

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10KSB
May 16, 2008

=====

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition Period from to
Commission File Number 0-28498

Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc.
(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

87-0459536
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah
(Address of principal executive offices)

84119
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (801) 977-8970

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share
(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Registrant's revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 were \$1,911,000.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2): Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2): Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2007 was approximately \$1,500,000 based upon

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the closing sale price of such stock as reported on the OTC Bulletin Board on that date.

As of March 31, 2008, registrant had outstanding 798,887,500 shares of common stock, 5,627 shares of Series A preferred stock, 8,986 shares of Series B preferred stock, no shares of Series C preferred stock, 5,000 shares of Series D preferred stock, 250 shares of Series E preferred stock, 4,598.75 shares of Series F preferred stock, and 588,235 shares of Series G preferred stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Additional documents set forth in Part IV hereof are incorporated by reference.

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (check one): Yes No

1

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

General

The Company develops, manufactures, sources, markets and sells ophthalmic surgical and diagnostic instrumentation and related accessories, including disposable products. The Company's surgical equipment is designed for minimally invasive cataract treatment. The Company's cataract removal system, the Photon(TM) laser system, is a laser cataract surgery system designed to be marketed as the next generation of cataract removal. Because of the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. As reflected in the results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, diagnostic products are currently the Company's major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the financial position of the Company improves. Due to the lack of FDA approval and the lack of current evidence to support recoverability, the Company has recorded an inventory reserve to offset the majority of the inventory associated with the Photon(TM). In addition, most inventory associated with the Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM) has been reserved due to the estimated lack of recoverability. The Company's focus is not on any specific diagnostic product or products, but rather on its entire group of diagnostic products. The Photon(TM) can be sold in markets outside of the United States. Both the Photon(TM) and the Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM) are manufactured as an Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). The Company is considering marketing the Photon(TM) and other lasers for use in eye care.

The Company's diagnostic products include a pachymeter, a P55 pachymetric analyzer, a P37 Ultrasonic A/B Scan, P40, P45 and P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscopes, a P37 A/B Scan, two perimeters, a corneal topographer and the Blood Flow Analyzer. The diagnostic ultrasonic products including the P55 pachymetric analyzer, the P37 Ultrasonic A/B Scan and the P40 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope were acquired from Humphrey Systems, a division of Carl Zeiss in 1998. The Company developed and offered for sale in the fall of 2000 the P45, which combines the P37 Ultrasonic A/B Scan and the UBM biomicroscope in one machine. In addition, the Company developed and offered for sale in March 2005 the P60, which represents the fourth generation of UBM devices and has better

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

visual clarity and image flexibility than earlier versions. The perimeter and the corneal topographer were added when the Company acquired the outstanding shares of the stock of Vismed, Inc. d/b/a/ Dicon(TM) in June 2000. The Company purchased Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd. in June 2000 whose principal product is the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). This product is designed for the measurement of intraocular pressure and pulsatile ocular blood flow volume for detection and monitoring of glaucoma. The Company is currently developing additional applications for all of its diagnostic products.

In June 1997, the Company received FDA clearance to market the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for measurement of intraocular pressure and pulsatile ocular blood flow for the detection of glaucoma and other retina related diseases. Ocular blood flow is critical, the reduction of which may cause nerve fiber bundle death through oxygen deprivation, thus resulting in visual field loss associated with glaucoma. The Company's Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) is a portable automated in-office system that presents an affordable method for ocular blood flow testing for the ophthalmic and optometric practitioner. In June 2000, the Company purchased Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd., the manufacturer of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The terms and conditions of the sale were \$100,000 in cash and 100,000 shares of common stock. In April 2001, the Company received authorization to use a common procedure terminology or CPT code from the American Medical Association for procedures performed with the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), for reimbursement purposes for doctors using the device. However, certain payers have elected not to reimburse doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM).

On July 23, 1998, the Company entered into an Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Assets with the Humphrey Systems Division of Carl Zeiss, Inc. to acquire the ownership and manufacturing rights to certain assets of Humphrey Systems that are used in the manufacturing and marketing of an ultrasonic microprocessor-based line of ophthalmic diagnostic instruments, including the Ultrasonic Biometer Model 820, the A/B Scan System Model 837, the Ultrasound Pachymeter Model 855, and the Ultrasound Biomicroscope Model 840, and all accessories, packaging and end-user collateral materials for each of the product lines for the sum of \$500,000, payable in the form of 78,947 shares of common stock which were issued to Humphrey Systems and 26,316 shares of common stock which were issued to business broker Douglas Adams. If the net proceeds received by Humphrey Systems from the sale of the shares issued pursuant to the Agreement was less than \$375,000, after payment of commissions, transfer taxes and other expenses relating to the sale of such shares, the Company would be required to issue additional shares of common stock, or pay additional funds to Humphrey Systems as would be necessary to increase the net proceeds from the sale of the assets to \$375,000. Since Humphrey Systems realized only \$162,818 from the sale of 78,947 shares of its common stock, the Company issued 80,000 additional shares in January 1999 to enable Humphrey Systems to receive its guaranteed amount. The amount of \$21,431 was paid to the Company as excess proceeds from the sale of this additional stock.

The rights to the ophthalmic diagnostic instruments, which have been purchased from Humphrey Systems, complement both the Company's cataract surgical equipment and the Company's ocular Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Ultrasonic Biometer calculates the prescription for the intraocular lens to be implanted during cataract surgery. The P55 pachymetric measures corneal thickness for the new refractive surgical applications that eliminate the need for eyeglasses and for optometric applications including contact lens fitting. The P37 Ultrasonic A/B Scan combines the Ultrasonic Biometer and ultrasound imaging for advanced diagnostic testing throughout the eye and is a viable tool for retinal

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

specialists. The P40 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope utilizes microscopic digital ultrasound resolution for detection of tumors and improved glaucoma management. The Company introduced the P45 in the fall of 2000, which combines the P37 Ultrasonic A/B Scan, and the Ultrasonic Biometer in one machine.

On October 21, 1999, the Company purchased Mentor's surgical product line, consisting of the Phaco SIStem(TM), the Odyssey(TM) and the Surg-E-Trol(TM). This acquisition was an attempt to round out the Company's cataract surgery product line by adding entry-level, moderately priced cataract surgery products. The transaction was paid for with \$1.5 million worth of the Company's common stock. Due to the lack of sales volume of these products, they were determined to be obsolete and a reserve was established to offset all inventory associated with these products. During the fourth quarter of 2003, the Company sold all inventory rights associated with the SIStem(TM) and Odyssey(TM) for \$125,000.

On June 5, 2000, the Company purchased Vismed Inc. d/b/a Dicon(TM) under a pooling of interest accounting treatment. The purchase included the Dicon(TM) perimeter product line consisting of the LD 400, the TKS 5000, the SST(TM), FieldLink(TM), FieldView(TM) and Advanced FieldView and the corneal topographer product line, the CT 200(TM), the CT 50 and an ongoing service and software business. Perimeters are used to determine retinal sensitivity testing the visual pathway. Corneal topographers are used to determine the shape and integrity of the cornea, the anterior surface of the eye. Corneal topographers are used for the refractive surgical applications that eliminate the need for eyeglasses and for optometric applications including contact lens fitting.

In January 2002, the Company purchased the Innovatome(TM) microkeratome of Innovative Optics, Inc. by issuing an aggregate of 1,272,825 shares of its common stock, warrants to purchase 250,000 shares of its common stock at \$5.00 per share, exercisable over a period of three years from the closing date, and \$100,000 in cash. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141. The Company acquired from Innovative Optics raw materials, work in process and finished goods inventories. Additionally, the Company acquired the furniture and equipment used in the manufacturing process of the microkeratome console and the inspection and packaging of the disposable blades.

The Company was unsuccessful in supplying the disposable blades. The Company discontinued the marketing and sales efforts of this product during the third quarter of 2002. On April 1, 2002, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with John Charles Casebeer, M.D. to develop and promote the microkeratome. For Dr. Casebeer's services during the period from April 1, 2002 to September 30, 2002, the Company issued him a total of 43,684 shares of its common stock, representing payment of \$100,000 in stock for his services. All assets acquired from Innovative Optics, including remaining inventory with a book value of \$160,000 and equipment and intangible assets with a book value of \$2,082,000, were written off during 2002.

On September 19, 2002, the Company completed a transaction with International Bio-Immune Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, in which the Company acquired 2,663,254 shares, or 19.9% of the outstanding shares of its common stock, and warrants to purchase 1,200,000 shares of its common stock at \$2.50 per share for a period of two years, through the exchange and issuance of 736,945 shares of its common stock, the lending of 300,000 shares of its common stock to the company and the payment of certain of its expenses through the issuance of an aggregate of 94,000 shares of its common stock to the company and its counsel. During 2004, the Company sold all 2,663,254 shares of International Bio-Immune Systems stock for net proceeds of \$505,000.

On December 3, 2003, the Company executed a purchase agreement with American Optisurgical, Inc. for the sale of the Mentor surgical products line,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

consisting of the Phaco SlStem(TM) and the Odyssey(TM). The assets sold in the transaction included patents, trademarks, software codes and programs, supplies, work in process, finished goods, and molds related to the equipment. The purchase price paid to the Company by American Optisurgical for the assets was \$125,000. The purchase agreement also contained a noncompete provision in which the Company agreed for a period of three years from the closing date not to own, manage, operate or control any business that competes with cataract removal equipment substantially the same as the proprietary technology of the Phaco SlStem(TM) and the Odyssey(TM).

On September 28, 2004, the Company entered into an Investment Banking Agreement with Alpha Advisory Services, Inc. Under the terms of the agreement, Alpha Advisory Services is to use its best efforts to provide the following services to the Company: (i) review of and make recommendations regarding the Company's business plan and promotional materials; (ii) identify and contact

3

potential investors in the United States and Europe for potential investment in the Company's securities; (iii) organize meetings with potential investors and participate in such meetings; and (iv) assist the Company in future financings, mergers, acquisitions and potential buyouts.

The term of the agreement was for a period of three months, which was to be automatically renewed for successive one-year terms. Following the initial three month period, either party could terminate the agreement upon 15 days written notice to the other party. In consideration for the services to be performed under the agreement, Alpha Advisory Services was to receive a fee of \$3,000 per month, plus reasonable travel and other expenses, and warrants to purchase 25,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$.15 per share. The warrants are exercisable, on a cashless basis, over a two year period from the date of issuance. The Company provided notice to Alpha Advisory Services to terminate the agreement, effective January 28, 2006. During the four month period the agreement was in effect, the Company paid Alpha Advisory Services a total of \$12,000 pursuant to the terms of the agreement.

In March 2005, the Company introduced the P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope. The P60 Biomicroscope represents the fourth generation of UBM devices and has better visual clarity and image flexibility than earlier versions. On March 1, 2005, the Company was awarded the CE Mark for the P60, which enables it to market the device in 19 Western European countries, most of the Middle East and India, and some parts of Asia and the Pacific Rim. On May 26, 2005, the Company received FDA 510(k) premarket approval for the P60, which allows it to be sold in the United States. On February 9, 2006, the Company received a Canadian device license for the P60, which allows it to be sold in Canada.

On June 12, 2006, the Company entered into a Worldwide OEM Agreement with MEDA Co., Ltd., one of China's leading developers and producers of ultrasound devices. Under the terms of the agreement, MEDA agrees to jointly engineer, develop and manufacture the Company's next generation of the Ultrasound BioMicroscope, as well as other proprietary new products and enhancement of the Company's current products. The products to be manufactured by MEDA, at agreed upon costs, and supplied to the Company for resale include the following new products: an ultrasound biomicroscope, two ultrasound A/B Scans, a biometric A-Scan and a pachymeter.

The agreement provides that the Company and MEDA agree to jointly develop and collaborate in the improvement and enhancement of the Company's products and, in the interest of product development, enhancement and

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

differentiation, MEDA agrees to give consideration to potential software development or enhancements made available to the Company for its products. Moreover, in the interest of product improvement, MEDA agrees to collaborate with the Company and its designated engineers, employees and consultants to consider and potentially implement jointly or individually the development of product enhancements to the Company's products to be manufactured by MEDA.

The software and hardware modifications designed jointly by the Company and MEDA will be considered the joint intellectual property of the Company and MEDA and may be used, without restriction, unless otherwise previously agreed to, by either party. MEDA also agrees to provide a twelve month warranty on all products that it manufactures for the Company. If defects cannot be corrected at the Company's facilities, the products may be returned to MEDA for the purposes of carrying out such repairs as required, and MEDA agrees to return the repaired products to the Company or its designated agent or distributor within ten working days from the date of receiving such products, at no cost to the Company, and MEDA will pay return freight costs.

MEDA further agrees to endeavor to answer any technical inquiries concerning the products it has manufactured. MEDA also agrees to train the Company's technical service engineers and designated international distributors as soon as possible after the signing of this agreement, and as future needs arise and as MEDA can reasonably fit such training into the regular schedules of its employees. MEDA agrees to determine the need for future training on new products as necessary and will offer such training in Tiangin, China. For training conducted outside China, the Company or its designated distributors and/or service centers will be responsible for the traveling, living and hotel expenses for MEDA's engineers. Training is at no charge to the Company. The training will also be made available to the Company's designated repair agencies in order to provide service and repair on a worldwide basis. Such agencies will be considered authorized repair facilities for the products manufactured by MEDA.

MEDA provides the Company with several ultrasound devices. These devices include the P37-II A/B Scan, the P2000 A-Scan Biometric Analyzer, P2200 Pachymeter and the P2500, which is a combined A-Scan and pachymeter. MEDA also manufactures the P2700, P3700, and P37-II A/B Scans and the P50 Ultrasound Biomicroscope. The agreement provides exclusive distribution rights to the Company throughout most of the world, including the United States and Canada, once FDA approval is received on these devices.

The agreement shall be effective for three years from date of execution. At the end of the three year term, representatives of the Company and MEDA will confer to determine whether to extend the term of the agreement. This will have a practical effect of extending the term of the agreement for an additional 120 days. If mutual agreement for extending the term of the agreement is not reached within 120 days after the end of the three year term, then the agreement will be deemed terminated. However, if within the 120 day period, the

4

Company and MEDA mutually agree to extend the term of the agreement, then thereafter either party may terminate the agreement by providing at least twelve months' prior written notice to the other party. All outstanding orders at the time of notification will be supplied under the terms of the agreement, and MEDA will continue to fulfill all orders from the Company until the twelve month notice period has expired.

On January 31 and February 1, 2007, the Company received FDA 510(k) premarket approval for a new generation of ultrasound devices. This approval

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

allows the new devices to be sold in the United States. The new ultrasound devices, which are to be manufactured by MEDA and sold by the Company in the United States, include the P2000 A-Scan (used to measure axial length of the eye), the P2200 Pachymeter (used for measuring corneal thickness), the P2500 A-Scan/Pachymeter (a combination of the two stand alone devices), the P2700 AB/Scan (an ultrasound imaging device for detecting abnormalities within the eye) and the P37-II (a more advanced AB/Scan used to provide portability for ophthalmology veterinary applications) and the P50 Ultrasound Biomicroscope for high frequency imaging of the anterior chamber of the eye.

On September 25, 2006, the Company entered into a Worldwide OEM Agreement with Tinsley, a division of Hartest Precision Instruments Limited, and one of Europe's leading developers and producers of visual fields analysis devices or perimeters. Under the terms of the agreement, Tinsley agrees to engineer, develop and manufacture the Company's newest perimeter, the LD700 Visual Fields Analyzer. The product is to be manufactured by Tinsley at agreed upon costs and supplied to the Company for resale.

On August 14, 2007, the Company entered into an agreement with Equity Source Partners, LLC of Jericho, New York. Under the terms of the agreement, Equity Source Partners will act as the exclusive financial advisor to the Company and will assist the Company in raising private capital, creating a strategy for growing its core business, pursuing a follow on offering, and providing general strategic corporate advice. Among the strategic advisory services Equity Source Partners will provide are to assist in identifying and introducing the Company to third parties in connection with potential strategic relationships, provide advice concerning issues relating to potential strategic relations, capital raises and potential investment banking contacts, and establish contact with prospective providers of capital. Among the financing services Equity Source Partners will perform is to solicit prospective providers of capital on the Company's behalf.

The term of the agreement is for twelve months unless extended by mutual consent. As compensation for its services, the Company agrees to provide equity Source Partners with an advisory fee equal to an aggregate of 3% of the outstanding shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, the Company agrees to pay Equity Source Partners a cash fee equal to 7.5% of the gross proceeds from the sale of securities to investors that were introduced to the Company by Equity Source Partners and a cash fee equal to 3% of the gross proceeds received from the sale of securities to investors that were not introduced by Equity Source Partners.

On January 16, 2008, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Corcoran Consulting Group, which specializes in medical reimbursement issues for optometry and ophthalmology. The Company plans to work with Corcoran Consulting Group to create a new common procedure technology, or CPT code number, for reimbursement purposes for physicians and practitioners using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). In addition, the Company plans to work with Corcoran Consulting Group to offer educational seminars for physicians and practitioners who purchase the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM).

On January 28, 2008, the Company entered into a Distribution Agreement with LACE Elettronica srl to distribute its Gload device, a proprietary electrophysiology instrument for the early detection of glaucoma by means of measuring the physical condition of the retina's ganglion cells, including retinal ganglion cell loss. The Gload device was approved by the FDA in 2005 and has undergone extensive testing and clinical studies in the United States, Canada and Italy, including at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute, University of California at San Diego's Hamilton Glaucoma Center, and New York State College of Optometry.

Under the terms of the agreement, the Company has the exclusive right

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

to distribute the Glaid device in the United States and Canada. The Company also has a first right of refusal for distribution of the product to countries outside the United States and Canada where LACE is not currently selling or marketing the product. These additional distribution rights are subject to reasonable new minimum quotas. The Distribution Agreement requires the Company to purchase the Glaid device from LACE at an agreed upon price and to then sell the product in compliance with minimum order requirements. The five year quotas for the Glaid device are 27 units, 60 units, 100 units, 120 units, and 120 units for years one through five of the agreement. Paradigm sales for quota requirements are to begin as soon as the product is fully completed, with all accessories and consumables, and ready for delivery.

The Distribution Agreement is for the term of five years. At the end of the five year term, representatives of the Company and LACE will determine whether to extend the term of the agreement. If mutual agreement for continuation of the agreement is not reached within 120 days thereafter, the agreement will be deemed terminated. However, if within the 120 day period, the

5

Company and LACE mutually agree to continue the agreement, then either party may terminate the agreement at any time thereafter by providing at least twelve months' prior written notice to the other party. All outstanding orders at the time of notification will be supplied under the terms of the agreement, and LACE will continue to fulfill all orders from the Company until the twelve month notice period has expired.

LACE also agrees to provide a twelve month warranty from the day of delivery on all Glaid devices supplied to the Company. If the defects cannot be corrected at the Company's facilities or at the facilities of trained Company repair centers, the products must then be returned to LACE for purposes of carrying out such repairs as required, and LACE agrees to return the repaired products to the Company or its designated agent or distributor within ten working days from the date of receiving such products, at no cost to the Company, and LACE will pay return freight costs. The Company additionally agrees to arrange for installation of the Glaid device at no cost to LACE. The Company further agrees to provide Company brand specific labeling to be applied to the LACE devices shipped directly to the Company's customers and distributors.

