoted significant resources to the research and development of products and technology. The Company believes that its future success will depend in large part on a strong development effort with respect to both its existing and new products. Since the start of fiscal year 2002, the Company has changed the mix of its research and development efforts to include technologies, markets and products outside of its historical market, specifically XML-based Internet infrastructure products. The Company intends for these efforts to improve the operating results of the Company and increase cash flow. However, such efforts may not result in new products and any new products may not be successful. The development of new, enhanced software products is a complex and uncertain process requiring high levels of innovation from the designers as well as accurate anticipation of customer and technical trends by the marketing staff. In developing new products and services, the Company may fail to develop and market products that respond to technological changes or evolving industry standards in a timely or cost-effective manner, or experience difficulties that could delay or prevent the successful development, introduction and marketing of these new products and services. The development and introduction of new or enhanced products also requires the Company to manage the transition from older products in order to minimize disruptions in customer ordering patterns and to ensure that adequate supplies of new products can be delivered to meet customer demand. Failure to develop and introduce new products, or enhancements to existing products, in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, or lack of customer acceptance of the Company s products, will materially and adversely affect the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition.

The concentration of the Company s stock ownership and the debt owed to principal stockholders give certain stockholders significant control over its business.

As of March 31, 2002, Astoria and Rockport Group (Rockport) together beneficially owned approximately 62% of the Company's outstanding common stock and all of the Company's outstanding preferred stock. In addition, the Company has issued a promissory note to Astoria for approximately \$20.5 million in principal and accrued interest maturing upon the earlier of May 30, 2003 or certain public or private offerings of equity securities. The note is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets and gives Astoria rights to approve any acquisitions by the Company in excess of \$1,000,000. Mr. Baab, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, served as a Managing Principal of Astoria until taking a formal leave of absence to join the Company in August 2001. Mr. Wagner, a member of the Board of Directors, is the managing director of Rockport. This concentration of stock ownership, together with the outstanding debt, would allow Rockport and Astoria, acting together, to block any actions by the Company that require stockholder approval, including the election of the Board of Directors and the approval of significant corporate transactions. Also, Rockport and Astoria, acting together, could approve certain corporate actions without the

18

#### **Table of Contents**

consent of the other stockholders. Moreover, this concentration of ownership may delay or prevent a change in control of the Company.

If the registration rights held by Astoria and other securities holders are exercised, or these securities holders sell a substantial amount of restricted securities in the open market, the Company s stock price may decline.

As of March 31, 2002, the Company had 17,585,463 shares outstanding, of which approximately 12,000,000 shares were restricted securities held by Astoria and other holders. Restricted securities may be sold in the public market only if registered or if they qualify for an exemption from registration under Rule 144 promulgated under the Securities Act. At present, a substantial amount of the Company s outstanding restricted securities are either entitled to registration rights or eligible for public sale under Rule 144, subject to volume limitations and other requirements of Rule 144. Sales of a substantial number of shares by Astoria or other securities holders in the public market, or the perception that those sales may occur, could cause the market price of the Company s common stock to decline. In addition, if the Company registers its common stock in connection with a public offering of securities, it may be required to include shares of restricted securities in the registration, which may have an adverse effect on the Company s ability to raise capital.

If the Company does not effectively compete with its competitors, it may have an adverse impact on the Company s operating results.

The market for its products is highly competitive, diverse and subject to rapid change. The Company s products and services compete on the basis of the following key characteristics:

performance;
inter-operability;
scalability;
functionality;
reliability;
pricing;
post sale customer support;
quality;
compliance with industry standards; and
overall total cost of ownership.

While the Company currently believes that is products and services compete favorably in the marketplace, its products and services could fall behind marketplace demands at any time. If the Company fails to address the competitive challenges, its business would suffer materially.

The Company currently faces competition from a number of sources, including several large vendors that develop and market databases, development tools, decision support products, and consulting services. The Omnis Studio line of RAD developer products currently encounters competition from several direct competitors, including Microsoft Corporation (Visual Basic), Inprise Corporation (Delphi), Allaire Corporation (Cold Fusion) and Magic Software Enterprises. The Company s database products encounter competition from several companies including Oracle, Microsoft SQL/ Server, IBM DB2, Sybase and IBM Informix. Additionally, as the Company expands its business, the Company expects to compete with a different group of companies, including small, highly focused companies offering single products.

A number of the Company s competitors have significantly more financial, technical, marketing and other resources than the Company. As a result, these competitors may be able to respond more quickly to new or

#### **Table of Contents**

emerging technologies, evolving markets, changes in customer requirements, and may devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products.

The Company has a limited operating history as a combined entity, which limits the Company s ability to predict future operating results, and there can be no assurance that the combined business will be successful.

In December 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of PickAx. The Company has a limited operating history as a combined entity. Consequently, the Company s results of prior periods should not be relied upon as indications of future performance. In addition, risks and difficulties associated with the acquisition may include the Company s ability to:

expand its base of customers that can serve as reference accounts for its ongoing sales efforts;

develop, integrate and upgrade its technology to add additional features and functionality;

offer new products to penetrate new markets;

maintain the current, and develop new, third-party relationships;

attract and retain qualified personnel; and

increase awareness of its brand name.

There are no assurances that its business strategy will be successful or that the Company will successfully address these risks or difficulties. If the Company s fails to address these risks or difficulties adequately, its business will likely suffer.

The Company may experience quarterly fluctuations in operating results, which may result in volatility of its stock price.

