

ARDEN REALTY INC  
Form 10-Q  
August 14, 2003

Table of Contents

---

---

**Securities and Exchange Commission**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13  
OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarter ended June 30, 2003

Commission file number 1-12193

**ARDEN REALTY, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Maryland**  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation  
or organization)

**95-4578533**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**11601 Wilshire Boulevard,  
4th Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025-1740**  
(Address and zip code of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 966-2600

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

As of August 11, 2003 there were 63,422,180 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$.01 par value, issued and outstanding.

---

---

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets

Consolidated Statements of Income

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 31.1

EXHIBIT 32.1

---

**Table of Contents**

**ARDEN REALTY, INC.  
FORM 10-Q  
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE NO.</b>
<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2003 (unaudited) and December 31, 2002	3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)	5
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	26
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	27
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	<b>29</b>

---

**Table of Contents****Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements**

**Arden Realty, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
(in thousands, except share amounts)

	<b>June 30, 2003</b>	<b>December 31, 2002</b>
	<b>(unaudited)</b>	
<b>Assets</b>		
Investment in real estate:		
Land	\$ 476,034	\$ 476,034
Buildings and improvements	2,167,730	2,164,449
Tenant improvements and leasing commissions	336,178	320,100
	<u>2,979,942</u>	<u>2,960,583</u>
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	(424,670)	(381,557)
	<u>2,555,272</u>	<u>2,579,026</u>
Properties under development	69,286	65,296
Land available for development	23,789	23,731
Properties held for disposition, net		<u>73,571</u>
Net investment in real estate	2,648,347	2,741,624
Cash and cash equivalents	15,565	4,063
Restricted cash	20,709	20,498
Rent and other receivables, net of allowance of \$4,824 and \$4,001 at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	2,004	2,917
Deferred rent	43,557	43,646
Prepaid financing costs, expenses and other assets, net of amortization	22,701	19,661
	<u>\$ 2,752,883</u>	<u>\$ 2,832,409</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Mortgage loans payable	\$ 568,564	\$ 570,654
Unsecured lines of credit	158,000	208,587
Unsecured term loan	125,000	125,000
Unsecured senior notes, net of discount	498,255	498,063
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	54,951	55,705
Security deposits	21,157	20,645
Dividends payable	31,985	31,807
	<u>1,457,912</u>	<u>1,510,461</u>
Minority interest	73,387	74,571
<b>Stockholders Equity</b>		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value 20,000,000 shares authorized, none issued		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 63,335,752 and 62,984,217 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	635	631
Additional paid-in capital	1,238,611	1,260,773

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Deferred compensation	(11,985)	(11,259)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(5,677)	(2,768)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total stockholders' equity	1,221,584	1,247,377
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,752,883	\$2,832,409
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.*

**Table of Contents**

**Arden Realty, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Income**  
(in thousands, except per share data)  
(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Property revenues	\$ 105,171	\$ 99,927	\$ 209,447	\$ 199,628
Property operating expenses	33,614	29,945	65,881	59,228
	71,557	69,982	143,566	140,400
General and administrative expenses	4,225	2,951	7,877	5,911
Interest expense	23,254	21,584	46,289	42,981
Depreciation and amortization	30,049	28,028	59,010	53,608
Interest and other income	(378)	(512)	(510)	(1,052)
Income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest	14,407	17,931	30,900	38,952
Gain on sale of operating properties		81		1,273
Income from continuing operations before minority interest	14,407	18,012	30,900	40,225
Minority interest	(1,440)	(1,521)	(2,926)	(3,176)
Income from continuing operations	12,967	16,491	27,974	37,049
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	(85)	916	985	1,711
Gain on sale of discontinued properties	6,021		5,382	
Net income	\$ 18,903	\$ 17,407	\$ 34,341	\$ 38,760
Basic net income per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.57
Income from discontinued operations	0.09	0.01	0.10	0.03
Net income per common share basic	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.60
Weighted average number of common shares basic	63,207	64,529	63,124	64,366
Diluted net income per common share:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.57
Income from discontinued operations	0.09	0.01	0.10	0.03
Net income per common share diluted	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.60
Weighted average number of common shares diluted	63,436	65,106	63,268	64,836

*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.*





**Table of Contents**

**Arden Realty, Inc.**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(in thousands)  
(unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002
<b>Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 34,341	\$ 38,760
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Minority interest, including discontinued operations	3,086	3,223
Gain on sale of operating properties		(1,273)
Gain on sale of discontinued properties	(5,382)	
Depreciation and amortization, including discontinued operations	59,575	55,205
Amortization of loan costs	1,924	1,901
Non-cash compensation expense	837	560
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Rent and other receivables	913	5,537
Deferred rent	89	(3,020)
Prepaid financing costs, expenses and other assets	(3,662)	(599)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(3,682)	(5,425)
Security deposits	512	(995)
	88,551	93,874
<b>Investing Activities:</b>		
Improvements to commercial properties	(40,634)	(58,412)
Proceeds from sale of properties	78,719	21,919
	38,085	(36,493)
<b>Financing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from term loan		75,000
Repayments of mortgage loans	(2,090)	(1,370)
Proceeds from unsecured lines of credit	41,500	30,500
Repayments of unsecured lines of credit	(92,087)	(122,500)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	5,298	6,351
Distributions to preferred operating partnership unit holders	(2,156)	(2,156)
Increase in restricted cash	(211)	(180)
Distributions to minority interests	(1,734)	(1,784)
Dividends paid	(63,654)	(63,932)
	(115,134)	(80,071)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	11,502	(22,690)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	4,063	37,041
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 15,565	\$ 14,351
<b>Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 47,214	\$ 44,961



*See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.*



**Table of Contents**

**Arden Realty, Inc.**  
**Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements**  
**June 30, 2003**  
**(unaudited)**

**1. Description of Business**

The terms Arden Realty, us, we and our as used in this report refer to Arden Realty, Inc. Through our controlling interest in Arden Realty Limited Partnership, or the Operating Partnership, and our other subsidiaries, we own, manage, lease, develop, renovate and acquire commercial office properties located in Southern California. As of June 30, 2003, our portfolio was comprised of 131 primarily suburban office properties, consisting of 217 buildings with approximately 18.9 million net rentable square feet including one development project with approximately 283,000 net rentable square feet currently under lease-up. As of June 30, 2003, excluding the development project, our portfolio was 89.5% occupied.

The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements include our accounts, the accounts of the Operating Partnership and our other subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The minority interests at June 30, 2003 consist of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership of approximately 2.6%, exclusive of ownership interests of the Operating Partnership's preferred unit holders.

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Arden Realty, Inc., the Operating Partnership, and our subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We consolidate all entities for which we have controlling financial interest as measured by a majority of the voting interest. For entities in which the controlling financial interest is not clearly indicated by ownership of a majority of the voting interest, we would consolidate those entities that we control by agreement. We also consolidate all variable interest entities for which we are the primary beneficiary.

Except for minority interests in the Operating Partnership, Arden Realty and the Operating Partnership currently own 100% of all of our consolidated subsidiaries and do not have any unconsolidated investments other than an investment in the securities of a non-publicly traded company. This investment represents approximately 5.6% of the total equity outstanding for this particular company. Because we do not control this company contractually nor exert significant influence over its operating and financial policies, we account for this investment under the cost method of accounting.

*Interim Financial Data*

The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The accompanying financial information reflects all adjustments, which are, in our opinion, of a normal recurring nature and necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods. Interim results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

*Reclassifications*

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

**3. New Accounting Standards**

In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 45, Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (FIN 45). FIN 45 requires guarantees and indemnification agreements meeting the characteristics described in FIN 45 to be initially recorded as a liability at fair value. FIN 45 also requires a guarantor to make new disclosures for guarantees, even if the likelihood of the guarantor having to make payment under the guarantee is remote. The disclosure requirements within FIN 45 are effective for 2002 and its adoption is required for 2003 on a prospective basis to

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. We adopted the provisions of FIN 45 in 2003. Our adoption of this statement did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (FIN 46). FIN 46 clarifies the application of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, Consolidated Financial Statements and provides guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than through voting rights and how to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate such an entity. This new model for consolidation applies to an entity which either (1) the equity investors (if any) do not have a controlling financial interest or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. Our adoption of this statement in 2003 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

In May 2003, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 150 (SFAS 150), Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS 150 affects the issuer's accounting for certain types of freestanding financial instruments. In addition to its requirements for the classification and measurement of financial instruments in its scope, SFAS 150 also requires disclosures about alternative ways of settling the instruments and capital structure of entities, all of whose shares are mandatorily redeemable. Most of the guidance in SFAS 150 is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. We will adopt SFAS 150 in the third quarter of 2003 and do not expect its adoption to significantly impact our consolidated financial statements.

**4. Property Dispositions**

On March 11, 2003, we sold an approximate 140,000 square foot office property located in West Hollywood, California for a gross sales price of approximately \$32.5 million.