Background

Corporate History: The Company's business originated with Paradigm Medical, Inc., a California corporation formed in October 1989. Paradigm Medical, Inc. developed its present ophthalmic business and was operated by its founders Thomas F. Motter and Robert W. Millar. In May 1993, Paradigm Medical, Inc. merged with Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. At the time of the merger, the Company was a dormant public shell existing under the name French Bar Industries, Inc. French Bar had operated a mining and tourist business in Montana. Prior to its merger with Paradigm Medical, Inc. in 1993, French Bar had disposed of its mineral and mining assets in a settlement of outstanding debt and had returned to the status of a dormant entity. Pursuant to the merger, the Company caused a 1-for-7.96 reverse stock split of its shares of common stock. The Company then acquired all of the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of Paradigm Medical, Inc. using shares of its own common stock as consideration. As part of the merger, the Company changed its name from French Bar Industries, Inc. to Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc. and the management of Paradigm Medical, Inc. assumed control of the company. In April 1994, the Company caused a 1-for-5 reverse stock split of its shares of common stock. In February 1996, the Company re-domesticated to Delaware pursuant to a reorganization.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Overview

Disorders of the Eye: The human eye is a complex organ which functions much like a camera, with a lens in front and a light-sensitive screen, the retina, in the rear. The intervening space contains a transparent jelly-like substance, the vitreous, which together with the outer layer, the sclera and cornea, helps the eyeball to maintain its shape. Light enters through the cornea, a transparent domed window at the front of the eye. The size of the pupil, an aperture in the center of the iris, controls the amount of light that is then focused by the lens onto the retina as an upside-down image. The lens is the internal optical component of the eye and is responsible for adjusting focus. The lens is enclosed in a capsule. The retina is believed to contain more than 130 million light-receptor cells. These cells convert light into nerve impulses that are transmitted right-side up by the optic nerve to the brain, where they are interpreted. Muscles attached to the eye control its movements.

Birth defects, trauma from accidents, disease and age related deterioration of the components of the eye could all contribute to eye disorders. The most common eye disorders are either pathological or refractive. Many pathological disorders of the eye can be corrected by surgery. These include cataracts (clouded lenses), glaucoma (elevated or low pressure in the eye), loss of nerve fibers resulting in loss of vision, corneal disorders such as scars, defects and irregular surfaces and vitreoretinal disorders such as the attachment of membrane growths to the retina causing blood leakage within the eye. All of these disorders can impair vision. Many refractive disorders can be corrected through the use of eyeglasses and contact lenses. Myopia (nearsightedness), hyperopia (farsightedness) and presbyopia (inability to focus) are three of the most common refractive disorders.

Ultrasound Technology: Ultrasound devices have been used in ophthalmology since the late 1960's for diagnostic and surgical applications when treating or correcting eye disorders. In diagnostics, ultrasound instruments are used to measure distances and shapes of various parts of the eye for prescription of eyeglasses and contact lenses and for calculation of lens implant prescriptions for cataract surgery treatment. These devices emit sound waves through a hand held probe that is placed onto or near the eye with the sound waves emitted being reflected by the targeted tissue. The reflection "echo" is computed into a distance value that is presented as a visual image, or cross section of the eye, with precise measurements displayed and printed for diagnostic use by the surgeon.

Surgical use of ultrasonics in ophthalmology is limited to treatment of cataract lenses in the eye through a procedure called phacoemulsification or "phaco." A primary objective of cataract surgeries is the removal of the opacified (cataract) lens through an incision that is as small as possible. The opacified lens is then replaced by a new synthetic lens intraocular implant. Phaco technology involves a process by which a cataract is broken into small pieces using ultrasonic shock waves delivered through a hollow, open-ended metal needle attached to a hand held probe. The fragments of cataracts tissue are then

removed through aspiration. Phaco systems were first designed in the late 1960's after various attempts by surgeons to use other techniques to remove opacified lenses, including crushing, cutting, freezing, drilling and applying chemicals to the cataract. By the mid-1970's, ultrasound had proven to be the most effective technology to fragment cataracts. Market Scope's (Manchester, Missouri), The 2001 Report on the Worldwide Cataract Market, January 2001 indicates that phaco cataract treatment was the technology for cataract removal

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

used in over 80% of surgeries in the United States and over 20% of all foreign surgeries.

Laser Technology: The term "laser" is an acronym for Light Amplification by Stimulated Emission of Radiation. Lasers have been commonly used for a variety of medical and ophthalmic procedures since the 1960's. Lasers emit photons into a highly intense beam of energy that typically radiates at a single wavelength or color. Laser energy is generated and intensified in a laser tube or solid-state cavity by charging and exciting photons of energy contained within material called the lasing medium. This stored light energy is then delivered to targeted tissue through focusing lenses by means of optical mirrors or fiber optics. Most laser systems use solid state crystals or gases as their lasing medium. Differing wavelengths of laser light are produced by the selection of the lasing medium. The medium selected determines the laser wavelength emitted, which in turn is absorbed by the targeted tissue in the body. Different tissues absorb different wavelengths or colors of laser light. The degree of absorption by the tissue also varies with the choice of wavelength and is an important variable in treating various tissues. In a surgical laser, light is emitted in either a continuous stream or in a series of short duration "pulses", thus interacting with the tissue through heat and shock waves, respectively. Several factors, including the wavelength of the laser and the frequency and duration of the pulse or exposure, determine the amount of energy that interacts with the targeted tissue and thus, the amount of surgical effect on the tissue.

Lasers are widely accepted in the ophthalmic community for treatment of certain eye disorders and are popular for surgical applications because of their relatively noninvasive nature. In general, ophthalmic lasers, such as argon, Nd:YAG and excimer (argon-fluoride) are used to coagulate, cut or ablate targeted tissue. The argon laser is used to treat leaking blood vessels on the retina (retinopathy) and retinal detachment. The excimer laser is used in corneal refractive surgery. The Nd:YAG pulsed laser is used to perforate clouded posterior capsules (posterior capsulotomy) and to relieve glaucoma-induced elevated pressure in the eye (iridotomy, trabeculoplasty, transcleral cyclophotocoagulation). Argon, Nd:YAG and excimer lasers are primarily used for one or two clinical applications each. In contrast to these conventional laser systems, the Company's Photon(TM) laser cataract system is designed to be used for multiple ophthalmic applications, including certain new applications that may be made possible with its proprietary technology. Such new applications, however, must be tested in clinical trials and be approved by the FDA.

Products

The Company's principal proprietary surgical products are systems for use by ophthalmologists to perform surgical treatment procedures to remove cataracts. The Company has complete ownership of each product with no technological licensing limitations.

Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM): The Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM) is the Company's core phaco surgical technology. The Precisionist(TM) was placed into production and offered for sale in 1997. As a phaco cataract surgery system, the Company believes the Precisionist(TM) with its new fluidics panel is equal or superior to the present competitive systems in the United States. However, due to the lack of recent sales, the majority of the Company's inventory associated with the Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM) has been estimated to be obsolete and therefore a reserve for such inventory has been recorded. The system features a graphic color display and unique proprietary on board computer and graphic user interface linked to a soft key membrane panel for flexible programmable operation. The system provides real-time "on-the-fly" adjustment capabilities for each surgical parameter during the surgical procedure for high volume applications. In addition, the Precisionist(TM) provides one hundred pre-programmable surgery setups, with a second level of

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

subprogrammed custom modes within each major surgical screen (i.e., ultrasound phaco and irrigation/aspiration modes).

The Precisionist(TM) also features the Company's newly developed proprietary fluidics panel which is completely noninvasive for improved sterility and to provide a surgical environment in the eye that virtually eliminates fluidic surge and solves chamber maintenance problems normally associated with phaco cataract surgery. This new fluidics system provides greater control for the surgeon and allows the safe operation at much higher vacuum settings by sampling changes in aspiration 100 times per second. Greater vacuum in phaco surgery means less use of ultrasound or laser energy to fragment the cataract and less chance for surrounding tissue damage. In addition to the full complement of surgical modalities (e.g., irrigation, aspiration, bipolar coagulation and anterior vitrectomy), system automation includes "dimensional" audio feedback of vacuum levels and voice confirmation for major system functions, providing an intuitive environment in which the advanced phaco surgeon can concentrate on the surgical technique rather than the equipment. Sales of the Precisionist(TM) and related accessories were 0% of total revenues in both the fiscal years 2006 and 2005, respectively.

7

Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM): The Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM) comprises the base system of the Precisionist ThirtyThousand(TM) and is the first system, to the Company's knowledge, which uses the expansive capabilities of today's advanced computer technology to offer seamless open architecture expandability of the system hardware and software modules. The Workstation(TM) utilizes an embedded open architecture computer developed for the Company and controlled by a proprietary software system developed by the Company that interfaces with all components of the system. Ultrasound, fluidics (irrigation), aspiration, venting, coagulation and anterior vitrectomy (pneumatic) are all included in the base model. Each component is controlled as a peripheral module within this fully integrated system. This approach allows for seamless expansion and refinement of the Workstation(TM) with the ability to add other hardware and software features. Expansion such as the Company's Photon(TM) laser system and hardware for additional surgical applications are easily implemented by means of a preexisting expansion rack, which resides in the base of the Workstation(TM). These expanded capabilities could include, but would not be limited to laser systems, video surgical fiber optic imaging, cutting and electrosurgery equipment. However, there is no guarantee that the Workstation(TM) will be accepted in the marketplace. If the FDA approves the Photon(TM), the Company will refer to the Workstation(TM) as the Photon(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). To date, the Company has not commercially developed or offered for sale any other added hardware or software features to its Workstation(TM).

Photon(TM) Laser System: The Photon(TM) laser cataract system, which is still subject to FDA approval, is designed to be installed as a seamless plug-in upgrade or add-on to the Company's Precisionist(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM). The plug-in platform concept is unique in the ophthalmic surgical market for systems of this magnitude and presents a unique market opportunity for the Company. The main elements of the laser system are the Nd:YAG laser module, Photon(TM) laser software package and interchangeable disposable hand held fiber optic laser cataract probe. The Photon(TM) laser utilizes the on board microprocessor computer of the Workstation(TM) to generate short pulse laser energy developed through the patented LCP(TM) to targeted cataract tissue inside the eye, while simultaneously irrigating the eye and aspirating the diseased cataract tissue from the eye. The probe is smaller in diameter than conventional ultrasound phaco needles and presents no damaging

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

vibration or heat build up in the eye. The Company's Phase I clinical trials demonstrated that this probe could easily reduce the size of the cataract incision from 3.0 mm to under 2.0 mm thereby reducing surgical trauma and complementing current foldable intraocular implant technology.

The laser probe may also eliminate any possibility for burns around the incision or at the cornea and may therefore be used with cataract surgery techniques that utilize a more delicate clear cornea incision which can eliminate sutures and be conducted with topical anesthesia. However, this system may not effectively remove harder grade cataracts. Harder grade cataracts can be removed using the already existing ultrasound capability of the Precisionist(TM). Because of the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. As reflected in the results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006, diagnostic products are currently the Company's major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the Company's financial position improves. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the timetable for obtaining FDA approval and the lack of significant revenue from the other surgical products, the Company has recorded an inventory reserve against the majority of the inventory associated with the Photon(TM) and Precisionist Thirty Thousand (TM). The Company's focus is not on any specific diagnostic product or products, but rather on its entire group of diagnostic products.

At some point in the future, the Company may intend, subject to economic feasibility and the availability of adequate funds, to refine the laser delivery system and laser cataract surgical technique used on soft cataracts through expanded research and clinical studies. Subject to the aforementioned constraints, the Company intends to refine the fluidics management system by improving chamber maintenance during surgical procedures and to develop techniques to optimize time and improve invasive techniques through expanded research and clinical studies. As far as the Company can determine, no integrated single laser photofragmenting probe is presently available on the market that uses laser energy directly, contained in an enclosed probe, to denature cataract tissue at a precise location inside the eye while simultaneously irrigating and aspirating the site.

The Company's laser system is based upon the concept that pulsed laser energy produced with the microprocessor controlled Nd:YAG laser system provides ophthalmic surgeons with a more precise and less traumatic alternative in cataract surgery. Although conventional ultrasonic surgical systems have proven effective and reliable in clinical use for many years, their use of high frequency shock waves and vibration to fragment the cataract can make the procedure difficult and can present risk of complication both during and after surgery. In contrast, the Company's laser system, which utilizes short centralized energy bursts, should permit the delivery of the laser beam with less trauma to adjacent tissue. Therefore, unlike ultrasonic systems, whose vibrations and shock waves affect (and can damage) non-cataract tissues within the eye, the Company's Photon(TM) laser cataract system should only affect tissues with which it comes into direct contact.

In October of 2000, the Company received FDA approval for the Photon(TM) Workstation(TM) to be used with a 532nm green laser which is effective for medical procedures other than cataract removal, such as photocoagulation of retinal and venous anomalies within or outside the eye, pigmented lesions around the orbital socket, posterior or anterior procedures

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

associated with glaucoma or diabetes and general photocoagulation for various dermatological venous anomalies including telangiectasia (surface veins), or commonly referred to as "spider veins". The goal is to be able to integrate multiple laser wavelengths into one system or workstation that can be used for multiple medical specialties. This approval represents only one of the potential applications that could represent substantial growth opportunities including additional sales of equipment, instruments, accessories and disposables.

The Photon(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation(TM) has not been commercially developed with any other added hardware or software features. There is no guarantee that the ophthalmic surgery market will accept the laser in this capacity or that the FDA will grant approval. Regulatory approval would require completion of pending Photon(TM) clinical trials and resubmission of a 510(k) predicate device application to the FDA. Because of the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. As reflected in the results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, diagnostic products consisting mainly of P40, P45 and P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscopes; P2700, P3700 and P37 A/B Scans; perimeters, CT 50 Corneal Topographer, and Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) are currently the Company's major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the financial position of the company improves. The Company's focus is not on any specific diagnostic product or products, but rather on the entire group of diagnostic products.

On March 31, 2005, Joseph W. Spadafora filed a complaint against the Company in the United States District Court, District of Utah, in which he alleges that he was a clinical investigator in the study for the FDA involving the Company's Photon(TM) laser system where he performed numerous surgeries using the Photon(TM). Dr. Spadafora contends that in meetings with the Company's personnel he suggested ways in which the handpiece on the Photon(TM) could be improved. Dr. Spadafora further contends that on August 5, 1999, when the Company filed a patent application for an improved handpiece with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, he was not named as one of the inventors or a coinventor on the patent application. On September 24, 2004, the Company was issued a patent entitled, "Laser Surgical Handpiece with Photon Trap." Because the Company did not list Dr. Spadafora as one of the inventors or a coinventor on the patent, Dr. Spadafora requests in his complaint that a court order be entered declaring that he is the inventor or coinventor of the patent and, as a result, is entitled to all or part of the royalties and profits that the Company earned or will earn from the sale of any product incorporating or using the improved handpiece.

On June 2, 2006, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Dr. Spadafora for the dismissal of the lawsuit. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Company agrees to provide Dr. Spadafora with the exclusive right over a three-year period to market and sell the Photon(TM) laser system and its components, including the inventory and intellectual property rights. If Dr. Spadafora were successful in finding a prospective purchaser to acquire the Photon(TM) laser system upon terms acceptable to the Company, it agrees to pay him a commission equal to 10% of the total purchase price. If the purchase price for the Photon(TM) laser system includes a royalty or other payments payable to the Company on later sales of the Photon(TM) laser system other than its handpiece component, the Company agrees to pay Dr. Spadafora 8% of such royalties or other payments on such later sales through the full term of the purchase agreement. The Company further agrees that if a purchase price includes a royalty or other payments payable to the Company on later sales of the handpiece component of the Photon(TM) laser system, the Company agrees to pay Dr. Spadafora 15% of such royalties or other payments through the full term of the purchase agreement.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Additionally, the settlement agreement provides that if the Company is successful through its sole efforts, without any assistance from Dr. Spadafora, in finding a purchaser to acquire the Photon(TM) laser system or its components during the second or third year of Dr. Spadafora's exclusive rights, the Company agrees to pay Dr. Spadafora a commission equal to 1.7% of the total purchase price and of the Company's royalties or other payments on subsequent sales of the Photon(TM) laser system or its components through the full term of the purchase agreement. Finally, the settlement agreement provides for mutual releases by Dr. Spadafora and the Company for the benefit of each other, and that the Company and Dr. Spadafora each agree to pay their own costs, expenses and attorney's fees incurred in connection with the lawsuit and the preparation of the settlement agreement.

Surgical Instruments and Disposables: In addition to the cataract surgery equipment, the Company's surgical systems utilize or will utilize accessory instruments and disposables, some of which are proprietary to the Company. These include replacement ultrasound tips, sleeves, tubing sets and fluidics packs, instrument drapes and laser cataract probes. The Company intends to expand its disposable accessories as it penetrates the cataract surgery market and expands the treatment applications for its Workstation(TM). These products contributed 0% of total revenues for both 2006 and 2005.

Diagnostic Eye Care Products: Glaucoma is a second leading cause of adult blindness in the world. Glaucoma is described as a partial or total loss of visual field resulting from certain progressive disease or degeneration of the retina, macula or nerve fiber bundle. The cause and mechanism of the glaucoma pathology is not completely understood. Present detection methods focus on the measurement of intraocular pressure in the eye, visual field and observation of the optic nerve head to determine the possibility of pressure

9

being exerted upon the retina and optic nerve fiber bundle, which can diminish the visual field. Recently, retinal blood circulation has been indicated as a key component in the presence of glaucoma. Some companies produce color Doppler equipment in the \$80,000 price range intended to provide measurement of ocular blood flow activity in order to diagnose and treat glaucoma at an earlier stage.

Blood Flow Analyzer(TM): In June 1997, the Company received FDA clearance to market the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for early detection and treatment management of glaucoma and other retinal related diseases. The device measures not only intraocular pressure but also pulsatile ocular blood flow, the reduction of which may cause nerve fiber bundle death through oxygen deprivation thus resulting in visual field loss associated with glaucoma. The Company's Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) is a portable automated in-office system that presents an affordable method for ocular blood flow testing for the ophthalmic and optometric practitioner. This was the first diagnostic eye care device. The device is a portable desktop system that utilizes a proprietary and patented pneumatic Air Membrane Applanation Probe(TM) or AMAP(TM), which can be attached to any model of standard examination slit lamp, which is then placed on the cornea of the patient's eye to measure the intraocular pressure within the eye. The device is unique in that it reads a series of intraocular pressure pulses over a short period of time (approximately five to ten seconds) and generates a waveform profile, which can be correlated to blood flow volume within the eye. A proprietary software algorithm developed by David M. Silver, Ph.D., at Johns Hopkins University, calculates the blood flow volume. The device presents a numerical intraocular pressure reading and blood flow analysis rating in a concise printout, which is affixed to the patient history file. In addition, the data generated by the device can be downloaded to a personal computer system for advanced database development and management.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

The Company markets the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) as a stand-alone model packaged with a custom built computer system. The Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) utilizes a single use disposable cover for the Air Membrane Applanation Probe(TM), a corneal probe which is shipped in sterile packages. The probe tip cover provides accurate readings and acts as a prophylactic barrier for the patient. The device has been issued a patent in the European Economic Community and the United States and has a patent pending in Japan. The FDA cleared the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for marketing in June 1997 and the Company commenced selling the system in September 1997. In addition to the Humphrey products, this diagnostic product allowed the Company to expand its market to approximately 35,000 optometry practitioners in the United States in addition to the approximately 18,000 ophthalmic practitioners who currently perform eye surgeries and are candidates for the Company's surgical systems.

In April 2001, the Company received written authorization from the CPT Editorial Research and Development Department of the American Medical Association to use common procedure terminology or CPT code number 92120 for its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), for reimbursement purposes for doctors using the device. However, certain payors have elected not to reimburse doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Company is continuing its aggressive campaign to educate the payors about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the doctors. Currently, there is reimbursement by insurance payors to doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) in 22 states and partial reimbursement in four other states. The amount of reimbursement to doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) generally ranges from \$56.00 to \$76.00 per patient, depending upon the insurance payor. Insurance payors providing reimbursement for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) have the discretion to increase or reduce the amount of reimbursement. The Company is endeavoring to obtain reimbursement by insurance payors in other states where there is currently no reimbursement being made.

The manufacturing activities for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) have been moved to the Salt Lake City facility from the outsourced plant located in England. On October 21, 2002, the Company received FDA approval on its 510(k) application for additional indications of use for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The additional indications include pulsatile ocular blood flow and pulsatile ocular blood volume. These are diagnostic measurements that assess the hemodynamic and vascular health of the eye. Also, the Company is continuing its aggressive campaign to educate the insurance payors about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the doctors using its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). Sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and related accessories accounted for 13% and 10% of total sales for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On January 16, 2008, the Company entered into a consulting agreement with Corcoran Consulting Group, which specializes in medical reimbursement issues for optometry and ophthalmology. The Company plans to work with Corcoran Consulting Group to create a new common procedure technology, or CPT code number, for reimbursement purposes for physicians and practitioners using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). In addition, the Company plans to work with Corcoran Consulting Group to offer educational seminars for physicians and practitioners who purchase the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM).

