

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORP
Form 10-Q
July 27, 2010

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

**Commission file number: 000-49728
JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

87-0617894
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

118-29 Queens Boulevard, Forest Hills, New York
(Address of principal executive offices)

11375
(Zip Code)

(718) 286-7900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,
if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2010, there were 293,601,212 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock, par value \$.01.

JetBlue Airways Corporation
FORM 10-Q
INDEX

| | Page # s |
|---|----------|
| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | 1 |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | 1 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 4 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 5 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 16 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 26 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 26 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 27 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 27 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 29 |

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**Item 1. Financial Statements**

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except share data)

| ASSETS | June 30, 2010 (unaudited) | December 31, 2009 (as adjusted, Note 1) |
|---|--|--|
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 477 | \$ 896 |
| Investment securities | 513 | 240 |
| Receivables, less allowance | 95 | 81 |
| Restricted cash | 9 | 13 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 265 | 308 |
| Total current assets | 1,359 | 1,538 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT | | |
| Flight equipment | 4,244 | 4,170 |
| Predelivery deposits for flight equipment | 155 | 139 |
| | 4,399 | 4,309 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 608 | 540 |
| | 3,791 | 3,769 |
| Other property and equipment | 500 | 515 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 164 | 169 |
| | 336 | 346 |
| Assets constructed for others | 554 | 549 |
| Less accumulated amortization | 38 | 26 |
| | 516 | 523 |
| Total property and equipment | 4,643 | 4,638 |
| OTHER ASSETS | | |
| Investment securities | 169 | 6 |
| Restricted cash | 61 | 64 |
| Other | 358 | 311 |
| Total other assets | 588 | 381 |

| | | | | |
|---------------------|----|-------|----|-------|
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 6,590 | \$ | 6,557 |
|---------------------|----|-------|----|-------|

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

1

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in millions, except share data)

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | (unaudited) | (as adjusted, Note 1) |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 115 | \$ 93 |
| Air traffic liability | 593 | 455 |
| Accrued salaries, wages and benefits | 122 | 121 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 140 | 116 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt and capital leases | 220 | 384 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,190 | 1,169 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS | 2,896 | 2,920 |
| CONSTRUCTION OBLIGATION | 530 | 529 |
| DEFERRED TAXES AND OTHER LIABILITIES | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 280 | 260 |
| Other | 138 | 138 |
| | 418 | 398 |
| STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Preferred stock, \$.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, none issued | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 900,000,000 and 500,000,000 shares authorized, 321,146,446 and 318,592,283 shares issued and 293,601,212 and 291,490,758 shares outstanding in 2010 and 2009, respectively | 3 | 3 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 27,545,845 and 27,102,136 shares in 2010 and 2009, respectively | (4) | (2) |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,434 | 1,422 |
| Retained earnings | 146 | 117 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | (23) | 1 |
| Total stockholders equity | 1,556 | 1,541 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 6,590 | \$ 6,557 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited, in millions, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 (as adjusted, Note 1) | 2010 | 2009 (as adjusted, Note 1) |
| OPERATING REVENUES | | | | |
| Passenger | \$ 850 | \$ 721 | \$ 1,635 | \$ 1,427 |
| Other | 89 | 86 | 174 | 173 |
| Total operating revenues | 939 | 807 | 1,809 | 1,600 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| Aircraft fuel and related taxes | 279 | 236 | 533 | 458 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 218 | 192 | 437 | 377 |
| Landing fees and other rents | 58 | 54 | 112 | 104 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 54 | 56 | 111 | 111 |
| Aircraft rent | 31 | 32 | 62 | 64 |
| Sales and marketing | 43 | 38 | 83 | 75 |
| Maintenance materials and repairs | 41 | 34 | 80 | 71 |
| Other operating expenses | 121 | 89 | 255 | 191 |
| Total operating expenses | 845 | 731 | 1,673 | 1,451 |
| OPERATING INCOME | 94 | 76 | 136 | 149 |
| OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE) | | | | |
| Interest expense | (43) | (49) | (90) | (98) |
| Capitalized interest | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Interest income and other | (1) | 7 | 1 | 1 |
| Total other income (expense) | (43) | (40) | (87) | (93) |
| INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES | 51 | 36 | 49 | 56 |
| Income tax expense | 21 | 16 | 20 | 24 |
| NET INCOME | \$ 30 | \$ 20 | \$ 29 | \$ 32 |
| INCOME PER COMMON SHARE: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.11 | \$ 0.13 |

Diluted \$ 0.10 \$ 0.07 \$ 0.10 \$ 0.11

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(unaudited, in millions)

| | Six months ended | |
|---|-------------------------|------------------|
| | June 30, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | | (as |
| | | adjusted, |
| | | Note 1) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net income | \$ 29 | \$ 32 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Deferred income taxes | 19 | 24 |
| Depreciation | 96 | 90 |
| Amortization | 20 | 24 |
| Stock-based compensation | 8 | 8 |
| Collateral returned (paid) for derivative instruments | (5) | 109 |
| Changes in certain operating assets and liabilities | 174 | (55) |
| Other, net | 15 | (7) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 356 | 225 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Capital expenditures | (131) | (342) |
| Predelivery deposits for flight equipment | (20) | (15) |
| Proceeds from the sale of flight equipment | | 58 |
| Assets constructed for others | (8) | (22) |
| Sale of auction rate securities | 36 | 29 |
| Purchase of available-for-sale securities | (722) | |
| Sale of available-for-sale securities | 761 | |
| Purchase of held-to-maturity investments | (584) | |
| Proceeds from the maturities of held-to-maturity investments | 72 | |
| Other, net | | (4) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (596) | (296) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Proceeds from: | | |
| Issuance of common stock | 5 | 116 |
| Issuance of long-term debt | 66 | 446 |
| Short-term borrowings and lines of credit | 20 | 13 |
| Construction obligation | 9 | 25 |
| Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligations | (239) | (77) |
| Repayment of short-term borrowings and lines of credit | (37) | (120) |
| Other, net | (3) | (13) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (179) | 390 |

| | | |
|---|--------|--------|
| INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (419) | 319 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 896 | 561 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 477 | \$ 880 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
June 30, 2010

Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation: Our condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of JetBlue Airways Corporation and our subsidiaries, collectively we or the Company, with all intercompany transactions and balances having been eliminated. These condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with our 2009 audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, or our 2009 Form 10-K.

These condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and have been prepared by us following the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, and, in our opinion, reflect all adjustments including normal recurring items which are necessary to present fairly the results for interim periods. Our revenues are recorded net of excise and other related taxes in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted as permitted by such rules and regulations; however, we believe that the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Operating results for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year.

Loyalty Program: During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recognized approximately \$5 million of other revenue related to the minimum point sales guarantee associated with our co-branded credit card, leaving \$11 million deferred and included in our air traffic liability.

New Accounting Pronouncements: Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted the guidance for *Accounting for Own-Share Lending Arrangements in Contemplation of Convertible Debt Issuance*, under the debt topic of the Financial Accounting Standard Board's Codification, or Codification, which changes the accounting for equity share lending arrangements on an entity's own shares when executed in contemplation of a convertible debt offering. This new guidance requires share lending arrangements be measured at fair value and recognized as an issuance cost. These issuance costs are then amortized and recognized as interest expense over the life of the financing arrangement. Shares loaned under these arrangements are excluded from computation of earnings per share. Retrospective application is required for all arrangements outstanding as of the beginning of the fiscal year. As described more fully in our 2009 Form 10-K, we lent 44.9 million shares of our common stock in conjunction with our 2008 \$201 million convertible debt issuance, which is subject to this new guidance. Our share lending agreement requires that the shares borrowed be returned upon the maturity of the related debt, October 2038, or earlier, if the debentures are no longer outstanding.

We determined the fair value of the share lending arrangement was approximately \$5 million at the date of the issuance based on the value of the estimated fees the shares loaned would have generated over the term of the share lending arrangement. We have retrospectively applied this change in accounting to affected accounts for all periods presented. The \$5 million fair value was recognized as a debt issuance cost and is being amortized to interest expense through the earliest put date of the related debt, October 2013 and October 2015 for Series A and Series B, respectively. For 2008, adoption of this new accounting treatment resulted in approximately \$2 million of additional interest expense, an increase in net loss of approximately \$1 million and had no impact on earnings (loss) per share. For 2009, this adoption resulted in an insignificant increase in interest expense and had no overall impact on net income or earnings per share. As of June 30, 2010, approximately \$2 million of net debt issuance costs remain outstanding related to the share lending arrangement and will continue to be amortized through the earliest put date of the related debt. We estimate that the \$2 million value of the shares remaining outstanding under the share lending arrangement approximates their fair value as of June 30, 2010.

Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted the latest provisions in the Codification related to the accounting for an entity's involvement with variable interest entities, or VIEs. Under these rules, the quantitative based method of determining if an entity is the primary beneficiary was replaced with the entity's assessment on an ongoing basis of which entity has the power to direct activities of the VIE and the obligation to absorb the losses or the right to receive the benefits from the VIE. Adoption of these new rules had no impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2009, the EITF reached final consensus on updates to the Codification's *Revenue Recognition* rules, which changes the accounting for certain revenue arrangements. The new requirements change the allocation methods used in determining how to account for multiple element arrangements and will result in the ability to separately account for more deliverables, and potentially less revenue deferrals. Additionally, this new accounting treatment will require enhanced disclosures in financial statements. The new rule is effective for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010 on a prospective basis, with early application permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact this will have on our financial statements.

Note 2 Stock-Based Compensation

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we granted approximately 1.9 million restricted stock units under our Amended and Restated 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, at a weighted average grant date fair value of \$5.28 per share. We issued approximately 1.1 million shares of our common stock in connection with the vesting of restricted stock units during the six months ended June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2010, 4.0 million restricted stock units were unvested with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$5.14 per share.

Note 3 Long-term Debt, Short-term Borrowings, and Capital Lease Obligations

\$250 million 3.75% Convertible Debentures due 2035

In March 2010, on the first repurchase date, holders of the \$156 million outstanding of our 3.75% convertible debentures due 2035 required us to repurchase approximately \$155 million aggregate principal amount of debentures at par, plus accrued interest.

UBS Line of Credit

In March 2010, our line of credit with UBS Securities LLC and UBS Financial Services Inc, or UBS, was increased to \$63 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, certain auction rate securities, or ARS, securing this line of credit were redeemed by their issuers and the proceeds were used to reduce the line of credit to \$40 million as of June 30, 2010. In July 2010, we sold at par value the remaining \$49 million of ARS securing this line of credit and used the proceeds to pay off the outstanding balance on this line of credit.

Other Indebtedness

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we issued \$47 million in fixed rate equipment notes due through 2025 and \$19 million in non-public floating rate equipment notes due through 2015, which are secured by two new EMBRAER 190 aircraft and four previously unfinanced spare engines.

Aircraft, engines and other equipment and facilities having a net book value of \$3.60 billion at June 30, 2010 were pledged as security under various loan agreements.

Our outstanding debt and capital lease obligations were reduced by \$274 million, as a result of principal payments made during the six months ended June 30, 2010. At June 30, 2010, the weighted average interest rate of all of our long-term debt was 4.43% and scheduled maturities were \$131 million for the remainder of 2010, \$181 million in 2011, \$182 million in 2012, \$380 million in 2013, \$600 million in 2014 and \$1.64 billion thereafter.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our long-term debt at June 30, 2010 were as follows (in millions):

| | Carrying Value | Estimated Fair Value |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Public Debt | | |
| Floating rate enhanced equipment notes | | |
| Class G-1, due through 2016 | \$ 252 | \$ 208 |
| Class G-2, due 2014 and 2016 | 373 | 291 |
| Class B-1, due 2014 | 49 | 41 |
| Fixed rate special facility bonds, due through 2036 | 84 | 72 |
| 6 3/4% convertible debentures due in 2039 | 201 | 244 |
| 5 1/2% convertible debentures due in 2038 | 123 | 162 |
| Other | 2 | 2 |
| Non-Public Debt | | |
| Floating rate equipment notes, due through 2020 | 681 | 642 |
| Fixed rate equipment notes, due through 2025 | 1,178 | 1,197 |
| Total | \$ 2,943 | \$ 2,859 |

The estimated fair values of our publicly held long-term debt were based on quoted market prices or other observable market inputs when instruments are not actively traded. The fair value of our non-public debt was estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on our borrowing rates for instruments with similar terms. The fair values of our other financial instruments approximate their carrying values.

We utilize a policy provider to provide credit support on the Class G-1 and Class G-2 certificates. The policy provider has unconditionally guaranteed the payment of interest on the certificates when due and the payment of principal on the certificates no later than 18 months after the final expected regular distribution date. The policy provider is MBIA Insurance Corporation (a subsidiary of MBIA, Inc.).

Note 4 Comprehensive Income / (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) includes changes in fair value of our aircraft fuel derivatives and interest rate swap agreements, which qualify for hedge accounting. The differences between net income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) for each of these periods are as follows (dollars are in millions):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 30 | \$ 20 |
| Gain (loss) on derivative instruments (net of \$11 and \$23 of taxes) | (16) | 36 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (16) | 36 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 14 | \$ 56 |

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 29 | \$ 32 |
| Gain (loss) on derivative instruments (net of \$16 and \$42 of taxes) | (24) | 65 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (24) | 65 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 5 | \$ 97 |

A rollforward of the amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 is as follows (in millions):

| | Aircraft Fuel Derivatives | Interest Rate Swaps | Total |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Beginning accumulated gains (losses), at March 31, 2010 | \$ 2 | \$ (9) | \$ (7) |
| Reclassifications into earnings | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Change in fair value | (14) | (6) | (20) |
| Ending accumulated gains (losses), at June 30, 2010 | \$ (10) | \$ (13) | \$ (23) |

| | Aircraft Fuel Derivatives | Interest Rate Swaps | Total |
|---|--|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Beginning accumulated gains (losses), at December 31, 2009 | \$ 7 | \$ (6) | \$ 1 |
| Reclassifications into earnings | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Change in fair value | (18) | (10) | (28) |
| Ending accumulated gains (losses), at June 30, 2010 | \$ (10) | \$ (13) | \$ (23) |

Note 5 Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The following table shows how we computed basic and diluted earnings (loss) per common share (dollars in millions; share data in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 30 | \$ 20 | \$ 29 | \$ 32 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Interest on convertible debt, net of income taxes | 3 | 2 | 6 | 3 |
| Net income applicable to common stockholders after assumed conversion for diluted earnings per share | \$ 33 | \$ 22 | \$ 35 | \$ 35 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share | 275,229 | 251,770 | 274,644 | 248,102 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Employee stock options | 2,603 | 2,887 | 2,506 | 2,775 |
| Convertible debt | 68,605 | 68,605 | 68,605 | 68,605 |
| Adjusted weighted average shares outstanding and assumed conversions for diluted earnings per share | 346,437 | 323,262 | 345,755 | 319,482 |

Shares excluded from EPS calculation (in millions):

| | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|
| Shares issuable upon conversion of our convertible debt since assumed conversion would be antidilutive | | 10.2 | | 10.2 |
| Shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options or vesting of restricted stock units since assumed exercise would be antidilutive | 22.5 | 23.2 | 25.8 | 24.8 |

As of June 30, 2010, a total of approximately 18.0 million shares of our common stock, which were lent to our share borrower pursuant to the terms of our share lending agreement in which we initially loaned 44.9 million shares of our common stock in conjunction with our 2008 \$201 million convertible debt issuance, as described more fully in Note 2 to our 2009 Form 10-K, were issued and outstanding for corporate law purposes, and holders of the borrowed shares have all the rights of a holder of our common stock. However, because the share borrower must return all borrowed shares to us (or identical shares or, in certain circumstances of default by the counterparty, the cash value thereof), the borrowed shares are not considered outstanding for the purpose of computing and reporting basic or diluted earnings (loss) per share.

Note 6 Employee Retirement Plan

We sponsor a retirement savings 401(k) defined contribution plan, or the Plan, a component of which is a profit sharing plan. All employees are eligible to participate in the Plan. Our contributions expensed for the Plan for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$13 million and \$11 million, respectively, and contributions expensed for the Plan for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$27 million and \$23 million, respectively.

Note 7 Commitments and Contingencies

In February 2010, we amended our Airbus A320 purchase agreement, deferring six aircraft previously scheduled for delivery in 2011 and 2012 to 2015. This amendment had the effect of reducing our 2010 capital expenditures by \$40 million in related predelivery deposits, which will be required to be made in future periods.

As of June 30, 2010, our firm aircraft orders consisted of 55 Airbus A320 aircraft, 58 EMBRAER 190 aircraft and 17 spare engines scheduled for delivery through 2018. Committed expenditures for these aircraft, including the related flight equipment and estimated amounts for contractual price escalations and predelivery deposits, are approximately \$115 million for the remainder of 2010, \$425 million in 2011, \$715 million in 2012, \$815 million in 2013, \$765 million in 2014 and \$1.65 billion thereafter.

In addition to our purchase commitments above, in April 2010, we signed a letter of intent and plan to lease seven used Airbus A320 aircraft from a third party. We subsequently agreed to lease only six aircraft, which are scheduled to be delivered later in 2010. The terms of these operating leases are still being negotiated.

