SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP

Form 6-K

February 26, 2019
FORM 6-K
REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 OR 15d-16 UNDER
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the month of February 2019
GRUPO AEROPORTUARIO DEL SURESTE, S.A.B. de C.V.
(SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP)
(Translation of Registrant's Name Into English)
México
(Indication of incomparation or organization)
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
Bosque de Alisos No. 47A– 4th Floor
Bosques de las Lomas
05120 México, D.F.
(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F.)
Form 20-F \underline{x} Form 40-F Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)
Yes No \underline{x} If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82

~	
Contacts	•
Contacts	

InspIR Group

Susan Borinelli

ASUR

+1-646-330-5907

Lic. Adolfo Castro

susan@inspirgroup.com

+52-55-5284-0408

acastro@asur.com.mx

AMENDED RELEASE: ASUR 4Q18 Passenger Traffic Increased 7.5% YoY

in Mexico, 30.4% in Puerto Rico and 27.8% in Colombia

NOTE: Amendments have been made in four line items of the Cash Flow Statement presented on page 26 of this press release. However, totals and subtotals remain unchanged following these corrections as originally published.

In the fourth quarter comparisons, bank loans paid in 4Q18 was changed to Ps.1,478.8 million from the Ps.106.3 million originally published. Interest paid in 4Q18 was changed to Ps.307.4 million from the Ps.1,679.9 million originally published.

In the full-year comparisons, bank loans paid was changed to Ps.3,090.1 million in FY18 from the Ps.0.0 originally published. Interest paid was changed to Ps.1,139.1 million in FY18 from the Ps.4,229.2 million originally published.

Mexico City, February 26, 2019 - Grupo Aeroportuario del Sureste, S.A.B. de C.V. (NYSE: ASR; BMV: ASUR) (ASUR), a leading international airport group with operations in Mexico, the U.S., and Colombia, today announced results for the three-and twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2018.

4Q18 Highlights¹

Passenger traffic in Mexico rose 7.5% YoY, reflecting increases of 12.3% and 3.0% in domestic and international traffic, respectively. Cancun Airport was the main traffic driver.

·Traffic in Puerto Rico (Aerostar) rose 30.4% YoY, driven by increases of 29.9% in domestic traffic and 34.9% in international traffic. The recovery in total passenger traffic reflects the impact of Hurricane Maria, which hit the

island on September 21, 2017.

Traffic in Colombia (Airplan) increased 27.8% YoY, reflecting growth of 30.7% in domestic traffic and 12.6% in international traffic.

Consolidated commercial revenues per passenger reached Ps.95.6.

Consolidated EBITDA rose 26.9% YoY, reaching Ps.2,459.8 million.

Cash position at year-end was Ps.4,584.5 million. Net Debt to LTM EBITDA stood at 1.1x, reflecting the consolidation of Puerto Rico (Aerostar) and Colombia (Airplan).

4Q18 Earnings Call

Date & Time: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 at 10:00 AM US ET; 9:00 AM CT

Dial-in: 1-800-239-9838 (US & Canada); 1-323-794-2551 (International & Mexico). Access Code: 7821007.

Replay: Tuesday, February 26, 2018 at 1:00 PM US ET, ending at 11:59 PM US ET on March 5, 2019. Dial-in number: 1-844-512-2921 (US & Canada) 1-412-317-6671 (International & Mexico); Access Code 7821007.

Table 1: Financial & Operational Highlights ¹

	Fourth Qu	% Var	
	2017	2018	% var
Financial Highlights			
Total Revenue	3,947,669	3,924,232	2(0.6)
Mexico	2,813,545	2,636,719	9(6.3)
San Juan	652,066	858,436	31.6
Colombia	482,058	429,077	(11.0)
Commercial Revenues per PAX	95.4	95.6	0.2
Mexico	103.7	111.6	7.6
San Juan	130.0	122.2	(6.0)
Colombia	35.3	35.2	(0.1)
EBITDA	1,937,770	2,459,804	26.9

Edgar Filing: SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP - Form 6-K

Net Income	3,113,847	1,547,748	3(50.3)
Majority Net Income	2,262,511	1,458,592	2(35.5)
Earnings per Share (in pesos)	7.5417	4.8620	(35.5)
Earnings per ADS (in US\$)	3.8378	2.4741	(35.5)
Capex	766,160	266,516	(65.2)
Cash & Cash Equivalents	4,677,454	4,584,507	7(2.0)
Net Debt	12,966,576	59,915,874	4(23.5)
Net Debt/ LTM EBITDA	1.7	1.1	(40.7)
Operational Highlights			
Passenger Traffic			
Mexico	7.522,050	8,088,897	77.5

Mexico 7,522,050 8,088,8977.5 San Juan 1,542,093 2,011,10630.4 Colombia 2,321,077 2,966,10527.8

ASUR 4Q Page 1 of 26 Pages

¹ Unless otherwise stated, all financial figures discussed in this announcement are unaudited, prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), including application of IFRS 9 and 15 that came into force in 2018, and represent comparisons between the three- and twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2018, and the equivalent three- and twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2017. On May 26, 2017, ASUR increased its share ownership in Aerostar to 60% from its prior 50% ownership. Accordingly, starting June 1, 2017, ASUR began to fully consolidate Aerostar results on a line by line basis, while until then, results were accounted for by the equity method. Furthermore, starting October 19, 2017, ASUR began to consolidate results of Airplan in Colombia. All figures in this report are expressed in Mexican pesos, unless otherwise noted. Tables state figures in thousands of pesos, unless otherwise noted. Passenger figures for Mexico and Colombia exclude transit and general aviation passengers, unless otherwise noted. Commercial revenues include revenues from non-permanent ground transportation and parking lots. All U.S. dollar figures are calculated at the exchange rate of US\$1.00 = Mexican Ps. 19.6512 (source: Diario Oficial de la Federacion de Mexico) while Colombian peso figures are calculated at the exchange rate of COL\$165.2900 = Ps. 1.00 Mexican pesos (source: Investing). Definitions for EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA Margin, Majority Net Income can be found on page 17 of this report.

Passenger Traffic

ASUR's total passenger traffic in 4Q18 rose 14.8% YoY to 13.1 million passengers, reflecting increases of 7.5% in traffic in Mexico, 30.4% in Puerto Rico, and 27.8% in Colombia.

Passenger traffic growth of 7.5% in Mexico reflects increases of 12.3% and 3.0% in domestic and international traffic, respectively. Cancun was the main driver behind traffic growth, with increases of 14.4% and 3.4% in domestic and international traffic, respectively. The majority of ASUR's other Mexican airports also contributed to higher traffic.

Traffic in Puerto Rico increased 30.4% YoY, recovering following the impact of Hurricane Maria, which hit the island in September 2017. Domestic traffic increased 29.9% YoY while international traffic rose 34.9%.

Colombia reported a 27.8% YoY increase in total traffic driven by growth of 30.7% and 12.6% in domestic and international traffic, respectively.

Tables with detailed passenger traffic information for each airport can be found on page 19 of this report.

Table 2: Passenger Traffic Summary

	Fourth Quarter		% Chg. Fiscal Year			% Chg.
	2017	2018	% Cng.	2017	2018	% Chg.
Total Mexico	7,522,050	8,088,897	7.5	31,052,569	33,247,315	57.1
- Cancun	5,605,403	6,012,727	7.3	23,601,509	925,202,016	66.8
- 8 Other Airports	1,916,647	2,076,170	8.3	7,451,060	8,045,299	8.0
Domestic Traffic	3,668,922	4,118,536	12.3	14,310,728	315,843,617	10.7
- Cancun	1,968,462	2,251,623	14.4	7,808,368	8,777,510	12.4
- 8 Other Airports	1,700,460	1,866,913	9.8	6,502,360	7,066,107	8.7
International traffic	3,853,128	3,970,361	3.0	16,741,841	17,403,698	34.0
- Cancun	3,636,941	3,761,104	3.4	15,793,14	1 16,424,506	54.0
- 8 Others Airports	216,187	209,257	(3.2)	948,700	979,192	3.2
Total San Juan, Puerto Rico ¹	1,542,093	2,011,106	30.4	8,407,404	8,373,679	(0.4)
Domestic Traffic	1,383,363	1,797,007	29.9	7,389,095	7,469,211	1.1
International traffic	158,730	214,099	34.9	1,018,309	904,468	(11.2)
Total Colombia ²	2,321,077	2,966,105	27.8	10,051,129	010,647,523	35.9

Domestic Traffic	1,946,733 2,544,552 30.7	8,660,472 9,061,166 4.6
International traffic	374,344 421,553 12.6	1,390,657 1,586,357 14.1
Total traffic	11,385,22013,066,10814.8	49,511,10252,268,5175.6
Domestic Traffic	6,999,018 8,460,095 20.9	30,360,29532,373,9946.6
International traffic	4,386,202 4,606,013 5.0	19,150,807 19,894,523 3.9

Note: Passenger figures for Mexico and Colombia exclude transit and general aviation passenges, while Puerto Rico includes transit passengers and general aviation.

Review of Consolidated Results

In May 2017, ASUR increased its share ownership in Aerostar, operator of LMM Airport in Puerto Rico, to 60% from its prior 50% ownership. Accordingly, until May 31, 2017, ASUR's ownership in Aerostar was accounted for by the equity method, while starting June 1, 2017, ASUR began to fully consolidate Aerostar results on a line by line basis. In addition, on October 19, 2017, ASUR acquired a 92.42% ownership stake in Airplan, which operates six airports in Colombia, and starting on that date, ASUR began to fully consolidate Airplan's operations on a line by line basis. On May 25, 2018, ASUR acquired a 7.58% ownership stake in Airplan, bringing its total share ownership in Airplan to 100.0%.

ASUR 4Q Page 2 of 26 Pages

¹ On May 26, 2017, ASUR increased its ownership stake in Aerostar, operator of Luis Muñoz Marín (LMM) Airport in Puerto Rico from 50% to 60%. ASUR began fully consolidating line by line Aerostar's operations starting June 1, 2017. For comparison purposes, this table includes traffic figures for LMM Airport for 4Q18 and 4Q17.

² On October 19, 2017, ASUR began to consolidate Airplan's operations (Colombia). For comparison purposes, this table includes traffic figures for Airplan for 4Q17 and 4Q18.

Table 3: Summary of Consolidated Results

Table 5: Summary of Consolidated Results						
	Fourth Quarter		% Chg.	Fiscal Year		% Chg.
	2017	2018	w Chg.	2017	2018	% Chg.
Total Revenues	3,947,669	3,924,232	2(0.6)	12,589,818	315,410,241	122.4
Aeronautical Services	1,896,499	2,227,77	7 17.5	6,484,219	8,942,910	37.9
Non-Aeronautical Services	1,151,103	1,371,265	5 19.1	4,261,383	5,531,557	29.8
Total Revenues Excluding Construction Revenues	s 3,047,602	3,599,042	218.1	10,745,602	214,474,467	734.7
Construction Revenues ¹	900,067	325,190	(63.9)	1,844,216	935,774	(49.3)
Total Operating Costs & Expenses	7,372,116	1,931,169	9(75.6)	11,112,985	57,765,909	(31.3)
Other Income		134,637	n/a		134,637	n/a
Operating Profit	(3,424,447	2,127,700	0(162.1)	1,476,833	7,778,969	426.7
Operating Margin	(86.7%)	54.22%	14097 bps	11.7%	50.5%	3875 bps
Adjusted Operating Margin ²	(112.4%)	59.12%	17148 bps	13.7%	53.7%	4000 bps
EBITDA	1,937,770	2,459,804	426.9	7,413,527	9,553,635	28.9
EBITDA Margin	49.09%	62.68%	1360 bps	58.9%	62.0%	311 bps
Adjusted EBITDA Margin ³	63.58%	68.35%	476 bps	69.0%	66.0%	(299 bps)
Net Income	3,113,847	1,547,748	8(50.3)	6,750,165	5,119,806	(24.2)
Majority Net Income	2,262,511	1,458,592	2(35.5)	5,834,484	4,987,601	(14.5)
Earnings per Share	7.5417	4.8620	(35.5)	19.4483	16.6253	(14.5)
Earnings per ADS in US\$	3.8378	2.4741	(35.5)	9.8967	16.6253	68.0
Total Commercial Revenues per Passenger ⁴	95.4	95.6	0.2	102.8	96.9	(5.7)
Commercial Revenues	1,053,171	1,259,118	819.6	3,877,529	5,099,979	31.5
Commercial Revenues from Direct Operations per	17.6	16.5	(6.7)	18.6	17.7	(4.6)
Passenger ⁵	17.0	10.5	(0.7)	10.0	1/./	(7.0)
Commercial Revenues Excl. Direct Operations per Passenger	77.8	79.2	1.7	84.2	79.2	(6.0)

¹ Construction revenues for Airplan in 4Q18 and 4Q17 include the actual construction revenues which is equal to the construction cost of Ps.82.6 million and Ps.241.6 million respectively, and an estimate to the downside of income derived from the valuation of the intangible to present value (construction income) of Ps.102.7 million and Ps.54.3 million, respectively, according to IFRIC 12.

² Adjusted Operating Margin excludes the effect of IFRIC 12 with respect to the construction or improvements to concessioned assets in Mexico, and is equal to operating profit divided by total revenues excluding construction

services revenues.

- ³ Adjusted EBITDA Margin excludes the effect of IFRIC 12 with respect to the construction or improvements to concessioned assets in Mexico, and is calculated by dividing EBITDA by total revenues excluding construction services revenues.
- ⁴ Passenger figures include transit and general aviation passengers for Mexico, Puerto Rico, and Colombia.
- ⁵ Represents ASUR's operations in convenience stores.

Consolidated Revenues

Consolidated Revenues for 4Q18 declined 0.6% YoY, or Ps.23.4 million to Ps.3,924.2million, mainly driven by a 63.9%, or Ps.574.9 million, decline in revenues from construction services. This was mainly due to a decrease in construction revenues in Colombia, as well as lower construction revenues in Mexico and Puerto Rico as a result of lower capital expenditures and other investments in concessioned assets during the period.

This more than offset the following increases:

- 17.5% in revenues from aeronautical services to Ps.2,227.8 million. Mexico contributed with Ps.1,482.4 million in revenues from aeronautical services in 4Q18, while Puerto Rico and Colombia contributed with Ps.403.1 million and Ps.342.3 million, respectively; and
- 19.1% in revenues from non-aeronautical services to Ps.1,371.3 million, principally reflecting the 19.6% increase in commercial revenues. Mexico contributed with Ps.1,016.1 million in revenues from non-aeronautical services, while Puerto Rico and Colombia contributed with Ps.248.2 million and Ps.106.9 million, respectively.

Excluding **revenues from construction services**, which are deducted as costs under IFRS accounting standards, total revenues would have increased 18.1% YoY to Ps.3,599.0 million. Total revenues excluding revenues from construction services in Puerto Rico and Colombia in 4Q18 represented 18.1% and 12.5%, respectively, of ASUR's consolidated revenues excluding revenues from construction services.

Commercial Revenues in 4Q18 increased 19.6% YoY to Ps.1,259.1 million, mainly reflecting the 14.8% increase in total passenger traffic. Commercial revenues in Mexico rose 15.6% to Ps.906.5 million, mainly

ASUR 4Q Page 3 of 26 Pages

driven by increases in Duty Free, Food and Beverages, Retail, and Car Rentals, among others, principally reflecting the opening of Terminal 4 at Cancun Airport during 4Q17. Likewise, Puerto Rico reported an YoY increase of 22.6% to Ps.245.7 million in commercial revenues, and Colombia an increase of 56.7% to Ps.106.9 million.

Commercial Revenues per Passenger were relatively stable at Ps.95.6 in 4Q18. Mexico contributed with commercial revenues per passenger of Ps.111.6 in 4Q18, Puerto Rico with Ps.122.2, and Colombia with Ps.35.2. During the period, commercial revenues per passenger increased 7.6% in Mexico and declined 6.0% in Puerto Rico and 0.3% in Colombia.

Consolidated Operating Costs and Expenses

Consolidated Operating Costs and Expenses, including construction costs, for 4Q18 declined by 73.8% YoY, or Ps.5,440.9 million, to Ps.1,931.2 million. Excluding an impairment in fixed-assets in Puerto Rico in 4Q17 of Ps.4,719.1 million (equivalent to US\$240.0 million) as a result of Hurricane Maria, costs and expenses declined by 27.2% or Ps.721.9 million. Excluding construction costs, operating costs and expenses declined by 11.5% or Ps.195.4 million.

In Puerto Rico, operating costs and expenses excluding construction costs declined 44.4%, or Ps.313.1 million, mainly as a result of: i) a Ps.198.7 million (US\$10.4 million) loss in fixed assets reflecting the damages arising from Hurricane Maria, ii) a Ps.98.9 million reduction in the maintenance provision as per IFRIC12 in 4Q18, and iii) the recognition of Ps.98.8 million in amortization of the intangible asset resulting from the valuation of the investment in Aerostar in 4Q17, compared to Ps.44.8 million in 4Q18.

Mexico reported an 11.0%, or Ps.82.7 million, increase in operating costs and expenses excluding construction costs, principally as a result of increases in maintenance, energy, and security expenses. Higher cost of sales from the opening of stores directly operated by ASUR in Terminal 4 of Cancun Airport and professional fees in connection with diverse projects also contributed to the increase in costs.

Colombia reported an increase of 73.2%, or Ps.175.5 million, operating costs and expenses excluding construction costs composed of: i) Ps.159.3 million in cost of services, resulting from a Ps.199.7 million increase in the maintenance provision for the future replacement of fixed assets as of December 31, 2018 as per IFRIC12, partially offset by a Ps.45 million decline in the provision for uncollectible accounts, ii) Ps.0.8 million in technical assistance costs, iii) concession costs of Ps.24.2 million, partially offset be a decline of Ps.149.2 million in amortization of the concession (includes Ps.28.6 million from the recognition of the intangible asset resulting from the valuation of Airplan under IFRS 3 and Ps.122.2 million in initial amortization of complementary works, offset by the decline in the

accumulated amortization for committed works).

Cost of Services rose by 9.0%, or Ps.72.1 million. In Mexico, cost of services increased 3.9% YoY, or Ps.14.9 million, reflecting higher maintenance expenses from the opening of Terminal 4 in Cancun airport, along with higher cost of sales from convenience stores directly operated by ASUR. Increased energy, security, and maintenance expenses also contributed to the increase in cost of services. Cost of services in Colombia rose 124.4%, or Ps.159.3 million, reflecting a Ps.199.7 million increase in the maintenance provision for future replacement of assets in line with IFRIC 12, as well as higher energy costs, security and maintenance expenses. This was partially offset by a Ps.45.0 million decline in the provision for uncollectible accounts and lower professional fees. By contrast, in Puerto Rico, cost of services decreased by 34.8% YoY, or Ps.102.1 million, reflecting a Ps.98.9 million decline in the maintenance provision under IFRIC 12 and from the recognition in 4Q17 of Ps.21.6 million in extraordinary expenses resulting from Hurricane Maria.

Construction Costs declined by 55.2% YoY, or Ps.526.5 million. Mexico contributed with a decline in construction costs of 78.3%, or Ps.498.6 million and Colombia with a reduction of 65.8%, or Ps.159.0 million. This was partially offset by an increase of 172.8%, or Ps.131.2 million in Puerto Rico.

G&A Expenses, which reflect administrative expenses in Mexico, increased 34.1% YoY mainly reflecting higher travel expenses, professional fees and salaries.

Consolidated Technical Assistance increased 17.6% YoY, mainly reflecting EBITDA growth in Mexico excluding extraordinary items, a factor in the calculation of the fee.

ASUR 4Q Page 4 of 26 Pages

Concession Fees increased 43.7% YoY, principally reflecting higher fees paid to the Mexican government, mainly due to an increase in regulated revenues in Mexico, a factor in the calculation of the fee. Concession fees for 4Q18 also reflect an increase in Puerto Rico and Colombia.

Depreciation and Amortization declined 59.9%, or Ps.366.1 million, principally due to: i) a Ps.198.7 million (US\$10.4 million) loss in fixed assets in Puerto Rico reflecting the damages arising from Hurricane Maria in 4Q17, ii) the recognition in 4Q17 of Ps.98.8 million in amortization of the intangible asset in Puerto Rico resulting from the valuation of the investment in Aerostar under IFRS 3, compared with Ps.44.8 million in 4Q18, and iii) in Colombia, a negative depreciation of Ps.1777.9 million resulting from the decline in the accumulated amortization rate of the concession, mainly in committed works where the accumulated amortization rate declined to 82.93% from 85.15%. This was partially offset by the recognition in 4Q18 of Ps.28.7 million from the amortization of the concession resulting from the valuation of ASUR's investment in Airplan.