Dicon(TM) Perimeters: Dicon(TM) perimeters consist of the LD 400, the TKS 5000, and software consisting of FieldLink(TM), FieldView(TM) and Advanced FieldView. Perimeters are used to determine retinal sensitivity testing the visual pathway. Perimeters have become a standard of care in the detection and monitoring of glaucoma worldwide. Perimetry is reimbursable worldwide. The

Dicon(TM) perimeters feature patented kinetic fixation and voice synthesis now in 27 different languages. Software programs are sold to assist in the analysis of the test results. Sales of the perimeters and related accessories generated 19% and 35% of the total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The LD 400FT, or Fast Threshold Autoperimeter, is the successor to the LD 400. The device is an autoperimeter used to measure patient visual fields. The LD 400FT is identical in hardware to the LD 400 but it uses new software to enable a fast threshold test. This test reduces the time required by ophthalmologists and optometrists conducting autoperimetry tests by more than 40% by running an abbreviated test at light levels determined to be sufficient to be seen in normal patients. The procedure currently takes more than 15 minutes. The fast threshold test by the LD 400FT is similar to tests by other devices on the market. Healthy patients will pass the test. Patients with reduced visual fields will be flagged by the test enabling the device to automatically run a more comprehensive examination to determine the extent of the visual field loss. All existing LD 400s can be upgraded to support the new fast threshold test through the purchase of a software package.

The LD700 Perimeter is the next generation of perimeters, providing a small footprint and compact design. The test given with the LD700 tests for visual field loss that is often an indicator of the presence of glaucoma. The LD700 is designed to identify glaucoma suspects and also monitor the onset of glaucoma in patients afflicted with this eye disease. It is also used in the management of medication used to treat glaucoma to assure the prescribed medication is effective in slowing the progression of glaucoma and other ailments that result in visual field loss.

Dicon(TM) Corneal Topographers: Dicon(TM) corneal topographers include the CT 200(TM) and the CT 50. Corneal topographers are used to determine the shape and integrity of the cornea, the anterior surface of the eye. Clinical applications for corneal topographers include refractive surgery that eliminates the need for eyeglasses and optometric applications including contact lens fitting. Revenues from the topographer and related accessories were 1% and 3% of the total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively. An enhanced version of the CT 200(TM) was introduced during the fourth quarter of 2003. The Company has completed the upgrades to the CT 200(TM) and the CT 50 Corneal Topographers, which are now operating with Windows XP software rather than the former Windows 95 operating systems.

P55 Pachymetric Analyzer: The ultrasonic pachymeter is used for measurement of corneal thickness. The Model P55 is positioned as a standard office pachymeter. This device is targeted to the refractive surgery market and contributed 1% of the total revenues for both 2007 and 2006.

P20 A-Scan Biometric Ultrasound Analyzer: The A-Scan was removed from the Company's line of diagnostic products in 2002 but added back as a result of its Worldwide OEM Agreement with MEDA Co., Ltd. in which MEDA has agreed to jointly develop and collaborate in the improvement and enhancement of the Company's products. The A-Scan is a prerequisite procedure reimbursed by Medicare and is performed before every cataract surgery. Over 5,000 A-Scan systems have been installed in the worldwide market, representing a substantial market opportunity for software upgrades and extended warranty contract sales. A-Scan sales were 0% of the total revenues for both 2007 and 2006.

P37 A/B Scan Ocular Ultrasound Diagnostic: The A/B Scan is used by retinal subspecialists to identify foreign bodies in the posterior chamber of the eye and to evaluate the structural integrity of the retina. The A/B Scan is

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

attractive to the general ophthalmic community at large because of its lower price point and its image resolution qualities. Sales from this product were 10% and 8% of the total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

P40, P45 and P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscopes: Humphrey Systems developed the P40 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope in conjunction with the New York Eye and Ear Infirmary in Manhattan and the University of Toronto. The P40 biomicroscope and its intellectual property were included in the purchase from Humphrey Systems and gives the Company the proprietary rights to this device. The P40 biomicroscope creates a high resolution computer image of the unseen parts of the eye that is a "map" for the glaucoma surgeon. The P40 biomicroscope is an "enabling technology" for the ophthalmologist, one that the Company has repositioned for broader market sales penetration. Formerly sold only to glaucoma subspecialty practitioners, the Company reintroduced the P40 biomicroscope at a price point targeted for the average practitioners seeking to add glaucoma filtering surgical procedures and income to their cataract surgical practice.

The P40 biomicroscope related surgical filtering procedures are fully reimbursable by Medicare and insurance providers. This untapped new market positions the Company with its proprietary P40 biomicroscope and, to its knowledge, the only commercially viable product of this type on the market, as a leader in the rapidly expanding glaucoma imaging and treatment segment. In the fall of 2000, the Company introduced the P45 UBM Ultrasonic Biomicroscope, which combines the P40 biomicroscope and the P37 A/B Scan Ocular Ultrasound Diagnostic into one instrument. The Company believes that by combining functions, the P45 will appeal to a broader market. The P40 biomicroscope and related accessories sales were 6% and 4% of the total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively. The P45 biomicroscope and related accessories sales contributed 2% and 6% of the total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

11

On October 25, 2004, the Company entered into a Manufacturing and Distribution Agreement with E-Technologies, Inc., a Iowa based developer of software and related technology for technical applications. Under the terms of the agreement, E-Technologies granted to the Company the exclusive right to manufacture, market, sell and distribute an ultrasound biomicroscope. Upon execution of the agreement, the Company paid \$30,000 to E-Technologies for engineering costs associated with the development of the biomicroscope. When the bioimicroscope received FDA approval on May 26, 2005, the Company paid E-Technologies an additional fee of \$45,000.

In consideration for the exclusive right to manufacture and distribute the biomicroscope, the Company agreed to pay E-Technologies a royalty in the amount of \$5,000 for each of the first 25 biomicroscopes sold by the Company. Thereafter, the Company agreed to pay E-Technologies the sum of \$4,000 for each biomicroscope sold. As an additional condition, the Company agreed to sell 25 biomicroscopes during the first 12 months after the biomicroscope receives FDA approval. The agreement is effective for a term of two years. After the expiration of the two year period, the agreement is to automatically renew for additional one year periods, unless either party elects to terminate the agreement upon at least 30 days prior written notice to the other party before the end of any term of the agreement.

In March 2005, the Company introduced the P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope. The P60 biomicroscope represents the fourth generation of UBM devices and has better visual clarity and image flexibility than earlier versions. On March 1, 2005, the Company was awarded the CE Mark for the P60,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

which enables it to market the device in 19 Western European countries, the Middle East and India, and some parts of Asia and the Pacific Rim. On May 26, 2005, the Company received FDA 510(k) premarket approval for the P60, which allows it to be sold in the United States. On February 9, 2006, the Company received a Canadian device license for the P60, which allows it to be sold in Canada. The P60 biomicroscope and related accessories sales were 21% and 16% of total revenues for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

On June 5, 2007, the Company introduced a new software package for the P60 biomicroscope. This V2.1 software incorporates greater image resolution, a user-friendly and robust database management system, and networking capabilities that allow the patient image data to be transferred within a user's network for efficient patient record management. The Company developed the new V2.1 software in partnership with the optic and engineering group at Reliacon Global, Inc.

In July 2000, the Company received ISO 9001 and EN 46001 certification using TUV Essen as the notified body. Under ISO 9001 certification, its products are now CE marked. The CE mark allows the Company to ship product for revenue into the European Community. The Company successfully retained its certification in 2005 and retained ISO 13485 in December 2005 from TUV Essen.

On June 12, 2006, the Company entered into a Worldwide OEM Agreement with MEDA Co., Ltd., one of China's leading developers and producers of ultrasound devices. Under the terms of the agreement, MEDA agrees to jointly engineer, develop and manufacture the Company's next generation of the Ultrasound BioMicroscope, as well as other proprietary new products and enhancement of the Company's current products. The products to be manufactured by MEDA, at agreed upon costs, and supplied to the Company for resale include the following new products: an ultrasound biomicroscope, two ultrasound A/B Scans, a biometric A-Scan and a pachymeter.

The agreement provides that the Company and MEDA agree to jointly develop and collaborate in the improvement and enhancement of the Company's products and, in the interest of product development, enhancement and differentiation. MEDA agrees to give consideration to potential software development or enhancements made available to the Company for its products. Moreover, in the interest of product improvement, MEDA agrees to collaborate with the Company and its designated engineers, employees and consultants to consider and potentially implement jointly or individually the development of product enhancements for the Company's products to be manufactured by MEDA.

On January 31 and February 1, 2007, the Company received FDA 510(k) pre-market approval for a new generation of ultrasound devices. This approval allows the new devices to be sold in the United States. The new ultrasound devices, which are to be manufactured by MEDA and sold by the Company in the United States, include the P2000 A-Scan (used to measure axial length of the eye), the P2200 Pachymeter (used for measuring corneal thickness), the P2500 A-Scan/Pachymeter (a combination of the two stand-alone devices), the P2700 and P3700 AB/Scans (an ultrasound imaging device for detecting abnormalities within the eye), the P37-II (a more advanced AB/Scan used to provide portability for ophthalmology veterinary applications) and the P50 Ultrasound Biomicroscope for high frequency imaging of the anterior chamber of the eye.

Parts and Services: The parts and service revenue from the repair and service of equipment sold accounted for 9% and 12% of total revenues in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The following table identifies each product class, status of commercial development, the percentage of sales contributed by that class, reimbursement status, and status of applicable United States and foreign regulatory approvals:

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

12

Product (1)	Product Class	Commercial Development	Reimbursement Status	% 2006 Sales
P55, P2200 and P2500 Pachymetric Analyzer	System, Imaging, Pulsed Echo Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	3%
P20 and P2000 A-Scan Biometric Ultrasound Analyzer	System Imaging, Pulsed Echo Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	1%
P37, P37-II, P2700 and P3700 A/B Scan Ocular Ultrasound Diagnostic	Transducer, Ultrasound Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	10%
P40 UBM Ultrasound BioMicroscope	System, Imaging, Pulsed Echo Ultrasound Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	5%
P45 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope, Workstation Plus	System, Imaging, Pulsed Echo Ultrasound Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	6%
P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope, Workstation Plus	System, Imaging, Pulsed Echo Ultrasound Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	14%
BFA Ocular Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) and Disposables	Tonometer, Manual Diagnostic	Complete	Yes****	10%
CT 200 Corneal Topography System	Topographer Corneal AC-Powered Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	3%
LD 400 Autoperimetry System	Perimeter, Automatic AC-Powered Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	25%
TKS 5000 Autoperimetry System	Perimeter, Automatic AC-Powered, Diagnostic	Complete	Yes	11%
Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM), Ocular Surgery Workstation with Surgical Equipment and Disposables(2)	Phacofragmentation	Complete	Yes	0%
Photon(TM) Laser, Ocular Surgery Workstation with	Phacoemulsification	In-Process (4)	No	0%

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Surgical Equipment
and Disposables(3)

Parts and Services	Perimeter, BFA, Tonometer, Topographer, Ultrasound Workstations, Systems, Imaging	Complete	Yes	12%
--------------------	--	----------	-----	-----

13

- (1) Except for the Photon(TM) Ocular Surgery Workstation, which can only be sold in countries outside the United States, these products can be sold in the United States and in foreign countries including but not limited to Argentina, Australia, Bangladesh, Borneo, Brazil, Canada, China, Czechoslovakia, Egypt, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, India, Israel, Italy, Japan, Jordan, Korea, Malaysia, Mexico, New Zealand, Pakistan, Peru, Poland, Puerto Rico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Spain, Sri Lanka, Taiwan, Thailand, Turkey, United Kingdom, and United Arab Emirates.
- (2) Due to the lack of recent sales volume, the inventory associated with the Precisionist Thirty Thousand (TM), the SIStem(TM) and the Odyssey(TM) has been deemed obsolete and a reserve has been recorded to offset such inventory.
- (3) Due to the lack of recent evidence to support the recoverability of inventory associated with the Photon(TM), the Company has recorded a reserve to offset the majority of such inventory on hand.
- (4) The Photon(TM) is in-process and not complete because the Company has not completed the clinical trials in order to obtain FDA regulatory approval.
- * FDA 510(K) K844299 represents domestic approval by U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- ** ISO 9001: 1994, EN ISO 9001 represents international approval
- *** IDE G940151 represents approval for international distribution only
- **** Represents full reimbursement in 20 states and partial reimbursement in six other states.

As detailed in the table above, except for the Photon(TM) Laser Ocular Surgery Workstation, which requires additional development and regulatory approvals, the Company's current products are developed and available for sale in footnote (1) of the table. The Company's possible future efforts to finalize development of the Photon(TM) laser system and obtain the necessary regulatory approvals would depend on adequate funding. If these efforts were undertaken but proved to be unsuccessful, the impact would include the costs associated with these efforts and the anticipated future revenues which the Company would not receive as expected. The Company estimates that the funds needed to complete the clinical trials on the Photon(TM) in order to obtain the necessary FDA regulatory approval to be approximately \$225,000.

The Company currently purchases components and parts used in its products from a limited number of key suppliers. The Company's reliance on its principal suppliers could result in delays associated with redesigning a product due to an inability to obtain an adequate supply of required components and parts, and reduced control over pricing, quality and timely delivery. The loss of any of these principal suppliers or the inability of a supplier to meet performance and quality specifications, requested quantities or delivery schedules could cause the Company's revenues to decline. In addition, any interruption or discontinuance in the supply of components or parts could have

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

an adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operation and financial condition. The Company's principal suppliers include Capistrano Labs, US Ultrasound and Anthro.

Marketing and Sales

Ophthalmologists are mainly office based and perform their surgeries in local hospitals or surgical centers that provide the necessary surgical equipment and supplies. Ophthalmologists are generally involved in decisions relating to the purchase of equipment and accessories for their independent ambulatory surgical centers and for the hospitals with which they are affiliated. This provides the opportunity for direct, targeted, personal selling, responsive high quality customer service and short buying cycles to achieve a product sale in the office or hospital. Hospitals also comprise a significant market, as recent demand for ultrasonic surgery technology has put pressure on the ophthalmologist, who in turn persuades the hospital to install the latest technology system so that he can offer this procedure to his patients and the community. Ophthalmologist and hospital administrators are understanding the necessity of Ultrasound diagnostic equipment such as the UBM and providing the opportunity for increased product demonstrations. The capability to detect and manage glaucoma is greatly enhanced with the UBM.

Industry analysts report that the United States ophthalmic surgical device market has been characterized by slower growth in recent years. This has apparently been caused by the potential reforms associated with the health care industry. Further, hospitals have been inclined to keep their older phaco machines longer than expected as they have been forced to mind budgets more carefully and have become less willing to invest in capital equipment until more information on health care reform becomes available. However, analysts predict that the ophthalmic surgical device market will see renewed growth in the coming years as the health care environment stabilizes and as the growing elderly population produces an increased number of cataract surgeries. As a consequence of these factors, the market should see a greater rate of replacement of older machines that hospitals and surgeons have been postponing for longer than usual. The acceptance of the UBM as a necessary diagnostic and disease management tool is enhancing the opportunities for increased sales of these to hospitals as well as larger private clinics.

14

Current Market Acceptance and Potential: The principal purchasers of the Company's products have been ophthalmologists, optometrists, universities and clinics in many countries throughout the world. The Company believes that the market for its products is being driven by: (i) the aging of the population, which is evidenced by the domestic and international cataract surgery volume growth trend over the past ten years, (The National Eye Institute reported in March 2002 that the number of blind or visually impaired Americans is likely to double over the next 30 years.) (ii) the entry by emerging countries (including China, Russia, and other countries in Asia, Eastern Europe and Africa) into advanced technology medical care for their populations, (iii) increased awareness worldwide of the benefits of the minimally invasive phaco cataract procedure, (iv) the introduction of technology improvements such as the Company's laser system, and (v) the growing awareness of the need for early detection and treatment of glaucoma.

Marketing Organization: The Company markets its products internationally through a network of distributors and domestically through direct sales representatives, independent sales organizations, and ophthalmic product distributors. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had five direct

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

domestic sales employees and one independent sales organization in the United States and 24 ophthalmic and medical product distributors outside the United States. These sales representatives are assigned exclusive territories and have entered into contracts with the Company that contain performance quotas. Domestic sales channels have been expanded to include independent sales representatives and distributors. The Company also plans to continue to market its products by identifying customers through internal market research, trade shows and direct marketing programs.

Product advertising is intended to be focused in the major industry trade journals. Most of the ophthalmologists or optometrists in the United States receive one or more of these magazines through professional subscription programs. The media has shown strong interest in the Company's technology and products, as evidenced by several recent articles in these publications.

Manufacturing and Raw Materials: Currently, the Company maintains a 16,926 square foot facility in Salt Lake City. The Company transferred the manufacturing activities for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) to San Diego from Ocular Blood Flow, Ltd. in England during 2001. During the second quarter of 2002, the Company consolidated and closed the San Diego operations into the Salt Lake City facility. The facility accommodates its manufacturing, marketing and engineering capabilities. The Company manufactures under systems of quality control and testing, which complies with the Quality System Requirements established by the FDA, as well as similar guidelines established by foreign governments, including the CE Mark and ISO-9001.

The Company subcontracts the manufacturing of some of its ancillary instruments, accessories and disposables through specified vendors in the United States. These products are contracted in quantities and at costs consistent with its financial purchasing capabilities and pricing needs. The Company manufactures certain accessories at its facility in Salt Lake City.

Product Service and Support: Service for the Company's products is overseen from its Salt Lake City location and is augmented by its international dealer network, which provides technical service and repair. Installation, on-site training and a limited product warranty are included as the standard terms of sale. The Company provides distributors with replacement parts at no charge during the warranty period. International distributors are responsible for installation, repair and other customer service to installed systems in their territory. All systems parts are modular sub-components that are easily removed and replaced. The Company maintains adequate parts inventory and provides overnight replacement parts shipments to its dealers.

Research and Development

The Company's primary market for its surgical products is the cataract surgery market. However, the Company believes that its laser systems may potentially have broader ophthalmic applications. Consequently, the Company believes that a strong research and development capability is important for its future. In addition to its expanded in-house research and development capabilities, the Company has enlisted several recognized and respected consultants and other technical personnel to act in technical and medical advisory capacities.

The Company believes its research and development capabilities provide it with the ability to respond to regulatory developments, including new products, new product features devised from its users and new applications for its products on a timely and proprietary basis. The Company intends to continue investing in research and development and to strengthen its ability to enhance existing products and develop new products.

Research, development and service expenses (which includes production

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

and manufacturing support and the service department expenses) increased by \$94,000, or 38%, to \$344,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, from \$250,000 for the same period in 2006. None of the costs of research and development activities during 2007 and 2006 was borne directly by customers.

15

During the period in which Thomas F. Motter served as the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, he formed a clinical advisory board and met from time to time with the board. Jeffrey F. Poore, who served as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer from March 2003 to March 2004, decided not to utilize the clinical advisory board. Instead, he consulted with former members of the advisory board on an informal basis. The Company currently has no agreements with any former members of the clinical advisory board and none of these former members hold or own any rights to its products or technologies.

Competition

General. The Company is subject to competition in the cataract surgery and the glaucoma diagnostic markets from two principal sources: (i) manufacturers of competing ultrasound systems used when performing cataract treatments and (ii) developers of technologies for ophthalmic diagnostic and surgical instruments used for treatment. A few large companies that are well established in the marketplace have experienced management, are well financed and have well recognized trade names and product lines that dominate the surgical equipment industry. The Company believes that the combined sales of five entities account for over 90% of the cataract surgery market. The remaining market is fragmented among emerging smaller companies, some of which are foreign. The ophthalmic diagnostic market has a similar composition.

Most major competitors either entered or expanded into the cataract or glaucoma markets through the acquisition of smaller, entrepreneurial high technology manufacturing companies. Therefore, because existing competitors or other entities desiring to enter the market could conceivably acquire current entrepreneurial enterprises with small market activity, any and all competitors must be considered to be formidable.

