Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust Form N-CSR May 26, 2011

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549 Form N-CSR

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: <u>811-22269</u> Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

March 31

Date of Fiscal Year End

March 31, 2011

Date of Reporting Period

### **Item 1. Reports to Stockholders**

Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust Annual Report March 31, 2011

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

### Annual Report March 31, 2011

Eaton Vance

National Municipal Opportunities Trust

### **Table of Contents**

| Management s Discussion of Fund Performance | 2  |
|---|----|
| Performance and Fund Profile                | 4  |
| Endnotes and Additional Disclosures         | 5  |
| Financial Statements                        | 6  |
| Federal Tax Information                     | 21 |
| Annual Meeting of Shareholders              | 22 |
| Dividend Reinvestment Plan                  | 23 |
| Management and Organization                 | 25 |
| Important Notices                           | 27 |
|   |    |

Eaton Vance
National Municipal Opportunities Trust
March 31, 2011
Management s Discussion of Trust Performance

#### Portfolio Managers Cynthia J. Clemson and Thomas M. Metzold, CFA

Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust (the Trust) is a closed-end fund traded on the NYSE under the symbol EOT. It is designed to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and invests primarily in municipal obligations that, at the time of investment, are investment-grade quality.

#### **Economic and Market Conditions**

After beginning 2010 with 3.7% gross domestic product (GDP) growth, the U.S. economy slowed to 1.7% in the second quarter and then began a month-by-month trudge back to daylight, ending with fourth-quarter growth of 3.1%. Initial data suggest the economy maintained its fourth-quarter pace into 2011. Consumer spending (about two-thirds of GDP) grew at a 4.0% rate in the fourth quarter, the fastest since the final quarter of 2006. In 2011, however, concerns loomed that rising oil prices could constrain consumer spending and slow the U.S. economic recovery. During the 12-month period ending March 31, 2011, acceleration in economic growth was due in part to massive stimulus by the Federal Reserve. The central bank, however, announced a June 2011 conclusion to its \$600 billion quantitative easing program, leaving uncertainty about the economy s growth pace thereafter. There were other clouds too. U.S. unemployment, while better, was still high at 8.8%, and there was virtually no good news in the U.S. housing market. At the same time, the nation is facing record budget deficits, a focus, if not a preoccupation, for President Obama and Congress as 2011 began.

For the first five months of the period (April August 2010), the tax-exempt bond market was generally characterized by solid performance, declining yields and moderate demand. There was, however, growing concern about municipal credit quality. This concern was primarily triggered by large deficits, uncertainty about pension funding and the end of federal stimulus. It was exacerbated by significant media attention on the fiscal stress of some issuers. In November and December of 2010, municipal bond prices declined precipitously. Initially, municipals followed a general weakening in the U.S. Treasury market, but they continued to drop in the wake of unprecedented outflows from retail investors, who were concerned by volatility and high-profile predictions of municipal bond defaults. Municipal bond supply also vaulted as 2010 closed, driven by issuers that came to market ahead of the termination of the taxable municipal Build America Bond program. The first quarter of 2011 saw a modest recovery in municipal bond prices, as retail investors calmed and institutional cross-over investors maneuvered to take advantage of relatively cheap yields on 5%-coupon, long-term, highly rated municipal bonds. Scant new issue supply helped shore up the market as well. At March 31, 2011, municipal bond yields looked attractive versus yields on U.S. Treasuries, particularly at the long end of the municipal bond curve.

During the 12-month period, the municipal bond market posted returns that were largely positive. Returns were higher for shorter-maturity bonds and declined as you moved up the maturity curve, registering in negative territory for bonds with the longest maturities. The Trust s primary benchmark, the Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index (the Index) an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more declined 1.48% for the period.

#### **Management Discussion**

In this difficult environment, the Trust underperformed the Index at net asset value (NAV) for the one-year period ending March 31, 2011. Management s security selection benefited performance. This was especially true in regard to general obligation and water and sewer bonds, where the Trust was underweight relative to the Index but outperformed based on individual credit selection. Overweights to hospital and industrial development revenue bonds also helped, but a slight underexposure to the transportation sector was negative. In terms of credit quality, the Trust s investments were concentrated

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 5.

Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust March 31, 2011

Management s Discussion of Trust Performance

in the AA to BBB range, with its investment-grade bonds performing in line with those of the Index. An allocation to non-investment-grade bonds detracted a bit, as did the Trust s slightly longer duration profile. Also hampering performance was an overweight to zero-coupon bonds, which offset the benefits of allocations to higher-coupon bonds. A hedge position using Treasury futures a strategy that management has used to help mitigate interest-rate risk proved to be the most significant detractor from the Trust s performance as Treasury interest rates declined during the period.

Management employed leverage in the Trust, through which additional exposure to the municipal market was achieved. Leverage has the impact of magnifying the Trust s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down markets.

Clearly, many state and local governments continue to face daunting fiscal problems. Yet many, even those with some of the most severe budget problems. Illinois, California, New York and New Jersey have made real progress in bringing budgets into line through lower spending, higher taxes or both. Tax revenues have also been on the rise in many areas as the economy slowly improves. We will continue to monitor developments and make strategic adjustments to the portfolio as appropriate, while maintaining a long-term perspective, which we believe will serve municipal investors well over time.

#### % Total Return Performance 3/31/10 3/31/11 New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Symbol

**EOT** 

| At NAV <sup>2</sup>  | -2.61  |
|--|--------|
| At Market Price <sup>2</sup>   | -1.60  |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index <sup>1</sup>                      | -1.48  |
| Lipper General Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) Classification at NAV <sup>1</sup> | -0.55* |

See page 4 for more performance information.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 5.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

<sup>\*</sup> Source: Lipper.

Eaton Vance
National Municipal Opportunities Trust
March 31, 2011
Performance<sup>2</sup>

| New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Symbol Inception Date 5/29/09                      | EOT           |
|---|---------------|
| % Average Annual Total Returns at NAV   |               |
| One Year<br>Since Inception   | -2.61<br>7.34 |
| % Average Annual Total Returns at market price, NYSE                              |               |
| One Year<br>Since Inception   | -1.60<br>5.24 |
| % Premium/(Discount) to NAV (3/31/11)   | -3.57         |
| % Market Yields   |               |
| Market Yield <sup>3</sup> Taxable-Equivalent Market Yield <sup>4</sup>            | 6.66<br>10.25 |
| % RIB Leverage <sup>5</sup>   | 13.07         |
| Comparative Performance (3/31/10 3/31/11)   | % Return      |
| Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index<br>Lipper General Municipal Debt | -1.48*        |
| Funds (Leveraged) Classification at NAV   | -0.55*        |
| * Source: Lipper. Fund Profile  |               |

Rating Distribution<sup>6</sup> (% of total investments)

The rating distribution bar chart includes the ratings of securities held by special purpose vehicles in which the Trust holds a residual interest. See Note 1 to the Trust s financial statements. Absent such securities, the Trust s rating distribution as of 3/31/11 is as follows (in %):<sup>6</sup>

| AAA | 2.6  | BB | 2.2 |
|-----|------|----|-----|
| AA  | 28.6 | В  | 5.7 |

A 23.0 CCC 1.3 BBB 32.4 Not Rated 4.2

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures on page 5.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month end, please refer to www.eatonvance.com.

4

Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust March 31, 2011 Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- 1. It is not possible to invest directly in an Index or a Lipper Classification. Total returns shown for an Index do not reflect expenses that would have been incurred if an investor individually purchased or sold the securities represented in an Index. The Barclays Capital Long (22+) Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. The Lipper total return is the average total return, at NAV, of funds that are in the Trust s Lipper Classification. Lipper Classifications may include insured and uninsured funds, as well as leveraged and unleveraged funds. Index and Lipper returns are available as of month end only.
- <sup>2.</sup> Performance reflects the effects of leverage.
- 3. Trust market yield is calculated by dividing the last regular dividend per common share in the period (annualized) by the market price at the end of the period.
- <sup>4.</sup> Taxable-equivalent figure assumes maximum federal income tax rate. A lower income tax rate would result in a lower tax-equivalent figure. The maximum federal income tax rate was 35% as of 3/31/11.
- <sup>5</sup>. The Trust employs leverage through the use of residual interest bond (RIB) financing. Leverage provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater volatility of NAV and market price of common shares). See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in Note 1 to the financial statements for more information on RIB investments. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding as of 3/31/11 as a percentage of Trust net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- 6. Rating Distribution is determined by dividing the total market value of Trust issues by its total investments. Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. Credit ratings are based largely on the rating agency s investment analysis at the time of rating and the rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition. The rating assigned to a security by a rating agency does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. If securities are rated differently by the rating agencies, the higher rating is applied.