On April 11, 2003, we sold four properties totaling approximately 343,000 square feet located in Riverside and San Bernardino counties for a gross sales price of approximately \$43.4 million.

On May 22, 2003, we sold an approximate 33,000 square foot office property located in Orange County for a gross sales price of approximately \$5.0 million.

The net proceeds from these dispositions were used to reduce the outstanding balance on our Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit.

**5. Outstanding Indebtedness**

A summary of our outstanding indebtedness as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002 is as follows:

Type of Debt	June 30, 2003 (unaudited)	December 31, 2002	Stated Annual Interest Rate at June 30, 2003 (unaudited)	Rate Fixed/Floating	Number of Properties Securing Loan	Maturity
(in thousands)						
<b>Mortgage Loans Payable:</b>						
<i>Fixed Rate</i>						
Mortgage Financing I(1)	\$ 175,000	\$ 175,000	7.52%	Fixed	18	6/04
Mortgage Financing III(2)	135,758	136,100	6.74%	Fixed	22	4/08
Mortgage Financing IV(2)	110,928	111,200	6.61%	Fixed	12	4/08
Mortgage Financing V(2)	107,035	108,153	6.94%	Fixed	12	4/09
Mortgage Financing VI(2)	21,714	21,816	7.54%	Fixed	3	4/09
Activity Business Center(2)	7,497	7,580	8.85%	Fixed	1	5/06
145 South Fairfax(2)	3,931	3,952	8.93%	Fixed	1	1/27
Marin Corporate Center(2)	2,788	2,850	9.00%	Fixed	1	7/15
Conejo Business Center(2)	2,734	2,795	8.75%	Fixed	(Note 3)	7/15
Conejo Business Center(2)	1,179	1,208	7.88%	Fixed	(Note 3)	7/15
	568,564	570,654				
<b>Unsecured Lines of Credit:</b>						
<i>Floating Rate</i>						
Wells Fargo-\$310 mm(1)	158,000	208,587	2.91%	LIBOR + 1.00% (Notes 4,5)		4/06
City National Bank-\$10 mm(1)				Prime Rate 0.875%		8/03
	158,000	208,587				
<b>Unsecured Term Loan:</b>						
<i>Floating Rate</i>						

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Wells Fargo-\$125 mm(1),(6)	125,000	125,000	3.64%	Fixed (Note 7)	6/04
<b>Unsecured Senior Notes:</b>					
<i>Fixed Rate</i>					
2005 Notes(8)	199,821	199,769	8.88%	Fixed	3/05
2007 Notes(8)	149,323	149,245	7.00%	Fixed	11/07
2010 Notes(8)	49,724	49,704	9.15%	Fixed	3/10
2010 Notes(8)	99,387	99,345	8.50%	Fixed	11/10
	498,255	498,063			
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>\$ 1,349,819</b>	<b>\$ 1,402,304</b>			

- (1) Requires monthly payments of interest only, with outstanding principal balance due upon maturity.
- (2) Requires monthly payments of principal and interest.
- (3) Both mortgage loans are secured by the Conejo Business Center property.
- (4) This line of credit also has an annual 20 basis points facility fee on the entire \$310 million commitment amount.
- (5) In 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements that fixed the interest rate on \$50 million of the outstanding balance on this line of credit at 4.06% through April 2006.
- (6) This loan has a two-year extension option.
- (7) In 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements that fixed the interest rate on the entire balance of this loan at 3.64% in 2003, 4.18% in 2004, 4.75% in 2005 and 4.90% in 2006.
- (8) Requires semi-annual interest payments only, with principal balance due upon maturity.

**6. Interest Rate Swap Agreements**

We have interest rate swap agreements to effectively convert floating rate debt into fixed rate debt. Net amounts received or paid under these agreements are recognized as an adjustment to interest expense when such amounts are incurred or earned. Our objective in

**Table of Contents**

using interest rate swap agreements is to limit our exposure to interest rate movements. During 2002, such agreements were used to fix the floating interest rate associated with \$50 million of the Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit and the entire \$125 million balance of the unsecured term loan.

In June and July of 2003, we also entered into \$100 million of forward-starting swaps that effectively fixed the 10-year Treasury rate at an average rate of approximately 3.9% for borrowings that are anticipated to occur in 2004 to refinance some of our scheduled debt maturities. The forward-starting interest rate swaps were entered into at current market rates and, therefore, had no initial cost. The market value of these forward-starting swaps that have a combined notional amount of \$50 million at June 30, 2003 was an asset of approximately \$400,000 which is netted against accounts payable and accrued liabilities and included in other comprehensive loss.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133), as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and for hedging activities. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (loss), outside of earnings and subsequently recognized to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings.

Under SFAS 133 our interest rate swap agreements are classified as cash flow hedges with their fair value as of June 30, 2003 of approximately \$5.7 million reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss on our balance sheet. The estimated fair value of these interest rate swap agreements are dependent on changes in market interest rates and other market factors that affect the value of such agreements. Consequently, the estimated current fair value may significantly change during the term of the agreements. Any estimated gain or loss from these agreements will be amortized into earnings as we recognize the interest expense for the underlying floating-rate loans at the fixed interest rate provided under our agreements. If the underlying floating rate loans were to be repaid prior to maturity, we would recognize into interest expense any unamortized gain or loss at the time of such early repayment.

As of June 30, 2003, we did not have any derivatives that could be designated as fair value hedges.

**7. Stockholders Equity and Minority Interests**

A common Operating Partnership unit, or common OP Unit, and a share of our common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership. A common OP Unit may be redeemed for cash or, at our election, for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

Our minority interest balance includes \$50 million of 8<sup>5/8</sup>% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Operating Partnership Units, or Preferred OP Units. These Preferred OP Units were issued in September of 1999, are callable by us after five years and are exchangeable after ten years by the holder into our 8<sup>5/8</sup>% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, on a one-for-one basis. The Preferred OP Units have no stated maturity or mandatory redemption and are subordinate to all debt.

On June 12, 2003, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.505 per share to stockholders of record on June 30, 2003.

**8. Revenue from Rental Operations and Property Expenses**

Revenue from rental operations and property expenses are summarized as follows (in thousands):

Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
2003	2002	2003	2002
(unaudited)			

Revenue from Rental Operations:

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Scheduled cash rents	\$ 90,528	\$84,635	\$ 180,754	\$ 169,677
Straight-line rents	337	2,098	948	3,693
Tenant reimbursements	5,999	6,300	13,034	13,185
Parking, net of expenses	5,525	5,186	10,644	10,150
Other rental operations	2,782	1,708	4,067	2,923
	<u>105,171</u>	<u>99,927</u>	<u>209,447</u>	<u>199,628</u>
Property Expenses:				
Repairs and maintenance	11,098	9,273	21,519	18,401
Utilities	8,093	7,731	16,126	15,306
Real estate taxes	7,261	7,056	14,769	14,257
Insurance	2,080	2,045	4,205	3,659
Ground rent	322	270	364	338
Administrative	4,760	3,570	8,898	7,267
	<u>33,614</u>	<u>29,945</u>	<u>65,881</u>	<u>59,228</u>
	<u>\$ 71,557</u>	<u>\$69,982</u>	<u>\$ 143,566</u>	<u>\$ 140,400</u>



**Table of Contents****9. Stock Option Plan**

Beginning on January 1, 2003, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure under which we began expensing the costs of new stock options granted to employees in 2003 in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation. We used the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of the stock options granted in 2003. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2003, we expensed approximately \$8,000 and \$16,000, respectively, of stock option based employee compensation costs.

The following table reflects pro forma net income and earnings per share had we elected to expense all options granted prior to 2003 assuming the fair value method and using the Black-Scholes option valuation model (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net income available to common stockholders, as reported	\$ 18,903	\$ 17,407	\$ 34,341	\$ 38,760
Stock based employee compensation costs for options granted prior to 2003 assuming fair value method	259	394	523	681
Net income available to common stockholders, as adjusted	\$ 18,644	\$ 17,013	\$ 33,818	\$ 38,079
Earnings per share:				
Basic as reported	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.60
Basic as adjusted	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.59
Diluted as reported	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.60
Diluted as adjusted	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.53	\$ 0.59

**10. Commitments and Contingencies**

We are presently subject to various lawsuits, claims and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business none of which if determined unfavorably to us is expected to have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations. There were no material changes in our legal proceedings during the three months ended June 30, 2003.

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

#### **Overview**

*The following discussion relates to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein, which should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and in our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K.*

We are a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust that owns, manages, leases, develops, renovates and acquires commercial properties located in Southern California. We are managed by 7 senior executive officers who have experience in the real estate industry ranging from 12 to 34 years and who collectively have an average of 18 years experience. We perform all property and development management, accounting, finance and acquisition/disposition activities and a majority of our leasing transactions with our staff of approximately 300 employees.