Consolidated Operating Profit and EBITDA

In 4Q18, ASUR reported a **Consolidated Operating Profit** of Ps.2,127.7 million and Operating Margin of 54.2%. This was mainly the result of increases of 17.5%, or Ps.331.3 million, in aeronautical revenues, and 19.1%, or Ps.220.2 million in non-aeronautical revenues. For 4Q18, Mexico reported an operating profit of 1,622.4 million, Puerto Rico of Ps.393.5 million, and Colombia Ps.71.8 million.

Adjusted Operating Margin, which excludes the effect of IFRIC 12 with respect to the construction or improvements to concessioned assets in Mexico, Colombia, and Puerto Rico, is calculated as operating profit divided by total revenues less construction services revenues; and was 59.1% in 4Q18 compared with negative 112.4% in 4Q17.

EBITDA increased 26.9%, or Ps.522.0 million, to Ps.2,459.8 million in 4Q18. EBITDA increased 16.3%, or Ps.257.2 million in Mexico, 78.1%, or Ps.240.2 million, in Puerto Rico, and 44.0%, or Ps.24.5 million in Colombia. 4Q18 EBITDA Margin was 62.7% compared to 49.1% in 4Q17.

Adjusted EBITDA Margin, which excludes the effect of IFRIC 12 with respect to the construction of or improvements to concessioned assets in Mexico, Puerto Rico, and Colombia was 68.3% in 4Q18 compared to 63.6% in 4Q17.

Consolidated Comprehensive Financing Gain (Loss)

Table 4: Consolidated Comprehensive Financing Gain (Loss)

	Fourth Q)uarter	%	Fiscal Year	%
	2017	2018	Chg.	20172018	Chg.
Interest Income	81,834	71,611	(12.5)	245,728870,623	14.2
Interest Expense	(299,947)	(315,788))5.3	(618,813,2330,651)	98.9
Foreign Exchange Gain (Loss), Net	90,686	54,663	(39.7)	141,28170,758	(37.9)
Total	(127,427)	(189,514)	48.7	(231,4860,270)	271.9

In 4Q18, ASUR reported a Ps.189.5 million **Consolidated Comprehensive Financing Loss**, compared to a Ps.127.4 million loss in 4Q17.

Interest expense rose by Ps.15.8 million during the period, or 5.3%, mainly reflecting the consolidation of Colombian operations starting October 19, 2017. In addition, during 4Q18, Puerto Rico recognized financial costs for Ps.36.4 million in connection with a maintenance provision. This was partially offset by a Ps.37.6 million decline in interest expenses in Mexico as the Company paid down loans in June and November 2018. Interest income declined by Ps.10.2 million reflecting a lower cash balance following the debt reduction.

In 4Q18, ASUR reported a foreign exchange gain of Ps.54.6 million, resulting from the 6.0% quarterly average depreciation of the Mexican peso against the U.S. dollar on ASUR's foreign currency net asset position. This compares to a Ps.90.7 million foreign exchange gain in 4Q17 resulting from the 4.5% quarterly average Mexican peso depreciation during that period on a lower foreign currency net asset position.

ASUR 4Q Page 5 of 26 Pages

Income T	Pavec
----------	-------

Income Taxes for 4Q18 increased by Ps.27.0 million year-over-year, principally due to the following factors:

A Ps.77.1 million YoY increase in deferred income taxes, mainly reflecting the impact from higher construction revenues from complementary works completed in Colombia in 2018, partially offset by a lower taxable income base in Mexico resulting from a change in the tax amortization rate on the concessioned assets; and

A Ps.50.1 million decrease in income taxes, resulting from a lower taxable income base in Mexico due to a change in the tax amortization rate on those concessioned assets, along with an income tax gain in Colombia derived from changes in tax legislation according to Decree 2235 published on December 27, 2017, following the consolidation of these operations starting October 19, 2017.

Net Income

Net Income for 4Q18 declined by 50.3%, or Ps.1,5661.1 million, to Ps.1,547.7 million from Ps.3,113.8 million in 4Q17 which benefitted from a Ps.2,310.1 million valuation gain resulting from the Company's investment in Aerostar net of the goodwill in accordance with the guidelines of IFRS 3 "Business Combination".

Majority Net Income

Majority Net Income for 4Q18 decreased by 35.5% or Ps.803.9 million, to Ps.1,458.6 million from Ps.2,262.5 million in 4Q17 which benefitted from a Ps.1,386.1 million valuation gain resulting from the Company's investment in Aerostar net of the goodwill in accordance with the guidelines of IFRS 3 "Business Combination".

Earnings per common share for the quarter were Ps.4.8620 and earnings per ADS (EPADS) were US\$2.4741 (one ADS represents ten series B common shares). This compares with earnings per share of Ps.7.5417 and EPADS of US\$3.8378 for the same period last year.

Consolidated Financial Position

On December 31, 2018, airport concessions represented 88.3% of the Company's total assets, with current assets representing 10.6% and other assets representing 1.1%.

As of December 31, 2018, ASUR had cash and cash equivalents of Ps.4,584.5 million, a 2.0% decrease from Ps.4,677.4 million at December 31, 2017. Puerto Rico contributed with Ps.868.1 million in cash and cash equivalents in 4Q18 and Colombia with Ps.166.1 million.

As of December 31, 2018, the valuation of ASUR's investment in Aerostar in accordance with IFRS 3 "Business Combinations" resulted in the following effects in the Balance Sheet: i) the recognition of a net intangible asset of Ps.6,122.6 million, ii) goodwill of Ps.887.2 (net of an impairment of Ps.4,719.1 million), iii) deferred taxes of Ps.612.3 million, and iv) a minority interest of Ps.5,405.4 million within the stockholders 'equity.

Furthermore, the valuation of ASUR's investment in Airplan resulted in the following effects in the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2018: i) the recognition of a net intangible asset of Ps.1,406.7 million, ii) goodwill of Ps.1,504.9, iii) deferred taxes of Ps.261.5 million, and iv) Ps.628.3 million from the recognition at bank loans at fair value.

On May 25, 2018, ASUR acquired an additional 7.58% of the share ownership of Airplan bringing its ownership stake in the company to 100%. This transaction resulted in the recognition of Shareholders' Equity in excess of the Ps.46.3 million.

Stockholders' equity at the close of 4Q18 was Ps.36,681.4 million and total liabilities were Ps.19,500.4 million, representing 65.3% and 34.7% of total assets, respectively. Deferred liabilities represented 16.2% of ASUR's total liabilities.

Total Debt at quarter-end decreased to Ps.14,500.4 million, from Ps.17,644.0 million on December 31, 2017. During June and November 2018, ASUR paid down a portion of its U.S. Dollar denominated debt, as well as a loan in Colombian pesos totaling Ps.3,230.4 million, or 22.3% of its Colombian peso denominated debt (COP

ASUR 4Q Page 6 of 26 Pages

429.0 million). At December 31, 2018, 31.9% of ASUR's total debt was denominated in Mexican pesos, 17.9% in Colombian pesos and 50.6% in U.S. Dollars.

Net Debt to LTM EBITDA stood at 1.1x at the close of 4Q18, while the Interest Coverage ratio was 9.0x as of December 31, 2018. This compares with Net Debt to LTM EBITDA and Interest Coverage Ratios of 1.2x and 9.7x as of September 30, 2018, respectively.

Table 5: Consolidated Debt Indicators

	June 30, 2018	September 30, 2018	December 31, 2018
Leverage			
Total Debt / LTM EBITDA (Times) ¹	1.9	1.7	1.5
Total Net Debt / LTM EBITDA (Times) ²	1.5	1.2	1.1
Interest Coverage Ratio ³	7.6	9.7	9.0
Total Debt	16,596,415	515,575,869	14,500,381
Short-term Debt	573,726	295,206	500,105
Long-term Debt	16,022,689	15,280,663	14,000,276
Cash & Cash Equivalents	3,688,908	4,569,129	4,584,507
Total Net Debt ⁴	12,907,507	711,006,740	9,915,874

¹ The Total Debt to EBITDA Ratio is calculated as ASUR's interest-bearing liabilities divided by its EBITDA.

Table 6: Consolidated Debt

Profile (in millions)

	Payment of	~ Interest	Amortization Schedule			
Airport	principal	Currency Rate	2019	2020	$2021/23\frac{2024}{/35}$ T	Γotal

² The Total Net Debt to EBITDA Ratio is calculated as ASUR's interest-bearing liabilities minus Cash & Cash Equivalents, divided by its EBITDA.

³ The Interest Coverage Ratio is calculated as ASUR's EBIT divided by its interest expenses.

⁴ The Total Net Debt is calculated as Total Debt minus Cash & Cash Equivalents.

5 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Cancun	To the expiration	\$PMx	Tiie + 1.25%			2,000.0		2,000.0
7 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Cancun	Semi-Annual Amort.	\$PMx	Tiie + 1.25%		20.0	1,860.0	120.0	2,000.0
22 Yr-Senior Note 2035	San Juan	Semi-Annual Amort.	\$Usd	5.75%	5.2	5.3	17.1	162.9	190.5
20 Yr-Senior Note 2035	San Juan	Semi-Annual Amort.	\$Usd	6.75%	5.2	5.3	18.3	153.8	182.6
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	9,000.0	12,000.0)44,250.0	81,000	01046,250.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	6,120.0	08,160.0	30,090.0	55,080	099,450.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	5,400.0	7,200.0	26,550.0	48,600	087,750.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	2,220.0	02,960.0	10,915.0	19,980	036,075.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	2,220.0	02,960.0	10,915.0	19,980	036,075.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	480.0	640.0	2,360.0	4,320.	07,800.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	480.0	640.0	2,360.0	4,320.	07,800.0
10 Yr-Syndicated Credit Facility	Colombia	Qtly. Amort.	\$Pcol	DTF1 + 4	480.0	640.0	2,360.0	4,320.	07,800.0

¹ DTF is an average 90-day rate with which the credits in Colombia are subscribed

Capex

During 4Q18, ASUR made capital investments of Ps.266.5 million, of which Ps.119.6 million relate to the Company's plan to modernize its Mexican airports pursuant to its master development plans, mainly for the construction of Cancun's Terminal 4, currently in operation. This also includes investments of Ps.126.0 million made by Aerostar at LMM Airport in Puerto Rico and of Ps.20.8 million made by Airplan in Colombia. Total capex for fiscal year 2018 ammounted to Ps.1,636.3

ASUR 4Q Page 7 of 26 Pages

Review of Mexico Operations

Table 7: Mexico Revenues & Commercial Revenues Per Passenger							
	Fourth Quarter %				Fiscal Yea		%
Total Passenger	2017 7,562	2018 8,121	Chg. 7.4		2017 31,227	2018 33,384	Chg. 6.9
Total Lassenger	7,502	0,121	/ • 		31,227	33,304	0.7
Total Revenues		52,636,719				310,399,259	
Aeronautical Services		91,482,412				5,965,545	
Non-Aeronautical Services	,	1,016,10				4,170,319	
Construction Revenues	636,847	138,200	(78.3)		1,580,997	263,395	(83.3)
Total Revenues Excluding Construction Revenues	2,176,69	72,498,519	914.8		9,029,206	10,135,864	412.3
Total Commercial Revenues	,	906,476			, ,	3,749,943	
Commercial Revenues from Direct Operations	156,270	171,889	10.0		596,066	740,407	24.2
Commercial Revenues Excluding Direct Operations	628,164	734,587	16.9		2,735,576	3,009,536	10.0
Total Commercial Revenues per Passenger	103.7	111.6	7.6				
Vikrant Raina				4,94:	5		
Jaynie Miller Studenmund				27,014	4		
David F. Walker				43,280	0		
Mary Lee Widener				8,068	8		

Current NEOs who are not directors		
James L. Balas	68,547	
Barry M. Sando	198,215	
Arnold A. Pinkston	1,936	
All directors and current executive officers as a group (14 persons)	910,045	1.1%

The shares set forth in the table above include shares that the following directors and NEOs, as well as directors and current executive officers as a group, have the right to acquire within 60 days of March 4, 2019 pursuant to the vesting of restricted stock units (RSUs) or the exercise of stock options in the amounts set forth below:

Stockholders	Number of shares of Common Stock	Percent if greater than 1%
J. David Chatham	3,190	
Douglas C. Curling	3,190	
John C. Dorman	3,190	
Paul F. Folino	3,190	
Frank D. Martell	242,763	
Claudia Fan Munce	3,190	
Thomas C. O Brien	3,190	

Vikrant Raina	3,190
Jaynie Miller Studenmund	3,190
David F. Walker	3,190
Mary Lee Widener	3,190
James L. Balas	27,937
Barry M. Sando	75,711
Arnold A. Pinkston	0
All directors and current executive officers as a group (14 persons)	378,311

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

We currently maintain two equity compensation plans: the CoreLogic, Inc. 2018 Performance Incentive Plan (the 2018 Plan) and the 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (2012 ESPP). We currently have outstanding awards under The CoreLogic, Inc. Amended and Restated 2011 Performance Incentive Plan, as amended (2011 Plan) and the 2006 Incentive Compensation Plan (the 2006 Plan); however, we are no longer authorized to grant new awards under these plans. Each of the 2018 Plan, the 2011 Plan, the 2012 ESPP and the 2006 Plan was approved by our stockholders.

The following table sets forth, for each of our equity compensation plans, the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding awards, the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, and the number of shares

remaining available for future award grants as of December 31, 2018.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights) (1) (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	3,204,731 (1)	\$ 20.17 (2)	11,614,373 (3)

(1) Of these shares, 471,520 were subject to options still outstanding under the 2011 Plan, 2,354,435 were subject to stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2011 Plan (which currently count as 4,708,870 under the 2011 Plan (2 shares for each share issued), 280,426 were subject to stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2018 Plan (which count as 560,852 under the 2018 Plan) and 98,350 were subject to options still outstanding under the 2006 Plan. Of the 2,634,861 shares subject to stock unit awards under the plans described above, 1,547,556 shares are subject to performance-based awards assuming that the maximum level of performance with respect to such awards is achieved. Note that the actual number of shares to be issued with respect to these performance-based awards will vary depending on the applicable level of performance achieved, with such number ranging from zero to the maximum level indicated above.

19

- (2) This weighted-average exercise price does not reflect the shares that will be issued upon the payment of outstanding restricted stock units and is calculated solely with respect to outstanding unexercised stock options.
- (3) Represents 10,656,593 shares available for future issuance under the 2018 Plan, and 957,780 shares available for future issuance under the 2012 ESPP. Shares available under the 2018 Plan may be used for any type of award authorized in the 2018 Plan (subject to certain limitations of the 2018 Plan) including stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock units, restricted stock, performance-based awards, stock bonuses and other awards payable in share of our common stock.

20

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

Committees of the Board; Committee Charters

There are currently four standing committees of the Board: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Strategic Planning and Acquisition Committee. In addition to the four standing committees, the Board may approve, and has from time to time approved, the creation of special committees or subcommittees to act on behalf of the Board.

Each of the standing committees operates under a written charter adopted by the Board. The charters of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are available on the Investors section of our website under Leadership & Governance Highlights at www.corelogic.com. Each committee reviews and reassesses the adequacy of its charter annually, conducts annual evaluations of its performance with respect to its duties and responsibilities as laid out in the charter, and reports regularly to the Board with respect to the committee s activities.

Audit Committee	
Members	Committee Functions
David F. Walker*, Chairman	overseeing the integrity of our financial reporting processes in consultation with the independent auditor, management and our internal audit function;
J. David Chatham	the meependent addition, management and our meeting addition,
John C. Dorman*	reviewing internal auditing procedures and results;
Paul F. Folino	<i>g g</i>
Mary Lee Widener	appointing, compensating, retaining, evaluating and overseeing our independent
	registered public accounting firm;
Meetings in 2018: six	
* Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Walker and Dorman is an audit committee financial expert, within the	engaging with our compliance and risk management executives to review the state of enterprise risk management and compliance programs with a view to understanding the steps management has taken to monitor and control our major risk exposures;
financial expert within the meaning of the SEC s rules and regulations and that each member of our Audit Committee is independent under applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE and is	reviewing with internal counsel the state of litigation, claims and regulatory matters and overseeing our compliance with legal and regulatory matters;

financially literate under the listing standards of the NYSE.

discussing with management, internal audit and external advisors the state of internal controls and our practices with respect to financial disclosure;

directing and supervising investigations into matters within the scope of its duties; and

reviewing with the independent registered public accounting firm the plan and results of its audit and determining the nature of other services to be performed by, and fees to be paid to, such firm.

The Audit Committee has established procedures to receive, retain and address complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and for the submission by our employees or third parties of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters or other ethics and compliance-related matters. Our 24-hour, toll-free hotline is available for the submission of such concerns or complaints at 1-888-632-5395 or concerns or complaints may also be reported online at https://corelogic.alertline.com. To the extent required by applicable law, individuals wishing to remain anonymous or to otherwise express their concerns or complaints confidentially are permitted to do so.

21

Compensation Committee	
Members	Committee Functions
J. David Chatham, Chairman	establishing and reviewing our compensation philosophy;
Paul F. Folino	
Claudia Fan Munce	overseeing the design and reviewing the operation of all executive compensation and employee benefit plans and programs;
Thomas C. O Brien	and employee benefit plans and programs,
Jaynie Studenmund Meetings in 2018: six	reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our chief executive officer, including annual performance objectives, and evaluating our chief executive officer in light of those objectives;
	reviewing and approving the compensation of our executive officers;
	reviewing and approving awards of equity under the Company s equity-based plans;
	responsibility for review and approval of employment agreements with our chief executive officer and other executive officers; and
	exercising oversight of the Company s disclosures regarding executive compensation, including reviewing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in our proxy statement and preparing the Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in our proxy statement.
	The Compensation Committee also has key oversight responsibilities in the following areas, all of which are described in more detail elsewhere in this proxy statement:

Table of Contents 26

assessing risk in relation to the Company s compensation policies and practices;

reviewing and making recommendations to the Board concerning development and succession planning; and

reviewing and recommending to the Board the form and level of non-management director compensation.

Committee Advisors. Pay Governance LLC (Pay Governance) was retained as the Compensation Committee s independent compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee also seeks input from our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Human Resources Officer and Chief Legal Officer when making decisions regarding compensation matters. During 2018, Pay Governance attended all six Compensation Committee meetings.

Pay Governance provided to the Compensation Committee, among other things, guidance as to our peer group for 2018 compensation for executive compensation comparison purposes; director compensation for 2018; and determining 2018 total compensation of each of our executive officers and the material elements of total compensation, including (1) annual base salaries, (2) target cash bonus amounts and (3) the structure and target amount of long-term incentive awards.

Pay Governance did not perform any services for the Company and the Compensation Committee does not believe that the services performed by Pay Governance raised any conflict of interest. The Compensation Committee regularly reviews the services provided by its independent compensation consultant.

Committee Independence. Our Board has determined that each member of our Compensation Committee is independent under applicable listing standards of the NYSE. In making its independence determination for each member of the Compensation Committee, our Board considered whether the director has a relationship with us that is material to the director s ability to be independent from management in connection with the duties of a compensation committee member. In addition, our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Chatham, Folino, O Brien and Ms. Studenmund is a non-employee director for purposes of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act and satisfies the requirements of an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code.

22

Equity Awards Committee. The Equity Awards Committee was created by the Board in 2016 and has been delegated limited authority to approve and establish the terms of equity awards granted to eligible participants under our equity incentive plans. Mr. Martell is the sole committee member.

Members	Committee Functions
Thomas C. O Brien, Chairman	identifying individuals qualified to become directors on our Board;
Thomas C. O Birch, Chairman	identifying marviduals quantied to become directors on our Board,
Douglas C. Curling	
Paul F. Folino	recommending to the Board candidates for election at annual meetings by the stockholders and candidates to fill vacancies and newly-created directorships;
Vikrant Raina	1.,
Jaynie Studenmund	overseeing the evaluation of the Board; and
Meetings in 2018: three	developing, recommending to the Board and periodically reviewing the corporate governance principles and policies applicable to us.

Board Diversity. We do not have a formal policy for the consideration of diversity in identifying nominees for director. However, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recognizes the benefits associated with a diverse board and, as indicated above, considers diversity as a factor when identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on our Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee utilizes a broad conception of diversity, including professional and educational background, prior experience on other boards of directors (both public and private), political and social perspectives as well as race, gender and national origin. Utilizing these factors, and the factors described below under *Evaluation of Director Nominees*, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes recommendations, as it deems appropriate, regarding the composition and size of the Board. The priorities and emphasis of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and of the Board may change from time to take into account changes in business and other trends and the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board members.

