

DENBURY RESOURCES INC
Form 10-Q
May 06, 2016

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q
(Mark One)

☒ Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016
OR

☐ Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-12935
DENBURY RESOURCES INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 20-0467835
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5320 Legacy Drive,
Plano, TX 75024
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (972)
673-2000

Not applicable
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: DENBURY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes
o No ☒

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at April 30, 2016
Common Stock, \$.001 par value	350,593,956

Denbury Resources Inc.

Table of Contents

	Page
 <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	<u>37</u>
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>39</u>
 <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>40</u>
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	<u>42</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>43</u>

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Denbury Resources Inc.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except par value and share data)

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
Assets		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$8,252	\$2,812
Accrued production receivable	95,934	100,413
Trade and other receivables, net	87,228	87,924
Derivative assets	72,798	142,846
Other current assets	8,763	10,005
Total current assets	272,975	344,000
Property and equipment		
Oil and natural gas properties (using full cost accounting)		
Proved properties	10,296,792	10,245,195
Unevaluated properties	902,990	894,948
CO ₂ properties	1,186,607	1,187,458
Pipelines and plants	2,293,102	2,293,219
Other property and equipment	405,039	408,194
Less accumulated depletion, depreciation, amortization and impairment	(9,982,733)	(9,653,205)
Net property and equipment	5,101,797	5,375,809
Other assets	163,775	166,555
Total assets	\$5,538,547	\$5,886,364
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$186,715	\$253,197
Oil and gas production payable	79,765	87,337
Derivative liabilities	25,005	—
Current maturities of long-term debt	32,917	32,481
Total current liabilities	324,402	373,015
Long-term liabilities		
Long-term debt, net of current portion	3,222,497	3,245,114
Asset retirement obligations	142,101	138,919
Deferred tax liabilities, net	742,148	837,263
Other liabilities	26,121	27,484
Total long-term liabilities	4,132,867	4,248,780
Commitments and contingencies (Note 7)		
Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$.001 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.001 par value, 600,000,000 shares authorized; 354,340,533 and 354,541,626 shares issued, respectively	354	355
Paid-in capital in excess of par	2,356,069	2,353,134
Accumulated deficit	(1,228,039)	(1,042,882)

Edgar Filing: DENBURY RESOURCES INC - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, at cost, 3,734,768 and 3,124,311 shares, respectively	(47,106)	(46,038)
Total stockholders' equity	1,081,278	1,264,569
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$5,538,547	\$5,886,364

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Revenues and other income		
Oil, natural gas, and related product sales	\$ 187,803	\$ 297,470
CO ₂ sales and transportation fees	6,272	6,972
Interest income and other income	769	3,207
Total revenues and other income	194,844	307,649
Expenses		
Lease operating expenses	102,447	141,084
Marketing and plant operating expenses	13,194	11,685
CO ₂ discovery and operating expenses	607	947
Taxes other than income	20,092	26,679
General and administrative expenses	33,901	46,280
Interest, net of amounts capitalized of \$5,780 and \$8,409, respectively	42,171	40,099
Depletion, depreciation, and amortization	77,366	149,958
Commodity derivatives expense (income)	22,826	(83,076)
Gain on debt extinguishment	(94,991)	—
Write-down of oil and natural gas properties	256,000	146,200
Other expenses	1,544	—
Total expenses	475,157	479,856
Loss before income taxes	(280,313)	(172,207)
Income tax benefit	(95,120)	(64,461)
Net loss	\$(185,193)	\$(107,746)
Net loss per common share		
Basic	\$(0.53)	\$(0.31)
Diluted	\$(0.53)	\$(0.31)
Dividends declared per common share	\$—	\$0.0625
Weighted average common shares outstanding		
Basic	347,235	350,688
Diluted	347,235	350,688

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Operations

(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Net loss	\$(185,193)	\$(107,746)
Other comprehensive income, net of income tax:		
Interest rate lock derivative contracts reclassified to income, net of tax of \$0 and \$11, respectively	—	17
Total other comprehensive income	—	17
Comprehensive loss	\$(185,193)	\$(107,729)

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net loss	\$(185,193)	\$(107,746)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash flows from operating activities		
Depletion, depreciation, and amortization	77,366	149,958
Write-down of oil and natural gas properties	256,000	146,200
Deferred income taxes	(95,115)	(66,036)
Stock-based compensation	859	7,849
Commodity derivatives expense (income)	22,826	(83,076)
Receipt on settlements of commodity derivatives	72,227	148,465
Gain on debt extinguishment	(94,991)	—
Amortization of debt issuance costs and discounts	3,306	2,221
Other, net	(416)	(2,359)
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions		
Accrued production receivable	4,479	33,636
Trade and other receivables	812	16,828
Other current and long-term assets	1,437	(6,136)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(53,548)	(83,248)
Oil and natural gas production payable	(7,572)	(17,716)
Other liabilities	(448)	(1,076)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,029	137,764
Cash flows from investing activities		
Oil and natural gas capital expenditures	(65,692)	(162,192)
CO ₂ capital expenditures	(315)	(14,855)
Pipelines and plants capital expenditures	(635)	(12,455)
Other	(312)	(3,076)
Net cash used in investing activities	(66,954)	(192,578)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Bank repayments	(696,000)	(595,000)
Bank borrowings	831,000	665,000
Repurchases of senior subordinated notes	(55,521)	—
Cash dividends paid	(387)	(22,068)
Other	(8,727)	(10,250)
Net cash provided by financing activities	70,365	37,682
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	5,440	(17,132)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,812	23,153
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$8,252	\$6,021

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

Organization and Nature of Operations

Denbury Resources Inc., a Delaware corporation, is an independent oil and natural gas company with operations focused in two key operating areas: the Gulf Coast and Rocky Mountain regions. Our goal is to increase the value of our properties through a combination of exploitation, drilling and proven engineering extraction practices, with the most significant emphasis relating to CO₂ enhanced oil recovery operations.

Interim Financial Statements

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Denbury Resources Inc. and its subsidiaries have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. These financial statements and the notes thereto should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (the "Form 10-K"). Unless indicated otherwise or the context requires, the terms "we," "our," "us," "Company" or "Denbury," refer to Denbury Resources Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Accounting measurements at interim dates inherently involve greater reliance on estimates than at year end, and the results of operations for the interim periods shown in this report are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year. In management's opinion, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair statement of our consolidated financial position as of March 31, 2016, our consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, and our consolidated cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Reclassifications

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. On the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, beginning "Other current assets," "Deferred tax liabilities, net," "Paid-in capital in excess of par" and "Accumulated deficit" have been adjusted for changes related to (1) the accounting for excess tax benefits and forfeitures associated with share-based payment transactions, (2) debt issuance costs associated with our senior subordinated notes have been reclassified from "Other assets" to "Long-term debt, net of current portion" and (3) deferred tax assets have been reclassified from "Deferred tax assets, net" to "Deferred tax liabilities, net." Such reclassifications were made as a result of our adoption of new accounting pronouncements described in Recent Accounting Pronouncements – Recently Adopted below and had no impact on our previously reported net income or cash flows.

Net Loss per Common Share

Basic net loss per common share is computed by dividing the net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted net loss per common share is calculated in the same manner, but includes the impact of potentially dilutive securities. Potentially dilutive securities consist of stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), nonvested restricted stock and nonvested performance-based equity awards. For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, there were no adjustments

to net loss for purposes of calculating basic and diluted net loss per common share.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The following is a reconciliation of the weighted average shares used in the basic and diluted net loss per common share calculations for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands	2016	2015
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	347,235	350,688
Potentially dilutive securities		
Restricted stock, stock options, SARs and performance-based equity awards	—	—
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	347,235	350,688

Basic weighted average common shares exclude shares of nonvested restricted stock. As these restricted shares vest, they will be included in the shares outstanding used to calculate basic net loss per common share (although time-vesting restricted stock is issued and outstanding upon grant).

The following securities could potentially dilute earnings per share in the future, but were excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share, as their effect would have been antidilutive:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands	2016	2015
Stock options and SARs	7,412	10,507
Restricted stock and performance-based equity awards	5,097	2,948

Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties

The net capitalized costs of oil and natural gas properties are limited to the lower of unamortized cost or the cost center ceiling. The cost center ceiling is defined as (1) the present value of estimated future net revenues from proved oil and natural gas reserves before future abandonment costs (discounted at 10%), based on the average first-day-of-the-month oil and natural gas price for each month during a 12-month rolling period prior to the end of a particular reporting period; plus (2) the cost of properties not being amortized; plus (3) the lower of cost or estimated fair value of unproved properties included in the costs being amortized, if any; less (4) related income tax effects. Our future net revenues from proved oil and natural gas reserves are not reduced for development costs related to the cost of drilling for and developing CO₂ reserves nor those related to the cost of constructing CO₂ pipelines, as those costs have previously been incurred by the Company. Therefore, we include in the ceiling test, as a reduction of future net revenues, that portion of our capitalized CO₂ costs related to CO₂ reserves and CO₂ pipelines that we estimate will be consumed in the process of producing our proved oil and natural gas reserves. The fair value of our oil and natural gas derivative contracts is not included in the ceiling test, as we do not designate these contracts as hedge instruments for accounting purposes. The cost center ceiling test is prepared quarterly.

As a result of the precipitous and continuing decline in NYMEX oil prices since the fourth quarter of 2014, the rolling first-day-of-the-month average oil price for the preceding 12 months, after adjustments for market differentials by field, has fallen throughout 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, from \$79.55 per Bbl for the first quarter of 2015 to \$44.03 per Bbl for the first quarter of 2016. In addition, the first-day-of-the-month average natural gas price for the preceding 12 months, after adjustments for market differentials by field, was \$3.95 per Mcf for the first quarter of

2015 and \$2.22 per Mcf for the first quarter of 2016. These falling prices have led to our recognizing full cost pool ceiling test write-downs of \$256.0 million and \$146.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted

Stock Compensation. In March 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting (“ASU 2016-09”). ASU 2016-09

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

simplifies the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and early adoption is permitted. The standard contains various amendments, each requiring a specific method of adoption, and designates whether each amendment should be adopted using a retrospective, modified retrospective, or prospective transition method. Effective January 1, 2016, we adopted ASU 2016-09. The amendments within ASU 2016-09 related to the timing of when excess tax benefits are recognized and accounting for forfeitures were adopted using a modified retrospective method. In accordance with this method, we recorded a cumulative-effect adjustment in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015, relating to the timing of recognition of excess tax benefits, representing a \$15.7 million reduction to beginning "Accumulated deficit" with the offset to "Deferred tax liabilities, net" (\$14.8 million) and "Other current assets" (\$0.8 million). We also recorded a cumulative-effect adjustment in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015, to reflect actual forfeitures versus the previously-estimated forfeiture rate, representing a \$0.4 million reduction to beginning "Accumulated deficit" with the offset to "Paid-in capital in excess of par." The amendments within ASU 2016-09 related to the recognition of excess tax benefits and tax shortfalls in the income statement and presentation of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows were adopted prospectively, with no adjustments made to prior periods.

Income Taxes. In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Income Taxes ("ASU 2015-17"). ASU 2015-17 simplifies the presentation of deferred income taxes and requires deferred tax assets and liabilities to be classified as noncurrent in the balance sheet. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and early adoption is permitted. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively to each period presented or prospectively. Effective January 1, 2016, we adopted ASU 2015-17, which has been applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. Accordingly, current deferred tax assets of \$1.5 million have been reclassified from "Deferred tax assets, net" to "Deferred tax liabilities, net" in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-17 did not have an impact on our consolidated results of operations or cash flows.

Debt Issuance Costs. In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Interest – Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-03"). ASU 2015-03 requires debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability to be presented as a direct reduction of the carrying amount of that debt in the balance sheet, consistent with the presentation of debt discounts. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Entities are required to apply the guidance on a retrospective basis to each period presented as a change in accounting principle. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Interest – Imputation of Interest: Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-15") which amends ASU 2015-03 to clarify the presentation and subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs associated with line of credit arrangements, such that entities may continue to apply current practice. Effective January 1, 2016, we adopted ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-15, which have been applied retrospectively for all comparative periods presented. Accordingly, debt issuance costs associated with our senior subordinated notes of \$32.8 million have been reclassified from "Other assets" to "Long-term debt, net of current portion" in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-03 and ASU 2015-15 did not have an impact on our consolidated results of operations or cash flows.