As of June 30, 2003, we were Southern California's largest publicly traded office landlord as measured by total net rentable square feet owned. As of that date, our portfolio was comprised of 131 primarily suburban office properties, consisting of 217 buildings with approximately 18.9 million net rentable square feet, including one development project with approximately 283,000 net rentable square feet currently under lease-up. As of June 30, 2003, excluding the development project, our portfolio was 89.5% occupied.

#### **Business Strategy**

Our primary business strategy is to actively manage our portfolio to seek to achieve gains in rental rates and occupancy, control operating expenses and to maximize income from ancillary operations and services. When market conditions permit, we may also selectively develop, renovate or acquire new properties that add value and fit strategically into our portfolio. We may also sell existing properties and redeploy the proceeds into investments we believe will generate higher long-term value.

We continue to seek to build a tenant base of smaller, diverse companies that limits our exposure to any single tenant or industry. Smaller tenants typically translate into shorter-term leases. Shorter-term leases provide greater opportunity for renewing a substantial portion of our portfolio at higher rental rates each year during strong markets, but create challenges to maintain occupancy and rates when markets weaken. The average term of our leases is 4 to 5 years, resulting in approximately 15% to 20% of our leases turning over annually.

We closely monitor our operating expenses and capital expenditures to sustain or improve operating margins and dividend coverage. We may defer discretionary capital expenditures until market conditions improve.

#### **Current Economic Climate**

Our short and long-term liquidity, ability to refinance existing indebtedness, ability to issue long-term debt and equity securities at favorable rates and our dividend policy are significantly impacted by the operating results of our properties, all of which are located in Southern California. Our ability to lease available space and increase rates when leases expire is largely dependent on the demand for office space in the markets where our properties are located. We believe current uncertainty over the national and Southern California economic environment is exerting downward pressures on the demand for Southern California commercial office space. We are experiencing continued downward pressures due to several factors including the following:

Job growth in Southern California, which we believe to be a leading indicator of office demand in the region, was negative in 2002 as well as in the first six months of 2003 and is largely dependent on improved economic activity;

Occupancy and rental rates have decreased in recent months and are expected to decrease further in the coming months due to the state of the local economy and competition from other office landlords;

Tenant concessions for new and renewal deals have increased in some submarkets in recent months;

Some tenants are under-utilizing their existing space and can therefore expand internally before they need new space;

Sublease space is impacting vacancy and rental rates in some submarkets; and

Over-building has increased vacancy rates in some submarkets.

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

These factors have contributed to a decrease in the occupancy of our portfolio from 90.1% as of December 31, 2002 to 89.5% as of June 30, 2003.

According to published reports, overall market rental rates in Southern California declined 3.5 to 4.0% during the three months ended June 30, 2003. Given the current trends, including the expected continued occupancy pressures and more aggressive pricing for sublease space, we expect market rates will decline by an additional 1 to 2% in the second half of 2003. Concessions also rose during the three months ended June 30, 2003. As occupancy pressures continue, we expect concessions in either free or reduced initial rents or higher tenant improvement allowances to rise.

The timing and extent of future changes in the national and local economy and their effects on our properties and results of operations are difficult to accurately predict. It is possible, however, that these national and regional issues may more directly affect us and

**Table of Contents**

our operating results in the future, making it more difficult for us to lease and renew available space, to increase or maintain rental rates as leases expire and to collect amounts due from our tenants. For additional information, see Risk Factors Further declines in the economic activity of Southern California will adversely affect our operating results, The financial condition and solvency of our tenants may reduce our cash flow, and Rising energy costs and power outages in California may have an adverse effect on our operations and revenue, in our 2002 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Refer to our 2002 Annual Report on form 10-K for a discussion of our critical accounting policies which include, among other things, revenue recognition, allowance for doubtful accounts and depreciation. There have been no material changes to these policies in 2003.

**Table of Contents****RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our financial position and operating results primarily relate to our portfolio of commercial properties and income derived from those properties. Therefore, the comparability of financial data from period to period will be affected by the timing of property developments, acquisitions and dispositions.

*Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2003 to the three months ended June 30, 2002  
(in thousands, except number of properties and percentages):*

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Change	Percent Change
	2003	2002		
(unaudited)				
<b>Total Portfolio:</b>				
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>				
Scheduled cash rents	\$ 90,528	\$ 84,635	\$ 5,893	7%
Straight-line rents	337	2,098	(1,761)	(84)
Tenant reimbursements	5,999	6,300	(301)	(5)
Parking, net of expense	5,525	5,186	339	7
Other rental operations	2,782	1,708	1,074	63
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total revenue from rental operations	105,171	99,927	5,244	5
<b>Property expenses:</b>				
Repairs and maintenance	11,098	9,273	1,825	20%
Utilities	8,093	7,731	362	5
Real estate taxes	7,261	7,056	205	3
Insurance	2,080	2,045	35	2
Ground rent	322	270	52	19
Administrative	4,760	3,570	1,190	33
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total property expenses	33,614	29,945	3,669	12
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Property Operating Results <sup>(1)</sup>	71,557	69,982	1,575	2
General and administrative	4,225	2,951	1,274	43
Interest	23,254	21,584	1,670	8
Depreciation and amortization	30,049	28,028	2,021	7
Interest and other income	(378)	(512)	(134)	(26)
Gain on sale of operating properties		(81)	(81)	(100)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Income from continuing operations before minority interest	\$ 14,407	\$ 18,012	\$ (3,605)	(20)%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$ (85)	\$ 916	\$ (1,001)	(109)%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Gain on sale of discontinued properties	\$ 6,021	\$	\$ 6,021	
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	
<b>Number of Properties:</b>				
Disposed of during period	(5)	(2)		
In service at end of period	130	130		
<b>Net Rentable Square Feet:</b>				
Disposed of during period	(376)	(141)		
In service at end of period	18,617	18,042		
<b>Same Store Portfolio<sup>(2)</sup>:</b>				

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Revenue from rental operations	\$ 96,692	\$95,704	\$ 988	1%
Property expenses	31,106	29,218	1,888	6%
	<u>65,586</u>	<u>\$66,486</u>	<u>\$ (900)</u>	<u>(1)%</u>
Straight-line rents	\$ 113	\$ 1,661	\$(1,548)	
Number of non-development properties	124	124		
Average occupancy	89.4%	90.5%		
Net rentable square feet	17,526	17,526		

- (1) Property Operating Results is commonly used by investors to evaluate the performance of REITs, to determine trends in earnings and to compute the fair value of properties as it is not affected by (1) the cost of funds of the property owner or (2) the impact of depreciation and amortization expenses as well as gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate assets that are included in net income computed in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. The first factor is commonly eliminated from net income because it is specific to the particular financing capabilities and constraints of the owner. The second factor is commonly eliminated because it may not accurately represent the actual change in value in real estate properties that results from use or changes in market conditions. We believe that eliminating these costs from net income gives investors an additional measure of operating performance that, when used as an adjunct to net income computed in accordance with GAAP, can be a useful measure of our operating results.

**Table of Contents**

Property Operating Results captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. However, Property Operating Results excludes general and administrative costs, interest expense, interest income, depreciation and amortization expense and gains or losses from the sale of properties, changes in value in our real estate properties that result from use or permanent impairment to carrying costs as stipulated by GAAP, the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, Property Operating Results may fail to capture significant trends which limits its usefulness.

Property Operating Results is a non-GAAP measure of performance. Property Operating Results is not a substitute for net income as computed in accordance with GAAP. It excludes significant expense components such as depreciation and amortization expense and financing costs. This measure should be analyzed in conjunction with net income and cash flow from operating activities as computed in accordance with GAAP. Other companies may use different methods for calculating Property Operating Results or similarly entitled measures and, accordingly, our Property Operating Results may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures reported by other companies that do not define the measure exactly as we do.

The following is a reconciliation of income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest to Property Operating Results (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2003</b>	<b>2002</b>
Income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest	\$ 14,407	\$ 17,931
Add:		
General and administrative expense	4,225	2,951
Interest expense	23,254	21,584
Depreciation and amortization	30,049	28,028
Less:		
Interest and other income	(378)	(512)
Property Operating Results	<u>\$ 71,557</u>	<u>\$ 69,982</u>

(2) Consists of non-development properties classified as part of continuing operations and owned for the entirety of the periods presented.

**VARIANCES FOR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 were primarily affected by our acquisitions, dispositions and development activities since April 1, 2002. In addition, our Property Operating Results from period to period were affected by our implementation of SFAS No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144), which resulted in the classification of the operating results of some of the properties sold since April 1, 2002 into discontinued operations.

As a result of these changes within our portfolio of properties since the beginning of 2002, we do not believe the Property Operating Results presented above are comparable from period to period. Therefore, above we also present the Property Operating Results for our same store portfolio.

