

Form

Unknown document format

s New Roman; font-size:10pt">

September 30, 2013 December 31,

2012 Asset Liability Asset Liability Derivative Asset Derivative Liability Derivative Asset Derivative

Put options

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$5,152 \$532 \$ \$

Foreign exchange contracts

197,811 2,493 279,050 7,062 127,712 1,713 194,566 2,656

Interest rate swaps

33,000 5 327,000 172

Total derivatives

\$230,811	\$2,498	\$606,050	\$7,234	\$132,864	\$2,245	\$194,566	\$2,656
-----------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	---------	-----------	---------

Derivative assets and derivative liabilities relating to the put options are classified within equity securities trading, at fair value on the consolidated balance sheets. All other asset and liability derivatives are classified within other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The following table provides the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives not designated as hedges recorded on the consolidated income statements:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ (2,336)	\$ (676)	\$ (1,091)	\$ (96)
Total included in foreign exchange (loss) gain	(2,336)	(676)	(1,091)	(96)
Put options			(3,822)	(336)
Foreign exchange contracts	(4,164)	(2,751)	1,925	(641)
Interest rate futures and swaps	(5)	1,789	5,289	785
Total included in net realized investment gains	(4,169)	(962)	3,392	(192)
Total realized and unrealized (losses) gains on derivatives	\$ (6,505)	\$ (1,638)	\$ 2,301	\$ (288)

Derivative Instruments Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

The Company is exposed to foreign currency risk in its investment portfolio. Accordingly, the fair values of the Company's investment portfolio are partially influenced by the change in foreign exchange rates. These foreign currency hedging activities have not been designated as specific hedges for financial reporting purposes.

The Company's insurance and reinsurance subsidiaries and branches operate in various foreign countries and consequently the Company's underwriting portfolio is exposed to foreign currency risk. The Company manages foreign currency risk by seeking to match liabilities under the insurance policies and reinsurance contracts that it writes and that are payable in foreign currencies with cash and investments that are denominated in such currencies. When necessary, the Company may also use derivatives to economically hedge un-matched foreign currency exposures, specifically forward contracts and currency options.

The Company also purchases and sells interest rate future and interest rate swap contracts to actively manage the duration and yield curve positioning of its fixed income portfolio. Interest rate futures and interest rate swaps can efficiently increase or decrease the overall duration of the portfolio. Additionally, interest rate future and interest rate swap contracts can be utilized to obtain the desired position along the yield curve in order to protect against certain future yield curve shapes.

The Company also purchases options to actively manage the Company's equity portfolio.

6. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. There is a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy is based upon whether the inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability are observable or unobservable in the market at the measurement date, with quoted market prices being the highest level (Level 1) and unobservable inputs being the lowest level (Level 3). A fair value measurement will fall within the level of the hierarchy based on the input that is significant to determining such measurement. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs to the valuation methodology that are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs to the valuation methodology other than quoted market prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical assets in markets that are not active and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Inputs to the valuation methodology that are unobservable for the asset or liability.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The following table shows the fair value of the Company's financial instruments and where in the fair value hierarchy the fair value measurements are included as of the dates indicated below:

September 30, 2013	Carrying Amount	Total Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Fixed maturity investments:					
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 1,850,335	\$ 1,850,335	\$ 1,522,185	\$ 328,150	\$
Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies	209,625	209,625		209,625	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	95,381	95,381		95,381	
Corporate debt	2,063,487	2,063,487		2,063,487	
Mortgage-backed	1,373,161	1,373,161		1,183,907	189,254
Asset-backed	455,894	455,894		375,861	80,033
Total fixed maturity investments	6,047,883	6,047,883	1,522,185	4,256,411	269,287
Equity securities	708,929	708,929	641,458		67,471
Other invested assets	767,071	767,071			767,071
Total investments	\$ 7,523,883	\$ 7,523,883	\$ 2,163,643	\$ 4,256,411	\$ 1,103,829
Derivative assets:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 2,493	\$ 2,493	\$	\$ 2,493	\$
Interest rate futures	5	5		5	
Derivative liabilities:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 7,062	\$ 7,062	\$	\$ 7,062	\$
Interest rate swaps	172	172		172	
Senior notes	\$ 798,426	\$ 904,010	\$	\$ 904,010	\$

Carrying Total

Edgar Filing: - Form

December 31, 2012	Amount	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Fixed maturity investments:					
U.S. Government and Government agencies	\$ 1,865,913	\$ 1,865,913	\$ 1,529,158	\$ 336,755	\$
Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies	261,627	261,627		261,627	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions	40,444	40,444		40,444	
Corporate debt	2,089,202	2,089,202		2,089,202	
Mortgage-backed	1,958,373	1,958,373		1,790,548	167,825
Asset-backed	410,895	410,895		348,649	62,246
Total fixed maturity investments	6,626,454	6,626,454	1,529,158	4,867,225	230,071
Equity securities	523,949	523,949	469,269		54,680
Other invested assets	655,888	655,888			655,888
Total investments	\$ 7,806,291	\$ 7,806,291	\$ 1,998,427	\$ 4,867,225	\$ 940,639
Derivative assets:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 1,713	\$ 1,713	\$	\$ 1,713	\$
Derivative liabilities:					
Foreign exchange contracts	\$ 2,656	\$ 2,656	\$	\$ 2,656	\$
Senior notes	\$ 798,215	\$ 918,627	\$	\$ 918,627	\$

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Other invested assets excluded other private securities that the Company did not measure at fair value, but are accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Derivative assets and derivative liabilities relating to foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swaps are classified within other assets or accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets.

The following describes the valuation techniques used by the Company to determine the fair value of financial instruments held as of the balance sheet date.

U.S. Government and Government agencies: Comprised primarily of bonds issued by the U.S. Treasury, the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association. The fair values of the Company's U.S. government securities are based on quoted market prices in active markets and are included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy. The Company believes the market for U.S. Treasury securities is an actively traded market given the high level of daily trading volume. The fair values of U.S. government agency securities are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. As the yields for the risk-free yield curve and the spreads for these securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of U.S. government agency securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Non-U.S. Government and Government agencies: Comprised of fixed income obligations of non-U.S. governmental entities. The fair values of these securities are based on prices obtained from international indices and are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

States, municipalities and political subdivisions: Comprised of fixed income obligations of U.S. domiciled state and municipality entities. The fair values of these securities are based on prices obtained from the new issue market, and are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Corporate debt: Comprised of bonds issued by or loan obligations of corporations that are diversified across a wide range of issuers and industries. The fair values of corporate debt that are short-term are priced using spread above the LIBOR yield curve, and the fair values of corporate debt that are long-term are priced using the spread above the risk-free yield curve. The spreads are sourced from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price corporate bonds are observable market inputs, the fair values of corporate debt are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Mortgage-backed: Primarily comprised of residential and commercial mortgages originated by both U.S. government agencies (such as the Federal National Mortgage Association) and non-U.S. government agencies. The fair values of mortgage-backed securities originated by U.S. government agencies and non-U.S. government agencies are based on a pricing model that incorporates prepayment speeds and spreads to determine appropriate average life of mortgage-backed securities. The spreads are sourced from broker-dealers, trade prices and the new issue market. As the significant inputs used to price the mortgage-backed securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of these securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy, unless the significant inputs used to price the mortgage-backed securities are broker-dealer quotes and the Company is not able to determine if those quotes are

based on observable market inputs, in which case the fair value is included in the Level 3 hierarchy.

Asset-backed: Principally comprised of bonds backed by pools of automobile loan receivables, home equity loans, credit card receivables and collateralized loan obligations originated by a variety of financial institutions. The fair values of asset-backed securities are priced using prepayment speed and spread inputs that are sourced from the new issue market or broker-dealer quotes. As the significant inputs used to price the asset-backed securities are observable market inputs, the fair values of these securities are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy, unless the significant inputs used to price the asset-backed securities are broker-dealer quotes and the Company is not able to determine if those quotes are based on observable market inputs, in which case the fair value is included in the Level 3 hierarchy.

Equity securities: Comprised of common and preferred stocks and mutual funds. Equities are generally included in the Level 1 fair value hierarchy as prices are obtained from market exchanges in active markets. Non-U.S. mutual funds where the net asset value is not provided on a daily basis are included in the Level 3 fair value hierarchy.

Other invested assets: Comprised of funds invested in a range of diversified strategies. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, the fair values of the funds are based on the net asset value of the funds as reported by the fund manager that the Company believes is an unobservable input, and as such, the fair values of those funds are included in the Level 3 fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Derivative instruments: The fair value of foreign exchange contracts, interest rate futures and interest rate swaps are priced from quoted market prices for similar exchange-traded derivatives and pricing valuation models that utilize independent market data inputs. The fair value of derivatives are included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

Senior notes: The fair value of the senior notes is based on reported trades. The fair value of the senior notes is included in the Level 2 fair value hierarchy.

The Company measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include investments accounted for using the equity method, goodwill and intangible assets. The Company uses a variety of techniques to measure the fair value of these assets when appropriate, as described below:

Investments accounted for using the equity method: When the Company determines that the carrying value of these assets may not be recoverable, the Company records the assets at fair value with the loss recognized in income. In such cases, the Company measures the fair value of these assets using discounted cash flow models.

Goodwill and intangible assets: The Company tests goodwill and intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, but at least annually for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles. If the Company determines that goodwill and intangible assets may be impaired, the Company uses techniques, including discounted expected future cash flows and market multiple models, to measure fair value.

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of financial instruments using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3):

	Other invested				
	assets	Mortgage-backed	Asset-backed	Equities	
Three Months Ended September 30, 2013					
Opening balance	\$ 714,391	\$ 198,003	\$ 61,285	\$ 53,499	
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income	9,403	464	(313)	3,972	
Purchases	67,554	69,775	16,969	10,000	
Sales	(24,277)	(79,001)	(1,302)		
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2		13	3,394		
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)					
Ending balance	\$ 767,071	\$ 189,254	\$ 80,033	\$ 67,471	

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012

Opening balance	\$	520,890	\$	157,959	\$	117,586	\$
Realized and unrealized gains included in net income		11,871		4,855		988	
Purchases		34,800		40,481		7,466	
Sales		(2,859)		(48,728)		(7,326)	
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2				14,730		12,495	
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)				(27)		(55,442)	
Ending balance	\$	564,702	\$	169,270	\$	75,767	\$

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

	Other invested assets	Mortgage-backed	Asset-backed	Equities
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013				
Opening balance	\$ 655,888	\$ 167,825	\$ 62,246	\$ 54,680
Realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in net income	53,365	(5,910)	(791)	2,791
Purchases	237,506	102,369	42,956	10,000
Sales	(179,688)	(69,968)	(26,728)	
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2		5,073	2,350	
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)		(10,135)		
Ending balance	\$ 767,071	\$ 189,254	\$ 80,033	\$ 67,471
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012				
Opening balance	\$ 540,409	\$ 249,204	\$ 94,745	\$
Realized and unrealized gains included in net income	26,753	10,951	1,643	
Purchases	52,578	50,302	32,573	
Sales	(55,038)	(124,940)	(57,325)	
Transfers into Level 3 from Level 2		18,461	15,835	
Transfers out of Level 3 into Level 2 (1)		(34,708)	(11,704)	
Ending balance	\$ 564,702	\$ 169,270	\$ 75,767	\$

(1) Transfers out of Level 3 are primarily attributable to the availability of market observable information. The Company attempts to verify the significant inputs used by broker-dealers in determining the fair value of the securities priced by them. If the Company could not obtain sufficient information to determine if the broker-dealers were using significant observable inputs, then such securities have been transferred to the Level 3 fair value hierarchy. The Company believes the prices obtained from the broker-dealers are the best estimate of fair value of the securities being priced as the broker-dealers are typically involved in the initial pricing of the security, and the Company has compared the price per the broker-dealer to other pricing sources and noted no material differences. The Company recognizes transfers between levels at the end of the reporting period. There were no transfers between Level 1 and

Level 2 during the period.

The Company's external investment accounting service provider receives prices from internationally recognized independent pricing services to measure the fair values of its fixed maturity investments. Pricing sources are evaluated and selected in a manner to ensure that the most reliable sources are used. The Company uses a pricing service ranking to consistently select the most appropriate pricing service in instances where it receives multiple quotes on the same security. The Company obtains multiple quotes for the majority of its securities. The independent pricing sources obtain market quotations and actual transaction prices for securities that have quoted prices in active markets. Each pricing service has its own proprietary method for determining the fair value of securities that are not actively traded. In general, these methods involve the use of matrix pricing in which the independent pricing service uses observable market inputs, including, but not limited to, reported trades, benchmark yields, broker-dealer quotes, interest rates, prepayment speeds, default rates and such other inputs as are available from market sources to determine a reasonable fair value.

All of the Company's securities classified as Level 3, other than investments in other invested assets, are valued based on unadjusted broker-dealer quotes. This includes less liquid securities such as lower quality asset-backed securities, commercial mortgage-backed securities and residential mortgage-backed securities. The primary valuation inputs include monthly payment information, the probability of default, loss severity rates and estimated prepayment rates. Significant changes in these inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement. In general, a change in the assumption of the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity in an event of default and prepayment rates.

The Company records the unadjusted price provided and validates this price through a process that includes, but is not limited to, monthly and/or quarterly: (i) comparison of prices between two independent sources, with significant differences requiring additional price sources; (ii) quantitative analysis (e.g., comparing the quarterly return for each managed portfolio to their target benchmark, with

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

significant differences identified and investigated); (iii) evaluation of methodologies used by external parties to calculate fair value, including a review of the inputs used for pricing; (iv) comparing the price to the Company's knowledge of the current investment market; and (v) back-testing, which includes randomly selecting purchased or sold securities and comparing the executed prices to the fair value estimates from the pricing service. In addition to internal controls, management relies on the effectiveness of the valuation controls in place at the Company's external investment accounting service provider (supported by a Statement on Standards for Attestation Engagements No. 16 report) in conjunction with regular discussion and analysis of the investment portfolio's structure and performance.

7. RESERVE FOR LOSSES AND LOSS EXPENSES

The reserve for losses and loss expenses consists of the following:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Outstanding loss reserves	\$ 1,517,049	\$ 1,539,114
Reserves for losses incurred but not reported	4,263,732	4,106,435
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$ 5,780,781	\$ 5,645,549

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability for unpaid losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Gross liability at beginning of period	\$ 5,696,865	\$ 5,377,518	\$ 5,645,549	\$ 5,225,143
Reinsurance recoverable at beginning of period	(1,179,525)	(1,073,612)	(1,141,110)	(1,002,919)
Net liability at beginning of period	4,517,340	4,303,906	4,504,439	4,222,224
Net losses incurred related to:				
Current year	338,420	315,102	961,224	862,088
Prior years	(61,450)	(56,154)	(153,948)	(137,558)

Total incurred	276,970	258,948	807,276	724,530
Net paid losses related to:				
Current year	30,399	16,323	54,983	36,163
Prior years	213,252	179,666	696,137	542,077
Total paid	243,651	195,989	751,120	578,240
Foreign exchange revaluation	4,088	6,400	(5,848)	4,751
Net liability at end of period	4,554,747	4,373,265	4,554,747	4,373,265
Reinsurance recoverable at end of period	1,226,034	1,077,522	1,226,034	1,077,522
Gross liability at end of period	\$ 5,780,781	\$ 5,450,787	\$ 5,780,781	\$ 5,450,787

For the three months ended September 30, 2013, the Company had net favorable reserve development in its international insurance and reinsurance segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized in the 2007 through 2011 loss years across most lines of business. In addition, the reinsurance segment recognized net favorable reserve development for the 2012 loss year due to the low level of reported property losses. This was partially offset by adverse development in the U.S. insurance segment in the 2011 and 2012 loss years primarily caused by adverse development on reported claims for certain healthcare, errors and omissions and private/not for profit directors and officers.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company had net favorable reserve development in its international insurance and reinsurance segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected, for most loss years. The reinsurance segment recognized net favorable reserve development for the 2012 loss year due to the low level of reported property losses. This was partially offset by adverse development in the U.S. insurance segment in the 2011 and 2012 loss years for certain healthcare, errors and omissions and not-for-profit director s and officers classes of business.

Table of Contents

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had net favorable reserve development in each of its segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized by each segment in the 2004 through 2008 loss years. The Company had net unfavorable reserve development in its U.S. insurance segment in the 2010 and 2011 loss years, primarily due to higher than expected losses on a terminated program, and in its international insurance segment in the 2011 loss year, primarily due to an individual full-limit general casualty claim.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the Company had net favorable reserve development in each of its segments due to actual loss emergence being lower than initially expected. The majority of the net favorable reserve development was recognized in the international insurance and reinsurance segments in the 2004 through 2008 loss years.

While the Company has experienced favorable reserve development in its insurance and reinsurance lines, there is no assurance that conditions and trends that have affected the development of liabilities in the past will continue. It is not appropriate to extrapolate future redundancies based on prior years' development. The methodology of estimating loss reserves is periodically reviewed to ensure that the key assumptions used in the actuarial models continue to be appropriate.

