

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP  
Form 10QSB  
August 14, 2007  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

## **FORM 10-QSB**

---

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2007

- TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT

Commission File Number: 000-08149

---

## **SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION**

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

**New Mexico**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**85-0169650**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**14505 21st Avenue North, Suite 220, Minneapolis, MN 55447**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**(763) 476-8271**

(Issuer's telephone number)



Check whether the Issuer (1) filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The Issuer had 12,235,568 shares of Common Stock, no par value, outstanding as of July 31, 2007.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check one): Yes  No



SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

FORM 10-QSB

Table of Contents

<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3

Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation</u>	12
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	17
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>		17
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	17
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	18
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	18
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	18
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	19
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	19
<u>SIGNATURE</u>		20
<u>EXHIBIT INDEX</u>		21

2

---

Table of Contents

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Financial Statements**

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30, 2007</b>	<b>2006</b>	<b>June 30, 2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
REVENUES	\$445,566	\$705,762	\$748,487	\$1,571,737
COST OF GOODS SOLD	214,853	263,135	384,786	630,842
GROSS PROFIT	230,713	442,627	363,701	940,895
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Selling, general and administrative	459,731	464,533	1,377,012	1,033,293
Research and development	9,222	8,598	17,776	17,257
Legal fees	195,742	38,088	303,086	53,467
	664,695	511,219	1,697,874	1,104,017
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	(433,982 )	(68,592 )	(1,334,173 )	(163,122 )

Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)								
Interest expense	(46,397	)	(17,755	)	(46,480	)	(38,205	)
Miscellaneous	3,127		1,233		8,734		(337	)
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(477,252	)	(85,114	)	(1,371,919	)	(201,664	)
INCOME TAXES			800		400		2,800	
NET LOSS	\$(477,252	)	\$(85,914	)	\$(1,372,319	)	\$(204,464	)
NET LOSS PER SHARE - BASIC AND DILUTED	\$(0.04	)	\$(0.01	)	\$(0.11	)	\$(0.02	)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC AND DILUTED	12,235,568		12,216,068		12,226,308		12,216,068	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

---

Table of Contents

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	<b>June 30, 2007 (unaudited)</b>	<b>December 31, 2006</b>
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,452	\$ 688,251
Accounts receivable, less allowance of \$15,000	418,337	343,926
Inventories	646,574	795,721
Prepaid expenses	23,134	36,564
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	1,103,497	1,864,462
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net	13,525	14,494
PATENT RIGHTS, net	44,597	97,772
OTHER	7,199	7,199
	\$ 1,168,818	\$ 1,983,927
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		

Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Accounts payable	\$ 189,300	\$ 111,700
Accrued expenses	153,457	128,653
<b>TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES</b>	<b>342,757</b>	<b>240,353</b>
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Preferred stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, no par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized; 12,235,568 and 12,216,068 shares issued and outstanding	8,343,155	7,261,644
Deferred financing costs, net	(626,705 )	
Note receivable for common stock	(153,900 )	(153,900 )
Accumulated deficit	(6,736,489 )	(5,364,170 )
	826,061	1,743,574
	<b>\$ 1,168,818</b>	<b>\$ 1,983,927</b>

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

---

Table of Contents

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited)

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net loss	\$ (1,372,319 )	\$ (204,464 )
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation	4,820	8,012
Amortization of patent rights	29,160	30,876
Write-off of invalid patents	24,015	
Stock option and warrant compensation expense	409,545	48,047
Amortization of deferred financing costs	35,608	34,623
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(74,411 )	(333,426 )
Inventories	149,147	297,016
Prepaid expenses and other	13,430	(20,657 )
Accounts payable	77,600	(35,029 )

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Accrued expenses	24,804		61,762
Net cash used by operating activities	(678,601	)	(113,240 )
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITY</b>			
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,851	)	(952 )
<b>FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>			
Payments on bank line of credit			(490,000 )
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	9,653		
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	9,653		(490,000 )
<b>NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>(672,799</b>	<b>)</b>	<b>(604,192 )</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>			
Beginning of period	688,251		1,168,532
End of period	\$ 15,452		\$ 564,340
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>			
Cash paid for:			
Interest	\$ 10,872		\$ 3,582
Income taxes	400		2,800
Noncash financing activities:			
Warrants issued in connection with line of credit for deferred financing costs	662,313		

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

---

### Table of Contents

#### SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

#### Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

##### 1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies -

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. They do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2007. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes for the year ended December 31, 2006 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB.

#### Nature of Business

The Company invents, develops and markets vision inspection products that are used in the semiconductor industry for the inspection of integrated circuits. The Company's customer base is small in numbers and global in location.

#### Principles of Consolidation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Scanner Technologies Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiary, Scanner Technologies Corporation International, incorporated in the United States and formerly registered in Singapore. The subsidiary ceased operations during 2006. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

#### Revenue Recognition

Revenue is earned primarily through sales of vision inspection products to distributors and to third party customers. For sales to distributors, revenue is recognized upon shipment as the distributors have no acceptance provisions and title passes at shipment. For sales to third party customers, title passes at shipment; however, the customer has certain acceptance provisions relating to installation and training. These provisions require the Company to defer revenue recognition until the equipment is installed and the customer's personnel are trained. As a result, revenue is recognized for third party customers once the product has been shipped, installed and customer personnel are trained. This process typically is completed within two weeks to a month after shipment.