**Revenue from Rental Operations**

The increase in revenue from rental operations for the total portfolio was primarily due to the 800,000 square feet in acquisitions we made in August of 2002, consisting of four properties in San Diego County and one property in Los Angeles County and the placement in service of the 6080 Center Drive development project at the Howard Hughes Center in the fourth quarter of 2002. The revenue from rental operations for the acquisitions and development project were partially offset by a change in our method of estimating tenant reimbursements in 2003 to adjust for quarterly seasonality variations associated with recoverable operating expenses and by the sale of a 61,000 square foot property in April 2002 and an 80,000 square foot property in May 2002, both of which were located in Los Angeles County and which, during the transition into SFAS 144, were not classified as discontinued operations.

The increase in revenue from rental operations for the same store portfolio was primarily due to an approximate \$1.2 million increase in cash rents, a \$565,000 million increase in other rental operations and a \$522,000 million increase in tenant reimbursements, which were partially offset by a \$1.5 million decrease in straight-line rents. The increase in cash rents was primarily related to scheduled rent increases in existing

leases that were partially offset by the 1.1% decrease in average occupancy for these properties. The increase in tenant reimbursements was primarily due to increases in operating expenses, as discussed below, partially offset by the timing of prior year reimbursement reconciliations. Straight-line rents decreased primarily due to the decline in occupancy and the turning over of older leases in the same store portfolio.

**Property Expenses**

The increase in property expenses for the total portfolio was primarily due to the five properties acquired, the three properties sold that were not classified as discontinued operations and the one property placed in service subsequent to January 1, 2002 described above.

The increase in property expenses for the same store portfolio was primarily due to an approximate \$1.1 million increase in repairs and maintenance and a \$1.0 million increase in property administrative expenses. Repairs and maintenance costs increased primarily due to higher contractual costs for janitorial and other contract services as well as the timing of certain projects. Property administrative expense increased primarily due to higher personnel costs, conclusion of the capitalization period for our 6100 Center Drive development project in the second quarter of 2003 and costs associated with training programs implemented in 2003.



**Table of Contents**

**General and Administrative**

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues were approximately 4.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to approximately 3.0% for the same period in 2002. The \$1.3 million increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to industry-wide increases in directors & officers insurance rates, higher external accounting and professional fees as well as higher personnel costs as a result of annual merit increases in 2003.

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased approximately \$1.7 million, or 8%, during the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to the same period in 2002. This increase was primarily due to increases in borrowings in 2002 for property acquisitions and lower interest capitalized in 2003. Capitalized interest was lower in 2003 as we ceased capitalizing interest on our 6080 Center Drive development property in May 2002 and our 6100 Center Drive development in May 2003. The increases in interest expense were partially offset by lower effective interest rates in 2003.

**Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$2.0 million, or 7%, during the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to the same period in 2002, primarily due to depreciation related to five properties totaling \$135.0 million acquired in August 2002, the placement in service of our 6080 Center Drive development property in the fourth quarter of 2002 and depreciation related to capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions placed in service subsequent to the second quarter of 2002.

**Interest and Other Income**

Interest and other income decreased by approximately \$134,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002, primarily due to the repayment by the borrower of a \$13.7 million mortgage note receivable in the fourth quarter of 2002.

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

**Table of Contents**

Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2003 to the six months ended June 30, 2002  
(in thousands, except number of properties and percentages):

	Six Months Ended June 30,		Change	Percent Change
	2003	2002		
(unaudited)				
<b>Total Portfolio:</b>				
<b>Revenue from rental operations:</b>				
Scheduled cash rents	\$ 180,754	\$ 169,677	\$ 11,077	7%
Straight-line rents	948	3,693	(2,745)	(74)
Tenant reimbursements	13,034	13,185	(151)	(1)
Parking, net of expense	10,644	10,150	494	5
Other rental operations	4,067	2,923	1,144	39
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total revenue from rental operations	209,447	199,628	9,819	5
<b>Property expenses:</b>				
Repairs and maintenance	21,519	18,401	3,118	17%
Utilities	16,126	15,306	820	5
Real estate taxes	14,769	14,257	512	4
Insurance	4,205	3,659	546	15
Ground rent	364	338	26	8
Administrative	8,898	7,267	1,631	22
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Total property expenses	65,881	59,228	6,653	11
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Property Operating Results <sup>(1)</sup>	143,566	140,400	3,166	2
General and administrative	7,877	5,911	1,966	33
Interest	46,289	42,981	3,308	8
Depreciation and amortization	59,010	53,608	5,402	10
Interest and other income	(510)	(1,052)	(542)	(52)
Gain on sale of operating properties	<u>          </u>	(1,273)	(1,273)	(100)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Income from continuing operations before minority interest	\$ 30,900	\$ 40,225	\$ (9,325)	(23)%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	\$ 985	\$ 1,711	\$ (726)	(42)%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Gain on sale of discontinued properties	\$ 5,382	\$	\$ 5,382	
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
<b>Number of Properties:</b>				
Disposed of during period	(6)	(3)		
In service at end of period	130	130		
<b>Net Rentable Square Feet:</b>				
Disposed of during period	(515)	(205)		
In service at end of period	18,617	18,042		
<b>Same Store Portfolio<sup>(2)</sup>:</b>				
Revenue from rental operations	\$ 193,582	\$ 191,168	\$ 2,414	1%
Property expenses	60,981	57,689	3,292	6%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
	\$ 132,601	\$ 133,479	\$ (878)	(1)%
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Straight-line rents	\$ 427	\$ 3,021	\$ (2,594)	

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

	████████	████████
Number of non-development properties	124	124
Average occupancy	89.8%	91.2%
Net rentable square feet	17,526	17,526

- (1) Property Operating Results is commonly used by investors to evaluate the performance of REITs, to determine trends in earnings and to compute the fair value of properties as it is not affected by (1) the cost of funds of the property owner or (2) the impact of depreciation and amortization expenses as well as gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate assets that are included in net income computed in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, or GAAP. The first factor is commonly eliminated from net income because it is specific to the particular financing capabilities and constraints of the owner. The second factor is commonly eliminated because it may not accurately represent the actual change in value in real estate properties that results from use or changes in market conditions. We believe that eliminating these costs from net income gives investors an additional measure of operating performance that, when used as an adjunct to net income computed in accordance with GAAP, can be a useful measure of our operating results.

Property Operating Results captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. However, Property Operating Results excludes general and administrative costs, interest expense, interest income, depreciation and amortization expense and gains or losses from the sale of properties, changes in value in our real estate properties that result from use or permanent impairment to carrying costs as stipulated by GAAP, the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, Property Operating Results may fail to capture significant trends which limits its usefulness.

**Table of Contents**

Property Operating Results is a non-GAAP measure of performance. Property Operating Results is not a substitute for net income as computed in accordance with GAAP. It excludes significant expense components such as depreciation and amortization expense and financing costs. This measure should be analyzed in conjunction with net income and cash flow from operating activities as computed in accordance with GAAP. Other companies may use different methods for calculating Property Operating Results or similarly entitled measures and, accordingly, our Property Operating Results may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures reported by other companies that do not define the measure exactly as we do.

The following is a reconciliation of income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest to Property Operating Results (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002
Income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest	\$ 30,900	\$ 38,952
Add:		
General and administrative expense	7,877	5,911
Interest expense	46,289	42,981
Depreciation and amortization	59,010	53,608
Less:		
Interest and other income	(510)	(1,052)
Property Operating Results	<u>\$ 143,566</u>	<u>\$ 140,400</u>

(2) Consists of non-development properties classified as part of continuing operations and owned for the entirety of the periods presented.

**VARIANCES FOR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Our results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2003 compared to the same period in 2002 were primarily affected by our acquisitions, dispositions and development activities since January 1, 2002. In addition, our Property Operating Results from period to period were affected by our implementation of SFAS No. 144 Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (SFAS 144), which resulted in the classification of the operating results of some of the properties sold since January 1, 2002 into discontinued operations.

As a result of these changes within our portfolio of properties since the beginning of 2002, we do not believe the Property Operating Results presented above are comparable from period to period. Therefore, above we also present the Property Operating Results for our same store portfolio.

**Revenue from Rental Operations**

The increase in revenue from rental operations for the total portfolio was primarily due to the 800,000 square feet in acquisitions we made in August of 2002, consisting of four properties in San Diego County and one property in Los Angeles County and the placement in service of the 6080 Center Drive development project at the Howard Hughes Center in the fourth quarter of 2002. The revenue from rental operations for the acquisitions and development project were partially offset by a change in our method of estimating tenant reimbursements in 2003 to adjust for quarterly seasonality variations associated with recoverable operating expenses and by the sale of a 64,000 square foot property in March 2002, a 61,000 square foot property in April 2002 and an 80,000 square foot property in May 2002, all of which were located in Los Angeles County and which, during the transition into SFAS 144, were not classified as discontinued operations.