Not Yet Adopted

Leases. In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (“ASU 2016-02”). ASU 2016-02 amends the guidance for lease accounting to require lease assets and liabilities to be recognized on the balance sheet, along with additional disclosures regarding key leasing arrangements. The amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and early adoption is permitted. Entities must adopt the standard using a modified retrospective transition and apply the guidance to the earliest comparative period presented, with certain practical expedients that entities may elect to apply. Management is currently assessing the impact the adoption of ASU 2016-02 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Revenue Recognition. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2014-09”). ASU 2014-09 amends the guidance for revenue recognition to replace numerous, industry-specific requirements. The core principle of the ASU is that an entity should recognize revenue for the transfer of goods or services equal to the amount that it expects to be entitled to receive for those goods or services. The ASU implements a five-step process for customer contract revenue recognition that focuses on transfer of control, as opposed to transfer of risk and rewards. The amendment also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenues and cash flows arising from contracts with

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

customers. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2015-14”) which amends ASU 2014-09 and delays the effective date for public companies, such that the amendments in the ASU are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and early adoption will be permitted for periods beginning after December 15, 2016. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (“ASU 2016-08”) which clarifies the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. Entities can transition to the standard either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption. Management is currently assessing the impact the adoption of ASU 2014-09, ASU 2015-14 and ASU 2016-08 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Long-Term Debt

The following long-term debt and capital lease obligations were outstanding as of the dates indicated:

	March 31,	December 31,
In thousands	2016	2015
Senior Secured Bank Credit Agreement	\$310,000	\$175,000
6 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2021	396,000	400,000
5½% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2022	1,207,745	1,250,000
4 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2023	1,094,000	1,200,000
Other Subordinated Notes, including premium of \$6 and \$7, respectively	2,256	2,257
Pipeline financings	209,399	211,766
Capital lease obligations	65,817	71,324
Total	3,285,217	3,310,347
Issuance costs on senior subordinated notes	(29,803)	(32,752)
Total, net of debt issuance costs on senior subordinated notes	3,255,414	3,277,595
Less: current obligations	(32,917)	(32,481)
Long-term debt and capital lease obligations	\$3,222,497	\$3,245,114

The ultimate parent company in our corporate structure, Denbury Resources Inc. (“DRI”), is the sole issuer of all of our outstanding senior subordinated notes. DRI has no independent assets or operations. Each of the subsidiary guarantors of such notes is 100% owned, directly or indirectly, by DRI, and the guarantees of the notes are full and unconditional and joint and several; any subsidiaries of DRI that are not subsidiary guarantors of such notes are minor subsidiaries.

Senior Secured Bank Credit Facility

In December 2014, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent, and other lenders party thereto (the “Bank Credit Agreement”). The Bank Credit Agreement is a senior secured revolving credit facility with a maturity date of December 9, 2019. As of April 18, 2016, in connection with our May 2016 borrowing base redetermination requirement, we have reduced our borrowing base and lender commitments to \$1.05 billion, with the next such redetermination scheduled for November 2016.

In order to provide more flexibility in managing our balance sheet, the credit extended by our lenders, and continuing compliance with maintenance financial covenants in this low oil price environment, we have entered into three amendments to the Bank Credit Agreement between May 2015 and April 2016 that have modified the Bank Credit Agreement as follows:

for 2016 and 2017, the maximum permitted ratio of consolidated total net debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant has been suspended and replaced by a maximum permitted ratio of consolidated senior secured debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant of 3.0 to 1.0 (currently, only debt under our Bank Credit Agreement is considered consolidated senior secured debt for purposes of this ratio);

for 2016 and 2017, a new covenant has been added to require a minimum permitted ratio of consolidated EBITDAX to consolidated interest charges of 1.25 to 1.0;

beginning in the first quarter of 2018, the ratio of consolidated total net debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant will be reinstated, utilizing an annualized EBITDAX amount for the first quarter of 2018 and building to a trailing four quarters

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

by the end of 2018, with the maximum permitted ratios being 6.0 to 1.0 for the first quarter ending March 31, 2018, 5.5 to 1.0 for the second quarter ending June 30, 2018, and 5.0 to 1.0 for the third and fourth quarters ending September 30 and December 31, 2018, and returning to 4.25 to 1.0 for the first quarter ending March 31, 2019; allows for the incurrence of up to \$1.0 billion of junior lien debt (subject to customary requirements); limits unrestricted cash and cash equivalents to \$225 million if more than \$250 million of borrowings are outstanding under the Bank Credit Agreement; and limits the amount spent on repurchases of our senior subordinated notes to \$225 million.

Additionally, such amendments provide for the following changes to the Bank Credit Agreement: (1) increases the applicable margin for ABR Loans and LIBOR Loans by 75 basis points such that the margin for ABR Loans now ranges from 1% to 2% per annum and the margin for LIBOR Loans now ranges from 2% to 3% per annum, (2) increases the commitment fee rate to 0.50%, and (3) provides for semi-annual scheduled redeterminations of the borrowing base in May and November of each year. As of March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all debt covenants under the Bank Credit Agreement. The weighted average interest rate on borrowings outstanding as of March 31, 2016, under the Bank Credit Agreement was 2.4%.

The above description of our Bank Credit Agreement financial covenants and the changes provided for within the three amendments are qualified by the express language and defined terms contained in the Bank Credit Agreement, the First Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated May 4, 2015, the Second Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated February 17, 2016, and the Third Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated April 18, 2016, each of which are filed as exhibits to our periodic reports filed with the SEC.

2016 Repurchases of Senior Subordinated Notes

During February and March 2016, we repurchased a total of \$4.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2021 (the “2021 Notes”), \$42.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5½% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2022 (the “2022 Notes”), and \$106.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 4 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2023 (the “2023 Notes”) in open-market transactions for a total purchase price of \$55.5 million, excluding accrued interest. In connection with these transactions, we recognized a \$95.0 million gain on extinguishment, net of unamortized debt issuance costs written off. As of May 4, 2016, an additional \$169.5 million may be spent on senior subordinated notes repurchases under the Bank Credit Agreement.

Note 3. Income Taxes

We evaluate our estimated annual effective income tax rate based on current and forecasted business results and enacted tax laws on a quarterly basis and apply this tax rate to our ordinary income or loss to calculate our estimated tax liability or benefit. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$34.5 million of deferred tax assets associated with State of Louisiana net operating losses. As the result of a new tax law enacted in the State of Louisiana effective June 30, 2015, which limits a company’s utilization of certain deductions, including our net operating loss carryforwards, we recognized tax valuation allowances totaling \$33.6 million during 2015 and an additional \$0.9 million during the first quarter of 2016 to reduce the carrying value of our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowances will remain until the realization of future deferred tax benefits are more likely than not to become utilized.

As of March 31, 2016, we had an unrecognized tax benefit of \$5.4 million related to an uncertain tax position. The unrecognized tax benefit was recorded during the fourth quarter of 2015 as a direct reduction of the associated deferred tax asset and, if recognized, would not materially affect our annual effective tax rate. The tax benefit from an

uncertain tax position will only be recognized if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, based upon the technical merits of the position. We currently do not expect a material change to the uncertain tax position within the next 12 months. Our policy is to recognize penalties and interest related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense; however, no such amounts were accrued related to the uncertain tax position as of March 31, 2016.

Note 4. Stockholders' Equity

Dividends

During the first three quarters of 2015, the Company's Board of Directors declared quarterly cash dividends of \$0.0625 per common share, with dividends totaling \$22.1 million paid to stockholders during the three months ended March 31, 2015. In

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

September 2015, in light of the continuing low oil price environment and our desire to maintain our financial strength and flexibility, the Company's Board of Directors suspended our quarterly cash dividend.

Note 5. Commodity Derivative Contracts

We do not apply hedge accounting treatment to our oil and natural gas derivative contracts; therefore, the changes in the fair values of these instruments are recognized in income in the period of change. These fair value changes, along with the settlements of expired contracts, are shown under "Commodity derivatives expense (income)" in our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Historically, we have entered into various oil and natural gas derivative contracts to provide an economic hedge of our exposure to commodity price risk associated with anticipated future oil and natural gas production and to provide more certainty to our future cash flows. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Generally, these contracts have consisted of various combinations of price floors, collars, three-way collars, fixed-price swaps and fixed-price swaps enhanced with a sold put. The production that we hedge has varied from year to year depending on our levels of debt, financial strength and expectation of future commodity prices.

We manage and control market and counterparty credit risk through established internal control procedures that are reviewed on an ongoing basis. We attempt to minimize credit risk exposure to counterparties through formal credit policies, monitoring procedures and diversification, and all of our commodity derivative contracts are with parties that are lenders under our Bank Credit Agreement (or affiliates of such lenders). As of March 31, 2016, all of our outstanding derivative contracts were subject to enforceable master netting arrangements whereby payables on those contracts can be offset against receivables from separate derivative contracts with the same counterparty. It is our policy to classify derivative assets and liabilities on a gross basis on our balance sheets, even if the contracts are subject to enforceable master netting arrangements.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table summarizes our commodity derivative contracts as of March 31, 2016, none of which are classified as hedging instruments in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board Codification ("FASC") Derivatives and Hedging topic:

Months	Index Price	Volume (Barrels per day)	Contract Prices (\$/Bbl)				
			Range ⁽¹⁾	Weighted Average Price			
				Swap	Sold Put	Floor	Ceiling
Oil Contracts:							
2016 Enhanced Swaps ⁽²⁾							
Apr – June	NYMEX	2,000	\$90.35–90.35	\$90.35	\$68.00	\$—	\$—
Apr – June	LLS	6,000	93.30–93.50	93.38	70.00	—	—
2016 Fixed-Price Swaps							
Apr – June	NYMEX	11,500	\$60.30–63.75	\$61.84	\$—	\$—	\$—
Apr – June	LLS	3,500	64.20–66.15	64.99	—	—	—
July – Sept	NYMEX	16,500	36.25–40.65	38.24	—	—	—
July – Sept	LLS	7,000	37.24–42.15	39.61	—	—	—
Oct – Dec	NYMEX	23,000	36.25–40.00	37.97	—	—	—
Oct – Dec	LLS	7,000	37.24–41.00	39.16	—	—	—
2016 Three-Way Collars ⁽³⁾							
Apr – June	NYMEX	2,000	\$85.00–95.50	\$—	\$68.00	\$85.00	\$95.50
Apr – June	LLS	2,000	88.00–98.25	—	70.00	88.00	98.25
2016 Collars							
Apr – June	NYMEX	5,000	\$55.00–72.25	\$—	\$—	\$55.00	\$71.01
Apr – June	LLS	2,000	58.00–73.00	—	—	58.00	73.00
July – Sept	NYMEX	4,500	55.00–72.65	—	—	55.00	71.22
July – Sept	LLS	3,000	58.00–74.30	—	—	58.00	73.85
2017 Fixed-Price Swaps							
Jan – Mar	NYMEX	20,000	\$41.15–44.35	\$42.39	\$—	\$—	\$—
Jan – Mar	LLS	9,000	42.35–45.60	43.51	—	—	—

Ranges presented for fixed-price swaps and enhanced swaps represent the lowest and highest fixed prices of all (1) open contracts for the period presented. For collars and three-way collars, ranges represent the lowest floor price and highest ceiling price for all open contracts for the period presented.

An enhanced swap is a fixed-price swap contract combined with a sold put feature (at a lower price) with the same counterparty. The value associated with the sold put is used to increase or enhance the fixed price of the swap. At the contract settlement date, (1) if the index price is higher than the swap price, we pay the counterparty the (2) difference between the index price and swap price for the contracted volumes, (2) if the index price is lower than the swap price but at or above the sold put price, the counterparty pays us the difference between the index price and the swap price for the contracted volumes and (3) if the index price is lower than the sold put price, the counterparty pays us the difference between the swap price and the sold put price for the contracted volumes.