#### Estimates

The preparation of these condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant management estimates relate to the inventory allowance for excess inventories, the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, and costs and expenses related to litigation.

#### Cash Equivalents

All highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less are considered to be cash equivalents.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable arise from the normal course of selling products on credit to customers. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been provided for estimated uncollectable accounts. Accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms and practices are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Individual accounts are charged against the allowance when collection efforts have been exhausted. At June 30, 2007, approximately 85% of accounts receivable is due from one customer.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of financial instruments consisting of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate their fair values.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined on the first-in, first-out method. The Company has provided an allowance for estimated excess and obsolete inventories equal to the difference between the cost of inventories and the estimated fair value based on assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Inventory quantities, historical sales, company and industry sales projections, current economic conditions and technological changes are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for excess inventories. Obsolete inventories are charged against the reserve.

The Company has a significant quantity of potentially excess inventories. An allowance has been provided for these excess inventories. After considering the allowance provided, the Company may have up to two years of some inventory items on hand. Depending on the occurrence of future events, the allowance may increase or decrease. Management believes that this inventory does not currently have a significant risk of technological obsolescence nor does it, based on recent selling prices and estimated carrying costs, have any significant net realization concerns.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using accelerated methods. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the shorter of the useful life or lease term.

Patent Rights



Patent rights are stated at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is provided using the straight-line method over six years, the deemed useful lives of the patents.

#### Long-Lived Assets

All long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. This evaluation is performed at least annually. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated undiscounted cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying value of the assets. When an impairment loss is recognized, the carrying amount is reduced to its estimated fair value, based on appraisals or other reasonable methods to estimate value.

#### Product Warranty

An accrual is provided for estimated incurred but unidentified product warranty issues based on historical activity. The warranty accrual and related expenses were not significant.

7

---

#### Table of Contents

#### Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan consisting of stock options and warrants. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (as amended), using the modified prospective application method and the interpretations in U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment. Among other items, SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize the cost of employee/director services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements.

Under the modified prospective application method, awards that are granted or modified after the date of adoption of SFAS No. 123R are measured and accounted for in accordance with SFAS No. 123R. Compensation cost for awards granted, prior to but not vested as of the date SFAS No. 123R was adopted, is based on the grant date attributes similar to those originally used to value those awards for the pro forma disclosure purposes under SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. The unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 reflect share-based compensation expense of \$409,545 (\$0.03 per basic and diluted share) and \$48,047 (\$0.00 per basic and diluted share), respectively and for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 reflect share-based compensation expense of \$10,754 (\$0.00 per basic and diluted share) and \$26,292 (\$0.00 per basic and diluted share), respectively. At June 30, 2007, there was approximately \$10,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation, which cost

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

will be recognized in 2007.

The fair value of options and warrants was estimated at grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	2007	2006
Risk-free interest rate	4.65%	4.93%
Dividend yield	0%	0%
Volatility factor of expected market price of common stock	93%	139%
Weighted average expected life	1.9 years	2.5 years

### Income Taxes

The Company is taxed as a domestic U.S. corporation under the Internal Revenue Code. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are evaluated and a valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the tax asset will not be utilized.

The Company's adoption, as of January 1, 2007, of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation 48, Accounting for Income Tax Uncertainties, did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

### Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents in bank accounts which may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses on such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Significant concentrations of credit risk exist in accounts receivable, which are due from customers located primarily in East Asia and the United States.

### Net Loss Per Share

Basic net loss per share is computed by dividing the net loss by the weighted-average common shares outstanding for the reported period. Diluted net loss per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if holders of warrants and options that are not antidilutive converted their holdings into common stock. All warrants and options were antidilutive in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

---

Table of Contents

Options and warrants to purchase 7,134,663 and 6,390,132 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$1.49 and \$1.59 were excluded from the diluted computation for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, because they were antidilutive. Options and warrants to purchase 6,950,144 and 6,443,511 shares of common stock with a weighted average exercise price of \$1.51 and \$1.59 were excluded from the diluted computation for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, because they were antidilutive.

## 2. Going Concern

The condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared in contemplation of the Company as a going concern. The Company incurred net losses of \$1,372,319, \$1,084,305 and \$1,892,617 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively and has an accumulated deficit of \$6,736,489 at June 30, 2007. The outcome of recent litigation, which the Company is appealing, may also have an adverse effect on the Company's financial condition (Note 6). In connection with the litigation, should the court order the Company to pay ICOS the \$5.28 million plus interest, the Company may be unable to post the supersedeas bond necessary to appeal the award, and otherwise be unable to stay enforcement of such a judgment. Such an outcome would have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company, such that the Company may be required to seek protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or to curtail, and possibly cease, its operations.