The increase in revenue from rental operations for the same store portfolio was primarily due to an approximate \$3.4 million increase in cash rents and a \$1.9 million increase in tenant reimbursements, which were partially offset by a \$2.6 million decrease in straight-line rents. The increase in cash rents was primarily related to scheduled rent increases in existing leases that were partially offset by the 1.4% decrease in average occupancy for these properties. The increase in tenant reimbursements was primarily due to increases in operating expenses, as discussed below, and the timing of prior year reimbursement reconciliations. Straight-line rents decreased primarily to the decline in occupancy and the turning over of older leases in the same store portfolio.

**Property Expenses**

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

The increase in property expenses for the total portfolio was primarily due to the five properties acquired, the three properties sold that were not classified as discontinued operations and the one property placed in service subsequent to January 1, 2002 described above.

The increase in property expenses for the same store portfolio was primarily due to an approximate \$1.8 million increase in repairs and maintenance, a \$1.3 million increase in property administrative expenses and a \$361,000 increase in insurance costs. Repairs and maintenance costs increased primarily due to higher contractual costs for janitorial and other contract services as well as the timing of certain projects. Property administrative expenses increased primarily due to higher personnel costs, conclusion of the capitalization period for our 6100 Center Drive development project in the second quarter of 2003 and costs associated with training programs implemented in 2003. Insurance costs increased due to increases in industry-wide rates and premiums related to a \$100 million terrorism insurance policy entered into in the second quarter of 2002.

## **Table of Contents**

### **General and Administrative**

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of total revenues were approximately 3.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to approximately 3.0% for the same period in 2002. The approximate \$2.0 million increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily related to industry-wide increases in directors & officers insurance rates, higher external accounting and professional fees as well as higher personnel costs as a result of annual merit increases in 2003.

### **Interest Expense**

Interest expense increased approximately \$3.3 million, or 8%, during the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to the same period in 2002. This increase was primarily due to increases in borrowings in 2002 for property acquisitions and lower interest capitalized in 2003. Capitalized interest was lower in 2003 as we ceased capitalizing interest on our 6080 Center Drive development property in May 2002 and our 6100 Center Drive Development in May 2003. The increases in interest expense were partially offset by lower effective interest rates in 2003.

### **Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by approximately \$5.4 million, or 10%, during the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to the same period in 2002, primarily due to depreciation related to five properties totaling \$135.0 million acquired in August 2002, the placement in service of our 6080 Center Drive development property in the fourth quarter of 2002 and depreciation related to capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions placed in service subsequent to June 30, 2002.

### **Interest and Other Income**

Interest and other income decreased by approximately \$542,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2003 as compared to the same period in 2002, primarily due to the repayment by the borrower of a \$13.7 million mortgage note receivable in the fourth quarter of 2002 and lower effective interest rates in 2003.

## **LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

### **Cash Flows**

Cash provided by operating activities decreased by approximately \$5.3 million to \$88.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$93.9 million for the same period in 2002. This decrease was primarily due to a \$5.5 million reduction in our outstanding trade receivable balance during the first six months of 2002 as a result of collection efforts instituted in 2002.

Cash provided by investing activities increased by approximately \$74.6 million to an inflow of \$38.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to an outflow of \$36.5 million for the same period in 2002. This increase was primarily from the proceeds of one property sold in the first quarter of 2003, five properties sold in the second quarter of 2003 and from lower development costs in 2003.

Cash used in financing activities increased by approximately \$35.0 million to \$115.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$80.1 million for the same period in 2002. This increase was primarily due to higher net repayments in 2003 on our unsecured lines of credit.

### **Available Borrowings, Cash Balance and Capital Resources**

Our Operating Partnership has an unsecured line of credit with a total commitment of \$10 million from City National Bank. This line of credit accrues interest at the City National Bank Prime Rate less 0.875% and is scheduled to mature on August 1, 2003. Proceeds from this line of credit are used, among other things, to provide funds for tenant improvements and capital expenditures and provide for working capital and other corporate purposes. As of June 30, 2003, there was no outstanding balance on this line of credit and \$10 million was available for additional borrowings.

Our Operating Partnership also has an unsecured line of credit with a group of banks led by Wells Fargo. This line of credit provides for borrowings up to \$310 million with an option to increase the amount to \$350 million and bears interest at a rate ranging between LIBOR + 0.80% and LIBOR + 1.25% (including an annual facility fee ranging from 0.15% to 0.40% based on the aggregate amount of the line of credit) depending on the Operating Partnership's unsecured debt rating. This line of credit matures in April 2006. In addition, as long as the Operating Partnership maintains an unsecured debt rating of BBB-/Baa3 or better, the agreement contains a competitive bid option, whereby the lenders may bid on the interest rate to be charged for up to \$150 million of the unsecured line of credit. The Operating Partnership also has the option to

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

convert the interest rate on this line of credit to the higher of Wells Fargo's prime rate or the Federal Funds rate plus 0.5%. As of June 30, 2003, \$158.0 million was outstanding on this line of credit and \$152.0 million was available for additional borrowings.

As of June 30, 2003, we had \$36.3 million in cash and cash equivalents, including \$20.7 million in restricted cash. Restricted cash consisted of \$13.7 million in interest bearing cash deposits required by five of our mortgage loans payable and \$7.0 million in cash impound accounts for real estate taxes and insurance as required by several of our mortgage loans payable.

We have entered into \$100 million of forward-starting swaps during 2003 to effectively fix the 10-year Treasury rate at an average rate of approximately 3.9% for borrowings that are anticipated to occur in 2004 to refinance some of our scheduled debt maturities. The forward-starting interest rate swaps were entered into at current market rates and, therefore, had no initial cost.

**Table of Contents**

We expect to continue meeting our short-term liquidity and capital requirements generally through net cash provided by operating activities and proceeds from our unsecured lines of credit. We believe the foregoing sources of liquidity will be sufficient to fund our short-term liquidity needs over the next twelve months, including recurring non-revenue enhancing capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

We expect to meet our long-term liquidity and capital requirements such as scheduled principal repayments, development costs, property acquisitions, if any, and other non-recurring capital expenditures through net cash provided by operations, refinancing of existing indebtedness and the issuance of long-term debt and equity securities.

**Capital Recycling Program**

On March 11, 2003, we sold an approximate 140,000 square foot office property located in West Hollywood, California for a gross sales price of approximately \$32.5 million.

On April 11, 2003, we sold four properties totaling approximately 343,000 square feet located in Riverside and San Bernardino counties for a gross sales price of approximately \$43.4 million.

On May 22, 2003, we sold an approximate 33,000 square foot office property located in Orange County for a gross sales price of approximately \$5.0 million.

The net proceeds from these dispositions were used to reduce the outstanding balance on our Wells Fargo unsecured line of credit.

**Debt Summary**

Following is a summary of scheduled principal payments for our total debt outstanding as of June 30, 2003 (in thousands):

Year	Amount
2003	\$ 3,562
2004	307,062 <sup>(1)</sup>
2005	207,678
2006	173,063 <sup>(2)</sup>
2007	158,681
2008	230,305
2009	111,980
2010	150,565
2011	710
2012	768
Thereafter	5,445
Total	<u>\$ 1,349,819</u>

(1) Includes \$125 million outstanding on the Wells Fargo term loan which has a two-year extension option.

(2) Consists primarily of \$158 million outstanding on the Wells Fargo line of credit.  
Following is certain other information related to our outstanding indebtedness as of June 30, 2003:

**Unsecured and Secured Debt:**

Balance	Percent	Weighted Average	Weighted Average
---------	---------	---------------------	---------------------



Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

			Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	Maturity (in years)
	(000's)			
Unsecured Debt	\$ 781,255	58%	6.84%	3.3
Secured Debt	568,564	42%	7.37%	5.2
Total Debt	\$1,349,819	100%	7.06%	4.1

**Table of Contents****Floating and Fixed Rate Debt:**

	<u>Balance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Weighted Average Interest Rate<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Weighted Average Maturity (in years)</u>
	(000's)			
Floating Rate Debt	\$ 108,000	8%	3.68%	2.8
Fixed Rate Debt <sup>(2)</sup>	1,241,819	92%	7.35%	4.3
<b>Total Debt</b>	<b>\$1,349,819</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>7.06%</b>	<b>4.1</b>

(1) Includes amortization of prepaid financing costs.