(3) A three-way collar is a costless collar contract combined with a sold put feature (at a lower price) with the same counterparty. The value received for the sold put is used to enhance the contracted floor and ceiling price of the related collar. At the contract settlement date, (1) if the index price is higher than the ceiling price, we pay the counterparty the difference between the index price and ceiling price for the contracted volumes, (2) if the index price is between the floor and ceiling price, no settlements occur, (3) if the index price is lower than the floor price

but at or above the sold put price, the counterparty pays us the difference between the index price and the floor price for the contracted volumes and (4) if the index price is lower than the sold put price, the counterparty pays us the difference between the floor price and the sold put price for the contracted volumes.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 6. Fair Value Measurements

The FASC Fair Value Measurement topic defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (often referred to as the “exit price”). We utilize market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable. We primarily apply the income approach for recurring fair value measurements and endeavor to utilize the best available information. Accordingly, we utilize valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. We are able to classify fair value balances based on the observability of those inputs. The FASC establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurement). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 – Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.

Level 2 – Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in Level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. Instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded oil derivatives that are based on NYMEX pricing and fixed-price swaps that are based on regional pricing other than NYMEX (e.g., Light Louisiana Sweet). The fixed-price swap features of our enhanced swaps are valued using a discounted cash flow model based upon forward commodity price curves. Our costless collars and the sold put features of our enhanced oil swaps and three-way collars are valued using the Black-Scholes model, an industry standard option valuation model that takes into account inputs such as contractual prices for the underlying instruments, maturity, quoted forward prices for commodities, interest rates, volatility factors and credit worthiness, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument, can be derived from observable data or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace.

Level 3 – Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management’s best estimate of fair value. At March 31, 2016, instruments in this category include non-exchange-traded enhanced swaps, costless collars and three-way collars that are based on regional pricing other than NYMEX (e.g., Light Louisiana Sweet). The valuation models utilized for enhanced swaps, costless collars and three-way collars are consistent with the methodologies described above; however, the implied volatilities utilized in the valuation of Level 3 instruments are developed using a benchmark, which is considered a significant unobservable input. An increase or decrease of 100 basis points in the implied volatility inputs utilized in our fair value measurement would result in a change of approximately \$14 thousand in the fair value of these instruments as of March 31, 2016.

We adjust the valuations from the valuation model for nonperformance risk, using our estimate of the counterparty’s credit quality for asset positions and our credit quality for liability positions. We use multiple sources of third-party credit data in determining counterparty nonperformance risk, including credit default swaps.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table sets forth, by level within the fair value hierarchy, our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of the periods indicated:

In thousands	Fair Value Measurements Using:			Total
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
March 31, 2016				
Assets				
Oil derivative contracts – current	\$— \$ 49,758	\$ 23,040		\$72,798
Total Assets	\$— \$ 49,758	\$ 23,040		\$72,798
Liabilities				
Oil derivative contracts – current	\$— \$ 25,005	\$ —		\$25,005
Total Liabilities	\$— \$ 25,005	\$ —		\$25,005
December 31, 2015				
Assets				
Oil derivative contracts – current	\$— \$ 90,012	\$ 52,834		\$142,846
Total Assets	\$— \$ 90,012	\$ 52,834		\$142,846

Since we do not apply hedge accounting for our commodity derivative contracts, any gains and losses on our assets and liabilities are included in “Commodity derivatives expense (income)” in the accompanying Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following table summarizes the changes in the fair value of our Level 3 assets and liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015:

In thousands	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Fair value of Level 3 instruments, beginning of period	\$52,834	\$188,446
Fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives	281	25,085
Payment (receipts) on settlements of commodity derivatives	(30,075)	(48,516)
Fair value of Level 3 instruments, end of period	\$23,040	\$165,015

The amount of total gains for the period included in earnings attributable to the change in unrealized gains relating to assets still held at the reporting date	\$ 133	\$23,099
--	--------	----------

We utilize an income approach to value our Level 3 enhanced swaps, costless collars and three-way collars. We obtain and ensure the appropriateness of the significant inputs to the calculation, including contractual prices for the underlying instruments, maturity, forward prices for commodities, interest rates, volatility factors and credit

worthiness, and the fair value estimate is prepared and reviewed on a quarterly basis. The following table details fair value inputs related to implied volatilities utilized in the valuation of our Level 3 oil derivative contracts:

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

	Fair Value at 3/31/2016 (in thousands)	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Volatility Range
Oil derivative contracts	\$ 23,040	Discounted cash flow / Black-Scholes	Volatility of Light Louisiana Sweet for settlement periods beginning after March 31, 2016	26.9% – 38.0%

Other Fair Value Measurements

The carrying value of our loans under our Bank Credit Agreement approximate fair value, as they are subject to short-term floating interest rates that approximate the rates available to us for those periods. We use a market approach to determine fair value of our fixed-rate long-term debt using observable market data. The fair values of our senior subordinated notes are based on quoted market prices. The estimated fair value of our debt as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, excluding pipeline financing and capital lease obligations, was \$1,487.4 million and \$1,119.0 million, respectively. We have other financial instruments consisting primarily of cash, cash equivalents, short-term receivables and payables that approximate fair value due to the nature of the instrument and the relatively short maturities.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved in various lawsuits, claims and regulatory proceedings incidental to our businesses. We are also subject to audits for various taxes (income, sales and use, and severance) in the various states in which we operate, and from time to time receive assessments for potential taxes that we may owe. While we currently believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Although a single or multiple adverse rulings or settlements could possibly have a material adverse effect on our finances, we only accrue for losses from litigation and claims if we determine that a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

NGS Sub Corp., Evolution, et al v. Denbury Onshore, LLC

In March 2015, Evolution Petroleum Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, “Evolution”), the parent of the entity which sold Denbury Onshore, LLC (“Denbury Onshore”), a subsidiary of Denbury Resources Inc. (“DRI” and together with Denbury Onshore, “Denbury”), its original interest in Delhi Field, filed an amended petition in a lawsuit which has been pending in the 133rd Judicial District Court in Houston, Harris County, Texas since December 2013. Originally, that lawsuit involved ongoing disputes between Denbury and Evolution regarding the terms of the purchase documents under which Denbury Onshore bought its original Delhi Field interest, including disputes regarding allocation of costs in determining “payout” as defined in the agreements, and the extent and terms of assignment of reversionary interests in the unit back to Evolution following payout, along with related contractual terms. The amended petition added allegations of negligence and gross negligence against Denbury in connection with the June 2013 Delhi Field release of well fluids, and for the first time Evolution estimated its damages attributable to its allegations in the case as exceeding \$200 million. The amended petition also added a claim for unspecified punitive damages. In Denbury’s answer and counterclaim, we have denied Evolution’s claims, alleged breach of contract by Evolution for failing to convey the full interest for which we paid and for violating our preferential purchase rights,

and asked for a declaratory judgment as to various purchase document terms, including those pertaining to the determination of payout, the assignment of provisions of the documents, and cost sharing. Denbury has also filed a Motion for Summary Judgment seeking dismissal of Evolution's tort claims for negligence and gross negligence.

Discovery is ongoing in the case, and the case is currently set for trial in July 2016. We believe that Evolution's claims and requests for damages in this matter are without merit and we intend to vigorously pursue our requested relief under the purchase documents.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Note 8. Additional Balance Sheet Details

Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

	March	December
	31,	31,
In thousands	2016	2015
Accrued interest	\$44,201	\$48,908
Accounts payable	32,688	30,477
Accrued lease operating expenses	30,169	37,549
Taxes payable	17,717	32,438
Accrued compensation	14,403	46,780
Accrued exploration and development costs	13,500	20,892
Other	34,037	36,153
Total	\$186,715	\$253,197

Note 9. Subsequent Event

During the first week of May 2016, we entered into privately negotiated exchange agreements to exchange \$922.5 million in aggregate principal amount of our outstanding 2021 Notes, 2022 Notes and 2023 Notes for \$531.2 million in aggregate principal amount of new 9% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2021 and 36.9 million shares of Denbury common stock. The transactions are currently expected to close May 10, 2016, subject to customary closing conditions.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included herein and our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 (the "Form 10-K"), along with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in the Form 10-K. Any terms used but not defined herein have the same meaning given to them in the Form 10-K. Our discussion and analysis includes forward-looking information that involves risks and uncertainties and should be read in conjunction with Risk Factors under Item 1A of Part II of this report, along with Forward-Looking Information at the end of this section for information on the risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to be materially different than our forward-looking statements.

OVERVIEW

Denbury is an independent oil and natural gas company with operations focused in two key operating areas: the Gulf Coast and Rocky Mountain regions. Our goal is to increase the value of our properties through a combination of exploitation, drilling and proven engineering extraction practices, with the most significant emphasis relating to CO₂ enhanced oil recovery operations.

Oil Price Decline and Impact on Our Business. Oil prices generally constitute the single largest variable in our operating results. Oil prices have historically been volatile, with NYMEX prices ranging from \$35 to \$111 per Bbl over the last three calendar years, and prices have declined dramatically since the fourth quarter of 2014 to less than \$27 per Bbl in January 2016, the lowest level in over 13 years. The following charts illustrate the fluctuations in our realized oil and natural gas prices, excluding the impact of commodity derivative settlements, during 2014, 2015 and the first quarter of 2016.

Average realized prices	Three Months Ended									
	3/31/14	6/30/14	9/30/14	12/31/14	3/31/15	6/30/15	9/30/15	12/31/15	3/31/16	
Oil price per Bbl	\$97.69	\$100.04	\$94.78	\$ 70.80	\$46.02	\$56.92	\$45.74	\$ 40.41	\$ 30.71	
Natural gas price per Mcf	4.71	4.39	3.55	3.54	2.54	2.44	2.40	2.00	1.70	

As oil prices continued to decline in the first quarter of 2016 from already depressed levels, our focus remained on cost reductions and preserving liquidity. We continue to take steps to reduce our costs in all categories of our business, and we have made significant progress in that regard as demonstrated in our first quarter results discussed below. We have set our capital development budget (excluding capitalized interest) at \$200 million for 2016, which we currently anticipate will primarily be funded with cash flow from operations, thus preserving our liquidity. One advantage we have in this environment is that our oil assets have relatively low decline rates, and therefore we anticipate that our production will decline by less than 10% in 2016, assuming the mid-point of our production guidance, even with our significantly reduced planned capital spending level. This decline rate is even lower if we exclude wells that we anticipate will be shut in for economic reasons. Lastly, we have hedged

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

approximately half of our estimated production through the second quarter of 2017 in order to cover our current level of cash costs and to help mitigate any future price declines or sustained low oil prices.

During this period of reduced capital spending, we have continued to evaluate our assets with a goal of increasing the value of both existing assets and future projects by optimizing field operational and development plans, reducing CO₂ injection volumes due to increased efficiency, and reducing costs such as power and workovers. Over the past year, we have reduced our CO₂ injection volumes by 35% and our lease operating expenses by 27% when comparing the first quarters of 2015 and 2016. These initiatives aim to increase the profitability of our assets, making them more resilient to lower oil prices. Together, we believe these initiatives will help us manage through this low oil price environment and provide us with liquidity for the foreseeable future.

As more fully discussed under Capital Resources and Liquidity below, our banks recently completed their borrowing base redetermination under our senior secured bank credit facility, which resulted in a reduction in the banks' commitment level under our facility from \$1.5 billion to \$1.05 billion. In addition, we entered into an amendment to our senior secured bank credit facility terms in February 2016 to relax certain of our financial covenants in 2016 and 2017, and we entered into another amendment in April 2016, which allows for up to \$1.0 billion in junior lien debt capacity. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$310.0 million drawn on our senior secured bank credit facility, leaving us with \$680.7 million in availability under our bank line after adjusting for the recently revised commitment level. Borrowings under our senior secured bank credit facility increased from \$175.0 million at December 31, 2015, due in part to \$55.5 million utilized to purchase \$152.3 million in aggregate principal amount of senior subordinated notes in open-market transactions, resulting in a net reduction of \$96.7 million in our long-term debt (see Capital Resources and Liquidity – 2016 Repurchases of Senior Subordinated Notes below). The remaining portion of the increase in our senior secured bank credit facility balance from December 31, 2015 is primarily due to seasonal working capital outflows in the first quarter of 2016 associated with accrued compensation, ad valorem taxes and semi-annual interest payments on our senior subordinated notes.

Recent Debt Reduction Transactions. During February and March 2016, we reduced our outstanding long-term indebtedness through open-market purchases, and in May 2016 we entered into exchange agreements with a limited number of holders of our outstanding senior subordinated notes to exchange these notes for the Company's 9% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2021. The transactions are currently expected to close May 10, 2016, subject to customary closing conditions. See Capital Resources and Liquidity – 2016 Repurchases of Senior Subordinated Notes and 2016 Senior Subordinated Notes Exchange Agreements for further discussion.