The Cataract Surgical System Industry. The major manufacturers utilizing ultrasonic technology offer products currently in use. Those systems rely on accessories including single use cassette packs and other ancillary surgical disposables such as saline solution, sutures and intraocular lenses for their profits. The cassette packs are required for fluid and tissue collection during the surgical procedure. The cassette packs are generally unique and proprietary to their respective systems and represent a barrier to entry for third party, lower cost aftermarket suppliers. While there is growing market resistance in the United States and internationally to single use cassettes, it is anticipated that manufacturers of ultrasound equipment will continue to develop and enhance their present ultrasound products in order to protect their investments in system and cassette technology and to protect their profits from sales of these cassettes and accessories. The Company's Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM) ultrasonic phaco system has the ability to use either reusable or single use disposable components. The Photon(TM) laser cataract system will utilize probes and cassette packs designed for single use and semi-disposable instruments priced at a level consistent with the demands of health care cost containment. This will allow the health care providers a substantial measure of cost containment, while providing the Company with the quality control and income capability of cassette sales.

The international market, with significantly lower medical budgets, has

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

not been able to justify the expense of using disposable components. Budgetary constraints have limited current manufacturers from gaining a significant share of the international ultrasound equipment market, and have provided a niche for the emerging smaller companies discussed above.

Ultrasound Equipment Manufacturers. As a relatively recent entrant into the cataract surgical equipment market with a newer equipment line, the Company is establishing itself and, as yet, does not hold a significant share of the market. The Company currently recognizes Bausch & Lomb, Alcon Laboratories, and Allergan Medical Optics as its primary competitors in the ultrasound phaco cataract equipment market. In respect to ultrasound diagnostic equipment such as the UBM, A-Scan, Pachymeter and A/B Scan, the Company is well positioned to compete against companies that currently hold a significant share of the market. The Company recognizes Sonomed, Tomey, Nidek, OTI and Quantel.

Laser Equipment Manufacturers. There are several other companies attempting to develop laser equipment for cataract surgery. These companies can be differentiated by the laser wavelength employed for the cataract surgery. Based on the information currently available to us; Er:YAG laser wavelength appears to offer a less viable means of removing cataracts than the Nd:YAG wavelength used by the Photon(TM). One competitor uses a Nd:YAG wavelength, however the laser is used only to vibrate an ultrasonic needle. Thus the device remains an ultrasonic system subject to the same risk factors of phaco, thereby eliminating the benefits of using a laser to remove the cataract. The Company also believes that its product is sufficiently distinctive and, if properly marketed, can capture a significant share of the cataract surgical device market. However, there are substantial risks in undertaking a new venture in an established and already highly competitive industry. In the short-term, the Company is seeking to exploit these opportunities. Depending upon further developments, the Company may ultimately exploit those opportunities through a merger with a stronger entity already established or one that desires to enter the medical industry.

The Company believes that its ability to compete successfully will depend on its capability to create and maintain advanced technology, develop proprietary products, attract and retain scientific personnel, obtain patent or other proprietary protection for its products and technologies, obtain required regulatory approvals and manufacture, assemble and successfully market products either alone or through third parties.

16

The Retinal Diagnostic Market. The Glaucoma Research Foundation suggests that with the aging of the so-called baby boom generation, there will be an increase of refractive surgeries, macular degeneration and glaucoma in the United States, the leading causes of adult blindness worldwide. The National Eye Institute stated in 2002 that the number of visually impaired Americans is likely to double over the next three decades. Their report estimated that 2.4 million people suffer some visual impairment in this country. The damage caused by these diseases is irreversible. The preconditions for the onset of macular degeneration or glaucoma are low ocular blood flow and/or high intraocular pressure. Diagnostic screening is important for individuals susceptible to these diseases. People in high risk categories include: African Americans over 40 years of age, all persons over 60 years of age, persons with a family history of glaucoma or diabetes, and the very nearsighted. The Glaucoma Research Foundation recommends that these high risk individuals be tested regularly for glaucoma. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 1995 there were over 30 million adults 65 years of age and older and eight million African Americans 45 years of age

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

and older. The Glaucoma Research Foundation reports that glaucoma currently accounts for more than seven million visits to physicians annually.

The Company is subject to intense competition in the ophthalmic diagnostic market from well financed, established companies with recognizable trade names and product lines and new and developing technologies. The industry is dominated by several large entities which the Company believes accounts for the majority of diagnostic equipment sales. The Company continues to derive revenues from the sale of its ultrasound diagnostic equipment and blood flow analyzer. The blood flow analyzer is designed to detect glaucoma in an earlier stage than is presently possible. In addition, the device performs tonometry and blood flow analysis. Other ophthalmic diagnostic devices that do not detect glaucoma in the early stages of the disease as does the Company's blood flow analyzer retail at comparable prices. Thus, the Company believes that it can compete in the diagnostic market place based upon the lower price and improved diagnostic functions of the analyzer.

Intellectual Property Protection

The Company's cataract surgical products are proprietary in design, engineering and performance. Its surgical ultrasonic products have not been patented to date because the primary technology for ultrasonic tissue fragmentation, as available to all competitors in the market, is mainly in the public domain.

The Company acquired proprietary intellectual property in the transaction with Humphrey Systems when the Company purchased the diagnostic ultrasonic product line in 1999. This technology uses ultrasound to create a high resolution computer image of the unseen parts of the eye that is a "map" for the practitioner. The P40 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope, one of the ultrasonic products the Company purchased, is subject to a license agreement dated September 27, 1990, with Sunnybrook Health Science Center. Under the terms of the license agreement, the Company has the exclusive worldwide rights to manufacture and sell the UBM biomicroscope, for which the Company is required to pay a royalty of \$150 for each licensed product sold. The license agreement was automatically terminated by its terms on September 27, 2002, at which time the Company had a royalty free worldwide license to use and sell the P40 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscope. However, the Company has a continuing obligation after such termination to continue to use and sell the biomicroscope only in the field of ophthalmology. As a result of its agreement with MEDA Co., Ltd., the Company is also able to provide the P50 UBM biomicroscope, which is manufactured by MEDA, to other industry segments such as the research and the veterinary markets.

The Photon(TM) laser cataract probe is protected under a United States patent issued to Daniel M. Eichenbaum, M.D. in 1987 and subsequently assigned to PhotoMed International, Inc. and a Japanese patent issued to the Company in 1997 for the utility and methods of laser ablation, aspiration and irrigation of tissue through a hand held probe of a unique design. The United States patent expired in September 2004.

The Company secured the exclusive worldwide rights to this patent shortly after its issue, and to the international patents pending, from PhotoMed by means of a license agreement dated July 7, 1993. The license agreement provided the Company with the rights to manufacture, distribute and sell a laser system using the Photon(TM) laser cataract probe and related components to customers on a worldwide basis, for which PhotoMed is to receive a 1% royalty on all net sales of such systems and related components sold worldwide.

Under the license agreement PhotoMed is entitled to all royalty payments from net sales at the time of billing to the purchaser or within 30 days of the date of shipment, whichever occurs first. The Company is required

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

each quarter to prepare a summary of sales and the royalties to which PhotoMed is entitled to be paid. The sales summary must list the number of surgical systems and disposable units sold in each country, the dollar value of gross and net sales, the amount of the royalty to which PhotoMed is entitled, and any other information requested by PhotoMed from time to time. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company has agreed to be actively engaged in either research and development of a salable product utilizing the patent or in marketing and selling such a product.

17

The license agreement was amended on December 5, 1997 to allow PhotoMed the right to conduct research, development and marketing utilizing the patent in certain medical subspecialties other than ophthalmology for which the Company would receive royalty payments equal to 1% of the proceeds from the net sales of products utilizing the patent. The license agreement expired when the United States patent rights expired in September 2004, but the license agreement could be automatically extended or renewed for any term of extension or renewal awarded for the patent rights. In addition, the Company has the right to terminate the license agreement at any time after July 7, 2003 upon 90 days prior written notice to PhotoMed.

PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum brought legal action against the Company on September 11, 2000 involving an amount of royalties that were allegedly due and owing to them from the sale of equipment by the Company. The Company has paid \$15,717 to bring all royalty payments up to date through January 5, 2005. The Company has been working with PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum to ensure that the royalty calculations have been correctly made. It is anticipated that once the parties agree on the correct royalty calculations, the legal action will be dismissed.

An issue in dispute concerning the method of calculating royalties is whether royalties should be paid on returned equipment. Since July 1, 2001, only one Photon(TM) laser system has been sold and no systems returned. Thus, the amount of royalties due, according to the Company's calculations, is \$981. The Company made payment of this amount to Photomed and Dr. Eichenbaum on January 5, 2005 and, as a result, seeks to have the legal action dismissed. However, if the parties are unable to agree on a method for calculating royalties, there is a risk that PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum might amend the complaint to request termination of the license agreement and, if successful, the Company would lose its rights to manufacture or sell the Photon(TM) laser system.

The Photon(TM) laser cataract probe is also protected under a United States patent issued to the Company in 2002 for a laser surgical device for the removal of intraocular tissue including a handpiece and a trap. The patent is due to expire in August 2019. There are also two pending United States patents relating to the Photon(TM) laser cataract probe.

The Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) was granted a patent in the United Kingdom in 1998 and in the United States in 1999, and has a patent pending in Japan. These patents relate to pneumatic pressure probes for use in measuring change in intraocular pressure and in measuring pulsatile ocular blood flow. The United States patent rights expire in January 2019 and the United Kingdom patent rights expire in November 2015.

The Dicon(TM) Perimeters and the Dicon(TM) Corneal Topographer each have a U.S. patent with a wide scope of claims. The United States patent for the Dicon(TM) Perimeter was issued in 1991 and the patent rights expire in March 2010. The United States patent for the Dicon(TM) Corneal Topographer was issued

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

in 2002 and the patent rights expire in January 2018.

The Company's trademarks are important to its business. It is its policy to pursue trademark registrations for its trademarks associated with its products as appropriate. Also, the Company relies on common law trademark rights to protect its unregistered trademarks, although common law trademark rights do not provide the Company with the same level of protection as would U.S. federal registered trademarks. Common law trademark rights only extend to the geographical area in which the trademark is actually used while U.S. federal registration prohibits the use of the trademark by any party anywhere in the United States.

The Company also relies on trade secret law to protect some aspects of its intellectual property. All of its key employees, consultants and advisors are required to enter into a confidentiality agreement with the Company. Most of its third-party manufacturers and formulators are also bound by confidentiality agreements with the Company.

Regulation

The FDA under the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act regulates the Company's surgical and diagnostic systems as medical devices. As such, these devices require premarket clearance or approval by the FDA prior to their marketing and sale. Such clearance or approval is premised on the production of evidence sufficient for the Company to show reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness regarding its products. Pursuant to the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act, the FDA regulates the manufacture, distribution and production of medical devices in the United States and the export of medical devices from the United States. Noncompliance with applicable requirements can result in fines, injunctions, civil penalties, recall or seizure of products, total or partial suspension of production, denial of premarket clearance or approval for devices. Recommendations by the FDA that the Company not be allowed to enter into government contracts in order to avoid criminal prosecution may also be made.

18

Following the enactment of the Medical Device Amendments to the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act in May 1976, the FDA began classifying medical devices in commercial distribution into one of three classes: Class I, II or III. This classification is based on the controls that are perceived to be necessary to reasonably ensure the safety and effectiveness of medical devices. Class I devices are those devices, the safety and effectiveness of which can reasonably be ensured through general controls, such as adequate labeling, advertising, premarketing notification and adherence to the FDA's Quality System Requirements regulations. Some Class I devices are exempt from some of the general controls. Class II devices are those devices the safety and effectiveness of which can reasonably be assured through the use of special controls, such as performance standards, postmarket surveillance, patient registries and FDA guidelines. Class III devices are devices that must receive premarketing approval by the FDA to ensure their safety and effectiveness. Generally, Class III devices are limited to life sustaining, life supporting or implantable devices, or to new devices that have been found not to be substantially equivalent to legally marketed devices.

There are two principal methods by which FDA approval may be obtained. One method is to seek FDA approval through a premarketing notification filing under Section 510(k) of the Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act. If a manufacturer or distributor of a medical device can establish that a proposed device is "substantially equivalent" to a legally marketed Class I or Class II medical

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

device or to a pre-1976 Class III medical device for which the FDA has not called for a pre-marketing approval, the manufacturer or distributor may seek FDA Section 510(k) premarketing clearance for the device by filing a Section 510(k) premarketing notification. The Section 510(k) notification and the claim of substantial equivalence will likely have to be supported by various types of data and materials, possibly including clinical testing results, obtained under an Investigational Device Exemption granted by the FDA. The manufacturer or distributor may not place the device into interstate commerce until an order is issued by the FDA granting premarketing clearance for the device. There can be no assurance that the Company will obtain Section 510(k) premarketing clearance for any of the future devices for which the Company seeks such clearance including the Photon(TM) laser system.

The FDA may determine that the device is "substantially equivalent" to another legally marketed Class I, Class II or pre-1976 Class III device for which the FDA has not called for a premarketing approval, and allow the proposed device to be marketed in the United States. The FDA may determine, however, that the proposed device is not substantially equivalent, or may require further information, such as additional test data, before the FDA is able to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence. A "not substantially equivalent" determination or a request for additional information could delay the Company's market introduction of its products and could have a material adverse effect on its business, operating results and financial condition.

The alternate method to seek approval is to obtain premarketing approval from the FDA. If a manufacturer or distributor of a medical device cannot establish that a proposed device is substantially equivalent to another legally marketed device, whether or not the FDA has made a determination in response to a Section 510(k) notification, the manufacturer or distributor will have to seek premarketing approval for the proposed device. A premarketing approval application would have to be submitted and be supported by extensive data, including preclinical and clinical trial data to prove the safety and efficacy of the device. If human clinical trials of a proposed device are required and the device presents a significant risk, the manufacturer or the distributor of the device will have to file an Investigational Device Exemption application with the FDA prior to commencing human clinical trials. The Investigational Device Exemption application must be supported by data, typically including the results of animal and mechanical testing. If the Investigational Device Exemption application is approved, human clinical trials may begin at a specific number of investigational sites, and the approval letter could include the number of patients approved by the FDA.

An Investigational Device Exemption clinical trial can be divided into several parts or phases. Sometimes a company will conduct a feasibility study (Phase I) to confirm that a device functions according to its design and operating parameters. This is a usual clinical trial site. If the Phase I results are promising, the applicant may, with the FDA's permission, expand the number of clinical trial sites and the number of patients to be treated to assure reasonable stability of clinical results. Phase II studies are performed to confirm predictability of results and the absence of adverse reactions. The applicant may, upon receipt of the FDA's authorization, subsequently expand the study to a third phase with a larger number of clinical trial sites and a greater number of patients. This involves longer patient follow-up times and the collection of more patient data. Product claims, labeling and core data for the premarketing approval are derived primarily from this portion of the clinical trial. The applicant may also, upon receipt of the FDA's permission, consolidate one or more of such portions of the study. Sponsors of clinical trials are permitted to sell those devices distributed in the course of the study, provided such compensation does not exceed recovery of the costs of manufacture, research, development and handling. Although both approval methods may require clinical testing of the device in question under an approved Investigational Device Exemption, the premarketing approval procedure is more complex and time

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

consuming.

Upon receipt of the premarketing approval application, the FDA makes a threshold determination whether the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review. If the FDA determines that the premarketing approval is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review, the FDA will "file" the application. Once the submission is filed, the FDA has by regulation 90 days to review it; however, the review time is often extended significantly by the FDA asking for more information or clarification of information already provided in the submission. During the review period, an advisory committee may

19

also evaluate the application and provide recommendations to the FDA as to whether the device should be approved. In addition, the FDA will inspect the manufacturing facility to ensure compliance with the FDA's Quality System Requirements prior to approval of a premarketing application. While the FDA has responded to premarketing approval applications within the allotted time period, premarketing approval reviews generally take approximately 12 to 18 months or more from the date of filing to approval. The premarketing approval process is lengthy and expensive, and there can be no assurance that such approval will be obtained for any of the Company's products determined to be subject to such requirements. A number of devices for which other companies have sought premarketing approval have never been approved for marketing.

Any products manufactured or distributed by the Company pursuant to a premarket clearance notification or pre-marketing approval are or will be subject to pervasive and continuing regulation by the FDA. The Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act also requires that the Company's products be manufactured in registered establishments and in accordance with Quality System Requirements regulations. Labeling, advertising and promotional activities are subject to scrutiny by the FDA and, in certain instances, by the Federal Trade Commission. The export of medical devices is also subject to regulation in certain instances. In addition, the use of the Company's products may be regulated by various state agencies. All lasers manufactured for the Company are subject to the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act administered by the Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the FDA. The law requires laser manufacturers to file new product and annual reports and to maintain quality control, product testing and sales records, to incorporate certain design and operating features in lasers sold to end users pursuant to specific performance standards, and to comply with labeling and certification requirements. Various warning labels must be affixed to the laser, depending on the class of the product, as established by the performance standards.

Although the Company believes that it currently complies and will continue to comply with all applicable regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of medical devices, such regulations are always subject to change and depend heavily on administrative interpretations. There can be no assurance that future changes in review guidelines, regulations or administrative interpretations by the FDA or other regulatory bodies, with possible retroactive effect, will not materially adversely affect the Company. In addition to the foregoing, the Company is subject to numerous federal, state and local laws relating to such matters as safe working conditions, manufacturing practices, environmental protection, fire hazard control and disposal of potentially hazardous substances. There can be no assurance that the Company will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with such laws and regulations and that such compliance will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company's ability to conduct business.

The Company and the manufacturers of its products may be inspected on a

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

routine basis by both the FDA and individual states for compliance with current Quality System Requirements regulations and other requirements.

Congress has considered several comprehensive federal health care programs designed to broaden coverage and reduce the costs of existing government and private insurance programs. These programs have been the subject of criticism within Congress and the health care industry, and many alternative programs and features of programs have been proposed and discussed. Therefore, the Company cannot predict the content of any federal health care program, if any is passed by Congress, or its effect on the Company and its business. Some measures that have been suggested as possible elements of a new program, such as government price ceilings on non-reimbursable procedures and spending limitations on hospitals and other healthcare providers for new equipment, could have an adverse effect on its business, operating results or financial condition. Uncertainty concerning the features of any health care program considered by the Congress, its adoption by the Congress and the effect of the program on the Company's business could result in volatility of the market price of its common stock.

Furthermore, the introduction of the Company's products in foreign countries may require it to obtain foreign regulatory clearances. The Company believes that only a limited number of foreign countries have extensive regulatory requirements, including France, Germany, Korea, China and Japan. The time involved for regulatory approval in foreign countries varies and can take a number of years. A number of European and other economically advanced countries, including Italy, Norway, Spain and Sweden, have not developed regulatory agencies for intensive supervision of such devices. Instead, they generally have been willing to accept the approval of the FDA. Therefore, a premarketing approval, Section 510(k) or approved Investigational Device Exemption from the FDA is tantamount to approval in those countries. These countries and most developing countries have simply deferred direct discretion to licensed practicing surgeons to determine the nature of devices that they will use in medical procedures. The Company's two ultrasound surgical and diagnostic systems, the Photon(TM) laser cataract system it is developing and the ocular blood flow analyzer and the UBM biomicroscope are all devices which require FDA approval. Therefore, a significant aspect of the acceptance of the devices in the market is the Company's effectiveness in obtaining the necessary approvals. Having an approved Investigational Device Exemption allows the Company to export a product to qualified investigational sites.

20

Regulatory Status of Products

All of the Company's products, with the exception of the Photon(TM), are approved for sale in the U.S. by the FDA under a 510(k). All of the Company's products have been accepted for import into CE countries and various non-CE countries.

The Company acquired permission from the FDA to export the Photon(TM) laser cataract system outside the United States under an open Investigational Device Exemption granted by the FDA in September 1994. Although the Photon(TM) laser cataract system is uniquely configured in an original and proprietary manner, the laser system, a Nd:YAG laser, is not proprietary to the device or the Company and is widely used in the medical industry and other industries as well. Of particular significance is the fact that this particular component has received previous market clearance from the FDA for other ophthalmic and medical

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

applications. Also of significance is the Company's belief that the surgical treatment method used with the Photon(TM) laser is similar to the current ultrasound cataract treatment employed by ophthalmologists.

