

MEDICINOVA INC
Form 10-K
February 13, 2019

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, DC 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF
1934

For the transition period from. to

Commission file number: 001-33185

MEDICINOVA, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

33-0927979
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

4275 Executive Square, Suite 300, La Jolla, CA
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92037
(Zip Code)

(858) 373-1500

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically every Interactive Data File required to be submitted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

<input type="checkbox"/> Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> Accelerated filer
<input type="checkbox"/> Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/> Smaller reporting company
<input type="checkbox"/> Emerging growth company	

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$314,684,033 based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market of \$7.96 per share on June 30, 2018. Shares of common stock held by each executive officer and director and each affiliated entity has been excluded from this calculation. This determination of affiliate status may not be conclusive for other purposes.

The number of outstanding shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of February 12, 2019 was 42,171,810.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A in connection with the registrant's 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be filed subsequent to the date hereof, are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

MEDICINOVA, INC.

FORM 10-K—ANNUAL REPORT

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2018

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I	
Item 1	<u>Business</u> 6
Item 1A	<u>Risk Factors</u> 28
Item 1B	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> 49
Item 2	<u>Properties</u> 49
Item 3	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 49
Item 4	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> 49
PART II	
Item 5	<u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> 50
Item 6	<u>Selected Financial Data</u> 52
Item 7	<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 53
Item 7A	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> 60
Item 8	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> 60
Item 9	<u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> 79
Item 9A	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 79
Item 9B	<u>Other Information</u> 83
PART III	
Item 10	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> 84
Item 11	<u>Executive Compensation</u> 84
Item 12	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> 84
Item 13	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> 85
Item 14	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> 85

PART IV

Item 15

Exhibits and Financial Statement
Schedules

86

Signatures

90

The MediciNova logo is a registered trademark of MediciNova, Inc. All other product and company names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies.

PART I

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. The forward-looking statements are contained principally in the sections titled "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," but are also contained elsewhere in this report. Forward-looking statements include all statements that are not historical facts and, in some cases, can be identified by terms such as "believe," "may," "will," "estimate," "continue," "anticipate," "design," "intend," "expect," "could," "plan," "potential," "predict," "seek," "should," "would" or the negative version of these words and similar expressions.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements, including those described in "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this report. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Also, forward-looking statements represent our beliefs and assumptions only as of the date of this report. In light of the significant uncertainties in these forward-looking statements, you should not regard these statements as a representation or warranty by us or any other person that we will achieve our objectives and plans in any specified time frame, or at all. You should read this report completely and with the understanding that our actual future results may be materially different from what we expect.

The following factors are among those that may cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements:

- Inability to raise additional capital if needed;
- Inability to generate revenues from product sales to continue business operations;
- Inability to develop and commercialize our product candidates;
- Failure or delay in completing clinical trials or obtaining FDA or foreign regulatory approval for our product candidates in a timely manner;
- Unsuccessful clinical trials stemming from clinical trial designs, failure to enroll a sufficient number of patients, undesirable side effects and other safety concerns;
- Inability to demonstrate sufficient efficacy of product candidates;
- Reliance on the success of our MN-166 (ibudilast) and MN-001 (tipelukast) product candidates;
- Delays in commencement or completion of clinical trials or suspension or termination of clinical trials;
- Loss of our licensed rights to develop and commercialize a product candidate as a result of the termination of the underlying licensing agreement;
- Competitors may develop products rendering our product candidates obsolete and noncompetitive;
- Inability to successfully attract partners and enter into collaborations on acceptable terms;
- Dependence on third parties to conduct clinical trials and to manufacture product candidates;
- Dependence on third parties to market and distribute products;
- Our product candidates, if approved, may not gain market acceptance or obtain adequate coverage for third party reimbursement;
- Disputes or other developments concerning our intellectual property rights;
- Actual and anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly or annual operating results;
- Price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock markets;
- Litigation or public concern about the safety of our potential products;

International trade or foreign exchange restrictions, increased tariffs, foreign currency exchange;
High quality material for our products may become difficult to obtain or expensive;
Strict government regulations on our business;
Regulations governing the production or marketing of our product candidates;
Loss of, or inability to attract, key personnel; and
Economic, political, foreign exchange and other risks associated with international operations.

Item 1. Business

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing novel, small molecule therapeutics for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs and a commercial focus on the United States market. Our current strategy is to focus our development activities on MN-166 (ibudilast) for neurological disorders such as progressive multiple sclerosis (MS), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, degenerative cervical myelopathy, glioblastoma, and substance dependence and addiction (e.g., methamphetamine dependence, opioid dependence, and alcohol dependence), and MN-001 (tipelukast) for fibrotic diseases such as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Our pipeline also includes MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of acute exacerbation of asthma and MN-029 (denibulin) for solid tumor cancers.

MN-166 (ibudilast) is currently in development for several different neurological diseases as described below.

Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: We completed a Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of relapsing multiple sclerosis (MS), in which positive safety and neuroprotective efficacy indicators were observed. The data from this trial indicated that MN-166 (ibudilast) may have potential in the treatment of progressive MS.

We partnered with investigators on a Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in primary progressive and secondary progressive MS which was conducted by NeuroNEXT and funded by the National Institute of Health's (NIH) National Institute of Neurological Diseases and Stroke (NINDS). This progressive MS trial, known as SPRINT-MS, completed randomization of 255 subjects in 2015, which exceeded the goal of 250 subjects that were planned for participation. In October 2017, we announced the presentation of positive top-line results from the SPRINT-MS Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in progressive MS. The trial achieved both primary endpoints of whole brain atrophy and safety and tolerability. MN-166 (ibudilast) demonstrated a statistically significant 48% reduction in the rate of progression of whole brain atrophy compared to placebo ($p=0.04$) as measured by MRI analysis using brain parenchymal fraction (BPF) and there was not an increased rate of serious adverse events in the MN-166 (ibudilast) group compared to the placebo group. In February 2018, we announced the presentation of positive clinical efficacy trends from this trial regarding the important secondary endpoint of confirmed disability progression. MN-166 (ibudilast) demonstrated a 26% reduction in the risk of confirmed disability progression compared to placebo (hazard ratio = 0.74), as measured by EDSS (Expanded Disability Status Scale). Results of the SPRINT-MS Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in progressive MS were published in the New England Journal of Medicine in August 2018.

The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has granted Fast Track designation for the development of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of patients with progressive MS.

•**Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS):** We initiated a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) in the second half of 2014, and this trial was completed during the second half of 2017. In December 2017, we announced positive top-line results from this trial. The trial achieved the primary endpoint of safety and tolerability. In addition, there was a higher rate of responders on the ALSFRS-R total score in the MN-166 (ibudilast) group compared to the placebo group. The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R) total score measures the functional activity of an ALS subject. In July 2018, we announced data from ad-hoc subgroup analyses in ALS subjects who had either bulbar onset or upper limb onset. In September 2018, we received feedback from the FDA regarding our Phase 3 clinical development plan for MN-166 (ibudilast) in ALS. In January 2019, we received a Notice of Allowance from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office for a pending patent application which covers the combination of MN-166 (ibudilast) and riluzole for the treatment of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases.

We have collaborated with Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) to conduct a clinical trial to study the effects of MN-166 (ibudilast) on reducing brain microglial activation in ALS subjects which can be monitored by a biomarker. This ongoing clinical trial, which we refer to as the ALS / Biomarker study, will

also evaluate several clinical outcomes. In July 2018, we announced that the ALS / Biomarker study was fully enrolled.

The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS as well as Orphan-Drug designation for the treatment of ALS, which will provide seven years of marketing exclusivity if it is approved for ALS. The European Commission also granted Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS.

•**Substance Dependence and Addiction:** In the area of addiction, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) funded a Phase 2 clinical trial studying the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of methamphetamine addiction. In collaboration with the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA), this clinical trial commenced in 2013 and enrollment was completed in September 2017. In March 2018, we announced that this trial did not meet the primary endpoint of methamphetamine abstinence confirmed via urine drug screens during the final two weeks of treatment. In November 2017, we announced a collaboration with Oregon Health & Science University to initiate a biomarker study for evaluating MN-166 (ibudilast) in methamphetamine use disorder and this trial is ongoing.

Investigators at Columbia University and the New York State Psychiatric Institute (NYSPI) previously completed a Phase 1b/2a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in opioid withdrawal that was funded by NIDA. Investigators at Columbia University and the NYSPI also conducted a NIDA-funded, Phase 2a clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) in the treatment of patients addicted to prescription opioids or heroin. In March 2016, we announced that positive findings from the results of this completed study in opioid dependence were presented at the Behavior, Biology and Chemistry: Translational Research in Addiction Meeting.

Researchers at UCLA were granted approval and funding by the National Institute on Alcoholism and Alcohol Abuse (NIAAA) for a clinical trial to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of alcohol dependence. This clinical trial has been completed and results were presented at the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP)'s 54th Annual Meeting in December 2015. In May 2018, we announced a new NIDA-funded clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in alcohol dependence and withdrawal in collaboration with researchers at UCLA and this trial is ongoing. In August 2018, we announced a new NIAAA-funded Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) which will evaluate heavy drinking days in subjects with alcohol dependence in collaboration with researchers at UCLA and this trial is ongoing.

•**Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy:** In March 2018, we announced plans to initiate a clinical trial to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, which is funded by the University of Sydney Concord Cancer Centre in Australia, and this trial is ongoing.

•**Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy:** In August 2018, we announced plans to initiate a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in degenerative cervical myelopathy (DCM) in collaboration with the University of Cambridge. The trial is funded by a grant from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) in the United Kingdom (UK) and enrollment is expected to begin in mid-2019.

•**Glioblastoma:** We have initiated clinical development to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of glioblastoma. In June 2017, we announced positive results from an animal model study that examined the potential clinical efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of glioblastoma. These results were presented at the 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting. In May 2018, we announced that the Investigational New Drug Application (IND) for MN-166 (ibudilast) for treatment of glioblastoma was accepted and opened with the FDA. In October 2018, we announced that the FDA granted orphan-drug designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) as adjunctive therapy to temozolomide for the treatment of glioblastoma. In January 2019, we announced the initiation of enrollment in a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in combination with temozolomide (TMZ, Temodar ®) for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute in Boston.

MN-001 (tipelukast) is currently in development for fibrotic diseases including nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), which are described below.

•Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD): We announced positive results of MN-001 (tipelukast) in two different NASH mouse models in 2014 and we opened the IND (Investigational New Drug) application for MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of NASH with the FDA in 2015. The FDA subsequently granted Fast Track designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of patients with NASH with fibrosis. We then initiated a clinical trial to investigate MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of hypertriglyceridemia in NASH and NAFLD patients. In April 2018, we announced that we would terminate this trial early after positive results from an interim analysis in which MN-001 (tipelukast) significantly reduced mean serum triglycerides, a primary endpoint. This data was presented at the International Liver Congress 2018, the 53rd annual meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) in Paris, France in April 2018.

•Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF): In 2014, we announced positive results of MN-001 (tipelukast) in a mouse model of pulmonary fibrosis. The FDA subsequently granted Orphan-Drug designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for treatment of IPF which will provide seven years of marketing exclusivity if MN-001 (tipelukast) is approved for IPF. The FDA granted Fast Track designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of patients with IPF in September 2015. We then initiated a Phase 2 clinical trial of MN-001 (tipelukast) to treat IPF and this trial is currently enrolling patients.

We completed a Phase 2 clinical trial of MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma treated in the emergency room and conducted an End-of-Phase 2 meeting with the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in October 2012. In that meeting, the FDA identified the risk/benefit profile of MN-221 (bedoradrine) as a focal point for further development and advised that a clinical outcome, such as a reduction in hospitalizations, would need to be a pivotal trial primary endpoint. We believe the appropriate clinical development for MN-221 (bedoradrine) would involve conducting dose regimen and acute exacerbations of asthma trial design optimization studies prior to commencing pivotal trials. We are working to identify a partner for financial support before further clinical development is commenced.

We have acquired licenses to MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), MN-221 (bedoradrine), and MN-029 (denibulin) for the development of these product candidates. We have pursued development of these product candidates in various indications including progressive MS, ALS, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, degenerative cervical myelopathy, glioblastoma, various addictions, NASH and NAFLD, IPF, acute exacerbations of asthma, and solid tumor cancers.

Our Strategy

Our goal is to build a sustainable biopharmaceutical business through the successful development of differentiated products for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs in high-value therapeutic areas. Key elements of our strategy are as follows:

-

Pursue the development of MN-166 (ibudilast) for multiple potential indications with the support of non-dilutive financings.

We intend to advance our diverse MN-166 (ibudilast) program through a combination of investigator-sponsored clinical trials, trials funded through government grants or other grants, and trials funded by us. In addition to providing drug supply and regulatory support, we have funded portions of some of the consortium-sponsored trials. For example, we contributed financially to the Secondary and Primary Progressive Ibudilast NeuroNEXT Trial in Multiple Sclerosis (SPRINT-MS) Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of progressive MS, which was primarily funded by the NIH. In addition, we contributed financially to the clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS as well as the ongoing ALS / Biomarker study. We intend to pursue additional strategic alliances to help support further clinical development of MN-166 (ibudilast).

Pursue the development of MN-001 (tipelukast) for fibrotic and other diseases.

We intend to advance development of MN-001 (tipelukast) through a variety of means, which may include investigator-sponsored trials with or without grant funding as well as trials funded by us.

◆ Consider strategic partnerships with one or more leading pharmaceutical companies to complete late-stage product development and successfully commercialize our products.

We develop and maintain relationships with pharmaceutical companies that are therapeutic category leaders. Upon completion of proof-of-concept Phase 2 clinical trials, we intend to discuss strategic alliances with leading pharmaceutical companies who seek late-stage product candidates, such as MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), MN-221 (bedoradrine) and MN-029, which could support further clinical development and product commercialization.

Our Product Candidates and Programs

Our product development programs address diseases that we believe are not well served by currently available therapies and represent significant commercial opportunities. We believe that we have product candidates that offer innovative therapeutic approaches that may provide significant advantages relative to current therapies.

Our product acquisitions have focused primarily on product candidates with significant preclinical and early clinical testing data that have been developed by the licensors outside of the United States. We utilize the existing data in preparing Investigational New Drug (INDs) Applications or their foreign equivalents, and in designing and implementing additional preclinical or clinical trials to advance the development programs in the United States or abroad.

Following are the details of our product development programs:

MN-166 (ibudilast)

MN-166 (ibudilast) is a novel, first-in-class, oral, anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective agent. MN-166 (ibudilast) inhibits macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) and certain phosphodiesterases (PDEs). MN-166 (ibudilast) also attenuates activated glia cells, which play a major role in certain neurological conditions. While it has been in use for more than 20 years in Japan and Korea for the treatment of asthma and post-stroke dizziness, we are developing MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of primary progressive and secondary progressive MS, ALS, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, degenerative cervical myelopathy, glioblastoma, and substance dependence. We licensed MN-166 (ibudilast) from Kyorin Pharmaceuticals (Kyorin) in 2004.

The FDA has granted Fast Track designations to MN-166 (ibudilast) for three separate indications: the treatment of progressive MS, the treatment of ALS, and the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. Fast track designation is a process designed to facilitate the development and expedite the review of drugs that are intended to treat serious diseases and have the potential to fill an unmet medical need. An important feature of the FDA's Fast Track program is that it emphasizes early and frequent communication between the FDA and the sponsor throughout the entire drug development and review process to improve the efficiency of product development. Accordingly, Fast Track status can potentially lead to a shortened timeline to ultimate drug approval.

The FDA has granted Orphan-Drug designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS, which will provide seven years of marketing exclusivity if it is approved for ALS in the U.S. The European Commission also granted Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS which offers potential benefits including 10 years of marketing exclusivity if it is approved for ALS in Europe. The FDA has also granted Orphan-Drug designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) as adjunctive therapy to temozolomide for the treatment of glioblastoma.

We have filed patent applications for multiple uses of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of neurological conditions. Some of the patent estate has received allowance in the United States and foreign countries. For example, we have been granted separate U.S. patents that cover the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of progressive MS, for the treatment of ALS, for the treatment of drug addiction or dependence, and for the treatment of neuropathic pain.

Primary and Secondary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis: MS is a complex disease with predominantly unknown etiology and affects approximately 2.3 million people worldwide, according to the National Multiple Sclerosis Society, or NMSS. Also, according to NMSS, approximately 85 percent of people with MS are initially diagnosed with relapsing-remitting MS, or RRMS, and most people who are initially diagnosed with RRMS will eventually transition to secondary progressive MS, or SPMS. About 15 percent of people with MS are diagnosed with primary progressive MS, or PPMS. There is only one approved drug for PPMS and it is administered by intravenous infusion. There are no approved drugs generally considered safe and efficacious for SPMS in the absence of relapses. There is a significant medical need for a safe, effective, and conveniently administered therapy for patients with PPMS and SPMS. MN-166 (ibudilast) may meet these needs.

Based on promising results from a Phase 2 trial in relapsing MS completed in 2008, investigators from NeuroNEXT, a NIH-funded Phase 2 clinical trial network, evaluated MN-166 (ibudilast) in PPMS and SPMS patients in the United States. SPRINT-MS is the name of the Phase 2b, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial that evaluated the safety and tolerability of MN-166 (ibudilast) (up to 100 mg/day) in PPMS and SPMS patients. Recruitment and enrollment at 28 medical centers in the United States commenced in late 2013 and randomization of 255 subjects was completed in June 2015. In October 2017, we announced the presentation of positive top-line results from the SPRINT-MS Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in progressive MS. The trial achieved both primary endpoints of whole brain atrophy and safety and tolerability. MN-166 (ibudilast) demonstrated a statistically significant 48% reduction in the rate of progression of whole brain atrophy compared to placebo ($p=0.04$) as measured by MRI analysis using brain parenchymal fraction (BPF) and there was not an increased rate of serious adverse events in the MN-166 (ibudilast) group compared to the placebo group. In February 2018, we announced the presentation of positive clinical efficacy trends from this trial regarding the important secondary endpoint of confirmed disability progression. MN-166 (ibudilast) demonstrated a 26% reduction in the risk of confirmed disability progression compared to placebo (hazard ratio = 0.74), as measured by EDSS (Expanded Disability Status Scale). Results of the SPRINT-MS Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in progressive MS were published in the New England Journal of Medicine in August 2018. We were granted Fast Track designation from the FDA for MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of progressive MS in 2016.

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS): ALS, also known as Lou Gehrig's disease, is a progressive neurodegenerative disease that affects nerve cells in the brain and the spinal cord. The nerves lose the ability to trigger specific muscles, which causes the muscles to become weak. As a result, ALS affects voluntary movement and patients in the later stages of the disease may become totally paralyzed. Average life expectancy of an ALS patient is three years from diagnosis. According to the ALS Association, there are approximately 20,000 ALS patients in the United States and approximately 5,000 people in the United States are diagnosed with ALS each year.

We have worked with Carolinas Neuromuscular/ALS-MDA Center at Carolinas HealthCare System Neurosciences Institute, which has conducted a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in ALS. The trial was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study which included a six-month treatment period followed by a six-month open-label extension. The study evaluated the safety and tolerability of MN-166 (ibudilast) 60 mg/day versus placebo when administered in combination with riluzole in subjects with ALS, as well as several efficacy endpoints. Subject enrollment began in October 2014. In April 2016, we announced that interim efficacy data from a mid-study analysis of the clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in ALS was presented at the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) 68th Annual Meeting.

In December 2017, we announced positive top-line results from the ALS trial at Carolinas Neuromuscular/ALS-MDA Center. The trial achieved the primary endpoint of safety and tolerability. In addition, there was a higher rate of responders on the ALSFRS-R total score in the MN-166 (ibudilast) group compared to the placebo group. The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Functional Rating Scale-Revised (ALSFRS-R) total score measures the functional activity of an ALS subject. There was also a higher rate of responders on the ALSAQ-5 score in the MN-166 (ibudilast) group compared to the placebo group. The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis Assessment Questionnaire (ALSAQ-5) score measures the physical mobility, activities of daily living and independence, eating and drinking, communication, and emotional functioning of an ALS subject. In July 2018, we announced data from ad-hoc subgroup analyses in subjects who had either bulbar onset or upper limb onset in the ALS trial at Carolinas Neuromuscular/ALS-MDA Center. In September 2018, we received feedback from the FDA regarding our Phase 3 clinical development plan for MN-166 (ibudilast) in ALS.

In December 2015, we announced that the FDA granted Fast Track designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of patients with ALS. In March 2016, we announced that we received a Notice of Allowance from the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) for a new patent which covers MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS. In October 2016, we announced that the FDA granted Orphan-Drug designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS, which will provide seven years of marketing exclusivity if it is approved for ALS. In December 2016, we announced that the European Commission granted Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS. In January 2019, we received a Notice of Allowance from the U.S. PTO for a pending patent application which covers the combination of MN-166 (ibudilast) and riluzole for the treatment of ALS and other neurodegenerative diseases.

In February 2016, we entered into an agreement to collaborate with Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) to study the effects of MN-166 (ibudilast) on reducing brain microglial activation in ALS subjects measured by a positron emission tomography (PET) biomarker. This ongoing clinical trial, which we refer to as the ALS / Biomarker study, will also evaluate safety and tolerability as well as several clinical outcomes including ALS functional rating scale (ALSFRS-R), slow vital capacity (SVC), and muscle strength measured by hand-held dynamometry (HHD). In July 2018, we announced that the ALS / Biomarker study was fully enrolled.

Methamphetamine Addiction: Methamphetamine is a central nervous system stimulant drug that is similar in structure to amphetamine. It is a Schedule II drug, meaning that it has high abuse potential and low therapeutic potential. According to the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) 2017 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, there are approximately 964,000 people aged 12 or older with methamphetamine use disorder (includes those with dependence or abuse) in the United States. According to the Rand Corporation, the estimate of the economic burden in the United States of methamphetamine use, based on the most recent year for which data are available, is approximately \$23.4 billion. Currently, there is no pharmaceutical treatment approved for methamphetamine dependence. Based on non-clinical results of the effects of MN-166 (ibudilast) in an animal model of methamphetamine relapse, investigators at UCLA conducted a Phase 1b clinical trial funded by NIDA to examine the safety and preliminary efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) in non-treatment-seeking, methamphetamine-dependent users in an inpatient trial that was completed in 2012. Subsequently, UCLA investigators received NIDA grant funding for a Phase 2 clinical trial to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) in methamphetamine-dependent users in an outpatient trial setting that commenced in 2013. In March 2018, we announced that this trial did not meet the primary endpoint of methamphetamine abstinence confirmed via urine drug screens during the final two weeks of treatment. In November 2017, we announced a collaboration with Oregon Health & Science University to initiate a biomarker study to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) in methamphetamine use disorder and this study is ongoing. We were granted Fast Track designation from the FDA for MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence in 2013.

Opioid Withdrawal and Dependency: According to the SAMHSA's 2017 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, there are approximately 1.7 million people aged 12 or older with pain reliever use disorder (includes those with dependence or abuse) and approximately 652,000 people aged 12 or older with heroin use disorder (includes those with dependence or abuse) in the United States. Access to prescription opioids has recently become more difficult due to more stringent policies on prescribing opioids. An unintended consequence of this policy is increased use of heroin. Heroin is attractive to prescription opioid addicts because it is less expensive and more accessible than prescription opioids. Heroin poses serious health issues, such as risk of HIV and Hepatitis C infection, overdose and death (Knopf, 2012). There is an urgent, significant unmet medical need for a safe, effective non-addictive, non-opioid therapy for the treatment of prescription opioid and heroin addiction. Investigators at Columbia University and NYSPI previously completed a NIDA-funded, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled in-unit Phase 1b/2a clinical trial to evaluate

the ability of MN-166 (ibudilast) to reduce opioid withdrawal symptoms in humans. Subsequently, investigators at Columbia University and NYSPI conducted a NIDA-funded Phase 2a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of prescription opioid or heroin dependence. In March 2016, we announced that positive findings from the results of this completed study in opioid dependence were presented at the Behavior, Biology and Chemistry: Translational Research in Addiction Meeting.

Alcohol Addiction: According to SAMHSA's 2017 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, there are approximately 14.5 million people aged 12 or older with alcohol use disorder (includes those with dependence or abuse) in the United States. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports that excessive alcohol use cost the United States \$249 billion in 2010, the latest year for which complete data are available. Medicines that

have been approved by the FDA to treat alcohol dependence include Antabuse[®], Vivitrol[®], Campral[®] and Revia[®]. However, the search for a safe and effective drug remains elusive due to limited success of these FDA-approved compounds (Witkiewitz et al., 2012). In a non-clinical trial (Bell et al., 2013), MN-166 (ibudilast) was examined in rats and mice and was found to reduce alcohol drinking in alcohol-preferring P rats and high-alcohol drinking (HAD1) rats by 50%, and in mice made dependent on alcohol at doses which had no effect on non-dependent mice. Investigators at UCLA received funding from the NIAAA to conduct a study to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled within-subject crossover design to determine the safety, tolerability and initial human laboratory efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) in a sample of 24 non-treatment seeking individuals with either alcohol abuse or dependence. The study was initiated in early 2014 and completed enrollment of 24 subjects in June 2015. Results of the alcohol dependence study were presented at the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ACNP)'s 54th Annual Meeting in December 2015. MN-166 (ibudilast), but not placebo, significantly decreased basal, daily alcohol craving over the course of the study ($p < 0.05$). MN-166 (ibudilast) did not affect cue- and stress-induced alcohol craving. However, MN-166 (ibudilast) increased positive mood during both the cue reactivity and stress procedures. MN-166 (ibudilast) was safe and well-tolerated during the study. In May 2018, we announced plans to initiate an NIH-funded clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in alcohol dependence and withdrawal in collaboration with researchers at UCLA. This clinical trial, which is currently ongoing, is evaluating whether MN-166 (ibudilast) reduces basal level negative affect during abstinence, and in doing so, will interfere with alcohol-induced blunting of negative affectivity. In August 2018, we announced a new NIAAA-funded Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in alcohol dependence in collaboration with researchers at UCLA. This clinical trial, which is currently ongoing, is evaluating whether MN-166 (ibudilast) will decrease the percentage of heavy drinking days (defined as ≥ 5 drinks for men and ≥ 4 drinks for women), as compared to placebo, over the course of the 12-week trial.

Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy: Peripheral neuropathy is a set of symptoms caused by damage to peripheral nerves, the nerves that are away from the brain and spinal cord. Some of the chemotherapy and other drugs used to treat cancer can damage peripheral nerves which carry sensations to the brain and control the movement of the arms and legs. This damage results in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (CIPN) which can be a disabling side effect of cancer treatment. Common symptoms of CIPN include pain, burning, tingling, loss of feeling, coordination and balance problems, muscle weakness, trouble swallowing and passing urine, constipation, and blood pressure changes. Severe CIPN may require chemotherapy dose reduction or cessation. According to a meta-analysis which included more than 4,000 patients, CIPN prevalence was 68% when measured in the first month after chemotherapy, 60% at 3 months, and 30% at 6 months or more ("Incidence, prevalence, and predictors of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy: A systematic review and meta-analysis," Seretny M et al 2014). In March 2018, we announced plans to initiate a clinical trial to evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) in chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy which is funded by the University of Sydney Concord Cancer Centre in Australia. This is an ongoing, open-label, sequential cross-over pilot study assessing acute neurotoxicity, chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, and drug interactions of MN-166 (ibudilast) in patients with metastatic gastrointestinal cancer (colorectal cancer and upper gastrointestinal cancers) who are receiving oxaliplatin.

Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy: Degenerative cervical myelopathy (DCM), also known as cervical spondylotic myelopathy, involves spinal cord dysfunction from compression in the neck. Degenerative cervical myelopathy is the most common form of spinal cord impairment in adults and results in disability and reduced quality of life. Patients report neurological symptoms such as pain and numbness in limbs, poor coordination, imbalance, and bladder problems. According to the American Association of Neurological Surgeons, more than 200,000 cervical procedures are performed each year to relieve compression on the spinal cord or nerve roots. There are no pharmaceuticals approved for the treatment of DCM. In August 2018, we announced plans to initiate a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in DCM in collaboration with the University of Cambridge. The trial, which is funded by a grant from the

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) in the United Kingdom (UK), will evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) as an adjuvant treatment for DCM following spinal surgery to determine whether MN-166 (ibudilast) is more effective than placebo in improving outcomes after spinal surgery. The primary endpoint is the modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association (mJOA) Score, which evaluates motor dysfunction in upper and lower extremities, loss of sensation, and bladder sphincter dysfunction, at 6 months after surgery.

Glioblastoma: According to the American Association of Neurological Surgeons, glioblastoma is an aggressive brain tumor that develops from glial cells (astrocytes and oligodendrocytes), grows rapidly, and commonly spreads

into nearby brain tissue. The American Brain Tumor Association reports that glioblastomas represent about 15% of all primary brain tumors and 56% of all gliomas. Glioblastoma has the highest number of cases of all malignant tumors, with an estimated 12,760 new cases predicted for 2018. Median survival is about 14.6 months for adults with more aggressive glioblastoma treated with temozolomide and radiation therapy. In June 2017, we announced positive results from an animal model study that examined the potential clinical efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of glioblastoma which were presented at the 2017 American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) Annual Meeting. Results of the glioblastoma mouse model study showed that median survival was higher in the group that received combination treatment with MN-166 (ibudilast) plus temozolomide compared to the group that received temozolomide alone. In May 2018, we announced that the Investigational New Drug Application (IND) for MN-166 (ibudilast) for treatment of glioblastoma was accepted and opened with the FDA. We were also informed by the FDA that the proposed clinical investigation of MN-166 (ibudilast) in combination with temozolomide for treatment of glioblastoma may proceed. In October 2018, we announced that the FDA granted orphan-drug designation to MN-166 (ibudilast) as adjunctive therapy to temozolomide for the treatment of glioblastoma. In January 2019, we announced the initiation of enrollment in a clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) in combination with temozolomide (TMZ, Temodar ®) for the treatment of recurrent glioblastoma at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute in Boston.

MN-221 (bedoradrine)

MN-221 (bedoradrine) is a novel, highly selective β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist which has been developed for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma. We licensed MN-221 (bedoradrine) from Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Kissei) in February 2004. Current inhaled beta-agonist treatments for asthma exacerbations are limited by bronchoconstriction or insufficient airflow due to inflammation and airway constriction, which reduces the amount of inhaled drug that can get into the lungs. In addition, the amount of inhaled treatments a patient can tolerate is limited due to the potential for cardiovascular side effects (e.g. increased heart rate).

MN-221 (bedoradrine) is designed to treat acute exacerbations of asthma via intravenous (i.v.) infusion, bypassing constricted airways to deliver the drug to the lungs. Preclinical studies showed MN-221 (bedoradrine) to have a high affinity for the β_2 -adrenergic receptor, found primarily in the lungs, and a much lower affinity for the β_1 -adrenergic receptor found primarily in cardiac tissue. MN-221 (bedoradrine)'s improved delivery to the lungs and its cardiac safety profile has potential to help fill an unmet need for patients with acute exacerbations of asthma, helping them to breathe easier and avoid a costly hospital stay.

Acute Exacerbation of Asthma: According to the most recent data available from the United States National Center for Health Statistics, there were 1.74 million emergency department visits due to asthma in 2015, 439,000 hospitalizations due to asthma in 2010 (the most recent year for which data is available), and 3,518 deaths due to asthma in 2016. According to the United States National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, the direct costs associated with hospital care due to asthma were estimated at \$5.5 billion in the United States in 2010 (the most recent year for which data is available).

We completed a Phase 2b randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial (N=175) evaluating MN-221 (bedoradrine) in patients with acute exacerbations of asthma in the emergency department setting. MN-221 (bedoradrine) did not statistically meet the primary endpoint, improvement in FEV₁ (Forced Expiratory Volume in One Second) compared to placebo. However, MN-221 (bedoradrine) treatment demonstrated statistically significant improvements in endpoints associated with Dyspnea Index scores. MN-221 (bedoradrine) treatment significantly increased (improved) the change from baseline in Dyspnea Index scale score over Hours 0-3 compared to placebo (based on AUC [0-3 hr], p = 0.0405), significantly increased the change from baseline in Dyspnea Index scale scores at Hour 2 compared to placebo (based on mean score, p = 0.0042), and significantly increased the percentage of subjects who had improvement in the Dyspnea Index score ≥ 1 point at Hour 2 compared to placebo (p = 0.0323). A post-hoc analysis was performed to evaluate the Treatment Failure rate defined as the number of subjects who were either hospitalized or who returned to the emergency department during the course of the study. In subjects who received corticosteroids greater than 3 hours prior to study drug infusion, the number of treatment failures was significantly greater in the placebo group (74%) versus the MN-221 (bedoradrine) group (43%), p=0.0489. No safety/tolerability issues of clinical significance were observed.

In October 2012, we met with the FDA to review future development of this product candidate. The FDA identified the risk/benefit profile of MN-221 (bedoradrine) as a focal point for further development and advised that a clinical outcome, such as a reduction in hospitalizations, would need to be a pivotal trial primary endpoint. We have decided that any future MN-221 (bedoradrine) development will be designed based on the feedback received from the FDA and that any future MN-221 (bedoradrine) clinical trial development for asthma will be partner-dependent from a funding perspective.

MN-001 (tipelukast)

MN-001 (tipelukast) is a novel, orally bioavailable small molecule compound which exerts its effects through several mechanisms to produce its anti-fibrotic and anti-inflammatory activity in preclinical models, including leukotriene (LT) receptor antagonism, inhibition of PDEs (mainly 3 and 4), and inhibition of 5-lipoxygenase (5-LO). The 5-LO/LT pathway has been postulated as a pathogenic factor in fibrosis development and the inhibitory effect of MN-001 (tipelukast) on 5-LO and the 5-LO/LT pathway is considered to be a novel approach to treat fibrosis. MN-001 (tipelukast) has been shown to down-regulate expression of genes that promote fibrosis including LOXL2, Collagen Type 1 and TIMP-1. MN-001 (tipelukast) has also been shown to down-regulate expression of genes that promote inflammation including CCR2 and MCP-1. In addition, histopathological data shows that MN-001 (tipelukast) reduces fibrosis in multiple animal models. We licensed MN-001 (tipelukast) from Kyorin in 2002. In addition to granting MN-001 (tipelukast) Fast Track designation for the treatment of NASH with fibrosis, the FDA has also granted MN-001 (tipelukast) Orphan-Drug designation and Fast Track designation for treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF).

Previously, we evaluated MN-001 (tipelukast) for its potential clinical efficacy in asthma and completed a Phase 2 study in asthma with positive results. MN-001 (tipelukast) has been exposed to more than 600 subjects and is considered generally safe and well-tolerated.

Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD): Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) is a condition in which there is fat in the liver along with inflammation and damage to liver cells. NASH is a common liver disease that resembles alcoholic liver disease but occurs in people who drink little or no alcohol. According to the United States National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK), NASH prevalence in adults in the United States is 3-12%, and an additional 30-40% of adult Americans have nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). The underlying cause of NASH is unclear, but it most often occurs in persons who are middle-aged and overweight or obese. Many patients with NASH have elevated serum lipids, diabetes or pre-diabetes. Progression of NASH can lead to liver cirrhosis. Liver transplantation is the only treatment for advanced cirrhosis with liver failure. At this time, there is no pharmaceutical treatment approved for NASH.

We completed a pre-clinical study evaluating the potential clinical efficacy of MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of NASH. MN-001 (tipelukast) administered orally once daily (10, 30, and 100 mg/kg for three weeks) was evaluated in the STAM™ (NASH-HCC) mouse model of NASH, as measured by liver biochemistry and histopathology, NAFLD activity score (NAS), and percent of fibrosis and gene expression. MN-001 (tipelukast), in a dose-dependent manner, significantly reduced fibrosis area compared with placebo ($p < 0.01$) as demonstrated by a reduction in liver

hydroxyproline content, supporting the anti-fibrotic properties of MN-001 (tipelukast). MN-001 (tipelukast) significantly improved NAS ($p < 0.01$). MN-001 (tipelukast), in this animal model, improved NASH pathology by inhibiting hepatocyte damage ($p < 0.01$) and ballooning ($p < 0.01$). At the same time, MN-001 (tipelukast) was also shown to reduce certain gene expression levels in the liver, thus implying that MN-001 (tipelukast) reduces the formation of fibrosis in the NASH model. We completed a second preclinical study that examined the potential clinical efficacy of MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of advanced NASH. This study used mice in more advanced stages of NASH as compared to the first study of MN-001 (tipelukast) in a NASH mouse model. MN-001 (tipelukast) showed anti-NASH and anti-fibrotic effects in the advanced NASH mouse model. NAFLD activity score (NAS) was significantly reduced in the MN-001 (tipelukast)-treated group compared to the non-treated group ($p < 0.001$). The reduction was observed consistently in all NAS components including hepatocyte ballooning score ($p < 0.001$), lobular inflammation score ($p < 0.01$), and steatosis score ($p < 0.05$). Percent fibrosis area was also reduced in the MN-001 (tipelukast) treated group ($p < 0.01$). In addition, alpha-SMA-positive staining area was significantly reduced in the MN-001 (tipelukast)-treated group ($p < 0.001$). Collectively, these results provided compelling evidence that MN-001 (tipelukast) warrants further evaluation for the treatment of NASH in humans. We have an open IND and the FDA has approved two different Phase 2 clinical trial protocols for

MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of NASH in the United States. In April 2018, we announced that we would terminate early the Phase 2 clinical trial of MN-001 (tipelukast) in NASH and NAFLD patients with hypertriglyceridemia based on the significant positive results from an interim analysis. This data was presented at the International Liver Congress 2018, the 53rd annual meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) in Paris, France in April 2018. MN-001 (tipelukast) significantly reduced mean serum triglycerides by 135.7 mg/dL, resulting in a 41.3% reduction ($p=0.02$), which includes the data from the 15 subjects who completed 8 weeks of treatment. Excluding one outlier with an extremely high triglyceride level of 1288 mg/dL before treatment, MN-001 (tipelukast) significantly reduced mean serum triglycerides by 74.9 mg/dL, resulting in a 28.8% reduction ($p=0.00006$). The FDA has granted Fast Track designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of patients with NASH with fibrosis.

Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF): Pulmonary fibrosis (PF) is a progressive disease characterized by scarring of the lungs that thickens the lining, causing an irreversible loss of the tissue's ability to transport oxygen. The causes of PF vary and can be due to anti-cancer drug therapy or exposure to chemicals. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is one type of PF without a clear cause. According to the Pulmonary Fibrosis Foundation, IPF affects between 132,000 – 200,000 people in the United States, and an estimated 50,000 new cases are diagnosed annually. The prognosis for IPF is poor with a median survival of only two to three years following diagnosis and more than two-thirds of IPF patients die within five years.

We completed a pre-clinical study evaluating the potential clinical efficacy of MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of pulmonary fibrosis. MN-001 (tipelukast), which was administered orally once daily (30, 100 and 300 mg/kg) for two weeks, was evaluated in a mouse model of bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis (PF) as measured by CT evaluation of lung density, degree of pulmonary fibrosis using the Ashcroft score based on histopathological staining, and hydroxyproline content, which is an indicator of fibrosis or storage of collagen in tissue. MN-001 (tipelukast) significantly decreased the Ashcroft score compared to Vehicle group ($p<0.05$) after two weeks of treatment and MN-001 (tipelukast) reduced lung density when compared to the Vehicle-treated group. Moreover, lung hydroxyproline content was significantly reduced compared to the Vehicle group ($p<0.01$). These results show that treatment with MN-001 (tipelukast) has significant anti-fibrogenic effects in bleomycin-induced pulmonary fibrosis in mice. We have an open IND and the FDA approved a Phase 2 clinical trial protocol for MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of IPF in the United States. A Phase 2 clinical trial of MN-001 (tipelukast) in IPF is currently ongoing at Penn State. The FDA has granted Orphan-Drug designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for treatment of IPF. Orphan-Drug designation will provide seven years of marketing exclusivity for MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of IPF if it is approved for this indication. The FDA has also granted Fast Track designation to MN-001 (tipelukast) for the treatment of patients with IPF.

MN-029 (denibulin)

MN-029 (denibulin) is a novel tubulin binding agent (TBA) under development for the treatment of solid tumors. It exerts its activity through reversible inhibition of tubulin polymerization resulting in disruption of the cell cytoskeleton, which causes the cancer cells to deform in shape and ultimately leads to extensive central necrosis of the solid tumor. We licensed MN-029 (denibulin) from Angiogene Pharmaceuticals, Ltd. (Angiogene) in 2002.

Several preclinical pharmacology studies have assessed the mechanism of action and anti-tumor activity of MN-029 (denibulin) in vivo in rodent models of breast adenocarcinoma, colon carcinoma, lung carcinoma and KHT sarcoma. In these studies, MN-029 (denibulin) damaged poorly formed tumor blood vessels by weakening tumor blood vessel walls and causing leakage, clotting and eventual vascular shutdown within the tumor, in addition to the direct effect over tumor cells. These studies suggest that MN-029 (denibulin) acts quickly and is rapidly cleared from the body, which may reduce the potential for some adverse effects commonly associated with chemotherapy. Shutdown of tumor blood flow in tumor models was confirmed through the use of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging. In two Phase I clinical studies we conducted, MN-029 (denibulin) was well-tolerated at doses that reduced tumor blood flow.

The first Phase 1 trial determined the safety, tolerability, and maximum tolerated dose (MTD) level of single doses of MN-029 (denibulin) given every three weeks in 34 subjects with refractory cancer. The MTD was determined to be 180 mg/m² and appeared to be safe as a single i.v. dose administered every three weeks for as many as 25 cycles. There were no clinically significant changes in routine laboratory assessments, vital signs, or

ECG monitoring. The most commonly reported adverse events (AEs) were similar to other chemotherapies—vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, and fatigue. There were a total of nine serious adverse events (SAEs) and study discontinuations due to AEs. In a preliminary evaluation of anti-tumor activity, no patient had a complete response or partial response; however stable disease was seen in 12 patients. MN-029 (denibulin) had a desired vascular effect in seven of 11 patients that were administered drug at dose levels of ≥ 120 mg/m². Nine patients continued into extended cycles of treatment.

The second Phase 1 study was conducted to determine the safety, tolerability and MTD of single doses of MN-029 (denibulin) given every seven days for a total of three doses (Days 1, 8 and 15), followed by 13-day recovery (Days 16-28) in subjects with advanced/metastatic solid tumor cancer. Subjects who tolerated treatment with MN-029 (denibulin) could receive additional cycles. All 20 subjects reported at least one AE related to study drug. The most common AEs considered related to study drug were vomiting, nausea, arthralgia and headache. There were no clinically significant changes in routine laboratory assessments, vital signs or ECG monitoring. There was one SAE considered unrelated to study drug. Consistent with the previous Phase 1 trial, MN-029 (denibulin) up to dose levels of 180 mg/m² appeared to be safe and well tolerated. One subject had a partial response which lasted for 74 days. Stable disease was observed in seven subjects. The results suggested an effect of MN-029 (denibulin) on vascular perfusion; however, a larger sample size is warranted.

In January 2014, we were granted a new patent from the United States Patent and Trademark Office which covers MN-029 (denibulin) di-hydrochloride. The patent, which will expire no earlier than July 2032, has claims that cover a compound, pharmaceutical composition and method of treating certain cell proliferation diseases, including solid tumors, based on denibulin di-hydrochloride. We have filed patent applications based on this U.S. patent in certain foreign countries, and most of them have been granted.

Table 1 Product Candidates and Programs—MN-166 (ibudilast)

Indication	Clinical Study	Principal Investigator /Institution /Funding Agency(s)	Status
Primary Progressive and Secondary Progressive Multiple Sclerosis	A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability and Activity of Ibudilast (MN-166 (ibudilast)) in Subjects with Progressive Multiple Sclerosis	Robert J. Fox, M.D., M.S., FAAN Cleveland Clinic National Institute on Neurological Diseases and Stroke MediciNova, Inc.	Completed

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)	A Single-Center, Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Six Month Clinical Trial Followed by an Open-Label Extension to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability, and Clinical Endpoint Responsiveness of Ibudilast (MN-166 (ibudilast)) in Subjects with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)	Benjamin R. Brooks, M.D. Carolinas HealthCare System Neurosciences Institute MediciNova, Inc.	Completed
-------------------------------------	---	--	-----------

ALS / Biomarker	A Biomarker Study to Evaluate MN-166 (ibudilast) (Ibudilast) in Subjects with Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)	Nazem Atassi, M.D., MMSc Massachusetts General Hospital MediciNova, Inc.	Ongoing
-----------------	---	--	---------

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy	A multi-centre, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial assessing the efficacy of Ibudilast as an adjuvant treatment to decompressive surgery for degenerative cervical myelopathy	Dr. Mark Kotter University of Cambridge National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) in the U.K.	Enrollment expected to begin in mid-2019
Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy	A pilot study evaluating the impact of ibudilast on prevention of chemotherapy-induced acute neurotoxicity and evaluating pharmacokinetics with oxaliplatin in gastro-intestinal cancer patients receiving oxaliplatin	Dr. Janette Vardy University of Sydney Concord Cancer Centre in Australia	Ongoing
Glioblastoma	Phase 1b/2a Multi-center, Open-label, Dose Escalation Study to Evaluate the Safety, Tolerability and Efficacy of MN-166 (ibudilast) and Temozolomide Combination Treatment in Patients With Recurrent Glioblastoma	Patrick Y. Wen, M.D., Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Kerrie McDonald, Ph.D., University of New South Wales MediciNova, Inc.	Ongoing
Substance Dependence / Addiction:			
Methamphetamine Dependence	Randomized Trial of Ibudilast for Methamphetamine Dependence	Keith Heinzerling, M.D., MPH UCLA National Institute on Drug Abuse	Completed
Methamphetamine Dependence / Biomarker	Effect of Ibudilast on Neuroinflammation in Methamphetamine Users	Milky Kohno, Ph.D. and William Hoffman, M.D., Ph.D. Oregon Health & Science Univ.	Ongoing

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Opioid Dependence	Effects of Ibudilast (MN-166 (ibudilast)), a Glial Activation Inhibitor, on Oxycodone Self-Administration in Opioid Abusers	Sandra D. Comer, Ph.D. Columbia University/NYSPI National Institute on Drug Abuse	Completed
Alcohol Dependence	Development of Ibudilast (MN-166 (ibudilast)) as a Novel Treatment for Alcoholism	MediciNova, Inc. Lara Ray, Ph.D. UCLA National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism	Completed

17

Alcohol Dependence and Withdrawal	Ibutilast (MN-166 (ibutilast)) and Withdrawal-Related Dysphoria	Lara Ray, Ph.D.	Ongoing
		UCLA	
		National Institute on Drug Abuse	
Alcohol Dependence	Ibutilast (MN-166 (ibutilast)) for the Treatment of Alcohol Use Disorder	Lara Ray, Ph.D.	Ongoing
		UCLA	
		National Institute on Alcohol Abuse	
		and Alcoholism	

Sales and Marketing

We currently have no marketing and sales capabilities and we expect to rely on strategic partners to commercialize our products.

Manufacturing

We rely on third parties to manufacture bulk active pharmaceutical ingredients (API) and finished investigational products for research, development, preclinical and clinical trials. We expect to continue to rely on third-party manufacturers for the manufacture of the API and finished products for our clinical and any future commercial production requirements. We believe that there are several manufacturing sources available at commercially reasonable terms to meet our clinical requirements and any future commercial production requirements for the API of our products and the finished drug products.

For the MN-166 (ibutilast) development program, we have historically sourced and imported delayed-release ibutilast capsules, marketed in Japan as Pinatos[®], from Taisho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Taisho). In addition, we have begun using contract manufacturers to manufacture API and finished product for the MN-166 (ibutilast) development program.

Pursuant to the terms of our license agreement with Kissei for MN-221 (bedoradrine), Kissei has the exclusive right to manufacture the commercial supply of the API for MN-221 (bedoradrine). If we enter into a supply agreement with Kissei, we will purchase from Kissei all API that we require for the commercial supply of MN-221 (bedoradrine), if this product candidate is approved for commercial sale by the FDA or other regulatory authorities.

Intellectual Property and License Agreements

Since our inception in September 2000, we have entered into license agreements with pharmaceutical companies which cover our current product candidates. We have also entered into license agreements with universities which cover additional intellectual property related to our product candidates. In general, we seek to procure patent protection for our anticipated products, or obtain such protection from the relevant patents owned by our licensors. Although the majority of our licensed patents have expired, we hold licensed rights to one issued foreign patent that is not expired. In addition to these licensed rights, we hold 24 issued U.S. patents and have filed nine additional U.S. patent applications. We also hold 36 issued foreign patents and 40 pending foreign patent applications corresponding to these U.S. patents and patent applications. We are not aware of any third-party infringement of the patents owned or licensed by us and are not party to any material claims by third parties of infringement by us of such third parties' intellectual property rights. The following is a description of our existing license agreements and intellectual property rights for each of our product candidates.

MN-166 (ibudilast)

On October 22, 2004, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Kyorin for the development and commercialization of MN-166 (ibudilast). Kyorin is a fully integrated Japanese pharmaceutical company and is listed on the First Section of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. We obtained an exclusive, worldwide (excluding Japan, China, South Korea and Taiwan), sub-licensable license to the patent rights related to MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of MS, except for ophthalmic solution formulations. MN-166 (ibudilast) is not covered by a composition of matter patent. The United States method of use patent for MN-166 (ibudilast) in MS underlying the license expired on August 10, 2018. Corresponding method of use patents in certain foreign countries also expired on August 10, 2018. Under the terms of the agreement, we granted to Kyorin an exclusive, royalty-free, sub-licensable license to use the preclinical, clinical and regulatory databases to develop ophthalmic products incorporating the MN-166 (ibudilast) compound anywhere in the world and non-ophthalmic products incorporating the MN-166 (ibudilast) compound outside of our territory.

The license agreement may be terminated by either party following an uncured breach of any material provision in the agreement by the other party. We may terminate the agreement for any reason with 90 days' written notice to

Kyorin or, in the event that a third party claims that MN-166 (ibudilast) infringes upon such third party's intellectual property rights, with 30 days' written notice.

The term of this agreement is determined on a country-by-country basis and extends until the later of the expiration of the obligation to make payments under the agreement or the last date on which the manufacture, use or sale of the product would infringe a valid patent claim held by Kyorin but for the license granted by the agreement or the last date of the applicable market exclusivity period. In the absence of a valid patent claim and generic competition in a particular country, the agreement will expire on the earlier of five years from the date of the first commercial sale of the product by us or the end of the second consecutive calendar quarter in which generic competition exists in such country.

Under the license agreement, we have paid Kyorin \$700,000 to date, and we are obligated to make payments of up to \$5.0 million based on the achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones. We are also obligated to pay a royalty on net sales of the licensed products.