Operating Highlights. Our financial results continue to be impacted by the decrease in realized oil prices as highlighted above, which decreased from an average of \$46.02 per Bbl in the first quarter of 2015 to \$30.71 in the first quarter of 2016. During the first quarter of 2016, we recognized a net loss of \$185.2 million, or \$0.53 per diluted common share, compared to a net loss of \$107.7 million, or \$0.31 per diluted common share, during the first quarter of 2015. The change in net loss between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 was primarily due to the increase in the size of our full cost pool ceiling test write-down of our oil and natural gas properties, which totaled \$256.0 million (\$160.5 million net of tax) in the 2016 quarter (see Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties below), partially offset by a \$95.0 million gain on debt extinguishment in the first quarter of 2016. Other significant changes between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 were a \$109.7 million (37%) decline in our oil and natural gas revenues between the periods, which was primarily oil-price related, and a \$105.9 million decrease in commodity derivatives income, offset in part by a \$72.6 million (48%) decrease in depletion, depreciation, and amortization, a \$38.6 million (27%) reduction in lease operating expense, a \$12.4 million (27%) decrease in general and administrative expenses, and a \$6.6 million (25%) decrease in taxes other than income. The \$105.9 million decrease in commodity derivatives

income between the two periods was due to a \$29.7 million increased loss associated with noncash fair value commodity adjustments and \$76.2 million less in receipts from settlements of derivative contracts during the 2016 period.

We generated \$2.0 million of cash flows from operating activities in the first quarter of 2016, compared to \$164.9 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$137.8 million in the prior-year first quarter. These results include cash outflows for seasonal working capital changes of \$54.8 million and \$57.7 million during the three months ending March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, compared to cash inflows for working capital changes of \$35.6 million during the three months ending December 31, 2015. The decrease in cash flows from operations between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 was due primarily to lower oil prices, which caused a decrease in oil revenues, and approximately 51% lower receipts on derivative settlements, partially offset by reductions in lease operating expenses, general and administrative expenses, and taxes other than income.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

During the first quarter of 2016, our oil and natural gas production, which was 95% oil, averaged 69,351 BOE/d, compared to an average of 74,356 BOE/d produced during the first quarter of 2015 and 72,002 BOE/d during the fourth quarter of 2015. The year-over-year and sequential quarterly declines were primarily due to natural production declines based on our lower capital spending level, coupled with production shut in due to economics, as oil prices declined further during the quarter. We estimate that approximately 2,800 BOE/d of production was shut in as of March 31, 2016 attributable to uneconomic wells, resulting in a decrease to sequential quarterly production of approximately 1,100 BOE/d. We estimate that approximately one-half of the production currently shut in is profitable at \$50 per Bbl, approximately two-thirds at \$60 per Bbl, with the remainder requiring an oil price in excess of \$60 per Bbl in order to be economic. See Results of Operations – Production for further discussion.

Our average realized oil price per barrel, excluding the impact of commodity derivative contracts, was \$30.71 per Bbl during the first quarter of 2016, a decrease of 33% compared to \$46.02 per Bbl realized during the first quarter of 2015 and a decrease of 24% compared to \$40.41 per Bbl realized during the fourth quarter of 2015. The oil price we realized relative to NYMEX oil prices (our NYMEX oil price differential) was \$3.02 per Bbl below NYMEX prices in the first quarter of 2016, compared to a negative \$2.81 per-Bbl NYMEX differential in the first quarter of 2015 and a negative \$1.74 per-Bbl NYMEX differential in the fourth quarter of 2015. The weakening in our oil price differential in comparison to its level in the first quarter of 2015 was principally due to weakening of our Light Louisiana Sweet (“LLS”) premium relative to NYMEX oil prices.

One of our primary focuses in the past few years has been to reduce costs throughout the organization, through a number of internal initiatives. As a result of these efforts, we have been able to make continued reductions in our lease operating expenses, and in the first quarter of 2016 these expenses were \$16.23 per BOE, a 16% decrease on a sequential-quarter basis and a 23% decrease when compared to per-BOE levels in the first quarter of 2015. In addition, our recurring lease operating expenses per BOE decreased in each sequential quarter in 2014 and 2015 and decreased a total of 38% between fourth-quarter 2013 levels and those in the first quarter of 2016, with decreases realized in most categories of lease operating expenses, the most significant of which were workover costs, CO₂ costs, power costs, and certain third-party contractor and vendor costs.

Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties. Due to a continued decline in the rolling first-day-of-the-month average oil and natural gas price for the preceding 12-month periods in 2015 and 2016, we recognized full cost pool ceiling test write-downs of \$256.0 million and \$146.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively. See Note 1, Basis of Presentation – Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties, to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and Results of Operations – Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties for additional information regarding the ceiling test.

Impact of Commodity Price Decline on Proved Oil and Natural Gas Reserves Quantities. Declines in commodity prices may materially impact estimated quantities of proved reserves, as certain reserves may reach the point at which they become uneconomic to produce earlier than they would otherwise. The SEC requires proved reserves to be determined based on average first-day-of-the-month oil and natural gas prices for the trailing 12-month period. Using these prices, our total proved reserves at December 31, 2015, were 288.6 MMBOE, of which 98% was oil and 2% was natural gas. During 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, the average first-day-of-the-month NYMEX oil price used in estimating our proved reserves declined from \$50.28 per Bbl at December 31, 2015, to \$46.26 per Bbl at March 31, 2016, and for natural gas declined from \$2.63 per MMBtu at December 31, 2015, to \$2.45 per MMBtu at March 31, 2016. Although we had no significant change in our reserve quantities during the first quarter of 2016, based on current NYMEX futures prices, during 2016, we currently expect negative price revisions of less than 10% of our 2015 year-end proved reserves. The actual reserve revisions could occur for various reasons, including differences in

actual commodity prices from commodity futures prices and changes in oil and natural gas price differentials, forecasted production rates, forecasted operating and capital costs, and changes in development plans, all of which are key assumptions in estimating proved oil and natural gas reserves.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Overview. Our primary sources of capital and liquidity are our cash flows from operations and availability of borrowing capacity under our senior secured bank credit facility. Outstanding borrowings under our senior secured bank credit facility were \$310.0 million as of March 31, 2016, compared to \$175.0 million as of December 31, 2015. The \$135.0 million increase in borrowings includes \$55.5 million utilized to repurchase \$152.3 million in aggregate principal amount of senior subordinated notes in open-market transactions, with the remainder primarily due to seasonal working capital outflows in the first quarter of 2016 associated with accrued compensation, ad valorem taxes and semi-annual interest payments on our senior subordinated notes. As a result of the significant reduction in oil prices discussed above and less advantageous hedge positions, our cash flow from

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

operations has significantly decreased, from \$137.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$164.9 million during the three months ended December 31, 2015, to \$2.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

The culmination of these factors places a significant priority on the preservation of cash and liquidity until oil prices improve. We have taken and will continue to take steps to lower our costs in all categories of our business, and we have made significant progress in that regard. We also amended our Bank Credit Agreement in the first quarter of 2016 to relax certain bank covenants through 2017 to address potential covenant compliance issues if oil prices remain at levels comparable to the first quarter of 2016. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$310.0 million drawn on our senior secured bank credit facility, leaving us \$680.7 million of current liquidity after consideration of \$59.3 million of outstanding letters of credit and adjusting for the recently revised lender commitment level of \$1.05 billion. This liquidity, coupled with our other cost saving and liquidity measures, should be sufficient to supplement our capital or operating cash outflows as needed until oil prices improve, which we believe will be in the next twelve to eighteen months. Based upon our current forecasted levels of production and costs, hedges in place as of May 2, 2016, and current oil commodity futures prices, we currently anticipate continuing to be in compliance with our bank covenants during 2016 and 2017.

To protect our liquidity, we have entered into additional oil swaps for the second half of 2016 and the first half of 2017, such that we now have approximately half of our estimated oil production hedged through the first half of 2017. While these prices are not sufficient to provide enough cash flow to grow our production, they do at least cover our most recent total cash costs which were in a per-barrel range in the low \$30's in the first quarter of 2016, including corporate overhead and interest, thereby minimizing the amount that would be required for day-to-day operations from our bank line.

Senior Secured Bank Credit Facility. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$310.0 million of debt outstanding (based on a \$1.05 billion commitment level from our banks) and \$59.3 million in letters of credit on the senior secured bank credit facility. In order to provide more flexibility in managing our balance sheet, the credit extended by our lenders, and continuing compliance with maintenance financial covenants in this low oil price environment, we have entered into three amendments to the Bank Credit Agreement between May 2015 and April 2016 that have modified the Bank Credit Agreement as follows:

• for 2016 and 2017, the maximum permitted ratio of consolidated total net debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant has been suspended and replaced by a maximum permitted ratio of consolidated senior secured debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant of 3.0 to 1.0 (currently, only debt under our Bank Credit Agreement is considered consolidated senior secured debt for purposes of this ratio);

• for 2016 and 2017, a new covenant has been added to require a minimum permitted ratio of consolidated EBITDAX to consolidated interest charges of 1.25 to 1.0;

• beginning in the first quarter of 2018, the ratio of consolidated total net debt to consolidated EBITDAX covenant will be reinstated, utilizing an annualized EBITDAX amount for the first quarter of 2018 and building to a trailing four quarters by the end of 2018, with the maximum permitted ratios being 6.0 to 1.0 for the first quarter ending March 31, 2018, 5.5 to 1.0 for the second quarter ending June 30, 2018, and 5.0 to 1.0 for the third and fourth quarters ending September 30 and December 31, 2018, and returning to 4.25 to 1.0 for the first quarter ending March 31, 2019;

• allows for the incurrence of up to \$1.0 billion of junior lien debt (subject to customary requirements);

• limits unrestricted cash and cash equivalents to \$225 million if more than \$250 million of borrowings are outstanding under the Bank Credit Agreement; and

• limits the amount spent on repurchases of our senior subordinated notes to \$225 million.

For these financial performance covenant calculations as of March 31, 2016, our ratio of consolidated senior secured debt to consolidated EBITDAX was 0.36 to 1.0, our ratio of consolidated EBITDAX to consolidated interest charges was 4.71 to 1.0, and our current ratio was 4.99. Based upon our current forecasted levels of production and costs, hedges in place as of May 2, 2016, and current oil commodity futures prices, we currently anticipate continuing to be in compliance with our bank covenants during 2016 and 2017.

The above description of our Bank Credit Agreement financial covenants and the changes provided for within the three amendments are qualified by the express language and defined terms contained in the Bank Credit Agreement, the First Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated May 4, 2015, the Second Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated February 17, 2016 (the “Second Amendment”), and the Third Amendment to the Bank Credit Agreement dated April 18, 2016 (the “Third Amendment”), each of which are filed as exhibits to our periodic reports filed with the SEC.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

2016 Repurchases of Senior Subordinated Notes. During the first quarter of 2016, we repurchased a total of \$152.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our existing senior subordinated notes in open-market transactions, consisting of \$4.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 6 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2021 (the "2021 Notes"), \$42.3 million in aggregate principal amount of our 5½% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes"), and \$106.0 million in aggregate principal amount of our 4 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2023 (the "2023 Notes") for a total purchase price of \$55.5 million, excluding accrued interest. The repurchases were made at prices ranging from approximately 25% to 45% of the principal amount of the individual senior subordinated notes. In connection with these transactions, we recognized a \$95.0 million gain on extinguishment, net of unamortized debt issuance costs written off. We currently estimate saving approximately \$6 million in annual cash interest related to these repurchases, which takes into account the reduction in debt and the lower interest rate on our bank credit facility as compared to the senior subordinated notes. The Second Amendment limits the amount of these open-market repurchases of our senior subordinated notes to \$225 million, so as of May 4, 2016, after taking these repurchases into account, we have an additional \$169.5 million remaining to spend on senior subordinated notes repurchases.