(2) Includes \$175 million of floating rate debt that has been fixed through interest rate swap agreements. Total interest incurred and the amount capitalized was as follows (unaudited and in thousands):

	<u>For the Three Months Ended June 30,</u>		<u>For the Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2002</u>
Total interest incurred	\$24,169	\$23,114	\$48,439	\$46,098
Amount capitalized	(915)	(1,530)	(2,150)	(3,117)
<b>Amount expensed</b>	<b>\$23,254</b>	<b>\$21,584</b>	<b>\$46,289</b>	<b>\$42,981</b>

**Senior Unsecured Notes Covenant Compliance Ratios**

The following table summarizes our senior unsecured notes covenant compliance ratios as of June 30, 2003 (in thousands, except percentage and covenant ratio data):

Net investment in real estate	\$2,648,347
Cash and cash equivalents	15,565
Restricted Cash	20,709
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	424,670
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>\$3,109,291</b>
<b>Total unencumbered assets</b>	<b>\$1,767,219</b>
Mortgage loans payable	\$ 568,564
Unsecured lines of credit	158,000
Unsecured term loan	125,000
Unsecured senior notes, net of discount	498,255
<b>Total Outstanding Debt</b>	<b>\$1,349,819</b>

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidated EBITDA <sup>(1),(2)</sup>	\$ 273,486
Interest incurred <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 96,503
Loan fee amortization <sup>(2)</sup>	3,830
Debt Service <sup>(2)</sup>	\$ 100,333

Covenant Ratios	Test	Actual
Total Outstanding Debt/Total Assets	Less than 60%	43%
Secured Debt/Total Assets	Less than 40%	18%
EBITDA to Debt Service	Greater than 1.5	2.7
Unencumbered Assets/Unsecured Debt	Greater than 150%	226%

- (1) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, is a non-GAAP measurement. EBITDA is presented because we use this data and we believe this data is also used by investors as an indication of our ability to meet our debt service requirements. We consider that EBITDA, when combined with other measures, can be a useful measure to determine our ability to service debt and fund future capital expenditure requirements. However, due to the significance

**Table of Contents**

of the net income components excluded from EBITDA, it should not be considered an alternative to net income, cash flow from operations, or any other operating or liquidity performance measure prescribed by GAAP.

Because interest expense, taxes, gains or losses on sales of property, losses on valuations of derivatives, asset impairment losses, cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle, extraordinary items as defined by GAAP and depreciation and amortization costs, which are not reflected in EBITDA, have been, will be or may be incurred by us, investors are cautioned to reflect our ability to finance our investments at competitive borrowing costs, successfully maintain our REIT status, acquire and dispose of real estate properties at favorable prices to us and also reflect changes in value in our properties that result from use or changes in market conditions and the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties.

We present the ratio of EBITDA to interest expense and the ratio of EBITDA to fixed charges because these ratios are used in several financial covenants contained in our principal loan agreements. We are required to satisfy these financial covenants each fiscal quarter. We believe this information is useful to investors because investors can use this data to (1) confirm that we are in compliance with the ratio covenants of our principal loan agreements, (2) evaluate our ability to service our debt, (3) evaluate our ability to fund future capital expenditures, and (4) compare our ratios to other real estate companies that present similar ratios, including other REITs. These ratios should not be considered as alternatives to the ratio of earnings to fixed charges.

The reader is cautioned that EBITDA, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to EBITDA as reported by other companies that do not define EBITDA exactly the same as we do.

We calculate EBITDA as follows:

	Three Months Ended				
	6/30/03	3/31/03	12/31/02	9/30/02	6/30/02
Income from continuing operations before gain on sale of properties and minority interest	\$ 14,407	\$ 16,493	\$ 16,258	\$ 15,770	\$ 17,931
Add:					
Interest expense	23,254	23,035	23,132	22,403	21,584
Depreciation and amortization	30,049	28,961	27,591	26,829	28,028
Discontinued operations, net of minority interest	(85)	1,070	861	800	916
Minority interest from discontinued operations	149	12	24	21	25
Depreciation from discontinued operations	(1)	661	971	821	794
<b>EBITDA</b>	<b>\$ 67,773</b>	<b>\$ 70,232</b>	<b>\$ 68,837</b>	<b>\$ 66,644</b>	<b>\$ 69,278</b>

(2) Represent amounts for the most recent four consecutive quarters.

**Funds from Operations**

The following table reflects the calculation of our funds from operations for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
<b>Funds From Operations:</b> <sup>(1)</sup>				
Net income	\$ 18,903	\$ 17,407	\$ 34,341	\$ 38,760
Depreciation and minority interest from discontinued operations	148	819	821	1,644
Gain on sale of discontinued properties	(6,021)		(5,382)	
Depreciation and amortization	30,049	28,028	59,010	53,608
Minority interest	1,440	1,521	2,926	3,176

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Gain on sale of operating properties		(81)		(1,273)
Distribution on Preferred Operating Partnership Units	(1,078)	(1,078)	(2,156)	(2,156)
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Funds From Operations <sup>(2)</sup>	\$43,441	\$46,616	\$89,560	\$93,759
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>
Weighted average common shares and Operating Partnership units outstanding Diluted	65,132	66,850	64,982	66,610
	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>	<u>          </u>

- 
- (1) We believe that funds from operations, or FFO, is a useful supplemental measure of our operating performance. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the White Paper on FFO approved by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, in April 2002. The White Paper defines FFO as net income or loss computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or

**Table of Contents**

GAAP, excluding extraordinary items, as defined by GAAP, and gains and losses from sales of depreciable operating property plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

We believe that FFO, by excluding depreciation costs, the gains or losses from the sale of operating real estate properties and the extraordinary items as defined by GAAP, provides an additional perspective on our operating results. However, because these excluded items have a real economic effect, FFO is a limited measure of performance.

FFO captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. FFO excludes depreciation and amortization costs and it does not capture the changes in value in our properties that result from use or changes in market conditions or the level of capital expenditures and leasing costs necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which are significant economic costs. Therefore, its ability to measure performance is limited.

Because FFO excludes significant economic components of net income determined in accordance with GAAP, FFO should be used as an adjunct to net income and not as an alternative to net income. FFO should also not be used as an indicator of our financial performance, or as a substitute for cash flow from operating activities determined in accordance with GAAP or as a measure of our liquidity. FFO is not by itself indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or service our debt.

FFO is used by investors to compare our performance with other REITs. Other REITs may use different methods for calculating FFO and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to other REITs.

- (2) Includes \$448,000 and \$315,000 in non-cash compensation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2003, and 2002, respectively and \$837,000 and \$560,000 in non-cash compensation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and June 30, 2002, respectively.

**Table of Contents****Portfolio and Lease Information**

The following tables set forth certain information regarding our properties as of June 30, 2003.

**PORTFOLIO SUMMARY**

As of June 30, 2003

Location	Number of Properties		Number of Buildings		Approximate Net Rentable (Square Feet)	
	Total	% of Total	Total	% of Total	Total	% of Total
Los Angeles County						
West	30	23%	32	15%	4,882,004	26%
North	29	22%	46	21%	3,231,591	17%
South	16	12%	21	10%	3,057,925	17%
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>11,171,520</b>	<b>60%</b>
Orange County	23	18%	56	26%	3,676,119	20%
San Diego County	25	19%	40	18%	2,857,195	15%
Ventura/Kern Counties	6	5%	17	8%	778,363	4%
Riverside County <sup>(3)</sup>	1	1%	4	2%	133,481	1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>130<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>216<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>18,616,678<sup>(4)</sup></b>	<b>100%</b>

[Additional columns below]

[Continued from above table, first column(s) repeated]

**Property Operating Results<sup>(1)</sup>**

Location	For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2003		For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003	
	Total	% of Total	Total	% of Total
	(in thousands and unaudited)			
Los Angeles County				
West	\$ 27,235	38%	\$ 54,886	38%
North	11,476	16%	22,670	16%
South	9,821	14%	19,616	14%
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>48,532</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>97,172</b>	<b>68%</b>
Orange County	11,620	16%	23,203	16%
San Diego County	8,690	12%	17,793	12%
Ventura/Kern Counties	2,399	3%	4,792	3%
Riverside County <sup>(3)</sup>	316	1%	606	1%

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

<b>Total</b>	\$71,557	100%	\$143,566	100%
--------------	----------	------	-----------	------

- (1) Excludes the operating results of one property sold during the first quarter of 2003 and five properties sold during the second quarter of 2003. The operating results for these properties are reported as part of discontinued operations in our quarterly operating results.
- (2) Includes a retail property with approximately 37,000 net rentable square feet.
- (3) Consists of a retail property with approximately 133,000 net rentable square feet.
- (4) Including one development property currently under lease-up, our total portfolio consists of 131 properties with 217 buildings and approximately 18.9 million rentable square feet.