8. INCOME TAXES

Under Swiss law, a resident company is subject to income tax at the federal, cantonal and communal levels that is levied on net income. Income attributable to permanent establishments or real estate located abroad is excluded from the Swiss tax base. Allied World Switzerland is a holding company and, therefore, is exempt from cantonal and communal income tax. As a result, Allied World Switzerland is subject to Swiss income tax only at the federal level. Allied World Switzerland is a resident of the Canton of Zug and, as such, is subject to an annual cantonal and communal capital tax on the taxable equity of Allied World Switzerland. Allied World Switzerland has a Swiss operating company resident in the Canton of Zug. The operating company is subject to federal, cantonal and communal income tax and to annual cantonal and communal capital tax.

Under current Bermuda law, Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd (Allied World Bermuda) and its Bermuda subsidiaries are not required to pay taxes in Bermuda on either income or capital gains. Allied World Bermuda and Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd have received an assurance from the Bermuda Minister of Finance under the Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966 of Bermuda, that in the event of any such taxes being imposed, Allied World Bermuda and Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd will be exempted until March 2035.

Certain subsidiaries of Allied World Switzerland file U.S. federal income tax returns and various U.S. state income tax returns, as well as income tax returns in the United Kingdom, Ireland, Switzerland, Hong Kong and Singapore. To the best of the Company's knowledge, there are no income tax examinations pending by any tax authority.

Management has deemed all material tax positions to have a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained based on technical merits if challenged. The Company does not expect any material unrecognized tax benefits within 12 months of September 30, 2013.

9. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

a) Authorized shares

The issued share capital consists of the following:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Common shares issued and fully paid, 2013: CHF 12.30 per share; 2012: CHF 12.64 per share	34,972,795	36,369,868
Share capital at end of period	\$ 424,837	\$ 454,980

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
Shares issued at beginning of period	36,369,868
Shares cancelled	(1,397,073)
Total shares issued at end of period	34,972,795
Treasury shares issued at beginning of period	1,572,087
Shares repurchased	1,367,833
Shares issued out of treasury	(384,972)
Shares cancelled	(1,397,073)
Total treasury shares at end of period	1,157,875
Total shares outstanding at end of period	33,814,920

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, 1,367,833 voting shares repurchased and designated for cancellation were constructively retired and cancelled.

Effective July 9, 2013, the Company cancelled 29,240 non-voting shares held in treasury and 1,538,686 shares previously repurchased and constructively retired, following a required filing with the Swiss Commercial Register in Zug.

Allied World Switzerland's articles of association authorized its Board of Directors to increase the share capital by a maximum of up to CHF 92,259 or 7,500,728 voting shares.

b) Dividends

The Company paid the following dividends during the nine months ended September 30, 2013:

Partial Par Value Reduction	Dividend Per	Total Amount
--	-------------------------	-------------------------

Dividend Paid	Per Share		Share		Paid
March 12, 2013	CHF	0.34	\$	0.375	\$ 12,981
July 3, 2013	-	-	\$	0.500	\$ 17,117

On May 3, 2012, the shareholders approved the Company's proposal to pay cash dividends in the form of a distribution by way of par value reductions. The aggregate reduction amount was paid to shareholders in four installments of \$0.375 per share, with the last of such quarterly dividend payments being made on March 12, 2013.

On May 2, 2013, the shareholders approved the Company's proposal to pay cash dividends in the form of a distribution out of general legal reserve from capital contributions. The distribution amount will be paid to shareholders in quarterly dividends of \$0.50 per share. The first dividend was paid on July 3, 2013. The second dividend was paid on October 3, 2013. The Company expects to pay the remaining dividends in January 2014 and April 2014.

c) Share Repurchases

In May 2012, the Company established a new share repurchase program in order to repurchase up to \$500,000 of its common shares. Repurchases may be effected from time to time through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise. The timing, form and amount of the share repurchases under the program will depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions, the Company's capital position, legal requirements and other factors. Under the terms of this new share repurchase program, common shares repurchased shall be designated for cancellation at acquisition and shall be cancelled upon shareholder approval.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Shares repurchased by the Company and not designated for cancellation are classified as Treasury shares, at cost on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company will issue shares out of treasury principally related to the Company's employee benefit plans. Shares repurchased and designated for cancellation are constructively retired and recorded as a share cancellation.

The Company's share repurchases were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012		2013	2012
Common shares repurchased	427,388	605,898		1,367,833	2,942,085
Total cost of shares repurchased	\$ 40,574	\$ 47,590	\$	123,145	\$ 207,048
Average price per share	\$ 94.93	\$ 78.54	\$	90.03	\$ 70.37

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the comparison of basic and diluted earnings per share:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012		2013	2012
Basic earnings per share:					
Net income	\$ 122,843	\$ 219,647	\$	279,973	\$ 534,154
Weighted average common shares outstanding	33,991,359	35,652,768		34,340,227	36,379,514
Basic earnings per share	\$ 3.61	\$ 6.16	\$	8.15	\$ 14.68

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012		2013	2012
Diluted earnings per share:					
Net income	\$ 122,843	\$ 219,647	\$	279,973	\$ 534,154
	33,991,359	35,652,768		34,340,227	36,379,514

Weighted average common shares outstanding				
Share equivalents:				
Options	500,114	461,373	497,458	429,393
Restricted stock units and performance-based equity awards	236,133	501,633	292,369	583,226
Employee share purchase plan	587	960	1,038	960
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding - diluted				
	34,728,193	36,616,734	35,131,092	37,393,093
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 3.54	\$ 6.00	\$ 7.97	\$ 14.28

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, no employee stock options and restricted stock units (RSUs) were considered anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012, a weighted average of 221,008 and 338,395 employee stock options and RSUs were considered anti-dilutive and were therefore excluded from the calculation of the diluted earnings per share, respectively.

11. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The determination of reportable segments is based on how senior management monitors the Company's underwriting operations. Management monitors the performance of its direct underwriting operations based on the geographic location of the Company's offices, the markets and customers served and the type of accounts written. The Company is currently organized into three operating segments: U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. All product lines fall within these classifications.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

The U.S. insurance segment includes the Company's direct specialty insurance operations in the United States. This segment provides both direct property and specialty casualty insurance primarily to non-Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts. The international insurance segment includes the Company's direct insurance operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and Hong Kong. This segment provides both direct property and casualty insurance primarily to Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts from the Bermuda office and direct property and specialty casualty insurance to our non-North American domiciled accounts from the European, Singapore and Hong Kong offices. The reinsurance segment includes the Company's reinsurance operations in the United States, Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and Hong Kong. This segment provides reinsurance of property, general casualty, professional liability, specialty lines and property catastrophe coverages written by insurance companies. The Company presently writes reinsurance on both a treaty and a facultative basis, targeting several niche reinsurance markets.

Responsibility and accountability for the results of underwriting operations are assigned by major line of business within each segment. Because the Company does not manage its assets by segment, investment income, interest expense and total assets are not allocated to individual reportable segments. General and administrative expenses are allocated to segments based on various factors, including staff count and each segment's proportional share of gross premiums written.

Management measures results for each segment on the basis of the loss and loss expense ratio, acquisition cost ratio, general and administrative expense ratio and the combined ratio. The loss and loss expense ratio is derived by dividing net losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio is derived by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned. The general and administrative expense ratio is derived by dividing general and administrative expenses by net premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio, the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

The following tables provide a summary of the segment results:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	International			
	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 308,709	\$ 132,881	\$ 139,303	\$ 580,893
Net premiums written	238,792	75,632	138,653	453,077
Net premiums earned	207,602	87,554	215,617	510,773
Net losses and loss expenses	(141,222)	(31,094)	(104,654)	(276,970)
Acquisition costs	(28,426)	282	(36,970)	(65,114)
General and administrative expenses	(41,616)	(26,450)	(20,487)	(88,553)
Underwriting (loss) income	(3,662)	30,292	53,506	80,136

Edgar Filing: - Form

Net investment income	39,271
Net realized investment gains	27,487
Amortization of intangible assets	(633)
Interest expense	(14,094)
Foreign exchange loss	(4,353)

Income before income taxes	\$ 127,814
----------------------------	------------

Loss and loss expense ratio	68.0%	35.5%	48.5%	54.2%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.7%	(0.3%)	17.1%	12.7%
General and administrative expense ratio	20.0%	30.2%	9.5%	17.3%
Combined ratio	101.7%	65.4%	75.1%	84.2%

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	International			Total
	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	
Gross premiums written	\$ 263,129	\$ 121,315	\$ 119,976	\$ 504,420
Net premiums written	200,779	71,199	119,559	391,537
Net premiums earned	173,948	85,329	181,740	441,017
Net losses and loss expenses	(109,111)	(15,099)	(134,738)	(258,948)
Acquisition costs	(22,696)	266	(28,656)	(51,086)
General and administrative expenses	(37,388)	(22,920)	(18,264)	(78,572)
Underwriting income	4,753	47,576	82	52,411
Net investment income				39,121
Net realized investment gains				149,813
Amortization of intangible assets				(633)
Interest expense				(13,822)
Foreign exchange loss				(1,023)
Income before income taxes				\$ 225,867
Loss and loss expense ratio	62.7%	17.7%	74.1%	58.7%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.0%	(0.3%)	15.8%	11.6%
General and administrative expense ratio	21.5%	26.9%	10.0%	17.8%
Combined ratio	97.2%	44.3%	99.9%	88.1%

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	International			Total
	U.S. Insurance	Insurance	Reinsurance	
Gross premiums written	\$ 872,024	\$ 453,990	\$ 857,160	\$ 2,183,174
Net premiums written	652,464	259,771	817,116	1,729,351
Net premiums earned	593,477	258,809	628,986	1,481,272
Net losses and loss expenses	(398,910)	(90,997)	(317,369)	(807,276)
Acquisition costs	(78,824)	1,489	(109,081)	(186,416)
General and administrative expenses	(119,514)	(75,374)	(56,930)	(251,818)
Underwriting (loss) income	(3,771)	93,927	145,606	235,762

Edgar Filing: - Form

Net investment income	110,294
Net realized investment losses	(8,074)
Amortization of intangible assets	(1,900)
Interest expense	(42,416)
Foreign exchange loss	(7,361)
Income before income taxes	\$ 286,305

Loss and loss expense ratio	67.2%	35.2%	50.5%	54.5%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.3%	(0.6%)	17.3%	12.6%
General and administrative expense ratio	20.1%	29.1%	9.1%	17.0%
Combined ratio	100.6%	63.7%	76.9%	84.1%

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	U.S. Insurance	International Insurance	Reinsurance	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 733,314	\$ 418,498	\$ 680,407	\$ 1,832,219
Net premiums written	551,286	255,150	668,764	1,475,200
Net premiums earned	490,091	247,805	534,758	1,272,654
Net losses and loss expenses	(309,889)	(75,432)	(339,209)	(724,530)
Acquisition costs	(63,918)	1,376	(87,270)	(149,812)
General and administrative expenses	(103,162)	(66,969)	(52,786)	(222,917)
Underwriting income	13,122	106,780	55,493	175,395
Net investment income				128,781
Net realized investment gains				292,057
Amortization of intangible assets				(1,900)
Interest expense				(41,579)
Foreign exchange gain				77
Income before income taxes				\$ 552,831
Loss and loss expense ratio	63.2%	30.4%	63.4%	56.9%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.0%	(0.6%)	16.3%	11.8%
General and administrative expense ratio	21.0%	27.0%	9.9%	17.5%
Combined ratio	97.2%	56.8%	89.6%	86.2%

The following table shows an analysis of the Company's gross premiums written by geographic location of the Company's subsidiaries and branches. All intercompany premiums have been eliminated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
United States	\$ 377,618	\$ 312,807	\$ 1,296,212	\$ 1,051,355
Bermuda	111,103	108,955	550,815	484,313
Europe	52,004	46,836	198,747	182,220

Edgar Filing: - Form

Singapore	35,515	31,902	122,546	101,213
Hong Kong	4,653	3,920	14,854	13,118

Total gross premiums written	\$	580,893	\$	504,420	\$	2,183,174	\$	1,832,219
------------------------------	----	---------	----	---------	----	-----------	----	-----------

Europe includes gross premiums written attributable to Switzerland of \$10,509 and \$7,039 for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$57,183 and \$40,474 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company, in common with the insurance industry in general, is subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against the Company in the ordinary course of insurance or reinsurance operations. Estimated amounts payable under these proceedings are included in the reserve for losses and loss expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2013, the Company was not a party to any material legal proceedings arising outside the ordinary course of business that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

13. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED GUARANTOR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following tables present unaudited condensed consolidating financial information as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 for Allied World Switzerland (the Parent Guarantor) and Allied World Bermuda (the Subsidiary Issuer). The Subsidiary Issuer is a direct, 100%-owned subsidiary of the Parent Guarantor. Investments in subsidiaries are accounted for by the Parent Guarantor under the equity method for purposes of the supplemental consolidating presentation. Earnings of subsidiaries are reflected in the Parent Guarantor's investment accounts and earnings. The Parent Guarantor fully and unconditionally guarantees the senior notes issued by the Subsidiary Issuer.

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet:

As of September 30, 2013	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
ASSETS:					
Investments	\$	\$	\$ 7,661,837	\$	\$ 7,661,837
Cash and cash equivalents	56,060	8,388	888,599		953,047
Insurance balances receivable			740,112		740,112
Funds held			375,131		375,131
Reinsurance recoverable			1,226,034		1,226,034
Net deferred acquisition costs			145,951		145,951
Goodwill and intangible assets			317,840		317,840
Balances receivable on sale of investments			237,031		237,031
Investments in subsidiaries	3,407,988	4,509,074		(7,917,062)	
Due (to) from subsidiaries	(967)	(14,679)	15,646		
Other assets	1,897	5,038	713,602		720,537
Total assets	\$ 3,464,978	\$ 4,507,821	\$ 12,321,783	\$ (7,917,062)	\$ 12,377,520
LIABILITIES:					
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$	\$	\$ 5,780,781	\$	\$ 5,780,781
Unearned premiums			1,515,746		1,515,746
Reinsurance balances payable			193,643		193,643
Balances due on purchases of investments			497,974		497,974
Senior notes		798,426			798,426
Other liabilities	21,050	17,457	108,515		147,022
Total liabilities	21,050	815,883	8,096,659		8,933,592

Edgar Filing: - Form

Total shareholders equity	3,443,928	3,691,938	4,225,124	(7,917,062)	3,443,928
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 3,464,978	\$ 4,507,821	\$ 12,321,783	\$ (7,917,062)	\$ 12,377,520

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

As of December 31, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
ASSETS:					
Investments	\$	\$	\$ 7,933,937	\$	\$ 7,933,937
Cash and cash equivalents	19,997	11,324	650,558		681,879
Insurance balances receivable			510,532		510,532
Funds held			336,368		336,368
Reinsurance recoverable			1,141,110		1,141,110
Net deferred acquisition costs			108,010		108,010
Goodwill and intangible assets			319,741		319,741
Balances receivable on sale of investments			418,879		418,879
Investments in subsidiaries	3,337,446	4,768,769		(8,106,215)	
Due (to) from subsidiaries	(23,864)	(7,173)	31,037		
Other assets	1,499	6,081	571,910		579,490
Total assets	\$ 3,335,078	\$ 4,779,001	\$ 12,022,082	\$ (8,106,215)	\$ 12,029,946
LIABILITIES:					
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$	\$	\$ 5,645,549	\$	\$ 5,645,549
Unearned premiums			1,218,021		1,218,021
Reinsurance balances payable			136,264		136,264
Balances due on purchases of investments			759,934		759,934
Senior notes		798,215			798,215
Other liabilities	8,743	17,727	119,158		145,628
Total liabilities	8,743	815,942	7,878,926		8,703,611
Total shareholders' equity	3,326,335	3,963,059	4,143,156	(8,106,215)	3,326,335

Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	3,335,078	\$	4,779,001	\$	12,022,082	\$	(8,106,215)	\$	12,029,946
---	----	-----------	----	-----------	----	------------	----	-------------	----	------------

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Income Statement:

Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 510,773	\$	\$ 510,773
Net investment income	3		39,268		39,271
Net realized investment losses			27,487		27,487
Net losses and loss expenses			(276,970)		(276,970)
Acquisition costs			(65,114)		(65,114)
General and administrative expenses	(7,323)	(4,961)	(76,269)		(88,553)
Amortization of intangible assets			(633)		(633)
Interest expense		(13,838)	(256)		(14,094)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(13)	(212)	(4,128)		(4,353)
Income tax (expense) benefit			(4,971)		(4,971)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	130,176	150,653		(280,829)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 122,843	\$ 131,642	\$ 149,187	\$ (280,829)	\$ 122,843
Other comprehensive income					
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 122,843	\$ 131,642	\$ 149,187	\$ (280,829)	\$ 122,843

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Three Months Ended September 30, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 441,017	\$	\$ 441,017
Net investment income	7	6	39,108		39,121
Net realized investment gains			149,813		149,813
Net losses and loss expenses			(258,948)		(258,948)
Acquisition costs			(51,086)		(51,086)
General and administrative expenses	(6,013)	(235)	(72,324)		(78,572)
Amortization of intangible assets			(633)		(633)
Interest expense		(13,822)			(13,822)
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(206)	(83)	(734)		(1,023)
Income tax (expense) benefit			(6,220)		(6,220)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	225,859	231,471		(457,330)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 219,647	\$ 217,337	\$ 239,993	\$ (457,330)	\$ 219,647
Unrealized losses on investments arising during the period net of applicable deferred income tax benefit of \$15	(29)		(29)	29	(29)
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax					
Other comprehensive loss	(29)		(29)	29	(29)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 219,618	\$ 217,337	\$ 239,964	\$ (457,301)	\$ 219,618

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 1,481,272	\$	\$ 1,481,272
Net investment income	11	4	110,279		110,294
Net realized investment losses			(8,074)		(8,074)
Net losses and loss expenses			(807,276)		(807,276)
Acquisition costs			(186,416)		(186,416)
General and administrative expenses	(26,875)	(5,873)	(219,070)		(251,818)
Amortization of intangible assets			(1,900)		(1,900)
Interest expense		(41,503)	(913)		(42,416)
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	261	(935)	(6,687)		(7,361)
Income tax (expense) benefit			(6,332)		(6,332)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	306,576	353,280		(659,856)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 279,973	\$ 304,973	\$ 354,883	\$ (659,856)	\$ 279,973
Other comprehensive income					
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 279,973	\$ 304,973	\$ 354,883	\$ (659,856)	\$ 279,973

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 1,272,654	\$	\$ 1,272,654
Net investment income	21	17	128,743		128,781
Net realized investment gains			292,057		292,057
Net losses and loss expenses			(724,530)		(724,530)
Acquisition costs			(149,812)		(149,812)
General and administrative expenses	(14,247)	(2,689)	(205,981)		(222,917)
Amortization of intangible assets			(1,900)		(1,900)
Interest expense		(41,579)			(41,579)

Edgar Filing: - Form

Foreign exchange gain (loss)	343	(150)	(116)	77
Income tax (expense) benefit	71		(18,748)	(18,677)
Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries	547,966	580,880	(1,128,846)	
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 534,154	\$ 536,479	\$ 592,367	\$ (1,128,846) \$ 534,154
Unrealized gains on investments arising during the period net of applicable deferred income tax expense of \$81	150		150	(150) 150
Reclassification adjustment for net realized investment gains included in net income, net of applicable income tax	(13,249)		(13,249)	13,249 (13,249)
Other comprehensive loss	(13,099)		(13,099)	13,099 (13,099)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 521,055	\$ 536,479	\$ 579,268	\$ (1,115,747) \$ 521,055

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

Unaudited Condensed Consolidating Cash Flows:

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	\$ 177,859	\$ (2,936)	\$ 157,127	\$	\$ 332,050
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases trading securities			(4,955,817)		(4,955,817)
Purchases of other invested assets			(211,501)		(211,501)
Sales of available for sale securities					
Sales of trading securities			5,137,280		5,137,280
Sales of other invested assets			189,155		189,155
Other			(78,203)		(78,203)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			80,914		80,914
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Dividends paid - partial par value reduction	(12,981)				(12,981)
Dividends paid	(17,117)				(17,117)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	8,465				8,465
Share repurchases	(120,163)				(120,163)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(141,796)				(141,796)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	36,063	(2,936)	238,041		271,168
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	19,997	11,324	650,558		681,879

**CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,
END OF PERIOD**

\$	56,060	\$	8,388	\$	888,599	\$	953,047
----	--------	----	-------	----	---------	----	---------

Table of Contents**ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS, AG****NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

(Expressed in thousands, except share, per share, percentage and ratio information)

	Allied World Switzerland (Parent Guarantor)	Allied World Bermuda (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other Allied World Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Allied World Switzerland Consolidated
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012					
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:	\$ 162,141	\$ 687	\$ 336,824	\$	\$ 499,652
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:					
Purchases of available for sale securities					
Purchases of trading securities			(6,328,719)		(6,328,719)
Purchases of other invested assets			(52,578)		(52,578)
Sales of available for sale securities			215,318		215,318
Sales of trading securities			5,778,138		5,778,138
Sales of other invested assets			110,429		110,429
Other			33,644		33,644
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities			(243,768)		(243,768)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:					
Partial par value reduction	(54,721)				(54,721)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	9,104				9,104
Share repurchases	(204,746)				(204,746)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(250,363)				(250,363)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(88,222)	687	93,056		5,521
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	112,672	8,886	512,438		633,996
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 24,450	\$ 9,573	\$ 605,494	\$	\$ 639,517

Notes to Parent Company Condensed Financial Information

a) Dividends

Allied World Switzerland received cash dividends from its subsidiaries of \$237,000 and \$285,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Such dividends are included in cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities in the unaudited condensed consolidating cash flows.

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On October 3, 2013, the Company paid a quarterly dividend of \$0.50 per share to shareholders of record on September 24, 2013.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. References in this Form 10-Q to the terms we, us, our, the Company or other similar terms mean the consolidated operations of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG, a Swiss holding company, and our consolidated subsidiaries, unless the context requires otherwise. References in this Form 10-Q to the term Allied World Switzerland or Holdings means only Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG. References to Allied World Bermuda mean only Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, Ltd, a Bermuda holding company. References to our insurance subsidiaries may include our reinsurance subsidiaries. References in this Form 10-Q to \$ are to the lawful currency of the United States and to CHF are to the lawful currency of Switzerland. References in this Form 10-Q to Holdings common shares mean its registered voting shares.

Note on Forward-Looking Statement

This Form 10-Q and other publicly available documents may include, and our officers and representatives may from time to time make, projections concerning financial information and statements concerning future economic performance and events, plans and objectives relating to management, operations, products and services, and assumptions underlying these projections and statements. These projections and statements are forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are not historical facts but instead represent only our belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. These projections and statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, product development, financial results and reserves. Actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from these projections and statements and therefore you should not place undue reliance on them. Factors that could cause our actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections and statements are discussed throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Risk Factors in Item 1A. of Part I of our 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 26, 2013 (the 2012 Form 10-K). We are under no obligation (and expressly disclaim any such obligation) to update or revise any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise.

Overview**Our Business**

We write a diversified portfolio of property and casualty insurance and reinsurance internationally through our subsidiaries and branches based in Bermuda, Europe, Hong Kong, Singapore and the United States as well as our Lloyd's Syndicate 2232. We manage our business through three operating segments: U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. As of September 30, 2013, we had approximately \$12.4 billion of total assets, \$3.4 billion of total shareholders' equity and \$4.2 billion of total capital, which includes shareholders' equity and senior notes.

During the three months ended September 30, 2013, we continued to see rate improvement during the quarter on some lines of business in certain jurisdictions, particularly in our U.S. insurance segment. Rates on property lines, across our segments, have begun to flatten, particularly those accounts that had experienced loss activity in the prior year. We believe that there are opportunities where certain products have attractive premium rates and that the expanded breadth of our operations allows us to target those classes of business.

Our consolidated gross premiums written increased by \$76.5 million, or 15.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. Our net income decreased by \$96.8

million to \$122.8 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was due to lower net realized investment gains (losses) of \$122.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, partially offset by an increase in underwriting income of \$27.7 million.

Our consolidated gross premiums written increased by \$351.0 million, or 19.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Our net income decreased by \$254.2 million to \$280.0 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was due to lower net realized investment gains (losses) of \$300.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, partially offset by an increase in underwriting income of \$60.4 million.

Table of Contents**Financial Highlights**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions except share, per share and percentage data)			
Gross premiums written	\$ 580.9	\$ 504.4	\$ 2,183.2	\$ 1,832.2
Underwriting income	80.1	52.4	235.8	175.4
Net income	122.8	219.6	280.0	534.2
Operating income	101.8	79.2	289.5	258.0
Basic earnings per share:				
Net income	\$ 3.61	\$ 6.16	\$ 8.15	\$ 14.68
Operating income	\$ 2.99	\$ 2.22	\$ 8.43	\$ 7.09
Diluted earnings per share:				
Net income	\$ 3.54	\$ 6.00	\$ 7.97	\$ 14.28
Operating income	\$ 2.93	\$ 2.16	\$ 8.24	\$ 6.90
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	33,991,359	35,652,768	34,340,227	36,379,514
Diluted	34,728,193	36,616,734	35,131,092	37,393,093
Basic book value per common share	\$ 101.85	\$ 97.05	\$ 101.85	\$ 97.05
Diluted book value per common share	\$ 99.16	\$ 93.82	\$ 99.16	\$ 93.82
Annualized return on average equity (ROAE), net income	14.4%	26.2%	11.0%	21.7%
Annualized ROAE, operating income	11.9%	9.4%	11.4%	10.5%

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In presenting the company's results, management has included and discussed certain non-GAAP financial measures, as such term is defined in Item 10(e) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. Management believes that these non-GAAP measures, which may be defined differently by other companies, better explain the company's results of operations in a manner that allows for a more complete understanding of the underlying trends in the company's business. However, these measures should not be viewed as a substitute for those determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP).

Operating income and operating income per share

Operating income is an internal performance measure used in the management of our operations and represents after-tax operational results excluding, as applicable, net realized investment gains or losses, net impairment charges recognized in earnings, net foreign exchange gain or loss, and other non-recurring items. We exclude net realized investment gains or losses, net impairment charges recognized in earnings, net foreign exchange gain or loss and any other non-recurring items from our calculation of operating income because these amounts are heavily influenced by and fluctuate in part according to the availability of market opportunities and other factors. In addition to presenting net income determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP, we believe that showing operating income enables investors, analysts, rating agencies and other users of our financial information to more easily analyze our results of operations and our underlying business performance. Operating income should not be viewed as a substitute for U.S. GAAP net income. The following is a reconciliation of operating income to its most closely related U.S. GAAP measure, net

income.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		2012	
	(\$ in millions, except share, per share and percentage data)							
Net income	\$	122.8	\$	219.6	\$	280.0	\$	534.2
Add after tax effect of:								
Net realized investment (gains) losses		(25.4)		(141.4)		2.2		(276.1)
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		4.4		1.0		7.3		(0.1)
Operating income	\$	101.8	\$	79.2	\$	289.5	\$	258.0

Basic per share data:

Net income	\$	3.61	\$	6.16
Add after tax effect of:				
Net realized investment (gains) losses		(0.75)		(3.97)
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		0.13		0.03
Operating income	\$	2.99	\$	2.22

Diluted per share data:

Net income	\$	3.54	\$	6.00
Add after tax effect of:				
Net realized investment (gains) losses		(0.73)		(3.86)
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		0.12		0.02
Operating income	\$	2.93	\$	2.16

Diluted book value per share

We have included diluted book value per share because it takes into account the effect of dilutive securities; therefore, we believe it is an important measure of calculating shareholder returns.

	As of September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions, except share and	
	per share data)	
Price per share at period end	\$ 99.39	\$ 77.25
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 3,443.9	\$ 3,435.8
Basic common shares outstanding	33,814,920	35,402,558
Add:		
Unvested restricted stock units	83,240	179,986
Performance based equity awards	270,853	508,130
Employee share purchase plan	15,320	5,925
Dilutive options outstanding	1,050,832	1,306,837

Weighted average exercise price per share	\$	47.77	\$	46.14
Deduct:				
Options bought back via treasury method		(505,057)		(780,502)
Common shares and common share equivalents outstanding		34,730,108		36,622,934
Basic book value per common share	\$	101.85	\$	97.05
Diluted book value per common share	\$	99.16	\$	93.82
<i>Annualized return on average equity</i>				

Annualized return on average shareholders' equity (ROAE) is calculated using average shareholders' equity, excluding the average after tax unrealized gains or losses on investments. We present ROAE as a measure that is commonly recognized as a standard of performance by investors, analysts, rating agencies and other users of our financial information.

Table of Contents

Annualized operating return on average shareholders' equity is calculated using operating income and average shareholders' equity, excluding the average after tax unrealized gains or losses on investments.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions except percentage data)			
Opening shareholders equity	\$ 3,373.2	\$ 3,283.9	\$ 3,326.3	\$ 3,149.0
Deduct: accumulated other comprehensive income	-	(1.4)	-	(14.5)
Adjusted opening shareholders equity	\$ 3,373.2	\$ 3,282.5	\$ 3,326.3	\$ 3,134.5
Closing shareholders equity	\$ 3,443.9	\$ 3,435.8	\$ 3,443.9	\$ 3,435.8
Deduct: accumulated other comprehensive income	-	(1.4)	-	(1.4)
Adjusted closing shareholders equity	\$ 3,443.9	\$ 3,434.4	\$ 3,443.9	\$ 3,434.4
Average shareholders equity	\$ 3,408.6	\$ 3,358.4	\$ 3,385.1	\$ 3,284.5
Net income available to shareholders	\$ 122.8	\$ 219.6	\$ 280.0	\$ 534.2
Annualized return on average shareholders equity				
net income available to shareholders	14.4%	26.2%	11.0%	21.7%
Operating income available to shareholders	\$ 101.8	\$ 79.2	\$ 289.5	\$ 258.0
Annualized return on average shareholders equity				
operating income available to shareholders	11.9%	9.4%	11.4%	10.5%

Relevant Factors**Revenues**

We derive our revenues primarily from premiums on our insurance policies and reinsurance contracts, net of any reinsurance or retrocessional coverage purchased. Insurance and reinsurance premiums are a function of the amounts and types of policies and contracts we write, as well as prevailing market prices. Our prices are determined before our ultimate costs, which may extend far into the future, are known. In addition, our revenues include income generated from our investment portfolio, consisting of net investment income and net realized investment gains or losses. Investment income is principally derived from interest and dividends earned on investments, as well as distributed and undistributed income from equity method investments, partially offset by investment management expenses and fees paid to our custodian bank. Net realized investment gains or losses include gains or losses from the sale of investments, as well as the change in the fair value of investments that we mark-to-market through net income.

Expenses

Our expenses consist largely of net losses and loss expenses, acquisition costs and general and administrative expenses. Net losses and loss expenses incurred are comprised of three main components:

losses paid, which are actual cash payments to insureds and reinsureds, net of recoveries from reinsurers;

outstanding loss or case reserves, which represent management's best estimate of the likely settlement amount for known claims, less the portion that can be recovered from reinsurers; and

reserves for losses incurred but not reported, or IBNR, which are reserves (in addition to case reserves) established by us that we believe are needed for the future settlement of claims. The portion recoverable from reinsurers is deducted from the gross estimated loss.

Acquisition costs are comprised of commissions, brokerage fees, insurance taxes and other acquisition related costs such as profit commissions. Commissions and brokerage fees are usually calculated as a percentage of premiums and depend on the market and line of business. Acquisition costs are reported after (1) deducting commissions received on ceded reinsurance, (2) deducting the part of deferred acquisition costs relating to the successful acquisition of new and renewal insurance and reinsurance contracts and (3) including the amortization of previously deferred acquisition costs.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses include personnel expenses including stock-based compensation expense, rent expense, professional fees, information technology costs and other general operating expenses.

Ratios

Management measures results for each segment on the basis of the loss and loss expense ratio, acquisition cost ratio, general and administrative expense ratio, expense ratio and the combined ratio. Because we do not manage our assets by segment, investment income, interest expense and total assets are not allocated to individual reportable segments. General and administrative expenses are allocated to segments based on various factors, including staff count and each segment's proportional share of gross premiums written. The loss and loss expense ratio is derived by dividing net losses and loss expenses by net premiums earned. The acquisition cost ratio is derived by dividing acquisition costs by net premiums earned. The general and administrative expense ratio is derived by dividing general and administrative expenses by net premiums earned. The expense ratio is the sum of the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss and loss expense ratio, the acquisition cost ratio and the general and administrative expense ratio.

Critical Accounting Policies

It is important to understand our accounting policies in order to understand our financial position and results of operations. Our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect determinations that are inherently subjective in nature and require management to make assumptions and best estimates to determine the reported values. If events or other factors cause actual results to differ materially from management's underlying assumptions or estimates, there could be a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. We believe that some of the more critical judgments in the areas of accounting estimates and assumptions that affect our financial condition and results of operations are related to reserves for losses and loss expenses, reinsurance recoverables, premiums and acquisition costs, valuation of financial instruments and goodwill and other intangible asset impairment valuation. For a detailed discussion of our critical accounting policies, please refer to our 2012 Form 10-K. There were no material changes in the application of our critical accounting estimates subsequent to that report.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth our selected consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2013	2012	2013	2012				
	(\$ in millions)							
Revenues								
Gross premiums written	\$	580.9	\$	504.4	\$	2,183.2	\$	1,832.2
Net premiums written	\$	453.1	\$	391.5	\$	1,729.4	\$	1,475.2
Net premiums earned	\$	510.8	\$	441.0	\$	1,481.3	\$	1,272.7
Net investment income		39.3		39.1		110.3		128.8
Net realized investment gains (losses)		27.5		149.8		(8.1)		292.0
	\$	577.6	\$	629.9	\$	1,583.5	\$	1,693.5
Expenses								
Net losses and loss expenses	\$	277.0	\$	258.9	\$	807.3	\$	724.5
Acquisition costs		65.1		51.1		186.4		149.8
General and administrative expenses		88.6		78.6		251.8		223.0
Amortization of intangible assets		0.7		0.6		1.9		1.9
Interest expense		14.1		13.8		42.4		41.6
Foreign exchange loss (gain)		4.4		1.0		7.4		(0.1)
	\$	449.9	\$	404.0	\$	1,297.2	\$	1,140.7
Income before income taxes		127.7		225.9		286.3		552.8
Income tax expense		4.9		6.3		6.3		18.6
Net income	\$	122.8	\$	219.6	\$	280.0	\$	534.2
Ratios								
Loss and loss expense ratio		54.2%		58.7%		54.5%		56.9%
Acquisition cost ratio		12.7%		11.6%		12.6%		11.8%
General and administrative expense ratio		17.3%		17.8%		17.0%		17.5%
Expense ratio		30.0%		29.4%		29.6%		29.3%
Combined ratio		84.2%		88.1%		84.1%		86.2%

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums

Gross premiums written increased by \$76.5 million, or 15.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The overall increase in gross premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

U.S. insurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$45.6 million, or 17.3%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business across existing lines that added \$102.9 million during the quarter combined with premium rate increases in all lines of business. The increase in new business for the quarter was primarily driven by our general casualty, programs, and inland marine lines of business. Our new lines of business, primary construction and surety, contributed a further \$8.1 million in new business;

International insurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$11.6 million, or 9.6%. The increase was primarily due to new business written of \$13.1 million in our new aviation line of business. Effective October 1, 2013, we acquired the renewal rights to a book of aviation business from Markel International that was written through Lloyd's Syndicate 1400 and Markel Europe plc. In conjunction with the renewal rights agreement, in August we assumed the unexpired inforce aviation business from Markel International, which resulted in gross premiums written of \$13.1 million this quarter. This business encompasses

Table of Contents

airlines, aerospace (primarily airports and aviation products) and general aviation classes. In addition, we had continued growth in our professional liability line of business, driven by the expansion of new initiatives. However, this growth was partially offset by \$7.1 million of non-recurring premiums in our trade credit line of business recorded in 2012; and

Reinsurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$19.3 million, or 16.1%. The increase was driven by new business in the specialty lines primarily written out of Asia and Latin America, combined with increased participations on renewing business and rate increases for certain lines of business.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by underwriter location for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Dollar	Percentage
	2013	2012	Change	Change
	(\$ in millions)			
United States	\$ 377.6	\$ 312.9	\$ 64.7	20.7%
Bermuda	111.1	108.9	2.2	2.0%
Europe	51.9	46.8	5.1	10.9%
Singapore	35.5	31.9	3.6	11.3%
Hong Kong	4.8	3.9	0.9	23.1%
	\$ 580.9	\$ 504.4	\$ 76.5	15.2%

Net premiums written increased by \$61.6 million, or 15.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net premiums written was due to the increase in gross premiums written. The difference between gross and net premiums written is the cost to us of purchasing reinsurance coverage, including the cost of property catastrophe reinsurance coverage. We ceded 22.0% of gross premiums written for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 22.4% for the same period in 2012.

Net premiums earned increased by \$69.8 million, or 15.8%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012 as a result of higher net premiums written in 2012 and 2013.

We evaluate our business by segment, distinguishing between U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. The following table illustrates the mix of our business on both a gross premiums written and net premiums earned basis.

	Gross Premiums Written Three Months Ended September 30,		Net Premiums Earned Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
U.S. insurance	53.1%	52.2%	40.7%	39.5%

Edgar Filing: - Form

International insurance	22.9%	24.0%	17.1%	19.3%
Reinsurance	24.0%	23.8%	42.2%	41.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Net Investment Income

Net investment income increased by \$0.2 million, or 0.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was due to an increase in the distributed and undistributed earnings of our equity method investments that we invested in at the end of 2012, as well as higher income from our hedge fund and private equity investments. This increase was partially offset by lower net investment income for our fixed maturity investments as we increased the allocation of our investment portfolio to other invested assets that contribute to our total return but carry little or no current yield. The annualized period book yield of the investment portfolio for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was 1.9% and 1.9%, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, we held 10.3% of our total investments and cash equivalents in other invested assets compared to 6.4% as of September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Investment management expenses of \$4.1 million and \$3.7 million were incurred during the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of September 30, 2013, approximately 89.1% of our fixed income investments consisted of investment grade securities. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the average credit rating of our fixed income portfolio was AA- as rated by Standard & Poor's.

Realized Investment Gains (Losses)

Net realized investment gains (losses) were comprised of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Net realized gains (losses) on sale:		
Fixed maturity investments, trading	\$ (4.8)	\$ 12.9
Equity securities, trading	13.9	7.5
Other invested assets: hedge funds and private equity, trading	2.1	0.2
Total net realized gains on sale	11.2	20.6
Net realized and unrealized losses on derivatives	(4.2)	(1.0)
Mark-to-market gains (losses):		
Fixed maturity investments, trading	30.4	99.8
Equity securities, trading	(17.2)	18.9
Other invested assets: hedge funds and private equity, trading	7.3	11.5
Total mark-to-market gains	20.5	130.2
Net realized investment gains	\$ 27.5	\$ 149.8

The total return of our investment portfolio was 0.8% and 2.2% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in total return is primarily due to lower mark-to-market gains on our fixed maturity, mark-to-market losses on our equity securities and lower realized gains from the sale of investments. The lower mark-to-market gains on our fixed maturity securities were caused by modest increases in interest rates on our fixed income portfolio during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The rising interest rate environment also negatively impacted our dividend focused equity portfolio, which underperformed the S&P 500 for the quarter.

Net Losses and Loss Expenses

Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$18.1 million, or 7.0%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 4.5 percentage

points for the same period. The increase in net loss and loss expenses was due to growth in net premiums earned, partially offset by higher net favorable prior year reserve development in 2013.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 66.2% and 71.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio of 5.2 points was primarily due to fewer current year reported large losses in the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The reported large losses were \$25.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to \$53.7 million for the same period in 2012. The reported large losses in the three months ended September 30, 2012 included approximately \$40.0 million of crop reinsurance-related losses and loss expenses related to drought conditions across much of the United States and \$5.0 million for Hurricane Isaac.

We classify catastrophe losses as those losses that result from a major singular event or series of similar events (such as tornadoes) which are assigned a catastrophe loss number by industry data services, where our consolidated losses are expected to be at least \$10 million per loss event or series of similar events and where we believe it is important to our investors' understanding of our operations.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE (1)	Amount	% of NPE (1)		
(\$ in millions)						
Non-catastrophe	\$ 338.4	66.2%	\$ 310.1	70.3%	\$ 28.3	(4.1)Pts
Property catastrophe			5.0	1.1	(5.0)	(1.1)
Current period	338.4	66.2	315.1	71.4	23.3	(5.2)
Prior period	(61.4)	(12.0)	(56.2)	(12.7)	(5.2)	0.7
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 277.0	54.2%	\$ 258.9	58.7%	\$ 18.1	(4.5)Pts

(1) NPE means net premiums earned.

We recorded net favorable reserve development related to prior years of \$61.4 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$56.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
(\$ in millions)											
U.S. insurance	\$ (3.0)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (6.1)	\$ (5.5)	\$ (2.9)	\$ (3.5)	\$ (4.8)	\$ 13.2	\$ 18.1	\$ 3.8
International insurance	0.2	(1.2)	3.2	(4.0)	(8.6)	(4.7)	(5.9)	(4.1)	(2.1)	(2.5)	(29.7)
Reinsurance	(1.6)	(2.4)	1.5		0.2	(2.1)	(2.0)	(2.6)	(12.1)	(14.4)	(35.5)
	\$ (4.4)	\$ (4.2)	\$ 3.6	\$ (10.1)	\$ (13.9)	\$ (9.7)	\$ (11.4)	\$ (11.5)	\$ (1.0)	\$ 1.2	\$ (61.4)

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2011 loss year for our U.S. insurance segment was primarily due to adverse development on reported claims in our healthcare and errors and omissions (E&O) products. The unfavorable reserve development for the 2012 loss year for our U.S. insurance segment was primarily due to adverse development on reported claims in our healthcare, private/not for profit directors and officers (D&O) and lawyers E&O. In response to the underwriting experience in these lines, we continue to take rate action, as well as make changes to policy terms and conditions, resulting in flat or reduced gross premiums written but reduced exposures.

The additions to the 2011 and 2012 loss years noted above are consistent with our practice of addressing unfavorable loss emergence early in our long-tail lines of business. We tend to recognize favorable loss emergence more slowly in our long-tail lines once actual loss emergence and data provides greater confidence around the adequacy of ultimate

estimates.

The favorable reserve development for the reinsurance segment was primarily related to our property reinsurance line of business, and included favorable reserve development related to recent catastrophic events that occurred in 2010 through 2012.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the net favorable reserve development by loss year for each of our segments for the three months ended September 30, 2012.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
U.S. insurance	\$ 0.1	\$	\$ (1.7)	\$ (7.1)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (2.7)	\$ 1.7	\$ 4.4	\$ 3.1	\$ (8.2)
International insurance	(1.0)	(2.7)	9.9	(15.5)	(12.7)	(8.9)	(1.9)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(39.0)
Reinsurance	(1.0)	(0.2)	2.8	(1.7)	(6.7)	(2.4)	(0.8)	7.5	(6.5)	(9.0)
	\$ (1.9)	\$ (2.9)	\$ 11.0	\$ (24.3)	\$ (25.4)	\$ (14.0)	\$ (1.0)	\$ 11.1	\$ (8.8)	\$ (56.2)

The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for loss years 2009 to 2011 was primarily due to adverse development on a program that commenced writing in 2008 and was terminated during 2011. The unfavorable reserve development in our international insurance segment for the 2005 loss year was primarily due to two product liability claims within our general casualty line of business. The unfavorable reserve development in our reinsurance segment for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to adverse emergence on certain classes of U.S. casualty business.

The following table shows the components of net losses and loss expenses for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Dollar Change
	2013	2012	
	(\$ in millions)		
Net losses paid	\$ 243.7	\$ 195.8	\$ 47.9
Net change in reported case reserves	(15.7)	4.9	(20.6)
Net change in IBNR	49.0	58.2	(9.2)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 277.0	\$ 258.9	\$ 18.1

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables.

Three Months Ended

	September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Net reserves for losses and loss expenses, July 1	\$ 4,517.3	\$ 4,303.9
Incurred related to:		
Current period non-catastrophe	338.4	310.1
Current period property catastrophe		5.0
Prior period	(61.4)	(56.2)
Total incurred	277.0	258.9
Paid related to:		
Current period non-catastrophe	30.5	16.3
Prior period	213.2	179.5
Total paid	243.7	195.8
Foreign exchange revaluation	4.1	6.3
Net reserve for losses and loss expenses, September 30	4,554.7	4,373.3
Losses and loss expenses recoverable	1,226.1	1,077.5
Reserve for losses and loss expenses, September 30	\$ 5,780.8	\$ 5,450.8

Table of Contents***Acquisition Costs***

Acquisition costs increased by \$14.0 million, or 27.4%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in acquisition costs was consistent with the growth in premiums, as well as higher profit commission accruals during the current quarter as compared to the same period last year. Acquisition costs as a percentage of net premiums earned were 12.7% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 11.6% for the same period in 2012. The increase in the acquisition cost ratio is driven by the increased profit commission accruals in our U.S. insurance and reinsurance segments, as well as the impact of the retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover in our reinsurance segment put in place in the current year. The retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover reduced net premiums earned but did not have any offsetting ceding commission income, and therefore resulted in an increase to the acquisition cost ratio.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$10.0 million, or 12.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Our general and administrative expense ratio was 17.3% and 17.8% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs as average headcount increased by 15% to support our continued growth as well as higher stock-based compensation expense. We have granted cash equivalent restricted stock units and performance-based equity awards to certain key employees, and we measure the value of each award at the period ending share price. Changes in our share price are recognized as increases or decreases in our compensation expense ratably over the service period. Our share price increased 9% for the three months ended September 30, 2013, compared to a 3% decrease for the same period in 2012. We also increased stock-based compensation expense for our performance-based compensation as profitability is expected to exceed targeted levels.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

The amortization of intangible assets was unchanged for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.3 million, or 2.2%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Net Income

Net income for the three months ended September 30, 2013 was \$122.8 million compared to net income of \$219.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The \$96.8 million decrease was primarily the result of the \$122.3 million decrease in realized investment gains for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This was partially offset by an increase in underwriting income of \$27.7 million. Income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$1.4 million, or 20.0%, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in income tax expense is due to lower taxable income in our U.S. operations.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012***Premiums***

Gross premiums written increased by \$351.0 million, or 19.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The overall increase in gross premiums written was primarily the result of the following:

U.S. insurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$138.7 million, or 18.9%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business across existing lines that added \$296.8 million, combined with premium rate increases in all lines of business. Growth from new lines of business introduced in 2013, such as primary construction and surety, contributed a further \$8.2 million for the period;

International insurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$35.5 million, or 8.5%, primarily as a result of increased premiums from new initiatives, such as retail property and small- to medium-sized enterprise (SME) insurance products, and our expansion into aviation during the third quarter. This was combined with growth in existing lines of business, such as healthcare and professional liability, and premium rate increases in select lines of business; and

Table of Contents

Reinsurance: Gross premiums written increased by \$176.8 million, or 26.0%. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business in the specialty lines primarily written out of Asia and Latin America, as well as increased participations on renewing business combined with premium rate increases. The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by underwriter location for each of the periods indicated.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Dollar	Percentage
	2013	2012	Change	Change
	(\$ in millions)			
United States	\$ 1,296.2	\$ 1,051.4	\$ 244.8	23.3%
Bermuda	550.8	484.3	66.5	13.7%
Europe	198.7	182.2	16.5	9.1%
Singapore	122.5	101.2	21.3	21.0%
Hong Kong	15.0	13.1	1.9	14.5%
	\$ 2,183.2	\$ 1,832.2	\$ 351.0	19.2%

Net premiums written increased by \$254.2 million, or 17.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net premiums written was due to the increase in gross premiums written. The difference between gross and net premiums written is the cost to us of purchasing reinsurance coverage, including the cost of property catastrophe reinsurance coverage. We ceded 20.8% of gross premiums written for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 19.5% for the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily due to our purchase of a new collateralized retrocessional catastrophe cover in our reinsurance segment and a new property catastrophe coverage for U.S. insurance and international insurance segments. Both of these reinsurance coverages increased the ceded percentage by 1.4 percentage points.

Net premiums earned increased by \$208.6 million, or 16.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as a result of higher net premiums written in 2012 and 2013.

We evaluate our business by segment, distinguishing between U.S. insurance, international insurance and reinsurance. The following table illustrates the mix of our business on both a gross premiums written and net premiums earned basis.

	Gross Premiums Written Nine Months Ended September 30,		Net Premiums Earned Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
U.S. insurance	39.9%	40.1%	40.0%	38.5%
International insurance	20.8%	22.8%	17.5%	19.5%
Reinsurance	39.3%	37.1%	42.5%	42.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Net Investment Income

Net investment income decreased by \$18.5 million, or 14.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was due to lower net investment income on our fixed maturity investments as we have increased the allocation of our investment portfolio to other invested assets, which contribute to our total return but carry little or no current yield. This was partially offset by the distributed and undistributed earnings of the equity method investments that we invested in at the end of 2012, as well as higher net investment income from our hedge fund and private equity investments. The annualized period book yield of the investment portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 was 1.8% and 2.1%, respectively.

Investment management expenses of \$12.2 million and \$12.0 million were incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents***Realized Investment Gains (Losses)***

Net realized investment gains (losses) were comprised of the following:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Net realized gains (losses) on sale:		
Fixed maturity investments, trading	\$ 24.7	\$ 77.4
Equity securities, trading	30.1	6.5
Other invested assets: hedge funds and private equity, trading	16.8	(8.1)
Total net realized gains on sale	71.6	75.8
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives	3.4	(0.2)
Mark-to-market gains (losses):		
Fixed maturity investments, trading	(101.2)	144.0
Equity securities, trading	(18.6)	38.5
Other invested assets: hedge funds and private equity, trading	36.7	33.9
Total mark-to-market gains	(83.1)	216.4
Net realized investment (losses) gains	\$ (8.1)	\$ 292.0

The total return of our investment portfolio was 1.2% and 4.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in total return is primarily due to mark-to-market losses on our fixed maturity investments and equity securities. The mark-to-market losses on our fixed maturity investments were caused by higher interest rates combined with widening credit spreads on our fixed income portfolio. During the period, the yield on the 10-year U.S. treasury increased by 78 basis points, which contributed to the higher interest rates. The rising interest rate environment also negatively impacted our dividend focused equity portfolio, which underperformed the S&P 500 for the period.

Net Losses and Loss Expenses

Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$82.8 million, or 11.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 2.4 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net loss and loss expenses was due to the growth in net premiums earned, partially offset by higher net favorable prior year reserve development.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 64.9% and 67.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio of 2.8 points was primarily due to fewer reported current year severe losses in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount	% of NPE		
	(\$ in millions)					
Non-catastrophe	\$ 961.2	64.9%	\$ 857.1	67.3%	\$ 104.1	(2.4)Pts
Property catastrophe			5.0	0.4	(5.0)	(0.4)
Current period	961.2	64.9	862.1	67.7	99.1	(2.8)
Prior period	(153.9)	(10.4)	(137.6)	(10.8)	(16.3)	0.4
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 807.3	54.5%	\$ 724.5	56.9%	\$ 82.8	(2.4)Pts

We recorded net favorable reserve development related to prior years of \$153.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$137.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

Table of Contents**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
	(\$ in millions)										
U.S. Insurance	\$ (3.1)	\$ (2.7)	\$ (4.6)	\$ (7.2)	\$ (18.5)	\$ (17.1)	\$ (6.5)	\$ (12.1)	\$ 30.9	\$ 52.3	\$
International Insurance	6.1	(4.1)	(1.1)	(14.9)	(18.9)	(14.6)	(6.5)	(9.6)	(11.8)	(9.7)	
Reinsurance	(1.4)	(3.8)	(1.6)	1.1	(2.0)	(9.0)	(1.6)	(4.7)	(15.0)	(42.2)	
	\$ 1.6	\$ (10.6)	\$ (7.3)	\$ (21.0)	\$ (39.4)	\$ (40.7)	\$ (14.6)	\$ (26.4)	\$ 4.1	\$ 0.4	\$

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2011 and 2012 loss years for our U.S. insurance segment was due to higher than expected loss emergence, primarily in our private/not for profit D&O, healthcare and E&O products. The healthcare emergence was largely driven by three severe claims, as well as higher than expected loss emergence on reported claims. The emergence in the E&O and private/not for profit D&O is due to higher than expected loss frequency, as well as higher than expected loss emergence on reported claims. In response to the underwriting experience in these lines, we continue to take rate action, as well as make changes to policy terms and conditions, resulting in flat or reduced gross premiums written but reduced exposures.

The additions to the 2011 and 2012 loss years noted above are consistent with our practice of addressing unfavorable loss emergence early in our long-tail lines of business. We tend to recognize favorable loss emergence more slowly in our long-tail lines once actual loss emergence and data provides greater confidence around the adequacy of ultimate estimates.

The favorable reserve development for our reinsurance segment was due to lower than expected reported losses in our property reinsurance line of business, including favorable loss reserve development related to recent catastrophic events that occurred in 2010 through 2012.

The following table shows the net favorable reserve development by loss year for each of our segments for the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
U.S. Insurance	\$	\$ (1.2)	\$ (5.5)	\$ (17.5)	\$ (24.4)	\$ (1.6)	\$ (3.6)	\$ 13.7	\$ 18.5	\$ (21.6)
International Insurance	2.3	(8.5)	(0.6)	(43.8)	(30.1)	(14.3)	(3.7)	(7.4)	17.7	(88.4)
Reinsurance	(0.2)	(1.0)	(4.8)	(8.7)	(18.2)	(4.0)	1.1	6.2	2.0	(27.6)

Edgar Filing: - Form

\$ 2.1 \$ (10.7) \$ (10.9) \$ (70.0) \$ (72.7) \$ (19.9) \$ (6.2) \$ 12.5 \$ 38.2 \$ (137.6)

The net favorable reserve development is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated. The unfavorable reserve development in our U.S. insurance segment for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was primarily due to adverse development on a program that commenced writing in 2008 and was terminated during 2011. We also experienced adverse development for the 2011 loss year for certain E&O products. The unfavorable reserve development in our international insurance segment for loss year 2011 was due to adverse development on an individual claim, estimated to reach our full limit of \$20.0 million, net of reinsurance.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the components of net losses and loss expenses for each of the periods indicated.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Dollar
	2013	2012	Change
	(\$ in millions)		
Net losses paid	\$ 751.2	\$ 578.1	\$ 173.1
Net change in reported case reserves	(24.9)	51.9	(76.8)
Net change in IBNR	81.0	94.5	(13.5)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 807.3	\$ 724.5	\$ 82.8

The table below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending reserves for losses and loss expenses. Losses incurred and paid are reflected net of reinsurance recoverables

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Net reserves for losses and loss expenses, January 1	\$ 4,504.4	\$ 4,222.2
Incurred related to:		
Current period non-catastrophe	961.2	857.1
Current period property catastrophe		5.0
Prior period	(153.9)	(137.6)
Total incurred	807.3	724.5
Paid related to:		
Current period non-catastrophe	55.0	36.1
Prior period	696.2	542.0
Total paid	751.2	578.1
Foreign exchange revaluation	(5.8)	4.7
Net reserve for losses and loss expenses, September 30	4,554.7	4,373.3
Losses and loss expenses recoverable	1,226.1	1,077.5
Reserve for losses and loss expenses, September 30	\$ 5,780.8	\$ 5,450.8

Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs increased by \$36.6 million, or 24.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in acquisition costs was consistent with the growth in premiums. Acquisition costs as a percentage of net premiums earned were 12.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 11.8% for the same period in 2012. The increase in the acquisition cost ratio is driven by the increased profit commission accruals in our U.S. insurance and reinsurance segments, as well as the impact of the retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover in our reinsurance segment put in place in the current year. The retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover reduced net premiums earned but did not have any offsetting ceding commission income, and therefore resulted in an increase to the acquisition cost ratio.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$28.8 million, or 12.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in September 30, 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs as average headcount increased by 15% during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 as well as higher stock-based compensation expense. Our share price increased 26% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to a 23% increase for the same period in 2012. We also increased stock-based compensation expense for our performance-based compensation as profitability is expected to exceed targeted levels.

Table of Contents

Our general and administrative expense ratio was 17.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 17.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease was due to the growth in net premiums earned being greater than the increase in expenses.

Amortization of Intangible Assets

The amortization of intangible assets was unchanged for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Interest Expense

Interest expense increased by \$0.8 million, or 1.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Net Income

Net income for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$280.0 million compared to \$534.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The \$254.2 million decrease was primarily the result of the \$300.1 million decrease in realized investment gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, partially offset by the \$60.4 million increase in underwriting income. Income tax expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 decreased by \$12.3 million, or 66.1%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The decrease in income tax expense is due to lower taxable income in our U.S. operations.

Underwriting Results by Operating Segments

Our company is organized into three operating segments:

U.S. Insurance Segment. The U.S. insurance segment includes our direct specialty insurance operations in the United States. This segment provides both direct property and specialty casualty insurance primarily to non-Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts.

International Insurance Segment. The international insurance segment includes our direct insurance operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and Hong Kong. This segment provides both direct property and casualty insurance primarily to Fortune 1000 North American domiciled accounts from our Bermuda office and direct property and specialty casualty to our non-North American domiciled accounts from our European, Singapore and Hong Kong offices.

Reinsurance Segment. Our reinsurance segment has operations in Bermuda, Europe, Singapore and the United States. This segment includes the reinsurance of property, general casualty, professional liability, specialty lines and property catastrophe coverages written by insurance companies. We presently write reinsurance on both a treaty and a facultative basis, targeting several niche reinsurance markets.

Table of Contents**U.S. Insurance Segment**

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the U.S. insurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)			
Revenues				
Gross premiums written	\$ 308.7	\$ 263.1	\$ 872.0	\$ 733.3
Net premiums written	238.8	200.8	652.5	551.3
Net premiums earned	207.6	174.0	593.5	490.1
Expenses				
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 141.2	\$ 109.1	\$ 398.9	\$ 309.9
Acquisition costs	28.4	22.7	78.8	63.9
General and administrative expenses	41.7	37.4	119.5	103.2
Underwriting (loss) income	(3.7)	4.8	(3.7)	13.1
Ratios				
Loss and loss expense ratio	68.0%	62.7%	67.2%	63.2%
Acquisition cost ratio	13.7%	13.0%	13.3%	13.0%
General and administrative expense ratio	20.0%	21.5%	20.1%	21.0%
Expense ratio	33.7%	34.5%	33.4%	34.0%
Combined ratio	101.7%	97.2%	100.6%	97.2%

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$45.6 million, or 17.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business across existing lines that added \$102.9 million during the quarter combined with premium rate increases in all lines of business. The increase in new business for the quarter was primarily driven by our general casualty, programs, and inland marine lines of business. Our new lines of business, primary construction and surety, contributed a further \$8.1 million in new business.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		
	2013	2012	
	(\$ in millions)		
	Dollar Change	Percentage Change	

Edgar Filing: - Form

General casualty	\$	107.2	\$	77.9	\$	29.3	37.6%
Professional liability		66.2		66.4		(0.2)	(0.3%)
Healthcare		43.4		52.3		(8.9)	(17.0%)
Programs		41.1		32.2		8.9	27.6%
General property		18.7		15.7		3.0	19.1%
Other*		32.1		18.6		13.5	72.6%
	\$	308.7	\$	263.1	\$	45.6	17.3%

* Includes our inland marine, environmental, primary construction, mergers and acquisitions and surety lines of business

Net premiums written increased by \$38.0 million, or 18.9%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net premiums written was primarily due to higher gross premiums written. We ceded 22.6% of gross premiums written for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 23.7% for the same period in 2012.

Table of Contents

Net premiums earned increased by \$33.6 million, or 19.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily due to the growth of our U.S. insurance operations during 2012 and 2013.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$32.1 million, or 29.4%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio increased by 5.3 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was mostly due to growth in the U.S. insurance segment, combined with unfavorable prior year reserve development in the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to favorable prior year reserve development in the three months ended September 30, 2012.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 66.2% and 67.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio of 1.2 points was due to the absence of any reported significant losses in the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012, which had recorded significant losses of \$6.5 million, which included a loss from Hurricane Isaac of \$1.7 million.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount	% of NPE		
(\$ in millions)						
Non-catastrophe	\$ 137.4	66.2%	\$ 115.6	66.4%	\$ 21.8	(0.2)Pts
Property catastrophe			1.7	1.0	(1.7)	(1.0)
Current period	137.4	66.2	117.3	67.4	20.1	(1.2)
Prior period	3.8	1.8	(8.2)	(4.7)	12.0	6.5
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 141.2	68.0%	\$ 109.1	62.7%	\$ 32.1	5.3Pts

Overall, our U.S. insurance segment recorded net unfavorable reserve development of \$3.8 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$8.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
(\$ in millions)											
General casualty	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (4.6)	\$	\$ (0.3)	\$	\$ 0.6	\$ 3.0	\$ (1.3)

Edgar Filing: - Form

Programs		(0.2)	(1.7)	(1.2)	(1.4)	0.5	0.5	(3.5)
General								
property	0.6	(0.2)	3.2		0.2	(0.2)	(1.0)	2.6
Healthcare	(3.0)	(0.8)	0.2	(2.1)	(2.3)	10.1	5.1	7.2
Professional								
liability	(0.4)	(1.1)	(9.3)	(0.7)	0.9	0.3	(3.6)	2.4
Other							(0.2)	10.5
								(1.0)
								(0.2)
	\$ (3.0)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (6.1)	\$ (5.5)	\$ (2.9)	\$ (3.5)	\$ (4.8)
								\$ 13.2
								\$ 18.1
								\$ 3.8

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2011 loss year was primarily due to adverse development on reported claims in our healthcare and E&O products. The unfavorable reserve development for the 2012 loss year was primarily due to adverse development on reported claims in our healthcare, private/not for profit D&O and lawyers E&O. In response to the underwriting experience in these lines, we continue to take rate action, as well as make changes to policy terms and conditions, resulting in flat or reduced gross premiums written but reduced exposures. We also recorded unfavorable loss reserve development in our general property line of business for the 2006 loss year due to adverse development on an individual claim.

The additions to the 2011 and 2012 loss years noted above are consistent with our practice of addressing unfavorable loss emergence early in our long-tail lines of business. We tend to recognize favorable loss emergence more slowly in our long-tail lines once actual loss emergence and data provides greater confidence around the adequacy of ultimate estimates.

Table of Contents

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
General casualty Programs	\$ (0.3)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (3.9)	\$ (0.2)	\$ (1.4)	\$ (0.2)	\$	\$	\$ (6.8)
				(0.7)	(0.9)	0.6	3.5	6.5	4.3	13.3
General property		0.3		(0.1)				(0.1)	(0.1)	
Healthcare	1.4	1.7	(0.7)	(1.5)	(1.6)	(0.8)	(0.1)	(0.4)	(0.7)	(2.7)
Professional liability	(1.0)	(1.4)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(3.3)	(1.1)	(1.5)	(1.6)	(0.4)	(12.0)
Other										
	\$ 0.1	\$	\$ (1.7)	\$ (7.1)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (2.7)	\$ 1.7	\$ 4.4	\$ 3.1	\$ (8.2)

The unfavorable reserve development for loss years 2009 to 2011 was due to continued adverse development on a program that commenced writing in 2008 and was terminated during 2011. This program continues to experience unfavorable loss emergence and during the quarter we performed a detailed review of all open claims as part of our reserve review. This was partially offset by favorable development on our active programs.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$5.7 million, or 25.1%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was driven by the growth in premiums and increased profit commission accruals in our program line of business. The acquisition cost ratio was 13.7% and 13.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, due to the increased profit commission accruals.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$4.3 million, or 11.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was due to the continued growth of the U.S. insurance operations as we continue to increase our headcount, as well as increased stock compensation expense. The general and administrative ratio decreased to 20.0% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 from 21.5% for the same period in 2012, reflecting the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses in 2013.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$138.7 million, or 18.9%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business across existing lines that added \$296.8 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, combined with premium rate increases in all lines of business. Growth from new products introduced in 2013, such as primary construction and surety, contributed a further \$16.2 million for the period.

Table of Contents

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Nine Months Ended September 30,		Dollar	Percentage
	2013	2012	Change	Change
	(\$ in millions)			
General casualty	\$ 271.5	\$ 204.6	\$ 66.9	32.7%
Professional liability	193.7	192.3	1.4	0.7%
Healthcare	143.4	145.6	(2.2)	(1.5%)
Programs	107.7	81.1	26.6	32.8%
General property	74.0	71.3	2.7	3.8%
Other*	81.7	38.4	43.3	112.8%
	\$ 872.0	\$ 733.3	\$ 138.7	18.9%

* Includes our inland marine, environmental, primary construction, mergers and acquisitions and surety lines of business

Net premiums written increased by \$101.2 million, or 18.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net premiums written was primarily due to higher gross premiums written. We ceded 25.2% of gross premiums written for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 24.8% for the same period in 2012. The increase in the ceded written percentage is primarily due to the purchase of new reinsurance in our program line of business as well as in our surety and primary general liability construction lines of business. We also purchased a new property catastrophe coverage during 2013.

Net premiums earned increased by \$103.4 million, or 21.1%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily due to the growth of our U.S. insurance operations during 2013 and 2012.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$89.0 million, or 28.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio increased by 4.0 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to growth in the U.S. insurance segment and unfavorable prior year reserve development in 2013 compared to favorable prior year reserve development in 2012.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 65.3% and 67.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease in the loss and loss expense ratio of 2.3 points was primarily due to fewer reported current year large losses in the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Nine Months Ended**Nine Months Ended****Change in**

	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount	% of NPE		
	(\$ in millions)					
Non-catastrophe	\$ 387.5	65.3%	\$ 329.8	67.3%	\$ 57.7	(2.0)Pts
Property catastrophe			1.7	0.3	(1.7)	(0.3)
Current period	387.5	65.3	331.5	67.6	56.0	(2.3)
Prior period	11.4	1.9	(21.6)	(4.4)	33.0	6.3
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 398.9	67.2%	\$ 309.9	63.2%	\$ 89.0	4.0Pts

Overall, our U.S. insurance segment recorded net unfavorable reserve development of \$11.4 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$21.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

Table of Contents

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
(\$ in millions)											
General casualty	\$	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.5)	\$	\$ (9.1)	\$ (3.1)	\$ (0.2)	\$	\$ 2.6	\$ 3.5	\$ (7.5)
Programs				(1.4)	(3.5)	(1.5)	(1.9)	(4.1)	(0.1)	3.3	(9.2)
General property		0.7	(0.2)	3.5	(0.2)	(1.3)	(1.3)		1.3	1.0	3.5
Healthcare	(3.1)	(1.8)	(1.4)	(2.7)	(2.3)	(8.3)	(3.5)	(1.6)	23.2	14.1	12.6
Professional liability		(0.9)	(2.5)	(6.6)	(3.4)	(2.9)	0.4	(6.3)	4.5	28.8	11.1
Other								(0.1)	(0.6)	1.6	0.9
	\$ (3.1)	\$ (2.7)	\$ (4.6)	\$ (7.2)	\$ (18.5)	\$ (17.1)	\$ (6.5)	\$ (12.1)	\$ 30.9	\$ 52.3	\$ 11.4

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2011 and 2012 loss years was due to adverse development on reported claims, primarily in our healthcare, private/not for profit D&O and E&O products. The healthcare emergence was largely driven by three large claims, as well as adverse development on reported claims. The emergence in the E&O and private/not for profit D&O is due to higher than expected loss frequency, as well as adverse development on reported claims. In response to the underwriting experience in these lines, we continue to take rate action, as well as make changes to policy terms and conditions, resulting in flat or reduced gross premiums written but reduced exposures.

The additions to the 2011 and 2012 loss years noted above are consistent with our practice of addressing unfavorable loss emergence early in our casualty lines of business. We tend to recognize favorable loss emergence more slowly in our casualty lines once actual loss emergence and data provides greater confidence around the adequacy of ultimate estimates.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
(\$ in millions)										
General casualty	\$ (0.7)	\$ 0.3	\$ (0.8)	\$ (12.0)	\$ (0.3)	\$ (2.4)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ (16.6)
Programs				(0.8)	(1.1)		3.5	13.8	8.1	23.5
General property		1.0	(0.3)	(0.1)	(0.2)	1.7	(0.4)	(0.6)	1.0	2.1
Healthcare	1.7	(1.0)	(3.3)	(3.8)	(4.4)	0.3	(1.8)	3.1	(0.2)	(9.4)
Professional liability	(1.0)	(1.5)	(1.1)	(0.8)	(18.4)	(1.2)	(4.3)	(2.5)	9.8	(21.0)

Other									(0.2)	(0.2)
\$	\$ (1.2)	\$ (5.5)	\$ (17.5)	\$ (24.4)	\$ (1.6)	\$ (3.6)	\$ 13.7	\$ 18.5	\$ (21.6)	

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2010 and 2011 loss years was primarily due to adverse development on a program that commenced writing in 2008 and was terminated during 2011. This was partially offset by favorable development on our active programs. We also experienced adverse development for the 2011 loss year for certain E&O products.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$14.9 million, or 23.3%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was driven by the growth in premiums and increased profit commission accruals in our program line of business. The acquisition cost ratio increased to 13.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from 13.0% for the same period in 2012 due to the increase in the profit commission accruals.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$16.3 million, or 15.8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012, due to the continued growth of our U.S. insurance operations and higher stock compensation expenses. The general and administrative expense ratio decreased to 20.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 from 21.0% in the same period in 2012 as a result of our increased net premiums earned.

Table of Contents**International Insurance Segment**

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the international insurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)			
Revenues				
Gross premiums written	\$ 132.9	\$ 121.3	\$ 454.0	\$ 418.5
Net premiums written	75.7	71.1	259.8	255.1
Net premiums earned	87.6	85.3	258.8	247.8
Expenses				
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 31.1	\$ 15.1	\$ 91.0	\$ 75.4
Acquisition costs	(0.3)	(0.3)	(1.5)	(1.4)
General and administrative expenses	26.5	22.9	75.4	67.0
Underwriting income	30.3	47.6	93.9	106.8
Ratios				
Loss and loss expense ratio	35.5%	17.7%	35.2%	30.4%
Acquisition cost ratio	(0.3%)	(0.3%)	(0.6%)	(0.6%)
General and administrative expense ratio	30.2%	26.9%	29.1%	27.0%
Expense ratio	29.9%	26.6%	28.5%	26.4%
Combined ratio	65.4%	44.3%	63.7%	56.8%

Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$11.6 million, or 9.6%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was primarily due to premiums of \$13.1 million in our new aviation line of business. Effective October 1, 2013, we acquired the renewal rights to a book of aviation business from Markel International that was written through Lloyd's Syndicate 1400 and Markel Europe plc. In conjunction with the renewal rights agreement, in August we assumed the unexpired inforce aviation business from Markel International, which resulted in gross premiums written of \$13.1 million this quarter. This business encompasses airlines, aerospace (primarily airports and aviation products) and general aviation classes. In addition, professional liability added \$6.0 million, driven by the expansion of new initiatives, such as our Bermuda employment practices liability and European SME insurance products. However, this growth was partially offset by \$7.1 million of non-recurring premiums for our trade credit line of business recorded in 2012.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Dollar	Percentage
	2013	2012	Change	Change
	(\$ in millions)			
Professional liability	\$ 43.8	\$ 37.8	\$ 6.0	15.9%
General casualty	28.7	32.6	(3.9)	(12.0%)
General property	22.6	22.2	0.4	1.8%
Healthcare	18.6	17.8	0.8	4.5%
Aviation	13.1		13.1	n/a
Other*	6.1	10.9	(4.8)	(44.0%)
	\$ 132.9	\$ 121.3	\$ 11.6	9.6%

* Includes our trade credit line of business

Table of Contents

Net premiums written increased by \$4.6 million, or 6.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net premiums written was due to higher gross premiums written, partially offset by higher ceded premiums. We ceded 43.0% of gross premiums written for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 41.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was due to higher quota share cessions on our 2013 renewals for general casualty, general property and trade credit lines of business.

Net premiums earned increased by \$2.3 million, or 2.7%, primarily due to higher net premiums written in the latter half of 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$16.0 million, or 106.0%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio increased by 17.8 percentage points for the same period. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to higher net premiums earned and lower net favorable prior year reserve development in 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. This was partially offset by the \$2.3 million property catastrophe loss from Hurricane Isaac in 2012.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 69.4% and 63.4% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase was primarily due to \$8.2 million of significant reported losses in 2013 which increased the loss and loss expense ratio by 9.4 percentage points, partially offset by lower property catastrophe losses in 2013.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	% of NPE		
Non-catastrophe	\$ 60.8	69.4%	\$ 51.8	60.7%	\$ 9.0	8.7Pts
Property catastrophe			2.3	2.7	(2.3)	(2.7)
Current period	60.8	69.4	54.1	63.4	6.7	6.0
Prior period	(29.7)	(33.9)	(39.0)	(45.7)	9.3	11.8
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 31.1	35.5%	\$ 15.1	17.7%	\$ 16.0	17.8Pts

Overall, our international insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$29.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$39.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
(\$ in millions)											
General casualty	\$ 0.7	\$ (0.6)	\$ (1.4)	\$ (2.3)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (4.1)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (2.9)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ (13.1)
General property			3.4	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.8)	(1.3)	(2.5)	(1.6)
Professional liability	0.2	(0.4)	1.4	(1.1)	(3.6)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.4)		(4.6)
Healthcare	(0.7)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.5)	(3.1)	(0.3)	(4.9)	(0.2)	(0.3)		(10.4)
	\$ 0.2	\$ (1.2)	\$ 3.2	\$ (4.0)	\$ (8.6)	\$ (4.7)	\$ (5.9)	\$ (4.1)	\$ (2.1)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (29.7)

We recorded unfavorable loss reserve development in our general property line of business for the 2005 loss year due to adverse development on an individual energy claim.

Table of Contents

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
General casualty	\$ (0.8)	\$ (2.6)	\$ 12.8	\$ (3.5)	\$ (9.5)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (1.6)	\$	\$	\$ (6.3)
General property		0.6	(0.1)	1.3	(0.5)		(0.3)	(0.8)	(5.4)	(5.2)
Professional liability		(0.5)	(1.9)	(12.5)	(2.0)	(4.1)				(21.0)
Healthcare	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.7)	(3.7)				(6.5)
	\$ (1.0)	\$ (2.7)	\$ 9.9	\$ (15.5)	\$ (12.7)	\$ (8.9)	\$ (1.9)	\$ (0.8)	\$ (5.4)	\$ (39.0)

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2005 loss year for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was primarily due to two product liability claims within our general casualty line of business.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs were unchanged, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The acquisition cost ratio was negative 0.3% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. The negative cost represents ceding commissions received on ceded premiums in excess of the brokerage fees and commissions paid on gross premiums written.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.6 million, or 15.7%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to higher compensation costs as we increased headcount, particularly in our London office, and the impact of the 9% increase in our share price during the quarter on stock compensation expense. The general and administrative expense ratio was 30.2% and 26.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase in the general and administrative expense ratio was due to the higher expenses that outpaced the growth in net premiums earned.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$35.5 million, or 8.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was driven by the continued expansion of new initiatives, such as SME, and the aviation business we assumed from Markel International during the third quarter, which added \$13.1 million of gross premiums written. The professional liability and healthcare lines of business added \$12.6 million and \$8.2 million, respectively, with increased participations on renewing business, as well as new business and rate increases.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

Nine Months Ended

	September 30, 2013		September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
			(\$ in millions)			
Professional liability	\$	134.2	\$	121.6	\$ 12.6	10.4%
General property		126.5		120.2	6.3	5.2%
General casualty		97.0		97.5	(0.5)	(0.5%)
Healthcare		66.3		58.1	8.2	14.1%
Aviation		13.1			13.1	n/a
Other*		16.9		21.1	(4.2)	(19.9%)
	\$	454.0	\$	418.5	\$ 35.5	8.5%

* Includes our trade credit line of business

Net premiums written increased by \$4.7 million, or 1.8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. We ceded to reinsurers 42.8% of gross premiums written for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 39.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was due to the higher quota share cessions on our 2013 renewals for general casualty, general property and trade credit lines of business.

Table of Contents

Net premiums earned increased by \$11.0 million, or 4.4%, primarily due to higher net premiums written in the latter half of 2012 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses increased by \$15.6 million, or 20.7%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in net losses and loss expenses was primarily due to higher net premiums earned and lower net favorable prior year reserve development. The loss and loss expense ratio increased by 4.8 percentage points for the same period driven by lower net favorable prior year reserve development and higher large reported losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 68.1% and 66.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The increase was due to higher large reported losses in 2013 compared to 2012. The reported large losses of \$14.4 million in 2013 added 5.6 percentage points to the loss and loss expense ratio. The reported large losses of \$9.1 million in 2012, of which \$2.3 million related to Hurricane Isaac, added 3.7 percentage points to the loss and loss expense ratio.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount	% of NPE		
(\$ in millions)						
Non-catastrophe	\$ 176.1	68.1%	\$ 161.5	65.2%	\$ 14.6	2.9Pts
Property catastrophe			2.3	0.9	(2.3)	(0.9)
Current period	176.1	68.1	163.8	66.1	12.3	2.0
Prior period	(85.1)	(32.9)	(88.4)	(35.7)	3.3	2.8
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 91.0	35.2%	\$ 75.4	30.4%	\$ 15.6	4.8Pts

Overall, our international insurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$85.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$88.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
(\$ in millions)											
General											
casualty	\$ 7.0	\$ 1.8	\$ (8.4)	\$ (9.0)	\$ (10.5)	\$ (8.8)	\$ (1.8)	\$ (3.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.9	\$ (32.0)
			3.3	(0.3)	1.0	(1.1)	(3.6)	(4.8)	(10.8)	(10.6)	(26.9)

General												
property												
Professional												
liability	(0.1)	(5.4)	4.7	(4.0)	(14.5)	0.1	(6.8)	(0.6)	(0.5)		(27.1)	
Healthcare	(0.8)	(0.5)	(0.7)	(1.6)	5.1	(4.8)	5.7	(1.1)	(0.4)		0.9	
	\$ 6.1	\$ (4.1)	\$ (1.1)	\$ (14.9)	\$ (18.9)	\$ (14.6)	\$ (6.5)	\$ (9.6)	\$ (11.8)	\$ (9.7)	\$ (85.1)	

The net favorable reserve development for loss years 2004 to 2012 is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated, in particular for our general property line of business for the 2011 and 2012 loss years. The unfavorable reserve development in our healthcare line in the 2007 and 2009 loss years was due to adverse development on individual claims.

Table of Contents

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
General casualty	\$ 3.4	\$ (5.7)	\$ 8.0	\$ (14.9)	\$ (16.7)	\$ (8.7)	\$ (1.6)	\$	\$ 20.0	\$ (16.2)
General property		0.8	(1.6)	0.3	0.6	(2.2)	(2.1)	(7.4)	(2.3)	(13.9)
Professional liability	(0.2)	(2.8)	(5.0)	(27.6)	(9.2)	2.9				(41.9)
Healthcare	(0.9)	(0.8)	(2.0)	(1.6)	(4.8)	(6.3)				(16.4)
	\$ 2.3	\$ (8.5)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (43.8)	\$ (30.1)	\$ (14.3)	\$ (3.7)	\$ (7.4)	\$ 17.7	\$ (88.4)

The net favorable reserve development for loss years 2004 to 2010 is a result of actual loss emergence being lower than anticipated. The unfavorable reserve development in our general casualty line for loss year 2011 was due to adverse development on an individual claim, estimated to reach our full limit of \$20.0 million, net of reinsurance.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs decreased by \$0.1 million, or 7.1%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The acquisition cost ratio was negative 0.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012.

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$8.4 million, or 12.5%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased salary and related costs incurred as we continue to expand internationally. The general and administrative expense ratios for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 29.1% and 27.0%, respectively.

Reinsurance Segment

The following table summarizes the underwriting results and associated ratios for the reinsurance segment for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)			
Revenues				
Gross premiums written	\$ 139.3	\$ 120.0	\$ 857.2	\$ 680.4
Net premiums written	138.6	119.6	817.1	668.8
Net premiums earned	215.6	181.7	629.0	534.8
Expenses				
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 104.7	\$ 134.7	\$ 317.4	\$ 339.2
Acquisition costs	37.0	28.7	109.1	87.3
General and administrative expenses	20.4	18.3	56.9	52.8
Underwriting income	53.5		145.6	55.5

Ratios

Loss and loss expense ratio	48.5%	74.1%	50.5%	63.4%
Acquisition cost ratio	17.1%	15.8%	17.3%	16.3%
General and administrative expense ratio	9.5%	10.0%	9.1%	9.9%
Expense ratio	26.6%	25.8%	26.4%	26.2%
Combined ratio	75.1%	99.9%	76.9%	89.6%

Table of Contents***Comparison of Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012***

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$19.3 million, or 16.1%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase was driven by new business in the specialty lines primarily written out of Asia and Latin America combined with increased participations on renewing business and rate increases. Property reinsurance gross premiums written increased by \$13.2 million as North American property reinsurance grew by \$5.2 million. International property reinsurance gross premiums written contributed a further \$8.0 million. This increase in gross premiums written for our specialty reinsurance line of business was due to downward premium estimates on our crop reinsurance business of \$11.7 million during the three months ended September 30, 2012 that did not occur in the current quarter.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by underwriter location for our reinsurance operations.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	(\$ in millions)			
United States	\$ 68.9	\$ 49.7	\$ 19.2	38.6%
Bermuda	27.2	26.3	0.9	3.4%
Europe	9.3	14.1	(4.8)	(34.0%)
Singapore	33.9	29.9	4.0	13.4%
	\$ 139.3	\$ 120.0	\$ 19.3	16.1%

The decline in Europe was due to the transfer of business from Europe to the United States as we established our Lloyd's coverholder in Miami, Florida.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	(\$ in millions)			
Property	\$ 72.6	\$ 59.4	\$ 13.2	22.2%
Casualty	56.0	60.7	(4.7)	(7.7%)
Specialty	10.7	(0.1)	10.8	n/a
	\$ 139.3	\$ 120.0	\$ 19.3	16.1%

Net premiums written increased by \$19.0 million, or 15.9%, consistent with the increase in gross premiums written.

Net premiums earned increased by \$33.9 million, or 18.7%, as a result of the increase in net premiums written during 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013. Premiums related to our reinsurance business earn at a slower rate than those related to our direct insurance business. Direct insurance premiums typically earn ratably over the term

of a policy. Reinsurance premiums under a risks-attaching reinsurance contract are typically earned over the same period as the underlying policies, or risks, covered by the contract. As a result, the earning pattern of a risks-attaching reinsurance contract may extend up to 24 months, reflecting the inception dates of the underlying policies. Property catastrophe premiums, crop reinsurance premiums and premiums from other treaties written on a losses-occurring basis generally earn ratably over the term of the reinsurance contract.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$30.0 million, or 22.3%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 25.6 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net losses and loss expenses was due to higher prior year net favorable reserve development for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, partially offset by growth in business written.

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 65.0% and 79.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease was due to lower significant reported property losses of \$14.9 million compared to \$41.4 million in 2012, which included \$40.0 million of crop reinsurance-related losses and loss expenses related to drought conditions across much of the United States.

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013		Three Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount (\$ in millions)	% of NPE		
Non-catastrophe	\$ 140.2	65.0%	\$ 142.7	78.5%	\$ (2.5)	(13.5)Pts
Property catastrophe			1.0	0.6	(1.0)	(0.6)
Current period	140.2	65.0	143.7	79.1	(3.5)	(14.1)
Prior period	(35.5)	(16.5)	(9.0)	(5.0)	(26.5)	(11.5)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 104.7	48.5%	\$ 134.7	74.1%	\$ (30.0)	(25.6)Pts

Overall, our reinsurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$35.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$9.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
	(\$ in millions)										
Property	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.0	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.4)	\$ (2.2)	\$ (6.0)	\$ (14.2)	\$ (21.7)
Casualty	(1.6)	(2.4)	0.6	0.1	0.3	(2.0)	(1.4)	(0.7)	(0.2)	0.4	(6.9)
Specialty		(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.2)	0.3	(5.9)	(0.6)	(6.9)
	\$ (1.6)	\$ (2.4)	\$ 1.5	\$	\$ 0.2	\$ (2.1)	\$ (2.0)	\$ (2.6)	\$ (12.1)	\$ (14.4)	\$ (35.5)

The favorable reserve development for the 2011 and 2012 loss years for our reinsurance segment was largely due to lower than expected reported losses in our property line of business.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
Property	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.1	\$ (2.5)	\$ (1.5)	\$ (1.6)	\$ 0.2	\$ (7.4)	\$ (12.5)
Casualty	(0.9)	0.1	2.9	(1.1)	(2.8)	(0.9)	0.5	6.2	1.9	5.9
Specialty	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.7)	(1.4)		0.3	1.1	(1.0)	(2.4)
	\$ (1.0)	\$ (0.2)	\$ 2.8	\$ (1.7)	\$ (6.7)	\$ (2.4)	\$ (0.8)	\$ 7.5	\$ (6.5)	\$ (9.0)

The unfavorable reserve development for the 2010 loss year was primarily due to adverse emergence on certain classes of U.S. casualty business.

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$8.3 million, or 28.9%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the three months ended 2012. The increase was due to the increase in net premiums written, as well as higher profit commission accruals. The acquisition cost ratio was 17.1% for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to 15.8% for the three months ended September 30, 2012. The increase is due to the increase in profit commission accruals, as well as the impact of the retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover put in place in the current year. The retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover reduced net premiums earned but did not have any offsetting ceding commission income, and therefore resulted in an increase to the acquisition cost ratio.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.1 million, or 11.5%, for the three months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in general and administrative expenses is primarily due to increased stock-based compensation. The general and administrative expense ratios for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 9.5% and 10.0%, respectively, reflecting the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses in 2013.

Comparison of Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012

Premiums. Gross premiums written increased by \$176.8 million, or 26.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in gross premiums written was primarily due to new business in the specialty lines primarily written out of Asia and Latin America, as well as increased participations on renewing business combined with premium rate increases. Property reinsurance gross premiums written increased by \$101.9 million as North American property reinsurance grew by \$71.4 million as we increased our participation on a large property catastrophe account. International property reinsurance gross premiums written contributed a further \$30.5 million. Within our specialty unit, crop reinsurance gross premiums written increased by \$39.7 million due to new business, increased participations and rate increases following the U.S. drought conditions in 2012 and marine and aviation reinsurance gross premiums written also increased \$9.5 million. Casualty gross premiums written increased by \$21.2 million due to a combination of increased exposures, rates and new business.

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by underwriter location for our reinsurance operations.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	(\$ in millions)			
United States	\$ 424.2	\$ 318.0	\$ 106.2	33.4%
Bermuda	245.4	195.9	49.5	25.3%
Singapore	118.3	97.2	21.1	21.7%
Europe	69.3	69.3		0.0%
	\$ 857.2	\$ 680.4	\$ 176.8	26.0%

The table below illustrates our gross premiums written by line of business for each of the periods indicated.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 2012		Dollar Change	Percentage Change
	(\$ in millions)			
Property	\$ 436.6	\$ 334.7	\$ 101.9	30.4%
Casualty	230.3	209.1	21.2	10.1%
Specialty	190.3	136.6	53.7	39.3%

Edgar Filing: - Form

\$	857.2	\$	680.4	\$	176.8	26.0%
----	-------	----	-------	----	-------	-------

Net premiums written increased by \$148.3 million, or 22.2%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. The increase in net premiums written is lower than the increase in gross premiums due to purchasing a new collateralized retrocessional catastrophe cover during the nine months ended September 30, 2013, which provides \$25 million limit per occurrence on a worldwide basis, with attachment points varying by risk and geography and a maximum indemnity of \$100 million.

Net premiums earned increased by \$94.2 million, or 17.6%, as a result of the increase in net premiums written during 2012 and the nine months ended September 30, 2013 partially offset by the impact of the new retrocessional catastrophe cover.

Net losses and loss expenses. Net losses and loss expenses decreased by \$21.8 million, or 6.4%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The loss and loss expense ratio decreased by 12.9 percentage points for the same period. The decrease in net losses and loss expenses was due to higher net favorable prior year reserve development for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, partially offset by growth in business written.

Table of Contents

Excluding the prior year reserve development, the loss and loss expense ratios would have been 63.3% and 68.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease was due to \$26.5 million of large reported property losses in 2013 compared to \$57.9 million in 2012, which included \$40.0 million of crop reinsurance-related losses and loss expenses related to drought conditions across much of the United States.

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012		Dollar Change	Change in Percentage Points
	Amount	% of NPE	Amount	% of NPE		
	(\$ in millions)					
Non-catastrophe	\$ 397.6	63.3%	\$ 365.8	68.4%	\$ 31.8	(5.1)Pts
Property catastrophe			1.0	0.2	(1.0)	(0.2)
Current period	397.6	63.3	366.8	68.6	30.8	(5.3)
Prior period	(80.2)	(12.8)	(27.6)	(5.2)	(52.6)	(7.6)
Net losses and loss expenses	\$ 317.4	50.5%	\$ 339.2	63.4%	\$ (21.8)	(12.9)Pts

Overall, our reinsurance segment recorded net favorable reserve development of \$80.2 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net favorable reserve development of \$27.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, as shown in the tables below.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
	(\$ in millions)										
Property	\$ 0.2	\$ (1.3)	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.2)	\$ (0.5)	\$ (5.1)	\$ (14.5)	\$ (49.7)	\$ (71.0)		
Casualty	(1.4)	(3.6)	0.3	0.8	(1.9)	(5.6)	(1.8)	0.2	3.1	5.7	(4.2)
Specialty	(0.4)	(0.6)	0.2	(0.1)	(3.2)	0.7	0.2	(3.6)	1.8	(5.0)	
	\$ (1.4)	\$ (3.8)	\$ (1.6)	\$ 1.1	\$ (2.0)	\$ (9.0)	\$ (1.6)	\$ (4.7)	\$ (15.0)	\$ (42.2)	\$ (80.2)

The favorable loss reserve development for the 2011 and 2012 loss years for our reinsurance segment was largely due to lower than expected reported losses in our property line of business. The unfavorable loss reserve development in our casualty reinsurance line of business for the 2011 and 2012 loss years was primarily due to the frequency of claims being greater than anticipated.

**(Favorable) and Unfavorable Loss Reserve Development by Loss Year
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012**

	2003 and Prior	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
	(\$ in millions)									
Property	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.9)	\$ 0.4	\$	\$ (2.4)	\$ (1.8)	\$ 0.4	\$ (1.0)	\$ (1.9)	\$ (7.1)
Casualty	0.2	3.3	0.2	(6.2)	(12.2)	(2.1)	0.4	6.1	6.9	(3.4)
Specialty	(0.5)	(3.4)	(5.4)	(2.5)	(3.6)	(0.1)	0.3	1.1	(3.0)	(17.1)
	\$ (0.2)	\$ (1.0)	\$ (4.8)	\$ (8.7)	\$ (18.2)	\$ (4.0)	\$ 1.1	\$ 6.2	\$ 2.0	\$ (27.6)

Acquisition costs. Acquisition costs increased by \$21.8 million, or 25.0%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase in acquisition costs was due to the increase in net premiums written, as well as higher profit commission accruals. The acquisition cost ratio was 17.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, compared to 16.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase is due to the increase in profit commission accruals, as well as the impact of the retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover put in place in the current year. The retrocessional reinsurance catastrophe cover reduced net premiums earned but did not have any offsetting ceding commission income, and therefore resulted in an increase to the acquisition cost ratio.

Table of Contents

General and administrative expenses. General and administrative expenses increased by \$4.1 million, or 7.8%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2012. The increase was due to higher salary and related costs due to higher headcount to support our growing operations, as well as higher stock-based compensation expense. The general and administrative expense ratios for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were 9.1% and 9.9%, respectively, reflecting the higher growth in net premiums earned relative to expenses in 2013.

Reserves for Losses and Loss Expenses

Reserves for losses and loss expenses by segment were comprised of the following:

	U.S. Insurance		International Insurance		Reinsurance		Total	
	Sep. 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012	Sep. 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012	Sep. 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012	Sep. 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
	(\$ in millions)							
Case reserves	\$ 575.6	\$ 508.8	\$ 477.3	\$ 550.5	\$ 464.2	\$ 479.8	\$ 1,517.1	\$ 1,539.1
IBNR	1,480.4	1,389.5	1,719.9	1,716.1	1,063.4	1,000.8	4,263.7	4,106.4
Reserve for losses and loss expenses	2,056.0	1,898.3	2,197.2	2,266.6	1,527.6	1,480.6	5,780.8	5,645.5
Reinsurance recoverables	(551.3)	(517.3)	(667.8)	(620.6)	(7.0)	(3.2)	(1,226.1)	(1,141.1)
Net reserve for losses and loss expenses	\$ 1,504.7	\$ 1,381.0	\$ 1,529.4	\$ 1,646.0	\$ 1,520.6	\$ 1,477.4	\$ 4,554.7	\$ 4,504.4

We participate in certain lines of business where claims may not be reported for many years. Accordingly, management does not solely rely upon reported claims on these lines for estimating ultimate liabilities. We also use statistical and actuarial methods to estimate expected ultimate losses and loss expenses. Loss reserves do not represent an exact calculation of liability. Rather, loss reserves are estimates of what we expect the ultimate resolution and administration of claims will cost. These estimates are based on various factors including underwriters' expectations about loss experience, actuarial analysis, comparisons with the results of industry benchmarks and loss experience to date. Loss reserve estimates are refined as experience develops and as claims are reported and resolved. Establishing an appropriate level of loss reserves is an inherently uncertain process. Ultimate losses and loss expenses may differ from our reserves, possibly by material amounts.

The following tables provide our ranges of loss and loss expense reserve estimates by business segment as of September 30, 2013:

Reserve for Losses and Loss Expenses		
Gross of Reinsurance Recoverable		
Carried Reserves	Low Estimate	High Estimate

(\$ in millions)

U.S. insurance	\$ 2,056.0	\$ 1,629.3	\$ 2,304.5
International insurance	2,197.2	1,695.1	2,438.1
Reinsurance	1,527.6	1,237.4	1,747.4
Consolidated (1)	5,780.8	4,670.1	6,381.6

Reserve for Losses and Loss Expenses**Net of Reinsurance Recoverable**

	Carried Reserves	Low Estimate	High Estimate
--	-----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------

(\$ in millions)

U.S. insurance	\$ 1,504.7	\$ 1,191.5	\$ 1,706.4
International insurance	1,529.4	1,165.4	1,705.0
Reinsurance	1,520.6	1,231.0	1,739.5
Consolidated (1)	4,554.7	3,684.2	5,054.6

- (1) For statistical reasons, it is not appropriate to add together the ranges of each business segment in an effort to determine the low and high range around the consolidated loss reserves.

Table of Contents

Our range for each business segment was determined by utilizing multiple actuarial loss reserving methods along with various assumptions of reporting patterns and expected loss ratios by loss year. The various outcomes of these techniques were combined to determine a reasonable range of required loss and loss expense reserves. While we believe our approach to determine the range of loss and loss expense is reasonable, there are no assurances that actual loss experience will be within the ranges of loss and loss expense noted above.

Our selection of the actual carried reserves is generally above the midpoint of the range. We believe that we should be prudent in our reserving practices due to the lengthy reporting patterns and relatively large limits of net liability for any one risk of our direct excess casualty business and of our casualty reinsurance business. Thus, due to this uncertainty regarding estimates for reserve for losses and loss expenses, we have carried our consolidated reserve for losses and loss expenses, net of reinsurance recoverable, above the midpoint of the low and high estimates for the consolidated net losses and loss expenses. We believe that relying on the more prudent actuarial indications is appropriate for these lines of business.

Reinsurance Recoverable

The following table illustrates our reinsurance recoverable as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Ceded case reserves	\$ 242.9	\$ 234.2
Ceded IBNR reserves	983.2	906.9
Reinsurance recoverable	\$ 1,226.1	\$ 1,141.1

We remain obligated for amounts ceded in the event our reinsurers do not meet their obligations. Accordingly, we have evaluated the reinsurers that are providing reinsurance protection to us and will continue to monitor their credit ratings and financial stability. We generally have the right to terminate our treaty reinsurance contracts at any time, upon prior written notice to the reinsurer, under specified circumstances, including the assignment to the reinsurer by A.M. Best of a financial strength rating of less than A-. Approximately 99% of ceded reserves as of September 30, 2013 were recoverable from reinsurers who had an A.M. Best rating of A- or higher.

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Liquidity**

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to access sufficient cash flows to meet the short-term and long-term cash requirements of our business operations. The Company believes that its cash flows from operations and investments will provide sufficient liquidity for the foreseeable future.

Holdings is a holding company and transacts no business of its own. Cash flows to Holdings may comprise dividends, advances and loans from its subsidiary companies. Holdings is therefore reliant on receiving dividends and other permitted distributions from its subsidiaries to make dividend payments on its common shares.

Our operating subsidiaries depend upon cash inflows from premium receipts, net of commissions, investment income and proceeds from sales and redemptions of investments. Cash outflows for our operating subsidiaries are in the form of claims payments, net of reinsurance recoveries, reinsurance premium payments, purchase of investments, operating expenses and income tax payments as well as dividend payments to the holding company.

Historically, our operating subsidiaries have generated sufficient cash flows to meet all of their obligations. Because of the inherent volatility of our business, the seasonality in the timing of payments by insureds and cedents, the irregular timing of loss payments, and the impact of a change in interest rates and credit spreads on the investment income as well as seasonality in coupon payment dates for fixed income securities, cash flows from operating activities may vary between periods. In the unlikely event that paid losses exceed operating cash flows in any given period, we would use our cash balances available, or liquidate a portion of our investment portfolio in order to meet our short-term liquidity needs. As of September 30, 2013, we held \$953.0 million of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and \$709.2 million of fixed income securities with a maturity of less than one year to meet short-term liquidity needs. Our remaining fixed income securities, equity securities and other invested assets are available to meet our long-term liquidity needs.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, we had \$150 million available under our revolving loan facility.

Dividend Restrictions

The jurisdictions in which our operating subsidiaries are licensed to write business impose regulations requiring companies to maintain or meet various defined statutory ratios, including solvency and liquidity requirements. Some jurisdictions also place restrictions on the declaration and payment of dividends and other distributions. See Item 7.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Liquidity and Capital Resources in the Company's 2012 Form 10-K.

Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 338.2	\$ 500.2
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities	80.9	(243.8)
Cash flows used in financing activities	(141.8)	(250.4)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(6.2)	(0.5)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	271.1	5.5
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	681.9	634.0
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 953.0	\$ 639.5

The primary sources of cash inflows from operating activities are premiums received, loss payments from reinsurers and investment income. The primary sources of cash outflows from operating activities are ceded premiums paid to reinsurers, claims paid, commissions paid, operating expenses, interest expense and income taxes. The primary factor in our ability to generate positive operating cash flow is underwriting profitability. We have generated positive operating cash flow for more than 10 consecutive years.

The decrease in cash flows from operations was primarily due to the \$173.1 million increase in paid losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 as a result of the catastrophe losses from Superstorm Sandy and crop reinsurance losses combined with growth in operations. This was combined with the \$57.4 million increase in the cash outflow for funds held for the nine months ended September 30, 2013. The increase in funds held was due to our increased participation in a collateralized property catastrophe program.

In our casualty lines of business, claims may be reported and settled several years after the coverage period has terminated. As a result, we expect that we will generate significant operating cash flow as we accumulate casualty loss reserves on our balance sheet. In our property lines of business, claims are generally reported and paid within a relatively short period of time and we expect volatility in our operating cash flows as losses are incurred. We expect increases in the amount of expected loss payments in future periods with a resulting decrease in operating cash flow; however, we do not expect loss payments to exceed the premiums generated. Actual premiums written and collected and losses and loss expenses paid in any period could vary materially from our expectations and could have a

significant and adverse effect on operating cash flow.

Cash flows from investing activities consist primarily of proceeds on the sale of investments and payments for investments acquired in addition to changes in restricted cash. The change in cash flows provided by investing activities reflects the net sale of securities during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to net purchases during the same period in 2012.

Cash flows from financing activities consist primarily of capital raising activities, which include the issuance of common shares or debt, the repurchase of our shares, the payment of dividends and the repayment of debt. The decrease in cash flows used in financing activities was due to the \$84.6 million decrease in share repurchases for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Dividends paid decreased \$24.6 million as the dividend declared in September 2013 was not paid until after September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents***Investments***

Our funds are primarily invested in liquid, high-grade fixed income securities. As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, 89.1% and 89.2%, respectively, of our fixed income portfolio consisted of investment grade securities. The maturity distribution of our fixed-maturity portfolio (on a fair value basis) as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was as follows:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Due in one year or less	\$ 709.2	\$ 573.9
Due after one year through five years	2,872.0	2,835.9
Due after five years through ten years	571.8	774.4
Due after ten years	65.8	73.0
Mortgage-backed	1,373.2	1,958.4
Asset-backed	455.9	410.9
Total	\$ 6,047.9	\$ 6,626.5

We have investments in other invested assets, comprising interests in hedge funds, private equity funds, other private securities and high yield loan funds, the carrying value of which was \$905.0 million as of September 30, 2013. Some of these funds have redemption notice requirements. For each of our funds, liquidity is allowed after certain defined periods based on the terms of each fund. See Note 4(b) Investments Other Invested Assets to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for additional details on our other invested assets.

We do not believe that inflation has had a material effect on our consolidated results of operations. The potential exists, after a catastrophe loss, for the development of inflationary pressures in a local economy. The effects of inflation are considered implicitly in pricing. Loss reserves are established to recognize likely loss settlements at the date payment is made. Those reserves inherently recognize the effects of inflation. The actual effects of inflation on our results cannot be accurately known, however, until claims are ultimately resolved.

Credit Facilities

In the normal course of our operations, we enter into agreements with financial institutions to obtain secured and unsecured credit facilities.

Allied World Assurance Company, Ltd currently has access to up to \$1.45 billion in letters of credit under two letter of credit facilities, a \$1.0 billion uncommitted secured facility with Citibank Europe plc and a \$450 million committed secured credit facility with a syndication of lenders (the Amended Secured Credit Facility). These credit facilities are primarily for the issuance of standby letters of credit to support obligations in connection with the insurance and reinsurance business.

The letters of credit issued under the credit facility with Citibank Europe plc are deemed to be automatically extended without amendment for twelve months from the expiry date, or any future expiration date unless at least 30 days prior to any expiration date Citibank Europe plc notifies us that they elect not to consider the letters of credit renewed for

any such additional period.

A portion of the Amended Secured Credit Facility may also be used for revolving loans for general corporate and working capital purposes, up to a maximum of \$150 million. We may request that existing lenders under the Amended Secured Credit Facility make additional commitments from time to time, up to \$150 million, subject to approval by the lenders. The Amended Secured Credit Facility contains representations, warranties and covenants customary for similar bank loan facilities, including certain covenants that, among other things, require us to maintain a certain leverage ratio and financial strength rating. We are in compliance with all covenants under the Amended Secured Credit Facility as of September 30, 2013.

As of September 30, 2013, we had a combined unused letters of credit capacity of \$ 564.8 million from the Amended Secured Credit Facility and Citibank Europe plc. We believe that this remaining capacity is sufficient to meet our future letter of credit needs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, we did not utilize the revolving loan available under the Amended Secured Credit Facility.

Table of Contents***Pledged Assets***

We use trust accounts primarily to meet security requirements for inter-company and certain reinsurance transactions. We also have cash and cash equivalents and investments on deposit with various state or government insurance departments or pledged in favor of ceding companies in order to comply with reinsurance contract provisions and relevant insurance regulations. In addition, our credit facilities are collateralized, at least to the extent of letters of credit outstanding at any given time.

Security arrangements with ceding insurers may subject our assets to security interests or require that a portion of our assets be pledged to, or otherwise held by, third parties. Both of our letter of credit facilities are fully collateralized by assets held in custodial accounts at the Bank of New York Mellon held for the benefit of the banks. Although the investment income derived from our assets while held in trust accrues to our benefit, the investment of these assets is governed by the terms of the letter of credit facilities or the investment regulations of the state or territory of domicile of the ceding insurer, which may be more restrictive than the investment regulations otherwise applicable to us. The restrictions may result in lower investment yields on these assets, which may adversely affect our profitability.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, \$ 2,556.7 million and \$2,141.2 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were deposited, pledged or held in escrow accounts in favor of ceding companies and other counterparties or government authorities to comply with reinsurance contract provisions, insurance laws and other contract provisions.

In addition, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, a further \$ 1,063.1 million and \$1,225.2 million, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and investments were pledged as collateral for our credit facilities.

We do not currently anticipate that the restrictions on liquidity resulting from restrictions on the payment of dividends by our subsidiary companies or from assets committed in trust accounts or to collateralize the letter of credit facilities will have a material impact on our ability to carry out our normal business activities, including interest and dividend payments, respectively, on our senior notes (described below) and common shares.

Financial Strength Ratings

Financial strength ratings represent the opinions of rating agencies on our capacity to meet our obligations. In the event of a significant downgrade in ratings, our ability to write business and to access the capital markets could be impacted. Our financial strength ratings as of September 30, 2013 have not changed since December 31, 2012. See Item 1. Business in our 2012 Form 10-K.

In May 2013, Standard & Poor's affirmed their financial strength rating of A of our rated operating subsidiaries with a stable outlook. In October 2013, Fitch Ratings affirmed their financial strength rating of A+ of our rated operating subsidiaries with a stable outlook.

Capital Resources

The table below sets forth the capital structure of the Company as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

As of	As of
September 30,	December 31,

Edgar Filing: - Form

	2013	2012
	(\$ in millions)	
Senior notes	\$ 798.4	\$ 798.2
Shareholders' equity	3,443.9	3,326.3
Total capitalization	\$ 4,242.3	\$ 4,124.5
Debt to total capitalization	18.8%	19.4%

On September 10, 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission in which we may offer from time to time common shares of Allied World Switzerland, senior or subordinated debt securities of Allied World Bermuda, guarantees of debt securities of Allied World Bermuda, warrants to purchase common shares of Allied World Switzerland, warrants to purchase debt securities of Allied World Bermuda or units which may consist of any combination of the securities listed above. The registration statement is intended to provide us with additional flexibility to access capital markets for general corporate purposes, subject to market conditions and our capital needs.

Table of Contents***Share Repurchases***

In May 2012, we established a \$500 million share repurchase program. Under the terms of this new share repurchase program, common shares repurchased shall be designated for cancellation and shall be cancelled upon prior shareholder approval. As of September 30, 2013, approximately \$287.1 million remained under this share repurchase authorization.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013, our share repurchases were as follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013
Common shares repurchased	427,388	1,367,833
Total cost of shares repurchased	\$ 40,574	\$ 123,145
Average price per share	\$ 94.93	\$ 90.03

Shares repurchased by the Company and not designated for cancellation are classified as Treasury shares, at cost on the consolidated balance sheets. The Company will issue shares out of treasury principally related to the Company's employee benefit plans. Shares repurchased and designated for cancellation are constructively retired and recorded as a share cancellation.

Long-Term Debt

In July 2006, Allied World Bermuda issued \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of 7.50% senior notes due August 1, 2016, with interest payable August 1 and February 1 each year. Allied World Bermuda can redeem the senior notes prior to maturity, subject to payment of a make-whole premium; however, Allied World Bermuda currently has no intention of redeeming the notes.

In November 2010, Allied World Bermuda issued \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of 5.50% senior notes due November 1, 2020, with interest payable May 15 and November 15 each year, commencing May 15, 2011. Allied World Bermuda can redeem the senior notes prior to maturity, subject to payment of a make-whole premium; however, Allied World Bermuda currently has no intention of redeeming the notes.

The senior notes issued in 2006 and 2010 have been unconditionally and irrevocably guaranteed for the payment of the principal and interest by Holdings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of September 30, 2013, we did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

We believe that we are principally exposed to three types of market risk: interest rate risk, credit risk and currency risk.

The fixed income securities in our investment portfolio are subject to interest rate risk and credit risk. Any changes in interest rates and credit spreads have a direct effect on the fair values of fixed income securities. As interest rates rise, the fair values fall, and vice versa. As credit spreads widen, the fair values fall, and vice versa.

In the table below changes in fair values as a result of changes in interest rates are determined by calculating hypothetical September 30, 2013 ending prices based on yields adjusted to reflect the hypothetical changes in interest rates, comparing such hypothetical ending prices to actual ending prices, and multiplying the difference by the principal amount of the security. The sensitivity analysis is based on estimates. The estimated changes of our fixed maturity investments and cash and cash equivalents are presented below and actual changes for interest rate shifts could differ significantly.

Table of Contents

	Interest Rate Shift in Basis Points						
	-200	-100	-50		+50	+100	+200
	(\$ in millions)						
Total fair value	\$ 7,496.2	\$ 7,394.4	\$ 7,331.1	\$ 7,258.4	\$ 7,181.3	\$ 7,104.0	\$ 6,950.6
Fair value change from base	237.8	136.0	72.7		(77.1)	(154.4)	(307.8)
Change in unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)	3.3%	1.9%	1.0%	0%	(1.1%)	(2.1%)	(4.2%)

In the table below changes in fair values as a result of changes in credit spreads are determined by calculating hypothetical September 30, 2013 ending prices adjusted to reflect the hypothetical changes in credit spreads, comparing such hypothetical ending prices to actual ending prices, and multiplying the difference by the principal amount of the security. The sensitivity analysis is based on estimates. The estimated changes of our non-cash, non-U.S. Treasury fixed maturity investments are presented below and actual changes in credit spreads could differ significantly.

	Credit Spread Shift in Basis Points						
	-200	-100	-50		+50	+100	+200
	(\$ in millions)						
Total fair value	\$ 4,750.6	\$ 4,638.2	\$ 4,581.9	\$ 4,525.7	\$ 4,469.5	\$ 4,413.2	\$ 4,300.7
Fair value change from base	224.9	112.5	56.2		(56.2)	(112.5)	(225.0)
Change in unrealized appreciation/(depreciation)	5.0%	2.5%	1.2%	0%	(1.2%)	(2.5%)	(5.0%)

In addition to credit spread risk, our portfolio is also exposed to the risk of securities being downgraded or of issuers defaulting. In an effort to minimize this risk, our investment guidelines have been defined to ensure that the assets held are well diversified and are primarily high-quality securities.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the types of securities in our portfolio, their fair market values, average rating and portfolio percentage as of September 30, 2013.

	Fair Value September 30, 2013 (\$ in millions)	Average Rating	Portfolio Percentage
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,210.6	AAA	13.6%
U.S. government securities	1,522.2	AA+	17.0%
U.S. government agencies	328.2	AA+	3.7%
Non-U.S. government and government agencies	209.6	AA+	2.4%
State, municipalities and political subdivisions	95.4	AA	1.1%
Mortgage-backed securities (MBS):			
Agency MBS	859.6	AA+	9.7%
Non-agency MBS	78.8	A-	0.9%
Non-agency high yield	174.2	CCC+	2.0%
Commercial MBS	260.5	AA+	2.9%
Total mortgage-backed securities	1,373.1		15.5%
Corporate securities:			
Financials	841.9	A	9.5%
Industrials	1,146.8	BBB	12.9%
Utilities	74.8	BBB	0.9%
Total corporate securities	2,063.5		23.3%
Asset-backed securities:			
Credit cards	22.3	AAA	0.3%
Auto receivables	20.4	AAA	0.2%
Student Loans	114.2	AA+	1.3%
Collateralized loan obligations	227.8	AA+	2.6%
Other	71.2	AAA	0.7%
Total asset-backed securities	455.9		5.1%
Other invested assets:			
Private equity	198.6	N/A	2.2%
Hedge funds	537.4	N/A	6.1%
Other private securities	138.0	N/A	1.6%
High yield loan fund	31.0	N/A	0.4%
Total other invested assets	905.0		10.3%
Equities	708.9	N/A	8.0%
Total investment portfolio	\$ 8,872.4		100.0%

As of September 30, 2013, we held \$6.0 billion of fixed income securities. Of those assets, approximately 89.1% were rated investment grade (Baa3/BBB- or higher) with the remaining 10.9% rated in the below investment grade

category. The average credit quality of the fixed maturity portfolios was AA- by Standard & Poor's.

Our agency pass-through mortgage-backed securities are exposed to prepayment risk, which occurs when holders of individual mortgages increase the frequency with which they prepay the outstanding principal before the maturity date to refinance at a lower interest rate cost. Given the proportion that these securities comprise of the overall portfolio, and the current interest rate environment and condition of the credit market, prepayment risk is not considered significant at this time.

Our non-agency commercial mortgage-backed securities are subject to the risk of non-payment due to increased levels of delinquencies, defaults and losses on commercial loans that cumulatively create shortfalls beyond the level of subordination in our specific securities.

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2013, we held investments in other invested assets with a carrying value of \$905.0 million. Included in other invested assets are private equity funds, hedge funds, other private securities and a high yield loan fund. Investments in these types of assets involve certain risks related to, among other things, the illiquid nature of the fund shares, the limited operating history of these investments, as well as risks associated with the strategies employed by the managers of these investments. The funds' objectives are generally to seek attractive long-term returns with lower volatility by investing in a range of diversified investment strategies. As our reserves and capital continue to build, we may consider additional investments in these or other alternative investments.

As of September 30, 2013, our direct exposure to European credit across all of Europe was \$560.4 million as outlined in the table below and is included within fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value and equity securities trading, at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2013, we had no direct sovereign exposure to Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal or Spain.

	September 30, 2013			
	Sovereign and Sovereign Guaranteed	Structured Products	Corporate Bonds and Equities	Total Exposure
	(\$ in millions)			
Belgium	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 16.2	\$ 16.2
France	-	2.8	55.7	58.5
Germany	29.7	2.0	9.9	41.6
Ireland	-	5.7	0.6	6.3
Italy	-	-	0.5	0.5
Luxembourg	-	3.8	17.9	21.7
Netherlands	35.0	-	62.4	97.4
Norway	6.8	-	24.5	31.3
Russia	-	-	14.6	14.6
Spain	-	-	19.2	19.2
Sweden	5.9	-	42.1	48.0
Switzerland	2.4	-	23.0	25.4
United Kingdom	31.2	16.7	131.8	179.7
Total exposure	\$ 111.0	\$ 31.0	\$ 418.4	\$ 560.4

The U.S. dollar is our reporting currency and the functional currency of all of our operating subsidiaries. However, we enter into insurance and reinsurance contracts where the premiums receivable and losses payable are denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. In addition, we maintain a portion of our investments and liabilities in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, primarily Euro, British Sterling, Swiss Franc and the Canadian dollar. Receivables in non-U.S. currencies are generally converted into U.S. dollars at the time of receipt. When we incur a liability in a non-U.S. currency, we carry such liability on our books in the original currency. These liabilities are converted from the non-U.S. currency to U.S. dollars at the time of payment. As a result, we have an exposure to foreign currency risk resulting from fluctuations in exchange rates. We utilize a hedging strategy to minimize the potential loss of value caused by currency fluctuations by using foreign currency forward contract derivatives that expire in 90 days from purchase.

As of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 4.2% and 3.4%, respectively, of our total investments and cash and cash equivalents were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Of our business written during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, approximately 13% and 12%, respectively, was written in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

In connection with the preparation of this quarterly report, our management has performed an evaluation, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act)) as of September 30, 2013. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Based on their evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of September 30, 2013, our Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms and accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Table of Contents

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide an absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected.

No changes were made in our internal controls over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f), during the quarter ended September 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II**OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

The Company, in common with the insurance industry in general, is subject to litigation and arbitration in the normal course of its business. These legal proceedings generally relate to claims asserted by or against the Company in the ordinary course of insurance or reinsurance operations. Estimated amounts payable under these proceedings are included in the reserve for losses and loss expenses in the Company's consolidated balance sheets. As of September 30, 2013, the Company was not a party to any material legal proceedings arising outside the ordinary course of business that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Our business is subject to a number of risks, including those identified in Item 1A. of Part I of our 2012 Form 10-K, that could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and/or liquidity and that could cause our operating results to vary significantly from period to period. There have been no material changes to the risk factors described in our 2012 Form 10-K. The risks described in our 2012 Form 10-K are not the only risks we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and/or liquidity.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

- (c) The following table summarizes our repurchases of our common shares during the three months ended September 30, 2013:

	Total Number of	Maximum Dollar Value (or Approximate
--	------------------------	---

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
July 1 31, 2013	192,388	\$ 93.56	192,388	\$ 309.7 million
August 1 31, 2013	40,000	94.89	40,000	305.9 million
September 1 30, 2013	195,000	96.30	195,000	287.1 million
Total	427,388	\$ 94.93	427,388	\$ 287.1 million(1)

- (1) At the 2012 Annual Shareholder Meeting on May 3, 2012, Holdings shareholders approved a new, two-year \$500 million share repurchase program. Share repurchases may be effected from time to time through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers or otherwise.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

**Exhibit
Number**

Description

- | | |
|---------|---|
| 10.1 | Employment Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2013, by and between AWAC Services Company and John J. Gauthier. |
| 10.2(1) | Form of Indemnification Agreement for Eric S. Schwartz and Kent W. Ziegler. |
| 31.1 | Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.1** | Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. |

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG filed with the SEC on December 1, 2010. The Indemnification Agreements for Messrs. Schwartz and Ziegler, dated as of October 17 and 23, 2013, respectively, are materially identical to the form filed as Exhibit 10.4 thereto.

Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

Edgar Filing: - Form

- * These certifications are being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18 United States Code) and are not being filed as part of this report.

- ** In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ALLIED WORLD ASSURANCE COMPANY HOLDINGS,
AG

Dated: October 23, 2013

By: /s/ Scott A. Carmilani
Name: Scott A. Carmilani
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer

Dated: October 23, 2013

By: /s/ Thomas A. Bradley
Name: Thomas A. Bradley
Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial
Officer

Dated: October 23, 2013

By: /s/ Kent W. Ziegler
Name: Kent W. Ziegler
Title: Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief
Accounting Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Employment Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2013, by and between AWAC Services Company and John J. Gauthier.
10.2(1)	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Eric S. Schwartz and Kent W. Ziegler.
31.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification by Chief Executive Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification by Chief Financial Officer, as required by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.1**	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 and (v) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Current Report on Form 8-K of Allied World Assurance Company Holdings, AG filed with the SEC on December 1, 2010. The Indemnification Agreements for Messrs. Schwartz and Ziegler, dated as of October 17 and 23, 2013, respectively, are materially identical to the form filed as Exhibit 10.4 thereto.

Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

* These certifications are being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1350, chapter 63 of title 18 United States Code) and are not being filed as part of this report.

** In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in Exhibit 101.1 to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.