The Company submitted a Premarket Notification 510(k) application to the FDA for the Photon(TM) laser cataract system in September 1993. The FDA requested clinical support data for claims made in the 510(k), and in October 1994 the Company submitted an Investigational Device Exemption application to provide for a "modest clinical study" in order to collect the data required by the FDA for clearance of the Photon(TM) laser cataract system. The FDA granted this Investigational Device Exemption in May 1995 for a Phase I Feasibility Study. The Company began human clinical trials in April 1996 and completed the Phase I study in November 1997. The Company started Phase II trials in September 1998 and completed numerous cases of treatment group and control group patients, which were included in its submission to the FDA.

The Company received a warning letter dated August 30, 2000 from the Office of Compliance, Center for Devices and Radiological Health of the Food and Drug Administration relating to certain deficiencies in the human clinical trials for its Photon(TM) Laser Cataract System. The warning letter concerned the conditions found by the FDA during several audits at its clinical sites. The FDA's comments were isolated to the administrative procedures of compiling data from the clinical sites. The Company responded to the warning letter in a submission dated September 27, 2000. In the submission the Company took corrective action that included submitting a revised clinical protocol and case report forms and procedures for the collection and control of data. In a subsequent letter dated November 2, 2000 to the Company, the FDA granted conditional approval provided that the Company correct certain deficiencies. After providing several additional submissions to the FDA, the Company received a letter dated February 13, 2001 from the FDA stating that the deficiencies had been corrected and the clinical trials could continue.

Subsequent to the warning letter, the Company received approval to continue its clinical trials, the results of which were included in its supplemental submission to the FDA in October 2001 for the existing (510)(k) predicate device application for the Photon(TM) laser system. In December 2001, the Company received a preliminary review from the FDA regarding the supplemental submission. As a result of that preliminary review, the Company submitted additional clinical information to the FDA on February 6, 2002. The application is receiving ongoing review by the FDA. On May 7, 2002, the Company received a letter from the FDA requesting further clinical information. The Company has generated additional clinical information in response to the letter and is uncertain if the Company will make a submission to the FDA with the additional clinical information. Because of the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. Its diagnostic products are currently its major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development prospects have been put on hold pending future evaluation until the Company's financial position improves. Its focus is not on any specific diagnostic product or products, but rather on its entire group of diagnostic products.

Employees

As of March 31, 2008, the Company had 24 full-time employees. This number does not include its manufacturer's representatives who are independent contractors rather than its employees. The Company also utilizes several consultants and advisors. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in recruiting or retaining key personnel. None of its employees are a member of a labor union and the Company has never experienced any business interruption as a result of any labor disputes.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

In December 2001 the Company initiated the first phase of a corporate downsizing program to reduce its operating expenses. The Company implemented the second phase of its downsizing program in the second quarter of 2002, by closing and transferring its manufacturing from its site in San Diego, California to Salt Lake City, resulting in further reductions in operating expenses. As a result of the downsizing program and some resignations, the number of its employees has been reduced by 72% from 112 to 22 employees. The estimated cost savings from the downsizing program will be in excess of \$2,000,000 annually. The costs of downsizing have included onetime expenses of approximately \$43,000 for moving and travel. In addition, the Company incurred additional onetime expenses of approximately \$18,000 for housing accommodations for key employees working in Salt Lake City. The Company realized a net cost savings from downsizing of approximately \$2,394,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2002.

21

Item 2. Description of Property

The Company's corporate offices are currently located at 2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah. This facility consists of 16,926 square feet of leased office and warehouse space. These facilities are leased from Eden Roc, a California partnership, at a base monthly rate of \$7,109, plus a \$1,690 monthly common area maintenance fee. In January 2003, the Company renegotiated a three-year lease with Eden Roc at a monthly rate of \$9,295, plus a \$1,859 common area maintenance fee for the year 2003, with the rate increased to \$11,433 (including a \$1,859 common area maintenance fee) for 2004 and to \$11,720 (including a \$1,859 common area maintenance fee) for 2005. Pursuant to the lease, the Company pays all real estate and personal property taxes and the insurance costs on the premises. The lease expired on December 31, 2005. Since January 1, 2006, the Company has leased 16,926 square feet of space in the facility on a month to month basis at a monthly rate of \$7,109 plus a \$1,690 common area maintenance fee.

The Company believes that these facilities are adequate and satisfy its needs for the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

An action was brought against the Company on September 11, 2000 by PhotoMed International, Inc. and Daniel M. Eichenbaum, M.D. in the Third District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah. The action involves an amount of royalties that are allegedly due and owing to PhotoMed International, Inc. and Dr. Eichenbaum under a license agreement dated July 7, 1993, with respect to the sale of certain equipment, plus costs and attorney's fees. Certain discovery has taken place and the Company has paid royalties of \$15,717, which the Company believes brings all payments current as of the date of last payment on January 7, 2005. The Company has been working with PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum to ensure that the calculations have been correctly made on the royalties paid as well as the proper method of calculation for the future.

It is anticipated that once the parties can agree on the correct calculations on the royalties, the legal action will be dismissed. An issue in dispute concerning the method of calculating royalties is whether royalties should be paid on returned equipment. Since July 1, 2001, only one Photon(TM) laser system has been sold and no systems returned. Thus, the amount of royalties due, according to the Company's calculations, is \$981. The Company made payment of this amount to Photomed and Dr. Eichenbaum on January 5, 2005 and, as a result, seeks to have the legal action dismissed. However, if the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

parties are unable to agree on a method for calculating royalties, there is a risk that PhotoMed and Dr. Eichenbaum might amend their complaint to request termination of the license agreement and, if successful, the Company would lose its right to manufacture and sell the Photon(TM) laser system.

An action was filed on June 20, 2003, in the Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah (Civil No. 030914195) by CitiCorp Vendor Finance, Inc., formerly known as Copelco Capital, Inc. The complaint claims that \$49,626 plus interest is due for the leasing of three copy machines that were delivered to the Company's Salt Lake City facilities on or about April of 2000. The action also seeks an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in the collection. The Company filed an answer to the complaint disputing the amounts allegedly owed due to machine problems and a claimed understanding with the vendor. The Company returned two of the machines. The Company was engaged in settlement discussions with CitiCorp until counsel for CitiCorp withdrew from the case. New counsel for CitiCorp was appointed. After an initial meeting with new counsel, the Company provided initial disclosures to the new counsel.

On December 27, 2007, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Larry Hicks to settle the lawsuit Mr. Hicks brought against the Company for payments due under a consulting agreement with the Company in the Third Judicial District Court, Salt Lake County, State of Utah (Civil No. 030922220). Under the terms of the agreement, the Company agrees to pay Mr. Hicks a total of \$20,000, of which \$10,000 has been paid. The remaining amount owing of \$10,000 is to be paid in quarterly installments of \$2,500 each prior to the end of the next four consecutive quarters, with the next payment due on or before June 30, 2008.

The Company is not a party to any other material legal proceedings outside the ordinary course of its business or to any other legal proceedings, which, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of the Company's shareholders during the quarter ended December 31, 2006.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

The Company's authorized capital stock consists of 800,000,000 shares of common stock, \$.001 par value per share, and 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.001 par value per share. The Company has created seven classes of

22

preferred stock, designated as Series A preferred stock, Series B preferred stock, Series C preferred stock, Series D preferred stock, Series E preferred stock, Series F preferred stock and Series G preferred stock.

The Company's common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol of "PMED.OB." Prior to July 22, 1996, there was no public market for the common stock. From July 22, 1996 to June 25, 2003, the Company's common stock was listed on the Nasdaq SmallCap Market. Since June 25, 2003, the common stock has traded on the OTC Bulletin Board. As of March 31, 2008, the closing sale prices of the common stock was \$.001 per share. The following are the high and low sale prices for the common stock by quarter as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board since January 1, 2004.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Period (Calendar Year)	Common Stock Price Range	
	High	Low
	----	---
2005		
First Quarter10	.08
Second Quarter09	.07
Third Quarter.....	.10	.001
Fourth Quarter.....	.048	.001
2006		
First Quarter047	.001
Second Quarter014	.006
Third Quarter.....	.007	.004
Fourth Quarter.....	.005	.003
2007		
First Quarter032	.003
Second Quarter014	.006
Third Quarter.....	.007	.004
Fourth Quarter.....	.005	.003
2008		
First Quarter0023	.0008

The Company's Series A preferred stock, Series B preferred stock, Series C preferred stock, Series D preferred stock, Series E preferred stock, Series F preferred stock and Series G preferred stock are not publicly traded. As of March 31, 2008, there were 4,781 record holders of common stock, six record holders of Series A preferred stock, four record holders of Series B preferred stock, no record holders of Series C preferred stock, one record holder of Series D preferred stock, one record holder of Series E preferred stock, 18 record holders of Series F preferred stock, and one record holder of Series G preferred stock.

The Company has never paid any cash dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any cash dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. The Company must pay cash dividends to holders of its Series A preferred, Series B preferred, Series C preferred, Series D preferred stock, Series E preferred, Series F preferred stock and Series G preferred stock before it can pay any cash dividend to holders of its common stock. Dividends paid in cash pursuant to outstanding shares of its Series A, Series B, Series C, Series D, Series E, Series F and Series G preferred stock are only payable from its surplus earnings, and are noncumulative and therefore, no deficiencies in dividend payments from one year will be carried forward to the next.

The Company currently intends to retain future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of its proposed business and operations. Any payment of cash dividends in the future on the common stock will be dependent upon its financial condition, results of operations, current and anticipated cash requirements, plans for expansion, restrictions, if any, under any debt obligations, as well as other factors that its board of directors deems relevant. The Company issued 6,764 shares of its Series A preferred and 6,017 shares of its Series B preferred on January 8, 1996 as a stock dividend to Series A and Series B preferred shareholders of record as of December 31, 1994.

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation

This report contains forward-looking statements and information relating to the Company that is based on beliefs of management as well as

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

assumptions made by, and information currently available to management. These statements reflect its current view respecting future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including the risks and uncertainties noted throughout the document. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could cause the actual results to differ materially, there may be other factors that cause the forward-looking statements not to come true as anticipated, believed, projected, expected or intended. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may differ materially from those described herein as anticipated, believed, projected, estimated, expected or intended.

Critical Accounting Policies

Revenue Recognition. The Company recognizes revenue in compliance with Staff Accounting Bulletin 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements (SAB 101), as revised by Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, Revenue Recognition (SAB 104). SAB 101 and SAB 104 detail four criteria that must exist before revenue is recognized:

1. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. Prior to shipment of product, the Company required a signed purchase order and, depending upon the customer, a down payment toward the final invoiced price or full payment in advance with certain international product distributors.

2. Delivery and performance have occurred. Unless the purchase order requires specific installation or customer acceptance, the Company recognizes revenue when the product ships. If the purchase order requires specific installation or customer acceptance, the Company recognizes revenue when such installation or acceptance has occurred. Title to the product passes to its customer upon shipment. This revenue recognition policy does not differ among its various different product lines. The Company guarantees the functionality of its product. If its product does not function as marketed when received by the customer, the Company either makes the necessary repairs on site or has the product shipped to the Company for the repair work. Once the product has been repaired and retested for functionality, it is reshipped to the customer. The Company provides warranties that generally extend for one year from the date of sale. Such warranties cover the necessary parts and labor to repair the product as well as any shipping costs that may be required. The Company maintains a reserve for estimated warranty costs based on its historical experience and management's current expectations.

3. The sales price is fixed or determinable. The purchase order received from the customer includes the agreed upon sales price. The Company does not accept customer orders, and therefore does not recognize revenue, until the sales price is fixed.

4. Collectibility is reasonably assured. With limited exceptions, the Company requires down payments on product prior to shipment. In some cases the Company requires payment in full prior to shipment. The Company also performs credit checks on new customers and ongoing credit checks on existing customers. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based on historical experience and management's current expectations.

Recoverability of Inventory. Since its inception, the Company has purchased several complete lines of inventory. In some circumstances the Company has been able to utilize certain items acquired and others remain unused. On a quarterly basis, the Company attempts to identify inventory items that have shown relatively no movement or very slow movement. Generally, if an item has shown little or no movement for over a year, it is determined not to be recoverable and a reserve is established for that item. In addition, if the Company identifies products that have become obsolete due to product upgrades or

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

enhancements, a reserve is established for such products. The Company intends to make efforts to sell these items at significantly discounted prices. If items are sold, the cash received would be recorded as revenue, but there would be no cost of sales on such items due to the reserve that has been recorded. At the time of sale, the inventory would be reduced for the item sold and the corresponding inventory reserve would also be reduced.

Recoverability of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The Company's intangible assets consist of goodwill, product and technology rights, engineering and design costs, and patent costs. Intangibles with a determined life are amortized on a straight-line basis over their determined useful life and are also evaluated for potential impairment if events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Intangibles with an indefinite life, such as goodwill, are not amortized but are tested for impairment on an annual basis or when events and circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. Impairment tests include comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying net book value, including goodwill. To date, the Company's determination of the fair value of the reporting unit has been based on the estimated future cash flows of that reporting unit.

24

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. The Company records an allowance for doubtful accounts to offset estimated uncollectible accounts receivable. Bad debt expense associated with the increases in the allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded as part of general and administrative expense. The Company's accounting policy generally is to record an allowance for receivables over 90 days past due unless there is significant evidence to support that the receivable is collectible.

General

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements, which involve risks and uncertainty. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors discussed in this section. The Company's fiscal year is from January 1 through December 31.

The Company is engaged in the design, development, manufacture and sale of high technology diagnostic and surgical eye care products. Given the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow. As seen in the results for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, diagnostic products have been the major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the Company's financial position improves. The Company does not focus on a specific diagnostic product or products but, instead, on this entire diagnostic product group.

During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company recorded an increase in the warranty accrual of \$72,000. This increase was a result of a comprehensive analysis by management regarding historical warranty costs. Historically, the Company has recorded a monthly warranty expense and related increase to the warranty accrual. However, in recent periods the usage of the warranty accrual has continued to increase. After reviewing the recent historical data, management determined that the warranty accrual should be increased by \$72,000 to \$227,000. Management will continue to closely monitor the warranty accrual usage to ensure that the proper amount has been accrued.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

During the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, management made certain adjustments to the financial statements, including a decrease in the reserve for obsolete or estimated non-recoverable inventory of \$1,076,000. The Company also recorded a net increase in the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable of \$37,000, impairment of intangibles of \$-0-, and decreases in accruals to settle outstanding disputes in the amount of \$91,000.

The Company's ultrasound diagnostic products include a P55 pachymetric analyzer, a P2200 pachymetric analyzer, a P2000 Ultrasound A-Scan biometric analyzer, a P2500 combination A/Scan and Pachymeter, a P37 Ultrasound A/B Scan, a P37-II Ultrasound A/B Scan, a P2700 Ultrasound A/B Scan, a P3700 Ultrasound A/B Scan, a P40 Ultrasound Biomicroscope, a P45 Plus Ultrasound Biomicroscope, and a P60 Ultrasound Biomicroscope, the technology for which was acquired from Humphrey Systems in 1998. The Company introduced the P45 Plus in the fall of 2000, which combines the A/B Scan, and the biomicroscope into one instrument. The Company introduced the P60 in March 2005, which represents the fourth generation of UBM devices and has better visual clarity and image flexibility than earlier versions. In addition, the Company markets its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) acquired in the purchase of Ocular Blood Flow Ltd. in June 2000. Other diagnostic products are the Dicon(TM) LD400 Auto Perimeter and the Dicon (TM) CT 200e Corneal Topographer, which were acquired in the acquisition of Vismed d/b/a Dicon in June 2000.

Because of the "going concern" status of the Company, management has focused efforts on those products and activities that will, in its opinion, achieve the most resource efficient short-term cash flow to the Company. As reflected in the results for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, diagnostic products are currently the Company's major focus and the Photon(TM) and other extensive research and development projects have been put on hold pending future evaluation when the financial position of the Company improves. Due to the lack of current evidence to support recoverability, the Company has recorded an inventory reserve to offset the inventory associated with the Precisionist Thirty Thousand(TM) and the Photon(TM) as well as certain other inventory items that are estimated to be non-recoverable due to the lack of significant turnover of such items in recent periods.

25

Activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 included sales of the Company's products and related accessories and disposable products. Raymond P.L. Cannefax was named President and Chief Executive Officer on January 5, 2006. On March 20, 2006, the Company named Luis A. Mostacero as Vice President of Finance. Mr. Mostacero previously served as the Company's Controller from June 2000 to August 2005. On January 8, 2008, Mr. Mostacero was also appointed as Chief Financial Officer. On October 11, 2006, Christina O'Connor was appointed as Vice President of International Sales and Julio C. Maximo as Vice President of Operations. On April 10, 2006, the Company named Michael S. Austin as Vice President of Sales and Marketing. On November 28, 2006, Mr. Austin resigned from the Company. On January 4, 2007, Alfred B. Franklin was appointed as Vice President of Domestic Sales. Mr. Franklin resigned on May 10, 2007, to pursue other opportunities. On May 10, 2007, Stephen L. Davis was appointed as Vice President of Domestic Sales and Marketing. Mr. Davis resigned on February 14, 2008, to pursue other opportunities.

On May 7, 2002, the Company received a letter from the FDA requesting further clinical information regarding the Photon(TM). The Company is in the process of generating the additional clinical information in response to the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

letter. The Company cannot market or sell the Photon(TM) in the United States until FDA approval is granted. On November 4, 2002, the Company received FDA approval for expanded indications of use of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) for pulsatile ocular blood flow, volume and pulsatility equivalence index. Also, the Company is continuing its efforts to educate the payors of Medicare claims throughout the country about the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), its purposes and the significance of its performance in patient care in order to achieve reimbursements to the providers. These efforts should lead to a more positive effect on sales.

In April 2001, the Company received written authorization from the CPT Editorial Research and Development Department of the American Medical Association to use a common procedure terminology or CPT code number 92120 for its Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), for reimbursement purposes for doctors using the device. However, certain insurance payors have elected not to reimburse doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Company believes the reasons why insurance payors initially elected not to reimburse doctors using the CPT code were the relatively high volume of claims that began to be submitted under CPT code number 92120 compared to the limited volume of claims previously submitted under this code, and the time consumed by the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) test, which some payors may have believed was less than what is allowed under CPT code number 92120. This trend began shortly after insurance payors were presented with reimbursement requests under this code, and the Company believes these reasons were the basis for the initiation of nonpayment.

The impact of this nonpayment by certain payors on the Company's future operations is a lower volume of sales, particularly in those states where reimbursement is not yet approved or is delayed. Currently, there is reimbursement by insurance payors in 20 states and partial reimbursement in six other states. As insurance payors have the prerogative whether to provide reimbursement to doctors using the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM), the Company is continuing to work with insurance payors in states where there is no reimbursement to doctors using the CPT code to demonstrate the value of the instrument. However, some insurance payors are currently not providing reimbursement to doctors where a regional or state administrator of Medicare has elected not to provide Medicare coverage for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM). The Company is continuing to work with the regional and state administrators of Medicare who have denied Medicare coverage for the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) to demonstrate the value of the instrument.

There were a number of factors that contributed to the decrease in sales of the Company's diagnostic products. The U.S. recessionary economic trend has impacted the Company's domestic sales. Additionally, the Company restructured its sales organization and sales channels by decreasing its direct sales force who are full-time employees to five direct sales employees and one independent sales organization as of December 31, 2007. The dependent sales force has been reduced because the Company does not have sufficient revenues to justify a larger direct sales force. One of the challenges for fiscal 2008 will be the judicious reestablishment of the sales force in anticipation of increased sales.