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company's working capital position decreased primarily due to the net effect of the operating loss. Subject to the outcome of the ICOS litigation, the Company believes that its working capital at June 30, 2007 along with the funds available under the bank line of credit will be adequate to satisfy projected operating and capital requirements for the next twelve months. Should the Company's costs and expenses prove to be greater than currently anticipated, or should the Company change its current business plan in a manner that will increase or accelerate its anticipated costs and expenses, the depletion of the Company's working capital would be accelerated. To the extent it becomes necessary to raise additional cash in the future as the Company's current cash and working capital resources are depleted, the Company may seek to raise it through the public or private sale of debt or equity securities, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital that it requires to continue operations.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow or obtain additional financing or refinancing to meet its obligations. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and liabilities should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

## 3. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following:

<b>June 30, 2007</b>	<b>December 31, 2006</b>
--------------------------	------------------------------

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Finished goods	\$64,701	\$65,887
Subassemblies	286,211	316,401
Raw materials	912,662	908,433
Allowance for excess inventories	(617,000 )	(495,000 )
	\$646,574	\$795,721

#### 4. Bank Line of Credit

Effective May 21, 2007, the Company entered into a bank line of credit through May 1, 2009 in the amount of \$1,170,000. The interest rate is the bank's reference rate, currently 8.25%. The line is collateralized by a security interest in the assets of the Company and guaranteed by eleven individuals, one of whom is Michael Thorsland, a director of the Company. The Company granted the guarantors five-year warrants to purchase 585,000 shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share and, for certain guarantors, a two-year extension to exercise their existing warrants. Warrants to purchase shares of common stock were extended as follows: 250,000 shares at \$.70 per share extended to August 1, 2010; 79,197 shares at \$1.00 per share extended to July 31, 2009; 20,000 shares at \$1.35 per share extended to December 5, 2010; 275,000 shares

9

---

#### Table of Contents

at \$2.75 per share extended to April 14, 2010; and 257,250 shares at \$3.50 per share extended to August 24, 2009. Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 46,235 units at \$10.80 per unit were extended to March 31, 2009; a unit consists of four (4) shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at \$1.00 per share extended to July 31, 2009. The valuation of the newly issued and extended warrants was \$662,313 as determined by the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The \$662,313 was recorded as deferred financing costs. These costs are amortized over the term of the line of credit and the net unamortized balance is offset against stockholders' equity. The Company had no outstanding indebtedness under the line at June 30, 2007.

#### 5. Stock Options and Warrants

On January 24, 2007, the Company granted seven-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 275,000 shares of common stock at \$.70 per share and five-year stock options to purchase an aggregate of 275,000 shares of common stock at \$.77 per share to eight employees and a consultant of the Company. All of the options vested immediately. In addition, the Company granted five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 150,000 shares of common stock at \$.70 per share to two directors and a consultant. The warrants were immediately exercisable. The fair value of the stock options and warrants was approximately \$377,000 as determined by the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. In addition, on February 9, 2007, the Company extended the exercise date on certain warrants previously granted to a consultant. The fair value of the warrant extensions was approximately \$12,000 as determined by the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model.

#### 6. Contingencies and Uncertainty

In an agreement dated April 19, 2002, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer (President) forgave the payment of his accrued salary of \$1,254,575 and released the Company, its successors, its officers and directors from any liability in connection with the accrued salary. In exchange, the Company agreed that its President will receive certain proceeds, if any, that Scanner may receive out of litigation involving patents that Scanner had licensed. Under the agreement, the Company keeps 60% of any proceeds of the currently ongoing litigation and pays its President 40% of such proceeds until the Company has been reimbursed for all attorney fees and other expenses incurred in connection with the

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

current litigation, and its President has received the total of \$1,254,575. If one party receives all the amounts owing to such party before the other party's claim under this provision is satisfied, the other party receives 100% of the proceeds until its claim is satisfied. If any proceeds remain after such payment, the Company's President receives 50% of such remainder. He also has a right to receive part of the proceeds, if any, the Company may receive out of any subsequent litigation involving the licensed patents. The Company keeps 60% of any such proceeds until its attorney fees and other expenses incurred in connection with the current and any subsequent litigation have been reimbursed, and its President receives 40% of any such proceeds until he has received a total of \$1,254,575 of the proceeds of the currently ongoing and any subsequent litigation. If any proceeds of the subsequent litigation remain after such distribution, the Company will pay 25% of such remaining proceeds to its President. To date, aggregate proceeds of \$160,000, related to this agreement, were paid to the President, which occurred in 2003.

To provide the Company's Senior Vice President with an incentive to continue his employment with the Company, and to compensate him for compensation in recent years which the Company believes was less than he might have received in a comparable position elsewhere, the Senior Vice President was also a party to the agreement regarding the distribution of litigation proceeds. The Company agreed to pay him 20% of the remaining proceeds, if any, Scanner receives out of the current ongoing litigation, and 25% of the remaining proceeds, if any, that Scanner may receive out of any future litigation involving the licensed patents, and that remain after the aforesaid payments to the Company and its President have been made out of such proceeds.