The Company has experienced significant quarterly fluctuations in operating results in the past and anticipates such fluctuations in the future. The Company expects to continue to expend significant sums in the area of sales and marketing, operations and research and development in order to promote new product development and rapid product introduction. Because the expenses associated with these activities are relatively fixed in the short-term, the Company may be unable to adjust spending quickly enough to offset any unexpected shortfall in revenue growth or any decrease in revenue levels. Historically, the Company has often recognized a substantial portion of its license revenue in the last month of the quarter. In addition, service revenue tends to fluctuate as consulting projects, which may continue over several quarters, are undertaken or completed. Operating results may also fluctuate due to factors such as:

the size and timing of customer orders;

changes in pricing policies by the Company or its competitors;

the Company s ability to develop, introduce, and market new and enhanced versions of its products;

the number, timing, and significance of product enhancements and new product announcements by its competitors;

the demand for its products;

changes in the proportion of revenues attributable to licenses and service fees;

non-renewal of customer support agreements;

commencement or conclusion of significant consulting projects;

customer order deferrals in anticipation of enhancements or new products offered by the Company or its competitors;

software defects and other product quality problems;

20

#### **Table of Contents**

personnel changes; and

the level of international expansion.

The Company operates without a significant backlog of orders. As a result, the quarterly sales and operating results in any given quarter are dependent, in large part, upon the volume and timing of orders booked and products shipped during that quarter. Accordingly, the Company may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unanticipated decrease in orders, sales or shipments. Therefore, any decline in demand for its products and services, in relation to the forecast for any given quarter, could materially and negatively impact the results of its operations. As a result, the Company expects its quarterly operating results to continue to fluctuate, which may cause its stock price to be volatile. In addition, the Company believes that period-to-period comparisons of its operating results should not be relied upon as indications of future performance.

#### The success of the Company s business depends upon its ability to recruit, integrate and retain key personnel and management.

Many of the Company s executive officers joined the Company in connection with or subsequent to the acquisition of PickAx, including Carlton Baab, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, who joined the Company in August 2001. Additional changes in management have occurred following Mr. Baab s appointment, including the hiring of Soheil Raissi, Vice President, Product Development & Professional Services, Brian Bezdek, Vice President, Finance, Corporate Controller and Secretary and Boris Geller, Vice President, Market Development. The new executive officers must be able to work efficiently together to manage the Company s operations. Furthermore, the loss of one or more of these or other executives could adversely affect its business. In addition, the Company believes that its future success will depend to a significant extent on its ability to recruit, hire and retain highly skilled management and employees for engineering new products, product management, sales, marketing, and customer service. Competition for such personnel in the software industry can be intense, and there can be no assurance that it will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel. If the Company is unable to do so, it may experience inadequate levels of staffing to develop and license its products and perform services for its customers.

#### The Company has a long sales cycle, which could result in delays in the receipt of revenue.

The sales cycle for the Company s products typically ranges from three to six months or longer. Its products are typically used by application developers, system integrators, and value added resellers to develop applications that are critical to their corporate end user s business. Thus, the purchases of its products are often part of an end user s larger business process, re-engineering initiative, or implementation of client/server or web-based computing. Therefore, the end users frequently view the purchase of its products as part of a long-term strategic decision regarding the management of their workforce related operations and expenditures. This sometimes results in end users taking a significant period of time to assess alternative solutions by competitors or to defer a purchase decision as a result of an unrelated strategic issue beyond its ability to influence or control. The Company will continue to educate potential customers on the use and benefits of its products and services, as well as the integration of its products and services with additional software applications utilized by individual customers. There can be no assurance, however, that it will not experience these and additional delays in the future.

The Company s global operations expose it to additional risks and challenges associated with conducting business internationally, which could have a negative impact on its business.

The Company operates on a global basis with offices or distributors in Europe, Africa, Asia, and North America. Approximately 30% and 43% of its revenue in the fiscal years ended March 31, 2002 and 2001 was generated from the Company s international offices. The Company intends to continue to expand its international operations to achieve its anticipated growth, but it may face significant challenges to its international expansion. The expansion of its existing international operations and entry into additional international markets will require significant management attention and financial resources. To achieve

21

#### **Table of Contents**

acceptance in international markets, the Company s products must be internationalized to handle a variety of factors specific to each international market, including language and generic formatting such as date and time. The incorporation of these and other factors into its products is a complex process and often requires assistance from third parties. At the same time, to achieve broad usage by employees across international organizations, its products must be localized to handle native languages and cultures in each international market. Localizing its products is also a complex process and the Company intends to continue to work with third parties to develop localized products.

The Company also faces other risks inherent in conducting business internationally, including but not limited to the following:

fluctuations in interest rates or currency exchange rates;

language and cultural differences;

local and governmental requirements;

difficulties and costs of staffing and managing international operations;

differences in intellectual property protections;

difficulties in collecting accounts receivable and longer collection periods;

seasonal business activities in certain parts of the world; and

trade policies.

Any of these factors could seriously harm the Company s current international operations and, consequently, affect the international growth of its business. There can be no assurance that these factors or any combination of these factors will not adversely affect the international revenue or overall financial performance of the Company.

#### The failure of the Company s products to continue to conform to industry standards may have an adverse effect on its operating results.

A key factor in its future success will continue to be the ability of its products to operate and perform well with existing and future leading, industry-standard enterprise software applications intended to be used in connection with Multidimensional Database Management System products. Inter-operability may require third party licenses, which may not be available to the Company on favorable terms or at all. Failure to meet existing or future inter-operability and performance requirements of industry standard applications in a timely manner could adversely affect its business. Uncertainties relating to the timing and nature of new product announcements, introductions or modifications by the these third parties could delay its product development, increase its product development expense or cause customers to delay evaluation, purchase, and deployment of its products.

#### The Company s products may contain software defects, which could materially harm its business.

The Company s enterprise applications software may contain undetected errors or failures when first introduced or as new versions are released. This may result in loss of, or delay in, market acceptance of its products and could harm its reputation. Undetected errors or failures in computer software programs are not uncommon and are endemic to the nature of the business. While the Company makes every effort to thoroughly test its software, in the event that it experiences significant software errors in future releases, it could experience delays in release, customer dissatisfaction and lost revenues. Any of these errors or defects could cause its business to be materially harmed.

#### The inability to adequately protect the Company s intellectual property could harm its ability to compete.

The Company relies primarily on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual provisions to protect its proprietary rights. In addition to trademark and copyright protections, the

22

#### **Table of Contents**

Company licenses its products to end users on a right to use basis pursuant to a perpetual license agreement that restricts use of products to a specified number of users. It generally relies on shrink-wrap or click-wrap licenses that become effective when a customer opens the package or downloads and installs software on its system. In order to retain exclusive ownership rights to its software and technology, the Company generally provides its software in object code only, with contractual restrictions on copying, disclosure, and transferability. There can be no assurance that these protections will be adequate, or that its competitors will not independently develop technologies that are substantially equivalent or superior to its technology.

The Company s ability to compete successfully will depend, in part, on its ability to protect its proprietary technology and operations without infringing upon the rights of others. The Company may fail to do so. In addition, the laws of certain countries in which the Company s products are, or may be licensed may not protect its proprietary rights to the same extent as the laws of the United States.

Third parties could assert that the Company s software products and services infringe on their intellectual property rights, which could expose the Company to increased costs and litigation.

There has been a substantial amount of litigation in the software industry regarding intellectual property rights. Third parties may claim that the Company s current or potential future products and services infringe upon their intellectual property. The Company expects that software product developers and providers of software applications will increasingly be subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in its industry segment grow and the functionality of products in different industry segments overlap. Any claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, cause product shipment delays, prohibit product licensing or require the Company to enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Royalty or licensing agreements, if required, may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all, which could seriously harm its business.

#### Item 7. Financial Statements

The Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company, including the Notes thereto, together with the report of independent auditors thereon are presented as a separate section of this Form 10-KSB, and the following are attached hereto beginning on Page F-1:

a) Consolidated Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Auditors

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2002 and March 31, 2001

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss for fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

Consolidated Statements of Stockholder s Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Loss for fiscal years ended March 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Previously reported on a Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 4, 2002.

23

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **PART III**

#### Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters, and Control Persons; Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

The Bylaws of the Company provide that the Board of Directors is to be composed of no less than five (5) and no more than nine (9) directors divided into Classes I, II and III, each with as nearly equal a number of directors as possible. The exact number of directors is currently set at six (6) by resolution of the Board. The directors are elected to serve staggered three-year terms, with the term of one class of directors expiring each year at the annual meeting of stockholders.

The following table sets forth as of March 31, 2002, the name, age, and position of the directors, the date they joined the Board of Directors and the year in which their term expires:

Name of Director	Age	Position	Director Since	Term Expires
Geoffrey P. Wagner(1,2,3,4)	45	Chairman	1998	2002
Carlton H. Baab	44	Director	2001	2004
Bryce J. Burns(1)	44	Director	2000	2003
Gerald F. Chew(2)	42	Director	1998	2004
Douglas G. Marshall(1,3)	45	Director	1998	2002
Bryan Sparks(2,3)	40	Director	2000	2003

- (1) Member of the Compensation Committee
- (2) Member of the Audit Committee
- (3) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee
- (4) Member of the Stock Committee, a subcommittee of the Compensation Committee The following is a brief summary of the background of each director:

Mr. Wagner has served as Chairman of the Board since August 2001 and served as Secretary of the Company from February 1999 through November 2000. Mr. Wagner has served as a co-founder of Rockport Group, LP since its founding in 1990 and as its sole general partner since 1994. The Rockport Group, LP is the sole General Partner of RCJ Capital Partners, LP and invests in a variety of industries. Prior to 1990 Mr. Wagner held sales executive positions at several Wall Street firms, including five years at Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc. and five years at Kidder, Peabody & Co., Inc. Mr. Wagner holds a B.S. in Business Administration from Portland State University. Mr. Wagner serves as Chairman of both the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, is a member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and is the sole member of the Stock Committee.

Mr. Baab joined the Company as the President and Chief Executive Officer in August 2001. From May 2001 to August 2001, Mr. Baab served as a Managing Principal of Astoria Capital Management, a significant stockholder of the Company. In August 2001, Mr. Baab took a formal leave of absence to join the Company. From January 2000 to April 2001, Mr. Baab was the Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Certive, Inc., a web-based small-business services firm. From January 1999 to January 2000, Mr. Baab was the Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of RemarQ Communities, Inc., a web-based provider of discussion group services. Mr. Baab served as Chief Financial Officer of the CKS Group, a marketing communications company, from February 1994 through December 1998.

In addition, Mr. Baab served as an Executive Vice President and the Secretary of CKS from August 1995 through December 1998 and as CKS s Chief Operating Officer from August 1995 through May 1996. Mr. Baab also served on the Board of Directors of Momentum Business Applications, Inc. (Nasdaq: MMTM), which provided research and development expertise on a contract basis, until Momentum was acquired by PeopleSoft (Nasdaq: PSFT) in April 2002. Mr. Baab also serves on the University of Southern California, School of Engineering Board of Councilors. Mr. Baab holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering, with

#### **Table of Contents**

honors, from the University of Southern California and an M.B.A. from the Harvard Graduate School of Business Administration.

Mr. Burns has served on the Board of Directors since February 2000. Mr. Burns served as the Company s Interim Chief Executive Officer from December 2000 to August 2001. Mr. Burns also served as Chairman of the Board of the Company from September 2000 to August 2001. Mr. Burns has served as a partner of Soldatna Capital, an investment management firm since November 2001. From 1998 to 2000, Mr. Burns served as Director of Product Management at Novell, Inc., a computer software company. From June 1997 through July 1998, Mr. Burns served as Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer at Caldera Systems, a computer software company. Mr. Burns was also the President of Applied Medical Informatics, Inc., a medical software company, from October 1995 to June 1997. Mr. Burns holds a B.S. degree in medical biology from the University of Utah and an M.B.A. from Brigham Young University. He is a member of the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Chew joined the Board of Directors in 1998. Mr. Chew most recently served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of MDSI Mobile Data Solutions, Inc. (MDSI) from April 2001 to March 2002 and served as a director of MDSI from 1995 until April 2001. Mr. Chew served as Executive Vice President of Ancora Capital & Management Group, LLC, an investment firm, from June 1998 to January 2001. Since February 1997, Mr. Chew has served as Managing Director of The Cairn Group. From August 1996 to February 1997, he was Chief Operating Officer of SpotMagic, Inc., a multimedia software company. Mr. Chew serves on the Audit Committee.