The Company intends to increase its efforts to sell its diagnostic products through independent sales representatives and ophthalmic equipment distributors, which are paid commissions only for their sales. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had 24 ophthalmic and medical product distributors outside the United States. The Company hopes to benefit from these recently hired sales representatives and distributors in the United States as they gain familiarity, through training, of the Company's diagnostic products.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Outstanding Commitments to Issue Shares

The following table identifies our outstanding commitments to issue shares, including the shares underlying the convertible notes and warrants issuable upon conversion of the notes and exercise of the warrants:

Security	Underlying Shares of Common Stock
Notes (1)	3,637,280,000
Warrants (2)	54,034,392
Preferred Stock (3)	862,404
Stock Options (4)	11,500,000

Total	3,703,676,796

- (1) Assumes full conversion of \$3,928,262 of notes issued to AJW Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLC at a conversion price of \$.00108 per share (based upon a market price of \$.0018 as of January 14, 2008 with a 40% discount).
- (2) Consisting of warrants exercisable at prices ranging from \$.001 per share to \$6.75 per share, including warrants issued to AJW Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLC to purchase 16,534,392 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.20 per share, exercisable through the period from April 27, 2010 to June 30, 2010, and warrants to purchase 12,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercisable price of \$.10 per share, exercisable through the period from February 28, 2011 to April 20, 2012, warrants to purchase 10,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.005 per share, exercisable through June 11, 2012, and warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$.001 per share, exercisable through December 24, 2012.
- (3) Consisting of 6,753 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 5,627 shares of Series A preferred stock, 10,783 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 8,986 shares of Series B preferred stock, 8,750 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 5,000 shares of Series D preferred stock, 13,333 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 250 shares of Series E preferred stock, 234,550 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 4,398.75 shares of Series F preferred stock, and 588,235 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of 588,235 shares of Series G preferred stock.
- (4) Consisting of stock options granted to executive officers and employees to purchase 9,250,000 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$.01 per share to \$2.75 per share, and stock options granted to directors to purchase 2,250,000 shares of common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$.01 per share to \$2.75 per share.

There are a total of 3,703,701,796 shares underlying our convertible notes, warrants, preferred stock and stock options, assuming full conversion of the outstanding notes and preferred stock and the exercise of all the outstanding warrants and stock options. The number of our authorized shares of common stock is 1,400,000,000 shares. The large number of our shares of common stock underlying our notes, warrants, preferred stock and stock options will require us to increase the number of authorized shares. Failure to obtain stockholder approval to increase the number of authorized shares could result in the noteholders commencing legal action against us and foreclosing on all of our assets to recover damages. Any such action would require us to curtail or cease our operations.

Convertible Notes

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

April 27, 2005 Sale of \$2,500,000 in Convertible Notes. To obtain funding for the Company's ongoing operations, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement with four accredited investors on April 27, 2005 for the sale of (i) \$2,500,000 in convertible notes and (ii) warrants to purchase 16,534,392 shares of its common stock. The sale of the convertible notes and warrants is to occur in three tranches and the investors provided the Company with an aggregate of \$2,500,000 as follows:

27

- o \$850,000 was disbursed on April 27, 2005;
- o \$800,000 was disbursed on June 23, 2005 after the Company filed a registration statement on June 22, 2005 to register the shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes and exercise of warrants; and
- o \$850,000 was disbursed on June 30, 2005, the effective date of the registration statement.

Under the terms of the securities purchase agreement, the Company agreed it would not, without the prior written consent of a majority-in-interest of the investors, negotiate or contract with any party to obtain additional equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) that involves (i) the issuance of common stock at a discount to the market price of the common stock on the date of issuance (taking into account the value of any warrants or options to acquire common stock in connection therewith), (ii) the issuance of convertible securities that are convertible into an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, or (iii) the issuance of warrants during the lock-up period beginning April 27, 2005 and ending on the later of (a) 270 days from April 27, 2005, or (b) 180 days from the date the registration statement is declared effective.

In addition, the Company agreed not to conduct any equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) during the period beginning April 27, 2005 and ending two years after the end of the above lock-up period unless it first provided each investor an option to purchase its pro rata share (based on the ratio of each investor's purchase under the securities purchase agreement) of the securities being offered in any proposed equity financing. Each investor must be provided written notice describing any proposed equity financing at least 20 business days prior to the closing of such proposed equity financing and the option must be extended to each investor during the 15-day period following delivery of such notice.

The \$2,500,000 in convertible notes bear interest at 8% per annum from the date of issuance. Interest is computed on the basis of a 365-day year and is payable quarterly in cash, with six months of interest payable up front. The interest rate resets to zero percent for any month in which the stock price is greater than 125% of the initial market price, or \$.0945, for each trading day during that month. Any amount of principal or interest on the convertible notes that is not paid when due will bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum from the date due thereof until such amount is paid. The notes mature in three years from the date of issuance, and are convertible into the Company's common stock at the noteholders' option, at the lower of (i) \$.09 or (ii) 60% of the average of the three lowest intraday trading prices for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board for the 20 trading days before but not including the conversion date. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the notes may be converted.

The convertible notes are secured by the Company's assets, including the Company's inventory, accounts receivable and intellectual property.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Moreover, the Company has a call option under the terms of the notes. The call option provides the Company with the right to prepay all of the outstanding convertible notes at any time, provided there is no event of default by the Company and the Company's stock is trading at or below \$.09 per share. An event of default includes the failure by the Company to pay the principal or interest on the notes when due or to timely file a registration statement as required by the Company or obtain effectiveness with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the registration statement. Prepayment of the notes is to be made in cash equal to either (a) 125% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring within 30 days following the issue date of the notes; (b) 130% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring between 31 and 60 days following the issue date of the notes; or (c) 145% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring after the 60th day following the issue date of the notes.

The warrants are exercisable until five years from the date of issuance at a purchase price of \$.20 per share. The investors may exercise the warrants on a cashless basis if the shares of common stock underlying the warrants are not registered pursuant to an effective registration statement. In the event the investors exercise the warrants on a cashless basis, the Company will not receive any proceeds therefrom. In addition, the exercise price of the warrants will be adjusted in the event the Company issues common stock at a price below market, with the exception of any securities issued as of the date of the warrants or issued in connection with the callable secured convertible notes issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement.

The noteholders have agreed to restrict their ability to convert their convertible notes or exercise their warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. However, the noteholders may repeatedly sell shares of common stock in order to reduce their ownership percentage, and subsequently convert additional convertible notes.

28

February 28, 2006 Sale of \$1,500,000 in Convertible Notes. To obtain additional funding for the Company's ongoing operations, the Company entered into a second securities purchase agreement on February 28, 2006 with the same four accredited investors for the sale of (i) \$1,500,000 in convertible notes and (ii) warrants to purchase 12,000,000 shares of its common stock. The sale of the convertible notes and warrants is to occur in three tranches and the investors are obligated to provide the Company with an aggregate of \$1,500,000 as follows:

- o \$500,000 was disbursed on February 28, 2006;
- o \$500,000 was disbursed on June 28, 2006 after the Company filed a registration statement on June 15, 2006 to register the shares of common stock underlying the convertible notes. The registration statement was subsequently withdrawn on July 25, 2006 and a new registration statement was filed on September 15, 2006 to register 60,000,000 shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the notes.
- o \$500,000 was disbursed on April 30, 2007, the day prior to the effective date of the registration statement on May 1, 2007.

Under the terms of the securities purchase agreement, the Company also agreed it would not, without the prior written consent of a majority-in-interest of the investors, negotiate or contract with any party to obtain additional

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) that involves (i) the issuance of common stock at a discount to the market price of the common stock on the date of issuance (taking into account the value of any warrants or options to acquire common stock in connection therewith), (ii) the issuance of convertible securities that are convertible into an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, or (iii) the issuance of warrants during the lock-up period beginning February 28, 2006 and ending on the later of (a) 270 days from February 28, 2006, or (b) 180 days from the date the registration statement is declared effective.

In addition, the Company agreed not to conduct any equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) during the period beginning February 28, 2006 and ending two years after the end of the above lock-up period unless it first provided each investor an option to purchase its pro rata share (based on the ratio of each investor's purchase under the securities purchase agreement) of the securities being offered in any proposed equity financing. Each investor must be provided written notice describing any proposed equity financing at least 20 business days prior to the closing of such proposed equity financing and the option must be extended to each investor during the 15-day period following delivery of such notice.

The \$1,500,000 in convertible notes bear interest at 8% per annum from the date of issuance. Interest is computed on the basis of a 365-day year and is payable quarterly in cash, with six months of interest payable up front. The interest rate resets to zero percent for any month in which the stock price is greater than 125% of the initial market price, or \$.0275, for each trading day during that month. Any amount of principal or interest on the convertible notes that is not paid when due will bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum from the date due thereof until such amount is paid. The notes mature in three years from the date of issuance, and are convertible into the Company's common stock at the noteholders' option, at the lower of (i) \$.02 or (ii) 60% of the average of the three lowest intraday trading prices for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board for the 20 trading days before but not including the conversion date. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the notes may be converted.

The convertible notes are secured by the Company's assets, including the Company's inventory, accounts receivable and intellectual property. Moreover, the Company has a call option under the terms of the notes. The call option provides the Company with the right to prepay all of the outstanding convertible notes at any time, provided there is no event of default by the Company and the Company's stock is trading at or below \$.02 per share. An event of default includes the failure by the Company to pay the principal or interest on the notes when due or to timely file a registration statement as required by the Company or obtain effectiveness with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the registration statement. Prepayment of the notes is to be made in cash equal to either (a) 125% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring within 30 days following the issue date of the notes; (b) 130% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring between 31 and 60 days following the issue date of the notes; or (c) 145% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring after the 60th day following the issue date of the notes.

The warrants are exercisable until five years from the date of issuance at a purchase price of \$.10 per share. The investors may exercise the warrants on a cashless basis if the shares of common stock underlying the warrants are not registered pursuant to an effective registration statement. In the event the investors exercise the warrants on a cashless basis, then the Company will not

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

receive any proceeds therefrom. In addition, the exercise price of the warrants will be adjusted in the event the Company issues common stock at a price below market, with the exception of any securities issued as of the date of the warrants or issued in connection with the notes issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement.

The noteholders have agreed to restrict their ability to convert their callable secured convertible notes or exercise their warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. However, the noteholders may repeatedly sell shares of common stock in order to reduce their ownership percentage, and subsequently convert additional convertible notes.

June 11, 2007 Sale of \$500,000 in Callable Secured Convertible Notes: To obtain further funding for the Company's ongoing operations, the Company entered into a third securities purchase agreement on June 11, 2007 with the same four accredited investors for the sale of (i) \$500,000 in callable secured convertible notes and (ii) warrants to purchase 10,000,000 shares of its common stock. The investors disbursed \$500,000 to the Company on June 11, 2007.

Under the terms of the June 11, 2007 securities purchase agreement, the Company agreed that it would not, without the prior written consent of a majority-in-interest of the investors, negotiate or contract with any party to obtain additional equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) that involves (i) the issuance of common stock at a discount to the market price of the common stock on the date of issuance (taking into account the value of any warrants or options to acquire common stock in connection therewith), (ii) the issuance of convertible securities that are convertible into an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, or (iii) the issuance of warrants during the lock-up period beginning June 11, 2007 and ending on the later of (a) 270 days from June 11, 2007, or (b) 180 days from the date the registration statement is declared effective.

In addition, the Company agreed not to conduct any equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) during the period beginning June 11, 2007 and ending two years after the end of the above lock-up period unless it first provided each investor an option to purchase its pro-rata share (based on the ratio of each investor's purchase under the securities purchase agreement) of the securities being offered in any proposed equity financing. Each investor must be provided written notice describing any proposed equity financing at least 20 business days prior to the closing of such proposed equity financing and the option must be extended to each investor during the 15-day period following delivery of such notice.

The \$500,000 in convertible notes bear interest at 8% per annum from the date of issuance. Interest is computed on the basis of a 365-day year and is payable quarterly in cash, with six months of interest payable up front. The interest rate resets to zero percent for any month in which the stock price is greater than 125% of the initial market price, or \$.0275, for each trading day during that month. Any amount of principal or interest on the callable secured convertible notes that is not paid when due will bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum from the date due thereof until such amount is paid. The convertible notes mature in three years from the date of issuance, and are convertible into the Company's common stock at the noteholders' option, at the lower of (i) \$.02 or (ii) 50% of the average of the three lowest intraday trading prices for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board for the 20 trading days before but not including the conversion date. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the notes may be converted.

The \$500,000 in convertible notes are secured by the Company's assets,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

including the Company's inventory, accounts receivable and intellectual property. Moreover, the Company has a call option under the terms of the notes. The call option provides the Company with the right to prepay all of the outstanding convertible notes at any time, provided there is no event of default by the Company and its stock is trading at or below \$.10 per share. An event of default includes the failure by the Company to pay the principal or interest on the convertible notes when due or to timely file a registration statement as required by the Company or obtain effectiveness with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the registration statement. Prepayment of the convertible notes is to be made in cash equal to either (a) 125% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring within 30 days following the issue date of the notes; (b) 130% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring between 31 and 60 days following the issue date of the notes; or (c) 145% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring after the 60th day following the issue date of the notes.

30

The warrants are exercisable until seven years from the date of issuance at a purchase price of \$.005 per share. The investors may exercise the warrants on a cashless basis if the shares of common stock underlying the warrants are not then registered pursuant to an effective registration statement. In the event the investors exercise the warrants on a cashless basis, the Company will not receive any proceeds therefrom. In addition, the exercise price of the warrants will be adjusted in the event the Company issues common stock at a price below market, with the exception of any securities issued as of the date of the warrants or issued in connection with the convertible notes issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement.

The noteholders have agreed to restrict their ability to convert their convertible notes or exercise their warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. However, the noteholders may repeatedly sell shares of common stock in order to reduce their ownership percentage, and subsequently convert additional convertible notes, provided, however, that such conversions do not exceed \$75,000 per calendar month, or the average daily dollar volume calculated during the ten business days prior to conversion multiplied by the number of trading days of that calendar month, per calendar month.

The Company is required to register the shares of its common stock issuable upon the conversion of the convertible notes and the exercise of the warrants that were issued to the noteholders pursuant to the securities purchase agreement the Company entered in to on June 11, 2007. The registration statement must be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 60 days of the June 11, 2007 closing date and the effectiveness of the registration is to be within 135 days of such closing date. Penalties of 2% of the outstanding principal balance of the convertible notes plus accrued interest are to be applied for each month the registration is not effective within the required time. The penalty may be paid in cash or stock at the Company's option.

December 19, 2007 Issuance of \$389,010 in Callable Convertible Notes: On December 19, 2007, the Company was notified by the holders of the convertible notes that there was a past due interest owing on the outstanding convertible notes. The total amount of interest owed was \$389,010. To pay this interest, the noteholders were willing to accept \$389,010 in additional convertible notes due on December 31, 2010. Accordingly, on December 19, 2007, the Company issued

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

\$389,010 in convertible notes to the noteholders as full payment of the past due interest.

The \$389,010 in convertible notes bear interest at 2% per annum from December 31, 2007. Interest is computed on the basis of a 365-day year and is payable quarterly in cash, with six months of interest payable up front. The interest rate resets to zero percent for any month in which the stock price is greater than 125% of the initial market price, or \$.0275, for each trading day during that month. Any amount of principal or interest on the callable secured convertible notes that is not paid when due will bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum from the date due thereof until such amount is paid. The convertible notes mature on December 31, 2010, and are convertible into the Company's common stock at the noteholders' option, at the lower of (i) \$.02 or (ii) 50% of the average of the three lowest intraday trading prices for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board for the 20 trading days before but not including the conversion date. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the notes may be converted.

The \$389,010 in convertible notes have a call option under the terms of the notes. The call option provides the Company with the right to prepay all of the outstanding convertible notes at any time, provided there is no event of default by the Company and its stock is trading at or below \$.04 per share. An event of default includes the failure by the Company to pay the principal or interest on the convertible notes when due. Prepayment of the convertible notes is to be made in cash equal to either (a) 135% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring within 30 days following the issue date of the notes; (b) 145% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring between 31 and 60 days following the issue date of the notes; or (c) 150% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring after the 60th day following the issue date of the notes.

31

The noteholders have agreed to restrict their ability to convert their convertible notes and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion does not exceed 4.9% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. However, the noteholders may repeatedly sell shares of common stock in order to reduce their ownership percentage, and subsequently convert additional convertible notes, provided, however, that such conversions do not exceed the average daily dollar volume calculated during the ten business days prior to conversion multiplied by the number of trading days of that calendar month, per calendar month.

December 24, 2007 Sale of \$250,000 in Callable Secured Convertible Notes: To obtain further funding for the Company's ongoing operations, the Company entered into a fourth securities purchase agreement on December 24, 2007 with the same four accredited investors for the sale of (i) \$250,000 in callable secured convertible notes and (ii) warrants to purchase 15,000,000 shares of its common stock. The investors disbursed \$250,000 to the Company on December 24, 2007.

Under the terms of the December 24, 2007 securities purchase agreement, the Company agreed that it would not, without the prior written consent of a majority-in-interest of the investors, negotiate or contract with any party to obtain additional equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) that involves (i) the issuance of common stock at a discount to the market price of the common stock on the date of issuance (taking into account the value of any warrants or options to acquire common stock in connection

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

therewith), (ii) the issuance of convertible securities that are convertible into an indeterminate number of shares of common stock, or (iii) the issuance of warrants during the lock-up period beginning December 24, 2007 and ending on the later of (a) 270 days from December 24, 2007, or (b) 180 days from the date the registration statement is declared effective.

In addition, the Company agreed not to conduct any equity financing (including debt financing with an equity component) during the period beginning December 24, 2007 and ending two years after the end of the above lock-up period unless it first provided each investor an option to purchase its pro-rata share (based on the ratio of each investor's purchase under the securities purchase agreement) of the securities being offered in any proposed equity financing. Each investor must be provided written notice describing any proposed equity financing at least 20 business days prior to the closing of such proposed equity financing and the option must be extended to each investor during the 15-day period following delivery of such notice.

The \$250,000 in convertible notes bear interest at 8% per annum from the date of issuance. Interest is computed on the basis of a 365-day year and is payable quarterly in cash, with six months of interest payable up front. The interest rate resets to zero percent for any month in which the stock price is greater than 125% of the initial market price, or \$.0275, for each trading day during that month. Any amount of principal or interest on the callable secured convertible notes that is not paid when due will bear interest at the rate of 15% per annum from the date due thereof until such amount is paid. The convertible notes mature in three years from the date of issuance, and are convertible into the Company's common stock at the noteholders' option, at the lower of (i) \$.02 or (ii) 50% of the average of the three lowest intraday trading prices for the common stock on the OTC Bulletin Board for the 20 trading days before but not including the conversion date. Accordingly, there is no limit on the number of shares into which the notes may be converted.

The \$250,000 in convertible notes are secured by the Company's assets, including the Company's inventory, accounts receivable and intellectual property. Moreover, the Company has a call option under the terms of the notes. The call option provides the Company with the right to prepay all of the outstanding convertible notes at any time, provided there is no event of default by the Company and its stock is trading at or below \$.10 per share. An event of default includes the failure by the Company to pay the principal or interest on the convertible notes when due or to timely file a registration statement as required by the Company or obtain effectiveness with the Securities and Exchange Commission of the registration statement. Prepayment of the convertible notes is to be made in cash equal to either (a) 125% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring within 30 days following the issue date of the notes; (b) 130% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring between 31 and 60 days following the issue date of the notes; or (c) 145% of the outstanding principal and accrued interest for prepayments occurring after the 60th day following the issue date of the notes.

32

The warrants are exercisable until seven years from the date of issuance at a purchase price of \$.001 per share. The investors may exercise the warrants on a cashless basis if the shares of common stock underlying the warrants are not then registered pursuant to an effective registration statement. In the event the investors exercise the warrants on a cashless basis, the Company will not receive any proceeds therefrom. In addition, the exercise price of the warrants will be adjusted in the event the Company issues common stock at a price below market, with the exception of any securities issued as of

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the date of the warrants or issued in connection with the convertible notes issued pursuant to the securities purchase agreement.