As of June 30, 2010, we had approximately \$30 million of restricted assets pledged under standby letters of credit related to certain of our leases which will expire at the end of the related lease terms. Additionally, we had \$19 million pledged related to our workers compensation insurance policies and other business partner agreements, which will expire according to the terms of the related policies or agreements.

In March 2010, we announced we will be combining our Darien, CT and Forest Hills, NY corporate offices and relocating to a new corporate headquarters in Long Island City, NY. As of June 30, 2010, we do not have any material commitments related to this corporate move, which is currently scheduled to commence in 2011.

Note 8 Financial Derivative Instruments and Risk Management

As part of our risk management strategy, we periodically purchase crude or heating oil option contracts or swap agreements to manage our exposure to the effect of changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. Prices for these commodities are normally highly correlated to aircraft fuel, making derivatives of them effective at providing short-term protection against sharp increases in average fuel prices. We also periodically enter into basis swaps for the differential between heating oil and jet fuel, as well as jet fuel swaps, to further limit the variability in fuel prices at various locations. To manage the variability of the cash flows associated with our variable rate debt, we have also entered into interest rate swaps. We do not hold or issue any derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

Aircraft fuel derivatives: We attempt to obtain cash flow hedge accounting treatment for each aircraft fuel derivative that we enter into. This treatment is provided for under the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the Codification, which allows for gains and losses on the effective portion of qualifying hedges to be deferred until the underlying planned jet fuel consumption occurs, rather than recognizing the gains and losses on these instruments into earnings for each period they are outstanding. The effective portion of realized aircraft fuel hedging derivative gains and losses is recognized in fuel expense, while ineffective gains and losses are recognized in interest income and other. All cash flows related to our fuel hedging derivatives are classified as operating cash flows.

Ineffectiveness results, in certain circumstances, when the change in the total fair value of the derivative instrument differs from the change in the value of our expected future cash outlays for the purchase of aircraft fuel and is recognized in interest income and other immediately. Likewise, if a hedge does not qualify for hedge accounting, the periodic changes in its fair values are recognized in interest income and other in the period of the change. When aircraft fuel is consumed and the related derivative contract settles, any gain or loss previously deferred in other comprehensive income is recognized in aircraft fuel expense.

Our current approach to fuel hedging is to enter into hedges on a discretionary basis without a specific target of hedge percentage needs in order to mitigate the liquidity issues and cap fuel prices, when possible.

The following table illustrates the approximate hedged percentages of our projected fuel usage by quarter as of June 30, 2010, related to our outstanding fuel hedging contracts that were designated as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

| | Crude oil cap agreements | Heating oil collars | Jet fuel swap agreements | Total |
|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| Third Quarter 2010 | 13% | 18% | 16% | 47% |
| Fourth Quarter 2010 | 13% | 19% | 14% | 46% |
| First Quarter 2011 | 15% | 5% | | 20% |
| Second Quarter 2011 | 19% | | | 19% |
| Third Quarter 2011 | 14% | | | 14% |
| Fourth Quarter 2011 | 2% | | | 2% |

In April 2010, we sold some of our outstanding crude oil cap agreements scheduled to settle in the third and fourth quarter of 2010 back to the original counterparties for a slight gain. We simultaneously entered into jet fuel swap agreements for the same quantity and duration, and as a result maintained the same level of overall hedge positions for the third and fourth quarter of 2010.

We also enter into basis swaps and certain jet fuel swap agreements, which we do not designate as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes and adjust their fair value through earnings each period based on their current fair value.

Interest rate swaps: The interest rate hedges we had outstanding as of June 30, 2010 effectively swap floating rate for fixed rate, taking advantage of lower borrowing rates in existence at the time of the hedge transaction as compared to the date our original debt instruments were executed. As of June 30, 2010, we had \$392 million in notional debt outstanding related to these swaps, which cover certain interest payments through August 2016. The notional amount decreases over time to match scheduled repayments of the related debt.

All of our outstanding interest rate swap contracts qualify as cash flow hedges in accordance with the Derivatives and Hedging topic of the Codification. Since all of the critical terms of our swap agreements match the debt to which they pertain, there was no ineffectiveness relating to these interest rate swaps in 2010 or 2009, and all related unrealized losses were deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income. We recognized approximately \$4 million and \$2 million in additional interest expense as the related interest payments were made during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Any outstanding derivative instrument exposes us to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to the agreements, but we do not expect that any of our four counterparties will fail to meet their obligations. The amount of such credit exposure is generally the fair value of our outstanding contracts. To manage credit risks, we select counterparties based on credit assessments, limit our overall exposure to any single counterparty and monitor the market position with each counterparty. All of our agreements require cash deposits if market risk exposure exceeds a specified threshold amount.

The financial derivative instrument agreements we have with our counterparties may require us to fund all, or a portion of, outstanding loss positions related to these contracts prior to their scheduled maturities. The amount of collateral posted, if any, is periodically adjusted based on the fair value of the hedge contracts. Our policy is to offset the liabilities represented by these contracts with any cash collateral paid to the counterparties. We did not have any collateral posted related to our outstanding fuel hedge contracts at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009. The table below reflects a summary of our collateral balances (in millions).

| | June 30, 2010 | As of December 31, 2009 |
|--|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Interest rate derivatives | | |
| Cash collateral posted to counterparty offsetting hedge liability in other current liabilities | \$22 | \$ 17 |

The table below reflects quantitative information related to our derivative instruments and where these amounts are recorded in our financial statements. The fair value of those contracts not designated as cash flow hedges was not material at either June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009 (dollar amounts in millions).

| | June 30, 2010 | As of December 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|----------------------------------|
| Fuel derivatives | | |
| Asset fair value recorded in prepaid expenses and other | \$ 6 | \$ 25 |
| Liability fair value recorded in other accrued liabilities | 9 | |
| Asset fair value recorded in other long term assets | 3 | 3 |
| Longest remaining term (months) | 18 | 18 |
| Hedged volume (barrels, in thousands) | 4,575 | 5,070 |
| Estimated amount of existing gains (losses) expected to be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months | (15) | 12 |
| Interest rate derivatives | | |
| Liability fair value recorded in other long term liabilities (1) | 22 | 10 |
| Estimated amount of existing gains (losses) expected to be reclassified into earnings in the next 12 months | (8) | (8) |

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Fuel derivatives | | | | |
| Hedge effectiveness gains (losses) recognized in aircraft fuel expense | \$ (2) | \$(42) | \$ | \$(98) |
| Hedge ineffectiveness gains (losses) recognized in other income (expense) | (2) | | (2) | |
| Gains (losses) of derivatives not qualifying for hedge accounting recognized in other income (expense) | | | | |
| Hedge gains (losses) of derivatives recognized in comprehensive income, (see Note 4) | (21) | 6 | (28) | 3 |
| Percentage of actual consumption economically hedged | 45% | 9% | 55% | 9% |
| Interest rate derivatives | | | | |
| Hedge gains (losses) of derivatives recognized in comprehensive income, (see Note 4) | (7) | 10 | (12) | 5 |

- (1) Gross liability,
prior to impact
of collateral
posted

Note 9 Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Under the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the Codification, disclosures are required about how fair value is determined for assets and liabilities and a hierarchy for which these assets and liabilities must be grouped, based on significant levels of inputs as follows:

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;

12

Level 2 quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability; or

Level 3 unobservable inputs, such as discounted cash flow models or valuations.

The determination of where assets and liabilities fall within this hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The following is a listing of our assets and liabilities required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis and where they are classified within the hierarchy as of June 30, 2010 (in millions).

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 454 | \$ | \$ | \$ 454 |
| Restricted cash | 63 | | | 63 |
| Investment Securities | | | | |
| Auction rate securities (ARS) | | | 42 | 42 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 123 | | | 123 |
| Held-to-maturity bonds | 518 | | | 518 |
| Put option related to ARS | | | 7 | 7 |
| Aircraft fuel derivatives | | 3 | | 3 |
| | \$ 1,158 | \$ 3 | \$ 49 | \$ 1,210 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Aircraft fuel derivatives | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ 3 |
| Interest rate swap | | | 22 | 22 |
| | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ 22 | \$ 25 |

Refer to Note 3 for fair value information related to our outstanding debt obligations as of June 30, 2010. The following tables reflect the activity for the major classes of our assets and liabilities measured at fair value using level 3 inputs (in millions) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010:

| | Auction Rate | Put Option related to ARS | Interest Rate | Total |
|--|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| | Securities | | Swaps | |
| Balance as of March 31, 2010 | \$ 63 | \$ 9 | \$ (15) | \$ 57 |
| Total gains or (losses), realized or unrealized | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 3 | (3) | (2) | (2) |
| Included in comprehensive income | | | (5) | (5) |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | (24) | 1 | | (23) |
| | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 42 | \$ 7 | \$ (22) | \$ 27 |
| | | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2009 | \$ 74 | \$ 11 | \$ (10) | \$ 75 |
| Total gains or (losses), realized or unrealized | | | | |
| Included in earnings | 4 | (4) | (4) | (4) |
| Included in comprehensive income | | | (8) | (8) |
| Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net | (36) | | | (36) |
| | | | | |
| Balance as of June 30, 2010 | \$ 42 | \$ 7 | \$ (22) | \$ 27 |

Cash and cash equivalents: Our cash and cash equivalents include money market securities and trade deposits and commercial paper which are readily convertible into cash with maturities of three months or less when purchased. These securities are valued using inputs observable in active markets for identical securities and are therefore classified as level 1 within our fair value hierarchy.