We own, co-own or hold licenses to seven issued U.S. patents and eight pending U.S. patent applications as well as 22 issued foreign patents and eleven pending foreign patent applications covering MN-166 (ibudilast) and its analogs. These patents and patent applications are related to our development portfolio and are primarily directed to methods of treating various indications using MN-166 (ibudilast) and its analogs.

We have been granted a U.S. patent which covers the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of progressive forms of MS. This patent will expire no earlier than November 2029, not including a potential extension under patent term restoration rules, and covers a method of treating PPMS or SPMS by administering MN-166 (ibudilast). Counterparts of this patent application have been granted in certain foreign jurisdictions. We have been granted a U.S. patent which covers the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and it expires no earlier than January 2029. We have been granted a patent which covers the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of drug addiction or drug dependence or withdrawal syndrome in the United States and it expires no earlier than January 2030. Counterparts of this patent application have been granted in certain foreign jurisdictions. We have been granted a patent which covers the use of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of neuropathic pain in the United States and it expires no earlier than December 2025.

MN-221 (bedoradrine)

On February 25, 2004, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Kissei for the development and commercialization of MN-221 (bedoradrine). Kissei is a fully integrated Japanese pharmaceutical company and is listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange. We obtained an exclusive, worldwide (excluding Japan), sub-licensable license to various patent rights and know-how related to MN-221 (bedoradrine) and other compounds disclosed or included in, or covered by, these patent rights, for all indications. This license includes an exclusive license under one U.S. patent and certain corresponding patents in foreign countries and is sub-licensable upon receipt of the written consent

of Kissei. The United States composition of matter patent underlying the license issued on October 17, 2000 and it expired on February 18, 2017. Most of the corresponding composition of matter patents in various other countries also expired on February 18, 2017.

In addition to the licensed patents, we have filed patent applications in the United States and certain foreign countries regarding additional uses and formulations of MN-221 (bedoradrine). We have been granted a U.S. patent which covers the use of MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma and it expires no earlier than November 2030. This patent includes claims covering the use of MN-221 (bedoradrine) in combination with a standard of care treatment regimen and covers different routes of administration, including intravenous, oral and inhalation. We have been granted a U.S. patent that covers the use of MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome and it expires no earlier than April 2031.

The license agreement may be terminated by either party following an uncured breach of any material provision in the agreement by the other party, and we may terminate the agreement for scientific or commercial reasons upon 100 days' prior written notice to Kissei during the development phase and 180 days' prior written notice to Kissei during the commercialization phase.

The term of the agreement is determined on a country-by-country basis and extends until the expiration of the last Kissei patent (or equivalent) under license to expire or in the event that a valid claim does not exist or, if a valid claim expired more than ten years from the date of first commercial sale, ten years from the date of first commercial sale. In either case, the term of the agreement would not extend for any particular country past the date on which generic competition exists in such country.

Under the license agreement, we have paid Kissei \$1.0 million to date, and we are obligated to make payments of up to \$17.0 million based on the achievement of certain clinical and regulatory milestones. We are also obligated to pay a royalty on net sales of the licensed products. Under the terms of a letter agreement we entered into with Kissei in September 2011, we agreed to renegotiate in good faith with Kissei the existing levels of the milestone payment amounts and royalty rates.

MN-001 (tipelukast)

On March 14, 2002, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Kyorin for the development and commercialization of MN-001 (tipelukast). We obtained an exclusive, worldwide (excluding Japan, China, South Korea and Taiwan) sub-licensable license to the patent rights and know-how related to MN-001 (tipelukast) and its active metabolite, MN-002, disclosed and included in, or covered by, these patents, in all indications, except for ophthalmic solution formulations. This license included an exclusive, sub-licensable license under two U.S. patents and certain corresponding patents in foreign countries. The United States composition of matter patent for MN-001 (tipelukast) underlying the license expired on February 23, 2009, and the United States composition of matter patent for MN-002 underlying the license expired on December 30, 2011. Foreign composition of matter patents for MN-001 (tipelukast) and MN-002 have also expired. We have been granted 14 U.S. patents covering certain compositions, uses and manufacturing processes associated with MN-001 (tipelukast) and MN-002. Uses covered by these patents include nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), advanced NASH with fibrosis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), steatosis, hypertriglyceridemia, hypercholesterolemia, hyperlipoproteinemia, fibrosis, ulcerative colitis, interstitial cystitis, and irritable bowel syndrome. Patent applications corresponding to these U.S. patents have been filed in certain foreign countries and some of the foreign patents have issued.

Under the terms of the agreement, we granted to Kyorin an exclusive, royalty-free, sub-licensable license to use the preclinical, clinical and regulatory databases to develop ophthalmic products incorporating MN-001 (tipelukast) anywhere in the world and non-ophthalmic products incorporating MN-001 (tipelukast) outside of our territory. The license agreement may be terminated by either party following an uncured breach of any material provision in the agreement by the other party, and we may terminate the agreement for any reason with 90 days' written notice to Kyorin or, in the event that a third party claims that the licensed patent rights or know-how infringe upon such third party's intellectual property rights, with 30 days' written notice.

The term of this agreement is determined on a country-by-country basis and extends until the later of the expiration of the obligation to make payments under the agreement or the last date on which the manufacture, use or sale of the

product would infringe a valid patent claim held by Kyorin but for the license granted by the agreement or the last date of the applicable market exclusivity period. In the absence of a valid patent claim and generic competition in a particular country, the agreement will expire on the earlier of five years from the date of the first commercial sale of the product by us or the end of the second consecutive calendar quarter in which generic competition exists in such country.

Under the license agreement, we have paid Kyorin \$4.0 million to date, and we are obligated to make payments of up to \$5.0 million based on the achievement of clinical and regulatory milestones. We are also obligated to pay a royalty on net sales of the licensed products.

MN-029 (denibulin)

On June 19, 2002, we entered into an exclusive license agreement with Angiogene for the development and commercialization of the ANG-600 series of compounds. Angiogene is a privately held, British drug discovery company. We obtained an exclusive, worldwide, sub-licensable license to the patent rights and know-how related to

the ANG-600 series of compounds disclosed in and included or covered by these patents for all indications. MN-029 (denibulin) is one of the ANG-600 series compounds covered by this license. We have been granted a U.S. patent which covers MN-029 (denibulin) di-hydrochloride and expires no earlier than July 2032. The allowed claims cover a compound, pharmaceutical composition and method of treating certain cell proliferation diseases, including solid tumors, based on denibulin di-hydrochloride. Patent applications corresponding to this U.S. patent were filed in certain foreign countries and patents have been granted or allowed in some of those countries.

The license agreement may be terminated by either party following an uncured breach of any material provision in the agreement by the other party, and we may terminate the agreement at any time by giving 30 days' advance written notice to Angiogene.

The term of this agreement is determined on a country-by-country basis and extends until the earlier of the expiration of the last Angiogene patent (or equivalent) under license which has a valid claim to expire or 15 years from the date of first commercial sale.

Under the license agreement, we have paid Angiogene \$1.4 million to date and are obligated to make payments of up to \$16.5 million based on the achievement of clinical and regulatory milestones. We are also obligated to pay a royalty on net sales of the licensed products.

General

Our proposed commercial activities may conflict with patents which have been or may be granted to competitors, universities and/or others. Third parties could bring legal action against us, our licensors or our sub-licensees claiming patent infringement and could seek damages or enjoin manufacturing and marketing of the affected product or its use or the use of a process for the manufacturing of such products. If any such actions were to be successful, in addition to any potential liability for indemnification, damages and attorneys' fees in certain cases, we could be required to obtain a license, which may not be available on commercially reasonable terms or at all, in order to continue to manufacture, use or market the affected product. We also rely upon unpatented proprietary technology because, in some cases, our interests would be better served by reliance on trade secrets or confidentiality agreements than by patents. However, others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques or gain access to or disclose such proprietary technology. We may not be able to meaningfully protect our rights in such unpatented proprietary technology. We may also conduct research on other pharmaceutical compounds or technologies, the rights to which may be held by, or be subject to patent rights of, third parties. Accordingly, if products based on such research are commercialized, such commercial activities may infringe patents or other rights, which may require us to obtain a license to such patents or other rights. We are not aware of any third-party infringements of patents we hold or have licensed and have not received any material claims by third parties of infringement by us of such parties' intellectual property rights.

There can be no assurance that patent applications filed by us or others, in which we have an interest as assignee, licensee or prospective licensee, will result in patents being issued or that, if issued, any of such patents will afford protection against competitors with similar technology or products or could not be circumvented or challenged. For example, we have U.S. patents covering the method of treating progressive MS with MN-166 (ibudilast), the method of treating ALS with MN-166 (ibudilast), the method of treating drug addiction or drug dependence with MN-166 (ibudilast), and the method of treating neuropathic pain with MN-166 (ibudilast), but we do not have any composition of matter patent claims for MN-166 (ibudilast) because that patent has expired. As a result, unrelated third parties may develop products with the same API as MN-166 (ibudilast) so long as such parties do not infringe our method of use patents, other patents we have exclusive rights to through our licensors or any patents we may obtain for MN-166 (ibudilast).

In addition, if we develop certain products that are not covered by any patents, we will be dependent on obtaining market exclusivity under the new chemical entity exclusivity provisions of Hatch-Waxman Act for such products in the United States and/or data exclusivity provisions in Europe. If we are unable to obtain strong proprietary protection for our products after obtaining regulatory approval, competitors may be able to market competing generic products by taking advantage of an abbreviated procedure for obtaining regulatory clearance, including the ability to demonstrate bioequivalency to our product(s) without being required to conduct lengthy

clinical trials. Certain of our license agreements provide for reduced or foregone royalties in the event of generic competition.

Competition

The development and commercialization of new drugs is extremely competitive and characterized by extensive research efforts and rapid technological progress. Competition in our industry occurs on a variety of fronts, including developing and bringing new products to market before others, developing new products to provide the same benefits as existing products at lower cost and developing new products to provide benefits superior to those of existing products. We face competition from pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, as well as numerous academic and research institutions and governmental agencies in the United States and abroad. Some of these competitors have products or are pursuing the development of drugs that target the same diseases and conditions that are the focus of our product development programs. Many of our competitors have products that have been approved or are in advanced development and may succeed in developing drugs that are more effective, safer, more affordable or more easily administered than ours or that achieve patent protection or commercialization sooner than our products. Our competitors may also develop alternative therapies that could further limit the market for any products that we are able to obtain approval for, if at all.

In many of our target disease areas, potential competitors are working to develop new compounds with different mechanisms of action and attractive efficacy and safety profiles. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, research and development resources (including personnel and technology), clinical trial experience, manufacturing, sales and marketing capabilities and production facilities than we do. Smaller companies also may prove to be significant competitors, particularly through proprietary research discoveries and collaboration arrangements with large pharmaceutical and established biotechnology companies.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Progressive Multiple Sclerosis (Progressive MS)

Our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate is in development for the treatment of progressive MS. Only one drug, mitoxantrone, is approved for the treatment of secondary progressive MS. However, mitoxantrone cannot be used on a long-term basis because of the potential for cardiac toxicity. Only one drug, Ocrevus (ocrelizumab) is approved for the treatment of primary progressive MS. Other programs in clinical development for progressive MS include Novartis's BAF312 (siponimod), MedDay's MD1003, and AB Science's masitinib.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS)

Our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate is also in development for the treatment of ALS. Riluzole and Radicava (edaravone) are approved for the treatment of ALS. We are aware of additional compounds in clinical development

for the treatment of ALS at other companies including Cytokinetics, BrainStorm Cell Therapeutics Inc., AB Science, Mallinckrodt, Biogen, and Amylyx Pharmaceuticals.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Substance Dependence and Addiction

Our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate is also in development for the treatment of opioid dependence, methamphetamine addiction, and alcohol dependence. Current treatments for opioid withdrawal symptoms include narcotics such as generic methadone and Indivior, Inc.'s Suboxone[®] Film (buprenorphine + the opioid antagonist naloxone). Other products approved for opioid dependence include Alkermes's Vivitrol[®] (naltrexone monthly injection), Orexo's Zubsolv[®] (buprenorphine and naloxone), BioDelivery Sciences's Bunavail[®] (buprenorphine and naloxone), Titan Pharmaceuticals Inc.'s Probuphine (buprenorphine) implant, and Indivior's Sublocade[™] (buprenorphine extended-release injection). In December 2018, Braeburn announced tentative FDA approval of BRXADI, an extended-release weekly and monthly injectable buprenorphine product, for the treatment of moderate to severe opioid use disorder. Limited non-narcotic drug candidates for opioid withdrawal symptoms exist. US WorldMeds, LLC's Lucemyra[™] (lofexidine) is a central alpha-2 adrenergic agonist approved for mitigation of opioid withdrawal symptoms to facilitate abrupt opioid discontinuation. There are no pharmaceuticals currently approved for the treatment of methamphetamine addiction. Approved treatments for alcohol dependence include Antabuse[®] (disulfiram), Vivitrol[®] (naltrexone), and generic acamprosate. We are aware of additional treatments in

development for the treatment of alcohol dependence at other companies including Indivior and Opiant Pharmaceuticals.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Chemotherapy-Induced Peripheral Neuropathy

Our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate is also in development for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. There are no pharmaceuticals currently approved for the treatment of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy. Duloxetine is sometimes used off-label for this indication.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Degenerative Cervical Myelopathy

Our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate is also in development for the treatment of degenerative cervical myelopathy. There are no pharmaceuticals currently approved for the treatment of degenerative cervical myelopathy.

MN-166 (ibudilast) for Glioblastoma

We have initiated clinical development of our MN-166 (ibudilast) product candidate for the treatment of glioblastoma. Surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy with the drug temozolomide is the current standard of treatment for glioblastoma. GLIADEL® WAFER (carmustine implant) and AVASTIN® (bevacizumab) are also approved for the treatment for glioblastoma. We are aware of additional compounds in development for the treatment of glioblastoma at companies including AbbVie, Celgene and Amgen.

MN-221 (bedoradrine) for Acute Exacerbations of Asthma

Our MN-221 (bedoradrine) product candidate has been developed for the treatment of acute exacerbations of asthma in the emergency room setting. The current standard of care for acute exacerbations of asthma is inhaled albuterol (a β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist), inhaled ipratropium (an anticholinergic) and oral or injected corticosteroids. In addition, subcutaneously administered terbutaline (a β_2 -adrenergic receptor agonist) is sometimes used to treat this condition, particularly in pediatric patients.

MN-001 (tipelukast) for Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH)

Our MN-001 (tipelukast) product candidate is being developed for the treatment of NASH. There are currently no therapeutic products approved for the treatment of NASH. We are aware of compounds in clinical development for the treatment of NASH at other companies including Intercept Pharmaceuticals, Genfit, Galectin Therapeutics, Gilead Sciences, Allergan (which acquired Tobira Therapeutics), Galmed Pharmaceuticals, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Conatus Pharmaceuticals.

MN-001 (tipelukast) for Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis (IPF)

Our MN-001 (tipelukast) product candidate is also being developed for the treatment of IPF. Products approved in the United States for treatment of IPF include Roche's (formerly InterMune) Esbriet® (pirfenidone) and Boehringer Ingelheim's OFEV® (nintedanib). Companies working on clinical development programs for treatment of IPF include Biogen and FibroGen.

MN-029 (denibulin) for Solid Tumor Cancer

Our MN-029 (denibulin) product candidate is being developed for the treatment of solid tumor cancers. Roche's Kadcyla®, a HER2-targeted antibody and microtubule inhibitor conjugate, is approved for treatment of patients with HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer who previously were treated with trastuzumab and a taxane. Bayer's Stivarga®, a kinase inhibitor approved for metastatic colorectal cancer, was also approved for patients with advanced, unresectable (not subject to surgical removal) or metastatic gastrointestinal stromal tumor. Other drugs approved for solid tumor cancers include Roche's Avastin and Xeloda, Amgen's Xgeva, Pfizer's Sutent, and Novartis's Afinitor. We are aware of additional compounds in development for the treatment of solid tumor cancers at companies including Eli Lilly, Roche, Novartis, Pfizer, Amgen and Celgene.

Government Regulation

Government authorities in the United States and other countries extensively regulate the research, development, testing, manufacture, labeling, promotion, advertising, distribution, sampling, marketing and import and export of pharmaceutical products and biologics such as those we are developing. In the United States, the FDA, under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, as amended, and other federal statutes and regulations, subjects pharmaceutical products to extensive and rigorous review. Any failure to comply with applicable requirements, both before and after approval, may subject us, our third-party manufacturers, contractors, suppliers and partners to administrative and judicial sanctions, such as a delay in approving or refusal to approve pending applications, fines, warning letters, product recalls, product seizures, total or partial suspension of manufacturing or marketing, injunctions and/or criminal prosecution.

United States Regulatory Approval

Overview. In the United States, drugs and drug testing are regulated by the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA, as well as state and local government authorities. All our product candidates in development will require regulatory approval by government agencies prior to commercialization. To obtain approval of a new product from the FDA, we must, among other requirements, submit data supporting safety and efficacy, as well as detailed information on the manufacture and composition of the product and proposed labeling. Our product candidates are in the early stages of testing and none has been approved. The steps required before a drug can be approved generally involve the following:

- completion of nonclinical laboratory, animal studies, and formulation studies;
- submission of an IND which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin in the United States;
- completion of adequate and well-controlled human clinical trials to establish the safety and efficacy of the product candidate for each indication for which approval is sought;
- submission to the FDA of a New Drug Application (NDA) accompanied by a substantial user fee;
- development of manufacturing processes which conform to FDA-mandated commercial good manufacturing practices (cGMPs) and satisfactory completion of FDA inspections to assess cGMP compliance and clinical investigator compliance with good clinical practices; and
- FDA review and approval of an NDA, which process may involve input from advisory committees to the FDA and may include post-approval commitments for further clinical studies and distribution restrictions intended to mitigate

drug risks.

The testing, collection of data, preparation of necessary applications and approval process requires substantial time, effort and financial resources. Additionally, statutes, rules, regulations and policies may change and new regulations may be issued that could delay approvals of our drugs. The FDA may not act quickly or favorably in reviewing our applications, and we may encounter significant difficulties and costs in our efforts to obtain FDA approvals that could delay or preclude us from marketing our product candidates.

Preclinical Tests. Preclinical tests include laboratory evaluation of the product candidate, its chemistry, toxicity, formulation and stability, as well as animal studies to assess the potential safety and efficacy of the product candidate. The results of the preclinical tests, together with manufacturing information, analytical data and other available information about the product candidate, are submitted to the FDA as part of an IND. Preclinical tests and studies can take several years to complete and, despite completion of those tests and studies, the FDA may not permit clinical testing to begin.

The IND Process. An IND must be effective to administer an investigational drug to humans. The IND will automatically become effective 30 days after its receipt by the FDA unless the FDA, before that time, places the

IND on clinical hold. At any time thereafter, the FDA may raise concerns or questions about the conduct of the trials as outlined in the IND and impose a clinical hold if the FDA deems it appropriate. In such case, the IND sponsor and the FDA must resolve any outstanding concerns before clinical trials can begin or continue. The IND application process may become extremely costly and substantially delay development of our product candidates. Moreover, positive results in preclinical tests or prior human studies do not necessarily predict positive results in subsequent clinical trials.

Annual progress reports detailing the results of the clinical trials must be submitted to the FDA and written IND safety reports must be promptly submitted to the FDA and the investigators for serious and unexpected adverse events or any findings from tests in laboratory animals that suggest a significant risk for human subjects.

Clinical Trials. Human clinical trials are typically conducted in three sequential phases that may overlap:

Phase 1: The drug candidate is initially introduced into a small number of healthy human subjects or patients and tested for safety, dosage tolerance, absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism. If the investigational product is considered inherently toxic to ethically administer to healthy volunteers, the initial human testing is often conducted in the target population.

Phase 2: The drug candidate is introduced into a limited patient population to assess the efficacy of the drug in specific, targeted indications, assess dosage tolerance and optimal dosage, and to identify possible adverse effects and safety risks.

Phase 3: The drug candidate is introduced into an expanded patient population at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites to further evaluate clinical efficacy and safety. The purpose of the Phase 3 trial is to conduct a risk/benefit analysis of the potential drug and provide an adequate basis for product labeling. It is common to have two adequate and well-controlled Phase 3 trials for the FDA to approve an NDA.

Prior to initiation of each clinical trial, an independent Institutional Review Board (IRB) for each medical site proposing to conduct the clinical trials must review and approve the study protocol and study subjects must provide informed consent for participation in the study.

We cannot be certain that we will successfully complete Phase 1, 2 or 3 testing of our drug candidates within any specific time period, if at all. Clinical trials must be conducted in accordance with the FDA's good clinical practices (GCP) requirements. The FDA may order the partial, temporary or permanent discontinuation of a clinical trial at any time or impose other sanctions if it believes that the clinical trial is not being conducted in accordance with FDA requirements or presents an unacceptable risk to the clinical trial patients. The IRB may also require the clinical trial at that site to be halted, either temporarily or permanently, for failure to comply with the IRB's requirements, or may impose other conditions. In addition, we may suspend or discontinue a clinical trial at any time for a variety of reasons, including a finding that the research subjects or patients are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk.

During the development of a new drug, we may request to meet with the FDA at times such as prior to submitting an IND, at the End-of-Phase 2 meeting, and before an NDA is submitted, and meetings are not limited to these certain times. The purpose of the End-of-Phase 2 meeting is to discuss the Phase 2 clinical trial results and present plans for a pivotal Phase 3 trial that, in our opinion, will support the approval of the new drug. Additional animal safety studies, formulation studies and pharmacology studies are concurrently conducted with the ongoing clinical trials. Also, in compliance with cGMP requirements, the process for manufacturing commercial quantities of the new drug is finalized, with the expectation that the quality, purity, and potency of the drug will meet standards. A sponsor may also request a Special Protocol Assessment (SPA), the purpose of which is to reach agreement with the FDA on the Phase 3 clinical trial protocol design and analysis that will form the primary basis of an efficacy claim.

Fast track designation: The FDA has a Fast Track program that is intended to expedite or facilitate the process for reviewing new drugs and biological products that meet certain criteria. Specifically, new drugs and biological products are eligible for Fast Track designation if they are intended to treat a serious or life-threatening condition and demonstrate the potential to address unmet medical needs for the condition. Fast Track designation applies to

the combination of the product and the specific indication for which it is being studied. Unique to a Fast Track product, the FDA may consider for review sections of the NDA on a rolling basis before the complete application is submitted, if the sponsor provides a schedule for the submission of the sections of the NDA, the FDA agrees to accept sections of the NDA and determines that the schedule is acceptable, and the sponsor pays any required user fees upon submission of the first section of the NDA.

Any product submitted to the FDA for marketing, including a Fast Track program, may also be eligible for other types of FDA programs intended to expedite development and review, such as priority review and accelerated approval. Any product is eligible for priority review if it has the potential to provide safe and effective therapy where no satisfactory alternative therapy exists or a significant improvement in the treatment, diagnosis or prevention of a disease compared to marketed products. The FDA will attempt to direct additional resources to the evaluation of an NDA designated for priority review in an effort to facilitate the review. Additionally, a product may be eligible for accelerated approval. Drug products studied for their safety and effectiveness in treating serious or life-threatening illnesses and that provide meaningful therapeutic benefit over existing treatments may receive accelerated approval, which means that they may be approved on the basis of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials establishing that the product has an effect on a surrogate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict a clinical benefit, or on the basis of an effect on a clinical endpoint other than survival or irreversible morbidity. As a condition of approval, the FDA may require that a sponsor of a drug product receiving accelerated approval perform adequate and well-controlled post-marketing clinical trials. In addition, the FDA currently requires as a condition for accelerated approval pre-approval of promotional materials, which could adversely impact the timing of the commercial launch of the product. Fast Track designation, priority review and accelerated approval do not change the standards for approval but may expedite the development or approval process.

United States patent term restoration and marketing exclusivity: Depending upon the timing, duration and specifics of the FDA approval of a drug candidate, some U.S. patents covering the product candidates may be eligible for limited patent term extension under the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984, commonly referred to as the Hatch-Waxman Amendments. The Hatch-Waxman Amendments permit a patent restoration term of up to five years as compensation for patent term lost during product development and the FDA regulatory review process. However, patent term restoration cannot extend the remaining term of a patent beyond a total of 14 years from the product's approval date. The patent term restoration period is generally one-half the time between the effective date of an IND and the submission date of an NDA plus the time between the submission date of an NDA and the approval of that application. Only one patent applicable to an approved drug is eligible for the extension and the application for the extension must be submitted prior to the expiration of the patent. The United States Patent and Trademark Office, in consultation with the FDA, reviews and approves the application for any patent term extension or restoration. In the future, we may apply for restoration of patent terms for one or more of our currently owned or licensed patents to add patent life beyond its current expiration date, depending on the expected length of the clinical trials and other factors involved in the filing of the relevant NDA.