The views expressed throughout this report are those of portfolio management and are current only through the end of the period of the report as stated on the cover. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and the investment adviser disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied on as investment advice and, because investment decisions for a fund are based on many factors, may not be relied on as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. Portfolio information provided in the report may not be representative of the Trust s current or future investments and may change due to active management.

March 31, 2011

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 113.8%

| Security   | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value                  |
|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Cogeneration 1.1%  |                                  |                        |
| Maryland Energy Financing Administration, (AES Warrior Run), (AMT), 7.40%, 9/1/19 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority,                           | \$ 1,500                         | \$ 1,499,730           |
| (Resource Recovery-Colver), (AMT), 5.125%, 12/1/15   | 2,000                            | 1,876,180              |
|  |                                  | \$ 3,375,910           |
| Education 10.4%  |                                  |                        |
| Maine Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Bowdoin College), 5.00%, 7/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> New Hampshire Health and Education Facilities Authority, | \$ 10,440                        | \$ 10,169,499          |
| (Dartmouth College), 5.25%, 6/1/39 <sup>(1)</sup> New York Dormitory Authority, (Brooklyn Law School),   | 12,000                           | 12,234,600             |
| 5.75%, 7/1/33<br>New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School),   | 1,500                            | 1,553,625              |
| 5.75%, 7/1/50<br>Oregon Facilities Authority, (Lewis & Clark College),   | 3,000                            | 2,915,580              |
| 5.625%, 10/1/36<br>University of Virginia, 5.00%, 6/1/40 <sup>(2)</sup>  | 1,090<br>2,650                   | 1,086,338<br>2,685,669 |

\$ 30,645,311

| Electric | Utilities | 8.9% |
|----------|-----------|------|
|----------|-----------|------|

| \$ 3,650<br>2,815<br>2,540<br>6,000<br>2,500<br>9,000 | \$ 3,762,968<br>2,902,124<br>2,529,891<br>6,205,260<br>2,165,100<br>8,849,340 |
|---|---|
|   | \$ 26,414,683   |
| \$ 5,750  | \$ 5,883,687<br>\$ 5,883,687  |
|   |   |
| \$ 9,000  | \$ 9,096,390<br>\$ 9,096,390  |
|   |   |
| \$ 980<br>1,000<br>12,300                             | \$ 984,949<br>1,001,820<br>12,160,395   |
|   | 2,815 2,540 6,000 2,500 9,000  \$ 5,750  \$ 9,000  \$ 1,000                   |

Edgar Filing: Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust - Form N-CSR