Investment securities: We held various investment securities at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. When sold, we use a specific identification method to determine the cost of the securities. The carrying value of these investments was as follows (in millions):

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Available-for-sale securities | | |
| Asset-back securities with maturities within one year | \$ | \$ 109 |
| Time deposits with maturities within one year | 33 | 36 |
| Commercial paper with maturities within one year | 90 | 5 |
| | 123 | 150 |
| Held-to-maturity securities | | |
| Corporate bonds with maturities within one year | 297 | 22 |
| Corporate bonds with maturities between one and five years | 45 | |
| Government bonds with maturities within one year | 35 | |
| Government bonds with maturities between one and five years | 124 | |
| Municipal bonds with maturities within one year | 16 | |

| | | |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 517 | 22 |
| Trading securities | | |
| Student loan bonds | 42 | 74 |
| Total | \$ 682 | \$ 246 |

Available-for-sale investment securities: Included in our available-for-sale investment securities are certificate of deposits placed through an account registry service, or CDARS, and commercial paper with original maturities greater than 90 days but less than one year. At December 31, 2009, we also held asset backed securities, which are considered variable rate demand notes with contractual maturities generally greater than ten years with interest reset dates often every 30 days or less. The fair values of these investments are based on observable market data. We did not record any significant gains or losses on these securities during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Held-to-maturity investment securities: During 2009 and the six months ended June 30, 2010, we purchased various corporate bonds. Those with original maturities less than twelve months are included in short-term investments on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, and those with original maturities in excess of twelve months are included in long-term investments on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of these investments is based on observable market data. We did not record any significant gains or losses on these securities during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Auction rate securities: At June 30, 2010, the fair values of our ARS all of which are collateralized by student loan portfolios (substantially all of which are guaranteed by the United States Government), were estimated through discounted cash flow models. Since these inputs were not observable, they are classified as level 3 inputs. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded an unrealized holding gain on our ARS of \$8 million, based on the then current fair value. We classify our ARS as trading securities and therefore measure at each reporting period with the resulting gain (loss) recognized in other income (expense). Our discounted cash flow analysis considered, among other things, the quality of the underlying collateral, the credit rating of the issuers, an estimate of when these securities are either expected to have a successful auction or otherwise return to par value, expected interest income to be received over this period, and the estimated required rate of return for investors. Because of the inherent subjectivity in valuing these securities, we also considered independent valuations obtained for each of our ARS as of June 30, 2009 in estimating their fair values.

In July 2010, the remaining \$49 million par value of ARS were repurchased at par by UBS in accordance with the settlement agreement with them as described more fully in Note 14 of our 2009 Form 10-K. The proceeds were used to terminate the outstanding balance on the line of credit with UBS.

Put option related to ARS: We have elected to apply the fair value option under the Financial Instruments topic of the Codification, to UBS's agreement to repurchase, at par, ARS brokered by them. We have done so in order to closely conform to our treatment of the underlying ARS. As of June 30, 2010, the \$7 million fair value of this put option is included in other current assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. Any gain (loss) resulting from an adjustment of the fair value is included in other income (expense). The change in fair value was insignificant during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The fair value of the put option is based on unobservable inputs and is therefore classified as level 3 in the hierarchy.

Interest Rate Swaps: The fair values of our interest rate swaps are initially based on inputs received from the counterparty. These values were corroborated by adjusting the active swap indications in quoted markets for similar terms (6 - 8 years) for the specific terms within our swap agreements. Since some of these inputs were not observable, they are classified as level 3 inputs in the hierarchy.

Aircraft fuel derivatives: Our heating oil and jet fuel swaps, heating oil collars, and crude oil caps are not traded on public exchanges. Their fair values are determined using a market approach based on inputs that are readily available from public markets for commodities and energy trading activities; therefore, they are classified as level 2 inputs. The data inputs are combined into quantitative models and processes to generate forward curves and volatilities related to the specific terms of the underlying hedge contracts.

Note 10 Stockholders Equity

In May 2010, at our annual meeting of stockholders, shareholders approved an amendment to our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the Company's authorized capital from 500 million common shares to 900 million common shares.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Outlook

Overall economic conditions continued to show signs of improvement during the second quarter with airlines benefiting from the global economic recovery in the form of increased demand and improved yield. Our average fare for the second quarter increased 10% to \$139.02 over the same period in 2009. The stronger fare environment and our ability to attract and retain higher yielding customers have contributed to better than expected revenue gains. While we remain optimistic the revenue environment will continue to improve throughout 2010, a competitive industry landscape is ever present, and as such we will continue to focus on striving to achieve our long-term sustainable growth goals. For us to achieve these goals, we plan to continue to maximize network profitability, build and maintain our financial strength, control costs and enhance our unique culture.

We continue our focus on key growth regions, including Boston, New York, and the Caribbean and Latin America by building upon our leisure markets and strong visiting friends and relatives travel while leveraging our presence as the largest domestic carrier at both John F. Kennedy Airport, or JFK, and Boston's Logan International Airport, or Boston. In doing so, we continue to expand our portfolio of strategic commercial partnerships. In May 2010, we announced an interline agreement with South African Airways allowing customers to connect between all of our current destinations and 40 cities in the South African Airways network via JFK. We also continue to expand in Boston with new destinations and increased frequencies to existing markets, and as a result we are now the largest carrier serving Boston. We believe that optimizing our schedule across our network and building upon the success of our revamped loyalty program, TrueBlue, has increased our relevance to the business traveler and allows us to further grow and strengthen our network.

We commenced service to Punta Cana, Dominican Republic in May 2010, and have announced plans to begin service to Ronald Reagan National Airport in Washington, DC and Hartford, CT in November 2010. Our disciplined overall growth includes managing the growth, size, age, and type of aircraft in our fleet. With new opportunities, including slots at Washington National and commercial partnerships, we have agreed to take delivery of six used Airbus A320 aircraft from a third party later this year, which are in addition to our purchase commitments with Airbus. We expect to execute operating leases for these six aircraft, the terms of which are still being negotiated. Including these six used aircraft, we expect our operating aircraft to consist of 116 Airbus A320 aircraft and 45 EMBRAER 190 aircraft at the end of 2010. We have one of the youngest and most fuel efficient fleets in the industry, with an average age of 4.8 years, which we believe gives us a competitive advantage.

We continue to reap the benefits of our recent investment in a new integrated customer service system, which we implemented in the first quarter of 2010 and which we believe better positions us for our long-term growth. These benefits include several near-term opportunities such as improved pricing and revenue management capabilities, higher yielding traffic from increased participation in global distribution systems, additional ancillary revenue opportunities, and facilitating future commercial partnerships.

We also remain committed to our financial goals, including a commitment to generating positive free cash flow, maintaining an adequate liquidity position, and rigorously focusing on cost control. However, costs presented a challenge in the first half of 2010. As expected, we incurred one time implementation costs associated with our new integrated customer service system, as well as overall higher technology infrastructure costs. Additionally, the winter storm season was more severe than recent years. All of these factors pressured our costs per available seat mile, or CASM, excluding fuel. Unlike most airlines, we have a policy of not furloughing crewmembers during economic downturns and a non-union workforce, which we believe provides us with more flexibility and allows us to be more productive. Historically, our distribution costs tend to be lower than those of most other airlines on a per unit basis because the majority of our customers book directly through our website or our agents; however, with our new customer service system, real time global distribution system, or GDS, connectivity has increased the number of bookings through these more expensive channels, which has increased our distribution costs.

The price and availability of aircraft fuel, which is our single largest operating expense, are extremely volatile due to global economic and geopolitical factors that we can neither control nor accurately predict.

Fuel prices have been on the rise in 2010, climbing to levels not seen since the end of 2008. In response, we continue to actively build our portfolio of fuel hedges. We effectively hedged 55% of our total first half of 2010 fuel consumption. As of June 30, 2010, we had outstanding fuel hedge contracts covering approximately 47% of our forecasted consumption for the third quarter of 2010, 50% for the full year 2010, and 14% for the full year 2011. We will continue to monitor fuel prices closely and take advantage of fuel hedging opportunities in order to mitigate our liquidity exposure and provide some level of protection against significant volatility and further increases in fuel prices.