Stockholder Recommendations for Director Nominees. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has adopted procedures by which certain of our stockholders may recommend director nominees to the Board. In particular, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has established a policy whereby it will accept and consider, in its discretion, director recommendations from any stockholder holding in excess of 5% of our outstanding common stock. Such recommendations must include the name and credentials of the recommended nominee and should be submitted to our Secretary at our address included in this proxy statement. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate director candidates recommended by stockholders for election to our Board in the same manner and using the same criteria as used for any other director candidate (as described below). If the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines that a stockholder-recommended candidate is suitable for membership on our Board, it will include the candidate in the pool of candidates to be considered for nomination upon the occurrence of the next vacancy on our Board or in connection with the next annual meeting of stockholders.

Evaluation of Director Nominees. While the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has no specific minimum qualifications in evaluating a director candidate, it takes into account all factors it considers appropriate in identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on our Board, including some or all of the following: strength of character, an inquiring and independent mind, practical wisdom, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant industry experience, relevant technical skills, reputation in the community, diversity and the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need on the Board. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee makes recommendations to the full Board as to whether or not incumbent directors should stand for re-election. However, if we are legally required by contract or otherwise to provide third parties with the ability to nominate directors, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may adjust its evaluation process for the designated candidates to reflect our contractual obligations with respect to their nomination. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee conducts all necessary and appropriate inquiries into the background and qualifications of possible candidates and may engage a search firm to assist in identifying potential candidates for nomination.

Strategic Planning and Acqui	sition Committee
Members	Committee Functions
John C. Dorman, Chairman	formulating, monitoring and revising a strategic plan for the Company, as well as product and business strategies;
Douglas C. Curling	product and outsiness strategies,
Paul F. Folino	considering montest and industry tuends that could impost the Company, a strategi
Frank D. Martell	considering market and industry trends that could impact the Company s strategic plans;
Claudia Fan Munce	
Vikrant Raina	ensuring the Board is presented with all necessary and desirable information and advice to assess, review, challenge and approve the Company s strategic plan;
David F. Walker	advice to assess, review, chancing and approve the Company's strategic plan,
Meetings in 2018: three	reviewing acquisition strategies and acquisition candidates with the Company s management;
	recommending acquisition strategies and candidates to the Board, as appropriate; and
	overseeing and approving certain investment, merger, acquisition and divestiture

Table of Contents 30

transactions proposed by the Company s management within the size and other

limitations delegated by the Board from time to time.

Independence of Directors

Pursuant to the listing rules of the NYSE, a majority of the Board must be independent. A director will not qualify as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us). To assist in its determination of director independence, the Board has adopted categorical director independence standards, which are contained in our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available to stockholders on the Investors section of our website under Leadership & Governance Highlights at www.corelogic.com.

In accordance with applicable NYSE listing rules and our categorical director independence standards, the Board has affirmatively determined that each of Messrs. Chatham, Curling, Dorman, Folino, O Brien, Raina and Walker, and Mses. Munce, Studenmund and Widener is independent . Mr. Martell is not considered independent because he is employed by us as a senior executive.

During 2018, each member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was determined by the Board to be independent in accordance with the applicable NYSE listing rules and in accordance with our categorical director independence standards. The Board further determined that each member of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee met the additional independence standards applicable to those committees under the NYSE listing rules and the SEC.

24

Board Leadership Structure

The offices of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman are separate. Mr. Folino has served as Chairman of our Board since July 2014. Our Board believes that the separation of the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer continues to be appropriate as it allows our Chief Executive Officer to focus primarily on his management responsibilities and the Chairman to oversee and manage the Board and its functions. Having an independent Chairman promotes the independence of our Board and provides appropriate oversight of management and ensures free and open discussion and communication among the non-management members of our Board. The Chairman also chairs and coordinates the agenda for executive sessions of the non-management directors.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board shall annually elect a lead director by a majority vote of the independent directors unless the Chairperson of the Board is an independent director, in which case the Chairperson of the Board will perform the functions of a lead director and no lead director shall be elected. Mr. Folino, an independent director, is the Chairman and, as a result, we do not currently have a lead director.

Director Education

Directors are strongly encouraged to attend educational seminars regarding the Company s business, corporate governance and other issues pertaining to their directorship. We also provide the Board with educational training from time-to-time on subjects applicable to the Board and the Company, including with regard to industry and regulatory developments, accounting, financial reporting, and corporate governance, using both internal and external resources.

Succession Planning

Among the Compensation Committee s responsibilities described in its charter is to oversee development and succession planning for executive officers, and the Compensation Committee also oversees this for other key members of senior management. The Board plans for succession of the CEO and periodically reviews senior management selection and succession planning that is undertaken by the Compensation Committee. As part of this process, the non-management directors annually review the Compensation Committee s recommended candidates for senior management positions to see that qualified candidates are available for all positions and that development plans are being utilized to strengthen the skills and qualifications of the candidates. The criteria used when assessing the qualifications of potential CEO successors include, among others, strategic vision and leadership, operational excellence, financial management, executive officer leadership development, ability to motivate employees, and an ability to develop an effective working relationship with the Board.

25

Risk Oversight

Full Board

To maximize long-term stockholder value, the Board s responsibilities in overseeing our businesses include oversight of our key risks and management s processes and controls to regulate them appropriately. Our management, in turn, is responsible for the day-to-day management of risk and implementation of appropriate risk management controls and procedures. Although risk oversight permeates many elements of the work of the full Board, the Board has delegated to certain committees specific risk oversight matters.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee has the most direct and systematic responsibility for overseeing risk management. The Audit Committee charter provides for a variety of regular and recurring responsibilities relating to risk, including:

having responsibility for the internal audit function, with that function having a direct line of communication to the Audit Committee;

receiving reports from management and the internal audit function regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of various internal controls;

reviewing periodically with internal counsel legal and regulatory matters that could have a significant impact on us and could indicate emerging areas of risk;

overseeing accounting and risk management processes, including receiving regular reports from our Chief Legal Officer; and

discussing with management our guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and enterprise risk management, including our major risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee oversees our compensation policies and practices and has assessed whether our compensation policies encourage excessive risk-taking. The Compensation Committee has concluded that these policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. In arriving at that conclusion, the Compensation Committee considered, among other factors:

the metrics used to determine variable compensation;

the portion of variable compensation paid in equity, which is either time-vested or tied to the achievement of long-term Company objectives;

the amount of compensation paid as sales commissions and the number of people to whom such compensation is paid; and

and control such exposures.

In performing these functions, the Audit Committee regularly receives reports from management (including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Controller and the Chief Legal Officer) and internal auditors regarding our risk management program (which incorporates our compliance, information & cyber security, and business continuity programs), extraordinary claims and losses, and significant litigation. The Board receives updates on risk oversight from the Audit Committee and members of management.

controls, such as pricing limits, a recoupment policy and financial reconciliation processes for sales crediting, quality checks that we employ and the approval process for certain compensation-related activities.

Board Meetings and Attendance

Our Board held six meetings during 2018 and our non-management directors also met five times in executive session without management present. Each director attended 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board and meetings of the committees (if any) on which the director served during his or her respective tenure on the Board during 2018. From time to time, our Board and committees also act by unanimous written consent as permitted by our Bylaws and the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Retention of Outside Advisors

The Board and all of its committees have authority to retain outside advisors and consultants that they consider necessary or appropriate in carrying out their respective responsibilities. The independent accountants are retained by, and report directly to, the Audit Committee. In addition, the Audit Committee is responsible for the selection, assessment, and termination of the internal auditors to which we have outsourced our internal audit function. Similarly, the consultant retained by the Compensation Committee to assist in the evaluation of senior executive compensation reports directly to that committee.

26

Code of Conduct

The Board has adopted a Code of Conduct (the Code) that applies to all employees, including our directors, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller, and persons performing similar functions, which has been posted under *Investors Leadership & Governance Highlights* on our website *www.corelogic.com*. If we waive or amend any provisions of the Code that apply to our directors and executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Controller and persons performing similar functions, we will disclose such waivers or amendments on our website, at the address and location specified above, to the extent required by applicable SEC and NYSE rules.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which have been posted under *Investors Leadership & Governance Highlights* on our website *www.corelogic.com*. In addition to stating the standards that the Board applies in determining whether or not its members are independent, these guidelines address, among other items, the qualifications and responsibilities of our directors and describe fundamental aspects of our Board and certain of its committees.

Director Overboarding Policy

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that our directors may not serve on more than five public company boards (including our Board), and our Audit Committee members may not serve on more than three public company audit committees (including our Audit Committee), in each case, without prior Board approval. In each case, in determining whether to grant such approval, the Board will consider the director s ability to devote sufficient time to the activities of the Board and/or Audit Committee and the director s qualifications and contribution, or potential contribution, to the Board and/or Audit Committee. As of the date of this proxy statement, all of our directors are in compliance with the overboarding policy.

Board and Committee Evaluations

To increase their effectiveness, the Board and each of its committees perform an annual self-evaluation under the direction of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The evaluation addresses, among other items, attendance, preparedness, participation, candor and other measures of performance selected by the Board.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

We encourage our directors to attend the annual meetings of our stockholders, either in person or telephonically. All eleven directors attended the 2018 annual meeting.

Communicating with Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with members of the Board, including the Chairman of the Board or any of the other non-management directors of our Company (individually or as a group), by writing to such director(s) at:

CoreLogic, Inc.

c/o Chief Legal Officer and Secretary

40 Pacifica, Suite 900

Irvine, CA 92618

Our Corporate Secretary reviews and promptly forwards communications to the directors, as appropriate. Communications involving substantive accounting or auditing matters are forwarded to the Chair of the Audit Committee. Certain items that are unrelated to the duties and responsibilities of the Board will not be forwarded such as: business solicitation or advertisements; product- or service-related inquires; junk mail or mass mailings; resumes or other job-related inquires; and spam and inappropriately hostile, threatening, potentially

27

illegal or similarly unsuitable communications. Directors receiving communications will respond as such directors deem appropriate, including the possibility of referring the matter to management of our Company, to the full Board or to an appropriate committee of the Board.

Transactions with Management and Others

The Board has adopted a written policy regarding transactions with related persons that requires the approval or ratification by the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of any transaction exceeding \$120,000 in which we are a participant and any related person has a direct or indirect material interest. A related person includes a director, nominee for election as a director, executive officer, person controlling over 5% of our common stock and the immediate family members of each of these individuals. Once a transaction has been determined to require approval, the transaction will be reviewed and approved by either the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review and consider the terms, business purpose and benefits of the transaction to the Company and the related person.

If a related party transaction is not pre-approved, then it must be brought to the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for ratification as promptly as possible. No member of the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may participate in the review or approval of a related party transaction in which he or she has a direct or indirect interest, unless the Chairman of the Board or the chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee requests such individual to participate.

The following types of transactions do not require pre-approval:

compensatory arrangements for service as an officer or director of ours, provided such compensation is approved by the Compensation Committee;

transactions between us and our affiliates (other than directors and officers);

transactions involving a related person with only an indirect interest resulting solely from ownership of less than 10% of, or being a director of, the entity entering into a transaction with us;

ordinary course transactions involving annual payments of \$100,000 or less; or

transactions involving indebtedness between us and a beneficial owner of more than 5% of our common stock or an immediate family member of such beneficial owner, provided that the beneficial owner or family member is not an executive officer, director or director nominee of ours or an immediate family member thereof.

28

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth certain information concerning the compensation of our directors other than Mr. Martell for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (1)(2) (\$)	Total (\$)
J. David Chatham	125,000	159,978	284,978
Douglas C. Curling	96,500	159,978	256,478
John C. Dorman	112,500	159,978	272,478
Paul F. Folino	223,500	159,978	383,478
Claudia Fan Munce	104,079	159,978	264,057
Thomas C. O Brien	113,500	159,978	273,478
Vikrant Raina	102 417	159,978	262 205
Table of Contents	102,417		262,395 3

Jaynie Miller Studenmund	101,500	159,978	261,478
David F. Walker	125,000	159,978	284,978
Mary Lee Widener	95,000	159,978	254,978
(1) The amounts shown reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s A Compensation-Stock Compensation. We value the RSUs as of the our common stock on that date by the number of RSUs awarded to each non-management director.	accounting Standar he grant date by m	ds Codification To ultiplying the closi	pic 718, ng price of
(2) The aggregate numbers of RSUs held by each current non-mana follows:	gement director as	of December 31, 2	2018 were as
Name			ted Stock Unit wards (#)
J. David Chatham			3,190
Douglas C. Curling			3,190

Paul F. Folino 3,190

John C. Dorman

Table of Contents 39

3,190

Claudia Fan Munce	3,190
Thomas C. O Brien	3,190
Vikrant Raina	3,190
Jaynie Miller Studenmund	3,190
David F. Walker	3,190
Mary Lee Widener	3,190

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, Pay Governance served as independent compensation consultant for the Compensation Committee for 2018 and will continue to advise on the compensation of our directors for 2019. During 2018, as part of its engagement with the Committee, Pay Governance:

provided advice on the selection of a peer group of companies for director compensation comparison purposes;

provided guidance on industry best practices and emerging trends and developments in director compensation;

reviewed director compensation; and

provided advice on determining the structure and amounts payable under our director compensation program.

The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board the form and level of director compensation. In December 2018, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Directors Compensation Policy and recommended no changes for 2019; the Board affirmed the recommendation of the Compensation Committee.

The table below describes the components of the non-management director compensation program in effect during 2018:

Compensation Element	2018
Annual Retainer Non-Management Directo(1)	\$ 80,000
Annual Equity Compensation RSU(2)	\$ 160,000
Annual Retainer Non-Management Board Chairman	\$ 100,000
Annual Retainer Committee Chair(1)	
Audit Committee	\$ 25,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 20,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 15,000
Strategic Planning and Acquisition Committee	\$ 12,500
Annual Retainer Committee Member (1)	
Audit Committee	\$ 15,000
Compensation Committee	\$ 10,000
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	\$ 7,500
Table of Contents	

41

Strategic Planning and Acquisition Committee

\$ 5,000

Fee for attendance of Board and Committee Meetings in Excess of

Designated Number (3)

2,000

- (1) Committee chair retainer represents amounts paid to each committee chair for their service in addition to the committee member annual retainer. Fees are paid in cash in equal quarterly installments. Fees are paid pro-rata for directors joining the Board after the payment date.
- (2) The award is granted and priced on the day of our annual meeting or, in the event of an out-of-cycle annual meeting, such earlier date as may be approved by the Board, and vest on the first anniversary of the grant date (or the day prior to the date of the annual meeting if earlier). Vesting of the award will accelerate upon death, disability, retirement from the Board or a change in control. Directors joining the Board after the date of the Annual Meeting will receive a pro rata annual RSU award on the date the director joins the Board, which will vest on the same terms as the other annual RSU awards.
- (3) Meeting fees paid only for meetings in excess of eight meetings of the Board, Audit Committee and Compensation Committee, and in excess of four meetings of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Strategic Planning and Acquisition Committee. Fees are paid in cash in connection with each such additional meeting.

Director Share Ownership Guidelines

We require our non-management directors to own a fixed amount of Company stock. The guidelines are based on a multiple of the annual retainer and, beginning in 2018, require a value of at least \$400,000 be held by each director. Directors have five years from their date of election to the Board to reach the ownership requirement. All Company securities owned outright or earned and subject only to time-based vesting restrictions, including deferred awards, are credited toward the requirement.

Anti-Hedging and Pledging Policy

The Company maintains a policy that prohibits director transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities on an exchange or in any other organized market, as well as holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Set forth below is information regarding our current executive officers. Our executive officers are appointed annually by the Board.

Frank D. Martell Age 59

President and Chief Executive Officer

Biography is set forth under the heading Proposal 1 Election of Directors above.

James L. Balas Age 48

Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Balas has served as the Company s Chief Financial Officer since April 2016. Mr. Balas joined CoreLogic in March 2011, as Senior Vice President, Controller and principal accounting officer. In 2012, his role expanded to include oversight of finance in addition to his other responsibilities. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Balas held a variety of senior finance leadership positions at several publicly-traded companies after a successful 10-year career at Ernst & Young and Capgemini.

Barry M. Sando Age 59

Managing Director, Underwriting and Workflow Solutions

Mr. Sando has served as the Company s Managing Director of Underwriting and Workflow Solutions (and predecessor business segments) since June 2010, when we became a stand-alone public company. Mr. Sando has more than 30 years experience in the housing finance and property information business and previously served in various executive positions with our predecessor company, FAC.

Arnold A. Pinkston Age 60

Chief Legal Officer and Corporate

Secretary

Mr. Pinkston has served as the Company s Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary since January 2018. In this role, he oversees the global legal and compliance teams. Previously, Mr. Pinkston served as Executive Vice President and General Counsel for Allergan, Inc., a multi-specialty health care company, from 2011 to March 2015. From 2005 to 2011, Mr. Pinkston served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary for Beckman Coulter, Inc., a health care diagnostics and life sciences company. From 1994 to 2005, he held various legal positions at Eli Lilly and Company, a global pharmaceutical company, including Vice President and Deputy General Counsel.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) describes our compensation program, including our compensation strategy, philosophy, polices, programs and practices for our NEOs and the positions they held in 2018. For purposes of this CD&A, the Committee refers to the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

Named Executive Officer Frank D. Martell James L. Balas Barry M. Sando Arnold A. Pinkston 2018 BUSINESS HIGHLIGHTS Position as of December 31, 2018
President and Chief Executive Officer
Chief Financial Officer
Managing Director, Underwriting and Workflow Solutions
Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary

Our compensation program is designed to align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders through execution in three areas of strategic focus: growth and innovation, operational excellence, and high performing organization. In 2018, a majority of our NEOs compensation continued to be based upon our financial performance and execution against these strategic priorities.

As we set our 2018 performance goals early in the year, we anticipated the potential for a high degree of volatility and unpredictability based on expectations for the economic environment such as:

An increasing interest rate environment due to a strong US economy.

A decline in overall US mortgage origination unit volumes by approximately 10% compared to 2017 levels as the onset of rising interest rates would unfavorably impact mortgage refinance activity.

Continuing impact on mortgage origination purchase volumes due to multiple factors such as tight inventory supply, insufficient supply of new housing stock, and affordability, all of which we expect to continue for the foreseeable future.

We delivered strong operating and financial results in 2018 despite significant US mortgage market headwinds. In the face of these headwinds, we reduced our overall cost structure by more than \$20 million through productivity initiatives and cost management, continued to invest in future growth and productivity initiatives, and completed targeted acquisitions to enhance our business mix by increasing our non-mortgage and international footprints while driving higher technology platform revenues. We invested in next generation technology capabilities focusing on data structures and visualization, technology platforms, and advanced automation techniques, which we expect will set a foundation for future growth and margin expansion. Finally, we enhanced our infrastructure capabilities as we initiated our migration to the Google Cloud platform.

Notable financial accomplishments in 2018 include:

Significant market outperformance as our 2018 revenues were down 3%, as compared with a 15% estimated drop in overall US mortgage market unit volumes.

Increased adjusted EBITDA by 3% and adjusted EPS by 15%, supported by our productivity and cost initiatives.

Generated \$258 million of free cash flow while reinvesting to drive future growth and margin expansion.

Delivered more than \$20 million in cost management and productivity benefits.

Repurchased approximately 3% of our outstanding common shares.

We attribute these results to management sability to navigate market volatility and maintain focus in a time of significant change, with strong leadership from Mr. Martell.

32

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION HIGHLIGHTS

Since 2011, we have grown revenues at an annual compounded rate of 7%, operating margin by 821 bps and adjusted EPS by 24%.

We aligned annual incentives to rigorous financial targets. The Company s underlying pay-for-performance approach is intended to reward management appropriately in light of below- and above-expected performance results through use of a weighted combination of three performance metrics. For 2018, the Company exceeded its adjusted EBITDA target by 2.7%, but fell below target for revenue (-4.6%) and FCF (-2.4%). Combined results for revenue, adjusted EBITDA and FCF generated funding for corporate participants in our annual cash bonus plan, the ICP, of 96.7% of target.

We assessed and rewarded our most significant strategic accomplishments. Our decisions on ICP awards took into consideration a number of key accomplishments in 2018 across our three strategic areas as noted below. Based on overall strong strategic accomplishments in the face of a challenging revenue environment, and individual contributions to those accomplishments, the Committee awarded our four NEOs from 110% to 131% of target. Total resulting payouts for our NEOs ranged from 95% to 100% of the overall ICP target for 2018.