| Illinois Finance Authority, (Provena Healthcare),             |       |           |
|---|-------|-----------|
| 7.75%, 8/15/34  | 3,000 | 3,212,880 |
| Illinois Finance Authority, (Rush University Medical Center), |       |           |
| 6.625%, 11/1/39   | 3,500 | 3,579,835 |
| Johnson City, TN, Health & Educational Facilities Board,      |       |           |
| (Mountain States Health Alliance), 6.00%, 7/1/38              | 1,665 | 1,513,935 |
| Kansas Development Finance Authority, (Adventist Health       |       |           |
| System), 5.75%, 11/15/38                                      | 5,915 | 6,096,886 |
| Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority,        |       |           |
| (Catholic Healthcare West), 6.00%, 7/1/39                     | 3,400 | 3,385,856 |
| Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical      |       |           |
| Center), 6.75%, 1/1/36 <sup>(3)</sup>                         | 1,165 | 1,166,480 |
| Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority,    |       |           |
| (Jordan Hospital), 6.75%, 10/1/33                             | 3,725 | 3,402,936 |
| Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health       |       |           |
| System), 5.25%, 11/15/46                                      | 7,395 | 6,107,457 |
| New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority,        |       |           |
| (Kennedy Health System), 5.625%, 7/1/31                       | 1,650 | 1,539,433 |
| New York Dormitory Authority, (NYU Hospital Center),          |       |           |
| 5.625%, 7/1/37  | 1,000 | 962,130   |
| South Lake County, FL, Hospital District, (South Lake         |       |           |
| Hospital), 6.25%, 4/1/39                                      | 1,365 | 1,294,389 |
| St. Paul, MN, Housing and Redevelopment Authority, (Health    |       |           |
| East Project), 6.00%, 11/15/35                                | 3,750 | 3,162,412 |
| Sullivan County, TN, Health, Educational and Facilities       |       |           |
| Board, (Wellmont Health System), Variable Rate,               |       |           |
| 5.44%, 9/1/32 <sup>(4)</sup>                                  | 1,225 | 1,163,750 |
| Sullivan County, TN, Health, Educational and Facilities       |       |           |
| Board, (Wellmont Health System), 5.25%, 9/1/36                | 3,150 | 2,609,082 |
| Tarrant County, TX, Cultural Education Facilities Finance     |       |           |
| Corp., (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40             | 1,485 | 1,349,033 |
| Tyler, TX, Health Facilities Development Corp., (East Texas   |       |           |
| Medical Center), 5.375%, 11/1/37                              | 4,500 | 3,636,585 |
| Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority,        |       |           |
| (Wheaton Franciscan Healthcare System), 5.125%, 8/15/30       | 5,000 | 4,322,400 |
|   |       |           |

\$ 62,652,643

See Notes to Financial Statements.

March 31, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security  | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value                      |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Housing 4.1%  |                                  |                            |
| Maryland Community Development Administration,<br>Department of Housing and Community Development,<br>(AMT), 5.15%, 9/1/42 <sup>(1)</sup><br>Nebraska Investment Finance Authority, (GNMA),<br>(FHLMC), (FNMA), 5.90%, 9/1/36 | \$ 11,205<br>1,750               | \$ 10,451,912<br>1,796,935 |
|   |                                  | \$ 12,248,847              |
| Industrial Development Revenue 22.2%  Alabama Industrial Development Authority, (Pine City Fiber Co.), (AMT), 6.45%, 12/1/23  | \$ 5,000                         | \$ 4,693,900               |
| Brazos River, TX, Harbor Navigation District, (Dow Chemical Co.), (AMT), 5.95%, 5/15/33   | 3,000                            | 2,920,680                  |
| California Pollution Control Financing Authority, (Waste Management, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125%, 11/1/23 Campbell County, WY, Solid Waste Facilities, (Basin  | 5,000                            | 4,970,000                  |
| Electric Power Cooperative), 5.75%, 7/15/39<br>Clayton County, GA, Development Authority,   | 3,000                            | 3,087,300                  |
| (Delta Airlines, Inc.), 8.75%, 6/1/29<br>Effingham County, GA, Solid Waste Disposal, (Fort James  | 3,420                            | 3,764,428                  |
| Project), (AMT), 5.625%, 7/1/18 Goochland County, VA, Industrial Development Authority, (Nelsons Projecting Corn.) (AMT), 5.65%, 12/1/25  | 240                              | 229,625                    |
| (Nekoosa Packaging Corp.), (AMT), 5.65%, 12/1/25<br>Gulf Coast, TX, Waste Disposal Authority, (International<br>Paper Co.), (AMT), 6.10%, 8/1/24<br>Gulf Coast, TX, Waste Disposal Authority, (Valera Energy                  | 2,750                            | 134,232<br>2,758,607       |
| Gulf Coast, TX, Waste Disposal Authority, (Valero Energy Corp.), (AMT), 6.65%, 4/1/32   | 1,000<br>3,500                   | 1,000,620<br>3,416,070     |