We expect our full-year operating capacity to increase approximately 6% to 8% over 2009 primarily as a result of the maturation of cities added over the past year, as well as the addition of four EMBRAER 190 and six Airbus A320 aircraft to our operating fleet. Revenue per available seat mile, or RASM, is expected to improve between 8% and 11% over 2009, which reflects the improving demand and pricing environments, maturation of markets we previously opened, and some improved capabilities in the later part of the year associated with our new customer service system. Assuming fuel prices of \$2.28 per gallon, including fuel taxes and net of effective hedges, our cost per available seat mile for 2010 is expected to increase by 6% to 8% over 2009. This expected increase is a result of higher fuel prices, higher overall technology infrastructure costs, higher salaries and wages due to the pilot wage increases implemented in June of 2009, higher maintenance costs and the one-time costs associated with transitioning to our new customer service system.

Results of Operations

Our operating revenue per available seat mile for the quarter increased 10% over the same period in 2009. Our average fares for the quarter increased 10% over 2009 to \$139.02, while our load factor increased 2.5 points to 82.0% from a year ago. Our on-time performance, defined by the Department of Transportation, or DOT, as arrival within 14 minutes of schedule, was 83.2% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to 75.1% for the same period in 2009, while our completion factor was 99.2% and 98.6% in 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

We reported net income of \$30 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$20 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.10 for the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$0.07 for 2009. Our operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was \$94 million compared to \$76 million for the same period last year, and our pre-tax margin increased 1.0 point from 2009 to 5.5%.

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues increased 16%, or \$132 million, over the same period in 2009, primarily due to an 18%, or \$129 million, increase in passenger revenues. The increase in passenger revenues was largely attributable to a 5% increase in capacity along with an 8% increase in yield over the second quarter of 2009. This includes the positive impact of improved pricing capabilities and increased participation in GDSs as a result of our new customer service system. Additionally, our Even More Legroom fees increased approximately \$4 million.

Other revenue increased 5%, or \$3 million, primarily due to a \$4 million increase in marketing related revenues and a \$2 million increase in baggage fees offset by a reduction in rental income and lower LiveTV third party revenues.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses increased 16%, or \$114 million, over the same period in 2009, primarily due to higher fuel prices, increased salaries, wages, and benefits related to pilot pay increases implemented in mid 2009, higher technology related operating expenses, and increased maintenance costs. Operating capacity increased 5% to 8.69 billion available seat miles. Operating expenses per available seat mile increased 10% to 9.72 cents for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

Excluding fuel, our cost per available seat mile for the three months ended June 30, 2010 was 8% higher compared to the same period in 2009. In detail, operating costs per available seat mile were as follows (percent changes are based on unrounded numbers):

| | Three Months Ended | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| | June 30, | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | Percent |
| | (in cents) | | Change |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Aircraft fuel | 3.21 | 2.86 | 12.2% |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 2.51 | 2.33 | 7.5% |
| Landing fees and other rents | .66 | .65 | 1.5% |
| Depreciation and amortization | .62 | .69 | (9.7)% |
| Aircraft rent | .35 | .39 | (8.2)% |
| Sales and marketing | .50 | .47 | 6.9% |
| Maintenance materials and repairs | .48 | .41 | 16.7% |
| Other operating expenses | 1.39 | 1.08 | 28.6% |
| Total operating expenses | 9.72 | 8.88 | 9.5% |

Aircraft fuel expense increased 18%, or \$43 million, due to a 12% increase in average fuel cost per gallon, or \$30 million after the impact of fuel hedging, and an increase of six million gallons of aircraft fuel consumed, resulting in \$13 million in additional fuel expense. We recorded \$2 million in effective fuel hedge losses during the second quarter of 2010 versus \$42 million in effective fuel hedge losses during the same period in 2009. Our average fuel cost per gallon was \$2.30 for the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$2.05 for the second quarter of 2009. Cost per available seat mile increased 12% primarily due to the increase in fuel price.

Salaries, wages and benefits increased 13%, or \$26 million, primarily due to an increase in full-time equivalent employees and increases in wages and related benefits under our pilot employment agreements implemented in June 2009. Cost per available seat mile increased 8% primarily due to an increase in full-time equivalent employees.

Landing fees and other rents increased 7%, or \$4 million, due to a 2% increase in departures over 2009 and an increase in landing fee and airport rental rates.

Depreciation and amortization decreased 5%, or \$2 million, primarily due to our purchased technology becoming fully amortized in late 2009. This decrease was offset by having an average of 96 owned and capital leased aircraft in 2010 compared to 93 in 2009 and increased software amortization related to our new customer service system.

Sales and marketing expense increased 13%, or \$5 million, due to \$4 million in higher commissions in 2010 related to our increased participation in GDSs and online travel agencies and \$4 million in higher credit card fees resulting from the increased average fares, offset by \$3 million in lower advertising costs. On a cost per available seat mile basis, sales and marketing expense increased 7% primarily due to increased fares and distribution costs resulting from the enhanced capabilities of our new customer service system.

Maintenance, materials, and repairs increased 23%, or \$7 million, due to three additional average operating aircraft in 2010, compared to the same period in 2009 and the gradual aging of our fleet. The average age of our fleet increased to 4.8 years as of June 30, 2010 compared to 3.8 years as of June 30,

2009. Maintenance expense is expected to increase significantly as our fleet ages, resulting in the need for additional repairs over time. Cost per available seat mile increased 17% primarily due to the gradual aging of our fleet.

Other operating expenses increased 36%, or \$32 million, primarily due to technology infrastructure related costs. Variable costs increased as a result of 2% more departures versus 2009, and operating out of eight additional cities opened throughout 2009. Other operating expenses were offset in 2009 by \$11 million for certain tax incentives. Cost per available seat mile increased 29% primarily due to technology infrastructure related costs.

Other Income (Expense). Interest expense decreased 11%, or \$6 million, primarily due to lower interest rates.

Interest income and other decreased \$8 million, primarily due to a \$6 million gain recorded in 2009 related to the valuation of our auction rate securities, or ARS, and related put option. Accounting ineffectiveness on our crude and heating oil derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges resulted in a \$2 million loss in 2010, compared to an immaterial amount in 2009. We are unable to predict what the amount of ineffectiveness will be related to these instruments, or the potential loss of hedge accounting, which is determined on a derivative-by-derivative basis, due to the volatility in the forward markets for these commodities.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

We reported net income of \$29 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$32 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Diluted earnings per share were \$0.10 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$0.11 for the same period in 2009. Our operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was \$136 million compared to \$149 million for the same period last year, and our pre-tax margin decreased 0.8 points from 2009.

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues increased 13%, or \$209 million, over the same period in 2009, primarily due to a 15%, or \$208 million, increase in passenger revenues. The increase in passenger revenues was largely attributable to a 6% increase in capacity along with a 6% increase in yield over the first half of 2009, amounts which include capacity reductions during the initial cutover period to our new customer service system in the first quarter. Additionally, we had an \$8 million increase in Even More Legroom fees as a result of increased capacity and revised pricing.

Other revenue remained relatively flat, increasing 1%, or \$1 million, primarily due to an \$8 million increase in marketing related revenues offset by a \$6 million reduction in change fees as a result of several change fee waivers during the first half of 2010 in conjunction with our new system migration.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses increased 15%, or \$222 million, over the same period in 2009, primarily due to higher fuel prices and an increase in other operating expenses including the one time implementation related expenses related to our new customer service system and overall higher technology infrastructure costs. Additionally, operating expenses increased due to higher salaries, wages, and benefits related to pilot pay increases implemented in mid 2009 and increased maintenance costs. Operating capacity increased 6% to 17.11 billion available seat miles, despite capacity reductions during our initial cutover period to our new customer service system. Operating expenses per available seat mile increased 9% to 9.77 cents for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Excluding fuel, our cost per available seat mile for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 9% higher compared to the same period in 2009. In detail, operating costs per available seat mile were as follows (percent changes are based on unrounded numbers):

| | Six Months Ended | | Percent Change |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 2010 | June 30, 2009 | |
| | (in cents) | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | |
| Aircraft fuel | 3.11 | 2.83 | 10.1% |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 2.55 | 2.33 | 9.4% |
| Landing fees and other rents | .65 | .64 | 2.0% |
| Depreciation and amortization | .65 | .69 | (5.8)% |
| Aircraft rent | .36 | .39 | (8.7)% |
| Sales and marketing | .49 | .47 | 4.9% |
| Maintenance materials and repairs | .47 | .44 | 7.2% |
| Other operating expenses | 1.49 | 1.18 | 26.5% |
| Total operating expenses | 9.77 | 8.97 | 9.0% |

Aircraft fuel expense increased 16%, or \$75 million, due to a 10% increase in average fuel cost per gallon, or \$48 million after the impact of fuel hedging, and an increase of 13 million gallons of aircraft fuel consumed, resulting in \$27 million in additional fuel expense. We recorded an immaterial amount in effective fuel hedge losses during 2010 versus \$98 million in effective fuel hedge losses during 2009. Our average fuel cost per gallon was \$2.25 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$2.04 for the same period in 2009. Cost per available seat mile increased 10% primarily due to the increase in fuel price.