Market exclusivity provisions under the FDCA can also delay the submission or the approval of certain applications of other companies seeking to reference another company's NDA. The FDCA provides a five-year period of non-patent marketing exclusivity within the United States to the first applicant to obtain approval of an NDA for a new chemical entity. A drug is a new chemical entity if the FDA has not previously approved any other new drug containing the same active moiety, which is the molecule or ion responsible for the action of the drug substance. During the exclusivity period, the FDA may not accept for review an abbreviated new drug application (ANDA) or a 505(b)(2) NDA submitted by another company for another version of such drug where the applicant does not own or have a

legal right of reference to all the data required for approval. However, an application may be submitted after four years if it contains a certification of patent invalidity or non-infringement to one of the patents listed with the FDA by the innovator NDA holder. The FDCA also provides three years of marketing exclusivity for an NDA, or supplement to an existing NDA if new clinical investigations, other than bioavailability studies, that were conducted or sponsored by the applicant are deemed by the FDA to be essential to the approval of the application, for example new indications, dosages or strengths of an existing drug. This three-year exclusivity covers only the conditions associated with the new clinical investigations and does not prohibit the FDA from approving ANDAs for drugs containing the original active agent. Five-year and three-year exclusivity will not delay the submission or approval of a full NDA. However, an applicant submitting a full NDA would be required to conduct or obtain a right of reference to all the preclinical studies and adequate and well-controlled clinical trials necessary to demonstrate safety and effectiveness. Pediatric exclusivity is another type of regulatory market exclusivity in the

United States Pediatric exclusivity, if granted, adds six months to existing exclusivity periods and patent terms. This six-month exclusivity, which runs from the end of other exclusivity protection or patent term, may be granted based on the voluntary completion of a pediatric trial in accordance with an FDA-issued “Written Request” for such a trial.

Regulation outside the United States: In addition to regulations in the United States, we and our strategic alliance partners will be subject to a variety of regulations in other jurisdictions governing, among other things, clinical trials and any commercial sales and distribution of our products.

Whether or not we obtain FDA approval for a product, we must obtain the requisite approvals from regulatory authorities in foreign countries prior to the commencement of clinical trials or marketing of the product in those countries. Certain countries outside of the United States have a similar process that requires the submission of a clinical trial application much like the IND prior to the commencement of human clinical trials. In the European Union, for example, a clinical trial application (CTA) must be submitted to each country’s national health authority and an independent ethics committee, much like the FDA and IRB, respectively. Once the CTA is approved in accordance with a country’s requirements, clinical trial development may proceed.

The requirements and process governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

To obtain regulatory approval of an investigational drug under European Union regulatory systems, we or our strategic alliance partners must submit a marketing authorization application. The application used to file the NDA in the United States is similar to that required in the European Union, except for, among other things, country-specific document requirements.

For other countries outside of the European Union, such as countries in Eastern Europe, Latin America or Asia, the requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary from country to country. In all cases, again, the clinical trials are conducted in accordance with GCP and the applicable regulatory requirements and the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki.

If we or our strategic alliance partners fail to comply with applicable foreign regulatory requirements, we may be subject to, among other things, fines, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecution.

Employees

We have assembled an experienced and cohesive management and support team, with core competencies in general management, clinical development, regulatory affairs and corporate development. We have nine full-time employees as of the date of this report. We believe that our relations with our employees are good, and we have no history of work stoppages.

Company Information

We were originally incorporated in the State of Delaware in September 2000. Our principal executive offices are located at 4275 Executive Square, Suite 300, La Jolla, CA 92037. Our telephone number is 858-373-1500. Our website is www.medicinova.com, which includes links to reports we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. The information contained in, or that can be accessed through, our website is not part of, and is not incorporated into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We operate in a dynamic and rapidly changing environment that involves numerous risks and uncertainties. Certain factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, and you should carefully consider them. Accordingly, in evaluating our business, we encourage you to consider the following discussion of risk factors, in its entirety, in addition to other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our other public filings with the SEC. Other events that we do not currently anticipate or that we currently deem immaterial may also affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to Our Business and Industry

We have incurred significant operating losses since our inception and expect that we will incur continued losses for the foreseeable future.

We have incurred significant net losses since our inception. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we had a net loss of \$14.7 million. At December 31, 2018, from inception, our accumulated deficit was \$356.1 million. We expect to incur substantial net losses for the next several years as we continue to develop certain of our existing product development candidates, and over the long-term if we expand our research and development programs and acquire or in-license products, technologies or businesses that are complementary to our own. As of December 31, 2018, we had available cash and cash equivalents of \$62.3 million and working capital of \$60.6 million. There can be no assurances that there will be adequate financing available to us in the future on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we may have to out-license or sell one or more of our programs or cease operations.

Our future cash requirements will also depend on many factors, including:

• progress in, and the costs of future planned clinical trials and other research and development activities;

- the scope, prioritization and number of our product development programs;

• our obligations under our license agreements, pursuant to which we may be required to make future milestone payments upon the achievement of various milestones related to clinical, regulatory or commercial events;

• our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, including licensing agreements and other arrangements;

• the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;

• the costs of securing manufacturing arrangements for clinical or commercial production of our product candidates;

•the costs associated with any expansion of our management, personnel, systems and facilities;

•the costs associated with any litigation;

•the costs associated with the operations or wind-down of any business we may acquire;

•the costs involved in filing, prosecuting, enforcing and defending patent claims and other intellectual property rights;
and

•the costs of establishing or contracting for sales and marketing capabilities and commercialization activities

28

if we obtain regulatory approval to market our product candidates.

We expect our research and development expenses to increase in 2019 relative to 2018 as we continue our focus on the development of MN-166 (ibudilast) and MN-001 (tipelukast) in 2019. Our estimate of cash requirements for future operating expenses assumes that we do not incur significant additional new clinical development expenditures unless we raise additional capital and/or enter into one or more strategic alliances. We do expect to continue to incur significant operating losses for the foreseeable future. Because of the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing drug products, we are unable to predict the extent of any future losses or when we will become profitable, if at all.

If we have taxable income in the future, utilization of the net operating losses, or NOL, and tax credit carry-forwards will be subject to a substantial annual limitation under Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and similar state provisions due to ownership change limitations that have occurred. These ownership changes will limit the amount of NOL and tax credit carry-forwards that can be utilized to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively.

If we fail to obtain the capital necessary to fund our operations, we will be unable to develop and commercialize our product candidates.

We have consumed substantial amounts of capital since our inception.

Our business will continue to require us to incur substantial research and development expenses. We believe that without raising additional capital from accessible sources of financing, we will not otherwise have adequate funding to continue our operations and to complete the development of our existing product candidates or the commercialization of any products we successfully develop. There is no guarantee that adequate funds will be available when needed from debt or equity financings, arrangements with partners, or from other sources, on terms attractive to us, or at all. The inability to obtain sufficient additional funds when needed to fund our operations would require us to significantly delay, scale back, or eliminate some or all of our clinical or regulatory activities and reduce general and administrative expenses.

We do not have any products that are approved for commercial sale and therefore do not expect to generate any revenues from product sales in the foreseeable future, if ever.

To date, we have funded our operations primarily from sales of our securities and, to a lesser extent, debt financing. We do not expect to receive any revenues from the commercialization of our product candidates for at least the next several years, if at all. We anticipate that, prior to our commercialization of a product candidate, out-licensing upfront and milestone payments will be our primary source of revenue if we can enter into collaborations, strategic alliances or other agreements that would provide us with such revenues. To obtain revenues from sales of our product candidates, we must succeed, either alone or with third parties, in developing, obtaining regulatory approval for, manufacturing and marketing drugs with commercial potential. We may never succeed in these activities, and we may not generate sufficient revenues to continue our business operations or achieve and maintain profitability.

We are largely dependent on the success of our MN-166 (ibudilast) and MN-001 (tipelukast) product candidates and we cannot be certain that these product candidates will receive regulatory approval or be successfully commercialized.

We currently have no products for sale, and we cannot guarantee that we will ever have any drug products approved for sale. The research, testing, manufacturing, labeling, approval, sales, marketing and distribution of drug products are subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and comparable regulatory authorities in other countries. We are not permitted to market any of our product candidates in the United States until we submit and receive approval of a New Drug Application, or NDA, for a product candidate from the FDA or its foreign equivalent from a foreign regulatory authority. Obtaining FDA approval is a lengthy, expensive and uncertain process. The success of our business currently depends primarily on the successful development and commercialization of our MN-166 (ibudilast) and

MN-001 (tipelukast) product candidates. These product candidates have not completed the clinical development process, and therefore we have not submitted an NDA or foreign equivalent or received marketing approval.

The clinical development program for our product candidates may not lead to commercial products for a number of reasons, including our clinical trials' failure to demonstrate to the FDA's satisfaction that the product candidate is safe and effective, or our failure to obtain necessary approvals from the FDA or similar foreign regulatory authorities for any reason. We may also fail to obtain the necessary approvals if we have inadequate financial or other resources to advance our product candidates through the clinical trial process or are unable to secure a strategic collaboration or partnership with a third party. Any failure or delay in completing clinical trials or obtaining regulatory approval for our product candidates in a timely manner would have a material and adverse impact on our business and our stock price.

Because the results of early clinical trials are not necessarily predictive of future results, our product candidates we advance into clinical trials in any indication may not have favorable results in later clinical trials, if any, or receive regulatory approval.

Our product candidates are subject to the risks of failure inherent in drug development. We will be required to demonstrate through well-controlled clinical trials that our product candidates are safe and effective for use in a diverse population for its target indications before we can seek regulatory approvals for their commercial sale. Success in early clinical trials does not mean that later clinical trials will be successful because product candidates in later-stage clinical trials may fail to demonstrate sufficient safety or efficacy despite having progressed through initial clinical testing, even at statistically significant levels.

Companies frequently suffer significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials, even after earlier clinical trials have shown promising results. Any of our planned clinical trials for our product candidates may not be successful for a variety of reasons, including the clinical trial designs, the failure to enroll a sufficient number of patients, undesirable side effects and other safety concerns and the inability to demonstrate sufficient efficacy. If a product candidate fails to demonstrate sufficient safety or efficacy, we would experience potentially significant delays in, or be required to abandon, development of such product candidate.

Our attempts to develop MN-001 (tipelukast) in NASH and IPF may detract from our efforts to develop other product candidates and may limit the effectiveness of our product development efforts as a whole.

We have decided to pursue development of MN-001 (tipelukast) in NASH and IPF. These activities will divert financial and management resources from our other product development activities and may limit our ability to complete or continue those other programs.

In order to commercialize a therapeutic drug successfully, a product candidate must receive regulatory approval after the successful completion of clinical trials, which are long, complex and costly, have a high risk of failure and can be delayed or terminated at any time.

Our product candidates are subject to extensive government regulations related to development, clinical trials, manufacturing and commercialization. The process of obtaining FDA and other regulatory approvals is costly, time-consuming, uncertain and subject to unanticipated delays. To receive regulatory approval for the commercial sale of any of our product candidates, we must conduct, at our own expense, adequate and well-controlled clinical trials in human patients to demonstrate the efficacy and safety of the product candidate. Clinical testing is expensive, takes many years and has an uncertain outcome. To date, we have obtained regulatory authorization to conduct clinical trials for our product development programs. INDs were approved by the FDA and are active for our product candidates.

It may take years to complete the clinical development necessary to commercialize a drug, and delays or failure can occur at any stage, which may result in our inability to market and sell any of our product candidates that are ultimately approved by the FDA or foreign regulatory authorities. Our clinical trials may produce negative or inconclusive results, and we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional clinical and/or non-clinical testing. Interim results of clinical trials do not necessarily predict final results, and success in preclinical

testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials will be successful. A number of companies in the pharmaceutical industry have suffered significant setbacks in advanced clinical trials even after obtaining promising results in earlier clinical trials. In addition, any delays in completing clinical trials or the rejection of data from a clinical trial by a regulatory authority will result in increased development costs and could have a material

adverse effect on the development of the impacted product candidate.

In connection with the conduct of clinical trials for each of our product candidates, we face many risks, including the risks that:

- the product candidate may not prove to be effective in treating the targeted indication;
 - clinical trial participants and/or patients may experience serious adverse events or other undesirable drug-related side effects;
 - the results may not confirm the positive results of earlier trials;
 - the FDA or other regulatory authorities may not agree with our proposed development plans or accept the results of completed clinical trials; and
- our planned clinical trials and the data collected from such clinical trials may be deemed by the FDA or other regulatory authorities not to be sufficient, which would require additional development for the product candidate before it can be evaluated in late stage clinical trials or before the FDA will consider an application for marketing approval.

If we do not complete clinical development of our product candidates successfully, we will be unable to obtain regulatory approval to market products and generate revenues from such product candidates. We may also fail to obtain the necessary regulatory approvals if we have inadequate financial or other resources to advance our product candidates through the clinical trial process. In addition, even if we believe that the preclinical and clinical data are sufficient to support regulatory approval for a product candidate, the FDA and foreign regulatory authorities may not ultimately approve such product candidate for commercial sale in any jurisdiction, which would limit our ability to generate revenues and adversely affect our business. In addition, even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they remain subject to ongoing FDA regulations, including obligations to conduct additional clinical trials, changes to the product label, new or revised regulatory requirements for manufacturing practices, written advisements to physicians, and/or a product recall or withdrawal.

We are subject to stringent regulation of our product candidates, which could delay the development and commercialization of our product candidates.

We, our third-party manufacturers, service providers, suppliers and partners, if any, and our product candidates are subject to stringent regulation by the FDA and other regulatory agencies in the United States and by comparable authorities in other countries. None of our product candidates can be marketed in the United States until it has been approved by the FDA. None of our product candidates has been approved by the FDA to date, and we may never receive FDA approval for any of our product candidates. Obtaining FDA approval for a product takes many years of clinical development and requires substantial resources. Additionally, changes in regulatory requirements and guidance may occur or new information regarding the product candidate or the target indication may emerge, and we may need to perform additional, unanticipated non-clinical or clinical testing of our product candidates or amend clinical trial protocols to reflect these changes. Any additional unanticipated testing would add costs and could delay or result in the denial of regulatory approval for a product candidate. These regulatory requirements may limit the size of the market for the product candidate or result in the incurrence of additional costs. Any delay or failure in obtaining required approvals could substantially reduce or negate our ability to generate revenues from the particular product candidate.

In addition, both before and after regulatory approval, we, our partners and our product candidates are subject to numerous FDA requirements, including requirements related to testing, manufacturing, quality control, labeling, advertising, promotion, distribution and export. The FDA's requirements may change and additional government regulations may be promulgated that could affect us, our partners and our product candidates. Given the number of recent high profile adverse safety events with certain drug products, the FDA may require, as a condition of approval, costly risk management programs, which may include safety surveillance, restricted distribution and use, patient education, enhanced labeling, special packaging or labeling, expedited reporting of certain adverse events,

preapproval of promotional materials and restrictions on direct-to-consumer advertising. Furthermore, we cannot predict the likelihood, nature or extent of government regulation that may arise from future legislation or administrative action, either in the United States or abroad.

In order to market any of our products outside of the United States, we and our strategic partners and licensees must establish and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements of other countries regarding safety and efficacy. Approval procedures vary among countries and can involve additional product testing and additional administrative review periods beyond the requirements of the FDA and the time required to obtain approval in other countries might differ from that required to obtain FDA approval. The regulatory approval process in other countries may include all of the risks detailed above regarding FDA approval in the United States. Regulatory approval in one country, including FDA approval in the United States, does not ensure regulatory approval in another. In addition, a failure or delay in obtaining regulatory approval in one country may negatively impact the regulatory process in others. A product candidate may not be approved for all indications that we request, which would limit the uses of our product and adversely impact our potential royalties and product sales, and any approval that we receive may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or require costly, post-marketing follow-up studies.

If we fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements in the United States or other countries, we may be subject to regulatory and other consequences, including fines and other civil penalties, delays in approving or failure to approve a product, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, product recalls, seizure of products, operating restrictions, interruption of manufacturing or clinical trials, injunctions and criminal prosecution, any of which would harm our business.

Even if our product candidates receive regulatory approval, they may still face future development and regulatory difficulties.

Even if U.S. regulatory approval is obtained, the FDA may still impose significant restrictions on a product's indicated uses or marketing or impose ongoing requirements for potentially costly post-approval studies, including additional research and development and clinical trials. Any of these restrictions or requirements could adversely affect our potential product revenues. For example, the label ultimately approved for any of our other product candidates or any other product candidates that we may in-license or acquire, if any, may include a restriction on the terms of its use, or it may not include one or more of our intended indications.

Our product candidates, if approved, will also be subject to ongoing FDA requirements for the labeling, packaging, storage, advertising, promotion, record-keeping and submission of safety and other post-market information on the drug. In addition, approved products, manufacturers and manufacturers' facilities are subject to continual review and periodic inspections. If a regulatory agency discovers previously unknown problems with a product, such as adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency or problems with the facility where the product is manufactured, a regulatory agency may impose restrictions on that product or us, including requiring withdrawal of the product from the market. If our product candidates fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, such as cGMPs, a regulatory agency may:

- issue warning letters or untitled letters;

- require us to enter into a consent decree, which can include imposition of various fines, reimbursements for inspection costs, required due dates for specific actions and penalties for noncompliance;

- impose other civil or criminal penalties;

•suspend regulatory approval;

•suspend any ongoing clinical trials;

•refuse to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications filed by us;

32

• impose restrictions on operations, including costly new manufacturing requirements; or

• seize or detain products or require a product recall.

Any of our product candidates that we advance into clinical trials may cause undesirable side effects or have other properties that could delay or prevent regulatory approval or commercialization or limit its commercial potential.

Undesirable side effects caused by any of our product candidates that we advance into clinical trials could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and could result in the denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for any or all targeted indications, or cause us to evaluate the future of our development programs. This, in turn, could prevent us from commercializing the affected product candidate and generating revenues from its sale.

In addition, if any product candidates we may develop receives marketing approval and we or others later identify undesirable side effects caused by the product, a number of significant negative consequences could result, including:

• regulatory authorities may withdraw their approval of the product or place restrictions on the way it is prescribed;

- regulatory authorities may require a larger clinical benefit for approval to offset the risk;

• regulatory authorities may require the addition of labeling statements that could diminish the usage of the product or otherwise limit the commercial success of the product;

• we may be required to change the way the product is administered, conduct additional clinical trials or change the labeling of the product or implement a risk evaluation and mitigation strategy;

• we may choose to discontinue sale of the product;

• we could be sued and held liable for harm caused to patients;

• we may not be able to enter into collaboration agreements on acceptable terms and execute our business model; and

• our reputation may suffer.

Delays in the commencement or completion of clinical trials, or suspension or termination of our clinical trials, could result in increased costs to us and delay or limit our ability to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates.

If we experience delays in the commencement or completion of our clinical trials, we could incur significantly higher product development costs and our ability to obtain regulatory approvals for our product candidates could be delayed or limited. The commencement and completion of clinical trials requires us to identify and maintain a sufficient number of study sites and enroll a sufficient number of patients at such sites. We do not know whether enrollment in our future clinical trials for our product candidates will be completed on time, or whether our additional planned and ongoing clinical trials for our product candidates will be completed on schedule, if at all.

The commencement and completion of clinical trials can be delayed for a variety of other reasons, including delays in:

- regulatory approval to commence or amend a clinical trial;

- reaching agreements on acceptable terms with prospective clinical research organizations, or CROs, and

33

trial sites, the terms of which can be subject to extensive negotiation and may vary significantly among different CROs and trial sites;

- recruiting and enrolling patients to participate in clinical trials;
- retaining patients who have initiated a clinical trial but who may be prone to withdraw due to the treatment protocol, lack of efficacy, personal issues or side effects from the therapy or who are lost to further follow-up;

- manufacturing sufficient quantities of a product candidate; and

- IRB approval or approval from foreign counterparts to conduct or amend a clinical trial at a prospective site.

In addition, a clinical trial may be delayed, suspended or terminated by us, the FDA or other regulatory authorities due to a number of factors, including:

- ongoing discussions with regulatory authorities regarding the scope or design of our clinical trials or requests by them for supplemental information with respect to our clinical trial results, which may result in the imposition of a clinical hold on the IND for any clinical trial, as well as the inability to resolve any outstanding concerns with the FDA so that a clinical hold already placed on the IND may be lifted and the clinical trial may begin;

- inspections of our own clinical trial operations, the operations of our CROs or our clinical trial sites by the FDA or other regulatory authorities, which may result in the imposition of a clinical hold or potentially prevent us from using some of the data generated from our clinical trials to support requests for regulatory approval of our product candidates;

- our failure or inability, or the failure or inability of our CROs, clinical trial site staff or other third-party service providers involved in the clinical trial, to conduct clinical trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our clinical protocols;

- lower than anticipated enrollment or retention rates of patients in clinical trials;

- new information suggesting unacceptable risk to subjects or unforeseen safety issues or any determination that a clinical trial presents unacceptable health risks;

- insufficient supply or deficient quality of product candidates or other materials necessary for the conduct of our clinical trials;

- lack of adequate funding to continue the clinical trial, including the incurrence of unforeseen costs due to enrollment delays, requirements to conduct additional trials and studies and increased expenses associated with the services of our CROs and other third parties; and

- the formulation or dosing regimen of a product candidate may result, unintentionally, in patient non-compliance, leading to low patient retention rates, incomplete data to conduct an adequate analysis, and failure to complete the

trial.

If we experience delays in the completion of our clinical trials for a product candidate, the commercial prospects for such product candidate may be harmed, we may incur increased costs for development of such product candidate and our ability to obtain regulatory approval for such product candidate could be delayed or limited. Many of the factors that cause or lead to delays in the commencement or completion of clinical trials may also ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval for a product candidate. In addition, any amendment to a clinical trial protocol may require us to resubmit our clinical trial protocols to IRBs or their foreign counterparts for reexamination, which may delay or otherwise impact the costs, timing or successful completion of a clinical trial.

34

The loss of any rights to develop and market any of our product candidates could significantly harm our business.

We license the rights to certain compounds to develop and market our product candidates.

We are obligated to develop and commercialize certain product candidates in accordance with mutually agreed upon terms and conditions. Our ability to satisfy some or all of the terms and conditions of our license agreements is dependent on numerous factors, including some factors that are outside of our control. Any of our license agreements may be terminated if we breach our obligations under the agreement materially and fail to cure any such breach within a specified period of time.

If any of our license agreements is terminated, we would have no further rights to develop and commercialize the product candidate that is the subject of the license. The termination of any of our license agreements could materially and adversely affect our business.

If our competitors develop and market products that are more effective than our product candidates, they may reduce or eliminate our commercial opportunities.

The biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries are subject to rapid and intense technological change. We face, and will continue to face, competition from pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, as well as numerous academic and research institutions and governmental agencies, in the United States and abroad. Some of these competitors have products or are pursuing the development of drugs that target the same diseases and conditions that are the focus of our product development programs. We cannot assure you that developments by others will not render our product candidates obsolete or noncompetitive. Many of our competitors have products that have been approved or are in advanced development and may succeed in developing drugs that are more effective, safer, more affordable or more easily administered than ours, or that achieve patent protection or commercialization sooner than our products. Our competitors may also develop alternative therapies that could further limit the market for any product candidates that we are able to obtain approval for, if at all. In addition, new developments, including the development of other drug technologies and methods of preventing the incidence of disease, occur in the pharmaceutical industry at a rapid pace. These developments may render our product candidates obsolete or noncompetitive.

In many of our target disease areas, potential competitors are working to develop new compounds with different mechanisms of action and attractive efficacy and safety profiles. Many of our competitors have substantially greater financial, research and development resources, including personnel and technology, clinical trial experience, manufacturing, sales and marketing capabilities and production facilities than we do. Smaller companies also may prove to be significant competitors, particularly through proprietary research discoveries and collaboration arrangements with large pharmaceutical and established biotechnology companies.

Our competitors may obtain regulatory approval of their products more rapidly than we are able to or may obtain patent protection or other intellectual property rights that limit our ability to develop or commercialize our product candidates. Our competitors may also develop drugs that are more effective and less costly than ours and may also be more successful than us in manufacturing and marketing their products. We also expect to face similar competition in our efforts to identify appropriate collaborators or partners to help develop or commercialize our product candidates.

We will depend on strategic collaborations with third parties to develop and commercialize selected product candidates and will not have control over a number of key elements relating to the development and commercialization of these product candidates if we are able to achieve such third-party arrangements.

A key aspect of our strategy is to seek collaborations with partners, such as large pharmaceutical companies, that are willing to conduct later-stage clinical trials and further develop and commercialize selected product candidates. To date, we have not entered into any such collaborative arrangements, and we may not be able to enter into any collaborations or otherwise monetize these product candidates on acceptable terms, if at all.

By entering into a strategic collaboration with a partner, we may rely on the partner for financial resources and for development, regulatory and commercialization expertise. Even if we are successful in entering into a strategic collaboration for one of our product candidates, our partner may fail to develop or effectively commercialize the product candidate because such partner:

- does not have sufficient resources or decides not to devote the necessary resources due to internal constraints such as limited cash or human resources;

- decides to pursue a competitive potential product developed outside of the collaboration;

- cannot obtain the necessary regulatory approvals;

- determines that the market opportunity is not attractive; or

- cannot manufacture the necessary materials in sufficient quantities from multiple sources or at a reasonable cost. We also face competition in our search for partners from other biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies worldwide, many of whom are larger and able to offer more attractive deals in terms of financial commitments, contribution of human resources, or development, manufacturing, regulatory or commercial expertise and support.

If we are not successful in attracting partners and entering into collaborations on acceptable terms for these product candidates or otherwise monetizing these product candidates, we may not be able to complete development of or obtain regulatory approval for such product candidates. In such event, our ability to generate revenues from such products and achieve or sustain profitability would be significantly hindered.

The terms under which we enter collaborations or raise additional equity or debt financing may harm our business and may significantly dilute stockholders' ownership interests.