| Houston, TX, Airport System, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 6.75%, 7/1/29 Illinois Finance Authority, (Navistar International Corp.),  |          |               |
|--|----------|---------------|
| 6.50%, 10/15/40<br>Indiana Financing Authority, (Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.),   | 1,515    | 1,513,167     |
| 6.00%, 8/1/39  | 9,000    | 9,266,490     |
| Nevada Department of Business and Industry, (Republic<br>Services, Inc.), (AMT), 5.625% to 6/1/18 (Put Date), 12/1/26<br>New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental | 1,800    | 1,901,340     |
| Airlines), (AMT), 6.25%, 9/15/29<br>New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs   | 3,000    | 2,736,960     |
| Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 <sup>(5)</sup> New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (American Airlines, Inc. JFK International Airport), (AMT),                             | 3,070    | 2,975,413     |
| 7.75%, 8/1/31  | 5,600    | 5,651,912     |
| Owen County, KY, (American Water Project), 6.25%, 6/1/39<br>Richland County, SC, (International Paper Co.), (AMT),   | 3,000    | 3,051,420     |
| 6.10%, 4/1/23  | 380      | 380,897       |
| Sabine River Authority, LA, (International Paper Co.), 6.20%, 2/1/25   | 205      | 205,308       |
| Selma, AL, Industrial Development Board, (International Paper Co.), 5.80%, 5/1/34  | 850      | 840,361       |
| St. John Baptist Parish, LA, (Marathon Oil Corp.),   |          |               |
| 5.125%, 6/1/37   | 6,240    | 5,746,728     |
| Virgin Islands, (HOVENSA, LLC), (AMT), 6.50%, 7/1/21<br>Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, (HOVENSA  | 2,600    | 2,599,272     |
| Refinery), (AMT), 6.125%, 7/1/22<br>West Virginia Economic Development Authority,  | 340      | 320,331       |
| (Appalachian Power Co.), 5.375%, 12/1/38   | 1,400    | 1,311,086     |
|  |          | \$ 65,476,147 |
|  |          |               |
| Insured Industrial Development Revenue 0.6%  |          |               |
| New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (New  |          |               |
| Jersey-American Water Co, Inc.), (FGIC), (NPFG), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/1/32   | \$ 1,700 | \$ 1,628,600  |
|  |          | \$ 1,628,600  |
|  |          |               |
| Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1%   |          |               |
|  | \$ 4,205 | \$ 3,113,929  |

 $Hudson\ Yards\ Infrastructure\ Corp.,\ NY,\ (NPFG),\ 4.50\%,\ 2/15/47$ 

|  |          | \$ 3,113,929  |
|--|----------|---------------|
| Insured Special Tax Revenue 4.4%   |          |               |
| Hesperia, CA, Community Redevelopment Agency, (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/25 Hesperia, CA, Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment   | \$ 205   | \$ 175,976    |
| and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37   | 295      | 186,765       |
| Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 6.875%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/34 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise  | 4,000    | 2,464,520     |
| Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39<br>Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (AMBAC),  | 6,000    | 3,655,320     |
| 0.00%, 8/1/54  | 131,535  | 6,533,343     |
|  |          | \$ 13,015,924 |
| Insured Transportation 6.8%  |          |               |
| Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 Foothill/Eastern, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,                             | \$ 2,885 | \$ 2,665,567  |
| (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/30<br>Foothill/Eastern, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency,<br>(NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32<br>North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway | 4,000    | 786,000       |
|  | 500      | 80,195        |
| System), (AGC), 0.00%, 1/1/35  | 4,000    | 902,120       |

7

See Notes to Financial Statements.

March 31, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security   | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value         |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Insured Transportation (continued)   |                                  |               |
| North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 0.00%, 1/1/36<br>San Joaquin Hills, CA, Transportation Corridor Agency, Toll | \$ 15,000                        | \$ 3,132,000  |
| Road Bonds, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/32   | 10,000                           | 1,556,800     |
| San Jose, CA, Airport, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 6.00%, 3/1/47  | 7,850                            | 7,877,396     |
| Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/34   | 4,480                            | 819,795       |
| Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/35   | 1,745                            | 294,155       |
| Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/37   | 4,775                            | 685,022       |
| Texas Turnpike Authority, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 5.00%, 8/15/42   | 1,675                            | 1,387,469     |
|  |                                  | \$ 20,186,519 |
|  |                                  |               |
| Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 0.7%   |                                  |               |
| Mohave County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Mohave Prison LLC), 8.00%, 5/1/25  | \$ 2,000                         | \$ 2,185,500  |
|  |                                  | \$ 2,185,500  |

#### Other Revenue 4.2%

| Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays |        |            |
|--|--------|------------|
| Center), 6.00%, 7/15/30                                | \$ 510 | \$ 493,547 |
| Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays |        |            |
| Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40                                | 575    | 558,406    |
| Brooklyn, NY, Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays |        |            |
| Center), 6.375%, 7/15/43                               | 315    | 310,927    |
| California County, CA, Tobacco Securitization Agency,  |        |            |
| 0.00%, 6/1/46  | 12,950 | 257,187    |
| Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA, 5.30%,  |        |            |
| (0.00% until 12/1/12), 6/1/37                          | 4,430  | 2,535,732  |
| Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corp., CA,         |        |            |
| 5.75%, 6/1/47  | 6,190  | 4,134,301  |
| Michigan Tobacco Settlement Finance Authority,         |        |            |
| 6.00%, 6/1/48  | 1,560  | 1,061,861  |
| Salt Verde Financial Corp., AZ, Senior Gas Revenue,    |        |            |
| 5.00%, 12/1/37   | 2,000  | 1,639,480  |
| Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corp., Gas Revenue,       |        |            |
| 5.25%, 9/1/26  | 1,500  | 1,392,060  |
|  |        |            |

# \$ 12,383,501

### Senior Living / Life Care 2.3%

| \$ 2,000 | \$ 1,907,240                      |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
|          |                                   |
| 465      | 454,328                           |
|          |                                   |
| 925      | 877,659                           |
|          |                                   |
| 470      | 466,071                           |
|          |                                   |
| 250      | 235,650                           |
|          |                                   |
| 1,000    | 857,920                           |
|          |                                   |
| 2,500    | 2,131,425                         |
|          | 465<br>925<br>470<br>250<br>1,000 |

\$ 6,930,293

| Special Tax Revenue | 3.3%   |
|---------------------|--------|
| Special Tax Revenue | 3.3 /0 |

| Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.625%, 12/1/29<br>Guam, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.75%, 12/1/34<br>Heritage Harbor South, FL, Community Development<br>District, (Capital Improvements), 6.50%, 5/1/34<br>Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/39<br>Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37   | \$ 1,625<br>3,020<br>3,140<br>965<br>1,615 | \$ 1,543,002<br>2,859,336<br>2,832,406<br>796,569<br>1,666,454 |
|--|--|--|
|  |  | \$ 9,697,767   |
|  |  |  |
| Student Loan 2.0%  |  |  |
| Manager and the state of the st |  |  |
| Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, 6.00%, 1/1/28   | \$ 5,740                                   | \$ 5,934,643   |
|  |  | \$ 5,934,643   |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Transportation 8.4%  |  |  |
| Bay Area Toll Authority, CA, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), 5.00%, 4/1/34  | \$ 1,365                                   | \$ 1,322,398   |
| Memphis-Shelby County, TN, Airport Authority, (AMT),   | . ,  | , , ,  |
| 5.75%, 7/1/24<br>Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport),   | 350  | 355,355  |
| 5.00%, 10/1/41   | 2,500                                      | 2,194,525  |
| New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 0.00%, 12/15/38   | 30,000                                     | 4,592,400  |
| North Texas Tollway Authority, 5.75%, 1/1/38   | 5,000                                      | 4,652,100  |
| Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority,   | 1 125                                      | 1 020 750  |
| 5.00%, 7/1/35<br>Orlando-Orange County, FL, Expressway Authority,  | 1,125                                      | 1,038,758  |
| 5.00%, 7/1/40  | 1,335                                      | 1,206,119  |
| St. Louis, MO, (Lambert-St. Louis International Airport), 6.625%, 7/1/34   | 5,000                                      | 5,065,800  |
|  |  |  |

March 31, 2011

Portfolio of Investments continued

| Security  | Principal Amount (000 s omitted) | Value                                   |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Transportation (continued)  |                                  |   |
| Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., (LBJ Express Managed Lanes Project), 7.00%, 6/30/34 Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp.,                                       | \$ 2,625                         | \$<br>2,698,290                         |
| (North Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project), 6.875%, 12/31/39   | 1,520                            | 1,557,073                               |
|   |                                  | \$<br>24,682,818                        |
| Water and Sewer 7.0%  |                                  |   |
| Atlanta, GA, Water & Wastewater Revenue, 6.25%, 11/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34 Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40 Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, | \$ 3,000<br>550<br>2,425         | \$<br>3,153,180<br>509,872<br>2,219,384 |
| 5.00%, 7/1/29<br>New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water  | 3,000                            | 3,090,750                               |
| and Sewer System), 5.25%, 6/15/40 <sup>(1)</sup>  | 11,700                           | 11,733,813                              |
|   |                                  | \$<br>20,706,999                        |
| Total Tax-Exempt Investments 113.8% (identified cost \$332,164,403)   |                                  | \$<br>336,260,111                       |

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (13.8)% \$ (40,765,017)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 295,495,094

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC - Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM - Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC - AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

AMT - Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the

Federal Alternative Minimum Tax.

BHAC - Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
 FGIC - Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
 FHLMC - Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation
 FNMA - Federal National Mortgage Association
 GNMA - Government National Mortgage Association
 NPFG - National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA - XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At March 31, 2011, the concentration of the Trust s investments in the various states, determined as a percentage of total investments is as follows:

| Texas   | 14.1% |
|---|-------|
| California                                      | 12.3% |
| Others, representing less than 10% individually | 73.6% |

The Trust invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at March 31, 2011, 11.3% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution ranged from 0.1% to 5.2% of total investments.

- (1) Security represents the underlying municipal bond of an inverse floater (see Note 1I).
- (2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged to cover margin requirements on open financial futures contracts.
- (3) When-issued security.
- (4) Variable rate security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at March 31, 2011.
- (5) Security (or a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

March 31, 2011

Statement of Assets and Liabilities

| Assets   | March 31, 2011                                 |
|--|--|
| Investments, at value (identified cost, \$332,164,403) Interest receivable Receivable for investments sold Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts | \$ 336,260,111<br>5,576,372<br>9,132<br>16,406 |
| Total assets   | \$ 341,862,021                                 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Liabilities  |  |
| Payable for floating rate notes issued Payable for when-issued securities Due to custodian Payable to affiliates:  | \$ 44,430,000<br>1,153,781<br>398,355          |
| Investment adviser and administration fee  | 175,835  |
| Interest expense and fees payable  | 94,473   |
| Accrued expenses   | 114,483  |
| Total liabilities  | \$ 46,366,927                                  |
| Net Assets   | \$ 295,495,094                                 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Sources of Net Assets  |  |
| Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized   | \$ 152,944                                     |

| Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated undistributed net investment income Net unrealized appreciation | 291,528,050<br>(596,669)<br>396,072<br>4,014,697 |        |
|--|--|--------|
| Net Assets   | \$ 295,495,094                                   |        |
| Common Shares Outstanding  | 15,294,435                                       |        |
| Net Asset Value  |  |        |
| Net assets , common shares issued and outstanding  | \$ 19.32   |        |
|  | See Notes to Financial State                     | ments. |

March 31, 2011

### Statement of Operations

| Investment Income   | ear Ended<br>arch 31, 2011   |
|---|--|
| Interest  | \$<br>22,470,060   |
| Total investment income   | \$<br>22,470,060   |
|   |  |
| Expenses  |  |
| Investment adviser and administration fee Trustees fees and expenses Custodian fee Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees Legal and accounting services Printing and postage Interest expense and fees Miscellaneous | \$<br>2,204,966<br>12,894<br>152,020<br>21,018<br>56,585<br>34,916<br>404,012<br>121,878 |
| Total expenses  | \$<br>3,008,289  |
| Deduct<br>Reduction of custodian fee  | \$<br>1,060  |
| Total expense reductions  | \$<br>1,060  |
|   |  |
| Net expenses  | \$<br>3,007,229  |

| Net investment income  |          | \$ 19,462,831                |
|--|----------|------------------------------|
|  |          |                              |
|  |          |                              |
| Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)  |          |                              |
| Net realized gain (loss) Investment transactions Financial futures contracts             |          | \$ 924,521<br>(1,977,351)    |
| Net realized loss  |          | <b>\$</b> (1,052,830)        |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) Investments Financial futures contracts |          | \$ (26,610,903)<br>(123,845) |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)                                     |          | \$ (26,734,748)              |
| Net realized and unrealized loss   |          | \$ (27,787,578)              |
| Net decrease in net assets from operations   |          | \$ (8,324,747)               |
|  | See Note | es to Financial Statements.  |

March 31, 2011

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

| Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets   | Year Ended<br>March 31, 2011                 | Period Ended<br>March 31, 2010 <sup>(1)</sup>   |
|---|--|---|
| From operations Net investment income Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions and financial futures contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures contracts | \$ 19,462,831<br>(1,052,830)<br>(26,734,748) | \$ 15,229,705<br>2,431,601<br>30,749,445        |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations   | \$ (8,324,747)                               | \$ 48,410,751                                   |
| Distributions to shareholders From net investment income From net realized gain   | \$ (18,952,894)<br>(1,913,334)               | \$ (14,206,383)<br>(1,199,293)                  |
| Total distributions to shareholders   | \$ (20,866,228)                              | \$ (15,405,676)                                 |
| Capital share transactions Proceeds from sale of shares Reinvestment of distributions Offering costs  | \$<br>357,915                                | \$ 291,357,779 <sub>(2)</sub> 347,667 (482,367) |
| Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions  | \$ 357,915                                   | \$ 291,223,079                                  |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets   | \$ (28,833,060)                              | \$ 324,228,154                                  |

Net Assets

At beginning of period \$ 324,328,154 \$ 100,000

At end of period \$ 295,495,094 \$ 324,328,154

Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets

At end of period \$ 396,072 \$ 727,825

See Notes to Financial Statements.

<sup>(1)</sup> For the period from the start of business, May 29, 2009, to March 31, 2010.

<sup>(2)</sup> Proceeds from sale of shares are net of sales load paid of \$13,728,901.

March 31, 2011

Statement of Cash Flows

| Cash Flows From Operating Activities   | Year Ended<br>March 31, 2011  |
|--|---|
| Net decrease in net assets from operations<br>Adjustments to reconcile net decrease in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:  | \$ (8,324,747)  |
| Investments purchased Investments sold Decrease in short-term investments, net Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount) Decrease in interest receivable Decrease in receivable for investments sold Increase in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts Decrease in payable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts | (36,869,127)<br>46,508,049<br>463,649<br>(3,816,044)<br>160,477<br>1,227,697<br>(16,406)<br>(1,796,110)<br>(70,312) |
| Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser and administration fee Decrease in interest expense and fees payable Decrease in accrued expenses Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments Net realized gain from investments   | (13,636)<br>(13,896)<br>(16,018)<br>26,610,903<br>(924,521)   |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | \$ 23,109,958   |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities   |   |
| Distributions paid, net of reinvestments Repayment of secured borrowings Increase in due to custodian  | \$ (20,508,313)<br>(3,000,000)<br>398,355   |
| Net cash used in financing activities  | \$ (23,109,958)   |

| Net increase (decrease) in cash  | \$                    |                |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|
| Cash at beginning of year  | \$                    |                |
| Cash at end of year  | \$                    |                |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:  |                       |                |
| Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of:<br>Reinvestment of dividends and distributions<br>Cash paid for interest and fees | \$ 357,9<br>417,9     |                |
| 12   | See Notes to Financia | al Statements. |

Eaton Vance National Municipal Opportunities Trust

March 31, 2011

Financial Highlights