The noteholders have agreed to restrict their ability to convert their convertible notes or exercise their warrants and receive shares of the Company's common stock such that the number of shares of common stock held by them in the aggregate and their affiliates after such conversion or exercise does not exceed 4.99% of the then issued and outstanding shares of common stock. However, the noteholders may repeatedly sell shares of common stock in order to reduce their ownership percentage, and subsequently convert additional convertible notes, provided, however, that such conversions do not exceed \$75,000 per calendar month, or the average daily dollar volume calculated during the ten business days prior to conversion multiplied by the number of trading days of that calendar month, per calendar month.

The Company is required to register the shares of its common stock issuable upon the conversion of the convertible notes and the exercise of the warrants that were issued to the noteholders pursuant to the securities purchase agreement the Company entered in to on December 24, 2007. The registration statement must be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 60 days of the December 24, 2007 closing date and the effectiveness of the registration is to be within 135 days of such closing date. Penalties of 2% of the outstanding principal balance of the convertible notes plus accrued interest are to be applied for each month the registration is not effective within the required time. The penalty may be paid in cash or stock at the Company's option.

Simple Conversion Calculation

The number of shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the convertible notes issued on April 27, 2005, February 28, 2006, June 11, 2007, December 19, 2007, and December 24, 2007 is determined by dividing that portion of the principal of the notes to be converted and interest, if any, by the conversion price. For example, assuming conversion of \$3,928,262 principal amount of the convertible notes on December 31, 2007 (consisting of \$5,139,010 in convertible notes that were sold to the four investors pursuant to securities purchase agreements dated April 27, 2005, February 28, 2006, June 11, 2007, and December 24, 2007, plus \$389,010 in convertible notes issued on December 19, 2007 in payment of past due interest on the notes, less \$1,210,748 in notes converted during the period from June 12, 2005 to December 31, 2007) and a conversion price of \$.0018 per share with a 40% discount, the number of shares issuable upon conversion would be:

$$\$3,928,262 / \$.0018 \times 60\% = 3,637,280,000 \text{ shares.}$$

The Company's obligation to issue shares upon conversion of the convertible notes issued on April 27, 2005, February 28, 2006, June 11, 2007, December 19, 2007, and December 24, 2007 is essentially limitless. The following is an example of the amount of shares of common stock that are issuable upon conversion of \$3,928,262 principal amount of the convertible notes (including accrued interest), based on market prices 25%, 50%, and 75% below the market price, as of January 14, 2008 of \$.0018 with a 40% discount:

% Below Market	Price Per Share	With 40% Discount	Number of Shares Issuable	% of Outstanding Shares*
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
25%	\$.00135	\$.00081	4,849,706,000	712%
50%	\$.0009	\$.00054	7,274,559,000	10,682%
75%	\$.00045	\$.00027	14,594,118,000	21,365%

*Based on 680,984,307 shares outstanding.

As illustrated, the number of shares of common stock issuable upon

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

conversion of the Company's callable secured convertible notes will increase if the market price of the Company's common stock declines, which will cause dilution to existing stockholders.

33

Adjustable Conversion Price of Convertible Notes

The callable secured convertible notes are convertible into shares of the Company's common stock at a 40% discount to the trading price of the common stock prior to the conversion. The significant downward pressure on the price of the common stock as the noteholders convert and sell material amounts of common stock could encourage short sales by investors. This could place further downward pressure on the price of the common stock. The noteholders could sell common stock into the market in anticipation of covering the short sale by converting their securities, which could cause further downward pressure on the stock price. In addition, not only the sale of shares issued upon conversion or exercise of notes, warrants and options, but also the mere perception that these sales could occur, may have a depressive effect on the market price of the common stock.

Possible Dilution to Stockholders

The issuance of shares upon conversion of convertible notes and exercise of warrants may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders since the holders of the convertible notes may ultimately convert and sell the full amount issuable upon conversion. Although the noteholders may not convert their callable secured convertible notes and/or exercise their warrants if such conversion or exercise price would cause them to own more than 4.99% of the Company's outstanding common stock, this restriction does not prevent the noteholders from converting and/or exercising some of their holdings and then converting the rest of their holdings. In this way, the noteholders could sell more than this limit while never holding more than this limit. There is no upper limit on the number of shares that may be issued, which will have the effect of further diluting the proportionate equity interest and voting power of holders of the Company's common stock.

Failure to Repay Convertible Notes May Require Company Operations to Cease

On April 27, 2005, the Company entered into a securities purchase agreement for the sale of an aggregate of \$2,500,000 principal amount of convertible notes. On February 28, 2006, the Company entered into a second securities purchase agreement for the sale of an aggregate of \$1,500,000 principal amount of convertible notes. On June 11, 2007 and December 24, 2007, the Company entered into third and fourth securities purchase agreements for the sale of an aggregate of \$750,000 principal amount of convertible notes. On December 19, 2007, the Company issued an additional \$389,010 in convertible notes as payment of past due interest owing on the outstanding convertible notes. These convertible notes are all due and payable, with 8% interest, three years from the date of issuance, unless sooner converted into shares of the Company's common stock. Any event of default such as the Company's failure to repay the principal or interest when due on the notes, the Company's failure to issue shares of common stock upon conversion by the noteholders, the Company's breach of any covenant, representation or warranty in the securities purchase agreement or related convertible notes, the assignment or appointment of a receiver to control a substantial part of the Company's property or business, the filing of a money judgment, writ or similar process against the Company in excess of \$50,000, the commencement of a bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or liquidation proceeding against the Company, and the delisting of the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Company's common stock could require the early repayment of the convertible notes, including a default interest rate of 15% on the outstanding principal balance of the notes if the default is not cured within the specified grace period.

The Company anticipates that the full amount of convertible notes will be converted into shares of its common stock, in accordance with the terms of the convertible notes. If the Company is required to repay the convertible notes, it would be required to use its limited working capital and raise additional funds. If the Company were unable to repay the notes when required, the noteholders could commence legal action against the Company and foreclose on all of its assets to recover the amounts due. Any such action would require the Company to curtail or cease operations.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006

Net sales for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 decreased by \$323,000 to \$1,872,000 as compared to \$2,195,000 for the same period of 2006. This reduction in sales was primarily due to decreased sales of the P40, P45 and P60 Ultrasound Biomicroscopes, the P37 A/B Scan Ocular Ultrasound Diagnostic and the P55 Pachymeter.

34

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, sales from the Company's diagnostic products totaled \$1,707,000, or 91% of total revenues, compared to \$1,928,000, or 88% of total revenues for the same period of 2006. The remaining 9 % of sales, or \$165,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 was from parts, disposables, and service revenue.

Sales of the P40, P45 and P60 UBM Ultrasound Biomicroscopes decreased to \$513,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, or 27% of total revenues for the period, compared to \$547,000, or 25% of total revenues, for the same period last year. Sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) increased by \$46,000 to \$257,000, or 14% of total revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, compared to net sales of \$211,000, or 10% of total revenues during the same period in 2006. Sales from the P37, P37-II, P2700 and P3700 A/B Scan Ocular Ultrasound Diagnostic increased to \$210,000, or 11% of total revenues, for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007, up compared to \$221,000 for the same period last year. Combined sales of the LD 400 and TKS 5000 autoperimeters and the CT 200 Corneal Topographer were \$388,000, or 20% of the total revenues, for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$856,000, or 39% of total revenues, for the same period of 2006.

Sales of the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) increased due in part from the reorganization of the Company's sales force. The Company anticipates continuing the upward trend in Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) sales through additional efforts by the Company to gain more wide spread support from the Blood Flow Analyzer(TM) through increased clinical awareness, product development and improved marketing plans.

Sales of surgical products are at a standstill pending FDA approval of the Photon(TM) laser system. In the twelve month period ended December 31, 2007, the Company realized no sales in the surgical line consisting of the Photon(TM) laser system. There were also no sales in the surgical line for the comparable

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

period of 2006.

Gross profit for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 increased to 46% of total revenues, compared to 42% of total revenues for the same period in 2006. This increase in gross profit in 2007 was mainly due to reductions in corporate expenditures due to improved operating efficiencies during the twelve months ending December 31, 2007. There was no increase to cost of sales as a result of a charge to the reserve for obsolete inventory in 2006.

Marketing and selling expenses increased by \$228,000, or 53%, to \$662,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, from \$434,000 for the comparable period in 2006. This increase was due primarily to an increased number of sales representatives and higher travel related and associated sales expenses.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$206,000, or 26%, to \$998,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, from \$792,000 for the comparable period in 2006. Contributing to this increase was a \$42,000 increase in salaries from \$246,000 in 2006 to \$288,000 in 2007, primarily due to merit increases in the salaries of existing employees. Commission expenses increased by \$16,000 from \$72,000 in 2006 to \$88,000 in 2007 due to an increased amount of convertible notes sold in 2007 compared to 2006. Accounting support expenditures increased by \$25,000 in 2007 as a result of the necessary updates and modifications to the Company's network system services and associated software support. The bad debt allowance increased by \$37,000 from \$72,000 in 2006 to \$109,000 in 2007 due to an increased amount of the Company's accounts receivable over 90 days during 2007 as compared to 2006.

Also during 2007, the Company collected \$22,000 in receivables that were previously allowed in the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Research, development and service expenses increased by \$94,000, or 38%, to \$344,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$250,000 for the same period of 2006. This increased was mainly due to the increased expenses in 2007 for the development of the new software package for the P60 UBM.

Due to our ongoing cash flow difficulties, most of the Company's vendors and suppliers were contacted during 2006 and 2007 with attempts to negotiate reduced payments and settlement of outstanding accounts payable. Although some vendors refused to negotiate and demanded payment in full, some vendors were willing to settle for a reduced amount. The accounts payable forgiven by vendors and suppliers resulted in a gain of \$91,000 and \$34,000 during the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company used \$1,135,000 in cash in operating activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$828,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2006. The increase in cash used for operating activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007 was primarily attributable to the Company's net loss and increase in accounts payable, accounts receivable, and a significant decrease of the change of the fair value of derivative liabilities. There was no cash used for investment activities for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, compared to cash used for investment activities of \$20,000 for the same period in 2006. Net cash used

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

in financing activities was \$1,250,000 for the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, versus net cash used of \$988,000 in the same period in 2006. The Company had working capital of \$758,000 as of December 31, 2007. In the past, the Company has relied heavily upon sales of the Company's common and preferred stock to fund operations. There can be no assurance that such equity funding will be available on terms acceptable to the Company in the future.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) of approximately \$56 million. These loss carryforwards are available to offset future taxable income, if any, and have begun to expire in 2001 and extend for twenty years. The Company's ability to use net operating loss carryforwards (NOLs) to offset future income is dependent upon certain limitations as a result of the pooling transaction with Vismed and the tax laws in effect at the time of the NOLs being utilized. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 significantly limits the annual amount that can be utilized for certain of these carryforwards as a result of change of ownership.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had accounts payable of \$417,000, a significant portion of which was over 90 days past due. The Company has contacted many of the vendors or companies that have significant amounts of payables past due in an effort to delay payment, renegotiate a reduced settlement payment, or establish a longer term payment plan. While some companies have been willing to renegotiate the outstanding amounts, others have demanded payment in full. Under certain conditions, including but not limited to judgments rendered against the Company in a court of law, a group of creditors could force the Company into bankruptcy due to its inability to pay the liabilities arising out of such judgments at that time. In addition to the accounts payable noted above, the Company also has noncancelable capital lease obligations and operating lease obligations that require the payment of approximately \$110,000 in 2007, and \$218,000 in 2006.

The Company has taken numerous steps to reduce costs and increase operating efficiencies. These steps consist of the following:

1. The Company closed its San Diego facility. In so doing, numerous manufacturing, accounting and management responsibilities were consolidated. In addition, such closure resulted in significant head count reductions as well as savings in rent and other overhead costs.

2. The Company has reduced the size of its manufacturing facility and corporate office in Salt Lake City. In doing so, management responsibilities were consolidated. Such reduction in space resulted in a reduction in the number of employees, as well as savings in rent and other overhead expenses.

3. The Company has significantly reduced the use of consultants, which has resulted in a large decrease to these expenses.

4. The Company has reduced its direct sales force to three representatives, which has resulted in less payroll, travel and other selling expenses.

Because the Company has significantly fewer sales representatives, its ability to generate sales has been reduced.

The Company has taken measures to reduce the amount of uncollectible accounts receivable such as more thorough and stringent credit approval, improved training and instruction by sales personnel, and frequent direct communication with the customer subsequent to delivery of the system. The allowance for doubtful accounts was 15% of total outstanding receivables as of December 31, 2007 and 15% as of December 31, 2006. The allowance for doubtful accounts increased from \$72,000 at December 31, 2006 to \$109,000 at December 31, 2007.

The Company intends to continue its efforts to reduce the allowance for doubtful accounts as a percentage of accounts receivable. The Company has ongoing efforts to collect a significant portion of the sales price in advance of the sale or in a timely manner after delivery. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2007, the Company added a net recovery of receivables previously allowed of \$22,000, and during the twelve months ended December 31, 2006, the Company had a net zero to the allowance for doubtful accounts. The Company believes that by requiring a large portion of payment prior to shipment, it has greatly improved the collectibility of its receivables.

The Company carried an allowance for obsolete or estimated non-recoverable inventory of \$244,000 at December 31, 2007 and \$1,320,000 at December 31, 2006, or 22% and 58% of total inventory, respectively. The Company's means of expansion and development of product has been largely from acquisition of businesses, product lines, existing inventory, and the rights to specific products. Through such acquisitions, the Company has acquired substantial inventory, some of which the eventual use and recoverability was uncertain. On December 31, 2007, the Company disposed of \$1,076,000 in obsolete inventory, which had been previously reserved.

On a quarterly basis, the Company attempts to identify inventory items that have shown relatively no movement or very slow movement. Generally, if an item has shown little or no movement for over a year, it is determined not to be recoverable and a reserve is established for that item. In addition, if the Company identifies products that have become obsolete due to product upgrades or enhancements, a reserve is established for such products. The Company intends to make efforts to sell these items at significantly discounted prices. If items are sold, the cash received would be recorded as revenue, but there would be no cost of sales on such items due to the reserve that has been recorded. At the time of sale, the inventory would be reduced for the item sold and the corresponding inventory reserve would also be reduced.

At this time, the Company's Photon(TM) Laser Ocular Surgery Workstation requires regulatory FDA approval in order to be sold in the United States. Any possible future efforts to complete the clinical trials on the Photon(TM) in order to file for FDA approval would depend on the Company obtaining adequate funding. The Company estimates that the funds needed to complete the clinical trials in order to obtain the necessary regulatory approval on the Photon(TM) to be approximately \$225,000.

Effect of Inflation and Foreign Currency Exchange

The Company has not realized a reduction in the selling price of its products as a result of domestic inflation. Nor has it experienced unfavorable profit reductions due to currency exchange fluctuations or inflation with its foreign customers. All sales transactions to date have been denominated in U.S. Dollars. The Company has experienced a higher cost for equipment manufactured for the Company by Tinsley in England due to the exchange rate value of the pound sterling.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments. This statement is an amendment of FASB Statements Nos. 133 and 140 to address what had been characterized as a temporary exemption from the application of the bifurcation requirements of Statement No. 133 to beneficial interests in securitized financial assets. Prior to the effective

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

date of Statement No. 133, the FASB received inquiries on the application of the exception in paragraph 14 of Statement No. 133 to beneficial interests in securitized financial assets. In response to the inquiries, Implementation Issue D1 indicated that, pending issuance of further guidance, entities may continue to apply the guidance related to accounting for beneficial interests in paragraphs 14 and 362 of Statement No. 140. Those paragraphs indicate that any security that can be contractually prepaid or otherwise settled in such a way that the holder of the security would not recover substantially all of his recorded investment should be subsequently measured like investments in debt securities classified as available-for-sale or trading under FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and may not be classified as held-to-maturity. Further, Implementation Issue D1 indicated that holders of beneficial interests in securitized financial assets that are not subject to paragraphs 14 and 362 of Statement No. 140 are not required to apply Statement No. 133 to those beneficial interests until further guidance is issued. The Company believes the adoption of new standards will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or previously issued financial reports.

37

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets ("SFAS 156"). SFAS 156 amends FASB Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, with respect to the accounting for separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. In SFAS 156 the board decided to broaden the scope of the project to include all servicing assets and servicing liabilities. Servicing assets and servicing liabilities may be subject to significant interest rate and prepayment risks, and many entities use financial instruments to mitigate those risks. Currently, servicing assets and servicing liabilities are amortized over the expected period of estimated net servicing income or loss and assessed for impairment or increased obligation at each reporting date. The board acknowledged that the application of the lower of carrying amount or fair value measurement attribute to servicing assets results in asymmetrical recognition of economic events, because it requires recognition of all decreases in fair value but limits recognition of increases in fair value to the original carrying amount.

SFAS 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The board concluded that fair value is the most relevant measurement attribute for the initial recognition of all servicing assets and servicing liabilities, because it represents the best measure of future cash flows. SFAS 156 permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. An entity that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities is required to account for those derivative instruments at fair value. Under SFAS 156, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement of its servicing assets and servicing liabilities by class, thus simplifying its accounting and providing for income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in fair value of the servicing assets, servicing liabilities, and related derivative instruments. An entity that elects to subsequently measure servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value is expected to recognize declines in fair value of the servicing assets and servicing liabilities more consistently than by reporting other-than-temporary impairments. The Company believes the adoption of new standards will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows, or previously issued financial reports.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) ("SFAS 158"). Under SFAS 158, companies must recognize a net liability or asset to report the funded status of their defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans on their balance sheets. The effective date of the recognition and disclosure provisions for calendar-year public companies is for calendar years ending after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new standard but it is not expected to have a significant effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements ("SFAS 157"). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 will be applied prospectively and is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. SFAS 157 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 ("SFAS 159"). SFAS 159 permits companies to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value, and establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS 159 is effective on January 1, 2008, and is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations ("SFAS 141R"). SFAS 141R establishes the principles and requirements for how an acquirer recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree, and the goodwill acquired. SFAS 141R also establishes disclosure requirements to enable the evaluation of the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS 141R is effective on January 1, 2009, and is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51 ("SFAS 160"). SFAS 160 will change the accounting and reporting for minority interests, which will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. This new consolidation method will significantly change the accounting for transactions with minority interest holders. SFAS 160 is effective on January 1, 2009, and is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

38

Item 7. Financial Statements

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures

None.

Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective and adequately designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in applicable rules and forms.

During the fourth fiscal quarter, there has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act.

As of March 31, 2007, the Company's executive officers and directors, their ages and their positions are set forth below:

Name	Age	Position
-----	---	-----
Raymond P.L. Cannefax	59	President and Chief Executive Officer
Randall A. Mackey, Esq.	62	Chairman of the Board and Director
David M. Silver, PhD.	66	Director
Keith D. Ignatz	61	Director
John C. Pingree	67	Director

The directors are elected for one year terms that expire at the next annual meeting of shareholders. Executive officers are elected annually by the Board of Directors to hold office until the first meeting of the Board following the next annual meeting of shareholders and until their successors have been elected and qualified.

Raymond P.L. Cannefax has served as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer since January 5, 2006. Mr. Cannefax previously served as the Company's Vice President of Sales and Marketing from January 2003 to May 2005. From May 2005 to January 2006, Mr. Cannefax served as Vice President of the Asia/Pacific Region for Sonomed, Inc., a manufacturer of ophthalmic products and a wholly owned subsidiary of Escalon Medical Corp. From 2002 to 2003, Mr. Cannefax was Vice President of Business Development and Sales for Vermax, Inc., a manufacturer of products for hotel properties. From 1996 to 2002, he was President, Chief Operating Officer and founder of Aspen Network, Inc., a

software development and ecommerce company. From 1992 to 1996, Mr. Cannefax was President and Chief Executive Officer of Apollo Telecom, Inc., a telecommunications company. From 1986 to 1992, he was a Regional Sales Director and a Senior District Manager of Sprint Communications Corporation. Mr. Cannefax received a B.S. degree in Psychology and Zoology from the University of Utah in 1976.