In July 2000, the Company instituted a lawsuit against ICOS Vision Systems Corp. N.V. ( ICOS ) for infringement of two of its patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,064,756 and 6,064,757). The patents disclose systems and methods of inspecting ball grid array devices in three dimensions. The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York found no infringement with regard to two-illumination source devices that ICOS sold. In June 2003, the Company reached a settlement with ICOS concerning one-illumination source inspection systems. Pursuant to the settlement agreement, ICOS made a one-time

10

---

### Table of Contents

payment of \$400,000 to the Company, in 2003 to settle all issues with regard to these one-light source inspection systems. The Company agreed to the settlement agreement with respect to one-light source devices in order to allow it to immediately appeal the court's ruling concerning inspection systems involving two-light sources, eliminating the need, delay and expense of a trial with regard to these systems at that stage. On April 23, 2004, the United States Court of Appeals ruled in the Company's favor with regard to the two-illumination source devices, finding that the claim terms "an illumination apparatus" and "illuminating" in the Company's patents encompass one or more illumination sources and overturned the District Court's entry of summary judgment of no infringement. A trial was held in March 2005 in the District Court regarding the Company's ongoing litigation with ICOS. ICOS later instituted actions against the Company in 2005 and 2007 asking the court to declare patents 756 and 757, and other related patents invalid and not infringed. On June 1, 2007, the New York court entered an order finding the Company's 756 and 757 patents not infringed by ICOS and its customers, and barring the Company from enforcing all of its patents related to inspecting ball grid array devices in three dimensions. The Company wrote off the net unamortized balance of the invalid patents in the second quarter of 2007. As a result of the ruling, the court dismissed the 2005 and 2007 ICOS actions and permitted ICOS to move for attorney's fees and costs. On July 6, 2007, ICOS filed a motion and proposed judgment in the District Court to recover attorneys' fees and costs. The ICOS motion asks the Court to declare the case exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, award attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,095,889, costs and expert fees in the amount of \$637,099, and interest of 4.95% per annum commencing from June 1, 2007. ICOS also filed a Memorandum of Law in Support of its motion and asked the court to further enhance its award of attorneys' fees by 150%. Thus, the total amount ICOS seeks is just over \$5.28 million plus interest. ICOS requested the court make the judgment executable within ten days unless Scanner posts a supersedeas bond which includes the Judgment amount and interest over the anticipated one year appeal. Because the ruling has not been finalized, the Company has not accrued any of these expenses in the condensed consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2007. The Company, which has filed a notice of appeal in the case, intends to vigorously defend itself and the Company's intellectual property rights and expects to incur significant additional expenses to pursue its claims. If the Company is successful on its appeal, some of the proceeds received or to be received by Scanner in connection with the foregoing lawsuit or subsequent litigation with respect to patent litigation have been or will be distributed to its President and Senior Vice President in accordance with employment agreements.

In July 2005, American Arium ( Arium ) instituted an action against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California asking the court for a ruling that certain patents owned by the Company are invalid and not infringed by Arium s products. The patents relate to the inspection and manufacture of ball grid array devices. In response to the action, the Company asserted that Arium s products infringe the patents and asked the court to award the Company a reasonable royalty. In May 2007, Arium approached Scanner to discuss a settlement of the case and the parties reached a settlement agreement. Under the terms of the agreement both parties agreed to drop all claims asserted against each other and the case was dismissed.

In September 2006, the Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas against nVidia Corporation claiming willful and deliberate infringement of U.S. Patents numbered 7,079,678 and 7,085,411 which disclose methods of three-dimensional inspection that allow ball grid array ( BGA ) devices to be manufactured more precisely and efficiently. The complaint alleges that nVidia has sold and/or is presently selling throughout the United States infringing BGA devices that are covered by one or more claims of the Company s patents. The complaint also alleges that nVidia has induced others to infringe. These BGA devices are a component in graphics cards, motherboards, computers, video game consoles, cell phones and handheld devices that are sold in the United States. In addition to requesting preliminary and permanent injunctions, the complaint asks the court to award the Company all damages it is entitled to recover, including reasonable royalties on infringing products, treble damages and attorneys fees. On July 10, 2007, a Magistrate Judge in the Texas Court issued a recommendation for dismissal of the case because the New York Court held that Scanner is barred by unclean hands from enforcing the Patents and related patents.

11

---

Table of Contents

## **Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis Or Plan Of Operation**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ( Exchange Act ). These statements are based on our beliefs and assumptions and on information currently available to us. Forward-looking statements include, among others, the information concerning possible or assumed future results of operations of Scanner Technologies Corporation and its subsidiary (Scanner) set forth under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation. Forward-looking statements also include statements in which words such as may, will, should, could, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, estimate, predict, potential, or similar expressions are used. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. Our future results and shareholder value may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. We caution you not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statements included in this document.

### **GENERAL**

The condensed consolidated financial statements were prepared in contemplation of the Company as a going concern. The Company incurred net losses of \$1,372,319, \$1,084,305 and \$1,892,617 for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively and has an accumulated deficit of \$6,736,489 at June 30, 2007. The outcome of recent litigation, which the Company is appealing, may also have an adverse effect on the Company s financial condition (See Part II, Item 1 Legal Proceedings, and below). The preceding sentence is a forward-looking statement and subject to several risks.