Salaries, wages and benefits increased 16%, or \$60 million, primarily due to increases in wages and related benefits under our pilot employment agreements implemented in June 2009. We also incurred an additional \$6 million associated with higher staffing levels in the first quarter related to the implementation of our new customer service system. Cost per available seat mile increased 9% primarily due to an increase in full-time equivalent employees.

Landing fees and other rents increased 8%, or \$8 million, due to a 2% increase in departures over 2009 and an increase in landing fee and airport rental rates associated with expanded operations in certain markets. Cost per available seat mile increased 2% due to increased rates.

Depreciation and amortization remained flat. We had an average of 96 owned and capital leased aircraft in 2010 compared to 91 in 2009 and increased software amortization related to our new customer service system. This increase in depreciation was offset by our purchased technology becoming fully amortized in late 2009. Cost per available seat mile decreased 6% due to increased capacity.

Sales and marketing expense increased 11%, or \$8 million, due to \$7 million in higher commissions in 2010 related to our increased participation in GDSs and OTAs and \$6 million in higher credit card fees resulting from the increased average fares, offset by \$5 million in lower advertising costs. On a cost per available seat mile basis, sales and marketing expense increased 5% primarily due to increased distribution costs resulting from the enhanced capabilities of our new customer service system.

Maintenance, materials, and repairs increased 13%, or \$9 million, due to five additional average operating aircraft in 2010, compared to the same period in 2009 and the gradual aging of our fleet. The average age of our fleet increased to 4.8 years as of June 30, 2010 compared to 3.8 years as of June 30, 2009. Maintenance expense is expected to increase significantly as our fleet ages, resulting in the need for additional repairs over time. Cost per available seat mile increased 7% primarily due to the gradual aging of our fleet.

Other operating expenses increased 34%, or \$64 million, primarily due to increased costs related to the implementation of our new customer service system. We incurred approximately \$13 million in one time, non-recurring implementation of our new customer service system related expenses as well as higher other technology infrastructure related costs. Additionally, variable costs increased as a result of 2% more departures versus 2009, a severe winter storm season, and operating out of eight additional cities opened throughout 2009. Other operating expenses were offset in 2009 by \$11 million for certain tax incentives. Cost per available seat mile increased 27% primarily due to the implementation costs associated with our new customer service system.

Other Income (Expense). Interest expense decreased 8%, or \$8 million, primarily due to lower interest rates and a lower principal balance of debt outstanding.

Interest income and other decreased 35% primarily due to a \$2 million loss recorded in 2009 related to the valuation of our ARS and related put option. This was slightly offset by lower interest rates earned on investments. Accounting ineffectiveness on our crude and heating oil derivative instruments classified as cash flow hedges was a loss of \$2 million in 2010, compared to an immaterial amount in 2009. We are unable to predict what the amount of ineffectiveness will be related to these instruments, or the potential loss of hedge accounting, which is determined on a derivative-by-derivative basis, due to the volatility in the forward markets for these commodities.

The following table sets forth our operating statistics for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

| | Three Months Ended | | | Six Months Ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | June 30, 2010 | 2009 | Percent Change | June 30, 2010 | 2009 | Percent Change |
| Operating Statistics: | | | | | | |
| Revenue passengers (thousands) | 6,114 | 5,691 | 7.4 | 11,642 | 10,982 | 6.0 |
| Revenue passenger miles (millions) | 7,126 | 6,545 | 8.9 | 13,596 | 12,585 | 8.0 |
| Available seat miles (ASMs) (millions) | 8,688 | 8,237 | 5.5 | 17,112 | 16,179 | 5.8 |
| Load factor | 82.0% | 79.5% | 2.5 pts. | 79.5% | 77.8% | 1.7 pts. |
| Aircraft utilization (hours per day) | 11.8 | 11.9 | (0.3) | 11.8 | 11.9 | (1.0) |
| Average fare | \$ 139.02 | \$ 126.74 | 9.7 | \$ 140.43 | \$ 129.94 | 8.1 |
| Yield per passenger mile (cents) | 11.93 | 11.02 | 8.2 | 12.02 | 11.34 | 6.0 |
| Passenger revenue per ASM (cents) | 9.78 | 8.76 | 11.7 | 9.55 | 8.82 | 8.3 |
| Operating revenue per ASM (cents) | 10.81 | 9.80 | 10.4 | 10.57 | 9.89 | 6.9 |
| Operating expense per ASM (cents) | 9.72 | 8.88 | 9.5 | 9.77 | 8.97 | 9.0 |
| Operating expense per ASM, excluding fuel (cents) | 6.51 | 6.02 | 8.2 | 6.66 | 6.13 | 8.6 |
| Airline operating expense per ASM (cents) (1) | 9.55 | 8.66 | 10.3 | 9.58 | 8.74 | 9.6 |
| Departures | 56,202 | 54,885 | 2.4 | 110,569 | 107,899 | 2.5 |
| | 1,102 | 1,067 | 3.3 | 1,102 | 1,066 | 3.4 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|------|
| Average stage length (miles) | | | | | | |
| Average number of operating aircraft during period | 151.0 | 147.4 | 2.5 | 151.0 | 144.9 | 4.2 |
| Average fuel cost per gallon | \$ 2.30 | \$ 2.05 | 12.3 | \$ 2.25 | \$ 2.04 | 10.0 |
| Fuel gallons consumed (millions) | 121 | 115 | 5.4 | 237 | 224 | 5.8 |
| Full-time equivalent employees at period end (1) | | | | 10,906 | 10,235 | 6.6 |

(1) Excludes operating expenses and employees of LiveTV, LLC, which are unrelated to our airline operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At June 30, 2010, we had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$477 million and short term investments of \$513 million compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$896 million and short term investments of \$240 million at December 31, 2009. Cash flows from operating activities were \$356 million and \$225 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in operating cash flows reflects the 8% increase in average fares and the 10% higher price of fuel in 2010 compared to 2009. We rely primarily on operating cash flows to provide working capital. At June 30, 2010, we had one line of credit totaling \$40 million, which was secured by our ARS, and was fully drawn as of June 30, 2010. In July 2010, this line of credit was repaid and closed.

Investing Activities. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, capital expenditures related to our purchase of flight equipment included \$65 million for two aircraft and two spare engines, \$20 million for flight equipment deposits and \$8 million for spare part purchases. Capital expenditures for other property and equipment, including ground equipment purchases, facilities improvements and LiveTV inventory, were \$58 million. Investing activities also included the net purchase of \$437 million in investment securities.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, capital expenditures related to our purchase of flight equipment included \$303 million for 11 aircraft and two spare engines, \$15 million for flight equipment deposits and \$8 million for spare part purchases. Capital expenditures for other property and equipment, including ground equipment purchases and facilities improvements, were \$31 million. Proceeds from the sale of two aircraft were \$58 million. Investing activities also included \$29 million in proceeds from the sale of certain ARS.

Financing Activities. Financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 consisted of (1), the required repurchase of \$155 million of our 3.75% convertible debentures due 2035, (2) repaying a net \$17 million on our line of credit collateralized by our ARS, (3) scheduled maturities of \$84 million of debt and capital lease obligations, (4) our issuance of \$47 million in fixed rate equipment notes and \$19 million in non-public floating rate equipment notes secured by two EMBRAER 190 aircraft and four spare engines, and (5) reimbursement of construction costs incurred for Terminal 5 of \$9 million.

We currently have an automatic shelf registration statement on file with the SEC relating to our sale, from time to time, of one or more public offerings of debt securities, pass-through certificates, common stock, preferred stock and/or other securities. The net proceeds of any securities we sell under this registration statement may be used to fund working capital and capital expenditures, including the purchase of aircraft and construction of facilities on or near airports. Through June 30, 2010, we have not issued any securities under this registration statement. At this time, we have no plans to sell securities under this registration statement.

Financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 consisted of (1) our issuance of \$201 million of 6.75% convertible debentures, raising net proceeds of approximately \$197 million, (2) our public offering of approximately 26.5 million shares of common stock for approximately \$109 million in net proceeds, (3) our issuance of \$143 million in fixed rate equipment notes and \$102 million in floating rate equipment notes to banks secured by three Airbus A320 aircraft and six EMBRAER 190 aircraft, (4) paying down a net of \$107 million on our lines of credit collateralized by our ARS, (5) scheduled maturities of \$74 million of debt and capital lease obligations, (6) the repurchase of \$3 million principal amount of 3.75% convertible debentures due 2035 for \$3 million, and (7) reimbursement of construction costs incurred for our new terminal at JFK of \$25 million.

Working Capital. We had working capital of \$169 million and \$369 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. Our working capital includes the fair value of our short term fuel hedge derivatives, which was a liability of \$3 million at June 30, 2010 and an asset of \$25 million at December 31, 2009.

In July 2010, UBS repurchased all of our ARS outstanding as of June 30, 2010 at their par value of \$49 million in accordance with our previous agreement. The proceeds of these sales were used to terminate the outstanding balance of the line of credit we had with UBS. As a result, we realized a net cash increase of approximately \$9 million.

We expect to meet our obligations as they become due through available cash, investment securities and internally generated funds, supplemented as necessary by financing activities, as they may be available to us. We expect to generate positive working capital through our operations. However, we cannot predict what the effect on our business might be from the extremely competitive environment we are operating in or from events that are beyond our control, such as volatile fuel prices, the current economic recession and global credit and liquidity crisis, weather-related disruptions, the impact of airline bankruptcies or consolidations, U.S. military actions or acts of terrorism. We believe the working capital available to us will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for at least the next 12 months.

Contractual Obligations

Our noncancelable contractual obligations at June 30, 2010, include the following (in millions):

| | Total | Payments due in | | | | | Thereafter |
|--|-----------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|
| | | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | |
| Long-term debt and capital lease obligations (1) | \$ 3,942 | \$ 196 | \$ 309 | \$ 302 | \$ 491 | \$ 693 | \$ 1,951 |
| Lease commitments | 1,768 | 106 | 206 | 182 | 153 | 154 | 967 |
| Flight equipment obligations | 4,480 | 115 | 425 | 715 | 815 | 765 | 1,645 |
| Financing obligations and other (2) | 5,887 | 139 | 244 | 299 | 317 | 331 | 4,557 |
| Total | \$ 16,077 | \$ 556 | \$ 1,184 | \$ 1,498 | \$ 1,776 | \$ 1,943 | \$ 9,120 |

(1) Includes actual interest and estimated interest for floating-rate debt based on June 30, 2010 rates.

(2) Amounts include noncancelable commitments for the purchase of goods and services.

There have been no material changes in the terms of our debt instruments from the information provided in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Liquidity and Capital Resources included in our 2009 Form 10-K. We are not subject to any financial covenants in any of our debt obligations. We have approximately \$30 million of restricted cash pledged under standby letters of credit related to certain of our leases which will expire at the end of the related lease terms.

As of June 30, 2010, we operated a fleet of 110 Airbus A320 aircraft and 41 EMBRAER 190 aircraft, of which 92 were owned, 55 were leased under operating leases and four were leased under capital leases. We also owned two additional aircraft which we took delivery of at the end of June 2010 but which were not yet placed in service. The average age of our operating fleet was 4.8 years at June 30, 2010. In February 2010, we amended our Airbus A320 purchase agreement, deferring six aircraft previously scheduled for delivery in 2011 and 2012 to 2015. As of June 30, 2010, we had on order 55 Airbus A320 aircraft and 58 EMBRAER 190 aircraft; with options to acquire eight additional Airbus A320 aircraft and 74 additional EMBRAER 190 aircraft as follows:

| Year | Firm | | | Option | | |
|-------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | Airbus A320 | EMBRAER 190 | Total | Airbus A320 | EMBRAER 190 | Total |
| Remainder of 2010 | | 2 | 2 | | | |
| 2011 | 4 | 5 | 9 | | 4 | 4 |
| 2012 | 11 | 6 | 17 | | 10 | 10 |
| 2013 | 13 | 7 | 20 | | 10 | 10 |
| 2014 | 12 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| 2015 | 15 | 7 | 22 | 4 | 10 | 14 |
| 2016 | | 8 | 8 | | 10 | 10 |
| 2017 | | 8 | 8 | | 10 | 10 |
| 2018 | | 8 | 8 | | 10 | 10 |
| | 55 | 58 | 113 | 8 | 74 | 82 |

In addition to the above aircraft on order, we expect to lease six used Airbus A320 aircraft in 2010.

Committed expenditures for our 113 firm aircraft and 17 spare engines include estimated amounts for contractual price escalations and predelivery deposits. Debt financing has been arranged for our two remaining firm aircraft deliveries scheduled for 2010, and lease financing is being arranged for our used aircraft deliveries expected in 2010. Although we believe that debt and/or lease financing should be available for our remaining aircraft deliveries, we cannot give assurance that we will be able to secure financing on terms attractive to us, if at all, which may require us to modify our aircraft acquisition plans. Capital expenditures for facility improvements, spare parts, and ground purchases are expected to be approximately \$90 million for the remainder of 2010.

In November 2005, we executed a 30-year lease agreement with the PANYNJ for the construction and operation of a new terminal at JFK, which we began to operate in October 2008. For financial reporting purposes only, this lease is being accounted for as a financing obligation because we do not believe we qualify for sale-leaseback accounting due to our continuing involvement in the property following the construction period. JetBlue has committed to rental payments under the lease, including ground rents for the new terminal site, which began on lease execution and are included as part of lease commitments in the contractual obligations table above. Facility rents commenced upon the date of our beneficial occupancy of the new terminal and are included as part of financing obligations and other in the contractual obligations table above.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None of our operating lease obligations are reflected on our balance sheet. Although some of our aircraft lease arrangements are variable interest entities, as defined in the Consolidations topic of the Codification, none of them require consolidation in our financial statements. The decision to finance these aircraft through operating leases rather than through debt was based on an analysis of the cash flows and tax consequences of each option and a consideration of our liquidity requirements. We are responsible for all maintenance, insurance and other costs associated with operating these aircraft; however, we have not made any residual value or other guarantees to our lessors.

We have determined that we hold a variable interest in, but are not the primary beneficiary of, certain pass-through trusts which are the purchasers of equipment notes issued by us to finance the acquisition of new aircraft and are held by such pass-through trusts. These pass-through trusts maintain liquidity facilities whereby a third party agrees to make payments sufficient to pay up to 18 months of interest on the

applicable certificates if a payment default occurs. The liquidity providers for the Series 2004-1 certificates and the spare parts certificates are Landesbank Hessen-Thüringen Girozentrale and Morgan Stanley Capital Services Inc. The liquidity providers for the Series 2004-2 certificates are Landesbank Baden-Württemberg and Citibank, N.A.

We utilize a policy provider to provide credit support on the Class G-1 and Class G-2 certificates. The policy provider has unconditionally guaranteed the payment of interest on the certificates when due and the payment of principal on the certificates no later than 18 months after the final expected regular distribution date. The policy provider is MBIA Insurance Corporation (a subsidiary of MBIA, Inc.). Financial information for the parent company of the policy provider is available at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov> or at the SEC's public reference room in Washington, D.C.

We have also made certain guarantees and indemnities to other unrelated parties that are not reflected on our balance sheet, which we believe will not have a significant impact on our results of operations, financial condition or cash flows. We have no other off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates from the information provided in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates included in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Other Information

Recent Awards. In June 2010, JetBlue was recognized by J.D. Power and Associates as having the highest customer satisfaction among low-cost carriers in North America for the sixth consecutive year.

Forward-Looking Information. This report contains forward-looking statements relating to future events and our future performance, including, without limitation, statements regarding financial forecasts or projections, our expectations, beliefs, intentions or future strategies, that are signified by the words *expects*, *anticipates*, *intends*, *believes*, *plans* or similar language. Our actual results and the timing of certain events could differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us on the date of this report. It is routine for our internal projections and expectations to change as the year or each quarter in the year progresses, and therefore it should be clearly understood that the internal projections, beliefs and assumptions upon which we base our expectations may change prior to the end of each quarter or year. Although these expectations may change, we may not inform you if they do.

Forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are based on information currently available to us. Actual results may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements due to many factors, including without limitation, our extremely competitive industry; volatility in financial and credit markets which could affect our ability to obtain debt and/or lease financing or to raise funds through debt or equity issuances; increases in fuel prices, maintenance costs and interest rates; our ability to profitably implement our growth strategy, including the ability to operate reliably the EMBRAER 190 aircraft and our new terminal at JFK; our significant fixed obligations; our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel and maintain our culture as we grow; our reliance on high daily aircraft utilization; our dependence on the New York metropolitan market; our reliance on automated systems and technology; our exposure to potential unionization; our reliance on a limited number of suppliers; changes in or additional government regulation; changes in our industry due to other airlines' financial condition; a continuance of the economic recessionary conditions in the U.S. or a further economic downturn leading to a continuing or accelerated decrease in demand for domestic and business air travel; and external geopolitical events and conditions.