If we raise additional funds through collaborations or licensing arrangements with third parties, we may need to relinquish some rights to our product candidates, including commercialization rights, which may hinder our ability to generate revenues and achieve or sustain profitability. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, including as part of a debt financing, stockholders may experience substantial dilution. Debt financing, if available, may involve significant cash payment obligations and restrictive covenants and other financial terms that may impede our ability to operate our business. Any debt financing or additional equity that we raise may contain terms that are not favorable to us or our stockholders.

We rely on third parties to conduct our clinical trials, and we may incur additional development costs, experience delays in the commencement and completion of clinical trials, and be unable to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates on our anticipated timeline if these third parties do not successfully carry out their contractual duties or meet expected deadlines.

We rely extensively on CROs, medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories and other service providers to perform important functions related to the conduct of our clinical trials, the collection and analysis of data and the preparation of regulatory submissions. Although we design and/or manage our current clinical trials to ensure that each clinical trial is conducted in accordance with its investigational plan and protocol, we do not have the ability to conduct all aspects of our clinical trials directly for our product candidates.

The FDA requires us and our CROs to comply with regulations and standards, commonly referred to as good clinical practices, or GCPs, for conducting, monitoring, recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to ensure that the data and results are scientifically credible and accurate and that the trial subjects are adequately informed of the potential risks of participating in clinical trials. Our reliance on CROs does not relieve us of these responsibilities and requirements. The CROs, medical institutions, clinical investigators, contract laboratories and other service providers that we employ in the conduct of our clinical trials are not our employees, and we cannot control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our product development programs. If any of these third parties fails to devote sufficient care, time and resources to our product development programs, if its performance is

substandard, or if any third party is inspected by the FDA and found not to be in compliance with GCPs, it will delay the completion of the clinical trial in which they are involved and the progress of the affected development program. The CROs with which we contract for execution of our clinical trials play a significant role in the conduct of the clinical trials and the subsequent collection and analysis of data. Any failure of the CROs to meet their obligations could adversely affect clinical development of our product candidates. Moreover, the CROs, clinical investigators and other service providers may have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may have competitive products under development or currently marketed, and our competitive position could be harmed if they assist our competitors. If any of these third parties does not successfully carry out their contractual duties or obligations or meet expected deadlines, or if the quality or accuracy of the clinical data is compromised for any reason, our clinical trials may be extended, delayed or terminated, and we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for our product candidates. In addition, while we believe that there are numerous alternative sources to provide these services, we might not be able to enter into replacement arrangements without delays or additional expenditures if we were to seek such alternative sources.

We rely on third-party manufacturers to produce our product candidates, which may result in delays in our clinical trials and the commercialization of products, as well as increased costs.

We have no manufacturing facilities, and we do not intend to develop facilities for the manufacture of our product candidates for clinical trials or commercial purposes in the foreseeable future. We contract with third-party manufacturers to produce, in collaboration with us, sufficient quantities of our product candidates for clinical trials, and we plan to contract with third-party manufacturers to produce sufficient quantities of any product candidates that may be approved by the FDA or other regulatory authorities for commercial sale. While we believe that there are competitive sources available to manufacture our product candidates, we may not be able to enter into arrangements without delays or additional expenditures. We cannot estimate these delays or costs with certainty.

Reliance on third-party manufacturers limits our ability to control certain aspects of the manufacturing process and therefore exposes us to a variety of significant risks, including risks related to our ability to commercialize any products approved by regulatory authorities or conduct clinical trials, reliance on such third parties for regulatory compliance and quality assurance, and the refusal or inability of a third-party manufacturer to supply our requirements on a long-term basis. In addition, manufacturers of pharmaceutical products often encounter difficulties in production, particularly in scaling up initial production. These problems include difficulties with production costs and yields, quality control, including stability of the product candidate and quality assurance testing, shortages of qualified personnel and compliance with federal, state and foreign regulations. Also, our manufacturers may not perform as agreed. If our manufacturers were to encounter any of these difficulties, our ability to timely produce our product candidates for clinical trials and commercial sale may be interrupted, which could result in delayed clinical trials or delayed regulatory approval and lost or delayed revenues.

We may not be able to establish or maintain any commercial manufacturing and supply arrangements on commercially reasonable terms that we require for purposes of commercializing a product. Any failure by us to secure or maintain any such required commercial supply agreements could result in interruption of supply and lost or delayed revenues, which would adversely affect our business. Any problems or delays we experience in preparing for commercial-scale manufacturing of a product candidate may result in a delay in FDA or other regulatory approval of the product candidate or may impair our ability to manufacture commercial quantities, which would adversely affect our business. For example, our manufacturers will need to produce specific batches of a product candidate to demonstrate acceptable stability under various conditions and for commercially viable lengths of time. We and our third-party manufacturers will need to demonstrate to the FDA and other regulatory authorities this acceptable stability data for the product candidate, as well as validate methods and manufacturing processes, in order to receive regulatory approval to commercialize such product candidate.

Our manufacturers are obligated to operate in accordance with FDA-mandated current good manufacturing practices, or cGMPs and, in some cases, International Convention on Harmonization, or ICH, standards. A failure of any of our

third-party manufacturers to establish and follow cGMPs and/or ICH standards and to document their adherence to such practices may lead to significant delays in our ability to timely conduct and complete clinical trials, obtain regulatory approval of product candidates or launch of our products into the market. In addition, changing third-party manufacturers is difficult. For example, a change in third-party manufacturer for a particular product candidate requires re-validation of the manufacturing processes and procedures in accordance with cGMPs,

which may be costly and time-consuming and, in some cases, our manufacturers may not provide us with adequate assistance to transfer the manufacturing processes and procedures for our product candidates to new manufacturers or may possess intellectual property rights covering parts of these processes or procedures for which we may need to obtain a license. Failure by our third-party manufacturers or us to comply with applicable regulations could result in sanctions being imposed on us, including fines, injunctions, civil penalties, delays, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, seizures or recalls of products, operating restrictions and criminal prosecutions.

We may not be able to manufacture our product candidates in commercial quantities, which would prevent us from commercializing our product candidates.

To date, our product candidates have been manufactured in small quantities for preclinical studies and clinical trials. If any of our product candidates is approved by the FDA or comparable regulatory authorities in other countries for commercial sale, we will need to manufacture such product candidate in larger quantities. We may not be able to increase successfully the manufacturing capacity for any of our product candidates in a timely or economic manner, or at all. Significant scale-up of manufacturing may require additional validation studies, which the FDA must review and approve. If we are unable to increase successfully the manufacturing capacity for a product candidate, the regulatory approval or commercial launch of that product candidate may be delayed or there may be a shortage in supply. Our product candidates require precise, high quality manufacturing. Our failure to achieve and maintain these high manufacturing standards in collaboration with our third-party manufacturers, including the incidence of manufacturing errors, could result in patient injury or death, product recalls or withdrawals, delays or failures in product testing or delivery, cost overruns or other problems that could harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Materials necessary to manufacture our product candidates may not be available on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, which may delay the development and commercialization of our product candidates.

We rely on the third-party manufacturers of our product candidates to purchase from third-party suppliers the materials necessary to produce the API and product candidates for our clinical trials, and we will rely on such manufacturers to purchase such materials to produce the API and finished product for any commercial distribution of our products if we obtain marketing approval. Suppliers may not sell these materials to our manufacturers at the time they need them in order to meet our required delivery schedule or on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. We do not have any control over the process or timing of the acquisition of these materials by our manufacturers. Moreover, we currently do not have any agreements for the production of these materials. If our manufacturers are unable to obtain these materials for our clinical trials, testing of the affected product candidate would be delayed, which may significantly impact our ability to develop the product candidate. If we or our manufacturers are unable to purchase these materials after regulatory approval has been obtained for one of our products, the commercial launch of such product would be delayed or there would be a shortage in supply of such product, which would harm our ability to generate revenues from such product and achieve or sustain profitability.

Our product candidates, if approved for sale, may not gain acceptance among physicians, patients and the medical community, thereby limiting our potential to generate revenues.

If one of our product candidates is approved for commercial sale by the FDA or other regulatory authorities, the degree of market acceptance of any approved product by physicians, healthcare professionals and third-party payers and our profitability and growth will depend on a number of factors, including:

- demonstration of efficacy;

- changes in the standard of care for the targeted indication;

• relative convenience and ease of administration;

• the prevalence and severity of any adverse side effects;

• availability, cost and potential advantages of alternative treatments, including less expensive generic drugs;

• pricing and cost effectiveness, which may be subject to regulatory control;

38

effectiveness of our or any of our partners' sales and marketing strategies;

the product labeling or product insert required by the FDA or regulatory authority in other countries; and the availability of adequate third-party insurance coverage or reimbursement.

If any product candidate that we develop does not provide a treatment regimen that is as beneficial as, or is perceived as being as beneficial as, the current standard of care or otherwise does not provide patient benefit, that product candidate, if approved for commercial sale by the FDA or other regulatory authorities, likely will not achieve market acceptance. Our ability to effectively promote and sell any approved products will also depend on pricing and cost-effectiveness, including our ability to produce a product at a competitive price and our ability to obtain sufficient third-party coverage or reimbursement. If any product candidate is approved but does not achieve an adequate level of acceptance by physicians, patients and third-party payers, our ability to generate revenues from that product would be substantially reduced. In addition, our efforts to educate the medical community and third-party payers on the benefits of our product candidates may require significant resources and may never be successful.

If our products are not accepted by the market or if users of our products are unable to obtain adequate coverage of and reimbursement for our products from government and other third-party payers, our revenues and profitability will suffer.

Our ability to commercialize our products successfully will depend in significant part on pricing and cost effectiveness, including our ability to produce a product at a competitive price and our ability to obtain appropriate coverage of and reimbursement for our products and related treatments from governmental authorities, private health insurers and other organizations, such as health maintenance organizations, or HMOs. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for medical products and services. We cannot provide any assurances that third-party payers will consider our products cost-effective or provide coverage of and reimbursement for our products, in whole or in part.

Uncertainty exists as to the coverage and reimbursement status of newly approved medical products and services and newly approved indications for existing products. Third-party payers may conclude that our products are less safe, less clinically effective or less cost-effective than existing products, and third-party payers may not approve our products for coverage and reimbursement. If we are unable to obtain adequate coverage of and reimbursement for our products from third-party payers, physicians may limit how much or under what circumstances they will prescribe or administer them. Such reduction or limitation in the use of our products could cause our sales to suffer. Even if third-party payers make reimbursement available, payment levels may not be sufficient to make the sale of our products profitable.

Market acceptance and sales of our current or future product candidates will depend in large part on global reimbursement policies and may be affected by future healthcare reform measures, both in the United States and other key international markets. For example, continuing health care reform in the United States will control or significantly influence the purchase of medical services and products, and may result in inadequate coverage of and reimbursement for our products. Many third-party payers are pursuing various ways to reduce pharmaceutical costs, including the use of formularies. The market for our products depends on access to such formularies, which are lists of medications for which third-party payers provide reimbursement. These formularies are increasingly restricted, and pharmaceutical companies face significant competition in their efforts to place their products on formularies. This increased competition has led to a downward pricing pressure in the industry. The cost containment measures that third-party payers, including government payers, are instituting could have a material adverse effect on our ability to operate profitably.

We are dependent on our management team, particularly our President and Chief Executive Officer, and our experienced scientific staff, and if we are unable to retain, motivate and attract key personnel, our product development programs may be delayed and we may be unable to develop successfully or commercialize our product candidates.

We are dependent upon the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel, particularly

39

Yuichi Iwaki, M.D., Ph.D., a founder and our President and Chief Executive Officer, who has been instrumental in our ability to in-license product candidates from Japanese pharmaceutical companies and secure financing from Japanese institutions. The relationships that certain of our key managers have cultivated with pharmaceutical companies from whom we license product candidates and to whom we expect to out-license product candidates make us particularly dependent upon their continued services with us, whether through employment, service on our board of directors or a consulting agreement. We are also substantially dependent on the continued services of clinical development personnel because of the highly technical nature of our product development programs. We are not presently aware of any plans of our executive officers or key personnel to retire or leave employment. Following termination of employment, these individuals may engage in other businesses that may compete with us.

If we acquire or license new product candidates, our success may depend on our ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified management and scientific personnel to manage the development of these new product candidates. In particular, our product development programs depend on our ability to attract and retain highly experienced clinical development personnel. However, we face competition for experienced professional personnel from numerous companies and academic and other research institutions. Competition for qualified personnel is particularly intense in the San Diego, California area, where our corporate headquarters is located. Our short operating history and the uncertainties could impair our ability to attract and retain personnel and impede the achievement of our development and commercialization objectives. In addition, we have scientific and clinical advisors who assist us in our product development and clinical strategies. These third parties are not our employees and may have commitments to, or contracts with, other entities that may limit their availability to us, or may have arrangements with other companies to assist in the development of products that may compete with our product candidates.

Although we have employment agreements with key members of management, each of our employees, subject to applicable notice requirements, may terminate his or her employment at any time. We do not carry “key person” insurance covering members of senior management. If we lose any of our key management personnel, we may not be able to find suitable replacements, which would adversely affect our business.

If we are unable to establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, whether independently or with third parties, we will be unable to commercialize our product candidates successfully.

To date, we have not sold, marketed or distributed any pharmaceutical products. If we are successful in obtaining regulatory approvals for any of our product candidates or acquiring other approved products, we will need to establish sales, marketing and distribution capabilities on our own or with partners in order to commercialize an approved product. The acquisition or development of an effective sales and marketing infrastructure will require a significant amount of our financial resources and time and could negatively impact our commercialization efforts, including delay of a product launch. We may be unable to establish and manage a sufficient or effective sales force in a timely or cost-effective manner, if at all, and any sales force we do establish may not be capable of generating demand for our products, therefore hindering our ability to generate revenues and achieve or sustain profitability. In addition, if we are unable to develop internal sales capabilities, we will need to contract with third parties or establish a partnership to market and sell the product. If we are unable to establish adequate sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, whether independently or with third parties, we may not be able to generate any product revenues, may generate increased expenses and may never become profitable. In addition, although we intend to establish strategic collaborations to market any products approved for sale by regulatory authorities outside of the United States, we may be required to market our product candidates outside of the United States directly if we are unable to establish such collaborations. In that event, we may need to build a corresponding international sales and marketing capability with technical expertise and with supporting distribution capabilities.

Health care reform measures could adversely affect our business.

The business and financial condition of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies are affected by the efforts of governmental and third-party payers to contain or reduce the costs of health care. In the United States and in foreign

jurisdictions, there have been, and we expect that there will continue to be, a number of legislative and regulatory proposals aimed at changing the health care system. For example, in some countries, pricing of prescription drugs is subject to government control, and we expect to continue to see proposals to implement similar controls in the United States to continue. Another example of proposed reform that could affect our business is drug

reimportation into the United States. Moreover, the pendency or approval of such proposals could result in a decrease in our stock price or our ability to raise capital or to obtain strategic partnerships or licenses. More recently, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act imposed numerous reforms that may impact the costs, legal requirements and potential success of our operations.

We may be sued for product liability, which could result in substantial liabilities that exceed our available resources and damage our reputation.

The development and commercialization of drug products entails significant product liability risks. Product liability claims may arise from use of any of our product candidates in clinical trials and the commercial sale of any approved products. If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against these claims, we will incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, product liability claims may result in:

- withdrawal of clinical trial participants;
- termination of clinical trial sites or entire clinical trial programs;
- decreased demand for our product candidates;
- impairment of our business reputation;
- costs of related litigation;
- substantial monetary awards to patients or other claimants;
- loss of revenues; and
- the inability to commercialize our product candidates.

We currently have insurance that covers our clinical trials. We believe our current insurance coverage is reasonably adequate at this time; however, our insurance coverage may not reimburse us or may not be sufficient to reimburse us for all expenses or losses we may suffer. In addition, we will need to increase and expand this coverage as we commence additional clinical trials, as well as larger scale clinical trials, and in the event that any of our product candidates is approved for commercial sale. This insurance may be prohibitively expensive or may not fully cover our potential liabilities. In addition, our inability to obtain sufficient insurance coverage at an acceptable cost or otherwise to protect against potential product liability claims could prevent or inhibit the regulatory approval or commercialization of products that we or one of our collaborators develop. Successful product liability claims could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Liability from such claims could exceed our total assets if we do not prevail in any lawsuit brought by a third party alleging that an injury was caused by one of our product candidates.

We expect that our results of operations will fluctuate, which may make it difficult to predict our future performance from period to period.

Our quarterly operating results have fluctuated in the past and are likely to continue to do so in the future. Some of the factors that could cause our operating results to fluctuate from period to period include:

- the status of development of our product candidates and, in particular, the advancement or termination of activities related to our product development programs and the timing of any milestone payments payable under our licensing agreements;
- the execution of other collaboration, licensing and similar arrangements and the timing of payments we may make or receive under these arrangements;
- variations in the level of expenses related to our product development programs;
- the unpredictable effects of collaborations during these periods;

41

the timing of our satisfaction of applicable regulatory requirements, if at all;

the rate of expansion of our clinical development and other internal research and development efforts;

the costs of any litigation;

the effect of competing technologies and products and market developments; and
general and industry-specific economic conditions.

We believe that quarterly or yearly comparisons of our financial results are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as indications of our future performance.

We will continue to incur significant increased costs as a result of operating as a public company, and our management will be required to devote substantial time to new compliance initiatives.

As a public company, we are required to comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules and regulations implemented by the SEC, the NASDAQ Stock Market, or NASDAQ, and Japanese securities laws, and incur significant legal, accounting and other expenses as a result. These rules impose various requirements on public companies, including requiring the establishment and maintenance of effective disclosure and financial controls and appropriate corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel have devoted and will continue to devote a substantial amount of time to these compliance initiatives. Moreover, these rules and regulations increase our legal and financial compliance costs and may make it more difficult and expensive for us to renew our director and officer liability insurance, and may result in imposition of reduced policy limits and coverage.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we (i) maintain effective internal controls for financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures and (ii) perform an evaluation of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of those controls, as required by Section 404. Our listing obligations under the JASDAQ Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange, or TSE, also require that we comply either with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or equivalent regulations in Japan and we elected to comply with Section 404. Additionally, we are subject to attestation by our independent registered public accounting firm regarding our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018 under Japanese securities laws. Our efforts to comply with Section 404 and related regulations have required, and continue to require, the commitment of significant financial and managerial resources. We cannot be certain that a material weakness will not be identified when we test the effectiveness of our controls in the future. If a material weakness is identified, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by NASDAQ, the SEC, the TSE or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources, costly litigation or a loss of public confidence in our internal controls, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our stock.

Additionally, in July 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was enacted. There are significant corporate governance and executive compensation related provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act that require the SEC to adopt additional rules and regulations in these areas. To maintain high standards of corporate governance and public disclosure, we intend to invest all reasonably necessary resources to comply with such compliance programs and rules and all other evolving standards. These investments may result in increased general and administrative costs and a diversion of our management's time and attention from strategic revenue generating and cost management activities.

Our business and operations would suffer in the event of system failures and natural disasters.

Despite the implementation of security measures, our internal computer systems are vulnerable to damage from computer viruses, unauthorized access, natural disasters, terrorism, war and telecommunication and electrical failures. Any system failure, accident or security breach that causes interruptions in our operations could result in a material disruption of our drug development programs, including delays in our regulatory approval efforts and significantly increase our costs to recover or reproduce the data. To the extent that any disruption or security breach results in a loss or damage to our data or applications, or inappropriate disclosure of confidential or proprietary information, we may incur liability and the further development of our product candidates may be delayed.

A variety of risks associated with operating our business and marketing our products internationally could materially adversely affect our business.

A significant amount of our business activity is outside of the United States. We face risks associated with our international operations, including possible unfavorable regulatory, pricing and reimbursement, political, tax and labor conditions, which could harm our business. We are subject to numerous risks associated with international business activities, including, but not limited to:

- compliance with differing or unexpected regulatory requirements for our products;

- difficulties in staffing and managing foreign operations;

- in certain circumstances, including with respect to the commercialization of our product candidates in Europe, increased dependence on the commercialization efforts of our distributors or strategic partners;

- foreign government taxes, regulations and permit requirements;

- United States and foreign government tariffs, trade restrictions, price and exchange controls and other regulatory requirements;

- economic weakness, including inflation, natural disasters, war, events of terrorism or political instability in particular foreign countries;

- fluctuations in currency exchange rates, which could result in increased operating expenses and reduced revenues, and other obligations related to doing business in another country;

- compliance with tax, employment, immigration and labor laws, regulations and restrictions for employees living or traveling abroad;

- workforce uncertainty in countries where labor unrest is more common than in the United States;

- changes in diplomatic and trade relationships; and

- challenges in enforcing our contractual and intellectual property rights, especially in those foreign countries that do not respect and protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as the United States

These and other risks associated with our international operations may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property

Our ability to compete may decline if we do not adequately protect our proprietary rights.

There is the risk that our patents (both those owned by us and those in-licensed) may not provide a competitive advantage, including the risk that our patents expire before we obtain regulatory and marketing approval for one or more of our product candidates, particularly our in-licensed patents. Also, our competitors may develop products similar to ours using methods and technologies that are beyond the scope of our intellectual property rights. Composition of matter patents on APIs may provide protection for pharmaceutical products without regard to formulation, method of use, or other type of limitation. We do not have compound patent protection for the API in our MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), and MN-221 (bedoradrine) product candidates, although we do have patent protection for a particular crystalline polymorph of MN-001 (tipelukast) and we have composition of matter protection on an analog of MN-166 (ibudilast). As a result, competitors that obtain the requisite regulatory approval will be able to offer products with the same API as found in our MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), and MN-221 (bedoradrine) product candidates so long as such competitors do not infringe any methods of use, methods of manufacture, formulation or, in the case of MN-001 (tipelukast), specific polymorph patents that we hold or have exclusive rights to through our licensors. For example, we currently rely on method of use patents for MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), and MN-221 (bedoradrine) although we have a compound patent for MN-029.

It is our policy to consult with our licensors in the maintenance of granted patents we have licensed and in their pursuit of patent applications that we have licensed, but each of our licensors generally remains primarily responsible for or in control of the maintenance of the granted patents. We have limited control, if any, over the amount or timing of resources that each licensor devotes on our behalf. As a result of this lack of control, we cannot be sure that our licensed patents will be maintained and that any additional patents will ever mature from our licensed applications. Issued U.S. patents require the payment of maintenance fees to continue to be in force. We typically rely on our licensors to do this and their failure to do so could result in the forfeiture of patents not timely maintained. Many foreign patent offices also require the payment of periodic annuities to keep patents and patent applications in good standing. As we generally do not maintain control over the payment of annuities, we cannot be certain that our licensors will timely pay such annuities and that the granted patents will not become abandoned. For example, certain annuities were not paid in a timely manner with respect to foreign patents licensed under MN-002 (the active metabolite of MN-001 (tipelukast) and, as a result, our patent rights may be impaired in those territories. In addition, our licensors may have selected a limited amount of foreign patent protection, and therefore applications have not been filed in, and foreign patents may not have been perfected in, all commercially significant countries.

The patent protection of our product candidates and technology involves complex legal and factual questions. Most of our license agreements give us a right, but not an obligation, to enforce our patent rights. To the extent it is necessary or advantageous for any of our licensors' cooperation in the enforcement of our patent rights, we cannot control the amount or timing of resources our licensors devote on our behalf or the priority they place on enforcing our patent rights. We may not be able to protect our intellectual property rights against third party infringement, which may be difficult to detect, especially for infringement of patent claims for methods of manufacturing. Additionally, challenges may be made to the ownership of our intellectual property rights, our ability to enforce them or our underlying licenses, which in some cases have been made under foreign laws and may provide different protections than that of U.S. law.

We cannot be certain that any of the patents or patent applications owned by us or our licensors related to our product candidates and technology will provide adequate protection from competing products. Our success will depend, in part, on whether we or our licensors can:

- obtain and maintain patents to protect our product candidates;
 - obtain and maintain any required or desirable licenses to use certain technologies of third parties, which may be protected by patents;
 - protect our trade secrets and know-how;
 - operate without infringing the intellectual property and proprietary rights of others;
 - enforce the issued patents under which we hold rights; and
 - develop additional proprietary technologies that are patentable.
- The degree of future protection for our proprietary rights is uncertain. For example:
- we or our licensor might not have been the first to make the inventions covered by each of our pending patent applications or issued patents;

• we or our licensor might not have been the first to file patent applications for these inventions;

• others may independently develop similar or alternative technologies or duplicate any of our technologies;

• it is possible that none of our pending patent applications will result in issued patents;

• any patents under which we hold rights may not provide us with a basis for maintaining market exclusivity

44

for commercially viable products, may not provide us with any competitive advantages or may be challenged by third parties as invalid, not infringed or unenforceable under U.S. or foreign laws; or

any of the issued patents under which we hold rights may not be valid or enforceable or may be circumvented successfully in light of the continuing evolution of domestic and foreign patent laws.

Confidentiality agreements with employees and others may not adequately prevent disclosure of our trade secrets and other proprietary information and may not adequately protect our intellectual property, which could limit our ability to compete.

Because we operate in the highly technical field of research and development of small molecule drugs, we rely in part on trade secret protection in order to protect our proprietary trade secrets and unpatented know-how. However, trade secrets are difficult to protect, and we cannot be certain that others will not develop the same or similar technologies on their own. We have taken steps, including entering into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, outside scientific collaborators, sponsored researchers and other advisors, to protect our trade secrets and unpatented know-how. These agreements generally require that the other party keep confidential and not disclose to third parties all confidential information developed by the party or made known to the party by us during the course of the party's relationship with us. We also typically obtain agreements from these parties which provide that inventions conceived by the party in the course of rendering services to us will be our exclusive property. However, these agreements may not be honored and may not effectively assign intellectual property rights to us. Further, we have limited control, if any, over the protection of trade secrets developed by our licensors. Enforcing a claim that a party illegally obtained and is using our trade secrets or know-how is difficult, expensive and time consuming, and the outcome is unpredictable. In addition, courts outside the United States may be less willing to protect trade secrets or know-how. The failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive position.

A dispute concerning the infringement or misappropriation of our proprietary rights or the proprietary rights of others could be time consuming and costly, and an unfavorable outcome could harm our business.

There is significant litigation in our industry regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. While we are not currently subject to any pending intellectual property litigation, and are not aware of any such threatened litigation, we may be exposed to future litigation by third parties based on claims that our product candidates, their methods of use, manufacturing or other technologies or activities infringe the intellectual property rights of such third parties. There are many patents relating to chemical compounds and methods of use. If our compounds or their methods of use or manufacture are found to infringe any such patents, we may have to pay significant damages or seek licenses under such patents. We have not conducted comprehensive searches for unexpired patents issued to third parties relating to our product candidates. Consequently, no assurance can be given that unexpired, third-party patents containing claims covering our product candidates, their methods of use or manufacture do not exist. Moreover, because some patent applications in the United States may be maintained in secrecy until the patents are issued, and because patent applications in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, we cannot be certain that others have not filed patent applications that will mature into issued patents that relate to our current or future product candidates and which could have a material effect in developing and commercializing one or more of our product candidates. The owner of a patent that is arguably infringed can bring a civil action seeking to enjoin an accused infringer from importing, making, marketing, distributing, using or selling an infringing product. We may need to resort to litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights or to seek a declaratory judgment concerning the scope, validity or enforceability of third-party proprietary rights. Similarly, we may be subject to claims that we have inappropriately used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of third parties. If we become involved in litigation, it could consume a substantial portion of our managerial and financial resources, regardless of whether we win or lose. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation more effectively than we can because they have substantially greater resources. We may not be able to afford the costs of litigation. Any legal action against us or our collaborators could lead to:

payment of actual damages, royalties, lost profits, potential enhanced damages and attorneys' fees, if any infringement for which we are found liable is deemed willful, or a case against us is determined by a judge to be exceptional;

injunctive or other equitable relief that may effectively block our ability to further develop, commercialize and sell our products;

having to enter into license arrangements that may not be available on reasonable or commercially acceptable terms; or

significant cost and expense, as well as distraction of our management from our business.

As a result, we could lose our ability to develop and commercialize current or future product candidates.

We may be subject to claims that our employees have wrongfully used or disclosed alleged trade secrets of their former employers.

As is common in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industry, we employ individuals who were previously employed at other biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies, including our competitors or potential competitors. From time to time, we may be subject to claims that these employees or we have inadvertently or otherwise used or disclosed trade secrets or other proprietary information of their former employers. Litigation may be necessary to defend against these claims. Even if we are successful in defending against these claims, litigation could result in substantial costs and be a distraction to management.

Risks Related to the Securities Markets and Investment in Our Common Stock

Our stock price may be volatile, and you may not be able to resell our shares at a profit or at all.

Despite the listing of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market and the JASDAQ Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange in Japan, trading volume in our securities has been light and an active trading market may not develop for our common stock. In 2018, our average trading volume was approximately 160,365 shares per day on the NASDAQ Global Market and approximately 348,379 shares per day on the JASDAQ Market.

The market prices for securities of biopharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, and early-stage drug discovery and development companies like us in particular, have historically been highly volatile and may continue to be highly volatile in the future. For example, since the date of our initial public offering in Japan on February 8, 2005 through December 31, 2018, our common stock has traded as high as approximately \$42.00 and as low as approximately \$1.30. The following factors, in addition to other risk factors described in this section, may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock:

the development status of our product candidates, including clinical trial results and determinations by regulatory authorities with respect to our product candidates;

the initiation, termination, or reduction in the scope of any collaboration arrangements or any disputes or developments regarding such collaborations;

FDA or foreign regulatory actions, including failure to receive regulatory approval for any of our product candidates;

announcements of technological innovations, new commercial products or other material events by us or our competitors;

•disputes or other developments concerning our intellectual property rights;

•market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors;

•actual and anticipated fluctuations in our quarterly or annual operating results;

•price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock markets;

changes in, or failure to meet, securities analysts' or investors' expectations of our financial performance;

additions or departures of key personnel;

discussions of our business, management, products, financial performance, prospects or stock price by the financial and scientific press and online investor communities;

litigation or public concern about the safety of our potential products;

public concern as to, and legislative action with respect to, the pricing and availability of prescription drugs or the safety of drugs and drug delivery techniques; or

regulatory developments in the United States and in foreign countries.

Broad market and industry factors, as well as economic and political factors, also may materially adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Our common stock may be delisted on the NASDAQ Global Market or the JASDAQ Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange.

In addition to the risks identified immediately above, the market price of our common stock, and your ability to sell your shares at a profit, or at all, may be affected by the delisting of our shares for failure to meet applicable listing standards. For example, price per share minimums are maintained by the NASDAQ Global Market, and our share price has, in the past, fallen below the required minimum. In addition, JASDAQ Market listing requirements currently mandate that listed companies achieve a profit or positive cash flow from operations within a five-year period. Failure to meet these or other listing requirements for either of the stock exchanges on which our common stock is listed could adversely affect the market price for our common stock and your ability to sell your shares at a profit, or at all.

The sale of additional common stock under our existing at-the-market issuance sales agreement may cause substantial dilution to our existing stockholders and/or the price of our common stock to decline.

On May 22, 2015, we entered into an at-the-market issuance sales agreement (the ATM Agreement) with MLV & Co. LLC, (MLV), pursuant to which we may sell common stock through MLV from time to time up to an aggregate offering price of \$30.0 million. On September 16, 2016, we entered into an amendment to the ATM Agreement to also include FBR Capital Markets & Co as a sales agent thereunder. From time to time, we may sell additional shares of our common stock under the ATM Agreement. Depending upon market liquidity at the time, sales of shares of our common stock under the ATM Agreement may cause the trading price of our common stock to decline and may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other holders of our common stock. The sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock under the ATM Agreement, or anticipation of such sales, could make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and at a price that we might otherwise wish to effect sales.

We may become involved in securities class action litigation that could divert management's attention and harm our business.

The stock markets have from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that have affected the market prices for the common stock of biotechnology and biopharmaceutical companies. These broad market fluctuations may cause the market price of our common stock to decline. In the past, securities class action litigation

has often been brought against a company following a decline in the market price of its securities. This risk is especially relevant for us because biotechnology and biopharmaceutical companies have in the past experienced significant stock price volatility. We may become involved in this type of litigation in the future. Litigation often is expensive and diverts management's attention and resources, which could adversely affect our business.

Future sales of our common stock may cause our stock price to decline and may make it difficult for us to raise additional capital or for you to sell your shares.

Sales of substantial amounts of our common stock, or the availability of such common stock for sale, could adversely affect the prevailing market prices for our common stock. If this occurs and continues, it could impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of securities if we should desire to do so. In addition, it may be difficult, or even impossible, to find a buyer for shares of our common stock.

We have also registered all common stock that we may issue under our current employee benefits plans. As a result, these shares can be freely sold in the public market upon issuance, subject to the terms of the underlying agreements governing the grants and the restrictions of the securities laws. In addition, our directors and officers may in the future establish programmed selling plans under Rule 10b5-1 of the Exchange Act, for the purpose of effecting sales of our common stock. If any of these events cause a large number of our shares to be sold in the public market, the sales could reduce the trading price of our common stock and impede our ability to raise future capital.

Anti-takeover provisions in our charter documents and under Delaware law may make an acquisition of us more complicated and the removal and replacement of our directors and management more difficult.

Our restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws contain provisions that may delay or prevent a change in control, discourage bids at a premium over the market price of our common stock or adversely affect the market price of our common stock and the voting and other rights of the holders of our common stock. These provisions may also make it difficult for stockholders to remove and replace our board of directors and management. These provisions:

- establish that members of the board of directors may be removed only for cause upon the affirmative vote of stockholders owning at least a majority of our capital stock;

- authorize the issuance of “blank check” preferred stock that could be issued by our board of directors in a discriminatory fashion designed to increase the number of outstanding shares and prevent or delay a takeover attempt;

- limit who may call a special meeting of stockholders;

- establish advance notice requirements for nominations for election to the board of directors or for proposing matters that can be acted upon at stockholder meetings;

- prohibit our stockholders from making certain changes to our restated certificate of incorporation or amended and restated bylaws except with 66-2/3% stockholder approval; and

- provide for a classified board of directors with staggered terms.

We also may be subject to provisions of the Delaware corporation law that, in general, prohibit any business combination with a beneficial owner of 15% or more of our common stock for three years unless the holder’s acquisition of our stock was approved in advance by our board of directors. Although we believe these provisions collectively provide for an opportunity to receive higher bids by requiring potential acquirers to negotiate with our board of directors, they would apply even if the offer may be considered beneficial by some stockholders. In any event, these provisions may delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us. Any such delay or prevention could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

We have never paid dividends on our capital stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have paid no cash dividends on any of our classes of capital stock to date, and we currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be your sole source of gain for the foreseeable future.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

We executed a sublease agreement for our headquarters effective December 1, 2017 (the “Sublease”) with Cardinal Health 127 Inc., the sublessor, to which Irvine Company, the master lessor, has provided its consent. The Sublease is for approximately 4,400 square feet and has a term of four years and one month. In June 2005, we leased office space in Tokyo, Japan under a non-cancelable operating lease with an original expiration date of May 2013 and an auto-renewal two-year extension, which we have extended through May 2019, with the acceptance of the extensions for those given periods. We have no laboratory, research or manufacturing facilities, and we currently do not plan to purchase or lease any such facilities, as such services are provided to us by third-party service providers. We believe that our current facilities are adequate for our needs for the immediate future and that, should it be needed, suitable additional space will be available to accommodate expansion of our operations on commercially reasonable terms.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are not involved in any material legal proceedings as of the date of this report. We may become involved in various disputes and legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of business. Our assessment of the likely impact of our pending litigation may change over time. An adverse result in any of these matters may occur which could harm our business and result in a material liability.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the JASDAQ Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange and trades under the code "4875," and is listed on the NASDAQ Global Market and trades under the symbol "MNOV." Our stock had been traded on the Hercules Market since February 8, 2005 (through the Hercules Market's closure in 2010) and now is currently traded on the JASDAQ Market and on the NASDAQ Global Market since December 7, 2006.

Holder of Common Stock

As of December 31, 2018, there were approximately 15,246 holders of record of our common stock.

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any cash dividends on our capital stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. We expect to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the growth and development of our business.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Information regarding the securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans can be found under Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Performance Measurement Comparison

The following graph illustrates the total stockholder return of an investment of \$100 in cash made on December 31, 2013 in each of our common stock and two indices: the NASDAQ Composite Index and the NASDAQ Biotechnology Index.

The performance graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 on December 31, 2013 and that all dividends were reinvested. No dividends have been declared nor paid on our common stock. The comparisons in the graph below are required by the SEC and are not intended to forecast or be indicative of possible future performance of our common stock.

This performance graph is furnished and shall not be deemed “filed” with the SEC for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or incorporated by reference into any of our other filings under the Exchange Act or the Securities Act except to the extent we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

Comparison of Cumulative Total Return on Investment

	12/31/2013	12/31/2014	12/31/2015	12/31/2016	12/31/2017	12/31/2018
MEDICINOVA, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 142.06	\$ 165.89	\$ 281.78	\$ 302.34	\$ 381.78
NASDAQ Composite Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 113.40	\$ 119.89	\$ 128.89	\$ 165.29	\$ 158.87
NASDAQ Biotechnology Index	\$ 100.00	\$ 134.10	\$ 149.42	\$ 117.02	\$ 141.66	\$ 128.45

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data set forth below is derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and may not be indicative of future operating results. The following selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto and Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Amounts are in thousands, except per share amounts.

	Years ended December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Statements of Operations Data:					
Revenues	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
Operating expenses:					
Research, development and patents	5,626	4,224	3,519	3,017	3,260
General and administrative	9,961	8,803	7,363	5,805	5,963
Total operating expenses	15,587	13,027	10,882	8,822	9,223
Operating loss	(15,587)	(13,027)	(10,882)	(8,822)	(9,223)
Other expense, net	(23)	(26)	(47)	(55)	(13)
Interest income	940	146	67	39	37
Loss before income taxes	(14,670)	(12,907)	(10,862)	(8,838)	(9,199)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(5)	1,744	(4)	(7)	4
Net loss	\$(14,675)	\$(11,163)	\$(10,866)	\$(8,845)	\$(9,195)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$(14,675)	\$(11,163)	\$(10,866)	\$(8,845)	\$(9,195)
Basic and diluted net loss per share	\$(0.36)	\$(0.32)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.33)	\$(0.38)
Shares used to compute basic and diluted net					
loss per share	41,124,909	35,137,028	32,986,740	26,578,770	24,067,781

	As of December 31,				
	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Balance Sheet Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$62,313	\$27,992	\$24,118	\$22,077	\$11,669
Working capital	60,566	25,447	23,074	21,236	10,539
Total assets	77,223	43,419	39,813	37,906	27,273
Accumulated deficit	(356,131)	(341,456)	(330,293)	(319,427)	(310,582)
Total stockholders' equity	73,108	38,642	34,532	32,753	22,011

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion and analysis together with "Item 6. Selected Financial Data" and the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those set forth under the caption "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

Overview

Background

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on acquiring and developing novel, small molecule therapeutics for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs and a commercial focus on the United States market. We were incorporated in Delaware in September 2000.

We have incurred significant net losses since our inception. For the year ended December 31, 2018, we had a net loss of \$14.7 million. At December 31, 2018, from inception, our accumulated deficit was \$356.1 million. We expect to incur substantial net losses for the next several years as we continue to develop certain of our existing product development programs, and over the long-term if we expand our research and development programs and acquire or in-license products, technologies or businesses that are complementary to our own.

Our current strategy is to focus our development activities on MN-166 (ibudilast) for neurological disorders such as progressive multiple sclerosis (MS), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, degenerative cervical myelopathy, glioblastoma, and substance dependence and addiction (e.g., methamphetamine dependence, opioid dependence, and alcohol dependence), and MN-001 (tipelukast) for fibrotic diseases such as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Our pipeline also includes MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of acute exacerbation of asthma and MN-029 (denibulin) for solid tumor cancers.

Upon completion of proof-of-concept Phase 2 clinical trials, we intend to discuss strategic alliances with leading pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies who seek late stage product candidates to support further clinical development and product commercialization. Depending on decisions we may make as to further clinical development, we may seek to raise additional capital. We may also pursue potential partnerships and potential acquirers of license rights to our programs in markets outside the United States.

We entered into an agreement to form a joint venture company with Zhejiang Medicine Co., Ltd. and Beijing Medfron Medical Technologies Co. Ltd., (formerly Beijing Make-Friend Medicine Technology Co., Ltd.) effective September 27, 2011. The joint venture agreement provided for the joint venture company, Zhejiang Sunny Bio-Medical Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang Sunny), to develop and commercialize MN-221 (bedoradrine) in China and search for additional compounds to develop. On July 24, 2017, the Company and Beijing Medfron Medical Technologies Co., Ltd. agreed to dissolve Zhejiang Sunny, subject to approval by applicable Chinese regulatory authorities which was granted on December 11, 2017. At December 31, 2017, we reflected a long-term asset on our consolidated balance sheet which represented our investment in Zhejiang Sunny, net of our portion of any generated loss or income. In April 2018, we received proceeds of \$0.6 million from the dissolution of the joint venture and liquidation of our investment, resulting in an immaterial gain recorded within other expense, net in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Revenues and Cost of Revenues

We did not recognize any revenue for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 or 2016.

In October 2011, we entered into an agreement with Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Kissei) to perform research and development services relating to MN-221 (bedoradrine) in exchange for a non-refundable upfront payment of \$2.5 million. Under the terms of the agreement, we are responsible for all costs incurred and to be incurred in the performance of these services. The \$2.5 million was initially recorded as deferred revenue of which \$0.8 million was recognized through 2013 for the completion of the first study. The timing of the second study is

undetermined as of December 31, 2018. No revenue was recorded in 2018, 2017 and 2016 associated with the Kissei agreement.

Research, Development and Patent Expenses

Our research, development and patent expenses consist primarily of the license fees related to our product candidates, salaries and related employee benefits, costs associated with the preclinical and clinical development of our product development programs, costs associated with non-clinical activities, such as regulatory expenses, and pre-commercialization manufacturing development activities. We use external service providers to manufacture our compounds to be used in clinical trials and for the majority of the services performed in connection with the preclinical and clinical development of our product candidates. Research, development and patent expenses include fees paid to consultants, contract research organizations, contract manufacturers and other external service providers, including professional fees and costs associated with legal services, patents and patent applications for our intellectual property. Internal research and development expenses include costs of compensation and other expenses for research and development personnel, supplies, facility costs and depreciation. Research, development and patent costs are expensed as incurred, and we expect to increase such costs in 2019 as our development programs progress.

The following table summarizes our research, development and patent expenses for the periods indicated for each of our product development programs. To the extent that costs, including personnel costs, are not tracked to a specific product development program, such costs are included in the “Other R&D expense” category (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
External development expense:			
MN-166	\$1,993	\$1,055	\$707
MN-001	278	420	364
MN-221	35.00	12.00	6.23
MN-029	2	3	3
Total external development expense	2,308	1,490	1,080
R&D personnel expense	2,818	2,264	1,951
R&D facility expense	47	57	57
Patent expense	284	311	369
Other R&D expense	169	102	62
Total research, development and patent expense	\$5,626	\$4,224	\$3,519

Our goal is to build a sustainable biopharmaceutical business through the successful development of differentiated products for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs in high-value therapeutic areas. Key elements of our strategy are as follows:

- Pursue the development of MN-166 (ibudilast) for multiple potential indications with the support of non-dilutive financings.

We intend to advance our diverse MN-166 (ibudilast) program through a combination of investigator-sponsored clinical trials, trials funded through government grants or other grants, and trials funded by us. In addition to providing drug supply and regulatory support, we have funded portions of some of the consortium-sponsored trials. For example, we contributed financially to the Secondary and Primary Progressive Ibudilast NeuroNEXT Trial in Multiple Sclerosis (SPRINT-MS) Phase 2b clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of progressive MS, which was primarily funded by the NIH. In addition, we contributed financially to the clinical trial of MN-166 (ibudilast) for the treatment of ALS as well as the ongoing ALS / Biomarker study. We intend to pursue additional strategic alliances to help support further clinical development of MN-166 (ibudilast).

- Pursue the development of MN-001 (tipelukast) for fibrotic diseases and other diseases.

54

We intend to advance development of MN-001 (tipelukast) through a variety of means, which may include investigator-sponsored trials with or without grant funding as well as trials funded by us.

- Consider strategic partnerships with one or more leading pharmaceutical companies to complete late-stage product development and successfully commercialize our products.

We develop and maintain relationships with pharmaceutical companies that are therapeutic category leaders. Upon completion of proof-of-concept Phase 2 clinical trials, we intend to discuss strategic alliances with leading pharmaceutical companies who seek late-stage product candidates, such as MN-166 (ibudilast), MN-001 (tipelukast), MN-221 (bedoradrine) and MN-029, which could support further clinical development and product commercialization.

General and Administrative

Our general and administrative costs primarily consist of salaries, benefits and consulting and professional fees related to our administrative, finance, human resources, business development, legal, information systems support functions, facilities and insurance costs. General and administrative costs are expensed as incurred.

Our general and administrative expenses may increase in future periods if we are required to expand our infrastructure based on the success of our product development programs and in raising capital to support our product development programs or otherwise in connection with increased business development activities related to partnering, out-licensing or product disposition.

Other Expense, net

Other expense primarily consists of net losses from the joint venture and net foreign exchange losses related to vendor invoices denominated in foreign currencies.

Interest Income

Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash and cash equivalents.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and the related disclosure of contingent liabilities. We review our estimates on an ongoing basis, including those related to our significant accruals. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Our significant accounting policies are more fully described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our most critical accounting estimates include research, development and patent expenses which impacts operating expenses and accrued liabilities, stock-based compensation which impacts operating expenses and goodwill and purchased intangibles. We review our estimates and assumptions periodically and reflect the effects of revisions in the period in which they are deemed to be necessary. We believe that the following accounting policies are critical to the judgments and estimates used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Research, Development and Patent Expenses

Research, development and patent costs are expensed as incurred based on certain contractual factors such as estimates of work performed, milestones achieved, patient enrollment and experience with similar contracts. As actual costs become known, accruals are adjusted. To date, our accrued research, development and patent expenses have not differed significantly from the actual expenses incurred.

Stock-based Compensation

We grant options to purchase our common stock to our employees and directors under our 2013 Stock Incentive Plan. Additionally, we have outstanding stock options that were granted under our Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. Under our 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, full-time employees are permitted to purchase common stock through payroll deduction at the lower of 85% of fair market value at the beginning of the offering period or the end of each six-month offering period. The benefits provided under all these plans require stock-based compensation for an award of equity instruments, including stock options and employee stock purchase rights issued to employees to be recognized as a cost in the consolidated financial statements. The cost of these awards is measured according to the grant date fair value of the stock award and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, which is usually the vesting period. We issue employee performance-based stock options, the vesting of which is subsequently based on a determination made by our board of directors as to the achievement of certain corporate objectives. The grant date of such awards is the date on which our board of directors makes its determination. For periods preceding the grant date, the cost of these awards is measured according to their fair value at each reporting date.

Valuation of our stock option grants requires us to estimate certain variables, such as estimated volatility and expected life. If any of our estimations change, such changes could have a significant impact on the stock-based compensation amount we recognize.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangibles

Goodwill is recorded when the consideration paid for an acquisition exceeds the fair value of the identified net tangible and intangible assets of acquired businesses. The allocation of purchase price for acquisitions require extensive use of accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the identifiable tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values. Additionally, we must determine whether an acquired entity is considered a business or a set of net assets as a portion of the purchase price can only be allocated to goodwill in a business combination.

Goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives, such as in-process research and development (IPR&D), are not amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. The amounts and useful lives assigned to intangible assets that have finite useful lives require the use of estimates and the exercise of judgment. These judgments can significantly affect our net operating results. Goodwill and IPR&D are considered to have indefinite lives and are carried at cost. As of December 31, 2018, and 2017, we have goodwill and IPR&D, of \$9.6 million and \$4.8 million, respectively.

At least annually in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist, we complete an impairment test for goodwill and purchased indefinite life intangibles. The impairment evaluation is performed assuming that the Company operates in a single operating segment and reporting unit. When impaired, the carrying value of goodwill is written down to fair value. The goodwill impairment test involves consideration of qualitative information to determine if it is more likely than not, that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, a goodwill impairment charge is recorded for the difference (up to the carrying value of the intangible asset). There was no impairment of goodwill for all periods presented.

We periodically re-evaluate the original assumptions and rationale utilized in the establishment of the carrying value and estimated lives of our long-lived assets. The criteria used for these evaluations include management's estimate of the asset's continuing ability to generate income from operations and positive cash flows in future periods as well as the strategic significance of any intangible assets in our business objectives. If assets are considered to be impaired,

the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The impact of recent accounting pronouncements is more fully described in Note 1 of our consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

56

Results of Operations

Comparison of the Years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

Revenues

We did not recognize any revenue for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017.

Research, Development and Patent Expenses

Research, development and patent expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 increased by \$1.4 million as compared to the same period in 2017, primarily due to an increase in clinical trial activities related to the MN-166 (ibudilast) trials as well as higher stock compensation expense for performance-based stock options.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2018 increased by \$1.2 million compared to the same period in 2017, primarily driven by higher stock compensation expense for performance-based stock options.

Other Expense, net

Other expense for the years ended 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$23,000 and \$26,000, respectively. Other expense consisted of net losses from the joint venture accounted for under the equity method according to our percentage ownership, interest expense and net transaction losses related to vendor invoices denominated in foreign currencies.

Interest Income

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2018 was approximately \$940,000, as compared to approximately \$146,000 for the same period in 2017. Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash and cash equivalents.

Comparison of the Years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016

Revenues

We did not recognize any revenue for the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016.

Research, Development and Patent Expenses

Research, development and patent expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 increased by \$0.7 million compared to the same period in 2016, primarily due to an increase in clinical trial activities related to the MN-001 (tipelukast) and MN-166 (ibudilast) trials as well as higher stock compensation expense for performance-based stock options.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the year ended December 31, 2017 increased by \$1.4 million compared to the same period in 2016, primarily driven by higher stock compensation expense for performance-based stock options as well as an increase in legal fees related to the Company's SEC filings and other legal matters.

Other Expense, net

Other expense for the years ended 2017 and 2016 was approximately \$26,000 and \$47,000, respectively. Other expense consisted of net losses from the joint venture accounted for under the equity method according to our

57

percentage ownership, interest expense and net transaction losses related to vendor invoices denominated in foreign currencies.

Interest Income

Interest income for the year ended December 31, 2017 was approximately \$146,000, as compared to approximately \$67,000 for the same period in 2016. Interest income consists of interest earned on our cash and cash equivalents.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We incurred losses of \$14.7 million, \$11.2 million, and \$10.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017, and 2016, respectively. At December 31, 2018, our accumulated deficit was \$356.1 million. Our operating losses to date have been funded primarily through the private placement of our equity securities, the public sale of our common stock, long-term debt, development agreements with partners and the exercise of founder's warrants, net of treasury stock repurchases.

The following table shows a summary of our cash flows for the years ended December 31:

	2018	2017	2016
Net cash (used in) provided by:			
Operating activities	\$ (9,114)	\$(6,924)	\$ (6,546)
Investing activities	626	—	(84)
Financing activities	42,809	10,797	8,666
Total	\$ 34,321	\$ 3,873	\$ 2,036

Equity Financing

On May 22, 2015, we entered into an at-the-market issuance sales agreement (the "ATM Agreement") with MLV & Co. LLC (MLV), pursuant to which we may sell common stock through MLV from time to time up to an aggregate offering price of \$30.0 million. Sales of our common stock through MLV, if any, will be made by any method that is deemed to be an "at-the-market" equity offering as defined in Rule 415 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on NASDAQ, on any other existing trading market for the common stock or to or through a market maker. MLV may also sell the common stock in privately negotiated transactions, subject to our prior approval. We agreed to pay MLV an aggregate commission rate of up to 4.0% of the gross proceeds of any common stock sold under this agreement. Proceeds from sales of common stock will depend on the number of shares of common stock sold to MLV and the per share purchase price of each transaction. We are not obligated to make any sales of common stock under the sales agreement and may terminate the sales agreement at any time upon written notice.

On August 24, 2015, we completed a firm-commitment underwritten public offering of 5,000,000 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$3.50 per share for gross proceeds of \$17.5 million, and received net proceeds of approximately \$16.0 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses.

On September 16, 2016, we entered into an amendment No. 1 to the ATM Agreement with MLV to also include FBR Capital Markets & Co (FBR) as a sales agent.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, we generated gross and net proceeds of \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, on sales of 200,000 shares of our common stock at \$7.81 per share. For the year ended December 31, 2017, we generated gross and net proceeds of \$10.3 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, on sales of 1,689,436 shares of our common stock at prices ranging from \$5.30 to \$6.98 per share.

Public Offering

On February 12, 2018, we completed a firm-commitment underwritten public offering of 4,419,890 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$9.05 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$40.0 million, and received

58

aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$37.4 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. Additionally, we granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 662,983 shares of common stock at the public offering price, and on February 21, 2018, we sold an additional 126,038 shares of common stock for gross proceeds of \$1.1 million pursuant to the partial exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option.

Warrants

During the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017, warrants to purchase 750,000 and 119,047 shares were exercised for gross proceeds of \$2.4 million and \$0.4 million respectively.

Factors That May Affect Future Financial Condition and Liquidity

As of December 31, 2018, we had available cash and cash equivalents of \$62.3 million and working capital of \$60.6 million. As of the date of this report, we believe we have working capital sufficient to fund operations through the end of 2020.

Our future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including, but not limited to:

- progress in, and the costs of, future planned clinical trials and other research and development activities;
 - the scope, prioritization and number of our product development programs;
- our obligations under our license agreements, pursuant to which we may be required to make future milestone payments upon the achievement of various milestones related to clinical, regulatory or commercial events;
 - our ability to establish and maintain strategic collaborations, including licensing and other arrangements, and to complete acquisitions of additional product candidates;
- the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals;
- the costs of securing manufacturing arrangements for clinical or commercial production of our product candidates;
- the costs associated with any expansion of our management, personnel, systems and facilities;
- the costs associated with any litigation;
- the costs associated with the operations or wind-down of any business we may acquire;
- the costs involved in filing, prosecuting, enforcing and defending patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and
- the costs of establishing or contracting for sales and marketing capabilities and commercialization activities if we obtain regulatory approval to market our product candidates.

Other Significant Contractual Obligations

The following summarizes our scheduled long-term contractual obligations that may affect our future liquidity as of December 31, 2018 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than			
		1	1-3	3-5	More than 5
Operating leases	\$480	\$183	\$296	\$ 1	\$ —
Research and development services (1)	2,351	—	2,351	—	—
Total (2)	\$2,831	\$183	\$2,647	\$ 1	\$ —

(1) In October 2011, we entered into an agreement with Kissei to perform research and development services relating to MN-221 (bedoradrine) in exchange for a non-refundable upfront payment of \$2.5 million. We are

59

responsible for all costs to be incurred in the performance of these services. The estimated remaining costs to be incurred in the performance of all such remaining services are included above.

(2) We also enter into agreements with third parties to conduct our clinical trials, manufacture our product candidates, and perform data collection, analysis and other services in connection with our product development programs. As our payment obligations under these agreements depend upon the progress of our product development programs, we are unable at this time to estimate the future costs we might incur under these agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At December 31, 2018, we did not have any relationship with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance variable interest, or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. In addition, we did not engage in trading activities involving non-exchange traded contracts. As a result, we are not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships. We do not have relationships and transactions with persons and entities that derive benefits from their non-independent relationship with us or our related parties except as disclosed herein.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Shareholders and Board of Directors

MediciNova, Inc.

La Jolla, California

Opinion on the Consolidated Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of MediciNova, Inc. (the “Company”) as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes (collectively referred to as the “consolidated financial statements”). In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2018 and 2017, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (“PCAOB”), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (“COSO”) and our report dated February 13, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s consolidated financial statements based on our audits. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with the U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud.

Our audits included performing procedures to assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to error or fraud, and performing procedures that respond to those risks. Such procedures included examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Our audits also included evaluating the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

We have served as the Company's auditor since 2015.

San Diego, California

February 13, 2019

61

MEDICINOVA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Assets:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$62,313,418	\$27,991,743
Prepaid expense and other current assets	444,942	336,580
Total current assets	62,758,360	28,328,323
Goodwill	9,600,240	9,600,240
In-process research and development	4,800,000	4,800,000
Investment in joint venture	—	616,657
Property and equipment, net	53,134	62,886
Other long-term assets	10,958	10,958
Total assets	\$77,222,692	\$43,419,064
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$616,753	\$1,520,225
Accrued liabilities	1,575,161	1,360,744
Total current liabilities	2,191,914	2,880,969
Long-term deferred rent and lease liability	27,211	—
Deferred tax liability	201,792	201,792
Long-term deferred revenue	1,694,163	1,694,163
Total liabilities	4,115,080	4,776,924
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized at		
December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017; 42,081,306 and 36,452,893		
shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively	42,081	36,453
Additional paid-in capital	429,289,968	380,156,510
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(93,150)	(94,623)
Accumulated deficit	(356,131,287)	(341,456,200)
Total stockholders' equity	73,107,612	38,642,140
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$77,222,692	\$43,419,064

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MEDICINOVA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

	Years ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Operating expenses:			
Research, development and patents	\$5,625,814	\$4,223,746	\$3,519,172
General and administrative	9,961,012	8,803,347	7,362,662
Total operating expenses	15,586,826	13,027,093	10,881,834
Operating loss	(15,586,826)	(13,027,093)	(10,881,834)
Other expense, net	(22,894)	(25,601)	(47,038)
Interest income	939,909	145,508	66,647
Loss before income taxes	(14,669,811)	(12,907,186)	(10,862,225)
Income tax benefit (expense)	(5,276)	1,744,050	(3,754)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$(14,675,087)	\$(11,163,136)	\$(10,865,979)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share	\$(0.36)	\$(0.32)	\$(0.33)
Shares used to compute basic and diluted net loss per share	41,124,909	35,137,028	32,986,740
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	\$(14,675,087)	\$(11,163,136)	\$(10,865,979)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	1,473	1,377	6,765
Comprehensive loss	\$(14,673,614)	\$(11,161,759)	\$(10,859,214)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MEDICINOVA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Preferred stock		Common stock		Additional paid-in capital	Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) deficit		Total stockholders' equity
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2015	220,000	\$2,200	29,956,495	\$29,957	\$352,250,667	\$(102,765)	\$(319,427,085)	\$32,752,974
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	—	3,972,533	—	—	3,972,533
Issuance of shares under an employee stock purchase plan	—	—	26,650	27	87,702	—	—	87,729
Issuance of common stock under at-the-market equity distribution and sales agreements, net of offering costs	—	—	36,248	36	159,493	—	—	159,529
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	(220,000)	(2,200)	2,200,000	2,200	—	—	—	—
Issuance of common stock for option exercises	—	—	172,585	173	829,353	—	—	829,526
Exercise of warrants	—	—	2,131,700	2,132	7,586,720	—	—	7,588,852
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(10,865,979)	(10,865,979)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	—	—	—	6,765	—	6,765
Balance at December 31,	—	—	34,523,678	34,525	364,886,468	(96,000)	(330,293,064)	34,531,929

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

2016								
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	—	4,474,945	—	—	4,474,945
Issuance of shares under an employee stock purchase plan	—	—	15,153	15	77,304	—	—	77,319
Issuance of common stock under at-the-market equity distribution and sales agreements, net of offering costs	—	—	1,689,436	1,689	9,889,626	—	—	9,891,315
Issuance of common stock for option exercises	—	—	105,579	105	425,908	—	—	426,013
Exercise of warrants	—	—	119,047	119	402,259	—	—	402,378
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(11,163,136)	(11,163,136)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	—	—	—	1,377	—	1,377
Balance at December 31, 2017	—	—	36,452,893	36,453	380,156,510	(94,623)	(341,456,200)	38,642,140
Share-based compensation	—	—	—	—	6,330,305	—	—	6,330,305
Issuance of shares under an employee stock purchase plan	—	—	7,094	7	51,002	—	—	51,009
Issuance of common stock under public offering, net of offering costs	—	—	4,545,928	4,546	38,468,425	—	—	38,472,971
Issuance of common stock under at-the-market equity	—	—	200,000	200	1,514,905	—	—	1,515,105

distribution

and sales
agreements,
net of offering
costs

Issuance of common stock for option exercises	—	—	125,391	125	407,071	—	—	407,196
Exercise of warrants	—	—	750,000	750	2,361,750	—	—	2,362,500
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(14,675,087)	(14,675,087)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	—	—	—	1,473	—	1,473
Balance at December 31, 2018	—	\$—	42,081,306	\$42,081	\$429,289,968	\$(93,150)	\$(356,131,287)	\$73,107,612

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MEDICINOVA, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years ended December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Operating activities:			
Net loss	\$(14,675,087)	\$(11,163,136)	\$(10,865,979)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by			
operating activities:			
Non-cash share-based compensation	6,330,305	4,474,945	3,972,533
Depreciation and amortization	25,881	28,098	14,127
Tax benefit from fluctuations in other comprehensive income	—	—	(1,901)
Change in joint venture investment	(19,867)	1,673	32,139
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Receivables, prepaid expenses and other assets	(111,682)	242,064	175,495
Accounts payable, accrued liabilities and other current			
liabilities	(663,671)	1,246,630	127,373
Deferred tax liability, deferred revenue and other long-term liabilities	—	(1,754,208)	—
Net cash used in operating activities	(9,114,121)	(6,923,934)	(6,546,213)
Investing activities:			
Proceeds from dissolution of joint venture	636,524	—	—
Acquisitions of property and equipment	(10,200)	—	(84,483)
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	626,324	—	(84,483)
Financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, exercise of common			
stock options and warrants, net of issuance costs	42,757,772	10,719,707	8,577,907
Proceeds from issuance of equity under ESPP	51,009	77,319	87,729
Net cash provided by financing activities	42,808,781	10,797,026	8,665,636
Effects of foreign exchange rates on cash	691	614	6,348
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	34,321,675	3,873,706	2,041,288
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	27,991,743	24,118,037	22,076,749
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$62,313,418	\$27,991,743	\$24,118,037
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Income taxes paid	\$6,005	\$9,203	\$6,035

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

MEDICINOVA, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization and Business

The Company was incorporated in the state of Delaware in September 2000 and is a public company. The Company's common stock is listed in both the United States and Japan and trades on the NASDAQ Global Market and the JASDAQ Market of the Tokyo Stock Exchange. The Company is a biopharmaceutical company focused on developing novel, small molecule therapeutics for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs with a commercial focus on the United States market. The Company's current strategy is to focus its development activities on MN-166 (ibudilast) for neurological disorders such as progressive multiple sclerosis (MS), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy, degenerative cervical myelopathy, glioblastoma, and substance dependence and addiction (e.g., methamphetamine dependence, opioid dependence, and alcohol dependence), and MN-001 (tipelukast) for fibrotic diseases such as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). The Company's pipeline also includes MN-221 (bedoradrine) for the treatment of acute exacerbation of asthma and MN-029 (denibulin) for solid tumor cancers.

As of December 31, 2018, the Company had available cash and cash equivalents of \$62.3 million and working capital of \$60.6 million.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MediciNova, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

Segment Reporting

The Company operates in a single operating segment – the acquisition and development of small molecule therapeutics for the treatment of serious diseases with unmet medical needs.

Use of Estimates

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash and other highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase. Cash equivalents at December 31, 2018 and 2017 consisted of money market funds.

Concentrations and Credit Risk

The Company maintains cash balances at various financial institutions and such balances commonly exceed the \$250,000 amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. The Company also maintains money market funds at various financial institutions which are not federally insured although are invested primarily in U.S. government securities. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and management believes that the Company does not have significant credit risk with respect to such cash and cash equivalents.

66

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, prepaid expenses and other current assets, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, are carried at cost, which management believes approximates fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Goodwill and Purchased Intangibles

The Company records goodwill and other intangible assets based on the fair value of the assets acquired. In determining the fair value of the assets acquired, the Company utilizes extensive accounting estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. The Company uses the discounted cash flow method to estimate the value of intangible assets acquired.

The Company assesses goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets for impairment using fair value measurement techniques on an annual basis during the fourth quarter of the year, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist. The impairment evaluation is performed assuming that the Company operates in a single operating segment and reporting unit. When impaired, the carrying value of goodwill is written down to fair value. The goodwill impairment test involves consideration of qualitative information to determine if it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying value. If such a determination is made, then the traditional two-step goodwill impairment test is applied. The first step, identifying a potential impairment, compares the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, including goodwill. If the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the second step would need to be conducted; otherwise, no further steps are necessary as no potential impairment exists. The second step, measuring the impairment loss, compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill with the carrying amount of that goodwill. Any excess of the reporting unit goodwill carrying value over the respective implied fair value is recognized as an impairment loss. There was no impairment of goodwill for all periods presented.

The Company periodically re-evaluates the original assumptions and rationale utilized in the establishment of the carrying value and estimated lives of its long-lived assets. The criteria used for these evaluations include management's estimate of the asset's continuing ability to generate income from operations and positive cash flows in future periods as well as the strategic significance of any intangible assets in the Company's business objectives. If assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying value of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. There was no impairment of long-lived assets for the periods presented.

Research, Development and Patents

Research and development costs are expensed in the period incurred. Research and development costs primarily consist of salaries and related expenses for personnel, facilities and depreciation, research and development supplies, licenses and outside services. Such research and development costs totaled \$5.3 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Costs related to filing and pursuing patent applications are expensed as incurred, as recoverability of such expenditures is uncertain. The Company includes all external costs related to the filing of patents on developments in Research, Development and Patents expenses. Such patent-related expenses totaled \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017 and \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Share-Based Compensation

The Company estimates the fair value of stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model on the date of grant. The fair value of equity instruments expected to vest are recognized and amortized on a straight-line basis over

the requisite service period of the award, which is generally three to four years; however, the Company's equity compensation plans provide for any vesting schedule as the board may deem appropriate. Forfeitures are recognized as they occur.

67

Net Loss Per Share

The Company computes basic net loss per share using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares and potentially dilutive securities (common share equivalents) outstanding during the period. Common share equivalents outstanding, determined using the treasury stock method, are comprised of shares that may be issued under the Company's stock option agreements and warrants. Common share equivalents were excluded from the diluted net loss per share calculation because of their anti-dilutive effect for all periods presented.

Potentially dilutive outstanding securities excluded from diluted net loss per common share because of their anti-dilutive effect for the periods presented are as follows:

	December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Stock options	6,609,647	5,514,038	4,432,017
Warrants	—	750,000	1,067,067
Total	6,609,647	6,264,038	5,499,084

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-09, Compensation-Stock Compensation Topic 718-Scope of Modification Accounting ("ASU 2017-09"). This guidance will clarify when changes to the terms and conditions of share-based payment awards must be accounted for as modifications. Entities will apply the modification accounting guidance if the value, vesting conditions, or classification of an award changes. ASU 2017-09 is effective for the Company at the beginning of fiscal 2018, including interim periods within fiscal 2018. Early adoption is permitted and the guidance will be applied prospectively to awards modified on or after adoption. The Company adopted this standard in 2018 and there was no significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements at the time of adoption.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. ASU 2014-09 and its related amendments provide companies with a single model for accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes prior revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific revenue guidance. The core principle of the model is to recognize revenue when control of the goods or services transfers to the customer, as opposed to recognizing revenue when the risks and rewards transfer to the customer under the existing revenue guidance. The guidance permits companies to either apply the requirements retrospectively to all prior periods presented, or apply the requirements in the year of adoption, through a cumulative adjustment. The Company adopted the new accounting standard using the modified retrospective transition method effective January 1, 2018 and there was no impact to the Company's historical Kissei contract. See Note 2 for further information.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases, which introduces the recognition of lease assets and lease liabilities by lessees for those leases classified as operating leases under previous guidance. The new standard establishes a right-of-use (ROU) model that requires a lessee to record an ROU asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases with terms longer than 12 months. The new standard is effective for fiscal years beginning

after December 15, 2018 and interim periods within those fiscal years with early adoption permitted. The Company has completed its identification of leases which is comprised of two building leases and copiers. The Company is in the process of quantifying the impact to the balance sheet while the impact to the statement of operations is expected to be immaterial. The Company will adopt the new accounting standard using a modified retrospective transition option effective January 1, 2019.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU No. 2017-04, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other, which eliminates step two of the quantitative goodwill impairment test. Step two required determination of the implied fair value of a reporting unit, and then a comparison of this implied fair value with the carrying amount of goodwill for the reporting unit, in order to determine any goodwill impairment. Under the new guidance, an entity is only required to

complete a one-step quantitative test, by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, and any goodwill impairment charge is determined by the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting unit's fair value. However, the loss should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. The standard is effective for the Company in the first quarter of 2020, with early adoption permitted as of January 1, 2017, and is to be applied on a prospective basis. The Company early adopted this standard with its December 31, 2018 impairment test. The Company's annual impairment test was completed using a qualitative approach, so the early adoption did not impact the results of the Company's impairment test.

In August 2018, the FASB ASU No. 2018-13, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820), which eliminates, adds and modifies certain disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. The modified standard eliminates the requirement to disclose changes in unrealized gains and losses included in earnings for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements and requires that changes in unrealized gains and losses be included in other comprehensive income for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements of instruments. The standard also requires the disclosure of the range and weighted average used to develop significant unobservable inputs and how weighted average is calculate for recurring and nonrecurring Level 3 fair value measurements. The amendment is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019 and interim periods within that fiscal year with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the standard to have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements.

2. Revenue Recognition

Revenue Recognition Policy

Revenues consist mainly of research and development services performed under a contract with a customer. The Company evaluates the separate performance obligation(s) under each contract, allocates the transaction price to each performance obligation considering the estimated stand-alone selling prices of the services and recognizes revenue upon the satisfaction of such obligations over time or at a point in time dependent on the satisfaction of one of the following criteria: (1) the customer simultaneously receives and consumes the economic benefits provided by the vendor's performance (2) the vendor creates or enhances an asset controlled by the customer (3) the vendor's performance does not create an asset for which the vendor has an alternative use, and the vendor has an enforceable right to payment for performance completed to date.

Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd

In October 2011, the Company entered into a collaboration agreement with Kissei Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., ("Kissei"), to perform research and development services relating to MN-221 (bedoradrine) in exchange for a non-refundable upfront payment of \$2.5 million. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company is responsible for all costs to be incurred in the performance of these services. The Company assessed the services in accordance with the authoritative guidance and concluded that its meets the definition of a collaborative arrangement per ASC 808 which is outside of the scope of ASC 606. Since ASC 808 does not provide recognition and measurement guidance for collaborative arrangements, the Company analogized to ASC 606.

The Company concluded the two studies to be performed under the agreement represented two separate performance obligations. The transaction price was allocated among the two studies that were deemed separate performance obligations based on the expected costs to be incurred for each obligation. While amounts were received in advance for the studies, at contract inception, both parties anticipated the contract would be completed within 1 year, so no significant financing component existed at contract inception. Revenue is recognized proportional to the total costs expected for each performance obligation as incurred over the service period. The first study was completed in 2013

and the timing of the second study is undetermined as of December 31, 2018. The amount received from Kissei and allocated, net of the amount recorded as revenue, is included on the balance sheet as long-term deferred revenue and will be recognized as revenue as the remaining performance obligation is satisfied. No revenue was recognized for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 or 2016 in connection with the collaboration agreement with Kissei.

3. Fair Value Measurements

Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, a three-tier fair value hierarchy has been established, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;

Level 2: Inputs are quoted prices for similar items in active markets or inputs are quoted prices for identical or similar items in markets that are not active near the measurement date; and

Level 3: Unobservable inputs due to little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions

Cash equivalents including money market accounts of \$677,594 and \$666,265 measured at fair value as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, are classified within Level 1.

4. Balance Sheet Details

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net, consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Leasehold improvements	\$ 16,121	\$ 15,742
Furniture and equipment	235,095	233,441
Software	285,446	285,418
	536,662	534,601
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(483,528)	(471,715)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 53,134	\$ 62,886

The Company uses the straight-line method to record depreciation expense with useful lives of three to five years. Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment of \$25,881, \$28,098, and \$14,127, was recorded for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2018	2017
Accrued compensation	\$1,137,869	\$952,470
Research and development costs	226,597	122,665
Professional services fees	18,763	144,105
Other	191,932	141,504
Total accrued liabilities	\$1,575,161	\$1,360,744

5. Commitments and Contingencies

Lease Commitments

The Company subleases its office space under an operating lease with an initial term of four years and one month, expiring in December 2021. Rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$229,613, \$254,593 and \$256,314, respectively. The difference between the minimum lease payments and the straight-line amount of total rent expense is recorded as deferred rent. Deferred rent at December 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$24,762 and \$253, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018, the total estimated future annual minimum lease payments under the Company's non-cancelable building and copier leases for the years ending after December 31, 2018 are as follows:

Years ending December 31:	
2019	\$ 182,847
2020	144,234
2021	149,951
2022	1,532
2023	1,404
Total minimum payments	\$ 479,968

Product Liability

The Company's business exposes it to liability risks from its potential drug products. A successful product liability claim or series of claims brought against the Company could result in the payment of significant amounts of money and divert management's attention from running the business. The Company may not be able to maintain insurance on acceptable terms, or the insurance may not provide adequate protection in the case of a product liability claim. To the extent that product liability insurance, if available, does not cover potential claims, the Company would be required to self-insure the risks associated with such claims. The Company believes it carries reasonably adequate insurance for product liability.

License and Research Agreements

The Company has entered into in-licensing agreements with various pharmaceutical companies. Under the terms of these agreements, the Company has received licenses to research, know-how and technology claimed, in certain patents or patent applications. Under these license agreements, the Company is generally required to make upfront payments and additional payments upon the achievement of milestones and/or royalties on future sales of products until the later of the expiration of the applicable patent or the applicable last date of market exclusivity after the first commercial sale, on a country-by-country basis.

No amounts have been expended under these agreements during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 or 2016. For products currently in development, future potential milestone payments based on product development of MN-166 (ibudilast) and MN-001 (tipelukast) are \$10.0 million as of December 31, 2018. For all other products, future potential milestone payments related to development milestones and commercialization milestones totaled \$33.5 million as of December 31, 2018. There are no minimum royalties required under any of the license agreements. The Company is unable to estimate with certainty the timing on when these milestone payments will occur as these payments are

dependent upon the progress of the Company's product development programs.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, the Company may be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of business. The Company is not aware of any such proceedings or claims that it believes will have, individually or in aggregate, a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition or results of operations.

6. Joint Venture

The Company entered into an agreement to form a joint venture company with Zhejiang Medicine Co., Ltd. and Beijing Medfron Medical Technologies Co., Ltd. (formerly Beijing Make-Friend Medicine Technology Co., Ltd.) effective September 27, 2011. In August 2014, the Chinese government approved the amendment to the joint venture agreement to allow for the departure of Zhejiang Medicine Co., Ltd. The amended joint venture agreement provided for the joint venture company, Zhejiang Sunny Bio-Medical Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang Sunny), to develop and commercialize MN-221 (bedoradrine) in China and pursue additional compounds to develop, each with a 50% interest in Zhejiang Sunny.

On July 24, 2017, the Company and Beijing Medfron Medical Technologies Co., Ltd. agreed to dissolve Zhejiang Sunny, subject to approval by applicable Chinese regulatory authorities which was granted on December 11, 2017. At December 31, 2017, the Company reflected a long-term asset on its consolidated balance sheet which represented the Company's investment in Zhejiang Sunny, net of the Company's portion of any generated loss or income. In April 2018, the Company received proceeds of \$0.6 million from the dissolution of the joint venture and liquidation of its investment, resulting in an immaterial gain recorded within other expense, net in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2018.

7. Share-based Compensation

Stock Incentive Plans

In June 2013, the Company adopted the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, or 2013 Plan, under which the Company may grant stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other awards to individuals who are then employees, officers, non-employee directors or consultants of the Company or its subsidiaries. The 2013 Plan is the successor to the Company's Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, or 2004 Plan. A total of 2,500,000 shares of common stock were initially reserved for issuance under the 2013 Plan. At the annual meeting of stockholders held in June 2018, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment to the 2013 Plan to increase the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the plan by 1,500,000 shares. In addition, "returning shares" that may become available from time to time are added back to the plan. "Returning shares" are shares that are subject to outstanding awards granted under the 2004 Plan that expire or terminate prior to exercise or settlement, are forfeited because of the failure to vest, are repurchased, or are withheld to satisfy tax withholding or purchase price obligations in connection with such awards. Although the Company no longer grants equity awards under the 2004 Plan, all outstanding stock awards granted under the 2004 Plan will continue to be subject to the terms and conditions as set forth in the agreements evidencing such stock awards and the terms of the 2004 Plan. As of December 31, 2018, 1,494,592 shares remain available for future grant under the 2013 Plan.

The Company issues employee performance-based stock options, the vesting of which is based on a determination made by the board of directors as to the achievement of certain corporate objectives at the end of the performance period. The grant date of such awards is the date on which the board of directors makes its determination. For periods preceding the grant date, the expense related to these awards is measured based on their fair value at each reporting date. As of December 31, 2018, total performance options outstanding and unvested was 1,162,000. These options became vested in 2019.

Stock Options

Options granted under the 2013 Plan and 2004 Plan have terms of ten years from the date of grant unless earlier terminated and generally vest over a three or four-year period.

The exercise price of all options granted during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was equal to the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.

72

A summary of stock option activity and related information for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	Number of Option Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	4,133,969	\$ 4.69
Granted	1,158,000	\$ 4.00
Exercised	(172,585)	\$ 4.81
Cancelled	(687,367)	\$ 11.27
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	4,432,017	\$ 3.47
Granted	1,195,000	\$ 6.10
Exercised	(105,579)	\$ 4.04
Cancelled	(7,400)	\$ 7.76
Outstanding at December 31, 2017	5,514,038	\$ 4.03
Granted	1,222,000	\$ 7.11
Exercised	(125,391)	\$ 3.25
Cancelled	(1,000)	\$ 4.52
Outstanding at December 31, 2018	6,609,647	\$ 4.61
Exercisable at December 31, 2018	5,408,814	\$ 4.07

	Number of Option Shares	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Non-vested at December 31, 2017	1,261,837	\$ 3.73
Granted	1,222,000	\$ 4.57
Vested	(1,283,004)	\$ 3.78
Non-vested at December 31, 2018	1,200,833	\$ 4.04

Cash received from stock option exercises for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 was \$407,196, \$426,013 and \$829,526, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised was \$788,423, \$198,164 and \$414,572 for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively. Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2018 had a weighted average contractual life of 6.32 years, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the total intrinsic value of options outstanding was \$23.6 million and \$13.6 million, respectively. Total intrinsic value of options exercisable was \$22.2 million and \$12.9 million as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the Company's 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), 300,000 shares of common stock were originally reserved for issuance. In addition, the shares reserved automatically increase each year by a number equal to the lesser of: (i) 15,000 shares; (ii) 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year; or (iii) such lesser amount as determined by the Board. The ESPP permits full-time employees to purchase common stock through payroll deductions (which cannot exceed 15% of each employee's compensation) at the lower of 85% of fair market value at the beginning of the offering period or the end of each six-month offering period. The ESPP is considered a compensatory plan and the Company records compensation expense.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, an aggregate of 7,094 shares were issued under the ESPP, leaving 191,878 shares available for future issuance.

Compensation Expense

The estimated fair value of each stock option award was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions for stock option grants:

	Year Ended		
	December 31, 2018	2017	2016
Stock Options			
Risk-free interest rate	2.52 %	2.06 %	1.60 %
Expected volatility of common stock	61.56 %	72.55 %	78.30 %
Dividend yield	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Expected option term (in years)	4.56	5.67	5.57

The estimated fair value of employee stock purchase rights under the Company's ESPP was determined on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option valuation model with the following weighted-average assumptions for stock option grants:

	Year Ended		
	December 31, 2018	2017	2016
Employee Stock Purchase Plan			
Risk-free interest rate	2.07 %	1.09 %	0.44 %
Expected volatility of common stock	70.41 %	38.34 %	51.65 %
Dividend yield	0.00 %	0.00 %	0.00 %
Expected option term (in years)	0.5	0.5	0.5

The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the expected term of employee stock options. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The Company has not paid nor does the Company anticipate paying dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. The expected term of employee stock options is based on the simplified method as provided by the authoritative guidance on stock compensation, as the historical stock option exercise experience does not provide a reasonable basis to estimate the expected term.

The weighted-average fair value of each stock option granted during the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, estimated as of the grant date using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, was \$4.57 per option, \$3.78 per option and \$2.64 per option, respectively.

Share-based compensation expense for stock option awards and ESPP shares are reflected in total operating expense for each respective year. For the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, share-based compensation expense related to stock options and the ESPP was \$6.3 million, \$4.5 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, of which \$4.5

million, \$3.1 million and \$2.9 million was recorded as a component of general and administrative expense, respectively, and \$1.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.1 million was recorded as a component of research, development and patents expense, respectively.

As of December 31, 2018, there was \$0.3 million of unamortized compensation cost related to unvested stock option awards which is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted-average vesting period of 0.03 years, on a straight-line basis.

8. Stockholders' Equity

Equity Offerings

On May 22, 2015, the Company entered into an at-the-market issuance sales agreement (ATM Agreement) with MLV & Co. LLC (MLV), pursuant to which the Company may sell common stock through MLV from time to time up to an aggregate offering price of \$30.0 million. Sales of the Company's common stock through MLV, if any, can

be made by any method that is deemed to be an “at-the-market” equity offering as defined in Rule 415 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, including sales made directly on NASDAQ, on any other existing trading market for the common stock or to or through a market maker. MLV may also sell the common stock in privately negotiated transactions, subject to the Company’s prior approval. The Company agreed to pay MLV an aggregate commission rate of up to 4.0% of the gross proceeds of any common stock sold under this agreement. Proceeds from sales of common stock will depend on the number of shares of common stock sold to MLV and the per share purchase price of each transaction. The Company is not obligated to make any sales of common stock under the sales agreement and may terminate the sales agreement at any time upon written notice. On September 16, 2016, the Company amended the original sales agreement with MLV to also include FBR Capital Markets & Co as a sales agent.

The following table summarizes the activity under the ATM agreements for the following periods (in thousands except share price and shares sold):

	Year Ended		
	December 31,		
	2018	2017	2016
Gross proceeds	1,562	10,303.0	264
Net proceeds	1,515	9,891.3	159.5
Shares sold	200,000	1,689,436	36,248
Price range	\$7.81	\$5.30 - 6.98	\$6.90 - 7.54

Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2018, 750,000 shares of the Company’s common stock were issued upon exercise of warrants for gross proceeds of \$2.4 million. During the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, 119,047 and 2,131,700 shares of the Company’s common stock were issued upon exercise of warrants for gross proceeds of \$0.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively, with warrants exercisable for 198,020 shares expiring unexercised on May 10, 2017.

At December 31, 2018, there were no warrants outstanding to purchase shares of the Company’s common stock.

Common Stock Reserved for Future Issuance

The following table summarizes common stock reserved for future issuance at December 31, 2018:

Common Stock reserved for issuance under the ESPP	191,878
Common stock reserved for issuance upon exercise of options outstanding	
(under the 2004 Plan and 2013 Plan)	6,609,647
Common stock reserved for future equity awards (under	
the 2013 Plan)	1,494,592
	8,296,117

Public Offering

On February 12, 2018, the Company completed a firm-commitment underwritten public offering of 4,419,890 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$9.05 per share for aggregate gross proceeds of \$40.0 million and received aggregate net proceeds of approximately \$37.4 million, net of underwriting discounts and commissions and offering expenses. Additionally, the Company granted the underwriters a 30-day option to purchase up to an additional 662,983 shares of common stock at the public offering price, and on February 21, 2018, the Company sold an additional 126,038 shares of Common Stock for gross proceeds of \$1.1 million pursuant to the partial exercise by the underwriters of their over-allotment option.

9. Income Taxes

A reconciliation of loss before income taxes for domestic and foreign locations for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	2018	2017	2016
United States	\$(14,689,617)	\$(12,940,362)	\$(10,892,276)
Foreign	19,807	33,176	30,050
Loss before income taxes	\$(14,669,810)	\$(12,907,186)	\$(10,862,226)

A reconciliation of income tax benefit (expense) for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016 is as follows:

	2018	2017	2016
Current:			
Federal	\$—	\$—	\$1,489
State	—	—	412
Foreign	(5,276)	(10,158)	(5,655)
Total current income tax benefit (expense)	(5,276)	(10,158)	(3,754)
Deferred:			
Federal	—	1,329,888	—
State	—	424,320	—
Foreign	—	—	—
Total deferred income tax benefit (expense)	—	1,754,208	—
Total income tax benefit (expense)	\$(5,276)	\$1,744,050	\$(3,754)

The significant components of deferred income taxes at December 31, 2018 and 2017 are as follows (in thousands):

	2018	2017
Deferred tax assets:		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$62,025	\$59,480
Capitalized licenses	286	429
Research tax credits	8,434	8,160
Stock options	3,922	2,585
Other, net	580	572
Total deferred tax assets	75,247	71,226
Deferred tax liabilities		
In process R&D	(1,343)	(1,343)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,343)	(1,343)

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Net deferred tax assets	73,904	69,883
Valuation allowance	(74,106)	(70,085)
Net deferred tax liability	\$(202)	\$(202)

The Company has established a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty that such assets will be realized. The Company periodically evaluates the recoverability of the deferred tax assets. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will be realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced.

76

At December 31, 2018, the Company has federal and California net operating losses, or NOL, carryforwards of approximately \$248.9 million and \$139.6 million, respectively. The federal NOL carryforwards begin to expire in 2020, and the California NOL carryforwards begin to expire in 2028. At December 31, 2018, the Company also had federal and California research tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$6.96 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The federal research tax credit carryforwards begin to expire in 2024, and the California research tax credit carryforward does not expire and can be carried forward indefinitely until utilized.

The above NOL carryforward and the research tax credit carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation under Section 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, and similar state provisions due to ownership change limitations that have occurred which will limit the amount of NOL and tax credit carryforwards that can be utilized to offset future taxable income and tax, respectively. In general, an ownership change, as defined by Section 382 and 383, results from transactions increasing ownership of certain stockholders or public groups in the stock of the corporation by more than 50 percentage points over a three-year period. The Company has not completed an IRC Section 382/383 analysis since 2011 regarding the limitation of net operating loss and research and development credit carryforwards. There is a risk that additional changes in ownership have occurred since the completion of the Company's analysis, which was through December 2011. If a change in ownership were to have occurred, additional NOL and tax credit carryforwards could be eliminated or restricted. If eliminated, the related asset would be removed from the deferred tax asset schedule with a corresponding reduction in the valuation allowance. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, limitations created by future ownership changes, if any, related to the Company's operations in the United States will not impact the Company's effective tax rate.

A reconciliation of the federal statutory income tax rate to the Company's effective income tax rate is as follows:

	2018	2017	2016
Federal statutory income tax rate	21.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	6.4	5.2	3.5
Tax credits	1.5	1.1	0.9
Change in valuation allowance	(27.2)	247.4	(8.4)
Permanent differences	(0.1)	(0.3)	(0.1)
Expiration of attributes	—	(18.7)	(17.2)
Tax Cuts and Jobs Act	—	(253.7)	—
Stock compensation	(1.7)	(2.9)	(13.6)
Other	0.1	0.4	(0.1)
Provision for income taxes	0.0 %	13.5 %	0.0 %

On December 22, 2017, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA) was signed into law making significant changes to the Internal Revenue Code, including, but are not limited to (a) reducing the federal corporate income tax rate from 35% to 21%, effective January 1, 2018; and (b) eliminating the federal corporate alternative minimum tax (AMT) and changing how existing AMT credits can be realized; and (c) eliminating several business deductions and credits, including deductions for certain executive compensation in excess of \$1 million. As a result of the rate reduction, the company reduced the deferred tax asset balance as of December 31, 2017 by \$32.7 million and the valuation allowance by \$33.4 million.

In December 2017, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 118 (SAB 118), which provides guidance on accounting for the income tax effects of the TCJA. SAB 118 provides a measurement period that should not extend beyond one year from the TCJA enactment date for companies to complete the accounting relating to the TCJA under Accounting Standards Codification Topic 740, "Income Taxes" (ASC 740). In accordance with SAB 118, a company must reflect the income tax effects of those aspects of the TCJA for which the accounting under ASC 740 is complete. To the extent that a company's accounting for TCJA-related income tax effects is incomplete, but the company is able to determine a reasonable estimate, it must record a provisional estimate in its financial statements. If a company cannot determine a provisional estimate to be included in its financial statements, it should continue to apply ASC 740 on the basis of the provisions of the tax laws that were in effect immediately before the enactment of

77

the TCJA. The Company has completed its evaluation of the potential impacts of IRC Section 162(m) as amended by the Act of 2017 prior to December 22, 2018 and there was no change to the Company's previous analysis.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States, California and foreign jurisdictions. Due to the Company's losses incurred, the Company is essentially subject to income tax examination by tax authorities from inception to date. The Company's policy is to recognize interest expense and penalties related to income tax matters as tax expense. At December 31, 2018, there are no unrecognized tax benefits nor any significant accruals for interest related to unrecognized tax benefits or tax penalties.

10. Employee Savings Plan

The Company has an employee savings plan available to substantially all employees. Under the plan, an employee may elect salary reductions which are contributed to the plan. The plan provides for discretionary contributions by the Company, which totaled \$76,903, \$65,995 and \$66,289 for the years ended December 31, 2018, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

11. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following table presents certain quarterly financial data for eight consecutive quarters ended December 31, 2018 and 2017. The unaudited quarterly information has been prepared on the same basis as the audited consolidated financial statements and, in the opinion of management, includes all adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of this data (in thousands, except per share data).

	Year Ended December 31, 2018			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
Selected quarterly financial data:				
Total operating expenses	\$4,667	\$3,400	\$7,028	\$492
Net loss	(4,543)	(3,144)	(6,780)	(208)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	(4,543)	(3,144)	(6,780)	(208)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share (1)	(0.12)	(0.08)	(0.16)	(0.00)

	Year Ended December 31, 2017			
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th
	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter	Quarter
Selected quarterly financial data:				

Edgar Filing: MEDICINOVA INC - Form 10-K

Total operating expenses	\$3,024	\$2,805	\$3,792	\$3,406
Net loss	(3,017)	(2,789)	(3,755)	(1,602)
Net loss applicable to common stockholders	(3,017)	(2,789)	(3,755)	(1,602)
Basic and diluted net loss per common share (1)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.11)	(0.02)

(1) Net loss per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly net income and loss per share will not necessarily equal the annual per share calculation.

12. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated all subsequent events that have occurred after the date of the accompanying financial statements through February 13, 2019 and determined that there were no events or transactions occurring which require recognition or disclosure in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was performed by our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in the Rules 13(a)-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Disclosure controls and procedures are those controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act filings is (1) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission’s rules and forms, and (2) accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of December 31, 2018, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our procedures or our internal controls will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of our controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during our fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorization of our management and directors; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not

79

eliminate, this risk. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the company.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled Internal Control-Integrated Framework (2013 framework) published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (2013 framework), known as COSO, to evaluate the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Based on this assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2018.

BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited our consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and has issued an attestation report, included herein, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018.

80

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Shareholders and Board of Directors

MediciNova, Inc.

La Jolla, California

Opinion on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

We have audited MediciNova, Inc.'s (the "Company's") internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO criteria"). In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2018, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) ("PCAOB"), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2018 and 2017, the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2018, and the related notes and our report dated February 13, 2019 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

Basis for Opinion

The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Item 9A, "Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit. We are a public accounting firm registered with the PCAOB and are required to be independent with respect to the Company in accordance with U.S. federal securities laws and the applicable rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and the PCAOB.

We conducted our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with the standards of the PCAOB. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Definition and Limitations of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally

accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

81

/s/ BDO USA, LLP

San Diego, California

February 13, 2019

82

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

83

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item and not set forth below will be contained in the sections titled “Election of Directors,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” “Corporate Governance,” “Meetings and Committees of the Board, and “Executive Officers” in our definitive proxy statement for our 2019 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the conclusion of our fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 (the “Proxy Statement”) and is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Officers, or Code of Ethics, that applies to our Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer and key management employees (including other senior financial officers) who have been identified by our Board of Directors. We have also adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to all of our officers, directors, employees, consultants and representatives. Each of the Code of Ethics and Code of Business Conduct are available on our website at www.medicinova.com under the Corporate Governance section of our Investor Relations page. We will promptly post on our website (i) any waiver, if and when granted, to any provision of the Code of Ethics or Code of Business Conduct (for executive officers or directors) and (ii) any amendment to the Code of Ethics or Code of Business Conduct.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be contained in the section titled “Executive Compensation” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Certain of the information required by this item will be contained in section titled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management” the Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2018 with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of Securities		
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Rights	Remaining Securities Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity Compensation Plans Approved	6,609,647	\$ 4.61	1,686,470

by Stockholders (1)			
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved			
by Stockholders	—	—	—
Total	6,609,647	\$ 4.61	1,686,470

(1) Consists of the Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2007 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, or ESPP. Under the ESPP, the shares reserved automatically increase by a number equal to the lesser of: (i) 15,000 shares; (ii) 1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock on the last day of the immediately preceding fiscal year; or (iii) such lesser amount as determined by the Board.

84

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be contained in the sections titled “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” and “Corporate Governance” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be contained in the section titled “Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

1. Financial Statements. The following financial statements of MediciNova, Inc. and Reports of BDO USA, LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K:

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	61
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	62
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss</u>	63
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity</u>	64
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	65
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	66

2. Financial Statement Schedules. None.

3. Exhibits.

Exhibit

Number Description

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 9, 2012).
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to

- Exhibit 3.4 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-119433) filed October 1, 2004).
- 4.1 Specimen of Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-33185) filed February 15, 2007).
- 4.2 Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated September 2, 2004, by and among the Registrant, its founders and the investors named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-119433) filed October 1, 2004).
- 4.3 Form of Warrant to Purchase Common Stock, dated May 14,

2013, issued to Samurai Investments San Diego LLC and Fountain Erika LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 10, 2013).

10.1* Amended and Restated 2004 Stock Incentive Plan of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 29, 2012).

10.2* Form of Indemnity Agreement between the Registrant and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 28, 2013).

10.3† License Agreement, dated March 14, 2002, between the Registrant and Kyorin

Pharmaceutical
Co., Ltd.
(incorporated by
reference to
Exhibit 10.4 of
the Registrant's
Registration
Statement on
Form S-1, as
amended (File
No. 333-119433),
originally filed
on October 1,
2004).

10.4† License
Agreement, dated
June 19, 2002,
between the
Registrant and
Angiogene
Pharmaceuticals,
Ltd.
(incorporated by
reference to
Exhibit 10.5 of
the Registrant's
Registration
Statement on
Form S-1, as
amended (File
No. 333-119433),
originally filed
on October 1,
2004).

10.5† Exclusive
License
Agreement, dated
February 25,
2004, between
the Registrant
and Kissei
Pharmaceutical
Co., Ltd.
(incorporated by
reference to
Exhibit 10.7 of
the Registrant's
Registration
Statement on

Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-119433), originally filed on October 1, 2004).

Exhibit

Number Description

10.6† License Agreement, dated April 27, 2004, between the Registrant and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-119433), originally filed on October 1, 2004).

10.7† License Agreement, dated October 22, 2004, between the Registrant and Kyorin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-119433), originally filed on October 1,

2004).

10.8† License Agreement, dated December 8, 2004, between the Registrant and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-119433), originally filed on October 1, 2004).

10.9* Employment Agreement, dated September 1, 2006, between the Registrant and Masatsune Okajima (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 001-33185) filed February 15, 2007).

10.10† License Agreement, dated October 31,

2006, by and between the Registrant and Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-51133) filed November 2, 2006).

10.11† License Agreement, dated October 31, 2006, by and between the Registrant and Meiji Seika Kaisha, Ltd. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-51133) filed November 2, 2006).

10.12* Executive Employment Agreement, dated April 1, 2007, between the Registrant and Yuichi Iwaki, M.D., Ph.D. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report

on Form 8-K
(File No.
001-33185)
filed April 4,
2007).

10.13* 2007 Employee
Stock Purchase
Plan of the
Registrant
(incorporated
by reference to
Appendix A of
the Registrant's
Definitive
Proxy
Statement on
Schedule 14A
(File No.
001-33185)
filed March 13,
2007).

10.14† Development
and Supply
Agreement,
dated as of
March 26,
2009, between
the Registrant
and Hospira
Worldwide,
Inc.
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 of
the Registrant's
Current Report
on Form 8-K
filed March 30,
2009).

10.15† Assignment
Agreement,
dated
December 19,
2005, between
Genzyme
Corporation
and Avigen,
Inc.

(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.58
of Avigen, Inc.'s
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
(File No.
000-28272)
filed March 16,
2006).

10.16† Asset Purchase
Agreement,
dated
December 17,
2008, between
Baxter
Healthcare
Corporation,
Baxter
International
Inc., and Baxter
Healthcare S.A.
and Avigen,
Inc.
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 2.2 of
Avigen, Inc.'s
Annual Report
on Form 10-K
(File No.
000-28272)
filed March 16,
2009).

10.17* Form of
Amendment to
Employment
Agreement,
dated
December 31,
2011, between
the Registrant
and Yuichi
Iwaki, M.D.,
Ph.D.
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 of
the Registrant's

Current Report
on Form 8-K
(File No.
001-33185)
filed January 4,
2011).

10.18† Joint Venture
Agreement,
dated June 29,
2011, among
the Registrant,
Zhejiang
Medicine Co.,
Ltd. and
Beijing
Make-Friend
Medicine
Technology
Co., Ltd.
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.44
of the
Registrant's
Current Report
on Form 10-Q
(File No.
001-33185)
filed August 15,
2011).

10.19 Sublease, by
and between
MediciNova,
Inc. and
Cardinal Health
127 Inc., dated
August 31,
2017
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 to
Current Report
on Form 8-K
filed with the
SEC on
September 7,
2017).

10.20

Securities
Purchase
Agreement,
dated May 9,
2013, between
the Registrant
and Samurai
Investments
San Diego LLC
and Fountain
Erika LLC
(incorporated
by reference to
Exhibit 10.1 of
the Registrant's
Current Report
on Form 8-K
filed May 10,
2013).

87

Exhibit

Number Description

- 10.21* 2013 Equity Incentive Plan of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed March 27, 2014).
- 10.22* Amended and Restated 2013 Equity Incentive Plan of the Registrant.* (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed July 26, 2017).
- 10.23* Form of Notice of Stock Option Grant and Stock Option Agreement for awards pursuant to the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed November 7, 2013).
- 10.24* Severance Protection Agreement, dated July 14, 2014, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and Dr. Yuichi Iwaki (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 13, 2014).
- 10.25* Severance Protection Agreement, dated July 14, 2014, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and Masatsune Okajima (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 13, 2014).
- 10.26* Severance Protection Agreement, dated July 14, 2014, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and Dr. Kazuko Matsuda (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 13, 2014).
- 10.27* Severance Protection Agreement, dated July 14, 2014, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and Geoffrey O'Brien (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed August 13, 2014).
- 10.28 At-the-Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated May 22, 2015, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and MLV & Co. LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed May 22, 2015).
- 10.29 Services Agreement, effective March 31, 2016, by and between MediciNova, Inc. and Signature Analytics San Diego, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 31, 2016).
- 10.30 Amendment No. 1 to At-the Market Issuance Sales Agreement, dated September 16, 2016, by and among MediciNova, Inc., MLV & Co. LLC and FBR Capital Markets & Co. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2016).
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 24.1 Powers of Attorney (see signature page).
- 31.1

Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

31.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

32.1 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

88

Exhibit

Number Description

101 The following financial statements from MediciNova, Inc. on Form 10-K as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018 formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL):
(i) Consolidated Balance Sheets;
(ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss; (iii) Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity; (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and
(v) the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Portions of this Exhibit have been omitted pursuant to a grant of confidential treatment by the SEC.

*Indicates management contract or compensatory plan.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MEDICINOVA, INC.
A Delaware Corporation

Date: February 13, 2019 By: /s/ Yuichi Iwaki
Yuichi Iwaki, M.D., Ph.D.
President & Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Yuichi Iwaki his true and lawful attorney-in-fact, with full power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K and to file the same, with exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact or his substitute or substitutes may do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Annual Report on Form 10-K has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Yuichi Iwaki	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer	February 13, 2019
Yuichi Iwaki, M.D., Ph.D.	(Principal executive officer)	
/s/ Carla Reyes	Chief Financial Officer	February 13, 2019
Carla Reyes	(Principal financial and accounting officer)	
/s/ Jeff Himawan	Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 13, 2019
Jeff Himawan, Ph.D.		
/s/ Yoshio Ishizaka	Director	February 13, 2019
Yoshio Ishizaka		
/s/ Yutaka Kobayashi	Director	February 13, 2019
Yutaka Kobayashi		

/s/ Hideki Nagao

Director

February 13, 2019

Hideki Nagao