Mr. Marshall joined the Board of Directors in 1998. Mr. Marshall is Senior Vice President of Deposit Strategy and Product Management at Washington Mutual (NYSE: WM), a financial services company. Mr. Marshall joined Washington Mutual in November 2001. From August 1994 to November 2001, Mr. Marshall held a number of marketing positions at Bank of America (NYSE: BAC), most recently as Vice President of Advertising and Marketing Communications. Mr. Marshall holds a B.A. in English from Seattle Pacific University and an M.B.A. from the University of Washington. Mr. Marshall serves on the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Mr. Sparks joined the Board of Directors in August 2000. Mr. Sparks served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Lineo, Inc., a computer software company, from September 1998 to November 2001. From October 1994 through September 1998, Mr. Sparks served as the Chief Executive Officer of Caldera, Inc., a computer software company. Mr. Sparks holds a B.S. in Computer Science from Brigham Young University. Mr. Sparks is a member of the Audit Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Information regarding the current executive officers of the Company, which may be found under the caption Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part I hereof, is incorporated by reference into this Item 9.

#### **Family Relationships**

To the Company s knowledge, there are no family relationships between any director and executive officer of the Company.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ), requires the Company s officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company s equity securities, to file certain reports of ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) and with the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. Such officers, directors and stockholders are also required by SEC rules to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. All reports required to be filed during fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act by directors, executive officers and 10% beneficial owners were filed on timely basis.

25

#### **Table of Contents**

### Item 10. Executive Compensation

#### **Summary Compensation**

The following table sets forth the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers, which consist of a) all persons serving as the chief executive officer during the fiscal year, b) the four most highly compensated executive officers serving as such at the end of the fiscal year, in addition to the chief executive officer, and c) one highly compensated former executive officers for whom disclosure otherwise would have been required but for the fact he was not serving as such at the end of the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002:

#### **Summary Compensation Table**

		Annual Compense	ation	Long Term Compensation Awards	
	Annual Compensation		Securities	All Other	
Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary(\$)	Bonus(\$)	\$) Underlying Options(#) Compens	
Carlton H. Baab(1)	2002	\$124,000		1,000,000	\$ 5,523
President and Chief Executive Officer					
Bryce J. Burns(2)	2002	\$124,923			\$ 14,084
Former Interim Chief Executive	2001	\$ 75,000		64,825	\$ 9,124
Officer and Chairman		,		,	,
Gwyneth Gibbs(3)	2002	\$132,144	\$71,045	20,000	\$ 22,994
Vice President European and African	2001	\$144,423		30,000	\$ 21,934
Operations; Former President and	2000	\$107,884	\$40,000		\$ 22,283
Interim Chief Executive Officer until					
PickAX acquistion					
Richard K. Lauer(4)	2002	\$136,086			\$146,429
Former President and	2001	\$268,750	\$20,000	30,000	
Chief Operating Officer					
Mario I. Barrenechea(5)	2002	\$221,677			\$ 4,956
Senior Vice President,	2001	\$306,300		30,000	\$ 2,478
Sales and Marketing					
Scott K. Anderson, Jr.(6)	2002	\$162,884			\$ 2,650
Vice President of Finance,	2001	\$185,000	\$10,000	30,000	\$ 1,500
Treasurer, and Corporate Secretary					
Janet Crumpley(7)	2002	\$185,631		50,000	\$ 2,728
Vice President, Sales-North America	2001	\$216,752		10,000	\$ 4,162

<sup>(1)</sup> Mr. Baab was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer on August 27, 2001. All Other Compensation reflects payments to a continuing medical plan Mr. Baab had in place at the time he joined the Company.

Table of Contents 36

26

<sup>(2)</sup> Mr. Burns served as Interim Chief Executive Officer from December 29, 2000 until August 27, 2001, and as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company from September 22, 2000 until August 27, 2001. Mr. Burns remains a member of the Company s Board of Directors. All Other Compensation reflects \$10,000 in severance and \$4,084 in post termination medical plan premiums.

### **Table of Contents**

- (3) Ms. Gibbs resigned as President and Interim Chief Executive Officer at the time of the PickAX acquisition and was subsequently elected Vice President, European and African Operations of the Company. Ms. Gibbs resigned as an officer of the Company effective June 30, 2001 and resigned as a member of the Company s Board of Directors effective August 14, 2001. Ms. Gibbs rejoined the Company and was appointed Vice President, Europe in October 2001. All Other Compensation reflects payments by the Company on Ms. Gibbs behalf to a pension plan for UK employees.
- (4) Mr. Lauer served as President and Chief Operating Officer from December 29, 2000 until he left the Company in August 2001. All Other Compensation in fiscal year 2002 reflects \$141,491 in severance and \$4,938 in post termination medical plan premiums. In fiscal year 2001, Mr. Lauer s compensation includes amounts earned at PickAX from April 1, 2000 through the date of the acquisition.
- (5) Mr. Barrenechea was serving as Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing of PickAX at the time of the acquisition and was appointed to the same position in the Company at the time of the acquisition. In fiscal year 2001, Mr. Barrenechea s compensation includes amounts earned at PickAX from April 1, 2000 through the date of the acquisition. All Other Compensation reflects premiums paid by the Company on a life insurance policy owned by Mr. Barrenechea.
- (6) Mr. Anderson served as Vice President, Finance and Administration and Corporate Secretary of PickAX at the time of the acquisition and served as Vice President, Finance, Treasurer and Corporate Secretary until he left the Company in April 2002. In fiscal year 2001, Mr. Anderson s compensation includes amounts earned at PickAX from April 1, 2000 through the date of the acquisition. All Other Compensation reflects matching contributions under the Company s 401(k) Plan.
- (7) Ms. Crumpley was serving as Vice President, Sales of PickAX at the time of the acquisition and was appointed to the same position in the Company at the time of the acquisition. In fiscal year 2001, Ms. Crumpley s compensation includes amounts earned at PickAX from April 1, 2000 through the date of the acquisition. All Other Compensation reflects matching contributions under the Company s 401(k) Plan.