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

During the six months ended June 30, 2007, the Company's working capital position decreased primarily due to the net effect of the operating loss. Subject to the outcome of the ICOS litigation discussed below, the Company believes that its working capital at June 30, 2007 along with the funds available under the bank line of credit will be adequate to satisfy projected operating and capital requirements for the next twelve months. The preceding sentence is a forward-looking statement and subject to several risks. Should the Company's costs and expenses prove to be greater than currently anticipated, or should the Company change its current business plan in a manner that will increase or accelerate its anticipated costs and expenses, the depletion of the Company's working capital would be accelerated. To the extent it becomes necessary to raise additional cash in the future as the Company's current cash and working capital resources are depleted, the Company may seek to raise it through the public or private sale of debt or equity securities, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital that it requires to continue operations.

The Company's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient operating cash flow or obtain additional financing or refinancing to meet its obligations. The condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded assets and liabilities should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The Company generates revenues from the sale of machine-vision inspection products used in the semiconductor industry for the inspection of integrated circuits. The products include machine-vision modules sold to original equipment manufacturers that use the modules as a component of inspection systems they sell to end users, as well as complete machine-vision inspection systems that the Company sells to end users. Because the Company sells relatively few of its devices each year, the Company's business is characterized by uneven quarterly results that are dependent on the timing, nature and mix of sales. The Company does not believe that its revenues will be adversely affected because certain of its patents were deemed invalid in recent litigation.

In addition to general trends in the semiconductor marketplace, the Company must compete for sales with other providers of machine-vision inspection equipment, most of whom are larger, better financed and offer a broader selection of products. The Company must compete on the basis of price, product performance including speed and size of defects detected, ease of use and technological advancement. The Company must continue research and development to improve existing products and introduce new products in order to compete effectively with other providers of inspection equipment.

12

---

### Table of Contents

The Company is seeking to license its technologies to third parties to provide additional revenues. There is, however, no assurance that the Company will be successful in obtaining licenses on financially advantageous terms, and the Company may need to initiate lawsuits, and incur legal fees and other costs, to force potential licensees to acknowledge our proprietary rights and enter into appropriate licenses.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The Company evaluates, on an on-going basis, its estimates and judgments, including those related

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

to bad debts, excess inventories, warranty obligations, income taxes, contingencies and litigation. Its estimates are based on historical experience and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The Company believes the following critical accounting policies affect its more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of its condensed consolidated financial statements.

- Revenue recognition
- Allowances for doubtful accounts and excess inventories
- Patent rights
- Deferred income taxes and valuation allowance
- Valuation of options and warrants

Revenue is earned primarily through sales of vision inspection products to distributors and to third party customers. For sales to distributors, revenue is recognized upon shipment as the distributors have no acceptance provisions and title passes at shipment. For sales to third party customers, title passes at shipment; however, the customer has certain acceptance provisions relating to installation and training. These provisions require the Company to defer revenue recognition until the equipment is installed and the customer's personnel are trained. As a result, revenue is recognized for third party customers once the product has been shipped, installed and customer personnel are trained. This process typically is completed within two weeks to a month after shipment.

Accounts receivable arise from the normal course of selling products on credit to customers. An allowance for doubtful accounts has been provided for estimated uncollectable accounts. Accounts receivable balances, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer creditworthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms and practices are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Individual accounts are charged against the allowance when collection efforts have been exhausted.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market with cost determined on the first-in, first-out method. The Company has provided an allowance for estimated excess and obsolete inventories equal to the difference between the cost of inventories and the estimated fair value based on assumptions about future demand and market conditions. Inventory quantities, historical sales, company and industry sales projections, current economic conditions and technological changes are analyzed when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for excess inventories. The Company has a significant quantity of potentially excess inventories. An allowance has been provided for these excess inventories. After considering the allowance provided, the Company may have up to two years of some inventory items on hand. Management believes that this inventory does not currently have a significant risk of technological obsolescence nor does it, based on recent selling prices and estimated carrying costs, have any significant net realization concerns. The preceding sentence is a forward-looking statement and subject to certain risks. There is no assurance that the Company's products or future products, if any, will not become obsolete as a result of new product introductions or other technological advancements. Obsolete inventories are charged against the allowance. Depending on the occurrence of future events, the allowance may increase or decrease.

13

---

[Table of Contents](#)



## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Patent rights are stated at cost less accumulated amortization. Amortization is provided using the straight-line method over six years. Patent rights are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. This evaluation is performed at least annually. An impairment loss is recognized when estimated cash flows to be generated by those assets are less than the carrying value of the assets. When impairment loss is recognized, the carrying amount is reduced to its estimated fair value, based on appraisals or other reasonable methods to estimate value.

The Company is taxed as a domestic U.S. corporation under the Internal Revenue Code. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been included in the consolidated financial statements or tax returns. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates in effect for the years in which the differences are expected to reverse. Deferred tax assets are evaluated and a valuation allowance is established if it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the tax asset will not be utilized. The Company's adoption, as of January 1, 2007, of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation 48, Accounting for Income Tax Uncertainties, did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations and liquidity.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123R, Share-Based Payment (as amended), using the modified prospective application method and the interpretations in U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107, Share-Based Payment. Among other items, SFAS No. 123R requires companies to recognize the cost of employee/director services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments, based on the grant date fair value of those awards, in the financial statements. See Note 1 to the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

The Company estimates the fair value of options and warrants at the grant date using the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. The model takes into consideration weighted average assumptions related to the following: risk-free interest rate; expected life; expected volatility; and expected dividend rate.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Sales for the three months ended June 30, 2007, were \$445,566 compared to \$705,762 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. One of the Company's VisionFlex robotic inspection systems was sold in the second quarter of 2006 and none were sold in 2007. The number of modules sold in the second quarter of 2007 was also less than the number sold in the second quarter of 2006. Since the Company sells relatively few of its devices each year, its business is characterized by uneven quarterly results that are dependent on the timing, nature and mix of sales.

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$48,282 to \$214,853 in the three months ended June 30, 2007, from \$263,135 in 2006. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales increased by 10.9 percentage points to 48.2% in 2007 compared to 37.3% in 2006. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales increased primarily from an increase in the allowance for excess inventories. The total allowance for excess inventories charged to cost of goods sold was approximately \$57,000 and \$23,000 in the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In 2007, material cost decreased 0.8% as a percent of sales. This decrease was offset by an increase in manufacturing costs as a percent of sales primarily because of the large decrease in sales, which caused fixed manufacturing costs to be spread over a smaller base.

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased by \$4,802 to \$459,731 for the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$464,533 in the same quarter of 2006. The decrease in expenses related primarily to decreases in salaries of approximately \$19,000 because of fewer personnel, decreases in commissions of approximately \$10,000, a decrease in stock based compensation expense of approximately \$15,000 and

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

decreases in other expenses of approximately \$36,000 offset by an increase in professional fees of approximately \$51,000 and the write-off of net unamortized patent costs of approximately \$24,000, in the three months ended June 30, 2007, on patents deemed to be invalid.

14

---

### Table of Contents

Research and development expenses were \$9,222 in the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$8,598 for the three months ended June 30, 2006. The research and development activities related to the Company's development and improvement of its own line of robotic inspection systems.

Legal fees increased by \$157,654 to \$195,742 in the three months ended June 30, 2007, from \$38,088 in the same quarter of 2006. A significant portion of the legal fees in both periods related to the patent infringement claims.

Other expense was \$43,270 in the three months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$16,522 in the same quarter of 2006. Interest expense was approximately \$29,000 higher in the second quarter of 2007 than it was in the second quarter of 2006 primarily because of financing costs associated with the new line of credit.

The Company recognized no income tax benefit to offset the loss before income taxes in the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, as no tax benefit could be utilized by the Company. The income taxes provided in 2006 represented minimum state taxes.

The net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2007 was \$477,252, or \$.04 per share, compared to a net loss of \$85,914, or \$.01 per share in the same quarter of 2006. The change was primarily the result of a reduction in gross profit of \$211,914, increased operating expenses of \$153,476 and an increase in nonoperating expenses of \$26,748.

### SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007 COMPARED TO SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Sales for the six months ended June 30, 2007, were \$748,487 compared to \$1,571,737 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. Three of the Company's VisionFlex robotic inspection systems were sold in the first six months of 2006 and none were sold in 2007. The number of modules sold in the first six months of 2007 was also less than the number sold in the first six months of 2006. Since the Company sells relatively few of its devices each year, its business is characterized by uneven quarterly results that are dependent on the timing, nature and mix of sales.

Cost of goods sold decreased by \$246,056 to \$384,786 in the six months ended June 30, 2007, from \$630,842 in 2006. Cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales increased by 11.3 percentage points to 51.4% in 2007 compared to 40.1% in 2006. The increase in cost of goods sold as a percentage of sales resulted primarily from the change in the sales mix and an increase in the allowance for excess inventories. The total allowance for excess inventories charged to cost of goods sold was approximately \$122,000 and \$68,000 in the six months ended June 30, 2007

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

and 2006, respectively. In 2007, material cost decreased 7.2% as a percent of sales, primarily because of a change in the sales mix. This decrease was offset by an increase in manufacturing costs as a percent of sales primarily because of the large decrease in sales, which caused fixed manufacturing costs to be spread over a smaller base.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$343,719 to \$1,377,012 for the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$1,033,293 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The increase in expenses related primarily to an increase in stock based compensation expense of approximately \$361,000 relating primarily to stock options and warrants issued in 2007, to an increase in professional fees of approximately \$84,000, to the write-off of net unamortized patent costs of approximately \$24,000, in the six months ended June 30, 2007, on patents deemed to be invalid, offset by decreases in salaries and related costs of approximately \$47,000 because of fewer personnel, decreases in commissions of approximately \$31,000 and decreases in other expenses of approximately \$47,000.

Research and development expenses were \$17,776 in the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to \$17,257 for the six months ended June 30, 2006. The research and development activities related to the Company's development and improvement of its own line of robotic inspection systems.

Legal fees increased by \$249,619 to \$303,086 in the six months ended June 30, 2007, from \$53,467 in the same period of 2006. A significant portion of the legal fees in both periods related to the patent infringement claims.

15

---

### Table of Contents

Other expense was \$37,746 in the six months ended June 30, 2007, compared to \$38,542 in the same period of 2006. Interest expense was approximately \$8,000 higher in the first six months of 2007 than it was in the first six months of 2006. This increase in expense was more than offset by an increase in miscellaneous income in 2007 compared to 2006.

The Company recognized no income tax benefit to offset the loss before income taxes in the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, as no tax benefit could be utilized by the Company. The income taxes provided in both periods represented minimum state taxes.

The net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$1,372,319, or \$.11 per share, compared to a net loss of \$204,464, or \$.02 per share in the same period of 2006. The change was primarily the result of a reduction in gross profit of \$577,194 and an increase in operating expenses of \$593,857.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES (FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2007)

## Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

Effective May 21, 2007, the Company entered into a bank line of credit through May 1, 2009 in the amount of \$1,170,000. The interest rate is the bank's reference rate, currently 8.25%. The line is collateralized by a security interest in the assets of the Company and guaranteed by eleven individuals, one of whom is Michael Thorsland, a director of the Company.

Subject to the outcome of the ICOS litigation discussed below, the Company believes that its working capital at June 30, 2007 along with the funds available under the bank line of credit described above will be adequate to satisfy projected operating and capital requirements for the next twelve months. The preceding sentence is a forward-looking statement and subject to several risks. Should the Company's costs and expenses prove to be greater than we currently anticipate, or should the Company change its current business plan in a manner that will increase or accelerate its anticipated costs and expenses, the depletion of the Company's working capital would be accelerated. To the extent it becomes necessary to raise additional cash in the future as the Company's current cash and working capital resources are depleted, we may seek to raise it through the public or private sale of debt or equity securities, debt financing or short-term loans, or a combination of the foregoing. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to secure the additional cash or working capital that it requires to continue operations.

On July 6, 2007, in connection with its patent-related lawsuit against the Company, ICOS Vision Systems N.V. ( ICOS ) filed a motion in the Southern District of New York seeking to recover attorneys' fees and costs against the Company. ICOS has moved the Court to declare the case exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285, award attorneys' fees in the amount of \$3,095,889, award costs and expert fees in the amount of \$637,099, and impose interest of 4.95% per annum commencing from June 1, 2007. ICOS also asked the court to further enhance its award of attorneys' fees by 150%. The total amount ICOS seeks against the Company is approximately \$5.28 million plus interest. While the Company has filed a notice of appeal in the case, the Court may award ICOS the relief it is seeking and order the Company to pay ICOS up to \$5.28 million plus interest. In addition, should the Court make such an award, the Company may be unable to post the supersedeas bond necessary to appeal the award, and otherwise unable to stay enforcement of such a judgment. Such an outcome would have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company such that the Company may be required to seek protection from creditors under the bankruptcy laws or to curtail, and possibly cease, its operations.

Net cash used by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 totaled \$678,601. Negative operating cashflows resulted from the net loss of \$1,372,319 for the period being partially offset by non-cash adjustments of \$503,148 relating to stock option and warrant compensation expense, write-off of invalid patents, depreciation and amortization and by net changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$190,570 relating primarily to a decreases in inventories, accounts payable and accrued expenses and an increase in receivables.

Net cash used by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 totaled \$3,851. The funds were used to purchase property and equipment.

16

---

### Table of Contents

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 totaled \$9,653. The amount relates to proceeds from the exercise of stock options.

### **Item 3. Controls And Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rules 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, except as set forth in the final paragraph of this Item 3, (i) the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information that is required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the rules of the Securities Exchange Commission; and (ii) the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are also effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that the disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Due to the lack of sufficient accounting personnel, there was an ineffective segregation of duties in the preparation of the financial statements to prevent or detect errors. This significant deficiency could result in material misstatements to annual or interim financial statements that would not be prevented or detected if left unremediated. Accordingly, management determined that this significant deficiency constitutes a material weakness. Because of this material weakness, management believes that, as of June 30, 2007, we did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria proposed by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Management believes that the significant deficiency discussed above presents a risk of errors or misstatements in the financial statements and that the cost of remediating this significant deficiency exceeds the benefits that would be obtained by such remediation. Management has therefore elected not to hire the additional personnel that would be required to remediate the significant deficiency.

## **PART II OTHER INFORMATION**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

In July 2000, the Company instituted an action against ICOS Vision Systems Corporation N.V. ( ICOS ) in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York for infringement of two patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 6,064,756 and 6,064,757) owned by the Company. The patents disclose systems and methods of inspecting ball grid array devices in three dimensions. ICOS later instituted actions against the Company in 2005 and 2007 asking the court to declare those patents, and other related patents invalid and not infringed. On June 1, 2007, the New York court entered an order finding the Company's 756 and 757 patents not infringed by ICOS and its customers, and barring the Company from enforcing all of its patents

Table of Contents

related to inspecting ball grid array devices in three dimensions. As a result of the ruling, the court dismissed the 2005 and 2007 ICOS actions and permitted ICOS to move for attorney's fees and costs. On July 6, 2007, ICOS filed a motion to recover attorney's fees and costs; the total amount ICOS seeks is just over \$5.28 million plus interest. ICOS requested the court make the judgment executable within ten days unless Scanner posts a supersedeas bond which includes the judgment amount and interest over the anticipated one year appeal. The Company has filed a notice of appeal in the case.

In July 2005, American Arium ( Arium ) instituted an action against the Company in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California asking the court for a ruling that certain patents owned by the Company are invalid and not infringed by Arium's products. The patents relate to the inspection and manufacture of ball grid array devices. In response to the action, the Company asserted that Arium's products infringe the patents and asked the court to award the Company a reasonable royalty. In May 2007, Arium approached the Company to discuss a settlement of the case and the parties reached a settlement agreement. Under the terms of the agreement both parties agreed to drop all claims asserted against each other and the case was dismissed.

In September 2006, the Company filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Texas against nVidia Corporation claiming willful and deliberate infringement of U.S. Patents numbered 7,079,678 and 7,085,411 which disclose methods of three-dimensional inspection that allow ball grid array ( BGA ) devices to be manufactured more precisely and efficiently. The complaint alleges that nVidia has sold and/or is presently selling throughout the United States infringing BGA devices that are covered by one or more claims of the Company's patents. The complaint also alleges that nVidia has induced others to infringe. These BGA devices are a component in graphics cards, motherboards, computers, video game consoles, cell phones and handheld devices that are sold in the United States. In addition to requesting preliminary and permanent injunctions, the complaint asks the court to award the Company all damages it is entitled to recover, including reasonable royalties on infringing products, treble damages and attorney's fees. On July 10, 2007, a Magistrate Judge in the Texas Court issued a recommendation for dismissal of the case because the New York Court held that Scanner is barred by unclean hands from enforcing the Patents and related patents.

## **Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

In consideration for the guarantee of debt of the Company, on May 21, 2007, the Company issued five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 585,000 shares of common stock at \$1.00 per share to eleven persons (the Guarantors ).

In addition, the Company extended certain warrants held by the Guarantors for an additional two years. Warrants to purchase common shares were extended as follows: 250,000 shares at \$.70 per share extended to August 1, 2010; 79,197 shares at \$1.00 per share extended to July 31, 2009; 20,000 shares at \$1.35 per share extended to December 5, 2010; 275,000 shares at \$2.75 per share extended to April 14, 2010; and 257,250 shares at \$3.50 per share extended to August 24, 2009. Warrants to purchase an aggregate of 46,235 units at \$10.80 per unit were extended to March 31, 2009; a unit consists of four (4) shares of common stock and a warrant to purchase one share of common stock at \$1.00 per share extended to July 31, 2009.

The above securities were issued in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Rule 506 of Regulation D promulgated under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. The warrants bear a restrictive legend.

### Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

### Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

The Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 31, 2007. The Company solicited proxies pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the shareholders voted on the following matters:

18

---

#### Table of Contents

- (i) Set the number of directors at four (4):

For: 10,955,852 Against: 24,580 Abstain: 15,313

- (ii) Election of Directors:

	<b>For</b>	<b>Withheld</b>
Elwin M. Beaty	10,838,814	156,932
David P. Mork	10,838,814	156,932
Betsy Brenden Radtke	10,955,621	40,125
Michael A. Thorsland	10,838,814	156,932

- (iii) Approve an increase of shares from 2,300,000 to 3,800,000 under the 2004 Equity Incentive Plan:

For: 7,021,960 Against: 388,516 Abstain: 8,043 Broker non-votes: 3,577,227

- (iv) Ratify the appointment of Lurie Besikof Lapidus & Company, LLP as independent auditors for the current fiscal year:

For: 10,971,106 Against: 24,640 Abstain: 0

### Item 5. Other Information

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

See Exhibit Index on page following signature page.

19

---

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURE**

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Scanner Technologies Corporation

Dated: August 14, 2007

By: /s/ Elwin M. Beaty  
Elwin M. Beaty  
Chief Executive Officer, President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal executive officer and principal  
financial and accounting officer)

20

---

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

FORM 10-QSB



Edgar Filing: SCANNER TECHNOLOGIES CORP - Form 10QSB

FORM 10-QSB FOR QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2007

<u>Exhibit Number</u>	<u>Description</u>
3.1	Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of the Registrant--incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.3 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 15, 2002
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant--incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 15, 2002
10.1*	Business Loan Agreement dated April 12, 2007 between the Company and Bremer Bank, N.A.
10.2*	Promissory Note dated April 12, 2007 in favor of Bremer Bank, N.A., Commercial Security Agreement dated April 12, 2007 between the Company and Bremer Bank, N.A. and Change in Terms Agreement dated May 21, 2007 between the Company and Bremer Bank, N.A.
10.3*	Lease Renewal Addendum dated January 29, 2007 between Registrant and Carleton Park Associates, L.L.C. relating to Registrant's Arizona premises.
10.4*	Amendment No. 7 dated March 9, 2007 to Lease between Registrant and Parkers Lake II Realty LLC relating to Registrant's Minnesota premises.
31*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\*Filed herewith.

21

---