Strategic Focus
Growth and
Innovation

2018 Accomplishments

Core mortgage businesses out-performed US mortgage market volume trends

Expanded deployment of solutions bundling intended to secure significant market share gains

Completed several acquisitions to expand and scale our platform, international, and insurance capabilities footprint

Operational Excellence

Exceeded productivity savings targets

Invested in data technology enhancements, artificial intelligence, and visualization to enhance future growth and offering capabilities

Launched migration to Google Cloud to further technology infrastructure capabilities and efficiencies

Enhanced the vendor supply chain to improve quality, security, and IP protection

High Performing Organization

Generated strong free cash flow, which was modestly below target, despite enhanced investment levels to support future growth and productivity

Developed employee engagement action plans based on a global employee satisfaction survey (93% employee participation)

Expanded the talent review process to emphasize the development of high-potential talent

Continued transformation of shared services functions to enhance quality and reduce cost, and invested in automation and systems related to finance and human capital

Initiated a focused, long-term incentive program for our top 40 business leaders (excluding the CEO) to accelerate growth and margins

Strong Stockholder Support on Say on Pay

Our Board and management are committed to maintaining sound and effective compensation and governance policies and programs designed to build value for our stockholders. At our 2018 Annual Meeting, 98% of the votes cast were in favor of the advisory vote to approve our executive compensation paid in 2017. With this support in favor of our existing compensation program, absence of negative feedback from our stockholder outreach effort, and following its regular review of our practices, the Committee determined to continue our 2017 compensation program in 2018 with only minor adjustments.

33

Active Engagement with Our Stockholders

The Board and executive management are committed to engaging with our stockholders. Throughout the year, executive management proactively and consistently meets with current and prospective stockholders to discuss our strategic priorities, operational performance, and financial results. Also, through these discussions or separate outreach efforts, we seek to engage our top stockholders to solicit feedback on corporate governance, our compensation program, and related matters. In 2018, we conducted such outreach to our top stockholders representing a majority of our outstanding shares; these stockholders did not express concerns over our corporate governance practices or compensation program design.

We did not make across-the-board increases in base salaries for the 6th consecutive year. Notwithstanding strong results, consistent with our practices in recent years, the Committee did not increase NEO base salaries for market trends. The Committee adjusted Mr. Martell s salary in recognition of his strong leadership and management of the business through a challenging US mortgage market environment. The Committee also adjusted the salary for Mr. Balas in recognition of his continued strong leadership in his CFO role. The new salaries for Mr. Martell and Mr. Balas move each of them to more competitive pay levels.

GOOD PAY GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

The Committee oversees the design and administration of our compensation program and evaluates it against competitive practices, legal and regulatory developments and corporate governance trends. The Committee has incorporated the following governance features into our compensation program:

What We Do

Review total compensation relative to the median of a peer group of industry-aligned companies with similar executive talent needs

Tie annual incentives to achievement of multiple rigorous financial and operating goals

Use performance-based vesting for 50% of long-term compensation, tied to achievement of stretch EPS targets and TSR relative to our peers

Cap performance-based vesting of performance shares at 150% of target if 3-year TSR ranks below 55th percentile

Require achievement of threshold adjusted net income level to be eligible to vest in RSU awards

Maintain robust stock ownership guidelines and require covered executives to retain 50% of net after-tax shares earned until the guidelines are met

Maintain a claw-back policy for incentive payments

Use an independent compensation consultant retained directly by the Committee, in its sole discretion, who performs no consulting or other services for management

Require double-trigger for accelerated vesting upon termination of employment following a change in control

Assess annually potential risks relating to the Company s compensation policies and practices What We Don t Do

- × Incentivize participants to take excessive risks
- × Award bonuses to our executive officers outside of our ICP
- × Allow margining, derivative, or speculative transactions, such as hedges, pledges, and margin accounts, by executive officers
- × Provide excessive perquisites
- × Provide excise tax gross-ups upon termination with a change in control or tax gross-ups for other compensation
- × Allow for repricing of stock options without stockholder approval
- × Pay single-trigger change-of-control cash payments or have single-trigger equity vesting

34

PAY PHILOSOPHY

We pay for performance. Our compensation program is heavily weighted toward performance-based compensation that provides a direct link between rigorous goals for corporate performance and pay outcomes for our executive officers. Our annual ICP also ties pay outcomes to the achievement of key strategic objectives that we believe will drive longer-term value to stockholders. We believe that our compensation program provides effective incentives for strong operating results by appropriately aligning pay and performance. Our philosophy is designed to:

Compensation Philosophy

Attract, motivate and retain highly-qualified executive officers critical to our long-term success

Align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders

Reward executive officers for achieving pre-defined rigorous financial goals and strategic objectives that may not yield current-period financial results but are expected to position us for enhanced results in future periods

Encourage strategic long-term development and profitable investment in the business

Motivate and reward appropriate risk-taking to grow the business

Support pay practices with strong corporate governance and independent board oversight

Compensation Program Primary Elements

1 Base salary

2 Annual cash incentive compensation plan award

3 Long-term equity incentives

4 Other compensation (welfare, retirement, termination and other benefits)

Our compensation program emphasizes performance-based incentives. 86% of our CEO compensation and 75% of the compensation for the other NEOs is performance-based. The chart below illustrates our pay mix.

35

We increase base salaries based on performance or promotion. Our practice is to benchmark compensation annually but to increase an NEO s base salary only when warranted by sustained performance, an increase in the scope of responsibilities or significant gaps to competitive pay levels. Only Messrs. Martell and Balas received base salary increases in 2018 to recognize performance and move their respective salaries to more competitive levels.

We set rigorous goals in our incentive plans. We set challenging goals for both our annual incentive and long-term equity plan. We outperformed US mortgage market trends and delivered single-digit top line growth in our core operations, resulting in the achievement of our adjusted EBITDA target and near-achievement of our revenue and FCF targets.

We use strategic goals in our ICP. Results on strategic goals represent 25% of the annual ICP opportunity for our executive officers. We believe this approach rewards the accomplishment of key objectives that will drive future performance. The Committee separately determines the portion of the funded amount that should be paid as a result of achievement of the assigned objectives. The Committee carefully evaluates management s accomplishments relative to the goals, as further described below.

We focus on long-term stockholder value. Nearly 70% of the total compensation opportunity for our CEO is based on achievement of stockholder-aligned performance and the value of our shares. For other NEOs, over half of their total target compensation opportunities are tied to these stockholder results.

Our equity grants are tied to performance. In 2018, 50% of the target value of our long-term incentive awards for our CEO and other NEOs was granted in the form of performance-based restricted stock units (PBRSUs) that vest based on achievement of adjusted EPS results relative to target and TSR relative to the companies in our Peer Group (as described and defined later in this section). The remaining 50% of the target value of our long-term incentive awards was granted in the form of RSUs that require us to achieve a threshold adjusted net income level in order to be eligible to vest. We set rigorous goals in our PBRSU awards, as reflected in the variability of payouts in the last three awards. The payouts above target reflect improved performance. In addition, to further incentivize the expansion of adjusted EBITDA margin between 2018 and 2020, we granted certain key executives, including our NEOs but excluding our CEO, an additional performance-based long-term incentive award in 2018 (see the description of the A30 Award below).

3-Year PBRSU Payouts

36

2018 COMPENSATION PROGRAM OVERVIEW

The following table describes our pay program including the role and purpose for each aspect of it.

ELEMENT	DESCRIPTION	ROLE AND PURPOSE
REWARDS STRATEGY	Review target total pay relative to market median and determine individual pay based on experience and performance	Provide market-competitive mix of base salary, cash incentives and equity incentives
	Tie approximately 75% or more of target pay opportunity to operating results and share price performance	Align compensation to results for our stockholders
BASE SALARY	Competitive fixed compensation	Provide competitive level of fixed pay to attract, motivate and retain highly-qualified executives
	Base salary increased primarily for promotions or adjusted for competitive pay levels	Limited salary increases control fixed costs and emphasize pay for performance
ANNUAL INCENTIVE PROGRAM (ICP)	Base incentives on performance against rigorous targets for revenue, adjusted EBITDA, FCF and strategic goals	Motivate and reward achievement of key financial goals and strategic accomplishments that drive stockholder value
Performance- Based Restricted Stock Units (PBRSUs)	50% of 2018 total LTI grant value for executive officers	Focus and reward for achievement of operating results over the long term
LONG-TERM EQUITY	Shares earned based on 3 years of adjusted EPS performance, modified by TSR relative to	Use of operating results and relative TSR ensures alignment of payouts with our performance relative to the

Edgar Filing: SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP - Form 6-K

	our peers	broader market
		EPS growth historically has been highly aligned with our share price
	50% of 2018 total LTI grant value for executive officers	Enhance retention of key talent
Restricted Stock Units (RSUs)	Grants vest ratably over three years	Value at vesting based on share price, which aligns executives with stockholders
	Requires achievement of threshold adjusted net income goal to be eligible for vesting	interests
RETIREMENT PROGRAMS	401(k) program for all employees	Provide market-prevalent retirement programs
	Legacy supplemental executive retirement plan frozen in 2010 with no new entrants allowed	Focus executives on accumulating savings
PERQUISITES	Limited benefits available	Focus executives on rewards from value-creating activities

37

Determining Pay

Generally, in determining base salary, target annual ICP and guidelines for long-term equity awards, the Committee considers several factors including, but not limited to, the executive officer s:

role, including the scope and complexity of responsibilities;

experience and capabilities, including institutional knowledge;

contributions or responsibilities beyond the typical scope of the role;

individual performance;

positioning relative to our other executive officers;

difficulty in recruiting a replacement; and

competitive compensation opportunities provided by our peers and other competitors for similar executive talent. Our philosophy is to incentivize and reward executive officers for future performance. While the Committee regularly reviews executive officer equity grants and vesting, it does not consider prior stock compensation gains (PBRSU payouts or restricted stock awarded in prior years) in setting future compensation levels.

Peer Group and Benchmarking

To monitor competitive compensation practices, the Committee relies primarily upon data compiled from public filings of selected companies (our Peer Group) that it considers to be competitors or appropriate comparators for executive talent. The Committee reviews and approves the Peer Group annually. Criteria for Peer Group selection include firms that operate in data, information and analytics and related businesses. Our 2018 Peer Group is presented in the table below.

		CORI	ELOGIC 20	18 PEER GRO	UP		
				C	omparator Gro	up Rational	e
						Data	Direct
		Market		Comparable	Comparable		Talent
Company	Revenue	ValuŒBI	TDA Margi	nRevenue Size	Market Value	Analytics	Competitor
	(\$MM)	(\$MM)	(%)				
	\$ 7,875	\$ 8.653	14%				

Edgar Filing: SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP - Form 6-K

Fidelity National			
Financial, Inc.			
First American			
Financial Corporation	\$ 5,812	\$ 4,990	14%
Broadridge Financial			
Solutions, Inc.	\$ 4,330	\$11,237	19%
Gartner, Inc.	\$ 3,901	\$11,620	15%
Global Payments Inc.	\$ 3,540	\$ 16,316	36%
Equifax Inc.	\$ 3,415	\$11,229	25%
Paychex, Inc.	\$ 3,381	\$ 23,395	42%
Euronet Worldwide,			
Inc.	\$ 2,492	\$ 5,279	19%
FleetCor			
Technologies, Inc.	\$ 2,400	\$ 16,464	54%
Verisk Analytics, Inc.	\$ 2,352	\$ 17,950	45%
Teradata Corporation	\$ 2,202	\$ 4,534	7%
Jack Henry &			
Associates, Inc.	\$ 1,537	\$ 9,780	31%
Black Knight, Inc.	\$ 1,096	\$ 6,732	34%
Fair Isaac Corporation	\$ 1,032	\$ 5,415	23%
CSG Systems			
International, Inc.	\$ 833	\$ 1,059	19%
75th Percentile	\$ 3,901	\$ 16,316	36%
50th Percentile	\$ 2,492	\$ 9,780	23%
25th Percentile	\$ 1,537	\$ 5,279	15%
CoreLogic, Inc.	\$ 1,839	\$ 2,692	23%

Notes:

Data above reflects most recent fiscal year (2018) results when available; if FY18 financial results not yet released at the time of this report, revenue and EBITDA data reflect 12-month trailing results for Q4 of 2017 and Q1 - Q3 of 2018.

DST Systems

Dunn & Bradstreet

The Committee reviews executive officer pay relative to the median pay of comparable positions in Peer Group companies and, as appropriate, relevant survey data from nationally-recognized consulting and data firms such as Willis Towers Watson, Mercer and Equilar, scoped to a comparable revenue size for us, from both general industry and the high technology sector.

2019 Peer Group Considerations

Historically, the Company s peer group has been challenging to construct as there are few firms that operate with highly comparable business mixes. Peers have generally been information and service providers, with some financial technology firms also included. For 2019, the Company refreshed its peer group to provide greater alignment with businesses that are sensitive to mortgage origination volumes and interest rates. As was evident in 2018, despite our diversification efforts, there continues to be a strong relationship between the Company s stock performance and these volatile demand factors.

The following organizations will be added to the 2019 compensation peer group:

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.

Realogy Holdings Corporation

Mr. Cooper Group, Inc. (Formerly Nationstar Mortgage Holdings)

Radian Group, Inc.

PennyMac Financial Services, Inc.

Zillow Group, Inc.

MGIC Investment Corporation

Altisource Portfolio Solutions
Furthermore, four peers in the 2018 compensation peer group were acquired in 2018 and, therefore, will be removed:

Convergys Corporation

Acxiom Corporation

The new 2019 compensation peer group is intended to better reflect the Company s business portfolio and provide greater alignment with mortgage origination volumes and interest rate movements.

Base Salary

The Committee reviews base salaries annually and adjusts them, if appropriate, to recognize performance, promotions, expansion in scope of responsibilities, and gaps relative to base salaries of similar individuals in the Peer Group and survey data described above. To increase the weighting of variable, performance-based pay in the compensation mix, in recent years the Committee has not increased salaries for executive officers, except where there has been a promotion, expansion of role and responsibilities, or to recognize performance.

In 2018, the Committee increased the base salaries for Mr. Martell and Mr. Balas, as both made major contributions to the successful execution of the Company s strategic plan in 2018. In addition, there were significant gaps between their salaries and the competitive market rate. No other NEO received a base salary increase in 2018.

39

Annualized base salaries of the executive officers for 2017 and 2018 are set forth in the table below:

Named Executive Officer	2017	2018
Frank D. Martell	\$ 725,000	\$ 780,000
James L. Balas	\$ 425,000	\$450,000
Barry M. Sando	\$ 550,000	\$550,000
Arnold A. Pinkston (1)		\$425,000

(1) Mr. Pinkston was hired in January 2018 as the Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary. **Annual Incentives (ICP)**

The ICP rewards executive officers for financial and operating performance relative to rigorous, predetermined financial goals and strategic objectives. As part of our business planning process, management prioritizes a range of value drivers based on anticipated market demand including estimated mortgage origination volumes, prior year performance, business strategy and risk factors. The Committee then evaluates management s recommendations in light of stockholder expectations and establishes final ICP financial and strategic goals, including performance and payout ranges.

2018 Target Incentives. The Committee established the following 2018 target bonus opportunities for our NEOs:

		ICP Bonus				
Name	Title	Sal	ase ary 00s)	% of Salary	Target (\$000s)	Maximum (\$000s)
Frank D. Martell	President and CEO	\$	780	140%	\$1,092	\$ 2,184
James L. Balas	Chief Financial Officer	\$	450	100%	\$ 450	\$ 900
Barry M. Sando	Managing Director, Underwriting and					
Arnold A. Pinkston	Workflow Solutions Chief Legal Officer and	\$	550	100%	\$ 550	\$ 1,100
	Corporate Secretary	\$	425	80%	\$ 340	\$ 680

ICP Performance Metrics. For 2018, the Committee selected the following three performance measures for the ICP:

Revenue (GAAP metric)

Adjusted EBITDA a non-GAAP metric calculated as net income from continuing operations adjusted for interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, stock compensation, non-operating gains/losses and other adjustments.

Free Cash Flow a non-GAAP metric calculated as net cash provided by continuing operating activities less capital expenditures for purchases of property and equipment, capitalized data and other intangible assets. The Committee selected these measures in order to reflect a balanced perspective on performance including growth, profitability and cash management. The Committee believes these measures drive the valuation of our stock. Please see *Appendix A* for a detailed reconciliation of adjusted EBITDA and FCF to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

For 2018, 75% of the ICP opportunity was based on our financial performance goals and 25% on established strategic objectives for each executive officer. Results for achievement of revenue, adjusted EBITDA, and FCF goals were weighted as follows in determining ICP funding:

Revenue	34%
Adjusted EBITDA	33%
Free Cash Flow	33%

Threshold Performance Requirement. For 2018, no award was payable unless our 2018 adjusted net income exceeded \$62.5 million.

Funding Formula for Financial Results. At least 80% of targeted performance (threshold) for a metric must be achieved to generate any funding for that metric. The funding formula is set out in the table below. For performance levels between threshold and target or between target and maximum, the funding is determined by linear interpolation. Notwithstanding the actual ICP funding results, the Committee retains the discretion to decrease the actual payment for an ICP participant.

	Less than			Maximum
Performance Level	Threshold	Threshold	Target	and Above
Performance as % of Target	< 80%	80%	100%	120%
Payout as a % of Target	0%	34%	100%	200%

The sum of the weighted results of the three financial metrics funds the ICP awards. For 2018, NEOs received 75% of the funded amount based on financial results. Awards for the remaining 25% of the funded amount were based on an evaluation of performance on strategic goals. For outstanding performance on strategic objectives, the ICP structure permits the strategic goal payment percentage of up to 200% of target.

Financial results were measured at the corporate level for NEOs except for Mr. Sando, whose financial results were weighted 33.3% on the corporate metrics previously outlined and 66.7% on segment-level revenue and adjusted EBITDA results for the segment he manages. Funding for his strategic objectives component was determined by corporate results alone in alignment with the other NEOs.

Determining Awards for Strategic Goal Achievement. The Committee determined that three major areas of our business strategy should be used for ICP strategic goals: (1) growth and innovation, (2) operational excellence, and (3) high performing organization. The Committee believed that these were the critical strategic focal areas for accelerating achievement of our long-strategy which are not otherwise measurable through annual financial performance metrics.

The CEO provides the Committee with his assessment of individual results on strategic goals for the other executive officers and the Committee assesses the achievement level of the CEO. Based on these assessments, the Committee determines strategic goal achievement awards for each of the NEOs.

2018 Financial Results and Funding. As set out in the table below, 2018 corporate financial performance resulted in 96.7% of target funding.

Edgar Filing: SOUTHEAST AIRPORT GROUP - Form 6-K

	Actual 2018				
		Target	Results	Percentage	Funding
Financial Performance Metric	Weight	<i>(\$000)</i>	(\$000)	Achieved	Percentage
2018 Revenue	34%	\$ 1,875	\$ 1,788	95.4%	84.7%
2018 Adjusted EBITDA	33%	\$ 480	\$ 493	102.7%	113.5%
2018 Free Cash Flow	33%	\$ 264	\$ 258	97.6%	92.1%
Total	100%				96.7%

Strategic Goal Results and Awards. For Messrs. Martell, Balas, Sando and Pinkston, the Committee determined that each of these executive officers demonstrated performance above a targeted expectation on the achievement of strategic objectives. An overarching factor was management success in achieving strong financial results against the backdrop of a more difficult economic environment than had been anticipated.

	ICP	Target	Financia	l Results	Individual Stra	ategic Results	ICP A	wai	rd
Name	(\$	000) %	of Target	5% Weight	% of Targ 2t	5% Weight	% of Target	war	rd (\$000)
Frank D. Martell	\$	1,092	96.7%	72.5%	110%	27.5%	100.0%	\$	1,092
James L. Balas	\$	450	96.7%	72.5%	110%	27.5%	100.0%	\$	450
Barry M. Sando (1)	\$	550	83.2%	62.4%	131%	32.6%	95.0%	\$	523
Arnold A. Pinkston	\$	340	96.7%	72.5%	110%	27.5%	100.0%	\$	340

(1) Financial goals for Mr. Sando are calculated based on a split between corporate targets (25%) and segment revenue and adjusted EBITDA targets (50%), together accounting for 75% of his total ICP award. Unadjusted funding results for the segment were 92.8% of target resulting in blended achievement level of 86.5%.

Long-Term Incentives (LTI)

Our LTI program is designed to motivate and reward profitable growth and stockholder value creation through awards of performance-based and time-vested equity. The Committee believes that using performance-based and time-vesting equity vehicles reinforces both performance and retention of key executives while aligning their interests with those of our stockholders and encouraging an appropriate level of risk-taking.

Long-term incentives represent the largest component of executive officer compensation. In 2018, we granted 50% of total LTI value in PBRSUs, and 50% in RSUs. As outlined in more detail below, we also granted a special performance-based incentive of one-times base salary for Messrs. Balas, Sando, and Pinkston, the A30 Awards, focused on achievement of aggressive EBITDA margin expansion from 2018 to 2020.

In determining the amount of the equity compensation awarded to each executive officer, the Committee primarily considered company and individual performance. However, the Committee may also evaluate any factor it considers relevant including competencies, skills, prior experiences, scope of responsibility and accountability within the organization, and the LTI awards made by Peer Group companies to similarly-situated executive officers.

LTI Targets. The Committee established the following 2018 LTI targets for our NEOs:

		Base		
		Salary	Target	t LTI
Name	Title	(\$000s)	% of Salary	(\$000s)
Frank D. Martell	President and CEO	\$ 780	475%	\$ 3,705
James L. Balas	Chief Financial Officer	\$ 450	200%	\$ 900
Barry M. Sando	MD, Underwriting and Workflow Solutions	\$ 550	200%	\$ 1,100
Arnold A. Pinkston	Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary	\$ 425	200%	\$ 850

42

The following chart summarizes our LTI components for 2018:

LTI VEHICLE	WEIGHT	OVERVIEW
		3-year measurement period using adjusted EPS growth goals
		Earn the greater number of shares from:
		Annual measurement against 1-year targets and banking of earned shares
PBRSUs	50%	3-year measurement against 3-year targets
		Shares earned also subject to 3-year vesting requirement
		Shares earned from adjusted EPS performance subject to modification based on TSR relative to our peers for 1-year and 3-year measurement periods Vests in equal annual installments over 3 years
RSUs	50%	
		Vesting subject to achievement of threshold level of adjusted net income

PBRSUs Granted in 2018. The 2018 PBRSUs are earned based on adjusted EPS achieved relative to annual targets for each of the three years of the performance period 2018 through 2020.

Shares earned are calculated as described below. Participants earn the greater number of PBRSUs resulting from Step 1 or Step 2 (described in Step 3).

Step 1: Calculate PBRSUs Earned Annually

As illustrated in the graphic below, adjusted EPS and relative TSR results determine the portion of the PBRSUs that are earned each year.

(A) For the PBRSUs granted in 2018, 30% of the PBRSUs may be earned based on 2018 performance, 50% based on 2019 performance, and 20% based on 2020 performance.

(A) PBRSUs Eligible to be Earned Based on Annual Adjusted EPS Results (% of Total PBRSUs Granted)

2018	2019	2020
30%	50%	20%

(B) The number of PBRSUs earned is based on a schedule that provides for 50% of PBRSUs to be earned for annual adjusted EPS results at 80% of target (threshold), 100% of PBRSUs to be earned for results at 100% of target (target), and 200% of PBRSUs to be earned for results at 120% of target (maximum).

(B) PBRSUs Earned Based on Adjusted EPS Results

Adjusted Annual EPS

	Results (% of	Accrued PBRSUs
Performance Level	Target)	Earned (% of Target)
Less than Threshold	< 80%	0%
Threshold	80%	50%
Target	100%	100%
Maximum+	120%	200%

43

(C) The number of PBRSUs earned is then subject to modification based on our relative total stockholder return compared to our 2018 Peer Group (TSR Modifier). The TSR Modifier ensures alignment of PBRSU payouts and results for stockholders.

(C) TSR Modifier Annual TSR

PBRSUs Earned from Performance (Relative Adj. EPS Results (B) to Peers) Modifier 150% to 200% of Target 55 Percentile No modification Below 55 Percentile Earnout capped at 150% of target No modifications 50% to 150% of Target Above Peer median Earnout is 50% of target 0% Below Peer median No earnout

(D) PBRSUs earned each year are accrued until the end of the three-year performance period.

Step 2: Calculate PBRSUs Earned at End of 3-Year Performance Period

Calculations of PBRSUs earned at the end of the 3-year performance period use the same schedules as for annual calculations:

Adjusted EPS earnout schedule (calculation B above) measured using cumulative adjusted EPS over 3 years relative to the 3-year EPS target

TSR Modifier schedule (calculation C above) measured over 3 years

Step 3: PBRSUs earned equals the greater of cumulative PBRSUs earned in the 3 annual calculations during the grant cycle (from Step 1) or the 3-year calculation (from Step 2)

2018 Performance for 2018 PBRSU Grant. We achieved strong financial and operating results in 2018 despite the macro-economic headwinds, as evidenced by adjusted EPS outcomes at above-target performance levels. As adjusted EPS performance did not trigger a payout above 150%, there was no modification from the TSR Modifier.

% of % of % of Award Award **PBRSUs** Award Credited Credited **Awarded Subject Adjusted** Adjusted for **Adjusted** Adjusted for for 2018 to Crediting in **EPS EPS EPS Adjusted EPS TSR** Modifier **Performance** 2018 **Target Results Performance Results**

2018 30% \$ 2.50 \$ 2.72 109% 43.20% 43.20%

Restricted Stock Units Granted in 2018. Vesting of RSUs granted in 2018 was subject to the achievement of \$62.5 million in adjusted net income for 2018. Adjusted net income results exceeded this threshold.

RSUs vest in three equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. These awards encourage executive officer retention and align the interests of executive officers with those of stockholders.

44

2018 Annual LTI Awards. 2018 long-term incentive awards were made at targeted grant value for each of the NEOs. 2018 grant awards are presented in the table below (with award amounts rounded to the nearest hundred).

	2018 Annual Grant Values				
Named Executive Officer	RSUs	PBRSUs (1)			
Frank D. Martell	\$ 1,852,500	\$ 1,852,500			
James L. Balas	\$ 450,000	\$ 450,000			
Barry M. Sando	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000			
Arnold A. Pinkston	\$ 425,000	\$ 425,000			

(1) PBRSU amount shown at target performance level. Based on 2018 performance, the portion of the PBRSUs tied to 2018 performance will be eligible to vest contingent upon continued employment through December 31, 2020. **PBRSUs Settled After 2018.** PBRSUs granted in 2016 were paid out after the end of the 2016-2018 performance period. The calculation of the payout is presented in the table below. Three-year adjusted EPS resulted in above-target performance on both the annual and 3-year calculation basis. As performance did not trigger a payout above 150% of target, there was no modification from the TSR Modifier and the payout was set at the 3-year cumulative result of 141.07%.

	% of						
	Award					% of Award	
	Subject to			% of		Subject to	
2016-2018	Crediting			Adjusted		Credit for	% Vesting-
PBRSU	for	Adjusted	Adjusted	EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	Adjusted for
Performance	Annual	EPS	EPS	Target	EPS	EPS	TSR
Period	Performance	Target	Results	Achieved	Performance	Results	Modifier
2016	30%	\$ 2.18	\$ 2.42	111.01%	155.05%	46.5%	45.0%
2017	50%	\$ 2.31	\$ 2.37	102.60%	112.99%	56.5%	56.5%
2018	20%	\$ 2.45	\$ 2.72	111.02%	155.10%	31.0%	30.0%
Total of 3 1-Year							
Results	100%					134.0%	131.5%
3-Year Results	100%	\$ 6.94	\$ 7.51	108.21%	141.07%		

The number of shares earned from the 2016 PBRSU award is presented in the table below.

	2016 PBRSU Grant	2018 Vesting
Name	Target	Earned
Frank D. Martell	32,555	45,925
James L. Balas	9,129	12,878
Barry M. Sando	15.741	22,205

Timing of Equity Awards. After Committee approval, we generally issue annual equity awards to executive officers on the second day on which the NYSE is open for trading following the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, using the last sale price reported for a share of our common stock on the NYSE on that date. Grants to new hires or

other grants outside the annual grant cycle follow the same methodology, except that awards are generally issued on the 20th day (or the next succeeding business day if the market is closed on the 20th day) of the third month of the calendar quarter that follows the date on which the Committee approved the awards.

Special One-Time A30 Award. In 2018, the Compensation Committee approved a special one-time award (the A30 Award) to provide a focused incentive for achievement of an aggressive adjusted EBITDA margin expansion between 2018 and 2020. The award is also intended to enhance executive retention and promote the achievement of major strategic initiatives (e.g., workflow automation and adoption of related technologies). The special one-time award was granted to senior leaders (including our NEOs but excluding the CEO) and leaders of our centers of excellence. For each of the NEO recipients, the target award value was equal to 1.0x the executive s 2018 salary. The awards are presented in the table below for the NEO recipients.

	2018 A30 Special Award		
Name	Targ	et Value	
James L. Balas	\$	450,000	
Barry M. Sando	\$	550,000	
Arnold A. Pinkston	\$	425 000	

A30 Award Performance Metric and Targets. The A30 Award consists of units that are settled and vest at the end of 2020. Each unit converts to one share. The units have a target grant value equal to 100% of base salary and are earned based on achievement of pre-determined 2020 adjusted EBITDA margin targets.

Retirement and Employee Benefit Plans

Executive officers are entitled to the same benefits generally available to all full-time employees (subject to fulfilling any minimum service requirement) including the 401(k) plan, healthcare, life insurance and other welfare benefit programs. In designing these benefits, we seek to provide an overall level of benefits that is competitive with those offered by similar companies in the markets in which we operate. We believe that these employee benefits provide a valuable recruiting and retention mechanism for our executive officers and enable us to compete more successfully for qualified executive talent.

Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan and the Pension Restoration Plan. Mr. Sando became a participant in our Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan (the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan) prior to its closure to new participants in 2010. On November 18, 2010, we amended the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan to freeze benefits effective as of December 31, 2010. As a result, compensation earned after 2010 is not considered in determining covered compensation and final average compensation; service after 2010 is not recognized, except for vesting purposes. Mr. Sando is also a participant in the Pension Restoration Plan, which is limited to individuals who became participants before 1995. Explanation of these plans can be found in the Pension Benefits table below.

Deferred Compensation Plan. The Deferred Compensation Plan is a non-qualified retirement plan that allows eligible participants to defer up to 80% of their salary and annual incentive bonus. Participation is limited to executive officers and certain other key employees. In 2010, we amended the Deferred Compensation Plan to provide additional Company contributions in the form of 401(k) restoration.

Table of Contents 72

46

Other Benefits. We also maintain an executive life insurance program for executive officers and other key employees. This program provides the participant with up to two times their annualized base salary (up to a maximum of \$1 million) in group universal life insurance.

Further details regarding perquisites are found in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table and accompanying footnotes.

Role of the Committee and the Chief Executive Officer

The Committee is composed solely of independent members of our Board. The Committee reviews and approves executive officer base salaries, annual incentive bonus programs, long-term incentive compensation and other incentive and executive benefit plans. The Committee, in consultation with its independent compensation consultant, analyzes the reasonableness of executive officer compensation, in part by reviewing compensation data from comparable companies and from relevant other industry sources.

Decisions regarding compensation of the CEO are made solely by the Committee based on its deliberations with input from its independent compensation consultant. Decisions regarding other executive officers are made by the Committee after considering recommendations from the CEO as appropriate, as well as input from the Committee s independent compensation consultant. Our CEO and, as appropriate, Chief Legal Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Human Resources Officer, may attend the portion of the Committee s meetings where individual executive officer performance is discussed. Only Committee members may vote on executive officer compensation decisions.

The Committee regularly meets in executive session with its independent compensation consultant.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

The Committee retained Pay Governance LLC as its independent compensation consultant to advise on executive officer compensation for 2018. The consultant generally advises the Committee on all aspects of the executive compensation program design and governance process. During 2018, as part of its engagement with the Committee, the independent compensation consultant:

advised on the selection of a peer group of companies for executive officer compensation comparison purposes;

provided guidance on industry best practices, emerging trends and developments in executive officer compensation, and investor views of compensation design and practices;

consulted on incentive design;

advised on determining the total compensation of each of our executive officers and the material elements of total compensation, including (1) annual base salaries, (2) target cash bonus amounts, and (3) the structure and target amount of long-term incentive awards;

Consulted on non-employee director compensation; and,

Assisted on a compensation risk assessment.

The Committee retained its independent compensation consultant directly, although in carrying out assignments, the consultant also interacted with Company management on behalf of the Committee. Pay Governance performed no services for the Company, and the Committee does not believe the independent compensation consultant s work has raised any conflict of interest. The Committee has the sole authority to select, retain, and terminate the independent compensation consultants.

Adjustment or Recovery of Awards (Claw-backs)

The Company maintains a recoupment policy that enables recovery of performance-based compensation to the extent that it is later determined that applicable performance goals were not actually achieved taking into account a financial restatement or ethical misconduct. We also added claw-backs in termination agreements for all executive officers. This policy applies to all performance-based incentive plans including but not limited to the annual incentive cash bonus and performance-based equity awards described above.

47

Anti-Hedging and Pledging Policy

The Company maintains a policy that prohibits executive officer transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities, on an exchange or in any other organized market as well as holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan.

Executive Stock Ownership Guidelines and Retention Requirements

We require our executive officers to own a fixed amount of our stock. The rigorous guidelines are based on a multiple of base salary as outlined below:

Position Ownership Guidelines

Chief Executive Officer6x base salaryChief Financial Officer3x base salaryManaging Directors3x base salaryOther Executive Officers1x base salary

Covered officers have five years from their date of hire or promotion to the covered position to reach the ownership requirement. All Company securities owned outright or earned and subject only to time-based vesting restrictions count toward the requirement; stock options do not count toward the ownership requirement. Furthermore, we have adopted a share retention requirement which provides that all covered executives must hold at least 50% of net (after tax) shares until the stock ownership guidelines described above are achieved. All NEOs have met their ownership requirements.

Actual Share Ownership vs. Minimum Share Ownership Requirement

(As multiple of base salary)

Employment Agreements and Severance Arrangements

Each currently employed executive officer is party to an employment agreement with us. The Committee believes that offering employment agreements to key executive officers is consistent with peer practices and serves as an effective retention tool. Each agreement is individually negotiated and terms may vary. For additional information regarding the terms of the employment agreements, including severance arrangements that we have entered into with our executive officers see Employment Agreements below.

Change in Control Agreements

Equity awards are currently issued under the 2018 Plan, which was approved by our stockholders at our annual meeting held in May 2018. Prior to the approval of the 2018 Plan, we issued share-based awards under the 2011 Plan,

as amended. The 2011 Plan and 2018 Plan do not include an automatic single trigger change in control vesting provision. Instead, both plans include a change in control provision where automatic

48

accelerated vesting of an award in connection with a change in control will only occur if an acquirer or successor to us fails to assume or continue the awards or the awards otherwise do not survive the transaction. Additionally, award agreements include double-trigger severance protections and provide for accelerated vesting of awards that remain outstanding following a change in control transaction in the event of a termination without cause following a change in control.

The Deferred Compensation Plan generally provides for accelerated vesting of awards or benefits, as the case may be, in the event of a change in control of the Company. In addition, the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan provides that when a participant incurs an involuntary separation from service without good cause subsequent to a change in control, payment of benefits will commence in the same manner and in the same amount as if the participant had attained his or her normal retirement age on the date of termination.

In addition to the plan and award agreement provisions described above, we have entered into a change in control agreement (a Change in Control Agreement) with each of our NEOs. Under the Change in Control Agreement, a change in control means the consummation of any one of the following:

a merger or consolidation of the Company in which our stockholders end up owning less than 50% of the voting securities of the surviving entity;

the sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets or the complete liquidation or dissolution of the Company;

a change in the composition of our Board of Directors over a two-year period as a result of which fewer than a majority of the directors are incumbent directors, as defined in the agreement; or

the acquisition or accumulation by any person or group, subject to certain limited exceptions, of at least 30% of our voting securities.

If the termination of our executive officer s employment occurs without cause or if the executive officer terminates his employment for good reason within the twenty-four-month period following a change in control, we will pay the following benefits in one lump sum in the month following the month in which the date of the termination occurs:

the executive officer s base salary through and including the date of termination and any accrued but unpaid annual incentive bonus;

between two and three times the executive officer s target annual cash bonus amount established for the fiscal year in which the termination occurs; and

between two and three times the executive officer s annual base salary in effect immediately prior to the date of termination.

Furthermore, under the Change in Control Agreement, for a period ranging from twenty-four to thirty-six months and subject to the covered executive officer s continued payment of the same percentage of the applicable premiums as the executive officer was paying immediately prior to the date of termination or, if more favorable to the executive officer, at the time at which the change in control occurred, we will provide medical and dental coverage pursuant to COBRA for the executive officer (and if applicable, the executive officer s dependents). To the extent that the executive officer cannot participate in the plans previously available, we will provide such benefits on the same after-tax basis as if they had been available. These obligations are reduced by any welfare benefits made available to the executive officer from subsequent employers.

The Change in Control Agreement provides that if any excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code (or any similar tax), applies to the payments, benefits or other amounts payable under the agreement or otherwise, including without limitation, any acceleration of the vesting of outstanding stock options, restricted stock or performance shares (collectively, the Total Payments), then the Total Payments will be reduced (but not below zero) so that the maximum amount of the Total Payments (after reduction) will be \$1.00 less than the amount which would cause the Total Payments to be subject to the excise tax; provided that such reduction to the Total Payments will be made only if the after-tax benefit to the executive officer is greater after giving effect to such reduction than if no such reduction had been made. This type of provision is often referred to as a modified cut-back, and is included because the Change in Control Agreement does not provide for any type of gross up or similar benefit.

The Change in Control Agreement had an initial term through December 31, 2011 and is automatically extended for additional one-year periods unless either party notifies the other not later than the preceding January 1 that it does not wish to extend the term for an additional year. All agreements with current executive officers have since been extended through December 31, 2019. For a description of the calculations and further explanation of the payments due to the executive officers upon termination of employment and/or a change in control, see Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control tables below.

Impact of Tax and Accounting

As a general matter, the Committee considers the various tax and accounting implications of the compensation vehicles we employ. When determining amounts of long-term incentive grants to executive officers and employees, the Committee examines the accounting cost associated with the grants. Under accounting guidance, grants of stock options, RSUs and PBRSUs result in an accounting charge for the Company. The accounting charge is equal to the fair value of the instruments being issued. For RSUs, the cost is generally equal to the fair value of the stock on the date of grant times the number of shares granted. This expense is amortized over the requisite service period. With respect to stock options, we calculate the fair value of the option and take that value into account as an expense over the vesting period, after adjusting for forfeitures. For PBRSUs, we calculate the fair value of the award upon grant and adjust the value to be expensed on a quarterly basis over the performance period based on expected award payouts, after adjusting for forfeitures.

Section 162(m) of the Code generally prohibits a publicly-held company from deducting compensation paid to a current or former NEO that exceeds \$1.0 million during the tax year. Certain awards granted before November 2, 2017 that were based upon attaining pre-established performance measures that were set by the Committee under a plan approved by the Company s stockholders, as well as amounts payable to former executive officers pursuant to a written binding contract that was in effect on November 2, 2017, may qualify for an exception to the \$1.0 million deductibility limit.

As one of the factors in its consideration of compensation matters, the Committee noted this deductibility limitation. However, the Committee has the flexibility to take any compensation-related actions that it determines are in the best interests of the Company and our stockholders, including awarding compensation that may not be deductible for tax purposes. There can be no assurance that any compensation will in fact be deductible.

50

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing CD&A with management. Based on its review and discussions, the Compensation Committee has recommended to the Board that the CD&A be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018 and in the Company s proxy statement for its 2019 Annual Meeting of stockholders.

Members of the Compensation Committee

J. David Chatham, Chair

Paul F. Folino

Claudia Fan Munce

Thomas C. O Brien

Jaynie Miller Studenmund

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Messrs. Chatham (Chair), Folino, O Brien and Mmes. Munce and Studenmund served on the Compensation Committee during 2018. No person who served as a member of the Compensation Committee during 2018 was or is an officer or employee of the Company. No executive officer of the Company serves or served as a director or member of the compensation committee of another company who employed or employs any member of the Company s Compensation Committee or the Board.

51

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES

2018 Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth certain information concerning compensation of each named executive officer who served as such during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, other than for Mr. Pinkston, for whom compensation information is provided only for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018, the only year in which he was a named executive officer. The positions listed below are as of December 31, 2018.

						Change in		
						Pension		
						Value		
						and		
				N	on-Equity	Nonqualified		
Name and					Incentive	Deferred	All	
			Stock	Option	Plan	Compensation	Other	
Principal Position	Year	Salary	Awards A	Awar d so		n EarningsCo		Total
•		•		(5)	•	, and the second	•	
		(3) (\$)	(4) (\$)	(\$)	(6) (\$)	(7) (\$)	(8) (\$)	(\$)
Frank D. Martell	2018	778,942	3,704,964		1,092,000	1	65,748	5,641,654
President and Chief	2017	710,577	3,262,445		1,250,000		61,177	5,284,199
Executive Officer (1)	2016	650,000	2,274,943		1,129,400		61,490	4,115,833
James L. Balas	2018	449,519	1,349,905		450,000	1	30,856	2,280,280
Chief Financial Officer	2017	425,000	849,992		525,000	1	31,411	1,831,401
	2016	396,538	637,935		531,700		24,714	1,590,887
Barry M. Sando	2018	550,000	1,649,903		522,500		50,556	2,772,959
Managing Director,	2017	550,000	1,099,985		690,000	634,144	52,523	3,026,652
Underwriting &	2016	550,000	1,099,981		740,000	378,594	51,503	2,820,078
Workflow Solutions								
Arnold A. Pinkston	2018	415,192	1,274,903		340,000		12,847	2,042,943

Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary (2)

- (1) Effective March 6, 2017, the Board appointed Mr. Martell to the position of President and Chief Executive Officer and principal executive officer.
- (2) Arnold A. Pinkston was appointed Chief Legal Officer & Corporate Secretary on January 2, 2018.
- (3) Amounts include any amounts electively deferred by the NEO under the Deferred Compensation Plan.

- (4) For 2018, reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards, consisting of RSUs and PBRSUs, computed in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation. We valued the RSUs as of the grant date by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on that date by the number of RSUs awarded. We valued the PBRSUs as of the grant date by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on that date by the target number of PBRSUs that will vest upon achievement of the target performance. The RSUs were granted and vest contingent upon the satisfaction of certain performance criteria through December 31, 2018, which criteria were satisfied, and thereafter vest based on continued employment through December 31, 2020. The PBRSUs were granted and vest contingent upon satisfaction of certain performance criteria and continued employment through December 31, 2020. If the highest performance target is met or exceeded, the value of the awards at grant date would be as follows: Mr. Martell \$3,704,964; Mr. Balas \$1,799,880; Mr. Sando \$2,199,861; and Mr. Pinkston \$1,699,856.
- (5) The Company did not grant stock options in 2016, 2017 or 2018.
- (6) For 2018, represents the annual incentive bonus that was paid to each NEO and includes any amounts electively deferred by the NEO under the Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (7) For 2018, the change in the present value of the life annuity from the end of fiscal year 2017 to the end of fiscal year 2018 for the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan and the Pension Restoration Plan with respect to Mr. Sando only decreased due to an increase in the interest rate assumptions and is therefore excluded The actual change in the present values is as follows: (\$411,002) under the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan and (\$8,715) under the Pension Restoration Plan. The amounts in this column do not include earnings under the Deferred Compensation Plan as such earnings were neither above-market nor preferential. See the Pension Benefits table below under *Pension Benefits for 2018* for assumptions used in calculating these amounts.

52

(8) Amounts included in all other compensation consist of the amounts shown in the table below paid by the Company for each NEO.

			Amounts Deferred under the Deferred	
	Life Insurance Premiums	401(k) Matching Contributions	Compensation Plan	Total
Named Executive Officer	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Frank D. Martell	4,878	8,250	52,618	65,748
James L. Balas	1,620	8,250	20,986	30,856
Barry M. Sando	13,356	8,250	28,950	50,556
Arnold A. Pinkston	3,963	5,884	3,000	12,847

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2018

The following table sets forth information on awards made to each of the NEOs under the ICP, 2011 Plan and the 2018 Plan during 2018.

			Un	timated Fut Payouts der Non-Eq incentive Pla Awards (1)	uity an	Un Inc	nated Fu Payouts ider Equ entive P wards (2	nity Plan	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock & Option
	Approval	Grant	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Awards
Name	Date	Date	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(3)(\$)
Frank D. Martell	l								
2018 ICP Award			371,280	1,092,000	2,184,000				
RSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018					40,696		1,852,482
PBRSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018				20,348	40,696	81,392	1,852,482
James Balas									
2018 ICP Award			153,000	450,000	900,000				
RSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018					9,885		449,965
PBRSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018				4,943	9,885	•	449,965
A30 Award (4)	7/30/2018	9/20/2018				4,442	9,885	17,768	449,975
Barry M. Sando									
2018 ICP Award	0.100.100.10	2442040	187,000	550,000	1,100,000		40.00		
RSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018					12,082		549,973
PBRSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018				6,041	12,082	,	549,973
A30 Award (4)	7/30/2018	9/20/2018				5,429	10,858	21,716	549,958
Arnold A. Pinkst	on		115 600	240,000	600,000				
2018 ICP Award	2/20/2010	2/1/2010	115,600	340,000	680,000		0.226		101.075
RSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018				4.660	9,336		424,975
PBRSUs	2/20/2018	3/1/2018				4,668	9,336	•	424,975
A30 Award (4)	7/30/2018	9/20/2018				4,195	8,390	16,780	424,954

- (1) At threshold performance, a NEO would receive 34% of the target award amount and at maximum performance, a NEO would receive 200% of the target award amount. Actual incentive award earned by each NEO is reported in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table.
- (2) Equity awards in 2018 consisted of RSUs and PBRSUs granted as part of the 2018 long-term incentive compensation program. The RSUs are tied to achievement of at least \$62.5 million in 2018 adjusted net income. For the RSUs, if as was the case, the adjusted net income performance target is met, the shares vest in three equal installments on the first three anniversaries of the grant date. In the case of the PBRSUs, 100% of each award is tied to achievement of certain adjusted earnings-per-share targets over a three-year performance period consisting of the 2018-2020 fiscal years, subject to modification based on our relative total stockholder return achieved during the performance period. The PBRSUs that were earned in 2018 based on 2018 adjusted EPS performance and relative total stockholder return will vest and be payable to the NEOs subject to their continued employment through December 31, 2020.

53

- (3) These amounts represent the aggregate grant date fair value of each award determined pursuant to Financial Accounting Standards Board s Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation. For the assumptions and methodologies used to value these awards, see footnote (4) to the 2018 Summary Compensation Table above.
- (4) PBRSUs were granted as a special one-time A30 Award to our NEOs, excluding the CEO, on September 20, 2018 and remain subject to the achievement of certain performance measures. The amount set forth in this column represents the estimated future payout of the A30 Awards assuming the target performance goal of 30% adjusted EBITDA margin has been achieved. The PBRSUs vest, if at all, based on achievement of pre-determined 2020 adjusted EBITDA margin targets. *See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Incentives* above for detailed discussion of the A30 Awards.

Employment Agreements

Effective March 6, 2017, we entered into a new employment agreement with Mr. Martell, which superseded the prior agreement and amendments thereto. On April 8, 2016, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Balas. In May 2011, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Sando, as amended June 16, 2014 and October 6, 2014. Effective January 2, 2018, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Pinkston. The material terms of the employment agreements, which are substantially similar in form, are as follows:

Term: One-year term through December 31 of each calendar year, with automatic renewal for an additional year unless either party provides 60 days prior written notice before the expiration of the current term. Neither party to the NEO employment agreements provided such notice in 2018, and accordingly the term of each automatically extended through December 31, 2019.

Pay: Sets initial base salary at current salary at the time the agreement was entered into or amended and provides that base salary will be reviewed annually and may be increased (but not decreased) during the term at our discretion.

Severance: Provides for severance pay if the NEO is terminated without cause as defined in the employment agreement. The severance amount is a multiple of base pay and target annual bonus. For Messrs. Martell and Sando, the multiple is two and COBRA reimbursement is provided for 24 months. For Messrs. Balas and Pinkston, the multiple is one and COBRA reimbursement is provided for 12 months. The NEOs are also entitled to receive payment of a pro-rata portion of any annual bonus actually earned based on performance that they would have otherwise received had their employment not terminated.

Severance Payment Timing: Severance will be paid in installments as follows:

Messrs. Martell and Sando First payment is made in the seventh month after separation of employment and is 7/24th of the total severance and equal installments thereafter for the remainder;

Messrs. Balas and Pinkston First payment is made in the seventh month after separation of employment and is 7/12th of the total severance and equal installments thereafter for the remainder.

Release of Liability: The employment agreement requires the NEO to sign a release in exchange for severance. Moreover, the NEOs are covered by restrictive covenants such as indefinite confidentiality, cooperation in litigation and non-competition.

Claw-backs: The employment agreement provides that the agreement is subject to claw-back under applicable law or under our claw-back policy in effect from time to time. We adopted such a recoupment or claw-back policy in March 2012 as further described in Compensation Discussion and Analysis Adjustment or Recovery of Awards (Claw-backs).

54

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2018

The following table shows outstanding equity awards held by our NEOs as of December 31, 2018.

	(Option Award	S		Stock	Awards	
Name	Exercis able x	of urities erlying xercis@ption otion&xercise	Option Expiration Date (1)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (2) (\$)	Unearned Shares,	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)
Frank D. Martell	116,298	11.35	8/29/2021				
	63,870 38,150 20,313	11.33 15.50 25.95 32.49	3/1/2022 2/26/2023 3/2/2024	10,852 (3) 45,925 (4) 27,614 (5) 43,184 (6) 40,696 (7) 17,580 (8)	362,674 1,5343,814 922,860 1,443,209 1,360,060 587,524	8,284 (9) 28,487 (10)	276,851 952,036
James L. Balas	7.720	11.20	0/27/2021				
	7,732 8,709 7,803 3,693	11.38 15.50 25.95 32.49	9/27/2021 3/1/2022 2/26/2023 3/2/2024	3,043 (3) 12,878 (4) 7,230 (5) 11,305 (6) 9,885 (7) 4,270 (8)	101,697 430,383 241,627 377,813 330,357 142,703	2,168 (9) 6,919 (10)	72,455 231,233

8,884 (11) 296,903

55

		Option	Awards			Stock	k Awards			
Name	of Securities Underlyin Unexercist Options	Number of Securities gnderlying Mexercised Options I mexercisabl (#)	Option Exercise	Option Expiration Date (1)	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (2) (\$)	Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)		
Barry M. San			(1)			.,		\.,		
	8,701 22,532 26,011 18,467		17.24 15.50 25.95 32.49	3/15/2021 3/1/2022 2/26/2023 3/2/2024	5,247 (3) 22,205 (4) 9,356 (5) 14,631 (6) 12,082 (7) 5,219 (8)	175,355 742,091 312,678 488,968 403,780 174,419	2,806 (9) 8,457 (10) 10,858 (11)	93,777 282,633 362,874		
Arnold A. Pir	nkston						10,020 (11)	202,071		
					9,336 (7) 4,033 (8)	312,009 134,783	6,535 (10) 8,390 (11)	218,400 280,394		

- (1) The stock options disclosed in this table have a ten-year term. As of December 31, 2018, all stock options were fully vested.
- (2) Represents the value of unvested RSUs based on closing stock price on December 31, 2018 of \$33.42.
- (3) These RSUs represent the unvested portion of RSUs that were granted to the NEOs on March 1, 2016 which were subject to (i) the achievement of adjusted net income of \$55 million for 2016 and (ii) time vesting in three equal annual installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. We achieved the \$55 million performance measure for 2016.

- (4) These PBRSUs represent the portion of the PBRSUs that were granted to the NEOs on March 1, 2016 and vest based upon our achievement of certain performance measures and continued employment through December 31, 2018. The amount set forth in this column represents the aggregate number of units that were distributed based on our achievements of adjusted EPS and relative TSR goals over the three-year performance period (2016, 2017, 2018).
- (5) These RSUs represent the unvested portion of RSUs that were granted to the NEOs on February 28, 2017 and, for Mr. Martell, also on March 14, 2017, which were subject to (i) the achievement of adjusted net income of \$57.5 million for 2017 and (ii) time vesting in three equal annual installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. We achieved the \$57.5 million performance measure for 2017.
- (6) These PBRSUs represent the portion of the PBRSUs that were granted to the NEOs on February 28, 2017 and, for Mr. Martell, also on March 14, 2017, and vest based upon our achievement of certain performance measures and continued employment through December 31, 2019. The amount set forth in this column represents the actual number of units that are subject to the time vesting requirement based on our achievement of adjusted EPS in 2017 and 2018.
- (7) These RSUs represent the unvested portion of RSUs that were granted to the NEOs on March 1, 2018, which were subject to (i) the achievement of adjusted net income of \$62.5 million for 2018 and (ii) time vesting in three equal annual installments on the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. We achieved the \$62.5 million performance measure for 2018.

56

- (8) These PBRSUs represent the portion of the PBRSUs that were granted to the NEOs on March 1, 2018, and vest based upon our achievement of certain performance measures and continued employment through December 31, 2020. The amount set forth in this column represents the actual number of units that are subject to the time vesting requirement based on our achievement of adjusted EPS in 2018.
- (9) These PBRSUs represent the portion of the PBRSUs that were granted to the NEOs on February 28, 2017 and, for Mr. Martell, also on March 14, 2017, that remain subject to our achievement of certain performance measures. The amount set forth in this column represents the estimated future payout of PBRSUs assuming the target performance goals have been achieved. The PBRSUs vest based on the degree of achievement of certain adjusted EPS goals over a three-year performance period (2017, 2018 and 2019).
- (10) These PBRSUs represent the portion of the PBRSUs that were granted to the NEOs on March 1, 2018, that remain subject to our achievement of certain performance measures. The amount set forth in this column represents the estimated future payout of PBRSUs assuming the target performance goals have been achieved. The PBRSUs vest based on the degree of achievement of certain adjusted EPS goals over a three-year performance period (2018, 2019 and 2020). See *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Incentives* above for detailed discussion.
- (11) These PBRSUs were granted as a special one-time A30 Award to our NEOs, excluding the CEO, on September 20, 2018 and remain subject to the achievement of certain performance measures. The amount set forth in this column represents the estimated future payout of the A30 Awards assuming the target performance goal of 30% adjusted EBITDA margin has been achieved. The PBRSUs vest, if at all, based on achievement of pre-determined 2020 adjusted EBITDA margin targets. *See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Long-Term Incentives* above for detailed discussion of the A30 Awards.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for 2018

The following table sets forth information concerning value realized by each of the NEOs upon exercise of stock options and vesting of other stock awards during 2018.

	Option	n Awards	Stock	Awards
	Number		Number	
	of		of	
	Shares Acquired		Shares Acquired	
	on	Value Realized	on	Value Realized
	Exercise	on Exercise	Vesting	on Vesting
Name	(#)	(\$) (1)	(#)	(\$)(2)
Frank D. Martell			69,044	3,145,328
James L. Balas			13,758	626,231
Barry M. Sando	8,700	285,236	39,970	1,819,505
Arnold A. Pinkston				

(1)

Value realized on exercise is based on the fair market value of our common stock on the date of exercise minus the exercise price and does not necessarily reflect proceeds actually received by the NEO.

(2) Value realized on vesting is based on the fair market value of our common stock on the vesting date and does not necessarily reflect proceeds actually received by the NEO.

Pension Benefits for 2018

The following table shows the actuarial present value of the accumulated retirement benefits payable upon normal retirement age to each of the NEOs who participate in a pension plan, computed as of December 31, 2018. The amounts disclosed are based upon benefits provided to the NEOs under our Pension Restoration Plan and our Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan. Benefit accruals were frozen under the Pension Restoration Plan as of April 30, 2008 and the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan was frozen effective December 31, 2010. We previously maintained a pension plan, which was assumed by First American Financial Corporation (FAFC) in connection with spinning off our financial services businesses now known as FAFC on June 1, 2010 (the Separation). Messrs. Balas, Martell and Pinkston were not eligible to participate in the Pension Restoration Plan or the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan and therefore they are not included in the following table.

		Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefits	Payments During Last Fiscal
Name	Plan Name	(1)(#)	(2)(\$)	Year
Barry M. Sando	Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan	27	5,396,218	
Barry M. Sando	Pension Restoration Plan	26	121,528	

⁽¹⁾ Credited years of service for the Pension Restoration Plan and the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan is the time between the participant s deemed participation date under the plan and December 31, 2018.

57

(2) The Pension Restoration Plan benefits generally accrue from the date of employment through the normal retirement age (as discussed below). The following assumptions were used for calculating present values: interest rate of 4.23%, post-retirement mortality per RP-2014 Table for Healthy Annuitants with mortality projection starting in 2006 using Fully Generational Scale MP-2018, and benefit is payable as a single life annuity.

Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan eligibility requires 10 years of service and 5 years of participation in the plan with the benefit dependent on age at retirement between 55 and 62, rather than credited years of service. The following assumptions were used for calculating present value: interest rate of 4.15% post-retirement mortality at December 31, 2018; RP-2006 Healthy Annuitants table with generational projection based on MP-2018 Order 2 Graduation Alternative Projection Scale. Benefit is payable as a 50% joint and survivor annuity.

Pension Restoration Plan

During 1996, we adopted the Pension Restoration Plan. This plan is an unfunded, non-qualified plan designed to make up for the benefit accruals that were limited under our tax-qualified pension plan based on compensation in excess of the amount of compensation that may be considered under federal tax law limits for qualified plans. However, in order to limit its expense, the Pension Restoration Plan does not make up for benefit accruals on compensation exceeding \$275,000. The Pension Restoration Plan also makes up for benefits that could not be paid from a qualified plan because of limitations imposed by the federal tax laws. Vesting of benefits payable to an employee under the Pension Restoration Plan generally occurs upon employment through normal retirement age. Normal retirement age is defined as the later of the employee s attainment of age 65 or three years of service with us. The Pension Restoration Plan was effective as of January 1, 1994, but only covers selected employees who were participants in the tax-qualified pension plan formerly sponsored by us which was assumed by FAFC in connection with the Separation. The Pension Restoration Plan excludes pay earned after December 31, 2001. The Pension Restoration Plan was amended in February 2008 to eliminate benefit accruals for service after April 30, 2008.

Effective January 1, 2009, to comply with Section 409A of the Code, payment of benefits under the Pension Restoration Plan commences the first of the month following a participant s separation from service or six months following a participant s separation from service if he is considered a specified employee. Also, benefit options under the Pension Restoration Plan include various actuarial equivalent annuity options. A participant with at least three years of service with us may elect to retire after attaining age 55, but prior to age 65, and receive reduced benefits. Benefits are reduced 1/180th for each of the first 60 months and by 1/360th for each of any additional months by which the benefit commencement date precedes the participant s normal retirement date. Mr. Sando is the only NEO who participates in the Pension Restoration Plan, and he was eligible for early retirement but not normal retirement at December 31, 2018.

On June 1, 2010, in connection with spinning off the businesses now known as FAFC, the sponsorship of a portion of the Pension Restoration Plan and the liabilities under the plan were transferred to FAFC with respect to the accrued benefits for employees and former employees who were transferred to FAFC. We remain responsible for liabilities under the Pension Restoration Plan relating to the accrued benefits of employees who were not transferred to FAFC, which are now payable pursuant to the terms of the CoreLogic, Inc. Pension Restoration Plan, the successor plan to the original Pension Restoration Plan. The new plan is intended to govern the benefits payable to participants under the plan as of June 1, 2010 and is not intended to grant additional benefits to the participants in excess of their benefits accrued under the original Pension Restoration Plan.

Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan

The Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan provides retirement benefits for, and pre-retirement death benefits with respect to, certain key management personnel. The plan was originally adopted in 1985 and has been amended a number of times since then. Under the plan, as originally adopted, upon retirement at normal retirement date (the later of age 65 or completion of 10 years of service) the participant received a joint life and 50% survivor annuity benefit equal to 35% of final average compensation. Final average compensation was determined for those three calendar years out of the last 10 years of employment preceding retirement in which final average compensation is the highest. Final average compensation includes base salary and commissions, cash bonuses and stock bonuses that are granted to compensate for past services (such as annual incentive bonus RSUs).

Under the original plan, the benefit was reduced by 5% for each year prior to normal retirement date in which retirement occurs and, until age 70, increased by 5% (compounded in order to approximate the annuitized value of the benefit had retirement occurred at age 65) for each year after such date in which retirement occurs. With respect to such postponed retirement, the plan took into account covered compensation received until age 70, so that the retirement benefit of an executive who retires after normal retirement date is determined as the greater of the annuitized benefit or the benefit calculated using final average compensation until age 70.

To be eligible to receive benefits under the plan, a participant must be at least age 55, have been an employee of us or one of our subsidiaries for at least 10 years and covered by the plan for at least five years. A pre-retirement death benefit is provided consisting of 10 annual payments, each of which equals 50% of final average compensation. Subject to applicable legal rules, the Board can, in its discretion, pay the participant or beneficiary in an actuarial equivalent lump sum or other form of benefit. In the event of a change in control (as defined in the plan) of us, a participant who retires after the change in control shall receive the same benefits as if he were retiring upon the attainment of normal retirement date.

The Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan was amended in September 2005 to provide that participants who thereafter engage in competition with us, either during their employment with or following their departure, forfeit their right to receive any vested benefits under the plan. Competition includes the misappropriation, sale, use or disclosure of our trade secrets, confidential or proprietary information and solicitation of our customers.

To reduce the costs of the plan to us, the plan was further amended in October 2007. Among other changes, this amendment (i) reduced the normal retirement date to the latest of age 62, the date on which the participant completes 10 years of service with us and the date on which the participant was covered, in combination, by the plan or FAC Management Supplemental Benefit Plan for five years; (ii) changed the period over which final average compensation is determined to the five calendar years preceding retirement; (iii) reduced the maximum benefit payable to a joint life and 50% survivor annuity benefit equal to 30% of final average compensation; (iv) eliminated any increased benefit for postponed retirement beyond the normal retirement date; and (v) provided for accelerated vesting only upon a change in control that is not approved by our incumbent Board. The benefit is reduced by 5.952% for each year prior to age 62 in which retirement actually occurs. Participants who were vested as of the effective date of the amendment, November 1, 2007, are entitled to receive the higher of the benefit as calculated under the amended plan and the benefit to which the participant would have been entitled had he retired on October 31, 2007.

In connection with the Separation, we transferred sponsorship and administration of a portion of the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan to FAFC. As part of this transfer, FAFC assumed the liabilities under the portion of the plan covering employees and former employees who were transferred to FAFC. Following the Separation, we remained responsible for the liabilities under the portion of the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan relating to our employees and former employees who were not transferred to FAFC. We maintain the CoreLogic, Inc. Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan as the successor to the original Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan in satisfaction of its liabilities to such employees who were participants and accrued benefits under the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan, but were not transferred to FAFC. The CoreLogic, Inc. Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan is intended to provide future benefits for our employees on and after June 1, 2010 and is intended to govern the benefits payable to such employees both before and after June 1, 2010.

Effective December 31, 2010, the CoreLogic, Inc. Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan was frozen and amended to, among other things: (i) close the plan to new participants; (ii) freeze the average pay calculation as of December 31, 2010 (compensation after December 31, 2010 will not be taken into consideration in calculating benefits); (iii) amend the amount and form of the pre-retirement death benefit to provide for payment to a participant s designated beneficiary in an amount equal to the survivor portion of a 50% joint and survivor annuity for the life of the

beneficiary, or if the participant s beneficiary is someone other than the participant s spouse or domestic partner, for a maximum of twenty years; and (iv) apply a proration factor to the benefit amount payable, the numerator of which is a participant s service at December 31, 2010 and the denominator of which is the participant s service that would have accrued as of his or her early retirement date if the participant was not early retirement eligible as of December 31, 2010.

In addition to the amendments described above, the change of control provisions were amended to provide that participants will become 100% vested in all plan benefits upon an involuntary separation from service without good cause following a change of control. Prior to the amendment, participants became 100% vested in all plan benefits upon a change of control, regardless of whether they incurred a separation of service for any reason. Furthermore, the retirement income benefit provided to participants and commencing upon a separation from service following a change of control on the same basis as though they had attained normal retirement age is limited to participants who experience an involuntary separation from service without good cause following a change of control.

As of December 31, 2018, there remained three active employees, including Mr. Sando, who participate in the plan. The plan is closed to new participants. As of December 31, 2018, Mr. Sando was eligible for early retirement but not normal retirement. The plan is unfunded and unsecured. We have previously purchased insurance, of which we are the owner and beneficiary, on the lives of certain plan participants. This insurance is designed to offset, over the life of the plan, a portion of our costs incurred with respect to the plan.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2018

As reflected in the following table, certain of our NEOs have elected to participate in our Deferred Compensation Plan.

	Executive Contributions in Last FY	Registrant Contributions in Last FY	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE
Name	(1)(\$)	(1)(\$)	(2)(\$)	(3)(\$)
Frank D. Martell	312,500	47,099	(141,084)	2,037,968
James L. Balas	183,750	20,591	(54,696)	663,865
Barry M. Sando	20,700	30,600	(81,033)	1,137,030

- (1) All contributions presented herein reflect amounts actually deferred in 2018, while amounts reported in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table under All Other Compensation reflect amounts earned for 2018.
- (2) Represents earnings or losses on participant-selected investment options. None of the amounts are reflected in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table because the return on deferred amounts is calculated in a similar manner and at a similar rate as earnings on externally managed mutual funds.
- (3) To the extent the executive officers were NEOs in prior years, the amounts reported in the aggregate balance at last fiscal year end that represented prior salary and non-equity incentive plan compensation deferrals or Company contributions were previously reported as compensation to the NEO in our Summary Compensation Table as Salary, Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation or All Other Compensation in previous years. Amounts reported in the aggregate balance at last fiscal year end that represent earnings in prior years on previously deferred amounts are not reflected on prior period Summary Compensation Tables.

The Deferred Compensation Plan offers to a select group of management and highly compensated employees the opportunity to elect to defer portions of their base salary, commissions and cash bonuses. We maintain a deferral

account for each participating employee on a fully vested basis for all employee deferrals. Participants can choose to have their cash benefits paid in one lump sum or in quarterly payments upon separation from service or death. Subject to the terms and conditions of the plan, participants may also elect scheduled and nonscheduled in-service withdrawals of compensation deferred prior to January 1, 2005, and the earnings and losses attributable thereto. Withdrawals of compensation deferred after December 31, 2004, and the earnings and losses attributable thereto, must be scheduled by the participant at the time the participant elects to defer such compensation.

Participants allocate their deferrals among a variety of investment crediting options offered under the plan. The investment crediting rates are based upon the rates of return available under certain separate accounts offered through variable insurance products.

For all participants who joined the Deferred Compensation Plan prior to December 31, 2001, the plan provides a pre-retirement life insurance benefit equal to the lesser of 15 times the amount deferred in the participant s first year of participation or \$2 million. The life insurance benefit is reduced beginning at age 61 by 20% per year. Participants who join the plan after December 31, 2001 are not eligible for this insurance benefit. We pay a portion of the cost of such life insurance benefits. The plan is unfunded and unsecured.

60

The Deferred Compensation Plan was amended in 2010 to provide for Company contributions to the plan in the form of 401(k) restoration contributions. The amount of our 401(k) restorations contributions made to participant accounts is determined based on the amount of discretionary matching contributions that would be made under the 401(k) Plan if the participants deferrals under the Deferred Compensation Plan were instead made under the 401(k) Plan, but without regard to the statutory limits that apply to the benefits that may be provided under the 401(k) Plan. There are currently no vesting limitations in the Deferred Compensation Plan.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

The following tables describe payments and other benefits that would be provided to certain of our NEOs under the specified circumstances upon a change in control of us or their termination, assuming a termination or change in control occurred on December 31, 2018. For further discussion, see *Compensation Discussion and Analysis Change in Control Agreements* above.

Trigger	Severance	Bonus A	Value of RSU acceleration (4)	Value of PBRSU	Deferred	Vested Pension (8Plan (11)	Benefit Continuation
Voluntary	Sever affec	Dulius 11	CCICI ALIOII A	Meici anong	препзанон ,		Communication
Resignation					2,037,968		
Termination For					-, ,		
Cause					2,037,968		
Termination Without					•		
Cause / For Good							
Reason	3,744,000 (2)	1,092,000 (4)	2,645,594		2,037,968		49,542 (9)
Change in Control with Termination							
Good Reason /							
Without Cause (1)	5,616,000 (3)	1,092,000 (5)		4,279,164	2,037,968		57,162 (10
Death		1,092,000	2,645,594	4,279,164	2,037,968		
Disability		1,092,000	2,645,594	4,279,164	2,037,968		
Voluntary							
Resignation					663,865		
Termination For					660.065		
Cause					663,865		
Termination Without		450,000 (4)	(72 (00		((2.0(5		24 270 (0)
Clause Gantael	900,000 (2)	450,000 (4)	673,680		663,865		24,370 (9)
Change in Control							
with Termination Good Reason /							
	1,800,000 (3)	450,000 (5)	672 680	1,420,049	663,865		39,308 (10
Without Cause (1) Death	1,800,000 (3)	450,000 (3)	673,680 673,680		663,865		39,308 (10
		450,000	673,680	1,420,049 1,420,049	663,865		
Disability		430,000	073,000	1,420,049	003,003		
Voluntary							
Resignation					1,137,030	5,372,831 (12)	
Termination For					1,101,000	3,312,031 (12)	
Cause					1,137,030	5,372,831 (12)	
Termination Without					1,137,030	3,372,031 (12)	
Cause	2,200,000 (2)	522,500 (4)	608,979		1,137,030	5,372,831 (12)	33,440 (9)
Change in Control	3,300,000 (3)	522,500 (5)		1,159,340	1,137,030	6,516,590 (12)(13)	
with Termination Good Reason /	0,000,011 (1)	5- - ,: 3 - (2)	000,2.2	1,100,1	1,201,022	0,6 10,6 1 ()(-)	, 2,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

	Without Cause (1)							
	Death		522,500	608,979	1,159,340	1,137,030	2,766,213 (12)(14)	
	Disability		522,500	608,979	1,159,340	1,137,030	5,372,831 (12)	
ton	Voluntary							
	Resignation							
	Termination For							
	Cause							
	Termination Without							
	Cause	765,000 (2)	340,000 (4)	312,009				24,771 (9)
	Change in Control							
	with Termination							
	Good Reason /							
	Without Cause (1)	1,530,000 (3)	340,000 (5)	312,009	592,403			39,308 (10
	Death		340,000	312,009	592,403			
	Disability		340,000	312,009	592,403			

62

- (1) In accordance with SEC rules, an excise tax calculation is not presented in this table as we do not provide a gross-up or tax reimbursement to our NEOs in connection with a change in control. Amounts payable in the event of a change in control may be subject to reduction under Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code.
- (2) Represents an amount equal to a multiple of annualized base salary in effect on the date employment terminates (the Severance Date) two times annualized base salary in the case of Messrs. Martell and Sando; one-time annualized base salary in the case of Messrs. Balas and Pinkston, plus (ii) the same multiple of target annual ICP amount in effect on the Severance Date (the Severance Benefit). The Severance Benefit will be payable in a lump sum equal to ${}^{7}/{}_{24}$ (${}^{7}/{}_{12}$ for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston) of the Severance Benefit on the seventh month after the Severance Date with an additional ${}^{1}/{}_{24}$ (${}^{1}/{}_{12}$ for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston) of the Severance Benefit paid each month until the month which is 24 months for Messrs. Martell and Sando (12 months for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston) after the Severance Date. Receipt of the benefit is contingent upon execution of a general release of claims and compliance with certain post-termination covenants, including a 24 month post-termination non-competition covenant for Messrs. Martell and Sando (12 months for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston), and confidentiality provisions in the employment agreement.
- (3) Represents a multiple of base salary in effect immediately prior to the date of termination by us (three times base salary for Messrs. Martell and Sando and two times base salary for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston) and a multiple of target annual cash bonus established for fiscal year (three times for Messrs. Martell and Sando; two times for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston). Receipt of the benefit is contingent upon execution of a general release of claims.
- (4) Represents the pro rata portion of annual cash bonus for fiscal year 2018; applicable agreements provide for the payment of the pro rata portion of the bonus amount that would have been paid if employment had not terminated during the fiscal year. Such payment is required to be paid within two and one half months following fiscal year end. Receipt of the benefit is contingent upon execution of a general release of claims and compliance with certain post termination covenants, including a 24 month post-termination non-competition covenant, and confidentiality provisions in the employment agreement for Messrs. Martell and Sando (12 months for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston).
- (5) Represents the pro rata portion of target annual cash bonus for the year of termination; applicable agreements provide for the payment of the target annual cash bonus established for fiscal year 2018. Receipt of the benefit is contingent upon execution of a general release of claims.
- (6) Represents the value after acceleration of outstanding unvested RSUs based on our closing stock price on December 31, 2018 of \$33.42. The outstanding unvested RSUs reported above will accelerate and vest on an involuntary termination without cause (whether before or after a change in control, although not all outstanding RSUs may vest on such a termination before a change in control), retirement, death or disability. For certain terminations of employment, the accelerated vesting is subject to execution of a general release of claims and complies with certain post-termination covenants, including a 24 month post-termination non-competition covenant, and confidentiality provisions in the employment agreement for Messrs. Martell and Sando (12 months for Messrs. Balas and Pinkston).

- (7) Represents the value after acceleration of all outstanding unvested PBRSUs based on our closing stock price on December 31, 2018 of \$33.42. All or a pro-rata portion of outstanding unvested PBRSUs will generally accelerate on death, disability or involuntary termination without cause following a change in control, subject to attainment of the performance measures for any termination prior to a change in control. We have assumed that the target number of PBRSUs would become vested in connection with each acceleration event, although the actual number of PBRSUs that could become vested could be higher or lower than the target number of PBRSUs, based on actual performance.
- (8) Refers to payments accrued under the Deferred Compensation Plan as of December 31, 2018 based on each executive officer s salary deferral election and 401(k) restoration contributions.
- (9) Represents the cost of continued health and welfare benefits for 24 months in the case of Messrs. Martell and Sando, or 12 months in the case of Messrs. Balas and Pinkston, after the date on which the termination occurs. These obligations are reduced by any welfare benefits made available to the executive officer from subsequent employers.
- (10) Represents the cost of continued health and welfare benefits for 36 months in the case of Messrs. Martell and Sando, or 24 months in the case of Messrs. Balas and Pinkston, after the date on which the termination occurs subject to the executive s continued payment of the same premium payment amount as immediately prior to termination. These obligations are reduced by any welfare benefits made available to the executive officer from subsequent employers.
- (11)Mr. Sando is eligible to receive current vested benefits amount under the Pension Restoration Plan and Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan.
- (12) Represents the present value of the benefit calculated under the Executive Supplemental Benefit using the following assumptions: interest rate at 4.15%, post-retirement mortality per PR-2006 Healthy Annuitants table with generational projection based on MP-2018 Order 2 Graduation Alternative Projection Scale and the present value of the benefit calculated under the Pension Restoration Plan using the following assumptions: interest rate of 4.23%, post-retirement mortality per RP-2014 Table for Healthy Annuitants with mortality projection starting in 2006 using the Fully Generational Scale MP-2018.
- (13) Upon an involuntary termination without cause after a change in control, Mr. Sando becomes 100% vested in the Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan in the amount Mr. Sando would have been entitled to receive in accordance with the provisions of the plans in effect on the date of the change of control.

(14) Represents pre-retirement death benefit payable to the executive officer s spouse.

63

PAY RATIO DISCLOSURE

Under Section 953(b) of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and Item 402(u) of Regulation S-K, the Company is required to provide the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Martell to the annual total compensation of the median employee of the Company. The Company believes that there have been no changes to our employee population or compensation arrangements that would result in a significant change to our pay ratio disclosure. Therefore, as permitted by Regulation S-K, we are using the same median employee as that was identified on December 31, 2017.

The median employee was a non-exempt, full-time employee located in the U.S. with an annual total compensation of 67,208 for 2018, calculated in accordance with the requirements of Item 402(c)(2)(x) of Regulation S-K, which includes base pay, overtime pay and the Company s matching contribution to that employee s 401(k) plan.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total compensation for our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Martell, was \$5,641,654 as reported in the Total column of the 2018 Summary Compensation Table. Based on this information, for 2018, the ratio of the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer to the median annual total compensation of all other employees was estimated to be 83.9 to 1.

We believe that our pay ratio disclosure presented above is a reasonable estimate. Because the SEC rules for identifying the median employee and calculating the pay ratio allow companies to use different methodologies, exemptions, estimates and assumptions, our pay ratio disclosure may not be comparable to the pay ratio reported by other companies.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Rules adopted by the SEC require our officers, as defined under the relevant SEC rules, and directors, and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of our issued and outstanding common stock, to file reports of their ownership, and changes in ownership, of our shares with the SEC on prescribed forms. Officers, directors and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial owners are required by the SEC s rules to furnish us with copies of all such forms they file with the SEC.

Based solely on the review of the copies of the forms received by us, or written representations from reporting persons that they were not required to file a Form 5 to report previously unreported ownership or changes in ownership, we believe that our officers, directors and greater-than-ten-percent beneficial owners timely complied with all such filing requirements during fiscal 2018.

64

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT VOTING

Why have I been sent a notice regarding the availability of proxy materials on the Internet?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice to most of our stockholders. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice.

What proposals will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

- 1. The election of the 11 persons named in this proxy statement to serve on the Board until the next annual meeting and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified;
- 2. The approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our NEOs;
- 3. The ratification of the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019; and
- 4. The transaction of such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

Our management and the Board are not aware of any other matters to be presented at the Annual Meeting other than those set forth in this proxy statement and in the notice accompanying this proxy statement, nor have we received notice of any matter by the deadline prescribed by Rule 14a-4(c)(1) promulgated under the Exchange Act. Without limiting our ability to apply the advance notice provisions in our Bylaws with respect to the procedures which must be followed for a matter to be properly presented at an annual meeting, if other matters should properly come before the Annual Meeting, the proxy holders will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Does our Board have any recommendations with respect to the listed proposals?

Our Board recommends you vote: (1) **FOR** the election of each of the 11 nominees for director; (2) **FOR** the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our NEOs; and (3) **FOR** the ratification of the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.

Who may attend the Annual Meeting?

Only our stockholders and their invited guests may attend the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record, you must bring proof of identification. If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, you will need to provide proof of ownership for example, a copy of a brokerage statement showing your share ownership and proof of identification. Additional documentation is required to vote your shares at the Annual Meeting if you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee. See *How can I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting*? below for more information.

Who is entitled to vote?

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 4, 2019, the record date, or those with a valid proxy from a broker, bank or other nominee that held our shares on the record date are entitled to vote on the matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

Who is a stockholder of record?

A stockholder of record is a person or entity whose name appears as an owner of one or more shares of our common stock on the records of our transfer agent as of its close of business on the record date.

65

How can I vote my shares in person at the Annual Meeting?

If you choose to do so, you can vote using the ballot provided at the Annual Meeting or, if you received a printed set of the proxy materials by mail, by submitting at the Annual Meeting the proxy card enclosed with the proxy materials you received. If you are a beneficial holder of shares, you may not vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you obtain a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares in person at the Annual Meeting using the ballot provided at the meeting. Please note that participants in our 401(k) Savings Plan (the 401(k) Plan) may not vote their plan shares in person at the Annual Meeting. See *How are my shares in the Company s* 401(k) Plan voted? below for more information.

Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, we recommend that you vote your shares in advance as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the Annual Meeting.

How many shares are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

As of the record date, 80,126,108 shares of our common stock were issued, outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of the Company s common stock, excluding treasury shares, is entitled to one vote on each of the 11 director nominees and on each other proposal to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

How many directors can I vote for?

Eleven. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders may vote for or against the election to our Board of each of the 11 nominees for director. Stockholders may also abstain from voting with respect to any of the 11 nominees for director.

Who are the director nominees?

The 11 director nominees are: J. David Chatham, Douglas C. Curling, John C. Dorman, Paul F. Folino, Frank D. Martell, Claudia Fan Munce, Thomas C. O Brien, Vikrant Raina, Jaynie Miller Studenmund, David F. Walker, and Mary Lee Widener.

What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?

Proposal 1 Election of Directors

Because the number of director nominees timely nominated for election at the Annual Meeting does not exceed the number of directors to be elected at the Annual Meeting, our Bylaws provide that each director nominee will be elected to the Board to serve until the next annual meeting and as soon thereafter as his or her successor is duly elected and qualified, if the nominee receives a majority of votes cast with respect to such director nominee s election. A majority of votes cast means that the number of votes for a director nominee must exceed the number of votes against that director nominee.

Proposal 2 Approval, on an Advisory Basis, of the Compensation of our NEOs

Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our NEOs requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter (meaning that of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, a majority of them must be voted for the proposal for it to be approved).

66

Proposal 3 Ratification of the Selection of PwC as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Fiscal Year Ending December 31, 2019

The selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019 will be ratified if the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares of common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter (meaning that of the shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, a majority of them must be voted for the proposal for it to be approved).

How do I vote?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote on matters that properly come before the Annual Meeting in one of four ways:

You may vote over the Internet.

You do this by following the instructions provided either in the Notice or on the proxy card accompanying this proxy statement if you received a printed set of the proxy materials. If you submit your proxy over the Internet, your shares will be voted as you instruct. You do not have to separately mail in your proxy card.

You may vote by mail.

If you received a printed set of the proxy materials, you do this by signing and dating the proxy card accompanying this proxy statement and mailing it in the enclosed, prepaid and addressed envelope within the required time. If you mark your voting instructions on the proxy card, your shares will be voted as you instruct.

You may vote by telephone.

You do this by following the instructions provided on the proxy card accompanying this proxy statement if you received a printed set of the proxy materials. If you submit your proxy by telephone, your shares will be voted as you instruct. You do not have to separately mail in your proxy card.

You may vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

You can vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting. If you choose to do so, you can vote using the ballot provided at the Annual Meeting, or, if you requested and received printed copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can complete, sign and date the proxy card enclosed with the proxy materials you received and submit it at the Annual Meeting.

If you hold your shares in street name, you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee that you must follow in order to instruct how your shares are to be voted at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in street name, you may also attend the Annual Meeting and vote your shares in person, provided that you request and receive, prior to the Annual Meeting, a legal proxy from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares at the Annual Meeting and present the legal proxy at the meeting prior to voting. If your shares are held through the 401(k) Plan, please see *How are my shares in the Company s 401(k) Plan voted?* below.

How are my shares in the Company s 401(k) Plan voted?

For those stockholders who hold shares pursuant to the 401(k) Plan, Fidelity Management Trust Company (Fidelity) acts as trustee for shares held in the 401(k) Plan. The governing documents of the 401(k) Plan require Fidelity, as trustee, to vote the shares as directed by the plan participants for whose benefit the shares are held. Fidelity will use an independent third party to tabulate the voting directions of all participants who provide such directions to Fidelity. Neither the tabulator nor Fidelity will provide the individual or aggregate participant voting directions to the Company, unless otherwise required by law. Shares for which no direction is received by Fidelity from the participants by April 25, 2019 at 5:00 p.m., Eastern time, will be voted in the same proportion as are the shares for which directions are received by that time.

67

How will my shares be voted if I do not provide specific voting instructions in the proxy I submit?

The named proxy holders, Frank D. Martell or Arnold A. Pinkston, will vote your shares in the manner recommended by our Board with respect to the three proposals included in this proxy statement and as such proxy holders may determine in accordance with their best judgment with respect to any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

You have the power to change or revoke your proxy at any time before the polls close at the Annual Meeting. Only your latest-dated proxy counts. You may do this by:

submitting an authorized proxy bearing a later date using one of the alternatives described above under *How do I vote?*;

if you are a stockholder of record, submitting written notice of your revocation to Arnold A. Pinkston, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary, at our mailing address on the cover page of this proxy statement; or

voting in person at the Annual Meeting, provided that if your shares are held in street name (in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee), you have obtained a legal proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee giving you the right to vote your shares in person at the Annual Meeting. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will not by itself constitute revocation of a proxy.

Who will count the votes?

A representative of Alliance Advisors, LLC will serve as inspector of elections and will tabulate the votes cast at the Annual Meeting and certify the results.

How can I obtain an additional proxy card?

If you lose, misplace or otherwise need to obtain a proxy card, and you are a stockholder of record, please contact our proxy solicitor, Alliance Advisors, toll-free at 1-855-325-6671. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held indirectly through a broker, bank or other nominee, please contact your account representative at that organization.

What constitutes a quorum?

A quorum refers to the number of shares that must be represented at a meeting in order to lawfully conduct business. Holders of a majority in voting power of all issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. Without a quorum, no business may be transacted at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes (as described below) are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum.

What is a broker non-vote and how is it treated?

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in street name by a broker and you do not submit voting instructions to your broker, your broker may vote your shares at the Annual Meeting only on routine matters (as defined by NYSE rules) on which it has discretion to vote. The NYSE currently considers only Proposal 3 the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019 to be a routine matter. The following proposals are considered non-routine matters under the NYSE rules:

the election to the Board of the 11 director nominees named in this proxy statement; and

the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs. Accordingly, if your shares are held in street name and your broker has not received voting instructions from you, your broker may exercise its discretion to vote your shares on the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm, but will not be permitted to vote your shares on any of the

68

other proposals at the Annual Meeting. If your broker exercises this discretion, your shares will be treated as present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting for purposes of establishing the presence or absence of a quorum and voted on the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC in the manner directed by the broker, but will constitute broker non-votes on each of the other proposals at the Annual Meeting. These broker non-votes will not be counted in determining the outcome of any of the other proposals.

How are abstentions treated?

For the election of directors, you may vote for, against, or abstain with respect to each director nominee. If you elect to abstain from the election of directors, the abstention will not have any effect on the election of directors. In determining the voting results for the election of directors, only for and against votes count.

For purposes of the proposals regarding the vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our NEOs, and the vote to ratify the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019, abstentions are treated as present and entitled to vote. Therefore, with respect to each of these proposals, abstentions have the effect of votes AGAINST the proposal.

Who is paying the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the notice of the annual meeting of stockholders, proxy statement and form of proxy, and the solicitation of the proxies?

We will pay the costs associated with the preparation, assembly and mailing of the Notice, proxy statement and form of proxy, as well as the cost of soliciting proxies relating to the annual meeting. We will also pay brokers, banks and other nominees for the reasonable expenses of forwarding solicitation materials to their customers who own shares of our common stock. In addition to this proxy statement, our directors, officers and other regular administrative employees may solicit proxies. None of them will receive any additional compensation for such solicitation. We may conduct further solicitations of stockholders by telephone, e-mail, through press releases issued by us, advertisements in periodicals or postings on our website at www.corelogic.com through our officers, directors and employees, none of whom will receive additional compensation for assisting with the solicitation. We have also retained Alliance Advisors to assist in the solicitation of proxies and related services, for a fee estimated to be approximately \$19,500 plus an amount to cover expenses. In addition, we have agreed to indemnify Alliance Advisors against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with the engagement.

How do I obtain a separate set of proxy materials if I share an address with other stockholders?

To reduce expenses, in some cases, we are delivering one set of proxy materials to certain stockholders who share an address, unless otherwise requested. Upon oral or written request, we will deliver promptly a separate copy of the proxy materials to a stockholder at a shared address to which a single copy of proxy materials was delivered. If you are a stockholder of record at a shared address to which we delivered a single copy of the proxy materials and you desire to receive a separate copy of the proxy materials, including our 2018 Annual Report, for the Annual Meeting or for our future meetings of stockholders, or if you are a stockholder at a shared address to which we delivered multiple copies of the proxy materials and you desire to receive one copy in the future, please submit your request to:

ALLIANCE ADVISORS, LLC

200 Broadacres Drive, 3rd Floor

Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Stockholders May Call Toll-Free: 855-325-6671

If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, please contact your broker, bank or other nominee directly if you have questions, require additional copies of the proxy materials, or wish to request single or multiple copies of the proxy materials in the future.

69

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

We will announce preliminary voting results as soon as possible after the Annual Meeting. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC within four business days after the Annual Meeting, we intend to file with the SEC a Current Report on Form 8-K to disclose preliminary voting results and, within four business days after the final results are known, we will file an amendment to that Form 8-K to disclose the final voting results.

Whom can I contact if I have questions or need assistance in voting my shares, or if I need additional copies of the proxy materials?

Please contact Alliance Advisors, the firm assisting the Board in the solicitation of proxies, at:

ALLIANCE ADVISORS, LLC

200 Broadacres Drive, 3rd Floor

Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Stockholders May Call Toll-Free: 855-325-6671

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Requirements for Director Nominations and Stockholder Proposals to be Brought Before an Annual Meeting. In order for a director nomination or a proposal by you or a fellow stockholder to be considered properly brought before an annual meeting, the stockholder must have given timely notice in writing to our Secretary. A stockholder s notice to our Secretary shall set forth certain information concerning the stockholder and each director nomination or proposal, as specified in Section 2.10 of our Bylaws, and must comply with the other requirements specified in Section 2.10 of our Bylaws. To be timely for the 2020 annual meeting of stockholders, the notice must be delivered to our Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices not later than the close of business on January 1, 2020 nor earlier than the close of business on January 31, 2020.

Requirements for Stockholder Proposals to be Considered for Inclusion in our Proxy Materials. Stockholders interested in submitting a proposal for inclusion in the proxy statement for our 2020 annual meeting of stockholders may do so by following the procedures prescribed in Rule 14a-8 under the Exchange Act. The proposal must be received by us at our principal executive offices not later than November 20, 2019 in order to be considered for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2020 annual meeting of stockholders.

70

GENERAL INFORMATION

We will, upon the written request of any stockholder on the record date for the Annual Meeting, furnish without charge a copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 and will furnish, at a charge of \$10, a copy of the exhibits thereto. Such request should contain a representation that the person requesting this material was a beneficial owner of our shares on the record date. Such request should be sent to the Chief Legal Officer at our address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement.

The Board is not aware of any matters to come before the Annual Meeting other than those set forth on the notice accompanying this proxy statement. If any other matters come before the Annual Meeting, the holders of the proxies will vote thereon in accordance with their best judgment.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Arnold A. Pinkston

Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary

Irvine, California

March 19, 2019

71

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

Corporate social responsibility is not just the right thing to do at CoreLogic, it s an essential enabler of bringing our vision of powering the global real estate economy to life, one household at a time.

Information is at the core of smart decision making. CoreLogic uses the power of information, technology and services to help businesses and consumers connect to improve lives and create a better world. This serves as the founding principle of our commitment to corporate social responsibility and is translated into action through our efforts to make a difference in the communities where we live and work. Our dedication to our communities includes global programs that draw on our people, products and resources to strengthen financial empowerment. We believe that access to education, financial literacy, and safe and affordable housing contribute to financial independence.

Investment in our communities. One of our CORE values is to make a meaningful difference in the communities where we live and work through engagement. We strive to support our communities through partnerships (including Operation HOPE, Marine Corps Scholarship Foundation, Habitat for Humanity, American Heart Association, and the Boys & Girls Clubs of America), programs and initiatives that are built-to-last. We encourage our employees to give back through volunteerism and we contribute through direct and in-kind giving, including providing our employees with up to 16 hours of paid time off annually for volunteer service.

Commitment to a positive, diverse and inclusive experience for all employees. We are committed to maximizing the potential of our employees, our communities and the value we create for our stockholders. Diversity and inclusion are integral contributors to our business and workplace culture. We believe that building a diverse and inclusive culture is critical to winning in the workplace, in the marketplace and in the community. We demonstrate this by:

Setting measurable goals that advance our vision of leveraging the leadership, collaboration and contributions of our talented workforce to deliver unique property insights that power the global real estate economy;

Deploying industry-leading talent acquisition, development and career growth programs that elevate high-potential diverse talent;

Acquiring a broad and varied candidate pool of top-tier talent through targeted alliances with outreach partners including organizations focused on ethnic diversity, women and military veterans;

Sponsoring employee-led networks that inspire personal and professional development and serve as conduits for diversity initiatives;

Encouraging and supporting mentoring opportunities to champion talent and broaden development opportunities for our workforce; and

Holding ourselves accountable for delivering on our goals through effective governance.

CoreLogic is an Equal Employment Opportunity employer. We are committed to providing a workplace environment free from discrimination and harassment. We advance this agenda through onboarding and training for all employees, consistent administration of related employment practices and policies, and alignment of expectations and communications to all vendors and supplier partners.

We are steadfast in our investment in bridging community and business goals to discover strategic solutions on a global stage and to continue to explore innovative ways to drive societal progress that strengthens our communities and influences positive and lasting change.

72

APPENDIX A UNAUDITED RECONCILIATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

This proxy statement contains certain non-GAAP financial measures, such as adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EPS and FCF, which are provided only as supplemental information. The Company uses these non-GAAP adjusted financial measures to evaluate the Company s operating and financial performance and for planning purposes, and as performance measures in its executive compensation program. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net income from continuing operations adjusted for interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, share-based compensation, non-operating gains/losses, and other adjustments. Adjusted EPS is defined as diluted income from continuing operations, net of tax per share, adjusted for share-based compensation, amortization of acquisition-related intangibles, non-operating gains/losses, and other adjustments; and assumes an effective tax rate of 26% and 35% for 2018 and 2017, respectively. FCF is defined as net cash provided by continuing operating activities less capital expenditures for purchases of property and equipment, capitalized data and other intangible assets. Investors should consider these non-GAAP financial measures only in conjunction with the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures. These non-GAAP measures are not in accordance with or a substitute for U.S. GAAP. Other firms may calculate non-GAAP measures differently than CoreLogic, which limits comparability between companies.

CORELOGIC, INC.

RECONCILIATION OF ADJUSTED EBITDA

UNAUDITED

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2018				
(in thousands)	PIRM	UWS	Corporate	Elim	CoreLogic
Net income/(loss) from continuing					
operations	\$ 102,725	\$ 238,424	\$ (218,698)	\$	\$ 122,451
Income taxes			46,187		46,187
Depreciation and amortization	103,343	65,381	23,272		191,996
Interest expense, net	735	305	72,934		73,974
Share-based compensation	5,421	7,885	23,890		37,196
Impairment loss		7,721			7,721
Non-operating gains	(17,220)		(2,483)		(19,703)
Efficiency investments	2,143	1,058	17,802		21,003
Transaction costs	6,559		4,792		11,351
Amortization of acquired intangibles					
included in equity in earnings of affiliates	909				909
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 204,615	\$ 320,774	\$ (32,304)	\$	\$ 493,085

A-1

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2017				
(in thousands)	PIRM	UWS	Corporate	Elim	CoreLogic
Net income/(loss) from continuing					
operations	\$ 86,988	\$ 222,928	\$ (160,382)	\$	\$ 149,534
Income taxes			17,438		17,438
Depreciation and amortization	99,558	57,397	20,851		177,806
Interest expense, net	1,721	944	59,159		61,824
Share-based compensation	5,952	5,990	23,925		35,867
Non-operating losses	12,341	9,606	6,568		28,515
Efficiency investments		2,220	1,604		3,824
Transaction costs		779	3,747		4,526
Amortization of acquired intangibles					
included in equity in losses of affiliates	625	204			829
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 207,185	\$ 300,068	\$ (27,090)	\$	\$ 480,163
CORELOGIC, INC.					

RECONCILIATION OF ADJUSTED EPS

UNAUDITED

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
(diluted income per share)	2018	2017	
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 1.49	\$ 1.75	
Share-based compensation	0.45	0.42	
Non-operating (gains)/losses	(0.24)	0.33	
Efficiency investments	0.26	0.04	
Impairment loss	0.09		
Transaction costs	0.14	0.05	
Depreciation and amortization of acquired software and intangibles	0.93	0.82	
Amortization of acquired intangibles included in equity in			
earnings/(losses) of affiliates	0.01	0.01	
Income tax effect on adjustments	(0.41)	(1.05)	
Adjusted EPS	\$ 2.72	\$ 2.37	

A-2

CORELOGIC, INC.

RECONCILIATION OF FREE CASH FLOW

UNAUDITED

	For the	e Year Ended
(in thousands)	December 31, 2018	
Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations	\$	355,118
Purchases of property and equipment		(62,304)
Purchases of capitalized data and other intangible assets		(35,075)
Free cash flow	\$	257,739
	For the Year Ended	
(in thousands)	December 31, 2017	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations	\$	379,930
Purchases of property and equipment		(40,508)
Purchases of capitalized data and other intangible assets		(34,990)
Free cash flow	\$	304,432

From San Diego: Take 1-5 north, transition to 1-405 north. Exit Irvine Center Dr., keep to the left at the fork in the ramp. Turn left from the center lane on Enterprise, then turn right onto Irvine Center Dr. Take the first left onto Pacifica. Take the first left at the driveway between the two buildings.

From Los Angeles: Take 1-5 south, exit at Alton Pkwy., keeping to the right, to take the ramp at Alton; slight right onto Enterprise. Turn left onto Alton Pkwy. Turn left onto Irvine Center Dr., then take the second right onto Pacifica. 40 Pacifica is on the left.

From Riverside: Take 91 west, transition to 55 south towards Newport Beach. Merge onto 1-5 south towards San Diego. Take the exit at Alton Pkwy., keeping to the right, to take the ramp at Alton; slight right onto Enterprise. Turn left onto Alton Pkwy. Turn left onto Irvine Center Dr., then take the second right onto Pacifica. 40 Pacifica is on the left.

When you arrive, take a ticket and proceed into the parking garage. CoreLogic visitors parking is to the right.

40 Pacifica, Ste. 900

Irvine, CA 92618

corelogic.com

NYSE: CLGX

29-PROXY2019-0319-00 © 2019 CoreLogic, Inc. All rights reserved.

ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS April 30, 2019, 2:00 p.m. Pacific Time

This proxy is solicited by CoreLogic s Board of Directors.

The undersigned stockholder(s) of CoreLogic, Inc. hereby revoke(s) all previously granted proxies and appoint(s) Frank D. Martell and Arnold A. Pinkston, and each of them, as proxies for the undersigned, with power to act without the other and with power to each of substitution, and hereby authorize(s) them to attend the annual meeting of the stockholders of said corporation to be held April 30, 2019, at 2:00 p.m. Pacific Time, at the executive offices of CoreLogic, Inc., 40 Pacifica, Irvine, California 92618, and any postponements or adjournments thereof, and to vote all of the shares of common stock of CoreLogic, Inc. that the undersigned is/are entitled to vote at such meeting as indicated on the reverse side hereof, with all powers that the undersigned would have if acting in person, and with discretionary authority to act on such other matters as may properly come before said meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

THE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK REPRESENTED HEREBY SHALL BE VOTED SPECIFICALLY ON THE PROPOSALS LISTED ON THE REVERSE SIDE HEREOF AS THERE SPECIFIED. WHERE NO SPECIFICATION IS MADE, SAID SHARES OF COMMON STOCK SHALL BE VOTED FOR EACH OF THE DIRECTOR NOMINEES NAMED IN PROPOSAL 1 AND FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3.

YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT PLEASE VOTE TODAY

Continued and to be signed and dated on reverse side

PLEASE DETACH ALONG PERFORATED LINE AND MAIL IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual

Meeting of Stockholders to be held April 30, 2019.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and our 2018 Annual Report

to Stockholders are available at: http://www.viewproxy.com/CoreLogic/2019

Please mark TO VOTE BY MAIL AS THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS ON EACH OF THE ITEMS BELOW, SIMPLY SIGN, DATE, AND RETURN THIS PROXY CARD. The your votes Board of Directors Recommends a Vote FOR each of the Nominees in Proposal 1 and FOR Proposals 2 and 3. like this 1. Election of directors: **FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN** 01 J. David Chatham 02 Douglas C. Curling 03 John C. Dorman 04 Paul F. Folino 05 Frank D. Martell 06 Claudia Fan Munce 07 Thomas C. O Brien 08 Vikrant Raina 09 Jaynie Miller Studenmund 10 David F. Walker 11 Mary Lee Widener 2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s named executive officers. **FOR AGAINST ABSTAIN** 3. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019. **FOR ABSTAIN AGAINST** In their discretion, the proxies are authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof. I plan on attending the meeting Please sign exactly as your name(s) appears on this proxy card. If held in joint tenancy, all persons should sign. Trustees, administrators, etc. should include title and authority. Corporations should provide full name of corporation and title of authorized officer signing this proxy card.

Signature (if held jointly)

Date:

Signature

PLEASE DETACH ALONG PERFORATED LINE AND MAIL IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED.

Vote by Internet, Telephone or Mail

24 Hours a Day, 7 Days a Week

PROXY VOTING INSTRUCTIONS

Please have your 11 digit control number ready when voting by Internet or Telephone.

Internet and telephone voting is available through 11:59 P.M. Eastern Daylight Time on April 29, 2019.

<u>INTERNET</u> Vote Your Proxy on the Internet:

TELEPHONE Vote Your Proxy by Phone:

MAIL Vote Your Proxy by Mail:

Go to www.AALvote.com/CLGX

Have your proxy card available when you access the above website. Follow the prompts to vote your shares.

Call 1 (866) 804-9616

Use any touch-tone telephone to vote your proxy. Have your proxy card available when you call. Follow the voting instructions to vote your shares.

Mark, sign, and date your proxy card, then detach it, and return it in the postage-paid envelope provided.

If you vote your proxy by Internet or by Telephone, you do NOT need to mail back your proxy card.