### **Stock Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year**

The following table sets forth the individual grants of stock options made by the Company during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002 to each of the Named Executive Officers:

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted	Percent of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year(1)	Exercise Price	Expiration Date
Carlton H. Baab(2)	1,000,000	46%	\$1.55	09/24/2011
Gwyneth Gibbs	20,000	1%	\$2.00	10/05/2011
Janet Crumpley	50,000	2%	\$5.39	07/11/2011

- (1) Based on an aggregate of 2,196,000 options granted to employees of the Company in the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, including the Named Executive Officers. Except as noted below, each of the options listed in the table vests according to the following schedule: 25% one year after the vesting commencement date, and one thirty-sixth per month thereafter, such that the option will be fully vested four (4) years after the vesting commencement date.
- (2) Option vests daily over six (6) months from the date of the grant and is fully vested as of March 31, 2002.

27

### **Table of Contents**

### Aggregated Option Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Year-End Option Values

The Named Executive Officers exercised no options during the last fiscal year.

The following table shows, as to the Named Executive Officers, the value of unexercised options at March 31, 2002:

Underlying Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year End Value of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at Fiscal Year End(1)

Name	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable	Unexercisable
Carlton Baab	1,050,000		\$1,181,500	\$
Bryce J. Burns	99,444	94,206	\$	\$
Mario I. Barrenechea	144,971	114,151	\$	\$
Janet Crumpley	17,774	67,684	\$	\$
Gwyneth Gibbs	73,068	49,932	\$ 9,103	\$13,397
Scott Anderson(2)	99,148	83,600	\$	\$

- (1) In accordance with SEC rules, values are calculated by subtracting the exercise price from the fair market value of the underlying common stock. For purposes of this table, fair market value is deemed to be closing price of the common stock on March 31, 2002, which was \$2.65 per share.
- (2) Mr. Anderson left the Company in April 2002.

### **Employment Contracts**

Effective April 1, 2000, the Company entered into a services agreement with Gwyneth M. Gibbs retaining Mrs. Gibbs as an officer of the Company for an initial term of two (2) years, which shall be renewed automatically for subsequent two-year terms unless either party terminates the agreement by delivery of six (6) months prior notice. The Service Agreement provides for an annual base salary of £83,000 (or, approximately \$125,000), with annual increases based on the Index of Retail Prices published by the Office for National Statistics. In addition, Mrs. Gibbs is entitled to an annual incentive bonus of up to 50% of her base salary if certain prearranged annual goals and objectives are met and to Company contributions to a Company retirement plan open to all United Kingdom employees.

Effective December 1, 2000, the Company entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Barrenechea and Anderson that provide for six (6) months severance in the event of involuntary separation from the Company. Mr. Barrenechea s agreement is for three (3) years and provides that he will receive commissions on the Company s revenues. As of this filing, Mr. Anderson is no longer employed by the Company.

Effective March 18, 2002, the Company entered into an offer letter agreement with Boris Geller retaining Mr. Geller as Vice President, Market Development. The offer letter provides for a base salary of \$210,000, an option to purchase 245,000 shares of the Company s common stock and 100% acceleration of the shares subject to the option in the event of a termination without cause within twelve (12) months following a change of control. In addition, the agreement provides for severance benefits, including payment of basic salary for up to six (6) months and acceleration of first year vesting, upon termination for any reason.

Effective April 15, 2002, the Company entered into an offer letter agreement with Brian C. Bezdek retaining Mr. Bezdek as Vice President, Finance, Corporate Controller and Secretary. The offer letter provides for a base salary of \$135,000, an option to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company s common stock and 100% acceleration of the shares subject to the option in the event of a termination without cause within twelve (12) months following a change of control. In addition, the agreement provides for severance benefits, including payment of basic salary for up to six (6) months and acceleration of first year vesting, upon termination for any reason.

Effective June 21, 2002, the Company entered into an Amendment to Stock Option Agreement with Soheil Raissi, Vice President, Product Development and Professional Services, which amended Stock Option

### **Table of Contents**

Agreements, dated October 10, 2001 and April 26, 2002, respectively, between the Company and Mr. Raissi, to in each case provide for 100% acceleration of the shares subject to the option in the event of a termination without cause within twelve (12) months following a change of control.

Effective February 6, 2001, the Company entered into an Stock Option Agreement with Bryce Burns, then interim Chief Executive Officer, for the option to purchase 64,825 shares of the Company s common stock. The Stock Option Agreement provides for 100% acceleration of the shares subject to option in the event of a change of control. The Stock Option Agreement also provides that normal vesting of the option will not be interrupted upon Mr. Burns resignation as interim Chief Executive Officer so long as he remains in the service of the Company.

### **Director Compensation**

The Company reimburses directors for travel and other out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board meetings. The Company does not pay cash compensation to its directors.

### Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2002, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of the Company s voting securities by (i) any person (including any group as that term is used in Section 13(d)(3) of the Exchange Act) known by the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of the Company s voting securities, (ii) each director, (iii) each of the Named Executive Officers, and (iv) all current directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. As of June 30, 2002, there were 300,000 and 17,688,119 shares of issued and outstanding preferred stock and common stock, respectively.

Name and Address(1)	Number of Shares of Preferred Stock(2)	Percent of Total Preferred Stock	Number of Shares of Common Stock	Percent of Total Common Stock
5% Stockholders				
Carlton H. Baab(3)			11,776,222	56%
Astoria Capital Partners L.P.(4)	300,000	100.00%	10,726,222	54%
Geoffrey P. Wagner(5)			2,310,000	13%
Rockport Group, LP(6)			2,270,000	13%
Directors and Officers				
Gwyneth M. Gibbs(7)			163,625	*
Mario I. Barrenechea(8)			148,096	*
Gerald F. Chew(9)			126,825	*
Douglas G. Marshall(10)			126,825	*
Bryce J. Burns(11)			125,089	*
Scott K. Anderson, Jr.(12)			99,148	*
Bryan Sparks(13)			96,825	*
Janet Crumpley(14)			32,354	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a group				
(15 persons)(15)			15,858,476	73%

<sup>\*</sup> Represents less than 1%

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated below, the Company believes the persons whose names appear in the table above have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to applicable community property laws.
- (2) Preferred Stock refers to the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, which is convertible into shares of common stock at a conversion rate of 1 to 1.667. The conversion rate may increase upon the Company s

29

### **Table of Contents**

issuance of additional shares of capital stock, as set forth in Section 5(c) of the Company s Certificate of Designations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

- (3) Represents options to purchase 1,050,000 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Baab. Also includes the following shares beneficially owned by Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. ( ACP ): 10,726,222 shares of common stock, which includes warrants to purchase 1,668,545 shares of common stock exerciseable within 60 days of June 30, 2002 and 500,100 shares of common stock which may be acquired upon conversion of 300,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. Mr. Baab is an employee of ACM, which is a general partner of ACP. As a general partner of ACP, ACM has a special profit interest in ACP s realized and unrealized gains and income in excess of a specified hurdle rate, subject to certain additional conditions. As an employee of ACM, Mr. Baab is entitled to an annual bonus equal to a fixed percentage of any special profit allocation ACP receives for the year in question. Mr. Baab disclaims beneficial ownership of the securities held by ACP as he does not hold voting or investment power over the holdings of ACP.
- (4) The principal address of ACP is 6600 SW 92nd Avenue, Suite 370, Portland, Oregon 97223. Includes warrants to purchase 1,668,545 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002 and 500,100 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.
- (5) Includes warrants to purchase 4,167 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Wagner, 25,833 shares of common stock owned by Mr. Wagner directly, 1,420,000 shares of common stock owned by Rockport Group, LP, of which Mr. Wagner is the sole general partner, 850,000 shares of common stock owned by RCJ Capital Partners, LP, of which Rockport Group, LP is the sole general partner and 10,000 shares of common stock purchased on April 5, 1999 by a trust of which Mr. Wagner s wife is the sole beneficiary. Mr. Wagner disclaims beneficial ownership of such 10,000 shares except to the extent of his pecuniary interest in such shares.
- (6) The principal address of Rockport Group LP is 6600 SW 92nd Avenue, Suite 370, Portland, Oregon 97223. Shares of common stock beneficially owned includes 1,420,000 shares of common stock owned by Rockport Group, LP, and 850,000 shares of common stock owned by RCJ Capital Partners, LP, of which Rockport Group, LP is the sole general partner.
- (7) Includes options to purchase 83,625 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mrs. Gibbs.
- (8) Represents options to purchase 148,096 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Barrenechea.
- (9) Represents warrants and options to purchase 126,825 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Chew.
- (10) Represents warrants and options to purchase 126,825 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Marshall.
- (11) Includes warrants and options to purchase 124,089 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Burns.
- (12) Represents options to purchase 99,148 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Anderson. Effective April 15, 2002, Mr. Anderson left the Company, at which time all further vesting of Mr. Anderson s options ceased. On July 15, 2002, all rights to those options, exercisable and unexercisable, terminated.
- (13) Represents warrants to purchase 96,825 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Mr. Sparks.
- (14) Represents options to purchase 32,354 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002, held by Ms. Crumpley.
- (15) Includes an aggregate of 3,552,998 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options or warrants exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2002 and 500,100 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock.

30

### **Table of Contents**

### **Information Regarding Equity Compensation Plans**

The Company does not have any equity compensation plans other than those approved by the Company s stockholders. The Company s equity compensation plan and activities are more fully discussed in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Items 7 and 8 of this Form 10-KSB.

The following table sets forth information regarding the number of shares of the Company s Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to equity compensation plans or arrangements of the Company as of March 31, 2002.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column(a))
	(a)	<b>(b)</b>	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,647,593(1)	\$3.41	2,319,047(2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security	, , , ,		
holders	N/A	N/A	N/A

- (1) Represents shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to outstanding options granted under the following plans: 3,527,416 shares under the 1999 Stock Option Plan, 18,824 shares under the 1996 Stock Option Plan, 88,973 shares under the Directors Warrant Plan and 12,380 shares under the 1987 Stock Option Plan. The 1996 Stock Option Plan, the 1993 Directors Warrant Plan and the 1987 Stock Option Plan were terminated, except as to options then issued and outstanding under such plans. In addition, in connection with the Company s acquisition of PickAx in December 2000, the Company assumed the PickAx Option Plan. The Company will not grant any further options under the PickAx Option Plan, except options to purchase 607,254 shares with a weighted exercise price of \$2.96, which are currently outstanding.
- (2) Represents shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to options available for future grant under the following plans: 1,319,047 shares under the 1999 Stock Option Plan as well as 1,000,000 shares of common stock available for purchase by employees under the 2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

### Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions

On November 30, 2000, the Company acquired PickAX in which Astoria was a significant shareholder. As a condition of that acquisition, the Company assumed a note for approximately \$18.5 million due to Astoria from PickAX, Inc. on November 30, 2000. On June 10, 2002, the Company and Astoria extended the maturity date of that note to May 30, 2003 and increased the interest rate from 8% to 10% effective June 30, 2002. The note will accelerate in the event of certain public or private offerings of equity securities. The note will continue to accrue interest until maturity or retirement prior to that time. The note does not provide for any further borrowings and gives Astoria the right to approve any acquisitions by the Company in excess of \$1,000,000.

Two limited partners in RCJ Capital Partners, LP and a third individual related to those partners loaned the Company \$750,000 in August 2000. The loans are for two years and bear annual interest at 4% payable semiannually. The notes provide for the automatic conversion of the principal and unpaid interest into shares of the Company s stock at a conversion price of \$6.17 on August 23, 2002. Geoffrey P. Wagner, a director of the Company, is the sole general partner of RcJ Capital Partners, LP.

The Phillip and Debra Barrett trust, a stockholder of the Company, loaned the Company \$250,000 in September 2000. The loan is for two years and bears interest at 10% per annum, payable quarterly.

31

### **Table of Contents**

The terms of the offer letter agreements between the Company and Mr. Geller and Mr. Bezdek, respectively, and the option agreements between the Company and Mr. Raissi and Mr. Burns, respectively, including a description of change of control provisions contained therein, may be found in Part III hereof under the caption Employment Contracts.

### Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

Exhibit	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of August 23, 2000, by and among the Registrant, Raining Merger Sub, Inc., PickAx, Inc. and Gilbert Figueroa (included as Appendix A to the Registrant s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the
2.2	Commission on November 16, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).  Merger Agreement dated as of August 23, 2000 by and among the Registrant, PickAx, Inc., Gilbert Figueroa, and Raining Merger Sub, Inc. (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on November 6, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated September 17, 1997, as amended and corrected of the Registrant (included as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 16, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated February 9, 1999 (included as Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant s Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on July 7, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.3	Certificate of Designation dated March 31, 1999, as corrected (included as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on April 5, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.4	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated November 29, 2000 (included as Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.5	Certificate of Amendment of Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated December 12, 2001 (included as Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed with the Commission on December 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
3.6	Amended and Bylaws dated December 12, 2001 (included as Exhibit 4.6 to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed with the Commission on December 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Registrant, Pamela Conrad, Donald D. Durr, Lee Summers, Robert J. Rosenberg, Gil Figueroa, Michael E. McGoey, Gerald L. Cohn and Timothy Holland dated as of November 30, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.2	Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Registrant, Astoria Capital Partners, L.P., Harrison H. Augur, Keogh MP and Robert D. van Roijen dated as of December 4, 2000, as amended on December 4, 2001 (included as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on March 21, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.3	Registration Rights Agreement by and between the Registrant Astoria Capital Partners, L.P., dated as of September 27, 2001, as amended on January 7, 2002 (included as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on March 21, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.4	Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement by and between the Registrant and Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. dated as of November 30, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.5	Secured Promissory Note issued by the Registrant to Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. dated November 30, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

32

# **Table of Contents**

Exhibit	Description
4.6	Amendment to Secured Promissory Note between the Registrant and Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. (included as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on June 12, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.7	Waiver Letter dated as of September 27, 2001 from Astoria Capital Partners, (included as Exhibit 10.23 of Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on November 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
4.8	Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued by the Registrant to Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. dated November 30, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.1	1999 Stock Option Plan, as amended on March 15, 2002 (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s 10-KSB filed with the Commission on June 28, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.2	2001 Employee Stock Purchase Plan as amended on December 28, 2001 (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on March 21, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.3	Option Agreement dated September 24, 2001, between the Registrant and Carlton H. Baab (included as Exhibit 10.22 of Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on November 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.4	Common Stock Purchase Agreement-2001, dated as of September 27, 2002, among the Registrant and Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. (included as Exhibit 10.1 of Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 1, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.5	Common Stock Purchase Agreement Cash Purchase, by and between the Registrant and Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. dated as of December 4, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on June 21, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.6	Common Stock Purchase Agreement PickAx Note, by and between the Registrant and Astoria Capital Partners, L.P. dated as of December 4, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on June 21, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.7	Common Stock Purchase Agreement Individual, by and between the Registrant and Harry Augur dated as of December 4, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.3 to the Registrant s Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on June 21, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.8	Common Stock Purchase Agreement Individual, by and between the Registrant and Robert van Roijen dated as of December 4, 2000 (included as Exhibit 4.4 to the Registrant s Form 8-K/A filed with the Commission on June 21, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.9	Form of Promissory Note dated September 28, 2000, issued by the Registrant to The Philip and Debra Barrett Charitable Remainder Trust (included as Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 24, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.10	Form of Note Purchase Agreement and Form of Non-secured Convertible Promissory Note dated as of August 23, 2000, respectively, issued by the Registrant to three lenders (included as Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed with the Commission on October 24, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.11	Form of Employment Agreement by and between the Registrant and Mario Barrenechea (included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on February 14, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference)
10.12	Transition Agreement and Releases by and between the Registrant and Gilbert Figueroa dated as of March 7, 2001 (included as Exhibit 10.15 to the Registrant s Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on June 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.13	Service Agreement by and between the Registrant and Gwyneth Gibbs dated April 1, 2000 (included as Exhibit 10.16 to the Registrant s Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on June 29, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
10.14	Settlement Agreement by and between the Company and Gwyneth M. Gibbs made on August 14, 2001 (included as Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on August 20, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
	33

### **Table of Contents**

Exhibit	Description
10.15	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into with officers and directors of Registrant (included as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant s Form 10-QSB filed with the Commission on March 21, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant (included as Exhibit 21.1 to the Registrant s Form 10-KSB filed with the Commission on June 28, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
23.1 24.1	Consent of KPMG LLP. Power of Attorney. Previously filed with the Commission.

### (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

The Registrant filed the following Current Reports on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2002.

- (i) On January 4, 2002, the Registrant filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 4, 2002, disclosing under Item 4 the termination of Grant Thornton LLP and the engagement of KPMG LLP as its principal accountants.
- (ii) On March 14, 2002, the Registrant filed a Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 27, 2002, disclosing under Item 5 (a) the Registrant s intention to delay the filing of its Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 2001 pending the restatement of its financial statements for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2001, and each of the six quarterly periods ended September 30, 2001 and (ii) the withdrawal on February 27, 2002 by Grant Thornton LLP of its report relating to the consolidated financial statements which appear in the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2001.

34

### **Table of Contents**

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 26th day of July 2002.

### RAINING DATA CORPORATION

By: /s/ BRIAN C. BEZDEK

### Brian C. Bezdek

Vice President, Finance

Corporate Controller and Secretary

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures		Title	Date	
	/s/ CARLTON H. BAAB	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	July 26, 2002	
	Carlton H. Baab	(Principal Executive Officer)		
	/s/ BRIAN C. BEZDEK	Vice President, Finance, Corporate Controller	July 26, 2002	
	Brian C. Bezdek	and Secretary (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)		
	/s/ GEOFFREY P. WAGNER*	Director	July 26, 2002	
	Geoffrey P. Wagner			
	/s/ GERALD F. CHEW*	Director	July 26, 2002	
	Gerald F. Chew			
	/s/ DOUGLAS G. MARSHALL*	Director	July 26, 2002	
	Douglas G. Marshall			
	/s/ BRYCE J. BURNS*	Director	July 26, 2002	
	Bryce J. Burns			
	/s/ BRYAN SPARKS*	Director	July 26, 2002	
	Bryan Sparks			
*By:	/s/ BRIAN C. BEZDEK			
	Brian C. Bezdek Attorney-in-fact			
		35		

#### **Table of Contents**

### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Raining Data Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Raining Data Corporation and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2002 and 2001 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders equity and comprehensive loss and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 31, 2002. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Raining Data Corporation and subsidiaries as of March 31, 2002 and 2001 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended March 31, 2002, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

KPMG LLP

Costa Mesa, California May 10, 2002, except as to the first paragraph of Note 5, which is as of June 10, 2002

F-1

# **Table of Contents**

# RAINING DATA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

# March 31, 2002 and 2001

	2002	2001
	(In thousands, except per share data)	
ASSETS	cacept per	siure data)
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 4,500	\$ 2,424
Trade Accounts Receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of		
\$633 in 2002 and \$156 in 2001	1,575	2,502
Other Current Assets	190	263
Total Current Assets	6,265	5,189
Property, Furniture and Equipment Net	910	1,403
Intangible Assets, less accumulated amortization of \$4,391 in 2002 and \$1,096 in 2001	8,089	11,384
Goodwill, less accumulated amortization of \$12,778 in 2002 and \$3,141		
in 2001	25,635	34,610
Other Assets	59	269
Total Assets	\$ 40,958	\$ 52,855
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS	EQUITY	
Current Liabilities		
Accounts Payable	\$ 985	\$ 1,733
Accrued Liabilities	4,409	3,094
Deferred Revenue	4,189	3,274
Notes Payable	1,207	328
Total Current Liabilities	10,790	8,429
Long-Term Debt, net of current portion	18,502	15,758
Other Long-Term Liabilities	125	
Total Liabilities	29,417	24,187
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders Equity		
Series A Convertible Preferred Stock: \$1.00 par value;		
300,000 shares authorized, issued, and outstanding	300	300
Common Stock: \$0.10 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized,		
17,585,463 and 15,716,090 issued and outstanding as of March 31,		
2002 and 2001, respectively	1,759	1,572
Additional Paid-In Capital	93,829	91,921
Deferred Stock-Based Compensation	(523)	(2,073)
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	869	1,216
Accumulated Deficit	(84,693)	(64,268)
Total Stockholders Equity	11,541	28,668
Total Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	\$ 40,958	\$ 52,855

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-2

# **Table of Contents**

# RAINING DATA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

### For the Years Ended March 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

	2002	2001	2000
	(In the	data)	
Net Revenue			
License	\$ 9,903	\$ 6,194	\$ 4,998
Service	10,142	3,125	1,212
Total Net Revenue	20,045	9,319	6,210
Cost of Revenue			
Cost of License Revenue	383	302	195
Cost of Service Revenue	3,241	1,664	277
Total Cost of Revenue	3,624	1,966	472
Gross Profit	16,421	7,353	5,738
Cost of Operations Selling and Marketing	6,117	5,599	3,221
Research and Development	5,555	3,922	2,287
General and Administrative	6,947	4,237	3,712
Stock-based Compensation	1,247	1,755	1,092
Amortization of Goodwill and Intangible Assets	12,821	4,239	
Total Operating Expense	32,687	19,752	10,312
Operating Loss	(16,266)	(12,399)	(4,574)
Other Income (Expense)			
Interest Expense, net	(3,887)	(1,317)	(124)
Other Income (Expense), net	(272)	(470)	2
Total Other Expense	(4,159)	(1,787)	(122)
Net Loss	\$ (20,425)	\$ (14,186)	\$ (4,696)
Basic and Diluted			
Net Loss Per Share	\$ (1.23)	\$ (1.21)	\$ (0.48)
Shares used in computing basic and diluted net loss per share	16,672,321	11,764,955	9,768,440

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-3

# **Table of Contents**

# RAINING DATA CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# For the Years Ended March 31, 2002, 2001 and 2000

	2002	2001	2000
		(In thousands)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net Loss	\$(20,425)	\$(14,186)	\$(4,696)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Loss to Net Cash Used In			
Operating Activities:			
Depreciation and Amortization	13,437	4,705	