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Randall A. Mackey, Esq. has been the Company's Chairman of the Board since August 20, 2002, and a director since January 2000. He had served as a director of the Company from 1995 to 1998. Mr. Mackey has been President of the Salt Lake City law firm of Mackey Price Thompson & Ostler since 1992, and a shareholder and director of the firm and its predecessor firms since 1989. Mr. Mackey received a B.S. degree in Economics from the University of Utah in 1968, an M.B.A. degree from the Harvard Business School in 1970, a J.D. degree from Columbia Law School in 1975 and a B.C.L. degree from Oxford University in 1977. Mr. Mackey has also served as Chairman of the Board from 2001 to 2003, and as a director from 1998 to 2003 of Cimatrix, Incorporated, a software development company. Mr. Mackey has additionally served as Chairman of the Board from 2000 to 2003 and as a trustee from 1993 to 2003 of Salt Lake Community College and as a member of the Utah State Board of Education since 2005.

David M. Silver, Ph.D. has been a director since January 2000. He had served as a director of the company from 1995 to 1998. Dr. Silver is a Principal Senior Scientist in the Milton S. Eisenhower Research and Technology Development Center at the Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, where he has been employed since 1970. He served as the J. H. Fitzgerald Dunning Professor of Ophthalmology in the Johns Hopkins Wilmer Eye Institute in Baltimore during 1998-99. He received a B.S. degree from Illinois Institute of Technology, an M.A. degree from Johns Hopkins University and a Ph.D. degree from Iowa State University before holding a postdoctoral fellowship at Harvard University and a visiting scientist position at the University of Paris.

Keith D. Igotz has been a director since November 2000. Since March 2005, Mr. Igotz has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Diakine Therapeutics, Inc., a pharmaceutical therapeutics company. From 1992 to 2004, Mr. Igotz was with SpectRx, Inc., a medical technology company that he founded, which develops, manufactures and markets alternatives to traditional blood based medical tests, serving from 2002 to 2004 as the Chief Executive Officer of Guided Therapeutics, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of SpectRx, Inc., and from 1992 to 2002 as President and Chief Operating Officer of SpectRx, Inc. From 1986 to 1992, Mr. Igotz was Senior Vice President of Allergan Humphrey, Inc., a medical electronics company. From 1985 to 1986, he was President of Humphrey Instruments Limited-SKB, a medical electronics company, and from 1980 to 1985, Mr. Igotz was President of Humphrey Instruments GmbH, also a medical electronics company. Mr. Igotz also served on the Board of Directors of Vismed, Inc., d/b/a Dicon from 1992 to 2000. Mr. Igotz received a B.A. degree in Sociology and Political Science from San Jose University and an M.B.A. degree from Pepperdine University. Mr. Igotz has served as a trustee of Pennsylvania College of Optometry and Audiology since 1990, a director of AeroVectrix, Inc., a drug delivery company, since August 2005, and as a member of the American Diabetes Association and the American Marketing Association of the American Association of Diabetes Education.

John C. Pingree has been a director since April 2004. From 2001 to 2004, Mr. Pingree was the Executive Director of the Semnani Foundation, which funds projects to assist women and children in developing countries. From 1998 to 2001, Mr. Pingree was a Mission President for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, serving in Mexico City, Mexico. From 1977 to 1997, Mr. Pingree was General Manager and Chief Executive Officer of Utah Transit Authority. From 1970 to 1975, he was Director of Marketing for Memorex Corporation. From 1967 to 1970, Mr. Pingree was Regional Manager, Sales Planning at Xerox Corporation. He also currently serves as a member of the Utah State Board of Education. Mr. Pingree received a B.A. degree in Economics from the University of Utah and an M.B.A. degree from the Harvard Business School.

Appointment of New President and Chief Executive Officer

On January 5, 2006, Raymond P.L. Cannefax was appointed as the

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, replacing John Y. Yoon who had served in those positions from March 18, 2004 to December 31, 2005. Mr. Yoon resigned as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, effective December 31, 2005, to pursue other opportunities.

Appointment of New Vice President of Finance, New Vice President of International Sales, New Vice President of Operations, and New Vice President of Domestic Sales

40

On March 20, 2006, Luis A. Mostacero was appointed as the Vice President of Finance. Mr. Mostacero previously served as the Controller from June 2000 to August 2005. On January 8, 2008, Mr. Mostacero was also appointed as Chief Financial Officer. On October 11, 2006, Christina M. O'Connor was appointed as Vice President of International Sales and Julio C. Maximo was appointed as Vice President of Operations. On January 4, 2007, Alfred B. Franklin was appointed as Vice President of Domestic Sales, replacing Michael S. Austin who resigned on November 28, 2006, to pursue other opportunities. Mr. Franklin resigned on May 10, 2007, to pursue other opportunities. On May 10, 2007, Stephen L. Davis was appointed as Vice President of Domestic Sales and Marketing. Mr. Davis resigned on February 14, 2008, to pursue other opportunities.

Board Meetings and Committees

The Board of Directors held a total of four meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. No director attended fewer than 75% of all meetings of the Board of Directors during the 2007 fiscal year. The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors consists of directors Dr. David M. Silver, Randall A. Mackey, Keith D. Ignatz and John C. Pingree. The Audit Committee met one time during the fiscal year. The Audit Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing the services performed by its independent public accountants and internal audit department and evaluating its accounting principles and its system of internal accounting controls. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors consists of directors Dr. David M. Silver, Randall A. Mackey, Keith D. Ignatz and John C. Pingree. The Compensation Committee met two times during the fiscal year. The Compensation Committee is primarily responsible for reviewing compensation of executive officers and overseeing the granting of stock options.

Pursuant to Item 406 of Regulation S-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company has not yet adopted a code of ethics that applies to its principle executive officer, principal financial officer, controller or persons performing similar functions. The Company is still in the process of studying this issue and intends to adopt a code of ethics in the near future.

The Company's Board of Directors has determined that Keith D. Ignatz and John C. Pingree, who currently serve as directors of the Company as well as a member of the Company's audit committee, are independent audit committee financial experts.

Item 10. Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth, for each of the last three fiscal years, the compensation received by Raymond P.L. Cannefax, President and Chief Executive Officer, and other executive officers whose salary and bonus for all services in all capacities exceed \$100,000 for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary\$	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Non-qualified Deferred Compensation	Change in Pension Value
Raymond P.L. Cannefax President and Chief Executive Officer (1)	2007	\$143,330	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2006	127,940	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2005	64,285	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) Mr. Cannefax has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since January 5, 2006.

41

Supplemental All Other Compensation Table

Name	Year	Perks and Other Personal Benefits	Tax Reimbursements	Discounted Securities Purchases	Payments/Accruals on Termination Plans	Registrant Contributions to Defined Contribution Plans	Insurance Premiums
Raymond P.L. Cannefax	2007	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2006	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2005	-	-	-	-	-	-

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards

All Other Stock Awards:

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Name	Grant Date	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (\$)	Number of Shares or Stock or Units (#)	Se U 1 O
Raymond P.L.	5/1/07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,5
Cannefax	1/5/06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,5

42

Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year End

Name	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options: (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock Held That Have Not Vested (#)
Raymond P.L. Cannefax	0	0	-	-	-	-

Option Exercises and Stock Vested for Fiscal 2007

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Raymond P.L. Cannefax	0	-	0	-

Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2007

Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit	Payments During Last Fiscal Year
----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Name	Plan Name	(#)	(\$)	(\$)
----	-----	----	-----	-----
Raymond P.L. Cannefax	None	-	-	-

Director Compensation

Outside directors are currently not paid a director's fee for their services but are reimbursed for their expenses in attending board and committee meetings. Directors are not precluded from serving the Company in any other capacity and receiving compensation therefore. The directors were not granted any options to purchase shares of the Company's common stock during 2006 or 2007.

43

Director Compensation for Fiscal 2007

Name	Fees Earned or Paid In Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings -----	All Other Compensation (\$)
----	---	---	---	---	-----	---
Keith D. Ignatz	0	-	-	-	-	-
Randall A. Mackey	0	-	-	-	-	-
John C. Pingree	0	-	-	-	-	-
David M. Silver, PhD.	0	-	-	-	-	-

Employee 401(k) Plan

In October 1996, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a 401(k) Retirement Savings Plan. Under the terms of the 401(k) plan, effective as of November 1, 1996, the Company may make discretionary employer matching contributions to its employees who choose to participate in the plan. The plan allows the board to determine the amount of the contribution at the beginning of each year. The board adopted a contribution formula specifying that such discretionary employer matching contributions would equal 100% of the participating employee's contribution to the plan up to a maximum discretionary employee contribution of 3% of a participating employee's compensation, as defined by the plan. All persons who have completed at least six months' service with the Company and satisfy other plan requirements are eligible to participate in the plan. The plan is currently available to the Company's employees at the employees' expense with no matching contribution from the Company.

1995 Stock Option Plan

The Company adopted a 1995 Stock Option Plan, for the officers, employees, directors and consultants of its company on November 7, 1995. The plan authorized the granting of stock options to purchase an aggregate of not more than 300,000 shares of its common stock. On February 16, 1996, options for substantially all 300,000 shares were granted. On June 9, 1997, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 300,000 shares to 600,000 shares. On

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

September 3, 1998, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 600,000 shares to 1,200,000 shares. On November 29, 2000, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 1,200,000 shares to 1,700,000 shares. On September 11, 2001, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 1,700,000 shares to 2,700,000 shares. On June 13, 2003, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 2,700,000 shares to 3,700,000 shares. On July 11, 2005, its shareholders approved an amendment to the plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance thereunder from 3,700,000 shares to 5,000,000 shares.

The compensation committee administers the 1995 Stock Option Plan. In general, the compensation committee will select the person to whom options will be granted and will determine, subject to the terms of the plan, the number, exercise, and other provisions of such options. Options granted under the plan will become exercisable at such times as may be determined by the compensation committee. Options granted under the plan may be either incentive stock options, as such term is defined in the Internal Revenue Code, or non-incentive stock options. Incentive stock options may only be granted to persons who are employees. Non-incentive stock options may be granted to any person, including, but not limited to, its employees, independent agents, consultants as the compensation committee believes has contributed, or will contribute, to its success as the compensation committee believes has contributed, or will contribute, to its success. The compensation committee determines the exercise price of options granted under the 1995 Stock Option Plan, provided that, in the case of incentive stock options, such price is not less than 100% (110% in the case of incentive stock options granted to holders of 10% of voting power of its stock) of the fair market value (as defined in the plan) of the common stock on the date of grant. The aggregate fair market value (determined at the time of option grant) of stock with respect to which incentive stock options become exercisable for the first time in any year cannot exceed \$100,000.

44

The term of each option shall not be more than ten years (five years in the case of incentive stock options granted to holders of 10% of the voting power of its stock) from the date of grant. The Board of Directors has a right to amend, suspend or terminate the 1995 Stock Option Plan at any time; provided, however, that unless ratified by its shareholders, no amendment or change in the plan will be effective that would increase the total number of shares that may be issued under the plan, materially increase the benefits accruing to persons granted under the plan or materially modify the requirements as to eligibility and participation in the plan. No amendment, supervision or termination of the plan shall, without the consent of an employee to whom an option shall heretofore have been granted, affect the rights of such employee under such option.

Employment Agreement

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Raymond P.L. Cannefax, which commenced on January 5, 2006 and expired on January 5, 2007. The employment agreement requires Mr. Cannefax to devote substantially all of his working time as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, providing that he may be terminated for "cause" (as provided in the agreement) and prohibits him from competing with the Company for two years following the termination of his employment agreement. The employment agreement provides for

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

the payment of an initial base salary of \$125,000. The employment agreement also provides for salary increases and bonuses as shall be determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors, with the first review of the annual salary to be made as of June 30, 2006. The employment agreement further provides for the issuance of stock options to purchase 4,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$.01 per share. The options vest in twelve equal monthly installments of 375,000 shares, beginning on February 5, 2006 until such shares are vested.

In the event of a change of control of the Company, then all outstanding stock options granted to Mr. Cannefax shall be immediately vested. A change of control shall be deemed to have occurred if (i) a tender offer shall be made and consummated for the ownership of more than 25% of the Company's outstanding shares; (ii) the Company shall be merged or consolidated with another corporation and, as a result, less than 25% of the outstanding common shares of the surviving corporation shall be owned in the aggregate by the Company's former shareholders, as the same shall have listed prior to such merger or consolidation; (iii) the Company shall sell all or substantially all of its assets to another corporation that is not a wholly owned subsidiary or affiliate; (iv) as a result of any contested election for the Board of Directors, or any tender or exchange offer, merger of business combination or sale of assets, the persons who were directors of the Company before such a transaction shall cease to constitute a majority of the Board of Directors; or (v) a person other than an officer or director of the Company shall acquire more than 20% of the outstanding shares of common stock of the Company.

Effective July 1, 2007, the Company entered into an amendment of the employment agreement with Mr. Cannefax, which extends the term of the employment agreement until January 5, 2009. Under the terms of the amendment, Mr. Cannefax's annual base salary increased to \$150,000. The initial base salary in the employment agreement dated January 5, 2006 was \$125,000, which the Board of Directors increased to \$140,000 as of July 1, 2006. The amendment also provides for the granting of additional stock options to Mr. Cannefax to purchase an additional 4,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$.01 per share. These options vest in twelve equal monthly installments of 375,000 shares beginning on June 1, 2007 until such shares are vested.

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to beneficial ownership of the Company's common stock as of March 31, 2008 for (i) each executive officer (ii) each director, (iii) each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares, and (iv) all directors and officers as a group.

Name and Address(1)	Number of Shares	Percentage of Ownership
Raymond P.L. Cannefax (2)	10,000,000	1.3%
Dr. David M. Silver (2)	761,166	*
Randall A. Mackey (2)	725,000	*
Keith D. Ignatz (2)	525,709	*
John C. Pingree (2)	431,500	*
Executive officers and directors as a group (five persons)	12,443,375	1.6%

*Less than 1%.

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each listed stockholder is

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

c/o Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., 2355 South 1070 West, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84119.

- (2) The amounts shown include shares that may be acquired currently, or within 60 days after March 31, 2007 through the exercise of stock options are follows: Mr. Cannefax, 9,000,000 shares; Dr. Silver, 725,000 shares; Mr. Mackey, 725,000 shares; Mr. Ignatz, 525,851 shares; and Mr. Pingree, 275,000 shares.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information set forth herein describes certain transactions between the Company and certain affiliated parties. Future transactions, if any, will be approved by a majority of the disinterested members and will be on terms no less favorable to the Company than those that could be obtained from unaffiliated parties.

Randall A. Mackey, a director since January 21, 2000, and from 1995 to 1998 and Chairman of the Board since August 30, 2002, is president and a shareholder of the law firm of Mackey Price Thompson & Ostler, which rendered legal services in connection with various corporate matters. Legal fees and expenses paid to Mackey Price Thompson & Ostler for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, totaled \$156,000 and \$148,000, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, the Company owed this firm \$46,400, which is included in accounts payable.

PART IV

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

(a) Exhibits

The following Exhibits are filed herewith pursuant to Rule 601 of Regulation S-B or are incorporated by reference to previous filings.

Exhibit No. -----	Document Description -----
2.1	Amended Agreement and Plan of Merger between Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a California corporation and Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., a Delaware corporation(1)
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation(1)
3.2	Amended Certificate of Incorporation
3.3	Bylaws(1)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (2)
4.2	Specimen Series C Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate(3)
4.3	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series C Convertible Preferred Stock(3)
4.4	Specimen Series D Convertible Preferred Stock Certificate (4)
4.5	Certificate of the Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series D Convertible Preferred Stock (5)
4.6	Certificate of Designations, Powers, Preferences and Rights of the Series G Convertible Preferred Stock (6)
10.1	Exclusive Patent License Agreement with PhotoMed(1)
10.2	1995 Stock Option Plan (1)

10.3 April 2005 Securities Purchase Agreement with AJW Partners,

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

		LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLP (the "Purchasers") (7)
10.4		Form of Convertible Note with each Purchaser (7)
10.5		Form of Stock Purchase Warrant with each Purchaser (7)
10.6		Security Agreement with Purchasers (7)
10.7		Intellectual Property Security Agreement with Purchasers (7)
10.8		Registration Rights Agreement with Purchasers (7)
10.9		Employment Agreement with Raymond P.L. Cannefax (8)
10.10		February 2006 Securities Purchase Agreement with AJW Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLP (9)
10.11		Form of Callable Secured Convertible Note with each Purchaser (9)
10.12		Form of Stock Purchase Warrant with each Purchaser (9)
10.13		Security Agreement with Purchasers (9)
10.14		Intellectual Property Security Agreement with Purchasers (9)
10.15		Registration Rights Agreement with Purchasers (9)
10.16		Settlement Agreement with Dr. Joseph W. Spadafora (10)
10.17		Worldwide OEM Agreement with MEDA Co., Ltd. (11)
10.18		Second Amendment to the Registration Rights Agreement dated April 27, 2005 (12)
10.19		Second Amendment to the Registration Rights Agreement dated February 28, 2006 (12)
10.20		June 2007 Securities Purchase Agreement with AJW Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLP (13)
10.21		Form of Convertible Note with each Purchaser (13)
10.22		Form of Stock Purchase Warrant with each Purchaser (13)
10.23		Security Agreement with Purchasers (13)
10.24		Intellectual Property Agreement with Purchasers (13)
10.25		Registration Rights Agreement with Purchasers (13)
10.26		December 2007 Securities Purchase Agreement with AJW Partners, LLC, AJW Offshore, Ltd., AJW Qualified Partners, LLC, and New Millennium Capital Partners II, LLP (14)
10.27		Form of Convertible Note with each Purchaser (14)
10.28		Form of Stock Purchase Warrant with each Purchaser (14)
10.29		Security Agreement with Purchasers (14)
10.30		Intellectual Property Agreement with Purchasers (14)
10.31		Registration Rights Agreement with Purchasers (14)
10.32		Agreement with Equity Source Partners, LLC
10.33		Distribution Agreement with LACE Elettronica srl
31.1		Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2		Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as enacted by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1		Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2		Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(1)		Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on March 19, 1996.
(2)		Incorporated by reference from Amendment No. 1 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on May 14, 1996.
(3)		Incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-KSB, as filed on April 16, 1998.
(4)		Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on April 29, 1999.
(5)		Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 16, 2000.
(6)		Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

- (7) filed on November 14, 2003.
Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on May 18, 2005.
- (8) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on January 18, 2006.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on March 1, 2006.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on June 15, 2006.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on June 19, 2006.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form SB-2, as filed on April 16, 2007.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from Report on Form 10-QSB, as filed on August 17, 2007.

46

- (14) Incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K, as filed on January 7, 2008.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Current report on Form 8-K, as filed on January 7, 2008.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Fees for the 2007 annual audit of the financial statements and related quarterly review services were \$31,893. Fees in 2007 related to the review of registration statements and assistance in responding to SEC comments were \$675. Fees in 2007 for edgarization of filings were \$4,565. Fees in 2007 for tax return preparation were \$2,350. There were no other fees in 2007 for meetings and other consultation.

Fees for the 2006 annual audit of the financial statements and related quarterly review services were \$28,600. Fees in 2006 related to the review of registration statements and assistance in responding to SEC comments were \$1,300. Fees in 2006 for edgarization of filings were \$2,600. Fees in 2006 for tax return preparation were \$3,500. There were no fees in 2006 for meetings and other consultation.

47

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, there unto duly authorized.

PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES, INC.

Dated: May 15, 2008

By: /s/ Raymond P.L. Cannefax

Edgar Filing: PARADIGM MEDICAL INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10KSB

Raymond P.L. Cannefax,
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons in counterpart on behalf of the Company on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Raymond P.L. Cannefax ----- Raymond P.L. Cannefax	President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	May 15, 2008
/s/ Randall A. Mackey ----- Randall A. Mackey	Chairman of the Board and Director	May 15, 2008
/s/ David M. Silver ----- David M. Silver, Ph.D.	Director	May 15, 2008
/s/ Keith D. Igotz ----- Keith D. Igotz	Director	May 15, 2008
/s/ John C. Pingree ----- John C. Pingree	Director	May 15, 2008
/s/ Luis A. Mostacero ----- Luis A. Mostacero	Vice President of Finance, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer, (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	May 15, 2008