2016 Senior Subordinated Notes Exchange Agreements. During the first week of May 2016, we entered into privately negotiated exchange agreements to exchange \$126.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 2021 Notes, \$351.6 million in aggregate principal amount of our 2022 Notes, and \$444.2 million in aggregate principal amount of our 2023 Notes for \$531.2 million in aggregate principal amount of new 9% Senior Secured Second Lien Notes due 2021 plus 36.9 million shares of Denbury common stock. The transactions are currently expected to close May 10, 2016, subject to customary closing conditions. We expect to realize a minimal reduction in cash interest related to these transactions. Our Bank Credit Agreement allows for the incurrence of up to \$1.0 billion of junior lien debt, so after taking these exchanges into account, we have an additional \$468.8 million of junior lien debt capacity that remains available to us. Combined with the repurchases of senior subordinated notes in open-market transactions during February and March 2016, these transactions will result in a net reduction of the Company's debt of approximately \$488 million.

Capital Spending. We anticipate that our full-year 2016 capital budget, excluding capitalized interest and acquisitions, will be approximately \$200 million, which includes approximately \$55 million in capitalized internal acquisition, exploration and development costs and pre-production tertiary startup costs. This combined 2016 capital budget amount, excluding capitalized interest and acquisitions, is comprised of the following:

- \$100 million allocated for tertiary oil field expenditures;
- \$35 million allocated for other areas, primarily non-tertiary oil field expenditures;
 - \$10 million to be spent on CO₂ sources and pipeline construction;
 - and
 - \$55 million for other capital items such as capitalized internal acquisition, exploration and development costs and pre-production tertiary startup costs.

Based upon our currently forecasted levels of production and costs, commodity hedges in place, and current oil commodity futures prices, we intend to fund our development capital spending primarily with cash flow from operations, with any potential shortfall funded with incremental borrowings under our senior secured bank credit facility, and as of March 31, 2016, we had ample availability on our senior secured bank credit facility to cover any foreseeable cash flow shortfall. If prices were to decrease further or changes in operating results were to cause us to have a reduction in anticipated 2016 cash flows below our currently forecasted operating cash flows, we could potentially make minor additional reductions in our capital expenditures, as further reductions in our capital spending are limited to some degree by existing prior commitments and capitalized items. If we further reduce our capital

spending due to lower cash flows, any sizeable reduction could further lower our anticipated production levels in future years.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Capital Expenditure Summary. The following table reflects incurred capital expenditures (including accrued capital) for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015:

In thousands	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Capital expenditures by project		
Tertiary oil fields	\$31,964	\$42,900
Non-tertiary fields	5,873	30,984
Capitalized internal costs ⁽¹⁾	14,473	18,412
Oil and natural gas capital expenditures	52,310	92,296
CO ₂ pipelines	—	779
CO ₂ sources ⁽²⁾	—	9,852
Other	8	(238)
Capital expenditures, before acquisitions and capitalized interest	52,318	102,689
Acquisitions of oil and natural gas properties	224	261
Capital expenditures, before capitalized interest	52,542	102,950
Capitalized interest	5,780	8,409
Capital expenditures, total	\$58,322	\$111,359

(1) Includes capitalized internal acquisition, exploration and development costs and pre-production tertiary startup costs.

(2) Includes capital expenditures related to the Riley Ridge gas processing facility.

For the three months ended March 31, 2016, our capital expenditures and property acquisitions were funded primarily with borrowings on our senior secured bank credit facility as our cash flow was used primarily to cover other working capital changes. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, our capital expenditures and property acquisitions were fully funded with cash flows from operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. Our off-balance sheet arrangements include operating leases for office space and various obligations for development and exploratory expenditures that arise from our normal capital expenditure program or from other transactions common to our industry, none of which are recorded on our balance sheet. In addition, in order to recover our undeveloped proved reserves, we must also fund the associated future development costs estimated in our proved reserve reports.

Our commitments and obligations consist of those detailed as of December 31, 2015, in our Form 10-K under Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Capital Resources and Liquidity – Commitments and Obligations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our tertiary operations represent a significant portion of our overall operations and are our primary long-term strategic focus. The economics of a tertiary field and the related impact on our financial statements differ from a conventional oil and gas play, and we have outlined certain of these differences in our Form 10-K and other public disclosures. Our focus on these types of operations impacts certain trends in both current and long-term operating results. Please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Financial Overview of

Tertiary Operations in our Form 10-K for further information regarding these matters.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Operating Results Table

Certain of our operating results and statistics for the comparative three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are included in the following table:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
In thousands, except per-share and unit data		
Operating results		
Net income (loss) ⁽¹⁾	\$(185,193)	\$(107,746)
Net income (loss) per common share – basic ⁽¹⁾	(0.53)	(0.31)
Net income (loss) per common share – diluted ⁽¹⁾	(0.53)	(0.31)
Dividends declared per common share	—	0.0625
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,029	137,764
Average daily production volumes		
Bbls/d	66,139	70,564
Mcf/d	19,270	22,752
BOE/d ⁽²⁾	69,351	74,356
Operating revenues		
Oil sales	\$184,816	\$292,270
Natural gas sales	2,987	5,200
Total oil and natural gas sales	\$187,803	\$297,470
Commodity derivative contracts ⁽³⁾		
Receipt on settlements of commodity derivatives	\$72,227	\$148,465
Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives ⁽⁴⁾	(95,053)	(65,389)
Commodity derivatives income (expense)	\$(22,826)	\$83,076
Unit prices – excluding impact of derivative settlements		
Oil price per Bbl	\$30.71	\$46.02
Natural gas price per Mcf	1.70	2.54
Unit prices – including impact of derivative settlements ⁽³⁾		
Oil price per Bbl	\$42.71	\$69.28
Natural gas price per Mcf	1.70	2.91
Oil and natural gas operating expenses		
Lease operating expenses	\$102,447	\$141,084
Marketing expenses, net of third-party purchases, and plant operating expenses	11,592	9,843
Production and ad valorem taxes	17,178	22,899
Oil and natural gas operating revenues and expenses per BOE		
Oil and natural gas revenues	\$29.76	\$44.45
Lease operating expenses	16.23	21.08
Marketing expenses, net of third-party purchases, and plant operating expenses	1.84	1.47
Production and ad valorem taxes	2.72	3.42
CO ₂ sources – revenues and expenses		
CO ₂ sales and transportation fees	\$6,272	\$6,972
CO ₂ discovery and operating expenses	(607)	(947)
CO ₂ revenue and expenses, net	\$5,665	\$6,025

(1)

Includes full cost pool ceiling test write-downs of \$256.0 million and \$146.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

(2) Barrel of oil equivalent using the ratio of one barrel of oil to six Mcf of natural gas ("BOE").

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

- (3) See also Commodity Derivative Contracts below and Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk for information concerning our derivative transactions.
- Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives is a non-GAAP measure and is different from "Commodity derivatives expense (income)" in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations in that the noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives represent only the net change between periods of the fair market values of commodity derivative positions, and exclude the impact of settlements on commodity derivatives during the period, which were receipts on settlements of \$72.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to receipts on settlements of \$148.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.
- (4) We believe that noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives is a useful supplemental disclosure to "Commodity derivatives expense (income)" in order to differentiate noncash fair market value adjustments from settlements on commodity derivatives during the period. This supplemental disclosure is widely used within the industry and by securities analysts, banks and credit rating agencies in calculating EBITDA and in adjusting net income (loss) to present those measures on a comparative basis across companies, as well as to assess compliance with certain debt covenants. Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives is not a measure of financial or operating performance under GAAP, nor should it be considered in isolation or as a substitute for "Commodity derivatives expense (income)" in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Production

Average daily production by area for each of the four quarters of 2015 and for the first quarter of 2016 is shown below:

Operating Area	Average Daily Production (BOE/d)				
	First Quarter 2015	Second Quarter 2015	Third Quarter 2015	Fourth Quarter 2015	First Quarter 2016
Tertiary oil production					
Gulf Coast region					
Mature properties ⁽¹⁾	10,801	11,170	10,946	10,403	9,666
Delhi	3,551	3,623	3,676	3,898	3,971
Hastings	4,694	5,350	5,114	5,082	5,068
Heidelberg	6,027	5,885	5,600	5,635	5,346
Oyster Bayou	5,861	5,936	5,962	5,831	5,494
Tinsley	8,928	8,740	7,311	7,522	7,899
Total Gulf Coast region	39,862	40,704	38,609	38,371	37,444
Rocky Mountain region					
Bell Creek	1,965	1,880	2,225	2,806	3,020
Total Rocky Mountain region	1,965	1,880	2,225	2,806	3,020
Total tertiary oil production	41,827	42,584	40,834	41,177	40,464
Non-tertiary oil and gas production					
Gulf Coast region					
Mississippi	1,761	1,400	1,592	1,800	978
Texas	6,490	6,304	6,508	6,470	6,148
Other	1,006	906	846	800	549
Total Gulf Coast region	9,257	8,610	8,946	9,070	7,675
Rocky Mountain region					
Cedar Creek Anticline	18,522	18,089	17,515	17,875	17,778
Other	4,750	4,433	4,115	3,880	3,434
Total Rocky Mountain region	23,272	22,522	21,630	21,755	21,212
Total non-tertiary production	32,529	31,132	30,576	30,825	28,887
Total production	74,356	73,716	71,410	72,002	69,351

(1) Mature properties include Brookhaven, Cranfield, Eucutta, Little Creek, Lockhart Crossing, Mallalieu, Martinville, McComb and Soso fields.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Total Production

Total production during the first quarter of 2016 averaged 69,351 BOE/d, a decrease of 5,005 BOE/d (7%) compared to first quarter of 2015 production levels and a decrease of 2,651 BOE/d (4%) compared to fourth quarter of 2015 production levels. The year-over-year and sequential quarterly declines were primarily due to natural production declines based on our lower capital spending level, coupled with production shut in due to economics, as oil prices declined further during the quarter. We estimate that approximately 2,800 BOE/d of production was shut in as of March 31, 2016 attributable to uneconomic wells, resulting in a decrease to sequential quarterly production of approximately 1,100 BOE/d. These decreases were partially offset by increases in production due to continued development at Bell Creek Field in the Rocky Mountain region. Our production during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was 95% oil, consistent with oil production during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2015. In mid-April 2016, a series of strong thunderstorms in the Houston area affected two Denbury fields, causing damage to a primary tank battery in Conroe Field and flooding at Thompson Field. As a result of these storms, we currently have approximately 2,000 Bbbls/d shut in and are working to restore full production in both fields. We expect to have most of this shut-in production back online by the end of May, and we estimate the combined production impact to be approximately 300 Bbbls/d for the full year.

Tertiary Production

Oil production from our tertiary operations during the first quarter of 2016 decreased 713 Bbbls/d (2%) when comparing the fourth quarter of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016 and decreased 1,363 Bbbls/d (3%) compared to the same period in 2015. These decreases were primarily the result of natural production declines at Heidelberg Field, where future development has slowed due to commodity prices, Oyster Bayou Field, where production is believed to have peaked, and our mature properties in the Gulf Coast region, partially offset by increased production due to continued development at Bell Creek Field in the Rocky Mountain region. The year-over-year and sequential quarter changes were further impacted by first quarter of 2016 production at Tinsley Field, which decreased 1,029 Bbbls/d from the prior year quarter, although production increased 377 Bbbls/d from the fourth quarter of 2015. Although we experienced certain facility processing constraints at Tinsley Field during the third quarter of 2015, production has largely returned to normal levels; however, production from Tinsley Field is believed to have peaked in 2015, with a modest production decline currently expected in 2016.

Non-Tertiary Production

Production from our non-tertiary operations averaged 28,887 BOE/d during the first quarter of 2016, a decrease of 1,938 BOE/d (6%) compared to the fourth quarter of 2015 levels and a decrease of 3,642 BOE/d (11%) compared to the first quarter of 2015 levels. The production declines were impacted by production that we estimated to be attributable to wells shut in as uneconomic to either produce or repair due to commodity prices at this time. In addition, the sequential and year-over-year decreases also included natural production declines at Cedar Creek Anticline and our other non-tertiary Rocky Mountain properties and our non-tertiary properties in the Gulf Coast.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Oil and Natural Gas Revenues

Our oil and natural gas revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2016 decreased 27% sequentially when compared to oil and natural gas revenues during the three months ended December 31, 2015 and decreased 37% compared to these revenues for the same period in 2015. The changes in our oil and natural gas revenues are due to changes in production quantities and commodity prices (excluding any impact of our commodity derivative contracts), as reflected in the following table:

In thousands	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 vs. 2015	
	Decrease in Revenues	Percentage Decrease in Revenues
Change in oil and natural gas revenues due to:		
Decrease in production	\$(16,942)	(7)%
Decrease in commodity prices	(92,725)	(30)%
Total decrease in oil and natural gas revenues	\$(109,667)	(37)%

Excluding any impact of our commodity derivative contracts, our net realized commodity prices and NYMEX differentials were as follows during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 2015	
Average net realized prices:		
Oil price per Bbl	\$30.71	\$46.02
Natural gas price per Mcf	1.70	2.54
Price per BOE	29.76	44.45
Average NYMEX differentials:		
Oil per Bbl	\$(3.02)	\$(2.81)
Natural gas per Mcf	(0.29)	(0.28)

As reflected in the table above, our average net realized oil price, excluding the impact of commodity derivative contracts, decreased 33% during the first quarter of 2016 from the average price received during the first quarter of 2015 and 24% when compared to the fourth quarter of 2015. Company-wide average oil price differentials in the first quarter of 2016 were \$3.02 per Bbl below NYMEX, compared to an average differential of \$2.81 per Bbl below NYMEX in the first quarter of 2015 and \$1.74 per Bbl below NYMEX in the fourth quarter of 2015, principally due to weakening of our Gulf Coast region LLS price differentials described below. Prices received in a regional market fluctuate frequently and can differ from NYMEX pricing due to a variety of reasons, including supply and/or demand factors, crude oil quality, and location differentials. The oil differentials we received in the Gulf Coast and Rocky Mountain regions are discussed in further detail below.

Our average NYMEX oil differential in the Gulf Coast region was a negative \$1.95 per Bbl and \$0.29 per Bbl during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and a negative \$0.87 per Bbl during the three months ended December 31, 2015. These differentials are impacted significantly by the changes in prices received for our

crude oil sold under LLS index prices relative to the change in NYMEX prices, as well as various other price adjustments such as those noted above. The quarterly average LLS-to-NYMEX differential (on a trade-month basis) was a positive \$1.60 per Bbl in the first quarter of 2016, down from a positive \$2.60 per Bbl in the first quarter of 2015 and a positive \$2.08 per Bbl in the fourth quarter of 2015. During the first quarter of 2016, we sold approximately 58% of our crude oil at prices based on, or partially tied to, the LLS index price, and the balance at prices based on various other indexes tied to NYMEX prices, primarily in the Rocky Mountain region.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

NYMEX oil differentials in the Rocky Mountain region averaged \$5.04 per Bbl and \$7.75 per Bbl below NYMEX during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$3.41 per Bbl below NYMEX during the three months ended December 31, 2015. Differentials in the Rocky Mountain region can fluctuate significantly on a month-to-month basis due to weather, refinery or transportation issues, and Canadian and U.S. crude oil price index volatility.

Commodity Derivative Contracts

The following table summarizes the impact our oil and natural gas derivative contracts had on our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015:

	Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2016	2015	2015	2016	2015
In thousands	Crude Oil Derivative Contracts		Natural Gas Derivative Contracts		Total Commodity Derivative Contracts
Receipt on settlements of commodity derivatives	\$72,227	\$147,716	\$-749	\$72,227	\$148,465
Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives ⁽¹⁾	(95,053)	(65,122)	— (267)	(95,053)	(65,389)
Total income (expense)	\$(22,826)	\$82,594	\$-482	\$(22,826)	\$83,076

Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives is a non-GAAP measure. See Operating Results Table (1) above for a discussion of the reconciliation between noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives to "Commodity derivatives expense (income)" in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In order to protect our liquidity and provide price certainty to a portion of our oil production, we have hedged approximately half of our estimated production out through the second quarter of 2017. The following table summarizes our commodity derivative contracts as of May 2, 2016:

		2Q16	3Q16	4Q16	1Q17	2Q17
WTI NYMEX	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	11,500	18,500	26,000	22,000	22,000
Fixed-Price Swaps	Swap Price ⁽¹⁾	\$61.84	\$38.96	\$38.70	\$42.67	\$43.99
WTI NYMEX	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	2,000	—	—	—	—
Enhanced Swaps	Swap / Sold Put Price ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$90.35 / \$68	—	—	—	—
Argus LLS	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	3,500	7,000	7,000	10,000	7,000
Fixed-Price Swaps	Swap Price ⁽¹⁾	\$64.99	\$39.61	\$39.16	\$43.77	\$45.35
Argus LLS	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	6,000	—	—	—	—
Enhanced Swaps	Swap / Sold Put Price ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$93.38 / \$70	—	—	—	—
WTI NYMEX	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	5,000	4,500	—	—	—
Collars	Ceiling Price / Floor ⁽¹⁾	\$71.01 / \$55	\$71.22 / \$55	—	—	—
WTI NYMEX	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	2,000	—	—	—	—
3-Way Collars	Ceiling Price / Floor / Sold Put Price ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$95.50 / \$85 / \$68	—	—	—	—
Argus LLS	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	2,000	3,000	—	—	—
Collars	Ceiling Price / Floor ⁽¹⁾	\$73 / \$58	\$73.85 / \$58	—	—	—
Argus LLS	Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	2,000	—	—	—	—
3-Way Collars	Ceiling Price / Floor / Sold Put Price ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$98.25 / \$88 / \$70	—	—	—	—
	Total Volumes Hedged (Bbls/d)	34,000	33,000	33,000	32,000	29,000

(1) Averages are volume weighted.

(2) If oil prices were to average less than the sold put price, receipts on settlement would be limited to the difference between the swap or floor price and the sold put price.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Changes in commodity prices, expiration of contracts, and new commodity contracts entered into cause fluctuations in the estimated fair value of our oil and natural gas derivative contracts. Because we do not utilize hedge accounting for our commodity derivative contracts, the period-to-period changes in the fair value of these contracts, as outlined above, are recognized in our statements of operations. The details of our outstanding commodity derivative contracts at March 31, 2016, are included in Note 5, Commodity Derivative Contracts, to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Also, see Item 3, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk below for additional discussion on our commodity derivative contracts.

Production Expenses

Lease Operating Expense

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands, except per-BOE data	2016	2015
Lease operating expense		
Tertiary	\$62,188	\$85,459
Non-tertiary	40,259	55,625
Total lease operating expense	\$102,447	\$141,084
Lease operating expense per BOE		
Tertiary	\$16.89	\$22.70
Non-tertiary	15.32	19.00
Total lease operating expense per BOE	16.23	21.08

Our lease operating costs have declined as a result of our cost reduction efforts, as well as general market decreases in the prices of many of the components of these costs, culminating in a six-year low in our total lease operating expenses in the first quarter of 2016, decreases in each of our last nine sequential quarters and a total decrease of 38% over approximately the last two years. Our recurring lease operating expenses was \$16.23 per BOE in the first quarter of 2016, compared to \$19.31 in the fourth quarter of 2015. Total lease operating expenses decreased \$38.6 million (27%) on an absolute-dollar basis or \$4.85 (23%) on a per-BOE basis during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to levels in the prior year period. This reduction was due to cost decreases in most lease operating expense categories, the most significant of which included (1) a decrease in workover costs and repairs as a result of reduced failures through root-cause analysis and fewer well repairs as more wells are uneconomic to repair based on low commodity prices, (2) lower power costs due to lower usage, (3) lower CO₂ expense resulting from a 35% decrease in CO₂ injection volumes, (4) lower company labor costs resulting from a reduction in force, and (5) lower third-party contractor and vendor expenses such as contract labor and chemical costs. Sequentially, lease operating expenses declined 20% on an absolute-dollar basis and 16% on a per-BOE basis between the fourth quarter of 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, as we have seen many of our costs decline, with the decrease in power, labor, CO₂ and workover expense the primary components of lease operating expense cost reductions. As mentioned above, we have deferred certain well workovers and repairs in this current commodity price environment, resulting in us shutting in certain wells that are uneconomic to either produce or repair at this time. As a result, it is unlikely that we will be able to sustain these current low lease operating expense levels in future periods as we expect production levels to gradually decline throughout the year and if prices improve, we may decide to increase our spending for workover and repair work. We estimate that approximately one-half of the production currently shut in is profitable at \$50 per Bbl, approximately two-thirds at \$60 per Bbl, with the remainder requiring an oil price in excess of \$60 per Bbl in order to

be economic.

Tertiary lease operating expenses decreased \$23.3 million (27%) on an absolute-dollar basis or \$5.81 (26%) on a per-barrel basis during the first quarter of 2016 compared to the first quarter of 2015. When comparing the first quarter of 2016 to the fourth quarter of 2015, tertiary lease operating expenses decreased \$14.5 million (19%) or \$3.36 (17%) on a per-barrel basis. The year-over-year and sequential quarter declines were primarily due to (1) lower workover costs and repairs, (2) lower power costs due to lower rates and usage, (3) lower CO₂ expense resulting from a decrease in CO₂ injection volumes during both comparative periods, and (4) lower third-party contractor and vendor expenses such as contract labor and chemical costs.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Currently, our CO₂ expense comprises approximately 20% of our typical tertiary lease operating expenses, and for the CO₂ reserves we already own, consists of CO₂ production expenses, and for the CO₂ reserves we do not own, consists of our purchase of CO₂ from royalty and working interest owners and industrial sources. During the first quarter of 2016 and 2015, approximately 56% and 63%, respectively, of the CO₂ utilized in our CO₂ floods consisted of CO₂ owned and produced by us (our net revenue interest). The price we pay others for CO₂ varies by source and is generally indexed to oil prices. When combining the production cost of the CO₂ we own with what we pay third parties for CO₂, our average cost of CO₂ during the first quarter of 2016 was approximately \$0.30 per Mcf, including taxes paid on CO₂ production but excluding depletion and depreciation of capital. This rate during the first quarter of 2016 was slightly higher than the \$0.29 per Mcf during the first quarter of 2015 and lower than the \$0.35 per Mcf comparable measure during the fourth quarter of 2015. The rate increase between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 was primarily due to higher utilization of industrial-sourced CO₂, which has a higher average cost than our naturally occurring CO₂ sources, partially offset by reductions in the price of CO₂ due to the significant decline in oil prices (though the decline is somewhat limited by certain contracts in place with price floors). The decrease in the CO₂ cost per Mcf between the fourth quarter of 2015 and first quarter of 2016 was primarily due to fewer workovers and a 10% decrease in CO₂ injection volumes due to further increased efficiencies, largely in the Gulf Coast region. Including the cost of depreciation and amortization of capital expended at our CO₂ source fields and industrial sources, but excluding depreciation of our CO₂ pipelines, our cost of CO₂ was \$0.41 per Mcf and \$0.39 per Mcf during the first quarter of 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Non-tertiary lease operating expenses decreased \$15.4 million (28%) on an absolute-dollar basis and \$3.68 (19%) on a per-BOE basis between the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2016, and decreased \$10.9 million (21%) on an absolute-dollar basis and \$2.72 (15%) on a per-BOE basis between the fourth quarter of 2015 and first quarter of 2016. The year-over-year and sequential quarter decreases were primarily due to lower workover costs, repairs and maintenance costs, and lower third-party contractor and vendor expenses such as contract labor and chemical costs during the 2016 period.

Marketing and Plant Operating Expenses

Marketing and plant operating expenses primarily consist of amounts incurred relating to the marketing, processing, and transportation of oil and natural gas production, as well as expenses related to our Riley Ridge gas processing facility. Marketing and plant operating expenses increased \$1.5 million (13%) during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to an increase in marketing and compression expenses, partially offset by a reduction in expenses related to the Riley Ridge gas processing facility, which is currently shut in.

Taxes Other Than Income

Taxes other than income includes ad valorem, production and franchise taxes. Taxes other than income decreased \$6.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the same period in 2015. The levels of taxes other than income during most periods are generally aligned with fluctuations in oil and natural gas revenues.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General and Administrative Expenses ("G&A")

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands, except per-BOE data and employees	2016	2015
Gross cash compensation and administrative costs	\$79,738	\$95,280
Gross stock-based compensation	2,884	11,059
Operator labor and overhead recovery charges	(35,133)	(42,128)
Capitalized exploration and development costs	(13,588)	(17,931)
Net G&A expense	\$33,901	\$46,280
G&A per BOE:		
Net administrative costs	\$5.29	\$5.89
Net stock-based compensation	0.08	1.03
Net G&A expense	\$5.37	\$6.92
Employees as of March 31		
	1,096	1,496

Gross cash compensation and administrative costs on an absolute-dollar basis decreased \$15.5 million (16%) during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to those costs in the same period in 2015, primarily due to lower employee-related costs such as salaries, bonus accruals and long-term incentives, offset in part by severance costs. As part of our efforts to reduce overhead and operating costs in response to the significant decline in oil prices, we reduced our employee headcount in mid-2015 and further reduced our employee headcount in February 2016 through an involuntary workforce reduction, which contributed to an overall headcount reduction of approximately 27% since March 31, 2015. The severance-related payments associated with the 2016 workforce reduction were approximately \$9.3 million.

Net G&A expense on a per-BOE basis decreased 22% during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to levels in the same period in 2015, primarily based upon the changes noted in gross cash compensation and administrative costs, partially offset by lower operating and overhead recovery charges, lower capitalized exploration and development costs, and lower production volumes.

Gross stock-based compensation on an absolute-dollar basis decreased \$8.2 million (74%) during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to levels in the same period in 2015. The change between the comparative three-month periods was primarily due to the reductions in headcount mentioned above, the reduction in previously recognized stock compensation expense associated with our performance share awards for our executive officers which vested in the first quarter of 2016 or are projected to vest in future periods below target levels, and the postponement of our annual long-term incentive award grant from January in prior year to mid-year 2016.

Our well operating agreements allow us, when we are the operator, to charge a well with a specified overhead rate during the drilling phase and also to charge a monthly fixed overhead rate for each producing well. In addition, salaries associated with field personnel are initially recorded as gross cash compensation and administrative costs and subsequently reclassified to lease operating expenses or capitalized to field development costs to the extent those individuals are dedicated to oil and gas production, exploration, and development activities.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Interest and Financing Expenses

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands, except per-BOE data and interest rates	2016	2015
Cash interest expense	\$44,645	\$46,287
Noncash interest expense	3,306	2,221
Less: capitalized interest	(5,780)	(8,409)
Interest expense, net	\$42,171	\$40,099
Interest expense, net per BOE	\$6.68	\$5.99
Average debt outstanding	\$3,326,140	\$3,615,918
Average interest rate ⁽¹⁾	5.4 %	5.1 %

(1) Includes commitment fees but excludes debt issue costs and amortization of discount or premium.

Our average interest rate increased slightly between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 due to an increase in the applicable margin for loans under the senior secured bank credit facility and an increase in our commitment fee rate, as well as lower average borrowings on our senior secured bank credit facility which is at a lower rate than our senior subordinated notes. Capitalized interest during the first quarter of 2016 decreased \$2.6 million (31%), compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to a reduction in the number of projects that qualify for interest capitalization.

Depletion, Depreciation, and Amortization ("DD&A")

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands, except per-BOE data	2016	2015
Depletion and depreciation of oil and natural gas properties	\$47,417	\$116,347
Depletion and depreciation of CO ₂ properties	5,573	8,212
Amortization of asset retirement obligations	2,902	2,327
Depreciation of pipelines, plants and other property and equipment	21,474	23,072
Total DD&A	\$77,366	\$149,958
DD&A per BOE:		
Oil and natural gas properties	\$7.98	\$17.73
CO ₂ properties, pipelines, plants and other property and equipment	4.28	4.68
Total DD&A cost per BOE	\$12.26	\$22.41
Write-down of oil and natural gas properties	\$256,000	\$146,200

We adjust our DD&A rate each quarter for significant changes in our estimates of oil and natural gas reserves and costs. In addition, under full cost accounting rules, the divestiture of oil and gas properties generally does not result in gain or loss recognition; instead, the proceeds of the disposition reduce the full cost pool. As such, our DD&A rate has changed significantly over time, and it may continue to change in the future. DD&A of oil and natural gas properties and asset retirement obligations decreased 58% on an absolute-dollar basis and decreased 55% on a per-BOE basis between the first quarter of 2015 and 2016 and decreased 40% on an absolute-dollar basis and decreased 37% on a per-BOE basis between the fourth quarter of 2015 and first quarter of 2016, primarily due to a reduction in depletable costs associated with our reserves base resulting from the significant full cost pool ceiling test write-downs recognized

during 2015 and an overall reduction in future development costs, partially offset by reductions in proved oil and natural gas reserve quantities. The per-BOE decrease was also partially offset by a decrease in production volumes during the first quarter of 2016 when compared to 2015. Given the additional full cost pool ceiling test write-down recognized

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

during the three months ended March 31, 2016, we currently expect our DD&A rate in the second quarter of 2016 to decrease slightly from the first quarter of 2016 rate and will likely decrease further as we are anticipating additional write-downs in the second quarter based on current oil prices. However, the overall decrease in our second quarter DD&A rate will also be impacted by potential changes in reserve volumes, production, and future capital expenditure estimates, among other factors, and therefore, the actual decrease may differ from this estimate.

Depletion and depreciation of our CO₂ properties, pipelines, plants and other property and equipment decreased 14% on an absolute-dollar basis and 9% on a per-BOE basis during the three months ended March 31, 2016, compared to the same period in 2015, primarily due to lower depletion associated with our CO₂ properties resulting from a decrease in CO₂ production during the period.

Write-Down of Oil and Natural Gas Properties

Under full cost accounting rules, we are required each quarter to perform a ceiling test calculation. Under these rules, the full cost ceiling value is calculated using the average first-day-of-the-month oil and natural gas price for each month during a 12-month rolling period ended as of each quarterly reporting period. As a result of the precipitous and continuing decline in NYMEX oil prices since the fourth quarter of 2014, the rolling first-day-of-the-month average oil price for the preceding 12 months, after adjustments for market differentials by field, has fallen throughout 2015 and the first quarter of 2016, from \$79.55 per Bbl for the first quarter of 2015 to \$44.03 per Bbl for the first quarter of 2016. In addition, the first-day-of-the-month average natural gas price for the preceding 12 months, after adjustments for market differentials by field, was \$3.95 per Mcf for the first quarter of 2015 and \$2.22 per Mcf for the first quarter of 2016. These prices represent a decrease of 8% for crude oil and 9% for natural gas prices compared to adjusted prices used to calculate the December 31, 2015, full cost ceiling value. These falling prices have led to our recognizing full cost pool ceiling test write-downs of \$256.0 million and \$146.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We currently expect that we will record an additional write-down in the second quarter of 2016 of \$400 million or higher if oil and natural gas prices remain at or near late-April 2016 levels for the remainder of the second quarter, as the 12-month average prices used in determining the full cost ceiling value would reflect lower prices in the second quarter of 2016 than in the second quarter of 2015. Any such write-down would also be affected, in part, by changes in proved oil and natural gas reserve volumes, future capital expenditures and operating costs.

Income Taxes

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
In thousands, except per-BOE amounts and tax rates	2016	2015
Current income tax expense (benefit)	\$(5)	\$1,575
Deferred income tax benefit	(95,115)	(66,036)
Total income tax benefit	\$(95,120)	\$(64,461)
Average income tax benefit per BOE	\$(15.07)	\$(9.63)
Effective tax rate	33.9 %	37.4 %
Total net deferred tax liability	\$742,148	\$837,263

We evaluate our estimated annual effective income tax rate based on current and forecasted business results and enacted tax laws on a quarterly basis and apply this tax rate to our ordinary income or loss to calculate our estimated tax liability or benefit. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$34.5 million of deferred tax assets associated with State of Louisiana net operating losses. As the result of a new tax law enacted in the State of Louisiana effective June 30,

2015, which limits a company's utilization of certain deductions, including our net operating loss carryforwards, we recognized a tax valuation allowance during 2015 of \$33.6 million and an additional \$0.9 million during the first quarter of 2016 to reduce the carrying value of our deferred tax assets. The valuation allowances will remain until the realization of future deferred tax benefits are more likely than not to become utilized.

As of March 31, 2016, we had an unrecognized tax benefit of \$5.4 million related to an uncertain tax position. The unrecognized tax benefit was recorded during the fourth quarter of 2015 as a direct reduction of the associated deferred tax asset and, if recognized, would not materially affect our annual effective tax rate. The tax benefit from an uncertain tax position will only be recognized if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, based upon the

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

technical merits of the position. We currently do not expect a material change to the uncertain tax position within the next 12 months. Our policy is to recognize penalties and interest related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense; however, no such amounts were accrued related to the uncertain tax position as of March 31, 2016.

Our income taxes are based on estimated statutory rates of approximately 38% in 2016 and 2015. Our effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was lower than our estimated statutory rate, primarily due to the impact of a tax shortfall on the stock-based compensation deduction (e.g., the compensation expense recognized in the financial statements was greater than the actual compensation realized resulting in a shortfall in the income tax deduction for stock awards that vested during the quarter) which, prior to the adoption of ASU 2016-09, was recorded as an adjustment to equity. The amounts recorded as current income tax expense during the first quarter of 2015 represent our federal taxes, reduced by enhanced oil recovery credits during the prior year period, plus our state income taxes. The deferred income tax benefits during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015, were primarily due to the impact of the write-down of our oil and natural gas properties during the year.

As of March 31, 2016, we had an estimated \$51.1 million of enhanced oil recovery credits to carry forward related to our tertiary operations, \$21.6 million of research and development credits, and \$41.1 million of alternative minimum tax credits that can be utilized to reduce our current income taxes during 2016 or future years. The enhanced oil recovery credits and research and development credits do not begin to expire until 2023 and 2031, respectively. We currently do not expect to earn additional enhanced oil recovery credits during 2016.

Per-BOE Data

The following table summarizes our cash flow and results of operations on a per-BOE basis for the comparative periods. Each of the significant individual components is discussed above.

Per-BOE data	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2016	2015
Oil and natural gas revenues	\$29.76	\$44.45
Receipt on settlements of commodity derivatives	11.44	22.19
Lease operating expenses	(16.23)	(21.08)
Production and ad valorem taxes	(2.72)	(3.42)
Marketing expenses, net of third party purchases, and plant operating expenses	(1.84)	(1.47)
Production netback	20.41	40.67
CO ₂ sales, net of operating and exploration expenses	0.89	0.90
General and administrative expenses	(5.37)	(6.92)
Interest expense, net	(6.68)	(5.99)
Other	(0.24)	0.55
Changes in assets and liabilities relating to operations	(8.69)	(8.62)
Cash flows from operations	0.32	20.59
DD&A	(12.26)	(22.41)
Write-down of oil and natural gas properties	(40.56)	(21.85)
Deferred income taxes	15.07	9.87
Gain on debt extinguishment	15.05	—
Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives ⁽¹⁾	(15.06)	(9.78)
Other noncash items	8.10	7.48

Net loss	\$(29.34)	\$(16.10)
----------	-----------	-----------

Noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives is a non-GAAP measure. See Operating Results Table (1) above for a discussion of the reconciliation between noncash fair value adjustments on commodity derivatives to “Commodity derivatives expense (income)” in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

For additional discussion of our critical accounting policies, which remain unchanged, see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Form 10-K.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

The statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not historical facts, including, but not limited to, statements found in the section Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, are forward-looking statements, as that term is defined in Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such forward-looking statements may be or may concern, among other things, future hydrocarbon prices, the length or severity of the current commodity price downturn, current or future liquidity sources or their adequacy to support our anticipated future activities, our ability to reduce our debt levels, possible future write-downs of oil and natural gas reserves, together with assumptions based on current and projected oil and gas costs, current or future expectations or estimations of our cash flows, availability of capital, borrowing capacity, availability of advantageous commodity derivative contracts or the predicted cash flow benefits therefrom, forecasted capital expenditures, drilling activity or methods, including the timing and location thereof, estimated timing of commencement of CO₂ flooding of particular fields or areas, or the timing of pipeline construction or completion or the cost thereof, dates of completion of to-be-constructed industrial plants and the initial date of capture of CO₂ from such plants, timing of CO₂ injections and initial production responses in tertiary flooding projects, acquisition plans and proposals and dispositions, development activities, finding costs, anticipated future cost savings, capital budgets, production rates and volumes or forecasts thereof, hydrocarbon reserve quantities and values, CO₂ reserves and their availability, helium reserves, potential reserves, percentages of recoverable original oil in place, the impact of regulatory rulings or changes, anticipated outcomes of pending litigation, prospective legislation affecting the oil and gas industry, mark-to-market values, competition, long-term forecasts of production, finding costs, rates of return, estimated costs, estimates of the range of potential insurance recoveries, changes in costs, future capital expenditures and overall economics, worldwide economic conditions and other variables surrounding our operations and future plans. Such forward-looking statements generally are accompanied by words such as "plan," "estimate," "expect," "predict," "to our knowledge," "anticipate," "project," "preliminary," "should," "assume," "believe," "may" or other words that convey, or are intended to convey, the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Such forward-looking information is based upon management's current plans, expectations, estimates, and assumptions and is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could significantly and adversely affect current plans, anticipated actions, the timing of such actions and our financial condition and results of operations. As a consequence, actual results may differ materially from expectations, estimates or assumptions expressed in or implied by any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are fluctuations in worldwide oil prices or in U.S. oil prices and consequently in the prices received or demand for our oil and natural gas; decisions as to production levels and/or pricing by OPEC in future periods; levels of future capital expenditures; effects of our indebtedness; success of our risk management techniques; inaccurate cost estimates; availability of and fluctuations in the prices of goods and services; the uncertainty of drilling results and reserve estimates; operating hazards and remediation costs; disruption of operations and damages from well incidents, hurricanes, tropical storms, or forest fires; acquisition risks; requirements for capital or its availability; conditions in the worldwide financial and credit markets; general economic conditions; competition; government regulations, including tax and environmental; and unexpected delays, as well as the risks and uncertainties inherent in oil and gas drilling and production activities or that are otherwise discussed in this quarterly report, including, without limitation, the portions referenced above, and the uncertainties set forth from time to time in

our other public reports, filings and public statements including, without limitation, the Company's most recent Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Debt and Interest Rate Sensitivity

We finance some of our acquisitions and other expenditures with fixed and variable rate debt. These debt agreements expose us to market risk related to changes in interest rates. As of March 31, 2016, we had \$310.0 million of debt outstanding on our senior secured bank credit facility. At this level of variable-rate debt, an increase or decrease of 10% in interest rates would have an immaterial effect on our interest expense. None of our existing debt has any triggers or covenants regarding our debt ratings with rating agencies, although under the NEJD financing lease, in light of recent credit downgrades in February 2016, we were required to provide a \$41.3 million letter of credit to the lessor, which we provided on March 4, 2016. The letter of credit may be drawn upon in the event Denbury Onshore or Denbury fail to make a payment due under the pipeline financing lease agreement or upon other specified defaults set out in the pipeline financing lease agreement (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 5, 2008). The fair value of our senior subordinated debt is based on quoted market prices. The following table presents the principal cash flows and fair values of our outstanding debt at March 31, 2016:

In thousands	2017	2019	2021	2022	2023	Total
Variable rate debt:						
Senior Secured Bank Credit Facility (weighted average interest rate of 2.4% at March 31, 2016)	\$	—\$310,000	\$	—\$	—\$	—\$310,000
Fixed rate debt:						
6 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2021	—	—	396,000	—	—	396,000
5½% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2022	—	—	—	1,207,745	—	1,207,745
4 % Senior Subordinated Notes due 2023	—	—	—	—	1,094,000	1,094,000
Other Subordinated Notes	2,250	—	—	—	—	2,250

See Note 2, Long-Term Debt, to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for details regarding our long-term debt.

Oil and Natural Gas Derivative Contracts

Historically, we have entered into oil and natural gas derivative contracts to provide an economic hedge of our exposure to commodity price risk associated with anticipated future oil and natural gas production and to provide more certainty to our future cash flows. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Generally, these contracts have consisted of various combinations of price floors, collars, three-way collars, fixed-price swaps, and fixed-price swaps enhanced with a sold put. The production that we hedge has varied from year to year depending on our levels of debt, financial strength, and expectation of future commodity prices. In order to protect our liquidity and provide price certainty to a portion of our oil production, we have hedged approximately half of our estimated production out through the second quarter of 2017. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Results of Operations – Commodity Derivative Contracts for a detail of our commodity derivative contracts as of May 2, 2016. We anticipate that we may use more fixed-price swaps in the future or a combination of fixed-price swaps and collars as we look to provide more certainty around our future cash flows. See also Note 5, Commodity Derivative Contracts, and Note 6, Fair Value Measurements, to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our commodity derivative contracts.

All of the mark-to-market valuations used for our commodity derivatives are provided by external sources. We manage and control market and counterparty credit risk through established internal control procedures that are reviewed on an ongoing basis. We attempt to minimize credit risk exposure to counterparties through formal credit policies, monitoring procedures and diversification. All of our commodity derivative contracts are with parties that are lenders under our senior secured bank credit facility (or affiliates of such lenders). We have included an estimate of nonperformance risk in the fair value measurement of our commodity derivative contracts, which we have measured for nonperformance risk based upon credit default swaps or credit spreads.

For accounting purposes, we do not apply hedge accounting treatment to our commodity derivative contracts. This means that any changes in the fair value of these commodity derivative contracts will be charged to earnings on a quarterly basis instead of charging the effective portion to other comprehensive income and the ineffective portion to earnings.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

At March 31, 2016, our commodity derivative contracts were recorded at their fair value, which was a net asset of \$47.8 million, a \$95.0 million decrease from the \$142.8 million net asset recorded at December 31, 2015. Changes in this value are comprised of the expiration of commodity derivative contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2016, new commodity derivative contracts entered into during 2016 for future periods, and to the changes in oil futures prices between December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2016.

Commodity Derivative Sensitivity Analysis

Based on NYMEX and LLS crude oil futures prices as of March 31, 2016, and assuming both a 10% increase and decrease thereon, we would expect to receive payments on our crude oil derivative contracts as shown in the following table:

	Receipt / (Payment)
In thousands	Crude Oil Derivative Contracts
Based on:	
Futures prices as of March 31, 2016	\$ 47,419
10% increase in prices	3,790
10% decrease in prices	91,035

Our commodity derivative contracts are used as an economic hedge of our exposure to commodity price risk associated with anticipated future production. As a result, changes in receipts or payments of our commodity derivative contracts due to changes in commodity prices as reflected in the above table would be mostly offset by a corresponding increase or decrease in the cash receipts on sales of our oil and natural gas production to which those commodity derivative contracts relate.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2016, to ensure that information that is required to be disclosed in the reports the Company files and submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms; and that information that is required to be disclosed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Evaluation of Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we have determined that, during the first quarter of fiscal 2016, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved in various lawsuits, claims and regulatory proceedings incidental to our businesses. While we currently believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually and in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our business or finances, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. Although a single or multiple adverse rulings or settlements could possibly have a material adverse effect on our business or finances, we only accrue for losses from litigation and claims if we determine that a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

In mid-2006, Denbury Onshore, LLC (“Denbury Onshore”), a subsidiary of Denbury Resources Inc. (“DRI” and together with Denbury Onshore, “Denbury”), purchased its original interest in the Delhi Field in northeastern Louisiana from NGS Sub Corp. (“NGS”), a subsidiary of Evolution Petroleum Corporation (together with its subsidiaries, “Evolution”). Under the purchase documents, Denbury Onshore committed to develop the enhanced production of the Holt Bryant Unit (the “Unit”), which is a specific portion of the Delhi Field, and after Denbury Onshore’s receipt of a defined level of net cash flow from the Unit (as defined in the purchase documents, “payout”), agreed to assign a reversionary interest in the Unit back to NGS. After several years of dispute regarding payout calculations and related contractual terms, in December 2013, Evolution filed suit against Denbury Onshore and DRI in the 133rd Judicial District Court in Houston, Harris County, Texas for unspecified damages. Evolution’s amended petition alleges breach of contract, and requests a declaratory judgment as to various provisions of the purchase documents and accompanying oil and gas conveyancing instruments, including as to the method of calculation and timing of payout, the sharing of various costs, and the timing and extent of post-payout assignments from Denbury Onshore to NGS. Evolution also brings claims for negligence and gross negligence in connection with the June-2013 Delhi Field release of well fluids. Evolution states in its amended petition that it is seeking over \$200 million in damages in addition to unspecified punitive damages and attorneys’ fees. In Denbury’s answer and counterclaim, we have denied Evolution’s claims, alleged breach of contract by Evolution for failing to convey the full interest for which we paid and for violating our preferential purchase rights, and asked for a declaratory judgment as to various purchase document terms, including those pertaining to the determination of payout, the assignment of provisions of the documents, and cost sharing. Denbury has also filed a Motion for Summary Judgment seeking dismissal of Evolution’s tort claims for negligence and gross negligence.

Discovery in the case is ongoing. The case is currently set for trial in July 2016. We believe that Evolution’s claims and requests for damages in this matter are without merit and we intend to vigorously pursue our requested relief under the purchase documents.

Potential Mississippi Environmental Administrative Proceeding

The Company is currently attempting to conclude negotiations with the Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality (“MDEQ”) that began following receipt of a February 2015 notice from the MDEQ related to a discharge of materials at the West Heidelberg Field in Jasper County, Mississippi in the third quarter of 2013. Based upon discussions with the MDEQ during the first quarter of 2016, it is currently anticipated that an agreement related to the discharge providing for a monetary fine as a civil penalty will be reached with the MDEQ in 2016, thus eliminating the need for an administrative proceeding. The Company expects any such fine will not be material to the Company’s business or financial condition.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information with respect to the Company's risk factors has been incorporated by reference to Item 1A of the Form 10-K. There have been no material changes to the risk factors contained in the Form 10-K since its filing.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table summarizes purchases of our common stock during the first quarter of 2016:

Month	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions) (2)
January 2016	557,800	\$ 1.71	—	\$ 210.1
February 2016	3,058	1.11	—	210.1
March 2016	49,599	2.23	—	210.1
Total	610,457		—	

Stock repurchases during the first quarter of 2016 were made in connection with delivery by our employees of (1) shares to us to satisfy their tax withholding requirements related to the vesting of restricted shares and the exercise of stock appreciation rights.

In October 2011, we commenced a common share repurchase program, which has been approved for up to an aggregate of \$1.162 billion of Denbury common shares by the Company's Board of Directors. The program has no (2) pre-established ending date and may be suspended or discontinued at any time. We are not obligated to repurchase any dollar amount or specific number of shares of our common stock under the program.

Between early October 2011, when we announced the commencement of a common share repurchase program, and March 31, 2016, we repurchased 64.4 million shares of Denbury common stock (approximately 16.0% of our outstanding shares of common stock at September 30, 2011) for \$951.8 million, with no repurchases made since October 2015. An additional \$210.1 million remains authorized for purchases of common stock under this repurchase program.

Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

41

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
10(a)*	2016 Form of TSR Performance Award-Equity under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(b)*	2016 Form of TSR Performance Award-Cash under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(c)*	2016 Form of EBITDAX Performance Award-Equity under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(d)*	2016 Form of EBITDAX Performance Award-Cash under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(e)*	2016 Form of Oil Price Change vs. TSR Performance Award.
10(f)*	Denbury Resources Inc. Severance Protection Plan, as amended and restated effective as of March 31, 2016.
10(g)	Third Amendment to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 18, 2016, by and among Denbury Resources Inc., as Borrower, JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., as Administrative Agent, and the financial institutions party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Form 8-K filed by the Company on April 20, 2016, File No. 001-12935).
31(a)*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31(b)*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101*	Interactive Data Files.

* Included herewith.

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DENBURY RESOURCES INC.

May 6, 2016 /s/ Mark C. Allen
Mark C. Allen
Sr. Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

May 6, 2016 /s/ Alan Rhoades
Alan Rhoades
Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

Denbury Resources Inc.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
10(a)	2016 Form of TSR Performance Award-Equity under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(b)	2016 Form of TSR Performance Award-Cash under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(c)	2016 Form of EBITDAX Performance Award-Equity under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(d)	2016 Form of EBITDAX Performance Award-Cash under the 2004 Omnibus Stock and Incentive Plan for Denbury Resources Inc.
10(e)	2016 Form of Oil Price Change vs. TSR Performance Award.
10(f)	Denbury Resources Inc. Severance Protection Plan, as amended and restated effective as of March 31, 2016.
31(a)	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31(b)	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101	Interactive Data Files.