Additional information concerning these and other factors is contained in our SEC filings, including but not limited to, our 2009 Form 10-K and part II of this report.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

There have been no material changes in market risks from the information provided in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in our 2009 Form 10-K, except as follows:

Aircraft Fuel. As of June 30, 2010, we had hedged approximately 46% of our expected remaining 2010 fuel requirements using jet fuel swaps, heating oil collars, and crude oil caps. Our results of operations are affected by changes in the price and availability of aircraft fuel. Market risk is estimated as a hypothetical 10% increase in the June 30, 2010, cost per gallon of fuel, including the effects of our fuel hedges. Based on our projected twelve month fuel consumption, such an increase would result in an increase to annual aircraft fuel expense of approximately \$107 million, compared to an estimated \$83 million for 2009 measured as of June 30, 2009. See Note 8 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information.

Fixed Rate Debt. On June 30, 2010, our \$326 million aggregate principal amount of convertible debt had an estimated fair value of \$408 million, based on quoted market prices.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and our Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the preparation of this Report, our Management, with the participation of our CEO and CFO, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2010. Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) identified in connection with the evaluation of our controls performed during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal proceedings and claims which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. We believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings to which we are currently a party will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following is an update to Item 1A-Risk Factors contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, or our 2009 Form 10-K. For additional risk factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, please refer to our 2009 Form 10-K.

Risks Related to JetBlue

Our substantial indebtedness may limit our ability to incur additional debt to obtain future financing needs.

We typically finance our aircraft through either secured debt or lease financing. The impact on financial institutions from the current global credit and liquidity crisis may adversely affect the availability and cost of credit to JetBlue as well as to prospective purchasers of our aircraft that we undertake to sell in the future, including financing commitments that we have already obtained for purchases of new aircraft. To the extent we finance our activities with additional debt, we may become subject to financial and other covenants that may restrict our ability to pursue our growth strategy or otherwise constrain our operations.

We may be subject to unionization, work stoppages, slowdowns or increased labor costs; potential changes to the labor laws may make unionization easier to achieve.

Our business is labor intensive and, unlike most airlines, we have a non-union workforce. The unionization of any our employees could result in demands that may increase our operating expenses and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations. Any of the different crafts or classes of our employees could unionize at any time, which would require us to negotiate in good faith with the employee group's certified representative concerning a collective bargaining agreement. Further, the National Mediation Board changes to its election procedures permitting a majority of those voting to elect to unionize (from a majority of those in the craft or class) became effective in July 2010. These rule changes fundamentally alter the manner in which labor groups have been able to organize in our industry since the inception of the Railway Labor Act. Ultimately, if we and the newly elected representative were unable to reach agreement on the terms of a collective bargaining agreement and all of the major dispute resolution processes of the Railway Labor Act were exhausted, we could be subject to work slowdowns or stoppages. In addition, we may be subject to disruptions by organized labor groups protesting our non-union status. Any of these events would be disruptive to our operations and could harm our business.

Our business is highly dependent on the New York metropolitan market and increases in competition or congestion or a reduction in demand for air travel in this market, or governmental reduction of our operating capacity at JFK, would harm our business.

We are highly dependent on the New York metropolitan market where we maintain a large presence with approximately 60% of our daily flights having JFK, LaGuardia, Newark, Westchester County Airport or Newburgh's Stewart International Airport as either their origin or destination. We have experienced an increase in flight delays and cancellations at JFK due to airport congestion which has adversely affected our operating performance and results of operations. Our business could be further harmed by an increase in the amount of direct competition we face in the New York metropolitan market or by continued or increased congestion, delays or cancellations. Our business would also be harmed by any circumstances causing a reduction in demand for air transportation in the New York metropolitan area, such as adverse changes in local economic conditions, negative public perception of New York City, terrorist attacks or

significant price increases linked to increases in airport access costs and fees imposed on passengers.

We rely heavily on automated systems to operate our business; any failure of these systems could harm our business.

We are dependent on automated systems and technology to operate our business, enhance customer service and achieve low operating costs. The performance and reliability of our automated systems is critical to our ability to operate our business and compete effectively. These systems include our computerized airline reservation system, flight operations system, telecommunications systems, website, maintenance systems, check-in kiosks and in-flight entertainment systems. Our website and reservation system must be able to accommodate a high volume of traffic and deliver important flight information. These systems require upgrades or replacement periodically, which involve implementation and other operational risks, and our business may be harmed if we fail to replace or upgrade systems successfully.

We rely on the providers of our current automated systems for technical support, even in the event we select new systems and service providers to meet our future needs. If the current provider were to fail to adequately provide technical support for any one of our key existing systems, we could experience service disruptions, which, if they were to occur, could result in the loss of important data, increase our expenses, decrease our revenues and generally harm our business and reputation. Furthermore, our automated systems cannot be completely protected against events that are beyond our control, including natural disasters, computer viruses or telecommunications failures. Substantial or sustained system failures could impact customer service and result in our customers purchasing tickets from other airlines. We have implemented security measures and change control procedures and have disaster recovery plans; however, we cannot assure you that these measures are adequate to prevent disruptions, which, if they were to occur, could result in the loss of important data, increase our expenses, decrease our revenues and generally harm our business and reputation.

If we are unable to attract and retain qualified personnel or fail to maintain our company culture, our business could be harmed.

We compete against the other major U.S. airlines for pilots, mechanics and other skilled labor; some of them offer wage and benefit packages that exceed ours. We may be required to increase wages and/or benefits in order to attract and retain qualified personnel or risk considerable employee turnover. If we are unable to hire, train and retain qualified employees, our business could be harmed and we may be unable to implement our growth plans.

In addition, as we hire more people and grow, we believe it may be increasingly challenging to continue to hire people who will maintain our company culture. One of our competitive strengths is our service-oriented company culture that emphasizes friendly, helpful, team-oriented and customer-focused employees. Our company culture is important to providing high quality customer service and having a productive workforce that helps keep our costs low. As we continue to grow, we may be unable to identify, hire or retain enough people who meet the above criteria, including those in management or other key positions. Our company culture could otherwise be adversely affected by our growing operations and geographic diversity. If we fail to maintain the strength of our company culture, our competitive ability and our business may be harmed.

We may be subject to competitive risks due to the longer term nature of our fleet order book

At present, we have existing aircraft commitments through 2018. As technological evolution occurs in our industry, through the use of composites, next generation engine technologies and other innovations, we may be competitively disadvantaged because we have existing extensive fleet commitments that would prohibit us from adopting new technologies on an expedited basis.

Risks Associated with the Airline Industry

Compliance with recently adopted DOT passenger protections rules will increase our costs and may ultimately negatively impact our operations.

The DOT's passenger protection rules became effective in April 2010. These rules provide, among other things, that airlines return aircraft to the gate for deplaning following tarmac delays in certain circumstances. A significant portion of our operations are focused in the northeast. Given the poor

operating performance of the air traffic control system in the northeast during certain weather conditions, particularly during the summer season, this rule may produce results more harmful to customers than intended. The implementation of these rules may negatively impact our operations and our business.

We could be adversely affected by an outbreak of a disease or an environmental or other disaster that significantly affects travel behavior.

In 2009, there was an outbreak of the H1N1 virus which had an adverse impact throughout our network, including on our operations to and from Mexico. Any outbreak of a disease (including a worsening of the outbreak of the H1N1 virus) that affects travel behavior could have a material adverse impact on us. In addition, outbreaks of disease could result in quarantines of our personnel or an inability to access facilities or our aircraft, which could adversely affect our operations. Similarly, if an environmental disaster were to occur and adversely impact any of our destination cities, travel behavior could be affected and in turn, could materially adversely impact our business.

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibits: See accompanying Exhibit Index included after the signature page of this report for a list of the exhibits filed or furnished with this report.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

JETBLUE AIRWAYS CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: July 27, 2010

By: /s/ DONALD DANIELS
*Vice President, Controller and Chief
Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)*

30

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit |
|--|--|
| 3.2(b) | Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated May 20, 2010 |
| 10.17(i)** | Amendment No. 9 to Purchase Agreement DCT-025/2003, dated as of May 24, 2010, between Embraer Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica S.A and JetBlue Airways Corporation. |
| 12.1 | Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
| 31.1 | 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, furnished herewith. |
| 31.2 | 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer, furnished herewith. |
| 32 | Certification Pursuant to Section 1350, furnished herewith. |
| 101.INS * | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE * | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| * XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is | |

deemed not
filed for
purposes of
section 18 of the
Securities
Exchange Act
of 1934, and
otherwise is not
subject to
liability under
these sections.

** Pursuant to 17
CFR 240.24b-2,
confidential
information has
been omitted
and has been
filed separately
with the
Securities and
Exchange
Commission
pursuant to a
Confidential
Treatment
Request filed
with the SEC.