**PORTFOLIO OCCUPANCY AND IN-PLACE RENTS**

As of June 30, 2003

Location	Percent Occupied	Percent Leased	Annualized Base Rent Per Leased Square Foot <sup>(1)</sup>	
			Portfolio Total	Full Service Gross Leases <sup>(2)</sup>
Los Angeles County				
West	91.5% <sup>(3)</sup>	94.5% <sup>(3)</sup>	\$28.02	\$28.14
North	89.6%	90.7%	21.65	22.57
South	86.4%	87.1%	19.43	20.57
Orange County	92.5%	93.5%	18.36	21.80
San Diego County	83.5%	84.0%	18.88	23.53
Ventura/Kern Counties	97.0%	98.2%	18.42	18.83
Riverside County	93.0%	98.6%	12.37	
<b>Total/Weighted Average</b>	<b>89.5%</b>	<b>91.0%</b>	<b>\$21.76</b>	<b>\$23.85</b>

- (1) Based on monthly contractual base rent under existing leases as of June 30, 2003, multiplied by 12 and divided by leased net rentable square feet; for those leases where rent has not yet commenced or which are in a free rent period, the first month in which rent is to be received is used to determine annualized base rent.
- (2) Excludes 36 properties and approximately 3.9 million square feet under triple net and modified gross leases.
- (3) Excludes a 283,000 net rentable square foot development property under lease-up that is currently 55% leased and 0% occupied.



**Table of Contents****TEN LARGEST TENANTS**

As of June 30, 2003

<b>Tenant</b>	<b>Number of Locations</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Lease Term In Months</b>	<b>Percentage of Aggregate Portfolio Leased Square Feet</b>	<b>Percentage of Aggregate Portfolio Annualized Base Rent<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Net Rentable Square Feet</b>	<b>Annualized Base Rent (in thousands)</b>
State of California	24	48	2.27%	2.24%	385,732	\$ 8,256
Vivendi Universal	2	82	1.37	2.20	231,681	8,111
University of Phoenix	6	17	1.51	1.38	255,168	5,090
Univision Television Group	1	220	0.98	1.15	166,363	4,247
Ceridian Corporation	3	75	0.95	0.97	160,805	3,580
SBC Communications, Inc.	4	23	0.86	0.88	145,663	3,239
Atlantic Richfield	1	39	0.79	0.78	133,581	2,862
State Compensation Insurance Fund	1	57	0.67	0.72	113,513	2,656
U.S. Government	15	38	0.67	0.71	113,837	2,634
Haight, Brown & Bonesteel, LLP	1	97	0.36	0.70	61,399	2,579
<b>Total/Weighted Average<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>58</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>10.43%</b>	<b>11.73%</b>	<b>1,767,742</b>	<b>\$43,254</b>

(1) Annualized base rent is calculated as monthly contractual base rent under existing leases as of June 30, 2003, multiplied by 12; for those leases where rent has not yet commenced or which are in a free rent period, the first month in which rent is to be received is used to determine annualized base rent.

(2) The weighted average calculation is based on net rentable square footage leased by each tenant.

**LEASING ACTIVITY**

	<b>For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2003</b>	<b>For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2003</b>
Net Absorption (square feet)	(2,442)	(104,075)
Gross New Leasing Activity (square feet)	568,781	998,203
Retention Rate	64.4%	62.0%
Cash Rent Growth <sup>(1)</sup> :		
Expiring Rate	\$ 20.35	\$ 20.20
New / Renewed Rate	\$ 19.27	\$ 20.20
Increase	(5%)	%

GAAP Rent Growth<sup>(2)</sup>:

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

Expiring Rate	\$ 19.58	\$ 19.43
New / Renewed Rate	\$ 20.64	\$ 21.24
Increase	5%	9%
Weighted Average Lease Term in Months	54	53
Tenant Improvements and Commissions (per square foot):		
New <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 22.28	\$ 21.28
Renewal	\$ 10.67	\$ 12.78 <sup>(4)</sup>
Capital Expenditures (per square foot):		
Recurring	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.07
Non-recurring	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03

- (1) Represents the difference between initial market rents on new and renewed leases as compared to the expiring cash rents on the same space.
- (2) Represents estimated cash rent growth adjusted for straight-line rents.
- (3) Excludes all newly developed or renovated square footage or square footage vacant at acquisition.
- (4) Includes two tenants with approximately 140,000 net rentable square feet that extended their leases early in the first quarter of 2003 for an average of eight years that will not use their tenant improvement allowance until 2004. Excluding these two renewals, tenant improvements and commissions for renewal leases for the six months ended June 30, 2003 averaged \$9.72 per square foot.

**Table of Contents****PORTFOLIO DIVERSIFICATION**

As of June 30, 2003

North American Industrial Classification System Description	NAICS Code	Occupied Square Feet	Percentage of Total Occupied Portfolio
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	541	4,496,939	26.98%
Finance and Insurance	521-525	2,593,370	15.56
Information	511-519	2,014,672	12.08
Manufacturing	311-339	1,323,116	7.94
Health Care and Social Assistance	621-624	1,125,885	6.75
Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation Services	561-562	662,599	3.97
Public Administration	921-928	769,414	4.62
Educational Services	611	723,895	4.34
Real Estate, Rental and Leasing	531-533	733,291	4.40
Wholesale Trade	423-425	561,056	3.37
Transportation and Warehousing	481-493	388,768	2.33
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	711-713	329,045	1.97
Construction	236-238	247,621	1.49
Accommodation and Food Services	721-722	182,433	1.09
Other Services (except Public Administration)	811-814	200,375	1.20
Retail Trade	441-454	128,075	0.77
Mining	211-213	84,823	0.51
Management of Companies and Enterprises	551	21,970	0.13
Utilities	221	8,795	0.05
Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting	111-115	6,065	0.04
Other - Uncategorized		68,211	0.41
<b>Total Square Feet Occupied</b>		<b>16,670,418</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

**Table of Contents****LEASE EXPIRATIONS**

As of June 30, 2003

		<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008 and Thereafter</u>
<b>Los Angeles County:</b>							
West	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	333,757	703,454	776,203	499,330	551,639	1,720,065
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.97%	4.15%	4.59%	2.95%	3.26%	10.15%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 27.69	\$ 27.22	\$ 27.47	\$ 29.39	\$ 29.19	\$ 35.03
North	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	182,601	647,573	417,211	366,793	436,856	829,659
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.07%	3.82%	2.46%	2.16%	2.58%	4.90%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 23.51	\$ 21.36	\$ 23.14	\$ 24.41	\$ 22.67	\$ 23.40
South	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	184,176	522,970	712,098	299,673	214,053	682,856
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.09%	3.09%	4.20%	1.77%	1.26%	4.03%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 18.68	\$ 20.41	\$ 15.93	\$ 22.73	\$ 23.29	\$ 23.28
<b>Subtotal -</b>							
<b>Los Angeles County</b>							
	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	700,534	1,873,997	1,905,512	1,165,796	1,202,548	3,232,580
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	4.13%	11.06%	11.25%	6.88%	7.10%	19.08%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 24.23	\$ 23.30	\$ 22.21	\$ 26.11	\$ 25.77	\$ 29.56
<b>Orange County</b>							
	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	406,082	744,842	589,040	785,933	397,784	493,792
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	2.40%	4.39%	3.48%	4.63%	2.34%	2.91%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 17.91	\$ 16.20	\$ 21.20	\$ 19.68	\$ 20.54	\$ 23.32
<b>San Diego County</b>							
	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	171,837	456,696	535,555	330,046	159,161	709,787
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.01%	2.70%	3.16%	1.95%	0.94%	4.19%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 19.77	\$ 20.37	\$ 18.60	\$ 22.43	\$ 24.03	\$ 22.53
<b>All Others</b>							
	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	31,488	247,732	122,847	195,872	92,827	175,599
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.19%	1.46%	0.72%	1.16%	0.55%	1.04%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 19.71	\$ 17.84	\$ 20.32	\$ 19.66	\$ 17.01	\$ 18.68
<b>Total Portfolio</b>							
	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	1,309,941	3,323,267	3,152,954	2,477,647	1,852,320	4,611,758
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	7.73%	19.61%	18.61%	14.62%	10.93%	27.22%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 21.58	\$ 20.90	\$ 21.34	\$ 23.07	\$ 24.06	\$ 27.40

(1) Represents the rentable square footage of expiring leases. For 2003, represents expirations from July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003, not including month-to-month tenants.

(2) Percentage of total rentable square footage expiring during the period.

(3) Represents annualized ending cash rents of expiring leases.

**LEASE EXPIRATIONS FOR THE NEXT FOUR QUARTERS**

As of June 30, 2003

Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

		Q3-03	Q4-03	Q1-04	Q2-04
<b>Los Angeles County:</b>					
West	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	116,587	217,170	123,006	214,156
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.69%	1.28%	0.73%	1.27%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 22.84	\$ 30.29	\$ 25.66	\$ 25.11
North	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	63,321	119,280	84,872	91,377
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.37%	0.70%	0.50%	0.54%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 23.44	\$ 23.54	\$ 20.88	\$ 23.59
South	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	126,958	57,218	120,960	109,103
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.75%	0.34%	0.71%	0.64%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 17.31	\$ 21.73	\$ 23.74	\$ 20.43
<b>Subtotal -</b>					
<b>Los Angeles County</b>	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	306,866	393,668	328,838	414,636
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.81%	2.32%	1.94%	2.45%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 20.68	\$ 27.00	\$ 23.72	\$ 23.54
<b>Orange County</b>	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	180,661	225,421	222,121	159,520
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	1.07%	1.33%	1.31%	0.94%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 19.78	\$ 16.42	\$ 14.28	\$ 19.91
<b>San Diego County</b>	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	66,110	105,727	179,319	70,020
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.39%	0.62%	1.06%	0.41%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 19.44	\$ 19.98	\$ 20.59	\$ 20.39
<b>All Others</b>	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	5,876	25,612	36,612	12,841
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	0.03%	0.16%	0.22%	0.08%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 19.24	\$ 19.82	\$ 20.31	\$ 18.69
<b>Total Portfolio</b>	Expiring SF <sup>(1)</sup>	559,513	750,428	766,890	657,017
	% of Leased SF <sup>(2)</sup>	3.30%	4.43%	4.53%	3.88%
	Rent per SF <sup>(3)</sup>	\$ 20.23	\$ 22.59	\$ 20.09	\$ 22.23

(1) Represents the square footage of expiring leases, not including month-to-month tenants.

(2) Percentage of total rentable space expiring during the period.

(3) Represents annualized ending cash rents of expiring leases.

**Table of Contents****DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY**

As of June 30, 2003

<b>Property</b>	<b>Square Feet</b>	<b>Costs Incurred To Date (in thousands)</b>	<b>Estimated Total Cost<sup>(1)</sup> (in thousands)</b>	<b>Percent Leased at 7/30/03</b>	<b>Shell Completion Date</b>	<b>Estimated Stabilization Date<sup>(2)</sup></b>	<b>Estimated Year 1 Stabilized Cash NOI<sup>(3)</sup> (in thousands)</b>	<b>Estimated Year 1 Annual Cash Yield</b>	<b>Estimated Year Annual GAAP Yield<sup>(4)</sup></b>
<b>Howard Hughes Center: 6100 Center Drive</b>	283,000	\$ 69,286	\$ 81,500	55%	2 <sup>nd</sup> Qtr 2002	4 <sup>th</sup> Qtr 2003	\$ 6,450	7.9%	8.9%

(1) Estimated total cost includes purchase and closing costs, capital expenditures, tenant improvements, leasing commissions and carrying costs during development, as well as an allocation of land and master plan costs. Unallocated acquisition and master plan costs consists of unallocated land costs and master plan costs that will be allocated to future development projects at the Howard Hughes Center.

(2) We consider a property to be stabilized in the quarter when the property is at least 95% leased.

(3) We consider Stabilized Cash NOI to be the rental revenues from the property less the operating expenses of the property on a cash basis before deducting financing costs (interest and principal payments) after the property is at least 95% leased.

(4) We consider the Estimated Year 1 Annual GAAP Yield to be the property's Stabilized Cash NOI adjusted for straight-line rents during its first year in service over the property's estimated total costs.

In addition to the property above, we have preliminary architectural designs completed for additional build-to-suit projects at the Howard Hughes Center totaling approximately 425,000 net rentable square feet of office space. We also have construction entitlements at the Howard Hughes Center for up to 600 hotel rooms. Build-to-suit projects consist of properties constructed to the tenant's specifications in return for the tenant's long-term commitment to the property. We do not intend to commence construction on any additional build-to-suit projects at the Howard Hughes Center until development plans and budgets are finalized and build-to-suit tenant leases are signed with terms allowing us to achieve yields commensurate with the project's development risk.

In addition to our development at the Howard Hughes Center, we have completed preliminary designs and are marketing an approximate 170,000 square foot build-to-suit office building at our Long Beach Airport Business Park. Also, as part of our Gateway Towers acquisition in August 2002, we acquired a 5-acre developable land parcel in Torrance, California that we intend to market for a build-to-suit office building. We currently do not intend to commence construction on these projects until build-to-suit tenant leases are signed with terms allowing us to achieve yields commensurate with the project's development risk.

We expect to finance our development activities over the next 24 months through net cash provided by operating activities, proceeds from asset sales and proceeds from our unsecured lines of credit.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk**

Market risk is the exposure or loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices and equity prices. The primary market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk, which is sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control.

*Interest Rate Risk*

In order to modify and manage the interest characteristics of our outstanding debt and limit the effects of interest rates on our operations, we may use a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps, floors and other interest rate exchange contracts. The use of these types of instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates carries additional risks such as counter-party credit risk. We do not enter

## Edgar Filing: ARDEN REALTY INC - Form 10-Q

into any transactions for speculative or trading purposes. During 2002, we entered into interest rate swap agreements fixing the interest rates on variable debt with notional amounts totaling \$175.0 million. During 2003, we entered into \$100 million of forward-starting swap agreements fixing the 10-year Treasury rate for borrowings that are anticipated to occur in 2004 to refinance some of our scheduled debt maturities.

Some of our future earnings, cash flows and fair values relating to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market rates of interest, such as LIBOR. Based on interest rates and outstanding balances as of June 30, 2003, a 1% increase in interest rates on our \$108.0 million of floating rate debt would decrease annual future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.1 million and would not have an impact on the fair value of the floating rate debt. Conversely, a 1% decrease in interest rates on our \$108.0 million of floating rate debt would increase annual future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$1.1 million and would not have an impact on the fair value of the floating rate debt. The weighted average interest rate on our floating debt as of June 30, 2003 was 3.68%.

**Table of Contents**

Our fixed rate debt totaled \$1,241.8 million as of June 30, 2003 with a weighted average interest rate of 7.35% and a total fair value of approximately \$1,317.6 million. A 1% decrease in interest rates would increase the fair value of our fixed rate debt by approximately \$35.9 million and would not have an impact on future earnings and cash flows. A 1% increase in interest rates would decrease the fair value of our fixed rate debt by approximately \$22.6 million and would not have an impact on future earnings and cash flows.

These amounts are determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our borrowing cost. These analyses do not consider the effects of the reduced level of overall economic activity that could exist in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of this magnitude, we would consider taking actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. Due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, however, this sensitivity analysis assumes no changes in our capital structure.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Securities Exchange Act of 1934 reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, our management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, we have an investment in an unconsolidated entity. Because we do not control or manage this entity, our disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such an entity are necessarily substantially more limited than those we maintain with respect to our consolidated subsidiaries.

As required by SEC rule 13a-15(b), we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the quarter covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.



**Table of Contents**

**Part II OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

We are presently subject to various lawsuits, claims and proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business none of which if determined unfavorably to us is expected to have a material adverse effect on our cash flows, financial condition or results of operations. There were no material changes in our legal proceedings during the three months ended June 30, 2003.

**Item 2. Changes in Securities - None**

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities - None**

**Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders**

On May 20, 2003 we held our annual meeting of stockholders in Santa Monica, California. The matters voted on at that meeting and the results were as follows:

- To elect directors of Arden Realty, Inc. to hold office until the annual meeting of stockholders in the year 2006 or until their respective successors are elected and qualified as follows:

	<u>Number of Shares Voted For</u>	<u>Number of Shares Withheld</u>
Director #1 Carl D. Covitz	34,220,933	23,088,626
Director #2 Larry S. Flax	34,221,483	23,088,076

- To request the Board of Directors to redeem the stockholder rights adopted in August 1998. Results of the vote were as follows:

<u>Number of Shares Voted For</u>	<u>Number of Shares Voted Against</u>	<u>Number of Shares Abstained</u>
43,564,989	8,904,501	169,401

The following individuals are those whose terms of office as directors continued after the meeting:

Richard S. Ziman  
Victor J. Coleman  
Peter S. Gold  
Steven C. Good

**Item 5. Other Information - None**

**Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K**

**(a) Exhibits**

31.1 Officers certifications pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a) or Rule 15d 14(a).

32.1 Officers certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(1)

- 
- (1) In accordance with SEC Release No. 33-8212, the following exhibit is being furnished, and is not being filed as part of this Report or as a separate disclosure document, and is not being incorporated by reference into any Securities Act of 1933 registration statement.

**(b) Reports on Form 8-K**

On April 30, 2003, we filed a report on Form 8-K (Items 9 and 12) relating to our financial information for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 as presented in a press release dated April 29, 2003.

On July 1, 2003, we filed a report on Form 8-K (Items 5 and 7) regarding the vote of our Board of Directors to amend our stockholder rights agreement. Under the terms of the amendment, the purchase rights issued under the rights agreement expired at the close of business on June 30, 2003, rather than on August 28, 2008, as initially provided under the rights agreement. In addition, the rights agreement terminated upon the expiration of the purchase rights.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ARDEN REALTY, INC.

Date: August 14, 2003

By: /s/ Andrew J. Sobel

\_\_\_\_\_  
Andrew J. Sobel  
Executive Vice President - Strategic Planning  
and Operations

Date: August 14, 2003

By: /s/ Richard S. Davis

\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard S. Davis  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer